

FIRST TIER MEETING - June 12, 2015 PORTMIAMI ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CRANE MANAGEMENT AND GENERAL WAREHOUSE FACILITIES ISD PROJECT NO. A13-SEA-04						COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANKING	
TABULATION SHEET						Elizabeth Ogden, PortMiami	Felix Pereira, PortMiami	Tyrone Browne, MDAD	Lissette Martinez, PHCD	Carolina Alfonso, CUA										
NAME OF FIRM(S)																				
1	CARTY ARCHITECTURE, LLC																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					47	46	48	46	42	229	46	31	61	443					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					18	19	18	18	15	88	18	12	23						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					19	20	18	18	15	90	18	12	24						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	4	3	1	1	12	2	2	3						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					5	5	4	5	5	24	5	3	6						
	Ordinal Scores					92	94	91	88	78										
	Dropped Scores					1	1	2	3	4										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.						1			4										
2	GURRI MATUTE, P.A.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					46	45	49	45	40	225	45	30	60	452					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					18	18	18	17	15	86	17	12	23						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					19	17	20	19	19	94	19	13	25						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	4	5	5	5	22	4	3	6						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7						
	Ordinal Scores					91	89	97	91	84										
	Dropped Scores					2	2	1	2	3										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.							1		3										
3	WOLFBERG/ALVAREZ AND PARTNERS, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					46	44	45	46	49	230	46	31	61	449					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					18	18	15	18	20	89	18	12	24						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					18	16	20	18	15	87	17	12	23						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	3	4	4	4	18	4	2	5						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7						
	Ordinal Scores					90	86	89	91	93										
	Dropped Scores					3	3	4	1	1										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.							4	1											
4	MOBIO ARCHITECTURE, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					43	40	43	45	38	209	42	28	56	401					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					17	15	18	16	15	81	16	11	22						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					18	15	15	17	10	75	15	10	20						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	3	3	2	2	13	3	2	3						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					4	5	5	5	4	23	5	3	6						
	Ordinal Scores					85	78	84	85	69										
	Dropped Scores					5	5	5	5	5										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.								5	5										
5	M.C. HARRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.																			
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)					45	42	47	45	45	224	45	30	60	433					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)					17	17	15	17	15	81	16	11	22						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)					19	14	20	18	19	90	18	12	24						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)					3	2	3	3	3	14	3	2	4						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)					5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6						
	Ordinal Scores					89	80	90	88	86										
	Dropped Scores					4	4	3	4	2										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.								4	2										

Denotes High and low scores dropped
ISD Chairperson, Amelia Cordova-Jimenez