



MEMORANDUM
Harvey Ruvin
Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners
Miami-Dade County, Florida
(305) 375-5126
(305) 375-2484 FAX
www.miami-dadeclerk.com

March 7, 2011
RTC
Agenda Item No: 7(A)

TO: Honorable Chairman Bruno Barreiro
and Members of the Regional
Transportation Committee

DATE: February 28, 2011

FROM: Diane Collins, Acting Division Chief
Clerk of the Board Division.

SUBJECT: Approval of Commission
Committee Minutes

Diane Collins

The Clerk of the Board's office is submitting the following Clerk's Summary of Minutes for approval by the Regional Transportation Committee:

February 07, 2011

DC/jt
Attachment



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FINAL OFFICIAL MINUTES Regional Transportation Committee (RTC)

Board of County Commissioners

Stephen P. Clark Government Center
Commission Chambers
111 N.W. First Street
Miami, Florida 33128

February 7, 2011
As Advertised

Harvey Ruvin, Clerk
Board of County Commissioners

Diane Collins, Division Chief
Clerk of the Board Division

Jill Thornton, Commission Reporter
(305) 375-2505





Stephen P. Clark
Government Center
111 N.W. 1st Street
Miami, FL 33128

CLERK'S SUMMARY OF Meeting Minutes Regional Transportation Committee

Bruno A. Barreiro (5) Chair; Carlos A. Gimenez (7) Vice Chair; Commissioners
Audrey M. Edmonson (3), Sally A. Heyman (4), Dennis C. Moss (9), and Javier D.
Souto (10)

Monday, February 7, 2011

9:30 AM

COMMISSION CHAMBERS

Members Present: Bruno A. Barreiro, Audrey M. Edmonson, Sally A. Heyman, Dennis C. Moss, Javier D. Souto.

Members Absent: None.

Members Late: None.

Members Excused: Carlos A. Gimenez.

Members Absent County Business: None.

1 MINUTES PREPARED BY:

Report: *Jill Thornton, Commission Reporter (305) 375-2505*

1A INVOCATION

1B PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Report: *The Committee convened in a moment of silence, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.*

1E1

110211 Discussion Item Bruno A. Barreiro
DISCUSSION ON SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION
SERVICES - RFP 709

*Forwarded to BCC with an
unfavorable recommendation*

Report: *Chairman Barreiro inquired of the status of the pilot program pertaining to Smart Card technology that was to be implemented in the Special Transportation Services (STS) vehicles.*

Mr. Harpal Kapoor, Director, Miami-Dade Transit (MDT), noted he was working with the Department of Procurement Management (DPM) and the County Attorney's Office to provide Radio Frequency (RF) technology as an addendum to the Request for Proposals (RFP). He noted the purpose of this technology would be to reduce costs, improve on-time performance and provide accountability of the riders' destinations and number of trips made. He also noted he had recommended a pilot program using RF technology so that the vendors could give a preference of the technology they would use and how to implement it. He noted RF would enable an STS transportation vehicle approaching a handicapped passenger to automatically detect and register the passenger's trip without the driver having to touch the passenger.

Chairman Barreiro expressed concern that the Department was attempting to implement a pilot program during the RFP process, when the program should have been implemented sooner. He asked for further updates on the RFP.

County Attorney Bruce Libhaber said that no company had been recommended yet for the award of the new five-year STS contract, but negotiations were scheduled for later this week (week of 2/7), beginning with the highest-ranked company. He noted that if the negotiations were successful, a contract award recommendation would be submitted to the full County Commission shortly thereafter; if it was unsuccessful, negotiations with the second-ranked company would commence. He also noted it had been contemplated that a pilot program would be implemented under the existing contract; that all new technology be implemented; and at a minimum, the awarded vendor would install Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and smart card technology with the new contract.

In response to Commissioner Heyman's inquiry regarding whether the Cone of Silence was in effect for the STS RFP, Assistant County Attorney Libhaber noted it was, but this discussion item was purposely placed on today's agenda for a discussion at a publicly noticed meeting.

Commissioner Heyman noted the County Commission instructed the County's Administration over a year ago to perform a county-funded pilot program that was to address accountability issues concerning billing and complaints. She expressed concern that the County Administration failed to follow the Board's directive. She concurred with Chairman Barreiro that the Commission agreed to a pilot program because STS vehicles traveled without set routes and monitors in place like the Metrorail and buses; and accountability and billing issues had become problematic. She expressed concern that recommendations were being made for new technology that had never been tested through a pilot program, but would be used on disabled riders who had special needs.

In response to Commissioner Moss' inquiries regarding whether the County had already purchased this equipment, and if it could be installed in the STS vehicles so that the Administration could proceed with the pilot program, Mr. Kapoor noted the vendor acquired all the equipment and the County issued the vendor a Notice to Proceed (NTP) to begin the pilot program, thus the program could move. He later noted that the County owed the company money for purchasing this equipment.

Commissioner Moss raised the question as to whether the RFP process was handled this way because of a potential contract award in the future. He noted the Commission previously discussed the need to address accountability in STS. He suggested that if the technology was already purchased, the County should implement the pilot program before awarding a contract, in order to work out any bugs in the technology.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's question regarding whether ATIS already spent monies for the pilot program, Assistant County Attorney Libhaber advised that the Administration received correspondence from the vendor's representative(s) stating they had paid or at least ordered materials in furtherance of the pilot program. He noted the County owed the vendor a

reasonable amount for the costs incurred up to a ceiling amount agreed to in the NTP.

Responding to Commissioner Edmonson's inquiry regarding whether this practice was good business for the County, Assistant County Manager Llort advised that she was currently on the Selection Committee for the RFP and therefore limited in her response. She asked the Department of Procurement Management (DPM) Director to address this question.

Ms. Miriam Singer, Director, DPM, noted that MDT initially issued the NTP. She explained that DPM was in the middle of a competitive RFP process while simultaneously moving forward with a pilot program related to the technology. She noted the RFP included the technology referenced by Mr. Kapoor, and included a testing period. Ms. Singer also noted four vendors were technically qualified by the selection committee, and DPM had approval to enter into negotiations with one of those vendors that offered the lowest price; but, if that negotiation was unsuccessful, DPM would negotiate with the vendor that had the next lowest price. She said it was up to the County Commission to consider the propriety of the pilot program tests.

Commissioner Edmonson commented that the County Commission was told over a year ago that the RFP process was under an emergency and had to get done, and she was puzzled by the fact that a few months before awarding the new contract, the current company was given an NTP with the pilot program, which was then rescinded a month and a half later.

Mr. Kapoor noted it had to be rescinded because the competitive process needed to be fair and every bidding vendor had to meet the technology requirement.

Ms. Singer added that each vendor was required to offer a solution and the selection committee deemed that four of those vendors were technically qualified because they had an appropriate solution.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's inquiry regarding why the current vendor would want to do a pilot program if it possessed the required technology, Mr. Kapoor noted MDT was moving forward with the pilot program, but did not proceed with it based on discussions with the

DPM that it would be unfair to the other vendors.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's request for an explanation of why one vendor moving forward with the pilot program would be unfair to the other vendors, Ms. Singer noted the issue was not one of fairness, but of a competitive advantage. She explained that it was possible a competitive advantage could be created if all vendors qualified in an RFP solicitation that required a certain technology included it in their offers and one of those vendors was allowed to perform a pilot program to test that technology, gather information, and work with the department that managed the contract. She noted DPM and the County Attorney's Office (CAO) were consulted on this situation and how it should be addressed. She said she became aware just last week that MDT had issued an NTP, independent of the competitive process. She also noted the RFP process was not under an emergency, but the County Commission approved an extension of the current vendor's contract. Ms. Singer added that the procurement under the cone of silence resulted from a Commission-approved RFP. She further noted the County Commission was concerned regarding the original approach, and approved a process for issuing the RFP in two steps: 1. the selection committee would identify firms that were technically qualified, which was already done; and 2. pricing would be received. Ms. Singer noted the DPM would begin negotiations with the four technically qualified vendors who offered the lowest price. She emphasized that the technology solution requirement was part of the RFP process.

Commissioner Moss commented that in addition to the RFP, the Commission also approved a pilot program, and if concerns existed regarding a competitive advantage, they should have been presented to the Commission at that time.

Ms. Singer noted she was not aware before today's discussion that the Commission had approved the implementation of a pilot program; and she gathered from a briefing on the subject in the second week of December that the pilot program was being done, based on talks between MDT and the current vendor.

Expressing disappointment that most of her questions remained unanswered, Commissioner Edmonson asked why staff was recommending a pilot program after it was approved by the Commission to be included in the RFP for the

firms to bid on; in response, Mr. Kapoor noted the pilot program would be the first application of the RF technology for STS nationwide.

Chairman Barreiro opened the floor for those wishing to speak in connection with this agenda item, and the following person(s) appeared:

1) Mr. Ernie Martinez, Chair of Commission on Disability Issues (CODI), noted that most STS riders were quite satisfied with the existing STS services provided by the current vendor, but they were concerned with being excluded from the RFP process for a new STS contract; concerned that the process was flawed, and that their input was not solicited. He noted he recently learned that DPM would enter into negotiations later this week with MV Transportation, which ranked third of the four vendors ranked by the selection committee. He questioned whether members of this Committee had been informed of research performed on this company regarding paratransit services in other cities, and that this company admitted under oath to underbidding an original contract and then squeezed the operation to try to make more money. He urged the Committee to protect the disabled community from a process that ignored their input and failed to be transparent.

2) Mr. Miguel DeGrandy, 800 Douglas Road, attorney representing ATS, noted it was true that the technology required by the RFP had never been tested or implemented, and that previously, he made a presentation at a public hearing before the Transit, Infrastructure & Roads Committee, telling the commissioners that this technology could only be provided by one provider (a "sole source"), which he identified as Cubic. He noted Cubic's technology was needed to integrate with MDT's fare collection system software provided by Trapeze, and Cubic would not share its source code with anyone except Mentor Engineering; thus, all bidding vendors were forced to propose technology provided by Mentor Engineering. He further noted the four vendors who responded to the RFP solicitation were compliant in technology and could implement it, but they could not guarantee it would work for all constituents. Mr. DeGrandy noted it would be wise to field test the technology before investing millions of dollars in it. He suggested there would be an emergency if the Board waited to address these issues later, because the current contract would expire.

Mr. DeGrandy explained that on December 2,

2010, his client (ATS) received an NTP to implement a pilot program to test alternate technology that could integrate with Trapeze's technology, that did not require riders to tap their transit cards, provided the data needed for accountability, and cost millions of dollars less than the technology currently required by the RFP; but, on January 18, 2011, ATS got a notice rescinding the pilot program.

Mr. DeGrandy's closing points included that the Administration would be entering into negotiations this Thursday with a provider that failed to meet the DBE specifications, yet the Administration had not requested a determination of responsiveness or a compliance review hearing, as required by federal regulations. He pointed out that the language in the RFP said the vendor 'shall initiate the pilot project by purchasing the equipment,' and ATS purchased it for \$50,000. He added that if the technology tested in the pilot program worked, the County could do an addendum to the RFP asking for best and final offers for this technology. Mr. DeGrandy noted the process was intended to provide open, transparent procurements that resulted in the lowest price for the taxpayers, and the alternate technology could provide that.

Chairman Barreiro said he believed the pilot program should have been implemented years ago, and suggested it be implemented before continuing the RFP process, in order for the Commission to make a determination based on its results.

In response to Chairman Barreiro's inquiry regarding whether it was possible to implement the pilot program before continuing with the RFP process, Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber advised that it was within the Administration's authority to implement the pilot project and nothing precluded them from doing so if they were amenable to rescinding the current NTP, or issuing a new one.

Assistant County Manager Llort responded to Chairman Barreiro's inquiry regarding how the Board could get the Administration to implement the pilot program. She also responded to Commissioner Moss' question regarding how the pilot program came about, noting that based on prior decisions regarding the program, it came about when the Commission made its recommendations for the RFP and asked on the

record that the technology, not the pilot program, be included as an element of the RFP.

Mr. Kapoor noted it was discussed last year that a pilot program should be implemented to ensure the technology worked before it was fully installed; but, it took some time to develop the specifications because it was the first time this technology was being used.

Commissioner Heyman noted it made no sense to her for the County to make a huge investment purchasing technology that had never been tested and to do an RFP before implementing the pilot program. She asked if it was realistic to delay the RFP process in order to move forward with the pilot program to test the technology.

Ms. Llort noted the RFP required verifiable technology with some performance criteria as a means for tracking all STS vehicles in the system, and the pilot program was one use of the technology. She said she was unsure whether the pilot program would address only one technology or all of the technologies that were being offered by the vendors.

Commissioner Edmonson noted she had concerns with delaying the RFP, but was more concerned about awarding the pilot program to a firm and then pulling it back from them. She asked if this had an impact on the RFP process and whether the County could agree to give back the monies to the firm that invested in this equipment.

Assistant County Attorney Libhaber noted MDT issued an NTP and then rescinded it; thus, the County would be responsible for the reasonable costs incurred from the time the NTP went out until it was rescinded or cancelled.

Commissioner Edmonson asked if it was more practical to reimburse the firm its funds from the time the NTP went out until it was rescinded, or allow them to proceed with the pilot program.

Chairman Barreiro said he believed it was more practical to implement the pilot program before continuing with the RFP process. He noted even if the County reimbursed the funds, it would still not know whether the technology worked. He also noted the price would be contingent upon the parameters set by the Commission, but changing it halfway through the contract would cause pricing issues. He asked if the Committee could direct the

Administration to suspend the RFP process in order to implement the pilot program.

Mr. Libhaber noted the Committee could only make a request of staff or a recommendation to the full Board to require that the Manager suspend the ongoing RFP.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's question regarding whether allowing ATS to proceed with the pilot program simultaneously with the RFP process would delay the award of the contract, Mr. Kapoor noted the pilot program should be completed by the time the contract was awarded and should not delay the RFP process.

Regarding Commissioner Edmonson's inquiry regarding how soon the contract would be awarded, Ms. Singer noted she could not predict or even guess how long the process would take, but DPM was given approval to enter into negotiations, which could take longer than traditionally if they were not successful during the first round. She advised that the current contract extension expires in September 2011, and another extension would be needed if the negotiations went beyond that.

Ms. Singer responded to Commissioner Edmonson's request that she provide an estimate of the least amount of time it could take before the contract was awarded. Ms. Singer noted it could take at least two months, as long as the negotiations were successful and the item moved through the committee process timely.

Mr. Kapoor responded to Commissioner Edmonson's question regarding how long it would take to complete the pilot program, noting it would take approximately two months, followed by Commissioner Edmonson's comment that the firm should be allowed to proceed with the program if that was the case.

Commissioner Heyman noted she recalled the pilot program was to address allegations of fraud, misrepresentations and the County's inability to monitor/dispatch the vehicles, which resulted in billing issues. She also noted the Commission was told the equipment could be installed in STS vehicles to monitor location and to dispatch/receive signals and information; and, MDT would not take over the dispatching services, but oversee the company awarded the STS contract, which included this service. She said

this was proposed by MDT before other options were offered, due to the billing issues. She also said she believed it was bad business and irresponsible for the Administration to request vendors to come forward in an RFP using costly resources on technology that had not been tested; and it was non-responsive for the Administration not to follow the Commission's directive to address those core issues. She said she supported doing a pilot project first to narrow down the issues, before proceeding with the RFP process.

It was moved by Chairman Barreiro that the Committee forward a recommendation to the full Board of County Commissioners to require the Administration to implement the pilot program before proceeding with the RFP process. This motion was seconded by Commissioner Heyman, followed by discussion.

Ms. Llort noted that she heard the DPM Director say there was time to conduct the pilot program within the procurement window. She noted it seemed the Committee could direct MDT to conduct the pilot program and proceed with the RFP; however she preferred that the information that resulted from the pilot program be shared with all of the soliciting vendors, to ensure a level playing field going forward.

Chairman Barreiro noted he concurred that the data should be shared, but he did not agree that the RFP process should be continued. He clarified his motion was to have the Department delay the negotiations and discontinue the RFP process until it had the needed data on the proposed technology, and then share that information with all the vendors.

Commissioner Edmonson said she understood the current contract would expire in September 2011, and ATS representatives were asking that they be allowed to go forward with the pilot program, which could be done in two months without delaying the contract. She said believed enough time was available to conduct the pilot program, and ATS should not suffer because of the County's mistakes; thus, she did not support delaying the RFP process.

Chairman Barreiro noted he did not see this as a delay of the RFP process since it was well before September 2011 and sufficient time was available to conduct the pilot program. He noted he was concerned that DPM would be entering into

negotiations with one firm without all the vendors having the right information to bid with; and, since the Director stated this technology had not been implemented anywhere in the country, he did not want a recommendation to be submitted to the Commission without the data or inadequate time for the members to review it. He noted his motion was to forward a recommendation to the full Board and allow the Board to make the final determination.

Ms. Llort responded to Commissioner Moss' inquiry regarding whether the RFP included a specific technology, noting the RFP required that the equipment yield a certain outcome, but it did not specify the technology.

Responding to Commissioner Moss' inquiry regarding whether the new technology purchased by the current vendor for implementing the pilot program would result in the outcome required in the current RFP, Mr. Kapoor noted the specifications on how to reach GPS, accountability, and sending electronic messages to the drivers were part of the RFP requirement.

Commissioner Moss asked how a vendor would have a competitive advantage if it tested technology in a pilot program, proved it worked, and made it available to all the vendors. He said it was his understanding that the vendor did not own the technology, but would purchase a system that allowed for the required outcome. Commissioner Moss noted he could not see how this limited it to one vendor when the County was the entity implementing the pilot program.

Mr. Kapoor noted the technology needed to interface with Cubic and the vendor. He noted the same data could be shared with all vendors and the pilot program would make it available to everyone.

Commissioner Moss said he understood the concerns were that riders might be unable to tap their cards because of their disabilities, and there was no accountability in the past because caregivers were allowed to sign for the disabled rider.

Mr. Kapoor noted another concern was that caretakers could use riders' easy cards for themselves. He noted RF technology would provide more control since the rider would have the card on his/her person; not have to tap it, and

the information would be registered on the rail side, within three feet of the vehicle. He noted this technology had never been implemented by STS or any other paratransit company anywhere in the country.

Commissioner Moss noted his concerns were implementing new technology that had never been tested and the County spending millions of dollars on something that might not work. He said it seemed to him that it would be best to implement the pilot program first to see if the technology worked and then make it available to all the vendors before going forward with the negotiations. He noted although he preferred the technology be tested first, he did not want the RFP process to extend beyond September 2011.

Chairman Barreiro accepted an amendment offered by Commissioner Edmonson that it should be ensured the pilot program and the RFP process did not extend past September 2011, and the Committee proceeded to vote on the following motion:

It was moved by Commissioner Barreiro that the Committee forward this discussion item to the County Commission, with a recommendation that the County Administration be instructed to defer negotiations on Request for Proposals (RFP) 709, pending the implementation of a pilot program by the Transit Agency's Director to install smart card technology in Special Transportation Services (STS) vehicles and test the technology to determine whether it was responsive to the needs of this community. Also, that the Administration submit the data and information gathered from the pilot program to all commissioners and to each of the vendors participating in this RFP process; and that the Administration ensure this RFP process was completed by September 2011. This motion was seconded by Commissioner Heyman and upon being put to a vote, passed 5-0 (Commissioner Gimenez was absent). (SEE AGENDA ITEM 1E1A, LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 110333]

1E1A

110333 Resolution Regional Transportation Committee

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REINSTATE THE NOTICE TO PROCEED ISSUED ON DECEMBER 2, 2010 FOR THE RADIO FREQUENCY IDENTIFICATION PILOT PROGRAM UNDER CONTRACT NO. TR04-TSB FOR SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AND TO SUSPEND NEGOTIATIONS ON RFP NO. 709 UNTIL COMPLETION OF THE PILOT PROGRAM. [SEE AGENDA ITEM 1E1, LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 110211]

*Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Barreiro
Seconder: Heyman
Vote: 5-0
Absent: Gimenez*

1E2

110296 Discussion Item Bruno A. Barreiro

DISCUSSION ON METRORAIL CONTROL CENTER - RFP 725 -

Presented

Report: *Chairman Barreiro noted he received a letter that raised much concern regarding this process. He asked the Inspector General to investigate the status of the Metrorail Control Center, RFP 725, where it is today and how it got to this point in the RFP process.*

Commissioner Heyman noted she was seeing this letter for the first time, and uncomfortable with taking any action, but would like for it to come back before the Committee. She expressed concern that emphasis was placed on the lowest price, but other variables besides price needed to be considered, such as work relationships, performances, schedules, accountabilities, lawsuits and principles.

Chairman Barreiro advised that this item was placed on the agenda not for the Committee to take action on it, but as a mechanism for referring the subject letter to the IG and having him take a look at it.

2 PUBLIC HEARING

2A

110087 Ordinance

Bruno A. Barreiro,

Joe A. Martinez, Sally A. Heyman

Amended

ORDINANCE AMENDING CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE CITIZENS' INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST (TRUST) AND THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN TO ALLOW CHARTER COUNTY AND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS TO BE EXPENDED FOR ON-DEMAND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES FOR LOW-INCOME SENIORS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read into the record, the foregoing proposed ordinance and a proposed amendment to the ordinance requiring a 24-hour advanced notice in order to use "on-demand" transportation, as requested by Chairman Barreiro.*

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for those wishing to speak in connection with the foregoing ordinance, and the following person(s) appeared:

1) Mr. Placido Diaz appeared on behalf of the City of Miami and its Commission Chairman Wilfredo (Willy) Gort in support of this ordinance.

2) Diego Feliciano, South Florida Taxi Cab Association (SFTCA), expressed appreciation to the Commission for including SFTCA in this process. He noted he wanted to ensure it was understood that the industry had no problems with providing transportation services for the elderly people, and fully supported the City's proposal. He asked, however, that the County Attorney ensure that the ordinance would not exclude taxi-cab services from "on-demand" transportation, and the County would be able to properly supervise a service requiring 24-hour advance notification.

Assistant County Attorney Libhaber clarified that "on-demand service" as defined in the Florida Statutes contemplated a negotiated agreement between someone transporting an individual and the individual being transported, regarding destination, departure and arrival times. He noted the current Statutes did not specify advanced reservations, so an on-demand transaction could

be interpreted as someone hailing a cab. The proposed ordinance, as amended by Chairman Barreiro, would amend the County Code to require that reservations be made 24-hours in advance; and the County Code's definition would still comply with the State's definition of "on-demand service."

Hearing no further comments or questions, it was moved by Commissioner Edmonson that the foregoing proposed ordinance be forwarded to the County Commission with a favorable recommendation with committee amendment(s) to insert the following language on page 8 of the ordinance (handwritten page 11), 13 lines from the top, after the text "1990 (ADA)":

"Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, On-Demand transportation services as defined in Section 212.055(1)(e), Florida Statutes 2010, and used herein, shall require 24-hour pre-arranged service by recipients." This motion was seconded by Chairman Barreiro, and upon being put to a vote, passed 5-0 (Commissioner Gimenez was absent).

Later, it was moved by Commissioner Heyman that the foregoing resolution be placed on the next County Commission meeting agenda. This motion was seconded by Chairman Barreiro, and upon being put to a vote, passed 5-0 (Commissioner Gimenez was absent).

2B

102902 Ordinance

Sen. Javier D. Souto,

Joe A. Martinez, Sally A. Heyman, Jean Monestime
 ORDINANCE RELATING TO VEHICLES FOR HIRE;
 AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-
 DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROVIDE THAT
 TAXICABS, PASSENGER MOTOR CARRIERS, LUXURY
 LIMOUSINE SEDANS, STRETCH LIMOUSINES AND
 SUPER-STRETCH LIMOUSINES SCHEDULED FOR
 RETIREMENT ON DECEMBER 31, 2010 SHALL BE
 ALLOWED TO BE OPERATED FOR AN ADDITIONAL
 ONE-YEAR PERIOD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY,
 INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

*Forwarded to BCC with a favorable
 recommendation*

Mover: Souto

Seconder: Heyman

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read
 the foregoing proposed ordinance into the record.*

*Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for
 those wishing to speak in connection with this
 ordinance and the following person(s) appeared:*

*1) John Valdez, 5590 SW 5th Terrace, Miami,
 Florida, noted he submitted a letter proposing the
 County could make an additional \$5,640 if all
 vehicles-for hire were extended another year. He
 noted he believed the County Manager's
 calculations were incomplete, which only
 considered a loss of \$32 per vehicle, totaling
 \$1,504. He pointed out that all drivers were
 experiencing difficulties during these hard
 economic times, and the County extending only 47
 vehicles was not fair to everyone.*

*After seeing no one else wishing to speak,
 Chairman Barreiro closed the public hearing.*

*Commissioner Souto noted the intent was to help
 the entire industry during hard economic times,
 and to allow vehicles for hire, scheduled for
 retirement, to be extended for one more year. He
 noted this ordinance was like a pilot project and
 he felt the County should begin with a one-year
 extension, and extend it further, if needed later.*

*Commissioner Moss spoke in support of this
 ordinance.*

*Commissioner Heyman clarified that the
 Manager's numbers were calculated by adding
 one more year to the life of the vehicles currently
 in service. She asked Assistant County Manager
 Ysela Llort to explore the feasibility of increasing
 the number of for-hire vehicles allowed to remain*

in operation for an additional one-year period by four from 47 to 51.

Hearing no further comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing ordinance as presented.

2C

110010 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING APPLICATION FOR TWO (2) CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO LA-MAR MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION, INC. TO PROVIDE WHEELCHAIR MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES (Consumer Services Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for person(s) wishing to speak in connection with this resolution. He closed the public hearing after seeing no one wishing to speak, and the Committee proceeded to vote.

2D

110012 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING APPLICATION FOR ONE CERTIFICATE OF TRANSPORTATION TO LA FLECHA TRANSIT CORP. TO PROVIDE JITNEY SERVICE (Consumer Services Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for person(s) wishing to speak in connection with this resolution. He closed the public hearing after seeing no one wishing to speak, and the Committee proceeded to vote.

2E

110013 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING APPLICATION FOR ONE (1) CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO UNITED TRADE, INC. D/B/A UNITED TRADE TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE WHEELCHAIR MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES (Consumer Services Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for person(s) wishing to speak in connection with this resolution. He closed the public hearing after seeing no one wishing to speak, and the Committee proceeded to vote.

2F

110018 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING APPLICATION FOR THREE (3) CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO AMERICAN MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION, LLC D/B/A PRIORITY MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE WHEELCHAIR, STRETCHER AND COMBINATION WHEELCHAIR/STRETCHER MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES (Consumer Services Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for person(s) wishing to speak in connection with this resolution. He closed the public hearing after seeing no one wishing to speak, and the Committee proceeded to vote.

2G

110021 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING TRANSFER OF
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSPORTATION NO. 30075 FROM
GREAT TRANSPORTATION, CORP. TO AMERICAN
MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION, LLC D/B/A PRIORITY
MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE
CONTRACT CARRIER SERVICE AS A PASSENGER
MOTOR CARRIER (Consumer Services Department)

Deferred to no date certain

Mover: Heyman

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 4-0

Absent: Souto

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *The foregoing proposed resolution was deferred during consideration of changes to today's (2/7) agenda.*

2H

110069 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT FUNDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 CONGESTION MITIGATION AIR QUALITY IN SECTION 5307 FLEXIBLE FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,764,985 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR OPERATING ASSISTANCE FOR THE I-95 DADE -BROWARD EXPRESS BUS SERVICE; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO SUCH APPLICATION AND AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no objection, Agenda Items 2H and 2I were heard simultaneously.

Chairman Barreiro opened the public hearing for person(s) wishing to speak in connection with the foregoing proposed resolution. He closed the public hearing after seeing no one wishing to speak, and the Committee proceeded to vote.

Assistant County Manager Ysela Llort addressed Commissioner Moss' question regarding whether the County's current status with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) had any impact on the grants identified in this resolution. She noted this resolution was a necessary first step for FTA to fully release the grants.

Commissioner Moss said he understood the grants would be fully released once the County resolved its issues with FTA.

Ms. Llort noted that was correct, and advised that on Friday (2/4), staff sent a response to the FTA on its draft report of the Financial Management Oversight (FMO) program, and the auditors would be here today (2/7) to review the information.

Hearing no further comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing resolutions as presented.

2I

110068 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT FUNDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 URBAN FORMULA SECTION 5307 AND FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION SECTION 5309 GRANT PROGRAMS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$64,635,793 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION UNDER THE SAFE, ACCOUNTABLE, FLEXIBLE, AND EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION ACT – A LEGACY FOR USERS (SAFETEA-LU); AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF GRANT AGREEMENTS PURSUANT TO SUCH APPLICATIONS; RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO SUCH APPLICATIONS AND AGREEMENTS; AND RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE (Miami-Dade Transit)

*Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez*

Report: *See Agenda Item 2H; Legislative File No. 110069 for the report.*

3 COUNTY COMMISSION

3A

102883 Resolution

Joe A. Martinez

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT ON THE BEST METHODOLOGY OF CREATING AN APPLICATION TO BE DOWNLOADED TO A HANDHELD DEVICE IN ORDER TO TRACK ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE INFORMATION OF MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT'S METROBUS, METRORAIL AND METROMOVER VEHICLES IN REAL TIME IN ORDER TO INCREASE COMMUNICATION, EFFICIENCY, AND EASE OF RIDERSHIP

*Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez*

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

3B

110242 Resolution Audrey M. Edmonson

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO NEGOTIATE CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 TO MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT NO. MCC 7-05 WITH MIKE GOMEZ CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING, INC., INCREASING THE CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10 MILLION DOLLARS AND EXTENDING THE CONTRACT TIME NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 30TH, 2011; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH CHANGE ORDER AND TO EXERCISE DELEGATED AUTHORITY DESCRIBED THEREIN, AND WAIVING FORMAL BID PROCEDURES AND PROVISIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 MIAMI DADE COUNTY CODE AND SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE HOME RULE CHARTER, AND SECTION 255.20 FLORIDA STATUTES

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation as corrected

Mover: Edmonson

Seconder: Moss

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Commissioner Edmonson noted she hoped the Committee would approve forwarding this resolution to the full Board to allow commissioners more time to review it. She said she became aware of this issue when it was brought to her attention by members of the Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) program. She also said she understood that an MCC 8 project was in progress, and inquired about its status and when it would be advertised.

Mr. Jose Abreu, Director, Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD), noted the targeted date was this summer, approximately six months from now.

Responding to Commissioner Edmonson's inquiries regarding whether the Office of Capital Improvements (OCI) would oversee this project and if so, would the project be delayed or cumbersome if OCI managed it, Mr. Abreu noted he did not think so, and that OCI was one alternative for overseeing the project.

Assistant County Manager Ysela Llord noted the Administration was asking that the current Miscellaneous Construction Contract (MCC-7-05), which had expired, be extended until such time as a replacement contract was in place. She noted two alternate methods were offered to allow work to continue at Miami International Airport (MIA); one methodology was to use OCI's MCC

process; the advantage of this being that a contract was already in place and just needed to be extended.

Commissioner Edmonson noted she was asking the Committee to approve extending the current MCC-7-05 contract so that the small businesses (SBs) could continue working at the Airport; otherwise, the projects would slow down. She also noted she was asking for an additional \$10 million along with this extension, in addition to the \$10 million previously granted. She noted that MDAD's budget had the funds, and continuing the MCC-07-05 contract would speed up the procurement process.

Mr. Abreu stated he could certainly work with the intent of this resolution.

Following her comments that the Commission made commitments to support the SBs and the CSBE program, and this resolution urged the Commission to support the short-term construction needs of SBs at the airport, Commissioner Edmonson moved a motion to forward this resolution to the County Commission with a favorable recommendation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Moss.

Commissioner Heyman expressed concern that the MCC-7-05 contract had expired on January 22, 2011. She asked who was overseeing these projects. She expressed concern that no one was accountable for them, and the County was reverting to an old practice of granting retroactive change orders and extensions. She noted the County was paying good salaries to administrators to monitor these contracts and ensure the jobs were completed before the contracts expired.

Assistant County Manager Llort advised that an alternate contracting process through OCI was available to the airport.

Commissioner Heyman noted the operation should continue since the projects were not completed. She asked whether the County could have gotten a better return on its investment had it known before hand that extensions would be needed. Commissioner Heyman also noted a scrivener's error existed on handwritten page 5 of this resolution regarding the amount that should not be exceeded.

Assistant County Attorney David M. Murray announced that a scrivener's error existed in the "NOW THERFORE" clause of this resolution which should be corrected to read: "not to exceed \$10 million," instead of \$5 million.

Mr. Abreu responded to Commissioner Heyman's question regarding whether any incentive existed for extending the current contract, such as getting the job done timely or the County getting a better return. He noted the group that managed contracts for the MDAD was a very responsible group and the core of the MCC program, and would ensure that new bids were received.

Commissioner Heyman noted she would support this resolution. She said liked the idea that if someone was performing well, the bidding process for the project did not have to start over; however, she had concerns with doubling the amount for another \$10 million, and the County not getting a better return.

Chairman Barreiro pointed out that the language "...not to exceed \$10 million" did not mean the entire \$10 million had to be spent, and noted he supported this item.

Hearing no further comments or discussions, the Committee forwarded the foregoing proposed resolution to the full County Commission with a favorable recommendation as revised to correct a scrivener's error in the language contained in the "NOW, THEREFORE..." clause, on handwritten page five, in the phrase "in an amount not to exceed \$5 million," to change \$5 million to \$10 million.

4 DEPARTMENT

4A

110020 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT BETWEEN ABC CONSTRUCTION INC. AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR THE MIA BACK TERMINAL D-H LIFE SAFETY MASTER PLAN (LSMP) IMPLEMENTATION PROJECTS A155B2, A155B3 & A155B4 WITH A CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,477,097.50; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION PROVISIONS THEREOF (Aviation Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Moss

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4B

102791 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (JPA) WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) TO PROVIDE STATE FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250,000 FROM ITS COMMUTER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR THE OPERATION OF THE DADE-MONROE EXPRESS; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AS SPECIFIED IN THE JPA; AUTHORIZING RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE; AND AUTHORIZING USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4C

110066 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (SJPA) WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STATE FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$467,500 FOR OPERATION OF THE SOUTH MIAMI-DADE BUSWAY TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AS SPECIFIED IN THE SJPA; AND AUTHORIZING RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4D

110082 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (SJPA) WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL STATE FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$467,500 FOR OPERATION OF THE FLAGLER MAX BUS ROUTE SERVICE; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AS SPECIFIED IN THE SJPA; AND AUTHORIZING RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4E

110163 Resolution

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A MAINTENANCE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR THE M-PATH BIKE PEDESTRIAN PATH EXTENSION BETWEEN THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4F

110201 Resolution

RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE APPLICATION AND AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT FUNDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 AND FISCAL YEAR 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE (JARC) PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,015,142 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION UNDER THE TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (TEA-21); AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO SUCH APPLICATIONS AND AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE; AND AUTHORIZING USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS (Miami-Dade Transit)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

4G

102353 Resolution

RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE REJECTION OF THE UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL FROM INNOVATIVE TRAFFIC GROUP, LLC FOR THE DESIGN, BUILD AND FINANCE OF THE COUNTYWIDE UPGRADE OF EXISTING OUTDATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS WITH MASTARM SUPPORT SYSTEMS (PROJECT NO. UP10-PW-02; CONTRACT NO. 20100449) (Public Works Department)

Deferred to no date certain

Mover: Heyman

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 4-0

Absent: Souto

Excused: Gimenez

4H

102861 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 AND FINAL (RETROACTIVE) OF A CONTRACT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND HORSEPOWER ELECTRIC, INC., FOR A PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT PROJECT ENTITLED PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (PTP) ADVANCED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM'S (ATMS) REVERSIBLE LANE CONTROL SIGNAL SYSTEM (RLCS) REFURBISHMENT PROJECT ALONG NW 199 STREET, FROM NW 2 AVENUE TO NW 27 AVENUE, LOCATED WITHIN COMMISSION DISTRICT 1 (PROJECT NO. 20070587), EXTENDING THE CONTRACT TIME BY 87 CALENDAR DAYS (Public Works Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Heyman

Second: Edmonson

Vote: 5-0

Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Commissioner Heyman expressed concern with the County fining a firm that could not legitimately comply with the County's Community Workforce Program (CWP), specifically the requirement to hire employees from the project area because the project was so technical in nature. She said she believed this was an anti-business practice and the County should be flexible and make an exception if a contractor made a good faith effort to comply, especially since the County had made a strong exertion to help small businesses.

Ms. Esther Calas, Director, Public Works Department (PWD), clarified that this contractor was not fined because his efforts were insufficient, but because he did not go through the process required by the Department of Small Business Development (DSBD); instead he notified DSBD at the end of it. She noted according to the County's Code, a firm can be assessed a fine of \$1,500 per employee. She noted this firm was assessed a fine of \$1,500 since it only needed to hire one employee from the area's workforce, but it could not comply because of the complex nature of this project.

Commissioner Heyman expressed concern with a contractor not complying because of the complex nature of the project; and noted the standards should be flexible if the contractor's intent was good. She said she did not want this to result in lower or faulty job performance.

In response to Commissioner Moss' request for an explanation of the CWP and whether particular

jobs were reviewed to determine availability, Ms. Calas noted that was true and a 10% CWP goal was established for this project, which amounted to one person from the area, but the firm could not comply due to the sophisticated nature of this project

Commissioner Moss asked if the full scope of the project involved technology only or included other components.

Ms. Veronica Clark, DSBD, noted the CWP required that if there was a hiring on the job, the contractor must submit a job clearinghouse form to DSBD so that DSBD could advertise for that position and find the needed technical qualifications. She noted the firm did not submit a clearinghouse form. Ms. Calas also noted that if this firm had submitted the form and DSBD could not find those qualifications, the firm would have been excused from this requirement.

Commissioner Moss noted that although the firm made a good faith effort, he understood what took place in this case and was satisfied with the outcome.

Hearing no further comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as presented.

41

110062 Resolution

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CONTRACT FOR SALE AND PURCHASE OF DESIGNATED PROPERTY KNOWN AS PARCEL 6 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$228,500 WITH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AS PURCHASER AND THE GROVE CLUB CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC. AS SELLER, FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY NEEDED FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN (PTP) PROJECT ENTITLED ROADWAY EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENTS TO SW 27 AVENUE FROM US 1 TO SOUTH BAYSHORE DRIVE; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS (Public Works Department)

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Moss
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: Assistant County Attorney Bruce Libhaber read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.

Hearing no comments or questions, the Committee proceeded to vote on this resolution as presented.

5 COUNTY MANAGER

6 COUNTY ATTORNEY

7 CLERK OF THE BOARD

7A

102746 Report

CLERK'S SUMMARY OF MINUTES FOR THE
NOVEMBER 10, 2010 TRANSIT, INFRASTRUCTURE
AND ROADS COMMITTEE (Clerk of the Board)

Approved
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

8 REPORTS

8A

102738 Report

PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN 90-DAY REPORT
3RD QUARTER FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010 (County
Manager)

Report Received
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Commissioner Moss commended all County departments involved in the Peoples Transportation Plan (PTP) for their good work, and much progress in implementing construction projects to create jobs and make real improvements around the County.*

8B

110037 Report

MONTHLY REPORT FROM THE FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ON THE MIAMI
INTERMODAL CENTER (MIC) (County Manager)

Report Received
Mover: Heyman
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Mr. Harpal Kapoor responded to Commissioner Souto's question regarding when the Earlington Heights Metrorail Station would be connected to the Miami International Center (MIC). He noted it was slated to be connected in April 2012.*

Commissioner Souto asked the Miami-Dade Transit Agency Director and Assistant County Manager Ysela Llorca to ensure that the Earlington Heights Metrorail Station, scheduled for connection to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) in April 2012, remain clean and well-lit at all times; and that the security be enhanced to assist riders with train routes and ensure that they do not get lost.

8C

110038 Report

ORAL REPORT: QUALITY AIRCRAFT SERVICES, INC.
(CLOSE-OUT REPORT) (County Manager)

Report Received
Mover: Moss
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 5-0
Excused: Gimenez

Report: *Mr. Albert Hernandez, Deputy Director, Engineering, Miami-Dade Transit responded to Chairman Barreiro's question regarding when the Tri-Rail portion of the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) would be completed. He noted he believed this portion of the project should be completed by March or early summer of 2013, but he would get back to the Committee with the correct timeline. He also noted the Tri-Rail operations would be transferred to Hialeah this year to expedite the construction of the Tri-Rail portion at the MIC, and subsequently be brought back to the MIC. The benefit of doing it this way, he noted, was that it would create more open space and eliminate some CSX flaggers required for Tri-Rail so that they did not impede the project.*

Chairman Barreiro asked the Miami-Dade Transit Agency Director to provide him with the projected completion date for the Tri-Rail portion of the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC).

Commissioner Moss asked Assistant County Manager Ysela Llort to submit a report identifying all on-going projects related to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC); including all project schedules and goals, and the entity responsible for maintaining the MIC.

Chairman Barreiro suggested a discussion item be placed on a future agenda to discuss the Charette created for the portion of the triangle related to the MIC. He asked Ms. Llort to ensure the Charrette report involving a portion of the land surrounding the property controlled by the Department of Transportation (DOT) in the Airport Triangle was submitted to the Committee along with the report requested by Commissioner Moss.

Commissioner Souto spoke in support of Commissioner Moss' request. He noted the Commission needed to stay on top of the MIC projects at all times because the MIC involved many components and was very important to the

area. He referenced one project in Boston, Massachusetts that took several years and cost millions of extra dollars to complete, and noted he would hate to see the MIC project prolonged the same way.

8D

110280 Report

QUARTERLY MIAMI INTERMODAL CENTER - EARLINGTON HEIGHTS (MIC-EH) UPDATE (County Manager)

Report Received

8E

110278 Resolution

MONTHLY REPORT FROM THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ON THE MIAMI INTERMODAL CENTER (County Manager)

Report Received

110457 ReportNON-AGENDA ITEMS REPORT FOR THE REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING OF
FEBRUARY 7, 2011

Report: 1. *Commissioner Souto noted he visited the Amtrak station in Hialeah on Friday and found the condition of this station to be appalling. He expressed concern that this station was in poor condition and its parking lot was in need of great repair and lacked security. He agreed that the airports were important for the County's tourism industry, but noted the trains were also, and the County needed to stay on top of them.*

Chairman Barreiro noted he understood that Amtrak stations were State-owned facilities and the one in Hialeah would be going to the MIC in the future, as part of a long-term plan. He said he would verify this, but he did not want anyone to think that the County was not maintaining its facilities.

Commissioner Moss joined with Commissioner Souto in his concerns regarding the condition of this facility. He noted he had a few opportunities to inspect this facility, and it was very outdated.

Commissioner Souto asked Assistant County Manager Ysela Llorca to conduct an inspection of the Amtrak train station in Hialeah and to work with representatives from the Florida Department of Transportation if necessary, to improve the condition of that station and the parking lot; and to increase the security.

2. *Commissioner Souto expressed concern with lighting issues occurring throughout the entire County. He noted Miami-Dade County was represented as a global city, and illumination was important to it for the sake of safety. He urged the Commission to address this issue.*

Commissioner Edmonson noted this was a problem in Commission Districts 1 and 2 as well, and involved thieves stealing the copper wiring. She noted PWD was diligently working to resolve the issues, and she had put forth legislation urging the State to raise its fines for people caught purchasing stolen copper wire. She also noted they were working with Solid Waste Management for use of their cameras to enhance enforcement.

Commissioner Souto asked the Public Works Department Director to ensure that street lighting improvements were made along roadways throughout the County. He also asked the director to assign staff to inspect street lights daily to ensure that the streets were well-lit at all times.

Commissioner Moss asked the Public Works Department Director to also investigate and address a lighting issue on SW 152nd Street between U.S. 1 and 112th Avenue.

9 ADJOURNMENT

Report: *There being no further business to come before this Committee, the Regional Transit Committee meeting was adjourned at 11:59 a.m.*