


Memorandum



Date: December 19, 2011

To: Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Carlos A. Gimenez
Mayor 

Subject: Contract Award Recommendation in the Amount of \$1,017,748.89 to General Asphalt Co., Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project Entitled Roadway Resurfacing - Countywide and Authorizing the Use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds

Agenda Item No. 8(M)(1)

Recommendation

The attached Contract Award Recommendation for the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) project entitled *Roadway Resurfacing - Countywide* (Project MCC 7360 Plan - CICC 7360-0/08 Request for Price Quotation No. 20110035) in the amount of \$1,017,748.89 to General Asphalt Co., Inc. was prepared by the Public Works Department (PWD) and is recommended for approval by the Board of County Commissioners (Board).

Proceeds from a Charter County Transportation System Sales Surtax Bond Sale will be used to fund this project; therefore, review by the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) is required before final approval by the Board.

Scope

The work to be performed under the life of this contract includes roadway resurfacing at various locations within Miami-Dade County.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The value of this contract award is \$1,017,748.89 and will be funded from Charter County Transportation Surtax 2010 Bond Proceeds as programmed within PWD's Multi-Year Capital Budget. The base contract amount is \$895,225.25, but the total amount is inclusive of the contingency and dedicated allowances. There are no recurring operating or maintenance costs anticipated with the contract.

The proposed improvements qualify under the allowable work categories included in the PTP Neighborhood Improvements Section. The funding index code for this project is CPEPTP400RSF.

Delegation of Authority

No additional authority is being requested within the body of this contract.

Track Record/Monitor

General Asphalt Co., Inc. is currently performing work for PWD at a satisfactory level. PWD has reviewed the Office of Capital Improvements (OCI) database and found 19 awarded construction contracts as follows:

- one from the Aviation Department,
- one from the Park and Recreation Department, and
- 17 from PWD.

The referenced database also lists a total of 36 contractor performance evaluations (several contracts having two evaluations on file, an interim and a project closeout) with an overall performance rating of satisfactory performance (3.6) out of a possible superior performance (4.0) rating. The Department of Small Business Development's (SBD) History of Violation's report lists no violations for this contractor.

According to the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations, General Asphalt Co., Inc., Company Principals are Robert A. Lopez, Albert Lopez J., Royal S. Webster, Curtis Simpson and Robert A. Lopez, Jr. and the Company's address is listed as 4850 NW 72 Avenue, Miami, Florida 33166.

Following PWD's standard operating procedures, after award of this contract, a pre-construction meeting will be scheduled. The responsible staff person for PTP projects in PWD is PTP Coordinator, Mr. Frank Aira, P.E. Following completion of the award process, the project will be assigned to Mr. Joaquin Rabassa, P.E., Project Coordinator, for day-to-day responsibilities.

Background

As part of the PTP Neighborhood Improvements initiative, PWD continues to take advantage of various contracting mechanisms such as the subject Miscellaneous Construction Contracting (MCC) process available under the MCC 7360 Program. Through this process, PWD forwards the Request for Proposal Quotation (RPQ), by way of facsimile transmission, to a pool of firms that have registered with OCI for the subject trade. Additionally, PWD advertises the project in the *Daily Business Review* and all solicitations are available on-line through the Miami-Dade County portal under the "Procurement Solicitations" link. The project award evaluation is based upon the lowest bid submitted by the responsive, responsible bidder for the project.


The SBD Review Committee met and recommended a 10 percent Community Small Business Enterprise subcontractor goal based on the project's scope of work and cost estimate of \$879,000.00. In addition, the Community Workforce Program (CWP) has been established for this project as several work locations fall within a Designated Target Area and are subject to a 13 percent CWP Goal. Subsequent to the review by the SBD Review Committee, PWD forwarded RPQ No. 20110035 for the Roadway Resurfacing Contract, utilizing the OCI contract MCC 7360 Plan, to a list of 55 pre-registered firms. A total of four firms purchased contract documents and all four firms proffered a bid.

H & J Asphalt, Inc. (H & J) proffered the lowest bid (\$835,309.40), but submitted a Bid Bond Form different from the standard form included in the solicitation documents. The submitted Bid Bond Form, along with the contract language was sent to the County Attorney's Office for review on March 17, 2011. The Bid was determined to be Non-Responsive by the CAO. Therefore, PWD recommends award to the second lowest bidder, General Asphalt Co., Inc. which proffered a base bid of \$895,225.25, two percent over the County's cost estimate.

The Recommendation for Award to General Asphalt Co., Inc. was issued and then filed with the Clerk of the Board on June 28, 2011. H & J filed a bid protest on July 1, 2011 for which a hearing was held on July 21, 2011. The Hearing Officer, in his ruling of July 29, 2011 (attached), denied the bid protest filed by H & J and upheld the Manager's recommendation. Therefore it is recommended that the subject project be awarded to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder, General Asphalt Co., Inc., with the stipulation that approval of this project must be obtained from the CITT and the BCC in accordance with PTP guidelines.

Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners
Page 3

The work to be accomplished for this project consists of, but is not limited to, furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, equipment and tools to perform all operations necessary for roadway resurfacing improvements. The work includes clearing and excavating of shoulder areas or build-up, grading and clean-up of adjacent shoulders and removal of roots, if required. Additional work to be performed includes milling of existing pavement, resurfacing of the full roadway, the widening and resurfacing of intersecting streets, and resurfacing of asphalt pathways (pedestrian, bicycle, etc.) adjacent or not to the edge of the pavement, if necessary.


County Manager/Deputy Mayor



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: December 19, 2011

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(M)(1)

Please note any items checked.

- "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- Budget required
- Statement of fiscal impact required
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing
- No committee review
- Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____) to approve
- Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(M)(1)
12-19-11

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD RECOMMENDATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,017,748.89 TO GENERAL ASPHALT CO., INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED ROADWAY RESURFACING - COUNTYWIDE (PROJECT MCC 7360 PLAN - CICC 7360-0/08, REQUEST FOR PRICE QUOTATION NO. 20110035) AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves the Contract Award Recommendation in the amount of \$1,017,748.89 between General Asphalt Co., Inc. and Miami-Dade County for the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Project Entitled Roadway Resurfacing - Countywide (Project MCC 7360 Plan - CICC 7360-0/08, RPQ No. 20110035) in substantially the form attached hereto and made a part hereof; and authorizing use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.

5

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner
who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner
and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Joe A. Martinez, Chairman	
Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice Chairwoman	
Bruno A. Barreiro	Lynda Bell
Esteban L. Bovo, Jr.	Jose "Pepe" Diaz
Sally A. Heyman	Barbara J. Jordan
Jean Monestime	Dennis C. Moss
Rebeca Sosa	Sen. Javier D. Souto
Xavier L. Suarez	

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 19th day of December, 2011. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

HB

Hugo Benitez



Harvey Ruvin
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT AND COUNTY COURTS
Miami-Dade County, Florida

CLERK OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
STEPHEN P. CLARK MIAMI-DADE GOVERNMENT CENTER
SUITE 17-202

111 N.W. 1st Street
Miami, FL 33128-1983
Telephone: (305) 375-5126
Fax: (305) 375-2484

July 29, 2011

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Esq.
BECKER & POLIAKOFF, P.A.
121 Alhambra Plaza, 10th Floor
Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Re: Bid Protest – RPQ No. 20110035 (MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08)
People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Roadway Resurfacing
Protester: H & J Asphalt, Inc.

Dear Attorney Diaz de la Portilla:

Pursuant to Section 2-8.4 of the Code and Implementing Order 3-21, forwarded for your information is a copy of the Findings and Recommendation filed by the hearing examiner in connection with the foregoing bid protest hearing held on July 21, 2011.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,
HARVEY RUVIN, Clerk
Circuit and County Courts

By 
Christopher Agrippa, Division Chief
Clerk of the Board Division

CA/fcd
Attachment

cc: Honorable Carlos A. Gimenez, Mayor, Miami-Dade County (via email)
Allna T. Hudak, County Manager (via email)
Hugo Benitez, Assistant County Attorney (via email)
Bruce Libhaber, Assistant County Attorney (via email)
Esther L. Calas, P.E., Director, Public Works Department (via email)
Frank Aira, P.E., CPM, Division Manager, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Penelope Townsley, Director, Small Business Development (via email)
Orky Rodriguez, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Antonio Cotarelo, P.E., Public Works Dept. (via email)
Bassam Moubayed, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Duane Kopp, P.E., Public Works Dept. (via email)
Alvaro Castro, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Rodney McMillian, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Alicia Arce, Public Works Dept. (via email)
Patrice King, Small Business Development (via email)
Traci Adams-Parish, Small Business Development (via email)
H & R Paving
Community Asphalt Group
H & J Asphalt, Inc.
General Asphalt Co., Inc.

DOUTHIT LAW, LLC
814 Ponce De Leon Blvd.
Suite 210
Coral Gables, FL 33134
(305) 893-0110 (305) 893-7499 Fax

CLERK OF THE BOARD

2011 JUL 29 PM 1:31

CLERK, CIRCUIT & COUNTY ETS
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLA.
#1

July 24, 2011

TO: Clerk of the Board
FROM: Marc Anthony Douthit, Esq.
RE: Bid Protest Project No. RPQ 20110035 (MCC 7360 Plan -CICC 7360-0/08)
People's Transportation Plan Roadway Resurfacing

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter was heard before the undersigned Hearing Examiner on July 21, 2011 on the Bid Protest of H&J Asphalt, Inc. ("H&J") protesting Miami-Dade County's Notice of Intent to Award to General Asphalt Co., Inc. ("General Asphalt") the bid pertaining to the County's Request for Price Quotations (RPQ) for project 2011-0035 People's Transportation Plan Roadway Resurfacing Project ("Project").

H&J was represented by William Cea and Elinette Ruiz of Becker & Poliakoff, and Miami-Dade County was represented by Bruce Libhaber, Esq. of the Office of the County Attorney. There were no appearances by the recommended bidder, General Asphalt, nor did they move to intervene in these proceedings.

In its Letter of June 24, 2011 the County informed H&J that the bid of H&J was being rejected. The reason stated in the letter was that H&J was being found non responsive to the bid.¹ The basis for this determination of non-responsiveness was the submission by H&J in its response to the bid of a Bid Bond on a form that was different than the required form included in the bid package.

In its Bid Protest, H&J raises several points, however at the Bid Protest Hearing counsel for H&J stated that the only issue that was before this Hearing Officer was the

¹ See Protestors Exhibit 2.

issue related to the language and submission of the Bid Bond.²

That issue can be fairly summarized as follows: whether the different form of Bid Bond submitted by H&J is substantively different than the form required by the County. Further, whether the Bid Bond H&J submitted satisfied the requirements of the Bid Documents and that the disqualification of their bid was a question of the County putting form over substance and any technical differences were simply minor irregularities.

H&J argues that both of these questions weigh in their favor and any decision to disqualify them under these circumstances amounts to an arbitrary and capricious exercise of the County's power.³

The County argues that the differences in the form of the Bid Bonds create substantive issues which if ignored would result in H&J obtaining a competitive advantage over the other bidders. Further, the differences in the H&J Bid Bond leave the County unprotected from the very risk and potential exposure a Bid Bond is intended to cover.

The parties concede that there are no factual issues in dispute and the entire resolution of this matter hinges on a determination of whether the Bid Bond submitted by H&J satisfied the requirements of the RPQ. The only testimony taken at the Bid Protest Hearing was given by Jose Pimentel, the corporate representative of H&J who testified as to the circumstances which led to the submission of the Bid Bond form submitted by H&J. He further testified that H&J had used the Bid Bond form requested by the County in the past and had no problem with its requirements. H&J also submitted an affidavit of Michael Kline of the Great American Insurance Company (Great American), H&J's surety company which provides assurances that Great American stands ready, willing and able to provide a Bid Bond which in all respects would meet the requirements set forth in the RPQ.⁴

² Hearing Transcript at page 12, line 14.

³ See H&J Notice of Intent to Protest at page 3.

⁴ See Protester's Exhibit 3 at paragraph 6. It should be noted that the County concedes that H&J has performed County contracts in the past and are an experienced contractor and that if H&J had met the "responsiveness" threshold, they would have been deemed to be a "responsible" bidder. Hearing Transcript at Page 21, Line 14.

The threshold question is whether the language of the two Bid Bond forms, the one required by the County in its RPQ Bid Package and the one submitted by H&J are, from a substantive standpoint, different. And if they are substantively different is the difference a material difference which deprives the County of the protection it sought in requiring a Bid Bond from all bidders who responded to this RPQ.

As a public body the County is not entitled to omit or alter material provisions required by the RFP because in doing so the public body fails to "inspire public confidence in the fairness of the [RFP] process." *State, Dep't of Lottery v. Gtech Corp.*, 816 So.2d 648 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001). In determining whether a specific noncompliance constitutes a substantial and hence non-waivable irregularity, the courts have applied two criteria—first, whether the effect of a waiver would be to deprive the municipality of its assurance that the contract will be entered into, performed and guaranteed according to its specified requirements, and second, whether it is of such a nature that its waiver would adversely affect competitive bidding by placing a bidder in a position of advantage over other bidders or by otherwise undermining the necessary common standard of competition. *Robinson Electrical Co., Inc. v. Dade Co.*, 417 So.2d 1032, 1034 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982). If the differences in the Bid Bond relate to a material provision of the RPQ, the County would therefore be compelled to reach the conclusion it reached.

Central to the language of the Bid Bond submitted by H&J is the language which sets forth how and when Miami-Dade County will be entitled to recovery under the Bond. The language of the Bid Bond form included in the RPQ package states, in relevant part as follows:

"Principal and Surety, jointly and severally, bind themselves, their heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns to pay to Miami-Dade County, Florida (herein after County) upon default of Principal the penal sum set forth on the face of this bond.....Default of Principal shall occur in the event that the Principal withdraws Bid within 180 days after bid opening (or any extension thereof agreed to in writing by the Bidder and the County); or, after proper notification of the intent to contract from the County, fails to comply with all pre-award requirements, including but not limited to providing Payment and Performance Bonds with good and sufficient surety....."

The language of the H&J Bid Bond states in relevant part as follows:

"...if the Oblige shall accept the bid of the Principal and the Principal shall enter into a Contract with the Oblige in accordance with the terms of such bid, and give such bond or bonds as may be specified in the bidding or contract documents.....or in the event of the failure of the Principal to enter such contract and give such bond or bonds, if the Principal shall pay the to the oblige the difference not to exceed the penalty hereof between the amount specified in said bid and such larger amount for which the Oblige may in good faith with another party to perform the Work covered by said did, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect."

The H&J Bid Bond sets forth the conditions and circumstances which under which the obligation to pay under the Bid Bond would take effect. It begins with the language, "If the Oblige." It further adds the condition ".....and the Principal shall enter into a Contract with the Oblige in accordance with the terms of such bid." So at the outset, the moment the Bid Bond becomes effective is upon the fulfillment of these two conditions. The Oblige (County) must first accept the Bid of the Principal (H&J) and H&J shall enter into a contract with the County.

In contrast, the Bid Bond form included in the County RPQ defines default as the withdrawal of the Principal's bid within 180 days after the bid opening or the failure on the part of the bidder to enter into a contract with the County after being given a proper notice of intent. It is the existence of the default that triggers the obligation to pay under the Bid Bond.

The County argues that the condition in the H&J Bid Bond requiring the County to accept the bid of H&J prior to the Bid Bond being effective is indeed a substantive difference. The County form triggered the potential liability of the Surety at the time of the bid opening. The H&J Bid Bond form triggered the potential liability of the Surety only after the acceptance of the H&J Bid.⁵

Contrary to the argument being made by H&J, the difference in the language of these two bonds is different in both form and substance. H&J would enjoy a substantial advantage over its competitors if it could within the One Hundred Eighty (180) day

⁵During the Hearing, the issue as to whether the H&J Bid Bond was in an amount sufficient to cover the potential penalty of no less than 5% of the Bid or the difference in the bid of the next bidder. While I do believe that under these circumstances, the H&J Bid Bond will be adequate to cover the potential liability contemplated in this RPQ that result is less a product of the language of the Bid Bond and more a result of the specific facts present here.

period, be free from the prices quoted in its bid. Both prongs of the test articulated in *Robinson* are present here. The possibility that H&J could change its bid prices or withdraw its bid without the County having any recourse against the Bid Bond would deprive the County of its assurance that it would be able to enter into a contract with H&J. Further, there is nothing in the H&J Bid Bond which would require H&J to hold its bid open for any period of time.⁶ The difference in the obligation of H&J as it relates to the other bidders was and is material and substantial and the County's determination in this regard was within its discretion:

This is more than simply a case of form over substance as H&J argues. While I do not accept the notion that only the exact Bid Bond document or form that was included in the RPQ Bid Package would be adequate to meet the "responsiveness" threshold. I do believe that at a minimum whatever form is used cannot provide less protection to the County than they sought in the RPQ. Had H&J provided a Bid Bond that provided the equivalent protection to the County but on a different form or using different language to say the same thing, the H&J's argument would be well taken.⁷ However, when looking at the language of the H&J Bond, it does not provide the same assurance.

The Case law submitted by H&J in support of its argument all hinge upon the fact that the differences in those case were technical and immaterial. I do not find that is the situation here. Under *Robinson*, the difference in the language meets both prongs of the two (2) part test of materiality. As such, the Bid Bond form used by H&J does not provide the County with the same certainty and security that it required in the RPQ.

H&J belatedly attempted to provide the assurance its Bid Bond was supposed to

⁶ H&J states that the language of the Bid Bond it submitted is not inconsistent with the RPQ Bid Documents. Section 1.02 of the Special Provisions section of the RPQ imposes the requirements necessary for the Bid Bond. This language includes the 180 day requirement. The H&J Bid Bond refers back to the bid documents. However, the language which refers back to the bid documents follows the condition referred to above related to the actual "entering into a contract". To the extent that this condition is inconsistent with the Bid Documents, the H&J Bid Bond is substantively different.

⁷ The language of Section 1.02(A) states in relevant part: "Failure to furnish a bid security in proper form and amount, with the delivery of the Bid to the County, shall result in the bid being declared "non-responsive". If all we were talking about was the form, then the case law provided by H&J would have more applicability.


give the County with the inclusion of the Affidavit from Great American indicating that Great American would be willing to provide a Bid Bond in the form requested by the County. However, the validity of H&J's bid must be evaluated at the time of the bid opening.

The difference in the Bid Bond of H&J as it relates to the other bidders was and is material and substantial and the County's determination in this regard was within its discretion. While a minor irregularity can be waived or overlooked. A minor irregularity being defined as a variation which "does not affect the price of the bid, or give the bidder an advantage or benefit not enjoyed by other bidders or does not adversely impact the interests of the agency." *Tropabest Foods, Inc. v. State, Dept. of General Services*, 493 So.2d 50 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986); *Procacci Commercial Realty, Inc. v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services*, 690 So.2d 603 (1st DCA 1997). The other bidders are bound by their bids for the entire 180 day period and the other bidders' Bid Bonds became effective immediately upon the opening of the Bids.

CONCLUSION

Based on the facts presented as to the inadequacy of the language of the Bond the Bid Protest of H&J ought to be DENIED. I therefore recommend that the County Manager's recommendation of award to General Asphalt be UPHHELD.

Respectfully Submitted,



Marc Anthony Douthit, Esq.

Hearing Examiner

Memorandum



Date: June 17, 2011

To: Esther Calas, P.E., Director
Public Works Department

From: Penelope Townsley, Director
Small Business Development

Subject: Compliance Review
Contract No. MCC 7360 Plan, Request for Price Quotation (RPQ) No. 20110035
People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Roadway Resurfacing Contract

The Department of Small Business Development (SBD) has completed its review of the subject project for compliance with the Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) Program for Construction Services. The contract measure established for this project is a 10% CSBE subcontractor goal and a 13% Community Workforce Program (CWP) goal.

The Contracts & Specifications Section of the Public Works Department has submitted bid documents from General Asphalt Co., Inc. (#1), H & R Paving, Inc. (#2), and Community Asphalt Corporation (#3) for compliance review. Following is the pre-award compliance status and summary.

STATUS:

- | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. General Asphalt Co. Inc. | Compliant |
| 2. H & R Paving, Inc. | Compliant |
| 3. Community Asphalt Corporation | Compliant |

SUMMARY:

General Asphalt Co. Inc. (#1), submitted the required Schedule of Intent (SOI) Affidavit committing to utilize Highway Striping, Inc. to perform pavement markings at 10%. Highway Striping, Inc. signed the SOI Affidavit in agreement with the information listed. General Asphalt Co. Inc. fulfilled the contract measure requirement and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

H & R Paving, Inc. (#2), submitted the required SOI Affidavit committing to utilize Striping Technology Corp., a certified CSBE firm, to perform pavement marking/signs work at 12.5%. Striping Technology Corp. signed the SOI Affidavit in agreement with the information listed. H & R Paving, Inc. fulfilled the contract measure requirement and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

Community Asphalt Corp. (#3), submitted the required SOI Affidavits committing to utilize the following CSBE certified firms: Road Runner Striping Technology to perform striping and pavement markings work at 12.82% and Palma Paving & Concrete, Inc. to perform concrete work at 3.33%. Each subcontractor signed its respective SOI Affidavit in agreement with the information listed. Community Asphalt Corp. fulfilled the contract measure requirement and is in compliance with the CSBE Participation Provisions.

SBD has verified that none of the aforementioned firms are listed on the Goal Deficit Make-Up Report as of June 1, 2011. Please note that SBD staff only reviewed and addressed compliance with the CSBE program. The Contracts & Specifications Section of the Public Works Department is responsible for any other issues that may exist.

Esther Calas
June 17, 2011
MCC 7360 Plan, RPQ No. 20110035
Page 2

Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to call Kelly Duncombe at (305) 375-3136.

c: Rodney McMillian, PWD
Traci Adams-Parish, SBD
File



CLERK OF THE BOARD

2011 JUN 28 PM 3:43

CLERK, CIRCUIT & COUNTY OFFICE
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLA.
#1

Public Works
111 NW 1st Street • Suite 1410
Miami, Florida 33128-1970
T 305-375-2930 F 305-375-2931

miamidade.gov

June 24, 2011

CERTIFIED MAIL No.
7010 3090 0001 3506 6476

FACSIMILE; TELEPHONE No.
(305) 477-4675; (305) 592-3480

Mr. Robert A. Lopez
General Asphalt Co., Inc.
4850 NW 72nd Avenue
Miami, Florida 33166

Re: **Recommendation for Award**
Request for Price Quotation (RPQ) No. 20110035 (MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08)
People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Roadway Resurfacing

Dear Mr. Lopez:

This letter will serve as your notification that you have been recommended for award for the above referenced RPQ based on your Price Quotation submitted on Wednesday, May 25, 2011. The total RPQ amount is for one million seventeen thousand seven hundred forty-eight dollars and eighty-nine cents (\$1,017,748.89). This includes a base contract amount of eight hundred ninety-five thousand two hundred twenty-five dollars and twenty-five cents (\$895,225.25), a contingency amount of eighty-nine thousand five hundred twenty-two dollars and fifty-three cents (\$89,522.53), and dedicated allowances totaling thirty-three thousand one dollar and eleven cents (\$33,001.11). The contract duration is established as 450-calendar days. However, the recommendation of award is contingent upon the submission of the required items listed below:

1. Performance and Payment Bond as required in Contract No. MCC 7360 Plan, Section 2.0 Special Conditions, Page 16, Article 2.11, **PERFORMANCE AND PAYMENT BOND**. (The **original attached documents** must be used and three (3) sets must be provided).
2. Letter from Bonding Agent granting Miami-Dade County authorization to date the Performance Bond.
3. Copies of current insurance certificates.
4. Copies of required license(s).
5. Submit Workforce Plan to the issuing/user department within fifteen (15) days of Notice of Award for project specific and within fifteen (15) days from Notice to proceed for work orders.

The preceding documents are required as outlined within Contract MCC 7360 Plan and to be submitted within 10 business days. Failure to submit the document(s) within the specified time, or any extension granted, will result in the award being rescinded. Subsequent to the review and approval of the aforementioned documents, you are required to obtain the required permits in the time stipulated in the RPQ. Upon obtaining the permit(s), a copy(s) must be submitted to the Project Manager prior to commencement of work.

Page 2
Recommendation of Award
RPQ No. 20110035

No work is to be performed without a permit unless the Project Manager issues a written directive to proceed without permit(s). This letter will also serve as a reminder that all work must be performed according to the scope of work and contract's terms and conditions, all permits and inspections and in accordance with all applicable Federal, State and local laws, codes and regulations.


Additionally, this contract, along with any future contracts awarded to your firm, have very similar completion schedules and competing deadlines. Please be advised that your firm must have the resources to ensure work proceeds without delay once the "Notice to Proceed" is issued. This will result in your firm working on multiple contracts concurrently. Lack of equipment and/or personnel will not be reason for delay.

Further, it shall be understood that since proceeds from the Charter County Transportation System Sales Surtax levied pursuant to Section 29.121 of the Code of Miami-Dade County may be used to pay for all or some part of the cost of this contract, no award of this contract shall be effective and thereby give rise to a contractual relationship with the County unless and until the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) and the County Commission have approved the award of the contract, and such award becomes final (either by expiration of 10 days after such award without veto by the Mayor, or by Commission override of a veto).

Please contact Mr. Ultimo De Oliveira, OCI office, at (305) 375-5265 to insure that your file is complete for award consideration.

Should you have any questions please contact me at (305) 375-2930.

Sincerely,



Frank Aira, P.E., CFM
Division Manager, Public Works Department

cc: Esther L. Calas, P.E., PWD
Office of Capital Improvements
Penelope Townsley, SBD
Orky Rodriguez, PWD
Antonio Cotarelo, P.E., PWD
Bassam Moubayed, PWD
Duane Kopp, P.E., PWD
Alvaro Castro, PWD
Rodney McMillian, PWD
Alicia Arce, PWD
Patrice King, SBD
Traci Adams-Parish, SBD
Clerk of the Board
Project File



Public Works
111 NW 1st Street • Suite 1410
Miami, Florida 33128-1970
T 305-375-2930 F 305-375-2931

miamidade.gov

June 24, 2011

CERTIFIED MAIL No.
7010 3090 0001 3506 6490

FACSIMILE; TELEPHONE No.
(305) 634-3313; (305) 634-3342

Mr. Humberto Lorenzo
H & J Asphalt, Inc.
4310 N.W. 35th Avenue
Miami, Florida 33142

Re Recommendation of Award
Request for Price Quotation (RPQ) No. 20110035 (MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08)
People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Roadway Resurfacing

Dear Mr. Lorenzo:


This letter will serve as a notification that your firm's bid for the referenced project has been deemed "Not Responsive." This action is being taken because the Bid Bond submitted was not properly executed and was materially different from the Bid Bond required by the Contract Documents. Therefore, in accordance with Sections 2-8.3 and 2-8.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, relating to bid protest, you are hereby notified that the County Manager has executed the tentative award of this contract to the lowest responsive bidder:

General Asphalt Co., Inc.
4850 NW 72nd Avenue
Miami, Florida 33166

This award will become final three (3) working days from the date of this notice, or the filing date of the County Manager's tentative award with the Clerk of the Board, whichever is the latest date. The successful bidder will receive notice of final bid award. Should you have additional questions, please contact me at (305) 375-2930.

We want to thank you for your interest and bid on this project.

Sincerely,



Frank Aira, P.E., CFM
Manager, Public Works Department

FA:lc

c: Clerk of the Board
Project File

Miami Dade County Public Works Department Contract Prices

Project Title: People's Transportation Plan (FTP) Resurfacing Contracts
Contractor: GENERAL ASPHALT CO., INC.

Project No: 20110035
Bid Date: 05/25/2011

Item Number	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Estimated Total
1WSR	Saw cut and remove an average of two (2) inches of temporary cold asphalt patch on trench in order to expose and evaluate the condition of the base. If base is found suitable, clean loose material and replace the amount of cold patch removed with hot type S asphaltic concrete up to the level of the surrounding surface.	S.F.	1,563.0	\$3.00	\$4,689.00
2WSR	Saw cut and remove an average of two (2) inches of existing temporary cold asphalt patch on trench in order to expose and evaluate the condition of the base. If base is found unsuitable by the Engineer, remove base material and replace with a minimum of eight (8) inches of compacted limerock or six (6) inches of hot S asphaltic concrete. In either case, replace the cold patch removed with hot type S asphaltic concrete up to the level of the surrounding surface.	S.F.	1,328.0	\$5.00	\$6,640.00
4WS1-A	Night Differential for Paving Repair	P.N.	6.0	\$500.00	\$3,000.00
5WS1-A	Night Differential for Milling	P.N.	6.0	\$500.00	\$3,000.00
6WS1-A	Night Differential for Resurfacing	P.N.	6.0	\$500.00	\$3,000.00
101-327A	MOBILIZATION FOR MILLING. (To be paid once, per each Section of a Township and Range) May be deleted if no milling in Section.	PER/SCT	8.0	\$1,000.00	\$8,000.00
102-74-2	BARRICADES (Temporary, Type III, 6')	EA/DAY	534.0	\$1.00	\$534.00
102-74-3	Barricades (Type III) (With high intensity flashing lights) (Temporary Type "B")	EA.	50.0	\$1.00	\$50.00
102-76	FLASHING ARROW BOARD (Temporary, Multimode)	EA/DAY	36.0	\$10.00	\$360.00
102-77	HIGH INTENSITY FLASHING LIGHTS (TEMPORARY, TYPE "B")	EA/DAY	5.0	\$1.00	\$5.00
102-8A	RE-GRADE EXISTING SWALE (Without sod) (When required by the Engineer.)	S.Y.	5.0	\$5.00	\$25.00
102-91-4	TEMPORARY MESSAGES (ONLY/ MERGE/SCHOOL/RR)	EA.	15.0	\$50.00	\$750.00
102-91-7	TEMPORARY DIRECTIONAL ARROWS (White or Yellow) Paint	EA	52.0	\$20.00	\$1,040.00
102-91-1A	PAVEMENT MARKING TEMPORARY (Solid) (Any width) (Yellow or White) (Paint)	L.F.	86,649.0	\$0.25	\$21,662.25
102-60A	WORK ZONE SIGNS	EA/DAY	5.0	\$10.00	\$50.00
102-91A	PAVEMENT MARKING TEMPORARY (Skip) (Any width) (Yellow or White) (Paint) (10' stripe, 30' space)	L.F.	9,260.0	\$0.25	\$2,315.00
110-4	REMOVAL OF EXISTING PAVEMENT	S.Y.	20.0	\$25.00	\$500.00
120-1	REGULAR EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20.0	\$25.00	\$500.00
120-2-2	BORROW EXCAVATION -[(Contractor supplied, truck measurement) (This item is contingent upon field conditions and may be increased, decreased, or eliminated by the Engineer)]	C.Y.	10.0	\$25.00	\$250.00
120-2-3	EMBANKMENT FOR ROAD BUILD-UP (Borrow material from Contractor's own source)	C.Y.	10.0	\$25.00	\$250.00
120-2-4	EMBANKMENT MATERIAL FOR SHOULDER - Borrow material, from contractor's own source, see Special Provisions for description of material.	C.Y.	150.0	\$10.00	\$1,500.00
160-4	TYPE "B" STABILIZATION (12" Thick) (Min. C.B.R. 30)	S.Y.	10.0	\$5.00	\$50.00
210-1-2	LIMEROCK BASE (8" double course) (Primed)	S.Y.	10.0	\$20.00	\$200.00
327-70	MILLING EXISTING PAVEMENT [(1") (Payment for greater cuts will be paid proportionally)]	S.Y.	80,600.0	\$1.50	\$120,900.00
334-2-13-1	Hot Mix Asphalt, Traffic C, SP-9.5	TON	1,861.0	\$78.00	\$145,158.00
337-8-42	Hot Mix Asphalt Friction Course, Traffic C, FC-9.5, PG 76-22	TON	4,523.0	\$98.00	\$443,254.00
425-4(2)	ADJUSTING INLETS	EA.	5.0	\$350.00	\$1,750.00
425-5	ADJUST MANHOLE (This item is contingent upon field conditions and may be increased, decreased or eliminated by the Engineer)	EA.	56.0	\$250.00	\$14,000.00
425-6	ADJUST EXISTING VALVE BOXES (MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ONLY) (This item is contingent upon field conditions and may be increased, decreased or eliminated by the Engineer)	EA.	65.0	\$175.00	\$11,375.00

Miami Dade County Public Works Department Contract Prices

Project Title: People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Resurfacing Contracts
Contractor: GENERAL ASPHALT CO., INC.

Project No: 20110035
Bid Date: 05/25/2011

Item Number	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Estimated Total
425-721E	REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL of existing damaged frames and pick up new frames at Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, including adjustment and installation of Manholes.	EA.	3.0	\$350.00	\$1,050.00
425-721F	REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL of existing damaged frames and pick up new frames at Miami-Dade Water and Sewer, including adjustment and installation of Water Valves.	EA.	5.0	\$250.00	\$1,250.00
425-944B	RECONSTRUCT MANHOLE	EA.	2.0	\$350.00	\$700.00
575-1-1	SODDING (Pensacola Bahia or match existing) (includes watering)	S.Y.	20.0	\$18.00	\$360.00
700-40-01	ROADSIDE SIGNS ((SINGLE POST) (LESS THAN 12 S.F.))	AS.	3.0	\$200.00	\$600.00
700-46-22	RESET EXISTING POST AND SIGN	EA.	5.0	\$100.00	\$500.00
705-71-361	36" TUBULAR DELINEATOR	EA.	20.0	\$50.00	\$1,000.00
708-1-12	REFLECTIVE PAVEMENT MARKERS (class B, mono or bi-directional, all colors)	EA.	11,600.0	\$3.00	\$34,800.00
711-3	PAVEMENT MESSAGES [(ONLY/MERGE/SCHOOL/RR) (Thermoplastic)]	EA.	20.0	\$120.00	\$2,400.00
711-4	DIRECTIONAL ARROWS (Thermoplastic)	EA.	96.0	\$50.00	\$4,800.00
711-5	GUIDELINES [(6" DOTTED) (2'-4' White) (Thermoplastic)]	L.F.	80.0	\$0.80	\$64.00
711-7	REMOVE EXISTING PAVEMENT MARKINGS	S.F.	28.0	\$2.00	\$56.00
711-11-121	THERMOPLASTIC (White) (Solid) (6")	L.F.	5,540.0	\$0.60	\$3,324.00
711-11-122	THERMOPLASTIC (White) (Solid) (8")	L.F.	2,580.0	\$0.80	\$2,064.00
711-11-123	THERMOPLASTIC (White) (Solid) (12")	L.F.	5,535.0	\$1.20	\$6,642.00
711-11-124	THERMOPLASTIC (White) (Solid) (18")	L.F.	438.0	\$2.00	\$876.00
711-11-125	THERMOPLASTIC (White) (Solid) (24")	L.F.	3,652.0	\$2.50	\$9,130.00
711-11-221	THERMOPLASTIC (Yellow) (Solid) (6")	L.F.	35,040.0	\$0.60	\$21,024.00
711-11-224	THERMOPLASTIC (Yellow) (Solid) (18")	L.F.	986.0	\$2.00	\$1,972.00
711-2A	SKIP TRAFFIC STRIPE, THERMOPLASTIC (4" WHITE/YELLOW, 6'-10' SKIP)	L.F.	110.0	\$0.50	\$55.00
711-33-34	SKIP TRAFFIC STRIPE- [(4" White/Yellow) (10' Stripe, 30' Skip)(Thermoplastic, lead free)]	L.F.	4,574.0	\$0.50	\$2,287.00
711-35-41	SOLID TRAFFIC STRIPE - [(4" WHITE)(Thermoplastic)]	L.F.	180.0	\$0.50	\$90.00
711-36-41	SOLID TRAFFIC STRIPE [(4" Yellow) (THERMOPLASTIC) (Lead-free)]	L.F.	8,000.0	\$0.50	\$4,000.00
711-33A	SKIP TRAFFIC STRIPE 6" White/Yellow (10' Stripe, 30' Skip)	L.F.	2,290.0	\$0.60	\$1,374.00
ALLOWANCE ACCOUNTS (used as approved by the engineer pursuant to the requirements of the Contract Documents)					
999	CONTINGENCY FUND (10% OF SUBTOTAL AMOUNT)	L.S.	1.0	\$89,522.53	\$89,522.53
102-10A	OFF-DUTY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER	L.S.	1.0	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00
102-1P	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (Including traffic cones, temporary signs, flag men, etc.)	L.S.	1.0	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
300-1-999	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL ADJUSTMENT	L.S.	1.0	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
990	ALLOWANCE-PERMITS	L.S.	1.0	\$501.11	\$501.11

BID TABULATION REPORT

June 29, 2011 10:35 AM

Project Name: People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Resurfacing Contracts

Project No: 20110035
 Bid Open Date: 05/25/2011

Bidder	System Calculated Subtotal (\$)	Dedicated Allowances (\$)	Contingency Allowances (\$)	Total (\$)
GENERAL ASPHALT CO., INC.	\$895,225.25	\$33,001.11	\$89,522.53	\$1,017,748.89
H&R PAVING INC.	\$982,958.15	\$33,001.11	\$98,295.82	\$1,114,255.08
COMMUNITY ASPHALT CORPORATION.	\$1,001,697.11	\$33,001.11	\$100,199.71	\$1,134,897.93
H&J ASPHALT, INC.	Not Responsive			

2

Find Contracts With Search String ==>

Projects

Goto Bottom

Exit



OFFICE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS INFORMATION SYSTEM

Friday, July 22, 2011

All Contracts for FEIN 591115297
General Asphalt Co., Inc.

DST	DPI	Type	Contract	Name	Location / Contractor	Estimated Completion Date	Total Award	Last Status Award Date	% Complete / Status *
30	PW	CON	<u>20030004</u>	PTP RESURFACING	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	10/25/2005	\$1,000,000	6/21/2006	100% / Complete
0	PW	7360	<u>20050002</u>	RESURFACING - ROAD IMPROVEMENT	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	5/27/2005	\$600,000	7/25/2007	100% / Closed
9	PW	CON	<u>20050045</u>	Card Sound Road	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	4/21/2006	\$2,878,475	7/25/2007	100% / Closed
4	PW	7360	<u>20060077</u>	Resurfacing Contract District	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	7/13/2007	\$500,000	3/11/2008	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20060186</u>	NW 62 Street from NW 27 Avenue	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	8/29/2007	\$1,148,746	3/16/2010	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20060187</u>	NW 62 Street from NW 37 Avenue	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	8/29/2007	\$764,679	5/7/2008	100% / Closed
11	PW	7360	<u>20060199</u>	Resurfacing Contract District	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	11/15/2007	\$1,000,000	3/11/2008	100% / Closed
11	PW	7360	<u>20060200</u>	Resurfacing Contract District	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	10/30/2007	\$800,000	3/11/2008	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20060204</u>	People's Transportation Plan (General Asphalt Co., Inc.	12/22/2007	\$1,000,000	3/11/2008	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20060205</u>	People's Transportation Plan (General Asphalt Co., Inc.	12/22/2007	\$1,000,000	3/11/2008	100% / Closed
7	PW	CON	<u>20070597</u>	Rickenbacker Causeway Road Resurfacing and Bike	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	11/23/2008	\$3,062,260	3/23/2010	100% / Closed
6	PW	CON	<u>20070645</u>	PTP Roadway Improvements along SW 72 Avenue, fro	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	2/17/2010	\$1,252,174	5/12/2010	100% / Work Complete, Pending Close-O
30	PW	7360	<u>20090051</u>	ARRA Roadway Resurfacing Contr	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	1/4/2011	\$586,022	3/18/2011	100% / Closed
8	PW	7360	<u>20090107</u>	PTP Resurfacing Contract	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	6/23/2011	\$879,090	3/17/2011	55% / Behind Schedule
30	PW	7360	<u>20090117</u>	ARRA Roadway Resurfacing Contr	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	2/17/2011	\$708,292	3/18/2011	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20090128</u>	ARRA Roadway Resurfacing Contr	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	1/21/2011	\$588,376	3/18/2011	100% / Closed
30	PW	7360	<u>20110035</u>	PTP Roadway Resurfacing Contra	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	N/A	\$895,225	N/A	0% / On Schedule
7	PR	CON	<u>510601-00-001</u>	Matheson Hammock Park, Roadway	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	6/15/2007	\$2,440,000	4/1/2008	100% / Complete
0	PW	CON	<u>629900</u>	Resurfacing Contract No. 4	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	N/A	\$2,000,000	8/8/2005	100% / Complete
6	AV	CON	<u>H024C-1</u>	MIA Runway 8R-26L Pavement Rehabilitation	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	N/A	\$18,063,350		0% / N/A
Totals:						20	\$41,166,689		

* Yellow Status=Inactive Contract

Contracts Status View MCC Status View

Exit

Projects

Goto Top



Capital Improvements Information System

Contractor Evaluations Report


<u>Dept</u>	<u>Contract</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Contractor / Architect Name</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Rater</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Rate</u>
PW	<u>629900</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/24/2005	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20030004</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/24/2005	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20030004</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/23/2006	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PR	<u>510601-00-001</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	12/26/2007	John Gouthro	Completion of construction	<u>3.7</u>
PW	<u>630011Q</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	2/14/2008	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>630012Q</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	2/14/2008	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20050045</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	2/15/2008	Juan Paan	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.0</u>
PW	<u>20070597</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	1/14/2009	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20070597</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/17/2009	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20070645</u>	CON	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	12/24/2009	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.3</u>
PW	<u>20050002</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/24/2005	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060200</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	12/27/2006	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060077</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	4/10/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.0</u>
PW	<u>20060199</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	4/10/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.0</u>
PW	<u>20060200</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	4/10/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060204</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	4/10/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060205</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	4/10/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060077</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	11/28/2007	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.9</u>
PW	<u>20060199</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	11/28/2007	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.9</u>
PW	<u>20060200</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	11/28/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
PW	<u>20060204</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	11/28/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
PW	<u>20060205</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	11/28/2007	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
PW	<u>20060186</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	2/20/2008	Urbano Baz	Completion of construction	<u>3.6</u>
PW	<u>20060187</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	2/20/2008	Urbano Baz	Completion of construction	<u>3.6</u>
PW	<u>20060200</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/10/2008	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion	<u>4.0</u>

PW	<u>20060204</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/10/2008	Armando Aragon	or closeout Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20060205</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/10/2008	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
PW	<u>20090107</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/19/2010	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>2.1</u>
PW	<u>20090128</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/20/2010	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.6</u>
PW	<u>20090051</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/20/2010	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.2</u>
PW	<u>20090117</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	8/20/2010	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.3</u>
PW	<u>20090107</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/16/2011	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>2.1</u>
PW	<u>20090051</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/18/2011	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.1</u>
PW	<u>20090117</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/18/2011	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.3</u>
PW	<u>20090128</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	3/18/2011	Armando Aragon	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.6</u>
PW	<u>20090107</u>	7360	<u>General Asphalt Co., Inc.</u>	7/19/2011	Armando Aragon	Interim	<u>3.5</u>

Evaluation Count: 36 Contractors: 1 Average Evaluation: 3.6

Exit

24



[Previous on List](#) [Next on List](#) [Return To List](#)

No Events No Name History

Detail by Entity Name

Florida Profit Corporation

GENERAL ASPHALT CO., INC.

Filing Information

Document Number 301175
FE/EIN Number 591115297
Date Filed 01/25/1966
State FL
Status ACTIVE

Principal Address

4850 NW 72ND AVE
MIAMI FL 33166-5619 US

Changed 01/04/2008

Mailing Address

4850 NW 72ND AVE
MIAMI FL 33166-5619 US

Changed 01/04/2008

Registered Agent Name & Address

BOLANOS, TRUXTON & YOUNGS, P.A.
2121 PONCE DE LEON BLVD.
SUITE 950
CORAL GABLES FL 33134 US

Name Changed: 09/18/2000

Address Changed: 01/04/2008

Officer/Director Detail

Name & Address

Title PD

LOPEZ, ROBERT A SR.
7224 SW 102 ST
PINECREST FL 33156

Title VTD

LOPEZ, ALBERT J
15100 SW 74 AVE
PALMETTO BAY FL 33158

Title VSD

WEBSTER, ROYAL S JR.

2015 COUNTRY CLUB PRADO
CORAL GABLES FL 33134

Title AS

SIMPSON, CURTIS
7997 SW 188 STREET
CUTLER BAY FL 33157

Title V

LOPEZ, ROBERT A JR.
15785 SW 88TH COURT
PALMETTO BAY FL 33157

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2009	01/13/2009
2010	01/04/2010
2011	01/03/2011

Document Images

01/03/2011 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/04/2010 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/13/2009 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/04/2008 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/08/2007 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/04/2006 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/07/2005 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/06/2004 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/10/2003 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/31/2002 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
01/11/2001 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
09/29/2000 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
09/18/2000 -- Reg. Agent Change	View image in PDF format
02/02/2000 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
02/09/1999 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
02/05/1998 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
02/12/1997 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
03/12/1996 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
03/16/1995 -- ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format

Note: This is not official record. See documents if question or conflict.

[Previous on List](#) [Next on List](#) [Return To List](#)

Entity Name Search

No Events No Name History

Submit



Dept. of Small Business Development
Project Worksheet

Project/Contract Title: PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN (PTP) ROADWAY RESURFACING CONTRACT RC Date: 04/13/2011
 Project/Contract No: RFQ NO. 20110035 (7360) Funding Source: Item No: 3-01
 Department: PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FPP
 Estimated Cost of Project/Bid: \$1,000,000.00 Resubmittal Date(s):
 Description of Project/Bid: To establish a contract that consists of milling and resurfacing, widening and resurfacing of intersecting streets, resurfacing of asphaltic pathways (pedestrian, bicycle, etc.) adjacent or not to the edge of pavement as necessary, temporary utility repairs (cold patches), clearing and excavating of shoulder areas or build-up, as directed by the Engineer; grading and clean-up of adjacent shoulders and removal of roots, as required, pavement markings including the installation of reflective markers (blue/fluor) at fire hydrant locations.

Contract Measure Recommendation		
Measure	Program	Goal Percent
Goal	CSBE	10.00%
Workforce Goal	CWP	13.00%

Reasons for Recommendation

An analysis of the factors contained in Section VI C of Administrative Order 3-22 indicate that a goal is appropriate in the trade of Highway, Street, and Bridge Construction (pavement marking: temporary and permanent).

The Designate Target Areas applicable to this project are the South Enterprise Zone, Leisure City Focus Area, and Leisure City CDBG.

CWP Estimated Workforce:
 CWP Workforce Recommendation:
 CWP Designated Target Areas: EMPOWERMENT ZONES, Focus Areas, CDBG Eligible Block Groups

Analysis for Recommendation of a Goal				
Subtrade	Cat.	Estimated Value	% of Items to Base Bid	Availability
Highway, Street, and Bridge Construction	CSBE	\$100,000.00	10.00%	86
Total		\$100,000.00	10.00%	

Living Wages: YES NO Highway: YES NO Heavy Construction: YES NO
 Responsible Wages: YES NO Building: YES NO

Responsible Wages and Benefits applies to all construction projects over \$100,000 that do not utilize federal fund. For federally funded projects, unless prohibited by federal or state law or disallowed by a governmental funding source, the HIGHER wage between Davis Bacon and Responsible Wages and Benefits shall apply.



Dept. of Small Business Development
Project Worksheet

Project/Contract Title: PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN (PTP) ROADWAY RESURFACING CONTRACT
Project/Contract No: RPQ NO. 20110035 (7360)
Department: PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
Estimated Cost of Project/Bid: \$1,000,000.00

RC Date: 04/13/2011
3 -01

Funding Source: PTP

Item No:
Resubmittal Date(s):

REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION			
Tier 1 Set Aside _____			
Set Aside _____	Level 1 _____	Level 2 _____	Level 3 _____
Trade Set Aside (MCC) _____	Goal <u>10% CSBE / 13% CWB</u>	Bid Preference _____	
No Measure _____	Deferred _____	Selection Factor _____	
<u>[Signature]</u> Chairperson, Review Committee	<u>4/13/11</u> Date	<u>[Signature]</u> County Manager / Designee	<u>4/13/11</u> Date

28

Public Works Department
 Contracts & Specifications
 Section
 111 NW 1st Street, Suite 1410
 Miami, FL 33128



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
 REQUEST FOR PRICE QUOTATION (RPQ)
 Contract No: MCC 7360 Plan - CICC 7360-0/08
 RPQ No: 20110035

This RPQ is issued under the terms and conditions of the MCC 7360 Plan .

Date Issued: 4/21/2011 Bid Date Due: 5/25/2011 Time Due: 2:00 p.m.

Bid shall be Submitted Via: Sealed Envelope to:

Name: Clerk of the Board Office EMail:

Address: 111 NW 1st Street, 17 Floor Fax:

RPQ Added: 3/14/2011 User Bidder Request: 4/15/2011 OC/OSBM Approval: 4/19/2011 Bidders Added: 4/21/2011

Project Number: 20110035 Estimated Value: \$879,000.00
 (excluding contingencies and dedicated allowances)

Project Name: PTP Roadway Resurfacing Contract Emergency: N

Project Location: See Appendix C of the Special Provisions ESP: N

Department Contact: Brenda Corteguera Phone No: 305-375-2930 Fax No: 305-375-2931

Project Manager: Alicia Arce Phone No: 305 375-2930 Fax No: 305 375-2931

Document Pickup: Contact: Contracts & Specifications Section Phone: 305-375-2930 Date: 4/21/2011

Document Pickup: Location: 111 NW 1st Street, Suite 1410

Y/N: Mandatory: Date: Time: Location:
 PreBid Meeting: Y N 5/4/2011 10:00 a.m. 111 NW 1st Street, Suite 1510, Front Conference Room

Site Meeting: N N

Type of Contract: Single Trade

Method of Award: Lowest Responsible Bidder

Performance/Payment Bond Required: Y Bid Bond Required: Y Insurance Required: Y

Addition Insurance Required: Y Addition Insurance Amount: \$100,000.00

Comm Dist: Various Davis Bacon: N AIPP: N \$1,423.00

Prevailing Wage Rate Requirements: Highway Construction DBD Subcontract Forms Required: Y

Date Advertised: 4/21/2011 Review Committee Date: 4/13/2011

CSBE Requirements: Y 10.0% Trade Set-a-side: N

DBE Requirements: N 0.0% DBE Subcontract Forms Required: N

CWP Requirements: Y 13.0%

DBD Dates: Received: 6/23/2011 SOI Date: 6/23/2011 Compliance: N Memo Date:

Type:	Trade:	Count:	Work%:	Add Bidders
Primary	<u>General Engineering</u>	<u>999</u>		
Primary	<u>Paving</u>	<u>999</u>	<u>0%</u>	<u>Y</u>

Anticipated Start Date: 8/25/2011 Calendar Days for Project Completion: 450

Liquidated Damages / \$\$ Per day: Y \$1,423.00 Method of Payment: Scheduled Monthly Payments

CAPITAL BUDGET PROJECT # - DESCRIPTION	MCC ESTIMATE
<u>6037700- PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS</u>	<u>\$879,000.00</u>

FUNDING SOURCE:

SOURCE	PROJECT NUM	SITE #	MCC ESTIMATE
--------	-------------	--------	--------------

People's Transportation Plan Bond Program

N/A

N/A

\$879,000.00

Awarded To: General Asphalt Co., Inc.

CSBE Exp Date:

Paid Amt: \$0.00

Collusion Affidavit Received: Y

Date Collusion Affidavit Received: 5/25/2011

Date Dept Awarded: 6/23/2011

Date Award Letter: 6/24/2011

PO Approval Date:

Base Amt: \$895,225.25 Cont Amt: \$89,522.53 Ded Amt: \$33,001.11 Award Amt: \$1,017,748.89

Insurance: OCI Reviewed: N

Date Approved:

GL Ins Exp Dt: 1/1/2012

Bid Bond: Risk Approved: N

Date Approved:

AL Ins Exp Dt: 1/1/2012

P & P Bond: Risk Approved: N

Date Approved:

WC Ins Exp Dt: 1/1/2012

Scope of Work: (Contractor must obtain and submit all permits prior to performing any work.)

A. WORK UNDER THIS CONTRACT INCLUDES FURNISHING OF ALL SUPERVISION, LABOR, MATERIALS, TOOLS, EQUIPMENT AND PERFORMING ALL OPERATIONS REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT THE WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

B. THE WORK REQUIRED FOR THIS CONTRACT SHALL INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING: MILLING AND RESURFACING, WIDENING AND RESURFACING OF INTERSECTING STREETS, RESURFACING OF ASPHALTIC PATHWAYS (PEDESTRIAN, BICYCLE, ETC.) ADJACENT OR NOT TO THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT AS NECESSARY, TEMPORARY UTILITY REPAIRS (COLD PATCHES), CLEARING AND EXCAVATING OF SHOULDER AREAS OR BUILD-UP, AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER; GRADING AND CLEAN-UP OF ADJACENT SHOULDERS AND REMOVAL OF ROOTS, AS REQUIRED, PAVEMENT MARKINGS INCLUDING THE INSTALLATION OF REFLECTIVE PAVEMENT MARKERS (BLUE/BLUE) AT FIRE HYDRANT LOCATIONS.

RESURFACING WORK MAY INCLUDE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

A. APPLICATION OF ASPHALTIC CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE TO EXISTING WEARING COURSE.

B. APPLICATION OF ASPHALTIC CONCRETE LEVELING COURSES TO EXISTING WEARING SURFACES PRIOR TO AND IN PREPARATION FOR ITEM (A) ABOVE.

C. APPLICATION OF ASPHALTIC CONCRETE TO PREVIOUSLY PRIMED ROCK ROADS.

D. RESURFACING OF PRIVATE DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE LIMITED TO FEATHERING OF THE ASPHALTIC CONCRETE MAT TO A POINT TWO (2) FEET FROM THE EDGE OF THE ROADWAY. RESURFACING OF THE REMAINDER OF PRIVATE DRIVEWAYS IS EXPRESSLY PROHIBITED UNDER THIS CONTRACT, UNLESS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

E. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADJUST ALL STORM WATER, WATER AND SEWER VALVES AND MANHOLES PRIOR TO RESURFACING. ALL ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE MADE BY THE BUILT-UP METHOD, NOT BY THE USE OF ADJUSTMENT OF RINGS.

F. IF ANY CHANGES ARE REQUIRED DUE TO CONFLICT OF DESIGN AND OR FIELD CONDITIONS, THE ENGINEER WILL MAKE THE FINAL DETERMINATION.

C. IF ANY CHANGES ARE REQUIRED DUE TO CONFLICT OF DESIGN AND OR FIELD CONDITIONS, THE ENGINEER WILL MAKE THE FINAL DETERMINATION.

D. THE CONTRACTOR AND ALL SUBCONTRACTORS, UNDER THIS CONTRACT, ARE PROHIBITED FROM PERFORMING ANY WORK, OTHER THAN SPECIFIED IN THE CONTRACT AND/OR DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER, WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE PROJECT SITE, WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN NOTIFICATION TO THE ENGINEER. THIS INCLUDES ANY WORK FOR PRIVATE OR COMMERCIAL ENTITIES.

Design Drawings Included: N

Shop Drawings Included: N

Specifications Included: Y

Project Qualifier: Frank Aira

Phone No: 305-375-2930

EMail: AiraF@miamidade.gov

Comments:

Contractor - Bid Information (Bids added 4/21/2011)

Type	Contractor Name	Trade	Bid	DBD OK	Base Bid Amount	Award	Bid Type	LI Exp Date
7360	A & A FONTE INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	A.P.E.R. Construction, LLC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	ABK Construction, Inc	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Acre Engineering and Construction, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	ACT SERVICES INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	8/31/2011
7360	Anzac Contractors, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Atlas Concrete & Pavement, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Berio Industry, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	BIG D ENGINEERING INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	BNB Engineering Corp	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Budget Construction Co., Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	C E B Construction, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Community Asphalt Corp.	General Engineering	Y	Y	\$1,001,697.11	N	Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Comtech Engineering, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	DOWNRITE ENGINEERING CORPORATION	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	E. L. C. I. Construction Group, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	EM CONSTRUCTION GROUP LLC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Florida Engineering and Development Corp.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	General Asphalt Co., Inc.	General Engineering	N	Y	\$895,225.25	Y	Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Gonzalez Pavement Equipments, Inc. d/b/a GPE Engineering and General Contractor	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	H & J Asphalt Inc.	General Engineering	Y		\$835,309.40		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	H & R Paving Inc.	Paving	N	Y	\$982,958.15	N	Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Hi-Tech Concrete, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	INFRAMASTER G C CORP	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	JVA Engineering Contractors Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Kallas, Corp	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	KT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Lego Construction Co.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Leon's Engineering Corporation	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Leyram Construction Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	LITZ ENGINEERING INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	LNC Engineering Contractor, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	MAGGOLC, INC.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	MARKS BROTHERS INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011

7360	MASTER PAVING ENGINEERING INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Metro Express Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	MIRABAL ENGINEER CONTRACTOR INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Moric Engineering Corp.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Murvega Paving Company, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Palma Paving & Concrete Inc	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	PARADISE PAVERS OF MIAMI CORP	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	PERSANT CONSTRUCTION CO INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	QUALITY CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Quality Paving Corp.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	R & G Engineering, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Rock Power Paving, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	SAGUA CONSTRUCTION INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	SM INTERCONSULTING LLC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Solution Construction, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Top Crafts, Inc.	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	TRANS FLORIDA DEVELOPMENT CORP	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	VM Construction, Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	WALTER L LISTA INC	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	WEEKLEY ASPHALT PAVING INC	Paving	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011
7360	Wrangler Construction Inc.	General Engineering	N		\$0.00		Pri	9/30/2011

APPENDIX "C"
LOCATION OF WORK

The location(s) of the Work to be performed under the terms of this Contract are:

- A. SW 296 Street from SW 157 Ave to US -1
- B. SW 87 Ave from 188 St to Old Cutler Rd
- C. SW 211 St from US -1 to Florida Turnpike
- D. SW 112 Ave from SW 304 St to SW 316 St