

MEMORANDUM

UMSAC
Agenda Item No. 2(B)


TO: Honorable Chairman Jean Monestime
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: February 10, 2015

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Resolution directing County Mayor to competitively select a consultant to prepare a finding of necessity study to include the geographical areas bounded on the North by SW 72nd Street, on the East by SW 152nd Avenue, on the South by SW 96th Street, and on the West by SW 157th path to Kendall Drive and again on the West by SW 157 Avenue to Sunset Drive, and designating source of funding for payment of consulting fees to be UMSA non-departmental allocation

The accompanying resolution was prepared and placed on the agenda at the request of Prime Sponsor Commissioner Juan C. Zapata.



R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

RAC/lmp



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Jean Monestime
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: March 3, 2015

FROM: 
R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No.

Please note any items checked.

- "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- Budget required
- Statement of fiscal impact required
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
- No committee review
- Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____) to approve
- Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No.
3-3-15

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT A CONSULTANT TO PREPARE A FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY TO INCLUDE THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SW 72ND STREET, ON THE EAST BY SW 152ND AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY SW 96TH STREET, AND ON THE WEST BY SW 157TH PATH TO KENDALL DRIVE AND AGAIN ON THE WEST BY SW 157 AVENUE TO SUNSET DRIVE, PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF CHAPTER 163, PART III, FLORIDA STATUTES; AND DESIGNATING THE SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR PAYMENT OF CONSULTING FEES TO BE UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA NON-DEPARTMENTAL ALLOCATION

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 163, Florida Statutes (the "Act"), a Finding of Necessity study must be prepared in order to initiate the redevelopment process for areas believed to be slum and blighted; and

WHEREAS, the Act confers all redevelopment powers upon counties with home rule charters and authorizes such counties to delegate the exercise of such powers within the boundaries of a municipality to the governing body of such municipality; and

WHEREAS, there is a high rate of poverty in District 11, as more fully described in the District 11 Poverty Rate chart, which is attached hereto as Attachment A and incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "Board") desires to authorize the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to competitively select a consultant to prepare a finding of necessity study pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes to include the geographical areas bounded

on the North by SW 72nd Street, on the East by SW 152th Avenue, on the South by SW 96th Street, and on the West by SW 157 Path to Kendall Drive, and again on the West by SW 157th Avenue to Sunset Drive, as more fully described in the District 11 High Poverty Block Groups map, which is attached hereto as Attachment B and incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that:

Section 1. The matters contained in the foregoing recitals are incorporated in this resolution by reference.

Section 2. The Board hereby directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to competitively select a consultant to prepare a finding of necessity study pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes to include the geographical areas bounded on the North by SW 72nd Street, on the East by SW 152th Avenue, on the South by SW 96th Street, and on the West by SW 157 Path to Kendall Drive, and again on the West by SW 157th Avenue to Sunset Drive.

Section 3. The Board hereby designates the source of funding for payment of the consulting fees shall be the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area non-departmental allocation, with possible reimbursement from funds on deposit in the Community Redevelopment Agency Trust Fund, if and when established by this Board.

The Prime Sponsor of the foregoing resolution is Commissioner Juan C. Zapata. It was offered by Commissioner _____, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner _____ and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Jean Monestime, Chairman

Esteban L. Bovo, Jr., Vice Chairman

Bruno A. Barreiro

Daniella Levine Cava

Jose "Pepe" Diaz

Audrey M. Edmonson

Sally A. Heyman

Barbara J. Jordan

Dennis C. Moss

Rebeca Sosa

Sen. Javier D. Souto

Xavier L. Suarez

Juan C. Zapata

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 3rd of day of March, 2015. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this Resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.



Terrence A. Smith

DISTRICT 11 POVERTY RATE

Attachment

A

Tract & Blk	Population that Poverty level has been determined	Number in Poverty	Percent in Poverty
89.04 BG 1	12	12	100.0%
184 BG 2	849	437	51.5%
178 BG 2	1,555	777	50.0%
177 BG 2	1,506	595	39.5%
183 BG 2	2,150	650	30.2%
178 BG 3	2,156	636	29.5%
186 BG 4	1,185	320	27.0%
185 BG 3	972	259	26.6%
174 BG 4	1,375	364	26.5%
146 BG 3	2,129	554	26.0%
183 BG 4	1,037	243	23.4%
148 BG 3	2,824	630	22.3%
188 BG 3	2,220	479	21.6%
177 BG 1	2,440	497	20.4%
148 BG 1	816	164	20.1%
163 BG 3	897	178	19.8%
184 BG 3	1,044	203	19.4%
179 BG 2	2,574	498	19.3%
175 BG 2	2,343	451	19.2%
182 BG 1	2,636	493	18.7%
167 BG 2	3,645	673	18.5%
178 BG 1	2,247	411	18.3%
147 BG 3	1,861	336	18.1%
150 BG 4	1,364	245	18.0%
187 BG 3	1,497	268	17.9%
146 BG 2	3,558	625	17.6%
174 BG 3	2,443	386	15.8%
149 BG 3	1,708	268	15.7%
180 BG 2	3,182	499	15.7%
150 BG 1	2,514	378	15.0%
195 BG 2	1,869	275	14.7%
162 BG 2	1,596	229	14.3%
147 BG 2	2,163	307	14.2%
195 BG 4	2,497	347	13.9%
185 BG 1	1,751	231	13.2%
153 BG 2	4,011	503	12.5%
186 BG 2	2,681	332	12.4%
89.07 BG 2	2,676	324	12.1%
154 BG 1	952	110	11.6%
154 BG 2	2,460	282	11.5%
188 BG 2	2,730	302	11.1%

DISTRICT 11 POVERTY RATE

Tract & Blk	Population that Poverty level has been determined	Number in Poverty	Percent in Poverty
188 BG 1	3,203	347	10.8%
165 BG 3	1,772	186	10.5%
187 BG 1	2,518	260	10.3%
166 BG 2	2,302	233	10.1%
180 BG 1	2,712	274	10.1%
176 BG 2	1,606	159	9.9%
185 BG 2	2,203	216	9.8%
151 BG 1	2,787	272	9.8%
101.93 BG 1	2,145	208	9.7%
186 BG 6	2,170	209	9.6%
181 BG 2	2,054	192	9.3%
179 BG 1	3,986	369	9.3%
180 BG 3	1,914	164	8.6%
147 BG 1	3,129	267	8.5%
152 BG 1	6,775	576	8.5%
181 BG 1	1,665	141	8.5%
175 BG 1	1,009	83	8.2%
176 BG 1	2,032	167	8.2%
151 BG 2	3,059	225	7.4%
164 BG 2	3,310	228	6.9%
153 BG 1	1,773	122	6.9%
164 BG 1	3,338	219	6.6%
176 BG 3	2,204	130	5.9%
162 BG 1	1,762	101	5.7%
101.98 BG 2	1,162	66	5.7%
154 BG 3	1,848	97	5.2%
150 BG 3	2,259	117	5.2%
167 BG 1	1,531	73	4.8%
174 BG 1	730	29	4.0%
149 BG 2	1,683	66	3.9%
186 BG 5	466	18	3.9%
165 BG 2	2,214	85	3.8%
184 BG 1	1,625	58	3.6%
187 BG 2	1,197	42	3.5%
183 BG 1	1,652	53	3.2%
181 BG 3	1,144	35	3.1%
101.98 BG 1	2,532	76	3.0%
148 BG 2	2,023	58	2.9%
146 BG 1	1,928	51	2.6%
149 BG 1	1,740	45	2.6%
163 BG 2	1,568	35	2.2%

DISTRICT 11 POVERTY RATE

Tract & Blk	Population that Poverty level has been determined	Number in Poverty	Percent in Poverty
163 BG 1	2,841	56	2.0%
183 BG 3	569	11	1.9%
150 BG 2	2,179	38	1.7%
195 BG 1	1,889	30	1.6%
196 BG 1	5,598	72	1.3%
165 BG 1	3,198	28	0.9%
151 BG 3	3,884	23	0.6%
166 BG 1	1,524	5	0.3%
179 BG 3	1,636	-	0.0%
186 BG 1	1,030	-	0.0%
186 BG 3	824	-	0.0%
195 BG 3	1,028	-	0.0%
9802 BG 1	-	-	0.0%
9809 BG 1	-	-	0.0%
Total Population	197,055		
Total in Poverty		22,386	11.4%

Based on 2012 ACS Survey - 5 Year Estimate

District 11 High Poverty Block Groups

