# Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# 1-A. Reporting Entity

Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "County") is an instrumentality of the State of Florida established by an amendment to the Florida State Constitution adopted May 21, 1957 as the Dade County Home Rule Charter, to carry on a centralized government.

On January 23, 2007, the electors of Miami-Dade approved an amendment to the Home Rule Charter which established a Strong Mayor form of government. This amendment expands the Mayor's powers over administrative matters. The County Manager who previously was chief administrator now reports directly to the Mayor who has the authority to hire, fire and set the salary of the County Manager. Under this new system, the Mayor also appoints all department heads.

The Board of County Commissioners is the legislative body, consisting of 13 members elected from single-member districts. Members are elected to serve four-year terms, and elections of members are staggered. The Board chooses a Chairperson, who presides over the Commission, as well as appoints the members of its legislative committees. The Board has a wide array of powers to enact legislation, create departments, and regulate business operating within the County. It also has the power to override the Mayor's veto with a two-thirds vote.

The financial reporting entity for which the accompanying financial statements are prepared includes the County (primary government) and its component units. Component units are legally separate organizations for which the County is financially accountable. Financial accountability is defined as the appointment of a voting majority of the component unit's board and either 1) the County's ability to impose its will on the component unit's board, or 2) the possibility that the component unit will provide a financial benefit to or impose a financial burden to the County.

The financial position and result of operations of the following entities are reported as part of the primary government in the accompanying financial statements. They are not component units because they do not hold sufficient corporate powers of their own to be considered legally separate from the County for financial reporting purposes:

- The Public Health Trust (the "PHT") provides countywide healthcare services and is responsible for the operation, governance and maintenance of County health facilities. The PHT has its own governing board, which is appointed by the Commission. However, it is not considered to be legally separate from the County and is reported as an enterprise fund of the County.
- The Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts (the "Clerk") is an elected official whose principal function is to provide support to the Courts (Civil, Criminal and Traffic) and perform the ex-officio duties of the County Auditor, Custodian of Public Funds and County Recorder. As a result of the budgetary control by the County and its financial dependency on the County, the Clerk's activities are included as part of the primary government in the accompanying financial statements.
- The Naranja Lakes Community Redevelopment Agency (the "NLCRA") was created by the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners (the "BCC") on October 24, 2002. The BCC appointed the NLCRA Board of Commissioners and delegated certain community redevelopment powers to it. All other powers not specifically delegated to the NLCRA are reserved exclusively by the BCC. The NLCRA balance sheet as of September 30, 2006 is included in the County's Other Governmental Funds balance sheet presented in this CAFR. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 NLCRA revenues of \$5,876,459 and expenditures of \$2,085,174 are included in Capital Project Funds and Other Special Revenue Funds in this CAFR.
- The 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue Community Redevelopment Agency (the "Agency") was created by the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners (the "BCC") on June 22, 2004, at which time the

BCC appointed itself as the Board of the Agency. The BCC created a redevelopment trust fund to be funded with ad valorem tax increment revenues in order to finance or refinance proposed community redevelopment and to facilitate the implementation of creative tax financing strategies. The Agency's trust fund balance sheet as of September 30, 2006 is included in the County's Other Governmental Funds balance sheet presented in this CAFR. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 the Agency's trust fund revenues of \$225,224 are included in the Other Special Revenue funds in this CAFR.

# **Component Units:**

# Housing Finance Authority (HFA)

The HFA provides financing for residential housing to persons or families of moderate, middle or lesser income. The HFA is a component unit of the County since the Commission appoints the thirteen members of its governing board and has the ability to impose their will on the board. It qualifies for discrete presentation in the County's financial statements, and is therefore reported in a separate column in the County's government-wide financial statements.

Complete financial statements of the HFA may be obtained directly from their administrative offices at: Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County, 25 West Flagler Street, Suite 950, Miami, Florida 33130. Telephone (305) 372-7990

#### Jackson Memorial Foundation, Inc.

Jackson Memorial Foundation, Inc. (the Foundation) is a legally separate, tax-exempt component unit of the County. The Foundation acts primarily as a fund-raising organization to supplement the resources that are available to the County in support of its programs. The board of the Foundation is self-perpetuating and consists of community members. Although the County does not control the timing or amount of the receipts from the Foundation, the majority of resources, or income thereon that the Foundation holds and invests are restricted to the activities of the County by the donors. Because these restricted resources held by the Foundation can only be used by, or for the benefit of, the County the Foundation is considered a component unit of the County and is discretely presented in the County's financial statements.

Complete financial statements for the Foundation can be obtained at: Jackson Memorial Foundation, Inc., 901 NW 17th Street, Plaza Park East, Suite G, Miami, Florida 33136.

# **Related Organizations:**

- The Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (the "MDXA") is an agency of the State of Florida. It constructs, maintains and operates the expressway system located in Miami-Dade County. The Commission appoints a voting majority of the MDXA governing board. However, the County is not financially accountable for the MDXA, and the MDXA is therefore not included in the accompanying financial statements.
- The MDHA Development Corp. develops and manages low-income housing facilities for qualifying individuals. The directors are elected and dismissed only by existing board of director members. The MDHA Development Corp. is financially independent. The County is not financially accountable for the MDHA Development Corp. because it cannot impose its will on the organization.
- The Miami-Dade Industrial Development Authority (the "IDA") develops and manages the Tax-Exempt Industrial Development Revenue Bond Program that serves as a financial incentive to support private sector business and industry expansion and location in Miami-Dade County. The Commission appoints the members of IDA's governing board. However, the County is not financially accountable for IDA because it cannot impose its will on the organization. IDA bonds

are not obligations of the County, and IDA's operations neither provide a financial benefit to nor impose a financial burden on the County. Therefore, the IDA is not included in the accompanying financial statements.

The Performing Arts Center Trust (PACT), a non-profit corporation, was created by the Board of County Commissioners in 1991 to oversee the planning, design, construction and operation of the Performing Arts Center (PAC). The Mayor of Miami-Dade County appoints the 32 trustees of the PAC, a majority of which are predetermined by ordinance or are selected by others outside the County government, hence the Mayor's appointment authority is not substantive. The PAC is financially independent from the County, and Miami-Dade County is not entitled to, or has the ability to otherwise access, the economic resources of the PAC. Therefore, the PAC is not included in the accompanying financial statements.

#### 1-B. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, Basis of Presentation

In addition to the government-wide statements, separate financial statements are presented for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds. The financial statements may differ in terms of the measurement focus and basis of accounting used to prepare them, as discussed below.

The government-wide statements, proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the full accrual basis of accounting. (Agency funds, however, report only assets and liabilities. Since an operating statement is not presented, agency funds have no measurement focus). Revenues are generally recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of when the related cash flow occurs. Property taxes are recorded as revenues in the year for which they are levied, and grants and other similar non-exchange transactions are recorded as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared using the current financial resources measurement focus and modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are both measurable and available. For the purpose of revenue recognition, "available" means that the revenues are collectible within the current period or soon thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Major revenue sources that are susceptible to accrual under the above criteria include intergovernmental revenues and certain taxes. The County considers the availability for revenues susceptible to accruals to be ninety days, with the exception of expenditure driven (reimbursement) grants, for which the availability period is one year. When the primary eligibility requirement under a grant is incurring an eligible expenditure, the County recognizes revenue at the time the expenditure is incurred. Current and prior year property taxes billed but uncollected as of the end of the fiscal year are reflected as delinquent taxes receivable with an offsetting allowance account. Other revenues that are not considered measurable or available are recognized when received by the County. Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on long-term debt and expenses related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, which are recorded only when payment is due.

The above differences in measurement focus and basis of accounting result in differences in the amounts reported as net assets and changes in net assets in the government-wide statements from the amounts shown in the governmental and proprietary fund statements. Those differences are briefly explained in the reconciliation statements included in the governmental and proprietary fund statements.

#### Government-wide financial statements:

The accompanying financial statements include a government-wide statement of activities and a government-wide statement of net assets. These statements report information on the County as a whole and its component units. They do not include the fiduciary activities of the County. For the most part, interfund activity has been eliminated from these statements with the result that the statements mainly present transactions with parties outside the reporting entity.

In the government-wide statements, the primary government (the County) is reported separately from its component units (the Housing Finance Authority and the Jackson Memorial Foundation). Governmental activities and business-type activities of the County are presented separately. Governmental activities

are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. Business-type activities rely mostly on charges for services for support.

The statement of activities shows the extent to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by its program revenues. The direct expenses of a function are clearly identifiable with that function. The program revenues of a function include: (1) amounts charged to those who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods or services provided by the function, (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to operational uses by the function, and (3) grants and contributions that are restricted to capital uses by the function. All revenues other than program revenues are considered to be general revenues and are shown in the bottom section of the statement of activities. They include all taxes (even those levied for a particular function), unrestricted intergovernmental revenues, unrestricted investment earnings and other miscellaneous non-program revenues.

The government-wide statement of net assets reports all financial and capital resources of the County, as well as its liabilities. The difference between assets and liabilities are reported as net assets. Net assets are displayed in three components:

*Invested in capital assets, net of related debt:* Capital assets, net of depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balance of debt that is attributable to the acquisition or construction of those assets.

*Restricted net assets:* Assets when constraints on their use are: (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments, or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net assets (deficit): All other assets and liabilities not part of the above categories. This amount represents the accumulated results of all past years' operations. In addition, GASB No. 34 required the County to record long-term liabilities, including compensated absences, which resulted in the deficit.

#### Fund financial statements:

The accompanying financial report includes separate financial statements for governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds (though fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide statements). The fund financial statements present major individual funds in separate columns. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

# Major Governmental Funds

The following major governmental funds are included in the County's financial statements:

**General Fund:** The County's primary operating fund; also accounts for the financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

# Major Proprietary Funds

The following major enterprise funds are included in the County's financial statements:

**Miami-Dade Transit Agency:** Operates the County's mass transit rail system, bus system, downtown metro-mover loop, and special transportation services.

**Miami-Dade Solid Waste Department:** Provides solid waste collection and recycling services to the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County and to some municipalities and also provides solid waste disposal services to 17 municipalities and operates a variety of facilities, including landfills, transfer stations and neighborhood trash and recycling centers.

**Miami-Dade Seaport Department:** Operates the Dante B. Fascell Port of Miami-Dade, which is home to nearly 20 cruise ships and serves numerous other cruise vessels. The Port also has a large container facility from which over 35 cargo shipping lines operate.

**Miami-Dade Aviation Department:** Operates and develops the activities of the Miami International Airport, four other general aviation airports, and one training airport.

**Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department:** Maintains and operates the County's water distribution system and wastewater collection and treatment system.

**Public Health Trust (PHT):** The PHT was created by a County ordinance in 1973 that provided for an independent governing body responsible for the operation, governance and maintenance of certain designated health facilities. The PHT operates the Jackson Memorial Hospital and Medical Towers, the North Dade Primary Health Care Facility, the Corrections Health Services Facility, the Liberty City Medical Facility, and other health facilities.

#### Internal Service Fund

The following internal service fund is included in the County's financial statements:

**Self-Insurance Fund:** Accounts for the County's insurance programs covering property, automobile, general liability, professional and workers' compensation. Also accounts for medical, dental, life, and disability insurance for County employees.

#### **Fiduciary Funds**

The following fiduciary funds are included in the County's financial statements:

#### Agency Funds:

**Clerk of Circuit and County Court Funds:** Accounts for funds received, maintained and distributed by the Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts in his capacity as custodian to the State and County judicial systems.

**Tax Collector Fund:** Accounts for the collection and distribution of ad-valorem taxes and personal property taxes to the appropriate taxing districts. Also accounts for the collection of motor vehicle registration fees and sales of other State of Florida licenses, the proceeds of which are remitted to the State.

Other Agency Funds: Accounts for various funds placed in escrow pending timed distributions.

**Pension Trust Fund:** The Pension Trust Fund accounts for assets held by Northern Trust Bank for the benefit of employees of the Public Health Trust who participate in the Public Health Trust Defined Benefit Retirement Plan.

#### Application of FASB Standards

Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement No. 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities that use Proprietary Fund Accounting, offers the option of following all Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") standards issued after November 30, 1989, unless the latter conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, or not following FASB standards issued after such date. The County and its enterprise funds elected the option not to follow the FASB standards issued after November 30, 1989.

#### Proprietary Funds Operating vs. Nonoperating Items

The County's proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items in their statements of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net assets. In general, operating revenues result from charges to customers for the purchase or use of the proprietary fund's principal product or

service. Operating expenses relate to the cost of providing those services or producing and delivering those goods, and also include administrative expenses, depreciation of capital assets, and closure and postclosure care costs for inactive landfills.

All other revenues and expenses that do not result from the fund's principal ongoing operations are considered to be *nonoperating*. Examples of other nonoperating items include investment earnings, interest expense, grants and contributions, and passenger facility charges.

# Grants from Government Agencies

Certain operating grants under various federal and state programs are included in the Special Revenue Funds. Grant monies received are disbursed by these funds for goods and services as prescribed under the respective grant program or are transferred to other County funds for ultimate distribution under the terms of the grants. These programs are dependent on the continued financial assistance of the state or federal government.

Grants designated as operating subsidies to enterprise funds are recorded as nonoperating revenues upon compliance with the grant's eligibility requirements. Grants designated for use in acquiring property or equipment are recorded as capital contributions. Grant monies received but not earned are recorded as deferred revenues.

# Interfund Activity

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide statements. An exception to this rule is that charges for services provided by the Water and Sewer Department and the Solid Waste Department have not been eliminated from the statement of activities. Elimination of these charges would understate the expenses of the user function and the program revenues of the function providing the services. Also, the General Fund charges certain funds an administrative cost overhead charge based on a cost allocation plan. An adjustment has been made to the government-wide statements to eliminate the revenue and expense reported in the General Fund so that the administrative expense is shown only by the funds/activities that were charged.

# Flow Assumption for Restricted Assets

If both restricted and unrestricted assets are available for use for a certain purpose, it is the County's policy to use restricted assets first, then use unrestricted assets as needed.

# Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

# 1-C. Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets or Fund Balances

# Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts in demand deposits, and short-term investments with maturity dates within three months of the date acquired by the County.

The County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, which established accounting and financial reporting standards for all investments, including fair value standards. As the statement permits, non-participating investments are reported at amortized cost, which approximates market. All participating investments are carried at fair value and unrealized gains and losses due to variations in fair value are recognized for the year. The provisions of GASB No. 31 also specify that the investment income of each fund be reported in the fund that is associated with the assets. If the investment income is assigned to another fund for other than legal or contractual reasons, the income has to be recognized in the fund that reports the investment, with an operating transfer to the recipient fund. The County has made the needed adjustments to the accompanying financial statements to ensure compliance with this provision.

The Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund Investment Pool (the "Pool") is a "2a-7 like" pool, and the Pool account balance (amortized cost) can be used as fair value for financial reporting. The Pool is governed by Chapter 19-7 of the Florida Administrative Code, which identified the rules of the State Board of Administration (SBA) for the administration of the Pool. Additionally, the Office of the Auditor General performs the operational audit of the activities and investments of the SBA.

#### Inventories

Inventories, consisting principally of materials and supplies held for use or consumption, are recorded at cost or weighted average for governmental funds and lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market for enterprise funds, except for the Transit Agency, Water and Sewer and Public Health Trust. These enterprise funds use the average cost method.

The purchases method of inventory accounting is used to report inventories in the governmental funds. Under this method, inventories are reported as expenditures when purchased. However, significant amounts of inventories are reported as assets and are offset by a reservation of fund balance to indicate they do not constitute resources available for appropriation. In the Statement of Net Assets, inventories are accounted for using the consumption method characteristic of full accrual accounting. Under this method, the recognition of an expense is deferred until such time when the inventories are actually consumed.

#### Mortgage Receivables

Mortgages receivable in special revenue funds arise from the County's housing development programs that provide low-income housing assistance to eligible applicants. An allowance for uncollectible mortgages receivable of \$184,723,000 is recorded in the Community and Social Development Fund, and \$9,060,000 is recorded in the Housing Special Revenue Fund.

# Accounts Receivables

Accounts receivable reported by the enterprise funds as of September 30, 2006 are net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$326,743,000.

# **Property Taxes**

Property values are assessed as of January 1 of each year, at which time taxes become an enforceable lien on property. Tax bills are mailed in October and are payable upon receipt with discounts at the rate of 4% if paid in November, decreasing by 1% per month with no discount available if paid in the month of March. Taxes become delinquent on April 1 of the year following the year of assessment and State law provides for enforcement of collection of property taxes by the sale of interest-bearing tax certificates and the seizure of personal property to satisfy unpaid property taxes. The procedures result in the collection of essentially all taxes prior to June 30 of the year following the year of assessment.

# Capital Assets

Capital assets include land, buildings, furniture, fixtures, equipment, machinery, utility plant and systems, infrastructure (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items) and construction work in progress with an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Capital assets used in the operation of governmental funds and those used in business-type activities are reported in the applicable columns in the government-wide financial statements.

Capital assets are recorded at cost if purchased or constructed. Contributed capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of contribution. The cost of maintenance, repairs and minor renewals

and betterments are expensed as incurred, rather than capitalized (added to the cost of the asset). Major renewals and betterments are treated as capital asset additions.

Interest expense related to borrowings used for construction projects of business-type activities is capitalized, net of interest earned on the same funds. Interest capitalization ceases when the construction project is substantially complete. Net interest capitalized during fiscal year 2006 amounted to \$89,375,000. Interest is not capitalized for construction projects of governmental funds.

Capital assets are depreciated over their useful lives unless they are inexhaustible (e.g., land, certain individual items or collections with historical or artistic value). Pursuant to Florida Statute, the County inventories all assets with a historical cost of \$1,000 or more and a useful life of two years or greater. However, for financial reporting purposes, the County has established a capitalization threshold of \$5,000 for its governmental activities. The County uses the straight-line method of depreciation to depreciate assets over their estimated useful lives, which range as follows:

Buildings and building improvements	5-50 years
Utility plant and systems	5-100 years
Infrastructure	10-50 years
Furniture, fixtures, machinery and equipment	3-30 years

The Solid Waste Management enterprise fund records depletion on landfill sites and the estimated cost of permanently capping and maintaining such landfills on the basis of capacity used.

#### **Restricted Net Assets**

Certain net assets have been identified as "restricted". These net assets have constraints as to their use externally imposed by creditors, through debt covenants, by grantors, or by law. Restricted net assets are being reported for: Capital Projects, Debt Service, Housing Programs, Fire and Rescue, Transportation, Public Library, Community and Social Development, Environmentally Endangered Lands, Stormwater Utility, other purposes (expendable); and other purposes (nonexpendable).

Net assets restricted for "other purposes (expendable)" include the net assets of most of the other special revenue funds, including amounts for: Special Assessments; Wetlands Mitigation; Tourist and Convention Development taxes to be used for facilities such as convention centers, sports stadiums and arenas; and amounts from grants from the federal and state government. Net assets restricted for "other purposes (nonexpendable)" include permanent endowments for the Metrozoo and public libraries, and are reported in the permanent funds.

The government-wide statement of net assets reports \$2.055 million of restricted net assets.

#### **Reservations of Fund Balances**

Reservations of fund balances in governmental fund statements represent amounts that are not available for appropriation or are restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose.

#### **Donor-restricted endowments**

The permanent funds for the Metrozoo and public libraries report nonexpendable restricted assets of \$2,781,000 and \$479,000, respectively, and net appreciation of \$270,000 and \$22,000 respectively. Under the terms of the endowments and consistent with State statutes, the County is authorized based on a total-return policy to spend the net appreciation on those programs. Any amounts not spent during a particular fiscal year may be carried forward to be spent in future years.

#### Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide and proprietary type financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund type statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts and deferral amounts on refunding are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method or the

straight-line method if it does not differ materially from the interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount and deferral amounts on refunding. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the bonds.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, deferral amounts on refunding, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of the debt issues are reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

# **Compensated Absences**

The County accounts for compensated absences by recording a liability for employees' compensation of future absences according to the guidelines set by GASB Statement No. 16, *Accounting for Compensated Absences.* 

County policy permits employees to accumulate unused vacation and sick pay benefits that will be paid to them upon separation from service. In the governmental funds, the cost of vacation and sick pay benefits is recognized when payments are made to employees. The government-wide statements and proprietary funds recognize a liability and expense in the period vacation and sick pay benefits are earned.

The government-wide statement of net assets for September 30, 2006 includes a liability for accumulated vacation and sick pay of \$524,666,000. Of this amount an estimated \$199,335,000 is payable within a year and the remaining balance of \$325,331,000 is payable after one year.

# Deferred Compensation Plan

The County offers its employees a deferred compensation plan (the "Plan") created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The Plan, available to all County employees, allows them to defer a portion of their salary to future years. The County's direct involvement in the Plan is limited to remitting the amounts withheld from employees to the Plan's administrator. The deferred compensation plan is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death or an unforeseeable emergency. The deferred compensation plan is not included in the County's financial statements.

# 1-D. Special Tax Assessments – Non-recurring

The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance shows non-recurring special tax assessments revenues of \$147,530,000 for the year ended September 30, 2006 in Other Governmental Funds. This amount represents the current year recognition of impact fees collected in prior years.

# Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

#### Self-Insurance Net Assets Deficit

As of September 30, 2006, the Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund had a deficit in net assets of \$44 million. The deficit is the result of estimated losses incurred but not reported (IBNR). The County currently partially funds IBNR liability and has steadily increased such coverage in recent years. It is the County's intent to continue increasing its coverage of IBNR in future years as funding flexibility permits. The premium rates charged to County departments for health insurance, workers compensation and general liability have been adjusted to reflect rising costs of insurance. As required by generally accepted accounting principles (GASB Codification C50.128), the County has implemented an action plan to eliminate the accumulated deficit over a reasonable period of time.

#### Legally Adopted Budgets

The County's General Fund, Debt Service Funds, Permanent Funds, and Special Revenue Funds, with the exception of the Clerk of Courts Operations Special Revenue Fund, have legally adopted annual budgets approved by the Board of County Commissioners. As dictated by Article V of the Florida

Constitution, the Clerk of the Courts Operations Special Revenue Fund's budget is submitted to the State's Clerk of Court (COC) Operations Conference for their review and approval. The Clerk of the Court is accountable to the COC Operations Conference for court-related expenditures funded by the State. The General Fund's budget-to-actual comparison is presented in the Required Supplementary Information section. The remaining funds' budget-to-actual comparisons are presented in the Supplementary Information section of this report.

### Note 3 - Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

#### Deposits and Investments:

Miami-Dade County ("the County") is authorized through Florida Statutes §218.415, Ordinance No. 84-47, Resolution R-1074-04 and its Investment Policy to make certain investments. The County pools substantially all cash, cash equivalents and investments, except for separate cash and investment accounts that are maintained in accordance with legal restrictions.

Each fund's equity share of the total pooled cash, cash equivalents and investments is included in the accompanying financial statements under the caption "Cash and cash equivalents" and "Investments."

All cash deposits are held in qualified public depositories pursuant to State of Florida Statutes Chapter 280, "Florida Security for Public Deposits Act." Under the Act, all qualified public depositories are required to pledge eligible collateral having a market value equal to or greater than the average daily or monthly balance of all public deposits times the depository's collateral pledging level. The pledging level may range from 50% to 125% depending upon the depository's financial condition and establishment period. All collateral must be deposited with an approved financial institution. Any losses to public depositors are covered by applicable deposit insurance, sale of securities pledged as collateral and, if necessary, assessments against other qualified public depositories of the same type as the depository in default.

As a rule, the County intends to hold all purchased securities until their final maturity date. There may be occasional exceptions, including, but not limited to the need to sell securities to meet unexpected liquidity needs as well as sales relating to swap transactions. The County does not purchase any security at a lower price with the intent to sell the security later to generate a capital gain.

At September 30, 2006, the cash on hand of the primary government and fiduciary funds other than that of the Pension Trust Funds totaled \$248,971,241. The carrying value of cash equivalents and investments of the primary government and fiduciary funds other than that of the Pension Trust Funds included the following (in thousands):

Investment Type	Fair Value
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$ 656,718
Federal Home Loan Bank	595,398
Federal Farm Credit Bank	127,775
Fannie Mae	724,399
Freddie Mac	10,910
SBA	312,256
Time Deposits	101,754
Treasury Notes	100,992
Aim Money Market	873
Commercial Paper	1,492,232
Municipal Bonds	84,455
Repurchase Agreements	187
Guaranteed Investment Contracts	 520,012
	\$ 4,727,961

# Credit Risk

The County's Investment Policy (the Policy), minimizes credit risk by restricting authorized investments to: Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund or any intergovernmental investment pool authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act; Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registered money market funds with the highest credit guality rating from a nationally recognized rating agency; interest-bearing time deposits or savings accounts in qualified public depositories, pursuant to Florida Statutes §280.02, which are defined as banks, savings bank, or savings association organized under the laws of the United States with an office in this state that is authorized to receive deposits, and has deposit insurance under the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act; direct obligations of the United States Treasury; federal agencies and instrumentalities; securities of, or other interests in, any open-end or closed-end management-type investment company or investment trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, provided that the portfolio is limited to the obligations of the United States government or any agency or instrumentality thereof and to repurchase agreements fully collateralized by such United States government obligations, and provided that such investment company or investment trust takes delivery of such collateral either directly or through an authorized custodian; Commercial paper of prime quality with a stated maturity of 270 days or less from the date of its issuance, which has the highest letter and numerical rating as provided for by at least one nationally recognized rating service; Bankers Acceptances which have a stated maturity of 180 days or less from the date of its issuance, and have the highest letter and numerical rating as provided for by at least one nationally recognized rating service, and are drawn on and accepted by commercial banks and which are eligible for purchase by the Federal Reserve Bank; Investments in Repurchase Agreements ("Repos") collateralized by securities authorized by this policy. Securities Lending - Securities or investments purchased or held under the provisions of this section may be loaned to securities dealers or financial institutions provided the loan is collateralized by cash or securities having a market value of at least 102% of the market value of the securities loaned upon initiation of the transaction. The credit ratings below were consistent among the three major rating agencies (Moody's, Standard and Poor's, and Fitch).

The table below summarizes the investments by credit rating at September 30, 2006.

Credit Rating
AAA
N/A
N/A
N/A
A1/P1
AAA
N/A
N/A

...

# **Custodial Credit Risk**

The Policy requires that bank deposits be secured per Chapter 280, Florida Statutes. This requires local governments to deposit funds only in financial institutions designated as qualified public depositories by the Chief Financial Officer of the State of Florida and creates the Public Deposits Trust Fund, a multiple financial institution pool with the ability to assess its member financial institutions for collateral shortfalls if a default or insolvency has occurred. At September 30, 2006 all of the County's bank deposits were in qualified public depositories and as such the deposits are not exposed to custodial credit risks.

The Policy requires the execution of a Custodial Safekeeping Agreement (CSA) for all purchased securities and shall be held for the credit of the County in an account separate and apart from the assets of the financial institution.

# **Concentration of Credit Risk**

The Policy establishes limitations on portfolio composition by investment type and by issuer to limit its exposure to concentration of credit risk. The Policy provides that a maximum of 30% of the portfolio may be invested in SEC registered money market funds with no more than 10% to any single money market fund; a maximum of 20% of the portfolio may be invested in non-negotiable interest bearing time certificates of deposit savings accounts with no more than 5% deposited with any one issuer; a maximum 75% of the total portfolio may be invested in federal agencies and instrumentalities; a maximum of 5% of the portfolio may be invested in open-end or closed-end funds; a maximum of 50% of the portfolio may be invested in bankers acceptance with a maximum of 10% with any one issuer; a maximum of 25% of the portfolio may be invested in both commercial paper and bankers acceptance; a maximum of 10% of the portfolio may be invested with any one invested in both commercial paper and bankers acceptance; a maximum of 10% of the portfolio may be invested with any one invested in both commercial paper and bankers acceptance; a maximum of 10% of the portfolio may be invested with any one institution.

As of September 30, 2006 the following issuers held 5% or more of the investment portfolio:

	% of
Issuer	Portfolio
Federal Home Loan Bank	14.45%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	15.85%
Federal National Mortgage Association	17.31%

The above excludes investments issued or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government and investments in mutual funds and external investments pools.

#### Interest Rate Risk

The Policy limits interest rate risk by requiring the matching of known cash needs and anticipated net cash outflow requirements; following historical spread relationships between different security types and issuers; evaluating both interest rate forecasts and maturity dates to consider short-term market expectations. The Policy requires that investments made with current operating funds shall maintain a weighted average of no longer than 1 year. Investments for bond reserves, construction funds and other non-operating fund shall have a term appropriate to the need for funds and in accordance with debt covenants. The Policy limits the maturity of an investment to a maximum of 5 years.

As of September 30, 2006 the County had the following investments with the respective weighted average maturity in years.

Investment Type	Weighted Average in Years
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	0.66
Federal Home Loan Bank	0.75
Federal Farm Credit Bank	0.48
Fannie Mae	0.97
Freddie Mac	0.27
SBA	0.00
Time Deposits	0.23
Treasury Notes	0.53
Commercial Paper	0.07
Municipal Bonds	1.87

#### Foreign Currency Risk

The Policy limits the County's foreign currency risk by excluding foreign investments as an investment option.

#### Cash Deficits

As of September 30, 2006, the Transit Agency and the Hurricane Funds have cash deficit balances of approximately \$149.3 million and \$38.1 million, respectively. It is the County's practice to reclassify cash deficits with a corresponding interfund receivable/payable in the appropriate fund. These cash deficits are funded with cash advances from the County's General Fund.

#### Swaps

Swaps are made in accordance with the provisions of County Resolution R-311-05, "Master SWAP Policy." The Board must authorize the swap agreement and its provisions. Generally, the County will enter into transactions only with counterparties whose obligations are rated in the double-A category or better from at least one nationally recognized rating agency. In instances when the credit rating is lowered below the double-A rating the County requires the counterparty to collateralize its exposures or the County will exercise its right to terminate. The County's swap policy seeks to mitigate counterparty risk, termination risk, interest rate risk, basis risk, amortization risk, liquidity risk and pricing risk.

#### Securities Lending

Miami-Dade County ("the County") is authorized through Florida Statutes §218.415, Ordinance No. 84-47, Resolution R-1074-04 and its Investment Policy to lend its investment securities on a fully collateralized, temporary basis to approved broker-dealers and other counterparties ("Borrowers") pursuant to a Securities Lending Agreement ("Agreement") that provides for the return of identical securities in the future. The County has executed an Agreement with a bank acting as the County's securities lending agent ("Agent") in these transactions. There were no violations of legal or contractual provisions during the year.

While the County's investment policy does not restrict the types of securities on loan, during the year the County made only specific portfolios available for loan consisting of US Government securities. The Agent lends securities of the type on loan at year-end in exchange for collateral in the form of U.S. securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government at 102% margin or cash at minimum of 100% margin, plus accrued interest, at the initiation of the transaction. Loan transactions are marked to market daily to ensure that adequate collateral is held at all times.

At September 30, 2006, the County had no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the value of the collateral held by the County's Agent exceeded the value of the securities on loan. In the event of a borrower default, the Agent has the authority to seize collateral on the County's behalf. The Agreement with the Agent requires it to indemnify the County against losses caused by the insolvency of a borrower. No losses were recognized because of default by counterparties. The County was not exposed to custodial credit risk since cash collateral was fully invested at all times. There was no interest rate risk involved in the securities lending transactions since the maturity of loans and investments made with cash collateral had identical maturities and repricing characteristics. There was no foreign currency risk involved in the County's securities lending activities as all transactions were negotiated in US dollars.

All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either the County or the borrower. The average term of the loans is one day. Cash collateral is reported as an asset of the County in the accompanying basic financial statements with an offsetting liability. Under the terms of the Agreement with borrowers, the County cannot pledge or sell securities that it receives as collateral unless the borrower defaults on its obligations. During the period, all loans were collateralized by cash. The Agreement authorizes the Agrent to invest cash collateral in instruments approved by the County. During the period, cash collateral was invested in repurchase agreements and an SEC registered money market fund rated AAA by S&P. The Agent indemnifies the County against losses associated with investing cash collateral in repurchase agreements. As of September 30, 2006, the market value of securities on loan was \$975,675,000.

# Note 4 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended September 30, 2006 for the governmental activities, business-type activities and major proprietary funds was as follows (in thousands):

		Beginning Balance		Prior		Beginning Balance					
	As Previ	ously Reported	I	Period	l	Restated					Balance
Governmental activities:	Se	otember 30, 2005	(R	ustments estated - lote 11)	Sej	otember 30, 2005	A	dditions	justments/ Deletions	Se	ptember 30, 2006
Capital assets, not being depreciated:											
Land	\$	524,675			\$	524,675	\$	42,463	\$ (5,418)	\$	561,720
Construction in progress		607,659				607,659		186,987	 (529,508)		265,138
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		1,132,334				1,132,334		229,450	 (534,926)		826,858
Capital assets, being depreciated:											
Building and building improvements		1,789,583	\$	(58,573)		1,731,010		496,296	(1,006)		2,226,300
Infrastructure		2,129,992				2,129,992		52,863			2,182,855
Machinery and equipment		322,429		1,299		323,728		63,439	 (14,070)		373,097
Total capital assets, being depreciated		4,242,004		(57,274)		4,184,730		612,598	 (15,076)		4,782,252
Less accumulated depreciation for:											
Building and building improvements		(760,410)		111		(760,299)		(51,752)	40		(812,011)
Infrastructure		(1,228,174)				(1,228,174)		(45,546)			(1,273,720)
Machinery and equipment		(192,292)		155		(192,137)		(33,934)	 13,542		(212,529)
Total accumulated depreciation		(2,180,876)		266		(2,180,610)		(131,232)	 13,582		(2,298,260)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	t	2,061,128		(57,008)		2,004,120		481,366	 (1,494)		2,483,992
Total governmental capital assets, net	\$	3,193,462	\$	(57,008)	\$	3,136,454	\$	710,816	\$ (536,420)	\$	3,310,850
Business-type activities:											
Capital assets, not being depreciated:											
Land	\$	565,853			\$	565,853	\$	2,926	\$ (1,065)	\$	567,714
Construction in progress		2,080,008				2,080,008		693,002	(169,067)		2,603,943
Total non-depreciable assets		2,645,861				2,645,861		695,928	 (170,132)		3,171,657
Capital assets, being depreciated:											
Building and building improvements		5,023,376				5,023,376		40,562	(42,383)		5,021,555
Infrastructure		4,648,765				4,648,765		96,277	(35)		4,745,007
Machinery and equipment		1,974,635				1,974,635		160,164	 (25,067)		2,109,732
Total capital assets, being depreciated		11,646,776				11,646,776		297,003	 (67,485)		11,876,294
Less accumulated depreciation for:											
Building and building improvements		(1,934,389)				(1,934,389)		(179,792)	41,768		(2,072,413)
Infrastructure		(1,506,737)				(1,506,737)		(136,413)			(1,643,150)
Machinery, and equipment		(1,191,163)				(1,191,163)		(133,578)	 34,719		(1,290,022)
Total accumulated depreciation		(4,632,289)				(4,632,289)		(449,783)	 76,487		(5,005,585)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	t	7,014,487				7,014,487		(152,780)	 9,002		6,870,709
Total business-type capital assets, net	\$	9,660,348			\$	9,660,348	\$	543,148	\$ (161,130)	\$	10,042,366

MDT	Balance otember 30, 2005	Additions	Deletions	Balance September 30, 2006
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 197,142	\$ 1,025		\$ 198,167
Construction in progress	47,004	60,704	\$ (22,996)	84,712
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	 244,146	61,729	(22,996)	282,879
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings and building improvements	1,404,391	34		1,404,425
Machinery and equipment	 508,243	68,871		577,114
Total capital assets, being depreciated	 1,912,634	68,905		1,981,539
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings and building improvements	(500,630)	(49,059)		(549,689)
Machinery and equipment	 (300,471)	(15,661)		(316,132)
Total accumulated depreciation	 (801,101)	(64,720)		(865,821)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	 1,111,533	4,185		1,115,718
Total MDT capital assets, net	\$ 1,355,679	\$ 65,914	(22,996)	\$ 1,398,597
SOLID WASTE				
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 57,544			\$ 57,544
Construction in progress	21,576	\$ 3,958	\$ (6,286)	19,248
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	 79,120	3,958	(6,286)	76,792
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings and building improvements	308,287	2		308,289
Infrastructure	129,995	4,241	(35)	134,201
Machinery and equipment	104,924	9,821	(3,632)	111,113
Total capital assets, being depreciated	543,206	14,064	(3,667)	553,603
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings and building improvements	(224,544)	(11,310)		(235,854)
Infrastructure	(97,254)	(10,620)		(107,874)
Machinery and equipment	 (52,733)	(7,778)	3,582	(56,929)
Total accumulated depreciation	 (374,531)	(29,708)	3,582	(400,657)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	 168,675	(15,644)	(85)	152,946
Total Solid Waste capital assets, net	\$ 247,795	\$ (11,686)	\$ (6,371)	\$ 229,738

SEAPORT		Balance ptember 30, 2005	Additions	Deletions	Se	Balance ptember 30, 2006
		2000	Additionio	Deletione		2000
Capital assets, not being depreciated:						
Land	\$	152,294	\$ 212		\$	152,506
Construction in progress		152,800	45,225	\$ (35,198)		162,827
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		305,094	45,437	(35,198)		315,333
Capital assets, being depreciated:						
Buildings and building improvements		347,581	3,591			351,172
Infrastructure		187,077	30,642			217,719
Machinery and equipment	<i>.</i>	13,902	1,234			15,136
Total capital assets, being depreciated		548,560	35,467			584,027
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Buildings and building improvements		(120,483)	(10,111)			(130,594)
Infrastructure		(53,033)	(5,002)			(58,035)
Machinery and equipment		(9,261)	(1,019)			(10,280)
Total accumulated depreciation		(182,777)	(16,132)			(198,909)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		365,783	19,335			385,118
Total Seaport capital assets, net	\$	670,877	\$ 64,772	\$ (35,198)	\$	700,451
AVIATION						
Capital assets, not being depreciated:						
Land	\$	89,500		(664)	\$	88,836
Construction in progress		1,474,084	\$ 468,144	\$ (61,419)		1,880,809
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		1,563,584	468,144	(62,083)		1,969,645
Capital assets, being depreciated:						
Buildings and building improvements		2,471,987	29,296	(41,485)		2,459,798
Infrastructure		1,069,703	20,930			1,090,633
Machinery and equipment		267,975	13,361	(4,065)		277,271
Total capital assets, being depreciated		3,809,665	63,587	(45,550)		3,827,702
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Buildings and building improvements		(835,486)	(90,396)	41,584		(884,298)
Infrastructure		(404,132)	(7,729)			(411,861)
Machinery and equipment		(154,854)	(13,683)	3,283		(165,254)
Total accumulated depreciation		(1,394,472)	(111,808)	44,867		(1,461,413)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		2,415,193	(48,221)	 (683)		2,366,289
Total Aviation capital assets, net	\$	3,978,777	\$ 419,923	\$ (62,766)	\$	4,335,934

WATER & SEWER	Septer	ance nber 30, 005	Additions	Deletions	S	Balance eptember 30, 2006
			raditiono	Bolotiono		2000
Capital assets, not being depreciated:						
Land	\$	35,020	\$ 400		\$	35,420
Construction in progress		331,404	95,817	\$ (36,724)		390,497
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		366,424	96,217	(36,724)		425,917
Capital assets, being depreciated:						
Infrastructure		3,199,845	39,783			3,239,628
Machinery and equipment		769,657	38,796	(3,997)		804,456
Total capital assets, being depreciated		3,969,502	78,579	(3,997)		4,044,084
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Infrastructure		(919,482)	(109,884)			(1,029,366)
Machinery and equipment		(458,424)	(74,936)	3,664		(529,696)
Total accumulated depreciation		(1,377,906)	(184,820)	3,664		(1,559,062)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		2,591,596	(106,241)	(333)		2,485,022
Total Water and Sewer capital assets, net	\$	2,958,020	\$ (10,024)	\$ (37,057)	\$	2,910,939
РНТ						
Capital assets, not being depreciated:						
Land	\$	30,745	\$ 3		\$	30,748
Construction in progress		41,572	18,572	\$ (6,444)		53,700
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		72,317	18,575	(6,444)		84,448
Capital assets, being depreciated:						
Buildings and building improvements		448,710	7,639	(533)		455,816
Infrastructure		27,953	681	()		28,634
Machinery and equipment		301,492	27,766	(13,373)		315,885
Total capital assets, being depreciated		778,155	36,086	(13,906)		800,335
Less accumulated depreciation for:						
Buildings and building improvements		(236,768)	(17,966)	(93)		(254,827)
Infrastructure		(15,471)	(1,280)	. ,		(16,751)
Machinery and equipment		(212,111)	(20,105)	23,142		(209,074)
Total accumulated depreciation	·	(464,350)	(39,351)	23,049		(480,652)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		313,805	(3,265)	9,143		319,683
Total PHT capital assets, net	\$	386,122	\$ 15,310	\$ 2,699	\$	404,131

Depreciation expense was charged to the different functions of the primary government as follows (in thousands):

# Governmental Activities Depreciation Expense by Function (in thousands)

Function	4	Amount
Policy formulation and general government	\$	29,676
Protection of people and properties		20,019
Physical environment		508
Transportation		45,289
Health		867
Socio-economic environment		18,411
Culture and recreation		16,462
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$	131,232

# Note 5 - Leases

#### **Operating Leases**

**Aviation** - The major portion of the Aviation Department's property, plant and equipment is held for lease. Substantial portions of the leases are cancelable and provide for periodic adjustment to rental rates to maximize operational flexibility. The non-cancelable lease agreements also provide for periodic adjustments to the rental rates. All leases are classified as operating leases. The Aviation Department recognized \$93,077,000 of rental income for the year ended September 30, 2006.

In addition, the Aviation Department leases certain properties under management and concession agreements. Certain of these leases provide for minimum rentals plus a specified percentage of the tenants' gross revenues. The agreements generated revenues of \$80,171,000 during the year ended September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006 minimum rentals under such lease agreements are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending September 30,	
2007	\$ 42,718
2008	34,953
2009	28,422
2010	25,920
2011	21,732
2012-2016	60,732
2017-2021	32,386
2022-2026	30,140
2027-2031	11,827
2032-2036	8,656
2037-2041	6,907
2042-2046	1,804
2047-2051	1,682
2052-2056	
	\$ 307,879

**General Segment** - During fiscal year 1998, the County entered into a three party Lease/Sublease agreement with Dana Commercial Credit Corporation ("Dana") regarding the leasing rights of the Stephen P. Clark Center (the "Metro Center"). The terms of the Lease/Sublease agreement provide for the leasing of the County's leasing rights of the Metro Center to a third party, Wilmington Savings as trustee for Redade, a subsidiary of Dana, which in turn subleases the asset back to the County for a period of 29 years, commencing June 1, 1998. During this time period, the County retains title and control of the facility.

At closing, the County received a total of \$79 million, of which \$3.7 million was considered an up-front payment and was recognized as revenue in fiscal year 1998. \$57 million of the remaining \$75.3 million was deposited with a financial institution and the proceeds will be used to meet the payment obligations by the County under the sublease agreement and the remaining \$18 million will mature to an amount sufficient, approximately \$49 million, to fully defease its sublease obligations and buy-out option, 17.5 years subsequent to the commencing date. There is a purchase option allowed under the agreement in the year 2015. The total original minimum lease payments of approximately \$125 million will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease term. This Lease/Sublease agreement has been accounted for as a non-cancelable operating lease as part of the Special Revenue Funds. The future minimum lease payments, amortized on a straight-line basis to include the buy-out option, are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending September 30,	
2007	\$ 4,656
2008	4,772
2009	4,896
2010	5,029
2011	5,171
2012-2016	75,663
	\$ 100,187

**Public Health Trust** – The Public Health Trust leases various equipment and facilities under operating leases. Rent expense for all operating leases was approximately \$5,079,000 in 2006. At September 30, 2006, future minimum lease payments by year under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending	
September 30,	
2007	\$ 4,368
2008	1,865
2009	1,123
2010	637
2011	585
2012-2016	 585
	\$ 9,163

**Transit Agency** - During fiscal year 1997, the County entered into a three party lease-in/lease-out arrangement ("Lease 1") with the Bank of New York Leasing Corporation for a total of 134 commuter rail cars. The agreements provide for the lease of the equipment owned by the County to a financial party lessee and the lessee, in turn, subleases such equipment back to the County for a period ranging from 22 to 24 years commencing May 1997. At the time of the transaction, the County received from the financial party lessee the total minimum rental payments required under the lease of approximately \$95 million. The minimum rental payments received are amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease terms.

The County deposited \$70,350,000 with a financial institution sufficient to meet all of its payment obligations under the terms of the sublease and acquired \$17,583,000 in United States Treasury Strips that will mature to an amount sufficient to satisfy each agreement's purchase of the Head Lease Rights option. The funds on deposit and the United States Treasury Strips have been included as restricted assets in the accompanying financial statements.

In December 1998, the County entered into a second lease-in/lease-out arrangement ("Lease 2"). The agreement which was entered into with NationsBanc Leasing and Finance, provided for the lease of six different facilities owned by the County to a financial party lessee and the lessee, in turn, subleased the facilities back to the County for a period of 35 years. At the time of commencement, the County received from the financial party approximately \$133 million.

The County deposited approximately \$120.9 million with a financial institution sufficient to meet all its payment obligations under the terms of the sublease agreement and buy-out options, ranging 19 to 20 years subsequent to the commencing date. The funds are reported in the same manner as Lease 1. The subleases have been accounted for as non-cancelable operating leases.

On August 14, 2002, a portion of the third lease-in/lease-out arrangement (QTE Lease) commenced. This agreement which was entered into with the Bank of America Leasing & Capital Group, provided for the lease of certain Qualified Technological Equipment owned by the County and consisted of the MDT control system. The agreement provided for the lease of the equipment to a financial party lessee and the sublease of such equipment back to the County for a period of 16 years.

At the time of commencement, the County received from the financial party a total of approximately \$239 million. The County deposited approximately \$229 million with a financial institution sufficient to meet all its payment obligations.

Future minimum lease payments are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending September 30,		
2007	\$	26,421
2008	•	24,073
2009		24,985
2010		24,477
2011		26,621
2012-2016		174,603
2017-2021		257,821
		559,001
Less amount		
representing interest		(171,656)
Present value of		
minumum sublease		
payments	\$	387,345

# Note 6 – Disaggregation of Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable Balances

#### Accounts Receivable

Receivables are comprised of amounts owed to the County by customers, tenants, patients, carriers and others that conduct business with the County and are expected to be collected within a year. Receivables in the General Fund are 74% customer receivables, with the remaining amount mostly due from other governments. Receivables in the Other Governmental Funds are 84% from tenants for local housing assistance loans, 9% from Fire Department transport fees and 7% from miscellaneous charges. Net receivables in the Business-type Activities are 58% due from patients and carriers, 25% due from water and sewer customers, 9% due from airlines and concessionaires, 5% from solid waste disposal and collection customers, and the remaining 3% from transit fees and rental facility fees.

	 Accounts	-	Allowance for Incollectible accounts	Fotal Net eceivables
Governmental activities:				
General Fund	\$ 3,744			\$ 3,744
Internal Service Fund	297			297
Other Governmental Funds	 95,246	\$	(25,554)	69,692
Total - governmental activities	\$ 99,287	\$	(25,554)	\$ 73,733
Business-type activities: Public Health Trust Water and Sewer Department Aviation Department Miami-Dade Transit Seaport Department Solid Waste Department Other Non-major proprietary	\$ 481,302 107,487 42,688 4,370 12,778 18,662 725	\$	(284,595) (21,851) (11,324) (2,162) (5,363) (1,448)	196,707 85,636 31,364 2,208 7,415 17,214 725
Total - business-type activities	\$ 668,012	\$	(326,743)	\$ 341,269

#### Accounts Payable

Accounts payable and accrued expenses at September 30, 2006, were as follows (in thousands):

		Salaries and								
	\	/endors		Benefits		Total				
Governmental activities:										
General	\$	66,299	\$	19,245	\$	85,544				
Other non-major governmental		111,057		2,984		114,041				
Internal Service Fund		11,996				11,996				
Total - governmental activities	\$	189,352	\$	22,229	\$	211,581				
Business-type activities:										
Miami-Dade Transit	\$	41,619	\$	4,716		46,335				
Solid Waste Department		12,126		924		13,050				
Seaport Department		23,725		354		24,079				
Aviation Department		174,925		3,570		178,495				
Water and Sewer Department		41,551		6,030		47,581				
Public Health Trust		152,397		23,240		175,637				
Other Non-major proprietary		807		104		911				
Total - business-type activities	\$	447,150	\$	38,938	\$	486,088				

#### Note 7 - Self-Insurance Program

The County's Risk Management Division (RMD) administers workers' compensation and liability selfinsurance programs. Certain group health insurance programs are also self-insured, subject to certain stop-loss provisions. An independent administrator administers these programs.

The County purchases a master property insurance policy covering most properties. A \$200 million deductible per occurrence applies to named windstorm losses. A \$5 million deductible applies to other perils. The current limit is \$350 million per occurrence.

The County maintains no excess coverage with independent insurance carriers for the workers' compensation and general liability self-insurance programs. Premiums are charged to the various County departments based on amounts necessary to provide funding for current losses and to meet the required annual payments during the fiscal year. However, various liability and property programs are purchased from independent carriers due to exposure to loss and/or contractual obligations. During fiscal year 2006, there were no significant changes in insurance coverage.

The estimated liability for reported and unreported claims of the self-insurance programs administered by RMD is determined annually based on the estimated ultimate costs of settling claims, past experience adjusted for current trends, and other factors that would modify past experience. Outstanding claims are evaluated through a combination of case-by-case reviews and application of historical experience. The estimate of incurred but not reported losses is based on historical experience and is performed by an independent actuary.

The Risk Management Division also administers the self-insurance program for the Enterprise Funds. Water and Sewer only participates in the workers' compensation and certain group health self-insurance programs. Water and Sewer has established a self-insurance program for general and automobile liability exposures. The Public Health Trust (the Trust) maintains its own self-insurance programs for general and professional liability claims. The Trust also participates in the County's self-insurance worker's compensation program, certain health self-insurance programs and the County's master property insurance program.

The Aviation Department pays premiums to commercial insurance carriers for airport liability insurance, construction wrap-up insurance and participates in the County's master property insurance program. The airport liability coverage provides comprehensive general liability, contractual liability, personal injury and on-site automobile liability at all airports.

The County's Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund has an accumulated deficit of approximately \$44.048 million for various self-insurance programs administered by the County. The County has implemented an action plan in an effort to reduce the accumulated deficit. County management believes that the deficit will be made up over a reasonable period of time.

Changes in the Internal Service Fund estimated liability amount for fiscal years 2005 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	-	Vorkers npensation	Α	Seneral, uto, and Police ₋iability	Gr	oup Health	Other	Total
Balance as of October 1, 2004	\$	128,930	\$	24,105	\$	20,680		\$ 173,715
Claims paid		(53,451)		(37,247)		(116,099)	\$ (2,907)	(209,704)
Claims and changes in estimates		42,954		38,008		118,516	2,907	202,385
Liabilities as of September 30, 2005	\$	118,433	\$	24,866	\$	23,097		\$ 166,396
Balance as of October 1, 2005	\$	118,433	\$	24,866	\$	23,097		\$ 166,396
Claims paid		(50,185)		(43,423)		(107,578)	\$ (3,205)	(204,391)
Claims and changes in estimates		54,796		52,376		102,423	3,205	212,800
Liabilities as of September 30, 2006	\$	123,044	\$	33,819	\$	17,942		\$ 174,805

Changes in estimated liabilities for the Water and Sewer Department and the Public Health Trust for fiscal years 2005 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

These amounts are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses and estimated claims payable in the accompanying financial statements.

	Water & Sewer Department								
Balance as of October 1, 2004 Claims paid Claims and changes in estimates	\$        9,933 (784) (6,052)	, ,	38,935 (4,416) 3,854	\$	48,868 (5,200) (2,198)				
Liabilities as of September 30, 2005	\$ 3,097	\$	38,373	\$	41,470				
Balance as of October 1, 2005 Claims paid Claims and changes in estimates	\$ 3,097 (908) 1,348		38,373 (3,190)	\$	41,470 (4,098) 1,348				
Liabilities as of September 30, 2006	\$ 3,537	\$	35,183	\$	38,720				

Approximately \$1,348,000 of the Water and Sewer Department's September 30, 2006 liability is reported as due to other funds in the accompanying statements.

# Note 8 – Long-Term Debt

# LONG-TERM LIABILITY ACTIVITY

Changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended September 30, 2006 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	previous	g Balance as y reported @ 0/2005	Prior Period Adjustment	E	Beginning Balance - Restated @9/30/2005		Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance @ 9/30/2006	Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities										
Bonds, loans and notes payable:										
General obligation bonds	\$	519,126		\$	519,126			\$ (11,810)	\$ 507,316	\$ 12,530
Special obligation bonds		1,406,064			1,406,064	\$	80,201	(42,180)	1,444,085	54,850
Current year accretions of interest		50,874			50,874		25,590		76,464	
Housing Agency bonds and notes payable		65,400	\$ (65,400	))						
Loans and notes payable		178,660			178,660		102,947	(9,510)	272,097	18,506
Add/subtract deferred amounts:										
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings		24,838			24,838		2,116	(1,970)	24,984	
Total bonds, loans and notes payable		2,244,962	(65,400	))	2,179,562		210,854	(65,470)	2,324,946	85,886
Other liabilities:										
Compensated absences		310,853			310,853		149,504	(118,767)	341,590	90,464
Estimated insurance claims payable		166,396			166,396		212,800	(204,391)	174,805	56,953
Accrued post-retirement health insurance benefits		4,445			4,445			(787)	3,658	690
Arbitrage rebate liability		52			52				52	
Capital Lease		11,669			11,669			(249)	11,420	271
Other		31,110			31,110			(3,246)	27,864	2,148
Total governmental activity long-term liabilities	\$	2,769,487	\$ (65,400	)\$	2,704,087	\$	573,158	\$ (392,910)	\$ 2,884,335	\$ 236,412
Business-type Activities										
Bonds, loans, and notes payable:										
Revenue bonds	\$	5,279,006		\$	5,279,006	\$	734,233	\$ (340,850)	\$ 5,672,389	\$ 119,505
General obligation bonds		145,710			145,710			(3,495)	142,215	3,705
Special obligation bonds		52,940			52,940			(5,630)	47,310	5,850
Current year accretions of interest							2,281		2,281	
Loans and notes payable		650,174			650,174		6,152	(33,973)	622,353	24,588
Add/subtract deferred amounts:										
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings		(96,787)			(96,787)		4,364	8,744	(83,679)	
Commercial paper notes		313,626			313,626		366,194	(314,478)	365,342	
Total bonds, loans and notes payable	1	6,344,669			6,344,669		1,113,224	(689,682)	6,768,211	153,648
Other liabilities:							1 1	(,,	., .,	
Estimated insurance claims payable		40,967			40,967		1,851	(4,098)	38,720	7,136
Compensated absences		177,625			177,625		24,028	(18,577)	183,076	108,871
Environmental remediation liability		152,793			152,793		2.,020	(14,631)	138,162	54,281
Liability for landfill closure/post closure care costs		93,222			93,222		11,139	(643)	103,718	0.1201
Lease agreements		418,860			418,860			(11,024)	407,836	30,713
Other		55,070			55,070		12,865	(7,062)		3,390
Total business-type activities long-term liabilities	\$	7,283,206		\$		ŝ	1,163,107	\$ (745,717)		

Changes in long-term liabilities for the County's major enterprise funds are as follows (in thousands):

	ning Balance mber 30, 2005	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance September 30, 2006		ue Within ne Year
Miami-Dade Transit Agency (MDTA)						
Bonds and loans payable:						
Revenue bonds		\$ 134,233		\$ 134,233	\$	2,041
General obligation bonds						
Special obligation bonds	\$ 32,395		\$ (3,530)	28,865		3,660
Loans payable	141,318		(10,380)	130,938		10,959
Add/subtract deferred amounts:						
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	1,526	6,287	(535)	7,278		
Total bonds and loans payable	 175,239	140,520	(14,445)	301,314		16,660
Other liabilities:						
Compensated absences	28,770	3,101		31,871		11,614
Lease agreements	395,983		(8,638)	387,345		26,421
Other	20,775		(1,572)	19,203		1,572
Total long-term liabilities - MDTA	\$ 620,767	\$ 143,621	\$ (24,655)	\$ 739,733	\$	56,267
Solid Waste Department						
Bonds and loans payable:						
Revenue bonds	\$ 218,166		\$ (10,665)	\$ 207,501	\$	12,939
Special obligation bonds	20,545		(2,100)	18,445		2,190
Current year accretions of interest		\$ 2,281		2,281		
Loans and notes payable	10289	2,053	(1,028)	11,314		1,198
Add/subtract deferred amounts:						
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	1,482		53	1,535		
Total bonds and loans payable	 250,482	4,334	(13,740)	241,076		16,327
Other liabilities:						
Compensated absences	13,084	5,044	(4,233)	13,895		3,521
Liability for landfill closure/postclosure care costs	93,222	11,139	(643)	103,718		
Other	1,481	1,481	(1,481)	1,481		
Total long-term liabilities - Solid Waste	\$ 358,269	\$ 21,998	\$ (20,097)	\$ 360,170	\$	19,848

	nning Balance mber 30, 2005	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance September 30, 2006	ue Within Dne Year
Seaport					
Bonds and loans payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 70,375		\$ (2,820)	\$ 67,555	\$ 2,980
General obligation bonds	145,710		(3,495)	142,215	3,705
Special obligation bonds					
Loans payable	352,295		(3,585)	348,710	3,405
Add/subtract deferred amounts:					
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	(11,069)		842	(10,227)	
Total bonds and loans payable	 557,311		(9,058)	548,253	10,090
Other liabilities:					
Compensated absences	3,452	\$ 2,442	(1,655)	4,239	1,280
Environmental remediation liability	2,476		(11)	2,465	
Lease agreements	8,219		(1,150)	7,069	1,275
Other	5,229	460	(1,209)	4,480	1,818
Total long-term liabilities - Seaport	\$ 576,687	\$ 2,902	\$ (13,083)	\$ 566,506	\$ 14,463
Aviation					
Bonds, loans, and notes payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 3,157,740	\$ 600,000	\$ (295,050)	\$ 3,462,690	\$ 65,130
Loans payable	8,074		(1,763)	6,311	1,466
Add/subtract deferred amounts:					
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	(55,940)	(1,923)	5,786	(52,077)	
Commercial paper notes	313,626	366,194	(314,478)	365,342	
Estimated insurance claims payable					
Total bonds, loans and notes payable	3,423,500	964,271	(605,505)	3,782,266	66,596
Other liabilities:					
Compensated absences	28,205		(3,741)	24,464	6,381
Environmental remediation liability	150,317		(14,620)	135,697	54,281
Lease agreements	14,658		(1,236)	13,422	3,017
Total long-term liabilities - Aviation	\$ 3,616,680	\$ 964,271	\$ (625,102)	\$ 3,955,849	\$ 130,275

	inning Balance ember 30, 2005	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance September 30, 2006		e Within ne Year
Water and Sewer Department						
Bonds and loans payable:						
Revenue bonds	\$ 1,527,435		\$ (31,510)	\$ 1,495,925	\$	35,730
Loans payable	138,198	\$ 4,099	(17,217)	125,080		7,560
Add/subtract deferred amounts:						
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	(33,846)		2,083	(31,763)		
Total bonds and loans payable	 1,631,787	4,099	(46,644)	1,589,242		43,290
Other liabilities:						
Estimated insurance claims payable	2,594	1,851	(908)	3,537		1,866
Compensated absences	28,194	11,602	(8,947)	30,849		9,463
Other	24,559	10,924	(1,774)	33,709		
Total long-term liabilities - Water and Sewer Dept.	\$ 1,687,134	\$ 28,476	\$ (58,273)	\$ 1,657,337	\$	54,619
On refunding Add deferred bond premium Total bonds and loans payable Other liabilities: Estimated insurance claims payable Compensated absences Environmental remediation liability Liability for landfill closure/postclosure care costs Lease agreements Other Total business-type activities long-term liabilities	 					
Public Health Trust (PHT)						
Bonds and loans payable:						
Revenue bonds	\$ 300,000			\$ 300,000		
Add/subtract deferred amounts:						
For bond issuance premiums/discounts/refundings	 1,060		\$ 515	1,575		
Total bonds and loans payable	301,060		515	301,575		
Other liabilities:						
Estimated insurance claims payable	38,373		(3,190)	35,183	\$	5,270
Compensated absences	74,617	\$ 1,702		76,319		76,319
Other						
Other	 2,859		(1,012)	1,847		

Compensated absences have typically been liquidated in the General Fund, other governmental funds and enterprise funds. Liabilities for landfill and postclosure care costs have been liquidated in the Solid Waste enterprise fund. Legal contingencies have typically been liquidated in the General Fund. Insurance claims liabilities have typically been liquidated in the Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund and in the enterprise funds. The Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund predominantly serves the governmental funds. When an internal service fund predominantly serves governmental funds the residual balances of the internal service fund should be reported as part of governmental activities. Therefore, the long-term liabilities of the fund are included in the above totals for governmental activities.

#### Demand Bonds

At September 30, 2006, the County had \$1,700,000 of Capital Asset Acquisition Floating / Fixed Rate Special Obligation Bonds, Series 1990 (the "Bonds") that were due within seven days of demand by the holder at a price equal to principal plus accrued interest. The County's remarketing agent is authorized to use its best efforts to sell the repurchased bonds at par by adjusting the interest rate.

Under a standby bond purchase agreement (the "Agreement") issued by a bank, the fiscal agent can draw amounts sufficient to repurchase the Bonds if they cannot be resold by the remarketing agent. In the absence of monies available under the Agreement, the monies will be drawn under an irrevocable letter of credit. The Agreement and letter of credit, with a stated termination date of November 1, 2009 expired on November 1, 2004 and have been extended to November 1, 2011. There were no amounts outstanding under the Agreement or letter of credit at September 30, 2006.

# Long-Term Debt -- Governmental Activities

Long-term debt of the County's governmental activities include general and special obligation bonds, installment purchase contracts and loan agreements that are payable from property tax levies and specific revenue sources. General obligation bonds are payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property of the County, and are backed by the full faith, credit and taxing power of the County. Special obligation bonds are limited obligations of the County, payable solely from and secured by pledged non-ad valorem revenues of the County. Neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing power of the County is pledged to the payment of the special obligation bonds. Interest on variable-rate bonds, currently in an auction rate mode, is based on the BMA index and is currently reset every 28 days.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows (in thousands):

Maturing in	<u>(</u>	General Oblig	gatio	n Bonds	Special Oblig	atio	n Bonds	Loans and N	s Payable		
Fiscal Year	<u> </u>	Principal		Interest	Principal		Interest	Principal		Interest	
2007	\$	12,530	\$	26,533	\$ 54,850	\$	54,091	\$ 18,506	\$	11,620	
2008		13,255		25,522	55,322		51,796	19,043		10,943	
2009		10,240		24,713	70,776		49,234	19,742		10,167	
2010		10,790		24,118	58,483		51,057	21,001		9,354	
2011		11,380		23,486	72,226		52,880	21,205		8,474	
2012-2016		64,460		106,499	325,272		283,082	97,751		29,286	
2017-2021		66,781		87,089	277,891		266,103	44,503		11,619	
2022-2026		90,595		68,499	332,016		267,211	30,346		3,641	
2027-2031		114,455		44,644	411,436		297,380				
2032-2036		112,830		14,447	433,481		314,469				
2037-2041					203,928		152,641				
2042-2046					10,561		566				
		507,316		445,550	2,306,242		1,840,510	272,097		95,104	
Less:											
Unaccreted value					(785,693)						
Accretions to date							(202,108)				
Add:											
Unamortized premium					 24,984			 			
Total	\$	507,316	\$	445,550	\$ 1,545,533	\$	1,638,402	\$ 272,097	\$	95,104	

# Long-Term Debt – Business-type Activities

Long-term debt of business-type activities include revenue bonds, special obligation bonds and loans payable from specified revenues of the County's enterprise funds. Also included are general obligation bonds issued on behalf of the Seaport Department, which will be paid from Seaport revenues and, to the extent those revenues are insufficient, from ad valorem taxes. Interest on variable-rate bonds, currently in an auction rate mode, is based on the BMA index and is currently reset every 28 days.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for revenue bonds and loans payable are as follows (in thousands):

Maturing in	Revenue Bonds Genera				General Ob	ligat	tion Bonds Special Obligation Bon					ds Loans and Notes Paya				
Fiscal Year		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest
2006	\$	119,505	\$	285,180	\$	3,705	\$	7,453	\$		\$	2,175	\$	24,588	\$	331,613
2007		130,967		282,037		3,940		7,209		6,045		1,974		27,736		250,552
2008		127,661		275,973		4,200		6,945		6,305		1,707		28,566		168,837
2009		125,249		269,812		4,470		6,663		6,560		1,440		29,437		87,097
2,010		134,555		263,672		4,755		6,363		6,875		1,117		33,330		18,928
2011-2015		724,412		1,230,296		28,080		27,589		15,675		1,272		137,881		78,022
2016-2020		877,358		1,021,869		36,050		19,414						101,160		54,401
2021-2025		1,048,068		761,602		46,290		8,916						68,577		38,596
2026-2030		967,699		489,362		10,725		275						121,898		21,652
2031-2035		884,086		270,846										49,180		3,057
2036-2040		548,510		53,271												
		5,688,070		5,203,920		142,215		90,827		47,310		9,685		622,353		1,052,755
Less:																
Unaccreted value		(13,400)														
Accretions to date				(2,281)												
Unamortized discount and																
deferred amounts		(110,938)				(8,640)										
Add:																
Unamortized bond premium		22 014								2 0E2						
· · ·		33,846								2,053						
Total	\$	5,597,578	\$	5,201,639	\$	133,575	\$	90,827	\$	49,363	\$	9,685	\$	622,353	\$	1,052,755

#### Public Health Trust Bonds Payable

The Series 2005 Bonds (the Bonds) are secured by the gross revenues of the Public Health Trust (the Trust). The Bonds are subject to certain covenants included in Ordinance No. 05-49 (the Ordinance), together with certain ordinances and Series resolutions, which authorize and issue the Bonds by and between the Trust and the County. In addition, the Trust must comply with certain covenants included in the Bond insurance agreements.

The Ordinance contains significant restrictive covenants including, among other items, the requirement to maintain a minimum long-term debt service coverage ratio, to make scheduled monthly deposits to the debt service fund, maintenance of insurance on the Trust's facilities and limitations on the incurrence of additional debt. Should there be a draw on the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the County has covenanted to budget and appropriate from time to time and if necessary, in its annual budget from Legally Available Non Ad Valorem Revenues sufficient funds to replenish any deficiency in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. In general, the bond insurance agreement contains the same covenants as the Ordinance.

#### Commercial Paper Notes (Short-term Debt to be Refinanced on a Long-Term Basis)

On September 30, 2006, the County had outstanding \$364,894,000 of Aviation Commercial Paper Notes (the Notes), plus accrued interest of \$448,840. The effective interest rate paid on the Notes outstanding ranged from 3.53% to 3.75%. The proceeds of such Notes are being used to finance certain airport and airport related improvements. The Notes and accrued interest are payable solely from proceeds of future Revenue Bonds and any unencumbered monies in the Improvement Fund. The Notes are secured by an irrevocable stand-by letter of credit. The letter of credit, in the amount of \$400,000,000, was approved for the purpose of making funds readily available for the payment of principal and interest on the Notes. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$34,658,000 remaining on the letter of credit. The outstanding Notes and accrued interest, totaling \$365,342,000, have been included in long-term liabilities, rather than in current liabilities, because the County intends to refinance the commercial paper with long-term revenue bonds.

Following is a schedule of changes in commercial paper notes (in thousands):

Balance on September 30, 2005	\$ 313,626
Additions	366,194
Deductions	 (314,478)
Balance on September 30, 2006	\$ 365,342

# Long-Term Debt Issued During the Year

The table below describes bonds and loans that were issued during the year (other than commercial paper) for governmental and business-type activities (in thousands):

Date Issued BONDS:	Description	Purpose	Interest Rate Range	Final Maturity Date	Original Amount Issued
11/2/05			4.87% - 5.00%	10/1/38	\$ 357,900,000
11/2/05	Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005B (AMT)	To refund the Aviation Revenue Bonds, Series 1995B & the Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 1995D, originally issued to finance certain airport improvements associated with the Airport's Capital Improvement Plan.	3.50% - 5.00%	10/1/21	180,345,000
11/2/05	Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005C (Non-AMT)	To refund the Aviation Revenue & Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1995A, C & E, which originally were issued to finance certain airport improvements associated with the Airport's Capital Improvement Plan.	3.50% - 5.00%	10/1/25	61,755,000
2/8/06	Public Service Tax Revenue Bonds (UMSA Public Improvements), Series 2006.	To pay a portion of the cost of a capital improvement program for improvements to, and acquisition of, stormwater drainage systems, existing sidewalks, park development and the beautification of neighborhoods located within the UMSA areas of the County.	4.12% - 6.25%	4/1/30	28,000,000
4/27/06	Transit System Sales Surtax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006	To pay all or a portion of the cost of certain transportation and transit projects included in the People's Transportation Plan.	4.00% - 5.00%	7/1/35	186,435,000
LOANS:				-	-
5/23/06	Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 1986 Program	To pay the cost of, or reimbursing the County for the cost of constructing certain capital infrastructure improvements for the Naranja Lakes Community Redevelopment Agency (Naranja Lakes CRA).	Variable	7/01/16	5,000,000
9/7/06	Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2006, Various Taxable AMT and Non-AMT.	To pay or reimburse the County for the cost of acquiring certain capital equipment and/or constructing certain capital improvements for various County departments and to fund the required reserve funds.	Variable	9/1/26	100,000,000
9/30/06	State Revolving Fund (Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Dept.)	To finance construction of water and wastewater treatment facilities	2.56%-4.17%	10/1/24	4,100

Total long-term debt issued during the year

\$ 919,439,100

# Refunding Debt Issued During the Year

In November, 2005, the County issued \$180,345,000 of Series 2005B and \$61,755,000 of Series 2005C all of which remains outstanding at September 30, 2006. The Series 2005B bonds were issued to refund Airport Revenue Bonds Series 1995B and Series 1995D. The Series 2005C bonds were issued to refund Series 1995A, 1995C and 1995E. The Series 2005B bonds bear stated interest rates ranging from 3.5% to 5.00% with \$180,345,000 serial bonds due on October 1, 2006 to October 1, 2021. The Series 2005C bonds bear stated interest rates ranging from 3.00% to 5.00% with \$34,015,000 serial bonds due from October 1, 2006 to October 1, 2011 together with \$9,120,000 MBIA insured term bonds due October 1, 2025 and \$17,720,000 XL Assurance insured term bonds due October 1, 2025.

The advance refunding of Series 1995B and D resulted in a deferred accounting loss of approximately \$3,514,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. As a result of this transaction, the Aviation Department decreased its aggregate debt service payments by approximately \$15,156,156 over the next 15 years and realized an economic gain of approximately \$13,050,000. The advance refunding of Series 1995 A, C, and E resulted in a deferred accounting loss of approximately \$2,645,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. As a result of this transaction, the Aviation Department decreased its aggregate debt service payments by approximately \$2,645,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. As a result of this transaction, the Aviation Department decreased its aggregate debt service payments by approximately \$3,859,000 over the next 19 years and realized an economic gain of approximately \$3,259,000.

# Defeased Debt – Advance Refundings

In prior years, the County defeased certain debt as listed in the table below (in thousands), by placing the proceeds of new bond issues in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments of the defeased debt. Such proceeds are invested in direct obligations of the U.S. government, and in the opinion of the County and its Bond Counsel, will provide for all future debt service payments on the defeased debt. Accordingly, the trust account's assets and the liability for the defeased debt are not included in the accompanying financial statements.

Туре	Series	Date of Defeasance	Call Date	Final Maturity Defeased	Principal Amount Vefeased	0	Principal utstanding, ptember 30, 2006
Special Obligation Bonds:							
Guaranteed Entitlement	А	12/27/85	02/01/08	02/01/08	\$ 65,000	\$	16,300
Sports Franchise Facilities Tax	1992B	07/09/98	10/01/11	10/01/22	59,609		10,700
Sports Franchise Facilities Tax	1995	07/09/98	10/01/30	10/01/30	30,162		29,889
Special Obligation (CDT)	1996B	12/18/97	10/01/08	10/01/33	75,120		70,381
Total Special Obligation Bonds Defeased					\$ 229,891	\$	127,270
Revenue Bonds and Loans:							
Public Facilities (Jackson Memorial)	1998	09/27/05	06/01/08	06/01/18	58,980		56,615
Rickenbacker Causeway	1983	08/29/85	10/01/08	10/01/08	5,225		5,225
Total Revenue Bonds and Loans Defeased					\$ 64,205	\$	61,840

#### Interest Rate Swap Agreements

As a debt management tool, the County has entered into several swap transactions.

**The Fair Value of Swap** is determined at September 30, 2006 based on an estimated mark-to-mid-market assessment. The fair value was developed by using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the swap, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipates future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement of the swap.

Below is a recap in chart form of each of the swaps that the County has entered into:

#### Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds

#### Objective

To obtain a lower fixed rate than what was available in the Bond Market, or to obtain the lower cost of borrowing.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
1	2/4/94	\$416,940,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds.	10/5/22	W&S Series 94	Fixed – 5.28%	Variable – Bond Rate	AAA	(\$82,010,636)

Using rates as of September 30, 2006 debt service requirements of the variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup>

Fiscal Year	Variable Ra	ite Bonds	Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	<u>Principal</u>	Interest (2)	Net Payments (3)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$865	\$15,552	\$6,463	\$22,880
2008	915	15,520	6,449	22,884
2009	970	15,485	6,435	22,890
2010	1,020	15,449	6,420	22,889
2011	1,080	15,411	6,404	22,895
2012-2023	<u>412,090</u>	<u>126,424</u>	<u>52,535</u>	<u>591,049</u>
Total	<u>\$416,940</u>	<u>\$203,841</u>	<u>\$84,706</u>	<u>\$705,487</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> In thousands.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds on September 30, 2006 was 3.730%.

- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the variable rate paid by the counterparty to the County (3.730%) and the fixed rate paid by County to the counterparty (5.28%) as of September 30, 2006 (3.730% 5.28% = -1.55%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The total net payments of \$84.7 million have a negative fair market value of \$82 million.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06 <sup>(1)</sup>
2	10/1/05	\$295,240,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds.	10/1/25	W&S Series 2005	Fixed – 5.27%	Variable – Bond Rate	AAA	(\$48,724,413)

(1) Fair Value of Swap: As of September 30, 2006 based on an estimated mark-to-midmarket assessment, the fair market value of the swap was a negative \$48,724,413.

# Additional Disclosure: Bonds issued as variable rate and swapped at issuance to fixed rate.

# Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Pay - Fixed, Receive – Variable Interest Rate Swap

Using rates as of September 30, 2006 debt service requirements of the variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows <sup>(1)</sup>.

Fiscal Year	Variable Ra	ite Bonds	Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest (2)	Net Payments (3) (4)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$0	\$11,042	\$2,369	\$13,411
2008	0	11,042	2,369	13,411
2009	0	11,042	2,369	13,411
2010	0	11,042	2,645	13,687
2011	0	11,042	2,939	13,981
2012-2026	<u>295,240</u>	<u>135,606</u>	<u>50,039</u>	<u>480,885</u>
Total	<u>\$295,240</u>	<u>\$190,816</u>	<u>\$62,730</u>	<u>\$548,786</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> In thousands.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds on September 30, 2006 was 3.534%.

- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the variable rate paid by the counterparty to the County (3.534%) and the fixed rate paid by County to the counterparty (5.27%) as of September 30, 2006 (3.534% 5.27% = -1.736%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The total net receipts of \$62.7 million have a negative fair market value of \$48.7 million.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
3	12/15/93	\$215,000,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 9/25/15.	6/15/20 with option to terminate 6/15/08 <sup>(1)</sup>	W&S Series 97	Variable – after 6/15/08, to 6/15/20	Fixed – 4.902%	AA	(\$718,664)

<sup>(1)</sup> The counterparty has a one time option to terminate the swap on June 15, 2008.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the fixed-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup>

Fiscal Year	Fixed Rate	e Bonds	Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	<u>Principal</u>	Interest (2)	Net Receipts (3) (4)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$8,275	\$20,402		\$28,677
2008	8,790	19,869	(\$739)	27,920
2009	9,335	19,356	(2,520)	26,171
2010	9,810	18,866	(2,520)	26,156
2011	10,320	18,344	(2,520)	26,144
2012-2026	<u>343,010</u>	<u>190,727</u>	<u>(22,678)</u>	<u>511,059</u>
Total	<u>\$389,540</u>	<u>\$287,564</u>	<u>(\$30,977)</u>	<u>\$646,127</u>

(1) In thousands.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds is the actual fixed rate on the Bonds.

<sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the fixed rate paid by the counterparty to the County (4.902%) and the variable rate paid by County to the counterparty (3.730%) commencing on June 16, 2008 until the termination of the swap on June 15, 2021 (4.902% - 3.730% = 1.172%).

<sup>(4)</sup> The total net receipts of \$31 million have a negative fair market value of \$0.7 million.
	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
4	8/27/98	\$200,000,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 9/1/21.	10/1/26	W&S Series 97	Variable BMA plus (BMA divided by 0.604) minus (USD-LIBOR- BBA plus 1.455%)	BMA	AAA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$1,875,716

<sup>(1)</sup> The Counterparty is backed by an "AAA" guarantor. The swap's rating is based on the rating of the guarantor.

#### Additional Disclosure Bonds issued as fixed rate and swapped a portion to basis swap. Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Pay Variable, Receive Variable Rate Swap.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the fixed-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup> As rates vary, fixed-rate bond interest payments remain the same and net swap payments may vary.

Fiscal Year	Fixed Rate Bonds		Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest (2)	Net Receipts (3) (4)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$8,275	\$20,402	(\$2,121)	\$26,556
2008	8,790	19,869	(2,120)	26,539
2009	9,335	19,356	(2,121)	26,570
2010	9,810	18,866	(2,120)	26,556
2011	10,320	18,344	(2,121)	26,543
2012-2027	<u>343,010</u>	<u>190,727</u>	<u>(31,059)</u>	<u>502,678</u>
Total	<u>\$389,540</u>	<u>\$287,564</u>	<u>(\$41,662)</u>	<u>\$635,442</u>

- <sup>(1)</sup> In thousands.
- <sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds is the actual fixed rate on the Bonds.
- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.508130% + 1.455% = 6.96313%) and the taxexempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 (6.96313% - 5.9029%= 1.060266%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The total net receipts of \$41.7 million have a positive fair market value of \$1,9 million.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
5	3/6/06	\$205,070,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 10/1/22.	10/1/29	W&S Series 94 and upon the final maturity of the Series 94 Bonds, the W&S Series 99A	Variable BMA plus (BMA divided by 0.604) minus (USD- LIBOR- BBA plus 1.5800%)	BMA	AA (1)	\$2,163,445

<sup>(1)</sup> The Counterparty is backed by an "AA" guarantor. The swap's rating is based on the rating of the guarantor.

#### Additional Disclosure Bonds issued as fixed rate and swapped a portion to basis swap. Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Pay Variable, Receive Variable Rate Swap.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the fixed-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup> As rates vary, fixed-rate bond interest payments remain the same and net swap payments may vary.

Fiscal Year	Fixed Rate		Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest (2)	Net Receipts (3) (4)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$865	\$15,552	(\$2,431)	\$13,986
2008	915	15,520	(2,430)	14,005
2009	970	15,485	(2,431)	14,024
2010	1,020	15,449	(2,430)	14,039
2011	1,080	15,411	(2,431)	14,060
2012-2030	<u>562,090</u>	<u>167,918</u>	<u>(37,462)</u>	<u>692,546</u>
Total	<u>\$566,940</u>	<u>\$245,335</u>	<u>(\$49,615)</u>	<u>\$762,660</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> In thousands.

- <sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Series 1994 Bonds on September 30, 2006 was 3.730%. Interest rate on the Series 1999A Bonds is the actual fixed rate on the Bonds.
- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.508130% + 1.5800% = 7.08813%) and the tax-exempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 (7.08813% 5.9029%= 1.185266%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The total net receipts of \$49.6 million have a positive fair market value of \$2.2 million.

# Special Obligation Bonds and Subordinate Special Obligation Bonds

## Objective

To lower the County's overall cost of borrowing. No cash was exchanged at the time the swaps became effective.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
1	5/12/00	\$83,902,330 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 10/1/00.	10/1/22	SOB Series 1996B	BMA divided by 0.604	Libor plus a constant of 1.65343%	AAA (1)	\$2,351,246
2	7/21/04	\$15,696,215 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 10/1/04.	10/1/10	SOB Series 1996B	BMA divided by 0.604	Libor plus a constant of 1.770%	AAA (1)	\$344,612

<sup>(1)</sup> The Counterparty is backed by an "AAA" guarantor. The swap's rating is based on the rating of the guarantor.

#### Additional Disclosure Bonds issued as fixed. A portion swapped to basis swap. Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Payment: Variable Receiver, Variable Rate Swap.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the fixed-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup> As rates vary, fixed-rate bond interest payments remain the same and net swap payments may vary.

Fiscal Year	Fixed Rate Bonds		Interest Rate Sw	ap Net Receipt	
<u>Ending 9/30</u>	Principal	Interest (2)	<u>Swap 1 <sup>(3) (5)</sup></u>	Swap 2 (4) (6)	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$3,123	\$5,062	(\$1,056)	(\$201)	\$6,928
2008	3,764	5,871	(1,017)	(159)	8,459
2009	2,064	4,862	(969)	(87)	5,870
2010	2,135	5,200	(943)	(11)	6,381
2011	3,696	7,270	(917)	(0)	10,049
2012-	<u>69,120</u>	<u>84,267</u>	<u>(8,707)</u>	<u>(0)</u>	<u>144,680</u>
2036					
Total	<u>\$83,902</u>	<u>\$112,532</u>	<u>(\$13,609)</u>	<u>(\$458)</u>	<u>\$182,367</u>

(1) In thousands.

- <sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds is the actual fixed rate on the Bonds.
- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.50813% + 1.65343% = 7.161560%) and the tax-exempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 ((7.16156% 5.9029%= 1.258696%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.508130% + 1.7700% = 7.27813%) and the tax-exempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 (7.27813% 5.9029% = 1.375266%).
- <sup>(5)</sup> With respect to Swap 1, the total net receipts of \$13.609 million have a positive fair market value of \$2,351,246.
- <sup>(6)</sup> With respect to Swap 2, the total net receipts of \$458,000 have a positive fair market value of \$344,612.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
3	5/12/00	\$275,640,529 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 10/1/00.	10/1/22	Subordi- nate SOB Series 1997A, B & C	BMA divided by 0.604	Libor plus a constant of 1.65343 %	AAA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$8,587,227
4	7/21/04	\$98,758,933 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 10/1/04.	10/1/22	Subordi- nate SOB Series 1997A,B & C	BMA divided by 0.604	Libor plus a constant of 1.7700%	AAA <sup>(1)</sup>	\$5,100,333

<sup>(1)</sup> The Counterparty is backed by an "AAA" guarantor. The swap's rating is based on the rating of the guarantor.

#### Additional Disclosure: Bonds issued as fixed rate and swapped a portion to basis swap. Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Payment: Variable Receiver, Variable Rate Swap.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the fixed-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows. <sup>(1)</sup> As rates vary, fixed-rate bond interest payments remain the same and net swap payments may vary.

Fiscal Year	Fixed Rate	e Bonds	Interest Rate Sw		
Ending 9/30	Principal	Interest (2)	Swap 3 <sup>(3)(5)</sup>	<u>Swap 4 <sup>(4) (6)</sup></u>	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$343	\$6,020	(\$3,469)	(\$1,556)	\$1,338
2008	0	5,843	(3,465)	(1,650)	728
2009	222	6,001	(3,465)	(1,753)	1,005
2010	209	6,014	(3,463)	(1,861)	899
2011	745	6,543	(3,460)	(1,933)	1,895
2012-	<u>274,122</u>	<u>741,510</u>	<u>(35,116)</u>	<u>(26,185)</u>	<u>954,331</u>
2038					
Total	<u>\$275,641</u>	<u>\$771,931</u>	<u>(\$52,438)</u>	<u>(\$34,938)</u>	<u>\$960,196</u>

(1) In thousands.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds is the actual fixed rate on the Bonds.

- <sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.50813% + 1.65343% = 7.161560%) and the tax-exempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 (7.16156% 5.9029%= 1.258696%).
- <sup>(4)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the taxable variable rate paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.50813% + 1.7700% = 7.27813%) and the tax-exempt variable rate paid by County to the Counterparty (3.56533%/.604 = 5.9029%) as of September 30, 2006 (7.27813% 5.9029%= 1.375266%).
- <sup>(5)</sup> With respect to Swap 3, the total net receipts of \$52.438 million have a positive fair market value of \$8,587,227.
- <sup>(6)</sup> With respect to Swap 4, the total net receipts of \$34.938 million have a positive fair market value of \$5,100,333.

# Special Obligation Bonds (Capital Asset Acquisition Floating Rate (CPI-MUNI))

# Objective

To lower the County's overall cost of borrowing.

	Date of Execution	Notional Amount	Termination Date	Associated Bonds	County Payment	Counter- party Payment	Counter- party Credit Rating	Fair Value at 9/30/06
1	4/16/04 - Effective 4/27/04	\$50,000,000 amortizing in step with the Bonds commencing 4/1/05.	4/1/14	SOB Series 2004A Capital Asset Acquisition (MUNI-CPI)	BMA plus 0.235%	CPI plus Constant Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	AA	(\$450,218)

<sup>(1)</sup> The Constant Rate on the \$15 million, 4/1/09 maturity is 0.20%; on the \$10 million, 4/1/12 maturity is 0.50% and on the \$25 million, 4/1/14 maturity is 0.70%

#### Additional Disclosure: Bonds issued as fixed rate and swapped to a floating rate. Swap Payments and Associated Debt for Payment: - Fixed Receiver - Variable Interest Rate Swap.

Using rates as of September 30, 2006, debt service requirements of the variable-rate debt and net swap payments, assuming current interest rates remain the same for their term, were as follows <sup>(1)</sup>. As rates vary, variable-rate bond interest payments will vary and net swap payments may vary.

Fiscal Year			Interest Rate Swap	
Ending 9/30	Principal Interest (2)		Net Receipts (3) (4)	<u>Total</u>
2007		\$2,870	(\$974)	\$1,896
2008		2,870	(974)	1,896
2009	\$15,000	2,870	(973)	16,897
2010		2,009	(682)	1,327
2011	10,000	2,009	(681)	11,328
2012-2014	<u>25,000</u>	<u>4,305</u>	<u>(1,461)</u>	<u>27,844</u>
Total	<u>\$50,000</u>	<u>\$16,933</u>	<u>(\$5,745)</u>	<u>\$61,188</u>

(1) In thousands.

<sup>(2)</sup> Interest rate on the Bonds is the CPI Index plus 20 basis points of interest (bpi) on the Bonds maturing on 4/1/09, 50 bpi on the bonds maturing on 4/1/11 and 70 bpi on the Bonds maturing on 4/1/14.

<sup>(3)</sup> The rate is calculated as the difference between the variable rate (CPI plus premium) paid by the Counterparty to the County (5.2300% + 0.51% = 5.7400%) and the variable rate (BMA) plus premium paid by County to the Counterparty (3.55753% + 0.235% = 3.79253%) as of September 30, 2006 (5.7400% - 3.79253% = 1.947470%).

<sup>(4)</sup> The total net receipts of \$5.745 million have a negative fair market value of \$450,218.

# Risk Disclosure:

**Credit Risk.** Because all of the County's Swaps rely upon the performance of the third parties who serve as swap counterparties, the County is exposed to credit risk, or the risk that the counterparty fails to perform according to its contractual obligations. The appropriate measurement of this risk at the reporting date is the fair value of the swaps, as shown in the columns labeled Fair Value in the tables above. All Fair Values have been calculated using the Mark to Mid-Market Method. To mitigate credit risk, the County maintains strict credit standards for swap counterparties. All swap counterparties for longer term swaps are rated at least in the double-A category by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's. To further mitigate credit risk, the County's swap documents require counterparties to post collateral for the County's benefit if they are downgraded below a designated threshold.

**Basis Risk.** Many of the County's swaps expose the County to basis risk. Should the relationship between the variable rate the County receives on the swap fall short of the variable rate on the associated bonds, the expected savings may not be realized. As of September 30, 2006, the BMA rate was 3.56533%.

*Tax Risk.* For the basis swaps, the interplay between the taxable index and the tax exempt index may be affected by changes to the marginal tax rates, the elimination of tax preferences and a flat tax. The County considers these risks to be remote.

**Termination Risk.** The County's swap agreements do not contain any out-of-the-ordinary termination events that would expose it to significant termination risk. In keeping with market standards the County or the counterparty may terminate each swap if the other party fails to perform under the terms of the contract. In addition, the swap documents allow either party to terminate in the event of a significant loss of creditworthiness. The County views such events to be remote at this time. If at the time of the termination, a swap has a negative value, the County would be liable to the counterparty for a payment equal to the fair value of such swap unless the counterparty is the defaulting party.

**Rollover Risk.** With the exception of the swaps on the Water and Sewer System Bonds, Series 1995, the Special Obligation Bonds and the Subordinate Special Obligation Bonds, the County is not exposed to rollover risk. Because the swaps for the Water and Sewer System Bonds, Series 1995, the Special Obligation Bonds and the Subordinate Special Obligation Bonds terminate prior to the maturity of such bonds, the County is exposed to rollover risk. Upon the termination of the swap, the County will no longer realize the synthetic rate on the bonds and will be exposed to the full fixed rate on the underlying bonds if no new hedge is put in place.

**Swap Option Exercise Risk.** The County has entered into an option whereby the counterparty can require the County to issue Bonds to refund a currently outstanding series of Bonds and enter into a swap with the counterparty. The fixed payments on the swap shall equal the fixed payments on the refunded Bonds. The variable rate receipts would be equal to the variable rate on the refunding Bonds. The counterparty will not exercise the option if variable rates increase significantly. The County believes the option will be exercised.

*Interest Rate Risk.* The counterparty exercised their option and the Department has issued the variable rate bonds and variable to fixed rate swap.

*Credit Risk.* If the counterparty fails to fulfill its contractual obligations, the Department will be exposed to this risk. To mitigate this risk, the County maintains strict credit standards for the counterparty. The County requires all counterparties for longer term swaps to be rated in the double-A category by both Moody's and Standard & Poor's. In addition, the County's swap documents require counterparties to post collateral for the Department's benefit if they are downgraded below a designated threshold.

**Basis Risk.** The Department would be exposed to basis risk if the variable rate on the new variable rate bonds do not match the variable rate received on the swap. Should the variable rate the Department receives on the swap fall short of the variable rate on the new variable rate bonds, the expected savings will not be realized.

#### Contingent Liability / Loan Guarantee

The County's General Fund is contingently liable for the payment of certain obligations from available non ad valorem taxes, and has pledged to budget and appropriate annually for the debt service payments in the event revenues from the benefiting enterprise operations are not sufficient to meet the debt service requirements. These instances are delineated below.

Series	Department	Original Amount	Principal Outstanding at 9/30/2006	Final Maturity
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 1986 Program	Seaport	\$50,000,000	\$41,650,000	June 30, 2016
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 1986 Program	Parks	\$2,000,000	\$1,417,811	October 1, 2014
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 1986 Program, Issued 2004	Naranja Lakes CRA	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	July 1, 2016
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 1986 Program, Issued 2006	Naranja Lakes CRA	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	July 1, 2016
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2001	Various	\$49,000,000	\$31,940,000	October 1, 2011
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2005	Seaport	\$75,000,000	\$75,000,000	September 1, 2035
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2005	Various	\$71,000,000	\$63,800,000	September 1, 2017
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2005	PHT Equipment	\$56,200,000	\$55,000,000	September 1, 2017
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2006	Seaport	\$232,060,000	\$232,060,000	September 30, 2032
Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Series 2006	Various	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	September 30, 2026
Industrial Development Revenue Bonds-BAC Funding Project Series 2000A and 2000B	Various	\$21,775,000	\$19,890,000	October 1, 2030

Miami-Dade County entered into a lease agreement whereby BAC is the developer of an officebuilding complex pursuant to an installment sales agreement. Miami-Dade County will lease the entire building and the lease payments are pledged to the bondholders. Additionally, the County has unconditionally guaranteed to budget and appropriate any shortfalls in pledged revenues from non ad valorem taxes.

#### Debt Authorized, but Unissued

As of September 30, 2006, the County has authorized but not issued the following:

- a) \$1,280,000 of general obligation bonds for general public improvements;
- b) \$247,500,000 of general obligation bonds for capital improvements for County airports to be paid by Aviation net revenues, if issued;
- c) \$156,300,000 Equipment Floating/Fixed Rates Special Obligation Bond to finance cost of capital equipment for various County departments.
- d) \$35,700,000 Equipment Floating/Fixed Rate Special Obligation Bonds;
- e) \$131,474,000 of general obligation bonds for capital improvements to the County's water and sewer system, to be paid by Water and Sewer net revenues, if issued;
- f) \$2,301,608 Professional Sports Franchise Facilities Tax Revenue Bonds;
- g) \$1,216,385,000 Aviation Revenue Bonds for improvements to airport facilities (the "1996 Authorization");
- h) \$500,000,000 Aviation Revenue Bonds for improvements to airport facilities (the "1997 Authorization");
- i) \$34,657,520 Aviation Bond Anticipation Notes to pay costs for improvements to airport facilities;
- j) \$84,285,000 Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds to finance the cost of capital improvements to the water and sewer systems of the County;
- k) \$50,000,000 Solid Waste System Bond Anticipation Notes to pay the costs of improvements to, and new capital project for, the Solid Waste System of the County;
- \$49,605,000 Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds to pay the outstanding Solid Waste System Bond Anticipation Notes and any additional improvements to, and new capital project for, the Solid Waste System of the County;
- m) \$18,880,000 Capital Acquisition Special Obligation Bonds;
- n) \$29,545,000 Special Obligation Bonds (Juvenile Courthouse Project) to fund the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Juvenile Courthouse Project;
- s6,000,000 Special Obligation Bonds (Correction Facility Project) to fund a portion of the cost of acquisition, construction and equipping of a new holding facility;
- p) \$77,275,000 Special Obligation Bonds (Capital Asset Acquisition) to fund the acquisition, renovation, improvement, construction or purchase of capital assets;
- q) \$16,493,417 Solid Waste System Revenue Bonds to pay the cost of improvements to, and new capital projects for, the County's Solid Waste System;
- r) \$25,687,752 Special Obligation Bonds (Convention Development Tax) to pay the cost of various visitor related capital facilities; and
- s) \$2,675,750,000 General Obligation Bonds to fund the projects under the "Building Better Communities" Bond Program.
- t) \$313,565,000 Transit System Sales Surtax Bonds to fund the projects of the People's Transportation Plan.

# Note 9 - Pension Plans

# Florida Retirement System

The County participates in the Florida Retirement System (the "System"), a cost-sharing, multipleemployer, public employee retirement plan, which covers substantially all of its full-time and part-time employees. The System was created in 1970 by consolidating several employee retirement systems. All eligible employees (as defined by the State) who were hired after 1970, and those employed prior to 1970 who elect to be enrolled, are covered by the System. Benefits under the plan vest after six years of service.

The System is a defined benefit plan, qualified under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, with defined contribution options. Under the defined benefit option, employees who retire at or after age 62 with six years of credited service (vesting period), are entitled to an annual retirement benefit payable monthly for life. The System also provides for early retirement at reduced benefits and death and disability benefits. These benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by State statute.

The Florida Legislature created a new defined contribution program that was added to the menu of choices available to FRS members beginning in June 2002. Formally created as the Public Employee Optional Retirement Program (PEORP), the FRS Investment Plan is available as an option for all current and future FRS members, including renewed members (FRS retirees who have returned to FRS employment). The FRS Investment Plan is a defined contribution plan where the contribution amount is fixed by a set percentage determined by law and the contribution is made to an individual account in each participant's name. With a defined contribution plan, in which the monthly contribution rate is fixed, the final benefit will be the total account value (contributions plus investment earnings less expenses and losses) distributed during retirement.

# Summary of Florida Retirement System ("FRS") Contributions, Covered Payroll and Percentage of Covered Payroll for the County (in thousands)

	2006	2005	2004
Covered Payroll	\$ 2,016,853	\$ 1,979,699	\$ 1,797,400
Contributions	\$ 227,044	\$ 204,793	\$ 183,592
% of Covered Payroll	11.3%	10.3%	10.2%

Pension costs for the County, as required and defined by State Statute, ranged from 9.85% to 20.92% of gross salaries for fiscal year 2006. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the County contributed 100% of the required contributions.

A copy of the System's annual report for the year ended June 30, 2006 can be obtained by writing to the Division of Retirement, Research and Education Section, P.O. Box 9000, Tallahassee, FL 32315-9000, by calling toll-free (877) 377-1737, or by visiting their website at <a href="http://FRS.myFlorida.com">http://FRS.myFlorida.com</a>.

# Public Health Trust of Miami-Dade County, Florida, Defined Benefit Retirement Plan

The Public Health Trust of Miami-Dade County, Florida, Defined Benefit Retirement Plan (the Plan) was created in 1996. The Plan is a single-employer, defined benefit pension plan and is an employee-noncontributory plan administered by the Public Health Trust (PHT). The Plan does not issue standalone financial statements. This report includes a Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets and a Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets for the Plan for the year ended September 30, 2006.

All PHT employees working in a full-time or part-time regularly established position who were hired after January 1, 1996 are covered by the Plan. Benefits under the Plan vest after six years of service. Employees who retire at or after age 62 with six years credited service are entitled to an annual retirement benefit payable monthly for life. The Plan also provides for early retirement at reduced benefits, and death and disability benefits. These benefit provisions and all other requirements are set forth in the Plan document. Benefits increase by approximately 3% per year for cost of living adjustments. The Board of Trustees of the PHT (the Board) reserves the right to modify, alter or amend the Plan subject to certain limitations.

Membership of the Plan consisted of the following at January 1, 2006, the date of the latest actuarial valuation:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	38
Terminated plan members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	319
Active plan members	<u>5,308</u>
Total	<u>5,665</u>
Number of participating employers	1

The contribution rate for normal cost is determined using the aggregate actuarial cost method. Under this method, the excess of the present value of projected benefits over the actuarial value of assets is spread evenly over the expected future salaries of the active participants presently under Normal Retirement Age. This method does not identify or separately amortize unfunded actuarial liabilities. Gains and losses resulting from fluctuations in Plan experience are similarly amortized as part of normal cost. The significant assumptions used to compute the annual required contribution include an 8% rate of return on investment, projected salary increase of 6% in the first 10 years of service and 5% after 10 years of service. The rate of return on investments and the projected salary increase rate include projected inflation of 2.5%.

The PHT's funding policy provides for actuarially determined rates deemed sufficient to pay benefits as due. The assumptions used to compute the contribution requirement are the same as those used to compute pension benefits earned as previously described under funding status and progress. The PHT's funding policy is to make contributions based on a percentage of payroll.

Contributions to the Plan for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 were approximately \$26,169,000. The PHT's most recent actuarial report as of January 1, 2006 determined the annual pension cost to be approximately \$31,379,000, \$26,652,000 and \$25,470,000 for the Plan years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The PHT has contributed 100% of the annual cost for all of the years.

#### **Deposits and Investments**

The Plan's investment authority is derived from the authorization of the Board and is in accordance with the Florida Statute 215.47 (the Statute) and the Employment Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA).

The following is a summary of the fair value (based on quoted market prices) of assets held in the pension trust fund at September 30, 2006: (in thousands)

Cash and short-term investments	\$ 16,157
Investments, at fair value	
Domestic investments:	
Equities	49,665
Mutual funds	27,887
Corporate debt securities	13,651
Government and agency obligations	20,961
Total domestic investments	 112,164
International investments:	
Mutual funds	28,594
Equities	2,767
Corporate debt securities	 546
Total international investments	 31,907

#### **Custodial Credit Risk**

GASB 40 requires governments to disclose deposits and investments exposed to custodial credit risk. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counter-party to a transaction, a government may not be able to recover the value of investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

As of September 30, 2006, the Plan's investment portfolio was held with a single third-party custodian.

## **Credit Risk**

The Plan's investment policy (the Investment Policy) is designed to minimize credit risk by restricting authorized investments to only those investments permitted by the Statute, subject to certain additional limitations. These additional limitations consist of prohibitions against investments in derivative securities, options, futures or short positions, however the Investment Policy allows for investments in mortgage pass-through securities. Generally, the Statute permits investments in the Florida State Board of Administration Pooled Investment account (the SBA Pool), U.S. Government and agency securities, common and preferred stock of domestic and foreign corporations, repurchase agreements, commercial paper and other corporate obligations, bankers acceptances, state or local government taxable or tax exempt debt, real estate and real estate securities, and money market funds. With the exception of obligations directly issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, investments in the SBA Pool, and certain state and local government debt instruments, the Statute provides limits as to the maximum portion of the Plan's portfolio which can be invested in any one investment category or issuer.

At September 30, 2006, the Plan's investment securities had the following credit ratings: (in thousands)

	Fair Value	Credit Rating	
Money market accounts	\$ 16,156	Not rated	
Domestic investments: U.S. Government agency securities, by issuer Federal National Mortgage Association Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Federal Home Loan Bank	3,337 3,799 997	AAA AAA AAA	*
U.S. Treasury bills Equities Corporate debt securities:	997 12,828 48,808		*
Collateralized mortgage obligations Corporate bonds Corporate bonds	858 3,605 4,542 846 888 3,122 67 210 371 27,887	Baa1-Baa3 BB/BB+ Not rated D	* ** ** ** ** *
International investments: Mutual funds Equities Corporate debt securities Total	\$ 28,594 2,767 546 160,228		**

\* Standard and Poor's ratings

\*\* Moody's Investor Services ratings

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

The Investment Policy establishes limitations on portfolio composition by investment type and by issuer to limit its exposure to concentration of credit risk. The Investment Policy provides that a maximum of 25% be invested in bonds, notes, or obligations of any municipality or political subdivision or any agency or authority of the State of Florida; a maximum of 80% be invested in common stock, preferred stock, and interest-bearing obligations of a corporation having an option to convert into common stock; a maximum of 75% be invested in internally managed common stock; a maximum of 80% be invested in interest-bearing obligations with a fixed maturity of any corporation or commercial entity within the United States; a maximum of 20% be invested in corporate obligations and securities of any kind of a foreign corporation or a foreign commercial entity having its principal office located in any country other than the United States of America or its possessions or territories, not including United States dollar-denominated securities listed and traded on a United States exchange; a maximum of 5% be invested in private equity through participation in limited partnerships and limited liability companies.

At September 30, 2006, the composition of the Plan's investments by investment type as a percentage of total investments was as follows:

	Percentage of Portfolio
Cash and short-term investments	10.1%
Domestic investments: Equities Mutual Funds Corporate debt securities Government and agency obligations	31.0% 35.3% 8.5% 13.1%
International investments: Mutual funds Equities Corporate debt securities	1.7% 1.7% 0.3%

The following represents individual investments whose fair value (based on quoted market prices) exceeded 5% of the Plan's net assets at September 30, 2006.

ING Mayflower TR – International Value Fund Class A	\$ 14,696
MFO TCW Funds in CL I Select Equities FD	17,750
Julius Baer International Equity Fund – Class I	13,898
Total	\$ 46,344

### **Interest Rate Risk**

The Plan manages its exposure to rising interest rate risk in fair value by forecasting cash outflows and inflows. To the extent possible, an attempt will be made to match investment maturities with known cash needs and anticipated cash flow requirements.

As of September 30, 2006 the Plan had the following investments with the respective weighted average maturity in years.

	Weighted Average Maturity
Cash and short-term investments	N/A
Domestic investments: Equities	N/A
Corporate debt securities Corporate bonds	11.36
Corporate bond mutual funds Government and agency obligations	N/A
Federal Home Loan Bank Federal National Mortgage Association	9.65 16.66
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation U.S. Treasury bills	21.16 7.86
International investments:	1.00
Mutual funds	N/A
Equities	N/A
Corporate debt securities	16.31

## Foreign Currency Risk

The Plan's exposure to foreign currency risk is as follows: (in thousands)

	Currency	Fair e (in U.S. ollars)
International equities:		
Common stock	Canadian Dollars	\$ 1,977
Common stock	British Pounds	112
Common stock	Chinese Yuan Renminbi	257
Common stock	Hong Kong Dollars	205
Common stock	Korean Won	216
		\$ 2,767
International corporate debt sec	curities:	
Corporate bonds	Canadian Dollars	201
Corporate bonds	Euros	286
Corporate bonds	British Pounds	59
-		\$ 546

In addition, at September 30, 2006, the Plan's investments include approximately \$28,595,000 in mutual funds which principally invest in international stocks and other international securities. Although these

mutual funds are United States dollar-denominated and United States exchange-traded, the underlying investments expose the Plan to an additional degree of foreign currency risk.

# Note 10 - Contingencies and Commitments

#### Environmental Matters

In August 1993, the Miami-Dade County Aviation Department ("MDAD" or "Aviation Department") and the Dade County Department of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) entered into a Consent Order. Under the Consent Order, the Aviation Department was required to correct environmental violations resulting from various tenants' failure to comply with their environmental obligations at the Airport including those facilities previously occupied by Eastern Airlines and Pan Am Airlines. In addition, the Aviation Department had a preliminary study performed by an independent engineering firm to estimate the cost to correct the environmental violations noted in the Consent Order. This study was used as a basis to record the environmental remediation liability as of September 30, 1993. In each subsequent year, the Aviation Department has received an updated study performed by MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, f/k/a LAW Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. (LAW), an independent engineering firm to further update the estimated costs to correct the environmental violations noted in the Consent Order based on additional information and further refinement of estimated costs to be incurred.

As a result of the updated study and costs incurred in fiscal year 2006, the total cumulative estimate to correct such violations was \$256 million. This estimate allows for uncertainties as to the nature and extent of environmental reparations and the methods, which must be employed for the remediation. The cumulative amount of environmental expenditures spent through September 30, 2006 approximated \$120.5 million.

During fiscal year 1998, a new Consent Order ("FDEP Consent Order") was signed with the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP"). The new FDEP Consent Order encompasses and replaces the DERM agreement and includes additional locations. The FDEP Consent Order includes all locations at the Miami International Airport (MIA) that are contaminated, as well as additional sites where contamination is suspected. The Aviation Department included other sites where contamination is suspected in the FDEP Consent Order under a "Protective Filing". If contamination is documented at these sites, the State would be required to incur the costs of remediation. Because the State will be required to pay for remediation of sites filed in the Protective Filing and because the contamination at the sites is unknown, an accrual amount is not reflected in the Opinion of Cost report.

In February 1999, the Aviation Department settled its Inland Protection Trust Fund ("IPTF") case with FDEP concerning the cleanup of the sites formerly occupied by Eastern Airlines that are petroleum contaminated and are eligible for reimbursement. The settlement allocates \$1.7 million per year for a period of five years to clean up those sites that impact the current Capital Improvement Program. As of September 30, 2006, the Aviation Department spent \$54 million and has received approximately \$42 million of cost reimbursement from the State and insurance companies.

Currently, the County has several pending lawsuits in State Court against the Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs") and insurers to address recovery of past and future damages associated with the County's liability under the FDEP Consent Order.

The Aviation Department has recorded a liability of \$135.7 million at September 30, 2006, representing the unexpended environmental remediation costs based on the Opinion of Cost performed by MACTEC. Management has allocated a portion of bond proceeds to fund this obligation and believes that the remaining amount can be funded from recoveries and the operations of the Aviation Department. The liability recorded by the Aviation Department does not include an estimate of any environmental violations at the three general aviation airports or at the two training airports. Management is not aware of any such liabilities and the occurrence of any would not be material to the financial statements.

In addition to the studies conducted to determine the environmental damage to the sites occupied by Eastern and Pan Am, the Aviation Department caused studies to be performed to determine the amount required to remove or otherwise contain the asbestos in certain buildings occupied by the airlines. The Aviation Department has also estimated the amount required to remove or otherwise contain the asbestos in buildings other than those occupied by Eastern and Pan Am. The studies estimate the cost to correct such damage related to all buildings to be approximately \$4.5 million. Such amounts do not represent a liability of the Aviation Department until such time as a decision is made by the Aviation Department's management to make certain modifications to the buildings, which would require the Aviation Department to correct such matters. As such, no liability was recorded at September 30, 2006.

#### Settlement Agreement

In 1993, the County entered into a settlement agreement with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP") resulting in very limited restrictions on new sewer construction in certain areas of the County until adequate capacity becomes available in the wastewater system. Subsequently, in 1994 and 1995, two consent decrees were entered into with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") whereby the County accelerated its improvement program of the wastewater system, subject to a schedule of stipulated penalties if certain established completion dates are not met.

On April 29, 2004, the Consent Order, OGC File No. 03-1376, was entered into between the State of Florida Department of Environment Protection and Miami-Dade County. It requires the County to provide high level disinfection for the effluent prior to injection, by April 2009. The total project cost of these improvements is approximately \$505 million.

On May 10, 2006, Miami-Dade County and the South Florida Water Management District entered into an Interim Consumption Use Authorization and Agreement to authorize the withdrawal of up to 349.75 million gallons of water per day from the existing wellfields, for a duration of 18 months, to allow the County time to complete all the tasks required to complete a pending permit application for a twenty year consumptive use permit. The Agreement requires that all the water needed for future demands come from alternative sources.

#### **Closure and Postclosure Care Costs**

Current laws and regulations require the County to place final covers on landfill cells as they are closed and perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill cell sites for thirty years after closure. These laws and regulations also require the County, on an annual basis, to disclose the extent of its financial responsibility for the costs involved, which are referred to as "closure and postclosure care" costs. The County was in compliance with these requirements as of September 30, 2006.

At September 30, 2006, the County's total liability for landfill closure and postclosure care costs was approximately \$103.7 million. Of this amount, \$69.8 million relates to active landfills and approximately \$33.9 million relates to inactive landfills.

The County accounts for and discloses closure and postclosure care costs in accordance with GASB Statement No. 18 Accounting for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure and Postclosure Care Costs (the "Statement"). The Statement requires, among other matters: (1) that the liability for closure and postclosure care costs be estimated based on applicable federal, state or local regulations that were in existence as of the balance sheet date, (2) that the cost estimates be reevaluated and adjusted on an annual basis for changes due to inflation or deflation, or for changes due to advancements in technology, (3) that a portion of these estimated closure and postclosure costs be recognized in each operating period that the landfill is active, based on the amount of waste received during the period, even though the majority of the costs will not be disbursed until the landfill cells are closed, and (4) that changes in the estimated costs for closure and postclosure care which occur after the landfill stops accepting waste are recognized entirely in the period of the change.

Expenses for closure and postclosure care are funded from bond proceeds, of which the principal and interest are subsequently repaid from Utility Service Fees assessed on all countywide water and wastewater users, in accordance with Chapter 24 of the Dade County Code (the "Code"). Under the Code, funds collected from this fee can be used for solid waste landfill closure and postclosure care costs that are the financial responsibility of the County, for environmental remediation at landfill sites, and for land acquired to protect groundwater.

*Active Landfills* - Active landfills consist of the North Dade Landfill, the South Dade Landfill, and the Resources Recovery Ashfill.

The liability balance of \$69.8 million as of September 30, 2006, represents an increase of approximately \$8.9 million when compared to the preceding year. This resulted from the combined effects of (1) a net amortization credit of approximately \$9.1 million in the current period adjusting the recorded liability to the amount required to be recognized based on the current estimates for closure and postclosure care costs and the use of approximately 83.0% of the existing landfill capacity, and (2) reductions of approximately \$266,000 for amounts paid or due to vendors actually performing closure or postclosure work during the current period on closed "cells" of active landfills.

Unrecognized costs of approximately \$25.4 million as of September 30, 2006 will be amortized on a current basis as the existing estimated capacity of approximately 5.8 million tons at September 30, 2006 is used. This estimated capacity is expected to last until 2010 based on current waste flows.

*Inactive Landfills -* Inactive landfills consist of the Main Landfill at 58th Street, the Ojus Landfill, and the old South Dade Landfill.

The liability balance of the inactive landfills as of September 30, 2006 is approximately \$33.9 million. When compared to the preceding year, the liability balance increased approximately \$1.6 million reflecting the offsetting effects of (1) expenses recognized in the current period of approximately \$1.7 million and (2) reductions of approximately \$93,000 for amounts paid or due to vendors actually performing closure and postclosure work during the current period.

## **Construction Commitments**

As of September 30, 2006, the County's enterprise funds had contracts and commitments totaling \$1.625 billion, as follows:

- Miami-Dade Transit, \$90.1 million;
- Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department, \$18.9 million;
- Public Health Trust, \$323.9 million;
- Aviation Department, \$1.1 billion;
- Solid Waste Department, \$.9 million; and
- Miami-Dade Seaport Department, \$91.2 million.

The Reserve for Encumbrances at September 30, 2006, for the Capital Project Funds reflect construction commitments entered into by the County. The following table sets forth these commitments by program classification (in thousands):

Street and Safety Improvements	\$ 93,894
Recreational Facilities and Cultural Improvements	36,099
Public Safety Facilities	15,218
Judicial and Correctional Facilities	9,506
Physical Environment	9,070
Health	2,757
General Governmental Facilities	 21,336
Total	\$ 187,880

## **Gantry Cranes Operating Agreement**

The Seaport's gantry crane operation had been maintained by a private company (the "Operating Company") under a restated and amended operating agreement dated November 1, 1988. During 1997, certain activities of the Operating Company came under investigation by local, state and federal authorities to determine whether user fees belonging to the County were spent by the Operating Company for improper or illegal purposes. In addition, County investigation indicates that shipping companies may not have been billed or were under billed for gantry crane services. This contract was terminated by the County on May 19, 1998.

During the term of the Restated and Amended Agreement, the County received approximately \$3.9 million (cumulatively) from the Operating Company for user fees in excess of the amounts retained. In addition, the County believes the Operating Company has an obligation to repay certain operating advances and ground lease rentals of approximately \$11.5 million that carried forward from the previous agreement, plus accrued interest thereon. This obligation has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements due to uncertainty of receipt. Such balances accrue simple interest at an annual rate of 7.8% and are reduced by excess usage fees paid by the Operating Company. The Seaport has received approximately \$500,000 (cumulatively) from the Operating Company for excess usage fees. The County believes that collection of any amounts owed by the Operating Company pursuant to the Agreement is doubtful due to the negative net worth of the Operating Company.

The County has filed a claim against the Operating Company for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, civil theft, and declaratory relief, among others. The County believes it has a claim against the Operating Company for recovery of improper expenditures. The full amount has not been determined. The County has concluded at this time that it is not possible to determine the amount, if any, that may be collectible from the Operating Company, if it is determined that amounts were spent improperly. Therefore, no amounts have been recorded in the accompanying financial statements.

The Operating Company has filed a counterclaim against the County alleging that Seaport officials required them to pay for expenses that were not related to gantry crane activities; therefore, creating deficits that could have been used to reduce amounts owed to the Seaport. Management does not believe this will have an adverse effect on the financial statements of the Seaport.

On May 19, 1998, pursuant to Resolutions R-456-98 and R-514-98, the County terminated the Agreement with the Operating Company and entered into an Interim Gantry Crane Management Agreement (the "Interim Agreement") with a company (the "Interim Operator") to take over the maintenance of the gantry cranes.

On June 6, 1999, the Board of County Commissioners adopted Resolution R-671-99 adopting in principle the Crane Maintenance Company Business Plan proposed by the Seaport and recommended by the

County Manager. This plan provided for the creation of a not-for profit-company, Port of Miami Crane Management, Inc. ("Crane Management"), to replace the Interim Operator. On August 5, 2002, the County and Crane Management entered into an Agreement for maintenance and management of the container handling cranes and cargo handling equipment at the Port. The term of the Agreement is for a period of five years with a renewal option for another five years at the County's sole discretion. Crane Management became fully operational in October 2002 and took over the maintenance of the Port's gantry cranes. Crane Management is responsible to a board of directors appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, the County Manager, the Port Director, and Port users. Container crane user revenues for fiscal year 2006 totaled \$10.3 million.

## **Dredging Project**

The Seaport Department entered into a contract in 1994 with a dredging company for the dredging of the Port of Miami's south channel. The total cost of the project, including two approved change orders, was approximately \$40.5 million. The performance of the contractual obligation was backed by a performance bond. In January 1997, the dredging company filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and shortly thereafter demobilized its equipment and abandoned the project. In March 1998, the dredging company rejected the contract and prompted the County to make formal demand on the performance bond company ("bond company"). When the bond company neither tendered the amount of the bond to the County, nor promptly started the project, the County filed suit against the bond company.

Also, during fiscal year 1998, the County discovered that the dredging company had billed the County approximately \$29.3 million. However, they had only completed \$19.4 million worth of dredging project, therefore over-billing the Seaport for approximately \$9.9 million. The Seaport has recorded the amount of the overpayment as a construction advance.

During 1999, the bond company brought in a replacement contractor to complete the unfinished work. Subsequently, the replacement contractor abandoned the project leaving a significant amount of dredging work pending. The County sought to recover the overpayment made by filing a proof of claim in the dredging company's bankruptcy proceeding, as well as initiated a civil suit against the bond company. The bond company in turn filed a counterclaim against the County, seeking approximately \$29 million in alleged damages. Additional portions of the project have been completed, thereby reducing the estimated advance to approximately \$8.9 million.

On January 24, 2006, the County and bond company reached a settlement whereby the bonding company waived all rights to counterclaims and will pay a total of \$22.5 million to the Seaport Department. On February 24, 2006, the Seaport received \$21.3 million with additional payments totaling \$1.2 million to follow over the next 24 months.

## Consent Order

During fiscal year 2002, the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners authorized the County Manager to execute a Consent Order between the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP") and Miami-Dade County for settlement of Miami Harbor dredging permit violations committed by the Seaport's former dredging contractor. Accordingly, the Seaport recognized an expense and related liability for the fiscal year 2002 in the amount of \$2.5 million, which was the amount estimated to satisfy the Consent Order. As of September 30, 2006, \$2.4 million was the remaining balance. The County is pursuing potential reimbursement opportunities through the United States Corps of engineers Miami federal harbor Project.

#### Building Lease/Terminal Usage Agreements

The Seaport entered into an office building lease agreement (the "Agreement") with one of its cruise line customers (the "Lessee") to finance and construct an office building and related improvements (the "Building") at the Seaport. The Building was to be occupied and used by the Lessee. The Seaport would assume any financing, up to a maximum of \$16.6 million, enter into an agreement for the Lessee to finance the construction of the Building and would possess fee simple title to the Building. Under terms of

the Agreement, the Lessee is to pay base rent of an amount per year equal to the debt service payments on the financing assumed by the Seaport.

The construction of the Building has been completed; however, the Seaport and the Lessee are currently in dispute over certain terms and conditions of the Agreement. As a result, the Seaport has neither assumed any financing which may have been entered into by the Lessee to finance the construction of the Building nor possesses fee simple title to the Building. Until the Seaport obtains title to the building and assumes any debt and any other uncertainties regarding the contract are resolved, the County does not plan to include such asset and related liability, if any, in its financial statements to reflect the effects of the items described herein.

During fiscal years 1998 and 1999, the County approved various resolutions authorizing the County Manager to execute terminal usage agreements with two major cruise lines (the "Lines") and a terminal operating agreement with one of the cargo terminal operators. These agreements provide certain wharfage and dockage incentive discounts from the published tariff in return for annual revenue guarantees and preferential berthing arrangements at certain terminal facilities. The cargo terminal operator agreement also provides for container yard improvements and reduced reefer rates.

The terminal usage agreements are 15 year contracts with five-year renewal options wherein each line guarantees to pay minimum annual revenues of not less than \$6.5 million in the first year and increasing annually thereafter during the initial term of the agreements. The lines receive incentive discounts ranging from 27% to a maximum of 33% from the published wharfage and dockage rates. Annual dockage and wharfage increases are capped, with only one increase per annum.

#### Interlocal Agreement

The County entered into an interlocal agreement (the "Interlocal Agreement") with the City of Miami Beach, Florida (the "City") in 1996 regarding the use and disposition of the two-thirds (2/3) portion of the Convention Development Tax (the "Tax"). The Tax is imposed by the County, pursuant to Section 212.0305(4)(b) of the Florida Statutes, on the leasing or letting of transient rental accommodations. Prior to this agreement, the Tax proceeds were collected by the County and remitted to the City of Miami Beach as security for the payment of debt service on any bonds secured by the Tax. The Interlocal Agreement provides that the tax proceeds be held by the County for projects permitted by State law and distributed after debt service is paid on the Miami-Dade County Special Obligation and Refunding Bonds Taxable Series 1996A and Series 1996B (the "1996 Senior Lien Bonds") and an annual operating subsidy payment of \$1.5 million (the "Operating Subsidy") is remitted to the City for the Miami Beach Convention Center Complex (the "Complex").

During fiscal year 1998, the County issued three series of bonds (the "1997 Subordinate Bonds") at one time. The 1997 Subordinate Bonds were comprised of the Subordinate Special Obligation Refunding Series 1997A, in the amount of \$86,570,856, Subordinate Special Obligation Bonds, Series 1997B, in the amount of \$170,008,377 and Subordinate Special Obligation Bonds, Series 1997C in the amount of \$41,961,440. The 1997 bond proceeds were used to refund a portion of the 1996 Senior Lien Bonds, to provide additional funds for the construction of the performing arts center (the "Downtown PAC"), to renovate and construct other cultural facilities and to acquire real property for the construction of a new multi-purpose professional sports facility in the City of Miami (the "Arena Project"). The 1996 Senior Lien Bonds and the Operating Subsidy have a first lien on the Tax that is superior to the lien on the Tax in favor of the 1997 Subordinate Bonds.

In 2001, the City and the County amended the Interlocal Agreement to provide, among other matters, for an increase in the Operating Subsidy to \$3 million for April 1, 2002 and to \$4.5 million on each April 1 thereafter until the end of the term of the Agreement. It also provided that the County and the City would share in any Tax proceeds that exceed a certain growth factor for each year commencing in 2004. Moreover, the County agreed to fund \$15 million of capital improvements for the Complex from the next bond transaction in which the Tax is pledged and to pay an additional \$50 million in available tax proceeds to the City if the Tax is not pledged to a new baseball stadium by December 2003. The County Commission prior to the December 2003 deadline pledged the revenues for a new baseball stadium. The additional payments to the City agreed to in the amendment to the Interlocal Agreement are payable from Tax proceeds only and are subordinate to the lien on the Tax in favor of the 1996 Senior Bonds, the original \$1.5 million Operating Subsidy and the 1997 Subordinate Bonds.

#### Agreements with Airlines

The County has entered into agreements (the Airline Agreements) with various airlines using the Miami International Airport (MIA) which, among other things, provide for the establishment and adjustment of certain landing fees for aircraft landing at MIA. The original Airline Agreements entered into prior to the date of the Trust Agreement had significantly restricted the County in its imposition of landing charges payable by such airlines. As a result of these restrictions and in order to provide sufficient revenues to the County as required by the Trust Agreement, the original Airline Agreements were amended in 1974 to provide for a Supplemental Landing Charge which may be adjusted by the County when needed to assure that sufficient revenues are generated to meet the rate covenant requirements of the Trust Agreements. All provisions of the Airline Agreements which restricted the County in its imposition of basic landing charges to meet the Trust Agreement requirements and other funding requirements of the airport system. A new Airline Use Agreement was adopted during fiscal year 2001 – 2002.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Airline Use Agreements, deposits in the Improvement Account in excess of \$5 million, in any fiscal year, adjusted annually by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) with a cumulative cap of \$15 million, which can be used for any airport-related purpose, are to be transferred to the Revenue Fund (the Revenue Account) and to be taken into consideration in determining landing fees for the next fiscal year, unless otherwise agreed to by the airlines. At September 30, 2006 there were excess deposits of approximately \$42,946,000, which were transferred to the Revenue Account during the following January.

#### **Other Commitments**

## Legal Contingencies

The County is a defendant to other legal proceedings that occur in the normal course of operations. In the opinion of the County Attorney, the ultimate resolution of these legal proceedings are not likely to have a material, adverse impact on the financial position of the County or the affected funds.

## Departure Incentive Program

The County offered a Departure Incentive Program (the "Program") to employees with ten years of continuous service who were eligible for an unreduced Florida Retirement System benefit on or before January 31, 1996, and to employees who completed 20 years or more of continuous service, regardless of age, on or before January 31, 1996. The Program offered single health insurance coverage in a County approved group health plan or a \$300 a month cash payment for a minimum of eight years or until the employee becomes eligible for Medicare. The total estimated cost of the Program, discounted at 5%, is approximately \$3,658,000 and is recorded in long-term debt.

#### Arbitrage Rebates

At September 30, 2006, the County recorded obligations to rebate arbitrage interest earnings on certain General Obligation and Special Obligation Refunding and Equipment Floating Bonds (the "Bonds") issued after the passage of the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The proceeds of the bonds were used to refund existing debt and to finance certain capital projects and acquisitions accounted for within the governmental and proprietary fund types of the County.

The rebate to the Federal Government, required to be paid within five years from the date of issuance and each five years thereafter, is estimated to be approximately \$2.2 million as of September 30, 2006. The liability related to governmental activities, not expected to be paid with available financial resources, is \$.05 million and is recorded in long-term debt. The liability related to the enterprise funds at September 30, 2006 amounted to \$2.2 million, and is also included in long-term debt. The ultimate amount of the County's obligation will be determined based on actual interest earned.

## Federal and State Grants

Federal grant awards are audited in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and state grants are audited in accordance with Florida Rules of the Auditor General, Section 10.550 and the State of Florida Single Audit Act to determine that the terms and conditions of the grant awards have been complied with. Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit adjustment by grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable fund. It is the County management's opinion that no material liabilities will result from any such audits.

On March 20, 2003, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of the Inspector General (OIG) issued Report No. AV-2003-030 entitled *Oversight of Airport Revenue* in connection with their audit of amounts paid to Miami-Dade County by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD). The OIG reported Miami-Dade County diverted MDAD revenues of approximately \$38 million from 1995 to 2000. On August 9, 2005, upon receiving additional information from MDAD, the OIG agreed to adjust the finding to \$8.1 million, plus interest. The *Oversight of Airport Revenue* report was then updated to include the years 2001 through 2005, and the total diversion of revenues was increased to \$12 million, plus interest of \$2.3 million for a total of \$14.3 million. Miami-Dade County repaid MDAD \$1 million annually during fiscal years 2004 through 2006, leaving an unpaid balance of \$11.3 million at September 30, 2006. The \$11.3 million will be repaid by Miami-Dade County in quarterly installments of \$564,251 over the next five fiscal years beginning October 1, 2006.

#### Annual Operating Agreement

In accordance with the operating agreement between the Public Health Trust (the "Trust") and the University of Miami (the "University"), the Trust pays certain amounts for staff and services to be provided by the University. Under the annual operating agreement, costs incurred by the Trust for the year ended September 30, 2006 were approximately \$121,404,000. At September 30, 2006 the Trust had a liability to the University of approximately \$2,851,000.

# Note 11 – Restatements - Prior Period Adjustments

#### Fund statements

The effect of the Miami-Dade Housing Agency's restatement of fund balance / net assets in the fund statements is as follows (in thousands):

	Non-major rnmental Funds	Non-major Enterprise Funds		
At September 30, 2005: Fund balance / net assets- As previously reported	\$ 1,415,394	\$	67,297	
Adjustment: (1) To close out account balances in MDHA's debt service fund	(7,710)			
(2) To adjust fund balance of MDHA's Mixed Income Properties fund			(99)	
Fund balance / net assets - restated	\$ 1,407,684	\$	67,198	

# Government-wide statements

The effect of the Miami-Dade Housing Agency's restatement of fund balance / net assets in the government-wide statements is as follows (in thousands):

	vernmental Activities	siness-type Activities
At September 30, 2005: Net assets-As previously reported	\$ 2,248,511	\$ 4,888,702
Adjustment: (1) To close out balances in debt service funds	(7,710)	
(2) To write off capital assets	(57,008)	
<ul><li>(3) To remove HUD long-term debt</li></ul>	65,400	
(4) To remove accrued interest on	13,918	
(5) To adjust other assets	1,867	
(6) To adjust Mixed Income Properties of the Miami-Dade Housing Agency		
Total Adjustments	 16,467	 (99) (99)
Net assets - restated	\$ 2,264,978	\$ 4,888,603

# Note 12 – Implementation of New Accounting Standards

During fiscal year 2006, Miami-Dade County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 42 — *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Impairment of Capital Assets and for Insurance Recoveries* — ("GASB 42"). GASB 42 establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for impairment of capital assets. Additionally, it clarifies and establishes accounting requirements for insurance recoveries. The adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the County's financial statements, including required disclosures.

During fiscal year 2006, Miami-Dade County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 44 — *Economic Condition Reporting: the Statistical Section* — ("GASB 44"). GASB 44 amends the portions of NCGA Statement 1, Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Principles, that guide the preparation of the statistical section. The information contained in the statistical section should assist the user of the financial statements assess the economic condition of the County.

During fiscal year 2006, the Miami-Dade County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 46 — *Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 34* — ("GASB 46"). GASB 46 clarifies the meaning of the phrase *legally enforceable* as it applies to restrictions imposed on net asset use by enabling legislation. Additionally, GASB 46 establishes the accounting and financial reporting requirements if new enabling legislation replaces existing enabling legislation or if legal enforceability is reevaluated. GASB 46 also requires the disclosure of the portion of total net assets that is restricted by enabling legislation. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the financial statements of the County. The required disclosures are included in Note 1-C.

During fiscal year 2006, Miami-Dade County adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 47 — *Accounting for Termination Benefits* — ("GASB 47"). GASB 47 establishes accounting standards for termination benefits. The adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the financial statements of the County, including required disclosures.

(This page left blank intentionally.)

# Note 13 - Interfund Transfers and Balances

(in thousands)

			TRANSFER FROM			
		General Fund	onmajor vernmental	Miami-Dade Transit	Solid Waste Department	
т	General Fund		\$ 19,313			
R	Nonmajor Governmental	\$ 227,732	85,725			
Α	Miami-Dade Transit Department	132,426	118,079			
N.	Public Health Trust	140,424	189,700			
	All Others	485				
т						
0	Total Transfer Out	\$ 501,067	\$ 412,817			

Transfers are used to (1) move revenues between funds because legislation or budget requirements exist that require the funds to be collected in a fund different from the one in which the funds will be expended, (2) move receipts from funds collecting receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, and (3) move unrestricted funds collected in the general fund to other funds to finance programs accounted for in the other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations.

TRANSFER FROM									
aport artment	Aviation Department		Water and Sewer partment	Public Health Trust		All hers	Internal Service Fund	T	Total ransfer In
\$ 289		\$	22,868		\$	41		\$	42,181 313,787 250,505 330,124 485
\$ 289		\$	22,868		\$	41		\$	937,082

# Note 13 - Interfund Transfers and Balances

(in thousands)

		DUE FROM								
			General Fund	Nonmajor Governmental		Miami-Dade Transit		Solid Waste Department		
D	General Fund			\$	47,104	\$	176,918	\$	1,504	
U	Nonmajor Governmental	\$	4,057		1,281		47,808			
Е	Internal Service Fund				416		11,355		1,946	
	Miami-Dade Transit Department				3,014					
	Solid Waste Department				4,171					
Т	Aviation Department		26,737		2,245					
0	Water and Sewer Department				9,805					
	Public Health Trust				30,807					
	Housing									
	Total Due to Other Funds	\$	30,794	\$	98,843	\$	236,081	\$	3,450	

The General Fund balance of \$176,918 million due from Miami-DadeTransit includes a long-term receivable of \$40 million not scheduled to be collected in the subsequent year. The Nonmajor Governmental Funds balance of \$47,808 due from Miami-Dade Transit includes a long-term receivable of \$45,511 not scheduled to be collected in the subsequent year. All remaining balances are the result of a timing difference between the dates the (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, (2) transactions are recorded in the accounting system, (3) payments between the funds are made and (4) consistent with County policy, cover cash deficits at year end. Specific information regarding cash deficits can be found in Note 3.

(Continued)

Seaport Department		Aviation Department		Water and Sewer Department		DUE FROM Public Health Trust		All Others	Internal Service Fund	Total Due to Other Funds		
\$	2,186		\$	8,403	\$	16,913			\$	262,661 60,291		
	168		4,568 10,165		1,615 2,145		962 18,020				44,215	
			,		2,		10/020				3,014	
											4,171	
											28,982	
											9,805	
											30,807	
\$	2,354	\$	24,366	\$	12,163	\$	35,895			\$	443,946	

# Note 14 – Subsequent Events

#### Wholesale and retail water rate increases

In September 2006, the Board of County Commissioners approved the County Budget Ordinance which provided for increases to the Hialeah and Miami Springs wholesale water rate for the Water and Sewer Department effective on October 1, 2006 and also approved retail rate increases effective January 1, 2007. The Ordinance also approved other miscellaneous fee changes effective October 1, 2006.

#### Landfill Closure Grant Agreement

On November 20, 2006, the County and the City of Homestead Florida (the "City") entered into a Landfill Closure Agreement (the "Agreement"). Under the Agreement, the County agreed to provide certain grant funds to the City for the cost of financing the remediation and closure of the City's Landfill Site. On January 25, 2007, the Solid Waste Department transferred \$7.5 million to an interest bearing escrow account for the purposes set forth in the Agreement. The terms of the Agreement prescribe reimbursement to the City from the escrow account based on invoices or draw requests for eligible costs. Because of the cost-reimbursement nature of the Agreement, the Solid Waste Department will recognize grant expenses as the City incurs and presents documentation for reimbursements of eligible reimbursable costs.

#### Transfer approved by the Miami-Dade County, Florida, Board of County Commissioners

On January 25, 2007, the Board of County Commissioners approved to fund unbudgeted hurricanerelated expenses with combined system unrestricted reserves. The unbudgeted hurricane-related expenses resulted from excess disposal costs, unbudgeted overtime and fleet costs ensuing from the storms service delivery activities. The Supplemental Schedules of Net Assets and Supplemental Schedules of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net assets for the Solid Waste Department's disposal and collection activities included under Supplementary Financial Information in the Solid Waste Comprehensive Annual Financial Report reflect the impact of this \$20.1 million transfer. This \$20.1 million inter-fund transfer had no impact on the combined financial statements.

#### Miami-Dade Housing Agency

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has been in discussions with the Miami-Dade Housing Agency's management, County administrators, the County Mayor and representatives of the Board of County Commissioners concerning the transfer of the governance structure from the local authority to the federal authority. Management cannot determine the outcome of these discussions at this time.