FIRST TIER MEETING
JULY 10, 2012

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT SUPPORT
ISD PROJECT NO. E12-MPO-02

TABULATION SHEET

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	Jesus Guerra, MPO	David Henderson, MPO	Kelly Cooper, CITT	Robert Pearsall, MDT	Curlene Thomas, FDOT	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	QUAL. RANKING / LP APPLICATION	FINAL RANK *Pursuant to determination by County Mayor or County Mayor's designee
1	HNTB Corporation														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	45	42	40	42	213	43	29	57					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	15	15	17	15	80	16	11	21					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	10	10	15	18	69	14	9	18					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	4	5	5	21	4	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6	407	8	3	3	
		87	78	76	82	84									
	Ordinal Scores	1	7	3	3	2									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...														
2	Gannett Fleming, Inc.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	45	45	44	43	221	44	30	59					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	18	16	84	17	11	22					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	15	10	16	18	75	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	2	4	3	16	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6	420	5	1	1	
		86	83	80	87	84									
	Ordinal Scores	2	3	2	1	1									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
3	Kittelson & Associates, Inc. - NLP														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	34	40	35	37	40	186	37	25	49					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	15	12	15	15	72	14	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	15	10	13	15	68	14	9	18					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	4	4	21	4	3	6	370	21	6	11	
		72	79	67	74	78									
	Ordinal Scores	7	6	6	8	9									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
4	Marlin Engineering, Inc.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	36	35	40	35	41	187	37	25	50					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	12	15	16	14	14	71	14	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	12	10	12	15	63	13	8	17					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	3	3	2	13	3	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	5	4	22	4	3	6	356	32	11	10	
		68	69	74	69	76									
	Ordinal Scores	10	11	4	11	11									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														

5	**Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	45	48	39	43	218	44	29	58					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	20	18	17	16	88	18	12	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	20	10	14	15	76	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	2	3	2	12	2	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6	418	7	2	2	
		85	92	83	78	80									
	Ordinal Scores	3	1	1	4	3									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
6	**David Plummer & Associates, Inc.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	36	35	38	37	42	188	38	25	50					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	12	12	15	17	69	14	9	18					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	10	9	14	15	63	13	8	17					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	3	2	3	2	13	3	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	5	4	23	5	3	6	356	23	8	9	
		71	65	66	74	80									
	Ordinal Scores	8	12	8	7	4									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
7	**Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	35	35	39	40	192	38	26	51					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	15	12	15	15	72	14	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	15	10	13	14	68	14	9	18					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	3	5	3	18	4	2	5					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	4	4	22	4	3	6	372	24	9	5	
		82	73	65	76	76									
	Ordinal Scores	4	9	9	6	12									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
8	**T.Y. Lin International**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	35	43	40	37	41	196	39	26	52					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	18	10	16	15	73	15	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	18	11	13	16	73	15	10	19					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	2	2	7	1	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	4	4	21	4	3	6	370	22	7	7	
		68	85	67	72	78									
	Ordinal Scores	11	2	5	10	7									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
9	**Metric Engineering Inc.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	33	38	30	33	42	176	35	24	47					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	15	12	14	16	71	14	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	15	10	12	15	66	13	9	18					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	2	3	2	11	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	4	4	21	4	3	6	345	32	12	12	
		66	75	59	66	79									
	Ordinal Scores	12	8	12	12	6									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														

10	The Corradino Group, Inc.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	41	35	32	39	40	187	37	25	50					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	15	12	16	16	73	15	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	13	10	15	15	68	14	9	18					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	1	4	3	12	2	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	3	6	363	24	10	8	
		77	70	60	78	78									
	Ordinal Scores	6	10	~~11~~	~~5~~	8									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
11	Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	42	35	43	41	204	41	27	54					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	15	12	18	15	77	15	10	20					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	15	10	15	15	70	14	9	19					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	1	3	2	10	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	5	4	23	5	3	6	384	20	4	4	
		81	79	63	84	77									
	Ordinal Scores	5	5	~~10~~	~~2~~	10									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
12	BCC Engineering, Inc.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	39	40	38	35	41	193	39	26	51					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	18	12	16	16	75	15	10	20					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	14	17	10	13	16	70	14	9	19					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	2	1	4	3	11	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	5	4	22	4	3	6	371	21	5	6	
		70	82	66	73	80									
	Ordinal Scores	~~9~~	~~4~~	7	9	5									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…														
	Tie- Breaker for Total Ordinal Scores - Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
	Strikethrough denotes high and low ordinal scores dropped														
	Faith Samuels, Sr. A/E Consultant Coordinator, Chairperson														
	Pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code 2-10.4 & Implementing Order 3-34														