

FORM I – CLARIFICATION REQUEST FORM

Clarification/ Question No.	Date Question Submitted	RFQ Section	Question	Respondent (Team Name)
14	2018-03-09	Part A – Section 7.5 and 7.7	<p>We urgently request that the County provide a response to our RFC #1 regarding confidential submittals, specifically:</p> <p>We note that Part A - Section 7.5 of the RFQ indicates that Respondents may identify information within their SOQ that is trade secret / confidential, and that this information would be treated as such by the County to the extent permitted by Florida law. However, the RFQ does not provide instructions for how Respondents should do so.</p> <p>We urgently request that the County amend the RFQ to provide clear instructions for Respondents to identify and submit trade secret and / or confidential information within their Submission Packages.</p> <p>For this purpose, we would suggest that the County consider a separate package for components of the Submission Package identified as confidential / trade secret by a Respondent.</p>	Miami Metro Justice Partners
15	2018-03-09	Addendum No. 3	<p>We urgently request that the County consider extending the deadline for submitting Clarification Requests.</p> <p>We anticipate that the County may release Addendum that would result in material changes to the current version of the RFQ. In P3 processes, it is typical for authorities to provide respondents with an opportunity to request clarification with regard to material changes to RFQ documents.</p> <p>If the County considers any future Addenda to contain material changes to the RFQ, we request that it would extend the deadline for Clarification Requests for a limited period (e.g. within 5 business days) in connection with the release of said</p>	Miami Metro Justice Partners

			Addendum. This would provide Respondents with a reasonable opportunity to submit additional Clarification Requests arising from material changes to the RFQ.	
16	2018-03-09	Volume E	<p>We urgently request that the County provide a response to our RFC #3 regarding the requirement for Lead Designers to submit financial information, specifically:</p> <p>We are submitting a Request for Clarification regarding the requirements for Major Non-Equity Members to submit financial information in connection with the requirements set out in Volume E of the RFQ.</p> <p>Specifically, we note that based on the current drafting, a Respondent's Lead Architectural/Engineering Firm would be required to submit financial information in connection with Volume E.</p> <p>Based on market precedent for similar North American P3 procurements, a Lead Architectural/Engineering Firm would be engaged as a subcontractor or subconsultant to the Design-Build entity (i.e. the Lead Contractor), and therefore, it would not bear any financial risk with regard to delivery of the Project works. Accordingly, Lead Architectural/Engineering Firms are not typically required to submit financial information in connection with similar P3 procurement processes.</p> <p>We kindly request that the County amend the drafting in the current version of the RFQ to clarify that a Respondent's Lead Architectural/Engineering Firm is not required to submit Financial Information in connection with the requirements set out in Volume E of the RFQ.</p>	Miami Metro Justice Partners

As outlined in RFQ Part A, Section 4.5, all questions regarding the Project must be submitted to the County Procurement Manager by the date and time specified in RFQ Part A, Section 4.3 using this Clarification Request Form. Addenda to respond to questions will be posted at BidSync.

This Clarification Request Form should be emailed to County Procurement Manager:

rita.silva@miamidade.gov

To comply with the Cone of Silence, the email must be cc'd to:

clerkbcc@miamidade.gov