

FIRST-TIER MEETING DECEMBER 15, 2009 OFFICE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES OCI PROJECT NO. A09-OCI-01 A					COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE																		
FIRST-TIER FINAL TABULATION SHEET					Duke Abrams	Reanan Quintana	Rene Marrage	Tyrone Brown	SBD-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL	System Ranking	Local Preference Ranking	Criteria 1A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 2A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 3A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 4A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 6A Tie-Breaker	OCI RANK	FINAL RANK	
					NAME OF FIRM(S)																		
1	A&P CONSULTING TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS CORP.					45	43	49	35	172	34	23	46										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					18	15	18	15	66	13	9	18										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					18	15	18	15	66	13	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					3	3	2	3	11	2	1	3										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					5	3	5	3	16	3	2	4										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					89	79	82	71					3	3	/	/	/	/	/	3	1	
2	ARCHITECTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.					25	48	48	50	175	35	23	47										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					12	19	18	20	69	14	9	18										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					12	18	17	15	62	12	8	16										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					1	1	2	4	8	2	1	2										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					2	4	5	5	16	3	2	4										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					56	90	90	94					4	4	/	/	/	/	/	4	NA	
3	BERGER AVART, INC.					25	47	47	35	154	31	21	41										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					11	17	18	10	56	11	8	15										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					10	17	17	10	54	11	7	14										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					4	5	5	3	17	3	2	5										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					1	5	5	3	14	3	2	4										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					51	91	92	61					7	7	/	/	/	/	/	7	4	
4	BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.					26	46	48	30	150	30	20	40										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					11	15	18	15	59	12	8	16										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					10	16	18	15	59	12	8	16										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					2	2	2	3	9	2	1	2										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					1	3	5	3	12	2	2	3										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					80	82	91	66					8	8	9					9	6	
5	CORZO, CASTELLO, CARBALLO, THOMPSON, SALMAN, PA					28	44	49	30	151	30	20	40										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					11	15	18	15	59	12	8	16										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					10	16	18	10	54	11	7	14										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					2	4	3	4	13	3	2	3										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					1	3	5	3	12	2	2	3										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					52	82	93	62					8	8	8	/	/	/	/	8	5	
6	IDEAL ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN, P.A.					42	46	45	50	183	37	25	49										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					18	15	17	20	70	14	9	19										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					17	17	19	20	73	15	10	19										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					3	4	3	4	14	3	2	4										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					4	4	5	5	18	4	2	5										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					84	86	89	99					1	1	/	/	/	/	/	1	NA	
7	LAURA M. PEREZ AND ASSOCIATES, INC.					43	47	49	30	169	34	23	45										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					17	17	18	15	67	13	9	18										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					17	17	18	15	67	13	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					2	4	3	3	12	2	2	3										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	5	5	5	18	4	2	5										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					82	90	93	68					2	2	/	/	/	/	/	2	NA	
8	RODRIGUEZ AND QUIROGA ARCHITECTS CHARTERED					29	46	47	30	152	30	20	40										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					10	15	18	10	53	11	7	14										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					11	15	18	15	59	12	8	16										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					4	5	5	5	19	4	3	5										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	3	5	5	16	3	2	4										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					57	84	93	65					6	6	/	/	/	/	/	6	3	
9	URS CORPORATION SOUTHERN					40	48	49	35	172	34	23	46										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					14	19	18	15	66	13	9	18										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					11	18	18	15	62	12	8	16										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					1	1	1	2	5	1	1	1										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					2	5	5	3	15	3	2	4										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					68	91	91	70					5	5	/	/	/	/	/	5	2	
10	VEE ARCHITECTURE CORP.					30	40	40	35	145	29	19	39										
	1A - Qualification of firms including (team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					10	15	17	15	57	11	8	15										
	2A - Knowledge and P&S (Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					10	15	15	15	55	11	7	15										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					3	4	1	4	12	2	2	3										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					1	3	4	3	11	2	1	3										
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					54	77	77	72					10	10	/	/	/	/	/	10	7	

OCI Final Rank Only applicable if the Final Ranking changes as a result of the SBD Compliance Review.