



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

May 17, 2016

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
4A 160964	ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 94-88 RELATING TO THE OAK FOREST ROVING PATROL SECURITY GUARD SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW OFF-DUTY POLICE OFFICERS FROM ANY POLICE AGENCY TO PROVIDE SECURITY SERVICES WITHIN THE SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 3 of Ordinance No. 94-88 to read as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Section 3. The improvements and services to be provided within this proposed special taxing district will consist of the following: A visible safety and mobile security program, to consist of one roving off-duty police officer in a marked police vehicle, or private security guard operating from a mobile unit, on duty 18 hours per day, 365 days a year.</i></p> <p>Background: The Miami-Dade County Home Rule Amendment to the Florida Constitution (Article VIII, Section 6) grants to the electors of Miami-Dade County power to adopt a home rule charter of government for Miami-Dade County, Florida, and provides that such charter may provide a method for establishing special taxing districts and other governmental units in Miami-Dade County from time to time.</p> <p>The Home Rule Charter adopted by the electors of Miami-Dade County on May 21, 1957, provides that the BCC, as the legislative and governing body of Miami-Dade County, will have the power to establish special purpose districts within which may be provided essential facilities and services and that all funds for such districts will be provided by service charges, special assessments, or general tax levies within such districts only, and that the BCC will be the governing body of all such districts.</p> <p>Pursuant to such provisions of the Florida Constitution and the Home Rule Charter, the BCC enacted Chapter 18 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, providing for the creation and establishment of special taxing districts and prescribing the procedures. In accordance with the provisions of Chapter 18 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, the BCC established in 1994, pursuant to Ordinance No. 94-88 (1994 Ordinance) the Oak Forest Roving Patrol Security Guard Special Taxing District (Special Taxing District). The 1994 Ordinance provided that the "services to be provided within this proposed special taxing district will consist of . . . [a] visible safety and mobile security program, initially to consist of one roving off-duty Florida Highway Patrol police officer in a marked police vehicle, or private security guard operating from a mobile unit." The 1994 Ordinance thus provided that the only off-duty police officers to be hired initially would be off-duty Florida Highway Patrol troopers.</p>
4B 161059	ORDINANCE RELATING TO FIRE PREVENTION AND SAFETY; REPEALING THE EXISTING CHAPTER 14 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA IN ITS ENTIRETY; CREATING A NEW CHAPTER 14 OF THE CODE; ADOPTING THE CURRENT FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE AND CERTAIN LOCAL AMENDMENTS; CONFORMING FIRE SAFETY STANDARDS TO REQUIREMENTS OF THE FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE;; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; AMENDING THE AUTHORITY, PROCEDURE, AND MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS OF THE FIRE PREVENTION AND SAFETY APPEALS BOARD; AMENDING INSPECTION PROCEDURES; RENUMBERING AND REORGANIZING CERTAIN FIRE PREVENTION AND SAFETY PROVISIONS; PROVIDING PENALTIES AND AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10 TO REFLECT CORRESPONDING REVISIONS TO CHAPTER 14 OF THE CODE; REPEALING THE SOUTH FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE AND ITS INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE INTO THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to fire prevention and safety:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repeals the existing Chapter 14 of the Miami-Dade County Code in its entirety; • Creates a new Chapter 14 of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Adopts the current Florida Fire Prevention Code and certain local amendments; • Conforms fire safety standards to requirements of the Florida Fire Prevention Code; • Amends the authority, procedure and membership requirements of the Fire Prevention Code and Safety Appeals Board; • Amends inspection procedures; and • Provides penalties and amends Section 8CC-10 to reflect corresponding revisions to Chapter 14. <p>The proposed ordinance reorganizes the provisions of Chapter 14 so that the requirements that apply solely to the Miami-Dade Fire and Rescue Service District and those that apply countywide are organized in separate articles.</p> <p>Background: Chapter 14 of the Code of Miami-Dade County provides applicable fire safety standards for incorporated and unincorporated Miami-Dade County pursuant to Section 1.01(A)(18) of the Home Rule Charter of Miami-Dade County. The State Fire Marshal has adopted the Florida Fire Prevention Code, which is a statewide fire safety code that contains or incorporates by reference all fire safety laws and rules that pertain to and govern the design, construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, and demolition of public and private buildings, structures and facilities. The Florida Fire Prevention Code is the applicable fire safety code for all municipalities, counties and special districts with fire safety responsibilities in the State of Florida.</p> <p>In addition, Section 633.208, Florida Statutes, provides that a local government may adopt stricter fire safety standards than those found in the Florida Fire Prevention Code if a review of local conditions demonstrates that there is a need to strengthen the minimum requirements of the Florida Fire Prevention Code.</p>
4C 160973	ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR DECORATIVE ELEMENTS ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES; PROVIDING DEFINITION AND CRITERIA FOR DECORATIVE ELEMENTS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1 AND 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	The proposed ordinance relating to zoning amends Sections 33-1 and 33-20 to provide for decorative elements on residential properties.

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>Sec. 33-1. - Definitions.</i></p> <p>(37.05) Decorative Elements (lawn). <i>Decorative elements means aesthetic features, including fountains and statues, that are placed on open space outside of a building.</i></p> <p><i>Sec. 33-20. - Accessory buildings; utility sheds; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage ; decorative elements.</i></p> <p>(j) Decorative Elements. <i>Decorative elements shall be permitted on lots developed with a residential structure in the AU, EU, GU, and RU Districts, provided that the decorative element is in front of the building line of the principal structure and complies with the following:</i></p> <p>(a) For lots in the RU Districts, <i>decorative elements shall be setback at least ten (10) feet from the front and side property lines and shall not exceed six (6) feet in height and fifty (50) square feet in area.</i></p> <p>(b) For lots in the AU, EU, and GU Districts, <i>decorative elements shall be setback at least ten (10) feet from the front and side property lines. The maximum height of decorative elements shall be six (6) feet at a setback of ten (10) feet, except that the maximum height may increase an additional 0.6 feet per additional foot of setback to a maximum height not to exceed twelve (12) feet.</i></p> <p>(c) A Zoning Improvement Permit shall be obtained prior to construction of a decorative element that is permanently affixed to the ground.</p>
<p>5C 160966</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING ISSUANCE FROM TIME TO TIME OF NOT TO EXCEED \$400,000,000.00 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES OUTSTANDING AT ANY ONE TIME; APPROVING CERTAIN DETAILS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES INCLUDING DISTRIBUTION OF OFFERING MEMORANDUM; APPOINTING COMMERCIAL PAPER DEALERS, LETTER OF CREDIT PROVIDERS AND ISSUING AND PAYING AGENT; APPROVING FORMS OF CERTAIN RELATED AGREEMENTS; DELEGATING DETERMINATION OF FINAL TERMS OF SAID COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES TO COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF COMMERCIAL PAPER NOTES; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AS AMENDED; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution adopts Resolution (CP Award Resolution) which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the issuance from time to time in an amount not to exceed at any one time \$400 million of commercial paper notes (CP Notes) for the Water and Sewer Department (Department); • Appoints the commercial paper dealers (Dealers) and the letter of credit providers (LOC Providers); • Approves the form and delivery of certain related agreements; and • Delegates certain responsibilities to the Finance Director. <p>The implementation of a Commercial Paper Program by issuing CP Notes will provide temporary financing to fund a portion of the Department's Capital Projects. Once the full \$400 million of the Commercial Paper Program has been issued, the County anticipates taking out the CP Notes with long-term, fixed rate bonds, thereby allowing additional CP Notes to be issued. The impact of the Commercial Paper Program is countywide.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The principal on the CP Notes will be paid solely from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds drawn under each respective Letter of Credit; • Proceeds of additional bonds that the County issues; and • A rollover of the maturing series CP Notes, or the issuance of additional CP Notes issued to finance the payment of the principal or interest on the CP Notes and Drawings. <p>Interest will be payable solely from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds drawn under each respective Letter of Credit; • Capitalized CP Note interest and proceeds of CP Notes refunding the same; • Proceeds of Additional Bonds that the County issues; • Issuance of additional CP Notes issued to finance the payment of the principal of or interest on the CP Notes; or • Net Operating Revenues of the County's water and wastewater utility system. <p>The CP Notes will be structured as a tax-exempt and taxable commercial paper program and will be issued in anticipation of the issuance of bonds. Once the full \$400 million of the Commercial Paper Program has been issued, the County anticipates taking out the CP Notes with long-term, fixed rate bonds, thereby allowing additional CP Notes to be issued.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>The Department is embarking on a multi-year capital improvement program. The Commercial Paper Program enables the Department to have immediate access to capital funds at short-term interest rates. This program will expedite the Capital Projects, which may lead to savings by taking advantage of historically low short-term rates and favorable construction prices. The BCC enacted Ordinance No. 09-67</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																													
	<p>authorizing the Interim Financing in connection with the Department’s Capital Projects, including the issuance of CP Notes in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$400,000,000 outstanding at any one time, in anticipation of the issuance of Additional Bonds.</p> <p>A Commercial Paper Program requires the remarketing of the CP Notes for any period from 1 to 270 days. These variable short term periods allows the Dealer to remarket the CP Notes to investors with specific needs, securing an interest rate advantageous to the Department. However, the continuous remarketing requires an available source of funds to repay the investor when the CP Notes mature. In order to provide this available source of funds, a Commercial Paper Program requires a letter of credit (LOC).</p> <p>After a competitive selection process and based on fees, term, experience and commitment amount, among other factors, the County’s water and sewer segment financial advisor, Public Resources Advisory Group, recommends the selection of Barclays Bank PLC and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation as the initial LOC Providers and Barclays Capital and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as the initial Dealers. The two LOC Providers were selected and recommended as a result of no one bank submitting a bid for the entire \$400 million LOC Commercial Paper Program.</p> <p>The County has covenanted to issue Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Ordinance No. 09-67 to pay the principal and interest on the CP Notes when due. Below is a breakdown of the fees associated with the Dealer and LOC Providers:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">LOC Provider Fees</th></tr><tr><th>Provider</th><th>Barclays Bank PLC</th><th>Sumitomo Mitsu Banking Corp.</th></tr><tr><td>Ratings – ST (M,S,F)</td><td>P-1, A2, F1</td><td>P1, A1, F1</td></tr><tr><td>Ratings – LT (M,S,F)</td><td>A1, A-, A</td><td>A1, A, A</td></tr><tr><td>Type</td><td>Direct Pay</td><td>Direct Pay</td></tr><tr><td>Term</td><td>3 – Year</td><td>3 – Year</td></tr><tr><td>Facility Fee</td><td>35.0 bps</td><td>45.0 bps-secured & 50.0 bps unsecured</td></tr><tr><td>Draw fee per draw</td><td>\$250</td><td>\$350</td></tr><tr><td>Transfer</td><td>N/A</td><td>\$5,000</td></tr><tr><td>Amendment</td><td>TBD</td><td>\$5,000</td></tr><tr><td>Bank Counsel</td><td>\$45,000</td><td>\$50,000</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Dealer Fees</th></tr><tr><th>Provider</th><th>Barclays Capital</th><th>Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.</th></tr><tr><td>Annual Fee</td><td>5 bps</td><td>4.5 bps</td></tr><tr><td>Legal Fees</td><td>\$25,000</td><td>\$15,000</td></tr></table> <p>The BCC of Miami-Dade County, Florida (County) owns and operates water and wastewater treatment plant facilities and a distribution and collection system and pursuant to such authority and Ordinance No. 93-134, enacted by the BCC on November 16, 1993 (Original Ordinance), as amended by Ordinance No. 13-47, enacted by the BCC on June 4, 2013 (2013 Ordinance and together with the Original Ordinance, the Master Ordinance), the County is authorized to issue revenue bonds from time to time.</p> <p>On July 23, 2009, the BCC enacted Ordinance No. 09-67 (Interim Financing Ordinance) authorizing the Interim Financing in connection with the CIP Projects, including the issuance of CP Notes in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$400,000,000.00 outstanding at any one time, in anticipation of the issuance of Additional Bonds (as such term is defined in the Master Ordinance).</p> <p>The 2013 Ordinance authorized the issuance of not to exceed \$4,245,000,000.00 aggregate principal amount of Additional Bonds pursuant to Section 208 of the Master Ordinance for the purpose, among others, of paying Costs and the County has issued \$340,265,000.00 in Additional Bonds pursuant to the 2013 Ordinance and has remaining capacity under the 2013 Ordinance for the issuance of \$3,904,735,000.00 in Additional Bonds.</p>	LOC Provider Fees			Provider	Barclays Bank PLC	Sumitomo Mitsu Banking Corp.	Ratings – ST (M,S,F)	P-1, A2, F1	P1, A1, F1	Ratings – LT (M,S,F)	A1, A-, A	A1, A, A	Type	Direct Pay	Direct Pay	Term	3 – Year	3 – Year	Facility Fee	35.0 bps	45.0 bps-secured & 50.0 bps unsecured	Draw fee per draw	\$250	\$350	Transfer	N/A	\$5,000	Amendment	TBD	\$5,000	Bank Counsel	\$45,000	\$50,000	Dealer Fees			Provider	Barclays Capital	Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.	Annual Fee	5 bps	4.5 bps	Legal Fees	\$25,000	\$15,000
LOC Provider Fees																																														
Provider	Barclays Bank PLC	Sumitomo Mitsu Banking Corp.																																												
Ratings – ST (M,S,F)	P-1, A2, F1	P1, A1, F1																																												
Ratings – LT (M,S,F)	A1, A-, A	A1, A, A																																												
Type	Direct Pay	Direct Pay																																												
Term	3 – Year	3 – Year																																												
Facility Fee	35.0 bps	45.0 bps-secured & 50.0 bps unsecured																																												
Draw fee per draw	\$250	\$350																																												
Transfer	N/A	\$5,000																																												
Amendment	TBD	\$5,000																																												
Bank Counsel	\$45,000	\$50,000																																												
Dealer Fees																																														
Provider	Barclays Capital	Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.																																												
Annual Fee	5 bps	4.5 bps																																												
Legal Fees	\$25,000	\$15,000																																												
5D 161008	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES PROGRAM), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES (INCLUDING SUBSERIES), PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 05-47 AND RESOLUTION NO. R-576-05, IN PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$675,000,000.00 FOR PURPOSE OF PAYING ALL OR PORTION OF CERTAIN APPROVED CAPITAL PROJECT COSTS AND PAYING COSTS OF ISSUING BONDS PURSUANT TO CERTAIN TERMS AND CONDITIONS; FINDING NECESSITY FOR AND AUTHORIZING NEGOTIATED SALE OF SUCH BONDS; APPROVING FORM AND EXECUTION OF CERTAIN RELATED DOCUMENTS, AGREEMENTS AND BOND FORMS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND PARAMETERS, TO FINALIZE TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF SUCH BONDS AND CONVERSION OF SUCH BONDS TO FIXED RATE OR (WITH THE BOARD'S ADOPTION OF A SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION) TO AN ALTERNATE FLOATING RATE, INCLUDING SELECTION OF BOND REGISTRAR, PAYING AGENT AND REMARKETING AGENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING CERTAIN COUNTY OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE AND SALE OF SUCH BONDS AND SUBSEQUENT CONVERSION AND REMARKETING; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AS AMENDED, AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE																																													
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves Issuance of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bonds in an Amount not to exceed \$675,000,000.00 as part of a Flexible Drawdown Bond Program.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed resolution authorizes the issuance of two (2) or more series of general obligation bonds over a four-year period in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$675 million (Bonds) with the maximum amount outstanding at one time not to exceed \$400 million as part of a flexible drawdown bond program (Flexible Drawdown Bond Program) that will provide the County with access to capital funds as needed at a variable rate of interest to finance the cost of any of the \$2,925,750,000 voter approved Building Better Communities</p>																																													

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<p>Projects (Projects). Funds for the Flexible Drawdown Bond Program will be provided by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) and RBC Capital Markets, LLC (RBC), collectively “the Purchasers.”</p> <p>Under the Flexible Drawdown Bond Program, RBC and Wells Fargo has agreed to purchase a maximum of \$675 million of Bonds, provided that there shall be no more than \$250 million in Wells Fargo drawdown Bonds outstanding at any time no more than \$150 million in RBC drawdown Bonds outstanding at any one time.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: Each series of Bonds will be issued pursuant to private placements on a pro-rata share basis between the Purchasers. Wells Fargo will receive approximately 62.5 percent of all draws and RBC will receive approximately 37.5 percent of all draws. Once the Bonds are issued, the County has the right to draw upon the Bonds in an amount necessary (minimum of a \$1 million draw per subseries) to pay for the costs of the Projects.</p> <p>Because the Purchasers have agreed to make available up to \$400 million for draws at the County’s discretion without charging any interest except on each draw, the Purchasers will receive an ongoing non-utilization fee. The actual amount of the non-utilization fee to be paid by the County during the four year period is difficult to determine because it is dependent upon the timing of the draws. Based on an average forecasted spend of approximately \$17 million a month, the approximate cost of the non-utilization rate is estimated to be around \$1.5 to \$2 million dollars over the four year period. The utilized fee for Bonds drawn under RBC will be based on the Securities Industry Financial Market’s Association (SIFMA) short-term variable rate tax-exempt index plus a spread of 0.53 percent. The utilized fee for the Bonds drawn under Well Fargo will be based either on SIFMA plus a spread of 0.78 percent or 70 percent of the one (1) month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a spread of 0.58 percent. The County has the option to decide which rate it prefers at the closing.</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Purchaser</th><th rowspan="2">Allocation Up to/Max Amount</th><th colspan="2">Utilization Rates</th><th rowspan="2">Non-Utilization Rate</th></tr><tr><th>Index</th><th>Spread</th></tr><tr><td>RBC</td><td>\$150M/\$675M</td><td>SIFMA</td><td>0.45%</td><td>0.18%</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Wells Fargo</td><td rowspan="2">\$250M/\$675M</td><td>SIFMA</td><td>0.78%</td><td>0.32%</td></tr><tr><td>70% of LIBOR</td><td>0.58%</td><td>0.32%</td></tr></table> <p>Background: On December 18, 2012, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1071-12 approving the Issuance of Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$675 million as part of a flexible drawdown program. This program has allowed to County to take advantage of historically low short-term interest rates and to access funds for the construction costs of the Projects on an as needed basis. To date, the cost of the first Drawdown Bond Program has cost the County approximately \$1.96 million in non-utilized fees and \$3.91 million in utilized fees.</p> <p>On February 19, 2016 The County’s Financial Advisor, Public Financial Management (FA), released a competitive solicitation document to the banking and financial industry consistent with the County’s objectives: to obtain means of financing the Projects at the lowest cost of funds based on the current market at the most favorable terms.</p> <p>On March 11, 2016, the County and the FA received proposals from five (5) major banking institutions. Upon review of the proposals, RBC had provided the lowest cost of funds (SIFMA plus 0.45 percent) but a maximum amount outstanding at one time not to exceed \$150 million. It was determined to allocate a portion of the total amount to RBC (\$150 million or 37.5 percent) and the balance to Wells Fargo (\$250 million or 62.5 percent) based on stated commitment amount of \$400 million outstanding at one time. Both firms accepted the majority of the County’s provisions in the RFP, which will operate under similar set of agreements as the previously approved Flexible Drawdown Program.</p> <p>The Series Resolution further provides for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to finalize the terms and conditions, with certain limitations and parameters of the Bonds;• A competitive sale upon the conversion to fixed rate;• The approval of the form and execution of certain related documents including:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The Official Notice of Sale○ Bond Purchase Agreement○ Bond Holder’s Agreement○ Preliminary Remarketing Circular○ Remarketing Agreement○ Secure one (1) or more credit facilities insurance agreement• The appointment of a Paying Agent and a Calculating Agent; and• The authorization of certain County officials and employees to take all actions necessary in connection with the initial Issuance of Bonds and their subsequent conversion and remarketing. <p>Closing is anticipated to occur around the second week of June 2016.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on the committee agenda. The sale of the Series 2016 Bonds, which will set their final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of this</p>	Purchaser	Allocation Up to/Max Amount	Utilization Rates		Non-Utilization Rate	Index	Spread	RBC	\$150M/\$675M	SIFMA	0.45%	0.18%	Wells Fargo	\$250M/\$675M	SIFMA	0.78%	0.32%	70% of LIBOR	0.58%	0.32%
Purchaser	Allocation Up to/Max Amount			Utilization Rates			Non-Utilization Rate														
		Index	Spread																		
RBC	\$150M/\$675M	SIFMA	0.45%	0.18%																	
Wells Fargo	\$250M/\$675M	SIFMA	0.78%	0.32%																	
		70% of LIBOR	0.58%	0.32%																	

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	Series Resolution. In order to provide the County the maximum flexibility in the market place as described above, a waiver of Resolution R-No. 130-06 is necessary.
5E 160865	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 26A-2.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO MOSQUITO CONTROL; AMENDING THE DEPARTMENT NAME; AMENDING TO ADD PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PROCEDURE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends Section 26A-2.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code) regarding Mosquito Control to provide Miami-Dade County (County) with the ability to take timely corrective action in the event of a mosquito-related medical alert or a public health emergency.</p> <p>The proposed amendment to Section 26A-2.1 (1) defines “Public Health Emergency” and (2) gives the County the authority, during a Public Health Emergency, to take the necessary corrective action to abate mosquito breeding conditions in the event that the property owner does not do so within two (2) days.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The proposed ordinance amendments will not result in a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance amendment will have a social equity impact on County residents and visitors in that it is necessary to protect the public health during a mosquito-related medical alert or a public health emergency.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On February 3, 2016, Florida Governor Rick Scott declared a public health emergency in four (4) counties where there were confirmed imported cases of the Zika virus, which included Miami-Dade County. The emergency order authorized the counties to take action to ensure that the Zika virus remains controlled and that residents of Florida remain safe and healthy.</p> <p>Since then, the DSWM has been reaching out to the residents of the County through an aggressive public awareness effort to educate and inform its residents. Drain and Cover door hangers and handouts are being distributed and will continue to be provided to the residents of the County. The department is also utilizing radio, print media and cable TV advertisements, as well as educational videos on YouTube and other social media platforms to increase public awareness of mosquito breeding prevention.</p> <p>The DSWM Mosquito Control Division has also been working diligently performing inspections and conducting mosquito abatement activities in residential areas countywide. The purpose of the inspections is to abate the habitat for container breeding mosquito species such as the Aedes Aegypti, which is known to transmit the Zika virus.</p> <p>Additionally, Miami-Dade County Mosquito Control (MC) works in close collaboration with the Miami-Dade County Health Department (DOH) regarding all mosquito-borne diseases, including Zika, dengue, chikungunya, West Nile Virus, and Saint Louis encephalitis, which may be travel-related or locally transmitted. MC has recently conducted domestic mosquito inspection training for 22 DOH staff members and there is daily communication between the groups. Upon notification from DOH of a suspected case of a mosquito-borne disease, MC implements its Standard Operating Procedures and control measures for travel-related or locally transmitted mosquito-borne diseases around the residence of the patient and neighboring area. When the DOH receives the report from the Bureau of Public Health Laboratories regarding the case, the DOH communicates the results to MC. Depending on the laboratory results, MC will continue or cease implementing control measures for the case.</p> <p>Section 26A-2.1 of the Code regulates artificially induced mosquito breeding areas. The ordinance requires property owners to eliminate mosquito breeding areas on their property by removing water carrying containers. Common breeding grounds for the domestic mosquito include containers that can hold water, such as cans, buckets, tires, bird baths, stagnant swimming pools, and decorative pools. The majority of complaints received during the winter months are associated with domestic container breeding mosquitoes. Although mosquito season is from May through October, the unusually rainy conditions this winter may be contributing to an increase in mosquito complaints.</p> <p>Currently, inspections that identify mosquito breeding areas may lead to issuance of a notice of violation, which allows five (5) days for abatement without penalty. Should the violation not be abated, a citation may be issued. Section 26A-2.1 of the Code allows the County to abate all mosquito breeding conditions, including the removal of waste tire piles if necessary. All abatement costs are the responsibility of the property owner. A lien may be filed on the property if the property owner does not pay invoices associated with abatement of the violation.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Mayor’s Memo Regarding Update on Mosquito Control Program in Response to Zika:</u> According to the Mayor’s memo, Mosquito Control inspectors have responded to 1766 service requests from October 1 through April 19, 2016. The Department of Solid Waste Management’s Mosquito Control Section began responding to referrals of suspected and confirmed Zika cases, and has provided inspections and insecticide treatment for 231 suspected (39 confirmed) Zika cases referred by the Florida Department of Health since December 23, 2015. The Department’s staff of 17 is currently managing an average of 30 service request per day. During their residential property inspections, staff looks for mosquito breeding activity at the home and neighboring properties. When mosquito breeding is confirmed, the inspectors drain any standing water and eliminate adult mosquitoes by applying insecticide using hand-held spray equipment. Inspectors are also using larvicide in storm drains and stagnant pools of water that cannot be emptied and Gambusia (mosquito-eating) fish that consume larvae in stagnant swimming pools identified during inspections.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>In addition to the work being done in the field by operational staff, the Deputy Mayor and Solid Waste Management Department Director and Mosquito Control Section administrative staff have coordinated and participated in several activities that are integral to mosquito control efforts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • January 29, 2016 – The Mosquito Control Division began implementation of a \$150,000 multi-media “Drain and Cover” public education campaign to increase public awareness of simple steps that can be taken by residents to prevent mosquito breeding and mosquito bites. • January 29, 2016 through April 20, 2016 – The Mosquito Control Operations Manager and Department of Solid Waste Information Division staff participated in 38 media interviews and mosquito control inspection ride-alongs with local, regional, and international media seeking subject-matter expertise and coverage of the County’s efforts in response to the Zika virus. • March 2, 2016 – An interdepartmental meeting took place, coordinated by the Deputy Mayor/Solid Waste Director with all County departments with enforcement/inspection personnel. Officers have been trained to identify mosquito breeding and drain standing water during their routine work activities. These officers are also provided with “Drain and Cover” door hangers to leave behind. • March 23, 2016 – The University of Miami’s Zika Forum was attended by the Deputy Mayor and Mosquito Control management staff, as well as representatives from the Florida Department of Health, medical professionals, entomologists, epidemiologists and research professionals. • March 29, 2016 – Mosquito Control staff attended the meeting of municipal representatives hosted by the County’s Emergency Operations Center to discuss municipal efforts and coordination on Zika response activities. • April 8, 2016 – A briefing and press conference sponsored by Senator Marco Rubio was held. The Deputy Mayor, Mosquito Control Section Management staff, and the Mayor attended the press briefing, at which Senator Rubio pledged his support for federal funding to address Zika research, virus testing, vaccine development, mosquito control operations and public education.
<p>7A 152691</p>	<p>ORDINANCE CREATING SECTION 12-14.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE FOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL ELECTED OFFICERS AND CANDIDATES REGARDING SOLICITATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR POLITICAL COMMITTEES AND ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATIONS ORGANIZATIONS; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO REPORT; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 12-1444.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for reporting requirements for County and Municipal elected officers and candidates regarding solicitation of contributions for political committees and electioneering communications organizations. The proposed ordinance further provides penalties for failure to report and enforcement by the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 12-14.2. County and Municipal Elected Officer and Candidate Reporting Requirements for Political Committee and Electioneering Communication Organization Solicitations.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Each campaign treasurer designated by a candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall, at the time of filing any contribution or expenditure reports otherwise required by law, file an additional electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the candidate, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, on a monthly basis commencing one year prior to the date such officer’s office is to be filled by election, file an electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization during the preceding month. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the officer, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the officer and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer no change dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, unusual circumstances surrounding the failure to file on the designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p> <p>The proposed ordinance will become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, will become effective only upon an override by the BCC.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>State law and the rules of the Florida legislature currently require that some state officers and legislators disclose when they fundraise for political committees or electioneering communication organizations.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> Implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County. Existing resources within the Elections Department and the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust will be able to manage the review and enforcement provisions of the ordinance with existing staff.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance creates Sections 12-14.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring County and municipal elected officers and candidates to report any solicitation of contributions for political committees and electioneering communications organizations. This ordinance has the potential to provide additional information to the public on fundraising activities by candidates and public officials to aid the transparency and accountability of government.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on January 12, 2016, the following was discussed: <i>The Committee questioned if there would be an option on the filing form for candidates who did not have a political action committee, to which a representative from the elections department explained that for typical campaign finance reporting, if there is no activity there is a waiver of report that can be filed.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, File No. 160428 was discussed and deferred. File No. 160428 creates Section 12-14.2.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for reporting requirements for County and municipal elected officials and candidates regarding solicitation of contributions for organizations exempt from taxation under Section 501(C)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code and political parties. Additionally, the proposed ordinance provides penalties for failure to report and provides for enforcement by the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</p> <p><u>Sec. 12-14.2.1 County and Municipal Elected Officer and Candidate Reporting Requirements for Organizations Exempt Under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code or Political Party Solicitations.</u></p> <p>(1) <i>Each candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall insure that his or her designated campaign treasurer shall, at the time of filing any contribution or expenditure reports otherwise required by law, file an additional electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities on behalf of any organization exempt from taxation under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code (“501(c)(4) Organization”) or political party. The report shall identify each contribution successfully solicited, directly or indirectly, by the candidate, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose. A candidate may comply with the reporting requirements of this subsection by filing a one-time report indicating that all contributions to an identified 501(c)(4) Organization or political party were solicited either directly or indirectly by the candidate. Upon filing such report, no further reports shall be required under this subsection for solicitations on behalf the identified 501(c)(4) Organization or political party during any reporting period.</i></p> <p>(2) <i>Upon commencement of any solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any 501(c)(4) Organization or political party, each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, on a monthly basis for the remainder of the officer’s term of office, file an electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding such solicitation activities during the preceding month. The report shall identify each contribution successfully solicited, directly or indirectly, by the officer, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the officer and the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose. A County or municipal elected official may comply with the reporting requirements of this subsection by filing a one-time report indicating that all contributions to an identified 501(c)(4) Organization or political party were solicited either directly or indirectly by the County or municipal elected official. Upon filing such report, no further reports shall be required under this subsection for solicitations on behalf the identified 501(c)(4) Organization or political party during the remainder of the County or municipal elected official’s term.</i></p> <p>(3) <i>Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer may appeal or dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, unusual circumstances surrounding the failure to file on the designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice from the Supervisor of Elections that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p>
7A Supp. 160640	SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION TO ORDINANCE CREATING SECTION 12-14.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE FOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL ELECTED OFFICERS AND CANDIDATES REGARDING SOLICITATION OF

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	CONTRIBUTIONS FOR POLITICAL COMMITTEES AND ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATIONS ORGANIZATIONS; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO REPORT; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST
Notes	<p>During the BCC meeting on February 2, 2016, the proposed ordinance was deferred to no-date-certain. Prior to deferral, the following amendments were adopted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ To Clarify that each candidate for office, rather than the campaign treasurer for that candidate, is ultimately responsible for insuring that the solicitation report is filed; ○ Clarify that only successful solicitations need be reported; ○ Change the time period for which County and municipal elected officials must file solicitation reports from one (1) year prior to election to the entire length of the term; ○ Allow candidates or elected officials to claim all solicitations to a political committee or electioneering communications organization as being solicited directly or indirectly by the candidate or elected official in lieu of reporting individual solicitations; ○ Clarify that any appeal of a fine will be made within twenty (20) days of receipt of notice from the Supervisor of Elections; and ○ Correct a scrivener's error. <p><i>Sec. 12-14.2. County and Municipal Elected Officer and Candidate Reporting Requirements for Political Committee and Electioneering Communication Organization Solicitations.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Each candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall insure that their designated campaign treasurer shall, at the time of filing any contribution or expenditure reports otherwise required by law, file an additional electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding solicitation activities on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the candidate, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose. A candidate may comply with the reporting requirements of this subsection by filing a one-time report indicating that all contributions to an identified political committee or electioneering communications organization were solicited either directly or indirectly by the candidate. Upon filing such report, no further reports shall be required under this subsection for solicitation on behalf of the identified political committee or electioneering communications organization during any reporting period.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Upon commencement of any solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, on a monthly basis for the remainder of the officer's term of office, file an electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer regarding such solicitation activities during the preceding month. The report shall identify each contribution solicited, directly or indirectly, by the officer, the name of the person or entity contributing the funds, the name of the political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds were solicited, the amount of the contribution, and a description of the relationship between the officer and the political committee or electioneering communications organization, if any. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose. A County or municipal elected official may comply with the reporting requirements of this subsection by filing a one-time report indicating that all contributions to an identified political committee or electioneering communications organization were solicited either directly or indirectly by the County or municipal elected official. Upon filing such report, no further reports shall be required under this subsection for solicitations on behalf of the identified political committee or electioneering communications organization during the remainder of the County or municipal elected official's term.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer may appeal or dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, unusual circumstances surrounding the failure to file on the designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice from the Supervisor of Elections that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p>
7B 160296	ORDINANCE RELATING TO RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION AND THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND CODE OF ETHICS ORDINANCE; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-1 AND 2-11.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURE TO BE FOLLOWED WHEN A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST REGARDING ANY MATTER PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to rules of procedure of the BCC and the conflict of interest and code of ethics ordinance, amends Sections 2-1 and 2-11.1 of the Miami-Dade County code to establish procedure to be followed when a member of the BCC has a conflict of interest regarding any matter presented to the BCC.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> No specific social equity burden, as described in Ordinance No. 15-83, as a result of this proposed amendment to the BCC’s Rule of Procedure can be determined. However, this change could continue to encourage a more transparent and open County government.</p>														
	<table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Miami-Dade County Code Sections 2-1 and 2-11.1</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-1. RULES OF PROCEDURE OF COUNTY COMMISSION. PART 7. RULES OF DEBATE Rule 7.01. RULES OF DEBATE</td><td>(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall refrain from voting or otherwise participating in the proceedings related to that matter and shall leave the commission chambers until the consideration of that matter is concluded. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.</td><td>(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.</td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1. <i>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.</i></td><td>(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall absent himself or herself from the Commission meeting during the discussion of the subject item and shall not vote on or participate in any way in said matter.</td><td>(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the Commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection.</td></tr></table>			Code Comparison Chart Miami-Dade County Code Sections 2-1 and 2-11.1			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 2-1. RULES OF PROCEDURE OF COUNTY COMMISSION. PART 7. RULES OF DEBATE Rule 7.01. RULES OF DEBATE	(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall refrain from voting or otherwise participating in the proceedings related to that matter and shall leave the commission chambers until the consideration of that matter is concluded. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.	(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.	Sec. 2-11.1. <i>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.</i>	(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall absent himself or herself from the Commission meeting during the discussion of the subject item and shall not vote on or participate in any way in said matter.	(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the Commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection.
Code Comparison Chart Miami-Dade County Code Sections 2-1 and 2-11.1															
Section	Current	Proposed													
Sec. 2-1. RULES OF PROCEDURE OF COUNTY COMMISSION. PART 7. RULES OF DEBATE Rule 7.01. RULES OF DEBATE	(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall refrain from voting or otherwise participating in the proceedings related to that matter and shall leave the commission chambers until the consideration of that matter is concluded. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.	(g) EXPLANATION OF VOTE; CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Upon any roll call, there shall be no discussion by any commissioner voting, and the commissioner shall vote yes or no. Any commissioner, upon voting, may give a brief statement to explain his or her vote. A commissioner shall have the privilege of filing with the clerk a written explanation of his or her vote. Any commissioner with a conflict of interest on a particular matter shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection. Any such Commissioner who does not leave the chambers shall be deemed absent for purposes of constituting a quorum, counting the vote, or for any other purpose.													
Sec. 2-11.1. <i>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.</i>	(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall absent himself or herself from the Commission meeting during the discussion of the subject item and shall not vote on or participate in any way in said matter.	(d) Further prohibition on transacting business with the County. Additionally, no person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) shall vote on or participate in any way in any matter presented to the Board of County Commissioners if said person has any of the following relationships with any of the persons or entities which would be or might be directly or indirectly affected by any action of the Board of County Commissioners: (i) officer, director, partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, fiduciary or beneficiary; or (ii) stockholder, bondholder, debtor, or creditor, if in any instance the transaction or matter would affect the person defined in subsection (b)(1) in a manner distinct from the manner in which it would affect the public generally. Any person included in the term defined in subsection (b)(1) who has any of the above relationships or who would or might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the action of the Board of County Commissioners shall: (1) announce publicly at the meeting the nature of the conflict before the matter is heard; (2) absent himself or herself from the Commission chambers during that portion of the meeting when the matter is considered; and (3) file a written disclosure of the nature of the conflict with the Clerk of the Board within 15 days after the vote. The filing of the State of Florida form prescribed for written disclosure of a voting conflict shall constitute compliance with this subsection.													
	<p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The Assistant County Attorney clarified that the proposed ordinance would codify the Ethics Commission’s interpretation of the conflict of interest and state law. The Ethics Commission had issued an opinion which was the standard followed by Commissioners. The County Attorney clarified that the standard remains the same, however is codified by the proposed ordinance.</i>														

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee asked if there existed a specific list of conflicts of interest.</i> • <i>The Executive Director of the Commission on Ethics explained that Commissioners had to abide by state and county ethics laws. The Executive Director explained that the county standards for conflict of interest were stricter than state standards. He clarified that the county code included certain relationships that were considered create conflicts of interest. He further clarified that particular circumstances dictated whether or not a conflict of interest existed.</i> • <i>The Executive Director explained that state law, not county code, required elected officials to file a disclosure form regarding conflicts of interest.</i>
7C 160359	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-2034 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE FREQUENCY OF MEETINGS OF THE AIRCRAFT NOISE ABATEMENT ADVISORY BOARD FOR MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-2034 of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to the frequency of meetings of the Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board (NAAB) for Miami International Airport.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Section 2-2034. Procedures.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(c) Meetings. A majority of the members, then in office, constitutes a quorum for the purpose of conducting business and exercising the powers of the NAAB and for all other purposes. Meetings of the NAAB shall be held a minimum of two (2) times annually, shall be public and written minutes of the proceedings thereof shall be maintained. No vacancy in the membership of the NAAB shall impair the right of a quorum to exercise the right to perform all the duties of the NAAB.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance will not have a fiscal impact. The staff supporting the remaining two meetings will continue to provide support to the Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The NAAB has had difficulties achieving quorum. The proposed ordinance decreases the required meetings of the NAAB from four (4) to two (2), which will enable the NAAB to achieve quorum in order to address the noise-related concerns of impacted communities.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation on Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board for Miami International Airport:</u> On October 7, 2008, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Ordinance No. 08-116, creating the Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board (NAAB) for Miami International Airport (MIA) to serve in an advisory capacity to discuss, evaluate and make recommendations to the BCC on measures to reduce aircraft noise on the surrounding areas of MIA. The NAAB consists of twenty (20) members. The NAAB was tasked to hold quarterly public meetings and maintain written minutes. Additionally, the NRC was to submit an annual written report of its recommendations to the BCC.</p> <p>All boards created by the BCC through ordinance are subject to a sunset review process, as provided in Section 2-11.40 of the Miami-Dade County Code. The Sunset Review report was presented to the BCC on March 6, 2012.</p> <p>The NAAB recommended the continuation of the Board and increasing the meetings from quarterly to bi-monthly.</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, the BCC, through Ordinance No. extends the sunset provision, provided in Ordinance No. 08-116, to October 7, 2018, for the Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board for Miami International Airport.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Sunset Review of County Boards for 2014:</u> On January 29, 2014, the Aircraft Noise Abatement Advisory Board for Miami International Airport submitted a report regarding the Sunset Review of County Boards for 2014. According to the report, there are 20 board members, whose terms of three (3) years each are staggered, and twelve (12) vacancies. From January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, nine (9) meeting were held.</p> <p>The operating cost of the Board, both direct and indirect (FY 2012 and 2013), are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$7,000 for staff hours dedicated for the preparation of Board reports, voice recording, and video services; • Indirect cost: \$31,932 to develop the 2011 Day-Night (DNL) Noise Contours, comparison of 2009 vs. 2011 and the aircraft noise evaluation of the FAA's 180-day test for runway use changes at MIA.
7D 160240	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; REVISING THE OJUS URBAN AREA DISTRICT (OUAD) REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO WALLS, FENCES, AND HEDGES OF RESIDENTIAL, SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES; AMENDING SECTION 33-284.99.20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-284.99.20 of the Miami-Dade County Code and revises the Ojus Urban Area District (OUAD) regulations pertaining to walls, fences, and hedges of residential, single-family homes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sec. 33-284.99.20. - General Requirements. In addition to the requirements in Section 33-284.86 of this code, and to retain the character of the development within the OUAD, all new development and redevelopment shall comply with the following:</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<p>C. Walls, fences, and hedges. All walls, fences, and hedges shall be provided in compliance with Section 33-284.86 of this code, except that walls, fences, and hedges associated with residential, single-family homes that were legally established prior to March 8, 2007, shall be permitted to a maximum height of seventy-two (72) inches, regardless of location.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact Statement: Adoption of the proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have a significant impact on permitting or enforcement activities in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. Therefore, the implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Social Equity Statement: With the approval of the proposed ordinance, owners of single-family homes legally established prior to March 8, 2007 may erect new walls, fences and hedges up to six (6) feet instead of the three (3) feet, six (6) inches required in the Standard Urban Center Regulations. This Code change directly benefits the owner of any single-family home in OUAD that was legally established through zoning approval prior to March 8, 2007. A specific burden cannot be identified at this time.</p>									
7E 160087	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW HIGHER LEVEL CONTRACTORS TO COMPETE FOR LOWER LEVEL SET-ASIDE CONTRACTS WHEN UNAVAILABILITY EXISTS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program, amends Section 10-33.02 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow for higher level contractors to compete for lower level set-aside contracts when unavailability exists.</p> <p>The proposed amendment allows projects to remain as set-aside if Small Business Development Division cannot find an available firm in Level 1 by moving up to the next level in order to keep the project set-aside for SBE-CON firms. This will ensure that the locally-certified firms are getting every possible opportunity to compete for contracts.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i></td><td><p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p><p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p><p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p><p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p><p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p><p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p><p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p><p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p></td><td><p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p><p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p><p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p><p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p><p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p><p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p><p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p><p>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</p><p>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime</p></td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <p>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</p> <p>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime</p>
Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <p>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</p> <p>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime</p>								

Board of County Commissioners

May 17, 2016 Meeting

Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<div> <div>CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</div> <div>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</div> <div>contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.</div> </div>
7F 160137	ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE LXXX, SECTION 2-1102 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONCERNING THE MIAMI- DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP; REVISING MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES OF AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY REQUIRING 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; WAIVING QUALIFIED ELECTOR REQUIREMENT FOR THE 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR CRIMINAL BACKGROUND SCREENING BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED BY THE MIAMI- DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP FOR APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR; EXCLUDING AD HOC COMMITTEES AND WORKGROUPS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-1102(G) (1) AND (2) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO DEMOGRAPHICS AND PARITY; DELETING REQUIREMENT THAT PARTNERSHIP MEMBERS' TERMS SHALL END CONCURRENTLY WITH THE LAST DAY OF THE COUNTY'S FISCAL YEAR; CORRECTING SCRIVENER'S ERRORS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Article LXXX, Section 2-1102 of the Miami-Dade County Code concerning the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership; Revises membership requirements for the representative seats for member representatives of affected communities by requiring 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; Waives qualified elector requirement for the 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; Establishes guidelines for criminal background screening by the County Mayor of the County Mayor's designee of candidates recommended by the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership for appointment by the County Mayor; Excludes ad hoc committees and workgroups from the requirements of Section 2-1102(G)(1) and (2) of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to demographics and parity; and Deletes requirements that Partnership members' terms will end concurrently with the last day of the County's fiscal year. <p>The Partnership determines the HIV-related needs of the community, establishes service priorities and allocates funding to the areas of greatest need. It is composed of thirty-nine (39) voting members from various categories of representatives. One representative is a former inmate of a local, state or federal prison released from custody of the penal system during the preceding three (3) years. Establishing guidelines for the criminal background screening will be beneficial to the Partnership and to the former inmate candidate, because it only allows for the denial of a recommended candidate if they have been convicted of a violent crime within five years of the date of recommendation or if they have been registered as a sex offender, regardless of when the candidate was convicted.</p>
7G 160265	ORDINANCE RELATING TO RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO PUBLIC PARTICIPATION TO PROVIDE THAT THOSE PERSONS WHO EITHER SUPPORT OR OPPOSE A PARTICULAR PUBLIC HEARING ITEM OR NON-PUBLIC HEARING PROPOSITION BUT DO NOT WISH TO USE THEIR ALLOTTED TIME MAY EXPRESS THEIR SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION BY STATING SPECIFIED PHRASE; PROVIDING THAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WISH TO EXPRESS THEIR SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION BY STATING SPECIFIED PHRASE WITHOUT STEPPING UP TO THE PODIUM MAY DO SO UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; REQUIRING PRESIDING OFFICER TO MAKE SPECIFIED STATEMENT AND TAKE STATED ACTION IN THE EVENT A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC STATES HIS OR HER SUPPORT FOR OR OPPOSITION TO A PARTICULAR PUBLIC HEARING ITEM OR NON-PUBLIC HEARING PROPOSITION WITHOUT STEPPING UP TO THE PODIUM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to rules of procedure of the BCC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code, relating to public participation, to provide that those persons who either support or oppose a particular public hearing item or non-public hearing proposition but do not wish to use their allotted time may express their support or opposition by stating a specified phrase; Provides that members of the public who wish to express their support or opposition by stating a specified phrase without stepping up to the podium may do so under certain circumstances; and Requires presiding officer to make specified statement and take stated action in the event a member of the public states his or her support for or opposition to a particular public hearing item or non-public hearing proposition without stepping up to the podium. <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Social Equity Statement: No specific social equity burden, as described in Ordinance No. 15-83, as a result of this proposed amendment to the BCC's Rule of Procedure can be determined. However, this change could expedite the public hearing process by reducing the wait time for those who wish to speak on public and non-public hearing items, which could in turn incentivize members of the public to participate in BCC meetings.</p>
7H 160091	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-19.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO DISPLAY OF VEHICLES AND BOATS FOR SALE ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY; AMENDING CHAPTER 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>11A23 160347</p>	<p>COUNTY, FLORIDA, PERTAINING TO PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p> <p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO CREATE A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF USE CATEGORY FOR THE DISPLAY OF VEHICLES AND BOATS FOR SALE ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT ASSOCIATED PROCEDURES; AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 4-111 TO PROVIDE FOR A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF USE CATEGORY AND ASSOCIATED FEE FOR SAID CERTIFICATE OF USE APPLICATIONS [SEE ITEM NO. 160091]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>7H - 160091:</u> The proposed ordinance amends Section 33-19.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code pertaining to the display of vehicles and boats for sale on residential property. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends Chapter 8CC-10 of the Miami-Dade County Code pertaining to penalties.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact:</u> There is an administrative cost associated with the issuance of certificates of use in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. These costs, in addition to expenses associated with the implementation of an online registration for the issuance of the certificates of use prior to the required date of August 8, 2016, can be covered by a per issuance fee of \$36.70. Authorization to issue a certificate of use issuance fee would require an amendment to the Implementing Order No. 4-111 through separate BCC action.</p> <p>No additional code enforcement staff will be required as notices of violation will be issued through the normal course of neighborhood compliance activities.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> A specific social equity benefit or burden cannot be determined at this time. Owners wishing to display their vehicles and boats for sale will be required to see a certificate of use, which will be set at \$36.70 through separate BCC action. The vehicle or boat must have a current registration decal affixed and be owned by the person residing in or on the residential property. The cost of failing to seek or properly display a certificate of use will be borne by the property owner where the vehicle or boat is located. Additionally, the cost of failing to properly display a current registration decal will be borne by the owner of the vehicle or boat.</p> <p>By requiring a certificate of use, the number of vehicles and boats on display for sale should adhere to the amounts prescribed per property owner in the existing Code requirements. This may in return benefit the aesthetics of neighborhoods as well as the immediate neighbors of properties that are currently not in compliance with Code.</p> <p><u>11A23 - 160347:</u> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the Mayor or designee to create a special certificate of use category for “Display of Vehicles and Boats for Sale on Residential Properties,” located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County, to implement the necessary procedures and to give effect to the intent of this resolution within 120 days from its enactment; • Amends Implementing Order 4-111 to include a new special certificate of use category and \$36.70 fee for “Display of Vehicles and Boats for Sale on Residential Properties,” located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The special certificate of use category services include the resources expended for intake of the certificate of use application, processing of the application, verification of the status of the registration of the vehicle or boat owned by the certificate of use applicant, and record keeping. • Adopts and approves the amendments to Implementing Order 4-111. <p><u>Background:</u> Florida Statute Section 320.27 requires that “motor vehicle dealers” obtain a license to engage in the business of buying, selling or dealing in motor vehicles or offering to display motor vehicles for sale at wholesale or retail, and further provides that any person that buys, sells, deals, or offers or displays for sale three or more motor vehicles in any 12-month period will be presumed to be engaged in the business as a motor vehicle dealer. Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 33-19.1 already limits the display for sale to no more than one vehicle at a time on property in a residential district, and no more than two vehicles for display for sale at such property for any one calendar year. Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 33-19.1 also provides that the display of a vehicle or boat for sale will only be allowed at the property of the registered owner of the vehicle or boat.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Director of the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department (RER) explained that</i> • <i>With regards to the online application, RER has asked for 120 days to implement online registration system to allow residents to apply for certificate of use from home. The Director explained that the fee associated with the certificate of use would be for record keeping.</i> • <i>The Director explained that current codes were being enforced and that the now the Department does not have a record of all vehicles for sale on particular property.</i> • <i>The Director estimated being able to absorb cost with implementation. She explained that the same number of staff existed however, the enforcement staff was being restructured to better enforce code to enhance turnaround times. She noted that police was being trained to enforce code as well.</i>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Director noted discussions with the County Attorneys to amend effective date of item to better align with implementation timeline.</i> • <i>The Committee inquired about the consideration expiration date for the certificate of use.</i>
7I 160549	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; MODIFYING REQUIREMENTS FOR INSTALLATION OF SAFETY BARRIER AT CHILD CARE FACILITIES; AMENDING SECTION 33-151.18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 160335 AND 160516]
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to zoning provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Section 33-151-18 of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Modifies the existing language to clarify that all childcare facilities abutting a section line of half-section line right-of-way are to comply with the requirements of the Code or install: • An anti-ram fixture with a minimum Department of State protection rating of K4; or • A safety barrier from vehicular traffic along the entire length of the playground/play area abutting the right-of-way. • Amends the Code to clarify that childcare facilities operated by Miami-Dade County must also comply with the provisions of the Code; • Adds language to the Code specifying the standards under which variances of these requirements can be approved. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Adoption of the proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have a significant impact on the permitting or enforcement activities in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. Therefore, the implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The cost to comply with the Code if this item is approved will be incurred by the owner/operator of any child care facility to which the safety barrier requirement applies. The specific cost to install a safety barrier depends on the size and length of the playground/play area that abuts the right-of-way. Non-compliance is enforceable under the Code and the associated violation costs will also be borne by the owner/operator of the non-compliant facility.</p> <p>Safer and better protected childcare facilities benefit the children and parents, as well as employees and owners/operators.</p>
8A4 160643	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AFFILIATION AGREEMENT WITH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOR PLACEMENT OF BACHELORS, MASTERS OR DOCTORAL LEVEL-DEGREE STUDENTS IN UNPAID INTERNSHIP POSITIONS AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND/OR THE COUNTY'S GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORTS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS AS REQUIRED TO FURTHER THE PURPOSE OF SUCH AGREEMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to enter into and execute Internship Affiliation Agreements with public and private institutions of higher learning in the State of Florida for the placement of Bachelors, Masters or Doctoral degree students in non-paid internship positions, either on-site or remotely, at Miami International Airport (MIA) and/or any of the County's general aviation airports.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> There is a negligible fiscal impact to the County resulting from these agreements, as interns are not entitled to wages or benefits. The Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) will spend \$22.00 per intern for modified physical examinations and \$30.00 per intern for background investigations from its operational funds. There is no promise of employment for participation in this program.</p> <p><u>Background</u> These agreements will establish an affiliation between MDAD and various public and private institutions of higher learning in the State of Florida to provide experience for students through a non-paid internship. Students' participation will complement the service and educational activities of MDAD. They will not be used in lieu of professional staff or personnel, and will be under the supervision of MDAD employees. Five (5) to 10 students will be nominated and selected annually by their educational institutions without regard to consideration of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, marital status, or handicap condition.</p> <p>The term of this agreement is one (1) year and will automatically renew on a year-to-year basis on the last day of the effective term, unless either party gives written notice to the other at least ninety (90) days before the end of the existing annual term of that party's decision to terminate.</p>
8A5 160672	RESOLUTION APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR THE AIRPORT OPERATION INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND AIR TRANSPORT-IT SERVICES, INC., PROJECT NO. I115D, INCREASING THE CONTRACT BY AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$4,110,250.00 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CHANGE ORDER AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves Change Order No. 1 to the Operation and Maintenance Agreement with Air-Transport IT Services, Inc. (Air IT) for the Airport Operation Information System (AOIS) at Miami International Airport (MIA) providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increases the General Allowance Account by \$4,100,000.00; • Increases the Inspector General (IG) account by \$10,250.00 to reflect the increase in the Agreement amount; and • Increases the Agreement by an amount not to exceed \$4,110,250.00 for a new contract total of \$18,426,498.89. <p><u>Background</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The AOIS integrates, controls, and maximizes utilization of every critical MIA system. It notifies MDAD staff of potential conflicts and resource optimization opportunities. Systems integrated into the AOIS include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flight Information Display System (FIDS); • Ticketing Information Display System (TIDS); • Gate Information Display System (GIDS); and • Baggage Information Display System (BIDS). <p>These systems display critical information that provide passengers, gate agents, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), and ancillary staff with a concise, orderly display of information needed to ensure the proper flow of passengers both in and out of MIA.</p> <p>More specifically, Resolution No. R-632-06 adopted by the Board on June 6, 2006, authorized the then-County Manager to enter in to Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with hotels and other interested parties to display the Flight Information Display System (FIDS), showing the status of flights arriving and departing from MIA.</p> <p>MDAD approached the hotels surrounding the airport while the Miami Beach Convention Center approached MDAD to install the system on their property. These entities are billed the approved rates for use of the system. In addition to the Miami Beach Convention Center, participating hotels include: Comfort Suites Miami Airport North, Days Inn-Miami Airport-North, Miami Hilton Airport, Hilton Blue Lagoon, Sherry Frontenac Hotel, Resorts World Omni Downtown Hilton, and the Miami Beach Convention Center.</p> <p>Additionally, the Transit Department approached MDAD to install FIDs at the Earlington Heights location because it provides a connection to MIA via the Orange line. When the Miami Intermodal Center opened, FIDs were also added.</p> <p>The original Agreement for these services was procured in 2002 through an open and competitive process, and awarded pursuant to R-1189-02; however, it is a proprietary system and support for it is only available through Air IT. In 2007 and 2013, the Board approved renewal contracts with Air IT for the provision of these same operations and maintenance services via Resolution Nos. R-652-07 and R-149-13.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES: 13 percent Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Goal</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED AT AWARD: 13 percent Advanced Total Systems, Inc.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED TO DATE: 17.0635 percent (\$990,170.10) based on total paid to date (\$5,802,855.39)</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On March 5, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R- 149-13, waived competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter; approved the award of an Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Agreement for the Airport Operation Information System (AOIS) for the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to Air-Transport IT Services, Inc. (AirIT) with a value of \$14,316,248.89 and a duration of seven years; and authorized the County Mayor or the designee to execute the Agreement.</p> <p>Project Location: Miami International Airport (MIA), the General Aviation Airports, PortMiami, certain Metrorail locations, Miami area hotels, the Miami Beach Convention Center and other areas as deemed necessary.</p> <p>During consideration of Resolution No. R-149-13, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Commissioners asked for clarification regarding the recommendation for a bid waiver.</i> • <i>Deputy Director, Miami Dade Aviation Department (MDAD), explained that this particular contract relating to the Airport Operation Information System (AOIS) not only impacted the Airport's gate operations but also MDAD's entire billing system. He noted a competitive process was anticipated; however, due to ongoing construction at the Airport and relocating the airlines, development of the existing system took some time to be fully beneficial to the Department and advised that upon approval of the foregoing proposed resolution, staff would immediately begin developing the scope of services over the next 2.5 years and bring back a Request for Proposals solicitation for approval of a system that would not impact the financial system. He said that MDAD staff felt this was the best approach given that the systems were so intertwined.</i> • <i>Commissioners expressed appreciation for the explanation, and noted the waiver was clearly needed and suggested that items that were not really bid waivers be given a different title since the language was misleading.</i>
<p>8A6 160775</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND AERIAL CARTOGRAPHICS OF AMERICA INC., FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRIC MAPPING AND LIGHT DETECTION AND RANGING SERVICES, PROJECT NO. E15-MDAD-01; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,057,600.00 AND FOR A TERM OF FOUR YEARS WITH THREE ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, INCLUDING THE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with Aerial Cartographics of America, Inc., in the amount of \$7,057,600.00 with a contract term of four (4) years and three (3) separate one (1) year options to renew.</p> <p>The scope of work provides for professional services to be performed for photogrammetric mapping, topographic, planimetric, and LiDAR mapping for Miami International Airport (MIA), and the four (4) County-owned auxiliary airports, which include Miami Executive Airport (TMB), Miami-Opa locka Executive Airport (OPF), Miami Homestead General Aviation Airport (X51) and Dade-Collier Training and Transition Airport (TNT), as well as the coverage area of the County's enterprise Geographic Information System (GIS) supported by ITD. All data must</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>comply with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) guidelines and be compatible with MDAD's GIS database. All data provided to ITD must be compatible with the County's enterprise GIS database.</p> <p>The main GIS coverage area consists of approximately 564 square miles inside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) and 1,048 square miles outside the UDB; however, it is possible that services will be requested for other areas within the boundaries of Miami-Dade County, such as Everglades National Park.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> MDAD Operating Budget (67 percent) \$4,741,825.00; ITD Internal Service Funds (33 percent) \$2,315,775.00</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> During consideration of this item at the April 14, 2016 Trade and Tourism Committee meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Commissioners asked if there were any other less expensive options to take aerial photography of airport facilities as opposed to spending \$7,000,000.</i> • <i>The Department explained that MDAD's portion of the contract was \$4,300,000 and the Information and Technology Department portion (ITD) was \$2,100,000. He stated aerial photography was needed for ground control for movement of aircraft and advised that the item needed to be approved today because it was a requirement, for documentation changes to the plan matrix of the airport due to construction and growth of the airports, and also stated that ITD had some necessary changes as well.</i> • <i>Commissioners commented that the photography could be done for a substantially less amount and referenced the emails he had with an expert regarding this issue and asked to be briefed on this matter going forward.</i>
<p>8A7 160554</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR THE AIRPORT NETWORK MEDIA PROGRAMMING SERVICES, RFP NO. MDAD-02-14 TO AC HOLDINGS, INC., WITH MINIMUM ANNUAL GUARANTEE OF \$150,000.00 AS MAY BE ADJUSTED ANNUALLY TO ENSURE THAT THE GUARANTEE TO MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT IS AT PAR WITH OTHER SIMILARLY-TIERED ELIGIBLE AIRPORTS, AND FOR A TERM OF EIGHT YEARS, WITH ONE TWO YEAR OPTION TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a License Agreement for Airport Network Media Programming Services to AC Holdings, Inc. (ACH) for an eight (8) year term with one, two (2) year option to renew and authorize the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to execute the Agreement.</p> <p><i>AC Holdings, Inc. elected not to participate in the voluntary Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Goal.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> ACH will pay to MDAD the greater of a Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) of \$150,000.00 or 50 percent of the net local advertising revenue sold exclusively by the company for airing exclusively at the Airport, and not by third parties or MDAD. Finally, for any ads displayed as part of a national campaign, ACH will pay to MDAD a percentage of net revenues not to exceed 15 percent, calculated by the percentage of the size of the viewing audience at the Airport, as compared to the total viewing audience at the company's eligible airports. Eligible airports are those contracting with ACH that receive a portion of net revenues.</p> <p><u>Background</u> A Request for Proposals was advertised on March 26, 2015 for an operator to provide, install, maintain, manage and operate first-class Airport Network Media Programming Services throughout MIA. While MDAD owns the infrastructure distribution system that provides the power and connectivity to distribute programming throughout the Airport, ACH will be responsible for the electrical/cable outlets outward to the display equipment, which includes screens, monitors, speakers, brackets, wall mounts, and any related components necessary to provide the services. Those services include provision of professionally produced news, information and entertainment television programming, and the ability to interrupt a program to broadcast "live" breaking news or special events.</p> <p>MDAD will be provided with up to six (6), one-minute segments per hour, which may be used as two (2) 30-second spots, per day free of charge. MDAD may use that airtime to promote the local area, concessions or services at the Airport or in the County for public service announcements or to sell to advertisers.</p> <p>The Selection Committee met and reviewed the one (1) proposal submitted by ACH on September 17, 2015. The committee members found the firm responsible and having met the minimum qualifications. Clear Channel had expressed interest but neglected to submit a proposal. The \$150,000.00 MAG submitted by ACH was read aloud and, after discussion by the Committee, determined to be acceptable.</p>
<p>8A8 160848</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE NON-EXCLUSIVE FOOD AND BEVERAGE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH AREAS USA MIA LLC, FOR PREMISES AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; STANDARDIZING THE CONTRACT TERM METHODOLOGY FOR RECALCULATION OF THE MINIMUM ANNUAL GUARANTEE UTILIZED IN SIMILAR LEASE AGREEMENTS; REDUCING THE TAXI LOT SNACK BAR CATEGORY PERCENTAGE FROM EIGHT (8) PERCENT TO FOUR (4) PERCENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS THEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160632]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the retroactive Fourth Amendment to the Lease and Concession Agreement with Areas USA MIA, LLC (Areas) at Miami International Airport (MIA). This amendment changes the methodology for recalculation of the Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) from enplaned passengers to an annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) calculation as used in other MIA concession agreements to provide parity among all concessionaires. The Fourth Amendment is also intended to assist the Airport Concessionaire Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) operator of the Taxi Lot Snack Bar category by reducing the percentage fee paid from eight (8) percent to four (4) percent.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> This amendment establishes the new MAG amount of \$1,521,743.00 retroactive to the CPI anniversary of November 1, 2013, to be adjusted annually by the CPI. This is a revenue-generating contract for Miami-Dade County. Areas owes the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) a MAG of \$1,830,315.00.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Pursuant to Resolution No. R-196-06, on February 7, 2006, the Board approved the award of Foodservice Concessions RFP No. MDAD-01-05 to Areas USA, Inc., now known as Areas USA MIA, LLC. The First Amendment approved by Resolution No. R-13-10 adjusted the MAG and rent to offset decreased passenger volume beyond the control of the concessionaire. The Second Amendment approved by Resolution No. R-287-11 extended the term of the original Agreement to compensate Areas for time lost due to a delay in MDAD's construction in the North Terminal. The Third Amendment approved by Resolution R-96-14 revised the term commencement dates for all impacted North Terminal concessionaires to September 14, 2014, to compensate for losses stemming from construction delays.</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURE: 32 percent Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) goal</p> <p>CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED AT AWARD: 34.4 percent</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> During consideration of this item at the April 14, 2016 Trade and Tourism Committee meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commissioners asked staff to address the discrepancies in the audit, the insurance deficiencies and whether the goals for the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) firms have been met. • Chief Airport Concession Business Development, Miami Dade Aviation Department (MDAD), advised in reference to the audits any outstanding obligations have been paid. He stated for the under recorded revenues they were working with the DBE firms to enhance their systems. • Commissioners inquired if they have reached the 32% goal for the hiring of DBE firms. • Associate Director for Minority Affairs, MDAD, explained the numbers displayed were the present achievements versus the goal and advised the numbers have decreased to a decline in passenger traffic and noted they have made efforts to relocate the DBE firms to other locations. He stated they were currently at 24.5%, but indicated he was surprised they have reached this status based on competition and the phasing in of construction at the airport and stated that for the overall concession variance at the airport, they were presently at 35% and the goal was 26%. • Commissioners stated the Committee was being misled if the department states no abnormalities were revealed during an audit, when the auditor addressed specific concerns. • MDAD indicated they spoke with the audit department and discovered that the numbers reviewed by them were the numbers being sent to their finance department instead of the numbers that were being tracked by the minority affairs department in relevance to participation. • Commissioners asked when deficiencies were found, if the audit department was made aware of the corrective action taken. • MDAD advised they were required to respond and indicate what type of corrective action plan was used. • Commissioners asked if valid bonding insurance was currently in place. • MDAD was unable to answer that question and would provide more information at a later time. • Commissioners reiterated this information was a part of the audit report which the department stated contained no abnormalities and was therefore misleading to the Commission. • Commissioners pointed out that you can have minority participation without having great diversity and stated there was not an abundant amount of diversity at the airport. He also noted that he has heard from the month to month concessionaires at the airport that were being required by the brand franchisor to invest in their stores to perform upgrades, which was a part of their franchise agreement and stated this posed difficulties when seeking financing to obtain the necessary resources to satisfy those franchise agreements and asked what was being done to help the small minority businesses that were in this position. • MDAD stated that situation has not come to their attention before and recommended that the concessionaire see him to discuss this problem to determine what specific changes were needed.
8C1 160718	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES FOR THE PURCHASE OF PROFESSIONAL ENTERTAINMENT AND ARTIST SERVICES AND ASSOCIATED EXPENSES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$125,000.00 PER PERFORMANCE OR EXHIBITION AND \$4,400,000.00 IN THE AGGREGATE OVER A TIME PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED TWO YEARS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD AND EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives formal bid procedures pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the County Code, to allow the Department of Cultural Affairs (CUA) and the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) to contract and pay professional performers, visual artists and associated expenses for artistic services and engagements, in an amount not to exceed \$4,400,000 in total, nor \$125,000 per performance or exhibition fee. This waiver will remain constant for two (2) years or until the aggregate recommended amount is expended, whichever occurs first. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This recommendation to waive formal bid procedures to contract and pay for entertainers and/or artists to perform and/or exhibit is based on a successful model used for more than 25 by PROS and more recently by CUA. Beginning in 1988, the Board has authorized a series of Resolutions (R-1055-88, R-1078-98, R-1252-01, R-1161-03, R-1470-04, R-1002-06, R-914-08, R-371-09, R-1229-10, R-247-11, R-1004-12 and R-587-14, as well as Resolutions R-474-13 and R-

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>1066-15 with this same intent, specifically for Culture Shock Miami) that authorized multi-year waivers of formal bid procedures for payments to performers and/or artists engaged to perform at PROS and CUA-facilities. These actions have facilitated the cultural programming at facilities throughout the County for the last two (2) decades.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding will come from budgeted revenues approved for the operations and programming of the CUA and PROS departments, including grant and other outside funds, and will not exceed \$4,400,000.</p> <p>The amount of \$4,400,000 was calculated based on the combined projected annual programming budgets of the CUA-managed cultural facilities, the Culture Shock Miami program, and PROS-managed cultural programming throughout the County's parks venues. The prevailing Resolution R-587-14, which expires July 1, 2016, has a maximum fee cap of \$125,000 per performance or exhibition fee, and a total expenditures authorization limit of \$3,800,000, with a departmental allocation of \$3,100,000 for CUA (with current expenditures of approximately \$2,500,000), and \$700,000 for PROS (with current expenditures of approximately \$600,000). The prevailing Resolution R-1066-15, specific to the Culture Shock Miami program, which expires December 1, 2017, has a maximum fee cap of \$30,000 per performance or exhibition fee, and a total expenditures authorization limit of \$300,000. On the effective date, this Resolution automatically replaces and supersedes all other Resolutions for this same purpose that may be in place through prior actions of the BCC.</p> <p><u>Background</u> This request for a waiver of the formal bid procedures for professional performers, visual artists and associated expenses for engagements at the County's cultural facilities managed by CUA (African Heritage Cultural Arts Center, Caleb Auditorium, Miami-Dade County Auditorium, and the South Miami-Dade Cultural Arts Center), under the auspices of the Culture Shock Miami program, and throughout the venues and events managed by PROS (Deering Estate, Raices Hispanic Heritage Center, The Women's Park and Roxcy Bolton Women's History Gallery, Redland Fruit and Spice Park, Zoo Miami, Fourth of July concerts, Love-in at Greynolds Park, Noches Tropicales, Ribfest, etc.) will be limited to fees up to \$125,000 per performance or exhibition fee, and with a \$4,400,000 cap and a two-year time limit or until the aggregate recommended amount is expended. The waiver of formal bid procedures for professional performers, visual artists and associated expenses is necessary to facilitate the planning and programming of arts and cultural activities and services.</p> <p>Contracting for professional performers, visual artists and associated expenses for CUA and PROS is contingent upon the annual authorization of the budgets for each department. CUA and PROS continue to generate revenue for these activities from grants and contributions to help offset fees and ancillary costs, and to make these programs as cost effective as possible while maintaining the highest quality programming for residents and visitors.</p>
<p>8C2 160568</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 25 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$123,000.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – THIRD QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 25 grants for a total of \$123,000.00 from the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Community Grants Program – Third Quarter. In addition, it is recommended that Resolution No. R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the BCC) be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive tourism-oriented and community events.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 County budget ordinance. Upon adoption of the FY 2015-2016 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for FY 2015-2016 Community Grants (CG). To date, a sub-total of \$443,000.00 in grants has been recommended for the first three quarters of the fiscal year.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Community Grants Panel convened on March 3, 2016 to review 25 applications requesting \$194,700.00 for the Third Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 25 applicants for a total of \$123,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on March 16, 2016. The projects selected for funding represent a diversified range of activities and demographic locations.</p>
<p>8C3 161093</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FUNDING OF THIRTY-TWO GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$320,150.00 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 THIRD QUARTER OF THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL GRANTS PROGRAM ROOM TAX PLAN AND SURTAX CATEGORY TO PROMOTE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TOURISM; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS ENTITIES AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 32 grants for a total of \$320,150.00 from the FY 2015-16 Tourist Development Council Grants Program – Third Quarter. In addition, it is recommended that Resolution R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the BCC) be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive, tourism-oriented, and community events.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding for the Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants Program comes from the two (2) percent Tourist Development Room Tax Revenue and the two (2) percent Hotel/Motel Food and Beverage Surtax revenues. In addition, the Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau provides \$25,000.00 to the TDC pursuant to a multi-year agreement. Further, a remaining balance of \$70,468.00 (\$4,265.00 from FY</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<p>2013-14 and \$66,203.00 from FY 2014-15) in unspent grant funds was carried over and is being appropriated as part of the FY 2015-16 program. Pursuant to Ordinance 15-99, \$1.2 million has been allocated for FY 2015-16 Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants. The current Third Quarter recommendations, totaling \$320,150.00, continues the recommended TDC grant allocations for this fiscal year.</p> <p>The Tourist Development Council convened on April 25, 2016 to review 33 applications requesting \$490,100.00 for the Third Quarter of the program. The TDC recommended funding 32 applicants for a total of \$320,150.00.</p>									
8D1 160417	RESOLUTION AMENDING THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY FOR PUBLIC FUNDS AUTHORIZING INVESTMENTS IN BONDS, NOTES, OR INSTRUMENTS BACKED BY THE FULL FAITH AND CREDIT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL AND MODIFYING THE COMPOSITION OF AND MATURITY OF CERTAIN AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS									
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Approves the Miami-Dade County Investment Policy with the incorporated revisions;• Changes to the Investment Policy include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Authorizing the County to invest in bonds, notes or instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the government of Israel, as authorized by Section 218.415 of the Florida Statues; and○ Updates to use current banking terminology and correction of scriveners errors. <p>Specifically, the recommended changes to the County’s Investment Policy deal with updating terminology, correcting scrivener errors, and authorizing the investment in bonds, notes, and instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the government of Israel. Section 218 of the Florida Statues was changed in 2007 to authorize local governments to invest in bonds, notes or instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the government of Israel. This item amends the County’s Investment Policy accordingly. Given our portfolio makeup, we further limit these investments to maturities of five (5) years or less, no more than three (3) percent of our investment portfolio and of investment quality of “A” category or higher as rated by at least two (2) nationally accredited ratings agencies (i.e. Moody’s Investor Services, Standard & Poor’s, or Fitch Ratings).</p> <p>First Southwest Company, the County’s Investment Adviser, has reviewed the revised Investment Policy and is in concurrence with the policy revision.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The recommendations proposed to the Investment Policy include the ability to invest in bonds, notes or instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the government of Israel, allowing the County additional diversity in its investment portfolio which may lead to increased interest earnings compared to other investments of the same maturity.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>The Investment Policy, adopted by the BCC on September 9, 2004 through Resolution No. R-1074-04, sets forth the County’s investment objectives, strategies, and authorized securities as authorized by Section 218.415 of the Florida Statues. The BCC revised the Investment Policy by its adoption of Resolution No. R-31-09 on January 22, 2009, implementing precautionary measures to minimize the County’s risk of losing investment principal.</p> <p>The investment portfolio is structured to provide for liquidity needs, minimize market exposure, and preserve principal. The County's investment portfolio incorporates three (3) important principles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Safety of Principal;2) Liquidity of Funds; and3) Maximization of Investment Income. <p>The investments are made in accordance with the "Prudent Person" standard. The "Prudent Person" standard calls for investments to be made in the fashion of a prudent investor who uses discretion and intelligence in managing his/her financial affairs, and who does not speculate owing to the fact that the primary concern is the safety of his/her investments. The County utilizes an investment advisor to monitor the County's portfolio on a continuous basis, making recommendations to assist the Administration in selecting or restricting investments types purchased, and providing monthly reporting on the compliance to the Policy.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Additional Information on Relevant Legislation Relating to the Miami-Dade County Investment Policy</th></tr><tr><th>Legislation</th><th>Summary</th><th>Additional Information</th></tr><tr><td>R-36-01 1/23/2001</td><td>Rescinded Resolution No. R-1178-95 in its entirety, and authorized the implementation of a new written investment policy pursuant to Florida Statutes 218.415, an act relating to investment of public funds, and Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. O-84-47.</td><td><p>The modifications consisted of clarifications to investment strategies, performance measurement, and additional authorized investments, such as Money Market funds. Further, the Portfolio composition had been realigned to be more prudent.</p><p>The investment objectives of "Safety, Liquidity of Funds and the Maximization of Investment Income" were stressed.</p><p>The safety of principal and liquidity of funds was given a priority consideration, with investment income a secondary consideration. The policy defined the "prudent person" standard, and defined the portfolio composition.</p></td></tr></table>	Additional Information on Relevant Legislation Relating to the Miami-Dade County Investment Policy			Legislation	Summary	Additional Information	R-36-01 1/23/2001	Rescinded Resolution No. R-1178-95 in its entirety, and authorized the implementation of a new written investment policy pursuant to Florida Statutes 218.415, an act relating to investment of public funds, and Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. O-84-47.	<p>The modifications consisted of clarifications to investment strategies, performance measurement, and additional authorized investments, such as Money Market funds. Further, the Portfolio composition had been realigned to be more prudent.</p> <p>The investment objectives of "Safety, Liquidity of Funds and the Maximization of Investment Income" were stressed.</p> <p>The safety of principal and liquidity of funds was given a priority consideration, with investment income a secondary consideration. The policy defined the "prudent person" standard, and defined the portfolio composition.</p>
Additional Information on Relevant Legislation Relating to the Miami-Dade County Investment Policy										
Legislation	Summary	Additional Information								
R-36-01 1/23/2001	Rescinded Resolution No. R-1178-95 in its entirety, and authorized the implementation of a new written investment policy pursuant to Florida Statutes 218.415, an act relating to investment of public funds, and Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. O-84-47.	<p>The modifications consisted of clarifications to investment strategies, performance measurement, and additional authorized investments, such as Money Market funds. Further, the Portfolio composition had been realigned to be more prudent.</p> <p>The investment objectives of "Safety, Liquidity of Funds and the Maximization of Investment Income" were stressed.</p> <p>The safety of principal and liquidity of funds was given a priority consideration, with investment income a secondary consideration. The policy defined the "prudent person" standard, and defined the portfolio composition.</p>								

Board of County Commissioners

May 17, 2016 Meeting

Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>The policy required monthly performance reports to be presented to the Clerk and to the Finance Director, quarterly performance reports to be submitted to the Investment Advisory Committee and an annual report to be presented to the BCC within 90 days of the end of the fiscal year.</p> <p>The Investment Advisory Committee, at its September 13, 2000 meeting reviewed the Investment Policy and approved its presentation to the BC.</p>
	<p>R-1074-04 9/9/2004</p>	<p>Rescinded Resolution No. R-36-01 in its entirety, and authorized the implementation of a new written investment policy pursuant to Florida Statutes §218.415, an act relating to investment of public funds, and Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 84-47.</p>	<p>R-1074-04 made the following modifications to the Investment Policy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defined Investment Strategy as adherence to a buy and hold strategy thereby eliminating the potential for risky trading. Enabled the Security Lending Program as an authorized investment tool. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This program enhances the overall portfolio strategy by providing an additional source of incremental income by the temporary lending of securities to a broker/dealer in return for collateral/cash equal to or exceeding the market value of the security. Changed the maturity limitations for investments from three years to five years. Changed the required annual investment reporting to the Board from 90 days to 120 days after fiscal year end. <p>The investment objectives of safety, liquidity of funds and the maximization of investment income were stressed. Safety of principal and liquidity of funds were given priority consideration, while investment income is accorded a secondary consideration.</p> <p>The policy defined the “prudent person” standard and the portfolio composition.</p> <p>The Investment Policy amended its reporting provisions to require monthly performance reports to be presented to the Clerk and to the Finance Director, and quarterly performance reports to be submitted to the Investment Advisory Committee.</p> <p>The Investment Advisory Committee, at its March 4, 2004 meeting reviewed the Investment Policy and approved its presentation to the BCC.</p>
	<p>R-31-09 1/22/2009</p>	<p>Rescinded Resolution No. R-1074-04 in its entirety, and authorized the implementation of a new written investment policy pursuant to Florida Statutes §218.415, an act relating to investment of public funds, and Miami-Dade County Ordinance No. 84-47.</p>	<p>The primary changes to the Investment Policy provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised the investment policy to raise the 75 percent limit allowed for Federal agencies and instrumentalities purchase, which are safer to invest in, to 100 percent. Municipal securities, issued by the US government, States or local governments, rated AA or better, were added as permissible investments as authorized by Florida Statute 280. The performance measure used to compare the County's return on investments was changed from the current State Board of Administration's (SBA) Local Government Funds Trust Fund to the six-month average of the 180-day Treasury Bill (T-Bill). Required the highest letter and numerical rating from at least two rating agencies for commercial paper, bankers acceptance, and municipal investments ensuring additional safeguards surrounding the investment decisions. Updated the investment policy language to use current banking terminology.

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
8F1 160342	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00256 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$2,285,000.00 FOR GAS AND ELECTRIC APPLIANCE REPAIR SERVICES AND REPLACEMENT PARTS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00256, for the purchase of gas and electric appliance repair services and replacement parts for multiple County departments.</p> <p>The pool includes four (4) groups:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Repair of Commercial Electrical Appliances; 2) Repair of Commercial and Residential Gas Appliances; 3) Repair of Residential Electrical Appliances; and 4) Replacement Parts. <p>The Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department has the largest allocation under the pool and will use the pool to maintain kitchen equipment (e.g., refrigerators, freezers, ranges, ovens, fryers, cook tanks and utility distribution systems) at its correctional facilities.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the pool's eight-year term is \$2,285,000. The current contract, 9081-4/14, is valued at \$3,075,000 for five (5) years and six (6) months. The method of award has been revised from a fixed price schedule to a prequalification pool, allowing the County to maximize competitive pricing while ensuring resource availability. The allocation has been reduced by approximately \$273,000 per year based on projected usage by County departments over the term of the pool.</p> <p>Delegated Authority Upon approval of this item, a pool of prequalified vendors will be established to participate in spot market competitions. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee will have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the Board. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee will also have the authority to (a) exercise all provisions of the solicitation documents and any resulting contracts pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38, and (b) add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis.</p> <p>Vendors Pre-Qualified for Pool A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued on June 25, 2015 under full and open competition. The method of award was to prequalify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Eight (8) vendors responded to the solicitation, of which five (5) vendors satisfied the pre-qualification criteria. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool during the term of the RTQ.</p> <p>Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advance Case Parts, Inc. – 2, 3, 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 12489 NW 44 Street Coral Springs, FL - 2, 3, 4 • Fat Free, Inc. - 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 968 Pondella Road, Suite 1 North Fort Myers, FL ○ 2722 NW 30 Avenue Lauderdale Lakes, FL • Heritage Food Service Group, Inc. - 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 5130 Executive Boulevard Fort Wayne, IN ○ 3200 NW 23 Avenue Suite 400 Pompano Beach, FL • TWC Services, Inc. - 2, 3, 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 2601 Bell Avenue Des Moines, IA ○ 14042 NW 82 Avenue Miami Lakes, FL • Whaley Foodservice Repairs, Inc. - 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 137 Cedar Road Lexington, SC <p>Vendors Not Pre-Qualified for Pool</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cool Moon AC Refrigeration & Appliances, Inc. Vendor did not submit the required documents to satisfy the prequalification criteria. Upon submission of required documents, the vendor will be added to the pool. • Dade Restaurant Repair Shop, Inc. Vendor merged with TWC Services, Inc., a business recommended for inclusion in the pool. • Saniflow Corporation No Bid* <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases, where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition. An SBE set-aside applies for spot market competition up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available.

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <p><u>Additional Information – Related Legislation:</u> On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-733-10, approved the award of Contract No. 9081-4/14 Appliances, Kitchen Equipment (Gas and Electric) Repairs/Parts, to Dade Restaurant Repair Shop, Inc. and Flagship Logistics, LLC, in the amount of \$615,000. If the County chose to exercise the four, one-year OTR periods, the cumulative value would be \$3,075,000.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Term</th><th>Amount</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Contract No. 9081-4/14 R-733-10 7/8/10 8/1/10-7/21/11</td><td>\$615,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>First OTR 9081-4/14-1 8/1/11-7/31/12</td><td>\$615,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR 9081-4/14-2 8/1/12-7/31/13</td><td>\$615,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR 9081-4/14-3 8/1/13-7/31/14</td><td>\$615,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Fourth OTR 9081-4/14-4 8/1/14-7/31/15</td><td>\$615,000</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is 1/31/16</i></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Term	Amount	Contract No. 9081-4/14 R-733-10 7/8/10 8/1/10-7/21/11	\$615,000	First OTR 9081-4/14-1 8/1/11-7/31/12	\$615,000	Second OTR 9081-4/14-2 8/1/12-7/31/13	\$615,000	Third OTR 9081-4/14-3 8/1/13-7/31/14	\$615,000	Fourth OTR 9081-4/14-4 8/1/14-7/31/15	\$615,000	<i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is 1/31/16</i>	
Term	Amount														
Contract No. 9081-4/14 R-733-10 7/8/10 8/1/10-7/21/11	\$615,000														
First OTR 9081-4/14-1 8/1/11-7/31/12	\$615,000														
Second OTR 9081-4/14-2 8/1/12-7/31/13	\$615,000														
Third OTR 9081-4/14-3 8/1/13-7/31/14	\$615,000														
Fourth OTR 9081-4/14-4 8/1/14-7/31/15	\$615,000														
<i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is 1/31/16</i>															
8F2 160500	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,600,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. RTQ-00140 FOR PURCHASE OF SPECIALTY PRINTED PRODUCTS AND MECHANICAL BINDING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes an increased expenditure authority of \$1,600,000 to Prequalification Pool No. RTQ-00140, Specialty Printed Products, Finishing Supplies and Mechanical Binding Services, for the Transportation and Public Works Department. This pre-qualification pool was originally approved by the BCC on September 1, 2015 for an eight-year term with a total allocation of \$1,520,000 and is utilized by County departments for the provision of specialty printed products, finishing supplies and mechanical binding services. The pool includes 12 groups, each representing a defined product or service.</p> <p>The Transportation and Public Works Department has nearly exhausted its current allocation and is requesting additional funds to finance its campaign to enhance the exterior appearance of Metrobuses due to paint peeling and chipping from routine sun exposure, removal of ads or accidents. The requested allocation will be used to refurbish the exterior of 542 buses. The refurbishment project will be competed amongst the pre-qualified vendors in the applicable group.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This pool has an existing allocation of \$1,520,000 and expires on September 30, 2023. The requested additional allocation of \$1,600,000 is based on the anticipated cost of the three-month bus enhancement campaign. If approved, the pool's modified total value will be \$3,120,000.</p> <p><u>Prequalified Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delran Business Products, Inc. 860 West 20 Street Hialeah, FL APIMAGING, Inc. (SBE) 19 SW 6 Street Miami, FL Archi Press & Design, Inc. (MICRO/SBE) 12808 SW 122 Avenue Miami, FL Associated Printing Productions, Inc. 13925 NW 60 Avenue Miami Lakes, FL Doral Digital Reprographics Corp. (SBE) 8280 NW 27 Street, Suite 505 Doral, FL The Dubhouse, Inc. 404 SE 15 Street Ft. Lauderdale, FL J. M. Field Marketing, Inc. 3570 NW 53 Court Fort Lauderdale, FL JNNP Investments, Inc. 6502 N 54 Street Tampa, FL Walter Haas Graphics, Inc. 123 West 23 Street Hialeah, FL <p><u>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition. A SBE set-aside applies to two (2) groups. Additionally, a SBE set-aside applies in all other groups to spot market competitions up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available. 														

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Living Wage Ordinance applies. <p><u>Additional Information – Resolution No. R-717-15:</u> On September 1, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-717-15, approved the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00140, for the purchase of specialty printed products, finishing supplies, and mechanical binding services for the Internal Services and Transit departments. This pool included 12 groups, each offering a unique specialty printed product or mechanical binding service.</p> <p>The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$1,520,000. This pre-qualification pool consolidated two (2) current pre-qualification pools providing similar services: Pool No. 9227-4/15, Specialty Printed Products and Finishing Supplies for five (5) years and six (6) months with an allocation of \$1,565,000 and Pool No. 9237-4/16, Mechanical Binding, Envelope Converting and Specialty Fulfillment Services for six (6) years with an allocation of \$540,000. A single replacement solicitation was issued to reduce the administrative overhead required to issue multiple purchase orders for like services. The replacement pool's allocation was based on historical spending under the current pools and the user departments' projected needs over the term of the pool.</p> <p>The Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued on October 23, 2014 under full and open competition. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors by group that met the minimum requirements, as specified in the RTQ, for participation in future spot market competitions. Two (2) groups (1 and 6) are set-aside for certified small business enterprises. Thirteen vendors responded to the solicitation.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on July 7, 2015, the committee indicated that this proposal represented a very large expense and encouraged the Internal Services Department to ensure savings were realized and the entire \$1.5 million was not used.</p>
8F3 160414	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$600,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 1001-5/15-5 FOR PURCHASE OF HAULING OF BULK MATERIALS SERVICES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request to extend Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15-5, Hauling of Bulk Materials, for five (5) additional years. No additional allocation is being requested for the extension period as the user departments have sufficient existing allocations for these services.</p> <p>This pool was established on November 1, 2009 for a one-year term, with five (5) one-year options to renew for the removal and hauling of coarse aggregates (e.g., stone, rock, sand, and asphalt) and bulky debris (bushes) from various pickup sites to a dumpsite. The pool allows County departments to source each project to meet operational needs at any given time while enhancing competition.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>According to the Internal Services Department (ISD), the pool was approved internally for an initial term value of \$160,000 and under the Mayor's delegated authority. The First and Second OTR's were similarly approved for \$160,000, respectively.</i> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were to be issued. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the County's Procurement Management Services website to encourage additional participation. Outreach to registered firms was conducted to increase the number of prequalified firms. Five (5) firms have been added to the pool since its inception. The pool term was extended administratively for three (3) months to April 30, 2016, enabling sufficient time to acquire approval to extend the pool for an additional five (5) years.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The pool is currently in its final option to renew term, which expires on April 30, 2016, and has an existing allocation of \$600,000. The pool's total allocation for six (6) years, three (3) months is \$2,745,500.</p> <p><u>Delegated Authority</u> The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will have the authority to exercise all provisions of the contract pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee may add qualified vendors to the pool at any time during the term of the pool, subject to bi-annual ratification by the BCC. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee will also have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate contract amount of the allocation authorized by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Prequalified Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Florida Land Cleaning, Inc. (SBE) 9090 NW South River Drive Suite #4, Door 2-C Medley, FL Allied Trucking of Florida, Inc. 10741-10761 NW 89 Avenue Hialeah Gardens, FL Austin Tupler Trucking, Inc. 6570 SW 47 Court Ft. Lauderdale, FL Chin Diesel, Inc. (MICRO/SBE, CONS) 9861 NW 3 Street Pembroke Pines, FL CNC Management Group, Inc. 12865 SW 216 Street Miami, FL Medley Metal Recycling, LLC 9651 NW 89 Avenue Medley, FL Tip-Top Enterprises, Inc. 18101 SW 98 Court Miami, FL <p><u>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision will apply where permitted by the funding source. The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source. A SBE set-aside also applies for spot market competition up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available. The Living Wage Ordinance applies. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15-5 11/1/09-10/31/10</td><td>\$160,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>First OTR 1001-5/15-5-1 11/1/10-10/31/11</td><td>\$160,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR 1001-5/15-2 11/1/11-10/31/12</td><td>\$160,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 1/13/12</td><td>\$340,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td><td>\$125,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-3 2/1/13-1/31/14</td><td>\$500,00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 4/25/13</td><td>\$100,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Fourth OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-4 2/1/14-1/31/15</td><td>\$600,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Fifth OTR R-974-14 1001-5/15-4 2/1/15-1/31/16</td><td>\$600,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15		Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15-5 11/1/09-10/31/10	\$160,000	First OTR 1001-5/15-5-1 11/1/10-10/31/11	\$160,000	Second OTR 1001-5/15-2 11/1/11-10/31/12	\$160,000	Modification 1/13/12	\$340,000	Proration	\$125,000	Third OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-3 2/1/13-1/31/14	\$500,00	Modification 4/25/13	\$100,000	Fourth OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-4 2/1/14-1/31/15	\$600,000	Fifth OTR R-974-14 1001-5/15-4 2/1/15-1/31/16	\$600,000
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15																					
Prequalification Pool No. 1001-5/15-5 11/1/09-10/31/10	\$160,000																				
First OTR 1001-5/15-5-1 11/1/10-10/31/11	\$160,000																				
Second OTR 1001-5/15-2 11/1/11-10/31/12	\$160,000																				
Modification 1/13/12	\$340,000																				
Proration	\$125,000																				
Third OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-3 2/1/13-1/31/14	\$500,00																				
Modification 4/25/13	\$100,000																				
Fourth OTR R-904-12 1001-5/15-4 2/1/14-1/31/15	\$600,000																				
Fifth OTR R-974-14 1001-5/15-4 2/1/15-1/31/16	\$600,000																				
8F4 160615	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF SIX MONTHS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$594,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. C-1084(5) FOR MICROSOFT COMPUTER EQUIPMENT, ACCESSORIES, HARDWARE, RELATED SOFTWARE AND SERVICES FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ACCESS AN ADDITIONAL ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW TERM IF EXERCISED BY THE STATE OF MINNESOTA UP TO AN ADDITIONAL \$980,000.00; AND AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six (6) months of additional time and increased expenditure authority up to \$594,000 to Contract C-1084(5), Microsoft Computer Equipment, Accessories, Hardware, Related Software and Services, for the Information Technology Department; and The accessing of an additional one-year renewal term if exercised by the State of Minnesota and an additional \$980,000 in expenditure authority. <p>The County accessed this competitively-established State of Minnesota contract to purchase Microsoft Surface Pro Tablets for County staff working in the field who require remote network access. The County's access of this contract was extended by the BCC via Resolution No. R-567-15 and then administratively to ensure continuity of service while this item travels to the Board for approval.</p> <p>The State of Minnesota extended its contract through December 31, 2016 with an option to renew for one (1) additional one-year term. Approval of this request to extend aligns the County's term of access with the State of Minnesota's contract term. The additional funds will be used to purchase Microsoft Surface Pro Tablets on behalf of the Public Library System, Police, Fire Rescue and the Water and Sewer Departments and to support operational needs throughout the County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The County's access of this contract expires June 30, 2016. The contract has a current allocation of \$1,983,000. If this request is approved, the contract will have a modified value of \$2,577,000 and an expiration date of December 31, 2016. The requested increase for the six-month extension period is based on projected needs during that period.</p> <p>If the State of Minnesota exercises the one-year option to renew term and the County accesses that term, the value of the option to renew term will be \$980,000.</p> <p><u>Awarded Vendor</u></p> <p>Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA Steve Ballmer</p>																				

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The User Access Program provision applies. The two (2) percent discount will be collected on all purchases. The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference ordinances do not apply. The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Contract C-1084(5)</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract Contract C-1084(5) R-567-15 2/11/2014-12/31/2014 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the new expiration date is 6/30/2016</td><td>\$400,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 9/8/2014</td><td>\$96,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 12/2/2014</td><td>\$500,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion: During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the Director of the Internal Services Department explained that the purpose of the proposed item was to align the contract term with the state of Minnesota to take advantage of pricing.</p>	Additional Information on Contract C-1084(5)		Original Contract Contract C-1084(5) R-567-15 2/11/2014-12/31/2014 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the new expiration date is 6/30/2016	\$400,000	Modification 9/8/2014	\$96,000	Modification 12/2/2014	\$500,000
Additional Information on Contract C-1084(5)									
Original Contract Contract C-1084(5) R-567-15 2/11/2014-12/31/2014 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the new expiration date is 6/30/2016	\$400,000								
Modification 9/8/2014	\$96,000								
Modification 12/2/2014	\$500,000								
8F5 160627	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00272 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$3,063,000.00 FOR ID CARDS, PRINTERS, SUPPLIES AND ACCESSORIES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00272, for purchase of ID cards, supplies, printers, and accessories, including, but not limited to, laminated ID pouches, badge printers, ribbons, and transfer film, for use by multiple County departments. The ID cards under this pool, which are used for identification purposes, vary in size, shape, and style. The Aviation and Water and Sewer Departments will use this pool to purchase intrusion detection cards for employee access to various secured areas within their facilities.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$3,063,000. The previous contract, 7676-1/15, is valued at \$990,504 for six (6) years and was awarded to a single vendor with fixed pricing. The replacement solicitation establishes a pre-qualification pool, which will enhance competition, better satisfy departmental requirements (e.g., unforeseen related items may be quoted), and reduce costs, as spot market quotes among the pre-qualified pool vendors will be issued as the need arises. The allocation being requested under this replacement pool is higher than the previous contract's due to projected usage, as well as the addition of items not previously included.</p> <p>Vendors Pre-Qualified for Pool A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on December 4, 2015. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Seven (7) vendors responded to the solicitation, of which one (1) was a "No Bid." Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool during the term of the RTQ.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Barcodes, LLC 200 W Monroe Street Suite 1050 Chicago, IL Diebold, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5995 Mayfair Road North Canton, Ohio 3320 NW 53 Street Suite 206 Fort Lauderdale, FL Identisys, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7630 Commerce Way Eden Prairie, MN 9407 Fontainebleau Blvd Miami, FL LRE, Inc. (SBE) 6187 NW 167 Street Unit H-10 Miami, FL <p>Vendors Not Pre-Qualified for Pool G2 ID Source and United Imaging has not completed the required Miami-Dade County registration process. Upon successful completion of the registration process, the vendors will be added to the pool. Sharnell Jackson, Inc. responded indicating it was not interested in participating in the pool.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-140-15, prior to the re-procurement of this contract, a full review of the scope of services was conducted to ensure the replacement pool award best reflects the County's current needs. The review included conducting market research, posting a draft solicitation for industry comment, and holding meetings and drafting sessions with the user departments.</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases. 								

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<div><div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></</div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div></div>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes										
	Adventaclean Commercial Services Group			80%							
	Master Mechanical Services, Inc. (SBE)	15181 NW 33 Place Miami, FL	Same	23	A, D						
				3							
				100%							
	Pool & Kent Company of Florida	1781 NW North River Drive Miami, FL	Same	23	A						
				3							
				100%							
	Thermo Air, Inc.	2875 N 29 Avenue Hollywood, FL	Same	7	A, D						
				6							
				87%							
	Trane U.S., Inc. d/b/a Trane	800 Beaty Street Davidson, NC	2884 Corporate Way Miramar, FL	64	A, D						
				89							
				0.36%							
	Vendors Not Pre-Qualified for Pool A-Team Energy Services, Inc., ABM Building Solutions, LLC and Honeywell International, Inc. did not submit the required documents to satisfy the pre-qualification criteria. Upon submission of the required documents, the vendors will be added to the pool. Dade Pump and Supply Co., Ozone Air Design, LLC and Quincy Compressor Co. responded indicating they would not be responding to the solicitation. Pursuant to Resolution No. R-140-15, prior to the re-procurement of this replacement pool, a full review of the scope of services was conducted to ensure the replacement pool reflects the County's current needs. The review included conducting market research, posting a draft solicitation for industry comment, and holding drafting sessions with the user departments. The scope of services was updated to include additional services that were not covered previously. Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source.• Small Business Enterprise construction measures will be determined prior to advertising each spot market competition.• The Local Preference Ordinance will be applied at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source.• The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.										
	<table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Contract No. 056-PP09</th></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 056-PP09 9/17/2014-8/9/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 2/9/2016</td><td>\$933,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 12/15/2014</td><td>\$66,900</td></tr></table>						Additional Information on Contract No. 056-PP09		Contract No. 056-PP09 9/17/2014-8/9/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 2/9/2016	\$933,000	Modification 12/15/2014
Additional Information on Contract No. 056-PP09											
Contract No. 056-PP09 9/17/2014-8/9/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 2/9/2016	\$933,000										
Modification 12/15/2014	\$66,900										
<table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Contract No. 43388-1/15</th></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 43388-1/15 7/15/2013-7/31/2014</td><td>\$430,000</td></tr><tr><td>4388-1/15-1 8/1/2014-7/31/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 1/31/2016</td><td>\$256,600</td></tr></table>						Additional Information on Contract No. 43388-1/15		Contract No. 43388-1/15 7/15/2013-7/31/2014	\$430,000	4388-1/15-1 8/1/2014-7/31/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 1/31/2016	\$256,600
Additional Information on Contract No. 43388-1/15											
Contract No. 43388-1/15 7/15/2013-7/31/2014	\$430,000										
4388-1/15-1 8/1/2014-7/31/2015 *According to the Bid Tracking System, the contract expiration date is now 1/31/2016	\$256,600										
8F7 160584	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$2,246,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9535-1/21 FOR PURCHASE OF TOOLS AND ACCESSORIES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS										
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$2,246,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21, Tools and Accessories, for various County departments. Background: This pool award was originally approved by the BCC in April 2012 for a five-year term with one (1), five-year option to renew. County departments use the pool to purchase various types of tools (e.g., cordless, manual, hydraulic, pneumatic and power), materials handling equipment and accessories to complete a variety of tasks involving, but not limited to, air-conditioning, agriculture, carpentry, construction, plumbing and welding. The requested additional expenditure authority will support the needs of several County departments through the end of the pool term as further detailed below:										

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Corrections and Rehabilitation Department is relocating its Facilities Management Bureau and several welding and carpentry shops to new offices and is requesting \$50,000 in increased spending authority to purchase tools and accessories to setup the new offices; • The Internal Services Department has acquired additional facilities, such as the Children’s Courthouse, Tax Collector’s Office at West Lot and Overtown Transit Village, and is requesting \$200,000 in increased spending authority to purchase tools and accessories to support maintenance tasks performed by trade personnel through a service ticket system at those facilities; • The Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department has several upcoming expansion projects at Zoo Miami, such as the Mission Florida Exhibit and the Main Zoo Entrance, and various refurbishing projects at park and golf locations, requiring a \$50,000 increase in spending authority to purchase tools and accessories to support the projects; • The Water and Sewer Department is requesting \$1,600,000 in increased spending authority to purchase tools and accessories needed for repair work to the department’s aging infrastructure as Prequalification Pool No. 7110-0/15, Mueller Drilling Machine, which was previously used to purchase Mueller drilling, stopping and tapping tools and equipment, has expired and those items are available under this pool; and • The Transportation and Public Works Department requires additional funding of \$346,000 to continue procuring various types of hand-held power tools and equipment to meet ongoing departmental needs. <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The initial five-year term expires on April 30, 2017 and has an existing allocation of \$8,248,000. The recommended modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$2,246,000 increasing the total pool value to \$10,494,000.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A & B Pipe and Supply, Inc. (SBE) 6500 NW 37 Avenue Miami, FL • A.M. Leonard, Inc. 241 Fox Drive Piqua, OH • American Fasteners Corporation (DBE) 7323 NW 66 Street Miami, FL • American Plumbing Supply Co., Inc. d/b/a American Plumbing & Electrical Supply (SBE) 1735 Alton Road Miami Beach, FL • Applied Industrial Technologies - Dixie, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ One Applied Plaza Cleveland, OH ◦ 5400 NW 35 Terrace, Suite 105 Ft. Lauderdale, FL • Hardware, Inc. 7230 NW 72 Avenue Miami, FL • Best Plumbing Specialties, Inc. 3039 Ventrie Court Myersville, MD • Blanchard Machinery, Inc. 1890 NE 150 Street North Miami, FL • Desert Diamond Industries, LLC 2799 E Tropicana Avenue, Suite G Las Vegas, NV • Fastenal Company <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 2001 Theurer Boulevard Winona, MN 3977 ◦ NW 25 Street Miami, FL • Ferguson Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Ferguson Waterworks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 12500 Jefferson Avenue Newport News, VA ◦ 10810 SW 184 Street Miami, FL • Florida Hardware, LLC 436 Cassat Avenue Jacksonville, FL • Hilti, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 5400 S 122 E Avenue Tulsa, Ok ◦ 3399 NW 72 Avenue, Suite 120 Miami, FL • I.T.W., Inc. d/b/a AAA Tool & Supply 1450 S State Road 7 Hollywood, FL • International Tool Corporation 2590 Davie Road Davie, FL • IDSC Holdings, LLC d/b/a Snap on Industrial 2801 80 Street Kenosha, WI • Jobbers’ Equipment Warehouse, Inc. 5440 NW 78 Avenue Miami, FL • Lawson Products, Inc. 8770 W Bryn Mawr Avenue, Suite 900 Chicago, IL • Midwest Motor Supply Co. d/b/a Kimball Midwest 4800 Roberts Road Columbus, OH • National Diamond Enterprises, LLC 2200 NW 32 Street, # 700 Pompano Beach, FL • National Tool Supply, Inc. 5725 W Hallandale Beach Boulevard West Park, FL • Paramount Electric & Lighting, Inc. (MICRO/SBE) 8510 NW 66 Street Miami, FL • Power Mower Corp. d/b/a Power Mower Sales 11340 SW 208 Drive Miami, FL • Ramar Enterprises of Broward, Inc. d/b/a L & L Distributors 1511 N Powerline Road Pompano Beach, FL • Marchesiello Sid Tool Co., Inc. d/b/a MSC Industrial Supply Co. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 75 Maxess Road Melville, NY ◦ 2810 NW 79 Avenue Miami, FL • The Tools Man, Inc. d/b/a The Tools Man Industrial Supply (MICRO/SBE, LDB) 5500 SW 48 Street, Suite B Davie, FL • Tim Halpin Equipment Corporation 5670 NW 78 Avenue Miami, FL • The Tool Place Corp. (MICRO/SBE) 9389 NW 13 Street, #6 Miami, FL • W.W. Grainger, Inc. d/b/a Grainger <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 100 Grainger Parkway, Tax Dept B3.E40 Lake Forest, IL ◦ 2255 NW 89 Place Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition. Additionally, a SBE set-aside applies to spot market competitions up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available. The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <p>Additional Information – Resolution No. R-280-12: On April 3, 2-12, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-280-12, approved the establishment of a pre-qualification pool for the purchase of tools and accessories for various County departments. The amount requested was \$5,844,000 for a five-year term and the cumulative value was to be \$11,688,000 if the County chose to exercise the one, five-year OTR period.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21 Original Contract R-280-12 5/1/2012-4/30/2017</td><td>\$5,844,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 5/21/2014</td><td>\$60,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 6/10/2014</td><td>\$141,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 7/24/2014</td><td>\$115,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 11/10/2014</td><td>\$850,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification R-391-15 5/5/2015</td><td>\$738,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 5/19/2015</td><td>\$210,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 6/5/2015</td><td>\$290,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21		Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21 Original Contract R-280-12 5/1/2012-4/30/2017	\$5,844,000	Modification 5/21/2014	\$60,000	Modification 6/10/2014	\$141,000	Modification 7/24/2014	\$115,000	Modification 11/10/2014	\$850,000	Modification R-391-15 5/5/2015	\$738,000	Modification 5/19/2015	\$210,000	Modification 6/5/2015	\$290,000
Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21																			
Prequalification Pool No. 9535-1/21 Original Contract R-280-12 5/1/2012-4/30/2017	\$5,844,000																		
Modification 5/21/2014	\$60,000																		
Modification 6/10/2014	\$141,000																		
Modification 7/24/2014	\$115,000																		
Modification 11/10/2014	\$850,000																		
Modification R-391-15 5/5/2015	\$738,000																		
Modification 5/19/2015	\$210,000																		
Modification 6/5/2015	\$290,000																		
8F8 160376	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY OF UP TO \$12,710,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 9432-4/16-4 FOR PURCHASE OF CONTRACT EMPLOYEE SERVICES FOR VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a designated purchase under Contract No. 9432-4/16-4, Contract Employee Services, for increased expenditure authority of \$12,710,000 to support the operational needs of the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Elections, Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces, and Corrections and Rehabilitation Departments. Approval of a designated purchase is being requested, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the Miami-Dade County Code, to authorize the increase in expenditure authority which addresses the expanded requirements of the Elections and Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Departments.</p> <p>Background: This contract was originally approved by the BCC on September 20, 2011 for a one-year term with four (4) one-year option to renew terms through Resolution No. R-731-11. Multiple County departments rely on this contract to hire temporary staff for varying positions including, but not limited to, clerical, maintenance, food service, information technology, administrative, professional and construction. The method of award was to multiple vendors by group, including a pre-qualification pool, for a total of 26 groups awarded. The additional allocation requested will be applied as follows and is sufficient to cover the departments' needs through the duration of the contract term.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Administrative Office of the Courts is requesting a \$30,000 increase in allocation to cover the costs of contract employees to support under-resourced divisions during peak service periods, complete special projects, and temporarily replace staff on extended leave. Elections is requesting a \$12,200,000 increase in allocation to secure contract employees in lieu of seasonal staff for the 2016 Presidential Preference Primary Election, Primary Election, Presidential election, and various municipal elections. The use of contract employees in lieu of seasonal staff was included in the determination of the Fiscal Year 2015-16 Adopted Budget for the department. A modification for \$4,300,000 was approved under the Mayor's delegated authority in March 2016 to support the department's immediate needs. Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces is requesting a \$400,000 increase in allocation to secure contract employees to assist in delivering services at the 2016 Miami Open, Country Club of Miami, Palmetto Golf Course, the Underline Urban Trail Project, the Fit 2 Lead Program, which teaches leadership and career development skills to at-risk youth, and upcoming special events. Corrections and Rehabilitation is requesting an \$80,000 increase in allocation to hire two (2) contract employees to assist in meeting an aggressive hiring plan per a U.S. Department of Justice Consent Decree. 																		

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<p>This item is presented for BCC approval as a designated purchase because the Elections Department has modified its contract requirements, i.e., forgoing the use of seasonal staff and instead utilizing contract employees to support the various elections this year. Similarly, the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department has modified its contract requirements, requesting this increase to hire contract employees to support the newly launched Fit 2 Lead Program. The Administration anticipates the advertisement of a comprehensive replacement solicitation reflecting current departmental needs in April 2016.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: This contract is in its fourth and final option to renew term and has an existing allocation of \$26,010,000. The contract expires on November 30, 2016. If approved, this recommended modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$12,710,000, increasing the total contract value to \$38,720,000.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Active Staffing Hialeah, LLC 468 West 29 Street Hialeah, FL • Alpha 1 Staffing/Search Firm, LLC 3350 SW 148 Avenue Suite 110 Miramar, FL • Atrium Personnel, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 3601 W Commercial Boulevard #12 Fort Lauderdale, FL 6625 ◦ Miami Lakes Drive #381 Miami Lakes, FL • Careers USA, Inc. 6501 Congress Avenue Suite 200 Boca Raton, FL • Careerxchange, Inc. 10689 N Kendall Drive Suite 209 Miami, FL • D & B Temporary Staffing Services, Inc. 7261 NW 12 Street Miami, FL • Eagle Resource Group, Inc. 12555 Orange Drive Suite 237 Davie, FL • Fulltime Creatives, LLC 11700 Melaleuca Way Cooper City, FL • GDKN Corporation 1779 N University Drive, # 102 Pembroke Pines, FL • Howroyd-Wright Employment Agency, Inc. dba Apple One Employment Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 327 West Broadway Glendale, CA 6100 ◦ Blue Lagoon Drive #180 Miami, FL • Ideal Personnel Services, LLC 139 NE 1 Street, PH 1 Miami, FL • KForce, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 1001 East Palm Avenue Tampa, FL ◦ 1300 Sawgrass Corporate Parkway, Suite 210 Sunrise, FL • Packplus, Inc. dba Josmar Medical Staffing (DBE, SBE) 633 NE 167 Street Suite 620 North Miami Beach, FL • Pulmonary Exchange LTD, Inc. (SBE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 9840 SW Highway Oak Lawn, IL ◦ 17001 NE 20 Avenue Suite 1A North Miami Beach, FL • Skilled Staffing, LLC 2890 Griffin Road, #3 Fort Lauderdale, FL • Total Connection, Inc. (DBE, LDB, SBE) 20451 NW 2 Avenue, #120 Miami, FL • Veredus, LLC 4300 W Cypress, Suite 900 Tampa, FL • Vestigo Staffing, LLC 8300 NW 53, Suite 350 Miami, FL • Vitaver & Associates, Inc. 401 E Las Olas Blvd Suite 1400 Fort Lauderdale, FL • Westaff (USA), Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 1040 Crown Pointe Parkway Suite 1040 Atlanta, GA ◦ 419 W 49 Street Suite 104 Hialeah, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference was applied in accordance with the Ordinance where permitted by the funding source. Additionally, one group was a set-aside for certified SBEs. The SBE Bid Preference for the pre-qualification group will be applied at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source. • The Local Preference Ordinance was applied where permitted by the funding source. • The Living Wage Ordinance is applicable to certain contract employee positions and will be applied in accordance with the Ordinance where permitted by the funding source. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Contract No. 9432-4/16</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R-731-11 9/2/2011 9432-4/16 Original Contract 12/1/2011-11/30/2012</td><td>\$12,826,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 2/21/2012</td><td>\$325,312</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 4/25/2012</td><td>\$100,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Contract No. 9432-4/16		R-731-11 9/2/2011 9432-4/16 Original Contract 12/1/2011-11/30/2012	\$12,826,000	Modification 2/21/2012	\$325,312	Modification 4/25/2012	\$100,000
Additional Information on Contract No. 9432-4/16									
R-731-11 9/2/2011 9432-4/16 Original Contract 12/1/2011-11/30/2012	\$12,826,000								
Modification 2/21/2012	\$325,312								
Modification 4/25/2012	\$100,000								

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		Modification 6/28/2012	\$74,688	
		Modification 8/20/2012	\$400,000	
		Modification 10/5/2012	\$1,266,000	
		First OTR 9432-4/16-1 12/1/2012-11/30/2013	\$14,992,000	
		Modification R-16-13 1/23/2013	\$265,000	
		Modification 3/13/2013	\$200,000	
		Modification 9/4/2013	\$300,000	
		Second OTR 9432-4/16-2 12/1/2013-11/30/2014	\$15,757,000	
		Modification 3/24/2014	\$774,000	
		Modification 6/25/2014	\$1,000,000	
		Modification 7/10/2014	\$500,000	
		Modification 9/3/2014	\$850,000	
		Third OTR 9432-4/16-3 12/1/2014-11/30/2015	\$18,881,000	
		Modification 3/3/2015	\$3,133,000	
		Modification 6/18/2015	\$48,712.80	
		Fourth OTR 9432-4/16-4 12/1/2015-11/30/2016	\$21,709,332.93	
		Modification 3/11/2016	\$4,300,000	
Additional Information on BCC Discussion of R-731-11				
BCC 7/19/2011 Adopted	During the BCC meeting on July 19, 2011, the following was discussed: <ul style="list-style-type: none">The County Manager advised the BCC that the current temporary employment agency services contract expired this week and affected approximately 500 people who were currently working at the County.The BCC noted that the County was considering the layoff of approximately 800 employees in the FY 2011-12 budgets at the same time a recommendation was being made to fund temporary employment services.The Director of the Department of Procurement Management commented that People’s Transportation Plan funds were included for the transit allocation following unification and it was approved by the Citizens’ Independent Transportation Trust Committee.The BCC noted that 11 out of the 27 vendors were local vendors and that two vendors had compliance and performance violations related to the underpayment of employees. It was suggested that a system be used to track and provide information about the underpayment of employees before a decision was made.The Director responded that the requested information was already provided on each of the firms and that underpayment issues with Deanna Enterprises Inc. and Tri-State Employment Service, Inc. had been cured and that those firms were currently in compliance.			
BCC 8/2/2011 Reconsidered and Deferred	On July 19, 2011, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-731-11. On August 2, 2011, the resolution was reconsidered and deferred to the September 1, 2011 BCC meeting.			
BCC	On September 1, 2011, Resolution No. R-731-11 was deferred to the September 20, 2011 BCC meeting.			

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	9/1/2011 <i>Deferred</i>	
	BCC 9/20/2011 R-731-11 <i>Adopted</i>	<p>During the BCC meeting on September 20, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Director of the Department of Procurement Management noted there was no multiplier rate provision included in the foregoing contract. She advised all positions utilizing contract service employees were competitively filled, and the temporary employment agency provided billable hours for each position. • The Director advised that the paying rate for each contract service position differed, and there was approximately an 18% paying rate difference for a procurement position. • The BCC expressed concerns regarding the long-term retention of contract services employees, particularly at the Community Action Agency (CAA) when the County was experiencing layoffs, and the large percentage difference between the paying and billable rates. • The Director advised that such long-term temporary employees could best be characterized as Contract Service Employees, and they supported critical areas of need such as CAA's Weatherization Program and other critical operational needs in other housing programs. • The Director stressed how important it was to hire contract service employees due to flexibility and efficiency factors and pointed out that the proposed contract would provide good pay rates for those new employees. • In response to comments opposing the extended retention of contract employees during lay-offs of County employees, the Director confirmed that these employees had the experience and skills necessary to provide the services required. • The Director of the Department of Human Services advised that, to be more cost effective, the County used contract service employees inasmuch as many of those positions provided services funded by grants, and funding adjustments were easier to make with unfunded programs or those not funded at the same previous year's funding level. She also noted that it represented a cost savings in terms of fringe benefits, healthcare insurance, and other costs associated with a permanent County employee since the majority of contract employees were part-time employees. • In response to an inquiry regarding whether hiring contract employees was more expensive, the Director of Procurement Management advised that the Human Resources Department analyzed 67 of the most frequently hired positions listed in the temporary services contract, comparing them to County positions receiving fringe benefits on July 2011, and it was found that the cost to employ an individual as a County employee would have been 27.5 percent higher. • The Director advised that the contractor paid contract employees an hourly rate without fringe benefits; and the only contract employees receiving healthcare benefits were those positions covered by the provisions of the Living Wage Ordinance as the policy of the BCC. • The Director of Human Resources, advised that departments were required to evaluate the position after six (6) months of employment to determine its continuation in accordance with the ordinance relating to contractual services; and the Human Resources Department was required to further evaluate the position after one (1) year of employment to determine if it would be more appropriate to establish a permanently budgeted position for the contracted services.
	<p>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</p> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Supervisor of Elections explained that the level of staffing in the elections department are on par with previous elections except for early voting locations. She explained that between 20 and 30 early voting sites would be open for the full 14 days. She noted that due to the percentage change of voters coming out to vote, the department did not add 10 more locations, that they were only adding 10 only for the presidential election cycle since the voter turnout for the primary election didn't merit increase in locations. • The Supervisor further clarified that the amount in the proposed resolution was for all temporary employees and that the amount was already approved in budget, the department was just shifting of how employees are being paid. She noted that savings realized by not having to provide temporary employees with benefits such as retirement, health insurance, drug screenings and processing, were estimated to be at least \$1 million based on previous stats. 	
8F9 160544	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00312 FOR PURCHASE OF CONTRACT HEALTHCARE STAFFING SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES AND PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS FOR AN INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM AND THREE (3) ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIODS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,615,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38	
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the award of Contract No. FB-00312, Contract Healthcare Staffing Services, for the purchase of professional healthcare staffing services for the Miami-Dade Community Action and Human Services and Public Housing and Community Development Departments.	

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																																		
	<p>The contracted staff will work in various facilities throughout the County, providing care and treatment for people with disabilities, veterans, and the elderly. The contracted healthcare professionals will deliver various medical services to those groups, including, but not limited to, treatment for substance abuse, elderly transitional living, counseling, physician assistance and social work. The solicitation includes four (4) groups encompassing multiple healthcare professional positions (e.g., registered nurse, nutritionist and medical doctor) and healthcare-related positions (e.g., social worker and home care aide).</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the initial two-year term is \$4,246,000. Should the County choose to exercise the three (3), one-year option to renew terms, the contract's cumulative value will be \$10,615,000 for five (5) requested years. The previous contract, 9141-3/14, was valued at \$11,412,000 for six (6) years. Community Action and Human Services has added three (3) healthcare professional positions to provide increased services to include: Registered Nurse, Healthcare Aides and Dietician/Nutritionist.</p> <p>Vendors Recommended for Award An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on October 27, 2015. Six (6) bids were received in response to the solicitation. The method of award was to the single lowest-priced responsive and responsible vendor by group. The solicitation included the following four (4) groups:</p> <table><tr><th>Group</th><th>Positions</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Healthcare Professionals</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Healthcare Related</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Healthcare Related (federal funds)</td></tr></table> <p>Groups 1 and 2 were set-aside for certified Small Business Enterprises. No measures were assigned to Groups 3 and 4 due to federal funding restrictions.</p> <table><tr><th>Awardee</th><th>Award</th><th>Principal Address</th><th colspan="2">Number of Employee Residents</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)</td><td rowspan="3">Group 2 & 4</td><td rowspan="3">5803 NW 151st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>Broward</td><td>26</td></tr><tr><td>Percentage</td><td>100%</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.</td><td rowspan="3">Group 3</td><td rowspan="3">5803 NW 151st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade</td><td>1,226</td></tr><tr><td>Broward</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Percentage</td><td>100%</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)</td><td rowspan="3">Group 1</td><td rowspan="3">3440 NW 203rd Street, Miami, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>Broward</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Percentage</td><td>100%</td></tr></table> <p>**Integrated Holdings, Inc. was deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failure to submit a price on all Group 2 items.</p> <p>Vendors Not Recommended for Award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cell Staff, Inc. - Higher than lowest bidder• Delta-T Group Florida, Inc. - Higher than lowest bidder• Integrated Holdings, Inc. - Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for Group 2.• Jackson & Coker Locum Tenens, LLC - Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for Group 3 as the vendor failed to submit a bid for all items within the group as required by the solicitation. The vendor did not bid on Groups 1, 2, or 4. <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases, where permitted by the funding source.• The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances were applied where permitted by the funding source. An SBE set-aside was applied to Groups 1 and 2.• The Living Wage Ordinance applies. <table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14</th></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012</td><td>\$3,043,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 2/8/2012</td><td>\$161,000</td></tr><tr><td>First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013</td><td>\$1,602,000</td></tr></table>	Group	Positions	1	Healthcare Professionals	2	Healthcare Related	3	Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)	4	Healthcare Related (federal funds)	Awardee	Award	Principal Address	Number of Employee Residents		American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)	Group 2 & 4	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	70	Broward	26	Percentage	100%	CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.	Group 3	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	1,226	Broward	0	Percentage	100%	Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)	Group 1	3440 NW 203 rd Street, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade	10	Broward	0	Percentage	100%	Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14		Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012	\$3,043,000	Modification 2/8/2012	\$161,000	First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013	\$1,602,000
Group	Positions																																																		
1	Healthcare Professionals																																																		
2	Healthcare Related																																																		
3	Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)																																																		
4	Healthcare Related (federal funds)																																																		
Awardee	Award	Principal Address	Number of Employee Residents																																																
American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)	Group 2 & 4	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	70																																															
			Broward	26																																															
			Percentage	100%																																															
CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.	Group 3	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	1,226																																															
			Broward	0																																															
			Percentage	100%																																															
Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)	Group 1	3440 NW 203 rd Street, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade	10																																															
			Broward	0																																															
			Percentage	100%																																															
Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14																																																			
Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012	\$3,043,000																																																		
Modification 2/8/2012	\$161,000																																																		
First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013	\$1,602,000																																																		

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		Modification 11/15/2012	\$315,000	
		Modification R-76-13 2/5/2013	\$135,000	
		Second OTR 9141-3/14-2 4/1/2013-3/31/2014	\$2,052,000	
		Third OTR 9141-3/14-3 4/1/2014-3/31/2015	\$2,052,000	
		Proration <i>Per the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is 7/31/2016.</i>	\$2,736,000	
8F10 160421	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR'S OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTIONS, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 2-10.4 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING AGREEMENTS FOR CONTINUING SERVICES UNDER THE COUNTY'S EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the actions of the County Mayor or designee in executing Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) professional services agreements for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015.</p> <p>EDP contracts are issued and renewed regularly to qualified local architectural, engineering and landscape architectural (A&E) firms that are in good standing. Professional services agreements are the formal mechanism to allow County departments to issue work authorizations to eligible EDP participants.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding</u> Funding for each professional services agreement ordered under the EDP is identified at the time of issuance of the service order and is consistent with each department's capital project budgets.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Equitable Distribution Program:</u> According to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department, the EDP establishes a pool of local A&E firms and provides a process to equitably distribute the County's capital improvements projects per state Statute 287.055 with an estimated construction cost of less than \$2 million and up to \$200,000 in professional fees for study activities.</p> <p>The program was created and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to increase contracting opportunities to more community based A&E firms, as well as afford County department's access to qualified professionals for smaller projects in an expedited fashion without necessitating a formal solicitation.</p> <p>Administrative Order 3-39 establishes the policy and procedures for the EDP and the Internal Services Department (ISD)/EDP is responsible for the administration of the EDP Program, including processing the PSA's. The program is structured to distribute projects in all County technical certifications to the program participants through a centralized rotational system. County agencies are to utilize the EDP Program for those capital improvement projects that do not exceed the thresholds for continuous services contracts in accordance with Florida State Statute 287.055, unless provided otherwise by ISD. All qualified A & E firms with a local Miami-Dade office may potentially participate in the EDP program.</p> <p>Program statistics as of January 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 500 firms have been placed in the program; • Currently there are 380+- active EDP Firms; • Over 1,900 EDP work assignments have been distributed through the EDP with potential fees over \$150 million; • 173 Work assignments were distributed in FY 2014 with potential fees of \$16.5 million; • 90% of the Community Business Enterprises (CBE) in the program have received work; and • More than 240 firms of the 380 had never received County A&E work when they entered the program. Approx. 80% of the firms have now received work. <p>Highlights of EDP provided by ISD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the centralized rotational system there are pools of firms in each technical certification category. When there is a request for a project, the database selects the next three qualified firms in the ranking in the technical area by a formula; • All technical categories are assigned to Architects and Engineers pursuant to their approved Pre-Qualification Certification to provide professional services for the County; • The rotational value/ranking is determined by a mathematical formula: 65% of a firms 3 year net award plus 100% amount actually paid. The firms with less opportunities with the County are more likely to be considered for a project; • The eligibility requirements to be an EDP Member are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Firms must a valid Pre-Qualification Certification (PQC); ○ Firms must have a place of business in Dade County; and ○ Firms must have been in business a minimum of 1 year. 			

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes										
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Firms must apply to become a member. The application is posted on the web. A firm can submit an applications at any time provided they meet the minimum qualifications; Projects distributed to firms in EDP do not require BCC approval; and Potential service fees are the most current fee that the Department has provided. 										
8F11 160852	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE SINGLE FIVE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIOD FOR CONTRACT NO. 8880-1/21, FROZEN KOSHER MEALS, IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$975,000.00 FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to exercise the single option to renew period under Contract No. 8880-1/21, Frozen Kosher Meals, for the Corrections and Rehabilitation Department. The amount requested is \$975,000 and covers a five-year period.</p> <p>BCC approval of the option to renew is required as the value of the requested renewal period brings the aggregate value of the contract over the County Mayor's delegated authority. This item is exempt from Committee review per Ordinance No. 07-139, which permits contract renewals to be heard directly by the BCC.</p> <p>This contract was awarded in June 2011 for a five-year term plus one (1) five-year option to renew. The Corrections and Rehabilitation Department uses this contract to purchase a variety of kosher-certified meals for inmates incarcerated at various locations who have dietary and/or religious food restrictions.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The initial contract term is valued at \$981,000 and expires on May 31, 2016. The requested five-year option to renew period is for \$975,000 and renews the contract through May 31, 2021. If the option to renew is exercised, the contract's cumulative value will be \$1,956,000. The projected allocation for the option to renew period is based on past usage. Staff contacted the awarded vendors to negotiate the renewal pricing. The result was a continuation of the current contract pricing which has remained the same for the past five (5) years.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JNS Foods, LLC 1401 N University Drive Suite 602 Coral Springs, FL Prawn Seafoods, Inc. dba Sunset Foods 6894 NW 32 Avenue Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases. The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances were applied in accordance with the ordinances. The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Contract No. 8880-1/21</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract Amount 6/1/2011-5/31/2016</td><td>\$61,425</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 6/5/2012</td><td>\$220,350</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 9/26/2013</td><td>\$218,225</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 5/8/2014</td><td>\$481,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Contract No. 8880-1/21		Original Contract Amount 6/1/2011-5/31/2016	\$61,425	Modification 6/5/2012	\$220,350	Modification 9/26/2013	\$218,225	Modification 5/8/2014	\$481,000
Additional Information on Contract No. 8880-1/21											
Original Contract Amount 6/1/2011-5/31/2016	\$61,425										
Modification 6/5/2012	\$220,350										
Modification 9/26/2013	\$218,225										
Modification 5/8/2014	\$481,000										
8F12 161087	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$113,044,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9418-0/16 FOR PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT, COMPONENTS, PARTS AND SERVICES FOR METRORAIL AND METROMOVER AND OTHER RAIL VEHICLES AND RAIL SYSTEMS FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160423)										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the extension of Prequalification Pool No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems, for an additional five (5) years and increase expenditure authority by \$113,044,000.</p> <p>This pool was established in August 2011 for a five (5) year term and provides the County with an open pool of pre-qualified vendors for the spot market purchase of equipment, parts, and maintenance and repair services, as needed, for transit infrastructure (e.g. Metrorail, Metromover, tracks, rail cars, work trains, way equipment, and similar supporting vehicles).</p> <p>The Department of Transportation and Public Works (TPW) is the largest user of this pool and will rely on the pool to purchase covered equipment, parts, and services, as the department's rail system infrastructure exceeds 30 years of age and requires ongoing improvements, upgrades and rehabilitation to ensure continued operations and passenger safety. In addition, the TPW will use this pool to procure services</p>										

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																					
	<p>to support multiple rehabilitation/renovation projects, such as the Metrorail Wheel Truing Machine Overhaul, Metrorail Floor and Component Replacement, Metromover Inspections, Track and Guideway Pier Coating and Cover Board Replacement. PortMiami will use the pool to purchase equipment and maintenance services for its Gantry Crane railing and to procure services for a future waterside rail project.</p> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were issued. It is anticipated that the same vendors would prequalify for a replacement solicitation. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the pool, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the County's Procurement Management Services website to encourage additional participation. Outreach to registered firms was conducted to increase the number of prequalified firms. It is in the County's best interest to modify the existing pool for an additional five-year period so departments are able to continue purchasing services to support rail systems.</p> <p><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the proposed resolution was amended to add language to the title of the memorandum and to the resolution authorizing the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This pool, which expires on July 31, 2016, has an existing allocation of \$71,975,000. The requested additional allocation of \$113,044,000 is based on an anticipated usage with an increase in usage for TPW during the five-year extension period and results in a modified allocation of \$185,019,000 over a 10-year period.</p> <p>There are currently 61 pre-qualified vendors in the pool, of which 12 have a local office.</p> <p>Delegated Authority The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will have the authority to exercise all provisions of the contract pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee may add qualified vendors to the pool at any time during the term of the pool, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board. The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will also have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate contract amount of the allocation authorized by the Board.</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies where permitted by the funding source.• The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference ordinances will be applied at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source.• The Living Wage Ordinance applies. <table><tr><th colspan="3">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems</th></tr><tr><th>Legislation/Term</th><th>Amount</th><th>Summary</th></tr><tr><td>R-616-11 7/19/2011</td><td>\$30,000,000</td><td>Established a pre-qualification pool of vendors for subsequent spot market competitions to purchase equipment, components, parts and services for Metrorail, Metromover, tracks, rail and guideway systems, and rail related maintenance equipment for the Miami-Dade Transit Department. During the BCC meeting on July 19, 2011, the following was discussed:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Commission noted that only two out of the 11 proposed vendors were local companies.• The Commission asked the Mayor to analyze the existing method used by the County for the acquisition and stockpiling of parts in the County's warehouse; and to explore the possibility of contracting with an outside provider to purchase and store parts, which would be available to the County on an as needed basis.</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 1 5/21/2013</td><td>\$100,000</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Modification 2 7/9/2013</td><td>\$245,000</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Modification 3 8/27/2013</td><td>\$155,000</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>R-753-14 9/3/2014</td><td>\$26,000,000</td><td>Authorized additional expenditure authority of up to \$26,000,000 for the purchase of equipment, parts, and components, as well as repair and maintenance services for the Metrorail and Metromover systems. There is no extension of time under this modification. During the Transportation & Aviation Committee meeting on Jul 9, 2014, R-753-14 was discussed as follows:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to a question regarding the funding sources, the Deputy Mayor explained that the funding source was different for each case and invited the</td></tr></table>	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems			Legislation/Term	Amount	Summary	R-616-11 7/19/2011	\$30,000,000	Established a pre-qualification pool of vendors for subsequent spot market competitions to purchase equipment, components, parts and services for Metrorail, Metromover, tracks, rail and guideway systems, and rail related maintenance equipment for the Miami-Dade Transit Department. During the BCC meeting on July 19, 2011, the following was discussed: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Commission noted that only two out of the 11 proposed vendors were local companies.• The Commission asked the Mayor to analyze the existing method used by the County for the acquisition and stockpiling of parts in the County's warehouse; and to explore the possibility of contracting with an outside provider to purchase and store parts, which would be available to the County on an as needed basis.	Modification 1 5/21/2013	\$100,000		Modification 2 7/9/2013	\$245,000		Modification 3 8/27/2013	\$155,000		R-753-14 9/3/2014	\$26,000,000	Authorized additional expenditure authority of up to \$26,000,000 for the purchase of equipment, parts, and components, as well as repair and maintenance services for the Metrorail and Metromover systems. There is no extension of time under this modification. During the Transportation & Aviation Committee meeting on Jul 9, 2014, R-753-14 was discussed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to a question regarding the funding sources, the Deputy Mayor explained that the funding source was different for each case and invited the
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems																						
Legislation/Term	Amount	Summary																				
R-616-11 7/19/2011	\$30,000,000	Established a pre-qualification pool of vendors for subsequent spot market competitions to purchase equipment, components, parts and services for Metrorail, Metromover, tracks, rail and guideway systems, and rail related maintenance equipment for the Miami-Dade Transit Department. During the BCC meeting on July 19, 2011, the following was discussed: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Commission noted that only two out of the 11 proposed vendors were local companies.• The Commission asked the Mayor to analyze the existing method used by the County for the acquisition and stockpiling of parts in the County's warehouse; and to explore the possibility of contracting with an outside provider to purchase and store parts, which would be available to the County on an as needed basis.																				
Modification 1 5/21/2013	\$100,000																					
Modification 2 7/9/2013	\$245,000																					
Modification 3 8/27/2013	\$155,000																					
R-753-14 9/3/2014	\$26,000,000	Authorized additional expenditure authority of up to \$26,000,000 for the purchase of equipment, parts, and components, as well as repair and maintenance services for the Metrorail and Metromover systems. There is no extension of time under this modification. During the Transportation & Aviation Committee meeting on Jul 9, 2014, R-753-14 was discussed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to a question regarding the funding sources, the Deputy Mayor explained that the funding source was different for each case and invited the																				

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p><i>Deputy Director for Operations for Miami-Dade Transit (MDT, to explain MDT's funding source.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Deputy Director for Operations for Miami-Dade Transit informed the Committee members that MDT's funding was sourced from the department's operating funds.</i> <i>The Committee asked if the monies allocated for the foregoing proposed resolution could have been used elsewhere to help balance the County's overall budget to which the Deputy Mayor explained that all of the funding sources discussed today (7/9) were comprised of allocations associated with contracts. She pointed out that the foregoing proposed resolution was not a part of the budget policy decision-making process but was merely the document needed to implement budget policy already authorized by the BCC.</i> <i>The Committee inquired if any of the equipment had been purchased in advance or was pending purchase.</i> <i>The Deputy Director told the Committee members that the equipment needed by MDT included on-going maintenance parts for the Metro-Rail, Metro-Mover and fare collection systems. He noted MDT had a contract in place for the equipment but needed the allocation for funding.</i> <i>The Deputy Director clarified that the funds would be used to purchase additional equipment.</i>
	Modification 4 2/20/215	\$25,000	
	Modification 5 11/9/2015	\$450,000	
	R-105-16 2/2/2016	\$15,000,000	<p>Authorized an increased expenditure authority of \$15,000,000 to Prequalification No. 9418-0/16, Equipment, Components, Parts and Services for Metrorail and Metromover, and Other Rail Vehicles and Rail Systems for the Miami-Dade Transit Department.</p> <p><i>During the BCC meeting on February 2, 2016, the Commission expressed concerned because of 61 firms on the vendor table, only 8 firms were local.</i></p>
	Current Contract Total	\$71,975,000	
	<p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u></p> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The proposed resolution was amended as follows: To add to title..." and authorize the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such purposes." Section 2, following the language: Now therefore, "this will authorize for use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such purposes."</i> <i>Discussion ensued between the Committee and the Director of the Internal Services (ISD) regarding restrictions of firms operating from a residence in certain municipalities. Following further discussion, it was moved by the Committee to defer the proposed resolution until the Director of ISD provided the information regarding restrictions of firms operating from a residence in certain municipalities. Later in the meeting, by request of the ISD Director, the Committee reconsidered the proposed resolution and Committee rescinded the vote to defer the item.</i> <i>The proposed resolution was forwarded to the BCC without a favorable recommendation as amended.</i> 		
8F13 160948	RESOLUTION RATIFYING ACTIONS OF THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE RELATED TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CONTRACTS, INCLUDING CONTRACT AWARDS OF \$880,000.00 AND \$2,860,000.00, FUNDED WITH BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS, PURSUANT TO THE ECONOMIC STIMULUS ORDINANCE, SECTION 2-8.2.7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the actions of the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee related to capital improvement projects as authorized under Section 2-8.2.7 of the Miami-Dade County Code, also known as the Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) Program.</p> <p>Item 1 - Award Recommendation – Professional Service Agreement for the Westchester Cultural Arts Center: Ratifies a contract award in the amount of \$880,000 to Zyscovich, Inc. to provide architectural, engineering and specialty consultant services.</p> <p>Item 2 – Award Recommendation – Professional Service Agreement for Tamiami Canal Bridge Replacement: Ratifies a contract award in the amount of \$2,860,000 to Pinnacle Consulting Enterprises, Inc. for construction engineering inspection services and Florida Department of Transportation Local Agency Program compliance services.</p> <p>Item 3 – Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Miami International Airport Building 863 Roof Replacement: Ratifies rejection of all proposals received in response to a solicitation for Architect/Engineer services for the roof replacement of Building 863 at Miami International Airport. The rejection is necessary as it is not cost effective to repair the roof.</p> <p>Item 4 – Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Survey and Implementation of the Stephen P. Clark Center Americans with Disabilities Act Barrier Removal: Ratifies rejection of all proposals received in response to a solicitation for Architect/Engineer services for the survey</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																							
	<p>and implementation of the Stephen P. Clark Center Americans with Disabilities Act barrier removal. The rejection was recommended so that the Equitable Distribution Program process could be used for this work which will be broken down into smaller projects and performed by multiple firms.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact and funding sources for the projects are as follows:</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Item</th><th rowspan="2">Fiscal Impact</th><th rowspan="2">Funding Source</th><th colspan="4">Contract Measures</th></tr><tr><th>SBE – Construction</th><th>SBE – A&E</th><th>DBE</th><th>CWP</th></tr><tr><td>Item 1 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for the Westchester Cultural Arts Center</td><td>\$880,000</td><td>Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond</td><td>N/A</td><td>28%</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td></tr><tr><td>Item 2 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for Tamiami Canal Bridge Replacement</td><td>\$2,860,000</td><td>Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>9.91%</td><td>N/A</td></tr><tr><td>Item 3 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Miami International Airport Building 863 Roof Replacement</td><td>N/A</td><td>Airport Revenue Funds</td><td>N/A</td><td>CBE Set-aside</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td></tr><tr><td>Item 4 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Survey and Implementation of the Stephen P. Clark Center Americans with Disabilities Act Barrier Removal</td><td>N/A</td><td>Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond</td><td>N/A</td><td>CBE Tier 1 Set-aside</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td></tr></table> <p>Background: The purpose of the ESP Program is to expedite competitively-awarded construction contracts and professional service agreements associated with funded capital improvement projects that are: 1) in the Capital Budget, and 2) included in Resolution NO. R-851-08 or subsequently added through separate BCC approved resolutions.</p> <p>The ESP Program reduces approval timelines by 90 to 120 days for the procurement cycle (advertise solicitations, evaluate competitive bids and award qualifying projects) resulting in time-saving benefits to the implementation of those projects.</p> <p>All ESP projects are reviewed by the Small Business Division in the Internal Services Department for the application of contract measures.</p>	Item	Fiscal Impact	Funding Source	Contract Measures				SBE – Construction	SBE – A&E	DBE	CWP	Item 1 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for the Westchester Cultural Arts Center	\$880,000	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	28%	N/A	N/A	Item 2 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for Tamiami Canal Bridge Replacement	\$2,860,000	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	N/A	9.91%	N/A	Item 3 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Miami International Airport Building 863 Roof Replacement	N/A	Airport Revenue Funds	N/A	CBE Set-aside	N/A	N/A	Item 4 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Survey and Implementation of the Stephen P. Clark Center Americans with Disabilities Act Barrier Removal	N/A	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	CBE Tier 1 Set-aside	N/A	N/A
Item	Fiscal Impact				Funding Source	Contract Measures																																		
		SBE – Construction	SBE – A&E	DBE		CWP																																		
Item 1 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for the Westchester Cultural Arts Center	\$880,000	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	28%	N/A	N/A																																		
Item 2 - Award Recommendation - Professional Service Agreement for Tamiami Canal Bridge Replacement	\$2,860,000	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	N/A	9.91%	N/A																																		
Item 3 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Miami International Airport Building 863 Roof Replacement	N/A	Airport Revenue Funds	N/A	CBE Set-aside	N/A	N/A																																		
Item 4 - Recommendation to Reject all Proposals - Survey and Implementation of the Stephen P. Clark Center Americans with Disabilities Act Barrier Removal	N/A	Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond	N/A	CBE Tier 1 Set-aside	N/A	N/A																																		
8G1 160317	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$1,433,740.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FOR THE NORTH MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY																																							
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2015-16 Budget for the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's Budget includes revenues and expenditures in the total amount of \$1,433,740.00. The BCC must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funding in the Trust Fund, as required by Section III D of the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source The Agency's main revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida Statutes. The countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2015-16 is \$289,030.00 and the City of North Miami Beach's (City) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$404,477.00; carryover from prior years of \$730,233.00; and projected interest earnings of \$10,000.00. The budget does not include a contingency reserve.</p> <p>The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency through 2028, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$130,270.00, excluding the 1.5 percent County administrative charge of \$4,335.00, and represent 9 percent of total expenditures, which satisfies the Interlocal Agreement's 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$1,299,135.00 and are broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• \$674,042.00 for capital and infrastructure improvements that include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ CRA-wide sanitary sewer improvements (\$259,157.00);○ Sewer extension project along 163 Street and NE 21 Avenue (\$214,885.00); and○ CRA-wide parking and infrastructure improvements (\$200,000.00).• \$203,400.00 for the debt service payment on the 2007 loan that matures in 2027;• \$200,000.00 for Commercial Façade Improvement and Commercial improvement Programs targeting properties on West Dixie Highway between NE 163 Street and 172 Street to enhance visibility, attract new business and developed in the area;																																							

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$151,693.00 for other operating expenditures including salary and fringes, legal services, marketing, printing and publishing; and • \$70,000.00 for consulting services to assist with updating the Redevelopment Plan, negotiating public/private partnerships, West Dixie Highway corridor improvements, retail recruitment and marketing and branding of the downtown area. <p>Background On June 7, 2005, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-611-05 that established the Agency and approved the Agency's Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) and Interlocal Agreement. The BCC adopted Ordinance No. 05-110 on June 7, 2005, which provided a funding mechanism for implementation of the plan (Trust Fund). The Interlocal Agreement requires the Agency to submit for County approval an annual budget for the implementation of the Plan. On December 19, 2006, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1427-06 allowing the Agency to enter into a loan for infrastructure improvements and property purchase not to exceed \$8 million, and extend the life of the Agency from 2015 to 2028.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget was approved by the Agency on August 27, 2015 and by the City on September 21, 2015.</p>
8J1 160796	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD AND MANAGE THE CRUISE TERMINAL F PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE PORTMIAMI EXPEDITE ORDINANCE IN THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF \$38,000,000.00
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to award a design-build contract for capital improvements to Cruise Terminal F as allowed for under the PortMiami Expedite Ordinance, adopted by the BCC on April 5, 2016. The improvements of Cruise Terminal F, were previously authorized under Resolution No. R-1079-15.</p> <p>On December 1, 2015, the BCC through Resolution No. R-1079-15 approved Amendment No. 1 to the Preferential Berthing Agreement between the County and MSC Cruises S.A., committing PortMiami (Port) to make certain improvements to Cruise Terminal F prior to the arrival of the MSC Seaside in the winter of 2017.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The expected capital cost of the improvements to Cruise Terminal F is \$38 million, which will be paid with bond proceeds.</p> <p>Though the Port capped its contractual obligation at \$25 million in the commitment to MSC Cruises S.A, it retained the option for 'up-sizing' the terminal to accommodate an even larger vessel class. The increase in passenger throughput that will be generated by the MSC Seaside will generate an additional \$3.5 million in revenue that will offset the \$38 million investment in the Cruise Terminal F improvements.</p> <p>Background The design-build contract solicitation for the Cruise Terminal F improvements has been advertised in accordance with the standard County procurement process and has been assigned an SBE Construction Contract Goal of 9.87 percent, a SBE Goods and Services Goal of six (6) percent, a SBE Architectural and Engineering Goal of 14 percent, and a Community Workforce Program Goal of 10 percent new hires. The contract will also require that the Contractor make its best reasonable efforts to have 51 percent of all construction labor hours performed by Miami-Dade County residents in accordance with the Residence First Training and Employment program.</p>
8K1 160642	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17-02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, A LOAN TO ST. JOHN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ST. JOHN VILLAGE HOMES II APARTMENTS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$997,500.00 OF REPAID LOAN FUNDS FROM THE ST. JOHN APARTMENTS AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS, STANDARD SHELL CONTRACTS, STANDARD SHELL LOAN DOCUMENTS, AMENDMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, TO AUTHORIZE SUBORDINATION OF THE COUNTY'S INTEREST, AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION, CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17-02 of the Code of Miami Dade County, a loan in the amount of \$997,500 of local Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) funds to St. John Community Development Corporation (St. John) for development of the St. John Village Homes II Apartments (Project), an affordable housing project. The Project is a new 10-unit affordable housing development located at 1613 – 1643 NW 1 Court, Miami, Florida, 33136, in Commission District 3.</p> <p>The loan for the Project will be subject to a full credit underwriting analysis including subsidy layering review, a favorable recommendation from the underwriter, and written financing commitments for the total development costs, all of which will be completed prior to the financial closing of the loan or the release of loaned funds. The loan will be subject to those loan terms prescribed in the Surtax FY 2015 Request for Applications, and is subject to change at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee based upon the credit underwriting analysis.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The proposed resolution allocates Surtax funds and will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund.</p> <p>Background The Project is located at 1613-1643 NW 1st Court, Miami, Florida 33136 and will consist of ten (10) townhome styled apartments. Three (3) of the ten (10) units are reserved for households with up to 50 percent area median income (AMI) and the remaining seven (7) units will be reserved for households with up to 80 percent AMI. St. John received a County loan of Surtax funds in 1991 in the amount of \$997,500.00 for the development of St. John Apartments. In November 2015, the Florida Department of Transportation made a payment to the County in</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the amount of \$482,302.26 as a result of an eminent domain action. This resulted in full payoff of the Surtax loan, which had been used for the development of the Project.</p> <p>On December 7, 2015, St. John requested use of pre-paid loan funds in accordance with Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances for the Project. <i>The pre-payment and re-loan transaction is permitted by Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances, providing that any developer that repays its County loan in full before the date on which the loan is due in full may, upon approval of the BCC, have the repaid funds loaned to it or a related entity for additional eligible affordable housing projects without the need to compete again for those funds.</i></p>
<p>8K2 160617</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF FLORIDA CITY AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ENSURE THE TIMELY WAIVER AND/OR RELEASE AND SATISFACTION OF MUNICIPAL LIENS RECORDED AGAINST CERTAIN COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED IN FLORIDA CITY AND DESIGNATED FOR DEVELOPMENT THROUGH THE COUNTY'S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AND TO EXERCISE AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS AND TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves terms and authorizes the execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (Agreement) between the City of Florida City (Florida City) and Miami-Dade County (County). Florida City agrees to waive and/or release municipal liens recorded on those County-owned properties located in Florida City (Infill Properties), which are designated for development through the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Program).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The County, through the Internal Services Department, is responsible for recurring maintenance costs associated with the Infill Properties, which amounts to approximately \$3,010.00 annually, per acre. The funds used to maintain the Infill Properties are paid from the Internal Services Department's Capital Outlay Reserve Fund. Additionally, the County may pay approximately \$29.00 in recording fees for each release of lien recorded for each property.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On November 5, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1004-14, which urged municipalities, including the City of Miami, City of North Miami, City of Opa-locka, City of Homestead, City of Florida City, and City of Miami Gardens, to waive and/or release and satisfy their municipal liens, which have been recorded in the public record against the Infill Properties. Resolution No. R-1004-14 also directed the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Interlocal Agreements with each of the municipalities, which would ensure the timely waiver and/or release and satisfaction of municipal liens recorded in the public record against such Infill Properties.</p>
<p>8K3 160582</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROPOSED FUNDING RECOMMENDATION AND ALLOCATING AN AMOUNT UP TO \$150,000.00, IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX PROGRAM FUNDS TO OPA LOCKA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COPORATION, INC., FOR HOMEBUYER COUNSELING AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, SHELL CONTRACTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the proposed funding recommendations for up to \$150,000.00 for the FY 2015 Request for Applications (RFA) for the Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) Program for homebuyer counseling and education activities that applied in the FY 2015 Request for Applications.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The Public Housing and Community Development Department (PHCD) is seeking authorization to allocate \$150,000.00 of Surtax funds to support homebuyer counseling and education. The award of Surtax funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund.</p> <p><u>Background</u> At the February 11, 2016 Economic Prosperity Committee meeting, the Opa locka Community Development Corporation, Inc. (OCDC) appeared during the public hearing portion of the meeting to request that additional funds be allocated to increase the homebuyer counseling and education services for residents countywide. It was noted by the PHCD that additional Surtax funds were available to fund the request by OCDC and the Committee requested that an item be prepared to recommend funding for additional homebuyer counseling and education services.</p> <p>The OCDC submitted a proposal in the FY 2015 RFA requesting \$150,000.00 in funding. The proposal scored a 95 on its proposal but was not recommended due to the higher ranked proposals that exhausted the \$500,000.00 allocation of Surtax funding in the RFA for homebuyer counseling and educational activities. The funding requests for homebuyer educational activities throughout Miami-Dade County totaled \$1,020,000.00.</p>
<p>8K4 160262</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO FILE WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FY 2009-2015 ACTION PLANS AND CORRESPONDING FY 2008-12 AND FY 2013-17 CONSOLIDATED PLANS IN ORDER TO RECAPTURE AND REALLOCATE \$297,151.22 OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS AND \$250,000.00 OF HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME) FUNDS AND TO ALLOCATE \$1,326,854.05 OF FY 2015 CDBG PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-596-12 REQUIRING THAT 20 PERCENT OF CDBG FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SHELL CONTRACTS, AMENDMENTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to substantially amend the FY 2009-15 Action Plans and the corresponding FY 2008-12 and FY 2013-17 Consolidated Plans to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recapture and reallocate \$297,151.22 of current and prior years' Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program funds; • Recapture and reallocate \$250,000.00 of Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program funds; • Allocate \$1,326,854.05 of CDBG Program Income Funds; • Waive the provisions of Resolution No. R-596-12 requiring that 20 percent of CDBG funds be allocated to economic development activities. Only 4 percent of the total funds are recommended to economic development activities; and • Authorize the County Mayor or designee to execute all standard shell contracts, amendments, standard shell loan documents and other agreements necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution, and to exercise the cancellation and other provisions contained therein. <p>The activities proposed for funding with CDBG Program Income funds as opposed to recaptured funds are public facilities and capital improvements projects at County-owned facilities that need repair and improvement for continued use by County residents.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The recapture and reallocation of CDBG and HOME funds and CDBG program income funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. This item recaptures CDBG and HOME funds from various previously funded projects and reallocates those funds to other feasible projects. This item also allocates CDBG Program Income funds.</p> <p><u>CDBG Reallocation and Program Income Allocation Recommendations</u></p> <p>The Department recommends the reallocation of \$297,151.22 in CDBG Program funds and \$1,326,854.05 of CDBG Program Income funds to: (1) Commission District Fund (CDF) allocations; (2) County Department activities; (3) projects that received prior funding but have a funding gap; and (4) CDBG program administration and County-owned public facilities in need of repair and capital improvements (CDBG Program Income). Recaptured CDBG funds are recommended for projects which are eligible under the economic development, public facilities and capital improvements, public service, and housing categories.</p> <p><u>HOME Reallocation Recommendations</u></p> <p>A total combined funding of \$250,000.00 in HOME funds is recommended for projects that are eligible for housing activities.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Funded activities as part of the FY 2015 and prior years' Action Plans are monitored throughout the year to ensure that entities are in compliance with federal regulations, such as the progress of each activity towards accomplishing the national objectives set forth by the U.S. HUD. The Department recommends the recapture of CDBG and HOME funding from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activities that have been completed and have met a national objective with funds remaining unspent; • Activities that are not feasible or were unable to meet a national objective; • Entities that were unable to expend funds; • Entities with an unresolved conflict of interest relative to a staff member participating on the entity's board; or • CDF allocations requested to be recaptured by the allocating commission district.
<p>8K5 160624</p>	<p>RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FLORIDA APPROVING THE LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN AS REQUIRED BY THE STATE HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM ACT, SUBSECTIONS 420.907-420.9079, FLORIDA STATUTES, AND RULE CHAPTER 67-37, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ANY NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS NEEDED BY THE STATE; AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF THE LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION; APPROVING THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2015 REPORT AND EVALUATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopts the State Housing Initiatives Partnership (SHIP) Local Housing Assistance Plan (LHAP) for FY 2016-17, FY 2017-18, and FY 2018-19; • Authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to execute any documents and certifications required by the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) as related to the LHAP; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to do all things necessary and proper to carry out the terms and conditions of said program, as described in the LHAP. <p>SHIP funding will continue to be awarded to for-profit and non-profit entities through a competitive process, and will be subject to approval by the BCC. The LHAP does not incorporate new strategies or activities. It incorporates the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Advisory Board's 2015 Report and Evaluation. This report was provided to the BCC on February 2, 2016 and requires BCC approval.</p> <p>The change to the proposed SHIP LHAP pertains to a description of how the County will establish and process its waiting list. The description below is included in Program Details, Section I:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiting List/Priorities: A waiting list will be established when there are eligible applicants for strategies that no longer have funding available. Those households on the waiting list will be notified of their status. Applicants will be maintained in an order that is consistent with the time applications were submitted as well as any established funding priorities as described in the plan.

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Priorities for funding apply to all strategies unless otherwise stated in the strategy: All applications are submitted by homeowners to the Community Action and Human Services Department (CAHSD) and placed on a waiting list on a first-come, first-served basis. When funds become available applicants are selected from the top of the waiting list. The waiting list is maintained by date of the applicant expressing interest in the program. Each time a group of applications are selected from the waiting list, processing priority will be given to elderly and disabled applicants. <p>Background The BCC approved the current SHIP LHAP on July 2, 2013 through Resolution No. R-522-13 and an amendment on October 21, 2014 through Resolution No. R-948-14. The current SHIP LHAP covers FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15, and FY 2015-16, in accordance with Florida Statute 420.9072(2)(b)2, which requires a BCC approved resolution as part of the LHAP initial submission, as well as any subsequent amendments. Additionally, pursuant to the State of Florida rules, the initial plan and any amendments to the plan must be submitted to the State for approval prior to implementation. This plan must be submitted to the State by May 2, 2016 to meet the beginning of the State's fiscal year, which begins on July 1, 2016.</p> <p>On March 10, 2016, the Affordable Housing Advisory Board reviewed and approved, by a unanimous vote, the SHIP LHAP for FY 2016-17, FY 2017-18, and FY 2018-19.</p>
<p>8N4 160715</p> <p>8N5 160717</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION OF A LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAM AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE THE COUNTY WITH UP TO \$154,785.00 IN SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION OF A LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAM AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE THE COUNTY WITH UP TO \$20,190.00 IN SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>8N4 - 160715: The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The LAP Agreement provides the County with up to \$154,785.00 in Safe Routes to School Program funds to be paid through FDOT for the construction and construction engineering inspection of safety related infrastructure improvements at Kimlock Park Elementary and Kimlock Park Middle School.</p> <p>The construction of the improvements provided by this LAP Agreement is anticipated to commence in early 2017 and be completed by late 2018.</p> <p>8N5 - 160717: The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The LAP Agreement provides the County with up to \$20,190.00 in Safe Routes to School Program funds to be paid through FDOT for the design of safety related infrastructure improvements at Kimlock Park Elementary and Kimlock Park Middle School.</p> <p>The design of improvements provided by this LAP Agreement is anticipated to commence in late 2016 and be completed by mid-2017.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The Safe Routes to School Program requires that a local funding source provide upfront funding for the projects to be subsequently reimbursed. The County's Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is proposing to provide the upfront funds from proceeds collected through the Charter County Transportation Surtax.</p> <p>The total cost for construction and construction engineering inspections for the schools covered under this LAP Agreement is \$154,785.00. The upfront funding will be provided through index code CPEPTP00SAFE. The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Neighborhood Improvements Section. Since PTP funds are to be reimbursed as each project is completed, the proposed projects will have no adverse impact on the ability to deliver any other PTP projects.</p> <p>Kimlock Park Elementary School is located at 4275 NW 1 Street, Miami, FL 33126.</p> <p>Kimlock Park Middle School is located at 4340 NW 3 Street, Miami, FL 33126.</p> <p>Background: The Safe Routes to School Program was authorized in August 2005 by Section 1404 of the Federal Transportation Act, Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users. This program provides Federal-aid highway funds to State Departments of Transportation to make it safer and easier for children from Kindergarten through grade 8 to walk or bicycle to and from school.</p> <p>The Miami-Dade County Public Schools (MDCPS) has submitted applications for schools located in the County to FDOT for consideration within the Safe Routes to School Program. The subject schools were identified with the assistance of MDCPS, DTPW, and the Metropolitan Planning Organization. Factors that were used to prioritize the school included proximity to traffic accidents involving juvenile pedestrians, the potential for attracting new walk trips, and geographic distribution.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>As MDCPS is not a LAP Certified Agency, they have partnered with DTPW, a LAP Certified Agency, in order to obtain and take advantage of these federal funds. DTPW will oversee the design, bid, award, and construction administration of the project and will upon each project's completion submit invoices to FDOT's District 6 LAP Administrator for approval and reimbursement.</p> <p>DTPW will implement a Public Involvement Plan prior to the construction of the project to provide information to parents, teachers, property owners, tenants, and area residents of work to be performed in the area. The design of these improvements will be provided by a LAP Agreement that is concurrently being presented for approval by the BCC and CITT under separate resolution.</p>
<p>8N6 160711</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION OF A LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAM AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE THE COUNTY WITH UP TO \$19,159.00 IN SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p>8N7 160712</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION OF A LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAM AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE THE COUNTY WITH UP TO \$146,885.00 IN SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>8N6 - 160711:</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The LAP Agreement provides the County with up to \$19,159.00 in Safe Routes to School Program funds to be paid through FDOT for the design of safety related infrastructure improvements at Hialeah Gardens Elementary School.</p> <p>The design of improvements provided by this LAP Agreement is anticipated to commence in late 2016 and be completed by mid-2017.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The Safe Routes to School Program requires that a local funding source provide upfront funding for the projects to be subsequently reimbursed. The County's Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is proposing to provide the upfront funds from proceeds collected through the Charter County Transportation Surtax.</p> <p>The total cost for design for the school covered under this LAP Agreement is \$19,159.00. The upfront funding will be provided through index code CPEPTP00SAFE. The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Neighborhood Improvements Section. Since PTP funds are to be reimbursed as each project is completed, the proposed projects will have no adverse impact on the ability to deliver any other PTP projects.</p> <p><u>8N7 - 160712:</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The LAP Agreement provides the County with up to \$146,885.00 in Safe Routes to School Program funds to be paid through FDOT, for the construction of safety related infrastructure improvements at Hialeah Gardens Elementary School.</p> <p>The construction of improvements provided by this LAP Agreement is anticipated to commence by early 2018 and be completed by late 2018.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The Safe Routes to School Program requires that a local funding source provide upfront funding for the projects, to be subsequently reimbursed. The County's Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is proposing to provide the upfront funds from proceeds collected through the Charter County Transportation Surtax.</p> <p>The total cost for construction and construction engineering inspection for the school covered under this LAP Agreement is \$146,885.00. The upfront funding will be provided through index code CPEPTP00SAFE. The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Neighborhood Improvements Section. Since PTP funds are to be reimbursed as each project is completed, the proposed projects will have no adverse impact on the ability to deliver any other PTP projects.</p> <p><i>Hialeah Gardens Elementary School is located at 9702 NW 130 Street, Hialeah, FL 33018.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Safe Routes to School Program was authorized in August 2005 by Section 1404 of the Federal Transportation Act, Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users. This program provides Federal-aid highway funds to State Departments of Transportation to make it safer and easier for children from Kindergarten through grade 8 to walk or bicycle to and from school.</p> <p>The Miami-Dade County Public Schools (MDCPS) has submitted applications for schools located in the County to FDOT for consideration within the Safe Routes to School Program. The subject school was identified with the assistance of MDCPS, DTPW, and the Metropolitan Planning Organization. Factors that were used to prioritize the schools included proximity to traffic accidents involving juvenile pedestrians, the potential for attracting new walk trips, and geographic distribution.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>As MDCPS is not a LAP Certified Agency, they have partnered with DTPW, a LAP Certified Agency, in order to obtain and take advantage of these federal funds. DTPW will oversee the design, bid, award, and construction administration of the project and will upon each project's completion submit invoices to the appropriate FDOT's District 6 LAP Administrator for approval and reimbursement.</p> <p>DTPW will implement a Public Involvement Plan prior to the construction of the project to provide information to parents, teachers, property owners, tenants, and area residents of work to be performed in the area. The construction of these improvements will be provided by a LAP Agreement that is concurrently being presented for approval by the BCC and CITT under separate resolution.</p>												
8N8 160714	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF UP TO \$3,748,977.11 IN CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR PUSH-BUTTON CONTRACTS AWARDED UNDER THE MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT 7360 PLAN												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax (Surtax) Funds for Push-Button Contracts, which have previously been awarded under the Miscellaneous Construction Contracts (MCC) 7360 Plan, for roadway resurfacing projects.</p> <p>These contracts are currently approved for the use of non-Surtax funding sources via the MCC Program, with this item seeking approval of Surtax funding for those roadway improvement projects included within the People's Transportation Plan (PTP).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Contract No. 1 – Push Button Contract for Roadway Resurfacing (RPQ No. 20150156, MCC 7360 Plan) in the amount of \$1,888,299.09 to H & R Paving, Inc.• Contract No. 2 – Push-Button Contract for Roadway Resurfacing (RPQ No. 20150157, MCC 7360 Plan) in the amount of \$1,860,678.02 to H & R Paving, Inc. <p>These contracts have been previously awarded under the MCC Program, with a scope of work consisting of roadway resurfacing.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact to the Surtax will not exceed the contract award amount for each contract. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> These Contracts were procured through the MCC Program with Road Impact Fee (RIF) funding identified. The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) forwarded a Request for Price Quotation by way of facsimile transmission to a pool of firms that had registered with the Internal Services Department (ISD) for each respective trade. Additionally, DTPW advertised each project in the Daily Business Review and all solicitations were available online through the County portal under the "Procurement Solicitations" link. Each project's award evaluation was based upon the bid submitted by the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, and due diligence was conducted in accordance with ISD's Procurement Guidelines to determine Contractor responsibility, including verifying corporate status with the Florida Department of State's Division of Corporations and review of performance or compliance issues.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Contract No. 1 – Push Button Contract for Roadway Resurfacing (RPQ No. 20150156, MCC 7360 Plan):</u> On November 5, 2015, Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM) forwarded an RPQ for Roadway Resurfacing, utilizing the MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08 Contract, to a list of 70 pre-qualified firms. Six (6) firms purchased contract documents, and three (3) firms proffered bids.</p> <p>On December 9, 2015, H & R Paving, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$1,610,981.33, 11 percent below the County's cost estimate. The second lowest bidder, H & J Asphalt, Inc. proffered a base bid of \$1,638,628.40, 9 percent below the County's cost estimate. The third lowest bidder, Metro Express, Inc. proffered a base bid of \$1,716, 273.51, 5 percent below the County's cost estimate. Based on the results of the solicitation, PWWM issued a recommendation for award on January 11, 2016 for RPQ No. 20150156 to H & R Paving, Inc.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Contract Measures</th></tr><tr><th>Program</th><th>Measure</th><th>Goal Percent</th></tr><tr><td>SBE/CONS</td><td>Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work</td><td>Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Homestead Concrete & Drainage, Inc.</td></tr><tr><td>Community Workforce Program</td><td>Applicable: Work Order Driven</td><td>10 percent</td></tr></table> <p>The work to be accomplished for this project consists of, but is not limited to, furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, equipment, and tools to provide milling and resurfacing, widening and resurfacing of intersecting streets, up to the existing joint at the side street or up to the Point of Curvature as determined by the Engineer or as indicated in the Plans, resurfacing of asphaltic pathways (pedestrian, bicycle, etc.) adjacent or not to the edge of pavement as necessary, temporary utility repairs (cold patches), clearing and excavating of should areas or build-up, as directed by the Engineer, grading and clean-up of adjacent shoulders and removal of roots, as required, pavement markings including the installation of reflective pavement markers (blue/blue) at fire hydrant locations, sidewalk, sidewalk curbs and connectors, and pedestrian ramps. The contract duration is established as 450 calendar days.</p>	Contract Measures			Program	Measure	Goal Percent	SBE/CONS	Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work	Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Homestead Concrete & Drainage, Inc.	Community Workforce Program	Applicable: Work Order Driven	10 percent
Contract Measures													
Program	Measure	Goal Percent											
SBE/CONS	Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work	Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Homestead Concrete & Drainage, Inc.											
Community Workforce Program	Applicable: Work Order Driven	10 percent											

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p><u>Additional Information on Contract No. 2 – Push-Button Contract for Roadway Resurfacing (RPQ No. 20150157, MCC 7360 Plan):</u></p> <p>On November 5, 2015, Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM) forwarded an RPQ for Roadway Resurfacing, utilizing the MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08 Contract, to a list of 70 pre-qualified firms. Four (4) firms purchased contract documents, and four (4) firms proffered bids.</p> <p>On December 16, 2015, H & R Paving, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$1,586,319.66, 12 percent below the County’s cost estimate. The second lowest bidder, Weekley Asphalt, Inc. proffered a base bid of \$1,590,583.03, 12 percent below the County cost estimate. The third lowest bidder, H & J Asphalt, Inc. proffered a based bid of \$1,602,463.60, 11 percent below the County’s cost estimate. Based on the results of the solicitation, PWWM issued a recommendation for award on January 11, 2016 for RPQ No. 20150157 to H & R Paving, Inc.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Contract Measures</th></tr><tr><th>Program</th><th>Measure</th><th>Goal Percent</th></tr><tr><td>SBE/CONS</td><td>Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work</td><td>Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Quality Construction Performance, Inc.</td></tr><tr><td>Community Workforce Program</td><td>Applicable: Work Order Driven</td><td>10 percent</td></tr></table> <p>The work to be accomplished for this project consists of, but is not limited to, furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, equipment, and tools to provide milling and resurfacing, widening and resurfacing of intersecting streets, up to the existing joint at the side street or up to the Point of Curvature as determined by the Engineer or as indicated in the Plans, resurfacing of asphaltic pathways (pedestrian, bicycle, etc.) adjacent or not to the edge of pavement as necessary, temporary utility repairs (cold patches), clearing and excavating of should areas or build-up, as directed by the Engineer, grading and clean-up of adjacent shoulders and removal of roots, as required, pavement markings including the installation of reflective pavement markers (blue/blue) at fire hydrant locations, sidewalk, sidewalk curbs and connectors, and pedestrian ramps. The contract duration is established as 450 calendar days.</p>	Contract Measures			Program	Measure	Goal Percent	SBE/CONS	Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work	Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Quality Construction Performance, Inc.	Community Workforce Program	Applicable: Work Order Driven	10 percent
Contract Measures													
Program	Measure	Goal Percent											
SBE/CONS	Trade Set Asides: Traffic stripes and marking; and concrete work	Schedule of Intent Affidavit lists Highway Stripping, Inc. and Quality Construction Performance, Inc.											
Community Workforce Program	Applicable: Work Order Driven	10 percent											
8N9 160713	RESOLUTION AWARDING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH URS CORPORATION SOUTHERN TO PROVIDE DESIGN SERVICES FOR STATE ROAD 836 EXPRESS BUS SERVICE – PARK & RIDE/BUS TERMINAL, CONTRACT NO.: CIP097-CT1-TR14, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,273,596.27 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award for Professional Services Agreement Contract No: CIP097-CT1-TR14 between URS Corporation Southern (URS) and Miami-Dade County (County) in the amount of \$1,273,596.27 for the State Road 836 (SR-836) Express Bus Service – Park and Ride/Bus Terminal. The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is seeking Preliminary Engineering and Final Design services for the preparation of a complete set of contract documents for the construction and implementation of SR-836 Express Bus Service – Park and Ride /Bus Terminal project.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The “Near-Term Transportation Plan for Miami-Dade County” was developed by the MPO in October 2010 to plan DTPW service improvements along the transit corridors that were identified in the People’s Transportation Plan (PTP) as priorities for the establishment of a successful rail transit system.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact of the project will be \$1,273,596.27 funded from the PTP Bond Program.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> Disadvantage Business Enterprise - 10%, estimated value - \$127,359.63</p> <p><u>Selection Process</u> The Request to Advertise was filed with the Clerk of the Board (Clerk) on February 11, 2015 and a Revision to the Request to Advertise was filed with the Clerk on June 1, 2015. The Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued on March 18, 2015. Seven (7) proposals were received by the Clerk of the Board on May 4, 2015.</p> <p>The Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) appointed by the County Mayor conducted the First-Tier evaluation on September 25, 2015 to evaluate the proposals received. All seven (7) firms were evaluated in accordance with the Section 2-10.4 of the Miami-Dade County Implementing Order 3-34 and Administrative Order 3-39. Local Preference was not applicable to the First-Tier evaluation since all firms were local. The total scores for the top three (3) firms were as follows: Firm No. 1 URS received 421 points; Firms No. 2. Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. received 406 points; and Firm No. 3 H.J. Ross Associates, Inc. received 400 points.</p> <p>Based on the CSC's professional expertise, the information provided in the proposals was deemed sufficient to determine the qualifications of the teams. As a result of said determination, and by a majority vote, the CSC decided to forego Second Tier proceedings pursuant to AO 3-39, Section II(C). Based on the above results, the CSC recommended that negotiation be conducted with URS.</p>												

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Subsequently, all firms were found in compliance with the Disadvantage Business Enterprise and Federal Transit Administration requirements for the solicitation.</p> <p>The County Mayor's Designee and the Director of Internal Service Department concurred with the CSC, and approval to begin negotiations was granted on October 14, 2015. The first negotiation meeting was held on November 13, 2015, the second negotiation meeting was held on December 4, 2015, the third negotiation meeting was held on December 16, 2015, and a fourth and final negotiation meeting was held on December 18, 2015. After negotiations were concluded, URS agreed to a final price of \$1,273,596.27 for the design of the SR-836 Express Bus Service Project. Based on historical costs, the Committee determined that the negotiated price was fair and reasonable.</p>
<p>801 160920</p>	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING ESTABLISHMENT OF A PREQUALIFICATION POOL BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE FOR RTQ-00298: TRENCHLESS PIPE INSTALLATION AND PIPE REHABILITATION SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$85,000,000.00 FOR A TOTAL TERM OF EIGHT (8) YEARS PURSUANT TO MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S CONSENT DECREE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS ACCELERATION ORDINANCE, SECTION 2-8.2.12 OF THE COUNTY CODE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 2-8.1 AND 2.8.2.12 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND TO ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies, pursuant to Section 2-8.2.12 of the County Code, the establishment of a prequalification pool by the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee for RTQ-00298: Trenchless Pipe Installation and Pipe Rehabilitation Services Pre-qualification for a total amount of up to \$85,000,000.00 with a total term of eight years for work on Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department Consent Decree and Multi-Year Capital Plan Projects.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit pricing and award contracts up to the aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the BCC; • Exercise all provisions of the solicitation documents and any resulting contracts pursuant to Sections 2-8.1 and 2-8.2.12 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida; and • Add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the BCC on a bi-annual basis. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The proposed project will have a fiscal impact of \$85,000,000.00 allocated from proprietary funds from the Water and Sewer Department.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On September 3, 2014, the BCC approved Ordinance No. 14-77 authorizing the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to award contracts for funded capital projects and related goods and services and to accelerate the approval of WASD's: 1) Consent Decree projects, and 2) projects identified in WASD's Multi-Year Capital Plan's Capital Improvements Program, without the need for prior BCC approval but subject to ratification by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Pre-Qualification Pool: Trenchless Pipe Installation and Pipe Rehabilitation Services:</u> On March 3, 2016, the Internal Services Department (ISD) Director issued a memo to the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) Director regarding a recommendation for approval to establish a pre-qualification pool under Request to Qualify No. RTD-00298 Trenchless Pipe Installation and Pipe Rehabilitation Services.</p> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on September 25, 2015 to establish a prequalification pool to obtain trenchless pipe installation services for the Water and Sewer Department. The pool has two groups: (1) new pipe installation and (2) trenchless rehabilitation of existing pipes. The Water and Sewer Department will rely on the pool to purchase installation and/or rehabilitation services for its water, sanitary sewer gravity and drainage pipes, sanitary sewer force mains and culverts. Vendors will apply trenchless technology (i.e. minimally disruptive subsurface construction work) in delivering the services.</p> <p>Seventeen (17) vendors responded to the solicitation. One (1) of which was a "No Bid." Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pre-qualification pool during the term of the contract.</p> <p>The Small Business Enterprise bid preference does not apply to the RTQ. Each work order under the pool will be submitted to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department for review and application of measures.</p> <p><i>Of the thirteen (13) vendors pre-qualified for the pool, two (2) are local.</i></p>
<p>9A1 160838</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE TOWN OF BAY HARBOR ISLANDS FOR THE PROVISION OF LIBRARY SERVICES BY THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM AT THE BAY HARBOR COMMUNITY CENTER LOCATED AT 1185 95 STREET, BAY HARBOR ISLANDS, FLORIDA, FOR AN INITIAL 30 YEAR TERM, PLUS TWO ADDITIONAL 30 YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIODS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, RENEWAL AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160622]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of the Interlocal Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Town of Bay Harbor Islands (Town), a municipal corporation of the State of Florida. This Interlocal Lease Agreement establishes the terms and conditions by which the Miami-Dade Public Library System (MDPLS) will provide library services to the Town within the Bay Harbor Islands Community Center, located at 1185 95 Street, Bay Harbor Islands, Florida.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Bay Harbor Islands Town Council approved this Interlocal Lease Agreement at its March 14, 2016 meeting. Additionally, the Town Council also approved a Resolution to join the Miami-Dade Library Taxing District effective October 1, 2016.</p> <p>Some of the highlights of the Interlocal Lease Agreement are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Town will be solely responsible for the cost of the build-out of the community center, inclusive of the approximately 1,500 square feet of space to be partitioned for the library; • The Town will cover the cost of all utilities, janitorial service, pest control, and building repairs and maintenance in Year 1 of the Interlocal Lease Agreement; in Year 2, the County will begin to make an annual payment of \$3,600 to the Town, with a one (1) percent annual increase each year thereafter; • The County will pay a nominal lease payment to the Town of \$10.00 per year for use of the facility; • The County will be responsible for staffing the library, as well as providing the materials collection, furniture, information technology equipment, and related items necessary to function as a full-service library; and • The County will operate the library five (5) days (40 hours per week) in Year 1, with operating hours to be aligned with peak usage of the community center. <p>The term of the Interlocal Lease Agreement will be 30 years with the right to renew the Interlocal Lease Agreement for two (2) additional 30-year terms upon mutual agreement. The co-location of the proposed branch with the Town's community center provides a unique partnership opportunity that will allow the Town and the County to maximize programming opportunities, to the benefit of both the Town residents and the residents of the entire Miami-Dade Library Taxing District. Additionally, this partnership is reflective of MDPLS' strategic direction in creating flexible, community-oriented locations that maximize opportunities for access to library resources and services.</p> <p><i>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, a substitute was presented. This substitute differs from the original item in that it specifies that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The \$10.00 per year lease payment will be paid from Library user fees assessed pursuant to IO 4-97; and</i> • <i>The funding source for staffing, operating, and capital costs will be Library Taxing District Revenues.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>As a result of the Town becoming a part of the Miami-Dade Library Taxing District, the provision of library services to the Town will be revenue-neutral. It is estimated that the Town will generate an additional \$224,000 in new revenue to the Library Taxing District in FY 2016-17. The additional revenue will fully offset MDPLS' estimated start-up and operating expenditures to provide library services to the Town in FY 2016-17, as well as future-year operating expenditures. The funding source for staffing, operating, and capital costs will be Library Taxing District Revenues, and the \$10.00 per year lease payment will be paid from Library user fees and fines assessed pursuant to IO 4-97.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>Over the past several years, the Town has been in the process of finalizing the design and interior build-out of its new 8,000 square foot community center. Throughout this process, the Town has expressed interest in joining the Miami-Dade Library Taxing District, as well as in having a small library location (1,500 square feet) built-out within the community center. The last extensive discussions between the Town and MDPLS occurred in October 2012. In June 2015, the Town Mayor and Manager approached the County to re-visit the feasibility of the Town joining the Library Taxing District and establishing a library location. MDPLS began negotiations with the Town, including multiple site visits to the proposed location, and multiple meetings with the Town Manager, Assistant Town Manager, and the Town's architect for the community center/library location. The County and the Town were able to reach agreement on a service model that ensures the provision of a full-service library within the designated location, while also ensuring that revenue generated by the Town joining the Library Taxing District fully offsets future-year operating expenditures.</p>
9A2 160646	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING ARTICLE 34 WAGES OF THE 2014-2017 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE GOVERNMENT SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA, OPEIU, LOCAL 100 - SUPERVISORY UNIT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves and ratifies Article 34 Wages (Article) of the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit (Union).</p> <p>The County Mayor is further authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This authorization is necessary to allow this Article to be in effect prior to the preparation of the FY 2016-17 proposed budgets.</p> <p><i>This Article was ratified by the Union membership on November 9, 2015.</i></p> <p>Proposed Article for Ratification - Article 34 Wages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2014-15 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • During the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • Year Three (Fiscal Year 2016-17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Effective the first pay period in October 2016, bargaining unit employees will receive a Cost of Living Adjustment of one (1) percent. • If the sum of the Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value for County-wide and UMSA for July 1, 2016 equals or exceeds 13 percent growth, the COLA will be increased by an additional three (3) percent for members of the bargaining unit effective the first pay period in October 2016 to a total of four (4) percent.

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>"Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value" will mean the percent change from the prior year preliminary taxable value to the current year's taxable value after new construction as set forth in the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's July 1, 2016 certification.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This Article makes provisions for a one (1) percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) effective the first pay period in October 2016. The estimated fiscal impact associated with the one (1) percent COLA is estimated at \$2.7 million (of which the General Fund represents \$543,000). The Five-Year Financial Forecast included in both the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget and FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget include this increase for all funds and the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget will include funding to support the adjustment. Additionally, should the established target for ad valorem revenue growth be met, the COLA will be increased to four (4) percent. The four (4) percent COLA has an estimated impact of \$11.1 million, which the General Fund portion (\$2.172 million) would be funded by the increased ad valorem revenue generated at that growth target.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 24, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-832-14, approved and ratified the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Supervisory Employees Unit (Union). This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.</p>
<p>9A3 160647</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING ARTICLE 29 WAGES OF THE 2014-2017 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), AVIATION EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 1542; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves and ratifies Article 29 Wages (Article) of the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees, A.F.L.-C.I.O., Miami-Dade County Aviation Department Employees Local 1542 (Union).</p> <p>The County Mayor is further authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This authorization is necessary to allow this Article to be in effect prior to the preparation of the FY 2016-17 proposed budgets.</p> <p><i>This Article was ratified by the Union membership on December 3, 2015.</i></p> <p>Proposed Article for Ratification - Article 29 Wages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2014-15 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • During the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • Year Three (Fiscal Year 2016-17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Effective the first pay period in October 2016, bargaining unit employees will receive a Cost of Living Adjustment of one (1) percent. • If the sum of the Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value for County-wide and UMSA for July 1, 2016 equals or exceeds 13 percent growth, the COLA will be increased by an additional three (3) percent for members of the bargaining unit effective the first pay period in October 2016 to a total of four (4) percent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>"Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value" will mean the percent change from the prior year preliminary taxable value to the current year's taxable value after new construction as set forth in the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's July 1, 2016 certification.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This Article makes provisions for a one (1) percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) effective the first pay period in October 2016. The estimated fiscal impact associated with the one (1) percent COLA is estimated at \$562,000. The Five-Year Financial Forecast included in both the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget and FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget include this increase for all funds and the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget will include funding to support the adjustment. Additionally, should the established target for ad valorem revenue growth be met, the COLA will be increased to four (4) percent. The four (4) percent COLA has an estimated impact of \$2.248 million, which would be funded by proprietary fees and charges in the Aviation Department.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-830-14, approved and ratified the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees, A.F.L.-C.I.O., Miami-Dade County Aviation Department Employees Local 1542 (Union). This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting Discussion:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission inquired as to whether any changes were made, the Division Director of Labor Relations from the Human Resources Department explained the proposed changes to the 2014-2017 bargaining agreement for Local 1542, concerning nightshift differential and annual payout of unused sick leave. He stated two full-time employees were released to Local Union 1542 president and vice president positions with 2,500 hours.</i> • <i>The Mayor explained that this cost was paid from the Aviation Department's revenues rather than by taxpayers.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
9A4 160648	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING ARTICLE 34 WAGES OF THE 2014-2017 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE GOVERNMENT SUPERVISORS ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA, OPEIU, LOCAL 100 - PROFESSIONAL UNIT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06
Notes	<p>Approves and ratifies Article 34 Wages (Article) of the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit (Union).</p> <p>The County Mayor is further authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This authorization is necessary to allow this Article to be in effect prior to the preparation of the FY 2016-17 proposed budgets.</p> <p><i>This Article was ratified by the Union membership on November 9, 2015.</i></p> <p>Proposed Article for Ratification - Article 34 Wages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2014-15 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • During the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • Year Three (Fiscal Year 2016-17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Effective the first pay period in October 2016, bargaining unit employees will receive a Cost of Living Adjustment of one (1) percent. • If the sum of the Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value for County-wide and UMSA for July 1, 2016 equals or exceeds 13 percent growth, the COLA will be increased by an additional three (3) percent for members of the bargaining unit effective the first pay period in October 2016 to a total of four (4) percent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>"Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value" will mean the percent change from the prior year preliminary taxable value to the current year's taxable value after new construction as set forth in the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's July 1, 2016 certification.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This Article makes provisions for a one (1) percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) effective the first pay period in October 2016. The estimated fiscal impact associated with the one (1) percent COLA is estimated at \$2.844 million (of which the General Fund represents \$569,000). The Five-Year Financial Forecast included in both the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget and FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget include this increase for all funds and the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget will include funding to support the adjustment. Additionally, should the established target for ad valorem revenue growth be met, the COLA will be increased to four (4) percent. The four (4) percent COLA has an estimated impact of \$11.376 million, which the General Fund portion (\$2.276 million) would be funded by the increased ad valorem revenue generated at that growth target.</p> <p><u>Additional Information –BCC Special Meeting:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R- 833-14, approved and ratified the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the Government Supervisors Association of Florida, OPEIU, Local 100 Professional Employees Unit (Union). This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.</p>
9A5 160649	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING ARTICLE 41 WAGES OF THE 2014-2017 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, SOLID WASTE EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 3292; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves and ratifies Article 41 Wages (Article) of the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State, County and Municipals Employees, AFSCME, Solid Waste Employees, Local 3292 (Union).</p> <p>The County Mayor is further authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This authorization is necessary to allow this Article to be in effect prior to the preparation of the FY 2016-17 proposed budgets.</p> <p><i>This Article was ratified by the Union membership on December 3, 2015.</i></p> <p>Proposed Article for Ratification - Article 41 Wages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2014-15 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • During the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • Year Three (Fiscal Year 2016-17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Effective the first pay period in October 2016, bargaining unit employees will receive a Cost of Living Adjustment of one (1) percent. • If the sum of the Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value for County-wide and UMSA for July 1, 2016 equals or exceeds 13 percent growth, the COLA will be increased by an additional three (3) percent for members of the bargaining unit effective the first pay period in October 2016 to a total of four (4) percent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>"Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value" will mean the percent change from the prior year preliminary taxable value to the current year's taxable value after new construction as set forth in the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's July 1, 2016 certification.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This Article makes provisions for a one (1) percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) effective the first pay period in October 2016. The estimated fiscal impact associated with the one (1) percent COLA is estimated at \$367,000. The Five-Year Financial Forecast included in both the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget and FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget include this increase for all funds and the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget will include funding to support the adjustment. Additionally, should the established target for ad valorem revenue growth be met, the COLA will be increased to four (4) percent. The four (4) percent COLA has an estimated impact of \$1.466 million which would be funded by proprietary fees and charges in the Solid Waste Management Department.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-831-14, approved and ratified the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Solid Waste Employees, Local 3292 (Union). This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.</p>
<p>9A6 160653</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING ARTICLE 43 WAGES OF THE 2014-2017 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, GENERAL EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 199; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves and ratifies Article 43 Wages (Article) of the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, General Employees, AFSCME, Local 199 (Union).</p> <p>The County Mayor is further authorized to effectuate this Resolution by approving and filing this Resolution with the Clerk of the Board. This authorization is necessary to allow this Article to be in effect prior to the preparation of the FY 2016-17 proposed budgets.</p> <p><i>This Article was ratified by the Union membership on December 15, 2015.</i></p> <p>Proposed Article for Ratification - Article 43 Wages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2014-15 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • During the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, bargaining unit employees will not receive a cost of living adjustment. • Year Three (Fiscal Year 2016-17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Effective the first pay period in October 2016, bargaining unit employees will receive a Cost of Living Adjustment of one (1) percent. • If the sum of the Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value for County-wide and UMSA for July 1, 2016 equals or exceeds 13 percent growth, the COLA will be increased by an additional three (3) percent for members of the bargaining unit effective the first pay period in October 2016 to a total of four (4) percent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>“Percent Change of Preliminary Taxable Value” will mean the percent change from the prior year preliminary taxable value to the current year’s taxable value after new construction as set forth in the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser’s July 1, 2016 certification.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This Article makes provisions for a one (1) percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) effective the first pay period in October 2016. The estimated fiscal impact associated with the one (1) percent COLA is estimated at \$4.082 million (of which the General Fund represents \$1.388 million). The Five-Year Financial Forecast included in both the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget and FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget include this increase for all funds and the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget will include funding to support the adjustment. Additionally, should the established target for ad valorem revenue growth be met, the COLA will be increased to four (4) percent. The four (4) percent COLA has an estimated impact of \$16.330 million, which the General Fund portion (\$5.552 million) would be funded by the increased ad valorem revenue generated at that growth target.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-829-14, approved and ratified the 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County and the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, General Employees, Local 199 (Union). This is a three year contract for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Special Meeting Discussion:</u> During the BCC Special Meeting on September 26, 2014, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In response to an inquiry as to what changes had been made to the collective bargaining agreements, including changes to the management rights and supplemental pays, the Labor Relations Division Director from the Human Resources Department provided a detailed explanation of the proposed changes to the labor agreements. He stated that the changes included how the work week was calculated; requiring 14-day notice before moving/transferring an employee; removing the advance pay provision; and modifying the pay-step increase for the Property Appraiser Certification.</i> • <i>Discussion ensued between BCC members and staff concerning the proposed changes in foregoing proposed resolution and what impact those changes would have with regard to employees.</i> • <i>The Mayor noted the following changes: management rights were restored to the level that allowed the Mayor to take action when employees failed to perform their assigned jobs properly.</i>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BCC members expressed opposition to restoring the \$50 Flex Pay concession to employees for simply reporting to work and addressed the issue of the Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) being returned to employees contingent upon a growth in property taxes of 13 percent or more.
11A2 160469	RESOLUTION APPROVING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, CITY OF MIAMI AND CITY OF MIAMI BEACH FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BEACH CORRIDOR DIRECT CONNECTION PROJECT IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM ATTACHED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a Memorandum of Understanding between Miami-Dade County, Florida Department of Transportation, City of Miami, and City of Miami Beach for the development of the Beach Corridor Direct Connection Project. The Beach Corridor Direct Connection Project would provide transportation alternatives for a mass transit connection between Downtown Miami, Midtown Miami, and Miami Beach.</p> <p>Background: Miami Beach, downtown Miami, and Midtown Miami are commercial and residential communities and are among the most congested areas of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On February 4, 2016, the Metropolitan Planning Organization's Policy Executive Committee, consisting of the Mayors of Miami-Dade County, Miami, and Miami Beach and the County Commissioners representing Districts 5 and 7 unanimously supported a Memorandum of understanding for the Beach Corridor Direct Connection Project.</p>
11A3 160811	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO HAVE THE MIAMI- DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT DEVELOP AN EXPEDITED PLAN TO ESTABLISH A NEW HOTEL AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT A REPORT ON THE EXPEDITED PLAN TO ESTABLISH A NEW HOTEL AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WITHIN 60 DAYS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to have the Miami-Dade Aviation Department develop an expedited plan to establish a new 3-star or 4-star hotel at Miami International Airport (MIA). The plan will include, among other things, (1) identification of available space at MIA, (2) the costs associated with establishing, managing and operating a new hotel, (3) identification of potential funding sources, and (4) a timeline for development and commencement of operations.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or designee to submit a report on the expedited plan to establish a new 3-star or 4-star hotel at MIA to the BCC within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution. In the event that the County Mayor or the designee concludes that such plan is not feasible, the reasons for such conclusion will be included in the report.</p> <p>Additional Information On April 5, 2016, the BCC through Resolution No. R-263-16, rejected four (4) proposals received by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) for Request for Proposals (RFP) No. MDAD-06-14 from the following firms for the Operation of the MIA Hotel and Related Amenities: Pyramid Advisors LP (d/b/a Pyramid Hotel Group) (Pyramid); Driftwood Hospitality Management II, LLC (Driftwood); MIA Hotel Partners, LLC; and Fincord, LLC.</p> <p>The RFP for the subject project was advertised on October 3, 2014 for a qualified firm to manage, operate, and maintain a first-class, full-service hotel and related amenities at MIA. On November 24, 2014, proposals were received from the four (4) firms listed above. Three (3) of the four (4) firms were found non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office. The Evaluation/Selection Committee met on April 14, 2015, to review the sole remaining responsive proposal submitted by Pyramid, and again for an oral presentation on April 30, 2015. The Committee recommended award of the agreement to Pyramid and reminded Pyramid of the obligation to provide, as a condition of award, the required labor peace agreement. Since that time, there have been numerous communications between Pyramid and the labor union Unite Here in attempts to achieve a labor peace agreement, without success. Accordingly, MDAD is presenting this recommendation to reject and will evaluate options moving forward.</p> <p>H.I. Development remains as the current MIA Hotel operator. There are no plans to rebid at this time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to MDAD, they are reviewing other options that might be available.
11A4 160754	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MUTUAL TERMINATION OF OKEECHOBEE METRORAIL STATION TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF HIALEAH, INCLUDING REIMBURSEMENT TO THE CITY OF HIALEAH OF \$91,321.26 FOR EXPENSES INCURRED TO BE FUNDED FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS SET FORTH THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Mutual Termination of the Okeechobee Metrorail Station Transit Oriented Development Lease Agreement with the City, including the reimbursement to the City of \$91,321.26 for expenses incurred by the City to date to be funded from the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 249.</p> <p>Background: The land at the Okeechobee Metrorail Station has remained underdeveloped since the station's opening in 1985. In furtherance of the development of affordable housing at the Okeechobee Metrorail Station, Miami-Dade County entered into a Lease Agreement with the City of Hialeah (City) in 2014, in order to develop affordable housing at the Station. Since 2014, the City has expended funds in furtherance of the development of affordable housing at the Okeechobee Metrorail Station but the City and the County have now mutually determined and agreed to terminate the Lease Agreement so as to allow the County to proceed with the expeditious development of affordable housing at the Station.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On November 6, 2001, the BCC, through R-1251-01, authorized the advertisement and issuance of Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 311 for joint development at Metrorail Stations, including the Okeechobee Metrorail Station. On January 29, 2002, the BCC, through R-100-02, suspended the solicitation process for the Okeechobee Station and directed the County Manager to enter into negotiations with the developer, Jubilee Community Development Corporation. As part of the resolution, Jubilee was required to conduct a competitive process in order to acquire a development partner and as a result of that competitive process, Gatehouse Group, Inc. was chosen.</p> <p>The Developer and County negotiated the project for 60 months but the parties were unable to reach a mutually acceptable agreement that would guarantee that the project would be completed in a timely basis.</p> <p>At that time, Miami-Dade County received an unsolicited proposal from the City of Hialeah, proposing to build an elderly residential project consisting of 3 residential towers of approximately 345 units collectively and space for commercial uses. Upon termination of negotiations with Jubilee, MDT will enter into discussions with the City.</p> <p>On July 24, 2007, the BCC, through R-903-07, terminated negotiations for joint development between Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and Jubilee Community Development Corporation. According to R-903-07, this item would have a positive fiscal impact, either the County would be receiving lease payments or purchase payment based on Fair Market Value of the property as appraised by a County approved independent appraiser. These funds would be applied towards MDT's deficit reduction plan.</p> <p>On June 3, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-532-14, approved the Lease Agreement between the County and City of Hialeah regarding joint development at Okeechobee Metrorail Station site. Additionally, the proposed resolution approved District 13 allocation from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program of \$5,592,000 to City of Hialeah as a grant to fund the first phase, consisting of sixty (60) affordable, elderly rental housing units of approx. 742 sq. ft., at the Okeechobee Site.</p> <p>Highlights from the Lease Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term of the Lease is 99 years; • Rent was to be \$1.00; • Tenant will construct 180 Senior Affordable Housing units within nine (9) years; • Senior Affordable Housing is housing occupied solely by persons who are 62 years old or older, or housing occupied by at least one person who is 55 years old or older in at least 80% of the occupied units, and where the owner/operator adheres to a policy that demonstrates an intent to house persons who are 55 years old or older; • Total annual household incomes do not exceed 65% of the area median income of Miami-Dade County; and • Phase I- sixty (60) units, including required parking. Must obtain building permit within twelve (12) months of Commencement Date and completion must occur within 36 months of Commencement Date. <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-420-15, approved the First Amendment to the Okeechobee Metrorail Station Transit Oriented Development Lease Agreement between the County and the City of Hialeah (City).</p> <p>The County and the City wished to enter into the First Amendment to reduce the number of senior affordable units from 180 to 98. However, the City proposed to proceed with the sixty (60) affordable senior units, including related parking, walkways and greenery, in Phase I which has received an allocation of \$5,592,000 from the County's Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program.</p>
11A5 160761	RESOLUTION CREATING THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TASK FORCE; PROVIDING FOR MEMBERSHIP, ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES AND SETTING FORTH PURPOSE, FUNCTION, RESPONSIBILITY AND SUNSET PROVISION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution creates the Professional Services Task Force (Task Force).</p> <p>Miami-Dade County purchases of architectural, engineering and other professional services covered under Section 287.055 of the Florida Statutes, the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA). The CCNA provides criteria for selection of firms to deliver professional services including ability of professional personnel, past performance, location, workload and work previously awarded to a firm, with a view to effect an "equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms".</p> <p>Purpose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the County's existing legislation, administrative practices and procedures, and competitive solicitation documents for the purchase of professional services covered under the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA), including without limitation, Section 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and Administrative Order 3-32; • Offer recommendations for their improvement to achieve a more equitable distribution of County contracts, all consistent with the intent and the requirements of the CCNA; • Evaluate the existing local preference and the possibility of creating a locally headquartered preference for professional firms, defining the thresholds and other parameters of such proposed enactments; • Evaluate the efficacy of the County's local preference program; • Consider whether the local business tax receipt continues to be the most effective method of identifying local businesses eligible for preferential treatment in the procurement process. If not, the Task Force will make recommendations concerning alternative methods of identifying local businesses; and • Consider and evaluate comparable models for the procurement and contracting of professional services.

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Membership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Composed of not fewer than 13 or more than 26 members; • Contain professional representatives of firms delivering services under the CCNA, including firms with offices in the County which are not locally headquartered and locally headquartered firms. • Contain a balance of the professions regulated by the CCNA. • Will be representative of the community at large and should reflect the gender, racial, ethnic and cultural makeup of the community; • The members will be appointed in the following manner: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Within 20 days of the effective date of this resolution each member of the BCC may nominate persons to be considered for service on the Task Force. Nominations will be submitted to the Clerk of the Board; ○ Within 20 days of the effective date of this resolution interested persons may submit their qualifications to the Clerk of the Board to be considered for service on the Task Force; ○ In the event that within 30 days following the effective date of this resolution the Clerk of the Board has not received sufficient number of nominations to constitute the Board, the Clerk will immediately provide the BCC with written notice and the deadline for nominations and submissions will be extended for an additional 15 days following such notice or notices; ○ Upon receipt of sufficient nominations the Clerk of the Board will place an item on the agenda of the BCC's next regularly scheduled meeting for the BCC's selection of the members of the Task Force; ○ In making the selection of who will serve on the Task Force the BCC will consider, among other factors, the member making the nomination, the availability of nominees, and other criteria set forth in this resolution; and ○ Any member vacancies will be filled in the manner provided for in the initial appointment. <p>Conduct of Meetings The Task Force:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will select one member to serve as chairperson and one member to serve as the vice-chairperson; • Maintain a quorum consisting of a majority of persons appointed; • Will adopt any rules necessary for the conduct of its meetings; • Members will serve without compensation; • Proceedings will be conducted in accordance with the Government in the Sunshine Law (Section 286.011 Fla. Stats.) and the Citizens Bill of Rights of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter; • Will be an "agency" for all purposes under the Public Records Law; • Will be governed by all applicable State and County conflict of interest laws, including the Miami-Dade County Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance, Section 2-11.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County; and • Will meet within 15 days of the appointment of its members, and will meet no less than every 30 days from the date of the initial meeting. Additional meetings may be held at the discretion of the Task Force. <p>Reports The Task Force will provide its final report to the BCC, containing the Task Force's findings and recommendations consistent with this resolution, within 180 days following its initial meeting. The report will be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 for consideration by the BCC. The BCC may then request such further work of the Task Force as may be in the public interest.</p> <p>Staff The Task Force will be provided adequate staff and support services by the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee. The staff will maintain records of the Task Force, in coordination with the chairperson, prepare agendas and minutes of Task Force meetings and perform such other duties as may be reasonably required to conduct the affairs of the Task Force subject to budgetary limitations. The County Attorney's Office will provide legal counsel, as needed, to the Task Force.</p> <p>Sunset The Task Force will sunset and stand dismissed 300 days following the effective date of this resolution unless the BCC extends the term of service by majority vote.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Equitable Distribution Program:</u> According to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department, the EDP establishes a pool of local A&E firms and provides a process to equitably distribute the County's capital improvements projects per state Statute 287.055 with an estimated construction cost of less than \$2 million and up to \$200,000 in professional fees for study activities.</p> <p>The program was created and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) in June 2001 to increase contracting opportunities to more community based A&E firms, as well as afford County department's access to qualified professionals for smaller projects in an expedited fashion without necessitating a formal solicitation.</p> <p>Administrative Order 3-39 establishes the policy and procedures for the EDP and the Internal Services Department (ISD)/EDP is responsible for the administration of the EDP Program, including processing the PSA's. The program is structured to distribute projects in all County technical certifications to the program participants through a centralized rotational system. County agencies are to utilize the EDP Program</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>for those capital improvement projects that do not exceed the thresholds for continuous services contracts in accordance with Florida State Statute 287.055, unless provided otherwise by ISD. All qualified A & E firms with a local Miami-Dade office may potentially participate in the EDP program.</p> <p>Program statistics as of January 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 500 firms have been placed in the program • Currently there are 380+ active EDP Firms. • Over 1,900 EDP work assignments have been distributed through the EDP with potential fees over \$150 million. • 173 Work assignments were distributed in FY 2014 with potential fees of \$16.5 million. • 90% of the Community Business Enterprises (CBE) in the program have received work. • More than 240 firms of the 380 had never received County A&E work when they entered the program. Approx. 80% of the firms have now received work. <p>Highlights of EDP provided by ISD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the centralized rotational system there are pools of firms in each technical certification category. When there is a request for a project, the database selects the next three qualified firms in the ranking in the technical area by a formula. • All technical categories are assigned to Architects and Engineers pursuant to their approved Pre-Qualification Certification to provide professional services for the County. • The rotational value/ranking is determined by a mathematical formula: 65% of a firms 3 year net award plus 100% amount actually paid. The firms with less opportunities with the County are more likely to be considered for a project. • The eligibility requirements to be an EDP Member are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Firms must a valid Pre-Qualification Certification (PQC); ○ Firms must have a place of business in Dade County; and ○ Firms must have been in business a minimum of 1 year. • Firms must apply to become a member. The application is posted on the web. A firm can submit an applications at any time provided they meet the minimum qualifications. • Projects distributed to firms in EDP do not require BCC approval. • Potential service fees are the most current fee that the Department has provided. <p><u>Additional Information – Local Preference Ordinance:</u></p> <p><i>Sec. 2-8.5. - Procedure to provide preference to local business in county contracts.</i></p> <p>(1) <i>Definitions.</i></p> <p>(a) <i>General services means support services performed by an independent contractor requiring specialized knowledge, experience, or expertise that includes, but is not limited, to pest control, janitorial, laundry, catering, security, lawn maintenance and maintenance of equipment.</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Goods includes, but is not limited to, supplies, equipment, materials and printed matter.</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Local business means the vendor has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission, and a physical business address located within the limits of Miami-Dade County from which the vendor operates or performs business. Firms who provide goods or services which are exempt from Miami-Dade Business Tax Receipt requirements shall be required to submit documentation, to the County's satisfaction, demonstrating the physical business presence of the firm within the limits of Miami-Dade County for at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission. Post Office Boxes are not verifiable and shall not be used for the purpose of establishing said physical address. In addition to the foregoing, a vendor shall not be considered a "local business" unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to, the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to the County's tax base. Vendors shall affirm in writing their compliance with the foregoing at the time of submitting their bid or proposal to be eligible for consideration as a "local business" under this section. A vendor who misrepresents the status of its firm under this Section in a proposal or bid submitted to the County will lose the privilege to claim any preference under this Section for a period of up to one year. The County Mayor, in his discretion, may also recommend that the firm be referred for debarment in accordance with Section 2-8.4.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</i></p> <p>(d) <i>Locally Headquartered Business means a Local Business as defined in this Section which has a Principal Place of Business in Miami-Dade County.</i></p> <p>(e) <i>Principal Place of Business means the nerve center or the center of overall direction, control, and coordination of the activities of the bidder. If the bidder has only one business location, such business location shall be its principal place of business.</i></p> <p>(f) <i>Professional services includes any services where the County is obtaining advice, instruction, or specialized work from an individual, firm, or corporation specifically qualified in a particular area.</i></p> <p>(2) <i>Preference in purchase of personal property, general services, professional services, the purchase of or contract for construction or renovation of public works or improvements, and in the purchase of personal property, general services or professional services by means of competitive bid, request for proposals, qualifications or other submittals and competitive negotiation and selection. Except where federal or state law, or any other funding source, mandates to the contrary, Miami-Dade County and its agencies and instrumentalities, including the Public Health Trust, [shall give] preference to local businesses in the following manner:</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>(a) <i>Competitive bid. In any competitive bid process where award, if any, is to be made to the responsive and responsible bidder offering the lowest bid (the "Low Bidder" and "Low Bid" respectively), the following shall apply:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>If the Low Bidder is not a Local Business, then any and all responsive and responsible Local Businesses submitting a price within ten percent of the Low Bid, the Low Bidder, and any and all responsive and responsible Locally Headquartered Businesses submitting a price within fifteen percent of the Low Bid, shall have an opportunity to submit a best and final bid equal to or lower than the Low Bid.</i> 2. <i>If the Low Bidder is a Local Business which is not a Locally Headquartered Business, then any and all responsive and responsible Locally Headquartered Businesses submitting a price within five percent of the Low Bid, and the Low Bidder shall have an opportunity to submit a best and final bid equal to or lower than the Low Bid.</i> 3. <i>Award, if any, shall be made to the responsive and responsible bidder offering the lowest best and final bid.</i> 4. <i>Ties in best and final bid shall be resolved in the following order of priority: Locally Headquartered Business, Local Business, other business.</i> 5. <i>If no best and final bid is required in accordance with the provisions above, award, if any, shall be made to the Low Bidder.</i> <p><i>In revenue producing contracts, where award, if any, is to be made to the bidder returning the highest amount to the County, the same preferences set forth above shall be applied by reference to the highest bid.</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Request for proposals, qualifications or other qualitative submittals and competitive negotiation and selection. If, following the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the selection committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer, and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, then the highest ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations or advance to the next step in the solicitation process with the County under the applicable sections of this Code.</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Professional services procured pursuant to Section 287.055, Florida Statutes. The application of local preference to professional services procured pursuant to Section 287.055, Florida Statutes shall be in accordance with the process outlined in Section 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</i></p> <p><i>If following the application of the rules above, a tie occurs between two (2) or more local businesses, then contract award on the basis of best and final bids (paragraph (a) above), or the opportunity to proceed to negotiations or advance to the next step in the solicitation process (paragraph (b) above), shall be made to such local business having the greatest number of its employees that are Miami-Dade County residents.</i></p> <p>(3) <i>Waiver of the application of local preference. The application of Local Preference to a particular purchase or contract for which the Board of County Commissioners is the awarding authority may be waived upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners. The application of Local Preference to a particular purchase or contract for which the County Mayor is the awarding authority may be waived upon written recommendation of the Director of Procurement Management or successor and approval of the County Mayor.</i></p> <p>(4) <i>Reserved.</i></p> <p>(5) <i>Comparison of qualifications. The preferences established herein in no way prohibit the right of the Board of County Commissioners to compare quality of materials proposed for purchase and compare qualifications, character, responsibility and fitness of all persons, firms or corporations submitting bids or proposals. Further, the preferences established herein in no way prohibit the right of the County Commission from giving any other preference permitted by law instead of the preferences granted herein.</i></p> <p>(6) <i>Reciprocity. In the event Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County extends preferences to local businesses, Miami-Dade County may enter into an interlocal agreement with such County wherein the preferences of this section may be extended and made available to vendors that have a valid occupational license issued by Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County to do business in that County that authorizes the vendor to provide the goods, services, or construction to be purchased, and a physical business address located within the limits of that County. Post Office Boxes are not verifiable and shall not be used for the purpose of establishing said physical address. In addition to the foregoing, a vendor shall not be considered a "local business" unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County, whichever is applicable, in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to, the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to that County's tax base. Vendors shall affirm in writing their compliance with the foregoing at the time of submitting their bid or proposal to be eligible for consideration as a "local business" under this section. In no event shall the amount of the preference accorded Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County firms exceed the amount of preference that such County extends to Miami-Dade County firms competing for its contracts.</i></p> <p>(7) <i>Exemption of certain contracts. The provisions of this section shall not apply, and no local preference shall be accorded hereunder, to prime County or Public Health Trust construction contracts whose estimated cost is five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) or less which have been set aside for competition solely for CSBEs (Community Small Business Enterprises) under Section 10-33.02 of this Code.</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	(8) <i>Implementation by certain County agencies. Miami-Dade County agencies and instrumentalities with the independent power to contract, such as the Public Health Trust, shall adopt rules and procedures implementing this Section. For the purposes of such rules and procedures, where this section provides the Board of County Commissioners with implementing authority, the Board of Trustees shall exercise such authority, and where this section provides the County Mayor with authority, the President or Executive Director shall exercise such authority.</i>
11A6 160763	RESOLUTION APPROVING PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDING RECOMMENDATION IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$1,050,000.00, IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDS TO ORCHID ESTATES HOUSING PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD SUCH FUNDS, TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, SHELL CONTRACTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the proposed Fiscal Year 2015 Documentary Stamp Surtax funding recommendation in the amount of \$1,050,000.00 in Fiscal Year 2015 Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) Funds associated with Fiscal Year 2015 Request for Applications to Orchid Estates, Ltd. For the Orchid Estates multifamily affordable rental housing development located at the SW corner of SW 146 Ct. and SW 264 Street, Naranja, Florida in Commission District 8.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On April 5, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-286-16, approved the issuance of Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds by the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,500,000 for the construction of the Orchid Estates Apartments.</p>
11A7 160913	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR COUNTY COMMISSION APPROVALS RELATED TO NEW AND EXISTING COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DENY CERTAIN REQUESTS FROM COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES THAT ARE DEEMED APPROVED BY LAW, IF NOT APPROVED WITHIN THE ALLOWED TIMEFRAME [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160467]
Notes	The proposed resolution establishes guidelines for the County Commission approvals related to new and existing community redevelopment agencies and directs the County Mayor to deny certain requests from community redevelopment agencies if not approved within the allowed timeframe.
11A9 161026	RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO ALLOCATE FUNDING TO SUPPORT A NEW VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL CLINIC IN HOMESTEAD, FLORIDA, TO SERVE ACTIVE DUTY, RESERVE AND RETIRED MILITARY PERSONNEL IN SOUTH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the United States Congress and the United States Department of Veterans Affairs to allocate funding to support a new Veterans Affairs medical clinic in Homestead, Florida to service active duty, reserve and retired military personnel in South Miami-Dade County; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to Senators Bill Nelson and Marco Rubio, the members of the Miami-Dade County Congressional Delegation and the United States Secretary of Veterans Affairs and • Directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the funding and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 Federal Legislative Package to include this item and to include this item in the 2017 Federal Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC. <p><u>Background:</u> The Veterans Health Administration is America's largest integrated health care system with over 1,700 sites of care serving 8.76 million veterans each year. The Homestead Veterans Affairs Community Based Outpatient Clinic rents a medical office that is inadequate to meet the needs of the increasing military and veteran population and does not offer emergency services.</p> <p>Congresswoman Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R – Florida) collaborated with the South Dade Chamber of Commerce Military Affairs Committee to promote efforts to establish a new Veterans Affairs clinic in Homestead, Florida.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - The Homestead VA Community Based Outpatient Clinic¹:</u> Services Available The Homestead VA Community Based Outpatient Clinic offers the following services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alcohol and Drug Screening • Ancillary Testing • Electrocardiogram (EKG) • Internal Medicine • Laboratory (phlebotomy) • Medication Management and Monitoring • Mental Health Services • Pharmaceuticals • Primary Care • Preventive Care Services

¹ <http://www.miami.va.gov/locations/Homestead.asp>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vaccinations • Women's Health Care <p>* Emergency services are not available.</p> <p>Registration and Enrollment Pre-registration at this clinic is required. Patients not previously registered may do so at this location and will be given an appointment for a later date.</p> <p>Pharmacy Services Initial prescriptions for medications will be provided during the clinic visit. Medications for continuous therapy will be provided through the prescription mail out program. Requests for prescription refills will be mailed to the Miami VA Healthcare System's Pharmacy Service or handled through the automated telephone system.</p>
11A10 160757	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CREATE AND PUBLISH THROUGH THE SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION A LIST OF PROJECTED CAPITAL PROJECTS WHICH WILL BE PROCURED WITHIN THE TWO YEARS AND TO UPDATE SUCH LIST ON A BIENNIAL BASIS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor, or the designee, and the Public Health Trust to create a list of all projected capital projects, to include, but not be limited to, a scope of work for each project, whether the project is funded or not, the required trades and sub-trades, and the estimated cost of the project, that the County or the Public Health Trust expects to procure within the next two years and provide such list to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department for publication on the Division's website and for distribution to business and trade associations.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee and the Public Health Trust are further directed to update such list as new information becomes available or at least on a biannual basis prior to each six month anniversary of the effective date of this Resolution and provide such updated list for publication and distribution to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department.</p>
11A11 160756	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE GROUND LEASE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE ART OF CULTURAL EVOLUTION, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A PUBLIC ART AND SCIENCE FACILITY AND PROVIDING FOR A THREE MONTH EXTENSION OF CERTAIN DEADLINES SET FORTH THEREIN, WITH AN OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL THREE MONTH EXTENSION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE UPON A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 550 NW 22 STREET LOCATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN AND TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves terms and authorizes execution by the County Mayor of a Second Amendment to the Ground Lease between Miami-Dade County and the Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the construction, operation and maintenance of a public art and science facility and providing for a three (3) month extension of certain deadlines with an optional additional three (3) month extension by the County Mayor upon showing of good cause.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On April 8, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-351-14, approved the terms and authorized the execution, by the County Mayor or designee, of a Lease Agreement with the Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. for the development, operation and maintenance of an art and environmental research and educational facility. The County owned vacant property, located at 550 NW 22nd Street, Miami, Florida, would be leased at no cost to The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc.</p> <p>The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. is an art and environmental Florida not-for-profit corporation, organized for community interests and welfare purposes of fostering a sustainable future through the merging of contemporary art, urban farming, and environmental education.</p> <p>The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. wishes to construct an art and environmental research and educational facility in order to provide a centralized hub for collaboration and education of the public regarding conservation, ecology, and the environment, including residency programs, on-going workshops, community outreach programs, and urban farming in order to promote sustainability in the local community and to South Florida. The Lease requires the completion of the construction of the planned facility within three years, and further restricts the use, assignment, and subleasing of the Property to ensure compliance with the intent of the BCC.</p> <p>If all necessary permits to commence construction are not obtained within 18 months of the Commencement Date of this Lease, the Lease will automatically terminate.</p> <p>The permitted use of the Property will be a community garden, public art and science facility, sustainable demonstrations for educational programming and a dry goods store. Pursuant to the Property Appraiser's website, the 2013 Market Value for this property was \$303,600.00. The 2015 Market Value is \$483,000.</p> <p>On December 1, 2015, the BCC through Resolution No. R- 1108-15, approved the terms of and authorized an Amendment to the Lease between Miami-Dade County and The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc., providing a six month extension of the date that permits must be obtained from November 11, 2015 to May 11, 2016, and a six month extension for completion of the Project from May 11, 2017 to November 11, 2017.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A12 160945	RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 320 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, APPROVING ALLOCATION, SUBJECT TO RECAPTURE, OF UP TO \$2,000,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO TACOLCY TUSCANY COVE I, LLC TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160759]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives the requirement in the Administrative Rules that the maximum aggregate amount of Project 320 allocations to each Targeted Urban Area be capped at \$3,000,000.00, for Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC with regard to the Project and an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC for the Project is approved, subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Tuscany Cove and the Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Should the BCC also approve the allocation of up to \$1,100,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Jessie Trice Community Health Center Inc. (File No. 160759) at the same BCC meeting at which this Resolution is adopted, the Recaptured Funds be allocated first to the Jessie Trice Community Health Center Inc. up to \$1,100,000.00 and then subsequently to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC up to \$2,000,000.00. • Approves allocation, subject to recapture, of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC; The allocation to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC will be made from any remaining Project 320 funds that have been unallocated as of the effective date of this resolution and any remaining balance will be allocated from Recaptured Funds, if any. • Directs County Mayor or designee to negotiate terms of related Grant Agreement pursuant to Bond Program's Administrative Rules and present such Grant Agreement or, alternatively, a report for consideration by the BCC. <p>The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 320 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to each project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</p>
11A13 160124	RESOLUTION REQUIRING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ATTACH TO ALL ITEMS RECOMMENDING DESIGN AND/OR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARDS OF \$1,000,000.00 OR GREATER A LIST OF ALL COUNTY CONTRACTS AWARDED IN THE PREVIOUS THREE YEARS TO THE RECOMMENDED CONTRACTOR AND A SUMMARY OF COUNTY EVALUATIONS OF THE RECOMMENDED CONTRACTOR'S WORK; AND REQUIRING ALL COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO COMPLETE CONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS BEFORE CLOSING OUT A CONTRACT AND MAKING FINAL PAYMENT TO A CONTRACTOR
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution requires the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to attach to all items seeking approval of a design and/or construction contract award of \$1,000,000.00 or greater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A list of all contracts that the recommended contractor has been awarded at the County for the prior three years; and • A summary of the County evaluations for contracts awarded for the prior three years that are available on the Internal Services Department database for the recommended contractor. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution requires that, as of the Effective Date of this Resolution, prior to closing a County contract and making a final payment to the contractor, all applicable County departments must complete an evaluation of the contractor's work and ensure that such evaluation is accessible on the Internal Services Department evaluation database.</p> <p>Background: The Internal Services Department of the County maintains a database that identifies all County contracts awarded to a contractor and tracks the County evaluations received by that contractor. For every County project, an evaluation of the contractor's work should be performed and added to the Internal Services Department's database before the contract is closed and final payment to the contractor is made.</p> <p>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion: During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on February 9, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee inquired whether this resolution constituted bringing more requirements to a process that was trying to be simplified; noted this was additional bureaucracy to the procurement process.</i> • <i>The Committee suggested reviewing the current process before adding anything more.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that contractors were being paid without having a final evaluation completed; this was an effort to prevent contractors from being paid until the final evaluation of the project was completed.</i> • <i>The Committee inquired whether this would hurt the procurement process, to which the Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) noted this legislation helped enforce an existing policy.</i> • <i>The Committee suggested enlisting the help of the Beacon Council to create an engineering cluster.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that it wanted to understand the cost and the value of things and made a motion to defer the foregoing proposed resolution.</i> <p>The Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee (SPGOC) meeting on March 15, 2016 was canceled. File No. 160124 was forwarded to the BCC with favorable recommendation at the April 12, 2016 SPGOC meeting.</p>
11A15 160493	RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$1,100,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO JESSIE TRICE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER INC. TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves an allocation of \$1,100,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Directs the County Mayor to complete the negotiation of the terms of a Grant Agreement with Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. pursuant to the Administrative Rules within six months from the effective date of this resolution and to present the negotiated Grant Agreement to the BCC for its consideration. Provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of the Grant Agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead at its next scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$15 million was allocated towards the Economic Development in the Targeted Urban Areas Fund Project 320. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that govern Fund Project 320 were adopted by the BCC under Resolution No. R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.</p> <p>Funding from grant awards under Project 320 must be used for public infrastructure to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. Public infrastructure includes road improvements, water and sewer networks, public parking structures, public facilities and other improvements subject to certain limitations and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible uses of the grant awards from Project 320 include, but are not limited to, working capital, furniture and fixtures, business equipment, and non-capital related expenses.</p> <p>The grant awards are disbursed as reimbursements of eligible expenditures paid by the grantees and approved by the Mayor or his designee in accordance with established administrative and IRS rules governing the tax-exempt status of the General Obligation Bond Program. The disbursement of grant funds are also contingent upon the developer meeting performance measures for job creation, private investment, average salaries and other measures in the respective grant agreements. In the event that IRS rules require grant funds to be disbursed before performance milestones can be met, a clawback provision will be included in the grant agreement that allows the County to recover part or all of the grant funds disbursed when performance milestones are not achieved.</p> <p>Prior to entering into grant agreements, a final determination as to the projects qualifications to receive the funds will be made. The grant agreements will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date. If an agreement cannot be finalized, the funding may be reallocated by the BCC. If the allocations are greater than the amount of eligible public infrastructure expenditures needed, the difference will be available for reallocation by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 320 Allocations</u></p> <p>The BCC has approved various resolutions (see below) allocating funding from the BBC GOB, EDF Fund Project 320. The resolutions also directed the Mayor or his designee to begin negotiating the terms of grant agreements that will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-900-14- Wynwood Plaza Project- District 3- \$3,000,000; • R-901-14- Miami Design District NE 2nd Avenue Project- District 3- \$2,000,000; • R-902-14- Regional Health and Wellness Center and the Culinary Enterprise Center- District 1- \$3,000,000; (Rescinded); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-427-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and approved an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Seventh Avenue I, Ltd.</i> ○ <i>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-432-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and allocated up to \$2,800,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Urban Health and Wellness Group for the Wellness Center Project. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Urban Health and Wellness Group.</i> • R-903-14- Sunshine Plaza Project- District 2- \$2,000,000; • R-904-14- St. James Smokehouse- District 2- \$200,000 (Project Withdrawn); • R-905-14- Grove Village on Grand- District 7- \$3,000,000 (Rescinded); and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-909-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-905-14, which allocated \$3,000,000 of Project 320 funds to Grove Village on Grand.</i> • R-225-16- Homestead Downtown Revitalization- \$1,500,000.
<p>11A16 160752</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXPEDITIOUSLY DEVELOP AND ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST ("EOI") FOR SEVERAL PARCELS COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS SOUTH DADE GOVERNMENT CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A SOUTH DADE CULTURAL ARTS VILLAGE AND, UPON RECEIPT THEREOF, TO DEVELOP AND ISSUE A SOLICITATION TO</p>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	SELECT ONE OR MORE PROPOSERS TO DEVELOP AND OPERATE FACILITIES WITHIN THE CULTURAL ARTS VILLAGE; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REPORT TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS ON THE STATUS OF THE EOI AND SOLICITATION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to expeditiously develop and advertise a request for Expressions of Interest (EOI) for several parcels commonly referred to as South Dade Government Center for development of a South Dade Cultural Arts Village and, upon receipt and evaluation of responses, to develop and issue a solicitation to select one or more proposers to develop and operate facilities within the Cultural Arts Village.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or designee to report to the BCC within 120 days on the status of the EOI and solicitation.</p>
11A17 160276	RESOLUTION CREATING THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY "SENIORS WHO SERVE" VOLUNTEER AWARD PROGRAM; ESTABLISHING THE PROGRAM RULES; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO FURTHER DEVELOP THE AWARD PROGRAM, INCLUDING DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROGRAM APPLICATION AND GUIDELINES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution creates the "Seniors Who Serve" Volunteer Award program. Specifically, the program will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Honor senior citizen residents of the County for exceptional volunteer service in the County; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Special emphasis will be made for seniors who dedicate their time, talents, and lifelong experiences to benefit the County's most vulnerable residents: at-risk youth, elderly residents, or the homeless. • Annually honor at least one senior citizen in each category: homelessness, at-risk youth, and elderly. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Volunteers may be nominated by County residents, the County Mayor, a County Commissioner, or themselves. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further develop the program, including the program application, guidelines, and verifiable criteria to be established to show the exceptional nature of each nominee's service; • Empanel a selection committee each year to select award recipients from those nominated; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The award will include recognition of each award recipient with a personal profile on miamidade.gov and the Miami-Dade TV station. • Promote the program by establishing a marketing campaign, which will include the creation of a "Seniors Who Serve" page on miamidade.gov, which will include nomination forms. The program will continue in perpetuity.
11A18 160739	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE REGULAR NOTICE TO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PENDING QUASI-JUDICIAL ITEMS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to compile a list of all pending quasi-judicial matters and to furnish that list to each County Commissioner on a monthly basis. Each monthly list will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All quasi-judicial items filed in the prior month over which the BCC has direct jurisdiction or may have direct jurisdiction based on the procedures outlined in the Miami-Dade County Code; and • Any quasi-judicial items over which the BCC has appellate jurisdiction, where an appeal or objection to a lower board's determination has been filed in the prior month. <p>The format of these reports may vary, but they should be prepared so that each application or matter, and the parties to that application or matter, are easily identifiable. The reports may list the general type or category of item (for example, zoning), but will exclude the substance of each application or matter.</p> <p>Background: The BCC sits in various capacities, including, at times, in a quasi-judicial capacity. When the BCC sits as a quasi-judicial body, a public hearing is held on an application or matter affecting the rights or obligations of a particular party, and the judgment of the BCC is contingent on the showing made at the hearing. Oftentimes, the BCC is not formally made aware that a particular item is quasi-judicial until shortly before the meeting at which it is heard, when the agenda showing the item is released.</p>
11A19 160323	RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – "PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES"; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$1,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO A HEALTH CARE FACILITY TO BE LOCATED IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 6; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AND SEEK TO CONTRACT WITH A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CARE FACILITY OPERATOR FOR DEVELOPMENT OR EXPANSION OF SUCH HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN DISTRICT 6 AND TO REPORT BACK TO BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS ON STATUS OF THIS DIRECTIVE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities; • Approves an allocation of \$1,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to fund a health care facility to be located in Commission District 6; • Directs the County Mayor or designee to identify and seek to contract with an operator of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) for the development and/or expansion of an FQHC in District 6, which facility will be funded, in part, with the \$1,000,000.00 allocation; and • Directs the County Mayor to report back to the BCC within 90 days on the status of this directive.
11A20 160486	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COORDINATE AND COLLABORATE WITH MUNICIPALITIES WITHIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ON SEA LEVEL RISE AND RELATED ISSUES; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT FOR THIS BOARD

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to collaborate and coordinate with all municipalities within Miami-Dade County on sea level rise and related issues, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communicating and coordinating about the ways in which the County is preparing for and adapting to the potential effects of sea level rise; Encouraging municipalities to address sea level rise issues proactively; and Maintaining communication and dialog with municipalities on sea level rise issues. <p>The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will prepare a report regarding the County's cooperation and collaboration with municipalities, as directed in this Resolution, and how those efforts have progressed as of the date of this report. This report will also include recommendations as to how to improve or facilitate such cooperation and collaboration with municipalities, and the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide this report to the BCC within one year of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background: In 2013, pursuant to Resolution No. R-599-13, the BCC created the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force. The BCC subsequently adopted multiple resolutions in order to implement the recommendations of the Sea Level Rise Task Force and to incorporate the consideration of sea level rise across multiple areas of County government.</p>
11A21 160748	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COLLABORATE WITH THE STATE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS TO DEVELOP A CURRICULUM TO EDUCATE STUDENTS ON HUMAN TRAFFICKING</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to collaborate with the State Attorney's Office and Miami-Dade County Public Schools to develop a curriculum to educate students on human trafficking.</p> <p>The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee is further directed to submit a status report to the BCC within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution describing the efforts undertaken to develop said curriculum. The completed report will be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background: Human trafficking is a form of modern-day slavery where individuals are often sold or forced into labor or sexual exploitation, which includes, but is not limited to, domestic servitude, restaurant work, janitorial work, sweatshop factory work, migrant agricultural work, prostitution, and work in the sexual entertainment industry. The individuals who profit from this deplorable practice often prey upon and manipulate the most vulnerable in our society by making victims fear that they may suffer from serious physical harm or legal coercion if they attempt to seek help or escape their conditions.</p> <p>A 2001 study conducted by the University of Pennsylvania estimated that between 244,000 and 325,000 children in America are at risk each year of becoming victims of commercial sexual exploitation. The children used for commercial sex trafficking are, on average, no more than 12-13 years old and are often victims of prior physical, psychological, and sexual abuse, poverty, unaddressed trauma, and/or low self-esteem. A 2011 report by the Department of Justice's Bureau of Judicial Statistics also found that nearly half of all incidents of sex trafficking in the United States involve victims under the age of 18.</p> <p>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation: On February 2, 2016, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 16-17, created Section 21-31.5 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring the posting of human trafficking public awareness signs at adult entertainment establishments and certain massage or bodywork services establishments.</p> <p>On March 8, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-236-16, directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to determine the public areas at County facilities where human trafficking awareness signs can be most effectively displayed and to use legally available funding sources to display said signs in those locations.</p>
11A23 160347	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO CREATE A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF USE CATEGORY FOR THE DISPLAY OF VEHICLES AND BOATS FOR SALE ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT ASSOCIATED PROCEDURES; AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 4-111 TO PROVIDE FOR A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF USE CATEGORY AND ASSOCIATED FEE FOR SAID CERTIFICATE OF USE APPLICATIONS [SEE ITEM NO. 160091]</p>
Notes	<p>See item 7H</p>
11A24 160799	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – "PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES"; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$2,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. TO FUND THE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER LOCATED AT 3320 SW 37TH AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133 IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 7 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160468]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities; Approves an allocation of \$2,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to Community Health of South Florida, Inc. (CHI) to fund the acquisition and development of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) to be located at 3320 SW 37th Avenue, Miami, Florida, in Commission District 7.

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
11A25 160539	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY THAT AT LEAST \$10 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND REVENUE AS DEFINED IN THIS RESOLUTION SHALL BE ALLOCATED EACH YEAR FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEPOSITING ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION, AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO INCLUDE THIS ALLOCATION IN FUTURE PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGETS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County policy that at least \$10 million of General Fund Revenue, excluding revenues from gas taxes, occupational licenses, intergovernmental revenues, charges for services and interest income, will be allocated each year for the purpose of depositing additional funding in the Affordable Housing Trust Fund, subject to annual appropriation, and directing the County Mayor to include this allocation in future proposed annual budgets.</p> <p>The total amount of General Fund Revenues allocated in the 2015-2016 County budget is \$1,021,621,000.00.</p>
11A26 160495	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM MODELED AFTER THE BOSTON WOMEN'S COMPACT TO VOLUNTARILY ENGAGE THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN COMMITTING TO CLOSE THE GENDER WAGE GAP, TO BE TITLED THE "MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WOMEN'S COMPACT," AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to develop a program modeled after the Boston Women's Compact to voluntarily engage the private sector in committing to close the gender wage gap by mutual agreement, understand the root cause of the wage gap, implement strategies to close the wage gap, and share employment data to evaluate the success of such effort, to be titled the "Miami-Dade County Women's Compact". The proposed resolution also directs the County Mayor or designee to report back to the BCC on development of the Miami-Dade County Women's Compact, and to place the completed report on a BCC agenda.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>The 100% Talent: The Boston Women's Compact² is a voluntary pledge that over 50 companies in Boston have signed to indicate their commitment to closing the gender wage gap in the workplace. By signing the Compact, employers commit to accomplishing the following three goals:</p> <p>GOAL 1: Understand the Root Causes of the Wage Gap-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to understanding the gender wage gap and the underlying causes that contribute to the gap. Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Management review of the Council's "Closing the Wage Gap" report; Employee access to the Council's report and the Compact; Analysis of internal employment practices and data; Visible Management and Leadership engagement on the issue of wage equality, including attending conferences and/or meetings on the topic; and Dedicated time/staff resources. <p>GOAL 2: Close the Gap-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to reviewing the evidence-based interventions in the Council's report, conducting a self-assessment of any interventions they have already implemented internally, and implementing or undertaking to improve three interventions tailored to their workplace. Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Assessment of Current State; Enhancements to Existing Interventions; and Introduction of New Implemented Interventions <p>GOAL 3: Evaluate Success-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to participating in a biennial review to discuss successes and challenges, as well as contributing data to a report compiled by a third party on the Compact's success to date. Employer-level data will not be identified in the report. The specific data to be reported will build on data already required by federal and state authorities and should not create an additional reporting burden.
11A27 160488	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY LEAVE MANUAL TO INCLUDE PROVISIONS FOR PAID PARENTAL LEAVE FOR COUNTY EMPLOYEES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves amendments to the Miami-Dade County Leave Manual to include provisions for paid parental leave for County employees.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On February 2, 2016, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 16-20, created Article X of Chapter 11A of the Miami-Dade County Code, establishing paid parental leave for Miami-Dade County and Public Health Trust employees for the purpose of caring for a newborn, newly-adopted child, or newly-placed foster child or children. Parental leave will be provided for up to six (6) weeks, and can be taken at any time during the first year after the birth, adoption or foster care placement. During the leave, an employee will be compensated with a portion of the annual salary while having the option to use accrued leave to receive compensation of 100 percent of the base pay for the entire period.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Mayor's Memo Regarding Ordinance Creating Article X of Chapter 11A of the Code Establishing Paid Parental Leave:</u></p> <p>According to the Mayor's Memo, the proposed ordinance creates Article X of Chapter 11A of the County Code establishing paid parental leave for all County and Public Health Trust (PHT) employees for the purpose of caring for a newborn, newly-adopted child, or newly-placed foster child or children. Up to six weeks of leave would be provided, taken at any time during the first year after the event, with a portion of</p>

² <http://www.cityofboston.gov/women/workforce/compact.asp>

Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the annual salary compensated and the employee having the option to use accrued leave to ensure compensation of 100 percent of base pay for the entire period.</p> <p>The Mayor's Memo states that it is difficult to determine if the implementation of this policy will lead to a marked change in behavior. Many employees currently take leave following a birth, adoption, or foster placement. This leave is part of the historical leave usage that is taken into account as part of the annual budget development process. All County and PHT employees are budgeted for 2,080 hours for employees with a 40 hour work week and 2,496 hours for employees with a 48 hour work week. In other words, whether the employees works or utilizes accrued leave, the funding to pay that employee's salary is included in the budget. In addition, there are certain County operations, such as Transit, Police, Fire, and PHT services, that must budget a relief factor (additional positions which vary by service) to take into account both anticipated and unanticipated leave usage that occur during the year to ensure County services are not interrupted. In some instances, unanticipated leave may require backfill with overtime if the relief factor is not sufficient and work cannot be distributed among existing staff.</p> <p>Without knowing if a significant number of people who do not currently take leave for such events would now be utilizing this policy, the only element that we can be sure of is that employees who take advantage of the new policy would have more accrued leave available in the future (having not had to charge it for this period) and could potentially have higher separation payments in the future. We cannot say for sure whether costs will increase in the fiscal period following implementation or even in the new future. Therefore, while allowing employees to benefit from paid parental leave may have a fiscal impact to the County and PHT, it is difficult to determine such impact at this time.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Paid Parental Leave in the United States:</u></p> <p>As a part of a series of Scholars' Papers sponsored by the U.S. Department of Labor Women's Bureau in commemoration of the 50th Anniversary of American Women: Report of the President's Commission on the Status of Women, 1963, the Institute for Women's Policy Research (IWPR) prepared a report regarding paid parental leave in the United States³.</p> <p>State Initiatives to Increase Access to Parental Leave</p> <p>Several U.S. states have enacted policies to provide workers with family leave benefits that are more generous than those required by the FMLA. They have done so in a variety of ways, from providing more than 12 weeks of job-protected unpaid leave for new parents to instituting a program that provides partial wage replacement for eligible workers who take time to care for a new baby, an adopted child, or an ill loved one.</p> <p>Five states and Puerto Rico have established TDI programs, which provide paid leave for temporary medical disabilities, including conditions related to pregnancy and childbirth. Employees in California, Hawaii, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Puerto Rico receive at least partial wage replacement while on disability leave or on leave related to pregnancy or childbirth (which is considered a temporary medical disability under the PDA; National Partnership for Women and Families 2013a; U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission).</p> <p>The Economic Benefits of Paid Family Leave</p> <p>Research shows that paid leave increases the likelihood that workers will return to work after childbirth, improves employee morale, has no or positive effects on workplace productivity, reduces costs to employers through improved employee retention, and improves family incomes. Research further suggests that expanding paid leave is likely to have economy-wide benefits such as reduced government spending on public assistance and increased labor force participation, which would bring concomitant economic gains, generating a larger tax base and increased consumer spending. At least one study, cited by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (2007) finds that paid leave for fathers helps to foster gender equity, both in the workplace and in the home, since it shortens leaves for mothers, increasing their job tenure and potentially their wage growth. For an additional review of the economic benefits of paid family leave.</p> <p>Costs and Benefits to Firms</p> <p>Research on existing paid leave programs suggests that paid leave leads to negligible costs to employers in terms of temporary employee replacement costs or overtime paid to existing employees and has few if any costs—and potentially gains—in terms of employee morale and productivity. Research looking at changes following the implementation of state-administered paid leave programs has been particularly informative for assessing how employers adjust to new paid leave requirements.</p> <p>The most recent FMLA surveys also find that employers do not typically replace workers on leave for family care purposes. In 2012, the vast majority (64.5 percent) of all employers temporarily reassigned other employees to cover for workers on family leave, while 3.2 percent hired temporary replacements. In their recent study of California's paid family leave program, Appelbaum and Milkman (2011) also conclude that very few firms incurred additional costs related to replacing workers on leave because they simply passed the work on to other workers temporarily.</p> <p>According to the available evidence, it appears that firms incur few costs in addition to replacing pay (when paid leave is provided by the employer) and instead experience some substantial benefits. Finally, businesses can benefit because paid leave increases the probability of a mother returning to work and shortens her length of leave.</p>

³ <http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/paid-parental-leave-in-the-united-states-what-the-data-tell-us-about-access-usage-and-economic-and-health-benefits/>

**Board of County Commissioners
May 17, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Contributions of Paid Leave Policies to Economic Growth Paid family leave may also affect economic growth in various ways, such as through increased labor force participation, increased fertility rates, and reduced spending on public assistance. Higher labor force participation, either by men or women (or both), affects growth by increasing inputs to production. More labor typically results in higher levels of output as long as the capital stock can expand to accommodate it. Since the effect of paid leave on labor force participation rates is typically much higher for women than men, offering paid leave can help push the economy towards gender equality in labor force participation. This equality has obvious implications for economic growth.</p> <p>The Health and Socio-Emotional Benefits of Family Leave Family leave, both paid and unpaid, has been shown to have significant benefits for the health of individual family members and for the well-being of the family overall. The length of leave taken, whether or not that leave is paid, and the proportion of income replaced during paid leave all affect the magnitude of the benefits of family leave. Health and socio-emotional benefits of family leave include: increased initiation and length of breastfeeding; decreased morbidity and mortality; increased well-baby care and vaccination rates; reduced maternal risk of disease through higher breastfeeding rates; and greater paternal engagement in caregiving.</p>
11A28 161072	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2016 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE, INCLUDING "URGING" RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD TO DATE, GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND DEPARTMENTAL LEGISLATIVE REQUESTS
11A29 161073	The proposed resolution approves the County's federal legislative priorities for 2016 and directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the 2016 federal legislative priorities.
Notes	<p><u>11A28 – 161072:</u> The proposed resolution approves the 2016 federal legislative package, including "urging" resolutions adopted by the BCC through the April 19, 2016 meeting, guiding principles and departmental legislative requests for 2016. Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the 2016 federal legislative package.</p> <p><u>11A29 – 161073:</u> The proposed resolution approves the County's federal legislative priorities for 2016 and directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the 2016 federal legislative priorities.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On January 24, 2012, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-59-12, which directed the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs, when it presents the proposed federal or state legislative package respectively to the BCC, to include all "urging" resolutions and other resolutions related to federal or state legislation passed by the BCC to date for the current Congress or session.</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-764-13, which directed that the federal and state legislative packages each be presented to the BCC in two separate companion items, one consisting of no more than ten priorities for distribution to the Miami-Dade Legislative Delegation and the other to include guiding principles, BCC "urging" resolutions enacted to date and departmental items for approval by the BCC. Resolution No. R-764-13 provided that, upon the priorities being approved and effective, incorporating any amendments by the BCC, the Chair of the BCC, or a County Commissioner designated by the Chair, will transmit the priorities to the respective federal or state legislative delegation.</p>