FIRST - TIER MEETING
October 26, 2010
REVISED - OCOTBER 29, 2010
JACKSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL / PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST
Engergy Center Upgrading: Electrical Switchgear,
Emergency Paralleling Gear and Emergency Generators
OCI PROJECT NO. E10-JMH-02

FIRST-TIER TABULATION SHEET

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE												
		Roy Gallegos, JMH	Douglas Rodriguez, JMH	Guillermo Peña, PARKS	Lucious Williams, GSA	Ileana Quintana, SBD	TOTAL	Criteria 1A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 2A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 3A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 4A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 5A Tie-Breaker	OCI RANK	FINAL RANK
1	TWR Engineers, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	58	63	Lowest discarded score 58	Highest discarded score 84	83	204						10	
2	Texas Energy Engineers, Inc. d/b/a/ ccrd Partners - TEAM ELIMINATED													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						N/A	
3	Cashin Associates, P.C.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 57	59	78	80	Highest discarded score 82	217						7	
4	Fraga Engineers, LLC													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 56	64	59	84	Highest discarded score 87	207						9	
5	The R.C. Group Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	69	77	Lowest discarded score 53	85	Highest discarded score 88	231						4	
6	Wolfberg/Alvarez and Partners, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 66	Highest discarded score 86	79	77	85	241						2	
7	Gartek Engineering Corporation													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Highest discarded score 100	83	80	Lowest discarded score 79	85	248						1	
8	TLC Engineering for Architecture, Inc. - TEAM ELIMINATED													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:												N/A	
9	Protek Electrical Engineering, Inc. / Trade Name: Protek Engineering													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	Lowest discarded score 47	74	Highest discarded score 82	80	82	236						3	
10	A & P Consulting Transportation Enigneers Corp.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	61	76	Lowest discarded score 46	Highest discarded score 87	82	219						6	
11	Initial Engineers, P.A.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	59	73	Lowest discarded score 49	78	Highest discarded score 83	210						8	
12	Maqueira Engineering Consultants, Inc.													
	Scores after application of Implementig Order 3-34:	78	70	Lowest discarded score 60	76	Highest discarded score 82	224						5	

Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez - OCI - Chairperson Definitions: LP - Local Preferred Team

FIRST - TIER MEETING
October 26, 2010

REVISED - OCTOBER 29, 2010
JACKSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL / PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST
ENERGY CENTER UPGRADING: ELECTRICAL SWITCHGEARS.
EMERGENCY PARALLELING GEAR AND EMERGENCY GENERATOR
OCI PROJECT NO. E10-JMH-02

TABULATION SHEET

COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	Roy Gallegos, JMH	Douglas Rodriguez, JMH	Guillermo Pelu, PARKS	Lucious Williams, GSA	Ileana Quintana, SBD	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL		System Ranking	Local Preference Ranking	Criteria 1A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 2A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 3A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 4A Tie-Breaker	Criteria 5A Tie-Breaker	FINAL RANK	OCI RANK
1	TWR ENGINEERS, INC.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	35	30	30	45	44	184	37	25	49											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	10	10	15	15	60	12	8	16											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	8	15	10	15	16	64	13	9	17											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	4	3	4	5	19	4	3	5				363							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	4	5	5	3	19	4	3	5	346			329							
		58	63	58	84	83															
2	TEXAS ENERGY ENGINEERS, INC. D/B/A CCRD PARTNERS - TEAM ELIMINATED																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				N/A							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A			N/A							
		0	0	0	0	0															
3	CASHIN ASSOCIATES, P.C.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	15	30	40	40	44	169	34	23	45											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	10	15	15	15	75	15	10	20											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	10	15	15	15	70	14	9	19											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	5	5	5	5	24	5	3	6				374							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	3	5	3	18	4	2	5	356			338							
		57	59	78	80	82															
4	FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	20	30	30	45	46	171	34	23	45											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	15	10	15	16	71	14	10	19											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	10	10	15	16	66	13	9	18											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	5	4	4	5	21	4	3	6				368							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	4	5	5	4	21	4	3	6	350			333							
		56	64	59	84	87															
5	THE R.C. GROUP INC.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	25	40	25	45	46	181	36	24	48											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	20	10	15	17	77	15	10	20											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	10	10	15	17	72	14	10	19											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	3	3	5	5	20	4	3	5				391							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	3	22	4	3	6	372			353							
		69	77	53	85	88															
6	WOLFBERG/ALAVAREZ AND PARTNERS, INC.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	40	45	45	40	46	216	43	29	57											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	20	18	15	15	78	16	10	21											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	10	15	10	15	17	67	13	9	18											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	2	1	2	3	12	2	2	3				413							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	4	5	5	4	20	4	3	5	393			373							
		66	86	79	77	85															
7	GARTEK ENGINEERING CORPORATION																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	50	45	40	40	45	220	44	29	59											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	20	19	15	16	90	18	12	24											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	20	10	12	15	16	73	15	10	19											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	4	5	22	4	3	6				448							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	3	22	4	3	6	427			406							
		100	83	80	79	85															
8	TLC ENGINEERING FOR ARCHITECTURE, INC. - TEAM ELIMINATED																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	~~35~~	~~45~~	~~48~~	~~45~~	~~46~~	~~219~~	~~44~~	~~29~~	~~58~~											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	~~15~~	~~20~~	~~19~~	~~15~~	~~17~~	~~86~~	~~17~~	~~12~~	~~23~~											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	~~15~~	~~15~~	~~19~~	~~15~~	~~17~~	~~81~~	~~16~~	~~11~~	~~22~~											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	~~4~~	~~3~~	~~2~~	~~2~~	~~4~~	~~15~~	~~3~~	~~2~~	~~4~~				~~404~~							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	~~5~~	~~4~~	~~5~~	~~3~~	~~5~~	~~22~~	~~4~~	~~5~~	~~6~~	~~425~~			~~402~~							
		~~74~~	~~87~~	~~93~~	~~82~~	~~87~~															
9	PROTEK ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, INC. / TRADE NAME: PROTEK ENGINEERING																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	15	40	45	40	44	184	37	25	49											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	10	17	15	15	67	13	9	18											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	15	10	15	15	70	14	9	19											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7				383							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	4	5	5	3	19	4	3	5	365			347							
		47	74	82	80	82															
10	A & P CONSULTING TRANSPORTATION ENIGNEERS CORP.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	40	25	45	46	194	39	26	52											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	15	5	20	15	65	13	9	17											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	8	15	10	15	15	63	13	8	17											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	2	1	2	2	10	2	1	3				370							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	2	4	5	5	4	20	4	3	5	352			334							
		61	76	46	87	82															
11	INITIAL ENGINEERS, P.A.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	30	40	25	40	45	180	36	24	48											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	10	15	5	15	15	60	12	8	16											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	10	10	10	15	15	60	12	8	16											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	4	3	5	20	4	3	5				359							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	3	22	4	3	6	342			325							
		59	73	49	78	83															
12	MAQUEIRA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.																				
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	35	40	30	40	46	191	38	26	51											
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	20	10	10	15	15	70	14	9	19											
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	15	10	15	16	71	14	10	19											
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	1	5	1	1	11	2	1	3				384							
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	3	6	366			348							
		78	70	60	76	82															

Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez, OCI Chairperson

A/E 10-13-10