



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

February 2, 2016
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
4A 160087	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW HIGHER LEVEL CONTRACTORS TO COMPETE FOR LOWER LEVEL SET-ASIDE CONTRACTS WHEN UNAVAILABILITY EXISTS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program, amends Section 10-33.02 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow for higher level contractors to compete for lower level set-aside contracts when unavailability exists.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3; text-align: center;">Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i></p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	<p>Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i></p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.
Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
<p>Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i></p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00. 								
4B 160137	ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE LXXX, SECTION 2-1102 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONCERNING THE MIAMI-DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP; REVISING MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES OF AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY REQUIRING 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; WAIVING QUALIFIED ELECTOR REQUIREMENT FOR THE 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR CRIMINAL BACKGROUND SCREENING BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED BY THE MIAMI-DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP FOR APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR; EXCLUDING AD HOC COMMITTEES AND WORKGROUPS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-1102(G) (1) AND (2) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO									

Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	DEMOGRAPHICS AND PARITY; DELETING REQUIREMENT THAT PARTNERSHIP MEMBERS' TERMS SHALL END CONCURRENTLY WITH THE LAST DAY OF THE COUNTY'S FISCAL YEAR; CORRECTING SCRIVENER'S ERRORS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Article LXXX, Section 2-1102 of the Miami-Dade County Code concerning the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership; • Revises membership requirements for the representative seats for member representatives of affected communities by requiring 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; • Waives qualified elector requirement for the 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; • Establishes guidelines for criminal background screening by the County Mayor of the County Mayor's designee of candidates recommended by the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership for appointment by the County Mayor; • Excludes ad hoc committees and workgroups from the requirements of Section 2-1102(G)(1) and (2) of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to demographics and parity; and • Deletes requirements that Partnership members' terms will end concurrently with the last day of the County's fiscal year.
4C 160091	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-19.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO DISPLAY OF VEHICLES AND BOATS FOR SALE ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY; AMENDING CHAPTER 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-19.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code pertaining to the display of vehicles and boats for sale on residential property. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends Chapter 8CC-10 of the Miami-Dade County Code pertaining to penalties.</p> <p>Background: Florida Statute Section 320.27 requires that "motor vehicle dealers" obtain a license to engage in the business of buying, selling or dealing in motor vehicles or offering to display motor vehicles for sale at wholesale or retail, and further provides that any person that buys, sells, deals, or offers or displays for sale three or more motor vehicles in any 12-month period will be presumed to be engaged in the business as a motor vehicle dealer. Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 33-19.1 already limits the display for sale to no more than one vehicle at a time on property in a residential district, and no more than two vehicles for display for sale at such property for any one calendar year. Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 33-19.1 also provides that the display of a vehicle or boat for sale will only be allowed at the property of the registered owner of the vehicle or boat.</p>
4D 160136	ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 30A OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CREATING SECTION 30A-158 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENT FOR EXECUTED COMMUNITY BENEFITS AGREEMENTS AS A PREREQUISITE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY FUNDS FOR CERTAIN DEVELOPMENTS UNLESS EXEMPT; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS, REQUIREMENT FOR COMMUNITY BENEFITS, EXEMPTIONS, PENALTIES, AND ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Chapter 30A of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Creates Section 30A-158 of the Miami-Dade County Code; and • Establishes requirements for executed Community Benefits Agreements as a prerequisite for the distribution of county funds for certain developments unless exempt. <p>Background: Miami-Dade County has subsidized significant projects which impact its local communities. Community Benefit Agreements (CBAs) are contracts between developers and community organizations which can address a broad range of community needs and are safeguards to ensure that affected residents share in the benefits of major developments. CBAs allow community groups to have a voice in shaping and mitigating the impact that a project has on the surrounding community and require developers to provide the impacted community with certain negotiated benefits.</p>
5A 152985	RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 332 - "HIALEAH HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMING ARTS CENTER" TO REDUCE ALLOCATION BY \$2,340,486.00 FOR A TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION OF \$5,531,800.00 AND PROJECT NO. 332.1 - "CULTURAL HERITAGE, EDUCATION AND PERFORMING ARTS PARK" TO CHANGE THE PROJECT NAME TO "GARDEN OF THE ARTS" AND TO INCREASE ALLOCATION BY \$1,585,000.00 FOR A TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION OF \$2,785,000.00 AND ADDITION OF NEW PROJECT NO. 355 - "JOHN F. KENNEDY LIBRARY" TO BE FUNDED WITH \$755,486.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 332, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-919-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following, after a public hearing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves a significant modification of Bond Program Project No. 332- "Hialeah High School Performing Arts Center" reducing its allocation by \$2,340,486.00 from \$7,872,286.00 to \$5,531,800.00; • Approves a significant modification of Bond Program Project No. 332.1- "Cultural Heritage, Education and Performing Arts Park" in order to change the project name to "Garden of the Arts" and to increase its allocation by \$1, 585,000 from \$1,200,000.00 to \$2,785,000; and • Waives the provisions of IO 3-47 as it relates to adding new projects to the Bond Program using surplus funds; and • Approves the addition of new Project No. 355 - "John F. Kennedy Library" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Project No. 355 will have a municipal project location of "Hialeah", to be located in Commission District 13, a street address of "190 W. 49th Street, Hialeah, Fl. 33012", an allocation of \$755,486.00, and a project description that provides: "Funds will be used for capital costs to improve the John F. Kennedy Library."</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-578-145, approving significant modifications to the following for Building Better Communities-General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program Projects in Appendix A to the Cultural Facilities Resolution No. R-919-04:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project No. 332 – Hialeah High School Performing Arts Center reducing its allocation by \$927,714 from \$8,800,000 to \$7,872,286; and • Project No. 302 – Hialeah – Reconstruct Milander Auditorium increasing its allocation by \$927,714 from \$6,346,000 to \$7,273,714. <p>The City of Hialeah has completed Project No. 332 and \$3,268,200 of the remaining \$8,800,000 allocation are surplus funds (as such term is defined in Implementing Order 3-47 (IO 3-47) and BBC GOB Project No. 302 – Hialeah- Reconstruct Milander Auditorium with an original allocation of \$6,346,000 has a budget shortfall (as such term is defined in IO 3-47) of \$927,714. The City of Hialeah has requested to use \$927,714 of surplus funds from Project No. 332 to complete Project No. 302.</p>
5B 152987	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 90 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - COUNTRY VILLAGE PARK" TO REDUCE ALLOCATION BY \$80,300.00 FOR A NEW TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION OF \$1,497,700.00 AND ADDITION OF NEW PROJECT NO. 357 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - ACADIA PARK" WITH AN ALLOCATION OF \$80,300.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 90, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-913-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves, after a public hearing, the significant modification of Project No. 90 in Appendix A to the Parks Resolution to reduce its original allocation by \$80,300.00 from \$1,578,000.00 to \$1,497,700.00; • Declares \$80,300.00 as surplus funds and waives the requirements of IO 3-47 regarding the addition of new projects to the Bond Program using surplus funds, including the requirement that surplus funds may fund new projects only after all projects have been completed or necessary funding for completion of all projects has been identified; and • Approves, after a public hearing, the addition of Project No. 357 titled "Unincorporated Municipal Service Area – Acadia Park" to Appendix A to the Parks Resolution. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Project No. 357 will have a municipal project location of "UMSA", be located in Commission District 1, have a project description that provides "Local park improvements include construction of shade structure over park playground", a street address of "5351 NW 195th Drive," and an allocation equal to \$80,300.00.</i> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-913-04 (Parks Resolution), the voters of Miami-Dade County approved the issuance of general obligation bonds in a principal amount not to exceed \$680,258,000.00 to construct and improve neighborhood and regional parks and other recreational areas to include athletic fields and gymnasiums, courts, pools, playgrounds, marinas, restore beaches, and the preservation of endangered lands.</p> <p>One of the projects listed in Appendix A to the Parks Resolution is Project No. 90 – "Unincorporated Municipal Service Area – Country Village Park" in County Commission District 1, a project description that provides "Local park improvements include athletic fields and courts and pedestrian circulation," and an original allocation of \$1,578,000.00.</p> <p>The Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department intends to construct a shade structure over the park playground at Acadia Park, located at 5351 NW 195th Drive in Commission District 1 and at the time the Parks Resolution was adopted, the Parks Department did not have a defined scope of work for Acadia Park. Since 2004, a need has developed for local park improvements at Acadia Park, including the construction of a shade structure over the park playground. This item was considered by the Bond Program Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting on November 6, 2015 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>
5C 152986	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 119 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - LOCAL PARK IMPROVEMENTS" TO REDUCE ALLOCATION OF \$2,665,000.00 BY \$500,000.00, AND ADDITION OF PROJECT NO. 358 - "SW 144TH AVENUE LINEAR GREENWAY" WITH AN ALLOCATION OF \$500,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 119, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-913-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS USING SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves, after a public hearing, the significant modification of Project No. 119 in Appendix A to the Parks Resolution to reduce its current allocation by \$500,000.00 from \$2,665,000.00 to \$2,165,000.00; • Declares \$500,000.00 as surplus funds and waives the requirements of IO 3-47 regarding the addition of new projects to the Bond Program using surplus funds, including the requirement that surplus funds may fund new projects only after all projects have been completed or necessary funding for completion of all projects has been identified to the satisfaction of the BCC; and • Approves, after a public hearing, the addition of Project No. 358 titled "SW 144th Avenue Linear Greenway" to Appendix A to the Parks Resolution. Project No. 358 will have a municipal project location of "UMSA", be located in Commission District 11, have a project description that provides "Development of linear greenway for passive park purposes", a street address of "SW 144th Avenue between Bird Road/SW 42nd Street and SW 9th Street" and an allocation equal to \$500,000.00. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-913-04 (Parks Resolution), the voters of Miami-Dade County approved the issuance of general obligation bonds in a principal amount not to exceed \$680,258,000.00 to construct and improve neighborhood and regional parks and other recreational</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>areas to include athletic fields and gymnasiums, courts, pools, playgrounds, marinas, restore beaches, and the preservation of endangered lands.</p> <p>One of the projects listed in Appendix A to the Parks Resolution is Project No. 119 – “Unincorporated Municipal Service Area - Local Park Improvements” in Commission District 11, a project description that provides “Local park improvements to existing local parks include renovation, and upgrades” (Project No. 119) and an original allocation of \$3,500,000.00. The original allocation to Project No. 119 was reduced to \$2,665,000.00 pursuant to Resolution No. R-959-14, which allocated \$835,000.00 to new Project No. 119.1 titled “SW 157th Avenue Linear Greenway” and the County is developing for local, passive park use public lands in Commission District 11 along SW 144th Avenue between Bird Road / SW 42nd Street and SW 9th Street, as a greenway which is preliminarily known as the SW 144th Avenue Linear Greenway. At the time the Parks Resolution was adopted, the Parks Department did not have a defined scope of work for the local parks within Commission District 11. Since 2004, a need for developed and improved greenways to be used for passive park purposes has been identified in District 11, including a greenway along SW 144th Avenue between Bird Road /SW 42nd Street and SW 9th Street.</p> <p>This item was considered by the Bond Program’s Citizens’ Advisory Committee at its meeting of November 6, 2015 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>
5D 152923	<p>ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE FROM TIME TO TIME OF NOT EXCEEDING \$200,000,000.00 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AVIATION COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES OUTSTANDING AT ANY ONE TIME FOR PURPOSE OF PAYING OR REIMBURSING AVIATION DEPARTMENT, TOGETHER WITH OTHER AVAILABLE FUNDS AND REVENUE, FOR COST OF CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS TO COUNTY’S AIRPORT FACILITIES PURSUANT TO PROVISIONS OF AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT, INCLUDING SECTION 212A THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR ESTABLISHMENT BY SUBSEQUENT RESOLUTION OF TERMS, MATURITIES, INTEREST RATES AND OTHER DETAILS OF SUCH NOTES; PROVIDING FOR SUCH NOTES TO BE PAYABLE FROM THE PROCEEDS OF AVIATION REVENUE BONDS AUTHORIZED AND UNISSUED; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the issuance of not to exceed \$200 million at any one time in one or more series of Aviation Commercial Paper Notes (Notes), for the purpose of providing temporary financing for a portion of the cost of the Aviation Department’s (Department) Capital Improvement Program (Capital Projects), which is scheduled to be initiated during fiscal year 2016. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>These expenditures are needed to meet current and forecasted Department capital intensive demands at Miami International Airport and the general aviation airports.</i> • Authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to direct First Southwest, the County’s enterprise segment financial advisor, to obtain proposals through requests for proposals or any other competitive process deemed appropriate for the selection of commercial paper dealer(s), issuing and paying agent(s) and letter of credit provider(s) in connection with the Notes. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The County will negotiate a letter of credit and reimbursement agreements with the commercial paper dealer(s) and paying agent(s) and present those terms to the Board for approval and adoption by a subsequent resolution. The County will also negotiate remarketing agreements with the commercial paper dealer(s) and paying agent agreement with the paying agent.</i> <p>The implementation of a Commercial Paper Program by issuing Notes for the Aviation Department (Department) will provide a means of temporary financing to fund a portion of the Department’s Capital Projects. Once the full \$200 million of the Commercial Paper Program has been issued, the County anticipates to take-out the Notes with long-term, fixed rate bonds, thereby allowing additional Notes to be issued. The impact of the Commercial Paper Program is countywide.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The Ordinance authorizes the issuance of Notes to be paid from the proceeds of future Aviation revenue bonds. Interest on the Notes will be paid from bond proceeds or unencumbered funds on deposit in the Department’s Capital Improvement Fund or with proceeds from the Notes. The principal and interest from the bonds used to take out the Notes will be paid from revenues of the Department pursuant to the Department’s Amended and Restated Trust Agreement.</p> <p>The Commercial Paper Program enables the Department to have immediate access to capital funds at short-term interest rates. This program will expedite the Capital Projects, which may lead to savings by taking advantage of historically low short-term rates and favorable construction prices. The Notes will be structured as a tax-exempt commercial paper program and will be issued in anticipation of the issuance of bonds.</p> <p>To fund the Department’s Capital Improvement Program, the County, pursuant to Ordinance No. 08-121, has authorized \$1.9 billion in Airport Revenue Bonds, of which \$1.618 billion have been issued.</p> <p>Creating a Commercial Paper Program will allow the Department to respond quickly to the pace of construction and changing financial market conditions, ultimately allowing more construction to take place while prices are favorable and minimizing the cost of financing.</p>
7A 152167	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS; CREATING SECTION 18-3.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE FOR SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS UNDER THE CONTROL OF GOVERNING BODIES OF MUNICIPALITIES UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AN EFFECTIVE DATE SUBJECT TO REFERENDUM, AND A SUNSET DATE SUBJECT TO DEFEAT OR REFERENDUM [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151565] (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 11A4)</p>
11A1 151495	<p>RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GENERAL ELECTION ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2016, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF MIAMI- DADE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TO AMEND THE CHARTER TO ALLOW THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO PROVIDE, BY ORDINANCE, THAT</p>

Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
11A20 160139	<p>THE GOVERNING BODY OF A SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICT LOCATED ENTIRELY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF A MUNICIPALITY BE THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE MUNICIPALITY RATHER THAN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AS IS CURRENTLY REQUIRED BY THE CHARTER (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 7A)</p> <p>RESOLUTION CALLING SPECIAL ELECTION IN PROPOSED SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO QUALIFIED ELECTORS RESIDING IN RIVERWOOD STREET LIGHTING SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT, FOR THEIR APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL, ORDINANCE NO. 16-1 CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SAID DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-3(F) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA</p>
Notes	<p>7A – 152167:</p> <p>The proposed ordinance, relating to Special Taxing Districts, creates Section 18.3-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code and provides for Special Taxing Districts under the control of governing bodies of municipalities under certain circumstances. The County and municipality, by joint resolutions of the BCC and the governing body of the municipality, designate the governing body of a new or existing Special Taxing District created in accordance with all provisions of the article and wholly within the boundaries of such municipality. Any such resolution designating the municipality as the governing body of an existing Special Taxing District will set a date for the transfer of control of the Special Taxing District and will provide that the governing body of such municipality will be responsible for all pre-existing and future liabilities of such taxing district, whether known or unknown, and for the protection of any creditors of such Special Taxing Districts as of the date of the transfer of control.</p> <p>Background:</p> <p>The County and municipality, by joint resolutions of the BCC and governing body of the municipality, designate the governing body of a new or existing Special Taxing District created in accordance with all provisions of the article and wholly within the boundaries of such municipality. Any such resolution designating the municipality as the governing body of an existing Special Taxing District will set a date for the transfer of control of the Special Taxing District and will provide that the governing body of such municipality will be responsible for all pre-existing and future liabilities of such taxing district, whether known or unknown, and for the protection of any creditors of such Special Taxing Districts as of the date of the transfer of control.</p> <p>There are currently 203 Special Taxing Districts that are located within such municipalities. Should any districts be transferred to municipalities, the revenues supporting the function, as well as the expense, would no longer be part of the County’s budget. The positions supporting this function would be eliminated from the County’s table of organization and staff currently supporting this function would be reassigned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sec. 18-3.1 – Municipal Special Taxing Districts. <i>Pursuant to Section 1.01(A)(11) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter, the County and a municipality may, by joint resolutions of the Board and the governing body of the municipality: (1) designate the governing body of such municipality as the governing body of a new special taxing district created in accordance with the other provisions of this article and located wholly within the boundaries of such municipality; or, (2) designate the governing body of a municipality as the governing body of any existing special taxing district located wholly within the boundaries of such municipality. Any resolution designating the governing body of a municipality as the governing body of an existing special taxing district shall set forth a date for the transfer of control of the special taxing district and shall provide that the governing body of such municipality shall be responsible for all pre-existing and future liabilities of such taxing district, whether known or unknown, and for the protection of any creditors of such special taxing district as of the date of the transfer of control of such special taxing district.</i></p> <p>The provisions of this ordinance will become effective upon both:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The passage of ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, upon an override by the BCC; and • The passage of a referendum amending the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter to be held in conjunction with the General Election currently scheduled for November 8, 2016. <p>This ordinance will sunset if the referendum amending the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter to be held in conjunction with the General Election currently scheduled for November 8, 2016, is not approved at such election.</p> <p>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on August 26, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to require approval by a majority of electors residing within a Special Taxing District prior to the transfer of control of the Special Taxing District to a municipality.</p> <p>Background:</p> <p>Section 1.01(A)(11) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter (Charter) currently provides that the BCC “shall be the governing board” of all special purpose districts (also known as special taxing districts) within Miami-Dade County and these special taxing districts are created pursuant to Chapter 18 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances to provide services including street lighting, security services, maintenance and other services which provide a special benefit to properties located within the district.</p> <p>The BCC, and County staff, are currently responsible for the management and operation of over 1,000 active Special Taxing Districts and amending the Charter would allow the BCC to authorize municipal governing boards to serve as the governing boards of Special Taxing Districts located entirely within their respective municipal limits would alleviate the administrative burden on the County while also allowing greater municipal input on the operation of these special taxing districts. This greater municipal input would include allowing the municipal governing boards to determine the appropriate annual rates to be assessed within these special taxing districts.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>11A1 – 151495:</u> The proposed resolution calls a countywide Special Election to be held in Miami-Dade County, Florida in conjunction with a General Election on Tuesday, November 8, 2016, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of Miami-Dade County the proposal for amendment to the Home Rule Charter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Election: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Notice of such election will be published in accordance with Section 100.342, Florida Statutes; ○ The result of such election will be determined by a majority of the qualified electors of Miami-Dade County voting upon the proposal; ○ The polls at such election will be open from 7:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. on the day of such election; ○ All qualified electors of Miami-Dade County, Florida will be entitled to vote at said election; and ○ The County registration books will remain open at the Office of the Miami-Dade County Supervisor of Elections until twenty-nine (29) days prior to the date of such election, at which time the registration books will close in accordance with the provisions of general election laws. • The question will appear on the ballot in the following form: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CHARTER AMENDMENT ON CONTROL OF SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICTS IN MUNICIPALITIES: SHALL THE CHARTER BE AMENDED TO ALLOW THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO PROVIDE, BY ORDINANCE, THAT THE GOVERNING BODY OF ANY SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICT LOCATED ENTIRELY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF A MUNICIPALITY BE THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE MUNICIPALITY RATHER THAN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AS IS CURRENTLY REQUIRED BY THE CHARTER? YES/NO ○ The form of the ballot will be in accordance with the requirements of general election laws. • Voting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Early voting will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of general election laws; ○ Absentee paper ballots may be used by qualified electors of Miami-Dade County for voting on this question. The form of such absentee ballot will be in accordance with the requirements prescribed by general election laws; and ○ A sample ballot showing the manner in which the question or proposal aforesaid will appear at this election will be published and provided in accordance with the applicable provisions of general election laws. <p>This special election on the proposal will be held and conducted in accordance with applicable provisions of the general laws relating to elections and the provisions of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter. The County Mayor or his or her designee, the Finance Director, and the Clerk of the County Commission are authorized and directed to take all appropriate actions necessary to carry into effect and accomplish the provisions of this resolution. This election will be a nonpartisan election. Election officials in connection with this election will be appointed in accordance with the provisions of general election laws.</p> <p>This election will be canvassed by the County Canvassing Board, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.07 of the Home Rule Charter.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Section 1.01(A)(11) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter (Charter) currently provides that the BCC “shall be the governing board” of all special purpose districts (also known as special taxing districts) within Miami-Dade County and these special taxing districts are created pursuant to Chapter 18 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances to provide services including street lighting, security services, maintenance and other services which provide a special benefit to properties located within the district.</p> <p>The BCC, and County staff, are currently responsible for the management and operation of over 1,000 active Special Taxing Districts and amending the Charter would allow the BCC to authorize municipal governing boards to serve as the governing boards of Special Taxing Districts located entirely within their respective municipal limits would alleviate the administrative burden on the County while also allowing greater municipal input on the operation of these special taxing districts. This greater municipal input would include allowing the municipal governing boards to determine the appropriate annual rates to be assessed within these special taxing districts.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Metropolitan Services Committee meeting discussion:</u> <i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on August 26, 2015, the following was discussed: It was requested, to comply with directions and Board rules that six (6) town hall meetings occur prior to BCC consideration that the hearings of the proposed resolution before the full BCC be in January to give the administration time to schedule the meetings, as the election will be held in November.</i></p> <p><u>11A20 – 160139:</u> The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A special election be called and be held within the boundaries of the proposed Riverwood Street Lighting Special Taxing District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors residing in the said district, for their approval or disapproval; • Notice of such special election will be published in accordance with Section 100.342, Florida Statutes; • The results of such special election will be determined by a majority of the qualified electors residing in the Riverwood Street Lighting Special Taxing District voting at such special election;

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ All qualified electors residing in the Riverwood Street Lighting Special Taxing District will be entitled to vote at said special election. • The County registration books will remain open at the Office of the Miami-Dade County Supervisor of Elections until at which date the registration books will close in accordance with the provisions of the general election laws; • Mailed ballots, as prescribed by the Code of Miami-Dade County, will be used in this special election; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Supervisor of Elections will cause such ballots to be sent by mail to all qualified electors residing in said district, at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the date of said special election. • The special election will be held on April 12, 2016. All marked ballots must be received by 7:00 p.m. on the day of said election; • The question which will appear on the ballot will be in the following form: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>“Shall a special taxing district known and designated as the Riverwood Street Lighting Special Taxing District be created and established as provided for in County Ordinance No. 16-1?”</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ YES 0 ▪ NO 0 • This special election will be held and conducted in accordance with the County Code and other applicable provisions of the general laws relating to special elections; • The County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee, the Finance Director, the Supervisor of Elections, and the Clerk of the County Commission are authorized and directed to take all appropriate actions necessary to carry into effect and accomplish the provisions of this Resolution; and • This special election will be canvassed by the County Canvassing Board as provided under the election laws of this State, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.07 of the Home Rule Charter. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On June 25, 2015, the Mayor issued a memorandum regarding four ordinances approving the rate setting process for Special Taxing Districts. According to the Memorandum, Miami-Dade County’s Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM) is currently responsible for the management and operation of 1,068 active Special Taxing Districts, which provide lighting, security guard services, and multipurpose maintenance throughout unincorporated Miami-Dade and in several municipalities. This annual rate setting process is required pursuant to Florida Statute 197.3632 and Section 18-14(5) of the Miami-Dade County Code. In the past, this process was noticed as part of the County’s annual budget process and homeowners received their notification through the Truth In Millage (TRIM) notice. The County is now required to publicly notice the increase of any non-ad valorem assessment rolls in Special Taxing Districts separately. As required by recent case law, whenever rates are increased property owners must be notified of proposed rate increases. In the case where there is a rate increase, the Board is required to adopt the assessment rolls at a public hearing held between January 1 and September 15.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On June 2, 2015, the BCC opened the public hearing for the following items which was continued to the September 1, 2015, BCC meeting: File No. 151272, which recommends flat rates for 834 out of 1,068 districts, and File Nos. 151271, 151274 and 151275, which require rate increases, on First Reading, thereby commencing the process for rate setting. • On June 6, 2015, courtesy letters were mailed to all residents whose districts have a proposed rate increase. • Notices of proposed rate increases were mailed to all affected Special taxing District property owners on June 8, 2015. • Newspaper advertisements advising of the public hearing to be held on June 30, 2015 were published in the Miami Herald on Wednesday, June 10, 2015, and Tuesday, June 16, 2015. • Notices were posted in all Special Taxing Districts with proposed rate increases. <p>The County’s Audit and Management Services Department, at the request of PWWM, is currently conducting an audit of all special taxing districts. The ongoing audit process and subsequent management review have revealed issues with past management and budgeting/accounting practices that have been and continue to be addressed. Immediately upon discovering these concerns, steps were revised for consistency with County and accounting standards. The fiscal components of the Division were transferred under the purview of the PWWM Assistant Director of Administration to ensure greater accountability. Additionally, staff continues to work with the Office of Management and Budget, the Finance Department, the County Attorney’s Office, and the Property Appraiser’s Office to ensure compliance with proper accounting principles, ensure appropriate checks and balances, and provide for an appropriate transition to this new rate setting process.</p> <p>A historical review of past audits demonstrates a complexity of management issues that date back to 1996. The necessary immediate steps to implement corrective actions have been taken and will continue upon the conclusion and review of the audit findings. At the completion of this process, future rate setting will be limited to cost of living requirements or the cost of any improvements requested by residents.</p>
7B 152691	ORDINANCE CREATING SECTION 12-14.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE FOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL ELECTED OFFICERS AND CANDIDATES REGARDING SOLICITATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR POLITICAL COMMITTEES AND ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATIONS ORGANIZATIONS; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO REPORT; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance creates Section 12-1444.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for reporting requirements for County and Municipal elected officers and candidates regarding solicitation of contributions for political committees and electioneering communications organizations. The proposed ordinance further provides penalties for failure to report and enforcement by the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County. Existing resources within the Elections Department and the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust will be able to manage the review and enforcement provisions of the ordinance with existing staff.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 12-14.2. County and Municipal Elected Officer and Candidate Reporting Requirements for Political Committee and Electioneering Communication Organization Solicitations.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Each campaign treasurer designated by a candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall, at the time of filing any contribution or expenditure reports otherwise required by law, file an additional electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the candidate, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, on a monthly basis commencing one year prior to the date such officer's office is to be filled by election, file an electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization during the preceding month. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the officer, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the officer and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer no change dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, unusual circumstances surrounding the failure to file on the designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance will become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, will become effective only upon an override by the BCC.</p> <p><i>State law and the rules of the Florida legislature currently require that some state officers and legislators disclose when they fundraise for political committees or electioneering communication organizations.</i></p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance creates Sections 12-14.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring County and municipal elected officers and candidates to report any solicitation of contributions for political committees and electioneering communications organizations. This ordinance has the potential to provide additional information to the public on fundraising activities by candidates and public officials to aid the transparency and accountability of government.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on January 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned if there would be an option on the filing form for candidates who did not have a political action committee, to which a representative from the elections department explained that for typical campaign finance reporting, if there is no activity there is a waiver of report that can be filed.</i>
7C 152393	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING AND SIGNS; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS AND STANDARDS FOR ILLUMINATION RELATING TO SIGNS; PROVIDING FOR DIGITAL SIGNS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-84, 33-96, 33-96.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL UNDER FILE NO. 151453]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning and signs, provides definitions and standards for illumination relating to signs, establishes illumination standards and allowances for the use of modern digital technology for point of sale (Class B) signs, and amends sections 33-84, 33-96 and 33-96.1 of the Miami-Dade County code (Code). Additionally, the proposed ordinance creates section 33-96.2 of the Miami-Dade County code.</p> <p>Implementation of this ordinance will amend the Code to provide for signage using digital technology. While illumination standards are contemplated in the Code, these existing standards do not relate to light emitting diode technology. This proposed ordinance is not expected to create a fiscal impact to the County as any increased permitting or zoning application activities enabled by this legislation will be absorbed as part of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resource's ongoing activities.</p> <p>This item no longer includes the section that would have provided for digital billboards.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																	
	<p>Sec. 8CC-5.1. <i>Miami-Dade County Diversion Program.</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The “descriptions of violations” below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th align="center">Code Section</th> <th align="center">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td align="center">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-31.4(B)</td> <td>Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)</td> <td>Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">26-1</td> <td>Parks violations</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store	21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging	21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	26-1	Parks violations	<p>investigation prior to being provided authority to initiate enforcement proceedings under this Chapter.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>The “descriptions of violations” below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th align="center">Code Section</th> <th align="center">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td align="center">7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">8A276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-31.4(B)</td> <td>Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(1)</td> <td>Florida litter law</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(2)</td> <td>Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(3)</td> <td>Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(4)</td> <td>Retail theft by removal of shopping cart</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(5)</td> <td>Loitering or prowling</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(6)</td> <td>Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(7)</td> <td>Possession of drug paraphernalia</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">26-1</td> <td>Parks violations</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store	21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging	21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers	21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance	21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart	21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling	21-81(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less	21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia	26-1	Parks violations
Code Section	Description of Violation																																																	
7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges																																																	
8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt																																																	
8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings																																																	
21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise																																																	
21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store																																																	
21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging																																																	
21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)																																																	
26-1	Parks violations																																																	
Code Section	Description of Violation																																																	
7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges																																																	
8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt																																																	
8A276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings																																																	
21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise																																																	
21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store																																																	
21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging																																																	
21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law																																																	
21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases, egg baskets, poultry boxes or bakery containers																																																	
21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance																																																	
21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart																																																	
21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling																																																	
21-81(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less																																																	
21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia																																																	
26-1	Parks violations																																																	
	<p>Sec. 8CC-10. <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i></p> <p>The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th align="center">Code Section</th> <th align="center">Description of Violation</th> <th align="center">Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td align="center">21-48</td> <td>Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers</td> <td align="center">\$500.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)</td> <td>Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)</td> <td align="center">\$100.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty	21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00	21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	\$100.00	<p>The following table shows the sections of this Code, as they may be amended from time to time, which may be enforced pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; and the dollar amount of civil penalty for the violation of these sections as they may be amended.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th align="center">Code Section</th> <th align="center">Description of Violation</th> <th align="center">Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td align="center">21-48</td> <td>Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers</td> <td align="center">\$500.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(1)</td> <td>Florida litter law</td> <td align="center">\$100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">21-81(d)(2)</td> <td>Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets,</td> <td align="center">\$100.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty	21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00	21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	\$100.00	21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets,	\$100.00																											
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty																																																
21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00																																																
21-81(d)	Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d)	\$100.00																																																
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty																																																
21-48	Sale and installation of satellite dish antennas to residential customers	\$500.00																																																
21-81(d)(1)	Florida litter law	\$100.00																																																
21-81(d)(2)	Illegal use of dairy cases egg baskets,	\$100.00																																																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.		Research Notes		
		21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00
				poultry boxes or bakery containers
		21-81(d)(3)	Trespass on property other than structure or conveyance	\$100.00
		21-81(d)(4)	Retail theft by removal of shopping cart	\$100.00
		21-81(d)(5)	Loitering or prowling	\$100.00
		21-821(d)(6)	Possession of cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less	\$100.00
		21-81(d)(7)	Possession of drug paraphernalia	\$100.00
		21-112	Failure to properly fill an abandon well	\$100.00
	<p>Sec. 8CC-11. <i>Enforcement procedure for municipalities, universities, Florida College System institutions, and district school boards.</i></p>	<p>(a) Municipalities within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their municipal boundaries subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(b) As a condition to enforcing the applicable provisions of this chapter, a municipality shall enter into an interlocal agreement with Miami-Dade County. The interlocal agreement shall contain, at a minimum, the following:</p> <p>(1) The section or sections of this Code which the municipality wishes to enforce through this chapter;</p> <p>(2) The job title of the agents or employees of the municipality authorized to perform the enforcement functions and the number of agents or employees so authorized;</p> <p>(3) The amount reimbursable to Miami-Dade County for administrative costs relating to the conduct of hearings on appeals from violations issued by the municipality, including but not limited to attorneys' fees and costs, costs of transcription and clerical costs;</p> <p>(4) The amount of revenue reimbursable to the municipality from any fine collected pursuant to this chapter;</p> <p>(5) An agreement to indemnify and save the County harmless from and against any and all liability, actions and causes of action relating to the municipality's enforcement of the provisions of this chapter; and</p> <p>(6) A term not to exceed three (3) years.</p> <p>(c) With respect to laws and ordinances of County-wide application, the provisions of this section shall be supplemental to and not in derogation of any authority of Miami-Dade County to enforce the provisions of those laws and ordinances.</p> <p>(d) Nothing contained in this section is intended to extend the substantive effect or application of any County law or ordinance to any municipal area where such County law or ordinance is not effective or applicable.</p>		
		<p>(a) Municipalities within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their municipal boundaries subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(b) Universities, Florida College System institutions, and district school boards within Miami-Dade County shall be entitled to enforce the applicable provisions of this chapter within their jurisdictional boundaries as set forth in state law as such may be amended from time to time subject to compliance with the provisions of this section.</p> <p>(c) As a condition to enforcing the applicable provisions of this chapter, a municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board shall enter into an interlocal agreement with Miami-Dade County. The interlocal agreement shall contain, at a minimum, the following:</p> <p>(1) The section or sections of this Code which the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board wishes to enforce through this chapter;</p> <p>(2) The job title of the agents or employees of the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board authorized to perform the enforcement functions and the number of agents or employees so authorized;</p> <p>(3) The amount reimbursable to Miami-Dade County for administrative costs relating to the conduct of hearings on appeals from violations issued by the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board, including but not limited to attorneys' fees and costs, costs of transcription and clerical costs;</p> <p>(4) The amount of revenue reimbursable to the municipality, university, Florida College System institution, or district school board from any fine collected pursuant to this chapter;</p> <p>(5) An agreement to indemnify and save the County harmless from and against any and all liability, actions and causes of action relating to the enforcement of the provisions of this chapter by the municipality,</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>(e) Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any municipality from enforcing provisions of its municipal code or this Code by any lawful and authorized means.</p>	<p>university, Florida College System institution, or district school board; and</p> <p>(6) A term not to exceed three (3) years.</p> <p>(d) With respect to laws and ordinances of County-wide application, the provisions of this section shall be supplemental to and not in derogation of any authority of Miami-Dade County to enforce the provisions of those laws and ordinances.</p> <p>(e) Nothing contained in this section is intended to extend the substantive effect or application of any County law or ordinance to any municipal area, or area of a university, Florida College System institution, or district school board where such County law or ordinance is not effective or applicable.</p> <p>(f) Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any municipality from enforcing provisions of its municipal code or this Code by any lawful and authorized means. Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit any university, Florida College System institution, or district school board from enforcing provisions of its own policies or this Code by any lawful and authorized means.</p>
<p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance amends Section 8CC-3, 8CC-5.1, 8CC-10 and 8CC-11 of the Miami-Dade County code to expand the definition of the code inspector to include agents and employees of universities, Florida college system institutions, and district school boards.</p> <p>This amendment to the Ordinance expands the benefits of this program to a larger population of individuals in Miami-Dade County who may be eligible to participate in this initiative. Previous amendments defined municipalities, restricting participation of eligible individuals.</p> <p><u>11A9 - 160071:</u> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to execute interlocal agreements on behalf of Miami-Dade County, between Miami-Dade County and any and all universities, colleges, and district school boards, and their respective police departments within Miami-Dade County; • Approves terms that allow for such entities to issue civil citations for certain misdemeanors pursuant to Section 8CC and Section 21-81 of the Code; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to execute any renewals, and to exercise the cancellation and termination provisions. <p>During the Metropolitan Services Committee Meeting on January 13, 2016, File No. 152971 was withdrawn and substituted for File No. 160071. The substitute differs from the original item in that the form interlocal agreement provides that Miami-Dade County will be reimbursed for all administrative costs relating to the conduct of hearings on appeal and Participating Entities will be reimbursed in the amount of the fines collected minus administrative costs.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Section 21-81 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida (Code), prohibits the commission of all acts defined as misdemeanors under Florida Statutes and declares that commission of such acts are also Code violations. On June 30, 2015, the BCC enacted Ordinance No. 15-47, which amended Section 21-81 to provide for monetary civil penalties for violations of certain misdemeanors, such as littering, illegal use of dairy cases, retail theft of shopping carts and possession of 20 grams or less of cannabis, as such civil penalties will act as an additional deterrent to such violations and would provide law enforcement with additional options when encountering a misdemeanant who has committed an enumerated offense. Ordinance No. 15-47 also amended Section 8CC-5.1 of the Code to allow a person who violates such misdemeanors to enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, which allows violators without the economic means to pay the fine to satisfy the penalty by non-monetary means.</p> <p>On September 16, 2015, the BCC enacted Resolution No. R-786-15, which approved terms of a standard form interlocal agreement between Miami-Dade County and municipalities to enforce county monetary civil code penalties in lieu of criminal penalties within their respective jurisdictions. Section 8CC-11 of the Code expressly authorizes the County to enter into such interlocal agreements with municipalities for code enforcement.</p> <p><u>Additional Information and Relevant Legislation:</u></p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>On June 20, 2009, the BCC passed Resolution No. R-868-09 directing the Mayor or designee to study whether cost savings can be achieved through decriminalizing select Miami-Dade County ordinance violations. The resulting Diversion Program is based on findings included in the report requested by the Board. When a violator is arrested or given a Civil Violation Notice (8-CC) for one of the Miami-Dade County Code violations, he/she is eligible and may elect to voluntarily participate in the Diversion Program.</p> <p>On September 10, 2010, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 10-52, amended Section 7-3, 8A-172, 8A-276(B), 8CC (10), 21-28, 21-31.2(B)(1) and (2), 21-31.4(B), 26-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to include additional penalties and creating Section 8CC-5.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Sec. 8CC-5.1. Miami-Dade County Diversion Program <i>Notwithstanding the provisions of 8CC-5, a violator who has been served with a civil violation notice may enter the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners, provided the civil violation notice is issued for the violation of an ordinance listed in the table below, which may be amended from time to time.</i></p> <p><i>The "descriptions of violations" below are for informational purposes only and are not meant to limit or define the nature of the violations or the subject matter of the listed Code sections, except to the extent that different types of violations of the same Code section may carry different civil penalties. To determine the exact nature of any activity proscribed or required by this Code, the relevant Code section must be examined.</i></p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Code Section</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Description of Violation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>7-3</td> <td>Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8A-172</td> <td>Conducting business without a local business tax receipt</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8A-276(b)</td> <td>Failure to display commercial vehicle markings</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-28</td> <td>Producing loud or excessive noise</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-31.2(b)(1), (2)</td> <td>Alcohol possession or consumption near a store</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21-31.4(B)</td> <td>Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26-1</td> <td>Parks violations</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-402-15, authorized amendments to Implementing Order 2-12, relating to Miami-Dade County Diversion Program which is administered by the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD). Additionally, R-402-15 established the addition of rafting restrictions under Article XIX, Section 21-287 of Chapter 21 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to Miami-Dade County's Code Chapter 8CC violations.</p>	Code Section	Description of Violation	7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges	8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt	8A-276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings	21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise	21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store	21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging	26-1	Parks violations
Code Section	Description of Violation																
7-3	Swimming or fishing from prohibited road bridges																
8A-172	Conducting business without a local business tax receipt																
8A-276(b)	Failure to display commercial vehicle markings																
21-28	Producing loud or excessive noise																
21-31.2(b)(1), (2)	Alcohol possession or consumption near a store																
21-31.4(B)	Obstructing traffic or aggressively begging																
26-1	Parks violations																
7E 152689	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO HUMAN TRAFFICKING; REQUIRING THE POSTING OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING PUBLIC AWARENESS SIGNS AT ADULT ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENTS AND CERTAIN MASSAGE OR BODYWORK SERVICES ESTABLISHMENTS; CREATING SECTION 21-31.5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to human trafficking, creates Section 21-31.5 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring the posting of human trafficking public awareness signs at adult entertainment establishments and certain massage or bodywork services establishments.</p> <p>The Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) will enforce this ordinance when an officer is called to one of these establishments and they notice this sign/s is not posted. The implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p><i>Sec. 21-31.5. Human Trafficking Awareness Signs at Adult Entertainment, Massage, and Bodywork Services Establishments.</i></p> <p><i>(a) Definitions. The following words, phrases, or terms, when used in this section shall, unless the content otherwise indicates, have the meanings provided below.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Adult Entertainment Establishment means adult bookstores and theaters, special cabarets, strip clubs, and unlicensed massage establishments regulated pursuant to Chapter 847, Florida Statutes and defined in Section 847.001, Florida Statutes, as may be amended.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Bodywork services means services involving therapeutic touching or manipulation of the body using specialized techniques.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Business or establishment means any place of business or any club, organization, person, firm, corporation, or partnership, wherein massage or bodywork services are provided and such establishment is not owned by a health care profession regulated pursuant to Chapter 456, Florida Statutes, and defined in Section 456.001, Florida Statutes, as may be amended.</i></p> <p><i>(4) Human trafficking means transporting, soliciting, recruiting, harboring, providing, enticing, maintaining, or obtaining another person for the purpose of exploitation of that person regulated pursuant to Chapter 787, Florida Statutes, and defined in Section 787.06, Florida Statutes, as may be amended.</i></p> <p><i>(5) Massage services means the manipulation of the soft tissues of the human body with the hand, foot, arm, or elbow, whether or not such manipulation is aided by hydrotherapy, including colonic irrigation, or thermal therapy; any electrical or mechanical device; or the application to the human body of a chemical or herbal preparation.</i></p> <p><i>(b) Application. This section shall be applicable in the incorporated and unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County, with the enforcement of the provision of this section in the unincorporated area being the responsibility of Miami-Dade County and in the incorporated area being the responsibility of the respective municipalities.</i></p>																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<p><i>(b) General Requirements.</i></p> <p><i>(1) The employer at each of the following establishments shall display public awareness signs in a conspicuous location that is clearly visible to the public and employees of the establishment: (i) a strip club or other adult entertainment establishment or (ii) a business or establishment that offers massage or bodywork services for compensation that is not owned by a health care profession regulated pursuant to Chapter 456, Florida Statutes, and defined in Section 456.001, Florida Statutes.</i></p> <p><i>(2) The required public awareness sign must be at least 8.5 inches by 11 inches in size, must be printed in at least 16-point type, and must state substantially the following in English, Spanish, and Creole:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>If you or someone you know is being forced to engage in an activity and cannot leave—whether it is prostitution, housework, farm work, factory work, retail work, restaurant work, or any other activity—call the National Human Trafficking Resource Center at 1-888-373-7888 or text INFO or HELP to 233-733 to access help and services. Victims of slavery and human trafficking are protected under United States and Florida Law.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Posted pursuant to Section 787.29, Florida Statutes and Miami-Dade County Code Section 21-31.5.</i></p> <p><i>(c) Enforcement. Any person violating any of the provisions of this section shall, upon conviction of such offense, be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500.00) as provided in Section 775.083, Florida Statutes, which may be amended from time to time, in the discretion of the court. Each day of continued violation shall be considered a separate offense.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance will become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, will become effective only upon an override by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance is consistent with a wide array of efforts and initiatives which aim to prevent and assist victims of human trafficking in our local community. It provides an opportunity to inform the public by making them aware of human trafficking and posting the hotline phone number for the National Human Trafficking Resource Center to seek help. This is a responsible action on the part of Miami-Dade County and is expressly authorized in the Florida Statutes, Section 787.29.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - National Human Trafficking Resource Center:</u> According to the National Human Trafficking Resource Center (NHTRC), there are 27 million people enslaved worldwide. In 2011, the National Human Trafficking Resource Center ranked Florida 3rd in the number of calls received by the center’s human trafficking hotline.</p> <p>NHTRC also reports that since 2007, a total of 6,050 calls were received that referenced Florida. For 2015, the NHTRC has recorded 743 calls and 191 human trafficking cases reported compared to 2014 with 1,428 calls and 364 human trafficking cases reported.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the BCC meeting on January 20, 2016, the BCC presented a proclamation declaring January as Human Trafficking Awareness Month in Miami-Dade County.</p>									
7F 152700	ORDINANCE RELATING TO VEHICLES FOR HIRE; AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE THAT TAXICABS SCHEDULED FOR RETIREMENT ON DECEMBER 31, 2015 SHALL BE ALLOWED TO BE OPERATED UNTIL DECEMBER 31, 2017; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to vehicles for hire, amends Chapter 31 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code) to provide that taxicabs scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2015 be allowed to be operated until December 31, 2017, despite the vehicle age limits required by sections of the Code.</p> <p>Currently, there are 117 vehicles scheduled to be retired and replaced on or before December 31, 2015. The 117 vehicles are all models over five (5) years of age and will remain operational under the proposed ordinance for an additional two (2) years. The Department will continue to collect quarterly inspection fees for these 117 vehicles over the extended period to support operations. Therefore, implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #c6e0b4; text-align: center;">Code Comparison Chart Chapter 31 of the Miami-Dade County Code</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Section</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Current</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Sec. 31-93. <i>Special provisions.</i></td> <td>(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for</td> <td>(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2013 shall be allowed to be operated until June 30, 2014. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f), 31-93(b)(8)-(9) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Comparison Chart Chapter 31 of the Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 31-93. <i>Special provisions.</i>	(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for	(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2013 shall be allowed to be operated until June 30, 2014. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f), 31-93(b)(8)-(9) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any
Code Comparison Chart Chapter 31 of the Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 31-93. <i>Special provisions.</i>	(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for	(e) Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 shall be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any properly permitted and inspected model year 2005 taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2013 shall be allowed to be operated until June 30, 2014. Notwithstanding the vehicle age limits required by Sections 31-82(1)(4), 31-89(f), 31-93(b)(8)-(9) and 31-93(c)(3) of the Code, any								

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	retirement on December 31, 2013 shall be allowed to be operated until June 30, 2014. No further extensions shall be granted.	properly permitted and inspected taxicab scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2015 shall be allowed to be operated until December 31, 2017.

Social Equity Statement:

The proposed ordinance specifically benefits the owners of these 117 vehicles, which are model year 2007 or older and no longer need to be replaced. By not requiring the replacement of these vehicles, the County may see an increase in complaints regarding the maintenance, upkeep or aesthetics of such vehicles by tourists and/or local patrons, which may indirectly negatively impact the hospitality industry.

Additional Information – Previous Legislation:

On March 1, 2011, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 11-11, amended Chapter 31 of the Code, relating to Vehicles for Hire, to provide that taxicabs, passenger motor carriers, luxury limousine sedans, stretch limousines and super-stretch limousines scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2010, be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.

On December 19, 2011, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 11-102, amended Chapter 31 of the Code, to provide that taxicabs, passenger motor carriers, luxury limousine sedans, stretch limousines and super-stretch limousines scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2011, be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.

On September 4, 2012, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 12-68, amended Chapter 31 of the Code, relating to Vehicles for Hire, to provide that taxicabs scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2012 will be allowed to be operated for an additional one-year period.

On January 29, 2014, the BCC, through Ordinance 14-11, amended Chapter 31 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to provide that model year 2005 taxicabs scheduled for retirement on December 31, 2013, will be allowed to operate until June 30, 2014. During the Special BCC meeting the following was discussed:

- *The Commission explained the intent of this ordinance and the reason for extending the deadline date six months (to the end of June 2014) for retiring the 2005 Year Model taxicabs.*
- ***The Commission noted this issue was debated extensively before the Transportation and Aviation Committee, which made it clear that the June deadline date was final and that no further extensions would be granted beyond this date.***
- *The Division Director for the For-Hire Transportation Regulatory & Business Affairs Division of the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) Department, concurred, noting that only the County Commission could approve further extensions for retiring these vehicles. He also noted that RER staff would send out another courtesy notice, reminding the drivers of the deadline date. The Division Director added that only 129 of the existing 2005 Year Model taxicab vehicles were currently being operated countywide.*
- *The Commission noted that the Greater Miami Convention and Visitor's Bureau agreed to a six month extension and that it was ample time for drivers to replace their 2005 model vehicles.*
- ***The proposed ordinance was amended to include language stating that no further extensions will be granted beyond the June 2014 deadline date for retiring the 2005 Model taxicab vehicles.***

Additional Information – Taxicab Retirement Schedule:

According to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the taxicab retirement schedule is as follows:

Number of Taxicabs	Model Year	Schedule for Retirement
4	2005	12/31/2015*
4	2006	12/31/2016*
113	2007	12/31/2015
537	2008	12/31/2016
549	2009	12/31/2017
371	2010	12/31/2018
315	2011	12/31/2019
73	2012	12/31/2020
83	2013	12/31/2021
39	2014	12/31/2022
25	2015	12/31/2023
8	2016	12/31/2024

**These are either wheelchair or underserved areas taxicabs which are allowed to be operated until they reach ten years of age.*

7G 152797	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO COUNTY MAYOR'S DELEGATED AUTHORITY; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.2.7, 2-8.2.7.01, AND 2-285 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REQUIRE CONTRACTS WITH SMALL BUSINESS MEASURES MEET AT LEAST EIGHTY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE SMALL BUSINESS GOALS APPLICABLE TO THE PORTION(S) OF THE CONTRACT WORK PERFORMED TO DATE BEFORE A CHANGE ORDER
----------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes													
	OR CONTRACT AMENDMENT BE CONSIDERED FOR MAYORAL APPROVAL; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE													
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to requirements for Small Business contracts, amends Sections 2-8.2.7, 2-8.2.7.01, and 2-285 of the Miami-Dade County Code, requires any County contract or amendment with Small Business measures to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the Small Business goals applicable to the tasks and value of the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment may be considered for approval, unless the following explanatory information as delineated has been provided.</p> <p>Items with Small Business measures which failed to meet this minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain the circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved, steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s), and how the Small Business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered for approval.</p> <p>The eighty-five percent of Small Business goals requirement will be managed by existing staff within the Project Review and Analysis unit of the Small Business Development. Therefore, it is anticipated that the implementation of this ordinance will have no additional fiscal impact.</p> <p>Background: The Miami-Dade County (County) Small Business Development (SBD) provides business opportunities and technical assistance to aid small businesses in their growth and contribution to the County and South Florida economy. The goal of the SBD is to increase the participation of small businesses on County contracts and encourage the utilization of certified small businesses on County contracts, where applicable.</p> <p>On November 3, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1001-15 which requires County contracts with small business measures meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for BCC approval.</p> <p>Social Equity Statement: Placing this requirement on applicable contracts will provide for stronger accountability to small business measures during the duration of the contract. In addition, this amendment will assist all parties involved to resolve any issues in meeting small business measures prior to it resulting in a compliance or debarment hearing, thereby saving the County the cost of conducting said hearings and benefiting small businesses by ensuring they are utilized as envisioned.</p>													
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Code Comparison</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"><i>Sections 2-8.2.7, 2-8.2.7.01, and 2-285 Miami-Dade County Code</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"><i>Section</i></th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"><i>Current</i></th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"><i>Proposed</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 2-8.2.7. <i>Economic stimulus ordinance.</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster. </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster; and 4. Any County contract or amendment with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Code Comparison			<i>Sections 2-8.2.7, 2-8.2.7.01, and 2-285 Miami-Dade County Code</i>			<i>Section</i>	<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>	Sec. 2-8.2.7. <i>Economic stimulus ordinance.</i>	<p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster. 	<p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster; and 4. Any County contract or amendment with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this
Code Comparison														
<i>Sections 2-8.2.7, 2-8.2.7.01, and 2-285 Miami-Dade County Code</i>														
<i>Section</i>	<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>												
Sec. 2-8.2.7. <i>Economic stimulus ordinance.</i>	<p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster. 	<p>(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or his/her designee shall have the following authority with respect to contracts within the scope of this Section:</p> <p>(e) For Capital Stimulus Projects negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The change order or claim does not increase the contract amount, including contingencies; and 2. The contingency allowance established in accordance with Section 2-8.1(h) shall be utilized to ensure minimal disruption in work flow and shall be documented on the appropriate contingency authorization draw. Change orders shall be submitted to replenish the contingency account in a timely manner; and 3. The limitations provided in (4)(e)1 above shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting environmental remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster; and 4. Any County contract or amendment with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this 												

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain (i) the circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved, (ii) steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s), and (iii) how the small business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered for approval.</p>
Sec. 2-8.2.7.01. <i>Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program.</i>	<p>(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or Mayor's designee shall have the following authority with respect to the Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program:</p> <p>(e) To negotiate and settle contractual disputes, and issue change orders for additional work: and</p>		<p>(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Code of Miami-Dade County to the contrary, the Mayor or Mayor's designee shall have the following authority with respect to the Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program:</p> <p>(e) To negotiate and settle contractual disputes, and issue change orders for additional work. Any County contract with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain (i) the circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved, (ii) steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s), and (iii) how the small business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered for approval.</p>
Sec. 2-285. <i>County Mayor's Authority as to contracts for the Aviation Department.</i>	<p>(3) The County Manager may negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements; as to any specific contract or agreement, change orders or amendments thereto shall not exceed five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) in cumulative dollar amount and shall not exceed fifteen (15) percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount; provided however, that the foregoing limitation shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to environmental remediation or health requirements, and the foregoing change orders and amendments shall require ratification by the Board; provided further, that the County Manager may reduce in any amount the scope and compensation payable under any contract and grant compensable and non-compensable time extensions thereunder.</p> <p>(3.1) The provisions of subsection (3) above notwithstanding, the County Manager shall have the authority to issue change orders or amendments provided that the cumulative effect of any such change orders and amendments to a specific contract or agreement does not exceed twenty (20) percent of the original amount of such contract or amendment, and further provided that the consulting engineer (under the existing trust indenture), the Aviation Director, and the Miami-Dade Aviation Consultants (DAC) concur in such action, that the change order or amendment is submitted to the County Commission for ratification within one hundred eighty (180) days. The County Manager shall report on a monthly basis to the Aviation Operations Committee of the</p>		<p>(3) The County Mayor may negotiate and settle contractor claims, and issue change orders for additional work under contracts and amendments for professional services agreements; as to any specific contract or agreement, change orders or amendments thereto shall not exceed five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) in cumulative dollar amount and shall not exceed fifteen (15) percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount; provided however, that the foregoing limitation shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to environmental remediation or health requirements, and the foregoing change orders and amendments shall require ratification by the Board; provided further, that the County Mayor may reduce in any amount the scope and compensation payable under any contract and grant compensable and non-compensable time extensions thereunder. Any County contract or amendment with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain (i) the circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved, (ii) steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s), and (iii) how the small business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered for approval.</p> <p>(3.1) The provisions of subsection (3) above notwithstanding, the County Mayor shall have the authority to issue change orders or amendments provided that the cumulative effect of any such change orders and amendments to a specific contract or agreement does not exceed twenty (20) percent of the original amount of such contract or amendment, and further provided that the consulting engineer (under the existing trust indenture), the Aviation Director, and the Miami-Dade Aviation Consultants</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>County Commission on his actions taken in exercising the authority delegated to him hereunder. The County Manager shall not have delegated authority hereunder to issue any change order or amendment that is the result of design errors or omissions, and any such change order or amendment shall require prior County Commission approval.</p>	<p>(DAC) concur in such action, that the change order or amendment is submitted to the Board for ratification within one hundred eighty (180) days. Any County contract or amendment with small business measures is required to meet at least eighty-five percent (85%) of the small business goals applicable to the portion(s) of the contract work performed to date before a change order or contract amendment be considered for approval. Items with small business measures which failed to meet this minimum threshold or equivalent percentage must clearly explain (i) the circumstances as to why the goal(s) was not achieved, (ii) steps taken by the prime contractor(s) and the contracting department to meet the goal(s), and (iii) how the small business goal(s) will be achieved in the change order or contract amendment, or the proposed change order or contract amendment cannot be considered for approval. The County Mayor shall report on a monthly basis to the Aviation Operations Committee of the Board on his actions taken in exercising the authority delegated to him hereunder. The Mayor shall not have delegated authority hereunder to issue any change order or amendment that is the result of design errors or omissions, and any such change order or amendment shall require prior Board approval.</p>
7H 152654	<p>ORDINANCE CREATING AMNESTY PERIOD; CREATING A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR CODE VIOLATIONS RELATING TO AUTO REPAIR SHOP BUSINESSES UPON AN OWNER'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upon application of an owner of property on which an auto repair business is operated, the County will waive any and all civil penalties related to the enforcement of the Building Code and Zoning Code in connection with operations that are being conducted out of doors in the front of the property, and all liens related to such civil penalties, provided the owner satisfies each of the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where there is a structure in violation of the Building Code, a permit must be applied for and issued to bring the structure into compliance with the Building Code within the Amnesty Period as defined in this ordinance; ○ Where a structure is in violation of the Building Code, the structure is brought into compliance with the Building Code within the period provided in the Code for completion of the work under the permit obtained within the Amnesty Period; ○ Where there is a use in violation of the Zoning Code, the use must be brought into compliance with the Zoning Code within the Amnesty Period as defined in this ordinance; and ○ All direct costs incurred by the County in connection with prior enforcement of the non-compliant structure or use, as documented by the relevant department, shall be satisfied in full. <p>For purposes of this ordinance, an owner will be understood as the person with direct and beneficial ownership of the affected property, but will not include a financial institution which has acquired it through foreclosure.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance further provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Amnesty Period will commence on the effective date of this ordinance and end 18 months thereafter; • In the event that the County has commenced a civil action to collect on the civil penalties or to foreclose a lien, the proposed ordinance will not apply; and • The proposed ordinance will not serve as a defense against any such action or against any enforcement action brought by the County. <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance directs the Mayor or designee to implement the necessary procedures and to develop the necessary documents to give effect to the intent of this ordinance. This ordinance will become effective 10 days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, will become effective only upon an override by the BCC.</p> <p>Currently, the Neighborhood Enforcement Unit (Unit) maintains 56 active enforcement cases for auto repairs being conducted outside enclosed buildings. This is a very small percentage of the Unity's overall enforcement activities. Furthermore, since the exemption from civil penalties is conditional to correction of the violation within the amnesty period and the County is allowed to recuperate direct enforcement costs, adoption of the proposed ordinance should not have a fiscal impact on the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.</p> <p>Background: Auto repair businesses are unique in that the nature of the work performed requires access to open air for proper ventilation and the frequent movement of cars in and out of doors. Accordingly, some auto repair businesses are conducting operations out of doors in the front of the property, in violation of the County Code, while other such businesses are properly following the requirements of the Code. Many</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>auto repair shops are small businesses and strict application of the County's system of fines and penalties relating to Code violations may work a hardship upon them.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance will specifically benefit auto repair shop businesses that are currently not in compliance with the Code; however, any violation of the Building or Zoning Code must be addressed within the 18-month amnesty period. Any auto repair business that does not seek to remedy a Building or Zoning Code violation during the amnesty period will not be eligible for an exception of civil penalties and liens for such code violations.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Metropolitan Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on January 13, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned how many times a business would be able to apply for a waiver to which the Deputy Director of the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, said the amnesty would be valid for an 18-month period.</i> • <i>The Assistant County Attorney clarified that the amnesty would run from a date certain from the passage of the ordinance.</i>
7I 152655	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; REVISING REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO SETBACKS AND OPEN OR ENCLOSED BUILDING REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR BUSINESSES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-51, 33-251.2, 33-253.6, 33-256.5, AND 33-260 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning, revises regulations pertaining to setbacks and open or closed building requirements for automotive repair businesses and amends Section 33-51, 33-251.2, 33-253.6, 33-256.5, and 33-260 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed ordinance amends the setback requirements in industrial zoning districts to allow buildings or structures in connection with automotive repair businesses to be closer to each other or to the rear or side proprietary line. In addition, the proposed ordinance will exempt buildings or structures including accessory buildings in connection with automotive repair businesses from certain enclosed building requirements. This is an enabling legislative change the correction of existing violations without the need for a zoning variance hearing. Adoption of the proposed ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance provides a specific benefit to auto repair shop business by allowing them to conduct business under an open roof structure to the side or rear of the main building, subject to certain set back, which reflects the existing conditions of many auto repair facilities and helps alleviate many code enforcement issues.</p>
7J 152818	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TEMPORARILY SUSPEND APPLICATION OF PROVISIONS OF SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO RECREATIONAL VEHICLE AND BOAT STORAGE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 152690]</p>
7L 151777	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR INCREASED HEIGHT AND LENGTH IN RECREATIONAL VEHICLE AND BOAT STORAGE; AMENDING SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p><u>7J – 152818:</u> The proposed ordinance will temporarily suspend the enforcement provisions of Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code) pertaining to the storage of recreational vehicles and private watercraft. The moratorium will expire within one (1) year from the effective date of this ordinance or upon enactment of any new ordinance(s) or resolution(s), whichever is earliest.</p> <p>Cases generated for violations of the referenced Code sections represent less than one (1) percent of the overall annual enforcement activity. Additionally, more than 75 percent of the cases resulting from enforcement of these Code sections are corrected by property owners after a warning is issued, without the issuance of a ticket. If the proposed ordinance is adopted, since the number of active cases represents such a small percentage of the overall enforcement activity of the Unit and most violations are corrected at warning stage without collection of penalties, the fiscal impact, if any, should be insignificant.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance will specifically benefit the owners of recreational vehicles and private watercraft that do not exceed certain dimensions until such time that the moratorium is no longer in place.</p> <p><i>During the BCC meeting on December 1, 2015, File No. 152690 was withdrawn and substituted with File No. 152818. The substitute differs from the original by adding language to the title to specify the subject matter of the referenced Code section as "recreational vehicles and boat storage."</i></p> <p><u>7L – 151777:</u> The proposed ordinance, relating to zoning, provides for increased height and length in recreational vehicle and boat storage. Specifically, the proposed ordinance will allow for larger boats not exceeding 40 feet in length, not more than 102 inches in width and 14 feet in height above grade, to be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU zoning districts on lots developed with residential structures. Additionally, it will also allow for parking of larger recreational and camping equipment permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<p>This is an enabling legislative change allowing for larger boats to be stored and parked in RU, EU, and AU zoning districts, and for larger recreational/camping equipment to be parked in the open, on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence. As a result, it may reduce the amount of enforcement activity related to these efforts.</p> <p>The precise fiscal impact cannot be determined because the level of non-compliance for the proposed ordinance cannot be estimated at this time. However, all enforcement related expenses will not exceed revenues associated with enforcement activities. Further, enforcement activities relating to the implementation of this ordinance will be absorbed as part of the Regulatory and Economic Resource Department's ongoing enforcement activities.</p> <p>Social Equity Statement: The proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have a specific social equity benefit or burden as described in Ordinance No. 15-83. This is an enabling legislative change allowing for larger boats to be stored and parked in certain zoning districts and for larger recreational/camping equipment to be parked in certain areas.</p>		
	<p>Code Comparison <i>Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code</i></p>		
	<p><i>Section</i></p>	<p><i>Current</i></p>	<p><i>Proposed</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 33-20. <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage; portable mini-storage units; cargo container storage units.</i></p>	<p>(e) Boat storage. Boats of less than thirty (30) feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed thirty (30) feet and the maximum height shall not exceed ten (10) feet.</p> <p>(h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.</p> <p>(7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):</p> <p>(a) thirty (30) feet in overall length (b) eight feet six inches (8'6") in width (c) thirteen (13) feet six (6) inches in height.</p> <p>(8) RV's placed in the storage area shall not exceed thirty (30) feet in length, eight feet six inches (8'6") in width nor exceed ten (10) feet in height.</p>	<p>(e) Boat storage. Boats not exceeding forty (40) feet in length, not more than one hundred and two (102) inches in width and fourteen (14) feet in height above grade, may be stored or temporarily parked in the RU, EU, AU and GU Zoning Districts on lots developed with a residential structure subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(f) <i>Recreational and camping equipment.</i> Recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, truck trailer and motor travel home, designed and used as temporary living quarters for recreation, camping or travel use may be parked in the open on sites containing a single-family or duplex residence, subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(8) Such equipment shall not exceed the maximum length, width, height and weight permitted under applicable provisions of the motor vehicle laws of the State of Florida; provided, however, the maximum length shall not exceed forty (40) feet and the maximum height shall not exceed thirteen (13) feet.</p> <p>(h) Outdoor boat and RV storage area on private residential condominium association, homeowner's association or multi-family tenant community property. The term "boat" as used in this subsection shall include every description of watercraft or airboat used or capable of being used as a means of transportation on water. The term "RV" shall mean recreational and camping equipment in the form of travel and camping trailer, swamp buggy and other off-road vehicles and motor travel home.</p> <p>(7) Boats placed in the storage area shall be restricted to the following dimensions as measured pursuant to Section 33-20(e):</p> <p>(a) forty (40) feet in overall length (b) eight feet six inches (8'6") in width (c) fourteen (14) feet in height.</p> <p>(8) RV's placed in the storage area shall not exceed forty (40) feet in length, eight feet six inches (8'6") in width nor exceed fourteen (14) feet in height.</p>
	<p>Additional Information – Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion: During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on December 8, 2015, the following was discussed:</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee explained the rationale of the foregoing ordinance, noting that it would address Code violations and the enforcement of fines in connection with boat and RV storage.</i> • <i>It was suggested that the Committee should defer further consideration of this legislation until workshops were held to further discuss and analyze in depth the concerns surrounding this legislation prior to taking action.</i> • <i>The Committee explained that this legislation was about the sales of new and more efficient boats and RVs; and it addressed these newer, more efficient recreational products being currently built, which were in violation of the provisions of the Code due to their increased size. It was advised that no safety issues had been identified after reviewing the issues of concern.</i> • <i>Following clarification from the County Attorney's Office, the Committee noted the item had already been deferred twice; and it would be laid on the table if it was deferred again.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed concern about allowing storage of large boats in residential areas due to safety and fire related risks since these boats stored large amounts of gasoline.</i> • <i>The Committee continued expressing concern for other safety related issues relating to homeland security, human trafficking, and terrorism and suggested that consideration of this issue be deferred.</i> • <i>The Committee stated that the very idea an owner of a boat of any size could be associated with terrorism was the wrong message and statement to prevail, and there was human trafficking legislation in place for enforcement in the criminal justice system. It was noted that human trafficking and this legislation had no correlation, and the members of this Committee needed to proceed with its consideration and take action due to the increase in boat and RV sales during the holidays.</i> • <i>The Committee advised that a valid concern was raised in connection with the storage of RVs and boats in residential properties due to potential fire risks, and this issue needed to be reviewed. The Committee explained that the report submitted by the Fire Rescue Department outlining historical data on the concerns raised indicated a minuscule amount of risk. Therefore, those concerns had already been addressed.</i>
7K 152699	ORDINANCE CREATING ARTICLE X OF CHAPTER 11A OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA ESTABLISHING PAID PARENTAL LEAVE FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST EMPLOYEES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Article X of Chapter 11A of the Miami-Dade County Code, establishing paid parental leave for Miami-Dade County and Public Health Trust employees for the purpose of caring for a newborn, newly-adopted child, or newly-placed foster child or children.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Sec. 11A-80.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(1) Miami-Dade County shall implement paid parental leave for all of its exempt female and male employees and all other employees covered by collective bargaining agreements whose agreements explicitly provide for this benefit for the employee, for the purpose of caring for his or her newborn, newly-adopted, or newly-placed foster child or children. This leave shall apply equally to parents regardless of gender, as well as to same-sex couples, in the event of a natural birth by any method, adoption, surrogacy, stillbirths, or foster care placement in the employee's home. Employees who have worked for Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust for a minimum of one year are eligible for the full duration of paid parental leave.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(2) The paid parental leave shall be up to six weeks long, and may be taken by day or week anytime during the first year after the birth, adoption, or foster care intake of the child or children. The leave period is fixed regardless of the number of children born, adopted by the employee, or placed in the employee's home through foster care. During the leave period, the employee shall be paid 100 percent of his or her base wages for the first two weeks, 75 percent of his or her base wages for the following two weeks, and 50 percent of base wages for the remaining two weeks. Employees shall be eligible to use any accrued leave in order to receive compensation up to 100 percent of base pay during the weeks reimbursed at the rates of 75 percent and 50 percent. This leave shall occur concurrently with, count against, and not be added to periods of unpaid or job protected leave for which the employee may also be eligible, including the federally-mandated 12 weeks of Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) leave, and/or any other unpaid leave offered by the County due to childbirth or adoption, including under Chapter 11A, Article V of this Code (Family Leave).</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(3) The number of paid parental leave periods employees may take is unlimited over the duration of their employment with the County, but employees are only eligible for one six-week paid leave per birth or adoption.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(4) If both parents work for the County, each is entitled to a six-week leave period as described in subsection (2) of this Section, and they may take their parental leave period concurrently, subsequently, or in any other combination they wish.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(5) The Directors of Human Resources for Miami-Dade County and the Public Health Trust shall have full authority to issue policies relative to paid parental leave benefits offered pursuant to this Ordinance for any purpose, including, but not limited to, notification requirements for employees requesting leave, employee eligibility, and documentation requirements for births, adoptions and foster care placements.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(6) The Miami-Dade County employee leave manual and the Public Health Trust employee leave manual shall include provisions consistent with the requirements of this Article for paid parental leave.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance will become effective 10 days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, will become effective only upon an override by the BCC.</p> <p>Background: Strong paid family and medical leave policies can help working families take time off for caregiving responsibilities, as well as their own medical needs, without putting their economic security at risk. Unpaid leave under the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) provides important job protections, and is available to County employees, but many cannot afford to take it. Providing paid parental leave to County employees would allow them to continue to earn a portion of their pay while they take time away from work to care for a newborn,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>newly-adopted child or newly-placed foster child. Paid parental leave can increase female labor force participation by making it easier for women to stay in the workforce after giving birth, which contributes to economic growth, and can encourage men to take leave to serve as caregivers, which has a number of positive effects for families.</p> <p>Paid parental leave has been shown to improve the health and development outcomes of children, including increased birthweight, decreased premature births and decreased infant mortality and helps employers recruit talent, increase worker retention and reduce turnover, saving employers significant costs associated with replacing employees, while also increasing employee engagement, boosting employee morale, and ensuring a diverse and inclusive workforce. The United States lags behind many other countries in providing government-supported time off for new parents, and on January 15, 2015, the White House issued a presidential memorandum ensuring that federal workers may receive up to six weeks of paid parental leave.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> Establishing paid parental leave for County and PHT employees will specifically benefit eligible employees by providing them with up to six (6) weeks of paid leave at 100 percent of base wages for the first two (2) weeks, 75 percent of base wages for the following two (2) weeks, and 50 percent of base wages for the remaining two weeks.</p> <p>The benefits of paid parental leave extend beyond positive financial outcomes for County and PHT employees and include broader, non-monetary benefits to the entire community. Paid parental leave can increase female labor force participation, making it easier for women to stay in the workforce after giving birth, which contributes to economic growth, and can encourage men to take leave to serve as caregivers, which has a number of positive effects for families. Providing paid parental leave can also improve the health and well-being of both the parent and the child, which provides significant public health benefits.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Mayor’s Memo Regarding Ordinance Creating Article X of Chapter 11A of the Code Establishing Paid Parental Leave:</u> According to the Mayor’s Memo, the proposed ordinance creates Article X of Chapter 11A of the County Code establishing paid parental leave for all County and Public Health Trust (PHT) employees for the purpose of caring for a newborn, newly-adopted child, or newly-placed foster child or children. Up to six weeks of leave would be provided, taken at any time during the first year after the event, with a portion of the annual salary compensated and the employee having the option to use accrued leave to ensure compensation of 100 percent of base pay for the entire period.</p> <p>The Mayor’s Memo states that it is difficult to determine if the implementation of this policy will lead to a marked change in behavior. Many employees currently take leave following a birth, adoption, or foster placement. This leave is part of the historical leave usage that is taken into account as part of the annual budget development process. All County and PHT employees are budgeted for 2,080 hours for employees with a 40 hour work week and 2,496 hours for employees with a 48 hour work week. In other words, whether the employees work or utilizes accrued leave, the funding to pay that employee’s salary is included in the budget. In addition, there are certain County operations, such as Transit, Police, Fire, and PHT services, that must budget a relief factor (additional positions which vary by service) to take into account both anticipated and unanticipated leave usage that occur during the year to ensure County services are not interrupted. In some instances, unanticipated leave may require backfill with overtime if the relief factor is not sufficient and work cannot be distributed among existing staff.</p> <p>Without knowing if a significant number of people who do not currently take leave for such events would now be utilizing this policy, the only element that we can be sure of is that employees who take advantage of the new policy would have more accrued leave available in the future (having not had to charge it for this period) and could potentially have higher separation payments in the future. We cannot say for sure whether costs will increase in the fiscal period following implementation or even in the new future. Therefore, while allowing employees to benefit from paid parental leave may have a fiscal impact to the County and PHT, it is difficult to determine such impact at this time.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Paid Parental Leave in the United States:</u> As a part of a series of Scholars’ Papers sponsored by the U.S. Department of Labor Women’s Bureau in commemoration of the 50th Anniversary of American Women: Report of the President’s Commission on the Status of Women, 1963, the Institute for Women’s Policy Research (IWPR) prepared a report regarding paid parental leave in the United States¹.</p> <p><u>State Initiatives to Increase Access to Parental Leave</u> Several U.S. states have enacted policies to provide workers with family leave benefits that are more generous than those required by the FMLA. They have done so in a variety of ways, from providing more than 12 weeks of job-protected unpaid leave for new parents to instituting a program that provides partial wage replacement for eligible workers who take time to care for a new baby, an adopted child, or an ill loved one.</p> <p>Five states and Puerto Rico have established TDI programs, which provide paid leave for temporary medical disabilities, including conditions related to pregnancy and childbirth. Employees in California, Hawaii, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Puerto Rico receive at least</p>

¹ <http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/paid-parental-leave-in-the-united-states-what-the-data-tell-us-about-access-usage-and-economic-and-health-benefits/>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>partial wage replacement while on disability leave or on leave related to pregnancy or childbirth (which is considered a temporary medical disability under the PDA; National Partnership for Women and Families 2013a; U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission).</p> <p>The Economic Benefits of Paid Family Leave Research shows that paid leave increases the likelihood that workers will return to work after childbirth, improves employee morale, has no or positive effects on workplace productivity, reduces costs to employers through improved employee retention, and improves family incomes. Research further suggests that expanding paid leave is likely to have economy-wide benefits such as reduced government spending on public assistance and increased labor force participation, which would bring concomitant economic gains, generating a larger tax base and increased consumer spending. At least one study, cited by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (2007) finds that paid leave for fathers helps to foster gender equity, both in the workplace and in the home, since it shortens leaves for mothers, increasing their job tenure and potentially their wage growth. For an additional review of the economic benefits of paid family leave.</p> <p>Costs and Benefits to Firms Research on existing paid leave programs suggests that paid leave leads to negligible costs to employers in terms of temporary employee replacement costs or overtime paid to existing employees and has few if any costs—and potentially gains—in terms of employee morale and productivity. Research looking at changes following the implementation of state-administered paid leave programs has been particularly informative for assessing how employers adjust to new paid leave requirements.</p> <p>The most recent FMLA surveys also find that employers do not typically replace workers on leave for family care purposes. In 2012, the vast majority (64.5 percent) of all employers temporarily reassigned other employees to cover for workers on family leave, while 3.2 percent hired temporary replacements. In their recent study of California’s paid family leave program, Appelbaum and Milkman (2011) also conclude that very few firms incurred additional costs related to replacing workers on leave because they simply passed the work on to other workers temporarily.</p> <p>According to the available evidence, it appears that firms incur few costs in addition to replacing pay (when paid leave is provided by the employer) and instead experience some substantial benefits. Finally, businesses can benefit because paid leave increases the probability of a mother returning to work and shortens her length of leave.</p> <p>Contributions of Paid Leave Policies to Economic Growth Paid family leave may also affect economic growth in various ways, such as through increased labor force participation, increased fertility rates, and reduced spending on public assistance. Higher labor force participation, either by men or women (or both), affects growth by increasing inputs to production. More labor typically results in higher levels of output as long as the capital stock can expand to accommodate it. Since the effect of paid leave on labor force participation rates is typically much higher for women than men, offering paid leave can help push the economy towards gender equality in labor force participation. This equality has obvious implications for economic growth.</p> <p>The Health and Socio-Emotional Benefits of Family Leave Family leave, both paid and unpaid, has been shown to have significant benefits for the health of individual family members and for the well-being of the family overall. The length of leave taken, whether or not that leave is paid, and the proportion of income replaced during paid leave all affect the magnitude of the benefits of family leave. Health and socio-emotional benefits of family leave include: increased initiation and length of breastfeeding; decreased morbidity and mortality; increased well-baby care and vaccination rates; reduced maternal risk of disease through higher breastfeeding rates; and greater paternal engagement in caregiving.</p> <p>Additional Information - Mark Zuckerberg says he'll take 2 months' paternity leave after daughter's born²:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Mark Zuckerberg is taking two full months of paternity leave when his baby daughter is born, the Facebook CEO announced Friday.</i> • <i>Facebook (FB, Tech30) is one of the leaders in a push by tech companies to offer competitive parental leave. Employees can take up to four months of maternity or paternity leave in the U.S. Leave amounts vary at Facebook offices in other countries.</i> • <i>According to the U.S. Department of Labor, only 12% of workers in the private sector are offered paid family leave by their employers. The department says paid parental leave encourages fathers to serve as caregivers.</i> • <i>While many top technology companies offer generous amounts of leave, some employees might be hesitant to take it. When executives use the same benefits, it can send a positive message to employees that it's acceptable and encouraged.</i>
7L 151777	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR INCREASED HEIGHT AND LENGTH IN RECREATIONAL VEHICLE AND BOAT STORAGE; AMENDING SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	See Item 7J
8C1 152980	RESOLUTION APPROVING, PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES SECTION 125.37, A LAND EXCHANGE WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("FDOT") TO OF 60,170 SQUARE FEET OF COUNTY-OWNED LAND LOCATED SOUTH OF NE 13TH STREET BETWEEN BISCAYNE BLVD. AND NE 2ND AVENUE ("COUNTY PARCEL") FOR 61,904 SQUARE FEET OF FDOT-OWNED LAND LOCATED IMMEDIATELY WEST OF THE COUNTY PARCEL BETWEEN NE 2ND AVENUE AND NE 1ST AVENUE ("FDOT PARCEL"); DECLARING COUNTY PARCEL SURPLUS; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; TRANSFERRING SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS GRANT REQUIREMENTS FROM COUNTY PARCEL TO APPROXIMATELY 21,000 SQUARE FEET WITHIN FDOT PARCEL; RELEASING A

² <http://money.cnn.com/2015/11/20/technology/mark-zuckerberg-paternity-leave-facebook/>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FROM COUNTY PARCEL; RECORDING A NEW RESTRICTIVE COVENANT ON PERFORMING ARTS CENTER IN FAVOR OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THIS BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED; DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE RELEASE OF RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AND NEW RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AND TO COMPLETE ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE EXCHANGE OF THE PARCELS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exchange of a County-owned parcel totaling approximately 60,170 square feet and located south of NE 13 Street between Biscayne Boulevard and NE 2 Avenue for parcels owned by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) totaling approximately 61,904 square feet, and located immediately west of the County-owned parcel between NE 2 Avenue and NE 1 Avenue, pursuant to Section 125.37, Florida Statutes; • Transfer of Safe Neighborhood Parks (SNP) grant requirements from the County-owned parcel to the parcel the County will receive from FDOT; • Release of a restrictive covenant for the County-owned parcel; • Recording of a new restrictive covenant on the property located at 1300 Biscayne Boulevard, known as the Adrienne Arsht Center for the Performing Arts of Miami-Dade County (Arsht Center); and • Delegation of authority to the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to execute the associated deeds, release of restrictive covenant, and restrictive covenant. <p>The proposed land exchange will accomplish the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide FDOT with sufficient clearance to reconstruct I-395 with the planned signature bridge; • Preserve the view corridor at the front of the Arsht Center's Ballet Opera House by restricting future building on Parcel 171. The proposed deed for Parcel 171 includes the following restriction: "No permanent building shall be erected on the Property so as to obstruct, impede or block the sightline and view from, to and of the Performing Arts Center;" and • Provide a nearby County-owned location suitable for the development of a park for community use and parking to serve the Arsht Center. <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u></p> <p>There is no funding impact regarding the exchange of properties. Based on appraisals performed by FDOT, the parcels being exchanged have an equal value of \$27.8 million each. The use restrictions outlined in the SNP grant will be maintained by the County and transferred from the County-owned parcel to the parcel being received from FDOT. The release of restrictive covenant is necessary in order to clear the title of the County-owned parcel. The recording of a revised restrictive covenant corrects the address of the property (mistakenly identified as the parcel south of NE 13 Street rather than the Arsht Center itself) and is a condition to the \$750,000.00 grant that was awarded by the State of Florida Regional Cultural Facilities Grant Program for the construction of the Arsht Center in 2006.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Land Exchange</p> <p>The County has a long-term Operating Management Agreement with the Performing Arts Center Trust, Inc. (PACT), the non-profit organization that manages and operates the performing arts center facilities on the east and west sides of Biscayne Boulevard, between 13 Street and 14 Street, which comprise the Arsht Center. During the development and design of the Arsht Center (previously known as the Performing Arts Center), the PACT commissioned an urban design plan that recommended securing the land south of the Arsht Center to prevent these key sites from being developed and to create gateway parks for the Arsht Center. In 1999, the County purchased Parcel 171, located south of the Ballet Opera House on the west side of Biscayne Boulevard (Resolution No. R-1038-99). Years later, FDOT purchased the land south of the Concert Hall, located on the east side of Biscayne Boulevard, as well as other properties along the I-395 corridor, in anticipation of the planned reconstruction of I-395.</p> <p>The County used funds from successful grant applications submitted by the Office of the County Manager and prepared by the Department of Cultural Affairs to purchase Parcel 171. Grant awards for the property acquisition totaled \$1.5 million from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and \$800,000.00 from the County's SNP Program. Due diligence has been performed on the requirements of these grants to ensure that the proposed land exchange does not violate the terms of the grant agreements. The County is planning to update the Operating Management Agreement to give the PACT additional authorization to use the exchanged property for parking and 35 percent of the parcel for open park space, subject to BCC approval. The use restrictions outlined in the SNP grant will be maintained and included in the updated Operating Management Agreement.</p> <p>Title work for the FDOT-owned parcel revealed two (2) issues, which merit further elaboration here for the purpose of full disclosure, but are not considered to be detrimental to the land transfer. A repurchase agreement between FDOT and a third party, Charleville Development Corp., covers part of the land to be conveyed to the County. The agreement states that "the right to repurchase is subordinate to the right of governmental entities that acquire the Property for any public purpose," therefore, it does not immediately affect the proposed land transfer. The agreement is dated June 13, 2005, with an expiration of 25 years after the date of the purchase agreement. This right to repurchase would only be of concern if the County were to sell the property before 2030. In addition, a Level I Environmental Assessment revealed contamination concerns for the southern portion of the FDOT parcel, where FDOT has performed preliminary remediation and will continue remediation activities during the construction phase of I-395. A strip of land 25-feet by 180 feet on the southern portion of the parcel being transferred to the County is included in the remediation area. Except for this area, there are no contamination concerns for the northern portion of the parcel, which is being conveyed to the County.</p> <p>Release of Restrictive Covenant and New Restrictive Covenant</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	As a result of the title work performed on County-owned Parcel 171, a restrictive covenant on the property was found to have been incorrectly recorded on that property. In 2006, the Arsht Center applied for and was granted a \$750,000.00 grant from the State of Florida Regional Cultural Facilities Program. As a condition of award, the County, as owner of the facility, recorded the restrictive covenant limiting the use of the facility as a cultural facility for a period of ten (10) years following the execution of the grant agreement. The restrictive covenant incorrectly listed the address of the facility as 240 Northeast 13 Street, Miami, Florida, 33132, which was the location of the construction trailers at the time. The release of restrictive covenant is required to clear the title for Parcel 171 prior to completing the land exchange. A new revised restrictive covenant will be recorded with the correct address of the Arsht Center: 1300 Biscayne Boulevard, Miami, Florida, 33132. All other terms of the restrictive covenant will remain as before.																		
8F3 152771	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL TIME OF SIX MONTHS AND AN ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$554,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. RFQ 806 FOR PURCHASE OF MARKETING AND PUBLIC RELATIONS SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request for a designated purchase under Contract No. RFQ806, Marketing and Public Relations Services, for the Department of Cultural Affairs and Public Library System. Approval of a designated purchase is being requested, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the Miami-Dade County Code, to authorize the extension of the current contract term by six (6) months and increase the allocation by \$554,000 to ensure uninterrupted delivery of marketing, advertising and public relations services while the long-term replacement contract is developed, competed and awarded. Under the replacement contract, these services will be expanded to include all departments with service delivery being overseen by the Communications Department.</p> <p>Background: This contract was competitively established in July 2012 for a three-year term plus a three-year option to renew to provide marketing, advertising, media and public relations services for the Department of Cultural Affairs. The County has elected not to exercise the option to renew term as the contract's scope of services will be overhauled to reflect the centralization of countywide marketing and advertising needs under the Communications Department. The Department of Cultural Affairs has used this contract to market and brand the South Miami-Dade Cultural Arts Center and its Culture Shock Miami initiative, a program that encourages high school and college students to purchase discounted tickets to a variety of cultural events. In October 2014, the Library System was added to this contract, as recommended by the Mayor's Blue Ribbon Task Force, which was created to develop a blueprint for the future of the Library System. Through this contact, the Library System has embarked upon a broad-reaching, refreshed identity development initiative.</p> <p>The current contract term was extended administratively by six (6) months under the County Mayor's delegated authority. This item is presented for BCC approval as a designated purchase because the Administration has exhausted its authority to extend the contract and competition is currently not practicable as the replacement solicitation is being developed. It is anticipated that the replacement contract will be presented to the BCC for award in summer 2016. Developing the replacement solicitation requires significant time and resources as the Communications Department is collaborating with County departments to finalize comprehensive specifications to meet countywide marketing and public relations needs..</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The contract is in its initial three-year term. The additional time will extend the contract's expiration date by (6) months, from February 29, 2016 to August 31, 2016. The additional allocation amount requested for the extension period is \$554,000.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors Arts Marketing Network, Inc. (MICRO/SBE) 1320 Egret Road Homestead, FL Matrix 2, Inc. (SBE) 1903 NW 97 Avenue Miami, FL</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Additional Information on RFQ 806 - Marketing and Public Relations Services</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;"><i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">RFQ 806</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Original Contract <i>8/6/2012-8/31/2015</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$360,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><i>*Extended 6 months under Mayor's delegated authority to 2/29/2016*</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Proration</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$149,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 1 <i>5/1/2014</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$488,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 2 <i>10/9/2014</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$50,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Current Contract Value</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,047,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on RFQ 806 - Marketing and Public Relations Services		<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>		RFQ 806	Amount	Original Contract <i>8/6/2012-8/31/2015</i>	\$360,000	<i>*Extended 6 months under Mayor's delegated authority to 2/29/2016*</i>		Proration	\$149,000	Modification 1 <i>5/1/2014</i>	\$488,000	Modification 2 <i>10/9/2014</i>	\$50,000	Current Contract Value	\$1,047,000
Additional Information on RFQ 806 - Marketing and Public Relations Services																			
<i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>																			
RFQ 806	Amount																		
Original Contract <i>8/6/2012-8/31/2015</i>	\$360,000																		
<i>*Extended 6 months under Mayor's delegated authority to 2/29/2016*</i>																			
Proration	\$149,000																		
Modification 1 <i>5/1/2014</i>	\$488,000																		
Modification 2 <i>10/9/2014</i>	\$50,000																		
Current Contract Value	\$1,047,000																		
8F4 152848	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$15,000,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9418-0/16 FOR PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT, COMPONENTS, PARTS AND SERVICES FOR METRORAIL AND METROMOVER AND OTHER RAIL VEHICLES AND RAIL SYSTEMS FOR THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT																		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes an increased expenditure authority of \$15,000,000 to Prequalification No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems for the Miami-Dade Transit Department. This pool award was established in August 2011 and provides the County with an open pool of pre-qualified vendors for the spot market purchase of components, replacement parts, and maintenance and repair services, as needed, for transit infrastructure (e.g., Metrorail, Metromover).</p> <p>The Transit Department's current rail system infrastructure exceeds 30 years of age and requires ongoing improvements, upgrades and rehabilitation to ensure continued operations and passenger safety. Railcars breakdown due to age and daily wear, requiring replacement parts for repair and preventive maintenance. Outdated parts must be manufactured due to lack of availability and/or replaced or transferred from one railcar to another in order to keep trains in service.</p> <p>The daily service required for preventive maintenance, including various planned rehabilitation projects (e.g., Traction Power Rectifier Transformer Project; Traction Power Switchgear Upgrade Project; and Train Control Project) is expected to deplete the Transit Department's current allocation. The additional expenditure authority, which is based on current monthly usage and projected expenditures for the remaining pool term, will ensure the proper maintenance of transit infrastructure so that patrons have uninterrupted use of the rail systems. The projected costs are broken down as follows: rail maintenance \$7,000,000; track and guideway maintenance \$6,000,000; and mover maintenance \$2,000,000.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: This pool, which expires on July 31, 2016, has an existing allocation of \$56,975,000, for the initial five-year term. Miami-Dade Transit Department's existing allocation is \$56,450,000. To date, the Transit Department has released approximately \$52,984,188 of that allocation. Transit's remaining allocation, as well as the other departmental allocations under the pool, are projected for expenditure. This modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$15,000,000 increasing the total pool value to \$71,975,000.</p> <p>There are currently 61 pre-qualified vendors in the pool, of which 12 have local offices.</p> <p>Additional Information - Prequalification No. 9418-0/16: On July 19, 2011, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-616-11, established a pre-qualification pool of vendors for subsequent spot market competitions to purchase equipment, components, parts and services for Metrorail, Metromover, tracks, rail and guideway systems, and rail related maintenance equipment for the Miami-Dade Transit Department, for a term of five year in the amount of \$30,000,000. This contract was to be used for purchases funded by MDT Operating (non-federal) funds. A separate contract was to be established for the purchase of parts using federal funds.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on July 19, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission noted that only two out of the 11 proposed vendors were local companies and that additional opportunities were needed for local companies in order to improve the local economy.</i> • <i>The Commission asked the Mayor to analyze the existing method used by the County for the acquisition and stockpiling of parts in the County's warehouse; and to explore the possibility of contracting with an outside provider to purchase and store parts, which would be available to the County on an as needed basis.</i> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Additional Information on Modifications to Prequalification No. 9418-0/16</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Modification</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 1 5/21/2013</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 2 7/9/2013</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$245,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 3 8/27/2013</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$155,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 4 R-753-14 9/3/2014</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$26,000,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 5 2/20/2015</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$25,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 6 11/9/2015</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$450,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Modifications to Prequalification No. 9418-0/16		Modification	Amount	Modification 1 5/21/2013	\$100,000	Modification 2 7/9/2013	\$245,000	Modification 3 8/27/2013	\$155,000	Modification 4 R-753-14 9/3/2014	\$26,000,000	Modification 5 2/20/2015	\$25,000	Modification 6 11/9/2015	\$450,000
Additional Information on Modifications to Prequalification No. 9418-0/16																	
Modification	Amount																
Modification 1 5/21/2013	\$100,000																
Modification 2 7/9/2013	\$245,000																
Modification 3 8/27/2013	\$155,000																
Modification 4 R-753-14 9/3/2014	\$26,000,000																
Modification 5 2/20/2015	\$25,000																
Modification 6 11/9/2015	\$450,000																
8F5 152873	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$400,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. L601 FOR THE ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT TO PURCHASE ELECTRONIC VOTER IDENTIFICATION STATIONS, ASSOCIATED SOFTWARE AND MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$400,000 to Legacy Contract No. L601, Electronic Voter Identification Stations, for the Elections Department to purchase additional electronic voter identification stations (EVIDs), electronic poll books, associated software licenses and required software maintenance and support services. EVIDs interface directly with the County's voter registration database, allowing Elections Department staff to verify voter information, including voter eligibility, through the use of driver's																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>license card swipes or bar code scanners. The EVIDs maintain up-to-date voter activity and prevent voter fraud by detecting multiple check-ins and identifying when absentee ballots have already been submitted.</p> <p>The increased spending authority will be specifically applied to expand the use of EVIDs to all Early Voting and Election Day precincts for countywide and municipal elections, which is expected to improve operational efficiencies and voter experience. More precisely, it is anticipated that expanded usage of EVIDs will reduce voter check-in time, prevent multiple voting, provide live statistics of voter activity, automatically update voter registration records, validate voter reports to the correct precinct, and reduce staff workload.</p> <p>The BCC awarded this legacy contract to VR Systems, Inc. in September 2013 through Resolution No. R-735-13 for a three-year term plus two (2), two-year options-to-renew. The Elections Department relies on this contract to purchase equipment, supplies, software, including software licenses, and associated maintenance services to buttress its vast voting operations.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The contract, which is in its initial three-year term, expires on October 31, 2016. The allocation for the initial term was modified from \$3,200,000 to \$3,800,000, administratively, to purchase 200 EVIDs. The requested \$400,000 increase in allocation for the initial term is based on estimated needs in preparation for the 2016 presidential election cycle, as recommended by the County Mayor's Elections Advisory Group. This allocation will allow for the purchase of 25 additional EVIDs, software licenses and maintenance and support services for the remainder of the term. If this modification is approved, the contract will have a total modified allocation for the initial term of \$4,200,000.</p> <p>Awarded Vendor VR Systems, Inc. 2840 Remington Green Circle Tallahassee, FL</p>						
8F6 152906	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE THIRD ONE-YEAR OPTION-TO-RENEW PERIOD FOR CONTRACT NO. RFP 540, BUS PASSENGER BENCH PROGRAM, WITH AN ESTIMATED TOTAL REVENUE TO BE GENERATED OF UP TO \$250,000 FOR THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to exercise the third, fourth and fifth option-to-renew (OTR) periods under Contract No. RFP 540, Bus Passenger Bench Program, for the Transit Department.</p> <p>The contract specifically requires Signal Advertising to provide installation, maintenance and removal of passenger benches, litterbins and associated advertising signage at approximately 3,300 existing bus stops in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and participating municipalities. Of the 3,300 existing bus stops, 1,100 stops lack passenger amenities such as benches and shelters. For the third OTR, Signal Advertising will install 100 new bus benches at bus stops identified by the County. Additional bus benches may be installed during subsequent OTR periods via a supplemental agreements between Signal Advertising and the Transit Department.</p> <p>The Bus Passenger Bench Program has been in place for seven (7) years and generates revenue to the County based on fixed annual minimum guarantees in addition to overages calculated as the difference between the annual minimum guarantees and 10 percent of annual gross advertising sales. Since its inception, this contract has generated \$918,000 in revenue to the Transit Department through November 2015.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: This contract was awarded to Signal Outdoor Advertising, LLC (Signal Advertising) in December 2008 for a five-year term, plus five (5) one-year OTRs. Under the contract, Signal Advertising is responsible for the installation and/or maintenance of bus passenger benches and litterbins throughout the County and participating municipalities. Signal is able to sell advertising on the bus benches, which generates revenue to the County as a percentage of gross advertising revenue in addition to an annual minimum guarantee.</p> <p>The contract, which is in its second OTR, expires on February 29, 2016. To prevent a disruption in service delivery, a three-month contract extension was exercised administratively to allow Signal Advertising to continue to maintain and advertise on the approximately 3,300 existing bus benches in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and participating municipalities until this item is approved by the BCC.</p> <p>The total estimated revenue to be generated during the requested OTR periods, which covers from March 1, 2016 to February 28, 2019, is \$900,000. BCC approval of the OTRs is required as the value of the requested renewal periods takes the aggregate contract value over the Mayor's delegated authority. This item is exempt from Committee review per Ordinance No. 07-139, which permits contract renewals to be heard directly by the BCC.</p> <p>If all OTR periods are exercised, the contract's expiration date will be February 28, 2019, and the contract's cumulative value (i.e., estimated total revenue to be generated) will be \$1,870,000.</p> <p>Prior to the exercise of an OTR period, the Administration will perform market research to ensure exercising the OTR is in the County's best interest. Market research will include an assessment of competitive factors such as pricing, quality, product features, operational functionality and capital commitment by the vendor.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Department</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Estimated Revenue</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Funding Source</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Transit</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$250,000</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Revenue-Generating</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Department	Estimated Revenue	Funding Source	Transit	\$250,000	Revenue-Generating
Department	Estimated Revenue	Funding Source					
Transit	\$250,000	Revenue-Generating					

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	Initial Contract Term and OTRs with Revenue Generated:		
	Initial Contract Term	December 1, 2008 – November 30, 2013	\$556,000
	First OTR	December 1, 2013 – November 30, 2014	\$157,000
	Second OTR	December 1, 2014 – February 29, 2016	\$257,000
	TOTAL		\$970,000
	OTR Requested for Approval and Estimated Revenue under this item:		
	Third OTR	March 1, 2016 – February 28, 2017	\$250,000
	Fourth OTR	March 1, 2017 – February 28, 2018	\$300,000
	Fifth OTR	March 1, 2018 – February 28, 2019	\$350,000
	Cumulative Value		\$1,870,000
	<p>Awarded Vendor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal - Signal Outdoor Advertising, LLC Principal Address - 200 Mansell Court East, Suite 430, Roswell, GA Local Address - 16225 SW 117 Avenue, Unit 1 Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision does not apply due to the funding source. The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference ordinances do not apply. The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. 		
8F7 152938	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP 00226 TO LAW ENFORCEMENT PSYCHOLOGICAL AND COUNSELING ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR THE PROVISION OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION AND TESTING OF PUBLIC SAFETY PERSONNEL FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, FIRE RESCUE AND POLICE DEPARTMENTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,788,000.00 OVER THE FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Request for Proposals No. 00226, Psychological Evaluation Testing for Public Safety Personnel, to Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc. for the administration of psychological evaluation and testing of County employees performing public safety functions (e.g., Police Officer, Police Dispatcher, Firefighter, Fire Rescue Dispatcher and Correctional Officer).</p> <p>Under the contract, the evaluation services encompass standardized tests, clinical interview and written recommendations to determine an employee's suitability to perform a public safety position. The psychological evaluation will be conducted by Florida Board of Psychology-licensed clinical psychologists and is designed to identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Positive psychological characteristics associated with successful job performance; Traits that may interfere with effective job performance; Emotionally unsuitable candidates; Potential for violence, suicide, aggression and substance abuse; Personality problems and emotional disorders; and Stress management characteristics. The Corrections and Rehabilitation, Police, and Fire Rescue Departments will use Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc.'s recommendations during their hiring and fitness-for-duty decision-making processes. <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$2,788,000. The current contract, RFP700, is valued at \$1,959,000 for a five-year and six-month term. The allocation under the replacement contract is higher due to a projected aggressive hiring plan for the Public Safety departments which include: Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department, Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department, and Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p><i>If this item is approved, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will have the authority to exercise all provisions of the contract, including any extensions or renewals, pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38.</i></p> <p>Vendor Recommended for Award A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on August 3, 2015. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. The proposal from Cognitive Health Network Corporation was deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failure to include a price schedule and other material elements required for evaluation.</p> <p>Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc., the recommended vendor, has a team of qualified professionals with substantial experience as well as the requisite professional degrees and licenses needed to deliver the solicited services. The firm offers continuous availability, ease of accessibility, and contingency plans that meet the County's aggressive hiring plan. Moreover, the firm has been instrumental in the field of developing public safety guidelines for pre-employment and fitness-for-duty evaluations.</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc. 9960 NW 116 Way, Suite 12 Miami, FL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Additional Information on Current Contract - RFP700</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Contract</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Amount</th> <th style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> RFP700 <i>R-729-10</i> <i>8/1/2010-7/31/2013</i> First term </td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$980,000</td> <td> On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through R-729-10, approved the execution of an agreement in the amount of \$980,000 with Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc. for three years, with two, one-year options-to-renew. <i>If the County exercised the two, one-year options-to-renew, the total contract value will be \$1,634,000.</i> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Modification 1 <i>R-841-12</i> <i>10/2/2012</i> </td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$195,000</td> <td> On October 2, 2012, the BCC, through R-841-12, modified this contract for an additional \$195,000 in spending authority to allow the Fire Rescue Department to continue purchasing psychological evaluation services for firefighters and dispatchers. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> RFP700-1(2) <i>8/1/2013-1/31/2016</i> 1st and 2nd OTR </td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$783,333</td> <td> According to the Bid Tracking System, the original contract expiration date was July 31, 2015 but current expiration date is January 31, 2016. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Current Contract - RFP700			Contract	Amount	Description	RFP700 <i>R-729-10</i> <i>8/1/2010-7/31/2013</i> First term	\$980,000	On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through R-729-10, approved the execution of an agreement in the amount of \$980,000 with Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc. for three years, with two, one-year options-to-renew. <i>If the County exercised the two, one-year options-to-renew, the total contract value will be \$1,634,000.</i>	Modification 1 <i>R-841-12</i> <i>10/2/2012</i>	\$195,000	On October 2, 2012, the BCC, through R-841-12, modified this contract for an additional \$195,000 in spending authority to allow the Fire Rescue Department to continue purchasing psychological evaluation services for firefighters and dispatchers.	RFP700-1(2) <i>8/1/2013-1/31/2016</i> 1 st and 2 nd OTR	\$783,333	According to the Bid Tracking System, the original contract expiration date was July 31, 2015 but current expiration date is January 31, 2016.
Additional Information on Current Contract - RFP700																
Contract	Amount	Description														
RFP700 <i>R-729-10</i> <i>8/1/2010-7/31/2013</i> First term	\$980,000	On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through R-729-10, approved the execution of an agreement in the amount of \$980,000 with Law Enforcement Psychological and Counseling Associates, Inc. for three years, with two, one-year options-to-renew. <i>If the County exercised the two, one-year options-to-renew, the total contract value will be \$1,634,000.</i>														
Modification 1 <i>R-841-12</i> <i>10/2/2012</i>	\$195,000	On October 2, 2012, the BCC, through R-841-12, modified this contract for an additional \$195,000 in spending authority to allow the Fire Rescue Department to continue purchasing psychological evaluation services for firefighters and dispatchers.														
RFP700-1(2) <i>8/1/2013-1/31/2016</i> 1 st and 2 nd OTR	\$783,333	According to the Bid Tracking System, the original contract expiration date was July 31, 2015 but current expiration date is January 31, 2016.														
8F8 152977	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00264 FOR PURCHASE OF VETERINARY LABORATORY SUPPLIES AND SERVICES FOR THE ANIMAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,500,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award of Contract No. FB-00264, Veterinary Laboratory Supplies and Services, for the Miami-Dade Animal Services Department. The contract includes two (2) groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group A - Diagnostic Testing Supplies; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Under Group A, the awardee will supply testing kits used at an Animal Services Department facility to detect various diseases, including, but not limited to, heartworm, lyme, feline immunodeficiency and leukemia viruses and canine parvovirus.</i> Group B - Laboratory Reference Services. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Under Group B, the awardee will provide laboratory referral services such as chain reaction testing for respiratory and gastrointestinal pathogens and tick diseases, basic blood testing, toxicological screenings, urinalysis, antibody detection, parasitic screening and histopathology services.</i> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$3,500,000. The Animal Services Department previously utilized a purchasing card to obtain testing kits and laboratory referral services on an as needed basis in an annual amount of approximately \$12,000. An outbreak of distemper in early 2015 resulted in the department executing an emergency purchase in the amount of \$150,000 to secure testing supplies and lab services to curb the spread of the disease. This allocation request reflects the Animal Services Department's anticipated needs for the five-year term and represents an allocation increase based on the current level of testing, anticipated expansion of services as a result of the opening of the new animal services shelter, and additional funds to address potential future outbreaks.</p> <p>Vendor Recommended for Award An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on July 17, 2015. Seven (7) bidders responded to the solicitation, four (4) of which were "No Bids." Three (3) bidders submitted responses for Group A and two (2) bidders submitted responses for Group B. The method of award was to the single lowest-priced responsive and responsible bidder in the aggregate per group.</p> <p>Awarded vendors Idexx Distribution, Inc. 1 Idexx Drive Westbrook, ME, local address: 103 NW 85 Court Miami, FL</p>															
8F9 152677	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A RETROACTIVE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MIAMI RIVER HOUSE II ASSOCIATES, LTD AND MIAMI RIVER HOUSE III ASSOCIATES, LTD, FLORIDA LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS, FOR THE PREMISES LOCATED AT 1035 NW 11 COURT AND 1080 NW 11 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA, TO BE UTILIZED BY THE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT FOR EMPLOYEE PARKING, WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT ESTIMATED TO BE \$52,000 FOR THE SIX MONTH TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE 14-MONTH OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Retroactive Lease Agreement (Lease) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Miami River House II Associates, LTD and the Miami River House III Associates, LTD (Landlord), for properties to be used as parking spaces for Water and Sewer Department employees. More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authorizes the lease of two (2) separate parcels of land, both improved with parking spaces to be utilized by Water and Sewer Department employees; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parcel A (approximately 21,292 square feet) is owned by Miami River House II Associates, LTD and is located at 1035 NW 11 Court, Miami, Florida; and 															

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Parcel B (approximately 20,064 square feet) is owned by Miami River House III Associates, LTD and is located at 1080 NW 11 Street, Miami, Florida. • Authorizes an initial lease term of six (6) months, effective retroactively on May 1, 2015, plus an optional renewal term of up to 14 months, exercised on a month-to-month basis, through December 31, 2016. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact to the Water and Sewer Department for the initial term of the Lease is estimated to be \$15,600.00. This amount is comprised of \$15,000.00 in base rent, which is equal to \$2,500.00 per month and a \$600.00 lease management fee. The lease management fee, which amounts to four (4) percent of the base rent, will be paid by the Water and Sewer Department to the Internal Services Department for the administration of the Lease. If the County elects to exercise the full optional renewal term, the total fiscal impact to the Water and Sewer Department is estimated to be \$52,000.00. All costs associated with this Lease will be funded through the Water and Sewer Department's Water Revenue Fund. The Water and Sewer Department does not anticipate any annual costs for operation and maintenance of the properties, as both properties are paved parking lots. Under the Lease, the Landlord will bear the costs to maintain the parking lot areas, including any necessary repairs and electrical services.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Water and Sewer Department's Water Transmission and Distribution Division and Sewer Meter Installation and Maintenance Section have approximately 155 employees located at 1001 NW 11 Street, Miami, Florida. The location is comprised of office space, equipment and fleet repair bays, an equipment storage facility, and a fuel station.</p> <p>Due to parking constraints, employees working at this location were utilizing parking spaces at the Culmer Metrorail Station located at 670 NW 11 Street, as authorized by the Miami-Dade Transit Department. Following a Federal Transit Administration (FTA) field examination in February 2015, the FTA advised the Transit Department that parking at the Culmer station by Water and Sewer Department employees is not permissible and all available parking must be used by Metrorail patrons. Thus, staff from the Water and Sewer and Transit Departments implemented a corrective action plan to address this issue, identifying the two (2) properties in the Lease as the best short-term option due to pricing, proximity to the location, and availability of a combined total of 60 parking spaces. The Water and Sewer Department employees have been utilizing these properties since May 1, 2015 for parking purposes in order to meet operational needs.</p>
8G1 152852	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FOR THE N.W. 79TH STREET CORRIDOR COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$159,039.00
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the NW 79 Street Corridor Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2015-16 budget for the NW 79 Street Corridor Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$159,039.00. The BCC must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funds.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u> Typically the Agency's revenue source is the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, tax increment financing (Tax Increment), as defined in Section 163.387 of Florida State Statutes. Though there was an increase in the Preliminary 2015 Tax Roll over the 2014 Tax Roll, values have not risen above the base year for the Area, therefore, the Agency will not receive any Tax Increment revenue for the current fiscal year.</p> <p>The County will make payments to the Agency, when applicable, based on each year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year through 2039, when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On May 05, 2009, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-566-09, which declared the Area as slum or blighted. On July 19, 2011, the BCC adopted the Agency's Redevelopment Plan through Resolution No. R-604-11, establishing a Trust Fund and the resident board for the Area through Ordinance No. 11-55.</p> <p>The Agency held its first meeting on September 12, 2011. On October 3, 2011, the Agency adopted the Interlocal Agreement between the County and the Agency, which grants the Agency certain redevelopment powers. The Interlocal Agreement was approved by the BCC on January 24, 2012 through Resolution No. R-95-12. The Interlocal Agreement requires the Agency to submit for County approval an annual budget for the implementation of the Plan.</p> <p>On March 4, 2014, the BCC, adopted Resolution No. R-241-14, which conveyed a County-owned property located within the Area at 997 NW 79 Street to the Agency. The Agency issued a Request for Qualifications and is currently in the process of negotiating the sale of the property for an amount above the appraised value (\$157,281.00), along with a community benefits package that will include construction jobs and permanent job for resident of the Area. The sale of this property will bring additional funds to the Agency.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$175.00 for a special district fee imposed by the State of Florida; • \$70.00 for a non-ad valorem assessment; and • \$1,000.00 for maintenance on a property owned by the Agency. <p><i>The remaining \$157,786.00, which includes the proceeds from the sale of the above-mentioned property, will be held in reserve. The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget was approved by the Agency on October 8, 2015.</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
8G2 152855	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$2,720,838.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FOR THE NARANJA LAKES COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Naranja Lakes Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2015-16 budget for the Naranja Lakes Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's budget includes revenues and expenditures in the amount of \$2,720,838.</p> <p>The Board must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funding in the Trust Fund, as required by Section III D of the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u> The Agency's revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387, Florida Statutes. The Countywide TIF into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2015-16 is \$693,712 and the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) TIF payment into the Trust Fund is \$286,956.</p> <p>The County will continue to make payments to the Agency based on each year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year through 2033 when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On July 21, 1998, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-847-98, declaring a geographical area in south Miami-Dade County as slum and blight. The Agency was created by the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 02-216 adopted on October 22, 2002. The ordinance also appointed the Agency's board members and delegated the power to the Agency to prepare a Redevelopment Plan (Plan). On May 6, 2003, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-418-03, approving the Plan, and funded the Plan by adopting Ordinance No. 03-106, which created the Trust Fund. Resolution No. R-418-03, adopted by the BCC on July 22, 2003, approved the Interlocal Agreement granting the Agency certain redevelopment powers.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget of \$2,720,838 was approved by the Agency on September 15, 2015. The budget includes revenue sources of County and UMSA TIF (\$693,712 and \$286,956, respectively), carryover from prior years (\$1,736,670), and interest earnings (\$3,500).</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$92,850 and represent nine (9) percent of TIF revenues, excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$14,710), satisfying the 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures required by the Interlocal Agreement. Administrative expenses are for direct County support (\$90,000), advertising (\$2,500), printing (\$300), and mail service (\$50).</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$1,067,920 and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$400,000 for community policing; • \$375,000 for projected debt service payment; • \$125,000 for professional contractual services for a boundary extension redevelopment plan and an economic development coordinator; • \$75,000 for community building operations, maintenance, and insurance; • \$50,000 for commercial redevelopment grants; • \$30,000 for legal services; • \$10,000 for a commercial grant coordinator; • \$2,000 for marketing; and • \$920 for membership in the Florida Redevelopment Association and required state fees. <p>The Agency budget includes a \$1,545,358 contingency reserve.</p> <p>Following a five-year period, when the Area experienced a significant reduction in taxable values, the preliminary 2015 tax roll has increased nine (9) percent, indicating that the area has begun to recover from the recent economic downfall. As a result, the Area has benefited from a small growth in TIF revenues to address slum and blight in accordance with Agency's mission</p>
8H1 152876	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, THE DESIGNATED PURCHASES OF EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES FOR THE FURNISHING, DELIVERY, AND/OR INSTALLATION OF PLAYGROUND AND PARK EQUIPMENT, WITH A TOTAL CONTRACTUAL AUTHORITY OF \$4,000,000.00 IN THE AGGREGATE AND \$350,000.00 PER PROJECT FUNDED IN PART FROM THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, FOR A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Designated Purchases of playground and park equipment from a to-be-established open pool of pre-qualified vendors, and, in certain limited instances, the installation, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(B)(3) of the County Code. This resolution allows the Miami-Dade County Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) to procure playground and park equipment from pre-qualified and specialty vendors based upon unique project criteria.</p> <p>PROS will select vendors not exclusively on the basis of price but also based on which design and system is appropriate for the community, project, and department, within the constraints of the project budget. The unique project criteria may include community input, special needs, maintenance of consistency within parks or facilities, durability, maintainability, availability, and product catalog-based price as discounted by vendors. It is not practicable to purchase playgrounds and park equipment based solely on inflexible price-exclusive selection, as each one of these manufactured products is unique in design and appearance and needs to conform to and address local community needs and park aesthetics.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>The proposed resolution further provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authority provided by this resolution will be in place for three (3) years, and will permit the purchase of playground and park equipment, as well as the procurement of installation services at a total value not-to-exceed \$350,000 per project and \$4,000,000 in aggregate, with no minimum guarantee; • Specifically, the proposed resolution will allow the Administration to establish an open pool of pre-qualified vendors of playground and park equipment; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Under this authority, when it is not practicable to solicit formal sealed bids, PROS will select vendors not exclusively on the basis of price but also based on which design and system is appropriate for the community, project, and department, within the constraints of the project budget; and</i> ○ <i>For each equipment purchase, PROS will select up to three (3) vendors with appropriate product lines for consideration, and will obtain price quotes and product details to conduct an informal comparison among those vendors. The vendor that presents the product with the lowest price that best addresses the local community needs and park aesthetics will be selected. Pricing will be based on a vendor discount on the stated manufacturer's suggested retail price.</i> • Additionally, the proposed resolution will allow sole source purchasing, when warranted, including for procurement of replacement parts from the original equipment manufacturer, procurement of materials to match existing park elements (i.e. park benches) and equipment for which only one (1) product has a Notice of Acceptance from the State of Florida/Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>PROS has researched other public playground contracts in the State of Florida, and the manner of pricing as an agreed discount against MSRP is the common form of contract. Installation will be procured competitively under a separate contract based on price. In limited circumstances, PROS may procure the installation of playground and park equipment directly through equipment vendors via the pre-qualified playground and park equipment pool, as required, under the authority of this resolution.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The resolution authorizes Designated Purchase of playground and park equipment, and the installation thereof, at a value not-to-exceed \$350,000 per project and \$4,000,000 in aggregate over a period of three (3) years. The funding source for procurement will be capital funding sources budgeted on an annual basis by the BCC, including but not limited to Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program, Park Impact Fees, and grants. Additionally, replacement parts may be procured from general fund proceeds, based on an annual appropriation by the BCC.</p> <p>The authority to procure goods and services under this resolution will be managed by PROS. PROS will evaluate vendor performance under the Vendor Agreement; vendors' continued participation in the pool is contingent on satisfactory performance.</p> <p>In almost all instances, PROS will competitively procure installation and infrastructure services under the new Procurement contract for Playground: Installation and Infrastructure. PROS will only procure installation and infrastructure under this resolution in the event that it is critical to the completion of the project (i.e. a specified vendor is a participant in a collaboration, as with the Trust for Public Lands Fitness Zone program), shall support completion of the project within a deadline (such as a completion deadline required by a grant agreement), or due to the non-availability of qualified installers under the Playground: Installation and Infrastructure contract.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Playground and park equipment procurement was previously provided under County contract 4907-3/13, Playground and Park Equipment, Furnish, Deliver and/or Install. That contract provided for "the purchase, delivery, installation and/or repair of playground and park equipment, including but not limited to shelters, site furniture, play equipment and water play equipment, in conjunction with Miami-Dade County Departments' needs on an as-needed, when needed basis." The contract commenced on February 1, 2008 and expired on January 31, 2015.</p> <p>The Playground and Park Equipment, Furnish, Deliver and/or Install contract was structured with the following product groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group A – Purchase of Playground Equipment and Playground Equipment Parts; • Group B – Miscellaneous Park Equipment; and Group C – Installation and Infrastructure Services. <p>Thirty (30) vendors, representing over 100 manufacturers, were pre-qualified to provide materials and services under that contract. That contract had almost 700 purchase orders issued against it, and averaged over 120 purchase orders per year issued over the last three (3) years, with a value of over \$15.6 million (an average of \$2.7 million per year). It also made possible the construction of approximately 54 playgrounds and/or shade structures.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$8,500,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$4,242,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13		Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs	\$8,500,000	1 st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011	\$4,242,000
Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13							
Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs	\$8,500,000						
1 st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011	\$4,242,000						

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		2nd OTR 4907-3/13-2 2/1/2011-1/31/2012	\$4,242,000
		3rd OTR 4907-3/13-3 2/1/2012-1/31/2015	\$4,242,000
		Proration	\$9,100,000
8H2 152945	RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDDING UPON THE WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAYOR BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HOME RULE CHARTER; WAIVING SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO ISSUE THE CONTINGENT PAYMENTS DESCRIBED IN THE PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN FEBRUARY 15, 2016 AND APRIL 15, 2016 FOR THE 2016 MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AGRICULTURE, HORSE AND CATTLE SHOW IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$75,000.00 FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$200,000.00		
11A16 152940	RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 FOR SECURING SPONSORSHIPS AND PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES FOR HOSTING THE 9TH ANNUAL MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AGRICULTURE, HORSE AND CATTLE SHOW TO BE HELD ON APRIL 15-17, 2016		
Notes	<p><u>8H2 - 152945:</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the County to make the three (3) contingent payments described in Article I Section 6 of the Performance Agreement totaling \$75,000.00, to Moreno Firms, Inc. for the 2016 Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show (MIAHCS). The proposed resolution further waives the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 and competitive bidding procedures for the purchase of goods and services pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter.</p> <p>The 9th Annual MIAHCS will be held at the Ronald Reagan Equestrian Center at Tropical Park, located at 7900 SW 40 Street, Miami, Florida, and has a countywide impact.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Total fiscal impact from this approval to make the contingent payments described in the Performance Agreement is \$75,000.00. The County has previously approved, as authorized in Resolution No. R-587-14, a performance agreement for \$125,000.00. After approval of this item, the total amount paid to Moreno Firms, Inc. from County funds will be \$200,000.00 funded from the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department's (PROS).</p> <p><u>Background:</u> PROS entered into a Performance Agreement with Moreno Firms Inc. for \$125,000.00 authorized by Resolution No. R-587-14 for the 2016 MIAHCS. Under Resolution No. R-587-14, the maximum amount payable to an individual or firm per event is \$125,000.00; the Performance Agreement provides for three (3) additional payments totaling \$75,000.00 contingent on BCC approval. This item seeks to authorize payment of the contingent payments for services rendered by Moreno Firms, Inc. in connection with the 2016 MIAHCS. For the 2015 MIAHCS, the BCC approved a similar item authorizing an additional payment to Moreno Firms under Resolution No. R-213-15. Moreno Firms, Inc. has provided management services for the annual MIAHCS for the past several years and is qualified to provide these services.</p> <p>The 9th Annual MIAHCS runs from April 15-17, 2016. The event attracts more than 30,000 visitors a year from over 24 countries and features a cattle auction, equestrian shows, and local agriculture vendors. MIAHCS was created to promote Miami's agricultural and livestock industries to the rest of the country and the world. This event has expanded over the last eight (8) years, promoting Miami-Dade County as an international gateway in agri-business between Florida, the Southeastern U.S., and Latin America. Cattle breeders from over 18 states have showcased over a dozen breeds of cattle at the show.</p> <p>For the 2016 MIAHCS, there will be exhibitions of cattle and horse breeds and rodeo-type events, along with a wide selection of food vendors. Additionally, there will be upgrades to the children's area to include more arts and crafts, agricultural exhibits, and many types of farming equipment.</p> <p><u>11A16 - 152940:</u> The proposed resolution waives requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the 9th Annual MIAHCS on April 15-17, 2016.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The 9th Annual Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show (MIAHCS) is scheduled to take place at Tropical Park from April 15 through 17, 2016. In order to ensure the success of the 9th Annual MIAHCS, the County desires to purchase certain goods and services (some of which are highly specialized and technical in nature insofar as they relate to agriculture, horses, and cattle), and at least some of which will be purchased with office funds from District 10, of the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners. Additionally, District 10 desires to secure sponsors to assist in defraying the costs of this County event.</p> <p>Implementing Order 3-38 was established pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to establish, whenever possible, competitive processes and procedures for the County's purchase of goods and services. While the dollar value of the goods, services, and</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>sponsorships sought to be secured for the 9th Annual MIAHCS is below the threshold amount requiring formal sealed bids under County regulations, those County regulations nevertheless require market research and written quotes in advance of such purchases.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on January 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Department Director of the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department, advised this event was very important for the County. He noted the Moreno Firms had been working with the County for several years in the coordination of this event, and the County should continue to work with him to ensure consistency. He stated the foregoing resolution would allow Moreno Firms to manage and execute the cattle shows to ensure it happened; and the services would include the management of the judging for each cattle breed, the marketing and advertising campaigns, the cattle auctions, promotion of the show in other countries, and working directly with intercontinental ranchers who wished to visit to buy cattle. He stated that Moreno Firms would bring in various cattle breeds from all over the country.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed concern regarding the request for a budget increase, and questioned how the County would benefit from making the additional funding investment.</i> • <i>The Department Director explained the budget increase request was for \$100,000. He stated that the BCC had recognized this event on an annual basis and through the years had provided a regular funding stream to maintain it. He explained the event had an educational value, a relationship with the agricultural industry, and an economic impact in the community. Consequently, it was about the agricultural industry in itself, and it was different in that respect. He advised that the concept of making the event self-sufficient through private sponsorships or other revenue sources was not likely to happen this year due to the proximity of the event's date.</i> • <i>The Committee explained that this event had been taking place for the past eight (8) years; and it was the largest international agricultural show, which attracted about 50,000 visitors during the event's weekend. It was noted that it was also fully supported by the Greater Miami Convention & Visitors Bureau (GMCVB).</i> • <i>The Committee explained that concerns were related to the events' inability to achieve financial self-sufficiency and the request to waive the competitive bidding. It was noted that the budgetary increase exceeded the financial agreement, and it would set precedence.</i> • <i>Following a discussion among the members of this Committee regarding the budgetary increase, the Department Director explained the event would benefit foundations supporting Autism; and there were additional expenses associated with the addition of cattle breeds, expansion of the scope of the show, executing a much more robust marketing campaign, and the payment of overtime. He noted the admission's charge would have to be too high without the County's subsidy.</i> • <i>The Committee asked for clarification on the revenue benefit to the County for the extra \$100,000 investment, especially when a bid waiver was being requested.</i> • <i>The Department Director explained the marketing and advertising campaigns, and he listed the names of the publications that Moreno Firms would utilize for marketing and advertising. He also itemized the cattle breeds that would be used in the show.</i> • <i>The Committee explained that this item had transparency, and the funds generated by this event benefited the Autism Foundation.</i> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> <i>The following information was provided by the Office of Management and Budget Department (OMB)in response to questions posed by the OCA regarding the MIAHCS:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>District 10 did not allocate any funds in FY2015 but provided \$146k in FY2014.</i> • <i>The county did not realize any profits in FY15 and donated the proceeds to the SFACS, as shown in the table below:</i> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Year</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Proceeds</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2010</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$57,900.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2011</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$131,582.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2012</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$92,201.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2013</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$82,094.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2014</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$27,027.72</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2015</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$44,859.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$435,663.86</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>The following information was provided by the Miami-Dade Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS)in response to questions posed by the OCA regarding the 8th Annual MIAHCS:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many sponsors were secured in the 8th Annual MIAHCS? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>There were 20 sponsors for the 2015 MIAHCS.</i> • Was there a cost to the County? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>PROS received \$150,000 in general fund support as a budget allocation for the 2015 MIAHCS.</i> • Did the County earn a profit? How was tourism impacted? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Cattle Show is essentially a break even event, sponsored by Commission District 10.</i> ○ <i>Although no tourism impact study was done, there were several cattle breeders from Central Florida and out-of-state that brought cattle to the MIAHCS in cattle trailers. Likely expenditures from the cattle breeders include items such as hotel room night stays, local restaurants for meals, car rental agencies, fuel consumption, livestock feed and bedding supplies, and veterinary supplies and services.</i> 	Year	Proceeds	2010	\$57,900.00	2011	\$131,582.00	2012	\$92,201.00	2013	\$82,094.00	2014	\$27,027.72	2015	\$44,859.14	Total	\$435,663.86
Year	Proceeds																
2010	\$57,900.00																
2011	\$131,582.00																
2012	\$92,201.00																
2013	\$82,094.00																
2014	\$27,027.72																
2015	\$44,859.14																
Total	\$435,663.86																

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>The following information was provided by the Miami-Dade Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) in response to questions posed by the OCA regarding the 7th Annual MIAHCS:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many sponsors were secured in the 7th Annual MIAHCS? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS a total of 14 sponsors participated in the 2014 show. • Was there a cost to the County? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The total fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County for the 2014 Cattle Show for the agreement was \$195,000.00; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$150,000.00 from PROS index code PREEVT448001 • \$45,000 as from County Commission District 10 funds ▪ The Cattle Show had an allocation of \$150,000.00 in the FY2013-14 Adopted Budget, listed in Volume 1, appendices H and I. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total payment to Moreno Firms, Inc. consisted of \$125,000.00 from the Performance Agreement • \$70,000.00 for the amendment (County funds of \$25,000 and Commission District 10 funds of \$45,000) • Did the County earn a profit? How was tourism impacted? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The amount used to calculate tourism impact is \$311 per day per person per day; and ▪ Though ranchers and visitors from multiple states and countries participate and or attended the show, no formal study was conducted. <p>Highlights from 7th Annual MIAHCS (April 11-13, 2014):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attracted more than 30,000 visitors from over 24 countries and featured a cattle auction, equestrian shows, and local agriculture vendors; • Cattle breeders from 18 states showcased over a dozen breeds of cattle at the show; • Awarded status as one of five Premier Point Shows in Florida by The Florida Cattlemen’s Association; and • Recognized by the American Brahman Breeders Association as a point show. <p><u>Additional Information – Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting Discussion:</u></p> <p>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on January 12, 2016, File No. 152945 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Director of the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department, advised this event was very important for the County. He noted Mr. Kelvin Moreno had been working with the County for several years in the coordination of this event, and the County should continue to work with him to ensure consistency. He stated the foregoing resolution would allow Mr. Moreno to manage and execute the cattle shows to ensure it happened; and the services would include the management of the judging for each cattle breed, the marketing and advertising campaigns, the cattle auctions, promotion of the show in other countries, and working directly with intercontinental ranchers who wished to visit to buy cattle. He stated that Mr. Moreno would bring in various cattle breeds from all over the country. • The Committee expressed concerns regarding the request for a budget increase, and questioned how the County would benefit from making the additional funding investment. The Committee expressed hope that the event would attract additional sponsorships in order to reduce the County’s funding share. • The Department Director explained the budget increase request was for \$100,000. He stated that the BCC had recognized this event on an annual basis and through the years had provided a regular funding stream to maintain it. He explained the event had an educational value, a relationship with the agricultural industry, and an economic impact in the community. Consequently, it was about the agricultural industry in itself, and it was different in that respect. He advised that the concept of making the event self-sufficient through private sponsorships or other revenue sources was not likely to happen this year due to the proximity of the event’s date. • The Committee explained that this event had been taking place for the past eight (8) years; and it was the largest international agricultural show, which attracted about 50,000 visitors during the event’s weekend. • The Committee noted that it was also fully supported by the Greater Miami Convention & Visitors Bureau (GMCVB) and explained that Miami-Dade County was dependent on tourism, and agriculture was the County’s second source of revenues. • The Committee explained the concern was unrelated to the content of the show or the impact and noted the request for a budgetary increase of \$100,000 inasmuch as the event had been unable to achieve financial self-sufficiency and the request to waive the competitive bidding. The Committee noted the budgetary increase exceeded the financial agreement, and it would set precedence. • Following a discussion among the members of this Committee regarding the budgetary increase, the Department Director explained the event would benefit foundations supporting Autism; and there were additional expenses associated with the addition of cattle breeds, expansion of the scope of the show, executing a much more robust marketing campaign, and the payment of overtime. He noted the admission’s charge would have to be too high without the County’s subsidy. • The Department Director explained the marketing and advertising campaigns, and he listed the names of the publications that Moreno Firms would utilize for marketing and advertising. He also itemized the cattle breeds that would be used in the show.
811 160027	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND TERMS OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING ON COOPERATION RELATED TO TRAINING INTERNATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL AND/OR PROVIDING ASSISTANCE FOR ANTI-CRIME

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>EFFORTS OVERSEES BETWEEN THE BUREAU OF INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AFFAIRS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; TO AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF AMENDMENTS, RENEWALS, AND EXTENSIONS AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND TO APPLY FOR, RECEIVE, AND EXPEND FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT THIS PROGRAM</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to execute the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Cooperation between The Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) of the Department of State and Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>This MOU will become effective when signed by the INL of the Department of State and Miami-Dade County, and will continue until January 31, 2021.</i> • This MOU will provide the continuation of the cooperative relationship between the Department of State, INL and Miami-Dade County via the MDPD for the purpose of training, advising, and mentoring international law enforcement personnel and/or to provide assistance for anti-crime efforts overseas. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The purpose of these activities is to provide assistance to foreign governments relative to international criminal activities. This MOU will supersede the MOU previously executed under the authority of Resolution No. R-690-12, which expires January 31, 2016.</i> • Upon approval by the BCC, the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee will have the authority to execute the MOU on behalf of Miami-Dade County, and to execute amendments identifying countries that will participate in this program and detailing particularized training plans and to exercise termination provisions. The County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee is also authorized to receive and expend funds to implement this program in accordance with requirements from the INL to further the purpose described in the MOU. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The INL estimates that approximately \$1 million each year for the period of the MOU will be funded in partnership activities. This will allow for reimbursement to the County and the MDPD for allowable program expenses, such as personnel, travel, training course materials, supplies, equipment, and other items necessary to conduct the activities of this program. Training and other services performed by MDPD personnel are conducted on an overtime basis.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> During the first period of this partnership, which began November 2012, under Resolution No. R-690-12, the MDPD conducted training, advising, and mentoring activities for police officers from Haiti, Guatemala, and Egypt. The Acting Assistant Secretary of the INL documented that his agency has enjoyed a “robust partnership with the MDPD for the last three years.” Based on the quality of the training and expertise provided by the MDPD, it is the desire of the INL to continue this partnership.</p> <p>The purpose of the partnership is to establish a mutual framework governing the respective responsibilities of the INL and Miami-Dade County for cooperation related to training, advising, and mentoring international law enforcement personnel and/or to provide assistance for anti-crime efforts overseas. The MOU responsibilities for the MDPD, primarily training, incorporates a framework that serves to enhance the police capacity of foreign law enforcement personnel with properly trained civilian law enforcement to support the INL mission. This mission is to strengthen the administration of justice under the rule of law with respect for human rights and to minimize the impact of transnational crime. Based on the experience of the two (2) agencies during the first MOU, the INL and the MDPD developed an intense professional law enforcement training program with approximately 10 training courses, utilizing a minimum of 18 sworn MDPD personnel. This training is conducted on an overtime basis so as not to interfere with officers’ regularly assigned duties. The training courses and curricula have been approved by the INL and are presently in place.</p> <p>As the INL identifies countries and their respective law enforcement agencies to participate in the program, a training plan is developed, including a scope of work and budget, for that particular country. The INL identifies the established MDPD training courses, which are appropriate for the particular country, and then provides the County with an amendment documenting the training plan for the training and activities necessary for each country. Once the amendment is approved by both the County and INL, the training is arranged and conducted. At the conclusion of the training for each country, the services of the MDPD are reimbursed by the INL, as allowed by the MOU.</p> <p>The Department of State’s Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs has invited Miami-Dade County to continue this unique partnership. Miami-Dade County and the MDPD will work with the Department of State to continue to foster a partnership with international law enforcement personnel, and to share best practices concerning anti-crime efforts and other related law enforcement matters.</p>
8L2 160017	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REFUND \$4,047,003.84 TO PROPERTY OWNERS RESIDING WITHIN 71 MULTIPURPOSE MAINTENANCE SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS, COMPRISED OF 10,466 FOLIOS, AND \$316,405.66 TO PROPERTY OWNERS RESIDING WITHIN 58 STREET LIGHTING SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS, COMPRISED OF 1,680 FOLIOS, AS PROVIDED IN EXHIBIT A AND EXHIBIT B RESPECTIVELY ATTACHED HERETO, IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH SAID REFUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes refunds of \$4,047,003.84 to property owners residing within 71 Multipurpose Maintenance Special Taxing Districts comprised of 10,466 folios. Additionally, the proposed resolution approves refunds of \$316,405.66 to property owners residing within 58 Street Lighting Special Taxing Districts comprised of 1,680 folios.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>There will be no financial impact to the General Fund. The refunds represent excess funds held for the benefit of the property owners of the Special Taxing Districts. Any financial impact of processing the refunds will be absorbed by the property owners receiving the refunds.</p> <p>Background: As detailed in the Report on Management of Special Taxing Districts, Districts with surplus balances were analyzed for the next three (3) years. The budgets for the Districts were developed inclusive of a five (5) percent operating contingency and annual growth rate assumption of three (3) percent each year. Districts with artificially low assessment rates due to a large prior year surplus were also brought back to an assessment rate that will fund actual operating costs. The remaining surplus funds, after consideration of forecasted annual costs for the next three (3) fiscal years, are being refunded in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 to all the property owners of a Special Taxing District if the average refund exceeds \$50.00 per parcel or folio. Special Taxing Districts with a refund amount averaging \$50.00 or less retain the funding as carryover due to the cost of distribution and will benefit the District in the form of a lower rate assessment calculation in the next fiscal year.</p> <p>The refunds for multipurpose maintenance districts have been calculated and distributed on the per square-footage basis for each property and the refunds for street lighting have been calculated and distributed on the per front-footage basis for each property. All costs for the distribution of any surplus funds must be charged to the respective Special Taxing District.</p> <p>The Mayor's report dated September 1, 2015, which was placed on the BCC agenda on September 1, 2015, projected that 60 Lighting Districts would be refunded; however, after the year-end close out of the FY 2015 financials, the cost for the Street Lighting Districts was higher than projected; therefore only 58 Street Lighting Districts will receive refunds.</p> <p>Additional Information On June 25, 2015, the Mayor issued a memorandum regarding four ordinances approving the rate setting process for Special Taxing Districts. According to the Memorandum, Miami-Dade County's Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM) is currently responsible for the management and operation of 1,068 active Special Taxing Districts, which provide lighting, security guard services, and multipurpose maintenance throughout unincorporated Miami-Dade and in several municipalities. This annual rate setting process is required pursuant to Florida Statute 197.3632 and Section 18-14(5) of the Miami-Dade County Code. In the past, this process was noticed as part of the County's annual budget process and homeowners received their notification through the Truth In Millage (TRIM) notice. The County is now required to publicly notice the increase of any non-ad valorem assessment rolls in Special Taxing Districts separately. As required by recent case law, whenever rates are increased property owners must be notified of proposed rate increases. In the case where there is a rate increase, the Board is required to adopt the assessment rolls at a public hearing held between January 1 and September 15.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On June 2, 2015, the BCC opened the public hearing for the following items which was continued to the September 1, 2015, BCC meeting: File No. 151272, which recommends flat rates for 834 out of 1,068 districts, and File Nos. 151271, 151274 and 151275, which require rate increases, on First Reading, thereby commencing the process for rate setting. • On June 6, 2015, courtesy letters were mailed to all residents whose districts have a proposed rate increase. • Notices of proposed rate increases were mailed to all affected Special taxing District property owners on June 8, 2015. • Newspaper advertisements advising of the public hearing to be held on June 30, 2015 were published in the Miami Herald on Wednesday, June 10, 2015, and Tuesday, June 16, 2015. • Notices were posted in all Special Taxing Districts with proposed rate increases. <p>The County's Audit and Management Services Department, at the request of PWWM, is currently conducting an audit of all special taxing districts. The ongoing audit process and subsequent management review have revealed issues with past management and budgeting/accounting practices that have been and continue to be addressed. Immediately upon discovering these concerns, steps were revised for consistency with County and accounting standards. The fiscal components of the Division were transferred under the purview of the PWWM Assistant Director of Administration to ensure greater accountability. Additionally, staff continues to work with the Office of Management and Budget, the Finance Department, the County Attorney's Office, and the Property Appraiser's Office to ensure compliance with proper accounting principles, ensure appropriate checks and balances, and provide for an appropriate transition to this new rate setting process.</p> <p>A historical review of past audits demonstrates a complexity of management issues that date back to 1996. The necessary immediate steps to implement corrective actions have been taken and will continue upon the conclusion and review of the audit findings. At the completion of this process, future rate setting will be limited to cost of living requirements or the cost of any improvements requested by residents.</p> <p>Additional Information - For thousands of Miami-Dade homeowners, tax increases coming after mix-up in special districts – Miami Herald, June 29, 2015 http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/1564A6AC1B5158E0?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part of a widespread of what officials say was a flawed accounting system governing hundreds of special taxing districts throughout the County, about 118,000 properties face some sort of increase in 2016. • Of the 1,068 special districts administered by the county, only 234 face increases in 2016 - about one in five. Most of the hikes are nominal: \$36 for the average property, but some are significant: a district in Miami's Morningside neighborhood would see its security-guard fee go from \$600 a property to \$1,640. Star Island faces an 800 percent increase to \$9,221 under the administration's proposed rates for 2016. • In a Memo sent last week, the Mayor said the former Director of the taxing-district division was "removed" and the agency reorganized. An audit is under way. County Commissioners held a public hearing on the proposed increases last Tuesday, but a final vote is being delayed until Sept. 1.

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A spokesman from the Mayor's office said the increases will generate an extra \$4.2 million in 2016, roughly 35 percent more than the \$11.9 million that residents of the special districts would owe without the hikes. Officials describe it as a one-time fix, with about half of it going to close a deficit in the tax-district funds of about \$2 million. Public Works said the rest of the increase will cover the higher costs of providing services in the districts - amenities like security, street lights and landscaping.
8L3 152965	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN OFF-SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS ALONG NW 47 AVENUE FROM NW 183 STREET TO NW 215 STREET AT AN ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST OF \$24,231,312.00 TO BE PAID BY FDOT AND ANNUAL ESTIMATED MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION COSTS OF \$2,468.08 AND \$1,520.02 TO BE PAID BY THE COUNTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of an Off-System Construction and Maintenance Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the State of Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for the construction of improvements along NW 47 Avenue from NW 183 Street to NW 215 Street (Project).</p> <p>The purpose of this Agreement is to authorize FDOT to design and perform construction on roads not on the State Highway System. The County will review the design plans, perform final inspections during construction, and provide maintenance operations for the preservation of the local roadway improvements once construction is complete. The construction of the improvements is scheduled to commence in December 2017.</p> <p>The improvements to be constructed on these local county streets include, but are not limited to, roadway widening, milling and resurfacing, median modifications, sidewalks, curb and gutter, drainage, lighting, traffic signalization, signing, and pavement markings.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The project is estimated to cost \$24,231,312.00 and will be built by FDOT through federal funds provided by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). A portion of the Project includes work on roads not on the State Highway System, where the County is required to provide maintenance operations upon completion of the project. The annual maintenance and operation costs for the additional improvements are estimated at \$2,468.08 and \$1,520.02, respectively. The anticipated funding source is the Miami-Dade County Public Works and Waste Management Department's (PWWM) General Fund allocation.</p> <p>Background: FDOT conducted a Project Development and Environmental study, in cooperation with the FHWA, for the proposed widening of SR 847/NW 47 Avenue. A portion of the Project includes work on NW 47 Avenue, from 800 feet south of Miami Gardens Drive to Miami Gardens Drive, NW 191 Street, NW 195 Street, NW 199 Street, and NW 215 Street, which are all County maintained roadways.</p>
8L4 152967	RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$327,800.21 TO ROBAYNA AND ASSOCIATES INC. FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED REPLACEMENT OF THE NORTH MIAMI AVENUE BRIDGE (NO. 874035) NORTH OF NW 146 STREET PROJECT NO. E14-PWWM-05; CONTRACT NUMBER 20140028; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a contract to Robayna and Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$ 327,800.21 for Design Services for the Project entitled "Replacement of the North Miami Avenue Bridge (No. 874035) North of NW 146 Street" (Project No. E14-PWWM-05; Contract No. 20140028).</p> <p>PWWM has the need to establish one (1) Non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to provide engineering design and post-design services in the preparation of complete construction documents for the replacement of the existing North Miami Avenue Bridge (No. 874035). The work includes, but is not limited to, roadway approaches including traffic circles, traffic study, maintenance of traffic, utility impacts, geotechnical investigations, preparation of any required special provisions, quantities, cost estimates, load rating, permits, and all of the necessary incidental items for a complete project.</p> <p>The Consultant will also be required to prepare and submit for approval a Bridge Report after consultation with County staff and prior to plans preparation, describing the project limits, typical sections, possible design exceptions, methods of construction and utility relocations, and the results of the public involvement efforts required by County Ordinances.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact will be approximately \$327,800.21 for the design services for the bridge replacement. The work is to be funded using Road Impact Fee Funding (RIF) District 3. The estimated future construction cost is \$ 3,220,000.00 and will also be funded using RIF District 3.</p> <p>This agreement is for design services. Once the project is constructed there will be no fiscal impact on the maintenance and operations cost. The life expectancy is approximately 75 years.</p> <p>The period for this contract is 730 calendar days including 365 calendar days for post-design services during construction. This Agreement will remain in full force and effect for two (2) years after the date of execution or until completion of all project phases, whichever occurs last, unless terminated by mutual consent of the parties.</p> <p>Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) On June 4, 2014 a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued under a full and open competition. Two (2) firms submitted proposals on July 25, 2014.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>Section 3.2 of the NTPC states, "In the event the County receives fewer than three proposals, or fewer than three proposals are determined to be responsive and responsible to perform the required services, the County may proceed with the number of proposal(s) received which are determined to be responsive and responsible, provided that the County has conducted an analysis of market availability for subject services and determined at its sole discretion that there is no further market availability or immediate interest to provide subject services. Furthermore, in the event that the County receives fewer than three proposals, the County at its sole discretion may extend the proposal submittal deadline date."</i></p> <p>The submittal deadline date was extended and no additional proposals were received. As a result of the compliance review conducted by the Small Business Development Division (SBD) of the Internal Services Department, Network Engineering Services, Inc. d/b/a Bolton, Perez and Associates (Bolton Perez) was found non-compliant with the contract measure required for this solicitation and was eliminated from further evaluation. The Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) met on August 27, 2014 and reviewed and evaluated the one (1) proposal. At this meeting, it was determined that Robayna and Associates, Inc. met the minimum qualifications and demonstrated its relevant experience with the project as required by the NTPC. The CSC evaluated and ranked the firm and decided by a unanimous vote to recommend the selection of Robayna and Associates, Inc. to the Mayor for approval to negotiate a contract.</p> <p>Negotiations with the firm commenced on March 3, 2015 and concluded on March 23, 2015.</p> <p>According to the Firm History Report provided by the Internal Services Department's Small Business Development Division, Robayna and Associates Inc. has been awarded one (1) contract with the County with a total value of \$19,800.00.</p> <p>Background: The existing bridge (No. 874035) was built in 1947 and it is located on North Miami Avenue, north of NW 146 Street. The bridge has numerous deficiencies such as cracks on the deck and in the supporting caps and piles, corrosion of the supporting steel beams, expansion joint deterioration, and embankment slope protection deterioration. The bridge is classified as functionally obsolete, as it does not meet current criteria for loading, crash standards for the bridge railings and approach guardrails, and does not provide for bicycle facilities. The new bridge will provide bicycle facilities and wider sidewalks. Moreover, the approach intersections will be modified to include a traffic circle and provide bicycle facilities.</p>
8L5 152973	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$219,947.00 TO ROBAYNA AND ASSOCIATES INC. MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED REPLACEMENT OF THE NW 32ND AVENUE BRIDGE (NO. 874032) NORTH OF NW 151ST STREET, PROJECT NO. E14-PWWM-06 CONTRACT NUMBER 20140029; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a contract to Robayna and Associates Inc. in the amount of \$ 219,947.00 for Design Services for the Project entitled "Replacement of the NW 32nd Avenue Bridge (874032) North of NW 151st Street" (Project No. E14-PWWM-06; Contract No. 20140029).</p> <p>PWWM has the need to establish one (1) Non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to provide engineering design and post-design services in the preparation of complete construction documents for the replacement of the existing NW 32 Avenue Bridge (No. 874032). The work includes, but is not limited to, roadway approaches, maintenance of traffic, utility impacts, geotechnical investigations, preparation of any required special provisions, quantities, cost estimates, load rating, permits, and all of the necessary incidental items for a complete project.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact will be approximately \$219,947.00 for the design services for the bridge replacement. The work is to be funded using Road Impact Fee Funding (RIF) District 3. The estimated future construction cost is \$2,700,000.00 and will also be funded using RIF District 3.</p> <p>This agreement is for design services. Once the project is constructed the estimated annual maintenance cost is approximately \$2,334.00. The funding source to be utilized is anticipated to be from the General Fund. The life expectancy is approximately 75 years.</p> <p>The period of this contract is for 730 calendar days, including 365 calendar days for post-design services during construction. This Agreement will remain in full force and effect for two (2) years after the date of execution or until completion of all project phases whichever occurs last, unless terminated by mutual consent of the parties.</p> <p>Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) On June 30, 2014, a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued under a full and open competition. The Clerk of the Board received only one (1) proposal in response to the advertisement on July 30, 2014.</p> <p><i>Section 3.2 of the NTPC Selection Process states, "In the event that the County receives fewer than three proposals, or fewer than three proposals are determined to be responsive and responsible to perform the required services, the County may proceed with the number of proposal(s) received which are determined to be responsive and responsible, provided that the County has conducted an analysis of market availability for subject services and determined at its sole discretion that there is no further market availability or immediate interest to provide subject services. Furthermore, in the event that the County receives fewer than three proposals, the County at its sole discretion may extend the proposal submittal deadline date."</i></p> <p>The submittal deadline date was extended to August 6, 2014. No additional proposals were received.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) met on September 9, 2014, and reviewed and evaluated one (1) proposal received from Robayna and Associates, Inc. At this meeting, it was determined that Robayna and Associates, Inc. met the minimum qualifications and demonstrated its relevant experience with the project, as required by the NTPC. The CSC evaluated and ranked the firm, and decided by a unanimous vote to recommend the selection of Robayna and Associates, Inc. to the Mayor for approval to negotiate a contract.</p> <p>Negotiations with the firm commenced on February 26, 2015 and concluded on March 12, 2015.</p> <p>According to the Firm History Report provided by the Internal Services Department's Division of Small Business Development, Robayna and Associates, Inc. has been awarded one (1) contract with the County with a total value of \$19,800.00</p> <p>Background: The existing bridge (No. 874032) was built in 1956 and it is located on NW 32 Avenue north of NW 151 Street. The bridge has numerous deficiencies such as cracks in the roadway surface and in the supporting caps and piles, corrosion of the supporting steel beams, expansion joint deterioration, and/or embankment slope protection deterioration. The bridge is classified as functionally obsolete, as it does not meet current criteria for loading, crash criteria for the bridge railings, and/or approach guardrails, and does not provide for bicycle facilities. The new bridge will provide for future bicycle facilities and also will provide wider sidewalks. All design work required for any necessary widening of the Biscayne (C-8) Canal will also be included as part of the work. The scope and limits of this canal widening will be set in coordination with the South Florida Water Management District.</p>
8L6 152974	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SWEETWATER AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY STORMWATER UTILITY FOR A TERM OF FIVE (5) YEARS AND PROVIDING THAT THE CITY OF SWEETWATER SHALL REIMBURSE THE COUNTY IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$303,970.00; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION PROVISIONS AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute an Interlocal Agreement for Stormwater Management (Agreement) between the City of Sweetwater (City) and the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility.</p> <p>The County is responsible for operating and maintaining the Secondary Canal System throughout the entire geographical area of the County. Canals that traverse municipalities, such as the Snapper Creek Canal and the Northline/NW 25 Street Canal in the case of the City, provide drainage and flood protection to adjacent areas. This Agreement will allow the County to be reimbursed on a pro-rata share basis for canal maintenance services performed by the County on secondary canals that provide drainage to the City. The term of this five (5) year Agreement is October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2020.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: Stormwater Utility fees provide funding for the construction, operation, and maintenance of stormwater conveyance systems countywide. Canals operated and maintained by the County provide drainage service to the County and municipalities, but remain the responsibility of the County.</p> <p>Pursuant to this Agreement, the total annual cost of routine stormwater maintenance work to be performed by the County on canals that serve the City is estimated to be \$289,400.00 per year, or \$1,447,000.00 for the five-year period. The City's percent reimbursement to the County is based on the City's runoff contribution to each canal's drainage basin. Sweetwater will reimburse the County up to \$60,794.00 per year for five (5) years, up to a total of \$303,970.00.</p> <p>The County's cost will be funded through the County's Stormwater Utility fees pursuant to Sections 24-51 through 24-51.5 of the County Code.</p> <p>Background: On June 18, 1991, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 91-66, which created the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility and established a uniform countywide approach to stormwater management. On October 3, 2000, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1046-00, exempting the City from the provisions of the County Stormwater Utility. This allowed the City to create stormwater management regulations within their municipal code, and to establish the City's stormwater utility and stormwater utility funding source.</p> <p>Subsequently, on February 13, 2001, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-105-01, approving an interlocal agreement between the City and the County that established the responsibilities for the operation, maintenance, and cost-sharing of stormwater systems within the City's boundaries. The interlocal agreement has been renewed every five (5) years, and the most recent five-year interlocal agreement expired on September 30, 2015.</p> <p>On June 1, 2015, the City Commission approved City Resolution No. 4039, authorizing the City Mayor to enter into and execute a new five-year Agreement. On June 11, 2015, the City Mayor signed then forwarded the proposed Agreement to the County for execution.</p>
8L7 152975	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF FLORIDA CITY AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY STORMWATER UTILITY FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS AND PROVIDING THAT THE CITY OF FLORIDA CITY SHALL REIMBURSE THE COUNTY IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$234,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, AND EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION PROVISIONS AND ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute an Interlocal Agreement for Stormwater Management (Agreement) between the City of Florida City (City) and the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The County is responsible for operating and maintaining the Secondary Canal System throughout the entire geographical area of the County. Canals that traverse municipalities, such as the Florida City Canal in the case of the City, provide drainage and flood protection to adjacent areas. This Agreement will allow the County to be reimbursed on a pro-rata share basis for canal maintenance services performed by the County on secondary canals that provide drainage to the City.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Stormwater Utility fees provide funding for the construction, operation, and maintenance of stormwater conveyance systems. Canals operated and maintained by the County provide drainage service to the County and municipalities, but remain the responsibility of the County.</p> <p>Pursuant to this Agreement, the total annual cost of routine canal maintenance work to be performed by the County on secondary canals that serve the City is estimated to be \$260,000.00 per year, or \$1.3 million for the five-year period. The City's reimbursement to the County is based on the City's runoff contribution to each canal's drainage basin. The City will reimburse the County up to \$46,800.00 per year for five (5) years, up to a total of \$234,000.00.</p> <p>The County's cost is to be funded through the County's Stormwater Utility fees pursuant to Sections 24-51 through 24-51.5 of the County Code.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On June 18, 1991, the BCC adopted County Ordinance No. 91-66, which created the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility and established a uniform countywide approach to stormwater management. On September 26, 2000, the City adopted City Ordinance No. 00-01, creating stormwater management regulations within its municipal code and their own stormwater utility.</p> <p>Subsequently, on June 3, 2008, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-628-08 approving a two-year interlocal agreement between the City and the County, which established the responsibilities for the operation, maintenance, and cost-sharing of stormwater systems within the City's boundaries. That two-year agreement was replaced by a five-year agreement that expired on September 30, 2014. The proposed five-year agreement will retroactively start on October 1, 2014 and expire on September 30, 2019.</p> <p>On June 23, 2015, the City's Commission approved City Resolution No. 15-14, authorizing the City Mayor to enter into and execute a new five-year Agreement. On September 2, 2015, the City Mayor signed and forwarded the proposed Agreement to the County for execution.</p>
8L8 152976	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$202,033.19 TO HIGHWAY STRIPING, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED "PUSH-BUTTON CONTRACT FOR PAVEMENT MARKINGS INSTALLATION (SOUTH)"; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2.8.2.7.01 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-53; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute a contract in the amount of \$202,033.19 to Highway Striping, Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project entitled "Push-Button Contract for Pavement Markings Installation (South)" (Project MCC 7040 Plan – CICC 7040-0/07, RPQ No. 20150100).</p> <p>The work to be performed under this contract includes pavement markings and signage for existing and new streets and bike lanes at designated sites within the County maintenance road system. There are no specific sites identified for this project. The locations of work for this project will be located inside the boundary area of the south portions of the County up to south of SW 8 Street.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact will be approximately \$202,033.19 and will be funded from the Charter County Transportation Surtax. This figure includes the base contract amount of \$176,203.56 and contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance.</p> <p>The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the PTP Neighborhood Improvements Section. The funding index code for this Project is CPEPTP00PAVM (Fiscal Year 2015-16 Adopted Capital Budget Project No. 606910).</p> <p>Highway Striping, Inc., is performing work for PWWM at a satisfactory level. The Capital Improvements Information System (CIIS) database lists 12 awarded construction contracts from each of the following departments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) from Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces; and • 11 from PWWM. <p><u>Awarded Firm</u> Highway Striping, Inc. - 10724 SW 188 Street, Miami, Florida, 33157.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> PWWM staff determined that the scope of work for this project qualified for a CSBE set-aside (Levels I, II, and III). Per I.O. 3-37, a Community Workforce Program Goal is not applicable to this project as the contract value does not exceed \$250,000.00. On August 20, 2015, PWWM forwarded RPQ No. 20150100, utilizing the MCC 7040 Plan - CICC 7040-0/07 Contract, to a list of 53 pre-qualified firms. A total of two (2) firms purchased contract documents and the two (2) firms proffered a bid.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On September 23, 2015, Highway Striping, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$176,203.56, 16 percent above the County's cost estimate. The prices utilized for the Engineering Cost Estimate were the average of the prices in effect at the time the contract procurement was initiated. PWWM staff reviewed all bids received and concluded that the prices submitted reflect the current market prices.</p> <p>Based on the result of the solicitation, PWWM issued a recommendation for award on October 15, 2015 for RPQ No. 20150100 to Highway Striping, Inc., with the stipulation that approval for this project must be obtained from the CITT and the BCC.</p>
8L9 152979	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$227,805.74 TO HIGHWAY STRIPING, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED "PUSH-BUTTON CONTRACT FOR PAVEMENT MARKINGS INSTALLATION (NORTH)"; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2.8.2.7.01 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-53; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute a contract in the amount of \$227,805.74 to Highway Striping, Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project entitled "Push-Button Contract for Pavement Markings Installation (North)" (Project MCC 7040 Plan – CICC 7040-0/07, RPQ No. 20150101).</p> <p>The work to be performed under this contract includes pavement markings and signage for existing and new streets and bike lanes at designated sites within the County maintenance road system. There are no specific sites identified for this project. The locations of work for this project will be located inside the boundary area of the north portions of the County down to SW 8 Street.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact will be approximately \$227,805.74 and will be funded from the Charter County Transportation Surtax. This figure includes the base contract amount of \$199,214.76 and contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance.</p> <p>The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the PTP Neighborhood Improvements Section. The funding index code for this Project is CPEPTP00PAVM (Fiscal Year 2015-16 Adopted Capital Budget Project No. 606910).</p> <p>Highway Striping, Inc. is performing work for PWWM at a satisfactory level. The Capital Improvements Information System (CIIS) database lists 12 awarded construction contracts from each of the following departments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) from Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces; and • 11 from PWWM. <p><u>Awarded Firm</u> Highway Striping, Inc. - 10724 SW 188 Street, Miami, Florida, 33157.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> PWWM staff determined that the scope of work for this project qualified for a CSBE set-aside (Levels I, II, and III). Per I.O. 3-37, a Community Workforce Program Goal is not applicable to this project as the contract value does not exceed \$250,000.00. On August 20, 2015, PWWM forwarded RPQ No. 20150101, utilizing the MCC 7040 Plan - CICC 7040-0/07 Contract, to a list of 53 pre-qualified firms. A total of two (2) firms purchased contract documents and the two (2) firms proffered a bid.</p> <p>On September 25, 2015, Highway Striping, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$199,214.76, 31 percent above the County's cost estimate. The price utilized for the Engineering Cost estimate were the average of the prices in effect at the time the contract procurement was initiated. PWWM staff reviewed all bids received and concluded that the prices submitted reflect the current market price.</p> <p>Based on the result of the solicitation, PWWM issued a recommendation for award on October 15, 2015 for RPQ No. 20150101 to Highway Striping, Inc., with the stipulation that approval for this project must be obtained from the CITT and the BCC.</p>
8M1 152915	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$123,555.50 FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION AT THE FLORIDA PETROLEUM REPROCESSORS SUPERFUND SITE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes payment of the County's assessment of \$123,555.50 for the performance of remedial work at the Florida Petroleum Reprocessors Superfund Site pursuant to the PRP Group Organization Agreement, executed by the County on March 27, 1997, as amended.</p> <p>Much of the initial on-site remediation already has been accomplished under the existing agreement, but further remediation is necessary and will likely last until at least 2023. The agreement, amended in 2002, incorporates the pro rata allocation process of funding the PRP Group's site remediation work on the basis of each party's volumetric contribution of waste oil to the sites.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact to the County is \$123,555.50 and will be paid from Capital Outlay Reserve Funds.</p> <p><u>Background</u> In 1997, Miami-Dade County and numerous other persons, businesses and governmental entities were notified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that they were potentially responsible parties (PRPs) with respect to contamination at a federally-</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>designated Superfund site located at 3211 SW 50 Avenue, in Davie in Broward County, Florida. The contaminated site is the former location of Florida Petroleum Reprocessors, which was engaged in the business of reprocessing used oil at the site since 1978. Due to extensive leakage and discharges over the years, the site was highly contaminated with hazardous substances.</p> <p>Based upon invoice receipts and canceled checks, it appears that Miami-Dade County, like many of the other PRPs, used the services of waste oil haulers who took the County's waste oil to the Florida Petroleum Reprocessors site several years ago. As such, under the federal Superfund law, Miami-Dade County, as a "generator," is strictly, jointly and severally liable for the cleanup of site contamination. While there are many PRPs for this site, based upon the volumetric information documented by the EPA, Miami-Dade County and U.S. Sugar are the largest volumetric contributors of waste oil to the site.</p> <p>As is normally the case in Superfund sites involving numerous PRPs, a PRP group was formed in order to allow the PRPs to work in a coordinated, cost-efficient manner in their collective negotiations with the EPA. The County executed the PRP Group Organization Agreement on March 26, 1997 and has actively participated in the PRP Group Steering Committee through its professional staff in the County Attorney's Office and the Division of Environmental Resources Management in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. In 2002, the PRP Group Organization Agreement was amended to include a pro rata allocation process requiring contributions from group members based upon the volumetric contribution of waste oil to the FPR site. To date, the PRP Group has successfully negotiated administrative orders with the EPA under which it is conducting both shallow and deep source soil contamination removal actions at the Florida Petroleum Reprocessors site. The County's contributions to date are noted below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 1999, pursuant to Resolution No. 162-99, the County paid \$283,000.00; • In 2002, pursuant to Resolution No. 1087-02, the County paid \$271,880.46; and • In 2004, pursuant to Resolution No. 1306-04, the County paid \$359,630.25. <p>Although Resolution No. R-1306-04 and its accompanying memorandum implied that the 2004 payment would be final, remedial efforts have been ongoing and are scheduled to continue until at least 2023.</p> <p>The PRP Group Steering Committee, of which the County is a member, unanimously approved in late August 2015 a cash call of \$779,000.00, which is intended to cover all Group costs through the anticipated completion of the Consent Decree. The County's pro rata share of this amount of, \$123,555.50, is being requested for payment under this item. In the event that the PRP Group does not continue to timely perform the above-described remedial work, then it is likely that the EPA will perform a much more comprehensive cleanup and file a cost recovery action against the PRPs. Historically, the EPA initiated cleanups are several times more costly than cleanups undertaken by PRP groups.</p>
801 160022	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$271,150.00 FOR SALE AND PURCHASE BETWEEN CAMILLE PAUL GILBERT AND MARGARET GILBERT LANE AS TRUSTEE OF THE FIRST RESTATEMENT OF THE CAMILLE PAUL GILBERT TRUST AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1999 AND ROBERT YOHAM AND MARY YOHAM, AS SELLERS, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AS BUYER, OF APPROXIMATELY 4.93 ACRES OF VACANT LAND LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF NW 145 AVENUE BETWEEN NW 3 STREET AND 4 STREET IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO BE UTILIZED IN CONNECTION WITH THE COUNTY'S OCEAN OUTFALL COMPLIANCE PLAN; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT, TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, TO TAKE ALL OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAID PURCHASE AND TO ACCEPT CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY BY WARRANTY DEED; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD SUCH DEED</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Contract for Sale and Purchase between Miami-Dade County (Buyer) and Camille Paul Gilbert and Margaret Gilbert Lane, as trustee of the First Restatement of the Camille Paul Gilbert Trust Agreement dated November 22, 1999, and Robert Yoham and Mary Yoham (Sellers) for \$271,150.00.</p> <p>More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the acquisition of property (Folio No. 30-4903-003-0100) including approximately 4.93 acres of vacant land located on the southeast corner of theoretical NW 145 Avenue between NW 3 Street and NW 4 Street in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to execute the Contract for Sale and Purchase in the amount of \$271,150.00. <p>This is a willing buyer/willing seller Contract for Sale and Purchase placed before the BCC for approval to be utilized in connection with Miami-Dade County's Ocean Outfall Compliance Plan.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The subject property was appraised at \$271,150.00 by a state-certified appraiser hired by the County. The funding sources for this purchase are Wastewater Connection Charges, Water and Sewer Department Revenue Bonds Sold, and Future Revenue Bonds. The project number is 962670: Ocean Outfall Legislation, and the Adopted Budget Book FY2015-16, page 137.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Miami-Dade County currently uses two (2) ocean outfalls to dispose of wastewater effluent, one (1) at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant and one (1) at the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant. In 2008, the Florida Legislature approved, and the Governor signed into law, the requirement that all wastewater utilities in southeast Florida utilizing ocean outfalls for disposal of treated wastewater: 1) reduce nutrient discharges by 2018; 2) cease using the ocean outfalls by 2025; and 3) reuse 60 percent of wastewater flows by 2025. The statute also required affected utilities to submit a preliminary ocean outfall compliance plan to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection by July 1, 2013.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The County's Ocean Outfall Compliance Plan was completed and sent to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection on June 28, 2013. The subject property will be utilized in connection with the County's compliance with such plan in order to handle some of the wastewater flows currently going to the coastal plants, the North and Central District Wastewater Treatment Plants, and flows related to growth projected to 2035. The North and Central District Wastewater Treatment Plants are more at risk to storm surge and to sea level rise, so reducing average daily flows to these plants will reduce the vulnerability of the wastewater system.</p>
802 152991	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING RETROACTIVE DEDUCTIVE CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 WITH POOLE AND KENT COMPANY OF FLORIDA FOR A REDUCTION IN THE BASE CONTRACT OF \$500,000.00 AND A NON-COMPENSABLE TIME EXTENSION OF 254 DAYS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF MASTER PUMP STATION NO. 3, CONTRACT NO. S-852; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed the resolution approves a Retroactive Deductive Change Order No. 1 to Contract No. S-852 between Miami-Dade County and Poole & Kent Company of Florida (P&K) for the construction of Master Pump Station No. 3. The project is currently being constructed in the Brickell area of the County bounded by the Miami River to the north, I-95 to the west, SW 21 Street to the south, and Biscayne Bay to the east.</p> <p>This retroactive deductive change order was negotiated by the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) and P&K to resolve a dispute regarding the number of project delays in days caused by P&K and the number of project delays in days caused by WASD. The negotiations resulted in the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A monetary reduction of the base contract amount by \$500,000.00, from \$19,110,000.00 to \$18,610,000.00; and • A non-compensable time extension of 254 days, extending the contract's substantial completion date from May 27, 2015 to February 5, 2016. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This deductive change order will reduce the base contract amount by \$500,000.00 and grant a 254-day non-compensable time extension.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The BCC awarded this construction contract to P&K on February 19, 2014 per Resolution No. R-173-14 to improve the sewer facilities in the Brickell area as they are inadequate to serve increased usage in existing buildings, new buildings under construction, and future construction including sewer service to the Brickell City Center development. The contract was awarded in the total amount of \$21,976,500.00 with a contract term of 495 days, including a contingency period of 45 days. The Notice to Proceed was issued on April 14, 2014.</p> <p>The scope of work for the project consists of furnishing all materials, labor, and equipment necessary to construct a multilevel pump station building and a dedicated generator building. On March 19, 2014, WASD authorized P&K to mobilize to the project site to prepare for the construction of the foundation for the pump station building. Shortly after drilling began to install multiple 36-inch steel king piles and sheet piling for the foundation, P&K started the excavation process to dig two cofferdams, one for the pump station, and the other for the 48-inch influent pipe that will carry wastewater flows to the pump station. It was at this juncture in the project schedule that various delays began due to 1) equipment failure, 2) generator switchgear modifications, 3) electrical room revisions, 4) procurement changes for the pump equipment, and 5) unavailability of utility power to perform critical tests on the switchgear and the controls of the pump station.</p> <p><u>Negotiations with P&K</u> P&K submitted requests for extensions of time due to the delays. In order to settle the differences of opinion between P&K and WASD regarding the number of project delays in days attributable to each party, WASD and P&K entered into negotiations. Both parties agreed that the delay at the excavation site for the pump station cofferdam impeded the progress of the project and that the delays caused by WASD were in part concurrent. Subsequently, both parties agreed to a \$500,000.00 credit to the County which will be deducted from the base contract amount, and to a 254-day non-compensable time extension. P&K committed to having the project reach substantial completion by February 5, 2016 at which time new and existing customers can begin receiving sewer disposal service from Master Pump Station No. 3. If P&K fails to meet the February 5, 2016 deadline, liquidated damages of \$5,000.00 per day will commence.</p> <p><u>The Office of the Commission Auditor posed the following question:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What is the status of the construction of the new pump station facility?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>According to Water and Sewer Department staff, the Contractor is in the process of performing electrical tests on switchgear equipment. Substantial completion of the project is expected on February 5, 2016.</i> <p>Miami-Dade County's Small Business Enterprise established a construction participation goal of 2.59 percent as part of the original construction contract. To date, P&K has complied with all the threshold requirements of the assigned participation goal.</p> <p><u>Memo Regarding SBE-Construction Make-Up Plan and Responsible Wages and Benefits</u> On October 19, 2015, the Water and Sewer Department Director issued a memo regarding Project No. S-852, Master Pump Station No. 3. According to the memo, the subject project was reviewed for compliance with the 2.59% Small Business Enterprise – Construction (SBE-Construction) goal, \$592,159.70 SBE-Construction Make-Up Plan and Responsible Wages and Benefits requirements. The prime, Poole & Kent Company of Florida (P&K), has paid the SBE-Construction firm meeting the 2.59% goal a total of \$551,714.08 in excess of the \$531,494 goal, and has completed the make-up plan. There are two (2) subcontractors with open wage violations. Small Business Development has notified the subcontractors of the compliance issues and will notify the Department when they are resolved.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Background:</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>WASD determined that improvements were required to the sewer facilities serving the area bounded by the Miami River to the north, I-95 to the west, SW 21 Street to the south and Biscayne Bay to the east, known as the Brickell Basin II Area. The facilities currently serving the area are inadequate to serve increased usage in existing buildings, or new buildings currently under construction and expected to be constructed.</p> <p>On May 1, 2012, the BCC approved Ordinance No. 12-36, which authorized a special sewer construction connection charge for buildings and properties that request new or increased usage. This construction project, Proposed Master Pump Station No. 3, was one in a series of projects to provide more sewer capacity in the Brickell Basin II Area, and was to replace existing Pump Station No. 8 which does not have available capacity for peak flows nor future growth.</p>
11A1	<p>RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GENERAL ELECTION ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2016, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF MIAMI- DADE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TO AMEND THE CHARTER TO ALLOW THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO PROVIDE, BY ORDINANCE, THAT THE GOVERNING BODY OF A SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICT LOCATED ENTIRELY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF A MUNICIPALITY BE THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE MUNICIPALITY RATHER THAN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AS IS CURRENTLY REQUIRED BY THE CHARTER (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 7A)</p>
Notes	See Item 7A
11A2 152962	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PERFORM A STUDY REGARDING LOW--SPEED VEHICLES CURRENTLY OPERATING IN MIAMI--DADE COUNTY AND PREPARE A REPORT WITHIN 90 DAYS REGARDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE USE OF SUCH VEHICLES ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF MIAMI--DADE COUNTY, THE ADEQUACY OF CURRENT STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS REGULATING LOW-SPEED VEHICLES AND THE NEED, IF ANY, FOR FURTHER REGULATION BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to perform a study regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use of low-speed vehicles on the public streets in Miami-Dade County; • The number of low-speed vehicles currently transporting members of the public; • The type of low-speed vehicles offering transportation to members of the public; • The compensation, if any, paid by passengers of low-speed vehicles; • The locations in Miami-Dade County where low-speed vehicles frequent; • A description of low-speed vehicles operating on the public streets in Miami-Dade County including, but not limited to, the maximum speed of such vehicles; • An analysis of current state and federal laws regulating low-speed vehicles; • An assessment of the adequacy of current state and federal laws regulating low-speed vehicles; and • The need, if any, for further regulation by Miami-Dade County. <p>The Mayor or the Mayor’s designee will prepare a report within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background: In recent years a number of low-speed vehicle operators, commonly referred to as “free-ride” operators, have been operating in Miami-Dade County. These “free-ride” operators generally transport the public short distances in electric vehicles and claim to generate their revenues through advertising and operators are most visible in downtown Miami and Miami Beach. Current state law imposes restrictions on the operation of low-speed vehicles and low-speed vehicles are also subject to federal regulations promulgated by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.</p> <p>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation: On June 2, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-501-15, directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a plan for allowing the use of golf carts on County roadways within the Municipalities. In devising this plan, the Mayor or designee would consider the criteria in section 316.212 of the Florida Statutes, and would consult with the Florida Department of Transportation and these Municipalities. R-501-15 further directed the Mayor or designee to provide a report containing the plan to the BCC within 120 days and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>The report and plan would, at a minimum, (1) list the County roads within the Municipalities which the Mayor or designee recommend be designated for golf cart use, (2) include a safety education component for any golf cart users, (3) require minimum safety standards for any golf carts to be used on these County roads, and (4) include any other restrictions or conditions which the Mayor or designee recommend be placed upon such golf cart use on these County roads.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • According to Public Works and Waste Management Department staff, this report will be available in February. <p>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-908-15, directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a plan for allowing golf carts on County roads within the Fontainebleau Study Area and to provide a report containing the plan identified to the BCC within 180 days.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • According to Public Works and Waste Management Department staff, this report will be available in April. <p>On October 20, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-945-15, directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a plan for allowing golf carts on County roads within the Westchester Study Area and to provide a report containing the plan identified to the BCC within 180 days.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • According to Public Works and Waste Management Department staff, this report will be available in April. <p>Additional Information- Section 316.212 of the Florida Statutes: Operation of golf carts on certain roadways: <i>The operation of a golf cart upon the public roads or streets of this state is prohibited except as provided herein:</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>(1) <i>A golf cart may be operated only upon a county road that has been designated by a county, or a municipal street that has been designated by a municipality, for use by golf carts. Prior to making such a designation, the responsible local governmental entity must first determine that golf carts may safely travel on or cross the public road or street, considering factors including the speed, volume, and character of motor vehicle traffic using the road or street. Upon a determination that golf carts may be safely operated on a designated road or street, the responsible governmental entity shall post appropriate signs to indicate that such operation is allowed.</i></p> <p>Additional Information - Miramar, FL Ordinance Regarding the Use of Golf Carts On Local Roadways: Section 20-68 of the Code of the City of Miramar allows for the operation of golf carts on certain roadways within the City. The ordinance states that golf carts that meet the standards of Article V, and are operated by persons aged fourteen (14) and above, may be operated during the hours between sunrise and sunset in permitted areas within permitted residential neighborhoods designated by appropriate signage for golf cart usage.</p>
11A4 152834	<p>RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY TO REINSTATE THE MANAGEMENT TRAINEE PROGRAM IN THE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR; REQUESTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AVAILABLE FUNDING SOURCES TO REINSTATE THE MANAGEMENT TRAINEE PROGRAM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 AND TO INCLUDE SUCH FUNDING IN THE MAYOR'S 2016-2017 BUDGET PROPOSAL; AND REQUIRING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County Policy to reinstate the Management Trainee Program in the Office of the Mayor and requests that the Mayor or designee identify available funding sources to reinstate the Program for the Fiscal Year 2016-2017, and, if funding sources are identified, to include such funding for the management trainee program in his 2016-17 budget proposal, or as soon as practicable.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or designee to submit to the BCC within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution a report identifying all funding sources available to reinstate the Program for the Fiscal Year 2016-2017. The report will also inform the BCC whether the Mayor will include such funding in his 2016-2017 budget proposal, and if not, provide the reasons for not doing so.</p> <p>Background The Miami-Dade County Management Trainee Program (Program) was a nationally recognized training program for future public administrators in which participants gained experience in all facets of the Miami-Dade County government. The County Manager's Office coordinated and supervised the Program.</p> <p>The Adopted Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Final Business Plan, Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan, and Five-Year Financial Outlook eliminated funding for four management trainee positions in an effort to save taxpayer funds during the economic downturn. The County's Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Final Business Plan, Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan, and Five-Year Financial Outlook, included the goal of promoting public service through the re-instatement of the Program consisting of four management trainee positions in the County Executive Office.</p> <p>A memo dated October 13, 2015, from the Mayor of Miami-Dade County, reported that as of August 2015, a total of 165 senior level employees under the County Mayor's purview are eligible to retire within three years based on Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP) date, longevity years of service, or age. This total includes 40 senior level positions, which must separate from County service due to completion of DROP and the Mayor's memo further states that "it is critical that we accelerate the development of the current workforce to assume these future leadership positions."</p> <p>In Fiscal Year 2012-13, PortMiami included \$243,000.00 in its operating budget to fund four management trainee positions to assist in succession planning for the department.</p>
11A5 152970	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION BY COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH FLORIDA NURSERY, GROWERS & LANDSCAPE ASSOCIATION, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION PROVIDING FOR A RELOCATION TO ANOTHER ROOM IN THE BUILDING IN CONNECTION WITH DOWNSIZING EFFORTS, FOR OFFICE USE IN CONNECTION WITH THE REPRESENTATION OF THE COMMUNITY NURSERY INDUSTRY INCLUDING PROJECTS AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES IN THE AGRICULTURAL FIELD FOR AN INITIAL FIVE YEAR TERM AND AN ADDITIONAL FIVE YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD ON COUNTY-OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 18710 SW 288TH STREET, MIAMI, AT NO COST, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTE 125.38; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING, TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS SET FORTH IN THEREIN, AND TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF THE LEASE AMENDMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves terms of and authorizes the execution by the County Mayor or Mayor's designee of an amendment to the Lease Agreement with Florida Nursery, Growers & Landscaper Association, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation; • Provides for a relocation to another room in the building in connection with downsizing efforts, for office use in connection with the representation of the Community Nursery Industry including projects and educational services in the agricultural field for an initial five year term; • An additional five year renewal option period on county-owned land located at 18710 SW 288th Street, Miami, FL at no cost; • Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board; • Authorizes the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to enter the Amended Lease; and • Directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to appoint staff to monitor compliance with the terms of the Amended Lease and to provide a copy of the Amended Lease to the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's office.

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background: Florida Nursery, Growers & Landscape Association, Inc. (FNGLA) is a Florida not-for-profit corporation, organized for the community interests and welfare purposes of representation of all walks of the nursery industry, including foliage, citrus nurseries, floriculture producers, retailers, suppliers, and landscape professionals, and providing agricultural information to the agricultural community. FNGLA is engaged in the monitoring of marketing projects and providing education services in the agricultural field, including classes, meetings, and workshops to address the myriad of issues facing nursery producers.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-507-06, the BCC approved the lease between the County and FNGLA (Original Lease) in the Miami-Dade County Cooperative Extension Building (Extension Building), which includes tenants offering programs and services for commercial growers, home owners, families, youth and the agricultural community, and located at 18710 SW 288th Street, Miami, Florida. Pursuant to the terms of the Original Lease, FNGLA occupies Room 38 in the Extension Building, encompassing 740 square feet of office space, with a lease expiration of January 31, 2017, and annual rent in the amount of \$9,190.00.</p> <p>FNGLA has advised the County that it seeks to downsize in order to continue its operations due to reduced income revenue sources, and desires to remain in the Extension Building to continue to serve the nursery community and has requested a relocation of its operations from Room 38 to Room 117, an office space encompassing approximately 300 square feet, which is underutilized, and is currently used primarily for testing and very small meetings, (Property). Relocation of FNGLA from Room 38 to Room 117 would allow the former space to be utilized for other purposes within the Extension Building.</p> <p>FNGLA has applied to the County for the use of the Property, and has represented that it will use the Property consistently with its mission, in support of the community interests and welfare purposes for which it is organized, and in accordance with the terms of the amended lease agreement with the County (Amended Lease). FNGLA has requested a five year term with an additional five year renewal option period, with such Amended Lease being cancellable by the County or FNGLA upon sixty days' notice as set forth in the Original Lease.</p> <p>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion: During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on January 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The committee raised concerns regarding the original lease and how companies were not used to paying market value for rent. The Committee also noted that no fiscal impact was provided in the item and that the amount stated in the proposed item does not match that of the original lease agreement.</i> • <i>The Committee also noted that the proposed item does not explain why the company's budget decreased.</i> • <i>The Committee also clarified that the company was prepared to leave the building because they could not afford the rent. The Committee also noted that 50% of our agriculture sector is the nursing industry and that the proposed item would allow them to continue to function.</i>
11A6 152996	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A FIT NATION EXHIBIT TO BE DISPLAYED AT THE SOUTH DADE GOVERNMENT CENTER FOR TWO MONTHS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes a Fit Nation exhibit to be displayed at the South Dade Government Center for a period of two months in a manner that is both consistent with existing requirements for displays on County property and also will not interfere with the day-to-day operations of the South Dade Government Center.</p> <p>Background: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that more than one-third of American adults are obese and the estimated annual medical cost of obesity in the United States was \$147 billion in 2008. Architecture and urban planning are now part of the national discussion on how to reduce the obesity and chronic disease epidemic in the United States by analyzing how good design can promote more active, healthy lifestyles. The American Institute of Architects in New York originated the Fit Nation Exhibit to point out ways that architects' work can keep people moving and these exhibits have been displayed at various locations throughout the United States and provide award-winning examples of architecture that promotes a healthy built environment.</p> <p>The Fit Nation Exhibit for Miami-Dade County has been on display at the Miami Center for Architecture and Design in Downtown Miami and brings together 15 award-winning projects that exemplify architectural means, policy-driven and grassroots action, conceptual frameworks, and simple improvements which contribute to a healthier lifestyle for individuals and communities. The Fit Nation Exhibit for Miami-Dade County is sponsored by many local organizations including the Partnerships in Community Health grant administered by the Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade County, the Consortium for a Healthier Miami-Dade, and the Miami Center for Architecture and Design. The Health and the Built Environment Committee of the Consortium for a Healthier Miami-Dade is facilitating this exhibit to travel and be displayed in other high traffic locations throughout Miami-Dade County in order to maximize awareness.</p>
11A7 152998	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT FEASIBILITY STUDY ON USING SOCIAL IMPACT BONDS AS A MECHANISM TO FINANCE SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE HOMELESS TRUST, TO SELECT ONE OR MORE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE ENTITIES TO SUPPORT THE COUNTY IN CONDUCTING THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO APPLY OR ENDORSE APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING OR OTHER TECHNICAL SUPPORT RESOURCES IN FURTHERANCE OF CONDUCTING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS; AND DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT RELATED TO SUCH PROPOSED FINANCING FOR CONSIDERATION BY THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS AND INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS IN REPORT TO THE BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to coordinate with the Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust and other County and non-County agencies that assist the homeless to study the feasibility of using Social Impact Bonds to finance a Permanent Supportive

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Housing program in the County using a Housing First intervention model to address chronic homelessness and reduce costs to the health, crisis response and criminal justice systems and develop recommendations for next steps to accomplish an initial pilot project;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Mayor or designee, in consultation with the Homeless Trust, is authorized to select one or more technical assistance entities to support the County in conducting the feasibility analysis and to assist it in developing recommendations. Such entit(ies) must have demonstrated prior and ongoing experience in providing technical support to all phases through ramp-up of Social Impact Financing projects: ability to identify strong, evidence-based models targeting chronic homeless high users of public systems that have a high likelihood of success in the Social Impact Financing implementation phase, project coordination and management across multiple systems, financial modeling and estimation of return on investment (ROI) and cost-benefit analysis, program design and evaluation methodology, marketing the project to potential investors, capital raising, and developing contracts and supplementary documentation and ability to successfully transition a project into implementation using ramp-up activities.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the Mayor or designee to apply, or endorse applications for funding or other technical support resources in furtherance of conducting feasibility analysis and developing recommendations; and • Directs the Mayor to submit and present a report setting forth the feasibility analysis and recommendations to the BCC within 120 days from the effective date of this resolution. <p>The use of County funds for such technical support is not authorized under this proposed Resolution.</p>
11A8 152969	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CREATE A SEARCHABLE DATABASE OF OUTSTANDING INDIVIDUAL FINES OR OTHER CHARGES; TO IDENTIFY GENERAL FUND OR OTHER AVAILABLE REVENUES TO FINANCE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH DATABASE IN THE CURRENT OR SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEAR BUDGET, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; TO INFORM INDIVIDUALS OF ANY SUCH OUTSTANDING DEBT AND METHODS TO PAY SUCH DEBT; TO USE THE DATABASE FOR SUCH PURPOSES; AND TO PREPARE AND PRESENT A REPORT REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION TO THE BOARD</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a searchable database of all outstanding fines or other charges against individuals that may be used by the County administration to identify outstanding individual debt to the County during face to face financial transactions; • Identify appropriate face to face financial transaction where individuals may be informed of any outstanding debt and available payment methods, including for example vehicle tag renewal services at the County Tax Collector's Offices, and to utilize the database during the identified face to face financial transactions to inform individuals of any such outstanding debt and the available payment methods; • Identify general fund or other available revenues in the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 annual budget to finance the implementation of the searchable database and prepare a proposed amendment to the Fiscal Year 2015-16 County budget for such funding if such funds are available; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>In the event such funds are not available in the current fiscal year, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee is directed to include such funding in the 2016-2017 proposed budget.</i> • Provide a report to the BCC detailing the implementation of this policy within 6 months following the effective date of this resolution and, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, place the report on a regularly scheduled meeting of the BCC. <p>Background: Miami-Dade County assesses fines or other charges against individuals as a result of civil citations or County code enforcement proceedings. Such fines and other charges (outstanding debt) sometimes inadvertently go unpaid because people are unaware of the outstanding debt and informing individuals of their outstanding debt to the County and the available means to pay such debt will increase the rate of repayment of outstanding debt to the County.</p> <p>Outstanding debt to the County is both expensive to the County, because collecting on the debt is costly, and to the debtor, because such debt negatively impacts the debtor's credit ratings. The County, through its various departments and officers, regularly conducts in person financial transactions for various County services. The County Tax Collector offers vehicle tag renewal services at the County Tax Collector's Offices where individuals may pay in person for such vehicle tag renewal fees.</p> <p>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion: During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted that the creation of a searchable database was initiated in 2013 and in 2014 a status report was finally issued. The Committee noted that in 2016 the database was still not complete.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned whether or not the database would include outstanding debt from business that do work with the County, to which it was clarified that the proposed resolution includes outstanding charges from individuals and other charges.</i>
11A9 160071	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS WITH UNIVERSITIES, COLLEGES, AND DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARDS, AND THEIR RESPECTIVE POLICE DEPARTMENTS; APPROVING TERMS THAT ALLOW FOR SUCH ENTITIES TO ISSUE CIVIL CITATIONS FOR CERTAIN MISDEMEANORS PURSUANT TO SECTION 8CC AND SECTION 21-81 OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ANY RENEWALS, AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER 152971) (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 7D)</p>
Notes	<p>See Item 7D</p>
11A10 152277	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD, AN AMENDMENT TO CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS' CHARTER WHICH WOULD DELETE SECTION 9.6 OF THE CITY'S CHARTER, RESULTING IN THE TRANSFER FROM MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO THE CITY OF MIAMI</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	GARDENS OF ZONING, PERMITTING, AND OTHER LAND USE JURISDICTION OVER THE AREA KNOWN AS STADIUM PROPERTIES AND DOLPHIN CENTER SUBJECT TO A VOTE OF THE ELECTORS OF THE CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes, by a two-thirds vote of the BCC, an amendment to the Charter of the City of Miami Gardens as set forth in City of Miami Gardens Resolution No. 2014-125-2104 which would delete Section 9.6 of the City's Charter, so that the City would have jurisdiction over such matters as building, zoning and other land use decisions regarding the properties described in Appendix C and Appendix D to the City's Charter.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Joint Public Conflict Resolution Meeting between Miami-Dade County BCC and the City Council of the City of Miami Gardens:</u></p> <p>On December 1, 2015, a Joint Public Conflict Resolution Meeting was held between Miami-Dade County BCC and the City Council of the City of Miami Gardens, regarding the lawsuit entitled City of Miami Gardens vs. Miami-Dade County., Circuit Court Case No. 2014-017408 CA 01, as part of the conflict assessment process that is required by Florida law.</p> <p>As required by Florida law after a lawsuit is filed by one government entity against another, the City Council of the City of Miami Gardens and the BCC are required to have a joint public meeting. In this meeting, governing bodies of these entities are required to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider the statement of issues; • Seek an Agreement; and • Schedule additional meetings of the entities in conflict or of their designees to continue to seek resolution of the conflict. <p>In its lawsuit, the City of Miami Gardens (City) is asking the court to interpret Section 9. Of their municipal charter, which was required by the BCC as a condition of the City's incorporation in 2003.</p> <p>On December 1, 2015, File No. 152830 was accepted to eliminate Section 9.6 of the City of Miami Gardens (City) Charter, to allow the City to have full jurisdiction over those properties; to have a resolution to effectuate this action; and come back to the BCC at the soonest opportunity.</p>
11A11 160028	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE CONCESSION AGREEMENTS WITH JACKSON SOUL FOOD AND CHEF CREOLE FOR FUTURE LOCATIONS AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND TO PRESENT A WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION ON SUCH AGREEMENTS TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WITHIN 120 DAYS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXTEND TIME PERIODS IF REASONABLY NECESSARY TO FINALIZE NEGOTIATIONS UPON WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF 120 DAYS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Concession Agreements with Jackson Soul Food and Chef Creole for locations, either existing or future, at Miami International Airport (MIA), and to present a written recommendation to the BCC as to whether the County should enter into such Concession Agreements within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Airports throughout the Country have recognized the importance of ensuring that their concessions reflect a "sense of the destination" and diversity in concession offerings at MIA will help create that "sense of destination."</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>During the January 14, 2016, Economic Prosperity Committee (EPC) Meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether Miami Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) had an available location or if the BCC was selecting a specific company and instructing Mayor to enter into negotiations; the Assistant County Attorney responded that the item was directing the Mayor to negotiate with the two firms and bring a recommendation back to the BCC.</i> • <i>Committee members pointed out that during consideration of the Perry Ellis, Bongos, and Children's Museum bid waiver items for MDAD concessions that were adopted, the BCC discussed that diversity needed to exist at MIA and because Miami-Dade County was such a diverse community, the vendors at MIA needed to be representative of the entire community.</i> • <i>It was stated that MDAD was already in discussions for these concessions.</i> <p>On August 27, 2015, at the Trade and Tourism Committee Meeting during consideration of what was later adopted by the BCC on October 6, 2015 as Resolution No. R-823-15, Bid Waiver for Bongos Café Lease, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In response to Committee members questions regarding whether the process was followed to bring forth this recommendation; Assistant Director Business Retention and Development, Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) stated the process was followed and negotiations were conducted.</i> • <i>Committee members indicated support for the item and noted these were iconic brands that we have in Miami-Dade County (MDC) and commented that whether or not these brands were brought to the attention of the administration or either the company reached out to the administration, they decided to move forward and a decision was made to proffer to the BCC a bid waiver to contract with this corporation.</i> • <i>Responding to questions MDAD stated the process was to identify as many iconic brands we have in MDC and to have them displayed at the airport. He commented that other entities were also identified. MDAD advised due to the location of the store, the goal of the marketplace was to highlight local iconic brands that put MDC on the map. He noted these specific brands were identified and the interest level varied among the companies, but stated they want to ensure that the brand placed in those locations could be easily identified with MDC and South Florida.</i> • <i>MDAD mentioned there was a thought and negotiating process; and mentioned this was a continuing process as well, since they were reaching out to different communities and various iconic brands. MDAD explained that MDAD staff meets with the</i>

Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>concessionaires on a regular basis to provide feedback about the airport process and to also help them succeed. He noted they were reaching out to different communities.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>MDAD stated that they would continue to populate the airport so it would be reflective of our community.</i> • <i>In response to questions regarding fee rates, MDAD advised that all concessionaires were charged the same fee rate and that they must charge all concessionaires the same rates, but the percentage of gross sales has been negotiated and for this particular concessionaire, the negotiated rates were 19% for alcohol and 14% for food and beverages.</i>
11A12 160099	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEPOSIT A MINIMUM OF 25 PERCENT OF THE PROCEEDS FROM THE SALES OF COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES INTO THE MIAMI-DADE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND; EXCEPT FOR PROCEEDS FROM THE SALES OF COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES, WHICH ARE SOLD UNDER THE COUNTY'S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM, PUBLIC HOUSING MIXED-FINANCE DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT, FUNDED THROUGH THE COUNTY'S AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUNDING PROGRAMS SUCH AS DOCUMENTARY SURTAX, STATE HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAMS OR SIMILAR AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAMS OR RESTRICTED BY FEDERAL OR STATE LAWS, GRANTS OR AGREEMENTS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 152941]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County Mayor or designee to deposit a minimum of 25 percent of the proceeds from the sales of County-owned properties into the Affordable Housing Trust Fund (Trust Fund) for the purpose of increasing and improving the supply of affordable housing to households in the affordable target income groups, including, but not limited to acquisition of property and property rights, covering the cost of construction including costs associated with planning, administration, design, building or installation, as well as any other costs associated with the construction or financing of affordable housing, and reimbursement to the County for such costs if funds were advanced by the County from other sources. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The proceeds from the sales of County-owned properties for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, or rehabilitating affordable housing, such as through the County's Infill Housing Initiative and the public housing mixed-finance development concept programs are exempt from the requirements of this resolution;</i> ○ <i>Additionally, the proceeds from the sales of County-owned properties, which are funded through the County's affordable housing funding programs, such as Documentary Surtax, State Housing Initiatives Partnership, HOME Investment Partnerships, Community Development Block Grant, General Obligation Bond programs, or other similar programs, are also exempt from the requirements of this resolution; and</i> ○ <i>Additionally, the proceeds received by the County from the sales of County-owned properties, where the use of such proceeds is restricted by federal or state laws, grants or agreements, are exempt from the requirements of this resolution.</i> <p>Background</p> <p>The BCC enacted Ordinance No. 07-15, which is codified at Article VIII, Sections 17-129 et seq. of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), establishing the Affordable Housing Trust Fund of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The purpose of the Trust Fund is (1) to establish a permanent, renewable source of revenue to meet, in part, the housing needs of the residents of Miami-Dade County; (2) to foster a housing supply accessible to a range of incomes in developments assisted by the Trust Fund; and (3) to disperse affordable housing units throughout the County.</p> <p>The Code provides that "monies deposited in the Trust that are used to construct, acquire, rehabilitate or subsidize affordable housing and/or to assist other governmental entities, private organizations or individuals in the construction, acquisition, rehabilitation, reimbursement of County advanced funds, location or subsidy of affordable housing shall, whenever feasible, be disbursed in a manner to assure that the housing supply created with these monies is accessible to a range of family whose incomes do not exceed the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's published standards for moderate income households, adjusted for family size."</p> <p>The Trust Fund currently has \$1,828,014.90 deposited into it.</p>
11A13 152942	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO STUDY THE FEASIBILITY OF LEVERAGING DOCUMENTARY SURTAX FUNDS TO SECURE BONDS TO FINANCE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN 60 DAYS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to analyze the feasibility of leveraging Documentary Surtax funds to secure bonds to finance affordable housing projects and further directs the County Mayor or designee to specifically analyze the feasibility of obtaining \$130,000,000.00 in bonds to be divided equally amongst the 13 County Commission Districts with each County Commission District receiving a total of \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to provide a report to the BCC with the analysis within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p>
11A14 160165	<p>RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING, AS BOARD POLICY, THAT EVERY YEAR, BETWEEN DECEMBER 1-15TH, THE LOBBY OF THE STEPHEN P. CLARK CENTER BE USED BY THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ADVOCACY TO SHOWCASE AN ART EXHIBIT FEATURING LOCAL ARTISTS TO BE CALLED THE "ART MIAMI-DADE" EXHIBIT [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 152800]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes, as a policy of the BCC, that every year between December 1-15th, the lobby of the Stephen P. Clark Center shall be used by the Office of Community Advocacy to showcase an art exhibit featuring local artists to be called the "Art Miami-Dade" exhibit.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	During the Economic Prosperity Committee meeting on January 14, 2016, the proposed resolution was amended to delete the language "Hispanic Affairs Advisory Board" and replace it with "Office of Community Advocacy."
11A15 152943	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SEEK COLLABORATION OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD IN OFFERING HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS THE OPPORTUNITY TO ENROLL IN SUMMER VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL CLASSES AND TO REPORT BACK TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to seek collaboration of the Miami-Dade County School Board in offering high school students the opportunity to enroll in summer vocational and technical classes, beginning in 2016 and further directs the County Mayor or designee to report back to the BCC with recommendations as to how the County and the School Board may partner in providing high school students with vocational and technical summer classes and to place the completed report on a BCC agenda within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution.
11A16 152940	RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 FOR SECURING SPONSORSHIPS AND PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES FOR HOSTING THE 9TH ANNUAL MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AGRICULTURE, HORSE AND CATTLE SHOW TO BE HELD ON APRIL 15-17, 2016
Notes	See Item 8H2
11A17 152944	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD DESCRIBING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S EFFORTS IN SUPPORT OF AUTISM-RELATED CAUSES OVER THE PRECEDING 10 YEARS, AND DESCRIBING ANY PLANNED FUTURE EVENTS OR EXPENDITURES IN SUPPORT OF AUTISM-RELATED CAUSES
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to prepare a written report to the BCC describing all of the County's efforts in support of autism-related causes over the preceding 10 years, and describing any planned future events or expenditures in support of autism-related causes. The County Mayor or designee will provide the report on a BCC agenda within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution.
11A18 160109	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT SB 306, HB 143, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD AUTHORIZE SMALL COASTAL MUNICIPALITIES TO ESTABLISH A PILOT PROGRAM TO REGULATE OR BAN DISPOSABLE PLASTIC BAGS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to enact Senate Bill (SB) 306, House Bill (HB) 143, or similar legislation that would authorize small coastal municipalities to establish a pilot program to regulate or ban disposable plastic bags; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, Senator Dwight Bullard, Representative David Richardson, and the Chair and remaining Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p>Background: According to the Environmental Protection Agency, approximately 100 billion disposable plastic bags are used in the United States every year. Disposable plastic bags contribute to litter and pollution, harm land and marine wildlife, clog storm drains, interfere with landfill operations, burden public works departments, and can adversely affect water quality. According to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, in one year a single reusable bag replaces between 56.8 and 315.15 disposable plastic bags.</p> <p>Florida law currently prohibits local governments from banning, regulating, or taxing disposable plastic bags. Identical Senate and House bills, SB 306 by Senator Dwight Bullard (D-Miami) and HB 143 by Representative David Richardson (D-Miami Beach), have been filed for consideration during the 2016 session of the Florida Legislature. SB 306 and HB 143 would provide coastal municipalities with populations under 100,000 the authority to establish a short-term pilot program to regulate or ban disposable plastic bags. By authorizing small coastal municipalities to establish short-term pilot programs regulating disposable plastic bags, these bills would enable such municipalities to determine how to most effectively address the environmental concerns caused by disposable plastic bags in their communities, and would also help illuminate which measures can be most effective in discouraging the use of disposable plastic bags and encouraging the use of reusable bags instead.</p>
11A19 160138	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION TO REINSTATE THE PROHIBITION ON BEAR HUNTING THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF FLORIDA AND FOCUS MORE ATTENTION ON REMOVING CONFLICTS BETWEEN BEARS AND SUBURBAN AREAS THROUGH DETERRENT TECHNIQUES; ALTERNATIVELY, URGES THE FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION TO, AT A MINIMUM, PROHIBIT FUTURE BEAR HUNTS IN THE SOUTH REGION OF THE STATE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to reinstate the prohibition on bear hunting throughout the State of Florida and focus more attention on removing conflicts between bears and suburban areas through deterrent techniques; • Alternatively, urges the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to, at a minimum, prohibit future bear hunts in the South region of the State; • Directs the Clerk of the BCC to send a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and the Commissioners of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislative action and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p>Background: Pursuant to the State Constitution, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (Commission) has regulatory and executive powers over wild animal life, which includes the regulation of bear hunting. In June 2015, the Commission approved bear hunting in certain</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>regions throughout the State of Florida, including the East Panhandle, North, Central, and South regions, reversing the statewide ban on bear hunting in place since 1994. The Commission approved bear hunting without the benefit of a state-wide bear count that was slated for completion in 2016.</p> <p>The killing of a total of 304 bears in just the first two days of a planned week-long hunt was documented during the 2015 season. The first hunt in 21 years was ended early because the number of Florida black bears in the Eastern Panhandle was three times the established quota and the number of bears killed in Central Florida exceeded the limit by nearly 40 percent. Accounts of unreported killing of bears, bears suffering a slow death, and the killing of lactating bears, which was forbidden, likely increased the death toll. The black bear is the only bear found in Florida and is estimated to have a total population of approximately 3,000 throughout the State. The bear population is not sufficient to sustain hunting throughout the State having been listed as a threatened species until 2012.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation - 2015 Florida bear hunting rules and regulations³:</u></p> <p>Bear season dates and harvest objectives</p> <p>The 2015 bear hunting season will run up to seven days in each of the four bear management units (BMUs) that are open to bear hunting. The season began on Saturday, Oct. 24, and permitted hunters must verify with the FWC after each day of the hunt to determine whether the harvest objective (number of bears killed by hunters) in any of the BMUs has been met. Updated information on BMU closures will be made available daily during the season around 9 p.m. Eastern Time. Hunters can learn of these possible closures after 9 p.m. by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calling the Bear Hunting Hotline toll free at 844-FWC-BEAR • Checking MyFWC.com/Hunting • Following social media sites: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Facebook.com/HGM.FWC ○ Twitter.com/HuntFloridaFWC <p>Each BMU has its own harvest objective, so while one BMU may close, others may remain open. In any BMU where the harvest objective is not met, the hunt will continue through Oct. 30.</p> <p>License and permit requirements</p> <p>Hunters will need a hunting license and a bear permit (\$100 for residents; \$300 for nonresidents). Youth, seniors and disabled persons who are interested in hunting bears will also need to buy a bear permit. Only those who have a Florida lifetime hunting license purchased before July 1, 1998, are exempt from paying for the permit, but they still must have the permit to hunt bear. The bear permits go on sale Aug. 3, and the last day you can purchase one is Oct. 23.</p> <p>If you are hunting on a wildlife management areas (WMA), you also will need a management area permit. And depending on the WMA and what other season may be overlapping the bear season, you may also need a quota permit, and/or an archery or muzzleloading gun permit.</p> <p>All licenses and permits can be purchased at GoOutdoorsFlorida.com, county tax collectors' offices and most retail outlets that sell hunting and fishing supplies.</p> <p>Legal methods of take for bears</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shooting hours are the same as for most game animals – a half-hour before sunrise until a half-hour after sunset. • Bows and crossbows may be used. Bows must have minimum draw weights of 35 pounds. All arrows and bolts must be equipped with broadheads having at least two sharpened edges with minimum widths of 7/8 inch. • Muzzleloaders – Guns must be at least .40-caliber if firing a single bullet. Guns must be at least 20-gauge if firing multiple balls. • Rifles, pistols and revolvers – Must use centerfire rounds. No rimfire cartridges or full metal jacket ammunition is allowed. It is illegal to use semi-automatic rifles that have magazine capacities of more than 5 rounds. • Shotguns – No restrictions. • It is prohibited to use fully automatic firearms. • Dogs may not be used to hunt bears, however, you may use a leashed dog to blood trail and help find a bear that has been shot. • It is prohibited to shoot a bear while moving under power of a vehicle or vessel, or to herd or drive bears with vehicles/vessels. • Like when hunting any game animal, recorded game calls or sounds may not be used. <p>Baiting and feeding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use of bait or feed for any wildlife is prohibited on WMAs. This does not include scented sprays or aerosols and scent powders. • On private lands, feeding stations intended to feed game animals other than bears are allowed. In BMU's that are open to bear hunting, there are additional restrictions on what type of feed can be used in game feeding stations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No processed food products may be used. This does not include scented sprays or aerosols and scent powders. • Only pelletized feeds, flavored corns or other grains and mineral or vitamin supplements specifically and exclusively produced or marketed for feeding deer and hogs may be used. • For a bear to be legally taken, the hunter AND bear must both be at least 100 yards away from any feeding station when feed is present.

³ <http://myfwc.com/hunting/by-species/bear/rules/>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Minimum size requirement You may take either sex, but the bear must weigh at least 100 pounds (live weight) and cannot be with any cubs (a cub is defined as a bear that is less than 100 pounds).</p> <p>Bag limit One bear per person for the season</p> <p>Mandatory checking of harvested bears Whether taken on private or public land, you must check your bear at any of the established bear check stations within 12 hours of recovering it. You may field dress it, but do not remove evidence of sex, quarter, skin, or otherwise dismember it until you have checked your bear. Most check stations are located so that no matter where you are hunting within the four open BMUs, you are about 30 miles or less from one.</p> <p>No selling of bear parts or hide The sale (includes trade, barter and exchange) or purchase of bear meat or parts is prohibited, but you may keep or give away any of the parts, meat or hide.</p> <p>Additional Information - Wildlife officials call off Florida bear hunt after two days – Orlando Sentinel, October 25, 2015⁴:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Florida wildlife officials, who closed bear hunting in Central Florida after one day, shut the whole thing down Sunday. • The hunt was scheduled for seven days, and FWC had allowed for 320 bears to be killed. • After two days, 295 were dead. Of these, 139 bears were killed by hunters in Central Florida, 112 in the eastern Panhandle, 23 in the northern region and 21 in the southern region. • The FWC put a stop to the hunt Sunday as the total reached the 320 limit and plans to use information gleaned from this year's hunt for future management efforts, according to spokeswoman Tammy Sapp. • Saturday, sharp-shooting hunters exceeded kill quotas in two of the four areas where hunting was allowed, including the Central region that includes Lake, Orange, Seminole and Volusia counties. • The kill limit for the region was set at 100. • FWC's hunting director, admitted Sunday the wildlife agency had underestimated the rate of hunter success but pointed out the high harvest total also may suggest that the black bear population is larger than the current estimate of 3,500. • The Florida black bear was listed as a threatened species until three years ago. • Wildlife officials said they had no reported injuries to any of the 3,778 hunters who bought permits to kill a bear. • One hunter was cited for killing an underweight bear, a 42-pound cub in the eastern Panhandle. Another hunter in Central Florida was warned because he brought in an 88-pound bear. • Bears weighing less than 100 pounds are presumed to be cubs. • FWC officers also are continuing investigations into instances of leaving bait to attract bears, which was not permitted under the state hunting rules. A hunter in Central Florida was cited for baiting. • FWC attributed the high bear kill to good weather, savvy hunters and unsuspecting bears. • As the bear population fell, Florida stopped hunting bruins in all but two counties in 1972. All bear hunting ended in 1994. • Florida hunters paid \$100 for a permit, out-of-state hunters forked out \$300. Total sales reached over \$376,900, said Sapp. • The bear hunt ended after Saturday in Central Florida and in the eastern Panhandle, where hunters bagged 112 bears — nearly three times the kill quota. Those two regions by far had the most hunters, with Central Florida attracting an estimated 2,000. • Hunters on Sunday were allowed to pursue bears in two areas of the state — a northern region that included an area stretching from Suwannee County west of Interstate 75 to the Atlantic Ocean, and a southern region ranging from the west coast of Fort Myers in Lee County across Alligator Alley to West Palm Beach and Fort Lauderdale. • Volunteers with Speak Up Wekiva, who photographed bears brought into many of the 33 check-in stations, described several of the dead animals as "lactating females." The state rules allow hunters to kill female bears, but forbid taking a bear with cubs. • The agency's bear-hunting guide advised hunters to "observe a bear for a while before taking a shot so that you can confidently estimate its size... Taking your time also helps make sure that an adult female doesn't have any cubs with her as cubs often don't show themselves right away."
11A20 160139	RESOLUTION CALLING SPECIAL ELECTION IN PROPOSED SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO QUALIFIED ELECTORS RESIDING IN RIVERWOOD STREET LIGHTING SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT, FOR THEIR APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL, ORDINANCE NO. 16-1 CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SAID DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SECTION 18-3(F) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
Notes	See Item 7A
11A21 160092	RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO FUND SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS
Notes	The proposed resolution: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the United States Congress and Florida Legislature to allocate funding for summer youth employment programs; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the members of the Miami-Dade County Congressional Delegation, the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and

⁴ <http://touch.orlandosentinel.com/#section/-1/article/p2p-84820653/>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directs the County’s federal and state lobbyists to advocate for funding and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2016 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC and amend the 2016 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p>Background: There are over four million youths between the ages of 15 and 19 living in the State of Florida, according to the United States Census Bureau statistics (2014). Early employment experiences offer unique opportunities for at-risk youth who may not otherwise be afforded a chance to be a part of and contribute to a productive work environment while earning money and learning skills.</p> <p>According to a 2013 report by the Center for Labor Market Studies, youth who participate in summer employment programs have higher graduation and employment rates, increased wages later in life, and are less likely than their peers to engage in risky behaviors, such as drug and alcohol use, violent behavior and crimes. A recent study concluded that a “summer plus” job program for at-risk kids, which included mentoring and counseling, resulted in a 51 percent drop in arrests for violent crimes in the Chicago area.</p> <p>During the summer of 2015, Miami-Dade County (County) provided \$1 million dollars in funding for the Summer Youth Employment Program for Younger Youth (Summer Youth Employment Program), which will also be funded for the summer of 2016. The Summer Youth Employment Program lasted seven weeks and paired at-risk youth between the ages of 14 to 17 who resided in certain zip codes in the County with employers; there were approximately 300 participants who worked a minimum of 20 hours a week and were paid \$8.50 per hour. Additionally, the County has partnered with The Children’s Trust and Miami-Dade County Public Schools to fund a program for summer youth employment during the summer of 2016.</p> <p>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation: On December 5, 2006, the BCC, through Resolution No. R1395-06, directed the County Manager to allocate the \$1,000,000 allotted to the Summer Youth Employment Program to community-based organizations through an RFP for summer 2007. The County Manager was further directed to transfer the allocation of funding for the Summer Youth Employment Program to the Alliance for Human Services going forward.</p>
11A22 160095	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LEGISLATION BANNING THE SALE OF ARGENTINE BLACK AND WHITE TEGUS IN FLORIDA; URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE AND THE FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION TO EXPLORE ADDITIONAL MEASURES TO ADDRESS THE PRESENCE OF THESE LIZARDS IN THE EVERGLADES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urges the Florida Legislature to enact legislation banning the sale of Argentine black and white tegus in Florida; Urges the Florida Legislature and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission to explore additional measures to address the presence of Argentine black and white tegus in the Everglades; Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and the Executive Director of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission; and Directs the County’s state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation and for action and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 State Legislative Package to include this item and to include this item in the 2017 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC. <p>Background: As part of an increasingly popular international pet trade market, many exotic animals have been removed from their native habitats and placed in areas where they may disrupt the surrounding ecological environment. The most recent of these invasive species to affect Florida is the Argentine black and white tegu, a large omnivorous species of lizard native to South America which was first spotted in the wild near a Florida City trailer park in 2008. In 2009, when biologists first began trapping the lizards in South Florida, they captured just 13 tegus, whereas in 2015 that number is fast approaching 500.</p> <p>Such a rapid rise has many wildlife biologists questioning whether the Argentine black and white tegu could be the next Burmese python, a large invasive species of snake which in recent years has established a large breeding population in the Everglades National Park, disrupting the delicate balance of the park’s food chain. Unlike Burmese pythons, however, Argentine black and white tegus can survive in colder weather than South Florida’s tropical climate, making them capable of extending their territory to other regions. In the last several years Argentine black and white tegus have spread into the Florida Everglades, west to Collier County and then north to Hillsborough County.</p> <p>The same conditions that allow the vast diversity of wildlife to thrive in the Everglades also enable the survival of invasive exotic species such as the Argentine black and white tegu that can disrupt the delicate balance of the Everglades’ unique ecosystem. These invaders can harm native species, some of which are threatened and endangered, and add economic burdens to private landowners and public land managers. Argentine black and white tegus are still readily available in pet stores, and trapping and selling them is still legal in Florida.</p> <p>Additional Information - Biologists Remove Invasive Tegus Lizard, Threatening Florida’s Wildlife, WLRN, October 5, 2015⁵:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Argentine black and white tegu is one of the newest, biggest threats to Florida’s natural wildlife. The large, invasive lizard was first noticed in the wild roughly 10 years ago. Now, it has two main breeding populations and biologists are trying to contain them. They want to stop tegus from becoming established throughout the state.</i>

⁵ <http://wlrn.org/post/biologists-remove-invasive-tegu-lizard-threatening-floridas-wildlife>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>University of Florida wildlife biologists walked down a small path on state conservation land just south of Florida City in early September.</i> • <i>Tegus come from South America. They're popular in the pet trade, which is how biologists think they ended up in the wild. They can get pretty big, up to five feet long - and they're not picky eaters.</i> • <i>Biologists have captured more than 600 tegus this year and are part of a federal, state and local effort to control the tegu populations. One is in Miami-Dade County and the other is in Hillsborough County.</i> • <i>Last year, state and federal partners caught 410 tegus. They will catch more than 600 tegus by the end of September. They stop trapping in October.</i> • <i>University of Florida biologists are also tracking female tegus. They attached backpacks with transmitters to the lizards. It's called "telemetry." It helps researchers learn more about the animal's nesting habits.</i> • <i>Tegus can lay anywhere from 20 to 40 eggs when nesting. This year's telemetry study helped them find and excavate two tegu nests. Each nest had 22 eggs.</i> • <i>Scientists want to avoid what's happened with Florida's most famous invasive species: The Burmese python.</i> • <i>Tegus especially worry biologists because they do something pythons don't. Tegus can do the reptilian version of hibernation, and that means they can survive in colder places.</i> • <i>Tegus are all over the state. There have been reported sightings as far North as Nassau County and as far west as Bay County. They have also been seen across south Florida from the east to west coasts.</i> • <i>Biologists worry about native Florida wildlife like the threatened American crocodile that nests at the Turkey Point nuclear power plant to the east. That's because tegus love to eat eggs.</i>
11A23 160129	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REQUIRE LANDLORDS TO CONDUCT ROUTINE INSPECTIONS OF THEIR LEASED RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES TO ENSURE THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF TENANTS AND SURROUNDING NEIGHBORS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to require landlords to conduct routine inspections of their leased residential properties to ensure the health and safety of tenants and surrounding neighbors; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p>Background: Florida law allows landlords to enter and inspect their leased residential properties upon receiving the consent of their tenants. Many landlords, however, only conduct a move-in inspection at the beginning of the tenancy and a move-out inspection at the end of the tenancy, leaving the rental property unchecked during the entire period of the tenancy. As a result, landlords sometimes discover unknown occupants, environmental hazards, dangerous animals, extensive damage to their property, and signs of criminal activity like drug manufacturing which poses a serious threat to the health and safety of tenants and surrounding neighbors.</p>
11A24 160152	RESOLUTION APPROVING, FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED, THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS BY THE CAPITAL TRUST AGENCY, IN ONE OR MORE TAX EXEMPT OR TAXABLE SERIES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$9,000,000.00, THE PROCEEDS OF WHICH WILL BE LOANED TO MIAMI COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL, INC. TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND EQUIPPING OF AN EXPANSION TO THE BORROWER'S EXISTING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES AND THE ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY TO BE USED FOR FUTURE EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES OF THE BORROWER
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The issuance of the Bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$9,000,000.00, in one or more tax-exempt or taxable series, for the purpose of financing a loan to the Interlocal Borrower in order to finance all or a portion of the costs of the Project approved; • The BCC approves the issuance of the Bonds by the Issuer for purposes of Section 147(f) of the Code after accepting the report of the public hearing conducted by the Hearing Officer; • Any action by the BCC regarding the issuance of the Bonds will not constitute an endorsement to a prospective purchaser of the Bonds as to the creditworthiness of the Interlocal Borrower; • The Bonds will not constitute a debt, liability or obligation of the County or the State of Florida or any political subdivision or public agency of each, but will be payable solely from the revenues provided by the Interlocal Borrower for the repayment of the Bonds, and neither the faith and credit nor any taxing power of the County, the State of Florida or any political subdivision or public agency of each is pledged to the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, or interest on the Bonds; and • The County will have no obligation with respect to the Bonds. <p>Background: Miami-Dade County, Florida (County) is a political subdivision of the State of Florida and a public body corporate and politic, lawfully organized and existing under the Laws of the State of Florida (State), and the Capital Trust Agency (Issuer) is a political body corporate and politic, lawfully organized and existing under the laws of the State, and each is a "public agency" as defined in Section 163.01, Florida Statutes, as amended, which is titled the "Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969" (Act) and as such "public agencies" are authorized to enter into interlocal agreements pursuant to the Act, for the joint exercise of powers which they share in common and which each might exercise separately.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
February 2, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 (TEFRA) and Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code), require that the issuance of bonds by the Issuer be approved by the highest elected legislative body of the governmental unit which has jurisdiction over the area in which the facility financed with the proceeds of the bonds is located after a public hearing as a condition of exclusion of the interest on the Indebtedness from gross income for federal income tax purposes.</p> <p>The Project is located in the County and the BCC is the highest elected legislative body of the County with jurisdiction over the area where the Project is located. The BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1447-09 on December 15, 2009:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approving the issuance of bonds by the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$11,000,000 on behalf of Miami Community Charter School, Inc., a Florida not for profit corporation and an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code (Interlocal Borrower), to fund the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of an approximately 35,000 square foot educational facility for grades 6 through 12 located at 18720 SW 352nd Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida 33034 (Charter School); and • Approving the form and execution of an Interlocal Agreement between the County and the Issuer (the Interlocal Agreement) to allow the Issuer to operate within the jurisdictional boundaries of the County for the purpose of issuing bonds on behalf of the Interlocal Borrower. <p>The BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1123-14 on December 16, 2014 (2014 County Resolution):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approving the issuance of another series of bonds by the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$9,000,000 (Bonds) on behalf of the Interlocal Borrower to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fund the cost of the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping by the Borrower of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ An expansion to the Interlocal Borrower's existing facilities for grades K-5; ▪ An expansion to the Charter School, including a building containing approximately 33,000 square feet which will include classrooms, a gymnasium and food service areas; and ▪ Related facilities, fixtures, furnishings and equipment to the projects described in (1) and (2). ○ Fund a debt service reserve fund for the benefit of the Bonds, if deemed necessary and reasonable by the Interlocal Borrower; ○ Fund interest accruing on the Bonds, if deemed necessary or desirable by the Interlocal Borrower; and ○ Pay certain expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. • Approving the form of First Amendment to Interlocal Agreement (First Amendment), in order to amend the Interlocal Agreement to allow the Issuer to issue the Bonds on behalf of the Interlocal Borrower, and authorizing the County Mayor to execute and deliver the First Amendment on behalf of the County; and • Approving the issuance of the Bonds by the Issuer for the purposes of TEFRA and Section 147(f) of the Code. <p>The Issuer has not issued the Bonds in order to finance or refinance the Facility. The BCC's approval for the purposes of TEFRA and Section 147(f) of the Code is only valid for one (1) year after the adoption of the 2014 County Resolution, unless an initial series of the Bonds is issued within such year, and therefore such approval for purposes of TEFRA and Section 147(f) of the Code has expired. The Interlocal Borrower has determined that it will not use the proceeds of the Bonds to finance or refinance an expansion its existing educational facilities for grades K-5, but rather the proceeds of the Bonds will be used to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finance or refinance the cost of the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of an expansion to the Charter School facilities, including a building containing approximately 33,000 square feet which will include classrooms, a gymnasium and food service area, as well as related facilities, fixtures, furnishings and equipment; • Finance or refinance the cost of the acquisition of certain real property located consisting of approximately 4.14 acres located at the southeast corner of SW 7th Street and SW 187th Avenue, with approximately 556 feet fronting on SW 7th Street and approximately 273 feet fronting on SW 187th Avenue, contiguous to Florida City, Florida, within ZIP Code 33034, to be used by the Interlocal Borrower for future educational facilities; • Fund a debt service reserve fund for the benefit of the Bonds, if deemed necessary and reasonable by the Interlocal Borrower; • Fund interest accruing on the Bonds, if deemed necessary or desirable by the Interlocal Borrower; and • Pay certain expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the Bonds (collectively the Project). <p>A public hearing, notice of which was published in the Miami Herald (a newspaper of general circulation within the County and the area where the Project is located), was held on behalf of the County by a representative of the Issuer (Hearing Officer) regarding the purpose for, and the issuance of, the Bonds as required by TEFRA and Section 147(f) of the Code, at which time the Hearing Officer provided a reasonable opportunity for all interested persons to express their views, both orally and in writing, and diligently and conscientiously considered all comments and concerns, if any, expressed by such individuals, and such public hearing disclosed no reason why the Bonds should not be issued. The public hearing so noticed was duly held by the BCC.</p> <p>The BCC's approval will not impose any obligation on the County related to the Indebtedness and will not constitute a general obligation debt or indebtedness of the County,</p>