

**OFFICIAL FILE COPY
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA**



MEMORANDUM

Agenda Item No. 11(A)(17)

TO: Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: July 21, 2009

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Resolution regarding a conflict
waiver request by Becker &
Poliakoff pursuant to its state
lobbying contract with Miami-
Dade County

Resolution No. R-1052-09

The accompanying resolution was prepared and placed on the agenda at the request of Prime Sponsor Vice-Chairman Jose "Pepe" Diaz.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'RAC Jr.', written over a horizontal line.

R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

RAC/cp




MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Dennis C. Moss
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: July 21, 2009

FROM: 
R. A. Cuevas, Jr.
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 11(A)(17)

Please note any items checked.

- "4-Day Rule" ("3-Day Rule" for committees) applicable if raised**
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing**
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing**
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget**
- Budget required**
- Statement of fiscal impact required**
- Bid waiver requiring County Mayor's written recommendation**
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing**
- Housekeeping item (no policy decision required)**
- No committee review**

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 11(A)(17)
7-21-09

RESOLUTION NO. R-1052-09

RESOLUTION REGARDING A CONFLICT WAIVER
REQUEST BY BECKER & POLIAKOFF PURSUANT TO ITS
STATE LOBBYING CONTRACT WITH MIAMI-DADE
COUNTY

WHEREAS, Becker & Poliakoff is a member of the Miami-Dade County (the “County”) state contract lobbying team; and

WHEREAS, Becker & Poliakoff has submitted a written request, a copy of which is attached, that the County provide a conflict waiver and consent to its representation of Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland (hereinafter “Fidelity”) to serve as separate surety counsel in the case of Miami-Dade County v. Miri Construction, Inc. and Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland, Miami-Dade Circuit Court – Case No. 090-04493 CA 23 (hereinafter referred to as the “Miri Lawsuit”); and

WHEREAS, the County’s lobbying contracts provide that the Board may take, in its sole discretion, any action regarding a waiver of request, including but not limited to the following:

1. Grant a waiver and allow Becker & Poliakoff to continue to represent both the County and the other party;
2. Refuse to grant a waiver and void its contract with Becker & Poliakoff; and
3. Grant a limited waiver, allow Becker & Poliakoff to continue to represent both the County and the other party under whatever limitations or restrictions the County in its sole discretion determines to be appropriate,

WHEREAS, Fidelity is a party to the Miri Lawsuit solely by virtue of being a surety; and

WHEREAS, Becker & Poliakoff indicates that it will assert no affirmative counterclaims against the County on behalf of Fidelity, but will merely assert Fidelity's surety defenses, if any; and

WHEREAS, Zurich's bonded principal, Miri Construction, Inc. (hereinafter "Miri"), is represented by separate counsel who will assert Miri's primary factual defenses, if any; and

WHEREAS, Becker & Poliakoff is not seeking a waiver to represent the interests of Miri, and will not represent the interests of Miri in the Miri Lawsuit,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board grants the request by Becker & Poliakoff for a limited conflict waiver pursuant to its state lobbying contract with the County related to Becker & Poliakoff's representation of Fidelity in connection with the Miri Lawsuit. Becker & Poliakoff may represent the interests of Fidelity in the Miri Lawsuit, but this limited waiver does not extend in any way beyond the Miri Lawsuit.

The Prime Sponsor of the foregoing resolution is Vice-Chairman Jose "Pepe" Diaz. It was offered by Commissioner **Barbara J. Jordan**, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Dennis C. Moss** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

	Dennis C. Moss, Chairman	aye	
	Jose "Pepe" Diaz, Vice-Chairman	aye	
Bruno A. Barreiro	aye	Audrey M. Edmonson	absent
Carlos A. Gimenez	absent	Sally A. Heyman	absent
Barbara J. Jordan	aye	Joe A. Martinez	nay
Dorrin D. Rolle	aye	Natacha Seijas	aye
Katy Sorenson	aye	Rebeca Sosa	absent
Sen. Javier D. Souto	aye		

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this **23rd day** of July, 2009. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK



By: **DIANE COLLINS**
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

Handwritten signature of Jess M. McCarty, consisting of the letters "JMM" in a stylized, cursive font.

Jess M. McCarty

Reply To:
Coral Gables
Miguel A. Diaz de la Portilla, Esq.
Direct dial: (305) 260-1037
MDPortilla@becker-poliakoff.com

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
3111 STIRLING ROAD
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312
800.432.7712 U.S. TOLL FREE

WWW.BECKER-POLIAKOFF.COM
RP@BECKER-POLIAKOFF.COM

June 9, 2009

Via E-Mail: JRasco@Miamiidade.com
& Via U.S. Mail

Mr. Joe Rasco
Director of Intergovernmental Affairs
Miami-Dade County
111 N.W. 1st Street, Suite 1032
Miami, Florida 33128

*Re: Miami-Dade County v. Miri Construction, Inc. and Fidelity &
Deposit Company of Maryland Miami-Dade County Circuit
Court - Case No. 090-04493 CA 23*

**REQUEST FOR A DETERMINATION OF NO CONFLICT OR IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, A CONFLICT WAIVER**

FLORIDA OFFICES
BOCA RATON
FORT MYERS
FORT WALTON BEACH
HOLLYWOOD
HOMESTEAD
LARGO
MELBOURNE *
MIAMI
NAPLES
ORLANDO
SARASOTA
TALLAHASSEE
WEST PALM BEACH

Dear Mr. Rasco:

We are writing with regard to the above-referenced case. We have been requested by Defendant, Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland ("F&D"), to serve as its separate surety counsel in the above-referenced case. We have not been requested to represent the interest of Miri Construction, Inc. ("Miri"), and do not intend to do so. Rather, Miri is represented by Brian Wolfe, Esq. of Smith Currie Hancock, P.A.. We do not believe that there is a conflict of interest with Miami-Dade County arising from our potential representation of F&D and our representation of the County on State Legislative lobbying matters. Specifically, we do not represent the County in connection with any construction or surety matters. We value our relationship with the County, consequently, in an abundance of caution, we are making full disclosure and seeking the following: (1) A written determination that there is no conflict of interest; or (2) That you grant us a conflict waiver.

As always, please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or comments. Thank you very much for your assistance with this matter.

Best regards,



Miguel A. Diaz de la Portilla
For the Firm

MDP/mh

cc: Mr. Robert A. Cuevas, Jr. (via e-mail: RAC1@miamidade.gov)
Mr. Jess McCarty (via email: JMM2@miamidade.gov)

* by appointment only