

Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

May 19, 2015 9:30 A.M. Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA Commission Auditor 111 NW First Street, Suite 1030 Miami, Florida 33128 305-375-4354

Item No.		Resea	arch Notes		
4A 150909	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CO OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN SOCIAL EQUITY STATEMENT REGARDING PROPOSED COUNTY ORDINANCE AND PLACE SUCH STATEMENT ON AN AGENDA WITH THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE PRIOR TO PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ORDINANCE; SETTING FORTH EXCEPTIONS; REQUIRING THE MAYOR TO TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS AFTER PROVISION OF SOCIAL EQUITY STATEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE				
Notes		rding any proposed county ordinance and p	Dade County Code requiring the County Mayor provide a written social equity lace such statement on an agenda with the proposed ordinance prior to public		
	(t) SOCIAL EQU	ITY STATEMENT REQUIRED FOR ORDINANC	ES; EXCEPTIONS.		
	non-monetary burdens would identifying the ordinance is ad (e.g., geograph	benefits and burdens of the policy to be imp be distributed throughout the community, possible increase or decrease in monetary lopted and describing how those increased	or shall prepare a written social equity statement (a) identifying the possible plemented by the proposed ordinance and describing how those benefits and (e.g., geographically, demographically, by income levels, etc.) and (b) costs anticipated to be borne by the residents of the County if the proposed or decreased monetary costs would be distributed throughout the community, etc.) ("social equity statement"). No public hearing on any ordinance shall be the ordinance as part of the agenda.		
	(2) If the Mayor initially determines that an ordinance has no social equity impact, but later determines that the ordinance does have a social equity impact (such as during the process of implementation), then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Additionally, if, due to the social equity impact of an ordinance, the Mayor has not fully implemented all or any part of the program or policy provided for in an ordinance within one year of the effective date, or such other date as set forth in the ordinance, then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this rule shall be construed to authorize the Mayor not to comply with the policy direction contained in an ordinance without Board approval.				
			uity statement for budget or emergency ordinances.		
4B 151069	ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XXXI OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO LOCALLY ADOPT THE SPIRIT UNDERLYING TH PRINCIPLES OF THE CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN, AN INTERNATIONAL TREATY; AMENDING SECTION 2-477 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO AUTHORIZE THE COMMISSION AUDITOR TO GATHER DATA REGARDING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, HEALTH AND SAFETY, AND EDUCATION OF WOMEN IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AMENDING SECTION 2-269 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO AUTHORIZE THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; WOMEN TO ANALYZE SUCH DATA AND TO REPORT TO THIS BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECT				
Notes	convention on t section 2-477 o and safety, and	the elimination of all forms of discrimination of the Miami-Dade County Code to authorize I education of women in Miami-Dade County	Dade County Code to locally adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the n against women, an international treaty. The proposed ordinance also amends the Commission Auditor to gather data regarding economic development, health y. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends section 2-269 of the Miami-Dade sion for Women to analyze such data and report to the Board.		
		Cor	de of Miami-Dade County		
			Article XXXI Section 2-271		
		Convention on the Eliminat	ion of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women		
	Section	Current	Proposed		
	Section Sec. 2-271	Current N/A	Proposed It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying		
	-		It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (commonly referred to as "CEDAW" and hereinafter referred to as "Convention"). The Convention, a human rights treaty adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1979, aims to eliminate all discrimination against women around the world, including but not limited to in the areas		
	Sec. 2-271		It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (commonly referred to as "CEDAW" and hereinafter referred to as "Convention"). The Convention, a human rights treaty adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1979, aims to eliminate all discrimination against		

Here the	Research Notes				
Item No.	Authority				
	Authority	with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:			
	Authority	with the following responsibilities on behalf of	 Commission: 10. To make periodic reports to the Commission which shall include, but not be limited to, the following: a. To determine whether departments, agencies and entities of the County have complied with the fiscal and legislative policies of the County including, but not limited to, the County's credit rating; c. To report matters and make recommendations concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of programs and the operation of the County; and d. To be empowered to take exception to improper specific expenditures incurred by any County department, agency or entity; and 11. In furtherance of the Commission's policy set forth in Section 2-271 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Office of the Commission Auditor shall gather and provide Gender Equity Data, as such term is defined in Section 2-271 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Commission for Women in the categories enumerated below. a. Economic Development: As compared to men both within Miami-Dade County government and throughout Miami-Dade County, the numbers of women employed by industry, serving in high-level or executive positions, serving in low-wage positions, serving as heads-of-household, living in poverty; how salaries compare for men and women employed in the same or similar positions; gender balance on County advisory boards; and any other metrics or information deemed relevant and reasonably accessible by the Office of the Commission Auditor. b. Health and Safety: Infant mortality and birth rates in Miami-Dade County; as compared to men, the number of women and girls falling victim to sexual exploitation and human trafficking; the number of women and girls with health insurance, with health conditions such as hypertension, with life-threatening conditions such as hypertension, with section and trying to prevent instances of domestic violence; Miami-Dade County; government resources directed specifically at women and girls; and any other metrics or information deemed		
			financial statement audits, and the resolution of audit findings. The Commission Auditor shall also work toward the elimination of		
			duplicative audit work through cooperation with state, federal and		

	Research Notes				
Item No.	Research Notes				
			external auditors, and the Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts when the Clerk is performing as auditor under Article V, Section 16 of the Florida Constitution and general laws of the State of Florida.		
	Sec. 2-269 Duties and powers of the Commission	(h)To perform such other duties as may from time to time be assigned to it by resolution of the County Commission.	(h) To analyze Gender Equity Data provided annually by the Commission Auditor, pursuant to Section 2-477 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, regarding the economic development, health and safety, and education of women in Miami-Dade County and to report the Commission for Women's analysis and recommendations based on Gender Equity Data annually to the County Mayor and to the County Commission, and to make the Commission for Women's report available to the public.		
			(i) To perform such other duties as may from time to time be assigned to it by resolution of the County Commission.		
	On December 1 General Assemi tenth anniversa The Convention established in 1 bringing to light several declarat	oly. It entered into force as an international treaty ry of the Convention in 1989, almost one hundred was the culmination of more than thirty years of 946 to monitor the situation of women and to pro- all the areas in which women are denied equality	All Forms of Discrimination against Women: Forms of Discrimination against Women was adopted by the United Nations on September 3, 1981 after the twentieth country had ratified it. By the I nations have agreed to be bound by its provisions. work by the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, a body mote women's rights. The Commission's work has been instrumental in with men. These efforts for the advancement of women have resulted in the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women is the		
	focus of human human rights, ir meaning of equ	rights concerns. The spirit of the Convention is ro n the dignity, and worth of the human person, in t	akes an important place in bringing the female half of humanity into the oted in the goals of the United Nations: to reaffirm faith in fundamental he equal rights of men and women. The present document spells out the Convention establishes not only an international bill of rights for women, ment of those rights.		
4C 151096	ORDINANCE RE OF MIAMI-DAD	LATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BO	ARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE DDITIONAL INFORMATION IN STATEMENTS OF FISCAL IMPACT REQUIRED		
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code, requiring inclusion of additional information in statement of fiscal impact required for ordinances.				
		2	Aiami-Dade County Section 2-1 ure of County Commission		
	Section	Current	Proposed		
	Sec. 2-1(s) Statements of Fiscal	(1) Prior to the public hearing of any ordinance, the Mayor shall prepare a written statement setting forth the fiscal impact, if any, of the proposed ordinance. No public	(1) Prior to the public hearing of any ordinance, the Mayor shall prepare a written statement setting forth the fiscal impact, if any, of the proposed ordinance. The fiscal impact statement shall at a minimum contain the following information: a description of the		
	Impact Required for Ordinances; Exceptions	hearing on any ordinance shall be held, if the statement of fiscal impact is not submitted with the ordinance as part of the agenda. The provision of this rule shall not apply to any emergency ordinance or any budget ordinance.	anticipated increase or decrease of expenditures (by component as listed in Section 2-1795(b) of the Code) and revenues for the current and subsequent fiscal year, if any; a description and projected dollar value of anticipated expenditures that will be absorbed within existing resources and/or departmental expenditure allocations for the current fiscal year, if any; a description of subsequent		
		(2) If the Mayor initially determines that an ordinance has no fiscal impact, but later determines that the ordinance does have a fiscal impact (such as during the process of implementation), then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Additionally, if, due to the fiscal impact, the Mayor has not fully implemented all or any part of the program or policy provided for in an ordinance within one year of the effective date, or such other date as set forth in the	governmental actions that will be required in order to determine anticipated future revenues and expenditures, if any, including, but not limited to, receipt of any federal and state grant funds and approval of proposed new fees or increases to existing fees; a forecast, covering a period of no less than five years from the expected effective date of the proposed legislation, of the anticipated long-term fiscal implication of the proposed legislation, if any; in cases where risk factors or other variables that may impact future revenues or expenditures are uncertain, volatile, or difficult to project, a description of such risk factors or variables and an estimate or projection of anticipated or projected impacts to revenues and expenditures; a description of all assumptions used to project the		

Itom No.	Research Notes			
Item No.	Research Notes ordinance, then the Mayor shall so advise the fiscal impact of the proposed legislation and to estimate anticipated			
	Board in a memorandum. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this rule shall be construed to authorize the Mayor not to comply with the policy direction contained in an ordinance without Board approval.			
	impact (such as during the process of implementation), then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Additionally, if, due to the fiscal impact, the Mayor has not fully implemented all or any part of the program or policy provided for in an ordinance within one year of the effective date, or such other date as set forth in the ordinance, then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this rule shall be construed to authorize the Mayor not to comply with the policy direction contained in an ordinance without Board approval.			
	Additional Information:			
	 On June 5, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-730-09, required the current information regarding particular funding sources be presented to the Board at the time legislation designating use of such funding source was to be considered. During the BCC meeting, an amendment was proposed and adopted to specify that the memorandum that accompanies legislation which designates the use of a particular funding source will identify: For each such funding source the index code and sub-object code (or if index codes and subobject codes are not used by the applicable department, the Fund, Subfund, and Project Number). The available balance of such index code and sub-object code, or if applicable, Fund, Subfund and Project Number. The available capacity using reasonable interest rates assumptions if the issuance of debt is contemplated. This information would be supplemented by the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee when it is necessary, to update such information prior to the Board's final consideration of the legislation. Additionally, during the BCC meeting the following was discussed: The CAO advised that the proposed legislation would provide the available balance in the requested funding source and that the proposed amendment did not change the information regarding County debt. 			
	 The CAO advised that this proposed resolution would apply to any proposed legislation that would designate the use of a particular funding source, including procurement items. She explained that all County expenditures were charged to the applicable index code, subobject code, or character code, that this proposed resolution required that these codes be identified in each legislation with their available balance, and that a bank account balance would not be identified. 			
	 The Commission pointed out that the City of Miami and the State of Florida Legislature had implemented the same practice. The Budget Director for the Office of Strategic Business Management explained that the proposed amendment would not provide the Commission with the most accurate information in a way that the Commission would understand. The Budget Director explained that available revenues and available appropriation authority were different, which was why the County Administration wanted the verbiage in this proposal more defined. County Manager stressed that the County Administration was concerned with providing the Commission with information that would accomplish the intent of this proposal. 			
4D 151068	ORDINANCE RELATING TO SOLICITATION OR COLLECTION IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY; CREATING SECTION 21-36.3 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ENACTING PROHIBITIONS ON SOLICITATION OR COLLECTION AT DESIGNATED HIGH TRAFFIC OR HIGH ACCIDENT INTERSECTIONS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	The proposed ordinance, relating to the solicitation or collection in the public right-of-way, creates section 21-36.3 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Specifically, the proposed ordinance enacts prohibitions on solicitation or collection at designated high traffic or high accident intersections.			
	Section 1. Section 21-36.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, is hereby created as follows: Sec. 21-36.3 Roadway solicitation or collection; applicable area; prohibition at enumerated roadways; penalty for section violation.			
	(a) Solicitor or collector shall mean any person who sells or offers for sale any thing or any service of any kind, or who seeks to solicit or collect any donation of any kind, or who personally hands to or seeks to transmit by hand or receive by hand any thing or service of any kind, who personally hands to or seeks to transmit by hand or receive by hand any thing or service of any kind, whether or not payment in exchange is required or requested, to any person or organization who operates or occupies a motor vehicle of any kind, which vehicle is engaged in travel on or within any portion of any of the streets or roadways in the county, whether or not such vehicle is temporarily stopped in the travel lanes of the road. The term shall not apply to any person who merely holds or displays a sign lawfully permitted to be displayed by a person, as long as there is no entry by such person or sign into any portion of the roadway			

Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes Research Notes
item no.	or its median. Further, this term shall not apply to official citations or notices provided pursuant to governmental authority.
	(b) Applicable area. This section shall be applicable only in the unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County.
	(c) Prohibited roadways. No solicitor or collector may stand or walk within any public right-of-way, including sidewalks, bike paths, and medians within 250 feet of the following intersections for any of the purposes listed in section 21-36.3(a). Two-hundred fifty (250) feet is measured from the lateral curb or boundary line of the below listed intersections:
	(1) SW 137th Avenue & SW 88th Street (2) SW 127th Avenue & SW 88th Street (3) SW 157th Avenue & SW 88th Street
	(4) SW 137th Avenue & SW 104th Street (5) SW 137th Avenue & SW 56th Street
	(6) SW 137th Avenue & SW 120th Street (7) SW 137th Avenue & SW 152nd Street
	(d) Revisions. The Board of County Commissioners shall have the continuing authority to update, amend, or revise the list of intersections enumerated in subsection (c) by resolution as often as needed, but must update the list every ten (10) years at a minimum from the effective date of this ordinance.
	(e) Chapter 316, Florida Statutes. The enforcement of this section shall not, in any way, preclude the enforcement of Fla. Stat. § 316.130(3)-(5), as applicable.
	(f) Enforcement. It shall be the duty of all County officers to enforce the provisions of this section. Any person who violates this section shall be prosecuted before a court of competent jurisdiction and subject, upon conviction, to the penalties listed in section 21-36.3(g) and within the discretion of the county judge.
	(g) Penalties. Any person convicted of a violation of the provisions of this section may be punished by: (1) A first violation of this section: a fine of not more than thirty dollars (\$30.00) (2) Subsequent violations of this section: a fine of no less than fifty dollars (\$50.00) and no more than five hundred dollars (\$500.00).
	Additional Information: This item only pertains to intersections within District 11.
4E 151095	ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PUBLIC FACILITIES REVENUE BONDS (JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM) PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 05-49 IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$26,000,000.00, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, FOR PURPOSES, TOGETHER WITH OTHER AVAILABLE MONEYS OF PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, IF ANY, OF PAYING OR REIMBURSING PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST FOR COSTS OF CERTAIN CAPITAL ADDITIONS TO PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST FACILITIES, FUNDING DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUND AND PAYING COSTS OF ISSUANCE, INCLUDING COSTS OF CREDIT FACILITY AND RESERVE FACILITY, IF ANY; PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL BE SECURED BY AND PAYABLE FROM GROSS REVENUES OF PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST ON PARITY WITH OTHER OUTSTANDING PUBLIC FACILITIES REVENUE BONDS (JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM); PROVIDING THAT DETAILS OF SAID BONDS BE DETERMINED IN ONE OR MORE SUBSEQUENT RESOLUTIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance approves the issuance of \$26 million of additional Miami-Dade County, Florida Public Facilities Revenue Bonds (Jackson Health System), Series 2015 (2015 Bonds) pursuant to Section 2.09 of Ordinance 05-49 enacted by the Board on March 1, 2005 and supplemented by Ordinance 09-49 enacted by the Board on June 30, 2009 (Master Ordinance).
	 The 2015 Ordinance provides for the authorization of 2015 Bond proceeds to be utilized for the following purposes: Provide proceeds, together with other available moneys of the Public Health Trust (Trust), if any, for paying or reimbursing the Trust for costs of all or a portion of the projects;
	 Provide for the funding of any deposits, if necessary, to be made with respect to the 2015 Bonds into the Debt Service Reserve Fund or provide for a Reserve Facility with respect to the 2015 Bonds; and Paying cost of issuance of the 2015 Bonds, including without limitation, the cost of any premiums for municipal bond insurance and any Reserve Facility related to the 2015 Bonds.
	The 2015 Ordinance further provides that the terms, maturities, interest rates and other bond related details for each series of 2015 Bonds be established in a Series Resolution to be approved by the Board prior to the issuance of each series of 2015 Bonds.
	 Pursuant to the Master Ordinance, the County has issued: \$148,535,000 Public Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, of which all remain outstanding; \$151,465,000 Public Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005B, of which \$113,830,000 remain outstanding; and \$83,315,000 Public Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, of which \$77,360,000 remain outstanding.
	Collectively, the outstanding amounts of the bonds issued constitute the Outstanding Bonds, which total \$339,725,000. Currently, \$25.4 million of the total Outstanding Bonds remain as Unspent Bonds.

Research Notes				
Item No.	Research Notes			
	In order to take advantage of lower interest rates and achieve significant debt service savings, currently estimated at a net present value of \$34.4 million, the Trust is proposing to refund all its Outstanding Bonds. It is important to note that to effectuate the proposed refunding, defeasance and redemption of all the Outstanding Bonds, Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Edwards & Associates, bond counsel for the proposed refunding, advised that for federal income tax purposes it would be in the best interest of the County to allocate the Unspent Proceeds toward the refunding, defeasance and redemption of the Outstanding Bonds. Therefore, the approval of the 2015 Ordinance is necessary to authorize the issuance of new money bonds to replace the Unspent Proceeds, which will be used to fund the projects authorized by the 2005 and 2009 bonds. The 2015 Bonds will be issued as additional bonds on parity with the Outstanding Bonds pursuant to section 2.09 of the Master Ordinance. A separate Series Resolution will come before the Board requesting to refund all Outstanding Bonds.			
	The 2015 Projects to be funded from the proceeds of the 2015 Bonds are the same projects authorized by Board pursuant to the Master Ordinance.			
	Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The 2015 Bonds will be a special limited obligation of the County payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Pledged Revenues of the Trust as provided in the Master Ordinance. Pledged Revenues are defined as Gross Revenues of the Trust and all moneys and investments on deposit to the funds and accounts established under the Master Ordinance. The Master Ordinance provides added security in the form of a County covenant to annually budget and appropriate from legally available non-ad valorem revenues, which are funds necessary to replenish any draws in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. The covenant provides the Trust with a stronger credit, which could result in lower financing cost for the 2015 Bonds. The 2015 Bonds will be issued to replace unspent proceeds (Unspent Proceeds) from the Outstanding Bonds, which are explained in the Background Section of this transmittal memorandum, and fund the projects that were expected to be completed with the Unspent Proceeds.			
	Additional Information: On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-44, created the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory Committee for the purpose of advising the County Commission, Public Health Trust and Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program.			
	 During the BCC meeting on May 6, 2014, the proposed ordinance was amended as follows: The first two (2) sentences of Section 2-2131(b) should read: 			
	 The first two (2) sentences of section 2-2131(1) should read: The Conflict of Interest Ordinance shall be applicable as determined by the Commission on Ethics to the Members of the Advisory Committee. Moreover, neither Members of the Advisory Committee nor their employers nor corporations in which they hold an ownership stake may, as determined by the Commission on Ethics, obtain, seek or bid on projects at Public Health Trust Designated Facilities, whether funded by the Bond Program or other sources, during the Advisory Committee Member's term on the Advisory Committee or for two (2) years after said individual leaves Advisory Committee membership. Members of the Advisory Committee may not as determined by the Commission on Ethics lobby members of the Public Health Trust Board of Trustees or Jackson Health System employees during service on the Advisory Committee. 			
8A1 150708	RESOLUTION APPROVING FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH RICONDO & ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY'S SYSTEM OF PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS, INCREASING THE AGREEMENT AMOUNT BY \$401,000.00, FOR NEW TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$1,226,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN			
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the First Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement (Agreement) with Ricondo & Associates , Inc. (Ricondo) for Aviation Planning Services for the County's System of Airports, increasing the Agreement amount by \$401,000.00 for an adjusted Agreement amount of \$1,226,000.00. Ricondo is the current provider of Strategic Airport Master Planning Services for MDAD and has an overall performance average of 4.0			
	(Superior) in the Capital Improvements Information System. Contract Measures: CBE goal 15% (\$123,441.00) Contract Measures Achieved At Award: 15% (\$123,441.00)			
	CBE Subconsultants: M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50) F.R. Aleman & Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50)			

Research Notes							
ltem No.	Research Notes						
	Contract Measures Achieved To Date: 5% CBE goal to date (\$36,375.00); Contract is 87% complete (\$715,220.00) F.R. Aleman and Associates: 0%; and M.C. Harry to date: 5% (\$36,375.00) In a letter dated February 2, 2015, Ricondo states that although the payments to the CBE subconsultants have not reached the monetary amount associated with the 15% goal, project work equal to nearly the full amount of the 15% measure has already been awarded, and they do not foresee any problems meeting the goal.						
	Background						
	Background Within the past year, Miami International Airport (MIA) reached passenger and aircraft operations levels that were not projected to occur until the 2018-2020 timeframe. The Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) has required extensive services from both of its Aviation Planning Consultants (Ricondo & Associates, Inc. and H. J. Ross Associates, Inc.) for targeted studies to address airport facility and operational needs and enhancements. Many of these studies are comprehensive, multi-phased and extensive in scope. The extent of services required were difficult to anticipate in 2012 when the MDAD initiated the preparation of these PSAs. The studies, some of which are ongoing, include:						
	 A new MIA Central Terminal Redevelopment Program, including the preparation of a Project Definition Document and coordination with airlines and stakeholders; 						
	A new centralized security checkpoint for MIA's South Terminal;						
	Re-evaluation of the former Airport City parcels for re-purposing as Aviation airside parcels;						
	• An analysis for a new domestic airline integration into MIA, including flight schedule analysis and facility impact simulations;						
	Development of a Safety Management System Gap Analysis for MIA;						
	Preparation of various studies analyzing Florida East Coast (FEC) proposed cargo building development on MIA's Runway						
	Protection Zones; and						
	Reconfiguration of MIA's Concourse "E" FIS Facilities.						
	As a result of all these factors, the original funding allocated to these two (2) Agreements is insufficient to address the emerging needs of the MDAD and has been prematurely exhausted. Therefore, additional funding is required in order for the firms to complete ongoing studies and continue to provide services for the remaining four (4) years of the Agreements.						
	Additional Information On December 3, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-966-13, approved the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to H.J. Ross Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00; and Resolution No. R-967-13 the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to Ricondo & Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00. Additionally, the Resolutions authorized the Mayor or designee to execute the agreements for and on behalf of the County. Services performed under the Agreements were work-order driven.						
8F1 150650	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS 164 COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES; AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC SALE OF SAME TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR EACH RESPECTIVE PROPERTY, FOR NO LESS THAN 75 PERCENT OF ASSESSED MARKET VALUE AS DETERMINED BY THE OFFICE OF THE PROPERTY APPRAISER AT THE TIME OF SALE OR 75 PERCENT OF APPRAISED VALUE AS DETERMINED BY AN INDEPENDENT STATE CERTIFIED APPRAISER FOR THOSE PROPERTIES WITH ASSESSED MARKET VALUES GREATER THAN \$50,000.00; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO HIRE APPRAISERS, PERFORM NECESSARY TITLE WORK AND OTHER DUE DILIGENCE AND TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEEDS FOR SUCH PURPOSE						
Notes	 The proposed resolution authorizes the following actions: Declares as surplus 164 County-owned real properties and authorizes their sale to the highest bidder through the County's competitive bidding process for no less than either: (a) 75 percent of the assessed market value according to the Office of the Property Appraiser for properties valued at less than \$50,000, or (b) 75 percent of appraised value as determined by an independent State certified appraiser (no greater than six (6) months old), for those properties with assessed market values greater than \$50,000; and Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to the review by the Planning Advisory Board because all of the properties are located within a municipality. 						
	All of the properties listed in the item are located in municipalities. Properties in the unincorporated municipal service area require Planning Advisory Board approval and staff is preparing a similar item that only includes properties in the unincorporated municipal service area, which will be presented to the Board for consideration in the near future.						
	The sale of these properties will eliminate the County's obligation to maintain them, which costs approximately \$92,132 per year for all 164 properties. If all 164 properties are placed back on the tax roll, they will generate approximately \$91,914 in annual ad valorem taxes. If all of these 164 properties are sold, the estimated value would total \$4,002,714. Costs to the County are estimated at \$32,000 for appraisals and \$16,500 for title services.						

The proposed resolution was amended at the March 12, 2015, Economic Prosperity Committee meeting to remove the following two (2)

v	es	ear	ui	INO	ies

Item No.	Research Notes					
item ito.	Research Notes					
		properties from the original Exhibit A:				
	 #70 (Folio 0131140351970 located at 1690 NW 62 Street with an assessed value of \$62,400); and #72 (Folio 0131140430010 located at 1304 NW 63 Street with an assessed value of \$130,000) 					
8F2 150902	#73 (Folio 0131140430010 located at 1394 NW 62 Street with an assessed value of \$120,000). RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$495,000.00 FOR CONTRACT 8736-5/14-5 FOR PURCHASE OF TOWING SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38					
Notes	The proposed resolution approves a request for additional time and expenditure authority to Contract 8736-5/14-5, Towing Services, utiliz by various County departments to purchase towing services for County-owned vehicles and equipment. The additional time of six (6) mont and \$495,000 in expenditure authority will ensure the continuity of services while the replacement solicitation is evaluated and a contract awarded.				of six (6) months	
	The replacement solicitation was issued in March 2015 and covers towing for a diverse array of various-sized fleet and equipment, include but not limited to, automobiles; passenger and cargo vans; bulldozers; wheel loaders; ambulances; sport utility vehicles and pickups; and refuse, flatbed, crane dump, roll-off, hook lift and fire suppression trucks. The scope also includes towing of abandoned property such as boats and statues. The award will be made based on geographical zone and vehicle type to ensure sufficient countywide coverage. It is anticipated that a contract award recommendation will be presented to the Board for approval in October 2015.					
	contract term to Nov	act is set to expire on May 31, 2015 a	ind has an existing allocation of \$1,572,000. The tional allocation of \$495,000 is based on usage f \$2,067,000.			
	Option-to-Renew (O	09, the BCC, through Resolution No. F	R-1275-09, authorized the County Mayor, or Ma es for several County departments. At the time			
	ſ	Initial Contract Term and Usage	December 15, 2008 to November 30, 2009	\$1,467,000		
	-	First OTR and Usage (R-1275-09)	December 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010	\$1,467,000		
	-	Cumulative Value		\$2,934,000		
	On December 9, 201	0, the BCC, through Resolution No. R	- 1231-10, authorized the County Mayor, or Ma	yor's designee, to e	ercise an OTR	
	period for Contract N through Resolution N • During the overcharg asked wh • The Direct violation; provision • Additiona vendors w monthly t companie discovery of the refe	No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services Cou- No. R-1275-09, and was set to expire e BCC meeting on December 9, 2010, ged by Kauff's of Miami, Inc. (Kauff), of at procedures were implemented to of tor of the Department of Procurement a 96 hour suspension after the secon was included in the contract for perfor- ally, the Sergeant of the Property and vere audited monthly regarding any v to the MDPD and reviewed for overch es were also audited annually and tha of an overcharge, the company must und check. The Sergeant explained th	anty Vehicles. At the time, the contract was in it on December 31, 2010. The contract had three, the Commission raised questions regarding whi and A-1 Redland Economy Tow (A-1) had been r avoid similar future violations. It Management (DPM) explained that a letter of d violation; and a 120 hour suspension after the	is first OTR period, a one-year OTRs rem ether residents who eimbursed. The Com f warning was issued third violation. She artment (MDPD), ex that paid invoices w en. The Sergeant add annual audit. She sa izen and provide ML	s approved aining. were mission also I after the first noted a plained that vere forwarded ded that tow id that upon DPD with a copy	
	period for Contract N through Resolution N • During the overcharg asked wh • The Direct violation; provision • Additiona vendors w monthly t companie discovery of the refu contractu Performance Data: • Excalibur	No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services Cou- No. R-1275-09, and was set to expire e BCC meeting on December 9, 2010, ged by Kauff's of Miami, Inc. (Kauff), of at procedures were implemented to of tor of the Department of Procuremen a 96 hour suspension after the secon was included in the contract for perfo ally, the Sergeant of the Property and vere audited monthly regarding any v to the MDPD and reviewed for overch es were also audited annually and tha of an overcharge, the company must and check. The Sergeant explained the tot violation. She noted that three vio Towing Service, Midtown Towing of I	Anty Vehicles. At the time, the contract was in it on December 31, 2010. The contract had three, the Commission raised questions regarding whi and A-1 Redland Economy Tow (A-1) had been r avoid similar future violations. In Management (DPM) explained that a letter of d violation; and a 120 hour suspension after the prmance improvement. Evidence Bureau of the Miami-Dade Police Dep techicle related to a police directed tow. She said arges after the vehicle was released to the citize t A-1's overcharge was discovered through the trefund the amount of the overcharge to the cit at the MDPD worked with the DPM to determine thations were grounds for termination.	is first OTR period, a one-year OTRs rem ether residents who eimbursed. The Com f warning was issued third violation. She artment (MDPD), ex that paid invoices w en. The Sergeant add annual audit. She sa izen and provide MI be whether the overco contracts term, diff	s approved aining. were mission also I after the first noted a blained that tere forwarded ded that tow id that upon DPD with a copy harge was a	
	period for Contract N through Resolution N • During the overcharg asked wh • The Direct violation; provision • Additiona vendors w monthly t companie discovery of the refu contractu Performance Data: • Excalibur identified • Westbroc Departme storage sp • Kauff's of owners of	No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services Counce No. R-1275-09, and was set to expire the BCC meeting on December 9, 2010, ged by Kauff's of Miami, Inc. (Kauff), of at procedures were implemented to of tor of the Department of Procurement a 96 hour suspension after the second was included in the contract for perfor- illy, the Sergeant of the Property and were audited monthly regarding any w to the MDPD and reviewed for overcher as were also audited annually and that of an overcharge, the company must und check. The Sergeant explained the for a vercharge, the company must und check. The Sergeant explained the al violation. She noted that three vio Towing Service, Midtown Towing of I with invoicing procedures. These iss by Motors, Inc. – On RFQ 97, while co ent staff discovered that this firm did pace is now available. Miami, Inc. – On RFQ 97, this firm was f tow via certified mail, and in a timel	anty Vehicles. At the time, the contract was in it on December 31, 2010. The contract had three, the Commission raised questions regarding whi and A-1 Redland Economy Tow (A-1) had been r avoid similar future violations. It Management (DPM) explained that a letter of d violation; and a 120 hour suspension after the formance improvement. Evidence Bureau of the Miami-Dade Police Depu- techicle related to a police directed tow. She said arges after the vehicle was released to the citize t A-1's overcharge was discovered through the trefund the amount of the overcharge to the cit at the MDPD worked with the DPM to determin clations were grounds for termination .	is first OTR period, a one-year OTRs rem ether residents who eimbursed. The Com f warning was issued e third violation. She artment (MDPD), ex that paid invoices w en. The Sergeant add annual audit. She sa izen and provide ML te whether the overco contracts term, diff to, and meetings wite company, Miami-D sue was resolved an failed to send letter	s approved aining. were mission also after the first noted a blained that rere forwarded ded that tow id that upon DPD with a copy harge was a iculties were h, the vendors. ade Police d the proper rs notifying	
	period for Contract N through Resolution N • During the overcharg asked whi • The Direct violation; provision • Additiona vendors w monthly t companie discovery of the refu contractu Performance Data: • Excalibur identified • Westbroc Departme storage sp • Kauff's of owners of that were • A-1 Redia	No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services Counce No. R-1275-09, and was set to expire the BCC meeting on December 9, 2010, ged by Kauff's of Miami, Inc. (Kauff), of at procedures were implemented to of tor of the Department of Procurement a 96 hour suspension after the second was included in the contract for perfo- illy, the Sergeant of the Property and were audited monthly regarding any w to the MDPD and reviewed for overche as were also audited annually and that of an overcharge, the company must und check. The Sergeant explained the for a vercharge, the company must with invoicing procedures. These iss by Motors, Inc. – On RFQ 97, while co ent staff discovered that this firm did pace is now available. Miami, Inc. – On RFQ 97, this firm was f tow via certified mail, and in a timel e overcharged.	 unty Vehicles. At the time, the contract was in it on December 31, 2010. The contract had three, the Commission raised questions regarding whend A-1 Redland Economy Tow (A-1) had been ravoid similar future violations. at Management (DPM) explained that a letter of diviolation; and a 120 hour suspension after the formance improvement. Evidence Bureau of the Miami-Dade Police Deprehicle related to a police directed tow. She said args after the vehicle was released to the citize to the A-1's overcharge was discovered through the crefund the amount of the overcharge to the citient the MDPD worked with the DPM to determine that the MDPD worked with the DPM to determine that and Westbrook Motors Inc. – During this ues have been resolved following notifications in not have sufficient inside storage space. This is as overcharging citizens for towing services and by manner. These issues were resolved and the or solved and the origing citizens for towing services and by manner. These issues were resolved and the original citizens for towing services and by manner. 	is first OTR period, a one-year OTRs rem ether residents who eimbursed. The Com f warning was issued e third violation. She artment (MDPD), ex that paid invoices w en. The Sergeant add annual audit. She sa izen and provide ML the whether the overco contracts term, diff to, and meetings with company, Miami-D sue was resolved an failed to send letter company provided r	s approved aining. were mission also I after the first noted a olained that tere forwarded ded that tow id that upon OPD with a copy harge was a iculties were h, the vendors. ade Police d the proper rs notifying efunds to those	
	period for Contract N through Resolution N • During the overcharg asked whe • The Direct violation; provision • Additiona vendors w monthly t companie discovery of the refu contractu Performance Data: • Excalibur identified • Westbrocc Departme storage sp • Kauff's of owners of that were • A-1 Redla and the co	No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services Counce No. R-1275-09, and was set to expire the BCC meeting on December 9, 2010, ged by Kauff's of Miami, Inc. (Kauff), of at procedures were implemented to of tor of the Department of Procurement a 96 hour suspension after the second was included in the contract for perfo- illy, the Sergeant of the Property and were audited monthly regarding any we to the MDPD and reviewed for overcher as of an overcharge, the company must and check. The Sergeant explained the and violation. She noted that three vio Towing Service, Midtown Towing of I with invoicing procedures. These iss by Motors, Inc. – On RFQ 97, while co ent staff discovered that this firm did pace is now available. Miami, Inc. – On RFQ 97, this firm was f tow via certified mail, and in a timel e overcharged. and Economy Towing – On RFQ 97, this	 unty Vehicles. At the time, the contract was in it on December 31, 2010. The contract had three, the Commission raised questions regarding whend A-1 Redland Economy Tow (A-1) had been ravoid similar future violations. at Management (DPM) explained that a letter of diviolation; and a 120 hour suspension after the formance improvement. Evidence Bureau of the Miami-Dade Police Deprehicle related to a police directed tow. She said args after the vehicle was released to the citize to the A-1's overcharge was discovered through the crefund the amount of the overcharge to the citient the MDPD worked with the DPM to determine that the MDPD worked with the DPM to determine that and Westbrook Motors Inc. – During this ues have been resolved following notifications in not have sufficient inside storage space. This is as overcharging citizens for towing services and by manner. These issues were resolved and the or solved and the origing citizens for towing services and by manner. These issues were resolved and the original citizens for towing services and by manner. 	is first OTR period, a one-year OTRs rem ether residents who eimbursed. The Com f warning was issued e third violation. She artment (MDPD), ex that paid invoices w en. The Sergeant add annual audit. She sa izen and provide ML te whether the overco contracts term, diff to, and meetings wit company, Miami-D sue was resolved an failed to send letter company provided r	s approved aining. were mission also I after the first noted a olained that rere forwarded ded that upon OPD with a copy harge was a iculties were h, the vendors. ade Police d the proper rs notifying efunds to those	

R	es	ea	rch	N N	ot	es	

	Research Notes				
Item No.	Research Notes				
	First OTR and Usage (R-1275-09)December 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010		\$1,467,000		
	Second	OTR and Estimated Usage (R-1231-10)	January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011	\$1,438,000	
	Cumulative Value			\$4,372,000	
	departments. Exercisin During the contract co	rvices for various County			
	 Performance Data: Kauff's of Miami, Inc. – During this contract's second OTR period, the County discovered errors in invoicin the County on two occasions for towing services. The vendor agreed to cancel the incorrect invoices and correct amounts. 				
	Initial C	ontract Term and Usage	December 15, 2008 to November 30, 2009	\$1,466,000	
		R and Usage (R-1275-09)	December 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010	\$1,466,000	
		OTR and Estimated Usage (R-1231-10)	January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011	\$1,438,000	
		TR and Estimated Allocation (R-921-11)	January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012	\$739,000	
		OTR and Estimated Allocation (R-921-	January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013	\$739,000	
		FR and Estimated Allocation (R-921-11)	January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	\$739,000	
	Cumula	ative Value		\$6,557,000	
	 R-1049-11 was amended during the November 8, 2011 Internal Management and Fiscal Responsibility Committee meeting to reduce Miami-Dade Transit's allocation under Contract No. 8736-5/14-1, Towing Services County Vehicles, from \$700,000 to \$400,000 bringing the total contract value to \$1,138,000. Authorization was requested to modify this contract for additional spending authority to provide the MDT an allocation to purchase towing services, funded by MDT Operating Funds. This contract provided towing services for County-owned vehicles equipment. 				
		Vendors Included in First Five (5) O	TR Vendors Included in Proposed Resolut	ion	
		Veriods Westbrook Motors, Inc. Blanco Towing, Inc. Banos Towing Service Excalibur Towing Services Kauff's of Miami, Inc. Midtown Towing of Miami A-1 Redland Economy Tow Southwest Transport, Inc.	Blanco Towing, Inc.Kauff's of Miami, Inc.Banos Towing ServiceMidtown Towing of MiamiExcalibur Towing ServicesA-1 Redland Economy TowKauff's of Miami, Inc.Southwest Transport, Inc.Midtown Towing of MiamiA-1 Redland Economy Tow		
8F3 150385	APPROVING IMPLEME	NG ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-5 RELATE NTING ORDER 8-5 RELATED TO PERMISSIO CHEDULE FOR PARCEL B; AND AUTHORIZI	D TO PERMISSION TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSI ON TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSINESS ON PUBLI ING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DE	C PROPERTY AND	
Notes	Implementing Order (I Implementing Order 8 • Establishes an appraisa	O) 8-5, Permission to Conduct Private Bus 5 incorporates the following changes: a Fee Schedule for use of Parcel B pursua I/valuation analysis conducted by CBRE, I	i, Permission to Conduct Private Business on Pu siness on Public Property and Fee Schedule for ant to R-688-14, which was adopted by the Boa nc., a third-party real estate appraisal firm; ued a final award, authorizes the County Mayo	Parcel B. The proposed rd on July 17, 2014, based on	
	to extend e	xpiring revenue-generating permits to co	nduct private business on County property on ement is awarded through a competitive proce	a month-to-month basis, not	

Research Notes

Research Notes

Updates departmental names and similar non-substantive changes throughout the document.

Parcel B is located at 400 NE 8 Street, Miami, Florida (behind the American Airlines Arena). Rental/use of Parcel B is generally conducted in association with events that are countywide in nature.

Background:

٠

Item No.

On July 17, 2014, the Board adopted Resolution R-688-14, which directed the Mayor to: (a) employ a certified appraiser to appraise the fair market value of Parcel B and (b) utilize this appraisal to propose a fee schedule for use of Parcel B by third parties. Resolution R-688-14 also established the policy that fee revenues received from Parcel B, less the payment of costs associated with its operation and maintenance be allocated to fund capital improvements at County parks subject to annual appropriation by the Board. The details of the fair market value appraisal conducted, as well as the recommendation from the appraiser as to the appropriate rental rate to be charged to third parties, are discussed in the Background Section of this transmittal memorandum.

Subsequent to the July 17, 2014 Board meeting, CBRE, Inc. was competitively selected to conduct the appraisal and analysis tasks outlined in Resolution R-688-14. CBRE, Inc. provided its completed appraisal on September 29, 2014. In determining a fair market daily rental rate for the current uses on Parcel B, CBRE, Inc. based its analysis on market research and gave consideration to several factors including the current fee structure, the types of existing and potential users, and the intensity of use. The daily fee structure proposed is as follows and incorporated into the recommended Implementing Order 8-5:

Description of Use	Deposit Amount	Daily Fee Amount
Valet Parking	\$2,000.00	\$4,000.00
Staging for Concerts	2,000.00	1,100.00
Staging for the Circus	5,000.00	1,300.00
Carnivals	5,000.00	18,000.00
Concerts	5,000.00	36,000.00
Parties	2,000.00	2,500.00

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:

Based on the historical usage of Parcel B by third parties, it is estimated that the proposed increased daily fee structure would increase the annual revenues generated by Parcel B from approximately \$80,000 to approximately \$230,000, an annual gain of \$150,000. Per Resolution R-688-14, these revenues, net of operating and maintenance costs, would be allocated to the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department for capital improvements at County parks.

Administrative Order 8-5 currently provides an administrative process for issuance by the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee of a one-year permit, plus a one-year renewal term for private businesses to conduct private business on any County property. Such permits are issued for short-term concessions, use of parking lots, and similar County revenue-generating contracts with private businesses, usually for special events or when there is a need to provide certain goods and services to the public that are not currently provided by the County. Such permits are intended to be used while a competitive process is underway for the use of County property. There is currently no authorization provided in the existing Administrative Order 8-5 to allow the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to extend such permit until a competitive contract is awarded. As such, the proposed Implementing Order 8-5 includes new language, authorizing the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to extend revenue-generating permits on a month-to-month basis when such a situation exists. This change is not intended to diminish the County's long-established competitive bidding process, and the County will follow all applicable competitive procedures in awarding concessions, leases, or related contractual agreements.

Additional Information - Possible Market Value Scenarios (Based on CBRE, Inc. Appraisal/Valuation Analysis:

As it relates to the full appraisal value of Parcel B, ranging from the value of the Parcel B tract itself under current zoning restrictions, to the value of Parcel B including accompanying rights-of-way and unrestricted zoning. The possible market value under these scenarios varies widely, ranging from \$7.5 million to \$120 million.

Concluded Market Values and Market Rents		
Appraised Premise	Values and Rent	
As is land value of tract B	\$7,500,000	
As is land value of tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	10,000,000	
As is market rent for tract B	720,000	
As is market rent for tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	820,000	
Hypothetical value of tract B (without restriction of use)	100,000,000	
Hypothetical value of tract B and dedicated ROW (without restriction of use)	120,000,000	

Additional Information:

During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on March 10, 2015, the following was discussed:

	Research Notes		
Item No.	Research Notes		
	 The committee inquired whether the Miami Heat or other parties involved in contractual relationships with the American Airlines Arena (Arena) were included in this process. The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD), responded that Basketball Properties Ltd (BP) was informed that the County intended to review Parcel B rental rates, during the previous contract negotiation process. The committee pointed out that this legislation specified "establishing a fee schedule for Parcel B", noting concern that dialogue was needed with County partners before creating legislation which impacted them. The ISD Director indicated that no negotiations were made with BP; that BP was notified of the County's intent to raise rates; and that BP spoke to the property appraiser and was provided a copy of the appraisal. The Committee expressed concern over establishing a fee structure rather than achieving one and said that the stakeholders needed to be included in decisions impacting them. It was explained that there was a discussion about the property value last year and that the appraiser justified the approach used to determine value and that this was prime real estate which voters approved as a park which did not happen. It was noted the rental income went directly to the County's parks. The committee noted concerns that most events that would generate rental income were almost exclusively associated with the Arena and that the property value varied extensively because of the diversity of property us. It was reiterated that BP, the County's partner, needed to be included in the process of developing a new fee schedule. A representative on behalf of BP stated that BP was a County partner who managed the County area and that BD did not lease Parcel B. He said that BP had not discussed the appraisal or the impact of the proposed rates on Arena operations with County administration. He indicated that BP had not discussed the appraisal or the impact of the proposed rates on Arena ope		
	 The Director of ISD explained that BP was informed that the County Commission passed a resolution to obtain an appraisal and that a third party would establish rental rates. He noted that the completed appraisal and recommended rates were subsequently provided to BP; however, BP notified the County that they did not want to change the previous rate structure. The Director said that this proposed resolution provided authorization to negotiate new rates and a new scope of services. The committee questioned whether rates would be established based upon the highest value determined by the appraisal or if they were pendidely. The Director of ISD responded that administration recommended the rate structure and that the County were provided to the county. 		
	they were negotiable. The Director of ISD responded that administration recommended the rate structure and that the County Commission would establish the rates. The committee inquired whether the fee structure would change for existing contracts between third parties and the Arena and whether the potential fee change would adversely impact entities wanting to use the arena to which the BP representative indicated that the Arena did not control Parcel B and that the Arena would be subject to whatever rates that the County established. He noted that third parties would be put on notice about potential changes to the rates.		
8K1 150642	RESOLUTION APPROVING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT'S FISCAL YEAR 2015- 2016 PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY PLAN TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR FINAL APPROVAL; AND AUTHORIZING THE DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR TO SUBMIT PLAN FOR FINAL APPROVAL, TO REVISE THE PLAN, SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS OF THE "SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENT AND SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION" DEFINITION CONTAINED THEREIN OR AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY REGULATORY, STATUTORY, COURT ORDER OR INTERNAL POLICY CHANGES AND TO SUBMIT REVISED PLAN FOR APPROVAL		
Notes	 The proposed resolution: Approves Miami-Dade County's (County) Public Housing and Community Development (Department) Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16; Authorizes the Department Director to submit the Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (Housing and Urban Development) for final approval no later than July 15, 2015; Authorizes the Department Director to make any necessary revisions to the Plan subject to the limitations of the Plan's "Significant Amendment and Substantial Deviation" definition; and Authorizes the Department Director, on behalf of the County, to modify the Plan as may be required by regulatory, statutory, court order or internal policy changes without further approval of the Board. 		
	The Plan includes the strategies for managing the federally-subsidized Public Housing and Section 8 programs for the County's area of jurisdiction. The County's Plan does not include the activities of Public Housing and Section 8 programs administered by other public housing authorities within the County (i.e. Hialeah Housing Authority, Housing Authority for the City of Miami Beach, and Homestead Housing Authority). These housing authorities must separately submit their own plans for housing programs administered by them to Housing and Urban Development.		
	Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The Plan includes the listing of the Department's financial resources and planned uses for the support of federal Public Housing and Section 8 programs for FY 2015-16. The approval of this item will not result in a fiscal impact to the County.		
	Department's Plan: The Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act, as amended, enacted on October 21, 1998, and related regulations promulgated and implemented by Housing and Urban Development, require all local, regional, or state housing agencies receiving federal funds to submit an annual public housing agency plan. The FY 2015-16 Plan presented for the Board's consideration includes the following proposed revisions: 1. Sections 6.0(8)(2) and (8)(3) – Provide increased security and anti-drug/crime initiatives at high-crime public housing sites by seeking HUD's approval for non-dwelling units to be used by police officers (HUD PIH Notice 2011-7 and 24 CFR §960.505) and partnering with local law enforcement (HUD PIH Notice 2011-7 and 24 CFR § 990.145(a)(2)). 2. Sections 7.0(b) and 5.2(B) – For purposes of increasing revenues, request HUD's approval to take units offline at the Helen Sawyer Assisted Living Facility (ALF) for private pay and introduce adult daycare services.		

Research Notes

Item No. Research Notes 3. Section 5.2(A)(2) – Implement an Energy Performance Contract to increase energy effit 4. Section 6.0(A)(4) – Applicants with wheelchairs will be offered Uniform Federal Access accessible features. Priority is provided to transferees. 5. Section 7.0 – Due to substantial soil contamination preventing further development of to the Modello site. Additional Information: On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-424-14, approved the Department's FY 2014- authorized the Department Director to submit the Plan on behalf of Miami-Dade County to the U Urban Development by July 15, 2014 for final approval. Resolution No. R-424-14 approved the fol documents related to Miami-Dade County's Public Housing and Community Development (Depart	sibility Standards (UFAS) units or units with
 Section 6.0(A)(4) – Applicants with wheelchairs will be offered Uniform Federal Access accessible features. Priority is provided to transferees. Section 7.0 – Due to substantial soil contamination preventing further development of to the Modello site. <u>Additional Information:</u> On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-424-14, approved the Department's FY 2014-authorized the Department Director to submit the Plan on behalf of Miami-Dade County to the U Urban Development by July 15, 2014 for final approval. Resolution No. R-424-14 approved the following the following	sibility Standards (UFAS) units or units with
On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-424-14, approved the Department's FY 2014- authorized the Department Director to submit the Plan on behalf of Miami-Dade County to the U Urban Development by July 15, 2014 for final approval. Resolution No. R-424-14 approved the fo	
On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-424-14, approved the Department's FY 2014- authorized the Department Director to submit the Plan on behalf of Miami-Dade County to the U Urban Development by July 15, 2014 for final approval. Resolution No. R-424-14 approved the fo	
	Inited States Department of Housing and
Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) for FY 2014-15;	
 Public Housing Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy (Policy); Section 8 Administrative Plan (Administrative Plan); 	
 Submit the Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development approval no later than July 15, 2014; 	: (Housing and Urban Development) for final
 To make any necessary revisions to the Plan subject to the limitations of the Plan's "Si Deviation" definition; and 	-
 To modify the Policy and Administrative Plan as may be required by regulatory, statute 	ory, court-related or internal policy changes.
The Plan includes the strategies for managing the federally-subsidized Public Housing Program an County area jurisdiction. The County's Plan does not include the activities of Public Housing and S public housing authorities within Miami-Dade County (i.e. Hialeah Housing Authority, Housing Au Homestead Housing Authority). These housing authorities must separately submit their own plan them to Housing and Urban Development. The Plan includes the listing of the Department's finan planned uses for the support of federal Public Housing and Section 8 programs for FY 2014-15. Th fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.	Section 8 programs administered by other uthority for the City of Miami Beach, and as for housing programs administered by ncial resources, totaling \$229 million, and
11A1 RESOLUTION URGING FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY METROPOLITAN CENTER TO CONDU	
151021 REPORT, AT NO COST TO THE COUNTY, EXAMINING THE FEASIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING A COMMU MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BASED ON CERTAIN NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED STRATEGIES	UNITY-BASED PROSPERITY STRATEGY FOR
Notes The proposed resolution urges Florida International University to conduct an analysis and prepare examines the feasibility of establishing a community-based prosperity strategy for Miami-Dade C University include in the Report information pertaining to nationally recognized community-base • Leveraging of public dollars to support social enterprise incubators and accelerators; • Supporting worker cooperatives as an economic development strategy;	County. This Board requests that the ed prosperity strategies that focus on:
 Supporting permanent affordability in housing through the creation of a community la The creation of a children's savings accounts program; and 	and trust;
 Other promising strategies that may be identified by the University. 	
The Board further requests that the University provide examples of successful community-based local governments nationally and the impediments of establishing any of the before-mentioned s Board requests that the University also review resources developed by such groups as the Brooki Democracy Collaborative. Upon receipt of the Report from the University, the County Mayor or the Report to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the comp pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. The Report will also be placed on the agenda of the Chairman's	strategies. In preparation of the Report, the ings Institute, Community-Wealth org and the County Mayor's designee will provide the pleted report on an agenda of the Board
Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of University and Dr. Howard Frank, Director of the University's Metropolitan Center and Professor	
Additional Information – Florida International University Metropolitan Center: The Florida International University Metropolitan Center is an applied research and training instit private and non-profit organizations in South Florida.	tute that provides policy solutions to public,
The Metropolitan Center is the leading urban "think tank" in South Florida established in 1997 as Policy and Management/College of Urban Affairs. The Center has a solid record of providing servi information dissemination, public involvement initiatives, technical assistance, housing assessme revitalization planning. In addition, the Metropolitan Center has organized retreats, workshops, a forums to address specific urban issues, such as industry improvement, housing, redevelopment has served as a catalyst for major policy changes and projects in the areas of housing, transportat throughout South Florida. The approach to providing consulting services is to take into account th and/or clients while adhering to recognized methodologies for survey and focus group design, ad	ices to communities through training, ents, industry analyses and community and conferences as well as public opinion and transportation. The Center's research tion, social services, and health services he unique needs of individual communities
analysis and reporting.	

Researc	h N	lotes
---------	-----	-------

Item No.	Research Notes			
	house Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) capabilities. Moreover, the Metropolitan Center is a Census Information			
	Center (CIS), which allows for early access to release data and data with restricted use.			
	The Metropolitan Center is a part of the School of International and Public Affairs in the College of Arts and Sciences, and focuses are 3			
	areas:			
	Economic and Housing Market Analysis Training and Operational Development			
	 Training and Organizational Development Survey and Opinion Research 			
11A2	RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO ENACT HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 47 OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION SUPPORTING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF			
151075	A PRESIDENTIAL YOUTH COUNCIL; AND URGING PRESIDENT OBAMA'S ADMINISTRATION TO ESTABLISH A PRESIDENTIAL YOUTH COUNCIL			
Notes	The proposed resolution:			
	• Urges Congress to enact House Joint Resolution 47, or similar legislation supporting the establishment of a Presidential Youth			
	Council;			
	Urges President Obama's Administration to establish a Presidential Youth Council;			
	Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the President of the United States, members of the			
	Miami-Dade Federal Legislative Delegation, the Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, and U.S. Representative John			
	Larson; and Discuss the General /a feature likely is the sector of the transfer the device state the discuss of discuss the			
	 Directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal Legislative Package previously approved by the Board to include this item. 			
11A3	RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 AND ANY OTHER APPLICABLE PROCUREMENT GUIDELINES FOR			
151000	SECURING SPONSORSHIPS AND PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES FOR HOSTING THE SOUTH DADE SOLUTIONS SUMMIT ON JUNE 6, 2015			
Notes	The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 and any other applicable Miami-Dade County procurement			
	guidelines are waived for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the South Dade Solutions Summi			
	on June 6, 2015.			
	The South Dade Solutions Summit will take place on June 6, 2015, and will bring together government, business, faith, and civic leaders from			
	the South Dade community to create a 3-year South Dade Regional success plan and process to guide action and accountability. The South			
	Dade Solutions Summit will also feature a leaders' workshop during which participants will discuss topics such as fueling South Dade's			
	economy, improving transportation connectivity, enhancing parks, and addressing environmental issues.			
	Additional Information:			
	Implementing Order governs the County's processes for the purchase of goods and services including professional services (other than those			
	professional services whose selection is governed by Sec. 287.055 F.S. and Secs. 2-10.4 and 2-10.4.01 of the Miami-Dade County Code). It			
	establishes the roles and responsibilities of the Internal Services Department (ISD), methods of purchasing goods and services, and the			
	authority to award contracts. Additional policies and procedures relating to the County's procurement processes are detailed in the ISD			
	Procurement Guidelines, other A.O.s and the County Code.			
	During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the committee expressed concerns			
	regarding waiving requirements of Implementing Order 3-38. It was also clarified that donations would be solicited to acquire the funds			
	necessary to host the summit.			