



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

**Board of County Commissioners Meeting**

September 1, 2015  
9:30 A.M.  
Commission Chamber

**Research Division**

Charles Anderson, CPA  
Commission Auditor  
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
<b>4A 151661</b>	ORDINANCE REGARDING ZONING AND OTHER LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE FIXED-GUIDEWAY RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT ZONE, THE STANDARD URBAN CENTER DISTRICT REGULATIONS, THE DOWNTOWN KENDALL URBAN CENTER DISTRICT REGULATIONS, AND THE PALMER LAKE METROPOLITAN URBAN CENTER DISTRICT REGULATIONS; AMENDING PARKING REQUIREMENTS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33C-7, 33C-8, 33-284.62, 33-284.86, AND 33-284.99.60 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance, regarding zoning and other land development regulations pertaining to the Fixed-Guideway Rapid Transit System Development Zone, the Standard Urban District regulations, the Downtown Kendall Urban Center District regulations, and the Palmer Lake Metropolitan Urban Center District regulations, amends Sections 33C-7, 33C-8, 33-284.62, 33-284.86, and 33-284.99.60 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed ordinance provides</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That there be no minimum parking requirement within the Rapid Transit Zone;</li> <li>• In all sub-districts, the minimum required parking may be reduced up to one hundred percent for parcels located within five hundred (500) feet of a rapid-rail transit station, within fifty (50) percent for parcels located within one-quarter (1/4) mile from a rapid rail transit station, and thirty (30) percent for one-half (1/2) mile of rapid transit; and</li> <li>• In the event on-street parking spaces are removed due to roadway improvements, the removed spaces that were counted toward a development's parking requirement do not cause that development to become nonconforming.</li> </ul>												
<b>4B 151777</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR INCREASED HEIGHT AND LENGTH IN RECREATIONAL VEHICLE AND BOAT STORAGE; AMENDING SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning, provides for increased height and length in recreational vehicle and boat storage.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #c6e0b4; text-align: center;">Code Comparison</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;"><i>Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           Sec. 33-20.   <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage; portable mini-storage units; cargo container storage units.</i> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           (e) Boat storage. Boats of less than thirty (30) feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:             (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:             (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed thirty (30) feet and the maximum height shall not exceed ten (10) feet.             (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.             (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):             (a) thirty (30) feet in overall length         </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           (e) Boat storage. Boats <b>not exceeding forty (40)</b> feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and <b>fourteen (14) feet</b> in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:             (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:             (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed <b>forty (40)</b> feet and the maximum height shall not exceed <b>thirteen (13)</b> feet.             (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.             (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):             (a) <b>forty (40)</b> feet in overall length         </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Comparison			<i>Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code</i>			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 33-20.  <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage; portable mini-storage units; cargo container storage units.</i>	(e) Boat storage. Boats of less than thirty (30) feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:  (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:  (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed thirty (30) feet and the maximum height shall not exceed ten (10) feet.  (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.  (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):  (a) thirty (30) feet in overall length	(e) Boat storage. Boats <b>not exceeding forty (40)</b> feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and <b>fourteen (14) feet</b> in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:  (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:  (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed <b>forty (40)</b> feet and the maximum height shall not exceed <b>thirteen (13)</b> feet.  (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.  (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):  (a) <b>forty (40)</b> feet in overall length
Code Comparison													
<i>Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code</i>													
Section	Current	Proposed											
Sec. 33-20.  <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage; portable mini-storage units; cargo container storage units.</i>	(e) Boat storage. Boats of less than thirty (30) feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:  (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:  (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed thirty (30) feet and the maximum height shall not exceed ten (10) feet.  (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.  (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):  (a) thirty (30) feet in overall length	(e) Boat storage. Boats <b>not exceeding forty (40)</b> feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and <b>fourteen (14) feet</b> in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:  (f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:  (8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed <b>forty (40)</b> feet and the maximum height shall not exceed <b>thirteen (13)</b> feet.  (h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.  (7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):  (a) <b>forty (40)</b> feet in overall length											

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	(b) eight feet six inches (8'6") in width (c) thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height.  (8) RV's placed in the storage area shall not exceed thirty (30) feet in length, eight feet six inches (8'6") in width nor exceed ten (10) feet in height.	(b) eight feet six inches (8'6") in width (c) <b>fourteen (14) feet</b> in height.  (8) RV's placed in the storage area shall not exceed <b>forty (40)</b> feet in length, eight feet six inches (8'6") in width nor exceed <b>fourteen (14)</b> feet in height.
<b>4C 151859</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO WATER AND SEWER REGULATIONS; AMENDING ARTICLE VIII OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL PROGRAM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to Water and Sewer Regulations, amends Sections 32-152, 32-154, 32-154.1, 32-155, 32-156, 32-158, 32-163 and 32-169 of Article VIII of Chapter 32 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. The revisions to the County's Code are necessary in order to bring the County's Cross-Connection Control Program into conformance with changes made in May 2014 to the State of Florida's Cross-Connection Control Rules, which are in Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</b> There will be an impact to WASD customers in residential buildings with five (5) stories or more, as the existing exemption to install backflow preventers for those types of residential buildings is being deleted in Section 32-158 of the Code. It is estimated that this revision will impact approximately 226 buildings across the County at an estimated cost of \$6,000 per building. However, other WASD customers will see savings because the costs for the required backflow preventer equipment will be lower, the annual inspection requirement for certain buildings is being deleted, and the testing frequency requirement is being changed for residential customers. Customers should benefit in the following ways from the revisions to the Code:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The revision to Section 32-154.1 will allow customers using reclaimed water as an auxiliary source of water supply to install a less expensive backflow preventer in place of the Reduced Pressure Backflow Preventer as long as the auxiliary system is not a high hazard. <b>The customer should see a savings of approximately \$500 per unit.</b></li> <li>The revision to Section 32-158(a) extends the exemption from the requirement to install a backflow preventer from residential buildings with three (3) stories or less to residential buildings with four (4) stories or less that contain only dwelling units. Prior to this revision, residential buildings with four (4) stories were exempt from the installation requirement, however, a certified plumber had to inspect the building's plumbing system on an annual basis. <b>With this revision, the yearly inspection requirement is deleted which saves the customer about \$100 to \$300 per year, depending on the size of the building and the number of units in the building.</b></li> <li>The revision to Section 32-163 changes the testing frequency requirement of backflow preventers. The testing requirements for residential customers with water service lines no greater than 2-inches have been revised from one (1) year to two (2) years. Additionally, the requirement for residential customers to test newly installed backflow preventers changed from one (1) year to two (2) years, <b>saving the customer about \$75 per year as the average cost for each test is approximately \$75.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Background</b> WASD's Cross-Connection Control Program and Backflow Prevention Program have been in place for over 15 years in order to protect the public health by safeguarding the County's drinking water from potential contamination. A cross-connection is any temporary or permanent connection between a public water system or consumer's potable water system and any source or system containing non-potable water or other substances. Backflow is the unwanted flow of non-potable water or other substances through a cross-connection and into the piping of a public water system or consumer's potable water system. For example, the most common cross-connection is the garden hose left submerged in a bucket full of water or other fluid. If there is a significant drop in water pressure levels due to a nearby water main break, a backflow event may occur causing a reversal of flow of contaminated water or other fluids from the garden hose to the potable water system.</p> <p>Last spring, the State of Florida revised the Florida Administrative Code relating to Cross-Connection Control Programs and Backflow Prevention Programs statewide. Revisions to Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code became effective on May 5, 2014.</p> <p><b>Additional information</b> On March 4, 2013, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-23, amended Section 32-154 of the Code, extending the date by which backflow preventers must be installed for facilities of existing water customers governed by Section 32-154(D) to January 1, 2016, instead of January 1, 2014.</p>	
<b>4D 151773</b>	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 8A-76 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING CONSTRUCTION OF BARRIERS OR USE OF SECURITY DEVICES TO PREVENT THEFT OF SHOPPING CARTS; AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SECTION 8A-76 OF THE CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 8A-76 of the Miami-Dade County Code to require construction of barriers or use of security devices to prevent theft of shopping carts.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed ordinance provides that every retail sales establishment which utilizes shopping carts, as defined by Florida Statutes, Section 506.502(10), in the operation of its business and has more than fifteen (15) shopping carts on site will, no later than January 1, 2016:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construct barriers to prevent the removal of shopping carts from the property of the retail sales establishment or shopping center, while permitting full wheelchair ingress and egress by disabled persons; or</li> </ul>	

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Utilize shopping carts with wheel locking mechanisms in conjunction with an electronic barrier along the perimeter of the property of the retail sales establishment or shopping center; or</li> <li>Utilize alarm mechanisms or other security devices affixed to the shopping carts to prevent their removal from the property.</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance provides for civil penalties for violations.</p>															
<b>4E 151823</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO BUILDING SECURITY MEASURES; REQUIRING INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF SECURITY CAMERAS ON COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES; CREATING SECTION 8C-3.1 AND AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE															
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to building security measures, requires installation and maintenance of security cameras on commercial properties.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Code Comparison</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;"><i>Section 8C-3.1 and Section 8CC-10 Of the Miami-Dade County Code</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           Sec. 8C-3.1   <i>Security cameras mandated for commercial properties.</i> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">N/A</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           (a) This section applies to new construction and existing developments.            (b) All properties with a Florida Building Code occupancy classification of Group B (Business) or Group M (Mercantile) and containing 6 or more stores, units, bays, or other such subdivisions shall be required to install and maintain surveillance video cameras that satisfy the following requirements:            (1) The property shall have at least the minimum number of cameras to provide a view of all entrances and exits and to provide a full view of all parking areas.            (2) The entrance/exit area cameras shall be placed to provide a clear and identifiable full frame of the faces of individuals being filmed.            (3) The cameras shall be operated at all times, including hours when the property or any portion thereof is not open for business.            (4) The owner shall maintain a library of the recorded digital images for not less than 30 days.            (5) All public exits and entrances shall be posted with signs or decals indicating that surveillance cameras are in use on the property.            (c) Existing developments shall have 180 days from the effective date of this ordinance to comply with the foregoing requirements.         </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           Sec. 8CC-10.   <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i>             8C-3   <i>Failure to illuminate parking lots, alleys and access thereto 500.00</i> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.         </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">           The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.   <b>8C-3.1 Failure to install or maintain security cameras 500.00</b> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Comparison			<i>Section 8C-3.1 and Section 8CC-10 Of the Miami-Dade County Code</i>			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 8C-3.1  <i>Security cameras mandated for commercial properties.</i>	N/A	(a) This section applies to new construction and existing developments. (b) All properties with a Florida Building Code occupancy classification of Group B (Business) or Group M (Mercantile) and containing 6 or more stores, units, bays, or other such subdivisions shall be required to install and maintain surveillance video cameras that satisfy the following requirements: (1) The property shall have at least the minimum number of cameras to provide a view of all entrances and exits and to provide a full view of all parking areas. (2) The entrance/exit area cameras shall be placed to provide a clear and identifiable full frame of the faces of individuals being filmed. (3) The cameras shall be operated at all times, including hours when the property or any portion thereof is not open for business. (4) The owner shall maintain a library of the recorded digital images for not less than 30 days. (5) All public exits and entrances shall be posted with signs or decals indicating that surveillance cameras are in use on the property. (c) Existing developments shall have 180 days from the effective date of this ordinance to comply with the foregoing requirements.	Sec. 8CC-10.  <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i>  8C-3  <i>Failure to illuminate parking lots, alleys and access thereto 500.00</i>	The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.	The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.  <b>8C-3.1 Failure to install or maintain security cameras 500.00</b>
Code Comparison																
<i>Section 8C-3.1 and Section 8CC-10 Of the Miami-Dade County Code</i>																
Section	Current	Proposed														
Sec. 8C-3.1  <i>Security cameras mandated for commercial properties.</i>	N/A	(a) This section applies to new construction and existing developments. (b) All properties with a Florida Building Code occupancy classification of Group B (Business) or Group M (Mercantile) and containing 6 or more stores, units, bays, or other such subdivisions shall be required to install and maintain surveillance video cameras that satisfy the following requirements: (1) The property shall have at least the minimum number of cameras to provide a view of all entrances and exits and to provide a full view of all parking areas. (2) The entrance/exit area cameras shall be placed to provide a clear and identifiable full frame of the faces of individuals being filmed. (3) The cameras shall be operated at all times, including hours when the property or any portion thereof is not open for business. (4) The owner shall maintain a library of the recorded digital images for not less than 30 days. (5) All public exits and entrances shall be posted with signs or decals indicating that surveillance cameras are in use on the property. (c) Existing developments shall have 180 days from the effective date of this ordinance to comply with the foregoing requirements.														
Sec. 8CC-10.  <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i>  8C-3  <i>Failure to illuminate parking lots, alleys and access thereto 500.00</i>	The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.	The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.  <b>8C-3.1 Failure to install or maintain security cameras 500.00</b>														
<b>4F 151951</b>	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING AND CODE ENFORCEMENT; PROHIBITING CLOTH OR OTHER MATERIAL FROM BEING AFFIXED TO WIRE FENCES AND CHAIN LINK FENCES IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-11 AND 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE															
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed ordinance pertaining to Zoning and Code Enforcement amends Sections 33-11 and 8CC-10 of the Miami-Dade County Code prohibiting cloth or other material from being affixed to wire fences and chain link fences in residential areas. Additionally, the proposed ordinance provides for a civil penalty for affixing material to a chain link fence or wire fence without a permit and/or improperly maintained fence, wall, or hedge.															
<b>4G 151879</b>	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 2-892 AND 2-894 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO THE APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR AND THE STATE ATTORNEY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AND TENURE OF BOARD MEMBERS AND THE PROVISION OF STAFF SUPPORT TO THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, AND INCLUSION IN THE CODE															
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance approves an amendment to Sections 2-892 and 2-894 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, pertaining to the appointment and tenure of members of the Domestic Violence Oversight Board (DVOB). The proposed amendment to the ordinance maintains the appointment by each member of the Board of one (1) member to the DVOB and the County Mayor's appointment of one (1) member from the law enforcement community and adds the appointment by the Miami-Dade County State Attorney of one (1) member to the DVOB.</p> <p>In addition, the tenure of DVOB members is amended from three (3) years to four (4) years. The amendment clarifies that each DVOB member's term ends concurrently with the last day of the term of the County Mayor, County Commissioner or State Attorney who appointed the board member. However, each DVOB member continues to serve on the DVOB until his or her successor has been appointed to the DVOB.</p>															

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> Any expenses associated with this ordinance amendment will be funded by the County's DVOB Trust Fund. The Trust Fund receives 15 percent of the Local Option Food and Beverage Tax specifically designated for construction and operation of new domestic violence centers. This ordinance amendment will not require any allocation from the County's General Fund and will not have a fiscal impact on the County.</p> <p><b>Background:</b> The DVOB was created by County Ordinance in 1994 to serve in an advisory capacity to the Board with respect to issues affecting or relating to domestic violence. The DVOB submits to the Board a comprehensive plan, budget and specific recommendations for use of 15 percent of the Local Options Food and Beverage Tax dedicated to the construction and operation of domestic violence centers. The DVOB is also tasked with reviewing the plan annually to ensure that a coordinated and responsive continuum of services is available and accessible for victims of domestic violence and their children in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The DVOB consists of 15 members. Originally, 13 members were appointed by the Board (one (1) for each Commissioner), and two (2) members were appointed by the County Mayor, one (1) of which shall be a member of law enforcement. This ordinance amendment retains a DVOB membership of 15, the appointment of 13 members by the Board (one (1) for each Commissioner), and the appointment of one (1) member by the Mayor from the law enforcement community. The ordinance amendment reduces the appointments of the Mayor from two (2) to one (1).</p>												
<b>5A 151511</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 241 – "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA – FUTURE MULTI-USE FACILITY" TO REDUCE ITS ALLOCATION BY \$1,500,000.00 AND ADDITION OF NEW PROJECT NO. 353 – "BISCAYNE SHORES & GARDENS COMMUNITY CENTER" TO BE FUNDED WITH \$1,500,000 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 241, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-917-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING AND WAIVING PROVISIONS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approves the significant modification of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 241- Unincorporated Municipal Service Area- Future Multi-Use Facility- to reduce its allocation by \$1,500,000.00 from \$2,890,000.00 to \$1,390,000.00;</li> <li>• Declares \$1,500,000.00 as surplus funds and waives the provisions of IO 3-47 as it relates to adding new projects to the Bond Program using surplus funds; and</li> <li>• Approves, after a public hearing, the addition of a new Project No. 353- Biscayne Shores &amp; Gardens Community Center- to be funded with \$1,500,000.00 of surplus funds from Project 241.</li> </ul> <p>This item was considered by the Building Better Communities Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting of June 23, 2015, and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>												
<b>5B 151309</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ACCELERATION OF MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT PROJECTS FOR THE CONSENT DECREE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM; AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 14-77 TO ELIMINATE SUNSET PROVISION AND PROVIDE FOR AUTOMATIC RENEWAL ON A YEARLY BASIS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151186)												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department (MDWSD) projects, amends Ordinance No. 14-77 to eliminate sunset provision and provide for automatic renewal on a yearly basis.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Miami-Dade County Code Comparison Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners.</b> Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before <b>repeal</b> shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> On September 3, 2014, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-77, created Section 2-8.2.12 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), delegating to the County Mayor or his designee the authority to advertise, award, amend and negotiate contracts for goods and services, construction and professional services for the MDWSD, to extend contract duration, to execute change orders and to settle claims without need for prior Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approval. In addition, the Ordinance No. 14-77 provided for ratification of all actions on a quarterly basis. The implementation of this ordinance would accelerate the procurement process of all approved MDWSD capital construction projects.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Legislative Background Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; padding: 5px;"><b>Ordinance 07-108</b></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>July 24, 2007</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Miami-Dade County Code Comparison Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77		Current	Proposed	This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	<b>This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners.</b> Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before <b>repeal</b> shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	Legislative Background Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority		<b>Ordinance 07-108</b>	This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and	<b>July 24, 2007</b>	
Miami-Dade County Code Comparison Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77													
Current	Proposed												
This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	<b>This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners.</b> Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before <b>repeal</b> shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.												
Legislative Background Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority													
<b>Ordinance 07-108</b>	This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and												
<b>July 24, 2007</b>													

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>construction contracts, approve extensions of contract time, waive liquidated damages, negotiate and settle claims related to the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility.</p> <p>The ordinance also authorized the Mayor or his designee to approve change orders on such contracts without the need for prior committee or Board approval as long as the change order did not exceed \$500,000 in cumulative dollar amount and 15% of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount. However, these actions are subject to ratification by committee and the Board.</p> <p>All actions executed by the Mayor or his designee pursuant to subsections 2-8.2.11(a) and (b) will be submitted to the next meeting of the Governmental Operations and Environmental Committee and will be waived to the agenda of the next scheduled Board of County Commissioners meeting for ratification.</p> <p>The intent of this ordinance was to grant the Mayor or his designee authority to accelerate the processing and procurement of contracts and agreements related to design and construction of the improvements <b>This Ordinance will be subject to sunset review annually commencing one year from the date of adoption.</b></p>
<p><b>Ordinance 08-132</b> <b>December 2, 2008</b></p>	<p>This Ordinance amended Section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code providing for the following exception to the ratification requirement for certain actions by the Mayor or his designee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>No ratification is required of an action executed by the Mayor or his designee which involves waiving liquidated damages as a result of rescheduling contract activities or internal milestones provided neither the total contract time inclusive of time allowances nor the total contract amount inclusive of contingency allowances is exceeded. Any such action will be included in a report submitted to the BCC on a quarterly basis.</i></li> </ul> <p>The existing MDWASD Contracting Authority language under Section 2-8.2.11 of the County Code allows for the Mayor or his designee to accelerate the processing and procurement of contracts and agreements related to the design and construction of improvements for the above mentioned projects. For example, the Mayor or his designee may settle contractor claims, waive liquidated damages, extend contract time, and issue change orders for additional work requiring ratification by the BCC. However, in order to further expedite contracts and agreements, the proposed ordinance establishes the continuation of waving liquidated damages by the Mayor or his designee without the ratification of the BCC. This would be contingent upon the contract amount and contract time not increasing. The Mayor or his designee will report any such actions to the BCC on a quarterly basis.</p>
<p><b>File No. 122357</b> <b>March 21, 2013</b> <b>Withdrawn</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code to authorize the Mayor or his designee to bring certain contract-related actions for ratification to the BCC without the need for committee review. Additionally, the amendment increases the Mayor's authority to execute change orders or amendments related to these contracts from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000, and not to exceed 15 percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount which will be approved by the BCC through ratification.</p> <p>Furthermore, the amendment expands the list of WASD's projects to be accelerated and will also allow additions or deletions from the list of projects approved for acceleration to be made through subsequent resolutions sent directly to the Board without the need for prior committee approval.</p> <p>All actions executed by the Mayor or his designee pursuant to Sections 2-8.2.11 (b) of the Miami-Dade County Code will be submitted to the next available BCC meeting for ratification.</p> <p><b>Background</b> On July 24, 2007, the Board adopted Ordinance 07-108, which authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to advertise and recommend for award without committee review, design and construction contracts related to the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility. These contracts are currently exempt from the customary committee review requirement. In addition, the Mayor or his designee is currently authorized to execute change orders or amendments related to these contracts that do not exceed \$500,000 or 15 percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount.</p> <p><b>Discussion at the January 16, 2013, ICIC meeting:</b> <i>Commissioners raised concerns with circumventing the Committee review process from the oversight process especially with the significant amount of money involved; and expanding the Mayor's authority relating to change orders.</i></p> <p><i>MDWSD stated that since the adoption of the accelerated ordinance, which is still in force, some things had changed; for example, previously the Administration required BCC authority to advertise, but now the Mayor had</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																						
		<p><i>that authority; MDWSD supported the present item because it was modeled on the accelerated ordinance, and would reduce the length of the process by six weeks; Additionally, it would include projects that were part of the new Consent Orders with the EPA and the Department of Justice regarding all of the waste water treatment plants and a pump station and it would also include all of the projects that were in the infrastructure needs report and indicated that all of these projects were previously approved by the BCC in the Department's Comprehensive Capital Improvement Program. MDWSD pointed out that the proposed ordinance simply by-passed the Committee, noting the BCC would maintain full oversight over the process.</i></p>																					
	<p><b>Ordinance 13-30</b> <b>April 2, 2013</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sec 2-8.2.11, relating to contract authority for certain water and sewer projects, requiring committee review of contract awards and provides for waiver of 4-day rule in certain instances.</p> <p>Currently, any contract recommended for award under Section 2-8.2.11 will be heard by the BCC without the need for prior committee approval.</p> <p>The proposed amendment allows for the item to go through the committee process or be waived out of committee at the discretion of the committee chairperson. Additionally, if the item is waived, it will be placed on the agenda of the next regular BCC meeting and will not be subject to the 4-day rule. The intent was to expedite the process while allowing the BCC to maintain oversight.</p> <p><b>Discussion at the April 2, 2013, BCC meeting:</b> <i>Commissioners raised the following concerns: that projects in this substantial Retrofit Plan would bypass review by committee(s) who had jurisdiction in the area of minority participation and that certain segments of the community were not benefiting from the Ordinance; concerns with the Mayor's Small Business Development's (SBD) staff reduction from 107 employees to less than 30, and the impact this had on their ability to provide the same level of service; with the current contracting and award process and requested this process be revisited; that the competitive bidding process needed to be revisited to ensure that equal opportunities existed for everyone.</i></p>																					
<b>7A 151069</b>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XXXI OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO LOCALLY ADOPT THE SPIRIT UNDERLYING THE PRINCIPLES OF THE CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN, AN INTERNATIONAL TREATY; AMENDING SECTION 2-477 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO AUTHORIZE THE COMMISSION AUDITOR TO GATHER DATA REGARDING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, HEALTH AND SAFETY, AND EDUCATION OF WOMEN IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AMENDING SECTION 2-269 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO AUTHORIZE THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION FOR WOMEN TO ANALYZE SUCH DATA AND TO REPORT TO THIS BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>																						
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends article XXXI of the Miami-Dade County Code to locally adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the convention on the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women, an international treaty. The proposed ordinance also amends section 2-477 of the Miami-Dade County Code to authorize the Commission Auditor to gather data regarding economic development, health and safety, and education of women in Miami-Dade County. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends section 2-269 of the Miami-Dade County Code to authorize the Miami-Dade County Commission for Women to analyze such data and report to the Board.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th align="center" colspan="3">Code of Miami-Dade County</th> </tr> <tr> <th align="center" colspan="3">Article XXXI</th> </tr> <tr> <th align="center" colspan="3">Section 2-271</th> </tr> <tr> <th align="center" colspan="3">Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women</th> </tr> <tr> <th align="center">Section</th> <th align="center">Current</th> <th align="center">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sec. 2-271 <i>Policy</i></td> <td>N/A</td> <td> <p><b>It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (commonly referred to as "CEDAW" and hereinafter referred to as "Convention"). The Convention, a human rights treaty adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1979, aims to eliminate all discrimination against women around the world, including but not limited to in the areas of economic development, health and safety, and education. Miami-Dade County finds a need to gather data locally and provide analysis annually to study gender equity in Miami-Dade County. "Gender Equity Data" shall be defined as information collected to identify disparities existing between women and men throughout Miami-Dade County and shall include, to the extent permitted by law, information regarding sex, race, sexual orientation, immigration status, parental status, disability, and age.</b></p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sec. 2-477 <i>Scope of Authority</i></td> <td> <p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p> </td> <td> <p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Code of Miami-Dade County			Article XXXI			Section 2-271			Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 2-271 <i>Policy</i>	N/A	<p><b>It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (commonly referred to as "CEDAW" and hereinafter referred to as "Convention"). The Convention, a human rights treaty adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1979, aims to eliminate all discrimination against women around the world, including but not limited to in the areas of economic development, health and safety, and education. Miami-Dade County finds a need to gather data locally and provide analysis annually to study gender equity in Miami-Dade County. "Gender Equity Data" shall be defined as information collected to identify disparities existing between women and men throughout Miami-Dade County and shall include, to the extent permitted by law, information regarding sex, race, sexual orientation, immigration status, parental status, disability, and age.</b></p>	Sec. 2-477 <i>Scope of Authority</i>	<p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p>	<p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p>
Code of Miami-Dade County																							
Article XXXI																							
Section 2-271																							
Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women																							
Section	Current	Proposed																					
Sec. 2-271 <i>Policy</i>	N/A	<p><b>It is the goal of Miami-Dade County to adopt the spirit underlying the principles of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (commonly referred to as "CEDAW" and hereinafter referred to as "Convention"). The Convention, a human rights treaty adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1979, aims to eliminate all discrimination against women around the world, including but not limited to in the areas of economic development, health and safety, and education. Miami-Dade County finds a need to gather data locally and provide analysis annually to study gender equity in Miami-Dade County. "Gender Equity Data" shall be defined as information collected to identify disparities existing between women and men throughout Miami-Dade County and shall include, to the extent permitted by law, information regarding sex, race, sexual orientation, immigration status, parental status, disability, and age.</b></p>																					
Sec. 2-477 <i>Scope of Authority</i>	<p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p>	<p>The Commission Auditor shall, to the extent provided for in the annual work program, perform the following functions and be charged with the following responsibilities on behalf of the Commission:</p>																					

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>10. To make periodic reports to the Commission which shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. To determine whether departments, agencies and entities of the County have complied with the fiscal and legislative policies of the Commission;</li> <li>b. To provide information on proposals that could adversely affect the County including, but not limited to, the County's credit rating;</li> <li>c. To report matters and make recommendations concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of programs and the operation of the County;</li> <li>d. To be empowered to take exception to improper specific expenditures incurred by any County department, agency or entity; and</li> </ul> <p>11. The Commission Auditor shall serve as a voting member of any competitive selection committee convened for the purpose of recommending an external auditor to the Mayor or the Mayor's designee. The Commission Auditor shall also be apprised by the Mayor or the Mayor's designee of the activities of the external auditor and may monitor the conduct of, and responses to, external financial statement audits, and the resolution of audit findings. The Commission Auditor shall also work toward the elimination of duplicative audit work through cooperation with state, federal and external auditors, and the Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts when the Clerk is performing as auditor under Article V, Section 16 of the Florida Constitution and general laws of the State of Florida.</p>	<p>10. To make periodic reports to the Commission which shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. To determine whether departments, agencies and entities of the County have complied with the fiscal and legislative policies of the Commission;</li> <li>b. To provide information on proposals that could adversely affect the County including, but not limited to, the County's credit rating;</li> <li>c. To report matters and make recommendations concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of programs and the operation of the County;</li> <li>d. To be empowered to take exception to improper specific expenditures incurred by any County department, agency or entity; and</li> </ul> <p><b>11. In furtherance of the Commission's policy set forth in Section 2-271 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Office of the Commission Auditor shall gather and provide Gender Equity Data, as such term is defined in Section 2-271 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, annually to the Miami-Dade County Commission for Women in the categories enumerated below.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Economic Development: As compared to men both within Miami-Dade County government and throughout Miami-Dade County, the numbers of women employed by industry, serving in high-level or executive positions, serving in low-wage positions, serving as heads-of-household, living in poverty; how salaries compare for men and women employed in the same or similar positions; gender balance on County advisory boards; and any other metrics or information deemed relevant and reasonably accessible by the Office of the Commission Auditor.</b></li> <li>b. <b>Health and Safety: Infant mortality and birth rates in Miami-Dade County; as compared to men, the number of women and girls with health insurance, with health conditions such as hypertension, with life-threatening conditions such as heart disease; the number of women and girls falling victim to sexual exploitation and human trafficking; the number of reported instances of rape and sexual assault; the amount of money and the types of funding sources spent assisting domestic violence victims and trying to prevent instances of domestic violence; Miami-Dade County government resources directed specifically at women and girls; and any other metrics or information deemed relevant and reasonably accessible by the Office of the Commission Auditor.</b></li> <li>c. <b>Education: As compared to men, the number of women dropping out of high school each year; the number of women with less than a high school education; with some college, with college degrees, with masters-level, doctorate and professional degrees; and any other metrics or information deemed relevant and reasonably accessible by the Office of the Commission Auditor.</b></li> </ul> <p>12. The Commission Auditor shall serve as a voting member of any competitive selection committee convened for the purpose of recommending an external auditor to the Mayor or the Mayor's designee. The Commission Auditor shall also be apprised by the Mayor or the Mayor's designee of the activities of the external auditor and may monitor the conduct of, and responses to, external financial statement audits, and the resolution of audit findings. The Commission Auditor shall also work toward the elimination of duplicative audit work through cooperation with state, federal and external auditors, and the Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		when the Clerk is performing as auditor under Article V, Section 16 of the Florida Constitution and general laws of the State of Florida.
	Sec. 2-269 <i>Duties and powers of the Commission</i>	(h) To perform such other duties as may from time to time be assigned to it by resolution of the County Commission.  <b>(h) To analyze Gender Equity Data provided annually by the Commission Auditor, pursuant to Section 2-477 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, regarding the economic development, health and safety, and education of women in Miami-Dade County and to report the Commission for Women's analysis and recommendations based on Gender Equity Data annually to the County Mayor and to the County Commission, and to make the Commission for Women's report available to the public.</b>  (i) To perform such other duties as may from time to time be assigned to it by resolution of the County Commission.
	<p><b><u>Additional Information - The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</u></b></p> <p>On December 18, 1979, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly. It entered into force as an international treaty on September 3, 1981 after the twentieth country had ratified it. By the tenth anniversary of the Convention in 1989, almost one hundred nations have agreed to be bound by its provisions.</p> <p>The Convention was the culmination of more than thirty years of work by the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, a body established in 1946 to monitor the situation of women and to promote women's rights. The Commission's work has been instrumental in bringing to light all the areas in which women are denied equality with men. These efforts for the advancement of women have resulted in several declarations and conventions, of which the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women is the central and most comprehensive document.</p> <p>Among the international human rights treaties, the Convention takes an important place in bringing the female half of humanity into the focus of human rights concerns. The spirit of the Convention is rooted in the goals of the United Nations: to reaffirm faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity, and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women. The present document spells out the meaning of equality and how it can be achieved. In so doing, the Convention establishes not only an international bill of rights for women, but also an agenda for action by countries to guarantee the enjoyment of those rights.</p>	
<b>7B 151579</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN SOCIAL EQUITY STATEMENT REGARDING ANY PROPOSED COUNTY ORDINANCE AND PLACE SUCH STATEMENT ON AN AGENDA WITH THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE PRIOR TO PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ORDINANCE; SETTING FORTH EXCEPTIONS; REQUIRING THE MAYOR TO TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS AFTER PROVISION OF THE SOCIAL EQUITY STATEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150909]	
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring the County Mayor to develop a written statement of social equity regarding any proposed County ordinance and place such statement on an agenda with the proposed ordinance prior to public hearing on the ordinance.</p> <p><i>This item was amended at the June 11, 2015 Economic Prosperity Committee meeting to include language that would base the social equity statement using information currently available and known by the administration at the time of the policy recommendation.</i></p> <p><b>(t) SOCIAL EQUITY STATEMENT REQUIRED FOR ORDINANCES; EXCEPTIONS.</b></p> <p><b>(1) Prior to the public hearing on any ordinance, the Mayor shall prepare a written social equity statement (a) identifying the possible non-monetary benefits and burdens of the policy to be implemented by the proposed ordinance and describing how those benefits and burdens would be distributed throughout the community, (e.g., geographically, demographically, by income levels, etc.) and (b) identifying the possible increase or decrease in monetary costs anticipated to be borne by the residents of the County if the proposed ordinance is adopted and describing how those increased or decreased monetary costs would be distributed throughout the community, (e.g., geographically, demographically, by income levels, etc.) ("social equity statement"). No public hearing on any ordinance shall be held, if the social equity statement is not submitted with the ordinance as part of the agenda.</b></p> <p><b>(2) If the Mayor initially determines that an ordinance has no social equity impact, but later determines that the ordinance does have a social equity impact (such as during the process of implementation), then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Additionally, if, due to the social equity impact of an ordinance, the Mayor has not fully implemented all or any part of the program or policy provided for in an ordinance within one year of the effective date, or such other date as set forth in the ordinance, then the Mayor shall so advise the Board in a memorandum. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this rule shall be construed to authorize the Mayor not to comply with the policy direction contained in an ordinance without Board approval.</b></p> <p><b>(3) The Mayor shall not be required to provide a social equity statement for budget or emergency ordinances.</b></p> <p><b>(4) The social equity statement shall be based on information that is currently available and known by the administration.</b></p>	

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<b>Additional Information and Relevant Legislation</b>
	<p><b>June 5, 2009</b> <b>Resolution No. R-730-09</b></p> <p>This Resolution required current information regarding particular funding sources be presented to the BCC at the time legislation designating use of such funding source was to be considered. During the BCC meeting, an amendment was proposed and adopted to specify that the memorandum that accompanies legislation which designates the use of a particular funding source will identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For each such funding source the index code and sub-object code (or if index codes and subobject codes are not used by the applicable department, the Fund, Subfund, and Project Number);</li> <li>• The available balance of such index code and sub-object code, or if applicable, Fund, Subfund and Project Number; and</li> <li>• The available capacity using reasonable interest rates assumptions if the issuance of debt is contemplated. This information would be supplemented by the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee when it is necessary, to update such information prior to the Board's final consideration of the legislation.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>December 2, 2014</b> <b>Ordinance No. 14-119</b></p> <p>This Ordinance amended Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to the Rules of Procedure of the BCC, to require the Mayor to provide a memorandum to the Board if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Mayor initially determines that an ordinance has no fiscal impact, but later determines that the ordinance does have a fiscal impact; and</li> <li>• If, due to the fiscal impact, the Mayor has not fully implemented the program or policy provided for in an ordinance within one year of the effective date, or such other date as set forth in the ordinance.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>June 30, 2015</b> <b>Ordinance No. 15-59</b></p> <p>This Ordinance amended Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code, pertaining to the Rules of Procedure of the BCC, requiring inclusion of additional line item information in statements of fiscal impact required for ordinances.</p> <p><i>According to the Mayor's Office, the provision requiring forecast for a period of no less than five (5) years may delay the placement of legislative items on BCC agendas. Furthermore, the forecasted revenues and expenditures that are subject to future policy decisions and therefore any future fiscal analysis will be highly uncertain as future policy decisions that may impact these projections cannot be anticipated.</i></p>
<b>7C 150843</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE SOUTH A MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE SOUTH A AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE SOUTH A MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>7D 150844</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE SOUTH B MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE SOUTH B AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE SOUTH B MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
	<p><b><u>150843</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance, relating to the South A Municipal Advisory Committee (South A MAC) created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South A area, provides that the South A MAC will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the South A area, or (ii) 12 months from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p><i>It is provided, however, that in no event will the South A MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</i></p> <p>The South A MAC will not meet to take final action on its report until the study being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. concerning annexations and incorporations (Study) has been accepted by the BCC. The South A MAC will review and consider the Study, prior to completing its report.</p> <p><b><u>150844</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance, relating to the South B Municipal Advisory Committee (South B MAC) created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South B area, provides that the South B MAC will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the South B area, or (ii) 12 months from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p><i>It is provided, however, that in no event will the South B MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</i></p> <p>The South B MAC will not meet to take final action on its report until the study being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. concerning annexations and incorporations (Study) has been accepted by the BCC. The South B MAC will review and consider the Study, prior to completing its report.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On September 4, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 13-77 creating the South A MAC and Ordinance No. 13-78 creating the South B MAC, directing the committee to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South A and South B areas. On June 24, 2014, the South A MAC was organized and began to meet and on June 25, 2014, the South B MAC was organized and began to meet.</p> <p>Pursuant to Section 20-29(E) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, municipal advisory committees have a 24 month period from the adoption of their respective ordinance to complete their study and additional time is needed for the South A and South B MACs to conduct required public hearings and complete their study, and moreover, after completing their report, to remain in existence to be able to respond to inquiries from the BCC.</p>
<b>7E 151801</b>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 4-7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ELIMINATING REQUIREMENT THAT AIR AMBULANCE RATES BE ESTABLISHED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151107]</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 4-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code eliminating the requirement that air ambulance rates be established by Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><i>Currently all ambulance and air ambulance rates are established by the commission after public hearing and ambulance rates charged by municipal fire and/or rescue departments are not subject to approval by the Board of County Commissioners. Instead each municipality which adopts a resolution establishing a schedule of ambulance rates for a municipal fire and/or rescue department will provide the Miami-Dade County Consumer Services Department with a copy of the resolution approving any rate change as well as the schedule of rates within thirty (30) days after the adoption of the resolution authorizing the rate change.</i></p> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on July 8, 2015, the proposed ordinance was amended to read "unless the Commission finds that air ambulance rates being charged are excessive, and it is the best interest of Miami-Dade County to establish air ambulance rate."</p> <p>The following was discussed during the Committee meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Committee asked if the proposed resolution presented any conflicts with the Fire Rescue Department or with Jackson Memorial Hospital. The Fire Chief confirmed that the Fire Rescue Department operated under a different certificate and would not be impacted by the proposed resolution. Similarly, the Deputy Mayor explained that Jackson Memorial Hospital did not express concerns regarding the proposed resolution.</i></li> </ul>
<b>7F 151127</b>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 12-27 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO SPECIAL ELECTIONS FOR GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND REFERENDUM TO REQUIRE DISCLOSURE OF ESTIMATED OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES OF PROJECTS FUNDED BY SUCH BONDS AND PROPOSED SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR SUCH EXPENSES IN RESOLUTION CALLING SUCH ELECTION; AND PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance establishes policy that all resolutions calling a special election for bond referendum include a disclosure of the estimated operating and maintenance expenses of the funded projects as well as the expected source of funds to pay such expenses.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 12-27. General Obligation Bond Referendum Ballot Questions.</i></p> <p><i>Subject to the requirements of Florida Statutes Sections 100.211 and 101.161 and unless expressly waived by a vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the Board members present, all resolutions calling a special election to place a bond referendum before the voters <b>must include a statement disclosing the estimated annual operating and maintenance expenses of the project or projects for which the bond proceeds are sought as well as the proposed funding source for such expenses and must also include a statement within the ballot summary which informs voters that such general obligation bonds if approved will be "paid or secured by taxes derived from the assessed value of property in the County". The required inclusion of such language in the general obligation bond referendum ballot summary is directory only and the failure to include such language shall not be used as a basis to invalidate the bond referendum or the ballot summary in any litigation. Additionally, in accordance with Florida Statutes Section 100.31, no suit may be brought to test the validity of any bond referendum or the validity of any bond issued as a result of the bond referendum based on the provisions of this section unless such suit is instituted within 60 days after the declaration of the results of the referendum.</b></i></p> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee noted the need for transparency; the need to be clear about the purpose of bond referendums; and the need to be able to maintain projects once completed.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee questioned the methods used to estimate operating costs of projects associated with the Building Better Communities Bond Program and noted the need to have complete project details since public dollars were used and the need for sufficient funding for future maintenance operating costs.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee pointed out that some costs of operation could be estimated; however, some could not.</i></li> <li>• <i>It was noted that an estimate per square footage could be used to determine costs and that the voters should be taxed to build facilities that were determined high priority requests; however, a plan should be in-place to pay for facility maintenance costs.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee inquired whether there was any concern relating to litigation on this item to which the Assistant County Attorney reported that the proposal provided a litigation period cap, noting that any concerns regarding the estimated dollar figure needed</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>to be presented prior to the issuance of the bonds and shortly after passed by the Commission. He clarified that it was an estimate based upon the best understanding at that time.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The committee noted the importance of providing voters the total economic impact associated with these projects and that the public needed to understand what they were voting on and the need to maintain such projects.</i></li> </ul>
<b>8A1 151392</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING SEVEN-YEAR LEASE AGREEMENT WITH BRINK'S, INCORPORATED FOR RENOVATION AND SUBSEQUENT USE OF OFFICE AND STORAGE SPACE IN BUILDING 845 AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT EFFECTIVE AS OF JUNE 1, 2015, WITH RENT PAYMENTS COMMENCING ON DECEMBER 1, 2015, AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE RENOVATION PERIOD IN THE INITIAL ANNUAL RENT AMOUNT OF \$91,314.96 LESS \$47,486.64 IN RENOVATION CREDITS TO CONTINUE OVER A FIVE-YEAR AMORTIZATION PERIOD; AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS OF SUCH LEASE, INCLUDING TERMINATION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the seven-year lease agreement with Brink's, Incorporated (Brink's) for renovation and subsequent use of office and storage space in Building 845 at Miami International Airport (MIA) effective June 1, 2015, with rent payments commencing on December 1, 2015, at the conclusion of the renovation period, in the initial annual rent amount of \$91,314.96 less \$47,486.64 in renovation credits over a five-year amortization period.</p> <p><b>Background</b> Brink's has been a long-time permittee at MIA, using the Air Operating Area (AOA) for transportation of cash and valuables to and from aircraft parked on the AOA. In order to centralize its operations and develop its Latin American market, Brink's will renovate office space on the third floor of Building 845 and thereafter occupy it as a tenant over a seven-year period. Under the lease terms, Brink's will be entitled to reimbursement of its renovation costs through rent credits in an amount not to exceed \$237,433.00 in total, amortized over a five-year period.</p>
<b>8D1 151902</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$45,000,000.00 MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA SPECIAL OBLIGATION COURT FACILITIES REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2015, PURSUANT TO CERTAIN AUTHORIZING ORDINANCE TO REFUND CERTAIN COUNTY SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS (JUVENILE COURTHOUSE PROJECT), AND PAY COSTS OF ISSUANCE; MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS TO SUPPORT SUCH REFUNDING WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 10.98%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$402,200.00 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF APRIL 1, 2035; PROVIDING THAT PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON BONDS SHALL BE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM PLEDGED REVENUES; APPROVING COVENANT TO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATE AS ADDITIONAL SECURITY FOR BONDS; ESTABLISHING CERTAIN GENERAL TERMS, SECURITY, RIGHTS OF BONDHOLDERS, COVENANTS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS; CREATING CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS; AUTHORIZING PUBLIC SALE OF BONDS BY COMPETITIVE BID; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS, INCLUDING ACCEPTANCE OF BID; APPROVING FORM AND USE OF OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE, PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENT; APPROVING FORMS AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF CERTAIN OTHER DOCUMENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF BONDS; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AS AMENDED; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Series 2015 Resolution, which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authorizes the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation Court Facilities Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 (Series 2015 Refunding Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$45 million; and</li> <li>Waives Resolution No. R-130-06, which provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on an agenda, because the competitive sale of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution.</li> </ul> <p><i>The Series 2015 Refunding Bonds together with bond premium, if any, and other funds on deposit (Traffic Surcharge revenues) will refund all or a portion of the outstanding Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation (Juvenile Courthouse Project), Series 2003A and the pay costs of issuance and underwriter's discount for debt service savings.</i></p> <p><i>The Series 2003A Bonds to be refunded were originally issued to fund the Juvenile Courthouse and related facilities for the juvenile division and the probate and guardianship division on County-owned land at 155 NW 3 Street in the City of Miami.</i></p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> The proposed Series 2015 Refunding Bonds, together with any un-refunded Series 2003A Bonds, Series 2003B Bonds, the Series 2014A Bonds and the Series 2014B Bonds (Outstanding Bonds) are secured by the \$30.00 Traffic Surcharge and by an existing pledge of the County's legally available non-ad valorem revenues as authorized under Ordinance No. 04-117. If the \$30.00 Traffic Surcharge is insufficient to pay the Outstanding Bonds, the County will covenant to budget and appropriate from legally available non-ad valorem revenues for any such shortfall.</p> <p>The fiscal impact of the proposed refunding transaction is positive. The par amount of the proposed Series 2015 Refunding Bonds is \$40.22 million with a level debt service structure and a final maturity of April 1, 2035, which does not exceed the final maturity of the Series 2003A Bonds. Estimated costs of issuance are \$402,200.00. The proposed refunding transaction generates a debt service savings of \$7.45 million over the life of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds, which represents a net present value savings of 10.98 percent or approximately \$4.9 million.</p> <p>The Series 2015 Refunding Bonds will be a fixed rate issuance of current interest bonds (i.e. interest paid semi-annually) and sold by a competitive sale. A final pricing report will be distributed to the Board after the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds are priced. The Series 2015 Refunding Bonds are expected to be priced and closed no later than October 2015.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Background:</b> In order to fund the construction of state court facilities, the County issued bonds backed by the \$30.00 Traffic Surcharge that is imposed on certain traffic violations pursuant to the authority conferred in Section 318.18(13)(a)(1), Florida Statutes, as amended. With this funding source, the County has issued bonds to: a) purchase the Courthouse Center located at 175 NW 1 Avenue, Miami, Florida; b) build the Juvenile Courthouse located at 155 NW 3 Street, Miami, Florida; and c) provide for improvements and renovations to existing court facilities and judicial facilities in the County. The County has issued the following Outstanding Bonds to support these activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$44.605 million Special Obligation Court Facility Bonds, Series 2003A, of which all are currently outstanding;</li> <li>• \$45.85 million Variable Rate Special Obligation Court Facility Bonds, Series 2003B, of which all are currently outstanding;</li> <li>• \$18.195 million Special Obligation Court Facility Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A, of which \$15.42 million are currently outstanding; and</li> <li>• \$23.065 million Special Obligation Court Facility Bonds, Series 2014B, of which \$22.615 million are currently outstanding.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> On February 20, 2003, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-144-03, adopted the Series 2003 Resolution which authorized the issuance and negotiated sale of Miami-Dade County, Florida Special Obligation Bonds (Juvenile Courthouse Project) in one or more series in an amount not to exceed \$95,000,000. The Juvenile Courthouse Bonds were issued for the purpose of providing funds, together with other available moneys of the County, to: (i) finance the acquisition, construction and equipping a juvenile courthouse and related facilities (the "Project"); (ii) provide for the funding of the Supplemental Reserve Fund; and (iii) pay costs of issuance of the Juvenile Courthouse Bonds, including premiums for a Credit Facility and Reserve Facility, if utilized.</p> <p>The Series 2003 Resolution further delegated to the Finance Director, as County Manager's designee, authority to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finalize the terms and details of the Juvenile Courthouse Bonds, including the interest rates, maturities and other details relating to the Juvenile Courthouse Bonds;</li> <li>• Issue the Juvenile Courthouse Bonds in various interest rate modes including fixed rate, auction rate and variable rate;</li> <li>• Negotiate and obtain municipal bond insurance and a Reserve Facility, if deemed appropriate; and</li> <li>• Use, execute and deliver the Bond Purchase Agreement.</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the 2003 Resolution approved the use and distribution of a Preliminary Official Statement and authorized officers of the County to take all the necessary actions in connection with the issuance of the Juvenile Courthouse Bonds.</p>
<b>8D2 151907</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE BY THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AUTHORITY OF ITS REVENUE AND REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$764,000,000.00 TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS ON BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the issuance of educational facilities revenue and revenue refunding bonds by the Miami-Dade County Educational Facilities Authority (EFA) on behalf of the University of Miami (University) after a public hearing in an amount not to exceed \$764 million (the Bonds).</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> Neither the EFA nor Miami-Dade County has any liability with respect to the repayment of the Bonds. The principal and interest on the Bonds will be payable solely from revenues of the University.</p> <p><b>Background:</b> Pursuant to Chapter 243, Part I, Florida Statutes, as amended (Act) the BCC created the Miami-Dade County Educational Facilities Authority (Authority), and empowered it to issue tax-exempt or taxable revenue bonds for the purpose of making loans to secondary educational institutions in order to provide financing for qualified educational facilities.</p> <p>The University of Miami, a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit Florida corporation (University), has requested that the Authority issue up to \$764,000,000.00 of revenue and revenue refunding bonds (Bonds), in one or more tax exempt and/or taxable series, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finance or refinance all or a part of the costs of the acquisition, construction, renovation and equipping of educational, research, medical, healthcare, parking and other facilities owned and operated by the University which are more fully described, including their locations;</li> <li>• Refund, as determined by the University, all or a portion of the outstanding Miami-Dade County, Florida Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A (University of Miami Issue);</li> <li>• Refund, as determined by the University, all or a portion of the outstanding Miami-Dade County, Florida Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A (University of Miami Issue);</li> <li>• Pay certain capitalized interest on the Bonds, as determined by the University; and</li> <li>• Pay the costs of issuance of the Bonds.</li> </ul> <p>Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code), requires as a condition of exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of the interest on private activity bonds, that the issuance of private activity bonds, as defined in Section 141(a) of the Code, be approved, after a public hearing following reasonable public notice, by the governmental unit on behalf of which such obligations are to be issued and in which the private activity bond-financed facility is located.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	In a resolution adopted by the Authority on July 21, 2015, the Authority expressed its intent to issue the Bonds subject to a public hearing to be held by the Authority, the approval by the Authority of the issuance of the Bonds and the financing documents related to the Bonds, and the approval of the Bonds by the BCC after the public hearing. The public hearing was held on August 19, 2015.
<b>8F1 151485</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF LEASE AGREEMENT WITH JMR FOODS, INC. WITH A POSITIVE FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY OF AT LEAST \$415,635.95 FOR THE INITIAL TERM OF FIVE YEARS AND SIX MONTHS AND THE ONE FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL TERM FOR THE DEVELOPMENT, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF A FOOD SERVICE FACILITY AT THE CHILDREN'S COURTHOUSE BUILDING; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED IN THE LEASE AGREEMENT, INCLUDING RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OPTIONS; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE, WITHIN 30 DAYS OF ITS EXECUTION, A COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award of a Lease Agreement with JMR Foods, Inc. (JMR) for the development, operation and maintenance of a food service facility at the new Children's Courthouse Building located at 155 NW 3 Street, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>Award of the Lease Agreement to JMR is based upon the results of a full and open competitive solicitation, Contract No. 00070. The leased space will be delivered to JMR in an undeveloped condition, and it will be JMR's responsibility to develop the space, including the provision of all necessary equipment and furnishings to run a food service facility. Under the Lease Agreement, JMR's responsibilities include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating a food service facility Monday through Friday, except on County, state or federal designated holidays, during hours approved by the County;</li> <li>• Employing a sufficient number of trained personnel for the delivery of quality customer service;</li> <li>• Employing a qualified full-time, on-site manager who shall be available during normal business hours and be delegated authority to ensure the competent performance of lease obligations;</li> <li>• Making payments for all charges for utility service used or consumed in or upon the leased premises, including electricity, gas and water and sewer; and</li> <li>• Providing janitorial services to maintain the cleanliness of the premises and equipment.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The fiscal impact for the initial five-year and six-month term is projected to be \$194,089.84 in revenue to the County. The initial six-month period will be used by JMR for the build-out of the food service facility. The projected amount includes a guaranteed rent of \$2,500.00 per month in the initial year, once the facility is in operation. The guaranteed rent increases automatically by three (3) percent each subsequent year during the five-year period, including the optional five-year renewal term. Additionally, on a monthly basis, the vendor will pay the County one (1) percent of gross receipts. If the County exercises the five- year option to renew term, the cumulative revenue projection is \$415,635.95.</p> <p><b>JMR is responsible for all costs associated with the development, operation and maintenance of the food service facility. There are no County funds available for this project.</b></p> <p><b><u>Market Rental Value:</u></b> Pursuant to Resolution No. R-333-15, the Internal Services Department conducted a survey to determine the market rental value of the leased premises. The survey identified the following food service facilities that are situated in County-owned buildings located in very close proximity to the Children's Courthouse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rose's Café, located in the Tax Collector's Office Building, 220 NW 3 Avenue, Miami, Florida, pays \$1,000.00 per month plus five (5) percent of the monthly gross receipts; and</li> <li>• Bottega Express, also owned by JMR, located in the Stephen P. Clark Center, 111 NW 1 Street, Miami, Florida, pays the greater of \$2,100.00 per month or a percentage of annual gross receipts based on a sliding scale (four (4) percent up to \$1.5 million; six (6) percent from \$1.5 up to \$2 million, 10 percent from \$2 million up to \$3 million, and 12 percent over \$3 million).</li> </ul> <p>A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on September 18, 2014. Seven (7) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. Following evaluation of proposals, the Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended that negotiations begin with JMR, the highest ranked proposer. After negotiations, JMR is recommended for award, as the vendor is the highest ranked, responsive and responsible proposer.</p> <p><b><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The committee noted a resolution was previously enacted requiring County purchasing include a preference for local food procurement and questioned whether that provision was in this agreement. The Assistant Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) responded that it was not. She informed the committee that the recommended vendor would be encouraged to use healthy, locally grown foods in the operation and that it was not included because food products were not being purchased.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The committee inquired whether JMR Foods, Inc. (JMR) operated at any other County facility and the number of companies that bid on the proposal to which the Assistant Director of ISD responded that JMR operated the food court at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center. She noted seven companies responded to the proposal and two companies were no-bids.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The committee questioned whether there was any data on the food quality at the SPCGC operation, noting it was not impressed with this facility.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The committee asked Assistant County Attorney and Deputy Mayor to amend the current legislation requiring that locally grown products used in food procurement contracts also applied to food operations service agreements.</i></b></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In response to the committee's inquiry about cancellation provisions in the agreement, The Assistant Director of ISD pointed out that the contract could be terminated for any reason or cause deemed a breach of contract.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee inquired how it could measure whether people are satisfied with current offerings to which the Assistant Directed responded that a survey of food service facility would be appropriate however she was not sure it has been done.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Related Legislation:</u></b></p> <p>On April 25, 2006 the BCC, through Resolution No. R-414-06, approved a Lease Agreement for the use and operation of the food court at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center. The Lease was awarded to Nexstore Marketplace Miami, LLC for a ten year initial term, with two successive five-year renewal option periods. Annual rent was set equal to a minimum of \$25,100 per year or a pre-defined percentage of gross sales, whichever is higher. The Lease Agreement was assigned to JMR Foods Corporation as of January 18th, 2008.</p> <p>On November 20, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1159-08, authorized the execution of Supplemental Lease Agreement No. 2 with JMR Foods Corp., which operated the Bottega Express food court/eatery at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center.</p> <p>JMR Foods Corp. currently leases space on the second level of the Stephen P. Clark Government Center's atrium for purposes of operating a food court. JMR Foods assumed the lease agreement, on January 18, 2008, after it was assigned (with approval) to JMR Foods by Nexstore Market place, Inc., the County's previous food court tenant.</p> <p>Supplemental Provisions Provided in R-1159-08:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Defer \$80,000 in delinquent rent that Bottega agreed to assume from Nexstore until Bottega becomes more financially stable;</li> <li>• Defer any portion of the rent that exceeds the minimum base rent of \$2,100 per month;</li> <li>• Any deferred rent shall be repaid in five annual increments during each year that gross sales for the food court hit or exceed \$1.9 million;</li> <li>• Reimburse Bottega for the added costs of janitorial and security services incurred as a result of allowing metrorail/ metromover patrons to use the food court bathrooms; and</li> <li>• Reimburse Bottega for costs incurred for the repair and replacement of equipment for which the County as landlord is responsible.</li> </ul> <p>On December 7, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1169-10, approved Supplemental Agreement No. 3 to the Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County and JMR Foods Corporation d/b/a Bottega Express, in order to permanently incorporate Retail Space 119, located in the atrium lobby area of the Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC), into the leased premises.</p>
<b>8F2 151526</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$740,000.00 FOR SALE AND PURCHASE BETWEEN PRIORITY HOLDINGS, LLC, AS SELLER, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AS BUYER, FOR A PROPERTY LOCATED AT 883 NE 89 STREET, UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANDING MILITARY TRAIL PARK AND AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE UP TO \$5,000.00 FOR CLOSING COSTS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT, EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, TO TAKE ALL OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAID PURCHASE AND ACCEPT CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY BY WARRANTY DEED; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD SUCH DEED
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Contract for Sale and Purchase between Miami-Dade County and Priority Holdings, LLC. More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes the acquisition of a property (Folio No. 30-3206-000-0080) with approximately 43,560 square feet (one acre) of vacant land located at 883 NE 89 Street, unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida, for the purpose of expanding Military Trail Park;</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to execute the Contract for Sale and Purchase in the amount of \$740,000, not including closing costs of approximately \$5,000, bringing the total fiscal impact to \$745,000 from Open Space Impact Fee funds; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to accept the conveyance by Warranty Deed, to record the instrument of conveyance in the public records of Miami-Dade County, and to exercise any and all other rights set forth in the Contract for Sale and Purchase.</li> </ul> <p><b><i>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area committee meeting on July 7, 2015 the following was discussed:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The committee asked how these funds were generated and the reason for restricting the funds to certain areas. The Department Director of Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces, explained the revenue source was generated from the collection of impact fees from developers for the purpose of acquisition, development, or enhancements of existing park lands; and the impact fees were collected from three districts and sub-districts based on the development and density impact caused by the development.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The Director also advised that the community had requested the expansion of the Military Trail Park.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b></p> <p>The North Shorecrest community has expressed a need for additional park land in the area. The property to be purchased consists of approximately one (1) acre of vacant, undeveloped land that has been selected by the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department for the expansion of Military Trail Park. The vacant land is directly adjacent to the current park and will maximize open recreational space for area residents.</p> <p>This acquisition complies with Resolution No. R-953-12, which directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to consider and analyze whether an undeveloped vacant parcel of land adjacent to an existing County park that is for sale should be purchased by the County to</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>expand the park as funds are available for the expansion of the park and the expansion will contribute to the social well-being of area residents.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On November 14, 2000, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1165-00, authorizing a Contract for Sale and Purchase between Ruffian, Inc., as Seller, and Miami-Dade County as Buyer of approximately 1.66 acres of land located at NE 89th Street; and a Contract for Sale and Purchase between the Seller and Miami-Dade County as Buyer of a .50 acre parcel of land located at 8819 NE 8th Avenue for the purpose of developing two parks in the North Shorecrest community. Additionally, R-1165-00 directed the County Manager to work with the Communities Park Committee, Community Council 7 to ensure the preservation of the natural features and historical value of said property, including that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No leveling of the property;</li> <li>• Non-native vegetation be removed;</li> <li>• The property be designated as a passive park with benches, picnic tables and BBQ pits;</li> <li>• No exercise or play-type equipment be placed on the property, using other available properties for those purposes; and</li> <li>• <b>The park be named "Military Trail Park" with appropriate signage recognizing and detailing its history as the Military Trail be placed on the property.</b></li> </ul>
<b>8F3 151345</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND GRI-EQY (CONCORD) LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, FOR THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 3882 SW 112 AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA TO BE UTILIZED BY THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM FOR LIBRARY AND OFFICE SPACE, WITH TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM ESTIMATED TO BE \$604,741.13, FOR THE FIVE YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes a Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and GRI-EQY, LLC (Concord) for the Miami-Dade Public Library System's Concord Branch located at 3882 SW 112 Avenue, Miami, Florida. More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes the leasing of 3,000 rentable square feet of air-conditioned and heated office space, together with on-site parking in common with other tenants; and</li> <li>• Authorizes a lease term of five (5) years, with no renewal periods.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The total fiscal impact for the first year of the initial lease term will be \$112,867.20. This amount is comprised of \$98,430.00 in annual base rent (approximately \$32.81 per square foot), \$4,500.00 for electricity, \$2,250.00 for water and sewer, \$3,750.00 for janitorial and custodial services and a \$3,937.20 lease management fee. The lease management fee, which equals four (4) percent of the annual base rent, will be paid by the Miami-Dade Public Library System to the Internal Services Department for administration of the Lease Agreement.</p> <p>The total projected fiscal impact for the five-year lease term is estimated to be \$604,741.13, which factors in an annual 3.5 percent increase commencing on the second year of the lease term. The Miami-Dade Public Library System's district funds will cover the lease expenses.</p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> The Concord Branch Library has been at this location since 2004 and the County would like to continue operating the branch library. The library is currently operating in a month-to-month holdover from the prior lease, and the recommended lease will become effective on the first day of the next calendar month following the effective date of the resolution by the Board approving this matter.</p> <p><b><i>The County currently pays annual rent in the amount of \$98,724.00 (\$32.91 per square foot), which is \$8,227.00 per month and includes all operating expenses such as common area maintenance, real estate taxes, and insurance.</i></b></p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee commented that the foregoing resolution was for a very small, active library and that the committee would like to have the County build additional libraries in the future. Following a brief discussion between Chairman Souto and Commissioner Zapata;</i></li> <li>• <i>It was noted that libraries should be strategically located near schools inasmuch as libraries played a very important role as a place for children to stay after school; and</i></li> <li>• <i>It was suggested that, in the future, the County should review the feasibility of utilizing County-owned buildings for opening libraries in order to save resources.</i></li> </ul>
<b>8F4 151349</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACT NO. 234A TO COGSDALE CORPORATION FOR PURCHASE OF ADPICS/FAMIS MAINTENANCE AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICES FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,600,000 FOR THE FINANCE AND INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENTS; APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACT AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of Legacy Contract No. 234A, ADPICS/FAMIS Maintenance and Technical Support Services for the Finance and Internal Services departments. Award of this contract is to Cogsdale Corporation for five (5) years and \$2,600,000 in expenditure authority. Continuation of services is required as both departments rely on this contract for required software maintenance and technical support services for the existing countywide Advanced Purchasing and Inventory Control System (ADPICS) and the Financial Accounting Management Information System (FAMIS).</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>ADPICS and FAMIS are used countywide to support the County's purchasing and financial operations. FAMIS is managed by the Finance Department and is used by County departments to perform online financial processing, including, but not limited to, inquiry, reporting, general ledger, accounting, grants, labor distribution, cost allocation, accounts payable and vendor information management functions. ADPICS is managed by the Internal Services Department and is used by County departments to manage and administer procurement contracts and vendor profile management.</p> <p><b>Both ADPICS and FAMIS are proprietary legacy mainframe applications.</b> Cogsdale Corporation is the proprietary owner of the software supporting both systems and as such is the only vendor capable of providing the critical software maintenance and technical support services. Upon implementation and acceptance of the Enterprise Resource Planning System, portions of the ADPICS and FAMIS Systems will be retired and replaced. However, continued maintenance and support services are required to ensure the availability of both of these critical systems. There are no plans in the near future to replace these legacy systems. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the County Code, it is in the County's best interest to award this legacy contract to Cogsdale Corporation.</p> <p><b><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Oversight Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the Committee received clarification from the Deputy Mayor that the system would be replaced in the future.</i></b></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The requested allocation of \$2,600,000 is based on estimated needs for the five-year contract term. The contract allocation will be centralized under the Finance Department for payment of the required ongoing maintenance and support services fees. As such, the Internal Services Department does not require an allocation.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On April 11, 2000, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-364-00, waived the competitive selection requirements of Administrative Orders 3-2 and 3-4 and authorized the County Manager to execute the Technical Support Services Contract (Consulting Contract), a Software License Agreement for each new product (License Agreements(s)), and a Maintenance Services Agreement for each new product (Maintenance Agreement(s)) between the County and KPMG Consulting, LLC for an amount not to exceed \$9,216,000, \$623,000, and \$688,000, respectively, in connection with providing technical support consulting services for the County's Financial Accounting Management Information System (FAMIS), the Advanced Purchasing and Inventory Control System (ADPICS) and other acquired proprietary software products, maintenance services, and the acquisition of the ADPICS Accounts Payable Module, ADPICS Inventory, Fixed Assets and Accounting Control System (FAACS), and STARGAZE. The online FAMIS products are proprietary systems developed by KPMG. KPMG is the sole source authorized vendor to provide the sales, maintenance and support services for these products.</p> <p><i>KPMG Consulting, LLC is the developer of FAMIS and ADPICS, and other related products. Since 1976, the County has utilized FAMIS as its primary general ledger product, with the exception of Miami-Dade Water &amp; Sewer Department (MDWASD), the Aviation Department, and the Miami-Dade Housing Agency (MDHA). In 1992 and again in 1996, the County converted the previous version of FAMIS to newer releases to take advantage of the latest available features and to keep pace with technology. In September 1999, the purchasing module (ADPICS) was implemented.</i></p> <p>The term of the Consulting Agreement was three years with an option to renew for two one-year periods. The term of the License Agreement is perpetual. The term of the Maintenance Agreement was for one-year period and was to continue from year to year unless terminated.</p> <p>The Review Committee of February 21, 2000, did not recommend any measures since this was a sole source contract.</p> <p><b><i>During the BCC meeting on April 1, 2000, the commission expressed concerns with sole source contracts, because it locked certain companies into a contract and limited participation by other companies. The Procurement Director recognized the Board's concerns and assured the Board that looking into sole source contracts would be a major activity of the department.</i></b></p>
<b>8F5 151350</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF ONE YEAR AND ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$15,620,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. RFP673 FOR EMPLOYEE GROUP DENTAL INSURANCE PROGRAM (INDEMNITY PLAN); AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes additional time and expenditure authority to Contract RFP673, Employee Group Dental Insurance Program (Indemnity Plan) managed by the Human Resources Department. Covered groups include Miami-Dade County, Public Health Trust/Jackson Health System, Dade County Industrial Development Authority, and Miami-Dade Housing Finance Authority employees and their eligible dependents as well as retirees (Medicare and non-Medicare eligible) and approximately 67 judges.</p> <p>The one-year extension and additional \$15,620,000 in expenditure authority will ensure continuity of services while the replacement solicitation is developed. The replacement solicitation will consolidate the Indemnity Plan and two Pre-Paid Dental Plans into one solicitation. The contracts for the additional two dental HMO plans, which are currently offered to employees, expire in December 2016. By extending the Indemnity Plan, the County will be able to better align and streamline the procurement of these services. The County anticipates that the solicitation of both the pre-paid and indemnity plans as a single dental program will afford the County an opportunity to evaluate the services and benefit options from a wider range of proposers to achieve the best value for the County and its employees.</p> <p><b><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</i></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee inquired about the timeframe required to develop a replacement solicitation, noting concern the current contract expired December 31, 2016 to which the Assistant Director, Internal Services Department, Procurement Management, reported the scope of services for the replacement contract was in process and that there would be no gap in service.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee questioned the problem which contributed to the need to delay in the procurement process and extend the contract to which the Assistant Director explained that the Department had a significant amount of workload, noting efforts to fill six vacancies were underway. The Assistant Director noted that there were six vacancies in the procurement area and are actively recruiting to fill vacancies.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The current two (2) year term is set to expire on December 31, 2015 and has an existing allocation of up to \$38 million. The additional time will extend the contract term to December 31, 2016. The requested expenditure is based on the last calendar year's (2014) enrollment and is estimated to be \$15,620,000 for the one-year term.</p> <p>The County only funds the employee plan cost for the standard benefit option through the Internal Service Fund. This amount is \$6.839 million for the Plan Year ending December 31, 2014. The employees pay the full cost for dependent coverage and an incremental cost for the enriched benefit option. Retirees pay the full cost for coverage.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – RFP No. 673:</u></b> On July 23, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1049-09, approved the selection of Delta Dental Insurance Company in the amount, based on current enrollment, of \$115.8 million for the initial three year term and the three one-year options to renew to provide Employee Group Dental Insurance Program Indemnity Plan. R-1049-09 rejected the Prepaid Plan proposals received for the Request for Proposals received to obtain Employee Group Dental Insurance Program RFP No. 673. Additionally, R-1049-09 authorized a one-year extension of Contract Nos. RFP421A and RFP421B with CompBenefits Corporation in the amount of \$2.6 million, based on current enrollment.</p> <p>The County issued Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 673 for the provision of a multi-option, fully-insured dental benefits program which allowed for selection of one provider for an indemnity plan and up to two providers for prepaid plans. A total of 15 proposals were submitted in response to the solicitation: eight for the indemnity plan and seven for the prepaid plan. Upon initial review of the proposals, one indemnity plan proposal and five prepaid plan proposals were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office (CAO). The Evaluation/Selection Committee evaluated and ranked the remaining proposals. Subsequent to the Evaluation/Selection Committee's ranking, the two remaining prepaid proposal was deemed non-responsive by the CAO. Consequently, no viable proposals remained for prepaid plans.</p> <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee also evaluated the technical portion of the remaining indemnity proposals and moved the following firms forward to the price evaluation phase: Delta Dental Insurance Company, Dental Benefit Providers, Inc., Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and United Concordia Insurance Company. Following the evaluation of the price proposals, the Evaluation/Selection Committee ranked the proposals and recommended that the County enter into negotiations with the highest ranked proposer, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company. Subsequent to this recommendation, the proposals from Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and United Concordia Insurance Company were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office. With the majority of the proposals received in response to the solicitation being non-responsive for varying reasons, the evaluation process was stopped to allow the County to consider its options and to assess the best approach for ensuring the Program is in place by Open Enrollment in the fall.</p> <p>A recommendation to reject all proposals (indemnity and prepaid plan designs) was presented to the Budget, Planning and Sustainability Committee at the June 9, 2009 meeting. Of the 15 proposals submitted, all seven pre-paid were deemed non-responsive, leaving only three responsive indemnity proposals to be considered. As a result, it was recommended that all proposals received in response to RFP No. 673 be rejected and that current agreements be extended to continue the dental insurance coverage for one year. Rejecting proposals would allow staff time to re-evaluate the project and prepare the subsequent solicitation to include a re-designed procurement process that balanced the County's requirements and the industry practice.</p> <p>At the June 9, 2009 Budget, Planning and Sustainability Committee meeting, the Committee deferred the County Manager's recommendation to reject all proposals and extend the current contracts. The Committee also directed the County Mayor or his designee to negotiate a contract with the highest responsive and responsible proposer for the indemnity plan, in accordance with the procurement process, that would come before the Committee on July 14, 2009.</p> <p>The Evaluation/Selection Committee was convened to select a firm to recommend for negotiations. The Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended that the County enter into negotiations with the highest ranked firm, Dental Benefit Providers, Inc. After review of the Local Business Tax Receipts for both firms, it was noted that Dental Benefit Providers, Inc. did not provide a Local Business Tax Receipt in the firm's name. The CAO opined that the highest ranked firm, Dental Benefit Providers, Inc., did not meet the Local Business Tax Receipt requirement and the second ranked firm, Delta Dental Insurance Company, did meet the eligibility requirement to receive the preference.</p> <p>The negotiations with Delta Dental Insurance Company resulted in a contract with rates which are less than the current indemnity plan rates, equating to an estimated annual savings of \$1,001,555, depending upon enrollment. Additionally, the rates were guaranteed for the initial three plan years. The fourth and fifth plan year rates were to be negotiated with a ceiling increase cap of seven percent (7%) each year.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The resulting contract also afforded the County the same level of benefits as the current indemnity plan contract with the following tailored enhancements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Coverage of resin composites for posterior teeth;</li> <li>2) Coverage of two fluoride treatments per year up to age 19;</li> <li>3) Coverage of sealants for children up to age 16; and</li> <li>4) No "Missing Tooth Exclusion."</li> </ol> <p><i>During the BCC meeting on July 23, 2009, the commission expressed concerns with a process in which 12 of the 15 proposals submitted in response to the solicitation were rejected. It was clarified that as long as a decision was made by September 1, 2009, there would be no ramification of providing notification to County employees in terms of the selection for insurance. During the BCC meeting an amendment was offered to the proposed resolution to allow the bid protest to be filed and proceed with the bid protest process, to allow the hearing examiner officer to make a determination and to provide that this item come back to the September 1, 2009, Board meeting for reconsideration.</i></p>
<b>8F6 151471</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF A LEGACY PURCHASE FOR SOFTWARE LICENSES, MAINTENANCE, SUPPORT AND TRAINING SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY'S ACTIVE STRATEGY ENTERPRISE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO THE ADVISORY BOARD COMPANY FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,399,000 AND APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-81 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 PROVISION</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a legacy purchase under Contract No. RFP434A-3, Performance Management System, for the Information Technology Department. This purchase is for five (5) years and \$1,399,000 in expenditure authority. The Information Technology Department manages this contract on behalf of the Office of Management and Budget.</p> <p>The contract was competitively awarded in February 2005 to implement an Active Strategy Enterprise Performance Management System (ASE) as a tool for departmental strategic, budget and performance planning. ASE has been customized to facilitate departmental compliance with the County's Governing for Results Ordinance (Ordinance No. 05-136), which established requirements and guidelines for managerial accountability, performance measurement and reporting. The requested allocation will be used to purchase updated software licenses as well as maintenance, support, and training services during the contract term.</p> <p>The Advisory Board Company is the sole proprietor and developer of ASE and, as such, no other vendor is capable of delivering the required maintenance and support services. Upon full implementation of the County's Enterprise Resource Planning solution, the process of measuring and monitoring performance and tracking strategic goals will be fully integrated within the Enterprise Resource Planning solution, ultimately replacing the ASE legacy application.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The requested allocation of \$1,399,000 is based on the services to be delivered during the five-year term.</p> <p><b><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee pointed out that the software being considered was for scorecard reporting, noting the County was not making great use of the scorecards and the need for scorecards to be relevant to the way we provided services;</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee asked whether she could utilize this software for tracking purposes within District operations, to which the Deputy Mayor said it was available;</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee noted the software should also be made available to all colleagues for their use as well. The Assistant Director of the Office of Management and Budget indicated that the County had an enterprise-wide license and all employees could use the software at no additional cost. He also indicated that each District could use the software to measure and share results with their constituents.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On March 1, 2011, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-151-11, modified Contract No. RFP434A-3 for additional time and spending authority to allow the Office of Strategic Business Management to purchase required maintenance, support, and professional technical services for the Active Strategy Enterprise Performance Management System (ASE). The additional amount requested was \$432,000 and the expiration date was modified from September 7, 2011 to September 7, 2015.</p>
<b>8F7 151506</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00140 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,520,000.00 FOR SPECIALTY PRINTED PRODUCTS, FINISHING SUPPLIES, AND MECHANICAL BINDING SERVICES FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES AND TRANSIT DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00140, for the purchase of specialty printed products, finishing supplies, and mechanical binding services for the Internal Services and Transit departments. This pool includes 12 groups, each offering a unique specialty printed product or mechanical binding service.</p> <p>The Internal Services Department will use this pool to support its Print Shop operations including, but not limited to, the purchase of high-quality digital color printing/reproductions on various materials and substrates, tradeshow displays, and oversized prints, finishing, and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>lamination. The Transit Department will use this pool to purchase printing, installation, and removal of various decal and wrap services for its vast transportation infrastructure (i.e., Metrobus, Metrorail and Metromover vehicles, stations, shelters, and other facilities).</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$1,520,000. This pre-qualification pool consolidates two (2) current pre-qualification pools providing similar services: Pool No. 9227-4/15, Specialty Printed Products and Finishing Supplies for five (5) years and six (6) months with an allocation of \$1,565,000 and Pool No. 9237-4/16, Mechanical Binding, Envelope Converting and Specialty Fulfillment Services for six (6) years with an allocation of \$540,000. <b>A single replacement solicitation was issued to reduce the administrative overhead required to issue multiple purchase orders for like services. The replacement pool's allocation is based on historical spend under the current pools and the user departments' projected needs over the term of the pool.</b></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Department</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Allocation</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Funding Source</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Internal Services</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$800,000</td> <td>Internal Services Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transit</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$720,000</td> <td>MDT Operating Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>TOTAL</b></td> <td style="text-align: right;"><b>\$1,520,000</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued on October 23, 2014 under full and open competition. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors by group that met the minimum requirements, as specified in the RTQ, for participation in future spot market competitions. Two (2) groups (1 and 6) are set-aside for certified small business enterprises. Thirteen vendors responded to the solicitation.</p> <p><b>Three (3) of the eight (8) vendors pre-qualified for the pool are local.</b></p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases;</li> <li>• The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition. A SBE set-aside applies to pre-qualification for Groups 1 and 6. Additionally, a SBE set-aside applies to spot market competitions in all other groups up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available; and</li> <li>• The Living Wage Ordinance applies.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the committee indicated that this proposal represented a very large expense and encouraged the Internal Services Department to ensure savings were realized and the entire \$1.5 million was not used.</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Internal Services	\$800,000	Internal Services Funds	Transit	\$720,000	MDT Operating Funds	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,520,000</b>	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source											
Internal Services	\$800,000	Internal Services Funds											
Transit	\$720,000	MDT Operating Funds											
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,520,000</b>												
<b>8F8 151811</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND WISS, JANNEY, ELSTNER, ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE FACADE RESTORATION PROJECT, ISD PROJECT NO. E04-GSA-02 GOB ESP, ISD CONTRACT NO. W30025 GOB ESP, INCREASING THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY \$469,204.00 AND THE CONTRACT DURATION BY 280 COMPENSABLE CALENDAR DAYS, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE SAME</p>												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Amendment No. 1 to the professional services agreement (PSA), ISD Contract No. W30025 GOB ESP, between Miami-Dade County and Wiss, Janney, Elstner, Associates, Inc. (WJE) for architectural and engineering consulting and contract administration services for the Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration Project, ISD Project No. E04-GSA-02 GOB ESP. More specifically, this amendment does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increases the contract value by \$469,204 (from \$3,776,327 to \$4,245,531), and will fund additional architectural and engineering consulting and contract administration services required for the oversight of roof replacement and the replacement of light fixtures on the roof as requested by the County's Internal Services Department, Facilities and Utilities Management Division; and</li> <li>• Extends the original contract end date by 280 days, to June 22, 2016, to align the contract term with that of the general contractor's contract term in order to ensure completion of the project's construction and warranty administration period.</li> </ul> <p>This project was added to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan approved projects list through Resolution No. R-196-13 approved by the Board on April 2, 2013.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> This amendment will not increase the overall budgeted amount of \$34.797 million for the Courthouse Façade Restoration Project as shown in Volume 2, page 72, of the FY 2014-15 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan (Project #3024160). The \$469,204 being requested, which includes a compensable delay of 280 days due to material changes to the original scope of the PSA requested by the County, will be funded using projected project reserves.</p> <p><b>Background:</b> The Miami-Dade County Courthouse was designed by A. Ten-Eyck Brown and August Geiger in 1926 and was built in 1928. In 1989, the Miami-Dade County Courthouse was placed on the National Register of Historic Places for its historical and architectural significance.</p>												

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>The exterior terra cotta clad façade has deteriorated due to age and exposure to the elements. In order to analyze the condition and necessary repairs, Miami-Dade County awarded a PSA to WJE through a competitive selection process in May 2007 through Resolution No. R-634-07. The contracted professional services included architectural, structural and materials engineering, laboratory testing, and construction administration. In addition, on-site investigations to develop a restoration design - inclusive of construction documents to repair/restore existing deteriorated conditions on the terra cotta facade, the existing windows, and the stone-surfaced plaza - were included as necessary.</p> <p>The project scope of the construction contract with Mark 1 Restoration, the contractor conducting the restoration of the façade under the oversight of WJE, includes the roof replacement at Levels 4, 7, 20 and 24, for a total flat roof area of approximately 23,000 square feet, and the replacement of all existing light fixtures on the roof at the referenced levels with new light-emitting diode fixtures. This scope to replace the roof and the corresponding light fixtures was not included in WJE's construction administration services, requiring the extension and increase in associated fees. The time extension will align the design consultant's contract with that of the general contractor in order to ensure continuity of services throughout the June 2016 anticipated full project completion date.</p> <p>The project is currently on schedule and is at 60 percent completion. The estimated completion date is June 2016.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Legislation</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Explanation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">R-915-04 <i>7-20-2004</i></td> <td> <p>Authorized the placement of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (Program) ballot question authorizing funding for projects to construct and improve public safety facilities on the November 2, 2004, ballot.</p> <p>On November 2, 2004 voters overwhelmingly approved the eight (8) referendum questions to fund more than 300 capital improvements throughout the County over the next 15 to 20 years to construct and improve public safety and security facilities including courts, detention centers, animal shelters and ocean lifeguard facilities. R-915-04 allowed the County to issue General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$341.087 million over a multi-year period to fund improvements to the County's infrastructure and address a number of quality of life issues in our community. <i>BBC GOB Project Number 181 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse HVAC Repairs", Project Number 184 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Electrical System Refurbishment," and Project Number 185 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Plumbing Riser Refurbishment" were GSA projects listed in the Authorizing Resolution with a total allocation of \$18.1 million between all three projects.</i></p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">R-945-09 <i>7-21-2009</i></td> <td> <p>Added BBC GOB Program Project No. 18.1 – “Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration Project” to Resolution No. R-915-04. <i>The funding for Project No. 181.1 was to be re-allocated from deleted Project Numbers 181, 184, and 185. Additional funding from Capital Asset Acquisition Bond 2004B Proceeds, \$15 million as approved in the FY 2008-09 Adopted Capital Budget , would have completed the \$33.1 million required for the completion of this project.</i></p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">R-196-13 <i>4-2-2013</i></td> <td> <p>Added three projects to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) approved list of projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami-Dade County Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation and Expansion (Pre-Trial Detention Center), project number ISD Z00018 GOB;</li> <li>• Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center Renovations (Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center), project number ISD Z00021 GOB; and</li> <li>• <b>Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration, project number ISD W30025 GOB.</b></li> </ul> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">R-1048-13 <i>12-17-2013</i></td> <td> <p>Ratified a contract award in the amount of \$20,134,000, to Mark 1 Restoration Company, for restoration services for the Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Relevant Legislation		Legislation	Explanation	R-915-04 <i>7-20-2004</i>	<p>Authorized the placement of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (Program) ballot question authorizing funding for projects to construct and improve public safety facilities on the November 2, 2004, ballot.</p> <p>On November 2, 2004 voters overwhelmingly approved the eight (8) referendum questions to fund more than 300 capital improvements throughout the County over the next 15 to 20 years to construct and improve public safety and security facilities including courts, detention centers, animal shelters and ocean lifeguard facilities. R-915-04 allowed the County to issue General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$341.087 million over a multi-year period to fund improvements to the County's infrastructure and address a number of quality of life issues in our community. <i>BBC GOB Project Number 181 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse HVAC Repairs", Project Number 184 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Electrical System Refurbishment," and Project Number 185 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Plumbing Riser Refurbishment" were GSA projects listed in the Authorizing Resolution with a total allocation of \$18.1 million between all three projects.</i></p>	R-945-09 <i>7-21-2009</i>	<p>Added BBC GOB Program Project No. 18.1 – “Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration Project” to Resolution No. R-915-04. <i>The funding for Project No. 181.1 was to be re-allocated from deleted Project Numbers 181, 184, and 185. Additional funding from Capital Asset Acquisition Bond 2004B Proceeds, \$15 million as approved in the FY 2008-09 Adopted Capital Budget , would have completed the \$33.1 million required for the completion of this project.</i></p>	R-196-13 <i>4-2-2013</i>	<p>Added three projects to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) approved list of projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami-Dade County Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation and Expansion (Pre-Trial Detention Center), project number ISD Z00018 GOB;</li> <li>• Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center Renovations (Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center), project number ISD Z00021 GOB; and</li> <li>• <b>Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration, project number ISD W30025 GOB.</b></li> </ul>	R-1048-13 <i>12-17-2013</i>	<p>Ratified a contract award in the amount of \$20,134,000, to Mark 1 Restoration Company, for restoration services for the Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration.</p>
Additional Information on Relevant Legislation													
Legislation	Explanation												
R-915-04 <i>7-20-2004</i>	<p>Authorized the placement of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (Program) ballot question authorizing funding for projects to construct and improve public safety facilities on the November 2, 2004, ballot.</p> <p>On November 2, 2004 voters overwhelmingly approved the eight (8) referendum questions to fund more than 300 capital improvements throughout the County over the next 15 to 20 years to construct and improve public safety and security facilities including courts, detention centers, animal shelters and ocean lifeguard facilities. R-915-04 allowed the County to issue General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$341.087 million over a multi-year period to fund improvements to the County's infrastructure and address a number of quality of life issues in our community. <i>BBC GOB Project Number 181 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse HVAC Repairs", Project Number 184 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Electrical System Refurbishment," and Project Number 185 "Miami-Dade County Courthouse Plumbing Riser Refurbishment" were GSA projects listed in the Authorizing Resolution with a total allocation of \$18.1 million between all three projects.</i></p>												
R-945-09 <i>7-21-2009</i>	<p>Added BBC GOB Program Project No. 18.1 – “Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration Project” to Resolution No. R-915-04. <i>The funding for Project No. 181.1 was to be re-allocated from deleted Project Numbers 181, 184, and 185. Additional funding from Capital Asset Acquisition Bond 2004B Proceeds, \$15 million as approved in the FY 2008-09 Adopted Capital Budget , would have completed the \$33.1 million required for the completion of this project.</i></p>												
R-196-13 <i>4-2-2013</i>	<p>Added three projects to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) approved list of projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami-Dade County Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation and Expansion (Pre-Trial Detention Center), project number ISD Z00018 GOB;</li> <li>• Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center Renovations (Culmer/Overtown Neighborhood Service Center), project number ISD Z00021 GOB; and</li> <li>• <b>Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration, project number ISD W30025 GOB.</b></li> </ul>												
R-1048-13 <i>12-17-2013</i>	<p>Ratified a contract award in the amount of \$20,134,000, to Mark 1 Restoration Company, for restoration services for the Miami-Dade County Courthouse Façade Restoration.</p>												
<b>8F9 151813</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVES CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TGSV ENTERPRISES, INC. FOR THE PRE-TRIAL DETENTION CENTER RENOVATION PROJECT, ISD PROJECT NO. Z00018 GOB ESP, ISD CONTRACT NO. Z00018-C GOB, REPLENISHING THE CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$597,860.00 FUNDED FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME</p>												
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Change Order No. 1 to the construction contract between Miami-Dade County and TGSV Enterprises, Inc. for the Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation.</p> <p>This change order in the amount of \$597,860 will increase the contract amount from \$6,696,032 to \$7,293,892, bringing the project's contingency reserve back to 100 percent of its original amount. Based on several unforeseen conditions discovered up to date on this project and the work yet to be performed, which includes infrastructure repairs in the crawl space under the existing kitchen, potential asbestos abatement due to ceiling demolition, and water intrusion at south wall windows, there is a probability of further unanticipated repairs. As a result, a complete replenishment of the contingency allowance is recommended at this time.</p> <p><i>This portion of the Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation project was originally projected to be completed by August 2015 however, is now anticipated to be completed by September 2016.</i></p> <p><b>Per Resolution No. R-196-13, approved by the Board on April 2, 2013, this project was added to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) approved projects list.</b></p>												

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> This Change Order will not increase the overall budgeted project amount of \$47 million for the Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation as shown in Volume 2, page 40 of the FY 2014-15 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan (Project #387680). The \$597,860 being requested for Change Order No. 1 will be funded through the reallocation of expenses between current and future project phases using existing Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program proceeds.</p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> On April 30, 2013, the County approved ISD Project No. Z00018 GOB ESP; ISD Contract No: Z00018-C GOB for the construction of the Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation project, which has multiple phases. The existing contract in the amount of \$6,696,032 includes the clean-up of the existing crawl space (Task 1), the renovation of the existing dormitory space into a temporary food processing area (Task 2), and the renovation of the existing kitchen and its merger with the temporary food processing area into one large re-heating kitchen (Task 4). At the sole discretion of the County, the remaining funding will be dedicated to address future improvements to the building's structural envelope (Task 3) and to update the 40-year Re-Certification Report (Task 5).</p> <p>Under the existing contract, the crawl space clean-up component (Task 1) includes oversight and management of the removal of standing sewage and contaminated soil, introduction of ventilation into the crawl space, and identification of damaged pipes and hangers. The renovation of the kitchen component (Task 4) includes design for the renovation of the existing space into a food processing area. This area will also be able to accommodate the necessary kitchen equipment for a re-therm operation (Task 2) that will allow for the reheating of pre-cooked and chilled food and will have the capacity to provide 6,000 meals per day.</p> <p>The Pre-Trial Detention Center Renovation project has now reached 70 percent completion, and 99 percent of the original \$597,860 contingency allowance account has been spent to date. This is the result of a series of unforeseen conditions during Tasks 1, 2 and 4 that include, but are not limited to: 1) the strengthening of the structural walls adjacent to holding cells, 2) the construction of structural support beams for the elevated roof, 3) the addition of a concrete reinforcement to support a new seven-foot wide detention grade sliding door, 4) the removal and re-routing of telecommunications lines, which comprised the data core for the facility in addition to the Jackson Memorial Hospital IT link, 5) vertical revisions to the new electrical duct bank to bypass chiller lines, including valve replacement necessary for future service needs, 6) the reconstruction of a large portion of the sally port/inmate transfer area due to trenching to accommodate a new duct bank, and 7) the rupture of a main chilled water line in an area adjacent to the sally port due to the lack of as-built drawings identifying underground infrastructure. All of the above mentioned changes were carefully reviewed, negotiated and approved by both the Architect and Engineer of Record from URS Corporation Southern, as well as staff from the Internal Services Department, prior to processing.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On May 6, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-480-08, approved an Award of a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) between URS Corporation and Miami-Dade County, in the amount of \$2,260,012, for design services for the Pre-Trial Detention Center (PTDC), located at 1321 NW 13 Street, Miami, FL 33125.</p>
<b>8G1 150695</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$2,594,100.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 FOR THE HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Homestead Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2014-15 Budget for the Homestead Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's Budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$2,594,100.00.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u></b> The Agency's main revenue source is generated through the incremental growth within the Area of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, tax increment financing (TIF), as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida Statutes. The Countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2014-15 is \$790,208.00 and the City of Homestead's (City) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$1,028,315.00. The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency through 2024, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> On June 7, 1994, the Board approved the establishment of the Agency when it adopted the Agency's Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) pursuant to Resolution No. R-915-94 and funded the Plan when it enacted Ordinance No. 94-125 (Trust Fund). An Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Agency was also approved by the Board on June 7, 1994, and was later amended on July 27, 2004 to include a Community Policing Program.</p> <p>The Interlocal Agreement requires that the Agency submit its budget for approval at the beginning of each County fiscal year and further states that the County's approval will not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. It would be reasonable for the County to withhold approval of a budget containing expenditures inconsistent with the Plan. Unlike other Interlocal Agreements, it does not expressly state that the Agency may not engage in any spending until the County approves the budget.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2014-15 budget of \$2,594,100.00 was approved by the Agency on September 9, 2014, and by the City on September 23, 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• County TIF revenue payments - \$790,208.00;</li> <li>• City TIF revenue payments - \$1,028,315.00;</li> <li>• Carryover from prior years - \$725,447.00;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Interest earnings - \$50,130.00; and</i></li> <li>• <i>Administrative expenditures - \$434,400.00 (excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$11,900.00), and represent 17 percent of total expenditures, which satisfies the 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures required by the Interlocal Agreement).</i></li> </ul>
<b>8L1 151476</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PURCHASE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6650 NW 37 AVENUE, HIALEAH REFERRED TO AS PARCEL 8 FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY NEEDED FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN (PTP) PROJECT ENTITLED AS IMPROVEMENTS TO NW 37 AVENUE FROM NW NORTH RIVER DRIVE TO NW 79 STREET, AT THE NEGOTIATED PURCHASE AMOUNT OF \$18,698.00 AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Miami-Dade County (County) Mayor or the County Mayor's Designee to accept an offer to sell property at the negotiated purchase amount of \$18,698.00 for the acquisition of property known as Parcel 8, located at 6650 NW 37 Avenue, needed as part of the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Project entitled Improvements to NW 37 Avenue, from NW North River Drive to NW 79 Street under the terms and conditions stipulated in the Contract for Sale and Purchase.</p> <p>This project consists of road widening, beautification, and right-of-way improvements. The area is to be acquired by Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM) for the street improvements. This project will improve traffic mobility and capacity along the heavily industrialized corridor. This project consists of the reconstruction and widening of an existing two (2) lane undivided roadway to a three (3) lane roadway, including parking lanes, sidewalks, curb and gutters, a new storm drainage system, signalization, pavement markings and signage and roadway lighting.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> Funding for the right-of-way acquisition and construction of this project will be provided by the Charter County Transportation Surtax levied pursuant to Section 29.121 of the Code. This project was specifically listed in the PTP as one of the Board requested improvement projects for Commission District 2.</p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> The subject property was appraised on January 1, 2014, which established the market value at \$11,300.00. Therefore, an offer was made to the owner, at the appraised value pursuant to Resolution No. R-696-09.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>R-696-09 declared the acquisition of Parcel 8 for right-of-way needed for roadway expansion and improvements along NW 37 Avenue, from NW North River Drive to NW 79 Street, to be a public necessity and authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee and the County Attorney to employ appraisers, expert witnesses, obtain required environmental audits, and to take any and all appropriate actions to acquire the subject property in fee simple by donation, purchase, or by eminent domain proceedings, including a declaration of taking as necessary for and on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</i></li> </ul> <p>A counteroffer was received in the amount of \$28,298.00 from the owner. After extensive negotiations, and in order to settle this matter, the owner and the County agreed on the amount of \$18,698.00, including compensation for the property acquired, and for any and all fees and costs that may have been incurred by the seller. This \$18,698.00 settlement amount is recommended as being in the best interest of the County, considering the statutory requirement that the County, absent such a settlement, must pay for the owner's attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs. Additionally, the County would save the time, resources, and expenses associated with eminent domain proceedings which would have otherwise been required. It is, therefore, requested that the attached Contract for Sale and Purchase in the amount of \$18,698.00 be approved and that the Mayor or the Mayor's designee perform all acts necessary to effectuate the purchase of the property.</p>
<b>8M1 151360</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HISTORIC PRESERVATION AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE REHABILITATION OF 1021 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA, PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES SECTIONS 196.1997 AND 196.1998 AND SECTION 16A-18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD COVENANT; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>8M2 151364</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HISTORIC PRESERVATION AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE REHABILITATION OF 2723 COUNTRY CLUB PRADO, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA, PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES SECTIONS 196.1997 AND 196.1998 AND SECTION 16A-18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND RECORD COVENANT; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b><u>8M1-151360:</u></b> The proposed resolution authorizes Historic Preservation ad valorem tax exemption for the property located at 1021 Alhambra Circle, Coral Gables, Florida, pursuant to the provisions of Florida Statute Sections 196.1997 and 196.1998 and Miami-Dade County Ordinance 16A-18.</p> <p><i>The residence located at 1021 Alhambra Circle, in Coral Gables, FL, was constructed in 1929 and is listed as a contributing structure within the "Alhambra Circle Historic District," designated as such by the City of Coral Gables in January 2008.</i></p> <p><i>The main residence exemplifies the Mediterranean Revival architectural style, designed by renowned architectural firm of Steward and Paist. Phineas Paist was the supervising architect for George Merrick's Coral Gables Corporation. Unique architectural features include an octagonal tower, an oriel (or bay) window above the front entry door, stylized arches, a predominant chimney, pecky cypress outriggers or eave supports, and a barrel tile roof.</i></p> <p><i>Many of the original features found throughout the house, including original wood floors, wood doors, wood ceilings, and decorative tiling, were preserved and restored. Features that were not original were removed and replaced with more historically appropriate materials. This</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>included the removal of the non-historic metal gateways, metal doors, and the window and door guardrails and metal bars. Some of the meticulous preservation work included the restoration of original keystone around the entryway, restoration of the pecky cypress exterior elements including eave brackets, restoration of the original chimney structure, and restoration of original exterior wingwalls.</i></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The portions of taxes that will be exempted if this application is granted are estimated at \$1,108 per year, as provided by the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>The total amount spent by the property owners on this renovation was \$1,200,429. The Property Appraiser's office determined that the change in the property's value, because of those improvements, was an increase of \$230,000. The countywide portion of taxes on that increased value that will be exempted is \$1,108 per year, if this application is approved.</p> <p><b><u>8M2-151364:</u></b> The proposed resolution authorizes historic preservation ad valorem tax exemption for the property located at 2723 Country Club Prado, Coral Gables, Florida, pursuant to the provisions of Florida Statute Sections 196.1997 and 196.1998 and Miami-Dade County Ordinance 16A-18.</p> <p><i>The residence at 2723 Country Club Prado was designed by Walter DeGarmo and built in 1923. Walter DeGarmo was an architect of regional significance and was partially responsible for the creation and development of the City of Coral Gables. The Mediterranean Revival-style home is associated with the earliest phase in the development of the city.</i></p> <p><i>The project included the rehabilitation and restoration of the existing historic structure, and the construction of a two-story addition to the rear of the property where a covered terrace was previously located.</i></p> <p><i>Improvements eligible for the exemption included the replacement of all existing windows with code compliant products that matched the configuration of the original windows. The front terrace was completely rebuilt, including the reconstruction of the walls and the replacement of the windows and doors. Existing stained glass windows were retained and repaired where necessary.</i></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The portions of taxes that will be exempted if this application is granted are estimated at \$1,872 per year as provided by the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>The Part II of the Ad Valorem application indicates that the total amount spent by the homeowner on the renovation was \$840,000. The Property Appraiser's office determined that the change in the property's value, due to the improvements, was an increase of \$386,879. The countywide portion of taxes on that increased value that will be exempted is \$1,872 per year, if this application is approved.</p> <p><b><u>Background – Historic Preservation:</u></b> The approval of this application solely affects the countywide portion of the taxes and only for a period of ten years. Other taxing authorities remain unaffected. Additionally, the applicant is not given a complete exemption from the countywide portion of the property taxes. Rather, during the ten-year abatement period, the County will continue to collect property taxes based on the property's value previous to the renovation. Following the ten-year exemption period, the County will begin to collect taxes on the full value of the property, including the increase in value of the property due to the renovation, as determined by the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>In 1993, the State legislature approved tax exemptions for historic properties and enabled local governments the option to provide this property tax exemption for eligible historic properties. The exemptions encourage the preservation of historic buildings by offering an economic incentive to those who take on the responsibility of restoring and maintaining a designated historic structure. The exemption is not for the entire assessed value of the property. The tax exemptions are calculated from what the value of the renovations to the historic property were, and only apply to the countywide portion of the property's tax bill. An exemption may also be granted on the municipal portion of the property tax bill if approved by the relevant municipality.</p> <p>All applicants must meet certain criteria as set forth by the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, in order for a tax exemption to be allowed, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certification that the property has been designated historic by the applicable preservation board;</li> <li>• Certification that the property has received approval for the improvements by the applicable preservation board; and</li> <li>• A determination that the planned improvements are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.</li> </ul> <p>Part I of the application must be submitted prior to construction. When the project is completed, the owner/applicant must submit Part II of the application, and a signed covenant. The local preservation officer must also review and authorize the work. The item can then be placed on the County's Historic Preservation Board agenda. The Property Appraiser prepares the Revenue Implications Report when they consider the project substantially complete, and provides this report to the County Historic Preservation office. The tax exemption is calculated using the millage rate for the year in which the project was completed.</p> <p>The tax exemption takes effect on January 1 following substantial completion of the improvement and extends for a ten-year period. Failure by the owners to adhere to these standards would result in revocation of the exemption.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>10A1 151812</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING, FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED, THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE DEBT OBLIGATIONS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$14,000,000.00, THE PROCEEDS OF WHICH WILL BE LOANED TO MODELLO HOMES, LLC TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS MODELLO APARTMENTS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the issuance by the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade of its Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds in one or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$14,000,000 for the construction of the Modello Apartments (Project). The Project serves a public purpose in that it will provide 100 apartment units to be occupied by persons and families of low, moderate and middle income.</p> <p>The principal and interest on the Bonds will not constitute a debt, liability or a general obligation of the HFA, County, the State of Florida or any political subdivision of each, but will be the responsibility of the owner of the Project.</p> <p>As stipulated in Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code), the Board of County Commissioners, as the highest governing body, must approve the issuance of the Bonds by the HFA after a public hearing. The public hearing was held by the HFA and such public hearing disclosed no reason why the Bonds should not be issued.</p> <p>The Bonds are expected to be issued by November 2015.</p>
<b>11A1 151508</b>	RESOLUTION REQUIRING THAT WORK AFFECTING ARTERIAL COUNTY ROADWAYS BE PERFORMED DURING NON-PEAK RUSH HOUR TRAFFIC PERIODS; PROVIDING EXCEPTIONS TO REQUIREMENT; AND ENCOURAGING OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND MUNICIPALITIES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ADOPT SIMILAR MEASURES TO ADDRESS TRAFFIC CONGESTION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution requires that work affecting arterial county roadways be performed during non-peak rush hour traffic periods. Specifically, the proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs that work affecting County arterial roadways, as defined in Section 33E-5 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, will not be performed during the peak rush hour traffic periods between 7 A.M. and 9 A.M. and between 4 P.M. and 7 P.M.; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ For the purposes of this resolution, work affecting County arterial roadways will mean: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Any construction or maintenance related work on the actual County arterial roadways including, but not limited to, repair, demolition, milling, surfacing or excavation work; or</li> <li>▪ Any County maintenance work such as landscaping or construction activities at or near County arterial roadways or rights-of-way that will require the use and occupation of arterial roadways to perform the work.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Directs that the County or County Mayor's designee include provisions that reflect the requirements of this resolution in all contracts involving work affecting County arterial roadways entered into after the effective date of this resolution.</li> </ul> <p>The requirements of this resolution will not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any projects which were advertised for competition or under contract as of the effective date of this resolution;</li> <li>• Work relating to Miami-Dade County's sanitary sewer system required under the Consent Decree, Case: No. 1:12-cv-24400-FAM;</li> <li>• Arterial roadway work that the County Engineer deems to be emergency work or otherwise necessary work to address dangerous and unsafe conditions, or with respect to work which is necessary to restore an arterial roadway to service by traffic.</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution encourages other federal, state and local government agencies performing road work within the boundaries of Miami-Dade County to adopt requirements similar to those in this resolution to ease traffic congestion and directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the United States Department of Transportation, the Florida Department of Transportation, the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority and to the Mayor, Manager or other Chief Administrative Officer of each of the cities and municipalities within Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on July 8, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Committee asked it there would be a cost impact and if the proposed resolution would increase the time to complete the project to which the Deputy Mayor responded that the administration had suggestions they would like to make and that what is being proposed is a current practice of the County. The Deputy Mayor explained that work done on right-of-ways has similar restrictions as what is being proposed for capital projects.</i></li> </ul>
<b>11A2 151494</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A FEASIBILITY STUDY REGARDING THE CONVERSION OF CERTAIN TWO-WAY ROADWAYS TO ONE-WAY ROADWAYS IN ORDER TO ALLEVIATE TRAFFIC CONGESTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT AND PLACE THE REPORT ON AN AGENDA OF THIS BOARD
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to prepare a feasibility study on the method and means by which two-way roadways can be converted to one-way roadways, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The identification of potential project areas in Miami-Dade County for such conversion, after consultation and coordination with municipalities to the extent that such potential project areas are within municipal boundaries;</li> <li>○ A preliminary summary of other areas outside of the County where such conversion has been implemented;</li> <li>○ An estimate of the costs associated with such conversion and potential funding sources; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ An identification of any additional studies required in order to effectuate the conversion or to complete the analysis requested herein, as well as the costs, if any, of obtaining such additional studies.</li> <li>● Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to provide a report of this feasibility study to this Board within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information – 595 Express Operations:</b> 595 Express consists of three tolled, reversible Express Lanes. Each lane is 12 feet wide and there is a full 10 foot shoulder on each side. All motor vehicles, including trucks, will be allowed to use the Express Lanes. All users will be charged a toll and must have a SunPass transponder and an associated pre-paid account. No cash transactions or toll by plate are allowed.</p> <p><b>Additional Information - I-595 construction in Broward nearly completed; reversible express lanes to open end of March, March 11, 2014:</b> <a href="http://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/community/broward/article1961245.html">http://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/community/broward/article1961245.html</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>After five years of construction, the overhaul of Interstate 595 in Broward is nearing its end with the opening of reversible express lanes the last week of March.</i></li> <li>● <i>The express lanes, which will charge a toll electronically, are in the median of the east-west expressway that links the western suburbs of central Broward with the urban centers around Fort Lauderdale along the east coast of South Florida.</i></li> <li>● <i>The opening of the express lanes, running from Interstate 75 and the Sawgrass Expressway east to Florida’s Turnpike and U.S. 441, marks the end of construction for the project’s centerpiece — the chief reason why the road was rebuilt.</i></li> <li>● <i>During the morning peak, traffic will run eastward on the reversible lanes. The flow will be reversed for the afternoon rush that brings traffic from east to west. On weekends, lanes will be open for eastbound traffic. The goal is to reduce congestion overall on the busy expressway by drawing drivers from the general-purpose lanes to the express lanes in exchange for a variable toll.</i></li> <li>● <i>The toll will be based on the level of congestion in the express lanes themselves. The more vehicles in the express lanes, the higher the toll.</i></li> <li>● <i>Generally, tolls will range from as low as 50 cents to as high as \$2, depending on congestion. SunPass is required.</i></li> <li>● <i>To speed traffic, the reversible lanes have exit and entry points only at the junction with I-75 and the Sawgrass on the west and at the turnpike and just past 441 on the east. Hours of operation will be eastbound from 4 a.m. to 1 p.m. Monday through Friday and westbound 2 p.m. to 2 a.m. weekdays.</i></li> <li>● <i>The first network segment is already operating in Miami-Dade County from the Golden Glades interchange to State Road 112, just north of downtown Miami. A second segment from the Golden Glades to Broward Boulevard is now being built. Also, construction is to begin soon on express lanes on the Palmetto Expressway and I-75.</i></li> <li>● <i>Besides the new reversible lanes, other key features of the reconstructed I-595 include:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>A fire-suppression system on the express lanes and special access gates for emergency vehicles.</i></li> <li>○ <i>A new I-595-turnpike interchange to eliminate traffic weaving.</i></li> <li>○ <i>New auxiliary lanes, braided ramps and bypass bridges between 595 and State Road 84, which runs parallel to the expressway.</i></li> <li>○ <i>Thirteen new sound-barrier walls.</i></li> <li>○ <i>Express bus service serving downtown Fort Lauderdale and downtown Miami.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>● <i>While the entire cost of the project is \$1.8 billion, \$1.2 billion covered design and construction. The balance covers the operation and maintenance of the corridor for 35 years.</i></li> <li>● <i>The project is a public-private partnership involving FDOT and the concessionaire known as I-595 Express LLC.</i></li> </ul>
<b>11A3 151507</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REVIEW THE AESTHETICS ALONG THE MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SKYTRAIN CORRIDOR AND RECOMMEND POTENTIAL ENHANCEMENTS TO IMPROVE VISUAL APPEAL WITHIN 60 DAYS
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to review the aesthetics along the Skytrain corridor and submit a report recommending potential enhancements to beautify and improve the visual appeal of the area viewed by travelling passengers, including the costs associated with the enhancements, within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
<b>11A4 151484</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO COLLABORATE WITH THE UNITED STATES SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION TO CONDUCT JOINT COMMUNITY OUTREACH EFFORTS TO INFORM INDIVIDUALS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ABOUT SOCIAL SECURITY SERVICES AVAILABLE ONLINE, LINK THE COUNTY’S WEBSITE TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION’S WEBSITE, AND REPORT BACK TO THIS BOARD
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to collaborate with the United States Social Security Administration (Social Security Administration) to conduct joint community outreach efforts to inform individuals in Miami-Dade County about Social Security services available online and to link the County’s website to the Social Security Administration’s website to provide Miami-Dade residents with an opportunity to have better access to the Social Security Administration’s services; and</li> <li>● Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to report back to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and to place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</li> </ul> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>The Committee noted that the proposed resolution would make it easier for local residents to obtain information on federal services.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>11A5 151932</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO FUND THE EXPANSION AND EXTENSION OF THE NW 25TH STREET VIADUCT PROJECT WESTWARD AND TO CONNECT THE VIADUCT WITH THE FLORIDA TURNPIKE; URGING THE MIAMI-DADE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, AMENDING ITS TRANSPORTATION PLANS TO INCLUDE THE EXPANSION OF THIS PROJECT, PROVIDED FUNDING IS MADE AVAILABLE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to fund the expansion and extension of the NW 25th Street Viaduct Project westward and to connect the viaduct with the Florida Turnpike;</li> <li>• Urges the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to take appropriate action, including, but not limited to, amending its transportation plans to include the expansion of this project, provided funding is made available;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the BCC to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, the Secretary of the Florida Department of Transportation, and to the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 state legislative package to include this item.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b> The project, which is expected to reach completion in April 2016 at a cost of \$58 million, will extend the existing viaduct/bridge westward to NW 82nd Avenue, providing a vital and continuous link for cargo traffic traveling to, and from, Miami International Airport's West Cargo Area (MIA West Cargo Area) and involves two major parts: 1) the roadway reconstruction and widening of NW 25th Street from the Palmetto Expressway (State Road 826) to NW 89th Court; and 2) the construction of the viaduct. Once constructed, the viaduct will be elevated about 30 feet high along the north side of NW 25th Street and will connect with the existing east viaduct, and then go over the expressway and touch down just east of NW 82nd Avenue. The project will also include the addition, and widening, of various lanes of traffic; updated signage and pavement markings; and new landscaping and brick pavers in medians.</p>
<b>11A6 151610</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY ("MDX") (1) TO CREATE A PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGN INFORMING COUNTY RESIDENTS THAT MDX MEETINGS ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND ENCOURAGING GREATER AWARENESS OF THE COUNTY'S TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, AND (2) TO PARTNER WITH THE COUNTY TO INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS REGARDING TRANSPORTATION ISSUES; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXAMINE AND PREPARE A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY OF PARTNERING WITH MDX TO INCREASE PUBLIC AWARENESS REGARDING THE COUNTY'S TRANSPORTATION ISSUES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX) to create and implement a public awareness campaign informing County residents that MDX meetings are open to the public, encouraging attendance at and participation in those meetings, and emphasizing the importance of being informed and engaged in the county's transportation issues and further urges MDX to partner with the County to increase public awareness regarding the county's transportation issues;</li> <li>• Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to examine the feasibility of partnering with MDX to increase public awareness regarding the county's transportation issues; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The study will include, but is not limited to, examining the feasibility and potential costs of entering into an interlocal agreement with MDX to broadcast the MDX meetings on Miami-Dade TV.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide a report on the feasibility study to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution. The Mayor or Mayor's designee will place such report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65; and</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Chair, Members, and Executive Director of the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority.</li> </ul>
<b>11A7 151632</b>	RESOLUTION AFFIRMING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S COMMITMENT TO MAINTAIN AND RENOVATE THE EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR STRUCTURES, PARKING FACILITIES, LANDSCAPING AND GROUNDS OF ITS FIVE REGIONAL LIBRARIES AND, TO THE EXTENT EXTRA FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE WITHIN THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 BUDGET FOR THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT, DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCREASE FUNDING FOR SUCH MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS AS PART OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 BUDGET FOR THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151528]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to provide for an increase in budgeted funding for maintenance, capital projects and repairs for the Libraries in the Fiscal Year 2015-16 budget over the amount budgeted in the Fiscal Year 2014-15 budget for those same expenses to the extent needed for maintenance, capital projects and capital repairs to the five regional libraries and to the extent that additional funding is available to the Library Taxing District in Fiscal Year 2015-16. If available funding for the Library Taxing District in Fiscal Year 2015-16 is insufficient to complete all of the necessary maintenance, capital projects and capital repairs to the five regional libraries, then the County Mayor is directed to commence same within Fiscal Year 2015-16 and to continue to undertake such work as funds become available in subsequent fiscal years, prioritizing such work based on the needs of the structures, parking lots, gardens of each of the five regional libraries.</p> <p><b>Background:</b> The Miami-Dade Public Library System (Library Department) operates 49 library facilities, including five regional libraries and additional local branches (collectively Libraries). On February 3, 2015, the County Mayor presented a report to the Board that detailed the maintenance status and needed repairs to roofing and air conditioning systems for all the Libraries. The report showed that many Libraries, especially the regional libraries, were in need of roofing and/or air-conditioning repairs as well as significant modernization, renovation, exterior repair and increased maintenance, including repaving the parking lots of some of the Libraries.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>The Fiscal Year 2014-15 Library Department budget included a total of \$1,926,000.00 for maintenance, repair, landscaping, and cleaning of Libraries and additional funds from General Obligation Bonds and other available bonds to fund renovations and other major capital projects, including some air conditioning and roofing projects.</p> <p><b>Additional Information – Library District Millage Rates:</b></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">2014 Proposed</th> <th style="text-align: center;">2014 Adopted</th> <th style="text-align: center;">2015 Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> <b>0.2840 mills (R-661-14)</b>   <i>The millage rate recommended will generate approximately \$52 million for the Library District operations.</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <b>0.2840 mills (O-14-90)</b>                       This millage rate was 81.7 percent above the state-defined rollback rate of 0.1563 mills.   <i>The millage rate adopted by the Board will generate \$51.924 million for the Library District operations.</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <b>0.2840 mills</b>   <i>The millage rate recommended will generate \$56.888 million for the Library District to support Library District operations.</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	2014 Proposed	2014 Adopted	2015 Proposed	<b>0.2840 mills (R-661-14)</b>  <i>The millage rate recommended will generate approximately \$52 million for the Library District operations.</i>	<b>0.2840 mills (O-14-90)</b>  This millage rate was 81.7 percent above the state-defined rollback rate of 0.1563 mills.  <i>The millage rate adopted by the Board will generate \$51.924 million for the Library District operations.</i>	<b>0.2840 mills</b>  <i>The millage rate recommended will generate \$56.888 million for the Library District to support Library District operations.</i>
2014 Proposed	2014 Adopted	2015 Proposed					
<b>0.2840 mills (R-661-14)</b>  <i>The millage rate recommended will generate approximately \$52 million for the Library District operations.</i>	<b>0.2840 mills (O-14-90)</b>  This millage rate was 81.7 percent above the state-defined rollback rate of 0.1563 mills.  <i>The millage rate adopted by the Board will generate \$51.924 million for the Library District operations.</i>	<b>0.2840 mills</b>  <i>The millage rate recommended will generate \$56.888 million for the Library District to support Library District operations.</i>					
<b>11A8 151530</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXTENSION OF TIMEFRAMES FOR CITY OF MIAMI TO COMMENCE AND COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC MUNICIPAL PARKING GARAGE ON VIRGINIA KEY; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THIS BOARD TO EXECUTE CORRECTIVE COUNTY DEED; APPROVING THE EXECUTION BY THE CITY OF MIAMI OF AMENDED DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY RECORDATION OF CORRECTIVE COUNTY DEED AND AMENDED DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PERFORM ANY OTHER ACTS NECESSARY IN CONNECTION THEREWITH AND TO APPOINT STAFF TO MONITOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS OF THIS RESOLUTION, ALL SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITIONS</p>						
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes extensions of time requested by the City of Miami, including seven years from the original conveyance of the Property on April 2, 2013 to commence construction of a public municipal parking garage, and eight years from the date of original conveyance of the Property to complete construction of said garage. Specifically, the proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes the Chairperson or Vice-Chairperson of the Board to execute the Corrective County Deed and further approves of the execution by the City of the Amended Declaration of Restrictions subject to satisfaction of the following conditions:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Full and binding authority granted by the Miami City Commission authorizing the City to execute the Amended Declaration of Restrictions;</li> <li>○ Execution of such Amended Declaration of Restrictions by the City;</li> <li>○ Full and binding approval of the City of Miami Board of the Corrective County Deed; and</li> <li>○ County recordation of the Corrective County Deed and the Amended Declaration of Restrictions.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The Board’s approval will only become effective upon the satisfaction of the foregoing conditions and such satisfaction will be determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the Mayor or Mayor’s designee.</li> </ul> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-974-09, upon satisfaction of the conditions set forth, the Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to record the Corrective County Deed and Amended Declaration of Restrictions in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County and to provide a recorded copy of the instrument to the Clerk of the Board within 30 days of execution of said instrument; and</li> <li>• Directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to appoint staff to monitor compliance with the conditions of this resolution.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b></p> <p>On April 2, 2013, the County approved Resolution No. R-236-13, authorizing the conveyance of a portion of Rickenbacker Causeway to the City of Miami for the construction and maintenance of a public municipal parking garage on Virginia Key. In accordance with such R-236-13, the Board conveyed the Property to the City of Miami by County Deed and recorded a Declaration of Restrictions in connection with the conveyance. In addition to other conditions and restrictions set forth in the County Deed and Declaration of Restrictions, the City was required to commence construction of the parking garage no later than four years from the date of conveyance of the Property, and to complete construction no later than five years from the date of conveyance of the Property. The City of Miami has requested an extension of such timeframes by an additional three years to commence construction and an additional four years to complete construction, asserting that unforeseen delays, including delays in funding, have occurred, thereby requiring additional time in order to commence and complete the parking garage project.</p> <p><b>Additional Information:</b></p> <p>According to R-236-13, the portion of the Causeway conveyed was being used for drainage and beautification purposes, and not for lanes of traffic. The subject land was originally submerged sovereignty land conveyed by the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida to the City of Miami in 1929 “for municipal purposes only.” (Deed Book 1324, Page 248). The City of Miami subsequently deeded the subject land to Miami-Dade in 1941 for “construction and proper maintenance of a proposed causeway” and “for purposes beneficial to the residents of the City of Miami and adjoining communities” (Deed Book 2190, Page 359). The City proposed to fund and construct a four-story public municipal parking garage on Virginia Key, to provide 400 public parking spaces. City staff reported that current demand for parking exceeded supply, and the City expected a further increase in demand as its use of the Marine Stadium property expands.</p> <p>A review by the Shoreline Development Review Committee was required in order for the City to construct this project. Additionally, the City was required to obtain all necessary zoning and building permits and approvals, notwithstanding this transfer by the County. Conveyance of the requested land would enable the City to make an independent application for a rezoning without the County as a co-applicant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Has the Shoreline Development Review Committee review been completed?</b></li> <li>• <b>Were all necessary zoning and building permits/approvals granted?</b></li> </ul>						

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>11A9 151497</b>	RESOLUTION AMENDING POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (P3) TASK FORCE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-150-15; EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR P3 TASK FORCE TO SUBMIT REPORT TO THE BOARD; AND EXTENDING SUNSET OF P3 TASK FORCE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution amends the powers and duties of the P3 Task Force. The P3 Task Force's powers and duties set forth in Resolution No. R-150-15 are amended to eliminate from the P3 Task Force's powers and duties the identification of potential projects that address critical infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County that are suitable for public private partnership arrangements.</p> <p>The amended powers and duties of the P3 Task Force are to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make recommendations to this Board on changes in the County's ordinances, resolutions, regulations, implementing orders and administrative practices that will advance public private partnerships;</li> <li>• Evaluate and make recommendations to the Mayor and this Board with respect to the Public Private Partnership Plan required pursuant to Resolution No. R-1022-13 and any updates to said plan;</li> <li>• Review and assess timelines and legislative recommendations or other goals, tasks or deliverables set forth in the County's Public Private Partnership Plan; and</li> <li>• Provide a written report to this Board describing the P3 Task Force's findings and recommendations regarding directives within 300 days of the effective date of Resolution No. R-150-15. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>In making its recommendations and providing a report to this Board, the P3 Task Force will analyze and review successfully utilized public private partnership legislation, arrangements and projects in other communities throughout the country. The P3 Task Force's review, assessments and recommendations to the Public Private Partnership Plan required pursuant to Resolution No. R-1022-13 will not include any review, assessment or recommendations relating to any potential projects that may be suitable for public private partnership arrangements identified in the Public Private Partnership Plan.</i></li> <li>○ <i>Additionally, the deadline set forth in Resolution No. R-150-15 for the P3 Task Force's submission of a written report setting forth its findings and recommendations to the Board is extended 120 days. Accordingly, the P3 Task Force will submit the written report to the Board required under Resolution No. R-150-15 within 300 days from the effective date of Resolution No. R-150-15.</i></li> <li>○ <i>The report will consist of a comprehensive assessment of the County's Public Private Partnership Program, Public Private Partnership Plan, and ongoing efforts consistent with the P3 Task Force's powers and duties set forth in Section 1 above. The report will be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 for consideration by the Board. The Board may then request such further work of the P3 Task force as may be in the public interest.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The sunset and dissolution of the P3 Task Force set forth in Resolution No. R-150-15 will be extended an additional 144 days. On the 364<sup>th</sup> day from February 3, 2015, the P3 Task Force will sunset and stand dissolved.</p> <p><b><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the following was discussed:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The committee advised the task force would not be submitting a list of projects for consideration after consulting with the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust Director. He noted that the project list presented by the County should still be reviewed through a committee process for potential P3 consideration.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The committee questioned the status of the task force to which the Director of the Internal Services Department reported that County Commissioner nominations for task force members were underway and a report would be presented at next month's Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting. It was noted that the process was delayed because there were several duplicate nominations by more than one commissioner.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The committee pointed out that the Commission Auditor would be required to conduct background checks on individuals nominated.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information-Public Private Partnership Prior Legislation:</u></b></p> <p>On December 6, 2007, the BCC adopted R-1368-07, requesting that the Mayor or his designee prepare a written status report regarding all Public Private Partnerships or other business arrangements between the County and/or County agencies and private entities, including non-profit corporations and to recommend a procedure for evaluation and implementation regarding capital projects in order to maximize the County's investment and development of such County projects. The initial submission of the report was to be within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution and quarterly thereafter.</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance 13-72 and R-1022-13, creating Section 2-8.1.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership Program, for the purpose of infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County and provides for the following:</p> <p>Ordinance 13-72 requires the Preparation and Periodic Update of a Plan The Mayor will develop, and deliver to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Section a written plan to maximize the use of public private partnerships in County projects (the Plan). The Plan will be subject to BCC approval and will be updated and reported to the BCC, through its Infrastructure Committee, every six months. The Plan will contain, at a minimum the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• List of projects considered suitable for public private partnerships arrangements;</li> <li>• Timeline for their completion, and an identification of potential advantages and disadvantages of the delivery method in connection with each project;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed legislative recommendations to simplify the County processes utilized to identify, solicit, evaluate, and contract for private investment opportunities consistent with applicable law;</li> <li>• Propose an amendment to the provisions of this Code governing unsolicited proposals, to simplify them, conform them to additional authorizations that may have resulted from amendments to the State law, and make them more effective; and</li> <li>• A description of similar projects in other communities in the United States which may be used as a model.</li> </ul> <p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-150-15, created a Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership (P3) Task Force and set forth P3 Task Force purpose, powers, duties, membership composition and qualifications, organization and procedures, regulations, reporting requirements, staff support and sunset provision.</p> <p><b>Additional Information – Report: Update on the Status of Public Private Partnership Program Plan:</b></p> <p><b>County Projects</b> According to a report issued regarding the status of the Public Private Partnership Program Plan, the County currently has the following P3 Projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RFP-00198: Legal Services for Implementation of P# to Develop County Civil and Criminal Court Facilities and other projects as determined by the BCC;</li> <li>• RFP-00199: Financial and P3 Advisory Services;</li> <li>• RFQ-00241: Financial and P3 Advisory Support Services Pool for County Water and Sewer and other County P3 Initiatives;</li> <li>• RFP-00085: Compressed Natural Gas Program;</li> <li>• RFP-00096: Compressed Natural Gas Program for Miami-Dade Transit; and</li> <li>• South Miami Heights Water Treatment Plant.</li> </ul> <p><b>Potential P3 County Projects</b> The report states that there are 60 potential P3 County Projects of which 51 need to be vetted for suitability however at this time, the County staff does not have a timeline for these projects. A Value for Money analysis for each project needs to be performed to determine if the project best fits the P3 delivery method, or if it should follow the County’s traditional project delivery method. The analysis will assist in identifying the financial advantages and disadvantages of using the P3 delivery method. Below is a breakdown of potential project by Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water and Sewer – 8</li> <li>• Airport – 3</li> <li>• Cultural Affairs – 6</li> <li>• Public Works and Waste Management – 11</li> <li>• Corrections - 8</li> <li>• Port Miami – 2</li> <li>• Miami-Dade Transit – 5</li> <li>• Public Housing - 1</li> <li>• Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces – 7</li> </ul> <p><b>Outreach</b> The Mayor’s Office has scheduled an Industry Day to offer the private sector the opportunity to learn about upcoming projects and to discuss other available projects. The event is sponsored by the P3 Institute and will be held on September 24-25, 2015 at Florida International University’s Biscayne Bay Campus.</p> <p><b>Other Projects in the United States</b> There are currently 8 ongoing P3 projects throughout the U.S. which includes water and sewer, airport, seaport, judicial and civic center projects.</p>
<b>11A10 151501</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES THAT HAVE RECEIVED PRIOR OR POTENTIAL INTEREST IN BEING USED OR RENTED ON SHORT-TERM BASIS TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSINESS; PREPARE AND SUBMIT TO THE BOARD FOR ITS APPROVAL A RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE OR COMPETITIVE BIDDING PROCEDURE BASED ON AN ASSESSMENT OF FAIR MARKET RENTAL VALUE OR ANOTHER SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED VALUATION METHOD FOR THE USE OR RENTAL OF SAID PROPERTIES TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSINESS; OUTLINE PROCEDURE FOR FEE REDUCTIONS AND WAIVERS SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify County-owned properties that have received prior or potential interest in being used or rented on a short term basis to conduct private business and are not subject to an existing fee schedule approved by this Board; and</li> <li>• Prepare and submit for the Board’s consideration and approval a proposed fee schedule or competitive bidding procedure for use of the identified County properties for purposes of conducting private business on a short-term basis not to exceed one year in duration within 90 days from the effective date of this resolution.</li> </ul> <p>For each identified County-owned property, the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee will determine whether a fee schedule or competitive bidding procedure is more appropriate based upon the type of private business use that has been conducted or proposed on the property and which method would yield the higher financial return to the County.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If a fee schedule is proposed, it will be based upon an assessment of the property’s fair market rental value (or another specifically identified valuation method) as determined by existing appraisals when available, and if not available, then by comparable market rates or any other criteria that is deemed to provide a reasonable assessment of the property’s rental value.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>In addition, the fee schedule will outline a procedure whereby fee reductions and fee waivers for the use of the identified County properties for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Private business purposes;</li> <li>• Fundraising activities organized to promote community interest and welfare; or</li> <li>• Events held by other governmental organizations, state political subdivisions, non-profit organizations, or Community-Based Organizations can be submitted to the Board for approval.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on July 7, 2015 the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee indicated that the proposal included all County-owned properties.</i></li> <li>• <i>It was pointed out that some committee members did not recall the approval of any for-profit building grants; however, noted this was done for non-profit organizations for the benefit of a for-profit. It was suggested that County-owned properties that were for-profit as well as non-profits doing business as a for-profit be identified.</i></li> <li>• <i>It was clarified that the intention was to apply a policy to the rental of County-owned vacant land and noted an appraisal, if available, would be used to determine rental rates and the Board would make the final determination whether to accept proposals, waive fees or offer other alternatives. It was also noted that this proposal would establish a rate schedule for both for-profit and non-profit organizations.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee pointed out that the intention was for short term rentals of park properties and did not apply to existing leases and the Assistant County Attorney clarified that short term was defined in Administrative Order 8-5; noting for purposes of this proposal was a property rental for one year or less.</i></li> <li>• <i>Additionally, the committee noted the intention was to develop a standard policy for short term rentals in order to generate additional market based rental income.</i></li> </ul>
<b>11A11 151776</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXAMINE AND PREPARE A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY AND BENEFITS OF ISSUING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IDENTIFICATION CARDS TO COUNTY RESIDENTS AND DEVELOP A PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF A COUNTY IDENTIFICATION CARD PROGRAM</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to examine the feasibility and benefits of issuing Miami-Dade County identification cards to County residents and develop a plan for the implementation of a County Identification Card program. The feasibility study will include, but is not limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The efficacy of implementing a Miami-Dade County Identification Card program, in particular with respect to the potential use of the identification cards for access to County services;</li> <li>○ The costs associated with implementing a Miami-Dade County Identification Card program, including any fee to residents;</li> <li>○ Identification of a funding source for the implementation of a Miami-Dade County Identification Card program;</li> <li>○ Identification of the department that would administer the Miami-Dade County Identification Card program;</li> <li>○ Identification of the information that would be displayed on the Miami-Dade County Identification Card, which at a minimum should include the card holder's photograph, name, date of birth, address, and card issue and expiration dates; and</li> <li>○ Identification of the type of documents that would be acceptable to prove identity and residency in Miami-Dade County.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to provide a report on the feasibility and benefits of a County Identification Card program and include a plan for the adoption of such program to the BCC within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution. The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will place such report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> During the Strategic Planning and Government Oversight Committee meeting on July 7, 2015, File No. 151776 was amended to include as part of the feasibility study the review and analysis of county and municipal identification card programs implemented throughout the United States. During the committee meeting the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The committee addressed concerns about people's willingness to participate and stressed the need for the report to provide information on the process used by other states, and the method the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles used to pay for their identification card program.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee pointed out that the fear of deportation existed among many immigrants without adequate documentation over reporting crimes to local law enforcement and stressed the need to ensure residents felt comfortable in reaching out to law enforcement officials.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee pointed out that the local government was responsible for providing services that ensure public safety and noted the need to give residents legitimacy so they felt entitled to local services and part of the community, without actually giving them immigration status.</i></li> <li>• <i>The committee suggested to proceed cautiously; to review what other communities had accomplished; and to determine the best method to implement a program that works for the local community.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>11A12 151931</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT SB 120 OR HB 45, ENTITLED THE "FLORIDA COMPETITIVE WORKFORCE ACT," OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PROHIBIT DISCRIMINATION IN FLORIDA ON THE BASIS OF SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to enact Senate Bill 120 or House Bill 45, entitled the "Florida Competitive Workforce Act," or similar legislation that would prohibit discrimination in employment, housing, and public accommodations on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity or expression;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, Senator Joseph Abruzzo, Representative Holly Raschein and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's State Lobbyists to advocate for the enactment of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2016 State Legislative Package.</li> </ul> <p><i>There are currently only 10 Florida counties, and approximately 20 municipalities in the State, that have passed measures prohibiting discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity or expression, meaning that many thousands of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender Floridians are without protection in the realms of employment, housing, and public accommodations.</i></p>
<b>11A13 151844</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO COMMISSION A STUDY TO REVIEW THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CHAPTER 2013-227, LAWS OF FLORIDA, AND TO INCLUDE IN SUCH STUDY PROPOSALS FOR LEGISLATIVE REVISIONS AND/OR ALTERNATIVES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to commission a study to review the effectiveness of Chapter 2013-227, Laws of Florida, and to include in such study proposals for legislative revisions and/or alternatives; and</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b> During the 2013 session, the Florida Legislature enacted Senate Bill 50, which requires members of the public to be given a reasonable opportunity to be heard on any proposition before a board or commission of any state, county or municipal agency or authority. Senate Bill 50 is now Chapter 2013-227, Laws of Florida, and went into effect on October 1, 2013.</p>
<b>11A14 151927</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PASS LEGISLATION THAT WOULD FUND THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN AGRIBUSINESS INNOVATION CENTER IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to pass legislation that would fund the development of an Agribusiness Innovation Center in Miami-Dade County;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to send a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislative action and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to add this item to the 2016 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.</li> </ul>
<b>11A15 151928</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TO ESTABLISH A UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK SATELLITE OFFICE IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the United States Department of Commerce and the United States Patent and Trademark Office to establish a United States Patent and Trademark satellite office in Miami-Dade County;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to President Barack Obama, Secretary of Commerce Penny Pritzker, Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of United States Patent and Trademark Office Michelle Lee, and the Miami-Dade County Congressional Delegation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the action and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal Legislative Package to include this item and to include this item in the 2016 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b> The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is an agency within the United States Department of Commerce (DOC), which, among other things, examines and issues patents throughout the country, is headquartered in Alexandria, Virginia, and has satellite offices in Dallas, Denver, Detroit, and Silicon Valley that facilitate the technology industry and assist start-up businesses, intellectual property services, and job-growth accelerators.</p>
<b>11A16 151786</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO AMEND THE FLORIDA BAN ON TEXTING WHILE DRIVING LAW TO MAKE TEXTING WHILE DRIVING A PRIMARY OFFENSE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to amend the Florida Ban on Texting While Driving Law to make texting while driving a primary offense;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2016 Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Background:</b> According to the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, texting while driving makes the likelihood of a crash 23 times greater than driving while not distracted. In 2013, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) reported an estimated total of 904,000 crashes in the United States involving distracted drivers, approximately 424,000 people injured in crashes in the United States involving distracted drivers and 3,154 people killed in crashes in the United States involving distracted drivers, according to the NHTSA.</p> <p>A number of local jurisdictions have made it illegal to use hand-held cellular devices while driving and in October 2001, the BCC passed Ordinance No. 01-148 making Miami-Dade County among the first jurisdictions in Florida to pass an ordinance prohibiting the use of cellular telephones while operating a motor vehicle, except with the use of a hands-free device. Shortly thereafter, during the 2002 regular session, the Florida Legislature enacted Chapter 2002-179, Laws of Florida (Senate Bill 358), which preempted local governments from regulating the use of electronic communications devices in motor vehicles.</p> <p>On September 30, 2009, President Barack Obama issued an executive order prohibiting federal employees from texting while driving owned, leased, or rented government vehicles or driving and texting with government-supplied equipment and in November 2009, the BCC enacted Resolution No. R-1390-09, which prohibits Miami-Dade County employees, with certain exceptions, from text messaging, emailing or talking on a cellular telephone or other personal wireless handheld device when driving county-owned or county-leased vehicles unless a hands-free device is used.</p> <p>On October 27, 2010, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration enacted a ban prohibiting commercial vehicle drivers from texting while driving and on December 13, 2011, the National Transportation Safety Board urged all states to prohibit the use of cellular telephones and text messaging while behind the wheel of a motor vehicle.</p> <p><b>As of July 2015, 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands have banned texting while driving for all drivers. Of the 46 states that have banned texting while driving, all but five have made texting while driving a primary offense and Florida is among the five states that do not enforce texting while driving as a primary offense, but instead as a secondary offense.</b></p> <p><b>Additional Information- Florida Ban on Texting While Driving Law:</b> During the 2013 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 52 was passed and approved by the Governor, creating the “Florida Ban on Texting While Driving Law,” modeled after a sample law developed by the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) and a cross-section of safety and industry organizations. The law prohibits the operation of a motor vehicle while manually typing or entering multiple letters, numbers, symbols, or other text in a handheld wireless communication device, or sending or reading data in the device, for the purpose of non-voice interpersonal communication. The law makes exceptions for emergency workers performing official duties, reporting emergencies or suspicious activities, and for receiving various types of navigation information, emergency traffic data, radio broadcasts, and autonomous vehicles. The law also makes an exception for interpersonal communications that can be conducted without manually typing the message or without reading the message.</p> <p>The prohibition is enforceable as a secondary offense. A first violation is punishable as a nonmoving violation, with a fine of \$30 plus court costs that vary by county. A second violation committed within 5 years after the first is a moving violation that is punishable by a \$60 fine plus court costs.</p> <p><b>Additional Information- Few cited under texting while driving law, January 8, 2014, Sun Sentinel:</b> <a href="http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2014-01-08/news/fl-texting-while-driving-broward-20140108_1_texting-primary-offense-secondary-offense">http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2014-01-08/news/fl-texting-while-driving-broward-20140108_1_texting-primary-offense-secondary-offense</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Florida's texting-while-driving ban went into effect in October, but records show only 32 citations were issued in Broward through December 31.</i></li> <li>• <i>The law is meant to make roads safer by keeping drivers from being distracted. But in Florida, drivers can only be cited if they are stopped for another offense.</i></li> <li>• <i>For the three full months the law has been in effect, 393 tickets were handed out statewide, according to the Florida Highway Patrol. Miami-Dade County issued 105 tickets, by far the state's ticket leader, while 35 tickets issued in Palm Beach County.</i></li> <li>• <i>The penalties are light -- \$30 plus court costs for a first offense and \$60 for a second offense though drivers also would lose three points on their license.</i></li> <li>• <i>Studies have shown texting while driving can be more dangerous than driving while drunk, and most other states with a ban list it as a primary offense.</i></li> </ul>
<b>11A17 151925</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LEGISLATION THAT WOULD ATTRACT FILM AND TELEVISION PRODUCTION PROJECTS TO THIS STATE, INCLUDING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PRELIMINARILY IDENTIFYING THIS ISSUE AS A CRITICAL COUNTY PRIORITY FOR THE 2016 SESSION; URGING THE FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES TO IDENTIFY THIS ISSUE AS ONE OF ITS PRIORITIES FOR THE 2016 SESSION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to enact legislation that would attract film and television production projects to this state, including Miami-Dade County.</li> <li>• Preliminarily identifies the issue as a critical County priority for the 2016 state legislative session;</li> <li>• Urges the Florida Association of Counties to include attracting film and entertainment production to this state as one of its critical priorities for the 2016 session;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the BCC to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation and the Executive Director of the Florida Association of Counties;</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2016 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC and to preliminarily identify this item as a critical priority when the Board determines priorities for the 2016 session as provided in Resolution No. R-764-13.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b> In 2010, to retain the production already in place and attract additional projects to this state, the Florida Legislature enacted Chapter 2010-147, Laws of Florida (Senate Bill 1752), which created the Florida Entertainment Industry Financial Incentive Program, codified as section 288.1254, Florida Statutes. The Florida Entertainment Industry Financial Incentive Program offered tax credits to qualifying film, television and other entertainment industry projects to attract business to and create jobs in the state as during Fiscal Year 2010-2011 the entertainment industry accounted for more than \$380 million of direct spending in Miami-Dade County. The amount of direct spending in Miami-Dade County by the entertainment industry increased by approximately \$500 million during the four year period in which the Florida Entertainment Industry Financial Incentive Program was funded however, currently no funding remains available under Florida's Entertainment Industry Financial Incentive Program and there exists no other state program to attract film and television production to Florida. Since the funding was depleted, Miami-Dade County and the State of Florida have been operating at a competitive disadvantage to the roughly 40 states that have programs to attract film, television, commercials and other production companies to their state.</p>
<b>11A18 151926</b>	<b>RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO INCLUDE THE LUDLAM TRAIL IN THE FLORIDA SHARED-USE NONMOTORIZED TRAIL NETWORK PROGRAM, AS WELL AS FUNDING FOR THIS TRAIL IN THE 2016-2017 STATE FISCAL YEAR BUDGET</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Department of Transportation to include the Ludlum Trail in the Florida Shared-Use Nonmotorized Trail Network Program and direct \$10 million in funding for the Ludlum Trail in the 2016-17 state fiscal year budget;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the BCC to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and the Secretary of the Florida Department of Transportation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the issues and funding and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2016 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b> During the recent 2015 Special Session A, the Florida Legislature enacted Chapter No. 2015-22B Laws of Florida (Senate Bill 2514A), which established the Florida Shared-Use Nonmotorized Trail (SunTrail) network and provided for the annually recurring allocation of \$25 million to fund SunTrail projects.</p> <p>On June 20, 2015, The BCC, through Resolution No. R-617-15, urged the Florida Department of Transportation to direct \$6.7 million of the \$25 million allocated for SunTrail funding to Miami-Dade County trail projects in the 2015-2016 state fiscal year. Under Senate Bill 2514A, the Florida Department of Transportation will also allocate at least \$25 million to fund SunTrail projects for the 2016-2017 state fiscal year.</p> <p>The Ludlum Trail is a 6.2-mile linear track of land stretching from Miami International Airport at its northern terminus to Downtown Kendall at its southernmost point. The County has determined that the trail can connect more than 32,000 people to five greenways, five schools, four parks, and two transit hubs; provide a safe passageway for cyclists and pedestrians, including 3,700 students, to travel to schools, work, and shopping locations; eliminate 860,000 vehicle trips annually from Miami-Dade County streets; and provide approximately 60 acres of green space, connections to four existing parks, reduce carbon dioxide emissions by nearly 10,000 tons over 25 years, increase local property values, and create positive economic impact of more than \$540 million over a 25-year period.</p> <p><b>Additional Information - Ludlum Trail Corridor Application:</b> On November 4, 2014, Florida voters overwhelmingly approved Florida Constitutional Amendment 1, the Florida Water and Land Conservation Initiative, which authorizes no less than 33 percent of net revenues collected from the existing excise tax on real estate documents to be used to acquire, restore, and manage conservation and recreation lands throughout Florida for a period of 20 years.</p> <p>The revenues collected pursuant to this amendment may be used to finance the acquisition and improvement of land and outdoor recreation areas, including recreational trails, parks, and urban open space</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Legislative Session Update - Amendment 1 Implementation: This series of bills implements Amendment 1, and restructures the state's existing trust funds that direct state dollars from the state documentary stamp tax. A new trust fund is created so that 33 percent of the revenue generated by the tax is to be diverted as per Amendment 1. These bills are SB 584, SB 586, SB 576, SB 578, SB 580 and SB 582. The companion bills in the House also restructure the state's trust funds in order to implement Amendment #1. The House companion bills have moved through the committee process and now moving through their full House votes. They are HB 1291, HB 1293, and HB 1295. These bills are part of the budget process.</i></li> </ul> <p>Miami-Dade County commissioned the Miami-Dade County Trail Design Guidelines and Standards: Ludlum Trail Case Study to investigate the design and implementation of the Ludlum Trail (the "trail"), a 6.2-mile linear track of land stretching from Miami International Airport at its northern terminus to Downtown Kendall at its southernmost point. The land comprising the 6.2-mile trail, including right-of-ways, is currently owned by Florida East Coast Industries, LLC.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
September 1, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>During the CDMP meeting on November 19, 2014, the Board announced that the meeting would recess and that the public hearing would continue on Thursday, December 4, 2014. Upon the closing of the public hearing on Thursday, December 4, 2014, it was moved that File No. 142489, pertaining to the May 2014 Cycle applications request for amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, be withdrawn from consideration. The County Attorney was directed to prepare the appropriate resolution to have the Ludlum Trail Application filed as a County application as part of the November 2014 Cycle recognizing that the applicant has offered to pay for any out of pocket advertising fees. Staff was also directed to conduct Charrettes in Commission Districts 6 and 7.</p> <p>On April 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-350-15, directed the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to file, as a County application in the May 2015 cycle of applications to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application, which had been originally been filed as Application No. 3 in the May 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle. Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor's designee was directed to revise the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application to reflect the results of the charrettes to be undertaken in the area.</p> <p><i>On June 2, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-518-15, directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to seek a favorable recommendation from the Florida Greenways and Trails Council for State of Florida funding through the State Transportation Trust Fund to connect the existing trails in the Town of Cutler Bay, the Villages of Palmetto Bay, Pinecrest, and Key Biscayne, and the Cities of South Miami, Coral Gables, and Miami and unincorporated Miami-Dade County with the proposed Underline and Ludlam Trails. During the BCC meeting, R-518-15 was amended to include Black Creek Canal Trail and West End South Connectivity Master Plan.</i></p>