

OFFICE OF COMMUNITY
ADVOCACY
 OFFICE OF THE CHAIR
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Constant Contact Survey Results

Survey Name: FPL Issues of Concern

Filter: None

Should FPL build the two new nuclear reactors or develop an Eco-Friendly electricity production plant?				
Answer	0%	100%	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Nuclear Reactors			15	11.1 %
Eco-Friendly			109	80.7 %
Other			13	9.6 %
Totals			135	100%

Comment(s) for:

Should FPL build the two new nuclear reactors or develop an Eco-Friendly electricity production plant?

29 Responses

Answer

1. It's time to move into the 21 st century.
2. Sea level rise. This is no longer a land area for development of reactors. Learn from Japan.
3. POWER from GARBAGE.
4. You loaded this question. I know the answer you want so won't answer at all.
Have to know more facts before answering
5. As long as it is not right near residential or commercial areas. Malls etc. I do not know enough about nuclear reactors. But worry about falling into wrong hands or being attacked by terrorists or mishandled and errors by people working the reactors. Lots of safety features to shut off if threatened or be able to contain explosions etc. non-threatening to people. This is worrisome. Need to let people know what nuclear reactors are and how they affect their lives negatively. The truth.
6. Wind and solar!!!
7. "Eco-Friendly" is code for "We'll build what we want and put a label on it to make it more palpable."
8. Nuclear is eco-friendly when done right....
9. Do like England and use the ocean waves to produce electricity.
10. "Eco-Friendly" can mean many things, or indeed anything, but ecofriendly is the way to go.
11. Keeping the existing plant with renovations and safety upgrades would be cost-efficient. Adding solar arrays would both enhance the current energy output and will likely prove to surpass it.
12. In fifty years, this section of Florida may well be swamp land again. Putting another reactor here will be like putting one in the ocean. Silly.
13. FPL should be moving towards a more eco-conscious electrical production. Having a nuclear reactor next to a bay of water that Miamians depend is risky. Plus that is not including how close it is to our homes.
14. better than nuclear reactor in my opinion

15. Considering the problems FPL has with the existing nuclear reactors, the cooling canals, and the contamination of Biscayne Bay, FPL must desist of building more nuclear reactors at Turkey Point.
16. Never forget what happen in Japan.
17. Sure, as long as my bill does not increase.
18. Keep currently used reactors, securing and enhancing safety, and new energy to be obtained from eco-friendly resources.
19. They should be concerned and correct the underground leaks that are occurring today and are contaminating our canals and are a threat to our marine life as well as our drinking water. Furthermore they should allow for other to be involved with solar production
20. It Can Better the Power Outages.
21. If we didn't learn lessons from the past, we are doomed to repeat mistakes. How much longer are we planning on keeping our heads in the sand?
22. No they should not be allow to build, because what they only care is business. Even that we have less costly electricity from the rest of nation. For years they have become an empire. What should be allow to have competition like the phone Companies to have option they have a monopoly they do whatever they want.
23. Given current trouble with the cooling canal system at Turkey Point and the growing concern about global warming and sea level rise FPL needs to seriously consider the relocation of the energy producing capacity of the Turkey Point power plant to a location that is less threatened by sea level rise and where public drinking water supplies are not compromise by their operations as they is currently occurring with the cooling canal system.
24. What they should do is help residence that have been a subject of fraudulent billing.
25. We have enough concerns in South Florida with the Turkey Point nuclear reactor which is not up to EPA standards. With the challenges we face in South Florida sea levels and our water supply in jeopardy-why should we build more nuclear reactors?
26. Nuclear reactors in this country have been tested and running for decades, they say to be clean and safe. Each FPL employees at Turkey Point, has a radioactivity meter and they have weekly safety courses.
Generating energy for a city takes a lot of fuel, I think nuclear is most efficient at this time.
27. If an Eco-friendly electricity production plant can be built and deliver equal or better service, why wouldn't we make the change. With the risk of lead and other pollutants already a concern in other communities, if just seems like the right thing to do. Accidents happen and the risk is too big.

28. There is big concern about what's currently going on within Turkey Point. There should be addressing those issues.

<http://www.miaminewtimes.com/news/turkey-point-nuclear-plant-is-pumping-polluted-water-into-biscayne-bay-8304252>

So, before they go creating more nuclear reactors they should figure out how to fix their current one.

29. Let's not add to Global warming.

I choose life!

Thanks, S

Other answer(s) for:

Should FPL build the two new nuclear reactors or develop an Eco-Friendly electricity production plant?

13 Responses

Answer

1. Stop building at Turkey Point - no more expansion
2. Natural gas
3. underground power
4. build a fusion reactor
5. Solar
6. none
7. Solar
8. higher ground for future
9. Sure, as long as my bill does not increase.
10. Concerned about Contamination by Radioactivity
11. Solar
12. Relocate plant
13. Fix current issues with Turkey Point.