



<b>R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc.</b>													
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	45	40	45	32	206	41	28	55	409	12	2	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	17	15	20	18	87	17	12	23				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	17	15	15	18	81	16	11	22				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	5	3	2	12	2	2	3				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	3	6				
	83	84	80	88	74								
Ordinal Scores	<b>4</b>	<del>13</del>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<del>2</del>								
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													
<b>Laura M. Perez and Associates, Inc.</b>													
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	28	46	35	35	30	174	35	23	46	367	29	11	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	12	17	15	12	15	71	14	10	19				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	18	15	18	15	86	17	12	23				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	4	2	2	13	3	2	3				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6				
	68	88	74	72	65								
Ordinal Scores	<b>10</b>	<del>8</del>	<b>9</b>	<del>13</del>	<b>10</b>								
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													
<b>BC Architects AIA, Inc.</b>													
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	46	37	40	33	201	40	27	53	412	12	3	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	18	14	20	18	87	17	12	23				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	20	17	15	15	83	17	11	22				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	4	3	4	21	4	3	6				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	3	5	2	20	4	3	5				
	88	94	75	83	72								
Ordinal Scores	<b>2</b>	<del>1</del>	<del>6</del>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>								
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													
<b>Edward Lewis Architects, Inc.</b>													
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	34	46	38	45	32	195	39	26	52	400	16	4	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	18	14	18	15	79	16	11	21				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	19	18	15	20	16	88	18	12	23				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	2	3	18	4	2	5				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	3	5	3	20	4	3	5				
	77	90	74	90	69								
Ordinal Scores	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<del>8</del>	<del>3</del>	<b>5</b>								
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													
<b>Alleguez Architecture, Inc.</b>													
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	30	44	30	40	30	174	35	23	46	355	34	13	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	16	14	10	13	66	13	9	18				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	20	17	15	14	83	17	11	22				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	4	4	2	12	2	2	3				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	3	5	3	20	4	3	5				
	66	85	68	74	62								
Ordinal Scores	<del>11</del>	<b>11</b>	<del>13</del>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>								
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													

<b>Ferguson Glasgow Schuster Soto, Inc.</b>														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	30	45	39	40	34	188	38	25	50					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	15	15	15	18	76	15	10	20					
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	17	15	12	18	77	15	10	20					
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	5	3	3	20	4	3	5					
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	4	5	4	22	4	3	6	383	24	9		
	68	85	78	75	77									
Ordinal Scores	9	<del>10</del>	5	10	<del>1</del>									
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...														
<b>Sixto Architect, Inc.</b>														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	34	46	36	40	22	178	36	24	47					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	18	15	14	13	74	15	10	20					
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	20	16	18	15	89	18	12	24					
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	5	4	2	18	4	2	5					
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	3	4	3	20	4	3	5	379	21	8		
	77	92	75	80	55									
Ordinal Scores	5	<del>4</del>	7	9	<del>13</del>									
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...														
<b>Allan T. Shulman Architect, PA (D/B/A/ Shulman +Associates)</b>														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	46	34	48	30	201	40	27	53					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	19	14	20	18	87	17	12	23					
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	15	16	18	12	77	15	10	20					
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	5	2	3	17	3	2	5					
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	3	5	4	22	4	3	6	404	17	5		
	84	88	72	93	67									
Ordinal Scores	3	6	<del>12</del>	<del>2</del>	8									
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...														
<b>Borges + Associates, P.A.</b>														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	34	46	36	48	32	196	39	26	52					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	14	17	14	20	17	82	16	11	22					
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	17	15	17	18	87	17	12	23					
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	5	4	2	13	3	2	3					
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	3	5	4	22	4	3	6	400	19	6		
	74	86	73	94	73									
Ordinal Scores pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code 2-10.4	7	9	<del>10</del>	<del>1</del>	3									
Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...														
Tie-Breaker for Total Ordinal Scores - Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
Strikethrough denotes high and low ordinal scores dropped														
Faith Samuels, Sr. A/E Consultant Coordinator, Chairperson														
<b>FIRST TIER FINAL RANKING PENDING CBE COMPLIANCE REVIEW BY SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DEPT.</b>														