FIRST - TIER MEETING
October 25, 2010

JACKSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL / PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST
M.V. OIL SWITCHES REPLACEMENTS AND
ELECTRIC SWITCHGEARS AT CENTRAL AND SOUTH WINGS REPLACMENT
OCI PROJECT NO. E10-JMH-01

FIRST-TIER TABULATION SHEET

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE												
		Oscar Villaverde, JMH	Douglas Rodriguez, JMH	Pedro Garcia, MDAD	Dalia Abrahante, WASD	Mahmood Rezaie, GSA	TOTAL	Criteria 1A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 2A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 3A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 4A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 5A Tie-Breaker	OCI RANK	FINAL RANK
1	TWR Engineers, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 48	58	Highest discarded score 90	64	83	205						7	
2	Cashin Associates, P.C.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	58	59	Highest discarded score 90	Lowest discarded score 46	76	193						10	
3	Fraga Engineers, LLC													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	63	64	Highest discarded score 93	76	Lowest discarded score 63	203						8	
4	The R.C. Group Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	62	78	Highest discarded score 91	Lowest discarded score 52	76	216						6	
5	Wolfberg/Alvarez and Partners, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	79	Highsest discarded score 97	90	Lowest Discarded score 78	90	259						1	
6	Gartek Engineering Corporation													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	89	78	Highsest discarded score 92	83	Lowest discarded Score 72	250						2	
7	Vital Engineering, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	62	64	Hihest discarded score 89	56	Lowest discarded score 47	182						11	
8	TLC Engineering for Architecture, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						N/A	
9	Protek Electrical Engineering, Inc. / Trade Name: Protek Engineering													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 53	80	83	67	Highest discarded score 84	230						3	
10	A & P Consulting Transportation Enigneers Corp.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 69	72	Highest discarded score 87	73	84	229						4	
11	Cordoba & Associates, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 53	72	Highest discarded score 90	67	79	218						5	
12	Maqueira Engineering Consultants, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	57	61	Highest discarded score 83	Lowest discarded score 54	80	198						9	

Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez - OCI - Chairperson Definitions: LP - Local Preferred Team

FIRST - TIER MEETING
October 25, 2010

JACKSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL / PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST
M.V. OIL SWITHCES REPLACEMENT AND
ELECTRICAL SWITCHGEARS AT CENTRAL AND SOUTH WINGS REPLACEMENT
OCI PROJECT NO. E10-JMH-01

TABULATION SHEET

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	Oscar Villaverde, JMH	Douglas Rodriguez, JMH	Pedro Garcia, MDAD	Dalia Abrahante, WASD	Mahmood Rennie, GSA	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL	System Ranking	Local Preference Ranking	Criteria 1A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 2A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 3A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 4A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 5A Tie-Breaker	FINAL RANK	OCI RANK
1	TWR ENGINEERS, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	18	30	45	34	40	167	33	22	44										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	12	10	18	10	17	67	13	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	12	10	18	13	18	71	14	10	19										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	5	4	4	20	4	3	5			360							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	5	4	3	4	18	4	2	5	343		326							
		48	58	90	64	83														
2	Cashin Associates, P.C.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	25	30	46	20	38	159	32	21	42										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	10	18	7	15	64	13	9	17										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	12	10	19	13	15	69	14	9	18										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	5	5	4	22	4	3	6			345							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	2	1	4	15	3	2	4	329		313							
		58	59	90	46	76														
3	FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	23	30	46	39	30	168	34	23	45										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	15	18	14	12	75	15	10	20										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	10	19	16	14	76	15	10	20										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	5	4	4	21	4	3	6			377							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	3	3	19	4	3	5	359		341							
		63	64	93	76	63														
4	THE R.C. GROUPINC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	23	40	45	28	35	171	34	23	45										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	15	18	8	15	69	14	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	15	18	11	17	79	16	11	21										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	5	3	4	19	4	3	5			377							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	2	5	21	4	3	6	359		341							
		62	78	91	52	76														
5	WOLFBERG/ALAVAREZ AND PARTNERS, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	50	46	41	46	228	46	31	61										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	20	18	17	18	88	18	12	23										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	20	18	15	18	85	17	11	23										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	3	2	3	12	2	2	3			456							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	3	5	21	4	3	6	434		412							
		79	97	90	78	90														
6	GARTEK ENGINEERING CORPORATION																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	40	46	42	32	205	41	27	55										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	15	18	18	14	83	17	11	22										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	16	18	84	17	11	22										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	5	4	4	20	4	3	5			435							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	4	22	4	3	6	414		393							
		89	78	92	83	72														
7	VITAL ENGINEERING, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	30	30	45	29	30	164	33	22	44										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	11	8	69	14	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	10	10	18	11	5	54	11	7	14										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	4	3	3	2	14	3	2	4			334							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	2	2	17	3	2	5	318		302							
		62	64	89	56	47														
8	TLC ENGINEERING FOR ARCHITECTURE, INC. - TEAM ELIMINATED																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)						0	0	0	0										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)						0	0	0	0										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)						0	0	0	0										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)						0	0	0	0			N/A							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)						0	0	0	0	N/A		N/A							
		0	0	0	0	0														
9	PROTEK ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, INC. / TRADE NAME: PROTEK ENGINEERING																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	22	40	40	37	41	180	36	24	48										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	12	15	16	14	16	73	15	10	19										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	12	15	18	10	17	72	14	10	19										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7			385							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	5	4	1	5	17	3	2	5	367		349							
		53	80	83	67	84														
10	A & P CONSULTING TRANSPORTATION ENIGNEERS CORP.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	40	45	40	42	205	41	27	55										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	10	18	16	18	76	15	10	20										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	11	15	18	14	18	76	15	10	20										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	1	1	1	7	1	1	2			404							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	2	5	21	4	3	6	385		366							
		69	72	87	73	84														
11	CORDOBA & ASSOCIATES, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	25	40	45	36	40	186	37	25	49										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	10	18	13	15	66	13	9	18										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	12	15	18	14	16	75	15	10	20										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	4	2	3	14	3	2	4			379							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	2	5	20	4	3	5	361		343							
		53	72	90	67	79														
12	MAQUEIRA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	25	30	45	30	40	170	34	23	45										
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	10	14	10	15	63	13	8	17										
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	12	15	18	11	18	74	15	10	20										
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	1	2	1	2	8	2	1	2			352							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	4	2	5	20	4	3	5	335		318							
		57	61	83	54	80														

Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez, OCI Chairperson

A/E 10-13-10