



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

March 5, 2013

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
1F1 130091	SUNSET REVIEW OF COUNTY BOARDS FOR 2013 - FILM AND ENTERTAINMENT ADVISORY BOARD
Notes	<p>Board Description To advise the Mayor and members of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on issues related to the growth and economic viability of the film, television, commercial advertising and still photography production industries in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Quorum (1/1/11 through 12/31/12) Number of meetings: 11; Number of meetings with quorum: 11</p> <p>Composition: Seventeen (17) members; <i>Current number of vacancies: 2</i></p> <p>Total Costs: FY 2011 and FY 2012 total \$1,000.</p> <p>Funding Source: General Fund</p> <p>Additional Information <i>On November 14, 2000, under Ordinance No. 00-140, the BCC amended Section 2-11.14.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to change the name of the Film, Print and Broadcast Advisory Board and to reflect the transferral of the County's Film and Entertainment Office to the Mayor's office, to provide more inclusive qualifications requirements; and change the meeting and reporting requirements. Ordinance No. 00-140 also amends the composition of the Board to 17 members.</i></p> <p>Furthermore, this Sunset Review report, dated November 26, 2012, states that there are two vacancies; however, on February 20, 2013, District 11 made an appointment to this Board.</p>
1F2 130117	SUNSET REVIEW OF COUNTY BOARDS FOR 2013 – Kendale Lakes Landscape Maintenance Special Taxing District
Notes	<p>Board Description To formulate and recommend plans and programs for the maintenance of certain common and green areas, within the kendale lakes community.</p> <p>Quorum (1/1/11 through 12/31/12) Number of meetings: 10; Number of meetings with quorum:7</p> <p>Composition: Seven (7) members; <i>Currently there are no vacancies.</i></p> <p>Total Cost: None listed. Administrative cost paid by a portion of the Special Landscape Multipurpose Assessment taxed to the County.</p> <p>Funding Source: Special Assessment Fee</p>
4A 130308	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ANIMALS; CREATING REGULATIONS FOR GUARD DOGS; CREATING SECTION 5-13.1 AND AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING AUTHORITY FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates regulations for guard dogs, Section 5-13.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, and provides for civil penalties. Section 8CC-10 of the Code is amended to reflect the amounts of the civil penalties once this item is approved.</p> <p>Additional Notes According to the proposed ordinance, guard dog companies and owners must register and receive a license for each guard dog patrolling a non-residential property. Registrations must be renewed annually and guard dogs must be checked by a veterinarian every six months to determine if the animal is healthy and physically fit to perform the duties of a guard dog.</p> <p>Similar ordinances have passed in Broward and Palm Beach counties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Broward County: Guard Dogs - Section 4-13</u> A guard dog is a dog trained to defend, patrol or protect the property of a commercial establishment. All service companies that train, sell, rent, lease or loan guard dogs to businesses are required to have their dogs specially registered with Broward County Animal Care as a guard dog. There are also special requirements regarding the use, transporting and containment of such dogs. • <u>Palm Beach County:</u> On March 15, 2011, the Palm Beach Board of County Commissioners, through Ordinance 2011-005, amended Chapter 4 to require all guard dogs services and individuals using guard dogs to obtain a permit, comply with registration requirements, and to provide guard dogs with a humane existence.
4B 130341	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-253 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PERMIT PARKING LOTS IN THE SPECIAL BUSINESS (BU-2) ZONE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-253, Uses Permitted in the BU-2 Special Business District, of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Zoning Code), to permit parking lots in the Special Business (BU-2) zoning district.</p> <p><u>BU-2 Special Business Zoning District</u> Currently, automobile parking lots are not permitted in the County's Special Business (BU-2) zoning district; however, automobile parking</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>garages are a permitted use.</p> <p>The BU-2 Special Business zoning district allows for retail large scale commercial developments such as regional malls and office parks which serve the needs of large urban areas. All uses permitted in the BU-1, Neighborhood Business, and BU-1A, Limited Business Use, zoning districts are allowed, except for residential uses.</p> <p>Additional Information According to RER, the parking lots referenced in this Zoning Code amendment are those that are non-commercial (do not charge for parking) that can happen as the primary use of a property. In other words, one could own a property in BU-2 and have a non-commercial parking lot as the use of the property rather than, for example, a retail store.</p> <p>The Zoning Code, under Section 33-259, currently allows parking lots (non-commercial and commercial) as primary use in IU-1 (Industrial, Light Manufacturing) zoning district. The Zoning Code, under Section 33-253, also allows non-commercial parking garages as primary use in BU-2 but not parking lots. The amendment would provide for non-commercial parking lots in addition to the parking garages as primary use in BU-2.</p> <p>The Zoning Code does not provide for non-commercial parking lots or garages in the BU-1 or BU-1A zoning districts.</p>
4C 130347	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-1800A OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA REGARDING PUBLIC MEETINGS HELD PRIOR TO ADOPTION OF THE COUNTY'S ANNUAL BUDGET WHEN NEW OR INCREASED TAXES OR FEES ARE PROPOSED; MODIFYING WHEN SUCH MEETINGS SHALL BE HELD; PROVIDING THAT PUBLIC MEETINGS SHALL BE HELD NOT ONLY DURING THE ANNUAL BUDGET PROCESS BUT THEY SHALL ALSO BE HELD WHENEVER NEW OR CERTAIN INCREASED TAXES OR FEES ARE PROPOSED AT ANY TIME OF THE FISCAL YEAR; PROVIDING FOR SCHEDULING OF SUCH PUBLIC MEETINGS AND ANY COUNTY COMMISSION AGENDA ITEMS FOLLOWING SUCH MEETINGS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1800a, Public Meetings Regarding New or Increased Taxes or Fees, of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), regarding public meetings held prior to adoption of the County's annual budget when new or increased taxes or fees are proposed. The Code amendment does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modifies when such meetings are held; • Provides that public meetings will be held not only during the annual budget process but also when new or certain increased taxes or fees are proposed at any time of the fiscal year, including but not limited to professional sports taxes; and • Provides for scheduling of such public meetings and any County commission agenda items following such meetings. <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. 1018-94, as codified by Ordinance No. 11-45, six (6) public meetings are held annually in various locations within the County after the proposed County budget and accompanying budget ordinances are presented, but prior to the adoption of the budget.</p>
4D 130346	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1, 33-14, 33-14.1 AND 33-243 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REAUTHORIZING AND AMENDING THE PROVISIONS REGULATING MOBILE SALES AND MOBILE FOOD SERVICE OPERATIONS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sections 33-1, 33-14, 33-14.1 and 33-243 of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Zoning Code), reauthorizing and amending the provisions regulating mobile sales and mobile food service operations.</p> <p>This item includes the Sunset Review Report for Mobile Sales and Food Services (Report), providing for the information requested by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), in order to consider the reauthorization of Ordinance No. 11-92.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On November 15, 2011, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 11-92, providing for the operation of mobile sales and mobile food service operations (collectively Mobile Operations) on improved private property in the commercial, industrial zoning districts as well as limited properties in the residential zoning districts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ordinance No. 11-92 contained a sunset provision which indicated that the regulations shall stand repealed 18 months after adoption unless reauthorized by the BCC. • In addition, under Ordinance No. 11-92, the BCC directed the administration to compile a Report on the implementation of the regulations to inform the BCC's decision. <p><u>Sunset Review Report for Mobile Sales and Food Services Recommendations for Reauthorization</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend Ordinance 11-92 to remove the requirement for each mobile operation to obtain a Certificate of Use. • Limit the timeframe mobile operations may operate on churches, schools, museums and hospitals in residential districts to no more than once per week and not to exceed three consecutive days. • Simplify the regulations to remove the distinction between "traveling" and "stationary" operations. All mobile operations will be subject to the current regulations for "stationary" operations. • Amend the sign regulations to allow one detached sign not to exceed nine square feet within the authorized vending area. • Clarify that the total area dedicated to the mobile operation and vending area shall not exceed 600 square feet and shall not be located within required parking or landscaped areas. • Amend the definition for "mobile operations" to exclude lunch trucks (as regulated by Section 33-14 of the Code). To further distinguish the uses, amend Section 33-14 to clarify that lunch trucks are only permitted to make a "temporary stop" on private property while making a sale.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Additional Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On May 17, 2011, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 11-32 which provided for the operation of food truck round-ups (Mobile Food Service Special Events) in the commercial, industrial zoning districts as well as limited uses in the residential zoning districts. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ordinance No. 11-32 contained a sunset provision which indicated that the regulations shall stand repealed 18 months after adoption unless reauthorized by the Board. Further, at adoption, the Board directed the administration to compile a report on the implementation of the regulations within one year following the effective date. ○ The initial Sunset Review Report was distributed on June 19, 2012. Subsequently, an updated version (dated Sept. 2012) provided the information necessary to allow the Board to consider the reauthorization of BCC Ordinance No. 11-32. • On December 4, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-108, the BCC amended Section 33-13 of the Code, reauthorizing and amending the provisions regulating Mobile Food Service Operations Special Events.
5A 130316	ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ADOPTING FY 2011-12 END-OF-YEAR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS; RATIFYING AND APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDERS AND OTHER BOARD ACTIONS WHICH SET CHARGES AND PROVIDING FOR THEIR AMENDMENT; APPROPRIATING GRANT, DONATION AND CONTRIBUTION FUNDS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 130242]
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves and adopts FY 2011-12 end-of-year supplemental budget adjustments for various county departments and funds; • Ratifies and approves Implementing Orders and other Board actions which set charges and provides for their amendment; and • Appropriate grant, donation and contribution funds. <p>At the February 12, 2013 Finance Committee meeting, this item was amended to correct the Public Housing and Community Development budget supplement amount to \$6.805 million from \$1.45 million and to adjust the corresponding appropriation schedule accordingly.</p>
5C 130250	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SITE PLAN FOR GRATIGNY PLATEAU PARK, LOCATED AT 885 NW 117TH STREET, IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 33-303 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>District 2</p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the site plan for Gratigny Plateau Park, located at 885 NW 117th Street (approximately 0.96 acres), in compliance with Section 33-303, Exclusive Procedure, of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), which provides for the Governmental Facilities approval process.</p> <p>The Miami-Dade Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department (PROS) is proposing the development of a passive mini park.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> No Miami-Dade County funding is currently available for development of this park. The development of the park is planned as a donation by EDSA, Miller Construction Company and WPLG – Channel 10. Park amenities will be installed as items are donated, or as County funding becomes available. Future park operation and maintenance will rely on General Fund allocations, or a potential trust endowment.</p> <p><u>Project Description:</u> The park property is located at 885 NW 117th Street and consists of three (3) separate parcels situated in the middle of a single-family residential neighborhood, with sixteen (16) residential homes directly adjoining the park property. Paving materials which create a flower stem with leaves and petals design on park grounds delineate areas for activities, including learning play stations and other types of play structures. The park will also feature: animal sculptures; bicycle racks; four 7'7" high, 8.3 square foot face copy park rules signs and two 4'6" high, 15.9 square foot face copy park entry signs; litter bins; and benches. For enhanced safety, the applicant proposes an eight (8) foot high metal rail fence along the park's perimeter, except where the park fronts a street. Where the park fronts a street, a three (3) foot high aluminum post decorative fence with aluminum pickets is proposed.</p> <p><u>Highlights from the Government Facilities Hearing Application, GF12-04 Gratigny Plateau Park</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The park with its passive recreational opportunities is consistent with the CDMP and compatible with the adjoining neighborhood. • One of the conditions is that the proposed development will have LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) certified rating or similar organization. • The subject property is located within the Westside Wellfield protection area. The owner of the property has submitted a covenant, providing that hazardous materials will not be used, generated, handled, discharged, disposed of or stored on the subject property. • The applicant submitted a blank tree permit to the Tree Permitting Program for the removal of a non-specimen royal Poinciana tree that will be impacted by the proposed work. Therefore, this Program recommends approval of this application provided that no additional three resources are removed and/or relocated. • It is recommended that handicap access parking spaces be provided as part of the park development. <p>Additional Information Current zoning is RU-1, Single-Family Residential (7,500 sq. ft. net) - will the zoning for the area have to be amended? <i>According to Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) department, the zoning of the area does not have to be amended. The parcel(s) are zoned RU-1, which permits parks as a matter of right. The application before the BCC is for approval of the park's site plan, including requests for signage and an 8' high fence. These requests are sought through the County's Exclusive Procedure Sec. 33-303 rather than the zoning variance procedure. Sec. 33-303 is not a zoning process and allows for the consideration of public facilities by the BCC because of their impact and importance to the community.</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>The development of the park will be ongoing as funding becomes available. Permits have to be secured for all construction.</i></p> <p><u>Existing Area Parks:</u> According to PROS, Miami-Dade County has the following existing parks in the area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little River Park, 10525 NW 24 Avenue • Oak Grove Park, 690 NE 159 Street • Biscayne Shores and Gardens Park, 11525 NE 14 Avenue
5D 130302	<p>RESOLUTION DESIGNATING REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7090 CORAL WAY, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA 33155, FOLIO NUMBERS 30-4014-011-0010 AND 30-4014-022-0010, AS A BROWNFIELD AREA PURSUANT TO SECTION 376.80 (2) (A) OF FLORIDA STATUTES AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>District 6</p> <p>The proposed resolution designates real property located at 7090 Coral Way, as a Brownfield Area pursuant to section 376.80 (2) (a) of the Florida statutes, providing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designates the property as a Brownfield Area for rehabilitation, economic development, and environmental remediation in accordance with the intent of the Brownfield Redevelopment Act. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ However, such designation shall not render Miami-Dade County liable for the costs of site rehabilitation or source removal, as those terms are defined in Section 376.79, (17) and (18), Florida Statutes, or for any other costs above and beyond those costs attributable to Miami-Dade County's role in designating the property as a Brownfield Area. ○ The area will be formally referred to as the "Coral Terrace Brownfield Redevelopment Area." <p><i>According to application submitted by the property owner, Pan American Coral Terrace, Ltd. (Pan American) plans to construct approximately 200,000 square feet of retail and/or industrial space for a national big box chain retail store and five other tenants. The developer is projecting a total investment of \$30 million and at least 120 new permanent jobs. However, these outcomes are not a condition of the brownfield designation nor are they guaranteed.</i></p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> The Brownfields Redevelopment Act was created by the Florida Legislature and amended in 1998. Florida Statutes, Section 376.80(9), specifically authorizes and encourages the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) to enter into delegation agreements with local pollution control programs to administer the Brownfields Program, thereby integrating this process with other local development processes to facilitate redevelopment of a Brownfield area.</p> <p>According to the FDEP, a brownfield is any real property where the expansion, redevelopment or reuse is complicated by actual or perceived contamination. Miami-Dade County received delegation from the FDEP in 2000 to administer the Brownfields Program locally. In October 2010, the delegation agreement was renewed for another ten (10) years.</p> <p>Designated Brownfield Areas in Unincorporated Miami-Dade County</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Resolution No. 767-99 and Ordinance No. 99-85:</u> On July 13, 1999 the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approved Resolution No. 767-99 and Ordinance No. 99-85, designating as brownfield areas all unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County which are located within the Urban Development Boundary, Enterprise Zones, Enterprise Communities, Empowerment Zones, Developable Areas, Target Urban Areas, and unincorporated areas eligible for Community Development Block Grants (CDBG). <p>The twelve (12) Brownfield areas designated in 1999 included Carol City, Central Miami, Model City/Brownsville, Opa-Locka, Perrine, Redlands/Leisure City, Richmond Heights, South Dade, South Miami and Sweetwater (A)(B) and (C).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Resolution No. 559-03:</u> On May 20, 2003, under Resolution No. 559-03, the BCC designated Beacon Lakes as a brownfield area. • <u>Resolution 248-05:</u> The Dedicated Transportation area was designated as a brownfield area on March 1, 2005 via Resolution 248-05. • <u>Resolution No. 149-08 and Ordinance No. 08-19:</u> The incorporation of Culter Bay, Palmetto Bay and Miami Gardens, changed the boundaries of the brownfields under Miami-Dade County jurisdiction, and changes in the boundaries of the Enterprise Zones and CDBG-eligible areas, required Miami-Dade County to amend the map of brownfield areas to reflect these changes. On February 7, 2008, under Resolution No. 149-08 and Ordinance No. 08-19, the BCC amended the Miami-Dade County Map of designated brown field areas within certain unincorporated areas in Miami-Dade County. As a result, 25 additional areas within unincorporated Miami-Dade County were created, increasing the number of sites eligible to qualify for the economic incentives and benefits available for brownfield redevelopment. <p>If a property is located in a designated brownfield area, the property owner may be eligible for several financial incentives, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>2,500 Job Bonus Refund</u> for each new job created in a designated Brownfield area by an eligible business; • <u>Sales Tax Credit on building materials</u> purchased for the construction of an affordable housing project or mixed-use affordable housing

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																											
	<p>projects in a designated brownfield area; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>State Loan Guarantees</u> for primary lenders, up to 50% on all brownfield sites and up to 75% if the property is redeveloped as affordable housing, a health care facility or health care provider. <p>Additionally, if the property owner enters into a BSRA they will be eligible for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% Voluntary Cleanup Tax Credit (VCTC)* annually on all eligible costs; • 25% Additional VCTC on all eligible costs when cleanup is complete; and • 50% One-Time VCTC on eligible solid waste removal costs. <p><i>*The VCTC is applicable to Florida's corporate income tax.</i></p> <p><u>April 2012 Cycle Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) Application</u> The CDMP application will go before the BCC on March 6, 2013.</p> <p>Requested Amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • From: Business and Office (±2.4 Gross Acres) and Industrial and Office (±17.6 Gross Acres) To: Business and Office <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Department:</i> Transmit with Acceptance of the Proffered Declaration of Restrictions and Adopt (August 25, 2012) • <i>Westchester Community Council (10):</i> No Quorum (September 26, 2012) • <i>Planning Advisory Board:</i> Transmit with Acceptance of the Proffered Declaration of Restrictions and Adopt with the Condition that a Traffic Signal be Installed at the Corner of SW 70 Court and SW 24 Street (October 9, 2012) • <i>Board of County Commissioners:</i> Transmit with Acceptance of the Proffered Declaration (November 27, 2012) • <i>Final Action of the Planning Advisory Board:</i> Adopt with Acceptance of the Proffered Declaration of Restrictions (February 19, 2013) • <i>Final Action of the Board of County Commissioners:</i> To Be Determined (March 6, 2013) <p>Proffered Declaration of Restrictions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant proffered a Declaration of Restrictions (covenant) on July 24, 2012 in support the application. The covenant prohibits residential development on the property and limits non-residential development allowable under the requested —Business and Office designation to a maximum of 200,000 square feet. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Without the proffered covenant, the property could be developed with a maximum of 315,897 square feet of retail or 260 single-family attached units (townhouses) under the requested —Business and Office designation. • On February 26, 2013, a revised covenant was submitted to RER. <p>Opposition: <i>According to the RER there is no public opposition to this application on file.</i></p> <p>On-site Contamination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The application site has records of environmental contamination resulting from wood treating operations that previously occurred on the site and the site remains contaminated, to date. The site is required to undergo contamination remediation prior to the development and said remediation is under the jurisdiction of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (administered by the Environmental Resources Management Division) and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. • The Applicant has entered into a Consent Agreement with the County Department and has executed a Cleanup Agreement Document with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection that establish environmental requirements and/or contamination remediation procedures for the development of the site. <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Brownfield Areas in Commission District 6*</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Brownfield Area Name</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Brownfield's Total Acreage</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Approximate Acreage in District 6</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>City of Hialeah</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2986</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1335</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Central Miami</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4111</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3385</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fountainbleau</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coral Terrace</td> <td style="text-align: center;">317</td> <td style="text-align: center;">317</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Miami EZ Expansion</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4809</td> <td style="text-align: center;">76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sweetwater D</td> <td style="text-align: center;">995</td> <td style="text-align: center;">66</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">• Total Acres of Brownfield Areas in District 6:</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5220</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>*Information provided by Regulatory and Economic Resource Department (RER)</i></p>	Brownfield Areas in Commission District 6*			Brownfield Area Name	Brownfield's Total Acreage	Approximate Acreage in District 6	City of Hialeah	2986	1335	Central Miami	4111	3385	Fountainbleau	41	41	Coral Terrace	317	317	Miami EZ Expansion	4809	76	Sweetwater D	995	66	• Total Acres of Brownfield Areas in District 6:		5220
Brownfield Areas in Commission District 6*																												
Brownfield Area Name	Brownfield's Total Acreage	Approximate Acreage in District 6																										
City of Hialeah	2986	1335																										
Central Miami	4111	3385																										
Fountainbleau	41	41																										
Coral Terrace	317	317																										
Miami EZ Expansion	4809	76																										
Sweetwater D	995	66																										
• Total Acres of Brownfield Areas in District 6:		5220																										
5E 130297	RESOLUTION RELATING TO AN APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF MIAMI FOR A CLASS I PERMIT AND A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 24-48.24 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF NON-WATER-DEPENDENT CANOPIES OVER TIDAL WATERS IN MIAMARINA																											

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>AT BAYSIDE MARKETPLACE IN THE CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA</p> <p>Notes</p> <p>District 5</p> <p>The proposed resolution relating to an application by the City of Miami (City) for a Class I Permit and a variance from Section 24-48.24 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code) for the installation of non-water-dependent canopies over tidal waters in Miamarina at Bayside Marketplace, located at 401 Biscayne Boulevard, Miami.</p> <p>Non-water-dependent fixed structures are prohibited by Section 24-48.24 of the Code; therefore, the applicant is seeking a variance from this prohibition.</p> <p><u>Miami-Dade County Class I Permit</u> Section 24-48 of the Miami-Dade County Code requires that a Miami-Dade County Class I Permit be obtained prior to performing any work in, on, over or upon tidal waters or coastal wetlands of Miami-Dade County or of any of the municipalities located within Miami-Dade County. A Class I Permit is also required for most mangrove trimming, alteration or removal. This permit requirement applies to most work with the following exceptions found in the Class I application package.</p> <p>A Class I permit is needed to manage impacts from construction on coastal wetlands and tidal waters. Through the Class I Permit Application process, proposed projects are reviewed to identify environmental and other impacts. Before the permit is issued, Environmental Resources Management may require modification of the project to eliminate avoidable impacts and to minimize other impacts. Compensation (mitigation) is required for unavoidable impacts as part of the permit.</p> <p><u>Miamarina at Bayside Marketplace</u> The proposed project site is a commercial and recreational marina adjacent to the Bayside Marketplace. The marina is owned and operated by the City of Miami and the docking structures along the north and west sides of the marina are occupied by commercial vessels and rental boats. The marginal docks and adjacent walkways are used by the customers and employees of these operations as well as the general public.</p> <p>Miamarina is designed to accommodate yachtsmen and their vessels to 150' in deep water slips. The marina was completely rebuilt and reopened in 1997, and the 130 slips were constructed with vessel safety and comfort in mind. Dockage is available on a transient, or long-term basis, or commercial basis and water and electricity usage is included in the rates.</p> <p>Miamarina is situated within the Bayside Marketplace, an internationally flavored assortment of over 100 stores, shops, restaurants, and cafes. Our marina is located next door to the American Airlines Arena, home of the NBA's Miami Heat, and just a short distance from such local attractions as South Beach, Miami Children's Museum, Parrot Jungle Island, and the Miami Seaquarium. Each February, Miamarina is proud host to the Strictly Sail venue of the Miami International Boat Show, attracting visitors from around the world and featuring the latest sailboats, sailing yachts equipment, and merchandise.</p>
7A 130317	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE THAT NO MORE THAN THREE COUNTY QUESTIONS, INCLUDING STRAW BALLOT QUESTIONS AND COMMISSIONER-SPONSORED PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE HOME RULE CHARTER, SHALL APPEAR ON ANY GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT THAT INCLUDES A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sec 2-1 relating to the Rules of Procedure of the County Commission to provide that no more than three County questions, including straw ballot questions and commissioner-sponsored proposed amendments to the Home Rule Charter, appear on any general election ballot that includes a presidential election.</p> <p>Rule 9.03.05 County ballot questions, including Home Rule Charter amendments and straw ballot questions. <i>The Board shall place no more than three (3) County questions on any general election ballot that includes a presidential election. For purposes of this rule, "County questions" shall mean straw ballot questions, commissioner-sponsored proposed amendments to the Home Rule Charter and any other questions related to the County that are proposed by the Board. This subsection shall not apply to amendments to the Home Rule Charter proposed by initiatory petition pursuant to section 9.07 of the Home Rule Charter.</i></p> <p>During the February 14, 2013 Economic Development and Port Miami Committee meeting this item was amended to include the following: Once the Board has voted to place three (3) County questions on any general election ballot that includes a presidential election, each additional County question may be placed on such a ballot only with an affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3rds) of those commissioners present.</p> <p>Additional Notes On January 23, 2013, the BCC, through R-53-13, urged the Florida Legislature to pass legislation during the 2013 session limiting the number of constitutional amendments the Florida Legislature proposes by joint resolution to no more than five questions for Presidential election ballots and the length of such proposed constitutional amendments to no more than 75 words for Presidential election ballots.</p> <p>Section 101.161, Florida Statutes, provides that the ballot summary of constitutional amendments will not exceed 75 words in length. During the 2011 session, the Florida Legislature passed seven (7) joint resolutions proposing constitutional amendments for placement on the November 2012 general election ballot.</p> <p>The following year during the 2012 session, the Florida Legislature passed an additional four (4) joint resolutions proposing constitutional</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p>amendments for placement on the November 2012 general election ballot, resulting in a total of eleven (11) proposed constitutional amendments on the November 2012 general election ballot.</p> <p>This statutory limitation to 75 words, however, does not apply to constitutional amendments that the Florida Legislature proposes by joint resolution, as section 101.161 expressly exempts the Legislature from this requirement. Of the eleven (11) amendments on the November 2012 ballot, only three (3) had a ballot summary that met the 75 word limit.</p> <p>Elections Advisory Group Final Report</p> <p>The Mayor created an Elections Advisory Group on November 7, 2012, which concluded in February 2013. The Elections Advisory Group focused mainly on what occurred during the November 6, 2012 Presidential Election and what should be done in future elections. The Group made an effort to assure that its recommendations could be considered by the BCC in time for the State legislative session as well as the County's budget process.</p> <p>The Group debated each recommendation extensively and voted to include the following critical priorities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expansion of Permissible Early Voting Sites • Early Voting Days • Referendum Proposed by Legislature Regarding Ballot Language (recommends limited the ballot language for constitutional amendments to a maximum of 15 words for title and 75 word for ballot summary) • Ballot Canvassing • Discontinue Absentee Ballot Term 															
7B 130325	ORDINANCE REQUIRING SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ALLOW REGISTERED ELECTORS TO PICK UP AND RETURN ABSENTEE BALLOTS ON THE SUNDAY AND MONDAY PRIOR TO ANY PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION; CREATING SECTION 12-14.1 OF THE CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE															
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance requires the Supervisor of Elections to allow registered electors to pick up or return absentee ballots on the Sunday and Monday prior to any presidential election.</p> <p>During the February 14, 2013 Economic Development and Port Miami Committee meeting the item was amended to provide for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the extent otherwise permitted by and consistent with the requirements Florida law, the Miami-Dade County Supervisor of Elections with afford registered electors the opportunity to pick up and return absentee ballots on the Sunday and Monday immediately preceding the Election Day of a Presidential Election for any day during which Early Voting is not offered by the Supervisor of Elections. <p>Recent Absentee Ballot Legislative History</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #fce4d6;">Date</th> <th style="background-color: #fce4d6;">Legislation</th> <th style="background-color: #fce4d6;">Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>December 06, 2011 Ord. 11-93</td> <td>ORDINANCE REGARDING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; AMENDING SECTION 12-14 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING LIMITS ON PICKING UP AND/OR RETURNING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 112056)</td> <td>This ordinance amended Section 12-14 (Absentee Ballots) of the Code of Miami Dade County to include the following penalty: Any person picking up and/or returning absentee ballots in violation of the provisions of this subsection shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court having jurisdiction over the cause.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>November 3, 2011 R-940-11</td> <td>RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LEGISLATION MAKING IT A THIRD-DEGREE FELONY TO RETRIEVE OR RETURN MORE THAN ONE ABSENTEE BALLOT FROM A NON-RELATIVE; ALTERNATIVELY URGING THE LEGISLATURE TO MAKE IT A THIRD DEGREE FELONY TO VIOLATE ANY LOCAL ELECTION LAW</td> <td>This resolution urges the Florida Legislature to enact legislation making it a third-degree felony to retrieve or return more than one absentee ballot from a non-relative; alternatively urges the Legislature to make it a third degree felony to violate any local election law regarding absentee ballots.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 24, 2012 R-66-12</td> <td>This resolution directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to waive any fees established pursuant to the Public Records Law or any other similar fee for electors seeking to review their own absentee ballot.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>The Board further directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to take all reasonable steps to provide information or direction to electors who have been the victim of improper or criminal activity with regards to their absentee ballots to allow them to legally cast a vote and report any criminal activities to the appropriate authorities for further investigation and potential</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Legislation	Comments	December 06, 2011 Ord. 11-93	ORDINANCE REGARDING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; AMENDING SECTION 12-14 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING LIMITS ON PICKING UP AND/OR RETURNING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 112056)	This ordinance amended Section 12-14 (Absentee Ballots) of the Code of Miami Dade County to include the following penalty: Any person picking up and/or returning absentee ballots in violation of the provisions of this subsection shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court having jurisdiction over the cause.	November 3, 2011 R-940-11	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LEGISLATION MAKING IT A THIRD-DEGREE FELONY TO RETRIEVE OR RETURN MORE THAN ONE ABSENTEE BALLOT FROM A NON-RELATIVE; ALTERNATIVELY URGING THE LEGISLATURE TO MAKE IT A THIRD DEGREE FELONY TO VIOLATE ANY LOCAL ELECTION LAW	This resolution urges the Florida Legislature to enact legislation making it a third-degree felony to retrieve or return more than one absentee ballot from a non-relative; alternatively urges the Legislature to make it a third degree felony to violate any local election law regarding absentee ballots.	January 24, 2012 R-66-12	This resolution directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to waive any fees established pursuant to the Public Records Law or any other similar fee for electors seeking to review their own absentee ballot.			The Board further directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to take all reasonable steps to provide information or direction to electors who have been the victim of improper or criminal activity with regards to their absentee ballots to allow them to legally cast a vote and report any criminal activities to the appropriate authorities for further investigation and potential	
Date	Legislation	Comments														
December 06, 2011 Ord. 11-93	ORDINANCE REGARDING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; AMENDING SECTION 12-14 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING LIMITS ON PICKING UP AND/OR RETURNING ABSENTEE BALLOTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 112056)	This ordinance amended Section 12-14 (Absentee Ballots) of the Code of Miami Dade County to include the following penalty: Any person picking up and/or returning absentee ballots in violation of the provisions of this subsection shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, in the discretion of the court having jurisdiction over the cause.														
November 3, 2011 R-940-11	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LEGISLATION MAKING IT A THIRD-DEGREE FELONY TO RETRIEVE OR RETURN MORE THAN ONE ABSENTEE BALLOT FROM A NON-RELATIVE; ALTERNATIVELY URGING THE LEGISLATURE TO MAKE IT A THIRD DEGREE FELONY TO VIOLATE ANY LOCAL ELECTION LAW	This resolution urges the Florida Legislature to enact legislation making it a third-degree felony to retrieve or return more than one absentee ballot from a non-relative; alternatively urges the Legislature to make it a third degree felony to violate any local election law regarding absentee ballots.														
January 24, 2012 R-66-12	This resolution directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to waive any fees established pursuant to the Public Records Law or any other similar fee for electors seeking to review their own absentee ballot.															
	The Board further directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to take all reasonable steps to provide information or direction to electors who have been the victim of improper or criminal activity with regards to their absentee ballots to allow them to legally cast a vote and report any criminal activities to the appropriate authorities for further investigation and potential															

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		prosecution.	
	September 6, 2012 R-731-12	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE ABSENTEE BALLOT FRAUD TASK FORCE RECENTLY CREATED BY THE MIAMI-DADE STATE ATTORNEY AND REQUESTING THAT THE TASK FORCE CONSIDER CERTAIN COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEASURES; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO WORK COOPERATIVELY WITH AND FULLY SUPPORT THE TASK FORCE'S WORK	
	January 23, 2013 Ord. 13-05	ORDINANCE CREATING REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL CANDIDATES REGARDING PAID AND VOLUNTEER CAMPAIGN WORKERS PARTICIPATING IN ABSENTEE BALLOT RELATED CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO REPORT AND FOR OTHER VIOLATIONS OF ABSENTEE BALLOT LAWS; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST; CREATING SECTION 12-14.1 OF THE CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 121737]	This ordinance created Sec. 12-14.1. Absentee Ballot Campaigning Reporting Requirement.
	February 5, 2013 R-118-13	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT DURING THE 2013 SESSION THE STATE LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GRAND JURY REPORT ON ABSENTEE BALLOT FRAUD TO THE EXTENT NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE BOARD'S PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED 2013 STATE LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE; FURTHER URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO AMEND STATE ELECTIONS LAW TO ALLOW SUPERVISORS OF ELECTIONS TO CONTACT AN ELECTOR IF THE ELECTOR RETURNS AN ABSENTEE BALLOT WITHOUT A SIGNATURE ON THE ENVELOPE OR IF THE SIGNATURE DOES NOT MATCH THE REGISTERED SIGNATURE ON FILE	The County urged the Florida Legislature during the 2013 session to enact the state legislative recommendations of the Final Report of the Grand Jury on Absentee Ballot Fraud filed December 19, 2012.
7C 130167	ORDINANCE REGARDING RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE; AMENDING COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. 12-92; DEFINING CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS; RE-ESTABLISHING A CONSENT AGENDA SECTION OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION AGENDA; ESTABLISHING AN EXCEPTION TO COMMITTEE REVIEW FOR CERTAIN CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS; CREATING SECTION 16 OF THE BOARD AGENDA PERTAINING TO ITEMS TO BE HEARD AT THURSDAY COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 130062]		
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1, Rules of Procedure of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p><i>This item differs from the original in that the item changes the numbering associated with consent agenda items, so that Commission items will be numbered 3(a) and departmental items will be 3(b).</i></p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On October 23, 2012, the Board of County Commissioners (Board) adopted Ordinance No. 12-92 amending the Rules of Procedure to delete the consent agenda section of the Board's agenda, adding an exception to the committee review requirement for items approving or ratifying submittal of grant applications, and deleting the exception to the committee review requirement for consent agenda items.</p>		
7D 122360	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 24-49 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO EXEMPTIONS FROM TREE REMOVAL PERMITS; AMENDING SECTION 24-49.4 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR TREE REMOVAL; AMENDING SECTION 24-49.9 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO PROHIBITED PLANT SPECIES AND VARIANCES THERETO; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)		
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends the following sections of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 24-49, relating to exemptions from Tree Removal Permits; • Section 24-49.4, relating to tree canopy replacement requirements; and • Section 24-49.9, relating to prohibited plant species and variance request procedures. <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> <i>According to the department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), since 2008,DERM has not charged permit fees for tree removal permits for exotic/pest trees. And since then, only a few such permits have been issued; therefore, the proposed ordinance which increases the number of exotic pest tree species that can be removed without a Miami-Dade County tree removal permit will have a minimal fiscal impact on Miami-Dade County.</i></p>		
AMENDMENT HIGHLIGHTS			
Comparison of Miami-Dade County Current Code and Proposed Amendments			
<i>Tree Preservation and Protection Section of Chapter 24 of the Code</i>			
<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Comments on Proposed Amendments</u>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>Sec. 24-49(2)</p> <p>Permits for Tree Removal and Relocation, Improperly Issued Permits, Violation of Permit Conditions, Exemptions from Tree Removal Permits; Mortgagee Exemption from Liability</p>	<p>No municipal or County official shall issue a tree removal permit that does not comply with the provisions of this article. Any such permit shall be void.</p>	<p>No municipal or County official shall issue a tree removal permit that does not comply with the provisions of this article. Any such permit shall be void. A municipality shall not be limited from requiring tree removal permits for species exempt from tree removal permits required by this section, provided the tree is not within a natural forest community.</p>	<p><i>Removes the word "article" and replaces it with "section".</i></p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance includes a specific provision to insure that municipalities are not limited from requiring tree removal permits for species exempt from tree removal permits under county law if they so choose. This ordinance is also not intended to be preemptive of more restrictive municipal tree protection codes or to affect municipalities' authority to issue tree removal permits.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 24-49(4)(c)</p> <p>Permits for Tree Removal and Relocation, Improperly Issued Permits, Violation of Permit Conditions, Exemptions from Tree Removal Permits; Mortgagee Exemption from Liability</p>	<p>The following activities are exempt from tree removal permits: (c) Removal of any dead tree.</p>	<p>The following activities are exempt from tree removal permits: (c) Removal of any dead tree, provided the tree is not within a natural forest community.</p>	<p><i>Amends section of the Code to exempt the removal of a dead tree if it is not within a natural forest community.</i></p> <p><i>Pursuant to Ordinance 84-34 and Resolution No. 1764-84, Natural Forest Communities are defined as environmentally sensitive forestlands.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 24-49(4)(f)</p> <p>Permits for Tree Removal and Relocation, Improperly Issued Permits, Violation of Permit Conditions, Exemptions from Tree Removal Permits; Mortgagee Exemption from Liability</p>	<p><i>Chapter 24-49 of the Code includes a list of pest tree species that do not require permits for removal. However, the current list does not include all known pest species and therefore requires updating. This ordinance updates and adds 19 tree species to the list of tree species set forth in Section 24-49(4)(f) of the Code that may be removed without a Miami-Dade County permit. This revised list incorporates the existing exotic pest plant species that are exempt from tree permitting with the invasive tree species listed in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) and the Miami-Dade County Landscape Manual.</i></p> <p><i>This ordinance expands the list of exotic pest trees that can be removed without a Miami-Dade County tree removal permit, provides for a uniform application of standards regulating exotic pest plant species in the County, expands the land categories where a no-fee permit can be issued to remove exotic species and allows greater flexibility in granting variances related to prohibited plant species.</i></p> <p><i>Finally, the ordinance expands the land categories where a no-fee permit can be issued for removing exotic species beyond Natural Forest Communities to include lands protected by a covenant running with the land, provided the covenant was proffered in favor of Miami-Dade County. This will allow staff to provide technical assistance to property owners managing such areas in accordance with the vegetation protection and maintenance provisions of Objective CON-8 of the CDMP.</i></p>		
	<p>Sec. 24-49.4(2)(f)(ii)(2)</p> <p>Replacement Requirement for Tree Removal</p>	<p><i>Step 6: Minimum standards for replacement trees.</i></p> <p>All category 2 replacement shade trees shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height at the time of planting and at maturity should have a canopy coverage of five hundred (500) square feet under normal growing conditions.</p>	<p><i>Step 6: Minimum standards for replacement trees.</i></p> <p>All category 2 replacement shade trees shall be a minimum of eight (8) feet in height at the time of planting and at maturity should have a canopy coverage of three hundred (300) square feet under normal growing conditions.</p>	<p><i>Amends the canopy coverage under the minimum standards for replacement trees from 500 sq. ft. to 300 sq. ft.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 24-49.9(1)</p> <p>Prohibited Plant</p>	<p><i>In addition to the wording that has been amended, the title of this section is also amended from Prohibited Plant Species to Prohibited Plant Species and Controlled Plant Species.</i></p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	Species	<p><i>The proposed ordinance expands the list of exotic pest trees that can be removed without a Miami-Dade County tree removal permit, provides for a uniform application of standards regulating exotic pest plant species in the County, expands the land categories where a no-fee permit can be issued to remove exotic species and allows greater flexibility in granting variances related to prohibited plant species.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed amendments include a list of exotic pest plant and nuisance species, with scientific nomenclature that could not be presented here due to spacing. However, the list is provided immediately below this chart.</i></p> <p><i>Furthermore, Chapter 24-49 of the Code currently identifies exotic pest plant species that may not be sold, propagated, or planted in Miami-Dade County by reference to Policy 8I of the Conservation Element of the CDMP, which includes a list of exotic pest plant species. On May 6, 2009, the Board updated the CDMP's exotic pest plant species list under Application No. 19 of the April 2008 Cycle of Applications to Amend the CDMP. The proposed ordinance incorporates the updated CDMP list of exotic pest plant species, including controlled species as defined by the Miami-Dade County Landscape Manual, into Chapter 24.</i></p> <p><i>The ordinance also provides greater flexibility in the approval of variances relating to prohibited plant species by allowing historic designations and mitigation to be considered as part of the variance process as set forth in Section 24-49.9 of the Code. The ordinance also corrects an error in the narrative portion of the Code to match the table listing tree canopy replacement requirements.</i></p>	
	Sec. 24-49.9(3)(b) Prohibited Plant Species	<p>The Director may, in the Director's discretion, issue a variance from the provisions of this section based upon the following factors:</p> <p>(i) Proximity of the subject planting or propagation to any environmentally sensitive areas (e.g., wetlands, hammocks, pinelands, dunes).</p> <p>(ii) Lack of appropriate alternative plant species to fulfill the same purpose or purposes for planting.</p>	<p>(iii) The designation of a tree as a Historic Tree by the local Historic Preservation Board.</p> <p>(iv) Compensatory actions proposed to offset impacts for any adverse environmental impact or cumulative adverse environmental impact which may result from granting the variance.</p>
7E 122513	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 2-116 AND 2-116.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PERTAINING TO THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) EVALUATION AND APPRAISAL PROCESS AND CDMP AMENDMENT PROCEDURES; MODIFYING THE EVALUATION AND APPRAISAL PROCESS FOR UPDATING THE CDMP; MODIFYING THE SCHEDULE AND THE PROCEDURES FOR FILING AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS AND FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS CONDUCTED BY THE COMMUNITY COUNCILS, PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD ACTING AS THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY AND BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; PROVIDING APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NUMBER NO. 122395]		
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-116 and Section 2-116.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County regarding regulations guiding the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) review and amendment process.</p> <p><i>This item differs from the original in that it adds clarification to the voting requirements for amendments to restrictive covenants accepted in connection with a Comprehensive Development Master Plan amendment for Developments of Regional Impact and Military Base Reuse Plans. This change will provide consistency with the voting requirements adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on October 2, 2012 under Ordinance 12-81.</i></p> <p><i>According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), the Development Process Advisory Group is composed of members from the Building Associations and the development community and their representatives. They meet with RER staff on a quarterly basis on all issues pertaining to development. In addition, the Department held public meetings for both the zoning improvement and a separate item with planning process improvements.</i></p>		
8A1 130318	RESOLUTION RELATING TO MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; APPROVING THE "MIA BUSINESS VENTURE PROGRAM" AND AUTHORIZING THE AVIATION DEPARTMENT TO PROVIDE AIRPORT CONSULTING SERVICES TO FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC AIRPORTS OR THEIR CONTRACTORS THROUGH A CONSULTING SERVICES CORPORATION CREATED UNDER SECTION 125.012(26) OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO TAKE ALL STEPS NECESSARY TO CREATE SUCH CORPORATION AND THEREAFTER COMPLY WITH ALL OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED ON SUCH CORPORATION BY LAW OR BY AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE CORPORATION AND SUCH AIRPORTS OR THEIR CONTRACTORS		
Notes	This resolution approves the Miami International Airport Business Venture (MIA BV) program and authorizes the creation of a Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) consulting services corporation to provide <i>advisory, monitoring, supervisory and management services</i> to		

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>domestic and/or foreign airports or their contractors.</p> <p><i>During the February 15, 2013 Transportation and Aviation Committee the item was amended to include a provision requiring an annual report with an income statement and a balance sheet to be submitted to the BCC.</i></p> <p>Fiscal Impact The MIA BV program will produce revenue for the County's Airport System estimated at \$300,000 to \$500,000 annually within the first three years, and grow to approximately \$1.5 million by its fifth year.</p> <p>Expenses of the corporation's activities will be minimal as MDAD employees will perform services from existing MDAD offices and will receive no compensation beyond their current salary, and will involve an initial capitalization of \$30,000 to pay the State of Florida fees for creating the corporation, print business cards and stationery, and cover any travel expenses incurred by MDAD prior to revenues being received.</p> <p>Background Under the guidance of the Office of the Mayor and the County Attorney, MDAD will file articles of incorporation with the State reflecting that (i) the Aviation Director will serve as the incorporator of the MIA BV corporation, (ii) the corporation's Board of Directors will consist of three Board members: the chairman to be appointed by the Aviation Director, one member of the Board to be appointed by the Mayor or his designee, and the third member to be appointed by the Chairperson of the Board of County Commissioners; and (iii) ownership of common stock of the company will be vested exclusively in the County. Board members must have five (5) years senior airport management and/or airport development experience.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MIA BV corporation Board members will hold an initial meeting, adopt by-laws consistent with the resolution and directives of the Board of County Commissioners, and appoint the minimum number of officers required by law. • The Board members and officers will not receive compensation for their services. The County Attorney's Office (CAO) will provide all legal services for the corporation, its Board members, and its officers for matters arising out of the corporation's existence or operations. • The corporation president will be authorized to take all steps required by law or reasonably necessary to discharge legal and business obligations. • All the documents relating to the corporation, including all letter agreements, will make it clear that neither the County nor MDAD will have any legal or other responsibility for the actions of the company. • The creation of MIA BV in this manner will help shield the County from claims that may be brought by requesting airports or their contractors using the services of the corporation. • Authorizes the use of MDAD staff to provide such services as unpaid temporary employees of the new corporation. <p><i>In a typical transaction, MDAD will receive an inquiry from an airport or airport contractor for assistance and forward the inquiry to the executives of MIA BV who will negotiate an agreement with the requesting party. The agreement will require the party to pay MIA BV a consulting fee and reimburse MIA BV for the cost of MDAD staff members assigned to the project, including travel and other expenses. In some cases, MIA BV will also request a percentage of the gross revenues of the particular airport function on which it provides the consulting services.</i></p> <p>For a larger project involving MIA BV, MDAD may need to retain known and trusted subcontractors to assist with the project. In this event, MDAD will draw from the relevant County-approved prequalified pool of contractors.</p> <p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will MDAD employees be employees of the corporation or the County? • How will they separate County duties from corporation duties? • Who will handle duties of employees while they are temporarily performing duties for the corporation? <p>Additional Notes According to the Houston Airport System (HAS) website, there is a strong demand for HAS to provide specialized consulting services. As the fourth largest airport system in the United States, the HAS is available to provide specialized consulting and training services to both emerging airports and other airports who may find helpful the expertise of an established group of airport professionals as they address new challenges in growth and business development at their airports.</p> <p>Moreover, HAS Development Corporation (HASDC) is available to partner with airport consultants and contractors who would find the airport-view an important differentiator on the proposal teams. The HAS manages three airports: George Bush Intercontinental (IAH), William P. Hobby (HOU) and Ellington Airport (EFD), which together serve more than 50 million passengers annually and process more than 400 thousand metric tons of air cargo.</p> <p>The experience of planning for, developing, and operating these airports has led HAS to enter the market of providing airport professional services. HASDC offers the services of the Houston Airport System professionals in all areas of airport management and operations.</p>
8A2 122454	RESOLUTION RELATING TO KENDALL-TAMIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT; ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID OF JNJ GROWERS, INC., FOR \$531.99 PER ACRE FOR A TOTAL OF \$22,875.57 PER YEAR FOR FORTY-THREE ACRES OF FARM LAND AT THE AIRPORT; APPROVING FARMING LEASE

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	AGREEMENT WITH JNJ GROWERS, INC., FOR SUCH ACREAGE FOR AN INITIAL FOUR-YEAR TERM WITH FIVE ONE YEAR EXTENSIONS THEREAFTER
Notes	<p>District 11 Farming Lease for 43 acres at Kendall-Tamiami Executive Airport (TMB) to JNJ Growers, Inc.</p> <p>This resolution accepts the bid of JNJ Growers, Inc. (JNJ) of \$531.99 per acre for forty-three acres of land at Kendall-Tamiami Executive Airport for farming purposes for a total annual revenue to the Aviation Department of \$22,875.57 per year; authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute the farming lease agreement for an initial four-year term with five one-year extensions thereafter at the option of JNJ; and authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute the termination provisions.</p> <p>Background The Aviation Department has leased TMB land for farming purposes for many years in an effort to reduce ground-keeping maintenance requirements, provide additional revenues to MDAD, and contribute to the agribusiness economy of Miami-Dade County. The leases are put out for bid with terms generally set for 10 years. The minimum bid per acre was \$350.00.</p> <p>Three bids were received: JNJ Growers, Inc. bid \$531.99 per acre, Joe De Sousa bid \$451.99, and Perez Growers LLC bid \$501.99.</p> <p>Additional Notes The proposed lease does not take effect until August 2013.</p>
8A3 122456	RESOLUTION RELATING TO KENDALL-TAMIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT; ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID OF JNJ GROWERS, INC., FOR \$531.99 PER ACRE FOR A TOTAL OF \$57,986.91 PER YEAR FOR ONE HUNDRED-NINE ACRES OF FARM LAND AT THE AIRPORT; APPROVING FARMING LEASE AGREEMENT WITH JNJ GROWERS, INC., FOR SUCH ACREAGE FOR AN INITIAL FOUR-YEAR TERM WITH FIVE ONE YEAR EXTENSIONS THEREAFTER
Notes	<p>District 11 Farming Lease for 109 acres at Kendall-Tamiami Executive Airport (TMB) to JNJ Growers, Inc.</p> <p>This resolution accepts the bid of JNJ Growers, Inc. (JNJ) of \$531.99 per acre for One Hundred-Nine acres of land at Kendall-Tamiami Executive Airport for farming purposes for a total annual revenue to the Aviation Department of \$57,986.91 per year; authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute the farming lease agreement for an initial four-year term with five one-year extensions thereafter at the option of JNJ; and authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute the termination provisions.</p> <p>Background The Aviation Department has leased TMB land for farming purposes for many years in an effort to reduce ground-keeping maintenance requirements, provide additional revenues to MDAD, and contribute to the agribusiness economy of Miami-Dade County. The leases are put out for bid with terms generally set for 10 years. The minimum bid per acre was \$350.00.</p> <p>Three bids were received: JNJ Growers, Inc. bid \$531.99 per acre, Joe De Sousa bid \$451.99, and Perez Growers LLC bid \$501.99.</p> <p>Additional Notes The proposed lease does not take effect until August 2013.</p>
8A4 130111	RESOLUTION RELATING TO MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; APPROVING TEN-YEAR LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH EVERGLADES PIPE LINE COMPANY, L.P., FOR THE CONTINUED OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR OF A PETROLEUM PRODUCTS PIPELINE PREVIOUSLY INSTALLED ON AIRPORT PROPERTY TO SUPPLY JET FUEL TO AIRCRAFT AT THE AIRPORT, FOR AN INITIAL ANNUAL LICENSE FEE OF \$51,685.14; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH LICENSE AGREEMENT AND EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREOF
Notes	<p>District 6</p> <p>This resolution approves a 10-year License Agreement L-7069 for Everglades Pipe Line Company LLP to continue the operation, maintenance, and repair of a petroleum products pipeline previously installed on airport property by the Licensee for the delivery of jet fuel to the Miami-Dade Aviation Department's (MDAD) fuel farm.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact This project generates revenue for MDAD. Commencing retroactively to July 7, 2012, and annually thereafter, the Licensee will pay to the Aviation Department a license fee of \$51,685.14 based on the length of the pipeline, which in the first 12 months will amount to \$51,685 plus applicable state taxes. The license fee may be adjusted by MDAD each October 1, prorated as appropriate, to reflect a change in applicable land rates established by the Board</p> <p>Background From 1958 when the Terminal Building opened, the County has allowed Everglades to install and maintain the critically necessary aviation fuel pipeline to supply jet fuel to MIA's fuel farm. Without the pipeline, airlines would not have access to the jet fuel they need for their aircraft and the Airport could not continue to operate.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the last five-year license agreement, MDAD and Everglades determined it was in their interests to enter into a 10-year license agreement commencing July 7, 2012.</p> <p>The pipeline originates in Port Everglades outside of Ft. Lauderdale International Airport and terminates at the southern end of MIA's fuel</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>farm facility, where the jet fuel is pumped into the various jet fuel tanks.</p> <p>Additional Notes</p> <p><i>According to an article published in the River Cities Gazette (in partnership with the Miami Herald), dated, February 5, 2013, MIA fuel pipeline proposed beneath Ludlam Road, states that a proposed pipeline underneath the City of Miami Springs would serve as the sole source of jet fuel to Miami International Airport.</i></p> <p><i>The Everglades Pipe Line Co. sent a letter, on January 22, 2013, to the City of Miami Springs seeking permits to “install, operate, maintain, repair and replace the proposed pipeline” along the bike path and an adjacent canal that runs through Miami Springs and Virginia Gardens.</i></p> <p><i>“The pipeline will be constructed and maintained to safe industry standards to ensure environmental and public protection,” wrote an official with Buckeye Development, which was founded in 1886 by the Standard Oil Company. Buckeye is the parent company of Everglades Pipe Line Co.</i></p> <p><i>“It doesn’t sound like a very good idea, proposing a jet fuel pipeline so close to a residential neighborhood,” said Virginia Gardens Mayor, who hasn’t yet received any notice from Buckeye regarding the proposal.</i></p> <p><i>According to the article, last December, Buckeye shut down one of its 10-inch fuel pipelines in New Jersey after residents smelled gas vapors and a leak was detected. About 140,000 barrels of refined petroleum products per day were pumped through the pipeline. Buckeye reported to government authorities that 25 gallons of gas had spilled, according to Reuters. The report then shows that a vacuum truck later sucked up about 700 gallons of “oil product.”</i></p> <p><i>As far as any potential hazards go for the Ludlam Road project, one environmental-law expert points out that the engineering requirements for a jet-fuel pipeline are stringent and closely monitored.</i></p> <p><i>“The pipeline would be specifically engineered, and the quality and workmanship would be second to none,” said a Miami-based environmental-law attorney. “There are many places in the county where potentially hazardous materials are being passed through pipelines.”</i></p> <p>Local city officials may not have much say in the matter, as the road is operated and maintained by the County.</p> <p><i>The same stretch of road has been the site of construction and inconvenience for residents over the past few years. In 2009, nearly 3,000 Australian pine trees were removed along Ludlam Road’s bike path that was forcing walkers to detour at times into the busy street. It is unclear whether the new trees planted there would be uprooted.</i></p> <p>Transportation and Aviation Committee</p> <p>During the February 15, 2013 Transportation and Aviation Committee meeting, the Mayor of Miami Springs spoke in support of the item. The Mayor stated that the proposal by the company affiliated with the proposed item, to place pipelines into residential areas, would potentially impact the City. The Mayor further stated that the proposed item is not part of what will impact the city directly. No further information was given at the committee meeting.</p>
8A5 130231	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PROJECT NO. I115D OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR THE AIRPORT OPERATION INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$14,316,248.89, FOR A TERM OF SEVEN (7) YEARS , TO AIR-TRANSPORT IT SERVICES, INC.; FINDING A WAIVER OF COMPETITIVE BIDS TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; BY TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT, WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREOF</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution: (i) waives competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter; (ii) approves the award of an Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Agreement for the Airport Operation Information System (AOIS) for the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to Air-Transport IT Services, Inc. (AirIT) with a value of \$14,316,248.89 and a duration of seven years; and iii) authorizes the County Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to execute the Agreement.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact</p> <p>The amount of the Agreement is \$14,316,248.89, including the Inspector General (IG) audit account. The proposal for this recommended Agreement keeps pricing static for seven years. The source of funding is the MDAD Information Systems operating budget.</p> <p>Project Location: Miami International Airport (MIA), the General Aviation Airports, PortMiami, certain Metrorail locations, Miami area hotels, the Miami Beach Convention Center and other areas as deemed necessary.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>This AOIS contract term extension will allow MDAD to develop new strategies for potential replacement of the AOIS and/or some of its functional components. By aligning the new AOIS contract term with those of other contracts for mission-critical systems, MDAD can re-scope the AOIS contract in an effort to relieve dependency on a single source vendor.</p> <p>Additional Notes</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This contract is for the operation and maintenance of the Airport Operation Information System (AOIS) at MIA. The AOIS was procured through an open and competitive process in 2002; however, it is a proprietary system, and support for the system is only available through AirIT.</p> <p>On July 3, 2002, MDAD advertised an Invitation to Bid for the Airport Operation Information System and received bids from the following three (3) firms: AirIT, ARINC and SITA, with each bidder offering its own proprietary system. On December 13, 2002, the Board of County Commissioners awarded a competitively bid contract to AirIT in the amount of \$11,979,819.31, to supply and install the AOIS as part of MIA's Capital Improvement Program (CIP).</p> <p>On June 5, 2007, the Board of County Commissioners, through R-652-07, authorized a bid Waiver and awarded \$11,452,371.19 to AirIT for AOIS Operation and Maintenance at Miami International Airport. <u><i>This contract also required to continue Operation and Maintenance services 24-hours-a-day, seven-days-a-week, as well as engineering services, hardware, parts, software, repairs and training.</i></u></p> <p>AOIS Highlights The system provides computer software and hardware featuring 2,000 displays located throughout the Airport to manage and control the processing of flights and aircraft at MIA. AOIS is used to track aircraft movements and mitigate congestion at ramps and aprons under MDAD's control. It facilitates utilization of shared resources used in processing flights and aircraft at MIA such as aircraft parking spots, gate loading bridges and lounges, ticket/check-in/gate counters, baggage make-up belts and claim carousels, explosive detection systems, dynamic signage and operation, security and maintenance centers.</p> <p>The AOIS system is also an integral part of life safety measures at MIA, providing notification of emergency evacuation in compliance with Americans Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements.</p> <p>Some of these operationally critical systems include interfaces to the Atomic Clock, American Airlines Sabre reservations system, Air Traffic Control, Courtesy Paging, Cable TV Flight Channel and WebFIDS (Flight Information Display System) throughout the airport. The core components of the AOIS system provide for uninterrupted real-time control of operations, such as the exchange of data with the baggage sortation and emergency paging systems.</p> <p>Questions posed to MDAD staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The item mentions that by aligning the new AOIS contract term with those of other contracts for mission-critical systems, MDAD can re-scope the AOIS contract in an effort to relieve dependency on a single source vendor. Why can't MDAD re-scope the contract and send out for bid? <i>The current system took over 8 years to design, develop and implement and contains over 20 interfaces that are completely integrated with all aspects of the airport's operation. The proposed contract allows MDAD the ability to redefine requirements depending on operational changes and new emerging technologies. It also prepares MDAD to take control of some of the current functions which will require assessment by MDAD and consultants and ultimately craft a bid document for only the components needed. Consultants being the MAAC that represents airport carrier airlines.</i> • Will PortMiami and the Transit Department provide funding? The proposed legislation mentions project locations at PortMiami and Metrorail locations. <i>This is a service MDAD provides. Equipment and set-up costs are paid for the each respective location, but the data and interface providing the information is a service MDAD offers. In addition please note we provide airport information at various facilities, hotels including by which revenue is derived or a customer service initiative.</i> • Pursuant to the 2002 contract b/w MDC and AirIT, did AirIT provide training services for MIA staff? <i>Some training was provided but the technical aspect and development platform is solely for them.</i>
8A6 130288	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PROJECT NO. I113C AGREEMENT FOR THE COMMON USE TERMINAL EQUIPMENT/COMMON USE PASSENGER PROCESSING SYSTEM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$33,790,455.58 FOR A TERM OF SEVEN (7) YEARS TO SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING COMPUTING USA, INC., FINDING A WAIVER OF COMPETITIVE BIDS TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; BY TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT, WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution: (i) waives competitive bidding by vote of two-thirds of the members of the County Commission present; (ii) finds such waiver to be in the best interests of Miami-Dade County; and (iii) awards to SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. (SITA), the Agreement for Miami-Dade County Project No. I113C, relating to the operations and maintenance of the Common Use Terminal Equipment/Common Use Passenger Processing System for the Miami-Dade Aviation Department, in the amount of \$33,790,455.58, and for a term of seven (7) years.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The amount of the Agreement is \$33,790,455.58, including the Inspector General (IG) audit account. The Agreement keeps pricing static for seven (7) years. The source of funding is the MDAD Information Systems operating budget.</p> <p>Background The procurement of the Common Use Terminal Equipment (CUTE) for MIA was initially solicited in 2002 through an Invitation to Bid and the Agreement (I113A) was awarded to SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. totaling \$15,498,645.84. Upon the expiration of this agreement, due to the proprietary nature of the software, SITA was awarded in 2008 a subsequent agreement (I113B) in order to continue</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>providing operations and maintenance services for CUTE totaling \$17,742,819.63. <i>CUTE is exclusively licensed and provided by SITA.</i></p> <p>According to staff, replication of these interfaces would be complex and costly at this time as a significant amount of useful life and functionality remains with the system.</p>												
8A7 130085	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT FOR THE LUGGAGE WRAPPING SERVICES AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, BETWEEN TRUESTAR USA A JOINT VENTURE BETWEEN SINAPSIS TRADING USA, LLC AND TRUESTAR GROUP SPA AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, WITH A MINIMUM ANNUAL GUARANTEE OF \$9,600,000 AND FOR A TERM OF EIGHT YEARS, WITH A TWO-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, AND TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREOF</p>												
Notes	<p>This resolution approves the award of a Lease and Concession Agreement for the Luggage Wrapping Services at Miami International Airport Project No. MDAD-05-12, between TrueStar USA, a Joint Venture between Sinapsis Trading USA, LLC, and TrueStar Group SPA, and Miami-Dade County, with a minimum annual guarantee of \$9,600,000, and for a term of eight (8) years with a one (1) two (2) year option to renew.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TrueStar Group SPA. is an international airport services operator. In particular, the Group offers a complete range of comprehensive passenger assistance services and provides luggage wrapping and protection services at airports. The Group, chaired by Fabio Talin, currently has concessions at 33 airports across 13 countries located on three continents. <p>Bid Protest Filed A bid protest was filed by SafeWrap of Miami LLC. The Hearing Examiner rejected SafeWrap's argument and upheld the County's recommendation to award the contract to TrueStar.</p> <p>Audit and Management Services Department Findings On September 30, 2012, the Miami-Dade Audit and Management Services Department (A&M) issued an Audit Report of Sinapsis Trading USA, LLC for the period December 4, 2010 through June 30, 2012.</p> <p>The following are highlights from the audit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concession fees, comprised solely of Monthly Annual Guarantee (MAG) payments in 2011, represented 71 percent of gross revenue, and dropped thereafter due to the reduced MAG requirement. As of February 29, 2012, the DBE participation was 25.08 percent, less than the 30 percent goal. During the A&M review of fee billings, the Department noted that the Department miscalculated monthly MAG requirements by \$36,746 from October 2011 to February 2012. The amounts in question should be verified and recovered within 90 days. Controls over cash receipts from time of sale to deposit in bank accounts appear satisfactory for transactions tested. However, Sinapsis denied A&M's request to trace daily sales totals per the Point of Sale System (POS) to postings in their general ledger, maintained at their Corporate office in Italy. Moreover, most financial and accounting records maintained at MIA were in Spanish or Italian, rather than English. Additional software modifications are needed to improve the accountability of sales and cash receipts. For example, although services are offered at varying prices, its 31 POS terminals can only record sales at the standard \$15 rate per bag, creating variances between computed sales and actual cash collections. Repairs and replacements of internal POS hardware devices used to record, track, and transmit sales data are not formally logged on incident reports, increasing the risk that sales can be manipulated without detection. <p>Background on Luggage Wrapping Services at MIA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #fce4d6;">Date</th> <th style="background-color: #fce4d6;">Legislation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>March 8, 2001 R-100-01</td> <td>The Board of County Commissioners (BCC) awarded a Concession Agreement for the operation of the baggage wrap services at Miami International Airport (MIA) to Secure Wrap of Miami, Inc. for an initial term of three (3) years with four one (1) year options-to-renew. The MAG was \$4.5 million for the initial 3-year term or 7 percent of gross revenues. The MAG was secured through a Surety Bond equal to 100 percent of the MAG for each year of the Agreement. No contract measures were applied to the solicitation process. However, Secure Wrap proposed a mentoring program for a local Black Business Enterprise firm to improve the level of participation in this line of business at MIA.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>October 19, 2004 R-1220-04</td> <td>The BCC approved the First Amendment between the County and Secure Wrap by extending the Baggage Wrap Concession Agreement for one five (5) year term in lieu of the option to extend for four separate terms of one year each; modified the MAG to a sliding scale percentage fee, and waived competitive bid requirements. This modification created favorable terms for Secure Wrap, as necessitated by the financial hardship caused by the September 11, 2001 events, in order to recoup the revenues lost as a result of diminution of sales.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Letter to MDAD December 5, 2007</td> <td>Secure Wrap, through their attorney, requested a five (5) year extension. However, MDAD responded by stating that they would review the request and determine the best course of action as they were aware of other vendors who expect MDAD to allow the opportunity to participate in a public solicitation.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Memo September 30, 2008</td> <td>A memo from the Office of the Inspector General to MDAD urged MDAD to immediately begin initiating a new RFP or an Invitation to Bid (ITB) process. The Request for Proposals was advertised on September 15, 2009.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>November 3, 2009 R-1232-09</td> <td>The BCC approved a Retroactive Second Amendment to the Baggage wrap Concession Agreement between the County and Secure Wrap extending the term of the agreement on a month-to-month basis not to exceed one year retroactively commencing on August 22, 2009; and increase the monthly percentage fee to 20 percent of</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Legislation	March 8, 2001 R-100-01	The Board of County Commissioners (BCC) awarded a Concession Agreement for the operation of the baggage wrap services at Miami International Airport (MIA) to Secure Wrap of Miami, Inc. for an initial term of three (3) years with four one (1) year options-to-renew. The MAG was \$4.5 million for the initial 3-year term or 7 percent of gross revenues. The MAG was secured through a Surety Bond equal to 100 percent of the MAG for each year of the Agreement. No contract measures were applied to the solicitation process. However, Secure Wrap proposed a mentoring program for a local Black Business Enterprise firm to improve the level of participation in this line of business at MIA.	October 19, 2004 R-1220-04	The BCC approved the First Amendment between the County and Secure Wrap by extending the Baggage Wrap Concession Agreement for one five (5) year term in lieu of the option to extend for four separate terms of one year each; modified the MAG to a sliding scale percentage fee, and waived competitive bid requirements. This modification created favorable terms for Secure Wrap, as necessitated by the financial hardship caused by the September 11, 2001 events, in order to recoup the revenues lost as a result of diminution of sales.	Letter to MDAD December 5, 2007	Secure Wrap, through their attorney, requested a five (5) year extension. However, MDAD responded by stating that they would review the request and determine the best course of action as they were aware of other vendors who expect MDAD to allow the opportunity to participate in a public solicitation.	Memo September 30, 2008	A memo from the Office of the Inspector General to MDAD urged MDAD to immediately begin initiating a new RFP or an Invitation to Bid (ITB) process. The Request for Proposals was advertised on September 15, 2009.	November 3, 2009 R-1232-09	The BCC approved a Retroactive Second Amendment to the Baggage wrap Concession Agreement between the County and Secure Wrap extending the term of the agreement on a month-to-month basis not to exceed one year retroactively commencing on August 22, 2009; and increase the monthly percentage fee to 20 percent of
Date	Legislation												
March 8, 2001 R-100-01	The Board of County Commissioners (BCC) awarded a Concession Agreement for the operation of the baggage wrap services at Miami International Airport (MIA) to Secure Wrap of Miami, Inc. for an initial term of three (3) years with four one (1) year options-to-renew. The MAG was \$4.5 million for the initial 3-year term or 7 percent of gross revenues. The MAG was secured through a Surety Bond equal to 100 percent of the MAG for each year of the Agreement. No contract measures were applied to the solicitation process. However, Secure Wrap proposed a mentoring program for a local Black Business Enterprise firm to improve the level of participation in this line of business at MIA.												
October 19, 2004 R-1220-04	The BCC approved the First Amendment between the County and Secure Wrap by extending the Baggage Wrap Concession Agreement for one five (5) year term in lieu of the option to extend for four separate terms of one year each; modified the MAG to a sliding scale percentage fee, and waived competitive bid requirements. This modification created favorable terms for Secure Wrap, as necessitated by the financial hardship caused by the September 11, 2001 events, in order to recoup the revenues lost as a result of diminution of sales.												
Letter to MDAD December 5, 2007	Secure Wrap, through their attorney, requested a five (5) year extension. However, MDAD responded by stating that they would review the request and determine the best course of action as they were aware of other vendors who expect MDAD to allow the opportunity to participate in a public solicitation.												
Memo September 30, 2008	A memo from the Office of the Inspector General to MDAD urged MDAD to immediately begin initiating a new RFP or an Invitation to Bid (ITB) process. The Request for Proposals was advertised on September 15, 2009.												
November 3, 2009 R-1232-09	The BCC approved a Retroactive Second Amendment to the Baggage wrap Concession Agreement between the County and Secure Wrap extending the term of the agreement on a month-to-month basis not to exceed one year retroactively commencing on August 22, 2009; and increase the monthly percentage fee to 20 percent of												

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the monthly gross revenues. <i>At the time the revenue to the County was approximately, \$1.2 million, with the implementation of a straight 20 percent increase to the monthly gross revenue, the revenue to the County would increase to approximately \$1.6 million. The mentoring program they had proposed in 2001 when awarded the contract, is for Hannah Wrap, Inc.</i></p>
File No. 101862 June 2010	In June 2010, an award recommendation was issued to Sinapsis and subsequently a Bid Protest was filed by Secure Wrap delaying the award on the new contract. However, the Hearing Examiner rejected Secure Wrap's arguments and upheld the County Manager's recommendation to award the contract to Sinapsis.
July 20, 2010 R-801-10	The BCC approved a Third Amendment to the Concession Agreement between the County and Secure Wrap extending the agreement on a month-to-month basis not to exceed four months. The Third Amendment was to begin <i>August 22, 2010; and increase the monthly percentage fee to twenty-two percent and MAG to \$2 million. The Third Amendment allowed for an adequate transition period for the new service provider.</i>
July 20, 2010 R-841-10	<p>The BCC approved the new Lease and Concession Agreement for luggage wrapping services at MIA between the County and Sinapsis. The Agreement included a MAG of \$11 million with a term of five years with a two year option-to-renew. The contract measures were 30 percent ACDBE goal of which 26 percent had been attained as of December 2011, through Crown Global Services Corp.</p> <p>During the discussion at the July 20, 2010 BCC meeting, the BCC asked that the performance of Sinapsis be closely monitored to ensure compliance with the MAG of \$11 million, that a quarterly report be prepared on the performance of the contractor and that the Agreement be brought back to the BCC if it was determined that the contractor was not in compliance with the contract terms. Additionally, MDAD advised the BCC that the MAG was secured by a performance bond posted by the contractor.</p>
Memo December 13, 2011	The Mayor stated that Sinapsis has remained current in all its obligations except for the Performance Bond. In response to the Chairman's request for a status report for the Luggage Wrapping Agreement, the Mayor's Memo states <i>that the Performance Bond in the amount of \$8,373,138 expired on December 4, 2011, and that Sinapsis was no longer capable of securing a Bond at that level. Additionally, the Memo states, without an amendment to the Concession Agreement, a Bond of lesser amount could not be issued as upon the issuance of that lower Bond, the concessionaire would be non-compliant.</i>
February 21, 2012 R- 177-12	An item approving the First Amendment to the Lease and Concession Agreement for Luggage Wrapping Services at MIA with Sinapsis requested: 1) a reduction to the Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) paid to the Aviation Department; 2) reduction to the contract term, 3) provide for shared revenues from a new off-Airport bag wrapping program, and 4) authorize the Mayor or his designee to procure a new contract. <i>The BCC, through R- 177-12, approved the following amendments: require that an RFP be ready within three months; the contract term was reduced to the lesser of one year or until a new contract was awarded; and that the provision regarding off-airport wrapping be deleted. (See File No. 120470)</i>
	<p>Additional Notes</p> <p>According to an <i>Issue Paper</i> by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department, <i>Customs and Border Patrol Staffing</i>, in 2011, MIA handled 38.3 million passengers, an increase of nearly 8 percent over 2010 levels. This increase was the highest among the top 25 U.S. gateway airports. International arrivals lead the way with 9.3 million international arriving passengers, representing an increase of 9 percent over the last year's international arrival traffic. According to the report, as the second busiest airport in the U.S. for international flights and international passengers, MIA continues to be the "Gateway to the Americas." MIA's international traffic helped the airport set a new record in the first quarter of 2012 with 10.2 million passengers, a nearly 10 percent increase from the first quarter of 2011.</p> <p>According to MDAD's website, MIA set new all-time records for annual passenger and cargo traffic in 2012 with 39.5 million passengers and 2.1 million tons of cargo.</p> <p>Questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the prices for luggage wrapping services under the new contract? • What is the status of the TSA guidelines for the luggage wrapping functions? <i>TSA can open any wrapped bag. The contract requires re-wrapping services if TSA opens a wrapped bag.</i>
8F1 130042	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 15880 NW 27 AVENUE IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC SALE OF SAME TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE SALE OF SAID PROPERTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSE
Notes	<p>District 1</p> <p>This resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares a 23,532 square foot County-owned parcel located at 15880 NW 27 Avenue, Miami Gardens, FL, as surplus; • Authorizes the property to be sold to the highest bidder through the County's competitive bidding process at a minimum bid amount of \$320,000, as determined by the appraisal Howard J. Delahanty; and • Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board because the property is located within the City of Miami Gardens.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																								
	<p>The action to surplus and sell was not presented to the Planning Advisory Board due to the fact that they do not have jurisdiction within the City of Miami Gardens.</p> <p><i>According to Internal Services Department staff, the money was secured by a mortgage and the County foreclosed on the mortgage. The County sued for the money; however, since the person who received the loan was unable to pay it back, the Courts gave the County title to this property and five other lots put up as collateral.</i></p> <p>Fiscal Impact The sale of this property will eliminate the County's obligation to maintain the property, which costs approximately \$660 per year, and will place the property back on the tax roll, generating approximately \$14,149 in annual ad valorem taxes.</p> <p>The proceeds of the sale - minus any portion of the value of the property attributable to the expenditure of non-Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for acquisition or improvement of the property - will be returned to the County's CDBG account for use on CDBG eligible activities.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Property Appraiser website property search: the 2012 Assessed Market Value is \$578,220.</p> <p>Additional Information Pursuant to a memo, dated, July 20, 2007, from the County Manager to the District Commissioner, in November 2000, after the County acquired the properties at the foreclosure sale, the County explored the possibility of developing a lease agreement, to allow the previous owner to continue operating the gas station at its current location.</p> <p>The previous owner passed away and discussion continued with other members of the family, to reach an understanding for the use of the gas station. In August 2001, the County sent a letter to the family proposing a series of lease options with respect to the gas station property.</p> <p>Environmental issues had been discovered on the gas station property prior to the County taking ownership and these issues needed to be resolved. The County took a series of environmental remediation actions ending in 2004, at a cost of \$64,437 to the County. These actions were to facilitate contamination assessment, groundwater assessment and underground tank removal. In spite of the proposals made, no formal agreement was ever finalized with the family.</p> <p>On March 14, 2007, the County granted the family a one-year permit to operate M & M Discount. The family operated their business on the property without an agreement with the County to conduct business at that location and without adequate insurance to protect the County's interest in the property.</p> <p>According to the July 2007 memo, the loan was one of 41 loans extended by the Dade Employment and Economic Development Corporation, an economic development not-for-profit entity affiliated with the Community Action Agency. In many cases legal actions were initiated and judgments were rendered, but with limited recovery as many of the loans were secured with personal guarantees and most of its borrowers had declared bankruptcy.</p> <p>Additional Information Regarding Properties sold and/or Conveyed in 2011-12 through July 2012</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Count</th> <th>Folio</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Department User</th> <th>Status</th> <th>Buyer</th> <th>Closing Date</th> <th>Sale Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td><u>0131140150520</u> District 3</td> <td>1511 NW 69TH TER</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>Alfred A. Scott</td> <td>10/4/2011</td> <td>\$1,446</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td><u>3031110000310</u> District 3</td> <td>7724 NW 11TH AVE</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>Tapia Brothers, Inc.</td> <td>11/7/2011</td> <td>\$10,291</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td><u>0821210072720</u></td> <td>Adj N of 581 Burlington St, Opalocka</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>Henry Garcia</td> <td>11/29/2011</td> <td>\$2,573</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td><u>0131250351490</u> District 3</td> <td>2160 NW 5TH PL</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>Frontier Fuels, Inc (President is Stephen Sonson-512-750-0190</td> <td>11/30/2011</td> <td>\$10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td><u>0131260393870</u> District 3</td> <td>1376 NW 32ND ST</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>Jose & Carmen Balta</td> <td>12/6/2011</td> <td>\$3,100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td><u>0131130490241</u> District 3</td> <td>47 NE 60TH TER</td> <td>INTERNAL SERVICES</td> <td>Sold</td> <td>International Asset Recovery Asystems Corp.</td> <td>1/6/2012</td> <td>\$1,787</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Count	Folio	Address	Department User	Status	Buyer	Closing Date	Sale Amount	1	<u>0131140150520</u> District 3	1511 NW 69TH TER	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Alfred A. Scott	10/4/2011	\$1,446	2	<u>3031110000310</u> District 3	7724 NW 11TH AVE	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Tapia Brothers, Inc.	11/7/2011	\$10,291	3	<u>0821210072720</u>	Adj N of 581 Burlington St, Opalocka	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Henry Garcia	11/29/2011	\$2,573	4	<u>0131250351490</u> District 3	2160 NW 5TH PL	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Frontier Fuels, Inc (President is Stephen Sonson-512-750-0190	11/30/2011	\$10,000	5	<u>0131260393870</u> District 3	1376 NW 32ND ST	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Jose & Carmen Balta	12/6/2011	\$3,100	6	<u>0131130490241</u> District 3	47 NE 60TH TER	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	International Asset Recovery Asystems Corp.	1/6/2012	\$1,787
Count	Folio	Address	Department User	Status	Buyer	Closing Date	Sale Amount																																																		
1	<u>0131140150520</u> District 3	1511 NW 69TH TER	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Alfred A. Scott	10/4/2011	\$1,446																																																		
2	<u>3031110000310</u> District 3	7724 NW 11TH AVE	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Tapia Brothers, Inc.	11/7/2011	\$10,291																																																		
3	<u>0821210072720</u>	Adj N of 581 Burlington St, Opalocka	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Henry Garcia	11/29/2011	\$2,573																																																		
4	<u>0131250351490</u> District 3	2160 NW 5TH PL	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Frontier Fuels, Inc (President is Stephen Sonson-512-750-0190	11/30/2011	\$10,000																																																		
5	<u>0131260393870</u> District 3	1376 NW 32ND ST	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Jose & Carmen Balta	12/6/2011	\$3,100																																																		
6	<u>0131130490241</u> District 3	47 NE 60TH TER	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	International Asset Recovery Asystems Corp.	1/6/2012	\$1,787																																																		

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes							
7	<u>3031110460021</u> District 2	NW 14 Ct. & 87 St.	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	St Mark Missionary Baptist Church	1/18/2012	\$10,250	
8	<u>3031150055340</u>		INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Kelvin L. Bratton and Gayle Flowers Bratton (wife)	1/26/2012	\$6,300	
9	<u>3040240220190</u>	Adj N of 4541 SW 64 Ct	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Riesgo, Richard	2/7/2012	\$3,000	
10	<u>0431170000090</u> District 2	3737 NW 54TH ST	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	EASTERN AERO MARINE, INC.	2/28/2012	\$12,000	
11	<u>3050320120770</u> District 9	10450 SW 181ST ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY	3/9/2012	\$10	
12	<u>3050320120800</u> District 9	SW 105 Ave & 181 St.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY	3/9/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-5032-012-0770 above.	
13	<u>3021350020090</u> District 2	810 NW 115TH ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOUNDATION, INC.	3/19/2012	\$10	
14	<u>0131240200202</u> District 3	4124 NW 1ST AVE	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Fernandez, Enrique	3/23/2012	\$8,765	
15	<u>3069280010040</u>	Homestead, FL	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Emilio Betancourt & Cynthia (wife)	5/2/2012	\$6,165	
16	<u>1678240140011</u>		INTERNAL SERVICES	Conveyed	CITY OF FLORIDA CITY 404 WEST PALM DRIVE FLORIDA CITY, FL 33034	5/17/2012	\$10	
17	<u>1678240150120</u> District 9	1508 NW 6TH AVE	INTERNAL SERVICES	Conveyed	CITY OF FLORIDA CITY	5/17/2012	\$10	
18	<u>3078330002111</u> District 9	SW 212 AVE & SW 376 ST	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Nell Fleming, an un-remarried widow	5/17/2012	\$1,115	
19	<u>0131220520910</u> District 3	1430 NW 52ND ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	\$10	
20	<u>3031110270090</u> District 3	1311 NW 77TH TER	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	Habitat for Humanity of Greater, Miami, Inc.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-7833-000-211 above	
21	<u>3031110270220</u> District 3	1320 NW 77TH ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	Habitat for Humanity of Greater Miami, Inc.	6/7/2012	\$10	

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes							
22	<u>3031150050580</u> District 3	1760 NW 69TH TERR	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-3111-027-0220 above	
23	<u>3031150056350</u> District 3	1817 NW 69TH ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-3111-027-0220 above	
24	<u>3031150180740</u> District 3	2229 NW 64TH ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-3111-027-0220 above	
25	<u>3031220250510</u> District 3	4304 NW 23RD CT	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-3111-027-0220 above	
26	<u>3031220300161</u> District 3	2320 NW 53RD ST	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV.	Conveyed	HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC.	6/7/2012	Included in same deed as Folio No. 30-3111-027-0220 above	
27	<u>3068220020010</u> District 8	SW 197 Ave & SW 240 St	INTERNAL SERVICES	Sold	Mainster, Steven and (wife) Juanita	6/8/2012	\$7,500	
28	<u>3660090092510</u>		INTERNAL SERVICES	Conveyed	Town of Cutler Bay	7/18/2012	\$10	
29	<u>3421170010041</u> District 1	16300 NW 42 Ave.	PUBLIC WORKS AND WASTE MNGEMEN T	Closing pending	Capo & Sons Corp.	7/20/2012	\$875,000	
30	<u>3031100150160</u> District 3	NW 17th Ave. & NW 74 Terrace	INTERNAL SERVICES	Closing pending	Nadine Graham	7/31/12	\$3,216	
31	<u>3421040051230</u>	Adj East of 2775 NW 183 St	INTERNAL SERVICES	Closing pending	City of Miami Gardens	7/31/2012	\$10	
32	<u>3031120231000</u> District 2	NW 5th Av & NW 82nd St	INTERNAL SERVICES	Closing pending	New Evangelical Missionary Church	7/31/2012	\$25,100	
33	<u>3421100012895</u>	Adj So of 2025 NW 171 St	INTERNAL SERVICES	Closing pending	Fayson, Beverly	7/31/2012	\$980	
34	<u>3060180260660</u> District 8	10824 SW 231ST TERR	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Nicole Scott	10/19/2011	\$120,000	
35	<u>3060190060570</u> District 8	11379 SW 246TH TERR	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Melissa Hernandez	10/19/2011	\$120,000	

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
36	<u>3060190114320</u> District 8	24201 SW 107TH AVE	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Christina O'Neal	10/29/2011	\$148,500
37	<u>3069010340120</u> District 9	19221 SW 118 COURT	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Edda Riveros	11/18/2011	\$120,780
38	<u>3069260120360</u> District 8	13225 SW 253 Terr	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Dunys Torrez	11/18/2011	\$101,000
39	<u>3059270091560</u> District 9	16035 SW 137TH CT	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Mariela Sierra	12/14/2011	\$150,000
40	<u>3060180260700</u> District 8	10784 SW 231 TERR.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Ronettia Durham	12/21/2011	\$110,000
41	<u>3050310290340</u> District 8	18122 SW 109TH PL	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Escarleth Larios	12/22/2011	\$69,000
42	<u>3078110200130</u> District 8	18973 SW 308 ST.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	EDNA WALKER	12/27/2011	\$94,000
43	<u>3060190060910</u> District 8	24736 SW 114TH CT	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Ebonie Gilbert	12/29/2011	\$124,000
44	<u>3069120410350</u> District 9	12060 SW 210 TERR.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Michael Johnson	1/6/2012	\$72,000
45	<u>3069340210270</u> District 9	27125 SW 142 AVE.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Petagaye Marwill	1/25/2012	\$145,000
46	<u>3078140120020</u> District 9	19144 SW 319 ST.	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Feliciano Velasquez	3/26/2012	\$65,000
47	<u>3079020150610</u> District 9	28206 SW 134TH CT	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN DEV. NSP	Sold	Latrice K. Milton	3/19/2012	\$115,000
48	<u>3059040630160</u> District 11	15706 SW 92 TER	PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUN	Sold	Asley Perdomo	6/13/2012	\$193,000

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes							
				DEV. NSP				
							TOTAL	\$2,735,947

Source: ISD

8F2 130060	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MODIFICATION OF A COMPETITIVE CONTRACT TOTALING \$916,000 FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS
---------------	--

Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes modification of a competitive contract totaling \$916,000 for the purchase of goods and services under Contract No. 725 – Metrorail Control Center Upgrade.
--------------	--

Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority
1	<p>Metrorail Control Center Upgrade</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$916,000 to allow the Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) Department to incorporate Supplemental Agreement No. 1 which includes the following three (3) modifications to the Metrorail Control Center (MRCC) Modernization project at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data Transmission System (DTS) Switch Upgrade – not to exceed \$298,979; • Lehman Yard Historical Data Project – not to exceed \$499,697; and • Fifth Floor Architectural Layout Modification at MRCC – not to exceed \$116,627. <p><u>Background</u> On November 15, 2011, under Resolution No. 955-11, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approved the award of RFP 725 to B&C Transit, Inc. in the amount of \$15,917,956. No additional funds were included for the OTR terms because the County will own the source code and provide its own maintenance and support for the software. The OTR terms were included in the solicitation in the event there is a future need for technical assistance.</p> <p><u>TERM:</u> Thirty (30) months for completion of upgrade, a three (3) year warranty period with two (2), five-year OTR periods. A performance/payment bond for 100% of the project is included in the contract as a result of the negotiations. A warranty bond in the amount of 10% of the contract value for the three (3) year warranty period is also included.</p> <p><u>DESCRIPTION:</u> The upgrade will replace obsolete hardware and software, and add remote redundancy to minimize risk of failure which would impact the safety, management and supervision of the Metrorail System operation. This project will integrate the Airport Link extension with the existing mainline as one unified system. A single system display showing the location of the trains will be provided. The new display will allow MDT to make changes or add additional lines without hardware expansion. Another upgrade feature is the ability of the trains to communicate with Central Control in real time. This feature is required since MDT will be operating multiple lines. Also included is the development of existing office space to accommodate the modernization of the existing Central Control facility and systems. The modernization includes the heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system on the 3rd, 4th, and 5th floors, workstations, power distribution units, uninterruptible power supplies, electrical systems, existing systems hardware and software, design, construction and necessary equipment for the control center.</p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$15,918,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains September 20, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$916,000.</p> <p>Funding Source: FDOT Grant / PTP Funds</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Status of Project</u> According to the Internal Services Department (ISD) and the MRCC Monthly Report, ISD is awaiting final insurance certification for Builder's Risk insurance and once received, B&C Transit will begin demolition / construction. Construction activities are currently scheduled to begin February 25, 2013. As of January 2013, B&C Transit has submitted invoices totaling \$5,019,561.</p> <p><u>Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) Recommendation</u> On January 24, 2013, the CITT approved CITT Resolution No. 13-002 recommends that the BCC approves this modification, authorizing the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</p>
8F3 130036	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3S) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, TO RATIFY EMERGENCY CONTRACTS IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$1,251,327, AND AUTHORIZE MODIFICATION OF NONCOMPETITIVE CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$519,000(Internal Services)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives formal bid procedures, pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the County Code by a two-thirds (2/3s) vote of the members present to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ratify emergency contracts in a total amount of up to \$1,251,327; and • Add an additional \$519,000 of spending authority for the purchase of goods and services. <p>Section 1 - Emergency Purchases <u>Item 1.1 – Airport Apron Drive Buses</u> This item waives formal bid procedures to ratify an emergency contract award in the amount of \$999,800 to Cobus Industries, LP for two Cobus airport apron drive buses purchased by the Aviation Department.</p> <p>The buses were needed due to the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An accident involving one of the two Automatic Passenger Mover (APM) trains used to transport passengers a quarter mile from the main terminal to the respective aircraft parking gates, which is now permanently removed from service. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>What is the status of the accident?</i> • The remaining APM train is removed from service for daily maintenance from 1am to 5am. This train is also unreliable, frequently breaking down for hours or days at a time. • The transportation of travelers was being temporarily fulfilled by the department's fleet of city type buses with narrow steps which are not meant for passengers with luggage and infants, and have a maximum capacity of only 39 passengers. • Loss of revenue as airlines refuse to use the "E" Satellite gates, causing the department to close the "E" satellite resulting in revenue loss. • The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and United States Department of Transportation (US DOT) compliance. <p><u>Item 1.2 – Security System Repair at Metro West Detention Center</u> This item waives formal bid procedures and ratifies an emergency contract award in the amount of \$251,527 to Black Creek Integrated System for emergency repairs of the security system at the Metrowest Detention Center (Metrowest).</p> <p>On January 22, 2009, the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR) certified an emergency contract award to Black Creek Integrated System, the designer and sole proprietor of the Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) at Metrowest. PLCs are a major component of the integrated security system and had have been in service for 19 years at this facility.</p> <p>Questions / Comments The emergency contract took place in 2009; however, this item is just coming before the BCC for ratification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What is the reason for this delay?</i> <i>This item was discovered as part of an internal effort to address any items that were inadvertently not presented to the Board in the past.</i> • <i>Since that time, has the integrated security system at Metrowest been updated or are there plans in the works to update this system?</i> <i>Yes, the system has been updated and is working properly. Please note that an integrated security system is comprised of multiple components. In this instance, what failed in 2009 was not the Black Creek Security System. It was the Programmable Logic Controllers (PLC's) that were from the original security system which was 19 years old.</i> <p>Section 2 - Contract Modifications The items included in this section will modify noncompetitive contracts for additional time and/or spending authority for the purchase of goods and services. The total additional funding requested for the contracts listed in this section is \$2,091,000.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority
	2.1	<p>INFOR Millennium Software Maintenance and Support Services</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional 36 months and \$160,000 for the Aviation Department to pay ongoing software maintenance and support services for the existing INFOR Millennium Software.</p> <p>This contract is used by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) for maintenance and support services for INFOR Millennium Software (Software) provided by INFOR Global Solutions, Inc. The Software is used to run various proprietary operating systems used on the IBM mainframe. The operating systems include security, controlled access, airside training, work orders, and budget. These systems support daily operations at Miami International Airport (MIA).</p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$50,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 36 months extending the expiration date from February 28, 2013 to February 28, 2016.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$160,000 for a modified allocation of \$210,000.</p>
	2.2	<p>Pitney Bowes Group 1 Maintenance</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$99,000 to allow the Water and Sewer Department to purchase the required software licenses, maintenance support, and professional services.</p> <p><i>In addition, this item seeks authority to exercise the third and fourth remaining options-to-renew in the amount of \$260,000. The total amount requested for the modification and two renewal options is \$359,000.</i></p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$81,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains January 31, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$99,000 for a modified allocation of \$180,000.</p>
8F4 130038	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL SPENDING AUTHORITY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$322,000 FOR A CERTAIN NONCOMPETITIVE CONTRACT AND AUTHORIZING USE OF CHARTER COUNTY SURTAX FUNDS(Internal Services)		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional spending authority in the amount of \$322,000 for a certain competitive contract; and • Use of Charter County Transportation Surtax funds. 		
	Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority
	1	<p>Elevators/Escalators – Maintenance Services</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$322,000 so Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can continue purchasing maintenance and repair services for elevators and escalators through the end of the contract term, March 31, 2013.</p> <p>On March 11, 2003, under Resolution No. 226-03, the BCC approved the award of this sole source contract to Kone, Inc. for a term of five (5) years with three (3), five-year OTR periods in the amount of \$26,250,000. The departments of Aviation, General Service Administration, Housing, MDT, and Seaport currently utilize this contract.</p> <p>This modification is covered under the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) Resolution No. 10-080, which recommended BCC approval for the use of Charter County Surtax Funds up to \$2,975,000. If approved this modification will increase the MDT allocation to a total of \$2,497,000, which is less than the CITT authorized spending authority.</p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$26,250,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains March 31, 2013.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$322,000 for a modified allocation of \$26,572,000.</p>
8F5 130044	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF COMPETITIVE CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$8,503,000, ESTABLISHING PRE-QUALIFICATION POOL CONTRACT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$9,910,000, AND AUTHORIZING THE MODIFICATION OF COMPETITIVE CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,175,000 AND THE		

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes													
Notes	<p>USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH CONTRACT</p> <p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes award of competitive contracts for the purchase of goods and services in an amount up to \$8,503,000; • Establishes pre-qualification pool contract in a total amount up to \$9,910,000; • Authorizes the modification of competitive contract for purchase of goods and services in a total amount up to \$1,175,000; and • Authorizes the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such contracts. <p>Section 1 – Competitive Contract Awards</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Item No.</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Competitive Contract Award</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">1.1</td> <td> <p>Janitorial Supplies</p> <p>This item awards a contract to 15 vendors so that various County departments can purchase janitorial supplies. The cumulative contract total is \$8,503,000 for a five (5) year term with no subsequent options-to-renew (OTR) period.</p> <p><i>The following seven (7) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5899-3/14 - GERMICIDAL DETERGENT AND HAND SOAP SUPPLY - \$170,527 • 8288-3/12-4 - BRUSHES, BROOMS AND MOPS - \$68,420.34 • 8550-3/11-2 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR MDHA - \$374,626 • EPP4228-3/10-3 - LAUNDRY SUPPLIES - \$40,229 • IB6704-2/11-2 - PRE-MEASURE LAUNDRY DETERGENT - \$6,060 • IB7023-4/12-3 - DISHWASHING COMPOUND - \$99,060 • M0692-4/11-4 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES - \$3,445,000 </td> <td> <p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 15 vendors, 10 are local firms: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., Buckeye Cleaning Center-Miami, District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Ronnie’s Ace Hardware, Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. • Of the 10 local firms, 8 are certified SBEs: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Section 2 – Establish Prequalification Pools</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Item No.</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Prequalification Pool Contract Award</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">2.1</td> <td> <p>Appliances, Parts, Supplies, and Accessories</p> <p>This item establishes a prequalification pool for purchase, delivery, installation, and removal of appliances, parts, supplies and accessories for various County departments from manufacturers’ authorized distributors. The initial five-year term is for \$4,955,000, with one (1), five-year OTR period in the amount of \$4,955,000, for a cumulative value of up to \$9,910,000.</p> <p><i>The following four (4) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11-006N - HOUSEHOLD,COMMERCIAL&INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$600,000 • 27-091E-1 - HOUSEHOLD, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$426,300 • 9081-4/14 - APPLIANCES, KITCHEN EQUIPMENT AND REPAIR - \$615,000 • 8516B-1/10 - APPLIANCE AND KITCHEN EQUIPMENT REPAIR/PARTS - \$500,000 </td> <td> <p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the four (4) proposed vendors, three (3) are local firms: AAA Supply, Lace Foodservice Corporation, and ABC Restaurant Supplies and Equipment, Inc. • Of the three (3) local firms, only one, AAA Supply, is certified as a SBE firm. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Item No.	Competitive Contract Award	Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)	1.1	<p>Janitorial Supplies</p> <p>This item awards a contract to 15 vendors so that various County departments can purchase janitorial supplies. The cumulative contract total is \$8,503,000 for a five (5) year term with no subsequent options-to-renew (OTR) period.</p> <p><i>The following seven (7) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5899-3/14 - GERMICIDAL DETERGENT AND HAND SOAP SUPPLY - \$170,527 • 8288-3/12-4 - BRUSHES, BROOMS AND MOPS - \$68,420.34 • 8550-3/11-2 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR MDHA - \$374,626 • EPP4228-3/10-3 - LAUNDRY SUPPLIES - \$40,229 • IB6704-2/11-2 - PRE-MEASURE LAUNDRY DETERGENT - \$6,060 • IB7023-4/12-3 - DISHWASHING COMPOUND - \$99,060 • M0692-4/11-4 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES - \$3,445,000 	<p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 15 vendors, 10 are local firms: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., Buckeye Cleaning Center-Miami, District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Ronnie’s Ace Hardware, Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. • Of the 10 local firms, 8 are certified SBEs: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. 	Item No.	Prequalification Pool Contract Award	Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)	2.1	<p>Appliances, Parts, Supplies, and Accessories</p> <p>This item establishes a prequalification pool for purchase, delivery, installation, and removal of appliances, parts, supplies and accessories for various County departments from manufacturers’ authorized distributors. The initial five-year term is for \$4,955,000, with one (1), five-year OTR period in the amount of \$4,955,000, for a cumulative value of up to \$9,910,000.</p> <p><i>The following four (4) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11-006N - HOUSEHOLD,COMMERCIAL&INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$600,000 • 27-091E-1 - HOUSEHOLD, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$426,300 • 9081-4/14 - APPLIANCES, KITCHEN EQUIPMENT AND REPAIR - \$615,000 • 8516B-1/10 - APPLIANCE AND KITCHEN EQUIPMENT REPAIR/PARTS - \$500,000 	<p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the four (4) proposed vendors, three (3) are local firms: AAA Supply, Lace Foodservice Corporation, and ABC Restaurant Supplies and Equipment, Inc. • Of the three (3) local firms, only one, AAA Supply, is certified as a SBE firm.
Item No.	Competitive Contract Award	Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)												
1.1	<p>Janitorial Supplies</p> <p>This item awards a contract to 15 vendors so that various County departments can purchase janitorial supplies. The cumulative contract total is \$8,503,000 for a five (5) year term with no subsequent options-to-renew (OTR) period.</p> <p><i>The following seven (7) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5899-3/14 - GERMICIDAL DETERGENT AND HAND SOAP SUPPLY - \$170,527 • 8288-3/12-4 - BRUSHES, BROOMS AND MOPS - \$68,420.34 • 8550-3/11-2 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR MDHA - \$374,626 • EPP4228-3/10-3 - LAUNDRY SUPPLIES - \$40,229 • IB6704-2/11-2 - PRE-MEASURE LAUNDRY DETERGENT - \$6,060 • IB7023-4/12-3 - DISHWASHING COMPOUND - \$99,060 • M0692-4/11-4 - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES - \$3,445,000 	<p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 15 vendors, 10 are local firms: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., Buckeye Cleaning Center-Miami, District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Ronnie’s Ace Hardware, Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. • Of the 10 local firms, 8 are certified SBEs: Best Janitorial & Supplies, Inc., District Healthcare & Janitorial Supplies, Inc., Faycroft Interior & Purchasing, Inc., Glocelcol, LLC, Pancar Industrial Supply, Rex Chemical Corp., Total Pack, Inc., and Total Connection, Inc. 												
Item No.	Prequalification Pool Contract Award	Local and Small Business Enterprises (SBEs)												
2.1	<p>Appliances, Parts, Supplies, and Accessories</p> <p>This item establishes a prequalification pool for purchase, delivery, installation, and removal of appliances, parts, supplies and accessories for various County departments from manufacturers’ authorized distributors. The initial five-year term is for \$4,955,000, with one (1), five-year OTR period in the amount of \$4,955,000, for a cumulative value of up to \$9,910,000.</p> <p><i>The following four (4) contracts are consolidated under the proposed contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11-006N - HOUSEHOLD,COMMERCIAL&INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$600,000 • 27-091E-1 - HOUSEHOLD, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL APPLIANCES - \$426,300 • 9081-4/14 - APPLIANCES, KITCHEN EQUIPMENT AND REPAIR - \$615,000 • 8516B-1/10 - APPLIANCE AND KITCHEN EQUIPMENT REPAIR/PARTS - \$500,000 	<p>Local and SBEs Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the four (4) proposed vendors, three (3) are local firms: AAA Supply, Lace Foodservice Corporation, and ABC Restaurant Supplies and Equipment, Inc. • Of the three (3) local firms, only one, AAA Supply, is certified as a SBE firm. 												

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes							
	Section 3 – Contract Modifications							
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Item No.</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Contract Title and Modification Reason</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.1</td> <td> <p>Fire Suppression Systems Maintenance</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> An additional 12 months and spending authority in the amount of \$1,175,000 to allow various County departments to continue purchasing maintenance services for fire suppression systems.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved the award of this contract in the amount of \$1,222,173 for a term of 12 months with four (4), 12-month OTR periods for a cumulative value of \$6,900,213.</p> <p>Previous modifications of this contract includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • June 11, 2009 - \$41,226 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department was approved under IDS’s authority. • May 28, 2010 - \$35,158 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to cover their need for the remaining contract period was approved under ISD’s authority. • April 18, 2011 - \$250,000 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department to repair the fire sprinklers system at the Pre-Trial Detention Center was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 7, 2011 - \$9,485 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to facilitate the remedial work required to correct deficiencies in existing fire suppression systems at the Port of Miami was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 29, 2012 – Am additional 6 months extending expiration date to May 31, 2013 and \$200,173 in additional spending was approved under the department’s authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing services while a replacement solicitation is finalized. <p>Comments / Questions According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, the replacement solicitation is under the County’s Cone of Silence with an Invitation to Bid open date of January 23, 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Is it necessary to extend for 12 months rather than solicit a new bid under such favorable market conditions?</i> <i>Market research shows our pricing continues to be very favorable. Extension of this contract allows user departments to continue accessing favorable pricing for this essential service while bids for the replacement solicitation are evaluated and an award recommendation is presented to the Board.</i> </td> <td> <p>Existing Allocation: \$1,761,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 12 months, extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,175,000 for a modified cumulative amount of \$2,936,000</p> <p>Funding Sources: Various, depending on the department. Includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Funds • State Funds • Grant Funds • Federal Funds • Fire District • Internal Service Funds • MDT Operating • Proprietary Funds </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority	3.1	<p>Fire Suppression Systems Maintenance</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> An additional 12 months and spending authority in the amount of \$1,175,000 to allow various County departments to continue purchasing maintenance services for fire suppression systems.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved the award of this contract in the amount of \$1,222,173 for a term of 12 months with four (4), 12-month OTR periods for a cumulative value of \$6,900,213.</p> <p>Previous modifications of this contract includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • June 11, 2009 - \$41,226 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department was approved under IDS’s authority. • May 28, 2010 - \$35,158 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to cover their need for the remaining contract period was approved under ISD’s authority. • April 18, 2011 - \$250,000 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department to repair the fire sprinklers system at the Pre-Trial Detention Center was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 7, 2011 - \$9,485 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to facilitate the remedial work required to correct deficiencies in existing fire suppression systems at the Port of Miami was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 29, 2012 – Am additional 6 months extending expiration date to May 31, 2013 and \$200,173 in additional spending was approved under the department’s authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing services while a replacement solicitation is finalized. <p>Comments / Questions According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, the replacement solicitation is under the County’s Cone of Silence with an Invitation to Bid open date of January 23, 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Is it necessary to extend for 12 months rather than solicit a new bid under such favorable market conditions?</i> <i>Market research shows our pricing continues to be very favorable. Extension of this contract allows user departments to continue accessing favorable pricing for this essential service while bids for the replacement solicitation are evaluated and an award recommendation is presented to the Board.</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$1,761,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 12 months, extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,175,000 for a modified cumulative amount of \$2,936,000</p> <p>Funding Sources: Various, depending on the department. Includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Funds • State Funds • Grant Funds • Federal Funds • Fire District • Internal Service Funds • MDT Operating • Proprietary Funds 	
Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority						
3.1	<p>Fire Suppression Systems Maintenance</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> An additional 12 months and spending authority in the amount of \$1,175,000 to allow various County departments to continue purchasing maintenance services for fire suppression systems.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved the award of this contract in the amount of \$1,222,173 for a term of 12 months with four (4), 12-month OTR periods for a cumulative value of \$6,900,213.</p> <p>Previous modifications of this contract includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • June 11, 2009 - \$41,226 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department was approved under IDS’s authority. • May 28, 2010 - \$35,158 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to cover their need for the remaining contract period was approved under ISD’s authority. • April 18, 2011 - \$250,000 in additional spending for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department to repair the fire sprinklers system at the Pre-Trial Detention Center was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 7, 2011 - \$9,485 in additional spending for the Seaport Department to facilitate the remedial work required to correct deficiencies in existing fire suppression systems at the Port of Miami was approved under ISD’s authority. • June 29, 2012 – Am additional 6 months extending expiration date to May 31, 2013 and \$200,173 in additional spending was approved under the department’s authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing services while a replacement solicitation is finalized. <p>Comments / Questions According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, the replacement solicitation is under the County’s Cone of Silence with an Invitation to Bid open date of January 23, 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Is it necessary to extend for 12 months rather than solicit a new bid under such favorable market conditions?</i> <i>Market research shows our pricing continues to be very favorable. Extension of this contract allows user departments to continue accessing favorable pricing for this essential service while bids for the replacement solicitation are evaluated and an award recommendation is presented to the Board.</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$1,761,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 12 months, extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,175,000 for a modified cumulative amount of \$2,936,000</p> <p>Funding Sources: Various, depending on the department. Includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Funds • State Funds • Grant Funds • Federal Funds • Fire District • Internal Service Funds • MDT Operating • Proprietary Funds 						
	<p><u>Citizens’ Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) Recommendation</u> On January 24, 2013, the CITT approved CITT Resolution No. 13-002 recommends that the BCC approves this modification, authorizing the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</p>							
8F6 130126	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3S) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT TO RATIFY AN EMERGENCY CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$482,960 FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES(Internal Services)							
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives formal bid procedures, pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the County Code by a two-thirds (2/3s) vote of the members present for the Emergency Grounds Maintenance contract. • Ratifies the authorization of the Emergency Grounds Maintenance contract in a total amount of up to \$482,960. 							

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes							
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute a contract for the Emergency Grounds Maintenance contact approved herein and exercise contract modifications, options-to-renew, any cancellation provisions, and any other rights contained therein in accordance with the terms and conditions of such contract. <p><u>Item 1 – Emergency Grounds Maintenance</u> Waives formal bid procedures to ratify an emergency contract award in the amount of up to \$482,960 to Ginley Lawn Service and Landscaping, Inc. for landscaping and maintenance services to 42 sites at Miami International Airport. This contract award is to replace a vendor that was previously terminated for default.</p> <p>Additional Information According to the ISD, the vendor that was terminated for default is Superior Landscaping and Lawn Service (Superior). Superior is also the vendor under two other contracts: (1) Contract No. 5566-4/12-4, Trees, Palms, Landscaping Services which expired on February 28, 2013, with no renewal periods; and (2) Contract No. 5571-4/12-4, Landscaping and Lawn Maintenance for GSA which expires on April 30, 2013; however, Seaport is doing the landscaping services in house so Superior is not getting any work under this contract.</p>							
8F7 130163	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MODIFICATION OF COMPETITIVE CONTRACTS FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$4,315,000 AND THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH CONTRACTS(Internal Services)							
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes the modification of competitive contracts, adding additional time and/or an additional \$4,315,000 of spending authority for the purchase of goods and services, and the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such contracts.							
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Item No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Contract Title and Modification Reason</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td> <p>Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,127,000 so Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can purchase elevator maintenance, services and modernization services.</p> <p>The proposed modification would transfer MDT’s needs from Contract No. 0058-2/14, Elevator Maintenance Services, to Contract No. 1233-5/19, Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification, of which MDT is currently <u>not</u> a using department.</p> <p><u>Background</u> <i>On May 4, 2010, under Resolution No. 498-10, the BCC approved the award of Contract No. 1233-5/19 for a term of five (5) years with five (5), one-year OTR periods in the amount of \$3,474,000. The departments of Aviation, General Service Administration, Housing, Police, Library and Water and Sewer currently utilize this contract to provide elevator maintenance services and modernization of elevator equipment. The following is a summary of prior modifications to this contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On January 8, 2011, this contract was modified for additional spending under the DPM’s authority to transfer a Seaport allocation from another contract. In addition, Seaport borrowed from the Aviation department in order to compile an allocation of \$363,628 to provide extensive renovations and modification for three (3) ESS/Southeastern Hydraulic Passenger Elevators to meet Florida Building Code requirements.</i> <i>On July 3, 2012, this contract was modified for additional spending under the County Manager’s authority, by adding the scope of work of another contract. By increasing this contract by \$189,000, DPM was able to avoid a new solicitation.</i> <i>In addition to the transfers above, this contract was further modified on Oct. 2, 2012, under Resolution No. 841-12, for additional spending authority in the amount of \$633,000 to allow Miami-Dade Housing and Community Development to purchase elevator modernization services.</i> </td> <td> <p>Existing Allocation: \$4,663,000 for various departments.</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A - expiration date remains May 31, 2015.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,127,000 for a modified allocation of \$5,790,000.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority	1	<p>Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,127,000 so Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can purchase elevator maintenance, services and modernization services.</p> <p>The proposed modification would transfer MDT’s needs from Contract No. 0058-2/14, Elevator Maintenance Services, to Contract No. 1233-5/19, Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification, of which MDT is currently <u>not</u> a using department.</p> <p><u>Background</u> <i>On May 4, 2010, under Resolution No. 498-10, the BCC approved the award of Contract No. 1233-5/19 for a term of five (5) years with five (5), one-year OTR periods in the amount of \$3,474,000. The departments of Aviation, General Service Administration, Housing, Police, Library and Water and Sewer currently utilize this contract to provide elevator maintenance services and modernization of elevator equipment. The following is a summary of prior modifications to this contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On January 8, 2011, this contract was modified for additional spending under the DPM’s authority to transfer a Seaport allocation from another contract. In addition, Seaport borrowed from the Aviation department in order to compile an allocation of \$363,628 to provide extensive renovations and modification for three (3) ESS/Southeastern Hydraulic Passenger Elevators to meet Florida Building Code requirements.</i> <i>On July 3, 2012, this contract was modified for additional spending under the County Manager’s authority, by adding the scope of work of another contract. By increasing this contract by \$189,000, DPM was able to avoid a new solicitation.</i> <i>In addition to the transfers above, this contract was further modified on Oct. 2, 2012, under Resolution No. 841-12, for additional spending authority in the amount of \$633,000 to allow Miami-Dade Housing and Community Development to purchase elevator modernization services.</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$4,663,000 for various departments.</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A - expiration date remains May 31, 2015.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,127,000 for a modified allocation of \$5,790,000.</p>	
Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority						
1	<p>Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,127,000 so Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can purchase elevator maintenance, services and modernization services.</p> <p>The proposed modification would transfer MDT’s needs from Contract No. 0058-2/14, Elevator Maintenance Services, to Contract No. 1233-5/19, Elevator Maintenance, Services and Modernization – Prequalification, of which MDT is currently <u>not</u> a using department.</p> <p><u>Background</u> <i>On May 4, 2010, under Resolution No. 498-10, the BCC approved the award of Contract No. 1233-5/19 for a term of five (5) years with five (5), one-year OTR periods in the amount of \$3,474,000. The departments of Aviation, General Service Administration, Housing, Police, Library and Water and Sewer currently utilize this contract to provide elevator maintenance services and modernization of elevator equipment. The following is a summary of prior modifications to this contract:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On January 8, 2011, this contract was modified for additional spending under the DPM’s authority to transfer a Seaport allocation from another contract. In addition, Seaport borrowed from the Aviation department in order to compile an allocation of \$363,628 to provide extensive renovations and modification for three (3) ESS/Southeastern Hydraulic Passenger Elevators to meet Florida Building Code requirements.</i> <i>On July 3, 2012, this contract was modified for additional spending under the County Manager’s authority, by adding the scope of work of another contract. By increasing this contract by \$189,000, DPM was able to avoid a new solicitation.</i> <i>In addition to the transfers above, this contract was further modified on Oct. 2, 2012, under Resolution No. 841-12, for additional spending authority in the amount of \$633,000 to allow Miami-Dade Housing and Community Development to purchase elevator modernization services.</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$4,663,000 for various departments.</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A - expiration date remains May 31, 2015.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,127,000 for a modified allocation of \$5,790,000.</p>						

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
2	<p>Padlocks and Other Security Hardware – Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> An additional 60 months and \$3,047,000 in spending authority for various County departments to continue purchasing padlocks and other security hardware from a pool of vendors.</p> <p>Market Research conducted by ISD supports this extension rather than the solicitation of a replacement contract.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> The initial contract was awarded under the County Manager’s delegated authority for a term of 12 months (from May 1, 2008 to April 30, 2009) with four (4) 12-month OTR periods in the amount of \$677,000.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On July 18, 2008, under DPM’s delegated authority, this contract was increased by \$70,000. • Subsequently, on April 21, 2009, under Resolution No. 439-09, the BCC authorized the County Mayor to exercise the four (4), 12-month OTR periods in the amount of \$747,000 each, for a total of \$3,735,000. • On Aug. 24, 2009, under DPM’s authority, this contract was increased by \$71,000 for an additional allocation to Corrections; and on Sept. 15, an allocation to Water and Sewer in the amount of \$95,000 was authorized under DPM’s authority. <p><u>Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of the 16 vendors listed, only two (2) – American Plumbing Supply Co Inc., and Alex Interiors & Marine Canvas Inc. are SBE certified. 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$914,000 including \$256,000 of unallocated funds.</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 60 months, extending the expiration date from April 30, 2013 to April 30, 2018.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$3,047,000 for a modified allocation of \$3,705,000 (utilizing the \$256,000 of unallocated funds).</p>
3	<p>A/C Chiller and Related Equipment/Maintenance/Repair</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$21,000 so that Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can continue to purchase maintenance and repair services for A/C chillers and related equipment.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u> On March 4, 2008, under Resolution No. 228-08, the BCC authorized the award of this contract to four (4) vendors – A&M Mechanical Contractors, Inc., American Standards, Inc., Johnson Controls, Inc., and Weatherrol Maintenance Corp. - in the amount of \$2,748,000 for a term of three (3) years with two (2), one-year OTR periods.</p> <p>Subsequently, this contract has been modified several times for various departments, with Aviation being the largest user of this contract.</p> <p>This is the first modification request for MDT.</p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$2,492,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains Sept. 30, 2013.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$21,000 for a modified allocation of \$2,513,000.</p>
4	<p>Nuts and Bolts Inventory Services</p> <p><u>Reason for Modification</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$120,000 so Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) can continue to purchase nuts and bolts inventory services.</p> <p>Due to ongoing repairs of the aging system, MDT’s expenses under this contract have been higher than initially estimated.</p> <p><u>Background and Relevant Legislation</u></p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$89,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains July 31, 2014.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$120,000 for a modified allocation of \$209,000.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On July 19, 2011, under Resolution No. 617-11 in the amount of \$89,000 for thee (3) years with two (2), two-year OTR periods, for a cumulative value of \$221,000.</p> <p>The vendor, American Fasteners Corp., is Local, SBE and DBE certified, and is located in District 12.</p>
8F8 130165	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A RETROACTIVE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF HIALEAH, A FLORIDA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, AND APPROVING A RETROACTIVE PAYMENT OF AN ANNUAL RENTAL INCREASE AGREED UPON BY LANDLORD AND TENANT, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$511, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE HIALEAH NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE CENTER, 300 E. FIRST AVENUE, ROOMS 106, 109 AND 110 HIALEAH, FOR PREMISES TO BE UTILIZED BY THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT, WITH TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT OF \$22,719 IN RENTAL EXPENSES FOR THE ONE-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE PLUS THE ADDITIONAL ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>District 6</p> <p>This resolution authorizes execution of a retroactive Lease Agreement (Lease) at the Hialeah Neighborhood Service Center, 300 East First Avenue, Rooms 106, 109, and 110, with the City of Hialeah (City), a municipal corporation of the State of Florida.</p> <p>Specifically, the item authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leasing of approximately 899 square feet of air-conditioned office space, together with off-street parking; • A lease term of one year, plus an additional one year renewal option period; and • Reimburses the City in the amount of \$511 for additional space occupied by CAHSD during the prior lease year. <p>Fiscal Impact The total fiscal impact for the initial lease term will be \$11,355, which is comprised of \$10,407 in annual base rent (\$11.58 per square foot), the reimbursement of \$511 for increased space occupied by CAHSD from November 2011 through October 2012, and the County's lease management fee of \$437.</p> <p>The annual base rent for the subsequent one-year renewal option period will be \$11,364, comprised of \$10,927 in annual base rent (\$12.15 per square foot) and the County's lease management fee of \$437. The total projected fiscal impact for the one-year lease term plus the additional one-year renewal term will be \$22,719. The costs associated with this lease will be covered by CAHSD operating funds.</p> <p>Background CAHSD has been at this location since 1984 and has a need to continue utilizing the space to provide outreach services to the community, consisting of intake, screening, referral, counseling, follow-ups of emergency social services and voter registration.</p> <p>At its meeting of January 10, 2008, by R-18-08, the Board approved the most recent lease with the City for this site for one year plus one additional one-year renewal option period.</p> <p>The lease has a holdover provision that allowed the County to occupy the space on a month-to-month basis. In 2011, the Community Action Agency and the Human Services departments consolidated, which required additional space with the City's consent to accommodate the staff that was moved from other facilities that were closed permanently.</p> <p>The City allowed the County to occupy an additional 328 square feet of space with the condition that a rent increase for the additional space (\$511) would be paid upon execution of a new lease.</p> <p>The \$511 increase for the additional space for the past year is included in this item and will paid to the City upon approval by the Board.</p>
8F9 130168	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A LEASE AGREEMENT AT 8551 N.W. 64 STREET, MIAMI, WITH NEW MIAMI WAREHOUSES, LLC., A FLORIDA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, FOR PREMISES TO BE UTILIZED BY VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS AS STORAGE SPACE, WITH TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$65,454.00 FOR THE TERM OF THE LEASE, INCLUDING THE ADDITIONAL ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>District 12</p> <p>This resolution authorizes execution of a retroactive Lease Agreement with New Miami Warehouses, LLC., a Florida Limited Liability Company (Landlord), for property located at 8551 NW 64 Street, Miami, FL to be utilized by Vizcaya Museum and Gardens.</p> <p>Specifically, this resolution authorizes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The leasing of 3,000 square feet of office and warehouse space, together with off-street parking; and • A lease term of one year, plus an additional one year renewal option period. <p>Fiscal Impact The total fiscal impact for the first lease year is estimated to be \$32,415, which is comprised of \$27,000 in annual base rent (\$9 per square</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes							
	<p>foot); \$4,335 for electricity, alarm monitoring, real estate taxes and insurance; and the \$1,080 lease management fee.</p> <p>The annual base rent for the subsequent one-year renewal option period will be \$27,600 (\$9.20 per square foot). Additionally, during the one-year renewal period, the County will also cover the costs related to electricity, alarm monitoring, real estate taxes and insurance (\$4,335), and the lease management fee (\$1,104).</p> <p>The two-year fiscal impact is \$65,454 and will be covered by Vizcaya Museum and Garden’s proprietary funds.</p> <p>Background At its meeting of November 20, 2008, through R-1164-08, the Board approved a Lease Agreement for a two-year term with one additional one-year renewal option period.</p> <p><i>The lease had a holdover provision through December 31, 2012, which allowed the County to occupy the space on a month-to-month basis.</i></p> <p>Vizcaya Museum and Gardens has a need to continue utilizing this facility as storage.</p>							
8F10 130171	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MODIFICATION OF COMPETITIVE CONTRACTS FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$9,060,300(Internal Services)							
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes modifications to competitive contracts for purchase of goods and services in a total amount up to \$9,060,300.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Item No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Contract Title and Modification Reason</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td> <p>Marine Services and Equipment Rental - Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional 60 months and \$7,779,000 in spending authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing marine repair services and renting marine equipment.</p> <p>On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved this contract for a term of five (5) years from Dec. 1, 2007 to Nov. 30, 2012 in the amount of \$11,100,000 with no Option to Renew (OTR) periods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On March 13, 2009, under the County Manager’s authority, this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,500,000, increasing the total allocation to \$12,600,000 for the Parks and Recreation Department to respond to repairs needed to meet US Coast Guard regulations and assure boater safety. • On July 17, 2009, under the authority of Department of Procurement Management (Department), this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$400,000, increasing the total allocation to \$13,000,000 to fund three (3) projects scheduled through November 30, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What were the three projects and have they been completed?</i> • On April 23, 2012, under the Department’s authority, this contract was extended for six (6) months, extending the expiration date from Nov. 30, 2012 to May 31, 2013 to provide continuity of services until the replacement contract is awarded. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What is the status on the replacement contract?</i> <p>Comments According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, this is a contract to rent marine equipment inclusive of vessels, labor and tools to perform marine operations such as dredging, installation of pilings (post in the water to support a dock or pier), marine construction repairs to bridges, fender installation, lime rock boulder, bollard installation and underwater salvage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What are the anticipated projects under the proposed modification period?</i> </td> <td> <p>Existing Allocation: \$13,000,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 60 months extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2018.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$7,779,000 for a total allocation of \$20,779,000.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority	1	<p>Marine Services and Equipment Rental - Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional 60 months and \$7,779,000 in spending authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing marine repair services and renting marine equipment.</p> <p>On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved this contract for a term of five (5) years from Dec. 1, 2007 to Nov. 30, 2012 in the amount of \$11,100,000 with no Option to Renew (OTR) periods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On March 13, 2009, under the County Manager’s authority, this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,500,000, increasing the total allocation to \$12,600,000 for the Parks and Recreation Department to respond to repairs needed to meet US Coast Guard regulations and assure boater safety. • On July 17, 2009, under the authority of Department of Procurement Management (Department), this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$400,000, increasing the total allocation to \$13,000,000 to fund three (3) projects scheduled through November 30, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What were the three projects and have they been completed?</i> • On April 23, 2012, under the Department’s authority, this contract was extended for six (6) months, extending the expiration date from Nov. 30, 2012 to May 31, 2013 to provide continuity of services until the replacement contract is awarded. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What is the status on the replacement contract?</i> <p>Comments According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, this is a contract to rent marine equipment inclusive of vessels, labor and tools to perform marine operations such as dredging, installation of pilings (post in the water to support a dock or pier), marine construction repairs to bridges, fender installation, lime rock boulder, bollard installation and underwater salvage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What are the anticipated projects under the proposed modification period?</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$13,000,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 60 months extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2018.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$7,779,000 for a total allocation of \$20,779,000.</p>
Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority						
1	<p>Marine Services and Equipment Rental - Prequalification</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional 60 months and \$7,779,000 in spending authority to allow various County departments to continue purchasing marine repair services and renting marine equipment.</p> <p>On November 6, 2007, under Resolution No. 1227-07, the BCC approved this contract for a term of five (5) years from Dec. 1, 2007 to Nov. 30, 2012 in the amount of \$11,100,000 with no Option to Renew (OTR) periods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On March 13, 2009, under the County Manager’s authority, this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,500,000, increasing the total allocation to \$12,600,000 for the Parks and Recreation Department to respond to repairs needed to meet US Coast Guard regulations and assure boater safety. • On July 17, 2009, under the authority of Department of Procurement Management (Department), this contract was modified for an additional spending authority in the amount of \$400,000, increasing the total allocation to \$13,000,000 to fund three (3) projects scheduled through November 30, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What were the three projects and have they been completed?</i> • On April 23, 2012, under the Department’s authority, this contract was extended for six (6) months, extending the expiration date from Nov. 30, 2012 to May 31, 2013 to provide continuity of services until the replacement contract is awarded. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What is the status on the replacement contract?</i> <p>Comments According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System, this is a contract to rent marine equipment inclusive of vessels, labor and tools to perform marine operations such as dredging, installation of pilings (post in the water to support a dock or pier), marine construction repairs to bridges, fender installation, lime rock boulder, bollard installation and underwater salvage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Question: <i>What are the anticipated projects under the proposed modification period?</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$13,000,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: 60 months extending the expiration date from May 31, 2013 to May 31, 2018.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$7,779,000 for a total allocation of \$20,779,000.</p>						

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	2	<p>INFOR Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) Pool</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Additional spending authority in the amount of \$1,257,000 and award of a work order to purchase implementation services for the expansion of the existing INFOR Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) system at the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD).</p> <p>On July 3, 2012, under the County Mayor’s authority, a pre-qualification pool was established to provide professional services for future development, expansion, and implementation of the existing INFOR EAM System for a term of three (3) years for up to \$450,000, with one (1), three-year OTR period for a cumulative value of \$900,000.</p> <p>The INFOR EAM system is used on an enterprise basis to track and manage County equipment, facilities, assets, maintenance management, sustainability reporting, building maintenance management, and work orders. The pre-qualified pool provides the Information Technology Department (ITD) with the ability to augment staff with vendors experienced in working with the complex INFOR EAM system.</p> <p>Questions / Comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Does this modification change the scope that was approved under the initial contract?</i> • <i>Has the County authorized an award for a work order under a modification before?</i> 	<p>Existing Allocation: \$450,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains July 31, 2015.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$1,257,000 for a total allocation of \$1,707,000.</p>
	3	<p>Commission Redistricting Consultant Services</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Retroactive modification for an additional \$24,300 in spending authority for additional work associated with redistricting consulting services.</p> <p>On June, 21, 2011, the BCC waived competitive bidding and implementing orders, approving this contract to Olmedillo X5, Inc. for redistricting consulting Services in the amount of \$138,000 for a term of 12 months with no OTR period.</p> <p><u>Census Data</u></p> <p>The U.S. Census Bureau is required by the Constitution of the United States of America to conduct a count of the population every ten (10) years (a decennial census). The census data is imperative for state and local governments for federal and state funding, community and economic planning, redistricting, and ensuring they receive important services and resources for new schools, roads, hospitals, parks, housing projects and other public projects.</p> <p>The U.S. Census also affects the amount of federal funding received by the states, counties, and cities. The planning of Florida’s infrastructure such as, schools, government services, highways and roads, and senior centers is based on data derived from the U.S. Census. In fiscal year 2000, 85 percent of federal government grants to state and local governments were distributed based on state population and personal income. Every year, about \$300 billion in federal funds are distributed to local, state, and tribal governments based on census data (<i>Source: SunshineCensus2010.com</i>).</p>	<p>Existing Allocation: \$138,000</p> <p>Modified / Extended Term: N/A – expiration date remains Dec. 19, 2011.</p> <p>Increased Allocation: \$24,300 for a total allocation of \$162,300.</p>
8G1 122313	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012-13 FOR THE SOUTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY(Office of Management and Budget)		
Notes	<p>South Miami CRA - District 7.</p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the South Miami Community Redevelopment Agency’s (Agency’s) FY 2012-13 budget for the South Miami</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																												
	<p>Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$1,953,993.</p> <p>The Board must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funding in the Trust Fund, as required by Section III D of the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p>Additional Information On April 3, 2012, under Resolution No. 284-12, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted the South Miami Community Redevelopment Agency's FY 2011-12 budget for the Area. The CRA's budget included revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$2,791,942. The budget included revenue sources of County TIF revenues (\$509,744) and City TIF revenues (\$572,023), carryover from prior years (\$1,664,675), projected rent revenues from CRA owned properties (\$35,000) and interest earnings (\$10,500).</p> <p>The proposed budget for FY 2012-13 has a lower carryover from previous years (\$816,086 compared to \$1,664,675) and lower rent revenues and interest earnings.</p> <p>Currently, there are thirteen (13) approved CRAs in Miami-Dade County: 7th Avenue Corridor, Homestead, City Center, Southeast Overtown/Park West, Omni, Midtown Miami, North Miami, North Miami Beach, NW 79th Street, South Miami, Florida City, Naranja Lakes, and West Perrine.</p> <p>The Goulds/Cutler Ridge CRA is pending BCC approval.</p> <p>On December 4, 2012, under Resolution No. 996-12, the BCC approved the City of OpaLocka's Findings of Necessity Report.</p> <p>Below is a list of the thirteen approved CRAs and their TIF contribution rate:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">CRA</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">TIF Contribution Rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>7th Avenue Corridor</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>Homestead</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>City Center</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>Southeast Overtown/Park West</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>Omni</td><td>95% - County gets refund of 35% of the total TIF collected</td></tr> <tr><td>Midtown Miami</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>North Miami</td><td>95% - County gets a refund of all County TIF collected west of Biscayne Blvd.</td></tr> <tr><td>North Miami Beach</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>NW 79th Street</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>South Miami</td><td>50%</td></tr> <tr><td>Florida City</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>Naranja Lakes</td><td>95%</td></tr> <tr><td>West Perrine</td><td>95%</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>*Information from the Miami-Dade County Management and Budget website.</i></p>	CRA	TIF Contribution Rate	7 th Avenue Corridor	95%	Homestead	95%	City Center	95%	Southeast Overtown/Park West	95%	Omni	95% - County gets refund of 35% of the total TIF collected	Midtown Miami	95%	North Miami	95% - County gets a refund of all County TIF collected west of Biscayne Blvd.	North Miami Beach	95%	NW 79 th Street	95%	South Miami	50%	Florida City	95%	Naranja Lakes	95%	West Perrine	95%
CRA	TIF Contribution Rate																												
7 th Avenue Corridor	95%																												
Homestead	95%																												
City Center	95%																												
Southeast Overtown/Park West	95%																												
Omni	95% - County gets refund of 35% of the total TIF collected																												
Midtown Miami	95%																												
North Miami	95% - County gets a refund of all County TIF collected west of Biscayne Blvd.																												
North Miami Beach	95%																												
NW 79 th Street	95%																												
South Miami	50%																												
Florida City	95%																												
Naranja Lakes	95%																												
West Perrine	95%																												
8H1 130282	RESOLUTION RATIFYING SUBMITTAL OF GRANT APPLICATION TO NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS, ART WORKS FY 2013 GRANT PROGRAM FOR \$20,000.00 WITH MATCHING COUNTY IN-KIND FUNDS OF \$131,861.00 TO LAUNCH A COMMUNITY ECOART PROGRAM AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECEIVE AND EXPEND FUNDS AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AGREEMENTS, AMENDMENTS, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS REQUIRED BY THE GRANT UPON REVIEW AND FINAL APPROVAL BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY																												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ratifies submittal of grant application to National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), Art Works FY 2013 Grant Program for \$20,000.00 with matching County in-kind funds of \$131,861.00 to launch a community ecoart symposium and conduct a comprehensive ecoart apprenticeship program with mentor ecoartists in residence; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to receive and expend funds and to execute contracts, agreements, amendments, modifications, renewals and other documents as required by the grant upon review and final approval by the County Attorney as to legal sufficiency. <p>The grant funds will support an artist residency for visual and performance artists to create a series of workshops, a symposium, and a community-wide ecoart exhibit. Project participants will plan and create interrelated exhibitions, performances, film screening, and artist talks to educate audiences about the function and purpose of ecoart and to facilitate dialogue about sustainability and how to incorporate art and science collaborations in environmental stewardship activities.</p>																												

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Fiscal Impact The total project cost is \$151,861. If approved, NEA grant funds will cover \$20,000 of the project cost. The Miami-Dade County Parks Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) Deering Estate at Cutler will provide \$131,861 through in-kind resources as follows: salaries, fringe, and miscellaneous operating supplies and equipment. Travel expense will be paid by the Deering Estate Foundation.</p>
811 130239	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENTS AND MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S OVERTIME EXPENSES INCURRED DURING JOINT TASKFORCE WORK WITH THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR DESIGNEE'S ACTION TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, MODIFICATIONS, RENEWALS, AND EXTENSIONS, TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND TERMINATION CLAUSES CONTAINED THEREIN(Miami-Dade Police Department)</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's Designee to execute agreements between the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the U.S. Department of Justice and Miami-Dade County through the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>These agreements provide authority to the Federal Bureau of Investigation to pay overtime for the police officer(s) assigned full-time to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for expenses necessary for detection, investigation, and prosecution of crimes against the United States.</p> <p>The Agreements provide a mechanism to reimburse Miami-Dade County the overtime costs of assigned personnel. In addition, the agreements may include other documents, such as operational procedures, which are implemented to govern the partnership.</p> <p>Through these partnerships, the Miami-Dade Police Department is able to enhance the public safety of this community for the benefit of our citizens and visitors.</p> <p>Commencing upon the execution of this agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The FBI will, subject to availability of the required funding, reimburse Miami-Dade County for overtime payments made to the Miami-Dade Police Department officers assigned full-time to the task force. • Requests for reimbursement will be made on a monthly basis and should be forwarded to the FBI field office as soon as practical after the first of the month which follows the month for which reimbursement is requested. • Overtime reimbursement will be made directly to the Miami-Dade Police Department by the FBI. • Overtime reimbursement will be calculated at the usual rate for which the individual officer's time would be compensated in the absence of this agreement. • The Number of officers assigned full-time to the task force and entitled to overtime reimbursement by the FBI will be approved by the FBI in advance of each fiscal year. • Prior to submission of any overtime reimbursement requests, the agency must prepare an official document setting forth the identity of each officer assigned full-time to the task force, along the identity of each overtime hourly rates for each officer. • Each request for reimbursement will include the name, rank, identification number, overtime compensation rate, number of reimbursable hours claimed, and the dates of these hours for each officer for whom reimbursement is sought. • Each request for reimbursement will include an invoice, invoice date, taxpayer identification number, and the correct banking information to complete the electronic fund transfer. • Requests for reimbursement must be received by the FBI no later than December 31st of the next fiscal year for which the reimbursement applies. • This agreement is effective upon signature of the parties and will remain in effect for the duration of the Miami-Dade Police Department's participation in the task force, contingent upon approval of necessary funding, and unless terminated in accordance with the provisions of the agreement. <p>Fiscal Impact There is no fiscal impact to the County.</p>
8K1 130343	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FY 2013 ACTION PLAN FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS AND UPDATES TO THE FY 2013-2017 CONSOLIDATED PLAN; AUTHORIZING THE FILING WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (U.S. HUD) MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S FY 2013 ACTION PLAN WITH PROJECTED USES OF FUNDS FOR FY 2013 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG), HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS (HOME), THE EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAMS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM INCOME AND HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the FY 2013 Action Plan, the annual update to the FY2013-2017 Consolidated Plan, and the FY 2013 Funding Recommendations identified in Exhibit I of the item for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnerships (HOME), and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) programs;

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to allocate CDBG and HOME Program Income funds to projects also identified in Exhibit I; • Approves the allocation of HOME funds to the Community Action Human Services Department (CAHSD) and the Homeless Trust (HT), respectively for rental assistance and rehabilitation of a homeless facility; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to submit the FY 2013 Action Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD), which requires an additional extension beyond the February 4, 2013 deadline approved by U.S. HUD, and to execute all conditional commitments, contracts, agreements and amendments necessary to implement the FY 2013 Action Plan, with an effective date of January 1, 2013, for CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding. <p>This item was amended at the February 11, 2013 Health and Social Services Committee meeting to remove the Commission District Fund allocations for District 11 and 12 on pages 24 and 29 of Exhibit I, and on pages 41, 42, and 47 on Attachment D. The two Commission District Fund Allocations will be made in a subsequent agenda item from program income. In addition, the item is amended to include a policy for the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to follow as to recapture and reallocation of funds allocated in the FY 2013 Action plan. Additionally, this item also corrects the scrivener’s errors in the CDBG Program Income amount and the total of all sources amount in Table 1.</p> <p>Background On September 6, 2012, the Board approved the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan through R-692-12. The FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan is the strategic plan intended for implementation in the FY 2013 Consolidated Request for Application (RFA) process. The FY 2013 Action Plan was developed with participation from the Community Advisory Committees (CACs), community residents, and both the public and private sectors. This plan reflects the input gathered from neighborhood meetings, community-based organizations (CBOs), community development corporations (CDCs), municipalities, and County departments.</p> <p>The first required public hearing was held on September 6, 2012 before the Board to obtain public comments about the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan that formed the basis for the FY 2013 Action Plan recommendations. The second public hearing was scheduled for February 11, 2013 at which time the proposed FY 2013 Action Plan will be considered by the Housing and Social Services Committee (HSSC).</p> <p>U.S. HUD requires that the FY 2013 Action Plan be made available for public comments for 30 calendar days prior to the final adoption of the funding recommendations by the Board. On December 20, 2012, the County issued a public notice informing the public of the availability of the FY 2013 Action Plan.</p> <p>Funding sources available in the FY 2013 Action Plan:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">FY 2013 Federal Funding Sources</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$10,611,175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CDBG Program Income (CDBG PI)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,929,016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Home Investment Partnerships (HOME)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,507,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HOME Income (HOME PI)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,048,427</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,410,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Miscellaneous Rental New Construction</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,300,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Miscellaneous Rental Rehabilitation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,300,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total All Sources</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$24,695,618</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>FY 2013 Funding Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDBG Funds: Miami-Dade County departments are recommended to receive \$4,244,000, or forty percent (40%), of the estimated FY 2013 CDBG allocation to undertake activities that benefit low-to-moderate income residents in the Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas and eligible block groups. • HOME Funds: The FY 2013 HOME program funding available is estimated at \$8.3 million. The HOME program provides funds for permanent and construction loans, homeless housing and related assistance, to assist very low- and low-to-moderate income families to purchase or rent affordable housing units. It should be noted that, due to existing for-sale housing market conditions, the FY 2013 Action Plan does not propose the funding of new homeownership construction activities, consistent with FY 2011 and FY 2012. • HOME Funds: County departments recommended for FY 2013 HOME funds for rehabilitation of facilities to continue housing and services to the homeless and for rental assistance projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ FY 2013 HOME Recommendations - Agency Activity Description Activity Address Dollars Community Action and Human Services Department (CAHSD) Tenant-based Rental Assistance (TBRA) for security deposit and utility deposit assistance countywide \$229,500; and Miami-Dade Homeless Trust (HT) Rehabilitation of homeless facility 777 NW 30 Street Miami, FL (District 3) \$250,000. • Emergency Solutions Grant: a total of \$1,300,000 of FY 2013 ESG funds is available for allocation. PHCD recommends Citrus Health Network, Inc. receive \$550,000 for their Housing Assistance Network of Dade (HAND) program and Camillus House, Inc. 	FY 2013 Federal Funding Sources		Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$10,611,175	CDBG Program Income (CDBG PI)	\$2,929,016	Home Investment Partnerships (HOME)	\$3,507,000	HOME Income (HOME PI)	\$3,048,427	Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)	\$1,410,000	Miscellaneous Rental New Construction	\$3,300,000	Miscellaneous Rental Rehabilitation	\$1,300,000	Total All Sources	\$24,695,618
FY 2013 Federal Funding Sources																			
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$10,611,175																		
CDBG Program Income (CDBG PI)	\$2,929,016																		
Home Investment Partnerships (HOME)	\$3,507,000																		
HOME Income (HOME PI)	\$3,048,427																		
Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)	\$1,410,000																		
Miscellaneous Rental New Construction	\$3,300,000																		
Miscellaneous Rental Rehabilitation	\$1,300,000																		
Total All Sources	\$24,695,618																		

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>receive \$750,000 for the operations of the Beckham Hall Emergency Shelter facility.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sixteen agencies were not recommended for funding because the principals of the agency have been previously approved for activities that are now liabilities to Miami-Dade County. (See page 7 for issues and concerns) • Several agencies did not meet the financial underwriting criteria required to assess developer capacity, fiscal soundness, and market demand for development projects and/or principals connected to liability activities. (See page 8 for list of agencies)
8K1 Supp. 130345	SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE FY 2013 - 2017 CONSOLIDATED PLAN - FY 2013 ACTION PLAN FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS
Notes	<p>This supplemental information is provided to be included in the amended item and to correct the following scrivener's errors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Handwritten page 4 of the County Mayor's Memo in Table I, the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program Income amount should read as \$2,929,378 instead of \$2,929,016. • Handwritten page 4 of the County Mayor's Memo in Table I, the Total All Sources amount should read as \$26,105,980 instead of \$24,695,618. <p>Handwritten page 31 of Exhibit I, the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) administrative fee should read as 7.5% instead of 10% and the total administrative fee amount should read as \$105,750 instead of \$110,000.</p>
8L1 130260	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND THE CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA FOR REMEDIATION AND CLOSURE OF THE VIRGINIA KEY LANDFILL
Notes	<p>This resolution authorizes execution of an interlocal agreement between Miami-Dade County, Florida and the City of Miami (City), Florida for remediation and closure of the City's Virginia Key Landfill (Agreement). The proposed Agreement is consistent with Board resolution R-244-04, which approved the conceptual plan to fund closure and remediation of landfill sites throughout the County (Conceptual Landfill Closure Plan). The closure and remediation cost estimated for the Landfill is \$46 million.</p> <p>The County's completion of the landfill remediation may take place on or before January 1, 2018.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact In 2005, the County issued \$73.5 million Solid Waste System revenue bonds to fund a variety of ground water protection and remediation projects, including \$28 million programmed for the Virginia Key Landfill remediation and closure project (Project). It is anticipated that a later bond issuance will fund the remaining \$18 million (\$46 million total).</p> <p>Utility Service Fee revenues that accrue to the Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM) at the current rate of approximately \$22 million annually will be the sole source of funding for the Project.</p> <p>Pursuant to the proposed Interlocal Agreement, in the event that the landfill remediation and closure project is completed for less than the estimated \$46 million, the city may submit claims for reimbursement against the remaining estimate balance for remediation, monitoring and long-term care costs at the landfill or any other Utility Service Fee eligible cost.</p> <p>Agreement Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The County will be solely responsible for the complete remediation and closure of the Landfill by performing all necessary studies, plans, reports, design plans, permitting activities, agency coordination, construction and waste removal as may be necessary to comply with all Federal, State, County and local environmental regulations and requirements. • The plans submitted for approval and the work performed by the County will be specifically limited to those minimum activities, such as construction of monitoring wells, soil probes and remedial system components required as part of the remediation and closure of the landfill without regard to any future planned use by the City of Miami. • In no instance will funds be used for construction of facilities which are not required as part of the minimum required remediation and landfill closure. • In addition, the County will bear the cost of any modifications to the landfill remediation and closure that may be required by regulatory agencies subsequent to closure certification resulting from failure to meet any requirements in effect at the time of closure certification. • The remediation and landfill closure plans <u>will attempt to complement</u> the future recreational land use envisioned for the landfill, as depicted in the Virginia Key Master Plan, adopted by the City of Miami Commission on July 22, 2010, and as may be amended prior to finalization of the remediation and closure plans. • During the closure construction and in the event that the City of Miami desires to construct utility corridors, foundations or other similar facilities for future recreational use of the landfill, the County will coordinate with the City of Miami on this work, provided that the City of Miami pays the incremental cost for such facilities, including hard and soft costs as consulting fees. • The soil used as part of the County's remediation must meet, as a minimum, the County's Soil re-use guidelines and requirements for residential soil. All soil must be tested off-site and the appropriate environmental agencies must provide the City of Miami

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>with the necessary clearances prior to the soil being transported to the landfill.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City of Miami will fully cooperate in the presentation of all plans and reports required by the Agreement and will provide any and all owner approvals required by any regulatory agency or contractor. The City will fully cooperate in any construction or work required to complete the closure of the landfill. The County's Bon Engineer will provide oversight for the landfill remediation and closure work to ensure that the use of the Utility Service Fee funds is limited to eligible costs. The City has conducted partial studies related to the landfill. Accordingly, the County will reimburse the City for any eligible prior work performed by the City under this or the Grant Agreement related to the landfill. It is agreed that no deviation from the terms will be predicated upon any prior representations or agreements, whether oral or written. It is further agreed that any oral presentations or modifications concerning this Agreement will be of no force or effect, and that this Agreement may be modified, altered or amended only by a written amendment duly executed by both parties hereto and authorized representatives. <p>Questions posed to PWWM staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approximately how much work has the City of Miami performed at the landfill? <u>Section VII COUNTY PAYMENT TO CITY</u> of the proposed Interlocal Agreement states that the city has conducted partial studies to the landfill. <i>According to PWWM staff, the City was given an County grant of \$650,000 in 2004 to perform certain studies relating to the Virginia Key Landfill. The County has received two draw requests against the grant (which is held in escrow) and approved \$279,156 and approximately \$370,000 remains unspent. Under the new grant, which replaces the old grant, the Bond Engineer will determine if any additional work already done by the City is eligible for reimbursement.</i> What other similar facilities may the City of Miami construct on this site? <i>County Payment of Post Closure Maintenance and Monitoring costs up to \$1 million per year is based on the Landfill being developed for a <u>public purpose</u>, specifically a park and associated facilities (which associated facilities are undefined).</i> Port Tunnel dirt and Virginia Landfill site: <i>Each load of Port Soil is tested at the Landfill to determine if it meets RER Residential criteria (highest level); it is appropriate for this application as approved by RER; the department needs approximately 400,000 cubic yards and will have somewhat more than that amount when the tunnel is complete.</i>
8L2 130261	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A STANDARD FORM OF THE SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND CONTRACT CITIES FOR USE OF THE COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
Notes	<p>This is a companion item to Item 8L1 which funds the remediation and closure project at Virginia Key.</p> <p>On June 5, 2012, the BCC, through R-479-12, approved a resolution directing the Mayor to proceed with the remediation and closure of the Virginia Key Landfill contingent upon City execution of both an interlocal agreement to fund the remediation and closure project and a long-term waste disposal agreement with the County.</p> <p>This resolution authorizes an execution of a standard form of the Second Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Contract Cities for Use of the County Solid Waste Management System (Agreement). The updated Agreement will allow the County to retain the City of Miami as a waste disposal customer at least until 2032.</p> <p>Municipalities who incorporated after 1996 are served by the County for solid waste collection in accordance with the Miami-Dade County Code and Home Rule Amendment and Charter.</p> <p><i>The proposed Agreement is available to all municipalities that desire to renew their agreements, those that have already renewed using the First Amended version of the agreement and any new Contract Cities. Currently, eighteen (18) Miami-Dade County municipalities have waste disposal agreements with the County. Of these, four (4) have already been renewed beyond their original 2015 expiration date.</i></p> <p>Fiscal Impact Based on preliminary data for FY2011-12, total annual waste disposal fee revenue from Contract Cities amounted to approximately \$24 million. This figure represents approximately 42 percent of annual waste disposal fee revenue for the Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM). It is important to maintain waste disposal fee revenue from Contract Cities at or above current levels in order to comply with our bond covenants related to debt service coverage and fund fixed and variable costs for disposal operations.</p> <p>The City of Miami is the County's largest Contract City, accounting for approximately 42 percent of annual municipal waste deliveries and 9.7 percent of annual County Solid Waste Management System (System) waste deliveries. On a combined disposal and transfer fee basis, this amount of waste deliveries represents approximately \$10 million in annual revenue to the PWWM. The updated Agreement will allow the County to retain the City of Miami as a waste disposal customer at least until 2032.</p> <p>Background Municipalities that desire to use the County Solid Waste Management System (System) for their waste disposal needs may enter into a long-term interlocal agreement with the County and obtain the reduced disposal rate (Contract Rate), currently \$63.65 per ton. The Non-Contract rate, for those parties without a long-term agreement is currently \$83.92 per ton.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Proposed Agreement Accomplishes the Following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminates the need for multiple attachments to the Agreement. • Changes the format of the Agreement to more clearly state that the terms of the Agreement apply to each Contract City individually rather than Contract Cities generally. • Eliminates the definition of Construction and Demolition debris and its inclusion as a source separated recyclable material, as this material is recycled exclusively outside the System by private entities. • Clarifies the difference between the waste the Contract City must deliver to the County or have delivered on its behalf (Municipal Solid Waste) and that collected by others, including waste collected from Contract City owned facilities, which is not committed to the System (Solid Waste). • Includes a provision that establishes the circumstances under which a Contract City could use a County permitted waste disposal or transfer facility located in Miami-Dade County that is not a part of the System. • Updates disposal and transfer fees to use the System to the current rates and clarifies that the disposal rate the Contract Cities pay is the County's lowest rate for municipal solid waste disposal. • Provides that whether the County's Resources Recovery Facility is processing waste on-site or waste is transferred from that facility to a final disposal destination, Contract Cities will pay only the Contract City Rate. • Reduces the five (5) percent cap on annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) increases to four (4) percent to spread the potential impact of a large (greater than 4%) CPI increase over a multi-year period (2 or more years); the last time the average CPI was over four (4) percent was 1991 (4.2%).
8M1 130170	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENT OF \$350,000 FROM THE BISCAYNE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT TRUST FUND TO CONDUCT ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT IN BISCAYNE BAY THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BISCAYNE BAY RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
Notes	<p>Districts 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9</p> <p>Pursuant to Section 24-40 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), the proposed resolution authorizes the disbursement of funds not to exceed \$350,000 from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund (BBEETF) to provide funding for environmental enhancement and restoration activities within Biscayne Bay such as the removal and disposal of trash and solid waste from restored bay islands, and the planting of native wetland plants and the control of exotic plants at other sites restored through the Miami-Dade County Biscayne Bay Restoration and Enhancement Program.</p> <p>This disbursement will provide funding for maintenance activities at such sites to include removing and disposing of trash and solid waste from bay islands, and planting native plants and controlling exotic plant species in restored areas of Biscayne Bay and its foreshore. These restoration activities will be conducted over the next two years by County staff and by outside contractors under a competitive bidding process.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> This resolution authorizes the disbursement of funds from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund for Biscayne Bay restoration and enhancement activities. This disbursement is consistent with Section 24-40 of the Code of Miami-Dade County that allows the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund to be used for the restoration and enhancement of Biscayne Bay and its foreshore. As of November 30, 2012, the BBEETF available balance is \$1,284,000.</p>
8M2 130333 and 8M3 130339	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING CONFIDENTIAL PROJECT NO. 13-00256 FOR A STATE OF FLORIDA BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT BONUS TAX REFUND PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE § 288.107 WHILE OPTING OUT OF THE LOCAL MATCH AND FURTHER PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p> <p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING LARKIN HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY CAMPUS FOR A STATE OF FLORIDA BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT BONUS REFUND PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES § 288.107 WHILE OPTING OUT OF THE LOCAL MATCH AND FURTHER PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p><u>Agenda Item 8M2</u> The proposed resolution supports Confidential Project No. 13-00256 for a State of Florida Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Tax Refund pursuant to Florida Statute § 288.107 while opting out of the local match. The State requires that the locality provide financial support; therefore, the County must request a waiver to opt out of the 20 percent match requirement.</p> <p><u>Agenda Item 8M3</u> The proposed resolution supports Larkin Health Sciences University Campus for a State of Florida Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Refund pursuant to Florida Statutes § 288.107 while opting out of the local match. The State requires that the locality provide financial support; therefore, the County must request a waiver to opt out of the 20 percent match requirement.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	Summary of Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Refund Projects Agenda Items 8M2 and 8M3 <i>Project Details</i>	
	Agenda Item 8M2	Agenda Item 8M3
	Subject Area	Application
	Confidential Project 13-00256	Larkin Health Sciences University Campus
	Location	Commission District
	Confidential	Folio Number 30-6933-008-0140
	Date of Application	Date of Application
	District 6	District 9
	November 30, 2012	January 15, 2013
	Fiscal Impact	Fiscal Impact
	Approval of this Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Tax Refund will not create a negative fiscal impact to the County. Through this program, the business is eligible to receive up to \$2,500 for each job created and the State will pay 80 percent (or \$2,000) for each job created or up to \$240,000 for the estimated 120 jobs to be created. The County opts out of its 20 percent match in the Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Tax Refund Program because it can support businesses through other incentive programs. Under Florida Statute 288.107 the business is eligible for the Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Tax Refund incentive providing that certain criteria are met.	Approval of this Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus Tax Refund will not create a negative fiscal impact to the County. Through this program, the business is eligible to receive up to \$2,500 for each job created and the State will pay 80 percent (or \$2,000) for each job created or up to \$300,000 for the estimated 150 jobs to be created. The County opts out of its 20 percent match in the Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus program because it can support businesses through other incentive programs. Under Florida Statute 288.107 the business is eligible for the Brownfield Redevelopment Bonus incentive providing that certain criteria are met.
	Overall Business Activity / Mission	Overall Business Activity / Mission
	To construct and operate a 100,000 sq. ft. discount membership warehouse club in Southwest Miami-Dade County.	To construct and operate a 250,000 square feet health sciences university campus in Southwest Miami-Dade County located in the Naranja Community Redevelopment Area.
	Proposed Local Business Activity	Proposed Local Business Activity
	National retail discount membership club chain	Higher Education
	Proposed Capital Investment	Proposed Capital Investment
	\$5.3 million in capital improvements.	\$64 million in capital improvements.
	Targeted Industry	Targeted Industry
	Retail	Education
	Proposed Location in Designated Priority Area	Proposed Location in Designated Priority Area
	Yes, Brownfield	Yes, Brownfield
	New or Expanding Business	New or Expanding Business
	Expanding	New
	Number of Direct Jobs to be Created / Retained	Number of Direct Jobs to be Created / Retained
	120 residents with annualized wages of \$21,820.00 from the local area.	150 residents with annualized wages of \$30,000.00 from the local area.
	Issues	Issues
	<i>Under Florida Statute 288.107, Confidential Project 13-00256 is eligible to apply for the Brownfield Bonus to help offset cost spent to date to investigate and address legacy environmental issues associated with the property and their potential impact on redevelopment. Such legacy environmental issues include widespread contamination in soil and groundwater documented at the subject property over the past 40 years first originated in 1968 with the commencement of lumber treatment operations by the former Miami Wood Treating Company and continued until approximately 1981.</i>	<i>The applicant has had a history of past legal issues which have currently been resolved.</i>
9A1 130303	RESOLUTION APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S SINGLE FAMILY HOME REHABILITATION LOAN PROGRAM AND BUTLER FAMILY'S HOME AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNDER WHICH COUNTY PAYMENTS TOTAL \$100,000.00	
Notes	<p>This resolution approves a Settlement Agreement totaling \$100,000 to Ms. Sheila Butler and her family resolving claims pursuant to Ms. Butler's participation in the Single Family Home Rehabilitation Loan Program administered by the Miami-Dade County Community Action and Human Services Department (CAHSD).</p> <p>Settlement Agreement Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County will not recommend or select a contractor for Ms. Butler. 	

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rehabilitation of the property will be performed at Ms. Butler’s direction and monitored and approved by both the CAHSD and the Miami-Dade County Regulatory and Economic Resources Department (“RER”). • CAHSD will ensure that the performance benchmarks outlined in the Settlement Agreement have been completed and are in compliance with all applicable building codes prior to payment. • The Butler family will remain obligated pursuant to the terms of their outstanding County loan documents, but the period of time Ms. Butler has lived outside the subject Property since execution of the aforementioned three sets of loan documents will not count against the time frames noted in those loan documents for forgiveness and/or deferral of repayment if Ms. Butler: (1) begins rehabilitation of the subject property in good faith within 30 days of receipt of the first payment made under the Settlement Agreement, (2) complies with County monitoring as described above, (3) completes rehabilitation in good faith within 180 calendar days of receipt of the first settlement payment, (4) obtains a certificate of occupancy, and (5) thereafter resides in the Property for the remainder of the loan forgiveness and repayment deferral periods. <p>Additional Notes Pursuant to the Property Appraiser website property search: the 2012 Assessed Market Value per Property Appraiser: \$33,687</p>
10A1 130172	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE’S ACTION IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE POLICY AND SERVICES RESEARCH DATA CENTER AT THE LOUIS DE LA PARTE FLORIDA MENTAL HEALTH INSTITUTE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA FOR THE COUNTY TO SHARE CLIENT DATA OBTAINED FROM THE COUNTY’S HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM WITH THE UNIVERSITY
Notes	<p>This resolution ratifies a Memorandum of Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Policy and Services Research Data Center at the Louis De La Parte Florida Mental Health Institute at the University of South Florida (University), to allow for the County to provide information on clients of the County’s homeless continuum of care from the Miami-Dade Homeless Trust’s Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to the University.</p> <p>It is anticipated that this research will generate unique insights into predictors of mental health crises and other adverse outcomes, which offer the potential to design interventions and technologies targeting prevention and more cost effective services.</p> <p><i>Furthermore, according to the accompanying background, the University has extensive experience performing these types of data manipulations in accordance with data use agreements that correspond to state and federal protections regarding data sharing in the context of protected health information.</i></p> <p>Fiscal Impact <i>There is no fiscal impact to the County as the Agreement between the County and the University provides that any costs associated with the provision of the data for the research will be paid by Otsuka America Pharmaceuticals, Inc. directly to the County’s contractor responsible for the HMIS system, Bowman Systems.</i></p> <p>Agreement Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The County has received a letter from the Secretary of the Florida Department of Children and Families authorizing the University to receive this protected data from the County.</i> • <i>The University agrees to finish de-identifying all information within six months and return to the County or properly dispose of all protected data within thirty days of completion of the de-identification process, unless legally required to keep said information.</i> • <i>The University agrees to maintain the confidentiality of all protected data received from the County and to develop appropriate administrative, physical and technical safeguards to prevent illegal use or disclosure of protected data.</i> • <i>The University agrees not to make any use of client information or protected data other than to de-identify the data in accordance with the terms of the Agreement. The Agreement does not contain an indemnification provision favoring either party.</i> • <i>Only the County and the University are parties to this Agreement; Otsuka America Pharmaceuticals, Inc., South Florida Behavioral Health Network and IBM are not parties to this Agreement.</i> • <i>The Miami-Dade Homeless Trust is not involved in or party to any contracts concerning this research between Otsuka America Pharmaceuticals, Inc., South Florida Behavioral Health Network and/or IBM.</i> • <i>The research project has already commenced its data analysis with other non-homeless data, requiring the execution of the data sharing agreement to ensure the inclusion of homeless data maintained by the County, through the Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust.</i> <p>Additional Notes - History of the Louis De La Parte Florida Mental Health Institute (FMHI) The mission of the Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute is to improve the lives of people with mental, addictive, and developmental disorders through research, training, and education. Established by the Florida legislature in 1967, the Institute is recognized as Florida’s premier research and training center for behavioral health services and is a recognized national leader. FMHI’s behavioral health research and training projects are supported mostly through grants and contracts, with about \$26 million in new awards during the last fiscal year alone.</p>
10A2 130088	RESOLUTION APPROVING ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY VARIABLE RATE DEMAND REVENUE BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$15,000,000 AND IN ONE OR MORE SERIES TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR A PART OF THE COSTS OF A CAPITAL PROJECT BENEFITING THE CONVENT OF THE SACRED HEART OF MIAMI, INC. D/B/A CARROLLTON SCHOOL OF THE

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	SACRED HEART, A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR PURPOSES OF AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED(Industrial Development Authority)
Notes	<p>District 7</p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the issuance of the Miami-Dade County Industrial Development Authority Variable Rate Demand Revenue Bonds (IDA Bonds) in an amount not to exceed \$15 million for the Convent of the Sacred Heart of Miami, Inc. <i>d/b/a</i> Carrollton School of the Sacred Heart Project.</p> <p>The IDA Bonds and the interest on the Bonds will not constitute a debt, liability or general obligation of the Authority, the County or of the State of Florida or of any political subdivision thereof, but will be payable solely from the revenues or other moneys specifically provided by the Borrower for the payment of the IDA Bonds and neither the faith and credit nor any taxing power of the Authority, the County or of the State of Florida or of any political subdivision thereof is pledged to the payment of the principal or interest on the Bonds.</p> <p>The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act, Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (TEFRA) requires that in order for the interest on IDA Bonds to be exempt from Federal Income Tax, such proposed bonds must be approved by either a voter referendum or by an applicable elected legislative body after a public hearing following reasonable notice.</p> <p>Similar IDA Bond items recently adopted by the BCC include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oct. 2, 2012, under Resolution No. 805-12, BCC approved the issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$15,500,000 to finance capital project benefiting the United Way of Miami-Dade, Inc. • June 5, 2012, under Resolution No. 471-12, BCC approved issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$5,375,000 to finance capital project benefiting Badia Spices. • Nov. 15, 2011, under Resolution No. 1003-11, BCC approved the issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$89,500,000 to finance capital project at a request of Wexford Science and Technology, LLC. • Sept. 20, 2011, under Resolution No. 737-11, BCC approved the issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$75,000,000 to finance capital project benefiting the Waste Management Inc. • Nov. 4, 2010, under Resolution No. 1098-10, BCC approved the issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$18,500,000 to finance capital project benefiting Goodwill Industries of South Florida Inc. • July 8, 2010, under Resolution No. 752-10, , BCC approved the issuance of IDA Bonds not to exceed \$160,000,000 to finance capital project benefiting the Wexford Science and Technology, LLC.
11A1 130172 <i>Deferral Requested</i>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT A POLICY APPLICABLE TO MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT ("MDPD") PERSONNEL HIRED AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION THAT ALLOWS THE USE OF A TAKE HOME VEHICLE ONLY IF THE NEWLY HIRED MDPD PERSONNEL RESIDE IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>This resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to implement a policy applicable to Miami-Dade Police Department personnel hired after the effective date of this resolution that allows the use of a take home vehicle only if the newly-hired personnel reside in Miami-Dade County.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the February 13, 2013, Public Safety and Animal Services Committee, the following amendment was inserted: <i>on handwritten page 3, third paragraph, line 2 following the word "FLORIDA," to insert the language: "...notwithstanding Resolution R-1392-06..." and to add to the last line "...or if the Police Director determines that the specialized nature of service or nature of vehicle necessitates an expeditious response requirement and exception to this policy..."</i> <p>Additional Notes</p> <p>On December 6, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners, through R-1031-11 and R-1030-11, ratified both the Police Benevolent Association Rank and File and Law Enforcement Supervisory Collective Bargaining Agreements. Among the changes, the Agreements contain the following language pertaining assignment of vehicles and removal of the assigned vehicles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Article 43 - Vehicles, "<i>bargaining unit members who elect to have a take home vehicle assigned to them will pay \$50.00 per pay period towards the maintenance of that vehicle.</i>" <p>Pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreements, all of the bargaining unit employees, as well as all other employees (i.e. commanders/majors and above) assigned a take-home vehicle, had this deduction applied to their payroll after October 1, 2011.</p> <p>A memo, dated, December 13, 2011, from the Miami-Dade Police Director to Miami-Dade Police employees, states that the Department's vehicle usage policies was reevaluated. Effective January 3, 2012, official use of County vehicles will be restricted to the jurisdictional boundaries of Miami-Dade and Broward Counties. All vehicles privileges outside these jurisdictional areas are hereby rescinded.</p> <p>However, this policy was never implemented by the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>Other Jurisdictions</p> <p><u>Monroe County Sheriff's Office – Vehicle Operations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Sheriff's Office has a Take Home Unit (car) program for law enforcement certified (excluding Court Security Deputies),

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>necessary corrections certified and other necessary, by job function, members. (Revised 1/9/12)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certified members, while in the FTO Program, will only be assigned a take home unit after demonstrating to their Commander's satisfaction that they understand laws and regulations and can address any situation if it arises until assistance can arrive. The Sector Commander will document this in the FTO Manual. • Limitation on use of assigned unit for commute to and from work - To limit excessive expense, wear and tear on Office owned vehicles the following restrictions are being placed on work commute use. Members hired on and since March 6, 2006 are bound by this policy limitation on use of assigned unit. (Revised 02/27/12) • Members assigned to Sector's 1, 4 and 5 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) May use the unit anywhere in Monroe County. b) If the member lives outside of the county the unit must be parked at the Plantation Key Substation (Roth Building) and the member must commute to and from home from there. c) Their commander must approve any other location the member wishes to park their assigned unit within the county. • Members assigned to Sector's 6 – 7 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) May use their unit anywhere in Monroe County b) If the member lives outside of the county the member may drive the unit as far north as the Snapper Creek Service Plaza on the Florida Turnpike Extension. c) Members living south of the Snapper Creek Service Plaza may keep the unit at their residence. d) Their commander must approve any other location the member wishes to park their assigned unit south of the Snapper Creek Service Plaza. e) The unit may not be used for any personal business or recreational use while outside of the county. (Revised 4-21-2006) • Members hired prior to March 06, 2006 are bound by previous policy which states: Members who choose to live in Miami-Dade County are permitted to drive their assigned unit to and from work or work related function. The unit cannot be taken further than 50 miles from the county line on US1. If they live further than the 50 mile limit they may park the unit at an approved location while it is not in use, i.e. Florida Highway Patrol Station –Turnpike Snapper Creek Service Plaza. (Revised 02/27/12) • Sheriff's Office vehicles will not be taken out of the county except on official business or to commute to and from work as permitted under "M., 1., e. Limitation ..." above. • Members assigned a vehicle who reside in Monroe County may use the vehicle for general personal business, such as shopping, etc... within the restrictions in "5 & 6" immediately above. Members assigned a vehicle who reside outside Monroe County may not use the vehicle off duty for general personal business. • Assigned vehicles will not be used for, or to commute to or from, Off-Duty employment, as defined in Chapter 23 of the General Orders. (Revised 12/26/12) <p><u>Broward Sheriff's Take-Home Vehicle Policy Manual</u> 7.2.2 General</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of assigned vehicles will be limited to the tri-county area (Dade, Broward, and PBC) • Employees residing outside the tri-county area will not take their assigned vehicles home. They will leave the vehicles at the secure BSO locations and arrange personal transportation to and from their homes. • Employees residing in Miami-Dade and PBC will only be permitted to use their BSO vehicle to and from work and for official business. • Deputies residing in Broward County will be permitted and encouraged to use their assigned vehicles off duty, but only within Broward County. Deputies residing in Miami-Dade and PBC will be permitted to use their assigned vehicles off-duty, but within Broward County (i.e. during the time between special details or court appearances and regular duty assignments). • Use of assigned vehicles outside the tri-county area is restricted to official business and must be approved by the Sheriff or designee. <p>Additional Notes According to MDPD staff, there are 2,604 participants in the \$50 Take Home Vehicle Program. The \$50 per pay-period generates \$1,300 per year and \$1,300 x 2,604 = \$3.38 million.</p>
11A2 130299	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT A STUDY ON THE QUALITY OF THE AIR AND WATER IN THE AREA WEST OF MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, EAST OF THE TURNPIKE, SOUTH OF STATE ROAD 836, AND NORTH OF KENDALL AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE AIR AND WATER QUALITY</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to conduct a study on the air quality and water quality of the geographic area west of Miami International Airport, east of the Turnpike, south of State Road 836, and north of Kendall.</p> <p><i>Such a study will include, but not be limited to, an analysis of the data available for this geographic area from ambient groundwater monitoring wells and surface water stations, air monitors, and water quality and air quality data from those facilities that have conducted such testing pursuant to County permits and existing County requirements.</i></p> <p>The study will also address whether there are increasing trends in levels of pollutants in this geographic area, even if such levels do not violate federal, state, or local standards, and this study will include recommendations for improving the quality of the air and water in this geographic area.</p> <p>Additional Notes <u>Air Quality Index:</u> According to the Environmental Resource Management website, the air quality in Miami-Dade rarely falls below the Air Quality Index (AQI) range of "Good," but when it does, people with sensitivity to air pollution such as the elderly, the young, and those with</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>respiratory conditions, can be affected. Air quality information is recommended for individuals with respiratory illnesses, schools, day care centers, hospitals, and hospice and elderly service facilities.</p> <p>The Miami Dade Environmental Resources Management allows residents to subscribe to the Air Quality Notification Service that you can customize for your own needs, allowing you to protect the health of yourself and your family.</p> <p><u>Land Pollution Prevention:</u> Miami-Dade County works to protect air quality for everybody. This includes monitoring air pollution, making and enforcing air pollution laws, and issuing permits to control air pollution.</p> <p>Sources of air pollution include paint and body shops, dry cleaners, funeral homes, gas stations, and air conditioning service repair shops. Other sources that contribute to air pollution: when you drive your car, start-up that lawn mower or weed trimmer, top off when fueling up, fire up the barbecue or use a can of spray paint.</p> <p>In 2007, the Board of County Commissioners approved the Long Term CO2 Reduction Plan report for Miami-Dade County (1993-2006). The County adopted a comprehensive plan to reduce our local contribution to global climate change The plan, called the "Urban CO2 Reduction Plan," identifies 35 unique opportunities to improve County operations, reduce energy demand, improve our quality of life, and establish an example for the rest of the country to follow. The plan targets energy use, transportation, land use, and solid waste as the primary contributors to climate change locally. A number of measures have been taken and progress on these items is reported to the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners annually.</p> <p><u>Water Pollution Prevention:</u> Miami-Dade County is constantly working to ensure the quality of our surface water, groundwater, stormwater runoff and drinking water for our community.</p> <p>This includes a variety of activities such as promulgating regulations, maintaining permit programs, and participating on local advisory committees. Sources of water pollution can be wastewater, other liquid wastes, stormwater runoff or even solid wastes that contaminate or even obstruct our waterways and conveyance systems.</p> <p>An important consideration in Miami-Dade County's environment is that anything that spills on the ground could contaminate our source of drinking water, the aquifer, which is located just below the surface. Many of these sources may be industrial or pretreatment facilities, however industry does not bear all the responsibility for this type of pollution.</p> <p>Additional Notes On February 14, 2013 during the Land Use and Development Committee meeting this item was amended to extend the study on the air quality and water quality countywide.</p>
11A3 130149	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ALLOCATION OF FEES COLLECTED FOR ISSUING PARKING PERMITS FOR PERSONS TRANSPORTING YOUNG CHILDREN AND STROLLERS TO MIAMI BRIDGE YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000.00
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to distribute funds collected from the issuance of parking permits for persons transporting young children and strollers to Miami Bridge Youth and Family Services, Inc., in the amount of \$10,000.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On June 7, 1994, Ordinance No. 94-104, was approved by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), to provide that one-third (1/3) of fees collected for issuing parking permits for persons transporting young children and strollers shall be distributed to private not-for-profit, non-denominational agencies providing services for severely abused or neglected children. • Subsequently on October 1, 2000, Administrative Order 4-100 became effective and on July 21, 2009, it was rescinded and Implementing Order 4-100 (R-961-09) was approved to revise the fee and fines structure and departmental responsibility. • On February 5, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-11, the BCC amended Ordinance 94-104, requiring that one-third (1/3) of revenues generated by parking permit fees distributed to organizations must be distributed to organizations that perform countywide services and have a countywide purpose. • On February 5, 2013, under Resolution No. 97-13, the BCC amended Implementing Order (IO) 4-100, Policies and Procedures, Departmental Responsibilities and Fees and Fines for Parking Spaces for Persons Transporting Young Children and Stroller, to indicate changes adopted under Ordinance No. 13-11, and to provide for other technical amendments. • On February 5, 2013, under Resolution No. 123-13, the BCC amended IO 4-100 to provide that each time the Allocable Portion on deposit in the funds of the County equals at least \$130,000, \$10,000 of such amount will be apportioned to each commission district for allocation by motion or resolution to private, not-for-profit non-denominational agencies providing services for severely abused or neglected children. (Establishing Process) <p><u>Miami Bridge Youth and Family Services, Inc. (Miami Bridge)</u> Miami Bridge is a private 501(c)(3) not-for-profit, non-denominational entity that provides emergency shelter, food and counseling for troubled youths that are considered at risk for lives of victimization and crime because they have been abused or neglected and because these children either cannot find homes in the foster care system, have run away from dysfunctional homes, and/or were released from juvenile detention. Miami Bridge works to rescue these youths and to ensure that these kids have the opportunity to become productive members of this society.</p> <p>Miami Bridge Board members include the following individuals:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																																																																																																				
	<p>Adrian Delancy, Michael Alvarez, Maria Carvalho, Sheila Cesarano, Adrian C. Delancy, Mayling Exposito, Branden Friday, Jahan S. Islami, Alfred Karram, Alexander Lian, Natasha Lowell, Beatriz Martin, Natacha Munilla, Patrick Erin Murphy, David Nunez, Michelle Palacio, Marlene Quintana, Sean Santini, Judy Reinach, and Province "Boo" Zamek.</p> <p>Additional Information According to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), as of December 12, 2012, the current reconciliation of available funds is \$16,000.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="6" style="text-align: center;">Parking Spaces for Young Children and Stroller*</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="6" style="text-align: center;"><i>Trust Fund Activity from FY1995-96 thru November 30, 2012</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Fiscal Year</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Beginning Balance</th> <th style="text-align: center;">1/3 Revenue</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Allocations</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Ending Balance</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1995-96</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,708</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,708</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1996-97</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,708</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$28,124</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$29,832</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1997-98</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$29,832</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$31,789</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$61,620</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1998-99</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$61,620</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$29,772</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$40,514</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$50,878</td> <td>Miami Behavioral Health Center (\$10,000); Kristi House (\$20,000); Voices for Children (\$6,000); Movers (\$4,514)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1999-00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$50,878</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$35,151</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$9,182</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$76,847</td> <td>Movers (\$2,986), Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese (\$6,196)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2000-01</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$76,847</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$10,385</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$87,232</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2001-02</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$87,232</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,002</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$92,234</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2002-03</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$92,234</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$4,371</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$96,605</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2003-04</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$96,605</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,861</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$30,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$70,467</td> <td>Amigos for Kids</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2004-05</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$70,467</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$168,285</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$85,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$153,751</td> <td>Kristi House (\$35,000); Charlee of Dade County (\$50,000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2005-06</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$153,751</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$38,760</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$192,511</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2006-07</td> <td style="text-align: right;">192,511</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$60,154</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$30,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$222,665</td> <td>Charlee of Dade County</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2007-08</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$222,665</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$60,842</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$283,507</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2008-09</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$283,507</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$31,588</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$25,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$290,095</td> <td>Charlee of Dade County</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2009-10</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$290,095</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$30,591</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$320,687</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2010-11</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$320,687</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$41,454</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$90,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$272,141</td> <td>South Florida Autism Charter (\$30,000); Voices for Children (\$30,000); Lauren's Kids (\$30,000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2011-12</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$272,141</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$42,525</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$302,086</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$12,580</td> <td>Lauren's Kids (\$30,000); Center for Family and Child Enrichment, Inc. (\$35,000); YMCA of Greater Miami (\$35,000); Children's Home Society (\$50,000); Kristi House (\$152,086)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2012-13</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$12,580</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,298</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$13,878</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">TOTAL</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$625,660</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$611,782</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Information provided by the Office of Management and Budget.</p>	Parking Spaces for Young Children and Stroller*						<i>Trust Fund Activity from FY1995-96 thru November 30, 2012</i>						Fiscal Year	Beginning Balance	1/3 Revenue	Allocations	Ending Balance	Description	1995-96	-	\$1,708	-	\$1,708		1996-97	\$1,708	\$28,124	-	\$29,832		1997-98	\$29,832	\$31,789	-	\$61,620		1998-99	\$61,620	\$29,772	\$40,514	\$50,878	Miami Behavioral Health Center (\$10,000); Kristi House (\$20,000); Voices for Children (\$6,000); Movers (\$4,514)	1999-00	\$50,878	\$35,151	\$9,182	\$76,847	Movers (\$2,986), Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese (\$6,196)	2000-01	\$76,847	\$10,385	-	\$87,232		2001-02	\$87,232	\$5,002	-	\$92,234		2002-03	\$92,234	\$4,371	-	\$96,605		2003-04	\$96,605	\$3,861	\$30,000	\$70,467	Amigos for Kids	2004-05	\$70,467	\$168,285	\$85,000	\$153,751	Kristi House (\$35,000); Charlee of Dade County (\$50,000)	2005-06	\$153,751	\$38,760	-	\$192,511		2006-07	192,511	\$60,154	\$30,000	\$222,665	Charlee of Dade County	2007-08	\$222,665	\$60,842	-	\$283,507		2008-09	\$283,507	\$31,588	\$25,000	\$290,095	Charlee of Dade County	2009-10	\$290,095	\$30,591	-	\$320,687		2010-11	\$320,687	\$41,454	\$90,000	\$272,141	South Florida Autism Charter (\$30,000); Voices for Children (\$30,000); Lauren's Kids (\$30,000)	2011-12	\$272,141	\$42,525	\$302,086	\$12,580	Lauren's Kids (\$30,000); Center for Family and Child Enrichment, Inc. (\$35,000); YMCA of Greater Miami (\$35,000); Children's Home Society (\$50,000); Kristi House (\$152,086)	2012-13	\$12,580	\$1,298	-	\$13,878		TOTAL		\$625,660	\$611,782		
Parking Spaces for Young Children and Stroller*																																																																																																																																					
<i>Trust Fund Activity from FY1995-96 thru November 30, 2012</i>																																																																																																																																					
Fiscal Year	Beginning Balance	1/3 Revenue	Allocations	Ending Balance	Description																																																																																																																																
1995-96	-	\$1,708	-	\$1,708																																																																																																																																	
1996-97	\$1,708	\$28,124	-	\$29,832																																																																																																																																	
1997-98	\$29,832	\$31,789	-	\$61,620																																																																																																																																	
1998-99	\$61,620	\$29,772	\$40,514	\$50,878	Miami Behavioral Health Center (\$10,000); Kristi House (\$20,000); Voices for Children (\$6,000); Movers (\$4,514)																																																																																																																																
1999-00	\$50,878	\$35,151	\$9,182	\$76,847	Movers (\$2,986), Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese (\$6,196)																																																																																																																																
2000-01	\$76,847	\$10,385	-	\$87,232																																																																																																																																	
2001-02	\$87,232	\$5,002	-	\$92,234																																																																																																																																	
2002-03	\$92,234	\$4,371	-	\$96,605																																																																																																																																	
2003-04	\$96,605	\$3,861	\$30,000	\$70,467	Amigos for Kids																																																																																																																																
2004-05	\$70,467	\$168,285	\$85,000	\$153,751	Kristi House (\$35,000); Charlee of Dade County (\$50,000)																																																																																																																																
2005-06	\$153,751	\$38,760	-	\$192,511																																																																																																																																	
2006-07	192,511	\$60,154	\$30,000	\$222,665	Charlee of Dade County																																																																																																																																
2007-08	\$222,665	\$60,842	-	\$283,507																																																																																																																																	
2008-09	\$283,507	\$31,588	\$25,000	\$290,095	Charlee of Dade County																																																																																																																																
2009-10	\$290,095	\$30,591	-	\$320,687																																																																																																																																	
2010-11	\$320,687	\$41,454	\$90,000	\$272,141	South Florida Autism Charter (\$30,000); Voices for Children (\$30,000); Lauren's Kids (\$30,000)																																																																																																																																
2011-12	\$272,141	\$42,525	\$302,086	\$12,580	Lauren's Kids (\$30,000); Center for Family and Child Enrichment, Inc. (\$35,000); YMCA of Greater Miami (\$35,000); Children's Home Society (\$50,000); Kristi House (\$152,086)																																																																																																																																
2012-13	\$12,580	\$1,298	-	\$13,878																																																																																																																																	
TOTAL		\$625,660	\$611,782																																																																																																																																		
11A12 130319	RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REQUIRE HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANIES TO COVER BARIATRIC PROCEDURES WHEN SAID PROCEDURE IS A MEDICALLY NECESSARY TREATMENT AS DETERMINED BY A SURGEON OR REFERRING PHYSICIAN																																																																																																																																				

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>This resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the United States Congress to enact comprehensive legislation to require health insurance companies to cover bariatric procedures regardless of alternative treatment options when recommended by a surgeon or referring physician as the best therapy to relieve life-threatening obesity related health conditions. • Urges the Florida Legislature to enact comprehensive legislation to require health insurance companies to cover bariatric procedures regardless of alternative treatment options when recommended by a surgeon or referring physician as the best therapy to relieve life-threatening obesity related health conditions. • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Members of the Florida Congressional Delegation, the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, and to the Chair and members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation. • Directs the County’s Federal and State lobbyists to advocate for the legislation described in Section 1 and 2 above, and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2013 State Legislative Package and the 2013 Federal Legislative Package to include this item. <p>Additional Notes According to an article by the Journal of the American Medical Association, dated, May 9, 2012, <i>Bariatric Surgery Continues to Show Benefits for Patients With Diabetes</i>, studies continue to show benefits of bariatric surgery in helping obese patients lose weight, but whether the procedure can actually “control or cure” those who have gone on to have diabetes remains to be seen.</p> <p>New research found bariatric surgery along with optimal medical therapy offered better glycemic control than optimal medical therapy alone for patients with type 2 diabetes.</p>
11A13 130252	<p>RESOLUTION ADDING TO AND EXPANDING ON THE ELEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE IMMIGRATION REFORM THAT THIS BOARD SUPPORTED IN R-1084-12; URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS TO ENACT LEGISLATION MODERNIZING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM DURING THE 113TH CONGRESS BASED ON SPECIFIED PRINCIPLES; SUPPORTING THE AGREEMENT ON A FRAMEWORK FOR COMPREHENSIVE IMMIGRATION REFORM RECENTLY PROPOSED BY A BIPARTISAN GROUP OF UNITED STATES SENATORS</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds to and expands on the elements of comprehensive immigration reform the Board of County Commissioners supported in R-1084-12 and urges the United States Congress to enact legislation modernizing the immigration system during the 113th Congress that incorporates the following reforms: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Attracting and retaining the world’s top innovators and entrepreneurs; ○ Recruiting talented workers needed to fill gaps in high- and low-skilled sectors of the economy; ○ Bringing the estimated 11 million undocumented immigrants into the legal economy so they can pay taxes, attain better education and contribute more to US economic growth; ○ Developing a state-of-the-art system to ensure immigration laws are enforced and obeyed; and ○ Ensuring that the immigration system is easy to understand, easy to navigate and easy to implement. • Supports the framework for comprehensive immigration reform being proposed by a bipartisan group of United State Senators. • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to Senator Charles Schumer, Senator John McCain, Senator Dick Durbin, Senator Lindsey Graham, Senator Bob Menendez, Senator Marco Rubio, Senator Michael Bennet, Senator Jeff Flake, the Members of the Florida Congressional Delegation and the Secretary of the United States Department of Homeland Security. • Directs the County’s federal lobbyists to advocate for the action set forth in Sections 1 and 2 above, and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2013 and 2014 Federal Legislative Packages. <p>Additional Notes <u>Pew Research:</u> According to a January 2013 Facts and Trends Report by Pew Research, <i>A Nation of Immigrants</i>, provides the following figures and notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nation’s total immigrant population reached a record 40.4 million in 2011, according to an analysis of Census Bureau data by the Pew Hispanic Center. • Over the last decade, the number of immigrants in the U.S. has steadily grown. Since 2007 alone, the number of immigrants living in the U.S. increased by 2.4 million. • The number of unauthorized immigrants living in the U.S. also grew during the last decade, rising from 8.4 million in 2000 to 11.1 million in 2011. However, this population peaked at 12 million in 2007, then fell to 11.1 million in 2009. • The United States is the world’s leader by far as a destination for immigrants. The country with the next largest number is Russia with 12.3 million. The U.S. total of 40.4 million, which includes legal as well as unauthorized immigrants, represents 13% of the total U.S. population in 2011. • The modern wave, which began with the passage of border-opening legislation in 1965, has been dominated by arrivals from Latin America (about 50%) and Asia (27%). <p><u>Cato institute:</u> A report by the Cato Institute published in 2012, <i>The Economic Benefits of Comprehensive Immigration Reform</i>, states that</p> </p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the U.S. government has attempted for more than two decades to put a stop to unauthorized immigration from and through Mexico by implementing “enforcement-only” measures along the U.S.-Mexico border and at work sites across the country. These measures have failed to end unauthorized immigration and have placed downward pressure on wages in a broad swath of industries. Comprehensive immigration reform that legalizes currently unauthorized immigrants and creates flexible legal limits on future immigration in the context of full labor rights would help American workers and the U.S. economy.</p> <p>The report uses a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) model to estimate the economic ramifications of three different scenarios: (1) comprehensive immigration reform that creates a pathway to legal status for unauthorized immigrants in the United States and establishes flexible limits on permanent and temporary immigration that respond to changes in U.S. labor demand in the future; (2) a program for temporary workers only that does not include a pathway to permanent status or more flexible legal limits on permanent immigration on the future; and (3) mass deportation to expel all unauthorized immigrants and effectively seal the U.S.-Mexico border.</p> <p>A review on the effects of the two immigration reforms above (scenarios 1 and 3) on Los Angeles County –local level and Arizona and California- state level is provided in the report.</p> <p>Cato Report Overview</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforcement-Only policies Are Costly, Ineffective, and Counterproductive • Effective Immigration Reform Must Address Future Flows • Present-Day Economic Impact of Immigrants • Three immigration Policy Scenarios • The Economic benefits of Comprehensive Immigration Reform
11A14 13044 and Supp. 130408	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY’S 2013 STATE LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT THE UNIVERSITY’S LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support for the following Florida International University 2013 state legislative priorities and urges the Florida Legislature to enact the following, to the extent consistent with the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Package, during the 2013 legislative session: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Restore the \$300 million cut to the State University System’s general revenue (\$24.3 million to Florida International University) and provide an additional \$118 million in performance funding (\$12.4 to Florida International University) to the fiscal year 2013-2014 budget; ○ Appropriate \$762,589 in incremental funds for the Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine; ○ Appropriate funds for the University’s operations, maintenance and fixed capital outlay; and ○ Appropriate funds for hurricane mitigation projects such as the Wall of Wind and the Public Loss Model. • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and Florida International University’s Office of Governmental Relations. • Directs the state lobbyists to advocate for the actions set forth in this item, and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2013 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p><u>Supplemental</u> Florida International University’s Priorities for the 2013 Legislative Session includes the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Support • Performance Based Funding • College of Medicine • Hurricane Mitigation • Public Education Capital Outlay <p>Florida International University’s 2013 top state legislative priorities include urging the Florida Legislature to enact the following: (1) restore the \$300 million cut to the State University System’s general revenue (\$24.3 million to Florida International University) and provide an additional \$118 million in performance funding (\$12.4 to Florida International University) to the fiscal year 2013-2014 budget; (2) appropriate \$762,589 in incremental funds for the Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine; (3) appropriate funds for the University’s operations, maintenance and fixed capital outlay; and (4) appropriate funds for hurricane mitigation projects such as the Wall of Wind and the Public Loss Model.</p>
15A1 130337	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY OFFICE OF THE PROPERTY APPRAISER EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION TRUST FUND; PROVIDING TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE TRUST FUND
Notes	<p>This resolution approves the creation of the Miami-Dade County Office of the Property Appraiser Employee Recognition Trust Fund that will allow the Property Appraiser to expend funds to effectuate employee recognition within the Office of the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The Trust Fund will be funded via proprietary funds and excludes any and all general revenue funds. There is no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>According to the proposed item, the Miami-Dade County Office of the Property Appraiser Employee Recognition Trust Fund will create the proper tool for the Property Appraiser to recognize excellent customer service within the office. Recognition and rewards are core essentials</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>to those organizations that have high productivity and great customer satisfaction.</p> <p>According to Office of Management and Budget staff, as part of gainsharing MOUs, other departments such as Parks, Corrections and Finance have established funds from which employees are paid gainsharing bonuses. Departments also budget for employee recognition activities, to the extent there are available revenues.</p>
14A1 130409	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE ULTRA MUSIC FESTIVAL TO BE HELD IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AT BAYFRONT PARK ON MARCH 15-17, 2013 AND MARCH 22-24, 2013</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution supports the Ultra Music Festival to be held in Miami-Dade County at Bayfront Park on March 15-17, 2013 and March 22-24, 2013.</p> <p>Additional Notes Ultra Music Festival is a three-day electronic music festival that takes place in Miami every March bringing in an estimated 55,000 people a day, 60% of which come from outside the County, to enjoy internationally renowned DJs and performers.</p> <p>According to the Washington Economics Group, Inc. (WEG) website, WEG's analysis on the economic impacts generated by the Ultra Music Festival in Miami was highlighted in the local newspaper. According to the article and WEG's study, "the Ultra Music Festival and its fans pour \$40 million directly into the economy every year for everything from equipment rentals to glow sticks" and with "indirect and induced spending included, that number totals \$79 million, according to the report from the Washington Economics Group, which also said the three-day event supports 915 jobs.</p>
14A2 130414	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE ACTION OF THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE IN EXECUTING A CONTRACT AWARD WITH AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT FUNDING, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 2-8.2.7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, ALSO KNOWN AS THE "ECONOMIC STIMULUS PLAN" (ESP) AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>This resolution ratifies a contract award in the amount of \$9,254,494, which is comprised of \$4,088,530 in ARRA Funds for purchase of the new system, and, \$5,165,964 in Transit operating funds for ongoing software maintenance and support to Trapeze Software Group, Inc. (Trapeze). Trapeze will provide an automated, web-based, configurable and commercially available, state-of-the-art computer software solution that will serve as a replacement to the existing system.</p> <p><i>The Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) approved this contract award on February 21, 2013.</i></p> <p>Based on the proposed replacement solution, the County is able to avoid \$1.5 million in costs by leveraging existing infrastructure to provide Oracle licensing and database and application servers through a Service Level Agreement with the Information Technology Department (ITD).</p> <p>In addition, staff negotiated a \$1.24 million reduction in the overall contract value from the original proposed price.</p> <p>Background A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on December 21, 2011 for the purposes of receiving proposals for a new Transit Operations System (TOS). The existing TOS System is used by Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) as the dispatch and operator management software for the bus and rail system. Currently, MDT supervises over 1,764 bus and rail operators, and manages a fleet of 828 buses and 136 rail cars. The dispatchers at bus and rail facilities use the TOS for critical operational functions such as rail and bus operator assignments, bus dispatching, monitoring bus work schedules and tracking operators' hours</p> <p><i>The existing TOS was initially procured and implemented in 1988, and has undergone several modifications to meet operational needs. The software and hardware infrastructure used to support TOS has reached the end of its useful life and has become obsolete. The TOS software is no longer commercially available, does not allow for any system improvements, and will no longer be supported.</i></p> <p>MDT received American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (\$4,088,530), approved by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), to be used to purchase the replacement system, including the required hardware, software licenses, and professional services to implement, configure, customize, integrate, test, and train.</p> <p>Upon expiration of the one year warranty period, once the TOS replacement is accepted, the vendor will initiate the maintenance and post-production support which will be paid using MDT Operating Funds (\$5,165,964) throughout the remainder of the contract term.</p> <p>Bid Protest Two bid protests were filed with the Clerk of the Board, the first, by INIT Innovations in Transportation, Inc. (INIT), and the second by GIRO, Inc. (GIRO).</p> <p>The proposals submitted by the protesting firms were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office (CAO) In accordance with the bid protest procedures as set forth in Implementing Order 3-21, a hearing examiner was appointed by the Clerk of the Board, and a hearing was conducted on February 13, 2013.</p> <p>The hearing examiner denied the protests by both firms and upheld the Mayor's award recommendation.</p> <p>Additional Notes</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 5, 2013 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
	Previous Trapeze Legislative History	
	<i>In 1976, Miami-Dade County implemented a plan to contract with private sector transportation providers for a paratransit component to the County's transit system. MDT created a Special Transportation Services (STS) section to provide door-to-door transportation for disabled individuals unable to use conventional transportation. MDT used two (2) computing systems for fare collection and scheduling. One of the computing systems was supported by Trapeze. Trapeze provided MDT a fixed-route scheduling software for paratransit.</i>	
	June 2, 1998 R-619-98	Execution of Contract No. TA94-TSS with Trapeze Software Group, Inc. for the provision of transit scheduling software for Metrobus and Metrorail. <u>Cost to County:</u> Not to exceed \$457,660
	December 18, 2001 R-1418-01	Waiver of formal bid procedure. Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. TA94-TSS to (Trapeze) purchase six additional software modules. These modules are used to certify riders, take trip reservation requests, schedule trips, cancel trips, dispatch and track paratransit vehicles, provide <u>paperless route manifest</u> , and take complaints/commendations for both the Medicaid and STS Program. <u>STS Contractors and service providers use this software to ensure increased accountability and control.</u> This Amendment implemented services to include the Medicaid and STS Systems components for MDT. <u>Cost to County:</u> Not to exceed \$2.5 million
	July 8, 2003 R-757-03	Amendment No. 2 to Contract No. TA94-TSS/Trapeze extending the contract for an additional two years.
	November 15, 2005 R-1306-05	Waived formal bid procedures and approved Contract No. BW7961-3/11 for software maintenance, technical support, and upgrade services for all Trapeze software application deployed at MDT. <u>Cost to County:</u> \$3.949 million