



MEMORANDUM
Harvey Ruvin
Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners
Miami-Dade County, Florida
(305) 375-5126
(305) 375-2484 FAX
www.miami-dadeclerk.com

MSC
Agenda Item No. 6A

TO: Honorable Chair Barbara Jordan
and Members, Metropolitan Services Committee

DATE: February 11, 2015

FROM: Christopher Agrippa
Director, Clerk of the Board Division

SUBJECT: Approval of Commission
Committee Minutes

The Clerk of the Board's office is submitting the following Clerk's Summary of Minutes for the Public Safety and Animal Services Committee for approval by the Metropolitan Services Committee:

January 14, 2015

CA/msy
Attachment



Stephen P. Clark
Government Center
111 N.W. 1st Street
Miami, FL 33128

CLERK'S SUMMARY OF Meeting Minutes Public Safety & Animal Services Committee

Sally A. Heyman (4) Chair; Esteban L. Bovo, Jr. (13) Vice Chair; Commissioners
Bruno A. Barreiro (5), and Audrey M. Edmonson (3)

Wednesday, January 14, 2015

9:30 AM

Commission Chambers

Members Present: Esteban L. Bovo, Jr., Audrey M. Edmonson, Sally A. Heyman.

Members Absent: Bruno A. Barreiro.

Members Late: None.

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent County Business: None.

1 MINUTES PREPARED BY:

Report: *Mary Smith-York, Commission Reporter
(305) 375-1598*

1A INVOCATION AS PROVIDED IN RULE 5.05 (H)

Report: *The Committee convened in a moment of silence,
followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.*

1B ROLL CALL

Report: *In addition to the members of the Committee, the
following staff members were present: Deputy
Mayor Russell Benford; Assistant County
Attorneys Gerald Sanchez and Alexander Bokor;
and Deputy Clerk Mary Smith-York.*

*Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez noted
the only changes to today's (1/14) agenda were
listed in BCC Chairman Monestime's
memorandum of requested changes to the Public
Safety & Animal Services Committee Agenda, to
add Agenda Item 2E Substitute.*

*It was moved by Commissioner Bovo that today's
(1/14) agenda be approved as amended with the
change as noted by Assistant County Attorney
Sanchez. This motion was seconded by
Commissioner Edmonson, and upon being put to a
vote, passed by a vote of 3 to 0; (Commissioner
Barreiro was absent).*

2A

142775 Resolution

Sally A. Heyman

RESOLUTION WAIVING BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF MEMBERS PRESENT TERM LIMIT RESTRICTION FOR THE REAPPOINTMENT OF NUISANCE ABATEMENT BOARD MEMBER STEVE SESSLER; AND REAPPOINTING STEVE SESSLER TO THE NUISANCE ABATEMENT BOARD

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Bovo, Jr.

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez read the foregoing proposed resolution was into the record.*

Hearing no questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as presented.

2B

140780 Resolution**Rebeca Sosa,****Sally A. Heyman**

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN TO RELEASE INMATES FROM COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IN A MORE EFFICIENT MANNER TO AVOID OR MINIMIZE RELEASES IN THE LATE EVENING OR EARLY MORNING HOURS, AND THEN TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH A REPORT WITHIN NINETY DAYS

*Tabled**Mover: Edmonson**Seconder: Bovo, Jr.**Vote: 3-0**Absent: Barreiro*

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez read the foregoing proposed resolution was into the record.*

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's question whether a report addressing this issue had already been received by the Commission, Deputy Mayor Russell Benford answered yes.

Responding to Commissioner Edmonson's inquiry as to the reason for this item when the report had already been received, Chairwoman Heyman acknowledged that most of the requested items had been developed and implemented. She noted the implementation of the new bond judge, additional citations, and electronic bracelets would further resolve the issue of inmates being released in the late evening or early morning hours. Chairwoman Heyman indicated this legislation might be unnecessary and recommended it be forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) without recommendation and allow withdrawal or removal from the February 3, 2015 BCC meeting agenda.

Commissioner Edmonson expressed opposition to forwarding this resolution to the BCC when all the requests and questions had been answered and asked if any issue was still outstanding.

Ms. Marydell Guevara, Corrections and Rehabilitation Department Director, concurred with Commissioner Edmonson's comments that the plan had been executed. She advised that 71,059 inmates were released last year and an average 200 inmates were released per day, of which only 1.5 percent (approximately 24 individuals) was released after 10:00 p.m. Ms. Guevara stated that less than half of those 24 individuals received transportation from the County.

Commissioner Edmonson stated that she would not be supportive of this item.

Chairwoman Heyman opened the public hearing and the following individuals appeared:

1) Attorney Eduardo Pereira, Past President, Florida Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, appeared in opposition to the foregoing proposed resolution. Mr. Pereira stated that upon hearing the comments by Committee members in opposition, he concurred and did not feel the need to add any additional comments.

2) Ms. Sarah Borak, Board member, Florida Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, 1900 Purdy Avenue, appeared and echoed the comments by Mr. Pereira and noted she concurred with the comments in opposition expressed by Committee members. She introduced her son as Zachary Alexandro Borak.

3) Attorney Jude Faccidomo, Past President, Florida Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers (FACDL), 1450 Brickell Avenue, Miami, appeared and noted, on behalf of FACDL President Margo Moss, given the current status, the FACDL's interest was to be consulted as these issues were addressed.

4) Mr. Carlos Martinez, Miami-Dade Public Defender, 1320 N.W. 14 Street, appeared and urged the Committee to continue supporting the Corrections Department and ensuring the technology and resources for timely release of inmates were provided.

Chairwoman Heyman indicated that this legislation was no longer needed and stated she would not support the item.

Assistant County Attorney Sanchez advised that a motion to lay the foregoing item on the table was in order.

Hearing no further questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the motion to lay the foregoing proposed resolution on the table.

2C

142777 Resolution**Rebeca Sosa**

RESOLUTION CREATING MIAMI-DADE COURT CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE TASK FORCE; PROVIDING FOR MEMBERSHIP, ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES; AND SETTING FORTH PURPOSE, FUNCTION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND SUNSET PROVISION

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Edmonson

Seconded: Bovo, Jr.

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez read the foregoing proposed resolution was into the record.*

Commissioner Bovo requested the foregoing proposed resolution be amended to add language that included an appointment to the task force of the Chief Judge or the Chief Judge's designee.

Assistant County Attorney Oren Rosenthal noted the existing number of total committee members could remain as seven and have one of the appointments be made by the Chief Judge rather than the Courts.

Honorable Chief Judge Bertila Soto, 11th Judicial Circuit, appeared and stated that she agreed with Commissioner Bovo's proposed amendment and supported the foregoing resolution.

Mr. Lester Sola, Internal Services Department (ISD), Director, asked that the Committee consider allowing the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to sit, or to appoint a representative from the County Administration to sit, as a member on the proposed task force. He explained the importance of ensuring the individuals serving on the committee possessed the necessary knowledge of court operations and of building operations.

Chairwoman Heyman noted she would like to consider including member(s) from Administration with expertise/familiarity with court facilities and court operations as a second amendment, along with adding "Court Master Plan" to the task force's title.

Mr. Rosenthal advised that suggesting "master plan" could be added to the task force's responsibilities.

Commissioner Bovo advised that a request was just received, from the Office of the Chair, requesting that the proposed amendment be

deferred for consideration by the full Board and noted he would withdraw his amendment.

In response to Chairwoman Heyman's inquiry as to whether the proposed amended language could travel with the resolution to the full Board, Assistant County Attorney Sanchez advised that a document could be prepared as a Committee Report and presented along with the resolution; however, it would not amend the item at that point.

Chairwoman Heyman requested that this report include the proposed language adding the Chief Judge or designee to the task force with specific direction to court operations and facilities planning and the additional language to include a representative from the Administration to address the master plan and facility operation. In response to Assistant County Attorney Sanchez' inquiry as to whether the representative from the Administration would be appointed by the Board or by the Administration, she stated she would designate it as an Administration appointment for consideration by the sponsor.

Hearing no further questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as presented and for the two proposed amendments to travel with this item, as Committee reports, to be proposed as amendments for the full Board's consideration.

2D

142812 Resolution**Juan C. Zapata**

RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 180 - "ADDITIONAL COURTROOMS AND ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES" TO REDUCE ALLOCATION BY \$30,000,000.00 AND ADDITION OF PROJECT NO. 351 -"EMERGENCY CAPITAL REPAIRS TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE" WITH AN ALLOCATION OF \$30,000,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 180, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-915-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO THE BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation

Mover: Bovo, Jr.

Secunder: Edmonson

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as presented.

2E

142848 Resolution**Juan C. Zapata**

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PROPOSALS FOR A PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP TO DESIGN, BUILD, FINANCE, OPERATE AND MAINTAIN COUNTY CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURT FACILITIES AND JAIL FACILITIES AND FOR THE RETENTION OF FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS AND SPECIAL COUNSEL TO ADVISE THE COUNTY REGARDING PROPOSED COURT AND JAIL FACILITIES PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, AND, IF APPROVED BY THE BOARD, OTHER PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ARRANGEMENTS

Withdrawn

Report: *(SEE AGENDA ITEM 2E SUBSTITUTE; LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 142848.)*

2E SUB

150057 Resolution

Juan C. Zapata,

Esteban L. Bovo, Jr.

Amended

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE
MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PROPOSALS FOR A
PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP TO DESIGN, BUILD,
FINANCE, OPERATE AND MAINTAIN COUNTY CIVIL
AND CRIMINAL COURT FACILITIES AND JAIL
FACILITIES AND FOR THE RETENTION OF FINANCIAL
CONSULTANTS AND SPECIAL COUNSEL TO ADVISE
THE COUNTY REGARDING PROPOSED COURT AND
JAIL FACILITIES PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP,
AND, IF APPROVED BY THE BOARD, OTHER PUBLIC
PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ARRANGEMENTS [SEE
ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142848]

Report: (SEE AGENDA ITEM 2E SUBSTITUTE
AMENDED; LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 150167.)

2E SUB Amended

150167 Resolution**Juan C. Zapata,****Esteban L. Bovo, Jr.**

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PROPOSALS FOR A PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP TO DESIGN, BUILD, FINANCE, OPERATE AND MAINTAIN COUNTY CIVIL AND CRIMINAL COURT FACILITIES AND JAIL FACILITIES AND FOR THE RETENTION OF FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS AND SPECIAL COUNSEL TO ADVISE THE COUNTY REGARDING PROPOSED COURT AND JAIL FACILITIES PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, AND, IF APPROVED BY THE BOARD, OTHER PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP ARRANGEMENTS [SEE AGENDA ITEM UNDER FILE NOS. 142848 & 150057]

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation with committee amendment(s)

Mover: Bovo, Jr.

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

Report: *Commissioner Zapata, present in support of and as the Prime Sponsor of the foregoing resolution, presented a brief overview of the intent of this item to establish a process for looking at potential options to address the existing needs in the courts and jail facilities. He stressed the fact that this proposal would not commit the County to anything; rather would establish a process to look at available options. Commissioner Zapata expressed his support for Public-Private Partnerships (P3) as an innovative method of addressing social infrastructure needs in the community. He indicated the immediate challenge was the Civil Courthouse and noted the preceding resolution (Agenda Item 2D; Legislative File No. 142812), upon Board approval, would provide a five-year window for resolving the issues. He noted that staff, along with Chief Judge Bertila Soto, reviewed the findings from the 2008 Master Plan Study; visited several court facilities; and reviewed information from the Internal Services Department; which revealed that the County's judicial system was fragmented with courthouses spread throughout the County. Commissioner Zapata stated that the P3 concept was introduced into the discussion to bring in the expertise of the private sector to provide insight on coordinating the judicial system. He stated the discussion focused on locating the civil courthouse where operational efficiencies could be generated and the resulting savings invested into needed capital projects. He pointed out that this project would create a long-term judicial system complex that would continue to generate value for approximately 50 years. Commissioner Zapata advised that, based on the frequent references to the criminal courthouse in the 2008 Study;*

preliminary discussion on splitting the County and the criminal court; and the large surface parking surrounding the criminal courthouse; the idea of consolidating the civil courthouse into the criminal courthouses— consolidating the various jury pools was considered. He noted, because of the close interaction between the criminal courts and the jail system, the idea of including the jail facilities in this consolidation process was also considered. Commissioner Zapata stated that, although addressing all the issues at once would be ideal, the intent of this proposal was to provide the ability to create a master plan of the ideal situation for review and evaluation by the Board.

Commissioner Zapata stated that in conversation with staff and industry representatives, the importance of having financial advisors, legal counsel, and experts on P3 projects was clear. He indicated the need to work with the best P3 experts in the industry to present the County with the best possible options in developing a Request for Qualifications (RFQ). Commissioner Zapata stated Board approval would be required for the hiring of the industry experts, the RFQ, and the final Request for Proposals (RFP). He noted the importance of the 180-day timeline reflected in the proposed resolution to allow enough time to have a plan in place within five years. Commissioner Zapata explained the six changes to the original resolution (Agenda Item 2D; legislation File No. 142812) that were reflected in this proposed substitute resolution as follows:

- 1) the maximum number of RFQ participants to be shortlisted, formerly five, was eliminated;*
- 2) the requirements of the RFQ emphasizing the focus on qualifications to attract experienced individuals rather than first timers were clarified;*
- 3) the requirement that the proposer, financial consultant, and special counsel demonstrated experience in multiple public private partnership engagement exceeding \$250 million in cost was added;*
- 4) clarification that the RFQ shall provide for building operation and management but not for the privatization of functions of the users, including the Courts and the Corrections and Rehabilitation Department was included;*
- 5) the requirement that the proposed RFP, to be approved by the Commission, include a description of the site or sites where the County would permit the development was added; and*
- 6) the requirement that issuance of the RFP shall be subject to the completion of the statutorily mandated independent analysis showing the cost-*

effectiveness and overall public benefit of the proposed public private partnership; the analysis shall be transmitted to the Board together with the request for approval of the RFP was added.

Commissioner Zapata reiterated his comments in support of moving the civil courthouse from downtown Miami to the criminal courthouse location and noted he would prepare a proposal to present ideas on how to finance this initiative.

Chairwoman Heyman opened the public hearing and the following individuals appeared:

1) Mr. Pedro Munilla, 7277 Sunset Drive, Miami, Principal of Munilla Construction Management, LLC (MCM), appeared and expressed his support of the foregoing proposed resolution. He addressed the dire need to resolve the serious courthouse issues, as well as to comply with the Department of Justice's Settlement. Mr. Munilla acknowledged Chairwoman Heyman's efforts as the chief promoter for resolving the complaints and spoke about the aged and neglected court and jail facilities and what was needed to resolve those issues. In support of Commissioner Zapata's comments, Mr. Munilla noted the recommendations in the 2008 Courts Master Plan indicated that the over 50-year-old Richard Gerstein Justice Building required substantial expansion and/or replacement. He pointed out that the Gerstein criminal courthouse was intrinsically connected with the correctional facility and thus, should be included as part of the corrections space.

2) Ms. Carol Bowen, Vice President Government Affairs, Associated Builders and Contractors, 3730 Coconut Creek Parkway, Coconut Creek, appeared and spoke in support of the foregoing proposed resolution. She noted the benefits to the County from soliciting the proposed 3P process included the County's maintaining control of the process, dictating the terms, possessing the final product/benefit, and being in tandem with the companies that were considering bidding the entire time. She spoke in support of the foregoing proposed resolution.

Seeing no other persons to appear, Chairwoman Heyman closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Bovo noted Commissioner Zapata had provided, with this item, an outline on what was needed to address the courthouse situation, stating that this proposal was an interesting

approach. He indicated that the Committee should consider any proposal that could facilitate the various components of the court system; however, he pointed out that the Administration needed to identify funding sources as this moved forward. Commissioner Bovo stated that he had offered himself as a co-sponsor and expressed his full support.

Commissioner Edmonson referenced language reflected in this substitute item on handwritten page 1, line 3, that read, "...multiple public private partnership engagements..." and offered a friendly amendment to replace that language with "...two or more public/private partnership engagements..."

Mr. Lester Sola, Internal Services Department Director, advised that, as currently drafted, this legislation would approve, not only the hiring of the financial advisor, but also the actual solicitation document for the RFQ and the RFP. Regarding Commissioner Edmonson's question as to the reason an Invitation to Bid (ITB) or negotiation process was not used, Mr. Sola noted the P3 engagement was one of the options available to fund and build this complex of juvenile services. He further noted a straight bid would require the County to have the necessary funds available to pay for the facilities, which it did not have.

Commissioner Zapata explained that, in conversation with the private sector, he was informed that the problem with the ITB process involved the industry's proprietary nature and its approach to disclosing information. He noted the RFQ process would ensure protection of the industry's intellectual work and would allow for the best entities to make presentations of their offers.

Deputy Mayor Edward Marquez, Finance Department Director, stated that the financial resources necessary to fund the courthouse alone at \$390 million have an estimated debt service cost of approximately \$24 million per year. He noted that because the Courts and the Clerk were reluctant to raise fines and fees in the system since that would limit some accessibility to the courts, the County had no identified a funding source. With respect to the criminal justice aspect, he advised that \$90 million in the General Obligation Bond funds was available solely for repairing the Krome Detention Center. Mr. Marquez noted the

benefits of looking at the private sector included assistance with the financial needs and the flexibility of a task force to provide full knowledge for presentation to Board. He noted the administration was in support of this proposal.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's request for clarification on the reason an ITB was not used, Deputy Mayor Marquez explained that the ITB process required that actual design and costs must be known and that the funding be available. He advised that a benefit to using the P3 process was that the private sector could provide information on available technology that could save on operations costs, of which the County was unaware. Mr. Marquez noted staff was open to discussing the criminal and civil systems simultaneously; however the input by the private sector would help determine the feasibility of that process.

Commissioner Edmonson noted she would support the foregoing proposed resolution.

Commissioner Zapata pointed out that Indianapolis would spend less for its new \$1.2 billion criminal court and jail facility because of the cost efficiencies made possible through the design and operations aspects of the project.

Chairwoman Heyman noted she was excited about the P3 process and summarized points related to the historical aspect of the lengthy process to address the condition of the Corrections facilities. Expressing support for the P3 process, Chairwoman Heyman said she had concern with the way this legislation was written; although the Substitute was an improvement. With respect to the language proposed in this Substitute item, Chairwoman Heyman indicated she supported: elimination of the maximum number of RFQ participants being five; clarification that the RFQ shall not provide for the privatization of functions of the users; and usage of an RFQ.

Responding to Chairwoman Heyman's concern with transitioning from a RFQ to a RFP rather than going from the RFQ to the intent to negotiate, Commissioner Zapata noted this procure process was based on the successful models demonstrated by Houston, Indianapolis, and Long Beach.

Chairwoman Heyman emphasized the need to speak to the dire need aspect regarding deterioration existing in the court and jail

facilities. She expressed concern with the language pertaining to a solicitation for the retention of special counsel and pointed out that a line item was added for this cost. Chairwoman Heyman said she did not believe outside counsel should not be automatically included in the process and recommended that language be removed from the resolution.

In response to Commissioner Bovo's question as to whether the County Attorney's Office felt qualified to address these issues, Assistant County Attorney Hugo Benitez said the County Attorney's Office believed it was qualified to do the work and had participated in similarly complex deals involving high finance. He indicated that, on occasion, the need for special counsel for a limited engagement had occurred in the past and informed that a pool of lawyers existed within the bond counsel and financial advisors.

Commissioner Bovo stated that he was fully supportive and a co-sponsor of this resolution; however, he also noted his concern with the cost for outside counsel.

Discussion ensued between Chairwoman Heyman and Commissioner Zapata regarding the proposal to combine the court and jail facilities and the impact to the parking and traffic in the area surrounding the criminal courthouse and the jail facility. Issues discussed also included bifurcating this resolution to separate the civil and criminal courts the possible elimination of some partners because of their inability to handle billion-dollar projects due to the combining of all the facilities.

Chairwoman Heyman asked that the line item for "Special Counsel" be removed and that the tasks requiring special counsel be done in-house.

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's request for clarification on whether an outside firm or the County would represent the County in the event of litigation, Mr. Benitez advised that the County Attorney's Office (CAO) would represent the County. He explained that the item, as written, provided that the outside firm would work under the CAO's direction. Mr. Benitez reiterated his response that the CAO believed it had the resources to perform this task.

Commissioner Zapata read, into the record, language which read: "...special counsel to provide specialized legal services 'as needed' at

the direction of the County Attorney's Office..." and explained that these services would only be used if needed.

Pertaining to Commissioner Edmonson's question as to whether the phrase "...as-needed..." meant special counsel would only be called at the request of the CAO, Mr. Benitez stated that was correct. He noted the item called for special counsel to be solicited and for a process to retain such counsel.

Assistant County Attorney Geri Bonzon-Keenan responded to Commissioner Edmonson's whether a retainer fee was required, stating that a contract would be awarded to retain counsel; however, the work orders would be issued at the direction of the County Attorneys, as needed.

Mr. Benitez indicated that the contract would state that a fee would only be paid upon the approval of a work order, at the request of the CAO. He noted the proposed amendment provided that those sections of the resolution calling for the experience requirement, where the language "...multiple public private partnership engagements..." appeared, the word "multiple" would be changed to "two or more." He clarified that this change would occur in all sections dealing with the experience requirements with respect to the proposer, the financial expert, and the special counsel.

There being no further questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as amended.

The Committee forwarded the foregoing proposed resolution to the Board of County Commissioners with a favorable recommendation with Committee amendment(s) to replace, in all sections calling for experience requirements, every occurrence of the language, "...multiple..." with the language, "...two or more..."

2F

150065 Resolution

Sally A. Heyman

Amended

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO, WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION, MAKE AVAILABLE AT NO CHARGE ONE VEHICLE FROM THE COUNTY'S FLEET MOTOR POOL TO BE USED BY ANY LICENSED STAFF MEMBER OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CRIMINAL MENTAL HEALTH PROJECT, AND UNDERTAKE ANY NECESSARY STEPS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EXECUTING ANY NECESSARY AGREEMENTS, AFTER REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, IN ORDER TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE TO THE BOARD FOR RATIFICATION ANY AGREEMENTS EXECUTED

Report: (SEE AGENDA ITEM 2F AMENDED;
LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 150168.)

2F Amended

150168 Resolution Sally A. Heyman

RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO, WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION, MAKE AVAILABLE, AT A COST OF \$1.00 PER YEAR, ONE VEHICLE FROM THE COUNTY'S FLEET MOTOR POOL TO BE USED BY ANY LICENSED STAFF MEMBER OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CRIMINAL MENTAL HEALTH PROJECT, AND UNDERTAKE ANY NECESSARY STEPS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EXECUTING ANY NECESSARY AGREEMENTS, AFTER REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, IN ORDER TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE TO THE BOARD FOR RATIFICATION ANY AGREEMENTS EXECUTED [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150065]

Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation with committee amendment(s)

Mover: Bovo, Jr.

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

Report: *Chairwoman Heyman introduced the foregoing proposed resolution and stated that she placed this item on today's agenda in response to the large amount of money and extensive delays in the peer program associated with the Mental Health Diversion Program. She asked that the foregoing proposed resolution be amended to add an amount of \$1.00 as the cost so as to avoid setting a precedent of giving departments vehicles for free. She requested staff identify a vehicle in the County's motor pool that could be used for this social services program in lieu of the reimbursement of transit fees and delays. She noted she would provide the \$1.00 per year cost from her District 4 Office Funds.*

In response to Commissioner Edmonson's question whether the 11th Judicial Circuit Criminal Mental Health Project was under the jurisdiction of the County, Ms. Habsi W. Kaba, Crisis Intervention Team Coordinator, 11th Judicial Circuit Criminal Mental Health Project, noted some programs within the Project were County-funded and some were contracted through the Administrative Offices of the Courts. She explained that this program was originally funded through a jail-diversion grant from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration (SAMHA); however, after three years, some positions became County-funded, including her current position.

Hearing no further questions or comments, the Committee forwarded the foregoing proposed

resolution to the BCC with a favorable recommendation with Committee amendments to replace the language "...at no charge..." with the language, "...at the cost of \$1.00 per year..." in the title and body.

3 DEPARTMENT

3A

142639 Resolution

Sally A. Heyman

RESOLUTION APPROVING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THROUGH ITS DEPARTMENT, THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND MIAMI-DADE CRIME STOPPERS TO DOCUMENT THE EXISTING COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MIAMI-DADE CRIME STOPPERS, AND PROVIDE FOR THE CONTINUATION OF THE GUN BOUNTY PROGRAM, A PROGRAM WHICH PAYS REWARDS TO ANONYMOUS TIPSTERS WHO PROVIDE INFORMATION LEADING TO AN ARREST FOR A FIREARM VIOLATION AND RECOVERY OF AN ILLEGAL FIREARM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN (Miami-Dade Police Department)

*Forwarded to BCC with a favorable recommendation
Mover: Bovo, Jr.
Seconder: Edmonson
Vote: 3-0
Absent: Barreiro*

Report: *Assistant County Attorney Gerald Sanchez read the foregoing proposed resolution into the record.*

Hearing no questions or comments, the Committee proceeded to vote on the foregoing proposed resolution as presented.

4 COUNTY MAYOR

5 COUNTY ATTORNEY

6 CLERK OF THE BOARD

6A

142834 Report

APPROVAL OF CLERK'S MEETING MINUTES FOR THE
NOVEMBER 12, 2014 AND DECEMBER 15, 2014 PUBLIC
SAFETY AND ANIMAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

Approved

Mover: Bovo, Jr.

Seconder: Edmonson

Vote: 3-0

Absent: Barreiro

7 REPORT(S)

8 ADJOURNMENT

Report: *There being no further business to come before the
Public Safety and Animal Services Committee, the
meeting adjourned at 11:33 a.m.*