

FIRST - TIER MEETING
MARCH 3, 2014
Homeless TrustPORT MIAMI
Design Services for a New Domestic Violence Center
ISD PROJECT NO. A12-HT-01

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

TABULATION SHEET

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	Ivon Mesa, CAHSD	Kimberly Green, HT	Rosa Figarola, FL Courts	Ronald Frasier, Fraseier Arch.	Lisette Martineez, PHCD	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANKING	LOCAL PREFERENCE RANGE	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	LOCAL PREFERENCE RANKING	FINAL RANK PENDING CBE COMPLIANCE REVIEW	
1	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc. - LP																	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	50	50	50	46	50	246	49	33	65	469							
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	20	20	17	18	95	19	13	25								
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	16	15	15	19	85	17	11	23								
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6								
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	4	3	4	5	20	4	3	5								
	Ordinal Scores	1	1	2	1	2												
	Dropped Scores					2						1		4	1	1	1	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																	
2	M.C. Harry and Associates, Inc. - LP																	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	40	40	42	50	217	43	29	58	393							
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	10	10	15	18	68	14	9	18								
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	17	10	15	19	81	16	11	22								
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	2	6	1	1	2								
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	4	4	4	5	21	4	3	6								
	Ordinal Scores	4	5	5	3	3												
	Dropped Scores					4						5		13	5	5	5	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																	
3	J. Bonfill and Associates Inc. - LP																	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	50	40	40	40	50	220	44	29	59	421							
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	15	15	15	10	75	15	10	20								
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	20	15	15	20	90	18	12	24								
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	3	2	2	4	14	3	2	4								
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	4	4	5	22	4	3	6								
	Ordinal Scores	2	3	3	4	4												
	Dropped Scores				5	5						3		11	3	3	3	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														3			
5	Victor J. Latavish, Architect, P.A. - NOT LOCAL																	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	50	40	50	47	50	237	47	32	63	452							
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	15	20	16	20	91	18	12	24								
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	20	17	15	20	87	17	12	23								
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	3	4	3	14	3	2	4								
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6								
	Ordinal Scores	3	4	1	2	1												
	Dropped Scores											2		6	2	2	2	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																	
6	Ferguson Glasgow Schuster Soto, Inc. - LP																	
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	40	40	40	50	215	43	29	57	413							
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	15	15	15	18	73	15	10	19								
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	20	10	15	19	84	17	11	22								
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	4	3	3	18	4	2	5								
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	3	6								
	Ordinal Scores	5	2	4	3	2												
	Dropped Scores				4	3						4		11	3	4	4	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														4			