FIRST-TIER MEETING
June 6, 2014

PORT*MIAMI*
CIVIL INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING SERVICES
ISD PROJECT NO. E13-SEA-01

TABULATION SHEET

	NAME OF FIRM(S)	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE													
		Elizabeth Ogden, PortMiami	Allan Mairena, PortMiami	Andrew Burgess, ISD	Lana Moorey, PWWM	Daniel Mondesir, MDT	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL QUALITATIVE POINTS	QUALITATIVE RANK	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANK	FINAL RANKING (PENDING CBE COMPLIANCE REVIEW)
1	PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF, INC.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	46	40	46	42	220	44	29	59					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	20	20	18	16	92	18	12	24					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	18	12	19	16	14	79	16	11	21					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	2	1	1	6	1	1	2					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	4	5	4	22	4	3	6					
		87	84	85	86	77					419	1	6	1	
	Ordinal Scores	2	4	3	1	1								1	1
		2	4	3	1	1									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
3	CH2MHILL, INC.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	43	50	42	37	218	44	29	58					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	20	20	16	13	86	17	12	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	18	18	17	10	80	16	11	21					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	4	3	2	13	3	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	4	5	3	20	4	3	5					
		85	88	96	83	65					417	2	10	3	
	Ordinal Scores	3	2	1	5	4								3	3
		3	3	1	5	4									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points forCriteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														

4	**T.Y. LIN INTERNATIONAL**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	44	35	44	38	207	41	28	55					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	18	20	12	17	14	81	16	11	22					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	17	16	15	9	74	15	10	20					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	5	4	4	4	21	4	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	4	5	3	21	4	3	6					
		89	91	71	85	68					404	3	6	1	
	Ordinal Scores	1	1	6	3	2								2	2
		1	1	6	3	2									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
5	**STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	25	40	42	37	187	37	25	50					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	20	12	14	11	73	15	10	19					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	19	18	17	10	81	16	11	22					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	4	5	5	5	5	24	5	3	6					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	5	5	3	21	4	3	6					
		83	74	80	83	66					386	6	15	6	
	Ordinal Scores	5	6	4	5	3								6	6
		5	6	4	6	3									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
6	**BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	43	31	45	45	37	201	40	27	53					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	20	20	18	13	87	17	12	23					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	19	17	15	10	78	16	10	21					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	2	2	4	3	2	13	3	2	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	5	4	5	3	21	4	3	6					
		82	77	90	86	65					400	4	12	4	
	Ordinal Scores	6	5	2	1	4								4	4
		6	5	2	2	5									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
7	**EAC CONSULTING, INC.**														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	46	40	45	37	214	43	29	57					
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	17	20	15	17	11	80	16	11	21					
	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	17	14	16	15	10	72	14	10	19					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	3	3	2	1	10	2	1	3					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	3	5	4	5	3	20	4	3	5					
		84	88	78	84	62					396	5	13	5	
	Ordinal Scores	4	2	5	4	6								5	5
		4	2	5	4	6									
	Tie-Breaker No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 / Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, Total Qual. Points for Criteria 1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A														
	Amelia M. Cordova-Jimenez, Chairperson														
	Denotes high and low ordinal scores dropped														