

FIRST - TIER MEETING MARCH 13, 2015 MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CULTURAL ARTS DEPARTMENT WESTCHESTER CULTURAL ARTS CENTER ISD PROJECT NO. A13-CUA-01 GOB ESP		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANKING	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK PENDING CBE COMPLIANCE REVIEW
TABULATION SHEET		ALEJANDRO PERAZA CULTURAL AFFAIRS	ALISSA TURTLETAUB RECREATION AND OPEN SPACES	JESICA BERTHIN, CULTURAL AFFAIRS	MALIK BENJAMIN, FIU	ALEJANDRO RODRIGUEZ, ISD									
NAME OF FIRM(S)															
1	R.J. HEISENBOTTLE ARCHITECTS, P.A. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	32	34	24	35	17	142	28	19	38	385				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	32	33	23	35	17	140	28	19	37					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	18	18	5	15	5	61	12	8	16					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	5	5	3	4	20	4	3	5					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	3	22	4	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	90	95	62	92	46									
		4	2	8	4	10									
		5	3	9	6	10					7	20	7	7	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
2	RODRIGUEZ AND QUIROGA ARCHITECTS - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	33	35	25	35	25	153	31	21	41	434				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	33	34	29	35	25	156	31	21	41					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	20	20	15	20	15	90	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	4	3	2	2	13	3	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	3	22	4	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	93	98	77	96	70									
		3	1	3	3	4							3		
		4	1	3	4	4					3	11	3	3	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
3	CMF INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	27	31	22	20	20	120	24	16	32	363				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	28	34	19	30	25	136	27	18	36					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	15	18	12	10	8	63	13	8	17					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	5	5	5	4	22	4	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	3	22	4	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	78	93	63	69	60									
		10	4	7	7	6									
		11	9	8	9	6					9	26	9	9	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
4	BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	35	35	27	35	30	162	32	22	43	439				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	34	34	30	35	25	158	32	21	42					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	19	20	17	20	15	91	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	94	95	80	96	74									
		2	2	2	3	3									
		3	2	2	5	3					2	8	2	2	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
5	BEA ARCHITECTS, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	32	33	25	35	22	147	29	20	39	424				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	31	34	26	35	25	151	30	20	40					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	18	19	17	20	15	89	18	12	24					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	3	4	3	2	14	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	88	94	77	98	67									
		6	3	3	1	5									
		7	6	4	1	5					4	15	5	5	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
6	R.E. CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	29	33	19	25	15	121	24	16	32	339				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	30	33	16	33	17	129	26	17	34					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	15	19	5	10	10	59	12	8	16					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	3	1	7	1	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	80	91	46	76	46									
		8	6	10	5	10									
		9	11	11	7	11					11	31	11	11	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
7	ZYSCOVICH, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	35	35	34	35	30	169	34	23	45	464				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	35	33	35	35	30	168	34	23	45					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	20	20	20	20	18	98	20	13	26					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	2	1	6	1	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	96	94	95	97	82									
		1	3	1	2	1									
		1	5	1	3	1					1	5	1	1	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
8	M. C. HARRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	30	33	24	35	15	137	27	18	36	405				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	32	32	29	35	25	153	31	21	41					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	19	19	10	20	5	76	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	5	2	3	3	16	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	89	94	70	98	54									
		5	3	5	1	8									
		6	7	6	2	8					6	19	6	6	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
9	SILVA ARCHITECTS, LLC - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	33	32	23	25	30	143	29	19	38	413				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	33	34	23	25	25	140	28	19	37					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	20	19	18	10	18	85	17	11	23					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	3	5	23	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	3	22	4	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	96	95	74	67	81									
		1	2	4	8	2							3		
		2	4	5	10	2					5	11	4	4	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
10	PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	30	32	21	25	22	130	26	17	35	367				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	30	31	25	25	20	130	26	18	35					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	17	20	13	10	10	70	14	9	19					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	5	2	3	3	15	3	2	4					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	3	21	4	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	84	93	66	66	58									
		7	4	6	9	7									
		8	8	7	11	7					8	23	8	8	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														
11	LAURA M. PEREZ AND ASSOCIATES, INC. - LOCAL														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 35 points)	27	32	18	25	15	117	23	16	31	345				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 35 points)	29	32	18	25	18	120	24	16	32					
	3A - Approach to project (Max. 20 points)	17	19	11	15	12	74	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	2	3	3	1	11	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	5	3	23	5	3	6					
	Ordinal Scores	79	92	52	73	49									
		9	5	9	6	9									
		10	10	10	8	9					10	29	10	10	
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.														

Low and high scores dropped.  
Disparity  
ISD Chairperson, Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez