

Date: January 22, 2009

To: Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss  
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: George M. Burgess  
County Manager 

Subject: Rejection of the Proposals Received for RFP No. 487A, Tier 3 Sector 3B (Security Guard & Screening Services)

Agenda Item No. 8(O)(1)(B)

Resolution No. R-46-09

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners approve the rejection of proposals received for Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 487A, Sector 3B, to provide Security Guard and Screening Services for the Seaport Department.

CONTRACT NO: RFP 487A, Tier 3 (Sector 3B)

CONTRACT TITLE: Security Guard & Screening Services

TERM: Three years with two, two-year options-to-renew at the County's sole discretion.

APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE: January 9, 2006

CONTRACT AMOUNT: \$3,652,720.14

USING AGENCY: Seaport Department

MANAGING AGENCY: General Services Administration (GSA)

FUNDING SOURCE: Internal Charge-back payments to GSA

METHOD OF AWARD: To the highest ranked responsible, responsive proposer through an open, competitive RFP process.

CONTRACT MEASURES: The Review Committee of December 28, 2005 recommended a Small Business Enterprise selection factor for Tier 3 of this solicitation.

LIVING WAGE: The services being provided are covered under the Living Wage Ordinance.

USER ACCESS PROGRAM: The 2% User Access Program provision is included. The program discount will be collected.

LOCAL PREFERENCE: Applied in accordance with applicable Ordinance, but did not affect the outcome.

PERFORMANCE DATA: There are no known performance issues.

COMPLIANCE DATA: There are no known compliance issues.

Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss  
and Members, Board of County Commissioners  
Page No. 2

PROJECT MANAGER: Daniel Payne, Chief of Security, General Services Administration

ESTIMATED CONTRACT COMMENCEMENT DATE: Not Applicable

**BACKGROUND**

On May 6, 2008, the County Manager presented a recommendation to award Request for Proposals (RFP) 487A, Security Guard and Screening Services, under Agenda Item No. 801B and requested to waive the Bid Protest requirements of Section 2-8.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code. RFP 487A was divided into three tiers based on the type of service required. Tier 3 included two Sectors: Sector 3A (Miami-Dade Aviation Department) and Sector 3B (Seaport).

Contract No. 487A – Sector 3B for security services at the Port of Miami was recommended to be awarded to Security Alliance (Security Alliance) of Florida, LLC. Security Alliance graduated from the Small Business Enterprise Program in October 2006 and its one-year post graduation period had expired. The Board directed the County Manager to negotiate a contract with the next highest ranked proposer (50 State Security Service, Inc.) at the time of award for Sector 3B.

In accordance with FS 311.12, the Seaport Department is mandated to provide security personnel at both the restricted access and non-restricted access areas of the Port. After further review, it has been determined that the scope of this contract does not fully meet the security requirements and/or needs of the Port. The Seaport Department determined that it would be in the best interest of the County to reject the bid as it is more cost effective to hire additional Seaport Security Officers.


  
Assistant County Manager



**MEMORANDUM**  
(Revised)

**TO:** Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss  
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

**DATE:** January 22, 2009

**FROM:**   
R. A. Cuevas, Jr.  
County Attorney

**SUBJECT:** Agenda Item No. 8(O)(1)(B)

Please note any items checked.

- "4-Day Rule" ("3-Day Rule" for committees) applicable if raised
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- Budget required
- Statement of fiscal impact required
- Bid waiver requiring County Manager's written recommendation
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing
- Housekeeping item (no policy decision required)
- No committee review

Approved \_\_\_\_\_ Mayor  
Veto \_\_\_\_\_  
Override \_\_\_\_\_

Agenda Item No. 8(O)(1)(B)  
1-22-09

RESOLUTION NO. R-46-09

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AUTHORITY TO REJECT PROPOSALS RECEIVED FOR REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO OBTAIN SECURITY GUARD AND SCREENING SERVICES FOR THE PORT OF MIAMI RFP NO. 487A TIER 3 SECTOR 3B ONLY

**WHEREAS**, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA**, that this Board approves the rejection of proposals received for Request for Proposals No. 487A Tier 3 Sector 3B only for Security Guard and Screening Services at the Port of Miami.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner **Katy Sorenson** who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Dennis C. Moss** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Dennis C. Moss, Chairman	<b>aye</b>		
Jose "Pepe" Diaz, Vice-Chairman	<b>aye</b>		
Bruno A. Barreiro	<b>aye</b>	Audrey M. Edmonson	<b>absent</b>
Carlos A. Gimenez	<b>aye</b>	Sally A. Heyman	<b>aye</b>
Barbara J. Jordan	<b>aye</b>	Joe A. Martinez	<b>aye</b>
Dorrin D. Rolle	<b>aye</b>	Natacha Seijas	<b>aye</b>
Katy Sorenson	<b>aye</b>	Rebeca Sosa	<b>aye</b>
Sen. Javier D. Souto	<b>aye</b>		

**Resolution No. R-46-09**

Agenda Item No. 8(O)(1)(B)

Page No. 2

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2009. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA  
BY ITS BOARD OF  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By: **Kay Sullivan**  
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as  
to form and legal sufficiency.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "OR", written over a horizontal line.

Oren Rosenthal

A handwritten mark consisting of a vertical line with a hook at the bottom, resembling a stylized "5" or a checkmark.