



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

July 6, 2016
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Office of the Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
4A 161507	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO WATER AND SEWER CONNECTIONS; CREATING SECTION 32-87 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING INSTALLATION OF SEPARATE WATER METERS FOR THE COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL PORTIONS OF NEWLY-CONSTRUCTED MIXED USE BUILDINGS IN MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT SERVICE AREA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 32-87 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring installation of separate water meters for the commercial and residential portions of newly-constructed mixed use buildings in Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department service area.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 32-87. Separate Commercial and Residential Meters Required For All New Mixed Use Development in Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department Service Area.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective October 1, 2016, all permit applications for new buildings in Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department's service area that contain both residential and commercial uses shall be required to include separate plumbing with a separate water meter and a separate point of connection to the Department's water distribution system for the commercial portions of the building and the residential portions of the building.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> There are a number of buildings located in the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department's (Department) service area that receive water and/or sewer service directly from the Department and that are used, in part, for residential purposes and, in part, for commercial purposes, such as, for example, a condominium with retail or restaurant space on the ground level of the building (Mixed Use Buildings). Many of these Mixed Use Buildings have only one water meter at the point of connection to the Department's water distribution system for the entire building, and, therefore, no way to determine what amount of water is being utilized by the commercial portions of the building and what amount of water is being utilized by the residential portions of the building. Because these Mixed Use Buildings include commercial uses, the entire building is billed for its water and sewer service at the rate charged for non-residential use.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</u> On June 7, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-497-16, directed the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to prepare a report analyzing the water and sewer rate structure for Mixed Use Buildings in order to determine whether an alternate and less financially burdensome rate structure can be applied in such circumstances. The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee was further directed to complete the report and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 within 180 days.</p>
4B 161543	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING AND NIGHT CLUBS; CREATING SECTION 33-151.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROHIBITING ANY NIGHT CLUB OWNER, OPERATOR, CERTIFICATE OF USE HOLDER, OR OWNER OF THE UNDERLYING REAL PROPERTY FROM ALLOWING MINORS ON THE PREMISES; AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10; PROVIDING FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER REMEDIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 33-151.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code prohibiting any night club owner, operator, certificate of use holder, or owner of the underlying property from allowing minors on the premises. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends Section 8CC-10 and provides for civil penalties and other remedies.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 33-151.1. Allowing minors at a night club prohibited.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(a) It shall be unlawful for any establishment operating only as a night club, whether or not such establishment has a certificate of use (C.U.) to operate as a night club, to allow, authorize or permit any person who is a minor under 18 years of age on the premises at any time.</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes											
	<p><i>(b) The owner of the real property upon which the establishment operates as a night club, the owner and operator of the night club, and the holder of the C.U. authorizing the establishment's operation as a night club are jointly and severally liable for violations of this section.</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Description of Violation</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">33-151.1</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><i>Allowing, authorizing or permitting any person who is a minor on the premises of a night club at any time</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$500.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty	33-151.1	<i>Allowing, authorizing or permitting any person who is a minor on the premises of a night club at any time</i>	\$500.00			
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty										
33-151.1	<i>Allowing, authorizing or permitting any person who is a minor on the premises of a night club at any time</i>	\$500.00										
4C 161544	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING AND ADULT ENTERTAINMENT; AMENDING SECTION 33-259.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROHIBITING ADULT ENTERTAINMENT CLUBS FROM ALLOWING MINORS ON THE PREMISES AT ANY TIME; PROVIDING FOR REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATE OF USE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE											
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to zoning and adult entertainment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Section 33-259.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to prohibit adult entertainment clubs from allowing minors on the premises at any time; and • Provides for revocation of certificate of use. <p>Sec. 33-259.1. – Additional uses permitted. <i>(i) Regardless of any other uses that may be permitted at the location, any establishment that has a certificate of use (C.U.) to operate as an adult entertainment club shall not allow minors on the premises at any time. Violators will be subject to revocation of the C.U. and all applicable penalties.</i></p>											
4D 161510	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTION 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PORTABLE MINI-STORAGE UNITS REQUIREMENTS; REQUIRING A CERTIFICATE OF USE FOR UNITS RATHER THAN A ZONING IMPROVEMENT PERMIT; SHORTENING TIME ALLOWED FOR PLACEMENT OF UNITS; REQUIRING UNITS TO BE SECURED; ADDING STANDARDS FOR MAINTENANCE OF UNITS; AMENDING SECTION 33-8.1; REMOVING ZONING IMPROVEMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT FOR PORTABLE MINI-STORAGE UNITS; AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10; REVISING CIVIL PENALTIES RELATING TO ALL PORTABLE MINI-STORAGE UNIT VIOLATIONS; REDUCING CIVIL PENALTY FOR FIRST OFFENSES; PROVIDING FOR DELAYED ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE											
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Section 33-20 of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to mini-storage units requirements; • Requires a certificate of use for units rather than a zoning improvement permit; • Shortens the time allowed for placement of units; • Requires units to be secured; • Adds standards for maintenance of units; • Amends Section 33-8.1; • Amends Section 8CC-10 revising civil penalties relating to all portable mini-storage unit violations; • Reduces civil penalties for first offenses; and • Provides for delayed enforcement. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Code Comparison Chart Sections 33-20 and 8CC-10</th> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Sec. 33-20. <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout</i></td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to and/or removed from the</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Code Comparison Chart Sections 33-20 and 8CC-10			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 33-20. <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout</i>	(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to	(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to and/or removed from the
Code Comparison Chart Sections 33-20 and 8CC-10												
Section	Current	Proposed										
Sec. 33-20. <i>Accessory buildings; utility sheds and pergolas; swimming pools; fallout</i>	(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to	(i) Portable mini-storage unit. For the purpose of this section, the term portable mini-storage unit shall mean a portable container designed for the storage of personal property that is placed on a homeowner's lot, parcel or tract and is designed to be delivered to and/or removed from the										

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<p><i>shelters; boat storage; portable mini-storage units.</i></p>	<p>and/or removed from the homeowner's site by a truck or other street-legal vehicle.</p> <p>One temporary portable mini-storage unit may be placed on a fee simple lot, parcel or tract containing a single-family residence, subject to the following conditions and limitations:</p> <p>(1) The homeowner:</p> <p>(a) has a valid building permit for the major remodeling of, or for a significant addition to, or for damage repair to the single-family residence on the lot, parcel, or tract whereon the portable mini-storage unit is requested to be placed; or</p> <p>(b) is conducting work involving interior improvements that do not require a building permit; or</p> <p>(c) is using the portable mini-storage unit to move personal items or furnishings to another location; and</p> <p>(2) The portable mini-storage unit, shall not exceed 8 feet in width, 16 feet in length, and 8 feet in height; and</p> <p>(3) The portable mini-storage unit shall be placed at ground level, shall be setback a minimum of ten (10) feet from the front property line and a minimum of five (5) feet from all other property lines, and shall comply with the safe sight distance triangle regulations; and</p> <p>(4) In no instance shall hazardous material be placed in the portable mini-storage unit; and</p> <p>(5) The property owner shall apply for and obtain a Zoning Improvement Permit (ZIP) pursuant to Section 33-8.1 for a portable mini-storage unit that will be kept on the lot/parcel for more than 15 days.</p> <p>(6) The ZIP for the portable mini-storage unit shall be a conditional permit and</p>	<p>homeowner's site by a truck or other street-legal vehicle.</p> <p>One temporary portable mini-storage unit may be placed on a fee simple lot, parcel or tract containing a single-family residence, subject to the following conditions and limitations:</p> <p>(1) The homeowner:</p> <p>(a) Has a valid building permit for the major remodeling of, or for a significant addition to, or for damage repair to the single-family residence on the lot, parcel, or tract whereon the portable mini-storage unit is requested to be placed; or</p> <p>(b) Is conducting work involving interior improvements that do not require a building permit; or</p> <p>(c) Is using the portable mini-storage unit to move personal items or furnishings to another location; and</p> <p>(2) The portable mini-storage unit, shall not exceed 8 feet in width, 16 feet in length, and 8 feet in height; and</p> <p>(3) The portable mini-storage unit shall be placed at ground level, shall be setback a minimum of ten (10) feet from the front property line and a minimum of five (5) feet from all other property lines, and shall comply with the safe sight distance triangle regulations; and</p> <p>(4) In no instance shall hazardous material be placed in the portable mini-storage unit; and</p> <p>(5) The property owner shall apply for and obtain a Certificate of Use (C.U.) pursuant to Section 33-8 for a portable mini-storage unit that will be kept on the lot/parcel; and</p> <p>(6) The C.U. for the portable mini-storage unit shall be a conditional permit and shall be issued for a period not to exceed 30 consecutive days; and</p> <p>(7) The C.U. shall be placed in a conspicuous place on the portable mini-storage unit so as to</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<p>shall be issued for a period not to exceed 90 days.</p> <p>(7) Upon application, the ZIP may be extended for a maximum of 30 consecutive days if the unit is located in the rear of the property or otherwise hidden from view from the street; and</p> <p>(8) No site may have more than 3 ZIP permits issued for a portable mini-storage unit within a 12-month period. No site may have a portable mini-storage unit for more than 21 days total in a 12-month period, or 60 days total in a 12-month period if an extension is granted; and</p> <p>(7) No mechanical, plumbing or electrical installations or connections are made to the portable mini-storage unit. >>All portable mini-storage units must be kept in good, clean, and finished condition, with no visible signs of deterioration, weathering, discoloration, rust, ripping, tearing or other holes or breaks; and</p> <p>(8) The portable mini-storage unit shall have clearly posted on the exterior of the unit, the name, current phone number and address of the company providing the portable mini-storage unit, a copy of the current ZIP permit issued for the mini-storage unit, and the date the portable mini-storage unit was placed at the site.</p> <p>(9) The conditional ZIP approval may be revoked by the Director at any time should the homeowner's utilization of such temporary portable mini-storage unit result in unsafe or unsanitary conditions on the site or upon violation of any of the conditions or limitations stated herein.</p>	<p>be easily readable by law enforcement and code enforcement officials; and</p> <p>(8) No site may have more than 2 C.U.s issued for a portable mini-storage unit within a 12-month period. No site may have a portable mini-storage unit for more than 60 days total in a calendar year; and</p> <p>(9) No mechanical, plumbing or electrical installations or connections are made to the portable mini-storage unit. All portable mini-storage units must be kept in good, clean, and finished condition, with no visible signs of deterioration, weathering, discoloration, rust, ripping, tearing or other holes or breaks; and</p> <p>(10) The portable min-storage unit shall be locked at all times when it is not being loaded or unloaded</p> <p>(11) The portable mini-storage unit shall have clearly posted on the exterior of the unit, the name, current phone number and address of the company providing the portable mini-storage unit, a copy of the current C.U. permit issued for the mini-storage unit, and the date the portable mini-storage unit was placed at the site.</p> <p>(12) The conditional C.U. approval may be revoked by the Director at any time should the homeowner's utilization of such temporary portable mini-storage unit result in unsafe or unsanitary conditions on the site or upon violation of any of the conditions or limitations stated herein. All portable mini-storage units shall be removed immediately upon the issuance of a hurricane watch by a federal agency.</p> <p>(13) Enforcement. A courtesy warning shall be issued prior to commencing any enforcement action, and the responsible party shall have five calendar days within which to correct the violation. Thereafter, the County may commence appropriate enforcement action.</p>							
	Sec. 8CC-10. <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i>	N/A	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 33%;">Code Section</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Description of Violation</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Civil Penalty</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty			
Code Section	Description of Violation	Civil Penalty							

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes					
			33-20(i)	Failure to comply with regulations relating to portable mini-storage units	250.00	
				First offense	500.00	
5A 161473	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; CREATING ARTICLE IXA OF CHAPTER 33 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-238 AND 33-284.83; ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS FOR THE DISPENSING OF LOW-THC CANNABIS AND MEDICAL CANNABIS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE					
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates article IXA of Chapter 33 of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Amends Sections 33-238 and 33-284.83; and • Establishes zoning regulations for the dispensing of low-THC cannabis and medical cannabis. <p>Specifically, the proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sets the minimum distance between any establishment dispensing low-THC and medical cannabis and child care facilities, preschools, or religious facilities (1,000 feet); certain zoning districts designated as residential (500 feet); and other establishments dispensing medical cannabis (one mile). • Requires the establishment to obtain an annual certificate of use and includes language that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A certificate of use will not be renewed if there are open enforcement cases or if the establishment has cases pending before the Nuisance Abatement Board; and ○ A certificate of use will be revoked if the establishment has been found guilty of three (3) of more violations pertaining to the dispensing use, or has been determined as a nuisance by the Nuisance Abatement Board. • Sets operating hours (between 7 am and 9 pm daily) and also prohibits the dispensing, receipt, payment, and consumption of low-THC and medical cannabis, as well as the consumption of alcohol, outside of the dispensing establishment. <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> It is anticipated that the implementation of the proposed ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The cost of compliance, such as obtaining an annual certificate of use or penalties for violating any provisions of the Code, will be borne by the business establishment.</p> <p><u>Social Impact Statement:</u> By setting minimum distance standards from certain institutions and residential neighborhoods, this proposed ordinance controls the number of locations available to these establishments.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – BCC Discussion:</u> During the BCC meeting on June 21, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission questioned the urgency of the proposed ordinance.</i> • <i>It was explained that the Florida Legislature set the criteria for the amounts of low-THC and medical cannabis that could be sold and who could sell it.</i> • <i>The CAO clarified that the County only had the jurisdiction to regulate the dispensaries.</i> 					

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>It was noted that the Florida Legislature was silent as it relates to dispensing, and there were concerns with putting zoning restrictions in place in a timely manner before the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) began receiving requests for opening dispensaries. If not, RER could not decline requests.</i> • <i>The Commission noted that individuals have inquired about dispensaries and that some individuals have already purchased property with the intention of opening up a dispensary.</i> <p><u>Additional Information - In Florida, medical marijuana is in a state of flux, Sun Sentinel, April 9, 2016¹:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Cities across South Florida are already taking steps to make sure that down the road, dispensaries don't wind up on their doorsteps.</i> • <i>North Lauderdale on March 29 approved a yearlong moratorium on the sale of medical marijuana anywhere in the city. Staff said it will give them time to create rules that keep marijuana dispensaries at an arm's length from schools and churches — if it's permitted at all.</i> • <i>Boca Raton extended its 12-month moratorium until this October. It halts cultivation, processing, distributing or selling medical marijuana or "related activities." Wilton Manors passed a moratorium until October 2017, while Lighthouse Point has a similar moratorium that expires Oct. 31.</i>
5D 161007	RESOLUTION APPROVING DELETION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ("BOND") PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 267 - "RENOVATION AND REHABILITATION OF GRAPELAND HEIGHTS BRANCH LIBRARY" AND ADDITION OF PROJECT NO. 360 - "DISTRICT 6 LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS AND EXPANSION" TO BE FUNDED WITH \$550,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM DELETED PROJECT NO. 267 TO APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-919-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS USING SURPLUS FUNDS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deletes Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 267- Renovation and Rehabilitation of Grapeland Branch Library; • Declares \$550,000.00 as surplus funds; • Adds Project No. 360- District 6 Library Improvements and Expansion- to be funded with \$550,000.00 of surplus funds from deleted Project No. 267, after a public hearing; and • Waives requirements of Implementing Order 3-47 regarding adding new projects using surplus funds. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The Grapeland Heights Branch Library, previously located at 1400 N.W. 37th Avenue, Miami, Florida, in County Commission District 6, was closed in 2005 and replaced by the Grapeland Water Park. The other Miami-Dade Public Library System (MDPLS)-operated libraries in County Commission District 6 (West Flagler Branch, Miami Springs Branch, and Fairlawn Branch) are in need of renovations or expansion and given that the Grapeland Heights Branch Library no longer exists, the \$550,000.00 originally allocated to Project No. 267 is no longer needed for the renovation and rehabilitation. The MDPLS intends to perform various interior and exterior renovations to one or more of these branches, to include improvements such as flooring, building fascia improvements, window replacements and building sealing, replacing the asphalt driveway(s) and parking areas, exterior lighting and signage, and/or landscaping improvements as well as possible expansion.</p> <p>This item was presented to the Bond Program's Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting on April 26, 2016 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>
5E 161303	ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF MIAMI DADE COUNTY SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$40,000,000.00 FOR PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, IMPROVING AND/OR RENOVATING CERTAIN CAPITAL ASSETS AND/OR PROJECTS; PROVIDING THAT DETAILS OF SAID BONDS BE DETERMINED IN ONE OR MORE SERIES RESOLUTIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE

¹ <http://www.sun-sentinel.com/local/broward/fl-marijuana-cities-restrictions-20160410-story.html>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance enacts the 2016 Ordinance which authorizes the issuance of up to \$40 million of Special Obligation Capital Asset Acquisition Bonds in one or more series (Bonds) for the purpose of funding the costs associated with the construction of the New Animal Services Shelter, the Animal Services Satellite Facility, the Boat Ride at Zoo Miami’s Florida Exhibit, Venetian Bridge Repairs, and Miscellaneous Park Improvements (2016 Projects).</p> <p>The Projects anticipated to be financed through the issuance of the proposed ordinance may be modified or supplemented for other purposes by a certificate executed by the County Mayor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Animal Services Shelter: The principal use of the facility will be for the re-homing, protection, and shelter of unwanted and stray animals. The new facility will allow the Animal Services Department to provide best-practices in animal education, housing, care, and welfare. It will also include a new public clinic, operating rooms, and quarantine facilities. • Animal Services Satellite Facility: The new satellite facility is part of a unique partnership between the Animal Services Department and the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA). The partnership will allow for critical pet services to be provided in the Liberty City area and surrounding communities. The area is significantly underserved with regard to veterinary services and is also one of the largest areas for stray dog intake in the County. The satellite facility will provide spay/neuter surgeries and community medical services to the animals of County residents, which will allow better accessibility to these services to area residents. • Zoo Miami’s Florida Exhibit - Boat Ride: Zoo Miami’s latest addition, the Florida Exhibit, is currently under construction and is anticipated to be completed later this year. Included in the proposed exhibit is a boat ride. The boat ride, “Lost Man’s River,” will take visitors on a tour of the Florida Exhibit where they will have close-up views of the American Crocodile, Alligators, and other species found in Florida. The airboat-themed boats will offer the visitors a different perspective from the walking path. The ride experience will also include a water tunnel, geyser, and other elements to provide excitement for visitors. • Venetian Bridge Repairs: The Venetian Causeway East Bascule and Purdy Avenue bridges are currently in need of immediate repair. The project includes deck repairs, signage, resurfacing, and repairs to the bridge’s movable machinery, replacement of the electrical system, and track repairs. • Miscellaneous park improvements: The miscellaneous projects generally consist of ADA compliance projects to remove barriers at the most frequently attended facilities, water and sewer backflow compliance and conversion mandates, contamination remediation at Colonial Park, and renovations at various facilities, which include lighting and walkway improvements. <p>The 2016 Ordinance provides that bonds will only be issued with a subsequent Series Resolution to be approved by the BCC. Each Series Resolution will provide more detailed description of the Projects to be financed, the terms, maturities, interest rates, hedge arrangements, and other details for each series of Bonds to be issued.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The principal and interest on the proposed Bonds are special and limited obligations of the County payable solely from legally available non-ad valorem revenues of the County, as appropriated annually or from revenues otherwise available from authorized funds and debt service reserves. Actual debt payments will be budgeted in the Countywide General Fund and paid for by funds appropriated in the adopted budgets of each entity/department benefitting from the projects with each series of Bonds.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Until a series of bonds is issued, the enactment of the 2016 Ordinance will have no fiscal impact on the County. The terms, maturities, interest rates, and other bond-related details for each series of Bonds will be established in a Series Resolution adopted by the Board prior to the issuance of the Bonds.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have a specific social equity benefit or burden. The issuance of Capital Asset Acquisition Bonds will allow direct investments in capital assets. These assets will allow the County to provide better treatment of the animals in its care, provide visitors of Zoo Miami with a close-up view of the American Crocodile and other species found in Florida, and allow for the continuation of capital repair/maintenance related to the infrastructure of our bridges and parks.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The County has approximately \$614.030 million of outstanding Capital Asset Acquisition Bonds, with the average annual debt service being approximately \$42 million a year. Capital Asset Acquisition Bonds are used to fund various countywide capital equipment or construction projects. Each of these series were issued pursuant to BCC authorized ordinances and subsequent series resolutions.</p>
5F 161519	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF CAPITAL ASSET ACQUISITION SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 2016A, IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$40,000,000.00, TO FUND CERTAIN CAPITAL ASSETS AND/OR PROJECTS, AND CAPITAL ASSET ACQUISITION SPECIAL OBLIGATION REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2016B, IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$250,000,000.00, TO REFUND ALL OR PORTION OF CAPITAL ASSET ACQUISITION SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 2004B, PUBLIC SERVICE TAX REVENUE BONDS (UMSA PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS), SERIES 2006, CAPITAL ASSET ACQUISITION SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 2007A AND PUBLIC SERVICE TAX REVENUE BONDS (UMSA PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS), SERIES 2007A WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 11.83%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$ 870,341.72 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF APRIL 1, 2037; PROVIDING THAT BONDS SHALL BE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM LEGALLY AVAILABLE NON-AD VALOREM REVENUES THAT COUNTY COVENANTS TO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATE ANNUALLY; AUTHORIZING PUBLIC SALE OF BONDS BY COMPETITIVE BIDS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS, TO FINALIZE TERMS AND DETAILS OF BONDS, INCLUDING ACCEPTANCE OF BIDS, AND TO SELECT REGISTRAR, PAYING AGENT AND OTHER AGENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS, CONTINUING DISCLOSURE COMMITMENT AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS; APPROVING FORMS OF RELATED DOCUMENTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE OF BONDS; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AS AMENDED; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5(E)]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution adopts the Series 2016 Resolution which authorizes the issuance, in an aggregate principal amount of not to exceed \$40 million Capital Asset Acquisition Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2016A (the Series 2016A Bonds) to finance the cost of the following projects (Series 2016 Projects):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$21.135 million for the reimbursement of a portion of the cost to retrofit a 70,000 square foot facility to serve as the new animal service shelter; • \$2.31 million to renovate a building that will serve as a new animal services satellite facility for animal clinic services in Liberty City; • \$3.25 million for the construction of a boat ride within the Florida Lobe: Mission Everglades Exhibit at Zoo Miami; • \$2.832 million for repairs to Venetian Bridge at the East Bascule Bridge and the Purdy Avenue Fixed Bridge; and • \$3.80 million for miscellaneous park improvement projects. <p>Each project to be funded from the Series 2016A Bond proceeds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Animal Services Shelter: The principal use of the facility will be for the re-homing, protection and shelter of unwanted and stray animals. The new facility will allow the Department to provide best-practices in animal education, housing, care, and welfare. It will also include a new public clinic, operating rooms, and quarantine facilities.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Animal Services Satellite Facility: The new satellite facility is part of a unique partnership between the Animal Services Department and the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA). The partnership will allow for critical pet services to be provided in the Liberty City area and surrounding communities. The area is significantly underserved with regard to veterinary services and is also one of the largest areas for stray dog intake in the County. The satellite facility will provide spay/neuter surgeries and community medical services to the animals of County residents, which will allow better accessibility to these services to area residents. • Zoo Miami Florida Exhibit - Boat Ride: Zoo Miami’s latest addition, the Florida Exhibit is currently under construction and is anticipated to be completed later this year. Included in the proposed exhibit is a boat ride. The boat ride, “Lost Man’s River,” will take visitors on a tour of the Florida Exhibit where they will have close-up views of the American Crocodile, Alligators and other species found in Florida. The airboat-themed boats will offer the visitors a different perspective from the walking path. The ride experience will also include a water tunnel, geyser and other elements to provide excitement for the visitors. • Venetian Bridge Repairs: The Venetian Causeway East Bascule and Purdy Avenue bridges are currently in need of immediate repair. The project includes deck repairs, signage, resurfacing, and repairs to the bridge’s movable machinery, replacement of the electrical system and track repairs. • Miscellaneous park improvements: The miscellaneous projects generally consists of ADA compliance projects to remove barriers at the most frequently attended facilities, water and sewer backflow compliance and conversion mandates, contamination remediation at Colonial Park and renovations at various facilities which include lighting and walkway improvements. <p>The Series 2016 Resolution also authorizes the issuance, in an aggregate principal amount of not to exceed \$250 million Capital Asset Acquisition Refunding Bonds, Series 2016B (the Series 2016B Bonds and together with the Series 2016A Bonds, the Series 2016 Bonds) for the purpose of refunding the previously issued series of bonds listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital Asset Acquisition Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2004B issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 04-43, in the amount of \$72.725 million, of which \$7.23 million is currently outstanding; • Capital Asset Acquisition Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 07-51, in the amount of \$210.27 million, of which \$169.625 million is currently outstanding; • Public Service Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 05-208, in the amount of \$28 million, of which \$20.04 million is currently outstanding; and • Public Service Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A issued pursuant to Ordinance No. 07-72, in the amount of \$30.785 million, of which \$22.8 million is currently outstanding. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The County anticipates using \$2.4 million of upfront savings generated from the refunding of the Public Service Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 and Series 2007A to fund a portion of the miscellaneous park improvements at various parks located throughout unincorporated Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>As of May 20, 2016, the anticipated net present value savings associated with the refundings listed above was approximately \$25.132 million or 11.802 percent.</p> <p>The principal and interest on the proposed Series 2016 Bonds are special and limited obligations of the County payable solely from legally available non-ad valorem revenues as appropriated annually, or from revenues otherwise available from authorized funds and debt service reserves. Actual debt payments will be budgeted within the Countywide General Fund.</p> <p>The proposed structure for the Series 2016 Bonds is based on the market as of May 20, 2016. Updates will be provided when the Series 2016 Resolution is considered by the BCC on July 6, 2016. Consistent with the County’s refunding policy established by Resolution No. R-1313-09, the net present value savings that will be achieved by</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes													
	<p>issuing the Series 2016B Refunding Bonds exceeds a five (5) percent threshold and the final maturity of the Series 2016B Refunding Bonds is not greater than the final maturity of the Refunded Bonds, which is April 1, 2046. A final pricing report will be distributed to the BCC after the Series 2016 Bonds are awarded to the Underwriters through a competitive bid. The Series 2016 Bonds are expected to be priced July 19, 2016 and closed on August 24, 2016.</p> <p>Currently, the County has approximately \$614.03 million of outstanding Capital Asset Acquisition Bonds, with the average annual debt service being approximately \$42 million a year.</p>													
7A 160876	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REVISING PROVISIONS RELATING TO SPONSORSHIP AND OTHER PROCEDURES GOVERNING QUASI-JUDICIAL MATTERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>													
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to the Rules of Procedure of the BCC, amends Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code and revises provisions relating to sponsorship and other procedures governing quasi-judicial matters.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> The implementation of the proposed ordinance will not have a fiscal impact the Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance is not anticipated to have any measureable social equity benefit or burden.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Code Comparison Chart</th> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Sec. 2-1. Rules of Procedure of County Commission. PART 5. CONDUCT OF MEETINGS; AGENDA</th> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Rule</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Rule 5.05 Agenda</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto; (7) debt obligations and amendments thereto; (8) construction contracts and amendments thereto; (9) labor agreements and amendments thereto; (10) special taxing districts initiated by</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding and subject to the following paragraph related to quasi-judicial matters and certain Comprehensive Development Master Plan (“CDMP”) applications, matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto;</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Code Comparison Chart			Sec. 2-1. Rules of Procedure of County Commission. PART 5. CONDUCT OF MEETINGS; AGENDA			Rule	Current	Proposed	Rule 5.05 Agenda	<p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto; (7) debt obligations and amendments thereto; (8) construction contracts and amendments thereto; (9) labor agreements and amendments thereto; (10) special taxing districts initiated by</p>	<p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding and subject to the following paragraph related to quasi-judicial matters and certain Comprehensive Development Master Plan (“CDMP”) applications, matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto;</p>
Code Comparison Chart														
Sec. 2-1. Rules of Procedure of County Commission. PART 5. CONDUCT OF MEETINGS; AGENDA														
Rule	Current	Proposed												
Rule 5.05 Agenda	<p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto; (7) debt obligations and amendments thereto; (8) construction contracts and amendments thereto; (9) labor agreements and amendments thereto; (10) special taxing districts initiated by</p>	<p>(b) Authority to sponsor or present items on agenda.</p> <p>(1) Anything to the contrary notwithstanding and subject to the following paragraph related to quasi-judicial matters and certain Comprehensive Development Master Plan (“CDMP”) applications, matters may only be presented or sponsored by a county commissioner, a commission committee, the county attorney and the clerk of the commission, except that the Mayor shall be able to present or sponsor: (1) reports which do not amend any policy established by the County Commission; (2) mayoral appointments; (3) solicitations for the purchase of goods and services, leases, construction contracts and debt obligations; (4) contracts for the purchase of goods and services and amendments thereto; (5) grant applications, grants and sub-grants; (6) leases of non-County-owned property and amendments thereto;</p>												

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>petition; (11) certificates of public convenience and necessity; (12) certificates of transportation; (13) other matters where the presentation or sponsorship by the Mayor is required by the Home Rule Charter or state or federal law; and (15) leases and licenses of County-owned property and amendments thereto if the Mayor first provides written notification to the Commissioner of the District wherein the County-owned property that is to be leased or licensed is located of the matter and the District Commissioner does not agree to present or sponsor such lease or license or amendment thereto within ten (10) days of the written notification. Any Commissioner or commission committee may present or sponsor any item which the Mayor is authorized to present or sponsor pursuant to the preceding sentence, except as provided otherwise in the Home Rule Charter, or state or federal law. Additionally, the committee chairperson of jurisdiction may, upon the written request of the Mayor or his or her designee, submit an item for placement on a committee or Commission agenda. Such an item shall, if requested by the committee chairperson of jurisdiction, be placed on the appropriate agenda, in accordance with the applicable rules of procedure, as an item sponsored by the committee of jurisdiction.</p> <p>Anything to the contrary notwithstanding, private applications for amendment, modification, addition, or change to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan ("CDMP") shall be placed on the appropriate CDMP agenda after the Department of Sustainability Planning and Economic Enhancement or successor department has completed its review of the application as provided in section 2-116.1 of the Code and all required fees have been paid (hereinafter "completed private CDMP application"). Each completed private CDMP application and the accompanying ordinance and any related resolution shall: (i) be forwarded to the Office of the Agenda Coordinator for placement on the appropriate CDMP agenda; and (ii) be accompanied by a</p>	<p>(7) debt obligations and amendments thereto; (8) construction contracts and amendments thereto; (9) labor agreements and amendments thereto; (10) special taxing districts initiated by petition; (11) certificates of public convenience and necessity; (12) certificates of transportation; (13) other matters where the presentation or sponsorship by the Mayor is required by the Home Rule Charter or state or federal law; and (14) leases and licenses of County-owned property and amendments thereto if the Mayor first provides written notification to the Commissioner of the District wherein the County-owned property that is to be leased or licensed is located of the matter and the District Commissioner does not agree to present or sponsor such lease or license or amendment thereto within ten (10) days of the written notification. Any Commissioner or commission committee may present or sponsor any item which the Mayor is authorized to present or sponsor pursuant to the preceding sentence, except as provided otherwise in the Home Rule Charter, or state or federal law. Additionally, the committee chairperson of jurisdiction may, upon the written request of the Mayor or his or her designee, submit an item for placement on a committee or Commission agenda. Such an item shall, if requested by the committee chairperson of jurisdiction, be placed on the appropriate agenda, in accordance with the applicable rules of procedure, as an item sponsored by the committee of jurisdiction.</p> <p>Quasi-judicial matters may be presented by the applicable department and shall be placed on the appropriate agenda in accordance with these Rules. In addition, private applications for amendment, modification, addition, or change to the shall be placed on the appropriate CDMP agenda after the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department has completed its review of the application as provided in section 2-116.1 of the Code and all</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		recommendation from the Mayor or his or her designee.	required fees have been paid (hereinafter "completed private CDMP application"). Each completed private CDMP application and the accompanying ordinance and any related resolution shall: (i) be forwarded to the Office of the Agenda Coordinator for placement on the appropriate CDMP agenda; and (ii) be accompanied by a recommendation from the Mayor or his or her designee.
	Rule 5.06 Ordinances, resolutions, motions, contracts.	(d) Exception. The provisions of this Rule 5.06 shall not be applicable to zoning resolutions which shall be governed exclusively by chapter 33 of the Code.	(d) Exception. The provisions of this Rule 5.06 shall not be applicable to zoning applications , which shall be governed exclusively by chapter 33 of the Code, or to historic preservation appeals, which shall be governed by chapter 16A. In addition, all quasi-judicial matters shall be exempt from subsection (c) of this Rule.
	Rule 5.07 Limitation on agenda items.	(b) An agenda item shall be deemed withdrawn upon its third deferral. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to zoning applications or to applications to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan.	(b) An agenda item shall be deemed withdrawn upon its third deferral. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to the certification of any election, quasi-judicial matters , zoning applications, or applications to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan.
	Rule 7.01 Rules of debate.	(h) Tie votes. Whenever action cannot be taken because the vote of the commissioners has resulted in a tie, and no other available motion on an item is made and approved before the next item is called for consideration or before a recess or adjournment is called, whichever occurs first, the item shall be removed from the agenda and shall be reintroduced only in accordance with the renewal provisions of Rule 7.01(1). Notwithstanding any rule of procedure to the contrary, in zoning and other quasi-judicial matters when action on a resolution results in a tie vote, and no other available motion on the resolution is made and approved before the next matter is called for consideration or before a recess or adjournment is called, whichever occurs first, such resolution shall be carried over to the next regularly scheduled meeting for the consideration of such quasi-judicial matters	(h) Tie votes. Whenever action cannot be taken because the vote of the commissioners has resulted in a tie, and no other available motion on an item is made and approved before the next item is called for consideration or before a recess or adjournment is called, whichever occurs first, the item shall be removed from the agenda and shall be reintroduced only in accordance with the renewal provisions of Rule 7.01(1). Notwithstanding any rule of procedure to the contrary, for zoning applications and other quasi-judicial matters, when a motion to take action on the matter results in a tie vote, and no other available motion is made and approved before the next matter is called for consideration or before a recess or adjournment is called, whichever occurs first, such matter shall be carried over to the next regularly

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>unless the commission designates a different time for such reconsideration.</p> <p>scheduled meeting for the consideration of such zoning applications or other quasi-judicial matters, unless the commission designates a different time for such consideration.</p>
7B 160973	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR DECORATIVE ELEMENTS ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES; PROVIDING DEFINITION AND CRITERIA FOR DECORATIVE ELEMENTS; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1 AND 33-20 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to zoning amends Sections 33-1 and 33-20 to define, authorize, and set the standards for decorative elements on lots with a residential structure in residential, agricultural, and interim zoning districts. Additionally, the proposed ordinance will also require the issuance of a permit prior to the construction of any decorative element.</p> <p>Decorative elements under the proposed ordinance are defined as aesthetic features, including fountains and statues, placed on open space outside of a building. Furthermore, the proposed ordinance sets the setback and height standards for such decorative elements and also requires the issuance of a permit prior to its construction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 33-1. - Definitions.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(37.05) Decorative Elements (lawn). Decorative elements means aesthetic features, including fountains and statues, that are placed on open space outside of a building.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 33-20. - Accessory buildings; utility sheds; swimming pools; fallout shelters; boat storage ; decorative elements.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(j) Decorative Elements. Decorative elements shall be permitted on lots developed with a residential structure in the AU, EU, GU, and RU Districts, provided that the decorative element is in front of the building line of the principal structure and complies with the following:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(a) For lots in the RU Districts, decorative elements shall be setback at least ten (10) feet from the front and side property lines and shall not exceed six (6) feet in height and fifty (50) square feet in area.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(b) For lots in the AU, EU, and GU Districts, decorative elements shall be setback at least ten (10) feet from the front and side property lines. The maximum height of decorative elements shall be six (6) feet at a setback of ten (10) feet, except that the maximum height may increase an additional 0.6 feet per additional foot of setback to a maximum height not to exceed twelve (12) feet.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(c) A Zoning Improvement Permit shall be obtained prior to construction of a decorative element that is permanently affixed to the ground.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County with the enactment of the proposed ordinance as additional staffing resources are not required. Any additional activities associated with issuing permits or enforcement will be absorbed by existing staff and offset by the associated revenues collected.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> Currently, property owners wishing to install decorative elements would have to request a zoning hearing in order to obtain a non-use variance that allows for an accessory structure, as these are not authorized in the County Code. By defining decorative elements and establishing set back requirements specific for these</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>elements, a zoning variance is not necessary as a precondition to obtain a building permit; therefore, the process of obtaining such permit is faster and less expensive.</p> <p>With the enactment of the proposed ordinance, property owners seeking to install decorative elements will be the direct beneficiaries or the less financially burdensome requirement to seek a permit. An anticipated social burden cannot be identified at this time.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> <i>During the June 14, 2016 Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting, the Director of the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department (RER) clarified that the proposed ordinance referred to decorative elements that were affixed to the ground. Staff clarified that such fixtures were considered accessory and needed to be set back 75 feet from the right of way, which basically places them in the back yard for typical homes.</i></p>
7C 161546	<p>ORDINANCE CREATING SECTION 12-14.2.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE FOR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL ELECTED OFFICERS AND CANDIDATES REGARDING SOLICITATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR ORGANIZATIONS EXEMPT FROM TAXATION UNDER SECTION 501(C)(4) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AND POLITICAL PARTIES; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO REPORT; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160428]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 12-14.2.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for reporting requirements for County and municipal elected officials and candidates regarding solicitation of contributions for organizations exempt from taxation under Section 501(C)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code and political parties. Additionally, the proposed ordinance provides penalties for failure to report and provides for enforcement by the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</p> <p><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the proposed ordinance was amended to conform the proposed regulations on 501(c)(4) organizations and political parties to the regulations on political committees and electioneering communications organizations in Ordinance 16-46. The amendment also excludes home owner associations from the requirements imposed on 501(c)(4) organizations and removes the letter of reprimand in favor of a letter of instruction for the first offense.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 12-14.2.1 County and Municipal Elected Officer and Candidate Reporting Requirements for Organizations Exempt Under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code or Political Party Solicitations.</i></p> <p><i>(1) Effective January 1, 2017, each candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall insure that their designated campaign treasurer shall, within five (5) days of commencing solicitation activities, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of an organization exempt under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code except for home owners associations (“501(c)(4) Organization”) or a political party, file a one-time report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer indicating that the candidate is undertaking solicitation activities on behalf of such 501(c)(4) Organization or political party. The report shall identify the name of the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party on behalf of whom such funds are being solicited and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Effective January 1, 2017, upon commencement of any solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of a 501(c)(4) Organization or a political party, each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, within five (5) days of commencing solicitation activities, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of a 501(c)(4) Organization or a political party, file a one-time report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer indicating that the officer is undertaking solicitation activities on behalf of such 501(c)(4) Organization or political party. The report shall identify the name of the 501(c)(4)</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>Organization or political party on behalf of whom such funds are being solicited and a description of the relationship between the officer and the 501(c)(4) Organization or political party. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall, for the first violation, be sent a letter of instruction regarding the provisions of this Section, and for each subsequent violation, be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer may appeal or dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, unusual circumstances surrounding the failure to file on the designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice from the Supervisor of Elections that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u> The proposed resolution would require each candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County to file an additional electronic report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer specific to any contribution or expenditure reports associated with solicitation activities on behalf of any organization exempt from taxation under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of political party.</p> <p>In addition, each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal officer will, on a monthly basis, file an electronic report upon commencement of any solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any 501(c)(4) Organization or political report for the remainder of the officer's term of office to the Supervisor of Elections. Should the County or municipal elected officer fail to submit the required report(s), he/she will be subject to fines of up to \$5,000. The Commission on Ethics and Public Trust will be responsible to hear any disputes or appeals related to the fine.</p> <p>Implementation of this ordinance will have no fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p><u>Social Equity Statement:</u> The proposed ordinance has the potential to provide additional transparency and accountability in government.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on April 12, 2016, the proposed resolution was deferred to the June 14, 2016 meeting. Prior to deferral, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted an organization under 501(c)(4) was allowed political engagement and was used for the last two to three cycles to fund in creating an advocacy for a candidate, which was not reported; however, this would require reporting solicitation of these contributions. It was noted that these types of organizations were now being used for political campaigns that have not been publicly reported except to the Internal Revenue Services.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned whether the officer who had a onetime request would have to file a monthly report although there was no ongoing solicitation. The Assistant County Attorney advised the language in Sub-Section 1 only applied to candidates and the way it was drafted the candidate had to file a candidate report unless filing a onetime report. He also advised that Sub-Section 2 referred to municipal and county officers who would have to file a monthly reports except on the end of Sub-Section 2 wherein they would be required to file a onetime report if they claim all the solicitations; therefore the exact onetime report existed for both candidates in Sub-Section 1 and officers in Sub-Section 2.</i> • <i>In response to a question whether it was appropriate for an officer to file a onetime report and indicate this would include all solicitations instead of this obligation stated "shall be required to file on a monthly</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>basis,” the Assistant County Attorney advised that under this ordinance an officer would not be relieved from the obligation because there was no activity, because there was no safety position for that circumstance. He further advised that the officer would file each month in the event that it was decided to not take on solicitation responsibility for the organization; however, if that responsibility was taken it would require a onetime report.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concern about the number of people who have solicited this Commission for fundraisers, requesting to use names of elected officials as supporters, or to make contacts on their behalf.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that State Legislation was tracked in an effort to not create additional burdens, but to close any gaps in Miami-Dade County. It was explained that the 501C4 organizations were part of the State requirements for disclosure and the State elected officials have to follow the same disclosures.</i> • <i>The Assistant County Attorney advised that once a name was agreed to be used for indirect solicitation purposes there would be a requirement to disclose those solicitations and its results or in lieu of conducting those solicitations the safety valve could be adopted at the end of the session that states all solicitations were a result of that indirect solicitation, which made the person responsible for all of them. On the other hand, he advised if the person’s name was not authorized to be used for the solicitation purpose, but to advertise an event and there was no solicitation involved that person was not required to disclose anything regarding that specific action.</i> <p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns for the impact the proposed resolution would have on non-profit organizations.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that the proposed resolution would align regulations to state law.</i> • <i>The CAO clarified that there are two different classifications of non-profits 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4). 501(c)(3) are organizations that are engaged in charitable, religious, educational, scientific or literary purposes. 501(c)(4) are social welfare organizations.</i> <p><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</u> On May 17, 2016, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 16-46 created Section 12-1444.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for reporting requirements for County and Municipal elected officers and candidates regarding solicitation of contributions for political committees and electioneering communications organizations. Ordinance No. 16-46 further provided penalties for failure to report and enforcement by the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</p> <p><i>(1) Effective January 1, 2017, each candidate for County or municipal office in Miami-Dade County shall insure that their designated campaign treasurer shall, within five (5) days of commencing solicitation activities, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of a political committee or electioneering communications organization, file a one-time report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer indicating that the candidate is undertaking solicitation activities on behalf of such political committee or electioneering communications organization on behalf of whom such funds are being solicited and a description of the relationship between the candidate and the political committee or electioneering communications organization. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Effective January 1, 2016, upon commencement of any solicitation activities undertaken on behalf of any political committee or electioneering communications organization, each County or municipal elected officer in Miami-Dade County who is not also a candidate for another County or municipal office shall, within five (5) days of commencing solicitation activities, either directly or indirectly, on behalf of a political committee or electioneering communications organization, file a one-time report with the Supervisor of Elections or other applicable filing officer indicating that the officer is</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>undertaking solicitation activities on behalf of such organization. The report shall identify the name of the organization on behalf of whom such funds are being solicited and a description of the relationship⁰ between the officer and the political committee or electioneering communications organization. The report shall be filed on a form created by the Supervisor of Elections for such purpose.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Any County or municipal elected officer or candidate who fails to file a report as required by this section on the designated due date shall, for the first violation, be sent a letter of reprimand and instruction regarding the provisions of this Section, and for each subsequent violation, be subject to a fine of \$50 per day for the first three (3) days and, thereafter, \$500 per day for each day late not to exceed \$5,000. Such fine shall not be an allowable campaign expense and shall be paid only from the personal funds of the candidate or officer. Any candidate or officer may appeal or dispute the fine to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust based upon, but not limited to, designated due date, and may request, and shall be entitled to, a hearing before the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, which shall have the authority to waive the fine in whole or in part. Any such appeal or dispute shall be made within twenty (20) days after receipt of notice from the Supervisor of Elections that payment is due or such appeal or dispute shall be waived.</i></p>
8A1 161033	RESOLUTION REJECTING ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN CONNECTION WITH THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR PREMIUM COSMETICS CONCESSION SERVICES AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, RFP NO. MDAD-08-14
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution rejects the two (2) proposals received by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) for Request for Proposals (RFP) No. MDAD-08-14 for Premium Cosmetics Concession Services at Miami International Airport (MIA).</p> <p>Background</p> <p>The RFP for the subject project was advertised on January 9, 2015 for a qualified firm to finance, design, construct, manage, operate, and maintain Premium Cosmetics Concessions at MIA. On February 25, 2015, proposals were received from two (2) firms: Duty Free Americas Beauty, LLC (DFAB) and TRG, LLC. TRG was found non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office because it submitted a proposal bond in the name of its parent company Duty Free Air & Ship Supply Co. Since the bond did not secure TRG's proposal it was found non-responsive.</p> <p>The Selection Committee met on January 13, 2016 to review the one (1) remaining responsive proposal. At this meeting, the Committee was provided a letter dated September 15, 2015 recommending rejection of all proposals. The letter requested rejection of the remaining proposer and re-solicitation of the RFP to promote competition that will provide the best agreement for MDAD, MIA, and its airline partners.</p>
8C1 160972	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1(B) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT TO APPROVE AN OPERATING AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT WITH FANTASY THEATRE FACTORY, INC. FOR THE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION OF THE NW 7TH AVENUE THEATER; APPROVING A \$379,000.00 GRANT AWARD AGREEMENT TO FANTASY THEATRE FACTORY, INC. FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FOR THE THEATER TO BE FUNDED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS' PROPRIETARY FUNDS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH CONTRACTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Department of Cultural Affairs)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter to approve an Operating and Management Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Fantasy Theatre Factory, Inc. by a two-thirds vote of the BCC members present, and approves a \$379,000.00 grant to Fantasy Theatre Factory, Inc. for the operation of the 7th Avenue Transit Village Theater to be funded from proprietary revenues included in the Department of Cultural Affairs' FY 2015-16 budget.</p> <p>The 7th Avenue Theater was developed to serve families and children and to celebrate the culture of the community through theater and the performing arts. This partnership is built on the understanding that Fantasy</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Theatre Factory will use the theater as its administrative home base for the development of its shows and for productions, and The M Ensemble Company will be the theater’s Resident Company, developing and presenting its annual season of theatrical productions for the benefit of the residents and visitors to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Through the Operating and Management Agreement with Miami-Dade County, Fantasy Theatre Factory will assume the day-to-day operational responsibilities for the theater, and use it for its administrative home, rehearsals, and performances. The M Ensemble Company will be the theater’s resident company, having certain rights and responsibilities for the use of the facility for developing and presenting its entire season annually. Fantasy Theatre Factory will provide the staffing support for the operation of the theater and will be responsible for maintaining the facility on a day-to-day basis. The Department had projected “in-house” annual operating costs in excess of \$600,000.00 for the facility.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u> A funding allocation of \$379,000.00 of proprietary funds is included in the Department of Cultural Affairs’ (Department) approved FY 2015-16 budget for the operation of the 7th Avenue Transit Village Theater. This funding will help Fantasy Theatre Factory cover the theater’s forecasted operational costs for its inaugural season. Fantasy Theatre Factory will be responsible for all costs related to the operations, programming, and maintenance of the theater, and the County will have no responsibility for the operational costs of the theater beyond the grant funds.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program approved by voters in 2004 included a \$5 million allocation for the Carver Theater project. The Carver Theater, a privately owned facility, is closed and, based on a site visit by the Department, would need extensive rehabilitation to convert it into a viable cultural facility. Because the acquisition of the property and the required capital work to reopen the theater was estimated to be in excess of the GOB funding allocation, the funding earmarked for the Carver Theater project was reallocated to the 7th Avenue Transit Village, a public-private partnership development across the street from the Carver Theater site and specifically located at 6101 NW 7th Avenue, Miami, Florida, 33127.</p> <p>A significant modification of the Carver Theater project was approved by the BCC on October 4, 2011 through Resolution No. R-755-11. It authorized the transfer of funds from the Carver Theater project to the NW 7th Avenue Transit Village Theater project, a mixed-use transit-oriented development on 2.48 acres of County-owned property located on the south-east quadrant of NW 62 Street and NW 7th Avenue. The project consists of a transit hub and bus station, residential housing, retail/commercial space, a multi-purpose theater, and a multi-level parking garage. On March 1, 2011, the BCC authorized the selection of Carlisle Development Group, LLC as the developer for the 7th Avenue Transit Development Project, the execution of a ground lease for the property, and the assignment of the lease agreement from Carlisle Development Group, LLC to CDG Seventh Avenue Holdings, LLC through Resolution No. R-138-11. On September 4, 2013, the BCC authorized the assignment from Carlisle Development Group, LLC and its subsidiaries to Atlantic Pacific Community Housing Development, LLC through Resolution No. R-716-13. The Theater Property is subject to a Sub-sublease agreement between Seventh Avenue I, Ltd., (Sublessor) and Miami-Dade County through the Department as Sublessee.</p>
8C2 161153	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 25 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$87,069.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – FOURTH QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the funding of 25 grants for a total of \$87,069.00 from the Fiscal Year 2015-16 Community Grants Program – Fourth Quarter. <i>In addition, it is recommended that Resolution No. R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>Board of County Commissioners) be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive tourism-oriented and community events.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from the Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the FY 2015-16 County budget ordinance. Upon adoption of the FY 2015-16 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for FY 2015-16 Community Grants (CG). To date, a sub-total of \$525,000.00 in grants has been recommended for the four quarters of the fiscal year. The total \$525,000 allocated includes (2) two Second Quarter grant recommendations totaling \$5,069.00 that were declined, recaptured, added, and re-appropriated through the Fourth Quarter allocation.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Community Grants Panel convened on April 21, 2016 to review 25 applications requesting \$87,069.00 for the Fourth Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 25 applicants for a total of \$87,069.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on April 21, 2016.</p>
8E1 161110	<p>RESOLUTION RETROACTIVELY AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S EXECUTION OF A MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ON BEHALF OF THE MIAMI-DADE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND TO ENTER INTO FUTURE MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution retroactively authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee execution of a Mutual Aid Agreement between the Florida Department of Corrections (Institution) and Miami-Dade County through the Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department (Agency) beginning April 4, 2016 ending at midnight April 3, 2021, and authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's Designee to enter into future Agreements with the Florida Department of Corrections.</p> <p>This item is retroactive because there was insufficient time to submit the resolution to the BCC for approval prior to execution of the Agreement.</p> <p>Miami-Dade Fire Rescue responds, when requested, to the five (5) Florida Department of Corrections facilities in Miami-Dade County, including the South Florida Reception Center in Doral, Dade and Homestead Correctional Institutions in Florida City, and the Everglades Correctional Institution. The Mutual Aid Agreement outlines the roles of the parties in the event the Institution requires the Agency to respond in an emergency and/or imminent escape. The Agency agrees to respond, upon notification and provide the following support twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week: fire suppression and fire equipment, fire investigation, ambulance and emergency medical services and rescue of inmates/persons who may have become trapped in the Institutions located in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The parties acknowledge that this Agreement is not intended to create financial obligations between the parties. However, in the event that costs are incurred as a result of either or both of the parties performing their duties or responsibilities under this Agreement, each party agrees to be responsible for their own costs.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Metropolitan Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee requested clarification on whether the proposed resolution gave firefighters the option of getting their equipment cleaned.</i> • <i>The Logistics Chief for the Miami-Dade County Fire Department (MDFD) clarified that firefighters were mandated to have equipment cleaned however, due to the size of the county and the various shifts worked by firefighters, some cleanings fall through the cracks. He explained that annual cleanings were</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<i>mandated but depending on the amount of calls taken by firefighters, some equipment needed to be cleaned more often.</i>
8F3 161075	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. 00198 TO ASHURST LLP FOR THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO DEVELOP COUNTY CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURT FACILITIES AND OTHER PROJECTS AS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,000,000.00 OVER THE INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM AND TWO, ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, EXCEPT AUTHORIZATION OF WORK ORDERS, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38. THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SHALL BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE WORK ORDERS ONLY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. 00198, Legal Services for Implementation of Public Private Partnerships to Develop County Civil and Criminal Court Facilities and Other Projects as Determined by the BCC, to Ashurst LLP for the delivery of legal services related to public-private partnership (P3) projects.</p> <p>This contract award is in response to Resolution No. R-151-15 directing the County Mayor to solicit proposals for P3s to design, build, finance, operate and maintain County Civil and Criminal Court Facilities and Jail Facilities. The resolution further directed the County Mayor to issue a solicitation for the retention of counsel to deliver specialized legal services as needed regarding the County's implementation of P3s, and is consistent with the BCC's intent to partner with private investors and organizations to enter into long-term public infrastructure investments when it is in the County's best interest.</p> <p>In addition to providing specialized legal services at the direction of the County Attorney's Office, Ashurst LLP will counsel the BCC and the administration, through the County Mayor, relating to establishing P3s for the financing, design, construction, operation and maintenance of County social infrastructure (civil and criminal court buildings and jail facilities). Under the contract, Ashurst LLP may perform additional services for other P3 arrangements subject to express authorization by the BCC.</p> <p>This legal services contract will complement, not duplicate or supersede, the capabilities of the County Attorney's Office to provide in-house services and requires Ashurst LLP to identify areas where the County may utilize its in-house capabilities in lieu of contracted services. All assignments (e.g., drafting Request for Qualifications, Request for Proposals, clarifications, addenda, comprehensive and interim agreements, terms and conditions and providing legal advice) under this contract will be authorized via work orders.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the two-year term is \$1,000,000. Should the County choose to exercise, at its sole discretion, the two (2) one-year options to renew, the estimated cumulative value will be \$2,000,000. The funding source will be determined on a project-by-project basis.</p> <p><u>Vendor Recommended for Award</u> A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition on April 29, 2015. Fifteen proposals were received in response to the solicitation. An RFP was used to obtain the best value to the County by conducting a qualitative review of proposals, including qualifications, experience and project approach. Oral presentations were held with the five (5) highest-scoring firms. Following oral presentations, the Competitive Selection Committee recommended that the County enter into contract negotiations with Ashurst LLP, the top-ranked firm. The resultant contract is recommended for award.</p> <p>Number of Employee Residents 1) Miami-Dade - 0 2) Broward - 0 3) Percentage – 0%</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<p>Vendors Not Recommended for Award The following vendors were not awarded based on their evaluation/scores ranking:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allen & Overy LLP; Ballard Spahr LLP; Broad and Cassel; Bryant Miller Olive, P.A.; Dentons LLP; Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP; Hawkins Delafield & Wood; Hogan Lovells US LLP; Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP; Mayer Brown LLP; Nixon Peabody LLP; Nossaman LLP; Pannone Lopes Devereaux & West LLC; and Troutman Sanders LLP. <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor and Local Preference were applied in accordance with the Ordinances. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. 						
	Additional Information-Public Private Partnership Prior Legislation						
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">R-1368-07 <i>12/6/2007</i></td> <td>Requested that the Mayor or his designee prepare a written status report regarding all Public Private Partnerships or other business arrangements between the County and/or County agencies and private entities, including non-profit corporations and to recommend a procedure for evaluation and implementation regarding capital projects in order to maximize the County's investment and development of such County projects. The initial submission of the report was to be within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution and quarterly thereafter.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>O-13-72 and R-1022-13 <i>7/2/2013</i></td> <td> <p>Created Section 2-8.1.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership Program, for the purpose of infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County and provides for the following:</p> <p>Required the preparation and periodic update of a plan.</p> <p>Directed the Mayor to develop, and deliver, the plan to the BCC within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Section a written plan to maximize the use of public private partnerships in County projects (the Plan). The Plan will be subject to BCC approval and will be updated and reported to the BCC, through its Infrastructure Committee, every six months.</p> <p>The Plan will contain, at a minimum the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of projects considered suitable for public private partnerships arrangements; • Timeline for their completion, and an identification of potential advantages and disadvantages of the delivery method in connection with each project; • Proposed legislative recommendations to simplify the County processes utilized to identify, solicit, evaluate, and contract for private investment opportunities consistent with applicable law; • Propose an amendment to the provisions of this Code governing unsolicited proposals, to simplify them, conform them to additional authorizations that may have resulted from amendments to the State law, and make them more effective; and • A description of similar projects in other communities in the United States which may be used as a model. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>R-150-15 <i>2/3/2015</i></td> <td>Created a Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership (P3) Task Force and set forth P3 Task Force purpose, powers, duties, membership composition and qualifications,</td> </tr> </table>	R-1368-07 <i>12/6/2007</i>	Requested that the Mayor or his designee prepare a written status report regarding all Public Private Partnerships or other business arrangements between the County and/or County agencies and private entities, including non-profit corporations and to recommend a procedure for evaluation and implementation regarding capital projects in order to maximize the County's investment and development of such County projects. The initial submission of the report was to be within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution and quarterly thereafter.	O-13-72 and R-1022-13 <i>7/2/2013</i>	<p>Created Section 2-8.1.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership Program, for the purpose of infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County and provides for the following:</p> <p>Required the preparation and periodic update of a plan.</p> <p>Directed the Mayor to develop, and deliver, the plan to the BCC within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Section a written plan to maximize the use of public private partnerships in County projects (the Plan). The Plan will be subject to BCC approval and will be updated and reported to the BCC, through its Infrastructure Committee, every six months.</p> <p>The Plan will contain, at a minimum the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of projects considered suitable for public private partnerships arrangements; • Timeline for their completion, and an identification of potential advantages and disadvantages of the delivery method in connection with each project; • Proposed legislative recommendations to simplify the County processes utilized to identify, solicit, evaluate, and contract for private investment opportunities consistent with applicable law; • Propose an amendment to the provisions of this Code governing unsolicited proposals, to simplify them, conform them to additional authorizations that may have resulted from amendments to the State law, and make them more effective; and • A description of similar projects in other communities in the United States which may be used as a model. 	R-150-15 <i>2/3/2015</i>	Created a Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership (P3) Task Force and set forth P3 Task Force purpose, powers, duties, membership composition and qualifications,
R-1368-07 <i>12/6/2007</i>	Requested that the Mayor or his designee prepare a written status report regarding all Public Private Partnerships or other business arrangements between the County and/or County agencies and private entities, including non-profit corporations and to recommend a procedure for evaluation and implementation regarding capital projects in order to maximize the County's investment and development of such County projects. The initial submission of the report was to be within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution and quarterly thereafter.						
O-13-72 and R-1022-13 <i>7/2/2013</i>	<p>Created Section 2-8.1.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership Program, for the purpose of infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County and provides for the following:</p> <p>Required the preparation and periodic update of a plan.</p> <p>Directed the Mayor to develop, and deliver, the plan to the BCC within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Section a written plan to maximize the use of public private partnerships in County projects (the Plan). The Plan will be subject to BCC approval and will be updated and reported to the BCC, through its Infrastructure Committee, every six months.</p> <p>The Plan will contain, at a minimum the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of projects considered suitable for public private partnerships arrangements; • Timeline for their completion, and an identification of potential advantages and disadvantages of the delivery method in connection with each project; • Proposed legislative recommendations to simplify the County processes utilized to identify, solicit, evaluate, and contract for private investment opportunities consistent with applicable law; • Propose an amendment to the provisions of this Code governing unsolicited proposals, to simplify them, conform them to additional authorizations that may have resulted from amendments to the State law, and make them more effective; and • A description of similar projects in other communities in the United States which may be used as a model. 						
R-150-15 <i>2/3/2015</i>	Created a Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership (P3) Task Force and set forth P3 Task Force purpose, powers, duties, membership composition and qualifications,						

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	organization and procedures, regulations, reporting requirements, staff support and sunset provision.
R-151-15 2/3/2015	Directed the Mayor, or Mayor’s designee, to solicit proposals for a public private partnership to design, build, finance, operate and maintain County Civil and Criminal Court Facilities and Jail Facilities and for the retention of financial consultants and special counsel to advise the County regarding proposed Court and Jail Facilities public private partnership, and, if approved by the BCC, other public private partnership arrangements.
R-736-15 9/1/2015	Amended the powers and duties of the P3 Task Force. The P3 Task Force’s powers and duties set forth in Resolution No. R-150-15 were amended to eliminate from the P3 Task Force’s powers and duties the identification of potential projects that address critical infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County that are suitable for public private partnership arrangements.

Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:

During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the following was discussed:

- *The Committee asked how many firms responded to the RFP, to which the Internal Services Department (ISD) Director responded that 15 proposals were received.*
- *The Committee questioned what the awarding criteria was, to which the ISD Director noted that Ashurst was ranked first in pre-oral and oral presentations with a score of 426 points, and that the second ranked firm was Dentons LLP with 410 points.*
- *The Committee expressed concerns with the top ranked firm being based in the UK.*
- *The Committee questioned about an already existing pool and whether or not it could be accessed.*

Additional Information - U.S. banks and Brexit: ‘keep calm and carry on’ while planning for contingencies, Reuters, June 28, 2016²:

- *U.S. firms will need to consider a series of contingency options regarding their future operations in Europe. However, the greatest immediate test for compliance and risk management across all firms will be the likelihood of continued market volatility and possibility of improper conduct.*
- *On Friday, it was estimated that global stock markets lost more than \$2 trillion of value in the largest single day drop since at least 2007. Given continued uncertainty over just how Britain will leave the union, and the implications for future trade, investment and economic growth, markets are expected to remain volatile, requiring compliance staff to closely monitor trading positions, profit and loss statements, as well as counterparty credit risks given the potential for substantial losses.*
- *With many investors having been caught wrong-footed on the seismic UK vote, the possibility of both internal bank trading losses as well as those among clients is not to be underestimated, say industry participants.*
- *Just how U.S. banks will be affected operationally will hinge greatly on the exit agreement the UK reaches with EU governments.*
- *What will be critical for U.S. firms, and whether they need to relocate some of their UK operations to other EU countries, is the issue of “passports” for so-called third countries. The “single passport” system allows financial services operators legally established in one EU member state to establish and provide their services in the other members without further authorization requirements.*
- *If the UK opts to stay out of any such arrangements with the EU entirely in order to get the relationship it wants, it could become what in European parlance is known as a “third country”.*

² <http://blogs.reuters.com/financial-regulatory-forum/2016/06/28/u-s-banks-and-brexit-keep-calm-and-carry-on-while-planning-for-contingencies/>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>U.S. banks effectively fall under the “third country” definition, and therefore, many of the agreements it has struck with the EU may be considered “equivalent,” allowing it to continue to provide certain services to clients across Europe.</i> • Ashurst suggests a possible decision-tree process that U.S. banks might consider would be as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Do you conduct MiFID services in the EU from the UK? If the answer is “yes,” then:</i> ○ <i>Are your clients “professional and eligible counterparties?” Again, if the answer is “yes” the next step would be:</i> ○ <i>Has your third country been deemed “Equivalent” by the EC (Article 46 MiFIR). If “yes,” then the institution would register with ESMA (European Securities and Markets Authority) and be granted access across the EU.</i> • <i>Of course, if a U.S. bank wanted to ensure that all of its European-wide services could continue under Brexit, it could move its UK operations to another EU country, such as Ireland or Germany, and be afforded full access. This is what many U.S. firms are currently contemplating given the uncertainty over what type of access they will have to the EU should they decide to remain in the UK.</i> • <i>More broadly, irrespective of which strategic option U.S. banks might take in maintaining their European presence, experts say that many of the compliance requirements they currently face in the UK will likely remain in effect given EU laws.</i> • <i>However, given the uncertainty about what Brexit will actually involve, according to Mayer Brown LLP financial institutions—both in the UK and outside the UK—should be thinking about the following:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Reviewing their crossborder strategies in the light of developing negotiations – relocation to other EU countries may be an option, but not the only one;</i> ○ <i>Checking the impact of Brexit on their capital adequacy, their liquidity and their access to funding, particularly given a risk of capital flight and the current market volatility;</i> ○ <i>Checking whether their financial contracts will be affected;</i> ○ <i>Reviewing their future staff location and mobility plans;</i> ○ <i>Continuing to work on the implementation of current and forthcoming EU directives as they will be law for at least the next two years and may continue to be so for some time after;</i> ○ <i>Making sure that systems and controls are in place to address the current market volatility and report any activity in the market that they suspect does not meet proper standards of market conduct; and</i> ○ <i>Actively lobbying the regulators and the UK government to ensure that the concerns of the financial services industry, which is such a major contributor to UK GDP, are put to the fore.</i>
8F4 161076	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP-00297 TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY LLC FOR THE DELIVERY OF ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005 CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES WITH A TOTAL ESTIMATED ALLOCATION AMOUNT OF UP TO \$800,000.00 FOR THE FIVE-YEAR TERM AND FIVE, ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. RFP-00297, Energy Policy Act of 2005 Consultant Services, to Efficiency Energy, LLC (Efficiency Energy) for the delivery of consulting services to enable the County to capitalize on tax rebate revenues available under federal law for qualifying energy efficient buildings and construction projects. The Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, through its Office of Resilience, will oversee this contract on behalf of the County.</p> <p>Section 179D of the Internal Revenue Service Code (Energy Efficient Commercial Buildings Deduction) enacted under Section 1331 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 allows designers, including architects, engineers, contractors and environmental consultants, to receive tax deductions for completing qualifying energy efficient construction projects on property owned by local governments. This is the case only where the government entity owner allocates the deduction to the designer. Under this contract, Efficiency Energy will assist the County in the (1) negotiation of agreements with project designers to maximize payment to the County in return for the County’s assignment of the tax deduction to the designer, (2) determination of project eligibility, (3) achievement of tax deductibility, and (4) identification of future projects that are eligible for tax deductions.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Under the contract, Energy Efficiency will receive 15 percent of the rebate revenue the County retains from the designer over the contract’s initial five-year term and five (5), one-year options to renew. Negotiations with Energy Efficiency resulted in a reduction from the original proposed retention of 20 percent of the rebate revenue. Actual revenues cannot be determined until eligible projects have been identified and tax deductibility has been confirmed by Efficiency Energy. However, the potential revenue value of the contract, including the optional years, may exceed \$1,000,000.</p> <p>The allocation requested for the five-year term is \$300,000. Should the legislation allowing these deductions be further extended beyond the current December 31, 2016 cutoff, and the County chooses to exercise the five (5), one-year options to renew, the estimated cumulative allocation would be \$800,000.</p> <p>Vendor Recommended for Award A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition on November 2, 2015. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. The RFP method of award was used to obtain the best value to the County by conducting a qualitative review of proposals, including qualifications, experience, and technical capability.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efficiency Energy, LLC 288 Clayton Street Suite 302 Denver, CO <p>Vendor Not Recommended for Award Energy Design Services Systems, LLC</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision does not apply as this is a revenue-generating contract. • The Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor did not apply as this is a revenue-generating contract. • The Local Preference was applied in accordance with the ordinance. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned whether or not local firms were considered in the selection process.</i> • <i>The Assistant Director of the Internal Services Department explained that the services being requested were highly specialized and the Department was not aware of a local company that could perform the services needed.</i>
8F5 161120	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00293 FOR PURCHASE OF COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL OF RECYCLABLE MATERIALS FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,729,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00293, Collection and Disposal of Recyclable Materials from County Facilities, for multiple County departments.</p> <p>The awarded vendors will be responsible for the routine collection of over 1,200 containers of recyclable materials from approximately 500 sites, and the disposal of the materials at a permitted resource recovery and management facility. Recyclables include corrugated cardboard and comingled materials, such as glass, metal, plastic containers, office paper, newspaper and other paper-based products. The contract includes five (5) zones:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																										
	Zone	Description																																																									
	A	Sites located at County airports																																																									
	B	Sites located in the northern region of the County and not federally funded																																																									
	C	Sites located in the southern region of the County and not federally funded																																																									
	D	Sites located in the northern region of the County and federally funded																																																									
	E	Sites located in the southern region of the County and federally funded																																																									
	Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:																																																										
	<p>The fiscal impact for the initial three-year term is \$1,598,000. Should the County choose to exercise the two (2), two-year options to renew, the contract's cumulative value will be \$3,729,000. The previous contract, RFQ841, was valued at \$1,029,000 for a five-year term. Less than two (2) years into the initial term of the contract, the awarded vendor failed to perform resulting in contract termination and permanent debarment.</p> <p>It was determined that the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces and Aviation departments would encounter operational disruptions should recycling services be interrupted due to the vendor termination. Additionally, recycling services had to be secured to comply with the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification requirements for six (6) County-owned buildings. Therefore, contracts were put into place to support those critical service needs until award of this recommended countywide contract. Under the recommended contract, pricing for Zone A (sites located at County airports) is five (5) percent lower than pricing under the current short-term contract that was established by the Aviation Department following the non-performance of the vendor under Contract No. RFQ841.</p>																																																										
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 20px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="width: 45%;">Department</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Allocation</th> <th style="width: 35%;">Funding Source</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Animal Services</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,000</td> <td>General Fund and Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aviation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$703,000</td> <td>Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clerk of Courts</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,000</td> <td>Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Community Action and Human Services</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$39,000</td> <td>General Fund and Grants Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$22,000</td> <td>General Fund</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cultural Affairs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,000</td> <td>General Fund and Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fire Rescue</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$94,000</td> <td>Fire District Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Internal Services</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$302,000</td> <td>Internal Services Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Library System</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$68,000</td> <td>Library District Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$130,000</td> <td>General Fund</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Police</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$46,000</td> <td>General Fund</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Port Miami</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$21,000</td> <td>Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Public Housing and Community Development</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$37,000</td> <td>Federal Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transportation and Public Works</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$55,000</td> <td>MDT Operating</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vizcaya Museum and Gardens</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,000</td> <td>Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Solid Waste Management</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$9,000</td> <td>General Fund and Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water and Sewer</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$54,000</td> <td>Proprietary Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,598,000</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Animal Services	\$3,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds	Aviation	\$703,000	Proprietary Funds	Clerk of Courts	\$3,000	Proprietary Funds	Community Action and Human Services	\$39,000	General Fund and Grants Funds	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$22,000	General Fund	Cultural Affairs	\$6,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds	Fire Rescue	\$94,000	Fire District Funds	Internal Services	\$302,000	Internal Services Funds	Library System	\$68,000	Library District Funds	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$130,000	General Fund	Police	\$46,000	General Fund	Port Miami	\$21,000	Proprietary Funds	Public Housing and Community Development	\$37,000	Federal Funds	Transportation and Public Works	\$55,000	MDT Operating	Vizcaya Museum and Gardens	\$6,000	Proprietary Funds	Solid Waste Management	\$9,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds	Water and Sewer	\$54,000	Proprietary Funds	Total	\$1,598,000	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																																																									
Animal Services	\$3,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds																																																									
Aviation	\$703,000	Proprietary Funds																																																									
Clerk of Courts	\$3,000	Proprietary Funds																																																									
Community Action and Human Services	\$39,000	General Fund and Grants Funds																																																									
Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$22,000	General Fund																																																									
Cultural Affairs	\$6,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds																																																									
Fire Rescue	\$94,000	Fire District Funds																																																									
Internal Services	\$302,000	Internal Services Funds																																																									
Library System	\$68,000	Library District Funds																																																									
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$130,000	General Fund																																																									
Police	\$46,000	General Fund																																																									
Port Miami	\$21,000	Proprietary Funds																																																									
Public Housing and Community Development	\$37,000	Federal Funds																																																									
Transportation and Public Works	\$55,000	MDT Operating																																																									
Vizcaya Museum and Gardens	\$6,000	Proprietary Funds																																																									
Solid Waste Management	\$9,000	General Fund and Proprietary Funds																																																									
Water and Sewer	\$54,000	Proprietary Funds																																																									
Total	\$1,598,000																																																										

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																													
	<p>Vendors Recommended for Award</p> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on October 2, 2015. Eight (8) bids were received in response to the solicitation, two (2) of which were “No Bids.” The method of award was to the lowest-priced responsive, responsible bidder by zone in the aggregate that met the solicitation’s minimum requirements. The County conducted a responsibility review of the apparent low bidder in all zones, Green Team Recycling LLC, and concluded that the bidder lacked sufficient experience and capacity to successfully deliver the solicited services. The lowest responsive, responsible bidder is recommended for award by zone as follows.</p>																													
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Awardee</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Principal Address</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Branch Offices or Headquarters in Miami-Dade or Broward</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Number of Employee Residents 1) Miami-Dade 2) Broward 3) Percentage</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Awarded Zones</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Sunshine Recycling Services of SW Florida, LLC</td> <td rowspan="3">5235 Ramsey Way Suite 18 Ft. Myers, FL</td> <td rowspan="3">3547 NW 49 Street Miami, FL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16</td> <td rowspan="3" style="text-align: center;">D & E</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">95.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Waste Management, Inc. of Florida</td> <td rowspan="3">1001 Fannin Suite 4000 Houston, TX</td> <td rowspan="3">2125 NW 10 Court Miami, FL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">232</td> <td rowspan="3" style="text-align: center;">B & C</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">42</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">85%</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">World Waste Recycling, Inc.</td> <td rowspan="3">4701 NW 35 Avenue Miami, FL</td> <td rowspan="3">Same</td> <td style="text-align: center;">39</td> <td rowspan="3" style="text-align: center;">A</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Awardee	Principal Address	Branch Offices or Headquarters in Miami-Dade or Broward	Number of Employee Residents 1) Miami-Dade 2) Broward 3) Percentage	Awarded Zones	Sunshine Recycling Services of SW Florida, LLC	5235 Ramsey Way Suite 18 Ft. Myers, FL	3547 NW 49 Street Miami, FL	16	D & E	5	95.5%	Waste Management, Inc. of Florida	1001 Fannin Suite 4000 Houston, TX	2125 NW 10 Court Miami, FL	232	B & C	42	85%	World Waste Recycling, Inc.	4701 NW 35 Avenue Miami, FL	Same	39	A	5	100%
Awardee	Principal Address	Branch Offices or Headquarters in Miami-Dade or Broward	Number of Employee Residents 1) Miami-Dade 2) Broward 3) Percentage	Awarded Zones																										
Sunshine Recycling Services of SW Florida, LLC	5235 Ramsey Way Suite 18 Ft. Myers, FL	3547 NW 49 Street Miami, FL	16	D & E																										
			5																											
			95.5%																											
Waste Management, Inc. of Florida	1001 Fannin Suite 4000 Houston, TX	2125 NW 10 Court Miami, FL	232	B & C																										
			42																											
			85%																											
World Waste Recycling, Inc.	4701 NW 35 Avenue Miami, FL	Same	39	A																										
			5																											
			100%																											
	<p>Vendors Not Recommended for Award</p> <p>Green Team Recycling, LLC was deemed non-responsible, Tak Paper Corp. and Waste Pro of Florida, Inc. offered prices higher than low, AERC.com, Inc. d/b/a AERC Recycling Solutions and Tropical Sanitation, Inc. responded indicating that they would not be providing an offer.</p>																													
	<p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference were applied in accordance with the Ordinances where permitted by the funding source. • The Living Wage Ordinance applies. 																													
	<p>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</p> <p>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-878-15, directed the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to examine and prepare a report detailing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The performance of Ecological Paper Recycling, Inc. and the steps that the administration has taken to enforce the provisions of the contract approved by Resolution No. R-805-13; • The current state of recycling at all County facilities; • The current status of any potential replacement contract to provide recycling services to County facilities; • The cleaning services vendors’ compliance with contractual provisions that require proper collection of recycled materials; and • Any recommendations for Board action to improve recycling at County facilities. 																													

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Mayor or Mayor’s designee is directed to provide the report to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on a BCC agenda pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 at the next scheduled meeting.</p> <p>The Mayor or Mayor’s designee is further directed to develop contingency plans to ensure continuation of recycling services at County facilities in the absence of a recycling vendor, or in instances of vendor non-performance, including but not limited to the mobilization of the Public Works and Waste Management Department to provide recycling at County facilities. Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee is further directed to develop training and education programs for County employees on the benefits of recycling and how to ensure the correct items are recycled.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on RFQ 841:</u> On October 1, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-805-13, approved the execution of Contract No. RFQ841, County Facilities Recycling Program to Ecological Paper Recycling, Inc., in the aggregate amount of \$2,263,800.00 with Ecological Paper Recycling, Inc., and authorized the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds. The services to be provided included the collection, transportation and recycling of materials such as paper, cardboard, glass, plastic, and metal.</p> <p>A Request for Qualifications (RFQ749) was issued in August 2010 to replace the existing contract for recycling services, with a comprehensive recycling program to include recycling of paper, cardboard, glass, plastic, and metal containers at an expanded number of County facilities. Proposals were received and evaluated. A contract was negotiated with the highest ranked proposer, Southeastern Recycling Corporation. After the contract was negotiated, the proposer advised the County that its assets were acquired by, and its contracts would be assigned to, Waste Management Inc. of Florida. However, it was not in the County’s best interest to implement the program at that time, therefore, it was recommended that all proposals be rejected.</p> <p>A new solicitation (RFQ841) was issued in November 2012. The solicitation divided the County into eleven geographical zones, which includes approximately 500 facilities/sites. Proposers were allowed to submit a proposal for, and be awarded, any or all of the zones. Three proposals were received and evaluated. Waste Management Inc. of Florida was the highest ranked proposer and was recommended for negotiations for all zones. The negotiations team was unable to reach an agreement on a contract with Waste Management Inc. of Florida. Negotiations were terminated with the firm and the negotiations team successfully negotiated a contract for all zones with the second highest ranked responsive and responsible firm, Ecological Paper Recycling, Inc., based on the evaluation criteria established in the solicitation. This new contract replaced Contract No. 6829-2/11-3.</p> <p>Contract No. 6829-2/11 The previous contract, Contract No. 6829-2/11 - Mixed Paper Recycling and Office Document Shredding, expired on February 28, 2014, and limited recyclable material to paper and cardboard that was collected from a limited number of County facilities (147 sites). Consistent with the County’s Sustainable Buildings Program, the new contract met the requirements for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification by expanding the scope to include glass, plastic and metal containers.</p> <p>While this recommendation requested estimated five-year allocations for various departments totaling \$1,029,000, the actual cost to the County is expected to be negligible due to revenues received from the rebates.</p> <p><i>Service Fees Paid by the County:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Service fees were to be paid to the vendor for collection, transportation and recycling of materials, which is conducted curbside for smaller facilities, and at designated points of service for larger facilities.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The amounts allocated for service fees to be paid by the County, without factoring rebates to be received from the vendor for the initial five-year term was \$1,029,000, or \$205,800 per year.</i> • <i>The negotiated service fee for the first year of the contract for all of the departments listed below was \$86,760.</i> • <i>The difference between the annual allocated amount and the actual negotiated service fee was to allow room for expansion of additional sites/facilities depending on the adoption rate/success of the program.</i> • <i>If the County chose to exercise the two, three-year options, the cumulative allocation budgeted for service fees would have been \$2,263,800 for eleven years.</i> <p><i>Revenue (Rebates) to County:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The County’s recycling contract only provided for recycling of paper and cardboard (includes document shredding). The new contract expanded the reach of this service throughout the County, and adds plastic, glass, aluminum and steel (did not include document shredding).</i> • <i>The previous contract for paper and cardboard recycling produced \$117,265 in net revenue to the County for fiscal year 2011-2012.</i> • <i>Depending on the actual volume of recyclables collected, it was anticipated that under the proposed contract the rebates to the County would increase and fully offset the service fees, and would be a revenue-generating contract for the County, as is currently the case with the existing recycling contract.</i> • <i>Further, while difficult to quantify, the County expected to benefit from reduced costs for trash pick-up and disposal as a result of having a comprehensive recycling program in place.</i> <p><i>The rebate rates for paper and cardboard were negotiated with the vendor using the current commodity rates for paper and cardboard (as published by Official Board Markets “The Yellow Sheet”). These rates fluctuate with the market and the contract allowed for adjustments on a monthly basis accordingly. Further, the County negotiated: a) a 50 percent rebate on commingled materials (plastic, glass, aluminum, and steel) with mixed paper; b) a 70 percent rebate on corrugated cardboard; c) a 90% rebate on mixed paper; and d) a 30 percent rebate on comingled materials.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information - Metropolitan Services Committee meeting discussion:</u></p> <p><i>During the June 15, 2016 Metropolitan Services Committee meeting R-878-15 was discussed as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted that for more than one year, the County did not have recycling in County buildings because the recycling service had been in default and there had been no contingency plan.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned what penalties were included in the contract considering there have been deficiencies with this contract for some time. The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) explained that there were penalties that include breach, re-procurement costs and that involve debarment and the Department is pursuing all three with regards to the former vendor, which is a local, since 2014. The Department has placed the firm in a performance improvement program plan however the Department still had to address concerns to access smaller contracts.</i> • <i>The committee asked if there was a project manager assigned to the contract to which the Director responded that there was and that significant documentation was recorded that sited the company each time there was a deficiency. The Director noted that appropriate termination of the vendor and contract was pursued and that the company filed for bankruptcy and the department filed a claim for damages in bankruptcy court.</i>
8F6 161184	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00251 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$16,000,000.00 FOR PLAYGROUND INSTALLATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES FOR THE PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACES DEPARTMENT FOR A TERM OF EIGHT YEARS AND FUNDED IN PART FROM THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00251, to provide playground installation and infrastructure services for the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department in a total amount up to \$16,000,000.00 for a term of eight years.</p> <p>The pool has two (2) groups: (1) Installation Services, which include the removal and disposal of playground and park equipment, and (2) Infrastructure Services, which include, but are not limited to, the pouring of asphalt or concrete for the paving of walkways, water line installation for shelters, and electrical connections.</p> <p>Background: On February 2, 2016, the BCC approved the procurement of playground and park equipment through Resolution No. R-113-16. This pool covers the installation and infrastructure services for equipment.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$16,000,000. The previous pool, 4907-3/13-3, was valued at \$30,326,000 for seven (7) years. The allocation for this replacement pool is significantly lower than the previous pool as it does not include the purchase of playground and park equipment. The allocation is based on the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Department’s projected usage over the term of the pool.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #d9ead3;">Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$8,500,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$4,242,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2nd OTR 4907-3/13-2 2/1/2011-1/31/2012</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$4,242,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3rd OTR 4907-3/13-3 2/1/2012-1/31/2015</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$4,242,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Proration</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$9,100,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Vendors Pre-Qualified for Pool A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on June 23, 2015. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the solicitation for participation in future spot market competitions.</p> <p>Fifteen vendors responded to the solicitation, of which nine (9) were responsive and six (6) were “No Bids.” On April 1, 2016, the Procurement Management Services Division of the Internal Services Department held a publicly-noticed meeting to assist vendors that failed to comply with the requirements of the RTQ. Of the nine (9) responsive vendors, five (5) are being recommended for inclusion in the pool.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Al Bosgraaf & Sons Inc., Building Contractors 240 Rebel Run Osteen, FL • D.W. Recreation Services, Inc. (SBE) 2500 NW 79 Avenue Number 258 Doral, FL • H.G. Construction, Development and Investment, Inc. (SBE) 7570 SW 77 Avenue Miami, FL 	Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13		Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs	\$8,500,000	1st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011	\$4,242,000	2nd OTR 4907-3/13-2 2/1/2011-1/31/2012	\$4,242,000	3rd OTR 4907-3/13-3 2/1/2012-1/31/2015	\$4,242,000	Proration	\$9,100,000
Additional Information on Contract No. 4907-3/13													
Original Contract 4907-3/13 2/1/2008-1/31/2010 2 year term with 3, 1 year OTRs	\$8,500,000												
1st OTR 4907-3/13-1 2/1/2010-1/31/2011	\$4,242,000												
2nd OTR 4907-3/13-2 2/1/2011-1/31/2012	\$4,242,000												
3rd OTR 4907-3/13-3 2/1/2012-1/31/2015	\$4,242,000												
Proration	\$9,100,000												

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leadex Corporation (SBE, DBE) 2601 SW 69 Court Miami, FL • Regal Contractors, Inc. 1220 SW Squire Johns Lane Palm City, FL <p>Vendors Not Pre-Qualified for Pool Beachcomber Fiberglass Technology, Inc., Dade Pump & Supply Co., Design America, Hornung's Golf Products, Inc., Preservation Materials International, LLC and Sturdisteel were not recommended as a result of no bid. Bliss Products and Services, Inc. and Playground Services, Inc. did not submit the required license to satisfy the pre-qualification criteria. Upon the submission of the required license, they will be added to the pool. John Fitzgerald, Inc. and Play/Space Services, Inc. d/b/a Advanced Recreational Concepts did not complete the vendor registration process. Upon completion of the registration process, they will be added to the pool.</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The User Access Program provision applies and the two (2) percent fee will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. • The solicitation was assigned a Community Workforce Program goal that may apply to individual spot market quotes. All individual spot market quotes will be reviewed by the Internal Services Department's Small Business Development Division for application of measures prior to advertising. • The Local Preference Ordinance will be applied at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <p><u>Additional Information on Resolution No. R-113-16- Playground and Park Equipment:</u> On February 2, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-113-16, authorized the Designated Purchases of playground and park equipment from a to-be-established open pool of pre-qualified vendors, and, in certain limited instances, the installation, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(B)(3) of the County Code. This resolution allows the Miami-Dade County Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) to procure playground and park equipment from pre-qualified and specialty vendors based upon unique project criteria.</p> <p>PROS was to select vendors not exclusively on the basis of price but also based on which design and system is appropriate for the community, project, and department, within the constraints of the project budget. The unique project criteria could include community input, special needs, maintenance of consistency within parks or facilities, durability, maintainability, availability, and product catalog-based price as discounted by vendors. It is not practicable to purchase playgrounds and park equipment based solely on inflexible price-exclusive selection, as each one of these manufactured products is unique in design and appearance and needs to conform to and address local community needs and park aesthetics.</p> <p>R-113-16 further provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authority provided by R-113-16 will be in place for three (3) years, and will permit the purchase of playground and park equipment, as well as the procurement of installation services at a total value not-to-exceed \$350,000 per project and \$4,000,000 in aggregate, with no minimum guarantee; • Specifically, R-113-16 will allow the Administration to establish an open pool of pre-qualified vendors of playground and park equipment; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Under this authority, when it is not practicable to solicit formal sealed bids, PROS will select vendors not exclusively on the basis of price but also based on which design and system is appropriate for the community, project, and department, within the constraints of the project budget; and</i> ○ <i>For each equipment purchase, PROS will select up to three (3) vendors with appropriate product lines for consideration, and will obtain price quotes and product details to conduct an informal comparison among those vendors. The vendor that presents the product with the lowest price that best addresses the local community needs and park aesthetics will be selected. Pricing will be based on a vendor discount on the stated manufacturer's suggested retail price.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additionally, R-113-16 will allow sole source purchasing, when warranted, including for procurement of replacement parts from the original equipment manufacturer, procurement of materials to match existing park elements (i.e. park benches) and equipment for which only one (1) product has a Notice of Acceptance from the State of Florida/Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>PROS has researched other public playground contracts in the State of Florida, and the manner of pricing as an agreed discount against MSRP is the common form of contract. Installation will be procured competitively under a separate contract based on price. In limited circumstances, PROS may procure the installation of playground and park equipment directly through equipment vendors via the pre-qualified playground and park equipment pool, as required, under the authority of this resolution.</i>
8F7 161196	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00337 FOR PURCHASE OF TIRE LEASING AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$13,539,000.00 OVER THE FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00337, Tire Leasing and Related Services, for the Department of Transportation and Public Works.</p> <p>This contract will provide for tire leasing and related services for the department's bus fleet. The awarded vendor, Michelin North America, Inc. (Michelin) will supply new tires for three (3) bus facilities. Tire services include, but are not limited to, mounting, dismounting, wheel rim inspection, tire repairs, re-grooving, re-treading, balancing, and disposal. Michelin provides equipment, tools and supplies to perform the required services. A "lease versus buy" analysis confirms that continuing to lease tires is the best approach for the County as opting to buy tires and associated services would incur an additional cost of \$1 million over a five-year term.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$13,539,000. The current contracts, 7400-0/15 and CBW7400-0/15 are collectively valued at \$14,652,000 for five (5) years and six (6) months. The funding source for Contract No. 7400-0/15 has changed from federal to operating, allowing the User Access Program and Inspector General Fee deductions to be applied to this replacement contract. Therefore, the overall cost to the County is less for the replacement contract.</p> <p><u>Vendor Recommended for Award</u> An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on February 5, 2016. Two (2) vendors responded to the solicitation. The method of award was to the single lowest-priced responsive and responsible bidder in the aggregate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Michelin North America, Inc. - One Parkway South Greenville, SC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Number of Employee Residents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Miami-Dade County - 1 ▪ Broward - 5 ▪ Percentage - 0.0002% <p><u>Vendor Not Recommended for Award</u> The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company offered prices that were higher than lowest bidder.</p> <p><u>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases. • The Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor and Local Preference were applied in accordance with the ordinances. • The Living Wage Ordinance applies.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Additional Information on Contract No. 7400-0/15</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract Contract No. 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$11,788,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,178,800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modified Contract Amount</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$12,966,800</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Additional Information on Contract No. CBW 7400-0/15</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract Contract No. CBW 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,532,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$153,200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modified Contract Amount</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,685,200</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Contract No. 7400-0/15		Original Contract Contract No. 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i>	\$11,788,000	Proration	\$1,178,800	Modified Contract Amount	\$12,966,800	Additional Information on Contract No. CBW 7400-0/15		Original Contract Contract No. CBW 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i>	\$1,532,000	Proration	\$153,200	Modified Contract Amount	\$1,685,200
Additional Information on Contract No. 7400-0/15																	
Original Contract Contract No. 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i>	\$11,788,000																
Proration	\$1,178,800																
Modified Contract Amount	\$12,966,800																
Additional Information on Contract No. CBW 7400-0/15																	
Original Contract Contract No. CBW 7400-1/15 <i>R-328-11</i>	\$1,532,000																
Proration	\$153,200																
Modified Contract Amount	\$1,685,200																
8F8 161199	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCESS OF THE NATIONAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL PURCHASING ALLIANCE CONTRACT NO. 120471 THROUGH JULY 31, 2017 FOR THE PURCHASE OF PANASONIC SOLUTIONS, INCLUDING TOUGHBOOKS, RELATED ACCESSORIES AND SERVICES, FOR THE MIAMI-DADE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,764,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCESS SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves accessing the National Intergovernmental Purchasing Alliance Company (National IPA) competitively established Contract No. 120471 for a term through July 31, 2017 in the amount of \$1,764,000.00 for purchase of Panasonic solutions for the Information Technology Department.</p> <p>The contract offers a comprehensive line of Panasonic public safety solutions, including, but not limited to, fully-rugged, vehicle mounted rugged, semi-rugged and business-rugged toughbooks (laptops), tablets, accessories and associated services. The Information Technology Department will manage this contract on behalf of various County departments (e.g., Fire Rescue, Police and Water and Sewer) that rely on it to purchase toughbooks and associated Panasonic business solutions used by their field personnel. Toughbook computers are ideal for field personnel as the computers are designed to withstand vibrations, drops, spills, extreme temperatures, and rough handling typically encountered in the field.</p> <p>Under this contract, the Information Technology Department will issue spot market quotes, as needed, to Panasonic authorized resellers to obtain the toughbooks and associated accessories and services. Market research indicates that this National IPA contract offers the County the best rates found for these goods and services as the contract was established via a cooperative purchasing program that offers low prices based on aggregate spend and time savings due to the convenience of accessing an existing contract.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the current term, which expires on July 31, 2017, is \$1,764,000. The previous National IPA contract, 130733, was valued at \$990,000 for a 10-month period. The requested allocation under this replacement contract has increased based on identified purchases and projected future needs.</p> <p><u>Vendor Recommended for Award</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDW Government, LLC 200 N Milwaukee Ave Vernon Hills, IL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Number of Employee Residents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Miami-Dade – 2 ▪ Broward – 0 ▪ Percentage – 0.13% • GOVDIRECT, Inc. 601 Cleveland Street Suite 415 Clearwater, FL 																

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Number of Employee Residents - 0 ● Insight Public Sector, Inc. 444 Scott Drive Bloomingdale, IL ○ Number of Employee Residents – 0 <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies where permitted by the funding source. ● The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances do not apply. ● The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.
8F9 161201	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$7,600,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 060B2490022 FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY NETWORK HARDWARE, ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$7,600,000 for Contract No. 060B2490022, Information Technology Network Hardware, Associated Equipment, and Services, for the Information Technology Department.</p> <p>This request will consolidate the purchase of information technology equipment and services currently procured through multiple contracts, ultimately lowering the annual spend for such equipment and services. The requested additional expenditure authority will fund the acquisition of information technology hardware, including servers, thin clients, network and security system products, and associated support services through Fiscal Year 2017-18. More specifically, the funds will be used to purchase firewall security infrastructure services for County departments, which are critical for the detection and prevention of cyber-attacks and the unauthorized access to computer resources, systems, and websites. The services include firewall replacement, anti-virus products, software and hardware renewal, and firewall technical support services.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>This contract was established in September 2015 under the County Mayor’s delegated authority and is used to purchase servers and associated peripherals, printers, network communications equipment, installation, manufacturer’s extended warranty, and training services to support the County’s vast enterprise network infrastructure.</p> <p>This contract requires that vendors offer pricing lower than the manufacturer’s suggested retail price for specified goods and services and will function as the primary source for the County’s purchase of network security equipment and related services.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The contract has an existing allocation of \$990,000 and expires on November 14, 2027. The requested modification will authorize increased spending authority of \$7,600,000, resulting in a total contract value of \$8,590,000. The requested increase in expenditure authority is based on projected needs for identified projects. Future modifications to this contract may be requested as additional critical network infrastructure projects are identified.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Carousel Industries of North America, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 659 South County Trail Exeter, RI ○ 11011 SW 155 Place Miami, FL ● CDW Government, LLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 200 N Milwaukee Avenue Vernon Hills, IL ● Daly Computers, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 22521 Gateway Center Clarksburg, MD ● Dimension Data North America, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 11006 Rushmore Drive Suite 300 Charlotte, NC

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disys Solutions, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 44670 Cape Court Suite 100 Ashburn, VA • Knot Technology Solutions, LLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 5611 Exeter Street Churchton, MD • Mythics, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1439 N Great Neck Road Virginia Beach, VA • Universal Adaptive Consulting Services, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10416 Scenic Place Glen Allen, VA • 9509 NW 47 Terrace Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures The User Access Program provision applies. The two (2) percent discount will be collected on all purchases.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances do not apply. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.
8F10 161237	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP-00196 TO AVMED, INC. FOR THE PROVISION OF A SELF-FUNDED EMPLOYEE GROUP HEALTHCARE PROGRAM FOR THE HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$52,310,000.00 OVER THE INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM AND ONE, TWO-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW WITH ESTIMATED CLAIMS COSTS OF \$2,100,000,000.00 OVER THE INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. RFP-00196, Self-Funded Employee Group Healthcare Program, to AvMed, Inc. (AvMed) to provide third-party administrative services for the County's comprehensive self-funded group healthcare program. Covered groups under the Program include employees and their eligible dependents, retirees and Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) participants. Under the contract, AvMed will deliver the current plan design (Point of Service and High, Select, Jackson First and Low Health Maintenance Organization options, pursuant to collective bargaining agreements) plus Wellness and Disease Management Programs.</p> <p>The proposed negotiated contract includes a \$300,000 enhancement in plan design features (e.g., a part-time prenatal education advisor, full-time nutritionist, tele-medicine option for physician consultations and enhanced reporting features), and \$2.6 million in enhancement funds for the Wellness Program to be funded by AvMed.</p> <p>Background: The County's healthcare program has been provided through the current contract, RFP-559, since plan year 2008. The projected ASO fees for plan year 2016 under the current contract are \$10,050,664. The ASO fees under this replacement contract yields an estimated 28 percent savings (over \$2.5 million savings per year) compared to the amount the County is paying in ASO fees through the current contract. During the option to renew term, the ASO fees may increase by no more than five (5) percent and will be subject to negotiation. Both the old and new contracts were competitively solicited. The reduction in annual fees between the two (2) contract periods can be attributed to technological improvements in processing which have caused a decrease in the costs of these administrative services.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the five-year term is estimated to be \$7,313,464 per year. Should the County choose to exercise the one (1), two-year option to renew term, the contract's estimated cumulative value will be up to \$52,310,000 The contract allocation is based on self-funded group healthcare fees, also known as Administrative Services Only (ASO) fees. The actual ASO fees paid will be based on the number of enrolled members in the plan during each plan year. Through this self-funded program, the County also pays the claim expenses incurred. The estimated claims cost for the initial five-year term is \$2.1 billion.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Vendor Recommended for Award</p> <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC approved advertisement of a solicitation for the Program through Resolution No. R-396-15. The County sought a plan that includes robust wellness and disease management programs that provides cost savings for the County and its employees. All unions were provided with the draft solicitation and invited to provide feedback. The approved solicitation reflects input from the following labor unions: American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees 199 General, 1542 Aviation, 3292 Solid Waste and the General Government Supervisors Union.</p> <p>A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition on May 6, 2015. Four (4) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. An RFP was used to obtain the best value to the County by conducting a qualitative review of proposals, including qualifications, experience, financial stability and project approach. The Competitive Selection Committee concluded that AvMed's proposal represented the most comprehensive project approach meeting the County's operational needs as the firm offered a self-funded employee group healthcare program that includes all plan design options/benefits currently available, beneficial plan enhancements, and full transparency to provider discounts, billed charges and pharmacy rebates.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AvMed, Inc. 9400 S Dadeland Boulevard Suite 701 Miami, FL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Number of Employee Residents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 401 ▪ 43 ▪ 63% <p>Vendors Not Recommended for Award</p> <p>Cigna Health & Life Insurance Company, Aetna Life Insurance Company and United Healthcare Services, Inc. were not awarded based on their evaluation scores.</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision does not apply as the services are exempt. • The Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor was not applicable due to the funding source.* • Local Preference was applied in accordance with the Ordinance. • The services being provided are not covered under the Living Wage Ordinance. <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>The County implemented a self-funded health program in August 2007. AvMed, Inc. has served as the County's administrator since the inception of such program. Currently, the County employs approximately 26,000 individuals in South Florida, although the program covers 46,000 lives. Covered groups include Miami-Dade County active employees, retirees (Medicare and Non-Medicare eligible), Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) participants and their eligible dependents, in addition to both the Housing Finance and Industrial Development Authorities. Jackson Health System (JHS) has been a covered group within the County's Program since the inception of the current agreement, with the exception of Plan year 2011. However, JHS will not participate as a covered group in the County's future program resulting from this solicitation.</p> <p>The County's existing Plan Design includes two (2) Point-of-Service (POS) options, and four (4) Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) options: two (2) Open Access High, one (1) Select and one (1) Low. Additionally, there are three (3) design options offered to Medicare-eligible retirees. Modifications to the County's benefit levels are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Additionally, the County reserves the right to alter the current plan design going forward. The County is not interested in proposals that only offer one of the above design options described.</p> <p>The purpose of the solicitation is to verify competitiveness of the County's current program. The County is interested in receiving a comparative value-added program design and fee, which may include, but not be limited to, reductions in employee out-of-pocket expenses, greater accessibility to network providers and a</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>comparative custom formulary inclusive of the minimum therapeutic categories and copay tiers included in the current plan design, along with specific options that address the County's actively employed and retired populations. Additionally, the County is interested in the proposer's approach to offering up to two (2) alternate plan options for possible consideration and inclusion in the County's program, at the County's' sole discretion.</p> <p>The County anticipates awarding a contract for five (5) years, with one (1) option to renew, consisting of twenty-four (24) months, at the County's sole discretion.</p>
Research Notes Regarding Miami-Dade County Employee Self-Funded Health Plan	
Item	Summary
<p>File No. 070600 - Report <i>Substituted File No. 070416 to clarify the intent to continue offering the JMH Health Plan HMO to both Public Health Trust employees as well as County employees</i> 3/6/2007</p>	<p>On March 6, 2007 the County Manager issued a report regarding the issuance of a RFP for a self-funded medical program to be offered to Miami-Dade County and the PHT employees and retirees effective January 1, 2008. According to the report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The program would include three plan choices: a Point of Service (POS), a High Option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) and a Low Option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO). • In March 2006, a healthcare survey (copy attached) was mailed to 26,818 County employees actively participating in the County's health insurance plans. A total of 5,919 surveys, or 22.1% were returned. • Following the employee survey, we conducted 10 focus groups throughout the County, with a cross representation of departments, unions, and health plan participation. The effort culminated in a June 2006 Employee Healthcare Forum, during which the survey and focus group results were presented. • Employee feedback produced several key findings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The primary consideration for selecting their healthcare program is whether or not their physician is part of the network; ○ The majority of employees were satisfied with the overall value of their plan; and ○ More than half of the employees supported reducing the total number of medical plans that are offered in order to control premiums. • 19% of our employees who responded to the survey and have children indicated that they do not have any health insurance coverage for their children. <p>Plan Consolidation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff would be seeking proposals which mirror (or exceed) our current plan design. Deductibles, co-insurance levels, and co-payments will not be altered. In addition to offering a Point of Service Plan and a "high" HMO which mirrors our current HMO program, employees, at their sole discretion, may opt for a "low" HMO. • In order to protect an employee's ability to continue using their current healthcare provider(s), the RFP includes a disruption analysis to match our employees' current provider utilization against the proposers' networks. • The RFP also included a thorough analysis to match the drug formulary of each proposer against the prescriptions currently utilized by our plan participants. <p>Self-Funding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modifying the existing HMO funding structure from fully insured to self-funded will achieve significant savings in the non-claims component of premiums, which includes excess administrative and risk charges, as well as profit margins. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>An analysis of the non-claims cost associated with our current fully insured plans for 2005 reflects expenses ranging from 15.3% to 25.8% of premiums, while our self-funded Point of Service Plan had only a 4.1% non-claims expense.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The risk in self-funding any program is in the ability to reasonably estimate how much the plan will cost, and adequately fund to cover those costs. Each of our current plans has sufficient data on our claims history to accurately project and fund anticipated costs. Additionally, the RFP included a provision for stop loss coverage which will protect the County from unanticipated increases in plan costs. <p>Rate Re-Tiering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because the County pays the entire cost of employee-only coverage, our current HMO plans have set the single rate higher than it should be thereby keeping dependent rates artificially low. By setting the self-funded rates at the appropriate ratio level, the County's cost for employee coverage will be lower. • It was recommended that the approximately \$45 million in projected savings be applied toward reducing dependent premiums. • The proposed approach, which changes our funding platform from fully insured to self-insured, and consolidates the number of plans offered, presents the County with a very viable alternative with a favorable impact on premiums. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>This recommendation benefits the 12,837 County and Public Health Trust employees who currently carry dependent coverage, the many employees with dependents who currently do not have any medical coverage for their children, and approximately 2,000 County and Public Health Trust retirees.</i>
<p>File No. 070601 <i>Supplement to File No. 070600</i></p> <p>3/6/2007</p>	<p>This supplement provided additional information on the report to issue an RFP for a self-funded medical program. The supplement illustrated the reductions in premiums that could have been passed on in 2007 had such a plan been implemented based on anticipated savings.</p>
<p>R-810-07</p> <p>7/10/2007</p>	<p>R-810-07 recommended the approval of award to Avmed Inc. to provide a self-funded medical program for Miami-Dade County. The program included a Point-of Service (POS) plan and a high and low option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plan. These coverages are to be offered to all Miami-Dade County and Public Health Trust employees, dependents, and retirees.</p> <p>Avmed, Inc. was to be the sole provider all health plans; however, the Jackson Memorial Health Plan (HMO) would still be offered.</p> <p>Funding Source: Health Insurance Trust Fund Contract Term: 3 years, with unlimited options to renew at the County's discretion Contract Measure: Small Business Enterprise selection factor (local firms are given a 5% preference). Contract Amount: \$48,168,000 (estimated) for administrative fees only, this amount will vary depending on the total enrollment of members.</p> <p>Claims costs are estimated to be \$1.2 billion for a three year period. This number would vary depending on the volume of claims per year.</p> <p>There was a total \$58 million in savings (\$25 million from switching from fully-funded to self-funded plans; plus, an additional \$33 million in rate re-tiering). These savings were to be realized within the first year of the contract not over the three year period.</p> <p>The proposed Bi-Weekly Premiums for Active Employees were as follows:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes					
			Avmed POS	Avmed HMO High Option	JMH HMO Option	
		Employee only	\$11.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	
		Employee + spouse	\$249.06	\$150.24	\$184.83	
		Employee+ child or children	\$206.65	\$130.24		
		Employee + family	430.54	\$205.24	\$250.79	
File No. 072015 <i>Supplement to R-810-07</i> 7/10/2007	The supplemental report was prepared to provide the proposed 2008 rates for Miami-Dade County and Jackson Health System employees, retirees, and their dependents.					
R-532-08 5/6/2008	<p>R-532-08 directed the County Mayor or his designee to submit a report to the Commission concerning the financial and operational status of the County's Self-Funded Program. The report required was to include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A statement regarding the projected annual savings when the Commission authorized the program to be advertised for competitive selection and when the Self-Funded Program was awarded. The reported savings shall be stated in terms of those savings which were used for premium reductions benefiting County and Public Health Trust employees and retirees; • Using the experience through July 31, 2008, a projection reflecting whether the projected savings would be achieved by the end of the first twelve months of the Self-Funded Program; • A statement regarding the number of participants in the Self-Insurance Medical Program in relation number of participants in the previous program segmented by County employees, retirees and Public Health Trust employees; • A statement regarding the closeout of the previous program and what funds might be available if any reserved amounts are unused and when these funds will be available. The statement required would also clarify how much money is in the health insurance trust fund and whether such amount or portion would be available for funding the County's portion of the cost in fiscal year 2008-2009; • A statement from the County's insurance consultant regarding the first six months of program implementation and any recommendations they might make at this time; • A statement regarding the need for an independent actuarial report of the funding necessary for fiscal year 2008-2009; and • A statement on the condition of the County's group life, dental and vision programs. This statement would include the Mayor's recommendations for additional cost savings that potentially are obtainable by the County's rebidding the County's group life, dental and vision programs. <p>The report required by R-532-08 was to be submitted to the Commission on or before August 15, 2008.</p>					
R-834-14 9/26/2014	<p>R-834-14 authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to: (1) identify and evaluate recommendations of the Labor Health Care Committee and potential changes to the County's Self-Funded Medical Program which may yield cost savings for the County and its employees while maintaining high levels of health care for County employees, retirees and their eligible dependents; (2) negotiate amendments to Contract No. 559 to include modifications to the medical plan by implementing benefit design changes through additions or alterations to medical plan offerings which will provide a comprehensive level of plan benefits and realize such savings; and (3) authorize and execute amendments of, or</p>					

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>supplemental agreements to, Contract No. 559, in accordance with Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, to achieve such cost savings on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The Mayor or Mayor’s designee was further directed to prepare and submit a written report to the Board detailing the terms of amendments or supplemental agreements to Contract No. 559 authorized by this resolution and executed by the Mayor or Mayor’s designee.</p>
<p>File No. 150166 <i>Report regarding self-funded medical program contract No. 559</i> 2/3/2015</p>	<p>Pursuant to R-834-14 a report regarding Supplement Agreement No. 9 to Contract No. 559, self-funded medical program.</p> <p>Supplemental Agreement No. 9 allows amendments to the County’s Health insurance plans so that a new Health Plan option can be offered in 2015 to non-bargaining unit employees and to all eligible bargaining unit employees in accordance with their respective Collective Bargaining Agreement. The new plan, referred to as the “Select Plan,” offers the County the ability to offer a high level of benefits at lower premium rates by securing deeper discounts from participating providers. The select network was established by negotiating with a subset of providers that have agreed to reduce their rates in exchange for an expected increase in volume from the coverage of additional “lives.”</p> <p>Premiums:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single Coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Select Plan: No premium payments; and ○ High health maintenance organization (High HMO) or point of service (POS) plans: Bi-weekly premium of \$75 for the High HMO and \$100 for POS plan. • Dependent Coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Select Plan: Approximately 20 percent lower than the 2014 High HMO plan; and ○ HMO and POS: Remained flat (2014 rates). <p>Copays:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physician co-pays, generic pharmacy co-pays, and Urgent Care visit co-pays remained flat in all plans; • Emergency Room co-pays increased to \$100 for POS and High HMO plans, and are \$50 for Select Plan (co-pay waived if member is admitted); • Inpatient Hospital Copays increased to \$200 for High HMO and POS plans (co-pay waived if services sought at JHS), remained at \$0 for Select Plan; • Outpatient Hospital Copays increased to \$100 for High HMO and POS plans (co-pay waived if services sought at JHS), remained at \$0 for Select Plan; and • Increases applied to Pharmacy Preferred Brands, Non-Preferred Brands, and Specialty Pharmacy Medications for High HMO and POS plans. <p>Out-of-Pocket Maximums:</p> <p>Adjustments to the out-of-pocket maximum amounts were required in order to keep the same level of benefits as in 2014 and as a result of changes in the Affordable Care Act effective January 1, 2015, which requires pharmacy costs be applied to out-of-pocket expenses. Out-of-pocket maximums were adjusted as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Select Plan: \$2,500 (single coverage); • High HMO: from \$1,500 to \$3,000 (single coverage); and • POS: from \$4,500 to \$3,000 (single coverage). <p>As a result of these and other benefit design changes, our Benefits Consultant has projected the County will save up to 15 percent in healthcare costs. For those unions who have incorporated Benefits Redesign as part of their 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreements,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		the savings projected for their respective unions have been applied to the: (1) restoration of positions, which would have otherwise been eliminated in FY 2014-15; (2) a commitment to hire additional staff; and/or (3) restoration of other benefits.
	Discussion 4/23/2015	On April 23, 2015, the Board held a policy discussion regarding the RFP and directed the Administration to present a final RFP at its May 5, 2015 meeting in the form of an approval to advertise.
	R-396-15 5/5/2015	R-396-15 approved Request for Proposals No. RFP-00196, Self-Funded Employee Group Healthcare Program (RFP) and authorized the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to advertise the RFP.
	<p><u>Additional Information – Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the Committee noted that some employees expressed complaints regarding the dental plan benefits only covering \$1,500 per year, and that depending on procedures required, many individuals had to pay portions of dental bills out of pocket.</p>	
8F11 161244	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$8,209,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS FOR DATA COMMUNICATIONS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$8,209,000 to Contract No. 43220000-WSCA-14-ACS, Data Communications Products and Services, for the Information Technology Department.</p> <p>The requested increase in spending authority will be applied to purchase network infrastructure equipment and related services, such as optical network switches, wireless networking, device logging and monitoring, and associated maintenance and technical support services from OEMs or their authorized resellers. Network and optical data switches will be purchased through this contract for the continued modernization of the countywide network, providing improved reliability and capacity, and ensuring uninterrupted access to the County's intranet and internet.</p> <p>Specific projects covered under this request include (1) necessary upgrades to outdated network components for the water plant supervisory control and data acquisition systems used by the Water and Sewer Department, and (2) replacement of obsolete wireless networking equipment for the Aviation Department, providing secure wireless services for employees, public safety personnel, tenants, concession vendors and the general public.</p> <p>This request for increased spending authority will cover the consolidation of purchases for equipment and services previously procured through multiple contracts through Fiscal Year 2017-18, allowing the Information Technology Department to closely manage and track spending. Upon approval of the modification, this contract will serve as the primary vehicle for the purchase of network hardware from OEMs.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The County originally accessed this WSCA-NASPO competitively-established contract through the State of Florida in August 2015 under the delegated authority of the County Mayor. The County utilizes the contract to purchase critical hardware and software from Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) to support the County's vast information technology network infrastructure.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The contract was established with an original allocation of \$500,000 and expires on May 31, 2019. The contract was modified under delegated authority for \$495,000, resulting in the current \$995,000 allocation. The requested modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$8,209,000, increasing the total contract value to \$9,204,000. The requested allocation is based on projected needs for identified projects. These projects were compiled by the Information Technology Department over the last year following a countywide</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>assessment of departmental needs for OEM hardware, software and associated support services. Additional modifications may be requested as future projects are identified.</p> <p>Prequalified Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aruba Networks, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1344 Crossman Avenue Sunnyvale, CA • CDW Government, LLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 200 N. Milwaukee Avenue Vernon Hills, IL • Presidio Networked Solutions, LLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 12120 Sunset Hills Road Number 202 Reston, VA ○ 3250 W Commercial Blvd., Suite 360Ft. Lauderdale, FL • Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3000 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA ○ 3450 Lakeside Drive Miramar, FL • Modcomp, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1182 East Newport Center Drive Deerfield Beach, FL • Computer Systems Support, Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 5000 SW 75 Avenue Suite 301 Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The User Access Program provision applies. The two (2) percent program discount will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances do not apply. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.
8F12 161279	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$700,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 5941-0/17 FOR TURNOUT GEAR INSPECTION, REPAIR AND CLEANING FOR THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes an increased expenditure authority of \$700,000 to Contract No. 5941-0/17, Turnout Gear Inspection, Repair and Cleaning, for the Miami-Dade County Fire Rescue Department.</p> <p>Background: This contract was originally approved on February 26, 2013 under the County Mayor’s delegated authority for a five-year term and is used by the Fire Rescue Department for inspection, cleaning, repair, decontamination and tracking services for turnout/bunker gear (i.e., personal protective equipment used by firefighters). A firefighter’s bunker gear consists of a helmet, hood, coat, trousers, gloves and boots. The proper maintenance of that gear is set by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 1851, which establishes requirements for the selection, care, and maintenance of fire-fighting protective ensembles to reduce health and safety risks associated with improper maintenance, contamination or damage.</p> <p>The Fire Rescue Department has a bunker gear cleaning program that initially had a relatively low level of staff participation. From 2006 to 2016, staff participation in the bunker gear cleaning program has increased by approximately 55 percent. The department forecasts continued levels of increased staff participation in the program throughout the remainder of the contract term due to a greater collective understanding of the importance of having personal protective equipment serviced on a yearly basis. The increased participation levels has resulted in the near depletion of the department’s current allocation, necessitating this request for increased spending authority.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: This contract expires on April 30, 2018 and had an initial allocation of \$802,000. Since contract award, there has been one (1) modification valued at \$161,000 approved under the administrative delegated authority, resulting</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>in the current \$963,000 allocation. If approved, this modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$700,000, increasing the total contract value to \$1,663,000 as set forth below.</p> <p>Awarded Vendor MBGC, LLC d/b/a Minerva Bunker Gear Cleaners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 29-09 Broadway Astoria, NY • 3795 NW 38 Street Miami, FL <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference was applied in accordance with the Ordinance. • Local Preference was applied in accordance with the Ordinance. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.
8F13 161280	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$4,636,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 1088-0/17 FOR PURCHASE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION AND POLICE DEPARTMENTS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes an increased expenditure authority of \$4,636,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 1088-0/17, Law Enforcement Equipment and Supplies, for the Corrections and Rehabilitation and Police Departments. This pool was approved by the BCC in July 2012 for a five-year term and is used by multiple County departments to purchase various law enforcement equipment and supplies.</p> <p>The requested additional expenditure authority will be applied as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Corrections and Rehabilitation Department is requesting a \$322,000 increase to purchase equipment and supplies (i.e., handcuffs, leg irons, holsters, protective gear and training ammunition) needed for new recruits; and • The Police Department is requesting a \$4,314,000 increase for the purchase of specialized equipment (i.e., ballistic shields and helmets, night vision rifles, and body plates) issued to police officers. The department has an aggressive hiring plan and additional equipment will be needed for the upcoming recruitment and academy training classes. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The pool term expires July 30, 2017 and has an existing allocation of \$8,040,000. The recommended modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$4,636,000 increasing the total pool value to \$12,676,000.</p> <p><u>PreQualified Vendors</u> There are currently 22 prequalified vendors. <i>Of the 22 prequalified vendors, four (4) are local.</i></p> <p><u>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference will be applied at the time of the spot market competition where permitted by the funding source. An SBE set-aside also applies for spot market competition up to \$100,000 when there are three (3) or more SBE-certified firms available. • The Local Preference Ordinance will be applied at the time of spot market competition. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <p><u>Additional Information on Contract No. 1088-0/17:</u> On July 3, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-544-12, authorized the establishment of a pre-qualification pool for Contract No. 1088-0/17, Law Enforcement Equipment and Supplies, Pre-Qualification of Bidders, in an</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes										
	<p>amount up to \$6,700,000 for the five year term, and authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to conduct spot bids and award subsequent contracts, add vendors, subject to ratification by the BCC on a bi-annual basis, conduct modifications, and exercise, in their discretion, any cancellation provisions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Contract No. 1088-0/17</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Original Contract 1088-0/17 <i>R-544-12</i> <i>7/3/2012</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$6,700,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 1 <i>4/11/2014</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$40,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Modification 2 <i>1/13/2016</i></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$1,300,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Current Value of Contract</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$8,040,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Contract No. 1088-0/17		Original Contract 1088-0/17 <i>R-544-12</i> <i>7/3/2012</i>	\$6,700,000	Modification 1 <i>4/11/2014</i>	\$40,000	Modification 2 <i>1/13/2016</i>	\$1,300,000	Current Value of Contract	\$8,040,000
Contract No. 1088-0/17											
Original Contract 1088-0/17 <i>R-544-12</i> <i>7/3/2012</i>	\$6,700,000										
Modification 1 <i>4/11/2014</i>	\$40,000										
Modification 2 <i>1/13/2016</i>	\$1,300,000										
Current Value of Contract	\$8,040,000										
8F14 161416	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN THE AMOUNT UP TO \$290,000.00 IN THE AGGREGATE AND ADDITIONAL TIME ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS UP TO ONE YEAR OR UNTIL REPLACEMENT CONTRACTS ARE AWARDED, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST, FOR CONTRACT NOS. RFQ801A, RFQ801B AND RFQ801C, GOVERNMENTAL REPRESENTATION AND CONSULTING SERVICES IN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA FOR THE OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the request for a designated purchase under Contract Nos. RFQ801a, RFQ801b, and RFQ801c, Governmental Representation and Consulting Services in Tallahassee, FL, for Miami-Dade County’s Office of Intergovernmental Affairs.</p> <p>Approval of a designated purchase is being requested, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the Miami-Dade County Code, to authorize increased time and expenditure authority to continue the contracts on a month-to-month basis, up to one (1) year or until replacement contracts are awarded by the BCC, whichever occurs first.</p> <p>Background: These contracts were originally approved by the BCC in December 2011 for a one-year term plus three (3), one-year options to renew for the delivery of a full range of lobbying, consulting and advocacy services before the executive and legislative branches of state government to promote the County’s legislative agenda. The contracts, which are in the last option term, were administratively extended by six (6) months.</p> <p>All members of the Florida House of Representatives and Senate face re-election in November 2016, rendering it impracticable to re-solicit these services until the conclusion of the election season.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The contracts expire August 15, 2016 and currently have an allocation of \$290,000 per year in the aggregate. The requested allocation increase is up to \$25,000 per month in the aggregate to cover the contract rates for the services. The source of funding is the General Fund.</p> <p>Awarded Vendors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Akerman, LLP 495 North Keller Road Suite 300 Maitland, FL • Ronald L. Book, P.A. 18851 NE 29 Avenue Suite 1010 Aventura, FL • Rutledge Ecenia, P.A. 119 South Monroe Street Suite 202 Tallahassee, FL 										

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The User Access Program provision applies. The two (2) percent program discount will be collected on all purchases. • The Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor was applied in accordance with the ordinance. • Local Preference was not applicable. • Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Additional Information on RFQ 801</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">RFQ 801 2/16/2012-2/15/2013</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$340,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">RFQ 801-1(3) 2/16/2013-2/15/2014</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$340,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">RFQ 801-2(3) 2/16/2014-2/15/2015</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$340,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">RFQ 801-3(3) 2/16/2015-2/15/2016</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$340,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Proration</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$145,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</th> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Resolution</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> R-923-11 10/18/2011 </td> <td> <p>Directed the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to bring for BCC approval a request to advertise a competitive solicitation for the procurement of state lobbying contracts. The resolution also appointed an evaluation committee for the solicitation, waiving the requirements of Implementing Order 3-34 to implement the appointment. The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee was also directed to report the evaluation committee’s recommendation to the BCC, together with a proposed form of agreement for award to the firms selected by the BCC, by December 6, 2011.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on October 18, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission expressed concerns with the timing of this issue and advised that the session would start in January, that Legislative representatives had reached their quota and that they were no longer accepting bills.</i> • <i>The Commission noted a preference would be to have the County’s lobbyists focus on the critical issues in Tallahassee and suggested waiting until the current session dealing with issues on reapportionment, redistricting, and sovereign immunity for Home Rule Charter.</i> • <i>The Commission noted discussion of the timing issue with the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs Director and Assistant County Attorney, and was advised that the contracts could be extended on a month-to-month basis. It was recommended to reduce the amount the County paid lobbyists in Tallahassee to \$400,000 from half a million, and reduce the number of firms in Washington, D.C. to two prime firms.</i> • <i>In response to a concern with the total amount the County was spending on lobbying activities, it was noted that the amount spent for federal level was \$720,000; state \$500,000; and \$200,000 on work orders.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed opposition to paying large sums of money to individuals from the private sector to convince elected officials to do the right thing.</i> • <i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended on handwritten page 3, in the NOW THEREFORE clause, line 2, to insert after the word ‘directed,’ the following language: “...to bring back to this Board for consideration at the November 3, 2011 BCC meeting, a request...”.</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on RFQ 801		RFQ 801 2/16/2012-2/15/2013	\$340,000	RFQ 801-1(3) 2/16/2013-2/15/2014	\$340,000	RFQ 801-2(3) 2/16/2014-2/15/2015	\$340,000	RFQ 801-3(3) 2/16/2015-2/15/2016	\$340,000	Proration	\$145,000	Additional Information on Relevant Legislation		Resolution	Summary	R-923-11 10/18/2011	<p>Directed the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to bring for BCC approval a request to advertise a competitive solicitation for the procurement of state lobbying contracts. The resolution also appointed an evaluation committee for the solicitation, waiving the requirements of Implementing Order 3-34 to implement the appointment. The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee was also directed to report the evaluation committee’s recommendation to the BCC, together with a proposed form of agreement for award to the firms selected by the BCC, by December 6, 2011.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on October 18, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission expressed concerns with the timing of this issue and advised that the session would start in January, that Legislative representatives had reached their quota and that they were no longer accepting bills.</i> • <i>The Commission noted a preference would be to have the County’s lobbyists focus on the critical issues in Tallahassee and suggested waiting until the current session dealing with issues on reapportionment, redistricting, and sovereign immunity for Home Rule Charter.</i> • <i>The Commission noted discussion of the timing issue with the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs Director and Assistant County Attorney, and was advised that the contracts could be extended on a month-to-month basis. It was recommended to reduce the amount the County paid lobbyists in Tallahassee to \$400,000 from half a million, and reduce the number of firms in Washington, D.C. to two prime firms.</i> • <i>In response to a concern with the total amount the County was spending on lobbying activities, it was noted that the amount spent for federal level was \$720,000; state \$500,000; and \$200,000 on work orders.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed opposition to paying large sums of money to individuals from the private sector to convince elected officials to do the right thing.</i> • <i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended on handwritten page 3, in the NOW THEREFORE clause, line 2, to insert after the word ‘directed,’ the following language: “...to bring back to this Board for consideration at the November 3, 2011 BCC meeting, a request...”.</i>
Additional Information on RFQ 801																			
RFQ 801 2/16/2012-2/15/2013	\$340,000																		
RFQ 801-1(3) 2/16/2013-2/15/2014	\$340,000																		
RFQ 801-2(3) 2/16/2014-2/15/2015	\$340,000																		
RFQ 801-3(3) 2/16/2015-2/15/2016	\$340,000																		
Proration	\$145,000																		
Additional Information on Relevant Legislation																			
Resolution	Summary																		
R-923-11 10/18/2011	<p>Directed the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to bring for BCC approval a request to advertise a competitive solicitation for the procurement of state lobbying contracts. The resolution also appointed an evaluation committee for the solicitation, waiving the requirements of Implementing Order 3-34 to implement the appointment. The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee was also directed to report the evaluation committee’s recommendation to the BCC, together with a proposed form of agreement for award to the firms selected by the BCC, by December 6, 2011.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on October 18, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission expressed concerns with the timing of this issue and advised that the session would start in January, that Legislative representatives had reached their quota and that they were no longer accepting bills.</i> • <i>The Commission noted a preference would be to have the County’s lobbyists focus on the critical issues in Tallahassee and suggested waiting until the current session dealing with issues on reapportionment, redistricting, and sovereign immunity for Home Rule Charter.</i> • <i>The Commission noted discussion of the timing issue with the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs Director and Assistant County Attorney, and was advised that the contracts could be extended on a month-to-month basis. It was recommended to reduce the amount the County paid lobbyists in Tallahassee to \$400,000 from half a million, and reduce the number of firms in Washington, D.C. to two prime firms.</i> • <i>In response to a concern with the total amount the County was spending on lobbying activities, it was noted that the amount spent for federal level was \$720,000; state \$500,000; and \$200,000 on work orders.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed opposition to paying large sums of money to individuals from the private sector to convince elected officials to do the right thing.</i> • <i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended on handwritten page 3, in the NOW THEREFORE clause, line 2, to insert after the word ‘directed,’ the following language: “...to bring back to this Board for consideration at the November 3, 2011 BCC meeting, a request...”.</i> 																		

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>R-928-11 11/3/2011</p>	<p>Authorized the advertisement of RFQ No. 801 to obtain proposals from qualified firms to provide Governmental Representation and Consulting Services in Tallahassee, FL. The evaluation committee was tasked with evaluating proposals and submitting the results as to which proposers are qualified to render the required services. It was also recommended that the bid protest procedures be waived.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on November 3, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission explained that the decrease in lobbyist expenses was proposed to reduce overall costs, noting that other municipal governments paid substantially less for their lobbyists.</i> • <i>The Commission questioned whether the County should continue paying more money or to obtain the best representation at a lower cost.</i> • <i>An amendment was offered requiring that a list of subcontractors be provided by the prime contractor.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed concern about the timing of this Request for Qualification (RFQ) as it would conflict with committee meetings in Tallahassee. It was pointed out that at this time the County's lobbyists were focusing their efforts on protecting the Tunnel Project, gaming and other critical issues impacting the County, and they had already indicated that they would voluntarily reduce the amounts of their contracts.</i> • <i>It was noted that the BCC did not have sufficient time to address these issues prior to the December 6, 2011 meeting and this discussion should take place in March after the conclusion of the legislative session.</i> • <i>The Mayor explained that Administration had spoken to both state and federal lobbyists and obtained reductions of \$50,000 and \$100,000 respectively.</i> • <i>The proposed resolution was adopted as amended with the following changes: 1) to remove the \$400,000 contract amount on handwritten page 1 and to insert the following language: "the amount of the award would be determined by Board of County Commissioners (BCC) at the time of award"; 2) to delete all references in the Request for Qualification (RFQ) that state "the BCC would select the firms for negotiations", and to replace it with the following language: "the BCC would select the firms for award"; 3) to add the following language to the RFP: "...to include a State proposed annual fee to provide all services requested therein and the fee shall include all costs including subcontractor fees, travel, and miscellaneous expenses since these costs would not be reimbursed separately by the County. The fee shall exclude any costs for work order assignments for optional services, which will be specifically identified and defined in the RFQ. This fee will not be part of the evaluation by the selection committee but will be presented to the BCC for the Board's determination of contract award"; and 4) to include a list of subcontractors in the prime contractor's application.</i>
<p>R-1113-11 12/19/2011</p>	<p>Authorized the execution of agreements to obtain governmental representation and consulting services in Tallahassee, Florida, and waived requirements of Sections 2-8.3 and 2-8.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code pertaining to bid protests by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the BCC members present.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on December 19, 2011, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission noted the foregoing resolution was proffered as a cost savings contract, but the County's current cost for governmental representation and consulting services in Tallahassee was \$425,000 and expressed concerns for the increased costs inasmuch as this contract would cost the County \$580,000.</i> • <i>It was noted that the County was originally using three lobbyists at a cost of \$225,000 each; the intent was to reduce costs; and that this contract would allow</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>the BCC to select which lobbyist to use, and each contractor had a different level of fees.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>It was noted that the services of Ackerman Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A., were intended to be used as a compliment; and it was the BCC's prerogative on how to proceed.</i> • <i>In response to an inquiry, Intergovernmental Affairs Director responded that figure was unavailable at this time; but it would be difficult to quantify the total amount of funds returned to the County as a direct result of the lobbying efforts in Tallahassee since lobbyists spent most of the time in the defensive role to prevent losing funding. He noted the best assessment would be to look at which programs were not lost in the legislative process in Tallahassee.</i> • <i>In response to a question, the Director stated the perception that the County received zero funding as a direct result of the lobbying effort was inaccurate.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed concerns for not being able to quantify the results of the lobbying effort in Tallahassee and emphasized the need to have a team of lobbyists, who were knowledgeable, representing the County in Tallahassee; and the County needed every available resource.</i> • <i>In response to an inquiry, the Director advised two prime contractors were available at a price of \$225,000 each. The Director provided a short summary of the funding received by the County as a result of the lobbying effort.</i> • <i>The Commission clarified it was the State of Florida legislators providing the funding to the County since the legislators were who voted to approve or disapprove the funding even though the lobbyists had the ability to push the bottoms from the outside.</i> • <i>The Commission questioned whether it was necessary to contract with all of the proposed lobbyists if that impacted the contract price. It was also questioned if the contract price could be renegotiated to reduce the amount contracted.</i> • <i>Discussion ensued in connection with the alternative solutions and the need to make available the lobbyists' performance evaluations and the ratings of their efforts.</i> • <i>The Commission suggested that the contract price be reduced and expressed interest in contracting effective lobbyists and including an additional lobbying firm.</i> • <i>It was recommended that the Request for Proposal (RFP) be re-advertised with the guidelines and contract price established by the BCC.</i> • <i>The County Attorney advised he wished to ensure the award recommendations only covered the normal scope of work and that work orders were excluded.</i> • <i>The Commission noted that the current contract would remain in effect as is if the BCC failed to reach a resolution.</i> • <i>It was moved that the BCC adopt the proposed resolution as amended to reduce the contract price to \$450,000 and to award \$55,000 to Ballard Partners, Inc., \$55,000 to Ackerman Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A., \$170,000 to Ronald L. Book, P.A., and \$170,000 to Rutledge Ecenia & Purnell, P.A. This motion was seconded; and upon being put to a vote, the motion passed by a roll call vote of 10-3.</i> • <i>All four lobbying firms verbally accepted the contract award recommendations. The amended version of the proposed resolution was assigned Resolution No. R-1113-11.</i>
<p>R-09-12 1/24/2012</p>	<p>On January 24, 2012, the CAO noted that Resolution No. R-1113-11, relating to the State Lobbying contract that was adopted by the BCC on December 19, 2011, was vetoed by the Mayor on December 28, 2011. Resolution No. R-1113-11 was amended and assigned Resolution No. R-09-12.</p> <p>During the BCC on January 24, 2012, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The County Attorney noted the proposed resolution involved the State Lobbying contract, which was adopted by the BCC on December 19, 2011 and subsequently</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>vetoed by the Mayor on December 28, 2011. He advised the BCC that the public hearing could be reopened if they were to reconsider this proposal or were unable to obtain the 2/3 vote required to override the Mayoral veto.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was moved that the BCC reconsider the motion which adopted the proposed resolution on December 19, 2011. This motion was seconded and upon being put to a vote, passed by a vote of 10-3. • The County Attorney advised that this proposed resolution requested to waive the bid protest procedure, and that a two-thirds majority vote was required for approval. • The Commission questioned what would be the impact if the BCC waived the bid protest procedure. The County Attorney advised that waiving the bid protest procedure was originally part of this proposal when it came before the BCC at the December 19, 2011, meeting, and was approved by the required two-thirds majority at that time. He further advised that during the January 24, 2012 meeting the Commission approved a motion to reconsider this proposal, which undid the BCC's two-thirds majority approval to waive the bid protest procedure. • It was noted that the BCC allocated \$450,000 for governmental representation and consulting services in Tallahassee. It was explained that the value of the four contracts were \$170,000, \$170,000, \$55,000, and \$55,000, which totaled \$450,000. The Commission pointed out that after the FY 2011-12 budget was adopted a proposal came before the BCC to reduce the amount allocated. • The Commission expressed concern that this proposed resolution would result in new individuals lobbying the State Legislature on County issues and opposed making such changes during the current ongoing legislative session. • The Commission expressed concern that the County was overpaying for the services in the proposed resolution. • The Commission clarified that the proposal would keep costs the same and increases the number of lobbyists. It was stressed the County Commission should have reduced costs on this contract and not awarded contracts to extra lobbyists. • The Commission expressed concern that the BCC was punishing the low bid vendors by reducing the amount allocated without lowering the amount allocated to the high bid vendors. • It was moved that the proposed resolution be adopted as amended to direct the Mayor or his designee to execute agreements for State lobbying contracts in the following amounts with the following firms: \$50,000 in lieu of \$55,000 for Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A.; \$50,000 in lieu of \$55,000 to Ballard Partners, Inc.; \$120,000 in lieu of \$170,000 to Rutledge, Ecenia & Purnell, P.A; and \$120,000 in lieu of \$170,000 to Ron L. Book, P.A. This motion was seconded. • In response to an inquiry, the Mayor explained he vetoed this proposed resolution since the BCC approved a contract for \$450,000 that could have been awarded for \$400,000. • The Commission expressed concern regarding the County adding new lobbyists during an on-going legislative session. • The proposed resolution was adopted as amended to direct the Mayor or his designee to execute agreements for State lobbying contracts in the following amounts with the following firms: \$50,000 in lieu of \$55,000 for Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A.; \$50,000 in lieu of \$55,000 to Ballard Partners, Inc.; \$120,000 in lieu of \$170,000 to Rutledge, Ecenia & Purnell, P.A; and \$120,000 in lieu of \$170,000 to Ron L. Book, P.A. The amended version was assigned Resolution No. R-09-12.
8F15 161484	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE FIRST THROUGH NINTH ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIODS FOR CONTRACT NO. L9240-9/25, TOUR AND OVER BUILDING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (BMS) MAINTENANCE SERVICES, WITH AN ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$2,413,000.00 FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES AND CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENTS; AND

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																							
	AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																																							
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to approve the nine (9), one-year option to renew (OTR) terms under Contract No. L9240-9/25, Tour Andover Building Management System Maintenance Services, for the Internal Services and Corrections and Rehabilitation Departments.</p> <p>BCC approval of the option to renew terms is required as the value of the requested renewal periods plus the initial contract term exceeds the County Mayor's delegated authority. This item is exempt from Committee review per Ordinance No. 07-139, which permits contract renewals to be heard directly by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> This contract was awarded to Advanced Control Corporation, Inc. (ACC) in September 2015 under delegated authority for the delivery of an all-inclusive preventative maintenance program for the Tour Andover Building Management System (BMS). A BMS is a computer-based control system installed in a building to control and monitor the building's mechanical and electrical equipment such as ventilation, lighting, power, fire and security systems. Under the contract, ACC is responsible for maintaining the BMS at the Women's Detention Center and the South and North Buildings at the Overtown Transit Village. Such maintenance includes emergency and support services, database protection and modifications and software upgrades.</p> <p>ACC is the sole authorized representative for all Miami-Dade County projects and services for Schneider Electric (Tour Andover) products.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The contract, which is in its initial one-year term, expires on September 30, 2016 and is valued at \$238,000. If all option to renew periods are exercised, the contract's expiration date will be September 30, 2025, and the contract's cumulative value will be an estimated \$2,651,000. Negotiations with the vendor yielded a fixed, flat rate schedule through the term of the contract.</p> <p>Prior to the exercise of an option to renew period, the staff performs market research. Market research includes an assessment of competitive factors such as pricing, quality, product features, operational functionality and capital commitment by the vendor.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Department</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Requested Allocation for the Option to Renew Periods</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Funding Source</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td> <td>\$545,000</td> <td>General Fund</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Internal Services</td> <td>\$1,868,000</td> <td>Internal Services Funds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>\$2,413,000</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Term</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Time Period</th> <th style="background-color: #c6e0b4;">Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Initial Contract Term</td> <td>October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016</td> <td>\$238,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>First OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017</td> <td>\$238,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018</td> <td>\$245,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019</td> <td>\$252,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fourth OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020</td> <td>\$260,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fifth OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021</td> <td>\$267,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sixth OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022</td> <td>\$275,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Seventh OTR</td> <td>October 1, 2022 to September 30, 2023</td> <td>\$284,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Department	Requested Allocation for the Option to Renew Periods	Funding Source	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$545,000	General Fund	Internal Services	\$1,868,000	Internal Services Funds	Total	\$2,413,000		Term	Time Period	Amount	Initial Contract Term	October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016	\$238,000	First OTR	October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017	\$238,000	Second OTR	October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018	\$245,000	Third OTR	October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019	\$252,000	Fourth OTR	October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020	\$260,000	Fifth OTR	October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021	\$267,000	Sixth OTR	October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022	\$275,000	Seventh OTR	October 1, 2022 to September 30, 2023	\$284,000
Department	Requested Allocation for the Option to Renew Periods	Funding Source																																						
Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$545,000	General Fund																																						
Internal Services	\$1,868,000	Internal Services Funds																																						
Total	\$2,413,000																																							
Term	Time Period	Amount																																						
Initial Contract Term	October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016	\$238,000																																						
First OTR	October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017	\$238,000																																						
Second OTR	October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018	\$245,000																																						
Third OTR	October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019	\$252,000																																						
Fourth OTR	October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020	\$260,000																																						
Fifth OTR	October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021	\$267,000																																						
Sixth OTR	October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022	\$275,000																																						
Seventh OTR	October 1, 2022 to September 30, 2023	\$284,000																																						

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	Eighth OTR	October 1, 2023 to September 30, 2024	\$292,000
	Ninth OTR	October 1, 2024 to September 30, 2025	\$300,000
	Cumulative Total		\$2,651,000
	<p>Awarded Vendor Advanced Control Corporation, Inc. 6001 NE 14 Avenue Ft. Lauderdale, FL</p> <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies. • There are no applicable contract measures as this is a legacy contract. • The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply. 		
811 161103	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE REQUEST TO EXPEND \$2,903,000.00 FROM THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO USE SUCH FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURES AS DESCRIBED HEREIN</p>		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee expenditures of \$2,903,000.00 from the Miami-Dade Police Department’s (MDPD) Law Enforcement Trust Fund (LETf) in accordance with the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund (Report).</p> <p>The proposed resolution further authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to use such funds as described in the Report to be effective as of March 1, 2016.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Miami-Dade County will not incur any costs. LETf monies are awarded to the MDPD as a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and participation in federal asset sharing programs.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On December 2, 1980, the LETf for Miami-Dade County was established by the BCC through Resolution R-1633-80. The LETf must be used for law enforcement purposes that are not budgeted. Allowable purposes may include: to defray costs of protracted or complex investigations; to provide additional technical equipment or expertise; to provide matching funds to obtain federal grants; or for other law enforcement purposes. The funds are administered in compliance with MDPD’s policies, statutory requirements, and federal guidelines.</p> <p>The LETf is comprised of three (3) different funding sources: (1) the State civil forfeitures, governed by Sections 932.701-932.706 of the Florida Statutes; (2) U.S. Department of Justice Asset Sharing Program; and (3) the U.S. Treasury Asset Sharing Program. The LETf monies awarded to the MDPD are a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and federal asset sharing programs. Federal assets are shared among participating law enforcement agencies based on the agencies’ direct participation in task force investigations that result in the forfeiture of federally seized assets. The Department’s partnerships with federal agencies and the related task forces have been the subject of recent resolutions approved by the BCC.</p> <p>LETf project requests are reviewed by the MDPD Law Enforcement Trust Fund Committee. The Committee approved requests are reviewed by personnel in the Fiscal Administration Bureau to ensure compliance with LETf requirements. The Police Legal Bureau reviews the requests for legal sufficiency and prepares the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the LETf. The revenues and expenditures are documented in the County budget ordinance, the federal equitable sharing and certification report, and an annual audit to the County’s Finance Department. The package is certified by the Director of the MDPD prior to the Report being presented to the BCC for approval per Section 932.7055(5)(b) of the Florida Statutes.</p> <p>The proposed expenditures will become effective March 1, 2016, through completion of the projects. These expenditures include:</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targeted Operations, West District Agricultural Patrol Section - \$50,000 • Domestic Crimes Investigations - \$75,000 • Joint Roundtable on Youth Safety Continuation - \$250,000 • Specialized Recruitment Strategies - \$200,000 • Specialized Equipment/License Plate Readers - \$1,200,000 • Specialized Equipment/Ballistic Plates and Carriers - \$526,000 • Do The Right Thing Program - \$2,000 • Targeted Crimes Initiative - \$600,000 <p>These funds will support long-term, ongoing protracted or complex investigations and other allowable law enforcement expenditures in compliance with the MDPD's policies, statutory requirements, and federal guidelines.</p>
812 161108	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TERMS AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE PARTNER AGENCIES OF THE CHILD PROTECTION INVESTIGATIONS PROJECT, INCLUDING THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES, MIAMI-DADE OFFICE OF THE STATE ATTORNEY, 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, THE LODGE, OUR KIDS, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, TO COLLABORATE WITH THESE PARTNER AGENCIES TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND CHILD ABUSE; AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AMENDMENTS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED BY LAW, AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee action to execute the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the local partner agencies of the Child Protection Investigations Project which includes the Florida Department of Children and Families, Miami-Dade Office of the State Attorney, 11th Judicial Circuit, The Lodge (a certified domestic violence center), Our Kids, and Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD).</p> <p>This MOU will authorize the MDPD to collaborate with these agencies to address domestic violence and child abuse, coordinate services, and work together in accordance with the roles and responsibilities as detailed in the MOU. In addition, the MOU will be effective for a period of one (1) year from date of signing.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The primary goal of the Child Protection Investigations Project is to bridge the gap between child welfare and domestic violence service providers to enhance family safety, create permanency for children, reduce removals of children from non-offending parents, and hold batterers accountable. This project operates under the technical guidance, requirements, and funding of the Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence.</p> <p>Grant funding from the Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence to The Lodge provides partial support for this initiative. A signed MOU with the partner agencies is a requirement to secure these funds. The terms of the MOU address information sharing, as well as partnership policies, procedures, and operational protocols.</p> <p>The Lodge is preparing a grant application to the Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence to request funding to support the Child Protection Investigations Project locally.</p> <p>According to the Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence, positive family outcomes are more likely to occur when child welfare personnel work together. Miami-Dade County, through the MDPD, and its Special Victims Bureau, will participate in this important inter-agency collaboration to provide vital coordinated services to these children and families in order to impact positive family outcomes.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>8K1 160639</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT'S FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY PLAN; AUTHORIZING THE DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR TO SUBMIT THE PLAN TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR FINAL APPROVAL, TO MAKE ANY NECESSARY REVISIONS, SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS OF THE "SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENT AND SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION" DEFINITION CONTAINED THEREIN, AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY REGULATORY AND STATUTORY CHANGES, COURT ORDERS OR INTERNAL POLICY CHANGES; AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENT OF RESOLUTION NO. R-1003-15 THAT THE ADMISSIONS AND CONTINUED OCCUPANCY POLICY, SECTION 8 ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN, PUBLIC HOUSING DWELLING LEASE, AND PUBLIC HOUSING COMMUNITY POLICIES BE SUBMITTED WITH A SMOKE-FREE POLICY BY APRIL 2016 AND EXTENDING THE SUBMISSION DATE OF THE SMOKE-FREE POLICY FOR THE BOARD'S FINAL APPROVAL UNTIL TO JULY 6, 2016</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves Miami-Dade County's (County) Public Housing and Community Development's (Department) Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) for Fiscal Year 2016-17; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Plan includes the strategies for managing the federally-subsidized Public Housing and Section 8 programs for the County's area of jurisdiction. The County's Plan has a countywide impact. The County's Plan does not include the activities of Public Housing and Section 8 programs administered by other public housing authorities within the County (i.e. Hialeah Housing Authority, Housing Authority for the City of Miami Beach, and Homestead Housing Authority). These housing authorities must separately submit their own plans for housing programs administered by them to Housing and Urban Development.</i> • Authorizes the Public Housing and Community Development Department's Director to submit the Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (Housing and Urban Development) for final approval no later than July 15, 2016; • Authorizes the Department Director to make any necessary revisions to the Plan subject to the limitations of the Plan's "Significant Amendment and Substantial Deviation" definition; • Authorizes the Department Director, on behalf of the County, to modify the Plan as may be required by regulatory, statutory, court order, or internal policy changes without further approval of the BCC; and • Waives the requirement of Resolution No. R-1003-15 adopted by the BCC on November 3, 2015 requiring that the Public Housing Admission and Continued Occupancy Policy (Policy), Section 8 Administrative Plan (Administrative Plan), Conventional Public Housing Dwelling Lease (Lease), and Public Housing Community Policies (Community Policies) be submitted to the BCC with a Smoke-Free Policy by April 2016, and that the BCC extend the submission date of the smoke-free policy to the Economic Prosperity Committee or such other committee designated by the Chairman of the BCC to hear this matter by June 16, 2016 and to the BCC by July 6, 2016. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>On November 3, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1003-15 directing the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to develop, in consultation with residents and resident councils, a smoke-free policy for all multi-family, public housing, and affordable housing developments owned and operated by the County. This resolution directed the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to submit the policy to the BCC for approval no later than April 2016. However, subsequent to the adoption of the Resolution, on November 17, 2015, Housing and Urban Development released a proposed rule on "Instituting Smoke-Free Housing" that would make it mandatory for all public housing authorities to implement a smoke-free policy. Under the proposed rule, public housing agencies such as the County would have 18 months from the effective day of the final rule to establish compliant smoke-free policies. The comment period of the proposed rule expired January 19, 2016; however, at this time it is unknown when Housing and Urban Development will release the final rule.</i> ○ <i>Since the adoption of Resolution No. R-1003-15, the Department has been actively taking steps towards the implementation of the smoke-free policy in accordance with Resolution No. R-1003-15 and Housing and Urban Development's proposed rule. The Department is working with the Florida Department of Health to research and review of materials needed to develop education and orientation sessions for Public Housing residents and applicable Section 8</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>programs' participants. However, the Department is not ready to proceed with a smoke-free policy until such time as it has met with the residents and afforded them a 30-day comment period, as required by the federal regulations. The 30-day comment period was scheduled for March 27, 2016 through April 26, 2016. The Department's smoke-free policy will be presented together with the Department's Public Housing Dwelling Lease, Community Policies, Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy, and Section 8 Administrative Plan, at the June 16, 2016 Economic and Prosperity Committee, including a public hearing. Accordingly, a waiver of the requirement under Resolution No. R-1003-15 that the Policy, Administrative Plan, Lease, and Community Policies be submitted to the BCC for its approval by April 2016 is needed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Additionally, the Department requests that the BCC extend the deadline to submit the aforementioned documents for the BCC's final approval to no later than July 6, 2016.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The Plan includes the listing of the Department's financial resources and planned uses for the support of federal Public Housing and Section 8 programs for Fiscal Year 2016-17. The approval of this item will not result in a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p>
8K2 160841	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SELECTION OF RUDG, LLC, PURSUANT TO REQUEST FOR APPLICATION NO. 2015-01, AS THE DEVELOPER OF LIBERTY SQUARE AND LINCOLN GARDENS PUBLIC HOUSING SITES (LIBERTY SQUARE RISING), WHICH WILL BE PARTIALLY FUNDED WITH BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDING IN AN AMOUNT OF APPROXIMATELY \$32,300,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GROUND LEASE AGREEMENTS, ADDITIONAL GROUND LEASES, AND SUBLEASES TO PROVIDE SITE CONTROL OF THE DEVELOPMENTS, A MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, AND ALL NECESSARY MIXED-FINANCE AGREEMENTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS WITH RUDG, LLC OR ITS SUBSIDIARIES (RELATED URBAN) FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF LIBERTY SQUARE AND LINCOLN GARDENS, SUBJECT TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT'S APPROVAL, ANY AMENDMENTS, MODIFICATIONS, CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS IN THE GROUND LEASES AND MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, TO SUBMIT A DEMOLITION AND DISPOSITION APPLICATION FOR THE LIBERTY SQUARE PUBLIC HOUSING SITE AND A DISPOSITION APPLICATION FOR THE LINCOLN GARDENS PUBLIC HOUSING SITE TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT(S) TO ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION CONTRACT(S), AGREEMENTS, RELEASES, AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND/OR FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION; DELEGATING TO COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE THE VALUE OF ALTERNATIVE FORM OF SECURITY IN LIEU OF PAYMENT AND PERFORMANCE BOND TO BE PROVIDED TO THE COUNTY BY RUDG, LLC SUBJECT TO CERTAIN PARAMETERS SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION; AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06(Public Housing and Community Development)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the selection of RUDG, LLC as the developer of Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens (Liberty Square Rising); • Authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Execute ground leases (Leases) with RUDG, LLC or its subsidiaries (Related Urban) for the purpose of providing site control of the Lincoln Gardens and Liberty Square public housing sites to Related Urban, which is required for their application for Low Income Housing Tax Credits (Housing Tax Credits) from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (Housing Finance Corporation); ○ Consent to, at the County Mayor or designee's sole discretion, to Related Urban, entering into sub-ground leases, where applicable and as may be required, to meet the requirements of the Housing Finance Corporation and/or U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (Housing and Urban Development);and ○ Execute additional ground leases as may be required to preserve Related Urban's site control over the development;

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute a Master Development Agreement with Related Urban, subject to the Housing and Urban Development’s approval, for the development of Liberty Square Rising; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to exercise any amendments, modification, cancellation, termination, and renewal provisions, and any other rights contained in the Master Development Agreement and the Leases; • Delegates to the County Mayor or designee the authority to determine the value and sufficiency of the alternative form of security in lieu of a payment and performance bond for Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens (Liberty Square Rising), provided that, in determining the sufficiency and value of the alternative security the County Mayor or designee will consider the nature, history, and financial capabilities of Related Urban, the contractual obligations secured by the alternative security and the contractual safeguards in place to protect payments to persons performing the work, and require that, prior to commencement of the work or purchase of supplies, any and all contractors hired by Related Urban to perform work for Liberty Square Rising has executed, delivered, and recorded in the public records a statutory payment and performance bond in the full amount of the contract naming the County as an obligee, as required by Section 255.05 of the Florida Statutes; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute all necessary mixed-finance agreements and all other documents with Related Urban, including but not limited to amendments, agreements, releases and ground leases, subject to Housing and Urban Development’s approval; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to submit a demolition and disposition application for the Liberty Square public housing site and a disposition application for the Lincoln Gardens public housing site to Housing and Urban Development; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute an amendment(s) to the Annual Contribution Contract(s), which provides for the County’s receipt of public housing subsidy, subject to Housing and Urban Development’s approval; and • Waives the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06, which requires that all contracts must be fully negotiated and executed by a non-County party since neither the County, nor Related Urban, can execute any mixed finance agreements, including but limited to the Master Development Agreement and the Leases, without Housing and Urban Development’s prior approval. <p>Development of Liberty Square Rising will occur on two (2) existing public housing sites Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens, with a total of 1,572 units. The development of the Liberty Square site, bounded by NW 67 Street and NW 62 Street, NW 15 Avenue and NW 12 Avenue, will include 1,332 units comprised of 640 public housing units, 632 non-public housing rental units and 60 homeownership units. Development of the Lincoln Gardens site, located at 4701 NW 24 Court, Miami, Florida, 33147, will include a total of 240 rental units comprised of 117 public housing units and 123 non-public housing units. Green areas, parking areas, community spaces, retail spaces, and other amenities and uses are also contemplated for the project. The project will include multiple phases so that families are not displaced off-site during demolition and construction.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The Fiscal Impact to the County for Liberty Square Rising is anticipated at approximately \$32,300,000.00 in General Obligation Bonds (GOB) as approved by Resolution No. R-852-15; \$6,000,000.00 in Documentary Surtax funding (with \$2,000,000 over three (3) Surtax funding cycles 2015, 2016, and 2017); and \$8,000,000.00 from the Capital Fund Financing Program (CFFP) funds, for a total of approximately \$46,000,000.00 Pursuant to the Master Development Agreement the County will receive a financial benefit from the Developer totaling \$48,078,466.00.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Department issued a competitive solicitation on May 27, 2015 titled: Request for Applications No. 2015-01 to Developer Pool Pursuant to RFQ #794A, #794B and #794C for Redevelopment of Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens "Liberty Square Rising" (RFA). Liberty Square is the County's largest and oldest public housing site. It</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>contains approximately 57 acres and is bounded by NW 67 Street and NW 62 Street, NW 15 Avenue and NW 12 Avenue. Lincoln Gardens is a vacant 9 acre site located at 4701 NW 24 Court (approximately two (2) miles from Liberty Square). The development of these two (2) sites comprises the project commonly known as “Liberty Square Rising.”</p> <p>Six (6) proposals were received in response to the solicitation by the due date of July 9, 2015. Subsequently, a ten (10)-member selection committee was appointed comprised of individuals from the community, the resident council of Liberty Square, academia, and County staff. The Chairwoman of the selection committee was a non-voting member. The voting selection committee members reviewed the proposals and scored them based on evaluation criteria indicated in the solicitation. There was a 45 point differential between the two (2) top-ranked firms, Atlantic Pacific Communities, LLC (Atlantic Pacific) and Related Urban. Even though Atlantic Pacific received the highest overall point total, eight (8) out of nine (9) voting selection committee members scored Related Urban higher than Atlantic Pacific.</p> <p>On January 20, 2016, the selection committee chair forwarded a memorandum to the Mayor of the scoring results. A decision was made that the County would proceed by requesting best and final offers, which is permitted under the solicitation, from the two (2) highest-ranked firms. On January 22, 2016, the selection committee chair forwarded a letter to Atlantic Pacific and Related Urban informing them of the decision. The intention of the best and final offer was to secure the best possible financial return to the County, and most beneficial combination of housing options and amenities consistent with the best interest of the residents of Liberty Square and Miami-Dade County. Atlantic Pacific and Related Urban submitted their best and final offers by the due date of February 5, 2016.</p> <p>The voting selection committee evaluated the best and final offers submitted by Atlantic Pacific and Related Urban, and scored them based on the evaluation criteria indicated for the best and final offers. The results were then forwarded to me on March 2, 2016 by the selection committee chair, along with a recommendation to enter into negotiations with the Related Urban. The Mayor approved the recommendation to enter into negotiations with the highest ranked proposer, Related Urban.</p>
8L1 161212	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN BROWARD, PALM BEACH, MIAMI-DADE, AND MONROE COUNTIES, WHERE SUCH AGREEMENT PROVIDES THAT EACH OF THE FOUR COUNTIES WILL PROVIDE \$100,000.00 OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD FOR SERVICES RELATED TO THE SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE COMPACT BY THE INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND WHERE BROWARD COUNTY WILL OFFICIALLY RETAIN AND SUPERVISE INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES ON BEHALF OF THE FOUR COUNTIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE ATTACHED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AND APPROVE EXTENSIONS OF TIME IF REQUESTED</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to execute an Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) with Broward, Palm Beach, and Monroe counties to share costs related to services provided to the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact (Compact).</p> <p>More specifically, the Agreement does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commits Miami-Dade County, along with Broward, Palm Beach and Monroe counties, to contribute \$100,000 each over a two-year period (\$50,000 each year for two (2) years) to retain the Institute for Sustainable Communities for coordination and facilitation services; and • Designates Broward County to officially retain and supervise the Institute for Sustainable Communities on behalf of the Compact. <p>The Scope of Services proposes the provision of the following specific services by the Institute for Sustainable Communities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordination of and support for twice-monthly calls between staff of the four (4) counties, biennial retreats for staff of the four (4) counties, Compact working groups, annual Summits, Regional Climate

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Action Plan workshops, Municipality Working Group workshops, Compact webinars, and Resilient Redesign workshops;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development and implementation of communications and stakeholder engagement strategies; • Coordination of the second Regional Climate Action Plan analysis and planning process; and • General contract and fundraising support. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> This Agreement commits Miami-Dade County to provide \$50,000 annually over the next two (2) years, for a total of \$100,000, to fund continued support and engagement of the Institute for Sustainable Communities. The annual \$50,000 allocation will be absorbed in the operating budget of the Office of Resilience in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.</p> <p>The proposed resolution would also allow extensions of this Agreement, provided that any additional County funding is authorized in the annual budget adopted by the BCC or by separate resolution.</p> <p>The Institute for Sustainable Communities supported the Compact’s activities from 2013 through 2015 under a \$975,000 grant from The Kresge Foundation. The Kresge Foundation renewed support for the Institute for Sustainable Communities’ Compact-related work in 2016 and 2017 but at a lower amount of \$400,000 over two (2) years, or \$200,000 annually. Staff from the four (4) counties committed in 2015 to go through the appropriate procedures for approval from their respective governing boards so that each County can contribute \$50,000 annually going forward for Compact-related work performed by the Institute for Sustainable Communities. The Agreement authorizes the arrangement under which Broward County has agreed to contract with the Institute for Sustainable Communities, supported by \$200,000 annually (\$50,000 from each county, including Broward) from the appropriated funds described above.</p> <p>When combined with The Kresge Foundation funds, the total budget for Institute for Sustainable Communities’ Compact activities for 2016 and 2017 will be approximately \$800,000, or \$400,000 per year.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The success of the Compact has been underpinned since its inception by the coordination and facilitation services provided by the Institute for Sustainable Communities and/or staff currently employed by the Institute for Sustainable Communities. These efforts have included coordinating and facilitating meetings for the Compact; facilitating workshops with stakeholders; preparing a four-county regional greenhouse gas emissions inventory and assessment; participating in the planning and execution of each of the seven annual Regional Climate Leadership Summits convened to date; creating and managing the Compact website; developing the Regional Climate Action Plan; coordinating the Regional Climate Action Plan implementation workshops and Resilient Redesign adaptation strategy charrettes; writing and editing grant proposals; conducting a municipal implementation survey; facilitating communication among Compact partners; providing a webinar platform for the Compact’s use, development and maintenance of the Compact’s website; and providing remote and on-site support for various Compact activities.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On December 1, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1388-09, established the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact and committed to develop joint policy positions and legislative policy statements with Broward, Palm Beach and Monroe Counties with respect to climate change issues. R-1388-09 also committed to developing a Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Action Plan with Broward, Palm Beach and Monroe Counties and to participating in a regional climate team and the Second Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Summit.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on December 1, 2009, R-1388-09 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission noted the proposed Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact had already been approved by Palm Beach, Monroe and Broward County, and if joined by Miami-Dade County</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>(MDC), would link four regions as one to work on mitigation issues and adaptation strategies for water reuse, wetlands, infrastructure hardening, and restoration of the Everglades. It was also noted that any joint policy recommendation by the compact would require BCC approval, and that collaborating with other counties would show the State and federal governments that MDC was a serious partner for finding solutions.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission expressed that the ideas discussed at the (SFRCL) Summit held in Broward last October were valuable; and although MDC joining with other counties to secure additional assistance was supported, there were concerns that Broward, as a partner in the Compact, would publicly work against the best interests of MDC, based on a Broward representative's position that the allocation process for the Energy Efficiency Community Block Grant (EECBG) was unfair. It was noted the EECBG provided critical funding for MDC, and Broward County wanting to modify the current allocation process could result in MDC losing critical resources.</i> • <i>The Commission suggested the resolution be amended to incorporate language that protected the County's interest and to require members of the Compact to not engage in any lobbyist efforts that would result unfavorably for other members of the Compact. In addition, it was suggested that nuclear energy be included as a renewable energy source in the proposed resolution.</i> • <i>The Commission explained that Broward was not advocating against MDC, but took a "me too" approach in order to be included in the next funding cycle. It was noted Broward was measured by unincorporated areas instead of total county population.</i> • <i>Additionally, it was noted that nuclear energy was not included in any of the regional compacts, and that FPL was handling nuclear energy on their own. The Commission pointed out that nuclear energy was not excluded, but was implied in the language written on handwritten page 5, in Section 3.</i> • <i>R-1388-09 was adopted as amended to add the language "and nuclear energy" to Section 3, handwritten page 5, line 6 after the phrase "...and hydrokinetic energy;" and to add the following language to Section 6, "Miami Dade County shall work with the other aforementioned counties, understanding that no counties will work at cross purposes with other counties in developing a Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change action plan."</i> <p>On April 2, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-240-13, accepted the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan which included actionable recommendations for regionally coordinated climate change mitigation, adaption strategies, and efforts in building community resilience.</p> <p>The Plan, organized in seven areas, provided the common framework for sustainable communities and transportation planning to be aligned across the region, as implemented. It recognized the need to protect and address our vulnerable water supply, management and infrastructure and to preserve our fragile natural systems and agricultural resources. The plan provided for steps to move towards resilience to the impacts of climate change, and to reduce emissions through exploring alternatives and decreasing our use of energy and fuel. The plan built upon our strength as effective emergency responders and integrated climate change hazards in risk reduction and emergency management planning. Finally, the Regional Climate Action Plan proposed to create a common vocabulary for outreach and public policy development to effectively communicate the steps from risk to resilience with the general public, voters, elected officials and decision makers in Southeast Florida, the state and the nation.</p>
8L2 161160	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO NOVA CONSULTING, INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-01; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,000,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement to Nova Consulting, Inc., for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various county departments,

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Project No. E14-RER-01 in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000.00 for a term of five (5) years with two (2), one-year renewal options.</p> <p>The E14-RER-01 PSA is necessary to provide the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources with a prequalified environmental consultant, with diverse and specialized expertise, immediately available to perform a variety of environmentally related tasks required of County departments.</p> <p>The proposed scope of work includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performing environmental assessment and/or rehabilitation and related tasks, including, but not limited to, drilling, surveying and mapping, and laboratory analytical services at Miami-Dade County owned or operated sites in accordance with Chapter 62-780 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC), Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County, and all other applicable regulations; • Performing services related to the modification, repair, removal, replacement, installation, and/or abandonment of any underground or aboveground storage systems, and related tasks, necessary for the investigation, prevention or cleanup of contamination, in accordance with Chapters 62-761 and 62-762 FAC, Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code and all other applicable regulations; • Performing services as design professionals and duties related to construction management for environmentally related construction tasks; and • Performing other related environmental work not identified necessary for investigation or prevention of potential or known contamination, for compliance with applicable regulations, protection of the environment and public health and cleanup of environmental contamination. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The cost of services will be charged to the particular projects of the various County departments requiring professional services. The Department requesting the services for the specific project will establish the funding source at the time the work order is issued. No work will be issued under the contract unless the specific using department identifies appropriate, budgeted funds. These funds are budgeted in the individual project budgets by the various departments. Using departments may include, but not be limited to, Aviation; Water and Sewer; Public Housing and Community Development; Parks Recreation and Open Spaces; Regulatory and Economic Resources; Solid Waste Management; and Transportation and Public Works.</p> <p><u>Selection Process</u> On June 5, 2015, a Notice to Professional Consultants was issued under a full and open competition. A project briefing meeting was conducted on June 11, 2015, that was open to all interested parties.</p> <p>At the First Tier meeting held on September 24, 2015, the Competitive Selection Committee ranked Nova Consulting, Inc. as the highest ranking firm out of the two proposals submitted. At this meeting, it was determined that Nova Consulting Inc. met the minimum qualifications and demonstrated its relevant experience as required by the Notice to Professional Consultants. The Competitive Selection Committee decided by unanimous vote not to hold a Second Tier meeting and to recommend the selection of Nova Consulting, Inc. to the Mayor for approval to negotiate a contract. The Negotiation Committee was approved on November 10, 2015. On January 14, 2016, the Negotiation Committee met with Nova Consulting, Inc., and concluded its negotiations. This is the recommendation to award one contract to Nova Consulting, Inc.</p> <p>Based on the Capital Improvement Information System managed by the Internal Services Department, the County has completed ten (10) evaluations for Nova Consulting, Inc. with an average rating of 3.9 points out of a total 4 possible points.</p> <p><i>According to the Firm History Report, as provided by the Internal Services Department, the contractor has received three (3) contracts with a total value of \$17,690,000.00. No Change Orders were approved by the BCC.</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		Goal	Estimated Value	Comment
		SBE 0% Construction	\$0	N/A
		SBE 7% Goods and Services	\$0	A 7% SBE-G&S measure will apply to this agreement.
		SBE 100% Architecture and Engineering	\$3,300,000	A 100% Tier 2 Set-Aside SBE-A&E measure will apply to this agreement.
		DBE 0%	\$0	N/A
		CWP 0%	\$0	N/A
8N5 161035	RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO PROJECT NO. E15-PWWM-06 (CONTRACT NO. 20150060) FOR CONTINUING SERVICES CONTRACT FOR ENGINEERING AND DESIGN RELATED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES UNDER THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL LOCAL AGENCY PROGRAM			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the rejection of all proposals received for Project No. E15-PWWM-06 (Contract No. 20150060), Continuing Services Contract for Engineering and Design Related Professional Services Under the Florida Department of Transportation Safe Routes to School Local Agency Program.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On June 12, 2015, the original Request to Advertise (RTA) (E15-PWWM-06) was approved and filed with the Clerk of the Board (COB). The solicitation was advertised on July 7, 2015, and eight (8) proposals were received by the COB on August 14, 2015 in response to the solicitation.</p> <p>However, on August 20, 2015, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) informed the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) that the project would need to be re-advertised in order to incorporate provisions requested by the Federal Highway Administration. The modified solicitation documents have been coordinated with FDOT to ensure that the requested provisions are incorporated and acceptable, and will be re-advertised under project number E15-PWWM-13.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact of the total five-year contract term would not have exceeded \$1.5 million. This Project was being funded upfront by Charter County Transportation Sales Surtax Bond Sale Proceeds and was to be reimbursed by FDOT through federal aid highway funds allocated to the Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS).</p> <p><u>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</u> A Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal of 9.91 percent was applicable.</p>			
8N6 161141	RESOLUTION APPROVING SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO. 2 WITH PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC., TO PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND INSPECTION SERVICES FOR PALMETTO STATION TRACTION POWER SUB-STATION, METRORAIL CENTER CONTROL UPGRADE, LEHMAN YARD REHABILITATION AND EXPANSION PHASE 1, AND LEHMAN CENTER TEST TRACK, CONTRACT NO.: CIP030-CT1-TR09, TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT DURATION BY 428 CALENDAR DAYS AND THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY \$600,000.00 AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES			
Notes	The proposed resolution approves Supplemental Agreement No. 2 with Parsons Transportation Group Inc. (PTG), for Construction Engineering and Inspection (CEI) Services required for the Palmetto Station Traction Power Sub-Station, Metrorail Central Control Upgrade, Lehman Yard Rehabilitation and Expansion Phase 1, and Lehman Center Test Track; Contract Number CIP030-CT1-TR09; Project No: E09-MDT-01 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) People’s Transportation Plan (PTP).			

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This supplemental agreement increases the contract time by 428 calendar days (due to contractor delays) and the contract amount by \$600,000.00, and authorizes the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax (Surtax) funds to cover those costs which exceed the liquidated damages assessed to the contractor.</p> <p>CEI services will provide professional and technical services including; engineering, managerial, administrative, and other services required during the design and construction phases of the four (4) system projects in support of the Metrorail expansion and new rail vehicles.</p> <p>CEI services will manage and inspect all work performed by the selected Design Builders on fixed facilities and systems to ensure compliance with the contract requirements, construction and design standards, and plans and specifications. The CEI will support DTPW by providing assistance during the design and construction phases of the projects and will perform duties such as design support, plans review and conformance resident engineering, office engineering, on-site inspection services, quality control, testing analysis, contract administration, construction safety and security, construction survey analysis, manage the budget for each of the four (4) projects separately, assist with cash flow reports, monitor contractor progress, construction cost estimates, invoices/requisitions analysis and recommendations, processing shop drawings, address Requests for Information, rail system testing services, rail system start-up services, and contract closeout phases of the projects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lehman Center Test Track Project - The contractor will design, permit, furnish, construct, test, and place into operation a rail vehicle Test Track, a siding track with a Service and Inspection building, and a train control and communications house to be located at the existing Lehman Center Yard. The work includes implementation of a train control system, CCTV and telephone systems, as well as connection of traction power for the test track. • Lehman Center Yard Rehabilitation and Expansion Phase 1 Project - The consultant will design, permit, furnish, construct, test and place into operation five (5) new storage tracks with an electrified third rail, and two (2) maintenance of way tracks. The work includes implementation of a train control system and connection of traction power for the storage tracks along with the addition of track switches remotely controlled by the existing control panel in the yard tower. • Palmetto Traction Power Substation Project - The consultant will design, permit, furnish, construct, test, and place into operation a complete new traction power sub-station at a location in the vicinity of the Metrorail Palmetto Station. The work includes interfacing and coordinating with Florida Power and Light. • Metrorail Central Control Upgrade Project - The consultant will design, permit, furnish, construct, test, and place into operation a complete new rail transit central control system at Stephen P. Clark Government Center. This upgrade will provide a new modern, efficient and reliable transit control center including but not limited to new interior design, new electrical system, new communications and mechanical equipment, and an upgrade of the antiquated "whiteboard." <p><u>Funding Source:</u> This Project was originally funded with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and Surtax funds. This Supplement Agreement is funded with Surtax funds.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> The original Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal is set at 19 percent DBE sub-consultants have been paid \$1,297,750.28 and have performed 100 percent of the work for the original PSA as well as supplemental agreements 1 and 2; achieving a total goal of 22.30 percent.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> CEI Services are required for this Project during the design and construction phases of the four (4) system projects in support of the Earlington Heights to Miami Intermodal Center Metrorail expansion, and procurement of the new Metrorail vehicles. The CEI services agreement provides professional and technical services including</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>engineering, inspection, managerial, administrative, and other services required during the design and construction phases of all four (4) projects.</p> <p>The status of the four (4) projects, as of March 2016, is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Palmetto Traction Power Sub-Station Project: Substantial completion was achieved on October 17, 2013, project close-out was June 16, 2015. • Metrorail Central Control Upgrade Project: Substantial completion was achieved on August 20, 2015, and project close-out was December 31, 2015. • Lehman Center Test Track Project: 97 percent complete. Substantial completion is anticipated in May 2016, and project close-out by September 30, 2016. • Lehman Center Yard Rehabilitation and Expansion Phase 1 Project: 97 percent complete. Substantial completion is anticipated in May 2016, and project close-out by September 30, 2016. <p><u>Additional Information – Transit and Mobility Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u></p> <p>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned why there was a delay and the reason for the requested extension.</i> • <i>The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) staff explained that the reasons for the delay could be broken down into several areas including: design and permitting phases which were delayed by the contractor when designs were submitted late; procurement of materials and equipment including rail for trains; construction delays on the test track building.</i> • <i>DTPW staff further noted that after substantial completion or the project, there is a time period of about 2-3 months until completion.</i> • <i>The Deputy Mayor explained that the company reference in the proposed resolution was the company aiding the County in conducting the inspections, not the company constructing the project. The Deputy Mayor further clarified that the proposed resolution resulted from contractors delays.</i> • <i>DTPW staff noted that August of 2014 was the original completion date.</i> • <i>The Committee inquired when the original contract was supposed to end, to which DTPW staff answered September 30th, 2016. And clarified that the Parsons contract was being extended.</i> • <i>The Committee asked what the amount of the contract with Parsons was for, to which DTPW staff answered that it was \$4.311 million for 900 days.</i> • <i>The Committee asked if the \$600,000 extension was related to lack of performance, to which DTPW staff replied that it was not, and that it was due to a lack of performance from the contractor. He explained that since the contractor responsible for designing and building the facility was not performing, and as a result, the Department had to continuing inspections.</i> • <i>The Deputy Mayor clarified that this proposed resolution referred to engineering services provided to assist the Department in inspections.</i> • <i>The Committee asked if the County would recover the \$600,000, to which DTPW staff replied yes, with liquidated damages.</i> • <i>The CAO explained that the assessed liquidated damages were based on failure to meet certain milestones of performance. Those damages would be paid by contractor responsible for delays, not Parsons.</i> • <i>DTPW staff explained that the liquidated damages have not been settled and that the contractor will more than likely dispute the damages, however, the monies are being withheld from payment so the money in on the County's side.</i> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On December 16, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1139-14, approved Supplemental Agreement No. 1 with Parsons Transportation Group (PTG), Inc. for Construction Engineering and Inspection (CE&I) Services required for the Palmetto Station Traction Power Sub-Station, Metrorail Central Control Upgrade (which includes the “whiteboard” component), Lehman Yard Rehabilitation and Expansion Phase 1, and the Lehman Center Test Track (Contact No. CIP030-CT1-TR09, Project No: E09-MDT-01 ARRA PTP). R-1139-14 increased the</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>contract time by two hundred and thirty-seven (237) calendar days (due to both contractor delays and owner request) and contract amount by \$1,027,000.00, and authorized the use of Charter County Transportation System Surtax (Surtax) funds to cover those costs which exceeded the liquidated damages assessed to the construction contractor.</p> <p>The original Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal was set at 19%. DBE sub-consultants were paid \$776,729.20 and had performed 21.39% of the original work. Therefore, the 19% DBE goal was to be applied to the higher contract value. The consultant exceeded the established goal as per the original contract value.</p>
8N7 161222	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$472,816.40 TO STRAIGHT AHEAD CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED "OLETA RIVER CULVERT EXTENSION"; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.2.7.01 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-53; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute a contract in the amount of \$472,816.40 to Straight Ahead Construction, Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project entitled "Oleta River Culvert Extension" (Project MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08, RPQ No. 20150218) and authorizes the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such purposes.</p> <p>The work to be accomplished for this project consists of, but is not limited to, furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, equipment, and tools to perform all operations necessary to extend several culverts, and to add new sidewalks along a residential road that currently does not pass over the Oleta River. Work includes clearing, and grubbing, grading, channel excavation, guardrails, and the installation of sand-cement ripraps and sodding, as needed. This work will enhance the safety of the roadway and improve pedestrian facilities. The contract duration is established as 180-calendar days.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact will be approximately \$472,816.40 and will be funded from the Charter County Transportation Surtax and the Stormwater Utility Fund. This figure includes the base contract amount of \$411,924.00, and contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance.</p> <p>The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the PTP Neighborhood Improvements Section. The funding index codes for this Project are CPEPTP704SDW and CPE316PWARTR (FY 2015-16 Adopted Capital Budget Project No.s 607800 and 6037700).</p> <p><u>Background:</u> DTPW submitted its contract measure recommendation to the Internal Services Department's (ISD) Small Business Development Division (SBD) for Small Business Enterprise (SBE) participation for this RPQ. SBD completed its Project Review and Analysis, and established a 7.90 percent SBE subcontractor goal. A Community Workforce Program Goal was deemed not applicable to this RPQ. On January 21, 2016, DTPW forwarded RPQ No. 20150218, utilizing the MCC 7360 Plan - CICC 7360-0/08 Contract, to a list of 456 pre-qualified firms. A total of five (5) firms purchased contract documents and eight (8) firms proffered a bid.</p> <p>On February 24, 2016, Straight Ahead Construction, Inc. proffered the lowest responsive and responsible base bid of \$411,924.00, ten (10) percent over the County's cost estimate. The second lowest bidder, Maxon Groupe, Inc., proffered a base bid of \$442,006.60, 18 percent over the County's cost estimate. The third lowest bidder, Florida Construction and Engineering, Inc., proffered a base bid of \$465,912.97, 24 percent over the County's cost estimate.</p> <p>Based on the result of the solicitation, DTPW issued a recommendation for award on March 8, 2016 for RPQ No. 20150218 to Straight Ahead Construction, Inc., with the stipulation that approval for this project must be obtained from the CITT and the BCC.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
11A2 161336	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCLUDE AN AMENDED IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 4-133 RELATED TO THE SCHEDULE OF TRANSIT FARES, RATES, AND CHARGES IN THE 2016-2017 PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGET BY PROVIDING THAT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF INTERCOUNTY EXPRESS BUS ROUTES, NO FARE FOR BUS SERVICE SHALL EXCEED THE FARE FOR METRORAIL SERVICE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes a policy that, with the exception of intercounty express bus service, no fare for bus service will exceed the fare for Metrorail service. This policy will be reflected in an amendment to Implementing Order No. 4-133, Schedule of Transit Fares, Rates, and Charges, in the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 budget.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Miami-Dade's Metrorail is a 25-mile dual track, elevated rapid transit system that provides service to Miami International Airport (MIA) and runs from Kendall through South Miami, Coral Gables, and downtown Miami to the Civic Center/Jackson Memorial Hospital area and to Brownsville, Liberty City, Hialeah, and Medley in northwest Miami-Dade. The Metrorail provides elevated platform stations with prepayment boarding, and stops approximately one mile apart. Unlike the County's bus system, the Metrorail traverses without having to yield to other traffic or to traffic signals.</p> <p>The fares for certain express bus service within Miami-Dade County is actually higher than that of Metrorail and the County currently has several routes that act as extensions of Metrorail and provide an intracounty connection to the Metrorail system in lieu of extending Metrorail to serve these areas. As part of the MPO Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit "SMART" Plan, a number of planned intra-County express bus services are incorporated to provide quick connection to the premium transit services.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Transit and Mobility Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee inquired about the impact on the operational cost to transit, and by how much.</i> • <i>Staff from the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) explained that the Department conducted an initial fiscal impact on the proposed resolution, and found that in the next budget a \$300,000 reduction in revenues was estimated.</i> <p><u>Additional Information on Miami-Dade Transit Fare Rates³:</u> All sales are final. Refunds are not issued for unused trips on activated MDT passes (1-Month, 7-Day and 1-Day). Additionally, no refunds are issued on EASY Ticket purchases.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metrobus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2.25 ○ Discount Fare - \$1.10 • Express Bus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2.65 ○ Discount Fare - \$1.30 • Shuttle Bus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - 25¢ ○ Discount Fare - 10¢ • Bus-to-Bus Transfer [free transfer within 3 hours from time of first use] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare – Free* ○ Discount Fare – Free* • Bus-to-Express Bus Transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$0.95 ○ Discount Fare - \$0.45 • Bus-to-Rail Transfer

³ <http://www.miamidade.gov/transit/fares.asp>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$0.60* ○ Discount Fare - \$0.30* ● Rail-to-Bus Transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$0.60 ○ Discount Fare - \$0.30 ● Shuttle Bus-to-Bus or Rail Transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2.00 ○ Discount Fare - \$1.00 ● Shuttle Bus-to-Express Bus Transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2.40 ○ Discount Fare - \$1.20 ● Metrorail <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2.25 ○ Discount Fare - \$1.10 ● Metrorail daily parking fee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$4.50 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable ● Metrorail monthly parking permit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$11.25 (with purchase of monthly pass) ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable <p>Discounts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● 1-Month Pass*** [Only valid for one calendar month; not available for purchase between the 11th and the 20th of the month] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$112.50 ○ Discount Fare - \$56.25 ● 1-Month Pass - Corporate Discount Program 4-99 passes*** <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$101.25 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable ● 1-Month Pass - Corporate Discount Program 100 or more passes*** <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$95.65 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable ● 7-Day Pass*** [Valid for seven consecutive days from the first day of use.] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$29.25 ○ Discount Fare - \$14.60 ● 1-Day Pass*** [Valid only from the time of first use up to 2:00 A.M. the next day] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$5.65 ○ Discount Fare - \$2.80 ● College/Adult Education Center Monthly Pass <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$56.25 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable ● Golden Passport or Patriot Passport <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - Free ○ Discount Fare - Free ● Special Transportation Service (STS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$3.50 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable <p>Easy Passes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● EASY Card [Made of durable plastic, is reusable and will expire in 20 years. Patrons are encouraged to register the card for balance protection] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EASY Ticket [Made of paper, is disposable and will expire in 60 days] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - \$2 ○ Discount Fare - Not applicable <p>* When paying with an EASY Card or EASY Ticket only. Cash customers must pay the full fare each time they board and there are no transfer privileges. Bus to bus transfers are free after paying for the initial trip. Transfers are free for passengers travelling in one direction (not for round trips) within 3 hours from the initial tap.</p> <p>** Discount Fare is available for Medicare recipients, most people with disabilities, and local students (grades K-12) when using a Discount Fare EASY Card.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metromover <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fare - Free ○ Discount Fare - Free
11A3 161339	RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 AND ANY OTHER APPLICABLE PROCUREMENT GUIDELINES FOR SECURING SPONSORSHIPS AND PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES FOR HOSTING THE SECOND ANNUAL SOUTH DADE SOLUTIONS SUMMIT ON JULY 15, 2016
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 and any other applicable Miami-Dade County procurement guidelines for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the Second Annual South Dade Solutions Summit on July 15, 2016.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Second Annual South Dade Solutions Summit will take place on July 15, 2016 and it will bring together government, business, faith, and civic leaders from the South Dade community to update the 3-year South Dade Regional success plan which was created at the first summit. The Second Annual South Dade Solutions Summit will also feature an interactive, engaging and results-oriented workshop to discuss a unified branding and marketing plan for South Dade which will promote the area as an ideal environment to live, work and play, for visitors, businesses and residents.</p> <p>While the dollar value of the goods, services, and sponsorships sought to be secured for the Second Annual South Dade Solutions Summit is below the threshold amount requiring formal sealed bids under County regulations, those County regulations nevertheless require market research and written quotes in advance of such purchases.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on the South Dade Solutions Summit:</u> On May 19, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-456-15, waived the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 and any other applicable Miami-Dade County procurement guidelines for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the South Dade Solutions Summit on June 6, 2015.</p> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, R-456-15 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted the proposal did not include a fiscal impact and inquired whether any money from the General Fund would be used.</i> • <i>The Committee reported that the South Dade Solutions Summit on June 6, 2015 would be funded through a combination of fundraising efforts and the District 8 budget. It was indicated that a three year plan would be established for greater prosperity on regional issues unique to South Dade, including agriculture and transportation.</i> • <i>Responding to a question as to why this resolution was needed, the Committee noted it was necessary in order to solicit donations.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted concern about soliciting donations for events, questioning the process and the specific requirements being waived to which the CAO reported that the questions related to the sponsorships in relation to the County's ethics code. He pointed out that conducting market research to obtain multiple estimates was required before securing goods, services or sponsorships, pursuant to Implementing Order 3-38 and procurement guidelines.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns for waiving established procedures, noting rules and regulations were put into place for a reason and suggested proceeding with caution in the future when addressing similar requests.</i> • <i>The Committee indicated that a request to approve expenditures would be submitted to the BCC; that the total budget was \$5,000; that the venue would cost \$1,000; and that bids were being obtained for the purchase of food.</i>
11A7 161377	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES FOR CLINICAL AND SUPERVISORY SERVICES IN THE FIELD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves an Agreement with the University of Florida Board of Trustees for clinical and supervisory Services in the field of veterinary medicine.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Agreement, UF will provide services for shelter pets, pets of low-income residents and free roaming and trap/neuter/return (TNR) community cats, which will include spay/neuter services, veterinary medical care to injured or diseased dogs, wellness care as needed to include vaccinations, heartworm preventative and anthelmintics, administration of oral, injectable and/or topical medications prescribed by a licensed veterinarian, maintenance of complete medical histories for each pet treated and veterinary technician staff support</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The provision of the University of Florida's (UF) services within the scope of this Agreement (UF) will provide a public service to the County by assisting with the performance of spay and neuter surgeries and shelter medicine training. UF will benefit from this collaboration with a nationally recognized animal shelter and a clinical veterinary facility in Miami, which will enhance UF's veterinary program and educational activities. UF, through its educational programs for the development of veterinary medical professionals, has responsibility for the training of students and resident veterinarians who require clinical education in various veterinary medical disciplines to complete their professional development. UF, commensurate with its tri-fold mission of education, research and service, has a Shelter Medicine Externship arrangement in which the County is a participating shelter so that the County may serve as a training site for UF veterinary students.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution Nos. R-583-12 and R-476-13, the programs outlined in the Agreement are aligned with the County's plan to achieve a no-kill shelter.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on R-583-12:</u> On July 3, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-583-12, directed the Mayor or designee to develop and implement a financially feasible, actionable No-Kill Implementation Plan, with measurable goals and due dates, to achieve a 90% save rate at the County's Animal Shelter.</p> <p>The plan was to include the following programs and services, consistent with the No Kill Equation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive Adoption Programs • Free-Roaming Cat Trap/Neuter/Return Programs • High-Volume, Affordable Spay/Neuter Services • Large-Scale Volunteer Foster Care Programs • Rescue Group Transfers • Proactive Pet Retention Programs

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proactive Pet Redemptions • Medical and Behavioral Programs • Public Relations/Community Involvement • Volunteer Programs <p>The Mayor or his designee was to present the No-Kill Implementation Plan to this BCC within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution</p> <p><u>Additional Information on R-476-13:</u> On June 18, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-476-13, accepted the Animal Services Department (ASD) Report of Recommendations for attaining a No Kill shelter with funding recommendations to be provided as part of the proposed FY 2013-14 budget.</p> <p>The report provided for an ASD Strategic Plan implementing lifesaving programs with the goal of ASD becoming a no-kill shelter. Upon adoption of the Plan, ASD was prepared to move forward with the expansion or establishment of the programs and services based upon future budget approval.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact Funding would be provided by proceeds of the up to 0.1079 mills of property tax revenue and is subject to approval by the BCC as part of the annual budget process. An analysis of the fiscal impact indicates that the levy of the 0.1079 mills would yield approximately \$18 million to \$20 million annually.</p> <p>Financial Feasibility Action Plan Funding would be provided to ASD and available to other groups and not-for profit organizations working to save shelter animals. Priority would be given to programs that perform large-scale sterilization services, reduce the population of owned dogs and cats (targeted for spay and neuter) and provide surrender prevention/pet retention services. Proposed funding recommendations are based on an estimated \$20 million budget, the amount estimated by a full levy of the 0.1079 mills on the countywide property tax roll as directed by R-1050-12.</p> <p>Background ASD recommended targeting any newly available resources towards eliminating the euthanasia of thousands of savable pets annually in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing animal lives saved through adoption and rescue; • Reducing shelter intake through targeted sterilization of dogs and cats; and • Providing access to resources aimed at surrender prevention and programs for responsible pet ownership. <p>ASD has consistently improved the animal save rate over the past several years for both dogs and cats. In 2012, the Department attained its highest save rate for dogs - saving approximately 75% of abandoned canines. The save rate for cats also peaked last year with 38% being saved and 70% over the last 4 months. The no-kill goal is defined as saving 90 % of savable dogs and cats.</p>
11A8 160996	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED LAND AND IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED AT 1435 NW 67TH STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA, WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS THEY RELATE TO REVIEW BY PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD, AND APPROVING PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE SECTION 125.38, TERMS OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (LANDLORD) AND NEW MOUNT MORIAH MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH OF MIAMI, INC. (TENANT), A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR A 30 YEAR TERM PLUS TWO 10 YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FAMILY AND CHILD CARE SERVICES AND RELATED PROGRAMS AT AN ANNUAL RENT OF \$250.00 FOR THE INITIAL YEAR, AND ADJUSTED ANNUALLY BY THREE PERCENT FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE TERM, AND INCLUDING THE GRANT OF AN OPTION TO PURCHASE SUCH PROPERTY AT THE MARKET VALUE OF THE LAND AT THE TIME OF THE PURCHASE; WAIVING BOARD POLICY SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION NO. R-256-13 REQUIRING A LEASE RATHER</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>THAN A DEED WHEN CONVEYING PROPERTY TO NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE LEASE AGREEMENT, TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING, AND TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF ITS EXECUTION</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares surplus County-owned land and improvements located at 1435 NW 67th Street, Miami, Florida; • Waives the requirements of Administrative Order 8-4 as they relate to review by the Planning Advisory Board; • Waives the policy set forth in Resolution No. R-256-13 which requires a lease rather than a deed of conveyance when transferring property to a not-for-profit corporation under Section 125.38, Florida Statutes; and • Authorizes the terms of the Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (Landlord) and New Mount Moriah Missionary Baptist Church of Miami, Inc. (Tenant), a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for 30 year term plus two 10 year options to renew, for the purpose of providing family and child care services and related programs at an annual rent of \$250.00 for the initial year, and adjusted annually by three percent for the remainder of the term; and including the grant of an option to purchase such property at the market value of the land at the time of purchase. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>If the option to purchase is exercised deed restrictions will be incorporated to ensure that the use is maintained for a minimum period of 10 years following the closing of such conveyance, with a reservation of the County's rights in the event such restrictions are not observed.</i> <p><u>Background</u> New Mount Moriah Missionary Baptist Church of Miami, Inc. (Mount Moriah) is a not-for-profit corporation, engaged in providing after school child care services and programs to the public, including youth development activities such as education, sports, and art at property owned by Mount Moriah located at 6700 NW 14th Avenue, City of Miami, Florida (Moriah Property). The County owns vacant property, located at 1435 NW 67th Street, City of Miami, Florida (Property), which is adjacent to the Moriah Property. Mount Moriah seeks to utilize the Property in order to expand the facilities and programs at the Moriah Property, in an effort to accommodate more children. Mount Moriah has expressed the desire to lease, and potentially purchase, the Property for uses consistent with, and to enable, the expansion of such programs. Mount Moriah has represented, and the lease agreement provides, that all activities and programs with respect to the Property will be of a non-religious nature.</p>
11A9 161068	<p>RESOLUTION ALLOCATING \$273,148.60 TO BLACK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COALITION, INC. D/B/A TOOLS FOR CHANGE, TO BE TAKEN FROM THE FUNDS IN THE COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT FUND PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE GUARANTY FUND AGREEMENT; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAID AMENDMENT</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends the Guaranty Fund Agreement to allow for the provision of financial support to Black Economic Development Coalition, Inc. d/b/a Tools for Change to allow it to continue implementation of its programs and allocates the remaining balance of \$273,148.60 to Black Economic Development Coalition, Inc. d/b/a Tools for Change to be taken from the funds in the Commercial Development Fund Program.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Black Economic Development Coalition, Inc. d/b/a Tools for Change has been operating in Miami-Dade County since 1989 to implement a multi-pronged program to further the creation and growth of black-owned businesses, improve income circulation within black communities in Miami-Dade County and enhance the availability of goods and services in predominately black communities. Black Economic Development Coalition, Inc. d/b/a Tools for Change is also responsible for administering the Commercial Development Loan Program for the development of Disadvantaged Businesses in Miami-Dade County. The Commercial Development Fund Program was initially funded with \$1,832,000.00 of general revenue funds at the September 19, 1991 Public Hearing.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On July 21, 1992, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-944-92, authorized execution of a Guaranty Fund Agreement and an Escrow Agreement with Tools for Change, which among other things provided that the \$1,832,000.00 was to be placed in an interest bearing account and set forth the conditions under which the interest could be disbursed. The account and has to date earned approximately \$1,018,045.60 in interest. The purpose of the Commercial Development Fund Program was to revitalize commercial and industrial projects in low-to-moderate income communities through the provision of commercial loan guarantees on behalf of low-to-moderate income small businesses. Tools for Change has successfully guaranteed twenty-five loans for a total of \$4,148,766.00 in small business lending.</p>
11A10 161241	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A WRITTEN REPORT IDENTIFYING PROPERTY MAINTENANCE MEASURES CURRENTLY IN PLACE WITH RESPECT TO COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY WHICH IS CURRENTLY VACANT OR NOT IN USE AND RECOMMENDING MEASURES FOR MODIFICATIONS TO SUCH PROPERTY MAINTENANCE MEASURES IN ORDER TO PROMOTE INCREASED SAFETY AND IMPROVED AESTHETICS; AND DIRECTING THAT SUCH REPORT BE PRESENTED TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to provide a written report within 120 days, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies property maintenance measures currently in place for County-owned or held property that is vacant or not in use; • Sets forth recommendations for ways in which such maintenance can be improved (Improved Maintenance), including but not limited to consideration of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Increasing the frequency of scheduled maintenance; ○ Posting signs to prevent illegal dumping; and ○ Securing select problem areas with a fence or cameras. • Sets forth the anticipated cost and feasibility of the Improved Maintenance measures considered; and • Identifies the timeframe within which such Improved Maintenance measures can be reasonably implemented. <p><u>Background</u> Miami-Dade County, through its various departments, currently owns or holds a number of vacant land parcels and properties with buildings that are currently not occupied or otherwise being used and it is in the best interests of the County and the public for such County-owned properties to be maintained in as safe and efficient a manner as possible, and in a manner that abides by requirements of the County's zoning code provisions regarding property maintenance, and creates a clean and aesthetically pleasing environment.</p>
11A11 161307	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THIRD AMENDMENT TO DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SOUTHEAST OVERTOWN/PARK WEST COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY TO PROVIDE THE DEVELOPER WITH THE OPTION OF PAYING TWO UP FRONT LUMP SUM PAYMENTS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO MAKING ANNUAL PAYMENTS TO THE COUNTY AND THE CRA AS SET FORTH IN THE CURRENT DECLARATION AND PRIOR AMENDMENTS, APPROVING AGREEMENT BETWEEN LYRIC DEVELOPMENT LLC AND THE COUNTY REGARDING PAYMENT OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INTO THE COMMUNITY BENEFITS PROGRAM, AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS SET FORTH THEREIN, AND TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the Third Amendment to Declaration of Restrictions between Miami-Dade County and Southeast Overtown/Park West Community Redevelopment Agency to provide the developer with the option of paying two upfront lump sum payments as an alternative to making annual payments to the County and the CRA as set forth in the current declaration and prior amendments; • Approves Agreement between Lyric Development LLC and the County regarding payment of additional funds into the Community Benefits Program; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute the Third Amendment to Declaration, the Agreement, and to exercise all rights set forth in the Agreement and the Third Amendment to

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Declaration, and to appoint staff to monitor compliance with the Declaration of Restrictions, including all amendments, and the Agreement.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Declaration provides for project payments to both the CRA and the County including the higher of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$38,500.00 per year for the first five years, with an increase of 3 percent per year for each year thereafter; or • 2.5 percent of the gross rent, for a 25 year period (Project Payment), commencing the earlier of 30 days from the issuance of a temporary certificate of occupancy or its equivalent, or five years from the date of recordation of the Declaration (May 15, 2013); • The Amendment to Declaration of Restrictions provides for additional annual project payments by the Developer of \$50,000.00 per year, for a 10 year period into a community benefits program to be established in the Southeast Overtown/Park West Redevelopment Area (Community Benefit Project Payments), commencing on the date that the CRA conveys title to the property to the Developer (no later than November 14, 2017). <p>The Developer has requested the option to pay upfront lump sum payments in lieu of annual Project Payments and Community Benefit Project Payments under the current Amended Declaration. The Administration for the County has recommended a lump sum amount after converting such annual payments to lump sum payments, including \$395,635.00 as an upfront Community Benefit Project Payment, and \$785,421.25 each to the CRA and the County for an upfront Project Payment plus a proportionate increase if the proposed Retail Project exceeds 63,250 square feet (i.e. if the proposed project exceeds 63,250 by 10 percent, then the payments due to each of the CRA and the County shall increase by 10 percent). The Developer has offered to pay an additional \$100,000.00 into the Community Benefits Program.</p>
11A12 161318	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REQUIRE FIREARMS TRAINING, MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS AND CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR ARMED SECURITY GUARDS ON COUNTY SECURITY GUARD SERVICES CONTRACTS AND PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN 60 DAYS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to require that, before being utilized on future County contracts for security guard services, all armed security guards receive firearms training, undergo mental health evaluations and pass national criminal background checks. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will insert written terms in all future County contracts and/or solicitations for security guard services to ensure compliance with this resolution. • Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to provide a report to the BCC within 60 days summarizing the County's current practices and contractual terms regarding training, mental health and background checks for armed security guards on County contracts and outlining and identifying the actions the County will take in future contracts and solicitations for security guard services to comply with this resolution, and place the completed report on agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. <p><u>Background:</u> In contracting for security guard services, the County commonly uses armed security guards at County facilities and these armed security guards are deployed in County facilities that are heavily used by County employees, residents and visitors to Miami-Dade County such as Miami International Airport, courthouse facilities, public transit facilities and County Hall.</p> <p>On June 1, 2012 Kijuan Byrd was shot and fatally injured by an armed security guard outside a private club in Miami-Dade County. Kijuan graduated from Norland High School in 2001, attended West Hills Community College in Coalinga, CA, where he played football, thereafter worked as an electrician and is survived by his two</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>children. The armed security guard who was charged and convicted with Kijuan’s murder was later diagnosed with multiple mental disorders by a court-ordered psychiatrist.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Metropolitan Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee noted that the Orlando shooter was an employee of G4S, is a company related to Wackenhut, with whom the County had contracts with in the past.</i> • <i>The Internal Services Department (ISD) Director acknowledged that ISD was responsible for the security guard services contract for the government center and many other buildings.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed the need to screen individuals working in County buildings, including cleaning crews.</i>
11A14 161329	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO COORDINATE WITH VARIOUS ANIMAL-RELATED AGENCIES (A GROUP TO BE KNOWN COLLECTIVELY AS THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION TEAM OR "CAT") TO DEVELOP NEW AND INNOVATIVE WAYS TO ADDRESS NUISANCES CAUSED BY FREE-ROAMING CATS AND THE HOARDING OF CATS; AND TO PROVIDE A REPORT ON SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to identify and instruct County officials and employees in the fields of animal services, law enforcement, and regulatory compliance to consult with the following outside agencies (a group which will be collectively known as the Community Action Team or “CAT”), in order to develop new and innovative ways to address issues related to free-roaming cats and cat hoarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals; ○ Cat Network, Inc.; ○ Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade County; ○ The South Florida Veterinary Foundation; and ○ Local governments • This coordinated effort will be organized and led by the Director of the County’s Department of Animal Services, or such other County employee, as designated by the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee; • All meetings of CAT will be conducted in accordance with the Government in the Sunshine law (Sec. 286.011, Fla. Stat.) and the Citizens Bill of Rights of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter. The Public Records Law will apply to CAT; • Directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to provide sufficient resources to support the coordinated effort by CAT; • Directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to provide a report on all strategies developed to address issues related to the overpopulation of free-roaming cats and cat hoarding and on all actions taken by CAT within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution and to place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. <p><u>Background:</u> On July 3, 2012 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-583-12 with the goal of becoming a No Kill shelter. The sterilization and return of free-roaming community cats and the reduction of cat hoarding are aligned with the plan to achieve a no-kill shelter. The population of free-roaming cats in Miami-Dade County is estimated to be between 300,000 and 400,000 and the housing or keeping of cats in excess of that which can be maintained in a safe, humane and sanitary manner, commonly referred to as cat hoarding, has resulted in community concerns related to human and animal health and welfare. Free-roaming cats may exhibit nuisance behaviors resulting in community concerns, including spreading disease and causing potential damage to native wildlife populations.</p>
11A15 160946	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A POLICY THAT REQUIRES CERTAIN COUNTY DEPARTMENTS WITH CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS TO IDENTIFY ONE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE AS THE DEPARTMENTAL SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT LIAISON, AND REQUIRES THE LIAISON TO WORK WITH SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE COUNTY’S SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS; AND

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IMPLEMENT SAID POLICY, AND PREPARE A REPORT WITHIN 60 DAYS IF APPROPRIATE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to establish a policy that requires certain County departments with capital infrastructure programs to identify full-time employees as the departmental Small Business Development Liaison and requires the Liaison to work with the Small Business Development (SBD) to ensure compliance with the County's Small Business Enterprise Programs.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires specific County departments with capital infrastructure programs to identify one full-time employee as the departmental Small Business Development Liaison; • Requires the Small Business Development Liaisons to work with SBD to ensure compliance with the County's Small Business Enterprise programs; • The departments required to have a Small Business Liaison should include: the Internal Services Department; Jackson Memorial Hospital/Public Health Trust; Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces; Public Housing and Community Development; Regulatory and Economic Resources; Seaport; Solid Waste Management; and Transportation and Public Works Management; • The Small Business Development Liaisons should meet monthly with SBD to review current projects and issues, and share pertinent information related to such projects, goals, contractors and subcontractors, and compliance; and • In the event the County Mayor does not require any of the departmental Small Business Liaisons the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide a report to the BCC detailing the County Mayor's rationale for not requiring same within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution. <p><u>Background:</u> The Miami-Dade County (County) Small Business Development (SBD) provides business opportunities and technical assistance to aid small businesses in their growth and contribution to the County and South Florida economy. SBD monitors contracts awarded with small business measures, to ensure approved goals are met, and compliance with the County's Small Business Enterprise programs.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On December 1, 2015, the Mayor issued a memo regarding the FY 2015-16 Budget Supplement. To address the findings in the 2015 Disparity Study submitted by Mason Tillman, Ltd. and in response to Resolution No. R-888-15, the Mayor recommended the addition of 10 positions in the Small Business Development (SBD) division of the Internal Services Department. These positions were intended to enhance SBD's efforts to increase the number of certified small businesses, augment opportunities for small businesses and local workers on County contracts through the assignment of small business measures, enforce compliance with small business and workforce program goals, assist firms with prompt payment issues, and monitor responsible and living wage requirements. The cost of these positions for FY 2015-16 was to be \$635,000 and was to be funded from the reserve set aside in the Adopted Budget pending a recommendation regarding these positions, as noted in the FY 2015-16 Proposed Budget document and in the information provided at the September 17, 2015 Budget Hearing.</p> <p>On January 20, 2016, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 16-6, approved a Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Mid-Year Supplemental Budget in accordance with the Home Rule Charter and Section 129.06 of the Florida Statutes and provided for operating adjustments in Small Business Development.</p>
11A17 160941	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESOLUTION NO. R-371-11, TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A STUDY OF THE USE OF SERVICES THAT PROVIDE AN ALTERNATIVE CREDIT REPORTING SYSTEM FOR RESIDENTS IN NON-CREDIT-BUILDING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THOSE WHERE BILL PAYMENT REPORTING HELPS TO BUILD CREDIT AS A WAY TO PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL AVENUE TO HOME OWNERSHIP

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee, in accordance with Resolution No. R-371-11 and this resolution, to prepare and submit an updated study of the use of services that provide an alternative credit reporting system for residents in non-credit building categories, including but not limited to those where bill payment reporting services, such as Payment Reporting Builds Credit, helps to build credit as a way to provide an additional avenue to home ownership. The study will include information concerning the feasibility of using such credit scoring systems in housing developments financed wholly or in-part by Miami-Dade County and the potential for use in the larger real estate market. The County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee will provide the study within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed study on a BCC agenda pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
11A18 161410	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO COLLABORATE WITH THE CHILDREN’S TRUST, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND THE CITY OF MIAMI TO DEVELOP AND PROVIDE A SUSTAINABLE, LONG-TERM FUNDING COMMITMENT FOR A NEW YOUTH GUN VIOLENCE OUTREACH AND INTERVENTION PROGRAM TO ENGAGE EXTREMELY AT-RISK YOUTH IN CONCENTRATED AREAS LOCATED PRIMARILY WITHIN THE CITY OF MIAMI; PROVIDING THAT SUCH PROGRAM SHALL HAVE MEASUREABLE OUTCOMES AND CAN SERVE AS A MODEL WHICH MAY BE REPLICATED IN OTHER AREAS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE CERTAIN REPORTS CONCERNING ANY FUNDING COMMITMENTS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to collaborate with The Children’s Trust, Miami-Dade County Public Schools and the City of Miami to develop and provide a sustainable, long-term funding commitment for a new youth gun violence outreach and intervention program, with measurable desired outcomes, to engage extremely at-risk youth residing in concentrated areas primarily within the City of Miami which program may include, but not be limited to street outreach and counseling to extremely at-risk children and youth to be provided through community-level programming that is likely to be more effective; • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to execute interlocal agreements and other required agreements and documents to effectuate the purposes of this resolution, subject to subsequent approvals by the BCC, if such agreements commit funding from Miami-Dade County; • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee to exercise amendments, extensions, renewals, termination, waiver, and other provisions set forth in such agreements and documents necessary to plan and develop the youth gun violence outreach and intervention program, following approval for legal form and sufficiency by the Miami-Dade County Attorney’s Office and subject to subsequent approvals by the BCC, if such agreements commit funding from Miami-Dade County; • Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to apply for and receive grant funding that may become available for the youth gun violence outreach and intervention program; provided that the expenditure of any funds for programs developed, planned or implemented to address or engage youth gun violence pursuant to the directives of this Resolution will be subject to subsequent approvals of the BCC; • The long-term funding commitments sought by this resolution are in addition to, and are not intended to replace or supplant funding currently committed to any other anti-violence, gun violence or similar initiative; and • Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to provide quarterly reports to the BCC concerning the progress in developing and providing funding for a new youth gun violence outreach and intervention program in Miami-Dade County on a quarterly basis, commencing the next quarter and every quarter thereafter, until the program has been established. Thereafter, the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee is directed to provide annual reports on the program. The completed reports required by this resolution will be placed on an agenda of the BCC, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. <p><u>Additional Information – Metropolitan Services Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the following was discussed:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns and questioned whether the agencies had been approached about the proposed resolution.</i> • <i>The Deputy Mayor noted how the model used in Richmond, California would be a good model to follow.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that the proposed resolution called for the creation of a new gun violence outreach program however the County was already engaged in gun violence outreach programs. The Committee asked if there was anything that was included in the proposed resolution that was not already being done.</i> • <i>The Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) Director expressed the importance of duplicating efforts and referenced the program established in Richmond, California.</i> • <i>The Committee noted the importance of making sure that the entities referenced in the proposed resolution were aware of what was being proposed, since they would be part of the funding.</i> <p><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On October 5, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1038-10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rtfied the Mayor or Mayor’s designee’s action in applying for United States Department of Justice “Encouraging Innovation: Field-Initiated Programs” grant funds in the amount of \$750,000; • Recommended that the BCC authorize the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to receive and expend grant funds, and to execute such contracts, agreements, Memoranda of Understanding (MOU), and amendments, after approval by the County Attorney, as required by program guidelines; • Recommended that the BCC authorize the Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to apply for, receive, and expend additional funds that may become available during the term of the grant; to file and execute any amendments to the application for and on behalf of the County; and to exercise amendments, modifications, renewal, cancellation, and termination clauses of any contracts and agreements, subject to the approval of the County Attorney’s Office. <p>The Juvenile Services Department was to implement the CeaseFire Project and Project Exile. The 36-month project was to include 360 participants. Project goals included: 1) Increase public safety and reduce violence; 2) Implement the CeaseFire Program to reduce juvenile and gang-related violence; 3) Implement the Project Exile Program to reduce gun related crime, and remove guns from the community; 4) Engage community service providers for the provision of services; and 5) Promote and encourage juveniles and young adults to become responsible and productive members of the community, and refrain from further violence and criminal behavior.</p> <p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-105-15, authorized a Memorandum of Understanding between Miami-Dade County, through its police department, and the Miami-Dade Crime Stoppers to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document the cooperative relationship between Miami-Dade County and Miami-Dade Crime Stoppers; • Provide for the continuation of the Gun Bounty Program; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or the Mayor’s designee to make changes to, or cancel, the provisions. <p>For the program year ended 2013-2014, the reward payments totaled \$34,000 – a total of 34 arrests.</p> <p>On December 15, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1172-15, urged the Florida Legislature to enact House Bill 475 of similar legislation that would prohibit the public disclosure of personal identifying information of a witness to a felony.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Crime Stoppers Gun Bounty Program:</u></p> <p>Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County created the Gun Bounty Program with the intention of reducing gun violence and related crime through the incorporated and unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County. The Gun Bounty Program pays rewards to anonymous tipsters who provide information leading to an arrest for firearm violation and recovery of an illegal firearm. The tipster (caller) initiates contact by calling Miami-Dade Crime Stoppers at (305) 471 – 8477. At this time, the tipster provides detailed information regarding the specific location of the gun and the subject in possession of that gun. The call is subsequently dispatched as a priority call</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>to that location. If the offender is arrested, the tipster is eligible for a \$1,000 reward. The tipster will in turn use the code initially assigned by Crime Stoppers to collect their reward.</p> <p>Funds allocated to this program are used for advertising, program materials, brochures, rewards and other related program expenses. The standard reward for most police bounty programs is \$1,000. Funds are provided from State Asset Sharing Funds (Crime Prevention Programs).</p> <p>The program partners with Miami-Dade Police Department, to confiscate guns possessed illegally in a public place. Other cities, such as Charleston, South Carolina and Jacksonville, Florida, have successfully implemented similar protocols.</p> <p>Since the program's inception in 2007, the program has confiscated 788 guns which have led to 502 arrests. In addition, the gun tips have led detectives to links in other major crimes such as homicides, robberies, burglaries, and narcotics.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Richmond, CA Gun Violence Intervention Program⁴:</u></p> <p>Goals of the Program In 2006, the City of Richmond, California experienced more than 40 homicides. The national average for a city the size of Richmond (approximately 100,000 people) is six. In the aftermath, the city established the Office of Neighborhood Safety (ONS) to actively reach out to those most likely to be involved in gun violence, those most resistant to change, and chronically unresponsive to help. The program works with these youth and adults to avoid future acts of violence, to leave gang life behind, to achieve high-value goals as part of a "life map" and to be financially rewarded for their achievements.</p> <p>About the Program The city's change agents identify the individuals most at-risk for gun violence. They are recruited to participate in an 18-month Fellowship. The program provides financial incentives for participation and positive behaviors as a gateway to self-motivation. Each Fellow has a mentor and develops a Life Map which identifies major benchmarks such as securing a high school diploma or GED, maintaining paid employment, acquiring a driving license, enrolling in classes to improve parenting skills, or management of personal finances. Stipends of up to \$1,000.00 per month may be paid for the achievement of Life Map benchmarks.</p> <p>Between 2006 and 2014, with the implementation of the ONS programs, homicides in Richmond have decreased by 70 percent from more than 40 to just 15. (A record high of 47 homicides did occur in 2009.) By the end of 2014, 68 individuals had passed through the Fellowship program and 65 were still alive. Eleven have been arrested for gun violence since 2010.</p> <p>Program Funding Initially funded at \$600,000 the program has grown to have a budget of \$1.5 million. According to ONS quarterly reports, all stipends, supportive services and associated costs paid as part of the violence prevention fellowships come from private and grant sources.</p>
11A19 161226	RESOLUTION DECLARING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S COMMITMENT TO THE PRINCIPLES OF INCLUSION FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES, INCLUDING AUTISM AND OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution declares Miami-Dade County's commitment to the principles of inclusion for individuals with disabilities, including autism and other special needs.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, approximately 1 in 68 American children are on the autism spectrum – a statistic that represents a tenfold increase in prevalence over the last 40 years, and according to the 2010 U.S. Census approximately 1 in 5 individuals has a disability. Disabilities and special needs</p>

⁴ <http://www.nlc.org/find-city-solutions/city-solutions-and-applied-research/city-practice-database/richmond-gun-violence-intervention>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>can be physical, intellectual or mental, and they can be visible or unseen. Autism and autism spectrum disorder are general terms that are used to describe brain developmental disorders that are characterized, in varying degrees, by difficulties in social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication, and repetitive behaviors.</p> <p>The BCC has taken many measures to assist those with disabilities, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ordinance No.77-23, establishing the Commission on Disabilities Issues, the County’s advisory board that advises the BCC on issues and concerns facing people with disabilities; • Resolution No. R-444-09, declaring the month of April as Autism Awareness Month; • Resolution No. R-902-09, promoting Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Awareness and declared the third week of July as ADA Awareness Week; • Resolution No. R-182-00, requiring compliance with the ADA and other laws prohibiting discrimination on the basis of disability as a condition of any County contract award; • Resolution No. R-1223-10, waiving the annual application for veteran’s disability discount on property tax assessments, upon satisfaction of certain requirements; • Resolution No. R-994-14, providing free transit services for disabled veterans who receive Veterans’ Administration benefits through the Patriot Passport program; • Resolution No. R-238-15, urging Congress and the Florida legislature to increase funding for autism spectrum disorder research; • Resolution No. R-1112-15, enacting legislation in support of the wallet card, to help facilitate better communication between persons with autism spectrum disorder and law enforcement officers and first responders; • Annually hosting the Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show, benefiting the South Florida Autism Charter School; and • Providing in-kind support for various events in support of causes related to autism, other special needs and disabilities. <p>Additionally, the United States of America is a signatory of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which intends to protect the rights and dignity and supports inclusion and accommodation of persons with disabilities, including autism and other special needs. The Convention identified the following core principles of inclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respect for inherent dignity, individual autonomy, including the freedom to make one’s own choices, and independence of persons; • Non-discrimination; • Full and effective participation and inclusion in society; • Respect for difference and acceptance of persons with disabilities as part of human diversity and humanity; • Equality of opportunity; • Accessibility; • Equality between men and women; and • Respect for the evolving capacities of children with disabilities and respect for the right of children with disabilities to preserve their identities.
11A20 161231	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ADVOCACY TO DISPLAY PATRIOTIC CULTURAL, HISTORIC OR ARTISTIC EXHIBITS, DEMONSTRATIONS OR DISPLAYS REPRESENTING THE THEME UNITED WE STAND LOCATED IN OR AT COUNTY OWNED OR OPERATED BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES IN OBSERVANCE OF AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE MONTH DURING THE MONTH OF JULY BEGINNING IN JULY 2016 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER; DIRECTING THE OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ADVOCACY TO COLLABORATE WITH THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO ENCOURAGE ALL COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO PARTICIPATE OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE UNITED WE STAND PATRIOTIC EXHIBITS, DEMONSTRATIONS OR DISPLAYS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Office of Community Advocacy to display patriotic cultural, historic or artistic exhibits, demonstrations or displays representing the theme “United We Stand” located in or at County</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>owned or operated buildings and facilities in observance of American Independence Month during the month of July beginning in July 2016 and each year thereafter.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs, the Office of Community Advocacy to collaborate with the County Mayor or designee to encourage all County departments to participate or contribute to the “United We Stand” patriotic exhibits, demonstrations or displays.</p>
11A21 161563	<p>RESOLUTION FINDING THAT THE REMOVAL OF GRAFFITI USING COUNTY INMATES IS NECESSARY FOR THE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE OF THE COUNTY; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO UTILIZE INMATES FOR THE REMOVAL OF GRAFFITI THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY; AND FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO UTILIZE CONSENTING INDIVIDUALS OWING COMMUNITY SERVICE, AS PERMITTED BY LAW OR COURT ORDER, TO PERFORM GRAFFITI REMOVAL THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 161302]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee, where permitted by court order or as otherwise permitted by law, to utilize individuals who give their consent and owe community service or are eligible to perform community service to discharge a financial obligation (e.g., a judgment of fine or costs) incurred through the criminal justice system, to perform graffiti removal throughout the County.</p> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on June 15, 2016, the proposed resolution was amended to provide for the use of consenting individuals owing community service, or eligible to perform community service in lieu of a financial obligation, for graffiti removal projects throughout the County instead of pre-trial detainees.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> Sections 951.01 and 951.05 of the Florida Statutes authorize counties to employ inmates serving a sentence upon conviction for “projects for which the governing body of the county could otherwise lawfully expend public funds and which it determines to be necessary for the health, safety, and welfare of the county”. Section 925.08 of the Florida Statutes further authorizes counties to use pre-trial detainees who are “charged with a misdemeanor” and “confined in the county jail for failure to give bail” for various “projects for which the governing body of the county could otherwise lawfully expend public funds and which it determines to be necessary for the health, safety, and welfare of the county,” provided that those pre-trial detainees, among other things, give their consent, are compensated at a rate of \$5 per day (payable to the inmate upon acquittal or discharge from prosecution or credited towards fines and costs upon conviction), and are not worked for more than 20 hours in a 24-hour period.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> In the City of Jacksonville, inmates are housed in three facilities: Pre-Trial Detention Facility, Montgomery Correctional Center, and the Community Transition Center. Together the facilities housed an average daily population of 3,492 inmates in 2014.</p> <p>The Jacksonville Sheriff’s Office’s sentenced inmates are put to work in a number of different areas: Some are assigned to housekeeping and maintenance in the corrections facility, some are assigned to supervised community work crews, cleaning public property and some are assigned to a chain gang where they work to clean the ditches and roadways⁵.</p>
11A22 161323	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING A STATE OF PUBLIC AWARENESS OF MOSQUITO-BORNE ILLNESSES AND THE THREAT THEY POSE TO THE RESIDENTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EDUCATE AND INFORM THE PUBLIC OF THE THREAT OF MOSQUITO-BORNE ILLNESSES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution declares a state of public awareness of mosquito-borne illnesses and the threat they pose to the residents of Miami-Dade County and directs the County Mayor or designee to educate and inform</p>

⁵ <http://www.jaxsheriff.org/departments/sheriffs-office/departments-of-corrections.aspx>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the public of the threat of mosquito-borne illnesses. Such efforts to educate and inform must include advertisement on Miami-Dade TV.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On June 7, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-521-16, urged the United States House and Senate to reach a compromise that provides sufficient funding to combat the spread of the Zika virus; directed the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to United States Senators Bill Nelson and Marco Rubio and the remaining Members of the Miami-Dade County Congressional Delegation; and further directed the County's federal lobbyists to support legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2016 Federal Legislative Package to include R-521-16.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Zika virus, which is spread to people primarily through the bite of an infected Aedes species mosquito, usually leads to mild symptoms lasting for several days to a week after being bitten by an infected mosquito and, while infected individuals usually do not get sick enough to go to the hospital and very rarely die, Zika virus infection during pregnancy can cause a serious birth defect called microcephaly, as well as other severe fetal brain defects.</p> <p>On May 20, 2016, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention announced that there are 279 pregnant women who are being monitored for a possible Zika virus infection in the United States and its territories. In Florida, of the 113 Zika diagnoses statewide, 44 individuals with the virus have been identified in Miami-Dade County as of May 2016. On February 17, 2016, pursuant to Resolution No. R-170-16, the BCC urged the United States Congress and the Florida Legislature to allocate funding to combat the spread of the Zika virus in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On February 22, 2016, President Obama asked Congress to set aside \$1.9 billion to halt the spread of the Zika virus and protect the health and safety of the public. On May 12, 2016, United States Senators Bill Nelson (D — Florida) and Marco Rubio (R — Florida) introduced Senate Amendment No. 3898 (S. AMDT. 3898) to House Resolution 2577 (H. Res. 2577). S. AMDT. 3898 was a bipartisan agreement to provide \$1.9 billion to curb the spread of the Zika virus. On May 17, 2016, the Senate advanced \$1.1 billion in emergency funding to combat the Zika virus, significantly less than the funding provided for in S. AMDT. 3898 and on May 18, 2016, the House passed legislation providing \$622.1 million to control the spread of the Zika virus, significantly less than the funding provided for in H. Res. 2577 and the Senate legislation. The House and Senate must now reach a compromise that can pass both chambers and be signed by President Obama. Among other things, the funding would be used to develop a vaccine and diagnostic tests, create rapid response teams, and work with state and local governments to control the type of mosquitos that can spread the Zika virus.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on the Miami-Dade Mosquito Control Program</u> On February 4, 2016, the Mayor issued a memo regarding the Miami-Dade Mosquito Control Program of the Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM) Mosquito Control Section. According to the memo, the Mosquito Control Program is designed to be proactive in terms of surveillance and monitoring activities in order to control mosquito larvae and eliminate adult mosquito populations as much as possible. While mosquito control efforts take place year-round, the traditional mosquito season occurs during the period from May to October. This year's unusually rainy winter has created some of the conditions necessary for increased mosquito activity; however, the number of service requests for inspections to address mosquitoes as a nuisance has not been significant.</p> <p>Over the last three (3) weeks, DSWM has followed its standard mosquito control protocol in response to notifications from the Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade (FDOH Miami-Dade) that there are suspected cases of the Zika virus. When the DSWM is notified of a suspected or confirmed case of a mosquito-borne illness, the Mosquito Control Section carries out the following steps to reduce the possibility that the illness will be spread locally:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conducts property inspections to eliminate mosquito breeding; • Treats storm drains in the area; • Initiates local and area-wide insecticide applications; • Collects mosquito surveillance data to assess the mosquito population before and after the mosquito control measures are implemented; and • Collects mosquitos for laboratory screening to determine if they carry the mosquito-borne viruses. <p>Spray activities are conducted to distribute safe, EPA-approved insecticide to areas of the County that have documented high concentrations of mosquitoes. All insecticides used in the operations are applied in strict accordance with label instructions and Florida Statutes as detailed in Chapter 388.</p> <p>In addition to the Mosquito Control operations, DSWM will continue to utilize the existing “Drain and Cover” public education campaign to increase public awareness of mosquito control efforts in partnership with the FDOH Miami-Dade. The educational materials associated with this campaign communicates simple measures that can be undertaken by residents to prevent mosquito breeding and to protect themselves from mosquito bites and transmission of mosquito-borne illnesses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on the Zika Virus⁶</u></p> <p>According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Zika virus is spread to people through mosquito bites. The most common symptoms of Zika virus disease are fever, rash, joint pain, and conjunctivitis (red eyes). The illness is usually mild with symptoms lasting from several days to a week. Severe disease requiring hospitalization is uncommon.</p> <p>In May 2015, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) issued an alert regarding the first confirmed Zika virus infection in Brazil. The outbreak in Brazil led to reports of Guillain-Barré syndrome and pregnant women giving birth to babies with birth defects and poor pregnancy outcomes.</p> <p>In response, CDC has issued travel notices for people traveling to regions and certain countries where Zika virus transmission is ongoing.</p> <p>Zika in the United States and its territories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No locally transmitted Zika cases have been reported in the continental United States, but cases have been reported in returning travelers; • Locally transmitted Zika virus has been reported in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and American Samoa; • With the recent outbreaks, the number of Zika cases among travelers visiting or returning to the United States will likely increase; and • These imported cases could result in local spread of the virus in some areas of the United States. <p><u>Additional Information - CDC director: What we're doing about the Zika virus, CNN, February 2, 2016⁷</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Vaccines and antibiotics have made many infectious diseases a thing of the past; we've come to expect that public health and modern science can conquer all microbes. But nature is a formidable adversary. And Zika is our newest threat, particularly to pregnant women.</i> • <i>New, unfamiliar and mysterious threats to our health are scary. At the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention -- where we identify, on average, one new health threat each year -- we work around the clock with an approach that prioritizes finding out what we need to know as fast as we can to protect Americans.</i> • <i>The CDC has some of the world's leading experts both in diseases spread by mosquitoes and in fetal abnormalities. We get the facts, base actions on science, tell people what we know when we know it</i>

⁶ <http://www.cdc.gov/zika/index.html>

⁷ <http://www.cnn.com/2016/02/01/health/cdc-frieden-zika-explained/index.html>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>and what we are doing to add to our knowledge, and act to protect Americans today as effectively as possible.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Who is at risk for Zika infection?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Most people in the contiguous United States are unlikely to ever come into contact with the Zika virus, but two groups need particular attention. First, people living in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, a U.S. territory, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Caribbean or Pacific territories, and Central and South America are likely to see an increasing spread of Zika. In these areas, women who are pregnant need to protect themselves from mosquito bites by using repellants, permethrin-coated clothing, long sleeves and pants, and by staying indoors (ideally in places with air conditioning) as much as is practical. We advise pregnant women to postpone travel to areas where Zika is spreading.</i> ○ <i>The spread of the virus through blood transfusion and sexual contact have been reported in isolated cases. However, for most of the nonpregnant population, there is no reason to think Zika presents a particular risk.</i> • <i>Will we see Zika in the U.S.?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>We have already seen the Zika virus in travelers returning from places where Zika is spreading, including, sadly, one woman in Hawaii who delivered an infant with microcephaly after being infected with the virus in Brazil last year. We will certainly see more travelers returning to the United States with Zika after being infected in parts of the world where the virus is spreading. But the big question many people have is whether Zika will spread widely within the United States.</i> ○ <i>Science doesn't have a crystal ball, but the CDC has great laboratories and the world's best disease detectives. For a disease such as Zika to spread widely, two things are necessary. The first is the specific mosquito species that spreads the virus. The second is the conditions in communities; places that are crowded and don't have air conditioning enable viruses such as Zika to spread.</i> ○ <i>So we do expect, unfortunately, that Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands could have many infections with the Zika virus, and we will certainly see U.S. travelers returning with Zika infections, just as we saw travelers returning with dengue and chikungunya infections. We could see isolated cases and small clusters of infections in other parts of the country where the mosquito is present. But from the information we know now, widespread transmission in the contiguous United States appears to be unlikely.</i> • <i>What is the government doing?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Since the first large Zika outbreak ever recognized, in 2007, the CDC has had boots on the ground responding. Our laboratories have developed a test that can confirm Zika in the first week of illness or in a sample from an affected child. Diagnosing prior infection with Zika is much more challenging, and CDC scientists as well as private companies are working to develop tests that can do this accurately. This is a priority, and we are working to do in weeks what would usually take months or years.</i> • <i>We are supporting laboratories in Puerto Rico and around the United States to provide testing, and we are using cutting-edge genomic methods in this effort. We are also working with Puerto Rico and other places at risk around the country to improve mosquito control efforts before we head into warmer weather when mosquitoes become a bigger problem. The CDC also provides support and guidance for health care providers and the public. You'll know of any new developments as soon as we do.</i> • <i>Across the Department of Health and Human Services, there is also important work related to Zika, particularly to speed the development of tests, treatments and vaccines.</i> • <i>Prevention will be key. Mosquito control is hard. States and cities that invest in mosquito control can track and fix many places where mosquitoes can breed to drive down mosquito populations. But this takes hard, meticulous work -- and money. We must maximize the use of today's tools to reduce the mosquitoes that can spread Zika and other diseases. We must also advance innovative mosquito control</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>tools of tomorrow, such as promising new products that may be safer and more effective than today's methods.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>There is no way to predict when or where health threats will emerge, but the plain fact is that we will continue to see new infectious disease threats such as Zika. The CDC's laser focus is protecting the health, safety and security of Americans; learning more about Zika and fighting it is a top priority.</i>
11A23 161330	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INVESTIGATE POTENTIAL RECEIVING FACILITIES FOR THE RELOCATION OF NUISANCE PEAFOWL FROM CERTAIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY NEIGHBORHOODS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to investigate potential receiving facilities for the relocation of nuisance peafowl removed by Miami-Dade County Animal Services at the request of property owners. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee is further directed to return to the BCC within 90 days with a report identifying potential receiving facilities and recommendations for the best options for such receiving facilities. The report and recommendations described will be placed on an agenda of the BCC, in accordance with Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background: Peafowl are a nonnative species of bird that live in several Counties throughout the State of Florida. There has been a proliferation of peafowl in many Miami-Dade County neighborhoods and the Miami-Dade County Code currently allows property owners to remove nuisance peafowl from their property, if done in a manner that does not injure the animal. The Florida Administrative Code and the Florida Wildlife Commission prohibit the release and/or introduction of nonnative species, such as peafowl, within the State of Florida.</p> <p>Currently Miami-Dade County Animal Services does not respond to complaints about nuisance peafowl because no suitable facilities have yet been identified to accept the birds, if removed.</p> <p>Additional Information - The Fowling of a Miami Neighborhood, November 29, 2008⁸: <i>Neighbors say that they have had close encounters with peafowl -- the generic name for male peacocks and female peahens -- as long as anyone can remember. But in recent years, they insist that the neighborhood has simply been overwhelmed, to the point that Miami officials are considering measures such as a peafowl adoption initiative or even birth control pills.</i></p> <p><i>No one questions the beauty of the iridescent colors that bounce off peafowl feathers, but the birds have become a very real nuisance at times, particularly when most people are trying to sleep.</i></p> <p><i>Roosting at night in trees, the peafowl have adopted a kind of South Beach lifestyle with an incessant honking ritual that begins as early as 4 a.m. During spring and summer months, when the peafowl are actively seeking a mate, they tend to become aggressive with one another.</i></p> <p><i>There is also the not-so-small matter of peafowl droppings left behind each day on driveways, patio furniture and cars and boats.</i></p> <p><i>Unlike chickens, which can be caught with a net, peafowl are masters of escape. They can fly over a 50-foot house. They can rise almost vertically, says a professional trapper who was commissioned by the city to conduct a census of peafowl.</i></p> <p><i>Miami's City Manager released a memo on Oct. 7 with possible solutions for the peafowl problem. One involves humanely trapping and releasing the peafowl in another location for a cost ranging to \$200 per bird, while another involves feeding the peafowl a kind of birth control pill.</i></p>

⁸ <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/11/28/AR2008112802551.html>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<i>OvoControl P, a bird contraceptive and population management tool, is a pesticide that interferes with the development of the vitelline membrane separating the egg white and yolk. This membrane is vital to the viability of the egg, and without it, the egg cannot develop or hatch. According to a memo written by City Manager, contraceptives would be administered in pellet form once daily from feeders during the peafowl breeding season.</i>
11A24 161452	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT REGARDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE FEASIBILITY AND ADVISABILITY OF ESTABLISHING ON-DEMAND CIRCULATOR SERVICES FOR PASSENGERS TRAVELING TO OR FROM A TRANSIT FACILITY, SUCH AS A BUS STOP OR METRORAIL FACILITY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to prepare a report regarding the feasibility and advisability of providing on-demand circulator services to passengers traveling to or from a transit facility, such as a bus stop or Metrorail facility.</p> <p>The report will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline the Mayor's recommendations regarding on-demand transportation services for the first and last mile and will, at a minimum, consider the use of newly authorized taxicabs to provide on-demand circulator services for the first and last mile during peak commuter hours. Specifically, the report will consider, among other options, the feasibility and advisability of issuing additional taxicab licenses to existing taxicab chauffeurs to provide on-demand circulator services for the first and last mile. • Consider the feasibility and advisability of providing on-demand circulator services at no cost or a nominal cost to the passenger, and the provision of free County transit passes to individuals who utilize the first and last mile transportation options developed by the County. <p>The Mayor or the Mayor's designee will provide the report to the BCC within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>
11A25 161524	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A WRITTEN REPORT TO THE BOARD SETTING FORTH THE FISCAL IMPACT OF OPENING AND OPERATING CAMP MATECUMBE DURING HOURS SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR TO OTHER COUNTY CAMPGROUND FACILITIES, THE FISCAL IMPACT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF ADEQUATE PARKING FACILITIES AT CAMP MATECUMBE, AND A RELOCATION PLAN FOR CERTAIN OFFICES LOCATED AT CAMP MATECUMBE; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO RELOCATE THOSE CERTAIN OFFICES AND/OR OPERATE CAMP MATECUMBE AS A PASSIVE PARK BEFORE THE CONCLUSION OF FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 IF SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 161338]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct the County Mayor or Mayors designee to prepare a written report to the BCC setting forth: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The fiscal impact of opening and operating Camp Matecumbe during hours substantially similar to those of Miami-Dade County's other public campground facilities (e.g., Camp Greynolds, Camp Owaissa Bauer, and Larry and Penny Thompson Memorial Park and Campground) for public park and camping purposes; ○ The fiscal impact of operating Camp Matecumbe as a passive park to include community access; ○ The fiscal impact of establishing an adequate (including, in the short term, a temporary) parking area for park patrons; and ○ A relocation plan for each of the offices located at Camp Matecumbe that are not directly related to the operation of Camp Matecumbe. • The written report setting forth a relocation plan for the offices located at Camp Matecumbe will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Specifically study the viability of relocating those offices to Miami Executive Airport; ○ Set forth whether sufficient, legally-available funding exists in the Fiscal Year 2015-16 budget to provide for the operation of Camp Matecumbe as a passive park to include community access and/or the relocation of those offices before the conclusion of the fiscal year; and ○ Be provided to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the report prepared by the County Mayor or designee identifies sufficient legally available funding in the Fiscal Year 2015-16 budget for the relocation of the offices located at Camp Matecumbe that are not directly related to the operation of Camp Matecumbe and/or the operation of Camp Matecumbe as a passive park to include community access, prior to the conclusion of the current fiscal year, then the County Mayor or designee is directed to relocate those offices and/or operate Camp Matecumbe as a passive park to include community access; and • The County Mayor or designee is further directed to store only that equipment that is necessary for the maintenance of parks, and not equipment utilized for the maintenance of special taxing districts, at Camp Matecumbe. <p><i>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on June 14, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to remove the directive that the County Mayor prepare a proposed budget for FY 16-17 that provides sufficient funding for:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The immediate opening of Camp Matecumbe as a public park and campground similar to other County campground facilities;</i> • <i>Relocation of all offices at Camp Matecumbe that are not directly related to the operation of Camp Matecumbe; and</i> • <i>The development of adequate public parking facilities.</i> <p><i>The amendment added the requirement that the County mayor prepare a report setting forth the fiscal impact of operating Camp Matecumbe as a passive park with Community access. Further, the amendment provided that, to the extent adequate funding in the FY 15-16 budget is identified through the Mayoral report, the County Mayor is directed to open Camp Matecumbe during FY 15-16 as a passive park with community access. Finally, the amendment directed the County Mayor to store only equipment related to the maintenance of parks (and not special taxing districts) at Camp Matecumbe.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> Camp Matecumbe, a Miami-Dade County public park located at approximately 13841 Southwest 120th Street. In May 2003, the BCC approved a contract authorizing the purchase of the 21.46-acres of land comprising Camp Matecumbe for \$2,575,200.00. Between 1960 and 1962 it was used to support the Pedro Pan program, which provided transitional living quarters for 4,000 Cuban children whose parents wanted to relocate due to political unrest in Cuba. Camp Matecumbe currently hosts certain offices (specifically, offices related to the administration and operation of multipurpose special taxing districts with County-wide responsibilities) that are not directly related to the operation of Camp Matecumbe.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On July 17, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-696C-14, called for a county-wide special election in Miami-Dade County to be held in conjunction with a general election on Tuesday, November 4, 2014 for the purpose of submitting to the electors of Miami-Dade County the question of whether to amend the charter to permit the construction of campgrounds and cabins/lodges at Camp Matecumbe and to add additional protections for preservation lands.</p> <p>On March 8, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-188-16, designated the Miami-Dade County park known as Camp Matecumbe, located at 13842 SW 120th Street, as Miami-Dade County's official exhibition site for Operation Pedro Pan.</p> <p>R-188-16 further directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify and report back to the BCC within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution as to the funding source and amount necessary to house a permanent, Pedro Pan exhibit within existing facilities at Camp Matecumbe and to fund the development and preservation of Camp Matecumbe;

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish appropriate signage or a plaque at Camp Matecumbe in order to acknowledge its designation as Miami-Dade County’s official exhibition site for Operation Pedro Pan and to fund same from the PROS budget for FY 2015-16 or, if PROS has insufficient funds in its FY 2015-16 budget to fund same, to report back to the BCC with an alternate funding source; • Include in his report the timeframe necessary to accomplish the foregoing and to collaborate and consult with HistoryMiami and Operation Pedro Pan Group, Inc. in compiling such report. <p><u>Additional Information - Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u> During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on June 14, 2016, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee inquired about the fiscal impact of the proposed resolution. It was explained that it was requested that the Mayor include it in the budget.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned what other resources would be available to accomplish what the proposed resolution aims to do within District 11. The Director of PROS explained that there is General Obligation Bond (GOB) money that is available for the park however operating expenses is not included in the GOB funds. The Director noted that currently there is only a disability services program in place with after school programs for kids with disabilities however, he could not specific the cost of moving offices out of Camp Matecumbe. The costs would be identified in the report requested.</i> • <i>The Committee clarified that the resources available would be for the development but the proposed resolution was also asking for operations. It was noted that funds would be used for the operations of opening the park.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that there were GOB funds available as well as \$100,000 from the parks foundation to be used for the development of Camp Matecumbe.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that the offices operating out of the park location were in violation of Article 7 of the County Charter.</i> • <i>The Director noted that moving the Special Taxing District offices out of the park would have a fiscal impact to the districts’ budgets but that it would be dispersed over a number of districts in the area. There would also be an impact to moving the General Funds grounds maintenance equipment to the nearest facility which is Kendall Indian Hammocks Park at an estimated cost of about \$100,000.</i> • <i>The Director noted that the park would not be open on the weekends and that part of the GOB work would be to rehabilitate existing structures.</i> • <i>It was noted that the Special Taxing District were not renting space from the parks and that they were provided the space for free.</i> • <i>The Committee noted that the proposed resolution required that only the Special Taxing District offices were being moved, not parks maintenance equipment.</i> • <i>The Director said that a report could be issued by the next BCC meeting addressing questions raised by the Committee.</i> • <i>The Committee raised concerns that the proposed resolution requested funds from next year’s budget for the operations of Camp Matecumbe.</i> • <i>The Committee and Director discussed the implications of operating expenses based on the fact that the park included historical buildings and sites.</i>
11A26 161340	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE USE OF IMPACT FEES TO ADDRESS SEA LEVEL RISE IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND PROPOSING FURTHER LEGISLATION TO IMPLEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to prepare a report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making recommendations as to whether modifications to existing impact fee ordinances or the creation of new impact fee programs would be appropriate to address the additional impacts new development would create on public services or facilities relevant to addressing sea level rise concerns; and

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposing legislation or other actions that may be necessary to implement any such recommendations. <p>The County Mayor or Mayor’s designee will provide the report to the BCC within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution, and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Background: Impact fees are pre-development costs paid to help offset the impacts new developments will have on public services and facilities. Miami-Dade County currently collects impact fees for roads, fire and emergency services, police services, parks, and educational facilities. South Florida is considered one of the most vulnerable areas in the country to the consequences of sea level rise to the extent that new development would create additional sea level rise concerns or infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County.</p>
11A27 161390	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO DETERMINE THE COST OF DEVELOPING A MULTI-USE PATH AROUND THE PERIMETER OF MIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT IDENTIFY POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES FOR THIS PROJECT AND PROVIDE A REPORT [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160997]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determine the total cost of the Multi-use Path Project at Miami Executive Airport; Identify any potential funding sources, in addition to the previously identified District 11 funds, report back to the BCC within 60 days as to the project’s total cost and any potential funding sources identified, and place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. <p><i>During the Trade and Tourism Committee meeting on June 16, 2016, a substitute to the proposed resolution was presented and forwarded to the BCC. The substitute differed from the original item in that it eliminated the requirement for the County Mayor to include appropriate funding for the remaining cost of the Multi-use Path Project in the proposed FY 2016-2017 County Budget and any subsequent County Budgets as needed. Now, the County Mayor is only required to identify potential funding sources and report back to the BCC within 60 days.</i></p> <p>Background: The Miami-Dade Aviation Department has identified aviation land along the perimeter of the Miami Executive Airport that may be used to develop a multi-use path, subject to approval by the Federal Aviation Administration and the BCC (Multi-use Path Project). The Miami Executive Airport’s Multi-use Path Project is included in the current West End Connectivity Master Plan.</p> <p>Approximately \$1.2 million of funding for the Multi-use Path Project has already been identified from District 11 district funds.</p>
11A28 161541	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REQUIRE ANY APPLICANT SEEKING A STATE LICENSE TO BE AN ARMED SECURITY OFFICER TO SUBMIT AN EVALUATION BY A PSYCHIATRIST OR PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSED IN FLORIDA OR BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MADE WITHIN THE PREVIOUS 12-MONTH PERIOD CERTIFYING THAT THE APPLICANT IS MENTALLY AND EMOTIONALLY STABLE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urges the Florida Legislature to require any applicant seeking a state license to be an armed security officer to submit an evaluation by a psychiatrist or psychologist licensed in Florida or by the federal government made within the previous 12-month period certifying that the applicant is mentally and emotionally stable; Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and Directs the County’s state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2017 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Background:</u> Chapter 493, Florida Statutes, currently does not require applicants seeking to be licensed as armed security officers to submit up-to-date, formal psychiatric or psychological evaluations certifying the applicant's mental and emotional stability.</p>