OFFICIAL FILE COPY
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Memorandum

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

Date: April 17, 2012

To: Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

Agenda Item No. 14(A)(4)

From: Carlos A. Gimenez
Mayor

Subject: Rejection of all Bids Received for Model Year 2012 Police Vehicles (Contract No. 9550-0/12)

Resolution No. R-349-12

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approve the rejection of all bids received for Model Year 2012 Police Vehicles (Contract No. 9550-1/12) for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD).

Subsequent to the opening of this bid, and during the evaluation process, the Florida Sheriff's Association (FSA) awarded a contract for police vehicles. MDPD and the Internal Services Department - Procurement Management Services staff performed an analysis of the vehicles offered on the FSA's contract and compared base prices and options with those on the County's Invitation to Bid (ITB). The analysis showed that the per vehicle cost under the FSA contract is $1,221 lower than the pricing received on the County's bid. This price difference will allow the County to purchase six more vehicles than previously projected. Therefore, it is in the best interest of the County to access the FSA contract and reject all bids under Contract No. 9550-0/12. Concurrently, an accompanying item to access the FSA contract is also before the Board for approval.

CONTRACT NUMBER:	9550-0/12
CONTRACT TITLE:	Model Year 2012 Police Vehicles
TERM:	One Year
APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE:	September 2, 2011
METHOD OF AWARD:	To the three responsive and responsible bidder(s) offering the lowest Practical Life Cycle Cost for the item.
PRIOR CONTRACT AMOUNT:	$6,473,000 for a one-year period
CONTRACT AMOUNT:	$2,792,000

BACKGROUND

This replacement solicitation would have established a contract for MDPD to purchase model year 2012 police patrol vehicles. Bids were received from three vendors which offered two different types of police patrol vehicles in several configurations. The vehicles offered were the Ford Police Interceptor and the Dodge Charger PPV. The price analysis indicates the Dodge Charger PPV was offered at the lowest practical life cycle cost. However, as described earlier in this transmittal memorandum, subsequent to the opening of this bid, and during the evaluation process, FSA awarded a contract for police vehicles with more favorable pricing.

USING/MANAGING AGENCY AND FUNDING SOURCE:

Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Contract Manager
Police	$ 2,792,000	Fleet Trust Fund	Alex Alfonso

VENDORS RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD: None

VENDORS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD:

Proposer(s)	Reason for Not Recommending
Auto Holdings LLC	All bids are being rejected because lower pricing is available under another entity's contract.
Don Reid Ford Fleet	
Gus Machado Ford	

CONTRACT MEASURES: The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Bid Preference was included in the solicitation.

LIVING WAGE: Services being provided are not covered under the Living Wage Ordinance.

USER ACCESS PROGRAM: The User Access Program provision was included in the solicitation.

LOCAL PREFERENCE: The Local Preference was included in the solicitation.

PROCUREMENT OFFICER: Namita Uppal

Deputy Mayor

MEMORANDUM
(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: April 17, 2012

FROM: R. A. Cuevas, Jr. County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 14(A)(4)

Please note any items checked.

_____ **"3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised**

_____ **6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing**

_____ **4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing**

_____ **Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget**

_____ **Budget required**

_____ **Statement of fiscal impact required**

_____ **Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing**

_____ **No committee review**

_____ **Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous _____) to approve**

✓ **Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required**

3

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 14(A)(4)
4-17-12

RESOLUTION NO. R-349-12

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REJECT BIDS RECEIVED FOR THE INVITATION TO BID NO. 9550-0/12 FOR MODEL YEAR 2012 POLICE VEHICLES

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves the rejection of bids received for Invitation to Bid No. 9550-0/12 for Model Year 2012 Police Vehicles.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner **Rebeca Sosa**, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Audrey Edmonson** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Joe A. Martinez, Chairman **aye**
Audrey M. Edmonson, Vice Chairwoman **aye**

Bruno A. Barreiro	**aye**	Lynda Bell	**aye**
Esteban L. Bovo, Jr.	**aye**	Jose "Pepe" Diaz	**absent**
Sally A. Heyman	**aye**	Barbara J. Jordan	**absent**
Jean Monestime	**aye**	Dennis C. Moss	**aye**
Rebeca Sosa	**aye**	Sen. Javier D. Souto	**absent**
Xavier L. Suarez	**absent**		

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 17th day of April, 2012. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By: Christopher Agrippa
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

Hugo Benitez