FIRST-TIER MEETING
JUNE 27, 2014

Department of Cultural Affairs
A/E Services for HistoryMiami Renovastion Project
ISD PROJECT NO. A13-CUA-02 GOB

TABULATION SHEET

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANKING	LOCAL PREFERNCE RANGE	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	LOCAL PREFERENCE RANKING	FINAL RANK PENDING CBE COMP. RE.
		Lisa Dandrea, CUA	Jorge Zamanillo, HT	Julio Castro, LIB	Shailendra Singh, RER	Cheryl Jacobs, AIA											
1	Verner Johnson, Inc. - Non-Local																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	30	33	35	32	35	165	33	22	44							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	30	32	35	30	35	162	32	22	43							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	15	10	20	18	15	78	16	10	21							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6		2	475		1		
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	452	3	429	5	2	3	3
		85	84	100	88	95											
	Ordinal Scores	3	2	1	1	2											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
2	R.J. Heisenbottle Architects, P.A. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	10	30	35	22	25	122	24	16	32							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	15	28	30	25	30	128	26	17	34							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	1	1	1	9	10	22	4	3	6							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	5	3	5	21	4	3	6							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	5	3	5	22	4	3	6	315	6	N/A	19	6	6	6
		34	68	76	62	75											
	Ordinal Scores	8	6	4	6	7											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
3	Rodriguez and Quiroga Architects Chartered - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	30	34	35	27	30	156	31	21	41							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	32	33	25	25	32	147	29	20	39							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	18	18	20	16	20	92	18	12	24							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	2	1	3	11	2	1	3		3					
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6	430	2	/	8	3	2	2
		88	92	87	73	90											
	Ordinal Scores	2	1	3	3	3											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
4	BEA Architects, Inc. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	16	28	25	21	30	120	24	16	32							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	18	27	25	26	30	126	25	17	34							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	10	1	5	9	10	35	7	5	9							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	2	6	1	1	2							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	5	23	5	3	6	310	7	N/A	20	7	7	7
		50	62	61	60	77											
	Ordinal Scores	6	7	7	7	6											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																

5	SmithGroupJJR, Inc. - Non-Local																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	33	33	35	32	35	168	34	23	45							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	33	32	35	30	35	165	33	22	44							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	18	12	20	17	17	84	17	11	22							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	2	5	16	3	2	4			478				
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	4	5	22	4	3	6	455	1	432	5	1	1	1
		92	83	98	85	97											
	Ordinal Scores	1	3	2	2	1											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.		3														
6	R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	12	25	25	16	25	103	21	14	27							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	12	20	25	17	25	99	20	13	26							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	1	1	1	6	10	19	4	3	5							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	2	1	3	11	2	1	3							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	5	23	5	3	6	255	8	N/A	24	8	8	8
		33	53	58	43	68											
	Ordinal Scores	9	8	8	8	8											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
7	M.C. Harry and Associate, Inc. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	25	31	30	28	30	144	29	19	38							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	20	31	25	25	30	131	26	18	35							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	15	12	10	12	20	69	14	9	18							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	4	4	2	4	17	3	2	5							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	5	23	5	3	6	384	4	N/A	13	4	4	4
		68	83	74	70	89											
	Ordinal Scores	5	3	5	4	4											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.		4														
8	Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	28	31	25	24	25	133	27	18	35							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	28	31	20	26	35	140	28	19	37							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	10	8	10	9	11	48	10	6	13							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	4	3	2	4	16	3	2	4							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	5	23	5	3	6	360	5	N/A	15	5	5	5
		74	79	63	64	80											
	Ordinal Scores	4	5	6	5	5											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																
9	ITEC Design Inc. - LP																
	1A - Consultant Team Qualifications and Design Awards (Max. 35 points)	15	20	25	13	25	98	20	13	26							
	2A - Consultatnt Team Knowledge and Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max. 35 points)	15	20	20	15	25	95	19	13	25							
	3A - Approach to Project (Max. 20 points)	1	1	1	4	5	12	2	2	3							
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7							
	5A - Ability of Team Members to Interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	3	3	21	4	3	6	251	9	N/A	27	9	9	9
		41	51	56	40	63											
	Ordinal Scores	7	9	9	9	9											
	Tie-Breaker(TB) No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6 / Criteria(CR) 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qualitative Points for Criteria 1A.																

ISD Chairperson: Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez

(*) Denotes low and high ordinal scores dropped.