

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FINAL OFFICIAL MINUTES Redistricting Subcommittee

Board of County Commissioners
Stephen P. Clark Government Center
CITT Conference Room 10-10
111 NW 1st Street
Miami, Florida 33128

Friday, November 4, 2011
As Advertised

Harvey Ruvlin, Clerk
Board of County Commissioners

Christopher Agrippa, Division Chief
Clerk of the Board Division

Scott Rappleye, Commission Reporter
(305) 375-5108



**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
CLERK'S SUMMARY AND FINAL OFFICIAL MEETING MINUTES
NOVEMBER 4, 2011**

The Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee convened at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center, 111 NW 1st Street, 10th Floor Citizens Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) Conference Room, Miami, Florida, on November 4, 2011, at 11:30 a.m. There being present upon roll call Chairwoman Audrey Edmonson and Commissioners Dennis C. Moss and Sally A. Heyman (Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro was late; Commissioner Jose "Pepe" Diaz was absent).

In addition to the members of the subcommittee, the following guests and staff members were also present: Commissioner Jean Monestime, Assistant County Attorney Randy Duvall, Senior Planner Kimberly Brown, Planning Research Chief Manuel Armada, and Deputy Clerk Scott Rappleye.

1 Roll Call

Chairwoman Edmonson called the meeting to order at 11:45 a.m.

2A Approval of Meeting Minutes of October 19, 2011

It was moved by Commissioner Moss that the Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee approve the Clerk's Summary of Meeting and Official Minutes for October 19, 2011. This motion was seconded by Commissioner Heyman, and upon being put to a vote, passed by a vote of 3-0 (Commissioners Barreiro and Diaz were absent).

3A Presentation of Draft Redistricting Plans (Consultant)

Mr. Guillermo Olmedillo, President, Olmedillo X5, Inc., noted he met with each County Commissioner to get their feedback on the proposed redistricting boundaries. He briefly explained how each commissioner responded.

Commissioner Jordan (District 1)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Jordan supported drafts 5 and 6.

Commissioner Monestime (District 2)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Monestime was interested in an area within the City of North Miami Beach that was currently in District 4. He pointed out that Commissioner Monestime was also interested in the non-residential properties along the west side of NW 7 Avenue.

Commissioner Edmonson (District 3)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Edmonson supported drafts 5 and 6.

Commissioner Heyman (District 4)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Heyman supported drafts 2, 5, and 6.

Commissioner Barreiro (District 5)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Barreiro had requested some edits to drafts 5 and 6. He explained that those edits were to reduce the area on the western edge of his district and compensate for that reduction with population going south on Brickell Avenue. He pointed out that a portion of land shaped like a triangle bounded by the Miami River and the Miami Canal was assigned to District 6, however, this portion of land had zero population and could be assigned to District 5. Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Barreiro also wanted his district to cover Key Biscayne, however, Commissioner Suarez lived right at the entrance to the Rickenbacker Causeway. He explained that Commissioner Barreiro wanted the Venetian Islands as part of his district, which would require District 5 to incorporate some additional area north of the current boundary to include the Venetian Causeway.

Commissioner Edmonson questioned what was located in the area that District 5 would acquire to include the Venetian Causeway.

In response to Mr. Olmedillo noting the Miami Herald property was the additional area that District 5 would need to incorporate, Commissioner Barreiro noted he did not want to have that parcel in his district.

Commissioner Sosa (District 6)

Mr. Olmedillo explained that Commissioner Sosa supported every draft except for Draft 4.

Commissioner Suarez (District 7)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Suarez did not have any preferences regarding redistricting. He explained Commissioner Suarez expressed that redistricting should be driven by the technical and legal aspects.

Commissioner Bell (District 8)

Mr. Olmedillo explained that Commissioner Bell wanted to retain the portion of her district that would become part of District 7 in Draft 1. He noted District 7 would have to move east of SW 67 Avenue to accommodate Commissioner Bell's request. Mr. Olmedillo pointed out that Commissioner Bell made additional requests that would not be substantial and could be accommodated through modifications to District 9. He clarified that drafts 5 and 6 could be used to satisfy Commissioner Bell's requests.

Commissioner Moss (District 9)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Moss wanted to retain a portion of south Homestead that had been moved to District 8. Mr. Olmedillo explained the boundary shared by districts 8 and 9 was large enough that Commissioner Moss' request could be accommodated by changes to the shared boarder. He pointed out that Commissioner Moss was also interested in adding a small triangular area along South Dixie Highway.

Commissioner Heyman questioned whether the only jurisdictions that would be impacted by Commissioner Moss' requested changes were those shared by Commissioners Bell and Moss.

Mr. Olmedillo noted one change requested by Commissioner Bell would impact District 7.

Commissioner Souto (District 10)

Mr. Olmedillo noted that Commissioner Souto wanted to have the boundary to his district move west, as opposed to south as proposed in drafts 5 and 6. He clarified that the westward shift would be along Coral Way and SW 16 Street and down to SW 88 Street. He pointed out that any area recommended for District 10 in drafts 5 and 6 that was south of SW 88 Street would become part of District 11, however, he would have to confirm that these changes would provide balanced population. Mr. Olmedillo clarified that he had not discussed Commissioner Souto's requests with Commissioner Martinez.

Commissioner Martinez (District 11)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Martinez had requested minor changes. He explained that the location of Florida International University, Tamiami Airport, and parks would influence the shared boundary between districts 10 and 11.

Commissioner Diaz (District 12)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Diaz requested to retain the portion of the City of Hialeah that would become part of District 13. He also noted that Commissioner Diaz requested that his district be expanded to include Flagler Street and NW 107 Avenue where the Florida International University Engineering School was located.

Commissioner Bovo (District 13)

Mr. Olmedillo noted Commissioner Bovo requested servicing the area inside the Palmetto Expressway. He expressed concern that the population in this area was 25,000 and either District 1 or District 12 would have to be modified to compensate.

Mr. Olmedillo noted that drafts 5 and 6 would be a good common plan to work from for commission districts 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 12. He noted the other commissioners could work out their requests with neighboring commissioners in Government in the Sunshine meetings.

Assistant County Attorney Randy Duvall advised the County Commission could submit any number of plans. He clarified that the Citizens Advisory Board could submit up to three plans to the County Commission and explain which one it preferred. He advised that the County Commission had full prerogative over the redistricting plan it would adopt.

Responding to Commissioner Monestime's request that Mr. Olmedillo restate what he had requested in their meeting, Mr. Olmedillo explained that Commissioner Monestime

wanted to work off of Draft 4. He noted that Commissioner Monestime was interested in an area in the City of North Miami Beach that was part of District 4, and that Commissioner Monestime wanted to serve both sides of the commercial corridor along NW 7th Avenue. He pointed out that Commissioner Monestime had expressed interest in serving the Biscayne Park area.

Commissioner Monestime noted he discussed Draft 3 with Mr. Olmedillo much more than Draft 4. He explained that he wanted to retain Poinciana Industrial Park in his district. He suggested adding the area between NW 79 and 62 streets and the shared boundary between districts 2 and 3 and NW 17th Avenue to District 3 to replace Poinciana Industrial Park

Chairwoman Edmonson noted she was not interested in the area proposed by Commissioner Monestime.

Commissioner Heyman noted the area of North Miami Beach that Commissioner Monestime was interested in would be disenfranchised if it was serviced by District 2.

Commissioner Monestime clarified that he was interested in the area between NE 15th and 19th avenues and south of NE 175 Street.

Commissioner Heyman noted she still felt the area would be disenfranchised if that area was to be serviced by District 2.

Following further discussion between Commissioners Heyman and Monestime regarding the area of interest, Commissioner Barreiro clarified that he was interested in an area on Brickell Avenue that started at 15th Road and went north that was the business section. He pointed out that the current boundary was 8th Street, which was the area where the foreign ambassadors were. Commissioner Barreiro explained that the advantage would be that he could deal with all of the business area issues holistically. He proposed to compensate for any population gains by losing the area west of NW 27th Avenue and south of NW 7th Street that he currently did not represent. Commissioner Barreiro explained he wanted to retain the triangle shaped area bounded by the Miami River and the Miami Canal for continuity purposes during the ongoing charrette.

Regarding the Venetian Causeway, Commissioner Barreiro noted District 4 could extend further south along the beach to balance the population lost.

Commissioner Heyman noted she was not interested in losing the Venetian Islands.

Commissioner Barreiro explained that he could service all the waterfront communities if Key Biscayne was added to his district. He pointed out that his district would still be contiguous if Key Biscayne and Virginia Key were included without including the mouth of the Rickenbacker Causeway since Fisher Island was part of his district.

Commissioner Moss noted he thought Commissioner Bell and he could work out their issues, especially the South Dade Government Center. He stressed that he needed the areas in southwest Homestead and Florida City.

Mr. Olmedillo suggested groups of commissioners impacted by the same issues meet in Government in the Sunshine meetings to work them out.

Discussion ensued between Chairwoman Edmonson and Mr. Olmedillo regarding the best method for proceeding with the restructuring process.

In response to Chairwoman Edmonson's request for help to form a motion, Assistant County Attorney Duvall advised the motion should be to request the consultant to submit drafts 5 and 6 as those that best reflect, at present, the collective desires of the Board of County Commissioners and best accommodate the various legal and redistricting requirements.

It was moved by Commissioner Moss that the Subcommittee accept draft Nos. 5 and 6 as best reflecting the collective desires of the Board of County Commissioners and best accommodating the various legal and redistricting requirements at present; and that the Subcommittee direct the consultant to use these as working drafts as the redistricting process moved forward. This motion was seconded by Commissioner Heyman, and upon being put to a vote, passed by a vote of 4-0 (Commissioner Diaz was absent).

Commissioner Heyman noted, for the record, that her district boundaries were limited with respect to where they could move. She pointed out that I-95 created a natural boundary for her to lose the area that was proposed to become part of District 1 in drafts 5 and 6. She expressed a desire to continue servicing the Biscayne Park area. Commissioner Heyman noted it was better for the Venetian Islands to be part of District 5, if all of them were going to be grouped together as one. She explained that the part of the John F. Kennedy Causeway that belonged to the City of Miami was better served as part of District 3. She noted she supported extending her district south along the beach to add the population that she needed. Commissioner Heyman noted she would meet with Commissioner Monestime to discuss the area he was interested in servicing.

Commissioner Monestime noted he was interested in servicing the entire Biscayne Gardens area that was south of State Road 9 and east of NW 22 Avenue.

Commissioner Heyman clarified that her previous comments were made with respect to the fact that those were communities of interest.

Commissioner Moss questioned why the southern boundary of District 11 could not be extended along SW 152 Street out to the Everglades.

Mr. Olmedillo noted he could look at making that adjustment. He clarified that the area in question represented huge blocks established by the census.

In response to Commissioner Moss' inquiry regarding the consultant exchanging information with him about his interests, Assistant County Attorney Duvall advised that the consultant could not discuss redistricting unless it was in a Government in the Sunshine meeting.

Commissioner Edmosnon noted the Subcommittee would send drafts 5 and 6 to the Citizens Advisory Board (CAB), and the CAB would send its recommendations to the County Commission.

Assistant County Attorney Duvall advised the consultant would do its best to accommodate the requested changes to drafts 5 and 6 and would go forward with both drafts to the CAB. He advised that the CAB did not need to wait for the Government in the Sunshine meetings to conclude before it could begin its deliberations. He clarified the County Commission could continue to change the recommended districts provided by the CAB.

Ms. Kimberly Brown, Senior Planner, Department of Planning and Zoning, noted the anticipated date for first reading of the redistricting ordinance would occur in early December and the final action would be in early January.

Assistant County Attorney Duvall advised the County Commission would have to advertise the second reading of the redistricting ordinance and allow at least one week before having a special meeting of the County Commission to hold the public hearing and adopt the new districts.

Mr. Olmedillo suggested the Government in the Sunshine meetings occur as soon as possible to allow him enough time to prepare the proposed ordinance early enough that it would not be 4-day ruled.

Chairwoman Edmonson noted November 23, 2011, would be the deadline for the Government in the Sunshine meetings regarding redistricting.

Commissioner Heyman suggested the Government in the Sunshine meetings be grouped together as part of one advertisement to cut costs to the County for advertising public meetings.

Commissioner Heyman questioned how adding the Old St. Francis Island to her district would impact the population in her district.

Commissioner Barreiro noted he would support dropping the shared boundary on the beach side down to the Fountainbleu area.

Commissioner Heyman pointed out that 63 Street was a natural cross over.

Commissioner Barreiro noted he did not want his district to grow further west.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before the Subcommittee, the meeting adjourned at 1:02 pm.

Audrey Edmonson, Chairwoman

**Miami-Dade Redistricting Subcommittee Agenda
FINAL Version
Friday, November 04, 2011
11:30 AM
CITT Conference Room 10-10**

*Audrey Edmonson (3), Chairperson; Commissioners Bruno A. Barreiro (5); José "Pepe" Diaz (12);
Sally A. Heyman (4); and Dennis C. Moss (9)*

1 ROLL CALL

2 REPORT

2A REPORT

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF OCTOBER 19, 2011

3 SPECIAL ITEMS

3A PRESENTATION

PRESENTATION OF DRAFT REDISTRICTING PLANS (CONSULTANT)

ADJOURNMENT



MEMORANDUM

Harvey Ruvin
Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners
Miami-Dade County, Florida
(305) 375-5126
(305) 375-2484 FAX
www.miami-dadeclerk.com

TO: Honorable Chairwoman Audrey Edmonson
and Members, Miami-Dade County
Redistricting Subcommittee

DATE: November 2, 2011

FROM: Christopher Agrippa
Division Chief, Clerk of the Board Division

SUBJECT: Approval of Commission
Subcommittee Minutes

The Clerk of the Board's office is submitting the following Clerk's Summary of Minutes for approval by the Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee:

1. October 19, 2011

CA/fr
Attachment

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
CLERK'S SUMMARY OF MEETING AND OFFICIAL MINUTES
OCTOBER 19, 2011**

The Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee convened at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center, 111 N.W. 1st Street, Conference Room 22-A, Miami, Florida, on October 19, 2011, at 1:00 p.m. There being present Chairwoman Audrey Edmonson and Commissioners Dennis Moss and Jose "Pepe" Diaz (Commissioner Bruno Barreiro was late). (Commissioner Sally Heyman was absent)

In addition to the members of the subcommittee, the following guests and staff members were also present: Commissioner Jean Monestime, Assistant County Attorney Randy Duvall, Assistant County Attorney Oren Rosenthal, Senior Planner Kimberly Brown, and Deputy Clerk Flora Real.

1 ROLL CALL

Chairwoman Edmonson called the meeting to order at 1:15 p.m.

2 REPORT

2A REPORT - APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF JULY 14, 2011

It was moved by Commissioner Moss that the Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee approve the Clerk's Summary of Meeting Minutes of July 14, 2011. This motion was seconded by Commissioner Diaz; and upon being put to a vote, passed by a vote of 3-0 (Commissioners Barreiro and Heyman were absent).

3 SPECIAL ITEMS

3A PRESENTATION-UPDATE ON THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROCESS (CONSULTANT)

3B PRESENTATION-PRESENTATION OF DRAFT REDISTRICTING PLANS (CONSULTANT)

Mr. Guillermo Olmedillo, President of Olmedillo X5, Inc., presented an update report on the community outreach process and findings together with the proposed county commission redistricting draft maps. He advised that the proposed county commission district boundary change draft maps had been introduced to the community at the outreach meetings and had been posted in the internet to solicit feedback. He introduced Dr. Willie Wilson.

Chairwoman Edmonson advised Commissioner Jean Monestime had joined the meeting.

Dr. Willie Wilson advised he was glad to continue the work he had previously initiated with the county commission redistricting process in 1991 and 2001, and he was very pleased with the County's growth and development.

Mr. Olmedillo advised that the consulting group had prepared a report indicating the community's concerns, noting that residents of the north central area were concerned with the issue of minority representation and

how to provide protection to those minorities. The residents had expressed interest in maintaining the county commission districts in the north central area as low as possible within the deviation percentages, and the community had also indicated an interest in preserving and protecting communities of interest.

Mr. Olmedillo advised the community outreach meetings had been advertised in newspaper publications such as the Miami Herald, El Nuevo Herald, Diario Las Americas, Radio Marche, and the Miami Times. He noted that the meetings were advertised in local periodicals as well.

Senior Planner Kimberly Brown noted that the meeting notices were distributed through major media outlets.

In response from Commissioner Moss's question regarding feedback from other areas of the community, Mr. Olmedillo advised that residents of County Commission District 9 had provided feedback indicating concentration of communities of interest should be maintained in the equation, and it was essential for County Commission District 9.

Mr. Olmedillo noted that the proposed boundary changes suggested by the community had been reflected in some of the proposed draft maps. He advised that each report prepared outlining the findings of the community outreach meetings included information on the number of persons participating at the meetings and the outreach effort.

In response to Commissioner Diaz's inquiry regarding whether the community had expressed feelings of disagreement, Mr. Olmedillo explained the public had been afforded the opportunity to use the internet to post comments.

Ms. Brown advised that only one comment had been posted to the internet from the community.

Following a discussion regarding the community reaction to the previous county commission redistricting process, Commissioner Moss noted the same issues relating to communities of interest and protection of minorities were also raised during the previous process.

Mr. Olmedillo advised that the minority/majority concept was also an issue of concern due to the County's large Hispanic population.

Following discussion regarding showing respect for other commissioners' districts and interests, Commissioner Diaz noted that the process should be expedited as much as possible in order to address faster concerns raised by the community.

Commissioner Barreiro advised he had some areas of concerns, but he looked forward to continuing the process.

Commissioner Monestime expressed his concerns for the protection of commercial and/or industrial areas, and how the county commission boundaries for these areas would be shifted based on population. He commented that he had observed boundaries changed from one county commission district to another district to include a commercial area lacking a substantial amount of residential community to be considered in the population count.

Mr. Olmedillo explained that boundary changes within commercial and/or industrial areas were done considering continuity of communities.

Following a discussion regarding the issue of communities of interest and how the county commission boundary changes were made in compliance with the requirements of Section 2 followed by considerations of the concept of communities of interest, Dr. Wilson advised that those changed represented a negotiated process.

Discussion ensued in connection to what constituted a community of interest.

In connection with Chairwoman Edmonson's question regarding proposed redistricting draft maps 5 and 6, Ms. Brown advised that these draft maps were distributed at today's meeting along with the appropriate correspondence.

Mr. Olmedillo noted that the proposed redistricting draft maps 5 and 6 were subject to the 3-Day Rule.

Dr. Wilson explained the six (6) proposed redistricting draft maps and the factors taken into consideration to redesign the current county commission districts.

Mr. Olmedillo advised that it had been an evolutionary process resulting in six (6) redistricting draft maps, and the maps included data collected up to October 13, 2011. He explained the proposed draft maps before the subcommittee for their consideration, and he asked that this Subcommittee determine which of the six (6) proposed redistricting draft maps should be forwarded to the Citizens Advisory Board (CAB).

Discussion ensued in connection with the proposed redistricting draft maps and which maps should be forwarded for review.

Assistant County Attorney Randy Duvall clarified that, pursuant to the provisions of the resolution addressing the redistricting process, the consultants were to provide CAB with no more than three (3) plans to be ranked and forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners with their recommendation in terms of the criteria being in compliance with one person/one vote concept and the traditional redistricting factors as well as compliance with Section 2.

Chairwoman Edmonson instructed Mr. Olmedillo to meet individually with all thirteen (13) county commissioners to ensure everyone agreed with the proposed redistricting draft maps. She requested that Mr. Olmedillo meet with the members of this Subcommittee last in order to have available the final input of the remaining members of the County Commission.

Chairwoman Edmonson also asked Mr. Olmedillo to meet with the members of this Subcommittee upon request.

Discussion ensued regarding the timetable for completion.

Chairwoman Edmonson requested that Ms. Brown ensure that all members of this Subcommittee were available for the next meeting of this Subcommittee to achieve a full quorum to determine which of the three redistricting draft maps would be forwarded to the CAB.

Following a brief discussion on how to incorporate the feedback from the members of the Board of County Commissioners, the members of the Subcommittee agreed that the consultants would prepare and present additional proposed redistricting draft maps to incorporate the feedback from the remaining members of the Board.

Mr. Bernardo Escobar, Commission Aide, County Commission District 10, commented that recommendations from advisory boards had a strong impact on the final decision; therefore, he suggested that this Subcommittee should develop its recommendation based on the political climate rather than legal climate.

Mr. Olmedillo noted he would be available between Thursday, October 20, 2011, and Friday, October 28, 2011, to schedule the meetings with the members of the Board of County Commissioners.

Chairwoman Edmonson requested that the CAB meeting and the two Board meetings be postponed to allow this Subcommittee an opportunity to meet again.

Ms. Brown advised that the meeting would be scheduled during the week of October 31, 2011.

Commissioner Moss commented that commercial areas representing no shift in the population base should be kept within the same county commission district. He noted that, in terms of County Commission District 9, the areas of southwest Homestead and Florida City were heavily populated with African Americans. Therefore, he wished Mr. Olmedillo to look at keeping those areas within his county commission district.

Commissioner Barreiro requested that Mr. Olmedillo add the residence location of each incumbent county district commissioner in the proposed redistricting draft maps as an informational item.

Mr. Olmedillo asked Ms. Penelope Townsley, Elections Supervisor, Elections Department, to distribute to each county commissioner the addresses of the members of the Board.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Miami-Dade County Redistricting Subcommittee, the meeting was adjourned at 2:08 p.m.




MIAMI-DADE COUNTY 2011 REDISTRICTING TIMELINE

	2011										2012							
	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST
POPULATION DATA RELEASED BY CENSUS	ONGOING PROCESS																	
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE KICK-OFF MEETING	RSC																	
COMMISSIONERS APPOINTS CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD		ONGOING PROCESS																
CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS		ONGOING PROCESS	RSC	BCC														
COMMUNITY OUTREACH APPROACH AND SCHEDULE					RSC													
DEVELOP, REVISE AND ANALYZE DRAFT REDISTRICTING PLANS					ONGOING PROCESS			RSC	RSC									
CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD - COMMITTEE MEETINGS						CAB			CAB									
CAB - COMMUNITY MEETINGS (VARIOUS LOCATIONS)						COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEETINGS (13 DISTRICTS)												
FINAL DRAFT OF REDISTRICTING PLANS (ORDINANCE AMENDING DISTRICT BOUNDARIES)										BCC	RSC	BCC						
VOTER PRECINCTS REDRAWN													ONGOING PROCESS					
CANDIDATE QUALIFYING DATE (JUNE 4TH AND 5TH)																ONGOING PROCESS		ELECTION


- BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (BCC) MEETING
- REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE (RSC) MEETING
- CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD (CAB) MEETING
- ONGOING PROCESS
- COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEETINGS (13 DISTRICTS)

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

DRAFT 1. 10/03/2011




MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
 DRAFT 2. 10/03/2011



- Board of County Commissioners
 Joe A. Martinez, Chairman
 Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice-Chairwoman
- Barbara I. Jordan
District 1
 - Jean Monestime
District 2
 - Audrey M. Edmonson
District 3
 - Sally A. Heyman
District 4
 - Bruno A. Barreiro
District 5
 - Rebecca Sosa
District 6
 - Xavier L. Suarez
District 7
 - Lynda Bell
District 8
 - Dennis C. Moss
District 9
 - Sen. Javier D. Souto
District 10
 - Joe A. Martinez
District 11
 - Jose "Pepe" Diaz
District 12
 - Esteban Bovo Jr.
District 13

Current Boundaries

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DRAFT 3. 10/03/2011**




- Board of County Commissioners**
 Joe A. Martinez, Chairman
 Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice-Chairwoman
- Barbara J. Jordan
District 1
 - Jean Manestime
District 2
 - Audrey M. Edmonson
District 3
 - Sally A. Heyman
District 4
 - Bruno A. Barreiro
District 5
 - Rebeca Sosa
District 6
 - Xavier L. Suarez
District 7
 - Lynnda Bell
District 8
 - Dennis C. Moss
District 9
 - Sen. Javier D. Souto
District 10
 - Joe A. Martinez
District 11
 - Jose "Pepo" Diaz
District 12
 - Esteban Bova Jr.
District 13

□ Current Boundaries



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
 DRAFT 4. 10/03/2011



- Board of County Commissioners
 Joe A. Martinez Chairman
 Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice-Chairwoman
- Barbara J. Jordan
District 1
 - Jean Monestime
District 2
 - Audrey M. Edmonson
District 3
 - Sally A. Heyman
District 4
 - Bruno A. Barreto
District 5
 - Rebeca Sosa
District 6
 - Xavier L. Suarez
District 7
 - Lynda Bell
District 8
 - Dennis C. Moss
District 9
 - Sen. Javier D. Souto
District 10
 - Joe A. Martinez
District 11
 - Jose "Pepé" Diaz
District 12
 - Esteban Bovo Jr.
District 13


Current Boundaries




MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
 DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & ZONING
 PLANNING RESEARCH SECTION
 Delivering Excellence Every Day

OCTOBER 2011

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DRAFT 5. 10/18/2011



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
 DRAFT 6. 10/18/2011



- Board of County Commissioners
 Joe A. Martinez Chairman
 Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice-Chairwoman
- Barbara J. Jordan
District 1
 - Jean Monestime
District 2
 - Audrey M. Edmonson
District 3
 - Sally A. Heyman
District 4
 - Bruno A. Barreto
District 5
 - Rebecca Sosa
District 6
 - Xavier L. Suarez
District 7
 - Lynda Bell
District 8
 - Dennis C. Moss
District 9
 - Sen. Javier D. Souto
District 10
 - Joe A. Martinez
District 11
 - Jose "Pope" Diaz
District 12
 - Esteban Bovo Jr.
District 13

**Population by Draft 1 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and
			White	Black	Asian	More
One	184,841	63,815	9,901	106,785	1,683	2,657
Two	183,593	57,332	11,380	109,068	2,307	3,506
Three	186,748	72,103	21,064	88,483	1,840	3,258
Four	194,158	90,630	86,342	10,542	3,722	2,922
Five	194,976	161,238	26,596	3,878	1,895	1,369
Six	186,719	166,256	17,635	1,006	1,231	591
Seven	187,516	105,892	63,419	10,084	5,450	2,671
Eight	194,245	110,803	55,879	19,958	4,523	3,082
Nine	201,505	117,128	20,915	57,440	2,818	3,204
Ten	199,617	172,561	21,787	1,956	2,375	938
Eleven	200,184	166,703	20,842	7,002	3,806	1,831
Twelve	187,686	166,572	13,861	4,024	2,467	762
Thirteen	194,647	172,826	13,930	5,424	1,724	743
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 1 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	184,841	34.5%	5.4%	
Two	183,593	31.2%	6.2%	59.4%	1.3%	1.9%	-4.4%
Three	186,748	38.6%	11.3%	47.4%	1.0%	1.7%	-2.8%
Four	194,158	46.7%	44.5%	5.4%	1.9%	1.5%	1.1%
Five	194,976	82.7%	13.6%	2.0%	1.0%	0.7%	1.5%
Six	186,719	89.0%	9.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	-2.8%
Seven	187,516	56.5%	33.8%	5.4%	2.9%	1.4%	-2.4%
Eight	194,245	57.0%	28.8%	10.3%	2.3%	1.6%	1.2%
Nine	201,505	58.1%	10.4%	28.5%	1.4%	1.6%	4.9%
Ten	199,617	86.4%	10.9%	1.0%	1.2%	0.5%	3.9%
Eleven	200,184	83.3%	10.4%	3.5%	1.9%	0.9%	4.2%
Twelve	187,686	88.8%	7.4%	2.1%	1.3%	0.4%	-2.3%
Thirteen	194,647	88.8%	7.2%	2.8%	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

**Population by Draft 2 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and More
			White	Black	Asian	
One	182,723	57,886	8,977	111,538	1,600	2,722
Two	183,141	61,067	9,192	107,791	1,829	3,262
Three	182,424	78,396	21,601	77,567	1,827	3,033
Four	184,031	80,550	79,193	17,483	3,859	2,946
Five	200,246	159,066	34,343	3,111	2,079	1,647
Six	183,537	163,224	17,511	994	1,231	577
Seven	191,311	111,045	64,435	8,408	5,166	2,257
Eight	199,142	114,447	51,663	25,643	4,158	3,231
Nine	200,274	117,215	23,637	52,846	3,253	3,323
Ten	201,352	171,524	23,623	2,554	2,505	1,146
Eleven	201,641	168,054	20,657	7,069	4,048	1,813
Twelve	201,457	178,220	14,925	4,727	2,761	824
Thirteen	185,156	163,165	13,794	5,919	1,525	753
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 2 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	182,723	31.7%	4.9%	
Two	183,141	33.3%	5.0%	58.9%	1.0%	1.8%	-4.6%
Three	182,424	43.0%	11.8%	42.5%	1.0%	1.7%	-5.0%
Four	184,031	43.8%	43.0%	9.5%	2.1%	1.6%	-4.2%
Five	200,246	79.4%	17.2%	1.6%	1.0%	0.8%	4.3%
Six	183,537	88.9%	9.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	-4.4%
Seven	191,311	58.0%	33.7%	4.4%	2.7%	1.2%	-0.4%
Eight	199,142	57.5%	25.9%	12.9%	2.1%	1.6%	3.7%
Nine	200,274	58.5%	11.8%	26.4%	1.6%	1.7%	4.3%
Ten	201,352	85.2%	11.7%	1.3%	1.2%	0.6%	4.9%
Eleven	201,641	83.3%	10.2%	3.5%	2.0%	0.9%	5.0%
Twelve	201,457	88.5%	7.4%	2.3%	1.4%	0.4%	4.9%
Thirteen	185,156	88.1%	7.4%	3.2%	0.8%	0.4%	-3.6%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

**Population by Draft 3 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and More
			White	Black	Asian	
One	184,841	63,815	9,901	106,785	1,683	2,657
Two	183,593	57,332	11,380	109,068	2,307	3,506
Three	186,748	72,103	21,064	88,483	1,840	3,258
Four	194,158	90,630	86,342	10,542	3,722	2,922
Five	194,976	161,238	26,596	3,878	1,895	1,369
Six	186,719	166,256	17,635	1,006	1,231	591
Seven	200,166	113,727	67,235	10,451	5,925	2,828
Eight	200,823	116,045	56,631	20,695	4,254	3,198
Nine	182,680	103,144	16,874	57,205	2,498	2,959
Ten	199,617	172,561	21,787	1,956	2,375	938
Eleven	199,781	167,610	20,315	6,133	3,920	1,803
Twelve	187,686	166,572	13,861	4,024	2,467	762
Thirteen	194,647	172,826	13,930	5,424	1,724	743
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 3 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	184,841	34.5%	5.4%	
Two	183,593	31.2%	6.2%	59.4%	1.3%	1.9%	-4.4%
Three	186,748	38.6%	11.3%	47.4%	1.0%	1.7%	-2.8%
Four	194,158	46.7%	44.5%	5.4%	1.9%	1.5%	1.1%
Five	194,976	82.7%	13.6%	2.0%	1.0%	0.7%	1.5%
Six	186,719	89.0%	9.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	-2.8%
Seven	200,166	56.8%	33.6%	5.2%	3.0%	1.4%	4.2%
Eight	200,823	57.8%	28.2%	10.3%	2.1%	1.6%	4.6%
Nine	182,680	56.5%	9.2%	31.3%	1.4%	1.6%	-4.9%
Ten	199,617	86.4%	10.9%	1.0%	1.2%	0.5%	3.9%
Eleven	199,781	83.9%	10.2%	3.1%	2.0%	0.9%	4.0%
Twelve	187,686	88.8%	7.4%	2.1%	1.3%	0.4%	-2.3%
Thirteen	194,647	88.8%	7.2%	2.8%	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

**Population by Draft 04 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and More
			White	Black	Asian	
One	191,974	61,414	11,604	114,104	1,890	2,962
Two	191,906	59,609	14,346	111,504	2,679	3,768
Three	191,124	79,357	23,506	83,155	1,888	3,218
Four	192,025	89,598	89,069	6,892	3,611	2,855
Five	191,447	168,568	17,613	2,773	1,554	939
Six	192,276	168,849	19,356	2,287	1,169	615
Seven	191,921	94,475	78,984	9,805	5,909	2,748
Eight	191,989	133,376	36,370	15,807	3,653	2,783
Nine	195,002	101,556	24,639	62,193	3,128	3,486
Ten	191,828	165,504	20,228	2,581	2,501	1,014
Eleven	191,596	160,529	19,919	5,862	3,654	1,632
Twelve	191,234	170,852	13,811	3,237	2,553	781
Thirteen	192,113	170,172	14,106	5,450	1,652	733
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 04 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	191,974	32.0%	6.0%	
Two	191,906	31.1%	7.5%	58.1%	1.4%	2.0%	-0.1%
Three	191,124	41.5%	12.3%	43.5%	1.0%	1.7%	-0.5%
Four	192,025	46.7%	46.4%	3.6%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Five	191,447	88.0%	9.2%	1.4%	0.8%	0.5%	-0.3%
Six	192,276	87.8%	10.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%
Seven	191,921	49.2%	41.2%	5.1%	3.1%	1.4%	-0.1%
Eight	191,989	69.5%	18.9%	8.2%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%
Nine	195,002	52.1%	12.6%	31.9%	1.6%	1.8%	1.5%
Ten	191,828	86.3%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	0.5%	-0.1%
Eleven	191,596	83.8%	10.4%	3.1%	1.9%	0.9%	-0.2%
Twelve	191,234	89.3%	7.2%	1.7%	1.3%	0.4%	-0.4%
Thirteen	192,113	88.6%	7.3%	2.8%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

**Population by Draft 5 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and More
			White	Black	Asian	
One	183,289	61,344	9,239	108,355	1,638	2,713
Two	182,563	66,301	9,266	101,940	1,827	3,229
Three	182,513	71,472	19,471	86,879	1,633	3,058
Four	185,362	81,100	80,577	16,831	3,922	2,932
Five	201,378	158,377	34,960	4,096	2,228	1,717
Six	186,620	166,113	17,665	1,025	1,233	584
Seven	200,157	116,095	67,349	8,786	5,487	2,440
Eight	201,331	114,335	52,594	26,889	4,166	3,347
Nine	189,977	112,102	20,372	51,327	3,074	3,102
Ten	201,628	172,667	23,180	2,351	2,360	1,070
Eleven	200,627	167,086	20,520	7,167	4,043	1,811
Twelve	198,354	175,309	14,743	4,727	2,755	820
Thirteen	182,636	161,558	13,615	5,277	1,475	711
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 5 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	183,289	33.5%	5.0%	
Two	182,563	36.3%	5.1%	55.8%	1.0%	1.8%	-4.9%
Three	182,513	39.2%	10.7%	47.6%	0.9%	1.7%	-5.0%
Four	185,362	43.8%	43.5%	9.1%	2.1%	1.6%	-3.5%
Five	201,378	78.6%	17.4%	2.0%	1.1%	0.9%	4.9%
Six	186,620	89.0%	9.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	-2.8%
Seven	200,157	58.0%	33.6%	4.4%	2.7%	1.2%	4.2%
Eight	201,331	56.8%	26.1%	13.4%	2.1%	1.7%	4.8%
Nine	189,977	59.0%	10.7%	27.0%	1.6%	1.6%	-1.1%
Ten	201,628	85.6%	11.5%	1.2%	1.2%	0.5%	5.0%
Eleven	200,627	83.3%	10.2%	3.6%	2.0%	0.9%	4.5%
Twelve	198,354	88.4%	7.4%	2.4%	1.4%	0.4%	3.3%
Thirteen	182,636	88.5%	7.5%	2.9%	0.8%	0.4%	-4.9%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

**Population by Draft 6 Commission District
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic			
			One Race			Other and Two and More
			White	Black	Asian	More
One	183,289	61,344	9,239	108,355	1,638	2,713
Two	182,563	66,301	9,266	101,940	1,827	3,229
Three	182,513	71,472	19,471	86,879	1,633	3,058
Four	185,362	81,100	80,577	16,831	3,922	2,932
Five	201,378	158,377	34,960	4,096	2,228	1,717
Six	186,620	166,113	17,665	1,025	1,233	584
Seven	200,157	116,095	67,349	8,786	5,487	2,440
Eight	201,331	114,335	52,594	26,889	4,166	3,347
Nine	189,977	112,102	20,372	51,327	3,074	3,102
Ten	201,317	173,020	22,400	2,341	2,509	1,047
Eleven	200,938	166,733	21,300	7,177	3,894	1,834
Twelve	198,354	175,309	14,743	4,727	2,755	820
Thirteen	182,636	161,558	13,615	5,277	1,475	711
Total	2,496,435	1,623,859	383,551	425,650	35,841	27,534

**Population by Draft 6 Commission District (Percent Table)
Miami-Dade County 2010**

Commission District	Total Population	Hispanic	One Race				Deviation
			White	Black	Asian	Other	
			One	183,289	33.5%	5.0%	
Two	182,563	36.3%	5.1%	55.8%	1.0%	1.8%	-4.9%
Three	182,513	39.2%	10.7%	47.6%	0.9%	1.7%	-5.0%
Four	185,362	43.8%	43.5%	9.1%	2.1%	1.6%	-3.5%
Five	201,378	78.6%	17.4%	2.0%	1.1%	0.9%	4.9%
Six	186,620	89.0%	9.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	-2.8%
Seven	200,157	58.0%	33.6%	4.4%	2.7%	1.2%	4.2%
Eight	201,331	56.8%	26.1%	13.4%	2.1%	1.7%	4.8%
Nine	189,977	59.0%	10.7%	27.0%	1.6%	1.6%	-1.1%
Ten	201,317	85.9%	11.1%	1.2%	1.2%	0.5%	4.8%
Eleven	200,938	83.0%	10.6%	3.6%	1.9%	0.9%	4.6%
Twelve	198,354	88.4%	7.4%	2.4%	1.4%	0.4%	3.3%
Thirteen	182,636	88.5%	7.5%	2.9%	0.8%	0.4%	-4.9%
Total	2,496,435	65.0%	15.4%	17.1%	1.4%	1.1%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census 2010. Redistricting File P.L. 94 - 171, Block Level Data assign to Commission Districts.

