## White Jr., Tyrone (ISD)

From: Sent:

-

Wednesday, July 22, 2015 12:53 PM

To:

White Jr., Tyrone (ISD)

Cc:

Johnson, Laurie (ISD); Taylor, Coralee (ISD); Duncombe, Kelly (ISD)

Subject:

Re: Verification of Availability E14-WASD-13

Attachments:

image001.png

Hello Tyrone,

We are very much interested on these contracts.

However, as discussed, I recommend that the County removes category 9.03 as a Prime Work Group to allow smaller but good firms to compete as prime consultants for these contracts.

It will also benefit the County in that it will attract more competition from smaller good firms.

Categories 9.01 and 9.02 should be the only work groups required of the Prime as these 2 categories combined represent 65% of the required work, whereas 9.03 only represents a minor 14% of the work group and it should be part of the sub requirements.

Otherwise, these contracts are perfectly tailored only for large size national type companies to grab and thus leaving the smaller firms like our out which we consider it not to be fair competition.

Please let me know.