

| FIRST TIER MEETING APRIL 11, 2014 | | COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Utility Backlog ISD PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-07 | | Jose Lopez, WASD | Maurice Jenkins, MDAD | Martha Guerra, ITD | Adriana Castro, City of Miami Beach | Kerrith Fiddler, City of North Miami | SUB-TOTAL | Average | Low Disparity | High Disparity | TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS | QUALITATIVE RANKING | LOCAL PREFERENCE RANGE | TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES | ORDINAL RANKING | LOCAL PREFERENCE RANKING | FINAL RANK |
| NAME OF FIRM(S) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Woolpert, Inc. - LP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) | 45 | 40 | 48 | 37 | 45 | 215 | 43 | 29 | 57 | 428 | | | | | | |
| | 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) | 18 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 93 | 19 | 12 | 25 | | | | | | | |
| | 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) | 18 | 12 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 73 | 15 | 10 | 19 | | | | | | | |
| | 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| | 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | Ordinal Scores | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dropped Scores | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Atkins North America, Inc. - LP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) | 10 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 50 | 197 | 39 | 26 | 52 | 388 | | | | | | |
| | 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) | 8 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 77 | 15 | 10 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) | 12 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 81 | 16 | 11 | 22 | | | | | | | |
| | 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points) | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 5 | 3 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| | Ordinal Scores | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dropped Scores | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |