



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Economic Prosperity Committee Meeting

April 14, 2016

2:00 P.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes										
1G1 160087 SUPP 160573	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW HIGHER LEVEL CONTRACTORS TO COMPETE FOR LOWER LEVEL SET-ASIDE CONTRACTS WHEN UNAVAILABILITY EXISTS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE										
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program, amends Section 10-33.02 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow for higher level contractors to compete for lower level set-aside contracts when unavailability exists.</p> <p>The proposed amendment allows projects to remain as set-aside if Small Business Development Division cannot find an available firm in Level 1 by moving up to the next level in order to keep the project set-aside for SBE-CON firms. This will ensure that the locally-certified firms are getting every possible opportunity to compete for contracts.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code</th> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #c6e0b4;"> <th style="text-align: center;">Section</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Current</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.
Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code											
Section	Current	Proposed									
Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I). CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00. 2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues from \$2,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00. 3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues from \$5,000,000.01 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00. <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be "open market" contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists. 2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists. 3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00. 									
1G2 160137	ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE LXXX, SECTION 2-1102 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONCERNING THE MIAMI-DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP; REVISING MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES OF										

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
SUPP 160574	<p>AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY REQUIRING 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; WAIVING QUALIFIED ELECTOR REQUIREMENT FOR THE 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR CRIMINAL BACKGROUND SCREENING BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED BY THE MIAMI--DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP FOR APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR; EXCLUDING AD HOC COMMITTEES AND WORKGROUPS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-1102(G) (1) AND (2) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO DEMOGRAPHICS AND PARITY; DELETING REQUIREMENT THAT PARTNERSHIP MEMBERS' TERMS SHALL END CONCURRENTLY WITH THE LAST DAY OF THE COUNTY'S FISCAL YEAR; CORRECTING SCRIVENER'S ERRORS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Article LXXX, Section 2-1102 of the Miami-Dade County Code concerning the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership; • Revises membership requirements for the representative seats for member representatives of affected communities by requiring 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; • Waives qualified elector requirement for the 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease; • Establishes guidelines for criminal background screening by the County Mayor of the County Mayor's designee of candidates recommended by the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership for appointment by the County Mayor; • Excludes ad hoc committees and workgroups from the requirements of Section 2-1102(G)(1) and (2) of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to demographics and parity; and • Deletes requirements that Partnership members' terms will end concurrently with the last day of the County's fiscal year. <p>The Partnership determines the HIV-related needs of the community, establishes service priorities and allocates funding to the areas of greatest need. It is composed of thirty-nine (39) voting members from various categories of representatives. One representative is a former inmate of a local, state or federal prison released from custody of the penal system during the preceding three (3) years. Establishing guidelines for the criminal background screening will be beneficial to the Partnership and to the former inmate candidate, because it only allows for the denial of a recommended candidate if they have been convicted of a violent crime within five years of the date of recommendation or if they have been registered as a sex offender, regardless of when the candidate was convicted.</p>
1G3 160136 <i>Deferral Requested</i>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 30A OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CREATING SECTION 30A-158 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENT FOR EXECUTED COMMUNITY BENEFITS AGREEMENTS AS A PREREQUISITE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY FUNDS FOR CERTAIN DEVELOPMENTS UNLESS EXEMPT; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS, REQUIREMENT FOR COMMUNITY BENEFITS, EXEMPTIONS, PENALTIES, AND ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Chapter 30A of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Creates Section 30A-158 of the Miami-Dade County Code; and • Establishes requirements for executed Community Benefits Agreements as a prerequisite for the distribution of county funds for certain developments unless exempt. <p>Background: Miami-Dade County has subsidized significant projects which impact its local communities. Community Benefit Agreements (CBAs) are contracts between developers and community organizations which can address a broad range of community needs and are safeguards to ensure that affected residents share in the benefits of major developments. CBAs allow community groups to have a voice in shaping and mitigating the impact that a project has on the surrounding community and require developers to provide the impacted community with certain negotiated benefits.</p>
1G4 160262	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO FILE WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FY 2009-2015 ACTION PLANS AND CORRESPONDING FY 2008-12 AND FY 2013-17 CONSOLIDATED PLANS IN ORDER TO RECAPTURE AND REALLOCATE \$297,151.22 OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS AND \$250,000.00 OF HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME) FUNDS AND TO ALLOCATE \$1,326,854.05 OF FY 2015 CDBG PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-596-12 REQUIRING THAT 20 PERCENT OF CDBG FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SHELL CONTRACTS, AMENDMENTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to substantially amend the FY 2009-15 Action Plans and the corresponding FY 2008-12 and FY 2013-17 Consolidated Plans to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recapture and reallocate \$297,151.22 of current and prior years' Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program funds; • Recapture and reallocate \$250,000.00 of Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program funds; • Allocate \$1,326,854.05 of CDBG Program Income Funds; • Waive the provisions of Resolution No. R-596-12 requiring that 20 percent of CDBG funds be allocated to economic development activities. Only 4 percent of the total funds are recommended to economic development activities; and • Authorize the County Mayor or designee to execute all standard shell contracts, amendments, standard shell loan documents and other agreements necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution, and to exercise the cancellation and other provisions contained therein. <p>The activities proposed for funding with CDBG Program Income funds as opposed to recaptured funds are public facilities and capital improvements projects at County-owned facilities that need repair and improvement for continued use by County residents.</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The recapture and reallocation of CDBG and HOME funds and CDBG program income funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. This item recaptures CDBG and HOME funds from various previously funded projects and reallocates those funds to other feasible projects. This item also allocates CDBG Program Income funds.</p> <p><u>CDBG Reallocation and Program Income Allocation Recommendations</u> The Department recommends the reallocation of \$297,151.22 in CDBG Program funds and \$1,326,854.05 of CDBG Program Income funds to: (1) Commission District Fund (CDF) allocations; (2) County Department activities; (3) projects that received prior funding but have a funding gap; and (4) CDBG program administration and County-owned public facilities in need of repair and capital improvements (CDBG Program Income). Recaptured CDBG funds are recommended for projects which are eligible under the economic development, public facilities and capital improvements, public service, and housing categories.</p> <p><u>HOME Reallocation Recommendations</u> A total combined funding of \$250,000.00 in HOME funds is recommended for projects that are eligible for housing activities.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Funded activities as part of the FY 2015 and prior years' Action Plans are monitored throughout the year to ensure that entities are in compliance with federal regulations, such as the progress of each activity towards accomplishing the national objectives set forth by the U.S. HUD. The Department recommends the recapture of CDBG and HOME funding from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activities that have been completed and have met a national objective with funds remaining unspent; • Activities that are not feasible or were unable to meet a national objective; • Entities that were unable to expend funds; • Entities with an unresolved conflict of interest relative to a staff member participating on the entity's board; or • CDF allocations requested to be recaptured by the allocating commission district.
2A 160467	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR COUNTY COMMISSION APPROVALS RELATED TO NEW AND EXISTING COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DENY CERTAIN REQUESTS FROM COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES THAT ARE DEEMED APPROVED BY LAW, IF NOT APPROVED WITHIN THE ALLOWED TIMEFRAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution, subject to the requirements of the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Act) and other applicable laws, the BCC establishes guidelines for County Governing Body Approvals pertaining to community redevelopment agencies, certain of which may require amendments to existing agreements, as follows:</p> <p>a) Community Benefits Agreements <i>All entities or contractors contracting with or receiving grants from a community redevelopment agency in an amount of \$200,000.00 or more, or such other amount as may be established by this Board, shall enter into a community benefits agreement with the community redevelopment agency which will benefit primarily the residents of the community redevelopment area. To the extent allowed by law, a community benefits agreement shall include provisions for hiring the labor workforce for the project financed by the grant or agreement from residents of the community redevelopment area that are unemployed or underemployed. Depending on the worker or employee to be hired, the community redevelopment agency will be required to ensure that such entity or contractor complies with wage requirements, as applicable, established by Miami-Dade County's Living Wage or Responsible Wage Ordinances, pursuant to Section 2-8.9 and 2-11.16, respectively, of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "Code") or pay higher wages and benefits, as are feasible.</i></p> <p>b) Contract Requirements <i>All entities or contractors contracting with or receiving a grant from the community redevelopment agency in an amount of \$200,000.00 or more, or such other amount as may be established by this Board, shall comply with the following Miami-Dade County ordinances contained in the Code, as may be amended, as if expressly applicable to such entities:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <i>Small Business Enterprises (Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code);</i> ii. <i>Community Business Enterprises (Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code);</i> iii. <i>Community Small Business Enterprises (Section 10-33.02 of the Code); and/or</i> iv. <i>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance (Section 2-11.1 of the Code).</i> <p>c) Adoption of Procurement Requirements <i>All community redevelopment agencies shall adopt procurement requirements. A community redevelopment agency has the option of adopting procurement requirements that are established by the State of Florida, Miami-Dade County or the municipality in which the community redevelopment agency operates, as modified to reflect that such requirements are applicable to the community redevelopment agency.</i></p> <p>d) Inspector General Review <i>The County shall have the right to retain, at its sole cost, the services of an independent private sector inspector general whenever the County deems it appropriate to do so, in accordance with Miami-Dade County Administrative Order No. 3-20. Upon written notice from the County, each community redevelopment agency shall make available to the independent private sector inspector general retained by the County all requested records and documentation for inspection and reproduction. Additionally, the community redevelopment agency shall submit to the County's Inspector General's review in accordance with Section 2-1076 of the Code. The County's Inspector General shall be empowered to review past, present and proposed community redevelopment</i></p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>agencies' contracts, transactions, accounts, records, agreements and programs and at a minimum annually audit, investigate, monitor, oversee, inspect and review operations, activities, performance and procurement process, including but not limited to, project design, specifications, proposal submittals, activities of each community redevelopment agency, their officers, agents and employees, lobbyists, staff and elected officials to ensure compliance with contract specifications and to detect any fraud and/or corruption.</p> <p>e) Required Public Hearing and Project-related Findings If a community redevelopment agency proposes to fund a project, a public hearing must be held and a finding must be made that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed project will primarily benefit residents and business owners within the redevelopment area. 2. The entity or contractor requesting funding will use community redevelopment agency funds to fill in any financial gaps left after all other funding has been provided to the project and that, but for the community redevelopment agency's funding, the project cannot be undertaken. <p>f) Recovery of Grant Funds All community redevelopment agencies shall include in their contracts or grant agreements a "clawback" provision that will require the community redevelopment agency to "clawback" or rescind and recover funding from any entity or contractor to which it provides funding which does not substantially comply with the provisions of its agreement with the community redevelopment agency by demanding repayment of such funds in writing, including recovery of penalties or liquidated damages, to the extent allowed by law, as well as attorney's fees and interest, and pursuing collection or legal action, to the fullest extent allowable by law, if feasible.</p> <p>g) Safeguards for Residents from Displacement In the event the community redevelopment agency funds a redevelopment project authorized by the agency's redevelopment plan that may displace persons (including individuals, families, business concerns, nonprofit organizations and others) located in the community redevelopment area, the community redevelopment agency shall prepare plans for and assist in the relocation of such persons, including making any relocation payments under the Act and applicable laws and regulations. Further, each community redevelopment agency shall make or provide for at least a "one-for-one" replacement of each affordable housing unit demolished pursuant to a redevelopment project to ensure that such demolished unit is replaced by a new comparable, affordable housing unit. The community redevelopment agency shall ensure that individuals and families who are displaced from affordable housing units have a right of first refusal to return to comparably priced affordable housing units located within the redevelopment area.</p> <p>h) Affordable and Mixed Income Housing Subject to compliance with Paragraph (g) above, each community redevelopment agency which has a redevelopment plan with a housing component shall serve an income mix of extremely low, very low, low, moderate, and workforce housing up to 140 percent of area median income, as may be defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Developer incentives may be established by this Board that may assist in accomplishing these housing goals. If, however, this Board adopts guidelines for mixed income housing, such projects shall comply with the adopted guidelines. Further, each community redevelopment agency shall ensure that prior to funding any non-housing project authorized by the applicable community redevelopment plan, priority shall be given to rehabilitation, conservation or redevelopment of housing for extremely low, very low, low or moderate income persons that is authorized by the community redevelopment plan, subject to compliance with the applicable comprehensive development plan for the area.</p> <p>i) Reporting on Affordable Housing Each community redevelopment agency shall include a statement in its annual budget that describes its expenditures for the provision of affordable housing in the previous year and that are anticipated in upcoming fiscal years, if applicable.</p> <p>j) Participation of Commissioners or Designees on CRA Boards If a community redevelopment agency's board of commissioners is the governing body of a municipality and the board consists of five or fewer members, then the interlocal agreement between the County, the municipality and community redevelopment agency shall authorize this Board, in accordance with Section 163.357 of the Act, to appoint two members or designees to serve as commissioners of the community redevelopment agency.</p> <p>k) Limitation on the Amount of a Tax Increment If this Board, in its sole discretion, determines that it is in the County's best interest to establish a redevelopment trust fund for a new community redevelopment area, in accordance with Section 163.387, Florida Statutes, this Board shall determine the amount to be funded by each taxing authority annually. However, the approval of any ordinance establishing payment in an amount greater than 50 percent of the tax increment shall require a 2/3 vote of the members of this Board.</p> <p>l) Ethics Training All boards of commissioners, all community redevelopment agencies' advisory boards, and the persons who staff each community redevelopment agency or board shall be required to complete a minimum of 4 hours of ethics training to be conducted by the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</p> <p>m) Cap on Administrative Costs Each community redevelopment agency shall be subject to a cap on administrative costs of 20 percent of its overall budget.</p>

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
2B 160763	RESOLUTION APPROVING PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDING RECOMMENDATION IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$1,050,000.00, IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDS TO ORCHID ESTATES HOUSING PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD SUCH FUNDS, TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, SHELL CONTRACTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the proposed Fiscal Year 2015 Documentary Stamp Surtax funding recommendation in the amount of \$1,050,000.00 in Fiscal Year 2015 Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) Funds associated with Fiscal Year 2015 Request for Applications to Orchid Estates, Ltd. For the Orchid Estates multifamily affordable rental housing development located at the SW corner of SW 146 Ct. and SW 264 Street, Naranja, Florida in Commission District 8.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On April 5, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-286-16, approved the issuance of Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds by the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,500,000 for the construction of the Orchid Estates Apartments.</p>
2C 160746	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST TO DEMOLISH BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES LOCATED AT JACKSON MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER, JACKSON NORTH MEDICAL CENTER AND THE JACKSON WEST CAMPUS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TRUST'S \$1,400,000,000.00 CAPITAL MASTER PLAN; DELEGATING TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AUTHORITY TO APPROVE BY RESOLUTION DEMOLITION OF ADDITIONAL BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES NECESSARY TO COMPLETE FUTURE PROJECTS UNDER THE CAPITAL MASTER PLAN AS DETERMINED NECESSARY BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES; AND REQUIRING ADVANCE NOTICE OF DEMOLITION OF SUCH BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Public Health Trust (Trust) to demolish the following buildings and facilities located at the Jackson Memorial Medical Center:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institute and Institute Annex; • Elliot Building; • Rehabilitation Hospital; and • North Wing facilities <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the Trust to demolish the North Pavilion and an existing warehouse located at Jackson North Medical Center and the Jackson West campus, respectively; and • Delegates authority to the Board of Trustees of the Trust to approve demolition of buildings and facilities unidentified at this time, but which are determined by the Board of Trustees to be necessary for the timely completion of construction projects under the Capital Master Plan. <i>The Board of Trustees will provide notification in writing to the Clerk of the BCC no less than 7 days prior to the Board of Trustees' consideration of a resolution to approve the demolition of any future building or facility.</i> <p><i>The BCC will review the delegation of authority every two years and may revoke this delegation at any time in its discretion.</i></p>
2D 160756	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE GROUND LEASE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE ART OF CULTURAL EVOLUTION, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A PUBLIC ART AND SCIENCE FACILITY AND PROVIDING FOR A THREE MONTH EXTENSION OF CERTAIN DEADLINES SET FORTH THEREIN, WITH AN OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL THREE MONTH EXTENSION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE UPON A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 550 NW 22 STREET LOCATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN AND TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves terms and authorizes execution by the County Mayor of a Second Amendment to the Ground Lease between Miami-Dade County and the Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the construction, operation and maintenance of a public art and science facility and providing for a three (3) month extension of certain deadlines with an optional additional three (3) month extension by the County Mayor upon showing of good cause.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On April 8, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-351-14, approved the terms and authorized the execution, by the County Mayor or designee, of a Lease Agreement with the Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. for the development, operation and maintenance of an art and environmental research and educational facility. The County owned vacant property, located at 550 NW 22nd Street, Miami, Florida, would be leased at no cost to The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc.</p> <p>The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. is an art and environmental Florida not-for-profit corporation, organized for community interests and welfare purposes of fostering a sustainable future through the merging of contemporary art, urban farming, and environmental education.</p> <p>The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc. wishes to construct an art and environmental research and educational facility in order to provide a centralized hub for collaboration and education of the public regarding conservation, ecology, and the environment, including residency programs, on-going workshops, community outreach programs, and urban farming in order to promote sustainability in the local community and to South Florida. The Lease requires the completion of the construction of the planned facility within three years, and further restricts the use, assignment, and subleasing of the Property to ensure compliance with the intent of the BCC.</p>

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>If all necessary permits to commence construction are not obtained within 18 months of the Commencement Date of this Lease, the Lease will automatically terminate.</p> <p>The permitted use of the Property will be a community garden, public art and science facility, sustainable demonstrations for educational programming and a dry goods store. Pursuant to the Property Appraiser's website, the 2013 Market Value for this property was \$303,600.00. The 2015 Market Value is \$483,000.</p> <p>On December 1, 2015, the BCC through Resolution No. R- 1108-15, approved the terms of and authorized an Amendment to the Lease between Miami-Dade County and The Art of Cultural Evolution, Inc., providing a six month extension of the date that permits must be obtained from November 11, 2015 to May 11, 2016, and a six month extension for completion of the Project from May 11, 2017 to November 11, 2017.</p>
2E 160757	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST AND THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CREATE AND PUBLISH THROUGH THE SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION A LIST OF PROJECTED CAPITAL PROJECTS WHICH WILL BE PROCURED WITHIN THE TWO YEARS AND TO UPDATE SUCH LIST ON A BIENNIAL BASIS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor, or the designee, and the Public Health Trust to create a list of all projected capital projects, to include, but not be limited to, a scope of work for each project, whether the project is funded or not, the required trades and sub-trades, and the estimated cost of the project, that the County or the Public Health Trust expects to procure within the next two years and provide such list to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department for publication on the Division's website and for distribution to business and trade associations.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee and the Public Health Trust are further directed to update such list as new information becomes available or at least on a biannual basis prior to each six month anniversary of the effective date of this Resolution and provide such updated list for publication and distribution to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department.</p>
2F 160759	RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 320 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, APPROVING ALLOCATION, SUBJECT TO RECAPTURE, OF UP TO \$2,000,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO TACOLCY TUSCANY COVE I, LLC TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives the requirement in the Administrative Rules that the maximum aggregate amount of Project 320 allocations to each Targeted Urban Area be capped at \$3,000,000.00, for Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC; • Approves allocation, subject to recapture, of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC; and • Directs County Mayor or designee to negotiate terms of related Grant Agreement pursuant to Bond Program's Administrative Rules and present such Grant Agreement or, alternatively, a report for consideration by the BCC. <p>The allocation to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC will be made from any remaining Project 320 funds that has been unallocated as of the effective date of this resolution and any remaining balance will be allocated from Recaptured Funds. The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 320 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to each project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</p>
2G 160493	RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$1,100,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO JESSIE TRICE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER INC. TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves an allocation of \$1,100,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects; and • Directs the County Mayor to complete the negotiation of the terms of a Grant Agreement with Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. pursuant to the Administrative Rules within six months from the effective date of this resolution and to present the negotiated Grant Agreement to the BCC for its consideration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of the Grant Agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead at its next scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$15 million was allocated towards the Economic Development in the Targeted Urban Areas Fund Project 320. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that govern Fund Project 320 were adopted by the BCC under Resolution No. R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.</p> <p>Funding from grant awards under Project 320 must be used for public infrastructure to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. Public infrastructure includes road improvements, water and sewer networks, public parking structures, public facilities and other improvements subject to certain limitations and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>uses of the grant awards from Project 320 include, but are not limited to, working capital, furniture and fixtures, business equipment, and non-capital related expenses.</p> <p>The grant awards are disbursed as reimbursements of eligible expenditures paid by the grantees and approved by the Mayor or his designee in accordance with established administrative and IRS rules governing the tax-exempt status of the General Obligation Bond Program. The disbursement of grant funds are also contingent upon the developer meeting performance measures for job creation, private investment, average salaries and other measures in the respective grant agreements. In the event that IRS rules require grant funds to be disbursed before performance milestones can be met, a clawback provision will be included in the grant agreement that allows the County to recover part or all of the grant funds disbursed when performance milestones are not achieved.</p> <p>Prior to entering into grant agreements, a final determination as to the projects qualifications to receive the funds will be made. The grant agreements will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date. If an agreement cannot be finalized, the funding may be reallocated by the BCC. If the allocations are greater than the amount of eligible public infrastructure expenditures needed, the difference will be available for reallocation by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 320 Allocations</u></p> <p>The BCC has approved various resolutions (see below) allocating funding from the BBC GOB, EDF Fund Project 320. The resolutions also directed the Mayor or his designee to begin negotiating the terms of grant agreements that will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-900-14- Wynwood Plaza Project- District 3- \$3,000,000; • R-901-14- Miami Design District NE 2nd Avenue Project- District 3- \$2,000,000; • R-902-14- Regional Health and Wellness Center and the Culinary Enterprise Center- District 1- \$3,000,000; (Rescinded); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-427-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and approved an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. ○ On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-432-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and allocated up to \$2,800,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Urban Health and Wellness Group for the Wellness Center Project. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Urban Health and Wellness Group. • R-903-14- Sunshine Plaza Project- District 2- \$2,000,000; • R-904-14- St. James Smokehouse- District 2- \$200,000 (Project Withdrawn); • R-905-14- Grove Village on Grand- District 7- \$3,000,000 (Rescinded); and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-909-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-905-14, which allocated \$3,000,000 of Project 320 funds to Grove Village on Grand. • R-225-16- Homestead Downtown Revitalization- \$1,500,000.
2H 160752	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXPEDITIOUSLY DEVELOP AND ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST ("EOI") FOR SEVERAL PARCELS COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS SOUTH DADE GOVERNMENT CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A SOUTH DADE CULTURAL ARTS VILLAGE AND, UPON RECEIPT THEREOF, TO DEVELOP AND ISSUE A SOLICITATION TO SELECT ONE OR MORE PROPOSERS TO DEVELOP AND OPERATE FACILITIES WITHIN THE CULTURAL ARTS VILLAGE; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REPORT TO THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS ON THE STATUS OF THE EOI AND SOLICITATION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to expeditiously develop and advertise a request for Expressions of Interest (EOI) for several parcels commonly referred to as South Dade Government Center for development of a South Dade Cultural Arts Village and, upon receipt and evaluation of responses, to develop and issue a solicitation to select one or more proposers to develop and operate facilities within the Cultural Arts Village.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or designee to report to the BCC within 120 days on the status of the EOI and solicitation.</p>
2I 160323	RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – "PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES"; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$1,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO A HEALTH CARE FACILITY TO BE LOCATED IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 6; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AND SEEK TO CONTRACT WITH A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CARE FACILITY OPERATOR FOR DEVELOPMENT OR EXPANSION OF SUCH HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN DISTRICT 6 AND TO REPORT BACK TO BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS ON STATUS OF THIS DIRECTIVE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities; • Approves an allocation of \$1,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to fund a health care facility to be located in Commission District 6;

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directs the County Mayor or designee to identify and seek to contract with an operator of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) for the development and/or expansion of an FQHC in District 6, which facility shall be funded, in part, with the \$1,000,000.00 allocation; and Directs the County Mayor to report back to the BCC within 90 days on the status of this directive.
2J SUB 160799	RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – “PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES”; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$2,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. TO FUND THE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER LOCATED AT 3320 SW 37TH AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133 IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 7 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 160468]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities; Approves an allocation of \$2,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to Community Health of South Florida, Inc. (CHI) to fund the acquisition and development of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) to be located at 3320 SW 37th Avenue, Miami, Florida, in Commission District 7.
2K 160539	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY THAT AT LEAST \$10 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND REVENUE AS DEFINED IN THIS RESOLUTION SHALL BE ALLOCATED EACH YEAR FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEPOSITING ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND, SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION, AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO INCLUDE THIS ALLOCATION IN FUTURE PROPOSED ANNUAL BUDGETS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County policy that at least \$10 million of General Fund Revenue, excluding revenues from gas taxes, occupational licenses, intergovernmental revenues, charges for services and interest income, will be allocated each year for the purpose of depositing additional funding in the Affordable Housing Trust Fund, subject to annual appropriation, and directing the County Mayor to include this allocation in future proposed annual budgets.</p> <p>The total amount of General Fund Revenues allocated in the 2015-2016 County budget is \$1,021,621,000.00.</p>
2L 160495	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM MODELED AFTER THE BOSTON WOMEN’S COMPACT TO VOLUNTARILY ENGAGE THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN COMMITTING TO CLOSE THE GENDER WAGE GAP, TO BE TITLED THE "MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WOMEN’S COMPACT," AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to develop a program modeled after the Boston Women’s Compact to voluntarily engage the private sector in committing to close the gender wage gap by mutual agreement, understand the root cause of the wage gap, implement strategies to close the wage gap, and share employment data to evaluate the success of such effort, to be titled the “Miami-Dade County Women’s Compact”. The proposed resolution also directs the County Mayor or designee to report back to the BCC on development of the Miami-Dade County Women’s Compact, and to place the completed report on a BCC agenda.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>The 100% Talent: The Boston Women’s Compact¹ is a voluntary pledge that over 50 companies in Boston have signed to indicate their commitment to closing the gender wage gap in the workplace. By signing the Compact, employers commit to accomplishing the following three goals:</p> <p>GOAL 1: Understand the Root Causes of the Wage Gap-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to understanding the gender wage gap and the underlying causes that contribute to the gap. Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Management review of the Council’s “Closing the Wage Gap” report; Employee access to the Council’s report and the Compact; Analysis of internal employment practices and data; Visible Management and Leadership engagement on the issue of wage equality, including attending conferences and/or meetings on the topic; and Dedicated time/staff resources. <p>GOAL 2: Close the Gap-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to reviewing the evidence-based interventions in the Council’s report, conducting a self-assessment of any interventions they have already implemented internally, and implementing or undertaking to improve three interventions tailored to their workplace. Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Assessment of Current State; Enhancements to Existing Interventions; and Introduction of New Implemented Interventions <p>GOAL 3: Evaluate Success-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employers commit to participating in a biennial review to discuss successes and challenges, as well as contributing data to a report compiled by a third party on the Compact’s success to date. Employer-level data will not be identified in the report. The specific data to be reported will build on data already required by federal and state authorities and should not create an additional reporting burden.
3A 160568	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 25 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$123,000.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – THIRD QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06;

¹ <http://www.cityofboston.gov/women/workforce/compact.asp>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 25 grants for a total of \$123,000.00 from the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Community Grants Program – Third Quarter. In addition, it is recommended that Resolution No. R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the BCC) be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive tourism-oriented and community events.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 County budget ordinance. Upon adoption of the FY 2015-2016 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for FY 2015-2016 Community Grants (CG). To date, a sub-total of \$443,000.00 in grants has been recommended for the first three quarters of the fiscal year.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Community Grants Panel convened on March 3, 2016 to review 25 applications requesting \$194,700.00 for the Third Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 25 applicants for a total of \$123,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on March 16, 2016. The projects selected for funding represent a diversified range of activities and demographic locations.</p>
3B 160610	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTION IN APPLYING FOR FUNDS TOTALLING UP TO APPROXIMATELY \$36,500,000.00 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR HOMELESS CONTINUUM OF CARE HOUSING AND SERVICES; RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTION IN ISSUING A REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS TO SELECT NON-PROFIT SERVICE PROVIDER SUB-GRANTEES; APPROVING SUB-GRANTEES SELECTED; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECEIVE AND EXPEND FEDERAL FUNDS AWARDED, TO EXECUTE GRANT AND SUB-GRANT AGREEMENTS WITH UNITED STATES HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND SUBGRANTEES, AND TO EXERCISE MODIFICATION, RENEWAL AND TERMINATION CLAUSES CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ratifies the County Mayor or designee's action in applying for funds totaling up to approximately \$36,500,000.00 from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (USHUD) for homeless Continuum of Care (CoC) housing and services; • Ratifies the County Mayor or designee's action in issuing a Request for Applications to select non-profit service provider sub-grantees; • Approves sub-grantees selected; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to receive and expend federal funds awarded, to execute grant and sub-grant agreements with the United States Housing and Urban Development, and to exercise modification, renewal and termination clauses. <p>If funded by USHUD, the services will be provided countywide through not-for-profit service providers eligible pursuant to applicable regulations and selected through a local competitive Request for Applications (RFA) process.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The total amount anticipated to be received competitively for FY 2015-16 is approximately \$36.5 million. The 2015 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) was a tiered application. Tier 1 included 85 percent of the Annual Renewal Demand, the total of all the CoC's projects that will be eligible for renewal, plus new projects. Tier 2 included the balance of the Annual Renewal Demand (15 percent). Additional funding for two (2) new and three (3) reallocated projects, and a Planning Grant have been funded, adding approximately \$4 million in new and reallocated resources. It is also anticipated that the County, through the Homeless Trust, will provide Food and Beverage Tax match funding in an amount up to \$800,000.00 per year to programs serving the chronic homeless, using the Housing First model. Housing First is an approach that emphasizes stable, permanent housing as a primary strategy for ending homelessness. Housing First is an approach to ending homelessness that centers on providing people experiencing homelessness with housing as quickly as possible – and then providing services as needed.</p>
3C 160421	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR'S OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTIONS, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 2-10.4 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING AGREEMENTS FOR CONTINUING SERVICES UNDER THE COUNTY'S EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the actions of the County Mayor or designee in executing Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) professional services agreements for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015.</p> <p>EDP contracts are issued and renewed regularly to qualified local architectural, engineering and landscape architectural (A&E) firms that are in good standing. Professional services agreements are the formal mechanism to allow County departments to issue work authorizations to eligible EDP participants.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding</u> Funding for each professional services agreement ordered under the EDP is identified at the time of issuance of the service order and is consistent with each department's capital project budgets.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Equitable Distribution Program:</u></p>

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>According to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department, the EDP establishes a pool of local A&E firms and provides a process to equitably distribute the County's capital improvements projects per state Statute 287.055 with an estimated construction cost of less than \$2 million and up to \$200,000 in professional fees for study activities.</p> <p>The program was created and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to increase contracting opportunities to more community based A&E firms, as well as afford County department's access to qualified professionals for smaller projects in an expedited fashion without necessitating a formal solicitation.</p> <p>Administrative Order 3-39 establishes the policy and procedures for the EDP and the Internal Services Department (ISD)/EDP is responsible for the administration of the EDP Program, including processing the PSA's. The program is structured to distribute projects in all County technical certifications to the program participants through a centralized rotational system. County agencies are to utilize the EDP Program for those capital improvement projects that do not exceed the thresholds for continuous services contracts in accordance with Florida State Statute 287.055, unless provided otherwise by ISD. All qualified A & E firms with a local Miami-Dade office may potentially participate in the EDP program.</p> <p>Program statistics as of January 2015</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over 500 firms have been placed in the program; • Currently there are 380+- active EDP Firms; • Over 1,900 EDP work assignments have been distributed through the EDP with potential fees over \$150 million; • 173 Work assignments were distributed in FY 2014 with potential fees of \$16.5 million; • 90% of the Community Business Enterprises (CBE) in the program have received work; and • More than 240 firms of the 380 had never received County A&E work when they entered the program. Approx. 80% of the firms have now received work. <p>Highlights of EDP provided by ISD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the centralized rotational system there are pools of firms in each technical certification category. When there is a request for a project, the database selects the next three qualified firms in the ranking in the technical area by a formula; • All technical categories are assigned to Architects and Engineers pursuant to their approved Pre-Qualification Certification to provide professional services for the County; • The rotational value/ranking is determined by a mathematical formula: 65% of a firms 3 year net award plus 100% amount actually paid. The firms with less opportunities with the County are more likely to be considered for a project; • The eligibility requirements to be an EDP Member are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Firms must a valid Pre-Qualification Certification (PQC); ○ Firms must have a place of business in Dade County; and ○ Firms must have been in business a minimum of 1 year. • Firms must apply to become a member. The application is posted on the web. A firm can submit an applications at any time provided they meet the minimum qualifications; • Projects distributed to firms in EDP do not require BCC approval; and • Potential service fees are the most current fee that the Department has provided.
3D 160544	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00312 FOR PURCHASE OF CONTRACT HEALTHCARE STAFFING SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES AND PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS FOR AN INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM AND THREE (3) ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIODS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,615,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Contract No. FB-00312, Contract Healthcare Staffing Services, for the purchase of professional healthcare staffing services for the Miami-Dade Community Action and Human Services and Public Housing and Community Development Departments.</p> <p>The contracted staff will work in various facilities throughout the County, providing care and treatment for people with disabilities, veterans, and the elderly. The contracted healthcare professionals will deliver various medical services to those groups, including, but not limited to, treatment for substance abuse, elderly transitional living, counseling, physician assistance and social work. The solicitation includes four (4) groups encompassing multiple healthcare professional positions (e.g., registered nurse, nutritionist and medical doctor) and healthcare-related positions (e.g., social worker and home care aide).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the initial two-year term is \$4,246,000. Should the County choose to exercise the three (3), one-year option to renew terms, the contract's cumulative value will be \$10,615,000 for five (5) requested years. The previous contract, 9141-3/14, was valued at \$11,412,000 for six (6) years. Community Action and Human Services has added three (3) healthcare professional positions to provide increased services to include: Registered Nurse, Healthcare Aides and Dietician/Nutritionist.</p> <p>Vendors Recommended for Award</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																												
	<p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on October 27, 2015. Six (6) bids were received in response to the solicitation. The method of award was to the single lowest-priced responsive and responsible vendor by group. The solicitation included the following four (4) groups:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="width: 10%;">Group</th> <th style="width: 90%;">Positions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>Healthcare Professionals</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>Healthcare Related</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td>Healthcare Related (federal funds)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Groups 1 and 2 were set-aside for certified Small Business Enterprises. No measures were assigned to Groups 3 and 4 due to federal funding restrictions.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="width: 20%;">Awardee</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Award</th> <th style="width: 30%;">Principal Address</th> <th colspan="2" style="width: 40%;">Number of Employee Residents</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)</td> <td rowspan="3">Group 2 & 4</td> <td rowspan="3">5803 NW 151st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL</td> <td>Miami-Dade</td> <td style="text-align: center;">70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Broward</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.</td> <td rowspan="3">Group 3</td> <td rowspan="3">5803 NW 151st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL</td> <td>Miami-Dade</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1,226</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Broward</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)</td> <td rowspan="3">Group 1</td> <td rowspan="3">3440 NW 203rd Street, Miami, FL</td> <td>Miami-Dade</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Broward</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>**Integrated Holdings, Inc. was deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failure to submit a price on all Group 2 items.</p> <p>Vendors Not Recommended for Award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cell Staff, Inc. - Higher than lowest bidder • Delta-T Group Florida, Inc. - Higher than lowest bidder • Integrated Holdings, Inc. - Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for Group 2. • Jackson & Coker Locum Tenens, LLC - Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for Group 3 as the vendor failed to submit a bid for all items within the group as required by the solicitation. The vendor did not bid on Groups 1, 2, or 4. <p>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The two (2) percent User Access Program provision applies and will be collected on all purchases, where permitted by the funding source. • The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference and Local Preference Ordinances were applied where permitted by the funding source. An SBE set-aside was applied to Groups 1 and 2. • The Living Wage Ordinance applies. <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: left;">Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$3,043,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modification 2/8/2012</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$161,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$1,602,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modification 11/15/2012</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$315,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Modification R-76-13 2/5/2013</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$135,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR 9141-3/14-2 4/1/2013-3/31/2014</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$2,052,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR 9141-3/14-3 4/1/2014-3/31/2015</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$2,052,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">\$2,736,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Group	Positions	1	Healthcare Professionals	2	Healthcare Related	3	Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)	4	Healthcare Related (federal funds)	Awardee	Award	Principal Address	Number of Employee Residents		American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)	Group 2 & 4	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	70	Broward	26	Percentage	100%	CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.	Group 3	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	1,226	Broward	0	Percentage	100%	Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)	Group 1	3440 NW 203 rd Street, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade	10	Broward	0	Percentage	100%	Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14		Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012	\$3,043,000	Modification 2/8/2012	\$161,000	First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013	\$1,602,000	Modification 11/15/2012	\$315,000	Modification R-76-13 2/5/2013	\$135,000	Second OTR 9141-3/14-2 4/1/2013-3/31/2014	\$2,052,000	Third OTR 9141-3/14-3 4/1/2014-3/31/2015	\$2,052,000	Proration	\$2,736,000
Group	Positions																																																												
1	Healthcare Professionals																																																												
2	Healthcare Related																																																												
3	Healthcare Professionals (federal funds)																																																												
4	Healthcare Related (federal funds)																																																												
Awardee	Award	Principal Address	Number of Employee Residents																																																										
American Home Health Agency, Inc. (Micro/SBE)	Group 2 & 4	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 201, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	70																																																									
			Broward	26																																																									
			Percentage	100%																																																									
CSI Catalano's Nurses Registry, Inc.	Group 3	5803 NW 151 st Street, Suite 204, Miami Lakes, FL	Miami-Dade	1,226																																																									
			Broward	0																																																									
			Percentage	100%																																																									
Integrated Holdings, Inc.** (Micro/SBE)	Group 1	3440 NW 203 rd Street, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade	10																																																									
			Broward	0																																																									
			Percentage	100%																																																									
Additional Information on Previous Contract - 9141-3/14																																																													
Contract No. 9141-3/14 R-225-10 4/1/2010-3/31/2012	\$3,043,000																																																												
Modification 2/8/2012	\$161,000																																																												
First OTR 9141-3/14-1 4/1/2012-3/31/2013	\$1,602,000																																																												
Modification 11/15/2012	\$315,000																																																												
Modification R-76-13 2/5/2013	\$135,000																																																												
Second OTR 9141-3/14-2 4/1/2013-3/31/2014	\$2,052,000																																																												
Third OTR 9141-3/14-3 4/1/2014-3/31/2015	\$2,052,000																																																												
Proration	\$2,736,000																																																												

Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<i>Per the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is 7/31/2016.</i>
3E 160317	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$1,433,740.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FOR THE NORTH MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2015-16 Budget for the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's Budget includes revenues and expenditures in the total amount of \$1,433,740.00. The BCC must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funding in the Trust Fund, as required by Section III D of the Interlocal Agreement. The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget was approved by the Agency on August 27, 2015 and by the City on September 21, 2015.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u></p> <p>The Agency's main revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida Statutes. The countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2015-16 is \$289,030.00 and the City of North Miami Beach's (City) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$404,477.00; carryover from prior years of \$730,233.00; and projected interest earnings of \$10,000.00. The budget does not include a contingency reserve.</p> <p>The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency through 2028, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>On June 7, 2005, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-611-05 that established the Agency and approved the Agency's Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) and Interlocal Agreement. The BCC adopted Ordinance No. 05-110 on June 7, 2005, which provided a funding mechanism for implementation of the plan (Trust Fund). The Interlocal Agreement requires the Agency to submit for County approval an annual budget for the implementation of the Plan. On December 19, 2006, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1427-06 allowing the Agency to enter into a loan for infrastructure improvements and property purchase not to exceed \$8 million, and extend the life of the Agency from 2015 to 2028.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget was approved by the Agency on August 27, 2015 and by the City on September 21, 2015. The \$1,433,740.00 Agency and City approved budget includes revenue sources of County and City TIF revenue payments totaling \$289,030.00 and \$404,477.00, respectively; carryover from prior years of \$730,233.00; and projected interest earnings of \$10,000.00.</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$130,270.00, excluding the 1.5 percent County administrative charge of \$4,335.00, and represent 9 percent of total expenditures, which satisfies the Interlocal Agreement's 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$1,299,135.00 and are broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$674,042.00 for capital and infrastructure improvements that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CRA-wide sanitary sewer improvements (\$259,157.00); ○ Sewer extension project along 163 Street and NE 21 Avenue (\$214,885.00); and ○ CRA-wide parking and infrastructure improvements (\$200,000.00). • \$203,400.00 for the debt service payment on the 2007 loan that matures in 2027; • \$200,000.00 for Commercial Façade Improvement and Commercial improvement Programs targeting properties on West Dixie Highway between NE 163 Street and 172 Street to enhance visibility, attract new business and developed in the area; • \$151,693.00 for other operating expenditures including salary and fringes, legal services, marketing, printing and publishing; and • \$70,000.00 for consulting services to assist with updating the Redevelopment Plan, negotiating public/private partnerships, West Dixie Highway corridor improvements, retail recruitment and marketing and branding of the downtown area.
3F 160642	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17-02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, A LOAN TO ST. JOHN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ST. JOHN VILLAGE HOMES II APARTMENTS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$997,500.00 OF REPAID LOAN FUNDS FROM THE ST. JOHN APARTMENTS AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS, STANDARD SHELL CONTRACTS, STANDARD SHELL LOAN DOCUMENTS, AMENDMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, TO AUTHORIZE SUBORDINATION OF THE COUNTY'S INTEREST, AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION, CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17-02 of the Code of Miami Dade County, a loan in the amount of \$997,500 of local Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) funds to St. John Community Development Corporation (St. John) for development of the St. John Village Homes II Apartments (Project), an affordable housing project. The Project is a new 10-unit affordable housing development located at 1613 – 1643 NW 1 Court, Miami, Florida, 33136, in Commission District 3.</p> <p>The loan for the Project will be subject to a full credit underwriting analysis including subsidy layering review, a favorable recommendation from the underwriter, and written financing commitments for the total development costs, all of which will be completed prior to the financial closing of the loan or the release of loaned funds. The loan will be subject to those loan terms prescribed in the Surtax FY 2015 Request for Applications, and is subject to change at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee based upon the credit underwriting analysis.</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The proposed resolution allocates Surtax funds and will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Project is located at 1613-1643 NW 1st Court, Miami, Florida 33136 and will consist of ten (10) townhome styled apartments. Three (3) of the ten (10) units are reserved for households with up to 50 percent area median income (AMI) and the remaining seven (7) units will be reserved for households with up to 80 percent AMI. St. John received a County loan of Surtax funds in 1991 in the amount of \$997,500.00 for the development of St. John Apartments. In November 2015, the Florida Department of Transportation made a payment to the County in the amount of \$482,302.26 as a result of an eminent domain action. This resulted in full payoff of the Surtax loan, which had been used for the development of the Project.</p> <p>On December 7, 2015, St. John requested use of pre-paid loan funds in accordance with Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances for the Project. <i>The pre-payment and re-loan transaction is permitted by Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances, providing that any developer that repays its County loan in full before the date on which the loan is due in full may, upon approval of the BCC, have the repaid funds loaned to it or a related entity for additional eligible affordable housing projects without the need to compete again for those funds.</i></p>
3G 160617	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF FLORIDA CITY AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ENSURE THE TIMELY WAIVER AND/OR RELEASE AND SATISFACTION OF MUNICIPAL LIENS RECORDED AGAINST CERTAIN COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED IN FLORIDA CITY AND DESIGNATED FOR DEVELOPMENT THROUGH THE COUNTY'S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AND TO EXERCISE AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS AND TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves terms and authorizes the execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (Agreement) between the City of Florida City (Florida City) and Miami-Dade County (County). Florida City agrees to waive and/or release municipal liens recorded on those County-owned properties located in Florida City (Infill Properties), which are designated for development through the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Program).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The County, through the Internal Services Department, is responsible for recurring maintenance costs associated with the Infill Properties, which amounts to approximately \$3,010.00 annually, per acre. The funds used to maintain the Infill Properties are paid from the Internal Services Department's Capital Outlay Reserve Fund. Additionally, the County may pay approximately \$29.00 in recording fees for each release of lien recorded for each property.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On November 5, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1004-14, which urged municipalities, including the City of Miami, City of North Miami, City of Opa-locka, City of Homestead, City of Florida City, and City of Miami Gardens, to waive and/or release and satisfy their municipal liens, which have been recorded in the public record against the Infill Properties. Resolution No. R-1004-14 also directed the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Interlocal Agreements with each of the municipalities, which would ensure the timely waiver and/or release and satisfaction of municipal liens recorded in the public record against such Infill Properties.</p>
3H 160582	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROPOSED FUNDING RECOMMENDATION AND ALLOCATING AN AMOUNT UP TO \$150,000.00, IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX PROGRAM FUNDS TO OPA LOCKA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COPORATION, INC., FOR HOMEBUYER COUNSELING AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, SHELL CONTRACTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the proposed funding recommendations for up to \$150,000.00 for the FY 2015 Request for Applications (RFA) for the Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) Program for homebuyer counseling and education activities that applied in the FY 2015 Request for Applications.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The Public Housing and Community Development Department (PHCD) is seeking authorization to allocate \$150,000.00 of Surtax funds to support homebuyer counseling and education. The award of Surtax funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund.</p> <p><u>Background</u> At the February 11, 2016 Economic Prosperity Committee meeting, the Opa locka Community Development Corporation, Inc. (OCDC) appeared during the public hearing portion of the meeting to request that additional funds be allocated to increase the homebuyer counseling and education services for residents countywide. It was noted by the PHCD that additional Surtax funds were available to fund the request by OCDC and the Committee requested that an item be prepared to recommend funding for additional homebuyer counseling and education services.</p> <p>The OCDC submitted a proposal in the FY 2015 RFA requesting \$150,000.00 in funding. The proposal scored a 95 on its proposal but was not recommended due to the higher ranked proposals that exhausted the \$500,000.00 allocation of Surtax funding in the RFA for homebuyer counseling and educational activities. The funding requests for homebuyer educational activities throughout Miami-Dade County totaled \$1,020,000.00.</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee
April 14, 2016 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
31 160624	<p>RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FLORIDA APPROVING THE LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN AS REQUIRED BY THE STATE HOUSING INITIATIVES PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM ACT, SUBSECTIONS 420.907-420.9079, FLORIDA STATUTES, AND RULE CHAPTER 67-37, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ANY NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS NEEDED BY THE STATE; AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF THE LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION; APPROVING THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVISORY BOARD FISCAL YEAR 2015 REPORT AND EVALUATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopts the State Housing Initiatives Partnership (SHIP) Local Housing Assistance Plan (LHAP) for FY 2016-17, FY 2017-18, and FY 2018-19; • Authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to execute any documents and certifications required by the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) as related to the LHAP; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or the designee to do all things necessary and proper to carry out the terms and conditions of said program, as described in the LHAP. <p>SHIP funding will continue to be awarded to for-profit and non-profit entities through a competitive process, and will be subject to approval by the BCC. The LHAP does not incorporate new strategies or activities. It incorporates the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Advisory Board's 2015 Report and Evaluation. This report was provided to the BCC on February 2, 2016 and requires BCC approval.</p> <p>The change to the proposed SHIP LHAP pertains to a description of how the County will establish and process its waiting list. The description below is included in Program Details, Section I:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiting List/Priorities: A waiting list will be established when there are eligible applicants for strategies that no longer have funding available. Those households on the waiting list will be notified of their status. Applicants will be maintained in an order that is consistent with the time applications were submitted as well as any established funding priorities as described in this plan. • Priorities for funding described here apply to all strategies unless otherwise stated in the strategy: All applications are submitted by homeowners to the Community Action and Human Services Department (CAHSD) and placed on a waiting list on a first-come, first-served basis. When funds become available applicants are selected from the top of the waiting list. The waiting list is maintained by date of the applicant expressing interest in the program. Each time a group of applications are selected from the waiting list, processing priority will be given to elderly and disabled applicants. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The BCC approved the current SHIP LHAP on July 2, 2013 through Resolution No. R-522-13 and an amendment on October 21, 2014 through Resolution No. R-948-14. The current SHIP LHAP covers FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15, and FY 2015-16, in accordance with Florida Statute 420.9072(2)(b)2, which requires a BCC approved resolution as part of the LHAP initial submission, as well as any subsequent amendments. Additionally, pursuant to the State of Florida rules, the initial plan and any amendments to the plan must be submitted to the State for approval prior to implementation. This plan must be submitted to the State by May 2, 2016 to meet the beginning of the State's fiscal year, which begins on July 1, 2016.</p> <p>On March 10, 2016, the Affordable Housing Advisory Board reviewed and approved, by a unanimous vote, the SHIP LHAP for FY 2016-17, FY 2017-18, and FY 2018-19.</p>