

Memorandum



Date: October 30, 2012

To: Honorable Chairman Joe A. Martinez and Members
Board of County Commissioners

From: Carlos A. Gimenez
Mayor 

Subject: Federal Transit Administration Financial Oversight Review Final Report

Attached for your information and review is the Federal Transit Administration's (FTA) Financial Management Oversight Review final report performed by Reid Consulting, LLC with Holmes & Company, LLC. As shown in the report, two material weaknesses and eight significant deficiencies were identified of which seven of Miami Dade Transit's responses (MDT) were deemed adequate. MDT is actively working with the FTA to implement the recommendations presented and will report back within the 60-120 days as required in order to receive a closure status from the FTA.

My administration continues to be committed to thoroughly and aggressively responding to all of FTA's findings. To date MDT has received more than \$133.5 million in reimbursements and we are encouraged by the continuation of grant awards issued by the FTA, such as the most recent \$10 million for hybrid buses in July 2012.

If you have any additional questions, please contact MDT Director Ysela Llort at 786-469-5406, or me at 305-375-1880.

Attachment

c: Alina T. Hudak, County Manager/Deputy Mayor
Edward Marquez, Deputy Mayor
Ysela Llort, Director, Miami-Dade Transit
Bruce Libhaber, Assistant County Attorney
Charles Scurr, Executive Director, Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal Transit
Administration**

REGION IV
Alabama, Florida, Georgia,
Kentucky, Mississippi,
North Carolina, Puerto
Rico, South Carolina,
Tennessee, Virgin Islands

230 Peachtree St., N.W.,
Suite 800
Atlanta, GA 30303
404-865-5600
404-865-5605 (fax)

OCT 5 2012

Honorable Carlos A. Gimenez
Mayor
Miami Dade Transit
Stephen P. Clark Center
111 NW 1st Street
Miami, FL 33128

Re: FTA Financial Management Oversight Review **Final Report**

Dear Mayor Gimenez:

Please find enclosed a copy of the Final Report for the Financial Management Oversight Review conducted at Miami-Dade Transit by our review contractor, Reid Consulting, LLC.

The report incorporates your responses to the material weaknesses and/or significant deficiencies included in the report and the reviewer's comment on the adequacy of the proposed corrective action.

If you have any further comments or questions, please contact Ms. Margarita Sandberg at (404) 865-5612, or Ms. Donna McCoy at (215) 219-5799.

Sincerely,

Yvette G. Taylor, Ph. D.
Regional Administrator

Enclosure

Cc: Ms. Ysela Llort, Director, Miami Dade Transit

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

Full Scope Systems Review

Of

Miami-Dade Transit

PERFORMED FOR

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Prepared by

Reid Consulting, LLC

with

Holmes & Company, LLC

CONTRACT NUMBER: DTFT60-10-D-00006

TASK ORDER NUMBER: 1

Report Date: June 7, 2012

Final Report Submission Date: September 4, 2012

Reid Certified Public Accountants
Consulting, LLC

**Miami-Dade Transit
Financial Management Oversight Review
Table of Contents**

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS.....	1
SECTION I: BRIEF DESCRIPTION	3
SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES	7
1. Personnel Turnover and Vacancies Compromise the Control Environment	8
2. ECHO Draw Detail per General Ledger Does Not Agree to TEAM.....	10
SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES	12
1. Force Account Plan Does Not Adequately Justify Use of Labor Forces	13
2. No Effective Fixed Asset Physical Inventory Process.....	15
3. Recording of Fixed Assets Not Timely, Complete, and Accurate.....	17
4. Fixed Assets Disposed of Before the End of Their Useful Life	19
5. Contract Solicitation Documents Not Included in Centralized Procurement File	21
6. No Use of Current Cost Allocation Plan Rates.....	22
7. Single Audit Findings and Status Not Filed Timely	24
8. Spare Parts Inventory System Not Reconciled to the General Ledger	26
SECTION IV: ADVISORY COMMENTS	28
1. No External Peer Review Performed of Internal Audit Function	29
2. No Formal Tracking of Internal Audit Findings	30
3. No Recent Vulnerability Testing of the Information Technology (IT) System	31
SECTION V: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	32
SECTION VI: CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY FTA FOR GRANTEE'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.....	37
SECTION VII: GRANTEE'S RESPONSE (FULL TEXT)	39

Report of Independent Accountants



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Regional Administrator
Federal Transit Administration Region IV:

We understand that the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has awarded Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) the grants listed in Section I of this report. We have examined the effectiveness of MDT's internal control over compliance with FTA financial management system requirements as of January 6, 2012, as set forth in Section VI of this report, based on 49 CFR Part 18 "Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments" (Common Rule), Section 18.20, "Standards for Financial Management Systems." Management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over MDT's compliance with FTA financial management system requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of management's internal control over compliance with FTA financial management system requirements based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included obtaining an understanding of the financial management system, testing, and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of the financial management system, and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on MDT's compliance with FTA financial management system requirements.

Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure or financial management system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of the financial management system to future periods are subject to the risk that the financial management system may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As discussed in Section II of this report, our examination identified material weaknesses in MDT's internal controls over compliance with FTA financial management system requirements. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

In our opinion, except for the effect of the material weaknesses described in the preceding paragraph on the achievement of the objective of the financial management system criteria, Miami-Dade Transit has maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over its compliance with FTA financial management system requirements as of January 6, 2012, based on the criteria established by the FTA as set forth in Section VI of the report.

Our examination also identified significant deficiencies in Miami-Dade Transit's internal controls over compliance with FTA financial management system requirements. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. Significant deficiencies are discussed in Section III of this report. Certain advisory comments regarding procedures that do not affect our opinion or impact the criteria cited in the first paragraph of this report are described in Section IV of this report.

Reid Consulting

June 7, 2012

Section I

Brief Description of Miami-Dade Transit

SECTION I: BRIEF DESCRIPTION

Background

In 1960, the County Commission passed an ordinance to create the Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA). Over the years and under various administrations, MTA evolved into the Metro-Dade Transportation Administration, the Metro-Dade Transit Agency, the Miami-Dade Transit Agency, and is now known simply as Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).

Today's MDT has a viable four-mode system-Metrobus, Metrorail, Metromover, and Paratransit (STS) -used by nearly 300,000 passengers daily.

MDT Facts

MDT, one of the largest departments of Miami-Dade County government, is responsible for planning and providing all public transit services in the county. This integrated transportation system consists of four major components: the Metrobus fleet, connecting most areas of Miami-Dade County; Metrorail, an electrically-powered, elevated, rapid-transit system stretching 24.6 miles, from Kendall to Medley; Metromover, a 4.4-mile elevated people mover that serves the downtown central business district of Miami, and including Omni and Brickell; and, Special Transportation Service (STS), designed to meet the needs of people with disabilities unable to use regular transit services.

Currently, MDT records over 353,000 daily (weekday) boardings on Metrobus, Metrorail, Metromover and STS combined.

Metrorail System

The Metrorail system is an electrically-powered, elevated, rapid-transit system that extends for 24.6 miles. The Metrorail line extends from Kendall in South Miami-Dade to Medley in West Miami-Dade, and connects a major portion of the County to business, cultural and shopping centers. Passengers can travel from one end of the system to the other in only 49 minutes. The rail fleet consists of 136 rail cars.

In May 2009, Miami-Dade County broke ground on the AirportLink Metrorail Extension Project, a 2.4-mile extension of Metrorail that will run from the existing Earlington Heights station to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC), now under construction next to Miami International Airport (MIA). The AirportLink is considered the centerpiece of the People's Transportation Plan (PTP), approved by Miami-Dade County voters in 2002. The bulk of the funding for the \$506 million project will come from the PTP half-penny tax, with the Florida Department of Transportation contributing \$101.3 million.

The AirportLink will provide a fast, reliable Metrorail connection to MIA for the millions of residents, visitors and employees who travel to and from MIA every year. With this project, Miami-Dade County joins the ranks of major metropolitan areas around the world with rapid transit connections to their airports.

SECTION I: BRIEF DESCRIPTION, continued

Metrobus System

The MDT system currently provides daily countywide service with a total fleet of 822 buses which includes 747 full size buses and 75 mini-buses traveling more than 28.5 million revenue miles and 34,454,799 total miles. With 93 routes, MDT offers express bus service from the north and south ends of Miami-Dade County, service to neighboring Broward County, all rail stations, local and limited-stop service, special events, major businesses and the cultural and shopping centers throughout the greater Miami area. MDT also contracts with a private bus operator to provide two routes from south Miami-Dade County to Monroe County. Designed to intersect with Metrorail and Metromover, MDT's bus system serves all major shopping, entertainment and cultural centers, as well as major hospitals and schools. Several bus routes operate 24 hours a day and three routes provide overnight service between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m.

Metromover System

Passengers ride free on Metromover. This electrically-powered, fully automated people mover system connects with Metrorail at Government Center and Brickell stations and with Metrobus at various locations throughout downtown. Major destinations of the Metromover system include the American Airlines Arena, Bayside Market Place, Miami-Dade College and the Miami-Dade County School Board. The mover fleet consists of 29 mover cars.

STS System Special Transportation Services (STS) is MDT's complimentary paratransit service. Established in 1976 to meet the special transportation needs of disabled Miami-Dade County citizens, STS is available to anyone MDT deems eligible. Privately contracted sedans, vans and vans equipped with lifts provide door-to-door service for eligible customers. Service is offered with no restrictions on trip purpose.

SECTION I: BRIEF DESCRIPTION, continued

Active FTA Grants

The following is a list of MDT's active FTA Grants as of September 30, 2011:

Grant Number	Grant Title	Grant Amount	Expenditures 9/30/11
FL-03-0137-01	Miami Beach - Mt Sinai	\$3,000,000	\$219,771
FL-03-0232-03	Bus Projects	\$8,797,130	\$8,167,267
FL-03-0259-00	NE Passenger Activity Center	\$371,261	\$141,087
FL-03-0311-00	NW 7th Ave. Multimodal PAC	\$1,942,653	\$1,942,653
FL-03-0325	MIC Bus Plaza	\$5,830,669	\$4,989,415
FL-03-0328	City of Homestead Trolley Purchase	\$242,945	\$ -
FL-04-0016-02	Bus Projects FY 07, FY 08, & FY 09	\$10,043,960	\$1,117,046
FL-04-0017-02	NW 7th Ave. Multimodal PAC - FY 07 & FY 08	\$4,385,942	\$374,083
FL-04-0036	Miami Urban Partnership Agreement	\$13,845,000	\$13,495,222
FL-04-0073	City of Homestead Trolley Purchase	\$495,000	\$ -
FL-26-0017	NW 7th Ave. Multimodal PAC - FY 02	\$3,469,575	\$3,177,655
FL-26-7001-00	AUTOMATED PASSENGER INFORMATION SYSTEM	\$400,000	\$263,362
FL-70-X001	NW 7th Ave. Multimodal PAC - FY 03	\$496,750	\$32,466
FL-90-X350-05	Capital Funding for Busway Construction	\$72,677,515	\$68,764,659
FL-90-X578-01	CMAQ Transfer/FY 06 Formula Grant	\$64,779,170	\$63,381,634
FL-95-X015-04	Bridge Inspections FY09	\$3,582,000	\$3,168,860
FL-95-X035-00	344th Street Park & Ride	\$1,857,500	\$391,908

SECTION I: BRIEF DESCRIPTION, continued

Grant Number	Grant Title	Grant Amount	Expenditures 9/30/11
FL-05-0095	FY 07 5309 - Fixed Guideway Modernization	\$15,403,545	\$15,358,492
FL-05-0100	FY 08 5309 - Fixed Guideway Modernization	\$17,743,472	\$17,280,038
FL-05-0105	FY 09 5309 - Fixed Guideway Modernization	\$17,254,329	\$16,947,979
FL-05-0108	FY 10 5309 - Fixed Guideway Modernization	\$15,346,830	\$15,346,830
FL-90-X636-01	FY 07 5307 - Formula Grant	\$48,202,089	\$48,153,457
FL-90-X674	FY 08 5307 - Formula Grant	\$49,967,571	\$47,820,433
FL-90-X707	FY 09 5307 - Formula Grant	\$51,452,692	\$50,250,110
FL-90-X730	FY 10 5307 - Formula Grant	\$49,288,963	\$48,203,184
FL-37-X044	FY 07 Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC)	\$1,336,500	\$1,336,500
FL-95-X033-00	I-95 Operating	\$1,764,985	\$ 330,921
FL-37-X056	FY 08 & FY 09 JARC	\$4,015,142	\$ 101,707
FL-56-0005	ARRA 5309 Fixed Guideway Stimulus	\$2,847,482	\$930,062
FL-96-X028-02	ARRA 5307 Stimulus (MDT & Municipality)	\$69,802,389	\$10,375,498
FL-95-X047	University Pedestrian Overpass	\$3,851,000	\$17,812
FL-95-X052	Quail Roost Park & Ride	\$2,879,076	\$73,426
Total		\$547,373,135	\$442,153,537

Section II

Material Weaknesses

SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

For purposes of this examination, a material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

The conditions and recommendations are provided below, with notation of the standard impacted, discussion of the significance of the condition, a summary of the Grantee's proposed corrective actions and evaluation thereof.

SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

1. Personnel Turnover and Vacancies Compromise the Control Environment

Condition

There has been a high degree of turnover in the Financial Services division. Personnel currently holding several key positions are relatively new to MDT or functioning in their current position on a temporary basis.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) *Internal Control*. "Effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets."

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (1) *Financial Reporting*. Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that "accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities [are] made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant."

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 2(e) 1(h) states, "All personnel must be properly qualified for their assigned responsibilities, duties, and functions. Education, training, experience, competence, and integrity should be considered in assigning work. All must be held fully accountable for the proper discharge of their assignments."

Recommendation

MDT should fill key positions on a permanent basis with individuals knowledgeable of and responsible for compliance with the FTA accounting and reporting requirements. In addition, MDT should ensure that position descriptions are well-defined and documented.

This recommendation should be implemented within 120 days from receipt of this report.

Discussion

Several key positions within the Financial Services Division, including the Assistant Director of Financial Services position, have had a significant amount of turnover within the last five years. Some of these positions are currently assigned on a temporary basis to County employees with little or no transit background. In addition, there are vacancies in other key positions, such as the Controller position, and positions that have only recently been filled, such as the Chief of Budget position. Because of county-wide hiring restrictions, many openings were expected to be filled from internal sources. However, progress has been slower than anticipated. Uncertainty in critical roles, such as these, is not conducive to maintaining a strong internal control environment. MDT must ensure that competent professionals are assigned to key roles and that they develop industry knowledge so that MDT may maintain a sound financial management system. This is especially critical when taking into account that the MDT has been cited in the past for numerous internal control and compliance deficiencies that have resulted in restrictions and penalties from its primary funding source, the FTA. Therefore, MDT must locate and hire competent individuals to fill these vital positions on a permanent basis so that MDT can continue to make progress toward correcting deficiencies and getting restrictions lifted.

SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

1. Personnel Turnover and Vacancies Compromise the Control Environment, continued

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that open positions need to be filled and continues its effort to fill key positions on a permanent basis with individuals knowledgeable of and responsible for compliance with FTA accounting and reporting requirements. The Grants Manager position has been filled and other open jobs are in the recruitment process.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate. However, please note because of the impact of the open positions on the control environment, it is imperative that this issue is sufficiently addressed within 120 days of receipt of this report.

SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

2. ECHO Draw Detail per General Ledger Does Not Agree to TEAM

Condition

In some instances, amounts in TEAM for the line items "Federal Cash Disbursements" and "Federal Cash on Hand" for the Formula Grant did not agree with MDT's records.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (1) *Financial Reporting*. Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that "accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities [are] made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant."

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 9 (c) Policy for ECHO Payments. These guidelines state that the recipient organization shall commit itself to: Timely reporting of cash disbursements and balances as required by the Federal program agency, which is FTA.

Recommendation

Establish procedures to ensure that information is accurately entered into TEAM and reconciled to internal records on a periodic basis.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

Although MDT's general ledger (FAMIS) indicates the cumulative amount drawn life-to-date on a grant, that amount is not reconciled to TEAM on a periodic basis. Entries in TEAM for the Formula Grant FL-90-X636 were incomplete, resulting in Federal Cash Disbursements and Federal Cash on Hand being misstated. This may have been caused by a transaction prior to MDT's activity in TEAM being frozen, therefore, not allowing for the proper processing of that transaction in TEAM.

In addition, previously billed costs on this grant which MDT indicated were associated with a non-compliant contract were reversed by MDT and recorded as due to FTA as of 9/30/2011. MDT determined that this contract did not contain the required federal language/clauses as specified by FTA. In addition to the two invoices that were recorded as Due to FTA, MDT has determined that there were six other invoices under the same contact that were charged and drawn in 2009 and 2010 that were also considered ineligible, which totaled \$99,466. This amount will be set up as Due to FTA also, for a total of \$129,445. However, this reversal of charges for non-compliant contracts does not represent the total level of such charges identified to date. MDT previously provided schedules for 2004-2006 and 2007-2010, and prior preliminary follow-up activities identified additional charges associated with an earlier time. Therefore, resolution of the total extent of these charges is still pending.

SECTION II: MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

2. ECHO Draw Detail per General Ledger Does Not Agree to TEAM, continued

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that there was an error made on the Federal Financial Reports (FFRs) in TEAM when posting to the line items "Federal Cash Disbursements" and "Federal Cash on Hand" for the Formula Grant. MDT has hired a Grants Accounting Manager whose responsibilities include reviewing, on a quarterly basis, amounts recorded in the FFR in TEAM and to perform other grant-related supervisory functions. The review process includes reconciliation of amounts posted to the FFR in TEAM with FAMIS by a Grants Accountant 3 that are reviewed and signed-off by the Grants Accounting Manager.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate with respect to ensuring that reconciliations are performed regularly. However, MDT should submit a corrective action plan for ensuring that the costs associated with non-compliant contracts are identified and reimbursed to FTA.

Section III

Significant Deficiencies

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

These conditions and recommendations are provided below, with notation of the standard impacted, discussion of the significance of the condition, a summary of the Grantee's proposed corrective actions and evaluations thereof.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

1. Force Account Plan Does Not Adequately Justify Use of Labor Forces

Condition

MDT's Force Account Plan justifying the use of its own labor forces does not sufficiently describe the activities for which the forces will be used.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, §18.20(b)(5) *Allowable Cost*. Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that the cost principles of OMB Circular A-87, incorporated within 49 CFR §18.22, "agency program regulations, and the terms of grant and subgrant agreements will be followed in determining the reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of costs."

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 4(d) Force Account states "Force account work may consist of design, construction, refurbishment, inspection, and construction management activities, if eligible for reimbursement under the grant. Incremental labor costs from flagging protection, service diversions, or other activities directly related to the capital grant may also be defined as force account work. Force account work does not include grant or project administration activities which are otherwise direct project costs. Force account can include major capital project work on rolling stock. An example of this is preventive maintenance activities. FTA prior review of a force account plan and justification are required where the total estimated cost of force account work to be performed under the grant is greater than \$10,000,000. When work to be performed is less than \$10,000,000 but over \$100,000, a force account plan is required to be in the grantee's file, but does not require prior FTA approval. When work to be performed using force account is less than \$100,000, a detailed plan is not required."

Recommendation

MDT should amend the Force Account Plan to ensure that all applicable activities to be performed by MDT forces are described in sufficient detail to adequately justify the use of the MDT's own forces in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

MDT charges payroll to several federal grants. Most of the payroll charges are for Preventive Maintenance (PM) for which a Force Account Plan has been prepared and is pending approval. However, we noted additional labor costs charged to other grant activity line items (ALIs) as follows:

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

1. Force Account Plan Does Not Adequately Justify Use of Labor Forces, continued

Discussion, continued

Grant	ALI	Description
FL-95-X015	124405	Bridge Inspection
FL 56 0005	122403	Metrorail Track & Guideway Refurbishment
FL-96-X028	123202	Metromover Replacement Canopies
FL-96-X028	125403	Palmetto Metrorail Station
FL-96-X028	129208	Signage Orange Line Phase I MIC-Earlington Heights Connector Metrorail Line

In-house payroll charges under these ALI's exceeded \$100,000. Therefore, a Force Account Plan justifying their use based on one of the accepted criteria is required in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the Force Account Plan should better describe the activities for which the labor forces will be used. As a result, MDT has and will continue to develop Force Account Plans for each capital grant where MDT's labor costs exceed \$100,000.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

2. No Effective Fixed Asset Physical Inventory Process

Condition

The basis for the annual inventory of fixed assets is Miami-Dade County's (the County) Fixed Asset System (FAS). However, MDT uses its Fixed Asset System (FAS 100) as its fixed asset detail and there are no procedures for reconciling FAS to FAS 100.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) *Internal Control*. "Effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets." Specifically with respect to fixed asset records, 49 CFR§18.32(d) requires that grantees and subgrantees must maintain a fixed asset control system providing detailed property records for assets acquired under a grant or subgrant, and including procedures to provide reasonable assurance that safeguards are present to prevent or detect unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the property, and that maintenance procedure are implemented for such assets.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 3(k) (4) states, "A physical inventory of equipment must be taken and the results reconciled with equipment records at least once every two years. Any differences must be investigated to determine the cause of the difference."

Recommendation

Prepare and implement comprehensive fixed asset procedures that adequately verify the existence of assets in the MDT general inventory ledger control account.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

We noted the following regarding the physical inventory procedures performed by MDT:

- MDT's fixed asset system (FAS 100), which contains a detailed listing of the assets of the Transit Department, is not the basis for the physical inventory. The County counts inventory based on information contained in its own fixed asset system (FAS), which does not contain the level of detail maintained in the FAS 100 system. As a result, FAS is incomplete and, therefore, does not agree to FAS 100. Since the count is performed based on FAS, the count could omit assets and possibly result in a loss to the agency.
- The counts are done by personnel who have control of the assets (custodians) and physically inspected on only a test basis. Optimally, an inventory is an opportunity for an independent party who does not have custody of the assets to physically inspect them to ensure that they are in the possession of the agency and being used for their intended purpose. Without an actual physical inspection, assets which are no longer in the agency's possession could be lost, stolen or misappropriated without detection.
- Count sheets from custodians are incomplete and no independent verification has been performed. In order for the physical inventory to be performed effectively, all assets must be properly accounted for, which cannot happen if count sheets are not complete.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

2. No Effective Fixed Asset Physical Inventory Process, continued

Discussion, continued

- The fixed asset physical inventory process is not well planned or performed using clearly defined procedures. The 2011 fixed asset inventory process, which began in April 2011, had not yet been completed as of December 2011.
- Further indication that the process requires additional structure and documentation is that the count sheets indicating which items were actually verified and by whom from the prior 2010 fixed asset physical inventory observation had been discarded.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the basis for the annual inventory of fixed assets has been the County's FAS, while MDT's FAS 100 has been used to track fixed assets for financial reporting purposes. However, MDT's emphasis and general inventory ledger control is the FAS 100 system. Currently, MDT is reconciling the two systems and will report differences between systems. MDT has revised its inventory procedures in FAS 100 to include requirements established under FTA Circular 5010.1D for rolling stock and equipment purchased using Federal Transit Administration funds.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

MDT should ensure that the differences between the two systems are not just reported, but recorded in FAS, so that all relevant assets are accounted for in the system that will be used for the inventory count. Otherwise, the grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

3. Recording of Fixed Assets Not Timely, Complete, and Accurate

Condition

Entries of fixed asset additions and dispositions into MDT's accounting records are delayed. In addition, the FTA required field "title holder" does not exist and the "federal participation" field is not consistently completed in the fixed asset system (FAS 100).

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) *Internal Control*. "Effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets." Specifically with respect to fixed asset records, 49 CFR§18.32(d) requires that grantees and subgrantees must maintain a fixed asset control system providing detailed property records for assets acquired under a grant or subgrant, and including procedures to provide reasonable assurance that safeguards are present to prevent or detect unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the property, and that maintenance procedure are implemented for such assets.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) 3 states, "Equipment records must be maintained by the grantee. Records must include: (f) percentage of Federal participation in the cost, and (k) who holds title to the equipment including rolling stock.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) 5 states, "A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of project property. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated and documented by the grantee."

Recommendation

Record fixed asset additions and dispositions timely, completely and accurately, including federal participation, and revise the system to include the title holder field.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

We noted the following with regard to MDT's fixed assets accounting system:

- MDT's general practice is to record items in its fixed assets accounting system, FAS 100, quarterly. However, as of mid-December no entries had been posted to FAS 100 for assets acquired through the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- During our review of the FAS 100 system, we noted that the FTA-required data field "who holds title" is not included. In addition, the federal participation percentage field is not consistently completed.
- Review of disposals in October 2010 indicates that dates entered into FAS 100 were not consistent with the actual in-service or disposition dates based on supporting documents.

In order to accurately reflect assets on hand, accounting records must be updated regularly with all required information.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

3. Recording of Fixed Assets Not Timely, Complete, and Accurate, continued

Grantee's Response

MDT concurs with this recommendation and has dedicated additional staff to the Fixed Asset and Inventory Section of the Financial Services Division to assure timely, complete and accurate recording of fixed asset transactions. Concerning the federal participation and title fields, MDT has added the required "title holder" and "federal participation percentage" fields in FAS 100.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

4. Fixed Assets Disposed of Before the End of Their Useful Life

Condition

Review of disposals in December 2010 indicates that, based on when certain federally-funded assets were placed in service, they were not fully depreciated as of the date they were sold at auction.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (2) *Accounting Records*. "Grantees and subgrantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially-assisted activities. These records must contain information pertaining to grant or subgrant awards and authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, liabilities, outlays or expenditures, and income." The grantee's project financial accounting system must interface with the grantee's overall financial management system.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter III, Section 7 (b) Length of Retention Period. Except as otherwise specified, records must be retained for three years from the starting date specified in Chapter III, Subsection 7 c Starting Date of Retention Period. Equipment Records. The three year retention period for the equipment records starts from the date of the equipment's disposition or replacement or transfer at FTA's direction.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3 (I) (2) Disposition before the End of Useful Life states "Any disposition of project property before the end of its useful life requires prior FTA approval."

Recommendation

We recommend management update the Accounting Manual to include the reporting requirements for dispositions of federally funded assets and update the record retention policy to specifically reference the requirement to retain grant documentation for three years after disposition.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

During our tests of fixed assets, we noted the following:

- Six buses that were acquired in 1999 were disposed of during the testing period. FAS 100 documented an in-service date of March 1999. Through review of other available records, we noted that the actual in-service date was January 1999. Based on a twelve-year useful life (the minimum useful life in mileage had not yet been reached), the assets should have been retired no earlier than January 2011. However, the assets were disposed of in December 2010 and not documented in FAS 100 until 2011.
- No evidence of prior FTA approval for the early disposition was noted.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

4. Fixed Assets Disposed of Before the End of Their Useful Life, continued

Discussion, continued

- MDT's record retention policies do not require that they keep documentation for grant-funded assets for three years after the date of disposition. Given the current lag in recording activity in FAS 100 (refer to Significant Deficiency 2), the underlying documentation was required to adequately analyze this disposal.

As a result of the above, MDT did not comply with FTA regulations with regard to disposition of assets. Non-compliance with reporting and record retention requirements can create significant issues upon disposition of long-lived assets. MDT should ensure that controls are in place to ensure compliance with all laws and regulations.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that six buses were disposed of one month prior to being fully depreciated. The buses should not have been auctioned off before January 2011. The MDT Accounting Manual already addresses reporting requirements for dispositions of federally funded assets. Nonetheless, MDT has updated the Fixed Asset and Inventory Policy to assure retention of documentation for three years after disposition.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate with respect to revisions to policies and procedures. In addition to the changes to the manual, the grantee must determine the actual service life of the assets sold, which is the difference between the beginning service date and the sale date less the time the vehicles were out of service. Documentation must be provided for this calculation. Once the service life is calculated, the grantee must determine the amount due to FTA based on the difference between the net sale proceeds and the net book value.

Per FTA Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV Section 3(1)(2), Disposition Before the End of Useful Life. "Any disposition of project property before the end of its useful life requires prior FTA approval. FTA is entitled to its share of the remaining Federal interest. The Federal interest is determined by calculating the fair market value of the project property immediately before the occurrence prompting the withdrawal of the project property from appropriate use. If project property is being removed from service before the end of its useful life, the Federal interest and the return to FTA is the greater of FTA's share of the unamortized value of the remaining service life per unit, based on straight line depreciation of the original purchase price, or the Federal share of the sales price...."

Also per FTA Circular 9030.1D, Chapter VI Section 4 (b), Early Disposition, "...the suggested vehicle useful life standards ...refer to time in normal service, not time spent stockpiled or otherwise unavailable for regular transit duty."

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

5. Contract Solicitation Documents Not Included in Centralized Procurement File

Condition

The Independent Cost Estimate and Bid Evaluation support for a contract were not in the procurement file.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (6) Source Documentation. Accounting records must be supported by such source documentation as canceled checks, paid bills, payrolls, time and attendance records, contracts and subgrant award documents.

Circular 4220.1F, Chapter III, Section 3d(1) Procurement History. The Common Grant Rules require the recipient to maintain and make available to FTA written records detailing the history of each procurement, as follows: (a) Procurement Method; (b) Contract Type; (c) Contractor Selection; and (d) Cost or Price.

Recommendation

MDT should establish procedures to ensure that all required information is documented and maintained in the procurement file.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

When requested for review, Miami-Dade Transit was initially unable to locate and provide two items of documentation that are critical components of a complete procurement file. MDT must exercise great care to ensure that procurement files for contracts that are federally funded are complete, maintained and available for audit for the required record retention period. If information is removed from a completed procurement file, there must be procedures in place to ensure that the information continues to be available for review.

Grantee's Response

Staff will be reminded to adhere to both County and MDT written procedures to ensure that all required information is documented and maintained in procurement/contract files. Although this was an isolated incident, the referenced documents were provided to the FMO and are now included in the appropriate file.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

6. No Use of Current Cost Allocation Plan Rates

Condition

MDT is using fringe and overhead rates from an outdated cost allocation plan (CAP). Rates from the 2011 CAP have not been put into effect.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20(b) (5) *Allowable Cost*. Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that the cost principles of OMB Circular A-87, incorporated within 49 CFR §18.22, “agency program regulations, and the terms of grant and subgrant agreements will be followed in determining the reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of costs.” If indirect costs are being charged to the grant, Grantees must prepare a cost allocation plan that is approved by its cognizant agency.

Circular 5010.1D, Appendix E Cost Allocation Plans:

1. Requirements. Grantees who intend to seek Federal Transit Administration (FTA) reimbursement for indirect costs must prepare a Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) and/or Indirect Cost Rate Proposal. The following are basic requirements for preparing a CAP.
 - a. CAPs and Indirect Cost Rate Proposals must be updated annually.

5. Submission of Cost Allocation Plan/Indirect Cost Rate Proposals. OMB Circular A-87 requires that the plan (called a proposal) be submitted to a grantee’s Federal Cognizant Agency for approval. The CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal should be submitted to the “cognizant” or “lead” Federal Agency when:
 - a. The grantee is working on its first assistance project or has not previously had a CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal reviewed and accepted;
 - b. The grantee has made a change in its accounting system, thereby affecting the previously approved CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal and its basis of application;
 - c. The grantee’s proposed CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal exceeds the amounts and rate approved for the previous year(s) by more than 20 percent; or
 - d. The grantee changes the CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal methodology.

Recommendation

MDT should establish a process to ensure that Cost Allocation Plans are prepared and put into effect on a timely basis.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

The 2010 CAP based on 2008 costs was prepared and submitted for approval. However, MDT was not aware of the requirement to prepare a plan annually. Accordingly, the 2011 CAP based on 2009 costs was not prepared until after fiscal year 2011 was completed. Costs were not overcharged in fiscal year 2011 since the new rates were higher than those being used to charge costs to the grants. However, the old rates are still being used and no Plan has yet been prepared for fiscal year 2012.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

6. No Use of Current Cost Allocation Plan Rates, continued

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees with this recommendation and will exercise greater diligence in assuring that CAP rates are annually updated and are accurate and complete. In fact, the Grants Accounting Manager, a position recently established, has been assigned the responsibility of maintaining the CAP (including fringes and overhead rates). Additionally, MDT has sent out a memo to Grants Administration and Grants Accounting, with a copy of FTA Circular 5010.1D, Appendix E, reiterating that these rules must be followed.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

7. Single Audit Findings and Status Not Filed Timely

Condition

The Single Audit report for the year ended September 30, 2010, was filed with the Single Audit Clearinghouse (SAC) more than 30 days after the report was issued. In addition, MDT is not reporting the status of its Single Audit findings to the FTA on a quarterly basis as required.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20 (b) (1) *Financial Reporting*. Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that "accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities [are] made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant."

OMB Circular A-133, Section 320 (a) requires the audit shall be completed and submitted within the earlier of 30 days after receipt of the auditor's report(s), or nine months after the end of the audit period, unless a longer period is agreed to in advance by the cognizant or oversight agency for audit.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 8 (d) (3) Resolution of Audit Findings states "The status of outstanding audit findings and recommendations should be monitored and reported by the grantee in quarterly progress reports and, where appropriate, significant events reported."

Recommendation

MDT should establish a process to ensure that Single Audit findings are filed on a timely basis, as well as ensure compliance with the requirement to report quarterly status of findings.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

MDT's Single Audit report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, was filed with the SAC on June 30, 2011. The grantee could not identify the date the report was presented or delivered to the County Commissioners. However, the audit report date was March 21, 2011. The auditors are required to release the report within a short time after the report date and, therefore would be required to issue it no later than April 2011. OMB Circular A-133 requires submission of the report within the earlier of 30 days after receipt of the auditor's report or nine months after the end of the audit period. This criterion requires the submission of the report to the SAC no later than May 2011. MDT should ensure that the report is submitted in a timely manner in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133.

In addition, in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D, the grantee is required to report on the status of outstanding audit findings on a quarterly basis, which MDT has not been doing.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

7. Single Audit Findings and Status Not Filed Timely, continued

Discussion, continued

MDT should revise policies and procedures as necessary to ensure compliance with requirements related to its Single Audit submissions.

Grantee's Response

MDT does not agree with this finding. The Single Audit Report was filed timely with the Federal Audit Clearinghouse. The supporting information was submitted to the FMO reviewers upon notification of the condition. Additionally, the County maintains documentation supporting the dates the Audit Report was submitted to each Commissioner. The report was received on June 16, 2011 and sent to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse on June 30, 2011.

However, MDT does agree that it has not submitted the status of Single Audit findings to FTA on a quarterly basis. MDT was unaware of this requirement, but will comply prospectively.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

At the time of the site visit, no evidence was provided which could document the date of receipt of the Single Audit report from the auditors. Based on MDT's response and the documentation provided to support it, the finding, as it relates to the issue of timely reporting, is rescinded.

With regard to MDT's failure to report on the status of findings on a quarterly basis, the grantee's response is adequate.

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

8. Spare Parts Inventory System Not Reconciled to the General Ledger

Condition

The Finance Division does not post cycle count adjustments reported from the inventory system (EAMS) and there is no reconciliation/comparison of the balances in EAMS detail and the general ledger (FAMIS) control account.

Standard Impacted

49 CFR, 18.20 (b) (3) *Internal Control*. "Effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets.

Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) (5) states, "A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of project property. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated and documented by the grantee."

Recommendation

Reconcile the general ledger spare parts account to the inventory database monthly.

This recommendation should be implemented within 60 days from the date of this report.

Discussion

The EAMS system is used by MDT to track the detail of its spare parts inventory. The number on-hand of each part and its corresponding dollar values are updated as items are purchased and requisitioned for use. The value of the parts in the general ledger system, FAMIS, is increased with each purchase and decreased monthly as work orders, documenting the use of parts, are reported to the Finance Division. These two systems should be reconciled periodically to ensure that all activity is accurately reflected, and to verify that the ending value of the parts is the same in both systems. In addition, as inventory counts are performed, both systems should be updated to reflect the actual value of parts on-hand. During our review, we noted that, once cycle counts are completed, the EAMS system is updated to reflect any changes, but FAMIS is not. Also, we noted that no reconciliations are performed comparing the ending values in the two systems. Therefore, the value of spare parts reported in the financial statements may be misstated. MDT should revise its procedures to ensure that the general ledger balance is updated as necessary to reflect adjustments found as a result of the cycle counts and that a periodic reconciliation of the balances is performed.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the spare parts inventory system (EAMS) should be and is reconciled to the general ledger. MDT disagrees that the reconciliation needs to be done monthly.

As stated in the discussion paragraph, the Enterprise Asset Management System (EAMS) is used to track the detail of MDT's spare parts inventory. It is true that the value of parts in the general ledger system, FAMIS, is increased with each purchase. However, decreases in

SECTION III: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

8. Spare Parts Inventory System Not Reconciled to the General Ledger, continued

FAMIS are not determined based on work orders. Decreases of inventory in FAMIS are recorded based on data obtained from EAMS monthly.

Therefore, the cost of parts (expensed) is reasonably stated in FAMIS. However, there is a real time lag between the time that EAMS records inventory receipts and FAMIS records the purchase of such inventory. This is due to the fact that parts are entered in EAMS upon delivery whereas, parts are entered in FAMIS when an invoice is received. Because FAMIS rollover (close) is on or around the first Friday after the end of each month, except for year end, it is not practical to reconcile FAMIS and EAMS on a monthly basis. Thus, MDT has opted to reconcile the two systems at year end.

Evaluation of Grantee's Response

The grantee's response is adequate except for the frequency in which reconciliations are performed. While it may not be feasible to perform the reconciliations monthly, performing annual reconciliations does not allow for the timely resolution of discrepancies, should they arise. Therefore, we recommend performing reconciliations at least quarterly.

Section IV

Advisory Comments

SECTION IV: ADVISORY COMMENTS

For purposes of this review, an advisory comment represents a minor control deficiency in the design or operation of the financial management system that is not significant enough to adversely affect Miami-Dade Transit's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial and related data consistent with the requirements of 49 CFR 18.20.

The advisory comments presented in this section represent matters that came to our attention during the course of the review, and are offered to Miami-Dade Transit's management as an opportunity for improvement. The advisory comments are provided along with recommendations and discussion of the significance of the comments.

SECTION IV: ADVISORY COMMENTS

1. No External Peer Review Performed of Internal Audit Function

Condition

The Miami-Dade County Audit and Management Services department does not require an external peer review to be performed.

Recommendation

The Audit and Management Services Department of the County should adopt a policy allowing for a peer review every five years in accordance with the Institute of Internal Auditors' Standards.

Discussion

The Miami-Dade County audit function's proposed budget and multi-year capital plan indicates that audits are conducted in accordance with professional internal auditing standards. However, the function does not comply with Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA), the standard-setting body for Internal Auditors, standards regarding the performance of an external peer review at least once every five years.

SECTION IV: ADVISORY COMMENTS

2. No Formal Tracking of Internal Audit Findings

Condition

There is no documented mechanism for tracking the status of corrective actions in response to internal audit findings.

Recommendation

The Financial Services Division should establish a process to periodically determine the status of internal audit findings.

Discussion

The Audit and Management Services Department of the County has a process for resolution of audit findings prior to the issuance of its reports. However, once a report is issued, there is no documented mechanism for tracking the status of corrective actions or determining whether a follow-up review is warranted. The Financial Services Division is responsible for timely status reporting to the FTA regarding Single Audit and Financial Management Oversight review findings. However, the Audit Division's findings, as well as findings resulting from other third-party reviews, must be monitored and tracked through their resolution.

SECTION IV: ADVISORY COMMENTS

3. No Recent Vulnerability Testing of the Information Technology (IT) System

Condition

Vulnerability testing of the IT system was last performed in 2006.

Recommendation

Management should establish requirements for the periodic performance and documentation of vulnerability testing of the IT system. These requirements should address the option of having the testing performed by an external source.

Discussion

It is common practice for many organizations to conduct a periodic vulnerability test, the purpose of which is to determine whether the IT system is open to potential threats to the security of its operations, data and/or applications. MDT hasn't performed such an assessment in over five years.

Section V

Summary of Findings

SECTION V: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS-MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

<u>Findings Reference</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Standard Impacted</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Corrective Actions Implementation Date</u>
1	Personnel Turnover and Vacancies Compromise the Control Environment	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) <i>Internal Control</i> . 49 CFR, 18.20(b) (1) <i>Financial Reporting</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 2(e) 1(h).	MDT should fill key positions on a permanent basis with individuals knowledgeable of and responsible for compliance with FTA accounting and reporting requirements. In addition, MDT should ensure that position descriptions are well-defined and documented.	Within 120 days from date of report
2	ECHO Draw Detail per General Ledger Does not Agree to TEAM	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (1) <i>Financial Reporting</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 9 (c) Policy for ECHO Payments.	Establish procedures to ensure that information is accurately entered into TEAM and reconciled to internal records on a periodic basis.	Within 60 days from date of report

SECTION V: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES

<u>Findings Reference</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Standard Impacted</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Corrective Actions Implementation Date</u>
1	Force Account Plan Does Not Adequately Justify Use of Labor Forces	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (5) <i>Allowable Cost</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 4(d) Force Account.	MDT should amend the Force Account Plan to ensure that all applicable activities to be performed by MDT forces are described in sufficient detail to adequately justify the use of the MDT's own forces in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D.	Within 60 days from date of report
2	No Effective Fixed Asset Physical Inventory Process	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) <i>Internal Control</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 3(k) (4).	Prepare and implement comprehensive fixed asset procedures that adequately verify the existence of assets in the MDT general inventory ledger control account.	Within 60 days from date of report
3	Recording of Fixed Assets not Timely, Complete, and Accurate	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (3) <i>Internal Control</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) 3. Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) 5.	Record fixed asset additions and dispositions timely, completely and accurately, including federal participation, and revise the system to include the title holder field.	Within 60 days from date of report

<u>Findings Reference</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Standard Impacted</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Corrective Actions Implementation Date</u>
4	Fixed Assets Disposed of Before the End of Their Useful Life	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (2) <i>Accounting Records</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter III, Section 7 (b) Length of Retention Period. Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3 (I) (2).	Update the Accounting Manual to include the reporting requirements for dispositions of federally funded assets and update the record retention policy to specifically reference the requirement to retain grant documentation for three years after disposition.	Within 60 days from date of report
5	Contract Solicitation Documents Not Included in Centralized Procurement File	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (6) Source Documentation Circular 4220.1F, Chapter III, Section 3d(1) Procurement History	MDT should establish procedures to ensure that all required information is documented and maintained in the procurement file.	Within 60 days from date of report
6	No Use of Current Cost Allocation Plan Rates	49 CFR, 18.20(b) (5) <i>Allowable Cost</i> . Circular 5010.1D, APPENDIX E, Section 1. Circular 5010.1D, APPENDIX E, Section 5.	Establish a process to ensure that Cost Allocation Plans are prepared and put into effect on a timely basis.	Within 60 days from date of report

<u>Findings Reference</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Standard Impacted</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Corrective Actions Implementation Date</u>
7	Single Audit Findings and Status Not Filed Timely	49 CFR, 18.20 (b) (1) <i>Financial Reporting</i> . OMB Circular A-133, Section 320 (a). Circular 5010.1D, Chapter VI, Section 8 (d) (3) Resolution of Audit Findings.	Establish a process to ensure that Single Audit findings are filed on a timely basis as well as ensure compliance with the requirement to report quarterly status of findings	Within 60 days from date of report
8	Spare Parts Inventory System Not Reconciled to the General Ledger	49 CFR, 18.20 (b) (3) <i>Internal Control</i> . Circular 5010.1D, Chapter IV, Section 3(k) (5).	Reconcile the general ledger spare parts account to the inventory database monthly.	Within 60 days from date of report

SECTION V: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS: ADVISORY COMMENTS

<u>Findings Reference</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Standard Impacted</u>	<u>Recommendations</u>	<u>Corrective Actions Implementation Date</u>
1	No External Peer Review Performed of Internal Audit Function	Not Applicable	The Audit and Management Services Department of the County should adopt a policy allowing for a peer review every five years in accordance with the Institute of Internal Auditors' Standards.	Not Applicable
2	No Formal Tracking of Internal Audit Findings	Not Applicable	The Financial Services Division should establish a process to periodically determine the status of internal audit findings.	Not Applicable
3	No Recent Vulnerability Testing of the Information Technology System	Not Applicable	Management should establish requirements for the periodic performance and documentation of vulnerability testing of the IT system. These requirements should address the option of having the testing performed by an external source.	Not Applicable

Section VI

*Criteria Established By FTA for
Grantee's Financial Management Systems*

SECTION VI: CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY FTA FOR GRANTEE'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

The following criteria have been set forth by FTA standards for the financial management systems of FTA grantees. Unless otherwise noted these criteria are drawn from 49 CFR Part 18 "Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments" (Common Rule), Section 18.20 "Standards for Financial Management Systems." Additional guidance for applying many of these criteria is provided in various circulars issued by FTA, U.S. Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

18.20(b) (1) *Financial Reporting.* Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that "accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of financially assisted activities [are] made in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the grant or subgrant."

18.20(b) (2) *Accounting Records.* "Grantees and subgrantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially-assisted activities. These records must contain information pertaining to grant or subgrant awards and authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, liabilities, outlays or expenditures, and income." The grantee's project financial accounting system must interface with the grantee's overall financial management system.

18.20(b) (3) *Internal Control.* "Effective control and accountability must be maintained for all grant and subgrant cash, real and personal property, and other assets." Specifically with respect to fixed asset records, 49 CFR§18.32(d) requires that grantees and subgrantees must maintain a fixed asset control system providing detailed property records for assets acquired under a grant or subgrant, and including procedures to provide reasonable assurance that safeguards are present to prevent or detect unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the property, and that maintenance procedure are implemented for such assets.

18.20(b) (4) *Budget Control.* "Actual expenditures or outlays must be compared with budgeted amounts for each grant or subgrant. Financial information must be related to performance or productivity data, including the development of unit cost information whenever appropriate or specifically required in the grant or subgrant agreement"

18.20(b) (5) *Allowable Cost.* Grantees must have procedures to provide reasonable assurance that the cost principles of OMB Circular A-87, incorporated within 49 CFR §18.22, "agency program regulations, and the terms of grant and subgrant agreements will be followed in determining the reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of costs." If indirect cost is being charged to the grant, Grantees must prepare a cost allocation plan that is approved by its cognizant agency.

**SECTION VI: CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY FTA FOR
GRANTEE'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS**

18.20(b) (6) *Source Documentation.* “ Accounting records must be supported by such source documentation as cancelled checks, paid bills, payrolls, time and attendance records, contract and subgrant award documents, etc. “

18.20(b) (7) *Cash Management.* “Procedures for minimizing the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the U.S. Treasury and disbursement by grantees and subgrantees must be followed whenever advance payment procedures are used. Grantees must established reasonable procedures to ensure the receipt of reports on subgrantees' cash balances and cash disbursements in sufficient time to enable them to prepare complete and accurate cash transactions reports to the awarding agency. When advances are made by letter-of-credit or electronic transfer of funds methods, the grantee must make [cash] drawdowns as close as possible to the time of making disbursements. Grantees must monitor cash drawdowns by their subgrantees to assure that they conform substantially to the same standards of timing and amount as apply to advances to the grantees.”

18.30 *Project Change Accounting.* The grantee's project financial accounting systems must be able to document and track project changes that result in the need for additional funds, a revision in the scope or objectives of the project, or a need to extend the period of availability of funds or any other changes or budgetary transfers which would require the prior written approval of FTA.

Section VII

Grantee's Response (Full Text)

SECTION VII: GRANTEE'S RESPONSE (FULL TEXT)

FTA's Region IV office furnished a draft of this report to Miami Dade Transit on July 17, 2012, with a request for them to respond to the significant deficiencies. The grantee's response was received by Reid Consulting, LLC on August 13, 2012. Summaries of their response are included with the related findings and comments in previous sections of this report. The full text of the response is provided on the following pages.



MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT
Office of the Director
701 N.W. 1st Court • 17th Floor
Miami, Florida 33136
Tel: 786-469-5675 Fax: 786-469-5580

August 7, 2012

Dr. Yvette Taylor
Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration
230 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 800
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: Response to the Financial Management Oversight Draft Report on Miami-Dade Transit (MDT)

Dear Dr. Taylor:

Attached you will find MDT's response and back-up documentation to the Financial Management Oversight Draft Report.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for the continued partnership, reviews and subsequent reimbursements. The prompt reimbursement of our grant funds has allowed MDT to maintain quality transit services to our residents and visitors.

Should you need further clarification on any point raised in our response, please contact David Ritchey, Interim Assistant Director, Financial Services to schedule a teleconference. Mr. Ritchey can be reached at 786-469-5190.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ysela Llort".

Ysela Llort
Director

Attachments

- c: Honorable Carlos A. Gimenez, Mayor
- Alina T. Hudak, Deputy Mayor
- Ed Marquez, Deputy Mayor and Finance Director
- Cathy Jackson, Director, Audit and Management Services
- Charles Scurr, Executive Director, Citizens Independent Transportation Trust
- Miriam Singer, Assistant Director, Internal Services Department
- Blanca Padron, Controller, Finance Department
- Ryan Elliot, Budget Analyst, Office of Management and Budget

Miami-Dade Transit's
Responses to the Financial Management Oversight Full Scope Systems Review

Section II: Material Weaknesses

1. Personnel Turnover and Vacancies Compromise the Control Environment

Condition

There has been a high degree of turnover in the Financial Service division. Personnel currently holding several key positions are relatively new to MDT or functioning in their current position on a temporary basis.

Recommendation

MDT should fill key positions on a permanent basis with individuals knowledgeable of and responsible for compliance with the FTA accounting and reporting requirements. In addition, MDT should ensure that position descriptions are well-defined and documented.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that open positions need to be filled and continues its effort to fill key positions on a permanent basis with individuals knowledgeable of and responsible for compliance with FTA accounting and reporting requirements. The Grants Manager position has been filled and other open jobs are in the recruitment process.

2. ECHO Draw Detail per General Ledger Does Not Agree to TEAM

Condition

In some instances, amounts in TEAM for the line items "Federal Cash Disbursements" and "Federal Cash on Hand" for the Formula Grant did not agree with MDT's records.

Recommendation

Establish procedures to ensure that information is accurately entered into TEAM and reconciled to internal records on a periodic basis.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that there was an error made on the Federal Financial Reports (FFR) in TEAM when posting to the line items "Federal Cash Disbursements" and "Federal Cash on Hand" for the Formula Grant. MDT has hired a Grants Accounting Manager whose responsibilities include reviewing, on a quarterly basis, amounts recorded in the FFR in TEAM and to perform other grant related supervisory functions. The review process includes reconciliation of amounts posted to the FFR in TEAM with FAMIS by a Grants Accountant 3 that are reviewed and signed-off by the Grants Accounting Manager. (See Attachment A)

Section III: Significant Deficiencies

1. Force Account Plan Does Not Adequately Justify Use of Labor Forces

Condition

MDT's Force Account Plan justifying the use of its own labor forces does not sufficiently describe the activities for which the forces will be used.

Recommendation

MDT should amend the Force Account Plan to ensure that all applicable activities to be performed by MDT forces are described in sufficient detail to adequately justify the use of the MDT's own forces in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the Force Account Plan should better describe the activities for which the labor forces will be used. As a result, MDT has and will continue to develop Force Account Plans for each capital grant where MDT's labor costs exceed \$100,000. (See Attachment B)

2. No Effective Fixed Asset Physical Inventory Process

Condition

The basis for the annual inventory of fixed assets is the County's Fixed Asset System (FAS). However, MDT uses its Fixed Asset System (FAS 100) as its fixed asset detail and there are no procedures for reconciling FAS to FAS 100.

Recommendation

Prepare and implement comprehensive fixed asset procedures that adequately verify the existence of assets in MDT general inventory ledger control account.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the basis for the annual inventory of fixed assets has been the County's FAS, while MDT's FAS 100 has been used to track fixed assets for financial reporting purposes. However, MDT's emphasis and general inventory ledger control is the FAS 100 system. Currently, MDT is reconciling the two systems and will report differences between systems. MDT has revised its inventory procedures in FAS 100 to include requirements established under FTA Circular 5010.1D for rolling stock and equipment purchased using Federal Transit Administration funds. (See Attachment C)

3. Recording of Fixed Assets Not Timely, Complete and Accurate

Condition

Entries of fixed asset additions and dispositions into MDT's accounting records are delayed. In addition, the FTA required field "title holder" does not exist and the "federal participation field is not consistently completed in the fixed asset system (FAS 100).

Recommendation

Record fixed asset additions and dispositions timely, completely and accurately, including federal participation, and revise the system to include the title holder field.

Grantee's Response

MDT concurs with this recommendation and has dedicated additional staff to the Fixed Asset and Inventory Section of the Financial Services Division to assure timely, complete and accurate recording of fixed asset transactions. Concerning the federal participation and title fields, MDT has added the required "title holder" and "federal participation percentage" fields in FAS 100. (See Attachment D)

4. Fixed Assets Disposed of Before the End of Their Useful Life

Condition

Review of disposals in December 2010 indicates that, based on when certain federally-funded assets were placed in service, they were not fully depreciated as of the date they were sold at auction.

Recommendation

We recommend management update the Accounting Manual to include the reporting requirements for dispositions of federally funded assets and update the recording retention policy to specifically reference the requirement to retain grant documentation for three years after disposition.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that six buses were disposed of one month prior to being fully depreciated. The buses should not have been auctioned off before January 2011. The MDT Accounting Manual already addresses reporting requirements for dispositions of federally funded assets. Nonetheless, MDT has updated the Fixed Asset and Inventory Policy to assure retention of documentation for three years after disposition. (See Attachment C)

5. Contract Solicitation Documents Not Included In Centralized Procurement File

Condition

The Independent Cost Estimate and Bid Evaluation support for a contract were not in the procurement file.

Recommendation

MDT should establish procedures to ensure that all required information is documented and maintained in the procurement file.

Grantee's Response

Staff will be reminded to adhere to both County and MDT written procedures to ensure that all required information is documented and maintained in procurement/contract files. Although this was an isolated incident, the referenced documents were provided to the FMO and are now included in the appropriate file. (See Attachment E)

6. No Use of Current Cost Allocation Plan Rates

Condition

MDT is using fringes and overhead rates from an outdated cost allocation plan (CAP). Rates from the 2011 CAP have not been put into effect.

Recommendation

MDT should establish a process to ensure that Cost Allocation Plans are prepared and put into effect on a timely basis.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees with this recommendation and will exercise greater diligence in assuring that CAP rates are annually updated and are accurate and complete. In fact, the Grants Accounting Manager, a position recently established, has been assigned the responsibility of maintaining the CAP (including fringes and overhead rates). Additionally, we have sent out a memo to Grants Administration and Grants Accounting, with a copy of FTA Circular 5010.1D, Appendix E, reiterating that these rules must be followed. (See Attachment F)

7. Single Audit Findings and Status Not Filed Timely

Condition

The Single Audit Report for the year ended September 30, 2010, was filed with the Single Audit Clearinghouse (SAC) more than 30 days after the report was issued. Additionally, MDT is not reporting the status of its Single Audit findings to the FTA on a quarterly basis as required.

Recommendation

MDT should establish a process to ensure that Audit findings are filed on a timely basis, as well as ensure compliance with the requirement to report quarterly status of findings.

MDT should revise policies and procedures as necessary to ensure compliance with requirements related to its Single Audit Submissions.

Grantee's Response

MDT does not agree with this finding. The Single Audit Report was filed timely with the Federal Audit Clearinghouse. The supporting information was submitted to the FMO reviewers upon notification of this condition. Additionally, the County maintains documentation supporting the dates the Audit Report was submitted to each Commissioner. The report was received on June 16, 2011 and sent to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse on June 30, 2011. (See Attachment G)

However, MDT does agree that it has not submitted the status of Single Audit finding to FTA on a quarterly basis. MDT was unaware of this requirement, but will comply prospectively.

8. Spare Parts Inventory System Not Reconciled to General Ledger

Condition

The Finance Division does not post cycle count adjustments reported from the inventory system (EAMS) and there is no reconciliation/comparison of the balances in EAMS detail and the general ledger (FAMIS) control account.

Recommendation

Reconcile the general ledger spare parts account to inventory database monthly.

Grantee's Response

MDT agrees that the spare part inventory system (EAMS) should be and is reconciled to the general ledger. MDT disagrees that the reconciliation needs to be done monthly.

As stated in the discussion paragraph, the Enterprise Asset Management System (EAMS) is used to track the detail of MDT's spare parts inventory. It is true that the value of parts in the general ledger system, FAMIS, is increased with each purchase. However, decreases in FAMIS are not determined based on work orders. Decreases of inventory in FAMIS are recorded based on data obtained from EAMS monthly.

Therefore, the cost of parts (expensed) is reasonably stated in the FAMIS. However, there is a real time lag between the time that EAMS records inventory receipts and FAMIS records the purchases of such inventory. This is due the fact that parts are entered in EAMS upon delivery whereas; parts are entered in FAMIS when an invoice is received. Because FAMIS rollover (close) is on or around the first Friday after the end of each month, except for year end, it is not practical to reconcile FAMIS and EAMS on a monthly basis. Thus, MDT has opted to reconcile the two systems at year end. We are available and will be glad to discuss this recommendation more fully with the FTA. (See Attachment H)