



**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
FINAL OFFICIAL MINUTES
Annexation & Incorporation Task Force**

Stephen P. Clark Center
111 N.W. First Street
18th Floor, Room 18-3
Miami, Florida 33128

May 15, 2013
As Advertised

Harvey Ruvin, Clerk
Board of County Commissioners

Christopher Agrippa, Division Chief
Clerk of the Board Division

Flora Real, Commission Reporter
(305) 375-1294



**CLERK'S SUMMARY OF MEETING AND OFFICIAL MINUTES
MIAMI-DADE ANNEXATION AND INCORPORATION TASK FORCE
MAY 15, 2013**

The Miami-Dade Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (the Task Force) convened on May 15, 2013, at the Stephen P. Clark Center, 111 N.W. First Street, 18th Floor, Room 18-3, Miami, Florida, at 9:00 a.m. Present were: Chairman Michael Pizzi, Vice Chairman Kenneth Forbes, Mr. Steven Alexander, Ms. Anne Cates, Mr. Carlos Diaz-Padron, Mr. Lenny P. Feldman, Mr. Richard Friedman, Ms. Deborah Skill Lamb, Mr. Carlos Manrique, and City of Sweetwater Mayor Manuel L. Marono (Ms. Rosa M. De La Camara was late and Miami-Dade Commissioner Juan Zapata was absent).

In addition to the members of the Task Force, the following staff members were also present: Assistant County Attorney Craig Collier, Budget Director Jennifer Moon, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Mr. Jorge Fernandez, OMB Coordinator, and Deputy Clerk Flora Real.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Pizzi called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

TASK FORCE DISCUSSION

Chairman Pizzi announced that the Miami-Dade Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (the Task Force) members would review at today's (5/15) meeting Mayor Gimenez' memorandum and discuss how to address the remaining unincorporated municipal service areas (UMSA). He read into the record the second paragraph of the Mayor's memorandum which stated: "Should the Task Force recommend to the Board that the total incorporation of UMSA is a viable solution, a referendum should be held asking the electorate if UMSA should incorporate. A plan should be developed to deal with UMSA areas through annexations by existing municipalities and the creation of new cities." He noted the Mayor indicated in his memorandum that remaining unincorporated areas would become less sustainable after the completion of additional annexations and incorporations, and the Mayor recommended that a plan be developed to address that issue.

Chairman Pizzi noted four cities were moving forward with annexations based on agreements reached in 2008 and 2009, and the City of Hialeah Gardens passed a resolution to annex an area west of the Turnpike. He said he believed that the City of Hialeah was working on a proposal to annex land located between I-75 and the Turnpike from 170th Street to the County line, and other cities were working on proposals. He stated that, at the public hearings, several areas had expressed their desire to move forward with a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC); in addition, other areas and cities such as the Country Club of Miami and the City of Miami Lakes had indicated an interest to annex land. He noted UMSA currently represented about 43 percent of the County, and it would be reduced to about 30 to 35 percent within the next two to three years if some of the pending proposals were finalized.

Mr. Diaz-Padron noted the City of West Miami was also planning to propose an annexation of land.

Chairman Pizzi suggested that the Task Force set forth one of the following policy recommendations to the Board:

1. that the current process was working and those wishing to annex should be allowed to complete the process and those wishing to incorporate should be allowed to follow the County's current process;
2. that nothing be done and the current process be followed, which was not a recommendable policy for the Task Force to set forth; or
3. that recommendations should be developed moving forward prospectively in anticipation of UMSA's reduction through natural attrition.

Chairman Pizzi noted the Task Force's responsibility was to develop recommendations in anticipation of UMSA's reduction in size through natural attrition. He suggested that in the process, the Task Force members recommend that the County adopt a countywide fairness policy to ensure that all areas are afforded an equal opportunity to annex or incorporate expeditiously.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's request, Mr. Alexander provided an overview of Broward County's incorporation process, which began approximately 20 years ago. He stated that Broward's version of UMSA continued to work well to date, and the County adopted a policy to approve the annexation and incorporation of as many areas as possible if their finances allowed. He explained that the policy involved incorporating the areas which generated revenues alongside the areas which received revenues to ensure balance, while allowing natural selection to occur. He observed that 20 years later Broward County had experienced no problems with this policy. He suggested that the entire Miami-Dade County (MDC) should, as a goal, be allowed to incorporate or annex, while ensuring that the revenue generating areas incorporated alongside the revenue recipient areas; and the County Commission would decide what to do after it became unsustainable to continue servicing the remaining UMSA areas.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's question as to whether Mr. Alexander was recommending that the current process be allowed to remain in place because MDC was still far from reaching a crisis with UMSA, Mr. Alexander clarified that he believed that MDC should encourage incorporations and/or annexations, as long as the revenue generating areas incorporated alongside the revenue recipient areas; and at a later time, the County could determine how to address the remaining areas after it became unsustainable to service them.

Chairman Pizzi asked and Mr. Alexander confirmed that his recommendation was that the County allow as many annexations and incorporations as possible without worrying about the remaining unincorporated areas at this time since UMSA could still be sustainably serviced.

Motion Number 1:

It was moved by Vice Chairman Forbes that the Miami-Dade Annexation and Incorporation Task Force should recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that Miami-Dade County should be entirely incorporated or annexed within five years.

In response to Mr. Diaz-Padron's question regarding whether the motion was a mandate or a goal, Vice Chairman Forbes clarified that it would establish a completion target date; and the County should use the same principles suggested by Mr. Alexander. He pointed out that

Broward County's County Commission established the policy to incorporate the entire County by a certain date even though it did not happen.

Chairman Pizzi clarified that Vice Chairman Forbes' motion would establish the goal of incorporating or annexing all of UMSA.

In response to Chairman Pizzi's question as to whether the recommendation provided for a date certain, Vice Chairman Forbes clarified that his recommendation would establish a goal even if it was unachievable within the next five years.

Chairman Pizzi seconded the motion, and he opened the floor for discussion.

Ms. Lamb suggested that the County define UMSA's boundaries and spend all of UMSA's funds within those boundaries. She pointed out that Broward County's situation was unrelated to Miami-Dade County's situation since Broward had to comply with the State of Florida Statutes and Miami-Dade County had a Home Rule Charter. She stated that many voters objected to incorporations because that would be adding another layer of government, and residents should be allowed to vote. She said that she objected to annexations because this would further erode UMSA's tax base. She also said that the residents in seven out of the nine proposed annexations were not allowed to vote due to the population size requirement; and these annexations were land grabs. She suggested that the Task Force members recommend the prevention of similar annexations to protect the interests of UMSA residents.

Mr. Friedman pointed out that Broward County had increased police enforcement and improved the infrastructure of economically disadvantaged areas to make those areas more viable for annexation or incorporation; and the Task Force members should make recommendations aimed at improving the remaining unincorporated areas to render them more attractive to annexation by surrounding municipalities. He said that, before making a motion that would allow incorporations and annexations to continue, the Task Force members should consider how to improve the impoverished areas of the County because this would affect the entire County if these areas were allowed to remain in poverty.

Ms. De La Camara joined the meeting.

Discussion ensued among the Task Force members regarding the correct process to consider and vote on motions.

Mr. Diaz-Padron noted he agreed with Mr. Friedman suggestions. However, he stated that Mr. Forbes' motion was simply a conceptual policy at the macro level which established a goal and informed the County that this Task Force believed the entire County should be incorporated within the next five to ten years; and the Task Force members could set forth additional recommendations at the micro level on the process.

Following a brief discussion among the Task Force members regarding the proper procedures to be followed by the Task Force and which issues its members were required to address pursuant to the ordinance creating this Task Force, Assistant County Attorney Craig Collier advised that the Task Force had the discretion to establish its own rules of procedure on how to consider and/or address issues and motions.

In response to Chairman Pizzi's question regarding whether the County's current review process for annexation or incorporation applications considered issues relating to enclaves, economics, fairness, and revenue neutrality and whether those issues had to be specifically addressed at the time an application was submitted, Assistant County Attorney Collier advised that there were guidelines which addressed those issues.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's inquiry, Mr. Fernandez confirmed that the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code) addressed several issues including whether the approval of the application would create an enclave; and those issues were addressed as part of the application review process.

Chairman Pizzi stated that when an application for annexation or incorporation was reviewed the County Commission could weigh all of those policy issues relating to revenues, enclaves, and remaining areas before making its decision.

Chairman Pizzi asked Assistant County Attorney Collier whether or not it was permissible, pursuant to the ordinance creating this Task Force, for its members to recommend that the County establish a goal to fully incorporate UMSA and develop specific policies and procedures to address the remaining unincorporated areas.

Assistant County Attorney Collier suggested that the "Whereas clauses" of the ordinance should be used as a guideline because those clauses established the issues that the Task Force members were expected to address and the scope of their authority, even though additional issues could be considered, since the clauses focused on previous concerns raised.

Chairman Pizzi stated that the discussion would be limited to whether or not the Task Force should recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the County establish the goal to fully incorporate UMSA.

Mr. Alexander clarified that the motion included the policy recommendation to establish a goal of five years, noting he believed that the goal was a little aggressive taking into consideration the size of the area remaining to be incorporated and/or annexed because it would entail a lot of work to accomplish this goal. He suggested that the term of the goal should be between five and ten years.

Vice Chairman Forbes noted the Task Force had already discussed the incorporations and annexations currently in the pipeline; and he pointed out that the Land Use & Development Committee would be considering tomorrow (05/16) three MAC applications created within Commissioner Zapata's County Commission District. He noted Commissioner Zapata had carefully planned the boundaries of his Municipal Advisory Committees (MACs); and even though additional land could be included in those boundaries, the ordinance recently enacted prevented it. In addition, he said, Commissioner Moss also had a pending MAC, noting if all of these applications were approved within the next few years UMSA could be reduced to 20 or 30 percent of the County.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's question, Budget Director Moon clarified that County Commission District 11 was divided into three MAC areas; and the incorporation application for the east Kendall area was not included.

Mr. Alexander offered a friendly amendment to make the recommendation preliminary and subject to further consideration by the Task Force.

Chairman Pizzi clarified that it would be further debated at the Task Force's final meeting.

Following a brief discussion on whether the foregoing recommendations were just preliminary, Vice Chairman Forbes clarified that all recommendations were subject to further deliberation based upon the public input and were only intended to provide structure to the Task Force's discussions.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's question, ***Vice Chairman Forbes noted he accepted the friendly amendment which provided that it would be a preliminary recommendation subject to further consideration and debate.***

In response to Chairman Pizzi's question regarding the size of the remaining UMSA if all three MACs under committee review were approved, Ms. Cates asked to be allowed to comment on this issue before the Budget Director responded to that question. She noted applications had been submitted for the areas of North Central Dade, Biscayne Gardens, east and west Kendall, Redland, northeast Dade, the Falls, North Pointe, Redland Edge, Fontainebleau, and Westchester; in addition, two more applications were in the pipeline.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's inquiry, Ms. Cates clarified that she meant the applications were ready to be considered by the Board.

Vice Chairman Forbes said that this information was incorrect, noting Redland and Redland Edge were not ready to move forward.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's inquiry as to whether the County had created a MAC for any of the areas she previously mentioned, Ms. Cates informed him that North Central Dade, Biscayne Gardens, northeast Dade, the Falls, Fontainebleau, and Country Club of Miami had already created MACs.

In response to Chairman Pizzi's question regarding what would be UMSA's size if all applications currently in the pipeline were approved, Budget Director Moon informed the Task Force members that her department had just provided updated fiscal information to the three MACs closest to moving forward; and the planning process for each MAC would take approximately 18 to 24 months because organizational plans had to be prepared, inter-local agreements had to be drafted, and elections had to be scheduled.

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's question regarding what would be UMSA's size assuming all pending applications were approved, Budget Director Moon noted very small unincorporated areas would be left behind. Referring to Mr. Alexander's suggestions, Ms. Moon observed that certain areas would require infrastructure improvements to make those areas attractive to municipalities and encourage annexations; and certain retained revenues should be released to the annexing municipalities to enable them to perform the needed infrastructure improvements within the areas to be annexed.

Discussion ensued between Chairman Pizzi and Budget Director Moon regarding the impact of incorporations and annexations on UMSA depending on whether the remaining areas were revenue generating or revenue recipients.

Ms. Moon pointed out that, if a high value area was incorporated first, this would result in a significant reduction in revenue to UMSA; but services would still have to be provided to the unincorporated areas. Therefore, the order in which incorporations occurred was important.

Chairman Pizzi pointed out that municipalities would be much more motivated and inclined to annex surrounding enclaves if allowed to keep the revenues from the gas and utility taxes.

Mr. Alexander noted this Task Force should assist the County to develop policies to address all of these issues. He observed that Chairman Pizzi was under the assumption that the residents of a newly-created city would automatically vote positively on the charter of its city once it was passed by the County Commission, but that was not necessarily the case.

Ms. Lamb suggested that more than one option be discussed. She noted it was important to have a policy established requiring that future annexations and incorporations be either revenue neutral or comprised of residential and commercial areas; but the County should not allow the tax base of unincorporated areas to be taken by municipalities under the guise of annexations. She suggested that mitigation payments be required if the County approved an annexation or incorporation which was not revenue neutral; otherwise, affected unincorporated areas would be left without resources to manage the cost of their services. She expressed concern that the State of Florida Statutes allowed the County to spend the funds generated by UMSA outside of the unincorporated areas, noting she believed that this Task Force should first establish boundaries around UMSA to ensure those revenues were utilized within UMSA.

Budget Director Moon corrected Ms. Lamb's statements relating to the expenditure of UMSA funds outside of unincorporated areas. She clarified, for the record, that she had made three separate presentations to this Task Force showing how meticulously the County's budget was developed to ensure that UMSA's funds were spent within UMSA. She emphasized that she wished to ensure the record reflected accurate information.

Following a brief discussion between Ms. Lamb and Budget Director Moon regarding the County's expenditures, unmet needs, and the information contained in the financial reports presented by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Ms. Lamb suggested that this Task Force set forth a recommendation to increase the countywide millage rate as a measure of fairness.

Discussion ensued between Ms. Cates and Budget Director Moon regarding Former Commissioner Morales' proposed ordinance mandating that the entire County be incorporated within five years. Ms. Moon noted this proposal was not adopted.

Mr. Feldman said he believed that incorporation and annexation involved quality of life issues; and based on an analysis of UMSA's budgetary shortfalls, it seemed that the 1.9 millage rate was very low. He pointed out that this low millage rate created potentially a lack of efficiencies and effectiveness in the delivery of services within UMSA in comparison to the incorporated areas, which had a higher millage rate. Mr. Feldman said that according to Section 20-7 of the Miami-Dade Code (the Code) one of the issues to be considered in relation to boundary change

applications was whether the proposed change would create an enclave which would not be serviced efficiently or effectively. He noted the Task Force should focus on what would be the effect on the quality of life in the remaining unincorporated areas if the current 1.9 millage rate was maintained as more areas were incorporated.

Chairman Pizzi commented on the success of the municipalities that had incorporated within the past ten years, noting he believed that moving forward with annexations and incorporations would lead to a greater balance and better quality of life. He said that, whenever an application was submitted, OMB would include in its report an analysis as to whether the proposed incorporation or annexation made economic sense; and the County Commission members would examine all policy considerations and the creation of enclaves before approving the application. Consequently, the Task Force should recommend that the County allow as many incorporations and annexations as possible to occur over the next five years. He stated that this would be a good starting point, and suggested that the Task Force also address the issues of enclaves and the other points raised by Mr. Friedman.

Chairman Pizzi asked whether Mayor Gimenez' first issue should be discussed further.

Mr. Manrique noted he represented a commissioner whose County Commission district was completely comprised of unincorporated areas. He said that those members of the Task Force who were favorably disposed towards annexation were ignoring the fact that such annexations would reduce UMSA's taxes and cause its 1.9 millage rate to be increased. Furthermore, such annexations would cause the millage rates in the incorporated areas to increase because a 1.9 millage rate was unreasonable to maintain any city. He acknowledged that cities provided better services and were beneficial to the residents because they were closer to their governments; however, he said that he would be unable to support the motion because inevitably more incorporations and annexations would cause the millage rate to be increased. He suggested that the motion be refined to include more specific information regarding franchise fees, and utility taxes, or a formula to prevent the creation of enclaves; in addition, instead of providing that the entire County should incorporate within five years, the language should be changed to say "within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed a specified number of years."

Mr. Friedman suggested that the Task Force members consider the economics of incorporations and annexations, how the current legislation should be addressed, and how to provide public education in order to enable the public to make an informed decision. He said that the motion that was being presented should indicate that it was simply a conceptual framework, but it would have to be fully developed before it was presented to the County Commission.

Mayor Marono pointed out that the Task Force's mandate was to review how the County should address UMSA and its financial survival. He said that all of the details being discussed would have to be voted on, and the elected officials or proposed leaders of newly-created communities should present these details to their residents. He also suggested that decisions should be made based on the per capita income in each area. He noted a 1.9 millage rate in Aventura would probably generate twice the amount of tax revenues as the current rate due to the value of homes and businesses in that area; therefore, it was disingenuous for the Task Force members to compare the millage rates in Aventura with those in UMSA. He stated that incorporation and annexation should not be forced upon the voters because UMSA residents had the right to remain unincorporated if that was their wish, and the County Commission had discretion on how to proceed. Therefore, the Task Force should focus on how to address the survival of UMSA.

Mayor Marono expressed his disagreement with the motion, and indicated that he would vote no.

Mr. Alexander clarified that the intent of the motion was to suggest a policy for this Task Force to review and propose as an ultimate goal, which could be revisited before recommendations were finalized. He expressed support for the motion subject to reconsideration because the Task Force members would have a better understanding of the timeframe by the end of the process. He stated that in the meantime the Task Force should focus on reviewing the policies and procedures of the County with a view to making recommendations to ensure that incorporation/annexation applications presented to the County Commission were balanced.

Mr. Feldman said he believed that “balanced” was the key word; and he supported the motion at the policy level with the understanding that it would be revisited to include the critical issues relating to franchise fees, utility taxes, and enclave/contiguous areas.

Mr. Feldman proposed a friendly amendment to add language recommending that the County Commission should establish a policy to require incorporation in the event UMSA could not be efficiently and effectively serviced and budgetary shortfalls could not be met.

Mr. Diaz-Padron observed that, in the event some areas were unwilling to incorporate, the County would have to increase taxes to meet budgetary shortfalls; consequently, economics would dictate incorporation.

A discussion ensued between Task Force members and Ms. Moon regarding how budgetary shortfalls would be addressed in the event UMSA’s size was diminished to such an extent that it was unable to generate sufficient revenues to support the delivery of services.

Mayor Marono said that he would support requiring that a countywide mitigation fee be imposed to help support enclave areas as he believed municipalities would continue to annex industrial and commercial areas.

Following a discussion between Mayor Marono and Mr. Diaz-Padron regarding whether further annexations, incorporations, and economics would force remaining unincorporated areas to incorporate unwillingly, Mr. Diaz-Padron said that it would be inappropriate to allow unincorporated areas to remain unincorporated with the same low millage rate while imposing a fee on incorporated areas to fund the services provided in unincorporated areas. He noted unincorporated areas had the right to self-determination; however, they should bear the consequences of remaining unincorporated.

Chairman Pizzi expressed his disagreement with the implementation of mitigation payments, and he expressed his concern for that recommendation. He noted Broward County set a goal for full incorporation within 20 years ago without ever forcing any incorporation. He pointed out that Mr. Forbes’ recommendation was consistent with Broward’s practice.

Following a discussion among the Task Force members regarding the motion on the floor and the proposed amendment, Mr. Manrique noted Mayor Gimenez proposed that, if the County Commission members intended to adopt any of the Task Force members’ recommendations, they should first be placed on the ballot to allow the residents to vote.

Chairman Pizzi clarified it would be a referendum on the full incorporation of UMSA.

Mr. Manrique recommended that the voters be allowed to vote on whether all of UMSA should be incorporated within a specified number of years, if the Task Force made that recommendation to the Board. He stated that a city considering lowering its millage rate through the annexation of lucrative properties and businesses should have to pay a countywide mitigation fee.

Chairman Pizzi expressed his disagreement with the recommendation to implement mitigation fees because in the past mitigation fees were not imposed on newly-created municipalities, and this would create a discrepancy. He noted, for example, mitigation fees were not imposed on the areas of Pinecrest, Key Biscayne, Aventura, and 30 other cities, while the fees were imposed on the areas of Miami Lakes, Doral, and Palmetto Bay. He said that he would not object to imposing mitigation fees if they were imposed on all cities, including those that had not paid the fees in the past.

Vice Chairman Forbes clarified that the Task Force members would only prepare a report outlining recommendations for the Board of County Commissioners' consideration, and it was at the discretion of the County Commission members to adopt those recommendations. He read the following excerpt from the Supreme Court's legal opinion issued in connection with the Redland lawsuit: "Issues regarding the establishment and structure of local governments are committed solely to the discretion of the state. Municipal corporations are political subdivisions of the state created as convenient agencies for exercising such of the government powers of the state as may be entrusted to them. The number, nature, and duration of the power conferred upon these corporations and the territory over which it shall be exercised rests in the absolute discretion of the state. The state, therefore, at its pleasure, may modify or withdraw all such powers, may take without compensation such property, hold it itself or vest it in other agencies, expand or contract the territorial area, unite the whole or part of it with another municipality, repeal the charter, and destroy the corporation. All of this may be done conditionally or unconditionally with or without the consent of the citizens, or even against their protest."

Vice Chairman Forbes noted the Supreme Court had already established the County Commission's powers to incorporate an area; and even though he did not wish to impose incorporation or annexation on anyone, the Board members could draw boundaries and establish municipalities as they wished. He pointed out that the Task Force was just setting forth a recommendation that the entire County should be incorporated.

Mr. Feldman proposed an amendment to add language to require incorporation of the entire County within five years, in the event that the County determined that UMSA could no longer be serviced efficiently, effectively, and shortfalls could not be met.

Vice Chairman Forbes accepted Mr. Alexander's friendly amendment.

Vice Chairman Forbes pointed out that the Task Force was proposing recommendations and not setting policy.

Pursuant to Mr. Manrique's question as to whether the five-year goal should be discussed at the conclusion of the Task Force's deliberations, Vice Chairman Forbes said he believed that the Task Force needed to establish a structure to move forward.

Chairman Pizzi reiterated that the motion was establishing a preliminary goal of full incorporation through annexation or incorporation within five years, and this could be reconsidered at the end of the Task Force's deliberations subject to taking into account the budgetary shortfalls in the remaining areas.

Discussion ensued among the Task Force members regarding the impact of the motion and the amendments.

Pursuant to Mr. Alexander's question, Chairman Pizzi stated that the two amendments would be voted on separately.

Upon conclusion of the foregoing discussion, the Task Force members proceeded to vote on the amendments separately.

Amendment Number 1:

Mr. Alexander proposed to amend Vice Chairman Forbes' recommendation to make preliminary the five-year goal to entirely incorporate or annex UMSA subject to allowing the Task Force members to reconsider the foregoing recommendation and revote upon the conclusion of the public hearings to solicit public input on the Task Force's recommendations; and upon being put to a vote, the amendment passed by a show of hands vote of 8-3 (Ms. De La Camara, Mr. Manrique, and Mayor Marono voted "no"). (Commissioner Zapata was absent)

Amendment Number 2:

Mr. Feldman proposed to amend Vice Chairman Forbes' recommendation to make the five-year goal to entirely incorporate or annex UMSA subject to reconsideration by the Board of County Commissioners in the event that the County determined that UMSA could no longer be serviced efficiently, effectively, and shortfalls could not be met; and upon being put to a vote, the amendment failed by a show of hands vote of 7-4 (Mr. Alexander, Ms. De La Camara, Mr. Diaz-Padron, Mr. Friedman, Ms. Lamb, Mr. Manrique, and Mayor Marono voted no). (Commissioner Zapata was absent)

Motion Number 1 (Revised):

It was moved by Vice Chairman Forbes that the Miami-Dade Annexation and Incorporation Task Force should recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the Board should entirely incorporate or annex UMSA and that a five-year goal be established to complete the incorporations and annexations. This motion was seconded by Chairman Pizzi; and upon being put to a vote, the motion failed by a vote of 6-5 (Ms. De La Camara, Mr. Diaz-Padron, Mr. Feldman, Ms. Lamb, Mr. Manrique, and Mayor Marono voted "no"). (Commissioner Zapata was absent)

OTHER BUSINESS, UPDATES, AND REPORTS

None presented

NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING

Pursuant to Chairman Pizzi's inquiry, Mr. Jorge Fernandez, OMB Coordinator, Office of Management and Budget, announced that the Task Force's next scheduled meeting would be held at the West Kendall Regional Library, 11205 Hammocks Boulevard, Miami, Florida, as a public hearing. He noted two additional locations had been identified to hold public hearings in the event the Task Force wished to have additional community meetings in the future, one in the northern section of the County and the other in the southern area.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before the Miami-Dade Annexation and Incorporation Task Force, the meeting adjourned at 10:55 a.m.

Vice Chairman Kenneth Forbes, Miami-Dade
Annexation and Incorporation Task Force