

RECONVENED FIRST - TIER MEETING
JULY 27, 2015

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT SUPPORT (GPC)
ISD PROJECT NO. E15-MPO-01

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

TABULATION SHEET (REVISED)

	Helen Brown (RER)	Rolando Jimenez (PWWM)	Carlos Roa (MPO)	Phil Steinmiller (MPO)	Curlene Thomas (FDOT)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE POINTS	ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Range	FINAL RANK	
3	Tindale-Oliver & Associates, Inc. - NON-LOCAL															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	35	30	45	34	189	38	25	50						
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	10	16	18	15	78	16	10	21						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	18	15	19	15	85	17	11	23						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	5	2	3	4	18	4	2	5				246		
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	3	6				222		
	Total Qualitative Points	91	73	68	89	72					393					
	Ordinal Scores	3	4	9	7	10										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	3				10						10	20	8	10	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	91		68												
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										234					
4	RS&H, Inc.															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	21	30	48	41	185	37	25	49						
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	9	16	18	17	78	16	10	21						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	18	12	19	15	82	16	11	22						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7				253		
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	4	24	5	3	6				229		
	Total Qualitative Points	91	58	68	95	82					394					
	Ordinal Scores	8	10	10	2	7										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores			10	2							8	25	10	9	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		58		95											
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										241					

RECONVENED FIRST - TIER MEETING
JULY 27, 2015

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT SUPPORT (GPC)
ISD PROJECT NO. E15-MPO-01

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

TABULATION SHEET (REVISED)

		Helen Brown (RER)	Rolando Jimenez (PWWMM)	Carlos Roa (MPO)	Phil Steinmiller (MPO)	Curlene Thomas (FDOT)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE POINTS	ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Range	FINAL RANK
5	The Corradino Group, Inc.															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	32	42	43	43	205	41	27	55						
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	15	20	17	18	89	18	12	24						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	17	13	19	15	82	16	11	22						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	1	1	1	3	10	2	1	3					260	
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	3	5	22	4	3	6					236	
	Total Qualitative Points	91	69	81	83	84					408					
	Ordinal Scores	2	5	5	8	3										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	2			8							6	13	4		4
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	91	69													
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										248					
6	Atkins North America, Inc.															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	29	44	49	45	210	42	28	56						
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	11	20	19	18	86	17	12	23						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	20	19	19	15	91	18	12	24						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	2	3	3	3	15	3	2	4					278	
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	5	5	23	5	3	6					252	
	Total Qualitative Points	88	65	91	95	86					425					
	Ordinal Scores	9	6	2	1	2										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	9			1							3	10	2		3
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		65		95											
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										265					

RECONVENED FIRST - TIER MEETING
JULY 27, 2015

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT SUPPORT (GPC)
ISD PROJECT NO. E15-MPO-01

TABULATION SHEET (REVISED)

		Helen Brown (RER)	Rolando Jimenez (PWWMM)	Carlos Roa (MPO)	Phil Steinmiller (MPO)	Curlene Thomas (FDOT)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE POINTS	ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Range	FINAL RANK
7	Marlin Engineering, Inc.															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	40	44	49	46	224	45	30	60	442	2	9	1	280 254	1
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	15	20	18	18	90	18	12	24						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	19	14	85	17	11	23						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	2	4	4	19	4	3	5						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6						
	Total Qualitative Points	91	81	89	94	87										
	Ordinal Scores	4	3	3	3	1										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	4				1										
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		81		94											
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										267					
8	T.Y. Lin International															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	28	35	49	45	200	40	27	53	405	4	19	6	264 238	6
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	9	20	19	16	82	16	11	22						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	19	20	19	15	91	18	12	24						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	1	1	1	2	9	2	1	2						
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	3	6						
	Total Qualitative Points	88	61	81	93	82										
	Ordinal Scores	10	8	6	4	5										
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	10			4											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.															
	Dropped Qualitative Scores		61		93											
	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points										251					
9	Parsons Brinkckerhoff, Inc.															
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	45	42	39	41	42	209	42	28	56	263					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	16	20	17	16	88	18	12	23						
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	18	20	19	16	91	18	12	24						
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	2	1	2	3	12	2	2	3						

RECONVENED FIRST - TIER MEETING JULY 27, 2015 METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT SUPPORT (GPC) ISD PROJECT NO. E15-MPO-01		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL & ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE POINTS	ADJUSTED QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Range	FINAL RANK
TABULATION SHEET (REVISED)		Helen Brown (RER)	Rolando Jimenez (PWWMM)	Carlos Roa (MPO)	Phil Steinmiller (MPO)	Curlene Thomas (FDOT)										
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	5	3	4	22	4	3	6	422				238	
Total Qualitative Points		91	83	85	82	81										
Ordinal Scores		1	2	4	9	8										
Dropped Ordinal Scores		1			9							5	14	5		5
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
Dropped Qualitative Scores		91				81										
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points											250					
10 Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.																
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		45	30	38	39	40	192	38	26	51	391				250	
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		19	10	16	16	15	76	15	10	20						
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		17	16	16	19	16	84	17	11	22						
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	3	3	4	4	19	4	3	5						
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	3	5	3	4	20	4	3	5						
Total Qualitative Points		91	62	78	81	79										
Ordinal Scores		7	7	7	10	9										
Dropped Ordinal Scores				7	10							9	23	9		8
Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
Dropped Qualitative Scores		91	62													
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points											238					

Julie Whiteside, ISD Chairperson

NOTE: **RANKING AS ADJUSTED DUE TO APPLICATION OF LOCAL PREFERENCE**