



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

November 3, 2015
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																			
4A 152455	ORDINANCE RELATED TO ENFORCEMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN MISDEMEANOR VIOLATIONS AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY DIVERSION PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTIONS 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 AND 8CC-11 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; EXPANDING THE DEFINITION OF CODE INSPECTOR TO INCLUDE AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES OF UNIVERSITIES, FLORIDA COLLEGE SYSTEM INSTITUTIONS AND DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARDS; ENUMERATING CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL OFFENSES ELIGIBLE FOR THE DIVERSION PROGRAM AND ASSOCIATED FINES; AUTHORIZING AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES OF UNIVERSITIES, FLORIDA COLLEGE SYSTEM INSTITUTIONS AND DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARDS TO ENFORCE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 8CC; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																																			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution, relating to enforcement of civil penalties for certain misdemeanor violations and the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, amends Sections 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 and 8CC-11 of the Miami-Dade County Code to expand the definition of the Code Inspector to include agents and employees of Universities, Florida College System Institutions and district school boards and authorize agents and employees of universities, Florida College System institutions and district school boards to enforce provisions in Chapter 8CC.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Code Comparison Sections 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 and 8CC-11 Miami-Dade County Code</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 8CC-3. <i>Enforcement procedures.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by administrative order of the County Manager. Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by implementing order. Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 8CC-5.1. <i>Miami-Dade County Diversion Program.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Code Comparison Sections 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 and 8CC-11 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 8CC-3. <i>Enforcement procedures.</i>	(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by administrative order of the County Manager. Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.	(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by implementing order . Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.	Sec. 8CC-5.1. <i>Miami-Dade County Diversion Program.</i>	<p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	<p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store
Code Comparison Sections 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 and 8CC-11 Miami-Dade County Code																																				
Section	Current	Proposed																																		
Sec. 8CC-3. <i>Enforcement procedures.</i>	(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by administrative order of the County Manager. Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.	(a) For the purposes of this chapter, a "Code Inspector" is defined to be any agent or employee of Miami-Dade County whose duty is to assure the enforcement of and compliance with the Code of Miami-Dade County. Prior to being provided the authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter, a Code Inspector shall be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation as prescribed by implementing order . Subject to the requirements of Section 8CC-11 below, a "Code Inspector" is also defined to be any agent or employee of a municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board who has been authorized pursuant to that Section to assure code compliance. Municipal, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board employees shall also be required to successfully complete a criminal background investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.																																		
Sec. 8CC-5.1. <i>Miami-Dade County Diversion Program.</i>	<p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	<p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store												
Code Section	Description of Violation																																			
7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges																																			
8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt																																			
8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings																																			
21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise																																			
Code Section	Description of Violation																																			
7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges																																			
8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt																																			
8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings																																			
21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise																																			
21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store																																			

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.		Research Notes																																										
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-31.4(B)</td> <td>Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)</td> <td>Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26-1</td> <td>Parks violations</td> </tr> </table>	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store	21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging	21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	26-1	Parks violations		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>21-31.4(B)</td> <td>Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(1)</td> <td>Florida litter law</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(2)</td> <td>Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(3)</td> <td>Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(4)</td> <td>Retail theft by removal of shopping cart</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(5)</td> <td>Loitering or prowling</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(6)</td> <td>Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(7)</td> <td>Possession of drug paraphernalia</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26-1</td> <td>Parks violations</td> </tr> </table>	21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging	21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers	21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance	21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart	21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling	21-81(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less	21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia	26-1	Parks violations														
21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store																																											
21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging																																											
21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)																																											
26-1	Parks violations																																											
21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging																																											
21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law																																											
21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers																																											
21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance																																											
21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart																																											
21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling																																											
21-81(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less																																											
21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia																																											
26-1	Parks violations																																											
Sec. 8CC-10. <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i>	<p>The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Code Section</th> <th>Description of Violation</th> <th>Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>21-48</td> <td>Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers</td> <td>\$500.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)</td> <td>Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-112</td> <td>Failure to properly fill an abandon well</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty	21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00	21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	\$100.00	21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00	<p>The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Code Section</th> <th>Description of Violation</th> <th>Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>21-48</td> <td>Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers</td> <td>\$500.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(1)</td> <td>Florida litter law</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(2)</td> <td>Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(3)</td> <td>Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(4)</td> <td>Retail theft by removal of shopping cart</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(5)</td> <td>Loitering or prowling</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-821(d)(6)</td> <td>Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-81(d)(7)</td> <td>Possession of drug paraphernalia</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-112</td> <td>Failure to properly fill an abandon well</td> <td>\$100.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty	21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00	21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	\$100.00	21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers	\$100.00	21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance	\$100.00	21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart	\$100.00	21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling	\$100.00	21-821(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less	\$100.00	21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia	\$100.00	21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty																																										
21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00																																										
21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	\$100.00																																										
21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00																																										
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty																																										
21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00																																										
21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	\$100.00																																										
21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers	\$100.00																																										
21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance	\$100.00																																										
21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart	\$100.00																																										
21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling	\$100.00																																										
21-821(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less	\$100.00																																										
21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia	\$100.00																																										
21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00																																										
Sec. 8CC-11. <i>Enforcement procedure for municipalities, universities, Florida College System institutions, and district school boards.</i>	<p>(a) Municipalities within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their municipal boundaries subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(b) As a condition to enforcing the applicable provisions of this chapter, a municipality shall enter into an interlocal agreement with Miami-Dade County. The interlocal agreement shall contain, at a minimum, the following:</p> <p>(1) The section or sections of this Code which the municipality wishes to enforce through this chapter;</p>	<p>(a) Municipalities within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their municipal boundaries subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(b) Universities, Florida College System institutions, and district school boards within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their jurisdictional boundaries as set forth in state law as such may be amended from time to time subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(c) As a condition to enforcing the applicable provisions of this chapter, a municipality, university, Florida</p>																																										

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>(2) The job title of the agents or employees of the municipality authorized to perform the enforcement functions and the number of agents or employees so authorized;</p> <p>(3) The amount reimbursable to Miami-Dade County for administrative costs relating to the conduct of hearings on appeals from violations issued by the municipality, including but not limited to attorneys' fees and costs, costs of transcription and clerical costs;</p> <p>(4) The amount of revenue reimbursable to the municipality from any fine collected pursuant to this chapter;</p> <p>(5) An agreement to indemnify and save the County harmless from and against any and all liability, actions and causes of action relating to the municipality's enforcement of the provisions of this chapter; and</p> <p>(6) A term not to exceed three (3) years.</p> <p>(c) With respect to laws and ordinances of County-wide application, the provisions of this section shall be supplemental to and not in derogation of any authority of Miami-Dade County to enforce the provisions of those laws and ordinances.</p> <p>(d) Nothing contained in this section is intended to extend the substantive effect or application of any County law or ordinance to any municipal area where such County law or ordinance is not effective or applicable.</p> <p>(e) Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any municipality from enforcing provisions of its municipal code or this Code by any lawful and authorized means.</p>	<p>College System institution, or district school board shall enter into an interlocal agreement with Miami-Dade County. The interlocal agreement shall contain, at a minimum, the following:</p> <p>(1) The section or sections of this Code which the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board wishes to enforce through this chapter;</p> <p>(2) The job title of the agents or employees of the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board authorized to perform the enforcement functions and the number of agents or employees so authorized;</p> <p>(3) The amount reimbursable to Miami-Dade County for administrative costs relating to the conduct of hearings on appeals from violations issued by the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board, including but not limited to attorneys' fees and costs, costs of transcription and clerical costs;</p> <p>(4) The amount of revenue reimbursable to the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board from any fine collected pursuant to this chapter;</p> <p>(5) An agreement to indemnify and save the County harmless from and against any and all liability, actions and causes of action relating to the enforcement of the provisions of this chapter by the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board; and</p> <p>(6) A term not to exceed three (3) years.</p> <p>(d) With respect to laws and ordinances of County-wide application, the provisions of this section shall be supplemental to and not in derogation of any authority of Miami-Dade County to enforce the provisions of those laws and ordinances.</p> <p>(e) Nothing contained in this section is intended to extend the substantive effect or application of any County law or ordinance to any municipal area, or area of a university, Florida College System institution, or district school board where such County law or ordinance is not effective or applicable.</p> <p>(f) Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any municipality from enforcing provisions of its municipal code or this Code by any lawful and authorized means. Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any university, Florida College System institution, or district school board from enforcing provisions of its own policies or this Code by any lawful and authorized means.</p>
4C 152280	ORDINANCE REVISING ZONING AND OTHER LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; MODIFYING PROCEDURES FOR FILING ZONING APPLICATIONS; AMENDING SECTION 33-304 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
	The proposed ordinance revises zoning and other land development regulations, modifies procedures for filing zoning applications and amends Section 33-304 of the Miami-Dade County Code.	

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<p>Specifically, the ordinance eliminates the restrictive filing period established in the Code so that applicants may be able to file zoning hearing applications at any time. Currently, the Code limits the filing period of zoning hearing applications to three consecutive days on the first and third Monday of each month.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The proposed ordinance bears no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County. Ordinance implementation, if adopted, does not result in additional staffing needs or future operational costs for County administration. Activities relating to the implementation of the ordinance would be absorbed by the administering department as part of their ongoing activities.</p> <p>Social Equity Statement: The proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have a specific social equity benefit or burden as described by Ordinance No. 15-83. As explained below, a more flexible filing period may help expedite processes and improve service and access to all customers, potentially yielding positive economic benefits to applicants who will now be able to expedite plans through the availability of an unrestricted filing process. However, a specific benefit is not quantifiable at this time.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Code Comparison Section 33-304 Miami-Dade County Code</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-304. <i>Applications</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(b) All zoning hearing applications in this chapter shall only be accepted during the established filing periods, which shall consist of three consecutive days beginning on the first and third Monday of each month. It is provided however that no zoning application will be accepted on a day set forth above which occurs on a legal holiday. Administrative variances may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) At the end of each said time period set forth in subpart (b) the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(b) All zoning hearing or administrative approval applications in this chapter may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) Upon filing, the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Comparison Section 33-304 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 33-304. <i>Applications</i>	<p>(b) All zoning hearing applications in this chapter shall only be accepted during the established filing periods, which shall consist of three consecutive days beginning on the first and third Monday of each month. It is provided however that no zoning application will be accepted on a day set forth above which occurs on a legal holiday. Administrative variances may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) At the end of each said time period set forth in subpart (b) the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p>	<p>(b) All zoning hearing or administrative approval applications in this chapter may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) Upon filing, the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p>
Code Comparison Section 33-304 Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 33-304. <i>Applications</i>	<p>(b) All zoning hearing applications in this chapter shall only be accepted during the established filing periods, which shall consist of three consecutive days beginning on the first and third Monday of each month. It is provided however that no zoning application will be accepted on a day set forth above which occurs on a legal holiday. Administrative variances may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) At the end of each said time period set forth in subpart (b) the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p>	<p>(b) All zoning hearing or administrative approval applications in this chapter may be filed at any time.</p> <p>(c) Upon filing, the Director shall promptly identify and group those applications for district boundary changes, use special exceptions, unusual and new uses and use variances which relate to or affect any particular or immediate neighborhood or area as determined by the Director, and, to the extent possible, shall notice public hearings thereon, in accordance with Section 33-310 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, so as to allow the appropriate board to consider and determine the effect of the said applications on the said neighborhood or area as a whole and their relation to and conformity with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. Upon receipt of an application, the Director shall forward the application to the appropriate Departments, as determined by the Director, for review. To allow for timely processing of applications, Department comments are to be provided to the Director within twenty-one (21) days following transmittal of the request for review, unless a greater review period is allowed by the Director.</p>								
5A 152349	RESOLUTION APPROVING A SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 232 - "FUTURE MULTI-USE FACILITIES" IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-917-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, TO REMOVE SPECIFICATION THAT PROJECT FUNDS CAN ONLY BE USED FOR MULTI-USE FACILITY PROVIDING COUNTY SERVICES; APPROVING AN ALLOCATION OF \$2,600,000.00 FROM PROJECT NO. 232 FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTI-USE FACILITY TO BE LOCATED IN DISTRICT 3; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AND NEGOTIATE FOR PURCHASE OF SUCH MULTI-USE FACILITY									
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves a significant modification to Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 232- Future Multi-Use Facilities- in Appendix A to Resolution No. R-917-04, the Outreach Facilities Resolution; • Removes specification that project funds can only be used for multi-use facility providing County services; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The new Project No. 232 description would read as follows: "Acquire or construct various multi-use County government facilities in order to bring services closer to local communities";</i> • Approves an allocation of \$2,600,000 from Project No. 232 for development of a multi-use facility to be located in District 3; and • Directs the County Mayor or designee to identify and negotiate for the purchase and/or development of a multi-use facility in District 3 for the Project, subject to the prior approval by the BCC of any such acquisition and/or development. <p>Background County residents, especially those residing within communities in Districts 2 and 3, are in need of social services tailored specifically towards the Haitian community, including those relating to adult education and literacy, immigration assistance, health services, and family services. Many of these services can be provided by the County's Community Action and Human Services Department (CASD), but other services,</p>									

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>namely those related to particularly Haitian issues, can be better provided by established, local, non-profit corporations that have the knowledge to service this community.</p> <p>The significant modification would allow services to be provided by non-County entities from any multi-use, County government facility acquired or constructed with Project No. 232 funds.</p> <p>To date, the following amounts have been allocated to multi-use facilities from Project No. 232:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$18,552,000.00 for the acquisition of the Lightspeed Building; • \$5,800,000 for the acquisition of the Victims Assistance Center; and • \$8,610,000.00 for the development of a West Dade Government Center. <p>The unallocated balance of Project No. 232 is \$6,038,000.00. CASD desires to acquire and/or develop a multi-use facility in District 3 from where it will provide domestic violence and human trafficking victim assistance services, food services, and services to the elderly and disabled, and from where FANM can provide social services to Haitian immigrants, families and residents, including but not limited to adult education and literacy, immigration assistance, health services, and family services.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> Legislative File No. 152561 scheduled for the December 1, 2015 BCC meeting, approves an allocation of \$3,400,000.00 from Project No. 232 in order to fund the development of a multi-use facility at North Trail Park. North Trail Park, located at 780 NW 127th Avenue, Miami, FL 33182, is a park owned and operated by the Miami-Dade County Park, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department. North Trail Park was allocated \$1,789,000.00 from the Bond Program in order to undertake park improvements, including picnic area, athletic fields and courts, but requires additional funding in order to complete the development of a 7,000 square foot multi-use facility to include expansion of the existing recreation center, a senior center, facilities for after-school, art and youth programs, and expansion of the parking lot.</p>
5B 151994	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING DELETION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 229 - "DATA PROCESSING & COMMUNICATIONS CENTER FIRE SUPPRESSION" AND ADDITION OF NEW PROJECT NO. 354 - "DATA PROCESSING AND COMMUNICATIONS CENTER/ANNEX BUILDINGS" TO BE FUNDED WITH \$1,000,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 229, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-917-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING PROVISIONS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deletes the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program Project No. 229 – “Data Processing and Communications Center Fire Suppression” (Project No. 229); • Declares \$1 million from the deleted Project No. 229 as surplus funds in accordance with Implementing Order 3-47; • Waives the provisions of Implementing Order 3-47 regarding the addition of new projects to the BBC-GOB Program; and • Approves the addition of a new BBC-GOB Program Project No. 354 to be titled “Data Processing and Communications Center/Annex Buildings” to the BBC-GOB Program using the \$1 million of surplus funds. <p><u>Background</u> The Data Processing and Communications Center is a critical facility that provides departments with all information technology needs as the main hub for data routing and storage for County operations. On January 22, 2015, the Data Processing and Communications Center’s Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) system suffered a major failure, which affected two (2) units that supply the most critical systems in the building, including data circuits that service the entire County and communication infrastructure. Due to the age of the units, it took longer than normal to get back into operation, as some components are not readily available and need to be manufactured. It is critical to have these units running to provide the necessary redundancy in case of emergency and during maintenance cycles of the UPS units. Replacing the units will ensure continued safe operation of County facilities and countywide systems, including emergency response centers, during power failures. Accordingly, at its July 21, 2015 meeting, the BBC-GOB Citizens’ Advisory Committee voted to forward this item to the BCC with a favorable recommendation.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On September 16, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-805-14, approved the establishment of a prequalification pool for maintenance and repair services, parts, components, and accessories for uninterruptible power supply systems used by various county departments. The amount requested for the five-year term was \$2,892,000. If the County chose to exercise the one, five-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value would be \$5,784,000.</p> <p>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-834-15, authorized the increased expenditure authority of \$4,553,000 to Prequalification Pool No. RTQ-00004, Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) Systems Purchase, Maintenance and Repair for various County departments. The pool is on its sole five-year renewal term, which expires on October 31, 2019. The pool has an existing allocation of \$3,392,000, which includes a \$500,000 previous modification. The modification authorizes additional expenditure authority of \$4,553,000, increasing the total pool value to \$7,945,000.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting discussion:</u> <i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on August 25, 2015, the following was discussed:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns with only having three local firms out of the thirteen in the pool. The Assistant Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) noted that three of the thirteen firms have local addresses however other firms have service stations in Miami-Dade County.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted that the local addresses and information regarding how many employees were local should be included in the item. The Committee also noted that local chambers of commerce should be present working with local companies to keep business in Miami-Dade County. Additionally, it was noted that some firms are located outside but near County lines and have work pools consisting mostly of Miami-Dade County residents. It was suggested that proposed legislation contain information regarding where the work pool resides.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned why the additional expenditure being requested was larger than the existing allocation. The Assistant Director of ISD explained that there were instances where new facilities were coming on board. As per the Committee's request, the Assistant Director agreed to provide information on what percentage of the additional expenditure was for new facilities, new and existing maintenance costs and how much would be allocated from ISD funds and GOB funds.</i> • <i>The Committee asked if local gaps in providers have been located and if the Department was working with the Beacon Council and local chambers of commerce to fill such gaps. The Assistant Director explained that the focus has been on small and local businesses. The Division Director of the ISD Small Business Development Division further explained that they were working with the Beacon Council on outreach efforts.</i> • <i>The Committee asked if the prequalification pool was open to allow other firms to join the pool. The Assistant Director confirmed that the pool was open.</i> <p>The Office of the Commission Auditor requested information on why the additional expenditure being requested was larger than the existing allocation and what percentage of the additional expenditure was for new facilities, new and existing maintenance costs and how much would be allocated from ISD funds and GOB funds. According to ISD staff, the additional allocation for the Internal Services Department will be used to purchase new equipment, including installation and repair services as needed. There will be no maintenance costs as the new equipment will be under warranty. The allocation breakdown by funding source is as follows: \$1,000,000 – GOB Funds; and \$1,700,000 – ISD Funds.</p>
5F 151859	ORDINANCE RELATING TO WATER AND SEWER REGULATIONS; AMENDING ARTICLE VIII OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL PROGRAM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to Water and Sewer Regulations, amends Sections 32-152, 32-154, 32-154.1, 32-155, 32-156, 32-158, 32-163 and 32-169 of Article VIII of Chapter 32 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. The revisions to the County's Code are necessary in order to bring the County's Cross-Connection Control Program into conformance with changes made in May 2014 to the State of Florida's Cross-Connection Control Rules, which are in Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>There will be an impact to WASD customers in residential buildings with five (5) stories or more, as the existing exemption to install backflow preventers for those types of residential buildings is being deleted in Section 32-158 of the Code. It is estimated that this revision will impact approximately 226 buildings across the County at an estimated cost of \$6,000 per building. However, other WASD customers will see savings because the costs for the required backflow preventer equipment will be lower, the annual inspection requirement for certain buildings is being deleted, and the testing frequency requirement is being changed for residential customers. Customers should benefit in the following ways from the revisions to the Code:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The revision to Section 32-154.1 will allow customers using reclaimed water as an auxiliary source of water supply to install a less expensive backflow preventer in place of the Reduced Pressure Backflow Preventer as long as the auxiliary system is not a high hazard. The customer should see a savings of approximately \$500 per unit. • The revision to Section 32-158(a) extends the exemption from the requirement to install a backflow preventer from residential buildings with three (3) stories or less to residential buildings with four (4) stories or less that contain only dwelling units. Prior to this revision, residential buildings with four (4) stories were exempt from the installation requirement, however, a certified plumber had to inspect the building's plumbing system on an annual basis. With this revision, the yearly inspection requirement is deleted which saves the customer about \$100 to \$300 per year, depending on the size of the building and the number of units in the building. • The revision to Section 32-163 changes the testing frequency requirement of backflow preventers. The testing requirements for residential customers with water service lines no greater than 2-inches have been revised from one (1) year to two (2) years. Additionally, the requirement for residential customers to test newly installed backflow preventers changed from one (1) year to two (2) years, saving the customer about \$75 per year as the average cost for each test is approximately \$75. <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>WASD's Cross-Connection Control Program and Backflow Prevention Program have been in place for over 15 years in order to protect the public health by safeguarding the County's drinking water from potential contamination. A cross-connection is any temporary or permanent connection between a public water system or consumer's potable water system and any source or system containing non-potable water or other substances. Backflow is the unwanted flow of non-potable water or other substances through a cross-connection and into the piping of a public water system or consumer's potable water system. For example, the most common cross-connection is the garden hose left submerged in a bucket full of water or other fluid. If there is a significant drop in water pressure levels due to a nearby water main break, a backflow event may occur causing a reversal of flow of contaminated water or other fluids from the garden hose to the potable water system.</p> <p>Last spring, the State of Florida revised the Florida Administrative Code relating to Cross-Connection Control Programs and Backflow Prevention Programs statewide. Revisions to Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code became effective on May 5, 2014.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p><u>Additional information:</u> On March 4, 2013, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-23, amended Section 32-154 of the Code, extending the date by which backflow preventers must be installed for facilities of existing water customers governed by Section 32-154(D) to January 1, 2016, instead of January 1, 2014.</p>												
5G 151879	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 2-892 AND 2-894 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO THE APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR AND THE STATE ATTORNEY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AND TENURE OF BOARD MEMBERS AND THE PROVISION OF STAFF SUPPORT TO THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, AND INCLUSION IN THE CODE												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance approves an amendment to Sections 2-892 and 2-894 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, pertaining to the appointment and tenure of members of the Domestic Violence Oversight Board (DVOB). The proposed amendment to the ordinance maintains the appointment by each member of the Board of one (1) member to the DVOB and the County Mayor's appointment of one (1) member from the law enforcement community and adds the appointment by the Miami-Dade County State Attorney of one (1) member to the DVOB.</p> <p>In addition, the tenure of DVOB members is amended from three (3) years to four (4) years. The amendment clarifies that each DVOB member's term ends concurrently with the last day of the term of the County Mayor, County Commissioner or State Attorney who appointed the board member. However, each DVOB member continues to serve on the DVOB until his or her successor has been appointed to the DVOB.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Any expenses associated with this ordinance amendment will be funded by the County's DVOB Trust Fund. The Trust Fund receives 15 percent of the Local Option Food and Beverage Tax specifically designated for construction and operation of new domestic violence centers. This ordinance amendment will not require any allocation from the County's General Fund and will not have a fiscal impact on the County.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The DVOB was created by County Ordinance in 1994 to serve in an advisory capacity to the Board with respect to issues affecting or relating to domestic violence. The DVOB submits to the Board a comprehensive plan, budget and specific recommendations for use of 15 percent of the Local Options Food and Beverage Tax dedicated to the construction and operation of domestic violence centers. The DVOB is also tasked with reviewing the plan annually to ensure that a coordinated and responsive continuum of services is available and accessible for victims of domestic violence and their children in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The DVOB consists of 15 members. Originally, 13 members were appointed by the Board (one (1) for each Commissioner), and two (2) members were appointed by the County Mayor, one (1) of which shall be a member of law enforcement. This ordinance amendment retains a DVOB membership of 15, the appointment of 13 members by the Board (one (1) for each Commissioner), and the appointment of one (1) member by the Mayor from the law enforcement community. The ordinance amendment reduces the appointments of the Mayor from two (2) to one (1).</p>												
7A 151995	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ROAD IMPACT FEES; PROVIDING FOR USE OF IMPACT FEES TO PAY FOR MASS TRANSIT PROJECTS THAT BENEFIT MULTIPLE IMPACT FEE DISTRICTS; AMENDING SECTION 33E-12 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to road impact fees amends Section 33E-12 of the Miami-Dade County Code providing for use of impact fees to pay for mass transit projects that benefit multiple impact fee districts. The ordinance allows for road impact fees to be used for mass transit projects outside the Urban Infill Area and for road impact fee funding from one or more impact fee districts if the project provides a benefit to each of the impact fee districts contributing funds to the transit improvement. It also eliminates the requirement of a recommendation from the Mayor and any consultation from the Director of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management.</p> <p>Implementation of this ordinance may have an impact on the approved capital budget in any given year. It allows the BCC to add projects without recommendation from the administration. Because the impact fees are fully appropriated, should the BCC add projects to the capital program, planned capital projects will need to be defunded.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Miami-Dade County Code Section 33E-12 Code Comparison</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sec. 33E-5. <i>Definitions.</i></td> <td>(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project located inside the Urban Infill Area that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity inside the Urban Infill Area pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).</td> <td>(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sec. 33E-12. <i>Impact fee expenditures.</i></td> <td>(d) Roadway trust funds may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after recommendation from the Mayor in consultation with the Public Works and Waste Management Director and after public hearing, determines that</td> <td>(d) Roadway trust funds from one or more impact fee districts may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after public hearing, determines that any such transit use of roadway trust funds would be effective as part of the county's strategy for providing</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Miami-Dade County Code Section 33E-12 Code Comparison			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 33E-5. <i>Definitions.</i>	(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project located inside the Urban Infill Area that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity inside the Urban Infill Area pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).	(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).	Sec. 33E-12. <i>Impact fee expenditures.</i>	(d) Roadway trust funds may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after recommendation from the Mayor in consultation with the Public Works and Waste Management Director and after public hearing, determines that	(d) Roadway trust funds from one or more impact fee districts may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after public hearing, determines that any such transit use of roadway trust funds would be effective as part of the county's strategy for providing
Miami-Dade County Code Section 33E-12 Code Comparison													
Section	Current	Proposed											
Sec. 33E-5. <i>Definitions.</i>	(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project located inside the Urban Infill Area that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity inside the Urban Infill Area pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).	(23) Select Transit Capital Improvement means a specific transit capital project that has been determined by the Board of County Commissioners to be of strategic value in providing roadway capacity pursuant to Section 33E-12(d).											
Sec. 33E-12. <i>Impact fee expenditures.</i>	(d) Roadway trust funds may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after recommendation from the Mayor in consultation with the Public Works and Waste Management Director and after public hearing, determines that	(d) Roadway trust funds from one or more impact fee districts may be expended on select transit capital improvements provided that the Board of County Commissioners, after public hearing, determines that any such transit use of roadway trust funds would be effective as part of the county's strategy for providing											

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>any such transit use of roadway trust funds would be effective as part of the county's strategy for providing roadway capacity within the Urban Infill Area. Roadway trust funds may only be used for select transit capital improvements within the Urban Infill Area. Only impact fees generated from within the Urban Infill Area may be used for select transit capital projects. Transit projects are to be selected for road way trust fund funding on the basis of their expected effectiveness as roadway capacity improvements.</p>	<p>roadway capacity and would provide a benefit to each of the impact fee districts contributing roadway trust funds to the transit improvement. Transit projects are to be selected for road way trust fund funding on the basis of their expected effectiveness as roadway capacity improvements, and road way trust funds shall not be used to address existing deficiencies.</p>
	<p><u>Additional Information – Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting discussion:</u> During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on October 14, 2015, the Committee asked the Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) Director to provide a current assessment report regarding funding resources for work on roads and paved roads, such as People’s Transportation Plan (PTP) component and allocated expenditures as well as the location of those projects before the November 12, 2015 TMSC meeting.</p>	
7B 152487	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; UPDATING REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO RESTAURANTS AND TO LIVE ENTERTAINMENT AT RESTAURANTS, BARS, NIGHT CLUBS, CABARETS AND SIMILAR ESTABLISHMENTS; UPDATING THE DEFINITION OF NIGHT CLUBS; PROVIDING FOR NIGHT CLUBS IN THE IU-1 ZONING DISTRICT; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1, 33-150, 33-238, AND 33-259 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 151445, 151898, 152288]</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to zoning updates regulations pertaining to restaurants and to live entertainment at restaurants, bars, night clubs, cabarets and similar establishments, amends Sections 33-1, 33-150, 33-238 and 33-259 of the Miami-Dade County Code. The proposed ordinance also updates the definition of a night club and provides for night clubs in the IU-1 zoning district. Additionally, a renewable annual certificate of use will be required for the entertainment portion of a use describing the type and hours of entertainment to be provided, which will be subject to revocation and other penalties in the event of violations.</p> <p>Currently, certain establishments such as restaurants and bars that want to provide live entertainment are required to file for a public hearing and pay associated fees. Implementation of this ordinance, which amends the County’s Zoning Code, may result in a slight reduction of hearings requested for this purpose. Historically, there have been very few hearings requested by establishments such as restaurants and bars to allow live entertainment. However, if adopted, this ordinance will now require that restaurants, bars, night clubs, cabarets, and similar establishments meeting the zoning requirements delineated in this ordinance obtain a yearly certificate of use if they wish to provide live entertainment. Revenues generated from certificate of use application and renewal processes will be used to cover the cost of inspections and enforcement related expenses will not exceed revenue collected in association with the related enforcement activities. Therefore, it is anticipated that the implementation of this ordinance will have no fiscal impact.</p> <p><i>A substitute was presented at the October 13, 2015 Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting. The substitute differs from the original in that a certificate of use will be required for the entertainment portion of a use, which will describe the type and hours of entertainment provided and which will be subject to revocation and other penalties in the event of violations. In addition, the provision relating to outdoor entertainment has been further modified such that restaurants, bars, night clubs, and cabarets may offer outdoor entertainment if the business is not located within 500 linear feet of an adjacent or surrounding residential zoning district or property with a residential use; or, when separated by a section line road, the business is not within 400 feet of an adjacent or surrounding residential zoning district or property with a residential use.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance was further amended to require establishments with an entertainment use to implement security measures, including security guards, to ensure the safety of patrons and minimize impacts to residential neighbors. The amendment also requires that such security measures be identified and certified to the Department at the time of application and renewal for certificate of use.</i></p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> This legislative change revises the definition of “nightclubs” to remove restrictive and outdated parameters such as seating capacity and size of space limitations. Furthermore, legislation overhauls the “live entertainment” provisions of the Code to allow for live entertainment in all restaurants, bars, nightclubs, cabarets, and other facilities deemed similar that serve alcohol, subject to a yearly certificate of use. However, outdoor live entertainment at those facilities within 500 feet of a residential area – or 400 feet of residential area if separated by a section line road – require a special exemption public hearing.</p> <p>The proposed changes to the Code will allow for more establishments in the unincorporated municipal service area to have live entertainment, which can provide an economic benefit to such establishments. It can also provide residents in the unincorporated municipal service area with more live entertainment options.</p> <p>The requirement for security measures has the potential to ensure the safety of patrons and may serve to minimize impacts to immediate neighbors. While the specific amount of security is left to the discretion of the owner of the establishment, the associated costs will be borne by the respective establishment.</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<i>Comparison of Sections 33-1, 33-150, 33-238 and 33-259 Miami-Dade County Code Zoning</i>	
	<i>Section</i>	<i>Current</i>
		<i>Proposed</i>
	Sec. 33-1. Definitions.	(75) Night club. Any place of business located within any building or establishment under one (1) roof and on one (1) floor, established and operated for the purpose of supplying entertainment or music, or both, and providing meals and refreshments prepared on the premises, having a seating capacity of not less than forty (40) people at tables; having an aggregate floor space of not less than two thousand two hundred (2,200) square feet, and providing a dance floor containing not less than three hundred eight (308) square feet; such floor space providing for dancing to be free from chairs, tables or other obstructions at all times.
		(75) Night club. Any place of business established and operated for the purpose of supplying entertainment where alcoholic beverages are dispensed and consumed on the premises, and where meals and refreshments may be provided.
	Sec. 33-150. Location of establishments.	(A) Distance from other establishments. Unless approved as a special exception (Section 33-311(A)(3)), no premises shall be used for the sale of any alcoholic beverages, as defined herein, to be consumed on or off the premises where the structure or place of business intended for such use is located less than fifteen hundred (1,500) feet from a place of business having an existing, unabandoned, legally established (and not one (1) of the uses excepted from the spacing requirements hereinafter provided) alcoholic beverage use which permits consumption on or off the premises. The fifteen hundred (1,500) feet distance requirements shall be measured by following a straight line from the nearest portion of the structure of the place of business. (H) Entertainment in night clubs and cabarets; hearing on night club use. Except in night clubs and cabarets, band or orchestra music or dancing or entertainment shall be prohibited in all bars, gardens, saloons, package stores or similar establishments dispensing of alcoholic beverages. Night club use shall be prohibited unless the same is approved after a public hearing.
		(A) Distance from other establishments. Unless approved as a special exception (Section 33-311(A)(3)), no premises shall be used for the sale of any alcoholic beverages, as defined herein, to be consumed on or off the premises where the structure or place of business intended for such use is located less than fifteen hundred (1,500) feet from a place of business having an existing, unabandoned, legally established (and not one (1) of the uses excepted from the spacing requirements hereinafter provided) alcoholic beverage use which permits consumption on or off the premises. The fifteen hundred (1,500) feet distance requirements shall be measured by following a straight line from the nearest portion of the structure of the place of business. (H) Entertainment in establishments dispensing of alcoholic beverages; hearing for certain uses. Entertainment shall be permitted in all restaurants, bars, night clubs, and cabarets, or other establishments deemed by the Director to be similar thereto, in accordance with the following: (1) Indoor Entertainment. Restaurants, bars, night clubs, and cabarets may offer entertainment conducted within a completely enclosed building. (2) Outdoor Entertainment. Restaurants, bars, night clubs, and cabarets may offer outdoor entertainment if the business is not located within 500 linear feet of an adjacent or surrounding residential zoning district or a property with a residential use, or, when separated by a section line road, not within 400 feet of an adjacent or surrounding residential zoning district or a property with a residential use. The distance shall be measured by following a straight line from the closest edge of the area in which outdoor entertainment will be located to the nearest point of an adjacent or surrounding residentially zoned property or use. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, outdoor entertainment only shall be permitted as a special exception after a public hearing. (3) Entertainment hours shall be limited to those allowed for the dispensing of alcoholic beverages in Section 33-151 (hours and days of sales).

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>For purposes of this section, "entertainment" shall be defined as dancing, any live performance, or any recorded or live music played; however, adult entertainment shall be allowed only as provided elsewhere in the Code. A certificate of use shall be obtained for the entertainment portion of the use on an annual basis. Application for the certificate of use shall be made on a form prescribed by the Director and shall contain a description of the type of entertainment and the hours that the entertainment will be provided. In addition, security measures to include security guards, shall be implemented to ensure the safety of patrons and minimize impacts to residential neighbors. Such security measures shall be filed with, and certified to, the Department at the time of application and renewal, and are subject to audit by the Department during operations. The Director may combine this type of certificate of use with others required for the subject property. Additionally, the Department shall have the right to periodically inspect premises at any reasonable time to ensure the existence of a current and valid certificate of use, and to ensure compliance with the terms and conditions under which it was issued. Violators will be subject to all appropriate penalties, including revocation of the certificate of use.</p>
	<p>Sec. 33-238. Use permitted.</p>	<p>No land, body of water or structure shall be used or permitted to be used and no structure shall be hereafter erected, constructed, moved, reconstructed or structurally altered or maintained in any BU-1 District, which is designed, arranged or intended to be used or occupied for any purpose, except for one (1) or more of the following uses:</p> <p>(25.1) Outdoor dining as an ancillary use in conjunction with restaurants, snack shops and other food service facilities where the primary use is the preparation of food for consumption on premises shall be permitted, subject to the following restrictions:</p> <p>(g) No outside public address system shall be permitted. Unamplified music shall be permitted in the outdoor dining area, subject to compliance with Section 21-28 of this Code; and</p> <p>(29) Restaurants and coffee houses or dining room where kitchen is screened or located altogether within an enclosed building or room and with ample provisions for carrying away or dissipating fumes, odors, smoke or noise and where premises are so arranged and the business is so conducted as not to be offensive or obnoxious to occupants of adjoining premises or to passersby. Restaurants and outdoor (where approved by public hearing) cafes may serve alcoholic beverages where such service is strictly incidental to the service of food and from a service bar only provided no entertainment of any kind is furnished. No sign of any type or character shall be exhibited or displayed to the outside denoting that alcoholic beverages are obtainable within.</p>	<p>No land, body of water or structure shall be used or permitted to be used and no structure shall be hereafter erected, constructed, moved, reconstructed or structurally altered or maintained in any BU-1 District, which is designed, arranged or intended to be used or occupied for any purpose, except for one (1) or more of the following uses:</p> <p>(25.1) Outdoor dining as an ancillary use in conjunction with restaurants, snack shops and other food service facilities where the primary use is the preparation of food for consumption on premises shall be permitted, subject to the following restrictions:</p> <p>(g) No outside public address system shall be permitted, except in connection with outdoor entertainment as provided by section 33-150(H) of this Code; and</p> <p>(29) Restaurants and coffee houses.</p>
	<p>Sec. 33-259. Use permitted.</p>	<p>No land, body of water or structure shall be used or permitted to be used and no structure shall be erected, constructed, moved or reconstructed, structurally altered, or maintained, which is designed, arranged or intended to be used or</p>	<p>No land, body of water or structure shall be used or permitted to be used and no structure shall be erected, constructed, moved or reconstructed, structurally altered, or maintained, which is designed, arranged or intended to be used or occupied for any purpose, unless</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>occupied for any purpose, unless otherwise provided herein, in IU-1 District, excepting for one (1) or more of the following:</p> <p>(56.1) Outdoor dining as an ancillary use in conjunction with restaurants, snack shops and other food service facilities where the primary use is the preparation of food for consumption on premises shall be permitted, subject to the following restrictions:</p> <p>(g) No outside public address system shall be permitted. Unamplified music shall be permitted in the outdoor dining area, subject to compliance with Section 21-28 of this Code; and</p>	<p>otherwise provided herein, in IU-1 District, excepting for one (1) or more of the following:</p> <p>(53.2) Night clubs, bars and pubs located no closer than five hundred (500) feet of any RU or EU District.</p> <p>(56.1) Outdoor dining as an ancillary use in conjunction with restaurants, snack shops and other food service facilities where the primary use is the preparation of food for consumption on premises shall be permitted, subject to the following restrictions:</p> <p>(g) No outside public address system shall be permitted, except in connection with outdoor entertainment as provided by section 33-150(H) of this Code; and conditions under which it was issued. Violators will be subject to all appropriate penalties, including revocation of the certificate of use.</p>
7C 152516	ORDINANCE CREATING ARTICLE XII OF CHAPTER 17 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING HOMEBUYER LOAN PROGRAM USING DOCUMENTARY SURTAX FUNDS; ESTABLISHING PROGRAM FOR CERTIFYING QUALIFIED LOAN OFFICERS TO APPROVE PARTICIPANT HOMEBUYERS FOR THE PROGRAM; ESTABLISHING PROGRAM FOR CERTIFYING QUALIFIED CLOSING AGENTS TO CLOSE HOMEBUYER LOANS; ESTABLISHING ESSENTIAL TERMS OF THE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 29-7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO MAKE THE DOCUMENTARY SURTAX PROGRAM CONSISTENT WITH THE HOMEBUYER LOAN PROGRAM ESTABLISHED HEREIN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 151592, 152377]	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Article XII of Chapter 17 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code) establishing the Homebuyer Loan Program using Documentary Surtax funds. More specifically the proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes a program for certifying qualified loan officers to approve participant homebuyers; • Establishes a program for certifying qualified closing agents to close homebuyer loans; • Establishes essential terms of the program; and • Amends Section 29-7 of the Code to make the Documentary Surtax Program consistent with the Homebuyer Loan Program. <p>The purpose of the Homebuyer Loan Program is to provide mortgage loan assistance to assist qualified low- and moderate-income individuals and families in the purchase of a primary residence. The program is also intended to encourage homeownership in the County's Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSA) and Neighborhood Outreach Areas (NOA), as defined in the County's Five-Year Consolidated Plan filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD) for federal Community Development Block Grant, Home Investment Partnerships Program and Emergency Solutions Grant funding.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Establishing the homebuyer loan program using documentary surtax funds will have an estimated \$83,000 fiscal impact to the County. The estimated expenses will be funded by Documentary Surtax revenues and will be subject to the Surtax statutory ten percent cap for administrative expenses. This expense is not part of the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> Currently, Miami-Dade County has the following programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First-Time Homebuyer Program- The First-Time Homebuyer Program provides assistance in obtaining financing as a first-time homebuyer who qualifies under CDBG, HOME, SHIP or Surtax income guidelines; the loan program is between the Miami-Dade County Public Housing and Community Development and local home mortgage lenders; • Neighborhood Stabilization Program- The Neighborhood Stabilization Program provides homeownership assistance available for the purchase of County-owned Neighborhood Stabilization Program homes; • Infill Housing Homebuyer Requirements-The Infill Housing Program's main goal is to increase the availability of affordable homes for low and moderate-income families, as well as maintain a stock of affordable housing; redevelop urban neighborhoods by eliminating the blight of vacant, dilapidated or abandoned properties; equitably distribute homeownership opportunities within the Infill Target Areas; and generate payment of property taxes; • Homeownership Program for Public Housing Residents- This homeownership program offers Public Housing residents, Section 8 residents and low-income residents the opportunity to become first-time homebuyers. Twenty-seven single family homes in the Naranja and Homestead areas are available for qualified families interested in owning their own homes; • Homeownership Program for Housing Choice Voucher Recipients- The Miami-Dade Housing Choice Voucher Homeownership Option Program is a voluntary program designed to permit eligible Housing Choice Voucher recipients to purchase a home using the voucher subsidy; and • Homeownership Assistance Program (HAP)- The Miami-Dade Economic Advocacy Trust HAP is designed to address the need of low/moderate income families in Miami-Dade County by providing down payment and closing costs assistance to purchase their first home. It serves as a primary channel through which financial assistance flows to assist in purchasing a home. 	

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes													
7D 152509	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; MODIFYING REGULATIONS REGARDING CHAIN LINK FENCES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-11 AND 33-311 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA ("CODE"); PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 150330, 150470, 150568, 152031]													
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sections 33-11 and 33-311 of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to zoning. More specifically, this ordinance modifies regulations regarding fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges by allowing all walls, fences, and hedges, with the exclusion of prohibited chain link fences, to be placed on the property lines, but will not be constructed to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines. Chain link fences will be permitted behind the front building lines in all districts. Front building line fences will only be permitted in AU, EU-1, EU-2, IU and GU districts trended agricultural.</p> <p>The provisions do not apply to farm fences governed by Section 604.50 of the Florida Statutes and pertains only to Unincorporated Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><i>A substitute was presented at the October 13, 2015 Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee. The substitute differs from the original in that it permits chain link fences behind the front building line in all districts; permits chain link fences in the front building line only in AU, EU-1 and EU-2, IU, and certain GU districts and prohibits them in other districts; amends the Alternative Site Development Option provisions in Section 33-311 accordingly; and clarifies internal cross-references in Section 33-311 based on these changes.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance was further amended to remove language providing for the creation of a need-based subsidy program that would have assisted residential property owners in replacing legally existing non-conforming chain link fences. The fine revenue that was intended to fund the subsidy program was the same source of funds that currently supports neighborhood enforcement staff; therefore, a subsidy program that draws upon fine revenue would have created a fiscal impact to the County to the extent that enforcement revenue would be unavailable to support operations. With the removal of the subsidy program, the ordinance will not create a fiscal impact.</i></p> <p>Additional Information/Relevant Legislation: On July 8, 2003, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 03-159 which amended section 33-11 of the Miami-Dade County Code to restrict the use of chain link fences in certain residential zoning districts. Ordinance 03-159 was amended to exempt chain link fences that were in lawful existence prior to the effective date of the Ordinance.</p> <p>On December 7, 2010, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 10-87 which required that all fences and walls be maintained in good/clean/finished conditions, deleted provisions requiring that all fences/walls be constructed with uniform materials and color. Fences with finished and unfinished sides were to be erected so that the finished side of property faces the street and neighboring property. Ordinance No. 10-87 also deleted provisions requiring that both sides of fences visible from the street or open space be finished and deleted provisions requiring that continuous fences and walls with multiple ownership be constructed with uniform materials and color.</p> <p>On February 5, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance 13-09 which removed language that was added under Ordinance No. 03-159, restricting the use of chain link fences in certain residential zoning districts. Additionally, Ordinance 13-09 removed language referring to the unfinished side of the fence that was added under Ordinance No. 10-87, regulating the appearance and maintenance of walls and fences in all districts.</p> <p>The proposed resolution includes the following changes:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section of Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current Code</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code. Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"><i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-11(a)(1)</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">N/A</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however,</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning</i>			Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Sec. 33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	<i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code. Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.	<i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code.	Sec. 33-11(a)(1)	N/A	Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however,
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning</i>														
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments												
Sec. 33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	<i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code. Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.	<i>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.</i> Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code.												
Sec. 33-11(a)(1)	N/A	Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however,												

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.															
	<i>Sec. 33-11(a)(2)</i> <i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	N/A (2) Notwithstanding anything in this code to the contrary, chain link fences shall be permitted only behind the front building line in all districts, except that chain link fences are permitted in the front building line in AU districts, EU-1 and EU-2 districts, IU districts, and GU districts trended agricultural, EU-1, or EU-2, and for construction fences governed by the Florida Building Code and fences used to temporarily secure unsafe structures in accordance with the provisions of this code. Chain link fences lawfully existing prior to [insert the effective date of this ordinance] may remain, subject to the nonconforming use provisions of Section 33-35 of this chapter.															
	<i>Sec. 33-311(A)(14)(f)</i> <i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a single-family or duplex dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code. An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a single-family or duplex dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh, unless located in an AU or GU with AU trend zoning district; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.															
	<i>Sec. 33-311(A)(15)(d)</i> <i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a zero lot line dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code. An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a zero lot line dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.															
	<i>Sec. 33-311(A)(15.1)(h)</i> <i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a three-unit or four-unit apartment house use, multiple-family apartment house use of multiple-family housing development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code. An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a three-unit or four-unit apartment house use, multiple-family apartment house use of multiple-family housing development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.															
	<i>Sec. 33-311(A)(20)(g)</i> <i>Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</i>	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a commercial development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code. An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a commercial development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.															
7E 151378	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING JURISDICTION OF BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO HEAR CERTAIN APPLICATIONS RELATED TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS; AMENDING SECTION 33-314 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to zoning amends Section 33-314 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding the jurisdiction of the BCC to hear certain applications to approve, expand or modify public charter school facilities or private elementary, middle and/or senior high schools that will serve 500 or more students and have more than 100,000 square feet of building facilities.</p> <p>Implementation of this ordinance, which amends the County's Zoning Code, will not have a fiscal impact on the county based on the fact that the fees for the related applications will be the same irrespective of jurisdiction.</p> <p><i>This substitute differs from the original in that it provides for the County Commission to hear appeals from the Community Zoning Appeals Boards of certain private school zoning applications rather than to hear such zoning applications directly.</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Miami-Dade County Code Comparison and Proposed Amendments</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">Section 33-314</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">Zoning</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Section</th> <th>Current</th> <th>Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sec. 33-314.</td> <td>(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:</td> <td>(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Miami-Dade County Code Comparison and Proposed Amendments			Section 33-314			Zoning			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 33-314.	(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:	(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:
Miami-Dade County Code Comparison and Proposed Amendments																	
Section 33-314																	
Zoning																	
Section	Current	Proposed															
Sec. 33-314.	(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:	(C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows:															

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p><i>Direct applications and appeals to the County Commission.</i></p>	<p>(12) Applications for public charter school facilities and expansions or modifications to existing public charter school facilities</p> <p>(12) Applications to approve, expand, or modify: (a) public charter school facilities; or (b) notwithstanding any provision of this code to the contrary, private elementary, middle, and/or senior high schools (grades K to 12) where the proposed school will serve 500 or more students and have more than 100,000 sq. ft. of building facilities.</p>
	<p><u>Additional Information – Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting discussion:</u> During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on October 13, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee advised that the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) was created to be responsive to area concerns on development; and due to their impact on communities, it was important to allow community feedback on these applications.</i> • <i>The Committee requested to be apprised on the history of how these schools were exempted from the CZAB process to which the County Attorney's Office explained the zoning process, noting charter schools were considered public schools. He advised the County's jurisdiction was limited due to the School Board's authority as a co-equal constitutional government; therefore, there were limits in terms of what the County could impose on a charter school. He explained the current County zoning application process for charter schools and explained the ordinance proposed treats large private schools within the same parameters established for charter schools.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns with eliminating the community council review process from the zoning application process as it would eliminate the public's voice.</i> • <i>The County Attorney's Office explained that those private schools meeting the criteria established by this proposed ordinance would be reviewed by the Board; and the Board would be provided with the same standard zoning recommendation prepared for charter schools.</i> • <i>The Committee noted it would be simpler to have the Board instead of the courts hear the zoning application appeal.</i> 	
8C1 152157	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 28 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$160,000.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – FIRST QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 28 grants for a total of \$160,000.00 from the FY 2015-16 Community Grants Program – First Quarter. <i>In addition, it is recommended that Resolution No. R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the BCC be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive tourism-oriented and community events.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the FY 2015-16 County budget ordinance. Upon adoption of the FY 2015-16 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for FY 2015-16 Community Grants. To date, a sub-total of \$525,000.00 in grants has been recommended for the four (4) quarters of the fiscal year.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Community Grants Panel convened on August 27, 2015 to review 28 applications requesting \$229,320.00 for the First Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 28 applicants for a total of \$160,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on September 16, 2015.</p> <p>The Community Grants Program is responsive on a quarterly basis to organizations, which develop small and large-scale community-based programs, projects, events and publications. The program is particularly sensitive to the needs of: 1) indigenous cultural neighborhood activities and projects encouraging the preservation of heritage, traditions, and culture; and 2) social service organizations and cultural groups developing collaborative intervention projects.</p> <p>Each applicant organization was evaluated specifically based on the following competitive review criteria: 1) quality of program; 2) administrative capability; 3) marketing strategy; 4) fundraising efforts; and 5) geographic location of event.</p>	
8D1 152496	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$100,000,000.00 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA SOLID WASTE SYSTEM REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2015, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TOGETHER WITH OTHER AVAILABLE MONEYS, FOR THE PURPOSES OF REFUNDING OR REDEEMING CERTAIN OUTSTANDING SOLID WASTE SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 9.98%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$1,710,953.32 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF OCTOBER 1, 2030, PROVIDING FOR FUNDING RESERVE ACCOUNT AND PAYING COSTS OF ISSUANCE, INCLUDING CREDIT FACILITY OR RESERVE ACCOUNT CREDIT FACILITY, IF ANY, ALL PURSUANT TO SECTION 209 OF ORDINANCE NO. 96-168, AS AMENDED; APPROVING FORM OF BONDS; PROVIDING CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS; DELEGATING TO COUNTY MAYOR AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE AMOUNTS, INTEREST RATES, MATURITIES, AMORTIZATION REQUIREMENTS, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, AND CERTAIN OTHER DETAILS RELATING TO BONDS, TO NEGOTIATE AND SECURE CREDIT FACILITY AND RESERVE ACCOUNT CREDIT FACILITY, IF ANY, AND TO SELECT ESCROW AGENT, PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR; PROVIDING FOR BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM; FINDING NECESSITY FOR NEGOTIATED SALE; APPROVING FORM OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ESCROW DEPOSIT AGREEMENT AND BOND PURCHASE AGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO SERIES 2015 BONDS AND SALE OF THE SERIES 2015 BONDS TO UNDERWRITERS WITHIN CERTAIN PARAMETERS; APPROVING FORM OF AND</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	AUTHORIZING DISTRIBUTION OF PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENT; PROVIDING FOR APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS OF BONDS, FEDERAL TAX COVENANTS AND CONTINUING DISCLOSURE COMMITMENT; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF SAID BONDS; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND WAIVER OF RESOLUTION R-130-06
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the issuance of not to exceed \$100 million Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenue Refunding Bonds, referred to as the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds, the proceeds of which will be used to generate debt service savings by paying off all of the outstanding Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds Series 1998, Series 2001 and Series 2005, referred to as the Refunded Bonds; • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to do all things necessary to issue the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds as refunding bonds pursuant to the County's refunding policy, provides for paying costs of issuance, and authorizes the public sale through a negotiated process; and • Authorizes a waiver of Resolution No. R-130-06, which provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on a BCC agenda. <p><i>Resolution No. R-130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on the committee agenda. In order to provide the County the maximum flexibility in the market place, the sale of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds, which will set their final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of this Resolution. Therefore, a waiver of Resolution No. R-130-06 is necessary.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Consistent with the County's refunding policy established by Resolution No. R-1313-09, the net present value savings that will be achieved by issuing the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds will meet or exceed a five percent threshold. Based on market conditions of September 30, 2015, the estimated gross debt service savings over the 15 year life of the refunding bonds is approximately \$9.29 million. This represents a net present value savings of \$8.68 million or 9.98 percent of the par amount of the Refunded Bonds.</p> <p>The majority of the debt service savings will be recognized in the first four years with debt service payments in the later years being slightly less than the current debt service payments. The final maturity of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds will not exceed the final maturity of the Refunded Bonds, which is October 1, 2030. The Series 2015 Refunding Bonds are expected to be priced and issued in mid-December 2015.</p> <p>The principal and interest payments on the proposed Series 2015 Refunding Bonds are obligations of the Solid Waste System and are payable solely from and secured by a senior lien upon and pledge of the Pledged Revenues of the Solid Waste System as defined in Ordinance 96-168 (Original Ordinance) enacted by the BCC on November 12, 1996. Payment of principal and interest is budgeted as part of the annual operating budget approved by the BCC of the Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM) Department.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Original Ordinance authorized the issuance of Miami-Dade County Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds and Revenue Refunding Bonds. Under the Original Ordinance and Ordinance No. 97-137 enacted by the BCC on July 22, 1997, the BCC authorized the issuance of \$150 million of aggregate principal of Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds. The County then issued \$60 million of Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenues Bonds Series 1998 under Resolution No. R-877-98 of which \$12.895 million remains outstanding and \$40.395 million of Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds Series 2001 under Resolution No. R-1378-00 of which \$26.94 million remains outstanding. Bond proceeds from the Series 1998 and Series 2001 Bonds funded capital improvements to the County's Solid Waste System for both collections and disposal operations.</p> <p>Under the Original Ordinance and Ordinance No. 05-27, enacted by the BCC on February 1, 2005, an additional \$150 million of aggregate principal of Miami-Dade County Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds was authorized. The County then issued \$73.5 million of Miami-Dade County, Florida Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds Series 2005 of which an estimated \$47.2 million of original principal amount remains outstanding under Resolution No. R-149-05. The Series 2005 Bond proceeds provided funding for the following landfill closure projects: the former Munisport Landfill in the City of North Miami, the former landfill in the City of Homestead, Cell Three at the South Miami-Dade Landfill, Virginia Key (Phase I) as well as a Virginia Key Closure Study, and construction of a groundwater remediation project at the North Miami-Dade Landfill.</p>
8F3 151982	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCESS OF STATE OF MINNESOTA CONTRACT MMS15001 FOR A TERM THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2016 AND A RENEWAL PERIOD UP TO THREE (3) YEARS FOR THE PURCHASE OF PHARMACEUTICAL SUPPLIES IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$3,900,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCESS SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06 TO NOT PLACE ITEMS SEEKING APPROVAL OF A CONTRACT ON A BOARD AGENDA UNTIL THE UNDERLYING CONTRACT IS WHOLLY EXECUTED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accesses the competitively established State of Minnesota contract MMS15001 with Cardinal Health 110, LLC for the purchase of emergency medications, controlled substances, and other pharmaceutical supplies for the Fire Rescue Department; and • Waives Resolution No. R-130-06, which directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to not place items seeking approval of a contract on a BCC agenda until the underlying contract is wholly executed, because the Minnesota Multistate Contracting Alliance for Pharmacy (MMCAP) requires the accessing party to first execute an agreement with the awarded vendor.

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The State of Minnesota established this contract acting through the MMCAP, which is a voluntary group purchasing organization for states and local governments that directly contracts with pharmaceutical manufacturers/suppliers for their products. The Fire Rescue Department relies on this contract to purchase a wide array of pharmaceuticals to meet the operational needs of its emergency rescue units. Under the contract, the vendor will deliver products to the Fire Rescue Department within 24 hours of placing an order. All pharmaceuticals purchased by the department are color-coded for ease of identification by paramedics.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the initial term ending on October 31, 2016 is \$1,092,000. The allocation in the proposed contract is based on the Fire Rescue Department’s historical usage and projected future needs. The contract may be extended for an additional three (3) years, at increments determined by MMCAP. If MMCAP opts to exercise the optional contract term(s) and the County accesses those term(s), the cumulative value of the contract will be \$3,900,000 for up to 50 months. The County accessed the previous Minnesota pharmaceutical contract for 77 months valued at \$8,632,333.</p> <p>Market research was conducted to determine whether accessing the MMCAP contract offered the best value to the County for pharmaceuticals, medical, and first aid supplies, and to identify local firms able to provide the requested supplies. Seventeen (17) vendors were contacted, including local companies, in order to compare pricing. The surveyed vendors were given a grouping of items with the highest volume and most frequent usage to price. This research indicated that the prices offered by the surveyed vendors were, on average, 300 percent higher than the recommended MMCAP contract prices. The volume discounts available under this contract will provide the County with the lowest market prices for the needed pharmaceutical supplies.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> Cardinal Health 110, LLC distributes pharmaceutical products. The company offers prescription, generic, nutritional supplements, and over the counter drugs. The company caters to pharmacies, nursing homes, hospitals, and clinics. The company was incorporated in 1988 and is based in Dublin, Ohio. Cardinal Health 110, LLC operates as a subsidiary of Cardinal Health, Inc.</p>
8F4 152160	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,183,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9064-2/15 FOR PURCHASE OF DRAFTING, ENGINEERING AND ART SUPPLIES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, DURING THE TERM OF THE POOL, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request to extend Prequalification Pool No. 9064-2/15-2, Drafting, Engineering and Art Supplies, for five (5) additional years and increase expenditure authority by \$1,444,000.</p> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were to be issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors would prequalify for the replacement solicitation. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the pool award, subject to bi-annual ratification by the BCC. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the County’s Procurement Management Services website to encourage additional participation. Outreach to registered firms was conducted to increase the number of prequalified firms.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The contract is currently in its final option to renew term of two (2) years, which expires on December 31, 2015, and has an existing allocation of \$938,000. The requested allocation of \$1,444,000 is based on anticipated usage during the five-year extension period. The yearly allocation for the extension period is lower than the current contract’s yearly allocation due to a reduction in anticipated usage (i.e., the requested allocation is consistent with the purchase orders released during the current term).</p> <p>Contract Measures: The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preferences and Local Preference Ordinances will be applied at the time of the spot market condition. A SBE set-aside also applies for spot market competition up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available.</p> <p>Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commercial Art Supply, Inc. – 1106 Crystal Drive, Palm Beach Gardens, FL • Chem’s Art D&D, LLC – 1132 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables, FL • Florida Level & Transit Co., Inc. – 809 Progresso Drive, Ft. Lauderdale, FL • Geotype of South Florida, Inc. – 470 NE 167th Street, North Miami Beach, FL <p><u>Additional Information – Legislative Background on Drafting and Engineering Supplies Purchases:</u> On July 26, 2007, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-916-07, retroactively approved the execution of option-to-renew (OTR) periods contained in Contract No. 2093-4/09 that brought the cumulative value of the contract over \$1 million. The contract was competitively awarded under the County Manager’s delegated authority and the original awarded value was below \$1 million. Subsequently, the OTR periods were exercised which caused the cumulative value of the contract to exceed the \$1 million threshold and retroactive approval of the execution of the OTR periods was granted.</p> <p>More specifically, the BCC, through R-916-07- Item 6.2- Drafting Supplies, ratified the execution of the initial three option-to-renew periods and approved subsequent option-to-renew periods for the contract to purchase drafting, art and engineering supplies for various County</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																
	<p>departments. The allocation for the Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) Department is funded by Operating Revenue and Surtax allocation. The services and supplies purchased under this contract are used by the MDT Planning and Development Division for development of engineering drawings and presentations for capital improvements of existing and future development of transit and rail infrastructure as mandated by the People's Transportation Plan (PTP). The retroactive amount that was approved was \$2,145,936.</p> <p>Contract Measures: This contract was advertised with Black/Hispanic/Women (B/H/W) measures, which did not affect the outcome of the award, therefore the CAO was consulted and approved exercising the options-to-renew.</p> <p>Vendors Approved for Award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • American Reprographics Co., LLC – 9730 NW 25th Street, Miami, FL 33172 • Geotype of South Florida, Inc. – 470 NE 167th Stret, North Miami Beach, FL 33162 • T-Square Express, Inc. – 998 West Flagler Street, Miami, FL 33130 <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Contract History</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Term</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Explanation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Initial Contract 2093-4/09 R-916-07</td> <td>July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005 \$715,312 for one year, with four, one-year OTR</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><i>Four year OTR through June 2009 would amount to \$2,861,248 over the 4-year period</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st OTR 2093-4/09-1</td> <td>July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006 \$715,312 for one year</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd OTR 2093-4/09-2</td> <td>July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007 \$715,312 for one year</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd OTR 2093-4/09-3</td> <td>July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008 \$715,312 for one year</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th OTR 2093-4/09-4</td> <td>July 1, 2008 0 June 30, 2009 \$715,312 for one year</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>On December 1, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1367-09, approved an award of \$784,000 to purchase drafting and engineering supplies for various County departments. If the County exercised the two, two-year OTR periods, the cumulative value would be \$2,352,000 however, the existing allocation of \$938,000 is lower than the current contract's yearly allocation due to a reduction in anticipated usage.</p> <p>The replacement contract, in R-1367-09, established an open pool of pre-qualified vendors to participate in future spot market quotations for drafting, engineering and art supplies as specific needs were identified. Additional qualified vendors could be added to the contract at any time during the contract term. Items purchased by various departments were to include, but not be limited to, rolls of banner material, gator boards (various colors), laminating material, foam board, foam tape, rolls of Velcro hooks, rolls of Velcro loops, Roland equipment cartridges, engineering paper, and drafting tools.</p> <p>Contract Measures: The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference was to be applied in accordance with the Ordinance.</p> <p>Vendors Approved for Award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geotype of South Florida, Inc. – 470 NE 167th Street, Miami, FL 33162 • C.A.S. Industries, Inc. – 935 Erie Boulevard East, Syracuse, NY 13210 <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Contract History</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Term</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Explanation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Initial Contract 9064-2/15 R-1367-09</td> <td>January 1, 2010 – December 31, 2011 Two years with two, two-year OTR \$784,000 for two year term, \$2,352,000 over two, two year OTR <i>Actual award value was \$786,800</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modification</td> <td>On December 9, 2010 a modification of \$151,277 was made brining the contract amount to \$938,077</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st OTR 9064-2/15-1</td> <td>January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2013 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd OTR 9064-2/15-2</td> <td>January 1, 2014 – December 31, 2015 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Contract History		<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>		Term	Explanation	Initial Contract 2093-4/09 R-916-07	July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005 \$715,312 for one year, with four, one-year OTR	<i>Four year OTR through June 2009 would amount to \$2,861,248 over the 4-year period</i>		1 st OTR 2093-4/09-1	July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006 \$715,312 for one year	2 nd OTR 2093-4/09-2	July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007 \$715,312 for one year	3 rd OTR 2093-4/09-3	July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008 \$715,312 for one year	4 th OTR 2093-4/09-4	July 1, 2008 0 June 30, 2009 \$715,312 for one year	Contract History		<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>		Term	Explanation	Initial Contract 9064-2/15 R-1367-09	January 1, 2010 – December 31, 2011 Two years with two, two-year OTR \$784,000 for two year term, \$2,352,000 over two, two year OTR <i>Actual award value was \$786,800</i>	Modification	On December 9, 2010 a modification of \$151,277 was made brining the contract amount to \$938,077	1 st OTR 9064-2/15-1	January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2013 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i>	2 nd OTR 9064-2/15-2	January 1, 2014 – December 31, 2015 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i>
Contract History																																	
<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>																																	
Term	Explanation																																
Initial Contract 2093-4/09 R-916-07	July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005 \$715,312 for one year, with four, one-year OTR																																
<i>Four year OTR through June 2009 would amount to \$2,861,248 over the 4-year period</i>																																	
1 st OTR 2093-4/09-1	July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006 \$715,312 for one year																																
2 nd OTR 2093-4/09-2	July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007 \$715,312 for one year																																
3 rd OTR 2093-4/09-3	July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008 \$715,312 for one year																																
4 th OTR 2093-4/09-4	July 1, 2008 0 June 30, 2009 \$715,312 for one year																																
Contract History																																	
<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>																																	
Term	Explanation																																
Initial Contract 9064-2/15 R-1367-09	January 1, 2010 – December 31, 2011 Two years with two, two-year OTR \$784,000 for two year term, \$2,352,000 over two, two year OTR <i>Actual award value was \$786,800</i>																																
Modification	On December 9, 2010 a modification of \$151,277 was made brining the contract amount to \$938,077																																
1 st OTR 9064-2/15-1	January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2013 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i>																																
2 nd OTR 9064-2/15-2	January 1, 2014 – December 31, 2015 <i>Actual award value was \$938,077</i>																																
8F5 152164	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$333,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 6097-0/15 FOR PURCHASE OF INVASIVE SPECIES CONTROL SERVICES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS																																

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, DURING THE TERM OF THE POOL, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution extends Prequalification Pool No. 6097-0/15, Invasive Species Control Services, for five (5) additional years and increase expenditure authority by \$333,000.</p> <p>This pool was established in April 2013 under the County Mayor’s delegated authority for a two-year term and was subsequently extended administratively for an additional six (6) months.</p> <p><i>The pool is used by multiple County departments to purchase invasive species control services, including associated monitoring, treating and reporting services. Invasive species are referred to as exotic plants and animals that have aggressively adapted to non-native wilderness areas and compete with native species for available resources. The services combat threats to the County’s biodiversity caused by non-native species.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This pool has an existing allocation of \$798,000 for the 30-month term and expires on November 30, 2015. The requested additional allocation of \$333,000 is based on anticipated usage during the five-year extension period. The yearly allocation under the extension period is less than the current contract’s due to a reduction in anticipated usage.</p> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were to be issued, and it is anticipated that the same vendors would prequalify for the replacement solicitation. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the pool award, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the County’s Procurement Management Services website to encourage additional participation. Outreach to registered firms was conducted to increase the number of prequalified firms.</p> <p>Contract Measures: The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinance will be applied at the time of spot market competition.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarke Aquatic Services, Inc. – 3036 Michigan Avenue, Kissimmee, FL • Deangelo Brothers, LLC – 100North Conahan Drive, Hazelton, PA • Ginley Lawn Service & Landscaping, Inc. – 12140 SW 188 Terrace, Miami, FL • The Institute for Regional Conservation, Inc. – 100 East Linton Boulevard, Suite 302B, Delray Beach, FL
8F6 151959	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL TIME OF SIX MONTHS AND AN ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$1,026,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 9141-3/14-3 FOR PURCHASE OF TEMPORARY HEALTH PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a designated purchase under Contract No. 9141-3/14-3, Temporary Health Professional Services for the Public Housing and Community Development and the Community Action and Human Services departments, to authorize the extension of the current contract term, which expires on September 30, 2015, by six (6) months and increase the expenditure authority by \$1,026,000 to ensure continuity of services while the long-term replacement contract is solicited and evaluated.</p> <p>The temporary health professionals deliver care and treatment services to individuals with disabilities, veterans and senior citizens at various County facilities. It is anticipated that an award recommendation for the replacement contract will be presented to the BCC for approval in January 2016. This contract was competitively established in March 2010 through Resolution No. R-225-10 for two (2) years with three (3), one-year options to renew for temporary professional healthcare staffing services to the County. The method of award under the contract was to two (2) responsive and responsible bidders offering the lowest price (hourly billing rate) per group. The contract has five (5) groups, each group representing specific job classifications (e.g., certified nursing assistants, registered nurses, social workers, licensed practical nurses, home care aides and medical doctors) per department.</p> <p>The current contract term was previously extended administratively by six (6) months under the County Mayor’s delegated authority. This procurement is presented for BCC approval as a designated purchase because the Administration has exhausted its authority to further extend the contract and competition is not practicable as the replacement solicitation has not been finalized.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The contract is in its third option to renew year and has an existing allocation of \$3,078,000 for the current option to renew period. The additional time requested will extend the contract’s expiration date by six (6) months from September 30, 2015 to March 31, 2016. The requested additional allocation of \$1,026,000 is based on anticipated usage and estimated needs and, if approved, results in a modified contract allocation for the current option to renew period of \$4,104,000.</p> <p>A Small Business Enterprise set-aside was applied to Groups D (licensed practical nurses and medical doctors for the Community Action and Human Services Department) and E (home care aides and social workers for the Community Action and Human Services Department).</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Awarded Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSI Catalano’s Nurses Registry, Inc. - 5803 NW 151 Street, Suite 204 Miami Lakes, FL • Packplus, Inc. d/b/a Josmar Medical Staffing (DBE, SBE) - 633 NE 167 Street, Suite 620 North Miami Beach, FL • Westaff (USA), Inc. - 1040 Crown Pointe Parkway, Suite 1040 Atlanta, GA <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies where permitted by the funding source. • A Small Business Enterprise set-aside was applied to Groups D (licensed practical nurses and medical doctors for the Community Action and Human Services Department) and E (home care aides and social workers for the Community Action and Human Services Department). • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.
8K1 151916	RESOLUTION GRANTING MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOUNDATION INC., A 501(C)(3) NOT-FOR-PROFIT, FLORIDA CORPORATION, TWELVE ADDITIONAL MONTHS TO DEVELOP FOUR PREVIOUSLY CONVEYED PROPERTIES WITH HOUSING TO BE SOLD TO QUALIFIED HOMEBUYERS THROUGH THE COUNTY’S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR THE VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE AN AMENDED AND RESTATED COUNTY DEED; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN SUCH COUNTY DEED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grants Miami-Dade Affordable Housing Foundation, Inc. (Affordable Housing) 12 additional months to develop four (4) previously conveyed properties (the Infill Properties) with housing to be sold to qualified homebuyers through the County’s Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Housing Program); • Authorizes the Chairperson or the Vice-Chairperson of the BCC to execute an Amended and Restated County Deed (the Amended County Deed); and • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to take all necessary action to enforce the provisions set forth in the County Deed. <p>Background</p> <p>On December 3, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1016-13, authorizing the conveyance of the Infill Properties to Affordable Housing. On February 4, 2014, the County conveyed the Infill Properties to Affordable Housing and on July 17, 2015, Affordable Housing submitted a written request to the County to extend the time to develop and sell the Infill Properties.</p> <p>The Department recommends that Affordable Housing be granted an additional 12 months to develop and sell the Infill Properties from the date the Amended County Deed is recorded in public records, in accordance with the Infill Housing Program. Subsequent to the conveyance of these properties, Affordable Housing underwent a reorganization, which included the appointment of a new Executive Director in July 2014. As a result of this reorganization, the development of the Infill Properties was temporarily delayed. Additionally, due to building code changes and the appointment of a new architect, the original construction documents were revised.</p> <p>Currently, three (3) of the four (4) Infill Properties are ready to be submitted to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Plans Review Section. The fourth Infill Property is pending a zoning variance hearing from the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department’s Development Services Division. Consequently, Affordable Housing has requested additional time to develop the Infill Properties. In the event Affordable Housing fails to develop and sell the four properties within 12 months, the County may, at its option, exercise its reversionary interest.</p>
8K2 152108	RESOLUTION GRANTING HOUSING PROGRAMS, INC., A 501(C)(3) NOT-FOR-PROFIT FLORIDA CORPORATION, TWELVE ADDITIONAL MONTHS TO DEVELOP FIVE PREVIOUSLY CONVEYED PROPERTIES WITH INFILL HOUSING TO BE SOLD TO QUALIFIED HOMEBUYERS THROUGH THE COUNTY’S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR THE VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE AN AMENDED AND RESTATED COUNTY DEED; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN SUCH AMENDED AND RESTATED COUNTY DEED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grants Housing Programs, Inc. (Housing Programs) 12 additional months to develop five (5) previously conveyed properties (the Infill Properties), with housing to be sold to qualified homebuyers through the County’s Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Housing Program); • Authorizes the Chairperson or the Vice-Chairperson of the BCC to execute an Amended and Restated County Deed (the Amended County Deed); and • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to take all necessary action to enforce the provisions set forth in the County Deed. <p>Background</p> <p>On October 2, 2012, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-787-12, authorizing the conveyance of eight (8) County-owned properties to Housing Programs. Subsequent to the conveyance of these properties, Housing Programs divided two (2) of the largest properties to create a total of ten (10) properties. Out of the ten (10) properties, Housing Programs has developed and sold five (5) properties to qualified homebuyers. Although Housing Programs has started construction and executed sales contracts on three (3) out of the five (5) Infill Properties; Housing Programs, will need additional time to complete and sell the three (3) homes. Additionally, Housing Programs has applied for permits on the remaining two (2) properties, which have infrastructure issues that include water and sewer issues that require upgrades to existing infrastructure. More particularly, Housing Programs is required to replace about 440 linear feet of six (6) inch water lines with new eight (8) inch water lines at an estimated cost in excess of \$70,000.00, without contingencies for unforeseen conditions. Because of these issues, approval of the permit(s) needed to start construction on the two (2) properties has been delayed. Housing Programs is working to resolve</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	these issues with the Water and Sewer Department. Accordingly, Housing Programs has requested additional time to develop the five (5) Infill Properties.
8M8 152286	RESOLUTION ACCEPTING "ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE" APPROXIMATELY 10 ACRES OF SOUTH DADE WETLANDS PROJECT WITHIN THE ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS PROGRAM ACQUISITION SITE WITH THE NATURE CONSERVANCY AS ASSIGNOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AS ASSIGNEE, AND MARVIN ROSEN ET AL. AS SELLER FOR A PURCHASE PRICE OF \$30,000.00 USING BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THE INSTRUMENT OF CONVEYANCE AS REQUIRED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-974-09
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution accepts the "Assignment of Option to Purchase" as negotiated by The Nature Conservancy for the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program and authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute the assignment of option to purchase.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The appraised value of the subject property is \$35,000.00 and the negotiated purchase price is \$30,000.00. Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (GOB) funding will be used for this purchase. This is GOB Project 2.4, Site #70230. In the unlikely event that GOB bond funds are not available, the EEL Acquisition Trust Fund may be used to close on the property.</p> <p>As of July 31, 2015, the balance of the EEL Trust Fund GF080 is \$41,886,142.33 of which \$21,233,266.80 is reserved for acquisition and \$20,652,875.53 is reserved for management.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The historic loss, fragmentation and degradation of native wetland and forest communities in Miami-Dade County are well recognized. In May of 1990, with the knowledge that remaining wetland and forest communities were endangered, the electorate of Miami-Dade County authorized the County to levy an ad valorem tax for two years to create the EEL Program and Trust Fund. The purpose of the EEL Program is to acquire, preserve, enhance, restore, conserve and maintain environmentally-endangered lands for the benefit of present and future generations.</p> <p>The County Commission first placed the South Dade Wetlands on the EEL Priority A Acquisition List in 1993. The County, in partnership with the South Florida Water Management District, the State of Florida, and other funding partners, has acquired approximately 21,676 acres of land throughout Miami-Dade County since inception of the EEL Program.</p> <p>The parcel proposed for acquisition is located within the South Dade Wetlands EEL Project, an important wetland system in the southern part of the County. Land in this area is targeted for acquisition because of its strategic location between two national parks (Everglades National Park and Biscayne National Park) and within the watersheds of Florida Bay, Biscayne Bay, and Card and Barnes Sounds. Preserving and maintaining these wetlands is important for protecting against salt water intrusion of the Biscayne Aquifer and because of the importance of the region to endangered and threatened species. Acquiring these parcels is also consistent with the Sea Level Rise Task Force Recommendations for the continued strategic implementation of the EEL Program.</p>
11A1 152512	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SELECT A CONSULTANT TO PREPARE A FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY FOR ORANGE SPORTS COMPLEX COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA TO INCLUDE THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA DESCRIBED GENERALLY AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY THE MIAMI RIVER, BOUNDED ON THE SOUTH BY SW 8TH STREET, BOUNDED ON THE WEST BY NW 17TH AVENUE AND BOUNDED ON THE EAST BY THE MIAMI RIVER, AND SUCH OTHER SURROUNDING AREAS THAT THE STUDY DETERMINES TO BE NECESSARY; AND PROVIDING FUNDING SOURCE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150210]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to select a consultant to prepare a Finding of Necessity study for creation of the Orange Sports Complex community redevelopment area to include the geographical area described generally as bounded on the north by the Miami River, bounded on the south by SW 8th Street, bounded on the west by NW 17th Avenue and bounded on the east by the Miami River, and such other surrounding areas that the study determines to be necessary.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs that the source of funding for payment of consulting fees will be from private sector sources or such other available and appropriate County sources—which County sources, if used, will be reimbursed to the County by the CRA upon its creation – as determined by the County Mayor or designee.</p> <p>The Finding of Necessity study will consider whether the CRA could be used to fund the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure, affordable housing, workforce housing, and economic development; and • Construction of a people mover leg from the Government Center to Little Havana/Stadium site. <p><u>Additional Information</u> This item was amended, at the October 15, 2015 Economic Prosperity Committee (EPC) meeting, to change the boundaries of the proposed CRA, take out the reference to paying for a stadium, and add in paying for infrastructure, workforce housing, affordable housing, and economic development.</p> <p>On February 12, 2015 during the EPC meeting, Legislative File 150210 was discussed and eventually deferred. During the EPC meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns that the proposed Community Redevelopment Area (CRA) would be funded with tax dollars; it was stated that none of the funding for the CRA would be used to build the soccer stadium and that it was basically to get the</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>people mover from the Government Center into the Little Havana community. It was further stated that, the project would not cause any properties to be condemned and language prohibiting properties from being condemned could be included and that the main focus of this item was to implement mass transit and its benefits into that neighborhood. The Committee noted that there had been no reference to this project at the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) meeting and that a CRA was not the appropriate vehicle for that purpose.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>It was recommended that this legislation incorporate language to answer questions regarding costs, jurisdiction, and process and that since the CRA was within the City of Miami, the City should be involved in the conversation and the MPO should be involved regarding plans for transit expansion. Members raised questions as to why the County was initiating the study and not the City as well as whether or not CRAs could provide funding for transit related projects. Members of the committee also expressed concerns over the County essentially subsidizing the cost of a MLS stadium by deferring tax revenue through the TIF with the development of a CRA.</i> <i>The Committee advised that a comprehensive study was needed and a decision as to which corridors would be funded, etc., with regard to the proposed transit expansion.</i> <i>It was stated that the removal of the CRA issue as the source of funding for mass transit and consider establishing a special assessment district for transit expansion.</i> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-229-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-1062-13* and directed the County Mayor or designee to negotiate and finalize the terms of agreements with all necessary parties for development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise at the former Orange Bowl Stadium location, adjacent to the Marlins Ballpark, or any other site, if the Miami Beckham United Group was interested in such negotiations and to present such agreements to the BCC for its subsequent consideration and approval.</p> <p><i>*On December 17, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1062-13 which directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to identify viable locations in or near Downtown Miami for the development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise. R-1062-13 directed the Mayor to analyze the feasibility of development of a stadium in the Downtown area and negotiate and finalize agreements with all necessary parties for development of the stadium.</i></p> <p>Relevant Legislation On February 3, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-149-15 directing the Mayor or designee to negotiate agreements with all necessary parties for the use of FIU Stadium as the temporary home stadium for a new Major League Soccer franchise based in Miami-Dade County as part of ongoing efforts to identify viable locations in or near Downtown Miami for development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise.</p>
11A2 152257	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, APPROVING ALLOCATION, SUBJECT TO RECAPTURE, OF UP TO \$1,500,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 TO NKMIA LLC TO FUND NAEEM KHAN PROJECT, AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH NKMIA LLC PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirement in the Administrative Rules that each Project 124 allocation be a minimum of \$10,000,000.00 for NKMIA LLC with regard to the Naeem Khan Project and approves, an allocation, subject to the availability of Recaptured Funds, of up to \$1,500,000.00 from Project 124 to NKMIA LLC for the Naeem Khan Project.</p> <p>The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 124 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to the Naeem Khan Project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</p> <p>If and when any Recaptured Funds become available, the County Mayor is directed to negotiate, in accordance with Resolution No. R-123-15, the terms of a Grant Agreement with NKMIA LLC pursuant to the Administrative Rules.</p> <p>Additional Information- Lease Agreement R-1071-14 On December 2, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1071-14:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declared the Property surplus; Waived Administrative Order 8-4 as it pertains to review by the Planning Advisory Board; and Pursuant to Section 125.045, Florida Statutes, authorized the lease, and if the option to purchase is exercised, the conveyance, of the Property to NKMIA, LLC. <p>Naeem Khan is the principal designer and owner of a privately owned fashion company that is currently located in New York City and which operates under the Naeem Khan fashion label, and NKMIA, LLC. (NKMIA), is a Delaware limited liability company which is affiliated with Mr. Khan. NKMIA has expressed the desire to lease, and potentially purchase, the County-owned property located at 1175 NW South River Drive (Property), with the purpose of using that location as its headquarters and principal place of business for both itself, and Naeem Khan Ltd., or a successor entity that is the primary entity designing, creating, fabricating and marketing products under the Naeem Khan luxury lifestyle, fashion and design brand.</p> <p>NKMIA indicated in exchange for the right to lease the Property, for a term of thirty (30) years, with the option to renew for two additional terms of thirty (30) years each, along with the option to purchase the Property during the initial term, that it is willing to make certain</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>economic investments in Miami-Dade County, including: construction on the Property of a new 30,000 square foot facility with a minimum construction budget of \$6 million; repair and reconstruction of the seawall portion of the Property; development of the Riverwalk along the waterfront portion of the Property providing public access along the Miami River, in accordance with the City of Miami, Miami River Greenway Action Plan; and hiring fifty (50) new skilled full-time (or full-time equivalent) employees, who reside in Miami-Dade County, with an average salary of \$50,000.00, among other terms and conditions</p> <p>The Agreement to Lease provides that in the event NKMIA exercises its right to purchase the Property, the purchase price will be a below market rate amount, which will be calculated by taking the base amount of \$1,241,696.00 (which is equal to the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's 2014 assessed value of the land), and will be increased annually from the date of lease execution until the time of purchase, by the National Consumer Price Index for all Wage Earners & Clerical Workers, provided, such increases will not exceed three percent (3.0%) in any one year.</p> <p>The Agreement to Lease further provides that in order for NKMIA to exercise the purchase option, it must have satisfied all of the Minimum Development conditions, and have expanded its workforce to no less than 70 full time (or full time-equivalent) employees with an average salary of \$50,000.00, for at least twelve (12) months prior to such exercise, and must maintain satisfaction of such conditions for at least ten (10) years following the closing of such purchase.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Economic Development Fund- Project 124</u></p> <p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by the BCC.</p> <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-423-15, amended administrative rules governing Economic Development Project No. 124 of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program to be applied to all new applications for funding. The Administrative Rules were amended to add the following at the end of Article II, Section 4.A.1. – Eligibility Requirements for Projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Does the project improve infrastructure for a greater area of impact that can advance economic development substantially beyond the project footprint?</i> • <i>Is the project a target industry identified in the May 2012 One Community One Goal Strategic Report or identified by the Beacon Council, from time to time?</i> • <i>Does it advance green technology or energy green industry?</i> • <i>Does it enhance or advance transit-oriented development?</i> • <i>Would the project be vulnerable to sea level rise that would require adaptation strategies and if so, would it contribute to any overall sea level rise adaptation goals established by the County?</i> <p>Additionally, the County Mayor or designee was to apply the criteria in the amended Administrative Rules to all new applications received by the County for Project 124 funding and was to report the findings to the BCC when a new project is considered by the BCC for an allocation from the Project 124 Fund.</p> <p>On June 2, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-510-15, directed the County Mayor or designee to post on the county's calendar the date and time of any negotiation sessions with recipients of a BCC approved allocation of Project 124 funds; record all such negotiation sessions; include a member of the staff that provides support to the Building Better Communities Citizen's Advisory Committee in each negotiation session; and in the requisite report to the BCC prescribed pursuant to Resolution No. R-123-15, include the date and time of each negotiation session with each potential grant recipient of Project 124 funds from the date the BCC allocated Project 124 funds to the potential grant recipient to the date set forth in Resolution No. R-123-15 for completion of the negotiation of a grant agreement.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Mayor's Report- Project 124 Negotiations</u></p> <p>On September 16, 2015, the Mayor, in response to the directive in Resolution No. R-123-15, issued a report on the results of negotiations of Grant Agreements for Building Better Communities General Obligation Bonds, Economic Development Fund Project 124 recipients.</p> <p>The following projects require little additional negotiation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larkin Health Sciences Campus; River Landing Miami; Skyrise; and Westview Business Park <p>The following projects require significant additional negotiation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carrie Meek International Business Park; Orion Jet Center; and Overtown Gateway <p>The following projects require significant additional negotiation and a County Lease:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miami Ocean Studios; Miami Wilds Project; and Aviation Corporate Hanger <p><u>Previous Economic Development Fund Project 124 Allocations</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-616-14, waived administrative rules for BBC GOB, EDF, Project 124, approving the allocation of \$6,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Flagler Street Reconstruction and Economic Development to fund certain economic development projects.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC approved \$24,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-986-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Carrie Meek International Business Park project; • R-987-14- Allocating \$500,000.00 to the Aviation Corporate Hangar project; • R-988-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Orion Jet Center Development project; and • R-1015-14- Allocating up to \$13,500,000.00 to Miami Wilds, LLC for the Miami Wilds project. <p>On December 16, 2014, the BCC approved \$20,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-1121-14- Allocating \$9,000,000 to Skyrise Miami, LLC to fund the Skyrise Miami Project; • R-1122-14- Allocating \$6,000,000 to Overtown Gateway Partners, LLC to fund the Overtown Gateway Project; and • R-1116-14- Allocating \$5,000,000 to Larkin Health Science Education Campus. <p>On January 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-37-15, approving the allocation from the EDF Project 124 in the amount of \$10,000,000 to Miami Ocean Studios, LLC to fund the Miami Ocean Studio Economic Development Project.</p> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC approved \$15,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund to the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-230-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to River Landing Development, LLC for the River Landing Development Project; and • R-233-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to Rosal Westview, LLC for the Rosal Westview Business Park Project. <p>On April 21, 2015, the BCC approved \$15,000,000 in allocations, subject to Recaptured Funds, from the EDF Project 124 fund to the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-349-15- Allocating \$7,500,000- Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. to fund Project Mercy; and • R-334-15- Allocating \$7,500,000- to the Village of Palmetto Bay for the Downtown Palmetto Bay Project. <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-431-15, waived the Administrative Rules that each Project 124 allocation be a minimum of \$10,000,000.00 for Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC with regard to the Fontainebleau Aviation Project and, subject to the Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC, and approved an allocation of up to \$5,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC for the Fontainebleau Aviation Project.</p> <p>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-886-15, approved the allocation, subject to the availability of Recaptured Funds, of up to \$10,000,000.00 from Project 124 Funds, to Wexford Miami, LLC for the University of Miami Life Science and Technology Park Project.</p> <p>The following list provides other projects that have been considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141535- Failed in EDPMC- \$5,000,000- AVE Aviation Commerce Center project; • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141866- No Action Taken at EDPMC- \$18,500,000- Beach Re-nourishment Reserve Fund project; and • November 5, 2014- Legislative File No. 141539- Failed in BCC- \$5,000,000- Parkside at Palmetto Bay project.
11A3 152076	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COLLABORATE WITH FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, THE FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS, AND THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO CREATE A VETERINARY SCHOOL AT FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collaborate with Florida International University, the Florida Board of Governors, and the Florida Legislature to create a veterinary school at Florida International University; • Provide a report to the BCC detailing collaborative efforts and plan to create a veterinary school at Florida International University within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. <p><i>The University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine is the State of Florida's only veterinary college.</i></p>
11A4 152239	RESOLUTION DECLARING THAT SEPTEMBER SHALL BE RECOGNIZED AS "SICKLE CELL DISEASE AWARENESS" MONTH EACH YEAR IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution declares that September be recognized as "Sickle Cell Disease Awareness Month" each year in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Background: Sickle cell disease (SCD), also known as sickle cell anemia, is a hereditary blood disorder characterized by an abnormality in oxygen-carrying hemoglobin contained in red blood cells that causes them to assume a rigid and sickle-like shape, which makes it difficult for the cells to pass through small blood vessels, thereby inhibiting blood flow through the body. SCD is associated with a number of acute and chronic health problems, including tissue damage, severe infections, attacks of severe pain, and strokes, as well as an increased risk of death and disproportionately affects African-Americans.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>In 1975, the National Association for Sickle Cell Disease, now known as the Sickle Cell Disease Association of America (SCDAA), and its member organizations, began conducting month-long events to raise awareness about SCD and the need to address the problem at national and local levels. The persistent efforts by the SCDAA to further SCD awareness was realized in 1983 when the U.S. House of Representatives unanimously passed a resolution, introduced by the Congressional Black Caucus and signed by President Ronald Reagan, designating September as "National Sickle Cell Anemia Awareness Month."</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) the exact number of people living with SCD in the U.S. is unknown. However, the CDC in collaboration with the National Institutes of Health and 7 states (California, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, New York, Michigan and Pennsylvania) are coordinating the Registry and Surveillance System for Hemoglobinopathies(RuSH) project to learn about the number of people living with SCD to better understand how the disease impacts their health.</p> <p>It is estimated that SCD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affects 90,000 to 100,000 Americans; • Occurs among about 1 out of every 500 Black or African-American births; • Occurs among about 1 out of every 36,000 Hispanic-American births; and • Occurs among about 1 in 12 Blacks or African Americans. <p>Comprehensive Care People with SCD have less access to comprehensive team care than people with genetic disorders such as hemophilia and cystic fibrosis.</p> <p>Mortality Sickle cell-related death among Black or African-American children younger than 4 years of age fell by 42% from 1999 through 2002. This drop coincided with the introduction in 2000 of a vaccine that protects against invasive pneumococcal disease.</p> <p>Relative to the rate for the period 1983 through 1986, the SCD mortality rate for the period 1999 through 2002 decreased by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 68% at age 0 through 3 years; • 39% at age 4 through 9 years; and • 24% at age 10 through 14 years. <p>Economic Costs During 2005, medical expenditures for children with SCD averaged \$11,702 for children with Medicaid coverage and \$14,772 for children with employer-sponsored insurance. About 40% of both groups had at least one hospital stay.</p> <p>SCD is a major public health concern. From 1989 through 1993, an average of 75,000 hospitalizations due to SCD occurred in the United States, costing approximately \$475 million.</p>
11A5 152253	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 230 NW 15TH STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF SAME TO THE LOTUS ENDOWMENT FUND, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR USE IN CONNECTION WITH THE LOTUS VILLAGE PROJECT INCLUDING SHELTER AND SUPPORT SERVICES FOR THE PUBLIC FOR \$36,960.00; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD AND POLICY SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION NO. R-256-13 REQUIRING A LEASE RATHER THAN A DEED WHEN CONVEYING PROPERTY TO NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES UNDER FLORIDA STATUTE SECTION 125.38; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED WITH RESTRICTIONS FOR SUCH PURPOSE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE CONVEYANCE OF SAID PROPERTY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares surplus County-owned land located at 230 NW 15th Street, Miami, Florida; • Authorizes the conveyance of the Property to the Lotus Endowment Fund, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for use in connection with the Lotus Village Project including shelter and support services, pursuant to Section 125.38 of the Florida Statutes for \$36,960.00; • Waives Administrative Order 8-4, as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board and the board policy set forth in Resolution No. 256-13, requiring a lease rather than a deed when conveying property to not-for-profit entities under Florida Statute Section 125.38; • Authorizes the Chairperson or Vice-Chairperson of the BCC to execute a County Deed; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to take all actions necessary to effectuate the conveyance. <p><u>Background</u> The Lotus Endowment Fund, Inc. (Lotus) is a Florida not-for-profit corporation which was organized for the community interest and welfare purpose of providing support, education, tools and resources to disadvantaged and homeless women, youth and children in the community. Lotus owns, acquires and improves land and facilities utilized by Lotus House, a non-profit public charity providing such shelter and supportive services to the public (Lotus House). Lotus House has a main campus including five parcels of land and facilities, including properties located at 211-229 NW 15th Street, 1540 NW 2nd Avenue, 1514 NW 2nd Avenue, and 226 NW 16th Street, Miami, Florida and is desirous of expanding its main campus because its current facilities are insufficient to meet the demand for additional shelter capacity for homeless individuals and families.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The County owns property located at 230 NW 15th Street, Miami, Florida (Property) with an assessed value of \$36,960.00 and a market value of \$63,000.00, according to the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's website. Lotus has applied to the County for the conveyance of the Property for the purpose of inclusion in its shelter facilities and support programs servicing homeless individuals and families. The Property would be conveyed for \$36,960.00 to Lotus by a County Deed, which will require Lotus to utilize the Property for shelter and support services and related uses in connection with the Lotus Village project.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On April 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-333-15, established the following County policy, when conveying County-owned property, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The market value of the property which is the subject of any proposed conveyance be disclosed to the BCC;</i> • <i>If an appraisal has been conducted, then any limiting assumptions or conditions contained in the appraisal which affect the conclusion of market value will also be disclosed to the BCC;</i> • <i>If no appraisal has been conducted, then the market value set forth in the Property Appraiser's website will be disclosed;</i> • <i>Directs the Mayor or designee to take all necessary actions to provide the market value of the subject property in connection with such required disclosure, on items sponsored by either a Commissioner or the administration; and</i> • <i>Disclosure of the market value will be made in the Mayor's memorandum, or if none exists, in the body of the resolution.</i> <p>The resolution establishes County policy, when leasing County-owned property, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The market rental of the property which is the subject of any proposed lease be disclosed to the BCC;</i> • <i>Directs the Mayor or designee to take all necessary actions to provide the market rental in connection with such required disclosure, on items sponsored by either a Commissioner or the administration;</i> • <i>In the event that circumstances exist preventing the determination of market rental with respect to a proposed County lease, such as lack of comparable rental properties or time sensitivity of the transaction, then such circumstances will be disclosed in the resolution, and the market value in the Property Appraiser's website for the subject property will be disclosed; and</i> • <i>Disclosure of the market rental or market value will be made in the Mayor's memorandum, or if none exists, in the body of the resolution.</i> <p><u>Additional Information and Relevant Legislation</u> On April 2, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-253-13, directed the Mayor to prepare a report, within ninety (90) days, regarding conveyances of developable property worth in excess of \$15,000.00, which were made to specific entities in the past ten years, including long term leases (over twenty years) and conveyances of properties with or without a right of reverter, but excluding short term leases, easements, conveyances via competitive bidding, and conveyances to governmental entities. The report was to include the street address of the property; the folio number; the amount the County received for compensation, or receives in the form of lease payments, if any; the status of the construction on the conveyed property; the status of the commitments made on the property regarding development, including whether the entity is currently in compliance with any and all requirements of the conveyance; and the length of time left on any long term lease.</p> <p>On March 4, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-239-14, directed the Mayor to prepare a report, within thirty (30) days, identifying all county owned or leased buildings and office space that was currently vacant or otherwise not being occupied or used, including portions of any buildings or office space.</p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-909-14, directed the Mayor or his designee, within sixty (60) days, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update the report provided in March, 2014, identifying space in County-owned or leased properties that is either vacant or otherwise not being occupied or used; and update and supplement its report provided in July, 2013, identifying conveyances of County-owned properties over the past ten years, including long-term leases (over twenty years) and conveyances of properties, to include conveyances to governmental entities, but excluding short term leases (less than twenty years), easements and conveyances via competitive bidding. <p>Additionally, Resolution No. R-909-14 stated that commencing on the effective date of R-909-14 and continuing until (30) thirty days after the presentation of the updated reports to the BCC, absent a showing of good cause for the necessity and urgency of the conveyance, no conveyances would be made of County-owned property, via sale or lease, for less than market value. <i>Resolution No. R-909-14 would not apply to a) the proposed conveyance of property which already had been submitted for review by the BCC or any Committee; b) conveyances for the construction of County infrastructure; c) conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute §125.35; or d) proposed conveyances that were currently the subject of continuous and ongoing negotiations.</i></p> <p>In response to Resolution No. R-909-14, the Mayor sent a Memo dated February 17, 2015, updating reports regarding County conveyances over the past ten (10) years.</p> <p>On March 3, 2015, a report was presented to the BCC identifying County-owned properties which have been conveyed or leased for less than market value, as well as identifying all existing properties which can be used or developed for use.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	Additional Information- Prior Legislation Pertaining to Conveyances
May 3, 2011 R-376-11	Directed that any resolution authorizing the improvement, rehabilitation or conveyance of County-owned real property appropriate for or to be used for affordable housing will include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background information explaining how, when and why the County acquired the property; • An itemized accounting of the County's past and proposed future investment in the property, including acquisition, rehabilitation, and maintenance costs; • Amount and an explanation of any mortgages, fines, liens or other costs paid by the County in acquiring the property; • Location of the property, including the commission district; • Assessed value of the property; • Summary of the terms, duties and responsibilities to be imposed upon the recipient of the property pursuant to any agreements; • Summary of remedies available to the County in the event that the proposed recipient does not fully comply with said agreements; and • Summary of future controls and transfer restrictions on the property or, in lieu of a summary, a copy of any restrictive covenant, restrictive deed or other controls to be placed upon the property by the County at the time of transfer or sale.
July 7, 2011 R-573-11	Required that any deed conveying an interest in real property to a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) contain a reverter, right of re-entry, or other provision requiring the return of the property to the County in the event that the property is not used for the purposes agreed upon by the BCC at the time of conveyance. This Resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute Section 125.35 where the CRA is the highest bidder and pays fair market value for the property.
April 2, 2013 R-256-13	Established County policy with respect to conveyances to not for profit corporations under Florida Statute Section 125.38. More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38 1) to lease, rather than convey property, unless other compelling circumstances justify the conveyance of same, and 2) to include lease terms requiring a rental payment in lieu of paying taxes in the event that tax exempt status is achieved by the not-for-profit corporation, unless a hardship or other substantial reason exists for foregoing such payment.
June 4, 2013 R-461-13	Established County policy to require inclusion of a reverter or lease termination provision in conveyance documents when conveying County-owned property by sale or lease. More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations or to governmental entities for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38, 1) in the event of a lease, to include a lease termination provision if such public use is not maintained, and 2) in the event of conveyance, including but not limited to conveyance by deed or easement, to include a reverter provision to the County if the public use is not maintained, and 3) in the event of a conveyance of a road or portions thereof to a governmental entity, including a specific provision that such road cannot be closed without approval by the BCC.
Sept. 17, 2013 R-761-13	Amended Resolution No. 461-13, to provide an exception when property is conveyed for appraised value.
Nov. 5, 2014 R-1000-14	Set policy for Miami-Dade County requiring that the exercise of a reverter or lease termination provision when public use is not maintained will be at no additional cost to the County and required BCC approval prior to any assignment or transfer of the property. This resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Section 125.35, Florida Statutes regarding conveyances to the highest bidder, or sale of non-developable remnants to adjacent owners, and additionally will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Section 125.37, Florida Statutes relating to land swaps. More specifically, when conveying or leasing property to persons or entities for less than fair market value or rental for the public benefit, the instrument of conveyance will include a provision that the County will not be required to pay any compensation to the entity in connection with the exercise of the reverter or lease termination, and that such reversion will be at no additional cost or expense to the County if the intended use is not maintained. Additionally, R-1000-14 provides that when conveying or leasing property to persons or entities for less than fair market value or rental, a provision be included in the instrument of conveyance requiring BCC approval in the event that the entity to which the property was conveyed seeks to transfer or assign its interest to another person or unaffiliated entity. Conveyances, transfers or assignments to affiliated entities will require the consent of the County Mayor or his designee. This prior approval will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program or other affordable housing programs and purposes requiring lease or sale after the affordable housing is constructed.

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A6 151746	RESOLUTION REQUIRING CONTRACTS WITH SMALL BUSINESS MEASURES MEET AT LEAST 85 PERCENT OF THE SMALL BUSINESS GOALS APPLICABLE TO THE PORTION(S) OF THE CONTRACT WORK PERFORMED TO DATE BEFORE A CHANGE ORDER OR CONTRACT AMENDMENT BE CONSIDERED FOR BOARD APPROVAL
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution requires that County contracts with small business measures meet at least 85 percent of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for BCC approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved; • Steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s); and • How the small business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered by the BCC for approval. <p>Where the County Mayor or designee has been delegated the authority to approve a change order or contract amendment, the same minimum threshold must be met, or the same explanatory information must be provided to the County Mayor or designee before the change order or contract amendment can be considered for approval.</p>
11A7 152260	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY AND UDG III OASIS, LLC REGARDING CONSTRUCTION OF LAKE VUE OASIS AFFORDABLE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER AMENDMENT
Notes	The proposed resolution approves Amendment No. 4 to Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Grant Agreement between the County and UDG III Oasis, LLC regarding construction of Lake Vue Oasis Affordable single family residences extending the completion date to January 31, 2016.
11A8 152082	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO (1) DEVELOP, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE RESIDENTS AND RESIDENT COUNCILS, A SMOKE-FREE POLICY FOR ALL MULTI-FAMILY PUBLIC HOUSING AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS OWNED AND OPERATED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, (2) TO SURVEY AND HOLD COMMUNITY MEETINGS WITH THE RESIDENTS, THE RESIDENT COUNCILS AND THEIR ADVOCATES, (3) AS IT RELATES TO THE PUBLIC HOUSING PROGRAM, INCORPORATE SUCH SMOKE-FREE POLICY INTO THE COUNTY'S FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY PLAN, ADMISSIONS AND CONTINUED OCCUPANCY POLICY, PUBLIC HOUSING COMMUNITY POLICIES AND PUBLIC HOUSING LEASE, SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL, (4) TO AMEND LEASES AND COMMUNITY POLICIES FOR COUNTY-OWNED MULTI-FAMILY AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT TO INCORPORATE SUCH SMOKE-FREE POLICY, SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL, AND (5) PROVIDE A 30-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD REGARDING THE SMOKE-FREE POLICY IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, NOTICES AND THIS RESOLUTION; URGING PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS PARTICIPATING IN THE COUNTY'S SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER AND SECTION 8 MODERATE REHABILITATION PROGRAMS TO IMPLEMENT SMOKE-FREE POLICIES FOR THEIR MULTI-FAMILY PROPERTIES; AND DIRECTING MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO POST THIS RESOLUTION ON THEIR WEBSITE, TO INCLUDE SAME IN THEIR ORIENTATION PACKAGES FOR PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS, AND PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO SUCH OWNERS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to develop, in consultation with the residents and, where applicable, resident councils, a smoke-free policy for all multi-family public housing and affordable housing developments owned and operated by the County. Such policy will not apply to any single family public housing sites owned and operated by the County. The smoke-free policy will include, but not be limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An introduction that explains the policy's purpose, which can include information about the dangers of secondhand smoke; • Clear, consistent definitions of important terms, such as "smoking," "premises," and "common area," to help ensure that the policy is interpreted, implemented, and enforced in ways that effectively protect the entire housing community; • Information on which areas must be smoke-free, such as common areas, units (new and/or existing), outdoor areas (including patios and balconies), and setbacks from entrances; • Information on designated areas where smoking will be allowed; • Information on which public housing developments are exempt from the policy; • Description of who must comply, such as residents, guests, employees and business visitors; • A disclaimer that the County is not acting as a guarantor of the policy; • Information on enforcement, i.e., who will enforce the policy; how the policy will be enforced, the responsibilities of the County (post warning signs, consistently enforce the policy), and the responsibilities of residents (notify guests and visitors, report violations); • An effective date for the implementation of the smoke-free policy; and • Information for residents on local smoking cessation resources and programs, including those offered by the Health Department. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution provides that the County Mayor or designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey and hold community meetings with all residents, resident councils and their advocates concerning the proposed smoke-free policy; • Review HUD Notices and published guidelines, including but not limited to HUD's publications "Change is in the Air: An Action Guide to Establishing Smoke-Free Public Housing and Multifamily Properties" and "Smoke Free Housing: A Toolkit for Owners/Management Agents of Federally Assisted Public and Multi-family Housing", and incorporate any additional recommendations suggested by HUD into the County's smoke-free policy; • Incorporate the smoke-free policy into the County's Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Public Housing Agency Plan, the Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy, the public housing community polices and the public housing lease, subject to approval of the BCC; • Amend the leases and community policies for the County-owned multi-family affordable housing developments to incorporate the smoke-free policy, subject to the BCC's approval.

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Place legislative items seeking approval of the before-mentioned plan, policies and leases no later than April 2016. However, prior to placing any legislative items seeking approval of the before-mentioned plan, policies and leases, the County Mayor or designee will provide a 30-day comment period in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, HUD Notices or, where no such requirement exists, this resolution. <p>The proposed resolution also urges all private property owners participating in the County's Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher and Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation programs, to implement smoke-free policies for all of their multi-family properties and directs the Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department to post a copy of this resolution on their website, include it in the orientation packages provided to private property owners, and to provide such owners with any additional information concerning the benefits of adopting smoke-free policies.</p>
11A9 152270	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING BANKON MIAMI, A COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE UNITED WAY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE PROGRAMMING SUPPORT TO BANKON MIAMI AND TO INCLUDE INFORMATION ABOUT BANKON MIAMI ON THE COUNTY'S WEBSITE AND IN APPROPRIATE COUNTY LITERATURE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the BankOn Miami initiative by collaborating with the United Way of Miami-Dade County to: (i) encourage financial institutions and regulators to create innovative products and solutions to reach unbanked and underbanked individuals and (ii) inform the public about these opportunities; and Directs the County Mayor or designee to provide programming support services to BankOn Miami and to include information about BankOn Miami on the County's website and in future County literature, where appropriate.
11A10 152083	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ORGANIZE THE SOUTH DADE REGIONAL CHARRETTE AND FACILITATE THE PREPARATION OF A CHARRETTE REPORT FOR SUCH AREA IN UNINCORPORATED SOUTH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, INCLUDING IN WHOLE OR IN PART, THE THREE LAKES, RICHMOND HEIGHTS, PALMETTO ESTATES, RICHMOND WEST, WEST PERRINE, SOUTH MIAMI HEIGHTS AND GOULDS CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES AND OTHER AREAS AS DESCRIBED HEREIN AND PLACE THE COMPLETED REPORT ON AN AGENDA OF THIS BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to organize the South Dade Regional Charrette for the South Dade Regional Charrette Area and facilitate the preparation of a charrette report. The Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide the completed charrette report to the BCC and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Small Area Studies:</u> Community Planning staff are responsible for initiating and carrying out Small Area Studies. The tool that the Department has chosen to conduct these studies is known as the charrette process. A charrette is an intensive, public planning workshop that seeks the consensus of all the stakeholders of the subject area and that takes place over a week. Through the charrette process, the Department seeks to develop the community's vision for its growth and future development.</p> <p>Bird Road Corridor Study Over the last few years, County staff has been holding a series of public events as part of the Bird Road Corridor Study. The goal of this planning process is to develop a shared long-term vision for this major East/West corridor that is pedestrian friendly, visually cohesive, commercially vibrant and creates a unique identity for Bird Road.</p> <p>Coral Villa Estates Area Study This charrette process began with Miami-Dade County Commissioner Rebeca Sosa sponsoring a resolution requesting a charrette planning study for the Coral Villa Estates area within Commission District 6. The Coral Villa Estates area is generally located south of Coral Way (SW 24th Street), east of Ludlam Road (SW 67th Avenue), north of the Coral Gables canal and west of SW 63rd Avenue.</p> <p>Ludlam Trail Corridor The Ludlam Trail Corridor consists of the 6.2-mile long Florida East Coast railroad corridor from Blue Lagoon to State Road 878. Public charrettes have been held in County Commission Districts 6 and 7, which encompasses the corridor.</p> <p>Palmer Lake Area Study On June 2, 2009, the Board of County Commissioners passed resolution No. R-728-09 requesting a charrette area plan study for the area bounded by the Miami River to the North and East, NW 37th Ave to the West and the Tamiami Canal to the South.</p> <p>Poinciana Park Study Area The Poinciana Park study area comprises approximately 115 acres located in the Model City/North Central part of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Richmond Heights Study The charrette process began with Miami-Dade County Chairman Dennis C. Moss sponsoring a resolution for a charrette area planning study in the Richmond Heights Downtown Core.</p> <p>Land Use Planning & Transit Study - NW 215th Street and NW 27th Avenue The Urban Design Center within the Miami-Dade Regulatory and Economic Resources Department met and worked with members of the County's Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust, Miami-Dade Transit, the City of Miami Gardens and residents of Miami Gardens to develop a shared long-term Transit-Oriented Development vision for a parcel of County owned land at NW 215th Street and NW 27th Avenue in the City of Miami Gardens.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>West Kendall Corridor Study In May 2013, the Board of County Commissioners approved a Charrette and West Kendall Corridor Study Area, which focuses on Kendall Drive between SW 137th and SW 177 Avenues. This study will provide an opportunity for land owners, business owners, area residents and local elected officials to work together towards a vision for the future of West Kendall.</p>
11A11 152235	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO STRUCTURE AND IMPLEMENT MAINTENANCE PROGRAM AS WELL AS A PLAN TO CLEAR ANY STRUCTURES, OVERGROWTH, AND DEBRIS FROM FORMER HOMESTEAD AIR RESERVE BASE PROPERTY IN ORDER TO ENHANCE MARKETABILITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF SUCH PROPERTY UPON RECEIPT OF FUNDS FROM CONVEYANCE OF A PORTION OF SUCH PROPERTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to structure and implement a maintenance program as well as a plan to clear any structures, overgrowth, and debris from former Homestead Air Reserve Base property in order to enhance marketability and economic development of such property upon receipt of funds from conveyance of a portion of such property.</p> <p>Background On July 13, 2004, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-909-04 which authorized the County to execute an Economic Development Conveyance Agreement (EDC Agreement) with the Secretary of the Air Force on behalf of the United States of America (Air Force) to accomplish the transfer of 601 acres of former Homestead Air Reserve Base property (EDC Property) to Miami-Dade County for the purpose of promoting economic development through job creation and new business development in the immediate area of the former Homestead Air Reserve Base.</p> <p>The EDC Property was conveyed to the County by multiple deeds, which included various rights, obligations, and restrictions and on June 2, 2015, the County approved Resolution No. R-512-15 which authorized the conveyance of 48.87 acres of the EDC Property to SunCap Property Group, LLC (SunCap) for \$4,446,778.00 for the development of a FedEx facility, and such transaction is expected to be closed by January 15, 2016. Consistent with the intent of the EDC Agreement, proceeds received by the County from the sale of a portion of the EDC Property are to be used to support the economic development of the immediate area of the former Homestead Air Reserve Base, including the planning for, or the marketing of, the development of the EDC Property.</p>
11A12 151973	RESOLUTION PROHIBITING THE PRESENTATION OF ANY LEGISLATIVE ITEM(S) SEEKING APPROVAL OF ANY CONTRACT, AGREEMENT, MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING OR SIMILARLY LEGALLY BINDING DOCUMENT OR SEEKING TO DIRECT THE NEGOTIATION OF SUCH CONTRACT, AGREEMENT, MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING OR SIMILARLY LEGALLY BINDING DOCUMENT REGARDING COMMERCIAL AND/OR NON-TRADITIONAL PORT DEVELOPMENT TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS UNTIL THE COUNTY HAS SUCCESSFULLY NEGOTIATED AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF MIAMI AND THE STATE OF FLORIDA TO RESOLVE UNCERTAINTIES REGARDING SCOPE AND EFFECT OF ANY POTENTIAL RESTRICTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND/OR REVERSIONARY CLAUSES IN DEEDS AND ANY OTHER CONVEYANCE INSTRUMENTS REGARDING COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY USED BY THE COUNTY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF THE PORT OF MIAMI
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution prohibits the County Mayor, Commissioner, or any other person authorized to sponsor items on a Commission Agenda, or any of the BCC's Committees, any proposal, contract, agreement, memorandum of understanding, and/or other similar legally binding document, or present any resolution directing negotiations for any contract, agreement, memorandum of understanding, and/or other similar legally binding document pertaining to any commercial development or other non-traditional port development – as opposed to traditional port development such as a cruise terminal development agreement or a cargo terminal development agreement – at the Port of Miami unless and until negotiations between the County, the City of Miami, and the State of Florida are successfully concluded which collaboratively resolve any uncertainty regarding the remaining effect and scope of the Deed Restrictions.</p> <p>Background The State of Florida (State) conveyed certain lands to the City of Miami (City) in three separate conveyances (State Conveyances) located in and along Biscayne Bay in an area east of downtown Miami (City Properties) and in 1960, the City subsequently conveyed certain portions of the City Properties to the County (City Conveyance) for the purpose of constructing, operating, and/or supporting seaport facilities (Port Property). The County has constructed the seaport facilities now known as the Dante B. Fascell Port of Miami on the Port Property, which is owned and operated by the County through the County's Seaport Department. The State and City conveyance documents purport to contain certain restrictions, conditions, and reversionary interests (Deed Restrictions) and the County submits that the Deed Restrictions lack sufficient precision to avoid uncertainty as to their remaining effect, if any, with respect to development that is not traditionally associated with the operation of a proprietary seaport in which the County contracts with Port of Miami customers to operate cargo terminal yards, cruise terminals, and ancillary support functions. The County seeks to collaboratively resolve any uncertainty regarding the enforceability and scope of the Deed Restrictions in order to confirm concurrence among the City, the County, and the State regarding the scope of uses of Port of Miami Property in order to expand upon the scope of traditional uses of the Port of Miami by its customers.</p>
11A13 152078	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ESTABLISH A RECYCLING PROGRAM FOR TRAVELERS MAKING USE OF THE DANTE B. FASCELL PORT OF MIAMI AND PROVIDE A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING SAME WITHIN 90 DAYS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to implement a recycling program for travelers at PortMiami, based on the recommendations of the EPA's Recycle on the Go program. Additionally, the County Mayor or designee will provide a report setting forth the steps taken to implement this directive not later than 90 days following the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>The federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through the "Recycle on the Go" program provides useful resources and information to local governments to better implement recycling programs in high traffic areas such as seaports.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information</u> On October 6, 2015, the BCC adopted the following Resolutions:</p> <p>Resolution No. R-878-15, directed the Mayor or designee to examine and prepare a report detailing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The performance of Ecological Paper Recycling, Inc. and the steps that the administration has taken to enforce the provisions of the contract approved by Resolution No. R-805-13; • The current state of recycling at all County facilities; • The current status of any potential replacement contract to provide recycling services to County facilities; • The cleaning services vendors' compliance with contractual provisions that require proper collection of recycled materials; • Any recommendations for Board action to improve recycling at County facilities; and • Provide the report to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on a BCC agenda pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 at the next scheduled meeting. <p>The Mayor or designee was further directed to develop contingency plans to ensure continuation of recycling services at County facilities in the absence of a recycling vendor, or in instances of vendor non-performance, including but not limited to the mobilization of the Public Works and Waste Management Department to provide recycling at County facilities. Additionally, the Mayor or designee was directed to develop training and education programs for County employees on the benefits of recycling and how to ensure the correct items are recycled.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-884-15, directed the County Mayor or designee to implement a recycling program for travelers at Miami International Airport, which will include placement of recyclable collection bins in the Terminal areas of Miami International Airport and further directs the County Mayor or designee to provide a report to the BCC setting forth the steps taken to implement this directive no later than 90 days following the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-889-15, directed the Mayor or designee to examine and prepare a report regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The rate by which businesses are complying with Section 15-2.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County; • The current enforcement procedures used to ensure compliance with Section 15-2.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and whether other alternative enforcement measures could result in greater compliance, and if so, the feasibility and cost of, and plan for, implementing any such alternative enforcement measure; • The feasibility of partnering with environmental not-for-profit organizations to raise recycling awareness in an effort to increasing the rate by which businesses are complying with Section 15-2.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County; • The feasibility and cost of, and plan for, implementing a recognition program for businesses that have been diligently complying with Section 15-2.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. The plan should identify how businesses should be selected for the recognition and recommend a method of recognition, such as the presentation of a certificate or plaque or/and notable mention on Miami-Dade County's website; and • Provide the report to the BCC within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
<p>11A14 152080 <i>Withdrawal Requested</i></p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH OFFICIALS FROM THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD TO REVIEW THE HOURS OF OPERATIONS OF THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS TO DETERMINE THEIR IMPACT ON TRAFFIC AND TO EVALUATE POTENTIAL OPTIONS TO REDUCE ROAD CONGESTION</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to work with officials from the Miami-Dade County School Board to review the hours of operations of the public schools to determine their impact on traffic and to evaluate potential options to reduce road congestion.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Miami-Dade County Public Schools is the fourth largest school system in the United States comprising 392 schools, 345,000 students, and over 40,000 employees.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – School Hours:</u> Elementary Schools:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades Pre-K, K,1 – 8:30 am – 2:00 pm • Grades 2-6 – 8:30 am – 3:00 pm <p>K-8 Centers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades Pre-K, K, 1 – 8:20 am – 1:50 pm • Grades 2-8 – 8:35 am – 3:05 pm <p><i>On Wednesdays, all elementary school students will be dismissed at 2:00 pm.</i></p> <p>Middle Schools:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grades 6-9 – 9:00 am – 3:40 pm

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Senior High Schools:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grades 9-12 – 7:30 am – 2:30 pm
11A15 152236	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO FOREIGN LANGUAGE VERSIONS OF THE COUNTY’S WEBSITE WITHIN 180 DAYS OR PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD IN THE EVENT THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE IS UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH THIS DIRECTIVE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to make the County’s website more foreign language friendly by providing the ability for users of the County’s website to access the information in languages other than English, but at a minimum in Spanish or Creole, within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>In the event that the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee is unable to comply with this directive within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution, the County Mayor is directed to provide a report to the BCC detailing the reasons why compliance is either not feasible or overly costly and place such report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on October 13, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Committee noted that the County website was only available in the English language. The Director of the Communications Department noted that currently, the County’s website does utilize the Google translation toolbar to translate text but the Department would continue to evaluate available tools. The Committee noted that translations generated by Google often provide different vocabulary.
11A16 152237	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO APPLY TO ROCKEFELLER FOUNDATION’S 100 RESILIENT CITIES PROGRAM AND SEEK THE SUPPORT OF THE MIAMI FOUNDATION IN APPLYING TO THE PROGRAM
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to apply to Rockefeller Foundation’s 100 Resilient Cities Program and seek the support of the Miami Foundation in applying to the program and directs the Mayor or designee to provide a report to the BCC within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution regarding the application process and submittal, and place the completed report on a BCC agenda pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Pioneered by the Rockefeller Foundation, 100 Resilient Cities supports the adoption and incorporation of resilient cities around the world by helping cities address their physical, social and economic challenges. Cities apply to the 100 Resilient Cities Program to obtain help addressing challenges like earthquakes, fires, floods and other natural disasters, as well as high unemployment, an inefficient public transportation system, pervasive violence, and chronic food and water shortages.</p>
11A17 152254	RESOLUTION RELATED TO RESOLUTION NO. R-46-15 WHICH REQUIRED THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AN ACTION PLAN AND A REPORT TO EVALUATE WHAT EXPERTISE WOULD BE NEEDED TO DEVELOP AN ENHANCED CAPITAL PLAN FOR THE COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSES OF CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION PLANNING; AMENDING THE DUE DATE OF THE FINAL REPORT AND FINAL ACTION PLAN REQUIRED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-46-15
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to provide the final report and final action plan required by Resolution No. R-46-15 to the BCC by January 31, 2016 and to place the completed report and action plan on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution amends Section 2 of Resolution No. R-46-15 to reflect the new deadline for the final report and final action plan.</p> <p><i>According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the final report related to Resolution No. R-44-15 has been completed and is attached to its second quarter or 180 day status report. It will be distributed shortly along with all the other second quarter status reports for the other Sea Level Rise Task Force resolutions. The other report related to item Resolution R-46-15 will be finished by the January 31, 2016 deadline that is the subject of the requested extension in the UMSA 2B agenda item.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> On January 21, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-46-15, which directed the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to prepare an action plan and report to accomplish the acceleration of the climate change adaptation planning process by evaluating the engineering and other relevant expertise needed to conduct a comprehensive expert analysis and to develop an enhanced Capital Plan involving all levels of government to reinvent Miami-Dade County’s urban infrastructure in a timely, sequenced manner that includes but is not limited to flood protection, salinity structures, pump stations, and road and bridge designs, and to determine the costs of retaining the experts needed. Resolution No. R-46-15, required that the Mayor provide a status report on the action plan within 90 days of the resolution as well as a final report and final action plan be provided to the BCC within 180 days of the effective date of Resolution No. R-46-15.</p> <p>On August 20, 2015, the Mayor issued a status report related to Resolution No. R-46-15 and in the status report, the Mayor requested that the deadline for the final report and final action plan be extended by six months due to the complex nature of the required evaluations and the need to determine the technical resources and funding that would be necessary for an enhanced capital plan.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</u> On January 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-44-15, directed the Mayor, or Mayor’s designee, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study the feasibility of designating Adaption Action Areas as recommended in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan;

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare a status report regarding the issues identified; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The status report is to be provided to the BCC within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</i> • Provide the final report regarding the issues identified. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The final report is to be provided to the BCC within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution and the completed report is to be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</i> <p>During the BCC meeting on January 21, 2015, the following was discussed regarding R-44-15 and R-46-15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission noticed that the recommendations from the Climate Change Advisory Task Force had not been implemented, convened the Sea Level Rise Task Force, and asked the members to provide realistic recommendations.</i> • <i>The Mayor noted the Administration was fully supportive of the sea level rise resolutions, and had already incorporated them into its other work. He pointed out that the County Commission had already allocated funds to address sea level rise when it approved the deal with Miami Beach.</i> • <i>The Commission noted all of the commissioners seemed to agree that sea level rise adaptation was necessary. However, cautioned, the implementation of these resolutions would be very expensive and suggested that the Administration prioritize the work in a cost-efficient, strategic manner and be factored into the County's planning.</i> <p><u>Additional Information – Committee and BCC Discussion on R-44-15 and R-46-15:</u> During the Land Use and Development Committee meeting on December 11, 2014, the following was discussed regarding R-44-15 and R-46-15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concern regarding the timeline for the requested reports to the County Commission, noting two of the items, Agenda Items 2A and 2C, had a 180-day timeline, while most had a one-year timeline. The Committee requested the Mayor be directed to provide quarterly progress reports to the County Commission, as part of these resolutions.</i> • <i>The Committee requested that Items 2A through 2F be amended to request a quarterly status report and noted that the County Commission should be apprised of potential additional funding for the Environmentally Endangered Lands Program.</i> • <i>In response to the Committee's inquiry regarding any potential financial impacts of the proposed resolutions, the Assistant Director for Planning, Regulatory and Economic Resources, suggested a report be provided within 90 days report instead of the 180-day report to the County Commission for Items 2A and 2C; and quarterly reports be provided for the remaining items.</i> • <i>The Committee amended items 2A and 2C to direct the Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide the County Commission with a status report regarding the issues identified in the resolution, within 90 days; and to provide the Commission with a final report within 180 days.</i>
11A18 152266	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS IDENTIFYING ANY COUNTY PRACTICES AND/OR PROCEDURES THAT MAY IMPEDE THE CREATION OF NEW LOCAL BUSINESS AND STRATEGIES THAT WILL ADDRESS SUCH IMPEDIMENTS AND ENCOURAGE THE GROWTH OF LOCAL BUSINESS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to provide a written report to the BCC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifying existing County policies, practices or procedures that are devoted to creating and promoting local business; • Analyzing whether such County policies, practices or procedures can be improved to foster the creation and growth of new local businesses; • Identifying whether any existing County practices or procedures may serve to impede the creation of new local businesses; and • Identifying and analyzing potential strategies addressing such County practices or procedures that may impede the creation of new local businesses. <p>In preparing the report, the BCC encourages the County Mayor or designee to reach out to and work collaboratively with the local business community and organizations such as the Beacon Council and the Miami-Dade Chamber of Commerce for assistance in identifying issues, policies, practices and/or procedures that attract, or conversely impede, the creation of new local businesses.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee will provide the report within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on a BCC agenda pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>
11A19 152268	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONSULT WITH THE PORT OF MIAMI'S CARGO TERMINAL OPERATOR STAKEHOLDERS, CRUISE LINE STAKEHOLDERS AND OTHER CURRENT OR POTENTIAL PORT OF MIAMI USERS TO ASSESS THE PORT OF MIAMI'S LAND USE AND INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS OVER THE NEXT 20 TO 30 YEARS AND PRESENT A WRITTEN REPORT TO THIS BOARD REGARDING SUCH CONSULTATION WITHIN 90 DAYS; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AMEND AND UPDATE THE PORTION OF THE PORT OF MIAMI 2035 MASTER PLAN RELATING TO POTENTIAL ON PORT COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT TO ACCOUNT FOR THE PORT OF MIAMI'S PROJECTED LAND USE AND INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS AND ANY LEGAL IMPEDIMENTS TO DEVELOPMENT AND PRESENT SUCH AMENDMENTS TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 150 DAYS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to consult with each of the Port of Miami's Stakeholders to determine the Port of Miami's land, infrastructure, and growth needs over the next 20 to 30 years, to prepare a written report regarding such consultation (Consultation Report), and provide the report to the BCC on an agenda within 90 days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>This proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or designee to propose amendments and updates to the Commercial Development portion of the Port Master Plan to account for the updated future Port of Miami land, infrastructure and growth needs as identified in the Consultation Report, as well as for any Legal Impediments, and to present such amended Port Master Plan to the BCC within 150 days from the effective date of this resolution.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background</p> <p>On December 6, 2011, through Resolution No. R-1055-11, the BCC approved the Port of Miami 2035 Master Plan (Port Master Plan), which included, potential commercial development of the southwest corner of the Port of Miami (Southwest Corner), including, among other things, office space, a hotel, a yacht marina and retail space (Commercial Development).</p> <p>On October 20, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-946-15, directed the County Mayor or designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expedite a competitive solicitation process for the development of the southwest corner of the Port of Miami (Southwest Corner) consistent with the Port of Miami 2035 Master Plan, but subject to all legal restrictions including, but not limited to, the covenants and restrictions and associated rights of reverter in the conveyance documents from the State of Florida to the City of Miami and/or from the City of Miami to the County for the property now encompassing the Southwest Corner, all current restrictions in long term leases with third parties for the Southwest corner including, but not limited to, the Ground Lease with Marine Spill Response Corporation and the leases with Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd., and the County's ordinances authorizing the issuance of bonds by the County for the Seaport Department; and • Prepare and submit the recommended solicitation document to the County Commission for its consideration and approval.
11A20 152271	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REQUIRE THAT VENDORS PROVIDE ADDRESSES OF ALL LOCAL BRANCH OFFICES AND HEADQUARTERS AND THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF LOCAL RESIDENTS SUCH VENDORS EMPLOY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCLUDE SUCH INFORMATION IN MEMORANDUM TO BOARD PERTAINING TO VENDOR BEING RECOMMENDED FOR CONTRACT AWARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee, in the award Memorandum to the BCC, to require that all vendors bidding on and/or entering into contracts with Miami-Dade County to provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The address(es) of all branch offices or any headquarters located within Miami-Dade County and/or in any South Florida county with which Miami-Dade County has local business preference reciprocity pursuant to an interlocal agreement in compliance with Section 2-8.5(6) of the County Code; • The vendors' number of Miami-Dade County employee residents; • The vendors' number of employee residents from South Florida counties with which Miami-Dade County has local business preference reciprocity pursuant to an interlocal agreement in compliance with Section 2-8.5(6) of the County Code; and • As compared against the vendors' total employee workforce, the percentage of vendors' employees who reside in Miami-Dade County and/or in any South Florida county with which Miami-Dade County has local business preference reciprocity pursuant to an interlocal agreement in compliance with Section 2-8.5(6) of the County Code.
11A21 152267	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A FEASIBILITY STUDY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR A WEST END CIRCULATOR BUS ROUTE IDENTIFYING ROUTE ALIGNMENT, LOCATIONS OF POSSIBLE BUS STOPS, RECOMMENDED SERVICE HOURS AND FREQUENCY, POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES AND INCLUDING AN ESTIMATION OF RIDERSHIP AND ANY LAND USE STUDIES NECESSARY FOR OPTIMIZING THE EFFICIENCY OF THIS ROUTE; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT TO THE BOARD A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY STUDY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to prepare a feasibility study and implementation plan for a West End Circulator Bus Route identifying route alignment, locations of possible bus stops, recommended service hours and frequency, and potential funding sources, and including an estimation of ridership and any land use studies necessary for optimizing the efficiency of this route. The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide a report to the BCC regarding the feasibility study and implementation plan within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background:</p> <p>The Florida International University College of Architecture and the Metropolitan Center released a comprehensive master plan, entitled the "West End Strategy: A Vision for the Future," that included recommendations to improve connectivity in the West End. The study noted that the "the West End is typical of low density suburban development patterns, with high dependence on vehicle trips for conveniences, low walkability, and in some locations, difficult pedestrian conditions" and highlights that there is a higher than average dependence and use of automobiles for work and non-work travel in the West End in comparison to other areas of Miami-Dade County. The study included a strategic action agenda, which recommended the creation of a transportation loop that connects the three major areas of the West End with a crossover station at the intersection of SW 88th St. and SW 147th Ave that would link community services and greenspaces in and around three key parks and two institutions: Hammocks Community Park, Boystown Pineland County Park, Water Oaks Park, Florida International University, and West Kendall Baptist Hospital. The proposed route would also connect bicycle, hiking, and walking trails located throughout the West End.</p>
11A22 152530	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH THE UNITED STATES CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION TO PROVIDE FOR ENHANCED CUSTOMS AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES AT MIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT AND MIAMI-OPA LOCKA EXECUTIVE AIRPORT; AND TO SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE BOARD ON THE PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ENHANCED CUSTOMS AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES AT MIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT AND MIAMI-OPA LOCKA EXECUTIVE AIRPORT [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM NO. UNDER FILE NO. 152137]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to work with the United States Customs and Border Protection to provide for enhanced customs and immigration services at Miami Executive Airport and Miami-Opa Locka Executive Airport, prioritized based on passenger volume and time zone impacts to inbound passengers, and to pursue an increase in federal funding.</p> <p>Additionally, the resolution further directs the County Mayor or designee to submit a report on the plan for implementation of enhanced customs and immigration services within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on a BCC agenda.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A23 152398	RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY MEET WITH THE TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; REQUESTING THAT THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SCHEDULE JOINT MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS, AS NEEDED, OF THE TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY COMMITTEE AND THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY AND TO COORDINATE A DATE AND TIME FOR THE INITIAL MEETING WITHIN 90 DAYS OR AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests that the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority meet with the Transit and Mobility Services Committee of the BCC; • Requests that the Chairperson of the BCC schedule joint meetings and workshops, as needed, of the BCC's Transit and Mobility Services Committee and MDX and to coordinate the initial meeting within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution, or as soon as practicable; and • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Chairperson, Members and Executive Director of MDX. <p>Background: The BCC recently passed Resolution No. R-355-15 urging MDX to: avoid future toll increases and instead to consider reducing toll rates or capping toll rates at existing levels; eliminate the use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the basis for toll increases; review and analyze the process by which toll rates are established, with input from the public; and impose a moratorium on continually rising toll rates, which heavily burden the County's low and middle income residents, while MDX studies the issue of rising tolls and presents its findings to the public and to the BCC.</p> <p>The BCC's Transit and Mobility Services Committee (the Committee) has an obligation to discuss and consider all issues of transportation which are countywide in nature including the use of toll roads by residents and visitors to Miami-Dade County. During a discussion at the July 8, 2015 Committee meeting, it became apparent that there was a greater need for coordination and communication between the BCC and MDX. Rule 2.01(a)(2)(h) of the BCC's Rules of Procedure provides that the Chairperson of the BCC will schedule all such joint meetings involving a committee of the BCC.</p>
11A24 152406	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO FUND THE EXTENSION OF THE NW 25TH STREET VIADUCT PROJECT WESTWARD AND TO CONNECT THE VIADUCT WITH THE FLORIDA TURNPIKE; URGING THE MIAMI-DADE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION, SUCH AS AMENDING ITS TRANSPORTATION PLANS TO INCLUDE THE EXPANSION OF THIS PROJECT, PROVIDED FUNDING IS MADE AVAILABLE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to fund the extension of the NW 25th Street Viaduct Project westward and to connect the viaduct with the Florida Turnpike; • Urges the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to take appropriate action, such as amending its transportation plans to include the expansion of this project, provided funding is made available; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, the Secretary of the Florida Department of Transportation, and to the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation described and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 state legislative package to include this item. <p>Background: The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) commenced construction on the west segment of the NW 25 Street Viaduct Project on June 11, 2012 and the project, which is expected to reach completion in April 2016 at a cost of \$58 million, will extend the existing viaduct/bridge westward to NW 82nd Avenue, providing a necessary and continuous link for cargo traffic traveling to, and from, Miami International Airport's West Cargo Area (MIA West Cargo Area). The project has two major components: 1) the roadway reconstruction and widening of NW 25th Street from the Palmetto Expressway (State Road 826) to NW 89th Court; and 2) the construction of the viaduct/bridge. Once constructed, the viaduct/bridge will be elevated approximately 30 feet high along the north side of NW 25th Street and will connect with the existing east viaduct, and then proceed over the expressway and touch down just east of NW 82nd Avenue. The project will also include the addition, and widening, of various lanes of traffic; updated signage and pavement markings; and new landscaping and brick pavers in medians.</p> <p>The project, as currently defined, is intended to help with traffic flow in, and around, NW 25th Street and ease the transportation of cargo to, and from, the MIA West Cargo Area. Extending the viaduct westward and connecting it with the Florida Turnpike could offer important additional benefits, such as providing cargo trucks with greater ease of access to the MIA West Cargo Area and lessening the burden on already-heavily traveled roadways located west of NW 82nd Avenue and east of the Florida Turnpike.</p>
11A25 152450	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT SENATE BILL 242, HOUSE BILL 81, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD CREATE A MULTI-YEAR PILOT PROGRAM IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO PROVIDE A NEEDLE AND SYRINGE EXCHANGE PROGRAM, OR ALTERNATIVELY, TO AUTHORIZE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO ESTABLISH A NEEDLE AND SYRINGE EXCHANGE PROGRAM BY PROVIDING THAT ANY POSSESSION, DISTRIBUTION OR EXCHANGE OF NEEDLES OR SYRINGES IN CONNECTION WITH A LOCAL NEEDLE EXCHANGE PROGRAM WOULD NOT BE A CRIMINAL VIOLATION UNDER FLORIDA LAW
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to enact Senate Bill 242, House Bill 81, or similar legislation to authorize the establishment of a needle and syringe exchange pilot program in Miami-Dade County that would offer free exchange of clean, unused needles and

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>syringes for used needles and syringes as a means of preventing the transmission of HIV/AIDS, hepatitis and other blood-borne diseases among intravenous drug users, as well as their sexual partners and offspring;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature, alternatively, to enact legislation that would authorize any local government to establish a needle and syringe exchange pilot program by providing that any possession, distribution or exchange of needles or syringes in connection with a local needle exchange program would not be a criminal violation of any part of chapter 893, Florida Statutes or any other law; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the President of the Florida Senate, the Speaker of the Florida House of Representatives, Senator Oscar Braynon, Representative Katie Edwards and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's lobbyists to advocate for the issues identified and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 state legislative package to include this item. <p>Background: As of 2012, nearly 26,000 residents of Miami-Dade County have been diagnosed with HIV/AIDS and in 2014, Miami-Dade County ranked first in the nation in new HIV infections, according to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). In 2012, more than one in four deaths among people with HIV/AIDS nationwide were attributed to injection drug use, according to the CDC. A substantial portion of the HIV/AIDS infections in children result from injection drugs used by a parent and a significant number of HIV/AIDS cases among women are due to injection drug use or sexual contact with someone infected with HIV/AIDS through injection drug use.</p> <p>A recent study conducted by University of Miami and Jackson Memorial Hospital physicians noted that estimates of HIV/AIDS prevalence among injection drug users in Miami-Dade County range from 14 percent to 23 percent. The same study tracked drug users who were admitted to Jackson Memorial Hospital during a 12-month period and determined that the cost to treat drug-related infections was more than \$11.4 million.</p> <p>A 2012 study that compared needle disposal practices of drug users in San Francisco, which has a legal needle exchange program, and Miami found that Miami had 8 times more used syringes that were improperly discarded. According to an earlier study, injection drug users who feared prosecution for possession of drug paraphernalia were approximately 1.74 times more likely to share syringes and approximately 2 times as likely to share other injection equipment than other drug users. To date, at least 26 states have needle exchange programs that often provide a range of ancillary public health services, including referral to substance abuse treatment, as well as disease prevention, screening and counseling.</p> <p>Local governments are currently prohibited from establishing local needle exchange programs because possession, distribution, or exchange of needles or syringes could be a criminal offense under chapter 893, Florida Statutes. Senate Bill 242 and House Bill 81 would authorize the University of Miami to create a multi-year pilot program in Miami-Dade County to offer free exchange of clean, unused needles and syringes for used needles and syringes as a means of preventing the transmission of HIV/AIDS, hepatitis and other blood-borne diseases among intravenous drug users, as well as their sexual partners and offspring. Senate Bill 242 and House Bill 81 also would provide that any possession, distribution or exchange of needles or syringes in connection with such a needle exchange program would not be a criminal violation of any part of chapter 893, Florida Statutes, or any other law.</p> <p>Additional Information – Sterile Syringes - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): Access to Sterile Syringes According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), persons who inject drugs (PWID) can substantially reduce their risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV and other blood borne viral infections by using a sterile needle and syringe for every injection. PWID can access sterile needles and syringes through syringe services programs (SSPs), pharmacies, physician prescription and health care services, though the latter two are rare. SSPs are also sometimes referred to as Syringe Exchange Programs (SEPs).</p> <p>SSPs are community-based programs that provide access to sterile needles and syringes free of cost and facilitate safe disposal of used needles and syringes. SSPs are an effective component of a comprehensive approach to HIV prevention among PWID and their sex partners, and most SSPs offer other prevention materials (e.g., alcohol swabs, vials of sterile water, condoms) and services, including education on safe injection practices, counseling and testing for HIV and hepatitis C infections, and screening for other sexually transmitted infections. Many SSPs also provide linkage to critical services and programs, thus promoting integration among drug treatment programs, HIV care and treatment services, hepatitis C treatment programs, and other medical, social and mental health services.</p> <p>In many states pharmacies sell needle and syringes without requiring a prescription and many also have provisions for collecting used syringes, including kiosks and drop boxes.</p> <p>The Syringe Services Program (SSP) Development and Implementation Guidelines for State and Local Health Departments are guidelines for organizations that wish to support syringe service programs to PWID, including SSPs and pharmacy sales, to prevent transmission of HIV and other blood borne viral infections and to link PWID to vital prevention, medical and social services.</p> <p>PWID who continue to inject drugs and do not have access to sterile needles and syringes for every injection may consider disinfecting syringes with bleach or other agents to reduce the risk of transmission of HIV and other blood borne viral infections, including hepatitis C. However, disinfection does not sterilize the syringe and the syringe may still carry infectious organisms after disinfection. Therefore, disinfection should be only used if PWID have no other safe options for preventing transmission. Read the CDC fact sheet on syringe disinfection for injection drug users.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Federal Funding for SSPs Pursuant to 2012 Consolidated Appropriations Act, using federal funds for the distribution of needles or syringes for the hypodermic injection of any illegal drug is prohibited. Specifically, the following activities are no longer permitted with federal funds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human resources used specifically to distribute needles or syringes • Delivery modes, e.g. vehicles or rent for fixed sites used specifically for distributing needles or syringes • Purchases of needles or syringes <p><u>Additional Information – HIV and Injection Drug Use - CDC:</u></p> <p>New HIV Infections</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2010, 8% (3,900) of the estimated 47,500 new HIV infections in the United States were attributed to injection drug use (IDU). • Men accounted for 62% (2,400), and women accounted for 38% (1,500) of all IDU-associated HIV infections in 2010. • In 2010, another 4% (1,600) of all estimated new HIV infections among men were among men who engage in both injection drug use and male-to-male sexual contact. • Blacks/African Americans* accounted for 50% (1,950) of the estimated new HIV infections among people who inject drugs (PWID) in 2010. Whites accounted for 26% (1,020) and Hispanic/Latinos represented 21% (850) of the total. <p>HIV Diagnoses and Deaths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, 7% (3,096) of the estimated 47,352 diagnoses of HIV infection in the United States were attributed to IDU and another 3% (1,270) to male-to-male sexual contact/IDU. • Sixty-three percent (1,942) of the 3,096 HIV diagnoses attributed to IDU in 2013 were among men. Thirty-seven percent (1,154) were among women. • Forty-six percent (1,435) of all diagnoses of HIV infection attributed to IDU in 2013 were among African Americans, 28% (866) were among whites, and 21% (655) were among Hispanics/Latinos. American Indians/Alaska Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians/Other Pacific Islanders, and those of multiple races made up the remaining 5% of HIV diagnoses attributed to IDU in 2013. • Of the total 26,688 AIDS diagnoses in 2013, 10% (2,753) were attributed to IDU and another 4% (1,026) were attributed to male-to-male sexual contact/IDU. • More than one in four (26%, 3,514) of the 13,712 deaths among people with AIDS in 2012 were attributed to IDU and another 8% (1,088) were attributed to male-to-male sexual contact/IDU. • Through 2012, the cumulative total of deaths among people with AIDS attributed to IDU was 186,728 or 28% of the total deaths among people with AIDS (658,507) since the beginning of the epidemic. An additional 50,001 deaths among people with AIDS were attributed to male-to-male sexual contact/IDU, or 8% of the total cumulative deaths. <p>Prevention Challenges The high-risk practice of sharing syringes and other injection equipment is common among PWID. HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles, syringes, or other injection equipment (e.g., cookers, rinse water, cotton) that were used by a person living with HIV. According to a CDC study of cities with high levels of HIV, approximately one-third of PWID reported sharing syringes and more than half reported sharing other injection equipment in the past 12 months.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some states have syringe services programs that provide new needles, syringes, and other injection equipment to reduce the risk of HIV. The North American Syringe Exchange Network has a directory of syringe services programs. If new needles and syringes are not available, cleaning used needles and syringes with bleach may reduce the risk of HIV. <p>What CDC Is Doing CDC and its partners are pursuing a High-Impact Prevention approach to advance the goals of the National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS), maximize the effectiveness of current HIV prevention methods, and improve what we know about the behaviors and risks faced by PWID. For example, CDC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides funding for state and local health departments, including a 5-year, \$339 million prevention initiative to provide HIV prevention services to at-risk populations, including PWID. • Supports intervention programs that deliver services to PWID, such as PROMISE, which helps people move toward safer sex or risk reduction practices. • Supports biomedical approaches to HIV prevention, including the use of pre-exposure prophylaxis medicines (PrEP). In 2013, CDC announced the findings that providing PrEP to PWID who are at very high risk of getting HIV may reduce their risk of contracting HIV. For PWID living with HIV, antiretroviral therapy (ART) can improve health and reduce the risk of transmitting the virus to others. • Publishes guidelines, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Integrated Prevention Services for HIV Infection, Viral Hepatitis, Sexually Transmitted Diseases, and Tuberculosis for Persons Who Use Drugs Illicitly for local and federal agencies, leaders and managers of prevention and treatment services, HIV treatment providers, social service providers, and prevention and treatment support groups. ○ PreExposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States – 2014, a Clinical Practice Guideline for HIV treatment providers that recommends the use of PrEP for people at substantial risk for contracting HIV, including PWID (with the US Public Health Service). • Conducts surveys and HIV testing in cities with high levels of HIV among PWID to determine their risk, testing behaviors, and use of prevention services, and publishes reports to inform HIV prevention planning and evaluation at the local and national levels.

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A26 152387	RESOLUTION URGING THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION TO PROHIBIT THE OPERATION OF UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS WITHIN 1,000 FEET OF THE PERIMETER OF SPECIFIED SEAPORTS, INCLUDING THE DANTE B. FASCELL PORT OF MIAMI, SUBJECT TO EXCEPTIONS FOR USE OF UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, COUNTY OR SEAPORT AUTHORITY PERSONNEL AND CONTRACTORS AT THE REQUEST OF THE SEAPORT DEPARTMENT OR SEAPORT AUTHORITY OR ANY ENTITY WITH THE CONSENT OF THE SEAPORT DEPARTMENT OR SEAPORT AUTHORITY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Federal Aviation Administration to place in the Code of Federal Regulations a provision stating that no person may operate, or cause to be operated, an Unmanned Aircraft or an Unmanned Aircraft System, or any equipment or any part thereof, within 1000 feet of the perimeter of specifically named seaports, including the Dante B. Fascell Port of Miami by name, but with exceptions for law enforcement personnel, seaport employees performing their duties, contractors at the request of a seaport, or other persons with the written permission of a seaport authority or department; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to all Members of the Florida Congressional Delegation, the Secretary of the United States Department of Transportation, and the Director of the Federal Aviation Administration; and • Directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the action set forth and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal Legislative Package to include this item, and to include this item in the 2016 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC. <p>Background: Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), commonly known as drones, are currently readily available for purchase and their use by business, law enforcement agencies, governmental entities and the general public has been rapidly increasing. UASs can create a threat to vessels calling at seaports and a seaport's gantry cranes, including vessels and gantry cranes at the Dante B. Fascell Port of Miami (Port of Miami) and pose a security threat to personnel working at seaports as well as passengers of vessels by making intentional or accidental contact with the radar and communication systems of vessels, gantry cranes, other similar structures and equipment, vessel passengers and crew, or personnel working at the a seaport.</p>
11A27 152412	RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO ENACT THE HIGHER EDUCATION INNOVATION ACT, S. 2111, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION, WHICH WOULD CREATE A VOLUNTARY, ALTERNATIVE SYSTEM OF ACCREDITATION FOR AMERICAN COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges Congress to enact the Higher Education Innovation Act, S. 2111, or similar legislation, which would create a voluntary, alternative system of accreditation for American colleges and universities; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Members of the Florida Congressional Delegation, U.S. Senators Michael Bennet and Marco Rubio, the Senate President, and the House Speaker; and • Directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for action and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal Legislative Package to include this item, and to include this item in the 2016 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC. <p>Background: The Higher Education Innovation Act was introduced to the U.S. Senate on September 30, 2015 by U.S. Senators Michael Bennet (D-CO) and Marco Rubio (R-FL). The proposed bill would create a 5-year pilot program that offers an alternative, outcomes-based process for institutions of higher learning to become eligible for federal financial aid. Students at higher education institutions, including both existing colleges and new providers, that meet certain criteria through this process, would be able to access federal student aid programs. This bill would also allow higher education providers that currently are ineligible to receive federal student aid to access federal financial aid if they demonstrate high student outcomes, including student learning, completion, and returns on investment. Currently, only students attending accredited institutions of higher education can receive federal student aid funds and the process for obtaining such funds can be complex and focused too heavily on inputs and process. This bill would allow new programs, including college and non-college providers, to enter into contracts with the U.S. Department of Education as long as they are generating positive student outcomes under specific identified metrics.</p>
11A28 152533	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE GROUND LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AS LANDLORD, AND CARLISLE DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC (NOW APC NORTHSIDE HOLDINGS, LLC) AS TENANT, FOR NORTHSIDE METRORAIL STATION IN ORDER TO EXTEND CONSTRUCTION DEADLINE OF EACH PHASE AND ENTIRE PROJECT BY ONE YEAR, TO ALLOW TENANT TO EXERCISE FIRST 15-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW, TO ELIMINATE ALLOCATION OF SPECIFIC NUMBER OF UNITS TO EITHER FAMILY OR ELDERLY, TO ACCEPT IMPROVEMENTS FROM TENANT, AND TO MAKE MINOR CORRECTIONS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT AND EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves Amendment No. 1 to the Ground Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County, as Landlord, and Carlisle Development Group, LLC (now APC Northside Holdings, LLC) as tenant, for Northside Metrorail Station in order to extend the construction deadline of each phase and the entire project by one year; • Allows the tenant to exercise first 15-year option-to-renew; • Eliminates allocation of specific number of units to either family or elderly; and • Accepts improvements from tenant. <p>Additionally, the County Mayor or Mayor's designee is directed to provide a copy of Amendment No.1 to the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>Background:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-439-11, adopted on June 7, 2011 (the Northside Resolution), the BCC approved the lease agreement (Lease) between Miami-Dade County and Carlisle Development Group, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, for the lease of the Northside Metrorail Station property, consisting of approximately 6.2 acres, to be utilized for the construction and operation of affordable housing along with incidental retail and/or commercial businesses (Northside Development), at the rental rate of \$2,031,691.36 for a 55 year term, with an option to extend the Lease Agreement term for two separate 15 year periods, and the assignment of the Lease Agreement from Carlisle Development Group LLC to CDG Northside Holdings, LLC. The Lease was further assigned to APC Northside Holdings, LLC (Tenant) and pursuant to the Lease, the Northside Development was to occur over four phases, was to include 438 affordable units, 219 of which would be elderly housing units and 219 of which would be family housing units, and was to be completed within 13 years of the commencement date of the Lease.</p> <p>To date, the Tenant has completed the first phase of the Northside Development however, the Tenant has requested that the County approve certain amendments to the Lease in order to make it more competitive in applying for other funding sources, including State funds, to complete the remaining phases of the Northside Development. In particular, the Tenant has requested that the specific allocation in the Lease as amongst family and elderly housing units be eliminated to provide the flexibility of developing family and/or elderly units based on demand and other funding requirements. Additionally, the Tenant has requested that the deadline for the completion of each phase of the Northside Development be extended by one year so that the entire Northside Development would now be completed within 14, instead of 13, years and that it be permitted to exercise its first option to renew for 15 years.</p> <p>In exchange for the foregoing amendments, as well as for other minor amendments intended to correct ambiguous or erroneous language in the Lease, the Tenant has agreed to make certain additional improvements as part of the Northside Development, which improvements will include the replacement of pavers along a pedestrian walkway leading to the Northside Metrorail Station so as to match and be consistent with those that are on the Northside Development.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On January 15, 2008, as part of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond program (GOB) and in accordance with Resolution No. R-872-08, the Miami-Dade Office of Community and Economic Development, now the Department of Housing and Community Development, advertised and issued Miami-Dade County GOB Multi-Family Affordable Housing Development Program RFP (RFP No. 249), for the development of County-owned properties located at the Northside Metrorail Station and Caribbean Boulevard. Eight proposals were received by or on February 15, 2008. A selection committee was appointed by the County Manager to evaluate and rank the proposals received based upon the scope and criteria set forth in the solicitation. On June 2, 2009, Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners adopted Resolution No. R-678-09, which rejected all proposals received in response to RFP No. 249; waived the competitive bidding requirements and bid protest procedures as required in Sections 2-8.1 and 2-8.4, of the Miami-Dade County Code, Section 4.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter; and, authorized the County Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to conduct competitive negotiations with all of the responsive bidders for the construction of multi-family development projects on each of the two County-owned properties utilizing GOB funds only as “gap” funding.</p> <p>On July 31, 2009, the Department of Housing and Community Development, issued a Notice of Intent to Negotiate (ITN), which requested proposals from the respondents to the original RFP No. 249 to develop the two County-owned properties, and in this instance, the Northside Metrorail Station, utilizing, in part, \$2.5 million of GOB funding in accordance with County Resolution No. R-872-08. Three developers responded to the ITN, all submitting various proposals for the development of the property. A negotiation committee was appointed by the County Manager which ultimately selected Carlisle Development Group, LLC as providing the best overall development for the property. The Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners adopted Resolution R-840-10, approving the selection of Carlisle Development Group, LLC, or its wholly owned subsidiary, as the developer for the Northside Metrorail Station property, to build a multi-family, mixed-income and mixed use affordable rental housing development on the County-owned Northside Metrorail Station property, subject to certain requirements, and waived Section 5.03 (D) of the Home Rule Charter and Sections 2-8.1 and 2-8.4 of the Miami-Dade County code.</p> <p>The Northside Metrorail Station property is part of the Miami-Dade County Metro-rail Fixed-Guideway Rapid MDT System – Development Zone (RTZ), consisting primarily of a station, train guideway, parking lot, and bus bays. The RTZ is a zoning district that was authorized by the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners, by County Ordinance No. 78-74, Chapter 33C of the Miami-Dade County Code, to provide for maximum coordination of transportation and land use policy decisions, to provide maximum opportunities for development to serve as financial assistance to the rapid MDT system, and to provide incentives for joint development projects with the private sector. As both federal transportation and Florida transportation funds were used for the purchase of the property by the County, MDT requested the required approval of the Lease Agreement from both the Federal Transportation Administration (FTA) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) both of which are required for the Lease Agreement to become effective. The affordable housing development was to utilize the existing parking lot area and the area of the bus bays. Two hundred fifty (250) parking spaces were to be replaced by the Tenant, at no cost to the County, for MDT’s exclusive use.</p> <p>The development concept consisted of four residential rental buildings, which together would result in approximately four hundred thirty-eight (438) units of affordable housing. Two of the four buildings will be for families and two buildings will be for elderly persons, all with household incomes less than sixty (60%) percent of the area median income (AMI). Approximately twenty (20%) percent of all of the units will be for residents with incomes at thirty-three (33%) percent or below of the AMI. The development project will also include approximately 20,000 square feet of retail/commercial space, which will primarily be utilized to address the needs of the tenants living in the rental buildings.</p> <p>Development Concept - Residential Rentals</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>While the Tenant is required to build a minimum of 438 total units, each Phase will include a minimum of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase I – A minimum 100 unit multifamily high rise building with 100% of units set aside for tenants earning 60% or less than the Miami-Dade AMI. Completion of construction shall be within forty-eight (48) months from the commencement date of the Lease Agreement. • Phase II – A minimum 100 unit multifamily high rise building with 100% of units set aside for tenants earning 60% or less than the Miami-Dade AMI. Completion of construction shall be within eighty-four (84) months from the commencement date of the Lease Agreement. • Phase III – A minimum 100 unit multifamily high rise building with 100% of units set aside for tenants earning 60% or less than the Miami-Dade AMI. Completion of construction shall be within one hundred twenty (120) months from the commencement date of the Lease Agreement. • Phase IV – A minimum 100 unit multifamily high rise building with 100% of units set aside for tenants earning 60% or less than the Miami-Dade AMI. Completion of construction shall be within one hundred fifty-six (156) months from the commencement date of the Lease Agreement. <p>The projected construction schedule was subject, in part, to available Low-Income Housing Tax Credit funding, which is competitively applied for, and awarded by the Florida Housing Finance Corporation. The Tenant has forty-eight months from the Commencement of the Lease Agreement to complete the first phase of the development. Due to these very uncertain times regarding the financing markets for affordable housing projects, the County and the Tenant have agreed upon the time frames in the Development Concept of a three (3) year time period for the Tenant to secure the necessary tax credits for each phase of the project, after the first phase. Further, in order to protect the County from the Tenant’s possible non-performance, and in addition to required bonding for the completion of each phase of construction, if any phase is not completed according to the schedule shown above, the Tenant will be considered in default, and the County has the option of either granting the Tenant additional time to complete the phase/project, or to exercise its rights of reversion on any of the undeveloped portion(s) of the property. In addition, the Lease Agreement contains affordable housing restrictions which require the units to remain affordable based on the terms and conditions of the tax credit financing, and for a minimum of a fifty (50) years. Due to the fact that the units will be ready for occupancy sometime after the commencement of the Lease Agreement, the Term of the County’s Lease Agreement is for a minimum of fifty-five (55) years.</p> <p>Parking At completion, a total of 848 parking spaces would be available on the property, with 250 parking spaces reserved or otherwise dedicated for MDT daily riders, and 598 parking spaces for residents. With each phase of the project, the Tenant was to include a structured parking garage which would accommodate all of the required parking for the residential units as prescribed by the building code plus a minimum of sixty-two (62) additional spaces to be allocated to and for the County’s exclusive use, primarily for daily MDT ridership, without cost to the County. All County parking in the garage(s) were to be located closest to the Metrorail Station, and all of the parking spaces were to be contiguous to one another. The County will always have control of all of the two hundred fifty (250) parking spaces set-aside for the County, and the County can charge a parking fee to MDT users without any payment or remuneration to the Tenant. The County will be responsible for cost and expenses associated with maintenance of the parking spaces.</p>
11A29 152238	RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 2-12 RELATING TO THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY DIVERSION PROGRAM; REVISING OFFENSES ELIGIBLE FOR THE DIVERSION PROGRAM TO INCLUDE SALE, OFFER FOR SALE, PURCHASE WITH INTENT TO SELL OR PUBLIC DISPLAY FOR SALE OF SYNTHETIC CANNABINOID HERBAL INCENSE AND BATH SALTS AND OTHER SYNTHETIC STIMULANTS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 21-22 AND 21-22.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; APPROVES AND ADOPTS IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 2-12 AS AMENDED AND AUTHORIZES THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 2-12 AS AMENDED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends Implementing Order No. 2-12, to revise the offenses eligible for the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program to include sale, offer for sale, purchase with intent to sell or public display for sale of synthetic cannabinoid herbal incense and bath salts and other synthetic stimulants prohibited by sections 21-22 and 21-22.1 of the Code. Additionally, the proposed resolution approves and adopts Implementing Order No. 2-12 as amended and authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to execute Implementing Order No. 2-12 as amended.</p> <p><i>Implementing Order 2-12 sets forth the guidelines and parameters of the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, but currently does not include reference to sale, offer for sale, purchase with intent to sell or public display for sale of synthetic cannabinoid herbal incense and synthetic stimulant bath salts, synthetic cathinones, synthetic amphetamines and other synthetic stimulants that mimic illegal drugs as prohibited by sections 21-22 and 21-22.1 of the Code.</i></p> <p>Background: On July 3, 2012, the BCC enacted Ordinance No. 12-44, which created section 21-22 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to prohibit the sale, offer for sale, and purchase with intent to sell or public display for sale of synthetic cannabinoid herbal incense. Also on July 3, 2012, the BCC enacted Ordinance No. 12-45, which created section 21-22.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to prohibit the sale, offer for sale, purchase with intent to sell or public display for sale of prohibited synthetic stimulant bath salts, synthetic cathinones, synthetic amphetamines and other synthetic stimulants that mimic illegal drugs.</p> <p>Ordinance No. 12-44 and Ordinance No. 12-45 impose penalties of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A fine not to exceed \$500.00; • Imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed 60 days; • Both a fine and imprisonment; • Fines in accordance with Chapter 8CC of the Code of Miami-Dade County; or

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program. <p><u>Additional Information - Government Efforts to Ban Synthetic Drug Products:</u> Congress has taken steps to ban many of these substances at the Federal level, and the Administration has supported such efforts. The Synthetic Drug Abuse Prevention Act is part of the FDA Safety and Innovation Act of 2012, signed into law by President Obama. The law permanently places 26 types of synthetic cannabinoids and cathinones into Schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA). It also doubled the maximum period of time that the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) can administratively schedule substances under its emergency scheduling authority, from 18 to 36 months.</p> <p>The Controlled Substance Analogue Enforcement Act of 1986 allows many synthetic drugs to be treated as controlled substances if they are proven to be chemically and/or pharmacologically similar to a Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance.</p> <p>In 2011, DEA exercised its emergency scheduling authority to control five types of synthetic cannabinoids, and three of the synthetic substances used to manufacture synthetic cathinones. In 2012, all but one of these substances were permanently designated as Schedule I substances under the Synthetic Drug Abuse Prevention Act, and the remaining substance was permanently placed into Schedule I by DEA regulation.</p> <p>On April 12, 2013, DEA used its emergency scheduling authority to schedule three more types of synthetic cannabinoids, temporarily designating them as Schedule I substances.</p> <p>At least 43 states have taken action to control one or more synthetic cannabinoids. Prior to 2010, synthetic cannabinoids were not controlled by any State or at the Federal level. In addition, at least 44 states have taken action to control one or more synthetic cathinones.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Notes from the Field: Increase in Reported Adverse Health Effects Related to Synthetic Cannabinoid Use — United States, January–May 2015:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On April 6, 2015, CDC received notification of an increase in telephone calls to U.S. poison centers related to synthetic cannabinoid use. Monthly calls to all poison centers are tracked by the National Poison Data System, which reported that adverse health effects or concerns about possible adverse health effects related to synthetic cannabinoid use increased 330% from 349 in January 2015 to 1,501 in April 2015.</i> • <i>The CDC analyzed information from the National Poison Data System on reported adverse health effects related to synthetic cannabinoid use for the period January–May 2015. During the 2015 study period, poison centers reported 3,572 calls related to synthetic cannabinoid use, a 229% increase from the 1,085 calls during the same January–May period in 2014. Most calls concerned use among males (2,882 [80.7%]). Among 3,442 (96.4%) calls where age of the user was recorded, the median age was 26 years (range = 7 months–72 years).</i> • <i>The increasing number of synthetic cannabinoid variants available, higher toxicity of new variants, and the potentially increased use as indicated by calls to poison centers might suggest that synthetic cannabinoids pose an emerging public health threat. Multiple other recent outbreaks suggest a need for greater public health surveillance and awareness, targeted public health messaging, and enhanced efforts to remove these products from the market.</i>
11A30 152261	RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-1131-14 REGARDING COUNTY POLICY TO FUND THE ORANGE BOWL COMMITTEE, INC. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THAT THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF COUNTY CASH AND IN-KIND SUPPORT FOR FY 2015-16, 2016-17, AND 2017-18 SHALL NOT EXCEED \$1,095,000.00; SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION BY THE BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends Resolution No. R-1131-14 in order to provide funding to the Orange Bowl Committee, Inc. for fiscal years 2015-16 through fiscal year 2017-2018, in a total amount not to exceed \$1,095,000.00, to be funded in the amount of \$720,000.00 in cash from the Countywide General Fund and \$375,000.00 of game and related event in-kind services from the Miami-Dade County Police Department (MDPD) and the Miami-Dade County Fire Department (MDFR), subject to annual appropriation by the BCC.</p> <p>If appropriated, the funds will be disbursed in the amount of \$350,000.00 in cash and up to \$125,000.00 in game and event related in-kind services from MDPD and MDFR for the College Football Championship Semi-Final Game in fiscal year 2015-2016 and \$185,000.00 in cash and up to \$125,000.00 in game and event related in-kind services from MDPD and MDFR in each of fiscal years 2016-17, and 2017-18, and there is no amendment to the amount of funds to be distributed in fiscal year 2014-15.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On December 16, 2014, the BCC approved as a policy of the Board, pursuant to Resolution No. R-1131-14 (Funding Resolution), the funding of The Orange Bowl Committee, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$1,350,000.00 for the 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 fiscal years in order to support its efforts to participate in the College Football Playoff system. Specifically, the BCC approved the funding of The Orange Bowl Committee, Inc. for fiscal year 2014-15 in the amount of \$100,000.00 in cash from the Countywide General Fund and up to \$150,000.00 in game and event-related in-kind services from the MDPD and the MDFR.</p> <p>Further, subject to the annual appropriation the BCC approved the funding of The Orange Bowl Committee, Inc. for fiscal year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2015-16, in the amount of \$350,000.00 in cash and up to \$250,000.00 in game and event related in-kind services from MDPD and MDFR for the 2015 College Football Semifinal Game; • 2016-17, in the amount of \$100,000.00 in cash and up to \$150,000.00 in game and event related in-kind services from MDPD and MDFR; and

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2017-18, in the amount of \$100,000.00 in cash and up to \$150,000.00 in game and event related in-kind services from MDPD and MDRFR. <p>The Funding Resolution is consistent with the BCC's longstanding policy to provide financial support to the Orange Bowl and its selection into the previous Bowl Championship Series that awarded our community four College Football Championship games over the past 13 years, and the Orange Bowl's selection in the new College Football Championship System as one of only six Bowl games to host four College Football Semifinal Games over the next 12 years.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Discussion on R-1131-14:</u> During the BCC meeting on December 16, 2014, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission requested that the sponsor of the event be asked and encouraged to have both teams prioritize Miami-Dade County in their selection of hotels and when hosting the activities of both teams.</i> • <i>The Commission advised that, for the past 13 years, the County had contributed to the Bowl Championship Series (BCS) process; and the reason for bringing this item before the Board was because it had changed.</i> • <i>The Commission summarized the amount of funding the County was contributing toward this event, and the \$3.5 million funding request was an additional amount. She asked that, due to the large contribution of the County, if there was something that could be done to encourage the sponsor of the event to consider Miami-Dade County as the main area for the teams' events.</i> • <i>Pursuant to the Commissioner's question regarding funding sources for this event, the Budget Director for the Office of Management and Budget, advised this event was not an eligible expense for Convention Development Tax (CDT) and Tourist Development Tax (TDT) funding; therefore, it had traditionally been funded by the General Fund. She advised it had been included in the budget as approved.</i> • <i>The Commission asked that Broward County officials to submit to Miami-Dade County a report on the amount and type of contributions that Broward County would be contributing to the Orange Bowl Committee to support the College Football Playoff event by the Board of County Commissioners' meeting scheduled for January 21, 2015.</i> • <i>Pursuant to the Commission's question, the Budget Director advised that funding allocation was part of the budget as originally adopted. The Commission requested that the County Attorney prepare legislation urging the State of Florida to share revenues received from events funded by the County from which they benefited and that the County be reimbursed in excess of the amount contributed towards that event. The Commission also asked that the County administration review how to quantify the revenues generated by County funded events and how to require from the entities requesting funding that the revenues generated by the funded events be shared with the County. She also requested that the analysis include how the State of Florida benefited from County funded events in order to require that the State reimburse the County a portion of the revenues generated by these events and that the County administrations develop a formula to determine how those revenues would be shared with the County.</i> • <i>The Commission advised that the intent of the directive to quantify the revenues generated by County sponsored events was to show the community that using tax payers' dollars would bring into the community additional revenues to help offset the costs of living for the residents of Miami-Dade County.</i> • <i>The Commission reminded the members of the Board it was important to support and help the Dade Delegation to ensure their success in having funds allocated to Miami-Dade County. She advised that this County was a donor community in terms of State revenues and noted representatives from other parts of the State fought very hard to secure funding for their represented areas, and the County needed to fight harder to bring additional funding. She advised that the Board needed to ensure our Dade Delegation was held accountable for bringing into Miami-Dade County funding.</i> <p><u>Additional Information- Prior Funding:</u> On February 21, 2006, the BCC, through R-220-06, approved a multi-year funding strategy to support the Orange Bowl Committee's efforts to retain Bowl Championship Series (BCS) games in Miami-Dade County. The four year funding commitment beginning in FY 2006-07 through FY 2009-10 totals \$950,000 in cash, plus \$100,000 per game event of Miami-Dade Police Department and the Fire Department services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>During consideration of R-220-06, the BCC requested a report identifying the total amount of contributions made to the Orange Bowl Committee from individuals/organizations outside Miami-Dade County. A report was provided by the County Manager on February 21, 2006 reflecting FY2003-04 through FY 2005-06, Broward County contributed a total of \$360,500.00 to the Orange Bowl Committee and the Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau contributed a total of \$55,000.00 for FY 2004-05 and FY 2005-06.</i> <p>On July 7, 2011, the BCC, through R-562-11, established County policy to fund the Orange Bowl Committee in an amount not to exceed \$1.2 million for the next three prospective fiscal years in support of its efforts to continue membership in the College Football Bowl Championship Series as follows: FY 2011-12, \$150,000 in cash from the Countywide General Fund; and up to \$100,000 in in-kind services from MDPD and MDRFR; In FY 2012-13, \$500,000 in cash from the Countywide General Fund; and up to \$200,000 in in-kind services from MDPD and MDRFR; In FY 2013-14, \$150,000 in cash from the Countywide General Fund; and up to \$100,000 in in-kind services from MDPD and MDRFR.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>During consideration of R-562-11, the BCC questioned whether the Orange Bowl Committee received funding from Broward County and whether Orange Bowl was becoming more of a Broward County event. It was stated that the Orange Bowl Committee received contributions from the City of Hollywood and from Convention and Visitors Bureau. A request was made to provide data on hotels in Miami-Dade or Broward County that accommodated teams competing in Orange Bowl games; and data on activities and other expenditures from Orange Bowl funds. It was noted that the Orange Bowl game and the Orange Bowl Parade in Downtown Miami was previously considered a Miami-Dade County event; however, the Orange Bowl expanded into a regional</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>activity after the game was moved to Sun Life Stadium, close to the Broward County line and Miami-Dade County youth and schools benefited through the activities associated with Orange Bowl events.</i></p> <p><u>Additional information- College Football Championships:</u> On June 17, 2014, the BCC, through R-560-14, authorized the Mayor to execute a Performance Based Marquee Event Grant Agreement with Miami Dolphins, Ltd. (the Dolphins) and its affiliate, South Florida Stadium, LLC to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incentivize, on a performance basis, the attraction of major tourist generating sporting events to Sun Life Stadium such as the Super Bowl, College Football Championships, etc.; and • Ensure that the Dolphins continue to play in Miami-Dade County for the next 30 years. • The grants are capped to a maximum \$5 million per year and payable solely from certain Convention Development Tax (CDT) funds. There is no impact to the County's General Fund.
11A31 152140	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CREATE A MIAMI-DADE TV PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN THE ART AND PUBLIC PLACES PROGRAM AND TO REPORT BACK TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION ON SUCH PROGRAM, ON ANY NON-DE MINIMIS COSTS NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT SAME AND, TO THE EXTENT ANY NON-DE MINIMIS COSTS EXIST, ON A FUNDING SOURCE TO FUND SUCH COSTS, AND ON A TIMEFRAME FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH PROGRAM</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to create a Miami-Dade TV program (AIPP TV Show) to highlight and showcase Miami-Dade County's accomplishments via the AIPP Program and to report back to the BCC within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution on the development of the AIPP TV Show, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any non-de minimis costs necessary to implement the AIPP TV Show; • To the extent that any non-de minimis costs exist, a funding source to fund such costs; and • A timeframe for implementation of the AIPP TV Show. <p>Pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, the County Mayor or Mayor's designee will place the completed report on an agenda of the full Board.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Over forty years ago, in 1973, the BCC, pursuant to Section 2-11.15 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, as implemented through Administrative Order 3-11, established one of the first public art programs in the country by requiring that 1.5 percent of the construction cost of new public buildings be allocated towards the Art-In-Public-Places Program (AIPP Program) in order to undertake art installations in public places throughout Miami-Dade County. The AIPP Program was developed to enrich the public environment by transforming public spaces from ordinary civic areas into sites that can lift the spirit and connect with the community, to preserve and enhance the artistic and civic pride of Miami-Dade County, and to expose residents and visitors alike to art installations. Since its inception, the AIPP Program has acquired or commissioned over 650 works of art and gained international recognition as a leader in its field.</p> <p>Artworks are installed countywide at diverse sites including Miami International Airport, Metrorail and Metromover stations, PortMiami, Zoo Miami, Adrienne Arsht Center for the Performing Arts, parks, fire stations, libraries, police stations, public housing developments, courthouses and community health centers.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Mayoral Report on Art in Public Places Annual Report for FY 2014:</u> The Department of Cultural Affairs prepared an annual report for FY 2014 and provided an overview of the accomplishments continuing to be made with the Art in Public Places (APP) program since it was transferred to the Department in FY 2007-2008. Under the Department's leadership, the APP program continues to focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using the clear policies and reliable procedures it has established to administer the program; • Implementing systems to account and care for the prestigious collection of more than 700 art works; and • Making architectural elements that are integral to public buildings better through the collaboration of artists. <p><u>Recognition for the Program</u> Miami-Dade County APP received national recognition during the annual Americans for the Arts Conference, held in Nashville, TN, June 11-15, 2014. Miami-Dade APP was recognized as commissioning some of the most innovative and exciting examples of public art in America.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miami New Times named Bhakti Baxter's Coral Reef City as "Best Public Art Miami 2014." • Artist Ivan Toth Depena received a Knight Art Challenge Grant to launch the Nation's First augmented reality public art program. <p><u>Using Clear Policies and Reliable Systems to Administer the Program</u> The Department of Cultural Affairs continues its program to orient and train fellow County departments and municipalities on the applicability and opportunities of the APP program. In addition to establishing systems and methods that ensure APP requirements are applied and adhered to uniformly, the Department has emphasized the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public art is a value-added strategy to improve the quality of departments' and municipalities' capital projects; • Successful collaborations with other departments and municipalities rely on identifying opportunities for artworks early in the design phase of their projects and engaging departments and municipalities in the artist selection process; and • Art projects are developed collaboratively with representative from the "client" department or municipality so that they are meaningful and relevant to their particular services and needs. <p><u>Prioritizing Accountability and Care of the Collection</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
November 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Fifteen percent of the 1.5 percent generated by all new public art allocations is being dedicated to a repair and conservation fund that is being used for specialized tasks required to restore and/or repair works of art in the public art collection. These funds will be replenished on an ongoing basis with proceeds from new commissions. APP staff is implementing several projects related to the care and preservation of the collection. In addition, the County is committed to ensuring that accountability of the public art collection by using the latest technology for its inventory, oversight and public access.</p> <p>Enhancing Capital Projects with Public Art The Department is currently coordinating the development and implementation of more than 30 new and existing public art projects. APP staff is working collaboratively with other departments and municipalities to ensure clear, consistent and regular communication and coordination for each stage of the work, including planning, architectural and engineering design and fabrication/installation of each project.</p>