Memorandum

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

Date: April 7, 2009

To: Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss and
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: George M. Burgess
County Manager

Agenda Item No. 8(A)(1)(A)

Subject:
Contract Award Recommendation between Merkury Corporation d/b/a Merkury Development and Miami-Dade County for the Refurbishment of MDAD Buildings 3094 & MDAD Project No. C-055A in the amount of $5,843,250.

Recommendation
The attached contract award recommendation in the amount of $5,843,250, between Merkury Development and Miami-Dade County has been prepared by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) and is recommended for approval.

Delegation of Authority
The authority of the County Mayor or his designee to execute and implement this contract is consistent with those authorities granted under the Code of Miami-Dade County. No additional delegation of authorities is requested for this contract.

Scope

PROJECT NAME: Refurbishment of MDAD Buildings 3094 and 3095

PROJECT NO.: C-055A

CONTRACT NO.: C-055A

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The general repair and refurbishment of a seven-story post-tensioned, exposed concrete parking garage (Building 3094) and general repair and refurbishment of the exterior exposed concrete and corrugated steel panel siding of an eleven-story hangar (Building 3095) at Miami international Airport. The work includes repairs and coating for exposed concrete throughout the parking garage and exposed exterior concrete walls of airplane hangar; sealing of all joints in the parking garage; repairs and painting of exterior metal siding of the airplane hangar; repair and painting of drainage system (including trench drains, curb scuppers and pipes) in the parking garage; general repairs and painting of all prefabricated stairs and railings throughout the parking garage (including (4) seven story stairwell towers); general repair of miscellaneous items throughout the parking garage (including bollards, hollow-metal doors, louvers, windows, light poles); re-striping the pavement markings of the parking garage; several electrical related repairs and refurbishment including disconnection and reconnection of lighting to emergency power.

PROJECT LOCATION:	Miami International Airport
PRIMARY COMMISSION DISTRICT:	District 6
APPROVAL PATH:	Board of County Commissioners
USING DEPARTMENT:	Miami Dade Aviation Department
MANAGING DEPARTMENT:	Miami Dade Aviation Department

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:

OPERATIONS COST IMPACT/FUNDING:	This contract will be utilized to bring the present structure into compliance with all current applicable codes and regulations as stipulated in the South Florida Building Code and the National Fire Prevention Association. There are no annual operation costs associated with this contract.
MAINTENANCE COST IMPACT/FUNDING:	This contract will be utilized to bring the present structure into compliance with all current applicable codes and regulations as stipulated in the South Florida Building Code and the National Fire Prevention Association. There are no annual maintenance costs associated with this contract.
LIFE EXPECTANCY OF ASSET:	Refurbishment will provide an additional 40 years life expectancy to the facilities.

FUNDING SOURCE:	**SOURCE**	**AMOUNT**
	Airport Development Funds (ADF)	$8,926,000.00

PIP FUNDING:	No
GOB FUNDING:	No

CAPITAL BUDGET PROJECT:	**PROJECT/DESCRIPTION ESTIMATE**	**AWARD BUDGET**
	Airport Development Funds (ADF)	$8,926,000.00

BID PACKAGES ISSUED:	14 (4 reviewed at A/E's office)
BIDS RECEIVED:	8 (1 non-responsive)
BIDDING PROCESS:	This award recommendation is the result of a traditional straight bid. Merkury Development was the low bidder at $5,843,250.00 or 18.8%

	below the A/E estimate. The second low bidder was Worth Contracting with a bid of $6,580,149.98 or 18.5% below A/E estimate. The third low bidder was Thorton Construction with a bid of $6,710,034.40 or 6.7% below A/E estimate. The complete bid tabulations are attached.
CONTRACT PERIOD:	365 calendar days
IG FEE INCLUDED IN BASE CONTRACT:	Yes
ART IN PUBLIC PLACES:	N/A
A/E ESTIMATE:	$7,192,802.00
BASE CONTRACT AMOUNT:	$5,300,000.00
CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE (SECTION 2-8.1 MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE):	$530,000.00 (10% Construction Allowance Account)
INSPECTOR GENERAL	
AUDIT ACCOUNT:	$13,250.00
TOTAL AMOUNT:	$5,843,250
Track Record/Monitor:	
PRIME CONTRACTOR:	Merkury Corporation d/b/a Merkury Development
COMPANY PRINCIPAL(S):	Paul Tolles, President Ruben Allen, Vice President
COMPANY E-MAIL ADDRESS:	ruben@merkurydevelopment.com
COMPANY ADDRESS:	7300 Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 204 Miami, FL. 33138
YEARS IN BUINESS:	Eighteen years
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE WITH COUNTY IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS:	Ten (10) contracts with a total contract value of $17,852,913.47 (see attached firm's history report)
CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE:	Merkury Development has a 3.6 average rating out of a possible superior performance of 4.0 for the contracts listed in the Capital Improvement Information System (CIIS) database.

SBD HISTORY OF VIOLATIONS:	One (1) SBD violation was filed on file 9/16/2004 for deviation from the Schedule of Intent. This issues was resolved and the violation was closed 10/24/2006	
BID OPENING DATE:	October 10, 2008	
BID BOND EXPIRES:	April 6, 2009	
BID VALID UNTIL:	April 6, 2009	
ESTIMATED NOTICE TO PROCEED:	March 30, 2009	
REVIEW COMMITTEE ASSIGNED CONTRACT MEASURES:	CSBE - 24%	$1,726,272.00 based on original cost estimate **$1,402,320.00 based on actual base contract**
	CWP - 29%	35/10 work force recommended of original cost estimate **40/12 work forced intended based on actual base contract.**
SUBCONTRACTOR(S) AND SUPPLIERS (SECTION 10-34 MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE):	Merkury Development (Certified CSBE) 12% Solares Electrical Service 6% C L Elios Construction 6%	
MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS EXCEED LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:	Yes	
STANDARD PAYMENT AND PERFORMANCE BOND:	Yes	
REVIEW COMMITTEE:	**MEETING DATE:** March 5, 2008 **SIGNOFF DATE: March 5, 2008** RC goals verified. Project was advertised in June 2008, well within the 180 days of review for the goals to remain valid	
RESPONSIBLE WAGES:	Yes	
MANDATORY CLEARING HOUSE:	Yes	
PROJECT MANAGER'S NAME/PHONE/EMAIL:	Darrell Palmer/(305) 876-7565)/dpalmer@miami-airport.com	

Assistant County Manager

MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: April 7, 2009

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr. County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(A)(1)(A)

Please note any items checked.

_____ **"4-Day Rule" ("3-Day Rule" for committees) applicable if raised**

_____ **6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing**

_____ **4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing**

_____ **Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget**

_____ **Budget required**

_____ **Statement of fiscal impact required**

_____ **Bid waiver requiring County Mayor's written recommendation**

_____ **Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing**

_____ **Housekeeping item (no policy decision required)**

_____ **No committee review**

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(A)(1)(A)
4-7-09

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT FOR THE REFURBISHMENT OF MDAD BUILDINGS 3094 and 3095, PROJECT NO. C-055A, TO MERKURY CORPORATION d/b/a MERKURY DEVELOPMENT, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $5,843,250.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, AND TO EXERCISE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREOF

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purpose outlined in the accompanying memorandum, for the property described in the accompanying County Deed, copies of which are incorporated herein and made a part thereof,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board hereby approves the contract for the Refurbishment of MDAD Buildings 3094 and 3095, Project No. C-055A, to Merkury Corporation d/b/a Merkury Development, in an amount not to exceed $5,843,250.00; authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute same and to exercise renewal and termination provisions thereof.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner , who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Dennis C. Moss, Chairman
Jose "Pepe" Diaz, Vice-Chairman

Bruno A. Barreiro	Audrey M. Edmonson
Carlos A. Gimenez	Sally A. Heyman
Barbara J. Jordan	Joe A. Martinez
Dorrin D. Rolle	Natacha Seijas
Katy Sorenson	Rebeca Sosa
Sen. Javier D. Souto	

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 7th day of April, 2009. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By:_____
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency. DBM

Deborah B. Mastin

DOUGLAS WOOD

& ASSOCIATES, INC. □ STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS
299 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE □ SUITE 510
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33134
(305) 461-3450 FAX: (305) 461-3650
WWW.DOUGLASWOOD.BIZ

October 27, 2008 VIA EMAIL: dpalmer@miami-airport.com

Mr. Darrell Palmer
Miami-Dade Aviation Department

REFERENCE: REFURBISHMENT OF MDAD BUILDINGS 3094 + 3095
PROJECT NO.: C055A
RECOMMENDATION LETTER

Mr. Palmer:

We have concluded our review of the Envelope "B" portion of the bidders' submittal packages for the above referenced project. As you know, there were seven (7) responsive bidders and one (1) non-responsive bidder. We did not include the non-responsive bidder in our review. We determined that there were some discrepancies with the submitted information from the bidders and, as you instructed, we notified the bidders of the discrepancies. They were given two (2) days from the day they were notified of the discrepancies to resolve the issues. All bidders resolved their respective issues, and we found no other discrepancies with the envelope "B" portion of the bid submittal packages. All envelope "B" submittal documents have been received and determined to be acceptable in accordance with the requirements of the bid documents. It is our recommendation, therefore, that MDAD consider the lowest bidder, Merkury Development, for this project. Of course, we have not verified the qualifications of their sub-contractors. This information was not requested to be included in the envelope "B" submittal. It is our opinion that this information be requested and reviewed prior to awarding the contract to the bidder, especially in regards to the painting contractor, since the construction documents require a certified painter for this work.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,
DOUGLAS WOOD & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Sandro Hadjez, E.I.

8

Bid Tabulation

PROJECT NAME: **Refurbishment of MDAD Bldgs. 3094 & 3095** PROJECT No: **C055A** CIP No: _____

A/E CONSULTANT: **Douglas Wood & Associates, Inc** PROJECT MANAGER: **Darrell Palmer**

BID OPENING DATE: **October 8, 2008** BIDS VALID THRU: **April 6, 2009**

BIDDERS' NAMES

	A/E's Pre-Bid Estimate	Worth Contracting	C.S.I. Contract Specialists	A.B.C. Construction	Merkury Development	MCM Corporation	Thorton Construction
Bid Item #A.- 1	$ 6,137,702.00	$3,761,050.00	$4,474,349.30	$4,225,080.00	$3,642,000.00	$7,028,900.00	$4,584,611.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.01	$197,000.00	$1,379,000.00	$793,594.80	$1,182,000.00	$1,047,426.43	$1,273,665.00	$807,700.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.02	$48,600.00	$287,550.00	$220,320.00	$364,500.00	$216,408.21	$267,152.00	$267,300.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.03	$113,400.00	$298,200.00	$250,320.00	$336,000.00	$263,113.86	$282,551.00	$294,000.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.04	$297,000.00	$74,800.00	$73,150.00	$83,600.00	$32,574.12	$43,248.00	$9,240.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.05	$98,000.00	$29,400.00	$63,495.00	$31,500.00	$10,364.32	$18,347.00	$14,000.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.06	$272,000.00	$71,400.00	$184,260.00	$76,500.00	$25,170.50	$44,558.00	$64,600.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.07	$66,600.00	$9,900.00	$21,978.00	$13,320.00	$53,565.45	$24,791.00	$29,748.00
Bid Item #A - 2 03930.08	$22,500.00	$57,000.00	$8,750.00	$67,500.00	$9,377.11	$21,842.00	$15,000.00
Total of Bid Items A - 2	$1,115,100.00	$2,207,340.00	$1,615,867.80	$2,154,920.00	$1,658,000.00	$1,976,155.00	$1,501,588.00
Total Bid Items A-1 plus A-2	$6,524,083.00	$5,968,390.00	$6,090,217.10	$6,380,000.00	$5,300,000.00	$9,005,055.00	$6,086,199.00
Allowance Account	$652,408.00	$596,839.00	$609,021.71	$638,000.00	$530,000.00	$900,506.00	$608,619.90

Insp. General Audit Acct.	$16,310.00	$14,920.98	$15,225.54	$15,950.00	$13,250.00	$22,513.00	$15,215.50
TOTAL	$7,192,802.00	$6,580,149.98	$6,714,464.25	$7,033,950.00	$5,843,250.00	$9,928,073.00	$6,710,034.40

REMARKS: CALCULATION CORRECTIONS MADE BY A/E

MDAD/DAC Project Manager
PRINT NAME: Darrell Palmer

cc: Asst. Aviation Director-Capital Facilities Development, Assoc. Director-Minority Affairs, Facilities Section Chiefs, Contracts Administration, DAC Managers of Programs, DAC Program Controls Manager

Bid Tabulation

PROJECT NAME: **Refurbishment of MDAD Bldgs. 3094 & 3095** PROJECT No: **C055A** CIP No: _____

A/E CONSULTANT: **Douglas Wood & Associates, Inc** PROJECT MANAGER: **Darrell Palmer**

BID OPENING DATE: **October 8, 2008** BIDS VALID THRU: **April 6, 2009**

BIDDERS' NAMES

	A/E's Pre-Bid Estimate	Portland Services L.L.C	National Concrete				
Bid Item #A.- 1	$ 6,137,702.00	$3,957,000.00	NON-RESPONSIVE	$	$	$	$
Bid Item #A-- 2 03930.01	$197,000.00	$960,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A--2 03930.02	$48,600.00	$258,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item #A-2 03930.03	$113,400.00	$291,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A-2 03930.04	$297,000.00	$36,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A-2 03930.05	$98,000.00	$12,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A-2 03930.06	$272,000.00	$28,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A-2 03930.07	$66,600.00	$4,000.00		$	$	$	$
Bid Item # A-2 03930.08	$22,500.00	$64,000.00		$	$	$	$
Total of Bid Items A-2	$1,115,100.00	$1,653,000.00		$	$	$	$
Total Bid Items A-1 plus A-2	$6,524,083.00	$5,610,000.00		$	$	$	$
Allowance Account	$652,408.00	$561,00.00		$	$	$	$

Insp. General Audit Acct.	$16,310.00	$14,025.00		$	$	$	$
TOTAL	$7,192,802.00	$6,185,025.00		$	$	$	$

REMARKS: CALCULATION CORRECTIONS MADE BY A/G

MDAD/DAC Project Manager
PRINT NAME: Darrell Palmer

cc: Asst. Aviation Director-Capital Facilities Development, Assoc. Director-Minority Affairs, Facilities Section Chiefs, Contracts Administration, DAC Managers of Programs, DAC Program Controls Manager

COLLUSION AFFIDAVIT

(Code of Miami-Dade County Section 2-8.1.1 and 10-33.1) (Ordinance No. 08-113)

I, being duly first sworn, hereby state that the bidder of this contract:

☒ is not related to any of the other parties bidding in the competitive solicitation, and that the contractor's proposal is genuine and not sham or collusive or made in the interest or on behalf of any person not therein named, and that the contractor has not, directly or indirectly, induced or solicited any other proposer to put in a sham proposal, or any other person, firm, or corporation to refrain from proposing, and that the proposer has not in any manner sought by collusion to secure to the proposer an advantage over any other proposer.

OR

☐ is related to the following parties who bid in the solicitation which are identified and listed below:

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

Note: Any person or entity that fails to submit this executed affidavit shall be ineligible for contract award. In the event a recommended contractor identifies related parties in the competitive solicitation its bid shall be presumed to be collusive and the recommended contractor shall be ineligible for award unless that presumption is rebutted by presentation of evidence as to the extent of ownership, control and management of such related parties in the preparation and submittal of such bids or proposals. Related parties shall mean bidders or proposers or the principals, corporate officers, and managers thereof which have a direct or indirect ownership interest in another bidder or proposer for the same agreement or in which a parent company or the principals thereof of one (1) bidder or proposer have a direct or indirect ownership interest in another bidder or proposer for the same agreement. Bids or proposals found to be collusive shall be rejected.

By: Ruben Allen — Signature of Affiant

1/16 2009 — Date

Ruben Allen — Printed Name of Affiant and Title

016-1219055 — Federal Employer Identification Number

MerKury Development — Printed Name of Firm

7300 Biscayne Blvd, Ste. 204 — Address of Firm

Miami, FL 33138

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO (or affirmed) before me this 16 day of Jan, 20 09

He/She is personally known to me or has presented _____ as identification.

Type of identification

Signature of Notary

NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF FLORIDA
Cheryl de Cespedes
Commission # DD676659
Expires: JULY 16, 2012
BONDED THRU ATLANTIC BONDING CO., INC.

Serial Number

Print or Stamp Name of Notary

Expiration Date

Notary Public – State of FLORIDA

Notary Seal

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Miami-Dade Aviation Department
P.O. Box 025504
Miami, Florida 33102-5504
T 305-876-7000 F 305-876-0948
www.miami-airport.com

miamidade.gov

Commercial Airport:
Miami International Airport

General Aviation Airports:
Dade-Collier Training & Transition
Homestead General
Kendall-Tamiami Executive
Opa-locka
Opa-locka West

February 26, 2008

Ron Hume
Miami-Dade Aviation Department
Facilities
Bldg. 5A 4th Floor
P.O. Box 025504
Miami, Florida 33102-5504

RE: MIA Bldg 3094 & 3095 Refurbishment
Project No. C055A

Dear Mr. Hume:

The attached capital project fact sheet has been approved.

The approval of the fact sheet constitutes your authority to proceed with the project in accordance with established procedures, within the authorized total budget. The Finance Division has been instructed not to pay any cost in excess of the authorized total amount. Should, at any time, the projected cost exceed that approved on the approved fact sheet, you should immediately either attempt to negate the cost over-run, or seek approval for the cost over-run in the form of a Request for Change.

Release of this final fact sheet will serve to update PGTS for the subject project.

Sincerely,

Robin D. Pearsall
Capital Finance Manager

c: Narinder S. Jolly, MDAD
Marie Clark-Vincent, MDAD
Sunil Harman, MDAD
Roberto Baez, MDAD
Justina Millan Clegg
Tyrone Browne, MDAD
Eugenia Davis, MDAD
Paula Yasser, DAC
Laura Zaldivar, MDAD
Victor Guilbaud, HNTB
File

MIAMI - DADE COUNTY AVIATION DEPARTMENT

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Status: In Transit

Created: 5/16/06

Capital Project Fact Sheet (CPFS)

PROJECT BUDGET: Initial

Printed: 2/13/2008

PROJECT NAME: MIA BLDG 3094 & 3095 REFURBISHMENT **PROJECT NO:** C055A

CIP # :

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (If Revision, State Reasons for Change)

Under this project all exterior surfaces of the eleven-story Building 3095 (Old Pan Am round hangar) and its adjacent 7-story parking garage, Building 3094 will be:

1. Surveyed to determine extent of exterior damage.
2. Pressure cleaned to remove mold, mildew, and peeling paint.
3. Damaged surfaces will be patched or replaced as appropriate.
4. All exterior surfaces will be sealed and/or painted to preserve them.

ITEM DESCRIPTION	INITIAL/PRIOR BUDGET ESCALATED $	REVISED BUDGET ESCALATED $
A. Construction Costs (See page 2 for Details)	$7,193,000	$0
B. Furnishings, Fixtures & Equipment	$0	$0
C. Art in Public Places (APP)	$0	$0
D. Other Costs	$1,733,000	$0
TOTALS:	$8,926,000	$0

Project Schedule		Project Areas			Funding		CIP Book	
Start Design	5/15/06	New Rental Area	NO		Funding Source:	ADF	CIP Book:	NO
Advertise	3/19/08	Airline Support		SF	Budget Code:		CIP Date:	
Award	8/20/08	Concession (s)		SF	Bond Series:		CIP Amount:	
NTP	10/21/08	Other		SF	Federal Grant:			
Const. Mid Point	4/21/09	TOTALS:		SF	State Grant:			
Completion	10/21/09				PFC Funds:		Program Services Mgr.	

Budget Verified: [signature] Print Name: _____ Date

Capital Finance Manager

Recommended: Ron Hume 2-13-08 Print Name: RON HUME Date

Project Manager Planning/Facilities/DAC

Index Code Established: [signature] Print Name: _____ Date

Controller

Recommended: _____ Print Name: _____ Date

Division Director, Planning

Recommended: [signature] 2.19.08 Print Name: TYRONE D. BROWNE Date

Chief of Design/Construction

Approval: [signature] for [signature] Print Name: _____ Date

Chief Financial Officer

Approved: [signature] 2-21-08 Print Name: _____ Date

Asst. Dir, Capital Facilities Development

PROJECT NAME: MIA BLDG 3094 & 3095 REFURBISHMENT **PROJECT NO:** C055A

A. CONSTRUCTION COST		Estimated as of 1/18/07	Escalated $ Amount	Actual Paid as of 10/05/05
A.1 New Constr: _____ SF x _____ /SF = _____				
A.2 Renovation: 736,932 SF x $7.78 /SF = $5,733,184				
A.3 Demolition: _____ SF x _____ /SF = _____	SubTotal:	$5,733,184	$6,539,000	
A.4 Built In Equipment - Escalators, Elevators, Moving Walks, etc.:		$0	$0	
A.5 Temporary Moves. (Relocation of Tenants during construction)		$0	$0	
A.6 Civil Work - Roads, Aprons, Utilities, etc.:		$0	$0	
A.7 Environmental Remediation:		$0	$0	
A.8 SUBTOTAL : A.1 Thru A.7		**$5,733,184**	**$6,539,000**	
A.9 Allowance Account:		$573,318	$654,000	
A.10 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST: A.8 + A.9		**$6,306,502**	**$7,193,000**	
B. FURNISHINGS, FIXTURES AND NON-INTEGRAL EQUIPMENT		**$0**	**$0**	
C. ART IN PUBLIC PLACES (APP)				
NOTE: This is an approximation of the actual amount. Actual fees are to be in accord with the "APP Fee Calculations" form.				
(A.1 + A.9 + D.1) x 1.5%		**$0**	**$0**	
D. OTHER COSTS				
D.1 Professional Fees (A/E Consultants, Basic)		$542,023	$618,000	$150,799
D.2 Professional Fees (A/E Consultants, Additional, Reimbursable, Worksite)		$551,819	$629,000	$308
D.3 Other Costs		$425,689	$486,000	
D.4 SUBTOTAL : D.1 Thru D.3		**$1,519,530**	**$1,733,000**	**$151,106**
TOTAL COSTS (A + B + C + D)		**$7,826,033**	**$8,926,000**	**$151,106**

E. REMARKS

Buildings 3095 and 3094 are located at the "front door" of the airport. The exterior surfaces of these structures have not been repainted, nor have they been routinely maintained, since they were first built almost 25 years ago. Emergency repairs have been performed at various locations, most recently in 1992 after Hurricane Andrew. These buildings are in need of maintenance to their exterior surfaces to avoid long term damage and prolong their useful life. Their appearance is unattractive and detracts from the airport's image.

17

MEMORANDUM MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

DATE: December 23, 2008

TO: Jose Abreu, Director
Miami-Dade Aviation Department

FROM: Penelope Townsley, Director
Small Business Development

SUBJECT: Compliance Review
MDAD # C055A
MIA Refurbishment Building 3094 & 3095

The Department of Small Business Development (SBD) has completed its review of compliance for the above-referenced project with the Community Small Business Enterprise Program (CSBE). The contract measure applicable to this project is a 24% CSBE goal.

The Miami-Dade Aviation Department - Minority Affairs Division submitted bid documents from Merkury Development, Worth Contracting, Inc., and A.B.C. Construction, Inc. for compliance review.

Merkury Development, a certified CSBE firm will perform general conditions, doors, painting, pipe refurbishment, and metals work at 12%. It also submitted a Schedule of Intent Affidavit committing to utilize Solares Electrical Services, a certified CSBE firm to perform electrical work at 6%, and C.L. Elias Construction, Inc. a certified CSBE firm to perform concrete repairs and injection work at 6%. Merkury Development has fulfilled the required contract measure and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

Worth Contracting, Inc. submitted a Schedule of Intent Affidavit committing to utilize Perez & Co. LLC, a certified CSBE firm to perform concrete repair work at 27%. Worth Contracting, Inc. has fulfilled the required contract measure and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

A.B.C. Construction, Inc. a certified CSBE firm will perform general contracting and concrete work at 12 %. It also submitted a Schedule of Intent Affidavit committing to utilize Perez & Co. LLC, a certified CSBE firm to perform concrete repair work at 7.8%, and Solares Electrical Services, a certified CSBE firm to perform electrical work at 4.5%. A.B.C. Construction, Inc. has fulfilled the required contract measure and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

Please note that SBD staff only reviewed and addressed compliance with the CSBE program. The Miami-Dade Aviation Department is responsible for any other issues that may exist. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call Coralee Taylor at 305-375-3142.

cc: Milton Collins, MDAD
Darrell Palmer, MDAD
Alice Hidalgo-Gato, SBD
Patrice King, SBD

18

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Dept. of Business Development

Project Worksheet

Project/Contract Title: MIA REFURBISHMENT BUILDING 3094/3095 (SIC 15)

RC Date: 03/05/2008

Project/Contract No: MDAD #C055A

Funding Source: AVIATION BONDS

Item No: 3-01

Department: MIAMI DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT

Resubmittal Date(s): 08/02/2006, 02/21/2007

Estimated Cost of Project/Bid: $7,192,802.00

Description of Project/Bid: TO ESTABLISH A CONTRACT TO PROVIDE FOR THE GENERAL REPAIR AND REFURBISHMENT OF BUILDINGS 3094 AND 3095. THE WORK ON THIS PROJECT INCLUDED, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO: REPAIR AND COATING OF EXPOSED CONCRETE WALLS OF AN AIRPLANE HANGAR (BUILDING 3095) AS WELL AS THE SEALING OF ALL JOINTS IN THE PARKING GARAGE, AND REPAIRS & PAINTING OF THE EXTERIOR METAL SIDING OF THE AIRPLANE HANGAR.

Contract Measures Recommendation

Measure	Program	Goal Percent
Goal	CSBE	24.00%
Workforce Goal	CWP	29.00%

Reasons for Recommendation

This project is being resubmitted because it was not advertised within the required 180 days, and to increase the estimated cost from $7,178,726.00 to $7,192,802.00.

On 2/21/07 this project was resubmitted to increase the dollar amount from $6,131,664.00 to $7,178,726.00, and reduce the subcontractor goal from 27% to 24%, and because the project was also not advertised within 180 days.
An analysis of the factors contained in Section VI C of Administrative Order 3-22 as amended indicates a 24% CSBE goal is appropriate in the Finishes category, and Section II A. of Administrative Order 3-37 as amended indicates that a 29% Community Workforce Program (CWP) goal is appropriate.

CWP Estimated Workforce: 35
CWP Workforce Recommendation: 10

CWP Designated Target Areas: Empowerment Zones, Enterprise Zones

Analysis for Recommendation of a Goal

Subtrade	Cat.	Estimated Value	% of Items to Base Bid	Availability
All Other Special Trade Contractors	CSBE	$1,726,272.48	24.00%	33
	Total	$1,726,272.48	24.00%	

Living Wages: YES [ ] NO [X] **Highway:** YES [ ] NO [X] **Heavy Construction:** YES [ ] NO [X]

Responsible Wages: YES [X] NO [ ] **Building:** YES [X] NO [ ]

Ordinance 90-143 is applicable to all construction projects over $100,000 that do not utilize Federal Funds

REVIEW COMMITTE RECOMMENDATION

Tier I Set Aside _____

Set Aside _____ **Level 1** _____ **Level 2** _____ **Level 3** _____

Trade Set Aside (MCC) _____ **Goal** CSBE = 24% CWP = 29% **Bid Preference** _____

No Measure _____ **Deferred** _____ **Selection Factor** _____

3/5/08
Chairperson, Review Committee — Date

County Manager — Date

DBDR0050 v. 20051031

DEPARTMENT OF
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT (SOI) CHECKLIST

FIRM NAME MERKURY DEVELOPMENT.

ADDRESS 7300 BISCAYNE BLVD, SUITE # 204, MIAMI FL 33138

PHONE 305-758-9888 **FAX** 305-758-0802

PROJECT NUMBER: MDAD # C055A **SUBMISSION DATE:** 10/08/08

PROJECT TITLE: MIA-REFURBISHMENT BUILDING 3094/3095

CSBE CONTRACT MEASURE: 24% CSBE GOAL

CORRECTABLE DEFECTS ON THE SOI: PLEASE CHECK ALL ITEMS THAT APPLY

_____ **SCOPE OF WORK NOT IDENTIFIED FOR SUBCONTRACTOR**

_____ **NO SIGNATURE OF SUBCONTRACTOR**

_____ **NO SIGNATURE OF PRIME**

_____ **OTHER**

PLEASE CORRECT AND SUBMIT REVISED SOI TO SBD & ISSUING DEPARTMENT WITHIN 48 HOURS OF BID'S SUBMISSION DUE DATE.

NON-CORRECTABLE ITEMS:

____ **DID NOT MEET THE SPECIFIED GOAL**

PURSUANT TO THE CSBE PARTICIPATION PROVISIONS, SECTION IV (C) (4) (d) BIDDERS WHO'S BID DOES NOT MEET THE SPECIFIED GOAL ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PARTICIPATION PROVISIONS.

SBD USE ONLY

___ X ___ SOI Responsive	____ SOI Not Responsive	____ Eligible for Bid Preference
Coralee W-Taylor		10/09/08
Specialist Name	Specialist Signature	Date

THIS IS A PRELIMINARY REVIEW, AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A COMPLIANCE REVIEW BY SBD.

Department of Small Business Development – SPCC
111 NW First Street 19th Floor Miami, FL 33128
Phone (305) 375-3111 Fax (305) 375-3160

20

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED

SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT
COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS ENTEPRISE PROGRAM

Name of Prime Contractor Firm Merkury Development **Contact Person** Ruben Alen

Address 7300 Biscayne Blvd. Suite 204 Miami, FL 33138 **Phone** 305-758-9888 **Fax** 305-758-0802

Project Name Refurbishment of MDAD Bldgs 3094 & 3095 **Project Number** C055A

CSBE Contract Measure 24%

This form must be completed by the Prime Contractor and the CSBE Subcontractor that will be utilized for scopes of work on the project. Bidders must include this form in a separate envelope at the time of bid submission.

Name of Prime Contractor	Certification No. (if applicable)	Certification Expiration Date (if applicable)	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Prime Contractor	Prime Contractor % of Bid
Merkury Development	4346	10/31/2008	general conditions, metals, doors, paint, pipe refurbishment.	12
			Prime Contractor Total Percentage:	12

The undersigned intends to perform the following work in connection with the above contract:

Name of Subcontractor	Certification No.	Certification Expiration Date	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Subcontractor	Subcontractor % of Bid
merkury Development	4346	10/31/08	general conditions	
			metals	
			doors, paint, pipe refurbishment	
		Subcontractor Total Percentage:		12

I certify that the representations contained in this form are to the best of my knowledge true and accurate.

Prime Signature	Prime Print Name	Prime Print Title	Date
	Tony Crognale	Senior Project Manager	10/8/08

The undersigned has reasonably uncommitted capacity sufficient to provide the required goods or services, all licenses and permits necessary to provide such goods or services, ability to obtain bonding that is reasonably required to provide such goods or services consistent with normal industry practice, and the ability to otherwise meet the bid specifications.

Subcontractor Signature	Subcontractor Print Name	Subcontractor Print Title	Date
	Tony Crognale	Senior Project Manager	10/8/08

☐ **Check this box if this project is a set-aside and you are performing 100% of the work with your own work forces.**

☐ **Check this box if a Form DBD 305A and Form DBD 305B have been submitted in your pricing envelope.**

3053752343

SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT
COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS ENTEPRISE PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

Name of Prime Contractor Firm Merkury Development Contact Person Ruben Alen
Address 7300 Biscayne Blvd. Suite 204 Miami fl 33138 Phone 305-758-9888 Fax 305-758-0802
Project Name Refurbishment of MDAD Bldgs 3094 & 3095 Project Number C05SA
CSBE Contract Measure 24%

This form must be completed by the Prime Contractor and the CSBE Subcontractor that will be utilized for scopes of work on the project. Bidders must include this form in a separate envelope at the time of bid submission.

Name of Prime Contractor	Certification No. (If applicable)	Certification Expiration Date (If applicable)	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Prime Contractor	Prime Contractor % of Bid
Merkury Development	4346	10/31/08	general conditions, metals, doors, paint, piping	12
			Prime Contractor Total Percentage: refurbishment	12

The undersigned intends to perform the following work in connection with the above contract:

Name of Subcontractor	Certification No.	Certification Expiration Date	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Subcontractor	Subcontractor % of Bid
Solano Elect.	3170	2-09	Electrical	6
		Subcontractor Total Percentage:		6

12

I certify that the representations contained in this form are to the best of my knowledge true and accurate.

Prime Signature	Prime Print Name	Prime Print Title	Date
	Tony Crognale	Senior Project manager	10/8/08

The undersigned has reasonably uncommitted capacity sufficient to provide the required goods or services, all licenses and permits necessary to provide such goods or services, ability to obtain bonding that is reasonably required to provide such goods or services consistent with normal industry practice, and the ability to otherwise meet the bid specifications.

Subcontractor Signature	Subcontractor Print Name	Subcontractor Print Title	Date
	Andres Solares	President	10-7-08

☐ Check this box if this project is a set-aside and you are performing 100% of the work with your own work forces.

DBD 400

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED

SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT
COMMUNITY SMALL BUSINESS ENTEPRISE PROGRAM

Name of Prime Contractor Firm: Merkury Development — Contact Person: Ruben Alen
Address: 7300 Biscayne Blvd. Suite 204 Miami, FL 33138 — Phone: 305-758-9888 — Fax: 305-758-0892
Project Name: Refurbishment of MDAD Bldgs 3094 & 3095 — Project Number: C055A
CSBE Contract Measure: 24%

This form must be completed by the Prime Contractor and the CSBE Subcontractor that will be utilized for scopes of work on the project. Bidders must include this form in a separate envelope at the time of bid submission.

Name of Prime Contractor	Certification No. (If applicable)	Certification Expiration Date (If applicable)	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Prime Contractor	Prime Contractor % of Bid
Merkury Development	4346	10/31/2008	metals, doors, General Conditions, Paint, Pipe refurbishment	12
			Prime Contractor Total Percentage:	12

The undersigned intends to perform the following work in connection with the above contract:

Name of Subcontractor	Certification No.	Certification Expiration Date	Type of CSBE work to be performed by Subcontractor	Subcontractor % of Bid
C.L. Elias Const.	448	10/31/08	concrete repairs/injections	6
		Subcontractor Total Percentage:		6

I certify that the representations contained in this form are to the best of my knowledge true and accurate.

Prime Signature	Prime Print Name	Prime Print Title	Date
[signature]	Ruben Alen	Vice President	10/06/2008

The undersigned has reasonably uncommitted capacity sufficient to provide the required goods or services, all licenses and permits necessary to provide such goods or services, ability to obtain bonding that is reasonably required to provide such goods or services consistent with normal industry practice, and the ability to otherwise meet the bid specifications.

Subcontractor Signature	Subcontractor Print Name	Subcontractor Print Title	Date
[signature]	Christi Elias	President	10/3/08

☐ Check this box if this project is a set-aside and you are performing 100% of the work with your own work forces.

☐ Check this box if a Form DBD 305A and Form DBD 305B have been submitted in your pricing envelope.

DBD 400 (Revised 07/05)

DBD
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

MIAMI DADE COUNTY
Firm History Report

From: N/A **To:** N/A

FIRM NAME: MERKURY CORPORATION DEVELOPMENT
7300 Biscayne Blvd, # 204
Miami, FL 33138-0000

PRIMES

PROJECT #	CONTRACT	DEPT.	MEASURES	AWARD DATE	AWARD AMOUNT
* TA99-MF9 (663439)	1	MT	NO MEASURE	11/02/1999	$577,236.38
REVENUE FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS (34% DBE GOAL) (SIC 15)					
Change Order # 1 APR-24-01	118 days				$0.00
Change Order # 2 NOV-27-01	157 days				$46,579.08
Change Order # 3 MAY-21-02	57 days				$91,489.45
					$715,304.91
* TA01-MR21-R	1	MT	GOAL CSBE 18%	01/14/2002	$1,281,372.97
DOUGLAS ROAD AND US-1 PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS					
Change Order # 1 OCT-07-03	80 days				$278,108.19
Change Order # 2 JUL-13-04					$62,400.56
					$1,621,881.72
* MIA-745B WS 9.1	1	AV-AA	GOAL CSBE 18%	04/22/2002	$3,724,925.00
BUILDING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PRE-PURCHASE					
					$3,724,925.00
6123-2/03-POAPGS0301810	1	GS	SET ASIDE CSBE 100%	11/21/2002	$58,500.00
GENERAL BUILDING/ENGINEERING & SPECIALTY TRADE CONTRACTORS					
					$58,500.00

24

* Indicates closed or expired contracts

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

MIAMI DADE COUNTY
Firm History Report

From: N/A **To:** N/A

FIRM NAME: MERKURY CORPORATION DEVELOPMENT
7300 Biscayne Blvd, # 204
Miami, FL 33138-0000

PRIMES

PROJECT #	CONTRACT	DEPT.	MEASURES	AWARD DATE	AWARD AMOUNT
* CICC7040-0/07-POAV0301053	1	AV	SET ASIDE CSBE 100%	09/17/2003	$982,567.00
MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR PRE-QUALIFICATION OF GENERAL BUILDING, GENERAL ENGINEERING AND SPECIALTY TRADE CONTRACTORS FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR PERIOD FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS					
					$982,567.00
* MIA-771-A	1	AV-AA	LEVEL 2 SETASIDE W/EXCEPTIONS CSBE 70%	11/11/2003	$675,808.00
"E" HEADHOUSE MODIFICATIONS GATES 32, 33, 34, & 35 (SIC 17)					
					$675,808.00
* MIA-737-R-8 (9.1)	1	AV-AA	SET ASIDE CSBE 100%	02/09/2005	$1,744,959.00
CONSTRUCTION 737G / C-D MEP & REMAINING SHELL					
					$1,744,959.00
* POAV0501322 0503-76923R1	1	AV	SET ASIDE CSBE 100%	09/22/2005	$770,447.84
MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR PRE-QUALIFICATION OF GENERAL BUILDING, GENERAL ENGINEERING AND SPECIALTY TRADE CONTRACTORS FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR PERIOD FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS					
					$770,447.84
P-02171	1	JM	GOAL CSBE 15.41%	01/04/2006	$845,000.00
PPW-G UPS UPGRADE AND NEW EMERGENCY GENERATOR (SIC 15)					
					$845,000.00

* Indicates closed or expired contracts

DBD
DEPARTMENT OF
BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

MIAMI DADE COUNTY
Firm History Report

From: N/A **To:** N/A

FIRM NAME: MERKURY CORPORATION DEVELOPMENT
7300 Biscayne Blvd, # 204
Miami, FL 33138-0000

PRIMES

PROJECT #	CONTRACT	DEPT.	MEASURES	AWARD DATE	AWARD AMOUNT
745B (SC-00022)	1	AV	NO MEASURE	10/30/2006	$6,713,520.00
GENERAL TRADES					
					$6,713,520.00

Total Award Amount	**$17,374,336.19**
Total Change Orders Approved by BCC	**$478,577.28**
	$17,852,913.47

26

* Indicates closed or expired contracts

Evaluation Refreshers: **Do's and Don'ts** Process and Procedures Q & A's Help

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Capital Improvements Information System

Miami-Dade Transit

Project Evaluation

Evaluation Type: Standard Evaluation

Contract:	TA01-MR21-R	Work Order No:	Select WO No:
Contract Name:	Douglas Road & US-1 Pedestrian Overpass Re-bid		
Award Amount:	$1,621,881.72	Contact:	Neville Hoo (305) 375-4581
Contract Type:	CONSTRUCTION		
Contractor / Consultant:	Merkury Development	FEIN:	61290055

Evaluator ID: nhoo Date: 10/31/2005 Period: Project conclusion or closeout

	Rating * 4	3	2	1	N/A	Criteria
1-	●	○	○	○	○	Schedule - Quality of schedule & adherence to schedule resulting in timeliness and minimizing delay to the owner and community.
2-	○	●	○	○	○	Cost effectiveness & efficiency - Budget compliance & value of work.
3-	●	○	○	○	○	Vision - Design - Concepts or adherence to criteria.
4-	●	○	○	○	○	Cooperation - Teamwork & relationship with owner, subs and suppliers.
5-	●	○	○	○	○	Coordination - Ability to organize, schedule and complete tasks in adherence to the schedule.
6-	●	○	○	○	○	Accuracy & Technical Skills - Cost estimating, scheduling, shop and other drawings, plans, manuals, project documentation and conflict resolution.
7-	●	○	○	○	○	Completeness - Compliance with contract documents, permits, Codes & standards.
8-	○	●	○	○	○	Responsiveness - Timely, clear & concise responses to owner comments and correspondence.
9-	○	●	○	○	○	Commitment - Intangibles & contribution to project success.
10-	○	●	○	○	○	Personnel - Quality and dedication of project staff.
11-	○	●	○	○	○	Management - Leadership ability.
12-	○	●	○	○	○	Quality - Work performed correctly the first time.

Overall Performance Average: 3.5

27

Documentation that supports this evaluation and Contractor's/Consultant's comments can be obtained by contacting:

N. Hoo at phone# (305) 375-4581

Evaluation Reviewed by: Supervisor ☐ Division Chief ☑ Assistant Director ☐ Director ☐

The method of delivery of this evaluation to contractor/consultant: Certified Mail ☐ EMail ☑ Fax ☑ Hand ☐

(Unresponsive Performance by contractor/consultant requires 2 delivery methods)

Evaluation delivered to: Paul Tolles

* Rating Key

4	Superior performance - Exemplary quality, no intervention required - project completed on time or early at or below budget with no change orders or amendments other than owner requested changes.
3	Satisfactory performance - Minor errors noted, addressed with timely corrective action. No serious errors noted or corrective action needed.
2	Guarded performance Errors and Omissions documented in writing with timely corrective action.
1	Unresponsive performance documented in writing without timely corrective action.
N/A.	No Information

10/31/2005 - Project conclusion or closeout

Refresh EMail Neville Hoo Print

Exit

Date Last Edited : 10/29/2008 -14:21:20

28

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Capital Improvements Information System

Miami-Dade Transit

Project Evaluation

Evaluation Type: Standard Evaluation

Contract:	TA01-MR21-R	Work Order No:	01 - $1,281,372.97
Contract Name:	Douglas Road & US-1 Pedestrian Overpass Re-bid		
Award Amount:	$1,621,881.72	Contact:	Neville Hoo (305) 375-4581
Contract Type:	CONSTRUCTION		
Contractor / Consultant:	Merkury Development	FEIN:	61290055

Evaluator ID: nhoo Date: 9/13/2004 Period: Project conclusion or closeout

	Rating * 4	3	2	1	N/A	Criteria
1-	○	◉	○	○	○	Schedule - Quality of schedule & adherence to schedule resulting in timeliness and minimizing delay to the owner and community.
2-	○	◉	○	○	○	Cost effectiveness & efficiency - Budget compliance & value of work.
3-	○	◉	○	○	○	Vision - Design - Concepts or adherence to criteria.
4-	○	◉	○	○	○	Cooperation - Teamwork & relationship with owner, subs and suppliers.
5-	○	◉	○	○	○	Coordination - Ability to organize, schedule and complete tasks in adherence to the schedule.
6-	○	◉	○	○	○	Accuracy & Technical Skills - Cost estimating, scheduling, shop and other drawings, plans, manuals, project documentation and conflict resolution.
7-	○	◉	○	○	○	Completeness - Compliance with contract documents, permits, Codes & standards.
8-	○	◉	○	○	○	Responsiveness - Timely, clear & concise responses to owner comments and correspondence.
9-	○	◉	○	○	○	Commitment - Intangibles & contribution to project success.
10-	○	◉	○	○	○	Personnel - Quality and dedication of project staff.
11-	○	◉	○	○	○	Management - Leadership ability.
12-	○	◉	○	○	○	Quality - Work performed correctly the first time.

Overall Performance Average: 3.0

29

Documentation that supports this evaluation and Contractor's/Consultant's comments can be obtained by contacting:

N. Hoo at phone# (305) 375-4581

Evaluation Reviewed by: Supervisor ☐ Division Chief ☑ Assistant Director ☐ Director ☐

The method of delivery of this evaluation to contractor/consultant: Certified Mail ☐ EMail ☑ Fax ☑ Hand ☐

(Unresponsive Performance by contractor/consultant requires 2 delivery methods)

Evaluation delivered to: Paul Tolles

* Rating Key

4	Superior performance - Exemplary quality, no intervention required - project completed on time or early at or below budget with no change orders or amendments other than owner requested changes.
3	Satisfactory performance - Minor errors noted, addressed with timely corrective action. No serious errors noted or corrective action needed.
2	Guarded performance Errors and Omissions documented in writing with timely corrective action.
1	Unresponsive performance documented in writing without timely corrective action.
N/A.	No Information

9/13/2004 - Project conclusion or closeout

Refresh [redacted] Print

Exit

Date Last Edited : 10/29/2008 -14:21:20

30

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Capital Improvements Information System

Miami-Dade Transit

Project Evaluation

Evaluation Type: Standard Evaluation

Contract:	TA99-MF9	Work Order No:	01 - $577,236.38
Contract Name:	Renovation and Expansion of Revenue	Contact:	Neville Hoo (305) 375-4581
Award Amount:	$715,304.91		
Contract Type:	CONSTRUCTION		
Contractor / Consultant:	Merkury Development	FEIN:	61290055

Evaluator ID: nhoo Date: 12/12/2002 Period: Project conclusion or closeout

	Rating * 4	3	2	1	N/A	Criteria
1-	○	●	○	○	○	Schedule - Quality of schedule & adherence to schedule resulting in timeliness and minimizing delay to the owner and community.
2-	○	●	○	○	○	Cost effectiveness & efficiency - Budget compliance & value of work.
3-	○	●	○	○	○	Vision - Design - Concepts or adherence to criteria.
4-	●	○	○	○	○	Cooperation - Teamwork & relationship with owner, subs and suppliers.
5-	●	○	○	○	○	Coordination - Ability to organize, schedule and complete tasks in adherence to the schedule.
6-	○	●	○	○	○	Accuracy & Technical Skills - Cost estimating, scheduling, shop and other drawings, plans, manuals, project documentation and conflict resolution.
7-	○	●	○	○	○	Completeness - Compliance with contract documents, permits, Codes & standards.
8-	●	○	○	○	○	Responsiveness - Timely, clear & concise responses to owner comments and correspondence.
9-	○	●	○	○	○	Commitment - Intangibles & contribution to project success.
10-	○	●	○	○	○	Personnel - Quality and dedication of project staff.
11-	○	●	○	○	○	Management - Leadership ability.
12-	○	●	○	○	○	Quality - Work performed correctly the first time.

Overall Performance Average: 3.3

31

Documentation that supports this evaluation and Contractor's/Consultant's comments can be obtained by contacting:

N. Hoo at phone# (305) 375-4581

Evaluation Reviewed by: Supervisor ☐ Division Chief ☑ Assistant Director ☐ Director ☐

The method of delivery of this evaluation to contractor/consultant: Certified Mail ☐ EMail ☑ Fax ☑ Hand ☐

(Unresponsive Performance by contractor/consultant requires 2 delivery methods)

Evaluation delivered to: Paul Tolles

* Rating Key

4	Superior performance - Exemplary quality, no intervention required - project completed on time or early at or below budget with no change orders or amendments other than owner requested changes.
3	Satisfactory performance - Minor errors noted, addressed with timely corrective action. No serious errors noted or corrective action needed.
2	Guarded performance Errors and Omissions documented in writing with timely corrective action.
1	Unresponsive performance documented in writing without timely corrective action.
N/A.	No Information

12/12/2002 - Project conclusion or closeout

Refresh [redacted] Print

Exit

Date Last Edited : 10/29/2008 -14:21:20

32

Evaluation Refreshers: Do's and Don'ts Process and Procedures Q & A's Help

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Capital Improvements Information System

Miami-Dade Aviation Department

Contractor Evaluation

MCC Contract: AV 0303-647933
Contractor: Merkury Development
Department Contact: Timothy F. Wright (305) 869-4935

Evaluation Type: Standard Evaluation
FEIN: 61290055
Award Amount: $725,000.00

Evaluator ID: twright Date: 9/13/2006 Period: Project conclusion or closeout

	Rating * 4	3	2	1	N/A	Criteria
1-	●	○	○	○	○	Schedule - Quality of schedule & adherence to schedule resulting in timeliness and minimizing delay to the owner and community.
2-	○	○	○	○	●	Cost effectiveness & efficiency - Budget compliance & value of work.
3-	○	○	○	○	●	Vision - Design - Concepts or adherence to criteria.
4-	●	○	○	○	○	Cooperation - Teamwork & relationship with owner, subs and suppliers.
5-	○	○	○	○	●	Coordination - Ability to organize, schedule and complete tasks in adherence to the schedule.
6-	●	○	○	○	○	Accuracy & Technical Skills - Cost estimating, scheduling, shop and other drawings, plans, manuals, project documentation and conflict resolution.
7-	●	○	○	○	○	Completeness - Compliance with contract documents, permits, Codes & standards.
8-	●	○	○	○	○	Responsiveness - Timely, clear & concise responses to owner comments and correspondence.
9-	○	○	○	○	●	Commitment - Intangibles & contribution to project success.
10-	●	○	○	○	○	Personnel - Quality and dedication of project staff.
11-	●	○	○	○	○	Management - Leadership ability.
12-	○	○	○	○	●	Quality - Work performed correctly the first time.

Overall Performance Average: 4.0

Documentation that supports this evaluation and Contractor's/Consultant's comments can be obtained by contacting:

Tim Wright at phone# 305-869-4935

33

Evaluation Reviewed by: Supervisor ☑ Division Chief ☐ Assistant Director ☐ Director ☐

The method of delivery of this evaluation to contractor/consultant: Certified Mail ☐ EMail ☑ Fax ☐ Hand ☐

(Unresponsive Performance by contractor/consultant requires 2 delivery methods)

Evaluation delivered to: Cheryl of Merkury Development corp.

* Rating Key

4	Superior performance - Exemplary quality, no intervention required - project completed on time or early at or below budget with no change orders or amendments other than owner requested changes.
3	Satisfactory performance - Minor errors noted, addressed with timely corrective action. No serious errors noted or corrective action needed.
2	Guarded performance Errors and Omissions documented in writing with timely corrective action.
1	Unresponsive performance documented in writing without timely corrective action.
N/A.	No Information

9/13/2006 - Project conclusion or closeout

[redacted] Print

Exit

Date Last Edited : 10/29/2008 -14:21:20

34

Evaluation Refreshers: **Do's and Don'ts** Process and Procedures Q & A's Help

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Capital Improvements Information System

Miami-Dade Aviation Department

Contractor Evaluation

MCC Contract: AV 0503-762923R1
Contractor: Merkury Development
Department Contact: Tom Hart 305-869-1628

Evaluation Type: Standard Evaluation
FEIN: 61290055
Award Amount: $529,000.00

Evaluator ID: thart Date: 4/3/2007 Period: Select Evaluation Period...

	Rating * 4	3	2	1	N/A	Criteria
1-	●	○	○	○	○	Schedule - Quality of schedule & adherence to schedule resulting in timeliness and minimizing delay to the owner and community.
2-	●	○	○	○	○	Cost effectiveness & efficiency - Budget compliance & value of work.
3-	●	○	○	○	○	Vision - Design - Concepts or adherence to criteria.
4-	●	○	○	○	○	Cooperation - Teamwork & relationship with owner, subs and suppliers.
5-	●	○	○	○	○	Coordination - Ability to organize, schedule and complete tasks in adherence to the schedule.
6-	●	○	○	○	○	Accuracy & Technical Skills - Cost estimating, scheduling, shop and other drawings, plans, manuals, project documentation and conflict resolution.
7-	●	○	○	○	○	Completeness - Compliance with contract documents, permits, Codes & standards.
8-	●	○	○	○	○	Responsiveness - Timely, clear & concise responses to owner comments and correspondence.
9-	●	○	○	○	○	Commitment - Intangibles & contribution to project success.
10-	●	○	○	○	○	Personnel - Quality and dedication of project staff.
11-	●	○	○	○	○	Management - Leadership ability.
12-	●	○	○	○	○	Quality - Work performed correctly the first time.

Overall Performance Average: 4.0

Documentation that supports this evaluation and Contractor's/Consultant's comments can be obtained by contacting:

TOM HART at phone# (305) 869-1628

35

Evaluation Reviewed by: Supervisor ☐ Division Chief ☐ Assistant Director ☐ Director ☐

The method of delivery of this evaluation to contractor/consultant: Certified Mail ☐ EMail ☐ Fax ☑ Hand ☐

(Unresponsive Performance by contractor/consultant requires 2 delivery methods)

Evaluation delivered to: MERKURY

* Rating Key

4	Superior performance - Exemplary quality, no intervention required - project completed on time or early at or below budget with no change orders or amendments other than owner requested changes.
3	Satisfactory performance - Minor errors noted, addressed with timely corrective action. No serious errors noted or corrective action needed.
2	Guarded performance Errors and Omissions documented in writing with timely corrective action.
1	Unresponsive performance documented in writing without timely corrective action.
N/A.	No Information

4/3/2007 - None

EMail on Hard Print

Exit

Date Last Edited : 10/29/2008 -14:21:20

36

Exit

Capital Improvements Information System

Contractor Evaluations Report

Dept	Contract	Type	Contractor / Architect Name	Date	Rater	Period	Rate
MT	TA01-MR21-R	CON	Merkury Development	10/31/2005	Neville Hoo	Project conclusion or closeout	3.5
MT	TA01-MR21-R	CON	Merkury Development	9/13/2004	Neville Hoo	Project conclusion or closeout	3.0
MT	TA99-MF9	CON	Merkury Development	12/12/2002	Neville Hoo	Project conclusion or closeout	3.3
AV	0303-647933	7040	Merkury Development	9/13/2006	Timothy F. Wright	Project conclusion or closeout	4.0
AV	0503-762923R1	7040	Merkury Development	4/3/2007	Tom Hart	None	4.0

Evaluation Count: 5 Contractors: 1 Average Evaluation: 3.6

Exit

37

Capital Improvements Information System
Contractors / Consultants

Merkury Development

Contractor / Consultant Name	CertNo	Insurance Up to Date	CSBE Level	7360 Hud	7360 Transit	7360 Other	Emergency
Merkury Development	4346	☐	CSBE Level 3	☐	☐	☑	☑

Contact	Title	Phone	Fax	EMail
RUBEN ALEN		305-758-9888	305-758-0802	

Gen Liability Date	Auto Liability Date	Work Comp Date	EMail Contact	NO Reg

Street	Suite	City	State	Zip
9325 Park Dr	Suite G	Miami Shores	FL	33138

FAMIS NO	FEIN	Principal	Last Review Date	PTCR Approval
061290055 01	061290055			

Type	Participant	Rot No	Series	Bid Value	Status Date	Status	Comment
7040	☑	5031	5	0.043691389		Active	
7360	☑	1226	1	0.448401937		Active	

Construction ☑ PSA ☐ EDP ☐

Add Date: Add Userid: Last Update Date: 5/1/2007 10:03:00 AM Last Update Userid: garciad

Contractor Activity

Evaluations: 5 MCC Bids: 416 7040 Awards: 2 7360 Awards: 0 CIIS Awards: 2 EDP Awards: 0

Contracts / PSA

Licenses for FamisNo Contractor 061290055 01 - Merkury Development

License Type	Contractor	Li No	Qualifier Name	License Exp Date	Status
S-Concrete Forming / Placing	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Demolition	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Drywall	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
P-General Building Contractor	Merkury Development	CGC060990	Paul A Tolles	12/31/2006	Active
S-Metal Decking / Siding	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Pres-stressed Pre-cast Concrete Erection	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Reinforcing Steel Placing	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Structural Steel Erection	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A
S-Unit Masonry / Marble	Merkury Development	CGC060990	PAUL A TOLLES	12/31/2006	N/A

CURRENT CONTRACTOR NOTES:

Date	UserID	Type	Contract	Note
9/10/2008	twright	Note	AV-0503-762923R1	The Contractor submitted a bid that was 13.89% lower that the Architect's estimate. The Architect of Record then reviewed the bid on 9-9-08 and stated that it was OK to proceed w/ the project with the low bid.
2/1/2006	jjone	Note	AV-0503-762923R1	Document Approved on 02/01/2006 based on a submitted change order for $188,547.84.

61290055 Contractor Awards (4) 61290055 Contractor Evaluations (5)

Exit Report

38

Palmer, Darrell (Aviation)

From: Anderson, Alecia (SBD) [ANDALE@miamidade.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2008 10:09 AM
To: Palmer, Darrell (Aviation)
Cc: Anderson, Alecia (SBD)
Subject: RE: HOV History Merkury

rpt_Violation_by_Sedisclaimer.txt (184 lection - M... B)

Try the attached.

Alecia S. Anderson, Contractor Compliance Officer II
Miami-Dade County Department of Small Business Development
305-375-3157

"Delivering Excellence Every Day"

-----Original Message-----
From: Palmer, Darrell (Aviation) [mailto:DPALMER@miami-airport.com]
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2008 9:41 AM
To: Anderson, Alecia (SBD)
Subject: RE: HOV History Merkury

Can not open the attachment and not sure about the company name(s) Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Alecia (SBD) [mailto:ANDALE@miamidade.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2008 9:32 AM
To: Palmer, Darrell (Aviation)
Cc: Anderson, Alecia (SBD)
Subject: HOV History Merkury

Hi Mr. Palmer,

Per your request, attached is the SBD History of Violation report for Merkury Corporation Development. I found no records for Merkury Development.

Alecia

39

Department of Small Business Development

Violations Report as of 12/8/2008

	Date of Violation	Project #	Award Date	Department	Ord.	Amount Identified	Amount Recovered	Amount Makeup	Reason	Status
Merkury Corporation Development (Violations: Period -->1 Total --> 3)										
812	9/16/2004	CICC7040-0/07-POAV0301053	9/17/2003	AV	97-52				Deviation from the Schedule of Intent	Closed 10/24/2006

Grand Total

Note: This report includes open violations and those resolved in the last three years.

46