



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

July 1, 2014

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
4A 141416	ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 8 ARTICLE III OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE ADOPTING A LOCAL TECHNICAL AMENDMENT PERTAINING TO UNDERWATER POOL LIGHTING FOR PRIVATE SWIMMING OR RECREATIONAL BATHING POOLS LOCATED IN AREAS OF INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Chapter 8 Article III of the Miami-Dade County Code, Florida Building Code, adopting a local technical amendment pertaining to underwater pool lighting for private swimming or recreational bathing pools located in areas of incorporated and unincorporated Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Additional Information MedicineNet.com, Prevent Pool Electrocution, June 25, 2014 <i>The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) and the American Red Cross together are recommending electrical inspections for all public and private pools, especially older pools. Hopefully, inspections and repairs will protect swimmers from being electrocuted by faulty underwater or poolside wiring. There have been 60 deaths and nearly 50 serious shocks reported over the past 13 years involving electrical hazards in and around swimming pools.</i> <i>The CPSC is most concerned about faulty underwater lighting; aging electrical wiring that hasn't been inspected in years; the use of sump pumps, power washers, and vacuums that are not grounded; and electrical appliances (such as radios and TVs) and extension cords falling or being pulled into the water. All of these hazards present an even greater risk if the lighting, circuits, and nearby receptacles are not protected by Ground-Fault Circuit-Interrupters (GFCIs) - the best safety device to prevent electrocution.</i> <i>"The best protection for families is inspection, detection, and correction of electrical hazards in and around swimming pools, hot tubs and spas," said the CPSC Chairman. "CPSC strongly encourages residential and commercial pool owners and operators to upgrade protection of the lights, receptacles, and switches with GFCIs. Older pools are the biggest concern, as underwater lighting fixtures may have degraded with age and may not be protected by GFCIs."</i> <i>The CPSC and the American Red Cross are also warning swimmers that electrical hazards around a pool, hot tub or spa can lead to multiple deaths or injuries. This occurs when an individual becomes incapacitated by stray current in the water and one or more persons jump in or reach out to save the victim, resulting in multiple electrocutions or serious shocks.</i> <i>In an emergency, the American Red Cross recommends turning off all power; using a fiberglass hook to carefully remove the victim(s) from the water; administering CPR; and calling 911.</i> <i>For more information about electrical safety around pools, hot tubs or spas, consumers should contact CPSC at (800) 638-2772 or www.cpsc.gov. Consumers also can view our publications, "Don't Swim With Shocks - Electrical Safety In and Around Pools, Hot Tub and Spas," (pdf) and "Install Ground-Fault Circuit Interrupter Protection for Pools, Spas and Hot Tub".</i></p> <p>In addition, there have been several reports in the media recently pertaining to pool electricity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Miami Herald, <i>7-Year-Old Electrocuted in Family Pool</i>, April 17, 2014 (website: http://www.miamiherald.com/2014/04/17/4065902/7-year-old-electrocuted-in-family.html) • Miami Herald, <i>3 Children Get Jolts in Hialeah Pool</i>, April 3, 2014 (website: http://miamiherald.typepad.com/deadline-miami/2014/04/3-children-get-jolts-in-hialeah-pool.html) 																
4B 141400	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE, COMMUNITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE, AND COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAMS; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, AND 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO RENAME EACH PROGRAM; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Small Business Enterprise, Community Business Enterprise, and Community Small Business Programs; amends Sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, and 10-33.02 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to rename each program.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;"> Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, and 10-33.02 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise, Community Business Enterprise, and Community Small Business Programs</i> </th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section of Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Amendments</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Notes</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(1)</i> Small Business Enterprise Program</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Small Business Enterprise Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Program.</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Small Business Enterprise Goods & Services Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Goods & Service Program.</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Renames the Small Business Enterprise Program to the Small Business Enterprise Goods and Services Program.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Sec. 2-10.4.01(1)</i> Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural,</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Community Business Enterprise ("CBE-A/E") Program</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering Program. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering ("CBE" or "CBE-A/E") Program.</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>Renames the Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, and 10-33.02 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise, Community Business Enterprise, and Community Small Business Programs</i>				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	<i>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(1)</i> Small Business Enterprise Program	Small Business Enterprise Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Program .	Small Business Enterprise Goods & Services Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Goods & Service Program.	<i>Renames the Small Business Enterprise Program to the Small Business Enterprise Goods and Services Program.</i>	<i>Sec. 2-10.4.01(1)</i> Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural,	Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Community Business Enterprise ("CBE-A/E") Program	Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering Program. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering ("CBE" or "CBE-A/E") Program .	<i>Renames the Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, and 10-33.02 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise, Community Business Enterprise, and Community Small Business Programs</i>																	
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes														
<i>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(1)</i> Small Business Enterprise Program	Small Business Enterprise Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Program .	Small Business Enterprise Goods & Services Program. 1) Title. This section shall be referred to as the Small Business Enterprise Goods & Service Program.	<i>Renames the Small Business Enterprise Program to the Small Business Enterprise Goods and Services Program.</i>														
<i>Sec. 2-10.4.01(1)</i> Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural,	Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Community Business Enterprise ("CBE-A/E") Program	Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering Program. 1) Title. This Section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise Architecture & Engineering ("CBE" or "CBE-A/E") Program .	<i>Renames the Community Business Enterprise Program for Architectural, Landscape</i>														

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<i>Landscape Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services</i>	<i>for Architectural, Engineering, Landscape Architectural, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services.</i>		<i>Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services to the Small Business Enterprise Architecture and Engineering Program.</i>
	Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(F) Definitions – CBE-A/E	<i>CBE-A/E Program is the Community Business Enterprise Program for the Architectural, Engineering, Landscape Architectural, Surveying and Mapping Professionals.</i>	<i>CBE-A/E Program is the Small Business Enterprise Program for the Architectural, Engineering, Landscape Architectural, Surveying and Mapping Professionals.</i>	
	Sec. 10-33.02(1) Community Small Business Enterprise Program.	Community Small Business Enterprise Program 1) <i>Title. This section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Community Small Business Enterprise ("CSBE") Program.</i>	Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program. 1) <i>Title. This section shall be referred to as the Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise Construction Services ("CSBE") Program.</i>	
	Sec. 10-33.02(2) Community Small Business Enterprise Program - Definitions	<i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose average annual gross revenues for the last three (3) years do not exceed:</i>	<i>Small Business Enterprise for Construction Services (CSBE) means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose average annual gross revenues for the last three (3) years do not exceed:</i>	<i>Renames the Community Small Business Enterprise Program to the Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>
	Sec. 10-33.02(3)(B) Community Small Business Enterprise Program – Program Components	Miami-Dade County Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) Program. The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code ("Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance"), as to the contracts specified below:	Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program. The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code ("Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance"), as to the contracts specified below:	
4C 141417	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; CREATING REGULATIONS TO PERMIT CARGO CONTAINER STORAGE UNITS IN CERTAIN ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning amends Section 33-20 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), creating regulations to permit cargo container storage units in certain Zoning districts.</p> <p>Section 33-20 of the Code refers to accessory buildings, utility sheds and pergolas, swimming pools, fallout shelters, boat storage, and portable mini-storage units. Under the proposed ordinance, this section of the Code will be amended to also regulate cargo container storage units.</p> <p>Additional Information <i>According to Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), the difference between the current policy and the proposed ordinance is that currently, staff goes by a previous Director memorandum that provides for one cargo container to be used for storage on commercial sites under certain conditions. The proposed ordinance primarily codifies such memo and expands on it. The expansion includes both the number of containers (one per acre) and the standards or conditions for their placement.</i></p>			
4D 141412	ORDINANCE CREATING THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY MARKETING PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM; CREATING ARTICLE CXLIII OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; DELEGATING TO THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE THE AUTHORITY TO ADMINISTER THE PROGRAM AND TO ENTER INTO MARKETING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTING ORDER; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Article CXLIII of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), delegating to the Mayor or his designee the authority to administer the Miami-Dade County Marketing Partnerships Program, and to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter into Marketing Partnership Agreements; and • Provide for Implementing Order. 			

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>This Countywide Program is designed to create revenue generating opportunities through mutually beneficial “marketing partnerships” that are creative and non-traditional.</i></p> <p><u>Marketing Partnerships Program</u> <i>The Marketing Partnerships Program (Program) is a countywide sponsorship program whereby a third party will provide a financial benefit to the County in the form of non-tax revenue and/or in-kind fees (products or services) in exchange for the access to the marketing commercial potential associated with select County assets for the use in strategies to promote, sell, or distribute a product or service. Marketing partnerships will vary depending on County assets and corporate goals, but can incorporate certain marketing privileges such as naming and sales rights, facility and event signage, program advertising, and an exclusive proud product/services provider to the County.</i></p> <p>The Program will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leverage County assets (properties, programs, etc.) across departments to enhance the County’s position to attract major sponsors/partners; • The County will pursue revenue from private corporations and small enterprises; other governmental entities; foundations and charitable groups; and philanthropists and individuals; and • Support and not supplant current private-sector partnership/revenue generation programs that are already active in various departments unless there is a greater potential to increase revenue generation by inclusion in the new program through marketing partnership agreements. <p><u>Marketing Partnership Agreement</u> <i>A Marketing Partnership Agreement is an agreement with a third party to provide a financial benefit to the County in the form of non-tax revenue and/or in-kind fees (products or services) in exchange for the access to the marketing commercial potential associated with select County assets for the use in strategies to promote, sell, or distribute a product or service. Marketing Partnership Agreements may incorporate but not be limited to certain marketing privileges such as advertising, exclusivity rights, naming rights, and other revenue-generating methodologies.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> In 2012, Active Network, a national marketing firm, evaluated Miami-Dade County’s strengths for a countywide marketing program and identified marketing opportunity categories with the most appeal to corporate partners and economic benefit to the County. The categories included: (1) Proud Partner Program; (2) Beverage and Snack Vending; (3) Naming Rights; (4) Media Services; (5) WiFi Proximity Marketing; (6) “Daily Deal” ConnectCard; (7) Licencing and Branding; (8) MyCounty Webpage; (9) Public Recycling; and (10) 3-1-1 Marketing Program.</p> <p>The evaluation proposed that incremental County revenue and cost savings attributable to just these categories above could initially range between \$750,000 and \$1 million within one year after implementation. These revenues can continue to grow annually as long term contract accelerators kick in and new category segments are phased in and are activated.</p> <p>Sole authority for the allocation of revenue generated as a result of any and all marketing partnership agreements shall be retained by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) and will be budgeted through the annual budget process except for certain revenues that may have restricted uses as required by federal law.</p> <p><u>Management of the Program</u> The day to day oversight, development, and management of the Program will be the responsibility of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).</p> <p>Due to the County’s size and scope, the proposed ordinance recommends that the County contract with an experienced external professional marketing research firm/consultant, selected through an open competitive solicitation, to assist internal staff. The services of the external consultant will include: (1) obtaining current valuations of County assets, especially those that can be expected to yield more than \$100,000 in revenue; (2) monitoring corporate interest and sponsorship activity on a national level for potential leads; and (3) brokering high-value, long-term. Compensation for such services would be derived directly as a percentage from revenue generated in a successful marketing partnership deal.</p> <p><u>Implementing Order</u> An Implementing Order that will be presented to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for consideration when the proposed ordinance is before the BCC for final approval. The Implementing Order will establish the roles and responsibilities of the OMB as well as the strategies to engage with qualified private/corporate or public sector organization in marketing partnerships, and the authority to negotiate and award marketing partnership agreements.</p>
5A 141229	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND (BBC GOB) PROGRAM PROJECT NOS. 332 – “HIALEAH HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMING ARTS CENTER” TO REDUCE ALLOCATION BY \$927,714.00 FOR A TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION OF \$7,872,286.00 AND 302 – “HIALEAH – RECONSTRUCT MILANDER AUDITORIUM” TO INCREASE ALLOCATION BY \$927,714.00 FOR A TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION OF \$7,273,714.00, BOTH IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-919-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves significant modifications to the following for Building Better Communities-General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program Projects in Appendix A to the Cultural Facilities Resolution No. R-919-04:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project No. 332 – Hialeah High School Performing Arts Center reducing its allocation by \$927,714 from \$8,800,000 to \$7,872,286; and • Project No. 302 – Hialeah – Reconstruct Milander Auditorium increasing its allocation by \$927,714 from \$6,346,000 to \$7,273,714. <p>The City of Hialeah has completed Project No. 332 and \$3,268,200 of the remaining \$8,800,000 allocation are surplus funds (as such term is defined in Implementing Order 3-47 (IO 3-47) and BBC GOB Project No. 302 – Hialeah- Reconstruct Milander Auditorium with an original allocation of \$6,346,000 has a budget shortfall (as such term is defined in IO 3-47) of \$927,714. The City of Hialeah has requested to use \$927,714 of surplus funds from Project No. 332 to complete Project No. 302.</p>
5B 141456	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TERMINATE ONE INTEREST RATE SWAP AND TO SUSPEND PAYMENTS TO COUNTY AND EXTEND TERM OF TWO OTHER INTEREST RATE SWAPS WITH LOOP FINANCIAL PRODUCTS I LLC WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN SPECIAL OBLIGATION AND REFUNDING BONDS SECURED BY CONVENTION DEVELOPMENT TAX; PROVIDING THAT PAYMENT TO BE RECEIVED BY COUNTY BE USED FOR ANY LAWFUL PURPOSE OF COUNTY; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH SUCH PURPOSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141206]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to terminate one interest rate swap and to suspend payments to county and extend term of two other interest rate swaps with Loop Financial Products I LLC with respect to certain special obligation and refunding bonds secured by Convention Development Tax; providing that payment to be received by County be used for any lawful purpose of County; and authorizing County Officials to take all actions necessary to accomplish such purposes.</p> <p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terminate the County's Series 1996B Swap; • Suspend the payments on the Series 1997 Swaps to be received from the County from the Counterparty for up to thirty-six (36) months; and • Extend the term of the Series 1997 Swaps in exchange for a payment to the County from the Counterparty of not less than thirty million dollars (\$30,000,000.00) (the Loop Payment). <p>Furthermore, the provisions of Resolution No. 130-06 requiring that any contracts of the County with third parties be executed and finalized prior to their placement on the committee agenda by the County Mayor is waived at the request of the County Mayor.</p> <p>The proposed resolution (Swap Resolution) approves the restructuring of three interest rate swap agreements (Swaps) with Loop Financial Products related to the County's Convention Development Tax (CDT) Bonds. The purpose of the restructuring is to replenish the CDT shortfall reserve, which Board action required as part of the legislation that approved Marlins Ballpark financing. As part of the adopted budget, the balance of the shortfall reserve had been redirected in the current fiscal year to support CDT-eligible expenses in the Park, Recreation and Open Spaces Department to help balance the FY 2013-14 Budget.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The restructuring of the three Swaps will alter their cash flows and will result in the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An upfront payment to the County of not less than \$30 million, which will replenish the CDT shortfall reserve; • An extension of two of the swap agreements until 2037, which matches the latest payment date of their underlying bond issue (2012 CDT Bonds) and a suspension of their quarterly swap payments for up to 36 months; and • The termination of the third swap agreement. <p><i>It is the combination of the last two bullets above that generates the \$30 million upfront payment.</i></p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>An interest rate swap agreement is a contractual arrangement with a third party, usually an investment banking firm (Counterparty), which serves as a debt management tool to manage interest payments within the debt portfolio. In 2000 and 2004, the County entered into three swap agreements using the principal repayment of the Series 1996 and 1997 Convention Development Tax Bonds as the base for interest payments calculations.</p> <p>As presented in the FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget, which was subsequently adopted by the Board, the CDT shortfall reserve was drawn upon to provide funds to support CDT-eligible expenses in the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department. The Counterparty on the agreements, Loop Financial Products, suggested terminating one of the Swaps and restructuring the remaining two. After vetting the concept with the County's Swap Advisor, Swap Financial Group, it was determined that, under the current market conditions, the County could not only receive a \$30 million payment, but also continue to receive swap receipts, and maintain the approximate current level of swap exposure through the restructuring of the Swaps.</p> <p><u>Risk Assessment</u></p> <p>All interest rate swaps are accompanied by an element of risk, which are considered and negotiated before entering into a swap. Risks that affect the relationship between the County and counterparty such as Loop include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Counterparty risk, meaning that the party other than the County will fail to perform; • Interest rate risk is the effect fluctuations in interest rates will have on how much and which party makes swap payments to the other party;

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tax rate risk is the affect that a proposed change or actual change in the Federal tax law will have on the relationship between taxable and tax-exempt interest rates which will impact how much and which party makes swap payments to the other party (a form of interest rate risk); and • Termination risk is the risk that the counterparty will terminate the swap when the County will have to make a termination payment. <p>Many of these risks were mitigated by staff prior to entering into each of the CDT Swaps.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Notes from the June 10, 2014 Finance Committee meeting</u> <i>There was a question whether the Convention Development Tax (CDT) shortfall reserve was being replenished with the \$30 million; also what was the reason the shortfall existed. The OMB Director responded that the CDT reserve was being replenished to replace funds used to support the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (Parks) over the past two fiscal years. It was mentioned that the CDT Statute did not give the County the ability to support Parks; and whether the County was going to continue to use CDT reserves for Parks funding. The OMB Director responded that the County did not have the flexibility in the proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2014-15 budget to free up funds to support Parks even with the \$30 million that would be received from the rate swap. She pointed out that a shortfall was not created; that the excess in the shortfall reserves was used during the past two years to support Parks; and that the shortfall will now be replenished in order to build a \$45 million reserve. The intent was to have sufficient reserves in order to protect the General Fund which was the secondary pledge on the bonds, in advance of the years when the obligations would be very high.</i></p>
5D 141192	RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 39 - "KENDALL INDIAN HAMMOCKS PARK" AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-913-04 AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a significant modification to the project description for Building Better Communities-General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) program Project No. 39, Kendall Indian Hammocks Park, following a public hearing pursuant to Resolution R-913-04. The significant modification authorizes the use of BBC-GOB funding for land acquisition. BBC-GOB funding may be utilized for the acquisition of adjacent property to which the County has right-of-first-refusal.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> There is no fiscal impact on the existing BBC-GOB allocation due to this modification. However, if the County proceeds to exercise its right-of-first-refusal on the adjacent 21-acre parcel or a portion thereof, the Miami-Dade County Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) Department's increase to the annual operating cost of Kendall Indian Hammocks Park is expected to be minimal because the grounds maintenance crews already exist in the adjacent park.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Kendall Indian Hammocks Park is a 124-acre regional park and a unique asset to Miami-Dade County. The opportunity to expand the Park by 21-acres (17%), or a portion thereof, through the purchase of the Haven Center property is likely to be the only opportunity to significantly increase the level of service within the East Kendall community for a generation. This significant modification enumerates land acquisition within the project description to form a portion of the funding required to act within the narrow window of opportunity to exercise the County's right-of-first-refusal. The additional lands would serve to increase park services, expand programming and enhance park visitors' experience. This action is consistent with Board Resolution R-953-12, which requires the Mayor to consider and analyze the acquisition of any parcel of land that becomes available adjacent to a County park, and also with R-719-12, which has similar requirements but is specific to Kendall Indian Hammocks Park.</p> <p>The use of BBC-GOB allocated funds is vital for a successful park expansion due to high land values, limited availability of funding options when needed for this acquisition and the opportunity for the County to exercise its rights. The park's general plan will be amended to incorporate newly acquired parcels.</p> <p>This item was reviewed by the Building Better Communities Citizens' Advisory Committee at their meeting on April 29, 2014, and was forwarded for consideration by the Board with a favorable recommendation. The BCC approved the right-of-first-refusal extension agreement under resolution R-460-14 at its May 6, 2014 meeting, approving continuance of negotiations for acquisition of the Haven Center property.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> <i>Item 11A19 on this BCC Agenda, is a resolution exercising the right of first refusal to purchase approximately 20 acres of property located at approximately 11175 SW 80th Street, Miami, Florida adjacent to Kendall Indian Hammocks Park, such right being exercised pursuant to the Declaration and that tri-party Right of First Refusal Agreement approved by this Board on May 6, 2014.</i></p>
7A 141317	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR FARMERS' MARKETS IN CERTAIN ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING SECTION 33-13, OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140883]
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning, provides for Farmers' Markets in certain Zoning districts, and amends Section 33-13, Unusual Uses, of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p>At the June 12, 2014 Land Use and Development Committee meeting, the following amendments were made to the proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Removed South Florida from the Certificate of Use (CU) requiring verification that a majority of the farm products offered for sale

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>are grown in Miami-Dade County or South Florida; and</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added the following language, Farmers' markets located at County parks, sports stadiums or racetracks will be exempt from the requirements of this section but must otherwise comply with all other applicable requirements in this Code. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>Currently, according to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), farmers' markets are allowed in all the Urban Center Districts (UCDs) [as per Standard Urban Center District Regulations – Section 33-284.83(A)(5)] and in the balance of the unincorporated area in accordance with the requirements for special events (carnival and circuses) provided in Section 33-13 of the Code (see below). In summary, this means that outside the UCDs, farmers' markets could only take place four (4) times during the year. Most farmers' markets are weekly.</p> <p><u>Section 33-13 of the Code</u></p> <p><i>Circuses or carnivals may be operated on GU and AU properties which are located within the Urban Development Boundary, and in BU-2 and all IU Districts, and on properties having a current certificate of use for church or school use without a public hearing as prescribed in the above paragraph, provided:</i></p> <p>(1) <i>Written waivers of objection for the specific use and length of time that the carnival or circus will remain in the location are obtained from fifty (50) percent of all property owners within two hundred fifty (250) feet.</i></p> <p>(2) <i>Written waivers of objection are obtained from forty (40) percent of homeowners and residential building owners and residential tenants within five hundred (500) feet and subsequent investigation by the Director does not determine other objections, and provided further that no such use shall be for more than fifteen (15) days.</i></p> <p>(3) <i>Carnival and circus use on school, church or shopping center premises shall be limited to four (4) events per calendar year. The first two of such events shall not be subject to the restrictions listed in (g)(1) and (g)(2), provided that no such event shall be for more than fifteen (15) days.</i></p> <p>(4) <i>The necessity for waivers of objection as enumerated in (f)(1) and (2) above shall be waived by the Director on developed shopping center sites containing not less than sixty (60) acres where the rides, tents and booths associated with the event are set back a minimum of five hundred (500) feet from any residential structure, providing subsequent investigation by the Director does not determine any objections related to health, safety, or welfare.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates a new subsection (Sec. 33-13(i)) under Section 33-13 of the Code that establishes a regulatory framework for farmers' markets that is more consistent with the current trends in operations of the same. The proposed ordinance would allow farmers' markets to operate once-a-week, consistent with the current UCDs regulations and with most of the municipalities in Miami-Dade. In addition, the proposed ordinance clearly states the type of products for sale and activities that can take place at a farmers' market and this was, among other things, based on a legislative review of other similar regulations and on a review of current operations in Miami-Dade (primarily in the municipalities).</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed ordinance clearly defines the allowed activities to alleviate concerns that these operations may turn into flea markets; thus, deviating from the core concept of a farmers' market.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Example of Municipal Procedure</u></p> <p>Listed below is the City of Miami's Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Procedure:</p> <p>Step 1. Contact a Zoning or Neighborhood Enhancement Team representative to discuss the proposed temporary farmers' market so that he/she can provide you with a Temporary Farmers' Market Permit application and the required documents. Application must be submitted 45 days prior to opening of the temporary farmers' market.</p> <p>Step 2. Obtain a lien search from the Department of Finance. Any outstanding liens must be satisfied and proof of compliance must be submitted with the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Application. Obtain a lien search from the Office of Hearing Boards. Any outstanding code enforcement violations or liens must be satisfied and proof of compliance must be submitted with the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Application.</p> <p>Step 3. Complete the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Application. Only the completed and paid applications will be reviewed by the Departments. Therefore, to ensure that the application is complete the following items are required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter all the information on the applicant portion of the application. • Sign and notarize the application and the Indemnification/Hold Harmless Affidavit. • Specify on the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit notification letters the dates, days of the week, and hours of operation the temporary farmers' market will operate. • Attach the original certified mail receipts and copies of the notification letter that you mailed (a draft of the letter is provided in the package). Note: the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit requires that the applicant notifies the adjacent property owners, registered associations of the area, and the City Commissioner in the district. • Submit payment as detailed below. • If a reduced fee is paid, submit documentation justifying the reduced fee. <p>Step 4. Submit the Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Application and payment to your local NET Office. The NET Offices will accept the payment, issue a receipt number, and will process the application for you. Applications that are not processed through NET will not be accepted. Once the completed Temporary Farmers' Market Permit Application is reviewed by all applicable departments, the application is</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<p>submitted to the Office of Zoning for final approval by the City Manager or his designee. The Office of NET will contact you to pick up the permit or the permit can be e-mailed to you.</p> <p>Step 5. Once the permit is approved, you may proceed to obtain a building permit, if applicable.</p> <p>Fees: Temporary Farmers' Market \$500.00 Not-for-Profit Temporary Farmers' Market \$250.00 Temporary Farmers' Market accepting EBT/SNAP Benefits \$250.00</p> <p style="text-align: center;">If reduced fees are paid, written documentation justifying the reduction must be submitted.</p>																				
7B 140905	ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 99-37 RELATING TO STRAW BALLOTS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY LIMITING STRAW BALLOTS REGARDING AD VALOREM MILLAGE RATES TO FUND PARTICULAR SERVICES UNLESS APPROVED BY SUPERMAJORITY OF BOARD MEMBERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends Ordinance 99-37, Section 12-6 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, relating to straw ballots by limiting straw ballots regarding ad valorem millage rates to fund particular services unless approved by a supermajority of Board Members.																				
7C 140685	ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 11C OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE, AMENDING SECTION 11C-6 CREATING AN EXEMPTION FOR ACCESSORY STORAGE STRUCTURES INCIDENTAL TO SINGLE FAMILY HOMES AND DUPLEXES, PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Chapter 11C of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code), creating an exemption for accessory storage structures incidental to single family homes and duplexes.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates a relief from the Chapter 11C requirement for a survey demonstrating elevation criteria for those pre-manufactured or wooden sheds located outside a flood hazard zone.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Regulations and Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;"><i>Miami-Dade County Code Section 11C-6</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section of Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Amendments</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Notes</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Sec. 11C-6 <i>Accessory Buildings.</i></td> <td>Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter.</td> <td>Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter except as provided in this section.</td> <td><i>Adds language.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Sec. 11C-6(a) <i>Accessory Buildings.</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td>Pre-manufactured sheds and wood sheds with a gross area less than 720 square feet used solely for storage, incidental to a single-family or duplex use shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter.</td> <td><i>Creates a new subsection of the Code.</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Regulations and Proposed Amendments				<i>Miami-Dade County Code Section 11C-6</i>				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Sec. 11C-6 <i>Accessory Buildings.</i>	Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter.	Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter except as provided in this section.	<i>Adds language.</i>	Sec. 11C-6(a) <i>Accessory Buildings.</i>	N/A	Pre-manufactured sheds and wood sheds with a gross area less than 720 square feet used solely for storage, incidental to a single-family or duplex use shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter.	<i>Creates a new subsection of the Code.</i>
Comparison of Current Regulations and Proposed Amendments																					
<i>Miami-Dade County Code Section 11C-6</i>																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																		
Sec. 11C-6 <i>Accessory Buildings.</i>	Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter.	Nothing herein shall be construed to exclude accessory buildings from the provisions of this chapter except as provided in this section.	<i>Adds language.</i>																		
Sec. 11C-6(a) <i>Accessory Buildings.</i>	N/A	Pre-manufactured sheds and wood sheds with a gross area less than 720 square feet used solely for storage, incidental to a single-family or duplex use shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter.	<i>Creates a new subsection of the Code.</i>																		
7D 141458	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE GRADUATION CRITERIA BASED ON PERSONAL NET WORTH, PROVIDE VIRTUAL OFFICE DEFINITION, REQUIRE ONE YEAR DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141037)																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Community Small Business Enterprise program, amends Section 10-33.02 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p><i>At the June 12, 2014 Economic and Port Miami Committee, this item was amended to allow owner availability to official retirement accounts, such as an IRA, 401k, or pension, which are exempt from the \$1.5 million personal net worth program ceiling.</i></p> <p>The Community Small Business Enterprise Program provides opportunities for small business participation on Miami-Dade County contracts for procurement of construction and construction related trades and/or services.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;"><i>Section 10-33.02 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section of Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Amendments</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Notes</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Sec. 10-33.02(2) <i>Definitions – Community Small Business Enterprise</i></td> <td><i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-</td> <td><i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-</td> <td><i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 10-33.02 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i>				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Sec. 10-33.02(2) <i>Definitions – Community Small Business Enterprise</i>	<i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-	<i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-	<i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior</i>				
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																					
<i>Section 10-33.02 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i>																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																		
Sec. 10-33.02(2) <i>Definitions – Community Small Business Enterprise</i>	<i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-	<i>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)</i> means a construction related enterprise, including a design-build firm, and any firm providing trades and/or services for the completion of a construction project, as defined in Chapter 10 of the Code of Miami-	<i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior</i>																		

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<i>(CSBE)</i>	Dade County, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose average annual gross revenues for the last three (3) years do not exceed: ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) for SIC 15 - Building Construction, General Contractors and Operative Builders; six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for SIC 16 - Heavy Construction, other than Building Construction; or five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) for SIC 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors. CSBEs shall be categorized by the type of construction they perform in accordance with the two-digit Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code, or the six-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), of the Census applicable to such type of construction. A CSBE will graduate out of the program upon notification by SBD that it has exceeded these size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. A certified CSBE that graduates out of the program shall continue to submit financial information as to cumulative gross revenue and bonding capacity to SBD annually for 3 years thereafter in order to further the County's ability to assess the effectiveness of the program.	Dade County, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification , an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office , and whose average annual gross revenues for the last three (3) years do not exceed: ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) for SIC 15 - Building Construction, General Contractors and Operative Builders; six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for SIC 16 - Heavy Construction, other than Building Construction; or five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) for SIC 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors. CSBEs shall be categorized by the type of construction they perform in accordance with the two-digit Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code, or the six-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), of the Census applicable to such type of construction. A CSBE will graduate out of the program upon notification by SBD that it has exceeded these size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. A certified CSBE that graduates out of the program shall continue to submit financial information as to cumulative gross revenue and bonding capacity to SBD annually for three (3) years thereafter in order to further the County's ability to assess the effectiveness of the program.	<i>to certification, in alignment with the local preference requirements.</i> <i>Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.</i>
	<i>Sec. 10-33.02(2)</i> Definitions – Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)	A firm's eligibility to participate in the CSBE program and the contracting participation level at which it will participate shall be determined based on the three-year average gross revenues of the applicant firm in combination with that of all of the firm's affiliates as provided in Appendix A [which can be found in the County Clerk's office attached to Ordinance Number 97-52]. No firm shall be certified as a CSBE where the individual net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000.00). Representations as to gross revenues and net worth of owners shall be subject to audit.	A firm's eligibility to participate in the CSBE program and the contracting participation level at which it will participate shall be determined based on the three-year average gross revenues of the applicant firm in combination with that of all of the firm's affiliates as provided in Appendix A [which can be found in the County Clerk's office attached to Ordinance Number 97-52]. No firm shall be certified as a CSBE where the individual net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000.00), exclusive of: (a) the value of the business; and (b) funds invested in an individual retirement account ("IRA"), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.	<i>Creates graduation criteria based on personal net worth to maintain the integrity of the Small Business Programs, consistent with federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program guidelines.</i>
	<i>Sec. 10-33.02(2)</i> Definitions – Graduation	<i>Graduation</i> means the CSBE has exceeded the specific size limits stated for the program, based on the firm's three-year average annual gross revenues, and will no longer be eligible for participation in the program.	<i>Graduation</i> means the CSBE has exceeded either the personal net worth, or the specific size limits stated for the program, based on the firm's three-year average annual gross revenues, and will no longer be eligible for participation in the program.	<i>Amends graduation definition to be based on personal net worth.</i>
	<i>Sec. 10-33.02(2)</i> Definitions – Review	<i>Review Committee or RC</i> means the committee established by the County Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where SBD and	<i>Review Committee or RC</i> means the committee established by the County Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where	<i>Provides public input for the review and application of</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<i>Committee</i>	contracting department have not established consensus.	SBD and the contracting department have not established consensus and when public input requires deliberation regarding the measures/goals recommendations. The RC will make recommendations to the Mayor or designee as needed.	<i>goal recommendation prior to advertisement. RC will be as needed.</i>
	Sec. 10-33.02(2) <i>Definitions – Virtual Office</i>	N/A	Virtual Office means an agreement that provides a receptionist, mail and facsimile services, and similar services, that give the appearance of having a business presence at a location, but the business entity has no ongoing, full-time physical presence in the building. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.	<i>Provides Virtual Office definition. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.</i>
	Sec. 10-33.02(3)(B)(10) <i>Community Small Business Enterprise Program; Program Components</i>	<i>Graduation:</i> Upon review, any CSBE that exceeds the size limits, based on the firm's three-year average gross revenues, established by this section shall be graduated from the CSBE program upon notification by SBD and may no longer be eligible for participation in the CSBE Program. These firms shall be allowed to complete any currently awarded contract and remain eligible to be awarded contracts as primes or subcontractors for bids submitted prior to notice of graduation. However, the graduated firm will not eligible to receive any new contracts under the CSBE program.	<i>Graduation:</i> Upon review, any CSBE that exceeds the personal net worth or size limits, based on the firm's three-year average gross revenues, established by this section shall be graduated from the CSBE program upon notification by SBD and may no longer be eligible for participation in the CSBE Program. These firms shall be allowed to complete any currently awarded contract and remain eligible to be awarded contracts as primes or subcontractors for bids submitted prior to notice of graduation. However, the graduated firm will not eligible to receive any new contracts under the CSBE program.	<i>Amends graduation definition to be based on personal net worth.</i>
	Sec. 10-33.02(4)(1) to Sec. 10-33.02(4)(3) <i>Community Small Business Enterprise Program; Certification Requirements</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Department of Small Business Development shall maintain and publish at least every other week an updated list of CSBEs, identifying each listed CSBE based on each Standard Industry Classification (SIC) category or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code and each specialty trade the CSBE is certified in, and noting what contracting participation level the firm is classified in. The Department of Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify a CSBE, and shall decertify a CSBE that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. The Department of Small Business Development shall have authority to suspend the certification of a CSBE during any appeal of a decertification decision. Applicants and certified CSBEs must be properly licensed to conduct business in Miami-Dade County, must perform a commercially useful function with an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, and must continue to perform a commercially useful function in Miami-Dade County to be eligible for certification or to remain certified. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Small Business Development shall maintain and publish at least every other week an updated list of CSBEs, identifying each listed CSBE based on each Standard Industry Classification (SIC) category or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code and each specialty trade the CSBE is certified in, and noting what contracting participation level the firm is classified in. Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify a CSBE, and shall decertify a CSBE that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. Small Business Development shall have authority to suspend the certification of a CSBE during any appeal of a decertification decision. Firms that have been decertified for non-submittal of documents, may apply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified by SBD. Applicants and certified CSBEs must be properly licensed to conduct business in Miami-Dade County, must have a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, must perform a commercially useful function with an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, and must 	<i>Allows firms that have been decertified due to the non-submittal of required documents, to be able to immediately reapply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified, rather than waiting a year before doing so. Requires one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification, and contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County, aligning program requirements with the local preference</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
			continue to perform a commercially useful function in Miami-Dade County to be eligible for certification or to remain certified. In addition, a firm shall not be certified unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to the County's tax base.	<i>requirements.</i>															
	Sec. 10-33.02(4)(8) Community Small Business Enterprise Program; Certification Requirements	The Department of Small Business Development may require applicants and CSBEs to submit information regarding their business operations, including, but not limited to, a breakdown of the applicant's or CSBE's ownership, management, and/or workforce as to race, national origin, gender, and gross annual sales.	Small Business Development may require applicants and CSBEs to submit information regarding their business operations, including, but not limited to, a breakdown of the applicant's or CSBE's ownership, management, and/or workforce as to race, national origin, gender, and gross annual sales.																
7E 141452	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO COMMUNITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-10.4.01 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE GRADUATION CRITERIA BASED ON PERSONAL NET WORTH, PROVIDE VIRTUAL OFFICE DEFINITION, REQUIRE ONE YEAR DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141035)																		
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Community Business Enterprise Program, amends Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p>At the June 12, 2014 Economic and Port Miami Committee, this item was amended to allow owner availability to official retirement accounts, such as an IRA, 401k, or pension, which are exempt from the \$1.5 million personal net worth program ceiling.</p> <p>The Community Business Enterprise Program provides opportunities for small business participation on Miami-Dade County contracts for procurement of architectural, engineering, landscape architectural, and surveying and mapping professional services.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="4" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;"><i>Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section of Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Amendments</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Notes</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(l) Definitions – Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)</i> means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the certification process, CBE-A/Es must go through a technical certification process, which will be used to determine which of the technical</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E) means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Creates graduation criteria based on personal net worth to maintain the integrity of the Small Business Programs, consistent with federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program guidelines.</i> <i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				<i>Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i>				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(l) Definitions – Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)	<i>Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)</i> means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the certification process, CBE-A/Es must go through a technical certification process, which will be used to determine which of the technical	Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E) means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification , an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office , and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the	<i>Creates graduation criteria based on personal net worth to maintain the integrity of the Small Business Programs, consistent with federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program guidelines.</i> <i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																			
<i>Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code, Community Business Enterprise Program.</i>																			
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																
Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(l) Definitions – Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)	<i>Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E)</i> means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the certification process, CBE-A/Es must go through a technical certification process, which will be used to determine which of the technical	Community Business Enterprise (CBE-A/E) means a firm providing architectural, landscape architectural, engineering, or surveying and mapping professional services, including a design-build firm, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification , an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office , and whose three (3) year average annual gross revenues do not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) for all Tier 1 CBE-A/Es, four million five hundred thousand dollars (\$4,500,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE- A/Es in the case of architectural services, or six million dollars (\$6,000,000.00) for Tier 2 CBE-A/Es in the case of landscape architectural services, engineering, and surveying and mapping services. A CBE-A/E will graduate out of the program once it has exceeded the Tier CBE-A/E size limits based on its three-year average annual gross revenues. As part of the	<i>Creates graduation criteria based on personal net worth to maintain the integrity of the Small Business Programs, consistent with federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program guidelines.</i> <i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least</i>																

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	certification categories the CBE-A/E will be placed in. A firm's eligibility to participate in the CBE-A/E program shall be determined based on the cumulative adjusted gross revenues of the applicant firm in combination with that of all of the firm's affiliates as provided in Appendix A. Representations as to gross revenues shall be subject to audit. The Contracting Participation Levels are as follows:	certification process, CBE-A/Es must go through a technical certification process, which will be used to determine which of the technical certification categories the CBE-A/E will be placed in. A firm's eligibility to participate in the CBE-A/E program shall be determined based on the cumulative adjusted gross revenues of the applicant firm in combination with that of all of the firm's affiliates as provided in Appendix A. No firm shall be certified as a CBE-A/E where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of: (a) the value of the business; and (b) funds invested in an individual retirement account ("IRA"), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to average, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit. The Contracting Participation Levels are as follows:	<i>one (1) year prior to certification, in alignment with the local preference requirements.</i> <i>Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.</i>
Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(O) Definitions – Firm	<i>Firm</i> means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, association, joint venture or other legal entity permitted by law to practice architecture, engineering, landscape architecture, design-build, and land surveying and mapping services.	<i>Firm</i> means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, association, joint venture or other legal entity permitted by law to practice architecture, engineering, landscape architecture, design-build, and land surveying and mapping services, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, and an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office. No Firm shall be certified as a CBE-A/E where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of: (a) the value of the business; and (b) funds invested in an individual retirement account ("IRA"), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.	<i>Requires one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification, and contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County, aligning program requirements with the local preference requirements.</i>
Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(P) Definitions – Graduation	<i>Graduation</i> means the CBE-A/E has exceeded the specific size limits stated for the Program and thus may no longer be eligible for participation in the Program.	<i>Graduation</i> means the CBE-A/E has exceeded either the personal net worth, or the specific size limits stated for the Program and thus may no longer be eligible for participation in the Program.	<i>Amends graduation definition to be based on personal net worth.</i>
Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(O) Definitions – Review Committee	<i>Review Committee</i> or <i>RC</i> means the committee established by the County Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where SBD and the contracting department have not established consensus and when public input requires deliberation regarding the measure/goal recommendation. The RC will make recommendations to the Mayor or designee.	<i>Review Committee</i> or <i>RC</i> means the committee established by the County Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where SBD and the contracting department have not established consensus and when public input requires deliberation regarding the measures/goals recommendations. The RC will make recommendations to the Mayor or designee as needed.	<i>States that the Review Committees will be as needed.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>Sec. 2-10.4.01(2)(O)</p> <p>Definitions – Virtual Office</p>	N/A	<p>Virtual Office means an agreement that provides a receptionist, mail and facsimile services, and similar services, that give the appearance of having a business presence at a location, but the business entity has no ongoing, full-time physical presence in the building. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.</p>	<p><i>Provides Virtual Office definition. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-10.4.01(3)(B)(3)</p> <p>CBE-A/E Program for Architectural, Landscape Architectural, Engineering, and Surveying and Mapping Professional Services; Program Components</p>	<p><i>Graduation:</i> Upon review, any CBE-A/E that exceeds the Tier 2 CBE-A/E size limits established by this section shall be graduated from the CBE-A/E program upon notification by SBD. These firms shall be allowed to complete any currently awarded agreements and remain eligible to be awarded agreements as primes or subconsultants for proposal(s) submitted prior to notice of graduation. However, the graduated firm will not be eligible to receive any new agreements under the CBE-A/E program.</p>	<p><i>Graduation:</i> Upon review, any CBE-A/E that exceeds the personal net worth or Tier 2 CBE-A/E size limits established by this section shall be graduated from the CBE-A/E program upon notification by SBD. These firms shall be allowed to complete any currently awarded agreements and remain eligible to be awarded agreements as primes or subconsultants for proposal(s) submitted prior to notice of graduation. However, the graduated firm will not be eligible to receive any new agreements under the CBE-A/E program.</p>	<p><i>Amends graduation definition to be based on personal net worth.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-10.4.01(4)(2)</p> <p>Certification Requirements</p>	<p>SBD shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify a CBE-A/E, and shall decertify a CBE-A/E that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a CBE-A/E during any appeal of a certification decision.</p>	<p>SBD shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify a CBE-A/E, and shall decertify a CBE-A/E that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a CBE-A/E during any appeal of a certification decision. Firms that have been decertified for non-submittal of documents, may apply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified by SBD.</p>	<p><i>Allows firms that have been decertified due to the non-submittal of required documents, to be able to immediately reapply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified, rather than waiting a year before doing so.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-10.4.01(4)(3)</p> <p>Certification Requirements</p>	<p>Applicants and certified CBE-A/Es must be properly licensed to conduct business with the State of Florida and in Miami-Dade County and, must perform a commercially useful function with an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, and must continue to perform a commercially useful function in Miami-Dade County to be eligible for certification or to remain certified. A qualifier can only qualify one CBE-A/E firm.</p>	<p>Applicants and certified CBE-A/Es must be properly licensed to conduct business with the State of Florida and in Miami-Dade County, must have a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, and must perform a commercially useful function with an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and must continue to perform a commercially useful function in Miami-Dade County to be eligible for certification or to remain certified. A qualifier can only qualify one CBE-A/E firm. In addition, a Firm shall not be certified unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to the County's tax base.</p>	<p><i>Requires one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification, and contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County, aligning program requirements with the local preference requirements.</i></p> <p><i>Virtual Offices are invalid for</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<i>certification purposes.</i>
7F 140817	ORDINANCE RELATING TO MEMBERSHIP ON COUNTY ADVISORY BOARDS AND COMMUNITY COUNCILS; AMENDING SECTION 2-11.36.1 TO ESTABLISH PROCESS FOR REMOVING COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS FROM A COUNTY BOARD FOR FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE AND AMENDING SECTION 20-43.2 TO CLARIFY THAT ELECTED AND APPOINTED COMMUNITY COUNCIL MEMBERS MAY BE REMOVED FROM OFFICE FOR FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE AND PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN NOTIFICATIONS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance relating to Membership on County Advisory Boards and Community Councils, amends Section 2-11.36.1 to establish process for removing County Advisory Board members from a County board for failure to file required financial disclosure, and amends Section 20-43.2 to clarify that elected and appointed Community Council members may be removed from office for failure to file required financial disclosure and to provide for certain notifications.
7G 141102	ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATIONS, HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATIONS AND COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS COMMUNITIES WHEN REJECTING OR APPROVING PERSPECTIVE BUYERS OR RENTERS; CREATING SECTION 11A-18.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 11A-18.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), establishing notice requirements for condominium associations, homeowners' associations and cooperative associations communities when rejecting or approving perspective buyers or renters.</p> <p>On February 25, 1997, the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Ordinance No. 97-17, as amended and as codified in Chapter 11A of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), prohibiting discrimination in housing, credit and financing practices, public accommodations, employment, family leave, and employment in Miami-Dade County service.</p> <p>Pursuant to Sections 11A-3 and 11A-4 of the Code, the BCC established the Office of the Commission on Human Rights (Director's Office) and the Commission on Human Rights (Commission), respectively. The Director's Office and the Commission have been delegated the authority to enforce the provisions of the Code, including but not limited to Article II relating to housing discrimination.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 11A-18.1 of the Code, to read as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Sec. 11A-18.1. Required notices in connection with application to purchase or rent a dwelling.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(a) Within fifteen (15) days after receipt of any incomplete or incorrectly completed application (or amended application) to purchase or rent a dwelling, the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association shall provide the applicant with written notice specifically identifying any and all items in the application that need to be completed or corrected.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(b) Within forty-five (45) days after receipt of a correctly completed application, the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association shall either reject or approve the application and shall provide the applicant with written notice of same. If the application is rejected, the written notice must state with specificity each reason for the rejection.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(c) If the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association fails to comply with the provisions of Sec. 11A-18.1 (a) and (b), of this article, the Director or the Commission may send a demand letter requesting that the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association, within ten (10) days after the date of the demand letter, provide to the applicant and the Director or the Commission a written acknowledgement of application receipt, notice of approval or rejection of the application, and notice specifying each reason for the rejection (if applicable). The failure of the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association to timely comply with this provision may be considered in determining whether reasonable cause exists to believe the association's decision or action was discriminatory.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information- Broward County</u> According to a Broward County News Release dated September 11, 2013, the Broward County Commissioners approved an amendment to the Human Rights act providing that if an application for housing is denied by a homeowners', condo or cooperative association in Broward County, the applicant will now receive a written notice explaining the reason for the denial within forty five days of the filing. In addition, the amendment states that if the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association fails to comply, the Human Rights Section may send a demand letter requesting that the condominium association, homeowners' association, or cooperative association, within ten (10) days after the date of the demand letter, provide to the applicant and the Human Rights Section a written acknowledgement of application receipt, notice of approval or rejection of the application, and notice specifying each reason for the rejection (if applicable).</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Penalties</u> According to the County Attorney's Office (CAO), under Article I, Section 11A-5 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, which is part of the County's Human Rights Ordinance, the Commission on Human Rights has the authority to award the following in a housing case: (1) to issue remedial orders requiring cessation of violations of the Code; (2) to take affirmative action and making corrections; (3) to require reasonable accommodation; (4) to award quantifiable relief to a prevailing complainant for injuries incurred as a proximate result of an act prohibited by</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the Code or to apply to the appropriate court for such an award, provided that such damages are not prohibited by state or federal law; (5) to award costs and attorney's fees to a prevailing party or to apply to the appropriate court for such an award; provided, however, that such an award is not contrary to the purposes of the Code; and (6) to award prejudgment interest to a prevailing party upon a finding of employment discrimination and post judgment interest to a prevailing party upon a finding of any type of discrimination, or to apply to the appropriate court for such an award.</p> <p>Additionally, under Article II, Section 11A-17 (related solely to housing discrimination), civil fines can be imposed by the Court. That section states:</p> <p>(1) At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of housing discrimination in violation of Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 as amended, the Commission on Human Rights may recommend that the County Attorney commence a civil action for fines without cost to the complainant. Such civil action shall be commenced within ninety (90) days of the issuance of the final order by the Commission on Human Rights. If such civil action is brought the court may impose the following fines:</p> <p>(a) Up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) if the respondent has not previously been found guilty of a violation of this article;</p> <p>(b) Up to twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00) if the respondent has been found guilty of one (1) prior violation of this article within the preceding five (5) years prior to filing of a complaint;</p> <p>(c) Up to fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) if the respondent has been found guilty of two (2) or more violations of this article within the preceding seven (7) years prior to filing of a complaint.</p>
7H 140686	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND OTHER LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; PROVIDING FOR THE COUNTRY CLUB URBAN AREA DISTRICT; CREATING SECTIONS 33-284.99.74 THROUGH 33-284.99.80 AND AMENDING SECTION 33-2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning and other land development regulations provides for the Country Club Urban Area District (CCUAD), creating Sections 33-284.99.74 through 33-284.99.80 and amending Section 33-2 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p>According to the Code, an Urban Center District is a Zoning district established for a CDMP-designated urban center. A Zoning district established for the unincorporated portion of a CDMP-designated urban center or for an area encompassing more than one CDMP-designated urban center, may also be referred to as an Urban Area District.</p> <p>Boundaries include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North – NW 186th Street / NW 183rd Street • South – NW 167th Street / SR 826 Ext. • East – NW 57th Ave
7I 141471	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO REQUIRE THAT REPORTS PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD AND REPORTS PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF A COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD BE PROVIDED TO SUCH COMMITTEE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NOS. 140805 AND 140864]
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Rules of Procedure of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) amends Section 2-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code) to require that reports prepared at the request of the BCC be presented to the BCC and reports prepared at the request of a Committee of the BCC be provided to such committee.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), Rules of Procedure of County Commission, Rule 4.01(u), Committees by adding the following language:</p> <p><i>Upon completion of any report prepared pursuant to a motion or other action of a committee of the Board of County Commissioners, such report shall be placed on an agenda for review by the requesting committee and the Board of County Commissioners, notwithstanding any statement to the contrary in the motion or other action of the committee. For purposes of this ordinance, a report is deemed to include any oral or written document of any kind, including a feasibility study that is intended to communicate information requested by motion or other action of a committee of the Board of County Commissioners. This ordinance shall not apply to requests for information made by an individual County Commissioner.</i></p> <p>In addition, the proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1 of the Code, Rule 5.06(j), Ordinances, Resolutions, Motions, Contracts, by adding the following language:</p> <p><i>Upon completion of any report prepared pursuant to a resolution, motion or other action of the Board of County Commissioners, such report shall be submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for review, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary or any statement to the contrary in any resolution, motion or other action of the Board of County Commissioners. For purposes of this ordinance, a report is deemed to include any oral or written document of any kind, including a feasibility study that is intended to communicate information requested by resolution, motion or other action of the Board of County Commissioners. This ordinance shall not apply to requests for information made by an individual County Commissioner.</i></p>
7J 140761	ORDINANCE EXTENDING AMNESTY PERIOD CREATED BY ORDINANCE 11-64; PROVIDING FOR A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS UPON A HOMEOWNER'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance extends the amnesty period created by Ordinance No. 11-64, providing for a limited exception from civil penalties and liens for building code violations upon a homeowner's compliance with the building code.</p> <p>On August 2, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-64, the BCC adopted a six-month Amnesty Period, creating a limited exception from civil penalties and liens resulting from Building Code violations upon a homeowner's compliance with the Building Code as a result of the severe economic crisis that exists in Miami-Dade County (the Amnesty Ordinance).</p> <p>On July 17, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-59, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance for an additional year. Subsequently, on July 2, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-61, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance's term to July 12, 2014.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance extends the Amnesty Period for an additional one (1) year, commencing July 12, 2014.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> <i>According to the Amnesty Ordinance 11-64 – May 2014 Report dated June 9, 2014, since the approval of Ordinance No. 11-64 on August 2, 2011, the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) department completed 1302 cases under the provisions of the Amnesty Ordinance. Total civil penalties and liens assessed amounted to approximately \$11,876,542. Once settlement amounts were reached, the relief to the property owners totaled approximately \$11,164,990.</i></p>
7K 141116	ORDINANCE REPLACING REFERENCES TO "COUNTY MANAGER" WITHIN ARTICLE XLVII RELATING TO THE COMMISSION AUDITOR; AMENDING SECTION 2-477 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO REMOVE SUCH REFERENCES; AMENDING SECTION 2-479 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO REMOVE SUCH REFERENCES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance replaces references to "County Manager" within Article XLVII relating to the Commission Auditor, amending Sections 2-477 and 2-479 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to remove such references.
7L 141099	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 26-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO PROVIDE THAT NON-FENCED AND NON-GATED PARKS NEED NOT BE WELL-LIT IN ORDER TO REMAIN OPEN UNTIL 11:00 P.M.; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 26-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to provide that non-fenced and non-gated parks do not need to be well-lit in order to remain open until 11:00 p.m.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> <i>According to Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces (PROS), under the proposed amendment, the PROS Department Director and the Police Department Director would need to concur with the District Commissioner that the park should remain open past sunset. So the potential is that no parks will be affected. The Department has significant reservations about the proposed amendment, the idea of a well-lit public space is a minimum for extending hours of operation of parks and should remain a prerequisite within the ordinance.</i></p>
7M 140376	ORDINANCE CHANGING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SWEETWATER, FLORIDA AND THE CITY OF DORAL, FLORIDA PURSUANT TO SECTION 6.04(B) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HOME RULE CHARTER TO SEPARATE CERTAIN PROPERTY FROM THE CITY OF SWEETWATER AND ANNEX SUCH PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF DORAL; AMENDING THE CHARTERS OF THE RESPECTIVE CITIES ACCORDINGLY; PROVIDING INTERDEPENDENCY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance changes the boundaries of the Cities of Sweetwater and Doral, pursuant to section 6.04(b) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter to separate certain property from the City of Sweetwater and annex such property to the City of Doral; amending the Charters of the respective cities accordingly.
7N 140400	ORDINANCE CHANGING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE VILLAGE OF EL PORTAL, FLORIDA, AND AMENDING THE CHARTER OF SUCH MUNICIPALITY BY PROVIDING FOR THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN LANDS, UNDER AND PURSUANT TO PROCEEDINGS PRESCRIBED BY SECTION 6.04(B) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER; PROVIDING FOR RESERVATION TO THE COUNTY OF ELECTRIC FRANCHISE AND UTILITY TAX REVENUES; PROVIDING RETENTION OF GARBAGE AND REFUSE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL; PROVIDING RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY BOND INDEBTEDNESS; APPROVING AMENDED VOTING PRECINCTS; PROVIDING INTERDEPENDENCY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8G1)
8G1 140806	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE VILLAGE OF EL PORTAL IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION BY THE VILLAGE OF EL PORTAL; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM ATTACHED AND TAKE ANY ACTION REQUIRED BY THE COUNTY HEREIN (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 7N)(Office of Management and Budget)
Notes	<p><u>Item No. 7N</u> The proposed ordinance changes the boundaries of the village of El Portal, amending the Charter of such municipality by providing for the annexation of certain lands, under and pursuant to proceedings prescribed by section 6.04(b) of the Home Rule Charter.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance also provides for reservation to the County of electric franchise and utility tax revenues, retention of garbage and refuses collection and disposal, responsibility for any bond indebtedness, and approves amended voting precincts.</p> <p><u>Item No. 8G1</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The proposed resolution approves an Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Village of El Portal in connection with the proposed annexation by the Village of El Portal. The proposed annexation areas are approximately 22 acres or 0.03 square miles of the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area (UMSA).</p> <p>Area A is generally bounded on the north by NW 91 Street, on the south by NW 87 Street, on the east by NW 2 Avenue, and on the west by NW 3 Avenue. Area A is fully developed and only includes Horace Mann Middle School, a Miami-Dade County Public School.</p> <p>Area B is generally bounded on the north by NE 83 Court, on the south by NE 83 Street, on the east by Biscayne Boulevard and on the west by the Little River Canal. Area B consists of mostly vacant land with a zoning designation of Hotels and Motels and Multi-Family.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The annexation Areas' 2013 Preliminary Taxable value is \$2.53 million.</p> <p>At the FY 2013-14 Village millage rate of 8.3 mills, the ad valorem revenues attributable to the Areas would be \$21,020. At the FY 2013-14 UMSA millage rate of 1.9283 mills, the ad valorem revenues attributable to the Areas would be \$4,874. The expected tax increase to the Areas would be \$16,099 given the higher Village millage rate, and the average homeowner would pay an additional \$1,238 in property taxes if this annexation is approved.</p> <p>If the annexation is approved, pursuant to Section 20-8.1 and 20-8.2 of the County Code, the County would retain all franchise fees and utility tax revenues of the Areas. For the proposed annexation, an estimated \$1,951 of franchise fees and \$4,036 of utility taxes will be retained by the County.</p> <p>As part of UMSA, the Areas generate approximately \$12,261 in revenues (excluding franchise fees and utility taxes). Approximately \$38,833 of budgeted expense may be attributed to the Areas. Should the annexation be approved, the net gain to the UMSA budget is estimated at \$26,572. It is important to note that the Village will not receive any ad-valorem revenue for the first year after the annexation, as taxes are collected in arrears. Additionally, the Village will be responsible for contacting the State of Florida for the state revenues attributable to the annexation areas.</p> <p>Background</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On February 20, 2013, the Village submitted a boundary change application to the Miami-Dade County Clerk of the Board. The application was placed on the March 5, 2013 Board meeting and was referred to the Planning Advisory Board, as required by the Code. • The Incorporation and Annexation Committee of the Planning Advisory Board and the Planning Advisory Board held a public hearing on July 1, 2013, and recommended the Board approve the annexation application. • The Board considered the annexation request on November 5, 2013, and approved Resolution R-897-13 directing the County Attorney to prepare the ordinance effectuating the boundary change. This interlocal will govern the annexation Areas, and will provide for the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Village will make payments for the pro-rata share of Stormwater Utility debt service; ○ Transfer of local roads within the annexation Areas; ○ County will retain franchise fees and utility taxes as currently required by the Miami-Dade County Code; and ○ Annexation Areas will remain within the Fire Rescue District. <p>Both areas have fewer than 250 resident electors and are less than 50 percent developed as residential, and as such, there will be no vote of the electors in the annexation Areas.</p>
70 141101	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DEVELOPMENTAL IMPACT COMMITTEE; AMENDING SECTION 33-303.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140751]
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Zoning, amends the responsibilities of the Developmental Impact Committee (DIC); amending Section 33-303.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p>Background According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), overall the proposed ordinance should streamline the DIC process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current process requires that applications that meet certain thresholds be reviewed by the DIC Lower and Executive Councils prior to final zoning action by a Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) or the BCC. The Lower Council provides technical review of the application and based on the review of the Lower Council, the Executive Council makes a recommendation to a CZAB or the BCC for approval or denial of the application. • The proposed ordinance: 1) relieves the Executive Council from providing a formal recommendation for zoning applications; 2) establishes the DIC's Lower Council as the County's "developmental review committee", responsible for the technical review of zoning applications meeting the DIC thresholds; and 3) is anticipated to shorten the review process by one to two months. • The proposed changes would make the DIC review process similar to that of other local governments' "development review committees". • The proposed ordinance was developed in consultation with the development community and the County Departments involved in the

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>review process.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The proposed ordinance creates no fiscal impact on Miami-Dade County in the short term as the current fee schedule remains the same. In the future, there may be a need to adjust the fee schedule in order to reflect the streamlined process. At that time, staff will prepare a resolution to amend the implementing order containing the Department's fee schedule and the associated fiscal impact.</p> <p>Additional Information <i>According to RER, the proposed ordinance is addressing the duplicity of the process, which resulted in applications taking an additional month or two to be heard by the Board with jurisdiction. Essentially, the Executive Council "rubber stamped" the Lower Council staff's comments which extended the time for the application to be heard. DIC meetings both Executive and Lower are scheduled in October for the next year. The meetings have a regular date, time and interval that County staff and applicants are aware of. RER is in the process of instituting many process improvements that benefit both the applicant and reviewing staff and this is just one of many.</i></p> <p><i>As confirmed by RER, last year the Executive Council heard 13 applications (67 in total for the last 5 years). The Lower Council does not provide recommendations for applications, only comments to the applicant on ways to improve plans or conditions that may be imposed if the application is approved by the reviewing Board. Members of the Executive Council are a department designee (i.e. Assistant Directors) that is generally the same individual responsible for signing-off on the department's Lower Council comments/conditions. Basically the Executive Council is confirming the comment/conditions from the Lower Council.</i></p>
8A1 141100	RESOLUTION APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR THE COMMON USE TERMINAL EQUIPMENT/Common Use Passenger Processing System FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SITA INFORMATION NETWORKING COMPUTING USA, INC., PROJECT NO. I113C, INCREASING THE CONTRACT BY AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,473,821.40, AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CHANGE ORDER AND TO PERFORM ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO ENFORCE ITS TERMS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves Change Order No. 1 to the Operations & Maintenance (O & M) Agreement for the Common Use Terminal Equipment/Common Use Passenger Processing System (CUTE/CUPPS) with SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. (SITA), in the amount of \$3,473,821.40 which provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replenishes funds utilized from the General Allowance Account of this Agreement for the purchase of 36 Automated Passport Control kiosks and related services (\$2,060,200); • Adds funding for maintenance of the 36 kiosks (\$1,404,958.40); and • Increases the Inspector General account as a result of the increase in the Agreement amount (\$8,663). <p>Additionally, Change Order No. 1, also clarifies that SITA is allowed, to pay for travel for a MDAD representative to attend the Industry Information Technology Summit, Advisory Board and Steering Committee meetings, however, the value of such travel, inclusive of transportation and lodging will not exceed \$15,000.00 annually.</p> <p>Change Order No. 1 will increase the Agreement by an amount not to exceed \$3,473,821.40 for a total contract amount of \$37,264,276.98.</p> <p>Background Pursuant to Resolution R-150-13, the Board approved award of the O&M Agreement of the MIA CUTE/CUPPS with SITA. This advanced information system technology provided the means for MIA to discontinue the traditional proprietary airport/tenant operating relations in favor of a 100% shared systems environment and common-use distribution information networks. This system helps MIA maximize facility utilization by adding flexibility and sharing resources, which allows the check-in counters and terminal gates to be completely universal in their function.</p> <p>In August 2012, Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) opened up the newly constructed Concourse (CC) D Federal Inspection Services (DFIS) area with 72 passport control booths. That facility has not achieved the efficiencies it was designed for, as the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Agency (CBP) has had chronic, nation-wide issues with staffing shortages. As a result, during peak periods, the wait for passengers to be cleared for entry into the United States has occasionally approached two hours. These delays have seriously impacted passengers with missed connections and hurt MIA's reputation.</p> <p>In response to these issues, in February 2013, CBP said it would release the programming requirements for third-party vendors to develop software to administratively process passengers, a task that had previously been performed by CBP agents in the passport control booths. This would allow airports such as MIA to institute a permanent technical solution that mitigates CBP staff shortfalls by installing kiosks at which inbound citizens could get pre-approved for entry back into the United States, thus reducing the workload of CBP agents.</p> <p>Given the approaching high travel season, starting in November and extending through the holidays, MIA utilized the General Allowance Account of the CUTE/CUPPS Agreement with SITA to purchase, deploy and maintain 36 kiosks to automate the capture of travel documents, biometric and Customs declaration data required by the CBP to process passengers arriving in the United States.</p> <p>Thirty-six kiosks installed in the new DFIS commenced operation on November 4, 2013. These kiosks currently process only U.S. and Canadian citizens as the CBP guidelines to process non-residents and visitors is not yet available. The cost of the 36 kiosks, including operation and maintenance is approximately 3.4 million dollars. MIA has hired a queuing consultant who is benchmarking current passenger processing times to provide an accurate and objective measurement of efficiencies achieved. By the end of 2014, MIA anticipates that an</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>additional 36 kiosks (8-10 in CC J and 26 -28 in CC D) will be purchased and installed under a separate solicitation.</i></p> <p><i>The use of the SITA General Allowance Account to fund the purchase and operation of the kiosks has essentially depleted the Agreement's General Allowance Account. As the Agreement is for seven years, and to provide flexibility to respond to future unforeseen events, this change order restores the original Agreement funding into that allowance account, and provides funding for maintenance of the 36 kiosks.</i></p>
	<p>Additional Information Legislative History</p>
R-150-13 March 5, 2013	<p>This resolution provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waived competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter; and • Approved the award of an O&M Agreement for the CUTE/CUPPS for the MDAD to SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. (SITA), for a term of seven (7) years in the amount of \$33,790,455.58; • The Agreement keeps pricing static for seven (7) years. <p>Background The procurement of the CUTE for MIA was initially solicited in 2002 through an Invitation to Bid and the Agreement (1113A) was awarded to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder, SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. Upon the expiration of that agreement, due to the proprietary nature of the software, SITA was awarded in 2008 a subsequent agreement in order to continue providing O&M services for CUTE. CUTE is exclusively licensed and provided by SITA.</p> <p>CUTE/CUPPS is a state-of-the-art system that unifies the gates and ticketing positions into common-use airport resources. This advanced technology enabled MIA to operate under a new model that discontinued the traditional proprietary airport/tenant operating systems in favor of a 100%-shared systems environment. This system helps MIA maximize facility utilization by adding flexibility and sharing resources, which allows the check-in counters and terminal gates to be completely universal in their function.</p> <p>CUTE is part of MIA's total airport management system and is integrated with the following core systems: PROPworks, North Terminal Baggage Handling System and the South Terminal Baggage Handling System. Replication of these interfaces would be complex and costly as a significant amount of useful life and functionality remains with the system.</p> <p>MDAD formed a committee to negotiate an extension for the Agreement. The committee was comprised of MDAD staff and the Miami Airport Affairs Committee (MAAC) who represented the airport and airlines in the negotiation process. The Contract negotiations with SITA yielded the following benefits for the County:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Mutual Aid Pact between Orlando, Miami and Bermuda for weather-related emergencies; • Increased Small Business Enterprise (SBE) commitment from 8% on the current agreement to 12% on the proposed Agreement; • SITA is the only vendor today which is Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) approved for airports; • SITA was chosen by the MAAC as the best supplier, best price and best terms per MAAC leadership via AvAirPros; and • All SITA products and solutions meet the International Air Transport Association's (IATA) standards, keeping MIA at the leading edge of airline applications and customer satisfaction.
R-614-08 June 3, 2008	<p>This resolution approved a waiver of competitive bid procedures and awarded a CUTE O&M contract to SITA in the amount of \$17,742,819.63.</p> <p>The bid waiver is necessary because this is a proprietary system and the equipment is still in use and will be for years to come. The original contract awarded in 2002 was a four-year contract with an option for two additional years of O&M. This option was exercised in 2006 and will expire June 30, 2008.</p> <p><i>A version of this item (File No. 080947) was originally scheduled for the April 17, 2008, Airport & Tourism Committee meeting, but the Committee members deferred the item requesting that MDAD staff re-negotiate with SITA for better terms. Those negotiations resulted in extension of the contract term from three years and two one-year options to extend to a fixed term of five years, an increase in SBE goal from 6% to 12%, and a \$200,000 hardware credit.</i></p> <p>Background MDAD provides the CUTE service to airlines and then recoups costs through charges to airline providers. On October</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>22, 2002, the Board awarded a competitively bid contract to SITA to supply and install the CUTE as part of MIA's Capital Improvement Program (CIP).</p> <p>The purpose of this contract is to provide maintenance services and original equipment manufacturer (OEM) replacement parts for the CUTE system inclusive of licensed software protected under copyright law for intellectual properties. As with any of the systems MDAD could have chosen, much of the software is proprietary and the system performance is warranted based on customized hardware and software components integrated for high reliability, availability and maintainability. This mission critical contract is required to continue O&M services 24-hours-a-day, seven-days-a-week, as well as engineering services, hardware, parts, software, repairs and training. It is not in the best interest of the County to change providers during the remaining useful life of the system, approximated at five years.</p>
	<p>R-1188-02 October 22, 2002</p> <p>This resolution waived bid protest procedures and approved the Contract Award (Project I113A) Recommendation between SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. and Miami-Dade County recommended by Dade Aviation Consultants (DAC) with the concurrence of the MDAD in the amount of \$15,498,645.84.</p>
8C2 141125	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF FOURTEEN (14) GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$80,750.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FY 2013-2014 4TH QUARTER COMMUNITY GRANTS - ALGO NUEVO INCORPORATED; CENTRO CULTURAL ESPAÑOL DE COOPERACIÓN IBEROAMERICANA, INC. A/F/A FOR FROG THEATRICAL; CITY OF DORAL PARKS & RECREATION; CITY OF MIAMI-LITTLE HAITI CULTURAL CENTER; COMMUNITY ARTS AND CULTURE, INC. A/F/A FOR MOKSHA FAMILY ARTS COLLECTIVE, INC.; FRESH START OF MIAMI-DADE, INC.; FUNDARTE, INC. A/F/A FOR ANTIHEROES PROJECT, INC.; FUNDARTE, INC. A/F/A FOR ARTEFACTUS CULTURAL PROJECT, INC.; IFE-ILE, INC.; INSTITUTO DE CULTURA PERUANA, INC.; KEY BISCAYNE COMMUNITY FOUNDATION, INC.; MIAMI-DADE BROADBAND COALITION, INC. A/F/A FOR GEEKI GIRL, INC.; REVELATION COMMUNITY EDUCATION CENTER, INC.; THE MOTIVATIONAL EDGE, INC.; WAIVING RESOLUTION R-130-06, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Department of Cultural Affairs)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Resolution No. 130-06 in order to expedite the allocation of funding support, and approves funding of 14 grants for a total of \$80,750.00 from the Department of Cultural Affairs FY 2013-14 Community Grants Program – Fourth Quarter.</p> <p><i>Resolution No. 130-06 requires that contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the FY 2013-2014 County budget ordinance.</p> <p>Upon adoption of the FY 2013-2014 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for FY 2013-2014 Community (CG) Grants (\$525,000.00). To date, a sub-total of \$524,750.00 in grants has been recommended for the four quarters of the fiscal year; the unappropriated balance of \$250.00 will be carried forward for allocation in FY 2014-15.</p> <p><u>Community Grants Panel</u> On May 8, 2014, the Community Grants Panel convened to review 16 applications requesting \$111,750.00 for the Fourth Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 14 applicants for a total of \$80,750.00. Subsequently, the Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on May 21, 2014.</p> <p>The Community Grants Program is responsive on a quarterly basis to organizations, which develop small and large-scale community-based programs, projects, events and publications. The program is particularly sensitive to the following needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indigenous cultural neighborhood activities and projects encouraging the preservation of heritage, traditions and culture; and • Social service organizations and cultural groups developing collaborative intervention projects. <p><u>Additional Notes</u> On November 5, 2013, under Resolution No. 886-13, the BCC approved the funding of 29 grants for a total of \$164,000 from the FY 2013-14 Community Grants Program – First Quarter.</p> <p>On January 22, 2014, under Resolution No. 29-14, the BCC approved the funding of 29 grants for a total of \$177,000 from the FY 2013-14 Community Grants Program – Second Quarter.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, under Resolution No. 408-14, the BCC approved the funding of 29 grants for a total of \$103,000.00 from the FY 2013-14 Community Grants Program – Third Quarter and one (1) grant for a total of \$1,929.00 from the FY 2013-2014 Community Grants - Second Quarter.</p>
8C3 141219	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES FOR THE PURCHASE OF PROFESSIONAL ENTERTAINMENT AND ARTIST SERVICES AND ASSOCIATED EXPENSES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$125,000 PER PERFORMANCE OR EXHIBITION AND \$3,800,000 IN</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	THE AGGREGATE, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD AND EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ANY AMENDMENT AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the waiver of formal bid procedures pursuant to Section 5.03(d) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the County Code by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the members present on the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), for the purchase of professional entertainment and artist services and associated expenses in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 per performance or exhibition and \$3,800,000 in the aggregate. The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to award and execute such agreements.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Funding will come from budgeted revenues approved for the operations and programming of the Cultural Affairs (CUA) and the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) departments, including grant and other outside funds, and will not exceed \$3,800,000.</p> <p>The amount of \$3,800,000 was calculated based on the combined projected annual programming budgets of the CUA-managed cultural facilities and PROS-managed cultural programming throughout the County's parks venues. The prevailing resolution, Resolution No. 1004-12, which expires December 14, 2014, has a maximum fee cap of \$125,000 per performance or exhibition fee, and a total expenditures authorization limit of \$2,500,000, with a departmental allocation of \$600,000 for PROS (with current expenditures of approximately \$505,000), and \$1,900,000 for CUA (with current expenditures of approximately \$1,686,000).</p> <p>This waiver will remain constant for two years or until the aggregate recommended amount is expended, whichever occurs first.</p> <p>This model to waive formal bid procedures to contract and pay for entertainers and/or artists to perform and/or exhibit has been used for more than twenty years by Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) and more recently by the Department of Cultural Affairs (CUA).</p>
8F1 141252	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF A RETROACTIVE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MIAMI FOR CERTAIN COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1701 N.W. 30 AVENUE, FOR PREMISES TO BE UTILIZED BY THE CITY OF MIAMI FOR ITS POLICE SPECIAL OPERATIONS UNITS FOR A RENTAL AMOUNT OF \$1.00 PER YEAR, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AND THE EXERCISE BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Retroactive Lease Agreement with the City of Miami (City) for the City to utilize County-owned property located at 1701 NW 30 Avenue, Miami, Florida. More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing by the City of 3.8 acres of County-owned land containing an approximately 37,946 square foot office building and surface parking lot; and • Authorizes a lease term of three years, plus one additional five-year renewal option period. <p>This lease is retroactive to January 1, 2013 as the County was initially considering other uses for the property and allowed the City to remain on a month-to-month basis during that time period. However, none of the other potential uses materialized, and it was subsequently determined that it would be in the County's best interest to enter into a new lease with the City for this property.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The County receives nominal rent in the amount of \$1.00 annually. However, the City, both under the existing lease and the recommended lease, is responsible for all maintenance and operating costs, which is estimated at approximately \$300,000 per year. The County does not expend any funds in maintaining or operating the property.</p> <p><u>Background</u> This property has been utilized by the City of Miami Police Department, Special Operations Unit since 2008, which was approved by the Board through Resolution R-414-08. When the property was originally vacated by the Community Action and Human Services Department in 2005, the property was circulated to other County Departments to determine if there was another County need for the property, in which none was determined. However, the City of Miami did express interest in the property, and, agreed to make all necessary repairs and assume all maintenance and operating expenses through the existing lease.</p> <p>The previous Lease Agreement was approved by the Board on April 8, 2008 through Resolution R-414-08. The lease period was for one year, with four one-year renewal option periods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why is the proposed lease term (three years plus one five year renewal period) different than the current lease agreement which is one year with four one-year renewal options?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>According to ISD, it eliminates the need to take action on the lease on a yearly basis while allowing either party the ability to cancel with a six month notice.</i> <p>Additionally, the previous Lease Agreement had a thirty (30) day cancellation provision.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why is the proposed Lease Agreement Cancellation Provision 180 days?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>According to ISD, the City requested a longer period since they plan on making improvements to the facility.</i> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>During the discussion at the June 10, 2014, Finance Committee meeting, a summary was requested of all the deals the County has with municipalities similar to this one, leasing for \$1, and to also include how many municipalities lease property to the County for \$1. The Internal Services Department (ISD) stated that they would provide the information.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>According to ISD, a draft report is being reviewed by the Mayor's Office and may have further changes. The report will not be a supplement to the agenda item as it will be circulated to the BCC via interoffice mail.</i> <p><i>On April 8, 2008, during the discussion at the BCC meeting regarding R-414-08 (Previous Lease Agreement), Commissioners had concerns with the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Lease having one year with four consecutive and automatic one-year renewal periods to the City of Miami;</i> • <i>The County being sued regarding this property that has been designated for affordable housing;</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>According to ISD, this property was considered for affordable housing, but the Mayor's Land Use Work Group determined that it was not suitable for that purpose at a meeting that took place on October 12, 2006.</i> • <i>The item was amended to remove the language regarding automatic one-year renewal periods.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>According to ISD, the proposed lease requires 120 days notice (Article XVI of proposed lease) prior to expiration in order to extend for the five-year renewal.</i> • <i>The BCC adopted the resolution as amended to remove the word "automatic" from Article XVI "Option to Renew".</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The word 'automatic' is not included in Article XVI of the proposed lease.</i>
8F4 141197	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A LEASE AGREEMENT AT THE OPA-LOCKA NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE CENTER, 16405 NW 25 AVENUE, OPA-LOCKA, WITH THE INSTITUTE OF BLACK FAMILY LIFE, INC., FOR PREMISES TO BE UTILIZED AS OFFICE SPACE, WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$44,991.81 IN REVENUE OVER THE INITIAL LEASE TERM AND THE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County), and The Institute of Black Family Life, Inc. (Tenant), a Florida not-for-profit corporation. More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing of 172 square feet of air-conditioned office space at the Opa-Locka Neighborhood Service Center, located at 16405 N.W. 25 Avenue, Opa-Locka, Florida, and • Authorizes an initial lease term of five years, with one additional five year renewal option period. <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The revenue to the County for the first year of the initial lease term is estimated to be \$3,773.71. The cumulative revenue to the County for the initial five-year term of the lease plus the additional five-year renewal option period is estimated to be \$44,991.81. The rent will be adjusted annually by three percent, and is factored into the referenced amount.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Institute of Black Family Life, Inc. has been at this location since 1995, with the original lease between the County and the Tenant approved by the Board through R-1412-95. The Board approved the most recent lease through R-1248-09 on November 3, 2009 for one year with three additional one-year renewal option periods.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why is the proposed lease term (five years plus one five year renewal period) different than the current lease agreement which is one year with three one-year renewal options?</i>
8F6 141218	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MIAMI HORIZON CORP., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT THE KENDALL COMPLEX, 11025 S.W. 84 STREET, COTTAGE 10, UNINCORPORATED, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, FOR PREMISES TO BE UTILIZED AS AN ADULT DAY CARE FACILITY FOR AUTISTIC CLIENTS, WITH A TOTAL GROSS RENTAL REVENUE TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$510,000.00 FOR THE INITIAL ONE-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Miami Horizon Corp. (Tenant), a Florida not-for-profit corporation. More specifically the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing of 10,000 square feet of air conditioned space located at the Kendall Complex, 11025 S.W. 84 Street, Cottage 12, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida 33173; and • Authorizes an initial lease term of one year, plus one additional five-year renewal option period. <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The revenue to the County for the first year of the Lease Agreement is estimated to be \$60,000, paid in twelve equal installments of \$5,000 per month, which is equal to \$6 per square foot on an annual basis. For the five-year renewal option period, the annual base rent will be \$9 per square foot, subject to annual adjustments based upon the operating cost of the building as determined by Community Action and Human Services. The estimated minimum revenue to the County, for the initial one-year term of the Lease Agreement and the five-year renewal option period, is estimated to be \$510,000.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Tenant has a need to lease this facility to provide a treatment and support facility for autistic clients. The Tenant's program directive is to bring together young adults on the autism spectrum to create a mutually rewarding and learning environment. Social, educational, and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	fitness programs support student learning as they develop the tools needed to lead meaningful lives as engaged members of their communities. If this Lease Agreement is approved, it will be the first time that Miami Horizon Corp. occupies this space.
8F8 140904	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP-00031) TO ESTABLISH A CAR SHARING PROGRAM FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a Request for Proposals (RFP-00031) to establish a car sharing program for Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On February 4, 2014, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Resolution No. 147-14 directing the County Mayor or his designee to develop such a solicitation, and present it to the BCC for approval, prior to advertisement. The intent of the car sharing program is to improve efficiency, reduce vehicle maintenance and operations cost, and, reduce the number of Miami-Dade County-owned vehicles.</p> <p>The proposed RFP seeks proposals from qualified firms to deliver a car sharing program that includes self-service, fuel efficient vehicles.</p> <p>The selected proposer will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make available a sufficient number of vehicles to satisfy the demand for the County's existing downtown loaner vehicle fleet; and • Provide a 24/7 reservation system whereby County staff can make self-service reservations via the internet or telephone. <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact associated with the advertisement of this solicitation. A detailed fiscal impact will be provided when a contract award is presented to the BCC for approval. It is anticipated the contract will be for a two-year term with two, two-year options to renew.</p> <p><u>Pilot Program</u></p> <p>The proposed RFP is initially seeking a pilot program that will focus mainly on the downtown motor pool loaner vehicles accessed from the Internal Services Department Fleet Facility at 201 NW 1st Street. The selected Proposer will provide a car sharing program in this area, within a two-mile radius of the Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC). Instead of accessing Internal Service Department-owned loaner vehicles, the proposer will develop a program whereby vehicles provided by the awarded proposer will be shared by County employees and may be shared by the public, at the selected proposer's discretion.</p> <p>If the County chooses to enter into the pilot program, the plan will be to use the existing vehicles in the County's Downtown pool/ loaner fleet to replace existing high mileage vehicles at the other ISD pool/loaner fleet locations. In addition, any vehicles not used to replace pool/loaner vehicles will be used elsewhere in the County's fleet to replace other high mileage units in a department's fleet, and therefore, reduce the need to purchase new vehicles.</p> <p>If the pilot program is successful (meets program objectives, including cost savings), the program may be expanded to the four other County loaner car facility locations. The success of the program will also determine if the other existing loaner pool vehicles will be assigned to other departments or sold.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>The scope of services in this RFP was posted for industry comment on March 3, 2014. The following are the industry comments as provided by the Internal Services Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Cars2go</u> <i>Requested a meeting. Summary of discussion: Experience: no similar government sized roll-out identified, some experience with pods of cars in specific places Issues identified: 1) only have smartcars, 2) membership fees (will waive for County), 3) have their own insurance requirements, 4) reservations can only be made within 30 minutes of use</i> • <u>Enterprise</u> <i>Interested and intend to submit proposal. No issues identified and no meeting requested.</i> • <u>Royal Rent-A-Car</u> <i>Interested in response to future solicitation.</i> • <u>Zipcar</u> <i>Requested a meeting. Summary of discussion: Experience: Have not performed a similar size complete roll-out, prefer to just supplement County fleet with their cars as needed, recommended their technology to manage/track our cars and usage Issues identified: 1) have their own insurance requirements, 2) can't guarantee cars would be available, 3) ability to bill each department may not be available, 4) can't meet van needs, 5) difficult to meet seasonal election needs, 6) different billing increments (we asked for by the minute billing).</i> <p><u>Additional Information – Examples of Other Car Sharing Programs in Miami-Dade County</u> <u>Miami New Times Article, Miami Beach Launching Car Sharing Service on Tuesday, Jan. 20, 2012</u> <i>The City of Miami Beach has partnered with Hertz on Demand, and residents and tourists will be able to rent cars for as little as \$9 an hour. The service launches on Tuesday, and participants only need to register for free through the Hertz On Demand website. They'll receive a swipe card and be able to rent cars for as little as \$9-an-hour or \$63-a-day. Drivers will then be able to pick up the cars on the top level of city garages. Gas is included (though you must return the car with more than a quarter tank). The car sharing program idea isn't new to the</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p><i>Miami area. The University of Miami partnered with a similar service, ZipCar, years ago, but this is the largest implementation of the idea yet in the area. The program will launch with 20 cars. Sounds like a perfect idea for that particular type of Miami Beach resident who rarely leaves the beach and makes little use of their car, and for tourists who may want to rent a car for a limited time to check out those areas across the bridge. The city will make about \$84,000 a year by renting the parking spots to Hertz, and in year three will start getting a cut of the profits.</i></p> <p><u>Miami Herald Article, Car-sharing Program Taking Off in Miami-Dade, July 26, 2013</u> <i>“Miami is becoming much more urbanized and one of the issues we confront is transportation,” said the Director of Planning and Development of the Miami Parking Authority. “This is a great bridge as a way for people who wanted to live downtown but did not necessarily want to use public transportation.” The Miami Parking Authority, created in 1955, is an agency charged by the city of Miami with managing residential and commercial parking. One year ago, Car2go and the Miami Parking Authority signed a contract to pilot the car-sharing program in Miami, allowing the company to park its vehicle on city streets. Since then, about 16,000 people have signed up locally.</i></p> <p><i>Car2go first opened in 2008 in Germany, two years later, it opened its first North American branch in Austin, Texas, currently the continent’s headquarters. While there are other car-sharing programs in U.S. cities, Car2go is unique in two ways: The Car2go Smart cars are the first vehicles to be built with a car-sharing technology on the assembly line, and drivers do not have to return the vehicles to their starting point. All 240 vehicles currently in South Florida are equipped with a GPS that connects to a free phone application, allowing potential drivers to locate the nearest available car. The application, titled Car2go gmbh, tells members the location, tag, fuel level and cleanliness of the vehicle. According to the company’s data, on average the Smart cars are used for 20- to 30-minute trips – and these shorter drives show that people are looking for the option of a one-way trip. Miami’s Car2go program also has satellite locations in North Bay Village as well as at one parking garage in South Miami and two in Miami Beach. That means drivers can go to these municipalities and also end their trip there. Previously, a Car2go member could go to these locations but they had to return the vehicle back to the City of Miami. The car-sharing program is part of a larger trend of transportation-sharing programs, such as DecoBike, or public transportation, such as Miami’s green-and-orange trolleys that now also service Wynwood and Midtown.</i></p>												
8F9 141266	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$2,150,000 WITH AQUILA FITNESS CONSULTING SYSTEMS LTD, INC. TO OBTAIN EMPLOYEE FITNESS AND WELLNESS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SERVICES, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. RFP873</p>												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes execution of an agreement in the aggregate amount of \$2,150,000 with Aquila Fitness Consulting Systems Ltd, Inc. to obtain Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services, authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement under Contract No. RFP873.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Area of Comparison</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Contract</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Contract</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Description</td> <td> <p>Awards Contract No. RFP873 with Aquila Fitness Consulting Systems Ltd, Inc. to obtain Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services.</p> <p>Managerial responsibilities of the awarded vendor include hiring professionally certified instructional staff, conducting fitness consultations, organizing health and wellness promotions, developing and implementing physical activity programs, and delivering member recruitment and retention services. The awarded vendor will also serve as the County’s facilitator and strategic partner in organizational wellness initiatives and in exploring expanding programming to benefit County employees at various locations. The programs offered will be educational and tailored to encourage regular exercise and healthy eating habits. Services available to members include the supervised use of free weights, cardiovascular and strength equipment, and a diverse schedule of instructor-led, group activity classes such as spinning, yoga, core strength, and functional training.</p> </td> <td> <p>On January 5, 2009, the current contract, Contact No. EPP-RFP645, was awarded to TFC Partners, Inc. <i>dba</i> New Fitness Concepts for Employee Wellness Center Management Services.</p> <p><u>Requirements and Services to be Provided</u> The contractor will manage and operate the Center, providing for all resources and requirements to perform the services. The contractor will provide oversight of all activities within the Center, including supervision of Center members utilizing the exercise equipment.</p> <p>The contractor will provide, for a fee to the member, fitness goods, snacks and beverages, persona; training and other individual member services.</p> <p>The contractor will manage and operate the Activities Room and provide a comprehensive wellness program. The contractor will provide the wellness program services to County employees on a fee for service basis.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Cumulative Value</td> <td> <p>The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term of this contract is \$1,075,000. The contract is entirely funded by membership fees from approximately 1,000 members (\$9 per pay period). The \$1,075,000 is comprised of a \$858,000 management fee paid to the operator over the five-year term and \$217,000 for capital improvements to the Wellness Center, as necessary. If the one, five-year</p> </td> <td> <p>The current contract, EPP-RFP645, is for five years and six months and valued at \$1,180,900.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The initial contract was for 24 months with three (3) twelve-month Option to Renew (OTR) periods. • On November 8, 2012, under Resolution No. 904-12, the third and final OTR period was authorized. • On June 27, 2013, the current contract was </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services			Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract	Description	<p>Awards Contract No. RFP873 with Aquila Fitness Consulting Systems Ltd, Inc. to obtain Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services.</p> <p>Managerial responsibilities of the awarded vendor include hiring professionally certified instructional staff, conducting fitness consultations, organizing health and wellness promotions, developing and implementing physical activity programs, and delivering member recruitment and retention services. The awarded vendor will also serve as the County’s facilitator and strategic partner in organizational wellness initiatives and in exploring expanding programming to benefit County employees at various locations. The programs offered will be educational and tailored to encourage regular exercise and healthy eating habits. Services available to members include the supervised use of free weights, cardiovascular and strength equipment, and a diverse schedule of instructor-led, group activity classes such as spinning, yoga, core strength, and functional training.</p>	<p>On January 5, 2009, the current contract, Contact No. EPP-RFP645, was awarded to TFC Partners, Inc. <i>dba</i> New Fitness Concepts for Employee Wellness Center Management Services.</p> <p><u>Requirements and Services to be Provided</u> The contractor will manage and operate the Center, providing for all resources and requirements to perform the services. The contractor will provide oversight of all activities within the Center, including supervision of Center members utilizing the exercise equipment.</p> <p>The contractor will provide, for a fee to the member, fitness goods, snacks and beverages, persona; training and other individual member services.</p> <p>The contractor will manage and operate the Activities Room and provide a comprehensive wellness program. The contractor will provide the wellness program services to County employees on a fee for service basis.</p>	Cumulative Value	<p>The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term of this contract is \$1,075,000. The contract is entirely funded by membership fees from approximately 1,000 members (\$9 per pay period). The \$1,075,000 is comprised of a \$858,000 management fee paid to the operator over the five-year term and \$217,000 for capital improvements to the Wellness Center, as necessary. If the one, five-year</p>	<p>The current contract, EPP-RFP645, is for five years and six months and valued at \$1,180,900.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The initial contract was for 24 months with three (3) twelve-month Option to Renew (OTR) periods. • On November 8, 2012, under Resolution No. 904-12, the third and final OTR period was authorized. • On June 27, 2013, the current contract was
Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services													
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract											
Description	<p>Awards Contract No. RFP873 with Aquila Fitness Consulting Systems Ltd, Inc. to obtain Employee Fitness and Wellness Program Management Services.</p> <p>Managerial responsibilities of the awarded vendor include hiring professionally certified instructional staff, conducting fitness consultations, organizing health and wellness promotions, developing and implementing physical activity programs, and delivering member recruitment and retention services. The awarded vendor will also serve as the County’s facilitator and strategic partner in organizational wellness initiatives and in exploring expanding programming to benefit County employees at various locations. The programs offered will be educational and tailored to encourage regular exercise and healthy eating habits. Services available to members include the supervised use of free weights, cardiovascular and strength equipment, and a diverse schedule of instructor-led, group activity classes such as spinning, yoga, core strength, and functional training.</p>	<p>On January 5, 2009, the current contract, Contact No. EPP-RFP645, was awarded to TFC Partners, Inc. <i>dba</i> New Fitness Concepts for Employee Wellness Center Management Services.</p> <p><u>Requirements and Services to be Provided</u> The contractor will manage and operate the Center, providing for all resources and requirements to perform the services. The contractor will provide oversight of all activities within the Center, including supervision of Center members utilizing the exercise equipment.</p> <p>The contractor will provide, for a fee to the member, fitness goods, snacks and beverages, persona; training and other individual member services.</p> <p>The contractor will manage and operate the Activities Room and provide a comprehensive wellness program. The contractor will provide the wellness program services to County employees on a fee for service basis.</p>											
Cumulative Value	<p>The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term of this contract is \$1,075,000. The contract is entirely funded by membership fees from approximately 1,000 members (\$9 per pay period). The \$1,075,000 is comprised of a \$858,000 management fee paid to the operator over the five-year term and \$217,000 for capital improvements to the Wellness Center, as necessary. If the one, five-year</p>	<p>The current contract, EPP-RFP645, is for five years and six months and valued at \$1,180,900.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The initial contract was for 24 months with three (3) twelve-month Option to Renew (OTR) periods. • On November 8, 2012, under Resolution No. 904-12, the third and final OTR period was authorized. • On June 27, 2013, the current contract was 											

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>option to renew is exercised, the cumulative value of the contract will be \$2,150,000.</p> <p>The management fee of \$858,000 covers the day to day operations and staffing of the Wellness Center by the operator, including the provision of instructor-led group activity classes. The remaining \$217,000 will be used for maintenance, repairs, and replacement of existing fitness equipment, as well as repairs and maintenance to the facility itself, as needed.</p> <p>The County will also receive 20 percent of gross receipts generated by the operator for provision of individual member services and fitness products sold at the Wellness Center.</p>	<p>administratively extended for an additional six (6) months until July 4, 2014, with an additional spending authority of \$107,500 to ensure continuity of wellness services while the successor contract is awarded.</p>
	<p>Vendors</p> <p>On October 28, 2013, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued under full and open competition. Two firms responded to the solicitation. The Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended the highest-ranked proposer for award based on the criteria established in the RFP.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquila Fitness Consulting Systems LTD, Inc. 	<p>According to Procurement's Bid Tracking System, the bid opening date was October 3, 2008.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TFC Partners, Inc. <i>dba</i> New Fitness Concepts
	<p>Funding Source</p> <p>Employee Payments.</p>	<p>Internal Service Funds – Entirely from members fees and is not subsidized by any County funding.</p>
	<p>Additional Information</p> <p><i>At the June 10, 2014 Finance Committee meeting, the Internal Services Assistant Director provided clarification that the Wellness Center was a self-supporting operation. The allocation was necessary because the vendor was paid by the County for their services even though it was a cost neutral program.</i></p>	
8F10 141359	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF UP TO \$7,398,000.00 WITH SHAW ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., TO PROVIDE THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE OLD SOUTH DADE LANDFILL, AND SOUTH DADE LANDFILL SEQUENTIAL BATCH REACTORS (SBR) AND ASSOCIATED GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION AND INJECTION WELL FIELDS FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT (PWWM), AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. RFP867 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141136]</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of an agreement in the aggregate amount of up to \$7,398,000.00 with Shaw Environmental, Inc., to provide the operation and maintenance of the Old South Dade Landfill, and South Dade Landfill Sequential Batch Reactors (SBR) and associated groundwater extraction and injection well fields for the Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM). The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement for and on behalf of Miami-Dade County, under Contract No. RFP867.</p>	
	Operation of Waste Water Treatment Plants	
	Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract
	Description	Current Contracts
	<p>The proposed item awards Contract No. RFP 867, Operation of Waste Water Treatment Plants, to Shaw for the operation and maintenance of the Old South Dade Landfill, South Dade Landfill SBRs, and associated groundwater extraction and injection wellfields overseen by the PWWM.</p> <p>Shaw's responsibilities include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing consultation and recommendations to the County and serving as the County's representative to regulatory agencies and Miami-Dade residents in matters related to the operation of SBRs; • Conducting and managing all regulatory, compliance and financial transactions pertaining to the operation of SBRs with all local, state and federal regulatory requirements; • Onsite staffing 16 hours per day, seven days per week; and • Arranging and maintaining records for the SBRs, as well as, paying for the transportation and disposal of sludge residue and all other wastes. 	<p>On July 8, 2003, under Resolution No. 76-03, the BCC approved the selection of Shaw Environmental, Inc. to provide for the operation and maintenance of two sequential batch reactor type waste water treatment plants, Contract No. RFP 285.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shaw Environmental, Inc. provides all employees, vehicles, materials, administrative design (if requested) and operational services required to staff and operate the Facilities as required by the operating permits and law cited in the contract. • The Contractors facility supervisor holds a Class "A" certification(s) and license(s) for wastewater treatment plant operators as listed in Chapter 62.602 of the Florida Administrative Code. All employees staffing

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>Shaw will furnish all labor, materials, parts, equipment, chemicals (excluding methanol), transportation, and supervision necessary to provide the solicited services.</p> <p>the Facilities shall hold Certificates showing they are both 40 Hour Hazardous Material Health and Safety OSHA trained, and showing they are trained in lock-out and tag-out and confined space entry procedures contained in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29, Section 1910.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition, the Contractor will be on call 24 hours per day, 7 days per week for emergency situations.
	<p>Cumulative Value</p> <p>The proposed contract is for an initial term of five-years in the amount of \$4,950,000, and one, two-year Option to Renew (OTR) period. If the County chooses to exercise the one, two-year OTR, the cumulative value is anticipated to be \$7,398,000.</p> <p>The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$4.95 million. This amount includes \$4,050,000 to provide the required services, and funding in the amount of \$900,000 for the five-year cost of methanol. The additional allocation for purchase of methanol is required for the ammonia contaminated groundwater treatment at the Old South Dade Landfill. Shaw, on behalf of the County, has access to favorable pricing and will, on annual basis, competitively solicit and award the purchase of methanol to the lowest-priced bidder, with no mark-up to the County. The current contract provides methanol to be purchased through the incumbent at a six percent markup. The County will reimburse Shaw for the cost of the methanol. The allocation for the purchase of the methanol is an estimate and was not part of the pricing submitted by the proposers.</p> <p>The negotiated amount of \$4,050,000 is a result of a two-round negotiation process with the highest ranked and recommended vendor, Shaw. The pricing secured through the negotiations is the lowest of all proposers. It is important to note that there was a two point difference in the total technical and price evaluation scores between Shaw and U.S. Water. Given, the favorable negotiations achievements with the highest technically ranked firm, award is recommended to Shaw. The first round of negotiations with Shaw yielded a cost savings of 16.306 percent, and the second and final round of negotiations yielded an overall cost savings of 27.9 percent from the initial proposed price.</p>	<p>The current contract, RFP No. 285, is valued at \$10,324,000 for ten years and six months.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The initial contract was five-years in the amount of \$4,512,247, with one five-year OTR period. On June 18, 2008, an additional spending allocation in the amount of \$254,000 was administratively approved. On June 12, 2013, the expiration date was administratively extended by 6 months to January 17, 2014, with an additional spending allocation of \$505,000 to ensure continuity of while the successor contract is awarded. <p><i>The increase in the initial allocation under the new contract is attributed to various factors, including prior usage, anticipated needs, and reimbursement for the monthly cost of methanol.</i></p>
	<p>Vendors</p> <p>On August 16, 2013, a Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition. Three proposals were received in response to the solicitation. The Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended the highest ranked proposer for award based on the criteria established in the RFP.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shaw Environmental, Inc. 	<p>An open competitive RFP Process was used for this contract. Fifteen firms obtained the RFP document. When only one proposal was submitted, DPM made informal inquires and learned another much larger project (\$200 million) was bid by another South Florida jurisdiction at the same time and attracted greater attention.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <p>Shaw Environmental, Inc.</p>
	<p>Funding Source</p> <p>Proprietary Funds.</p>	<p>Proprietary Funds.</p>
8F11 141191	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACT BW9562-1/24, JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF REHABILITATION FACILITIES, INC. D/B/A RESPECT OF FL TO PROVIDE JANITORIAL SERVICES IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$45,694,000.00, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of legacy contract BW9562-1/24, Janitorial Services for Various County Departments, to Florida Association of Rehabilitation Facilities, Inc. d/b/a Respect of FL to provide janitorial services in the aggregate amount of \$45,694,000.00, authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to execute an agreement for and on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>The janitorial services are for County facilities managed by the Police and Internal Services departments.</p> <p>Pursuant to Florida Statutes and Section 60E-1.005, Florida Administrative Code, purchases from Respect of FL are exempt from state and local government competitive bidding requirements. Chapter 413 of the Florida statutes states that requested services may be purchased through a non-profit agency provided the specifications are met and comparable price and quality requirements are obtained.</p> <p>Respect of FL has been providing janitorial services to the County for over 20 years. The County has negotiated a performance-based replacement contract with Respect of FL, a non-profit agency designated by the State of Florida to manage employment of the blind and other severely handicapped groups, to continue these services.</p> <p>The County has a pre-qualified pool, Contract No. 9562-5/22, in place to provide janitorial services at multiple facilities countywide. However, there are certain County sites that are currently serviced by Respect of FL under an existing separate contract (BW7934-1/12). This replacement contract allows Respect of FL to continue providing services at those sites, with the exception of bus cleaning for Transit. As mutually agreed to by Respect of FL and the County, the bus cleaning services will be competed under the pool contract due to their inability to continue to provide this portion of the contracted services. The specifications for this contract mirror the performance-based specifications used under the janitorial services pool contract, and the pricing negotiated with Respect of FL is comparable to pricing received under the pool for projects of similar size and scope.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$22,847,000. If the one, five-year option to renew is exercised, the contract's cumulative value will be \$45,694,000. The current contract, BW7934-1/12, is valued at \$13,204,147, for two years and six months. The proportional reduction in allocation under the replacement contract is attributed to the removal of bus cleaning services.</p>						
8F12 141307	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION FOR THE ACQUISITION OF PAY PHONES, PAY PHONE SERVICES, PAY PHONE SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES, INMATE TELEPHONE SERVICES, AND A JAIL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JMS) FOR THE MIAMI-DADE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET DEPARTMENTS, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. 847</p>						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes execution of an agreement with Global Tel*Link (GTL) corporation for the acquisition of pay phones, pay phone services, pay phone subscription services, inmate telephone services, and a jail management system (JMS) for the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department, authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to execute Contract No. 847 on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>There are no County funds expended for any of the services provided through this contract. Payphones generate revenue for the County and are located in multiple County buildings/facilities, including Miami International Airport, Transit facilities, Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces locations, PortMiami, and, inmate payphones located at Corrections and Rehabilitation facilities.</p> <p>The integrated Jail Management System will provide the County with a perpetual license, as well as, associated technical and maintenance services at no cost to the County. This system will allow automation of many jail administration day-to-day operations, which are currently being performed manually by Corrections and Rehabilitation staff. The Jail Management System would also allow interfacing with existing County-developed applications that will provide further integration of the system. The estimated value of the Jail Management System to the County is approximately \$7.1 million over the initial five-year term (\$4.4 million for the system and \$2.7 million for maintenance and technical support).</p> <p>This contract award recommendation is favorable to the County in terms of increased revenues and the operational benefits to be derived by Corrections and Rehabilitation with the jail management system to be provided.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The negotiated projected revenue to the County over the initial five-year term is anticipated to be \$16,400,000. Under the existing contract with GTL, the County receives 45 percent of revenues collected (approximately \$2,000,000 per year). The proposed contract increases the County's percentage of payphone revenues to 67 percent, which is just over \$1,000,000 in additional payphone revenues to the County annually (or additional \$5 million over initial 5-year term). If the three, \$3,080,000 one-year options to renew are exercised, the contract's cumulative value will be \$25,640,000. Revenues from this contract are budgeted in the Capital Outlay Reserve Fund to support capital needs at Corrections and Rehabilitation.</p> <p>A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition on July 29, 2013. Three firms responded to the solicitation. The Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended the highest-ranked proposer, GTL, (the current provider) for award based on the criteria established in the RFP.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">History of the Current Contract</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Contract 104 - Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Date and</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Legislative Information</td> </tr> </table>	History of the Current Contract		Contract 104 - Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services		Date and	Legislative Information
History of the Current Contract							
Contract 104 - Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services							
Date and	Legislative Information						

Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
	Reso. No.	
	3/31/98	<p>The BCC approved the issuance of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services for approximately 3,188 payphones at County sites. The RFP allowed proposers to submit proposals in one or more of the following ways: a single proposal for payphones and local service (Part A); a single proposal for long distance service (Part B); a combined proposal for payphones and local service, and long distance service.</p> <p>The RFP stipulated that for purposes of evaluation and award, combined proposals would not be split up, and that single proposals for Part A would be combined with single proposals for Part B.</p>
	8/7/98	<p>The RFP closed. Proposals were received from three (3) companies: BellSouth Public Communications, Sprint and TCG/AT&T. The three companies submitted proposals in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BellSouth: A combined proposal for Part A and B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Subsequently, they received an Evaluation Committee score of 824.03. • Sprint: A combined proposal for Part A and B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Subsequently, they received an Evaluation Committee score of 948.7. • TCG/AT&T: A combined proposal for Part A and B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Subsequently, they received an Evaluation Committee score of 970.8. • BellSouth: A single proposal for Part A / AT&T: A single proposal for Part B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Subsequently, they received an Evaluation Committee score of 749.93. <p>The Selection Committee ranked TCG/AT&T the highest with an Evaluation Committee score of 970.8 and recommended them for award.</p>
	2/2/99 to 2/10/99	<p>Staff negotiations with TCG/AT&T produced the following contract highlights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$50 million Minimum Guaranteed Commission payment to the County for the five-year term of the contract (\$10 million per year minimum). • Creation of job opportunities in Miami-Dade County, including use of DBE firms. • Increase of DBE participation from a goal of 25% as required by the RFP to AT&T's voluntary commitment of 30% (work which will be performed by a Miami-Dade County certified DBE), plus 100% of the initial installation of payphones (work which will be performed by a Miami-Dade County certified DBE). • Requirement that the payphones and enclosures be maintained graffiti-free. • Installation of all new, state-of-the-art payphones at County sites, for the installation base of payphones of 3,188 and any additional payphones which exceed the amount of phones. • Additional revenue-generating possibilities from sale of pre-paid phone cards, advertising, speed dial buttons, business centers that include internet terminals and kiosks at the airport and at other facilities that attract business travelers, cellular rentals, and voice messaging.
	3/99	BellSouth Public Communications, the unsuccessful, third ranked proposer filed a formal bid protest.
	6/2/99	BellSouth filed an addendum to their formal bid protest, including an allegation that the meeting (held April 1999) of the Cuba Affidavit Waiver Review Committee was not given proper public notice. Meeting re-held on July 7, 1999.
	8/31/99	Cuba Affidavit Waiver Review Committee report approved.
	3/9/00	<p>Hearing Examiner issues Findings and Recommendations upholding the County Manager's recommendation to award the payphone contract to AT&T, and denying BellSouth's bid protest.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The County Manager estimated that the County lost at least \$5,222,529 plus interest in slightly over one year due to the delays in awarding this contract.
	5/9/00 R- 494-00	<p>Contract No. 104, Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services, was approved by the BCC for a five-year term with two (2) one-year OTR periods to TCG Payphones USA, a wholly-owned subsidiary of AT&T Corporation. The projected revenue to the County was \$50,000,000.</p> <p>Subsequently, TCG Payphones USA was acquired by GTL.</p>
	6/6/06 R-679-06	<p>The BCC approved the first modification to Contract No. 104, Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services, extending the contract for an additional five (5) years with projected revenue to the County in the amount of \$29,309,720. Additionally, the contractor, GTL, was to provide various enhancements.</p> <p><u>Contract Modification No. 1</u></p> <p>Pursuant to the request of the Office of Strategic Business Management (OSBM), the Department of Procurement Management conducted market research on payphone service providers throughout the nation in order to obtain a better understanding of the then current market conditions and the benefits of exercising the final OTR.</p> <p>According to the findings from Market Research conducted by Procurement in May 2011: <i>The payphone industry has undergone considerable change since the County originally awarded Contract 104 (May 2000). With advances in cellular telephone technology and increased affordability and usage of cellular telephone service, the payphone service industry has become less profitable in general public areas. The payphone industry does continue to have a strong presence within the more profitable corrections related facilities.</i></p> <p>As part of the first contract modification, the Contractor was to install new telephone systems in existing Correctional</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>facilities, as well as in all future Correctional facilities that may be opened in the County during the five year period of the extension. According to ISD, the contractor fulfilled this obligation.</p> <p>The new equipment under the first modification was to include features to allow law enforcement agencies to monitor and record all phone calls placed on the system. The system would also have the capability to search and identify calls made by any particular inmate in addition to include the latest in biometric related security features. Additionally, at the end of the extension, the County would have the option to purchase the new telephone equipment at a significantly discounted price. According to ISD, the Contractor fulfilled this obligation and the County still has the option to purchase the equipment at a discounted price – the County has not done so.</p>
7/3/12 R-578-12	<p>The BCC approved the second modification to Contact No. 104, Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services, with GTL for an additional 12 months with projected revenue to the County in the amount of \$1,800,000.</p> <p><u>Contract Modification No. 2</u> The second modification was similar to the first modification in that it includes similar enhancements like the addition of biometric features to the system with the intent of being able to use multifactor biometric authentication of inmate identity.</p> <p>In addition, the second modification allowed the County time to review and assess an unsolicited proposal from GTL to incorporate the payphone system with a jail management system.</p>
7/16/13 R-623-13	<p>The BCC approved the third modification to Contract No. 104, Payphone Services and Payphone Presubscription Services, with GTL for an additional 12 months with projected revenue to the County in the amount \$2,150,000.</p> <p>At the June 11, 2013 Finance Committee meeting, the proposed contract modification was bifurcated from the procurement package, and deferred to the July 9, 2013 Finance Committee meeting.</p> <p>Subsequently, at the July 9, 2013 Finance Committee meeting, the proposed resolution was amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The modification to the existing contract will be for 12 months and eliminates the cancellation clause; and • The vendor agreed to a 5 percent increase in revenues to the County. The value of the 5 percent increase is estimated to be an additional \$250,000 to the County during this 12-month modification period in addition. This would increase the total estimated revenues to \$2,150,000 from the originally proposed \$1,900,000. <p><u>Question:</u> Did Modification No. 2 provide for the same biometric feature enhancement as Modification No. 1; and are these the same voice biometric features mentioned as enhancements for Modification No. 3? According to ISD, Mod 1 implemented the system. Through Mod 2 the system software was upgraded. Mod 3 – which was amended at the Finance Committee to <u>not</u> include the upgrade that would have upgraded the voice biometrics. Mod 3 as amended will increase the revenues from 40% to 45% instead.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> The proposed modification includes 25% of gross local service revenues for payphones at Miami International Airport for a Certified Disadvantage Business. On January 20, 2012, Kellee Communications, the DBE providing this service advised the County that it went out of business. Currently, there are no DBEs offering this service; however, GTL is actively seeking to find a replacement DBE.</p> <p><u>Replacement Contract Solicitation</u> On July 3, 2012, under Resolution No. 578-12, the BCC approved the second modification to this contract. In addition, the second modification allowed the County time to review and assess an unsolicited proposal from GTL to incorporate the payphone system with a jail management system. The review was performed by Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation (MDCR). Staff also issued a Request for Information (RFI), to see if other vendors would be interested in providing a jail management system as part of the pay phone agreement. GTL, along with three additional firms responded to the RFI, advising that they would be interested in submitting such proposals. Subsequently, MDCR, in coordination with the Information Technology Department (ITD), initiated work to prepare a RFP for the combined services. The proposed third modification allowed additional time to complete the solicitation process.</p> <p>According to ISD at the July 9, 2013 Finance Committee meeting, the RFP will go out for solicitations as soon as July 26, 2013; however, the procurement process can take up to seven (7) months before a recommendation will come before the BCC for approval on the condition that unexpected occurrences do not happen.</p>
8F13 141451	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENTS IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$20,000,000.00 WITH AMERICAN GUARD SERVICES, LLC; ALLIEDBARTON SECURITY SERVICES, LLC; G4S SECURE SOLUTIONS (USA) INC.; MCROBERTS PROTECTIVE AGENCY, INC.; FEICK SECURITY SERVICE, INC.; 50 STATE SECURITY SERVICE, INC.; NAVARRO GROUP LTD., INC.; AND KENT SECURITY SERVICES, INC. TO ESTABLISH A POOL OF CONTRACTS TO OBTAIN SECURITY GUARD AND SCREENING SERVICES FOR VARIOUS CRUISE LINES AT PORTMIAMI ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS FOR AND ON BEHALF OF</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN EXCEPT FOR EXTENSIONS AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE CONTRACT WHICH REQUIRE BOARD ACTION CONTRACT NOS.: RFQ883A; RFQ883B; RFQ883C; RFQ883D; RFQ883E; RFQ883F; RFQ883G; AND RFQ883H [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140927]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes a pre-qualification pool, Contract No. RFQ883 for purchase of security guard and screening services for Miami-Dade Seaport (PortMiami). The County will offer, through the pool, security guard and screening services to interested cruise lines at PortMiami. The services for the cruise lines include, but are not limited to: a) controlling terminal access; b) screening of passengers and their bags; and c) screening of vessel provisioning using canines.</p> <p>When the need for the services is required, a competitive process among the pool members will be conducted. Upon approval of this item, a closed pool of pre-qualified vendors will be established to participate in competitive work order proposal requests. The County Mayor or his designee will have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate contract amount of the allocation authorized by the Board and the County Mayor or his designee will have the authority to exercise, at their discretion, the option-to-renew in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> All expenses incurred as a result of contracting with the selected pool member at time of the Work Order will be billed to the cruise lines, along with an administrative fee. Thus, the contract will be revenue positive to the County. An administrative fee in the amount of \$350 per vessel call will be assessed by PortMiami to each cruise line that utilizes the security guard and screening services. The current contract has yielded an estimated \$440,000 in revenue to the County since its inception in 2011. It is expected this contract will generate approximately \$240,000 in revenue to the County, during the initial term, due to the reduction in annual vessel calls, as fewer cruise lines are opting to acquire the services through the Pool.</p> <p>The value for the five-year term is \$14.3 million. If the one, \$5.7 million two-year option-to-renew is exercised, the contract's cumulative value will be \$20 million. The current contract, RFQ706, is valued at \$36 million for a term of three years and six months.</p> <p><u>Vendor(s) Pre-Qualified for Pool</u> On August 28, 2013, a Request for Qualifications was issued under full and open competition. The security guard and screening services requested in the solicitation are substantially the same as in the current contract. Twelve proposals were received in response to the solicitation. Two proposals (Centurion Security Group, LLC and U.S. Alliance Management Corp. d/b/a U.S. Security) were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office. The remaining ten proposals were evaluated by the Evaluation/Selection Committee. At the conclusion of the evaluation/selection meetings, the Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended eight firms.</p> <p>This pool of eight firms will propose on requests for security guard and screening services through work order proposal requests. Services through the Pool will be offered to all cruise lines at PortMiami, and may also be utilized by PortMiami to complement existing security personnel.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • American Guard Services, Inc. 1015 N. America Way, Suite 108, Miami, FL • AlliedBarton Security Services, LLC 161 Washington Street, Suite 600, Conshohocken, PA • G4S Secure Solutions (USA) Inc. 1395 University Blvd., Jupiter, FL • McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc. 87 Nassau Street, 2nd Floor, New York, NY • Feick Security Corporation 8869 SW 131 Street, 2nd Floor, Miami, FL • 50 State Security Service, Inc. 915 NE 125 Street, #200, North Miami, FL • Navarro Group Ltd., Inc. 4100 NW 3rd Court, #100, Plantation, FL • Kent Security Services, Inc. 14600 Biscayne Blvd., North Miami Beach, FL <p><u>Vendors Not Pre-Qualified for Pool</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • V.O.K. Protective Services, Inc. (MICRO/SBE) and Big Ben Security Corp. (MICRO/SBE, LDB); <i>Low Evaluation Scores/Ranking</i> • Centurion Security Group, LLC (MICRO/SBE, LDB); <i>Deemed non-responsive by the CAO as the firm failed to submit the required bond.</i> • U.S. Alliance Management Corporation d/b/a U.S. Security; <i>Deemed non-responsive by the CAO as the proposal varies materially from the RFQ requirements.</i> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases. • A Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor was assigned to this solicitation. Local Preference was applied in accordance with the applicable ordinance. • The services being provided are covered under the Living Wage Ordinance. <p><u>Additional Information</u> <i>At the June 12, 2014, Economic Development & Port Miami Committee meeting, the proposed resolution was amended to include language stating that if any changes were proposed to the contract, those changes would be submitted to the BCC for consideration.</i></p> <p>The current contract was also amended on January 11, 2011, at the Internal Management and Fiscal Responsibility Committee meeting to remove the administrative authority to approve the three, one-year options-to-renew to require BCC approval.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On February 1, 2011, the BCC, through R-73-11, authorized the execution of agreements, in the amount of \$36,000,000, to establish a pool of contracts to obtain security guard services for the Port of Miami and for various cruise lines at the Port on an as needed basis for a term of three years with three, one-year options to renew.</p> <p>Five firms were recommended for inclusion into the pool. A full and open competitive Request for Qualifications process was used. The five highest ranked vendors were recommended for inclusion into the pool.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc., 87 Nassau Street, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10038 • Andy Frain Services, Inc., 761 Shoreline Drive, Aurora, IL 60504 • American Guard Services, Inc., 1015 N America Way, Suite 108, Miami, FL 33132 • AlliedBarton Security Services, 161 Washington Street, Suite 600, Conshohocken, PA 19428 • G4S Secure Solutions (USA), Inc., 4200 Wackenhut Drive, Suite 101, Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410 <p>The following vendors were not recommended for award based on their scores and ranking. 50 State Security Services, Inc.; AWA Security, Inc.; Buena Vista Security & Protection Agency, LLC; CSS USA, Inc.; DSI Security Services; First American Security Services, Inc.; Frontline Security Agency, Inc.; Kent Security Services, Inc.; Navarro Security Group, Inc.; Norg-Seg Security Services, Inc.; PM Security; Pro 24 Security & Investigative Services; Safeguard America, Inc.; Security Management Innovations, Inc.; SMI Security Management, Inc.; Weiser Security Services, Inc.; and Unlimited Security (non-responsive, did not meet submission requirements).</p>
8J1 141205	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC. FOR MARINE INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, CONTRACT NO. E13-SEA-03; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Contract Number E13-SEA-03 between Atkins North America, Inc. and Miami-Dade County for a total contract amount of \$3,300,000.00 and a term of three (3) years plus two one-year options to extend for professional services requested during the initial term or until the money is depleted.</p> <p>Additional delegation of authorities requested for this contract are as follows: Section IX of the PSA stipulates that any and all disputes will be decided by the Director of PortMiami.</p> <p>The Consultant will provide marine engineering inspection, evaluation, design, and design criteria services for the construction, repair and rehabilitation, removal and replacement and new construction of PortMiami's Marine Infrastructure, including but not limited to: wharves; bulkheads; seawalls; roll-on/roll-off platforms; pile supported gantry crane rail beams tie-downs, and stow pin sockets; shoreline protection revetments; dredging; corrosion protection; floating and fixed docks; offshore mooring and breasting dolphins; container yard improvements and other cruise and cargo vessel berthing improvements.</p> <p>This PSA is necessary to provide PortMiami with a qualified consultant to provide comprehensive engineering consulting services for the Seaport's marine-related infrastructure. The consultant will provide marine engineering design and design criteria services for the repair, rehabilitation, removal and replacement and new construction of the Seaport's marine infrastructure in support of the construction document development and management for future capital improvement projects for the sustainability of cruise and cargo operations.</p> <p>Contract Measures: CBE 22.00% - \$726,000.00</p> <p>Sub-Consultants: Berenblum Busch Architecture, Inc.; Initial Engineers, P.A.; Morgan & Eklund, Inc.; Nova Consulting, Inc.; PMM Consulting Engineers, Corp.; Premiere Design Solutions, Inc.; and Vertical V-Southeast, Inc. dba KACO</p>
8J2 141207	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND RODRIGUEZ AND QUIROGA ARCHITECTS CHARTERED FOR PORTMIAMI PORTWIDE WAYFINDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$650,000.00, CONTRACT NO. A13-SEA-01; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Contract Number A13-SEA-01 between Rodriguez and Quiroga Architects Chartered and Miami-Dade County for a total contract amount of \$650,000.00 and term of three (3) years plus two one-year options to extend for professional services requested during the initial term or until the money is depleted.</p> <p>Additional delegation of authorities requested for this contract are as follows: Section IX of the PSA stipulates that any and all disputes will be decided by the Director of PortMiami.</p> <p>The Consultant will provide professional architectural/engineering and construction administration services, to include coordination with all regulatory agencies as appropriate, for modifications and improvements to optimize the Port's Wayfinding as per the Wayfinding and Signage Analysis of the 2035 Master Plan.</p> <p>Contract Measures: CBE 12.00% - \$78,000.00</p> <p>Sub-Consultants: DDA Engineers, P.A.; Johnson, Avedano, Lopez, Rodriguez & Walewski Engineering Group, Inc.; Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.; and Labozan Associates, Inc.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
8J3 141208	RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT AWARD TO THE LOWEST BIDDER, JVA ENGINEERING CONTRACTOR, INC. FOR SEABOARD MARINE CARGO TERMINAL REDEVELOPMENT PHASE II (4 OF 5), CONTRACT NO. 2008-032.04, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,196,835.57, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Construction Contract No. 2008-032.04 between JVA Engineering Contractor, Inc. and Miami-Dade County for a total contract amount of \$6,196,835.57 .</p> <p>Additional delegation of authorities requested for this contract are as follows: The General Conditions for the Contract, Article 42, Disputes, Section B states that any and all disputes will be decided by the Contracting Officer. The contract stipulates that the Contracting Officer for this contract is the Director of the Miami-Dade Seaport Department and/or his/her designee.</p> <p>As part of the amended and approved Terminal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Seaboard Marine, LTD for marine terminal operations at PortMiami, PortMiami has agreed to the redevelopment of the Seaboard Marine Cargo Terminal. The County has a commitment to perform capital improvements to Seaboard's terminal area in an effort to meet their infrastructure requirements to support their future growth.</p> <p>This multiphase improvement project consists of upgrading existing utility infrastructure and pavement for approximately eight (8) acres to improve the efficiency of cargo operations. The area within this phase of the project will primarily be used for heavy equipment and container storage.</p> <p>Contract Measures: CSBE 10.56% - \$637,012.76 CWP 10.00% - 3 Number of New Hires</p> <p>Sub-Contractors and Suppliers: Ferguson Enterprises, Inc.; R&D Electric, Inc.; and Vulcan Materials Company.</p>
8L7 141497	RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD RECOMMENDATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$935,069.89 TO RG UNDERGROUND ENGINEERING, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT – MULTIPLE SITES (PROJECT MCC 7040 PLAN – CICC 7040-0/07, REQUEST FOR PRICE QUOTATION NO. 20130282) AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS AND GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141173]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Contract Award Recommendation for the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) project entitled Drainage Improvement Project - Multiple Sites (Project MCC 7040 Plan - CICC 7040-0/07 Request for Price Quotation (RPQ) No. 20130282) in the amount of \$935,069.89 to RG Underground Engineering, Inc.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact will be approximately \$935,069.89 and will be funded from the Charter County Transportation Sales Surtax Bond Sale Proceeds and General Obligation Bonds. The base contract amount is \$828,187.40, with the total amount being inclusive of contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance. The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the PTP Neighborhood Improvements Section.</p> <p><u>Background</u> As part of the PTP Neighborhood Improvements initiative, PWWM continues to take advantage of various contracting mechanisms such as the Miscellaneous Construction Contracting process available under the MCC 7040 Program. Through this process, PWWM forwards a Request for Price Quotation, by way of facsimile transmission, to a pool of pre-qualified Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) contractors for the respective trade.</p> <p>PWWM staff determined that the scope of work for this project qualified for a CSBE set-aside (Levels I, II, and III). A Community Workforce Program Goal of ten (10) percent has been established for this Project as several work locations fall within a Designated Target Area. On February 27, 2014, PWWM forwarded RPQ No. 20130282, utilizing the MCC 7040 Plan - CICC 7040-0/07 Contract, to a list of 127 pre-qualified firms. A total of two (2) firms purchased contract documents and five (5) firms proffered a bid.</p> <p>On April 2, 2014, RG Underground Engineering, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$828,187.40, two (2) percent above the County's cost estimate. The second lowest bidder, E & M Equipment Corp., proffered a base bid of \$908,749.50, 12 percent above the County's cost estimate. The third lowest bidder, Acre Engineering Construction, Inc., proffered a base bid of \$914,386.50, 12 percent above the County's cost estimate.</p> <p>Based on the results of the solicitation, PWWM issued a recommendation for award on April 9, 2014 for RPQ No. 20130282 to RG Underground Engineering, Inc., with the stipulation that approval for this project must be obtained from the CITT and the BCC.</p>
8L8 141348	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO AN EXISTING CONTRACT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED ADVANCED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR AN ADDITIONAL TWO (2) YEAR PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes Amendment No. 3 to an existing contract between Miami-Dade County (County) and Kimley-Horn and Associates (KHA) extending the contract for an additional two (2) year period to provide communications and system integration services for

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the countywide Advanced Traffic Management System (Project).</p> <p>Fiscal Impact This amendment has no fiscal impact. This contract's total compensation is \$15,060,000; the Contract Award was for \$9,000,000 (BCC Resolution No. R-876-05) and increased by \$2,160,000 under Amendment #1 (BCC Resolution No. R-42-10) and \$3,900,000 under Amendment#2 (BCC Resolution No. R-97-12). This contract has a balance of \$1,687,637, of which \$1,131,774 is currently assigned to ongoing work orders.</p> <p>Funding is available from Charter County Transportation Surtax 2010 Bond Proceeds as programmed within Public Works and Waste Management's (PWWM) Multi-Year Capital Budget (FY 2013-14 Adopted Capital Project No. 608400). There are no recurring operation or maintenance costs anticipated through the amendment of this agreement.</p> <p>Background In the early 1970's, as one of the Country's fastest growing urban areas, Miami-Dade County determined that the most effective manner to move traffic without expanding the roadway network was to develop and implement a centralized Traffic Control System. In November 1972, Miami-Dade County voters approved funding for this effort as part of the Decade of Progress Bond issue. The design of the system began in 1973, and construction began in 1974. The Urban Traffic Control System (UTCS) was the largest and most modern central computer traffic control system in the United States at its inception. The UTCS reached its capacity of 2,000 traffic signals in the late 1980's, and efforts to replace the system began in the 1990's. In November 2002, the voters of Miami-Dade County again approved the development and implementation of a new and modern Traffic Management System as part of the People's Transportation Plan</p> <p>On July 7, 2005, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-876-05 authorizing a contract with KHA to replace the obsolete UTCS with a modern ATMS platform, and to migrate all of the County's traffic signals to the new system. The new ATMS project was scheduled to begin in (2) two initial phases. Phase I was the pilot test of the system at 16 signalized intersections. If successful, the County would undertake Phase II; the deployment of the system to the remaining 2,605 traffic signals existing at that time and implement a new traffic signal communication system. However, in 2006 the County undertook a separate effort to develop a broadband wireless (WIFI) network to cover the entire County. Since the proposed system would also serve the traffic signal systems, the development of a new communications system for the ATMS did not proceed at that time. When the County's WIFI effort did not proceed, PWWM re-initiated the development of the communications system by the establishment of Phases IIA and Phase IIB. Phase IIA, the migration of all traffic signals to the ATMS, was completed on August 30, 2012. The ongoing Phase IIB addresses the development of a Countywide hybrid traffic signal communications network to improve communications.</p> <p>The proposed Amendment will allow KHA to continue working with PWWM's Traffic Signals and Signs Division, and Miami-Dade County Information Technology Department (ITD) staff to provide additional critical services, until such time as a Request for Proposals for the next phase of the Project can be developed and awarded. KHA will assist County staff in the continued development of communication strategies and facilities that will allow the County to take advantage of various communication technologies, both wire line and wireless, in its effort to upgrade and enhance the ATMS system's infrastructure.</p> <p>This amendment will not preclude PWWM from seeking proposals and entering into contract for Phase III of ATMS project. In the event this extension and a new contract overlap; this contract may be terminated by the County in accordance to the contract provisions or the remaining time and funds may be utilized to allow for knowledge transfer and software maintenance activities.</p> <p>To date, the County has invested nearly \$32M in upgrading the existing traffic signals and traffic management systems. The new ATMS allows the County to control all 2837 existing traffic signals with capacity to grow up to 4000 traffic signals.</p> <p>The primary work that needs to continue is the upgrade of the ATMS communications upgrades. The ATMS is currently hindered by the absence of a reliable, high bandwidth and high speed communications network for traffic control. Currently, only 40% of eligible intersections (approximately 1,200 signals) have been upgraded to high speed wireless communications.</p>
8M2 140967	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENT OF \$200,000.00 FROM THE BISCAYNE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT TRUST FUND TO BE USED TO CONDUCT ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION WITHIN BISCAYNE BAY THROUGH THE REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF BULKY MARINE DEBRIS FROM THE BAY, ITS TIDAL TRIBUTARIES, AND FORESHORE(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the disbursement of \$200,000.00 from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund to be used to conduct environmental restoration within Biscayne Bay through the removal and disposal of bulky marine debris from the bay, its tidal tributaries, and foreshore.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact This resolution authorizes the disbursement of \$200,000.00 from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund for Biscayne Bay restoration and enhancement activities. This disbursement is consistent with Section 24-40 of the Code of Miami-Dade County that allows such use of the Trust Fund. The available balance of the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund is \$1,291,000.00 as of February 28, 2014. These funds have been previously used as matching funds for state and federal grants awarded for marine debris removal.</p> <p>The last such disbursement from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund was on July 7, 2011 under Resolution No. R-517-11, which authorized \$150,000 for derelict vessel removal and disposal. The \$150,000 was subsequently used both directly and as match for</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	additional funds from the Florida Inland Navigation District to remove and dispose of 60 derelict vessels from Biscayne Bay. Most of those boats were removed from the Biscayne Bay Aquatic Preserve. In addition, 2 illegal docks were removed from the Crandon Mangrove Preserve on Key Biscayne.
8M3 141152	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS, INCLUDING EXTENSIONS THERETO, FROM VARIOUS REGULATORY AGENCIES RELATED TO ARTIFICIAL REEFS(Regulatory and Economic Resources)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to apply for and execute environmental permits, including extensions thereto, from various regulatory agencies related to artificial reefs.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The cost of the regulatory permits for the Artificial Reef Program is estimated to be approximately \$300 per year and these costs will be charged to the applicable trust fund or operational funds by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, Division of Environmental Resources Management. Trust fund disbursements are approved by the Board in accordance with Chapter 24 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and the Artificial Reef Program is funded through the annual budget.</p> <p>The Artificial Reef Program has coordinated over 200 placements of varied artificial reef material that include many ships, prefabricated reef modules and limerock boulders, as well as unique materials such as military tanks, radio towers and bridge spans. Artificial reefs in Miami-Dade County play a valuable role in the local economy. The Socioeconomic Study of Reefs in Southeast Florida from October 2001 reported that artificial reefs in Miami-Dade County were responsible for annually generating \$323,887,645 in sales and \$181,834,859 in local income through support of 5,266 full-time and part-time jobs.</p>
8M4 141460	RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM AND APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$6,000,000.00 FROM PROJECT 124 TO FLAGLER STREET RECONSTRUCTION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TO FUND CERTAIN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives administrative rules for Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (BBC GOB), Economic Development Fund, Project 124, and approves allocation of \$6,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Flagler Street Reconstruction and Economic Development to fund certain economic development projects.</p> <p>The City of Miami's Capital improvement Department and the Miami Downtown Development Authority are working together to reconstruct Flagler Street. The Miami Downtown Development Authority seeks financial support from Fund Project 124 for landscaping features that provide sufficient shading, proper drainage, wider sidewalks, road improvements that better accommodate valet parking, and better lighting. The Administrative Rules adopted on June 15, 2010 by the Board in Resolution R-668-10 set a \$10,000,000 minimum grant level for projects seeking funding from Project 124. It is recommended that the Board waive this minimum requirement to allow for the allocation of \$6,000,000 for the Flagler Street Reconstruction project.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> There will be no fiscal impact to the County with the approval of this item, which simply sets a potential allocation for the recommended project and establishes the directive to begin negotiations on grant agreements that must come back to the Board for final approval.</p> <p><u>Background</u> In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$75 million was allocated towards the Economic Development Fund (EDF) Project 124. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that governs the Economic Development Fund were adopted by the Board in Resolution R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.</p> <p>Funding from grant awards under EDF Project 124 must be used for public infrastructure improvements to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. The grant awards are disbursed as reimbursements of eligible expenditures paid by the grantees and approved by the Mayor or his Designee in accordance with established administrative rules and the IRS rules governing the tax-exempt status of the GOB Program. The disbursement of grant funds are also contingent upon the developer achieving job creation, private investment, average salaries and all other performance measures that have been agreed to in the respective grant agreements. In the event that IRS rules require grant funds to be disbursed before performance milestones can be met, a claw back provision will be included in the grant agreement that allows the County to recover part or all of the grant funds disbursed if performance milestones are not achieved.</p> <p>Prior to entering into a grant agreement, a final determination as to the Project's qualification to receive the funds will be made. The grant agreement will be presented to the Board for approval at a future date. If an agreement for the Flagler Street Reconstruction project cannot be finalized, the funding may be reallocated by the Board. If the allocation to this project is greater than the amount of eligible public infrastructure expenditures needed, the difference will be available for reallocation by the Board.</p>
8N3 141183 SUPP. 141347	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT TO MAKE BUS SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS TO BE IMPLEMENTED ON OR AFTER JUNE 22, 2014 SUPPLEMENT TO RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING METROBUS ROUTE SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS TO BE IMPLEMENTED ON OR AFTER JUNE 22, 2014
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade Transit to make bus service adjustments to be implemented on or after June 22, 2014.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>These modifications include the elimination of two low ridership bus routes. Board approval, after a public hearing for the route discontinuations, is required per Miami-Dade County Code (County Code), Chapter 2, Article XIX, Section 2-150.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The annual operation and maintenance cost for the two proposed route deletions is approximately \$512,000.00. The net fiscal impact of the service changes proposed in this line up, which also includes some service improvements, is an estimated annual savings of \$360,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).</p> <p><u>Background</u> The proposed discontinuation of Route 46 (Liberty City Connection) and Route 243 (Seaport Connection) is due to low ridership. Additionally, MDT will be making service adjustments to an additional 16 routes under the administrative authority provided in Section 2-150 of the County Code. Those service changes include minor schedule modifications for better on-time performance to all 16 routes, minor routing/operational adjustments to five (5) routes, truncation of one (1) route, and frequency adjustments to two (2) routes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 46 has had historically low ridership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This route began operating in November 2004. ○ This route averages 10.3 passengers-per-hour and consistently performs below MDT’s minimum 15 passengers-per-hour bus service standard. ○ Patrons who currently use Route 46 will have alternative MDT service with Routes 12, 17, 21, 22, 27, 32, 54, 62, 77 and 277. • Route 243 has had historically low ridership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This route began operating in October 2000. ○ This route averages 11.8 passengers-per-hour and consistently performs below MDT’s minimum 15 passengers-per-hour bus service standard. ○ Patrons who currently use Route 243 will have alternative municipal service. <p>In addition to the deletion of Routes 46 and 243, 16 other routes will receive service adjustments under the Mayor’s administrative authority. They include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routes 7, 8, 27, 54, 70, 71, 72, and 88 will have a minor schedule adjustment to improve on-time performance. • Routes 35, 52, 57, 99, 137 and 200 will have minor schedule and routing adjustments to improve on-time performance. • Route 102/B will have a minor routing adjustment to improve on-time performance. • Route 120 will have an additional northbound morning trip to alleviate overcrowding. <p>Supplement <i>Due to timing issues, the line-up is now scheduled to take place on or after July 22, 2014; therefore, this item will need to be amended.</i></p>
8N4 141217	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF MIAMI FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE OVERTOWN GREENWAY AT NW 11TH TERRACE PROJECT, B-30624; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE EXECUTION OF SAME(Miami-Dade Transit)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade County to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Miami for the construction of the Overtown Greenway at NW 11th Terrace, Project, B-30624, on the County Metrorail Property.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> MDT’s annual operation and landscape maintenance of the Metrorail/Metromover and Busway (including the M-Path or walkways) remains at the same level and is budgeted in the department’s operating budget at approximately \$1.2 million. Further, it is forecasted that the portion of the new path or walkway (to be constructed by the City) will result in an annual estimated operation and maintenance cost of \$1,500.00 and will be included in MDT’s operating budget.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Overtown Greenway Initiative is one of the City’s Capital Improvement Projects that is currently planned to be implemented in phases. All portions of this greenway project will be designed to meet the Green Local Government Designation Standard. This segment provides for roadway beautification enhancements within the Overtown community. The City will also beautify the area by planting native trees, installing benches, trash bins, and lighting fixtures.</p> <p>The Agreement will allow the City and their contractors to access the Metrorail Corridor for the completion of the scope of work, subject to the conditions of the Agreement.</p> <p>The City of Miami Commission approved this Agreement at their Commission meeting on February 13, 2014. The estimated project cost is \$188,271.00 and construction is planned for ninety (90) days, commencing in July 2014. The Project is partially funded by a grant from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).</p>
8N5 141308	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE OR MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND CITY OF MIAMI BEACH FOR THE PROVISION OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR, COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE, OR MIAMI-

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	DADE TRANSIT DIRECTOR TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee or Miami-Dade Transit Director to execute an Interlocal Public Transportation Services Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and City of Miami Beach for the provision of public transportation services.</p> <p><i>This Agreement allows each jurisdiction to provide residents and visitors with public transportation services in accordance with Chapter 31, Article III, Section 31-113 of the County Code, which concerns the ability of municipalities to operate public transit services only under Interlocal Agreements with the County.</i></p> <p><i>Other jurisdictions with Agreements with the County to provide circulator/trolley services include the City of Aventura, Miami, City of Coral Gables, City of Doral, City of Homestead, Town of Cutler Bay, City of Miami Beach, Village of Palmetto Bay, North Bay Village, City of North Miami, Bal Harbour, City of South Miami, Sunny Isles Beach, Village of Pinecrest, and Town of Surfside.</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Interlocal Public Transportation Services Agreement <i>Miami Beach</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Fiscal Impact to County</td> <td>None – There is no fiscal impact to the County for this Agreement. The City will be responsible for all operating and maintenance costs of the service and will use its Resort Tax Quality of Life (QOL) for the operation and maintenance of this service. The City Circulator is fare-free and there may be an impact on ridership for current MDT routes which service Miami Beach.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Previous Agreements</td> <td>This is the second Agreement between MDT and the City for the operation of a circulator route. The existing Agreement is for the South Beach Local, Route 123, which is operated by MDT.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Circulator Company</td> <td>Limousines of South Florida (LSF)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">History</td> <td>On May 21, 2014 the City approved an Interlocal Agreement for the operation of a new North Beach Circulator which is planned to operate in the North Beach area.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Key Provisions of Agreement</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The City will adhere to all county, federal, state and local transit operating and reporting requirements. • This Agreement will remain in force for five years and is subject to one five-year automatic renewal. Each party has the right to terminate for cause or without cause. • MDT and the City will work collaboratively to exchange route and schedule information for the benefit of riders. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Circulator will operate Monday - Sunday, 8:00 a.m. until 12:00 a.m. through a private contractor. Some of the major route stops include public parking lots, Publix Supermarket, public parks, public library and an assisted living facility; and ○ The Circulator will connect to the following MDT routes: 115, 117, 120, H, L and S. • The City is responsible for bus stop passenger amenities, such as bus shelters and benches at all bus stops served by the circulator. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Cost to Patrons</td> <td>The City will operate the service at no cost to patrons. In the case where the City may charge a fare, similar to other agreements for service, this Agreement requires the City to enact a fare structure to include the acceptance of all MDT passes, transfers, or identification entitling an eligible passenger to ride the service without paying an additional fare (i.e., Patriot Passport and Golden Passport) or for half fare (i.e. kindergarten - 12th grade students).</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Interlocal Public Transportation Services Agreement <i>Miami Beach</i>		Fiscal Impact to County	None – There is no fiscal impact to the County for this Agreement. The City will be responsible for all operating and maintenance costs of the service and will use its Resort Tax Quality of Life (QOL) for the operation and maintenance of this service. The City Circulator is fare-free and there may be an impact on ridership for current MDT routes which service Miami Beach.	Previous Agreements	This is the second Agreement between MDT and the City for the operation of a circulator route. The existing Agreement is for the South Beach Local, Route 123, which is operated by MDT.	Circulator Company	Limousines of South Florida (LSF)	History	On May 21, 2014 the City approved an Interlocal Agreement for the operation of a new North Beach Circulator which is planned to operate in the North Beach area.	Key Provisions of Agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The City will adhere to all county, federal, state and local transit operating and reporting requirements. • This Agreement will remain in force for five years and is subject to one five-year automatic renewal. Each party has the right to terminate for cause or without cause. • MDT and the City will work collaboratively to exchange route and schedule information for the benefit of riders. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Circulator will operate Monday - Sunday, 8:00 a.m. until 12:00 a.m. through a private contractor. Some of the major route stops include public parking lots, Publix Supermarket, public parks, public library and an assisted living facility; and ○ The Circulator will connect to the following MDT routes: 115, 117, 120, H, L and S. • The City is responsible for bus stop passenger amenities, such as bus shelters and benches at all bus stops served by the circulator. 	Cost to Patrons	The City will operate the service at no cost to patrons. In the case where the City may charge a fare, similar to other agreements for service, this Agreement requires the City to enact a fare structure to include the acceptance of all MDT passes, transfers, or identification entitling an eligible passenger to ride the service without paying an additional fare (i.e., Patriot Passport and Golden Passport) or for half fare (i.e. kindergarten - 12th grade students).
Interlocal Public Transportation Services Agreement <i>Miami Beach</i>															
Fiscal Impact to County	None – There is no fiscal impact to the County for this Agreement. The City will be responsible for all operating and maintenance costs of the service and will use its Resort Tax Quality of Life (QOL) for the operation and maintenance of this service. The City Circulator is fare-free and there may be an impact on ridership for current MDT routes which service Miami Beach.														
Previous Agreements	This is the second Agreement between MDT and the City for the operation of a circulator route. The existing Agreement is for the South Beach Local, Route 123, which is operated by MDT.														
Circulator Company	Limousines of South Florida (LSF)														
History	On May 21, 2014 the City approved an Interlocal Agreement for the operation of a new North Beach Circulator which is planned to operate in the North Beach area.														
Key Provisions of Agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The City will adhere to all county, federal, state and local transit operating and reporting requirements. • This Agreement will remain in force for five years and is subject to one five-year automatic renewal. Each party has the right to terminate for cause or without cause. • MDT and the City will work collaboratively to exchange route and schedule information for the benefit of riders. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Circulator will operate Monday - Sunday, 8:00 a.m. until 12:00 a.m. through a private contractor. Some of the major route stops include public parking lots, Publix Supermarket, public parks, public library and an assisted living facility; and ○ The Circulator will connect to the following MDT routes: 115, 117, 120, H, L and S. • The City is responsible for bus stop passenger amenities, such as bus shelters and benches at all bus stops served by the circulator. 														
Cost to Patrons	The City will operate the service at no cost to patrons. In the case where the City may charge a fare, similar to other agreements for service, this Agreement requires the City to enact a fare structure to include the acceptance of all MDT passes, transfers, or identification entitling an eligible passenger to ride the service without paying an additional fare (i.e., Patriot Passport and Golden Passport) or for half fare (i.e. kindergarten - 12th grade students).														
9A1 141250	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CAT NETWORK INC. FOR VETERINARIAN SERVICES ON MOBILE VETERINARY CLINIC; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of an Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Cat Network Inc. (TCN) for veterinarian services on the Meow Mobile (Unit), a mobile animal clinic which is owned and operated by the TCN, for the sterilization of free-roaming and privately owned cats or cats being housed at the County's Animal Shelter.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The sterilization services offered in this Agreement and staff veterinarian will be funded through the additional allocation approved by the Board as part of the FY 2013-14 budget for the County's No Kill initiatives. Sterilization services will be performed by existing ASD staff veterinarians. The salary range for a staff veterinarian, including fringe benefits is \$95,000 - \$115,000. ASD staff veterinarians will be scheduled to perform sterilization surgeries on the Unit three times per week on a rotating basis.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>It is estimated that there are at least 300,000 to 400,000 free roaming cats in Miami-Dade County reproducing and contributing to the number of unwanted and homeless cats that are abandoned at ASD's shelter. One way to reduce the shelter's intake can be controlled through spay and neuter programs.</p> <p>On July 3, 2012, the Board adopted Resolution No. 583-12 which directed the Mayor to develop a No Kill Implementation Plan for the County's Animal Shelter. The Plan was to include free roaming cat trap/neuter release programs and high volume affordable spay/neuter services. The Board later adopted a resolution (resolution number is pending due to amendments) which approved ASD's report of recommendations for attaining a No Kill shelter. The report included targeting resources to reduce shelter intake through targeted</p>														

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>sterilization of cats and dogs.</p> <p>Presently, ASD provides low-cost spay/neuter services for shelter pets and for privately owned cats and dogs. ASD currently performs 40 sterilization surgeries on average per day. These surgeries are performed in-house and on ASD's mobile animal clinic (MAC) by ASD's veterinarian staff. The type of spay and neuter surgeries performed include: sterilization of pets that are available for adoption, owned by Miami-Dade County residents, pets that are being cared for through ASD's Fostering Program and felines that are being sterilized through the Trap/Neuter Release/Give Back (TNR/TNG) Program.</p> <p>TCN, a duly incorporated not for profit organization, is one of ASD's partners under the ASPCA Community Partnership. TCN owns and operates a mobile animal clinic but currently does not have the veterinary staff to operate the unit to its fullest capacity. ASD has the available staff but does not have enough surgical tables to respond to the demand for sterilization services.</p> <p>Providing the TCN with a veterinarian to operate their unit would further enhance ASD's initiatives for a No Kill shelter and augment the services ASD provides to County residents. The additional allocation approved by the Board as part of the FY 2013-14 budget for the County's No Kill initiatives included funding for an additional veterinarian. Existing ASD staff veterinarians will be scheduled to perform sterilization surgeries on the Unit three times per week on a rotating basis.</p>
9A2 141392	RESOLUTION APPROVING A PARTNER PROGRAM AGREEMENT WITH THE SOUTH FLORIDA VETERINARY FOUNDATION (SFVF) IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$200,000 IN GRANT FUNDING FOR LOW-COST SPAY AND NEUTER SERVICES TO INCOME QUALIFIED DOG AND CAT OWNERS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of a grant to the South Florida Veterinary Foundation (SFVF) for the provision of low-cost spay and neuter services to income-qualified dog and cat owners. The SFVF will work with all veterinary clinics and hospitals in Miami-Dade County through a voucher system that will provide a reimbursement to veterinarians performing sterilization surgeries.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding for this grant will be provided by the Animal Services Department (ASD) as part of the County's No Kill Initiative (Resolution No. R-1050-12). The initial grant award is recommended at \$100,000. Authority is also requested for an additional grant of \$100,000 to be approved by the Mayor upon completion of the initial grant award.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On June 4, 2013, the Board adopted the No Kill Implementation report developed by ASD at the direction of the Mayor. The FY 2013-14 budget included an additional \$4 million for ASD to continue its development of No Kill initiatives. During the policy discussion for use of the funding, staff was directed to work with the private veterinary community in implementing one of the most critical components of the No Kill plan by increasing access to spay and neuter services in our community.</p> <p>The SFVF is a charitable veterinary foundation located in Miami-Dade County. It is comprised of local veterinarians, in conjunction with Friends of the Foundation which is a core group of volunteers. It is a duly incorporated 501(c)3 registered with the State of Florida (corporate documents and officers are provided with the Partner Program Agreement).</p> <p>Partnering with the SFVF provides a unique opportunity as there are no other non-professional and local not-for-profit groups that have local veterinarians as members. The partnership also provides for significant public access to these services as all local licensed veterinary offices (approximately 150) located in Miami-Dade County will be eligible to provide this service. Additionally, the SFVF will serve as administrator of the reimbursements as included in the attached partner program agreement. SFVF will receive a \$5 administrative fee per surgery for their work in support of the spay and neuter initiative. This will help avoid additional administrative cost to the County. The SFVF will also provide marketing and outreach of these services through its own resources and efforts.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through R-441-14, directed the Mayor or his designee to implement, within existing funding, a program for qualified, low-income County residents to obtain a voucher for spay/neuter services from the local veterinary community for their pet dogs or cats. The Mayor is also directed to report to the BCC as to implementation of this program within 30 days of the adoption of this resolution.</p>
11A1 141399	RESOLUTION URGING THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION TO OPEN ADDITIONAL AIRWAVES FOR WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution urges the Federal Communications Commission to open additional airwaves for wireless technology and directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for this.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2014 Federal Legislative Package to include this item and to include this item in the 2015 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the Board.</p>
11A2 141370	RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GENERAL ELECTION ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2014, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF MIAMI DADE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TO AMEND THE CHARTER TO PERMIT LIBRARY USES AT PARKS SUBJECT TO ARTICLE VII, TO EXEMPT THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REGIONAL SOCCER PARK LOCATED AT NW 58TH STREET FROM THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE VII, AND TO ADD ADDITIONAL PROTECTIONS FOR PRESERVATION LANDS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141255]

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution calls for a countywide special election in Miami-Dade County, to be held in conjunction with a general election on Tuesday, November 4, 2014, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of Miami-Dade County the question of whether to amend the Charter to permit library uses at parks subject to Article VII, to exempt the Miami-Dade county regional soccer park located at NW 58th Street from the restrictions of Article VII, and to add additional protections for preservation lands.</p> <p>The question will appear on the ballot in substantially the following form:</p> <p>CHARTER AMENDMENT ALLOWING LIBRARIES IN PARKS, EXEMPTING PARK FROM ARTICLE 7, AND PROTECTING PRESERVATION LANDS ARTICLE 7 CURRENTLY PROVIDES THAT SPECIFIED PARKS SHALL BE USED FOR PUBLIC PARK PURPOSES ONLY AND LIMITS CONSTRUCTION TO CERTAIN ENUMERATED FACILITIES. SHALL THE CHARTER BE AMENDED TO: ALSO PERMIT COUNTY LIBRARY PURPOSES WITHIN EXISTING RECREATION FACILITIES AT PARKS; EXEMPT THE REGIONAL SOCCER PARK ON NW 58TH STREET FROM ARTICLE 7; AND FURTHER RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT AND CERTAIN USE OF PRESERVATION LANDS THAT WOULD ADVERSELY IMPACT NATURAL RESOURCES?</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Notes from the June 9, 2014 Cultural Affairs and Recreation Committee meeting</u> <i>The Director of Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces (PROS), provided an overview of the foregoing proposed resolution and its proposed exceptions to Article 7 of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter, for the following park usages:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>To allow for library services in parks, as long as such facilities were within an established recreational center of the park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park;</i> • <i>To allow for athletic development and training facilities in parks including overnight lodging of athletes in dormitories, as long as such facilities were not located in a natural or historical resource-based park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park; and</i> • <i>To allow for recreational campgrounds and limited overnight camping accommodations in cabin/ lodges for park patrons only, as long as such facilities were located in a natural or historical resource-based park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park.</i> • <i>Pertaining to library facilities within a park, it was explained the concept was to move some storefront libraries into a park facility; change them to an electronic format and reduce the footprint for needed space.</i> 						
11A3 140909	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE RENEWAL AGREEMENTS FOR COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 FOR CURRENTLY FUNDED COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING SOCIAL SERVICE GRANTS AND TO DEVELOP A NEW COMPETITIVE PROCESS FOR THE AWARD OF SUCH GRANTS TO COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS IN COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016</p>						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes as the Board of County Commissioners' (BCC's) policy that social service grants for Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) remain funded in fiscal year 2014-2015 at a level to be determined through the County's fiscal year 2014-2015 budget process; • Directs the County Mayor or his designee to incorporate funding for CBOs into his proposed County fiscal year 2014-2015 budget; • In anticipation of the need in the community for social services provided by CBOs for fiscal year 2014-2015, directs the County Mayor or his designee to commence negotiations with currently funded CBOs to renew agreements for fiscal year 2014-2015, subject to subsequent BCC approval of all such grants and appropriation in sufficient amounts to fund such agreements in the County's fiscal year 2014-2015 budget; • Directs the County Mayor or his designee to establish a mechanism for receiving and reviewing outside community input regarding the CBOs funding priorities approved pursuant to Resolution No. 380-10, such as by holding workshops for public input; • Directs the County Mayor or his designee to develop an internal competitive process (Proposed Process) for the selection of CBOs to receive funding in County fiscal year 2015-2016 administered through the County's Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Grants Coordination division, and to present such process, prior to implementation, for review and approval by the BCC within sixty (60) days of the adoption of this item; and • Directs the County Mayor or his designee to include in the Proposed Process an implementation timeline, procedures governing the competitive process, service priority areas with percentage allocations, and a three-year funding cycle which includes a recommended approach for handling reductions or increases in available funding, subject to appropriation and funding by the County through the County's annual budget process. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #fce4d6;"><u>Additional Information- Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">2nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08</td> <td> <p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>April 8, 2008 R-420-08</td> <td> <p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Additional Information- Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process</u>		2 nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	<p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p>	April 8, 2008 R-420-08	<p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p>
<u>Additional Information- Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process</u>							
2 nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	<p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p>						
April 8, 2008 R-420-08	<p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p>						

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children’s Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc.</p> <p>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</p>
Dec. 19, 2008	<p>During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In developing its recommendations the CBOAB took into consideration community needs, an inventory of local funding of human, social, and criminal justice-related services from County and non-County sources, and current and past levels of funding from the County. Decisions were made utilizing a consensus-based process, and all final recommendations were adopted by a formal vote of the CBOAB members.</p>
May 5, 2009 R-541-09	<p>The BCC, through R-541-09, approved the CBO funding and RFP process, service priority areas, and percentage allocations for funding commencing FY 2010-11 (originally for funding commencing FY 2009-10).</p> <p>This resolution was based on the funding, contracting, and outcome measurement recommendations adopted by the CBOAB on December 19, 2008 and as amended on March 24, 2009, for funding commencing FY 2009-10.</p> <p>However, due to the County’s Fiscal Year 2009-10 budget process, the RFP was postponed and continuation contracts (with decreased allocations) were awarded instead.</p>
Sept. 1, 2009 R-1079-09	<p>The BCC, through R-1079-09, amending R-541-09, eliminated the previously-established cap on the maximum amount of funding that any one CBO may request in response to the 2009-2010 Human and Social Services CBOs Funding and RFP; and reinforces that despite the elimination of this cap, no single CBO will be awarded more than \$1 million through the RFP process.</p> <p><i>In other words, this resolution removed the \$1 million restriction on the amount requested, allowing an organization to request more than \$1 million; however, no organization would receive more than \$1 million.</i></p>
April 6, 2010 R-380-10	<p>The BCC authorized the advertisement and solicitation of RFP No. 0411, the RFP process for the funding of CBOs, with the majority of the CBOAB recommendations in place.</p> <p><i>In addition to approving the CBO funding process, R-380-10, also provided that in scoring the proposals submitted in response to the Human and Social Services CBO Funding RFP, extra points would be awarded to agencies offering services within the Magic City Zone.</i></p> <p><i>Further, an award of up to five extra points would be given to proposals with a clear and feasible plan to provide services to residents from: Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSA); Targeted Urban Areas (TUA); Enterprise Zone; Magic City Zone; or other statutorily-defined human and social services high risk/high need areas.</i></p>
<p>Subsequently, the CBOAB requested a three month and then a one year extension of the RFP process, continuing funding at the same level for those CBOs that previously received funding. The one year extension was until April 2012.</p>	
March 3, 2011 File No. 110349	<p>Miami-Dade County’s Legistar shows that the Office of Strategic Business Management placed a resolution under File No. 110349 on the March 3, 2011, BCC Agenda, awarding contracts under RFP No. 0411 for Human and Social Services CBO funding in an annualized amount not to exceed \$19,436,900; and authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to negotiate, execute, amend, extend and renew said contract. <u>This item was not assigned to a committee and did not come before the BCC.</u></p> <p><i>File No. 110349 states that ten Evaluation/Selection Committees comprised of 29 five member teams (145 committee members, eight non-voting chairpersons) were appointed to review 575 individual service proposals received from 308 community-based organizations in response to the RFP. However, as stated above, the drafted item never came before the BCC.</i></p>
FY 2011-12 FY 2012-13	<p>The CBO funding adopted for FY 2011-12 was \$20,166,932 and for FY 2012-13 was \$20,166,932, which continued funding at the same levels.</p>
Sept. 4, 2013 R-700-13	<p>R-700-13 imposes a twenty-five (25) percent cap (Cap) on the amount that any single CBO may receive from the County’s General Fund for its administrative budget, including but not limited to salaries, benefits and fringes of the CBO’s management personnel (i.e. executive directors, agency heads); overhead costs; and clerical or other</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<p>administrative personnel who do not directly provide the services required pursuant to contract with the County.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Dec. 3, 2013 R-1019-13</td> <td>R-1019-13 amended R-700-13 to delay enforcement and implementation of the Cap until the beginning of the next County fiscal year. The Cap will be imposed on Community-Based Organizations with contracts beginning on or after October 1, 2014. Any waiver of the Cap following October 1, 2014 pursuant to Resolution No. R-700-13 will require further action by the Board.</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>The Board directed the County Mayor or his designee to advise affected Community-Based Organizations that enforcement and implementation of the Cap has been delayed, and that the Cap will not be effective until October 1, 2014.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June 6, 2014 File No. 140909</td> <td>The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June 17, 2014 File No. 140909</td> <td>The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.</td> </tr> </table>	Dec. 3, 2013 R-1019-13	R-1019-13 amended R-700-13 to delay enforcement and implementation of the Cap until the beginning of the next County fiscal year. The Cap will be imposed on Community-Based Organizations with contracts beginning on or after October 1, 2014. Any waiver of the Cap following October 1, 2014 pursuant to Resolution No. R-700-13 will require further action by the Board.		The Board directed the County Mayor or his designee to advise affected Community-Based Organizations that enforcement and implementation of the Cap has been delayed, and that the Cap will not be effective until October 1, 2014.	June 6, 2014 File No. 140909	The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.	June 17, 2014 File No. 140909	The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.
Dec. 3, 2013 R-1019-13	R-1019-13 amended R-700-13 to delay enforcement and implementation of the Cap until the beginning of the next County fiscal year. The Cap will be imposed on Community-Based Organizations with contracts beginning on or after October 1, 2014. Any waiver of the Cap following October 1, 2014 pursuant to Resolution No. R-700-13 will require further action by the Board.								
	The Board directed the County Mayor or his designee to advise affected Community-Based Organizations that enforcement and implementation of the Cap has been delayed, and that the Cap will not be effective until October 1, 2014.								
June 6, 2014 File No. 140909	The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.								
June 17, 2014 File No. 140909	The proposed resolution was deferred to no date certain.								
11A4 141408	RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES SECRETARY OF STATE AND ALL OTHER RELEVANT FEDERAL AGENCIES TO INTERVENE AND SECURE THE PROMPT RELEASE AND SAFE RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES OF UNITED STATES MARINE RESERVE SERGEANT ANDREW TAHMOORESSI WHO IS CURRENTLY BEING HELD IN A MEXICAN JAIL								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution urges the United States Secretary of State and all other relevant federal agencies to intervene and secure the prompt release of United States Marine Corps Reserve Sergeant Andrew Tahmooressi from his unjust detention in a Mexican jail.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the action set forth in this item and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2014 Federal Legislative Package to include this item.</p>								
11A5 141410	RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO MAINTAIN FUNDING FOR THE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM AT NO LESS THAN THE 2014 LEVEL								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution urges Congress to maintain funding for the Home Investment Partnerships Program at no less than the 2014 level.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the passage of legislation as set forth in this item and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2014 Federal Legislative Package to include this item.</p>								
11A6 141009	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ESTABLISH AN ADVERTISEMENT CAMPAIGN UTILIZING EXISTING COUNTY CONTRACTS TO INFORM ELIGIBLE SMALL BUSINESSES AND ENCOURAGE THEM TO BECOME CERTIFIED FOR VARIOUS SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to establish an advertisement campaign utilizing existing county contracts to inform eligible small businesses and encourage them to become certified for various small business development programs.</p> <p>The proposed advertising campaign can utilize existing county contracts to reach the target audience at little or no cost to the County, including Miami-Dade TV, county mailers, circulators and eligible transit advertising (Metro Rail/Metro Mover/ Metro Bus as well as benches, facilities and shelters).</p>								
11A7 141046	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE INTER-LOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, AND MIAMI DADE COLLEGE TO SHARE SMALL BUSINESS VENDOR INFORMATION								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute Interlocal Agreement between the County, School Board, and College to share vendor information and improve County-wide small business certification and participation between the entities.</p> <p>The County, the School Board, and Miami Dade College want to establish a centralized database to share vender information.</p>								
11A8 141157	RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INVESTIGATE AND IF APPROPRIATE PREPARE A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY AND COST OF UPGRADING THE STREET LIGHTING SYSTEMS SERVING THE NORTH COUNTY STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to investigate and if appropriate prepare a report regarding the feasibility and cost of upgrading the street lighting systems serving the North County Street Lighting Improvement Special Taxing District.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee is to present the report to the BCC within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>Background On February 15, 1977, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Ordinance No. 77-08, creating the North County Street Lighting Improvement Special Taxing District.</p> <p>On January 29, 2002, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 02-12, providing a procedure for upgrading facilities and services within existing street lighting special taxing districts.</p>								

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A9 141171	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY AND UDG III OASIS, LLC REGARDING CONSTRUCTION OF LAKE VUE OASIS AFFORDABLE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER AMENDMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves Amendment 2 to the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (BBC GOB) Grant Agreement between the County and UDG III Oasis, LLC regarding construction of Lake Vue Oasis affordable single family residences.</p> <p>Amendment 2 to the Grant Agreement provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduces the minimum number of units to be built from forty (40) to twenty (24); • Makes the Total Funding Cycle allocation available provided that as the minimum number of units are built; and • Allows Grantee to adopt and implement a lease to own program for pre-qualified families who are in the process of finalizing their closing on one of the units, is approved. <p>Additional Information</p> <p>On January 22, 2009, the BCC, through R-84-09, approved the allocation of \$3,092,377 from Project No. 249 as a loan to UDG III Oasis, LLC to fund the development of the Lake Vue Oasis Single Family Project, consisting of the construction of forty (40) single family residences for low and moderate income families.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through R-758-10, amended R-84-09, to provide that the allocation of \$3,092,377 for the Lake Vue Oasis Project, be in the form of a grant rather than a loan.</p> <p>On July 16, 2013, the BCC, through R-634-13, approved Amendment No. 1 to the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Grant Agreement between the County and UDG III Oasis, LLC regarding the Lake Vue Oasis Project providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing the Phase 1 Grant proceeds to \$2,000,000; • Reducing the Phase II Grant proceeds to \$1,092,307; • Extending the completion date for Phase I to December 31, 2013; and • Amending the milestone for the disbursement of Phase II Grant proceeds.
11A10 141251	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AMEND THE TAXICAB ADVISORY GROUP REGULATIONS TO ESTABLISH A PERMANENT MEMBERSHIP SELECTION COMMITTEE OF THE TAXICAB ADVISORY GROUP, TO RECONSTITUTE THE TAXICAB ADVISORY GROUP, TO PROVIDE FOR TWO YEAR TERMS FOR THE TAXICAB ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS, GROUP, TO CREATE TERM LIMITS APPLICABLE TO EACH INDIVIDUAL MEMBER, AND TO PROVIDE FOR STAGGERED TERMS OF MEMBERSHIP
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to amend the Taxicab Advisory Group regulations to provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish a permanent membership selection committee of the Taxicab Advisory Group; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Form a permanent selection committee comprised of the Taxicab Advisory Group Chairperson, the For-Hire Division Chief and an appointee of the Chairperson of the Board of County Commissioners.</i> • Reconstitute the Taxicab Advisory Group; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The current Taxicab Advisory Group will be dissolved and a new group will be constituted with new consumer and industry group representatives that do not currently serve on the Taxicab Advisory Group and have not served as a member of the Taxicab Advisory Group within the past 2 years.</i> ○ <i>The new group will be constituted with seats 1 through 9 being reserved for industry or consumer representatives, and seats 10 through 13 for governmental or tourism board representatives as follows:</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consumer; 2. Consumer; 3. Taxicab Passenger Service Company Principal; 4. For-Hire Taxicab Medallion Holder Who Is Not An Owner-Driver; 5. For-Hire Taxicab Medallion Holder Who Is Not An Owner-Driver; 6. Full-Time Taxicab Chauffeur With No Interest In A Medallion; 7. Full-Time Taxicab Chauffeur With No Interest In A Medallion; 8. Full-Time Taxicab Chauffeur With No Interest In A Medallion; 9. Full-Time Taxicab Chauffeur Owner-Driver; 10. Port Of Miami Representative; 11. Miami International Airport Representative; 12. Office Of Americans With Disabilities Act Coordination Representative; and 13. Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau Representative. • Provide for two-year terms for the Taxicab Advisory Group members; • Create term limits applicable to each individual member; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Amend the regulations regarding term limits to apply term limits to industry or consumer representatives serving on seats 1 through 9 regardless of the specific seat being held with a maximum of two terms of service and no less than a 2-year gap before being able to be reappointed.</i> • Provide for staggered terms of membership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>In order to stagger the terms of seats 1-9, the Mayor or his designee will establish regulations that provide for this initial term of the reconstituted board that the odd-numbered seats (1, 3, 5, 7 and 9) will have initial terms of two years and the even-numbered seats (2, 4, 6, and 8) will have initial terms of one year, and all subsequent terms will be for two years.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background In 2003, pursuant to Section 31-84(a)(9) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, which allows the Consumer Services Department as part of their duties to create and render technical assistance to a for-hire vehicle advisory group comprised of representatives from consumers, the industry, transportation-related interests, municipalities and public interest organizations.</p> <p>Additional Information <i>At the June 11, 2014 Transportation and Aviation Committee meeting, several industry representatives voiced support for the proposed amendments; however, there were also some concerns and issues. What follows are some of the comments and/or concerns made:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What happens to the current TAG members?</i> • <i>In the past, the TAG was not seen as a representative body and thus taxicab drivers did not feel that their interest has been represented by that body. Propose that that selection committee members be customer or member of the government.</i> • <i>The term limits will dismantle the current board which is working through the summer to deal with the issue of Uber, etc.</i> • <i>This is defeating reform by creating a loophole for the present members to avoid those term limits and to stay on.</i> • <i>Requests were made to defer the item so that the current TAG members could review and make recommendations.</i> • <i>Concern that the existing members cannot serve on the new TAG, especially owners.</i> • <i>Loss of historical knowledge.</i> • <i>The existing chair will serve on the initial selection committee.</i> <p><i>In addition, at the June 11, 2014 Transportation and Aviation Committee, staff was directed to prepare the Implementation Order (IO) to come before the BCC. The IO will address but will not be limited to rules for when taxicab drivers do not show up.</i></p>
11A11 141459	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT A POLICY FOR SENDING A LETTER TO THE OWNER OF REAL PROPERTY WHEN A COMPLAINT IS RECEIVED OF A COUNTY CODE VIOLATION BUT AN INSPECTION FAILS TO REVEAL THE VIOLATION [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140964]
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to implement a policy for the Neighborhood Enforcement Division, which generally enforces Chapter 19 and Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, in the RU and EU zoning districts, that requires a letter to be sent to the registered owner of property notifying that owner of the nature of a complaint received by the County in those instances where a complaint from a citizen results in an inspection of a property but that inspection does not reveal the complained of violation of the County Code or any other violation of the County Code.
11A12 141239	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE LICENSED SOCIAL WORKERS AND LICENSED MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS EMPLOYED BY THE COUNTY WITH GRIEF COUNSELING TRAINING AND TO CREATE A GRIEF COUNSELING COMMUNITY PROGRAM TO HELP COMMUNITIES IMPACTED BY MURDER, IN COORDINATION WITH THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY RELATIONS BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140872]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to compile a list of licensed social workers and licensed mental health professionals employed by the County, to provide participating licensed social workers and licensed mental health professionals already employed by the County with training in community grief counseling; and in accordance with the provisions of any applicable labor union agreements, to develop a Grief Counseling Community Program similar to the Goodwill Ambassadors Program, comprised of the County's licensed social workers and licensed mental health professionals to help communities where murders have taken place, in coordination with the Miami-Dade County Community Relations Board.</p> <p><i>The proposed substitute differs from the original in that it renames the proposed program as the Grief Counseling Community Program.</i></p> <p>The Miami-Dade County Community Relations Board is an advisory board whose duties include working proactively to identify and relieve intergroup conflicts before crisis[es] arise and to assure that resources are in place to respond to community crisis.</p> <p>Additional Information <i>At the June 9, 2014 Health and Social Services Committee meeting, it was clarified that this would be a volunteer program. According to the County Attorney's Office, the item compares this program and directs the development of this program to be similar to the Goodwill Ambassador Program. If an employee volunteers outside their regular work hours, part of that time will be volunteer and part of that time, would not be compensated but would receive administrative leave.</i></p>
11A13 141371	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN WITHIN SIXTY DAYS FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO NOTIFY THE PUBLIC OF RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES PASSED OR ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140879)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to develop a plan within sixty (60) days on methods, other than the County's web portal, that will be utilized to notify the public of new ordinances and resolutions adopted or passed by the Board of County Commissioners.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee is to provide a report directly to the full Board exempt from committee review on the details of the plan within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution.</p> <p><i>The proposed resolution differs from the original in that it includes a reporting requirement (90 days) to the full BCC on the details of the plan.</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																														
	The proposed resolution is in response to the community expressing concern that residents are not receiving timely notification of new resolutions and ordinances.																																																														
11A14 140931	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT ON THE ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND ITS EFFECTS ON THE LOCAL ECONOMY																																																														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to prepare a comprehensive report on the Enterprise Zone Program in Miami-Dade County and its effects on the local economy.</p> <p>The report should include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statistical data on the effectiveness of the Enterprise Zone Program within Miami-Dade County; • Case studies of both particular areas and particular businesses that have benefited from the Enterprise Zone Program within Miami-Dade County; • A Return-on-Investment analysis showing the impact of Enterprise Zone incentives on the local Miami-Dade County economy; and • Recommendations on what statutory changes the Legislature should consider to improve the Enterprise Zone Program in the process of reauthorizing the Program. <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to provide the report to the Board for committee review within 120 days of the effective date of the resolution.</p> <p>Additional Information - The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) Report <u>Florida Legislature, Florida Economic Development Program Evaluations – Year 1, Report 14-01, Jan. 1, 2014</u> <i>The 1982 Legislature created the Florida Enterprise Zone Program to provide incentives to induce private investments in economically distressed areas of the state. The program targets areas that chronically display extreme and unacceptable levels of unemployment, physical deterioration, and economic disinvestment. The program has several goals including revitalizing and rehabilitating distressed areas, stimulating employment among area residents, and enhancing economic and social well-being in the areas. To achieve these goals, the state, county, and municipal governments provide investments, tax incentives, and local government regulatory relief to encourage businesses to invest and locate in designated zones and residents to improve their property. State incentives include job and corporate income tax credits as well as sales tax refunds.</i></p> <p><u>Program Performance</u> <i>To more closely examine Enterprise Zone Program performance, OPPAGA sought to gauge changes in economic outcomes and participation by businesses in five selected enterprise zones. OPPAGA considered a range of factors when selecting our sample, including incentive amount, population, and urban/rural geography. The five zones are Gulf County, Jacksonville, Miami-Dade County, Okeechobee County, and Tallahassee/Leon County. In Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2011-12, the five zones received sales and use tax credits and refunds totaling \$73.8 million; this represents 66.5% of the incentives received statewide during the period. Miami-Dade County received the most incentives, \$68.2 million, while Gulf County received the least, \$477,633. The most frequently used incentive among the five counties was the sales tax refund for building materials, which totaled \$61.6 million. (See Exhibit 8-4.)</i></p> <p>Exhibit 8-4 Business in Five Enterprise Zones Received \$73.8 million in Incentives in Fiscal Years 2009-20 through 2011-12*</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Enterprise Zone</th> <th colspan="2">Jobs Tax Credits</th> <th colspan="2">Refunds for Building Material Used</th> <th colspan="2">Refunds for Business Machinery Used</th> <th rowspan="2">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Business</th> <th>Incentive</th> <th>Business/ Individuals</th> <th>Incentive</th> <th>Business</th> <th>Incentive</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Miami-Dade County</td> <td>102</td> <td>\$ 7,378,945</td> <td>64</td> <td>\$59,490,547</td> <td>81</td> <td>\$1,302,308</td> <td>\$68,171,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jacksonville</td> <td>20</td> <td>\$ 775,369</td> <td>33</td> <td>\$ 478,085</td> <td>28</td> <td>\$ 650,941</td> <td>\$ 1,904,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Okeechobee County</td> <td>19</td> <td>\$ 1,584,294</td> <td>8</td> <td>\$ 34,083</td> <td>6</td> <td>\$ 34,241</td> <td>\$ 1,652,528</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tallahassee/Leon Cty</td> <td>5</td> <td>\$ 17,447</td> <td>52</td> <td>\$ 1,518,649</td> <td>11</td> <td>\$ 56,984</td> <td>\$ 1,593,080</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gulf County</td> <td>17</td> <td>\$ 421,779</td> <td>19</td> <td>\$ 51,426</td> <td>5</td> <td>\$ 4,428</td> <td>\$ 477,633</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>163</td> <td>\$10,177,744</td> <td>176</td> <td>\$61,572,790</td> <td>131</td> <td>\$2,048,902</td> <td>\$73,799,436</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>* The figures presented do not include credits taken against Florida corporate income taxes because the Department of Revenue does not track these incentives for individual enterprise zones. Source: OPPAGA analysis of Department of Revenue data.</i></p> <p><i>The only Enterprise Zone Program incentive that is directly linked to employment is the Job Tax Credit. The incentive is available to businesses located in a zone that pay Florida sales and use or corporate income taxes; businesses are granted tax credits for new employees who have been employed for at least three months and are zone residents or residents of a rural county in rural enterprise zones. In Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2011-12, 163 businesses in the five selected zones received job tax credits totaling \$10.2 million. These businesses hired 2,517 new employees. Miami-Dade County claimed the most credits, totaling \$7.4 million for 1,837 jobs.</i></p>	Enterprise Zone	Jobs Tax Credits		Refunds for Building Material Used		Refunds for Business Machinery Used		Total	Business	Incentive	Business/ Individuals	Incentive	Business	Incentive	Miami-Dade County	102	\$ 7,378,945	64	\$59,490,547	81	\$1,302,308	\$68,171,800	Jacksonville	20	\$ 775,369	33	\$ 478,085	28	\$ 650,941	\$ 1,904,395	Okeechobee County	19	\$ 1,584,294	8	\$ 34,083	6	\$ 34,241	\$ 1,652,528	Tallahassee/Leon Cty	5	\$ 17,447	52	\$ 1,518,649	11	\$ 56,984	\$ 1,593,080	Gulf County	17	\$ 421,779	19	\$ 51,426	5	\$ 4,428	\$ 477,633	Total	163	\$10,177,744	176	\$61,572,790	131	\$2,048,902	\$73,799,436
Enterprise Zone	Jobs Tax Credits		Refunds for Building Material Used		Refunds for Business Machinery Used		Total																																																								
	Business	Incentive	Business/ Individuals	Incentive	Business	Incentive																																																									
Miami-Dade County	102	\$ 7,378,945	64	\$59,490,547	81	\$1,302,308	\$68,171,800																																																								
Jacksonville	20	\$ 775,369	33	\$ 478,085	28	\$ 650,941	\$ 1,904,395																																																								
Okeechobee County	19	\$ 1,584,294	8	\$ 34,083	6	\$ 34,241	\$ 1,652,528																																																								
Tallahassee/Leon Cty	5	\$ 17,447	52	\$ 1,518,649	11	\$ 56,984	\$ 1,593,080																																																								
Gulf County	17	\$ 421,779	19	\$ 51,426	5	\$ 4,428	\$ 477,633																																																								
Total	163	\$10,177,744	176	\$61,572,790	131	\$2,048,902	\$73,799,436																																																								
11A15 141238	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COMMISSION AUDITOR TO COMPLETE BACKGROUND RESEARCH ON APPLICANTS BEING CONSIDERED TO SERVE ON COUNTY BOARDS AND TRUSTS THAT REQUIRE NOMINATIONS AND/OR APPOINTMENTS BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND TO PREPARE A REPORT DETAILING THE FINDINGS OF SAID RESEARCH; DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE BOARD TO PROVIDE THE COMMISSION AUDITOR WITH THE APPLICANTS' NAMES AND TO PLACE THE REPORT ON THE AGENDA OR AS A SUPPLEMENT																																																														

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	TO THE AGENDA
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the Clerk of the Board to provide the Commission Auditor with names of all applicants who will be considered by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), to be nominated and/or appointed to any County Board and/or trust prior to the BCC's vote; • Directs the Commission Auditor to complete background research on any individual being considered to serve on County boards and trusts that require nominations and/or appointments by the BCC and to prepare a report detailing the findings of said research; and • Directs the Clerk of the Board to place the Commission Auditor's report on the commission agenda or as a supplement to the related agenda item. <p>The background research includes the review of public records and other sources of information, in print, on the internet, or through other means of communication, that are publicly available on all applicants being considered to serve on County boards and trusts that require nominations and/or appointments by the BCC.</p> <p>Additional Information Currently, OCA conducts the following background checks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On March 3, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-29, the BCC amended Section 2-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), requesting that OCA complete background research, reviewing public records and other sources of information, in print, on the internet, or through other means of communication, that are publicly available, on any person, organization, place or thing that is the subject of a naming, renaming or co-designation item or an item approving the co-designation of state or municipal roads, and shall prepare a report detailing the findings of said research prior to the Commission meeting during which the item is scheduled to be considered.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>FY 2012-13- The OCA provided 22 Supplements to BCC agenda items; and</i> ○ <i>FY-2013-14- The OCA provided 39 Supplements to BCC agenda items.</i> • <i>May 6, 2014, under Resolution No. 449-14, the BCC directed OCA to conduct background checks on members serving on evaluation/selection committees.</i>
11A18 141156	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN FOR THE CREATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A SYSTEM TO ALLOW PAYMENT OF LIBRARY FINES, RENTALS, AND OTHER FEES THROUGH THE LIBRARY'S INTERNET SITE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to develop a plan for the creation and implementation of a user-friendly online payment system for all library fines, rental payments, and other fees described in Implementing Order 4-97, Library Fees, or in other Library rules, and, if feasible, a compatible smartphone application, accessible by the public.</p> <p>The plan should address the timing, capabilities, method of implementation, and costs associated with the creation and implementation of this online payment system. Furthermore, the Mayor or his designee is to develop and present the plan to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for review within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this resolution.</p>
11A19 141472	RESOLUTION EXERCISING THE RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL TO PURCHASE APPROXIMATELY 20 ACRES OF LAND PURSUANT TO DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS DATED JULY 8, 1987 AND RECORDED IN COUNTY'S OFFICIAL RECORD BOOK 13359 PAGES 1986-1989 ("DECLARATION") AND PURSUANT TO THAT RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL AGREEMENT DATED MAY, 2014; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTION, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY, TO COMPLETE THE ACQUISITION OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 11175 SW 80 STREET, MIAMI, FL, FROM THE HAVEN CENTER, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,450,000 TO BE FUNDED FROM IMPACT FEES AND BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANDING KENDALL INDIAN HAMMOCKS PARK AND CREATION OF A LOCAL PARK; AND APPROVING THE REMOVAL OF THE DECLARATION ON THE PROPERTY AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution exercises the right of first refusal to purchase approximately 20 acres of property located at approximately 11175 SW 80th Street, Miami, Florida adjacent to Kendall Indian Hammocks Park, such right being exercised pursuant to the Declaration and that tri-party Right of First Refusal Agreement approved by this Board on May 6, 2014.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute all documents and take all actions necessary to purchase the property located at 11175 SW 80 Street, Miami, FL from Haven Center, Inc. in the amount of \$7,450,000 to be funded from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 39 – "Kendall Indian Hammocks Park" in the amount of \$4,450,000 and from impact fees in the amount of \$3,000,000.</p>
11A20 141253	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NOTICE AND HOLD ONE OR MORE SUNSHINE MEETINGS TO DISCUSS PENDING NEGOTIATIONS REGARDING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND A PROFESSIONAL SPORTS FRANCHISE OR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, IMPROVEMENT, OPERATION, MANAGEMENT OR FINANCING OF A PROFESSIONAL SPORTS FACILITY PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ITEM APPROVING NECESSARY AGREEMENTS ON AN AGENDA FOR COMMISSION COMMITTEE OR COMMISSION CONSIDERATION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution Directs the County Mayor or his designee to schedule and hold one or more meetings in accordance with the Sunshine Law to discuss pending negotiations regarding agreements between the County and a professional sports franchise or the proposed development, improvement, operation, management or financing of a professional sports facility prior to placement of an item approving necessary agreements on an agenda for Commission committee or Commission consideration.</p> <p>Prior to scheduling an ordinance or resolution for consideration by the Commission or a Commission committee, upon request to place such</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 1, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>items on an appropriate agenda, the Chairperson of the Commission (Chairperson) will notify the Mayor to schedule the meeting in accordance with the Sunshine Law.</p> <p>Upon being notified by the Mayor or his designee of completion of the public meeting, the Chairperson, will schedule the ordinance or resolution contemplated by this section for consideration by a Commission Committee or the Commission, as appropriate, in accordance with the Board's Rules of Procedure.</p> <p>This resolution will be construed as directory only, and failure to comply with the provisions of this resolution will not affect the validity of any ordinance, resolution or action of the Commission in whole or in part.</p>