FIRST TIER MEETING
SEPTEMBER 15, 2011

MIAMI DADE SEAPORT DEPARTMENT
ENVIROMENTAL SERVICES
OCI PROJECT NO. E11-SEA-01

TABULATION SHEET

		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE												
	NAME OF FIRM(S)	Juan Kuryla, SEAPORT	Dorian Valdes, SEAPORT	Mallika Muthiah, DERM	Maria Rodriguez-Porto, HCD	Rena Chen, WASD	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANK	FINAL RANK
1	Gannett Fleming, Inc.													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	40	35	44	40	43	202	40	27	54				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	15	17	16	18	82	16	11	22				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	16	18	16	18	85	17	11	23				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	3	5	3	19	4	3	5				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	411	23	8	
		82	74	87	81	87								
	Ordinal Scores	7	~~12~~	10	6	~~2~~								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A...													
2	CH2MHILL, Inc.													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	41	49	38	48	220	44	29	59				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	19	20	16	19	92	18	12	24				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	19	16	15	84	17	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	3	3	2	12	2	2	3				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	431	8	2	
		86	83	96	77	89								
	Ordinal Scores	2	4	2	~~10~~	~~1~~								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
3	Tetra Tech, Inc													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	41	42	44	45	40	212	42	28	56				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	18	18	15	84	17	11	22				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	18	12	80	16	11	21				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	4	4	4	16	3	2	4				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	415	21	7	
		82	79	89	89	76								
	Ordinal Scores	5	7	9	4	~~11~~								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
4	Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc.													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	40	38	47	40	42	207	41	28	55				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	17	19	15	16	84	17	11	22				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	19	17	12	82	16	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	3	3	4	3	15	3	2	4				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	4	5	22	4	3	6	410	24	9	
		81	78	93	80	78								
	Ordinal Scores	~~9~~	8	~~5~~	7	9								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
5	AMEC E&I, Inc.													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	40	40	48	44	44	216	43	29	57				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	18	19	19	16	89	18	12	24				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	19	20	14	87	17	12	23				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	3	4	5	3	18	4	2	5				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	433	14	4	
		82	82	95	92	82								
	Ordinal Scores	~~6~~	5	4	~~3~~	5								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													

6	**Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	39	50	45	45	223	45	30	59				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	16	19	19	13	84	17	11	22				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	19	15	84	17	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	3	4	5	3	18	4	2	5				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	4	4	21	4	3	6	430	12	3	
		86	76	96	92	80								
	Ordinal Scores	3	~~10~~	~~1~~	2	7								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
7	**E Sciences, Incorporated**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	41	43	47	46	44	221	44	30	59				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	18	18	20	15	87	17	12	23				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	19	12	83	17	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	2	3	1	10	2	1	3				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	424	18	6	
		81	84	90	92	77								
	Ordinal Scores	8	3	7	~~1~~	~~10~~								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
8	**Consulting Engineering & Science, Inc.**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	36	42	44	37	41	200	40	27	53				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	17	18	14	16	80	16	11	21				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	18	18	15	14	82	16	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	3	4	5	5	5	22	4	3	6				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	407	25	10	
		76	85	90	75	81								
	Ordinal Scores	~~11~~	~~2~~	8	11	6								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
9	**H.J. Ross Associates, Inc.**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	42	40	46	42	44	214	43	29	57				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	17	18	16	16	84	17	11	22				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	15	18	18	16	84	17	11	22				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	4	4	5	3	20	4	3	5				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	3	6	425	15	5	
		85	80	91	85	84								
	Ordinal Scores	4	~~6~~	6	5	~~3~~								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
10	**R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	38	37	44	40	43	202	40	27	54				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	15	17	17	15	16	80	16	11	21				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	15	14	15	14	10	68	14	9	18				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	4	5	4	23	5	3	6				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	4	5	22	4	3	6	395	29	11	
		78	76	85	78	78								
	Ordinal Scores	10	~~11~~	11	~~8~~	8								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
11	**G.M. Selby, Inc.**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	34	38	41	35	38	186	37	25	49				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	13	15	15	12	15	70	14	9	19				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	16	15	16	13	77	15	10	20				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	3	7				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	3	5	4	4	21	4	3	6	379	36	12	
		74	77	81	72	75								
	Ordinal Scores	~~12~~	~~9~~	12	12	12								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													

12	**Camp Dresser & McKee Inc**													
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	47	47	48	40	43	225	45	30	60				
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	19	19	19	16	17	90	18	12	24				
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	18	19	16	15	85	17	11	23				
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	4	1	4	11	2	1	3				
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	3	6	435	8	1	
		89	90	95	77	84								
	Ordinal Scores	~~1~~	1	3	~~9~~	4								
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A…													
	Tie- Breaker for Total Ordinal Scores - Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A													
	Strikethrough denotes high and low ordinal scores dropped													
	Faith Samuels, Sr. A/E Consultant Coordinator, Chairperson													
	Pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code 2-10.4 & Implementing Order 3-34													
	FIRST TIER FINAL RANKING PENDING CBE COMPLIANCE REVIEW BY SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT													