



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

February 4, 2014
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
4A 140177	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND OTHER LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; AMENDING REGULATIONS GOVERNING NONCONFORMING USES, STRUCTURES, AND LOTS; AMENDING SECTION 33-35 AND DELETING SECTIONS 33-34 AND 33-35.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning and other land development regulations, amends regulations governing nonconforming uses, structures, and lots, amending Section 33-35 and deleting Sections 33-34 and 33-35.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance provides for a comprehensive rewrite of the Code regarding non-conforming lots, uses, and structures; providing for definitions, and a process that encourages nonconformities to be brought into compliance with current regulations.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>According to the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), the proposed ordinance is very similar and consistent with the nonconforming section that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted in 2012 for the Standard Urban Center District regulations (SUCCO).</p> <p>The current nonconforming section is outdated and very strict. The major revisions were prepared some years back, and in agreement with the County Attorney's Office (CAO), they were launched first as part of SUCCO in 2012 and now come before the BCC to amend the balance of the Code.</p> <p>The proposed amendments include but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed ordinance clearly defines legally established lots, uses and structures and provides for expansion of legally established, non-conforming uses and structures. <i>The current nonconforming section does not provide for these expansions.</i> • The time period to reestablish a nonconforming use is extended from six months to twelve months. • The ordinance revises the threshold for damage incurred to nonconforming structures from 50% of the "roof and/or structure" to 50% of the building's assessed value. • The proposed ordinance provides the following guidelines for expansions, repairs, alterations and improvements to nonconforming structures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Improvement that is less than 50% of the structure's net square footage, only the improvement must comply with current regulations. ○ Improvement that meets or exceeds 50% of the structure's net square footage the entire structure and site improvements must comply with current regulations. • Routine internal and external maintenance such as re-roofing, painting, plumbing, etc. are permitted and is clearly stated. 																				
4B 140116	ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-310 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; EXPANDING ADVERTISED NOTICE TO INCLUDE MULTIPLE ADDRESSES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-310 of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), expanding advertised notice to include multiple addresses.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;"><i>Section 33-310 of the Code,</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="text-align: center;"><i>Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Section of Code</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Current Code</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Proposed Amendments</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Notes</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-310(c)(2) Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing.</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing. For properties with more than one frontage, the advertisement shall include both the mailing address and identification of the street or intersection of any additional frontage.</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Expands advertised notice to include multiple addresses.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 33-310 of the Code,</i>				<i>Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners</i>				<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>	Sec. 33-310(c)(2) Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners	Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing.	Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing. For properties with more than one frontage, the advertisement shall include both the mailing address and identification of the street or intersection of any additional frontage.	<i>Expands advertised notice to include multiple addresses.</i>
Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments																					
<i>Section 33-310 of the Code,</i>																					
<i>Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners</i>																					
<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>																		
Sec. 33-310(c)(2) Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners	Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing.	Mailed notice containing general information, including, but not limited to, the date, time and place of the hearing, the property's location (and street address, if available), and nature of the application shall be sent as provided by Subsection 33-310(d) no sooner than thirty (30) days and no later than twenty (20) days prior to the hearing. For properties with more than one frontage, the advertisement shall include both the mailing address and identification of the street or intersection of any additional frontage.	<i>Expands advertised notice to include multiple addresses.</i>																		
4C 140140	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-284.99.42 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROHIBITING NEW JUNKYARD OR SCRAP METAL USES IN THE MODEL CITY URBAN CENTER DISTRICT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-284.99.42 of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), prohibiting new junkyard or scrap metal uses in the Model City Urban Center District.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="1" style="text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><i>Section 33-284.99.42 of the Code,</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><i>Model City Urban Center District (MCUCD) requirements</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 50px;"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments	<i>Section 33-284.99.42 of the Code,</i>	<i>Model City Urban Center District (MCUCD) requirements</i>																	
Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments																					
<i>Section 33-284.99.42 of the Code,</i>																					
<i>Model City Urban Center District (MCUCD) requirements</i>																					

Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes
	Sec. 33-310(c)(3) Notice and Hearing Prerequisite to Action by the Community Zoning Appeals Boards or Board of County Commissioners	Garage or mechanical service, including automobile repairs, body and top work and painting. All outdoor paging or speaker systems are expressly prohibited. This provision shall also apply to all establishments in existence as of September 10, 1996.	Prohibited Uses. Notwithstanding the provisions of 33-284.83(A)(6), 33-13, 33-15, or any other provisions of this code to the contrary, no junkyard or scrap metal use shall be permitted in the Model City Urban Center District as a new unusual use or special exception. Nothing contained in this article shall be deemed or construed to prohibit a continuation of a legal nonconforming junkyard or scrap metal use in the Model City Urban Center District that either: (1) was existing as of the date of the district boundary change on the property to Model City Urban Center District; or (2) on or before January 1, 2014, had received final site plan approval through a public hearing pursuant to this chapter or through administrative site plan review or had a valid building permit. However, any structure, use, or occupancy in the Model City Urban Center District that is discontinued for a period of at least six months, or is superseded by a lawful use permitted under this chapter, or that incurs damage to an extent of 50 percent or more of its market value, shall be subject to Section 33-35(c) of this code.	<i>Prohibits new junkyard or scrap metal uses in the Model City Urban Center District.</i>
4D 140160	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR MOVIE AND TELEVISION STUDIOS IN BU-2 (SPECIAL BUSINESS DISTRICT), IU-1 (INDUSTRIAL LIGHT, MANUFACTURING DISTRICT), AND IU-2 (INDUSTRIAL, HEAVY MANUFACTURING DISTRICT); AMENDING SECTIONS 33-253, 33-259, AND 33-262 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sections 33-253, 33-259, AND 33-262 of the Zoning Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing for movie and television studios in BU-2 (special business district), IU-1 (industrial light, manufacturing district), and IU-2 (industrial, heavy manufacturing district).</p> <p>Section 33-253 of the Code, Uses Permitted in the BU-2 District will be amended to include the following: (5.1) Movie and television studios with indoor sound stages/studios. (5.2) Movie and television studios with outdoor lots/backlots after public hearing.</p> <p>Section 33-259 of the Code, Uses Permitted in the IU-1 District will be amended to include the following: (53) Movie and television studios with indoor sound stages/studios. (53.1) Movie and television studios with outdoor lots/backlots after public hearing.</p> <p>Section 33-262 of the Code, Uses permitted in the IU-2 District, will be amended to include the following: (2.5) Movie and television studios with indoor sound stages/studios and outdoor lots/backlots.</p>			
4E 140161	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO THE INCORPORATION OF NEW MUNICIPALITIES; AMENDING SECTION 20-26 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE, FLORIDA; AMENDING THE CODE TO REQUIRE THAT ANY NEW MUNICIPALITY RECEIVE AND PAY FOR LOCAL PATROL SERVICES FROM MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR LONGER THAN THE CURRENTLY REQUIRED THREE YEAR PERIOD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to the incorporation of new municipalities, amends Section 20-26 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), Future Municipalities' Obligations to the County, requiring that any new municipality receive and pay for local patrol services from Miami-Dade County for longer than the currently required three year period.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed ordinance amends Section 20-26 of the Code by applying the correct section of the Home Rule Charter throughout.</p> <p><i>Currently, Section 20-26(b) Code requires that, as a condition of incorporation, each new municipality includes a provision in its charter agreeing to contract with the Miami-Dade County Police Department and pay for local patrol police services for three years or such longer period of time as may be requested by the municipality.</i></p> <p>Section 20-26(c) of the Code will be amended to read as follows: (c) As a condition of incorporation approved pursuant to Section 6.05(A) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter, each new municipality shall include a provision in its charter and shall agree to contract with the Miami Dade County Police Department ("MDPD") and pay for local patrol police services for five years with an additional one year transitional period or such longer period of time as may be requested by the municipality.</p>			
4F 140163	ORDINANCE RELATING TO INCORPORATION PROCEDURES FOR MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES; AMENDING SECTION 20-29 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; SPECIFYING CRITERIA FOR MEMBERSHIP, LOCATION AND STANDARDS FOR MEETING FACILITY, AND TIME FOR MEETINGS; PROVIDING APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to incorporation procedures for Municipal Advisory Committees (MAC), amends Section 20-29 of the Code</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	of Miami-Dade County, specifying criteria for membership, location and standards for meeting facility, and time for meetings.			
	Comparison of Current Incorporation Procedures and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 20-29 of the Code, Municipal Advisory Committees – Creation and Limitation of Study Area</i>			
	Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes
	Sec. 20-29(E) <i>Municipal Advisory Committees – Creation and Limitation of Study Area</i>	N/A	In the appointment of members to the Municipal Advisory Committee, the Board shall strive to ensure that the members: (1) possess a variety of views on whether the study area should become incorporated, and (2) represent all geographical areas within the Municipal Advisory Committee study area.	<i>Specifies criteria for membership.</i>
	Sec. 20-29(F) <i>Municipal Advisory Committees – Creation and Limitation of Study Area</i>	N/A	Meetings of the Municipal Advisory Committee shall be held within the Municipal Advisory Committee study area and shall meet in a facility that is compliant with the Americans with Disability Act and with an occupancy that exceeds 50 persons. It is provided, however, that in the event that a facility within the Municipal Advisory Committee area is not regularly available that complies with the aforementioned requirements, the meeting of the Municipal Advisory Committee may be held within 1 mile of the Municipal Advisory Boundaries in a facility that meets the aforementioned requirements.	<i>Specifies location and standards for MAC meeting facility.</i>
	Sec. 20-29(G) <i>Municipal Advisory Committees – Creation and Limitation of Study Area</i>	N/A	All meetings of the Municipal Advisory Committee shall commence no earlier than 6:30 pm.	<i>Specifies time of MAC meetings.</i> <i>Renumbers remaining subsections.</i>
4G 140165	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REQUIRE SBE GOALS ON ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL, SURVEYING AND MAPPING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS GREATER THAN \$2,500,000; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	The proposed ordinance pertaining to Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Program, amends of Miami-Dade County (Code) to require SBE goals on architectural, engineering, landscape architectural, surveying and mapping professional services, and capital improvement and construction projects greater than \$2,500,000.			
	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise Program</i>			
	Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes
	Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(8) <i>Definitions - Contract</i>	<i>Contract</i> means an agreement for the purchase of goods or services, including professional services. Professional services as used in this section includes but is not limited to accounting, legal, health care, consulting and management services. Contract does not mean an agreement to purchase, lease, or rent real property; a grant, license, permit, franchise or a concession; an agreement to acquire professional architectural, engineering, landscape architectural or land surveying and mapping services; or a contract for construction or construction management services.	Contract means an agreement for the purchase of goods or services, including professional services. Professional services as used in this section includes but is not limited to accounting, legal, health care, consulting and management services. Contract does not mean: an agreement to purchase, lease, or rent real property; a grant, license, permit, franchise or a concession; an agreement to acquire professional architectural, engineering, landscape architectural or land surveying and mapping services of two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) or less ; or a contract for construction or construction management services of two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) or less.	<i>Amends to require SBE goals on architectural, engineering, landscape architectural, surveying and mapping professional services, and capital improvement and construction projects greater than \$2,500,000.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
4H 140167	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 2-2061, 2-2063 AND 2-2064 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO GOVERNANCE, COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY YOUTH COMMISSION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends Sections 2-2061, 2-2063 and 2-2064 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), relating to governance, committees and meetings of the Miami-Dade County Youth Commission.	
	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 2-2061, 2-2063 and 2-2064 of the Code, Miami-Dade County Youth Commission</i>	
	<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>
		<u>Proposed Amendments</u> <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>
	Sec. 2-2061(g) Duties and Responsibilities of the Youth Commission	Work with other youth organizations in the County to collaborate on shared issues and interests; Work with other youth organizations in the County and other cities, counties, states and countries to collaborate on shared issues and interests and to develop new ideas for programs;
	Sec. 2-2061(n) Duties and Responsibilities of the Youth Commission	N/A The Youth Commission shall establish, adopt, and amend bylaws, rules, and regulations for its own governance.
	Sec. 2-2061(o) Duties and Responsibilities of the Youth Commission	N/A Subject to available funding, the Youth Commission may carry out programming, educational functions, events, travel-related activities and fundraising activities in connection with or to support Youth Commission events, subject to approval of the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee.
	Sec. 2-2063 Youth Commission Committees	The Youth Commission may establish such committees as are deemed necessary and appropriate to assist in its function and duties. Members of <i>such</i> committees may include nonvoting members and nonmembers of the Youth Commission. The Youth Commission may establish such committees, including but not limited to an executive committee , as are deemed necessary and appropriate to assist in its function and duties. The executive committee shall be comprised of the chair of the Youth Commission and the chairs of each standing committee. The executive committee shall act on behalf of the Youth Commission in the event of any emergency that does not permit calling a special meeting of the Youth Commission. Members of the committees established by the Youth Commission with the exception of the executive committee, may include nonvoting members and nonmembers of the Youth Commission. With the exception of the actions taken by the executive committee, committee actions shall not be deemed to be the action of the Youth Commission and shall in no way bind the Youth Commission or its members.
	Sec. 2-2064 Meetings	The Youth Commission, which is subject to the Government in the Sunshine and Public Records requirements, shall meet at the call of the Chairperson or at the request of the majority of the membership, but no less than once monthly. Nine (9) voting members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A vote of a majority of the voting members present constituting a quorum shall be required to constitute action taken by the Youth Commission. The meetings of the Youth Commission may be conducted in the Board of County Commission Chambers and if so conducted, shall be televised and broadcast on Miami-Dade TV. The Youth Commission, which is subject to the Government in the Sunshine and Public Records requirements, shall meet at the call of the Chairperson or at the request of the majority of the membership, but no less than once monthly. Nine (9) voting members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A vote of a majority of the voting members present constituting a quorum shall be required to constitute action taken by the Youth Commission. In the event the Youth Commission is unable to achieve quorum and only if there is an emergency or any other circumstances that requires immediate action by the Youth Commission, then the Chairperson of the Youth Commission may convene a meeting of the executive committee in order to address such emergency. The meetings of the Youth Commission may be conducted in the Board of County Commission Chambers and if so conducted, shall be televised and broadcast on Miami-Dade TV.
4I	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE	

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																							
140118	CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PERMIT RECONSIDERATION OF ITEMS RESULTING IN A TIE VOTE; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																							
Notes	The proposed ordinance, relating to the rules of procedure of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), amends Section 2-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, to permit reconsideration of items resulting in a tie vote.																							
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Section of Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Current Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Proposed Amendments</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Notes</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Rule 7.01(k)</i> Rules of Debate - Reconsideration</td> <td>Reconsideration. An action of the commission may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote is not in order, and no such motion shall be reconsidered. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered.</td> <td>RECONSIDERATION. An action of the commission or an item resulting in a tie vote may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or the item resulted in a tie vote or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider an action of the commission may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote >>may be made by any commissioner who voted in the original vote and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered or the tie vote, as applicable.</td> <td><i>Permits reconsideration of items resulting in a tie vote.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission</i>				<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>				<i>Rule 7.01(k)</i> Rules of Debate - Reconsideration	Reconsideration. An action of the commission may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote is not in order, and no such motion shall be reconsidered. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered.	RECONSIDERATION. An action of the commission or an item resulting in a tie vote may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or the item resulted in a tie vote or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider an action of the commission may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote >>may be made by any commissioner who voted in the original vote and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered or the tie vote, as applicable.	<i>Permits reconsideration of items resulting in a tie vote.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																								
<i>Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission</i>																								
<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>																					
<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>																								
<i>Rule 7.01(k)</i> Rules of Debate - Reconsideration	Reconsideration. An action of the commission may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote is not in order, and no such motion shall be reconsidered. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered.	RECONSIDERATION. An action of the commission or an item resulting in a tie vote may be reconsidered only at the same meeting at which the action was taken or the item resulted in a tie vote or at the next regular meeting thereafter. A motion to reconsider an action of the commission may be made only by a commissioner who voted on the prevailing side of the question and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider an item resulting in a tie vote >>may be made by any commissioner who voted in the original vote and must be concurred in by a majority of those present at the meeting. A motion to reconsider shall not be considered unless at least the same number of commissioners is present as participated in the original vote, or upon affirmative vote of two-thirds (2/3) of those commissioners present. Adoption of a motion to reconsider shall rescind the action reconsidered or the tie vote, as applicable.	<i>Permits reconsideration of items resulting in a tie vote.</i>																					
4J 140150	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 33-1(79) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PERTAINING TO NUMBER OF PIGEONS THAT MAY BE KEPT IN NONCOMMERCIAL PIGEON LOFTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																							
Notes	The proposed ordinance pertaining to Section 33-1(79) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, amends the number of pigeons that may be kept in noncommercial pigeon lofts.																							
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Section 33-1(79) of the Code, Small Business Enterprise Program</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Section of Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Current Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Proposed Amendments</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Notes</u></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Sec. 33-1(79)</i> Definitions</td> <td><i>Pigeon loft, noncommercial.</i> The maintenance of not more than twenty (20) carrier or racing pigeons for the purpose of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.</td> <td>Pigeon loft, noncommercial. The maintenance of not more than ten (10) carrier or racing pigeons for the purposes of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.</td> <td><i>Amends the number of pigeons that may be kept in noncommercial pigeon lofts.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 33-1(79) of the Code, Small Business Enterprise Program</i>				<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>				<i>Sec. 33-1(79)</i> Definitions	<i>Pigeon loft, noncommercial.</i> The maintenance of not more than twenty (20) carrier or racing pigeons for the purpose of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.	Pigeon loft, noncommercial. The maintenance of not more than ten (10) carrier or racing pigeons for the purposes of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.	<i>Amends the number of pigeons that may be kept in noncommercial pigeon lofts.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																								
<i>Section 33-1(79) of the Code, Small Business Enterprise Program</i>																								
<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Notes</u>																					
<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>																								
<i>Sec. 33-1(79)</i> Definitions	<i>Pigeon loft, noncommercial.</i> The maintenance of not more than twenty (20) carrier or racing pigeons for the purpose of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.	Pigeon loft, noncommercial. The maintenance of not more than ten (10) carrier or racing pigeons for the purposes of engaging in the hobby of racing pigeons for sport.	<i>Amends the number of pigeons that may be kept in noncommercial pigeon lofts.</i>																					
4K 140020	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND OTHER LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; UPDATING THE PALMER LAKE METROPOLITAN URBAN CENTER DISTRICT REGULATING PLANS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-284.99.58 AND 33-284.99.60 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)																							
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning and other land development regulations, updates the Palmer Lake Metropolitan Urban Center (PLMUC) district regulating plans; amending Sections 33-284.99.58 and 33-284.99.60 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On May 7, 2013, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted an ordinance establishing the PLMUC zoning district. On October 2, 2013, the BCC adopted amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) based on recommendations made in the 2010 Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), some of which related to the Palmer Lake area; therefore, it is necessary to amend the PLMUC district to properly reflect the policies now in effect.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance amends the PLMUC zoning district to modify development limitations on certain properties adjoining Palmer Lake.</p>																							

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>The October 2, 2013 amendments include but are not limited to a change to a map in the Port of Miami River Sub-Element designating certain areas in the vicinity of the Miami River for water- dependent uses. This map was amended to remove this designation for properties adjoining Palmer Lake due mainly to the inability of marine vessels to access the lake.</p> <p>The amendments in the proposed ordinance will make the PLMUC district standards consistent with the above-mentioned changes to the CDMP and permit development on properties adjoining Palmer Lake as would otherwise be allowed elsewhere in the PLMUC Center Sub-District.</p>												
5G-5Q	<p><i>The following proposed resolutions (Items No. 5G- 5Q) resolve the Collective Bargaining Agreement Impasse. The parties are at impasse over the continuation of the employees’ five percent (5%) healthcare contribution effective January 1, 2014. The Administration is presenting to the Board its recommendation for the continuation of the employees’ five percent (5%) healthcare contribution. The Unions disagree with this recommendation.</i></p> <p><i>The FY 2013-14 Budget adopted by the Board on September 19, 2013, is predicated upon the continuation of the five percent (5%) contribution of employees’ base wages towards the County’s cost of healthcare implemented as a result of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement.</i></p> <p><i>Under Florida law, the action taken by the Board will be presented to the bargaining unit members for a ratification vote. A successful ratification vote will result in the continuation of the five percent (5%) healthcare contribution on and after January 1, 2014. If the bargaining unit fails to ratify the action taken by the Board at impasse, the decision of the Board will take effect as of the date of the legislative action resulting in the continuation of the five percent (5%) healthcare contribution on and after January 1, 2014 for the remainder of FY 2013-14.</i></p> <p><i>The unions requested Clerk of Courts Harvey Ruvin to conduct an audit of the Health Insurance Fund. The Independent Auditor Report, conducted by Moore Stephens Lovelace, P.A., of the Sub-Fund IS 540-545, Self-Insured Health Plan, was submitted on September 6, 2013. The opinion states that, the segment financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Plan as of September 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.</i></p>												
5G 132042	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 121, MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER EMPLOYEES(Human Resources)												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of County, State and Municipal Employee, Local 121, Water and Sewer Employees (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if the five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$3.5 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td><i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td><i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1023-13 does not become effective.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013 R-1023-13</td> <td><i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> 	December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1023-13 does not become effective.</i>	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.	December 5, 2013 R-1023-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>
Legislative History													
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.												
January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> 												
December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1023-13 does not become effective.</i>												
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.												
December 5, 2013 R-1023-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>												

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
October 23, 2012 R-879-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>As a result of plan design changes to the group health insurance program, which will reduce total medical expenses, there is no fiscal impact to the health insurance fund associated with maintaining current employee dependent premium rates.</i> • <i>The County and AFSCME, Local 121, met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year.</i> 	
October 2, 2012 R-761-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The County Mayor is authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This will allow for the implementation of the Board's directive to negotiate the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution and if ratified, restore these monies to employees as soon as possible after the commencement of the fiscal year.</i> • <i>On January 12, 2012, the Board ratified the 2011-14 successor Agreement between AFSCME, Local 121 and the County. Article 28, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare.</i> • <i>On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4% healthcare contribution.</i> • <i>On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%. Effective October 1, 2012, the additional 4% of base wages contribution towards the County's cost of healthcare was eliminated.</i> 	
July 17, 2012 MOU Accepted	<p><i>Following distribution of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), County Attorney advised that a motion was in order to approve the MOU as distributed today (7/17) at the dais.</i></p>	
January 24, 2012 R-17-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Adopted as amended to require the AFSCME, Local 121, Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer employees, to contribute an additional 4 percent of their salary toward the cost of healthcare until September 30, 2012, and 2.67 percent thereafter.</i> • <i>The Administration proposed in negotiations that the remaining amount (\$4.008 million) of savings needed to balance the budget come from an additional 5% contribution to the County's healthcare costs. The Union disagrees with this proposal. The budget approved by this Board assumes a 10% contribution to healthcare from all County employees. The Union has agreed to a 5% contribution only. If the additional 5% contribution the administration proposes and the budget contemplates is not adopted, savings will have to be generated from other sources to ensure a balanced budget.</i> • <i>The parties agreed to work collaboratively during the term of this agreement to examine health plan features and identify opportunities to reduce overall premium costs. It is anticipated that a new, more affordable health plan will be in place for calendar year 2013. Contingent upon the success of this endeavor and prevailing economic conditions, the employee contribution to the cost of healthcare will be discontinued for calendar year 2014. This sunset provision, however, provides the County the option to reopen this issue for negotiations if it deems that this or a similar reduction needs to be continued.</i> 	
January 12, 2012 R-07-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The FY 2011-12 Adopted Budget was predicated on reducing labor costs for employees represented by the Union by \$11.992 million. The provisions of this agreement will generate savings of \$7.984 million. Both the County and Union worked collaboratively to identify both contractual and non-contractual savings in order to reach a fiscally responsible agreement that achieves those savings. The identified savings recur for each applicable year of the contract. The remaining balance (\$4.008 million) is associated with an additional 5% contribution to the County's healthcare costs which the Union has opted to bring to the Board as an impasse item.</i> • <i>The healthcare contribution will continue up to January 1, 2014. However, there is a provision in the contract that allows for a reopening of the agreement prior to January 1, 2014 should the County's economic conditions improve, the terms of which permit both parties to negotiate the reinstatement of the employees' contribution to the cost of healthcare.</i> • <i>Article 28 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, not to exceed 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages.</i> • <i>The following is a summary of the primary contractual changes affecting the employees covered by this agreement. The terms of this agreement preserve employees' base pay. By agreeing to eliminate the premium pay for 3 holidays, an additional 3 furlough days, suspension of Flex dollars, and the suspension of the \$50 biweekly Premium pay supplement, employees covered under this agreement will continue to be eligible for merit increases and longevity bonuses during its term.</i> • <i>The Water and Sewer Department has identified additional savings associated with the adjustments to its security contract which comprise the balance of the targeted budgetary shortfall.</i> • <i>The healthcare contribution will continue only through January 1, 2014, at which time the monies comprising the healthcare contribution will be reinstated to the employees' pay, provided that the County will have the right to reopen this provision of the agreement for the purpose of negotiating whether these</i> 	

Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>or similar reductions will be continued.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes were made to the agreement that will change the length of time to progress from pay step 1 to step 2 for all employees hired following ratification of this agreement. Progression from the entrance level pay of step 1 to step 2 will be changed from 6 months (13 pay periods) to 12 months (26 pay periods) based upon satisfactory or above satisfactory job performance. This period of time is equal to the probationary period for all Union job classifications. • The County will provide to the Union copies of Requests for Proposals that specifically pertain to the contracting out for services that are currently being performed by bargaining unit employees, or for work which could be performed by bargaining unit employees. • The County also agreed to first discuss with the Union any intended contracts for outsourcing, prior to effectuating such, during regular or special Labor Management Meetings. This provision does not apply to emergency or other situations of immediate need. • The parties agreed to implement performance-based compensation projects involving bargaining unit classifications and to work collaboratively to develop criteria for a gainsharing program through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) within 90 days following the ratification of the agreement. • A savings pool will be established which will consist of the net savings in excess of the performance targets established by the parties in the referenced MOU, 25% of the savings pool will be available for distribution as gainsharing incentive pay to all members of the bargaining unit. 																
5H 132048	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, GENERAL EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 199(Human Resources)																
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, General Employees, Local 199 (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if the five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$12 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1024-13 does not become effective.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013 R-1024-13</td> <td>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>August 29, 2013 R-651-13</td> <td>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the AFSCME, Local 199 (Union) by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of the following concessions in the form of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 1% reduction in all 199 bargaining unit employee's base pay; • Reduction in Call-Back guaranteed hours from four hours pay to three hours pay; and • Reduction in On-Call pay steps from two steps to one step. • The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>October 2, 2012</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On January 24, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between AFSCME, Local 199 </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 	December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1024-13 does not become effective.	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.	December 5, 2013 R-1024-13	The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.	August 29, 2013 R-651-13	This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the AFSCME, Local 199 (Union) by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of the following concessions in the form of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 1% reduction in all 199 bargaining unit employee's base pay; • Reduction in Call-Back guaranteed hours from four hours pay to three hours pay; and • Reduction in On-Call pay steps from two steps to one step. • The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014. 	October 2, 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On January 24, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between AFSCME, Local 199
Legislative History																	
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.																
January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 																
December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1024-13 does not become effective.																
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.																
December 5, 2013 R-1024-13	The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.																
August 29, 2013 R-651-13	This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the AFSCME, Local 199 (Union) by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of the following concessions in the form of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 1% reduction in all 199 bargaining unit employee's base pay; • Reduction in Call-Back guaranteed hours from four hours pay to three hours pay; and • Reduction in On-Call pay steps from two steps to one step. • The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014. 																
October 2, 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On January 24, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between AFSCME, Local 199 																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	R-762-12	<p>and the County. Article 42, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. The Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% of contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4% healthcare contribution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%. Additionally, the terms of Article 56 of the ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and AFSCME, Local 199 met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year. 														
	January 24, 2012 R-16-12	Adopted as Amended. The Board adopted as amended to require the AFSCME, General Employees, Local 199, to contribute an additional 4 percent of their salary toward the cost of healthcare until September 30, 2012, and 2.67 percent thereafter.														
	January 24, 2012 R-26-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following an unsuccessful Union contract ratification vote on December 16, 2011, the County and the Union continued to negotiate to develop a revised agreement. This contract represents a fair and equitable agreement with the bargaining unit and is the product of good faith negotiations between the parties. Article 42 of the Agreement, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, not to exceed 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages. This matter will be presented as a separate item, following the Board's consideration of the balance of this Agreement. 														
5I 132044	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE DADE COUNTY POLICE BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION - LAW ENFORCEMENT SUPERVISORY UNIT(Human Resources)															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the Dade County Police Benevolent Association – Law Enforcement Supervisory Unit (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if the five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$1.1 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1025-13 does not become effective.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013 R-1025-13</td> <td>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>August 29, 2013</td> <td>This resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the PBA -</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 	December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1025-13 does not become effective.	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.	December 5, 2013 R-1025-13	The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.	August 29, 2013	This resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the PBA -
Legislative History																
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.															
January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 															
December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1025-13 does not become effective.															
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.															
December 5, 2013 R-1025-13	The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.															
August 29, 2013	This resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the PBA -															

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
R-652-13	<p><i>Supervisory Unit (Union), by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of the following concessions, in the form of:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Reducing Call Back to a minimum guarantee of one hour instead of four hours;</i> • <i>Reducing Court Time to a minimum guarantee of two hours instead of four hours; and</i> • <i>A one pay step reduction in Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement.</i> • <i>The 5% contribution to group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014.</i> 						
November 8, 2012 R-974-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On January 5, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between the PBA Supervisory Unit and the County. The terms of Article 50 of the ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and the PBA met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year.</i> • <i>Effective January 1, 2013, the group health insurance plan benefits will remain the same as stipulated in calendar year 2012, with the exception of legislatively mandated changes and co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. The 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year.</i> • <i>The County has committed to implement a competitive selection process to obtain proposals from qualified insurance carriers to provide other health insurance options to bargaining unit members. If this process produces one or more carriers qualified to offer an equivalent plan to employees, at a cost advantage to employees, the County will offer at least one such plan as an alternative to the County's self-insured plan. This plan option would be available countywide.</i> 						
October 2, 2012 R-758-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On January 5, 2012, the Board ratified the 2011-14 successor Agreement between the PBA, Supervisory Unit and the County. Article 35, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the 4% healthcare contribution.</i> • <i>On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%.</i> 						
January 24, 2012 R-10-12	<i>Adopted as Amended. The Board proceeded to vote on this proposed resolution as amended to require the Dade County PBA- Law Enforcement Supervisory Unit to contribute an additional 4% of their salary toward the cost of healthcare.</i>						
January 11, 2012	<i>Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board.</i>						
December 19, 2012 Carried Over to January 5, 2012 R-01-12	<i>Adopted as Amended. The Board proceeded to vote, and upon being put to a vote, the motion to set the percentage of employee contribution towards healthcare at zero percent (0%) passed.</i>						
December 6, 2011 R-1030-11	<i>Article 35 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages. This matter will be presented as a separate item, following the Board's consideration of the balance of this agreement.</i>						
5J 132047	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE DADE COUNTY POLICE BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION - RANK AND FILE UNIT(Human Resources)						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the Dade County Police Benevolent Association – Rank and File Unit (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if this five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$14.6 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the
Legislative History							
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.						
January 16, 2014	The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the						

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").
December 17, 2013		The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1026-13 does not become effective.
December 14, 2013		The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.
December 5, 2013 R-1026-13		The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.
August 29, 2013 R-653-13		<p>This resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the PBA- Rank and File Unit (Union), by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of the following concessions, in the form of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing Call Back to a minimum guarantee of one hour instead of four hours; • Reducing Court Time to a minimum guarantee of two hours instead of four hours; and • A one pay step reduction in Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. • The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014.
November 8, 2012 R-975-12		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On January 5, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between the PBA Rank and File Unit and the County. The terms of Article 50 of this ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and the PBA met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year. • Effective January 1, 2013, the group health insurance plan benefits will remain the same as stipulated in calendar year 2012, with the exception of legislatively mandated changes and co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. • The County has committed to implement a competitive selection process to obtain proposals from qualified insurance carriers to provide other health insurance options to bargaining unit members. If this process produces one or more carriers qualified to offer an equivalent plan to employees, at a cost advantage to employees, the County will offer at least one such plan as an alternative to the County's self-insured plan. This plan option would be available countywide.¹
October 2, 2012 R-760-12		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On January 5, 2012, the Board ratified the 2011-14 successor Agreement between the PBA, Rank and File Unit and the County. Article 35, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of health care. • On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. • On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%.
January 24, 2012 R-11-12		Adopted as amended. The Board adopted the foregoing proposed resolution as amended to require the PBA-Rank and File Unit to contribute an additional 4 % of their salary toward the cost of health care.

¹ On November 5, 2013, through R-895-13, the Mayor recommended the rejection of all three proposals received under RFP 853, Group Medical Insurance Program. As required by Police Benevolent Association (PBA) collective bargaining agreement, Article 50, the solicitation was issued for a Group Medical Insurance Program (Program) to "seek proposals from qualified insurance carriers through a competitive process in order to provide unit members with health insurance options that provide benefits actuarially equivalent to the benefits provided by the County's self-insured plan".

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																	
	January 11, 2012	Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board.																
	January 5, 2012 R-02-12	<i>Adopted as Amended. The Board adopted as amended the foregoing resolution to approve zero percent (0%) contribution of employees' base wages towards the County's cost of healthcare for the 2011-14 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the PBA – Rank and File Unit, in lieu of the additional 5% contribution recommended by the Mayor.</i>																
	December 6, 2011 R-1031-11	<i>Article 35 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, not to exceed 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages. This matter will be presented as a separate item, following the Board's consideration of the balance of this agreement.</i>																
5K 132045	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE GOVERNMENT SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA, OPEIU, LOCAL 100 SUPERVISORY EMPLOYEES UNIT(Human Resources)																	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if this five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$8.6 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td><i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td><i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1027-13 does not become effective.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013 R-1027-13</td> <td><i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>August 29, 2013 R-650-13</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit), by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement.</i> • <i>The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014.</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>October 23, 2012 R-853-12</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On December 19, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between GSAF, Local 100, Supervisory Unit and the County. Article 34, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4%.</i> • <i>On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> 	December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1027-13 does not become effective.</i>	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.	December 5, 2013 R-1027-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>	August 29, 2013 R-650-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit), by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement.</i> • <i>The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014.</i> 	October 23, 2012 R-853-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On December 19, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between GSAF, Local 100, Supervisory Unit and the County. Article 34, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4%.</i> • <i>On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the</i>
Legislative History																		
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.																	
January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> 																	
December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1027-13 does not become effective.</i>																	
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.																	
December 5, 2013 R-1027-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>																	
August 29, 2013 R-650-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit), by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement.</i> • <i>The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014.</i> 																	
October 23, 2012 R-853-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On December 19, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between GSAF, Local 100, Supervisory Unit and the County. Article 34, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4%.</i> • <i>On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the</i> 																	

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p><i>Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Additionally, the terms of Article 38 of the ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and GSAF, Local 100, Supervisory Unit met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year.</i> 														
January 24, 2012 R-13-12	<i>Adopted as Amended. The Board adopted the foregoing proposed resolution as amended to require the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit to contribute an additional 4 % of their salary toward the cost of healthcare in order to resolve the impasse.</i>														
January 11, 2012	Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board.														
January 5, 2012 R-04-12	<i>Adopted as Amended. The Board of County Commissioners adopted as amended the foregoing resolution to approve zero percent (0%) contribution of employees' base wages towards the County's cost of healthcare for the 2011-14 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100, Supervisory Unit, in lieu of the additional 5% contribution recommended by the Mayor.</i>														
December 19, 2011 R-1106-11	<i>Article 34 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, not to exceed 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages. This matter will be presented as a separate item, following the Board's consideration of the balance of this agreement.</i>														
5L 132043	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE GOVERNMENT SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA, OPEIU, LOCAL 100 PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES UNIT(Human Resources)														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if this five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$3.2 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td><i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td><i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1028-13 does not become effective.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013 R-1028-13</td> <td><i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>August 29, 2013 R-648-13</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit, by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. The parties are at impasse</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> 	December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1028-13 does not become effective.</i>	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.	December 5, 2013 R-1028-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>	August 29, 2013 R-648-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit, by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. The parties are at impasse</i>
Legislative History															
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.														
January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no").</i> 														
December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1028-13 does not become effective.</i>														
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.														
December 5, 2013 R-1028-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>														
August 29, 2013 R-648-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This resolution resolved the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit, by approving the County Mayor's recommendation for the continuation of a one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. The parties are at impasse</i> 														

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes											
		<p>over the continuation of the one (1) pay step reduction to the Night Shift Differential through the third year of the Collective Bargaining Agreement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 5% contribution to the cost of group health remains a negotiable item, which must be resolved by January 2014. 										
	October 23, 2012 R-852-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On December 19, 2012, the Board ratified the successor 2011-14 Agreement between GSAF, Local 100, Professional Unit and the County. Article 34, Wages, of this Agreement contained an impasse issue of whether employees would be required to contribute an additional amount towards the County's cost of healthcare. On January 24, 2012, the Board resolved the impasse by voting to impose an additional 4% contribution of base wages by employees to the County's cost of healthcare. The FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget provided an Impasse Reserve to provide funding for the elimination of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. On September 20, 2012, the Board adopted the Proposed Budget and directed the County Mayor to negotiate with the unions the return of the additional 4% healthcare contribution. Pursuant to the Board's directive, the County met with the unions and negotiated the return of the additional 4%. Additionally, the terms of Article 38 of this ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and the GSAF, Local 100, Professional Unit met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year. 										
	January 24, 2012 R-12-12	Adopted as Amended. The Board adopted the foregoing proposed resolution as amended to require the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit to contribute an additional 4% of their salary toward the cost of healthcare in order to resolve the impasse.										
	January 11, 2012	Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board.										
	January 5, 2012 R-03-12	Adopted as Amended. The Board of County Commissioners adopted as amended the foregoing resolution to approve zero percent (0%) contribution of employees' base wages towards the County's cost of healthcare for the 2011-14 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the GSAF, OPEIU, Local 100, Professional Unit, in lieu of the additional 5% contribution recommended by the Mayor.										
	December 19, 2011 R-1106-11	Article 34 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, not to exceed 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages. This matter will be presented as a separate item, following the Board's consideration of the balance of this agreement.										
5M 132049	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION, LOCAL 291(Human Resources)											
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution resolves the Collective Bargaining Impasse between Miami-Dade County and the Transport Workers Union, Local 291 (Union), by approving the continuation of concessions in the form of the requirement to contribute five percent (5%) of the employees' base wages toward the County's cost of healthcare negotiated into the parties' 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement. This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact According to the Administration, if this five percent (5%) employee base wage contribution does not continue, the fiscal impact to the FY 2013-14 Budget will be \$4.9 million as it relates to this Union.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td> <p>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1029-13 does not become effective.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	<p>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 	December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1029-13 does not become effective.	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.
Legislative History												
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.											
January 16, 2014	<p>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County's cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted "no"). 											
December 17, 2013	The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner's present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor's Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolution No. R-1029-13 does not become effective.											
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board's Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:37 pm.											

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
December 5, 2013 R-1029-13	<i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County's cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>
October 23, 2012 R-851-12	<i>The terms of Article VII.16 of the ratified Agreement, gave the County the right to re-open the Agreement to discuss the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County and TWU, Local 291 met and negotiated changes to co-pays for provider services and prescription drug benefits. As a result of the negotiated and agreed upon changes, the 2012 calendar year group health insurance premium rates will remain in effect for the 2013 calendar year.</i>
January 24, 2012 R-88-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Adopted as amended to require the Transport Workers Union, Local 291, to contribute an additional 4 %of their salary toward the cost of health care until September 30, 2012, and 2.67 percent thereafter.</i> • <i>The Administration proposed in negotiations that the remaining amount of savings (\$6.303 million) needed to balance the budget come from an additional 5% contribution to the County's health care costs. The Union disagrees with this proposal. The parties have agreed to submit their dispute directly to the Board as an impasse item for resolution in accordance with State law.</i> • <i>The parties have agreed to work collaboratively during the term of this agreement to examine health plan features and identify opportunities to reduce overall premium costs. It is anticipated that a new, more affordable health plan will be in place for calendar year 2013. Contingent upon the success of this endeavor and prevailing economic conditions, the employee contribution to the cost of health care will be discontinued for calendar year 2014. This sunset provision, however, provides the County the option to reopen this issue for negotiations if it deems that this or a similar reduction needs to be continued. The parties have agreed to bring this matter directly to the Board as an impasse item if they fail to come to agreement.</i>
January 24, 2012 R-87-12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Following a failed ratification vote on January 4, 2102, the Union decided in accordance with its internal procedures to have the unit members reconsider their vote on the previously negotiated contract. The contract which is the product of those negotiations was ratified by the Union membership on January 19, 2012.</i> • <i>Article 1.6 of the contract, Wages, contains an impasse issue which is being submitted directly to the Board for final resolution. The matter in question is whether employees will be required to contribute an additional amount, 5% of base wages, towards the County's cost of healthcare. If approved, the employees' contribution will be increased to 10% of base wages.</i> • <i>The following is a summary of the primary contractual changes affecting the employees covered by this agreement. The terms of this agreement preserve employees' base pay. By agreeing to the forfeiture of holiday premium pay for 12 holidays, suspension of Flex dollars, suspension of the \$50 biweekly Premium pay supplement, and an increase in the percentage of part-time bus operators from 20% to 22%, employees will continue to be eligible for merit increases and longevity bonuses during the term of this agreement.</i> • <i>All Bargaining Unit employees who work on holidays will be paid straight time for hours worked on holidays and will not be entitled to holiday premium pay. Employees who are assigned to "holiday no report" (6 holidays) will receive Administrative Leave equivalent to their regular daily pay rate.</i> • <i>The County and the Union will develop a Memorandum of Understanding within the next 90 days to explore the feasibility of providing full service on 3 of the aforementioned 6 holidays: Labor Day, Memorial Day and 4th of July.</i> • <i>All employees in Bargaining Unit classifications will continue to contribute 5% of base wages towards the County's cost of healthcare. The healthcare contribution will continue only up to January 1, 2014, at which time the monies comprising the healthcare contribution will be reinstated to the employees' pay, provided however, that the County will have the right to reopen this provision of the agreement for the purpose of negotiating whether these reductions will be continued.</i> • <i>The County will have the right to reopen this agreement to negotiate the redesign of the County's health plan for plan year 2013. Union participation will be obtained to discuss health plan provisions and benefits, prior to establishing premium contributions. The County has agreed to maintain 2011 calendar year group health insurance premium rates at the same level for the 2012 calendar year.</i> • <i>The County will have the right to re-open the agreement to discuss issues and changes related to the County's Service Connected Disability Program under Section 2.56 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</i>
5N 132207	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363(Public Health Trust)
5O 132206	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS(Public Health Trust)
5P	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																												
132208	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 - PROFESSIONALS(Public Health Trust)																												
5Q 132209	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES(Public Health Trust)																												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolutions resolve the Collective Bargaining Impasse between the Public Health Trust and the following unions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363 • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – PROFESSIONALS • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES <p>The parties at an impasse over the continuation of the five percent (5%) of employee’s base wages towards the cost of healthcare, through the third year of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreements. The parties have agreed to waive the special magistrate process and submit their dispute directly to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for resolution.</p> <p>The Public Health Trust (PHT) is presenting to the BCC its recommendation to continue this employee concession through September 30, 2014.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative History</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">January 24, 2014</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>January 16, 2014</td> <td><i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County’s cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 17, 2013</td> <td><i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolutions R-1030-13, R-1031-13, R- 1032-13, R-1033-13, do not become effective.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 14, 2013</td> <td>The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:35 pm.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 5, 2013</td> <td>RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363(Public Health Trust)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-1030-13</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-1031-13</td> <td>RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS(Public Health Trust)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-1032-13</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-1033-13</td> <td>RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 - PROFESSIONALS(Public Health Trust)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES(Public Health Trust)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>The proposed resolutions were adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>February 20, 2013</td> <td><i>The BCC adopted R-199-12 on February 21, 2012, approving and ratifying the execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the Professionals, SEIU, Local 1991.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-131-13</td> <td><i>Resolution No. R-131-13 approves and ratifies the execution of the Global Settlement Agreement resolving the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) Reopener with the Public Health Trust and the Professionals,</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History		January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.	January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County’s cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> 	December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolutions R-1030-13, R-1031-13, R- 1032-13, R-1033-13, do not become effective.</i>	December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:35 pm.	December 5, 2013	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363(Public Health Trust)	R-1030-13		R-1031-13	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS(Public Health Trust)	R-1032-13		R-1033-13	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 - PROFESSIONALS(Public Health Trust)		RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES(Public Health Trust)		<i>The proposed resolutions were adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>	February 20, 2013	<i>The BCC adopted R-199-12 on February 21, 2012, approving and ratifying the execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the Professionals, SEIU, Local 1991.</i>	R-131-13	<i>Resolution No. R-131-13 approves and ratifies the execution of the Global Settlement Agreement resolving the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) Reopener with the Public Health Trust and the Professionals,</i>
Legislative History																													
January 24, 2014	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on January 24, 2014 at 4:26 pm.																												
January 16, 2014	<i>The BCC resolved the disputed impasse issue as follows: The requirement that bargaining unit employees contribute five percent (5%) of their base wages toward the County’s cost of health care shall be eliminated the next pay period. It is the policy of this Board that the costs of this impasse resolution associated with those bargaining unit employees whose wages are paid from the general fund shall be funded from funds in the Self Insurance Fund that are attributable to contributions from the general fund as follows: (1) first, from such proportional amount exceeding the 60 days safe harbor established by the State Office of Insurance Regulation; and (2) to the extent necessary, from such proportional amount remaining in the Self Insurance Fund.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>This motion passed by a vote of 8-5 (Commissioners Bovo, Heyman, Zapata, Bell and Chairwoman Sosa voted “no”).</i> 																												
December 17, 2013	<i>The County Attorney advised that a two-thirds vote of the thirteen Commissioner’s present (nine affirmative votes) was required to override the Mayor’s Veto; the motion to override the Mayoral Veto failed as a result of the 8-5 vote; therefore, Resolutions R-1030-13, R-1031-13, R- 1032-13, R-1033-13, do not become effective.</i>																												
December 14, 2013	The Mayor presented a Veto Message to the Clerk of the Board’s Office on Saturday, December 14, 2013 at 1:35 pm.																												
December 5, 2013	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363(Public Health Trust)																												
R-1030-13																													
R-1031-13	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS(Public Health Trust)																												
R-1032-13																													
R-1033-13	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 - PROFESSIONALS(Public Health Trust)																												
	RESOLUTION RESOLVING COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IMPASSE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES(Public Health Trust)																												
	<i>The proposed resolutions were adopted as amended to provide that the required five percent base wage employee contribution to the County’s cost of healthcare be eliminated effective January 1, 2014; that the excess over the 60 days in the Health Insurance Trust Fund be used as the first source of funds needed to cover this expense in lieu of a Letter of Credit; that reserves not be used; that any additional costs needed to be covered be found within the individual departments without affecting direct services; and that the Mayor be directed to come back to the County Commission with a plan on how to address those consequences in the budget.</i>																												
February 20, 2013	<i>The BCC adopted R-199-12 on February 21, 2012, approving and ratifying the execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the Professionals, SEIU, Local 1991.</i>																												
R-131-13	<i>Resolution No. R-131-13 approves and ratifies the execution of the Global Settlement Agreement resolving the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) Reopener with the Public Health Trust and the Professionals,</i>																												

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>SEIU, Local 1991.</i></p> <p><i>The following is a summary of the primary contractual changes affecting the 710 bargaining unit employees covered by this CBA:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The existing request for proposals (RFP) related to emergency-room physicians services across the Jackson system would be immediately canceled.</i> • <i>A substitute RFP could be issued for the emergency rooms at Jackson South Community Hospital, Jackson North Medical Center (Note that Jackson North already uses a third-party provider for emergency-room physician services) and Holtz Children’s Hospital.</i> • <i>Beginning January 6, 2013, Personal Leave accrual will be reinstated as per the Vacation and Leave articles of the Union contracts. Any reduction of Personal Leave accrual that exceeded 1.54 hours per pay period will cease immediately and will be restored retroactively to March 4, 2012. Some of the personal leave and educational leave suspended in the most recent labor contracts would be restored to employees represented by the unions.</i> • <i>The parties agree that the Union has met their requirement of providing \$15 million in operational efficiencies and concessions for FY 2011-12. For FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14, the Union will only be required to provide operational efficiencies in the realized amount of \$8 million.</i> • <i>All outstanding legal actions, grievances and arbitrations regarding these issues would be dismissed and/or withdrawn by the Union, including the impasse regarding the 4% COLA.</i> • <i>No later than June 1, 2013, upon mutual agreement, the parties will reopen negotiations regarding step increases for the purpose of determining whether to reinstate step increases after September 1, 2013.</i>
February 20, 2013 R-130-13	<p><i>The BCC adopted R-190-12 on February 21, 2012, approving and ratifying the execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the Attending Physicians, SEIU, Local 1991.</i></p> <p><i>Resolution No. R-130-13 approved and ratified the execution of the Global Settlement Agreement resolving the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) Reopener with the Attending Physicians, SEIU, Local 1991.</i></p> <p><i>The following is a summary of the primary contractual changes affecting the approximately 91 bargaining unit employees covered by this CBA:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The existing request for proposals (RFP) related to emergency-room physicians services across the Jackson system would be immediately canceled.</i> • <i>A substitute RFP could be issued for the emergency rooms at Jackson South Community Hospital, Jackson North Medical Center (Note that Jackson North already uses a third-party provider for emergency-room physician services) and Holtz Children’s Hospital.</i> • <i>Beginning January 6, 2013, Personal Leave accrual will be reinstated as per the Vacation and Leave articles of the Union contracts. Any reduction of Personal Leave accrual that exceeded 1.54 hours per pay period will cease immediately and will be restored retroactively to March 4, 2012. Some of the personal leave and educational leave suspended in the most recent labor contracts would be restored to employees represented by the unions.</i> • <i>The parties agree that the Union has met their requirement of providing \$15 million in operational efficiencies and concessions for FY 2011-12. For FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14, the Union will only be required to provide operational efficiencies in the realized amount of \$8 million.</i> • <i>All outstanding legal actions, grievances and arbitrations regarding these issues would be dismissed and/or withdrawn by the Union, including the impasse regarding the 4% COLA.</i> • <i>No later than June 1, 2013, upon mutual agreement, the parties will reopen negotiations regarding step increases for the purpose of determining whether to reinstate step increases after September 1, 2013.</i>
February 20, 2013 R-129-13	<p><i>The BCC adopted R-191-12 on February 21, 2012, approving and ratifying the execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the Registered Nurses, SEIU, Local 1991.</i></p> <p><i>Resolution No. R-129-13 approved and ratified the execution of the Global Settlement Agreement resolving the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) Reopener with the Registered Nurses, SEIU, Local 1991.</i></p> <p><i>The following is a summary of the primary contractual changes affecting the 2,858 bargaining unit employees covered by this CBA:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The existing request for proposals (RFP) related to emergency-room physicians services across the Jackson system would be immediately canceled.</i> • <i>A substitute RFP could be issued for the emergency rooms at Jackson South Community Hospital, Jackson North Medical Center (Note that Jackson North already uses a third-party provider for emergency-room physician services) and Holtz Children’s Hospital.</i> • <i>The existing option for clinical employees to work three 12.5 hour shifts in one week and four in the</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>subsequent week, known as a "three-four" will be eliminated for new employees.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Current part-time employees who work at least three shifts per week for at least nine of the twelve weeks from January 6, 2013 to March 30, 2013, would be converted to full-time status.</i> • <i>Some of the personal leave and educational leave suspended in the most recent labor contracts would be restored to employees represented by the unions.</i> • <i>Beginning January 6, 2013, Personal Leave accrual will be reinstated as per the Vacation and Leave articles of the Union contracts. Any reduction of Personal Leave accrual that exceeded 1.54 hours per pay period will cease immediately and will be restored retroactively to March 4, 2012.</i> • <i>The parties agree that the Union has met their requirement of providing \$15 million in operational efficiencies and concessions for FY 2011-12. For FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14, the Union will only be required to provide operational efficiencies in the realized amount of \$8 million.</i> • <i>All outstanding legal actions, grievances and arbitrations regarding these issues would be dismissed and/or withdrawn by the Union, including the impasse regarding the 4% COLA.</i> • <i>No later than June 1, 2013, upon mutual agreement, the parties will reopen negotiations regarding step increases for the purpose of determining whether to reinstate step increases after September 1, 2013.</i>
April 3, 2012 R-325-12	<i>Approved and ratified execution of the 2011-2014 Collective Bargaining Agreement among Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust and the AFSCME, Local 1363.</i>
5R 140215	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING AMENDMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME) LOCAL 1363
5S 140213	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING AMENDMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS
5T 140214	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING AMENDMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – PROFESSIONALS
5U 140216	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING AMENDMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolutions approve and ratify the Public Health Trust recommendations for resolving the collective bargaining reopeners.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolutions approve and ratify amendments of the Collective Bargaining Agreements between Miami-Dade County, the Public Health Trust the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), LOCAL 1363 • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – ATTENDING PHYSICIANS • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – PROFESSIONALS • SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU), LOCAL 1991 – REGISTERED NURSES. <p>In a Memo dated January 27, 2014, the President & CEO of Jackson Health System, recommended that the Public Health Trust Board of Trustees (PHT) approve a resolution recommending that the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners (BCC) resolve the collective bargaining impasse and close the contract reopeners for the merit salary increases and cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) between the PHT and the unions by, by approving and ratifying the following:</p> <p><u>AFSCME, Local 1363</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the employees' contribution toward the cost of group health insurance from 5 percent (5%) to 2 percent (2%) of base wages, effective January 1, 2014, and refunding the 3 percent (3%) difference retroactive to that effective date; • Terminating the remaining 2 percent (2%) contribution, effective at the end of FY2013-14 on September 30, 2014; and • Providing full-time and part-time employees to accrue up to six (6) Personal Leave (PL) days from the ratification date of this amendment to September 30, 2014. <p><u>SEIU, Local 1991- Attending Physicians</u> <u>SEIU, Local 1991- Professionals</u> <u>SEIU, Local 1991- Registered Nurses</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the employees' contribution toward the cost of group health insurance from 5 percent (5%) to 2 percent (2%) of base wages, effective January 1, 2014, and refunding the 3 percent (3%) difference retroactive to that effective date; and • Terminating the remaining 2 percent (2%) contribution, effective at the end of FY2013-14 on September 30, 2014. <p><i>The PHT unanimously approved the resolutions at the January 27, 2014 Fiscal Committee meeting.</i></p> <p>In light of the direct fiscal impact this would have on the PHT budgets for FY 2013-14 and beyond, the proposed agreements include provisions for both parties to use their best efforts to increase enrollment in the Select HMO Plan offered to PHT employees, which represents potential cost savings. In addition, the parties agreed to collaborate on efficiency projects.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact of these concessions for FY 2013-2014 would be funded from operating revenues as part of regular salary expenses. In no event would capital revenues – including proceeds from any general obligation bond - be used to fund this contract amendment.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>AFSCME, Local 1363</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,182,586</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEIU, Local 1991- Attending Physicians</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ 470,120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEIU, Local 1991- Professionals</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,171,961</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEIU, Local 1991- Registered Nurses</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$4,771,445</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$9,596,112</td> </tr> </table>	AFSCME, Local 1363	\$3,182,586	SEIU, Local 1991- Attending Physicians	\$ 470,120	SEIU, Local 1991- Professionals	\$1,171,961	SEIU, Local 1991- Registered Nurses	\$4,771,445	Total:	\$9,596,112						
AFSCME, Local 1363	\$3,182,586																
SEIU, Local 1991- Attending Physicians	\$ 470,120																
SEIU, Local 1991- Professionals	\$1,171,961																
SEIU, Local 1991- Registered Nurses	\$4,771,445																
Total:	\$9,596,112																
7A 132289	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 33-314 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROCEDURE FOR ZONING APPLICATIONS; PROVIDING FOR JURISDICTION TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON ZONING APPLICATIONS AFTER COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD HAD A LACK OF QUORUM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-314 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), in the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends the procedure for Zoning applications; and • Provides for jurisdiction to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on Zoning applications after Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) had a lack of quorum. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Section of Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Current Code</u></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Proposed Amendments</u> <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><u>Notes</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-314(A)(5) <i>The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear the following applications:</i></td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top;">N/A</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.</i>				<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u> <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	<u>Notes</u>	Sec. 33-314(A)(5) <i>The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear the following applications:</i>	N/A	Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.	<i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i>
Comparison of Current Zoning Code and the Proposed Amendments																	
<i>Section 33-314 of the Code, Direct Applications and Appeals to the County Commission.</i>																	
<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current Code</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u> <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	<u>Notes</u>														
Sec. 33-314(A)(5) <i>The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear the following applications:</i>	N/A	Applications which would normally be heard by a Community Zoning Appeals Board, but which have been postponed due to lack of quorum of the applicable Community Zoning Appeals Board on at least two consecutive occasions. Such jurisdiction by the County Commission shall be at the option of the applicant, and under these circumstances the cost of providing notice of an application before the County Commission shall be borne by the County.	<i>Provides for jurisdiction to the BCC on Zoning applications after CZAB has a lack of quorum.</i>														
7B 132345	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-116.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; RELATING TO CHANGES TO THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT BOUNDARY AND THE URBAN EXPANSION AREAS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-116.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), Amendment Procedure for Comprehensive Development Master Plan, relating to changes to the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) and the Urban Expansion Areas (UEA).</p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates Subsection (e) stating that: <i>“No change to include additional land within the UDB or the UEA may be considered by the Community Councils, the Planning Advisory Board, or the Board of County Commissioners unless and until the Director, through the Department, has analyzed any such request and made a recommendation on the proposed change to include additional land within the UDB or the UEA.”</i></p>																
7C 132433	<p>ORDINANCE CODIFYING THE DADE-MIAMI CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL; SETTING FORTH PURPOSE, MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS, APPOINTMENT, REMOVAL, AND TENURE OF MEMBERS, SPECIFYING ORGANIZATION, POWERS, AND DUTIES; FURTHER DESIGNATING A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL AS THE PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL, PER FLORIDA STATUE 951.26; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Office of Management and Budget)</p>																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance formally codifies the Dade- Miami Criminal Justice Council (DMCJC) and designates a committee of the DMCJC as the Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSSC).</p> <p>The DMCJC will serve to advise the Miami-Dade County Mayor, the BCC, the City of Miami Mayor, and the City of Miami Commission on matters related to countywide Criminal Justice issues.</p> <p>The membership of the DMCJC is comprised of local criminal justice agency administrators, public officials, and interested citizens appointed because of their expertise and interest in improving the local and state criminal justice system. The DMCJC has 31 voting members and up to three (3) Ex-Officio, non-voting Members.</p> <p>The purpose of the DMCJC is to encourage and facilitate the coordination and cooperation amongst the various agencies and municipalities within Miami-Dade County with crime prevention/intervention strategies, criminal and juvenile justice activities, and other activities related to criminal justice. In addition, as an advisory board to the County Mayor and the BCC, the DMCJC makes recommendations to address the</p>																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>crime and violence programming needs of the County.</p> <p>The PSCC Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May develop a local public safety plan for future jail construction needs. The plan, if developed, must cover at least a 5-year period; • Will develop a comprehensive public safety plan, which includes the future public safety construction needs as described in Miami-Dade County contracts to receive community corrections funds for its community corrections programs; • May develop a comprehensive local reentry plan that is designed to assist offenders released from incarceration to successfully reenter the community. <p>The PSCC Committee's actions will be independent from, and will not be subject to modifications by the DMCJC.</p> <p>The PSCC Committee will meet at the call of the Chairperson for the purpose assessing the population status of all detention or correctional facilities owned or contracted by the county and will formulate recommendations to ensure that the capacities of such facilities are not exceeded.</p>
8A1 131957	RESOLUTION REJECTING ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN CONNECTION WITH THE NON-EXCLUSIVE LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT FOR THE NORTH TERMINAL MARKETPLACE CONCESSIONS PROGRAM AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, PACKAGE 3 (PIZZA BY THE SLICE), RFP NO. MDAD-03-11(Aviation Department)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution rejects the nine (9) proposals received on Package 3 (Pizza by the Slice) for North Terminal Marketplace Concessions Program at Miami International Airport (MIA), RFP No. MDAD-03-11.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>MDAD advertised the subject RFP on March 28, 2012, for qualified concessionaires for the MIA North Terminal Marketplace Concessions Program - Packages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 to finance, develop, manage, design, construct, operate and maintain the locations, and establish high-quality, state-of-the-art retail, food and beverage concessions as approved by the MDAD.</p> <p>Each space under this program has been assigned a designated concept category based on analysis of historic customer preferences at MIA and other airports of similar size and passenger traffic mix. The six (6) designated concession categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1- Cigars • 2- Mediterranean • 3- Pizza by the Slice • 4- Empanadas • 5- Stone Crabs • 6- Caribbean <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee met on November 29, 2012, reviewed the proposals and recommended oral presentations to perform a technical assessment for each proposer. On February 1, 2013, the Committee heard oral presentations from the following proposers on Package 3, Pizza by the Slice: MIA Sir Pizza, LLC, Rustica Airport Venture, 305 Pizza at MIA, LLC, Premair Hospitality Group, LLC, BMG Branded Foods D/B/A The Big Cheese, Silva Management D/B/A Leo's Pizza, Gradia Concessions, LLC – Spris Pizza, Power Pizzeria Airport JV, and Patricia Reilly Food Concepts.</p> <p>After concluding the oral presentations, the Committee reviewed the proposals for responsibility and the desired minimum qualifications. Upon opening the price proposals, the Committee recommended 305 Pizza at MIA, LLC, for award of the Lease and Concession Agreement for the North Terminal Marketplace Concessions at MIA, Package 3 – Pizza by the Slice.</p> <p>However, because the space in which the Marketplace will be constructed was not originally intended to be a concession location, it has limited utilities, and ventilation. Due to the limitations, the North Terminal Marketplace Retail Concession Design Guidelines do not allow on-site cooking. Those guidelines and Miami-Dade County Building Code require proper ventilation to offset the heat/smoke/odor/grease generated from cooking. The heat is of particular concern since the HVAC (Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning) used for the Marketplace is located within the common-area air conditioning. Proposers were repeatedly informed – twice in the RFP and verbally in industry meetings -- that on-site cooking was not permissible.</p> <p>Seven (7) out of the nine (9) proposers stated that the pizza would be partially cooked at their respective commissaries and finished at the airport, typically in some sort of oven. Overall, most proposers indicated through their presentations that a certain amount of cooking would be needed to provide a positive customer experience.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>During the December and January, Transportation and Aviation Committee meetings, discussion ensued and concerns were raised pertaining to the recommendation to reject all bids after a 20 month RFP process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Administration was asked to go back and review the issues because of concerns that the bidders had completed the entire process and an award was made, and asked staff, if they had complied with this request to explore other available spaces at the airport to accommodate the prevailing bidder. MDAD noted staff went back and reviewed the concessionaire space at MIA, but</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p><i>unfortunately, could not find any space currently available to lease the vendor; however, it was possible that a space could become available in the future.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In response to the Committee's inquiry regarding when the RFP went out for bid, when the contract was negotiated and signed by the prevailing bidder, and when did staff or the airport personnel realize that ventilation was a problem in the concessionaire marketplace area, MDAD stated the RFP was advertised in March 2012, the bids were finalized in July 2012, and MDAD's Director reviewed the proposal and concluded the RFP was problematic due to the different methods proposed for cooking the pizza, and the potential of odor being emitted in this small space; so he sent a letter to the Mayor requesting that all bids be rejected on June 14, 2013.</i> <i>Representatives of the proposers appeared to speak at the public hearing noting that 305 Pizza, LLC, was originally recommended the award by the selection committee; underwent a three-month negotiation process, signed a negotiated concession lease agreement with Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) in April 2012, purchased property, and was scheduled to come before the Board in June 2012 before receiving notice of the Mayor's recommendation to reject all bids.</i> <i>Representatives of Power Pizzeria, appeared to speak at the public hearing and stated they spent two years and more money than they had to develop a proposal because they believed in MDAD's commitment for a market place concept at MIA. He noted they clearly understood the no cooking requirement, and found a way to make pizza without cooking it on site and in order to be responsive to the RFP and the no cooking requirement, he partnered with an existing concessionaire at the airport terminal located 100 yards away from the market concessionaire area, to cook pizza using his recipe, concept and brand, and store the pizza for no more than 25 minutes.</i> <i>MDAD hired a consultant to provide an expert opinion on this issue, and the consultant concluded that 305 Pizza was in compliance with the RFP 'no cooking' requirement.</i> <i>MDAD, noted the dilemma concerning this RFP was that two vendors proposed different methods for cooking pizza without violating the No Cook requirement, and staff felt the methods were contradictory, if both vendors were considered experts. He also noted that although 7 of the 9 bidders proposed to use an oven to reheat the pizzas, and the conclusion of the consultant was that reheating pizza in an oven did not necessarily mean cooking it; the problem was the Marketplace Concept in MIA's Concourse D was very small, consisting of 225 square feet, and could not be ventilated. He said that staff needed to be certain that the product sold by the successful bidder, 305 Pizza, did not emit an odor that overpowered, or detracted from the other vendors' products.</i> <p>Additional Information on the North Terminal Marketplace Concession Program</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, the BCC adopted the following resolutions approving the awards of Non-Exclusive Lease and Concession Agreements for the North Terminal Marketplace Concessions Program at Miami International Airport, RFP No. MDAD-03-11, for Packages 1 (Cigars), Package 4 (Empanadas) and Package 6 (Caribbean). The Agreements are eight (8) year terms and may be extended by the Aviation Director or designee, for a maximum of one (1), two (2) year term:</p>	
R-505-13	Package 1 (Cigars) to Cuban Crafters MIA, LLC	<p>This Agreement will generate revenue for the MDAD. Payment provisions include the greater of a MAG of \$72,000.00 or fifteen percent (15%) of gross revenues.</p> <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee met November 29, 2012, and recommended oral presentations from the sole proposer Cuban Crafters MIA, LLC. The Committee heard an oral presentation from Cuban Crafters on December 6, 2012, and upon conclusion, the price proposal was opened and read aloud. After discussing the price proposal, the Committee recommended awarding the Agreement to Cuban Crafters MIA, LLC.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES: Twenty percent (20%) Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE). Cuban Crafters is 100% ACDBE certified.</p>
R-506-13	Package 4 (Empanadas) to Half Moon Empanadas MIA, LLC	<p>This Agreement will generate revenue for the MDAD. Payment provisions include the greater of a MAG of \$101,000.00, or fifteen percent (15%) of gross revenues.</p> <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee met November 29, 2012, reviewed the proposals submitted for all six (6) Packages, and recommended oral presentations in order to perform a technical assessment for each respective proposer. On December 11, 2012, the Committee heard oral presentations from the following proposers:</p> <p>Sergio's Airport Concessionaire LLC; BMG Branded Foods d/b/a El Arepazo 2 Airport Group; Half Moon Empanadas at MIA, LLC; Castell Enterprises, Inc. (Latin Café); and Premair Hospitality Group, LLC (Panna Café).</p> <p>Upon conclusion, the Committee members reviewed the proposals for responsibility and minimum qualifications. After opening the price proposals, the Committee recommended Half Moon Empanadas at MIA, LLC, for award of the Non-Exclusive Lease and Concession Agreement for the MIA North Terminal Marketplace Concessions, Package 4 – Empanadas.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES: Thirty percent (30%) Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE). Half Moon Empanadas is 100% ACDBE certified.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>R-508-13</p> <p>Package 6 (Caribbean) to Chefs of the Caribbean, LLC</p>	<p>This Agreement will generate revenue for the MDAD. Payment provisions include the greater of a MAG of \$135,000.00, or fifteen percent (15%) of gross revenues.</p> <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee met November 29, 2012, reviewed the proposals submitted for all six (6) Packages and recommended oral presentations in order to perform a technical assessment for each respective proposer. On January 25, 2013, the Committee heard oral presentations from the following proposers on Package 6, Caribbean:</p> <p>Chefs of the Caribbean, LLC; HMS Host – MIA Beach House; and 7K Corporation – Kokonuts Island Eatery</p> <p>Upon conclusion, the Committee reviewed the proposals for responsibility and minimum qualifications. After opening the price proposals, the Committee recommended Chefs of the Caribbean, LLC, for award of the Non-Exclusive Lease and Concession Agreement for the North Terminal Marketplace Concessions at MIA, Package 6 – Caribbean.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES: Thirty percent (30%) Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE). Chefs of the Caribbean, LLC is 100% ACDBE certified.</p>
	<p>Subsequently, on November 5, 2013, the BCC adopted R- 884-13 approving the award of a Non-Exclusive Lease and Concession Agreement (Agreement) for the North Terminal Marketplace Concessions Program at MIA, RFP No. MDAD-03-11, Package 5 (Stone Crabs) to 27 Entrepreneurs Miami International Airport, LLC, d/b/a George Stone Crab, a Joint Venture among 27 Entrepreneurs, LLC, GSC Restaurant, LLC, and The River Oyster House and Wood Grille, Inc. (George Stone Crab or the Concessionaire).</p> <p>This project will generate revenue for the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD). Payment provisions to the Miami-Dade Aviation Department include a Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) of \$114,750.00, or fifteen percent (15%) of gross revenues, whichever is greater.</p>	
8A2 140046	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND NEWSLINK OF SOUTH FLORIDA, LLC; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO CONCESSION CONTRACTS AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SETTING THE START OF SUCH CONCESSION LEASES TO SEPTEMBER 2014(Aviation Department)</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the First Amendment to the Lease and Concession Agreement with NewsLink of South Florida, LLC, (NewsLink) providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consolidating three leases into one; (<i>NewsLink asked MDAD for a consolidation of its existing Concession Packages 1, 2 and 3, awarded by the Board via Resolution Nos. R-24-09, R-90-10, and R-166-10</i>). • Authorizing the Mayor or designee to extend the term of this Agreement based on the delayed completion of North Terminal Gates D26-D29; and • Authorizing the Mayor or designee to extend other North Terminal concession contracts affected by the delays. <p>This First Amendment additionally authorizes the County Mayor or designee to reset all affected North Terminal concessionaire effective lease dates, including that of NewsLink, so that those concessionaires are able to uniformly take advantage of a complete and normalized terminal during the full term of their leases. This First Amendment resets lease effective dates to September 2013 (365 days after the opening of the remaining North Terminal gates).</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) NewsLink pays to MDAD is \$6,161,821.79.</p> <p>Background In 2010, MDAD made a professional business decision to provide high-end retail offerings to MIA passengers in the North Terminal. Duty Free Americas, as allowed by its agreement with the County, agreed to open duty-paid Mont Blanc, Armani, Pink, and Coach specialty storefronts in the North Terminal. Offering a more diversified upscale product line was intended to maximize concession revenue at MIA and provide a more satisfactory retail experience for both domestic and international passengers. While the Duty Free Americas stores had previously offered only duty-free products for international shoppers, its agreement with the County allowed for duty-paid sales at the County's sole discretion and was in keeping with concession practices at airports nationwide.</p> <p>NewsLink, a neighboring concessionaire, objected, stating that the proximity of the duty-paid stores to its nearby upscale Shoppes at Ocean Drive storefront would cannibalize its sales. NewsLink's objection was not based upon duplication of product offerings but rather concerns about the impact of four additional high-end storefronts on passengers' purchasing behavior. The airport shopping experience is defined by limited dwell times as passengers wait for flights; hence, the existence of four additional stores would, according to NewsLink, necessarily force prospective passenger purchases to be split among multiple upscale concessions, detracting from projected sales at the Shoppes at Ocean Drive. NewsLink further objected to the legality of County's ability to authorize duty-paid sales under its agreement with Duty Free Americas.</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<p>While MDAD disagreed with these assertions, MDAD administrators engaged in prolonged negotiations with NewsLink in an attempt to settle the dispute amicably and avert any legal action that might prove detrimental to both the parties and, ultimately, MIA's passenger experience. This first amendment summarizes the agreement negotiated between MDAD administrators and NewsLink and includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows NewsLink to permanently occupy the former temporary location at Gate D-32 it has operated since 2010; • Allows NewsLink to permanently occupy the former temporary pre-security space of 518 square feet in the North Terminal; • Permits the addition of a 750-square-foot Air Essentials location near Gate D-44; • Permits the addition of an Air Essentials concept or similar concept in new space next to NewsLink's Xpress Spa location; and • Permits NewsLink to convert a small newsstand with beverages to an Einstein Bros. Bagel in the Rental Car Center. <p>Both companies are operated by the same management; the company name change was effected between the award of the agreements. Under those agreements, NewsLink is responsible for the finance, design, lease and management of 24 newsstand and specialty retail spaces in MIA's North Terminal with a total minimum annual guarantee (MAG) of \$6.16 million and terms expiring in 2019 and 2020. This amendment consolidates those three leases under separate company names into one agreement under one name. MDAD has no objection to this request as doing so does not decrease anticipated revenue to MDAD and may provide operational efficiencies in managing the lease.</p> <p>This amendment includes two NewsLink locations that it had subleased from Westfield Concession Management. Under that sublease, Westfield was responsible for meeting ACDBE compliance goals -not NewsLink as its subtenant. Therefore, these stores will not be used to measure NewsLinks' ACDBE compliance in the revised consolidated lease agreement. Because ACDBE goals are established at the time of contract award based upon projected revenue, any goals under that contract remain Westfield's responsibility.</p> <p>If revenues from these locations were included as part of NewsLink's ACDBE goal, NewsLink would now have an additional \$4 million in sales and would be unable to meet its 30% compliance as outlined under its leases since these MAGs and percentages of sales were established at the time of award. NewsLink will, however, in good faith, strive to maximize ACDBE participation and will adhere to the ACDBE goals required in its agreement. NewsLink is required to pay to MDAD the greater of its MAG or approximately 15% of revenues.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE: 32%</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED AT AWARD: 39.3% average of three leases</p> <p>ACDBE ACHIEVED TO DATE: 32.6% for three leases FY 2010 to FY 2013.</p> <p>CURRENT ACDBE FIRMS:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Gross sales FY 2010 to FY 2013 (year to date ended September 30, 2013)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Siboney Wine & Spirits Merchants</td> <td style="text-align: right;">13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Havana Florida Cigar Company</td> <td style="text-align: right;">13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Taxco Sterling Co. Inc.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 3,152,855.98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Corliss Stone-Little, LLC</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 2,226,103.22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bright Additions, Inc.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0.5% or Gross Sales of \$ 480,439.58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Casa Unlimited Enterprise</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 290,905.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Achieved ACDBE</td> <td style="text-align: right;">32.6% or Gross Sales of \$30,979,828.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Concession Gross Sales</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$94,885,262.28</td> </tr> </table> <p>The proposed resolution consolidates three leases under separate company names into one agreement under one name. Listed below are the three leases that will be consolidated.</p> <p><u>Additional Information for R-24-09- Retail Concessions Program 2008- Package 1</u> On January 22, 2009, the BCC adopted R-24-09, approving award of a Lease and Concession Agreement for the Retail Concessions Program 2008 Package 1, between Newslink/Adler Airport Development Group, LLC and Miami-Dade County, Project No. MDAD-04-07, with a MAG of \$1,800,000, and for a term of eight years, with a two-year option to renew; authorizing County Mayor or his designee to execute same, and to exercise renewal and termination provisions.</p> <p>Package 1 consists of six (6) retail locations which include, one specialty gift shop, one jewelry, watches & accessories, two fashion apparel & accessories and two news/books in the North Terminal.</p> <p>The goal is 30% ACDBE Program. The measure achieved is 39.3%.</p> <p><u>Additional Information for R-90-10- Retail Concession Program 2009- Package 2</u> On February 2, 2010, the BCC adopted R-90-10, approving the award of a Lease and Concession Agreement ("Agreement") to NewsLink of South Florida, LLC for Retail Concessions Program 2009 Package 2, consisting of nine locations (three news and books and six specialty retail locations).</p> <p>The MAG submitted by NewsLink of South Florida, LLC is \$2,300,000.</p>	Gross sales FY 2010 to FY 2013 (year to date ended September 30, 2013)		Siboney Wine & Spirits Merchants	13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15	Havana Florida Cigar Company	13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15	Taxco Sterling Co. Inc.	3.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 3,152,855.98	Corliss Stone-Little, LLC	2.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 2,226,103.22	Bright Additions, Inc.	0.5% or Gross Sales of \$ 480,439.58	Casa Unlimited Enterprise	0.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 290,905.00	Total Achieved ACDBE	32.6% or Gross Sales of \$30,979,828.08	Total Concession Gross Sales	\$94,885,262.28
Gross sales FY 2010 to FY 2013 (year to date ended September 30, 2013)																			
Siboney Wine & Spirits Merchants	13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15																		
Havana Florida Cigar Company	13.1% or Gross Sales of \$12,414,762.15																		
Taxco Sterling Co. Inc.	3.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 3,152,855.98																		
Corliss Stone-Little, LLC	2.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 2,226,103.22																		
Bright Additions, Inc.	0.5% or Gross Sales of \$ 480,439.58																		
Casa Unlimited Enterprise	0.3% or Gross Sales of \$ 290,905.00																		
Total Achieved ACDBE	32.6% or Gross Sales of \$30,979,828.08																		
Total Concession Gross Sales	\$94,885,262.28																		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background The RFP for Retail Concessions Program 2009 was advertised on August 17, 2009, for qualified firms to propose for the opportunity to provide financing, designing and constructing, managing, operating and maintaining high quality, reasonably priced specialty retail, newsstand and food and beverage spaces that are available in the North and South Terminals of Miami International Airport. The RFP contained six packages and establishes opportunities for Master Concessionaires or Fee Managers for Packages 1, 2, and 3. Packages 4, 5, and 6 were open to all Concessionaires.</p> <p>Package 2 has a total of nine locations (three news and books and six specialty retail locations).</p> <p>The Selection Committee met and reviewed the three proposals submitted for Package 2 in response to the RFP, and heard presentations from the submitting firms. The three firms were deemed responsible and having met the minimum qualification requirements. The selection process consisted of two parts, technical and price. The Committee proceeded with the technical proposal evaluation. Following the technical proposal ranking, the sealed price envelopes were opened and read aloud. MDAD staff proceeded to apply the formula for calculation of the price score, as provided in the RFP, and determined an overall ranking based on technical and price combined for each proposer respectively. As a result for Package 2, the Selection Committee recommended award of the agreement to NewsLink of South Florida, LLC, the top-ranked proposer.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE: The goal established is a 32% ACDBE Program Goal.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE ACHIEVED: 44.1%</p> <p>ACDBEs: Siboney Wine & Spirits, Inc. – 14% - \$322,000 Havana Florida Cigar Co – 14% - \$322,000 La Epoca Airport Stores – 16.1% - \$370,300 Amount shown is based on the first year’s Minimum Annual Guarantee.</p> <p><u>Additional Information for R-166-10 Retail Concession Program 2009- Package 3</u> On February 2, 2010, item number 14A4 was added on to the agenda, pursuant to the BCC’s direction, <i>in lieu of item 8A1A² which was withdrawn when 14A4 was presented</i>, and was adopted as R-166-10, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waive competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter by a two thirds vote of the Board members present; • Waive bid protest procedures contained in Miami Dade County Code Section 2-8.4; • Waive the provisions of R-130-06 and R-1587-72; • Approve the award of a Lease and Concession Agreement (“Agreement”) to NewsLink of South Florida, LLC for Retail Concessions Program 2009 Package 3, consisting of nine locations (two news and books and seven specialty retail locations); and • Authorize the Mayor or designee to execute the Agreement. <p>The waiver was to allow Miami International Airport to maximize the number of concessions open to the public upon completion of the North Terminal Development program, and avoid disruptions to the traveling public that would result from reprourement of this package and the attendant delays in the opening of these retail facilities.</p> <p>The MAG submitted by NewsLink of South Florida, LLC is \$1,650,000.</p> <p>The RFP for Retail Concessions Program 2009 was advertised on August 17, 2009. The RFP contained six packages and established opportunities for Master Concessionaires or Fee Managers for Packages 1, 2, and 3. Packages 4, 5, and 6 were open to all Concessionaires.</p> <p>Package 3 has a total of nine locations (two news and books and seven specialty retail locations).</p>

² Item 8A1A was withdrawn in order for the bid waiver to be included due to the fact that the RFP stated that only one package could be awarded per proposer. During discussions, clarification regarding the bid process was requested, MDAD, advised that the RFP consisted of six packages and in order to promote competition, a company could only receive one award. NewsLink had bid on both Packages #2 and #3 and was the only company to bid on Package #3. The Selection Committee recommended Package #2 be awarded to NewsLink and that Package #3 be reissued. It would be possible in a new RFP for NewsLink to win that award since there would not be a prohibition on the award with only one package up for bid. Clarification on how limiting an award to a single firm would increase competition, MDAD responded that the smaller packages would generate greater interest in participation noting that many smaller firms did not have the capability to bid on large scale concession packages. MDAD further clarified to state the objective was to increase diversity, not competition. In the long-term, there might not be competition of service subsequent to the contract award when all stores were owned by the same person and larger established businesses at the Airport had an advantage to be awarded all contract packages due to economics that’s why packages were broken down into smaller components which provided opportunities for everybody to compete for awards and this award needed to be rejected and re-bid in accordance with established procedures. MDAD stated that the County would probably lose a bid protest because the RFP was advertised in a manner that a company could be awarded only one package.

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The RFP, among other things, provided that a Proposer proposing on multiple packages could only be awarded one (1) package. The Selection Committee met and reviewed proposals submitted in response to the RFP, and heard presentations from the responsive firms on Packages 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6. No submittals were received for Package 4. NewsLink of South Florida, LLC was the sole proposer on Package 3; Packages 1 and 2, by contrast, received multiple proposers.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE: The goal established is a 32% ACDBE Program Goal.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE ACHIEVED: 34%</p> <p>ACDBEs: Siboney Wine & Spirits, Inc. Havana Florida Cigar Co Myra P. and Company, Inc. Bright Additions, Inc.</p> <p><i>During the discussion at the February 2, 2010, BCC meeting the CAO noted this series of packages were bid with the condition that no one bidder could be awarded more than one package. He noted staff followed the rules of that process, which was reflected in the foregoing proposed resolution and the CAO advised that leeway existed in other standard retail concession agreements, that to the extent there were openings and positions, management had the authority to fill them. He further advised that the County could not use that option to transfer wholesale that entire Package 3 to do this without a bid waiver and that upon receiving a written recommendation for a bid waiver from the Mayor, the Board could authorize the bid waiver and award this package to Newslink. However, he pointed out that since the Mayor had not recommended a bid waiver; the Board could not move forward on that option. County Manager Burgess noted the solicitation was clear and resulted in one proposer; hence the recommendation for rejection was before the Board today. He recommended discussion on this item be deferred to later in the meeting; and noted before the end of this meeting, the Administration would submit a memorandum to the Board recommending a bid waiver on this item. The CAO advised that the County Manager's recommendation was a legitimate option. In response the question of whether an opportunity for protest existed for those who had not bid on this package, CAO noted the possibility existed. He stated, however, if the bid waiver process was followed, he did not believe a protest would succeed and urged Management to include language waiving the bid protest process in the recommendation for a bid waiver.</i></p>
8B1 132407	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT, AND THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING INFORMATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION THAT RESULTS IN SUSPENDING THE PAYMENT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME AND RETIREMENT SURVIVORS AND DISABILITY BENEFITS TO ANY INDIVIDUALS CONFINED TO THE MIAMI-DADE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Corrections & Rehabilitation Department)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to enter into the Incentive Payment Memorandum of Understanding between the Social Security Administration (SSA) and the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR), for the purpose of providing information about certain individuals confined within the correctional facilities.</p> <p>SSA, in most cases, cannot pay benefits to individuals convicted of a criminal offense (felony or misdemeanor) and confined for more than 30 continuous days from convictions, or otherwise confined in the facilities for more than 30 continuous days, and relies on correctional institutions for such information. SSA is also authorized to provide incentive payments to participating agencies. Miami-Dade County will receive incentive payments for every Supplemental Security Income and Retirement Survivors and Disability recipient whose benefits are suspended as a result of the information reported. This agreement will remain in effect until terminated by either party.</p> <p>The item states that: <i>The SSA has recently advised that the Florida Department of Law Enforcement has not reported on behalf of the MDCR since January 2013, and therefore, a new Incentive Payment Memorandum of Understanding must be executed between MDCR and SSA. Reporting activities by MDCR will continue to be performed by existing staff. Annual revenue is estimated at \$240,000, and will continue to be placed in a special revenue account.</i></p>
8E1 131770	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF HOMESTEAD TO AMEND THE CITY OF HOMESTEAD FIREFIGHTER RETIREMENT PLAN TO COMPLY WITH THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AND STATE LAW(Miami-Dade Fire and Rescue Department)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the City of Homestead to amend the Homestead Firefighter Retirement Plan in order to comply with the Internal Revenue Code and the Laws of the State of Florida.</p> <p>This item impacts the retired Miami-Dade Firefighters enrolled in the Homestead Firefighter Retirement Plan and has no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The proposed amendments to the Homestead Firefighter Retirement Plan make technical changes to various provisions to comply with state and federal laws, including the Laws of Florida Chapter 2009-97 and Chapter 2011-216. The plan would be amended to comply with state law concerning fiduciary standards, allowable investments, member vesting, optional benefit designation, and fund distribution upon termination. The amendments also address Internal Revenue Code requirements concerning rollover distributions and the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA). The proposed changes to the Plan were presented to the Homestead</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Firefighter Retirement Board on May 13, 2013 and approved by unanimous vote. There is no actuarial impact from these amendments.</p> <p>Background The City of Homestead and Miami-Dade County entered into an Inter-local Agreement in October 2002, in an effort to settle litigation concerning the Homestead Firefighter Pension Plan. This Inter-local Agreement provided for continuing County contributions to the Plan in order to make future benefit payments to retirees. The Inter-local Agreement also required the City of Homestead to comply with various terms and conditions set by the County, including strengthening management and oversight of Plan assets as well as concerns cited by the State of Florida, Department of Management Services, regarding data contained in Annual Reports.</p> <p>As part of the Inter-local Agreement, the City of Homestead is required to seek County approval prior to any changes in the Homestead Firefighter Retirement Plan. The County has approved three previous amendments to the Homestead Firefighter Retirement Plan, via R-878-06, R-426-07, and R-1108-7. The changes previously authorized included the creation of a Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP), an amendment allowing use of Chapter 175 funds (fire insurance premium taxes) from the State, and adjustments to eligibility standards for plan benefits.</p>
8F1 132591	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF NW 22 AVENUE AND NW 91 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING A SALE TO AN ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTE 125.35(2) FOR NO LESS THAN \$26,028; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSE(Internal Services)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares as surplus a 2,892 square foot County-owned property, located at the northwest corner of NW 22 Avenue and NW 91 Street (Folio No. 30-3103-031-0101); • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to sell or convey said property in accordance with Florida Statute 125.35(2), which provides for the sale of County property that is not buildable to an adjacent property owner, either through private sale or by competitive bid; and • Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the County's Planning Advisory Board because the property is of insufficient size and shape to be issued a building permit for any type of development on the property. <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The sale of this property will eliminate the County's obligation to maintain the property, which costs approximately \$508 per year. Additionally, if placed back on the tax roll, the property can generate approximately \$197 in annual ad valorem taxes.</p> <p>Background The County acquired the property through Warranty Deed on April 27, 1977. The Internal Services Department circulated the property to all County departments to determine whether the County has a present or future need for the property, in which none was determined. If approved for surplus, the property will be offered for sale to all of the adjacent property owners with a minimum sale amount of \$26,028, which represents 100 percent of its 2013 assessed value. The Internal Services Department does not normally request formal appraisals for properties with an assessed value of less than \$50,000.</p>
8F2 140032	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ NO. 910) TO ESTABLISH A POOL OF PROPOSERS TO PROVIDE ENERGY/FUEL SAVING SERVICES TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS, TO DEVELOP PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE PROVISION OF THESE SERVICES(Internal Services)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to advertise a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) No. 910, to invite proposals from qualified proposers for inclusion in a pool to provide energy/fuel saving services to Miami-Dade County, on an as-needed basis, to develop public private partnership(s) for the provision of these services.</p> <p>Selected proposers will be included in the Compressed Natural Gas Guaranteed Energy/Fuel Savings Pool (Pool) to participate in work order solicitations to be issued by the County on as-needed basis.</p> <p>Work orders will seek proposals that will do all, or some combination of the following: design, build, finance, operate and maintain fuel service stations, upgrade County maintenance facilities, purchase/lease of buses/vehicles, provide training to our existing fleet employees, as well as generate revenue for the County by selling CNG to third parties.</p> <p>This RFQ is for heavy fleet with a focus on transit buses, garbage trucks as well as other opportunities. Work order solicitations will be used by the County to develop public private partnership(s) for the provision of these services.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact It is expected that the fully-implemented public private partnerships resulting from this solicitation will have a positive fiscal impact to the County. The County will benefit from these partnerships in multiple ways, such as: 1) long-term fuel cost reductions, 2) reduced dependence on foreign oil and pricing volatility, 3) generation of revenue in the form of Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) sales to private/public sector entities, 4) provision of local construction and job creation opportunities, and 5) a more reliable underground fuel delivery system.</p> <p>On November 21, 2013, the draft RFQ was posted for industry review/comment. Upon approval by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), and incorporation of any recommended changes/edits by the BCC, staff will formally release the solicitation to the industry. The</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<i>recommended Pool will be submitted to the BCC for final approval upon completion of the evaluation process.</i>															
8F3 132415	RESOLUTION APPROVING LEASE AGREEMENT WITH GOURMET CHEF ON TOUR, CORP., TO LEASE AND OPERATE THE WEST LOT RESTAURANT IN THE COUNTY'S WEST LOT BUILDING FOR INITIAL TERM OF TEN YEARS AT A TOTAL RENT OF AT LEAST \$120,000.00 OVER THE TEN YEAR TERM; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. RFP836(Internal Services)															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of a Lease Agreement, based upon the results of a solicitation (RFP 836), to Gourmet Chef on Tour, Corp. (Tenant) for the rental, build-out, management, and operation of the restaurant space at the County's West Lot Multi-Use Facility (West Lot).</p> <p>The area designated for the restaurant is approximately 1,263 square feet, and located on the ground floor of the West Lot. The Tenant is responsible for the creation of a visually inviting restaurant environment through a design that includes displays, signage, graphics, and lighting. The Tenant is also required to: (1) establish a quality food service restaurant, by building-out the space, and installing any and all needed furniture, fixtures, and equipment; (2) develop a detailed marketing plan for County approval, including the marketing budget; (3) provide an original menu; (4) be in full operation no later than 180 days following contract award; and (5) employ a full-time, on-site, qualified professional food manager.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source Based on a minimum annual guarantee (MAG) of \$12,000 (approximately \$10 per square foot), the minimum amount of revenue to be generated during the initial 10-year term of the lease agreement will be \$120,000. Using that same assumption, if the County elects to exercise the one, five-year option-to-renew period, the cumulative revenue will be \$180,000. However, the actual amount of revenue generated will be based on the MAG of \$12,000 plus an annual consumer price index adjustment at the end of each year of the Lease Agreement and additional rent in the amount of five percent based upon the monthly gross receipts of the restaurant. Because this is a new location for a restaurant, it is difficult at this time to estimate the value of the monthly gross receipts.</p> <p>Additionally, the Tenant is required to pay the County a Common Area Maintenance charge, which is estimated at \$7,200 per year; a monthly building operational fee for water and electricity, which is estimated at \$1,560 per year; securing and maintaining, at the Tenant's cost, a contract for an air conditioning maintenance contractor; purchasing and/or leasing, as well as the installation of, all furniture, fixtures, equipment, signs, and marketing materials.</p> <p>A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition on April 30, 2013. The Selection Committee recommended that the County enter into negotiations with the sole proposer, Gourmet Chef on Tour, Corp.</p>															
8F4 132592	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF A COMPETITIVE CONTRACT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,155,000.00, AND AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$18,247,000.00 FOR VARIOUS CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES(Internal Services)															
Notes	<p>The proposed Procurement Package includes a total of three (3) procurement actions totaling \$19,402,000, authorizing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Award of competitively established contract in a total amount up to \$1,155,000.00; • Additional expenditure authority and or time in an amount up to \$18,247,000.00 for the purchase of goods and services; • The County Mayor or his designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on a bi-annual basis. <p>Competitive Contract Awards</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Item 1.1 – Aerial Spraying Services</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Area of Comparison</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Contract</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Contract</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Description</td> <td>The proposed item awards a contract for the operation and service of ultra-low volume spraying of insecticides for mosquito control within Miami-Dade County.</td> <td>On September 1, 2008, this contract was established to provide aerial spraying to eradicate and reduce mosquito infestation. The contract is utilized by the Miami-Dade County Public Works.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Cumulative Value</td> <td>The amount requested for the five-year term is \$1,155,000.</td> <td>The current contract is for five years and three months and valued at \$1,187,000. The current contract expired on November 30, 2013.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Vendors</td> <td>On July 31, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the responsive and responsible bidders, offering the lowest price. <u>Vendors</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarke Environmental Mosquito Control Management Inc. (Primary) </td> <td>In June 11, 2008, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The method of award was to the two (2) lowest responsive and responsible vendors who submit the lowest price for the item listed. Only one vendor submitted a bid. <u>Vendor</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item 1.1 – Aerial Spraying Services			Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract	Description	The proposed item awards a contract for the operation and service of ultra-low volume spraying of insecticides for mosquito control within Miami-Dade County.	On September 1, 2008, this contract was established to provide aerial spraying to eradicate and reduce mosquito infestation. The contract is utilized by the Miami-Dade County Public Works.	Cumulative Value	The amount requested for the five-year term is \$1,155,000.	The current contract is for five years and three months and valued at \$1,187,000. The current contract expired on November 30, 2013.	Vendors	On July 31, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the responsive and responsible bidders, offering the lowest price. <u>Vendors</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarke Environmental Mosquito Control Management Inc. (Primary) 	In June 11, 2008, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The method of award was to the two (2) lowest responsive and responsible vendors who submit the lowest price for the item listed. Only one vendor submitted a bid. <u>Vendor</u>
Item 1.1 – Aerial Spraying Services																
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract														
Description	The proposed item awards a contract for the operation and service of ultra-low volume spraying of insecticides for mosquito control within Miami-Dade County.	On September 1, 2008, this contract was established to provide aerial spraying to eradicate and reduce mosquito infestation. The contract is utilized by the Miami-Dade County Public Works.														
Cumulative Value	The amount requested for the five-year term is \$1,155,000.	The current contract is for five years and three months and valued at \$1,187,000. The current contract expired on November 30, 2013.														
Vendors	On July 31, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to the responsive and responsible bidders, offering the lowest price. <u>Vendors</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarke Environmental Mosquito Control Management Inc. (Primary) 	In June 11, 2008, an Invitation to Bid was issued. The method of award was to the two (2) lowest responsive and responsible vendors who submit the lowest price for the item listed. Only one vendor submitted a bid. <u>Vendor</u>														

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vector Disease Control International, LLC (Secondary) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarke Environmental Mosquito Control Management Inc. 																
	Funding Source	General Fund	General Fund.																
Additional Expenditure Authority and/or Term Extension																			
	Item No.	Modifications																	
	2.1	<p>Various Off-road, Landfill & Heavy Equipment – Prequalification Pool Extends this pool for an additional five years and \$17,847,000 to allow the Internal Services Department to continue purchasing off-road, construction, landfill, street maintenance, landscaping, and other heavy equipment and vehicles on behalf of various County departments on an as-needed basis.</p> <p>Market research shows the requirements, terms and conditions of the contract will not change if a replacement contract is issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors will pre-qualify for a replacement solicitation.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$39,264,000.</p>																	
	2.2	<p>Forensic Casework for DNA Analyses The Miami-Dade Police Department is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$400,000 to use grant funding to outsource forensic DNA casework.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$940,000.</p>																	
8F5 132595	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE OF SIX (6) RETROACTIVE AMENDMENTS TO LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND LANDLORDS OF THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM (MDPLS), FOR PREMISES LOCATED THROUGHOUT MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE UTILIZED BY THE MDPLS FOR PUBLIC LIBRARIES, WITH TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$90,827.00 IN SAVINGS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Internal Services)</p>																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution retroactively authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute six (6) Amendments to Lease Agreements (Amendments) between Miami-Dade County and the Landlords for various Miami-Dade Public Library System (MDPLS).</p> <p>The effective date of each of these leases will be retroactive to October 1, 2013.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> These amendments result in service adjustments and budget savings (approximately \$90,827) that were taken into account when the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted the FY 2013-14 Library District budget.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Library District pays rent and/or operating expenses at 12 of its libraries that are located in non-County owned space. In an effort to lessen the fiscal impact to the Library District’s FY 2013-14 Budget, the Internal Services Department was able to negotiate rent concessions for eight libraries. Two of these libraries, Tamiami Branch Library (Resolution No. 800-13) and Palm Springs North Branch Library (Resolution No. 848-13), were recently approved by the BCC as new leases since they were expiring prior to the new fiscal year. The remaining six are included under the proposed resolution.</p> <p>The four libraries that were not willing to reduce the rent include Kendall Regional, Concord, Country Walk, and California Club libraries.</p> <p>The proposed actions and each respective library is provided in the chart below:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Library and Location</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Commission District</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Landlord</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Retroactive Amendments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>South Shore Library <i>131 Alton Road Miami Beach, FL</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td>City of Miami Beach</td> <td>Modifies Paragraph 22 “Cost of Operation” for the purposes of reducing the County’s contribution for operating costs from \$32,731.56 to \$16,365.78 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fairlawn Library <i>6376 SW 8 Street Miami, Florida</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td>Sococri Investments Limited, Inc.</td> <td>Modifies Article XVIII “Option to Renew” for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$82,000 to \$73,800 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sunset Library</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td>SPI Sunset, LLC</td> <td>Modifies Paragraph 2 of page 1 for the purposes of modifying the suite numbers from Suites #21 and #22 to Suites #13 and</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Library and Location	Commission District	Landlord	Retroactive Amendments	South Shore Library <i>131 Alton Road Miami Beach, FL</i>	5	City of Miami Beach	Modifies Paragraph 22 “Cost of Operation” for the purposes of reducing the County’s contribution for operating costs from \$32,731.56 to \$16,365.78 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.	Fairlawn Library <i>6376 SW 8 Street Miami, Florida</i>	6	Sococri Investments Limited, Inc.	Modifies Article XVIII “Option to Renew” for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$82,000 to \$73,800 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.	Sunset Library	10	SPI Sunset, LLC	Modifies Paragraph 2 of page 1 for the purposes of modifying the suite numbers from Suites #21 and #22 to Suites #13 and
Library and Location	Commission District	Landlord	Retroactive Amendments																
South Shore Library <i>131 Alton Road Miami Beach, FL</i>	5	City of Miami Beach	Modifies Paragraph 22 “Cost of Operation” for the purposes of reducing the County’s contribution for operating costs from \$32,731.56 to \$16,365.78 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.																
Fairlawn Library <i>6376 SW 8 Street Miami, Florida</i>	6	Sococri Investments Limited, Inc.	Modifies Article XVIII “Option to Renew” for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$82,000 to \$73,800 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.																
Sunset Library	10	SPI Sunset, LLC	Modifies Paragraph 2 of page 1 for the purposes of modifying the suite numbers from Suites #21 and #22 to Suites #13 and																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	10855 SW 72 Street, Bays #21 and #22 Miami, FL			#14, and modifies the lease for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$67,849.92 to \$50,887.44 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.
	Meadows Library 4284-4288 SW 152 Avenue Miami, FL	11	Meadows at Bird Road, LLC	Modifies Paragraph 2 of page 1 for the purposes of reducing the monthly rent from \$8,063.62 to \$6,592.66 for the two-month period commencing October 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013, which is when the lease expires. A new lease is currently being negotiated.
	Doral Isles Library 10785 NW 58 Street Doral, FL	12	U.S. Retail Income Fund VI, LP	Modifies Article XX "Rent Adjustment" for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$83,620.28 to \$52,811.88 for the period commencing October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.
	Hiialeah Gardens Library 11300 NW 87 Court, Units 112 and 114, Hiialeah Gardens, FL	12	Landlord - Biltmore 87 Court Plaza, LLC	Article XIX "Rent Adjustment" for the purposes of reducing the annual rent from \$30,634.08 to \$27,956.04 for the period commencing September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2014.
8F6 140033	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE DESIGN-BUILD AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC. FUNDED BY BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$700,000 FOR DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE STEPHEN P. CLARK CENTER (SPCC), CULTURAL CENTER (CC), AND CENTRAL SUPPORT FACILITY (CSF) FIRE ALARM AND BUILDING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT/SPCC EAST STAIRWELL PRESSURIZATION, ISD PROJECT NO. Z00062/Z00063/Z00064 (ORIGINALLY GSA CONTRACT NO. Z00062/Z00063/Z00064); AUTHORIZES A TIME EXTENSION OF 365 DAYS TO THIS AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO MODIFY CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 AS NEEDED AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Internal Services)			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Change Order No. 1 to the design-build agreement between Miami-Dade County and Siemens Industry, Inc. for the Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC), Cultural Center, and Central Support Facility Fire Alarm and Building Management Systems Replacement/SPCC East Stairwell Pressurization project. This Change Order increases the original contract amount by \$700,000 and adds 365 days to the original contract term.</p> <p>The \$700,000 increase in the contract amount as well as the associated extension of 365 days is required to address the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During final inspections, the City of Miami Fire Marshall required that certain fire alarm system requirements be addressed in order to comply with the current Florida Building Code. The additional cost to meet these requirements is \$546,050 and 305 days. • During construction of the project, the Information Technology Department (ITD) noted that several supply fans would need to be relocated to avoid potential radio interference with existing ITD systems. The additional cost for this change is \$153,950 and an additional time of 60 days. <p>The project is estimated to be substantially completed in October 2014.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The total fiscal impact of this change order is \$700,000, which will be covered from funding that remains within the current project's Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program allocation.</p>			
8F7 140028	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH REVO SOCCER DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS, LLC TO DEVELOP AND OPERATE A MINI-SOCCER COMPLEX AT MIAMI-DADE HOMESTEAD AIR RESERVE PARK, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. 868(Internal Services)			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approve award of a Lease Agreement, based upon the results of a full and open competitive solicitation, to Revo Soccer Development Partners, LLC (Tenant) for the development, operation and maintenance of a mini-soccer complex at the County-owned Homestead Air Reserve Park, located at 27401 SW 127 Avenue, Homestead, FL. The Tenant will operate a soccer program, similar to those in place at Kendall Soccer Park and Tropical Park, and currently under development at Amelia Earhart Park, which will include programs for youth and adults, a teaching academy, summer camps, tournaments, etc.</p> <p>A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on April 24, 2013. The Selection Committee recommended that the County enter into negotiations with the top-ranked proposer, Revo Soccer Development Partners, LLC.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The lease agreement is for an initial term of ten years with two, five-year options to renew. The negotiated projected revenue to the County over the initial ten-year term is anticipated to be \$511,000. This amount includes \$122,000 in minimum guaranteed rent over the initial term of the agreement, and \$389,000 in revenue as a projected percentage of gross receipts (based on a percentage of seven percent in years one through six, and eight percent in years seven through ten).</p> <p>Additional Information Section 16 of the Contract states that:</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Starting in Year 1, the Lessee may deduct up to \$44,000 from the percentage of Monthly Gross Receipts amount due to the County as a set-off for Lessee's payment for temporary lighting.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>How many years will this deduction be allowed?</i> 				
8F8 140156	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$15,000,000, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH CONTRACT [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140030](Internal Services)				
Notes	<p>The proposed Procurement Package includes one (1) procurement action totaling \$15,000,000, authorizing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional expenditure authority for contract in a total amount up to \$15,000,000, for the purchase of goods and services; • The County Mayor or his designee to execute the contract for the item and exercise contract modifications, options-to-renew, any cancellation provisions, and any other rights contained therein in accordance with the terms and conditions of such contracts; • The use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for the contract. <p>At the January 15, 2014, Transportation and Aviation Committee, this item was amended to remove item 2, ID Cards, Supplies and Accessories at the request of the Miami-Dade Transit Department.</p> <p>Additional Expenditure Authority and/or Term Extension</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Item No.</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Modifications</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td> <p>Security Guard Services for Transit</p> <p>Modifies this contract to increase Miami-Dade Transit's (MDT's) allocation by \$3 million dollars for the existing term so MDT can continue to purchase security guard services for various facilities, including Metrorail, Metromover and public parking areas.</p> <p>The current vendors include 50 State Security Service, Inc. and Professional Protection & Investigations Agency, Inc. / Security Alliance (a Joint Venture).</p> <p>In addition, this item requests to extend the existing contract on a month-to-month basis, at an average of \$1.5 million per month for up to six months, with a \$3 million dollar contingency for unanticipated needs during the extended term. The total amount requested in this item is \$15 million.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$39,264,000.</p> <p><i>In addition to the requested modification, this contract was previously administratively extended under the delegated authority granted by the BCC for six months (through April 24, 2014) without an increase in funding. However, it will be necessary to extend this contract for up to an additional six months, on a month to month basis (at an average of \$1.5 million per month), to allow for completion of the bid protest period and subsequent approval by the BCC. This extension will allow MDT continuity of services until a successor award is approved by the BCC.</i></p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p><u>Bid Protest</u></p> <p>On November 20, 2013, the award recommendation to AlliedBarton Security Services LLC, for the replacement solicitation was filed with the Clerk of the Board, and two protests were received: (1) G4S Secure Solutions USA, Inc., and (2) 50 State Security Service, Inc.</p> <p><i>The Hearing Examiner's Findings and Recommendations dated December 24, 2013, conclude that neither protestor established any illegal conduct, or arbitrary or capricious decisions on the part of the County, thereby concurring with the County Mayor's recommended contract award to AlliedBarton.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Question:</u> Is the extension necessary since the Hearing Examiner's Findings have already been released? </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Item No.	Modifications	1	<p>Security Guard Services for Transit</p> <p>Modifies this contract to increase Miami-Dade Transit's (MDT's) allocation by \$3 million dollars for the existing term so MDT can continue to purchase security guard services for various facilities, including Metrorail, Metromover and public parking areas.</p> <p>The current vendors include 50 State Security Service, Inc. and Professional Protection & Investigations Agency, Inc. / Security Alliance (a Joint Venture).</p> <p>In addition, this item requests to extend the existing contract on a month-to-month basis, at an average of \$1.5 million per month for up to six months, with a \$3 million dollar contingency for unanticipated needs during the extended term. The total amount requested in this item is \$15 million.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$39,264,000.</p> <p><i>In addition to the requested modification, this contract was previously administratively extended under the delegated authority granted by the BCC for six months (through April 24, 2014) without an increase in funding. However, it will be necessary to extend this contract for up to an additional six months, on a month to month basis (at an average of \$1.5 million per month), to allow for completion of the bid protest period and subsequent approval by the BCC. This extension will allow MDT continuity of services until a successor award is approved by the BCC.</i></p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p><u>Bid Protest</u></p> <p>On November 20, 2013, the award recommendation to AlliedBarton Security Services LLC, for the replacement solicitation was filed with the Clerk of the Board, and two protests were received: (1) G4S Secure Solutions USA, Inc., and (2) 50 State Security Service, Inc.</p> <p><i>The Hearing Examiner's Findings and Recommendations dated December 24, 2013, conclude that neither protestor established any illegal conduct, or arbitrary or capricious decisions on the part of the County, thereby concurring with the County Mayor's recommended contract award to AlliedBarton.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Question:</u> Is the extension necessary since the Hearing Examiner's Findings have already been released?
Item No.	Modifications				
1	<p>Security Guard Services for Transit</p> <p>Modifies this contract to increase Miami-Dade Transit's (MDT's) allocation by \$3 million dollars for the existing term so MDT can continue to purchase security guard services for various facilities, including Metrorail, Metromover and public parking areas.</p> <p>The current vendors include 50 State Security Service, Inc. and Professional Protection & Investigations Agency, Inc. / Security Alliance (a Joint Venture).</p> <p>In addition, this item requests to extend the existing contract on a month-to-month basis, at an average of \$1.5 million per month for up to six months, with a \$3 million dollar contingency for unanticipated needs during the extended term. The total amount requested in this item is \$15 million.</p> <p>The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$39,264,000.</p> <p><i>In addition to the requested modification, this contract was previously administratively extended under the delegated authority granted by the BCC for six months (through April 24, 2014) without an increase in funding. However, it will be necessary to extend this contract for up to an additional six months, on a month to month basis (at an average of \$1.5 million per month), to allow for completion of the bid protest period and subsequent approval by the BCC. This extension will allow MDT continuity of services until a successor award is approved by the BCC.</i></p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p><u>Bid Protest</u></p> <p>On November 20, 2013, the award recommendation to AlliedBarton Security Services LLC, for the replacement solicitation was filed with the Clerk of the Board, and two protests were received: (1) G4S Secure Solutions USA, Inc., and (2) 50 State Security Service, Inc.</p> <p><i>The Hearing Examiner's Findings and Recommendations dated December 24, 2013, conclude that neither protestor established any illegal conduct, or arbitrary or capricious decisions on the part of the County, thereby concurring with the County Mayor's recommended contract award to AlliedBarton.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Question:</u> Is the extension necessary since the Hearing Examiner's Findings have already been released? 				
8F9 140084	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED REAL PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 3749 OAK AVENUE, 3604 PERCIVAL AVENUE, AND 3755 FROW AVENUE, CITY OF MIAMI, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF SAME TO THE CITY OF MIAMI IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTES, SECTION 125.38 AT NO COST; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE CONVEYANCE OF SAID PROPERTIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRWOMAN OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSES(Internal Services)				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares as surplus a 5,450 square foot County-owned property located at 3749 Oak Avenue (Folio No. 01-4120-006-0800) and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3749 Oak Avenue- eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$372 annually. 				

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$32,700. • Declares as surplus a 5,000 square foot County-owned property located at 3604 Percival Avenue (Folio No. 01-4121-007-0780), and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3604 Percival Avenue- eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$341 annually. ○ According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$45,375. • Declares as surplus a 5,000 square foot County-owned property located at 3755 Frow Avenue Avenue (Folio No. 01-4120-006-0571), and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3755 Frow Avenue – eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$341 annually. ○ According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$30,000. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the County's Planning Advisory Board because all the properties are located within the City of Miami.</p> <p>The City of Miami will not be paying the County for the conveyance of these properties and property tax revenue will not be generated because these properties will be owned by the City of Miami until such time that they are conveyed/sold for the purpose of affordable housing.</p> <p>The County Deeds contain a restriction that states that the properties will be utilized solely for constructing single family affordable housing for low-income homebuyers. In the event that these properties are not used for this purpose within five years of the conveyance of the properties, they will automatically revert to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Background The County received formal requests from the City of Miami for donation of these vacant County-owned properties for the City's affordable housing program. Further to this request, and in light of the statutory requirements described below, the Internal Services Department circulated the properties to all County departments to determine whether the County has a present or future need for the properties, in which none was determined.</p> <p>Florida Statutes Section 125.38 authorizes the Board to convey property to the City of Miami, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida, when the Board is satisfied that the property is not needed for County purposes and will be utilized for the benefit of the public or community interest and welfare.</p>
8G2 140107	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 FOR THE HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140031](Office of Management and Budget)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Homestead Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency's) annual adopted budget for FY 2013-14 Budget for the Homestead Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's Budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$2,652,000.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The Agency's revenue source is Tax Increment Financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida State Statutes. The Countywide TIF into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2013-14 is \$801,400 and the City of Homestead's (City's) TIF payment into the Trust Fund is \$990,200. The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency, based on each respective year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year, through 2028, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p>On September 11, 2013, the Agency's FY 2013-14 budget of \$2,652,000 was approved by the Agency; and on September 11, 2013, the budget was approved by the City. The budget includes revenue sources of County and City TIF payments \$801,400 and \$990,200, respectively), carryover from prior years (\$810,400), and interest earnings (\$50,000).</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$408,900, excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$12,000), and represent 15 percent of total expenditures, which satisfies the 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures required by the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p>Operating Expenditures total \$2,199,600, which includes but is not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleaning services, utilities, telephone, travel and training (\$45,400); • \$472,500 for the cost of two police officers, two code compliance officers, and related expenses; • \$154,200 for employee salary and fringes related to redevelopment projects, including a 20 percent share of one Planning and Zoning Assistant in charge of processing all related aspects of the Area's Historic Preservation District in accordance with the Plan (<i>Salaries are split 60% administration and 40% for operations. The total for employee salary is \$385,270</i>); and • The budget also includes a reserve of \$31,500.
8H1	RESOLUTION APPROVING POLICY WITH RESPECT TO DISTRIBUTION OF COMPLIMENTARY TICKETS FOR 2014 SONY OPEN TENNIS

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
140013	<p>TOURNAMENT SPONSORSHIP BENEFITS PACKAGE AND 2014 MIAMI MARLINS BASEBALL SEASON; APPROVING LETTER OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND INTERNATIONAL PLAYERS CHAMPIONSHIPS, INC. FOR THE 2014 SONY OPEN TENNIS TOURNAMENT AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves policy with respect to the distribution of complimentary tickets for 2014 Sony Open Tennis Tournament sponsorship benefits package and 2014 Miami Marlins Baseball Season, approving Letter of Agreement between Miami-Dade County and International Players Championships, Inc. for the 2014 Sony Open Tennis Tournament.</p> <p><u>2014 Sony Open Tennis Tournament</u> Miami-Dade County will accept a cash payment of \$102,000 in lieu of the courtside boxes, tickets and passes for the 2014 Tennis Tournament, and which money will be divided equally among all County Commission Districts and allocated by each District Commissioner to support park and recreation programming and services at County parks, or for youth, charitable or any other organization fulfilling a public purpose or function.</p> <p><u>2014 Miami Marlins Baseball Season</u> The tickets allotted to the County will be allocated to each of the County Commissioners via a random drawing lottery and will then be distributed, as directed by the District Commissioner to whom the ticket(s) was allocated, to youth, charitable organizations, and/or any other organization and/or individual fulfilling a public purpose or function.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The fiscal impact of this item will be a cash payment to the County of \$102,000 for the 2014 Sony Open tennis tournament. There will not be a monetary fiscal impact for the receipt of Miami Marlins tickets.</p> <p><u>Other Agreements</u> On January 23, 2013, under resolution No. 24-13, the Board of County Commissioners approved the following policy with respect to other events, facilities and activities the County has a partnership in, such as the City of Homestead/Homestead-Miami Speedway, Santa's Enchanted Forest, and Miami-Dade County Fair & Expo. Inc., and for which the County receives complimentary tickets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any tickets received will be divided by 14, equally among the 13 Commissioners and Mayor. Furthermore, the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust's guidelines will be followed for distribution of these tickets.
8H2 132587	<p>RESOLUTION REJECTING ALL BIDS FOR THE INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE ZOO MIAMI ENTERTAINMENT AREA; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-8.4 OF THE MIAMI DADE COUNTY CODE PERTAINING TO BID PROTEST PROCEDURES, BY A TOWTHIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE MIAMI WILDS, LLC AND DINOSAUR PARK MIAMI, CORP., WHO SUBMITTED COMPLIMENTARY PROPOSALS IN RESPONSE TO ITN-ZMEA ISSUED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-157-12(Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the rejection of all proposals received in response to Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) - ZMEA (Zoo Miami Entertainment Area) for the development and operations of improvements at the Zoo Miami Entertainment Area and further waives the bid protest procedures, pursuant to the requirements of Section 2-8.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code, by a two-thirds vote of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) members present.</p> <p>The proposed resolution also directs the County Mayor or his designee to enter into negotiations with Miami Wilds, LLC and Dinosaur Park Miami, Corp., consistent with the proposals they submitted to ITN – ZMEA and in accordance with Resolution No. 157-12. The two developers submitted complementary proposals.</p> <p>Final agreements will be presented to the BCC for approval.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> This item will have no fiscal impact to the County as it is rejecting both proposals received in response to ITN – ZMEA and directing the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to negotiate with the two developers to develop the Zoo Miami Entertainment Area. Once a final deal is negotiated with these two developers, the negotiated agreements will be brought to this Board for approval along with the fiscal impact of those agreements.</p> <p><u>Initial Proposals</u> The two initial proposals suggest a Zoo Miami Entertainment Area comprised of over 200 acres, establishing a series of thematically integrated attractions, amusements, museums, retail and dining areas and related infrastructure, with a proposed developer investment of almost \$1 billion within the site.</p> <p>Based solely on financial information provided by the respective proposers, the combined impact of the overall project is likely to create over 14,000 direct and indirect jobs during construction and add over \$500 million in construction wages. Once operational, proposers suggest that the overall project will create over 2,750 new full-time equivalent jobs, generating over \$400 million in new sales and bed tax over the first ten years and providing over \$4 million in County revenues annually from land and participation rents committed to offset Zoo Miami and Zoo Miami Entertainment Area expenses.</p> <p>During the ITN negotiation process, the Negotiation Committee encountered certain required competitive selection processes that prevented the County from successfully being able to negotiate with Miami Wilds, LLC and Dinosaur Park Miami, Corp. due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collusion prohibitions that prevented the two proposers from coordinating a complete site plan because they could not interact

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>outside of noticed public meetings;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cone of Silence requirements that prevented Negotiating Committee members from receiving critical guidance and direction from senior administrative staff; and • Lack of policy framework establishing how staff could make certain public subsidies available to the project in order to incentivize economic development.
8H3 140037	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACT WITH HONEYWELL, SUBJECT TO EXPRESS CONDITIONS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTING 15 ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES (ECMS) AT 35 COUNTY-OWNED PARKS AND AN ONGOING SERVICE AGREEMENT OVER A 15 YEAR PLAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,515,583(Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to execute the Energy Performance Contract between Honeywell International Inc. (HII) and the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) for the purpose of implementing 15 energy conservation measures (ECMs) at 35 County-owned and an ongoing service agreement over a 15 year plan in the amount of \$9,515,583.</p> <p><i>An ECM is defined as any alteration or equipment purchase that reduces energy or energy related operating costs at a facility. The major ECMs include sports lighting fixture retrofits, building lighting retrofits and controls, walkway and parking lot lighting retrofits, chiller and air conditioning system replacements, building automation and temperature and humidity controls, solar water heating systems, and a composter for Zoo Miami.</i></p> <p>PROS staff, using the competitive selection process required by State Statute, selected Honeywell International Inc. (HII), one of the energy service companies in the Board-approved vendor pool for the program. HII conducted an energy audit of the existing 41 largest electricity consumption parks and provided an “Investment Grade Audit” (IGA) to the County.</p> <p>In essence, this agreement provides for an efficient system-wide approach to necessary life-cycle replacement of capital equipment that results in lower long term operating and maintenance costs, and is guaranteed to be fully repaid from the savings. In addition, the authorization extends to a third party financing agreement to fund the ECM implementation cost if the terms and conditions are favorable and accepted by the County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> This contract is structured to be “budget-neutral.” All cost to the County will be funded from the utility and operating savings guaranteed by HII after they complete the installation of the ECMs. The total cost of this contract is \$9,515,583 and includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cost of the ECMs at \$7,979,000 financed over a 15-year period in the form of a Municipal Lease which is a low interest tax free “loan” and paid to HII as the work of the ECMs progress over a 14-month period of construction. • The cost of the annualized service and maintenance of \$1,536,583 paid to HII over a 15-year period after the work is completed. This amount is not borrowed and is funded from the utility and operating savings. The ongoing annualized service and maintenance costs consist of required program Measurement and Verification (M&V) services throughout the 15 year period and an optional five years of maintenance services for the new air conditioning system chillers, new temperature and humidity controls for those chillers, and the Zoo Miami composter with two five-year options to renew (excluding the Zoo Miami composter). • This contract allows the County to replace aging and inefficient assets with the utility and operating savings resulting from the replacement of the assets. <p><i>The 35 parks and their Commission Districts are listed on handwritten pages 2 and 3 of the item.</i></p>
8K1 132199	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF FY 2013 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS TO DEMOLISH IMPROVEMENTS ON COUNTY OWNED PARCELS IDENTIFIED AS 1312, 1320, 1330, AND 1342 NW 62 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33147 FORMERLY UTILIZED AS THE LIBERTY CITY HEALTH CENTER; AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT OF THE FY 2013 ACTION PLAN TO BE FILED WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT(Public Housing and Community Development)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To demolish two (2) structures situated on four (4) parcels of County-owned land formerly utilized as the Liberty City Health Center to eliminate blight in the area; • To file with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development an amendment to the FY 2013 Action Plan and approve the use of \$30,000 of FY 2013 Community Development Block Grant funds to be used for the demolition activities described in this resolution; and • To recapture \$30,000 from Public Housing and Community Development housing rehabilitation activity and reallocate said funds to the demolition of these structures to eliminate blight in the area. <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source This item does not creates a fiscal impact to the County’s General Fund. This activity would be implemented utilizing Community Development Block Grant funds not to exceed \$30,000.00.</p> <p><i>Per Resolution No. R-627-13 the Board approved an allocation of funds to Public Housing and Community Development for demolition of a vacant public housing development (Modello). The Department does not anticipate using all of the funds allocated to the demolition activity and will expend not more than \$30,000.00 for this proposed activity.</i></p> <p>Background The four parcels of real property are located at 1312, 1320, 1330, and 1342 NW 62 Street, Miami, Florida 33147. Although there are no liens</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>filed against these sites, the City of Miami has confirmed that parcels 1320 and 1342 have open and unresolved unsafe structures cases filed against the respective sites. The demolition of the referenced sites will resolve the unsafe structures cases filed against the property.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-702-13 the PHT property was declassified and transferred back to the County for the purpose of demolishing two (2) structures situated on four (4) parcels. Demolition of the facilities will include fencing and securing of site and removal of the following: all debris from site, all footings, underground utilities and sewer cap. The Department will oversee the demolition activity and has estimated the cost will not exceed \$30,000.00. The Department has also determined that the activity qualifies under the CDBG criteria of Slums and Blight on a Spot Basis. The CDBG funds will be used to complete the project in accordance with 24 CFR Part 570.208(b)(2).</p>
8M1 140014	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ACQUISITION OF A CONSERVATION EASEMENT TO PURCHASE DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,270,100.00, ON APPROXIMATELY 141 ACRES, LOCATED AT SW 304 STREET AND SW 217 AVENUE AS PART OF THE COUNTY'S PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS PROGRAM WITH UNITED NURSERY CORPORATION AND 217TH NURSERY ACRES LLC AS SELLERS; PROJECT FUNDING SOURCE INCLUDING BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS AND UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FARM AND RANCH LANDS PROTECTION PROGRAM GRANT FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE ACQUISITION AUTHORIZED BY THE BOARD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN(Regulatory and Economic Resources)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the acquisition of a conservation easement to purchase development rights in the amount of \$2,270,100.00, on approximately 141 acres, located at SW 304 Street and SW 217 Avenue as part of the County's Purchase of Development Rights (PDR) program with United Nursery Corporation and 217th Nursery Acres LLC as sellers; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The PDR Program is funded through the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (BBC GOB). ○ This acquisition is partially funded (50%) by a grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program (USDA/FRPP). ○ The conservation easement will be in perpetuity and in a form prescribed by the USDA. • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute the agreement; • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to take all actions necessary to effectuate the acquisition. <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The PDR Program is funded by the BBC GOB Program (Project 10), with a total allocation of \$30 million, of which \$27 million is remaining. The negotiated price for the easements is \$2,270,100. The County will be reimbursed 50% of that cost by a USDA/FRPP grant, making the County's final obligation for the purchase \$1,135,050.</p> <p>In 2008 the people of the State of Florida approved constitutional Amendment 4 titled Florida Property Tax Exemption of Perpetually Conserved Land. The amendment was adopted into statute and became effective for the 2010 tax year. This change allows landowners who own property subject to perpetual conservation easements meeting certain criteria, to apply and be exempted from ad valorem taxation.</p> <p><i>If this item is approved the property owner may be eligible for a 50% reduction of ad valorem tax.</i></p> <p><i>The Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser has estimated the reduction in ad valorem tax payments to all taxing jurisdictions, based on current values, at \$2,6683.13 per year. The reduction to County tax is projected at \$1,396.79. The total 2013 property tax on the property was \$5,366.27.</i></p> <p>The properties meet the requirements of the PDR Program, if they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actively farmed; • Free from enforcement activities; • Have available density; • Designated agriculture on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) map; • Properly zoned and located outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). <p><i>The appraisals of the properties were completed in December 2013. The purchase price of the easement is based on values obtained from the appraisals. The County is purchasing the properties at less than appraised value.</i></p>
11A1 132103	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING EXISTING SLOT MACHINE AGREEMENTS WITH PARIMUTUELS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to provide a report for committee review within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution regarding the existing slot machine agreements with parimutuels in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The report, at a minimum, should include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of the duties, rights and obligations of the parimutuels and the County under the agreements; and • An analysis of the revenues generated from the agreements, the use of those funds, and any resolutions approved by the Board of County Commissioners related to those uses. <p>Additional Information</p> <p>On January 29, 2008, 63.04% (244,203) of the electorate voted to approve the following ballot question:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Slot Machines Limited to Existing Horse and Dog Tracks and Jai Alai Frontons</i> <i>Shall slot machine gaming be permissible at existing horse and dog tracks and jai alai frontons in Miami-Dade County as authorized by, and subject to the restrictions of, State law and subject to State taxes on all slot machine revenues that must be used to supplement public education funding statewide?</i></p>
11A2 140027	<p>RESOLUTION MODIFYING TRANSIT FARE STRUCTURE TO ALLOW MIAMI-DADE COUNTY RESIDENTS TO USE THE TRANSIT SYSTEM WITHOUT PAYING A FARE IF THEY (1) ARE VETERANS WHO HAVE NOT RECEIVED A DISHONORABLE DISCHARGE, AND (2) THEIR HOUSEHOLD INCOME DOES NOT EXCEED THE STANDARD THRESHOLD APPLIED TO DETERMINE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE LOW-INCOME, SENIOR CITIZENS'S ADDITIONAL HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution modifies the transit fare structure to allow Miami-Dade County residents to use the transit system without paying a fare if they:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Are veterans, excluding those dishonorably discharged, and 2) Their household income does not exceed the standard threshold applied to determine eligibility for the low-income, senior citizen's additional homestead exemption. <p>On June 8, 2004, under Resolution No. 729-04, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) created the Patriot Passport program and provided fare free transit services for honorably discharged veterans below a certain income threshold.</p> <p>The proposed resolution expands the Patriot Passport program to veterans who receive a general discharge.</p>
11A3 132607	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCORPORATE INTO FUTURE GROUND TRANSPORTATION CONTRACTS WITH PRIVATE ENTITIES INSURANCE COVERAGE REQUIRED BY SECTION 324.032, FLORIDA STATUTES, UNLESS THE MAYOR DETERMINES THAT A HIGHER LEVEL OF INSURANCE COVERAGE IS NECESSARY AND IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COUNTY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to incorporate into future Ground Transportation Contracts with private entities the level of insurance coverage required by Section 324.032, Florida Statutes, to the extent permitted by law, unless the Mayor does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determines that a higher level of insurance coverage is necessary and in the best interest of the County; and • Notifies the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) in writing prior to the issuance of the solicitation, and explains his or her rationale. <p>Additional Information</p> <p>At the Commission Aide's Briefing, information was requested as to the current insurance coverage levels in existing Ground Transportation Contracts as compared to the coverage levels proposed in this item. Below are the coverage levels provided by ISD currently required in each known existing contract:</p> <p>Coverage Proposed in Resolution</p> <p>Section 324.032, Florida Statutes, mandates minimum insurance coverage for certain for-hire passenger transportation vehicles, such as taxicabs, limousines, and jitneys, of \$125,000/\$250,000/\$50,000 or \$300,000.</p> <p>RFP800: Special Transportation Services Initial Term: 04/01/2013 to 03/31/2018 OTR: One 5-year OTR after 3/31/2018 Insurance Limits:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Worker's Compensation Insurance for all employees of the Contractor as required by Florida Statute 440. 2. Public Liability Insurance on a comprehensive basis in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. Miami-Dade County must be shown as an additional insured with respect to this coverage. The mailing address of Miami-Dade County 111 N.W. 1st Street, Suite 1300, Miami, Florida 33128-1974, as the certificate holder, must appear on the certificate of insurance. 3. Automobile Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles used in connection with the work, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. <p>9749-0/18: Transportation Services Initial Term: 01/01/2014 to 12/31/2018 OTR: None Insurance Limits:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Worker's Compensation Insurance for all employees of the Contractor as required by Florida Statute 440. 2. Commercial General Liability Insurance on a comprehensive basis in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. Miami-Dade County must be shown as an additional insured with respect to this coverage. 3. Automobile Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles used in connection with the work, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. <p>RFQ83: Fixed Bus Routes and Group Travel Term: 04/01/2006 to 07/31/2014 OTR: None Insurance Limits:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Workers' Compensation Insurance for all employees of the Contractor as required by Florida Statute 440. 2. Public Liability Insurance on a comprehensive basis in an amount not less than \$500,000 combined single limit per occurrence for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. Miami-Dade County must be shown as an additional insured with respect to this coverage. 3. Automobile Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles used by the Contractor or common carrier in connection with the Services, in an amount no less than \$500,000 combined single limit per occurrence for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. <p>Replacement solicitation for fixed routes for MDT is under the cone. The solicitation # is: 9791-1/24. Group travel portion of this RFQ will be covered under contract# 9749-0/18.</p> <p>9791-1/24 (Fixed Bus Routes for MDT): UNDER CONE Term: Five (5) years with an option to renew for a 5-year period. Insurance Limits:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Worker's Compensation Insurance for all employees of the Contractor as required by Florida Statute 440. 2. Commercial General Liability Insurance on a comprehensive basis in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. Miami-Dade County must be shown as an additional insured with respect to this coverage. 3. Automobile Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles used in connection with the work, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage.
11A4 132419	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO AVOID CONCENTRATING THE RELEASE OF COUNTY CORRECTIONAL INMATES INTO A SMALL NUMBER OF COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICTS, AND PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH A REPORT WITHIN SIXTY DAYS
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to revisit the current inmate release policy and develop a comprehensive plan to more equitably distribute the release of Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation inmates throughout the County to avoid concentrating such releases into a small number of County Commission districts, by, among other things, analyzing the best practices of other comparable local governments and working with the Miami-Dade Transit Department on possible bus route adjustments, and report to the BCC on the details of the plan within sixty (60) days.
11A5 132395	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXPEDITIOUSLY FUND ALL CAPITAL COSTS UP TO \$22,100,000.00 FROM THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM TO OPEN THE MENTAL HEALTH DIVERSION FACILITY ASSIGNED TO JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION AND DESCRIBED UNDER PROJECT 193 AT THE FACILITY LEASED BY THE COUNTY LOCATED AT 2200 NW 7 AVENUE, MIAMI AND REPORT TO THIS BOARD ON THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to expeditiously utilize funds from Project 193 of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program in an amount not to exceed \$22,100,000 to make the capital improvements necessary to open the mental health diversion facility located at 2200 NW 7th Avenue, Miami, Florida 33127, and to report back to the BCC within thirty (30) days on the timeline and status of the plans to implement this project as authorized by county voters.
11A6 132194	RESOLUTION ADOPTING POLICY THAT, IN CONNECTION WITH THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR UNDERWRITERS, FINANCIAL FIRMS SEEKING TO UNDERWRITE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ISSUED BONDS CERTIFY THAT THEY DO NOT CONDUCT BUSINESS WITH IRAN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the extent allowable by law, in connection with the request for proposals for underwriters, all financial institutions, including foreign financial institutions, seeking to underwrite bonds issued by Miami-Dade County, will certify that they are not conducting any transaction, commerce, service or business with any Iranian financial institution, including, without limitation, the Central Bank of Iran. • If any such financial institution is unable to make such certification, or makes such certification inaccurately, it will be disqualified from the selection process. • If such underwriter has been selected to be included in the County's underwriting pool based on an inaccurate certification, it is to be removed from the pool by the County Mayor or his designee. <p>The federal government of the United States has adopted the Iran Threat Reduction Act which requires companies doing business in the United States to disclose business relationships with Iran to the Securities and Exchange Commission and empowers States to take any action consistent with the Iran Threat Reduction Act.</p> <p>The State of Florida has amended its debt management policy such that, in connection with requests for proposals for underwriters, the State of Florida will now require all financial institutions seeking to be underwriters for the State of Florida, to certify that neither they nor their subsidiaries conduct investment activities in Iran.</p> <p>Additional Information On August 10, 2012, the President signed into law the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 (ITRSHRA). The Iran-related provisions in the law provide for sanctions on activities related to Iran's energy and financial sectors, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, support for terrorism, and human rights abuses. ITRSHRA also amends portions of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (ISA), the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010 (CISADA), and section 1245 of the FY 2012 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA). These new authorities greatly increase the pressure on Iran to comply with its full range of international nuclear obligations and engage in constructive negotiations with the international community. The legislation also contains provisions providing for sanctions on activities related to Syria's human rights abuses.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A8 132602	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO UPDATE THE FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY FOR THE METROZOO/RICHMOND HEIGHTS TO INCLUDE THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA DESCRIBED GENERALLY AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY LINCOLN BOULEVARD, BOUNDED ON THE SOUTH BY SW 184 STREET, BOUNDED ON THE WEST BY SW 137 AVENUE AND BOUNDED ON THE EAST BY SW 117 AVENUE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to update the Finding of Necessity study for creation of the Metrozoo/Richmond Heights community redevelopment area to include the geographical area described generally as bounded on the north by Lincoln Boulevard, bounded on the south by SW 184 Street, bounded on the west by SW 137 Avenue and bounded on the east by SW 117 Avenue.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On May 11, 2004, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Resolution No. 645-04 directing the County Manger to prepare a finding of necessity study for the Metrozoo/Richmond Heights Community Redevelopment Area. The Finding of Necessity study was completed on October 20, 2005, but was never submitted to the BCC for final approval.</p> <p>The proposed resolution authorized the County Mayor or his designee to select a consultant to update the Finding of Necessity study for the proposed Metrozoo/Richmond Heights Community Redevelopment Area. The source of funding for payment of the consultant will be the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area non-departmental allocation, with possible reimbursement from funds on deposit in the Community Redevelopment Trust Fund pertaining to the Metrozoo/Richmond Heights Proposed Community Redevelopment Area, if available.</p>
11A9 132598	RESOLUTION REQUIRING THAT FINAL UNDERWRITING REPORT AND EXPLANATION OF ANY REVISIONS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT FUNDED WITH GRANT FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM BE PRESENTED WITH LEGISLATION FOR APPROVAL OF GRANT AGREEMENTS
Notes	The proposed resolution requires that the final underwriting report for each affordable housing project allocated a grant of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond funds and a written explanation of any revisions to such affordable housing project will be presented to the BCC with the legislation seeking approval of the grant documents.
11A10 140220	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) TO DEVELOP A PLAN FOR THE COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION OF THE FACILITIES AT GOLDEN GLADES INTERCHANGE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 132604]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to work with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to develop a plan for the cooperative management and operation of the facilities at the Golden Glades Interchange.</p> <p>FDOT has completed a Project Development and Environment Study for a new integrated multimodal transportation facility and is in the process of restructuring the Golden Glades Interchange surface parking lots to include a structured garage parking and the reconfiguration of the East Lot to include a Truck and Travel Center. Geater coordination between Miami-Dade County and the Florida Department of Transportation may result in greater efficiencies and improved transportation flow by facilitating greater access to Miami-Dade Transit bus facilities.</p>
11A11 132376	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT, THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT TO DEVELOP METHODS TO RECOGNIZE PROPERTIES WITH UNUSUALLY HIGH UTILITY USAGE ON WHICH ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES MAY BE OCCURRING AND TO PREPARE A REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN NINETY DAYS ON THE POSSIBLE METHODS
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to work with Florida Power & Light, the Miami-Dade Police Department and the Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Department in order to identify methods or procedures that can be employed by the utilities to identify properties with unusually high utility usage that may be indicative of on-site illegal activity (i.e. marijuana grow houses) and to report to the BCC within ninety (90) days from the date of this resolution what its findings and recommendations are regarding such methods and procedures.
11A12 140010	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ESTABLISH A SISTER PARKS AND CULTURAL FACILITIES PROGRAM; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN NINETY DAYS, TO PROPOSE PAIRINGS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PARKS AND CULTURAL FACILITIES WITH WORLDWIDE PARKS AND CULTURAL FACILITIES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to establish a Sister Parks and Cultural Facilities Program under which Miami-Dade County parks and cultural facilities will be paired with willing parks and cultural facilities in other countries so as to foster cultural and informational exchanges between the paired facilities.</p> <p>In connection with the establishment of the Sister Parks and Cultural Facilities Program, the County Mayor or his designee will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design a scope and goals for the Sister Park sand Cultural Facilities Program; and • Identify funding to establish and support the program as designed. <p>Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the proposed resolution, the County Mayor or his designee is to propose pairings of parks and cultural facilities in Miami-Dade County with willing parks and cultural facilities in other countries.</p> <p>The creation of a Sister Parks and Cultural Facilities Program, paralleling the existing Sister Cities Program, would benefit Miami-Dade County's parks and cultural facilities and the Miami-Dade County community in general, by fostering cultural and informational exchanges.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Additional Information <u>National Parks Services (NPS) Sister Parks Initiative</u> Several NPS sites have established "sister park" relationships in the last few years with national parks in other countries. These partnerships increase information sharing and direct park-to-park contacts to address many of the common issues, primarily through improved telecommunications technologies. Sister Park partnerships are only developed after close consultation with the NPS Office of International Affairs, which will provide advice and guidance.</p> <p>NPS Sister Park Guidelines include but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The normal basis for entering into a sister park arrangement should either be a similarity of protected resources/ecosystems, or a mutual interest in a common set of park management issues. Whatever the common interests, they should be substantial enough to sustain a sister park arrangement, and the resulting exchange activities, on an ongoing basis. • A sister park arrangement normally consists of exchanges of technical information and, if practicable, short-term personnel exchanges. • The scope of the technical content in a sister park arrangement should be negotiated between the two parks. • Concerning financing, the current NPS policy is that any commitment by a NPS unit to enter into a sister park arrangement means that the unit is also committing itself to covering its costs for that arrangement and its exchanges. Many NPS units have been very creative in leveraging external funds from friends groups or foundations to support their sister park arrangements.
11A13 140011	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE THE COMMISSION WITH THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES LIVING OUTSIDE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FROM 2007 TO PRESENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to provide a report to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this resolution containing the number and percentage of County employees living outside Miami-Dade County for the years 2007 to the present.</p> <p>An accurate count and percentage of Miami-Dade County employees living outside Miami-Dade County will assist the BCC in understanding the current trends concerning the residency of the County's workforce and the potential impact on the local economy.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On November 6, 2007, this Board passed Ordinance No. 07-164 repealing Section 2-11.17 of the Code of Miami-Dade County relating to residency requirements for Miami-Dade County employees; therefore, employees of Miami-Dade County are no longer required to establish or maintain residency within Miami-Dade County.</p>
11A14 132402	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE'S EFFORT TO CREATE AND IMPLEMENT AN ONLINE SYSTEM FOR DOG TAG REGISTRATION AND RENEWAL; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution supports the creation and implementation of a user-friendly online means for dog tag registration and renewal in Miami-Dade County and directs the Mayor or designee to provide a report on the implementation for committee review, within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution, and each 30 days thereafter until such program is fully implemented.</p>
11A15 132597	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN FOR THE CREATION OF A SECRET SHOPPER PROGRAM TO MONITOR AND IMPROVE CUSTOMER SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to develop a plan for the creation of a secret shopper program, including the costs associated with implementing such a plan, and a description of the County's prior efforts to implement similar programs.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee will develop and present such plan to this BCC for committee review within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this resolution.</p>
11A16 132600	RESOLUTION SETTING POLICY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROHIBITING THE USE OF ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES IN COUNTY OWNED OR OPERATED ENCLOSED INDOOR WORKPLACES; PROVIDING EXCEPTIONS; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE FOR BOARD APPROVAL REVISIONS TO APPLICABLE IMPLEMENTING ORDERS AND OTHER RULES TO EFFECTUATE THIS POLICY AT ALL COUNTY ENCLOSED INDOOR WORKPLACES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes that it is the policy of Miami-Dade County that the use of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices is prohibited in all enclosed indoor workplaces owned or operated by the County consistent with state law for lighted tobacco products, the Florida Clean Indoor Air Act, Part II of Chapter 386, Florida Statutes, as such may be amended from time to time, unless an exception applies.</p> <p>This policy will also apply to enclosed indoor workplaces operated within the designated facilities of the Public Health Trust.</p> <p>The proposed resolution also directs the Mayor or designee, within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution, to prepare for BCC approval revisions to applicable Implementing Orders and other departmental rules and regulations to effectuate the policy directive set forth in this resolution.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New York City restricted electronic cigarette use in December, adding the devices to the city's ban on smoking in restaurants, bars, parks, and other public places. • The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recently found alarming rates of e-cigarette use among middle and high school students. Between 2010 and 2011, the number of U.S. adults who have tried e-cigarettes doubled. From 2011 to 2012, the percentage of high school students who have ever used e-cigarettes more than doubled from 4.7 percent to 10 percent. More than 20 percent of the middle school students who reported using e-cigarettes said they had never tried traditional cigarettes.

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A 2009 analysis by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) found significant quality control issues with e-cigarettes. They discovered carcinogens and toxic chemicals in some, a variation in the dose of nicotine per inhalation in others, and the presence of nicotine in products that were claiming to be nicotine-free. This analysis raises valid concerns about the health risks of e-cigarettes for users and bystanders who inhale the vapor. • The National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG) called on the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to immediately regulate the sale and advertising of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) as “tobacco products” under the Tobacco Control Act, as they are products made or derived from tobacco. • According to the U.S. Surgeon General, the nicotine found in e-cigarettes is highly addictive, has immediate bio-chemical effects on the brain and body at any dosage, and is toxic in high doses. • Unlike traditional tobacco products, there are no federal age restrictions that would prevent kids from obtaining e-cigarettes, nor are there any advertising restrictions. E-cigarettes contain fruit and candy flavors that appeal to youth. The FDA banned such flavors from cigarettes and the attorneys general believe the federal agency should do the same with e-cigarettes. • Through television advertising, consumers are led to believe that e-cigarettes are a safe alternative to cigarettes, despite the fact that they are addictive, and there is no regulatory oversight ensuring the safety of e-cigarette ingredients. • State attorneys general have fought for years to protect people from the dangers of tobacco products. In 1998, the attorneys general of 46 states signed the landmark tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) with the four largest tobacco companies in the United States to recover billions of dollars in costs associated with smoking-related illnesses, and restrict cigarette advertising to prevent youth smoking. • Delaware Attorney General Beau Biden, the Delaware Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Enforcement, the Delaware Division of Public Health as well as State Rep. Deborah Hudson and Sen. Patricia Blevins announced legislation prohibiting the sale of e-cigarettes to underage teens.
11A17 132601	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REPORT TO THE BOARD ON THE ADVISABILITY AND FEASIBILITY OF BRINGING FORMULA ONE AND FORMULA E RACING TO DOWNTOWN MIAMI
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to report on the advisability and feasibility of bringing Formula One and Formula E racing to downtown Miami.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee will transmit the report directly to the members of the BCC by memorandum within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>Additional Information Andretti Sports Marketing to host Miami Formula E race - Dec 13, 2013³ Andretti Sports Marketing has been appointed by Formula E to be the official event organizers for the Miami Formula E race - a new fully-electric racing championship taking place on the streets of Downtown Miami on March 14, 2015.</p> <p>Based in Indianapolis, Andretti Sports Marketing is a sports, entertainment, event and experiential marketing company that has successfully managed and operated several large scale urban events around the world, including IndyCar and sports car races. Earlier this year, Andretti Sports Marketing partnered with Global Rallycross to promote and manage their ESPN X Games global events in Spain, Brazil, Germany and the United States, including the championship finale held on the strip in Las Vegas, Nevada.</p> <p>The Miami Formula E race will be one of two races in the US alongside Los Angeles on February 14, 2015.</p>
11A18 132605	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT A CAR SHARING PROGRAM FOR COUNTY VEHICLES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to solicit a car sharing program, similar to the ones being implemented in other major cities throughout the United States, that will reduce the costs of maintaining and operating County owned vehicles.</p> <p>The Mayor or Mayor’s designee is further directed to present such a solicitation to the BCC within sixty (60) days of the date of this resolution for approval prior to any advertisement.</p> <p>A number of major cities around the United States, including New York, Chicago, Boston, Austin, and Washington D.C., have partnered with car sharing companies such as Zip car and car2go to create car sharing programs in order to help governments improve efficiency and reduce the costs of maintaining and operating government owned vehicles</p> <p>Additional Information Cook County, Illinois Cook County, Ill., has saved about \$250,000 with a car sharing program in its first year by downsizing the vehicle fleet by 10 cars; cutting mileage reimbursement; and salvaging older vehicles. Employees used Zipcars and the county’s shared fleet of existing vehicles outfitted with Zipcar’s FastFleet technology.</p> <p>Houston, Texas The City of Houston is expanding its car-sharing program for its employees, created through a partnership with ZipCar, to a second location. The City began the program, called FleetShare, with shared motor pool vehicles in the Theater District’s Tranquility Garage. The City has</p>

³ www.fiaformulae.com/news/andretti-to-organiser-miami-race

**Board of County Commissioners
February 4, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	expanded this program to the City Hall Annex Garage. Vehicles involved in this program include 50 City fleet vehicles, including 25 Nissan LEAF EVs and other types of plug-in hybrid vehicles. Zipcar's FastFleet devices are installed in these City-owned vehicles.
11A19 140146	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO AMEND SENATE AND HOUSE RULES OF PROCEDURE TO IMPOSE ON THE LEGISLATURE THE SAME SUNSHINE LAW REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE 286.011
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution urges the Florida Legislature to amend Senate and House Rules of Procedure to impose on the Legislature the same Sunshine Law requirements that are required of local governments pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011.</p> <p>The proposed resolution also authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include the proposed item in the 2014 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the Board of County Commissioners.</p> <p>Florida's Sunshine Law has the effect of prohibiting two members of the same board from communicating with each other regarding an item in advance of board action on that item.</p> <p>Currently, Florida's Sunshine Law that applies to local governments but does not apply to the Florida Legislature.</p>
11A20 140166	RESOLUTION OPPOSING A PROPOSED COMMITTEE BILL BY THE HOUSE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM SUBCOMMITTEE, PCB EDTS 14-02 OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION, THAT WOULD REMOVE THE REQUIREMENT THAT EACH LOCAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MAINTAIN A REGISTRY OF PERSONS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION AND REQUIRE A STATEWIDE REGISTRY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution opposes a proposed Committee Bill by the House Economic Development and Tourism Subcommittee, PCB EDTS 14-02 or similar legislation that would remove the requirement that each local emergency management agency maintain a registry of persons with special needs within their jurisdiction and require a statewide registry.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed resolution authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include the proposed item in the 2014 State Legislative Package.</p> <p>Currently, each of the 67 counties in Florida currently are required to maintain a Special Needs Registry in order meet the special needs of persons who, because of physical, mental, cognitive impairment, or sensory disabilities, would require assistance during evacuations and sheltering.</p> <p>Since 1980, counties have been required to maintain Special Needs Registries under state law.</p> <p>Currently, over 2,000 Miami-Dade County residents are registered on Miami-Dade County's Special Needs Registry.</p> <p>A single statewide one-size-fits-all Special Needs Registry may be less effective at serving the unique characteristics and capabilities of individual counties.</p>