

MEMORANDUM

Agenda Item No. 7(B)
(Second Reading 2-5-13)
December 18, 2012

TO: Honorable Chairwoman Rebeca Sosa
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE:

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Ordinance relating to zoning;
modifying regulations
regarding; chain link fences
finishing of fence sides;
amending Sections 33-11 and
33-311 of the Code

The accompanying ordinance was placed on the agenda at the request of Prime Sponsor Vice Chair Lynda Bell.



R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

RAC/cp

Memorandum



Date: February 5, 2013

To: Honorable Chairwoman Rebeca Sosa
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Carlos A. Gimenez
Mayor

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Carlos A. Gimenez". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over the printed name.

Subject: Ordinance Relating to Zoning; Modifying Regulations Regarding; Chain Link Fences
Finishing of Fence Sides; Amending Sections 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code

The proposed ordinance modifies the regulations pertaining to chain link fences and finishing of fence sides, and amends Section 33-311, governing standards for certain types of zoning variances, to avoid conflicts with the proposed amendments to Section 33-11. The proposed ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jack Osterholt". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over the printed name.

Jack Osterholt
Deputy Mayor

Fis2813



MEMORANDUM
(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairwoman Rebeca Sosa
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: February 5, 2013

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 7(B)

Please note any items checked.

- "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- Budget required
- Statement of fiscal impact required
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
- No committee review
- Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____) to approve
- Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 7(B)
2-5-13

ORDINANCE NO. _____

ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; MODIFYING REGULATIONS REGARDING CHAIN LINK FENCES AND FINISHING OF FENCE SIDES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-11 AND 33-311 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA ("CODE"); PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Section 33-11 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, is hereby amended to read as follows:¹

Sec. 33-11. - Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges.

- (a) Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines. Permits shall be required for all walls and fences, and except as may be approved as a result of public hearings, walls, fences, which obscure or obstruct vision, and hedges shall be restricted to the height, location and type as indicated hereinafter, and except when a higher wall, fence or hedge is required as a visual screening buffer at the rear of double frontage lots under Chapter 28 of this Code. Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines. ~~[[Notwithstanding anything in the code to the contrary, chain link fences in residential zoning districts shall be permitted only behind the front building line. It is provided, however, that the aforementioned restriction on chain link fences shall not apply in AU and GU zoning districts trended agricultural. It is further provided that the aforementioned restriction shall not apply to~~

¹ Words stricken through and/or [[double bracketed]] shall be deleted. Words underscored and/or >>double arrowed<< constitute the amendment proposed. Remaining provisions are now in effect and remain unchanged.

~~chain link fences surrounding a residential community maintained by a condominium or homeowners association or by a special taxing district. Chain link fences lawfully existing prior to the effective date of this ordinance which as a result of this ordinance become nonconforming shall be exempt from Section 33-35(e).]~~

- (b) Exterior finish of walls and fences. All walls and fences shall be maintained in good, clean and finished condition. ~~[[A fence with a finished and unfinished side shall be erected so that the unfinished side and supporting members face inward toward the interior of the property. Furthermore, all]]~~ >>All<< fences >>that face a public street<< shall have the finished side facing the ~~[[neighboring property or]]~~ street (outward). A continuous wall or fence that is owned by multiple property owners or held in common ownership shall be of uniform construction and materials and its exterior shall also be maintained in good, clean and finished condition for the entire length of said wall or fence. Each side of a CBS wall shall be completely finished with stucco and paint. Each side of a decorative masonry wall shall be completely painted; however, walls comprised of decorative brick and natural stone may be left unpainted provided the cement and grout are finished on both sides. If a wall is to be placed on a shared property line, consent for access must be obtained from the adjoining property owner(s) prior to finishing the opposite side of the wall. If such consent cannot be obtained, the property owner erecting the wall must present proof that a request for access approval was mailed to every adjacent property owner, by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the mailing address(es) as listed in the most current Miami-Dade County tax roll, and the mailing was returned undeliverable or the adjacent property owner(s) failed to respond to the request within thirty (30) days after receipt. Upon such a showing, the property owner erecting the wall shall not be required to finish the opposite side of the wall.

* * * *

Section 2. Section 33-311 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 33-311. - Community Zoning Appeals Board—Authority and duties.

- (A) Except as otherwise provided by this chapter, the Community Zoning Appeals Boards and Board of County Commissioners shall

have the authority and duty to consider and act upon applications, as hereinafter set forth, after first considering the written recommendations thereon of the Director or Developmental Impact Committee.

* * * *

(14) Alternative Site Development Option for Single-family and Duplex Dwellings. This subsection provides for the establishment of an alternative site development option, after public hearing, for single-family and duplex dwellings, when such uses are permitted by the underlying district regulations, in the GU, RU-1, RU-1Z, RU-1M(a), RU-1M(b), RU-2, RU-TH, RU-3, RU-3M, RU-3B, RU-4L, RU-4M, RU-4, RU-4A, RU-5, EU-M, EU-S, EU-1, EU-1C, EU-2, and AU zoning districts, in accordance with the standards established herein. In considering any application for approval hereunder, the Community Zoning Appeals Board shall consider the same subject to approval of a site plan or such other plans as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the standards herein.

* * * *

(f) An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a single-family or duplex dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following:

* * * *

(5) proposed fences shall be constructed or installed so that >>the sides are "finished" in accordance with the applicable regulations<< ~~[[the "unfinished" side is directed inward toward the center of the parcel proposed for alternative development]]~~; and

(6) proposed fences are constructed of durable materials and are decorative; and

(7) ~~[[proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh, unless located in an AU or GU with AU trend zoning district; and~~

~~(8)]~~ Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.

* * * *

(15) Alternative Site Development Option for Single-family Zero Lot line Dwellings. This subsection provides for the establishment of an alternative site development option, after public hearing, for zero lot line dwellings, when such uses are permitted by the underlying district regulations, or when such uses were approved for development by a prior public hearing action, in accordance with the standards established herein. In considering any application for approval hereunder, the Community Zoning Appeals Board shall consider the same subject to approval of a site plan or such other plans as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the standards herein.

* * * *

(d) An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a zero lot line dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following:

* * * *

(5) proposed fences shall be constructed or installed so that >>the sides are "finished" in accordance with the applicable regulations<< ~~[[the "unfinished" side is directed inward toward the center of the parcel proposed for alternative development]]~~; and

(6) proposed fences are constructed of durable materials and are decorative; and

(7) ~~[[proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and~~

~~(8)]~~ Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.

* * * *

>>(15.1)<< ~~[(15)]~~ Alternative Site Development Option for Three-unit or Four-unit Apartment House, Multiple-Family Apartment House Use and Multiple-Family Housing Developments. This subsection provides for the establishment of an alternative site development option, after public hearing, for three-unit or four-unit apartment

7

house use, multiple-family apartment house use and multiple-family housing developments, when such uses are permitted by the applicable district regulations, in the RU-3, RU-3M, RU-4L, RU-4M, RU-4, RU-4A, and RU-5 zoning districts, in accordance with the standards established herein. In considering any application for approval hereunder, the Community Zoning Appeals Board shall consider the same subject to approval of a site plan or such other plans as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the standards herein.

* * * *

(h) An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a three-unit or four-unit apartment house use, multiple-family apartment house use or multiple-family housing development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following:

* * * *

(5) proposed fences shall be constructed or installed so that the sides are "finished" in accordance with the applicable regulations; and

(6) proposed fences are constructed of durable materials and are decorative; and

(7) ~~[[proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and~~

~~(8)]~~ Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.

* * * *

(20) Alternative Site Development Option for Semi-Professional Office Buildings and Structures. This subsection provides for the establishment of an alternative site development option, after public hearing, for semi-professional office buildings and structures, when such uses are permitted by the underlying district regulations, in the RU-5 and RU-5A zoning districts, in accordance with the standards established herein. In considering any application for approval hereunder, the Community Zoning Appeals Board shall consider the same subject to approval of a site plan or

such other plans as necessary to demonstrate compliance with the standards herein.

* * * *

(g) An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a commercial development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following:

* * * *

(5) proposed fences shall be constructed or installed so that all sides of the fence are "finished" in accordance with the applicable regulations; and

(6) proposed fences are constructed of durable materials and are decorative; and

(7) ~~[[proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and~~

(8)] Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.

* * * *

Section 3. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or provision of this ordinance is held invalid, the remainder of this ordinance shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 4. It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance, including any sunset provision, shall become and be made a part of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

Section 5. This ordinance shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

PASSED AND ADOPTED:

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D.A.K.", written over a horizontal line.

Prepared by:

Dennis A. Kerbel

Prime Sponsor: Vice Chair Lynda Bell