| PLACE OF MEETING: | COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CHAMBERS |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | OF THE STEPHEN P. CLARK CENTER - $2^{\text {ND FLOOR }}$ |
|  | 111 NW 1 STREET, MIAMI |
|  |  |
| DATE | APRIL 26, 2018 |
| TIME OF MEETING | $9: 30$ AM |

TIME OF MEETING

## DEFERRED:

## A. WRC PROPERTIES, LLC, ET AL.

Request(s): - The applicants seek to modify a previously approved Development Order (DO) for the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) to reduce the acreage and to allow for a simultaneous decrease and addition of new uses for the DRI development program, and to extend the build-out date of the project.
In addition, the applicants also seek to rezone a 62-acre parcel within the DRI property from IU-2 to BU-2.

Location: - Lying south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW 57 Avenue and north of NW 7 Street, MiamiDade County, Florida.
Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)
B. SOMERSET ACADEMY, INC. AND SCHOOL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT QUAIL ROOST, LLC.

Request(s): - The applicants seek a district boundary change from EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M, to permit a proposed kindergarten through 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade charter school for 1,600 students on the subject property, which will be spaced less than required from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). Additionally, the applicants seek to modify the previously approved plans for the existing church and school, and to permit the proposed charter school with variance for location of parking and drives.

Location: - 19701 SW 127 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)

## HEARING \#

## DISTRICT(S)

## DEFERRED:

C. JOCKEY CLUB CONDOMINIUM APTS, INC. AND JOCKEY CLUB CONDOMINIUM APTS UNIT II, INC.

17-192

Request(s): - Application \#Z15-088: The applicants below, Apeiron Miami, LLC with the consent of Jockey Club III Association, Inc., sought approval of a Use Variance, a modification of a previously approved resolution, deletion of a previously approved covenant, and an Unusual Use request in order to allow for the submittal of revised plans showing the addition of a 90 room hotel, 120 apartment units, and a parking garage structure. Additionally, the applicants below sought to permit said proposed development with increased heights, reduced setbacks, reduced drives, and reduced parking spaces backout aisles. Further, the applicants sought to permit 2 detached signs on the subject property with more area than allowed. Community Zoning Appeals Board \#7 approved the requests. Appellants appeal the approval of Application \#Z15-088, which is germane to the DIC Vested Rights application below.

Application \#Z17-111: DIC Vested Rights. Appellants assert that approval of Public Hearing Application \#Z15-088 would impair or limit the Appellants' rights to use their property, and would result in an abrogation of vested rights. Community Zoning Appeals Board \#7 denied the vested rights claim. Appellants appeal the denial of Application \#Z17-111.

Application \#Z17-192: Administrative Decision appeal. Appellants also allege that the administrative decision to allow the reduced setback and increased height for the 45-story tower proposed as part of Application \#Z15-088 was an error. Appellants also allege that the setback and height were measured without regard for certain interior lots that are not owned by the applicants below, and that this administrative decision was also an error.

Location: - 11111, 11119, 11121 Biscayne Boulevard and 1580 NE 111 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)

APPEAL:
1.

Request(s): - The applicant is seeking a district boundary change or in the alternative setback variances to permit a private school on the subject property for 850 students in grades K-8. Additionally, the applicant is requesting to permit driveways and parking within 25 ' of the right-of-way, and to permit outdoor recreation space less than permitted by code.

Location: - Northwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)
2.

Request(s): - The applicants are seeking approval to permit a district boundary change from AU, Agriculture District to EU-M, SingleFamily Modified Estate District. In addition, the applicants are also seeking approval to permit an existing single-family residence setback less than required from property line and to also waive the subdivision regulations requiring sidewalks and street lights.

Location: - Lying north of SW 296 Street, between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)
3. SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC.

Request(s): - The applicant is seeking to change the zoning from AU to BU 1 A in order to allow a commercial development with accompanying non-use variances on a 7.12 acre portion of the subject property and to permit a zone change from AU to RU3 M in order to permit a townhouse development for 283 units on the remaining 24.20 acre parcel with accompanying non-use variances.

Location: - Lying in the southwest corner of SW 248 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)

HEARING \#

16-106
08

17-040
08

17-139
08

APPEAL:
4.

Request(s): - The applicant is seeking a district boundary change from EU-S, Single-Family Suburban Estate District to BU-1A, Limited Business District along with the requests to permit a proposed office building with more floor area ratio than required by Code and setback less than required by the property line. In addition, the applicant is seeking approval to permit less landscape open space than permitted by the Code.

Location: - Lying south of SW 56 Street, approximately 470' west of SW 99 Avenue, AKA 9990 SW 56 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida.
Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)

## CURRENT:

5. JLK FOUR, LLC.

Request(s): - The applicant is requesting to establish a charter school on the subject property for 2,500 students in grades K-12. Additionally, the applicant is requesting to permit said charter school to be spaced less than required from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB).

Location: - Lying at the northeast corner of the intersection of SW 157 Avenue and SW 160 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida. Within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB)

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

COUNTY COMMISSION MEETING OF THURSDAY, ARPIL 26, 2018
NOTICE: THE FOLLOWING HEARING IS SCHEDULED FOR 9:30 A.M., AND
ALL PARTIES SHOULD BE PRESENT AT THAT TIME

ANY PERSON MAKING IMPERTINENT OR SLANDEROUS REMARKS OR WHO BECOMES BOISTEROUS WHILE ADDRESSING THE COMMISSION SHALL BE BARRED FROM FURTHER AUDIENCE BEFORE THE COMMISSION BY THE PRESIDING OFFICER, UNLESS PERMISSION TO CONTINUE OR AGAIN ADDRESS THE COMMISSION BE GRANTED BY THE MAJORITY VOTE OF THE COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT.

NO CLAPPING, APPLAUDING, HECKLING OR VERBAL OUTBURSTS IN SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION TO A SPEAKER OR HIS OR HER REMARKS SHALL BE PERMITTED. NO SIGNS OR PLACARDS SHALL BE ALLOWED IN THE COMMISSION CHAMBER. PERSONS EXITING THE COMMISSION CHAMBER SHALL DO SO QUIETLY.

THE USE OF CELL PHONES IN THE COMMISSION CHAMBERS IS NOT PERMITTED. RINGERS MUST BE SET TO SILENT MODE TO AVOID DISRUPTION OF PROCEEDINGS. INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDING THOSE ON THE DAIS, MUST EXIT THE CHAMBERS TO ANSWER INCOMING CELL PHONE CALLS. COUNTY EMPLOYEES MAY NOT USE CELL PHONE CAMERAS OR TAKE DIGITAL PICTURES FROM THEIR POSITIONS ON THE DAIS.

THE NUMBER OF FILED PROTESTS AND WAIVERS ON EACH APPLICATION WILL BE READ INTO THE RECORD AT THE TIME OF HEARING AS EACH APPLICATION IS READ.

THOSE ITEMS NOT HEARD PRIOR TO THE ENDING TIME FOR THIS MEETING, WILL BE DEFERRED TO THE NEXT AVAILABLE ZONING HEARING MEETING DATE FOR THIS BOARD.
A. WRC PROPERTIES LLC, ET AL. (16-197)

## 31-53-41/36-56-40/51-53-40 <br> BCC/District 06

(1) TO MAKE A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION DETERMINATION to Development of Regional Impact pursuant to Section $380.06(19)$ of the Florida Statutes with respect to the following amendments and requests:
(2) DELETION of $10 \pm$ acres of the DRI ("Sofitel Parcel") legally described as follows:

## "LOT 5, BLOCK 2, BLUE LAGOON WEST SECTION TWO, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 122 AT PAGE 74 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA,"

and acceptance of the amended legal description for the DRI:
(3) MODIFICATION of Condition \#29 of Resolution Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution Z-2498 , passed and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners and reading as follows:

FROM: "29. Limit the development of those land uses authorized by Miami-Dade County for the 388.54 acres of IU-2 land, which includes approximately $221 \pm$ acres of lakes and 2.43 acres of preservation area. This mixed-use development consists of 4.43 million square feet of offices, $30,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of support retail uses, restaurants with a combined total of 500 seats, a health club consisting of $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. and five hotels with a combined total of 1,400 rooms. Any subsequent site plan approval will require parking with applicable open space, and floor area ratio, all in accordance with applicable Miami-Dade County Ordinances as may be amended at the public hearing.

TO: "29. Limit the development of those land uses authorized by Miami-Dade County for the $378 \pm$ acres of IU-2 land, which includes approximately $221 \pm$ acres of lakes and 2.43 acres of preservation area. This mixed-use development consists of $4,317,500$ square feet of offices, $30,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of support retail uses, restaurants with a combined total of 500 seats, a health club consisting of $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., a combined total of 1,119 hotel rooms, and 800 residential dwelling units, or equivalent combination of said uses as set forth in the equivalency matrix attached as Exhibit z, on $378 \pm$ acres of land. Any subsequent site plan approval will require parking with applicable open space, and floor area ratio, all in accordance with applicable Miami-Dade County Ordinances as may be amended at the public hearing.

The uses shall be located on the parcels as shown on the DRI Master Development Plan, "Map H," dated July 26, 2016.
(4) MODIFICATION of Condition \#47 of Resolution Z-32-90, as last modified by Resolution Z-712, both passed and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, reading as follows:

FROM: "47. For the purposes of Concurrency Review, and based upon the analysis contained in the ADA together with review and further study by Miami-Dade County, it is hereby found that throughout the build out period (November 2, 2021) sufficient infrastructure capacities will be available to service this Project. All subsequent development orders or permits pursuant to this Amended Development Order, are hereby found to meet concurrency standards set forth in Comprehensive Development Master Plan Ordinance No. 89-66 and Resolution No. 86189 and A. O. 4-85 (concurrency regulation) and to be consistent with local development regulation so long as the Applicant is developing in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Amended Development Order. Furthermore, Miami-Dade County shall not issue any subsequent development orders as defined in 33G-32 (2) Miami-Dade County Code, which would degrade such level of service below minimum acceptable levels as may be applicable on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the above ordinance, as may be amended from time to time. In the event that: (a) the actual impacts of any portion of the Project previously constructed are greater than those projected in the ADA; and (b) the issuance of further local development orders (as defined in Chapter 33G, Miami-Dade County Code) authorizing further construction or development pursuant to this amended DRI Development Order would violate the aforesaid concurrency regulations, the following shall occur: Such further local development order shall not issue unless and until the Applicant shall make provisions for necessary services and facilities to meet the County's concurrency standards as determined by the County pursuant to said concurrency regulations. Any modification or changes to this Amended Development Order, regardless of whether such change or modification is found to constitute a substantial deviation, may require this development to comply with those concurrency requirements or local development regulations in effect at the time each modification or change occurs."

TO: "47. For the purposes of Concurrency Review, and based upon the analysis contained in the ADA together with review and further study by Miami-Dade County, it is hereby found that throughout the build out period (February 29, 2028) sufficient infrastructure capacities will be available to service this Project. All subsequent development orders or permits pursuant to this Amended Development Order, are hereby found to meet concurrency standards set forth in Comprehensive Development Master Plan Ordinance No. 89-66 and Resolution No. 861 89 and A. O. 4-85 (concurrency regulation) and to be consistent with local development regulation so long as the Applicant is developing in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Amended Development

Order. Furthermore, Miami-Dade County shall not issue any subsequent development orders as defined in 33G-32 (2) Miami-Dade County Code, which would degrade such level of service below minimum acceptable levels as may be applicable on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the above ordinance, as may be amended from time to time. In the event that: (a) the actual impacts of any portion of the Project previously constructed are greater than those projected in the ADA; and (b) the issuance of further local development orders (as defined in Chapter 33G, Miami-Dade County Code) authorizing further construction or development pursuant to this amended DRI Development Order would violate the aforesaid concurrency regulations, the following shall occur: Such further local development order shall not issue unless and until the Applicant shall make provisions for necessary services and facilities to meet the County's concurrency standards as determined by the County pursuant to said concurrency regulations. Any modification or changes to this Amended Development Order, regardless of whether such change or modification is found to constitute a substantial deviation, may require this development to comply with those concurrency requirements or local development regulations in effect at the time each modification or change occurs."

The purpose of the requests \#2 through \#4 is to allow the applicant to modify the previously approved conditions of the development order for the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) on the property to reduce the acreage by 10 acres, and simultaneously to allow for a simultaneous decrease and addition of new uses for the DRI development program, and to extend the build-out date of the project.

## REQUEST FOR PARCEL 1 ONLY

## (5) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from IU-2 to BU-2.

LOCATION: Lying south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW 57 Avenue and north of NW 7 Street, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: +/- 388 Acres

Department of Regulatory and
Economic Resources
Development of Regional Impact
Recommendation:
Withdrawal without prejudice of request \#1, and approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#4.

Department of Regulatory and
Economic Resources
Zoning
Recommendation:
Approval of request \#5, subject to the acceptance of the proffered Declaration of Restrictions.

Protests: $\qquad$
APPROVED: $\qquad$
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE: $\qquad$
Deferred by BCC at regular March meeting
B. SOMERSET ACADEMY, INC. \& SCHOOL PROPERTY (16-241) DEVELOPMENT QUAIL ROOST, LLC.

Waivers: $\qquad$
DENIED WITH PREJUDICE $\qquad$
DEFERRED: $\qquad$
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M.
(2) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit a charter school.
(3) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to waive the spacing requirement for new charter school facilities from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) to permit:

- A senior high school within 1 mile of the UDB.
(4) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit the expansion of an existing education and religious facility on to additional property to the north and south.
(5) MODIFICATION of Condition \#2 of Resolution \#4ZAB-96-85, passed and adopted by the Zoning Appeals Board, last modified by Resolution \#CZAB14-33-03, passed and adopted by Community Zoning Appeals Board 14, reading as follows:

From: "2 That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled " Upper Room Assembly Addition to the Existing Church," as prepared by LPD Architects and dated 7/03/013 and consisting of 5 pages. ${ }^{\text {" }}$

To: "2 That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled "Somerset Academy Charter School" as prepared by CIVICA Architecture and Urban Design, with sheets SP-1 - SP-3 dated stamped received 10/16/17, and the remaining 9 sheets dated stamped received $8 / 28 / 2017$, for a total of 12 sheets.

The purpose of request $\# 5$, is to allow the applicants to submit revised plans for the previously approved religious facility and private school, to show a proposed charter school in conjunction with the existing religious facility.
(6) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit off-street parking and driveways to be located within $25^{\prime}$ of an official right-of-way line (not permitted).

Plans may be modified at public hearing. The aforementioned plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

LOCATION: 19701 SW 127 Ave, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Department of Regulatory and
Economic Resources
Recommendation of the appeal:


#### Abstract

Approval of request \#1, approval with conditions of request \#2, and requests \#4 through \#6, and deferral of request \#3, with leave to amend.


Protests: $\qquad$
APPROVED: $\qquad$
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE: Deferred by BCC at regular March meeting

Waivers: $\qquad$
DENIED WITH PREJUDICE $\qquad$ DEFERRED: $\qquad$
C. JOCKEY CLUB CONDOMINIUM APTS, INC. (17-192)

32-52-42 \& JOCKEY CLUB CONDOMINIUM APTS UNIT II, INC.

## BCC/District 04

(1) Appeal of Administrative Decision that the Director erred in his determination of setback and height calculations for a proposed building within application \#Z15-088 (Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et Al), which the appellant alleges were measured without regard to interior lots within the subject property that are not owned by the applicant of application \#Z15-088 (Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et Al).
(2) Appeal of the Vested Rights/Takings application \#Z17-111, which was denied by Community Zoning Appeals Board \#7 on November 8, 2017, and which is germane to Public Hearing Application \#Z15-088, an application filed by Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et AI.

LOCATION: 11111, 11119, 11121 Biscayne Boulevard, 11055 N. Bayshore Drive, 11050 N. Bayshore Drive, 1685 NE 110 Terrace, 1687 NE 110 Terrace, and 1580 NE 111 Street, MIAMIDADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: 22.67 Acres

Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources
Recommendation of the appeal: $\quad$ Deferral of the appeal.
Previous Recommendation to the CZAB:

Approval with conditions of zoning application \#Z15-088 and denial of Vested Rights application \#Z17-111.

Protests: $\qquad$ 112

DENIAL OF APPEAL (SUSTAIN C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
APPROVAL OF APPEAL (OVERRULE C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
DEFERRED:
Deferred from February 22, 2018

## 1. SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTMENTS NO. 2, INC. (16-106)

24-56-39
BCC/District 08

THE APPLICANT IS APPEALING THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD \#15:
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU (Agricultural District) to EU-M (Estates Modified District)
(2) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit to a proposed private school building setback $59^{\prime}$ ( $75^{\prime}$ required) from the interior side (west) property line.

OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO REQUESTS \#1 and \#2, THE FOLLOWING:
(3) SPECIAL EXCEPTION and UNUSUAL USE to permit a private school with grades Kindergarten through $8^{\text {th }}$.
(4) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit to a proposed private school building and playground area setback a minimum of $33^{\prime}$ from the rear (north) property line, setback a minimum of $28^{\prime}$ from the interior side (west) property line, setback a minimum of 39 ' from the side street (east) property line and setback a minimum of 105.8 ' from the front (south) property line (250' required for all).
(5) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a private driveway and parking areas within $25^{\prime}$ of an official right-of-way (not permitted).
(6) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit 86,204 sq. ft. of outdoor recreation space ( 251,580 sq. ft. required).
(7) Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, entitled, "South Riviera 2 School" as prepared by Villa \& Associates Inc., dated stamped received 09/12/16 and consisting of 6 sheets. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

LOCATION: Northwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Recommendation of the appeal:

Previous Recommendation to the CZAB:

## Approval

(Overrule CZAB decision - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the BCC members present)

Denial without prejudice of request \#1, withdrawal of requests \#2 and \#3, denial without prejudice of requests \#4, \#5 and \#6.

Protests $\qquad$ Waivers: $\qquad$
DENIAL OF APPEAL (SUSTAIN C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
APPROVAL OF APPEAL (OVERRULE C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
DEFERRED: $\qquad$
2. PERSEA, LLC, ET AL.
(17-040)
01-57-38
BCC/District 08
THE APPLICANTS ARE APPEALING THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD \#14, WHICH DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE THE FOLLOWING:
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU to EU-M.
(2) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an existing single-family residence setback $15.2^{\prime}$ ( $25^{\prime}$ required) from the side street (west) property line.
(3) NON-USE VARIANCE OF ZONING AND SUBDIVISION regulations to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required).

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic
Resources, entitled, "Site Plan, Krome Grove Estates" as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received 10/4/17, consisting of 1 sheet. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

LOCATION: Lying north of SW 296 Street, between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida

Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Recommendation of the appeal:

Previous Recommendation to the CZAB:

## Approval <br> (Overrule CZAB decision - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the BCC members present)

Approval of request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant, approval with conditions of requests \#2, and modified approval with conditions of \#3.

Protests: 341

Waivers: $\qquad$ 0

DENIAL OF APPEAL (SUSTAIN C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
APPROVAL OF APPEAL (OVERRULE C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
DEFERRED: $\qquad$
3. SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC.
(17-139)
30-56-40
BCC/District 08
THE APPLICANT IS APPEALING THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD \#15, WHICH DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE THE FOLLOWING:
"REQUESTS 1-7 ON THE COMMERCIAL PARCEL"
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from $A U$ to $B U-1 A$.
(2) NON-USE VARIANCE of zoning regulations requiring a continuous 5 -foot high masonry wall along the common property line where a business lot abuts an RU zoned lot; to waive the same along portions of the property lines that adjoin the proposed RU zoning district.
(3) NON-USE VARIANCE of the zoning regulations requiring a continuous buffer consisting of a 6 ' high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties; to permit openings along portions of the property lines.
(4) NON-USE VARIANCE to waive the zoning regulations requiring parking spaces with wheel stops; to permit certain parking spaces with bollards.
(5) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit certain off-street parking spaces with a depth of $16^{\prime}$ ( $1^{\prime}$ required).
(6) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit four (4), 200 sq. ft. detached point-of-sale signs and a 40 sq. ft . detached point-of-sale sign for a total of five (5) detached signs ( $2-200 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. detached signs or a 300 sq. ft. detached sign, plus an additional 40 sq. ft. detached sign permitted).
(7) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit four (4) 200 sq. ft. detached signs to setback $10^{\prime}$ (20' required for all) from the rights-of-way.
(8) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU to RU-3M.
(9) NON-USE VARIANCE of zoning regulations to permit townhouses with a minimum of 336 sq . ft . of patio and service private open space ( 400 sq . ft. required).
(10) NON-USE VARIANCE of the landscape regulations requiring a continuous buffer consisting of a $6^{\prime}$ high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties; to waive same along portions of the property lines that adjoin the proposed BU zoning district.

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, Zoning Hearing Section, entitled "Artesa Phase II Site Plan," as prepared by Pascual Perez Kiliddjian \& Associates, Architects and Planners, consisting of 32 sheets, and "Artesa Pahse II" as prepared by Corwil Architects, consisting of 35 sheets, site plans entitled, "Orion - DNK" prepared by Consulting Engineering and Science, Inc., consisting of 4 sheets, landscape plans entitled "Commercial Site" consisting of 2 sheets, and landscape plans entitled "Artesa Phase II" consisting of 18 sheets, as prepared by Witkin Hults Design Group, all dated stamped received, 12/19/17, and sign plans entitled, "Commercial Shopping Center" as prepared by Thomas Sign \& Awning Co., consisting of a total of 6 sheets, dated stamped receive $5 / 18 / 17$, for a combined total of 97 sheets. Plans may be modified at Public Hearing.

LOCATION: The southwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: $\pm 31.32$ gross acres
Department of Regulatory and

## Economic Resources

Recommendation of the appeal:

Previous Recommendation
to the CZAB:

Protests: $\qquad$

## Approval

(Overrule CZAB decision - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the BCC members present)
Approve the application as recommended by staff.

## Approval.

DENIAL OF APPEAL (SUSTAIN C.Z.A.B.):
Waivers: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ APPROVAL OF APPEAL (OVERRULE C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
DEFERRED: $\qquad$

THE APPLICANT IS APPEALING THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD \#12, WHICH DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE THE FOLLOWING:
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE FROM EU-S TO BU-1A.
(2) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an office building setback $13^{\prime}$ ( $15^{\prime}$ required) from the interior side (east) property line.
(3) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 (maximum 0.62 permitted).
(4) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit $19.1 \%$ of landscape open space (minimum $20.7 \%$ required).

Plans on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources entitled "New Office Building" as prepared by The Architects Group, site plan and floor plan dated stamped received 9/28/17 and elevation plans dated stamped received 6/19/17, and landscape plans dated $12 / 5 / 17$, consisting of 7 sheets. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

LOCATION: Lying south of SW 56 Street, approximately 470' west of SW 99 Avenue, aka 9990 SW 56 Street, MIAMI DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: 1.07 Acres
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Recommendation of the appeal:

Previous Recommendation to the CZAB:

## Approval <br> (Overrule CZAB decision - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the BCC members present)

Approval of request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant and approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#4.

Protests: $\qquad$ Waivers: $\qquad$
DENIAL OF APPEAL (SUSTAIN C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
APPROVAL OF APPEAL (OVERRULE C.Z.A.B.): $\qquad$
DEFERRED: $\qquad$
(1) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit a charter school.
(2) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to waive the spacing requirements for new charter school facilities from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) to permit:

- A senior high school within 1 mile of the UDB.
- A middle school within $1 / 2$ mile of the UDB.
- A kindergarten, elementary school within a $1 / 4$ mile of the UDB.

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources entitled, "Proposed Development: Bridge Prep Academy Charter School at Kendall", prepared by Gustavo J. Carbonell, P.A., dated stamped received $1 / 24 / 18$, for a total of 12 sheets. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

LOCATION: Lying at the northeast corner of the intersection of SW 157 Avenue and SW 160 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: 9.80 Acres
Department of Regulatory and
Economic Resources
Recommendation:
Deferral with leave to amend.

Protests: $\qquad$
APPROVED: $\qquad$ Waivers: 0

DENIED WITH PREJUDICE $\qquad$
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE: $\qquad$ DEFERRED: $\qquad$

THEEND

## NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Decisions of the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) are appealed either to Circuit Court or to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) depending upon the items requested in the Zoning Application. Appeals to Circuit Court must be filed within 30 days of the transmittal of the CZAB resolution. Appeals to BCC must be filed with the Zoning Hearings Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), within 14 days of the posting of the results in the department.

Further information and assistance may be obtained by contacting the Zoning Hearings Section for the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), at (305) 375-2640. For filing or status of Appeals to Circuit Court, you may call the Clerk of the Circuit Court at (305) 349-7409.

## Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners

PH: Z16-197
April 26, 2018
Item No. A

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 6 |
| Applicants | WRC Properties, Et AI. <br> The applicants seek to modify a previously approved Development <br> Order (DO) for the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) to reduce the <br> acreage and to allow for a simultaneous decrease and addition of new <br> uses for the DRI development program, and to extend the build-out date <br> of the project. <br> In addition, the applicants also seek to rezone a 62-acre parcel within <br> the DRI property from IU-2 to BU-2. |
| Location | Lying south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of <br> NW 57 Avenue and north of NW 7 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida |
| Property Size | 388 +/- acres |
| Existing Zoning | IU-2, Heavy Industrial Manufacturing District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant, warehouse, offices, hotels and retail uses |
| 2020-2030CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Office Residential <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Consistent with the requested land use categories on the LUP map and <br> the interpretative text and policies of the CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-303.1(E)(2) Developmental Impact Committee <br> Section 33-311(A)(7) Generalized Modification Standards <br> Section 33-311(A)(9) Recommendation of Development of Regional <br> Impact <br> Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Development of Regional Impact Requests: Withdrawal without <br> prejudice of request \#1, and approval with conditions of requests <br> \#2 through \#4. |

Zoning Requests: Approval of request \#5, subject to the acceptance of the proffered declaration of restrictions.

On November 15, 2017, this application was heard by Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#8, who recommended approval with conditions. On January 25, 2018, the application was deferred from the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) due to a lack of quorum. Subsequently, the item was deferred from the February 22, 2018 meeting date of the BCC at the request of the attorney representing an opponent to the application. The March 22, 2018, meeting date of the BCC was subsequently cancelled and the item was automatically deferred to the next meeting date, April 26, 2018.

## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUESTS:

(1) TO MAKE A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION DETERMINATION to Development of Regional Impact pursuant to Section 380.06 (19) of the Florida Statutes with respect to the following amendments and requests:
(2) DELETION of $10 \pm$ acres of the DRI ("Sofitel Parcel") legally described as follows:

> "LOT 5, BLOCK 2, BLUE LAGOON WEST SECTION TVO, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 122 AT PAGE 74 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA,"
and acceptance of the amended legal description for the DRI.
(3) MODIFICATION of Condition \#29 of Resolution Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution Z-2498, passed and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners and reading as follows:

FROM:"29. Limit the development of those land uses authorized by Miami-Dade County for the 388.54 acres of IU-2 land, which includes approximately $221 \pm$ acres of lakes and 2.43 acres of preservation area. This mixed-use development consists of 4.43 million square feet of offices, $30,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of support retail uses, restaurants with a combined total of 500 seats, a health club consisting of $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. and five hotels with a combined total of 1,400 rooms. Any subsequent site plan approval will require parking with applicable open space, and floor area ratio, all in accordance with applicable Miami-Dade County Ordinances as may be amended at the public hearing.

TO: "29. Limit the development of those land uses authorized by Miami-Dade County for the $378 \pm$ acres of IU-2 land, which includes approximately $221 \pm$ acres of lakes and 2.43 acres of preservation area. This mixed-use development consists of $4,317,500$ square feet of offices, $30,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of support retail uses, restaurants with a combined total of 500 seats, a health club consisting of $10,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., a combined total of 1,119 hotel rooms, and 800 residential dwelling units, or equivalent combination of said uses as set forth in the equivalency matrix, on $378 \pm$ acres of land. Any subsequent site plan approval will require parking with applicable open space, and floor area ratio, all in accordance with applicable MiamiDade County Ordinances as may be amended at the public hearing.

The uses shall be located on the parcels as shown on the DRI Master Development Plan, "Map H," dated July 26, 2016.
(4) MODIFICATION of Condition \#47 of Resolution Z-32-90, as last modified by Resolution Z-7-12, both passed and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, reading as follows:

FROM: "47. For the purposes of Concurrency Review, and based upon the analysis contained in the ADA together with review and further study by Miami-

Dade County, it is hereby found that throughout the build out period (November 2, 2021) sufficient infrastructure capacities will be available to service this Project. All subsequent development orders or permits pursuant to this Amended Development Order, are hereby found to meet concurrency standards set forth in Comprehensive Development Master Plan Ordinance No. 89-66 and Resolution No. 861-89 and A.O. 4-85 (concurrency regulation) and to be consistent with local development regulation so long as the Applicant is developing in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Amended Development Order. Furthermore, Miami-Dade County shall not issue any subsequent development orders as defined in 33G-32 (2) Miami-Dade County Code, which would degrade such level of service below minimum acceptable levels as may be applicable on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the above ordinance, as may be amended from time to time. In the event that: (a) the actual impacts of any portion of the Project previously constructed are greater than those projected in the ADA; and (b) the issuance of further local development orders (as defined in Chapter 33G, Miami-Dade County Code) authorizing further construction or development pursuant to this amended DRI Development Order would violate the aforesaid concurrency regulations, the following shall occur: Such further local development order shall not issue unless and until the Applicant shall make provisions for necessary services and facilities to meet the County's concurrency standards as determined by the County pursuant to said concurrency regulations. Any modification or changes to this Amended Development Order, regardless of whether such change or modification is found to constitute a substantial deviation, may require this development to comply with those concurrency requirements or local development regulations in effect at the time each modification or change occurs."

TO: "47. For the purposes of Concurrency Review, and based upon the analysis contained in the ADA together with review and further study by MiamiDade County, it is hereby found that throughout the build out period (February 29, 2028) sufficient infrastructure capacities will be available to service this Project. All subsequent development orders or permits pursuant to this Amended Development Order, are hereby found to meet concurrency standards set forth in Comprehensive Development Master Plan Ordinance No. 89-66 and Resolution No. 861-89 and A.O. 4-85 (concurrency regulation) and to be consistent with local development regulation so long as the Applicant is developing in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Amended Development Order. Furthermore, Miami-Dade County shall not issue any subsequent development orders as defined in 33G-32 (2) Miami-Dade County Code, which would degrade such level of service below minimum acceptable levels as may be applicable on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the above ordinance, as may be amended from time to time. In the event that: (a) the actual impacts of any portion of the Project previously constructed are greater than those projected in the ADA; and (b) the issuance of further local development orders (as defined in Chapter 33G, Miami-Dade County Code) authorizing further construction or
development pursuant to this amended DRI Development Order would violate the aforesaid concurrency regulations, the following shall occur: Such further local development order shall not issue unless and until the Applicant shall make provisions for necessary services and facilities to meet the County's concurrency standards as determined by the County pursuant to said concurrency regulations. Any modification or changes to this Amended Development Order, regardless of whether such change or modification is found to constitute a substantial deviation, may require this development to comply with those concurrency requirements or local development regulations in effect at the time each modification or change occurs."

The purpose of the requests \#2 through \#4 is to allow the applicants to modify the previously approved conditions of the development order for the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) on the property to reduce the acreage and simultaneously to allow for a simultaneous decrease and addition of new uses for the DRI development program and to extend the build-out date of the project.

ZONING REQUEST

## REQUESTS FOR PARCEL 1 ONLY

(5) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from IU-2 to BU-2.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROJECT HISTORY:

Pursuant to Resolution \#Z-32-90, the $\pm 388$-acre subject property was approved for a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). Said Development Order allowed the development of 3.7 million square feet of offices, $100,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of retail uses, restaurants, a $10,000-\mathrm{sq}$. ft. health club and three (3) hotels with a combined total of 1,200 rooms. Pursuant to Resolution \#Z-24-98, the Development Order (D.O.) was amended to, among other things, allow five (5) hotels with a combined total of 1,400 rooms, to reduce the office space, and to extend the build out date of the project. Subsequently, pursuant to Resolution \#Z-7-12, the build out date was further extended to November 2021.

The applicants now seek to modify the D.O. to allow for a reduction in the total acreage, to allow for a $112,500-\mathrm{sq}$. ft. reduction in office space, a reduction in the number of hotel rooms, an increase of 800 residential dwelling units, while maintaining the existing mix of retail, restaurants, and health club uses. The reduction in hotel rooms accounts for the removal of the Sofitel Hotel, which is located on the 10-acre parcel being removed from the DRI, while allowing for a mixeduse development within the DRI that could also include hotel rooms. With this the applicants are seeking a Substantial Deviation Determination of the proposed changes to the DRI under Section $380.06(19)$ of the Florida Statutes. The applicants also seek approval of a district boundary change of a portion of the parcel from IU to BU to accommodate the mixed-use development, which could now include residential and/or hotel uses.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zoning and Existing Use | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | IU-2; warehouse, hotels, retail, and office uses | Office Residential, Water |
| North | GU; 836 Expressway | Transportation |
| South | City of Miami; offices, singlefamily residences, condominium apartments <br> BU-2 \& RU-4A: hotels | Office Residential <br> Low-Density Residential, 2.5-6 dua <br> Water |
| East | City of Miami; lake | Water |
| West | GU; 836 Expressway ramp | Transportation |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The subject property is comprised of several tracts of land comprised of a variety of uses, including offices, hotel, retail, and industrial uses, in addition to several vacant parcels along with several lakes. The subject property is located in an area that is developed under the regulations outlined in the D.O. for the Waterford and Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact (DRI), which was approved in 1990. The subject property abuts a major east/west expressway, the 836 Expressway, is near a major airport, the Miami International Airport, which is north of the expressway, and is surrounded by similar industrial and commercial uses, as well as residential uses that are located within the City of Miami to the south and to the east.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicants additional flexibility in the development of the DRI, which could allow a future development including residential uses, subject to further approvals for development, hotel and office uses in an area made of a mix of industrial and office uses. This will also allow the applicants to provide additional housing in this area as well as accessibility to more commercial uses within the Blue Lagoon area, which is near to both the Miami International Airport, which provides international and interstate, commercial and personal travel facilities, and the 836 Expressway, which provides access to local and interstate major roadways. Based on staff's analysis below, as well as memoranda from the departments that have reviewed the application, staff opines that the simultaneous increase and decrease of uses within the DRI will not result in any traffic impacts, impacts on the environmental resources, or impacts on the emergency services. Further, staff notes that the proposed modifications to allow flexibility in the development program for the DRI, will provide a welcome enhancement to the structuring elements within this metropolitan area, as outlined in Policy LU-1B of the Land Use Element interpretative text of the Miami-Dade Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP).

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

The 2018 Florida Legislature has enacted sweeping changes to the State's Development of Regional Impact (DRI) law, Section 380.06 of the Florida Statutes. Among the changes made,
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the bill eliminates state and regional review of existing DRI's and deletes the substantial deviation criteria for DO changes, and transfers the responsibility for amendments to DRI DO's to the local government. The CDMP analysis below complies with the amendments to that statute.

The subject property is designated Office/Residential on the CDMP Land Use Plan (LUP) map. Uses allowed in this category include both professional and clerical offices, hotels, motels, and residential uses. The CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for the Office/Residential use also states that within the Office/Residential Category, business uses ancillary and to serve the on-site use(s) may be integrated in an amount not to exceed 15 percent of the total floor area. However, the Office/Residential category does not authorize other business or commercial uses. Said text also states that, where there is no residential use, zoning or designation on either side of the roadway, the intensity of residential development, including height, bulk and floor area ratio shall be no greater than that which would be permitted for an exclusively office use of the site.

The applicants are seeking to reduce the acreage, and to allow for a simultaneous decrease and addition of new uses for the Waterford and Blue Lagoon DRI development program, and to extend the build-out date of the project. Further, approval of the application will allow for flexibility in future development within this DRI and allow for the addition of residential uses within mixed use developments. Staff opines that, as proposed, the application satisfies the criteria outlined in Policy LU-1B of the Land Use Element interpretative text of the Miami-Dade Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), which states that major centers of activity, industrial complexes, regional shopping centers, large scale office centers and other concentrations of significant employment shall be the structuring elements of the metropolitan area and shall be sited on the basis of metropolitan-scale considerations at locations with good countywide, multimodal accessibility. Additionally, staff opines that based on the comments of the reviewing departments outlined in staff's analysis below, the proposed development also meets the requirements of Policy LU-1C of the aforementioned text, which states that Miami-Dade County shall give priority to infill development on vacant sites in currently urbanized areas, and redevelopment of substandard or underdeveloped environmentally suitable areas contiguous to existing urban development where all necessary urban services and facilities are projected to have capacity to accommodate additional demand. For the reasons to be expanded upon in staff's analysis below, the proposed changes do not constitute a substantial deviation, and therefore, the uses within the DRI program will be consistent with the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for properties designated Office/Residential on the Land Use Plan map.

The applicants also seek to permit the rezoning of Parcel 1 from IU-2 to BU-2, in order to accommodate a proposed mixed use development. The CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for parcels designated Office Residential permits residential uses except that, where there is no residential use, zoning or designation on either side of the roadway, the intensity of residential development, including height, bulk and floor area ratio shall be no greater than that which would be permitted for an exclusively office use of the site. When residential uses are mixed with office uses, the overall scale and intensity, including height and floor area ratio of the mixed-use development shall be no greater than that which would be approved if the parcel was developed in either office use only or residential use only, whichever is higher. Said text also indicates that business uses ancillary and to serve on-site uses may be integrated in an amount not to exceed 15 percent of the total floor area. As such, the applicants have proffered a declaration of restrictions, only as it pertains to Parcel 1 of this application, to restrict the uses on the subject property to be in accordance with the Waterford at Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact development program and the Land Use Element interpretative text for properties designated Office Residential on the CDMP Land use Plan map.
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Therefore, subject to the acceptance of the proffered declarations of restrictions, staff opines that approval of the application, would be consistent with the CDMP LUP map, the text of the Office/Residential land use category and with the Office/Residential designation for the property.

## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUESTS:

The applicants are seeking a substantial deviation determination to a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) pursuant to Section 380.06(19) of the Florida Statutes as it pertains to modifying conditions of Resolution \#Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution \#Z-7-12 (request \#1). As previously noted the 2018 Florida Legislature has enacted sweeping changes to the State's Development of Regional Impact (DRI) law, Section 380.06 of the Florida Statutes. As such, staff opines that request \#1 is not needed and therefore, recommends that it be withdrawn.

In addition, the applicants are seeking approval of ancillary requests to delete $\pm 10$ acres from the legal description of the DRI (request \#2), to modify condition \#29 of the development order, Resolution \#Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution \#Z-24-98, to allow the simultaneous increase and decrease of new uses in the DRI development program (request \#3); and finally, to modify Condition \#47 of said development order, Resolution \#Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution \#Z-712, to extend the build-out-date of the project (request \#4).

Staff's analysis of the ancillary requests to delete an approximately 10 -acre parcel (The Sofitel Parcel) from the DRI, and to modify conditions of Resolution \#Z-32-90, last modified by Resolution \#Z-7-12 (the Development Order) (requests \#2 through \#4), is based on the standards outlined in Section 33-311(A)(7), Generalized Modification Standards.

The Modification standards require the County to determine if the approval of the requested modifications would not generate excessive noise or traffic, tend to create a fire or other equally or greater dangerous hazard, or provoke excessive overcrowding of people, or would not tend to provoke a nuisance, or would not be incompatible with the area concerned, when considering the necessity and reasonableness of the modification or elimination in relation to the present and future development of the area concerned. Memoranda submitted by the various departments and entities reviewing the application, to include the Water and Sewer Department, Miami-Dade Fire Rescue (MDFRD), Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), the Department of Transportation and Public Works, the Waste Management Department and the Division of Environmental Resource Management (DERM) of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), the Parks, Recreation and Public Spaces Department and the Miami-Dade Public Schools indicate that approval of the aforementioned requests will not unduly burden the services or facilities provided. Based on said memoranda, when considering the necessity and reasonableness of the modifications in relation to the present and future development of the area within the DRI and the surrounding areas, staff opines that approval of the application would be compatible with the area concerned.

The applicants have submitted the required documentation describing the proposed changes to the DRI. Documents submitted by the applicant indicate that the proposed change involves a simultaneous increase and decrease of DRI uses. Included in the documentation submitted by the applicants, for incorporation into the development program, is an equivalency matrix, as an exhibit to allow for the flexibility in the development of uses. The documentation submitted by the applicants indicates that while the proposed change seeks to reduce the square footage of office uses and hotel rooms within the DRI, it would simultaneously allow for the introduction of 800 residential units as a part of a mixed-use development, decrease the office space from $\pm 4.4$ million
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sq. ft. to $\pm 4.3$ million sq. ft., and reduce the number of hotel rooms to 1,119 rooms from the previously approved 1,400 rooms. Florida Statute, Section $380.06(19)(\mathrm{e})(2)(\mathrm{k})$, specifies that changes that do not increase the number of external peak hour trips, and do not reduce the open space and conserved areas within the project, subject to further exceptions not applicable here, do not constitute substantial deviations.

The applicants are proposing to reduce the acreage of the DRI from $\pm 388$-acres to $\pm 378$-acres. Staff notes that the applicants' request to reduce the acreage within the DRI (request \#2) contributes to a reduction in the calculations for traffic and other impacts within the DRI. Notwithstanding, staff notes that any future development of this 10 -acre site, will also require a similar concurrency review to determine the impacts on the surrounding community

Ancillary to the changes to the development order, is a request to extend the build-out date for the program. This proposed change reflects a reduction in the daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour external vehicle trips. Said documents indicate that while the approved DRI development program results in 6,314 PM trips, the proposed DRI Development Program, inclusive of the 800 additional residential units, results in 6,287 PM Trips, and an overall collective reduction of 27 PM trips between the proposed DRI development program and the lessed-out 10-acre parcel. Staff of the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) have reviewed the application and indicated in its memorandum that as proposed, the changes to the development program to the DRI do not generate any additional trips. Said memorandum also indicates that the development is located within the urban infill area.

Staff notes that although the DRI is being amended to allow 800 dwelling units in the future, neither the current or proposed zoning districts within the DRI permit residential development as of right. Future residential development will therefore require additional zoning action.

Therefore, staff recommends withdrawal without prejudice of request \#1, and approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#4 under Section 33-311(A)(7), Modification Standards.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

When the request to rezone approximately 62 -gross acres (Parcel 1 ) of the remaining $\pm 378$-gross acre DRI parcel from IU-2 to BU-2, Special Business District (request \#5), is analyzed under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, staff opines that the approval of the request would be compatible with the industrial, commercial, and hotel uses in the surrounding area. Additionally, the proposed BU-2 zoning district allows office, commercial, retail and hotel uses, similar to those allowed in the current approved Waterford and Blue Lagoon DRI development program, as well as the possibility of mixed uses. Residential uses being proposed for the DRI development program in this application can also be approved in the BU-2 district through future zoning action. Parcel 1 is currently comprised of an approximately 49.75 gross acre lake and $\pm 12$ gross acres of vacant land. Parcel 1 is located at the southwestern corner of the DRI and abuts an existing $\mathrm{BU}-2$ parcel to the north.

Staff opines that approval of the requested zone change within the proposed mixed use development will not have an unfavorable economic impact on Miami-Dade County and will not unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, or other necessary public facilities. As noted previously, the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) indicated in its memorandum that the approval of the application, inclusive of request $\# 5$, to rezone the $\pm 62$-gross
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acre parcel to BU-2, would efficiently use the roads, streets, and highways, which have been constructed, planned or budgeted for in this area and, further, would not generate any additional trips within the DRI based on the Trip generation standards of the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE). The DTPW further states in its memorandum that the subject property is located within Miami-Dade's Urban Infill area. Similarly, the memorandum from the Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), indicates that a review of the application for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Code indicated that the Level of Service standards as specified in the CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection are valid for this initial development order. However, DERM indicates in its memorandum that approval of the application is contingent on meeting the Tree Permitting requirements, as outlined in its memorandum dated January 12, 2017. The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department (MDFRD), the Miami-Dade Aviation Department, and the Transit Division of the DTPW indicate in their memoranda that they do not object to this application.

Staff notes that the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces (PROS) Department has indicated in its memorandum that the proposed residential development would generate the need for approximately 4.7 acres of local parks. However, the PROS memorandum indicates that the subject property is located within Park Benefit District 1 (PBD1), which has a surplus of 128.25 acres when measured by the County concurrency level-of-service standard for the unincorporated area of 2.75 acres of local recreation open space for every 1,000 persons. The Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) does not object to this application. However, the MDPD indicated in its memorandum that approval of the application could result in a slight increase in the volume of calls for service in this area. Therefore, MDPD recommended that the developers work with police during any future pere- and post-application changes to determine the best possible solutions or security options.

Therefore, staff recommends approval of request \#5 for a district boundary change to BU2, subject to the acceptance of the proffered declaration of restrictions, under Section 33311, District Boundary Change.

ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING: Not applicable.
NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER REVIEW: See Above.
OTHER: N/A.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Development of Regional Impact Requests: Withdrawal without prejudice of request \#1, and approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#4.

Zoning Requests: Approval of request \#5, subject to the acceptance of the proffered declaration of restrictions.

## CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL OF DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUESTS:

1. That all other conditions of Resolutions Number, Z-32-90, Z-24-98 and Z-7-12, remain in full force and effect except as herein modified.
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2. That the uses shall be located on the parcels as shown on the DRI Master Development Plan, "Map H," dated July 26, 2016, incorporated herein by reference.
3. That the applicants comply with all the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Division of Environmental Resources of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as contained in its memorandum dated January 12, 2017.

CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL OF ZONING REQUEST: None.

NK:JB:NN:JV:CH


Nathan Kogon, AICP, Assistant Director
Development Services Division
Miami-Dade County
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

## ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM
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| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> (DERM), (RER) | No objection* |
| Department of Transportation and Public Works | No objection |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Water and Sewer | No objection |
| Miami-Dade Aviation Department | No objection |
| Police | No objection |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

# COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT 



## ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM
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|  | the onsite uses) may be integrated in an amount not to exceed 15 percent of the total floor <br> area. However, the Office/Residential category does not authonze other business or <br> commercial uses. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Policy LU-1B <br> (Page l-2) | Major centers of activity, industrial complexes, regional shopping centers, large scale office <br> centers and other concentrations of significant employment shall be the structuring elements <br> of the metropolitan area and shall be sited on the basis of metropolitan-scale considerations at <br> locations with good countywide, multimodal accessibility. |
| Policy LU-1C <br> (Page l-2) | Miami-Dade County shall give prionty to infill development on vacant sites in currently <br> urbanized areas, and redevelopment of substandard or underdeveloped environmentally <br> suitable areas contiguous to existing urban development where all necessary urban services <br> and facilities are projected to have capacity to accommodate additional demand. |
| Policy LU-4A <br> (Page l-11) | When evaluating compatibility among proximate land uses, the County shall consider such <br> factors as noise, lighting, shadows, glare, vibration, odor, runoff, access, traffic, parking, <br> height, bulk, scale of architectural elements, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering, and <br> safety, as applicable. |

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTS/STANDARDS

Section 33-311 District Boundary Change
(A) The Community Zoning Appeals Boards are advised that the purpose of zoning and regulations is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to lessen the congestion in the highways; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers, to promote health, safety, morals, convenience and the general welfare; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land and water; to avoid undue concentration of population; to facilitate the adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses and with a view to conserving the value of buildings and property and encouraging the most appropriate use of land and water throughout the County.
(F) Section 33-311 provides that the Board shall take into consideration, among other factors the extent to which.
(1) The development permitted by the application, if granted, conforms to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan for Miami-Dade County, Florida; is consistent with applicable area or neighborhood studies or plans, and would serve a public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered;
(2) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of Miami-Dade County, including consideration of the means and estimated cost necessary to minimize the adverse impacts; the extent to which alternatives to alleviate adverse impacts may have a substantial impact on the natural and human environment; and whether any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of natural resources will occur as a result of the proposed development;
(3) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida;
(4) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, education or other necessary public facilities which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction;
(5) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden or affect public transportation facilities, including mass transit, roads, streets

## ZONING RECOMMMENDATION ADDENDUM

WRC Properties, Et Al<br>Z16-197

|  | and highways which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction, and if the development is or will be accessible by public or private roads, streets or highways. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section 33311(A)(7) Generalized Modification Standards. | The Board shall hear applications to modify or eliminate any condition or part thereof which has been imposed by any final decision adopted by resolution; and to modify or eliminate any provisions of restrictive covenants, or parts thereof, accepted at public hearing, except as otherwise provided in Section 33-314(C)(3); provided, that the appropriate Board finds after public hearing that the modification or elimination, in the opinion of the Community Zoning Appeals Board, would not generate excessive noise or traffic, tend to create a fire or other equally or greater dangerous hazard, or provoke excessive overcrowding of people, or would not tend to provoke a nuisance, or would not be incompatible with the area concerned, when considering the necessity and reasonableness of the modification or elimination in relation to the present and future development of the area concemed, or (b) (i) that the resolution that contains the condition approved a school use that was permitted only as a special exception, (ii) that subsequent law permits that use as of right without the requirement of approval after public hearing, and (iii) that the requested modification or elimination would not result in development exceeding the standards provided for schools authorized as a matter of right without the requirement of approval after public hearing. |
| Section 33311(A)(9) <br> Recommendation of DRI | The Board shall hear and make recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners on applications for developments of regional impact and related requests, including requests for modifications thereof and substantial deviation determinations pursuant to F.S. § 380.06(19), as amended, as provided by Section 33-314 except an application for modification or elimination of a condition or restrictive covenant that is not a substantial deviation, or an application to extend a commencement date, build-out date, expiration date, phasing deadline, or applicable mitigation requirements for the maximum period of time declared by state law regardless of any previous extension not to constitute a substantial deviation from development orders for currently valid developments of regional impact development orders, and related applications for zoning actions to accomplish only the requested extension, where such application does not contain a request for any other action under this chapter requining a public hearing apart from modifying the DRI development order; it is provided, however, that, pursuant to F.S. $\S 380.06(19)(c)(2)$, the foregoing exception from CZAB review shall not apply to development orders for which, before December 1, 2011, the County has notified a developer that has commenced any construction within the phase for which mitigation is required that the County has entered into a contract for construction of a facility with funds to be provided from the development's mitigation funds for that phase as specified in the development order or written agreement with the developer. |
| $33-303.1(E)(2)$ <br> Developmental <br> Impact <br> Committee | Review and make recommendations conceming County zoning actions, with the exception of applications which seek only non-use variances and/or a modification of a condition(s) or covenant(s) and which do not approve a change of use or an increase in the floor area for any and all nonresidential use(s), which are: |

(a) Required by the regulations to be taken after public hearing, and which would allow individually, or cumulatively within an independent development parcel:

1. Residential developments involving in excess of two hundred fifty (250) dwelling units.
2. Business uses involving in excess of ten (10) acres or one hundred thousand $(100,000)$ square feet of relail floor area, or one thousand $(1,000)$ vehicle off-street parking space capacity.
3. Recreational, cultural, or enterfainment facilities involving in excess of one thousand $(1,000)$ vehicle off-street parking space capacity for single performance or twenty (20) acres.

## ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM

WRC Properties, Et Al<br>Z16-197

4. Office buildings or office complexes involving in excess of one hundred twenty-five thousand $(125,000)$ square feet of floor space, or one thousand $(1,000)$ vehicle offstreet parking space capacity.
5. Industrial, processing or manufacturing activity involving fifty (50) acres, or five hundred (500) vehicle off-street parking space capacity.
6. Hotel and/or motel developments involving in excess of two hundred fifty (250) units.
7. All planned area developments.
8. Mixed-use developments with two (2) or more of the land use types specified in 1. through 6. above where none of the individual land uses in the development meet or exceed the thresholds listed in 1. through 6. above and where the sum of the percentages of the appropriate thresholds listed in 1. through 6. above for each applicable land use in the development is greater than one hundred thirty (130) percent. Where a development addresses more than one (1) threshold within a particular land use type listed in 1. through 6. above, then the threshold in that land use type which generates the highest percentage shall be utilized in the calculation of the total mixed-use percentage for the subject development.
(b) Required by the regulations to be taken after public hearing, which zoning action would change in any respect an existing resolution pertaining to an application reviewed by the Developmental Impact Committee, and is presumed to constitute a substantial deviation. All such applications for change shall be presumed to request substantial deviation, unless the requested action neither equals, exceeds, or conflicts with either of the following limitations and standards:
9. An increase in the number of dwelling units of twenty (20) percent or one hundred (100) units, whichever is less.
10. An increase in the floor area for nonresidential uses of twenty (20) percent or thirty thousand $(30,000)$ square feet, whichever is less;

The foregoing presumption of substantial deviation shall not apply whenever the Director determines that the requested modification would not constitute a potential negative impact under the guidelines of Section 33-301.1(D)(1).

The Committee shall recommend to the applicable Board whether, and to the extent to which, the development permitted by the approval of zoning action referred to in (7)(a) and (b) above will efficiently use or unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, education, recreation or other necessary public facilities or public transportation facilities, including roads, streets and highways, which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction in the area, and whether the proposed development will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## WRC PropertiesiLLC． 22016000197

EXHIBIT 2

Waterford DR I
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TABLE 2：P．M．Peak Hour Trip Generation for Proposed Development Program


Note：（1）Eased on nat new F．M．peak hour trip generation contained in the November 4． 20 多 Trip Generator Comparison Analysis．
(
$\qquad$
WRC PROPERTIES, LLC. ET. AT.
APPLICANTS NAME:
representative: Joseph Goldstein

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2016000197 | $16-197$ | CZAB8 |  | 17 |

$\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION $\square$ ITEM (S):
$\square$ DEFER: $\quad \square$ INDEFINITELY $\quad \square$ TO: $11 / 15 / 17 \quad \square$ WILEAVE TO AMEND
$\square$ DENY: $\square$ WITH PREJUDICE $\square$ WITHOUT PREJUDICE
$\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT $\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS
$\square$ APPROVE: $\square$ PER REQUEST $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT $\square$ PER D.I.C. $\square$ WITH CONDITIONS $\square$ AS MODIFIED
OTHER: Deferral due ta alack of a quorum.


APPLICANTS NAME:
NRC PROPERTIES, LLC.ET AL.
REPRESENTATIVE:


| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2016000197 | $16-197$ | CZAB8 |  | 17 |



| TITLE | MAS | NAME | YES | NO | ABSENT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN | $M$ | Richard C. BROWN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN | $S$ | Kean Antonio GRAYSON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Arthemon JOHNSON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Frederick Alan Morley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VICE CHAIR |  | VonCarol Yvette KINCHENS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHAIR |  | Joy J. DAVIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EXHIBITS: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO COUNTY ATTORNEY: $\qquad$

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 8 MOTION SLIP
HEARING DATE: JUNE 21, 2017
APPLICANT'S NAME: WRC PROPERTIES, LLC. ET. AL.
REPRESENTATIVE:
Joseph Goldstein

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2016000197 | $16-197$ | CZAB8 |  | 17 |


| $\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION | $\square$ ITEM (S): |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ DEFER: | $\square$ INDEFINITELY | $\square$ TO: July 19, 2017 | $\square$ WILEAVE TO AMEND |
| $\square$ DENY: | $\square$ WITH PREJUDICE | $\square$ WITHOUT PREJUDICE |  |
| $\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT | $\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS |  |  |
| $\square$ APPROVE: | $\square$ PER REQUEST | $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT $\square$ PER D.I.C. |  |
| $\square$ WITH CONDITIONS | $\square$ AS MODIFIED |  |  |
| $\square$ OTHER: | $\square$ To allow the applicant, the objector and their attorneys to meet and work on an agreement. |  |  |


EXHIBITS: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO COUNTY ATTORNEY: BARON FITCH

APPLICANT'S NAME: WRC PROPERTIES, LL. ET. AL.
REPRESENTATIVE: Joseph Goldstein

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Z2016000197 | $16-197$ | CZAB8 |  | 17 |

$\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION
$\square$ ITEM(S):

DEFER
$\square$ INDEFINITELY $\quad \square$ TO: $\qquad$ $\square$ WILEAVE TO AMEND
$\square$ DENY: $\square$ WITH PREJUDICE $\square$ WITHOUT PREJUDICE
$\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT $\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS

$\square$
APPROVE: $\square$ PERREQUEST $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT $\square$ PER D.I.C. $\square$ WITH CONDITIONS $\square$ AS MODIFIED $\qquad$
OTHER:
No vote taken. The application was automatically deferred due to a lack of quorum.

| TITLE | M/S | NAME | YES NO | ABSENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Richard C. BROWN |  | $x$ |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Keon Antonio GRAYSON |  | X |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Arthemon JOHNSON |  | X |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Fredericke Alan Morley |  |  |
| VICE CHAIR |  | VonCarol Yvette KINCHENS |  |  |
| CHAIR |  | Joy J. DAVIS |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | VOTE: |  |  |
| EXHIBITS: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO | COUNTY ATTORNEY: DARON FITCH |  |  |  |

Memorandum

| Date: | January 12, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor/Director |
|  | Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| From: | Jose Gonzalez, P.E. |
|  | Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| Subject: | \#Z2016000197 |
|  | WRC Properties, LLC |
|  | NW 57 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and State Road 836 |
|  | Change for Waterford at Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact (388 Acres) |
|  | 51-53-40 |

The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources - Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code) and meets the minimum requirements of the Code. Accordingly, the application may be scheduled for public hearing.

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Existing public water and sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

An Environmental Resource Permit from the South Florida Water Management District (1-800-4322045) shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval. It is the applicant's responsibility to contact the above mentioned agency for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Applicant is advised that the proposed surface water management system must be approved by the DERM Pollution Remediation Section (PRS). It is the applicant's responsibility to contact the PRS at (305) 372-6700 for additional information.

Applicant is advised that a Class VI Permit from DERM Water Control Section will be required for the construction of the proposed surface water management system. It is the applicant responsibility to contact the DERM Water Control Section at (305) 372-6681 for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage plans shall provide for full on-site retention of the stormwater runoff generated by a 5-year / 1day storm event.

Site grading and development plans shall comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order.

## Pollution Remediation

The subject site has multiple records of current solid waste contamination issues under DERM tracking numbers SW-1446, SW-1250, SW-1185, SW-1500, and HWRR-831. Any construction, development, drainage, and dewatering at the subject site will also require review and approval from the Environmental Monitoring Restoration Division (EMRD) as it relates to environmental contamination issues.

## Coastal Resources

The subject project must comply with goals, objectives, requirements and recommendations of the Code, Miami-Dade County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) and Miami Dade County's Manatee Protection Plan (MPP). Furthermore, Resolution NO Z-32-90, the Development of Regional Impact Order for WRC Properties, Inc., contained conditions regarding natural resources, specifically: Manatee protection (\#5), a Wetland Planting Program (\#6), and exotic removal requirements (\#7), (please see tree comments as well). The applicant must demonstrate compliance with these conditions prior to any development order authorization (zoning, platting, permitting, etc.). In addition, the applicant shall comply with Resolution NO Z-32-90 conditions 10a and 10b.

Please be advised that the Blue Lagoon and associated waterways are identified as Critical Habitat to the endangered and federally listed West Indian Manatee (Trichechus manatus). The Miami-Dade County CDMP prohibits destruction of critical habitat, specifically Objective CON -9A which states that "nesting, roosting and feeding habitats used by federal or state designated endangered or threatened species, shall be protected and buffered from surrounding development or activities and further degradation or destruction of such habitat shall not be authorized." The applicant is not authorized to commence any work or activities until any and all approvals or permits have been obtained, if necessary, from the federal government pursuant to the Endangered Species Act and from the State of Florida pursuant to Florida law on endangered species. Please be advised that, even after work commences, if Miami-Dade County is advised by the federal government, the State of Florida, or a court that an activity on the subject property is in violation of the Endangered Species Act, in violation of Florida law on endangered species, or in violation of a permit or approval granted by the federal
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government pursuant to the Endangered Species Act, such violation may result in an immediate stop work order.

The applicant is strongly advised to consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and any other necessary federal or state agencies before conducting any work or activities on the property. The Vero Beach office of the USFWS may be reached at (772) 562-3909. Please be aware that the federal government may require certain actions or protections on the property, or restrict or prohibit certain activities facilitating interaction with endangered or threatened species, and this may result in the need to modify the plans for the property. Therefore, it is recommended that the applicant consult with the USFWS at an early stage in the process. In the event that the USFWS advises that your plans for the subject property may result in a "take" of endangered or threatened species, you are strongly recommended to inform Miami-Dade County in writing at the earliest stage possible.

In addition, the number of powerboat slips that may be proposed in the subject area shall not exceed the number of slips allowable at the site per the MPP guidelines. The MPP limits the docking of powerboats in the subject area to one powerboat per one hundred linear feet of shoreline for residential docking in order to provide dock access for upland owners.

Manatees have been injured or killed by entrapment in existing culverts and roadway/culvert projects under construction within Miami-Dade County. The MPP requires that all new or replacement culverts and outfalls accessible to manatees be designed to prevent entrapment of or injury to manatees. The proposed project is not recommended for approval unless, per proposed plans, outfalls which are greater than 7 inches and less than 60 inches in diameter are covered with grates or screens with spaces less than 7 inches wide in order to prevent entrapment. New culverts installed in areas not previously accessible to manatees shall be covered with flap gates or other devices designed so as not to cause injury to manatees, and to prevent the animals from entering the outfall including during construction. All State of Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Standard Manatee Protection Conditions for In -Water Work should be implemented for all aspects of construction.

Please be advised that an Annual Operating Permit shall be required for all facilities reasonably expected to be a source of pollution to air, ground and water, for all commercial boat docking facilities, for all boat storage facilities contiguous to tidal waters with a total of ten or more dry storage spaces, and for all recreational boat docking facilities with a total of ten or more boat slips, moorings, davit spaces or vessel tie-up spaces.

The applicant is advised that permits from the Army Corps of Engineers (305) 526-7181, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (561) 681-6600 and the South Florida Water Management District ( $1-800-432-2045$ ) may be required for the proposed project. The applicant is responsible for contacting these agencies.

Please contact the Coastal and Wetlands Resources Section of DERM at (305) 372-6575 with any questions.

## Tree Preservation

No landscape survey was submitted with the application. DERM is unable to determine the compliance with the specimen tree (trees with a DBH of 18 inches or greater) standards as required by Section 24 of the Code and Con-8A of the Comprehensive Developmen Master Plan (CDMP). However, the request for the modifications to the zoning requested proposal could be approved with a condition that specimen trees are preserved throughout the project area. Therefore a recommendation of approval from DERM is conditioned that no trees are impacted, specifically that specimen trees are preserved.

Section 24-49 of the Code provides for the preservation and protection of tree resources. A MiamiDade County Tree Permit is required prior to the removal and/or relocation of any tree that is subject to the Tree Preservation and Protection provisions of the Code. Projects and permits shall meet the requirements of Sections 24-49.2 and 24-49.4 of the Code, specifically the specimen tree standard and CON 8A of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP).

Finally, in accordance with Section 24 of the Code and CON8I of the CDMP, all plants prohibited by Miami-Dade County shall be removed from all portions of the property prior to development, or redevelopment and developed parcels shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species. DERM also recommends that this requirement be included as a condition of any zoning approval.

Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305) 372-6574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

Folio 30-3051-093-0230 has two existing records of soil contamination involving groundwater Ammonia (SW-1250, Waterford Project \& Waterford East Site) as well as indoor air methane issues. For further information, please contact Jacquelyn Llano DERM EMRD at (305) 372-6700.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources
Date: $\quad$ March 21, 2017


## I. PROJECT LOCATION:

The property is located south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW 57 Avenue and north of NW 7 Street.
II. APPLICATION REQUEST:

This application is requesting approval of the following DRI requests a decrease of the subject property by $10 \pm$ acres to delete that certain parcel of land and a modification to decrease the subject property's acreage to $378 \pm$ acres and to allow simultaneous decrease and addition of new uses for the DRI development program and to extend the buildout date to February 29, 2028.

## III. EXISTING ROADWAYS SERVICEABLE TO THIS APPLICATION:

This application is being serviced from the north and south by NW 57 Avenue, NW 62 Avenue and NW 65 Avenue and from the east and the west by NW 7 Street, NW 11 Street/Blue Lagoon Drive and SR 836/Palmetto Expressway.

## IV. RECOMMENDATION:

Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) Traffic Engineering Division (TED) has recommended approval of this application.

## V. ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC GENERATION AND CONCURRENCY:

A. Trip Generation (Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

The reserved number of net external PM peak hour trips assigned to the Waterford at Blue Lagoon DRI Development order is 6,037 . The proposed change of the development program to the DRI under this DIC does not generate any additional trips. In addition, this application is located within the urban infill area.
B. Cardinal Distribution

| North | $6 \%$ | East | $53 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| South | $26 \%$ | West | $15 \%$ |

## VI. IMPACT ON EXISTING ROADWAYS:

## A. CONCURRENCY:

Station 9348 located on NW 7 Street west of NW 60 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "E $\mathbf{5 0}$ " of $\mathbf{4 , 4 2 5}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 1,512 vehicles and 276 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9348 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "D". The $\mathbf{0}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1,512 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 276, equal $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 8}$ and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "D" whose range is 490 to 2,450 .

Station 9618 located on Perimeter Road east of NW 57 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "E" of $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 1,483 vehicles and 44 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9618 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "B". The $\mathbf{0}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1,483 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 44, equal 1,527 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " $B$ " whose range is 430 to 2,110.

Station F-1189 located on NW 57 Avenue north of NW 7 Street, has a maximum LOS "E +50 " of $\mathbf{8 , 0 8 5}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 2,562 vehicles and 93 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-1189 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 0 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 2,562 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 93 , equal 2,655 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 5,250 .

Station F-1201 located on NW 72 Avenue/Milam Dairy Rd north of NW 7 Street, has a maximum LOS "E" of $\mathbf{5 , 3 9 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 0}$ vehicles and 289 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-1201 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 0 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined. with the 2,340 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 289, equal 2,629 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 5,250 .

Station F-1202 located on NW 72 Avenue/Milam Dairy Rd north of NW 12 Street, has a maximum LOS "E" of $\mathbf{5 , 3 9 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 2,456 vehicles and 187 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-1202
with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The $\mathbf{0}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 2,456 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 187, equal 2,643 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 5,250 .

Station F-2193 located on SR 836/ Dolphin Expressway west of NW 57 Avenue/Red Rd, has a maximum LOS "D" of $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 9 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 0 9}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{5 4}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-2193 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "D". The $\mathbf{0}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 12,509 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 54, equal $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 6 3}$ and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " D " whose range is 11,100 to 13,390 .

Station F-2198 located on SR 836/ Dolphin Expressway east of NW 57 Avenue/Red Rd, has a maximum LOS "D" of $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 9 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 8 8}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-2198 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "D". The $\mathbf{0}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 8 8}$ and those previously approved through Development Orders, 301, equal 11989 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " $\mathbf{D}$ " whose range is 11,100 to 13,390 .

## VII. SITE PLAN CRITIQUE:

1. This land requires replatting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

## VIII. STANDARD CONDITIONS:

A letter or a plan containing the following certification signed and sealed by a State of Florida registered engineer shall be submitted as part of the paving and drainage plans: "I hereby certify that all of the roads for the subject project comply with all of the applicable portions of the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook) regarding: design speed, lane widths, horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, stopping sight distance, sight distance, horizontal clearance, vertical clearance, superelevation, shoulder widths, grades, bridge widths, etc."
c: Raul A. Pino, PLS, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

DATE: January 19, 2017
TO:
Carl Harrison
Zoning Services Plans Processor Analyst
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER)
FROM:
Matthew Vinke, AICP
Transit Planner II
Planning \& Development Division
Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW)
SUBJECT: Review of DIC Application No. Z2016000197
DTPW Project No. OSP173
FSC No. 41.04

## Project Description

The subject site consists of approximately 388.54 acres of land located south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW $57^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and north of NW $7^{\text {th }}$ Street in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. The developer is seeking the approval of the following DRI requests:

1- A decrease of the subject property by approximately 10 acres to delete that certain parcel of land identified by folio no. 30-3051-051-0080 (the "Sofitel Parcel") from the DRI subject property;
2- A modification of Condition No. 29 of Resolution No. Z-32-90 to decrease the subject property acreage to approximately 378 acres and to allow a simultaneous decrease in office uses and addition of new residential uses for the DRI development program and to incorporate an equivalency matrix to allow for an equivalent combination of development programs;
3- A modification of Condition No. 47 of Resolution No. Z-7-12 to extend the buildout date to February 29, 2028;
4- A modification of the DRI Map H to provide location information for the proposed residential use; and,
5- A determination that the proposed change is not a substantial deviation; and,
6- A District Boundary Change for Parcel 1 from IU-2, Industrial Heavy Manufacturing District, to BU-2, Special Business District.

Note that a separate zoning hearing application was filed for the Sofitel Parcel. This request to reduce the DRI subject property from approximately 388 acres to 378 acres includes the option to potentially decrease up to 112,500 sf of office use in exchange for up to 800 residential dwelling units to the development program through the incorporation of an equivalency matrix into the DRI development program.

## Current Transit Service

The immediate area of the DRI subject property is served by Metrobus Routes 7,57 and 238 (East-West Connection). These routes circulate and stop on roads within the DRI, and provide a connection to the Miami International Airport Metrorail Station. The table below details the service headways for these Metrobus routes.

Metrobus Route Service Summary
DIC Project No. Z2016000197


Notes: L means Metrobus local route service
F means Metrobus feeder service to Metrorail
December 2015 Line Up

## Recent Transportation/Transit Improvements

As described in the 2016 Transit Development Plan (TDP), the following service changes were implemented for Metrobus Routes 7 and 238 in 2016.

| Route | Improvement/Adjustment |
| :---: | :--- |
| 7 | Adjust running time between SW 1st Street/SW 1st Avenue to <br> Miami-Dade College to match Route 2 (seven days a week) |
| 238 | Eliminate service to cargo city; weekday running time adjustments |

## DTPW Comments/Recommendations

Route 7 serves the DRI subject property and currently provides bus service with a 30minute or better AM/PM peak-hour headway. Therefore, this application meets the adopted mass transit level of service standards as prescribed by Policy MT-1A of the Mass Transit Sub-element of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). This project has been reviewed by DTPW for mass transit concurrency and was found to be concurrent with the mass transit level-of-service standards established for Miami-Dade County.

DTPW along with its transportation partners, continue to develop premium transit corridors in order to provide convenient mass transit services to major employment centers as a part of the recently adopted SMART Plan. It should be noted that the DRI
subject property is located within the East-West Corridor which is the subject of major transit planning efforts.

DTPW has no objections to this DIC application and in general is supportive of including residential uses within employment areas to maximize the use of existing transit services.
c: Monica D. Cejas, P.E., Chief, Planning \& System Development Nilia Cartaya, Principal Planner, Planning \& System Development countiy

Date:

To: $\quad$| Jack Osterholt, Director |
| :--- |
| Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |

From: $\quad$ Ammad Riaz, P.E. Chief of Aviation Planning A.R. Aviation Department
Subject: $\quad$ DIC Application No. 16-197
WRC Properties Blue Lagoon
MDAD DN-17-02-2270

As requested by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) has reviewed Developmental Impact Committee (DIC) Application Number 16197. The Waterford at Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact (DRI) property consists of approximately 388.54 acres of land located south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW 57 Avenue and north of NW 7 Street in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. The applicant is seeking the approval of the following DRI requests: 1) a decrease of the subject property by approximately 10 acres to delete that parcel of land identified by folio number 30-3051-051-0080 (the "Sofitel Parcel') from the DRI subject property; 2) a modification of Condition No. 29 of Resolution No. Z-32-90, as last amended by Z-24-98, to decrease the subject property acreage to approximately 378 acres and to allow a simultaneous decrease and addition of new in uses for the DRI development program and to incorporate an equivalency matrix to allow for an equivalent combination of the development program uses; 3) a modification of Condition No. 47 of Resolution No. Z-7-12, to extend the buildout date to February 29, 2028 pursuant to Section 252.363, F.S.; 4) a modification of DRI Map $H$ to provide location information for the proposed residential use; and 5) a determination that the proposed change is not a substantial deviation; and the following zoning request 1) a district boundary change for Parcel 1 from IU-2 and BU-2.

MDAD does not object to the requests provided that all proposed land uses comply with applicable federal, state and local aviation regulations including the Code of Miami-Dade County, Chapter 33, as it pertains to airport zoning. Because of the proximity to Miami International Airport, MDAD is required to review any proposed permanent and temporary structures and advise if airspace determinations are needed.

C: J. Ramos<br>Jorge Vital, DIC Coordinator, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

| To: | Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Development Services <br>  <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED <br>  <br> Chief, Planning \& Modeen Associng Section |
| Subject: | Zoning Comments - Waterford at Blue Lagoon DRI <br> Application Z2016000197 - (Pre-app. No.Z15P-166) |

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject application. The information provided below is preliminary and it does not affect the Zoning Process. The applicant is advised to consult with their engineer and the WASD Plans Review staff to finalize points of connection and capacity approval.

Application Name: Waterford at Blue Lagoon DRI
Location: The proposed project is to be located on approximately 378 acres, bounded at the east and west sides of NW 57 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Red Road), between the East-West Expressway (State Road No.836) and the Tamiami Canal, with folios (see attached Exhibit 'A'), in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: Includes $4,317,500$ S.F. of office, 30,000 S.F. of retail, 500 seats of restaurant, 10,000 S.F. of Health Club, five (5) hotels ( 1,400 rooms), 800 DU of residential use, and the following DRI requests per Letter of Intent dated November 18, 2016: "1) a decrease of the subject property by $10 \pm$ acres to delete that certain parcel of land identified by folio no. 30-3051-051-0080 (the "Sofitel Parcel") from the DRI subject property; 2) a modification of Condition No. 29 of Resolution No. Z-32-90, as last amended by Z-24-98, to decrease the subject property acreage to $378 \pm$ acres and to allow a simultaneous decrease and addition of new in uses for the DRI development program and to incorporate an equivalency matrix to allow for an equivalent combination of the development program uses; 3) a modification of Condition No. 47 of Resolution No. Z-7-12, to extend the buildout date to February 29, 2028 pursuant to Section 252.363, F.S.; 4) a modification of DRI Map to provide location information for the proposed residential use; and 5) a determination that the proposed change for Parcel 1 from IU-2 to $\mathrm{BU}-2$,"

Water: The subject project is located within WASD's service area. The source of water for the project is the Hialeah-Preston Water Treatment Plant. Currently, there is adequate treatment and water supply capacity for the proposed development consistent with Policy WS-2 A (1) of the CDMP.

There are existing water mains within the proposed application area. The required water infrastructure needed for the future projects will be determined at the time of development, on a one-to-one basis. Additionally, there are two WASD Agreements, No. 20346 executed on November 16, 2015 and 22757 executed on September 12, 2016 within the project site for the development of 273,000 sq.ft. and 160,000 sq.ft. of Office space respectively.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) from WASD will be required for all future development. Agreement No. 20346 was issued a WSC on October 1, 2015 and Agreement No. 22757 was issued a WSC on February 3, 2016. All future Certification will be issued at the time the applicant request connection to the water system. The Certification is required to assure adequate water supply is available to all water users of the WASD as required by Policy CIE-5D and WS-2C in the County's CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20-year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to:
http://www.miamidade. gov/water/water-supply-certification.asp
In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use in accordance with Section 8-31, 32-84 and 8A-381 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. Furthermore, the future development will be required to comply with the landscape standards in sections $18-\mathrm{A}$ and $18-\mathrm{B}$ of Miami-Dade County Code.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to: http:I/www.miamidade.gov/conservation/home.asp

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to:
http://www.miamidade.gov/waterconservation/library/instructions/water-use-efficiency-standards-manual.pdf
Sewer: The proposed development is located within the WASD's sewer service area. The wastewater flows from the proposed development will be transmitted to the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant (CDWWTP) for treatment and disposal. This WWTP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

There are existing sanitary sewer mains within the proposed application area. The required sewer infrastructure needed for the future projects will be determined at the time of development, on a one-to-one basis.

WASD will be the utility providing sewer services subject to the following conditions:

- Adequate transmission and plant capacity exist at the time of the owner's request consistent with policy WS-2 A(2) of the CDMP. Capacity evaluations of the plant for average flow and peak flows will be required. Connection to the COUNTY'S sewage system will be subject to the terms, covenants and conditions set forth in court orders, judgments, consent orders, consent decrees and the like entered into between the COUNTY and the United States, the State of Florida and/or any other governmental entity, including but not limited to, the Consent Order entered on April 9, 2014 in the United States of America, State of Florida and State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. Miami-Dade County, Case No. 1:12-cV-24400-FAM, as well as all other current, subsequent or future enforcement and regulatory actions and proceedings.
- Approval of all applicable governmental agencies having jurisdiction over these matters are obtained.

Any public water or sewer infrastructure must be within a public right-of-way, or within a utility easement. In addition, easements associated with mains to be removed and relocated shall be closed and vacated before starting construction in the easement(s) areas. In case of R/W to be closed and vacated within the property, mains shall be removed and relocated, if needed, before closing/vacating them. Services to existing customers cannot be interrupted.

Below please find additional links to the WASD portal which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-development.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237,

## Date: February 3, 2017

To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Regulatory and Economic Resources Department

From: Paui Mauriello, beputy Director, Waste Operations
Department of Solid Waste Management

Subject: WRC Properties, LLC (\#16_197)

The Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM) review of the above-referenced item is provided below. Additional comments will be provided as needed. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Stacey McDuffie, Manager, Intergovernmental and External Affairs, at 305-375-1354. The DSWM has no objections to the proposed application.

Application: WRC Properties, LLC is requesting a District Boundary Change from IU-2 (Industrial Districts, heavy manufacturing) to BU-2 (Special Business District) for Parcel 1 of the Waterford at Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact. A Special Exception would also be requested subsequently, which would ultimately allow for development of a multi-family residential establishment at a density of 59 dwelling units per acre.

Location: The property is located on the northwest corner of NW $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and NW $57^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Size: The subject property is approximately 1.37 acres in size.

## Analysis:

## 1. Solid Waste Disposal

The Miami-Dade County Solid Waste Management System consists of both County facilities and private facilities under contract as follows: three Class I landfills (two owned by Waste Management Inc., of Florida) a Class III landfill, a Resources Recovery Facility waste to energy plant and associated ash monofill, and three regional transfer facilities. The DSWM does not assess or adjust estimated capacity requirements based on the impacts of individual developments. Instead, the Department maintains sufficient disposal capacity to accommodate five years of waste flows committed to the system through long-term interiocal agreements or contracts with municipalities and private waste haulers and anticipated non-committed waste flows. The latest Concurrency Status Determination issued on September 21, 2016, which is valid for one year, shows sufficient disposal system capacity to exceed the County's adopted level of service (five years of capacity). This determination, which is on file with the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, is contingent upon the continued ability of the County to obtain and renew disposal facility operating permits from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, as needed.

## 2. Garbage and Trash Collection Services

Should the proposed Zoning District Boundary Change and Special Exception be approved and a multistory residential complex subsequently constructed on the property, it would meet the Miami-Dade County Code definition of a multi-family residential establishment. Pursuant to Chapter 15 of the Code, multifamily residential establishments must meet the following requirements:
"Every commercial and multi-family residential establishment shall utilize the solid waste collection services of either the proper governmental agency able to provide such services, or that of a licensed solid waste hauler authorized to perform such services by the Director of the Department." Because the DSWM does not generally service multifamily establishments located in the waste collection service area. The landlord or property owner will be required to contact a private hauler to provide waste and recycling collection service.

## 3. Recycling

Section 15-2.2a of the Code requires that "every multi-family residential establishment shall provide for a recycling program which shall be serviced by a permitted hauler or the appropriate governmental agency and shall include, at a minimum, the five (5) materials listed below."

1) Newspaper
2) Glass (flint, emerald, amber)
3) Aluminum cans
4) Steel cans
5) Plastics (PETE, HDPE-natural, HDPE-colored)

Section 15-2.2b of the Code states that the failure of a multi-family residential establishment to provide a recycling program or a modified recycling program pursuant to Section 15-2.4 hereof shall constitute a violation of this section for which the property owners) shall be liable, provided, however, that in the case of a condominium or cooperative apartment having a condominium association or cooperative apartment association, said association, rather than individual unit owners, shall be liable for any such violation.

Applicants are strongly advised to incorporate adequate space and facilities in their building plans to accommodate the required recycling program (i.e., somewhere for residents to store and set out their recycling carts or bins or, in the case of centralized waste collection with dumpster containers, a permanent space for recycling carts or larger receptacles).

Requests for approval of modified recycling programs must be made directly to the Department. The application regarding modified recycling programs may be found on the website at http://www.miamidade.gov/solidwaste/multifamily-recycling.asp.

## 4. Waste Storage/Setout Considerations

Section 15-4 of the Code requires that plans for storage and collection of solid waste be adequate before a building permit may be issued. Site plans must address location, accessibility, number and adequacy of solid waste collection and storage facilities. The site plan legend must contain the following statement: "Facilities for the collection and storage of solid waste are shown in accordance with Section 15-4 of the Miami-Dade County Code."

## 5. Site Circulation Considerations

It is required that development plans associated with this project incorporate at least one of the following traffic circulation criteria to minimize the reversing of waste vehicles and hence, provide for the safe circulation of service vehicles:
a. Cul-de-sac with a minimum 49 foot turning radius (no "dead-ends")
b. "T" shaped turnaround 60 feet long by 10 feet wide
c. Paved throughway of adequate width (minimum 15 feet)

In addition, any and all alleyways designed with utilities, including waste collection, provided at the rear of the property should be planned in accordance with standard street specifications with sufficient width and turning radii to permit large vehicle access. There should be no "dead-end" alleyways developed. A sufficient waste set-out zone should be preserved between the edge of the pavement and any possible obstructions (such as parked cars, fencing, etc.) that would interrupt or preclude waste collection.

To: Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor Director, Regulatory and Economic Resources Department<br>From: $\quad$ Alejandro Zizold, PROS Master Plan Manager<br>Planning \& Design Excellence Division<br>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department<br>Subject: Z2016000197: WRC Properties, LLC, et. al.

Applicant Name: WRC Properties, LLC, et. al.
Project Location: The proposed $+/-388.54$ project site is located south of Miami International Airport and SR 836, on both sides of NW $57^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and north of NW 7 Street in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: The request is for a public hearing seeking changes to the Waterford at Blue Lagoon [DRI] Development of Regional Impact development program. The applicant is seeking approval to allow decreasing the property under DRI by 10 acres, decreasing office use by 112,500 square feet, adding 800 multifamily units, and extending the buildout date to February 29, 2028.

Impact and Demand: This application proposes a total of 800 multi-family dwelling units which would generate an impact of 4.7 acres of local parkland when analyzed using Miami-Dade County's minimum Level of Service standard for the provision of local recreation open space. Recreation and Open Space Element policies ROS-2a (i); (ii); (iii); (iv); and (v) provide for the establishment of Miami-Dade County's minimum Level of Service standard for the provision of local recreation open space. The site is located in Park Benefit District 1 (PBD1) which has a surplus of 128.25 acres of local parkland and therefore the project meets concurrency when analyzed in terms of (2.75) acres per 1,000 unincorporated areas residents within this Park Benefits District.

At the time of site plan submittal, the application should include a description of provision of recreational facilities for the project.

County-owned local parks that are within three miles of the subject application are described in attached Table A which lists the name, type and acreage for each park.

Recommendation: Based on our findings described herein PROS HAS NO OBJECTION TO THIS APPLICATION.

If you need additional information or clarification on this matter, please contact Zafar Ahmed at (305) 7557997.

MN:za
Cc: John M. Bowers, Parks Planning Section Supervisor

Attachment:

Table A - County Parks (local only) Within a 3 Mile Radius of Application Area.



According to the letter of intent dated November 18, 2016, the applicant is seeking to modify certain conditions of the Waterford at Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact (the "DRI"). The applicant is seeking to modify the DRI in order to decrease acreage, decrease the square feet of approved office space in exchange for additional residential units, extend the buildout date, along with a district boundary from IU-2 (Industrial) to BU-2 (Business) on a specific parcel of land within the DRI.

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department (MDFR) recognizes that the applicant did not proffer a site plan in connection with the subject zoning hearing application. At time of development, the applicant shall proffer a site plan to the Fire Engineering \& Water Supply Bureau assuring compliance with the MDFR Access Road Requirements for DIC/DRI applications.

Please be advised that during the platting and permitting stages of the project, the proffered site plan must be reviewed by the Fire Engineering \& Water Supply Bureau to assure compliance with the Florida Fire Prevention Code (FFPC) and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards, including all applicable conditions set forth during the DIC/DRI review process.

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objections to the application.

For additional information, please contact Mr. Carlos Heredia, Planning Section Supervisor at 786-3314544.

## Building and Neighborhood Compliance

## ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

WWR PROPERTIES, LLC ET AL
THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF NW 11 STREET \& NW 57 AVENUE, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

## APPLICANT

ADDRESS

MAY 17, 2017
Z2016000197

## DATE

HEARING NUMBER

REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:
April 18, 2017

## NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:

There are no current Open or Closed Cases

## BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:

There are no current Open or Closed cases

VIOLATOR:
VWR Properties, LLC ET AL

## OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:

As of April 18, 2017, There are no Outstanding Liens, Fines, or Fees

Date:
December 30, 2016

| To: | Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Development Services Division <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources <br> From: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Juan J. Perez, Director <br> Miami-Dade Police Department <br> Subject: |
|  | Review - Zoning Application - Case: No. Z2016000197 <br> WRC Properties, LLC |

## APPLICATION

The applicant, WRC Properties, LLC, is requesting a public hearing seeking changes to the Waterford at Blue Lagoon [DRI] Development of Regional Impact development program. The changes being sought include but are not limited to, decreasing the property by 10 acres, decreasing office use by 112,500 square feet, adding 800 multifamily dwellings, and extending the buildout date to February 29, 2028. The 388.54 acre property is located south of Miami International Airport and State Road 836, north of NW 7 Street along NW 57 Avenue, in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## CURRENT POLICE SERVICES

The development would be located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and serviced by our Midwest District, located at 9101 NW 25 Street, Miami, Florida. Our current staffing allows for an average emergency response time of eight minutes or less.

## REVIEW

A review of the application and related documents was conducted to predict the impact on the MiamiDado Police Department's resources, and the impact that the location could have on the proposed zoning modification changes.

Current data of police staffing, population, and crimes/calls-for-service was examined to project any increase in calls-for-service. Current staffing should accommodate any slight increase in the volume of calls-for-service. Should demand for police services significantly increase beyond current levels, additional sworn personnel, support staff, and equipment will be required. Additionally, it is recommended that WRC Properties, LLC, work closely with Midwest District Command Staff in considering security options for the site.

The Miami-Dade Police Department does not object to any proposed zoning modifications to complete this project at this time.

The applicants are encouraged to work with police during any future ere and post application changes to determine the best possible solutions or security options.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, Sergeant Keith Hedrick, of our Strategic Planning and Development Section, may be contacted at 305-471-1990.

```
JJP/kh
Attachment
```

To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Development Services Division
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources
From: Juan J. Perez, Director Miami-Dade Police Department
Subject: Review - Zoning Application - Case: No. Z2016000197 WRC Properties, LLC

## APPLICATION

The applicant, WRC Properties, LLC, is requesting a public hearing seeking changes to the Waterford at Blue Lagoon [DRI] Development of Regional Impact development program. The changes being sought include but are not limited to, decreasing the property by 10 acres, decreasing office use by 112,500 square feet, adding 800 multifamily dwellings, and extending the buildout date to February 29, 2028. The 388.54 acre property is located south of Miami International Airport and State Road 836, north of NW 7 Street along NW 57 Avenue, in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## CURRENT POLICE SERVICES

The development would be located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and serviced by our Midwest District, located at 9101 NW 25 Street, Miami, Florida. Our current staffing allows for an average emergency response time of eight minutes or less.

## REVIEW

A review of the application and related documents was conducted to predict the impact on the MiamiDade Police Department's resources, and the impact that the location could have on the proposed zoning modification changes.

Current data of police staffing, population, and crimes/calls-for-service was examined to project any increase in calls-for-service. Current staffing should accommodate any slight increase in the volume of calls-for-service. Should demand for police services significantly increase beyond current levels, additional sworn personnel, support staff, and equipment will be required. Additionally, it is recommended that WRC Properties, LLC, work closely with Midwest District Command Staff in considering security options for the site.

## The Miami-Dade Police Department does not object to any proposed zoning modifications to complete this project at this time.

The applicants are encouraged to work with police during any future pere and post application changes to determine the best possible solutions or security options.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, Sergeant Keith Hedrick, of our Strategic Planning and Development Section, may be contacted at 305-471-1990.

JJP/kh
Attachment

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), frust(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME:


If a TRUST Or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest.
TRUST/ESTATE NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner (s) consist of another partnership(s), corporations), trust (s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest t].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME; Westland at Waterford Operating, L.P.
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
See attached. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\bar{\square}$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships of other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:

NAME ADDRESS AND OFFICE (ff applicable)
Percentage of interest


If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, 到t all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this $/ q$ day of $d h / h, 20 / 6$. Affiant is personally know to me or has


My commission expires: Other 12,2019
*Disclosure shall not be required of; 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country, or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand (5,000) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership; shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## MSCLOOLREOF NTEPEST

 owned by Woterford Blue Lagoon $1 P_{*}$ a Delawate Imited partarsiip.

- Watertord Blye Lagoon, LP: is a Delaware livated patisership with the following ownership interestis:
- 29.89\% owned by Allarz US Private REIT; LP, a Detapare linnited partiership, which is a subsidiary of and ultinatefy controlled by Allianz SE a publicly taded company of the Euro Stoxy 50 stock thatiket ndex (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY)
- 4.41, owned by APRV US Private REIT, [P, a Delaware IImited parmership; which is a subuidiary of and uitimately controlled by Alitanz SE: a publichy tiated compary on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market ndex (FWB: ALV and OTCOX: AZSEY).
- 2.94\% owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP a Delaware limited patnership, whidy in a subsidery of and ultimaty controlled by Allianz $\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{a}}$, a publiely traded compary on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSET)
- $11.76 \%$ owned by sAS Ahanz Logisticque, a Societe pre actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately contolled by Alianz $S E$, a publicly iraded Dompany: on the Buro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCOX: AZSEY).
- 1\% T-C Waterford Blua Lagoon General Parmer LLC:a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teahers hasurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is 100\% owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five fhousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various inyestors, none of which have boldings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.



## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trusts), parnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest l.

CORPORATION NAME:MCP WATERFORD ATRIUM, LLC. a Delaware LLC
NAME AND ADDRESS
MCP Wateriord Atrium, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
and a special purpose entity that owns interest is the subject property,
This entity is ultimately controlled by MetLife, Inc., a publicly traded
company (NYSE: MET):

## Percentage of Stock



If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUSTIESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partners) consist of another partnerships), corporation(s), trust(s) or other simitar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest.
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME:

NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note; Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER: $\qquad$

NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE(if applicable)
Percentage of Interest:


NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief,


Sworn to and subscribed before me this and day of Movernder 2016 . Affiant is personally know to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification

## $\frac{\text { Guan e bithgevald }}{\text { (Notary public) }}$



```
SUSAN E FITZGERALD
    NOTAFY PUBLLC
        Fufton County
    State of Georgia
My Comm. Expiressgin, 2, 2017
```

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) "ownership interests; or 3) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership; corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.
Rev. 12/2/14

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trust(s), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME:

> NAME AND ADDRESS

## Percentage of Stock

$\qquad$
If a TRUST or ESTATE Owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUSTIESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporations), trust (s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: 1000 Waterford Operating L.P.
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
See attached, $\qquad$
If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries of partners. [Note; Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
Date of contract: $\qquad$

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust,
$\qquad$
NOTICE; For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of al aries of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Print Applicant name)
 produced $\qquad$ as identification.


My commission expires: DCfolfor 12,2019
miCHELLE H WAAL
NOTARY PUBLIC
Aredell County North Carolina My Commission Expires October 12,2013
*Disclosure shall not be required of; 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or perision trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person of enüty holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

1000 Waterford Operating, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership and is ultimately $100 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited partnership.

- Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership with the following ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delawvare limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE , a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $4.41 \%$ owned by APKV US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partinership, which is a subsidiary of and uttimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $2.94 \%$ owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SB, a publiely traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stook market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS Alianz Logistique, a Societe par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publioly traded company on the Euro Stexx 50 stock market index (FWB; ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- I\% T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon General Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is, 100\% owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five (5\%) percent of the ownership interest.


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION Owns or leases the subject property, Ilst principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), thist(s), patnership(s) or other similar entifies, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interestl.

CORPORATION NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Stock
$\qquad$
If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust berreficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneificieries are ofter than natural persons, further oisclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interesti,
TRUST/ESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of 4 nterest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited parners. [Note; Where the pariner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entifies, further disolosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ulimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: 5201-5301 Waterford Operating L.P.
NAME AND ADDRESS Percentage of Ownerstip
See attached. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Compration, Trust or Partnership tist purchasers below, induding principal officers, stockholders, benencianies or parthers. [Note; Where pincipal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, parnerships or other similar entites, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the uttimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (If applicable)
Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Date of contract: $\qquad$

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.


NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure ci fall prides of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Fris Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of hell 20.16 . Affiant is personally know to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

ROCHELLE H WARD
NOTARY PUBLIC
Iredell County
North Carolina
My commission expires: De fiber 12,2019
*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership. interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds. more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OE INTEREST

5201-5301 Waterford Operating, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership and is ultimately $100 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited partnership.

- Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership with the following ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $4.41 \%$ owned by APKV US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALY and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $2.94 \%$ owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
a $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS AJianz Logistique, a Societe par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE , a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- 1\% T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon General Partner ELC, a Delaware limited Liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust.(s), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME:

NAME AND ADDRESS $\qquad$

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUSTIESTATE NAME


If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership. interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing; a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full dilscigaslue of all parties of interest in this application to the best to f my knowledge and belief.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of fulling, 20110 Affiant is personally knownto me or has produced $\qquad$ as identícalaton.

## Rona के tet <br> (Notary Public)

My commission expires: O1L 1 DOT

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests. in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension -trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

5200 Waterford Operating, LP, is a Delaware limited parmership and is ultimately $100 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited partnership.

* Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership with the following ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock marke index (FWB: ALV and GTCQX: AZSEY).
- $4.41 \%$ owned by APKV US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware fimited partriership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly fraded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $2.94 \%$ owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited patnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a puiblicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS Alianz Logistique, a Sociebe par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly raded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
a 1\% T-C-Waterford Blue Lagoon GeneraI Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insuranoe and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of tive thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST**

If a CORPORATION owns.or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers dr stocknoldiers consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the utimate ownership. interesti.
TRUSTIESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS

## Percentage of interest

$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited parthers. [Note: Where the parmer(s) consist of another partiership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entifies, futher disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ulfimate ownership interesti.
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: Waterford Core Operating, L.P.
NAME AND ADDRESS
See attached.
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal oficers, stockhoiders, beneficiaries or parmers. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shatl be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER: $\qquad$

```
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
```

Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Date of contract: $\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure af in parties pryterest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Print Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of $\frac{c h e / 4,20 / h . ~ A f f i a n t ~ i s ~ p e r s o n a l l y ~ k n o w ~ t o ~ m e ~ o r ~ h a s ~}{\text { a }}$ produced $\qquad$ as iden位cation.

My commission expires: $\qquad$
Otheber 1022019
Seal
RECHELLE H WARD notary public Iredell County North Carolina My Commission Explies October 12, 2019
*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnersilp, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership; shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

Waterford Core Operating, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership and is ultimately $100 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited partnership,

- Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership with the following ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE , a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX; AZSEY).
- $4.41 \%$ owned by APKV US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE; a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $2.94 \%$ owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited parnership. which is a subsidiary of and uttimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV_ and OTCQX: AZSEX).
- $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS Alianz Logistique, a. Societe par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE; a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- 1\%T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon General Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five (5\%) percerif of the ownership interest.


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION Owns or leases the subject property, fist principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation (s), rustics), parthership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest.
CORPORATION NAME:WRC Properties, LLC
NAME AND ADDRESS
See attached.

## Percentage of Stock

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made io identify the natural persons having the urinate ownership interest.

TRUSTIESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals molding general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partners) consist of another partnership (s), corporations), trusi(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHiP OR LITE PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, fruits, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:

## NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)

Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosurepsent patitisi of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Print Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of duly. 20/le. Affiant \& personally know to me or has produced as identification.

RECHELLE H WARD
NOTARY PUBLIC
Iredell County
North Carolina
My Commission Expires October Y2, 2019

My commission expires: $0 C+42019$
Seal
*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests: are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

WRC Properties, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company and is ultimately $100 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited partnership.

- Waterford Blue Lagoon, $L P_{y}$ is a Delaware limited partnership with the following. ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Eure Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALY and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $4,41 \%$ owned by APKY US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stow 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $2.94 \%$ owned by AZ Vars US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publicly traded company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALY and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS Alianz Logistique a Societe par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz $\mathrm{SE}_{3}$ a publicly traded company on the Eure Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALY and OTCQU: AZSEY).
- 1\% T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon General Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate Interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five (5\%) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST**

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockhoiders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corparation(s), truse(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to ldertifiy the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Stock
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the frust beneficlaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficlaries are other than natural persens, further disclosure shail be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interestl.
TRUST/ESTATE NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the uiltimate ownership interest],
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: 701-703 Waterford Operating, L.P.

NAME AND ADDRESS
See attached.

Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corparation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners, [Note: Where principal officer's, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, pattnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
Percentage of Interest

| $\overline{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

Date of contract: $\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of all borlife-efintase th this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Print Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 produced $\qquad$ day of clay 2014 as identification. $\qquad$ - Affiant is personally know to me or has

(Notary Public)

My commission expires: $14 / 0$ pee $12,2 D / 9$

*Disclosure shall hot be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity tiolds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

Westland at Waterford Operating LP , is a Delaware limited partnership and is ultimately $1.00 \%$ owned by Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, a Delaware limited parthership.

- Waterford Blue Lagoon, LP, is a Delaware limited partnership with the tollowing ownership interests:
- $29.89 \%$ owned by Allianz US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE , a jolibitadywided company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $4.41 \%$ owned by APKY US PTivate REIT, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publichishitated compariy on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- 2.94\% owned by AZ Vers US Private REIT, LP, a Delaware limited parmership, which is a sabsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE , a pabliclyyidelated company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (PWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- $11.76 \%$ owned by SAS Alianz Logistique, a Soclete par actions simplifee, which is a subsidiary of and ultimately controlled by Allianz SE, a publiedysishared company on the Euro Stoxx 50 stock market index (FWB: ALV and OTCQX: AZSEY).
- I\% T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon General Partner LLC, a Dolaware limited liability company, which is $100 \%$ owned by Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5 ; 0000)$ mpepamates intelestis held by various investors, none of which have holdings exceeding five ( $5 \%$ ) percent of the ownership interest.
- $50 \%$ T-C Waterford Blue Lagoon, a Delaware limited liability company, which is 100\% owned by Teachers Masurance and Annuity Association of America, a pension trust comprised of five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests held by vacious investors, bone of which have holdings exceeding five (5\%) pereent of the ownership ipterest.
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## MLAMI-DADE COUNTY

AERIAL YEAR 2015
Section: 35/36/52/51 Township: 53 Range: 40
Section: 02/01 Township: 54 Range: 40
Section: 31 Township: 53 Range: 41
Applicant: WRC Properties, LLC Et Al.
Zoning Board: Board of County Commissioners
Commission District: 6
Drafter ID: E.Cespedes
MIAMIDADE
Scale: NTS




COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 8 MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2017

Henry Reeves Elementary School
2005 N.W. 111 Street, Miami, Florida

ITEM B. WRC PROPERTIES, ET AL. (16-197)

Members of the Board
Present

Dr. Joy J. Davis, Chair VonCaroi Y. Kinchens, Vice-Chair Fredericke Alan Morley Richard C. Brown

STAFF
Daron Fitch, Assistant County Attorney Ear1 Jones, Clerk
Mohammed Mansuri, P\&Z Hearing Specialist
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:)

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Ladies and Gentlemen, the meeting of Community Council 8 has come to order on November 15, 2017.

At this time, please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was had, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Please make sure that you silence your telephones.

Staff, please call the roll.
MR. JONES: Councilman Brown.
COUNCILMAN BROWN: Here.
MR. JONES: Councilman Grayson.
No response.
Councilman Johnson has an excused absence.

Councilman Morley?
COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Here.
MR. JONES: Vice-Chairwoman Kinchens?
VICE-CHAIR KINCHENS: Here.
MR. JONES: Chairwoman Davis?

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Present.
MR. JONES: We have a quorum.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much.

Those of you who are present tonight, who wish to speak must stand and the court reporter will swear you in. If you wish to speak tonight, please stand so that the court reporter can swear you in.
(Thereupon, all interested parties seeking to give testimony in the case were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Thank you.
If you're a lobbyist, you should have already registered with the Clerk of the Board prior to the hearing.

Also, if you are going to speak, you need to sign in if you have not already done so.
(Thereupon, other matters not related to this case were heard, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Staff, for the
record, please read the Department's statement.

MR. JONES: In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard this evening have been legally advertised in the newspaper, notices have been mailed, and the properties have been posted. Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting.

Items will be called up to be heard by agenda number and name of the applicant. The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. All these items are physically present here tonight, available to all interested parties, and available to the members of the Board to examine item for the record, during the hearing.

Parties have a right of cross-examination.

This statement along with the fact, that all witnesses have been sworn, should be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings.

In addition, the following departments have representatives here tonight at the meeting to address any questions. The Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources and the County Attorney's Office.

Al1 exhibits used in presentation become the Board will become a part of the public record, and will not be returned unless an identical letter-sized copy is submitted for the file.

Any person making impertinent or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the Community Zoning Appeals Board, shall be barred from further audience with the Community Zoning Appeals Board by the presiding officer unless permission to continue or again address the Board be granted by the majority vote of the Board Members present.

The number of filed protests and waivers on each application will be read into the record at the time of each hearing as each application number is
read.
Those items that are not heard prior to the ending time of this meeting will be deferred to the next available Zoning hearing meeting for this Board.
(Thereupon, other matters not related to this case were heard, after which the following transpired:)

MR. JONES: Item Number B, Z2016000197 WRC Properties, LLC, Et A1., 16-197. On the record it's one written protest, zero waivers -- written waivers.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: For the record, Joseph Goldstein, Attorney at 701 Brickel1 Avenue on behalf of WRC Properties, the applicant.

I'm here today with my colleague Vanessa Madrid as well as our Traffic Engineer, Adrian Dudzicki, and our client representative Steve Oaks.

Just to understand the ground rules, we turn into a pumpkin at 8:30? That's it?

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: For the Gladeview Group, we just want to make sure that
you're not disturbing the presentation. Can you all kind of like go towards the back of the room or step outside for a moment?

Thank you.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: Recognizing that I'm going to have to make a presentation, it's a fairly straightforward presentation. There's still opposition. I'm going to do the best I can. I'm going to abbreviate it. Obviously, I know you guys read the recommendations fairly thoroughly. That's more than obvious.

So I'm going to do the best that I can. If you do have questions though, at some point, or if I'm going too fast, please stop me.

We're here tonight to talk about some changes to the Waterford of Blue Lagoon Development of Regional Impact. You know the property. It's across from the airport. It's got all those corporate headquarters in it.

It's bisected really by 57th Avenue. Half the project is on the east side of

57 th Avenue between 57 th and the Hilton. And on the west side, it sort of expands west. There's those office buildings primarily on the west side north of 7 th Street, south of 836.

So it's a property that you're probably familiar with, and it's been there for about 30 years. And, in fact, the original approval for this project was granted in 1990, abut 27 years ago.

The project -- the request that we're making today is for amendment to the DRI development order.

A DRI, or a Development of Regional Impact is a State statute that was established in the 1970's, and was intended to address conflicts and impacts between regional groups.

In other words, you're very accustomed, just like your last application, to look at the rezoning of a piece of property, and see the property across the street. It was identified in the early 70's that there is sometimes regional issues that don't get addressed.

And that's what a DRI is supposed to do. It's supposed to -- and this is a quote from the statute.

The term, Development of Regional Impact, as used in this section, the statute governing DRI's, means:
"Any development which, because of its character, magnitude or location, would have a substantial effect upon the health, safety or welfare of citizens of more than one county."

So this is intended to address big things, not necessarily the local type of issues that are out there. It's intended to address the regional issues.

Typically a DRI is something that goes through a fairly lengthy process. It's reviewed by half a dozen to a dozen state agencies through its approval process. And every time you go and amend it, you have to still go through the process of getting both local authorities reviewing it as well as all of the state and regional authorities.

When I say regional, it's like FDOT,
the South Florida Regional Planning Council, the Department of -- the Water Management District, the Department of Economic Opportunity. All of those agencies also get a voice in looking at the regional impacts for these projects.

So we're here today to seek a couple of modifications to the existing development order that's the land development regulation that governs the DRI. The original -- the Waterford DRI, as it currently exists, is approved for 4.4 million square feet of office, 30,000 square feet of retail, 500 restaurant seats, a 10,000 square foot health club, five hotels with 1,400 rooms. Big.

And understand that, that program is actually not an expansive program. That's the limit that they look at. And it sets as cap on it saying, okay, we can measure the regional impacts, as long as you don't go above that, and you stay within this box of the impacts that are there.

So this application is seeking -- I talk a lot. So sometimes I think that
time passes faster than I think.
So we're seeking to modify that DRI development order in a couple of ways.

One, most important, we want to -- as I read that iist of uses, what's not in there is residential.

When the original Waterford DRI was approved, there's something as I was reading it -- by the way, I worked on it in 1990. And, in fact, have worked on it throughout the history. But when it was first approved, it was approved with those land uses. One of the things that it asked for, as a condition of the development, was a daycare center. As a condition, they wanted the daycare center built before there was about a million square feet that was provided.

The reason they wanted that was because in 1990, in those days when it was originally conceived, everybody was driving from somewhere and coming to the office park. And the planning authority said, you know what, families -- some people would want to have their kids at a
day car center near their office park. Right? That's the way things were in the 1990.

Today development changes. Now we want a mixed use. We want people who can walk over. And so really, that's what we're here to seek today. That is the ability to build up to 800 residential units within here in exchange for about a 112,000 square feet -- sorry. Let me get the number right -- 112,500 square feet of office. In other words, we're reducing the office and allowing residential as a new use.

The traffic analysis, which was prepared by our traffic consultant, which is in the record, which staff reviewed, which the Department of Transportation reviewed, said it offsets. We're creating the same traffic impacts that were approved.

So we're trying to revise the program to reduce that number of office -- the square footage, and replace it with the option to do residential. We're not
getting residential site plan approved today.

So this application is merely saying, in the DRI we have this cap on our use. We want to be able to go to potential residential developers and come here so that we can say to them, please take a look at this piece of property. We want to develop residential.

If you want to do it, we still have to come back to you for site plan approval. So it's not like we're getting a residential building approved today. This is merely within the umbrella of the uses that are allowed here. We're seeking the ability to be able to do that someday.

Obviously, if we went to -- and I'm coming up with a name -- the related group today and said, hey, we'd like to put some residential here, they'd say but the DRI doesn't allow it. And so that's what we're trying to fix to then enable us to go and market this.

Now, why do we want to do that?
And again, speaking as somebody who
has been familiar with this project for a very long time, if you've driven in there, what you'11 notice is that there's no restaurants. They've had a difficult time trying to figure out how to keep people within the project. So what their tenants have criticized them for over the years is, we'd like some more services, some more retail, and we'd really love to have some residential here, because that way when we try and recruit people down here, we can bring residential.

We're also, as part of this application, pulling a portion of the property out of the DRI, and allowing it to just go through the normal process where they would go through again zoning with you at some point in the future, but we're pulling it out of the DRI. And to do that, we're also taking out of the DRI cap the hotel units that are covered.

In other words, right now the Sofitel Pullman parcel, which is the parcel we're talking about, is approved and has been built since before the original approval
of the DRI with about 281 units. We're taking that out of the DRI with the 281 units so that we're, again, matching up. And we went through a great deal of effort with staff.

The way that this is measured for purposes of changes to a DRI -- and again, I'm trying to go fairly quickly -- is whether it's a substantial deviation. And the substantial deviation rules, as with everything with the DRI process is a very long and arduous section of the statute. But to sort of simplify where you look, as to whether you can make the change, the statute gives an exemption for projects that don't increase traffic. And that's really -- that's what we're doing here.

The statute -- so that's the exemption. There are other sections of the statute which sound like they conflict with it, but as you go through it and weed through it, that's the bottom line response that we've worked through with both the County staff who has been implementing DRI's throughout the County
as well as the Regional Planning Council.
In terms of this process, $I$ guess in closing, we've been reviewed by 11 County departments and seven regional agencies. A11 of them have expressed no objection or support or agreement with our position as it relates to this. It's not our position, it's their position, and we've worked through it.

So with that, again, let me just explain that it's a large-scaled project that we're trying to bring into this decade where all the large-scaled projects now try to mix the office and the residential and the commercials so that people don't have to drive as far.

This project is within the County's urban infill area. This is the area that the County wants to try and mix these uses. The corporate needs today are very different, and we're trying to respond to those things. We think it's a very good thing.

With that, let me just finish with that I had intended to start with, which
is staff has recommend approval with conditions. We agree to those conditions. Obviously we have opposition here. I would like to take at least two minutes to rebut, and go from there.

So I think I took 10 ?
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: You're doing great. Thank you so much.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I could say a lot more.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: No, you're doing good. Let's keep it that way.

Okay, any supporters at this time? Supporters, please stand.

MR. PENN: Thank you, Madam Chair.
Graham Penn --
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Are you a supporter?

MR. PENN: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: I didn't cal1 you --

MR. PENN: Don't I look like a supporter?

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: I didn't call you up.

MR. PENN: Didn't you? You just called for supporters.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: No. I said stand.
MR. PENN: Oh, I apologize. I'm here in support. We represent a property owner within the development. I'm going to keep it short. We're in support of these changes.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay. Could I have the -- well, give me a minute.

MR. PASTORIZA: I'm not a supporter.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: You guys are moving fast. Objectors, please stand. Objectors, please stand.

MR. PASTORIZA: I'm not a supporter.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay, because of our time, let's hear from the objectors.

MR. PASTORIZA: Yes, Madam Chairwoman --

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Please give us your name and your address.

MR. PASTORIZA: Gi1 Pastoriza, 2525 Ponce De Leon.

With me today is a lady who has assisted me on this, Ms. Joseph.

Look, I don't think that it's fair neither to the applicant nor to the opposition to limit this application that has major consequences because of the impact that it's going to generate for a very short period of time. Okay? Because you have spent on the other application on a rezoning half an hour at least. So I would go along with whatever constraints you want to place on me, but I don't think it's fair, neither for Mr Goldstein in his presentation, nor for me in my presentation to limit it to 8:30.

COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Madam Chair?
Sir, you're using time right now.
MR. PASTORIZA: Okay. Well listen, it's very simple. This is a very simple application. And all you got to do is take a look at the definition of what a Development of Regional Impact is. Mr. Goldstein gave it to you, but he didn't really focus on what it was all about. Which is:
"Development which, because of it's character, magnitude or location would
have a substantial effect upon the health, safety, or welfare of citizens of more than one county."

So, what they're asking you to do here is now to make all these changes on a development that from July 11 of 1985 was approved. And it was approved without any residential component. It was approved with hotels and offices. And listen, this park has worked beautifully since that day, okay, with those two components.

So now they're coming to you, and they're going to tell you -- well, they told you, listen, we want to put in 800 units. And that's not, by law -- by law, it's not a substantial deviation.

I submit to you that they're wrong. And I also submit to you that staff is wrong, because I'11 read to you what the law says. It says:
"Any development order" -- and what you're going to approve or recommend approval is a development order, okay -"which individually or cumulative with any previous changes is more than the
numerical" -- and they have a coefficient. State law has a coefficient that tells you, if you go beyond this many units, you're a substantial deviation, okay.

So what I'm trying to say to you is that the fact that Number 1, that use has never been reviewed by the State, never. Because they never had that use. They're introducing that use now, and it's going to be 800 units.

Now, if you don't mind, can I just look at my statutes here. The statute says:
"An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10 percent or 55 dwelling units, whichever is greater, is a substantial deviation." That's what the law says, okay. That's what the law says.

First of all, they don't have any units. So therefore, an increase of one unit is a substantial deviation because they don't have any. They're going to put 800 units.

Look, cutting through all of this, cutting through all of this -- and I think

I still got a little bit of more time, right? The fact is that this is not a simple application, okay. That is make-belief by the applicant. This is a substantial change in a DRI on Development of Regional Impact.

Not only that, but then they're asking you, they're asking you to take 10 acres from that DRI away. And the gentlemen who was here, he represents the property who wants to be taken out of the DRI, okay. And we'll be here again when he presents his item.

So what I'm trying to say to you is this. First of all, I want you to deny this application. But if you feel that you don't think that denial is proper, I think that you need to recommend that this application go through the substantial deviation compliance in the statutes. Because this application is in violation of the State law on substantial deviation.

Thank you, and I'm sorry that -- and by the way, by the way, I know that I cannot come back after he speaks.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Correct.
MR. PASTORIZA: Okay? But I can come back -- I can come back if you have questions, okay. And ask me questions. So I want you to keep that in mind.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: We11, does anybody have any questions for him before he leaves?

MR. PASTORIZA: No, no, no. I want to know what he says.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay.
MR. PASTORIZA: Okay?
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: A11 right.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: I did have one question for him before he sits.

MR. PASTORIZA: No, you cannot cross-examine me.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm not cross-examining you. I'm asking who your client is -- who you're representing.

MR. PASTORIZA: I represent the property owner next -- abutting the area.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: The name?
MR. PASTORIZA: I represent --
COUNCILMAN MORLEY: You have to get
on the mic. You have to speak on the mic, sir.

MR. PASTORIZA: I represent an authentic property owner, which -- whose property is in the immediate area of the DRI. The immediate area of the DRI.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Thank you.
MR. PASTORIZA: And by the way, Mr. Goldstein, you have my letters of objection, okay.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay, 1isten. You have to talk to us, right? Okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You didn't say who you represented in those letters either.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Al1 right, thank you.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I just wanted to clarify for the record. The owner of the property I think he's talking about is the Sen Properties. They're the developers of the 300, 272 unit apartment complex that's next door.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: It's okay. Let's let him finish. It's his turn to speak now. Go ahead.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: The statute is long and complicated, but as I said, it can be simplified fairly well, because every rule has exceptions. And you can fill a room with, you know -- my dad always told me this story. He said there was a town that had one lawyer. They never had any problems. Then when the second lawyer moved into town, suddenly everybody was in court everyday.

So two lawyers can always find a way to respectfully disagree. I direct you to the fact that the state agencies, the regional agencies, the County agree with the way that we've interpreted the statute. We know it's right.

The -- I'm going to read something to you, and then I'm done.

The proposed rezoning, the changes we're seeking are consistent with the Comp Plans office residential designation given that the project is a residential use permitted under the plan's designation.

The project is consistent with the County's objectives of utilizing vacant
properties within the Urban Development Boundary, and maximizing the densities and intensities in the uses of the urban core. This promotes the new urbanism objective of living and working in close proximity to each other, thus reducing vehicular traffic.

The area surrounding the property, known as Blue Lagoon. Is the center for many office and industrial buildings, but lacks a residential component. That is the letter of intent for that project. That's what the applicant said, and that's what Mr. Pastoriza put in his request for approval of that.

Now, at some point if you grant the change to the DRI, we will be back here to seek that kind of approval. We agree that that property is appropriate. We think that the more residential we could put around Blue Lagoon, the better. It controls traffic, it starts moving people that drive. They go west in the morning and east at night, instead of east in the morning and west at night.

That's why you want to develop this urban infill area. That's why you want to encourage the flexibility within the DRI scope to allow us to do it.

We urge you to -- and your role here is to recommend to the County Commission. We urge you to recommend approval subject to the conditions that were provided.

We stand ready to answer any questions. We've been doing this DRI and DRI's for a long time.

Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Thank you.
Okay. Now, the floor is closed for public discussion.

Mr. Brown?
COUNCILMAN BROWN: I have a question for you, but it doesn't pertain to tonight, but the future. What cities are doing when they build these residential units. They haven't -- also, they're incorporating low income, middle class, and those persons in the one percent group in the housing. Do you -- have you or will you, when you come back at a later
date, take those into consideration?
MR. GOLDSTEIN: We always will have to. Particularly when we get to the County Commissioners. As you know, there are some County Commissioners that place a great deal of emphasis on that.

I'11 tell you that our current plan is to try to address the firms and workers that work within this project, to try and encourage -- right now we don't have a residential developer. So this is to allow the ability to start doing residential. With that said, as you can imagine in an office park like this, there's all ranges of income and people that work in here. That would be the goal, to provide housing.

COUNCILMAN BROWN: Not the whole ranges of income. I would like at a later date for you to consider costing the units so that all rages can afford it.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. We will have to. We'11 certainly take that into very serious consideration, and we will be before you again at the time that we
choose to go do that.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay, thank you. Mr. Brown, is that it for you?

COUNCILMAN BROWN: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Morley?
COUNCILMAN MORLEY: I really don't have any questions. I was just going through it, and I was looking at what staff was taking about the regional impact, and staff basically agreed. As I looked at all the agencies and I looked at everybody that actually had a chance to either review that or either approved that, or had no objections, normally something of this substantial component, if there was some issue, someone would have found the issue.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I'11 direct your attention particularly to the Regional Planning Council.

MR. PASTORIZA: You did not ask him any question.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. MR. PASTORIZA: You did not ask -CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. Your
time is up. You cannot speak while he's speaking. You've had your time.

MR. PASTORIZA: He cannot either. You did not ask him a question.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: The Regional Planning Council is the decision maker -- it's the principal statewide decision maker.

MR. PASTORIZA: They did not ask you any questions.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. You cannot talk. You're time is up. Please. Thank you.

COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Okay. I guess that was more of a statement than anything else.

I don't have any other questions.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay. Council Member Kinchens?

VICE-CHAIR KINCHENS: Just one question for you. Explain to me what you mean by the removal of the Sofitel Hotel inside of the DRI.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Three years ago the DRI statute was changed significantly to -- three, four years ago -- to
eliminate the need to go through DRI's in this County anymore. So if somebody came in with this project now, there would be no requirement to go through a DRI.

However, what the statute said was, if you seek to change an existing DRI, you still comply with all the laws. However, if you want to terminate the DRI or leave the DRI, rescind the DRI, you can do that provided, however, that you have already addressed all the mitigation requirements. We've addressed all the mitigation requirements.

This one owner wants to just travel under the standard rules of the County, and not be limited by us. So we were -this is a hotel parcel. It has 281 units on it. We were originally approved for 1,400 units. If you look at our new program, we're pulling that out of the DRI and we're pulling out the hotel rooms. So instead of it being 1,400, we're asking for 1,119.

So they're just going to be subject to the normal rules that they would be
subject to, just like the owners of the adjacent property that Mr. Pastoriza represents.

So by pulling it out it means that they're go longer subject to our limitations. They can go and seek whatever changes from the County without having to amend the DRI. They can go do that. We're fine with that. We've worked with -- they're still in our project for purposes of association. We can still manage them and the relationship of their property to the adjacent buildings within here, but we don't really care whether they're subject to the DRI or not.

So we just try to play Switzerland, and be neutral as it relates to them pulling out of the DRI, and them pulling their uses out of the DRI so we're not taking advantage of that.

VICE-CHAIR KINCHENS: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: All right. Is there anything else?

VICE-CHAIR KINCHENS: No.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Okay. Are we
ready vote?
COUNCILMAN BROWN: Okay. I move that we accept per staff's recommendation with conditions, one, two, and three.

MR. JONES: Now, this is a recommendation to the County Commission that you're making. You could recommend whatever your motion is for approval to the Board of County Commissioners.

COUNCILMAN BROWN: My motion is for approva1.

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: On the front side of that page, Mr. Brown, did you see that part?

COUNCILMAN BROWN: On the recommendation?

MR. JONES: Can I read staff's recommendation before he makes the motion?

CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Yes.
MR. JONES: Okay. Approval of request Number 1, with a finding that this application does not result in a substantial deviation, and approval with conditions of request Number 2 through four. And then for the zoning request,
it's approval of request Number 5 subject to the acceptance of the proffered declaration of restrictions. That's the covenant.

COUNCILMAN BROWN: I move that the recommendations per development of regional impact request -- I'm not going to read it, and zoning request approval of Request Number 5.

COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Second.
MR. JONES: With acceptance of the proffered covenant.

COUNCILMAN MORLEY: With the acceptance of the proffered covenant.

MR. FITCH: Approvals of requests one through five

COUNCILMAN BROWN: The covenant, okay.

COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Second.
MR. JONES: So the motion is approval of the application, and that's going to be to the County Commissioner as per staff's recommendation with conditions and also along with the proffered declaration of restrictions.

Motion made by Councilman Brown, seconded by Councilman Morley.

Councilman Brown?
COUNCILMAN BROWN: Yes.
MR. JONES: Councilman Morley?
COUNCILMAN MORLEY: Yes.
MR. JONES: Vice-Chairwoman Kinchens?
VICE-CHAIR KINCHENS: Yes.
MR. JONES: Chairwoman Davis?
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Yes.
Motion passes unanimously.
MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for your time.

MR. PASTORIZA: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN DAVIS: Thank you, sir. Mr. Pastoriza, thank you very much.
(Thereupon, the proceeding was concluded:)
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# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners 

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 9 |
| Applicants | Somerset Academy, Inc. \& School Property Development Quail Roost, <br> LLC |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicants seek a district boundary change from EU-1 and EU-M <br> to EU-M, to permit a proposed kindergarten through 12th grade charter <br> school for 1,600 students on the subject property, which will be spaced <br> less than required from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). <br> Additionally, the applicants seek to modify the previously approved <br> plans for the existing church and school, and to permit the proposed <br> charter school with a variance for the location of parking and drives. |
| Location | 19701 SW 127 Ave, Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 9.87 acres |
| Existing Zoning | EU-1, Single-Family one acre Estate District <br> EU-M, Single-Family Modified Estate District |
| Existing Land Use | Religious and educational facility |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate Density <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Consistency | Consistent with the LUP map, and the interpretative text and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| Applicable <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-303.1(D)(7) Developmental Impact Committee, <br> Section 33-311(A)(3), Special Exception, Unusual use and New Uses, <br> Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variance From Other Than Airport <br> Regulations Standards, <br> Section 33-311(A)(7) Generalized Modification Standards <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Approval of request \#1, approval with conditions of request \#2, <br> and requests \#4 through \#6, and deferral of request \#3, with leave <br> to amend. |

This item was deferred from the March 22, 2018, meeting date of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) due to the cancellation of the scheduled meeting. However, for reasons that will be expanded upon in the zoning analysis below, staff notes that request \#3 needs to be deferred with leave to amend in order for an additional request for a non-use variance to allow less than the majority of the site containing the high school use spaced less than one mile from the UDB.

## REQUESTS:

1. DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M.
2. SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit a charter school.
3. SPECIAL EXCEPTION to waive the spacing requirement for a proposed charter school facilities from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) to permit:
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- A senior high school within 1 mile of the UDB.

4. SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit the expansion of an existing religious facility on to additional property to the north and south.
5. MODIFICATION of Condition \#2 of Resolution \#4ZAB-96-85, passed and adopted by the Zoning Appeals Board, last modified by Resolution \#CZAB14-33-03, passed and adopted by Community Zoning Appeals Board 14, reading as follows:

From: "2 That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled " Upper Room Assembly Addition to the Existing Church," as prepared by LPD Architects and dated 7/03/13 and consisting of 5 pages."

To: "2 That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled "Somerset Academy Charter School" as prepared by CIVICA Architecture and Urban Design, with three (3) sheets SP1 - SP-3 dated stamped received 10/16/17, and the remaining 9 sheets dated stamped received $8 / 28 / 2017$, for a total of 12 sheets."

The purpose of request \#5, is to allow the applicants to submit revised plans for the previously approved religious facility and private school, to show a proposed charter school in conjunction with the existing religious facility.
6. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit off-street parking and driveways to be located within $25^{\prime}$ of an official right-of-way line (not permitted).

The aforementioned plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROJECT HISTORY:

Pursuant to Resolution \#Z-190-81, a portion of the subject property was rezoned from EU-1, Single-Family one-acre Estate District, to EU-M, Single-family Modified Estate District. In 1985, pursuant to Resolution \#4-ZAB-96-85, the subject property was approved to permit a church, day nursery and kindergarten, along with variances to allow a building height of 46' (35' permitted), variances of the setback requirements for buildings of public assemblage, and the sign regulations. Additionally, said resolution restricted the school to 100 children up to the age of 7 years old. Subsequently, pursuant to Resolution \# 5-ZAB-4-95, the 1985 resolution was modified to allow an expansion of the religious facility along with ancillary non-use variance of the setback, parking and sign requirements. The plans for the religious and educational facility were further modified pursuant to Resolution \#CZAB14-33-03.

The applicants now seek a district boundary change to rezone the parcels to EU-M, and with this, to permit a charter school for 1,600 students, including a high school, within 1 mile of the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). The applicants also seek to modify the previously approved school and religious facility to accommodate the buildings for the charter school with variances of the parking regulations.
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Plans submitted with this application depict the development of the proposed kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade charter school in 2 phases. Phase 1 , will include a two-story $67,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . classroom building and Phase 2, a $16,784 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . gymnasium building. These structures will be added to the existing $15,840 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . school buildings and will also include recreational playground area and adequate parking on-site.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Zoning and Existing Use | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | EU-M/EU-1; church and school | Low Density Residential (2.5-6 <br> dua) |
| North | EU-1; single-family residences | Low Density Residential (2.5-6 <br> dua) |
| South | EU-1; single-family residences <br> BU-1A; shopping center | Low Density Residential (2.5-6 <br> dua) <br> Business and Office |
| East | EU-1; single-family residence <br> RU-1Z \& RU-1; single-family <br> residences | Low Density Residential (2.5-6 <br> dua) |
| West | EU-1; single-family <br> residences, vacant | Estate Density Residential (1- <br> 2.5 dua) \& Low Density <br> Residential (2.5-6 dua) |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The 9.87 -acre subject property is occupied by an existing religious and educational facility as well as two (2) vacant parcels to the north and south. Residential uses and some vacant parcels surround the subject parcel, along with commercial uses to the south.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicants to provide the community with additional education services for up to 1,600 students. Approval of the proposed charter school use in conjunction with the existing religious facility will increase the traffic impacts on the surrounding residential uses. However, based on the memorandum from the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), which is attached with this report, subject to conditions in its memorandum, approval of this application will meet the Levels of Service (LOS) on all the surrounding roadways impacted. Additionally, based on staff's analysis below, any visual or aural impacts from the increase in uses on the subject parcel will be adequately mitigated.

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

The Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) Land Use Plan (LUP) map designates the subject property for Low-Density Residential use. This category allows a range in density from a minimum of 2.5 to a maximum of 6 dwelling units per gross acre and is characterized by single family housing, e.g., single-family detached, cluster, zero lot line and townhouses. The applicants seek to permit a district boundary change from EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M (request \#1). The approval of the request could allow the applicant to develop the 9.87 -acre parcel with a
maximum of 59 residential units based on the CDMP Low-Density Residential designation on the LUP map. Staff notes that the EU-M zoning district proposed by the applicants allows residences with a minimum lot size of $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . Under the EU-M zoning district, the applicant could potentially develop the 9.87 net acre parcel with a maximum of twenty-eight residential units, which would be within the density threshold of the CDMP Low-Density Density designation on the LUP map.

The Low-Density Residential land use designation falls within the Residential Communities category that also permits neighborhood and community services including schools, parks, houses of worship, day care centers, group housing facilities, and utility facilities, only when consistent with other goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) and compatible with the neighborhood. Staff notes that the criteria to evaluate compatibility among proximate land uses is outlined in the CDMP Land Use Element, Policy LU4A, among which are access, traffic, parking, height, bulk scale of architectural elements, buffering, and landscaping as applicable. Additionally, existing uses and zoning classifications are not specifically depicted on the LUP map; however; all existing lawful uses and zoning deemed to be consistent with the CDMP. Staff notes that the subject property was previously approved to permit a church, day nursery and kindergarten, along with variances to allow a building height of $46^{\prime}$ ( $35^{\prime}$ permitted), along with variances of the setback requirements for buildings of public assemblage, and the sign regulations. Said resolution restricted the school to 100 children up to the age of 7 years old.

The applicants seek to permit a proposed kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade charter school for 1,600 students on the subject property with ancillary requests to permit the modification of the previously approved plans to show the charter school, the rezoning of portions of the property to EU-M, the expansion of the religious and educational facility onto additional property, to permit the educational facility spaced less than required from the UDB and a non-use variance to permit the drive-ways within 25 ' of the abutting roadway.

Staff notes that based on the zoning hearings records, a religious facility and school has existed at this location since 1985. As noted below in staff's zoning analysis below, apart from the encroachment of the driveways along the east property line, the increased number of buildings on site have been designed in a manner that will not create any visual or aural impacts on the surrounding residential uses. Staff opines that approval with conditions of the application will allow the applicants to maintain the previously educational facility as a charter school with additional variances to the zoning district regulations. Although the applicant has indicated the intent to increase the number of students in the school to 1,600 , from the 100 students that were previously approved, based on the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) memorandum dated October 19, 2017, approval with conditions of the requests will not result in an increase in the Levels Of Service (LOS) on the abutting roadways.

Further, staff notes that the properties on either side of SW 127 Avenue in this area are zoned either EU-1 or EU-M. For the reasons to be expanded upon in the zoning analysis below, the rezoning of the entire parcel to EU-M will bring the parcels closer to conformity with the density threshold allowed under the Low-Density residential designation of the subject property on the CDMP LUP map.

As such, staff opines that the approval with conditions of the applicant's requests to permit a charter school in place of the previously approved private school use with ancillary requests will
be compatible with the surrounding residential uses based on the criteria for compatibility set forth in the CDMP Land Use Element, Policy LU-4A.

The applicants are also requesting to permit a kindergarten through high school charter school within a 1 mile of the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). The CDMP Educational Element Policy EDU-3A states that new elementary schools should be located at least $1 / 4$ mile inside the UDB; middle schools should be located at least $1 / 2$ mile inside the UDB and new senior high schools should be located at least one (1) mile inside the UDB. Further, said Policy states that in substantially developed areas of the County where suitable sites in full conformance with the foregoing are not available and a site or portion of a site for a new school must encroach closer to the UDB, the majority of the site should conform with the foregoing location criteria and the principal school buildings and entrances should be placed as far as functionally practical from the UDB.

The proposed charter school comprised of grades K through 12 does not meet the criteria of Educational Element Policy EDU-3A, because the charter school will be located less than a 1 from and inside the UDB which runs parallel to SW 134 Ave located to the west of the subject property. However, as is required by Section 33-154 of the Code, and expanded upon in the zoning analysis below, staff notes that the applicant has submitted documentation regarding the proximity of the charter school to the UDB, which is located to the north of the subject site. The applicant indicates that the majority of the parcels located within a mile of the subject site are developed or approved for development and that no other site located at least one (1) mile from the UDB that would be suitable for the proposed charter school comprised of elementary, middle and high school use. The site plan submitted in conjunction with this application depicts the proposed two-story school buildings located in close proximity to the east and north portions of the property, with the main entrances to the school located on the east and north elevations away from the UDB. Staff opines that the design of the building and location of the entrances away from the UDB is consistent with Educational Element Policy EDU-3A which states that the principal school buildings and entrances should be placed as far as functionally possible from the UDB.

Therefore, staff opines, that approval of the application would be consistent with the CDMP Land Use Element Interpretative text under Residential Communities, the density threshold permitted in areas designated for Low-Density Residential uses on the CDMP Land Use Plan (LUP) map, the CDMP Educational Element Policy EDU-3A and would satisfy the criteria for compatibility outlined in the CDMP Land Use Element, Policy LU-4A.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

The applicants seek to rezone the entire subject parcel from EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M (request \#1). In addition, the applicants also seek to permit a charter school (request \#2), to permit said charter school with a high school component within 1 mile of the UDB (request \#3), to permit the expansion of an existing educational facility onto additional property to the north and south (request \#4), and finally, to modify the previously approved plans for the religious facility and private school to show the charter school in conjunction with the religious facility (request \#5). Staff notes that Section 33-154 of the Code requires that the majority of the subject site and the ground floor square footage containing the proposed high school use be located at least one mile inside the UDB. The submitted plans and special survey submitted by the applicants indicate that the subject site is located 0.77 miles to the east of the UDB, which in this section of the County, is located approximately along SW 134 Avenue. Since the site does not meet the requirements
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of the aforementioned section of the Code an additional non-use variance request will be required. Therefore, request \#3, to permit the high school component for the charter school within 1 mile of the UDB must be deferred with leave to amend in order to add the non-use variance request in accordance with the Code. As such, staff recommends deferral with leave to amend of request \#3.

When the applicants' request to rezone the subject parcel to EU-M, Single-Family Modified Estate District (request \#1), is analyzed under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, staff opines that the approval of the application would not have an unfavorable impact on the environment, the natural resources, or the economy of the County and would be compatible with the surrounding area. In addition, staff opines that the rezoning of the parcel from EU-1 and EU-M, to EU-M, would allow for the uniform development of the property under one zoning category. Further, staff opines that approval of this request will not have a negative impact on the surrounding roadways or transportation facilities based on the recommendations and/or information contained in memoranda from the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW).

Similarly, when requests \#2 and \#4 are analyzed under Section 33-311(A)(3) Special Exceptions, Unusual Uses and New Uses, as well as the modification of the previously approved plans under Section 33-311(A)(7), General Modification Standards, staff opines that approval of the same would be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

When analyzing request \#2 to permit a charter school, and the request to permit the expansion of the existing religious and educational facility onto additional property to the north and south (request \#4), under Section 33-311(A)(3) Special Exceptions, Unusual Uses and New Uses, based on the foregoing analysis, staff is of the opinion that the approval of the requests with conditions would be compatible with the surrounding area. Further, staff opines that based on the memoranda submitted by the departments reviewing the application, approval of the requests would not have an unfavorable effect on the economy of Miami-Dade County, will not tend to create a fire or other equally or greater dangerous hazard, or provoke excessive overcrowding of people. The Division of Environmental Resources Management of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources memorandum indicates that approval will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for potable water service, wastewater disposal, or stormwater management. Additionally the memorandum from the Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department does not object to the proposed application. Staff notes that the memoranda submitted by the Departments of Park, Recreation and Open Spaces, Water and Sewer, and Transit indicate no objection to the application. Based on the aforementioned department memoranda, staff opines that the requests will not result in, among other things, excessive noise or cause undue or excessive burden on public facilities.

As part of this application, the applicants submitted a Technical Memorandum, Traffic Impact Study, Site plans and a School Traffic Operations Plan for the Traffic Engineering Division (TED) of the DTPW to review. The applicants have indicated that the proposed 1,600 students will be distributed in the following manner: grades Kindergarten -1 st $=180$ students, grades $2-5=470$ students, and grades $6-8=350$ students, and grades $9-12=600$ students. The submitted Traffic Impact Study indicates that the applicants will utilize a staggered start in order to accommodate the increase in student count. Staff opines that the proposed four (4) staggered arrival and dismissal times separated by approximately thity (30) minutes will help to alleviate any potential increase in traffic in the surrounding area. The submitted study details how the arrival
and dismissal times will function. The applicant's Trip Generation Study indicates that the proposed charter school will generate 1,163 new AM peak hour trips.

The DTPW has indicated in its memorandum dated October 19, 2017, that the Traffic Impact Study submitted by the applicant has been approved pending the submittal of Off-Site Infrastructure Plans that address school zone signals, signs, and pavement markings, in accordance with current governing standards. Said memorandum indicates that the proposed application will generate 255 PM Peak Hour trips based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation manual, and will not exceed the Level of Service (LOS) on the surrounding roadways which currently range between LOS "B" and LOS "D". Among the roadways mentioned in the aforementioned memorandum are, SW 127 Avenue, south of SW 184 Street and south of SW 216 Street, SW 200 Street northwest of US 1 and Quail Roost Drive, west of SW 127 Avenue. Therefore, its memorandum indicated that the application meets the Traffic Concurrency criteria. In addition, said Department indicated in its memorandum that prior to the receipt of any future Certificate of Use, the following off-site conditions must be addressed and coordinated with Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) requirements: (1) a signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Quail Roost Drive and SW 200 Street, and (2) a left-turn restriction for southbound traffic at the intersection of Quail Roost Drive and SW 125 Avenue. Further, its memorandum states that the applicant will be required to install school speed zones for any existing or future uncontrolled school crossings that provide access to the school's property; and for any remote school crossings serving the school site that has been warranted as per the most recent governing standards.

Staff notes that the applicants have also submitted an AM Peak Hour chart showing the impact on, and distribution of the trips on the surrounding roadways. Although said chart indicates that there will be a total of 1,163 projected new trips on said roadways, which includes SW 127 Avenue, SW 125 Avenue and Quail Roost Drive, staff notes that the LOS standards during the study period of 7:00AM to 8:00 AM will not increase beyond LOS "C" and LOS "D". As such, staff opines that subject to the conditions outlined in the DTPW memorandum, approval of the application will not have a negative impact on the LOS standards for the surrounding roadways. Therefore, based on the aforementioned analysis, staff opines approval with conditions of the application would not have a negative impact on traffic on the abutting roadways and would be compatible with the surrounding area.

Staff notes that the request to permit the expansion of the religious and educational facility onto additional property to the north and south (request \#4), and with this, the request to modify the previously approved plans for the religious and educational facility (request \#5), are inextricably tied to the request to permit the charter school (request \#2). Additionally, as previously mentioned, based on the information provided in the AM Peak Hour Traffic chart provided by the applicants, the approval of these requests will not result in an increase in the LOS standards on the surrounding roadways. As such, for the reasons expanded upon in the analyses of the aforementioned requests, staff opines that the approval of request \#4, under Section 33-311(A)(3) Special Exceptions, Unusual Uses and New Uses, and request \#5, under Section 33-311(A)(7), Generalized Modification Standards, would be compatible with the surrounding area and would not result in, among other things, excessive noise or cause undue or excessive burden on public facilities. Therefore, when considering the necessity for and reasonableness of the applied for use in relation to the present and future development of the area and the compatibility of the applied for use with the area and its development, staff opines that the proposed charter school is compatible with the same based on the reasons stated above. As such, staff recommends
approval with conditions of requests \#2 and \#4, under Section 33-311(A)(3) Special Exceptions, Unusual Uses and New Uses, approval with conditions of request \#5 under Section 33-311(A)(7), Generalized Modification Standards and deferral with leave to amend of request \#3.

The applicants also seek approval to permit parking and driveways for the religious facility and school within 25 ' of abutting rights-of-way (request \#6). When this request is analyzed under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), the Non-Use Variance (NUV) From Other Than Airport regulations Standards, staff opines that approval with conditions of this request would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations. The submitted plans indicate parking spaces abutting SW 127 Avenue to the west, as well as a driveway abutting SW 125 Avenue to the east, within the 25 ' of the rights-of-way. Staff notes that the parking spaces abutting SW 127 Avenue already exist, and as such, no new visual impacts will be created. However, the proposed driveway abutting SW 125 Avenue, which will be used for drop-off and pick-up of students, could have a visual and aural impact on the abutting residences to the east. Nonetheless, the plans indicate a continuous hedge and a row of trees along the east property line, which staff opines could mitigate the aforementioned impacts. As such, as a condition for approval, staff recommends that the aforementioned hedge and trees along the east property line be installed, prior to final permit approval for the proposed two-story classroom buildings and the driveway. Subject to this and other conditions, staff opines that approval of request \#6 would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations and would be compatible with the surrounding primarily residential uses. As such, staff recommends approval with conditions of request \#6 under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b) NonUse Variances From Other Than Airport Regulations.

ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING: The submitted plans indicate two (2) ingress/egress drives along the western property line abutting SW 127 Avenue and two (2) drives along SW 125 Avenue to the east. Additionally, the plans indicate 186 parking spaces on-site, which is 28 more spaces than required to accommodate the existing religious facility and school. Additionally, the plans show 84 stacking spaces for the drop off and pick-up of students within the subject property which staff opines is adequate to accommodate the number of students and staff.

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER REVIEW: (see attached).
OTHER: Not applicable.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of request \#1, approval with conditions of request \#2, and requests \#4 through \#6, and deferral of request \#3, with leave to amend.

CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL: (For requests \#2, and \#4 through \#6 only.)

1. That all conditions of Resolution \#4ZAB-96-85, passed and adopted by the Zoning Appeals Board, last modified by Resolution \#CZAB14-33-03, remain in full force and effect except as herein modified.
2. That the use be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan.
3. That the applicants submit to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources for its review and approval a landscaping plan which indicates the type and size of plant material prior to the issuance of a building permit and to be installed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
4. That the applicants shall comply with all of the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Department of Transportation and Public Works as may be contained in its memorandum dated, October 19, 2017.
5. That the applicants comply with all the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Division of Environmental Resources of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as contained in its memorandum dated March 20, 2017.
6. That the applicants comply with all the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Miami-Dade Police Department as contained in its memorandum dated January 24, 2017.
7. That the landscaping abutting the residential uses located to the east be installed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Use for the building completed in phase 1 of the development.
8. That the charter school use be limited to grades Kindergarten through $8^{\text {th }}$ and be limited to a maximum of 1,600 students.
9. That there will be staggered shifts at arrival/dismissal times for students at the charter school, separated by a minimum of 30 minutes. The arrival and dismissal times shall be as follows:

## Arrival Times/Departure Times

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7:30 a.m. to } 2: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . \\
& \text { 8:50 a.m. to } 3: 50 \mathrm{p.m} . \\
& \text { 8:30 a.m. to } 3: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . \\
& \text { 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. }
\end{aligned}
$$

10. That the owner shall have trained personnel on site to manage the traffic operations during the arrival and dismissal period.
11. That at time of yearly renewal of Certificate of Use, the applicants shall submit to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources a letter from the principal of the school detailing the number of students and the grade levels that are currently enrolled in said facility.
12. That the applicants shall provide an annual traffic report to be submitted and reviewed by the Department of Transportation and Public Works and the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources prior to the issuance of the annual Certificate of Use, that verifies compliance with the approved TOP.
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13. That no outside speakers other than in connection with emergency systems shall be permitted on the property.
14. That the waste pick-up for the charter school shall be performed by a private commercial entity and shall be limited to pick-up between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except during arrival and dismissal times.
15. That night activities and/or special events shall be limited to twelve (12) events per year and shall end no later than 10:00 PM.
16. That the outside lighting shall be permitted with the proper shielding according to MiamiDade County Code.
17. That if the charter school fails after establishment, the owner, within thirty-six months of the charter school's closure shall:
a) Cause the charter school to be in full compliance with all zoning regulations applicable to the Property allowing a use other than the charter school.
b) Transfer the operation of the charter school to another charter school operator or to the Miami-Dade County School Board after securing the necessary approvals from the Miami-Dade School Board; or
c) Convert the charter school to a permitted use within the zoning district applicable to the property, provided said use has first been authorized through the issuance of the appropriate permits from the Department; or
d) Secure necessary public hearing approvals to convert the charter school to a use not otherwise permitted within the zoning district applicable to the property.

NK:JB:NN:CDH:CH
Nathan Kogon, AICP, Development Coordinator
Development Services Divisjon
Miami-Dade County
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

| NORTH SECTION - COSTCO | SOUTH SECTION - LARIOS | WEST SECTION - HOME DEPOT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 166 | 13 |
| 14 | 20 | 13 |
| 24 | 5 | 4 |
| 11 | 8 | 14 |
| 21 | 25 | 10 |
| 4 | 25 | 10 |
| 12 | 26 | 16 |
| 55 | 15 | 6 |
| 55 | 19 | 7 |
| 55 | 34 | 10 |
| 58 | 23 | 10 |
| 58 | 3 | 7 |
| 58 | 3 | 14 |
| 58 | 8 | 10 |
| 30 | 17 | 25 |
| 20 | 7 | 32 |
| 20 | 6 | 42 |
| 16 | 9 | 44 |
| 32 | 16 | 39 |
| 32 | 38 | 43 |
| 31 | 38 | 28 |
| 30 | 40 | 7 |
| 15 | 38 | 9 |
| 15 | 40 | 11 |
| 28 | 36 | 3 |
| 35 | 37 | 42 |
| 36 | 3 | 28 |
| 36 | 1 | 6 |
| 36 | 3 | 44 |
| 36 | 8 | 45 |
| 38 | 11 | 33 |
| 40 | 7 | 19 |
| 33 | 4 | 644 |
| 22 | 10 |  |
| 20 | 10 |  |
| 9 | 6 |  |
| 9 | 20 |  |
| 18 | 785 | PROVIDED PARKING: 2,606 |
| 20 |  | REQUIRED PARKING: 2,389 |
| 18 |  | SURPLUS PARKING: 217 |
| 2 |  |  |
| 1177 |  |  |
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| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS** |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> (RER) | No objection |
| Department of Public Works and Transportation | No objection |
| Department of Solid Waste Management | No objection |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Police | No objection |
| Aviation | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT

| Low-Density <br> Residential <br> (Pg. I-31) | The residential densities allowed in this category shall range from a minimum of 2.5 to a maximum of 6.0 units per gross acre. This density category is generally characterized by single family housing, e.g., single-family detached, cluster, zero lot line and townhouses. It could include low-nise apartments with extensive surrounding open space or a mixture of housing types provided that the maximum gross density is not exceeded. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential Communities (Pg. I-26) | The areas designated Residential Communities permit housing types ranging from detached single-family to attached multifamily buildings, as well as different constructions systems. Also permitted in residential Communities are neighborhood and community services including schools, parks, houses of worship, day care centers, group housing facilities, and utility facilities only when consistent with other goals, objectives and policies of this Plan and compatible with the neighborhood. The character of the "neighborhood" reflects the intensity and design of developments mix of land uses, and their relationship. |
| Institutions, Utilities and Communications (Pg. I-53) | Neighborhood or community-serving institutional uses, cell towers and utilities inc/uding schools, libraries, sanitary sewer pump stations and fire and rescue facilities in particular, and cemeteries may be approved where compatible in all urban land use categories, in keeping with any conditions specified in the applicable category, and where provided in certain Open Land subareas. Compatibility shall be determined in accordance to Policy LU-4A. Co-location of communication and utility facilities are encouraged. Major utility and communication facilities should generally be guided away from residential areas; however, when considering such approvals, the County shall consider such factors as the type of function involved, the public need, existing land use patterns in the area and altemative locations for the facility. All approvals must be consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. |
| Educational <br> Element <br> Policy EDU-3A <br> (Pg. X-5) | It is the policy of Miami-Dade County that the Miami-Dade County Public Schools shall not purchase sites for schools nor build new schools outside of the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), and that new elementary schools constructed should be located at least $1 / 4$ mile inside the UDB; new middle schools should be located at least $1 / 2$ mile inside the UDB, and; new senior high schools should be located at least one mile inside the UDB. In substantially developed areas of the County where suitable sites in full conformance with the foregoing are not available and a site or portion of a site for a new school must encroach closer to the UDB, the majority of the site should conform with the foregoing location criteria and the principal school buildings and entrances should be placed as far as functionally practical from the UDB. The same criteria of this paragraph that apply to public schools also pertain to private schools. |
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```
Land Use Policy
LU-4A
(Page l-11)
```

When evaluating compatibility among proximate land uses, the County shall consider such factors as noise, lighting, shadows, glare, vibration, odor, runoff, access, traffic, parking, height, bulk, scale of architectural elements, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering, and safety, as applicable.

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTS/STANDARDS

| Section 33-311 |
| :--- |
| District |
| Boundary |
| Change |

(A) The Community Zoning Appeals Boards are advised that the purpose of zoning and regulations is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to lessen the congestion in the highways; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers, to promote health, safety, morals, convenience and the general welfare; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land and water; to avoid undue concentration of population; to facilitate the adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses and with a view to conserving the value of buildings and property and encouraging the most approprate use of land and water throughout the County.
(F) Section 33-311 provides that the Board shall take into consideration, among other factors the extent to which:
(1) The development permitted by the application, if granted, conforms to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan for Miami-Dade County, Florida; is consistent with applicable area or neighborhood studies or plans, and would serve a public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered;
(2) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of Miami-Dade County, including consideration of the means and estimated cost necessary to minimize the adverse impacts; the extent to which alternatives to alleviate adverse impacts may have a substantial impact on the natural and human environment; and whether any irreversible or irretnevable commitment of natural resources will occur as a result of the proposed development;
(3) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida;
(4) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, education or other necessary public facilities which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction;
(5) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden or affect public transportation facilities, including mass transit, roads, streets and highways which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction, and if the development is or will be accessible by public or private roads, streets or highways.

## Section 33-

Special exceptions (for all applications other than public charter schools), unusual and new uses.
311(A)(3)
Special
Exception,
Unusual and
New Uses Hear application for and grant or deny special exceptions, except applications for public charter schools; that is, those exceptions permitted by the regulations only upon approval after public hearing, new uses and unusual uses which by the regulations are only permitted upon approval after public hearing; provided the applied for exception or use, including exception for site or plot plan approval, in the opinion of the Community Zoning Appeals Board, would not have an unfavorable effect on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida, would not generate or result in excessive noise or traffic, cause undue or excessive burden on public facilities, including water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, transportation, streets, roads, highways or other such facilities which have been constructed or which are planned and budgeted for construction, are accessible by private or public roads, streets or highways, tend to create a fire or other
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|  | equally or greater dangerous hazards, or provoke excessive overcrowding or concentration of people or population, when considering the necessity for and reasonableness of such applied for exception or use in relation to the present and future development of the area concerned and the compatibility of the applied for exception or use with such area and its development. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Use <br> Variances From <br> Other Than <br> Airport <br> Regulations. <br> Section 33- <br> 311(A)(4)(b | Upon appeal or direct application in specific cases, the Board shall hear and grant applications for non-use variances from the terms of the zoning and subdivision regulations and may grant a non-use variance upon a showing by the applicant that the non-use variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations, which is to protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the community and provided that the non-use variance will be otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community. No showing of unnecessary hardship to the land is required. |
| Section 33311(A)(7) Generalized Modification Standards | The Board shall hear applications to modify or eliminate any condition or part thereof which has been imposed by any final decision adopted by resolution; and to modify or eliminate any provisions of restrictive covenants, or parts thereof, accepted at public hearing, except as otherwise provided in Section 33-314(C)(3); provided, that the appropriate Board finds after public heaning that the modification or elimination, in the opinion of the Community Zoning Appeals Board, would not generate excessive noise or traffic, tend to create a fire or other equally or greater dangerous hazard, or provoke excessive overcrowding of.people, or would not tend to provoke a nuisance, or would not be incompatible with the area concerned, when considering the necessity and reasonableness of the modification or elimination in relation to the present and future development of the area concemed, or (b) (i) that the resolution that contains the condition approved a school use that was permitted only as a special exception, (ii) that subsequent law permits that use as of night without the requirement of approval after public hearing, and (iii) that the requested modification or elimination would not result in development exceeding the standards provided for schools authorized as a matter of right without the requirement of approval after public hearing. |
| 33-153 Public hearing required in all districts | The establishment, expansion or modification of a charter school facility is permitted in any zoning district after public hearing upon demonstration that the standards established in this article have been met. Any existing covenant or declaration of restrictions relating to an existing charter school facility shall be modified or deleted only in accordance with the provisions of Article XXXVI of this code. |
| 33-154 <br> Limitations on the siting of public charter school facilities | a) New kindergarten, elementary, middle and senior high charter school facilities as well as the expansion of existing charter school facilities shall be prohibited on sites located outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), as established in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. <br> (b) Except as provided in subsection (c) below, the following new charter school facilities and the expansion of such facilities shall be located inside the UDB and spaced from the UDB as follows: <br> (1) Kindergarten, Elementary school: at least $1 / 4$ mile inside the UDB <br> (2) Middle school: at least $1 / 2$ mile inside the UDB <br> (3) Senior high school: at least one mile inside the UDB. <br> (c) A proposed new kindergarten, elementary, middle, or senior high charter school facility, or the expansion of an existing charter school site, inside but closer to the UDB than indicated in (b) above, may be approved at public hearing, when it is demonstrated that within a onehalf mile radius of the outer boundaries of the proposed new charter school or charter school expansion site: |

## ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM
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Date: $\quad$ March 20, 2017
To: Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor/Director Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

From: Jose Gonzalez, P.E.
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources


The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code) and meets the minimum requirements of the Code. Accordingly, the application may be scheduled for public hearing.

## Wellfield Protection

The subject property is located within the outer wellfield protection zone of the South Miami Heights Wellfield Complex. Development of the subject property shall be in accordance with the regulations established in Section 24-43 of the Code.

Since the subject request involves a non-residential land use or a zoning category which permits a variety of non-residential land uses, the owner of the property has submitted a properly executed covenant running with the land in favor of Miami-Dade County, as required by Section 24-43(5) of the Code. The covenant provides that hazardous materials shall not be used, generated, handled, discharged, disposed of or stored on the subject property.

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required, in accordance with Code requirements. All sewer lines serving the property shall comply with the exfiltration standards as applied to development within wellfield protection areas.

Existing public water and public sanitary sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction of the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the
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system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

Applicant is advised that any development/redevelopment involving 2 acres or more of impervious area shall require a Surface Water Management General Permit from DERM Water Control Section for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval. The applicant is advised to contact the DERM Water Control Section at (305)372-6681 for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

A Surface Water Management General Permit from DERM Water Control Section shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval.

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage must be provided for the 5 -year/1-day storm event.

Site grading and development shall provide for the full retention of the 25 -year/3-day storm event and shall also comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order.

## Tree Preservation

A review of the information submitted in support of the application indicates impacts to tree resources, specifically relocation and removal of regular-sized trees. A recommendation of approval from DERM is conditioned that the applicant obtain a tree permit and that no specimen trees (trees with a diameter at breast height of 18 inches or greater) are impacted. Should the applicant require impacts to specimen trees, substantive changes to the site plan pursuant to the specimen tree standards outlined in Section $24-49.2(I I)(2)$ of the Code shall be made.

A Miami-Dade County Tree Permit is required prior to the removal and/or relocation of any tree that is subject to the Tree Preservation and Protection provisions of the Code. Projects and permits shall meet the requirements of Sections 24-49.2 and 24-49.4 of the Code, specifically the specimen tree standards. A recommendation of approval is contingent on the applicant obtaining a tree permit.

It is advised that pursuant to Policy Con-81 of the CDMP and Section 24-49.9 of the Code, should there be exotic pest plant and nuisance species as listed in Section 24-49.9 of the Code present on the subject property, they shall be removed prior to development or redevelopment and developed property shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species. DERM also recommends that this requirement be included as a condition of any zoning approval.

Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305)372-6574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.
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## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

In summary, this application cannot be approved at this time and therefore should not be scheduled for public hearing.

If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources


Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources 111 NW 1 Street $11^{\text {th }}$ Floor Miami, Florida 33128

## CHILD CARE CHECKLIST FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS

A signed charter contract from the Miami-Dade County School Board must accompany this application Which matches the location, \# of students and grade levels of the proposed application.



THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS COMPLETE AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.


## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

$\qquad$ day of a ember 2016 , before me personally appeared who executed the foregoing instrument , to me known to be the person described in an free act for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.
My Commission Expires: $\qquad$

Date: October 19,2017
To: Nathan Kogon
Assistant Director
Regulatory and Economic Resource Department

From:

Subject:


Name: Somerset Acadefly Inc. and School Property Development Quail Roost, LLC Section 01 Township 56 South Range 39 East
I. PROJECT LOCATION:

The property is located at 19701 SW 127 Avenue.
II. APPLICATION REQUEST:

This application is requesting approval of the rezoning of the property from EU-1 to EU-M, a special exemption to permit a charter school to up to 1,500 students in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$, a special exception to permit the expansion of the religious facility and a modification of approved plans.

## III. EXISTING ROADWAYS SERVICEABLE TO THIS APPLICATION:

This application is being serviced from the north and south by SW 125 Avenue and SW 125 Avenue and from the east and the west by SW 200 Street.
IV. RECOMMENDATION:

Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) Traffic Engineering Division (TED) has no further objection to the Traffic Impact Study and the Traffic Operating Plan for subject application. The application is now approved pending submittal of Off-Site Infrastructure Plans that address school zone signals, signs, and pavement markings, in accordance with the current governing standards.
V. ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC GENERATION AND CONCURRENCY:
A. Trip Generation (Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

255 PM Peak Hour trips are generated by this application
B. Cardinal Distribution

North $\quad 47 \% \quad$ East $29 \%$
South $16 \% \quad$ West $8 \%$
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## VI. IMPACT ON EXISTING ROADWAYS:

## A. CONCURRENCY:

Station 9758 located on SW 117 Avenue northwest of US 1, has a maximum LOS "D" of 1370 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 954 vehicles and 6 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9758 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS " $\mathbf{D}$ ". The 36 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 954 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 6, equal 996 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "D" whose range is 720 to 1370 .

Station 9788 located on SW 127 Avenue south of SW 184 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of $\mathbf{1 1 7 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9788 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS " B ". The 31 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 650 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 140, equal 821 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " $B$ " whose range is up to 890.

Station 9790 located on SW 127 Avenue south of SW 216 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of 750 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 212 vehicles and 106 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9790 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The $\mathbf{4 2}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 212 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 106, equal 360 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " $C$ " whose range is up to 380.

Station 9876 located on SW 184 Street west of SW 117 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "D" of 3130 velhicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Four Period (PHP) of 1480 vehicles and 67 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9876 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 44 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1480 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 67 , equal 1591 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 2330 .

Station 9890 located on SW 200 Street northwest of US 1 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "D" of 1040 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 876 vehicles and 39 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9890 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS " D ". The 19 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 876 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 39, equal 934 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "D" whose range is 190 to 1040.

Station F-54 located on Quail Roost Drive west of SR 821/Florida's Turnpike, has a maximum LOS "SUMMA" of $\mathbf{3 5 8 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak How Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{3 1 1 9}$ vehicles and 110 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station $\mathrm{F}-54$ with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The $\mathbf{6 2}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 3119 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 110, equal 3291 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 3420 .

Station F-1116 located on Quail Roost Drive west of SW 127 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "SUMMA" of $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{1 3 5 2}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-1116 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "D". The 21 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1352 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 173, equal 1546 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "D" whose range is 1510 to 1600 .

## VII. DEVELOPMENT IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT:

In addition, the following specific off-site conditions must be addressed and coordinated with Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) prior to receipt of any future Certificate of Use:

1. Signal warrant analysis (and new signal design, if warranted) for the intersection of Quail Roost Drive and SW 200 Street.
2. Left-turn restriction for southbound traffic at the intersection of Quail Roost Drive and SW 125 Avenue.

The applicant will be required to install school speed zones, as per the most recent governing standards and upon all required agency approvals, for any existing or future uncontrolled school crossings that provide direct access to the school's property; and for any remote school crossings serving the school site that has been warranted as per the most recent governing standards. Failure to provide school speed zones or other off-site infrastructure plans that meets with County approval will prohibit the school from obtaining a future Certificate of Use. Please contact TED for information relating to recent Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Speed Zoning updates as they relate to school zones."

## VIII. SITE PLAN CRITIQUE:

1. Northern most portion of the site must remain vacant.
2. This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

## IX. STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. A letter or a plan containing the following certification signed and sealed by a State of Florida registered engineer shall be submitted as part of the paving and drainage plans: "I hereby certify that all of the roads for the subject project comply with all of the applicable portions of the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook) regarding: design speed, lane widths, horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, stopping sight distance, sight distance, horizontal clearance, vertical clearance, superelevation, shoulder widths, grades, bridge widths, etc."
2. Public sidewalks are required to extend across all school driveways around the site. This will include pedestrian ramps that meet American with Disability Act (ADA) specifications where applicable. All pedestrian crosswalks around the school must have zebra pavement markings.
3. Safe sight distance clearance is required at all driveways; therefore, no trees shall remain or be planted in any clear zones. No tree foliage or branches shall descend below 7 feet within the public right-of-way. All tree placements in sight triangles shall meet or exceed FDOT Index 546. Any proposed planting, relocation or removal of trees and other foliage including any installation of irrigation systems in the public right-of-way must be approved by the Right-of Way Aesthetics and Asset Management (R.A.A.M.) Division of the Parks Recreation and Open Spaces Department. Also, any relocation or removal of trees must be approved by RER. These approvals should be applied for, and received, prior to zoning approval of this project. A "Covenant for Maintenance" agreement, recorded in the public records, must be provided prior to permitting any of these types of installations within the public right-of-way.
4. Plans submitted for Permit shall conform to MUTCD, DTPW and other appropriate standards for engineering design in the public right-of-way. Prior to formal submittal of plans for approval and permitting, a Dry Run Paving and Drainage submittal is required to review compliance with zoning resolution conditions for approval and appropriate standards, and to rectify any discrepancies between existing facilities, plans, conditions for approval, or standards. Existing and proposed striping, signs, and lane widths must be shown on these plans for all adjacent roadways. Also, plans must indicate any existing or proposed private driveways across the streets adjacent to the school site.
5. All roadway improvements including, but not limited to, traffic signs, markings and signals shall be installed by the applicant adjacent to, or nearby, this facility to ameliorate any adverse vehicular impacts caused by the traffic attracted to this facility. Also, traffic control devices, e.g., crosswalks, may be required at locations remote from this site along safe routes to school to provide for pedestrian student safety. These requirements may be determined at the time of Dry Run submittal of Paving and Drainage Plans.
6. A letter or a plan containing the following certification signed and sealed by a State of Florida registered engineer shall be submitted as part of the paving and drainage plans: "I hereby certify that all of the roads for the subject project comply with all of the applicable portions of the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook) regarding: design speed, lane widths, horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, stopping sight distance, sight distance, horizontal clearance, vertical clearance, superelevation, shoulder widths, grades, bridge widths, etc."
7. Public sidewalks are required to extend across all school driveways around the site. This will include pedestrian ramps that meet American with Disability Act (ADA) specifications where applicable. All pedestrian crosswalks around the school must have zebra pavement markings.
8. Safe sight distance clearance is required at all driveways; therefore, no trees shall remain or be planted in any clear zones. No tree foliage or branches shall descend below 7 feet within the public right-of-way. All tree placements in sight triangles shall meet or exceed FDOT Index 546. Any proposed planting, relocation or removal of trees and other foliage including any installation of irrigation systems in the public right-of-way must be approved by the R.A.A.M. Also, any relocation or removal of trees must be approved by RER. These approvals should be applied for, and received, prior to DIC Executive Council approval of this project. A "Covenant for Maintenance" agreement, recorded in the public records, must be provided prior to permitting any of these types of installations within the public right-of-way.
9. Plans submitted for Permit shall conform to MUTCD, DTPW and other appropriate standards for engineering design in the public right-of-way. Prior to formal submittal of plans for approval and permitting, a Dry Run Paving and Drainage submittal is required to review compliance with DIC conditions for approval and appropriate standards, and to rectify any discrepancies between existing facilities, plans, conditions for approval, or standards. Existing and proposed striping, signs, and lane widths must be shown on these plans for all adjacent roadways. Also, plans must indicate any existing or proposed private driveways across the streets adjacent to the school site.
10. All roadway improvements including, but not limited to, traffic signs, markings and signals shall be installed by the applicant adjacent to, or nearby, this facility to ameliorate any adverse vehicular impacts caused by the traffic attracted to this facility. Also, traffic control devices, e.g., crosswalks, may be required at locations remote from this site along safe routes to school to provide for pedestrian student safety. These requirements may be determined at the time of Dry Run submittal of Paving and Drainage Plans,
c: Raul A. Fino, PLS, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

| Date: | June 21, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | June 21, 2017 |
| To: | Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director |
|  | Development Services |
|  | Department of Regulatory and Economic Respunces (RER) |
| From: | Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Green Assoquate |
|  | Chief, Planning \& Water Certification Section |
|  | Water and Sewer Department (WASD) |
| Subject: | tion Comments - Somerset Academy Charter School |
|  | Application Z2016000241-(Pre. App. No. Z16P-440) - Revision No. 3 |

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to
http ://www. miamidade. gov/water/water-supplv-certification, asp
In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use and with landscape standards in accordance with Sections 8-31, 32-84, 8A-381 and 18A and 18-B of the Miami-Dade County Code and consistent with Policies WS-5E and WS-5F of the CDMP, respectively.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to hitp://wows.miamidade gov/conservation/home.asp

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/library/WUE standards manual final.pdt

Sewer: The proposed development is located within WASD's sewer service area, and within the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (SDWWTP) sub-service area. This WWTP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Currently, there is adequate sewer treatment and disposal capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (2) of the COMP.

The existing property is currently being served by WASD. If a new connection to the sewer system is required, then, there is an existing 8 -inch sanitary gravity sewer line abutting the property along NW $125^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to where the developer may connect to provide sewer service to the proposed development. If Unity of Title does not apply, then, any gravity sewer within the property shall be public and 8 -inch minimum diameter. Final points of connection and capacity approval for connection to the sewer system will be provided at the time the applicant requests connection to the sewer infrastructure.

The sewage flow from the proposed development will be transmitted to Pump Stations (P.S.) 551, then, to P.S. 522. The projected sanitary sewer flows from this development will increase the NAPOT operating hours from 5.29 hrs, to 5.85 hrs . for P.S. 551, and from 3.33 hrs . to 3.34 hrs . for P.S. 522. The aforementioned pump stations are both currently under OK Moratorium Code Status and Unconditional Allocation is Allowed.

Below please find additional links to the WASD portal which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www miamidade,gov/water/construction-development, asp
http://www miamidade gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http://hww miamidade gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http:/www miamidade gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

# Memorandum 

To: $\quad$| Jack Osterholt, Director |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |

From: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ammad Riaz, P.E. } \\ & \text { Chief of Aviation Planning } \\ & \text { Aviation Department }\end{aligned} \quad A \cdot R$.
Subject: DIC Application No. 16-241
Somerset Academy
MDAD DN-17-02-2303

As requested by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) has reviewed Developmental Impact Committee (DIC) Application Number 16-241. The applicant is requesting a district boundary change from EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M; a non-use variance to vary the setback requirements; a special exception to permit the expansion of a religious facility on additional property and a charter school; and a modification of previously approved plans. The property consists of approximately 9.86 acres of land and is located at 19701 SW 127 Avenue in Miami, Florida.

MDAD does not object to the request provided that all proposed land uses comply with applicable federal, state and local aviation regulations including the Code of Miami-Dade County, Chapter 33, as it pertains to airport zoning.

C: J. Ramos
Jorge Vital, DIC Coordinator, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Date: June 21, 2017

| To: | Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor <br>  <br> Director; Regulatory and Economic Resources Department |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Matilde Reyes, Assistant Director <br> Subject: |
|  | Z2016, Recreation \& Open Spaces Depa/tment |
| Roost Drive, LLC |  |
|  | Updated Based On Letter of Intent Dated March 22, 2017 |

## Applicant Name: Somerset Academy Inc. and School Property Development Quail Roost Drive, LLC.

Project Location: The site is located at 19701 SW 127 Avenue, unincorporated Miami-Dade County.
Proposed Development: The request is for rezoning of the property from EU-1 and EU-M to EU-M, a special exception to permit a Charter School for up to 1,500 students, grades K -12, a special exception to permit the expansion of a religious facility onto additional property and a Charter School, and a modification of approved plans.

Impact and Demand: This application does not generate any additional residential population, and therefore the CDMP Open Space Spatial Standards do not apply.

Recommendation: PROS has no pertinent comments for this application concerning impact or demand on existing County parks, proposed or budgeted service expansion, nor do we perform a concurrency review. Based on our findings described herein, PROS has no objection to this application.

If you need additional information or clarification on this matter, please contact Stephanie Cornejo at (305) 755-7957.

MR: sc

Date: January 24, 2017
To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Development Services Division
Department of Regulatory and Economic. Resources
From: Juan J. Perez, Director
Miami-Dade Police Department
Subject: Review - Zoning Application - Case: No. Z2016000241
Somerset Academy, Inc. and School Property Development Quail Roost, LLC.

## APPLICATION

The applicants, Somerset Academy, Inc. and School Property Development Quail Roost, LLC., are requesting a district boundary change special exemption to permit a kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade charter school for up to 1,500 students. Additionally, the request also includes a special exemption to expand the current religious facility located on the property. The property is located on 9.86 acres at 19701 SW 127 Avenue in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## CURRENT POLICE SERVICES

The development would be located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County and serviced by our Hammocks District, located at 10000 SW 142 Avenue, Miami, Florida. Our current staffing allows for an average emergency response time of eight minutes or less.

## APPLICATION REVIEW

Current data of police staffing, population, projected developmental growth in the area, and crimes/calls for service was examined to project increased calls for service. Our review of the project uncovered concerns regarding the increase in vehicle traffic that will be generated by the school and related extracurricular activities.

We strongly encourage that the applicants work closely with the Department of Transportation and Public Works to solve traffic related issues. The development of traffic plans that does not include the use of police officers to assist in the flow of traffic is critical. Furthermore, a reduction in the number of students attending the charter school should be reviewed and considered.

The applicants are asked to provide a traffic study for review and are encouraged to work with police during any future ere and post application changes to determine the best possible solutions or security options.

Further recommendations should include, but not be limited to:

- Install additional traffic control devices on the appropriate streets surrounding the school as necessary.

Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
January 24, 2017
Page 2

- Establish an internal system and protocols to locate and identify the residence of any sexual offenders residing within 1,000 and 2,500 feet of the school as reflected in Florida Statute 775.215 and the Code of Miami-Dade County, Section 21.281(a), respectively.
- Develop and implement a parking and traffic plan that includes, but is not limited to, adequate parking during special events, traffic flow, and safe street crossing for pedestrians in and around the immediate area surrounding the campus.
- Meet regularly with residents and businesses in the surrounding area to discuss and remedy issues of mutual concern.

MDPD does not object or have further comments to the proposed zoning modifications to complete this project at this time.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, Sergeant Keith Hedrick, of our Strategic Planning and Development Section, may be contacted at 305-471-1990.

JJP/kh
Attachment

Date:
June 01, 2017
To: $\quad$ Nathan M. Kogon, Assistant Director Regulatory and Economic Resources

From: $\quad$ Alejandro G Cuello, Principal Planner Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department

Subject: Z2016000241

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objection to the site plan dated stamp received 05/31/17 Energov upload date.

For additional information, please contact at acuello@miamidade.gov or call 786-331-4545.

## ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

SCHOOL PROPERTY DEV. QUAIL ROOST, LLC/SOMERSET ACADEMY, INC

## APPLICANT

Pending

DATE

19701 SW 127 AVE
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

## ADDRESS

Z2016000241

HEARING NUMBER

FOLIO: 30-6901-004-0180/30-6901-004-0210/30-6901-004-0150/30-6901-004-0190/ 30-6901-004-0130/30-6901-004-0140

## REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:

October 13, 2017

## NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:

Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0180
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0210
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0150
There are no open/closed cases in CMS
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0190
There are no open/closed cases in CMS
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0130
Case No. 201701002364 was opened on 04/18/2017. Warning Notice No. W239801 was issued on 04/18/2017 for FAILURE TO PERFORM LOT MAINTENANCE AND REMOVE SOLID WASTE, JUNK, TRASH AND/OR DEBRIS IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT AS STATED IN 19-13(A)(2) \& (A)(1), TO WIT: OVERGROWN VACANT LOT, OVERGROWTH ENCROACHING WALKWAY AND ROADWAY: NORTHWEST CORNER INTERSECTION OF QUAIL ROOST DR AND SW 125 AVE. CANEGRASS, WEEDS, BUSHES, AND TREES SEVERELY OVERGROWN. LITTER

ACCUMILATION. Case was closed on 05/01/2017 due to "ACTIVE RIGHT OF WAY CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS SPONSORED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION."

Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0140
Case No. 201601002510 was opened on 06/22/2016. Decal D212788 issued on 06/23/2016 for having an "ABANDONED BOAT ON THE RIGHT OF SW 125 AVE BETWEEN SW 199 TER AND SW 198 ST. REGISTERED OUT OF STATE CA". Inspection conducted on 07/20/2016 revealed that the boat was gone on arrival. Case was closed on 10/20/2016.

BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0180
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.

## Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0210

There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0150
There are no open/closed cases in BSS
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0190
There are no open/closed cases in BSS
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0130
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
Folio No.: 30-6901-004-0140
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
VIOLATOR:
SCHOOL PROPERTY DEV. QUAIL ROOST, LLC/SOMERSET ACADEMY, INC

## OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:

There are no outstanding liens or fines.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

TRUST/ESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER: $\qquad$
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
Percentage of Interest


Date of contract: $\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

EXHIBIT A DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

Somerset Academy, Inc., a Florida Not For Profit Corporation

## Name and Address

- Suzette Ruiz, Vice President, 20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029
- Lourdes Isla, Vice-Chairperson/ Secretary/ Director 9010 NW 178 Lane, Miami, FL 33018
- Ana Diaz, Director/Secretary

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029

- David Concepcion, Director/Chairman

1530 NW 28 Avenue, Miami, FL 33125

- Louis Marin, Vice Chairman/ Director 20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029
- George Ozuna, Director

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029

- Tony Morales, Director $0 \%$

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029

- Raul Martinez, Director

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029

- Bernie Montero, President

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029

- Jennifer Esquijarosa, Director

20801 Johnson Street, Pembroke Pines, FL, 33029
$0 \%$
$0 \%$
Percentage of Stock
$0 \%$

0\%
$0 \%$
$0 \%$
$0 \%$
$0 \%$
$0 \%$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY APPLICANT

1. RER Platting and Traffic Review Section, RER Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM), and other County agencies review and critique zoning hearing applications which may affect the scheduling and outcome of my hearing. These reviews may require additional hearings before DERM's Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB), or other County boards, and/or the proffering of agreements to be recorded. I am also aware that I must comply promptly with any DERM or Platting and Traffic conditions and advise this office in writing if my application will be withdrawn.
2. Filing fees may not be the total cost of a hearing. Some requests require notices to be mailed to property owners up to a mile from the subject property and I am responsible for paying the additional radius mailing costs. In addition to mailing costs, I am responsible for additional fees related to application changes, plan revisions, deferrals, re-advertising, etc., that may be incurred. I understand that fees must be paid promptly, Applications withdrawn within 60 days of the filing are eligible for a refund of $50 \%$ of the hearing fee but after that time hearings withdrawn or returned will be ineligible for a refund. Refunds must be requested in writing.
3. Applicable Florida Building Code requirements may affect my ability to obtain a building permit even if my zoning application is approved; and a building permit will probably be required. I am responsible for obtaining any required permits and inspections for all structures and additions proposed, or built without permits. And that a Certificate of Use (C.U.) must be obtained for the use of the property after it has been approved at Zoning Hearing. Failure to obtain the required permits and/or C.U, Certifloates of Completion (C.C.) or Certificate of Occupancy (C.O.) will result in enforcement action against any occupant and owner. Submittal of the Zoning Hearing application may not forestall enforcement action against the property.
4. The 3rd District Court of Appeal has ruled that zoning applications inconsistent with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) should not be approved by a zoning board and the recommendation will be for denial or deferral. Therefore, I acknowledge that if the hearing request is inconsistent with the CDMP and I decide to go forward then my hearing request can only be denied or deferred, but not approved. I also understand that I will not be reimbursed any fees paid unless I withdraw within 60 days of filing and then I will receive a $50 \%$ refund.
5. Any covenant to be proffered must be submitted to the Department on County forms, at least 1 month prior to the hearing date. The covenant will be reviewed and the applicant will be notified if changes or corrections are necessary. Once the covenant is acceptable, the applicant is responsible to submit the executed covenant with a current 'Opinion of Title' within 1 week of the hearing. Legal Advisor can advise as to additional requirements applicable to foreign corporations. Documents submitted to the Department must carry a cover letter indicating subject matter, application number and hearing date.


My commission expires March 6, 2018
State of:


Sworn to and subscribed before me on the

$\frac{\text { Kallegh tazelton }}{\text { Print Name }}$


## APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT

The Undersigned, first being duly sworn depose that all answers to the questions in this application, and all supplementary documents made a part of the application are honest and true to the best of (my)(our) knowledge and belief. (I)(We) understand this application must be complete and accurate before the application can be submitted and the hearing advertised,


## OWNER OR TENANT AFFIDAVIT

on behalf of School Property Development Quail Roogt, LLC
(I)(WE),
on behalf of School Property Development Quail Roost, LLA다st duly sworn, depose and say that (I am)(we are) the $\square$ qwner $\square$ tenant of the property described and which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.


## PARTNERSHIP AFFIDAVIT

(I)(WE), $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, depose and say that ( 1 am) (we are) partners of the aforesaid partnership, and as such, have been authorized to file this application for a public hearing; and that said partnership is the $\square$ owner $\square$ tenant of the property described herein which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.


## ATTORNEY AFFIDAVIT

Law, and I am the Attorney for the Owner of the property described and which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.
Signature

Sworn to and subscribed to before me
this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ -

Notary Public:
Commission Expires
$\qquad$

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trust(s), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: School Development Quail Roost, LLC

NAME AND ADDRESS



If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall] If be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUST/ESTATE NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest

If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnerships), corporations), trusts) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Date of contract: $\qquad$

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Signature
$\qquad$ day of December 20 il lo
(Print Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Affiant is personally know -to me or has produced $\qquad$ NA as identification.

## $\frac{\text { Haúeaxu Homptrek }}{\text { (Notary Pubic) }}$

My commission expires: March 1, 2038

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY APPLICANT

1. RER Platting and Traffic Review Section, RER Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM), and other County agencies review and critique zoning hearing applications which may affect the scheduling and outcome of my hearing. These reviews may require additional hearings before DERM's Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB), or other County boards, and/or the proffering of agreements to be recorded. I am also aware that I must comply promptly with any DERM or Platting and Traffic conditions and advise this office in writing if my application will be withdrawn.
2. Filing fees may not be the total cost of a hearing. Some requests require notices to be mailed to property owners up to a mile from the subject property and I am responsible for paying the additional radius mailing costs. In addition to mailing costs, I am responsible for additional fees related to application changes, plan revisions, deferrals, re-advertising, etc., that may be incurred. I understand that fees must be paid promptly. Applications withdrawn within 60 days of the filing are eligible for a refund of $50 \%$ of the hearing fee but after that time hearings withdrawn or returned will be ineligible for a refund. Refunds must be requested in writing.
3. Applicable Florida Building Code requirements may affect my ability to obtain a building permit even if my zoning application is approved; and a building permit will probably be required. I am responsible for obtaining any required permits and inspections for all structures and additions proposed, or built without permits. And that a Certificate of Use (C.U.) must be obtained for the use of the property after it has been approved at Zoning Hearing. Failure to obtain the required permits and/or C.U., Certificates of Completion (C.C.) or Certificate of Occupancy (C.O.) will result in enforcement action against any occupant and owner. Submittal of the Zoning Hearing application may not forestall enforcement action against the property.
4. The 3rd District Court of Appeal has ruled that zoning applications inconsistent with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) should not be approved by a zoning board and the recommendation will be for denial or deferral. Therefore, I acknowledge that if the hearing request is inconsistent with the CDMP and I decide to go forward then my hearing request can only be denied or deferred, but not approved. I also understand that I will not be reimbursed any fees paid unless I withdraw within 60 days of filing and then I will receive a $50 \%$ refund.
5. Any covenant to be proffered must be submitted to the Department on County forms, at least 1 month prior to the hearing date. The covenant will be reviewed and the applicant will be notified if changes or corrections are necessary. Once the covenant is acceptable, the applicant is responsible to submit the executed covenant with a current 'Opinion of Title' within 1 week of the hearing. Legal Advisor can advise as to additional requirements applicable to foreign corporations. Documents submitted to the Department must carry a cover letter indicating subject matter, application number and hearing date.


Sworn to and subscribed before me on the


My commission expires
State of:


## APPLICANTS AFFIDAVIT

The Undersigned, first being duly sworn depose that all answers to the questions in this application, and all supplementary documents made a part of the application are honest and true to the best of (my)(our) knowledge and belief. (I)(We) understand this application must be complete and accurate before the application can be submitted and the hearing advertised.

## OWNER OR TENANT. AFFIDAVIT

(I)(WE), $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, depose and say that (lam)(we are) the $\square$ owner $\square$ tenant of the property described and which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.

## Signature

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , ,

Signature
Notary Public:
Commission Expires:

## CORPORATION AFFIDAVIT

(I)(WE), as authorized representative Upper Room Assembly, Inc., being first duly sworn, depose and say that ( 1 am)(we are) the $\square$ President $\square$ Vice-President $\square$ Secretary $\square$ Asst. Secretary of the aforesaid corporation, and as such, have been authorized by the corporation to file this application for public hearing; and that said corporation is the $\square$ owner tenant of the property described herein and which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.

(I)(WE), $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, depose and say that ( I am )(we are) partners of the aforesaid partnership, and as such, have been authorized to file this application for a public hearing; and that said partnership is the $\square$ owner $\square$ tenant of the property described herein which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.

(Name of Partnership)
$\qquad$
By \%
his $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ -.

Notary Public:
Commission Expires:

## ATTORNEY AFFIDAVIT

I, $\qquad$ , being first duly sworn, depose and say that I am a State of Florida Attorney at Law, and I am the Attorney for the Owner of the property described and which is the subject matter of the proposed hearing.

## Signature

Sworn to and subscribed to before me
Notary Public: $\qquad$
this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ Commission Expires $\qquad$

## OWNERSHIP AFFIDAVIT <br> FOR <br> CORPORATION

STATE OF f Loplof
Public Hearing No $\qquad$ country Mill ll bAde

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared $\qquad$ Elwoul / Paine as authorized representative of Upper Room Assembly, Inc., hereinafter the Affiant(s), who being first duly sworn by me, on oath, deposes and says:

1. Affiant is the president, vice-president or CEO of the Corporation hereinafter named
$\qquad$ , with the following address:
2. The Corporation owns the property which is the subject of the proposed hearing.
3. The subject property is legally described as:
$216-241$
$\qquad$
4. Affiant is legally authorized to file this application for public hearing.
5. Affiant understands this affidavit is subject to the penalties of law for perjury and the possibility of voiding of any zoning granted at public hearing.

## Witnesses: <br> 

Signature

## michael Glaser

Print Name


Edwardo 5 Pane
Print Affiant's Name

> Affiant's Signature

Print Affiant's Name

Sworn to and subscribed before me on the $2^{2016}$ day of December 20 .
Affiant is personally known to me or has produced Driver's License as identification

Commission Expires:


## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trust(s), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: See Exhibit A
NAME AND ADDRESS


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

TRUST/ESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporations), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS

Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE; by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Date of contract: $\qquad$


If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of all poppies of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Edward $E$ Paine
(Print Applicant name)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2 so $\qquad$ . Affiant is personally know to me or has produced Driver's License $\qquad$ as identification.

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.


Name and Address

- Bruce O. Klepp, President 15459 SW 143 Terrace, Miami, FL 33196
- Georges Bury, Director 12938 SW 215 Terrace, Miami FL 33177
- Jeffrey Oleson, Treasurer $0 \%$ 9740 SW 166 Terrace, Miami, FL 33157
- Paul Herdsman, Director 7880 SW 196 Terrace, Cutler Bay, FL 33189
- Ruben Rodriguez, Director 15371 SW 150 Street, Miami, FL 33196
- Edwin Cruz, Secretary $0 \%$ 1602 SW $16^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Homestead, FL 33035
- Thomas R. Cowey, Director $0 \%$ 15145 SW 172 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Miami, FL 33187
- Kevin T. Roach, Director $0 \%$ 11501 SW 232 Lane, Princeton, FL 33032
P.H. \# $\qquad$


## Owner's Sworn-to-Consent Permitting Tenant to File for a Hearing (Corporation)

On behalf of Upper Room Assembly, Inc., $\qquad$ a Florida Not For Profit (state) corporation, $\qquad$ being first duly sworn, deposes and says that as the President/Vice-President, or CEO (circle one) of the aforesaid Corporation, which is the Owner of the property legally described below and which is the subject property of the proposed hearing, does hereby grant consent to Somerset Academy, Inc. and School Property, as Tenant to file this application for a public hearing. Development Quail Roost, LLC


## Witnesses:



Print Name

## Signature

Print Name Address:

[*Note: All others require attachment of original corporate resolution of authorization]

## STATE OF

 Floridacounty of Miami-Dade
EdwaRd foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by behalf of the corporation. He/She is personally known to me or has anderporan, on Driver's License, as identification.

Witness my signature and official seal this jut day of December , 20/6, in the County and State aforesaid.

(19)

## ф <br> 多 $\frac{15}{\text { isch }}$



## 1 จ






MTाTTVTITV BGOLUCLLLUM

 ＋ ムムムムНムムム （771771\％ 4


（2）






## 




| $\therefore \text { Evane }$ |
| :---: |
| TMOBddV 30, 6 anss |
|  TEK Lis grimvDu try 7715004 ALE3AOBd 100HOS <br>  Lwronddy |
| DSioworisesocc ajp 2Litctiman - |
| Sndww Hinos gavo 1 BS\&3wos $133 \mathrm{cos} d$ |
|  |
| V |







| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 |

Section: 01 Township: 56 Range: 39
Applicant: School Property Dev, Quall Roost Luc
Zoning Board: Board of County Commissioners
Commission Distriet: 9
Drafter ID: E. Cespedes
Scale: NTS




# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners 

| $\quad$ Appeal Application Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission <br> District | 4 |
| Appellants | Jockey Club Condominium Apts., Inc. \& Jockey Club Condominium <br> Apts. Unit II, Inc. |
| Summary of <br> Requests | Application \#Z15-088: The applicants below, Apeiron Miami, LLC with <br> the consent of Jockey Club III Association, Inc., sought approval of a <br> Use Variance, a modification of a previously approved resolution, <br> deletion of a previously approved covenant, and an Unusual Use <br> request in order to allow for the submittal of revised plans showing the <br> addition of a 90 room hotel, 120 apartment units, and a parking garage <br> structure. Additionally, the applicants below sought to permit said <br> proposed development with increased heights, reduced setbacks, <br> reduced drives, and reduced parking spaces back-out aisles. Further, <br> the applicants sought to permit 2 detached signs on the subject <br> property with more area than allowed. Community Zoning Appeals <br> Board \#7 approved the requests. Appellants appeal the approval of <br> Application \#Z15-088, which is germane to the DIC Vested Rights <br> application below. |
| Application \#Z17-111: DIC Vested Rights. Appellants assert that <br> approval of Public Hearing Application \#Z15-088 would impair or limit <br> the Appellants' rights to use their property, and would result in an <br> abrogation of vested rights. Community Zoning Appeals Board \#7 <br> denied the vested rights claim. Appellants appeal the denial of <br> Application \#Z17-111. |  |
| Application \#Z17-192: Administrative Decision appeal. Appellants also <br> allege that the administrative decision to allow the reduced setback <br> and increased height for the 45-story tower proposed as part of <br> Application \#Z15-088 was an error. Appellants also allege that the <br> setback and height were measured without regard for certain interior <br> lots that are not owned by the applicants below, and that this <br> administrative decision was also an error. |  |
| Location | 11111, 11119, 11121 Biscayne Boulevard and 1580 NE 111 Street, <br> Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 22.67 Acres |
| Existing Zoning | BU-2 (Business - Special District) <br> RU-4 (Apartment 50 units / net acre) <br> RU-4A (Apartment House 50 units / net acre, Hotel 7 units / net acre |
| Existing Land Use | Condos |
| Land Use |  |
| Designation | Business and Office <br> Medium Density Residential <br> Water <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |


| Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Consistent with the LUP map, and the interpretative text and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| :--- | :--- |

## CZAB Action

CZAB 7 Approval with conditions of zoning application, and denial of
November 8, 2017 Vested Rights application (Resolution \#CZAB7-1-17)

## Staff Recommendation

| Recommendation <br> of the Appeal | Deferral of the Appeal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous <br> Recommendation <br> to the CZAB | Approval with conditions of zoning application \#Z15-088 and <br> denial of Vested Rights application \#Z17-111. <br> (Original Staff Recommendations to the CZAB are attached) |

The subject appeal was deferred from the February 22, 2018 to allow the appellant to meet with neighbors.

## Timeline

1. On June 7, 2017, the DIC Executive Council met regarding Vested Rights application \#VR-01-17 (\#Z17-111) and recommended to the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#7 that approval of Apeiron Miami, LLC's zoning application would not result in an abrogation of Appellants' vested rights.
2. On July 6, 2017, Appellants, Jockey Club Condominium Apts., Inc. \& Jockey Club Condominium Apts. Unit II, Inc., submitted Application \#Z17-192, appealing the administrative decision regarding the size of property, height, and setback calculations.
3. On November 8, 2017, prior to the hearing before Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#7, Appellants submitted a letter amending their appeal (Application \#Z17-192) to request that the Board of County Commissioners hear their appeal and also take direct jurisdiction over zoning application \#Z15-088 and vested rights application \#Z17-111.
4. On November 8, 2017, the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#7 approved with conditions zoning application \#Z15-088 and denied Vested Rights application \#Z17-111 (Resolution \# CZAB7-1-17).
5. On November 21, 2017, Appellants submitted a letter further amending their appeal (Application \#Z17-192), to appeal CZAB \#7's decision.
6. On December 19, 2017, Appellants submitted an email reiterating their various requests to challenge zoning application \#15-088 and the DIC Vested Rights application \#Z17-111.
7. On February 21, 2018, Apeiron Miami, LLC filed a Vested Rights application \#Z18-041.

## Requests

1. Appeal of Administrative Decision that the Director erred in his determination of setback and height calculations for a proposed building within application \#Z15-088 (Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et Al), which the appellant alleges were measured without regard to interior lots within the subject property that are not owned by the applicant of application \#Z15088 (Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et Al).
2. Appeal of the Vested Rights/Takings application \#Z17-111, which was denied by Community Zoning Appeals Board \#7 on November 8, 2017, and which is germane to Public Hearing Application \#Z15-088, an application filed by Apeiron Miami, LLC, Et AI.

## ANALYSIS:

This item needs to be deferred to the June 21, 2018 Board of County Commissioners with leave to amend.

COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 7
MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2017
Phyllis Ruth Miller Elementary School 840 NE 87 Street, Miami, Florida APEIRON MIAMI, LLC, ET AL (15-088)
$\qquad$
Present

Alexander M. Gonzalez, Chair
Louis Imburgia, Vice-Chair
Dominick Curtes
Ravi Persaud Jana M. Rutherford

## Staff

Dennis Kerbe1, Assistant County Attorney Jorge Vital, RER
Mohammed Mansuri, RER

On Behalf of the Applicant
Augusto Maxwel1, Esq.

## SPEAKERS \& D E X

## BOARD MEMBERS

Chairman Gonzalez: 4-8, 23-25, 29, 31, 33-40, 49-52, 64-68, 80-82, 85-86, 90-96, 100-109, 111-135.

Vice-Chair Imburgia: 5, 31, 50-51, 55-57, 132-135.

Board Member Curtes: 5, 31-32, 125, 132, 134.
Board Member Persaud: 5, 134.
Board Member Rutherford: 5, 25, 31-32, 68-69, 98, 120135.

## STAFF

Mr. Kerbel: 4, 31-36, 39, 63-65, 81, 85, 93, 101, 104-105, 111, 115, 117, 119, 123-125, 133-134.

Mr. Vital: 5-7, 34, 119-120, 134-135.
Ms. Mansuri: --

## ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT

MR. MAXWELL: 8, 23-33, 50-51, 68, 92-93, 112 Rebutta1, 117, 120-123, 125-132.

## SUPPORTERS:

Mr. Dudley:
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:)

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: We're about to start. Excuse me, we're about to start, everyone. May we have silence, please?

Thank you.
First off, I want to thank everyone for coming. Just to advise everyone that we are going to be shutting this meeting down at 9:30 p.m. So we have about three hours or so for deliberations and to speak.

First, are the court reporter and the County Attorney present?

THE COURT REPORTER: Present.
MR. KERBEL: Present.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting of Community Council 7 has come to order on November 8th, 2017. At this time, please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was had, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You may be
seated.
Staff, please call roll.
MR. VITAL: Councilman Curtes?
COUNCILMAN CURTES: Here.
MR. VITAL: Councilman Persaud?
COUNCILMAN PERSAUD: Here.
MR. VITAL: Councilwoman Rutherford?
COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Here.
MR. VITAL: Vice Chairman Imburgia?
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Here.
MR. VITAL: Chairman Gonzalez?
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Present.
MR. VITAL: We have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
For those present and wish to speak today, please stand, and the court reporter will swear you in.
(Thereupon, all interested
individuals seeking to present testimony in these proceedings were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: For any of you who are lobbyists, you should have
registered with Miami-Dade County's Clerk of Board Office prior to this hearing.

At this time, is there anyone present this evening that wishes to defer or withdraw an application? Please come forward at this time and state your name and address for the record.

No deferrals and no withdrawals.
Okay. So we're going to start off.
When I call your item, please step up to the podium, state your name and address clearly for the record. I will then proceed to call those of you in support of the application and then $I$ will call for the objectors.

Those of you who wish to speak will state your name and address.

For those of you speaking, I would ask that you make the presentation short and nonrepetitive, as we're limited on time, as I said, until 9:30 p.m.

First item is Apeiron Miami.
MR. VITAL: If I may, if I can read the statement into the record.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Oh, yes.

MR. VITAL: In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard today have been legally advertised in the newspaper. Notices have been mailed and the properties have been posted. Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting.

Items will be called up to be heard by agenda number and name of applicant. The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.

Al1 these items are physically present today, available to all, and available to the members of the Board who may examine these items during the hearing.

Parties have the right of cross-examination.

This statement along with the fact that all witnesses have been sworn shall be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings.

In addition, the following
departments have staff present here to address any questions, the zoning evaluation and the County Attorney's Office.

So Item A, Apeiron Miami, LLC, et al., Application Number 15-88, 204 objectors, 112 waivers.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: Finally.
My name is Augusto Maxwell. I'm with the law firm of Akerman at 98 Southeast 7th Street, Miami, Florida 33131.

I am very excited to be presenting this application to you. It calls for the redevelopment of the Jockey Club, a very well-known, famous property that once had national prominence, and it's being redeveloped with the vision of Rafael Moneo.

Rafael Moneo won a Pritzker Award for architecture. In the world of architects, that's like winning the Nobel Prize.

What he is proposing, and it is in
the model before you, is a 120 -room condominium and a 90 -room hotel that respects, develops, and enhances the Jockey Club to what it once was, and perhaps even much more.

We have been pleased to get the unanimous recommendation of approval from every County department that has reviewed this.

We have been in this process for close to two years. Our initial plan, if you'11 recall, had a second building. The County did not support that, so that was withdrawn. So what you have here before you is a project that has full County support.

So what I'd like to do is step back for a moment, introduce you to our team. Then I need to introduce you to the property itself.

This is a very unique property.
There are things that happened here historically that are not common anymore, and these problems, I think, are endemic and have caused the Jockey Club -- the
original Jockey Club to fail, and for this community to be in such substantial distress.

We believe we've identified the problems and have found a way forward that is going to be to everyone's benefit.

I'm going to introduce to you the team, the property, and how we believe that this is the best solution to move forward.

In front of you, you should have three things. You should have the site plan itself, which is what we're asking for approval. You have our letter of intent, which is with the tabs that explains our vision. And they're -- you know, technically I have to make sure that the record contains every board I'm going to refer to. So some of those exhibits are in our letter of intent.

And then we have another packet there that -- that has some boards that may or may not come up.

We have submitted all these materials already into the record.

So let me start with the team. I have a board here. I don't know about the folks in the back. Perhaps we can show them a little bit later.

Marcel? Marcel is going to help me show the folks who are behind some of the boards.

So the first board introduces our team. And I'm very excited to be representing Apeiron.

The Principal, Muayad Abbas, is here tonight. When Muayad is not developing property, he's a volunteer professor at the University of Miami School of Architecture.

And I can't tell you how excited he is to be working with the other three gentlemen that are part of Apeiron. It's a dream come true for anyone who's a true believe in development and in architecture.

He is working with Horst Schulze, who ran the Ritz-Carlton.

He is working with Michael Bedner, who owned and developed one of the
largest design firms in this country.
And these men are at the pinnacle of their career. They don't need to respond to investors and short-term considerations the way they did all their lives. And they're dedicated to really doing a signature building, something that they're going to be proud of that has their names.

And to do that, they went and hired one of world's greatest architects, Rafael Moneo, from Spain.

If we're privileged enough tonight to get your approval, you know, it's a part of history. This will be the first Moneo building in Miami.

We believe that this will not only help redevelop this particular property, but the surrounding area, and it will be something you will be proud of for many years to come.

We also have Ryce Stallings, who's here, that gentleman (indicating). He is a local architect who has helped Moneo navigate the peculiarities of the local
building code and the process. He's available here if we have any questions.

We also have two engineers from Kimley-Horn who are present in case you have any questions about traffic or civil engineering. And of course I have with me the president of Jockey Club III and others.

In order to move this project forward -- and we'll get into it in a little detail -- we're not the only applicant. The other applicant is Jockey Club III. And so about half the room here are residents in Jockey Club III, and they literally are our co-applicant. They're moving with us to try to redevelop this property.

I'd like to then draw your attention to the property. As you know, the property is large. It's about 23 acres. It's on Biscayne Boulevard, 111th Street. It fronts Bayfront Park.

It's already developed with three buildings that were built when the original Jockey Club was in its heyday,
from the late 1960s to the 1970s.
And at that time, you had Jockeys I, which is the one most -- closest to the bay, and then the second building Jockey II, which is closer to Biscayne Boulevard, and then Jockey III, again, to complete like a horseshoe, and they were the third development.

At its height, this property had the Jockey Club itself, which had a restaurant, it had bars, and it operated a hotel out of the Jockey I building.

I don't know how many of you are local, but if you're -- got a few years on you like I do, you certainly remember what the Jockey Club was about in its heyday.

As you know, unfortunately, that vision failed. Jockey Club went bankrupt. We'11 get into the details a little bit. And the property is frankly strugg1ing.

The Jockey Clubs themselves, for legal reasons beyond their control, are not able just to step in and take this over. Other developers who have thought that they might be able to do something
have not had the vision and the fortitude to present something that is this compelling, and for that reason we need to get a little bit into the details.

Technically, what we're doing is we're asking you to allow us to revise the 1979 site plan for the original Jockey Club. And sadly, that site plan has failed.

The Jockey Club is gone, the marina is gone, the dock is gone, the infrastructure is falling apart, and we're willing to invest millions of dollars into making this not just what Jockey Club was, but something truly spectacular.

So what's the problem with the Jockey Club? And it's -- it really is a problem of ownership. And as this next board will demonstrate to you, the problem with Jockey Club property that's been there from the beginning is that you can't -- the laws don't allow someone to build a condominium development and keep the common area. And that's what the old Jockey Club did, right?

So when I come to you as the owner of Apeiron, the property, they kind of say, "Oh, it's the common area." But it really wasn't. Common area belongs to the Jockey Club condominiums. That never happened, right?

So the condominium laws have been changed since then. But that's the legacy of frustration and failure that these folks have had to deal with.

So I represent what $I$ call a slice of Swiss cheese, right? I represent 13 acres that have holes in them, and the holes belong to the different Jockey Clubs.

So the folks from Jockey Club I own a little box that surrounds their building and surrounds their parking lot. It's the same for Jockey II and Jockey III. They don't own the common area.

And so one of the things that you may encounter tonight -- and I'm just kind of giving you the heads-up -- you don't need to a adjudicate what their rights are or aren't. There's been a lawsuit with our friends who have a different idea in the
complex division of the circuit court, and that lawsuit's been going on for like 18 months.

We believe we've prevailed on everything, they want to appeal that. But that's not for you to decide today what are those rights. A lot of energy is focused on what those rights are or are not.

And so, again, what I represent is the common area, this open space, and I represent Jockey III, which has joined us in this application.

Now, the problem with this split ownership is also compounded by different zoning districts. So if you look at the Jockey Club property, it was zoned into four different zoning districts. RU-4, RU-4M, RU-4A, GU, BU-2. And it doesn't really match up with any folio or any line. It just was an attempt to make this project work at the time to make the Jockey Club work.

So you'11 see the BU-2 where the old Jockey Club used to be, and the restaurant
and all those kinds of things. Now it's just an empty space.

So another part of the problem with understanding how to move this project forward that leads to a lot of, you know, brain damage, is how to coordinate this very unusual-shaped property, with these unusual boxes, with these unusual zoning categories that are all kind of mixed up with one another. And so that's going to be a challenge to understand the app1ication.

So when we first got involved with the project, one of the first things we did is we went to the County, and Mr. Abbas bought the project about -property about three years ago, two and a half in 2014. And we went to the County. And we're like, "Hey, this is your mess, what can we do," you know.

So, you know, it's an important part of the process where a property owner goes to the County and says, "County, please tell me in writing what $I$ can do. Please confirm the zoning that I'm allowed to
do."
And so we went to the County planning department and asked them for a confirmation of what this zoning, all these different boxes, would let us do.

They said, "We'11 get back to you."
And they took their time, they took their time. I think it took them about six or eight months to finally answer, "You can build up to a total of 1,234 units on that property, okay? Took them a lot of time, a lot of energy, a lot of research, a lot of math.

But not us, but the professional department on their own calculated that this property, the 23 acres totality, can handle and is legally entitled to 1,234 units.

There are only 411 units that already exist on Jockeys I, II, and III. So if you do the math, my developer friend here is thinking, "Whoa, I get to do 800 units. Let's go." That's what the law allows.

But that's not the developer that you have here before you. The developer, I
think if he's greedy, it's because he has a much higher vision of a much higher owner and a much higher product that he thinks will recoup much greater value than if he was to try to maximize the entitlement that he has.

So he initially proposed 240 units in the two towers. As we discussed before. The County would not support our second tower. And so after a lot of consternation, we abandoned it. And we came back with a project that you see before you tonight that is only a 120 condominiums and 90 hotel rooms.

It would create a parking structure to handle the necessary parking. It would refurbish the old marina and dock, repair the seawall.

And Rafael Moneo deserves the very best, and so they went and got OLIN, which is a landscape open space architect, to design the entirety of the open space. So that is essential to tonight's application.

You're going to see on the variance
it says, wow, these guys are asking for variance for a 500-story building, the allowance is 298. Wow, that's a big ask, right?

And it's important that you understand why we didn't go by the book, okay. And so for that, I'm going to have Mo manipulate the model for you a little bit.

Now, in our letter of intent -- and I think it's Tab C -- you have an analysis of what the zoning code setbacks are for the property. And I'm not telling you anything shocking, that the further away you are from properly lines, the higher you can go and the more density you can have.

So we sent the County zoning code to Moneo and his folks, and asked them, all right, if we were to try to maximize our units and not ask for a height variance, and not ask for a setback variance, what could we do.

And that's the analysis that you're seeing in those diagrams and that we're
presenting to you in this model.
We could maximize the density where the code tells you to maximize it, away from the property lines. Have a nice setback. You can go big.

And so if we were doing it by the book and deciding to do a typical, standard development, we believe we would destroy the Jockey Club property, right.

We would certainly block the views of Jockey II, we would certainly block the views of Jockey III, and no one is going to be proud that they were involved with a project like that, right? But that is what a typical, standard developer could do, and not come to you, and asking for a variance of height or setback.

There's a different configuration that, again, we could explore that would allow us to build maximized density without asking for a setback or a height variance.

And again, you know, that's kind of what you see on Brickell -- on Brickell, right? Some guy said, "Hey, I'm going to
maximize the views of the bay, and I'm going to get top dollar, you know, tough luck for Jockey II and these other folks, I can go for it, and I don't need a variance."

So that is clearly not what this project is about. And for that reason, instead we have decided to move --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Excuse me.
Should we allow people to see that? Because people are --

MR. MAXWELL: Oh, I'm so sorry.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: -- people are looking. Maybe -- people have been standing up and trying to see what we're looking at.

MR. MAXWELL: I apologize.
AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: What is that?
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: I'11 explain it one more time.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Also, which is the Cricket Club?

MR. MAXWELL: Right here (indicating).

So what this model does is what an architect -- how an architect analyzed the County zoning code. So if we were not to ask for a setback or a height variance, what would the code tell the developer to do.

So whether it's Apeiron or the next guy, whoever is a developer, if you tell an architect, "Hey, how can I maximize the use of the property without asking for variances," this is where they would look to do that.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't understand where Jockey I is.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay. I'11 do it real quick.

So this is Biscayne Bay here (indicating).

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right.
MR. MAXWELL: This is Jockey I here (indicating).

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Where?
MR. MAXWELL: This is Jockey Club here, II (indicating), and this Jockey Club III over here (indicating), and this
is the Cricket property (indicating). And this is Biscayne Boulevard (indicating). CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you. You can go on now.

There's no questions. Excuse me. There's no questions now.

Thank you for that.
MR. MAXWELL: So I want to walk you through a little bit of the site plan. COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Excuse me. Can I make a suggestion? Because I think what most people saw was the maximization if you chose to do it. I don't know if everybody saw the first one, the one that you said you were --

MR. MAXWELL: It's now -- it's on there now. Oh, the other --

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: The other gray.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay, great.
COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: The other
one. So they can see that too.
MR. MAXWELL: It's pretty ugly as wel1.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you explain
what are those two?
MR. MAXWELL: Yeah. So just to explain again. When the property owner acquires property, they have an idea of how they can develop it. And they might have a good idea that maybe $I$ can do this, maybe I can do that. But until the architects really do the math and understand what the code requires, you're not quite sure.

And so when we got this property and had Moneo's team and Ryce here and others analyze the County zoning code, these are where the code says you can do things without asking for a variance. You don't need a height variance.

It's very simple, it's not really controversial. The code is designed that you should put buildings and heights away from the property lines.

And so if we were to develop this property without a variance for height or for setback, we would likely be looking to do a building like this.

There's just nowhere else on the

Jockey Club property that you can do a building that is consistent with the zoning code that would not do, I believe, damage to that community.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: But that's not what you're doing?

MR. MAXWELL: No. So what we're not doing -- this is what the -- because we're not doing this, we have to come and ask for a variance.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Gotcha.
MR. MAXWELL: So what Moneo did -and, you know, you have to imagine Moneo is in Madrid, and he's looking at this as how can we really make something that is spectacular that respects the property. He's working for icons in the industry that are not trying to maximize the dollars here.

It's something that he at his age, he can choose the project. He doesn't -he's not looking for work.

And so this is what he came up with, right? He came up with a beautiful tower that is not the standard tower that we're
all used to, which is the typical tower that the industry says is the least expensive.

It's got different levels at different heights, and our variance is governed by the tallest portion, which is over here (indicating).

There's a lower portion that is adjacent to the Jockey Club I. The reason we put it here is obvious. The Jockey II Club views are respected, Jockey III Club views are respected, and the Jockey I views are respected.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: What about the Cricket Club?

MR. MAXWELL: What this allows us to do is really develop this open space as a beautiful thing. I don't how many of you are boaters, but imagine that you're going up or down Brickell and you see beautiful open green space and a beautiful signature building that invites you to look at this area as opposed to just simply walling you off.

So I think that that was the critical
decision that Moneo made to have this type of building. It's not a block, it isn't, you know, the least expensive building to put up. And he aligned it, we think, just beautifully in a way that will be a signature landmark in world architecture. So we're very -- very excited about that. AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Al1 this space, what does he do --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Excuse me. We'11 have time for questions after.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: Among the things that you should be aware of is that the packet with the County's support, this qualified as DIC, a development of impact -- thank you -- Developmental Impact Committee.

It's a big project. And so when it's a big project, the County, you know, double triple makes sure that they're getting it right. So instead of you running around to each department, the departments meet at once and look at all the data to make sure that the project
works.
And we spent a lot of time with the departments, and we're very proud and we're very honored that every single department has recommended approval of this. Think about that. DERM, fire, police, traffic, the planning department, the zoning department.

We've been through this project. It's been worth the effort, but we have gotten unanimous staff approval for the project, and we respectfully ask that you listen to the staff. They've been working on this for quite a while. We believe that this will be a tremendous benefit to the community.

There'11 be, of course, construction, which will also provide work for different folks in the area. The hotel will provide jobs, and we believe that the Jockey Club idea will be reborn in a much more spectacular and significant way.

I am available to answer any of your questions, and so is the team. But that basically summarizes my presentation. I'm
happy to clarify any questions on the site plan.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: What is your schedule for this, roughly?

MR. MAXWELL: If -- assuming -- you know, the market has to be there as well. But assuming we get a go, we believe we could complete construction in about two years.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Question over here, sir.

MR. KERBEL: Not yet.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Do you have site -- or photos of what it looks like currently now in this package, current pictures?

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, there are some current photos in the site plan packet. I think it's on the third or fourth page, and there are pictures of each --

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Which section.

MR. MAXWELL: A6 and A5 and A3 as well.

COUNCILMAN CURTES: And all of this
is only 22 percent of the property density?

MR. MAXWELL: Right.
COUNCILMAN CURTES: It is?
MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
MR. KERBEL: Please speak into the mike.

COUNCILMAN CURTES: Do you need me to repeat?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
COUNCILMAN CURTES: Is all this only 22 percent of the property density entitlement? The different scales of buildings also?

MR. MAXWELL: Right. So the Planning Department said we could have about 800 some-odd buildings -- I'm sorry, 800 some-odd units, and we're only doing about 180 units. So we're only doing a small fraction of what the County says we're entitled to have.

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: That 180 is the units plus the hotel?

MR. MAXWELL: Yes. Now just so that you know, the way that density is
calculated, hotel units count as two-thirds of a unit. So the hotel is 90 units and the condo is 120. And then they add up to 180.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Are you aware of the conditions that the County has set on the recommendation?

MR. MAXWELL: Yes. We are comfortable with them. We think they're reasonable.

I think condition Number 4 is no longer required. If you recall, we had to defer our hearing last -- to go to Shoreline.

We've gone to Shoreline, and they determined that Shoreline did not apply to our project.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. KERBEL: Yes. I'11 confirm that condition is unnecessary.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. KERBEL: So at the appropriate time, if the motion is to approve, it would be to approve --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.

MR. KERBEL: -- if you want it, with staff's recommendation without -- of conditions -- with conditions 1 through 3.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I'd like to --
MR. KERBEL: But we're a long way from the motion.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. I'd like to go through the conditions that have been recommended. Is that okay?

MR. KERBEL: Sure.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I just want to make sure that I'm aligned with what that those conditions are and how they --

MR. KERBEL: Sure.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Would staff want to start -- do you want to go through that?

MR. VITAL: Okay. So condition Number 1 talk about that they complied with all the other conditions from resolution Z2101979.

They have to comply with whatever other conditions they had under that resolution, and they agreed to comply with those conditions.

Condition Number 2, it says that they comply with the conditions from the public works memorandum. That's actually in your package, and there's several conditions.

Handwritten Page 26 of your package has the public works memorandum. And handwritten Page 28 has some specific standard conditions from public works.

Condition Number 3 has to do with conditions from DERM. And that is actually -- that memorandum is on page -handwritten Page 22 of your package.

And again, DERM has some specific conditions regarding water and other things.

And then we agreed that condition Number 4 is no longer needed, as this application already went through the Shoreline Review.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Let me have the height variances.

MR. KERBEL: Mr. Chairman, in the interest of moving the meeting along, I might --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Yes.

MR. KERBEL: -- suggest now if there's anyone --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Do you guys have any more questions, or no? No? Okay.

Okay. Let's hear from anyone in favor of the item. Thank you.

MR. DUDLEY: My name is Spottswood Dudley. I live at 111113 Biscayne Boulevard, and I am President of Jockey III.

First I want to try to save you a lot of time, and I want to turn around to my residents -- who we are co-applicants -to all raise your hands, those in support.

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (Complies).
MR. DUDLEY: I appreciate the opportunity to speak before you.

The existing Jockey Club property has not seen significant upgrades in decades. Our grounds and internal roadways have not been properly maintained, and some of the residential buildings are in need of repairs and upgrades.

Our marina, once a source of pride, has been derelict and unusable for years
after Hurricane Wilma.
So it's not surprising that the values of the Jockey Club apartments and the Jockey Club property itself are declining at a time when they should be going up. That's bad for our unit owners, that's bad for the surrounding neighborhood, and that's bad for Miami-Dade County, which sees diminishing tax contributions.

It's gotten so bad, apparently, that Jockey Club I is now requiring prepayment of five years of maintenance costs in order to approve new purchases in that building. That's unheard of in a heavy -healthy condo community, and it's a clear sign that things in the Jockey Club are not going well. And that change and new investment is badly needed.

It is important that members of this Council understand that not all the residents of Jockey I and Jockey II are opposed to the Apeiron project. Many of them support it.

Apeiron will need -- provide a needed
boost to the Jockey Club property and the surroundings communities with new community amenities and property upgrades that all current Jockey Club residents and our guests can enjoy, like when it was the Jockey Club before it collapsed.

A new five-star boutique hotel, a new restaurant and bar, a bayfront promenade, a new yacht marina, a professional property management company that will actually manage the property and maintain it.

For years we have not been able to maintain the property correctly, because we couldn't get permits, because we weren't the property owner.

We hope to have improved security. Our fencing is down all around the buildings.

And a major financial investment in Jockey Club will also benefit the surrounding communities. As you can see coming down from 125, the new Whole Food Stores, the new restaurants, and the fact that the University of Miami Medical is
going to have a new clinic just around the corner.

So as Jockey Club President, and the vast majority of the building as a co-applicant, I ask you to help us bring new life and vigor to our community.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
Is there anyone else in favor that wants to speak?

Are there any objectors to the application?

MR. KERBEL: Mr. Chair, I understand that there are attorneys that represent the larger groups, and so my suggestion would be to let the attorneys who represent the larger groups go first.

That would be -- I understand it's Clifford Schulman and Tucker Gibbs.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Yes.
MR. KERBEL: And then after the organized presentations go, then you can allow members of the public to speak who haven't been encompassed by those presentations.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. Thank you.
So the attorneys, please.
MR. SCHULMAN: Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, my name is Cliff Schulman. I'm an attorney with the law offices of Weiss, Serota, Helfman at 2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 700, Cora1 Gables.

And my associate and I, Alex Uribe -or should I say my card-carrying person -will be assisting me today.

This is a very momentous hearing date for me. I've been doing this for 45 years. It's hard to believe. And this the first time I've ever been on the same side with Attorney Tucker Gibbs, who is representing the Cricket Club. Because I normally represent developers.

We want to put certain matters into record. We have a letter of objection which we have with exhibits that we would put in and give to the clerk. We also have small scale exhibits that the Board will be using tonight.

We also have a request for deferral
that we sent to Assistant Director Kogon yesterday, and which was denied.

We want to preserve all of these objections, and I don't want to bore you with the legalistics, because we're not here to debate the legal issues, at least not the minute legal issues.

Contrary to what Mr. Maxwell said, let me tell you what this project is not about. It's not about how world-famous their architect is. It's not about how pretty this building is. And it not about how lousy -- lousier site plan that they could make if they wanted to.

It's about whether or not this plan meets the Dade County Comprehensive Plan and meets the Dade County Code. And it doesn't. No matter how pretty the building may be.

So put that issue aside, if you will, Mr. Maxwe11 could put all the other black buildings that he wants on this beautiful mode1, and it doesn't change the fact that that's not the issue tonight.

Whenever you deal with zoning, the
first place you go is the Comprehensive Plan. Since 1985, Florida enacted the Growth Management Act, which made the County's Growth Management Comprehensive Plan the constitution of land use in every city and county in the state of Florida. The constitution of land use.

And so it's the first thing you have to do when you look at any project, and any zoning lawyer, is look at the Comprehensive Plan. Because under Florida law -- again, not to get too technical -any zoning application has to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, virtually every word of it, because the courts gives strict scrutiny to any action and whether it's consistent or inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

So what does the Comprehensive Plan say about this site?

It is a medium-density site which includes certain dwelling units, including townhouses, low-rise, and medium-rise buildings.

It also says you have to reduce uses
which are inconsistent, and you have to determine compatibility, is this project compatible with the area, taking into account, height, scale, and other architectural elements. That's your constitution, and that's what must be followed.

How does this project match up? Well, what is compatibility?

Compatibility according to Webster's is "Capable of existing together in harmony." That's simplistic. But the Dade County Code -- plan, rather, adds additional things to consider: Scale and height, as well as density.

Well, when you take a project like this and you reduce it to a one-quarter inch equals 25 feet, or whatever the scale of this is, you really don't get an idea of compatibility. But sometimes compatibility and words are best described by pictures. Because a picture, as you well know, is worth a thousand words.

So let me show you the project in a little bit different form. We have --

Alex, if you'd point out -- Cricket Club on the north, 225 feet -- 234 feet, excuse me.

Jockey I, 225. Jockey II, 123, 210, and 548 feet.

Is this is a townhouse, a low-rise or a mid-rise?

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's a townhouse.

MR. SCHULMAN: Let me tell you what a high-rise is. Drive down Collins Avenue in Sunny Isles Beach on the east side. That's where this project would be compatible. Not here.

Check out from the north boundary line of the City of Miami to Aventura, where I live, and this would be the highest building from the north boundary of Brickell to Aventura.

SoLeMia, one of my clients, Biscayne Landing, is only 450 feet high, okay?

The North Miami Beach projects going up are only 35 stories high. Aventura, the tallest building -- I live in it -- is 35 stories high in Aventura.

So this project is clearly compatible, but not here. It's compatible in another city.

Now, also dealing with the issue of height, Dade County is a little bit unusual in how you measure height. Some cities say -- for example, Sunny Hills Beach. Their height limit is whatever the Federal Aviation Administration would allow, which is about 600 feet. That's why you have those high-rises, not mid-rises, in Sunny Hills Beach.

Dade County Code, however, says you can only have a building that's a hundred feet in this district unless the shadow of your building -- Dade County has what we call a Shadow Pollution Ordinance, that the shadow of your building on December 21st at two o'clock in the afternoon, which is the winter solstice, the longest shadow of the year, does not pass your property line and reach the property of other people, and therefore interfere with their enjoyment.

Let me show you where this -- and I'm
going to -- I'm going to call it a mid-rise, laughably -- where the mid-rise of this shadow reaches.

The mid-rise of this shadow crosses three properties that are not owned by this applicant. As you can see, it crosses the property of Jockey I, it crosses property otherwise not owned by the applicant at the north, and it even crosses into the Cricket Club property.

So because the shadow exceeds the property line of this owner, the maximum height that they can have for this property is 100 feet. And that is improperly being interpreted by the city staff.

Even if it was true, the height being suggested here, where the County is saying 284 feet would be permitted, at 248 feet, that's still twice -- twice the amount of height permitted for the site.

If you use the hundred-foot
measurement, then this tower is six times what the County Code provides.

This shadow impact and shadow
pollution is an adverse impact, which again, makes this project incompatible with the area due to its height.

Let's talk about setbacks. This project, the County has interpreted, can measure their setbacks from their northernmost boundary 1 ine to their tower and their pedestal. We believe that is in error.

If you would look here (indicating), you will see that at its closest point, their parking garage, which has to be a minimum of 25 feet setback from an adjacent property, is six foot three inches setback from our parking garage.

The tower itself, which again, has to be a minimum of 25 feet setback, is set back less than 18 feet from the Jockey I property. And those variances have not been sought.

We have appealed an interpretation of the zoning director -- or the assistant director to the Board of County Commissioners over his interpretation to ignore the boundary lines of the Jockey I
property and go to the north boundary 1 ine of their property, and that appeal is presently pending.

Lastly, the use variance.
Mr. Gibbs is going to get into that in more depth, which I'11 adopt. Let me share with you, I used to be with another firm called Greenberg Traurig, a small boutique law firm of 1800 lawyers, where I was there for 30 years.

I had at least five clients come to me during the course of my career wanting to buy this piece of property and redevelop it. And all five of the developers had excellent architects. And all five of those clients walked away from this property for the reasons we've just stated. And that is, in and of itself, it is a tight site, and you need variances that you can't and shouldn't legally be able to get.

You cannot buy a piece of property legally and claim a hardship if the property was like that when you bought it. You cannot buy hardship. It has to
fall upon you.
This gentleman hired a great law firm, and he knew what he was buying when he got it. And as a result, he cannot claim or prove hardship, which is necessary for his variance.

Lastly, there's another word that's very, very hard to define. It's called chutzpah. Chutzpah you can only really define by example.

The two children charged with the murder of their parents that asked for mercy from the judge because they're -they're now parentless. That's chutzpah.

Chutzpah is a developer who walked into a project and a property where the common areas have been maintained for 21 years by these associations for their club facilities at a cost of over 15 million dollars, and then claims the right to just knock it down after 15 million dollars of expense spent by these associations.

Mr. Chairman and members of the council, that's chutzpah. It may not be a
zoning criteria, $I$ admit that. But it's unreasonable and unfair to the residents of Jockey Club I and II and III to basically knock down their club facilities after they spent 15 millions dollars maintaining them after 21 years.

That is chutzpah.
For all the reasons and many more enumerated in our papers, we respectfully request you deny the request.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Excuse me. We have a question.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: What is the budget, approximately, for this project? With the marina, everything included?

MR. MAXWELL: Augusto Maxwell.
Approximately 180 million.
MR. SCHULMAN: May I ask a question of counsel on that issue?

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Sure. Go ahead.
MR. SCHULMAN: Gus, does that include any payments that were made to Jockey Club III --

MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
MR. SCHULMAN: -- for their support?

MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
MR. SCHULMAN: Okay.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: I'd like to remind everybody, this is a zoning council, okay? Zoning. We do not get involved with all of your legalese, okay?

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: We can't hear you.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: They couldn't hear me? Well, let me try it again.

This is District 7 Zoning Council. Al1 this other ancillary stuff about condo I and II and III and payments and all of that, we don't deal with that. We deal with the zoning of the property. Am I correct?

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Correct.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Okay. I want everybody to understand that.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: It should stil1 be on the record.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: The record should be the zoning. That's what this is, Zoning District 7 Council. Not this other stuff.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Go ahead.

MR. GIBBS: Mr. Chairman and the Members of the Board, my name is Tucker Gibbs, law offices at 3835 Utopia Court in Coconut Grove.

I'm here tonight representing the Cricket Club Condominium Association at 1800 Northeast 114th Street and individual residents of the Cricket Club: Kenneth Roth, Ricardo Rosenberg, Barry Sizmere, and Allen Lieber -- Arnold Lieber, excuse me.

My clients wish to adopt the arguments and objections made by the representatives of the Jockey Club, Mr. Schulman.

I'd also like to -- my clients also received mail notice from Miami-Dade County regarding this development application and the hearing tonight, and the hearings that had been heard ultimately resulting in this hearing tonight.

The application will permit a massive project that will degrade the health, safety, tranquility, character, and
overall welfare of the neighborhood and negatively impact my clients by increasing the existing density and thereby creating excessive noise, light, glare, odor, vibration, dust and traffic.

Their application, Apeiron's application says it all. It shoehorns into this space a 90 -unit hotel and 120-unit condominium building. And to do this, the applicant seeks 10,10 separate development approvals.

This large project will also add to traffic on Biscayne Boulevard and visually impact my clients as nearby property owners. These negative impacts that they have are not shared by the public at large.

Our focus in this request for this land use for this is the use variance. That's the key issue for us in the failure of applicant to meet those requirements.

The applicant is requesting to allow parking in the RU zoned for the uses in the BU-2 zone. And I want to show this to you on a map so -- on a drawing so you can
see and understand this. And this is in the applicant's package. Let's see if I can get it up here. Yes. Here we go.

All right. This is the development (indicating), and this the boundary between the BU-2 and the RU-4. And you heard Mr. Maxwell talk about this is where the Jockey Club was (indicating). The bay is over here (indicating), and Biscayne Boulevard is over in this direction (indicating).

On this side, BU-2, is the hotel and the condominium (indicating). And down here -- let me just show this to you rather quickly -- this is a side view of the entire project (indicating). The orange is the residential condominium, the purple is the hotel, and the blue is the lobby. And I'll show this to you when I'm finished with the presentation.

The condominium and hotel, this first area here (indicating), is toward the bay in the BU-2 area, and it's allowed.

Now, the hotel also extends a bit into the RU-4 area. The RU-4 area doesn't
allow a hote1, by the way, and that's the reason for the use variance.

The code says you can't have a garage serving another zoning district without a use variance on RU-4 land. It's not allowed. And so it's right next door. The parking is on this side (indicating), and I can show you better here (indicating). You can see it right here (indicating).

Again, the condominium and hotel, the bay is over here (indicating), Biscayne Boulevard over here (indicating), and here is the parking garage (indicating). Three levels, 410 units, $I$ believe, and it is in the RU-4 area completely.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Question.
MR. GIBBS: Yes.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: What has that area been for the last 40 years?

MR. GIBBS: My understanding, this has been tennis courts above this --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: No, no, no, no.
MR. GIBBS: I don't think -- I don't know what this has been already.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: It's been parking.

MR. GIBBS: It's been parking, but parking that did not serve a use over here (indicating).

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: It's been parking.

MR. GIBBS: Right, and there's no problem with the fact that it's parking. The law says --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Tucker, it's been parking.

MR. GIBBS: Wait a minute.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: It's been parking.

MR. GIBBS: The law -- the law says that --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: It's been parking since $I$ was in college.

MR. GIBBS: And it's been parking since I was in college, too.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Okay. So don't say --

MR. GIBBS: But I'm telling you --
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: -- that it
hasn't been parking.
MR. GIBBS: I didn't say -- I said --
I understand. It's ground level parking, it's three levels, which haven't been there.

But more importantly -- more importantly, the law, the law says you can't have parking here that serves --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: That's what it's been for --

MR. GIBBS: -- a BU-2.
VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: -- 40 years.
So they're not in compliance, is what you're saying?

MR. GIBBS: I'm saying right now it serves --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: No, I'm asking you a very specific question.

MR. GIBBS: -- it serves Jockey -- it serves Jockey III, does it not?

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: And the restaurant. It serves the restaurant and whatever was in there.

MR. GIBBS: I'm talking about today's application. I'm not talking about what
happened in the past, because, again, two wrongs don't make a right. If it was wrong then, it's wrong now.

Now -- and I don't want any applause for this. I'm just trying to explain the reason why -- if you're correct and they've always had it and it's okay, then why is this applicant asking for the use variance?

He's asking for the use variance because the law says he needs it. And what I'm trying to explain is he doesn't meet the requirements of the law.

Now, I'11 explain that, but I wanted to show you the posture of this. And I understand, surface parking has been here. Not 411 spaces, and not for -- expressly for the use of a commercial use, for the use of a residential use which is permitted.

So as I said the, focus is on the use variance. The applicant is requesting to allow parking in the RU-4 zone for uses in the BU-2 zone.

This is only permitted -- the law
says it's only permitted by a use variance. And to grant a use variance, for you all to approve a use variance, you must find that the requested use -- that is, the parking in the RU-2 zone, is not contrary to the public interest where owing to special circumstances, a literal enforcement of the code will result in an unusual hardship, that the requested use -- again, the parking in the RU-4 zone -is the minimum use variance that will permit the reasonable use of the premises.

And that's very important to understand. Because the premises is the RU-4 area. It's that parking area, and nothing -- it's not the BU-2.

Remember, this request is to allow parking in RU-4 to support the uses in the adjoining BU-2 zoning district, the hotel, and portions of the condo.

To do this, the applicant needs to show a hardship and show -- and show that the variance is the minimum that will
allow the reasonable use of 1 and.
"Hardship" is a legal term. It's
what they call a legal term of art. And Florida case -- and it's as defined in Florida case law, and Florida cases say that a variance, a non-use variance is allowed -- I mean a use variance is allowed where the alleged hardship renders it virtually impossible to use the land for the purpose for which it is zoned.

This land is zoned for RU-4. That's RU-4. To get a use variance, you have to prove that that use is the only use that can go on that property, and that it's consistent with the zoning code.

Regarding a determination of reasonable use, the critical finding is that it's virtually impossible to be used as -- to use the land as presently zoned without the variance.

So if you look at every single use in RU-4 and you can't do any of them, you can't do any of them, guess what? You get to say they get to have a use variance.

That is the law.
Put it another way, the applicant
must show and this Board must find that it cannot use the property consistent with the zoning destination unless the requested variance is granted, which means you can't put a high-rise on there, a mid-rise, a low-rise, anything from RU-1 to RU-4, because RU-4 uses include everything from RU-1 all the way into RU-4.

The applicant here does not meet the basic -- this basic requirement as it has the ability to utilize the RU-4 property for RU-4 uses. This RU-4 property is not virtually unusable. There's no evidence in the record that shows that it's virtually unusable, and the RU-4 property can be used for RU-4 uses.

Furthermore, as Mr. Schulman said, this alleged hardship is self-created. And also, beyond what Mr. Schulman said, because the owner can build the zoning -zoning code compliant project without the necessity of the use variance, and the owner chose not to.

This was a choice the owner made to
design that building that I'm pointing to in that model, the owner chose to do that. He was not force to do that, he was not forced to build across that zoning line. He was not forced to build this project. He could have built a smaller project with all the parking on site. He had that ability. Nothing stopped him except -- I understand, you buy a piece of property for a certain amount of money, he wants to make money. So I get that. But that's not a reason. That is not a reason.

The staff report is also not competent substantial evidence showing a hardship, because it addresses only the BU-2 site. That's not the variance site.

Note the use variance is to allow parking on the RU-4 property that serves the BU-2 property. Even if the variance is interpreted to apply for the BU-2 site, the applicant shows that parking can fit on the BU -- the application shows the parking can fit on that BU-2 site, along with the hotel and residential condominium.

Put it another way, the owner can build a hotel and condominium plus all the required parking for the units and the hote1 rooms on the BU-2 property. It may have to be smaller, but the code allows it. And if the code allows it, then he doesn't have a hardship.

The applicant wants this variance, but not because it cannot build anything that is permitted by the zoning code, but because it wants to build this project the way it wants to build it.

This is not a legal hardship. It fails to meet the requirement of the -that without the variance, the property cannot be used as permitted by the zoning category.

For these reasons, the use variance that would allow parking in the RU-4 parcel to serve the hotel and residential condominium on the BU-2 parcel should be denied.

Thank you.
MR. KERBEL: Mr. Chair, I think now that concludes the organized objector
presentations. So now would be an appropriate time to call up --

MR. WHITEHILL: I believe I have a constitutional right to speak here, Dennis.

MR. KERBEL: Excuse me. I'm not -- I haven't finished yet. I believe that concludes the organized portion. So now would be an appropriate time to call up -oh, is there another -- I'm sorry, I've only been told about the other two attorneys.

I just meant an attorney who's representing a group of people. So now would be an appropriate time to call up --

MR. WHITEHILL: I'm here, ready to speak, Dennis.

MR. KERBEL: Can I please finish my statement?

Now would be an appropriate time to call up individual objectors who were not covered by the presentations of the attorneys.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Yes.
MR. WHITEHILL: And that's exactly
what I am. I do believe I fit that category, sir.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Excuse me. Sir, in order to respect everyone here --

MR. KERBEL: I did not say --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: We don't talk over each other.

MR. KERBEL: -- you could not speak.
I was trying to guide the chair in the order of presentations.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Objectors?
MR. WHITEHILL: Good evening.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: State your name and address for the record, please.

MR. WHITEHILL: My name is Stephen Whitehill. My address is 11111 Biscayne Boulevard, Apartment 2100.

Good evening, bonsoir. This is not a question of zoning for a development project. It's a demolition of a 1ifestyle.

At the Jockey Club, we have and enjoy access to the common properties. It is for the wellbeing and enjoyment of the residents. Whether it's walking the dog,
playing a set of tennis, swimming in the pools, or just relaxing on a balcony, we have a good standard of living here.

This is now under serious jeopardy. Apeiron, the developer, proposes dropping in a 50-story tower in the middle of our paradise.

How can the zoning board even consider granting any variances? What is a variance, anyway? And I hope you don't mind I call you deviants, because the definition of a variance is a request to deviate from a current zoning requirement, if granted.

So generally speaking, a variance bends the law. Usually this is for like a one-item thing, but Apeiron would require several.

Why don't we just change the zoning code instead of granting the variances? If the Board thinks it can grant a variance or several variances without opposition by the community, they can just rename themselves a politburo.

Granting several variances goes
against the spirit and restraint of giving a variance. If several are -- if several variances are given, $I$ would suggest that the Board is incompetent to serve the wellbeing of the community. Clear violation of ethical and moral standards.

In addition, Apeiron has ignored its responsibility in repairing and rebuilding the existing seawall. Clearly Apeiron has ignored or negated its responsibilities in the maintenance of the property it now owns.

How could the Board in its right mind seriously consider granting any kind of development rights to a negligent property owner?

Any attempt to rezone or grant any rights -- development rights will have severe consequences, beginning with a series of lawsuits to be filed against Apeiron and the Board.

I say no, and I say in French, too. No.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Anyone else want to speak on the objection?

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: We11, can I ask a question to the attorney for Apeiron?

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Sure.
COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: If I was reading -- I didn't hear you mention, what amenities are going to be incorporated into the development if --

MR. MAXWELL: If you look at the site plan --

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: I remember the restaurant, but is there anything else? The marina.

MR. MAXWELL: There's going to be the marina, the restaurant. So it's going to be refurbished -- my name is Augusto Maxwell, again for the record.

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Right.
MR. MAXWELL: On the site plan, it lays it out in detail. There'11 be a refurbished marina, there'11 be a refurbished open space, tennis courts. Some folks were kind of keen on a dog walking area, so we have that.

There's a lot of different
programming that can take place on the open space. There's going to be a hotel, a spa, a bar.

So those facilities will be open to the public and to the members of the community.

COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Thank you.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Good evening.
Mauricio Hernandez. 11111 Biscayne Boulevard, known as Jockey Club I.

Good evening. I've submitted 221 letters and petitions, the majority from Jockey Club I and II.

I am the president of the Jockey Club Condominium Apartments, Inc., known as Jockey Club I. And so I want that to be part of the record.

Here's a courtesy copy.
Also, there is a folder that you've seen that is just the photos of what's displayed here, and I'11 just try to be brief in terms of my illustration.

So I represent 169 residential units in Jockey Club I. You will hear from Jockey Club II president, who also
represents 90 units.
And as representatives of 259 units of the 411 units, we have been in opposition to this proposal, this application, and I don't think the majority rule is applied here in Miami-Dade County. Maybe yesterday at the elections, but not today. So we can't go home.

This Board to hear from us, and you all know that we've been coming here. We were here last November, 2016, and we have come here every month or the months that it's been noticed. So now it's the opportunity to be heard.

So you've heard from the attorneys. I'11 try not to be repetitious of some of the issues, and I'11 be brief.

This is not about an issue of whether or not they can build. You've heard that. It's just, in my understanding, what can they build and where.

So clearly -- by way of history, and a lot of people on this Board probably knows more than me about the history, but
the three Jockey Club condos for the last over 20 years have been maintaining these common areas. Why? Because there hasn't been any owners.

They've been agreements by prior owners that are binding on these owners, because of foreclosures, because of bankruptcies. Not blaming it on the bubble or Wilma, but they were contemporaneous during those years.

And the Jockey Club I was affected, but I could tell you that whatever happened in the '60s and '70s has not been the norm for the last 25 years.

Since the last owner left, there hasn't been a hotel, there hasn't been a club. And in fact, like you've heard from the attorney, we have been maintaining these properties collectively.

And right now, on behalf of all these residents, there is no interest in any hotel. The marina is something possibly. We're not anti development, but obviously we have not come to a meeting of the minds. We could all agree to disagree.

What I could say is for example -and getting to the zoning issues, that building, if you look at it, the proposal is six feet from our west entrance, which is our handicapped entrance.

The request on one of the variances is not your typical five, 10 percent, it's almost 98 percent.

Why does that building have to be so close to our apartment, or our building? I heard someone say, "Oh, because the Jockey Club used to be there. In fact, there used to be a walkway from the club to the hotel." But that no longer has been the case.

And so we oppose this, because imagine my 22 owners that have a building that's twice their size within six feet that will never have a view west of the sunset once this thing is built.

And also the depth and the density of the height will also provide access to a lot of the common areas, walkways that we've enjoyed for the last 25 years.

That doesn't mean it can't change,
but again, this project as proposed, concerning that setback, is totally unreasonable and unnecessary, and we oppose it.

The issue of -- I brought up that poster because it doesn't show Quayside. Quayside is on 107th Street. There's three towers, 22, with three villas, which are much smaller townhouses.

And the reason why there's three villas instead of six towers is probably another discussion. But I think that when you look at the landscape of this corridor, the attorney made very good references of the heights within the Jockey Club, and with the Cricket Club. But even if you look on either side, they're only single-family homes. And as far south you go to Quayside, you also have that balance of 22 stories or 21 stories.

So we believe that this monster of a building belongs somewhere else, and we're not in support of it.

Another illustration by showing you
this picture, it's in your folder. It's the top picture. Obviously there was an act of God by Hurricane Irma on September 9th. Maybe we should be hearing to what the natural forces are saying.

But if you look at it, basically it shows the seawall collapse, 275 feet that went into the bay. This is a photo recently shown that all the debris went into the bay. It's been like that for two months, and there's been continuous soil erosion.

But this doesn't go into whether or not you can approve the budget -- the application, but it goes to show what they have not been doing, but also the setbacks. Some of these setbacks they bring very close to the seawalls.

And if you look at that seawall, which is, like, no longer there, some of the proposed applications of taking our pool -- I'm going to say its our pool because we have it -- is to put in an area that they own, but with setbacks that pushes it very far to the seawall. And I
think that is illustrative of why some of these setbacks should not be granted.

Understanding that there have been some arguments about what are people -the law, I don't think the law gives them a thousand -- 1,100 available units, it's the just the site plan that allows them certain opportunities.

But I think what's helpful -- and a lot of you know this community, you live in this community, you know the Jockey Club, and you know for the last 20 years it hasn't been to what it was, and there's no interest to go backwards. It's to move forward. Everybody wants better quality.

But these high-end condos next to the three other condos are not going to do anything in terms of property values, and it falls right smack in the middle of a lot of the common areas. There's other places they can do with -- place those -that property.

Easements. Maybe it doesn't go into zoning, but the history is that that -this facility, these 31 -- 22 acres, 23
acres, have easements, they're public easements, public utilities, private utilities, and there's also easements created by the prior owners.

I'm not going to go into the court of law, because this is not a court of law, but the reality is, it's not that we've been using it for 20 years and paying millions of dollars, it's because those were the agreements. That was the agreements that the owners, that binds these owners, agreed that there were going to be certain limitations.

That Jockey Club -- what was known as the Jockey Club was a restaurant. It had contemplated a 50 -room hotel at some point. Never happened.

But other than that, those agreements -- which are really vested rights for the last 25 years -- doesn't allow them to build that project where it's located.

And why? Well, because we have these recorded easements in our registry of deeds. We have a county courthouse that's
decided that they have to live with that, that they can build subject to those easements.

Why hasn't he changed? That would be my question to the developer. Why has he not changed his plans? He changed it three times, right? Why didn't he change it another time after the decision?

And for all honesty and all fairness, the decision came down July 19th. So it was recently during this long process. And clearly when he applied in January, he had a hope that can build the way he wants to build.

Right now, not that you have to determine anything what the law says, but the reality is that he can't build it here. He can build somewhere else, but not with my pool -- with our pool, which after a 70-year easement we will obtain in fee simple for $\$ 1$.

Likewise with the parking. And the parking is a non-issue, because it's not included in the development.

What I would say is that the vested
rights that we have are important for you to understand why the residents -- the majority of the residents are opposed to this project as developed and as planned. Not any project, this project.

And it really encroaches on a lot of the free space that we have. Obviously the other pictures just reflect -- the picture on the right is that there has been no lights in the common areas since the hurricane.

And that's a picture, if you go there now, that's what you will see in this area where they're going to -- this is the pool (indicating), right in front of that building. And so all it just shows is we've been kept in the dark.

The other two pictures just illustrate what a hurricane can do to any seawall, and what it's done to other properties.

But this is what we face. So again, it's a non-issue except for the setbacks. And what we have to live in a very emergent situation that there has been no
mitigation for soil erosion and other debris that have gone into the bay.

What I would conclude by saying is that you have to look at the residents today. Cricket Cub -- Cricket Club finally came out. And we all have common concerns, but we believe that whatever has happened in the past is not what the residents today want.

Now, this may not have to do with whether or not you approve the county's processes through committees. This is our opportunity to give our opposition, so you'l1 get a lot of different responses after the lawyers go. But at the same time, you have in front of you the majority of the residents that want this application denied.

Now, the setbacks and the height of it does belong in Brickell, Sunny Isles and other places where it would cast those shadows, the density.

And bringing -- and this is a point that I didn't make in the future -- in the beginning.

You know this is a gated community this from 50 years ago. The gated community concept is something that came much later. And although it had aspirations of having -- and it was in the early days, it is not in terms of allowing non-residential owners to come onto the property.

So this owner can build maybe in another spot where he has no obstructions, where there is no obstructions of the views, where he complies was the code and the law.

And so with all those reasons, we would ask that you uphold your oath, right, the oath of allegiance for justice, for social and economic justice.

You're not our last resort, but we believe that hearing the arguments today, you would do the right thing and deny this application.

I submit the documents.
Thank you for your time.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
Does anyone else want to speak
against the application?
MR. KERBEL: Can we get a sense of how many more people want to speak so that we can budget the time accordingly? Because we only have the room -- we have to be out of here by $9: 30$ at the absolute latest.

AN AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (Indicating).
MR. GARCIA: This is not against.
This is an administrative --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Your name and address.

MR. GARCIA: Jose Garcia, unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

MR. KERBEL: We need the address.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Your address, your full address.

MR. GARCIA: 1800 Northeast 114 Street, Miami, Florida 33181.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
MR. GARCIA: The -- my question is, I believe that you're asking for input from the public.

I as a member of public, sitting right over there, could not really see
what was in front of you, and there are no agenda item copies for the public; therefore, $I$ cannot give you my informed consent.

I don't know that I speak for anyone else in the room; however, it's -- it's all abstract when you're sitting over there, and they're moving little boxes of buildings, it's not real. And so $I$ urge you to have agenda items, whether today or in the future, for things of this nature.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: I've counted six individuals that want to speak in opposition.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Six.
AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. That's kind of a lot that wants to speak in opposition.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. Perfect.
MR. ROTH: Good evening.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Name and address.
MR. ROTH: Yes. Kenneth Roth. I'm a

President of the Cricket Club, 1800 Northeast 114th Street.

I think what we're doing here, by allowing a project of this dimension, is setting precedent for future projects like that. Because once you allow this and you change the variance, or break the law or bend the laws that govern the zoning on these -- on this property, you'11 do it on others.

It's exactly what's happened. If you look at Brickell, if you look at downtown Miami, Biscayne Boulevard on both sides, we've got huge monstrosity buildings coming out of single-family home property, and there had to be zoning changes and variances made on those.

So when -- what -- I realize that the County wants to take this whole area that belongs to them and turn it into high-rise because the revenue's going to be greater. So I understand everything's driven by money.

But these roads out here on Biscayne Boulevard are the same roads -- and I was
born here -- are the same roads that I rode on when I as a little boy, when it was a tiny fraction of the population that was in Dade County. We have the same roads, except for I-95. We have the same roads.

When you start putting these size projects in here that you're doing and you're bending the law to make it happen, then you're causing -- you're setting -this is strictly a catalyst. Because what's happening? They'11 come in, they'll put another tower in on this property.

If -- and then -- and looking at the people that are involved here, you've got the A Team, okay? They're excellent, okay. There's obviously a lot of money behind the project, so I expect it to be very successful. It's a very attractive thing they're putting up here.

So it will be a catalyst. And you will have copies. And have you have the whole Lear property sitting next to it empty that's for sale. That's -- that
wil1 come -- if it's not immediately, but once it starts going and it's successful, somebody's going to -- if they don't gobble it up, somebody else it gonna gobble it up.

And there' 11 be changes, and all of a sudden we've got a 450, 500-foot tower here, why not put two or three there. You got 10 acres. You can do it, you know.

So all you're doing is opening up a hornet's nest to a huge development that really doesn't belong up here.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
It will be three minutes each.
(Thereupon, an announcement was made regarding the removal of a silver Jeep vehicle blocking another individual; after which the following transpired:)

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Excuse me. What's the rush now? We have a hour and a half.

MR. KERBEL: Hold on. We need -hold on. We need time. First of all, the
applicant is entitled to a rebuttal. Second of all, the Board needs time to deliberate.

We would like to conclude this item tonight, and it is very common to limit -especially when there are many speakers -to two to three minutes. So three minutes. You said there were six speakers, 20 more minutes for speaking time. I think it's a reasonable amount of time, and the applicant did not spend that long on the primary presentation. So I think it is appropriate.

We really do want to conclude this and not bring everybody back for a continuation next month.

Thank you.
MR. SNYDER: Mr. Chairman --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Name and address, please.

MR. SNYDER: David Snyder. I live at 11111 Biscayne Boulevard, Building Number 1. I'm a Director at Jockey Phase I.

I arrived there in 1967 when it was a gravel lot with my father, Uncle Joe, who
was the super -- ended up being the superintendent on the construction job, Walter Troutman, Harper Sibley, and Jack Herman, the investors and builders. And they said, "We're going to build the Jockey Club here," and I couldn't believe it.

Well, we sure did. The rest, as they say, is history, but history teaches us a lot. That building, the club was successful.

In '71 or so, just a few years later, Jockey Club II was built. But an architect took the time to give us a detailed structure that was available for viewing and comment in the lobby of Jockey I back in ' 70 for construction in '71. That became a reality and bordered on the west.

Jockey III Marty Margolies built that lastly.

So Building I to the north, II to the west, III to the south. A perfect horseshoe with this wonderful quadrangle, if you will. It's a campus with
recreation in the middle.
And I tell -- I ask all of you distinguished zoning folk that you've heard the lawyers argue, but I want to try to give you -- help you understand from a personal standpoint that it is the most absolutely wonderful location. We've got the people. We've got enough -- we've got enough residents.

What we need to do is restore the recreational aspects of it. The tennis courts we've been maintaining. You've heard $\$ 15$ million over this past years. A conservative figure. The marina is gone. I lived in the marina half of my time and in the building the other half.

I want to tell you, I would love to see a responsible marina back. Meanwhile, without all the diesel and fue1, we've -the marine life has come back incredibly.

I love to see people start and finish a project. I would love to see responsible development. But what we've seen happen is a derelict building that's obstructing the Phase I pool view of the
bay not removed, as promised casually, and as pointed out, 275 feet of seawal1 has been laying there and not been repaired.

If we can't do the small stuff, how can we count on Apeiron to do the big stuff and actually complete a building in two years? I think it's -- I think it's a stretch. The lawyers have given you the rest. But from a historical standpoint, drive up and down Biscayne. It's not working now. Additional people aren't going to help.

And I beg you to decline the application.

Thank you.
MR. ROSENBERG: Good evening. My name is Ricardo Rosenberg. I am a Board Director of the Cricket Club, where I live. 1800 Northeast 114th Street.

I also am a retired architect. I worked for more than 40 years in France, actually, and I am -- I can say I'm pretty good with the zoning everywhere, anywhere.

I've been studying the zoning, but as
an architect, I can tell you that this project -- and it was very well explained by the lawyers, especially -- is going to destroy the existing fabric, this urban fabric, which is composed of medium and low-density construction.

Thank you.
MR. ROSENHAUS: My name is Howard Rosenhaus. I'm the President of Building II. I think --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Your address, please. Address.

MR. ROSENHAUS: 11111 Biscayne Boulevard.

As many of you, I'm sure you have traveled up and down Biscayne Boulevard since the hurricane. I know of three areas in the United States that don't have electricity: Puerto Rico, Houston, and the Jockey Club.

I think it's unbelievable that with 1ights, 40 -foot towers still lying on the property, and no electricity, and a front gate that doesn't work, and where you can walk on the sidewalk and walk right into

Biscayne Bay, that this group is trying to tell us how they can build a big project. I think it's disgusting.

Thank you.
MR. HAUSER: My name is Helmut Hauser. I live in the Cricket Club, 1800 Northeast 114th Street.

I think we have all noticed how carefully the presenter of Apeiron avoided to refer to any inch outside that development that some attorney here called a shoe-in, because that is what it is, in a residential area of a certain type of height, of a certain type of composition.

And I want to refer to this chart here (indicating).

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: We can't -excuse me. Can you speak to the microphone.

MR. HAUSER: Apeiron doesn't own just this part that they've marked here (indicating) for this particular shoe-in. They also own this part here (indicating), and this is totally neglected. It's a broken-down seawal1.

This (indicating) is a wild territory with non-native vegetation on there with feral cats running around.

And I have not heard any word of goodwill from the presenter for Apeiron for the residents of Checker Club, or for any of the neighboring properties, and I'm very disappointed about that, because I think that would be a very valuable consideration that we could hear and then make our judgement on these kind of developments.

Again, all the other points have been made, I just -- I wanted to refer to it. No reference to anything of the outside. Because you give variance approvals here, the next thing is that this is all going to be subject to variance approvals as well, and that's a big hunk of property here.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You had a comment on what he said?

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, just very briefly.

MR. KERBEL: We11, I don't know what he's about to say, so --

MR. MAXWELL: I just want to clarify one objection.

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: No, no, no.
MR. KERBEL: Can you wait until rebuttal?

MR. MAXWELL: No.
MR. KERBEL: Hold on.
It's up to you, Mr. Chair. If it's short, it's okay.

MR. MAXWELL: I just want to clarify for the record. We do not own this property.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. Thank you.
MR. COHEN: My name is Jerome Cohen. I live at the Jockey Club, Miami, Florida 33181. That was our address for many, many, many years. I've been there since 1970, five years as a snowbird, and the rest of 40 -some-odd years as a resident -permanent resident.

I address you tonight as an individual owner of units in Building II, not in any other capacity. I tell you
that because in the past $I$ have been the representative of the board of directors in litigation with respect to this development.

I favor development, just not what is proposed. What is proposed is totally incompatible with the immediate neighborhood, being the three buildings it would share on the property -- with the property, not to mention the general surrounding area described so eloquently by Cliff Schulman.

There are over 400 residences on the Jockey Club property now occupied by folks who bought into a lifestyle that would be sacrificed to what I would term as overdevelopment.

I was personally involved in the negotiations to preserve the swimming pools used by the three buildings of the club and the rest of the common areas to a point that the associations could step in, in the absence of an owner/operator and maintain them to the tune of seven figures you've heard tonight.

Those easements -- the easements of the swimming pools were recently found binding in a circuit court action. Under no circumstances do I want to see those rights trampled on.

Mr. Abbas, please come up with a plan that preserves those easements. This is a neighborhood commission. Please listen to your neighbors, and say no to this development. Say go back to the drawing board, Mr. Abbas, and come up with something that complements the property, not something that destroys it.

And with that in mind, I'll ask you to deny this application.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No clapping, please. Thank you.

Go ahead.
MS. METZ: Good evening. My name is Hilary Metz. I'm a lawyer, and I'm also the Vice President of the Board of Building II.

I don't have --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Your address, please.

MS. METZ: Sorry?
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Address for the record. Address.

MS. METZ: 11111 Biscayne Boulevard, North Miami, Florida, Number 1018, Building II.

I've lived in the Jockey Club for almost seven years, going on eight years now, and I don't have a lot to speak about the specifics of the zoning, that's why we have lawyers. Lawyers have lawyers for their issues. And this issue with our lawyer's presentation on the zoning I think speaks pretty clearly for itself.

What I would like to point out to the Zoning Board are some other things that -first addressing my friend and my neighbor Howard Rosenhause's comments.

The reason he got up here and so passionately started speaking about no electricity and no working gates on our property is because in a court proceeding, Apeiron fought to take back over the maintenance of the common area -- what we cal1 the common area, what is now their
property.
So they fought in order to be able to control that, pay for it, and maintain it. But since doing that, they have not maintained it. So all of the residents are pretty upset about that. There is no maintenance. There's trash everywhere.

My father, who's 75, picked up a trash bag full of trash off Apeiron's property.

The playground is a mess, and we're told we're not allowed to fix it. The gate we have been told needs a quote for two months. The lights going down a walkway to the bay are not working, and they haven't been since the hurricane. There are a lot of elderly people between the three buildings that walked their dogs there every night that could easily trip and fall and hurt themselves.

And I know this isn't about zoning, but, you know, when the character and reputation of the developer and those parties is brought up, then I'd like to show things that counter that, and I think
it deserves time to be put on the record.
So you asked about the amenities, Ms. Rutherford. We used to have some, and now we don't. And the responsible party for those, to take care of them, is Apeiron. We used to take care of them ourselves. We never had an issue taking care of those amenities ourselves.

I've lived -- Building III has its own gym, and Building I and II used to share the one gym and take care of that gym. We also used to have multiple tennis courts to play on, which have been closed since the hurricane.

I've never seen the tennis courts closed for even half this long. If we were in charge of maintaining these areas, they would have been up and operating within a week, maybe two, tops. So the argument that they're maintaining this property now is kind of ridiculous.

The -- Apeiron's employees show up at our management office threatening to actually not provide common services like taking care trash, sewage, and things
like that as a threat to get access to our common areas.

The president of Phase I pointed out the issues with the setbacks on this mode1, so I'm just going to point out others.

And to counter what Mr. Maxwell said at the beginning, there were court proceedings, and we actually won our rights. So it's not up to you to decide or determine, but we won the rights to our pool and cabana, which in this model is covered by a garage.

So our pool, in real life, is right here (indicating), and our cabana is right here (indicating), where these trees are where there's a road going through it. So this model, for all the other reasons you've also heard, in addition doesn't work because of those issues.

Now, I know that Mr. Abbas has made deals with Building III, and they're a co-applicant, and obviously they have a stake in this because they serve to gain a
lot if he gets all of this completed. However, the people of Building II under no circumstances, as of today, are willing or ready to give up their pool or cabana, which we fought in court and won.

Now, another thing Mr. Maxwell mentioned was a hearing that took -- the court proceedings that took place in which he mentioned that Apeiron won most of their rights. That is not true.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You have thirty seconds, ma'am.

MS. METZ: That's not true. And what they did win was the right to what is -what they call their common areas, which is mostly the tennis courts. So that they won, and we're appealing that.

Apeiron is not appealing the fact that we won our pool and cabana. That is ours. It's not changing. The only way this model works is if we make a deal with the developer to allow them to build on that.

Now, I know that might not matter for your specific zoning purposes, but I want
you to know this model doesn't work. So this is not going to happen as far as if you approve a zoning ordinance.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you. Thank you for your time.

MR. MIRANDA: My name is Carlos Miranda, and I had put myself in for three minutes to talk. If -- I'm not feeling very well. If I could give Ms. Metz my time?

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: He's not a resident.

MR. MIRANDA: I'm an employee, and I live in the area, and I still can be voiced as -- for the Jockey Club.

MR. KERBEL: It's up to you.
MR. MIRANDA: May I cede my time to Ms. Metz?

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: For 30 -- for three minutes.

MS. METZ: Thank you.
MR. KERBEL: Actually, can we get his name and address for the record?

MS. METZ: Carlos Miranda.
MR. MIRANDA: Home address is 3809

Northeast 167th Street, and place of employment is Jockey Club Phase II, 11111 Biscayne Boulevard.

MS. METZ: Mr. Maxwell also mentioned how beautiful the new Moneo building was going to be. I can speak for all the residents of Building $I$ and II, that that building will not be as beautiful as our current view of Biscayne Bay. I want to show you where I live and where all my neighbors live. In this building I live right here (indicating). One of my good friends lives right next to me in this corner unit (indicating).

We currently have a whole view of Biscayne Bay, which when we purchased our units and moved in here, we purchased it with the knowledge of that was our view. We didn't purchase it thinking, you know what, I bet someone is going to come and put a garage on top of our pool, and we're going to have a big tall, you know, building twice the height of this building right in front of us.

I think it's very clear -- multiple
people have mentioned the height restrictions and what goes along and what is consistent with the community.

Anyone seven years ago in their right mind moving into any one of these buildings would never imagine a building of this height being built right in front of them. If you look around up and down the street, yes, you might imagine a building of 20 stories being built somewhere in your vicinity. That is not what we have here.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: She's got one minute left.

Are you done?
MS. METZ: To the residents of
Building III who've just bothered to show up tonight because you guys have been paid, we appreciate that you want to be vocal tonight, but we've been doing this for a year --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: There's no comments necessary like that.

MS. METZ: -- so you can calm down.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. METZ: So regardless of your decision today, $I$ just want everyone to know that we're going to be using all of our resources to fight this project. It's not what our community wants, it's not what any of our neighbors want, and it's not good for the Jockey Club.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Anyone else for the objection?

MR. KERBEL: Mr. Chair, hold on.
We -- I think when we were trying to take a head count before --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: There's six.
MR. KERBEL: -- we had six. Are we still within that?

AN AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm waiting.
MR. KERBEL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: So we have two more. One and one. Okay.

MR. KERBEL: Okay. I am concerned about finishing the hearing and giving an appropriate amount of time for --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. KERBEL: -- rebuttal.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Name and address. Three minutes.

MR. ARANTES: Sure. My name is Wellington Arantes. I live at Jockey Club Phase II, 11111 Biscayne Boulevard.

MR. KERBEL: Wait. Hold on a minute. We have lost one of our board members, and if he doesn't hear the whole presentation, he can't vote.

If anybody needs a bathroom break. Let's take a break, because otherwise he can't vote if he misses it.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Let's take a three-minute break.

MR. KERBEL: Or less.
(Thereupon, a short break was had, after which the following transpired:)

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: We're about to start. Everyone, we're about to start. Please have a seat.

Okay. I just want to set one rule regarding clapping. So if you are in favor of something they're saying, you can clap by doing this to your hand (indicating). If you're not, you can do this (indicating). But there's no clapping, no booing. Let's get this moving, okay? Thank you.

Yes. Name and address for the record. You have three minutes.

MR. ARANTES: My name is Wellington Arantes. I live at Jockey Club Phase II, 11111 Biscayne Boulevard.

I would like to discuss and go back to the purpose why we're here. It's zoning. And aside from zoning, you guys have the responsibility of planning for the future and growth. That is what the purpose of this is.

Part of growth is to change zoning. That's part of it. We get it. But now let's really investigate what is being proposed. How does it actually look? Yes, this is a nice model, but it's actually not showing all of the components. It is not at full mass. It doesn't show all of the parking and the cars.

Now, let's think of those cars leaving at seven o'clock in the morning,
being on a two-lane road. Plus we know that there's going to be growth, plus development coming up. That's what we want.

Now think of all the surrounding area within a mile -- not even, 700 feet -- and all of these cars. Where are they going to go? Light pollution, sound.

This is beautiful, but now let's think about having all of these unit owners -- if it gets built this way, how would they interact in here? Is it even feasible? Yes, there's green space. Does anybody play tennis on a rooftop and have an issue with balls -- with air?

Let's take a study and please evaluate actually all the component, which are not all shown. Green space, parking space, bare minimum of turning radiuses. People's cars are changing all the time. And when we're seeing $25-f o o t ~ t u r n s ~ a n d ~$ 10-foot ceilings for parking, three-foot parking, I mean, we really need to look at it from a grand scheme of things, and these things don't actually add up even
for the future.
So please look at our surrounding neighborhood, our infrastructure, and its growth. Make your decision based on that. Because the growth of this, it doesn't look like it would work, or at least it wouldn't be a nice place to live.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No clapping, please. No clapping.

MS. NOEL: My name is Beatrice Noel. I live at 11111 Biscayne Boulevard, Tower II. I have been an owner of the apartment since 1980. That is 37 years.

When it was -- it existed as a club for the next 15 years. In '95, the club went under, and the complex became a private residential multi-family complex. And for 22 years to date, it has been managed that way.

Regarding their -- in other words, there is no public access. We're not talking about a hotel, a restaurant, a spa, or any other type of amenity. It is private.

Regarding the project, this is the first time, members of this Board, that I have seen this particular model. And that means all of us in Building I and Building II have never seen this. It seems to me that that should have been an M.O. to start with so that we understand how it's positioned. Because seeing a flat thing like that, you have no idea what covers us.

And in my case --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Speak to the mic.
MS. BEATRICE: -- since I live in Building II, to tell me that we're not going to be blocked, I think we have to be blind.

The second thing here is regarding -the first model that we ever saw was in February 2015, which was a piece of Styrofoam on a piece of flat paper that was traveling around, and we had no idea what was being developed.

When it comes to the Apeiron or the developer, to the best of our knowledge, the -- this particular developer has never
developed, constructed, or completed any residential or commercial project in Miami, in Miami-Dade, in South Florida, in Florida, or the United States.

When our board met with them, they requested specifics about what the person had built, and a lot of other conditions. Not one piece of paper was ever presented to our board in Building II or Building I.

When it comes to people who buy at the Jockey Club, why they bought or why they buy is because it is an ample place, peaceful. It has green areas and has bay views. Because it's a private location, and it is secure. Because it's pro children, because we have places where the children can play, and not be run over by cars. Because there is no risk, because it's not public.

We didn't have a hotel, and we know very well that hotel garages and places like that increase the level of risk and crime.

When it comes to the issue of traffic, with what we have already, we
have a hard time getting out of our residences because Biscayne Boulevard is an absolute mess when it comes to traffic. And we're lining up halfway down the property to get out of the place.

When it comes to the project as presented --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You have five seconds left.

MS. NOEL: Right. It will impact security, it will be unbearable traffic, it will be a concrete jungle of parking garages, obstruct the bay views, and the project will be built over easement rights that we have.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Ma'am, your time is up.

MS. NOEL: So at this point, I recommend that this not be accepted.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No clapping.
Guys. We're done.
Rebuttal?
MR. KERBEL: At this point I recommend closing the public hearing and
giving the applicant time for rebuttal. CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. Is there any more speakers on the pro side, or no? Done? Okay.

Close the public hearing. Rebuttal.
MR. MAXWELL: Very briefly, you know, I don't envy your decision. You have a lot of folks on both sides of the issue, so it's not easy. But you're not here really to take a poll or to take a vote, you're here to consider what's called competent and substantial evidence to support or not an application.

And what we've done is we did not have any number of residents who could have complained or supported us in any other way. But our focus was on the evidence that is before you today. No one came here to provide you any evidence on traffic, any evidence on the seawall. They all provided their viewpoints, which are legitimate in their own way, but it's not evidence of the type that supports a zoning decision.

And I respectfully submit that this
site plan has been reviewed, and the legal issues that have been raised have been analyzed not just by us, but by the only impartial entity here tonight, which is the County staff and the County Attorney's Office.

As you can see from the zoning map, this is a bedeviled property. On the north you have a nice RU-4M, RU-4, RU-4L. They all make their own sense. They have their own logic. The code was designed for those kinds of spaces.

The code was not designed for this type of situation where you have these five different zoning codes, and you have a master plan.

And so for all those very unique reasons, the County staff has looked hard at how to apply this code to this project and to this property, and we're very gratified that they have unanimously recommended approval. And we rely on their judgement and not, you know, bringing all this other attention to our -- to our application.

A couple things $I$ wanted to clarify. We don't own the -- we do not own the property to the north of us. That is not part of this application.

With respect to the traffic issues, we were with the traffic department for over seven months going through the traffic analysis, and they approved our larger project when we had the two towers. They thought, and their professional judgment was that that project would work. Certainly now that we've scaled it back, the traffic issues are consistent with what the code requires.

There is no such thing as shadow pollution. Of course Jockey I, II and III don't maintain their shadows on their own property.

The staff has looked very hard at a very difficult and very complicated project that has, as Mr. Schulman pointed out, bedeviled a lot of folks. But you have someone here who's determined to do a very good project that you're going to be very proud of.

And we thank the County staff for their hard work in getting it right and getting it before you.

I would respectfully ask for your approval. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Can we talk about the -- the comments on the Comprehensive Plan? I mean, that's been reviewed and that's been --

MR. KERBEL: Yeah, let me address -the Comprehensive Plan, Ms. Schulman was generally correct. It is your constitution.

What's important about this project is the zoning -- the different zoning districts predate the Comprehensive Plan, and in the urbanized area -- so everything inside the urban development boundary -the Comp Plan says that existing unit -existing zoning is deemed to be compatible and consistent with the Comp Plan.

So there is a disconnect between the low/medium category and the zoning on the site. But it's not illegal, and it's not inconsistent with the Comp Plan because
the Comp Plan itself tells you that you can continue to rely and act on and under those zoning categories.

And so for example, one of the category is RU-4, which is high-density apartment. Another one is BU-2, which is business and office. Neither of those zoning districts could be granted today under the Comp Plan, but they are entitled to use them in their development proposals.

And as for the variances, what the Comp Plan tells you is you have to examine compatibility. That's the hardest standard before you. That's the one that says in a colloquial way, does this fit in this area, does it look like or will it -is it appropriately buffered from other parts of the area that are different from it.

And the use variance standard is of course the hardest one of all. Mr. Tucker -- Mr. Gibbs did appropriately present the standard. As to that, you have the County staff report that is
fact-specific as to the unique configuration of this parcel.

I don't know if Mr. Maxwell wants to supplement that in any way to make a hardship proffer, but that is the standard that guides the use variance, and it is a hard standard to meet.

So I think those are the -- but that's under the compatibility analysis.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: And staff has reviewed that and is comfortable with that.

MR. KERBEL: Staff recommended approval. We believe it is legally defensible.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Thank you.
Do you want to add something else to it?

MR. MAXWELL: No, I --
MR. KERBEL: As the Board begins its deliberation, the one thing $I$ want to -- I want to point out, this application is unusual not only on its own merits, but because it is also accompanied by what Mr. Hernandez had alluded to about vested rights.

The Jockey I and II filed a determination as to vested rights. Normally this is made by the applicant when they see a denial coming. In this case it's the objectors who see an approval coming, and their contention is that by approving the project, their vested rights would be violated.

The County has a process to review that application. It went through the developmental impact committee. The developmental impact committee recommendation is in your packet.

The recommendation of the committee is that approval of the application would not be an abrogation of vested rights. And so, accordingly, when you -- as you're making your deliberations, and as you're deciding on a motion, if the motion is to approve, it should also include a motion to deny the vested rights application so that then they have a final decision that they can take whatever is the next step for them, Jockey I and II, under that
application.
So with that stage set, we're here for questions.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: One of the points that were brought up was that the -- in the County there's a 600-foot-high building max, right.

MR. KERBEL: I'm sorry?
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: We said that -one of the -- one of the comments that were made was that there was a 600 feet max for the County. Is that a fact?

MR. KERBEL: There's -- let me have Mr. Vital from zoning services -- from development services address that.

MR. VITAL: That is correct.
Actually, if you look at Request Number 6, it's requesting to permit a proposed condominium and hotel building with a building height of 548 feet where 284 feet and 10 inches is permitted.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right.
MR. VITAL: So it's not 600.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right. But it's -- but the max in the County is not
600. There's buildings that are higher than that.

MR. VITAL: Correct.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I mean -correct. It's not -- I understood --

MR. VITAL: What they're proposing -CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Is 548.

MR. VITAL: -- is 548.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. VITAL: Correct.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Got it. Okay.
Do you have any questions, you guys?
COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: I was asked if I had any questions, but my -- the questions that $I$ wrote down were answered as we went through the presentation.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Can you talk to the six foot three separation between the new building and the existing building that was mentioned?

MR. MAXWELL: Right. So one of the that issues --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: It seems so -- I mean, so tight. It doesn't even seem rea1.

MR. MAXWELL: I'11 have to look at the site plan for a minute.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Is that correct?
I think it was stated it was from building to building.

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, I think -- I think friends -- our friends have confused two different things.

I don't believe that there is a six-foot distance between the Jockey I building and our building. That distance I believe is 50 feet or 76 feet.

What they're speaking to is the fact that part of our parking garage comes close to their property line, which is their parking lot. So what we are --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: So it's not between building and building?

MR. MAXWELL: No. No, no.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. MAXWELL: It's between our parking garage and their property line. Remember the Swiss cheese kind of thing. CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right.

MR. MAXWELL: So part of our
structure is close to one of the holes.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: So between
building and building there's
approximately 75 feet?
MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, there's -- I mean, the fire department reviewed all this.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right. I mean, because I see approvals from every single --

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, the fire department, we spent a lot of time with fire, and we are -- we're like -- we spent a lot of time with the fire department, and --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right. I see fire, police, public works, recreation, traffic, everything is no objection. So that has to be, you know, on code.

MR. MAXWELL: That's already accounted for.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: The parking garage, with the -- it's the parking that's on the existing space, you said that's going to be a garage now? A
three-story garage; is that correct.
MR. MAXWELL: There will be a three-story garage in the middle of the property that will service the two buildings.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Which buildings? The new buildings.

MR. MAXWELL: The two new buildings, that's right.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. So it's actually two separate buildings, right?

MR. MAXWELL: Wel1, it's connected.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Connected, yeah. Okay.

MR. KERBEL: On the microphone, please. No sidebars.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: He's pointing out the parking spot to me.

Is the -- is the marina part of -that's being developed part of the entire Jockey Club?

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, so --
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: All buildings?
MR. MAXWELL: So as we -- as I noted before, the 19 -- and as staff pointed
out, the 1979 site plan still governs, and we're asking to amend it.

We would be rebuilding the marina to the 1979 site plan. And we're in permitting for that now, and if this project is approved, we would be moving very quickly on that.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. Counse1, just a question regarding the -- you know, all the comments regarding these other legal issues. There are all outside of this approval. Those will continue on their own separate.

MR. KERBEL: You mean in terms of the easement rights and whether they have the right to build?

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Exactly.
MR. KERBEL: Yes. I will say it's outside the scope of this proceeding. Obviously if they don't have the right to build on that site, they may have to come back with an amended plan, and so you may see this again if they don't get those rights, but you don't have to decide that.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Correct.

MR. KERBEL: It's something that a court of competent jurisdiction would determine --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Right.
MR. KERBEL: -- and is currently hearing.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Which it's currently in the process.

MR. KERBEL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay. You guys want to -- do you have any questions?

COUNCILMAN CURTES: How big of a dea1 is the seawall?

MR. MAXWELL: It's a big deal. It protects the upland from the ocean. Part of the challenge for Apeiron is that we don't have an agreement on how certain costs are going to be shared.

There are permitting issues involved with that as well, but obviously the seawall is going to be an issue that has to be immediately addressed.

COUNCILMAN CURTES: I see here where the Army Corps of Engineers and DERM, are these people in the conversations?

MR. MAXWELL: Yes. So we went to DERM. They've approved our project. Part of our proposal would call for enhanced elevation to deal with high sea level -- a higher sea level. So our civil engineer is here as well, and he can address those in greater detail.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Has a developer sat down with the other -- the other associations to come up with, you know, an agreement?

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, it's unfortunate. You know, our recollection of events is a little different than our opponents. And we've met with different board members over the -- over this period of time.

I personally met with the president of Jockey I back in the day, and we -- at different points it seemed like the parties were close to an agreement, but they haven't been able to reach an agreement.

There is conflicts between the Jockey Clubs themselves, and there's a conflict with them and us. They've been sent to
court-ordered mediation $I$ believe three times. And in our view we're -- we've tried to be reasonable, and we're open to working out something that makes sense, but we just haven't been able to get there.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Because if this does move forward, you are going to kind of be together and live in this community, right?

MR. MAXWELL: We'11 have to get along.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: So it's about getting along and --

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: -- working things out.

Is the vision the developer has of this area, you know, these high-rise -- I mean the high-rise condos, I mean, is this something that -- where maybe the -- where the area is moving towards?

MR. MAXWELL: I don't think so. I think this is what's really remarkable about this property. It's 23 acres. It's
big. And it has this grandfathering that the County Attorney talked about that allows for this density. And then you have the challenges with the zoning map the way it is.

This is not, in my judgment, going to set a trend up and down the street, because it's -- you don't have the same type of entitlements, you don't have the same type of depth.

And again, we could do smaller buildings, but we'd have to really in our judgement destroy something that has been described as, you know, a central park, an open space.

And we believe that all we've done is taken a sliver of what is allowed, done it in an intelligent way to create as much open space as possible.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You know what the percentage of green space is to building in the entire -- in the property.

MR. MAXWELL: I don't know that off the top of my head, but we --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: I mean, it seems
like a lot.
MR. MAXWELL: It is a lot. We by far exceed --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: What is -obviously what is required.

MR. MAXWELL: -- what is required.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Yeah.
MR. MAXWELL: That's what -- that's why we're doing this. I mean, you really just nailed it. This is green space, it's open, it's beautiful, and that's why we've designed it -- well, I didn't, but someone a lot smarter than me. That's why they designed it this way, to really preserve a very unique property.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: The original designs that you guys had for the buildings, were they different heights, or?

MR. MAXWELL: No, I think the Jockey -- sorry, the Apeiron I was basically always this high. We had a second building that would have been to the south near Jockey II. That was in our initial application.

We felt, again, that we were only taking up a very small amount of our entitlement. But County staff felt that that building would probably have much of an impact on the single-family homes, and they were not comfortable recommending approval of the second building. So, you know, we had our considerations, and we decided to drop the second building.

And you'11 notice that Jockey III already blocks the single-family view that would be impacted by this building. So I think staff was much more comfortable recommending this building at that location than they were with the second building.

So that's why -- you know, we wanted staff's recommendation of approval, and so we dropped it.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: It seems like the -- I mean, a couple of the comments have come about saying that they weren't -- that they had never seen this before, and this was the first time. That's kind of surprising me.

We've been at this for a year now, and there hasn't been communication to the residents in the area showing -- kind of trying to sell them on it? I mean, explain to them what -- how this will beautify their community or not, or have some community input meetings on the property?

MR. MAXWELL: Our -- we certainly don't believe that is the case. We had several events where we invited everyone from the community. Our first customers are the residents of Jockeys I, II, and III.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Uh-huh.
MR. MAXWELL: Those are the first folks that we hope would be interested in purchasing a unit --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Of course.
MR. MAXWELL: -- in this development. So we've done -- believe me, we have been reaching out to these folks. Unfortunately, they kind of went to the lawyers, and most of the communication now is between attorneys, and we have not had
the type of conversations that you would normally have without a -- without a legal process.

But we met with the boards repeatedly throughout this process. This has been made available. We've tried to communicate to the residents directly with mailings, and the site plan of course is online for a year. And it's been available for review.

And so we've unfortunately talked a lot through the court case --

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Okay.
MR. MAXWELL: -- and so that's where we're at.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Any more questions.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: No more questions? Do you have any more questions?

MR. CURTES: No, I'm good.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: At all?
MR. CURTES: They've pretty much answered everything. I was just telling
him, they rerouted some roads, so some of the accesses doesn't work, like 11 -111th Street and 112th Street, you can't really access them to get to the Jockey Club. But not many people use them anyway so I'm not worried too much about $i t$.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: So, I mean, if there's no more questions, then are the members ready for a motion?

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Yes, we are.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: You guys are ready for a motion? Okay.

Is there a motion to approve or deny the application that is on the Board --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: I'11 make a motion to approve along with the --

MR. KERBEL: So it's -- okay. So it's a motion to approve per staff's recommendation with conditions one, two and three, because Condition Number 4 is --

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Okay. Staff's recommendations with conditions one, two and three.

MR. KERBEL: Because, as we discussed, four is no longer necessary.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Four is no longer necessary.

MR. KERBEL: And to deny the application for vested rights.

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Okay. Deny the application for vested rights.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Is there a second to the motion?

COUNCILMAN CURTES: Yes, I second it.
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Does everyone --
just one question, staff and legal.
Does everyone have to agree or deny it? Does it have to be unanimous?

MR. KERBEL: No. No it just has to be a majority vote.

CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Got it. I just want to make sure, because we never had that.

MR. VITAL: Councilman Curtes?
COUNCILMAN CURTES: Yes, I agree with the proposal.

MR. VITAL: Councilman Persaud?
COUNCILMAN PERSAUD: Yes.

MR. VITAL: Councilwoman Rutherford? COUNCILWOMAN RUTHERFORD: Yes.

MR. VITAL: Vice Chair -- Vice Chairman Imburgia?

VICE CHAIR IMBURGIA: Yes.
MR. VITAL: Chairman Gonzales?
CHAIRMAN GONZALEZ: Approve per staff's recommendation.

MR. VITAL: Motion passes five to zero.
(Thereupon, the proceeding was concluded at 9:10 p.m.)
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# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners 

| Appeal Application Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission <br> District | 8 |
| Applicant | South Riviera Investments No. 2, Inc. |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is seeking a district boundary change or in the alternative <br> setback variances to permit a private school on the subject property <br> for 850 students in grades K-8. Additionally, the applicant is requesting <br> to permit driveways and parking within 25' of the right-of-way, and to <br> permit outdoor recreation space less than permitted by code. |
| Location | Northwest corner of SW 248 <br> Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size and SW 120 Avenue, Miami- | 4.43-Acres |
| Existing Zoning | AU, Agricultural District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant |
| 2020 - 2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate-Density Residential, 1-2.5 dua <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) <br> Comprehensive <br> Plan ConsistencyInconsistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of the <br> CDMP |

## CZAB Action

CZAB 15
November 14, 2017 Denied With Prejudice (Resolution \#CZAB15-11-17)

## Staff Recommendation

| Recommendation <br> of the Appeal | Approval <br> (Overrule CZAB decision - requires a 2/3 vote of the BCC members <br> present) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous <br> Recommendation <br> to the CZAB | Denial without prejudice of request \#1, withdrawal of requests \#2 <br> and \#3, denial without prejudice of requests \#4, \#5 and \#6. <br> (Original Staff Recommendation to the CZAB is attached) |

## Timeline

1. On November 14, 2017, the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#15, denied with prejudice the subject application (Resolution \#CZAB15-11-17).
2. On November 22, 2017, the applicant, South Riviera Investments No. 2, Inc., appealed the CZAB \#15 decision to the BCC.
3. On February 21, 2018, the Appellant submitted revised plans with lesser impacts generated from the proposed private school by effectively lowering the student count to 750 students and increasing the provided outdoor recreation play area to $109,349 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. (209,925 sq. ft. required), in order to appeal CZAB \#15's decision.

## Analysis

Based on the Appellant's letter of intent the number of students requested for the proposed private school has now been reduced from 850 to 750 . Moreover, an additional $20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of rooftop outdoor recreational space has been also added to bring up the total number of organized sports/play area to $109,349 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. where $86,204 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. was previously provided. The proposed reduction by 100 students results in a 209,925 sq. ft. of playground area now required; whereas the $109,349 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of outdoor play area being provided by the applicant represents approximately $52 \%$ of that minimum required by Code where $41 \%$ was previously provided. Therefore, in the opinion of staff, the 109,349 sq. ft., combined with a staggered shift in recess and physical education time, would be acceptable level of outdoor recreational space to adequately serve the students. Furthermore, staff's traffic area analysis for the proposed total of 750 students now show 779 projected new AM peak hour vehicular trips (104 less external trips during the AM peak hours from the 883 external new trips during $A M$ peak hours as was projected before). Specifically, there will now be a projected $18 \%$ increase in volume of AM peak hour trips along SW 248 Street based on the revised plans (lower compared to the $42 \%$ projected before based on the initial proposal), with the projected Level of Service (LOS) remaining at "B". For these aforementioned reasons, staff is supportive of the application and opines that the Appellants' request for a reversal of the CZAB \#15 decision should be approved with conditions.

## CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL:

1. That a site plan be submitted to and meet with the approval of the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or its successor upon the submittal of an application for a building permit and/or Certificate of Use; said plan must include among other things but not be limited to, location of structure or structures, exits and entrances, drainage, walls, fences, landscaping, etc.
2. That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled "South Riviera 2 School" as prepared by Villa \& Associates Inc., dated stamped received February 21, 2018, consisting of 9 sheets.
3. That the use be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan.
4. That the applicant submit to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources for its review and approval a landscaping plan which indicates the type, size of plant material, and compliance with DERM conditions prior to the issuance of a building permit and to be installed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
5. That the applicant shall comply with all of the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as may be contained in its memorandum dated June 22, 2017.
6. That the applicant comply with all the applicable conditions, requirements, recommendations, requests and other provisions of the Division of Environmental Resources of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as contained in its memorandum dated October 18, 2016.
7. That the applicant obtain a Certificate of Use from and promptly renew the same annually with the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, upon compliance with all terms and conditions, the same subject to cancellation upon violation of any of the conditions.
8. That the school gates be opened at least 45 minutes prior to the arrival and dismissal times.
9. That at the time of each annual Certificate of Use renewal, the owner shall submit to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources a letter from the principal of the school detailing the number of students and the grade levels that are currently enrolled in said facility.
10. That the private school use be limited to grades $K-8^{\text {th }}$ and be limited to a maximum of 750 students.
11. That there will be three (3) arrival and dismissal staggered shifts for students at the private school, separated by a minimum of 30 minutes. The arrival and dismissal times shall be as follows:

## Arrival Times/Departure Times

7:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Grades K-1
8:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. Grades 2-5
8:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Grades 6-8
12. That the owner shall have trained personnel on site to manage the traffic operations during the arrival and dismissal period.
13. That at the time of each annual Certificate of Use renewal, the owner shall submit to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources a letter or approved form from the Department of Transportation and Public Works showing that the school facility is in compliance with the traffic impact study and the TOP that was submitted as part of the hearing application.
14. That no outside speakers other than in connection with emergency systems shall be permitted on the property.
15. That the waste pick-up for the private school shall be performed by a private commercial entity and shall be limited to pick-up between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except during arrival and dismissal times.
16. That Private School related night activities and/or special events shall be limited to twelve (12) events per year and shall end no later than 10:00 PM.
17. That the outside lighting shall be permitted with the proper shielding according to MiamiDade County Code.
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# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources <br> Staff Report to Community Council No. 15 

PH: Z16-106
November 14, 2017
Item No. A

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 8 |
| Applicant | South Riviera Investments No. 2, Inc. |
| Summary of Requests | The applicant is seeking a district boundary change or in the <br> alternative setback variances to permit a private school on the <br> subject property for 850 students in grades K-8. Additionally, the <br> applicant is requesting to permit driveways and parking within 25' of <br> the right-of-way, and to permit outdoor recreation space less than <br> permitted by code. |
| Location | Northwest corner of SW 248 <br> Dad County, Florida. |
| Property Size and SW 120 th Avenue, Miami- |  |
| Existing Zoning | 4.43 -Acres |
| Existing Land Use | AU, Agricultural District |
| Vacant |  |
| Use Designation Land | Estate-Density Residential, 1-2.5 dual <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Consistency | Inconsistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Sections) | Section 33-311 District Boundary Change, <br> Section 33-311(A)(3), Special Exception, Unusual use and New <br> Uses, <br> Section 33-311 (A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances from other than airport <br> regulations <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Denial without prejudice of request \#1, withdrawal of requests <br> \#2 and \#3, denial without prejudice of requests \#4, \#5 and \#6. |

This item was deferred from the September 27, 2017 meeting of Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB \#15) to allow the applicant to meet with opponents from the surrounding neighborhood. Subsequently, this application was deferred again from the October 24, 2017 meeting on applicant's request to work with the neighbors and in order for staff to address a revised plan that was submitted by the applicant on 10/16/2017.

## REQUESTS:

1. DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU, Agricultural District to EU-M, Estates Modified District.
2. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a proposed private school building setback 59' (75' required) from the interior side (west) property line. [Withdrawn per new plan.]

OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO REQUESTS \#1 and \#2, THE FOLLOWING:
3. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a proposed private school building and playground area setback a minimum of 33 ' from the rear (north) property line, setback a minimum of 28 ' from the interior side (west) property line, setback a minimum of $39^{\prime}$ from the side street (east)
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property line and setback a minimum of $105.8^{\prime}$ from the front (south) property line ( 250 ' required for all). [Withdrawn per the applicant's request.]
4. SPECIAL EXCEPTION and UNUSUAL USE to permit a private school with grades Kindergarten through $8^{\text {th }}$.
5. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a private driveway and parking areas within $25^{\prime}$ of an official right-of-way (not permitted).
6. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit 86,204 sq. ft. of outdoor recreation space ( $251,580 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . required).

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, entitled, "South Riviera 2 School" as prepared by Villa \& Associates Inc., consisting of sheets A-1, A-2, L-1, L-2 dated stamped received 10/16/17, and sheets A-4, A-5, A-6 dated stamped received $9 / 12 / 16$, for a total of 7 sheets. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The first submitted plans from 9/12/16 depict the proposed $\mathrm{K}-8^{\text {th }}$ grades private school on the 4.43 -acre subject property abutting SW 248 Street located to the south. Said plans indicate the proposed school comprised of a two (2) story building with $63,120 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of area located on the south portion of the subject property. Parking and driveways internal to the site are shown in front of the proposed building towards the south and to its sides, with ingress and egress areas along SW 120 Avenue. An 86,204 sq. ft. outdoor playground area is provided in the northern portion of the subject property.

However, a revised plan was submitted by the applicant on 10/16/2017, which is within the scope of the ad, and now shows the proposed two (2) story school building shifted slightly eastward in order to provide the required setback from the interior side (west) property line. The applicant intends to withdraw request \#2 based on these revised plans which eliminate the need for a setback variance.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARATERISTICS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoning and Existing Use |  | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | AU; vacant | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| North | AU; vacant | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| South | RU-1Z; single-family residences <br> RU-1M(a): single-family residences | Low Density Residential <br> (2.5 to 6 dua) |
| East | AU: single-family residences, <br> vacant | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| West | AU; single-family residences | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The subject property is located in the northwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 120 Avenue. The area surrounding the subject property to the north, east and west is primarily characterized by estate density single family residences and some vacant parcels. Additionally, there are RU zoned existing single-family residences to the south.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicant to provide the community with educational services. Staff notes that the Platting and Traffic Review section of the Department of Regulation and Economic Resources (RER) indicates in their memorandum that the application does not exceed the acceptable Level of Service (LOS) in the area. However, the requested variances could have a negative visual impact and bring additional noise and traffic to the surrounding residential area. Additionally, the lack of adequate playground area could have a negative impact on the proposed educational facility.

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

The subject property is designated Estate Density Use on the Land Use Plan (LUP) map of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). The Master Plan indicates that other uses permitted in residential communities are neighborhood and community services including schools only when consistent with other goals, objectives and policies of this Plan and compatible with the neighborhood. Additionally, existing uses and zoning classifications are not specifically depicted on the LUP map; however; all existing lawful uses and zoning deemed to be consistent with the CDMP. As such, staff opines that the proposed EU-M zoning district boundary change, including the proposed private school would both be consistent with the uses allowed under the Estate Density Residential Land use category text and the density threshold of CDMP Estate Density Residential Communities LUP map designation.

Furthermore, another section of the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for Institutions, Utilities and Communications indicates that neighborhood or community-serving institutional uses, including schools, in particular, may be approved where compatible in all urban land use categories, in keeping with any conditions specified in the applicable category, and where provided in certain Open Land subareas. Compatibility shall be determined in accordance to Policy LU-4A. Further, the Land Use Element Policy LU-4A sets forth the criteria to determine compatibility and states when evaluating compatibility among proximate land uses, the County shall consider such factors as noise, lighting, shadows, glare, vibration, odor, runoff, access, traffic, parking, height, bulk, scale of architectural elements, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering, and safety, as applicable. Additionally, Policy LU-4C of the CDMP's interpretative text states that residential neighborhoods shall be protected from intrusion by uses that would disrupt or degrade the health, safety, tranquility, character, and overall welfare of the neighborhood by creating such impacts as excessive density, noise, light, glare, odor, vibration, dust or traffic.

Staff is of the opinion that due to the location of the site, the proposed private school for 850 students could have a negative visual and aural effect on the surrounding properties. Staff opines that an efficient and functional traffic circulation pattern is an essential component of a private school use in order to avoid traffic conflicts within the site during arrival and dismissal times and traffic spilling onto the area roadways. Staff analysis of the traffic data (see attached exhibit ' $A$ ') for adjacent area roadways, provided in the Traffic Engineering Division (TED) of the
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DTPW memorandum, shows traffic being created by the proposed private school. Staff's traffic area analysis for the proposed total of 850 students show 883 projected new AM peak hour vehicular trips. Specifically, SW 248 Street will have a $42 \%$ increase in volume of AM peak hour trips (494 more trips). Although the attached traffic chart (exhibit 'A') shows substantial increase in trips along SW 248 Street due to the proposed private school, the projected Level of Service (LOS) remains at " $B$ ". Further, staff opines that by adding the additional 883 new trips to this residential neighborhood, which is comprised of existing estate residences and low density residential, the traffic in the area local roads and along SW 248 Street would be intensified. Notwithstanding, staff opines that the applicants have addressed the internal vehicular circulation to the satisfaction of the Traffic Engineering Division.

Furthermore, staff notes that although there are increased traffic impacts, the LOS continues to remain the same at "B". Based on the aforementioned analysis, staff opines that the request for a new private school use would be compatible as it will satisfy the criteria for compatibility outlined in Policy LU-4A. Moreover, staff opines that approval of same would also be consistent with the criteria of the CDMP provided that schools may only be approved in residential communities when compatible with the surrounding neighborhood based on the criteria set forth in Policies LU-4A and LU-4C.

Notwithstanding, Policy LU-4D states that uses which are supportive but potentially incompatible shall be permitted on sites within functional neighborhoods, communities or districts only where proper design solutions can and will be used to integrate the compatible and complimentary elements and buffer any incompatible elements. Staff opines that if the property is not rezoned to EU-M, setback variances for an AU zoned district would be required based on the submitted plans which depict a facility encroaching into all four property lines. These intensive setbacks, combined with the request for a proposed outdoor recreation space less than half of what is required, are incompatible with the neighborhood and therefore inconsistent with Policy LU-4D of the Land Use Element of the CDMP. Therefore, based on the provided information, staff opines that the applicant is unable to provide sufficient buffering or design elements to mitigate the negative impacts of the proposed development on the abutting estate and low density residential developments as required under Policy LU4-A and Policy LU-4D of the Land Use Element of the CDMP. As such, staff opines that with these and other conditions that will be further outlined in the zoning analysis, the application for a proposed private school of 850 students for grades K-8 would set a negative precedent for over intensive development in the surrounding properties, is incompatible with same and, therefore, is inconsistent with the goals and objectives under the interpretative text of the CDMP.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

When the request for a District Boundary Change from AU, Agricultural District to EU-M, Estates Modified District (request \#1) is analyzed under Section 33-311 of the Code, staff opines that the approval of this request would be inconsistent with the interpretative text of the CDMP and should be denied. Section 33-311 of the Code states that the purpose of the Code is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to among other things, lessen congestion on the highways and promote health, safety, morals, convenience and general welfare, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses. As such, staff opines that the applicants' request for a zone change may have a negative impact on the existing neighborhood, services and traffic flow. Currently, the subject site is located within an area characterized by vacant or agricultural land and residential uses. Staff opines that approval of the request would be out of character with and incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood when considering the necessity and
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reasonableness in relation to the present and future development of the area concerned. Request \#2 is germane to request \#1 and was originally needed at the time of the first submitted plans which showed the building setback less than required from the said property line. However, staff notes that revised plans within the scope of ad were submitted by the applicant on 10/16/2017, which depict the required setbacks for an EU-M zoning district. Therefore, applicant is requesting to withdraw request \#2 due to the revised site plan. As such, staff recommends denial without prejudice of request \#1 under Section 33-311 Standards for District Boundary Change, and withdrawal of request \#2 under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variances Standards From Other Than Airport Regulations.

The applicant has asked to withdraw request \#3 for the indicated setback variances, notwithstanding, staff notes that if the property is not rezoned to EU-M, the alternative request \#3 for setback variances is germane to the AU zoning district and would be required in order to establish the school. As such, staff recommends withdrawal of request \#3 per the applicant's request, under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variances Standards From Other Than Airport Regulations.

Staff notes that' as part of this application, the applicant submitted a Traffic Impact Study, Site plans and a School Traffic Operations Plan (TOP) to the Traffic Engineering Division (TED) of the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) for review. The DTPW has indicated in its memorandum dated June 22, 2017, that the application will generate 145 PM new Peak Hour trips based on the Institute of Traffic Engineering (ITE) standards, does not exceed the Level of Service (LOS) on the surrounding roadways. Further, the Division of Environmental Resources Management of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources memorandum indicates that approval will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for potable water service, wastewater disposal, or storm water management. Additionally, the other departments reviewing the application including the Departments of Solid Waste Management, Water and Sewer and Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department, do not object to the application subject to the conditions outlined in their respective memorandums.

When analyzing request \#4 under Section 33-311(A)(3), Standards For Special Exceptions, Unusual Uses and New Uses, staff is of the opinion that the proposed private school is overly intensive and will set a negative precedent for over intensive development in the surrounding residential properties. The subject property is located in close proximity to SW 248 Street, a well-traveled and easily accessible section-line roadway. However, when taking into account the request to permit an outdoor recreation area of $86,204 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. ( $251,580 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. required) and the other requests, the proposal is too intensive and will be intrusive and out of character with the existing estate density residential community located to the north, east, west and low-density residential to the south. Staff's analysis of the adjacent area roadways shows that this application could impact traffic in the area and is incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood for reasons indicated above and below.

As previously mentioned, staff notes that the currently vacant 4.43 -acre subject property is surrounded by single-family residential or vacant agricultural parcels. Furthermore, the standards for review under this section of the Code require that staff consider the applied for exception and use in relation to the present and future development of the area and their compatibility with same. Staff notes that based on the current designation of the surrounding properties on the LUP map of the CDMP, the future development of the area is not likely to deviate from the mix of residential and agricultural uses. As such, staff opines that the approval of the private school use, along with the greatly reduced outdoor playground area, would be overly intensive, would have negative noise and visual impacts created by the intensity of the
use onto the surrounding residential communities and would be incompatible with same. As such, Therefore, staff recommends denial without prejudice of requests \#4, under Section 33-311(A)(3) Special Exceptions, Unusual and New Uses.

Similarly, when requests \#5 and \#6 are analyzed under the Non-Use Variance (NUV) Standards, Section $33-311(\mathrm{~A})(4)(\mathrm{b})$, staff is of the opinion that the approval of these requests would be incompatible with the surrounding area, would be detrimental to the neighborhood, and would negatively affect the appearance of the community. The applicant has submitted a school schedule showing how students' outdoor recreation time would be staggered throughout the school day. However, staff opines that although a staggered shift for the outdoor playground can be scheduled, but is difficult to enforce even if the applicant were to proffer a covenant for the same. Moreover, as previously mentioned, when taking into account the severity of the request, to permit the proposed school with an outdoor recreational area of $86,204 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . ( 251,580 sq. ft. required), the amount of outdoor play area only represents approximately $34 \%$ of the minimum required by Code, which in the opinion of staff, does not meet the intent of the Code, which is to provide for adequate outdoor play area. In staff's opinion, the request is excessive, overly intensive as it represents an over utilization of the subject property and would provoke excessive overcrowding or concentration of students in a small playground area. As such, when considering the necessity for and the reasonableness of the proposal in relation to the surrounding area and the compatibility of said use with the area and its development, staff is of the opinion that this request will have an unfavorable effect on same, will not be in keeping with the intent of the zoning regulations and will be contrary to the public interest. Based on all of the aforementioned, staff is of the opinion that approval of request \#6 would be incompatible with the surrounding area. Therefore, staff recommends denial without prejudice of request \#6 under Section $33-311(\mathrm{~A})(4)(\mathrm{b})$, Non-Use Variances Standards. Additionally, although the requested private driveway and parking areas within $25^{\prime}$ of an official right-of-way (requests \#5) are internal to the site and only affect SW 120 Avenue, this request too is germane to request \#4. Staff opines that requests \#5 and \#6 are both contingent on the approval of request \#4, which staff does not support. As such, staff recommends denial without prejudice of requests \#5 and \#6, under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variances Standards From Other Than Airport Regulations.

ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING: The submitted plans indicate two (2) ingress/egress drives along the east property line abutting SW 120 Avenue. The applicant has provided 27 more parking spaces than the required 65 parking spaces for the 850 students and staff of the proposed private school.

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS: See attached.

## OTHER: N/A

## RECOMMENDATION:

Denial without prejudice of request \#1, withdrawal of requests \#2 and \#3, denial without prejudice of requests \#4, \#5 and \#6.

CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL: None.

South Riviera Investments No. 2, Inc.
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Development Services Division
Miami-Dade County
Regulatory and Economic Resources Department

# ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM 
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| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS* |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resources Management (RER) | No objection* |
| Platting and Traffic Review Section (RER) | No objection* |
| Water and Sewer Department (WASD) | No objection |
| Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM) | No objection |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Police | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT

| Agriculture |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Pg. I-62) | The area designated as "Agriculture" contains the best agricultural land remaining in Miami- <br> Lade County. As stated in the Miami-Dade County Strategic Plan, approved by the Board <br> of County Commissioners, protection of viable agriculture is a priority. The principal uses in <br> this area should be agriculture, uses ancillary to and directly supportive of agriculture and <br> farm residences. Uses ancillary to and directly supportive of agriculture are defined as those <br> uses related to preserving, processing, packaging or selling of agricultural products from <br> Florida, and farm supplies, as well as sales and service of farm machinery and implements, <br> subject to the requirements of Chapter 24 of the County Code. Uses ancillary to, and <br> necessary to support the rural residential community of the agricultural area may also be <br> approved, including houses of worship. However, schools shall not be approved in <br> Agriculture areas but should be located inside the UDB in accordance with Policy EDU-3A. |
|  | In order to protect the agricultural industry, uses incompatible with agriculture, and uses and <br> facilities that support or encourage urban development are not allowed in this area. <br> Residential development that occurs in this area is allowed at a density of no more than one <br> unit per five acres. Creation of new parcels smaller than five acres for residential use may <br> be approved in the Agriculture area only if the immediate area surrounding the subject <br> parcel on three or more contiguous sides is predominantly and lawfully parcelized in a <br> similar manner, and if a division of the subject parcel would not precipitate additional land <br> division in the area. No business or industrial use should be approved in the area <br> designated Agriculture unless the use is directly supportive of local agricultural production, |
| and is located on an existing arterial roadway, and has adequate water supply and sewage |  |
| disposal in accordance with Chapter 24 of the County Code, and the development order |  |
| specifies the approved uses); however, agricultural processing facilities for produce grown |  |
| in Florida are not restricted to locating on an existing arterial roadway. Other uses, including |  |
| utility uses compatible with agriculture and with the rural residential character may be |  |
| approved in the Agriculture area only if deemed to be a public necessity, or if deemed to be |  |
| in the public interest and the applicant demonstrates that no suitable site for the use exists |  |
| outside the Agriculture area. |  |
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|  | the applied for exception or use with such area and its development. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section 33- | Upon appeal or direct application in specific cases, the Board shall hear and grant <br> applications for non-use variances from the terms of the zoning and subdivision regulations |
| Use Variances |  |
| From Other Than |  |
| Airport | and may grant a non-use variance upon a showing by the applicant that the non-use variance <br> Regulations. |
| maintains the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use <br> regulations, which is to protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the <br> stability and appearance of the community and provided that the non-use variance will be <br> othenwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the <br> community. No showing of unnecessary hardship to the land is required. |  |

## EXHIBIT ' $A$ '

## South Riviera Private School

Miami-Dade County<br>Impact Chart<br>Level of Service (LOS) Worksheets<br>850 Students

July Fth, 2017

| South Riviera School <br> Project Generated AM Peak Hour Net New Vehicular Trips <br> Proposed Number of Students: 850 <br> 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM PEAK HOUR (With 3 Arrival \& 3 Dismissal Shifts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 850 Students | Projected New Trips |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | 883 |  |  |  |  |
| Site Circulation |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Campus | In \& Out bound Trips | Stacking \& Queuing <br> Spaces Required | Stacking \& Queuing <br> Spaces Provided | Parking <br> Spaces Required | Parking Spaces Provided |
| North Driveway | 765 | 84 | 92 | 85 | 92 |
| South Driveway | 118 |  |  |  |  |
| Adjacent Roadway I Neighborhood Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjacent Roadway | Projected New Trips | Existing Background Traffic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (New + Existing) } \end{aligned}$ | \% Increase in Volume | Future LOS (Arterial Speed) |
| SW 248 Street between SW 112 Avenue \& SW 127 Avenue | 863 | $\begin{gathered} 1,165 \\ (631 \mathrm{~EB} / 534 \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,659 \\ (874 \mathrm{~EB} / 785 \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ (39 \% \mathrm{~EB} / 47 \% \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ 31.20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Note | 1 LOS \& Arterial Speed Based on HCM 6 Arterial Analysis from Synchro 10 (see attached). |  |  |  |  |

## Arterial Level of Service: EB SW 248 St

|  | Arterial <br> Class | Flow <br> Speed | Running <br> Time | Signal <br> Delay | Travel <br> Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | Dist <br> (mi) | Arterial <br> Speed | Arterial <br> LOS |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SW 112 Ave | II | 40 | 136.7 | 13.8 | 150.5 | 1.52 | 36.3 | A |
| Total | II |  |  | 136.7 | 13.8 | 150.5 | 1.52 | 36.3 |

Arterial Level of Service: WB SW 248 St





$\qquad$

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2016000106 | $16-106$ | CZAB15 | 17 |  |




EXHIBITS: $\square$ NO

## APPLICANT'S NAME: SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTMENTS NO. 2 INC.

REPRESENTATIVE:
Testa mayo

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS\# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2016000106 | $16-106$ | CZAB15 |  | 17 |




EXHIBITS: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO COUNTY ATTORNEY: LAUREN DOR SE

# Memorandum 

## Date: October 18, 2016



The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources - Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code) and meets the minimum requirements of the Code. Accordingly, the application may be scheduled for public hearing.

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Existing public water and sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Civil drawings for the required sewer main extension will need to be approved by Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department and the DERM Environmental Wastewater Permitting Section, prior to approval of final development orders.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

A Surface Water Management General Permit from DERM Water Control Section shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval. The applicant is advised to contact the RER Water Control Section at (305) 372-6681 for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage plans shall provide for full on-site retention of the stormwater runoff generated by a 5-year / 1day storm event.

Site grading and development shall provide for the full retention of the 25 -year/3-day storm event and shall also comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order.

## Wetlands

The subject property does not contain wetlands as defined by Section 24-5 of the Code; therefore, a Class IV permit will not be required.

The applicant is advised that permits from the Army Corps of Engineers (305) 526-7181, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (561-681-6600) and the South Florida Water Management District (1-800-432-2045) may be required for the proposed project. It is the applicant's responsibility to contact these agencies.

## Tree Preservation

The application has been reviewed and is recommended for approval with conditions. A review of the information submitted in support of the application indicates impacts to tree resources. A recommendation of approval from DERM is conditioned that no specimen trees are impacted. Should the applicant require impacts to specimen trees, substantive changes to the site plan pursuant to the specimen tree standards outlined in Section 24-49.2(II)(2) of the Code shall be made.

A Miami-Dade County Tree Permit is required prior to the removal and/or relocation of any tree that is subject to the Tree Preservation and Protection provisions of the Code. Projects and permits shall meet the requirements of Sections 24-49.2 and 24-49.4 of the Code, specifically the specimen tree standards. A recommendation of approval is contingent on the applicant obtaining a tree permit.

It is advised that pursuant to Policy Con-81 of the CDMP and Section 24-49.9 of the Code, should there be exotic pest plant and nuisance species as listed in Section 24-49.9 of the Code present on the subject property, they shall be removed prior to development or redevelopment and developed property shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species.

Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305) 372-6574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

# PETITION OF APPEAL FROM DECISION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARDDE -1 

 TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AMOUNT OF FEE $\qquad$CHECKED BY $\qquad$

RECEIPT \# $\qquad$

## DATE RECEIVED STAMP

This Appeal Form must be completed in accordance with the "Instruction for Filing an Appeal" and in accordance with Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and must be made to the Department on or before the Deadline Date prescribed

RE: Hearing No. Z2016000106
Filed in the name of (Applicant) South Riviera Investments No. 2, Inc.
Name of Appellant, if other than applicant $\qquad$
Address/Location of APPELLANT'S property: NW corner of SW 248 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW 120 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, in Miami-Dade County.

Application, or part of Application being Appealed (Explapation):Entire appealable application
$\qquad$

Appellant (name): South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc.
hereby appeals the decision of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board with reference to the above subject matter, and in accordance with the provisions contained in Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, hereby makes application to the Board of County Commissioners for review of said decision. The grounds and reasons supporting the reversal of the ruling of the Community Zoning Appeals Board are as follows:
(State in brief and concise language)
The Community Council's decision to deny the application was arbitrary and capricious and not based on substantial competent evidence.

Date: $22^{\text {nd }}$ day of NarEMSER, year 2017.

## APPELLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Signed


Ruben Diaz
Print Name
660 SW $123^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, Miami, FL 33184
Mailing Address

| (305) 789-7787 | (305) 679-6302 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phone | Fax |

## REPRESENTATIVE'S AFFIDAVIT

If you are filing as representative of an association or other entity, so indicate:


South Riviera Investments 报, Inc.


Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.
Print Name
Holland \& Knight LLP
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Address

| Miami | FL | 33131 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State | Zip |

(305) 789-7787

Telephone Number

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 22 nd day of November, year 2017.


# APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING 

(must be signed by each Appellant)

## STATE OF

FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Ruben Diaz, Director of South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc. (Appellant) who was sworn and says that the Appellant has standing to file the attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals Board decision.

The Appellant further states that they have standing by virtue of being of record in Community Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following:
(Check all that apply)
$\square$ 1. Participation at the hearing
$\mathbf{X}$ 2. Original Applicant

- 3. Written objection, waiver or consent

Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury, and that under penalties of perjury, Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.

Further Appellant says not.


JUAN T. MAMCL,GR.


Appellant's signature
Ruben Diaz
Print Name


Sworn to and subscribed before me on the $22^{n d}$ day of november, year 2017 .
Appellant is personally know to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.


Notary
(Stamp/Seal)
Commission Expires:

SIUBY FI.EITES
MY COMMISSION ${ }^{4}$ CG 073135 EXPIRES: April 16, 2021 Bonded 7 hum Notary Public Underxiters

## Date:

June 22, 2017
To: Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Departmentef Regulatory and Economic Resources


From: Raul A. Pino, PLS, Chief
Platting and Traffic Review Section
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources
Subject: Z2016000106
Name: South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc.
Location: Northwest Corner of SW 148 Street and SW 120 Avenue
Section 24 Township 56 South Range 39 East

The Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting and Traffic Review Section has reviewed the subject application and has no objections.

This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

This application does meet the traffic concurrency criteria for an Initial Development Order. It will generate 145 PM daily peak hour vehicle trips. The traffic distribution of these trips to the adjacent roadways reveals that the addition of these new trips does not exceed the acceptable level of service of the following roadways:

| STA.\# | LOCATION | LOS <br> PRESENT | LOS <br> W/PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9103 | SW 232 St E/O US 1 to SW 117 Ave | C | C |
| 9736 | SW 112 Ave N/O SW 232 ST to US 1 | C | C |
| 9914 | SW 248 ST E/O SW 127 Ave to SW 112 Ave | B | B |
| F-50 | SW 112 Ave N/O FLA TPK/SR 821 | C | C |

The request herein, constitutes an Initial Development Order only, and one or more traffic concurrency determinations will subsequently be required before development will be permitted.

## Department of Transportation and Public Works, Traffic Engineering Division Comments below

The Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) Traffic Engineering Division (TED) has no further objection to the Traffic Impact Study, the Traffic Operating Plan and Site Plan for the subject application. The application is now approved for on-site conditions, pending submittal of Off-Site Infrastructure Plans that address school zone signals, signs, and pavement markings, in accordance with the current governing standards.

## Page 2

The applicant will be required to install school speed zones, as per the most recent governing standards and upon all required agency approvals, for any existing or future uncontrolled school crossings that provide direct access to the school's property; and for any remote school crossings serving the school site that has been warranted as per the most recent governing standards. Failure to provide school speed zones or other off-site infrastructure plans that meets with County approval will prohibit the school from obtaining a future Certificate of Use. Please contact TED for information relating to recent Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Speed Zoning updates as they relate to school zones.

## Child Care Check List for

## Day Nursery, Day Care Center, Kindergarten and Private School

School Name: South Riviera 2/ K-8 School Building
School Address: Vacant Land SW 120th Avenue \& 248th St Tax Folio \# 30-6924-000-2011

1. Is this an expansion to an existing school $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If yes, indicated the number of students: $\qquad$ and age and grade ranges originally approved: $\qquad$ -
2. Total size of site: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $=193,024$ sq. ft. $/ 43,560 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}=4.43$ acres
3. Number of children or students requested: 750 Ages: 3 to 14 years old (PK to 8th Grade)
4. Number of teachers: $50 \quad$ Number of administrative \& clerical personnel: 10
5. Number of classrooms: 38 Total square footage of classroom area: $28,351 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$
6. Total square footage of non-classroom area (offices, bathrooms, kitchens, closets): 35.433 sq. ft.
7. Amount of outdoor recreation/play area in square footage: 109,349 sq. ft. plus a 5,122 sq. ft. Gym

NOTE: Location requirement for outdoor recreation/play areas must conform to §33-151.18(j)
8. Number \& type of vehicle(s) that will be used in conjunction with the operation of the facility: $\mathbf{O}$
9. Number of parking spaces provided for staff, visitors and transportation vehicles: parking spaces provided $=\underline{92}$ spaces parking spaces required by $\S 33-124(\mathrm{~L})=65$ spaces
10. Indicate the number of auto stacking spaces: 85 provided 5 required.
11. Proposed height for the structure(s): $35^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ See $\S 33-151,18(\mathrm{~g})$.
12. Size of identification sign: $n / a \times n / a=n / a$ sq. ft. See §33-151.18(c). Signage will require a separate permit. Contact the Permit Section at (786) 315-2100.
13. Days and hours of operation: Monday to Friday 7 am to 6 pm .
14. Does the subject facility share the site with other facilities? _Yes $X$ No. (If yes, the space which will be used solely for the school facility during the hours of operation must be indicated on the plans, pursuant to $\S 33-151,16$ ).
15. If the school will include residential uses, do such uses meet the standards provided in $\$ 33$ 151.17? Yes $\square$ No (If yes, describe the residential uses and indicate same on the plans). No Residential Uses


PHYSICAL STANDARDS: OUTDOOR RECREATION SPACE AND CLASSROOM SPACE MUST BE CALCULATED IN TERMS OF THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN ATTENDANCE AT ANY ONE TIME.

The following information will determine the maximum number of children permitted at the facility. WHEN GRADE LEVELS OVERELAP, THE MORE RESTRICTIVE SHALL BE USED.

CLASSROOM SPACE: Calculated by grade levels.
a. Day Nursery/Kindergarten, preschool and after-school care
$35 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} \times 130$ (number of children) $=4.550$ sa. ft. of classroom area required
b. Elementary Grades 1-6

30 sq. ft. $\times 450$ (number of children) $=13,500$ sq. ft. of classroom area required.
c. Junior High and Senior High Schools (Grades 7-12)

25 sq. ft. $\times 170$ (number of children) $=4,250$ sq. ft. of classroom area required.
TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF CLASSROOM AREA REQUIRED: $22,300 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF CLASSROOM AREA PROVIDED: $28,351 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.

## OUTDOOR RECREATION SPACE:

a. Day nursery/kindergarten, preschool and after school care $45 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} . \times 65(1 / 2$ of children $)=2,925 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
b. Grades 1-6 $\quad 500$ sq. ft. $\times 30$ (first 30 children) $=15,000$ sq. ft.

300 sq. ft. x 420 (remaining children) $=126.000$ sq. ft.

c. Grades 7-12

800 sq. ft. $\times 30$ (first 30 children) $=24,000$ sq. ft.
300 sq. ft. $x 140$ (next 300 children) $=42.000$ sq. ft.
150 sq. ft. $x$ $\qquad$ $($ remaining children $)=$ $\qquad$
TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF OUTDOOR RECREATION SPACE REQUIRED: 209.925 sq. ft. TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF OUTDOOR RECREATION SPACE PROVIDED: 109,349 sq. $\mathrm{ft}, 52 \%$

TREES: See $\S 33-151.18(\mathrm{~g})$, and the Planning Division ( $12^{\text {in }}$ Floor) for additional requirements.
a. 28 trees are required per net acre. Trees required: 155 Trees provided: 155
b. Ten shrubs are required for each tree required. Shrubs required: 1,550 Shrubs provided: 1,605
c. Grass area for organized sports/play area in square feet: 58,614 sq. fl.
d. Lawn area in square feet (exclusive of organized sports/play area): $47,140 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.

School Address: Vacant Land SW 120th Avenue \& 248th St - Miami, Florida
THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS COMPLETE AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

Signed, sealed, executed and acknowledged on this 8th day of February 2018 at Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Jorge L. Villavicencio, R.A.


WITNESSES:

## STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE
 appeared Vopge Villaricencio to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and he/she acknowledged to me the execution thereof to be his/her free act for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:


To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director Development Services Department of Regulatory and Economic Resourcas \&REF
From: $\quad$ Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED ${ }^{\oplus}$ Green Associate Chief, Planning \& Modeling Section
Subject: Zoning Application Comments - South Riviera Investments \# 2, Inc. Application No Z2016000106 - (Pre-App. Z2016P00318)

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject application. The information provided below is preliminary and it does not affect the Zoning Process. The applicant is advised to consult with their engineer and the WASD Plans Review staff to finalize points of connection and capacity approval.

Application Name: South Riviera Investments \# 2, Inc.
Location: The proposed project is located on approximately 5.0 acres on the west side of S.W. $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and north of S.W. 248 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street with Folios No. 30-6924-000-1980, 30-6924-000-2010, 30-6924-000-2011, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: Rezoning from AU to EU-M, to permit a private school (grades K-8).
The estimate total water demands for the proposed project will be 6,178 (gpd).
Water: The proposed development is located within the WASD water service area. The water supply will be provided by the Alexander-Orr Water Treatment Plant. Currently, there is adequate treatment and water supply capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (1) of the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP).

The existing property is not connected to water. There is an existing 16 -inch water main located along S.W. $248^{\text {th }}$ Street abutting the property to where the developer may connect to provide water service. Per WASD's Rules and Regulations, a water main extension may be required along S.W. $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to the north east corner of the property. Any public water main extensions within the property shall be 8 inch minimum diameter. If two or more fire hydrants are to be connected to a public water main extension within the property, then the water system shall be looped with two (2) points of connection. Final points of connections and capacity approval to connect to the water system will be provided at the time of the applicant requests connection to the water infrastructure.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) will be required for the proposed development. Said Certification will be issued at the time the applicant requests connection to the water system. The WSC letter shall remain active in accordance with terms and conditions specified in said certification. The WSC required is consistent with Policy CIE-5D and WVS-2C in the County's CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20-year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/water/water-supply-certification.asp

In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use in accordance with Section 8-31, 32-84 and 8A-381 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.

Also, the future development will be required to comply with the landscape standards in sections 18-A and 18 -B of Miami-Dade County Code.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/home.asp.

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/library/WUE standards manual final.pdf

Sewer: The proposed development is located within WASD sewer service area, and within the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (SDWWTP) sub-service area. This WWTP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Currently, there is adequate sewer treatment and disposal capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (2) of the CDMP.

The existing property is not connected to sewer. There is an existing 24 -inch force main system located along S.W. $248^{\text {th }}$ Street, abutting the property, to where the developer may connect. A private pump station will be required to provide sewer service to the proposed development. Any proposed sewer extension inside the developer's property shall be eight (8)-inch minimum. Final points of connections and capacity approval for connection to the sewer system will be provided at the time the applicant request connection to the sewer infrastructure.

Below please find additional links to the WASD portal which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-development.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

Date: $\quad$ November 4, 2016
To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Regulatory and Economic Resources Department

From: Paul Mauriello, Deputy Director, Waste Operations
Department of Solid Waste Management

Subject: South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc. (\#16_106)

The Department's review of the above-referenced item is provided below. Additional comments will be provided as needed. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Stacey McDuffie, Manager, Intergovernmental and External Affairs, at 305-375-1354. The DSWM has no objections to the proposed application.

Application: South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc. is requesting the following zoning changes in order to develop a private school on the subject property:
$>$ Zoning district boundary change from AU (Agricultural/Residential 5 Acres Gross) to EU-M (Estates Modified, 15,000 square feet net)
$>$ Special exception and unusual use to permit a private school for up to 850 students (grades K-8)
> Non-use variance of setback requirements
Location: The subject property is located on the northwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 120 Avenue.

Size: The property is approximately 5 acres in size.

## Analysis:

## 1. Solid Waste Disposal

The Miami-Dade County Solid Waste Management System consists of both County facilities and private facilities under contract as follows: three Class I landfills (two owned by Waste Management Inc., of Florida) a Class III landfill, a Resources Recovery Facility waste to energy plant and associated ash monofill, and three regional transfer facilities. The Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM) does not assess or adjust estimated capacity requirements based on the impacts of individual developments. Instead, the Department maintains sufficient disposal capacity to accommodate five years of waste flows committed to the system through long-term interlocal agreements or contracts with municipalities and private waste haulers and anticipated non-committed waste flows. The latest Concurrency Status Determination issued on September 21, 2016, which is valid for one year, shows sufficient disposal system capacity to exceed the County's adopted level of service (five years of capacity). This determination, which is on file with the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department is contingent upon the continued ability of the County to obtain and renew disposal facility operating permits from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, as needed.

South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc.
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## 2. Garbage and Trash Collection Services

Should the zoning change requests be approved and a school subsequently constructed on the property, it would meet the definition of a "commercial establishment" pursuant to Chapter 15 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. Per the Code, the following is required of commercial establishments located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County:
"Every commercial and multi-family residential establishment shall utilize the solid waste collection services of either the proper governmental agency able to provide such services, or that of a licensed solid waste hauler authorized to perform such services by the Director of the Department." The DSWM does not generally service commercial establishments located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. Therefore, the property owner is required to contact a private hauler to provide waste and recycling collection service.

## 3. Recycling

Section 15-2.3a of the Code requires commercial establishments to provide for a recycling program, which shall be serviced by a permitted hauler or the appropriate governmental agency. The recycling program for commercial establishments must include a minimum of three (3) materials chosen from the following:

1) High grade office paper
2) Steel (cans, scrap)
3) Mixed paper
4) other metals/scrap production materials
5) Corrugated cardboard
6) Plastics (PETE, HDPE-natural, HDPE-colored)
7) Glass (flint, emerald, amber)
8) Textiles
9) Aluminum (cans, scrap)
10) Wood

Applicants are strongly advised to incorporate adequate space and facilities in their building plans to accommodate the required recycling program. Requests for approval of modified recycling programs must be made directly to the Department at 305-514-6666.

Section 15-2,3 of the Code states the failure of a commercial establishment to provide a recycling program or a modified recycling program pursuant to Section 15-2.4 hereof shall constitute a violation of this section for which the property owner and the owner(s) and operator(s) of the commercial establishment shall be jointly and severally liable.

## 4. Waste Storage/Setout Considerations

Section 15-4 of the Code requires that plans for storage and collection of solid waste be adequate before a building permit may be issued. Site plans must address location, accessibility, number and adequacy of solid waste collection and storage facilities. The site plan legend must contain the following statement: "Facilities for the collection and storage of solid waste are shown in accordance with Section 15-4 of the Miami-Dade County Code."

## 5. Site Circulation Considerations

It is required that development plans associated with this project incorporate at least one of the following traffic circulation criteria to minimize the reversing of waste vehicles and hence, provide for the safe circulation of service vehicles:
a. Cul-de-sac with a minimum 49 foot turning radius (no "dead-ends")
b. "T" shaped turnaround 60 feet long by 10 feet wide
c. Paved throughway of adequate width (minimum 15 feet)

South Riviera Investments \#2, Inc.
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In addition, any and all alleyways designed with utilities, including waste collection, provided at the rear of the property should be planned in accordance with standard street specifications with sufficient width and turning radii to permit large vehicle access. There should be no "dead-end" alleyways developed. A sufficient waste set-out zone should be preserved between the edge of the pavement and any possible obstructions such as parked cars, fencing, etc., that would interrupt or preclude waste collection.

June 27, 2017

| Date: | June 27, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Nathan M. Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| From: | Alejandro G Cuello, Principal Planner <br> Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department |
| Subject: | Z2016000106 |

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objection to the site plan dated stamp received 06/21/17 Energov upload date.

For additional information, please contact at acuello@miamidade.gov or call 786-331-4545

# Building and Neighborhood Compliance 

## ENFORCEMENT HISTORY

| SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTMENTS NO. 2, INC. | N/A <br> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPLICANT | ADDRESS |
| Pending | Z2016000106 |
| DATE | HEARING NUMBER |

FOLIO: 30-6924-000-1980
REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:
August 11, 2017
NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:
There are no open/closed CMS cases.

## BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:

There are no open/closed BSS cases.
VIOL_ATOR:
SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTIMENTS NO. 2, INC.
OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:
There are no outstanding liens or fines.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal, stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: South Riviera Investments \#2. Inc. 660 SW $123^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue. Miami. Florida 33184


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].


If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, ist the principals inctuding general and limited partners. [Note: Where partner(s) consist of other partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$

NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership, list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME AND ADDRESS (if applicable)
Percentage of
Interest
of
Interest

Date of contract: $\qquad$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust:

NOTICE: For changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature: $\qquad$


Ruben Diaz, Director,
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 30 day of August 2016. Affiant is personally known to me or has produced $f l$ Divers license as identification.

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.
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South Rivera Private School
Arterial Level of Service

Arterial Level of Service: WB SW 248 St



## Exhibit "A"

| South Riviera School <br> Project Generated AM Peak Hour New New Vehicle Trips <br> Proposed Number of Students: 750 <br> 7:30-8:30 AM PEAK HOUR (with 3 Arrival and Dismissal Shifts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 750 Students | Projected New Trips |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour | 779 |  |  |  |  |
| Site Circulation |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Campus | In \& Out bound Trips | Stacking and Queving Spaces Required | Stacking and Queuing Spaces Provided | Parking Spaces Required | Parking Spaces Provided |
| North Driveway | 675 | 74 | 92 | 65 | 93 |
| South Driveway | 104 |  |  |  |  |
| Adjacent Roadway / Neighborhood Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjacent Roadway | Projected New Trips | Existing Background Traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (New + Existing) } \end{gathered}$ | \% Increase in Volume | Fure LOS <br> (Arterial Speed) |
| SW 248 Street between SW 112 Avenue \& SW 127 Avenue | 779 | $\begin{gathered} 1165 \\ (632 \mathrm{~EB} / 534 \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1379 \\ 734 \mathrm{~EB} / 645 \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ (16 \% \mathrm{~EB} / 20 \% \mathrm{WB}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ 30.6 \end{gathered}$ |

Notes:


## Arterial Level of Service: EB SW 248 St



Arterial Level of Service: WB SW 248 St



| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 50 |



Section: 24 Township: 56 Range: 39
Applicant: SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTMENTS NO. 2, INC.
Zoning Board: C15
Commission District: 8
Drafter ID: E.CESPEDES
Scale: NTS
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COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 15
MEETING OF TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2017
SOUTH DADE REGIONAL LIBRARY-2nd FLOOR ROOM \#205

10750 S.W. 211 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA

SOUTH RIVIERA INVESTMENTS NO.2, INC.
(16-106)

Members of the Board
Present

Marvin Wilson, Chair Enid W. Demps, Vice-Chair Johnny G. Farias
Larry E. Jackson Marva Williams

## STAFF

Carl Harrison, Clerk
Lauren Morse, County Attorney
Leo Rodriguez, Platting \& Traffic Review

Chairman Wilson: 3, 4, 6, 7, 21-24, 26, 28, 30, 36, 38, 39, 44, 47, 49-51,
Vice-Chair Demps: 3, 46-50
Councilman Farias: 3, 40-42, 44, 50
Councilman Jackson: 3, 44, 46, 50
Councilwoman Williams: 3, 39, 40, 49, 50 STAFF

Mr. Harrison: 3-7, 34, 45-47, 50, 51
Ms. Morse: 3, 41, 42, 44, 50

## SUPPORTERS:

Ms. Velazquez: 8-12, 27-31, 36
Ms. Cabrera: 22, 23
Ms. Soler: 24-26
Ms. Diaz: 23, 24
Mr. Dominguez: 26-28
Mr. Forbs: 28-30
Mr. Diaz: 46-48
Mr. Valentin: 44
Mr. Huembes: 48, 49
OBJECTORS:
Ms. Rolfs: 30-34
Mr. Homyk: 34, 35
Ms. Homyk: 36-38
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had).
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are the court reporter and the county attorney present?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
THE COUNTY ATTORNEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting of the Community Council 15 has come to order on this November the 14 th, 2017 meeting.

Please rise for the Pledge of

Allegiance.
(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was had, after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Please be seated.

Staff please call the roll.

MR. HARRISON: Councilman Farias?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Present.

MR. HARRISON: Councilman Jackson?

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Present.

MR. HARRISON: Councilman Morrow?

Councilwoman Murillo?

Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: Present.

MR. HARRISON: Vice-Chair Demps?

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Present.

MR. HARRISON: Chair Wilson?

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Present.

MR. HARRISON: And please note here two results were absent. We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN WIISON: Those Of You who are present who wish to speak today must stand and please be sworn in by the court reporter.
(Thereupon, all interested individuals seeking to give testimony in the case were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone present this evening who wishes to defer or withdraw an application please come forward at this time. state your name for the record.

There are no referrals at this time?

Staff please read the disclaimer for the record.

MR. HARRISON: In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard this evening have been legally advertised in the Newspaper, notices have been mailed, and the properties have been posted.

Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting. Items will be called upon to be heard by agenda number and name of applicant.

The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.

All these items are physically present this evening, available to all interested parties, and available to the members of the Board who examines items from the record during the hearing.

Parties have the right of cross-examination.
This statement, along with the fact that all witnesses have been sworn, should be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings.

In addition, the following departments have representatives present here at the meeting to address any questions: Zoning Evaluation and Platting and Traffic Review Sections of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, and the County Attorney's Office.

All exhibits used in presentation before the Board become part of the public record and will not be returned unless an identical letter-size copy is submitted for the file.

Any person making impertinent or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the Community Zoning Appeals Board, shall be barred
from further audience before the Community Zoning Appeals Board by the presiding officer, unless permission to continue or again address the Board be granted by the majority vote of te Board Members present.

The number of filed protests and waivers on each application will be read into the record at the time of hearing as each application is read.

Those items not heard prior to the ending time of this meeting will be deferred to the next available zoning hearing meeting date for this Board.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: When $I$ call your item please step up to the podium, state your name and address clearly for the record.

I will then proceed to call those of you who are in support of the application and I will call objectors.

Those of you here who wish to speak will state your name and address, but those of you speaking $I$ would ask that you make your presentation short and none repetitive as we are limited on time.

Staff, please call the first item.
MR. HARRISON: First item on the agenda, Item
Number z2016-000106 South Riviera Investments No.
2. We have zero protests and 386 waivers on record.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Good evening, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. My name is Gloria Velazquez. We have something special for you this evening.

I've prepared -- we've prepared a PowerPoint presentation.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Excuse me. Please state your name and address for the record, please.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Yes. My name is Gloria Velazquez, law offices at 701 Brickell Avenue, joined by my colleagues Pedro Gassant and Amanda Naldjieff, as well as Al Torres, joined also by Mr. Carlos Huembes, the school's architect who has vast experience in design of schools in South Florida, including dozen for the Archdiocese, and Carl Valentin the traffic engineer.

We're also joined by Mr. Diaz, representative of South Riviera, who already owns and operates two other daycare schools in the county, including one right in the neighborhood known as KPLC, Kids Paradise Learning Center, at 24555 Southwest 112th Avenue, just north of CVS at 112 th Avenue.

Lastly, joined by my many supporters, our many supporters, including many who live in the
community, and who send their kids and children to KPLC.

In many ways this is their application because many of them have encouraged and pleaded Mr. Diaz to expand grade levels and facilities because of their positive educational experiences their children have already had in their current schools, and they would like to continue with this positive overall environment beyond the fourth grade level.

South Riviera, the applicant, is seeking approval for a new $K-8$ school.

And I've prepared the booklets for you to follow, but I've also prepared a PowerPoint presentation so you can also follow. If you'd like you can turn around sideways so the public can also follow.

The application site is located at Southwest $248 t h$ Street and Southwest 120 th Avenue.

As you may know, there's a documented need for additional schools in the area. Most elementary schools in the area are over capacity or will be very soon.

No other elementary school in this area will have the extent or quality of the facility that South Riviera will have with two acres of outdoor
recreational facilities, which would include a baseball field, an outdoor track among other outdoor facilities.

We will be another educational choice for about thousands of families both present and future who reside and will reside in the area for all considering that the Step Up Scholarship program will be excepted at the school so any child who qualifies can attend.

Since the school will serve $K-8 t h$ grades parents will not need to support elementary siblings to one school and middle school siblings to another. They won't need to transport them. They will all be in one school thereby reducing cars traveling on the roadway.

The school will emphasize math, sports program and will follow the Abeka curriculum. It will focus on teaching biblical values and a comprehensive christian curriculum used by christian schools worldwide.

It will not -- and it's not an overstatement to say that no other school in this area will have the extent or quality of a proposed sports and recreational area.

The owners recognize a need for an educational
program with plenty of opportunities for recreational pursuits. No other elementary school in this area will have the extent or quality of the facilities.

Your approval today will result in the construction of a state of the art school in an area of the county that has experienced rapid growth in the last 10 years and is expected to continue to grow. You're approval will also result in expanding educational choices in a much needed capacity.

Let me take you to the application site. The property size is about 4.43 acres, 5.1 gross acres and is designated on your next slide with a star. The subject property is surrounded to the north by vacant site, to the south single family residences, to the east with single family residences and to west with more single family residences.

The CD\&P Land Use is a state density residential. This depicts a close up of the zoning categories of the immediate area as I just described.

And this slides shows a colorful zoning map of the area depicting the agricultural area but also shows that this area is also in transition.

As you will note this area is the agriculture and this is the site location. However, all this has been rezoned residential and has been in transition and currently been developed for the last 10 years.

What once was considered as Ag land, now the need for housing and community drives what is built within the urban development boundary line.

The CD\&P map shows the underline land use a residential. Our zoning request is to EU-M and is consistent and compatible to this underlining land use designation. Schools are permitted.

Our application was made last year. We have worked with staff. Staff has made a thorough evaluation of our application. And with that we received no objects from all these departments: From DERM, no objection; Platting Traffic Review Section, no objection; water and sewer department, no objection; Department of Solid Waste Management, no objection; fire rescue, no objection; police, no objection; schools, no objection.

Although every department issued a no objection report, zoning staff still issued a recommendation of denial.

However, the main discrepancy is the
interpretation of a simple code requirement, and that is the outdoor recreational space requirement, and that is our variance today which is Number 6 . It is the outdoor recreational space requirement.

While we strongly believe that the calculation should be based on the number of children outside at any one time and not the total number of children in the school, staff is still inclined to adopt and formulate their analysis based on a 1977 outdoor recreational space study which is outdated. Again, it is based on 1977 recreational space study which is outdated.

We will focus on -- our comments on this a little bit later. However, $I$ do want to clarify what it is that we're here today for. Our requests are: One, a district boundary change from $A U$, Agricultural District, to an EU-M, Estates Modified District. Number 4 in your packet, special exception and unusual use to permit a private school with grades kindergarten through 8th grade, a non-use variance to permit a private driveway and parking areas within 25 feet of an official right-of-way, and then the famous non-use variance to permit 86,204 square feet of outdoor recreational space. That's almost two acres of
outdoor recreational space where 251,580 square feet is required. Those are our requests today.

Let me jump into the site plan here. I will go through it quickly, and Mr. Carlos Huembes, the architect, will be available to answer any specific questions at the close of our presentation.

Again, we have a 5.1 gross acres site, the state of the art designed by a top school designer. We have access to and from the site from 120 th Avenue. There will be two drives. Halfway up there will be drop off and pick up areas and it will be built in phases.

How do we provide the built design in a manner that's compatible and respectable to the neighbors in the neighborhood?

Well, this design just does that. It's a building that is two stories, the same as any single family two-story home in Dade County. The total building size is about 63,784 square feet. The modest lot coverage for about 63,784 square feet. The building mass is on $248 t h$ Street which is a section line roadway. There are huge setbacks. There's 105 feet on the south, 304 to the north, 52 on the east and 77 feet on the west. It is well buffered. Nicely landscaped and
buffered. You will see the playground to the back which consists of about 86,204 square feet, as $I$ mentioned earlier, almost two acres, of a multi purpose field. It will be a baseball field, an outdoor field for football and soccer as well. You will have an outdoor gym -- an indoor gym for basketball and volleyball, which will be about 5152 square feet, which is not counted in the recreational space. It will also have an auditorium for a dance and theater. It has a track around the entire campus which also is not counted for in the recreational space. It has an additional 4415 square feet of lunchroom, cafeteria and vocational classrooms.

At some point this outdoor recreational space becomes an enormous buffer in addition to its fencing, hedges and trees and landscaping as noted in the landscaping plans in your booklet.

I'd like to go on to the next slide which talks about -- talk a little bit about the traffic, operation plan, circulation plan presented to the County which was reviewed by the County, County staff. It was vetted and approved by the County. Again, $I$ stated that we submitted our application over a year ago. We worked with County
traffic staff and we eventually received approval.
The application final site plan has plenty of parking for staff, visitors and parents who wish to walk the kids in. Plenty of stacking, traffic control, officers and segregated parking for vans. There are more parking and stacking than required as well.

I'd like to get to a little bit about the shifts with regards to scheduling of when children arrive to school because that is a concern that most folks have when you have a school in your area.

And one of the things that we worked with the County was providing the shifts in three shifts -providing three shifts.

So here we have school starting at 7:00 a.m., at 7:30 and 8:00 a.m.. So we have three shifts in the morning and three shifts in the afternoon.

Of particular importance is the accumulation assessment summary which basically shows the accumulation of cars, and the maximum accumulation of cars at any point is about 84 cars, which is in the afternoon, and we have stacking available onsite side internally for 92 car. So there's plenty of internal.

And I'll go back to the site plan and you'll see there's plenty of internal when you come in through 120 th and there's plenty of stacking for 92 cars internal to the plan. We have capacity to retain over a hundred percent of the vehicles on site.

This is the accumulation assessment summary where $I$ stated that the maximum accumulation at any point in the afternoon will be 84 and we have stacking providing. We have maximum 92.

Just to show you in the morning the projected accumulation of cars would be 35 in the morning, early morning 72 , $55,41,84,64$, and we would have 92 available on site.

Let me go over quickly a little bit about staff review. I had already gone over how staff reviewed and had no objection. DERM said there was no adverse impacts. Traffic had no objections. Police recommended off-duty police. Other departments had no objections.

We all want to have good schools in our neighborhood, essential services being good schools and good values, good property values, but we must deal with traffic, school traffic. And thankfully we optimize internal circulation and stacking to
avoid spillage onto the street causing any issues and that's why we design the school the way we do.

We spent over a year, again I've stated this, working with traffic -- the traffic section to receive staff request.

Now let me get to the outdoor recreational space issue. While zoning staff recommends denial, there have been numerous and other similar applications approved with the same variance.

All have understood that today's land environment is not what it was back in 1977. We should also not penalize a private school in having this standard while a public and charter school do no have the same outdoor recreational space requirement.

The chart before you shows several other applications that have been approved. Let me take you through a few applications.

The reality is that not all schools have PE at the same time or even everyday. So what these applications show is that the boards would approve. They review it based on when the children will be out in the field, and based on that that's how they recommend approval.

For example, in Camejo (phonetic) at 59th

Street, an example, it's an 800 student school. The recreational area is 37,400 square feet and their ratio of square feet for their outdoor area is 47 square feet per child. And this was approved. They provided a shift based on the kids that will be out in the outdoor recreational space at a certain times. Not all children will be out at the same time.

Another example will be Somerset Academy Charter School. Eight hundred students, similar to this application. They had 34,400 recreational square feet area. Their square feet of their ratio is 43 square feet per child. Our ration is 101 square feet per child.

When you look at all these approvals you will notice that we are on the top tier of ratio of the square footage per child.

In addition, staff has even recommended approval of further reducing the outdoor recreational outdoor space of an application on 54th and Southwest $107 t h$ Avenue this summer siding that since they had already received approval - previous approval a further reduction would not be harmful; that it would be not create a negative visual or traffic impact on the surrounding area.

And further in support of a modified request of a similar application, staff also made three observations on this same issue. They stated that code analyzes amount of play area based on the assumption that all students will play in the area at the same time and staff observes that this is not likely to be the case. Schools do not operate that way.

They also stated that variance is internal and only affects operations and that the proposed play area is well buffered for adjacent properties. Staff understands the reality but did not apply it in this case.

To understand the requirement we must look at the history of the regulation. The requirement comes from a 1977 study that was updated later in 1986. The study was based on a review of 34 schools in the county built before 1971. The rule Of thumb, 50 children per acres. The world was a different place back then. Miami-Dade County was a very different place.

In 1977 the population was 1.5 million. Today our population is 2.5 , more than one million more people. By 2030 or population is expected to increase by 2.8 million.

Because of limited supply of land, we have learned to be more efficient in the use of land. In the context of schools in our car dependent society, we must accommodate large parking fields and circulation and we will by necessity cut into green areas. However, we can do it efficiently and we can do it the right way.

I'd like to again, and I've stated, but I'd like to reiterate an important point which is public schools have no requirement in size of play areas and they're not tied to a number of students. Charter schools has also no requirement, but private schools have a 1977 requirement which continues to apply. However, the Boards, just like you, have recognized that one size does not fit all.

In complying with the code today would be impossible to attain. At this rate if no further approvals are made under these conditions we will no longer have private schools. I do not believe that this is the intention here.

Let me quickly point to the need of the area. The next slide is a concurrency -- school concurrency review of an application for a residential community showing the capacity of
schools in the area.

You will note that Coconut Palm K-8 has a net available capacity of negative 152. That is in this concurrency service area.

Then the school board looks into its adjacent concurrency area to look for if there's any availability. So it will look to the Redland Elementary which only has 11 seats available. Then it goes into William Chapman which only has three and this has no availability. Mandarin Lakes, zero. It goes to Carribean $K-8$ Center which has a negative 240 net available capacity. This chart shows you the need.

Charter schools have a huge waiting list.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Excuse me. I'm going to ask you to wrap it up now.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: I'm going to wrap it up right now.

And a final note, we've met with the neighbors and have come to an agreement. I have a motion which includes conditions of approval and Number 13, 14 and 15 address the neighbors concerns. We are happy that we have been able to work together to achieve a mutual agreement.

I will submit the proposed conditions that
deal with site plan design, traffic mitigation, which we'll use to impact on the neighborhood. We have the conditions here and there's a note -there's a handwritten note with one word that has to be amended and we will submit it formally tomorrow.

And I would like to close and request five minutes for rebuttal if necessary. I thank you for your time. I respectfully request your approval for Items 1, 4, 5 and 6.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any persons present that wish to -- that are in support of this item who wish to speak on it? If so please come forward and please limit your comments.

MS. CABRERA: Good evening. My name is Patsy Cabrera. I live at 11452 Southwest 243 Terrace, Homestead, Florida, 33032.

I have a four-year-old which next year is going to kindergarten and $I$ have been seeking, you know, throughout the schools in the neighborhood, which most of them are full, all the charters, and the ones that are public schools they have a lot of kids per classes.

And I really think it's important for private schools to be made in the area in the neighborhood
because of the ratio, smaller class sizes per teachers.

Also this school is going to be having the outside area which gives the after school program, and that means $I$ can put my child in baseball or extra curriculum activities, which none of the schools really have.

I tried looking into one of the schools and they have to transport kids to other sites, other local playgrounds, because they don't have enough space in their location.

I just think for my child it's a good opportunity and $I$ hope you guys take it into consideration.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Thank you.

Are there any others present that wish to come forward and speak on this particular item?

MS. DIAZ: Hello. Good evening. My name is Kim Diaz, address 24287 Southwest 114th Court, 33032 .

My name is Kim. I am a nurse at Baptist Hospital. I work hours that are in later times. So it's difficult for me to find a school that can take care of my kids with after school hours. This school will be able to help me and others like me
to find an after care program and help me utilize those hours and still work.

With the sports auditorium and the sports program and the focus on mathematics, as a parent they're encouraging for me. As a nurse educator, $I$ hold education in very high regards.

So as a parent of two, as a professional and as a member of this community, I see this school as only a positive addition to the community.

So I ask the Board to approve the school and hopefully soon so that the future of my kids could be part of the school. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone else who wants to come forward and speak on this item?

MS. SOLER: Hi. Good evening. My name is Darlen Soler and I'm here tonight with my two sons. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Please state your residence, please.

MS. SOLER: Yes. 29795 Southwest 143 Court, Homestead, Florida, 33033.

I'm here with my two sons. We're residents of Homestead for the past 15 years and I've been traveling daily for more than 30 miles to and from to get to a good school for my 12-year-old.

My son is attending Somerset Silver Palms.

He's in 7th grade and his classes -- in his class he has 32 students for one teacher, which the ratio is overcrowded. My son is not getting the right education with so many students in the overcrowded class.

In the area I've looked and there are no other schools that have a smaller ratio, good sports or education. These qualities are very important for me.

The charter school that my son attends also gave me an invoice of $\$ 200$ for not doing volunteer hours. I don't have the time to do the hours. So I'm employed full time and cannot miss any work.

Due to this debt my son cannot participate in any activities or go to a field trip until the debt is paid.

My son has a scholarship, Step Up, to attend at a private school of his choice and he can't use it again because there is no good schools in the area.

We're not asking to build a liquor store, a shopping center, gas station or a nightclub. We're asking for the approval of a private school for children to have a good education.

I have a younger son who also attends at KPLC.

He's in first grade, has 10 students in his class, and he's at a reading level of second grade. He's only six years old and he has a great education in that school.

We need a great school like this in a growing city. Those schools that will be constructed will have a great opportunity for children to join sports with great learning education.

I can keep talking tonight but I would like for other parents to also express their opinion, and as a voter I ask you to approve the school tonight. Not many months down the road due to the reason that the longer it gets to approve my kids have no other, you know, advantages in a school that -- especially this one that's offering.

And at this time $I$ would like for all the parents to please stand up and approve for the approval of the school.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: No, ma'am. No, ma'am. Next. We have anyone else that wants to speak on the issue?

MS. SOLER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: You're welcome.
MR. DOMINGUEZ: Hi. My name is Victor Dominguez. I live at 11786 Southwest 238 Street

Homestead, Florida, 33032. I'll keep my comments brief.

The young lady had excellent information regarding the school size, students per student/teacher ratio. And as I'm sure you're guys are aware that's common in Miami-Dade, Florida schools, $34,36,40,50$ students per teacher.

What this school provides is much more than individualized attention. It's also something that's very important to -- my wife and I have something that we comment a lot. It's something as simple as proper nutrition. Many Miami-Dade schools they give hot dogs and hamburgers, stuff that I'd honestly only feed my kids when it's like a party.

At this school they currently offer them actual nutrition. Rice, beans, like actual meat. Not something that's questionable. And that's something that I appreciate.

I've got -- we've got several teachers there that they actually take personal the lives of the children. I have one teacher that lets me know everyday if my daughter went to the bathroom, and I think that's amazing. How many teachers in Miami-Dade County Public Schools or perhaps even
charter schools can tell you if your child went to the bathroom. It's a little detail but $I$ find that important.

And I would love for my daughters and my older daughter to transfer to this possibly new school where they can go together still get that individual personal attention. That's the most important thing to me, that attention for teacher/student and communication to parents as well as the nutrition.

I value that more than anything else. My kids are everything to me. I work and I live for them. And if I got a teacher that puts that same attention to my kids they've got my full support.

I personally fully support this. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any others who wish to come forward and speak on this particular item in support of this item?

MR. FORBS: Good evening. Ken Forbs, 1085 Northeast 42 nd Avenue, Homestead, Florida, 33033.

I came here to give my support to this applicant. I was here in 2008 when they came and they were one of the partners of the Allapattah Partners. You have the CVS Pharmacy. You have the Hess Gas Station. You have the McDonald's and you
have the little strip mall there and you had Kid Paradise.

And what they have demonstrated to this community is that they have been the good corporate partner that the community was looking for. They offered a level of service to the area that was much needed at the time. And what they have demonstrated, and I think because you're looking at your neighbors, people that have children that attend that school, is that they have demonstrated that they can provide quality education for the children in the area and all they're asking is that this community will allow them to continue to nurture those children that they -- that they went out and basically got to come to this school from this community.

Because see they're not the CVS. CVS is a national corporation. McDonald's is global. Hess is global. This is a local applicant who basically have demonstrated that they provided a service that was needed and that have the proof in the people that are here tonight saying that they would like to see it expanded so that their kids can continue to enjoy the quality education that they have been receiving.

So I hope you will take that into consideration and you will approve this application. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons that wish to speak on this particular item?

Are there any persons present who have objections to this particular item?

Please come forward and state your name for the record.

MS. ROLFS: Hi. My name is Marilyn Rolfs. I live a 24371 Southwest 123 rd Avenue, Princeton, Florida, 33032 .

I'm here tonight. I made a little chart here for you guys. As you can see it's all rolled up.

I don't know if you're aware of it but we have nine schools in this vicinity within a two mile radius of this particular site.

Matter of fact, Number 9 is being built as we speak right now on 124 th Avenue and 248 th street. So we've gotten plenty of schools here. So I don't know that we're really in need of another one.

They talked about a private christian school that this is going to be. Princeton Christian School is right down the street. It's less than two miles, 1.7 miles down the road. Their under
enrolled right now at half of what they're normally enrolled at. So that would be a good option. It is a very good school.

My concern too is that they want to change the zoning. Everything zoned north of $248 t h$ Street between 127 th Avenue and 119th Avenue is zoned agriculture, even though there's a lot of residences on it, and the use is primarily residential. There are some nurseries. There are some areas that are classified as non-home sites and they're vacant residence.

But I really see no reason to change the zoning from agriculture to $E U$ to $M U$ or to $E U-M$, to change that because $I$ live out there. My house is still -- I live in a house on an acres of land and my property is still zoned agriculture if you look under Dade County property taxes right now, and so this is too.

And as far as the exceptions that they want to make for building this -- approved this to build this school, I don't think there should be any exceptions. I think it should be whatever the State or the County has set forth with the guidelines, that we should stick to them. We keep making all these exceptions and we're becoming so
over crowded, so many cars on the road, so many houses so close to the street that it's really not safe for our kids.

Matter of fact, there's a drainage ditch on $248 t h$ Street. They really didn't say how they were going to address that.

I'm worried about the flow of traffic and I've talked to Gloria about it and she talks about the stacking, but $I$ have a grandchild that goes to Southwood, and even though they have a stacking and it will hold approximately 100 cars, on the outside on the street there are another 100 cars waiting to get into that stacking area to pick up the kids.

My granddaughter goes to Gulfstream. Same thing. They're on the street. There is no stacking. They're on the street waiting. Parents come 30 minutes early and start sitting and waiting for school to be released to get their children out. So that becomes a real problem. And like I said the drop off is the biggest thing.

The other thing Gloria didn't talked about it but I heard some of the parents talk about it, after school care. Is this school going to supply after school care for the kids? So the kids aren't going to be getting out of there at 3:00 o'clock.

We're going to have kids that are going to maybe be there until 5:00 or 6:00 or 7:00, I don't know what, after their after care school.

Most public schools have after care until 6:00 and the kids have to be picked up by then. And I understand that most parents work in this area in two family.

And we're talking about being able to support this school. I looked online at the mean income for the Princeton area in 2015 was $\$ 49,000$. For the Goulds community it was $\$ 29,000$.

So now I'm concerned are the neighbors going to be able to support this school if you approve it to be able to afford to pay tuition, because again it's a private school, and I'm sure not everybody is going to be able to apply for Step Up and be approved for it.

So there's some people that are going to have to be paying for it out of their own pocket. And are they going to be able to afford to because at this day and age everything is quite expensive.

So I would really prefer that you if you approve it no exceptions. I would prefer you not approve it. I think agriculture should stay where it's at. There should be no exceptions to the
setbacks or anything else. It should be on what it should be on.

And from the research that I did they talked about the amount of land that an elementary school should have. It should be at least five acres and then more for the more kids that you put on it. But that's was a little hard to find out because it's not real clear. They kind of keep it kind of hidden.

But $I$ would wish that you deny this with prejudice if at all possibly. Thank you for your time.

MR. HARRISON: Ma'am, I got to take that.
MS. ROLFS: Oh, you got to take this. Okay.
MR. HOMYK: Hi. My name is Randy Homyk and I live at 23970 Southwest 122nd Avenue, Homestead. Personally the idea of the school I think it's great. I have a problem with whoever did the traffic study. Obviously whoever this is has not been on 248 th Street in the last six months or so.

Starting at 6:00 o'clock in the morning you cannot go down 248 th Street. I can't imagine when the other school opens and this school opens that there's no -- there's nothing being done with the road infrastructure.

The big problem we're having now is because 248th is backed up, all the side streets, 122 nd Avenue where I live, it's a race track. Starting at 7:00, 6:00 o'clock in the morning there's cars going 70 miles an hour down the road. It's crazy.

So if we're going to build the school it's fine but we need to do something with the infrastructure.

The way 248 th Street is right now you can't make -- there's only one left turn lane and it's backs all the way up to $248 t h$ Street because they got everything blocked that $I$ don't see how the road is going to be widen.

But something with the infrastructure has got to be done and the side streets because everybody is using the side streets now. They can't go down. That's my biggest problem that I have.

It's dangerous for the kids that it's going to be going 24 hours a day now with the street racing down the side streets to avoid the turn on 248 and 112th Avenue.

So take that into consideration, but I do support the idea of the school. But we need to do something with infrastructure. You can't be doing all this expanding. I mean, house after house
after house is being built and it's nice we're having schools for the kids, but we ain't got no where to get there to get them there in the morning. So take that under advisement, I guess. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any others who wish to object to this particular item?

Please come forward. State your name and address for the record.

MS. HOMYK: Hello. I'm Susan Homyk. I also live at 23970 Southwest 122 nd Avenue, Homestead, Florida, 33032 .

I agree with everything my husband said. Our street is a racetrack currently with another school already in -- another school that's already being built. It is going to be even worse. We need speed bumps on my street. I have requested it more than once. I have not gotten it. How do we get that done? How do we avoid people cutting through our street and going $60,70,80$ miles an hour in a 30 miles an hour zone?

The school sounds great. I applaud most of what they've said. I encourage the builders and the planners to keep as much land for kids to run and play to get out of their seats and do all of
that moving that they don't do anymore. So I hope you keep all that land for the kids if it gets approved, but we can't get down 248th Street.

The road on $248 t h$ Street will never ever be a two-lane -- a four-lane road because up the street the other direction there's an apartment building on the road and there's transfer station for electricity on the road. There's no way to widen it in certain parts of that road.

Currently it is not being updated for the amount of traffic that has been happening already. And this school claims that it might have up to a thousand families. That is potentially a thousand cars added to what's already out there.

How is anybody going to get out of the neighborhood? How is anybody going to get in and out of school?

My son goes to school that has five hundred students. Luckily it is a four-lane road already. But at arrival time and dismissal time that right-hand lane coming out before you get into the property is backed up for quite a ways. And that is half of what they're talking about because a thousand families does not mean a thousand students. It means probably up to 2000 students.

That's a lot of traffic on a street that's not being updated. On the side streets that are not being considered as people use them to cut through and so on.

So I ask you how do we do this? How does this Board go to the County and say, you have to fix the roads first? Who does that? Who does that work to say get out there and make it work?

Make it so that people can get down the street. People can't get to work on time because they can't get to the highway. They can't get their kids to their own schools because you can't get out of the neighborhood. There's school zones after school zones after school zones after school zones, and now we're going to get one more on 248th Street and now this is going to be another one. How do you get where you're going? Thank you. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other objectors?

Ma'am, would you like to have your rebuttal at this time? I'm going to limit you to five minutes. MS. VELAZQUEZ: This is going to be very quick.

I just wanted to clarify that it's a school of a hundred -- 850 students. The traffic situation,
we worked with the traffic section for a year and we were approved by the department. Once we go in with the plans there will be improvements made. Staff will review. There will be improvements -roadway improvements. We don't know at this point what those roadway improvements will be, but those will come once the plans will be submitted to the County for review, and at that time we'll -- that will be determined at that time as to what specific roadway permits will be required. And those will be my two points at this time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Okay. It's closed right now.

Board, have any questions? COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Yes. I just have a couple of statements. I'm curious where you got your numbers from. I did some research myself. Okay. First of all, Redland Middle, you left one off. They're under enrolled by 1300 students. Not 336 . So I'm not sure -MS. VELAZQUEZ: That's a public schools. That the public schools -- that's a concurrent review from the public school.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: I'm not sure where they got the numbers from then, okay.

In the area within a five mile radius, I'm letting you know in less than five miles, we're under enrolled with the public school system by over 3000 students, okay. Three thousand three hundred and three students to be exact. They are under enrolled. You have Goulds Elementary and they're under enrolled. They're only at a 57 percent capacity. You have Pines Villa Elementary School. They're at 46 percent capacity. And when it comes to the middle school, K-8 centers, Madarin Lakes is actually at 65 percent capacity. They're not over; they're under. Caribbean, 93 percent capacity. Middle schools you got Cutler Bay; they're at 60 percent capacity. Redland Middle is actually at 38 percent capacity.

So $I$ just want to make sure that the --

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Those numbers just out of curiosity, these are the numbers that we got from the public schools.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: You know what, it's so available. It's right on the Miami-Dade County Public Schools website. It's right there. All the numbers are right there for you. I'm not sure where you got yours from. They're right there for everyone to see.

I just want to make that point to the counsel. We are truly under enrolled in the area.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Through the chair. I have a couple of statements and questions also.

Do you know -- you said that you got this from Miami-Dade Public Schools?

MS . VELAZQUEZ: Yes.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Do You know what date these numbers are from?

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Let me see if I have that. I don't have the exact date but it was within the last six months.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Well, $I$ mean, $I$ kind of agree with the Councilwoman. I'm also very involved in my schools and they are under the limit. They need to be filled up and, in fact, even Redland Middle they closed a wing down because they don't have enough students.

Okay. To go on -- to move on, you said that you spoke to traffic and they said they approved it, but $I$ have here that they're saying that it would be intensified and that it's going to have a lot of increase traffic impact on the roads.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: That's the zoning analysis. The zoning analysis. That's what zoning said, but
traffic had no objection.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: This is for our attorney. Is it appropriate for me to ask by a show of hands how many people live in the area?

COUNTY ATTORNEY: You can ask the question. The presence of people at the meeting who live or do not live in area is not necessarily an appropriate zoning criteria but you are free ask.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Okay. I'm going to ask.
Can $I$ please see a show of hands of the people that actually live in this area. The ones that got notices, okay

And the last thing that $I$ have is are you still having -- Number 3, the non-use variance, is that still in?

MS. VELAZQUEZ: That has been withdrawn. COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Oh, that has been withdrawn?

MS VELAZQUEZ: Yes.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Okay. I mean, other than that the traffic situation, like some people already mentioned, I've drove through 248 several times. I mean, $I$ don't know when the traffic study was done but $I$ can tell you even within the last six months traffic is horrible, and I don't see how
you're going to have another school and more cars and not have an impact on that.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: There will be an impact. There's no doubt that there will be an impact, but when you balance the need of the community, there's a need, and balance the necessity, and there will be mitigation, certain mitigations. For example, there will be a policeman that will be placed in the corner at dismissal for the flow of traffic and that's something that staff recommended. And that police officer will alleviate and will assist in the flow of traffic.

And as the situation, you know, as the school grows, there will be other mitigation efforts to alleviate the traffic.

Also, I know that to the west there, 248 is set to be widen down on the west side, and $I$ don't know what impact that will have on this corridor on this side. But hopefully you'll have some improvement to the west, then it'll alleviate.

But at the end of the day our population is growing and traffic situation is not getting any easier. So what $I$ do in my part of town, you know, when I have to take my girls to school at this point $I$ put my alarm twenty minutes earlier and my
girls now we have to get up earlier than before and we just know we have to because we have to wait in traffic to get to school and we have to wait. Before we used to get to school in 10 minutes, now we get to school in 25 minutes.

So it's a reality. We are growing. We are a community that's growing. And we have to understand also that there's needs that we have to serve. We have to serve everyone. And having schools for everyone is one of the services that we should consider and when you're balancing it out. We have Carlos who would like to address the board for a minute, our architect.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Not right now. We're closed.

MR. VALENTIN: I want to respond to the question he's got about traffic.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Just real quick. I'm not a traffic expert and he's better equipped to answer.

THE COUNTY ATTORNEY: You'd only have to allow him to speak if the Board Member wants an answer to a specific question.

MR. VALENTIN: Would you like me to answer that question?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: No. I'm fine.

MR. VALENTIN: You're fine? Okay.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Any other questions?

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: I have some questions for the staff. Mainly it's in reference to the request for boundary change.

In your analysis here you're saying that it's designated estate density which would mean that it then qualifies for being able to put a school here based upon what $I$ see here if we approve it.

Now what would be the significance in the boundary change from $A U$ to estate? Is the estate density part of the $A U$ boundary or associated with the EU-M?

MR. HARRISON: The requested zone change was to $E U-M$ and as you noted in the first two requests the zone change to EU-M. Both the EU-M and the AU zoning districts allow a school after approval at a public hearing, both districts. Estate density is the land use designation. That's the CD\&P designation for the property.

So a zone change to $E U-M$ would be consistent with the land use, and that's one of the main things -- one of the primary things that the staff would look at when we're analyzing an application.

However, $I$ think it was pointed out that the
school could be in the $A U$, agricultural zoning district, or in the $E U-M$, in the modified estate district -- zoning district.

The difference here is that if you notice for Request Number 1 and Number 2 -- Request Number 2 and Request Number 3, the setback requirements in both districts would be different for the school.

In the AU district there's a specific requirement for schools to be setback. I think it's a minimum of 250 feet from the property line. Whereas in the $E U-M$ setback requirements are slightly less.

But as noted in staff's recommendation the applicant has asked to withdraw both requests for the setback variances.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Number 2 and 3?
MR. HARRISON: Number 2 and Number 3.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I have two questions. You said there's a track all around the entire school?

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Yes.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Are you going to use that track for track meets or what's the purpose of the track?

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Yes. My understanding talking to Mr. Diaz is that they will host different
recreational sports on site, including baseball.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I'm specifically talking about the track.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Track?
MR. DIAZ: What was your question again?
VICE-CHAIR MS. DEMPS: You stated that the track --

MR. HARRISON: Through the chair. One second. You have to state your name and address, please.

MR. DIAZ: Ruben Diaz.
MR. HARRISON: And your address.
MR. DIAZ: 660 Southwest 123 rd Avenue.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Question: Were you sworn in, sir?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Is the track going to be around the entire property surrounding the school?

MR. DIAZ: No. The track is going to be around -- I'll show you. This is 248 th and the 120th Avenue. The track is going to be around the baseball field only during recreational area -- I mean recreational time.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Okay. Because she made a point to say that it was not included as part of the square footage, but it's just going to be
around that area.

MR. DIAZ: Yes, around this area here.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: All right. Thank you.

And my second question, down Southwest 120th Avenue without a recommendation from the County, would you on your own -- are you going to pave that street? It has a lot of potholes and it is not paved.

MR. DIAZ: Yes, Yes.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Are you going to go from one end or the other, where it's starts at $248 t h$ to where it stops? $248 t h$ street on down going north is not paved.

MR. HUEMBES: If I may, Carlos Huembes, architect from Villa and Associates, with offices at 7344 Southwest $48 t h$ Street, Suite 201 .

We've designed the plan. As you know, this is the zoning hearing and the next step would be a planning process. The property would go through the Planning Department in Public Works and all of the requirements would be met. One of them will be to pave the street along the entire property line. So any improvements along $248 t h$ street and any improvements along 120 th would be -- that would arise during the planning process would have to be
done.

In this plan that was submitted to zoning we have already incorporated that design zoning wise architecturally.

Civil engineers would have to be involved through that process before we can even build.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I understand. So will it be widen? Because right now it's --

MR. HUEMBES: Yes, ma'am. Public Works would require -- $I$ don't know if there's somebody from Public Works here today, but Public Works would require the widening of the road to have vehicular traffic one way each way, and $I$ believe part of the covenant is to have a $T$ turnaround at the end of the street.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: All right. Thank you.
MS. VELAZQUEZ: MY colleague reminded me that after -- part of our conditions is that after three years of the school functioning we have agreed to traffic signalization warrant study on $122 n d$ and then if it's warranted that -- when a traffic signal would be warranted the applicant will pay for a traffic signal on $122 n d$ and $248 t h$ Street.

He also reminded me to reiterate that the stacking is a hundred percent within internally of
the site that would alleviate the traffic congestion on 248 .

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Okay. Are we ready for a motion?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: I make a motion that we deny this application.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I second.

COUNTY ATTORNEY: Is that motion with or without prejudice? The only difference between the two is six months of when they can reapply.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay. So we'll say with prejudice.

MR. HARRISON: There's a motion on the floor to deny the entire application, are we correct?

CHAIRWOMAN WILLIAMS: Yes, the entire application.

MR. HARRISON: This motion is moved by Councilwoman Williams and it was seconded by Councilman Farias.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Yes.

MR. HARRISON: Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN: I would, yes.

MR. HARRISON: Councilman Farias?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Yes.

MR. HARRISON: Councilman Jackson?

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Yes.

MR. HARRISON: Vice-Chair Demps?
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Yes.

MR. HARRISON: Chair Wilson?

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Yes.

MR. HARRISON: Motion to deny the application with prejudice passes unanimously.

MS. VELAZQUEZ: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ladies and gentlemen, this concludes the Community Council Board Meeting of November $17 \mathrm{th}--\mathrm{I}$ 'm sorry -- of November 14 th , 2017. The meeting is now closed.
(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded).
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WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami, County of Miami-Dade, State of Florida, this 8th day of December 2017.

> Doris Newbold

> DORIS NEWBOLD,

> COURT REPORTER

## Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners

PH: Z17-040
April 26, 2018
Item No. 2

Appeal Recommendation Summary

| Appeal Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 8 |
| Applicants | Persea, LLC, Et AI |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicants are seeking approval to permit a district boundary <br> change from AU, Agriculture District to EU-M, Single-Family Modified <br> Estate District. In addition, the applicants are also seeking approval to <br> permit an existing single-family residence setback less than required <br> from the property line and to also waive the subdivision regulations <br> requiring sidewalks and street lights. |
| Location | Lying north of SW 296 Street between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) <br> and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida |
| Property Size | 26.93 gross acres |
| Existing Zoning | AU, Agriculture District |
| Existing Land Use | Single-family residence and vacant land |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate Density Residential, 1-2.5 dua, <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Consistency | Consistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of the <br> CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-311, District Boundary Change <br> Section 33-311(A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport <br> Regulations <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |

## CZAB Action

| CZAB 14 18, 2018 | Denial without prejudice |
| :--- | :--- |

## Staff Recommendation

| Recommendation <br> of the Appeal | Approval <br> (Overrule CZAB decision - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the BCC <br> members present) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous <br> Recommendation <br> to the CZAB | Approval of Request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the <br> proffered covenant, approval with conditions of requests \#2, and <br> modified approval with conditions of \#3. <br> (Original Staff Recommendation to the CZAB is attached) |

## Timeline

1. On January 18, 2018 the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#14, denied the application.
2. On January 29, 2018, the applicant, Persea, LLC, Et AI, appealed the CZAB 14 decision to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).

Persea, LLC, Et AI
Z17-040
Page|2

## Analysis

For the reasons outlined in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and Zoning analysis of the attached staff recommendation, staff opines that the appellants' request for a reversal of the CZAB 14 decision should be approved.

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | $8 \quad$Persea, LLC, Et AI <br> Applicants |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicants are seeking approval to permit a district boundary <br> change from AU, Agriculture District to EU-M, Single-Family Modified <br> Estate District. In addition, the applicants are also seeking approval to <br> permit an existing single-family residence setback less than required <br> from the property line and to also waive the subdivision regulations <br> requiring sidewalks and street lights. |
| Location | Lying north of SW 296 Street between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) <br> and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida |
| Property Size | 26,93 gross acres |$|$| Existing Zoning | AU, Agriculture District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Land Use | Single-family residence and vacant land |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate Density Residential, 1-2.5 dua, <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Consistent with the LUP map of the CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-311, District Boundary Change <br> Section 33-311 (A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport <br> Regulations <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Approval of Request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the <br> proffered covenant, approval with conditions of requests \#2, and <br> modified approval with conditions of \#3. |

## REQUESTS:

1. District Boundary Change from AU to EU-M.
2. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an existing single-family residence setback $15.2^{\prime}\left(25^{\prime}\right.$ required) from the side street (west) property line.
3. NON-USE VARIANCE OF ZONING AND SUBDIVISION regulations to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required).

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, entitled, "Site Plan, Krome Grove Estates" as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received 10/4/17, consisting of 1 sheet. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROJECT HISTORY:

In June 1989, pursuant to Resolution \#8808, a portion of the subject property containing a single-family residence built circa 1920 was designated as a historic preservation site by the County's Historic Preservation Board.

As previously mentioned in staff's recommendation, the application was deferred from a prior hearing to allow the applicants to meet with the neighbors to address their concerns regarding the proposed zone change. After meeting with the neighbors, the applicants submitted a revised letter of intent with the requested zone change to EU-M, along with the addition of two new requests to permit a setback to the historic preservation residence and to waive the subdivision regulations requiring sidewalks and street lighting.

The applicants have also submitted a site plan that shows fifty-three (53) residential lots, which includes the historic preservation site along with a second existing residential lot on the 26.93acre subject parcel. The site plan also shows the lots varying in size from $15,956 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. to $19,788 \mathrm{sq}$. ft , which are larger than the required $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. lots that are required by the EU-M zoning district. In addition, the applicants have also proffered a Declaration of Restrictions which limits the development of the subject property to the fifty three (53) residential units as indicated on the site plan.

As for the designated historic preservation residence, the applicants intend to preserve the physical structure of the existing residence on the historic preservation site, which is located at 17845 SW 296 Street.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Zoning and Existing Use |  | Land Use Designation |  |
| Subject Property | AU; single-family residence and <br> vacant land | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 da) |  |
| North | AU; Homestead Women's Club <br> building | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |  |
| South | EU-M; single-family residences/ <br> City of Homestead; single family <br> residence | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dual) |  |
| East | AU; vacant land | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dual) |  |
| West | EU-1; single-family residence/AU; <br> single-family residence, green <br> house and trailer and vacant land | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dual) |  |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The subject property is located in an area surrounded by AU zoned parcels to the north and east, EU-M parcels and a single-family residence located in the City of Homestead to the south, and $A U$ zoned parcels and an EU-1 zoned parcel to the west.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicant to develop the entire property under the EU-M zoning regulations, which may bring additional traffic into the area. However, staff notes from the Platting and Traffic Review section of the Department of Regulation and Economic Resources (RER) in their memorandum that the application meets the Level of Service (LOS) in the area.

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

The subject property is located within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) and is designated as Estate Density Residential. This density range is typically characterized by detached estates which utilize only a small portion of the total parcel. Clustering, and a variety of housing types may, however, be authorized. The residential densities allowed in this category shall range from a minimum of 1.0 to a maximum of 2.5 dwelling units per gross acre. Staff notes that under the gross acreage of 26.93 acres, the applicant can develop the parcel with up to 67 residential units, which is the maximum allowed under the density threshold of the CDMP Estate-Density Residential designation on the LUP map. However, as indicated in staff's recommendation, the applicants have proffered a Declaration of Restrictions which limits the development of the subject property to fifty-three (53) residential units, which is within the maximum allowed under the density threshold of the CDMP LUP map.

The CDMP Land Use Element Objective LU-4, states that Miami-Dade County shall, by the year 2030, reduce the number of land uses, which are inconsistent with the uses designated on the LUP map and interpretive text, or with the character of the surrounding community. The subject property is surrounded by AU zoned parcels to the north and east, EU-M parcels and a single-family residence located in the City of Homestead to the south, and AU zoned parcels and an EU-1 zoned parcel to the west. Therefore, staff opines that the rezoning of the subject property to the proposed EU-M zoning district would be compatible with the surrounding area, and that approval of the request would be consistent with the density threshold of the Estate Density Residential designation of the parcel on the CDMP Land Use Plan map and CDMP's Land Use Element, Objective LU-4.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

The applicants are seeking to permit a district boundary change from AU to EU-M (request \#1). The applicants are also seeking approval to permit an existing single-family residence to setback less than required from the side street area (request \#2). In addition, the applicants are also seeking approval to waive the zoning and subdivision regulations requiring sidewalks and street lighting (request \#3).

When request \#1, to rezone the subject property from AU to EU-M is analyzed under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, staff opines that the approval of the request would not have an unfavorable impact on the environment, the natural resources, or the economy of the County. Staff notes that the approval of the request to rezone the property will be consistent with the Estate Density Residential designation of the parcel on the CDMP Land Use Plan map. Further, staff opines that approval of this request will not have a significant impact on the surrounding roadways or transportation facilities based on the recommendations and/or information contained in the memorandum from the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER). Their memorandum also indicates that the proposed development will meet the traffic concurrency criteria for an Initial Development Order. Said memorandum indicates that the proposed development will generate 73 PM daily peak hour vehicle trips, which does not exceed the acceptable Level of Service (LOS) on the surrounding roadways as specified in the memorandum, dated October 31, 2017. Additionally, staff notes that the memorandum from the RER's Division of Environmental and Resource Management (DERM), dated October 16, 2017, indicates that the proposed rezoning meets the LOS standards for an initial development order and therefore will not have an unfavorable impact on the natural resources of Miami-Dade County. In regards to the historic preservation site, which is part of the subject tract and located at 17845 SW 296 Street, the

Department's Office of Historic Preservation has no objections to the requested zone change. In a memorandum dated September 22, 2017, the Office of Historic Preservation has opined that the requested zone change from $A U$ to EU-M is only a reclassification of the land and that the rezoning of the property would not constitute an alteration of the physical structure of the existing residence on the historic preservation site.

Staff's research of the area found a similar approval for a zone change from AU to EU-M. Two parcels located at 18001 and 18145 SW 296 Street were approved pursuant to Resolution \#Z-$116-87$ to permit a district boundary change from AU to EU-M. In addition, as previously mentioned in staff's recommendation, the application has proffered a Declaration of Restrictions restricting the development of the subject property to 53 residential units on the subject parcel. As such, staff opines that the approval of the EU-M zoning would be in keeping with the character of the surrounding residential uses in the area, would be compatible with same and consistent with the Estate Density Residential land use designation of the CDMP. Therefore, staff recommends approval of request \#1, subject to the proffered covenant under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change.

When request \#2, to permit an existing residence setback $15.2^{\prime}$ ( $25^{\prime}$ required) from the side street (west) property line is analyzed under Section 33-311(a)(4)(b), staff opinions that approval of the request would be compatible with the surrounding area. The subject residence, also known in the area as the "Krome Residence," is a designated Historic Preservation site that was built in 1920, and is one of the oldest homes in the area. The applicants seek approval of this request in order to comply with the side street setback requirement of the proposed EU-M zoning (request \#1), which staff has recommended for approval.

Staff's research of the area did find not any similar approvals for variances of side street setbacks in the neighborhood. However, staff notes from the County's Geographic Information System (GIS) that shows the subject residence, which has been in the area for 97 years, is spaced 62.2' from the neighboring lot to the west. Staff opines that the aforementioned spacing distance would mitigate any significant visual impacts generated from the 9.8' encroachment into the side street (west) setback area of the subject property on the neighboring property located to the west. Therefore, staff opines that approval of the request would maintain the basic intent and purpose of zoning and other land use regulations, and that approval of the request would be compatible with the surrounding land uses, and that the requested setback would not be detrimental to the surrounding area. Therefore, staff recommends approval with conditions of request \#2 under Section $33-311(A)(4)(b)$, Non-Use Variance Standards.

When request \#3, to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required) is analyzed under Section 33-311(a)(4)(b)(3), staff opinions that approval of the request would be compatible with the surrounding area.

Staff's research of the area found did not find any similar approvals to waive the requirement for sidewalks and street lighting. However, staff does not object to the request to waive the regulations for sidewalks and street lighting. Staff notes from the Public Works Manual, Section, 28-15(b) that sidewalks in the EU-M zoning are not required except along section and quarter line roadways. As such, the Department's Platting and Traffic Review Section in their memorandum, dated October 31, 2017 has recommended a modified approval of the request with a condition that sidewalks and street lighting shall be required only along SW 177 Avenue
(Krome Avenue) and SW 296 Street (Avocado Drive), which are the major roadways outside of the subject property.

Therefore, staff opines that modified approval of the request would maintain the basic intent and purpose of zoning and other land use regulations, and that approval of the request would be compatible with the surrounding land uses, and that the request would not be detrimental to the surrounding area. As such, staff recommends a modified approval of request \#3 with conditions under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variance Standard.

ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING: N/A
NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER REVIEW: See attached.
OTHER: Not applicable.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of Request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant, approval with conditions of requests \#2, and modified approval with conditions of \#3.

## CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL: Requests \#2 and \#3 only

1. That a site plan be submitted to and meet with the approval of the Director upon the submittal of an application for a building permit; said plan to include among other things but not be limited thereto, location of structure or structures, types, sizes and location of signs, light standards, off-street parking areas, exits and entrances, drainage, walls, fences, landscaping, etc.
2. That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled "Site Plan, Krome Grove Estates" as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received 10/4/17, consisting of 1 sheet. Except as here in modified to provide sidewalks and street lighting on SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 296 Street (Avocado Drive).
3. That the use be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan.
4. That the applicant shall install sidewalks and street lighting on SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 296 Street (Avocado Drive).
5. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements of the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in their memorandum dated October 31, 2017.
6. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements of the Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in their memorandum dated October 16, 2017.
7. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements of the Office of Historic Preservation of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in their memorandum dated September 22, 2017.
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Nathar.Kogon, AICP, Assistant Director
Develqpment Services Division
Miami-Dade County Department of
Regulatory and Economic Resources

# ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM 

Persea, LLC, Et AI (17-040)

| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> (RER) | No objection* |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Historic Preservation | No objection |
| Office of Historic Preservation | No objection* |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Platting and Traffic Review Section (RER) | No objection* |
| Police | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| Water and Sewer | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

# COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, 

 POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT| Estate Density <br> Residential <br> (Pg. l-29) | This density range is typically characterized by detached estates which utilize only a small <br> portion of the total parcel. Clustering, and a variety of housing types may, however, be <br> authorized. The residential densities allowed in this category shall range from a minimum of <br> 1.0 to a maximum of 2.5 units per gross acre. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Objective LU-4 <br> (Pg. I-9) | Miami-Dade County shall continue to reduce the number of land uses, which are inconsistent <br> with the uses designated on the LUP map and interpretive text, or with the character of the <br> surrounding community. |

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTSISTANDARDS

Section 33-311
District
Boundary
Change
(A) The Community Zoning Appeals Boards are advised that the purpose of zoning and regulations is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to lessen the congestion in the highways; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers, to promote health, safety, morals, convenience and the general welfare; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land and water; to avoid undue concentration of population; to facilitate the adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses and with a view to conserving the value of buildings and property and encouraging the most appropriate use of land and water throughout the County.
(F) Section 33-311 provides that the Board shall take into consideration, among other factors the extent to which:
(1) The development permitted by the application, if granted, conforms to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan for Miami-Dade County, Florida; is consistent with applicable area or neighborhood studies or plans, and would serve a public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered;
(2) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of Miami-Dade County, including consideration of the means and estimated cost necessary to minimize the adverse impacts; the extent to which altematives to alleviate adverse impacts may have a substantial impact on the natural and human environment; and whether any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of natural resources will occur as a result of the proposed development;

## ZONING RECOMIMENDATION ADDENDUM

Persea, LLC, Et Al (17-040)

|  | (3) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida; <br> (4) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, education or other necessary public facilities which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction; <br> (5) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden or affect public transportation facilities, including mass transit, roads, streets and highways which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction, and if the development is or will be accessible by public or private roads, streets or highways. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section 33311(A)(4)(b) <br> Non-Use <br> Variances From <br> Other Than <br> Airport <br> Regulations. | Upon appeal or direct application in specific cases, the Board shall hear and grant applications for non-use variances from the terms of the zoning and subdivision regulations and may grant a non-use variance upon a showing by the applicant that the non-use variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations, which is to protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the community and provided that the non-use variance will be otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community. No showing of unnecessary hardship to the land is required. |

COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 14 MOTION SLIP
HEARING DATE: JANUARY 10, 2018
APPLICANTS NAME: PERSEA, LLD, ET AL
representative: Pedro Gassant


| $\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION | $\square$ ITEM (S): |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ DEFER: | $\square$ INDEFINITELY | $\square$ TO: | $\square$ WILEAVE TO AMEND |
| $\square$ | $\square$ WITH PREJUDICE | $\square$ WITHOUT PREJUDICE |  |
| $\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT | $\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS |  |  |
| $\square$ APPROVE: | $\square$ PER REQUEST | $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT | $\square$ PER D.I.C. |
| $\square$ WITH CONDITIONS | $\square$ AS MODIFIED |  |  |
| $\square$ OTHER: | $\square$ |  |  |



Sara Davis

APPLICANTS NAME: PERAEA, LLB. ET AL

REPRESENTATIVE:

| HEARING NUMBER, | PROCESS \#, $\quad$ RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z 2017000040$ | $17-040$ | CZAB14 |  | 17 |




EXHIBITS: $\qquad$ county attorney: Sara Davis

APPLICANTS NAME: PERSEA, LLD, ET. AL. REPRESENTATIVE: Juan Mayo

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2017000040 | $17-040$ | CZAB14 | 17 |


| $\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION | $\square$ ITEM (S): |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ DEFER: | $\square$ INDEFINITELY | $\square$ TO: |
| $\square$ DENY: | $\square$ WITH PREJUDICE | $\square$ WITHOUT PREJUDICE |
| $\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT | $\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS |  |
| $\square$ APPROVE: | $\square$ PER REQUEST | $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT $\quad \square$ PER D.I.C. |
| $\square$ WITH CONDITIONS | $\square$ AS MODIFIED |  |
| $\square$ | $\square$ OTHER: | $\square$ |


| TITLE | NAME |  | YES |  |  | NO ABSENT |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Salvatore Rocco DEVITO | $x$ |  |  |  |
| COUNCILMAN |  | Gary J. DUFEK | $x$ |  |  |  |
| COUNCIL WOMAN | M | Yesenia Fatima LARA | $x$ |  |  |  |
| COUNCIL WOMAN |  | Mary K. WATERS | $x$ |  |  |  |
| VICE CHAIR | S | Wilbur B. BELL | $x$ |  |  |  |
| CHAIR |  | Curtis LAWRENCE | $x$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EXHIBITS: $\square$ YES $\square$ NO COUNTY ATTORNEY: SARAH DAVIS

APPLICANTS NAME:
PERSEA, LLD. ET.AL.
REPRESENTATIVE:
Then MAy ML

| HEARING NUMBER | PROCESS \# | RESOLUTION NUMBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z2017000040 | $17-040$ | CZAB14 |  | 17 |




| Date: | October 16, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor/Director <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources <br> From: |
|  | Jose Gonzalez, P.E. <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| Subject: | Z2017000040-1 st Revision <br> Holland \& Knight, LLP. <br> SW 178 <br>  <br>  <br> DBC from Avenue and SW to EU-M $296^{\text {th }}$ Street <br> (AU) (27 Acres) <br> $01-57-38$ |
|  |  |

The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code).

## Potable Water Service

The property is located within the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (MDWASD) franchised water service area. However, as per MDWASD Agreement 23691, the developer will connect to an existing 16 -inches water main owned and operated by the City of Homestead. Connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Be advised that the required water main extension permit is issued by the Florida Department of Health. Civil drawings for the proposed water main extension will need to be approved by the City of Homestead Water and Sewer Department and the RER Environmental Plan Review Section.

Existing public water facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

## Wastewater Disposal

The property is located within the MDWASD franchised sewer service area. However, as per MDWASD Agreement 23691, currently there are no public sewer mains available to serve the proposed development.

Consequently, any proposed development would have to be served by a septic tank and drainfield as a means for the disposal of domestic liquid waste. DERM has no objection to the interim use of a septic tank and drainfield provided that the maximum sewage loading allowed by Section 24-43.1(3) of the Code is not exceeded and the property is connected to public water. In accordance with the Code, the minimum lot size for a single family residence or duplex served by public water and a septic tank shall be 15,000 square feet (gross) or 20,000 square feet (gross), respectively.

A DERM Surface Water Management General Permit shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval. The applicant is advised to contact the DERM Water Control Section at (305)372-6681 for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage plans shall provide for full on-site retention of the stormwater runoff generated by a 5-year / 1day storm event.

Site grading and development shall provide for the full retention of the 25 -year/3-day storm event and shall also comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order.

## Tree Preservation

The subject property contains tree resources. Section 24-49 of the Code requires the preservation of tree resources. A Miami-Dade County Tree Removal Permit is required prior to the removal or relocation of any trees. A tree survey showing all the tree resources on-site will be required prior to reviewing the tree removal permit application. Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305)3726574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.

In accordance with Section 24-49.9 of the Code and CON8I of the CDMP, all plants prohibited by MiamiDade County shall be removed from all portions of the property prior to development, or redevelopment and developed parcels shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species. DERM also recommends that this requirement be included as a condition of any zoning approval.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

The Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting and Traffic Review Section has reviewed the subject application and has no objections subject to the condition below.

1. Sidewalks and street lighting shall be required on SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 296 Street (Avocado Drive).
2. This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

This application does meet the traffic concurrency criteria for an Initial Development Order. It will generate 73 PM daily peak hour vehicle trips. The traffic distribution of these trips to the adjacent roadways reveals that the addition of these new trips does not exceed the acceptable level of service of the following roadways:

| STA.\# | LOCATION | LOS <br> PRESENT | LOS <br> W/PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9212 | Krome Ave N/O SW 288 St | C | C |
| 9886 | SW 187 Ave S/O SW 280 St | C | C |
| 9936 | SW 296 St W/O US 1 | B | B |
| 9938 | SW 296 St E/O SW 197 Ave | C | C |
| 9948 | SW 312 ST W/O US 1 | E | E |

The request herein, constitutes an Initial Development Order only, and one or more traffic concurrency determinations will subsequently be required before development will be permitted.

## Standard Conditions:

- During the platting and/or permitting process, applicant must submit paving, grading and pavement marking plans to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting Section for review. The set of plans shall be signed and sealed by an engineer in compliance with the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for
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Streets and Highways as well as County Standards. Additional improvements may be required once the detailed set of plans are submitted to this Section.

- During the platting and/or permitting process, applicant must submit paving, grading and pavement marking plans to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting Section for review.
- All landscaping, walls, fences, entrance features, etc. will be subject to the Safe Sight Distance Triangle as per Section 33-11 of the Miami-Dade County Code and G5.3 of the Public Works and Waste Management Department Manual.


## PETITION OF APPEAL FROM DECISION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CHECKED BY: $\qquad$ AMOUNT OF FEE: $\$$ $\qquad$


This Appeal Form must be completed in accordance with the "Instruction for Filing an Appeal" and in accordance with Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and return must be made to the Department on or before the Deadline Date prescribed for the Appeal.

RE: Hearing No. Z2017000040
Filed in the name of (Applicant): Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, and Jeffrey Alleman and Medora Krome Alleman

Name of Appellant, if other than applicant: Same
Address/Location of APPELLANT'S property: The northeast corner of SW $178^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and SW 296 ${ }^{\text {tl }}$ Street, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Application, or part of Application being Appealed (Explanation): Entire Appealable Application.

Appellant (name): Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, and Jeffrey Alleman and Medora Krome Alleman hereby appeals the decision of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 14 with reference to the above subject matter, and in accordance with the provisions contained in Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, hereby make application to the Board of County Commissioners for review of said decision. The grounds and reasons supporting the reversal of the ruling of the Community Zoning Appeals Board are as follows:
(State in brief and concise language)
The decision by Community Zoning Appeals Board 14 (CZAB 14) was not supported by substantial competent evidence on the record. Instead, the decision by the CZAB 14 was arbitrary and capricious.

## APPELLLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Date: 23 day of January, 2018
Signed $\qquad$

Alan B. Krome, Manager Print Name

1374 S. Brook Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40208 Mailing Address

| c/o 305-789-7787 | c/o 305-679-6302 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone | Fax |

REPRESENTATIVE'S AFFIDAVIT
If you are filing as representative of an association or other entity, so indicate:


Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.
Print Name
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Address

| Miami | Florida | 33131 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| City | State | Zip |

305-789-7787
Telephone Number

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 23 day of January, year 2018


## APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING

(must be signed by each Appellant)

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Alan B. Krome, Manager of Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, (Appellant) who was sworn and says that the Appellant has standing to file the attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals Board decision.
The Appellant further states that they have standing by virtue of being of record in Community Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following:
(Check all that apply)
$\qquad$ 1. Participation at the hearing
2. Original Applicant
3. Written objection, waiver or consent

Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury, and that under penalties of perjury, Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.

Further Appellant says not.

## Witnesses:

## Trued Anchor

Signature
Jared Anderson
Print Name


Cornelius Cotton TV
Print Name


Appellant's signature
Alan B. Krome, Manager
Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company

Sworn to and subscribed before me on the $2^{2}$ day of January, 2018.
Appellant is personally know to me or has produced Yentuchua Driven an meas identification.


## APPELLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Date: $\partial 3$ day of January, 2018


Jeffrey Alleman
Print Name
PO BOX 900423, Homestead, Florida 33090
Mailing Address
c/o 305-789-7787 c/o 305-679-6302
Phone Fax
REPRESENTATIVES AFFIDAVIT
If you are filing as representative of an association or other entity, so indicate:

Jeffrey Alleman
Representing


Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.
Print Name
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Address

| Miami | Florida | 33131 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| City | State | Zip |

305-789-7787
Telephone Number

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 23 day of January, year 2018


Commission expires:

## APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING

(must be signed by each Appellant)
STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Jeffrey Alleman, (Appellant) who was sworn and says that the Appellant has standing to file the attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals Board decision.

The Appellant further states that they have standing by virtue of being of record in Community Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following:
(Check all that apply)
$\qquad$ 1. Participation at the hearing
2. Original Applicant
3. Written objection, waiver or consent

Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury, and that under penalties of perjury, Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.

Further Appellant says not.


Sworn to and subscribed before me on the 2 hrolday of January, 2018.


## APPELLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Date: 23 day of January, 2018


REPRESENTATIVES AFFIDAVIT
If you are filing as representative of an association or other entity, so indicate:

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the $\partial 3$ day of January, year 2018

Commission expires:

## APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING

(must be signed by each Appellant)
STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Medora Krome Alleman a/k/a Medora Krome, (Appellant) who was sworn and says that the Appellant has standing to file the attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals Board decision.

The Appellant further states that they have standing by virtue of being of record in Community Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following:
(Check all that apply)
$\qquad$ 1. Participation at the hearing

X
2. Original Applicant
3. Written objection, waiver or consent

Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury, and that under penalties of perjury, Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.

## Further Appellant says not.



Signature
$\qquad$
Print Name


Signature

Print Name
Sworn to and subscribed before me on the 23 day of January, 2018.
Appellant is, personally know-to me or has produced


Appellant's signature
Medora Krome Alleman a/k/a Medora Krome


## RESOLUTION NO. CZAB14-1-18

WHEREAS, PERSEA, LLC, Et AI applied for the following:

1. District Boundary Change from AU to EU-M.
2. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an existing single-family residence setback $15.2^{\prime}$ ( $25^{\prime}$ required) from the side street (west) property line.
3. NON-USE VARIANCE OF ZONING AND SUBDIVISION regulations to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required).

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, entitled, "Site Plan, Krome Grove Estates" as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received 10/4/17, consisting of 1 sheet. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

SUBJECT PROPERTY: The NW $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East. AND The SW $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East. AND The SE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, less and except that portion thereof described as the East 330 feet of the South 280 feet of said Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East.

LOCATION: Lying north of SW 296 Street, between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida, and

WHEREAS, a public hearing of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 14 was advertised and held, as required by law, and all interested parties concerned in the matter were given an opportunity to be heard, and

1. Residential Density Restriction. The maximum number of residential dwelling units on the Property shall not exceed fifty-three (53) dwelling units.
2. Minimum Residential Lot Size. The minimum residential lot size shall be 19,266 gross sq. ft.
3. Minimum Home Size. The minimum square footage of the building actual area of a residential home on the Property will be 2,800 square feet.
4. Controlling Site Plan. The Property shall be developed substantially in accordance with the site plan submitted in connection with the Application, entitled "Site Plan - Krome Grove Estates," as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received October 4, 2017, and consisting of one sheet (the "Plan"); provided, however, that no structure shall be built within the eastern 20 feet of Lots 2 and 3, Block 5, or the southern 20 feet of Lot 3, Block 4, as depicted in the Plan (the "Buffer"), which Buffer shall be reflected in the plat of the Property and shall be planted with native trees and shrubs. No Severable Use Rights (SURs) or Workforce Housing Unit Bonuses shall be utilized in the development of the Property.
5. Waiver of sidewalks and street lighting. Subject to the approval of the County, public sidewalks and street lighting shall not be provided in connection with the proposed subdivision of the Property, except as required by the County.
6. Improvements to SW 292nd Street. Subject to the receipt of all governmental approvals, the Owners shall build an eastbound right turn lane at the intersection of SW 292nd Street and SW 177th Avenue (Krome Avenue). The Owner's obligations under this Paragraph shall be subject to: (1) the availability of the right-of-way necessary to accommodate the required roadway improvements; (2) the approval by the Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("DTPW"), or successor department, of a credit in lieu of payment against the roadway impact fees that will be assessed by the County in connection with the development of the Property; and (3) the Director's right to waive, modify or extend the timing for the improvements for good cause shown.

WHEREAS, upon due and proper consideration having been given to the matter, it is the opinion of this Board that the requested DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE to EU-M would not be compatible with the neighborhood and area concerned and would be in conflict with the principle and intent of the plan for the development of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and should be denied, and

WHEREAS, upon due and proper consideration having been given to the matter, it is the opinion of this Board that the requested NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an existing single-family residence setback $15.2^{\prime}$ ( $25^{\prime}$ required) from the side street (west) property line (Request \#2), and the NON-USE VARIANCE OF ZONING AND SUBDIVISION
regulations to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required) (Request \#3) would not be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the regulations and would not conform with the requirements and intent of the Zoning Procedure Ordinance, and

WHEREAS, a motion to deny the application (Requests \#1 through \#3) without prejudice was offered by Salvatore Rocco Devito, seconded by Mary K. Waters, and upon a poll of the members present, the vote was as follows:


Zoning Appeals Board 14 that the requested:
DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE to EU-M be and the same is hereby denied without prejudice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the requested NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an existing single-family residence setback $15.2^{\prime}$ (25' required) from the side street (west) property line (Request \#1), and the NON-USE VARIANCE OF ZONING AND SUBDIVISION regulations to permit a residential development without sidewalks and street lighting (sidewalks and street lights required) (Request \#3) be and the same are hereby denied without prejudice.

The Director is hereby authorized to make the necessary notations upon the records of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in accordance with the terms and conditions of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2018.
rd

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

I, Rosa Davis, as Deputy Clerk for the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as designated by the Director of the Miami-Dade County Department of Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources and Ex-Officio Secretary of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 14, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of Resolution No. CZAB14-1-18 adopted by said Community Zoning Appeals Board at its meeting held on the $18^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2018.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this the $10^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2018.


SEAL


February 10, 2018

PERSEA, LLC, Et AI
c/o Alberto Torres
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Miami, FL 33131
Re: Hearing No. Z17-040
Location: Lying north of SW 296 Street, between SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) and SW 179 Avenue, Miami-Dade County, Florida

## Dear Applicant:

Enclosed herewith is Resolution No. CZAB14-1-18, adopted by the by the Community Zoning Appeals Board 14, which denied your application without prejudice.

Please note that any aggrieved party may appeal the Board's decision to the Board of County Commissioners, within 14 days from the date of posting on the $11^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Stephen P. Clark building, 111 N.W. $1^{\text {sl }}$ Street, Miami, FL 33128. The date of posting is January 18, 2018. In the event an appeal is filed, any action undertaken during the appeal period is at the applicant's risk.

Sincerely,


Rosa Davis
Deputy Clerk
Enclosure

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Superintendent of Schools
Alberto M. Carvalho

Miami-Dade County School Board Dr. Lawrence S. Feldiman, Chair Dr. Marta Pêrez, Vice Chair
Dr. Dorothy Bendross-Mindingalf Susie V. Castillo Dr. Steve Gallon III Pella Tabares Hantman Dr. Martin Karo Libby Navarro Mari Tore Rojas

Mr. Alberto J. Torres
Holland \& Knight
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Miami, Florida 33131
alberto.torres@hklaw.com

RE: PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS PERSEA, LLC, ET AL, C/O JUAN J. MAYOL, HOLLAND \& K (Z2017000040) LOCATED AT 17845 SW 296 STREET PH3017070300381 - FOLIO Nos.: 3078010000580, 3078010000581, 3078010000582

Dear Applicant:
Pursuant to State Statutes and the Interlocal Agreements for Public School Facility Planning in MiamiDade County, the above-referenced application was reviewed for compliance with Public School Concurrency. Accordingly, enclosed please find the School District's Preliminary Concurrency Analysis (Schools Planning Level Review).

As noted in the Preliminary Concurrency Analysis (Schools Planning Level Review), the proposed development would yield a maximum residential density of 67 single-family detached units, which generate 36 students: 16 elementary, 9 middle and 11 senior high students. At this time, all school levels have sufficient capacity available to serve the application. However, a final determination of Public School Concurrency and capacity reservation will only be made at the time of approval of final plat, site plan or functional equivalent. As such, this analysis does not constitute a Public School Concurrency approval.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 305-995-7287.
Best regards,


NS: ns
L-13
Enclosure
cc: Ms. Ana Rijo-Conde, AICP
Mr. Michael A. Levine
Mr. Ivan M. Rodriguez
Miami-Dade County
School Concurrency Master File

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools

## Concurrency Management System

Preliminary Concurrency Analysis
MDCPS Application Number: Date Application Received: Type of Application:

| PH3017070300381 | Local Government (LG): | Miami-Dade <br> 7/3/2017 3:13:51 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public Hearing LG Application Number: | Z2017000040 <br> Sub Type: | Zoning |

Applicant's Name: Address/Location: Master Folio Number:

Persea, LLC, et al, coo Juan J. Mayol, Holland \& K 701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300, Miami FL 33131-2847 3078010000580 3078010000581, 3078010000582,

PROPOSED \# OF UNITS $\underline{67}$
SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED UNITS: $\underline{67}$
SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED UNITS: $\underline{0}$
MULTIFAMILY UNITS: $\underline{0}$


MDCPS has conducted a preliminary public school concurrency review of this application; please see results above. A final determination of public school concurrency and capacity reservation will be made at the time of approval of plat, site plan or functional equivalent. THIS ANALYSIS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY APPROVAL.

1450 NE 2 Avenue, Room 525, Miami, Florida 33132 / 305-995-7634 / 305-995-4760 fax / concurrency@dadeschools.net

MIAMI-DADE
COUNTY

\author{

Date: $\quad$ September 22, 2017 <br> \begin{tabular}{ll}

To: \& | Nathan Kogon, AICP |
| :--- |
| Assistant Director |
| Developmental Services | <br>

From: \& | Sarah Cody |
| :--- |
| Historic Preservation Chief |
| Office of Historic Preservation | <br>

Subject: \& Zoning Hearing Application No. Z2017000040
\end{tabular}

}

The Office of Historic Preservation is aware of amended Zoning Hearing Application No. Z2017000040, to request the approval of a district boundary change from AU, Agricultural District, to EU-M, Estate Modified District. The subject parcels encompass the Krome Residence, a Miami-Dade County Historic Site, designated by the Miami-Dade County Historic Preservation Board in 1983. The designated area is bounded by the following legal description:

* The North 180 feet of the South 220 feet of the West 100 feet of the East $1 / 2$ of the SW $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Proposed physical alterations and modifications to the designated property require prior review and approval by the Office of Historic Preservation. However, the requested district boundary change from AU, Agricultural District, to EU-M, Estate Modified District and related subdivision does not alter any features within the designated area, nor does it seek to alter the historic site boundary itself. Therefore, review and approval by the Office of Historic Preservation is not required for the purposes of this application.

If in the future, the property owner wishes to alter the legally described boundary of the designated site, or wishes to make physical improvements within the boundary, prior approval will be required in the form of a Certificate of Appropriateness.

To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director Development Services Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER)
From: $\quad$ Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED ${ }^{(1)}$ Green Associate
Chief, Planning \& Water Certification Section'
Water and Sewer Department (WASD)
Subject: Zoning Application Comments - Persea, LLC
Application Z2017000040-Revision \# 2

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject project.

Application Name: Persea, LLC.
Location: The proposed project is located on approximately 27 acres of vacant land at the northeast corner of SW 179 th Avenue and SW 296 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street with Folios No. 30-7801-000-0580, 30-7801-000-0581, and 30-7801-000-0582, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: District boundary change from AU (Agricultural District) to EU-M (Estate Modified District). According to the site plan, the 53 lots include two lots which contains two existing single family residences.

Recommendation: WASD has no objection to this application.
Water: The subject vacant land property is located within the WASD's water service area. The water supply for the future projects within said property will be provided by the South Dade System.

On August 24, 2017, a WASD Agreement No. 23691 was requested for the proposed development. As per WASD's Points of Connection dated September 28, 2017, the developer shall connect to an existing 16 -inch water main (property of City of Homestead) in SW 296 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street at SW $179^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and extend an 8 -inch water main northerly in SW 179 Avenue to Folio No. 30-7801-000-0581, then, northerly in theoretical SW $179^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and to theoretical SW 292 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street, then, easterly in theoretical SW 292 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street to the northeastern corner of the property, and then, southerly in dedicated R/W within the property to theoretical SW 292 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street, interconnecting to an existing 16 -inch water main (property of the City of Homestead) at that location. The developer shall also connect to the aforementioned proposed 8 -inch water main within the property, and extend the same 8 -inch water main in dedicated R/W within the property, as required to provide service to all lots of the proposed development. Any public water main extension within the property shall be 8 -inch minimum diameter, If two or more fire hydrants are to be connected to a public water main extension, then the water system shall be looped with (2) points of connection. Note: The developer shall install new wholesale water meters in the aforementioned proposed 8 -inch water main in SW $296^{\text {th }}$ Street at SW $179^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and in theoretical SW $296^{\text {th }}$ Street east of SW $179^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) will be required for the proposed development. Said Certification will be issued at the time the applicant requests connection to the water system. The WSC letter shall remain active in accordance with terms and conditions specified in said certification. The WSC required is consistent with Policy CIE-5D and WS-2C in the County's CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20-year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/water/water-supply-certification.asp

In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use and with landscape standards in accordance with Sections 8-31, 32-84, 8A381, and 18-A and 18-B of the Miami-Dade County Code, consistent with Policies WS-5E and WS-5F of the CDMP, respectively.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/home.asp.

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/waterconservation/library/instructions/water-use-efficiency-standards-manual.pdf

Sewer: The subject vacant land property is located within the WASD's sewer service area. As per WASD's Points of Connection dated September 28, 2017, connection to the WASD gravity sewer system is not available at the present time. The customer is responsible for obtaining septic tank and drain-field connection approval from the Miami-Dade County R.E.R. Department.

Below please find additional links to the WASD portal, which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-development.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

Date: $\quad$ October 12, 2017

To: $\quad$| Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director |
| :--- |
| Development Services Division |
| Director, Regulatory and Economic Resources Department |

From: Alejandro Zizold, PROS Master Plan Manager Planning and Design Excellence Division
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department
Subject: Z2017000040: Perse LLC, and Jeffery and Medora Alleman (Krome Grove Estates)

Applicant Name: Persea LLC, and Jeffery and Medora Alleman (Krome Grove Estates)
Project Location: The property contains approximately 26.93 acres and is located on the northeast corner of SW 296 Street (Avacado Drive) and SW $179^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: The purpose of the Application is to request the approval of a district boundary change from AU, Agricultural District to EU-M, Estate Modified District.

Impact and Demand: This proposed boundary change will allow development of 53 single family dwelling units. This would generate a residential population of 168 resulting in an impact of 0.43 acres of local parkland when analyzed using Miami-Dade County's minimum Level of Service standard for the provision of local recreation open space. Recreation and Open Space Element policies ROS-2a (i); (ii); (iii); (iv); and (v) provide for the establishment of Miami-Dade County's minimum Level of Service standard for the provision of local recreation open space. The site is located in Park Benefit District 3 (PBD3) which has a surplus of 160.91 acres of local parkland and therefore the project meets concurrency when analyzed in terms of $(2.75)$ acres per 1,000 unincorporated areas residents within this Park Benefits District.

County-owned local parks that are within three miles of the subject application are described in the attached Table A which lists the name, type and acreage for each park and shown in the attached map of the area.

## Recommendation: Based on our findings described herein PROS HAS NO OBJECTION TO THIS APPLICATION.

If you need additional information or clarification on this matter, please contact Zafar Ahmed at (305) 7557997.
$A Z: z a$

Attachment: Table A and map

TABLEA

| PARK NAME | CLASS | ACRES | TYPE | PBD | CD |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Biscado Park | NEIGHBORHOOD PARK | 4.18 | Local | 3 | 8 |
| Leisure Park | NEIGHBORHOOD PARK | 1.86 | Local | 3 | 8 |
| Live Like Bella Park | COMMUNITY PARK | 8.30 | Local | 3 | 8 |
| Modello Park | COMMUNITY PARK | 8.23 | Local | 3 | 9 |
| Modello Wayside Park | NEIGHBORHOOD PARK | 2.50 | Local | 3 | 8 |
| Palmland Park | NEIGHBORHOOD PARK | 5.09 | Local | 3 | 8 |
| Royal Colonial Park | COMMUNITY PARK | 26.27 | Local | 3 | 9 |
| South Dade Park | COMMUNITY PARK | 8.61 | Local | 3 | 8 |



Date: September 26, 2017

| To: | Nathan M. Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Alejandro G Cuello, Principal Planner <br> Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department |
| Subject: | Z201700040 |

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objection to the site plan uploaded to "Energov" on 09/20/2017 with the condition that the proposed public right of ways will have the required public works roadway dimensions.

For additional information, please contact at acuello@miamidade.gov or call 786-331-4545.

| PERSEA LC/ JEFFERY AND MEDORA ALLEMAN | 17845 SW 296 ST <br> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPLICANT | ADDRESS |
| Pending | Z2017000040 |
| DATE | HEARING NUMBER |

FOLIO: 30-7801-000-0580/30-7801-000-0582/30-7801-000-0581
REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:
September 25, 2017

## NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:

Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0580
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.
Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0582
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.
Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0581
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.
BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:
Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0580
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0582
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
Folio No.: 30-7801-000-0581
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.

## OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:

There are no outstanding liens or fines.

























## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal, stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trusts), partnerships) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company.
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Stock


TRUST/ESTATE NAME:
Percentage of
NAME AND ADDRESS
Interest

If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where partner(s) consist of other partnerships), corporations), trusts) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$


If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership, list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER: Michael S. Novel. Trustee
NAME AND ADDRESS (if applicable) Percentage of Interest

Date of contract: 12/6/16
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27 day of has produced kelucly dull's License $\qquad$ as identification.


> (Notary Public)

My commission expires $\qquad$

 interests; or 3) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corp Ex y thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and (㰖Se no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.



| $\Gamma_{\text {KROME }}^{E}$ | VENUE SITES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sam Movolite | wank ko |



| REVIIION | DATE | BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 70 |
|  |  |  |



| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | +1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |





|  | $\text { Persea, } L L C \text { E E A }$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| This instrument was prepared by: $\quad(217-040)$ |  |
| Name: Juan J. Mayol, Jr. <br> Address: Holland \& Knight LLP <br>  701 Brickell Avenue <br>  Suite 3300 <br>  Miami, Florida 33131 | $B C C$ |
|  | (Space reserved for Clerk of Court) |

## DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS

WHEREAS, the undersigned owners, PERSEA, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, JEFFERY ALLEMAN and MEDORA KROME ALLEMAN n/k/a MEDORA KROME, and PHOEBE von P. KROME TRUST DATED NOVEMBER 26, 2002 (the "Owners"), hold the fee simple title to that certain parcel of land in unincorporated Miami-Dade County (the "County"), which is legally described in Exhibit "A" to this Declaration (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Owners have filed an application with the County's Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, which application is currently pending under Public Hearing Application No. Z2017000040 (the "Application") for the purpose of seeking the rezoning of the Property to facilitate the future development of the Property;

NOW, THEREFORE, IN ORDER TO ASSURE the County that the representations made by the Owners during its consideration of the Application will be abided by, the Owners freely, voluntarily, and without duress, hereby make the following Declaration of Restrictions (the "Declaration") covering and running with the Property:

1. Residential Density Restriction. The maximum number of residential dwelling units on the

Property shall not exceed fifty-three (53) dwelling units.

## Section-Township-Range: 1-57-38

Folio Number: 30-7801-000-0580, 30-7801-000-0581, and 30-7801-000-0582
2. Minimum Residential Lot Size. The minimum residential lot size shall be 19,266 gross sq. ft.
3. Minimum Home Size. The minimum square footage of the building actual area of a residential home on the Property will be 2,800 square feet.
4. Controlling Site Plan. The Property shall be developed substantially in accordance with the site plan submitted in connection with the Application, entitled "Site Plan - Krome Grove Estates,", as prepared by Ludovici \& Orange, Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated stamped received October 4, 2017, and consisting of one sheet (the "Plan"); provided, however, that no structure shall be built within the eastern 20 feet of Lots 2 and 3, Block 5, or the southern 20 feet of Lot 3, Block 4, as depicted in the Plan (the "Buffer"), which Buffer shall be reflected in the plat of the Property and shall be planted with native trees and shrubs. No Severable Use Rights (SURs) or Workforce Housing Unit Bonuses shall be utilized in the development of the Property.
5. Waiver of sidewalks and street lighting. Subject to the approval of the County, public sidewalks and street lighting shall not be provided in connection with the proposed subdivision of the Property, except as required by the County.
6. Improvements to $\mathbf{S W} \mathbf{2 9 2}{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street. Subject to the receipt of all governmental approvals, the Owners shall build an eastbound right turn lane at the intersection of SW 292 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street and SW $177^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Krome Avenue). The Owner's obligations under this Paragraph shall be subject to (1) the availability of the right-of-way necessary to accommodate the required roadway improvements; (2) the approval by the Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("DTPW"), or successor department, of a credit in lieu of payment against the roadway impact fees that will be assessed by the County in connection with the development of the

Property; and (3) the Director's right to waive, modify or extend the timing for the improvements for good cause shown.

## Miscellaneous.

County Inspection. As further part of this Declaration, it is hereby understood and agreed that any official inspector of Miami-Dade County, or its agents duly authorized, may have the privilege at any time of entering and inspecting the use of the Property to determine whether or not the requirements of the building and zoning regulations and the conditions herein agreed to are being complied with.

Covenant Running with the Land. This Declaration on the part of the Owners shall constitute a covenant running with the land and shall be recorded, at Owners expense, in the public records of the County and shall remain in full force and effect and be binding upon the undersigned Owners, and its heirs, successors and assigns until such time as the same is modified or released. The restrictions contained within this Declaration, while in effect, shall be for the benefit of, and constitute limitations upon, all present and future owners of the Property, and for the benefit of Miami-Dade County and the public welfare. The Owners, its heirs, successors and assigns, acknowledge that acceptance of this declaration does not in any way obligate or provide a limitation on the authority of the County.

Term. This Declaration is to run with the land and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under it for a period of thirty (30) years from the date this Declaration is recorded, after which time it shall be extended automatically for successive periods of ten (10) years each, unless an instrument signed by the, then, owner(s) of the Property has been recorded agreeing to change this Declaration in whole, or in part, provided that the Declaration has first been modified or released by the County.

Modification, Amendment, Release. This Declaration may be modified, amended or released as to the Property, or any portion thereof, by a written instrument executed by the thenowner(s) of the property covered by the modification, amendment or release, including joinders of all mortgagees, if any, provided that the same is also approved by the Board of County Commissioners or Community Zoning Appeals Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, whichever by law has jurisdiction over such matters, after public hearing, or the Director as provided by the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances; provided, however, that any such modification, amendment or release of this Declaration, which would result in an increase in density beyond the 53 units contemplated in Paragraph 1 of this Declaration, would require the consent of $75 \%$ of the owners of property within a 500 foot radius of the Property. It is provided, however, that in the event the Property is annexed to an existing municipality or if the Property is incorporated into a new municipality, any modification, amendment, or release shall not become effective until it is approved by such municipality and is thereafter approved by the Board of County Commissioners, in accordance with the applicable procedures.

Enforcement. Enforcement shall be by action against any parties or person violating, or attempting to violate, any covenants set forth in this Declaration. The prevailing party in any action or suit pertaining to or arising out of this Declaration shall be entitled to recover, in addition to costs and disbursements allowed by law, such sum as the Court may adjudge to be reasonable for the services of his/her/its attorney. This enforcement provision shall be in addition to any other remedies available at law, in equity or both.

Authorization for Miami-Dade County to Withhold Permits and Inspections. In the event the terms of this Declaration are not being complied with, in addition to any other remedies available, the County is hereby authorized to withhold, in connection with the particular Parcel

Folio Number: 30-7801-000-0580, 30-7801-000-0581, and 30-7801-000-0582
which is in default, any further permits, and refuse to make any inspections or grant any approvals with respect to the particular Parcel which is in default, until such time as this Declaration is complied with.

Election of Remedies. All rights, remedies and privileges granted herein shall be deemed to be cumulative and the exercise of any one or more shall neither be deemed to constitute an election of remedies, nor shall it preclude the party exercising the same from exercising such other additional rights, remedies or privileges.

Presumption of Compliance. Where construction has occurred on the Property or any portion thereof, pursuant to a lawful permit issued by the County, and inspections made and approval of occupancy given by the County, then such construction, inspection and approval shall create a rebuttable presumption that the buildings or structures thus constructed comply with the intent and spirit of this Declaration.

Severability. Invalidation of any one of these covenants, by judgment of Court, shall not affect any of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect. However, if any material provision is invalidated, the County shall be entitled to revoke any approval predicated upon the invalidated provision.

Recording. This Declaration shall be filed of record in the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at the cost of the Owner following the approval of the Application. This Declaration shall become effective immediately upon recordation. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, if any appeal is filed, and the disposition of such appeal results in the denial of the application, in its entirety, then this Declaration shall be null and void and of no further effect. Upon the disposition of an appeal that results in the denial of the Application, in its entirety, and
upon written request, the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or the executive officer of the successor of said department, or in the absence of such director or executive officer by her/his assistant in charge of the office in her/his absence, shall forthwith execute a written instrument, in recordable form, acknowledging that this Declaration is null and void and of no further effect.

Acceptance of Declaration. Acceptance of this Declaration does not obligate the County in any manner, nor does it entitle the Owner to a favorable recommendation or approval of any application, zoning or otherwise, and the County retains its full power and authority to deny each such application in whole or in part and to decline to accept any conveyance or dedication.

Owner. The term "Owner" shall include the Owner, and its heirs, successors and assigns.

Signed, witnessed, executed and acknowledged on this day of dent 2017

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, PERSEA, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its proper officials.

## WITNESSES:

Treed Anderson
Signature
Jones Anderson

Print
Name


STATE OF Kentwoy

## COUNTY OF Jefferson

PERSEA, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company
1374 Brook Street, Louisville, KY 40208
)
) $\mathrm{SS}:$
)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Alan B. Krome, Manager of PERSEA, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, on behalf of said company, who is personally known to me or has produced $\frac{\mathrm{Ky} \text { driers }}{\text { dense }}$ as identification.

My Commission Expires: Sept 25,2021

Jared Anderson
Notary Public - State of Florida
Printed Name
8

Signed, witnessed, executed and acknowledged on this $0^{2}$ day of $18 \mathrm{cem}, 2017$.



Homestead, Florida 33090

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

)
) $\mathrm{SS}:$
)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of DlCombV, 2017, by Jeffery Alleman, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

My Commission Expires:



Signed, witnessed, executed and acknowledged on this $\varepsilon^{\text {th }}$ day of $\mathbb{L e}, 2017$.


By:
Phedrese Krem
Phoebe vonP. Krome Trust Dated
November 26, 2002.
Medora Krome, Trustee
)
) SS:
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE
)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Medora Krome, Trustee of Phoebe vonP. Krome Trust Dated November 26, 2002, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

My Commission Expires:


Signed, witnessed, executed and acknowledged on this $\underline{\varepsilon}^{-16 y}$ of Doce, 2017.


Print
Name


By:
 Krome $M k$
P.O. Box 900423

Homestead, Florida 33090

## STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE
)
) SS:
)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Medora Alleman Krome $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ Medora Krome, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

My Commission Expires:


## EXHIBIT "A"

Parcel 1
The Southwest $x / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## LESS

The South 40 feet and the West 25 feet of the West $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 1$ of the Southeasi $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in MiamiDade County, Florida.

AND LESS
The area bounded by the North line of the South 40 feet of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 and bounded by the East line of the West 25 feet of the West $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / \%$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 and bounded by a 25 foot ractius arc concave to the Northeast, said are being tangent to both of the last described lines.

Pacel 2
The Northwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East. lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Parcel 3
The Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## LESS

The East 330 feet of the South 280 feet of said Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38; East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Floricia.

AND LESS
The East 62.5 feet, less the South 280 feet thereof, of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## AND LESS AND EXCEPT

FDOT PARCEL NO: 185 as described in that WARRANTY DEED, as recorded in Official Record Book 30563, Page 65, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, described as follows:

A parcel of land lying in the $S E 1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the SW comer of the SE $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 , being a brass disk; thence N89 $39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ E, along the South line of the SE $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 , for a distance of $1,980.40$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING of the hereinafter described parcel of land:

Thence departing said South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and the existing North Right-of-Way line of S.W. 296 th Street (Avocado Drive), N00 $59^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 35.00 feet; thence N89 $39^{\prime 2} 23^{\prime \prime} E$ for a distance of 330.16 feet; thence $500^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} E$ for a distance of 35,00 feet to a point on the aforementioned South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and the existing North Right-of-Way line of S.W. 296 th Street (Avocado Drive); thence $589^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along said South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and North Right-of-Way line, for a distance of 330.11 feet; thence to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

## OPINION OF TITLE

## To: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida

With the understanding that this Opinion of Title is furnished to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as inducement for acceptance of a Declaration of Restrictions ("Declaration") to be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, covering the real property hereinafter described, it is hereby certified that I have examined the Old Republic National Title Insurance Company Commitment Number 3813-42 covering the period from the beginning to December 7, 2016 at 11:00 p.m., and an Attorneys' Title certified title update from the said date through November 30, 2017 at 11:00 p.m., inclusive of the following described real property, located and situated in Miami-Dade County, Florida: I know of no reason that this Title Commitment is inaccurate.

## SEE EXHOBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO

I am of the opinion that on the last mentioned date, the fee simple title to the above-described real property was vested in:

Jeffrey Alleman and Medora Krome Alleman, n/k/a Medora Krome, as to Parcel 1;
Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, as to Parcel 2; and
Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, and Medora Krome as Successor Trustee of the Phoebe Von P. Krome Revocable Trust dated November 26, 2002, as amended, as to Parcel 3

Subject to the following liens, encumbrances, and other exceptions:

1. RECORDED MORTGAGES:

None.
2. RECORDED CONSTRUCTION LIENS, CONTRACT LIENS AND JUDGMENTS:

None

## 3. GENERAL EXCEPTIONS:

a. All taxes for the year in which this opinion is rendered, unless noted below that such taxes have been paid.
b. Rights of persons other than the above owners who are in possession.
c. Facts that would be disclosed upon accurate survey.
d. Any unrecorded labor, mechanics' or materialmen's liens.
e. Zoning and other restrictions imposed by governmental authority.
f. Any claim that any portion of said lands are sovereign lands of the State of Florida, including submerged, filled or artificially exposed lands and lands accreted to such lands.

## 4. SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS:

a. Right of Way Deed to Dade County recorded in O.R. Book 13643, Page 3064, Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
b. Dedication and Improvement Agreement recorded in O.R. Book 13615, Page 996, Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. (Parcel 1)
c. Metro-Dade Historic Preservation Board Resolution No. 8808 recorded in O.R. Book 14144, Page 1893, Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. (Parcel 1).

All recording information refers to the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
$I$ HEREBY CERTIFY that I have reviewed all the aforementioned encumbrances and exceptions and that none of them hinder or affect the recording or enforcement of the Declaration of Restrictions.

It is my opinion that the following parties must join in the Declaration in order to make the Declaration a valid and binding covenant on the lands described herein:

## Name

Jeffrey Alleman and Medora Krome Alleman, n/k/a Medora
Krome, as to Parcel 1, Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company, as to Parcels 2 and 3, and Medora Krome as Successor Trustee of the Phoebe Von P. Krome
Revocable Trust dated November 26, 2002, as amended, as to Parcel 3

Further, I am of the opinion that Alan B. Krome, as Manager, is an authorized signatory for Persea, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company and is authorized to execute all documents on behalf of Persea LLC.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the legal description contained in this Opinion of Title coincides with, and is the same as, the legal description in the proffered, recordable Declaration.

I, the undersigned, further certify that I am an attorney-at-law duly admitted to practice in the State of Florida, and I am a member in good standing of The Florida Bar.

Respectfully submitted this $/ 2 \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ day of December, 2017.


[^0]
## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $12^{\dagger 1 /}$ day of December, 2017, by Alberto J. Parlade, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

My Commission Expires:


## EXHIBIT "A"

Parcel 1
The Southwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1 , Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## LESS

The South 40 feet and the West 25 feet of the West $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in MiamiDade County, Florida.

## AND LESS

The area bounded by the North line of the South 40 feet of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 and bounded by the East line of the West 25 feet of the West $1 / 2$ of the Southwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 and bounded by a 25 foot radius arc concave to the Northeast, said arc being tangent to both of the last described lines.

## Parcel 2

The Northwest $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## Parcel 3

The Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## LESS

The East 330 feet of the South 280 feet of said Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38; East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## AND LESS

The East 62.5 feet, less the South 280 feet thereof, of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, lying and being in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## AND LESS AND EXCEPT

FDOT PARCEL NO.: 185 as described in that WARRANTY DEED, as recorded in Official Record Book 30563, Page 65, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, described as follows:

A parcel of land lying in the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 1, Township 57 South, Range 38 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the SW comer of the SE $1 / 4$ of said Section 1 , being a brass disk; thence N89 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ E, along the South line of the SE $1 / 4$ of said Section 1, for a distance of $1,980.40$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING of the hereinafter described parcel of land:

Thence departing said South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and the existing North Right-of-Way line of S.W. 296th Street (Avocado Drive), N0059'23"W for a distance of 35.00 feet; thence N89 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 330.16 feet; thence $S 00^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} E$ for a distance of 35.00 feet to a point on the aforementioned South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and the existing North Right-of-Way line of S.W. 296th Street (Avocado Drive); thence S89 $39^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along said South line of the SE $1 / 4$ and North Right-of-Way line, for a distance of 330.11 feet; thence to the POINT OF BEGINNING.
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(Thereupon, the following proceeding were had.)

VICE-CHAIR BELL: Good afternoon. Welcome to the Redland Community Council 14 the $18 t h$ of January, 2018.

Will you please stand for the pledge.
(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was had, after which the following transpired.)

VICE-CHAIR BELL: Okay, staff, roll call.
MS. HENDERSON: Councilman DeVito?
COUNCILMAN DEVITO: Present.
MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Dufek?

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: Here.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilwoman Lara?

Councilwoman Waters?
COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: Here.
MS. HENDERSON: Vice-Chair Bell?
VICE-CHAIR BELL: Here.
MS. HENDERSON: Chair Lawrence?

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Right here.
MS. HENDERSON: We have a quorum.
CHAIR LAWRENCE: Good evening. I apologize for being a little late this evening. We just had the roll. Have anyone read the statement?

Are there any withdrawals or deferrals this
evening?
(Thereupon, a matter not related to this case was heard, after which the following transpired.) **************************************************

CHAIR LAWRENCE: Introductory statement, please.

MS. HENDERSON: In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard this evening have been legally advertised in the newspaper, notices have been mailed, and the properties have been posted. Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting.

Items will be called upon -- up to be heard by agenda number and name of applicant. The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.

All these items are physically present this evening, available to all interested parties, and available to all the members of the Board who may examine items from the record during the hearing.

Parties have the right of cross-examination. This statement, along with the fact that all witnesses have been sworn, shall be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings.

In addition, the following departments have representatives present here at the meeting to address any questions: The Zoning Evaluation and Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the County Attorney's Office.

All items used in representations before the Board will become part of the public record and will not be returned unless an identical letter-sized copy is submitted for the file.

Any person making impertinent or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the Community Zoning Appeals Board, shall be barred from further audience before the Community Zoning Appeals Board by the presiding officer unless permission to continue or again address the Board be granted by the majority vote of the Board Members present.

The number of filed protests and waivers on each application will be read into the record at the time of the hearing as each application is read.

Those items now heard prior to the ending time of this meeting will be deferred to the next available zoning hearing meeting date for this

Board.

MR. HARRISON: Through the Chair. One second, Mr. Chair. I think I may have lead you estray. We need to have everybody sworn in because we realized that we kind of skipped that.

VICE-CHAIR BELL: We didn't swear nobody in yet.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Oh, you didn't swear them in.

VICE CHAIR: We didn't have them sworn in.

CHAIR LAWRENCE: Those of you who plan to speak this evening, would you stand and be sworn in by the court reporter. If you think you might speak please be sworn in.
(Thereupon, all interested individuals seeking to give testimony in the case were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, after which the following transpired.) CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: After the item is called, the applicant will present the item. After he finishes, we will ask for those people who are in support of the item, after which we will ask for objectors to the application.

When you step to the mike please state your name and address clearly for the record, and we
would ask that you keep your comments brief as we have a full house this evening. Thank you.
(Thereupon, other matters not related to this case were heard, after which the following transpired.)
************************************************** CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Okay.

MS. HENDERSON: Item 17-40, Persea. Two hundred and fifteen filed protestors, zero waivers.

MR. GASSANT: Good evening Chairman, Members of the Board. Once again, Pedro Gassant of Holland and Knight with offices at 701 Brickell Avenue.

And I'm here this evening with my colleagues Hugo Arza, Al Torres and Amanda Naldjieff on behalf of our client Michael J. Navals, the trustee and contract purchaser of land that is controlled by the heirs of William Julius Krome, an early South Dade pioneer and in whose name and honor Krome Avenue is provided.

This item that's before you this evening is an item that was before you a couple months back. And at that meeting and hearing there were some protestors and objections of neighbors that came before you.

What we have done in the interim in the
handouts that are being provided to you is that we have taken substantial, significant steps to address every concern and issue that the neighbors have raised.

The boards that are facing the audience on this side and the boards that are facing you on the far right hand detail and delineate every step that we have taken to address every issue and every comment that the neighbors have provided us with.

Now, I'm going to address all of the issues that were raised. I will provide you with our request. I will talk about the location of the property, its location with respect to the UDB, the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, the zoning and why our application should be approved.

Our requests tonight are three. It's a
request to rezone the property from $A U$, agriculture, to $E U-M$, estate modified.

That was the same request that we had before you a couple months ago, but a couple months ago that was the only request we had before you. And with that request we could have built 67 lots with 15,000 lots -- with 15,000 square foot lots or even less if we applied for $S U R$, and we could have even built more than 67 lots if we applied the Workforce

Housing Density Bonus.
The second and the third request that are before you, the second request is a 15.2 variance of the side setback of the side street based off of our meeting with the neighbors who wanted to ensure that there were no additional drives provided on Krome and to mitigate the number of drives that were provided on Avocado Drive.

The third request is to permit a development that substantially limits the number of sidewalks and street lights within the development.

This too was a request that was initiated at the request of the neighbors. The neighbors provided us with information stating that the character of this community, in order to preserve it and in order to ensure that we are paying homage to the aesthetic characteristic of this area that we eliminate the sidewalks and the street lights within our development. We have done that. The only street lights that will be within our development are the street lights and sidewalks along Krome and Avocado Drive, which are the two section line roadways and that's required by code. I want to address very quickly and briefly, your staff's recommendation of approval.

Miami-Dade County has a staff that is comprised of experts. Experts in Public Works, experts in Planning and Zoning, experts in fire, police who have looked at this application and have determined that it is consistent with your Comprehensive Development Master Plan, it is compatible with the area, and that it will have no adverse impacts on the community.

Now, $I$ want to take a minute to just talk about the property. The property is located at the intersection of two section line roadways, southwest 177 th Avenue, which is Krome Avenue, and southwest $296 t h$ Street, which is Avocado Drive.

The
property is approximately 27 acres and it is entirely vacant except for two existing homes.

You have the Krome residence which was built by Mr. Krome in the 1920 and which was preserved by the Historic Preservation Board in 1983, and you have an existing home that is just west of that. What we're doing as a part of our application and paying homage to Mr. Krome is that -- when the Historic Preservation Board preserved this property they only preserved 18,000 square feet of it. What we're going to do is we're going to expand that and
we're going to preserve 40,000 square feet of Mr . Krome's original property.

Now, as you can see, I'll just stick with this, I'll be walking a bit around so forgive me, this property is located approximately two miles east of the Urban Development Boundary. Now the Urban Development Boundary is very important because the Urban Development Boundary is what our County Commission has decided sets the demarcating line between urban uses and rural uses.

Our County Commission and the County in its planning function has determined that urban uses such as residential, office and retail should occur within the urban development boundary in order to preserve the more agricultural uses that are outside of the Urban Development Boundary.

Now, one of the things about the Urban Development Boundary that's very important is that it preserves the location of urban uses.

The County is sandwiched between two national parks. You have the Everglades on the west and you have Biscayne National Park on the east.

And in addition to that, what you end up having is a situation where we have a limited land supply with a rapidly growing population.

The number one goal of your Comprehensive Development Master Plan and your future land use element is to preserve and protect the needs of the present and future population of this County.

Now, my colleague Al Torres is passing out a survey that was conducted by staff who analyzed the population growth within the County between 2010 and 2015.

And I'll wait for you guys to receive it so we can discuss it together.

Now, I would invite you to turn to Page 19 which is the tab page of that study. And what you will see as a detailed analysis is the population growth in this County between 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015.

And if you do the analysis and you calculate the averages of the population growth, what you will see is that 34,000 people are moving into this County every single year.

Now, if you take that practically and if you understand what that means on an everyday basis, that means that 93 people are moving into this County every single day. That means every single month we are having 2604 people who move into this County.

Every single one of those individuals are going to have to be able to be provided residences. They're going to have to have a place to live. They're going to have to have a place where they can work. They're going to have to have a place where they can be entertained.

And all of those uses have to occur within the Urban Development Boundary. Those uses cannot occur outside of the UDB because outside of the UDB we have a policy of preserving our agriculture uses.

Now, $I$ want to speak a little bit about the land use designation on this property. And the land use designation within the County and all throughout the State of Florida is very, very important because the land use designation essentially serves as the Constitution for development in this County.

You cannot have a development that is inconsistent with your land use designation. You cannot have a zoning that is inconsistent with your land use designation.

Everything that you do within this County, every plan that we have taken, every step that we have moved forward with requires us to be in
compliance with your land use designation.
So, what is the land use designation of this property? This property is designated EU for estate density which allows one unit, a minimum of one unit per acre and a maximum of 2.5 units per acre.

The zoning of this property, however, is AU and AU allows one unit per every five acre and that is inconsistent with your Comprehensive Development Master Plan.

Objective LU-4 that I'm passing out of your Comprehensive Development Mater Plan essentially states that we have a requirement to eliminate inconsistent uses within the County. This is our opportunity to do that. We can eliminate the inconsistent use of the agriculture zoning on the property and allow it to be rezoned to something that implements the Constitution of this County, which is the estate density.

Now, I'm going to speak very briefly on some of the due diligence that was done on the agriculture on this property.

Our clients, when they looked into the avocado development that's there, the avocado trees, what we found was that Laurel Wilt, which is a disease
that comes in based off of beetles who come into the property, those beetles end up killing all of the Avocado trees. As a result all development has to occur in order to prevent the passage and the transfer of these beetles onto other Avocado grooves in order to ensure that the Laurel wilt does know destroy the development.

Now, I do want to talk about the estate density zoning classification because there's an implementation -- they're implementing zoning classifications that must be applied.

There are three zoning categories that implement estate density. They're EU-1, which are one acre lots; they're EU-S, 25,000 acre -- I mean, 25,000 square feet gross, and $E U-M, 15,000$ square feet net.

The question that arises based off of this is which one is the most appropriate? Which zoning classification should we apply to a piece of property that is designated estate density? Now, I'm going to wait for this handout to be passed out because $I$ think it's pretty important with respect to what we're talking about here as to what's the most appropriate zoning classification for this site.

And what you see on this document essentially states that intersection of section line roads, which is where we are, shall serve as focal points of activity hereafter referred to as activity load. Of the various residential densities which may be approved in a section through density averaging or on an individual site basis, the higher density residential uses should be located at or near the activity load.

So, based off of your Constitution, based off of the Constitution that the County has implemented, the most appropriate zoning category that apply to this property should be EU-M because it allows 15,000 square feet lots.

Now, although we would be entitled to build 15,000 square foot lots, we wanted to be able to provide a development that will be responsible, that would be in keeping with the character of the community. So what we did was after meeting with the neighbors we changed substantially our plans and limited the number of lots and increased the size of the square footage.

So first, when we first came before you -when we first came before you about a couple of months ago we had no site plan. It was just a
straight rezoning. Today we have a site plan that limits our development to 53 lots. Mind you, there are already two existing homes on the property. So what we're really doing is only adding 51 lots, even though based off the EU-1 classification we would be entitled to build 67 or more lots if we applied for the Workforce Housing Density Bonus.

In addition, even though we're rezoning to EU-M, which allows 15,000 square foot lots, the minimal lot size on our property is going to be 19,266 square feet. The average lot size is going to be 23,179 square feet, over half an acre. And the largest lot is going to be 40,044 square feet. So the largest lot is going to be approximately one acre.

In addition, what we have done is that we've taken, as I've noted earlier, we've taken out the sidewalks and the street lights all throughout the development, and we're going to ensure that that streetlight and sidewalk elimination -- that that street and sidewalk elimination is preserved by or Declaration of Restrictions.

In addition, when we first came before you there was no buffer between our property and the Tropical Audubon's property, which is here on the
southeast corner of the property. We've added a buffer and we've provided Declaration of Restrictions that's going to tie the development to that buffer.

In addition, when we came before you the last time there was no covenant restricting our ability to use Workforce Housing Density Bonuses. There was no restriction preventing us from using Severable Use Rights which would allow us to reduce the lot size of our properties.

Today we come before you with a covenant that provides for the elimination of using SURs on this property and the elimination of using Workforce Housing Density Bonuses.

In addition, the last time we came before you we were not going to preserve more than 18,000 square foot -- we were not going to provide more than the 18,000 square foot lots for the Krome residence. We didn't have that obligation to do so. But today what we're going to do is preserve the historic residence on a 40,000 square foot lot.

In addition, the last time we came before you, we were not providing any direct offsite roadway improvements. Today what we're going to do is we're going to provide an offsite roadway
improvement between the intersection of southwest 292 nd Street and Krome Avenue to provide a right turning lane.

And then finally, the last time we came before you there was no minimum household size. In the Declaration that's before you we are now going to set a minimum size of 2800 square feet and we anticipate that the household size range is going to be between 2800 square feet and 3600 square feet.

But if that was not enough, in addition, what we've said is that in order for us to modify this plan, we would have to obtain the signatures of 75 percent of the neighbors within a 500 foot radius of this property in order to change it.

I have never, quite frankly, seen a developer do this much to deal with all of the objections that have been raised, to take a real conscientious effort and take a look at what they can do to amend their plans to address the community's concerns.

Now, what we also did -- I'll just keep it with me. And what we also did was an analysis because on our team we like doing analysis. And what we determined was that if you look at our lot sizes and you do an analysis of a quarter mile,
what you will see is that the lot sizes that we are providing are equal to or greater than 41 percent of the lot sizes within a quarter mile radius of our site.

We think that this type of compromise, this type of revision, these types of steps by a developer represents the best of what the County has to offer.

Because what we're doing is that we're working with community. We're providing a development that's consistent with your Comprehensive Development Master Plan. We're providing for something that's compatible with the community and it's going to have no adverse impacts.

What we're showing you here are just some conceptuals of the homes that we anticipate developing on these properties. We anticipate that these types of homes are going to pay homage to the aesthetic tradition to the area and will demonstrate the type of rural character that is exhibited within the community.

Finally, with respect to environmental and traffic, your experts at the County took a hard look at this and they determined that no adverse impacts would occur. DERM took a look and
determined that there are no adverse environmental impacts of our development, and Public Works took a look at our development and determined that at a maximum the most traffic that we would develop would be 73 trips during the peak hour. And those 73 trips were actually when we were developing 67 lots. Not while we're developing the 53 lots. So, it's actually substantially less today. So, in conclusion, $I$ think given the location of the property within the Urban Development Boundary, given the continued population growth within the County, the substantial and significant revisions that we have made, we strongly believe that our requests are compatible with the surrounding areas, consistent with your Comprehension Development Master Plan and will provide no adverse impacts.

I thank you for your time and $I$ would like to reserve time for rebuttal. And we're all, of course, here to answer any questions.

Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.

Is anyone here to speak in support of this item? Anyone who would like to speak in support of this item?

MR. FORBES: Good evening. Ken Forbes, 1085 Northeast 42 nd Avenue, Homestead, Florida.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Could you get the microphone, please, or bring it down.

MR. FORBES: I rise to support this application. Let me just start out by saying that I represented this County on various Boards and committees, some being Miami-Dade Annex and Incorporation Task Force. I'm the Chair of the Naranja Lakes CRA. I am a member of the South Corridor Rapid Transit Project and I'm a member of the More to Explore of South Dade.

And in serving on these Boards I've been pretty much all around the County. I've heard input from a lot of County residents. And, of course, you know when the Naranja Lakes was making its efforts to expand its boundaries this was an area that was close to what we was trying to do. Because, again, our efforts are to basically address some of the blightness that is in the area. We're making a strong campaign to have people to come into the community both to work, live and play. So, therefore, we know that there has to be improvements made in the area in order to make the area inviting.

So, when we see applications such as these, these are the types of applications that support what efforts we already have going on in the community. And, so, it's important that we do come out, that we do support these.

And this particular property on the Naranja Lakes Community Redevelopment Agency, we have a responsibility to eliminate the slight -- I mean the slum and the black just a little east of this area here, but this is a blighted area. This is no more agricultural viable. This development is a tremendous improvement to this piece of property. So, I implore $y^{\prime}$ all tonight to basically approve this application for this area.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.

Anyone else to speak in support of this item? MS. THOMAS: Good evening, Council. My name is Katrina Thomas. My address is 16810 Southwest 301 Street, Homestead, Florida, 33030.

I am here today to support this applicant. I am a long-term resident of the area. I was born and raised in this community. I attended Homestead and South Dade High School. I have actually left to go to college. I came back to this community.

I became a substitute teacher in the area and $I$ am now a full time teacher at Avocado Elementary.

I came here today because I feel like the community will benefit from the applicant. I feel that the area is -- the design of the area is a doable area. So I'm asking today that you would please consider this applicant.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Anyone else to speak in support of this item?
Anyone to support?
Any objectors?
Would you please come to the mike and state your name and address.

MR. BLAKLEY: My name is Jeff Blakley. My address 28590 Southwest 170 Avenue.

First $I$ would like to state that I'm a master gardener and the way to get rid of Laurel Wilt is not to develop property.

The University of Florida is working very hard on a cure for avocados and its huge industry in Dade County, as you probably know.

And, yes, you can get rid of Avocado Wilt by cutting them all down, but 1 will also tell you that there is no Avocado Wilt on this property.

Avocado Wilt strikes and more than two weeks the tree is dead. There are no dead trees on this property.

Now, as to my objections, I appreciate what the developer has done. That's a really big improvement from what they started out with, and I commend them for all their efforts.

However, the minimum lot size is 19,226 square feet and the maximum $I$ think is 40,000 . I feel that this falls into an EU-S category. I do not -I know that the neighbors would like to have $E U-1$. I don't think that's feasible but $I$ think EU-S is a very acceptable compromise.

And here is the reasoning behind my EU-S request. To the east of this property -- and I don't have a map and $I$ don't know if that's a map in front of you or not. But the northeast corner of 296 Street and 177 Avenue is an open vacant 40 acre parcel. It is owned by Luis Swezey (phonetic). There are plans to develop that. And I feel that even though this property here they have a covenant to have larger than minimum lot size, which is a good idea, but it's going to be the proverbial camel's nose under the tent, and Mr . Swezey is going to use this as a basis -- as a
precedent for asking for $E U-M$ zoning on the 40 acre parcel to the north and east. And I do not think that that's a good thing. I think that that's going to be a fight.

So, what $I$ would like to see, and I understand this is expensive, but $I$ would like to see the applicant came back with a request for EU-S zoning.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Next.
MS. MILONE: Hi. My name is Pat Milone, 29325 Southwest 202 Avenue, Redland. I've come before you guys before. I'll be brief.

My idea of a buffer is different from the applicants. I appreciate their efforts to make it a little bit more amenable but I'm one of those hard core ones where $I$ feel like we need a buffer called Redland's Edge and $I$ have a statement.

I've watched acre after acre of agricultural land rezoned and chopped up as it falls into developers hands. The Dade County Master Plan acknowledges the need to maintain a buffer area between ag and high density. Approval of this hatchet job will cause a domino effect as other properties along Krome would be on the chopping
block next. So, let's all work together to protect the buffer zone. Please deny this overdevelopment by requiring $E U-1$, one acre per each home.

I come before this Community Council today to oppose the applicant's request for our shrinking farm community. This plan is not the best. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you. MR. GREEN: My name is Steven Green. I'm here wearing three hats today.

The first is as a neighborhood resident, 25920 Southwest 193 rd Avenue. And in that capacity, even though I'm not right next to this property, 1 would be affected by the increased traffic along the major routes. I don't know who did the traffic assessment but $I$ can tell you for sure they have not driven on the major north/south avenues like Krome Avenue or the major east/west streets like Avocado Drive in recent times. There is no way that significant additional residents will not affect that traffic.

The second capacity in which I'm here is President of the Tropical Fruit Growers of South Florida, a non-profit association of over a hundred small farmers in the area. Our business address is
at the County Extension Office, 18710 Southwest 288 Street. And in that capacity I'd like to say that we are very concerned about any loss of agricultural lands and we're particularly concerned about a transition from agricultural lands to urbanized residential housing that does not recognize and accommodate strict buffer zones of large properties intervening between the normal urban environment and the agriculture environment.

And third, I'm a professor at the University of Miami, a biologist with a specialty in conservation and land use planning.

First as a biologist, the statement made by the proponents about Laurel Wilt disease are nonsensical, very creative nonsensical but nonsensical. They're akin to saying we can protect this area of old wooden houses from fire by burning them all down. It just doesn't make sense.

Secondly, as a planner, a conservation planner, the most important thing you can do to preserve the character of neighboring area is to not have abrupt transitions from one to another. You need a buffer zone that accommodates an intermediate design plan. My estimate in this case is that that 27 acres should have five to ten total
housing units on it to be effective as a buffer zone.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Next speaker.
MR. ROBINSON: Sidney Robinson, 23515
Southwest 162 nd Avenue.

I wrote a story -- letters to the editor about this particular property and it was logical that as being a buffer to the agriculture area the one acre slot would be advantageous, but I do agree with Mr. Blakley and his point of view that the EU-S zoning would be the most practical. The question we have in the future that northeast corner at some time or other is going to be probably into the same EU-M type of operation.

A question $I$ would like to ask the attorney and also the planning department is this: How many applications have already been approved for EU-M zoning that have not been built yet and they're on the books? How many? Do you happen to know that by chance? Maybe we can get an answer shortly.

There really is no need to add more land available for development if we already have property development already on the line.

Transitional zoning is very important because it's a buffer between the agricultural area and residential. So $I$ would suggest that the EU-S would be the most practical at this time for this application.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.

MR. TORCISE: David Torcise, 18001 Southwest 291 Street, Homestead, Florida, 33030.

Good evening and for the record I'd like to submit 123 signatures in opposition to the EUMM zoning. I would like to state my opposition to this application to be rezoned from AU to EU-M.

I live just steps away from the northern border of the subject property. The Redland district is an area I've grown up and now choose to live in.

In fact, my family has chosen to live in the area dating back to 1906 when my great grandfather Virgil Granell (phonetic) came from Philadelphia to the Redland and proved up 160 acres just west of Southwest 187 th Avenue between Southwest $296 t h$ Street and Southwest $288 t h$ Street.

And $I$ can tell you as someone who's lived here all my life that zoning the property EU-M is not
consistent with the Redland district surrounding area.

This property is located in the southeast corridor section of 157 east 38 south of unincorporated Miami-Dade County. And I did some research in the past on the size of the parcels located in the section not including the southeast corridor of the 15738 which this property takes up the majority acreage on, their combined total of 111.33 acres from 52 different parcels. The average size of each of these parcels is 2.14 acres. Of those 52 parcels only eight are under half an acre, which represents 15 percent. I wouldn't say that 15 percent is consistent with the area.

As you can see in the zoning map $I$ have before you, and this was taken from Miami-Dade County's website, the vast majority surrounding the Redland district area is zoned $A U$ or $E U-1$.

To further confirm this $I$ believe in a kit that you were given, it lists neighborhood characteristics, describes the surrounding area in the same manner. In every direction except south where the City of Homestead border begins the vast majority of the land is zoned $A U$ or $E U-1$.

Also, please keep in mind that this property is located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County Redland district and should only be compared to properties within this border, not the south border of City of Homestead.

The identity of the Redland district is historically and presently characterized as an agriculture area with large residential lots. Anyone driving through this area can see this.

Miami-Dade County recognizes the area as agricultural by displaying signs around the Redland area saying "Entering Redland Agricultural Area", which is the other sign $I$ provided for you.

This sign down here, this specific sign that $I$ have a picture of, is located at the interaction of Krome Avenue and Southwest 288 th Street which is the intersection just north of the subject property.

If $E U-M$ zoning is allowed to become the trend, the County may have to change the sign to "Entering Redland Residential Area or Formally Redland Agricultural Area".

I'm convinced that EU zoning is a disease for the Redland area. It is the Laurel Wilt to our avocado trees. It has the potential to kill the
area's identity and spread it to other parts of the section.

Just last month neighbors protested 10 acres in close proximity to the Krome property from being rezoned to $E U-M$. Some people might not be aware of but from people coming in before me there are also additional properties trying to get zoned to EU-M in the area. And we need to stop this before it gets out of control because this property here is just the tip of the iceberg.

We are at a crucial point regarding the development of this area. Please help us local residents combat the potential spread of the $E U-M$ disease in this area.

One last note about my great grandfather Virgil Granell who moved from Philadelphia to the Redland area. In a November 18th, 1923 Miami Herald wrote an article about his life up to that point. He states that the reason he moved from Philadelphia to this area was to escape the bustle and noise of the city for a place of its own in the pinewoods where it could have a log house and quiet. That same reason minus the log house is why many of us moved to area and why we still choose to live here today.

Thank you Council Members for your time.
MR. STEELE: Good evening. My name is Dewey Steele, 22320 Southwest 256 Street in Redland.

First I want to thank you all for your service. It's an important job that you do here on the Community Council and we thank you for that.

The CDMP sets standards and minimums and zoning rules, but as it says you are the Community Council and you are here to serve the community. The will of the community should always be considered. Because the CDMP may allow for many buildings on a certain property the community should always have the say in what happens in their neighborhood.

As development gets ever closer to the ag area, it becomes more difficult for the farmers to operate with increased traffic and housing to deal with.

A buffer zone between the ag area and urban zoning should help reduce the impact on farming. This would mean limiting a number of homes close to these areas. I would suggest limiting density to one house per acre. This will be a good compromise.

Please consider that urban growth doesn't pay
for itself. Some have said that an owner should be allowed to make the highest and best use of his or her land. This old adage does not pass the test when it comes to damage to the rest of the community. Please consider allowing no more than one house per acre on this application.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: For those of you who are applauding, Council rules, not mine, but Council rules state that you're not supposed to do that. So I would ask that you not do it.

Thank you.
MR. WANG: My name is Qingren Wang, address 1800 Southwest 292 nd Street.

What $I$ want to say is that the agriculture is very important to Miami-Dade County. Miami-Dade County is referred to as the winter bread basket and also salad bowl because of the agriculture.

Our agriculture in the Redland area, that property is right at the border of Redland. So we need to protect that. That's our history. That's our legend. We don't want to lose our land everyday. So that's big issue. Not only just behind my property but also agriculture is a bigger concern.

So, I'm strongly against but want to decrease the number of houses but increase the lot size.

So, just like Dewey mentioned about the one acre per house, that will be fine, because if somebody have that large property like myself still you grow some trees, you grow some vegetables, you know, garden. That's still kind of agriculture. So, we try to protect that.

So, thank you very much for your attention.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Anyone else wishing to speak?
MR. BASS: Good evening. I'm not here in support of or opposing the project tonight. I'm actually just a neutral onlooker. But to set the record straight, there was a comment made at the beginning when you opened this up for public comment by Mr. Blakley, I think that Mr. Swezey had plans to develop the 40 acre parcel to the east of his property, and that is not at all true. There's no plans at all right now to develop that property. So please take that into consideration.

MS. HENDERSON: Could You state your name and address.

MR. BASS: Oh, Jason Bass, 7735 Northwest 146th Street, Miami Lakes, Florida.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.

Anyone else wishing to speak?

MR. HOOD: Hello. My name is Gregg Hood. My address is 1770 Southwest $292 n d$ Street. I live adjacent to the property that is in question.

I, of course, support all of my friends on most counts and neighbors who object to this zoning change and land use change.

I don't believe that we need to negotiate quite yet. There are over 120 buildable lots that are for sale within a mile square radius of this property and there are over 320,000 units that are going to come online in Miami-Dade in the next 10 years.

I believe that no one has been able to prove that we need this property to be converted into residential zoning.

I would also like to point out some inconsistencies in the initial Staff Report regarding tree preservation. There was no landscape survey that was included in the disposition, and, therefore, the recommendation was on the condition that there were no tress impacted, which my understanding from the development plans would impact significantly well over 29 acres of
trees, living producing Avocado trees. That's from Ms. Christine Velasquez.

I would also like to point out that neighborhood compatibility from staff never in any way addresses impact on the Audubon Bird Refuge that's adjacent to this property that was also initially part of the William and Mary Krome Preserve.

I would also like to point out that the whole site, $I$ believe, was presented as a historic preservation site. It is very specific in the recommendation that the site is historic and not just the residence. This is all from Staff Report. I've highlighted these. I can pass these on to you if you'd like.

I'd also like to go to Zoning Recommendation Addendum from Persea Section 33.311 which states that the development permitted by application, if granted, must conform, must imply, implies that it will serve the public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered.

I'd also like to go to Item Number 2 which says that it will have to have a favorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of

Miami-Dade at that time.
And I would also like to skip ahead to Number 2 that there should really be a strong consideration to whether you're making the decision as a Council whether there is irreversible or irretrievable commitment of natural resources that will occur as a result of this change in development.

Thank you for your time and your consideration. This is an important matter and shouldn't be rushed.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Is there anyone else who would like to speak? Anyone else wishing to object to this application?

MR. BOURGOIN: My name is Dr. Donald Bourgoin.
My wife and I live at 358 Northwest 22nd Street, which is one street south of Avocado.

One of the big reasons why we bought our property is because of the quiet neighborhood and the fact that traffic is limited in the area.

Now, the proposal will change the whole fabric of our community. There is no question of that. It eliminates agriculture land which I'm not in favor of and it also offers no benefit to the community except for the land owners and the
developers, and they will all be gone after this property is developed.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Anyone else wishing to speak?

Seeing no one else the public hearing is closed.

I would first of all like to thank -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. GASSANT: My apologies. I wanted to reserve time for rebuttal.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
MR. GASSANT: So, thank you. I think that there were a couple of comments that were made by the individuals who came up in opposition to this application that $I$ think $I$ need to address.

The first one has to do with the Laurel Wilt, that there is no Laurel Wilt on this property. We have a document, and this is simply being put into the record as a rebuttal, from Jonathan H. Crane demonstrating and stating that our recommendation to homeowners is to remove and destroy the Laurel Wilt infected trees as they may act as a source of more pathogen contaminated, $I$ can't even say this word, Ambrosia Beetles and spread the disease to
additional trees. I'm going to provide that to staff so staff may have it.

Now, there have been some statements with respect to the fact or the idea that designating this property as $E U-M$ is going to have some sort of domino effect, that the moment that you change this property everything else is going to have a domino effect and become EU-M.

But what's apparent within this area is that you already have properties zoned EU-M within this area. In fact, you have properties within close proximity. And it's not in the City of Homestead. It's in Miami-Dade County.

So, as a matter of fact, if you look just west of the property, this portion right here is zoned EU-M. South of $296 t h$ Street this property EU-M. This is all Miami-Dade County. This over here is Homestead. These properties are zoned EU-M.

So, the concept that by rezoning this property to $E U-M$ is going to have a domino effect on the rest of the properties in the area is not supported by the facts of this area because you already have properties that are zoned EU-M.

Now, while people came up here and discussed the issue of overdevelopment, $I$ want us to really
consider the implications of what we have. There are going to be unintended consequences if we do not maximize the development.

Yes. That's perfect.
So, one of the things that we did particularly to address some of the concerns, and I'll turn this around so that the audience can see after, is that we specifically noted on this plan here the properties that are zoned EU-M within the area and the properties that are equal to or lesser than our lot sizes.

So the idea that there is going to be a domino effect upon the property or upon the adjacent properties by rezoning this property to EU-M is just not supported by the facts.

But in addition to that, $I$ want to talk a
little bit about unintended consequences.

Everybody here $I$ think wants to preserve agriculture land. Agriculture is important. I don't think that anybody would argue against that. But what the County has stated is that agriculture should be preserved and protected outside of the UDB line.

If we continue to say what we're going to do is we're going to provide lower density at this
area in the UDB, lower density at this area in the UDB, what's going to end up happening is the unintended consequences of pushing the UDB and further endangering the agricultural lands that are outside of the UDB.

There is no EU-M disease. EU-M is a method by which we are going to meet the needs of the present and future population of this County. It's not a disease to have a lot that's 15,000 square feet. Even if we're not developing that that's not a disease.

So anybody who would want to look to our property as a basis as precedent to say that they should be entitled to rezone to $E U-M$, they're also going to have to look at what we've done. And what have we done? We have provided significant and substantial revisions to our plan. We have provided a Declaration of Restrictions that is providing a buffer between our property and the Tropical Audubon Society. We're providing for a roadway improvement. We've reduced the number of lots on our property.

Even though the law will be behind us by just going before 67 lots, we decided that to respect the community, the fact that we met, that people
took the time to come out and meet with us, that we were going to address their concerns as best as we could.

Now, there was a comment towards the very end that the entire property is protected by the historic preservation. Again, my obligation is to remedy some of the misstatements. That document that $I$ 'm providing you demonstrates that the north portion, only 18,000 square feet of the property is historically designated. The entire site is not. There is no historic designation for the entire 27 acres of this property.

And, in fact, the Historic Preservation Board, the Board that understands the implications, the Board that is the expert in the implication of Historic Preservation, they do not have any objection. Any object to our application here tonight.

So, when we think about the comments that have been made, when we think about the issues that have been brought up, we have to remember that we are all operating within the shadow of the law. And what the law says is that you must have substantial competent evidence for whatever decision that you choose.

No one who came up here today presented themselves as an expert in either traffic, in Laurel Wilt. No one came here today and provided you with any substantial competent evidence that would support the denial of this application of what we presented.

Today we should be entitled to the rezoning, to the side setback request and for the variance of the street lights and the sidewalks that we've all provided at the request of the neighbors.

I thank you Council Members for your time and I appreciate the time that you have given us.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Thank you.
Public hearing is closed.

I first of all would like to thank the residents as well as the applicant for doing what we requested several months ago by coming together and trying to resolve some of these issues yourselves.

These applications are not easy to decide. And it always helps if the community tries to resolve it themselves between themselves and the applicant.

Having said that, it is also -- you have to --
and this is personal with me. I always look at the owners rights also. Everybody has a right to do what they like with their property as long as it does not harm the larger community around them.

So, you have to give some respect to that and I believe this property is still owned by someone related to the Krome family who has given a lot to this community, and that also $I$ believe should be taken into consideration.

I will now defer to my fellow Board Members. Comments?

COUNCILMAN DEVITO: I'd like to piggyback off of Mr . Lawrence's comments.

Both sides of the parties made very good arguments. These decisions are not easy. I would like to thank both sides of the parties. Both sides made very compelling arguments.

It is very nice to see when two parties -some of the consignments that have been presented from the original revision that makes for a nice community niche.

Anybody else has any comment?
COUNCILMAN DUFEK: Yes. First of all I got one question. With regards to your site plan, the three lots that are fronting on Krome Avenue, the
three lots that are fronting on Krome Avenue, according to your site plan here you're showing a right-of-way for Krome Avenue of 62 and a half feet on your side. Is that correct or haven't you been contacted by the DOT yet with regards to purchasing additional property to put in a four-lane road which they're in the process of doing right now?

I know for a fact that the road that they are proposing will not fit within the 62 and a half or actually 125 feet on both sides. So they're going to have to take additional property from one side or the other or maybe both sides. And I was wondering if that's going to impact those three lots and as a result cause smaller lots to be built.

And I cannot understand why the County would not have already looked into that issue because that is something of concern. You have not been contacted by the DOT on that issue?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good evening, Chair, Members of the Board, Leo Rodriguez of the Platting and Traffic Review Section of RER.

We have no knowledge of any of the right-of-way takings that DOT is doing along Krome Avenue.

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: The DOT has not contacted you on anything on that?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: NO.

MR. GASSANT: So, $I$ want to address the back end of your question first. Your question actually asks about the implication of what DOT is doing and you want to see and you want to know what the implication is going to be if they do take more.

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: That's right.

MR. GASSANT: We're going to be providing -we have already provided you with a Declaration of Restrictions that ties the lots to the site plan. So the lots that we're showing are the lot sizes that we're going to have to provide.

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: So, in other words, then if the DOT comes in and say we want another 50 feet on Krome Avenue on your side then you're going to lose those three lots?

MR. GASSANT: Look, the implication of that is going to be something that is tied to the Declaration of Restrictions. We are tied to that document. At the end of the day we are going to respond to the community. We're going to address the concerns that the community has made and we have made certain promises. We have signed a
document that has addressed those promises. And as result we have to develop to that Declaration of Restrictions.

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: My second question -MR. ARZA: Council Member, Hugo Arza, 701 Brickell Avenue. I'm Mr. Gassant's colleague representative. The owner has informed me that FDOT has contacted the owner, has purchased the land they need on 296 Street, but has told them that they do not need any of their land along Krome whether it's their intention to secure it from the eastside of the street or somewhere else, but the property owners have -- representatives have been contacted by FDOT, and, in fact, FDOT has purchased already what they need on 296 . So, to answer your question about whether -- that will not impact our site plan. That's why we're showing it that way. COUNCILMAN DUFEK: The second question $I$ have or the second concern $I$ have is a lot what the neighbors have is the property to the west of yours which is zoned EU-1 which is currently undeveloped. My concern is is that on your application with this EU-1 being undeveloped, once yours is developed at a higher density they're going to come in for the same thing. So, that's what my concern is.

Because you can see right here this is EU-1 but it's totally undeveloped and it could impact that piece of property by a higher density on yours.

MR. GASSANT: So, I'm going to address that concern. I think there are several issues and they are very distinct. The first is that we are at the intersection of two section line roads. The property to our west is not. The second is that for them to rezone their property they would have to come before this Board. So it's not as if they can just change that zoning in order to do what they want. They can't just say -- wake up one day and say hey, we like $E U-M$ and we're going to build to EU-M. They would be in violation. The County Attorney's Office will file an injunction and they would have to stop. So that is not something that I think is going to be impacted by our development directly because of the type of Declaration of Restrictions that we've impacted, that we provided, the fact that we're providing covenants that can provide much larger lots than $E U-M$, and the fact that we have gone through substantial and significant conversations and revisions on our plan.

Anybody who's going to do the EU-M within this
area is going to have to adjust to what we're doing here tonight. They're going to have to come before this Board. They're going to have to demonstrate to this Board that they have met with the neighbors. They're going to have to demonstrate to this Board that they have done the extensive work that we have done in order to remedy some of the issues and some of the concerns the neighbors have had.

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: Thank You.
MR. GASSANT: My pleasure.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: Now, I've got a few questions and comments. The term you just used where people have to adjust, that's like saying well, it's kind of like, you know, get over it. This is what we're going to come in here and we're going to do and everything just has to kind of, you know, basically in bland terms just suck it up and live with it.

There's one item that no one mentioned in conversation here, and since this application has been around since this past June it was at that time found that the City of Homestead is where the water and the sewage was originally to have come from. Now the application has been changed and
these properties will have their own individual private septic systems.

However, the portable water will be coming from the City of Homestead, which as of this past June was at capacity and could not provide for this subdivision. How come six months later now all of a sudden they seem to have found some water? So that would concern me as to $I$ don't understand how this is possible.

And as Mr. Dufek is saying, if any of these other areas in there change their zoning, $I$ don't care to what the zone is, and they decide they want to build, how is it possible that they will be able to get water from the City of Homestead when they still are supposed to be at capacity? That's one point. And $I$ don't think you can answer that. That's something to do with the City.

MR. GASSANT: So, I actually can answer.
COUNCILWOMOAN WATERS: Okay.
MR. GASSANT: We have a water allocation that's coming from the City of Homestead. A water allocation that essentially provides and states that we have the ability to serve your water needs. So the City of Homestead has already told us that they have the water capacity to serve our needs.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: I'd like to know why they said they didn't have it this past June? MR. GASSANT: I can't answer that.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: Did they offer to produce more water all of a sudden?

MR. GASSANT: All $I$ can do is say that they have provided us with the allocation.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: I find that kind of convenient.

Couple of other things that I'd like to say. When the County goes in and the planners decide that parcels of land are turned from what they had been to estate density, it doesn't necessarily mean that that is the smart plan for property.

In fact, many of the planners sit downtown, the work that they do, and I applaud them for what they do, I'm not taking anything away from them, but it's far different to look at aerial maps, to look at Google maps, to look at anything you might want to, $I$ don't care if you're riding over the property in a helicopter and you're looking at it, it's never the same as if you've got boots on the ground and you actually see what's there.

Redland is what Redland is. And as far as applauding, $I$ applaud Mr. Torcise for the fact that
he wants things to stay that way. His family has been in the Redland area for decades. They're one of the large farmers in that area. They, in fact, also have a parcel of land not too far from this one that's for sale and it is set up in such a way to where it's being advertised as a five acre plot for one home. That's what Redland is all about. People don't want these subdivisions. And the fact that you have gone from 67 homes down to 53 that makes you sound like the nice guy.

But, in fact, what's going to end up happening, you are putting 53 homes in an area where $I$ would bet you the minimum price on any of those homes is probably going to be in the 600,000 plus price range. I'd like to know people that are actually going to be willing to spend over half a million dollars for a home that is going to have a major expressway just on the eastside of them and from what this other gentleman who was in favor of this application is calling a blight area. Please. If you want to call something blight go to the northern parts of Dade County. You can find plenty there. Homestead is not blighted. It does have some more negative areas but $I$ don't see how that's consider blight. There are plenty of agricultural
uses around there. There is still income to be made from the farming and agricultural profession.

I do understand the issue with Avocado tress. I'm in agriculture myself. I understand it very well. And by the same token $I$ understand the land owner and their right to be able to sell something. We should not be able to dictate to them what they can do with it.

However, turning an almost hundred year old property that has been well known by the Krome family, and I respect everything that they have ever done in this area of Homestead, it's just sad to see that developers want to build out everything that they can get their hands on. And I don't agree with the idea of what they choose to do with these by putting in these expensive homes.

If the other fellow says that this is a blighted area, are you going to want to move in there and spend 600,000 plus and live in a blighted area? Obviously somebody's got an idea as to who they think they're going to be able to sell these expensive homes to. Because there is nothing in that area that's $\$ 600,000$ unless you get on a five acre estate home that's been there and they have turned it into a magnificent estate. I don't think
homes in that area fits.
MR. GASSANT: So, I want to address a variety of the questions that you've raised. The first is on the price. We anticipate that the pricing for these units are going to be between 425,000 and $\$ 475,000$.

The second has to do with the fact that the Court issued that we have to deal with, everybody in Miami-Dade County has to deal with, is that we're rapidly growing and we have an obligation within this County to provide everyone with a residential place where they can live.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: Let me interject something there for a second. There is this rule, written rule, in fact, that says that we have to provide a supply of homes for those that are coming.

I only recently learned that. I thought that that had come from the politicians in Dade-County. That came from the State of Florida. The State of Florida doesn't know Dade County anymore than we know downtown Tallahassee where the politicians are making all of these laws and rules for us, and the philosophy is, is people think that oh, well, we have to build, people are coming so we have to
build. No, we don't. We are so overbuilt and so overrun with traffic that all we're doing is is we're creating a bad problem and making it that much worse everyday.

And if you have -- the first range that you're just talking -- you're still talking in and about $\$ 500,000$. And from what $I$ have heard since June on this application, those homes are going to be more expensive than that because now you've even got a lot size that's larger so you're going to have to recoup more of your money.

But people that have the capacity to spend that amount of money for a home typically finance it and they are no jobs that I'm aware of in the Homestead area that can provide enough income to pay a mortgage on a $\$ 500,000$ house.

So, those very people are going to be the ones in their cars that are going to be driving all over everywhere else to get to where they're going. And there is no transit system that can pick them up at their doorstep. See you can't say that they're going to take the train.

MR. GASSANT: So, there's a lot packed in there. I want to do it as much justice as I'm capable of.

The first is with respect to the State sort of direction and directive. This is actually a directive of Miami-Dade County. Miami-Dade County is the number one goal of your Comprehensive Development Master Plan and your future land use element is to meet the needs of the present and future population.

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: True.
MR. GASSANT: And as a result Miami-Dade County, under its Constitution for land development requires the County to address the residential needs of the population as it continues to grow.

With respect to who's going to be able to purchase these homes, any developer, any and every single developer who is in the business of developing communities has an obligation to provide a proforma, to do an analysis of being able to think about who they think is going to be able to purchase these homes and at what price range they anticipate that these homes would be sold.

Our clients are brokers and they have extensive, extensive experience in selling homes. They have extensive experience in understanding the market. I actually don't know if anybody understands the south Dade market as well as our
clients who are here today.
In addition, when we're talking about providing for homes for the growing population, we have to be able to take into account what is left within the Urban Development Boundary. You talked about a home that's going to be for sale. It's one home on five acres. That's agricultural. And that agricultural home can exist outside of the UDB and that's the purpose of the UDB line. The very purpose that we -- the main reason why we have the UDB.

The reason why we're constantly at odds, let me not say at odds, the reason why we're constantly having robust conversations about holding the line, expanding the UDB, is to ensure that we are able to preserve the important agriculture uses that we have outside of Urban Development Boundary.

But when we're talking about development in the Urban Development Boundary, we have to be able to utilize those resources to meet the population needs. And because we're rapidly growing we all have an obligation whether it's North Miami-Dade, whether it's central Miami-Dade, whether it's South Miami-Dade, we all have an obligation to provide for those residential units. And $I$ think that this
balance development does that.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Anyone else?

COUNCILMAN DEVITO: I have to applaud you on this, to come up with these consignments and do all the legwork for that. That's quite remarkable.

MR. GASSANT: That's really a testament to out clients.

COUNCILMAN DEVITO: The community has made their voice and as a representative of the community I'm prepared to make a motion. I'm going to motion that we deny this request without prejudice and $I$ urge you and your client to go back to the drawing board.

I urge my colleagues to hear everyone that came out and made their voices heard on this item and my motion is set to deny.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: There's a motion on the floor. Is there a second to it?

COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: I second it.

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Okay. There's a motion to second on the floor.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman DeVito?

COUNCILMAN DEVITO: Yes, I deny.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Dufek?

COUNCILMAN DUFEK: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Waters? COUNCILWOMAN WATERS: NO.

Wait a minute. Pardon me. I did it backwards. I did it backwards. Pardon me. I take that back. Yes.

MS . HENDERSON: Vice-Chair Bell?

VICE-CHAIR BELL: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Chair Lawrence?

CHAIRMAN LAWRENCE: Yes.

MR. GASSANT: Thank You Chairman and Members of the Board.

MS. HENDERSON: Motion denied without prejudice.
(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
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| 45:7 | 18, 48:7, 50:1, | 4:10, 46:24, 47:2, | 6:14, 16:16, 16:22, | streetlight ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:20 |
| rid [2] - 24:18, 24:23 | 53:23, 54:24, 55:13, | 48:12, 49:17 | 7:9, 17:11, 17:12, | streets [1] - 27:18 |
| riding [1] -53:20 | 57:21 | situation [1] - 11:2 | 7:13, 18:17, 18:18, | strict [1] - 28:7 |
| right-of-way ${ }^{[2]}$ | Seeing [1] - 40:6 | six [1] - 52:6 | 8:21, 19:7, 19:9, | strikes [1] - 25:1 |
| 47:3, 47:24 | seeking [1] - 6:15 | size [13]-16:22, | 5:8, 37:11, 43:9, | strong [2]-22:21, |
| Rights [1] - 18:9 | seem [1] - 52:7 | 7:10, 17:11, 18:10, | 44:9 | 39:3 |
| rights [1] - 46:2 | sell [2] - 55:6, 55:21 | 5, 19:7, 19:8, | SS [2] - 62:6, 63:6 | strongly [2] - 21:13, |
| rise ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:5 | selling ${ }_{[1]}-58: 22$ | 25:8, 25:23, 31:6 | staff $[6]-3: 9,10: 1$, $12 \cdot 6,38: 4,41 \cdot 2$ | 36:1 |
| road [2] - 47:6, 47:8 | sense [1]-28:18 | :11, 36:2, 57:10 | :4, | study [1] - 12:12 |
| roads [2] - 16:2, 50:7 | septic [1]-52:2 | sized [1] - 5:10 | STAFF [2]-1:21, 2:8 | subdivision [1] - 52:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { roadway }[3]-18: 23, \\ & 18: 25,43: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { serve }[5]-16: 3,34: 9 \\ 38: 20,52: 23,52: 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sizes }[5]-19: 25,20: 1, \\ 20: 3,42: 11,48: 13 \end{gathered}$ | Staff [2] - 37:19, 38:13 <br> staff's [1] - 9:25 | subdivisions [1] - 54:8 |
| roadways [2]-9:23, | serves [1]-13:17 | skip [1] - 39:2 | stand [2] - 3:6, 6:12 | subject [2]-30:15, |
| 10:11 | service [1] - 34:5 | skipped ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:5 | standards [1]-34:7 | 32:17 |
| Robinson [2]-2:19, | serving ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:13 | slanderous [1]-5:1 <br> slight ${ }_{\text {[11 }}$ - 23.8 | start [1] - 22:6 | submit [1] - 30:11 |
|  | set $[4]-19: 7,36: 14$, | slight [1]-23 | started [1] - 25:6 | bmitted [1] - 5:10 |
| robust [1] - 59:14 | 54:5, 60:16 <br> setback [2] - 9:4, 45:8 | slum [1] - 23:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State }[8]-13: 15, \\ & 56: 20,58: 1,62: S \end{aligned}$ | substantial [6] - 8:2, 21:12, 43:17, 44:23, |
| ROCCO [1] - 1:18 | sets [2] - 11:9, 34:7 | small [1] - 27:2 | 62:21, 63:10, 63:1 | 45:4, 50:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RODRIGUEZ [2] - } \\ & 47 \cdot 20 \quad 48 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | Severable [1] - 18:9 several [2]-45:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { smaller }_{[1]}-47: 14 \\ & \text { smart }_{[1]}-53: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { state }[8]-6: 24,24: 13, \\ 24: 17,30: 12,35: 10, \end{gathered}$ | substantially [3] - $9: 10,16: 20,21: 8$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rodriguez [3] - 1:24, } \\ & 2: 11,47: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 50:5 <br> sewag | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Society }[1]-43: 2( \\ & \text { sold }[1]-58: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36: 22,62: 5,63: 6 \\ \text { statement }[5]-3: 24, \end{gathered}$ | $\text { substitute }[1]-24: 1$ $\text { suck [1] }-51: 18$ |
| roll ${ }_{[2]}-3: 9,3: 24$ | shadow [1] -44:22 | someone [2] - 30:24 | 4:5, 4:23, 26:18, | sudden [2]-52:7, |
| ROOM [1] - 1:4 | shall $[3]-4: 24,5: 13$, | 46:6 | 8: | 53:5 |
| routes [1] - 27:15 <br> rule [2] - 56:14, 56 | sh | somewhere [1] 49:12 | states [5] - 14:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggest [2]-30:3, } \\ & 34: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| rules [4]-34:8, 35:9, | showing [4] - 20:15, <br> 47:2, 48:13, 49:17 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 2,33: 19,38: 17, \\ & 52: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supply [2] - 11:25, } \\ & 56: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 10,56: 23 \\ & \text { rural [2] - 11:10, 20:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 47:2, 48:13, 49:17 <br> shrinking [1] - 27:5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { sort }[2]-41: 5,58: 1 \\ \text { sound }[1]-54: 10 \end{array}$ | stating [2] - 9:14, | 56:16 <br> support [13]-6:22, |
| rushed [1] - 39:11 | $\text { side }[7]-8: 6,9: 4,$ | source [1] - 40:23 |  | $21: 23,21: 24,22: 5,$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:2, 23:5, 23:17, } \\ & \text { 23:21, 24:10, 24:11, } \\ & \text { 36:13, 37:6, 45:5 } \\ & \text { supported }[2]-41: 21, \\ & \text { 42:15 } \\ & \text { SUPPORTERS }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 2: 13 \\ & \text { supposed }[2]-35: 10, \\ & 52: 15 \\ & \text { SUR }[1]-8: 24 \\ & \text { surrounding }[4]- \\ & 21: 15,31: 1,31: 18, \\ & 31: 22 \\ & \text { SURs }[1]-18: 12 \\ & \text { survey }[2]-12: 6, \\ & 37: 21 \\ & \text { SW }[1]-1: 5 \\ & \text { swear }[2]-6: 6,6: 8 \\ & \text { Swezey }[3]-25: 19, \\ & 25: 25,36: 17 \\ & \text { sworn }[7]-4: 24,6: 4, \\ & 6: 10,6: 12,6: 14, \\ & 6: 16,62: 11 \\ & \text { system }[1]-57: 20 \\ & \text { systems }[1]-52: 2 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \text { T } \\ & \hline \text { tab }[1]-12: 12 \\ & \text { takings }[1]-47: 24 \\ & \text { Tallahassee }[1]- \\ & 56: 22 \\ & \text { Task }[1]-22: 9 \\ & \text { teacher }[2]-24: 1, \\ & 24: 2 \\ & \text { team }[1]-19: 23 \\ & \text { ten }[1]-28: 25 \\ & \text { tent }[1]-25: 24 \\ & \text { term }[2]-23: 22,51: 13 \\ & \text { terms }[1]-51: 18 \\ & \text { test }[1]-35: 3 \\ & \text { testament }[1]-60: 6 \\ & \text { testimony }[1]-6: 16 \\ & \text { themselves }[3]-45: 2, \\ & 45: 23 \\ & \text { therefore }[2]-22: 23, \\ & 37: 22 \\ & \text { Thereupon }[4]-3: 7, \\ & 6: 15,7: 3,61: 14 \\ & \text { thereupon }[2]-3: 1, \\ & 4: 2 \\ & \text { third }[3]-9: 2,9: 9, \\ & 28: 10 \\ & \text { THOMAS }[1]-23: 18 \\ & \text { Thomas }[2]-2: 15, \\ & 23: 19 \\ & \text { three }[7]-8: 16,15: 12, \\ & 27: 10,46: 25,47: 1, \\ & 47: 13,48: 18 \\ & \text { throughout }[2]- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13:15, 17:18 <br> THURSDAY [1] - 1:3 <br> tie [1]-18:3 <br> tied [2] - 48:20, 48:21 <br> ties [1] - 48:12 <br> tip [1] - 33:10 <br> today [15]-17:1, <br> 18:11, 18:20, 18:24, <br> 21:8, 23:21, 24:3, <br> 24:6, 27:4, 27:10, <br> 33:25, 45:1, 45:3, <br> 45:7, 59:1 <br> together [3]-12:10, <br> 27:1, 45:18 <br> token [1]-55:5 <br> tonight $[5]-8: 16$, <br> 23:13, 36:13, 44:18, <br> 51:2 <br> took [4]-20:23, <br> 20:25, 21:2, 44:1 <br> TORCISE [1] - 30:8 <br> Torcise [3]-2:20, $30: 8,53: 25$ <br> Torres [2]-7:14, 12:5 <br> total ${ }_{[2]}-28: 25,31: 9$ <br> totally [1] - 50:2 <br> towards [1] - 44:4 <br> tradition [1] - 20:19 <br> Traffic [3]-1:24, 5:4, <br> 47:22 <br> traffic [9]-20:23, <br> 21:4, 27:14, 27:15, <br> 27:21, 34:17, 39:20, <br> 45:2, 57:2 <br> train [1] - 57:22 <br> transcript [2]-4:25, <br> 63:15 <br> transfer [1]-15:5 <br> transit [1] - 57:20 <br> Transit [1]-22:11 <br> transition [1]-28:5 <br> transitional [1] - 30:1 <br> transitions [1]-28:22 <br> transpired $[4]-3: 8$, <br> 4:3, 6:18, 7:5 <br> tree [2]-25:2, 37:20 <br> trees [9]-14:24, 15:3, <br> 25:2, 32:25, 36:6, <br> 38:1, 40:23, 41:1 <br> tremendous [1] - <br> 23:12 <br> trend [1]-32:19 <br> tress [2] - 37:23, 55:3 <br> tries [1]-45:22 <br> trips [2] - 21:5, 21:6 <br> Tropical [3] - 17:25, 27:23, 43:20 <br> True [1] - 58:8 <br> true [2]-36:19, 63:14 <br> trustee [1] - 7:15 | ```truth [3]-6:17, 6:18 try [1] - 36:8 trying [3] - 22:18, 33:7, 45:19 turn [2]-12:11, 42:6 turned [2]-53:12, 55:25 turning [2] - 19:3, 55:9 two [9]-9:22, 10:11, 10:16, 11:5, 11:20, 17:3, 25:1, 46:18, 50:7 Two [1] - 7:8 type \([5]\) - 20:5, 20:6, 20:20, 29:16, 50:18 types [3] - 20:6, 20:18, 23:2 typically [1] - 57:13```U <br> UDB $[12]-8: 13,13: 9$, <br> 42:23, 43:1, 43:2, <br> 43:3, 43:5, 59:8, <br> 59:9, 59:11, 59:15 <br> under $[3]-25: 24$, <br> $31: 12,58: 10$ <br> undeveloped $[3]-$ <br> 49:21, 49:23, 50:2 <br> unincorporated $[2]-$ <br> $31: 5,32: 2$ <br> unintended $[3]-42: 2$, <br> $42: 17,43: 3$ <br> unit $[3]-14: 4,14: 5$, <br> $14: 8$ <br> units $[5]-14: 5,29: 1$, <br> $37: 12,56: 5,59: 25$ <br> University $[2]-24: 20$, <br> $28: 10$ <br> unless $[3]-5: 9,5: 15$, <br> $55: 23$ <br> up $[20]-4: 13,11: 23$, <br> $15: 2,26: 20,30: 16$, <br> $30: 21,31: 8,33: 18$, <br> $36: 16,40: 15,41: 24$, <br> $43: 2,44: 21,45: 1$, <br> $50: 12,51: 18,54: 5$, <br> $54: 11,57: 20,60: 4$ <br> Urban $[10]-11: 6$, <br> $11: 7,11: 8,11: 16$, <br> $11: 17,13: 8,21: 10$, <br> $59: 5,59: 17,59: 19$ <br> urban $[7]-11: 10$, <br> $11: 12,11: 14,11: 19$, <br> $28: 9,34: 19,34: 25$ <br> urbanized $[1]-28: 6$ <br> urge $[2]-60: 12,60: 14$ <br> uses $[12]-11: 10$, <br> $11: 12,11: 15,11: 19$, <br> 13:7, 13:8, 13:11, | 14:14, 16:8, $55: 1$, <br> 59:16 <br> utilize ${ }_{[1]}-59: 20$ <br> V <br> vacant $[2]-10: 16$, <br> $25: 18$ <br> variance $[2]-9: 3,45: 8$ <br> variety $[1]-56: 2$ <br> various $[2]-16: 5$, <br> $22: 7$ <br> vast $[2]-31: 18,31: 24$ <br> vegetables $[1]-36: 6$ <br> Velasquez $[1]-38: 2$ <br> viable $[1]-23: 11$ <br> VICE $[6]-3: 3,3: 9$, <br> $3: 18,6: 6,6: 10,61: 7$ <br> Vice $[4]-1: 17,2: 5$, <br> $3: 17,61: 6$ <br> VICE-CHAIR $[5]-3: 3$, <br> $3: 9,3: 18,6: 6,61: 7$ <br> Vice-Chair $[4]-1: 17$, <br> $2: 5,3: 17,61: 6$ <br> view $[1]-29: 12$ <br> violation $[1]-50: 14$ <br> Virgil $[2]-30: 20$, <br> $33: 16$ <br> voice $[1]-60: 9$ <br> voices $[1]-60: 15$ <br> vote $[1]-5: 17$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:21, 30:21, 41:14, } \\ \text { 49:20, 50:8 } \\ \text { whole }[3]-6: 17,38: 9, \\ 39: 21 \\ \text { wife }[1]-39: 16 \\ \text { WILBUR }[1]-1: 17 \\ \text { William }[2]-7: 17, \\ 38: 7 \\ \text { willing }[1]-54: 16 \\ \text { Wilt }[12]-14: 25,15: 6, \\ 24: 18,24: 23,24: 25, \\ 25: 1,28: 14,32: 24, \\ \text { 40:17, } 40: 18,40: 23, \\ 45: 3 \\ \text { winter }[1]-35: 17 \\ \text { wishing }[4]-36: 11, \\ 37: 2,39: 14,40: 4 \\ \text { withdrawals }[1]-3: 25 \\ \text { WITNESS }[1]-63: 16 \\ \text { witnesses }[2]-4: 24, \\ 62: 10 \\ \text { wondering }[1]-47: 13 \\ \text { wooden }[1]-28: 17 \\ \text { word }[1]-40: 25 \\ \text { words }[1]-48: 15 \\ \text { Workforce }[4]-8: 25, \\ \text { 17:7, 18:7, 18:13 } \\ \text { Works }[2]-10: 2,21: 2 \\ \text { worse }[1]-57: 4 \\ \text { written }[1]-56: 15 \\ \text { wrote }[2]-29: 8,33: 18 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Zoning $[6]-5: 3,5: 13$, |
| :--- |
| $5: 14,10: 3,38: 16$, |
| $63: 11$ |
|  |
|  |
|  |

# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners 

| Appeal Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 8 |
| Applicants | SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is seeking to change the zoning from AU to BU-1A in <br> order to allow a commercial development with accompanying non-use <br> variances on a 7.12 acre portion of the subject property and to permit a <br> zone change from AU to RU-3M in order to permit a townhouse <br> development for 283 units on the remaining 24.20 acre parcel with <br> accompanying non-use variances. |
| Location | Lying in the Southwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 112 Avenue, <br> Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 31.32 gross acres |
| Existing Zoning | AU, Agricultural District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant parcel |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Business and Office <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Consistency | Consistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of the <br> CDMP |
| Applicable <br> Zoning | Section 33-311, District Boundary Change <br> Section 33-311(A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport <br> Regulations <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |

## CZAB Action

| CZAB 15 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| March 8, 2018 | Denial without prejudice |

## Staff Recommendation

| Recommendation <br> of the Appeal | Approval <br> Overrule the decision of the CZAB - requires a $2 / 3$ vote of the <br> BCC members present. <br> Approve the application as recommended by staff. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous <br> Recommendation <br> to the CZAB | Approval <br> (Original Staff Recommendation to the CZAB is attached) |

## Timeline

1. On March 8, 2018 the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#15, denied the application.
2. On March 13, 2018, the applicant, SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC, appealed the CZAB 15 decision to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).

## Analysis

For the reasons outlined in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and Zoning analysis of the attached staff recommendation, staff opines that the appellants' request for a reversal of the CZAB 12 decision should be approved.

# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to Community Council No. 15 

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission <br> District | 8 |
| Applicant | SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is seeking to change the zoning from AU to BU-1A in <br> order to allow a commercial development with accompanying non-use <br> variances on a 7.12 acre portion of the subject property and to permit a <br> zone change from AU to RU-3M in order to permit a townhouse <br> development for 283 units on the remaining 24.20 acre parcel with <br> accompanying non-use variances. |
| Location | Lying in the Southwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 112 Avenue, <br> Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 31.32 gross acres. |
| Existing Zoning | AU, Agricultural District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant <br> 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation |
| Business and Office <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |  |
| Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Consistent with the LUP map, and the interpretative text and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, <br> Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variance standards (see attached <br> Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Approval of requests \#1 and \#8, subject to the Board's acceptance <br> of the proffered covenant; approval with conditions of requests \#2 <br> through \#5, \#9 and \#10; and modified approval with conditions of <br> requests \#6 and \#7. |

## REQUESTS:

Requests \#1-7 on the Commercial Parcel

1. DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU to BU-1A.
2. NON-USE VARIANCE of zoning regulations requiring a continuous 5 -foot high masonry wall along the common property line where a business lot abuts an RU zoned lot; to waive the same along portions of the property lines that adjoin the proposed RU zoning district.
3. NON-USE VARIANCE of the zoning regulations requiring a continuous buffer consisting of a 6' high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties; to permit openings along portions of the property lines.
4. NON-USE VARIANCE to waive the zoning regulations requiring parking spaces with wheel stops; to permit certain parking spaces with bollards.
5. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit certain off-street parking spaces with a depth of $16^{\prime}$ ( $18^{\prime}$ required).
6. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit four (4), 200 sq. ft. detached point-of-sale signs and a 40 sq . ft. detached point-of-sale sign for a total of five (5) detached signs (2-200 sq. ft. detached signs or a 300 sq . ft. detached sign, plus an additional 40 sq . ft. detached sign permitted).
7. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit four (4) 200 sq . ft. detached signs to setback $10^{\prime}\left(20^{\prime}\right.$ required for all) from the rights-of-way.

Requests \#8-10 on the Residential Parcel
8. DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE from AU to RU-3M.
9. NON-USE VARIANCE of zoning regulations to permit townhouses with a minimum of 336 sq. ft. of patio open space ( 400 sq . ft. required).
10. NON-USE VARIANCE of the landscape regulations requiring a continuous buffer consisting of a 6 high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties; to waive same along portions of the property lines that adjoin the proposed BU zoning district.

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, Zoning Hearing Section, entitled "Artesa Phase II Site Plan," as prepared by Pascual Perez Kiliddjian \& Associates, Architects and Planners, consisting of 32 sheets, and "Artesa Pahse II" as prepared by Corwil Architects, consisting of 35 sheets, site plans entitled, "Orion - DNK" prepared by Consulting Engineering and Science, Inc., consisting of 4 sheets, landscape plans entitled "Commercial Site" consisting of 2 sheets, and landscape plans entitled "Artesa Phase II" consisting of 18 sheets, as prepared by Witkin Hults Design Group, with sheets SP-1, SA-1 and SP-2 dated stamped received 3/8/17, and remaining sheets dated stamped received, $12 / 19 / 17$, and sign plans entitled, "Commercial Shopping Center" as prepared by Thomas Sign \& Awning Co., consisting of a total of 6 sheets, dated stamped receive $5 / 18 / 17$, for a combined total of 97 sheets. Plans may be modified at Public Hearing.

## PROJECT HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION:

The subject property was designated to "Business and Office" through the April 2008 CDMP amendment Application No. 10 adopted by the Board of County Commissioners in May 2009 with the acceptance of a proffered Declaration of Restrictions that was recorded on May 21, 2009 at Official Record Book 26875, pages 3080 through 3093. The covenant includes a "Multiple Uses" provision that requires the property, subject to receiving zoning and other approvals, to be developed with a mix of uses that will include retail, office and community/institutional uses. The Covenant also explicitly provides that additional uses beyond retail, offices and community/institutional uses are not prohibited on the subject property. Therefore, residential development could be included among the mix of uses on the property as provided for in the Covenant. In addition, said covenant includes an exhibit with design guidelines for the development of the project.

The applicant has submitted a site plan showing a 7.12 acre commercial parcel on a portion of the subject property consisting of a $22,731 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. grocery store, a fast food restaurant and a gas/convenience store located in the northwest corner of the subject parcel. There are multiple access points to enter the commercial parcel from SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and from SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. In addition, the applicant is proposing a 283 unit fee-simple townhouse development on the remaining 24.20 acre parcel. The main access to the residential parcel is off of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street culminating at a gated entry which contains 225 ' of vehicular stacking. As part of this application, the applicant has proffered a Declaration of Restrictions which, among other things, will require the development of the property to be in substantial compliance with the submitted plans, require the commercial parcel to be subject to the submittal of an Administrative Site Plan Review (ASPR), restrict the residential density to 283 units, and require the installation of certain off-site roadway improvements.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Zoning and Existing Use | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | AU; vacant | Business and Office |
| North | RU-1; single family residences | Low Density Residential, <br>  |
| South | AU; Agriculture | Transportation |
| East | BU-3; vacant | Business and Office |
| West | RU-3M; single family residences and | Low Density Residential, |
|  | townhouses (under construction) | $2.5-6$ dua |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The subject property is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ street and SW 112th avenue. The surrounding area is characterized by single family residences to the north and west, vacant BU-3 property to the east and the Florida Turnpike Extension to the south.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicant to provide additional commercial and residential uses to the community. Based on memoranda from the departments reviewing this application, staff opines that approval of same will not create any significant traffic or environmental impacts on the surrounding area.

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

The subject property is designated Business and Office on the CDMP LUP map. The Business and Office category accommodates the full range of sales and service activities. Included are retail, wholesale, personal and professional services, entertainment and cultural facilities, amusements and commercial recreation. The CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for the Business and Office use also states that residential uses, and mixing of residential use with commercial, office and hotels are also permitted in business and office areas provided that the scale and intensity, including height and floor area ratio of the residential or mixed-use development, is not out of character with that of adjacent or adjoining development and zoning, and it does not detrimentally impact, and it provides a sensitive well designed transition to any adjacent or adjoining residentially developed or designated areas of different development intensity. Where these conditions are met, residential development may be authorized to occur
in the business and office category at a density up to one density category higher than the LUP map's designated density of the adjacent or adjoining residentially designated area on the same side of the abutting principal roadway, or up to the density of any such existing residential development, or zoning if the adjacent or adjoining land is undeveloped, whichever is higher. The BU-1A zoning district allows service stations and mini-marts, which is similar to retail, sales and service uses.

The submitted site plan consists of a 7.12 acre commercial parcel consisting of a $22,731 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. grocery store, a fast food restaurant and a gas/convenience store located in the northwest corner of the subject parcel. Staff opines that approval of the applicant's request to permit the proposed uses is compatible with the surrounding commercial and residential uses and will be consistent with the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for the Business and Office use.

In addition, the applicant is proposing a 283 unit fee-simple townhouse development on the remaining 24.20 acre parcel. Staff notes that the adjoining residential property to the west is designated for Low Density Residential use, 2.5 to 6 units per gross acre on the CDMP Land use plan map. For the reasons stated below, based on the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text for the Business and Office category, this portion of the subject property would be allowed to develop one category higher, at the Low-Medium Density Residential category which allows residential development at 6 to 13 units per gross acre. Based on the CDMP this would allow the applicants to develop this portion of the property with a maximum of 314 residential units. Staff notes that the proposed RU-3M zoning would permit a maximum of 12.9 units per acre which would allow 312 units on this portion of the subject property which is slightly below what the CDMP designation would permit. Therefore staff notes that the proposed density of 283 townhouse units is consistent with the CDMP interpretive text noted above.

Further, staff is of the opinion that the submitted site plan conforms with the CDMP Land Use Element's interpretative text for the Business and Office land use category that states that any residential proposal in Business and Office designated parcels not be out of character with the surrounding community, not detrimentally impact adjacent development and zoning and provide a sensitive well designed transition to any adjacent or adjoining residentially developed or designated areas of different development intensity.

Based on the foregoing analysis, staff opines that the proposed development will be adequately buffered and will not have a negative visual impact on the surrounding properties or on passersby along the adjacent roadways and would be compatible with the area based on the criteria set forth in the CDMP Land Use Element, Policy LU-4A. Therefore, subject to the acceptance of the proffered covenant, staff opines that approval of the application would be consistent with the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text, the CDMP covenant and the density threshold permitted for Business and Office on the CDMP Land Use Plan (LUP) map.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

When the request for a District Boundary Change to BU-1A (request \#1) on the 7.12 acre portion of the subject property, is analyzed under Section 33-311 of the Code, staff opines that the approval of this request would be consistent with the interpretative text of the CDMP and should be approved. This district boundary change would allow the applicant to establish a business and office use on a portion of the subject property; such use is not allowed under the current zoning designation, AU. Section 33-311 of the Code states that the purpose of the Code is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to among other things, lessen congestion on the highways and promote health, safety, morals, convenience and general welfare, with the view of giving
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reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses. Staff notes that there is also BU-3 zoned property to the east of the subject property adjacent to the proposed BU-1A zoning. As such, staff opines that approval of the request would not be out of character with and is compatible with the surroundings when considering the necessity and reasonableness in relation to the present and future development of the area concerned. Further, staff opines that approval of this request will not have a significant impact on the surrounding roadways or transportation facilities based on the recommendations and/or information contained in memoranda from the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER). Additionally, staff notes that the memorandum from the Environmental Division of RER indicates that the proposed rezoning meets the Level of Service (LOS) standards for an initial development order and therefore will not have an unfavorable impact on the natural resources of Miami-Dade County. Therefore, staff recommends approval of request \#1 under Section 33-311, Standards for District Boundary Change.

The applicant also seeks approval of Non-Use Variance requests to waive the zoning regulations requiring a 5 foot masonry wall along the common property line where a business lot abuts an RU-zoned lot (request \#2), to permit a 6 ' high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties (request \#3), to permit the facility with bollards in lieu of wheel stops (request \#4), to permit certain parking spaces to have a depth of $16^{\prime}$ where $18^{\prime}$ is required (request \# 5).

When the aforementioned requests (requests \#2 through \#5) are analyzed under section 33311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport Regulations, staff opines that approval of said requests would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning and subdivision regulations and not affect the stability and appearance of the community. Further, based on the analysis below, staff opines that approval of the aforementioned requests would be compatible with the residential uses along SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and with the commercially zoned BU-3 parcel adjacent to SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

Staff opines that approval of the applicant's request to permit certain parking spaces with bollards in lieu of wheel stops (request \#4) and to permit certain off-street parking spaces with a depth of $16^{\prime}$ where $18^{\prime}$ is required (request \#5), will not create any negative impacts on parking within the site. Staff notes that the 2 ' difference on certain spaces is because the vehicle overhangs over a grassed area and because the area is not hard-surfaced it therefore cannot be counted towards the depth of the stall but the spaces do meet the overall size. Further staff notes that the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic resources does not object to these requests and their memorandum does not indicate that approval of this request, or the overall application will have a negative impact on traffic circulation within the site, or on traffic on the surrounding roadways. Additionally the applicant seeks to waive a portion of a continuous 5 foot high masonry wall along the common property line where a business lot abuts an RU zoned lot (request \#2) and to waive a portion of a continuous buffer consisting of a 6 ' high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties exist (request \#3). This applies to the area between the rear of the commercial portion of the subject property and a portion of the residential property to the south. Staff notes that these 2 requests are mostly being met except for a small portion where there is a walkway and opening between the 2 parcels. Staff notes that one of the Design Guidelines in the CDMP covenant that was proffered in conjunction with the 2008 CDMP amendment required that the portion of the site devoted to retail uses be designed to include at least one open area or plaza capable of being used as a gathering place, farmer's market or similar open-air venue. At the rear of the commercial
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parcel adjacent to the residential parcel is a large open area to be used as a plaza as required by the CDMP covenant. As such, staff notes that the provided walkway and opening in the wall between these 2 parcels provides the residents of this community with the ability to enjoy and utilize this open plaza on the commercial parcel. Therefore, staff opines that the approval of these requests (requests \#3 and \#4) will not have a negative visual impact on the area as it is located internal to the site and it will also provide a benefit to the community by allowing the connectivity between the parcels. Staff therefore, recommends approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#5, under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), the Non-Use Variance Standards.

The applicant is also seeking to permit two (2) additional signs on the property than allowed by Code (request \#6) and to permit four (4) detached signs setback 10' from the rights-of-way where $20^{\prime}$ is required (request \#7). When these requests are analyzed under section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport Regulations, staff opines that a modified approval of said requests would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning and subdivision regulations and not affect the stability and appearance of the community. Staff notes that the applicant is seeking approval to permit four (4) 200 sq. ft. signs for the commercial parcel where two (2) 200 sq. ft. signs are permitted. Staff is of the opinion that four (4) detached signs of 200 sq. ft. each is excessive and unwarranted based on the 3 commercial uses that are proposed for the commercial parcel. Therefore staff recommends a modified approval for only three (3) 200 sq. ft . signs along with the 40 sq . ft . sign that is also allowed per Code. Staff notes that the modified approval of the requests which are the point of sale signs, will not create any new visual impacts along this section of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street that will be detrimental to the area. Staff notes that the property will be occupied by 3 entities and because of the extensive street frontage along a major roadway, the additional signage, and the location of the signs closer to the front property line, will better allow patrons travelling along SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street to locate the uses within this parcel. As such, staff opines that modified approval with conditions of requests \#6 and \#7 would not affect the appearance and stability of the surrounding community and would be compatible with same. Staff therefore recommends modified approval with conditions of requests \#6 and \#7 to permit three (3) 200 sq. ft. signs and to permit said three (3) signs closer to rights-of-way, under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), and a 40 sq. ft. sign.

When request \#8, a district boundary change to RU-3M on the 24.20 acre portion of the subject property is analyzed under Section 33-311 of the Code, staff opines that the approval of this request would be consistent with the interpretative text of the CDMP and should be approved. This district boundary change would allow the applicant to establish a residential use on a portion of the subject property. Section 33-311 of the Code states that the purpose of the Code is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to among other things, lessen congestion on the highways and promote health, safety, morals, convenience and general welfare, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses. As such, staff opines that approval of the request would not be out of character with and is compatible with the surroundings when considering the necessity and reasonableness in relation to the present and future development of the area concerned. Staff notes that north of the subject property is zoned RU-1 and developed with single family homes and west of the subject property is zoned RU-3M and under construction for a townhouse and single family home development. The applicant is proposing to develop the subject property with 283 fee simple townhouses which is similar to the other developments in the surrounding area. Further, as stated above, staff opines that approval of this request will not have a significant impact on the surrounding roadways or transportation facilities based on the recommendations and/or information contained in memoranda from the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER). Additionally, staff notes that the
memorandum from the Environmental Division of RER indicates that the proposed rezoning meets the Level of Service (LOS) standards for an initial development order and therefore will not have an unfavorable impact on the natural resources of Miami-Dade County. Staff also opines that based on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan land use designation of Business and Office, and for the reasons explained in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan Analysis section, the request for a zone change on the 24.20 acre portion of the subject property to a RU-3M is consistent with the CDMP designation and compatible with the trend of development in the surrounding area. Therefore, staff recommends approval of request \#8 under Section 33-311, Standards for District Boundary Change.

The applicant also seeks approval of Non-Use Variance requests to permit the proposed townhouses with 336 sq . ft. of patio open space where 400 sq . ft. is required (request \#9) and to waive the zoning regulations requiring a $6^{\prime}$ high wall, fence or hedge and trees where dissimilar land uses exist on adjacent properties (request \#10).

When the aforementioned requests (requests \#9 and \#10) are analyzed under section 33$311(A)(4)(b)$, Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport Regulations, staff opines that approval of said requests would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning and subdivision regulations and not affect the stability and appearance of the community. Staff supports request \#9, to permit a townhouse with a minimum patio area of 336 sq . ft. ( 400 sq . ft. required). Staff notes that this request is internal to the site, and opines that it is minimal when taking into consideration the grand scale of the proposed development. Staff opines that this development has many open space landscaped areas and a 32,874 sq. ft. park which would be sufficient to mitigate any impacts generated by this request as residents will be able to have more recreational options within this development. Staff further opines that approval of same will have minimal impact on the surrounding area, and would be compatible with same. Staff also supports request \#10 and notes that this is the same request as \#3 above except that it is being requested from the residential side of the development. Based on the same reasons outlined above, this request will not have a negative visual impact on the area as it is located internal to the site and it will also provide a benefit to the community by allowing the connectivity between the parcels. As such, staff recommends approval with conditions of requests \#9 and \#10 under Section 33311(A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport Regulations.

## ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING:

The submitted plans indicate the main entrance to the residential parcel will be off of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street aligned with SW $113^{\text {th }}$ Court. This entrance will share vehicular and pedestrian traffic associated with the townhouse development and will also have an entrance into the commercial parcel. There are also 2 other entrances to the commercial property off of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and another entrance off of SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER REVIEW: See attached.
OTHER: Not applicable.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of requests \#1 and \#8, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant; approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#5, \#9 and \#10; and modified approval with conditions of requests \#6 and \#7.

## CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL:

1. That a site plan be submitted to and meet with the approval of the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources upon the submittal of an application for a building permit and/or Certificate of Use; said plan must include among other things but not be limited to, location of structure or structures, exits and entrances, drainage, walls, fences, landscaping, and other requirements
2. Plans are on file and may be examined in the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, Zoning Hearing Section, entitled "Artesa Phase II Site Plan," as prepared by Pascual Perez Kiliddjian \& Associates, Architects and Planners, consisting of 32 sheets, and "Artesa Pahse II" as prepared by Corwil Architects, consisting of 35 sheets, site plans entitled, "Orion - DNK" prepared by Consulting Engineering and Science, Inc., consisting of 4 sheets, landscape plans entitled "Commercial Site" consisting of 2 sheets, and landscape plans entitled "Artesa Phase II" consisting of 18 sheets, as prepared by Witkin Hults Design Group, all dated stamped received, 12/19/17, and sign plans entitled, "Commercial Shopping Center" as prepared by Thomas Sign \& Awning Co., consisting of a total of 6 sheets, dated stamped receive $5 / 18 / 17$, for a combined total of 97 sheets. Plans may be modified at Public Hearing.
3. That the use be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan.
4. That the applicant submits to the Department of Regulatory and Economic resources for its review and approval a landscaping plan which indicates the type and size of plant material prior to the issuance of a building permit and to be installed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of use.
5. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements of the Department of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) of the Department of Regulatory and Economics in their memoranda dated January 16, 2018.
6. That the applicants comply with all applicable conditions and requirements from the Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as indicated in the memorandum dated January 26, 2018.


Nathan Kogon, AICP, Assistant Director
Development Services Division
Miami-Dade County Department
of Regulatory and Economic Resources
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| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> $(R E R)$ | No objection* |
| Platting and Traffic Review Section (RER) | No objection* |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT

| Agriculture (Pg. l-58) | The area designated as "Agriculture" contains the best agricultural land remaining in MiamiDade County. As stated in the Miami-Dade County Strategic Plan, approved in 2003 by the Board of County Commissioners, protection of viable agriculture is a priority. The principal uses in this area should be agriculture, uses ancillary to and directly supportive of agriculture and farm residences. Uses ancillary to and directly supportive of agriculture are defined as those uses related to preserving, processing, packaging or selling of agricultural products from Florida, and farm supplies, as well as sale and service of farm machinery and implements, subject to the requirements of Chapter 24 of the County Code. Uses ancillary to, and necessary to support the rural residential community of the agricultural area may also be approved, including houses of worship. However, schools shall not be approved in Agriculture areas but should be located inside the UDB in accordance with Policy EDU-2.A. <br> In order to protect the agricultural industry, uses incompatible with agriculture, and uses and facilities that support or encourage urban development are not allowed in this area. Residential development that occurs in this area is allowed at a density of no more than one unit per five acres. Creation of new parcels smaller than five acres for residential use may be approved in the Agriculture area only if the immediate area surrounding the subject parcel on three or more contiguous sides is predominantly and lawfully parcelized in a similar manner, and if a division of the subject parcel would not precipitate additional land division in the area. No business or industrial use should be approved in the area designated Agriculture unless the use is directly supportive of local agricultural production, and is located on an existing arterial roadway, and has adequate water supply and sewage disposal in accordance with Chapter 24 of the County Code, and the development order specifies the approved use(s); however, agricultural processing facilities for produce grown in Florida are not restricted to locating on an existing arterial roadway. Other uses, including utility uses compatible with agriculture and with the rural residential character may be approved in the Agriculture area only if deemed to be a public necessity, or if deemed to be in the public interest and the applicant demonstrates that no suitable site for the use exists outside the Agriculture area. <br> Also included in the Agriculture area are enclaves of estate density residential use approved and grandfathered by zoning, ownership patterns and platting activities which predate this Plan. The grandfather provisions of the Miami-Dade Zoning Code shall continue to apply in this area except that lots smaller than 15,000 square feet in area are not grandfathered hereby. Moreover, all existing lawful uses and zoning deemed to be consistent with this Plan unless such use or zoning: (a) is found through a subsequent planning study, as provided in Policy LU-4E, to be inconsistent with the foregoing grandfather provisions or with the CDMP as provided in the section of this chapter titled "Concepts and Limitations of the Land Use Plan Map". This paragraph does not, however, authorize the approval or expansion of any use inconsistent with this plan. To the contrary, it is the intent of this Plan to contain and prevent the expansion of inconsistent development in the Agriculture area. |
| :---: | :---: |
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| Policy LU-4A <br> (Page I-9) | When evaluating compatibility among proximate land uses, the County shall consider such <br> factors as noise, lighting, shadows, glare, vibration, odor, runoff, access, traffic, parking, <br> height, bulk, scale of architectural elements, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering, and <br> safety, as applicable. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Business and <br> Office <br> (Pg. I-40) | The Adopted 2020 and 2030 Land Use Plan designates the subject property as being within <br> the Urban Development Boundary for Business and Office. This category accommodates the <br> full range of sales and service activities. Included are retail, wholesale, personal and <br> professional services, call centers, commercial and professional offices, hotels, motels, <br> hospitals, medical buildings, nursing homes (also allowed in the institutional category), <br> entertainment and cultural facilities, amusements and commercial recreation establishments <br> such as private commercial marinas. |

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTSISTANDARDS

| Section 33-311 <br> District <br> Boundary <br> Change | (A) The Community Zoning Appeals Boards are advised that the purpose of zoning and regulations is to provide a comprehensive plan and design to lessen the congestion in the highways; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers, to promote health, safety, morals, convenience and the general welfare; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land and water; to avoid undue concentration of population; to facilitate the adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses and with a view to conserving the value of buildings and property and encouraging the most appropriate use of land and water throughout the County. <br> (F) Section 33-311 provides that the Board shall take into consideration, among other factors the extent to which: <br> (1) The development permitted by the application, if granted, conforms to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan for Miami-Dade County, Florida; is consistent with applicable area or neighborhood studies or plans, and would serve a public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered; <br> (2) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of Miami-Dade County, including consideration of the means and estimated cost necessary to minimize the adverse impacts; the extent to which altematives to alleviate adverse impacts may have a substantial impact on the natural and human environment; and whether any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of natural resources will occur as a result of the proposed development; <br> (3) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida; <br> (4) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, education or other necessary public facilities which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction; <br> (5) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly burden or affect public transportation facilities, including mass transit, roads, streets and highways which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction, and if the development is or will be accessible by public or private roads, streets or highways. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section 33- } \\ & 311(A)(4)(b) \\ & \text { Non-Use } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Upon appeal or direct application in specific cases, the Board shall hear and grant applications for non-use variances from the terms of the zoning and subdivision regulations and may grant a non-use variance upon a showing by the applicant that the non-use vanance maintains the |
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| Variances From | basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations, which is to <br> Other Than <br> protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of <br> Airport |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regulations. | surrounding land and provided that the non-use variance will be otherwise compatible with the <br> unnecessary hardship to the land is required d |
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$\begin{array}{ll}\text { To: } & \text { Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor/Director } \\ \text { Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources } \\ \text { From: } & \text { Jose Gonzalez, P.E. } \\ & \text { Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources } \\ \text { Subject: } \quad & \text { Z2017000139-2nd Revision } \\ & \text { SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC } \\ & \text { SW 248 } \\ & \text { District Boundary Change from AU to BU-1A and from AU to RU- } \\ & 3 M \\ & \text { (AU) (31.32 Acres) } \\ & 30-56-40\end{array}$
The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code). DERM has no objections provided that all the conditions contained herein are complied with.

## Condition for Approval

1. Approval of this district boundary change and associated site plan is contingent upon the proposed development connecting to the public water and public sanitary sewer system. To the extent that connection to the public sanitary sewer system is not approved due to a sanitary sewer moratorium, this memorandum shall not be interpreted as written approval from DERM to allow an alternative means of domestic wastewater disposal.

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Be advised that the required water main extension permit is issued by the Florida Department of Health. Civil drawings for the water main extension will need to be approved by the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department and the Environmental Permitting Section of RER.

Civil drawing for the required sewer main extension will need to be approved by Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department and the Environmental Wastewater Permitting Section of DERM prior to approval of final development orders.

Existing public water and sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

A DERM Surface Water Management General Permit shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any future development order approval. The applicant is advised to contact the DERM Water Control Section (305-372-6681) for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage must be provided for the 5 -year/1-day storm event. Site grading and development shall provide for the full retention of the 25 -year/3-day storm event and shall also comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all State and Federal Criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Site grading and development plans shall comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Applicant is advised that a DERM Class III Permit shall be required for any work within the Goulds Canal 6 -feet Wide Slab Covered Trench. Also, a DERM Class VI Permit may be required for the construction of the surface water management system.

The proposed surface water management system may require approval from DERM Pollution Remediation Section (PRS). It is the applicant's responsibility to contact the PRS at (305)372-6700 for additional information.

## Wetlands

A review of the USDA Soil Survey maps and a photographic aerial review of the property indicate that the subject property may contain wetlands as defined by Section 24-5 of the Code. A Binding Letter of Interpretation by the Coastal and Wetland Resources Section is required to determine whether the site contains wetlands. Therefore, a Class IV permit may be required before any work can take place on the property. The Coastal and Wetland Resources Section (305-372-6585) may be contacted for further information concerning the wetland permitting requirements.

The applicant is advised that permits from the Army Corps of Engineers (305-526-7181), the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (561-681-6600) and the South Florida Water Management District (1-800-432-2045) may be required for the proposed project. It is the applicant's responsibility to contact these agencies.

## Tree Preservation

A review of the information submitted in support of the application indicates impacts to tree resources, DERM has no objection to this application provided that the applicant obtains a Tree Permit and that no specimen trees (trees with a diameter at breast height of 18 inches or greater) are impacted. Should the
applicant require impacts to specimen trees, substantive changes to the site plan pursuant to the specimen tree standards outlined in Section 24-49.2(II)(2) of the Code shall be made.

A Miami-Dade County Tree Permit is required prior to the removal and/or relocation of any tree that is subject to the tree preservation and protection provisions of the Code. Projects and permits shall meet the requirements of Sections 24-49.2 and 24-49.4 of the Code, specifically the specimen tree standards. A recommendation of approval is contingent on the applicant obtaining a Tree Permit.

It is advised that pursuant to Policy Con-81 of the CDMP and Section 24-49.9 of the Code, should there be exotic pest plant and nuisance species as listed in Section 24-49.9 of the Code present on the subject property, they shall be removed prior to development or redevelopment and developed property shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species. DERM also recommends that this requirement be included in any zoning approval.

Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305)372-6574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.

## Environmental Monitoring and Restoration

There are records of current contamination issues at the site under DERM file HWR-888 (IStar). Any construction, development, drainage, and dewatering at the subject site will also require DERM review and approval as it relates to environmental contamination issues.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

# Memorandum 

| Date: | January 26, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Nathan Kogon <br> Assistant Director <br> Regulatory and Economic Resource Department |
| From: | Darlene M. Fernapdez, Pe. <br> Assistant Director <br> Department of Transportation and Public Works <br> DIC 17-139 <br> Name: SFI Palm Tree Farnos, ILL <br> Section 30 Township 56-South Range 40 East |

## I. PROJECT LOCATION:

The property is located at the southwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 112 Avenue.
II. APPLICATION REQUEST:

This application is requesting approval district boundary change from AU to $\mathrm{BU}-1$ within the commercial parcel and AU to $\mathrm{RU}-3 \mathrm{M}$ within the residential parcel.
III. EXISTING ROADWAYS SERVICEABLE TO THIS APPLICATION:

Access to this site is available from the north and south by SW 112 Avenue and from the east and the west by SW 248 Street.

IV, RECOMMENDATION:
Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) Traffic Engineering Division (TED) has recommended conditional approval subject to the comments within Section VII which need to be addressed prior to certificate of occupancy or certificate of use whichever comes first.
V. ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC GENERATION AND CONCURRENCY:
A. Trip Generation (Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

279 PM Peak Hour trips are generated by this application. This application meets the traffic concurrency criteria set for an Initial Development Order.
B. Cardinal Distribution

| North | $70 \%$ | East | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| South | $4 \%$ | West | $20 \%$ |

## VI. IMPACT ON EXISTING ROADWAYS:

## A. CONCURRENCY:

Station 9103 located on SW 232 Street east of US 1, has a maximum LOS "D" of 2780 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour, It has a current Peak Hour Period (PIP) of 126 vehicles and 19 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9103 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS " C ". The 39 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 126 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 19, equal 184 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 2330.

Station 9736 located on SW 112 Avenue north of SW 232 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of $\mathbf{3 5 8 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{2 8 8 1}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9736 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 60 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 2881 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 380, equal 3321 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS " C " whose range is up to 3420 ,

Station 9914 located on SW 248 Street east of SW 127 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "D" of 1670 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 537 vehicles and 7 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9914 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "B". The $\mathbf{5 5}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 537 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 7, equal 599 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "B" whose range is up to 1330 .

Station F-0008 located on US 1 south of SW 232 Street, has a maximum LOS "EE" of 4296 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 2435 vehicles and 219 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-0008 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 38 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 2435 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 219, equal 2692 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 3420.

Station F-0050 located on SW 112 Avenue north of HEFT/SR 821, has a maximum LOS "SUMA" of $\mathbf{3 5 8 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{1 6 7 5}$ vehicles and $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station F-0050 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 87 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1675 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 350, equal 2112 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 3420 .

## VII. TRAFFIC STUDY COMMENTS:

1. The findings from the study show that SW 248 Street and SW 117 Avenue will operate at LOS B with the installation of a traffic signal under the 2020 build conditions. There is a contribution for the construction of a traffic signal at this intersection. Verification of the contribution for this intersection has been confirmed, therefore, it is required that this intersection be signalized as part of our approval.
2. Within the traffic study the LOS and Delay values were missing in Appendix F for some of the tables for the intersection of SW 248 Street and SW 117 Avenue:
a. Table 2.1-SB approach during PM
b. Table 5.1-SB approach during both AM and PM
c. Table 5.2-SB approach during both AM and PM
3. The developer will construct eastbound right-turn lanes on SW 248 Street at SW 112 Avenue, SW 113 Court (project driveway) and the project's driveway connection east of SW 113 Court and will construct SW 248 Street a four-lane divided road along its frontage.
4. The developer will also construct a southbound right-turn deceleration lane on SW 112 Avenue for the development's driveway,
5. The traffic signal warrant analysis at the intersection of SW 248 Street and SW 113 Court, determined that the traffic signal is warranted, and therefore, will be installed by the developer.

## VIII. SITE PLAN CRITIQUE:

1. This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

## IX, STANDARD CONDITIONS:

A letter or a plan containing the following certification signed and sealed by a State of Florida registered engineer shall be submitted as part of the paving and drainage plans: "I hereby certify that all of the roads for the subject project comply with all of the applicable portions of the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook) regarding: design speed, lane widths, horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, stopping sight distance, sight distance, horizontal clearance, vertical clearance, superelevation, shoulder widths, grades, bridge widths, etc."
c: Raul A. Pino, PLS, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Superintendent of Schools Alberto M. Carvalho

Miami-Dade County School Board Dr, Lawrence S. Feldman, Chair Dr. Marta Pérez, Vice Chair Dr. Dorothy Bendross-Mindingall Susie V. Castillo Dr. Steve Gallon III Pella Tabares Hantman Dr. Martin Kart Lubby Navarro Mari Tore Rojas

Mr. Alberto J. Torres
Holland \& Knight
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Miami, Florida 33131

## Alberto.Torres@hklaw.com

## RE: PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC Z2017000139 LOCATED AT SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SW 112 AVENUE AND SW 248 STREET PH3017060100338 - FOLIO Nos.: 3060300000051, 3060300000070, 3060300000051

Dear Applicant:
Pursuant to State Statutes and the Interlocal Agreements for Public School Facility Planning in MiamiDade County, the above-referenced application was reviewed for compliance with Public School Concurrency. Accordingly, enclosed please find the School District's Preliminary Concurrency Analysis (Schools Planning Level Review).

As noted in the Preliminary Concurrency Analysis (Schools Planning Level Review), the proposed development would yield a maximum residential density of 283 single-family attached units, which generate 84 students: 43 elementary, 22 middle and 19 senior high students. At this time, the elementary school level does not have sufficient capacity to serve the application, whereas the middle and senior school levels do. However, a final determination of Public School Concurrency and capacity reservation will only be made at the time of approval of final plat, site plan or functional equivalent. As such, this analysis does not constitute a Public School Concurrency approval.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 305-995-7287.
Best regards,


NS: ns
L-319
Enclosure
cc: Ms. Ana Rijo-Conde, AICP
Mr. Michael A. Levine
Mr. Ivan M. Rodriguez
Miami-Dade County School Concurrency Master File

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools

## Concurrency Management System

Preliminary Concurrency Analysis
MDCPS Application Number: Date Application Received: Type of Application:

Applicant's Name:
Address/Location:
Master Folio Number:
Additional Folio Number(s):

## PH3017060100338 Public Hearing

Miami-Dade LG Application Number: Z2017000139 Sub Type: Zoning

PROPOSED \# OF UNITS $\underline{283}$
SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED UNITS: $\underline{0}$
SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED UNITS: $\underline{283}$
MULTIFAMILY UNITS: $\underline{0}$

| CONCURRENCY SERVICE AREA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CSA <br> Id | Facility Name | Net Available <br> Capacity | Seats <br> Required | Seats <br> Taken | LOS <br> Met | Source Type |$|$| 3621 | COCONUT PALM K-8 ACADEMY <br> (ELEM COMP) | -152 | 43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3621 | COCONUT PALM K-8 ACADEMY <br> (ELEM COMP) | 0 | 43 |
| 3622 | COCONUT PALM K-8 ACADEMY <br> (MID COMP) | -95 | 22 |
| 3622 | COCONUT PALM K-8 ACADEMY <br> (MID COMP) | 0 | 0 |
| 6761 | REDLAND MIDDLE | 336 | 22 |
| 7151 | HOMESTEAD SENIOR | 286 | 0 |

ADJACENT SERVICE AREA SCHOOLS

| 4581 | REDLAND ELEMENTARY | 11 | 43 | 11 | NO | Adjacent CSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 771 | WILLIAM A CHAPMAN ELEMENTARY | 3 | 32 | 3 | NO | Adjacent CSA |
| 73 | MANDARIN LAKES K-8 ACADEMY <br> (ELEM COMP) | 0 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA |
| 661 | CARIBBEAN K-8 CENTER (ELEM <br> COMP) | -240 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA |
| 4581 | REDLAND ELEMENTARY | 0 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA Five <br> Year Plan |
| 771 | WILLIAM A CHAPMAN ELEMENTARY | 0 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA Five <br> Year Plan |
| 73 | MANDARIN LAKES K-8 ACADEMY <br> (ELEM COMP) | 0 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA Five <br> Year Plan |
| 661 | CARIBBEAN K-8 CENTER (ELEM <br> COMP) | 0 | 29 | 0 | NO | Adjacent CSA Five <br> Year Plan |

*An Impact reduction of $\underline{\mathbf{2 4 . 1}} \mathbf{1 8}$ included for charter and magnet schools (Schools of Choice).
MDCPS has conducted a preliminary public school concurrency review of this application; please see results above. A final determination of public school concurrency and capacity reservation will be made at the time of approval of plat, site plan or functional equivalent. THIS ANALYSIS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY APPROVAL.

1450 NE 2 Avenue, Room 525, Miami, Florida 33132 / 305-995-7634 / 305-995-4760 fax / concurrency@dadeschools.net

Date: January 16, 2018
To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director Development Services Department of Regulatory and Economic Resourqes-(RER)
From: Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED ${ }^{\oplus}$ Green Associate Chief, Planning \& Water Certification Section ()
Water and Sewer Department (WASD)
Subject: Zoning Application Comments - Artesa Phase II
Application No. Z2017000139 - (Pre-app. No. Z2017P00040) - Revision No. 2

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject application. The information provided below is preliminary and it does not affect the Zoning Process. The applicant is advised to consult with their engineer and the WASD Plans Review staff to finalize points of connection and capacity approval.

## Application Name: Artesa Phase II

Location: The proposed project is located on approximately 31.32 acres at the southwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW 112 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue with Folios No. 30-6030-000-0051, 30-6030-0000070 and 30-6030-000-0091, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: Mixed-use residential/ commercial development consisting of 283 townhouses, 11,200 S.F. retail, 6,068 S.F. fast food restaurant, and a gas station. According to the land use consultant Mr. Alberto Torres, the proposed gas station will be a Wawa. The following breakdown of areas was used for the proposed 6,119 S.F. Wawa Gas Station: $(3,354$ sq.ft. for the gas station / convenience store and 3,668 sq.ft. of fast food restaurant). (Note: Currently, there is a WASD Agreement No. 23660 only for the residential portion of the proposed development consisting of 283 townhouses, which was offered to the developer on October 20, 2017. Per e-mail response received on December 28, 2017 from owner's representative Mr. Alberto Torres, the commercial portion of the proposed development will have a separate WASD Agreement.)

The total estimated water demand for the proposed project will be 57,378 (gpd).
Water: The proposed development is located within the WASD's water service area. The Alexander Orr Water Treatment Plant will provide the water supply. Currently, there is adequate treatment and water supply capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (1) of the CDMP.

There is an existing 16 -inch water main that abuts the property along SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street to where the developer may connect and extend 12 -inch water mains within the designated commercial portions of the property and 8 -inch water mains within the designated residential townhouse areas of the property in a looped water distribution system within proposed public right-of-way and/or acceptable easements within the developer's property, extending to SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and interconnecting to the existing 12 inch water main as a minimum second point of connection in order to provide service to the proposed development.

Any public water main extension within the property shall be sized as designated above. If two or more fire hydrants are to be connected to a public water main extension, then the water system shall be looped
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with (2) points of connection. Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the water system will be provided at the time of the applicant requests connection to the water infrastructure.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) letter was issued on October 20, 2017, only for the residential portion of the proposed development consistent with the scope of work ( 283 townhouses) provided under VWASD Agreement No.23660, Another WSC letter will be issued for the commercial portion of the proposed development at the time the applicant requests a WASD Agreement. The WSC letter shall remain active in accordance with terms and conditions specified in said certification. The required WSC is consistent with Policy CIE-5D and WVS-2C in the County's CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20-year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the Water Supply Certification Program please go to hltp:///www.miamidade.gov/water/water-supply-certification.asp

In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use and with landscape standards in accordance with Sections 8-31, 32-84, 8A-381 and 18 A and 18-B of the Miami-Dade County Code and consistent with Policies WS-5E and WS-5F of the CDMP, respectively.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program, please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/home.asp

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/waterconservation/library/instructions/water-use-efficiency-standardsmanual.pdf

Sewer: The proposed development is located within the WASD's sewer service area. The wastewater flows for the proposed development will be transmitted to the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (SDWWTP) for treatment and disposal. This WWTP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Currently, there is adequate sewer treatment and disposal capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (2) of the CDMP.

There are existing 8 -inch gravity sewers that abut the property at the western boundary, at SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Terrace and at SW $250^{\text {th }}$ Terrace to where the developer may connect in order to provide service to the proposed development, provided that there is sufficient depth and no obstacles that would preclude construction of the sewer system. If Unity of Title does not apply, then, any gravity sewer within the property shall be public and 8 -inch minimum diameter. Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the sewer system will be provided at the time of the applicant requests connection to the sewer infrastructure.

The sewage flow from the proposed development will be transmitted to Pump Station (P.S.) 1139. The projected sanitary sewer flows from this development will increase the NAPOT operating hours from 1.26 hrs, to 2.85 hrs. The Moratorium Code Status for said pump station is OK.

Connection to the sanitary sewer system is subject to the following conditions:

- Adequate transmission and plant capacity exist at the time of the owner's request consistent with policy WS-2 A (2) of the CDMP, Capacity evaluations of the plant for average flow and peak flows will be required. Connection to the COUNTY'S sewage system will be subject to
the terms, covenants and conditions set forth in court orders, judgments, consent orders, consent decrees and the like entered into between the COUNTY and the United States, the State of Florida and/or any other governmental entity, including but not limited to, the Consent Order entered on April 9, 2014 in the United States of America, State of Florida and State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. Miami-Dade County, Case No. 1:12-cv-$24400-\mathrm{FAM}_{\text {t }}$ as well as all other current, subsequent or future enforcement and regulatory actions and proceedings.
- Approval of all applicable governmental agencies having jurisdiction over these matters are obtained.

Any public water or sewer infrastructure must be within a public right-of-way, or within a utility easement.
Below please find additional links to the WASD portal, which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-development.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

Subject: Palm Tree Farms, LLC (\#Z17_139)

The Department of Solid Waste Management, Waste Operations, review of the abovereferenced item is provided below. Additional comments will be provided as needed. The DSWM has no objections to the proposed application.

Application: Palm Tree Farms, LLC is requesting a Zoning District Boundary change from AU (Agricultural/Residential 5 Acres gross) to BU-1A (Limited Business district) for a portion of the property, in order to construct a commercial shopping center. For the remainder of the property, the applicant is requesting a Zoning District Boundary change from AU to RU-3M (Limited Apartment House District), in order to construct an adjoining residential development consisting of 238 townhomes.

Size: The subject property is approximately 31.3 acres in size.
Location: The property is located on the southwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW 112th Avenue.

## Analysis:

## 1. Solid Waste Disposal

The Miami-Dade County Solid Waste Management System consists of both County facilities and private facilities under contract as follows: three Class I landfills (two owned by Waste Management Inc., of Florida) a Class III landfill, a Resources Recovery Facility waste to energy plant and associated ash monofill, and three regional transfer facilities. The Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM) does not assess or adjust estimated capacity requirements based on the impacts of individual developments. Instead, the Department maintains sufficient disposal capacity to accommodate five years of waste flows committed to the system through long-term interlocal agreements or contracts with municipalities and private waste haulers and anticipated non-committed waste flows. The latest Concurrency Status Determination issued on September 21, 2016, which is valid for one year, shows sufficient disposal system capacity to exceed the County's adopted level of service (five years of capacity). This determination, which is on file with the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department (formerly the Department of Planning and Zoning) is contingent upon the continued ability of the County to obtain and renew disposal facility operating permits from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, as needed.
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## 2. Garbage and Trash Collection Services

## Commercial Shopping Center

Should the Zoning District Boundary Change from AU to BU-1A be approved and a shopping center subsequently constructed on the properly, it would meet the definition of a "commercial establishment" as described in Chapter 15 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. Per the Code, the following is required of commercial establishments located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County:
"Every commercial and multi-family residential establishment shall utilize the solid waste collection services of either the proper governmental agency able to provide such services, or that of a licensed solid waste hauler authorized to perform such services by the Director of the Department." The DSWM does not generally service commercial establishments located in the Department's waste collection service area. The landlord or property owner, therefore, is required to contact a private hauler to provide waste and collection service.

## Residential Development

Should the Zoning District Boundary Change from AU to RU-3M be approved and a townhouse development constructed on the property, it would meet the definition of a "residential unit," (single family, townhome, etc.). According to Chapter 15 of the Code entitled Solid Waste Management, the residential units on the property will receive DSWM waste collection service. Twice weekly curbside or centralized waste collection, twice per year scheduled bulky waste collection service, and unlimited use of the 13 Trash and Recycling Centers are the services currently provided to residential units in the DSWM solid waste coliection service area.

## 3. Recycling

## Commercial Shopping Center

Pursuant to Section 15-2.3a of the Code, commercial establishments are required to provide for a recycling program, which shall be serviced by a permitted hauler. The recycling program for commercial establishments must include a minimum of three (3) materials chosen from the following:

1) High grade office paper
2) Steel (cans, scrap)
3) Mixed paper
4) other metals/scrap production materials
5) Corrugated cardboard
6) Plastics (PETE, HDPE-natural, HDPE-colored)
7) Glass (flint, emerald, amber)
8) Textiles
9) Aluminum (cans, scrap)
10) Wood

Applicants are strongly advised to incorporate adequate space and facilities in their building plans to accommodate the required recycling program. Requests for approval of modified recycling programs must be made directly to the Department at 305-514-6666.

Section 15-2.3 of the Code states that the failure of a commercial establishment to provide a recyciing program or a modified recycling program pursuant to Section 15-2.4 hereof shall constitute a violation of this section for which the property owner and the owner(s) and operator(s) of the commercial establishment shall be jointly and severally liable.

## Residential Development

The DSWM provides curbside recycling services to residential units located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County through a private contractor. The single stream recycling program currently includes separation of glass, aluminum cans, steel cans, plastic bottles, newspaper and phone books. Further information may be obtained on the Department's website at http://www.miamidade.gov/solidwaste/recycling.asp. Applicants are strongly advised to incorporate adequate space in their building plans to accommodate the recycling program (i.e. somewhere for residents to store their recycling carts or, in the case of centralized waste collection with dumpster containers, a permanent space for recycling carts or larger receptacles).

## 4. Waste Storage/Setout Considerations

Section 15-4 of the Code requires that plans for storage and collection of solid waste be adequate before a building permit may be issued. Site plans must address location, accessibility, number and adequacy of solid waste collection and storage facilities. The site plan legend must contain the following statement: "Facilities for the collection and storage of solid waste are shown in accordance with Section 15-4 of the Miami-Dade County Code."

## 5. Site Circulation Considerations

It is required that development plans associated with this project incorporate at least one of the following traffic circulation criteria to minimize the reversing of waste vehicles and hence, provide for the safe circulation of service vehicles:
a. Cul-de-sac with a minimum 49 foot turning radius (no "dead-ends")
b. "T" shaped turnaround 60 feet long by 10 feet wide
c. Paved throughway of adequate width (minimum 15 feet)

In addition, any and all alleyways designed with utilities, including waste collection, provided at the rear of the property should be planned in accordance with standard street specifications with sufficient width and turning radii to permit large vehicle access. There should be no "dead-end" alleyways developed. A sufficient waste set-out zone should be preserved between the edge of the pavement and any possible obstructions (such as parked cars, fencing, etc.,) that would interrupt or preclude waste collection.

## Memorandum

| DATE: | July 19, 2017 <br> TO: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Carl Harrison |  |
| Zoning Services Plans Processor Analyst |  |
| Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |  |

## Project Description

The applicant, SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC, is seeking to rezone the subject property to permit commercial and residential development in substantial accordance with their proposed plan of development. The property is approximately 31.3 gross acres and is located on the southwest corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

The proposed development plan includes approximately a 6.8 acre commercial component with the remainder of the property consisting of 283 fee-simple townhouses. As such, the applicant is seeking approval of a district boundary change from AU (Agricultural District) to BU-1A (Limited Business District) on 6.8 acres and a district boundary change from AU to RU-3M (Minimum Aprtment House District) on the remaining 24.5 acres. In addition to these district boundary changes, the applicant is seeking approval of certain non-use variances of the zoning and subdivision regulations that include, but are not limited to, variances to permit individual townhouse lots to contain less than 400 sq.ft. of required private open space.

## Current Transit Service

The nearest transit service to the subject property is provided by Metrobus Route 70, which operates along SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The nearest bus stop location relative to the subject property is located on the north side of the intersection of SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street. The service headways for Metrobus Route 70 are listed in the table below.

# Metrobus Route Service Summary <br> DIC Project No. Z2017000139 

|  | Service Headways (in minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Route(s) | Peak <br> (AM/PM) | Off-Peak <br> (middays) | Evenings <br> (after <br> $8 \mathrm{pm})$ | Overnight Saturday |  | Sunday | Type of Service |
| :---: |
| 70 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Notes: } & \text { L means Metrobus local route service } \\
\text { F means Metrobus feeder service to Metrorail } \\
\text { E means Metrobus express/limited stop bus service } \\
\text { Decermber } 2016 \text { Line Up }
\end{array}
$$

## Recent and Future Transportation/Transit Improvements

The draft 2017 Transit Development Plan (TDP) does not list any recently implemented or future planned improvements for Metrobus Route 70.

## DTPW Pre-Application Comments

Metrobus Route 70 serves the subject site and currently provides bus service with a 30-minute or better AM/PM peak-hour headway. Therefore, this application meets the adopted mass transit level of service standards as prescribed by Policy MT-1A of the Mass Transit Sub-element of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). This project has been reviewed by DTPW for mass transit concurrency and was found to be concurrent with the mass transit level-of-service standards established for Miami-Dade County.

Therefore, DTPW has no objections to this application.
c: Monica D. Cejas, P.E., Chief, Planning and System Development, DTPW
Gerald Bryan, Section Chief, Service Planning and Scheduling, DTPW
Eric Zahn, Transit Planning Section Supervisor, DTPW
Nilia Cartaya, Principal Planner, DTPW
Date: $\quad$ August 10, 2017

| To: | Jack Osterholt, Director <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Ammad Riaz, P.E. <br> Chief of Aviation Planning <br> Aviation Department |
| Subject: | DIC Application 17-139 |
|  | SFI Palm Tree Farms |
| MDAD DN-17-08-2471 |  |

As requested by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) has reviewed Developmental Impact Committee (DIC) Zoning Application Number 17-139, SFI Paim Tree Farms, LLC. The applicant is requesting a district boundary change from AU to $\mathrm{BU}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{RU}-3 \mathrm{M}$; and a Non-Use Variance as reflected on the site plan. The site is located on the Southwest corner of Southwest 248 Street and SW 112 Avenue in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The size of the property is approximately 31.32 gross acres.

MDAD does not object to the requests provided that the applicant complies with all federal, state and local aviation regulations including the Code of Miami-Dade County, Chapter 33, as it pertains to airport zoning.

C: Jorge Vital, DIC Coordinator, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Mercy Arce, Holland \& Knight LLP

AR/rb


According to the revised letter of intent dated December 4, 2017, the applicant is seeking a district boundary change from AU (Agriculture) to BU-1A (Limited Business District), AU to RU-3M (Minimum Apartment House District), and non-use variance requests on an approximate 31 -acre parcel of land located on the southwest corner of SW 248 Street and SW 112 Avenue in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

## SERVICE IMPACT/DEMAND

(A) Based on development information, the overall development is expected to generate approximately 88 fire and rescue alarms annually. Although the estimated number of alarms results in a moderate impact to existing fire and rescue service, current stations serving this area will be able to absorb the additional number of alarms.
(B) Based on data retrieved during calendar year 2017, the average travel time to the vicinity of the property was $6: 27$ minutes. Performance objectives of national industry standards require the assembly of 15-17 firefighters on-scene within 8 -minutes at $90 \%$ of all incidents. Travel time to the vicinity of the property complies with the performance objective of national industry.
(C) A suspected fire within this project would be designated as a building dispatch assignment. Such an assignment requires four (4) suppression units; one of which must be an aerial, and the other three may be a combination of engines, tankers, ladders or aerials. Additionally, the assignment will require one (1) rescue and a battalion commander. This assignment requires twenty (20) firefighters and officers.

## EXISTING SERVICES

| STATION | ADDRESS | EQUIPMENT | STAFF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 70 | 11451 SW 248 Street | Rescue, Battalion | 4 |
| 5 | 13150 SW 238 Street | Rescue, Engine | 7 |
| 34 | 10850 SW 211 Street | Rescue, Aerial | 7 |
| 55 | 21501 SW 87 Avenue | Engine | 4 |

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

(A) Fire Engineering \& Water Supply Bureau reviewed and approved the revised site plan entitled 'Artesa Phase II Site Plan' as prepared by Pascual Perez Kiliddjian \& Associates, dated stamp received December 22, 2017 and uploaded to EnerGov on December 27, 2017.
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(B) This plan has been reviewed to assure compliance with the MDFR Access Road Requirements for DIC applications. Please be advised that during the platting and permitting stages of this project, the proffered site plan must be reviewed by the Fire Engineering \& Water Supply Bureau to assure compliance with the Florida Fire Prevention Code (FFPC) and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards, including all applicable conditions set forth during the DIC review process.

For additional information, please contact Mr. Carlos Heredia, Planning Section Supervisor at 786-3314544.

| SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC | N/A <br> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. <br> APPLICANT <br> Pending <br> ADDRESS |
| :--- | :--- |
| ZATE | HEARING NUMBER |

FOLIO: 30-6030-000-0091/30-6030-000-0070/30-6030-000-0051
REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:
December 28, 2017
NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:
Folio No.s: $30-6030-000-0091 / 30-6030-000-0070 / 30-6030-000-0051$
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.

## BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:

Folio No.s: 30-6030-000-0091/30-6030-000-0070/30-6030-000-0051
There are no open/closed cases in BSS.

## VIOLATOR:

SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC
OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:
There are no outstanding liens or fines.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal, stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note; Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC, a Florida limited liability_company*

| NAME AND ADDRESS | RECEIVED Percen | tage of Stock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iStar, Inc., a Maryland corporation <br> (*iStar, Inc. is the sole member of the LLC) |  | ic company listed New York Stock ne :STAR). |

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

TRUST/ESTATE NAME: $\qquad$

NAME AND ADDRESS


If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where partner(s) consist of other partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$

NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership, list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER: Orion Buying Corp.
NAME AND ADDRESS (if applicable) Percentage of Interest

[^1]

Beneficiaries:

```
Joseph Sanz - 16 2/3 %
Kevin Sanz - 162/3 %
Barry Brant - 16 2/3 %
Lee Katsikos - 16 2/3 %
Larry Detty - }16\mathrm{ 2/3%
Michael Nadel - 16 2/3 %
```

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership, list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having ultimate ownership-interests).


Date of contract: $03 / 13 / 2016$
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust:

NOTICE: For changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application ty the best of my knowledge and belief.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2 day of MAy , 2017. Affiant is personally known to me or has produced $\times \times$ as identification:

"Disclosure shall not be required of 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand (5,000) separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent ( $5 \%$ ) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST**

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trusts), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [

Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

TRUST/ESTATE NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS

> Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$

If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partners) consist of another partnerships), corporations), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

## PARTNERSHIP OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

 NAME:NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
11 bel-L/2
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TYPICAL 7 UNIT BUILDING ELEVATIONS
Sawoh dvnnsi





|  |  |  |  |  |  | \|r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 品 |  | TYPICAL 8 UNIT BUILDING ELEVATIONS |  |  |  |




$\square$

TYPICAL 9 UNIT BUILDING ELEVATIONS




$\square$


ज









| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $7 / 7$ |
|  |  | 7 |



| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $9 / 1$ |
|  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |




This instrument was prepared by:

Name: Juan J. Mayol, Jr. Address: Holland \& Knight LLP<br>701 Brickell Avenue<br>Suite 3300<br>Miami, Florida 33131

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SF I Palm the Fans, ill } \\
& \text { z17-139 I ten H3 } \\
& \text { CC } \\
& \text { April } 26.2018
\end{aligned}
$$

(Space reserved for Clerk of Court)

## DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS

WHEREAS, the undersigned owner, SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Owner"), holds the fee simple title to that certain parcel of land in unincorporated Miami-Dade County (the "County"), which is legally described in Exhibit "A" to this Declaration (the "Property");

WHEREAS, the Property is to be developed with a residential component, which is legally described in Exhibit "B" to this Declaration (the "Residential Parcel"), and a commercial component, which is legally described as Exhibit "C" to this Declaration (the "Commercial Parcel");

WHEREAS, the Property is currently designated Business and Office on the Land Use Plan (LUP) map of the Adopted Components of the Miami-Dade County Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), which designation was approved pursuant to CDMP Application No. 10 of the April 2008 CDMP Amendment Cycle;

WHEREAS, the approval of CDMP Application No. 10 included the proffer of a Declaration of Restrictions (the "CDMP Declaration"), which is recorded in Official Records

Book 26875, Pages 3080-3093, a copy of which is attached to this Declaration as Exhibit "D.
WHEREAS, the CDMP Declaration requires, in part, the development of the Property with mixed-uses, including retail, office and community/institutional uses (community or government offices; community center; library; public, charter or private elementary and secondary schools; park/recreational uses; or similar uses) as may be approved by the County in accordance with certain Design Guidelines, which are referenced in Paragraph 2 of the CDMP Declaration;

WHEREAS, the Owner has filed an application with the County's Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (the "Department"), which application is currently pending under Public Hearing Application No. Z2017000139 (the "Application"), for the purpose of seeking the rezoning of the Property and other zoning approvals to facilitate the future development of the Property as a mixed use project;

WHEREAS, the Owner has submitted detailed plans for the future development of the Property.

NOW, THEREFORE, IN ORDER TO ASSURE the County that the representations made by the Owner during its consideration of the Application will be abided by, the Owner freely, voluntarily, and without duress, hereby makes the following Declaration of Restrictions (the "Declaration") covering and running with the Property:

1. Controlling Site Plan for Residential Parcel. The Residential Parcel shall be developed substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Artesa Phase II Site Plan," as prepared by Pascual Perez Kiliddjian \& Associates, Architects and Planners, Consulting Engineering and Science, Inc., Corwil Architects, and Witkin Hults Design Group, with sheets SP-1, SA-1 and SP-2 dated stamped received 3/08/17, and remaining
sheets dated stamped received December 19, 2017, consisting of a total of eighty-five (85) sheets (the "Residential Plans"), as may be modified at the public hearing on the Application.
2. Site Plan for the Commercial Parcel. The Commercial Parcel shall be developed substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "Orion - DNK Commercial - SW Corner of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $112^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Miami, Florida," as prepared by Consulting Engineering and Science, Inc. ("CES"), Witkin Hults Design Group, and Thomas Sign \& Awning Co., dated stamped received December 19, 2017, consisting of a total of twelve (12) sheets (the "Commercial Plans"), as may be modified at the public hearing on the Application. Subject to the limitations set forth herein, prior to the issuance of a building permit for any building within the Commercial Parcel, the Owner shall obtain approval of an application for administrative site plan review (ASPR) from the Department in accordance with Section 33-251.5 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, whether or not such approval would otherwise be required by Section 33251.5 or any other provision of the Code.
A. The Site Plan shall depict the proposed improvements, but may show out parcels for future development in accordance with the Code. Future development of such out parcels will not be subject to any additional site plan review under this Paragraph, but will be subject to all applicable Code provisions in effect at the time of the development of the out parcels.
B. The plans submitted for the ASPR shall conform to the CDMP Declaration, including the Design Guidelines which are referenced in Paragraph 2 of the CDMP Declaration.
C. Nothing in this Declaration shall require the Owner to obtain site plan approval for the development of the Commercial Parcel prior to the approval of a tentative plat or final plat for the Commercial Parcel, which tentative plat and final plat may include any required
lake/retention area tracts or utility tracts.
3. Residential Density. Notwithstanding the approval of the Application, no more than 283 dwelling units may be constructed on the Property.
4. Ownership and Maintenance of Roadways Common Areas. In the event of multiple ownership, a homeowners' association, a special taxing district, a community development district or similar entity shall be established in accordance with applicable regulations to assure that all common areas and facilities for the use of all residents within the Residential Parcel shall be maintained in a continuous and satisfactory manner, and without expense to the general taxpayer of Miami-Dade County. The instrument incorporating such provisions shall be approved by the County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency and shall be recorded in the public records of Miami-Dade County.
5. Pedestrian and Vehicular Access. The Owner agrees to provide permanent and safe access for pedestrian and vehicular traffic within the Property at all times. Access shall also be provided at all times to fire, police, health, sanitation, and other public service personnel and vehicles. Furthermore, all streets or access ways within the Property shall be installed by the Owner, including, but not limited to, sidewalks, drainage facilities, water, sewers, and fire hydrants, subject to the approval of the appropriate departments of Miami-Dade County.
6. Roadway Improvements. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of use and occupancy for any building on the Commercial Parcel, the Owner shall cause the construction of an additional lane of pavement on the north one-half of SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street to enhance the existing westbound flow of traffic on said roadway. The Owner's obligations under this Paragraph shall be subject to (1) the availability of the right-of-way necessary to accommodate the required roadway improvements; (2) the approval by the Director of the Department of Transportation
and Public Works ("DTPW"), or successor department, of a credit in lieu of payment against the roadway impact fees that will be assessed by the County in connection with the development of the Property; and (3) the Director's right to waive, modify or extend the timing for the improvements for good cause shown.
7. Installation of Traffic Signal. Prior to the approval of a final plat for the Property, the Owner shall submit to DTPW a traffic signal warrant study to evaluate the feasibility of the installation of a traffic signal at SW $248^{\text {th }}$ Street and SW $113^{\text {th }}$ Court (the "SW $113^{\text {th }}$ Court Traffic Signal"). If DTPW concurs that a traffic signal is warranted, the Owner shall be responsible for the installation of the traffic signal at the Owner's expense. A bond for the estimated cost of signal installation must be posted prior to final plat approval. The signal must be installed within twelve (12) months following the approval of the traffic signal plans by DTPW. The Owner's obligations under this Paragraph shall be subject to (1) the availability of the right-of-way necessary to accommodate the required signal installation; (2) the approval by the Director of the DTPW, or successor department, of a credit in lieu of payment against the roadway impact fees that will be assessed by the County in connection with the development of the Property; and (3) the Director's right to waive, modify or extend the timing for the improvements for good cause shown.

## 8. Miscellaneous.

A. County Inspection. As further part of this Declaration, it is hereby understood and agreed that any official inspector of Miami-Dade County, or its agents duly authorized, may have the privilege at any time of entering and inspecting the use of the Property to determine whether or not the requirements of the building and zoning regulations and the conditions herein agreed to are being complied with.
B. Covenant Running with the Land. This Declaration on the part of the Owner shall constitute a covenant running with the land and shall be recorded, at Owner's expense, in the public records of the County and shall remain in full force and effect and be binding upon the undersigned Owner, and its heirs, successors and assigns until such time as the same is modified or released. The restrictions contained within this Declaration, while in effect, shall be for the benefit of, and constitute limitations upon, all present and future owners of the Property, and for the benefit of Miami-Dade County and the public welfare. The Owner, its heirs, successors and assigns, acknowledge that acceptance of this declaration does not in any way obligate or provide a limitation on the authority of the County.
C. Term. This Declaration shall run with the land and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under it for a period of thirty (30) years from the date this Declaration is recorded, after which time it shall be extended automatically for successive periods of ten (10) years each unless the Declaration has been modified or released as provided in Paragraph 8 (D) below.
D. Modification, Amendment, Release. This Declaration may be modified, amended or released as to the Property, or any portion thereof, by a written instrument executed by the, then, owner(s) of the Property, or such portion as may covered by the proposed modification, amendment or release, including joinders of all mortgagees, if any, provided that the same is also approved by the Board of County Commissioners or Community Zoning Appeals Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, whichever by law has jurisdiction over such matters, after a public hearing, or the Director as provided by the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances. Notwithstanding anything in this Declaration to the contrary, any modification, amendment or release that affects solely the Residential Parcel shall not require the joinder or consent of the
owner(s) of the Commercial Parcel. Similarly, notwithstanding anything in this Declaration to the contrary, any modification, amendment or release that affects solely the Commercial Parcel shall not require the joinder or consent of the owner(s) of the Residential Parcel.

Should this Declaration be so modified, amended or released, the Director, or the executive officer of the successor agency to the Department, or in the absence of such director or executive officer, by her/his assistant in charge of the Department or such successor agency in her/his absence, shall forthwith execute a written instrument effectuating and acknowledging such modification, amendment or release. It is provided, however, in the event that the Property is annexed to an existing municipality or the Property is incorporated into a new municipality, any modification, amendment, or release shall not become effective until it is approved by such municipality and is thereafter approved by the Board of County Commissioners, in accordance with applicable procedures.
E. Enforcement. Enforcement shall be by action against any parties or person violating, or attempting to violate, any covenants set forth in this Declaration. The prevailing party in any action or suit pertaining to or arising out of this Declaration shall be entitled to recover, in addition to costs and disbursements allowed by law, such sum as the Court may adjudge to be reasonable for the services of his/her/its attorney. This enforcement provision shall be in addition to any other remedies available at law, in equity or both.
F. Authorization for Miami-Dade County to Withhold Permits and Inspections. In the event the terms of this Declaration are not being complied with, in addition to any other remedies available, the County is hereby authorized to withhold, in connection with the Property, any further permits, and refuse to make any inspections or grant any approvals with respect to the Property, until such time as this Declaration is complied with.
G. Election of Remedies. All rights, remedies and privileges granted herein shall be deemed to be cumulative and the exercise of any one or more shall neither be deemed to constitute an election of remedies, nor shall it preclude the party exercising the same from exercising such other additional rights, remedies or privileges.
H. Presumption of Compliance. Where construction has occurred on the Property or any portion thereof, pursuant to a lawful permit issued by the County, and inspections made and approval of occupancy given by the County, then such construction, inspection and approval shall create a rebuttable presumption that the buildings or structures thus constructed comply with the intent and spirit of this Declaration.
I. Severability. Invalidation of any one of these covenants, by judgment of Court, shall not affect any of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect. However, if any material provision is invalidated, the County shall be entitled to revoke any approval predicated upon the invalidated provision.
J. Recording. This Declaration shall be filed of record in the public records of MiamiDade County, Florida, at the cost of the Owner following the approval of the Application. This Declaration shall become effective immediately upon recordation. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, if any appeal is filed, and the disposition of such appeal results in the denial of the application, in its entirety, then this Declaration shall be null and void and of no further effect. Upon the disposition of an appeal that results in the denial of the Application, in its entirety, and upon written request, the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or the executive officer of the successor of said department, or in the absence of such director or executive officer by her/his assistant in charge of the office in her/his absence, shall forthwith
execute a written instrument, in recordable form, acknowledging that this Declaration is null and void and of no further effect.
K. Acceptance of Declaration. Acceptance of this Declaration does not obligate the County in any manner, nor does it entitle the Owner to a favorable recommendation or approval of any application, zoning or otherwise, and the County retains its full power and authority to deny each such application in whole or in part and to decline to accept any conveyance or dedication.
L. Owner. The term "Owner" shall include the Owner, and its heirs, successors and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC, has caused these present to be signed in its name on this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of March , 2018.

WITNESSES:

Fernando Sanchez
Print Name

Signature


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY ORMIAMI-DADE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this __ day of , 2018, by Geoffrey M. Dugan, General Counsel, Corporate \& Secretary of SFI PALM TREE FARMS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, on behalf of said company, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.


## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California
County of
San Francisco

personally appeared Geoffrey M. Dugan who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the persons) whose names) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signatures) on the instrument the persons), or the entity upon behalf of which the persons) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.


## EXHIBIT "A"

## Subject property:

The land referred to herein below is situated in the County of Miami-Dade, State of Florida, and is described as follows:

## PARCEL NO. 2:

That certain real property located in the County of Miami-Dade, State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 99 (F2):
The West $3 / 4$ of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ lying in Section 30, Township 56 South, Range 40 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, less the North 65.00 feet thereof, and less the property lying within the following described boundaries:
Commence at the Northeast corner of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30; thence run South $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East along the easterly boundary of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30 for a distance of 1339.40 feet to a point; thence run South $88^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ West, for a distance of 330.29 feet to the Point of Beginning of the herein described parcel; thence continue South $88^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ West, for a distance of 592.17 feet to a point; thence North $34^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ East, for a distance of 223.98 feet to the beginning of a curve concave to the Southeasterly, having a radius of 599.30 feet; thence run Northeasterly along said curve for 535.68 feet, through a central angle of $51^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ to the end of said curve; thence run North $85^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 12.71 feet to a point; thence South $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 23{ }^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 433.48 feet to the Point of Beginning.

PARCEL 100 (F2):
That part of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Northwest $1 / 4$ of Section 30, Township 56 South, range 40 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, lying Northwesterly of State Road no. 821, as shown on Florida Department of Transportation Section 87005-2304, sheet 10 of 15.

PARCEL NO. 6 :
That certain real property located in the County of Miami-Dade, State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 118 (F6):
A portion of the East $1 / 4$ of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of Section 30, Township 56 South, range 40 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Northeast corner of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30; thence run South $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East along the east boundary of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30 a distance of 85.00 feet to a point; thence run South $89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ West along the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive a distance of 75.00 feet to the point of intersection with the West Right-Of-Way boundary of State Road no. 821, Section 87005-2304, said point being the Point of Beginning of the parcel of land hereinafter to be described; thence run South $04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ West along the last described line a distance of 244.43 feet to a point, said point being the
beginning of limited access right of way boundary of said State Road no. 821; thence continue on the last described course and along last described boundary a distance of 316.25 feet to a point of deflection; thence run South $02^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West along said limited access right-of-way boundary a distance of 200.36 feet to a point of deflection; thence continuing along said limited access right of way boundary on a course of South $44^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 79.26 feet to a point of deflection; thence run South $85^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ West along said limited access right of way boundary a distance of 137.34 feet to a point of intersection with the West boundary of the East $1 / 4$ of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30; thence run North $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ West along the last described line a distance of 822.70 feet to the point of intersection with a line that parallel to and 85.00 feet south of, as measured at right angles to, the north boundary of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said section 30, said line being the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive; thence run North $89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ East along the last described line a distance of 256.49 feet to the Point of Beginning.

## EXHIBIT "B"

Residential Parcel:
A portion of the East $1 / 2$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of Section 30 , Township 56 South, range 40 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Northeast corner of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the East line of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30 for a distance of 85.00 feet; thence SB $9^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive (S.W. 248TH STREET) for a distance of 75.00 feet to the point of intersection with the West Right-Of-Way boundary of State Road no. 821, Section 87005-2304; the next Nine (9) courses and distance being along the boundary lines of said State Road no. 821 ; 1) thence $\mathrm{S} 04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 244.43 feet to a point, said point being the beginning of limited access Right-ofWay boundary of said State Road no. 821; 2) thence continue S $04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 131.15 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING of the hereinafter described parcel of land; 3) thence continue S $04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 185.10 feet; 4) thence $\mathrm{S} 02^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 200.36 feet; 5) thence $\mathrm{S} 44^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 79.26 feet; 6) thence $\mathrm{S} 85^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 150.05 feet to a point of curvature of a circular curve to the left, concave to the Southeast; 7) thence Southwesterly, along the arc of said curve, having for its elements a radius of 599.30 feet, through a central angle of $51^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ for an arc distance of 535.68 feet to a point of tangency; 8) thence $\mathrm{S} 34^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 331.20 feet to a point of curvature of a circular curve to the right, concave to the Northwest; 9) thence Southwesterly, along the arc of said curve, having for its elements a radius of 1183.24 feet, through a central angle of $22^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ for an arc distance of 468.01 feet to a point of non-tangency, said point also being the intersection with the West line of the East $1 / 2$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30 and the Westerly boundary line of "COCO PALM ESTATES", according to the Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 171, Page 32 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County Florida; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along the last describe line for a distance of 1687.03 feet to its intersection with a line 65.00 feet South and parallel with the North line of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said section 30, said line also being the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive (S.W. 248TH STREET); thence N89 ${ }^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ along the last described line for a distance of 434.30 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 332.00 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 06^{\circ} 377^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 62.40 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 788.76 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

The above described parcel contains 1,054,273.10 Square Feet or 24.20 Acres more or less.

## EXHIBIT "C"

Commercial Parcel:

A portion of the East $1 / 2$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of Section 30, Township 56 South, range 40 East, Miami-Dade County, Florida, being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Northeast corner of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the East boundary of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30 for a distance of 85.00 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive (S.W. 248TH STREET) for a distance of 75.00 feet to the point of intersection with the West Right-Of-Way boundary of State Road no. 821, Section 87005-2304 and the POINT OF BEGINNING of the hereinafter described parcel of land; the next two (2) courses and distance being along the boundary lines of said State Road no. 821 ; 1) thence $\mathrm{S} 04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 244.43 feet to a point, said point being the beginning of limited access right of way boundary of said State Road no. $821 ; 2$ ) thence continue $\mathbf{S} 04^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 131.15 feet; thence S $89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 788.76 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 06^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 62.40 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ for a distance of 332.00 feet to its intersection with a line 65.00 feet South and parallel with the North line of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30, said line also being the existing Southerly Right-Of-Way boundary of Coconut Palm Drive (S.W. 248TH STREET), the next three (3) courses and distances being along the last described Right-Of-Way line; 1) thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 560.23 feet; 2) thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ for a distance of 20.00 feet to its intersection with a line 85.00 feet South and parallel with the North line of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Northwest $1 / 4$ of said Section 30,3 ) thence $N 89^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} E$ for a distance of 256.49 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

The above described parcel contains $310,061.40$ Square Feet or 7.12 Acres more or less.

## EXHIBIT "D"

Declaration of Restrictions recorded in Official Records Book 26875, Pages 3080-3093.

## OPINION OF TITLE

## To: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

With the understanding that this Opinion of Title is furnished to Miami Dade County, and as an inducement and in compliance with Chapter 28, Subdivision Code, and as an inducement for acceptance of an Amendment to Declaration of Restrictions covering the real property, hereinafter described, it is hereby certified that I have examined Fidelity National Title Insurance Company Commitment under Order No. 6586955 covering the period from the beginning to February 22, 2018 at 11:00 p.m., inclusive of the following described real property:

## SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF

I am of the opinion that on the last mentioned date, the fee simple title to the abovedescribed real property was vested in:

Lennar Homes, LLC, a Florida limited liability company ("Lennar")
Further, I am of the opinion that either Carlos Gonzalez or Greg McPherson, each as Vice President of Lennar Homes, LLC., a Florida limited liability company, is authorized to execute all documents on behalf of Lennar and that based solely on the letter dated November 21, 2017, from Solomon Furshman \& Cooperman, LLP, Carlos Gonzales or Greg McPherson, each as Attorney-in-Fact is authorized to execute all documents on behalf of the Silver Palm By Lennar Community Association, Inc.

Subject to the following encumbrances, liens, and other exceptions:

## 1. RECORDED MORTGAGES:

None.

## 2. RECORDED CONSTRUCTION LIENS, CONTRACT LIENS AND JUDGMENTS:

None.

## 3. GENERAL EXCEPTIONS:

1. All taxes for the year in which this opinion is rendered.
2. Rights or claims of parties in possession not shown by the public records.
3. Any encroachment, encumbrance, violation, variation or adverse circumstance affecting the property that would be disclosed upon accurate survey.
4. Any labor, mechanics' or materialmen's liens not shown by the public records.
5. Zoning and other restrictions imposed by governmental authority.
6. Any lien provided by County Ordinance or by Chapter 159, Florida Statutes, in favor of any city, town, village or port authority for unpaid service charges for service by any water, sewer or gas system supplying the insured land.
7. Any claim that any portion of the property is sovereign lands by the State of Florida, including submerged, filled or artificially exposed lands accreted to such property.

## 4. SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS:

1. Easement granted to Florida Power and Light Company, dated September 1, 1988, filed September 28, 1988 in Official Records Book 13838, Page 2737.
2. Covenants, conditions, restrictions, limitations contained in that Declaration of Restrictions filed September 17, 2004 in Official Records Book 22662, Page 3984; as amended by Modification of Declaration of Restrictions filed August 2, 2006 in Official Records Book 24780, Page 2321; Homeowner's Consent to Modification of Declaration filed March 23, 2007 in Official Records Book 25474, Page 4175; and by that Second Modification and Restatement of that Certain Declaration of Restrictions filed September 21, 2010 in Official Records Book 27428, Page 3308, and Partial Release filed July 23, 2013 in Official Records Book 28736, Page 1943, and Partial Release filed July 23, 2013 in Official Records Book 28736, Page 1932, as may be further amended, all of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
3. Covenants, conditions, restrictions, limitations, and assessments contained in Declaration of Restrictive Covenants filed November 28, 2005 in Official Records Book 23992, Page 3234; Joinder by Mortgagee filed in Official Records Book

23992, Page 3260; and by that Amendment to Declaration filed October 28, 2008 in Official Records Book 26627, Page 2115, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
4. Terms, conditions and assessments in Silver Palm East and Silver Palm West Multipurpose Maintenance and Street Lighting Special Taxing District, evidenced by Resolution No, R-604-05 filed August 17, 2005 in Official Records Book 23687, Page 206; Ordinance No. 05-104 filed August 17, 2005 in Official Records Book 23687, Page 706; and by that Declaration of Covenant filed November 3, 2006 in Official Records Book 25070, Page 3143, all of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
5. Terms and conditions as contained in that Agreement for Water and Sanitary Sewage Facilities between Miami-Dade County and Silver Palm Holdings of Homestead, LLC, filed June 16, 2005 in Official Records Book 23479, Page 4050, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
6. All of the terms, restrictions, easements, covenants, conditions and other provisions including private charges and/or assessments, and liens for amounts of money or charges or assessments for various purposes, as contained in the Amended and Restated Declaration for Silver Palms, filed August 7, 2006 in Official Records Book 24796, Page 3415, and any Exhibits annexed thereto, as amended and/or affected by Reaffirmation Declarations for Silver Palms filed December 22, 2006 in Official Records Book 25216, Page 268; First Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed January 5, 2007 in Official Records Book 25255, Page 933; Reaffirmation Amended and Restated Declaration for Silver Palms filed August 15, 2007 in Official Records Book 25857, Page 2935; First Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed May 6, 2008 in Official Records Book 26363, Page 4956; Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed May 29, 2008 in Official Records Book 26403, Page 1370; Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed May 7, 2009 in Official Records Book 26858, Page 123; Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed May 18, 2010 in Official Records Book 27288, Page 1624; Reaffirmation of Amended and Restated Declaration for Silver Palms filed October 21, 2010 in Official Records Book 27463, Page 3751; Fifth Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed September 19, 2012 in Official Records Book 28278, Page 4374; and by that Sixth Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed May 6, 2013 in Official Records Book 28615, Page 939; and by that Seventh Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration filed March 6, 2014 in Official Records Book 29057, Page 2656; and Eighth Amendment to Amended and Restated Declaration for Silver Palms filed May 1, 2017 in Official Records Book 30515, Page 4437, as may be further amended, and which additionally provides for option to purchase, right of First Refusal or prior approval of a future purchaser or occupant.
7. Terms, provisions, liens and assessments of the Palm Glades Community Development District, as evidenced by that Notice of Establishment of the Palm

Glades Community Development District filed November 29, 2005 in Official Records Book 23995, Page 2598; as amended and/or affected by Declaration of Consent to Imposition of Special Assessments filed February 21, 2006 in Official Records Book 24255, Page 2777; Partial Release of Property from Declaration of Consent filed December 4, 2007 in Official Records Book 26083, Page 2210; Amended Notice of Establishment of the Palm Glades Community Development District filed December 5, 2007 in Official Records Book 26089, Page 1609; Partial Release of Property from Declaration of Consent filed January 15,2008 in Official Records Book 26161, Page 21; Declaration of Consent to Imposition of Special Assessments filed March 14,2008 in Official Records Book 26270, Page 764; and by that Notice of Financing Plan and Maintenance of Improvements - Palm Glades Community Development District filed June 20,2012 in Official Records Book 28155, Page 4596, and Final Judgment for Validation of Special Assessment Bonds filed September 18, 2017 in Official Records Book 30682, Page 3975, all of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
8. Terms, provisions, restrictions, limitations, reservations, easements, and liens for amounts of money or charges or assessments for various purposes, and contained in Club Silver Palms Club Plan, filed August 7, 2006 in Official Records Book 24796, Page 3350; and as amended by First Amendment to Club Silver Palms Club Plan filed March 6, 2014 in Official Records Book 29057, Page 2653, Second Amendment to Club Silver Palms Club Plan filed May 2, 2017 in Official Records Book 30517, Page 616, as may be further amended.
9. Terms \& provisions, restrictions \& limitations contained in Master Deed Restrictions filed by Lennar Homes, Inc. and U.S. Home Corporation filed April 29, 2004 in Official Records Book 22254, Page 607, which document shall be an exception on all policies on the sale of all homes (as defined therein) sold and conveyed by Lennar Homes, Inc.
10. Dedications, restrictions, limitations, easements and other matters contained on the Plat of SILVER PALM EAST SECTION TWO, according to the Plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 164, Page 87, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
11. True Up Agreement with Palm Glades Community Development District filed February 22, 2008 in Official Records Book 26229, Page 4199.
12. Entrance Feature Maintenance Agreement filed May 25, 2007 in Official Records Book 25651, Page 3117.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have reviewed all the aforementioned encumbrances and exceptions.

Therefore, it is my opinion that the following parties must join in the Amendment to Declaration in order to make the Amended Declaration a valid and binding covenant on the lands described herein:

Name
Lennar Homes, LLC, a Florida limited liability company

Interest

Owner

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the legal description contained in this Opinion of Title coincides with, and is the same as, the legal description in the proffered, recordable Amendment to Declaration.

I, the undersigned, further certify that I am an attorney-at-law duly admitted to practice in the State of Florida, and I am a member in good standing of The Florida Bar.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of March, 2018.


Florida Bar No. 333591
Holland \& Knight LLP
P.O. Box 14070 (Zip Code 33302-4070)

515 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Telephone: (954) 468-7924

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF BROWARD

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 6th day of March, 2018, by Jonathan S. Marcus, who is personally known to mes


Notary Public


## EXHIBIT "A"

Tract E, in SILVER PALM EAST SECTION TWO, according to the Plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 164, Page 87, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
\#55709888_v1
Members of the Board
Present

Marvin Wilson, Chair Enid W. Demps, Vice-Chair Johnny G. Farias Larry E. Jackson Marva Williams

## STAFF

Cassandra Henderson, Clerk Richard Schevis, County Attorney Leo Rodriguez, Platting \& Traffic Review
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had.) CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are the County Reporter and the County Attorney present? THE COUNTY ATTORNEY: Yes. THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WILSON: I'm sorry. The court reporter.

Ladies and gentlemen, this meeting at the Community Council 15 has come to order on this March 8th, 2018.

Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was had,
after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Please be seated.

Staff, will you please call the roll.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Farias?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Present.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Jackson?

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Here.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Murrow?

Councilwoman Murillo?

Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Present.

MS. HENDERSON: Vice-Chair Demps?

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Present.

MS. HENDERSON: Chair Wilson?
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Present.
MS. HENDERSON: We have a quorum.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Those of you who are present who wish to speak must stand and allow the court reporter to swear you in.
(Thereupon, all interested individuals seeking to give testimony in the case were duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any deferrals?
Anyone wishing to defer their item today? At this time there is no deferrals.

Give the Explanation.
MS. HENDERSON: The open statement?

In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard this evening have been legally advertised in the newspaper, notices have been mailed, and the properties have been posted. Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting.

Items will be called up to be heard by agenda number and name of applicant. The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and

Economic Resources.

All these items are physically present this evening, available to all interested parties, and available to the members of the Board who may examine items for the record during the hearing. Parties have the right of cross-examination. This statement, along with the fact that all witnesses have been sworn, shall be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings.

In addition, the following departments have representatives present here at the meeting to address any questions: The Zoning Evaluation and Platting and Traffic Review Section of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, the County Attorney's Office.

All items used in representations before the Board will become part of the public record and will not be returned unless an identical letter-sized copy is submitted for the file.

Any person making impertinent or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the Community Zoning Appeals Board, shall be barred from further audience before the Community Zoning Appeals Board by the presiding officer unless permission to continue or again address the Board
be granted by the majority vote of the Board Members present.

The number of filed protests and waivers on each application will be read into the record at the time of the hearing as each application is read.

Those items now heard prior to the ending time of this meeting will be deferred to the next available zoning hearing meeting date for this Board.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: When I call your item, please step up to the podium and state your name and address clearly for the record.

MR. ACOSTA: I need five minutes, okay. I'm going to pull that speaker out. I need everybody quiet so that you can hear them. The recording is not going to be affected because $I$ have my machine hooked up to the microphone. I have people outside that can't hear the meeting. If they have problems I have to cancel the meeting because they're already complaining because they're not inside the meeting, okay. For security reasons $I$ cannot bring them in.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to call a recess for five minutes.
(Thereupon, there was a recess taken, after which the following proceedings were had.)

MR. CENTENO: Mr. Chair, I understand that we're trying to accommodate the people outside but how are they going to be able to see any of the visual diagrams to be able to see what it is that they are proposing. I think this is lopsided. I think this is a lack of accommodation and $I$ don't think it's fair to the residents.

CHAIRMAN WIISON: County Attorney, do you want to address that?

COUNTY ATTORNEY: I think as long as they're able to hear it. I'm checking but as long as they are able to speak and be heard the minimum standards matter.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: As long as the minimum standards are being met, we are going to continue to proceed with the meeting. If you have any objections you can raise it at a later time.

When $I$ call your item please step up to the podium and state your name and address clearly for the record. $I$ will then proceed to call those of you who support the application and then $I$ will call for objectors. Those of you here who wish to speak will state your name and address again for
the record. For those of you speaking, I would ask that you make your presentation short and nonrepetitive as we're very limited on time.

Staff, will you please call the first item. MS. HENDERSON: Item Number 1, 17-139 SFI Palm Tree Farms, LLC, zero filed protesters, zero filed waivers.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Please proceed.
MR. MAYORAL: Good evening, Chairman Wilson, Members of the Council. My name is Juan Mayoral. I'm with the law firm of Holland and Knight, and I'm joined by my colleagues Pedro Gassant and Al Torres on behalf of the applicant SFI Palm Tree Farms.

I'm also joined by our design professionals and the Traffic Engineer Mr. John Kim. He's probably outside waiting to get in.

I'm also joined by principals of Orion Group who are the developers of the proposed commercial parcel that's the subject of this application, as well as the members of the Lennar Homes staff, Carolina Herrera who's the Director of Land Operations, Teresa Baluja who's the Director of Property Management; Val Snider, Director of Customer Care; Angel Rodriguez, Senior Land

Acquisition Manager; Alexi Haas, Land Acquisition Analyst.

I will handle most of the representation and will only call them in case that there are questions that need to be addressed by them, but in the interest of time $I$ will be the one handling the presentation.

As an initial matter, I'd like to tell you that our plan is to use the exhibit here and then we're going to send it outside so that people can actually see it. If we need to bring it back we will bring it back.

Also, earlier today we filled a Revised Disclosure of Interest Form indicating that Wawa, a Florida LLC, has executed a lease agreement with the owners of the property and we wanted to supplement the record by introducing that into the record this evening. The original was delivered to staff earlier today.

I also would like to introduce into the record a Resolution of Support of this project by the Board of Supervisors of the Palm Glades Community Development District.

And I'm sorry, I should have apologized for my outfit. I usually wouldn't be wearing jeans and a
boot, but $I$ had surgery a couple months ago and I'm still dealing with it.

As you know the Silver Palms Community is located generally northeast up here on the north side of $248 t h$ Street and west of 112 th . The Palm Glades CDD covers that area. The Board of Supervisors, for your information, is made up of the residents of Silver Palms Community.

We have been working on this application for the better part of a year now, and I am pleased to be before you with our Recommendation of Approval from your professional staff. We have worked with them very closely, as I said, for the better part of last year, and we're very grateful for their time, their guidance and support.

Since staff is supporting the application and suggesting that this Board approve the application, I'm going to be very brief.

And while $I$ want to address the Board Members, I also welcome the opportunity to address those in the audience. I apologize for the mechanics and dynamics logistics of this room, but we are given circumstances that won't allow me to address you personally.

I know many of you are outside. I hope that
you will hear and are able to hear, and whether you're here in favor, against or neutral of this application, $I$ hope to address many of the questions and comments that we have heard as we have conducted our outreach in the neighborhood. We started the outreach on this project with our neighbors many months ago. In fact, we held three separate meetings in December with the closest homeowners, and I'm going to go back to the board and show you who those are.

The closest homeowners association is a community of Artesa, which is a community under development by Lennar homes. That community came before this Board back in 2014. We were blessed with your approval. That community was hugely successful for Lennar and it's a beautiful community. It consists of single-family homes which are located closest to the west, villas and townhomes further to the east.

Our application today proposes villas and townhomes which are the same unit with the same features and options and quality as the villas and townhomes that are being built by Lennar or have been built by Lennar over the last three years. So since our closest homeowners association,
we had three separate meetings. We met with the villa owners, we met with the townhome owners, and we met with the single-family owners, and as a result became aware of some of their concerns and questions that they had.

Let me show you the site plan for -- we're sending it out.

Just to go back over this point, this is the plan that this council approved back in the 2014 for the development of Artesa villas, townhomes, single-family homes here.

We had separate meetings with each one of them. There were a couple of concerns that they raised, and I'm going to address those concerns and comments as part of my presentation.

Since we sent the aerial out, let me just put the zoning map here and give you a sense of where we are and then I'll go right into the presentation.

So, this property is 31.32 acres located on the southwest corner of 112 and 248 th Street and some of the landmarks, of course the community of Artesa, which I've been discussing, Islandia to the north, the CVS at the corner, the northeast corner of $248 t h$ and $112 t h$, this large $B U-3$ parcel here
which remains vacant today is the parcel that was approved by this Board for the office distribution center for Baptist Hospital. We are located on a privilege location $248 t h$ Street intersection of 112th with direct connection to the Florida Turnpike.

As we will show you in more detail shortly our site plan -- it's upside down. Here we go. As we will show you in more detail, our site plan proposes a mixed-use community. And I'm sorry for the back and forth, but the best we can do. We're proposing a mixed-use community where we would have -- we're proposing a retail center at the very corner of the intersection of 248 and 112 th. The balance will be developed by Lennar Homes as Phase II of the existing Artesa Community.

MR. ACOSTA: Juan.
(Thereupon, there was an interruption in the proceedings, after which the following transpired.)

MR. ACOSTA: I got a situation. A lot of people outside they want to speak and they want to see the presentation because if they don't see it, the way they're moving they break the building up. They want to see the person who's doing the presentation and the people who are talking. And
they're right. Unfortunately, this room is too small. I'm going now to the library to talk to the lady. If $I$ can get that date, the next month, then we move the meeting to the next month without a problem. There will not be an advertising but I'm going to put signs sending the people to the library.

MR. MAYORAL: Are you going to be able to hear Items 2 and 3?

MR. ACOSTA: They're all for all three and people against.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: Let me make sure I understand you. So, you're saying if you can get the library on the $19 t h$ of April.

MR. ACOSTA: As soon as they see these people, the crowd, and they complain because they can't be here inside, the meeting is over. No matter what. And we're going to get in trouble. We're all are going to get in trouble.

COUNTY ATTORNEY: What time do we have this room until?

MR. ACOSTA: Until 11:00 o'clock.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: You know, when the Board of County Commissioners have meetings and you can't get inside they don't cancel.

MR. ACOSTA: They have a screen.
VICE-CHAIR DEMP: Oh, okay. That's the difference. I've been down there and I couldn't get in.

MR. ACOSTA: If you had a video screen $I$ can do that. But right now, these people are in their rights.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Let me ask you something. Even if we go to the library, will they be able to see the presentation and see the Board?

MR. ACOSTA: No. They can see the presentation because at least $I$ can sit about 250 people there. I can bring 200. He's been there before. We can bring 250 people.

But, unfortunately, these people are against your application and they have the right to be here. They want to see the presentation.

MR. MAYORAL: I think that they deserve the right to be heard. And, so, as long as they are provided an opportunity to come and speak.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: So, we can step out.

MR. MAYORAL: You want the people who are not here on Item 1 to leave and then you allow people to come in?

MR. ACOSTA: You want to do that? We can work it out. Maybe bring five at a time.
(Thereupon, there was an interruption in the proceedings, after which the following transpired.)

MR. MAYORAL: Mr. Chairman, if I may. I have a proposal, an idea. We're going to ask everyone here who is on Item 1 in support of Item 1 , we're going to ask them to stand up and leave. Let the objectors come in, take the seats and then when the objectors are done, done speaking, if you would allow our supporters to then come one by one and say whatever they have to say to your Council, I think that may work because we can accommodate all the objectors if we send everyone out now.

So we'll send all the Lennar folks, engineers, everyone out so that we can create more room.

Or if you're here for Items 2 or 3 if you can wait outside and then come back in when 1 is done.
(Thereupon, the supporters exited the proceedings and the objectors entered the proceedings, after which the following transpired.)

MR. MAYORAL: Mr. Chair, I just want to make sure we have the rules of the night clear. So when I'm done with my presentation and the objectors speak, at that point can we ask the objectors to
leave and have the supporters come in?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Yes.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: No. Well, remember, though, there's a speaker out there so they can hear us. That's right.

MR. MAYORAL: They wanted to see the presentation. They'll see the presentation.
(Thereupon, there was an interruption in the proceedings, after which the following transpired.)

MR. FARIAS: Through the Chair, can I get a number of how many people are still outside that are opposed to Number 1?

MR. MAYORAL: I think we can get that number, but now you have supporters on the outside.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I understand that. Right now $I$ just want to know how many more people are opposed that are outside.

MR. ACOSTA: The speaker is outside. You guys will have to speak a little louder, even the recording is going to be good, but like that they can hear the meeting, okay. You have to be quiet. Like that you can hear it.

MR. MAYORAL: Felix, the Councilman wants to know of the people outside how many of them are in support and how many of them are against.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: How many more opposers are there?

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Please, we're going to have to have order or we're going to have to have you dismissed.

MR. CENTENO: It would be a much simpler solution if you guys reschedule. We've been like this for about 20 minutes and it's not the residents fault for your lack of accommodation. I'm not appointing that to anyone on the Council. But if you sent residents notices, we sent it back, you should prepare for a large audience to present. Not 40 seats when there's probably more than 75 people in here present.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Sir, sir, with all due respect, we have a hearing practically every single month and approximately three, four, 500 notices might go out. We'd be lucky to have 20 people that show up. There's no way for us to anticipate who was going to be here tonight. There were no objectors and no people in support that came on the list that was given to the County. So there was no way for any of us to know. So, those of you that are here that knew you were going to be objecting, that was the time to at least give us some sort of
idea how many people might come. I think we're trying to do a job here to accommodate everyone. I think it's going to work and so -- I'm sorry. And so $I$ say we might as well proceed. I mean, these people in here are in objection and we're going to hear you.

MR. CENTENO: It's not far to the folks outside that need to follow the presentation when the presentation of the visuals are provided after the fact. So it's hard to keep up. That's not a fair due process.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: First of all, let met stop this right now. In order to speak you need to stand up and give your name and your address and you have permission to speak. You just don't blurt things out. We don't do that here.

MR. CENTENO: I apologize.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: We have to maintain order. What we're going to do is we're going to proceed. Everyone with an objection will have an opportunity to speak or let your objection be heard, but it will be done tonight. With that being said let's proceed.

MR. MAYORAI: Mr. Chair, I know it's a little bit out of order, but perhaps it will help since we
are on Item 3 as well, we can certainly agree to defer item 3 to the April 19th hearing date and get that item out of the way, get people who are here on Item 3 out and make more room for the people who are here on Item 1 and 2.

So if the Board would consider to defer on Item 3 to April 19th, we will consider that.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: In that case I will go ahead and make a motion.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Before we do, did you check with the library to see if April -- that's what I'm saying. We have to first find out if it's available.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Why can't we still proceed with Number 1 like he said. Wait and see what the library says. We can still proceed with Number 1. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is that the item where we got all these objectors on?

CHAIRWOMAN WILLIAMS: Yes.
MR. MAYORAL: The law requires that they be afforded due process, but I think due process requires you to give them an opportunity to be heard, which you're doing that tonight.

I would love to be able to accommodate a deferral so that we have a bigger room, but we do
have contractual limitations on our ability to defer.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: When speaking of that are you referring to Item 1 or all three items? I mean Item 1 and 3 or Item 1?

MR. MAYORAL: I'm actually here on Item 1. We represent Lennar Homes. They have Item 2 on the agenda. We'll be willing to defer -- not Item 2, Item 3 to the April 19th date, but then we'd like to proceed with Item 1 tonight if at all possible.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Mr. Chair, like I said I suggest we proceed with Item Number 1 , wait on Item Number 3 until Felix return, like he said, with an answer from the library and if necessary we'll take a vote and defer Item Number 3 as Mr. Mayoral request. So $I$ don't see any reason why can't proceed with Number 1 .

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Go ahead.
MR. MAYORAL: We'll give it a go. Thank you.
I'm just going to go back a bit but not much. I'm just going to go back to the aerial.

Many of the residents were outside when $I$ started and the point that $I$ wanted to make is that tonight $I$ wanted to address the Council Members but I also wanted to address the residents, and I hope
through my presentation $I$ can address some of common questions and concerns that you have raised or that you may be thinking of.

So, the plan has been to present to you a Unified Plan of Development for a mixed-use project. The site plan provides for a 7.12 acre retail parcel at the at corner of southwest $248 t h$ Street and Southwest 12 th Avenue.

I will talk to you about a couple of the tenant that we have been able to confirm.

The rest of the property would be developed by Lennar homes as Phase II of the Artesa Community, the ongoing Artesa Community, and it will be developed under the same zoning classification, under the same guidelines, same type of designs, same options and features that have been part of the Artesa Phase 1 project as approved by this Board back in 2014.

When we met with the community, we had three community meetings back in December, we meet with the single-family homeowners, the townhome owners, as well as the villa owners. I'll use those terms as I proceed through my presentation.

There were concerns and questions, but the first and foremost concern was the fact that by
proposing to join Phase $I$ with Phase II or join Phase II to Phase I that our residents, the new residents, will be sharing some of the -- will be sharing the existing facilities.

Artesa I, as approved by this Council back in 2014, had a central lake, 7 acres of lake, over 6 acres of park. It has a central clubhouse facility with all sorts of features, fitness center and the like.

So, there number one concern, and probably rightly so, was the fact that we would be adding additional units in Phase II which would then have the ability to connect to Phase I and share the recreational facilities of Artesa I.

Through the process of Community Outreach and conversations, we were able to make a commitment, and that commitment was communicated to many of the homeowners over the last couple of days in a letter from Carlos Gonzalez who's the Lennar Homes Division President. It was a letter that was actually -- here, let me give you the folder. It was a letter that was sent in English and Spanish and which committed to the Community of Artesa 1 to add additional recreational facilities both to Phase I and Phase II.

The first commitment is that existing clubhouse facilities will be expanded, within the existing phase, will be expanded to create additional fitness facility. That would happen within Phase I. We also committed to adding additional recreational facilities to the plan of Phase II and those facilities will consist of a gazebo, a swimming pool and a basketball court.

If $I$ can call your attention to the site plan that's before you, this is the initial site plan that was part of your packet until this morning. And what we have proposed at that point was a location where we would have the mailboxes, parking spaces on a large green. That large green -- can I get the revised site plan. It's in black and white.

The large green will now be replaced with a gazebo, swimming pool and basketball court. Those are some of the facilities that we were told would complete the proposal for Phases I and Phases II.

That revised plan was submitted to the County staff this morning. It is part of the record. And our commitment is to add the recreational facilities in Phase I as well as Phase II.

I'm going to introduce a drawing of what the
expanded Phase I facility will look like. I want to make sure that that's all part of the record.

In addition, we were proposed to revise Condition Number 2 on staff's proposed condition of approval to reflect the new facilities, and we have prepared and submitted to staff a revised Condition Number 2, which would provide for revised sheets SP1, SA1 and SP2 and again would call for the additional recreational facilities.

Since we are replacing recreational space with recreational facilities, the code allows us to do that and will allow this application to move forward without having to be re-advertised. What that will do is it will complete the set of recreational facilities by combining Phases $I$ and II. Each phase will contribute to the hole and will create a more balance set of recreational facilities. Phase II will be served by recreational facilities. Phase $I$ will be served by recreational facilities and they would all share those facilities.

The second main concern that we heard was that as a result of the additional unit or additional facilities their CDD fees or HOA dues will go up. The answer to that question is unequivocal no. The

HOA fees will not go up as a result of the additional Phase II. Because of the CDD operation and maintenance will actually go down and that is simply because you have cost sharing among additional families. So there is an economy of scale.

In fact, the HOA could decide, for instance, to provide additional security and spread that cost among the additional families.

As a result of the Unified Plan of Development, actually, $I$ wish $I$ had a plan that showed both Phases but let me just have the approved plan here. As a result of the combined proposal, Artesa $I$ will also receive additional benefits.

It would be easy enough to show it off from Phase I to Phase II. Phase I can exists as its on community and Phase II as its on community. By combing the two, Artesa I, Artesa I families, will be served not by the single point of access that it realize on today as a single point of access to and from 248 th street from Artesa $I$, by combining the two the families will gain a second point of access through Southwest $113 t h$ Court and a third point of access out to Southwest 112th Avenue. From one we
go to three including on point of access that will lead directly to the connection to the turnpike.

Both of those intersections, 113th court and $248 t h$ Street as well as 117 th Avenue and 248 th Street will be signalized for ease of access. If you're coming out of Artesa I to make a left turn on 248 th Street, $I$ venture to say that's a difficult maneuver to make without the signalization of that intersection.

Lennar homes has already paid for that signalization and the applicant will be paying for the second signalization at 113th Court and 248th Street.

The other benefit is that as a result of being part of a mixed-use project, if you live in Artesa I or if you live in Artesa II, you can actually walk or ride your bicycle to the retail facility. You can drive certainly. But you have the opportunity to walk or take your bicycle to the retail community where we have at least two tenants that have been identified.

I show you the Disclosure of Interest for the Wawa market. If you're familiar with Wawa you're familiar with their great coffee, their great made to order sandwich and salads and they also happen
to have the most inexpensive gas in town. Every time they enter a market gas prices go down.

Second tenant has not signed a lease yet. That's why we have not provided a disclosure. It's the Aldi's grocery store, which will provide a full range of grocery items just like any other supermarket in the area.

So if you live in this area you will have the opportunity to grab a cup of coffee, buy a quart of milk, whatever you need, at the grocery with out actually leaving your community.

The retail center will also be providing a plaza or a pedestrian gathering place right here which will be integrated into the community, and that will provide an opportunity for people to spend time outside with their neighbors and friends.

As part of the development of the property, there are several roadway improvements that will be very beneficial to the community. Along 248 th Street, the south side of $248 t h$ Street will be improved with turn lanes at each of the driveways. The way this will operate, again 113th Court and 248th Street will be a fully signalized intersection. You'll be able to make a right turn
into the community. You'll be able to make a left turn out of the community. Actually, all movements will be allowed from that intersection. This community will also have, just like Artesa I, will be gated. So access will be controlled. But you can access the retail center directly from 113th Court as well as along 248 th Street. You'll be allowed to make a right turn in, right turn out. That will be a right turn in and 112 will provide access to right turn in and right turn out.

I think the biggest roadway improvement that we'll be making is one that will benefit not just the residents of Artesa $I$ or residents of Artesa II, we're proposing to widen $248 t h$ Street along the frontage of the property. So on the north side of 248th Street providing an additional lane for westbound traffic. That improvement has been recognized by both the County and our traffic Consultant as one that will substantially improve the level of service at the intersection of 112 and 248 th Street.

In addition to those improvements, we have calculated the impact fees that will be generated through the construction of the community and the retail center. Again, they are depicted in the
this board. The residential community will generate close to 3.5 million in impact fees for schools, roadways, parks, fire. The commercial will generate just over a million dollars in impact fees. And, of course, is in addition to the close to nine million dollars that were paid.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: We're chatting with each other and they are complaining they are not seeing the visuals.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Man, this got to stop.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Listen, we have to have some order. If you will, we can see it now. I think we have the document here. So if you can make it possible so they can see it. Send it outside so they can view it. I think that will be good.

MR MAYORAL: Okay. We'll be sending them outside.

Al, can you take the boards outside, please.
So, again, that will be in access of the close to nine million dollars that was paid.

Actually, $I$ would ask you while I'm talking please let me speak, and then you'll have an opportunity to speak. I can't even --

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: No hands. No hands,
please. No hands, please.
UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Will I get a chance to speak up there?

MR. MAYORAL: That's up to the chair.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Listen, listen, listen. Let me say it one more time. Everybody is going to be given an opportunity to speak. First you have to allow him to complete his presentation. Once he is completed his presentation I'll give you the opportunity to come forward and make whatever objects you want on this item, but we have to proceed, and we have to proceed orderly. So no more interruption from audience. If you interrupt again $I$ 'm going to have to ask you to leave.

MR. MAYORAL: I'm really trying to conclude my presentation. It's just that it's hard to even hear myself with all the talking behind.

The next aerial tells you why this property is quite unique. I don't know to what extent the residents are aware of it, but this entire property of 31 and change acres was redesignated by the County Commission for business and office development back in 2010.

What that means is that this entire 31 acre parcel could be developed for large-scale
commercial uses, distribution uses and the like. There's nothing inherently wrong with that, of course, but that type of designation generates a lot more traffic than our proposal.

This parcel here is the parcel owned by Baptist and that property has been approved for a large four-story, six-story office distribution center for Baptist Hospital. This is the same type of designation that will allow that type of use. Our traffic engineer calculated -- given the land use designation on this property our traffic engineer calculated that if you were to take the entire property and use it for retail office uses in accordance with the existing business and office designation, the amount of trips or traffic that will be generated will be almost twice as much as the combined traffic impact of our proposed retail center and residential development. The numbers are actually here. I've run out of people to hand these things. So $I$ will give it to you.

The total traffic will be almost doubled the impact of the project before you, and some of the numbers that I'd like to share with you is that the proposal will have a reduction of over 7000 trips during the p.m. peak hour over the development of
the property as a large-scale community -- as a large retail facility.

As your staff has recognized the introduction of a residential component will result in a more balance proposal. Really a true mixed-use community, Members of the Board, that rarely comes before. You see a lot of residential applications, you see a lot of retail applications, but you rarely see the combined or the combination of the two.

This mixed-use community, Artesa II being connected with the Artesa $I$ and the retail center, will provide an ideal location for people to live, for people to play with all the expanded recreational facilities and possibly even to work at one of the retailers in the retail center.

You know, people always wonder when we make the presentation and we show drawings, and we show visuals, and we've been accused of showing pretty pictures to try to convince the Board. We have provided a Declaration of Restrictions that allows us to turn words into deeds. The Declaration of Restrictions which is part of the record, we certainly have copies that we could introduce into the record tonight, but the Declaration of

Restrictions would do a few things and I briefly would like to mention those.

Very quickly, we have gone through the site plan for the residential community. We have gone through the site plan for the commercial parcel. Under the Declaration the development of both commercial facility with the Aldi's and the Wawa as well as the development of the residential community will be tied to the site plan that's before you. Any changes to that site plan would have to come back to this Board for review and approval.

We have written into this Declaration all of the roadway improvements that I've mentioned, particularly the one which requires the applicant to widen $248 t h$ Street which will have a significant impact on -- positive impact on $248 t h$ Street, and we have also provided for the installation of the traffic signal at the entrance to the retail and residential community.

I can speak probably for another 10 minutes about the consistency of the proposal before you with the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan. As you know you've seen the map with all the colors and it tells how much density can be built
here and what uses are allowed there. This proposal goes beyond the numbers. We're developing less residential density than allowed. We're developing much less commercial development than will be allowed and the proposal will be totally consistent with the goals, policies and objectives of the Master Plan. It will certainly expand the housing supplies inside the Urban Development Boundary and this is housing supply that is in serious demand throughout the County.

This location is idea and that you are at the intersection of two major roads with direct connection to the turnpike. If you lived here you can actually work just about anywhere in Dade County.

In terms of compatibility, I have been doing this for a long, long time as you probably know from my many appearances before you. I have been approached over the years about this property on many, many times. It is vacant today. It's not going to be vacant for much longer. I have been approached by developers of large commercial centers. I have been approached by developers of apartment buildings and everything in between. The proposal that's before you is proposing
the same type residential of homes that are located in the adjacent community of Artesa $I$, the same villas, the same size, the same options of features and quality of the existing homes to the east. It is the same. They have the same height. The setbacks are more than required. The landscaping is an excess. The park is in excess.

So the definition of compatibility we meet on all corners and that actually it goes beyond compatibility. It is exactly the same thing that we have -- that this Board approved in 2014 for the Artesa I Community.

I talked about the significant reduction in impacts that this application presents over an application for all commercial on the 31 acres, and I've also talked to you about the significant improvement to the roadway facilities in the area. We would urge your approval to this application in accordance with staff recommendation. Your professional staff, every single department, has recommended this application be approved. The only modification that we would suggest is modification to Condition Number 2 to reflect the additional recreational facilities that I have described.

We would, of course, be available for any questions that you have and we reserve sometime for rebuttal at the end, if we may.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: What is it that you're asking us for?

MR. MAYORAL: So, the application seeks the rezoning of the property to BU-1A for the commercial parcel and $R U-3 M$ for the residential parcel. The RU-3M -- I'll show you on the zoning map.

If you look at the zoning map, which is actually part of your package, this property is zoned RU-3M. That's the Artesa I Community. We are suggesting RU-3M for the residential portions of the property and then at the corner we are requesting the approval of $B U-1 A$, which is the same zoning classification that sits here across from the $B U-3$, which is a more intense commercial zoning classification as well as in close proximity to this BU-1.

There are other minor variances. The minor variances that we're seeking and most of those variances refer to the connection between the residential and the commercial.

The way the zoning code is whenever you have a
commercial use next to a residential use, you have to put up a wall, put up landscaping, and not allow any connection. We are requesting a vehicular and pedestrian connection. So, we're seeking a variance to allow that.

We then have one minor variances for some of the townhomes. The code requires a backyard 400 square feet. It's the same variance that was approved for Artesa I. In this case we actually exceed for the most part of the requirement, but some of the units have less than 400 . We're asking for that variance.

And the last variance that we're asking is for the commercial property and that is under the code we'll be allowed to have three -- two signs on 248th Street, one sign along $112 t h$ because of the width of the road, the length of the frontage on 248th and 112 th . We're requesting five instead of the three. Your staff is recommending four instead of the request of five and we accept the recommendation of four instead of the five we're requesting and instead of the three that the code allows.

In a nutshell, that is the application before you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: All right. Have you completed you're presentation?

MR. MAYORAL: Yes, only to remind you if $I$ may I'd like some rebuttal time at the end.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: At this time anyone wishing to object please state your name for the record and your address and you have tow minutes to speak.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: It's too many people.
MR. CENTENO: My name is Juan Carlos Centeno. I'm a resident at 25333 Southwest 116 Avenue, Princeton, Florida, 33032. I'm also a Board Member on the HOA for the Artesa property that's mentioned.

And I apologize, Juan, $I$ didn't hear your last name. Juan mentioned that an outreach was made in December. And it was, indeed, so, but since December we haven't had any communication from the Land Acquisition Team or whatever team presented themselves. They just came in to collect information to see what they could do for us as a community.

Juan did mention that a letter was sent a couple days ago to the homeowners. That isn't the case. I, myself, have not received that letter. I was privy to a sample of the initial letter being
on the Board, but I've also confirmed with other residents that they have not received that letter.

I'd also like to point out that the letter that I received was dated yesterday. So I'd like to inquire, if at all possible, what date that letter was dated.

He did mention that fees are to go up. Fees are not going to go up. Excuse me. But we have haven't any proof provided to us yet how that will or will not affect us.

Being on the board $I$ can tell you that yes, there could be a potential offset but increases -the cost of the maintenance would increase as time goes by which would have an impact to residents who were originally sold one gated community at 743 homes, not at nearly over a thousand.

We have security issues as it stands. An unmanned gate will increase the susceptibility and vulnerability that we would have on an unmanned gate as we're currently suffering severe tailgating issues and break-ins or thefts in our community. The intersection at 248 and 117 is very dangerous. Hundreds of residents have called 311 to complain. We've been told by 311 and Lennar that a contribution was made to install a traffic
light and improve the roadway. I've now been living there for two years in July and nothing has happened.

So, therefore, with whatever expansion or whatever addition or improvement of roadway, there should probably be another expected delay that could cause fatality on that road as it's dangerously transited at high speeds and people have no regards for people coming out of our community.

We are currently at 86 percent completion, meaning closed homes in our community. At 90 percent which I've been told is the statutory limit for Lennar the developer to turn over this board to us, okay. With this addition it would further delay the turn over. Right now the board is comprised of two Lennar representatives and the guy that's standing right here at this podium. We need more representations so we can take control of our community.

I am completely against the change of the agriculture AU to residential. I'm all pro changing AU to business use. I'd rather have business there and keep out community closed.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is there anyone else that
wish to come forward and make their objection? Please approach the podium.

MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. First and foremost $I$ would like to apologize for my community.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Give me your name.
MR. BROWN: Randolph Brown, address 11620 Southwest 254th Street.

I'd like to say that I'm probably one of the first ones to move into this development. Those nice pictures you put up there, that's what sold me to move in this community. Those pictures are not what I'm living and where I'm living at.

That clubhouse that you put up there is what sold me. The pool only holds 183 people and you build 754 homes and we can only put 183 people in there. And now you're going to tell me you're going to add some more people, you're going to build 288 more homes, and you're going to accommodate us by building another pool. No. Or you're going to build a basketball court. No.

You sold us and we were sold on this was going to be a gated community and that was it. That was it.

And I can understand while Lennar pays this
guy the big bucks because he has a well of a representation, but he's not living there. He's not dealing with crime. I have to walk my community 5:00, 4:00 in the morning because the gates are not secured. And now you're going to tell me oh, you're going to accommodate me by giving me another entrance.

You sold me when $I$ moved in there that $I$ was going to have two entrances when $I$ moved in there. And I've been there over two years and been going in and out of the same gate. So I don't believe nothing this guy is saying.

And I'm telling you I'm hot and I can understand why these people are hot. And I apologize too. I apologize to all of you for them.

But, ma'am, I'm telling you, we've had home invasions. We've had people walk inside of people homes. These people don't care about us. They don't care about us. This is just another dollar they're trying to make. And I'm angry from it because not only do you not care about us, it's the type of buildings that you've built. Some of us work hard, work hard, saved our money to move in these nice communities, gated communities. And then we have to put up with people who don't care.

And, ma'am, you said something. You said well, we only have 40 people coming here. Ma'am, you haven't met the Artesa community. We're going to come strong and we're going to keep coming and we're not going to stop. We're going to fight and we're not going to let these bigwigs keep pushing us around.

And once again, $I$ apologize to you, but there's a lot of tension. There's a lot of anger of people saying something and not doing what they say.

And I'm kind of old fashion. I do contracts by shaking of a hand, and $I$ know that's usual now. But when you say something a man's word should be his bond. Lennar has no bond at all. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Next.
Please state your name and address for the record.

MS. PADILLA: Mireille Padilla, and it's 11788 Southwest 254 th Street.

I'm going to read because -- when purchasing our home we were sold on a small quaint gated community, 750 homes today. The homes averaged about four bedrooms, that's an average of about 3000 people when our community is going to be
finished.

In our current recreational facility we have two treadmills and two spinning bikes. It's not all about the fitness but that is what we've been accommodated and we pay $\$ 100$ a month for this. I have yet been able to use the gym in two years and I have lived there -- in the two years I've lived there because it's always full.

I have yet to be able to use the pool to Mr. Brown's point, not only because of the capacity but because its access was created with fault and allows access to anyone without having to prove that they are from our community.

Since the day we moved in we have had security issues within our gated community that we have still yet not been able to get a handle on within Artesa.

And please notice that I'm referring to my community as Artesa and not Artesa Phase I because Artesa Phase $I$ and Phase II was never presented to us as at the point of sale. Essentially, at that point -- at this point we all have been deceived.

The site plan that is active on the Lennar website still shows this wonderful space allocated as future development by other.

Lennar charges premium site fees for homes that show advantages for purchasing their homes versus other homes in the community.

All of the townhomes in the east side of our neighborhood were charged an additional fee because of the advantage of not have any residential neighbors in the back. Is Lennar going to give them their money back.

The homeowners on the villas where Lennar is planning to connect the two neighborhoods were also sold on low traffic area where there children can play, and this is now proposed as becoming the only connection of both neighborhoods and going to be a major traffic zone.

Why can't this community be a separate entity? Also, why can't this community be estate homes if Lennar is so concerned about zoning being an industrial zone.

If it becomes estate homes and not townhomes villas that will decrease the number of residents as well as decrease the number of traffic in our community.

In essence, this is simply not what we were sold and it's completely deceitful. They have yet to fix issues within our own community and are
already planning on all these new amenities within a community that hasn't even been constructed yet. That's all.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Come forward, please. MR. RUSSIAN: Good evening gentlemen and ladies. My name is Larry Russian. I live in the 11419 Southwest 248 th Terrace, Homestead, Florida 33032 .

I wanted to talk about here what's going on. I have some picture evidence of what some individuals are talking about. Sometimes it's best to look at it as opposed to what people say about it.

I have three pictures here. One specifically, Artesa pool rules. We have, according to Artesa, our bathing load is 111 people. The plan they have in Artesa I and Artesa II, everyone join in together and create a community based on, you know, just so many people. I just feel like this is like a money grab for Lennar.

There's kids that play in the streets, and particularly the street that $I$ live in, the one where the villas are. If you put another -- if you put that as one of the entrance to connect Artesa $I$ or Artesa II, there is going to be major issues
when it comes to traffic when it comes to kids playing around in that area.

Also, I'm concerned with the gym facility. We only have 13 gym training machines and the space is very limited. The clubhouse, 193 maximum capacity. If you can go ahead and look at it right there.

The biggest concern that $I$ have also is the safety. There's been so many incidents where people that don't belong to Artesa come in. They burglarize. They commit robberies. People are concerned about their safety.

Artesa has, unfortunately, not been able to provide security. We see the gates unmanned, people coming in unidentified vehicles breaking in through our gates and those gates are left open.

If they're going to think about doing Artesa II they should focus on structuring and satisfying the community for Artesa I.

Another thing is like a couple of people said before, you come, you buy. I paid $\$ 250,000$ for a nice little villa that $I$ just wanted to have my community, small community. That's what sold me. If $I$ want to go live where there is a big community I will go somewhere else. I will go to either Silver Palms. I'll go to either down to Miami, but

I chose this because of that reason.

Now they're telling me that no, this is not it and you're going to be forced to have Artesa II project come in. I'm against it and $I$ wanted to go ahead and said that, express my feelings towards you guys cause at the end of the day I'm just one person. But if, you know, one person can make a difference, $I ' m$ all about it.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is there anyone else that wish to object that wish to be heard, please come forward.

Please state your name and address for the record.

MS. GOMEZ: Nilka Gomez, 25361 Southwest 117th Avenue.

First $I$ want to say thank you to Lennar and Council for giving us the opportunity to present given the numerous amount of people that are outside.

I was here before Artesa was build because I'm a resident of Islandia as well on the corner.

Lennar promised us as residents of Islandia when we came to object to Artesa I being built, they promised us as part of the project that we were going to have a traffic light on 117th and

248th. Where is that promise? That to me is critical. Why? Because it's a promise broken to a resident that got together a petition against Artesa period to get built. But we bought into the beautiful gardens and the beautiful community, and I bought into the fact that $I$ can bring my parents, which are disabled, and my father can't even cross the street because he's afraid. He can't even do it in the car because when he drives out of the gate he's got to go around and go through Islandia and go back around because the cars are speeding and it's ridiculous. Where's the promise?

So all this knew stuff, I don't buy it. I back up Mr. Brown, Mr. Centeno and all the other residents.

I don't want to have you guys here all night. There's many residents that want to say the same as I, but $I$ do want to show a picture of Artesa in reality of what we're living with the construction garbage, the construction garbage, and the debris everywhere. That's just one picture. There's many but $I$ work very, very late and I can't go take pictures of the rest. That's the garbage everywhere in the villas and the townhouse area. This is daily. This is not one day. This is
daily.
So if Lennar can't pick up garbage or can't have -- because they have control of the community. It hasn't been turned over. So if it's Phase II, when will it be turned over? In three or four years. Who's going to control this? Who's going to put our traffic light that was supposed to be put in back before Artesa was built? Not Lennar. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to object that wish to speak on this item? Please come forward.

MS. PEREZ: Good evening. Thank you all for taking time to listen to us.

I'm Karysa Perez and I live at 11781 Southwest 254th Street. I apologize beforehand because I don't like to speak in public and I get very nervous. But I'm just going to reiterate what some of my neighbors have said.

We moved into this community because of the small homey feeling because we wanted to have our neighbors feel like family and we wanted to feel safe in a small gated community where we all knew each either.

Everybody who bought into there bought into that idea. By adding a second phase that is not
properly prepared, because Lennar is currently building five townhouses in the main intersection of our community, you're now proposing that visitors come in through our main gate. Because we all know that they're not going to come in through the call box entrance that they are proposing to install because visitors are not going to know either how to use the code or how to punch it in. They're going to want to come in through a main gate.

Now they're going to have to cross through these villas and disrupt the homes of all the people that live on that street.

I'm also against this project because I feel that for the same reason that Nickie stated that there's a lot of debris, there's a lot of construction, there's a lot of strangers.

The construction site was supposed to have been closed off for our safety months ago. Yet, I still have people coming to my home unannounced, and when $I$ ask how they got in they laugh and they say through the construction entrance. Everybody knows that trick.

So we have random people coming into our community through a gate that was supposed to have
been closed by Lennar months ago.
I just feel like they lied to us. They promised something that they haven't lived up to for hard-working people who have worked and saved tons of money to move into a nice community.

I'm not opposed to this being a separate project if they want to build something else. More restaurants, I agree. We don't even have a Papa John's that will deliver to our community. We don't have anywhere to go in our area to take our kids, a movie theater. Nothing decent close by. We don't have a nail salon in the area that can accommodate all the residents who want a nice salon, who want a gas station, who want better things in our area. Not just more houses and more people and more traffic.

If they want to build something else, by all means, build something else that's going to benefit the community, but not more townhouses and Villas. More estates maybe for less traffic, less people, sure. That's all I have to say. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is there anyone in opposition that wish to speak? Please approach. State you name and address for the record, please.

MR. SIMELTON: Good evening. My name is Eric Simelton. I'm also a resident of Artesa. I live at 25216 Southwest 118th Avenue. And forgive me. Maybe you all introduced yourselves beforehand before all of us got in, but since we introduced ourselves it would be also nice for us to know who you all are as well because I don't know -- I'm not familiar with any of y'all. Maybe you all can do that at some point in time again.

But, as you can hear a common theme is the quality of life. I've lived in Artesa now for about a year. I moved down because of the traffic. It's less traffic down south. Quality of living was nicer. More value for your home as well. When I purchased my home I did not hear anything about Artesa II or Phase II that was planned.

As you've heard, along 248 Street there's a lot of traffic in the morning and in the evening coming home, and there are no streetlights or there's no traffic lights as well.

I've seen several accidents, unfortunately. Thankfully there's a fire station nearby. But I think before Lennar tries to build a Phase II and have additional properties, what's wrong with
securing the property in the Phase I that you have and making sure that it's safe and a fair place to live for individuals that live there. I think that that's really what you all need to take into consideration.

The fact that so many residents here are opposing this, you all are our voice, you know. We don't have a vote in this. So, hopefully, you all will listen to what the residents have to say because there's been a number of incidents where people have broken into homes. There's not adequate street lighting. We've had to argue and fight and fight for them to put up a freaking streetlight.

So, we don't have any confidence right now that Lennar, their Phase II will be added and this Phase will also be safe for the community.

So, my main two concerns, though, is just traffic. As I mentioned on 248 Street, I think you mentioned that -- I think Juan mentioned that they were going to add one additional lane headed westbound. Is that correct?

MR. MAYORAL: Westbound.
MR. SIMELTON: Okay. But people also have to go east. They return east as well. What happens
when people have to return? If you're only just going to just expand one lane then people returning home are going to have the same issue coming back with traffic.

Baptist owns the land, $I$ believe, across 112th Avenue. Eventually, I'm sure, they'll plan to put up a building or more offices to accommodate the residents. That's just going to add additional traffic, which right now we don't have enough lanes to accommodate that.

So, again, in conclusion, $I$ think that instead of focusing on Phase II, let's do Phase I right and make sure it's complete and make sure it's safe for our community before we move on to the next phase. Thank you.

MR. LOPEZ: Good evening. I'm Carlos Lopez. I live in 11535 Southwest $248 t h$ Lane, Homestead, Florida, 33032 in Artesa I.

I just -- I don't want to repeat. I agree with everything that has been said by all the homeowners.

And I just want to add I know two Lennars. This is just my experience. My personal
experience. The Lennar that tries to sell you a beautiful home that tells you everything is going
to be okay, we're going to take care of you, and at the moment they hand you the keys and they give you the house --

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Sir, address the Board, please.

MR. LOPEZ: Sorry. At the moment that happens you receive your house you get to know the other face of Lennar.

I have a kid five years old. He's there in the audience. And things like nails facing up from the carpet on the second floor he has to get hurt with that. I called Lennar. They say you flat them by yourself with a hammer. That's the second Lennar. The Lennar that changes everything at the last minute, that hasn't taken any care, hasn't been -- hasn't fulfilled what's promised to the people that bought houses in there. The Lennar that's changed things, the Lennar that doesn't care about people that has to deal with security issues, the Lennar that only cares about making money and selling houses, that's what $I$ have experienced in one year and a half.

Lennar is caring more delivering the new houses and people that come to live to those new houses having the same issues as me, having the
same complaint. And Lennar doesn't have any time for that. They only have time for selling more houses.

So you guys have let us down so badly so many times I don't believe your presentation. I'm against having an expansion of the community. I think it will be more harmful for us, for our families, for our community. So I'm against.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Come forward.
MS. OLIVERA: Good evening. My name is Kary Olivera. I'm on -- I live on 24819 Southwest 114th Court.

I live right in the intersection where they're proposing the connection is made to Phase II. I will tell you by living right there on that corner that lane does not -- I'm sorry. I'm nervous. I don't have fancy words or presentations. I'm a nurse. I clean poop and vomit.

That intersection does not fit two cars going back and forth. And I wish I would have come more prepared because $I$ would have been able to show you the actual interaction that they're proposing that from Phase I will be connected to Phase II, that one part in the complex that will head that way. That's first.

Second, that same area has not one light pole outside. It's pitch dark at nighttime. They've come into my car twice. I'm a single mom. Thank God for alarms.

The reason I'm opposed to Phase II, and I'm sorry, it's just $I$ wasn't sold on that. $I$ was sold on a small community. I wasn't sold on a Phase II and Phase III. And that's what $I$ was looking for especially for safety.

Also, $I$ want to mention that we have an online petition that has hundreds and hundreds of signatures from members that couldn't be here today. And it is addressed to you guys. Not by name but by board room and such.

And that's it. I feel like you guys bought the land. Do what you want to do with it. Stay out of our community. Like, you know, build something else. Call it something else, but not Artesa II, you know. And thank you so much. I won't take up anymore of your time.

MR. CAMACHO: Michael Camacho. I live at 25249 Southwest 118th Avenue. We purchased our home about a year and half ago, again, with the same promise. And since the moment that we moved in, I mean, we've had issues. The biggest concern
that we had were break-ins. The amount of thefts that we had, $I$ never experienced so much in one community. Being that it was promised to be a safe gated community that's why we didn't move into Silver Palms. We preferred going to Artesa.

One of the biggest problems that I think that we have is our security. That's everyone's concern. We've slowly progressed but it's still not there. They cannot manage one single neighborhood let alone having a second addition to our current one.

Right now if you go to the front entrance of the neighborhood, as of right now, the line of cars goes to the very front of the gate. It is extensive. They do not call us as a resident. They don't call us to go into the gate. They simply tell them, you know, the house number, the resident, and you get a phone call on your phone sometimes from a random phone number. You don't now who it is. They should have a system that is set up where it's a phone that you know it's a gate phone that's calling you, they can verify you and let the guess go in.

Now, I image there's about 750 current homes which on average is about two cars per home. Let's
call it 1500 vehicles coming in and out of that neighborhood. Now, we're going to add another 300, 300 homes. That's another 600 vehicles that are going to be coming in and out and let alone that's not accounting for the houses that have three or four cars.

The amount of visitor parking spots that we have just in the entire estates, we only have 10 parking -- like 10 or 12 parking spots for the visitors and that's it.

Now, he mentioned that they were going to be expanding our current clubhouse. To expand it possibly -- I don't know. I wasn't really sure of what he said.

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: A thousand square feet.

MR. CAMACHO: So you're going to expand the current one that we have.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Through the microphone.

MR. CAMACHO: So they're going to expand. There's now space to do that. I don't believe they're going to do it. They can say they are, but, again, we've had a lot of promises that have not been fulfilled.

If they add an extension to Artesa Number II
to our current Artesa, if they add an extension to it that main road that leads to the exit, to the main exit and entrance, think about the amount of vehicles that are going to be driving in and out of there. All those townhouses and villas that live on the corner streets, the amount of traffic they're going to hear coming in and out. That's significantly. And that's not even counting the visitors that are going to be coming into the neighborhood.

My suggestion and what $I$ think is best to have is keep the Artesa that we currently have. If you guys want to build a separate neighborhood, that's awesome. Build a different neighborhood. Do not called it Artesa II because that's not what we were promised.

And I'm sorry. I'm extremely -- I'm infuriated. My suggestion or what $I$ think would be best -- and $I$ know a lot of people in the neighborhood have trouble getting their kids into certain schools because they are booked. They are over booked. We don't have -- I don't have any kids yet but eventually when $I$ do $I$ would want to get them into a good school. Why not build a school on that corner? Why not do something where
it's not just of interest for the big company, the big corporate America. Do something for us, for the residents that are going to be living here for many, many years. Build a school. Build something that benefits the community. Not Lennar. And that's all. Thank you.

MR. FAJARDO: Good evening. Johnathan Fajardo. I live at 25025 Southwest 114th Court. I know a lot of the residents here, as stated, that have been here for a year or two years, whatnot. The gentleman just before me said he just recently moved in as $I$ did. I've been living in the community for three months right next to where the final phase of the construction in Artesa is being made.

I don't know the people that sit behind me. I don't now a lot of my neighbors in my community. But $I$ was sold on the idea that we're going to be a small community. And $I$ for one like that because of my fiancee because $I$ know there's many women here that's single moms and the closer knit of a community you have the more important it is. The more neighbors you have the more people look out for each other.

In the area that $I$ 'm at there is not one
streetlight all the way down my street. At night I can look both ways and $I$ see nothing around me. Not including the construction that's going on next to me, which $I$ don't know if there's someone right next to my house when $I$ step outside or when my fiancee goes to walk or dog she has to ask me to go walk with her.

There is visitor parking spacing right in front of my home, and $I$ can't see if there's someone inside of that vehicle waiting to do any harm to me, to my fiancee, to a neighbor, to anyone.

It's insulting that you say that there's construction going on but cannot keep it organized. I've had a crane parked in front of my home for the past two days where $I$ have to drive around my entire neighborhood just to get to park in my spot. There's no courtesy. There's no looking out for the residents that live there now. And I feel that if we do that on what's next to us $I$ think things are going to get worse.

And as many people have said already, if you're going to care about making a second residence for people next to us, focus on making what you have first, something that others will be
proud of and they will back you up and support you on. And $I$ feel that's the biggest thing that's going on here. If you can't prove to us right now what you're giving us is what you said in the beginning, we're not going to back you guys up at all.

And $I$ know that we're just here, like everyone said, to speak or minds and to let you all know and you all vote. But for being there for three months I've seen construction. I haven't seen any lights being put in our neighborhood.

I've seen kids running out in the street, golf carts. I've seen cars flying through there. You don't have an opportunity to look both ways and make sure you're safe. And that's what $I$ want. I want to be safe where I live.

If I step outside my home, my neighborhood, and $I$ know that's dangerous and that's whatever it is, but we all paid to have a safe environment for our families and for our kids and for our future. So I'm completely against it. Thank you. MR. ROWE: Good evening. My name is Tim Rowe. I live at 11725 Southwest 253 rd Street. I live in Artesa. Thank you for your time tonight.

We've talked about the traffic. People have
lost their lives at that intersection. And I don't care how much money anyone is going to make off of a Phase II or anything like that. No one's life is worth how much money is going to be made.

We've talked about security. There's definitely security issues. Everyone pretty much in our neighborhood has a camera. It's a big issue. We've talked about it.

We were all sold on the small gated community. We've talked about that.

The premiums for the people that were told that they weren't going to have a house behind them. They paid that. We talked about that. You guys are aware of that.

All the amenities, we know you guys can't fix that problem but you can certainly help from it getting worse.

We're going to have a higher occupancy in the neighborhood. Things are going to break down sooner, you know. Eventually those costs are going to come to fruition. We're going to see those costs.

We talked about parking. Mike already talked about parking. Right now if you have a friend over or anything like that you're looking at their car
possibly getting towed just because there's not enough parking in the neighborhood.

They talked about the petition. It was started about four hours ago and there's already over 200 signatures on the petition. I think that just shows you how strongly our neighborhood feels about what we're dealing with and it really is an issue.

You said earlier that normally you only get 40 people here. I think it shows, the amount of people that are here, the issues that we're having. They're not just people complaining. They're real issues. They're safety issues. They're security issues. And we just want a better place to live.

Like they're talking about the construction, they don't police the area that well as far as -sorry -- they don't clean up the area that well. If they can't even do that they're not meeting the promises that they are already making to us.

When we first started, when we first moved in there was probably only 30 pages on the -- forgive me -- the HOA Rules. Now there's over a hundred. I can't even tell you how many pages now. Looks like we're the ones that are being held to a standard. Lennar is not being held to a standard.

They are not meeting the standard. They are not meeting our standard.

So, like $I$ said, we know you can't fix all of our problems but you can definitely keep them from becoming worse. Thank you for your time.

MS. LAMBULEY: Hi. My name is Laura Lambuley. I live at 11530 Southwest $248 t h$ lane. I agree with all that have been said.

I just want to tell you I'm counting the hours to be apart from Lennar to be a community that doesn't depend on Lennar because it's a nightmare. Whatever you want to do in the community has to go through Lennar and Lennar doesn't care about anything. So it takes forever. Small things like we need a recycle bin on the villas. They told us that -- the association told us that it has to go through Lennar. I've been there like one and a half years and there is no recycle bin. And that's a small issue compared to all the issues they have addressed. But everything has to go through Lennar and they don't care. So I'm counting the hours to get rid of that relation with Lennar.

Building another community will keep us more time depending from Lennar. Right now they have their offices to sell more houses and people can
come into the community through their offices, through their sales offices right now.

So we have a gate. We have security. But people can come into their offices. So that tells a lot from how they are treating us right now.

So, the other issue is that they have model homes. They are continue showing their homes through their model homes. I don't know. They're actually into our community. So it's going to be more years or more time with a community that's not done. It's going to take more time for our community to be done and to not stay more with Lennar.

So, I'm against it and agree with what all of my neighbors have said. Thank you.

MR. ROQUE: Hello guys. My name is Pedro Roque. I live at 11465 Southwest $248 t h$ Terrace.

I live on that street that's going to connect both communities. And unlike everybody who lives with me $I$ came here today, you know, I didn't really care if they built it or didn't build it because $I$ didn't see it affecting me.

But I listened to everybody and I started doing the math. My wife's pregnant. So I figured three years from now the second community will
probably be done.
I have a three-year-old. I live right by the exit. That's an additional 300 units, give or take at two cars, 600 units that's 12,000 cars a day that I got to watch out to make sure my future three-year-old doesn't get impacted by.

So my kid -- I mean, imagine, just to walk my dog $I$ have an additional 1200 cars passing in front of my house on a daily in a small gated community.

So the only thing $I$ ask, if anybody else feels comfortable with having their kid on the street, that not only 1200 because of the rest of the community, I'll say 1500, 2000 cars drive by everybody house everyday, go for it.

But $I$ don't think there will be a single person that will say hey, I'm okay with my kid playing here in this community when $I$ have 1500 , 2000 car driving by my house on a daily basis. And that's pretty much it. Thank you.

MR. MORALES: Hello. Good evening. My name is Brian Morales and I live on 11730 Southwest 248th Terrace.

I'd like to tell you about the day when $I$ first came into Lennar's sales office. I'm a father of two young kids and $I$ lived close by for

10 years. I lived in the Villas Ocampo (phonetic) neighborhood.

So, I was like, you know, Lennar's putting up all these signs and stuff. Let me go check this out.

So $I$ walked into the sale office and I see this model. This beautiful home site. Gated community. Small community. I'm like man, this is exactly what $I$ wanted. You don't get this in Miami, you know. You don't see it too often.

So, I give Lennar 400,000 of my hard earned dollars and just to get slapped in the face in December by this man, you know, Lennar, not him personally, but calls a meeting to the home owners. It didn't go good. I don't know what they were expecting, but they called this meeting and nobody was happy. Not one person.

So, you know, at the end of the day big business always wins. So we all know that. But let's let the little guys win one time, you know. They can have their community but separate it. Close it off. It doesn't need to be Artesa II because you drive down my neighborhood and you see this beautiful sign. It says Artesa. It doesn't say Artesa I. It says Artesa. I bought into that
idea. So $I$ want to keep it. That's all I got. MR. DIXON: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Ainsley Dixon and I live at 11702 Southwest 253 rd Street in Artesa and I'm a second time owner in Lennar, and $I$ know that Lennar can do better than what has been displayed in our community right now.

My main concern, you're into zoning. Some I'm not going to tell you about all the things that my colleagues have said before, which $I$ agree with. But you're into zoning. How much more things can hold on 248 street. Let's think about that.

We just put a new school. We don't know how the kids are going to get there, a high school that can hold 3000 kids. How are we going to monitor traffic going on that corridor if we add townhomes.

We can add business because we need gas station. We need fast food. We need law offices. We need all the other things that come with a commercial development.

If Lennar should look at their development currently, they already sold out of estate homes. So they may can consider adding another maybe a hundred estate homes. Because the ratio of estate homes to the homes, villas and townhouses is way
off. Small number of estate homes, 700 villas and condos.

If you look at the demographic of the people who work in that area, where are they going to get people to rent all these homes that they are going to put there? So we're going to end up with Section 8, a different sort of arrangement that does not fit that area.

My proposal is that we do commercial but small number of estate homes so that it could have a mix for the investor to return a profit on his investment.

When you look at 248 Street, there is no way the zoning committee here can add something else of so much major traffic impact to 248 Street.

If you continue on that street you already added another development named -- on the left side of the other developer -- Arden and there's another one left of it that's already approved. We have not seen the impact of that traffic as yet.

You go further down you have another development that's going on with condos and townhomes. So, from a zoning prospective, which you are in zoning; you're not in the garbage collection and all those other things, zoning,
there is no way when you look at the current capacity, right.

You should also be concerned about how close this is to the landfill. It's right at the landfill. There's no way you can put something right next more to the landfill and to the highway.

If you want to expand 212 th Avenue going all the way down, what are you going to do if you put all these houses so close by?

We got to consider when you look at how that land reacts to rainfall, the last flood we had, it's way too much water on that land for us to now say let's go put townhomes on that land. So we have to look at the return on investment. I understand that they own the land. But what can we put there to add value to the community.

We bought into luxury. And this development will take the luxury out of Lennar. Let's keep Lennar what it is as Luxury. They still have some facilities that need to have and to make it better. But adding another 300 townhomes, et cetera, et cetera, is not the way to go. And I hope that you consider and look at the carrying capacity. You're in zoning. So look at that part, the impact that it's going to have on the existing facility that is
there and what can we do to even expand the road.
As it is right now, 248 Street, is no way we can control the traffic flow that's coming on that area and that's what $I$ have to say. Thank you.

MR. GARIBAY: Joseph Garibay (phonetic), 11803 Southwest 225 th Street. I've been coming here periodically.

Can you hear me guys?
Good evening. Thank you for actually coming out here. It was kind of intimidating. Other people were like wow.

I've been coming here since August bringing up one point and $I$ want to bring it up again. There are pockets in there. We don't have water lines. We don't have sewer lines, okay. We're on well septic.

And something occurred to me because I keep hearing and it's funny, $I$ keep hearing a lot of the tenants, I mean residents of Lennar who paid 350, 400 having middle class problems.

Please, you guys. They paid impact fees or someone paid impact fees. Right down on 188th there's a big parcel of land owned by Dade Schools. There is no school going up. There's no waterline going up. I'm trying to see if $I$ can get the
neighbors that we can somehow come up, you know, with a petition get enough people to see if they can pay it because if not $I$ have to pay my connection to water. I have less than a hundred feet between the well and the drain field. And I'm not the only one.

I keep hearing -- I heard one of the neighbors on 117 th and 248 or something with a traffic light that's going on.

At one point Lennar paid impact fees or D.R. Horn (phonetic) or someone paid impact fees. What happened to that money? Because everybody is chewing out the developer and I don't want to chew you guys out, but you guys right now are the face of county government. Where is the impact fees going.

Let's be honest. Drive by the neighborhood. It went somewhere but it didn't go to the community to the impact. The waterlines aren't going up. The sewer lines aren't going. So the what, the neighbors? Not everybody is living in Lennar. Again, they all say, oh, my God, Lennar doesn't hear us, oh, Lennar. What's happening to the impact fees. What about our utilities?

You go on your website. You start doing some
research. There is money that have to go to schools. Is the County siphoning that money? Because then we're going to definitely have a problem with schools. What is the County doing with the impact fees. How come we don't have -how come we still have pockets.

When you do the math, $I$ did the math, that's a lot of money. How come there's still pockets there with not even waterlines. Forget the sewer.

Waterlines? That's just public health issues.
Truth is, why don't you be honest with them. You guys ate up all the freaking impact fees and you're probably going to -- it's like a ponzi scheme. You got to keep going up to keep getting new money because you ate it up to reinvest it. Because then ain't nothing going to get done with what the County has to do. Because government works in our society. This is not a third world country. This is not Cuba.

I know what Cuba looks like. My grandmother made sure damn well $I$ knew what communism was. She told me we came here so you wouldn't be hungry so you wouldn't pass out (Spanish term used).

Our government is a government for the people -- by the people for the people. So what
happened to the impact fees. It's not being spent on the community.

I think we have more than just one villain here. So look at our affordability rents. So then if $I$ rent out -- if $I$ do an illegal efficiency, by code, because we have an affordability problem because certain people --- we're not making enough homes. We're not making enough affordable -- I mean affordable houses. Everything is just big two-story houses.

So if I rent it out to pay then for me to connect to the water and I get a violation, $I$ come out here with a ticket, am I going to get reimbursed. It seems very, I don't know, naive just to say no development. But at the same time we do need a certain amount of development.

However, what is the County doing with the County fees -- with the impact fees. We need to invest that.

We have to keep growing. We have a major affordability problem. We were talking about it. We were looking up at rents and everything. I'll be honest with you. I was talking to a neighbor and said hey, let's do like we do in Little Havana, start renting it out, efficiency or something,
because the County -- how much is it going to cost to connect? How much is it going to cost to do certain things when the County is not doing their part.

Denying development is not just a problem. Allowing it to go, okay, great, but are we going to use that money then wisely? Because the whole purpose of allowing, which I've been analyzing it, reach critical mass, sooner or later these pockets will get build up. You would think -- no one's brought that up, impact fees. You know -- oh, but taxes -- property taxes keep going up. Thank you guys. Good luck. God bless. May God give you some wisdom because this seems like a different situation. Good night.

MR. PARDO: Thank you. Good night.
I'm Ramiro Pardo, 25371 Southwest 117th
Avenue. Thank you for all of you for listening to us. Why? Because Lennar not listen to us. It's been two years.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: We're going to take a five minute recess to allow one of our Board Members to use the restroom.

MR. PARDO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Come back. As soon as she
comes back in you can come forward and continue. (Thereupon, there was a recess, after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Okay. We're going to continue the meeting. Please come forward and state your name for the record again and your address.

MR. PARDO: 25371 117th Avenue, Ramiro Pardo. I'm more nervous now.

Thank you for your time. You listened to us because Lennar not listen to us in two years. The people in our community is angry because it's not a small community. It's 740 houses. It's not a small one but with huge, huge problems, okay. And Lennar increase 300 more houses to connect with us. Right now it's too big is Lennar. For Lennar it's excellent business but not listen to us. Many times in here he laughing with us. One more time, one more time, the same blah, blah, blah.

It's the same because 740 houses and families is hungry with many problems, with traffic, with burglary, with many problems.

The problem will probably decrease if Lennar listen to us. The scenery for Lennar is a good business. For us it's our families, our kids, our
life. What is more heavy, the business or our family. Just decide. Thank you.

MR. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Danilo Cruz. I live at 25265 West 118th Avenue in Artesa. I must say that $I$ have my good moments with Lennar. My family bought five properties in Artesa and I have good moments with Lennar.

I did interview some of my neighbors to see how they feel about Phase II and nobody basically likes the idea. They want to keep us separate.

Like I said, I have my moments with Lennar. I did speak to Lennar and I expressed myself about the health club, swimming pool and a little bit late they want to address those issues. Now that these people aren't going to agree with that it's different because they don't want to be linked to a new community.

Now, I'm going to leave that aside and I'm going to talk to something that really concerns me as a father with children. Not myself but my family that come to see me.

I was involved in an accident right there just at the phase of the community. That intersection is deadly. Deadly. We need a traffic light there. Yesterday, the day before yesterday my car was
almost totalled. I have five people in my car. They were visiting me from New York and I didn't know where the car came from. It just hit me as I was almost completing the cross from 117th Street to cross to 248 th Street.

And then $I$ was told that an accident was taking place at least once or twice a week there. Every two weeks there was an accident. And that is a major, major concern, that intersection.

Every time I'm going to cross the intersection my wife gets shaken. She's traumatized. Completely traumatized. That is my main concern right now, a traffic light there.

And, also, I do really have to back up my neighbors because we all came with the idea that it was going to be a very safe community and small. Another thing, I come from New York State. I bought here and $I$ know about real estate. Real estate is location, location, location. I don't know if this is the right moment but when $I$ was in New York looking for a place, somehow, please forgive me if I offend anybody, but I understood that real estate was more expensive in Miami than in Homestead. So, my deed says Miami, Florida, 33032 .

You cannot find my home if you put it on a GPS with an address. It will take you somewhere else.

So right now we have three names: Homestead, Princeton and Miami. If $I$ want to sell my house to somebody in New York, for me to get the money that I want, my same house in Homestead cost less than in Miami according to the real estate market. And how much the footage cost.

So, those basically are my concerns right now and also that $I$ back up my neighbors in this phase. Thank you.

MS. JULIA: Hi. My name is Julia. I live in 11712, the street number 253.

I have two things to say. For this 32 acres my suggestion is two things. One is commercial site, the either one is for a community center and park.

Commercial site, Lennar should not put a cheap grocery store, fast food restaurant and gas station. Lennar should not help elevate the area, and good store, not the ones Lennar proposed. Lennar should put a stop box. Stop box add value to the neighborhood, and about the green community I propose we should have a community center like a gym. We have a basketball court. We can play
volleyball, badminton indoor and also have outdoor. We could have barbecue in outdoor for kids and in green area play soccer and we have lots of things we can do because this area is so close to the turnpike, freeway and it's very, very noisy.

If you build a lot of residential area it's going to affect the value because it's so close to the freeway. Make so much noises there. And that's why my thought is, that 32 acres so close to 112 Avenue and 248 Street. We should have a good design and benefit our community. Thank you. MR. PEREZ: Good evening. My name is Daniel Perez. My home address is 11791 Southwest 248 th Terrace. I'm a resident of Lennar.

I think my previous homeowners have made amazing points and amazing arguments, but at the end of the day to put everything in summary and put everything in a nutshell, us as homeowners, what are we really gaining by this?

So put yourselves in our shoes. We just purchased, you know, a beautiful home with some amenities and we're happy, but what are we really gaining by this. We're going to gain an extra pool which it's going to be for other tenants. We're not gaining anything from this.

So, at the end of the day we have zero benefits for anything that Lennar is about to do. We're going to gain three treadmills, if that, and we're going to gain another pool which we can't swim in two pools at the same time.

So why are we here? Why not make a separate community. Our voices are heard. We don't want to be part of Artesa II.

The reason they don't want to make another community, it's going to cost them more money point-blank. They're going to take the easy way out and just add to this community. They're going to add a road.

A lot of people paid home site premiums because $I$ paid a home site premium. I don't see the benefit here. It's just a joke. And I feel like everything is getting lost in the shuffle.

But at the end of the day we have nothing to gain. We have more to lose than to gain. The benefits definitely do not outweigh the risks.

Artesa I management has been a disaster. For instance, $I$ still don't know where $I$ pay my association. I've been living there for three months and $I$ haven't gotten a coupon book in the mail. I have to go in person to the association to
pay the association like it were 1985.
The way they run things -- I'm a pharmacy director and manage multiple employees and multiple pharmacies. And the way Lennar run things and for them to be a publicly trading company and everything else is a joke.

So Lennar doesn't do one job right and they want to increase. You know, they want to add more things to their list. It's a joke to me. It's like running a business 50 percent and then expanding your business. It's going to lead to a disaster. We have nothing to gain.

The issue we're having with security is severe. And not only is it severe, there is an issue we're having that people who have purchased homes in Lennar are renting to other tenants and those tenants are the ones that are robbing us. So we have people in there that aren't being screened.

So, we have investors buying properties from other states from other cities, buying properties and Homestead because they're affordable and whatnot and they have the potential for growth, but those tenants that those investors are putting in our community are not being screened and those people are committing most of the robberies in

Lennar.
So, by increasing 300 more homes and not even taking care of the homes you have already, it's going to lead for a disaster.

So, our gaining is a pool and our potential losses could be huge. So, this doesn't make any sense. We're gaining a pool and a basketball court and three treadmills.

This isn't going to appreciate our property value. This isn't going to do nothing for us. So that's my opinion.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Through the Chair. I'm sorry. What's your name again?

MR. PEREZ: Daniel Perez.
Councilman Farias: Daniel. Okay.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other objectors that wish to approach?

MR. CHIANG: My name is Frank Chiang, C-h-i-a-n-g. I'm a visitor from San Diego, California. I just wanted to make a general -- I'm a visitor. I'm visiting my daughter who's a resident.

Just general observation. Today we have a large group of residents who cared enough to come to the hearing and the facility could not
accommodate all of them. And I recognize your genuine effort to accommodate everybody, but I'm afraid that the validity of this hearing could be easily charged in court because we have segregation. One group gets the benefit of seeing the presenter pointing the chart. And, yes, you did take the chart and put it outside, but they did not have the benefit of seeing the dynamic presentation.

And, so, with that that leads me to believe that if you render any decision today based on this hearing, the residents outside can easily challenge it in court. So that's my two cents. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to object or raise objections? Please come forward.

MS. HASSUN: Good evening. My name is Annette Hassun. I am an owner and resident of 11791 Southwest $250 t h$ Street.

I am here today not to repeat what my fellow residents from Lennar Artesa has said, but to also address one concern that really -- I mean it's kind of crazy. With all the security issues that we are currently having they want to have a pedestrian walkway from the commercial portion to the Artesa

II Phase. And to me that would just open up the door to even more security issues.

Now, I'm a mother as you can see. I have my baby over there. And $I$ fear, $I$ fear currently in my house when $I$ take care of my baby, I fear. I should not have to fear. Because of how the community is set up, there is no security. I should not have to fear. I'm alone with my baby taking care of her and $I$ should not have to fear.

Now, add in that pedestrian walkway or the auto portion of it that people can come in and out of the commercial area into the residential area. I oppose it completely. I think it will be very unsafe, although great and beneficial to the residents to be able to go from the residence to the commercial property in a kind of back alleyway. I feel that it raises the security issue. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to speak on this item.

MR. SIMELTON: Ten seconds. Real quick. And, actually, $I$ had to look on the website just to verify this because one of my neighbors made a very good point.

Eric Simelton, address 25216 Southwest 118th

Avenue.
He brought up a good point and this shows the deception or deceptive practices of Lennar. If you look at their website for Lennar Artesa it lists the Welcome Center, the City is Miami, Florida, Zip code 33032. That's what $I$ was told my address would be, Miami.

I know people have a stigmatism when you say you live in Homestead in terms of coming down there, and that's what they told me my address would be.

But when I moved into my house and asked the post office worker what $Z i p$ code does this city correspond to, they said Homestead or Princeton.

So tell me why does their address on the website say Miami, Florida? That's just something that's very deceptive when you're trying to sell to customers. They know that Miami sells more than Homestead and they can raise the prices more because of that. That's a question I hope, you know, this gentleman can answer as well.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other objectors? Are there any other persons outside that wish to come forward and raise objections to this item?

MR. ROQUE: I just have one question. COUNCILMAN JACKSON: You have to come up. MR. ROQUE: Pedro Roque, 11465 Southwest 248 th Terrace. The question is actually for Juan. MR. MAYORAL: Can you ask them and I will answer.

MR. ROQUE: They say they're going to expand our current clubhouse. While expanding the current clubhouse will we still have access to the amenities, as using the clubhouse and the pool, because $I$ can imagine you put a construction site, I don't know what's going to be unavailable, you know, through the year or however long it takes to expand that one space. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to object or raise an objection.

At this time we want anyone that wish to speak in favor of this item to come forward.

At this time we'll take a last five minute recess.
(Thereupon, there was a recess taken, after which the following transpired.)

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone wishing to speak in support of this item please come forward. As you come forward, please state your name and address
for the record.
MR. CRUZ: Hello. How are you doing. My name is Alan Cruz. I live at 21412 Southwest 89th Avenue, Manatee Cove.

At first $I$ was against the project because $I$ travel to Homestead on 248 and nobody likes traffic, but after hearing the gentleman's presentation $I$ am for the project. I feel that it really benefits to have an Aldi's Supermarket close to a place where you live and I've heard great things about Wawa. I have relatives in Orlando and they tell me nothing but great things about it. I look forward in the future to be able to purchase a house in the complex. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone else wish to speak on this item in support of this item? Come forward, state your name and address for the record, please.

MR. FORBES: Good evening, everyone. My name is Timothy Forbes. My address is 25208 Southwest 117th Place. I'm a pastor in the north end of town. I work a lot with youth, I work a lot with, you know, people, as most pastors do.

I decided to move here in this community because as a lot of the people that spoke earlier said they moved in because it was going to be a
community that's gated and that it's small.
And I must admit $I$ was sold on that and $I$ was very happy with that when $I$ moved in and I'm still very happy where I live.

A lot of the people that came here before me are my friends, are my neighbors. Some of them said to me as they were walking out, man, how much are they paying you? I said the same amount they're paying you, nothing.

I'm in here because $I$ have a vested interest just like they do. I'm a homeowner in that community. I spent a lot of money there since I've been there and I plan on spending more because I love where I live.

So, getting right to the point about what Lennar is doing or will do and how it's affecting our community as you have heard in great detail very passionately, I'm more for a residential developer taking over that piece of land over there versus a commercial or industrial developer.

I see the difference between the two and I have seen them personally myself. I witnessed it. This is not my first, rodeo as they say, living in a gated community. It's not my first Lennar community living in from scratch. I've lived in a

GL home or GL property from scratch in Pembroke Pines and in Cooper City, Florida.

So, I know what it's like when you first move in and go through all of these different changes from security issues to, you know, community amenities not being enough or not being adequate enough.

But I must say that over time, and I've lived in all those places for at least more than seven years in each place, it does get better.

Being that Lennar is who Lennar is, I see it getting better and $I$ see it getting better and not worse if they should develop and be allowed to develop that property over there as they have displayed or as they have shown us today.

I've had a chance to speak with the Lennar people that are in here now personally, up front, one-on-one, and $I$ didn't pull no punches, you know, because the same thing that they're hearing from a multitude of people tonight $I$ had a chance on one-on-one to say with them.

And in doing so they asked me a very important question. They said well, you know, what could we do? Not what we're willing to do. What can we do? To me that's saying a lot. To me that says I'm not
coming in here trying to tell y'all what to do and how to do it, where to do it and that's it. Shut up and sit down. To me that's saying listen, we want to try and work with you, but we're getting more of a fight than we are getting cooperation. I understand that we can agree to disagree, but at some point we got to find a common ground and try and work it out. Anybody in here that's been married more than one year know what I'm talking about.

So, after sitting down with them and sharing with them a lot of the concerns, because I've talked with people in my community, some of the same people that came in here tonight, passionately expressing themselves about not having it there for many different reasons. I have spoken with them. They shared that with me and I shared with them what Lennar has shared with me about increasing amenities.

Let me just say it like this, I asked them to approach this with a common sense inclusive type approach, you know. You can't do what is being proposed without doing some type of give back, and I believe that they are trying to do that.

You now, $I$ heard somebody say in their
comments that the pool is only designed to hold 183 people. I've been there for over -- well, close going into a year now. May will be a year. I haven't seen no where near that many people in that pool, and I don't think you're going to see that many people in that pool at one time.

That being said, I think that the pool that they have there now is just about adequate. Meaning that could it be bigger? Yeah. But I believe that it's good enough for what it is for the 750 plus homes there.

Now, if you're going to add another 280 plus homes, I think that there should be some more amenities concerns taken into consideration. They have done that. They are going to add a pool with a cabana. I know you already heard it already so I'm not even going to go into the details of it. You know what they're adding and you know what they're willing to do.

And I believe that if both sides could come to an understanding that hey, listen, we got something on the table we can work with, I don't believe it probably can end there.

They're putting a basketball goal. It's only two goals. Maybe we can twist their arms enough to
give us four. Maybe we can twist their arms to do something else. And $I$ use that term twisting their arms in a colorful way. Nobody is going to twist anybody's arm.

But I believe that we should come together to reason together and come to some type of resolve that we all can live with. Because that piece of land over there is going to be developed period. It will be developed and I prefer it to be developed by a builder that has us in mind and $I$ believe Lennar has that.

It may have not started off right in the way that everybody would be probably more for it or kind of like down the middle, but, hey, listen, everybody deserves another chance, everybody deserves another opportunity. We all have had them and $I$ don't think Lennar is any different.

Now, I got to go back and face these neighbors that are looking at me saying man, how can you? Well, my justification is that $I$ want to see what's best for our community and I think it's best that if we go with the residential development versus a industrial or commercial. And I believe I've summed up everything $I$ wanted to say about that. Thank you for your time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to come forward and speak on this particular item -- in support of this item? I'm sorry.

MS. GUERTILL: Hi. My name is Elizabeth Guertill (phonetic). My address is 23942 Southwest 107th Court. I agree with the gentleman that just spoke. The land is going to be developed. What I heard tonight was a lot of growing pains which all, you know, areas have and $I$ think it makes since to have the same builder come together and work together with the residents needs. And, you know, it's a matter of just sitting down and communicating and that's the important part. Because $I$ think the land will be developed and it make sense to have the same builder there. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Come forward if you wish to speak on this item -- in support of this item. Sorry.

MS. Mozellus: Hi. Good evening. My name is Pat Mozellus (phonetic). I live at 23938 Southwest 17th Court in Spicewood. I was one of the original buyers there. And when $I$ bought there we had the huge upset with the market, the housing market, and
it was a real struggle. We bought there with the intention of seeing growth residentially. We wanted to see a neighborhood. We wanted to see things like that come to together. So the thought of industrial or commercial versus residential with a nice little piece of commercial on the property to me is amazing. And $I$ also agree with this gentleman, the Wawa. In Orlando I love the Wawa. So that also was like a big plus for me. But I personally think residential would be the best benefit for the area. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone else wish to speak on this item -- in support of this item come forward, please.

MS. EDGECOMB: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Committee Members. My name is Carolyn Edgecomb. I live at 13795 Southwest 268 Street. My neighbors and I are here tonight. We do support, we continue to support this project. That's what I wanted to say.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone else wish to come forward and speak on this item -- in support of this item?

MR. FORBES: Good evening, Council Members. My name is Ken Forbes. 25121 Southwest 128th

Place.
I heard the ones before that was against. An understanding, that's just a subdivision in our community, okay. We've been for a long time coming to this Council and talking about the development that we would love to see in our community.

And our community is not just one subdivision. Artesa is just one subdivision. We was here to support it when Artesa was put in. We was here for Spicewood. We was here for Islandia. We was here for Biscayne Point. We was here for all of it. But we talked about it as our community.

And, so, that's what everybody has to understand. This is one big community. We do have -- we do have problems along all of the lines that they discussed here tonight, but that those are not zoning issues.

Lennar did not get their reputation, you know, you can have issues with them, but they didn't get their reputation as one of the nation's builders of homes, right, and do what they do because they have been disappointing. Trust me, they would have been out the business a long time, but they have been a good partner in this neighbor. They have came in and they given us the mixed-use development that we
all wanted to see happen in this community.
So, when we stand up here we're walk talking about our entire community as a whole, and you know, one little big little pocket, you know. You got like three neighborhoods. You got Goulds. You got Princeton. You got Naranja.

And we have been here. We have been here since the inception of Community Council 1996.

We have seen Palmetto Bay become a city. we have seen Cutler Bay become a city. And we were promised and we're working at it right now that this was going to become a city also.

So, understanding, when we come here we are coming here because we're looking at the big picture. This is our community. We want the mixed-use. We want to see people being able to live, play and work in their community, and that's what we're hoping for and we hope that this Council will continue to approve applications that meet that.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Anyone else that wish to come forward in support of this item? Please do so now.

MS. THOMAS: Good evening, Council. My name is Katrina Thomas. My address is 14301 Southwest

268th Street. I am the Executive Director of Zion Community Development. I'm here tonight to support this application. I live in the adjoining neighborhood, and $I$ feel like knowing that this is a mixed-use development it will enhance our community. It will bring out more of those that wish to come to this community and have lived in this community. So I'm here tonight to support this community development and ask that you please listen to us. We are voicing our opinion. We are voicing the opinions of neighbors who were not here -- able to make it here tonight.

And, again, $I$ ask that you please support this application. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other supporters that wish to come forward at this time? Doso.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good evening. My name is Luis Hernandez. I'm the district manager for Palm Glades Community Development District. I'm coming here on behalf of the Board of Palm Glades Development District. The issue that is on hand was presented and disclosed to the Board supervisors. They represent 1712 units that will be affected by the traffic whether this project was
to be done or not. They are in favor of the project and I'm just here to state that and to let the commission know that the Board has approved the resolution in favor and in support of this project. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there any other persons wishing to come forward to speak on this item in support of this item?

At this time we're going to close it for public -- we're going to close the public comment portion of it and the Board will start to deliberate.

Okay. We'll give you two minutes to rebut.
MR. MAYORAL: With all due respect.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Make it ten.
MR. MAYORAL: I need more than two minutes to go over all the items that were raised.

I promise to be very brief but $I$ will need more than two minutes.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Make it ten.

MR. MAYORAL: Ten will be perfect. Thank you so much.

So let me start by saying there are many other people outside who did not come in because they did not wish to speak but they were here to express
their support of this application through their presence.

As far as the neighbors, mostly residents of Artesa, actually all residents or Artesa I, it sounds like they speak from their heart and that they have some serious questions and concerns. I think that we did a good job of answering those questions and concerns and will continue to work with them as we move through this process.

There are a couple of common themes in their statements. They refer to their beautiful homes and their beautiful community. The proposal for the new Artesa will be beautiful homes and beautiful community.

They complained and many, many of their complaints are complaints that are more properly vetted and aired before a homeowners association meeting or a security committee meeting, their complaints about the security and construction traffic and construction debris. And really what they reflect is the fact that they're suffering growing pains.

Eighty-six percent of the community has been completed. They talked about the lack of street lighting. Seventy percent of the streetlights have
been installed. That's because Lennar doesn't install streetlights. They are installed by FP\&L. Lennar simply pays for the installation of the streetlights.

I know that because of the last hurricane season which we were unfortunately very active. FP\&L has been very behind in the installation of those streetlights, and that's exactly what's going on here. Seventy percent of the streetlights have been connected.

There are some very specific good questions and points that $I$ would like to address, and as $I$ remembered names $I$ wrote them down so $I$ will refer to specific people.

Juan Carlos Centeno participated in one of the meetings that Lennar had back in December. He says that there was no communication between December and more recently, and he questioned whether the letter that $I$ introduced into the record had actually been provided.

The letter is dated March 6th which was disseminated, transmitted door to door in some instances and through the management company, and in that letter Lennar has made a real commitment to expand the recreational facilities for both Phase I
and Phase II.
The clubhouse, a gentleman ask if the existing facility will remain functional during the expansion of the clubhouse in Phase I. The proposal as shown in that sketch is we should have a separate structure that will be connected to the existing clubhouse.

So, if you were doing an expansion of your own, you know, you can expand and then you finish that construction and you make that connection. So that's exactly how it would happen.

People talked about the fact that they were sold the fact that that land would not be part of Artesa. What is clear in the record and by their own statement is that the land was shown for future development by others. That's what Lennar knew at the time. The land was not on the contract. They don't own the land. They didn't have it on the contract. So they disclosed that that land was not to remain vacant forever. There will be development at some point in the future and probably by others because they did not have it on the contract.

However, it is also clear that the HOA documents, the disclosures that were made
allowed -- provided very clearly that Lennar reserves the right to expand the community to include additional land.

Regarding the vehicular connection between Phase I and Phase II, if you're simply going on that road to go to work or to come home and you live in Phase II, there's really no reason for you to take that connection because 113th Avenue will be signalized as well as 117th. So why would you want to travel west to then go through the main town access point in Artesa Phase I to then make a left turn when you can simply make a left turn, right turn out of the community at 113th.

The commitment for the traffic light on 117th Avenue has gone beyond a commitment. Lennar has already paid the funds as reflected in Public Records Recommendation that specifically states that they have received the contribution for the installation of the traffic signal on 117 th Avenue. That's not a promise. That's a reality. That money was actually paid to the County a couple years ago for the installation of that traffic signal.

The issue with construction traffic and the gates not being closed and the issues with crime,
there was a time when the gates were staying open because there was a lot of construction traffic going back and forth.

Again, growing pains. This is community that is still under development and you will experience construction traffic, construction delays and the like.

Lennar has made a commitment to the association that the construction for the new phase, that construction traffic will simply enter and exit through 113th Court and not through 117th Avenue.

There were many issues that are probably not proper questions or comments for a zoning hearing and that has to do with warrant issues and, you know, workmanship and the like.

Val Snider who's the customer care manager for Lennar homes is here tonight. He brought his computer. So if anyone has a customer care warrant issue they should see Val Snider at the end of the meeting.

Someone mentioned the fact that there are not enough guest spaces within Phase I. Phase II actually brings forth 90 guest spaces, much more than required by code, and those is connected and
will be available for both Phase I and Phase II. The traffic impact as we looked at that Master Plan with the big red area, traffic impact of this combined retail/residential are half of the impact of the project if we were to develop a one hundred percent retail and office use.

A couple of people mentioned that Lennar with this Phase II was taking the luxury out of Lennar. These residences that we're proposing, the homes that we're proposing are the exact same homes that are built in Artesa I. If you go on the website for Artesa I, Lennar's website, if you saw the renderings for the community, that community was built and today exist exactly as shown upon the plans that were approved by this County and all of the marketing materials.

Joe, and $I$ forgot his last name, mentioned impact fees. This project will pay $\$ 4.5$ million in impact fees. Phase $I$ paid $\$ 9$ million in impact fees. The only way to designate those impact fees revenues to be spent in the area is through the commitments that have been made by Lennar, and we'll go through the improvements in a minute. I think the lady with the baby on this corner mentioned the fact that the gated -- pedestrian
access would increase crime. That is a gated pedestrian access that would only be available to residents of the community, of course residents can bring guests, but that would be the only access. In terms of roadway improvements, and I'm concluding in one minute Mr. Chair, if $I$ could ask you to go to Page 17 of your agenda packets, that is where part of the Board recommendations and all of the improvements, all of the improvements that have been discussed tonight are listed in that recommendation. They are also listed elsewhere in the packet. But this is a good listing. It's confirmation that the contribution for the signal on 117 th Avenue has been made. It calls for the installation of the signal at 113th Avenue. It talks about the requirement to improve not just westbound $248 t h$ Street but also eastbound $248 t h$ Street.

I think somebody mentioned or misunderstood my statement. We're actually improving both, the south side of 248 and the north side of 248.

In addition to the language in the
Recommendation per staff, we have proffered the Declaration of Restrictions and those Declarations include very specific requirements, including a
timing for the installation of that signal.
At the end of the day, $I$ believe that Mr. Forbes, Ken Forbes said it best, we're discussing a subdivision tonight. We're discussing problems that homeowners might have had with Lennar at some point as they commenced and continue their home ownership experience. And I've heard those complaints in just about any type of construction. It happens in new homes. It happens in all homes. But he said it best. This maybe an application about a subdivision, but we're talking about a community.

Someone else asked is this Miami or Homestead. This is neither Miami or Homestead. It's actually Princeton. And if the communities of Goulds, Princeton and Naranja had shut the door and said we do not want any development in an area, of the family who resides in Artesa of Phase I today would not be here today to talk about their beautiful homes and their beautiful community.

This application in the community of Princeton, Goulds to the north, brings forth an additional opportunity for another set of families to also enjoy the privilege and the benefits of home ownership in the community of Princeton.

And your professional staff, you can disregard everything $I$ said, but your professional staff had a year to review this application, to review the impacts, and they have concluded that the approval of this application will be consistent with the Master Plan, and the proposal will be compatible with the area, and that the impacts of the project can be accommodated by the public services and facilities.

I can go into the school capacity. I have all the numbers here. Someone mentioned that the schools were overcrowded. Goulds Elementary up the road is at 56 percent of capacity and many others are showing up great capacity.

The probably 248 th Street and the problem with schools is not lack of capacity. 248th is lack of control. That's why we need the two signals. The problem with the schools is that the new students are being targeted to new schools when you have schools like Goulds Elementary and others at half capacity to accommodate additional students and students are not being sent there.

In this case Goulds with this community actually is not within the attendance boundary for Goulds. When I found that out $I$ was flabbergasted.

It's just up the road and it's at 56 percent of capacity today. I have the numbers if you'd like to see them.

I would urge your approval of this application again in accordance with the recommendation from staff. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: We're going to close the floor right? We're going to close the floor. There will be no more public comment. The Board will deliberate.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I have a question for Mr. Mayoral.

The traffic -- not the traffic light, but the entrance that you have on Southwest 112th Avenue turning into the development, now you said they're going to make a right turn coming in from 112 if they are headed south?

MR. MAYORAL: Right, in here.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: NOw, when they come out headed south, how will you make sure that they're not going to try to cross the traffic and go back north?

MR. MAYORAL: So we're going to actual make it physically impossible to do that by building up a -- I think they call it a landchop (phonetic) so
that the curbing is raised and you're channeled and forced to make a right. You can't make a left turn onto northbound 112.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Okay. Then on the north side of 248 th , I'd like to say there's no sidewalk, I'd like to say children walk to school in the grass, and like people are saying they almost getting hit by cars. I travel that road myself because my son lives on the other end of the street and it is very, very dark.

MR. MAYORAL: Right.
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: So do you have any idea when they're going to put streetlights along that road?

MR. MAYORAL: Well, the streetlights along 248th Street will be installed in connection with the development of the commercial parcel and the residential parcel. Sidewalks will be added, the road will be widen. So after all is said and done, the improvements to 248 th Street, the streetlights and the traffic signal installed, it will be a lot more pedestrian friendly than it is today. And, quite frankly, there is a lack of traffic control on 248 th Street.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: So, how far will it go from

112th Avenue to what other avenue?

MR. MAYORAL: So this is 113th Court, the entrance for Artesa $I$ is at 117th Avenue. So I'm going to guesstimate that it will be about 114 th , 115th Avenue, those are the improvements that will be made. The westbound $248 t h$ Street actually will go west to the Fire Station to this point.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I'm quite sure it's not your development. My concern is $I$ don't know if you can get the other developers to do sidewalks on the north side of the street. But, again, from 114ht to where the school goes, I believe to southwest 124 to 127 th Avenue, will you have sidewalks for those children to walk on to get to Coconut Palm and to Summerset to that they're building because they do have to cross the road.

MR. MAYORAL: So we are actually making a commitment to install or to expand 248 th street. Those plans would have to be approved by the County, but the County could require that the sidewalk be included as part of those improvement plans. It would not go through -- I think you mentioned $122 n d$.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Right, 124 th to 127 th . MR. MAYORAL: Right. We would go at least to
the fire station site. This property is vacant here. It's actually approved for commercial development. It was approved by this Council some years ago. So when they come in they will be required to not only improve 248 th street but they will actually have to add their sidewalk, and as this area come to you for development they too will be required to make improvements to $248 t h$ Street and the sidewalk.

Unfortunately, Councilwoman Demps, the way that County subdivision code works, the County really doesn't make a lot of street improvements in neighborhoods. They are very good at expanding big roads, right.

The neighborhood streets are mostly funded through the development process both by projects that make improvements and by projects that pay impact fees. So what that leads to is basically the haphazard improvements of streets and sidewalks.

We come in now. We improve up to here and then subsequently parcels come in for development and they make more improvements.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: Okay, my final question, I don't know if this is for you or County Attorney,
since he's paid his impact fees for the light at 248th and 117 th , is there any way we can get an answer as to when that light will be installed? Because $I$ use that myself and it's very difficult to get across the road.

MR. MAYORAL: $I$ will get you an answer.
Unfortunately, $I$ don't have the answer tonight, but I will find out. I actually was involved in making that payment because $I$ got the check from Lennar and $I$ delivered to the County. So $I$ will find out from the County staff when they anticipate the installation of that signal to take place.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: All right. Thank you very much.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: I'd like to say something to the Council.

Several years ago, with all due respect Mr. Mayoral, you came here before the Council and $I$ was the only person on this Council to vote no. I don't know if you remember that.

MR. MAYORAL: I remember that.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: I was the only one to vote no.

And every time you came here $I$ was the only person to vote no. And $I$ knew this was going to
happen. I didn't fall for the smoking mirrors then and I'm not falling for it now. I knew it was going to happen.

MR. MAYORAI: What was going to happen?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: It was overcrowded and you all came back to us before. You wanted to add more homes, you wanted to shrink the backyards. Look at the traffic that these people are having to suffer with right now.

I have heard from so many people that have seen me out there on the street and they are all very upset about the traffic. You can add a lane heading west, but that's not going to help these residents.

And, also, I'm really concerned about things that $I$ had no idea about which is not a zoning factor, but $I$ am still concerned about what's happening for these residents in that area.

Me, myself, I'm going to ask this Council to please this time do the right thing by these people. They came to us before once and now I'm asking you guys now to please do the right thing. Don't let me be the only no vote out there. Let's think about these people now and $I$ think about the area.

Residential, $I$ would say do the commercial if we can. I mean, $I$ don't see nothing wrong with the commercial property and then adding there for these people restaurants, salons, you know, you name it, office space. Give us that. But more homes. We have over built this area and it is time to stop. It really is time to stop and give us a break from.

Yeah, Lennar is a big place. You're a big company. You guys have the -- I mean, you guys can do this for us. You can stop it.

I don't know if you remember when you came before us Manual Diaz he had a representative here and he came up to me afterwards and thanked me. Thanked me. He said you get it. He said Manual Diaz, when he sold this land to Lennar did not expect this to happen. Absolutely not. So I'm asking this Council to please do the right thing this time by these residents.

MR. MAYORAL: If I may address Councilwoman's points at this time.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: Sure.

MR. MAYORAL: Number one, as to the density both for Phase $I$ and Phase II, I now you objected and voted no and $I$ fully expect that you will vote no today. I expected that from the beginning.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: No, no, please. I'm voting no because of what $I$ did not want last time and it happened. And now we have people who have now moved into these homes and it happened.

MR. MAYORAL: Right. But to be fair, and the record would show this, what they expressed concerns with was not about traffic volume per se but a lack of traffic control, which can easily be addressed through the installation of the traffic signal. And so you say --

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Wait a minute. I'm sorry. So you're telling me now, you're saying that they have no concern about traffic volume? MR. MAYORAL: No, I didn't say that. COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, what did you say.

MR. MAYORAL: I said their main concern with safety, the ability to make a turn on 248 th Street. COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Well, wouldn't that be the main issue?

MR. MAYORAL: I'm agreeing with you on that. COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. MAYORAL: And that would be remedied by the installation of the traffic signal.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Oh, it's going to take
more than that. First of all, it's just a lot of cars. One of the residents came forward and mentioned with your new phase here you'll looking at 1200 more cars. So you think one lane going one direction westbound is going to help eliminate that problem?

MR. MAYORAL: That's not even a requirement that would be applicable in this case. Lennar has agreed to expand $248 t h$ Street. Usually we would just improve our side. Not the other side of the road. We're agreeing to do that because it provides a benefit to working of that interaction on 112 th and 248 th Street.

I certainly don't want to argue with you. I want to be very respectful, but if we're talking about traffic, simply shear traffic volume, residential development of that parcel will generate a lot less traffic --

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: And you said that the last time and guess what happened. Before you guys built this that's exactly what you said the last time. And then looked what happened. People are now coming out there now and they can't even make it to 112.

MR. MAYORAL: Actually, I couldn't have said
that the last time because this property, that Phase $I$ was not designated business, never been designated business, but Phase II is designated business.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: I'm talking about the land, the residential section. I've said my peace. I'm asking the Council to do right by these residents this time.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I have a couple of questions. My first one's to staff. Just so I'm clear because $I$ heard this already three times today. Right now this property is zoned $A U$, correct?

MS . HENDERSON: Yes.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Even though the language description is business and office, it doesn't mean that someone is going to come up and pop up with industrial or big office without coming to us first, right?

MS. HENDERSON: Well, it's zoned AU but the land use is business or office. So if it remains AU then they can only do agriculture use.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: The statement that they're saying that, you know, this is going to bring less traffic in residential compared to big business
coming in there is exactly false?
MS. HENDERSON: I'm not understanding your question.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: The way it is right now, and it was just now when Councilwoman Marva Williams had said that, he said that right now because of this being residential mixed-use, the way it is they're proposing, it's going to bring less traffic than if the way it is with businesses and offices come in.

MS. HENDERSON: You mean if they do the zone change to BU?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Right.
MS. HENDERSON: Uh-huh. It will be less traffic?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: That will be less traffic, yes, that's what I'm asking, will it?

MS. HENDERSON: That, I couldn't answer that.
MR. MAYORAL: I'm sorry. You said my statement was false. As I must correct the record. I didn't say that. Our traffic expert calculated the impacts. I introduced that into the record. We have a board that shows plainly that the proposal before you will generate 7631 daily trips and that a general retail office development on
that property will generate 14,783, almost double the trips. So I did not make that up.

But $I$ did not mean to say that we just do it as a right. We do have to go through the process. We're going to have to come before this Board and show you how we intended to develop the retail office complex.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: The next is I have a question for Daniel Perez. Is that possible to ask him a question?

MR. MAYORAL: He may be outside.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Go ahead.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Daniel Perez, are you still out there.

MR. PEREZ: Yes.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Good evening. Thank you for coming out here. My question was when you had said that you paid more premium because -- can you explain that a little bit.

MR. PEREZ: Depending on where your house is located and the direction, if it's a corner lot, you pay a little bit more.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Were you one of the ones I had heard that you paid more or an extra premium because you weren't going to have no --

MR. PEREZ: Yeah. If you don't have neighbors in the back you pay more and they sell you on that. That's an upscale. So they tell you, you can buy that unit over there, you know, for $x$ amount of money, but if you give us a little bit more money you can have that unit, and guess what, you'll have no back door neighbors, and you pay more for that, definitely. It's an added value, so I felt as if, yes, it's an added value.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: So now you're thinking that they're going to add these to people on the back so they paid for nothing.

MR. PEREZ: So it's a decrease value. You paid more than you should have at that point, in my opinion.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: All right. Thank you.
MR. PEREZ: One more thing $I$ forgot to mention also about the traffic. If you add a major grocery store --

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: You can't speak.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: That was the question that I asked. I'm sorry. Thank you.

MR. DIAZ: No problem.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Can you elaborate on that.

MR. MAYORAL: Sure, sure. Even if that were
true, and $I$ don't know if it's true or not, even if that were true, it has nothing to do with the zoning application that's before you. It has nothing do with whether this property should be rezoned to $B U-1 A$ and $R U-3 M$.

However, the same people who made those statements also told you that the marketing material that they looked at showed that property for future development by others.

So why would you pay a premium to live next to vacant land when you've already been told that that land will be developed in the future by others. So I don't understand the inconsistencies with those statements.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I'm asking this to the Board also. You know, I understand these traffic experts, but $I$ wish they would be up with me at 5:30 in the morning when $I$ got to driving down those roads.

And I have something else I have to say to them. I do this everyday. I got to get up also because there is so much traffic. I get calls constantly because of those lights being on 248. I'm a very active Council person. I get all these calls all the time and I've even reached out to the
commissioner about that street -- about that streetlight because they have called me up.

You know, $I$ wasn't here unfortunately in 2014. If you were you would probably get another no also on that day. And I'm with Marva, you know. We need to do the right thing. We got elected by the people. We represent those people, and we had a lot of them come out here today. With that I close.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: I would like to say something about traffic. Traffic is something that South Florida you have to contend with. No matter where you go nowadays traffic is going to be rough, you know.

I'm not here in support of or denying of. I just know that we cannot get away from traffic. No matter what you do traffic is going to always be an issue in South Florida because every road you go down it's just going to be that way because everybody loves to come to South Florida.

As far as the -- I had a question about there was a lane that you were talking about that's going to go through from one property to the next.

MR. MAYORAL: Sure.
COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Is that going to be a
one-way or two-lane or what?
MR. MAYORAL: It will be a two-lane road which allow access. I think it's east west. And, again, that's a secondary access. In my mind the only people who will be going to the clubhouse and back would use that access. Again, they will have their own independent access to 113 th Court and then why travel west to then come out to 248 th Street.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Are there anymore questions from the Board?

I need someone to make a motion.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Well, $I$ want to make a motion but the problem is $I$ want to make sure we fully understand this.

I personally want to make a motion to deny this application in its entirety; however, $I$ don't know how we can word this. I would like -- what the residents are recommending $I$ do think is a wonderful idea, and that is to take that property for commercial purposes as opposed to -- so how would I -- how would we go about that? Set up a new application? We amend this one?

COUNTY ATTORNEY: I think the only thing you can consider is the application that's before you. COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Before us? Okay.

Then $I$ recommend that the application be denied.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I second it.
MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Jackson?
COUNCILMAN JACKSON: I'm going to say no on the denial.

MS. HENDERSON: He's saying he wants it to be denied.

MR. MAYORAL: Not for denial.
MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Morrow -- oh, he's not here.

Vice-Chair Demps?
VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: NO.

MS. HENDERSON: Chair Wilson?
CHAIRMAN WILSON: I'm going to say no?
MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Farias?
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: I denied it, yes.
MS. HENDERSON: And then Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: I'm voting to deny the application.

MS. HENDERSON: So the motion failed.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Oh, ma'am, you're going to have to do that again. I think there's some misunderstanding going on. So, I'm going to
say this --
MR. MAYORAL: The motion failed.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: ExCuse me.
MR. MAYORAL: The motion failed.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Oh, it did fail.
Okay. Well, no, the motion failed. The motion failed. That's not what we're saying. There is some confusion as to the motion itself. I'm voting that the application be denied.

MR. MAYORAL: And they have that. It was three two against one.

MS . HENDERSON: Right.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Against the motion.
So that means they approved the application.
COUNCILMAN FARIAS: No.
COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Well, then we got it backwards. We're making sure. I voted that the application be denied. Farias voted that it be denied.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: I voted that it be denied as well.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Okay. Councilman Wilson.

COUNTY ATTORNEY: Just so that it's clear for the record. The motion was to deny the
application. That motion failed. So the application, that is not denied.

COUNCILWOMAN WIILIAMS: Exactly. That's what I'm saying. That's what I thought. That's not what they understand. But one person didn't.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Oh, okay.

COUNTY ATTORNEY: That was the motion passed. If anyone has a new motion.

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: I have a motion. I move that the application be approved as recommended by the County.

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: I second the motion. MS. HENDERSON: That was Jackson that seconded the motion? COUNCILMAN JACKSON: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Farias?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: NO.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilman Jackson said yes.
Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: NO.

MS. HENDERSON: Vice-Chair Demps?

She said yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Chair Wilson?

CHAIRMAN WILSON: NO.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: So, basically it's
denied. That was the whole point.
MR. MAYORAL: That was the motion to approved which failed.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: NOW, I make a motion --

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: $I$ make a motion to deny the application.

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: I second.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: FOr clarity, it's in its entirety or part?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: In its entirety, yes.

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: In its entirety, yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Councilwoman Williams?

COUNCILWOMAN WILLIAMS: Deny it. That's a
yes. Deny it.

MS. HENDERSON: Vice-Chair Demps?

VICE-CHAIR DEMPS: NO.

MS. HENDERSON: Chair Wilson?

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: Jackson?

COUNCILMAN JACKSON: No.

COUNCILWOMAN WILIIAMS: Over here. There's one more person you forgot.

MS . HENDERSON: FARIAS?

COUNCILMAN FARIAS: Yes.

MS. HENDERSON: So the motion pass; application denied.

MR. MAYORAL: Thank you for your time and consideration.
(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
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| tenants [6]-26:20, | 108:14, 110:18, 110:19, 112:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:7, 119:8, } 119 \\ & \text { 119:13, 119:24, } \end{aligned}$ | twisting [1] - 96:2 | up [71] - 3:22, 5:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 19,83: 24,85: 16, \\ & 85: 17,85: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 19,112: 2, \\ & 113: 22,118: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $120: 16,120: 18,$ | two [40] - 25:19, 25:23, 26:20, 32:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 18,6: 20,9: 4,9: 7, \\ & 12: 23,15: 8,17: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| tension [1]-43: | $121: 12,126: 8$ | 121:25, 122:9, | $34: 12,37: 15,40: 2,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 23,15: 8,17: 19, \\ & 18: 10,18: 14,24: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| Teresa [1] - 7:23 | together [7]-46:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 15,122: 16 \\ & 122: 21,124: 18 \end{aligned}$ | :17, 42:9, 42:1 | $25: 1,30: 3,30: 4,$ |
| term [2]-76:23, 9 | $\text { 97:11, 97:12, } 98: 4$ |  | 44:3, 44:6, 44:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2,39: 7,39: 8, \\ & 1: 11,41: 14,42: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| ter |  | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 21,124: 18 \\ & 125: 16,125: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 34:16, 89:9, 109:5 | tonight [19]-17:20, | $\text { 126:11, } 126: 13 \text {, }$ | 57:19, 59:25, 62:10, | $49: 14,50: 2,52: 3,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Terrace [5] - 46:7, } \\ & 68: 17,69: 22,83: 14, \\ & 90: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:22, 19:23, 20:10, } \\ & \text { 20:24, 32:25, 64:24, } \end{aligned}$ | training [1]-47:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:16, 69:4, 69:25, } \\ & 77: 10,78: 20,79: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 20,59: 21,64: 1, \\ & 4: 5,66: 17,70: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $93: 20,94: 14,97: 9,$ | transcript [2] - 4: | 81:8, 82:14, 82:15, |  |
| testimony ${ }^{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 18,99: 16,101: 2, \\ & 101: 8,101: 12, \end{aligned}$ |  | :5, 87:13, 92:2 | $2: 6,74: 12,74: 13,$ |
| thanked [2]-118:13 |  | $\text { transited }[1]-40:$ | $102 \cdot 1$ | $74: 24,74: 25,75: 1,$ |
|  | 107:18, 109:1 | transmitted [1] |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 19,76: 12,76: 14, \\ & 6: 15,77: 22,78: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| th | 110:4, 116:7 tons [1]-52:5 | transpired [8]-2:13, | 111:17, 127:1, <br> 127.2, 129:11 |  |
| THE [2] - 2:4, 2:5 | tons [1]-52:5 <br> Torres [1]-7:13 |  | $127: 2,129: 11$ | $8: 11,78: 12,81: 14,$ |
|  | Torres [1] - 7:13 <br> total [1] - 31:21 <br> totalled [1] - 81:1 <br> totally [1] - 34:5 <br> tow [1] - 38:7 <br> towards [1] - 48:5 <br> towed [1] - 66:1 <br> town [3]-27:1, 91:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 21,16: 9,79: 3, \\ & 90: 22 \end{aligned}$ | two-lane [2] - 127:1, | $9: 2,90: 2,93: 17$ |
| thefts [2]-39:21, |  |  | two-story [1] - 77:10 | 4:3, 96:24, 100:2, |
| themes [1]-103:10 |  | traumatized [2] $81: 11,81: 12$ | $\text { type }[10]-21: 15,31: 3,$ |  |
| themselves [2] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { travel }[4]-91: 6, \\ & 106: 10,113: 8,127: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8,31: 9,35: 1 \\ & 42: 22,94: 21,94: 23, \\ & 96: 6,110: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 15:21, 118:13, |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - 40 |  | treadmills [3] - 44:3, |  |  |
| Th | townhome [2] - 11:2,21:21 | treating [1] - 68:5 <br> TREE [2]-1:9, 134:9 | U | $126: 2,127: 21$ |
|  |  |  | unannounce | upset [2] - 97:25, |


| ```117:12 upside [1] - 12:8 Urban [1] - 34:8 urge [2]-35:18, 112:4 used) [1] - 76:23 uses [4]-31:1, 31:13, 34:1 usual [1] - 43:13 utilities [1] - 75:24``` | $131: 17$ <br> vice-Chair ${ }_{[1]}-130: 21$ <br> Vice-Chair [4] - 1:16, $2: 24,128: 12,131: 16$ <br> video [1]-14:5 <br> view [1] - 29:16 <br> villa $[3]-11: 2,21: 22$, <br> 47:21 <br> villain [1] - 77:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 10 \\ & \text { wall }[1]-37: 2 \\ & \text { wants }[2]-16: 23, \\ & 128: 7 \\ & \text { warrant }[2]-107: 15, \\ & 107: 19 \\ & \text { watch }[1]-69: 5 \\ & \text { water }[4]-73: 12, \\ & 74: 14,75: 4,77: 12 \\ & \text { waterline }[1]-74: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:22, 130:3, } \\ & \text { 130:20, 130:25, } \\ & \text { 131:4, 131:8, } \\ & \text { 131:11, 131:14, } \\ & \text { 131:22 } \\ & \text { willing }[3]-20: 8, \\ & \text { 93:24, } 95: 19 \\ & \text { Wilson }[7]-1: 16,3: 1, \\ & 7: 9,128: 14,129: 23, \\ & 130: 23,131: 18 \end{aligned}$ | ```worker [1]-89:13 workmanship [1] - 107:16 works [2] - 76:18, 115:11 world [1] - 76:18 worse [4]-63:21, 65:17, 67:5, 93:13 worth [1] - 65:4``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10:20, 10:22, 11:10, $35: 3,45: 9,45: 20$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waterlines }[3]-75: 19, \\ & 76: 9,76: 10 \end{aligned}$ | WILSON [58] - 2: $\text { 2:6, 2:14, 3:2, } 3$ | $\text { ten }[1]-33: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { vacant }[6]-12: 1, \\ & 34: 20,34: 21, \\ & \text { 105:20, 115:1, } \\ & 125: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 5,67: 15,71: 25, \\ & 72: 1 \\ & \text { Villas [2] - 52:19, } 70: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wawa }[7]-8: 14 \text {, } \\ & 26: 23,33: 7,91: 11 \text {, } \\ & 98: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11,5: 11,5: 24, \\ & 6: 10,6: 16,7: 8,17: 3, \\ & 18: 12,18: 18,19: 17, \\ & 20: 18,29: 12,30: 5, \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | y'all [2] - 53:9, 94:1 |
| ```Val [3]-7:24, 107:17, 107:20 validity [1] - 87:3 value [8] - 53:15, 73:16, 82:22, 83:7, 86:10, 124:8, 124:9, 124:13``` | Villas [2] - 52:19, 70:1 <br> violation [1] - 77:12 <br> visiting [2] - 81:2, 86:21 <br> visitor $[4]-60: 7,63: 8$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ways }[2]-63: 2,64: 14 \\ & \text { wearing }[1]-8: 25 \\ & \text { website }[7]-44: 24 \text {, } \\ & 75: 25,88: 22,89: 4, \\ & 89: 16,108: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 4,38: 1,38: 5, \\ & 40: 25,41: 6,43: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { year }[13]-9: 10,9: 14, \\ 53: 13,56: 22,58: 23, \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 4,48: 9,50: 9, \\ & 52: 23.56: 4.57: 9 \end{aligned}$ | :10, 69:2, 69:6 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { visitor }[4]-60: 7,63: 8 \text {, } \\ 86: 19,86: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 16,108: 11, \\ & 108: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:23, 56:4, 57:9, } \\ & \text { 60:19, 78:21, 78:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $90: 13,94: 9,95: 3$ |
|  | visitors [4] - 51:4 | 108:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:19, 78:21, 78:25, } \\ & 79: 4,86: 16,87: 14, \end{aligned}$ | years [20] - 10:24, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { variance [4] - } 37: 5, \\ 37: 8,37: 12,37: 13 \end{gathered}$ | visual [1] - 6:6 visuals [3]-18:9, | welcome [1]-9:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 23,91: 15,97: 1, \\ & 97: 18,98: 12,98: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 44:7, 50: |
|  |  | Welcome [1] - 89:5 <br> West [1]-80:4 |  | 9, 62:4, 62:10 |
| variances [4]-36:21, $36: 22,36: 23,37: 6$ | :9, 32:19 |  | 100:21, 101:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18,68: 10,68: 25, \\ & 9: 1,78: 20,79: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| vehicle [1] -63:10 |  | $106: 10,114: 7$ | 102:20, 112:7 | 10, 106:22, |
| vehicles [4]-47:14, 60:1, 60:3, 61:4 | voicing [2] - 101:10, | 117:13, 127:3, 127:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 12,127: 9 \\ & 128: 15,129: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $115: 4,116: 17$ <br> yesterday [3] - 39:4 |
| vehicular [2]-37:3, 106:4 | 101:11 <br> volleyball [1] - 83:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:17, 54:22, } \\ & 109: 17,114: 6,120: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:24, } 131: 9 \\ & \text { 131:19 } \\ & \text { win }_{[1]}-70: 20 \end{aligned}$ | yesterday [3] - 39:4, 80:25 |
|  | volleyball $[1]-83: 1$ volume [3]-119:7, |  |  | York [4]-81:2, 81:17, |
| venture [1]-26 | volume [3] - 119:7 | Westbound ${ }_{[1]}$ - 54:23 <br> whatnot [2]-62:10, |  | 81:21, 82:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { verify }[2]-59: 22 \\ & 88: 23 \end{aligned}$ | vomit [1] - 57:18 |  | wins [1] - 70:19 <br> wisdom [1] - 78: | young [1] - 69:25 <br> yourself [1]-56:13 |
| versus [4] - 45:3 | vote [9]-5:1, 20:15, 54:8, 64:9, 116:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { whatnot }[2]-62: 10 \text {, } \\ & 85: 22 \end{aligned}$ | wish [21] - 3:5, 6:24, | yourselves [2]-53:5, 83:20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 92:20, 96:22 } \\ \text { vested }[1]-92 \end{array}$ | 116:23, 116:25, | white [1] - 23:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 11,41: 1,48: 10, \\ & 50: 10,52: 24,57: 20, \\ & \text { 86:17, 89:24, 90:17, } \end{aligned}$ | outh [1] - 91:2 |
| vetted [1]-103:17 |  | 100:3, 131:1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VICE }[18]-2: 25, \\ & 13: 23,14: 2,19: 14, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { widen }[3]-28: 14, \\ 33: 16,113: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 86:17, 89:24, 90:17, <br> 91:15, 97:18, 98:12, 98:21, 100:21 | Z |
| 11, 112: | voting [3] - 119: | width [1] - 37:17 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zero [3] - 7:6, 84:1 } \\ & \text { Zion }[1]-101: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 112:19, 113:4 | 128:20, 129:8 | wife [1] - 81:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 21,100: 21, \\ & \text { 101:7, 101:16, } \\ & \text { 102:25, 125:17 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 113:12, 113:25 | Inerability [1] | wife's [1] - 68:24 | 102:25, 125:17 <br> wishing [9] - 3:12, | Zip [2] - 89:5, 89:13 |
| :8, 114:24, | 39:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Williams [6]-1:18, } \\ & 2: 22,122: 6,128: 19, \\ & 130: 19,131: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 38:5, 50:10, 87:15, 88:20, 90:16, 90:23, 97:2, 102:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { zone }[3]-45: 14, \\ 45: 18,122: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { 124:20, } 128: 13$ | W |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { zoned [3] - 36:13, } \\ \text { 121:12, 121:20 } \end{gathered}$ |
| vice $[1]$ - 130:21 | Wait 4 ]-15.18, | WILLIAMS [35] - 2:23, 13:12, 16:3, 17:15, | WITNESS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 134:13 witnessed [1] - 92:22 | Zoning [4]-4:12, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Vice }[4]-1: 16,2: 24, \\ 128: 12,131: 16 \end{gathered}$ | 20:12, 119:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:10, 19:19, } \\ & \text { 116:15, 116:22, } \end{aligned}$ | witnesses [2]-4:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:22, 4:23, 134:8 } \\ & \text { zoning [20] - } 5: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| VICE-CHAIR [18] - <br> 0.9513 .2314 .2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waiting [2] - 7:17, } \\ & 63: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:15, 116:22, } \\ & \text { 117:5, 118:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:8 } \\ & \text { women }[1]-62: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:17, 21:14, 36:9, } \\ & 36: 11,36: 17,36: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:25, 13:23, 14:2, } \\ & \text { 19:14, 20:11, } \end{aligned}$ | waivers [2]-5:3, 7:7 | 119:1, 119:11, | wonder [1] - 32:17 | 36:11, 36:17, 36:18, |
| 112:11, 112:19, | $26: 19,42: 3,4$ | 119:22, 119:25, | wonderful [2]-44:24, 127:19 | 71:11, 72:14, 72:23, |
| $113: 4,113: 12$, $113 \cdot 25,114 \cdot 8$ | 63:6, 63:7, 69:7, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:19 } \\ & \text { word }[2]-43: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:24, 72:25, 73:24, } \\ & \text { 99:17, 107:14, } \\ & \text { 117:16, 125:3 } \\ & \text { ZONING [1] - 1:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $113: 25,114: 8$, $114: 24,115: 24$, | $100: 2,113: 6,114: 1$ | 127:25, | word [2] - 43:14 |  |
| 116:13, 124:20, | ng [1] -92: | $9: 3,129:$ | words [2]-32:2 |  |
| 128:13, 130:9, |  |  | 57:17 |  |

# Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Staff Report to the Board of County Commissioners 

| Appeal Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 10 |
| Applicants | PZB 4, LLC. |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is seeking a district boundary change from EU-S, Single- <br> Family Suburban Estate District to BU-1A, Limited Business District <br> along with the requests to permit a proposed office building with more <br> floor area ratio than required by Code and setback less than required <br> by the property line. In addition, the applicant is seeking approval to <br> permit less landscape open space than permitted by the Code. |
| Location | Lying south of SW 56 Street, approximately 470' west of SW 99 Avenue, <br> aka 9990 SW 56 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 1.07 acre |
| Existing Zoning | EU-S, Single-Family Suburban Estate District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant parcel |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate Density Residential, 1-2.5 dua, <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Consistency | Consistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of the <br> CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-311, District Boundary Change <br> Section 33-311(A)(4)(b) Non-Use Variances From Other Than Airport <br> Regulations <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |

## CZAB Action

| CZAB 14 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| January 16, 2018 | Denial without prejudice |

## Staff Recommendation

| Recommendation <br> of the Appeal | Approval <br> (Overrule CZAB decision - requires a 2/3 vote of the BCC <br> members present) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous <br> Recommendation <br> to the CZAB | Approval of request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the <br> proffered covenant and approval with conditions of requests \#2 <br> through \#4. <br> (Original Staff Recommendation to the CZAB is attached) |

## Timelỉne

1. On January 16, 2018 the Community Zoning Appeals Board (CZAB) \#12, denied the application without prejudice.
2. On January 24, 2018, the applicant, PZB4, LLC appealed the CZAB 12 decision to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC).
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## Analysis

For the reasons outlined in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and Zoning analysis of the attached staff recommendation, staff opines that the appellants' request for a reversal of the CZAB 12 decision should be approved.

| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | 10 |
| Applicant | PZB 4, LLC. |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is seeking a district boundary change from EU-S, Single- <br> Family Suburban Estate District to BU-1A, Limited Business District <br> along with the requests to permit a proposed office building with more <br> floor area ratio than required by Code and setback less than required <br> by the property line. In addition, the applicant is seeking approval to <br> permit less landscape open space than permitted by the Code. |
| Location | Lying south of SW 56 Street, approximately 470' west of SW 99 <br> Avenue, aka 9990 SW 56 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 1.07 acre |
| Existing Zoning | EU-S, Single-Family Suburban Estate District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant parcel <br> 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation |
| Estate Density Residential <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) <br> Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Consistent with the LUP map, and the interpretative text and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| Applicable <br> Zode Section(s) | Section 33-311 District Boundary Change <br> Section 33-311 (A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variance standards, <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Recommendation | Approval of request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the <br> proffered covenant and approval with conditions of requests \#2 <br> through \#4. |

## REQUESTS:

1. DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE FROM EU-S TO BU-1A.
2. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an office building setback $13^{\prime}$ ( $15^{\prime}$ required) from the interior side (east) property line.
3. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 (maximum 0.62 permitted).
4. NON-USE VARIANCE to permit $19.1 \%$ of landscape open space (minimum $20.7 \%$ required).

Plans on file entitled "New Office Building" as prepared by The Architects Group, floor plan dated stamped received $9 / 28 / 17$, elevation plans dated stamped received $6 / 19 / 17$, and site plan and landscape plans dated 12/7/17, consisting of 7 sheets.

## PROJECT HISTORY AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

In March 1994, the subject property was approved pursuant to Resolution \#5-ZAB-99-94, for a use variance to permit an existing plant nursery in the EU-S zoning district as would be permitted in the AU zoning district. The application was also approved for Non-Use Variances for lot frontage and lot area, parking, setback, and signage.
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In this present application, the applicant seeks a district boundary change from EU-S, SingleFamily Suburban Estate District to BU-1, Limited Business District along with other ancillary Non-Use Variances. The applicant has submitted a proffered Declaration of Restrictions restricting the subject property to office uses only and all accessory uses for the office building.

The applicant has also submitted a site plan that shows a proposed three (3) story building office building encroaching 2 ' into the interior side (east) setback area. The site plan also shows a row of trees and hedges surrounding the subject property to the south, east and west, and a staggered row of trees to the north. In addition, the site plan also shows a proposed 6 ' high cbs wall surrounding the subject parcel to the south, east, and west.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoning and Existing Use |  | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | EU-S; vacant land | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 da) |
| North | RU-1; single-family residences | Low Density Residential <br> (2.5 to 6 dua) |
| South | EU-1; single-family residence | Estate Density Residential |
| East | EU-S; nursery and nursery <br> building | Estate Density Residential (1 <br> to 2.5 dual) |
| West | BU-1A; shopping center | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dual) |

## NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY:

The 1.07 acre subject property is in an area surrounded by commercial to the east and west and residential to the north and south.

## SUMMARY OF THE IMPACTS:

The approval of this application will allow the applicant to develop the property with a three (3) story office building. Based on the zoning analysis below, staff opines that approval of the application would not be out of character with the area and would not create a significant impact on traffic and County services in the area.

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS:

Staff notes that the southern 1.07 acre portion of the subject parcel is designated Estate Density on the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) Land Use Plan (LUP) map. The applicant seeks a district boundary change to BU-1A along with ancillary Non-Use Variances in order to develop the property with an office building. The CDMP states that Office Uses smaller than five acres in size may be approved in areas designated as Residential Communities where other office, business or industrial uses) which are not inconsistent with this plan already lawfully exist on the same block face. However, where such an office, business, or industrial use exists only on a corner lot of a subject block face or block end, approval of office use elsewhere on the block is limited to the one block face or block end which is the more heavily trafficked side of the referenced corner lot.
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Staff notes from an interpretation letter issued by the Department's Planning Section that states that the property to the west of the subject property is a shopping center that is zoned BU-1A and designated Estate Density Residential. As such, the Planning Section has opined in their letter, dated May 2, 2017, that the proposed office use would be an allowable use on the subject property. As previously mentioned in staff's recommendation, office uses are allowed in residential designated districts under the CDMP where other office, business or industrial use(s) which are not inconsistent with this plan already lawfully exist on the same block face. Staff notes that the applicant has proffered a Declaration of Restrictions which restricts the use of the subject property to office uses only along with all accessory uses for the office building. Therefore, staff opines, that approval of the application would be consistent with the CDMP Land Use Element interpretative text concerning office uses in residential districts in areas designated for Estate Density Residential on the CDMP Land Use Plan (LUP) map.

## ZONING ANALYSIS:

When the applicant's request to rezone the 1.07 -acre parcel from EU-S, Single-Family Suburban Estate District to BU-1A, Limited Business District (request \#1), is analyzed under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change, staff opines that the approval of the request would not have an unfavorable impact on the environment, the natural resources, or the economy of the County. Staff notes that the approval of the request to rezone the property along with the proffered Declaration of Restrictions restricting the use of the property to office uses only and all accessory uses for the office building will be consistent with the Estate Density Residential designation of the parcel on the CDMP Land Use Plan map. Further, staff opines that approval of this request will not exceed the acceptable level of service on the surrounding roadways or transportation facilities based on the recommendations and/or information contained in the memoranda from the Department's Platting and Traffic Review Section, dated October 19, 2017, which states that the proposed office building will generate 47 PM daily peak hour vehicle trips, which does not exceed the acceptable Level of Service (LOS) on the surrounding roadways. Additionally, staff notes that the memorandum from the Department's Division of Environmental and Regulatory Management (DERM) which indicates that the proposed rezoning meets the Level of Service (LOS) standards for an initial development order and therefore will not have an unfavorable impact on the natural resources of Miami-Dade County.

Staff notes from the Department's Geographic Information System (GIS) that shows a BU-1A zoned parcel located at 10000 SW 56 Street, which is adjacent to the subject property to the west and a second BU-1A parcel located at 10140 SW 56 Street, which is located further west of the subject property. Therefore, staff opines that the proposed zone change to BU-1A is compatible with the surrounding area. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the application, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant under Section 33-311, District Boundary Change.

When analyzing request \#2, to permit a proposed office building setback $13^{\prime}$ (15' required) from the interior side (east) property line and request \#3, to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 are analyzed under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), Non-Use Variance standards, staff opinions that approval of the requests would be compatible with the surrounding area.

Staff's research of the area did not find any similar approvals in the area for interior side setbacks. However, staff notes from plans submitted by the applicant that show a 6' high cbs wall along the interior side (east) property along with a row of trees and a long hedge adjacent
to the wall, which staff opines will mitigate the $2^{\prime}$ encroachment (request \#2) into the interior side (east) setback area of the subject property on the neighboring nursery business to the east.

As for the requested floor area ratio (request \#3), there were no similar approvals found in the surrounding area. However, staff has no objections to the request. Staff notes the site plan indicates that the proposed three (3) story building with landscaping will be located on the north portion of the subject property between a shopping center to the west and a plant nursery to the east, and staff opines that the proposed office building would not create a significant visual impact on the two commercial properties to the east and west.

The site plan also shows that the proposed building, which is located south of a single-family residence will setback 129.58 ' from the rear property line. In addition, the County's Geographic Information System (GIS) shows that the property line of the subject property is spaced approximately 138 'from the neighboring property to the north. As such, staff opines that the distance from the neighboring properties to the north and south along with the provided landscaping will mitigate any significant visual impacts on those neighboring properties to the north and south.

Therefore, staff opines that approval of requests \#2 and \#3 would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations, which is to protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the community and provided that the non-use variance will be otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community. As such, staff recommends approval with conditions of requests \#2 and \#3 under Section 33$311(\mathrm{~A})(4)(\mathrm{b})$, Non-Use Variance Standards.

When request \#4, to permit 19.1\% of landscape open space (minimum 20.7\% required) is analyzed under Section $33-311(A)(4)(b)$, Non-Use Variance standards, staff opines that approval of the request would be compatible with the surrounding area.

Staff research of the area also did not find any similar approvals for landscape open space requirements. However, staff opines that the $1.6 \%$ is minor and that the landscaping provided by the applicant will be sufficient to mitigate any significant visual impacts generated by the proposed office building on the neighboring properties in the area. As such, staff opines that approval of request \#4 would maintain the basic intent and purpose of the zoning, subdivision and other land use regulations, as it affects the stability and appearance of the community, and that the request would be otherwise compatible with the surrounding area. Therefore, staff recommends approval with conditions of request \#4 under Section 33-311(A)(4)(b), NonUse Variance Standards.

ACCESS, CIRCULATION AND PARKING: The submitted plans indicate one ingress and one egress point along SW 56 Street (Miller Drive). Additionally, the plans indicate that there will be adequate parking within the proposed office development.

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER REVIEW: See attached.
OTHER: Not applicable.

## RECOMMENDATION:

## Approval of request \#1, subject to the Board's acceptance of the proffered covenant and approval with conditions of requests \#2 through \#4.

## CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL (For requests \#2 through \#8 only):

1. That a site plan be submitted to and meet with the approval of the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources upon the submittal of an application for a building permit and/or Certificate of Use; said plan must include among other things but not be limited to, location of structure or structures, exits and entrances, drainage, walls, fences, landscaping, signs, etc.
2. That in the approval of the plan, the same be substantially in accordance with that submitted for the hearing entitled, "New Office Building" as prepared by The Architects Group, floor plan dated stamped received 9/28/17, elevation plans dated stamped received 6/19/17, and site plan and landscape plans dated $12 / 7 / 17$, consisting of 7 sheets.
3. That the use be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan
4. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements from the Department's Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) as indicated in the memorandum dated October 19, 2017.
5. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements from the Department's Platting and Traffic Review Section as indicated in the memorandum dated October 18, 2017.
6. That the applicant comply with all applicable conditions and requirements from the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) as indicated in the memorandum dated October 13, 2017.


## ZONING RECOMIMENDATION ADDENDUM

PZB 4, LLC. (Z17-170)

| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> (RER) | No objection* |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Platting and Traffic Review Section (RER) | No objection* |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Police | No objection |
| Schools | No objection |
| Water and Sewer | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT

| Estate Density <br> Residential <br> (Pg. I-29) | This density range is typically characterized by detached estates which utilize only a small <br> portion of the total parcel. Clustering, and a variety of housing types may, however, be <br> authorized. The residential densities allowed in this category shall range from a minimum of <br> 1.0 to a maximum of 2.5 units per gross acre. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Objective LU-4 <br> (Pg. I-9) | Miami-Dade County shall continue to reduce the number of land uses, which are inconsistent <br> with the uses designated on the LUP map and interpretive text, or with the character of the <br> surrounding community. |

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTS/STANDARDS

| Section 33-311 |
| :--- |
| District |
| Boundary |
| Change |
|  |
|  |
|  |

(A) The Community Zoning Appeals Boards are advised that the purpose of zoning and regulations is to provide a compreherisive plan and design to lessen the congestion in the highways; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers, to promote health, safety, morals, convenience and the general welfare; to provide adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land and water; to avoid undue concentration of population; to facilitate the adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements, with the view of giving reasonable consideration among other things to the character of the district or area and its peculiar suitability for particular uses and with a view to conserving the value of buildings and property and encouraging the most appropriate use of land and water throughout the County.
(F) Section 33-311 provides that the Board shall take into consideration, among other factors the extent to which:
(1) The development permitted by the application, if granted, conforms to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan for Miami-Dade County, Florida; is consistent with applicable area or neighborhood studies or plans, and would serve a public benefit warranting the granting of the application at the time it is considered;
(2) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the environmental and natural resources of Miami-Dade County, including consideration of the means and estimated cost necessary to minimize the adverse impacts; the extent to which altematives to alleviate adverse impacts may have a substantial impact on the natural and human environment; and whether any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of natural resources will occur as a result of the proposed development;
(3) The development permitted by the application, if granted, will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida;

## ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM

PZB 4, LLC. (Z17-170)

|  | (4) <br> The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly <br> burden water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, education or other necessary <br> public facilities which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction; |
| :--- | :--- |
| (5)The development permitted by the application, if granted, will efficiently use or unduly <br> burden or affect public transportation facilities, including mass transit, roads, streets <br> and highways which have been constructed or planned and budgeted for construction, <br> and if the development is or will be accessible by public or private roads, streets or <br> highways. |  |
| Section 33- <br> 311(A)(4)(b) <br> Non-Us <br> Variances From <br> Other Than <br> Airport <br> Regulations. | Upon appeal or direct application in specific cases, the Board shall hear and grant applications <br> for non-use variances from the terms of the zoning and subdivision regulations and may grant <br> a non-use variance upon a showing by the applicant that the non-use variance maintains the <br> basic intent and purpose of the zoning subdivision and other land se regulations, which is to <br> protect the general welfare of the public, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of <br> the community and provided that the non-use variance will be otherwise compatible with the <br> surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community. No showing of <br> unnecessary hardship to the land is required |

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 12
MOTION SLIP

## \# 3

HEARING DATE: JANUARY 16,2018


APPLICANTS NAME: PZB 4, LLC.
representative: HUGO ARSA

$\square$ WITHDRAW: $\square$ APPLICATION $\quad \square$ ITEM (S): $\qquad$
$\square$ DEFER: $\square$ INDEFINITELY
$\square_{\text {TO: }}$
$\square$ WILEAVE TO AMEND
$\square$ DENY:
$\square$ WITH PREJUDICE
$\checkmark$ Without Prejudice
$\square$ ACCEPT PROFFERED COVENANT
$\square$ ACCEPT REVISED PLANS
$\square$ APPROVE: $\square$ PER REQUEST $\square$ PER DEPARTMENT $\square$ PER D.I.C.
$\square$ WITH CONDITIONS $\square$ AS MODIFIED $\qquad$
$\square$ OTHER:



The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code). Accordingly, the application may be scheduled for public hearing.

## Wellfield Protection

The subject property is located within the Average Day Pumpage Wellield Protection Area for the Alexander Orr/Snapper Creek/Southwest Wellfield Complex. Development of the subject property shall be in accordance with the regulations established in Section 24-43 of the Code.

Since the subject request is for a non-residential land use, the owner of the property has submitted a properly executed covenant in accordance with Section 24-43(5) of the Code which provides that hazardous materials or wastes shall not be used, generated, handled, discharged, disposed of or stored on the subject property.

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Civil drawings for the required sewer main extension will need to be approved by Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department and the DERM Environmental Wastewater Permitting Section, prior to approval of final development orders.

Existing public water and sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the CDMP. Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be
permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

Stormwater shall be retained on site utilizing properly designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage plans shall provide for full onsite retention of the stormwater runoff generated by a 5 -year / 1day storm event.

Site grading and development plans shall comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all state and federal criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order,

## Tree Preservation

The subject property contains specimen trees (trunk diameter 18 inches or greater). Section 24-49 of the Code requires the preservation of tree resources. Consequently, DERM will require the preservation of all the specimen trees, as defined in the Code, on the site. A Miami-Dade County tree removal permit shall be required prior to the removal or relocation of any trees. A tree survey showing all the tree resources on site will be required prior to reviewing the tree removal permit application. The applicant is advised to contact DERM at (305)372-6574 for permitting procedures and requirements prior to development of site and landscaping plans.
in accordance with Section 24-49.9 of the Code and CON8I of the CDMP, all plants prohibited by MiamiBade County shall be removed from all portions of the property prior to development, or redevelopment and developed parcels shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adapted methodology for concurrency review. Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
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If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources


Platting and Traffic Review Section
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources
Subject: Z2017000170
Name: PZB 4, LLC
Location: 9990 SW 56 Street
Section 29 Township 54 South Range 40 East
The Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting and Traffic Review Section has reviewed the subject application and has no objections.

This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

This application does meet the traffic concurrency criteria for an Initial Development Order. [1 will generate 47 PMM daily peak hour vehicle trips. The traffic distribution of these trips to the adjacent roadways reveals that the addition of these new trips does not exceed the acceptable level of service of the following roadways:

| STA.\# | LOCATION | LOS <br> PRESENT | LOS <br> W/PROJECT |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 9266 | SW 56 St W/O SW 97 Ave | C | C |
| 9268 | SW 56 St W/O SW 107 Ave | B | B |
| 9700 | SW 97 Ave S/O SW 40 St | C | C |
| F-47 | SW 107 Ave S/O SW 40 St | C | C |

The request herein, constitutes an Initial Development Order only, and one or more traffic concurrency determinations will subsequently be required before development will be permitted.

## Standard Conditions:

- During the platting and/or permitting process, applicant must submit paving, grading and pavement marking plans to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting Section for review. The set of plans shall be signed and sealed by an engineer in compliance with the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways as well as County Standards. Additional improvements may be required once the detailed set of plans are submitted to this Section.
- During the platting and/or permitting process, applicant must submit paving, grading and pavement marking plans to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Platting Section for review.
- All landscaping, walls, fences, entrance features, etc. will be subject to the Safe Sight Distance Triangle as per Section 33-11 of the Miami-Dade County Code and G5.3 of the Public Works and Waste Management Department Manual.


## PETITION OF APPEAL FROM DECISION OF <br> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD <br> TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS



DATE RECEIVED STAMP

This Appeal Form must be completed in accordance with the "Instruction for Filing an Appeal" and in accordance with Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and return must be made to the Department on or before the Deadline Date prescribed for the Appeal.

RE: Hearing No, Z2017000170
Filed in the name of (Applicant): PZB 4, LLC
Name of Appellant, if other than applicant: Same
Address/Location of APPELLANT'S property: Lying south of SW $56^{\text {th }}$ Street, approximately $470^{\text {' }}$ west of SW $99^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, a/k/a 9990 SW $56^{\text {th }}$ Street, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Application, or part of Application being Appealed (Explanation): Entire Appealable Application.

Appellant (name): PZB 4, LLC hereby appeals the decision of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 12 with reference to the above subject matter, and in accordance with the provisions contained in Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, hereby make application to the Board of County Commissioners for review of said decision. The grounds and reasons supporting the reversal of the ruling of the Community Zoning Appeals Board are as follows:
(State in brief and concise language)
The decision by Community Zoning Appeals Board 12 (CZAB 12) was not supported by substantial competent evidence on the record. Instead, the decision by the CZAB 12 was arbitrary and capricious.

## APPELLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Date: 23 day of January, 2018


Martiniano Perez, Managing Member
Print Name

4775 Collins Avenue, \#3302, Miami Beach, Florida 33140
Mailing Address
c/o 305-789-7783 c/o 305-679-6302

Phone Fax
REPRESENTATIVE'S AFFIDAVIT
If you are filing as representative of an association or other entity, so indicate:


Juan J Mayol, Jr., Esq.
Print Name
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3300
Address

| Miami | Florida | 33131 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| City | State | Zip |

305-789-7783
Telephone Number

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 23 day of January, year 2018

(stamp/seal)
Commission expires: Feb, 12,2018

## APPELLANTS AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING (must be signed by each Appellant)

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Martiniano Perez, Managing Member, PZB 4, LLC, (Appellant) who was sworn and says that the Appellant has standing to file the attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals Board decision.

The Appellant further states that they have standing by virtue of being of record in Community Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following:
(Check all that apply)
$\qquad$ 1. Participation at the hearing

X 2. Original Applicant
3. Written objection, waiver or consent

Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury, and that under penalties of perjury, Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.

Further Appellant says not.


Sworn to and subscribed before me on the 23 day of January, 2018.
Appellant is personally know to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.
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## RESOLUTION NO. CZAB12-2-18

WHEREAS, PZB 4, LLC applied for the following:
(1) DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE FROM EU-S TO BU-1A.
(2) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an office building setback $13^{\prime}$ (15' required) from the interior side (east) property line.
(3) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 (maximum 0.62 permitted).
(4) NON-USE VARIANCE to permit $8,946 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of landscape open space (minimum $9,680 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. required).

Plans on file entitled "New Office Building" as prepared by The Architects Group, site plan and floor plan dated stamped received $9 / 28 / 17$ and elevation plans dated stamped received $6 / 19 / 17$, and landscape plans dated $11 / 6 / 17$, consisting of 7 sheets.

SUBJECT PROPERTY: The North $1 / 2$ of the West $1 / 2$ of Tract 6 , Miller Drive Estates First Addition, PB 48-16, less the north 15 feet for right-of-way.

LOCATION: Lying South of SW 56 Street, approximately 470' West of SW 99 Avenue A/K/A 9990 SW 56 Street, Miami Dace County, Florida, and

WHEREAS, a public hearing of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 12 was advertised and held, as required by law, and all interested parties concerned in the matter were given an opportunity to be heard, and at which time the applicant proffered a Declaration of Restrictions which among other things provided:

1 Controlling Site Plan. The Property shall be developed substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "New Office Building", as prepared by The Architects Group, floor plan dated stamped received $9 / 28 / 17$, elevation plans dated stamped received 6/19/17, and site plan and landscape plans dated stamped received $12 / 7 / 17$, consisting of seven (7) sheets.

2 Use Restriction. The use of the Property shall be limited to office uses and all accessory uses associated therewith.

WHEREAS, upon due and proper consideration having been given to the matter, it is the opinion of this Board that the requested DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE to BU-1A (Request \#1) would not be compatible with the neighborhood and area concerned and
would be in conflict with the principle and intent of the plan for the development of MiamiDade County, Florida, and should be denied, and

WHEREAS, the requested NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an office building setback $13^{\prime}$ ( $15^{\prime}$ required) from the interior side (east) property line (Request \#2), the NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 (maximum 0.62 permitted) (Request \#3) and the requested NON-USE VARIANCE to permit $8,946 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of landscape open space (minimum 9,680 sq. ft. required) (Request \#4) would not be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the regulations and would not conform with the requirements and intent of the Zoning Procedure Ordinance and would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, and

WHEREAS, a motion to deny the application (Request \#1 through \#4) without prejudice was offered by Jose Valdes, seconded by Peggy Brodeur, and upon a poll of the members present, the vote was as follows:

| Peggy Brodeur | aye | Anthony Petisco | nay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Angela Vazquez | aye | Elliot N. Zack | nay |
| Matthew Larsh | aye |  |  |

Jose I. Valdes aye

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 12 that the requested DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGE to BU-1A (Request \#1) be and the same hereby denied without prejudice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOL VED that the requested NON-USE VARIANCE to permit an office building setback $13^{\prime}$ ( $15^{\prime}$ required) from the interior side (east) property line (Request \#2), the NON-USE VARIANCE to permit a Floor Area Ratio of 0.68 (maximum 0.62 permitted) (Request \#3) and the request NON-USE VARIANCE to permit $8,946 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of landscape open space (minimum 9,680 sq. ft. required) (Request \#4) be and the same is hereby denied without prejudice.

The Director is hereby authorized to make the necessary notations upon the records of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources in accordance with the terms and conditions of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPIED this $16^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2018.
rd.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS TRANSMITTED TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON THE $25^{\text {ND }}$ DAY OF JANUARY, 2018.

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

I, Rosa Davis, as Deputy Clerk for the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources as designated by the Director of the Miami-Dade County Department of Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources and Ex-Officio Secretary of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 12, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of Resolution No. CZAB12-2-18 adopted by said Community Zoning Appeals Board at its meeting held on the $16^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2018.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this the $25^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2018.


SEAL


Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Development Services Division
111 NW 1st Street • Suite 1110
Miami, Florida 33128-1902
T 305-375-2640
www.miamidade.gov/economy

January 25, 2018

Holland \& Knight
c/o Alejandro j. Arias
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 300
Miami, FL 33131

| Re: | Hearing No. <br> Location: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 10281 SW 132 Street, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA 33176 |

Dear Applicant:

Enclosed herewith is Resolution No. CZAB12-2-18, adopted by the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board 12, which denied your application without prejudice.

Please note that any aggrieved party may appeal the Board's decision to the Board of County Commissioners, within 14 days from the date of posting on the $11^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Stephen P. Clark Building, 111 N.W. $1^{\text {st }}$ Street, Miami, FL 33128. The date of posting is January 25, 2018, In the event an appeal is filed, any action undertaken during the appeal period is at the applicant's risk.


Rosa Davis
Deputy Clerk
Enclosure

| To: | Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Development Services <br> Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Maria A. Valses, CSM, LEED Green Associate <br> Chief, Planning \& Water Certification Section <br> Water and Sewer Department (WASD) |
| Subject: | Zoning Application Comments - Columbus Capital New Office Building <br> Application No. Z2017000170 - (Pre-App. Z17P-037) - Revision No, 1 |


#### Abstract

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject application. The information provided below is preliminary and it does not affect the Zoning Process. The applicant is advised to consult with their engineer and the WASD Plans Review staff to finalize points of connection and capacity approval.


## Application Name: Columbus Capital New Office Building

Location: The proposed project is located on approximately 1.07 acres at 9990 SW $56^{\text {il }}$ Street with Folio No. 30-4029-003-0120, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: To build a new 31,500 square feet 3 story office building. In addition, the applicant is requesting the following: 1) Rezoning from EU-S (Single-Family Estate Use - Suburban) to BU-1A (Limited Business Use District); 2) Site Plan Approval of a proposed office building; 3) A NonUse Variance to allow for a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.67 , where a maximum of 0.62 FAR is allowed; 4) A Non-Use Variance to allow a building side interior setback of 13 feet adjacent to EU-S zoned properties, where 15 feet are required; and' 5) A minor Non Use Variance to allow for a landscape open space of 8,946 square feet, where a minimum of 9,680 square feet is required.

The total estimated water demand for this project will be $1,575 \mathrm{gpd}$.
Water: The proposed development is located within the WASD's water service area. The water supply will be provided by the Alexander Orr Water Treatment Plant. Current y, there is adequate treatment and water supply capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (1) of the CDMP.

The subject property is connected to the water system. If a new service connection is required for the proposed office building, there is an existing 12-inch water main in SW $56^{\text {th }}$ Street abutting the northern boundary of the property to where the developer may connect and extend a new 12 -inch water main southerly across SW $56^{\text {li }}$ Street to the right-of-way line (northern boundary of the property) in order to provide service to the proposed development. A water main extension is required since the offset distance from the existing 12 -inch water main to the right-of-way line is greater than 50 feet.

Any public w.m. extension within the property shall be twelve (12)-in. minimum diameter. If two (2) or more fire hydrants are to be connected to a public w.m. extension, then, the water system shall be looped with two $\langle 2)$ points of connection. Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the water system will be provided at the time of the applicant requests connection to the water infrastructure.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) letter will be required for all future development to ensure that adequate water supply is available. The WSC will be issued at the time the applicant requests connection to the water system. The WSC required is consistent with Policy CIE-5D and WS-2C in the County's

CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20 -year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to hltp://www.miamidade.gov/water/water-supply-certificalion.asp

In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use and with landscape standards in accordance with Sections 8-31, 32-84, 8A-381, and $18-A$ and $18-$ B of the Miami-Dade County Code, consistent with Policies WS-5E and WS-5F of the CDMP, respectively.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to http:/l/www.miamidade goviconservation/home.asp.

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standarcis Manual please go to http://www. miamidade gov/waterconservation/library/instructions/water-use-efficiency-standardsmanual. pdf

Sewer: The proposed development is located within the WASD's sewer service area. The wastewater flows for the development will be transmitted to the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (SDWWTP) for treatment and disposal. This WVITP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. The subject property will be connecting to WASD's sewer system for the first time.

Per correspondence received from DERM, the subject property will be required to connect to the sewer system. Therefore, there is an existing 8 -inch force main in $S W 56^{\text {th }}$ Street east of SW $102^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue to where the developer may connect and extend the same (8-inci force main) easterly in SW $566^{\text {liI }}$ Street to a point as required to provide service to the proposed development, in which the installation of a private pump station will be required for as long as all legal requirements are met. If Unity of Title does not apply, then any gravity sewer within the property shall be public and eight ( 8 )-in. minimum diameter. Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the sewer system will be provided at the time the applicant requests connection to the sewer infrastructure.

WASD will be the utility providing sewer services subject to the following conditions:

- Adequate transmission and plant capacity exist at the time of the owner's request consistent with policy WS-2 A (2) of the CDMP. Capacity evaluations of the plant for average flow and peak flows will be required, Connection to the COUNTY'S sewage system will be subject to the terms, covenants and conditions set forth in court orders, judgments, consent orders, consent decrees and the like entered into between the COUNTY and the United States, the State of Florida and/or any other governmental entity, including but not limited to, the Consent Order entered on April 9, 2014 in the United States of America, State of Florida and State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. Miami-Dade County, Case No. 1:12-cv-24400-FAM, as well as all other current subsequent or future enforcement and regulatory actions and proceedings.
- Approval of all applicable governmental agencies having jurisdiction over these matters are obtained.

Zoning App ícation No. Z2017005170
Columbus Capital Veve Office Bullding
October 13, 2017
Page 3
Any public water or sewer infrastructure must be within a public right-of-way, or within a utility easement.
Below please find additional links to the WVASD portal, which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.oov/ivater/construction-deyelopment.asp
http://Www miamidade, gov/water/construotion-service-agreement.asp
hitp://www.miamidaje.goviwater/construction-existing-service.ase
http://www.miamidade. goviwater/llbrary/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

Date: October 02, 2017
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { To: } & \text { Nathan M. Kogon, Assistant Director } \\ & \text { Regulatory and Economic Resources }\end{array}$
From: $\quad$ Alejandro G Cuello, Principal Planner Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department

Subject: Z2017000170

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objection to the site plan uploaded to "Energov" on 09/29/17.

For additional information, please contact at acuello@miamidade.gov or call 786-331-4545.

| PZB4, LLC | 9990 SW 56 Street |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPLICANT | ADDRESS |
| Pending | Z2017000170 |
| DATE | HEARING NUMBER |

FOLIO: 30-4029-003-0120
REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORGEMENT HISTORY:
October 3, 2017
NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:
There are no open or closed cases
BUIILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:
There are no open or closed cases
VIOLATOR:
PZB4, LLC
OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:
There are no outstanding liens or fines

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal, stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trust (s), partnerships) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: PZB 4. LLC, a Florida limited liability company.
name and address 4775 Collins Ave., Unit 3302 . Misimen Beach, FL. 33140


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the frost beneficiaries and percent: of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

TRUST/ESTATE NAME: $\qquad$

NAME AND ADDRESS


If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where partners) consist of other partnerships), corporations), trusts) or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$

NAME AND ADDRESS


If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership, list purchasers below including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partne;s. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having ultimate ownership interests].

NAME OF PURCHASER:
NAME AND ADDRESS (if applicable)
Percentage of Interest


If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or sust:

NOTICE: For changes of ownership or changes in ptrchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this,20 day of $\overline{D C c c m b e}$ 2016. Affiant is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.


My commission expires Felo 12, 2018


#### Abstract

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity interesss in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension lunds or pension trasts of mere than five thousand ( 5,000 ) overersip interests; or 3) any entity where ovvership interests ore held in a pantuership, comporation or tast consisting of nore than live thousand ( $5,0 \mathrm{0} 0$ ) separate interess, including all interests at every level of ownerstip and where no one (1) person or entity hakds more then a total of live per een: (5\%) of the cwacr:hip intercst in the partnership, corporation or twust. Entifies whose ownership interests are held in a purtnership. corporation, or truzt consisting of more than five thousand ( $5,00 \mathrm{C}$ ) separate interests, ancluding all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose thase ownership interest which exceed live (5) pereent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust,












$35$




| REVIEION | DATE | EY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 |
|  |  | 3 |




# PZBH, LC 

 This instrument was prepared by:| Name: | Alejandro J. Arias, Esq. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | Holland \& Knight LLP |
|  | 701 Brickell Avenue |
|  | Suite 3300 |
|  | Miami, Florida 33131 |

(217-170)

(Space reserved for Clerk of Court)

## DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS

WHEREAS, the undersigned owner, PZB 4, LLC, a Florida limited liability company (the "Owner"), holds the fee simple title to that certain parcel of land in unincorporated MiamiDade County (the "County"), which is legally described in Exhibit "A" to this Declaration (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Owner has filed an application with the County's Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, which application is currently pending under Public Hearing Application No. Z2017000170 (the "Application") for the purpose of seeking a rezoning of the Property, site plan approval, and minor non-use variances, to facilitate the future development of the Property;

NOW, THEREFORE, IN ORDER TO ASSURE the County that the representations made by the Owner during its consideration of the Application will be abide by, the Owner freely, voluntarily, and without duress, hereby makes the following Declaration of Restrictions (the "Declaration") covering and running with the Property:

1. Controlling Site Plan. The Property shall be developed substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "New Office Building", as prepared by The Architects Group, floor plan dated stamped received 9/28/17, elevation plans dated stamped received 6/19/17, and
site plan and landscape plans dated stamped received 12/7/17, consisting of seven (7) sheets.
2. Use Restriction. The use of the Property shall be limited to office uses and all accessory uses associated therewith.

## 3. Miscellaneous.

A. County Inspection. As further part of this Declaration, it is hereby understood and agreed that any official inspector of Miami-Dade County, or its agents duly authorized, may have the privilege at any time of entering and inspecting the use of the Property to determine whether or not the requirements of the building and zoning regulations and the conditions herein agreed to are being complied with.
B. Covenant Running with the Land. This Declaration on the part of the Owner shall constitute a covenant running with the land and shall be recorded, at Owner's expense, in the public records of the County and shall remain in full force and effect and be binding upon the undersigned Owner, and its heirs, successors and assigns until such time as the same is modified or released. The restrictions contained within this Declaration, while in effect, shall be for the benefit of, and constitute limitations upon, all present and future owners of the Property, and for the benefit of Miami-Dade County and the public welfare. The Owner, its heirs, successors and assigns, acknowledge that acceptance of this declaration does not in any way obligate or provide a limitation on the authority of the County.
C. Term. This Declaration is to run with the land and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under it for a period of thirty (30) years from the date this Declaration is recorded, after which time it shall be extended automatically for successive periods of ten (10) years each, unless an instrument signed by the then-owner(s) of the Property has been recorded agreeing to change this Declaration in whole, or in part, provided that the Declaration has first
been modified or released by the County as provided in Paragraph 2 (D) below.
D. Modification, Amendment, Release. This Declaration may be modified, amended or released as to the Property, or any portion thereof, by a written instrument executed by the then-owner(s) of all of the property covered by the modification, amendment or release, including joinders of all mortgagees, if any, provided that the same is also approved by the Board of County Commissioners or Community Zoning Appeals Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, whichever by law has jurisdiction over such matters, after public hearing, or the Director as provided by the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances. It is provided, however, that in the event the Property is annexed to an existing municipality or if the Property is incorporated into a new municipality, any modification, amendment, or release shall not become effective until it is approved by such municipality and is thereafter approved by the Board of County Commissioners, in accordance with the applicable procedures.
E. Enforcement. Enforcement shall be by action against any parties or person violating, or attempting to violate, any covenants set forth in this Declaration. The prevailing party in any action or suit pertaining to or arising out of this Declaration shall be entitled to recover, in addition to costs and disbursements allowed by law, such sum as the Court may adjudge to be reasonable for the services of his/her/its attorney. This enforcement provision shall be in addition to any other remedies available at law, in equity or both.
F. Authorization for Miami-Dade County to Withhold Permits and Inspections. In the event the terms of this Declaration are not being complied with, in addition to any other remedies available, the County is hereby authorized to withhold, in connection with the particular Parcel which is in default, any further permits, and refuse to make any inspections or
grant any approvals with respect to the particular Parcel which is in default, until such time as this Declaration is complied with.
G. Election of Remedies. All rights, remedies and privileges granted herein shall be deemed to be cumulative and the exercise of any one or more shall neither be deemed to constitute an election of remedies, nor shall it preclude the party exercising the same from exercising such other additional rights, remedies or privileges.
H. Presumption of Compliance. Where construction has occurred on the Property or any portion thereof, pursuant to a lawful permit issued by the County, and inspections made and approval of occupancy given by the County, then such construction, inspection and approval shall create a rebuttable presumption that the buildings or structures thus constructed comply with the intent and spirit of this Declaration.
I. Severability. Invalidation of any one of these covenants, by judgment of Court, shall not affect any of the other provisions which shall remain in full force and effect. However, if any material provision is invalidated, the County shall be entitled to revoke any approval predicated upon the invalidated provision.
J. Recording. This Declaration shall be filed of record in the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at the cost of the Owner following the approval of the Application. This Declaration shall become effective immediately upon recordation. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, if any appeal is filed, and the disposition of such appeal results in the denial of the application, in its entirety, then this Declaration shall be null and void and of no further effect. Upon the disposition of an appeal that results in the denial of the Application, in its entirety, and upon written request, the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, PZB 4, LLC, has caused these present to be signed in its name on this $6^{\text {¹ }}$ day of DECEMBER, 2017.


PZB 4, LLC, a Florida limited liability company
$\qquad$

Print Name

## STATE OF FLORIDA

 ) ) SS:COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $c^{\text {th }}$ day of December , 2017, by Martinime Reres as QEO of PZB 4, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, on behalf of said company, who is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification.

My Commission Expires:

Resources or the executive officer of the successor of said department, or in the absence of such director or executive officer by her/his assistant in charge of the office in her/his absence, shall forthwith execute a written instrument, in recordable form, acknowledging that this Declaration is null and void and of no further effect.
K. Acceptance of Declaration. Acceptance of this Declaration does not obligate the County in any manner, nor does it entitle the Owner to a favorable recommendation or approval of any application, zoning or otherwise, and the County retains its full power and authority to deny each such application in whole or in part and to decline to accept any conveyance or dedication.
L. Owner. The term "Owner" shall include the Owner, and its heirs, successors and assigns.
[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

## EXHIBIT "A"

THE NORTH I/2 OF THE WEST I/2 OF TRACT 6, MLLLER DRIVE ESTATES FIRST ADDITION, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 48 IN PAGE IG, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMLDADE COUNTY, FLORLDA, LESS THE NORTH IS FEET FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY.

Parcel Identification Number: 30-4029-003-0120
COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD - AREA 12
KENDALL VILLAGE CENTER - CIVIC PAVILION
8620 SW 124 AVENUE - MIAMI
Tuesday, January 16, 2018
6:30 p.m.
EXCERPT

ITEM NO:
PZB 4, LLC.

$$
17-170
$$

BOARD MEMBERSPRESENT:
Angela M. Vazquez, Chairwoman
Jose I. Valdes, Vice-ChairmanJavier Gonzalez-Abreu
Peggy Brodeur
Matthew Larsh
Anthony F. Petisco
Elliott N. Zack

## STAFF PRESENT:

Jorge Vital

Lauren Morse, Assistant County Attorney

$\square$
(A11 witnesses were sworn in by the Court Reporter.) * * * * *

MR. VITAL: In accordance with the Code of Miami-Dade County, all items to be heard today have been legally advertised in the newspaper, notices have been mailed and the properties have been posted. Additional copies of the agenda are available here at the meeting. Items will be called up to be heard by agenda number and name of applicant. The record of the hearing on each application will include the records of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. All these items are physically present today and available to all Board Members during the hearing, and they may examine these items.

Parties have the right of
Cross-Examination. This statement, along with the fact that all witnesses have been sworn, should be included in any transcript of all or any part of these proceedings. In addition, the following
departments have staff present here to address any questions; the Zoning Evaluation and Platting and Traffic Review sections of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, and the County Attorney's office.

*     *         *             *                 * 

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Please call the next item.

MR. VITAL: Item 3, PZB 4, LLC, Application No. 17-170. Zero objectors, zero waivers.

MR. ARZA: Good evening. Hugo Arza, offices at 701 Bricke11 Avenue. A pleasure to be here before you this evening representing PZB4, LLC in their request before you tonight, which I'll get into shortly.

I'm joined by a couple of folks here today who may have to come up and speak later if they're asked any questions, although I will be doing the bulk of the presentation.

Rolando Benitez, one of the owners of the property, and we'11 get into a little
bit about the proposed use and that it's not just them being the owners of the property, but also that it's for their business, a business that they own that will be housed here in these offices, as well as Pedro Ramos, the architect of the project, obviously here to address any questions or concerns that any of you or anyone in the audience may have with respect to the actual building, the project, or the layout.

And, finally, and certainly not 1east --

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Excuse me, can you turn it up a second? He's not heard in the back?

MR. ARZA: It's rare that I'm not being heard. I'11 try and lean in. I think you can hear me a little better. Sorry.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
MR. ARZA: As I was saying, last but certainly not least, A1 Torres, my colleague at Holland and Knight.
we are before you here today with a
one acre -- 1.07-acre parce1 located basically at the mid point between 102nd Avenue and 99th Avenue on miller, Southwest 56th Street. And this is currently a relatively under-improved former nursery, as there are and have been historically in this area nursery use, approved back in 1994, so it has been around for roughly 20 -some-odd years, 23 years.

To the west of the property you will see a different kind of development in this area. In the aerial that we have up here you'11 see a lot of red, so to speak. You'11 see two shopping centers, also have been there for both in excess of 25 or 30 years, historically neighborhood shopping centers that serve the surrounding communities and this part of town.

This parcel became available to Mr. Benitez and his group. They were looking for a single tenant, single use office building. They own Columbus Capita1. You'11 see -- you'11 see in the rendering of the building, and I'm going
to put this here, and I know there are some folks in the audience that are concerned, I'11 turn it around if they have any questions and I'11 move around the boards.

But as you can see, this is intended to be a single use office building for a financial services mortgage company that has outgrown its current space elsewhere in the neighborhood. They were over on Sunset now, so they are nearby. Obviously, a lot of their employees, and the folks that will work at this facility, or at this building, are going to be folks who already live in this neighborhood, as the current offices are just up the road, so to speak, but they have outgrown that space thanks to, you know, the successes of this company, and they were able to acquire this parce1, and they wish to erect a 31,000 square foot office building.

And the layout of that building, it is easy to just talk about 31,000 square feet, and the layout of that building
impacts both the surroundings, but also is dictated by the surroundings in many respects.

You can place this building in a lot of different places on 1.07 acres, but what we've chosen to do, and we'11 get into some of the reasons for that, and what that means, is that we've chosen to move the building up towards miller as much as possible. So, our front setback -- and we meet it, we are not exceeding or asking to be any closer to miller than we are allowed to be, out our front setback is at 25 feet, which is still the required setback, and we are pushing the building all the way there. And you'll see, in a lot of suburban markets, so to speak, typically when you have a parcel, the building is pushed to the back, right, I mean, and then parking is all in the front.

What we've done here is a little bit different. We've actually pushed the building to the front and allowed some of the parking to be in the back. And that
was specifically because we recognized that to the south of our parce1, and to the east -- I didn't mention -- to the east is another nursery, so to situate, along the corridor itself, the miller corridor, you've got shopping center, shopping center, the parcel in question today and then another nursery. We would continue to be surrounded in any case by non-residential uses. I mean, very active uses, in the case of a shopping center, and commercial -- the retail in nursery environment.

But, it is fair to say that from here south, obviously, these are single family residences. And, so, a property like this is actually advantageous from, let's say, a traffic standpoint because everything has to come in and out. It's a mid-block piece. Everything is going to come in and out through miller. So, we really can stay completely away from any kind of neighborhood.

You'11 have me stand up here from time to time, and the concerns will be
more traffic driven because a building is close to a residential neighborhood. We don't have those concerns today because of where this location is.

The concerns we had were, let's call them, compatibility or the closeness that we have to some of our neighbors to the south.

So, what we did is we moved this building as far as we could north to provide for the distance from the edge of the building.

Al, if you can have the site plan.
And what Al is holding up, Miller is on the right side, right here, the back of the parcel.

So, the building stops right where Al is holding up. And that's 129 feet from the rear. And so I ask you to sort of keep that in mind because, again, where you layout a building is critical for the impacts that you are going to have on your surrounding neighbors. And, so, that was the choice that we made. We said, let's push the building up to the front so that
we can be as far away as we can from those neighbors. And at 129 feet, when the typical BU-1A setback in the rear would be 20 feet, let's say we are obviously, you know, 109 feet further away then the code would otherwise allows us to. That was certainly a nod.

The second one was the design of the building. And if you take a look at the rendering at the bottom, you'11 notice that this is, you know, what some people call building on stilts, so to speak. Obviously it's done in a way where if you look really quickly you can't even tell. But the -- A1, just point where the cars are coming in and out. So, sort of the ingress and egress points are there. The entire ground floor is parking.

And, so, we did that because, again, our experience tells us that offices uses traditionally have, or can have, parking issues. And we didn't want to seek any kind of parking variance. We meet the parking requirements, we have all of the parking that we need for the square
footage that we have, and we don't require a parking variance.

But, of course, if you put the building on the ground floor, you know, if you do a two-story building and you put it on the ground floor, you can't really very well park where the building is.

And, so, if we did that, then we have to push it back, leave parking in the front, and probably be here before you with some sort of variance for parking and telling you that we are going to control the parking.

I would always rather be in a situation where I'm talking to you about the efforts we are making to try and be good neighbors than to ask you for parking variances, because I know that those can get quite ugly.

So, that's the layout that is here before you today. And, again, if you have specific questions about the building itself, our architect is here today.

Let me just run quickly through -there are four requests that we are --
that are before you today. The first is a rezoning. So, the property is currently sort of historical, zoning is EUS. It is not obviously very ideal residential, it would be a very difficult sell for someone to want to put up a house here today with a shopping center adjacent to them. And, so, with no other residences really in that corridor of miller. So, it is, like I said, it's kind of a use that has gone by the wayside.

So, the request would be to $B U-1 A$, which is the most limited of the business use district. And I'11 get into a second how we further limit that beyond that.

And then we've got three fairly modest variances just to accommodate the building, the building setback on the east side, which is nearest the nursery of two feet, so where 15 feet are required, our building comes out to 13 feet, again in keeping with the fact that there is a problematic need. This is being designed to meet the needs of an existing business, and they kind of know what they need, and
we were trying to accommodate, making sure they had all the parking, but making sure that they had the square footage they need for this business which, again, employees, and I'11 1et Mr. Benitez te11 you the number of employees, and sort of their impact on the economy.

Again, a modest non-use variance for floor area ratio of .68 , where .62 is allowed, which equals to a couple thousand square feet at the end of the day, and then a minor non-use variance to allow landscape open space of 19.1 percent where 20.7 percent. And principally, you know, the landscape variances go hand-in-hand with parking, right? The easiest way for me to get rid of a landscape variance is te11 you, I'm going to get rid of four or six parking spaces. I'm going to meet that, but I'm going to ask you for four-parking spaces. It's a trade off.

We think that when you are, you know, less than two percent, and where we are situating the landscaping, and I'm going to get into that in a second, it merits
consideration, so much so that I think all of you are familiar with, you know, your staff and how they will, you know, look quite eschew on variances, and will not routinely support variances. But in this case today, we do have a recommendation of approval from Staff for all four requests, not just for the rezoning, but for all three variances.

And all three variances, if you look at the recommendation, talk about, you know, the sort of the very slight nature of those requests. Again, we are not asking for huge variances of setbacks, or huge variances of floor area ratio, or ten percent landscaping variance. We are asking for very modest ones.

And, so, as a result, I think we enjoy Staff's recommendation.

So, the landscaping that we have is important. I just want to point out a little bit about them.

So, again, where you're situated and what you do with it is important. And, so, what we tried to do is really to try
and minimize as much as possible any visual impacts that you may have.
of course, we set the building as far forward as we could so that we would be never closer than 130 feet, and obviously if the neighbors to the south have their own setbacks, the closest their building can be is -- EU-1 rear setback, A1, do you recall off the top of your head? 25 feet.

So, if you add the 25 feet of their setback to those homes, those structures can be no closer roughly than 150 feet from our building. There is a great distance.

But we also chose to plant or to design, and Mr. Ramos chose to design, a landscaping that included some of these, what is called a little corner landscaping pocket. And I think that's important because if you are the neighbor, and certainly neighbors that are, let's say, touching the property but not directly behind it necessarily, not only are you setback, are you 150 feet away, but the closest thing that you have in our parce1,
let's call it the subject parcel, is going to be a corner that is basically going to be lushly landscaped. It is going to have height.

And, so, even if you're sitting in your backyard, obviously we can look forward and what you're going to see is going to be trees. And you would have to look, you know, 100-some-odd feet further to be able to see anything else in terms of a building, or anything like that.

I talked a little bit about the BU-1A, and what that means, and obviously you've had many applications before you for $B U-1 A$, and you know there is a litany of uses that can be done.

So, in recognition of traffic considerations, and, again, you've got traffic support, recommendation of approval, no objections from the Traffic Division, none of those issues.

But in recognition of the fact that BU-1A could lend itself and someone could come in and try other uses, we are actually proffering a covenant. We are
rezoning to $B U-1 A$ because we need it for the office use that we are proposing, but we have circulated a covenant, which Staff includes in their recommendation, this wasn't done at the 11th hour or anything like that, we worked with Staff, and we are circulating a covenant that does two things:

One, it ties us to this project and this site plan, and you're all familiar with that. Basically it means that we wil1 build what you see here today.

And, secondly, perhaps much more importantly for your purposes here today, it limits it to office and ancillary uses. So, we are not going to be able to operate a restaurant that is open to the public, we are not going to be able to have storefront, we are not going to be able to do retail, we are not going to be able to do any of the other uses that you would otherwise be able to have in BU-1A because, while we are making the request for the rezoning, we are then, ourselves, agreeing to limit the uses to just the one
use, just office uses.
And, again, the office use would take a step further in this case with the fact that that is a single-tenant office use. And, so, the nice thing about it is, you are going to have one point of control, one entity that owns it for its employees and its business purposes, and it will be able to control. It is a business that operates principally Monday through Friday, off on holidays, off on weekends. And certainly if you have any additional questions with respect to the operation of the business, I know Mr. Benitez could answer those questions in more detail.

Again, we had an opportunity to speak with a couple of the neighbors, a couple of the folks that are here today that have identified themselves as neighbors, and I have tried to hit on a couple of the concerns that they mentioned to us. They were part of my presentation anyway because we kind of know -- we know the project how it's situated, and I think
we've gotten to the point where we can anticipate some of the questions.

But certainly, you know, we've tried to explain to them some of the rationale behind why we designed the building the way we have, and why we think that the building is compatible with the surroundings.

But I would respectfully ask, Madam Chair, if there is an opportunity to rebut, that word is strong, it's simply to answer or address any concerns or questions.

In closing, I appreciate your time here this evening. Madam Chairwoman, before I forget, Madam Chair, congratulations on your tenure as chair.

So, I know I was before you plenty of times during that tenure.

But we would ask for your vote this evening in favor of this project, it accordance with the stipulated conditions, in accordance with Staff's recommendation of approval.

Again, I remain ready to answer any
questions that you may have.
BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: Through the Chair, I have a question of the architect.
while you are coming up, because understand, we get these tiny plans that you can't read. what is the maximum height of the building at the top of the parapet on the north and south?

MR. RAMOS: My name is Pedro Ramos with the Architect Group. At the very tip --

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I need your address -- excuse me, sir, your address.

MR. RAMOS: The address is 8000 Northwest 7th Street, Suite 101, Miami, florida.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
MR. RAMOS: The highest point to the building at the very peak at the front is 45 feet. But the predominant parapet, which is around the building, which is flat, is 43 feet.

BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: That is why I was asking at the parapet, because I know that the triangle at this center is
peculiar.
Now, 44 feet, is there -- and I've lived in that area for 26 years. Other than Publix, is there anything on Miller Road, from 87th Avenue and 117th Avenue, that approximate that height?

And I'm concerned. So you understand where I'm going with, I'm concerned about the precedence. And just so you further know and so it is clear to everybody who is here, we didn't approve Publix, and Publix didn't come in front of us, okay? I want that to be clear.

But I am concerned about the height and the precedence we would be setting, because, you know, I think -- I don't want to speak for anybody else, this is from my standpoint, the Publix building went way out of scale with everything around it, way too tall. And if it ever came in front of us, we wouldn't have approved it. I'm not in favor of setting a precedence for what is basically a four-story building on Miller Road.

That was my concern. And my question
was as to the height.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: It's 43 feet, basically.

MR. RAMOS: It is 43 feet.
board member mr. valdes: At the parapet.

MR. RAMOS: At the parapet.
bOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: I'm not concerned about the triangle, because that is just a peculiar architectural feature. I'm concerned with the parapet.

MR. RAMOS: Right.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
Anyone here who is in favor of the application? Please come up.

Mr. Percival.
MR. PERCIVAL: Good evening, again, Madam Chair, Council Members. Lawrence Percival, President, Greater Kendal1 Community Activist, 11945 Southwest 127th Court.

In 1957, '58 I lived between Miller and Sunset on Southwest 97th Avenue. I used to play in the homes that were being built at the time, right at miller and

97th Avenue. So, I became very familiar, as a kid, in that neighborhood. It had a lot of properties that weren't developed, but it was basically still a developing neighborhood, if you will, of new communities. And I went to Blue Lakes Elementary.

And over the years, from then until now, for the longest time, it remained more or less larger properties with modern size homes, and now even bigger homes. You have a lot of McMansions in that area, throughout the area, and you still have interesting properties that have been used as nurseries, are shopping centers, and other things.

In my estimation, even if you're concerned about this potentially presenting a dangerous precedence, because of the uniqueness of the areas, and how built-out miller Road already is with a lot of residential areas, with pieces of properties that are used as nurseries, the paradine has changed over time, gives this a certainty that, in my estimation,
improves the area in a very positive and professional way, going forward for generations to come, maybe longer.

And if for some reason they decided not to keep this as an office building, 30 years from now, 40 years from now, it can be retrofitted in such a way that it would blend into whatever might happen in the future, and still be compatible to a surrounding neighborhood of residences, that at some point those old homes are going to wind up getting torn down and retrofitted in some new way, who knows how.

But these kind of urban fill project, if you will, professional buildings, as opposed to more homes, are a good thing going forward, as opposed to more shopping centers.

And when you have professional buildings with people, you're providing them the wherewithal to go to the local restaurants and local places to do business with the patrons that desperately need those patrons. And I suspect this
will do just that, to give service to some of the local restaurants to help keep those Mom and Pops alive.

So, in the bigger picture of everything with your concern relative to the height, when you look at what is already there along the corner, it is going to be a long time coming before you are going to see something that is going to come back to create concern for the precedence. And this is just my personal opinion, for whatever that's worth. And I hope that you would give approval to this application. Thank you. Luke votes for it, too.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Anyone else here speaking in favor of the application please step up to the podium.
seeing none.
Those of you who may be here to speak against the application, please step up to the podium. And if you have not been sworn in, I need you to be sworn in by the Court Reporter before you speak.

MR. QUINTANA: I am Salvador

Quintana, 9965 Southwest 58th Street.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Were you sworn in?

MR. QUINTANA: No. I can swear now. THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so he1p you God?

MR. QUINTANA: I do.
I have some questions. I'm not objecting to the part where it says Part 1 District Boundary Change from EUS. I have some questions about the Part No. 2 where it is trying to set the setback from 13 to the required 15 , and the .62 permitted to .68, taking out some landscaping. I'm concerned to the privacy that it's going to kind of get in the way with.
what is there that is going to kind of guarantee that the, I guess, vegetation that they would add to it won't -- I guess it is a condition here. With have hurricanes and that will tear it down eventually and will get in the way of privacy.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: As far as privacy, I believe Mr. Arza can speak to that. Unlike the previous, it is 43 feet.

MR. QUINTANA: So, that height given to where the other houses are, you would be able to see from your backyard in there. So, that's getting the privacy from certain backyards and various properties, yes or no?

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I assume that from the second floor, Mr. Arza, or the architect can speak to that, but I believe from the second floor you could see it, and they could see you.

MR. QUINTANA: So, given the third floor on there, and the fact that some properties out there have pools and all that, I'm pretty sure I wouldn't be the only one to be affected by the fact that there is not only from my behalf, but women also like to tan in their backyard and what not, and I'm pretty sure they might have a problem with that, you know. If you would be able to see from the second floor, you would be able to see
from the third floor. I don't know if there would be any way to adjust the height on that.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I'm not sure. If you would like to address that in your rebuttal? They'11 address that in their rebuttal.

MR. QUINTANA: And as far as -- the landscaping, that's the whole part where it covers up the --

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I believe Code limits it to 14 feet. I don't know. As far as landscaping, I'm not even sure it can go up that high. Shrubs but not for trees. I'm sure Mr. Arza will talk to visibility for you when he rebuttals.

Do you have any other points?
MR. QUINTANA: As far as noise is concerned, I don't know what type of systems, or generators on there, or anything on the rooftops, or any $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{c}$ systems would be located, as to where the sound would bounce off.

BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: Talk a little slower.

MR. QUINTANA: I'm sorry?
BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: Would you mind speaking a little slower?

MR. QUINTANA: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: You're kind of running --

MR. QUINTANA: I apologize.
BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: I'm only getting every other word.

MR. QUINTANA: Okay. So, what I'm saying is, I don't know what kind of equipment would be outside of the property that might obscure some noise, or bounce off noise on to the other side of the properties and disturb anybody, too late or too early in the morning, or throughout the night.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: He's chosen to wait until everyone else speaks. If he does not answer your question --

MR. QUINTANA: That's fine.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: So, noise and visibility, privacy I mean. Okay.

MR. QUINTANA: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Okay. Anyone
else who would like to come speak against the application? were you sworn in?

MS. QUINTANA: Lizzy Quintana, 9965
Southwest 58th Street. He was referring to the noise. I know that they are going to be working Monday through Friday. I'm not going to be working supposedly like they said on the weekends. But what is going to happen on Monday through Friday? I will have the noise.

And like he says, why did they have to go three floors high when they could have one building. They also are trying to reduce the landscaping in the area, which is the area. That's the beauty of the area, of the landscaping and all that.

So, basically the noise and the high of the building. why did they have to go three floors, when they go one floor. And the setback -- excuse me.

No. 1, objection to that.
No. 2, the variance to stay under 15 requirement right now, and the 62 permit right now that they -- I mean, there is no way, and to reduce the landscaping also.

So, that's going to be the noise, the privacy, like the other people from the FPL, they don't want to see the structures, I'm going to wake up in the middle of the day and look at the huge construction concrete instead of the trees or less landscaping. That's what we have now. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Is anyone here --

BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: Through the Chair, I have a question for staff.

For the current district boundary, EUS, do you know what the current height max is, that they can go up, as it stands today?

MR. VITAL: I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
bOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: As it stands today, they are under EUS. What is the current height?

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: what is the limit?

BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: what's the 1imit?

MR. VITAL: EUS it's 35 feet in height.

BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: And under BU-1A, what is the height?

MR. VITAL: BU-1A is four stories, or I believe it is the width of the right-of-way, which in this case it's 100 feet.
bOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: 100 feet.
MR. VITAL: 100. That's the section line road which is 100 feet wide. But it's four stories. To my knowledge, it's four stories.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: If you want to take notes and hit everybody, it is my impression.

MR. ARZA: okay.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Anyone else here to speak against the application?

No? Sir, go ahead.
MR. ARZA: I got ahead of myself.
So, yes, the height is two stories. BU-1A max height is four stories or 45 feet. The current zoning maximum height is two stories or 35 feet. So, in
fact, you could build a 35-foot tall
structure on this property and set it back much further back, and then have, you know, a neighbor, so to speak, or somebody peering back into the neighborhood. And that is why I chose my words and my presentation carefully. That is why we set it as far forward as possible. Distance is the greatest mitigation that you can have for any visual impacts. The second one that you have is landscaping. while we are asking for, again, 1.6 percent reduction in landscaping, that pertains to the open space requirement for landscaping. We are not seeking a variance on the number of trees or shrubs. So, we will actually provide for the number of trees that the Code requires. We are just not spreading it out over 21 percent, roughly, of the land, we are putting it on 19.6 percent. Same number of trees. We can actually pack it a little bit more densely in the areas where it will help to provide the mitigating factor.

Let me run through a couple of other comments. And, I guess, if it's okay, may I address also the Vice Chair's concerns.

You know, obviously we all worry and are concerned with precedence. But the beauty of our Code, and applications such as this, is that they are tied to site plans. And so the value of your decision this evening is entirely couched on what this site plan looks like, the presentation and the testimony. No one can come in next week, or call me next week, and say, "Hey, you got this building approved, I want the same exact building next door. We still need to go through all of you, and it would be a whole new series of considerations.

While I recognize that obviously the trend in a particular neighborhood might be such as that an approval pushes it in one direction or another, there is no direct precedential value that means that anyone can come in now and do projects as of right because of your decision this evening.

Again, it is what I typically say with the concern of precedence is, every application that comes before you will have to stand on its own merits, the future ones and this one.

So, a couple of the reasons why we have to be at the height we are at, and why, even if we tweaked it, you know, we don't think it is helpful.

For instance, there was a concern from one of the neighbors about some of the noise. Mr. Ramos just told me that he can drop the back five feet. And, you know, make that building at 38 feet, or so, in the back.

The reason it's 43 feet is because it is actually covering all of the HVAC and all of the equipment back there. So we can reduce in some respects a little bit of scalability and drop it five feet, and at 130 feet, you'11 see a building that's five feet shorter. But you're going to then see, and one would be reasonable to assume here then, some of the equipment and the concerns that the neighbors had.

So, we think, and we would respectfully offer, that rather than dropping it five feet to say we dropped it and the back of the building is only 38 feet, that we keep it at 43 because it is actually going to be the barrier and, again, the less barriers that you can have for sound is to have a building such as that.

So, the answer is such as that.
Again, there were questions regarding the landscaping code, and I've addressed how, you know, we meet all the tree requirements and the shrub requirements. And we are just putting in into a slightly smaller amount of space.
with respect to what happens if there's a hurricane. Unlike the regular single family home that you may have that when a hurricane hits you are not obligated, we have site plan that we are governed by. So, if after a storm of some type, or some incident, trees get knocked down, our approved plan no longer looks the way it does, because the trees fell
down, we are under an obligation, and they'11 have to get a Certificate of Use every year, to replant or do whatever it takes to bring it back to this.

So, in fact, by having an approved site plan you're protected in the instances of natural disasters knocking trees down and the like.

Again, if it happens in one of our single family homes, you are generally not going to be asked to replant. Maybe you do because you choose to. Here the County can always every year take a look and make sure that the property is being maintained in accordance with the approved site plan.

So, if there is a hurricane one year, we live in South Florida, they would have to, you know, after a storm of that nature, replant and bring it back to grade.

So, there is actually greater protection then if there is a storm of that nature.

The privacy concern, again, and we talked a lot about the distance and all of
that. One other thing that I've discussed with my client and that we are willing to do is, to reduce the number of windows on the third floor. I will tell you candidly that I don't think the second floor is an issue. You can stand on the second floor 130 feet away, there are going to be trees on your property and there is already going to be trees on other properties that are going to grow easily to $15,18,20$ feet, so you are going to be at height level. You are going to see other trees and you're going to see parking lot. If you are on the second floor of our building and you look out of the back, you know, you're 14, 15 feet high, you are just going to see -I mean, the trees are going to be higher than that. I don't see how there is any privacy concerns.

Third story, I can understand, you're up closer into the 20's or so.

And so one of the suggestions we had, I don't want to remove the entire window because then there is no natural light,
but, you know, obviously the fewer windows there are, the fewer opportunities there are for there to sort of be a congregation of folks, people are going there to work, they are not going to be staring out the window, but we respect.

And so one suggestion that we could make is to reduce the number of windows on the third story in an effort to try and mitigate additionally not only the distance and the landscaping, but mitigate the concerns with the landscaping -- with the privacy concerns that there are.
so, again, I don't know, and I suspect that there may be other Board Members who have questions, but hopefully, you know, in terms of privacy, noise, the comments that I heard from the audience today, as well as the Vice Chair's comments regarding precedence, you know, we would answer the questions in that way.

And, like I said, I will suspect that there may be a couple of other questions.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I have several questions for you. How many offices are
in that building?
MR. ARZA: So, I don't think it is scaled out.

Rolando, do you want to come up and talk about the number of employees you expect to have? Give your name and address.

MR. BENITEZ: Rolando Benitez, 2615 Granada Boulevard.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: So, from counting more or less, I would think there is over 30 offices, maybe as many 48 offices. Do you know how many offices will be in that building?

MR. BENITEZ: We are the end user. It's an open form within individual offices. It's going to be 30-something people.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: 30-some-odd people? How many parking spaces?

MR. BENITEZ: There's 106.
MR. ARZA: 106 parking spaces.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: So, approximately 30 employees and approximately 106 --

MR. BENITEZ: We11, you have employees and you have loan officers, or independent contractors. So, there is, like, maybe 50 of them, 60 of them, they come and go, and then clients.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: okay. And one last question. Why BU-1A instead of BU-1?

MR. BENITEZ: It's more restrictive.
MR. ARZA: I mean, it allows us to -it allows us to get the height that we need, and there is one other point that I wanted to mention on that.

But, again, the BU-1A, the covenant, is going to limit it to office uses. So, either one of those will allow office uses.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Including medical offices in the future?

MR. ARZA: Right now it's worded that it wouldn't allow for any office use.

MR. BENITEZ: we're a lending institution.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I understand that currently you're a lending institution, but the covenant just says the word "office".

MR. ARZA: It does. Let me -- one other point that I want to mention and then I'11 break for a second and talk to my client whether that's something -- I don't think it's going to matter, but I want to ask him.

One other point that I forgot to mention, that I do want to bring up is the height consideration. And we talked a little bit about this. It is still two stories worth of office space. It's just that we raised it in order to provide for that ingress and egress in that ground floor parking.

And, Madam Chair, you just asked the question, and so we are probably, by the numbers that you just heard, we are going to have ample parking, realistically, and maybe we could have asked for, you know, a ten-parking space variance and provided for that a little bit more.

Again, I've been before this Board and many other many times and parking variances are, you know, don't show up
with those because then people are going to park in our neighborhood and all of that. So, it's a balancing act. Realistically we recognize that there is probably, you know, for once, you know, I'm going to stand before you and say this probably has more parking on its face than is needed, but we would much rather there be ten or 15 empty spaces on a regular basis and just have the free flow of traffic then to worry about that.

So, obviously the fact that we have to go higher is because we come in through the bottom, we are not at ground level.

Also, the building could have been a little shorter. But when you do something like this, you have to provide clearance for EMT and fire. All of that has been reviewed, they have noted no objections. But if those entrances aren't at least 13 feet high, you can't build them.

So, again, I mean, no cars are going to be 13 feet high, not even SUV's, but you can't -- so we have to give it a little bit more height.

So, you know, three feet here and four feet there do add up.

And as someone asked, I mean, the EUS limit is 35 feet and we are at 43 . So, in reality, the difference between what could be done there today, in a height level, and what we are proposing is eight feet. When you set 129 feet, we think -- I wanted to just again reiterate that we are on stilts, so to speak. It is only two stories of office space.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Any questions -other questions at this time?

Thank you.
MR. ARZA: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: To the County, what is the main difference between BU-1 and $B U-1 A$ ?

MR. VITAL: Mainly the uses that they could put there. with regards to setbacks, with regards to lot coverage, and any other requirement, it is basically the same. It's mainly about the uses.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: what uses are included in A that are not included in 1,
more or less? I mean, I don't expect you to read off every single one.

MR. VITAL: It is a long 1ist. I would have to refer to the code.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Questions? Discussion?

BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: If it is closed, I would open the matter up for discussion, through the Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Sure. Okay, open for discussion.

MR. VITAL: If I may, also keep in mind that BU-1A allows BU-1 uses.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I understand that part. But BU-1 is more restrictive than $\mathrm{BU}-1 \mathrm{~A}$.

BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: She asked you if BU-1A was more restrictive than BU-1.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: No, just the opposite. $B U-1$ is more restrictive than $B U-1 A$.

BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: Through the Chair, I really don't want anything I'm about to say to discourage the applicant
from coming back in front of us, because I can tell from the presentation that a lot of effort has been put into building a quality product. It would, as Mr. Percival said, enhance the neighborhood.

But there is the issue of compatibility, it isn't just the precedence. Miller Road, specifically from Tropical Park all the way to 117 th, is not Bird Road, it's not Sunset, it's not Kendall Drive. It's predominantly residential, okay, with the exception of the Publix, which, again, we never approved, never came in front of us. There is nothing on miller Road that goes 44 feet tall. And, in my opinion, what is being proposed is out of character, and my recommendation, and I would make a motion, if nobody else does, is to deny without prejudice on that basis.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Okay. Is there a discussion?
bOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: You want us to discuss it?

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Yes. The public portion is closed.

BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: Al1 right.
I concur with my colleague about the height problem. You see, we've got massive traffic problems on Bird Road. We have massive traffic on Sunset. And coming -- we were late almost coming over here. This business of compatibility is one of the most important issues that we have to face. And we have worked with miller Road on several issues now. We are not novices on miller Road. We've worked -- we were not allowed the privileges to have any say with the height of the Publix. It was put in without our knowledge. We were only allowed to say something about the liquor store going in there. That was the only thing Dade County would allow us to say.

If we don't hold the line in some areas that are designed for parks, two schools, and nice middle-class neighborhoods, if we don't hold the line here and creeping commercialism, we just
will not be doing our duty to the residents of Dade County. It isn't a matter -- I agree with my colleague entirely. It's a lovely rendering. Your ideas are very good. I like your company. I have nothing against Holland and knight, and A1, former Co-Director of the Zoning Department many years ago. It's just -gentlemen, it's just a matter of, in my personal belief, height. We've got to hold the line in some areas so that we can keep traffic.

Here we have a C and D traffic flow. Everywhere else we have E plus 125 coming down Southwest 88th Street. We have too much traffic.

So, I just would ask the rest of my colleagues to consider those few words.

BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: Through the Chair, I just have a couple of questions.

West of 102nd on miller, I know that there is a commercial building, an office space building. Do we know the height? If it was BU-1, I'm assuming they would be
able to go up to 45 -foot.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I think he's asking the County.
bOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: Is it 2-story?

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: He is asking the County. Give him a second. If Mr. Vital doesn't know then we'11 be happy to consult you.

MR. VITAL: If you look at handwritten Page 26 of your package, are you referring to the property --

BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: I'm referring to the second parcel west of 102nd on Miller, which is the BU-1.

My question is, I know that that parcel is an office space. By Code, as it stands right now, it can go up to 45 . But we don't know at what height it is right now.

MR. VITAL: Correct.
BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: It's two stories right now.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Any other discussion?

MR. ARZA: Madam Chair, I had an answer for you on the medical uses. I didn't have an opportunity to answer. If you wish to open it back up.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Sure.
MR. ARZA: You had a question.
board member ms. brodeur: It's closed.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: I'11 be happy to --

MR. ARZA: The applicant was asked a question, Madam Brodeur --

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: About medical offices.

MR. ARZA: We obviously would want the record to reflect, we have no objection to the prohibiting patients and medical office uses or, you know -- what I think your concern is with traffic.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Yeah, with 106 spaces.

MR. ARZA: This is intended to be for this end user, which is a financial services company. We have no issue and we can work on the words with you, as you
would normally do, to say, you know, office uses only but excluding any medical offices that receive patients, or anything like that. That's the question.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
MR. ARZA: I wanted to make sure --
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
Further discussion? Seeing none, would somebody like to make a motion?

BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: I'11 make a motion to deny the application without prejudice.

BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: I second.
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Mr. Vital, take the roll.

MR. VITAL: Councilwoman Brodeur?
BOARD MEMBER MS. BRODEUR: Yes.
MR. VITAL: Councilman Larsh?
BOARD MEMBER MR. LARSH: Yes.
MR. VITAL: Councilman Petisco?
BOARD MEMBER MR. PETISCO: No.
MR. VITAL: Councilman Zack?
bOARD MEMBER MR. ZACK: No.
MR. VITAL: Was that a yes or a no?
BOARD MEMBER MR. ZACK: No.

MR. VITAL: Vice Chair Valdes?
BOARD MEMBER MR. VALDES: Yes.
MR. VITAL: Chairwoman Vazquez?
CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Yes.
MR. VITAL: Motion passes four to two.

CHAIRWOMAN VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
MR. ARZA: Thank you al1. Good evening.
(Item was adjourned.) * * * * *
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additional [2] 3/9 19/13
additionally [1] 40/10
address [10] 4/2 5/7 20/12 21/13 21/13
21/14 29/5 29/6 35/3 41/7
address -- [1] 21/13
addressed [1] 37/12
adjacent [1] 13/7
adjourned [1] 53/10
adjust [1] 29/2
advantageous [1] 9/17
advertised [1] 3/6
aerial [1] 6/13
affected [1] 28/19
after [2] 37/22 38/18
again [25] $10 / 20$ 11/19 12/21 13/21 14/4
14/8 15/13 15/23 17/18 19/2 19/17 20/25
23/17 34/13 36/1 37/7 37/11 38/9 38/24
40/14 42/13 43/23 44/22 45/9 47/14
against [4] 26/21 31/1 33/19 49/6
agenda [2] 3/9 3/11
ago [1] 49/8
agree [1] 49/3
agreeing [1] 18/25
Aguirre [4] 54/6 54/16 55/6 55/19
ahead [2] 33/20 33/21
Al [7] 5/23 10/13 10/14 10/17 11/15 16/8

## 49/7

alive [1] $26 / 3$
all [28] 3/2 3/5 3/15 3/17 3/22 3/24 8/16 8/21
11/24 14/2 15/1 15/7 15/8 15/10 18/10 28/17
31/16 35/4 35/16 36/17 36/18 37/13 38/25
44/2 44/18 47/10 48/3 53/8
allow [4] 14/12 42/15 42/20 48/20
allowed [5] 8/13 8/24 14/10 48/14 48/17
allows [4] 11/6 42/9 42/10 46/13
almost [1] 48/8
along [3] 3/21 9/5 26/7
already [4] 7/15 24/21 26/7 39/9
also [10] 5/3 6/15 8/1 16/15 28/21 31/13
31/25 35/3 44/15 46/12
although [1] 4/22
always [2] 12/14 38/13
am [5] 22/14 26/25 55/9 55/10 55/11
amount [1] 37/16
ample [1] 43/19
ancillary [1] 18/15
Angela [1] 1/17
another [3] 9/4 9/8 35/21
answer [8] 19/15 20/12 20/25 30/20 37/10
40/21 51/2 51/3
Anthony [1] 1/19
anticipate [1] 20/2
any [29] 3/23 3/24 4/2 4/21 5/7 5/8 7/4 8/12
9/9 9/22 11/22 16/1 18/21 19/12 20/12 20/25
29/2 29/17 29/21 34/10 39/19 42/20 45/12
45/22 48/15 50/24 52/2 55/10 55/10
anybody [2] 22/17 30/15
anyone [7] 5/9 23/14 26/17 30/25 32/10
33/18 35/23
anything [7] 17/10 17/11 18/5 22/4 29/21
46/24 52/3
anyway [1] 19/23
apologize [1] 30/7
APPEALS [1] 1/1
appeared [1] 54/7
applicant [4] 2/13 3/12 46/25 51/11
application [10] 3/13 4/11 23/15 26/14
26/18 26/21 31/2 33/19 36/3 52/11
applications [2] 17/14 35/6
appreciate [1] 20/14
approval [5] 15/7 17/20 20/24 26/14 35/20
approve [1] 22/11
approved [7] 6/8 22/21 35/14 37/24 38/5
38/15 47/15
approximate [1] 22/6
approximately [2] 41/24 41/25
architect [5] 5/6 12/23 21/3 21/10 28/12
architectural [1] 23/10
are [96]
are -- [1] 12/25
area [12] 1/1 6/7 6/13 14/9 15/15 22/3 24/12
24/13 25/1 31/14 31/15 31/16
areas [5] 24/20 24/22 34/24 48/22 49/11
aren't [1] 44/20
around [5] 6/9 7/3 7/4 21/21 22/19
Arza [5] 2/14 4/13 28/2 28/11 29/15
as [51] 5/5 5/6 5/22 6/6 7/6 7/15 8/9 8/10
10/10 10/10 11/1 11/1 15/18 16/1 16/1 16/3
16/4 19/20 20/17 23/1 24/2 24/15 24/23 25/5
25/16 25/18 28/1 28/1 29/8 29/8 29/12 29/13
29/18 29/18 29/22 32/15 32/19 34/8 34/8
35/7 35/20 35/23 37/8 37/10 40/19 40/19
41/12 45/3 47/4 50/17 51/25
as -- [1] 29/8
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ask [7] } & \text { 10/19 12/17 14/20 20/9 } & \text { 20/20 } & \text { 43/7 }\end{array}$
49/17
asked [7] 4/21 38/11 43/16 43/20 45/3 46/17
51/11
asking [7] 8/12 15/14 15/17 21/24 34/12
50/3 50/6
Assistant [1] 1/24
assume [2] 28/10 36/24
assuming [1] 49/25
attorney [3] 1/24 55/10 55/11
Attorney's [1] 4/6
audience [3] 5/9 7/2 40/18
authorized [1] 55/7
available [3] 3/10 3/17 6/20
AVENUE [8] 1/3 4/14 6/3 6/3 22/5 22/5
23/23 24/1
away [5] 9/22 11/1 11/5 16/24 39/7

## B

back [19] 5/16 6/8 8/20 8/25 10/15 12/9
26/10 34/2 34/3 34/5 36/13 36/15 36/18 37/4
38/4 38/19 39/16 47/1 51/4
backyard [3] 17/6 28/6 28/21
backyards [1] 28/8
balancing [1] 44/3
barrier [1] 37/6
barriers [1] 37/7
basically [8] 6/2 17/2 18/11 22/23 23/3 24/4
31/17 45/22
basis [2] 44/10 47/21
be [78]
beauty [2] 31/15 35/6
became [2] 6/20 24/1
because [25] 9/1 9/18 10/1 10/3 10/20 11/19
12/18 16/20 18/1 18/23 19/24 21/4 21/24
22/16 23/9 24/19 35/24 36/16 37/5 37/25
38/12 39/25 44/1 44/13 47/1
been [13] 3/6 3/7 3/8 3/22 6/6 6/8 6/16 24/14
26/22 43/23 44/15 44/18 47/3
before [15] 4/15 4/17 5/25 12/10 12/21 13/1
17/14 20/16 20/18 26/8 26/24 36/3 43/23
44/6 54/7
behalf [1] 28/20
behind [2] 16/23 20/5
being [6] 5/2 5/18 13/23 23/24 38/14 47/18
belief [1] 49/10
believe [4] 28/2 28/12 29/11 33/6
Benitez [6] 2/15 4/24 6/21 14/5 19/15 41/8
better [1] 5/19
between [4] 6/2 23/22 45/5 45/17
beyond [1] 13/15
bigger [2] 24/11 26/4
Bird [2] 47/11 48/6
bit [9] 5/1 8/22 15/22 17/12 34/23 36/19
43/11 43/22 44/25
blend [1] 25/8
Blue [1] 24/6
BOARD [7] 1/1 1/14 2/2 3/17 40/15 43/23
55/7
boards [1] $7 / 5$
both [2] 6/16 8/1
bottom [2] 11/10 44/14
Boulevard [1] 41/9
bounce [2] 29/23 30/13
boundary [2] 27/12 32/13
break [1] 43/4
Brickell [1] 4/14
bring [3] 38/4 38/19 43/9
Brodeur [4] 1/18 2/6 51/12 52/16
Brodeur -- [1] 51/12
build [3] 18/12 34/1 44/21
building [55] 5/10 6/23 6/25 7/7 7/14 7/22
7/23 7/25 8/4 8/9 8/16 8/19 8/24 10/1 10/10
10/12 10/17 10/21 10/25 11/9 11/12 12/4
12/5 12/7 12/22 13/18 13/18 13/21 16/3 16/7
16/13 17/11 20/5 20/7 21/7 21/19 21/21
22/18 22/24 25/5 31/13 31/18 35/13 35/14
36/14 36/21 37/4 37/8 39/15 41/1 41/14
44/15 47/3 49/23 49/24
buildings [2] 25/16 25/21
built [1] 23/25
built-out [1] 24/21
bulk [1] 4/22
business [10] 5/4 5/4 13/13 13/24 14/4 19/8
19/10 19/14 25/24 48/9
but I [1] 28/12
by the [3] 13/11 26/23 43/17

| C | 38/24 51/19 concerned $[10] \quad 7 / 3$ 22/7 22/8 22/14 23/9 | dictated [1] 8/2 <br> did [6] 10/9 11/19 12/8 31/11 31/18 55/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| called [2] 3/11 16 | 23/11 24/18 27/17 29/19 35/5 | didn't [5] 9/3 11/22 22/11 22/12 51/3 |
| came [2] 22/20 4 | concerns [11] 5/8 9/25 10/3 10/5 19/2 | difference [2] 45/5 45/17 |
| can [35] 5/14 5/19 7/6 8/4 9/21 10/13 11/1 | 20/12 35/3 36/25 39/20 40/12 40/13 | different [3] 6/12 8/5 8/2 |
| 11/1 11/21 12/18 16/8 16/12 17/6 17/16 20/1 | concrete [1] 32/6 | difficult [1] 13/5 |
| 25/6 27/4 28/2 28/12 29/14 32/15 34/10 | concur [1] 48/4 | direct [1] 35/22 |
| 34/22 35/12 35/23 36/13 36/19 37/7 38/13 | condition [1] 27/22 | direction [1] 35/21 |
| 9/21 47/2 49/11 50/18 51/25 | conditions [1] 20/22 | directly [1] 16/22 |
| can't [5] 11/14 12/6 21/6 44/21 44/2 | congratulations [1] | disasters [1] 38/7 |
| can't -- [1] 44/24 | congregation [1] 40/3 | discourage [1] 46/2 |
| candidly [1] 39/5 | connected [1] 55/11 | discuss [1] 47/25 |
| Capital [1] 6/24 | consider [1] 49/18 | discussed [1] 39/1 |
| carefully [1] | consideration [2] 15/1 43/10 | discussion [6] 46/6 46/9 46/11 47/23 50/25 |
| cars [2] 11/15 44/22 | considerations [2] 17/18 35/17 | 52/8 |
| case [5] 9/9 9/11 1 | construction [1] 32/6 | distance [5] 10/11 16/14 34/9 38/25 40/11 |
| center [6] 1/2 9/6 9/7 9/11 13/72 | consult [1] 50/9 | district [3] 13/14 27/12 32/13 |
| centers [4] 6/15 6/18 24/15 25/19 | continue [1] 9/9 | disturb [1] 30/15 |
| certain [1] 28/8 | contractors [1] | Division [1] 17/21 |
| certainly [6] 5/12 5/23 11/7 16/21 19/12 | control [3] 12/12 19 | do [26] 8/6 12/5 15/6 15/24 15/25 16/8 18/20 |
| certainty [1] 2 | co |  |
| Certificate [3] | Correct [1] | $45$ |
| certify [3] 54/7 55 | corridor [3] 9/5 9/6 | does [5] 18/7 30/20 37/25 43/2 47/20 |
| Chair [12] 20/10 20/16 20/17 21/3 | couched [1] 35/9 | doesn't [1] 50/8 |
| 32/12 43/16 46/9 46/24 49/20 51/1 53/1 | could [15] 10/10 16/4 17/23 17/23 19/1 | doing [2] 4/22 49/1 |
| Chair's [2] 35/3 40/19 | 28/13 28/14 31/12 32/17 34/1 40/7 43/20 | don't [21] 10/3 12/1 22/16 29/1 29/12 29/19 |
| Chairwoman [4] 1/17 2/3 20/15 53/3 | 44/15 45/5 45/20 | 30/11 32/3 36/9 39/5 39/19 39/24 40/14 41/2 |
| Change [1] 27/12 | Council [1] 23/18 | 43/6 43/25 46/1 46/24 48/21 48/24 50/19 |
| changed [1] 24/24 | Councilman [6] 2/7 2/8 2/9 52/18 52/2 | done [5] 8/22 11/13 17/16 18/5 45 |
| character [1] | 52/22 | door [1] 35/15 |
| choice [1] 10/24 | Councilwoman [2] | down [6] 25/12 27/23 37/24 38/1 38/8 49/15 |
| choose [1] 38/12 | counsel [2] 55/10 55/ | Drive [1] 47/12 |
| chose [3] 16/15 1 | counting [1] 41/11 | driven [1] 10/1 |
| chosen [3] 8/6 8/8 3 | County [12] 1/24 3/5 4/5 38/12 45/16 48/20 | drop [2] 36/13 36/20 |
| circulated [1] 18/3 | 49/2 50/3 50/7 54/4 55/5 55/12 | dropped [1] 37/3 |
| circulating [1] 18/7 | couple [9] 4/19 14/10 19/18 19/18 19/21 | dropping [1] 37/3 |
| CIVIC [1] 1/2 | 35/1 36/6 40/23 49/20 | duly [1] 54/8 |
| clear [2] 22/10 2 | course [2] 12/3 | during [2] 3/17 20/19 |
| clearance [1] 4 | Court [3] 3/2 23/21 | $\text { duty [1] } 49 / 1$ |
| client [2] 39/2 43/5 | covenant [5] 17/25 18/3 18/7 42/13 42/25 | E |
| close [1] 10/2 | covering [1] | each [1] 3/13 |
| closed [3] 46/8 48/2 | covers [1] 29/10 | early [1] 30/16 |
| closeness [1] 10/6 | create [1] 2 | easiest [1] 14/16 |
| closer [4] 8/12 16/5 16/12 39/22 | creeping [1] 48/2 | easily [1] 39/11 |
| closest [2] 16/7 16 | critical [1] 10/21 | east [3] 9/3 9/4 13/18 |
| closing [1] 20/14 | Cross-Examinatio | east -- [1] 9/3 |
| Co-Director [1] | current [6] 7/9 7/16 32/13 32/14 32/21 | easy [1] 7/24 |
| code [8] 3/5 11/5 29/11 34/19 35/6 37/1 46/4 50/17 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 33 / 24 \\ & \text { currently [3] } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Economic [2] 3/15 4/5 economy [1] 14/7 |
| colleague [3] 5 |  | edge [1] 10/11 |
| colleagues [1] 49/18 | D | effort [2] 40/9 47/3 |
| Columbus [1] 6/23 |  | efforts [1] 12/16 |
| come [14] 4/20 9/19 9/20 17/24 22/12 23/15 | Dade [5] 48/19 49/2 54/4 55/5 55/12 | egress [2] 11/17 43/14 |
| 25/3 26/10 31/1 35/12 35/23 41/4 42/5 44/13 | dangerous [1] 24/19 | eight [1] 45/7 |
| comes [2] 13/21 36/3 | DATED [1] 55/12 | eight feet [1] 45/7 |
| coming [7] 11/16 21/4 26/8 47/1 48/8 48/8 | day [4] 14/11 32/5 54/9 55/12 | either [1] 42/15 |
|  | December [2] 54/18 55/21 | Elementary [1] 24/7 |
| coming -- [1] | decided [1] 25/4 | Elliott [1] 1/20 |
| comments [3] | decision [2] 35/8 3 | else [8] 17/10 22/17 26/1 |
| commercial [2] 9/12 49/ | densely [1] 34/23 | 47/20 49/14 |
| commercial -- [1] 9/12 | deny [2] 47/20 52/ | elsewhere [1] 7/9 |
| commercialism [1] 48/25 | Department [3] 3/14 4/4 49/8 | employee [2] 55/10 55/10 |
| Commission [4] 54/18 54/18 55/21 55/21 communities [2] 6/19 24/6 | departments [1] 4/1 design [3] 11/8 16/16 | employees [7] 7/12 14/4 14/6 19/8 41/5 |
| MMUNITY [2] $1 / 1$ 23/20 | designed [3] 13/23 20/5 48/22 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 / 2442 / 2 \\ & \text { empty [1] 44/9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| company [4] 7/8 7/19 49/5 51/2 | desperately [1] 25/24 | EMT [1] 44/18 |
| compatibility [3] 10/6 47/8 48/9 | detail [1] 19/16 | end [3] 14/11 41/15 51/23 |
| compatible [2] 20/7 25/9 | developed [1] 24/3 | enhance [1] 47/5 |
| completely [1] 9/22 | developing [1] 24/4 | enjoy [1] 15/19 |
| concern [7] 22/25 26/5 26/10 36/2 36/10 | development [1] 6/12 | entire [2] 11/18 39/24 |


| E | 28/13 28/16 28/25 29/1 31/19 39/4 39/6 39/7 39/15 43/15 | guess [3] 27/20 27/21 35/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entirely [2] 35/9 49/4 | floors [2] 31/12 31/19 | H |
| entity [1] 19/7 | Florida [8] 21/16 38/17 54/3 54/7 54/17 55/4 | had [10] 10/5 14/2 14/3 17/14 19/17 24/2 |
| entrances [1] 44/20 | 55/12 55/20 | 36/25 39/23 51/1 51/6 |
| environment [1] 9/13 | flow [2] 44/10 49/13 | hand [1] 54/8 |
| equals [1] 14/10 | folks [6] 4/19 7/2 7/13 7/14 19/19 40/4 | hand-in-hand [1] 14/15 |
| equipment [3] 30/12 36/18 36/24 | following [2] 3/25 54/7 | handwritten [1] 50/11 |
| erect [1] 7/21 | foot [1] 7/21 | happen [2] 25/8 31/9 |
| eschew [1] 15/4 | footage [2] 12/1 14/3 | happens [2] 37/17 38/ |
| estimation [2] 24/17 24/25 | for the [3] 10/21 11/25 24/9 | happy [2] 50/8 51/9 |
| EUS [6] 13/3 27/12 32/14 32/20 33/1 45/3 | foregoing [1] 55/8 | has [8] 6/8 7/9 9/19 13/10 24/24 44/7 44/18 |
| Evaluation [1] 4/3 | forget [1] 20/16 | 47/3 |
| even [7] 11/14 17/5 24/11 24/17 29/13 36/8 | forgot [1] 43/8 | have [97] |
| 44/23 | form [1] 41/16 | having [1] 38/5 |
| evening [8] 4/13 4/16 20/15 20/21 23/17 | former [2] 6/6 49/7 | he [6] 29/16 30/19 31/4 31/11 36/12 50/6 |
| 35/9 35/25 53/9 | forward [5] 16/4 17/7 25/2 25/18 34/8 | he's [3] 5/15 30/18 50/2 |
| eventually [1] 27/24 | four [9] 12/25 14/18 15/7 33/5 33/12 33/13 | head [1] 16/9 |
| ever [1] 22/20 | 33/23 45/2 53/5 | hear [1] 5/19 |
| every [5] 30/9 36/2 38/3 38/13 46/2 | four feet [1] 45/2 | heard [6] 3/6 3/11 5/15 5/18 40/18 43/18 |
| everybody [2] 22/10 33/15 | four-parking [1] | hearing [2] 3/13 3/18 |
| everyone [1] 30/19 | four-story [1] 22/23 | height [26] 17/4 21/7 22/6 22/14 23/1 26/6 |
| everything [4] 9/18 9/20 22/19 26/5 | FPL [1] 32/3 | 28/4 29/3 32/14 32/21 33/2 33/4 33/22 33/23 |
| Everywhere [1] 49/14 | free [1] 44/10 | 3/25 36/7 39/12 42/10 43/10 44/25 45/6 |
| exact [1] 35/14 | Friday [3] 19/11 31/6 31/9 | 48/5 48/15 49/10 49/24 50/19 |
| examine [1] 3/18 | front [11] 8/10 8/14 8/21 8/24 10/25 12/10 | help [3] 26/2 27/8 34/24 |
| exceeding [1] 8/12 | 21/19 22/12 22/21 47/1 47/15 | helpful [1] 36/9 |
| exception [1] 47/13 | further [8] 11/5 13/15 17/9 19/3 22/9 34/3 | here [34] 3/10 4/1 4/15 4/19 5/5 5/7 5/25 |
| excess [1] 6/16 | 52/8 55/9 | 6/14 7/1 8/22 9/14 9/24 10/15 12/10 12/20 |
| excluding [1] 52/2 | future[3] 25/9 36/5 42/18 | 12/23 13/6 18/12 18/14 19/19 20/15 22/11 |
| excuse [3] 5/14 21/13 31/20 | G | 3/14 26/17 26/20 27/22 32/10 33/18 36/24 |
| expect [2] 41/6 46/1 | generally [1] 38/10 | here -- [1] 32/10 |
| experience [1] 11/20 | generations [1] 25/3 | hereby [1] 55/6 |
| Expires [2] 54/18 55/21 | generators [1] 29/20 | Hey [1] 35/13 |
| explain [1] 20/4 | gentlemen [1] 49/9 | high [6] 29/14 31/12 31/17 39/17 44/21 |
| F | get [14] 4/17 4/25 8/6 12/19 13/14 14/17 | $14 / 23$ |
| face | 4 | highest [1] 21/18 |
| facility [1] 7/13 | getting [3] 25/12 28/7 30/9 | him [2] 43/7 50/7 |
| fact [9] 3/22 13/22 17/22 19/3 28/16 28/19 | GG [2] 54/18 55/21 | his [1] 6/21 |
| 34/1 38/5 44/12 | give[6] 26/1 26/13 27/6 41/6 44/24 50 | historical [1] 13/3 |
| factor [1] 34/25 | given [2] 28/4 28/15 | historically [2] 6/7 6/17 |
| fair [1] 9/14 | gives [1] 24/24 | hit [2] 19/21 33/15 |
| fairly [1] 13/16 | go [13] 14/15 25/22 29/14 31/12 31/18 31/19 | hits [1] 37/20 |
| familiar [3] 15/2 18/10 24/1 | 32/15 33/20 35/15 42/5 44/13 50/1 50/18 | hold [3] 48/21 48/24 49/11 |
| family [3] 9/15 37/19 38/10 | God [1] 27/8 | holding [2] 10/14 10/18 |
| far [8] 10/10 11/1 16/3 28/1 29/8 29/13 | goes [1] 47/16 | holidays [1] 19/11 |
| 29/18 34/8 | going [54] 6/25 7/14 9/20 10/22 12/12 14/18 | Holland [2] 5/24 49/6 |
| favor [4] 20/21 22/22 23/14 26/17 | 14/19 14/20 14/24 17/1 17/2 17/3 17/7 17/8 | home [1] 37/19 |
| feature [1] 23/10 | 18/16 18/18 18/19 18/20 19/6 22/8 25/2 | homes [7] 16/11 23/24 24/11 24/11 25/11 |
| feet [48] 7/25 8/14 10/18 11/2 11/4 11/5 | 25/12 25/18 26/8 26/9 26/10 27/17 27/19 | 25/17 38/10 |
| 13/20 13/20 13/21 14/11 16/5 16/9 16/10 | 31/5 31/7 31/9 32/1 32/4 36/22 37/6 38/11 | hope [1] 26/13 |
| 16/12 16/24 17/9 21/20 21/22 22/2 23/2 23/4 | 39/8 39/9 39/10 39/12 39/13 39/13 39/17 | hopefully [1] 40/16 |
| 28/3 29/12 33/1 33/8 33/9 33/11 33/24 33/25 | 39/18 40/4 40/5 41/17 42/14 43/6 43/18 44/1 | hour [1] 18/5 |
| 36/13 36/14 36/16 36/20 36/21 36/22 37/3 | 44/6 44/22 48/18 | house [1] 13/6 |
| 37/5 39/7 39/11 39/17 44/21 44/23 45/1 45/2 | gone [1] 13/10 | housed [1] 5/5 |
| 45/4 45/7 45/8 47/17 | Gonzalez-Abreu [1] | houses [1] 28/5 |
| fell [1] 37/25 | good [6] 4/13 12/17 23/17 25/17 49/5 53/8 | how [10] 13/15 15/3 19/25 24/20 25/14 |
| few [1] 49/18 | got [7] 9/6 13/16 17/18 33/21 35/13 48/5 | 37/13 39/19 40/25 41/13 41/20 |
| fewer [2] 40/1 40/2 | 49/10 | huge [3] 15/14 15/15 32/5 |
| fill [1] 25/15 | gotten [1] 20/1 | Hugo [1] 4/13 |
| finally [1] 5/12 | governed [1] 37/2 | hurricane [3] 37/18 37/20 38/16 |
| financial [2] 7/8 51/23 | grade [1] 38/20 | hurricanes [1] 27/23 |
| financially [1] 55/11 | Granada [1] 41/9 | HVAC [1] 36/17 |
| fine [1] 30/21 | great [1] 16/13 | I |
| fire [1] 44/18 | greater [2] 23/19 38/21 |  |
| first [1] 13/1 | greatest [1] 34/9 | I'll [9] 4/17 5/18 7/3 7/4 13/14 14/5 43/4 |
| five [4] 36/13 36/20 36/22 37/3 | ground [5] 11/18 12/4 12/6 43/14 44/14 | 51/9 52/10 |
| five feet [3] 36/13 36/22 37/3 | group [2] 6/21 21/10 | I'm [31] 4/19 5/17 6/25 12/15 14/18 14/19 |
| flat [1] 21/22 | grow [1] 39/10 | 14/20 14/24 22/7 22/8 22/8 22/22 23/8 23/11 |
| floor [16] 11/18 12/4 12/6 14/9 15/15 28/11 | guarantee [1] 27/20 | 27/10 27/16 28/18 28/22 29/4 29/13 29/15 |


| I | 22/10 22/16 28/23 29/1 29/12 29/19 30/11 | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```I'm... [10] 30/1 30/8 30/10 31/6 32/4 32/17 44/6 46/24 49/25 50/13 I've [4] 22/2 37/12 39/1 43/23 ideal [1] 13/4 ideas [1] 49/5 identified [1] 19/20``` | 39/16 40/1 40/14 40/17 40/20 41/13 43/20 | Madam [7] 20/9 20/15 20/16 23/18 43/16 |
|  | 43/25 44/5 44/5 45/1 49/22 49/24 50/8 50/16 | 51/1 51/12 |
|  | 50/19 51/18 52/1 | made [1] 10/24 |
|  | know -- [2] 19/24 51/18 | mailed [1] 3/7 |
|  | knowledge [2] 33/12 48/17 | main [1] 45/17 |
|  | knows [1] 25/13 | mainly [2] 45/19 45/23 |
|  | L | maintained [1] 38/14 |
| important [4] 15/21 15/24 16/19 48/10 | Lakes [1] 24/6 | 2/10 |
| importantly [1] 18/14 | land [1] 34/21 | making [4] 12/16 14/1 14/2 18/23 |
| impression [1] 33/16 | landscape [3] 14/13 14/15 14/17 | many [9] 8/2 17/14 40/25 41/12 41/13 41/20 |
| improves [1] 25/1 | landscaped [1] 17/3 | 43/24 43/24 49/8 |
| incident [1] 37/23 | landscaping [18] 14/24 15/16 15/20 16/17 | March [2] 54/9 55/12 |
| include [1] 3/13 | 16/18 27/16 29/9 29/13 31/14 31/16 31/25 | markets [1] 8/18 |
| included [4] 3/23 16/17 45/25 45/25 | 32/7 34/12 34/14 34/15 37/12 40/11 40/12 | massive [2] 48/6 48/7 |
| includes [1] 18/4 | landscaping -- [1] 40/12 | matter [4] 43/6 46/8 49/3 49/9 |
| Including [1] 42/17 | larger [1] 24/10 | matter -- [1] 49/3 |
| independent [1] 42/3 | Larsh [3] 1/19 2/9 52/18 | Matthew [1] 1/19 |
| individual [1] 41/16 | last [2] 5/22 42/7 | max [2] 32/15 33/23 |
| ingress [2] 11/17 43/1 | late [2] 30/15 48/8 | maximum [2] 21/6 33/24 |
| instance [1] 36/10 | later [1] 4/21 | may [11] 3/18 4/20 5/9 16/2 21/1 26/20 35/2 |
| instances [1] 38/7 | Lauren [1] 1/24 | 37/19 40/15 40/23 46/12 |
| instead [2] 32/6 42/7 | Lawrence [1] 23/18 | maybe [5] 25/3 38/11 41/12 42/4 43/20 |
| institution [2] 42/22 42/ | layout [5] 5/11 7/23 7/25 10/21 12/20 | McMansions [1] 24/12 |
| intended [2] 7/6 51/22 | lean [1] 5/18 | me [12] 5/14 5/19 9/24 12/24 14/17 21/13 |
| interested [1] 55/11 | least [3] 5/13 5/23 44/20 | 31/20 35/1 35/12 36/12 43/2 54/7 |
| interesting [1] 24/14 | least -- [1] 5/13 | me -- [1] 43/2 |
| is [166] | leave [1] 12/9 | mean [9] 8/20 9/10 30/23 31/24 39/18 42/9 |
| is -- [1] 16/8 | legally [1] 3/6 | 44/22 45/3 46/1 |
| isn't [2] 47/8 49/2 | lend [1] 17/23 | means [4] 8/8 17/13 18/11 35/22 |
| issue [3] 39/6 47/7 51/24 | lending [2] 42/21 42 | medical [5] 42/18 51/2 51/13 51/18 52/2 |
| issues [4] 11/22 17/21 48/10 48/12 | less [6] 14/23 24/10 32/7 37/7 41/11 46/1 | meet [5] 8/11 11/23 13/24 14/19 37/13 |
| it [98] | let [4] 12/24 14/5 35/1 43/2 | meeting [2] 3/10 55/7 |
| it's [33] 5/1 5/3 5/17 9/19 11/13 13/10 14/21 | let's [6] 9/17 10/5 10/24 11/4 16/21 17/1 | MEMBERS [5] 1/14 2/2 3/17 23/18 40/16 |
| 19/25 20/11 23/2 27/17 33/1 33/7 33/12 | level [3] 39/12 44/14 45/6 | mention [4] 9/3 42/12 43/3 43/9 |
| 33/12 35/2 36/16 41/16 41/17 42/8 42/19 | light [1] 39/25 | mention -- [1] 9/3 |
| 43/6 43/12 44/3 45/23 47/11 47/11 47/12 | like [18] 9/16 13/9 17/11 18/6 28/21 29/5 | mentioned [1] 19/22 |
| 49/4 49/8 49/9 50/22 51/7 | 31/1 31/7 31/11 32/2 35/10 38/8 40/22 42/4 | merits [2] 14/25 36/4 |
| item [4] 1/9 4/9 4/10 53/10 | 44/17 49/5 52/4 52/9 | MIAMI [3] 1/3 21/15 55/12 |
| items [4] 3/5 3/10 3/16 3/19 | limit [6] 13/15 18/25 32/23 32/25 42/14 45/4 | Miami-Dade [1] 3/5 |
| its [5] 7/9 19/7 19/8 36/4 44/7 | limited [1] 13/13 | mid [1] 6/2 |
| itself [3] 9/5 12/23 17/23 | limits [2] 18/15 29/12 | mid-block [1] 9/19 |
| J | line [4] 33/11 48/21 48/24 49/11 | middle [1] 32/5 |
|  | [r [1] 48/18 | middle-class [1] 48/23 |
| Janice [4] 54/6 54/16 55/6 55/19 | list [1] 46/3 | might [4] 25/8 28/23 30/13 35/19 |
| January [3] 1/4 54/7 55/7 | litany [1] 17/15 | Miller [18] 6/3 8/9 8/13 9/5 9/21 10/14 13/9 |
| Javier [1] 1/18 | little [14] 4/25 5/19 8/22 15/22 16/18 17/12 | 22/4 22/24 23/22 23/25 24/21 47/9 47/16 |
| joined [1] 4/19 | 29/25 30/3 34/23 36/19 43/11 43/22 44/16 | 48/12 48/13 49/22 50/15 |
| Jorge [1] 1/24 | 44/25 | mind [3] 10/20 30/3 46/13 |
| Jose [1] 1/17 | live [2] 7/15 38/17 | minimize [1] 16/1 |
| just [31] 5/2 7/16 7/24 11/15 12/24 13/17 | lived [2] 22/3 23/22 | minor [1] 14/12 |
| 15/8 15/21 18/25 19/1 22/9 23/10 26/1 26/11 | Lizzy [1] 31/3 | mitigate [2] 40/10 40/11 |
| 34/19 36/12 37/15 39/17 42/25 43/12 43/16 | LLC [3] 1/10 4/10 4/16 | mitigating [1] 34/25 |
| 43/18 44/10 45/9 46/20 47/8 48/25 49/8 49/9 | loan [1] 42/2 | mitigation [1] 34/9 |
| 49/17 49/20 | local [3] 25/22 25/23 26/2 | modern [1] 24/10 |
| just --[1] 49/8 | located [2] 6/1 29/22 | modest [3] 13/17 14/8 15/17 |
| K | location [1] 10/4 | Mom [1] 26/3 |
|  | long [2] 26/8 46/3 | Monday [3] 19/10 31/6 31/9 |
| keep [6] 10/20 25/5 26/2 37/5 46/12 49/12 | longer [2] 25/3 37/24 | more [16] 10/1 18/13 19/16 24/10 25/17 |
| keeping [1] 13/22 | longest [1] 24/9 | 25/18 34/23 41/11 42/8 43/22 44/7 44/25 |
| KENDALL [3] 1/2 23/19 47/12 | look [11] 11/9 11/14 15/3 15/10 17/6 17/9 | 46/1 46/15 46/18 46/21 |
| kid [1] 24/2 | 26/6 32/5 38/13 39/15 50/10 | morning [1] 30/16 |
| kind [11] 6/12 9/22 11/23 13/10 13/25 19/24 | looking [1] 6/22 | Morse [1] 1/24 |
| 25/15 27/18 27/19 30/6 30/11 | looks [2] 35/10 37/24 | mortgage [1] 7/8 |
| Knight [2] 5/24 49/6 | lot [11] 6/14 7/12 8/4 8/17 24/3 24/12 24/22 | most [2] 13/13 48/10 |
| knocked [1] 37/23 | 38/25 39/14 45/21 47/2 | motion [4] 47/19 52/9 52/11 53/5 |
| knocking [1] 38/7 | lovely [1] 49/4 | move [2] 7/4 8/9 |
| know [53] 7/1 7/18 11/5 11/11 12/4 12/18 | Luke [1] 26/15 | moved [1] 10/9 |
| 13/25 14/14 14/22 15/2 15/3 15/12 17/9 17/15 19/14 19/24 19/24 20/3 20/18 21/25 | lushly [1] 17/3 | Mr [10] 2/11 2/14 2/14 2/15 2/15 2/20 23/16 29/15 36/12 52/14 |


| M | number [8] 3/11 14/6 34/16 34/18 34/22 | package [1] 50/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mr. [8] 6/21 14/5 16/16 19/15 28/2 28/11 } \\ & \text { 47/5 50/7 } \end{aligned}$ | numbers [1] 43/18 | ges [1] 55/ |
|  | nurseries [2] 24/15 24/2 | radine [1] 24/24 |
| Mr. Arza [2] 28/2 28/11 | nursery [6] 6/6 6/7 9/4 9/8 9/12 13/19 | parapet [6] 21/8 21/20 21/24 23/6 23/7 |
| Mr. Benitez [3] 6/21 14/5 19/15 | 0 | 23/11 |
|  |  | parcel [ |
| Mr. Ramos [1] 16/16 | OATH [1] 54/2 | 16/25 17/1 50/14 50/17 |
| Mr. Vital [1] 50/7 | objecting [1] 27/11 | park [3] 12/7 44/2 47/10 |
| Mrs [1] 2/20 | objection [2] 31/21 51/17 | parking [22] 8/21 8/25 11/18 11/21 11/23 |
| much [7] 8/10 15/1 16/1 18/13 34/3 44/8 | objections [2] 17/20 44/19 | 11/24 11/25 12/2 12/9 12/11 12/13 12/17 |
| 49/16 | objectors [1] 4/11 | 14/2 14/16 14/19 39/14 41/20 41/22 43/15 |
| my [27] 5/23 19/23 21/9 22/17 22/25 22/25 | obligated [1] 37/21 | 43/19 43/24 44/7 |
| 24/17 24/25 26/11 28/20 33/12 33/15 34/6 | obligation [1] 38/1 | parks [1] 48/22 |
| 34/6 39/2 43/5 47/17 47/18 48/4 49/3 49/9 | obscure [1] 30/13 | part [8] 3/24 6/19 19/23 27/11 27/11 27/13 |
| 49/17 50/16 54/8 54/18 55/9 55/21 | obviously [14] 5/77/12 9/15 11/4 11/13 13/4 | 29/9 46/15 |
| myself [1] 33/21 | 16/5 17/6 17/13 35/4 35/18 40/1 44/12 51/15 | particular [1] 35/19 |
| N | off [7] 14/21 16/9 19/11 19/11 29/23 30/14 | parties [2] 3/20 55/10 |
| name [3] 3/12 21/9 41/6 | offer [1] 37/2 | passes [1] 53/5 |
| natural [2] 38/7 39/25 | office [20] 4/6 6/23 7/7 7/21 18/2 18/15 19/1 | patients [2] 51/17 52/3 |
| nature [3] 15/12 38/19 38/23 | 19/2 19/4 25/5 42/14 42/15 42/20 43/1 43/12 | patrons [2] 25/24 25/25 |
| nearby [1] 7/11 | 45/11 49/23 50/17 51/18 52/2 | PAVILION [1] 1/2 |
| nearest [1] 13/19 | officers [1] 42/2 | peak [1] 21/19 |
| necessarily [1] 16/23 | offices [12] 4/14 5/5 7/16 11/20 40/25 41/12 | peculiar [2] 22/1 23/10 |
| need [10] 11/25 13/23 13/25 14/3 18/1 21/12 | 41/13 41/13 41/17 42/18 51/14 52/3 | Pedro [2] 5/6 21/9 |
| 25/25 26/23 35/15 42/11 | official [1] 54/8 | peering [1] 34/5 |
| needed [1] 44/8 | okay [12] 22/12 30/4 30/10 30/23 30/25 | Peggy [1] 1/18 |
| needs [1] 13/24 | 33/17 35/2 42/6 46/5 46/10 47/13 47/22 | people [7] 11/11 25/21 32/2 40/4 41/18 |
| neighbor [2] 16/20 34/ | old [1] 25/11 | 41/20 44/1 |
| neighborhood [12] 6/17 7/10 7/15 9/23 10/2 | once [1] 44/5 | percent [7] 14/13 14/14 14/23 15/16 34/13 |
| 24/2 24/5 25/10 34/5 35/19 44/2 47/6 | one [28] 4/24 6/1 11/8 18/9 18/25 19/6 19/7 | 34/20 34/21 |
| neighborhoods [1] 48/24 | 28/19 31/13 31/19 34/11 35/11 35/21 36/5 | Percival [4] 2/15 23/16 23/19 47/5 |
| neighbors [10] 10/7 10/23 11/2 12/17 16/6 | 36/11 36/23 38/9 38/16 39/1 39/23 40/7 42/6 | perhaps [1] 18/13 |
| 16/21 19/18 19/20 36/11 36/25 | 42/11 42/15 43/2 43/8 46/2 48/10 | permit [1] 31/23 |
| never [3] 16/5 47/14 47/15 | ones [2] 15/17 36/5 | permitted [1] 27/15 |
| new [3] 24/5 25/13 35/16 | only [10] 16/23 28/19 28/20 30/8 37/4 40/10 | personal [2] 26/12 49/10 |
| newspaper [1] 3/7 | 45/10 48/17 48/19 52/2 | personally [1] 54/7 |
| next [4] 4/9 35/12 35/12 35/15 | open [7] 14/13 18/17 34/14 41/16 46/8 46/11 | pertains [1] 34/14 |
| nice [2] 19/5 48/23 | 51/4 | Petisco [3] 1/19 2/7 52/20 |
| night [1] 30/17 | operate [1] 18/16 | physically [1] 3/16 |
| no [27] 1/9 4/11 13/8 16/12 17/20 27/4 27/13 | operates [1] 19/10 | picture [1] 26/4 |
| 28/9 31/21 31/22 31/24 33/20 35/11 35/21 | operation [1] 19/14 | piece [1] 9/20 |
| 37/24 39/25 44/19 44/22 46/20 51/16 51/24 | opinion [2] 26/12 47/17 | pieces [1] 24/22 |
| 52/21 52/23 52/24 52/25 54/18 55/21 | opportunities [1] 40/2 | place [1] 8/4 |
| nobody [1] 47/20 | opportunity [3] 19/17 20/10 51/3 | places [2] 8/5 25/23 |
| nod [1] 11/7 | opposed [2] 25/17 25/18 | plan [7] 10/13 18/10 35/10 37/21 37/24 38/6 |
| noise [10] 29/18 30/13 30/14 30/22 | OPPOSERS [1] $2 / 19$ | 38/15 |
| 31/10 31/17 32/1 36/12 40/17 | opposite [1] 46/21 | plans [2] 21/5 35/8 |
| non-residential [1] 9/10 | order [1] 43/13 | plant [1] 16/15 |
| non-use [2] 14/8 14/12 | other [23] 13/8 17/24 18/21 22/3 24/16 28/5 | Platting [1] 4/3 |
| none [3] 17/21 26/19 52/8 | 29/17 30/9 30/14 32/2 35/1 39/1 39/10 39/13 | play [1] 23/24 |
| normally [1] 52/1 | 40/15 40/23 42/11 43/3 43/8 43/24 45/13 | please [4] 4/8 23/15 26/18 26/21 |
| north [2] 10/10 21/8 | 45/22 50/24 | pleasure [1] 4/15 |
| Northwest [1] 21/15 | otherwise [2] | lenty [1] 20/18 |
| not [44] 5/2 5/12 5/15 5/17 5/23 8/11 13/4 | our [16] 8/10 8/13 9/2 10/7 11/20 12/23 | plus [1] 49/14 |
| 15/4 15/8 15/13 16/22 16/23 18/16 18/18 | 13/20 16/13 16/25 35/6 37/24 38/9 39/15 | pocket [1] 16/19 |
| 18/19 18/20 22/22 23/8 25/5 26/22 27/10 | 44/2 48/16 49/1 | podium [2] 26/18 26/22 |
| 28/20 28/22 29/4 29/13 29/14 30/20 31/7 | ourselves [1] 18/24 | point [10] 6/2 11/15 15/21 19/6 20/1 21/18 |
| 34/16 34/19 37/20 38/10 40/5 40/10 44/14 | out [14] 8/13 9/19 9/21 11/16 13/21 15/21 | 25/11 42/11 43/3 43/8 |
| 44/23 45/25 47/11 47/11 47/12 48/13 48/14 | 22/19 27/16 28/17 34/20 39/16 40/5 41/3 | points [2] 11/17 29/17 |
| 49/1 55/9 | 47/18 | pools [1] 28/17 |
| Notary [3] 54/6 54/17 55/20 | outgrown [2] 7/9 | Pops [1] 26/3 |
| noted [1] 44/19 | outside [1] 30/12 | portion [1] 48/2 |
| notes [2] 33/15 55/9 | over [6] 7/10 24/8 24/24 34/20 41/12 48/8 | positive [1] 25/1 |
| nothing [3] 27/7 47/16 49/6 | own [4] 5/4 6/23 16/7 36/4 | possible [3] 8/10 16/1 34/8 |
| notice [1] 11/10 | owners [2] 4/24 5/2 | posted [1] 3/8 |
| notices [1] 3/7 | owns [1] 19/7 | potentially [1] 24/18 |
| novices [1] 48/13 <br> now [16] $7 / 1122 / 2$ | P | precedence [9] 22/9 22/15 22/22 24/19 |
| 27/4 31/23 31/24 32/8 35/23 42/19 48/12 50/18 50/20 50/23 | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { p.m [1] } & 1 / 5 \\ \text { pack [1] } & 34 / 23 \end{array}$ | precedential [1] 35/22 <br> predominant [1] 21/20 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { realistically [2] 43/19 44/4 } \\ & \text { reality [1] 45/5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { right-of-way [1] 33/7 } \\ & \text { road [11] 7/16 22/5 22/24 24/21 33/11 47 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| predominantly [1] 47/12 <br> prejudice [2] $47 / 21$ <br> 52/12 $\|$present [4] 1/15 1/23 3/16 4/1 <br> presentation [5] $4 / 23$ 19/23 34/7 35/11 47/2 <br> presenting [1] 24/19 | really [6] 9/21 11/14 12/6 13/8 15/25 46/2 | 47/11 47/16 48/6 48/12 48/13 |
|  | rear [3] 10/19 11/3 16/8 | Rolando [3] 4/24 41/4 41 |
|  | reason [2] 25/4 36/16 | roll [1] 52/15 |
|  | reasonable [1] 36/23 | rooftops [1] 29/21 |
|  | reasons [2] 8/7 36/6 | roughly [3] 6/9 16/12 34/20 |
| presenting [1] 24/19 President [1] 23/19 | rebut [1] 20/11 | routinely [1] 15/5 |
| pretty [2] 28/18 28/22 | rebuttal [2] 29/6 29 | run [2] 12/24 35/1 |
| previous [1] 28/3 | rebuttals [1] 29/16 | running [1] 30/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { principally [2] } 14 / 14 \text { 19/10 } \\ & \text { privacy [10] } 27 / 1727 / 25 \quad 28 / 2 \\ & 28 / 7 \\ & 30 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | recall [1] 16/9 | running -- [1] 30/6 |
|  | receive [1] 52/3 | S |
| 32/2 38/24 39/20 40/13 40/17 | recognize [2] 35/1 | said [5] 10/24 131 |
| probably [4] 12/10 43/1 | recognized [1] 9/1 | Salvador [1] 26/25 |
| problem [2] 28/23 48/5 | recommendation [7] 15/6 15/11 15/19 17/19 | same [3] 34/22 35/14 45/23 |
| problematic [1] 13/23 | 18/4 20/23 47/19 | say [13] 9/14 9/17 11/4 16/21 35/13 3 |
| problems [1] 48/6 | record [3] 3/12 5 | 37/3 44/6 46/25 48/15 48/17 48/20 52/1 |
| proceedings [1] 3/2 | records [1] | saying [2] 5/22 3 |
| product [1] 47/4 | red [1] 6/14 | says [3] 27/11 31/11 42/2 |
| professional [7] 25/2 25/16 25/20 54/6 54/17 | reduce [5] 31/14 31/25 36/19 39/3 40/8 | scalability [1] 36/20 |
| 5/6 55/20 | reduction [1] 34/13 | scale [1] 22/19 |
| ing [1] | refer [1] 46/4 | scaled [1] 41/3 |
| rohibiting [1] 51/17 | referring [3] 31/4 | schools [1] 48/23 |
| project [6] 5/7 5/11 18/9 19/25 20/21 25/15 | reflect [1] 51/16 | seal [1] 54/9 |
| projects [1] 35/23 | regarding [2] 37 | second [15] 5/15 11/8 |
| properties [9] 3/8 24/3 24/10 24/14 24/23 | regards [2] 45/20 45/ | 28/13 28/25 34/11 39/5 39/7 39/15 43/4 50/ |
| 28/9 28/17 30/15 39/10 | Registered [4] 54/6 54/17 55/6 55/20 | 50/14 52/13 |
| property [11] 4/25 5/3 6/11 9/16 13/2 16/22 | regular [2] 37/18 44/9 | secondly [1] 18/13 |
| 30/12 34/2 38/14 39/8 50/12 | Regulatory [2] 3/15 4/4 | section [1] 33/10 |
| proposed [2] 5/1 47/18 | reiterate [1] 45/9 | sections [1] 4/4 |
| proposing [2] 18/2 | relative [3] 26/5 55/10 55/10 | see [24] 6/12 6/14 6/15 6/24 6/24 7/6 8/1 |
| protected [1] 38/6 | relatively [1] $6 / 5$ | 17/7 17/10 18/12 26 |
| rotection [1] 38/22 | remain [1] 20/25 | 28/24 28/25 32/3 36/21 36/23 39/13 39/1 |
| rovide [5] 10/11 34/18 34/24 43/13 44/17 | remained [1] 24/9 | 39/17 39/19 48/5 |
| ovided [1] 43/21 | remove [1] 39/24 | see -- [2] 6/24 39/17 |
| providing [1] 25/21 | rendering [3] 6/25 | Seeing [2] 26/19 52/8 |
| public [5] 18/17 48/1 54/6 54/17 55/20 | repeat [1] 32/18 | seek [1] 11/22 |
| Publix [6] 22/4 22/11 22/12 22/18 47/14 | replant [3] 38/3 3 | seeking [1] 34/16 |
| 48/16 | report [1] 55/7 | sell [1] 13/5 |
| purposes [2] | Reporter [7] 3/2 26/24 54/6 54/17 55/3 55/6 | series [1] 35/17 |
| push [2] | $55 / 20$ | serve [1] 6/18 |
| pushed [2] 8/19 8/23 |  | service [1] 26/1 |
| pushes [1] 35/20 | request [3] 4/17 13/12 18/2 | services [2] 7/8 51/24 |
| pushing [1] 8/16 | requested [1] 55/8 | set [5] 16/3 27/14 34/2 34/8 45/8 |
| put [7] 7/1 12/3 12/5 13/6 45/20 47/3 48/16 | requests [3] 12/25 15/7 15/13 | setback [10] 8/11 8/14 8/15 11/3 13/18 16/8 |
| putting [2] 34/21 37/15 | require [1] 12/1 | 16/11 16/24 27/14 31/20 |
| PZB [2] 1/10 4/10 | required [3] 8/15 13/20 27/15 | setback -- [2] 8/11 31/20 |
| Q | requirement [3] 31/23 34/15 45/22 | setbacks [3] 15/14 16/7 45/21 |
| qualit | requires [1] 34/19 | several [2] 40/24 48/12 |
| question [12] 9/7 21/3 22/25 30/20 32/12 | residences [3] 9/16 | She [1] 46/17 |
| 32/18 42/7 43/17 50/16 51/6 51/12 52/4 | residential [4] 10/2 13/4 24/22 47/13 | shopping [8] 6/15 6/17 9/6 9/7 9/11 13/] |
| question -- [1] 30/20 | residents [1] 49/2 | 24/15 25/18 |
| questions [21] 4/2 4/21 5/8 7/4 12/22 19/13 | Resources [2] 3/15 | shorter [2] 36/22 |
| 19/15 20/2 20/13 21/1 27/10 27/13 37/11 | respect [4] 5/10 19/13 37/17 40/6 | shortly [1] 4/18 |
| 40/16 40/21 40/23 40/25 45/12 45/13 46/6 | respectfully [2] 20/9 37/2 | should [1] 3/23 |
| 49/21 | respects [2] 8/3 36/19 | how [1] 43/25 |
| questions -- [1] 45/12 | rest [1] 49/17 | shrub [1] 37/14 |
| quickly [2] 11/14 12/24 | restaurant [1] 18/17 | shrubs [2] 29/14 34/17 |
| Quintana [4] 2/20 2/20 27/1 31/3 quite [2] 12/19 15/4 | restaurants [2] 25/23 26/2 restrictive [4] 42/8 46/15 4 | side [3] 10/15 13/19 30/1 simply [1] 20/11 |
| R | result [1] | single [7] 6/22 6/22 7/7 9/15 37/19 38/1 |
| raised [1] 43/13 | retrofitted [2] 25/7 | single-tenant [1] 19/4 |
| Ramos [5] 2/14 5/6 16/16 21/9 36/12 | review [2] 4/3 55/8 | $\operatorname{sir}[2] 21 / 1333 / 20$ |
| rare [1] 5/17 | reviewed [1] 44/19 | site [7] 10/13 18/10 35/7 35/10 37/21 38/6 |
| rather [3] 12/14 37/2 44/8 | rezoning [4] 13/2 15/8 18/1 18/2 | 38/15 |
| ratio [2] 14/9 15/15 | rid [2] 14/17 14/18 | sitting [1] 17/5 |
| rationale [1] 20/4 | right [16] 3/20 8/20 10/15 10/15 10/17 14/16 | situate [1] 9/4 |
| $\operatorname{read}[2] 21 / 646 / 2$ | 23/12 23/25 31/23 31/24 35/24 42/19 48/3 | situated [2] 15/23 19/25 |
| ready [1] 20/25 | 50/18 50/19 50/23 | situating [1] 14/24 |


| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunset [4] } 7 / 11 \text { 23/23 47/11 48/7 } \\ & \text { support [2] } 15 / 517 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | 31/24 32/3 32/15 32/20 35/7 38/17 40/5 42/4 44/19 45/19 49/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| situation [1] 12/15 | SUPPORTERS [1] 2/13 | they -- [1] 31/24 |
| Six [1] 14/19 | supposedly [1] 31/7 | they'll [2] 29/6 38/2 |
| size [1] 24/11 | sure [11] 14/1 14/2 28/18 28/22 29/4 29/13 | they're [1] 4/21 |
| slight [1] 15/12 | 29/15 38/14 46/10 51/5 52/6 | thing [5] 16/25 19/5 25/17 39/1 48/19 |
| slightly [1] 37/15 | sure -- [1] 52/6 | things [2] 18/8 24/16 |
| slower [2] 29/25 30/3 | surrounded [1] 9/9 | think [17] 5/19 14/22 15/1 15/18 16/19 |
| smaller [1] 37/16 | surrounding [3] 6/18 10/23 25/10 | 19/25 20/6 22/16 36/9 37/1 39/5 41/2 41/11 |
| so [84] | surroundings [3] 8/1 8/2 20/8 | 43/6 45/8 50/2 51/19 |
| some [25] 7/2 8/7 8/24 10/7 11/11 12/11 | suspect [3] 25/25 40/15 40/2 | think -- [2] 22/16 45/8 |
| 16/17 20/2 20/4 25/4 25/11 25/13 26/1 27/10 | SUV's [1] 44/23 | third [5] 28/15 29/1 39/4 39/21 40/9 |
| 27/13 27/16 28/16 30/13 36/11 36/19 36/24 | SW [1] 1/3 | this [56] |
| 37/22 37/23 48/21 49/11 | swear [2] 27/4 27/5 | those [16] 10/3 11/1 12/18 15/13 16/11 |
| somebody [2] 34/4 52/9 | sworn [7] 3/2 3/23 26/23 26/23 27/2 31/2 | 16/11 17/21 19/15 25/11 25/25 26/3 26/20 |
| someone [3] 13/5 17/23 45/3 | $54 / 8$ | 42/15 44/1 44/20 49/1 |
| something [4] 26/9 43/5 44/16 48/18 | systems [2] 29/20 29/22 | housand [1] 14/10 |
| something -- [1] | T | ree [6] 13/16 15/9 15/10 31/12 31/19 |
| sort [7] 10/19 11/16 12/11 13/3 14/6 | tak | 32/11 35/1 35/1 |
| 40 | takes [1] 38/4 | 9/19 55/8 |
| sound [2] | taking [1] 27/1 | through -- [1] 12/24 |
| south [6] 9/2 9/15 10/8 16/6 21/8 38/17 | talk[6] 7/24 15/11 29/15 29/24 41/5 43/4 | throughout [2] 24/13 30/16 |
| Southwest [6] 6/4 23/20 23/23 27/1 31/4 | talked [3] 17/12 38/25 43/10 | tied [1] 35/7 |
| 49/15 | talking [1] 12/15 | ties [1] 18/9 |
| space [10] | tall [3] 22/20 34/1 | time [8] 9/25 9/25 20/14 23/2 |
| 43/21 45/11 49/24 50/17 | $\tan [1] 28 / 21$ | 26/8 45/13 |
| spaces [6] 14/19 14/21 41/20 41/22 44/9 | tear [1] 27/23 | times [2] 20/19 43/24 |
| 51/21 | tell [5] 11/14 14/5 | tiny [1] 21/5 |
| speak [15] 4/20 6/14 7/17 8/18 11/12 19/17 | telling [1] 12/12 | tip [1] 21/11 |
| 22/17 26/20 26/24 28/2 28/12 31/1 33/19 | tells [1] 11/20 | tip -- [1] 21/11 |
| 34/4 45/10 | ten [2] 15/15 4 | to -- [1] 42/9 |
| speaking [2] 26/17 | ten-parking [1] | to do [3] 15/25 25/23 39/3 |
| speaks [1] 30/19 | tenant [1] 6/22 | to the [15] 5/10 8/20 8/24 9/2 9/3 18/17 |
| specific [1] 12/22 | tenure [2] 20/17 | 19/13 20/1 23/1 26/6 30/14 31/5 34/14 |
| specifically [2] 9/1 47 | terms [2] 17/10 40/17 | 50/12 |
| spreading [1] 34/19 | testimony [2] 27/6 35/1 | today [18] 3/6 3/16 4/20 5/25 9/8 10/3 12/21 |
| square [5] 7/21 7/24 11/25 14/3 14/11 | than [12] 8/13 12/17 14/23 16/5 16/12 22 | 12/23 13/1 13/6 15/6 18/12 18/14 19/19 |
| staff [8] 1/23 2/10 4/1 15/3 15/7 18/3 18/6 | 37/2 39/19 44/7 46/16 46/18 46/21 | 32/16 32/20 40/19 45/6 |
| 32/12 | Thank [15] 5/21 21/17 23/13 26/14 26/16 | told [1] 36/12 |
| Staff's [2] 151 | 30/24 32/8 32/9 45/14 45/15 46/5 52/5 52/7 | tonight [1] 4/17 |
| stand [4] 9/24 36/4 39/6 | 53/7 53/8 | too [5] 22/20 26/15 30/15 30/16 49/15 |
| standpoint [2] 9/18 22/18 | thanks [1] 7/18 | top [2] 16/9 21/7 |
| stands [3] 32/15 32/20 50/18 | that [204] | torn [1] 25/12 |
| staring [1] 40/5 | that's [14] 10/18 12/20 16/19 26/12 28/7 | Torres [1] 5/23 |
| STATE [5] 54/3 54/6 54/17 55/4 55/20 | 29/9 30/21 31/15 32/1 32/7 33/10 36/21 43/5 | touching [1] 16/22 |
| statement [1] 3/21 | 52/4 | towards [1] 8/9 |
| stay [2] 9/22 31/22 | the -- [2] | town [1] 6/19 |
| stenographic [1] 55/9 | their [10] 4/16 5/3 7/12 14/6 16/6 16/7 16/10 | trade [1] 14/21 |
| stenographically [1] 50/ | 18/4 28/21 29/6 | traditionally [1] 11/21 |
| step [3] 19/3 26/18 26/21 | them [9] 5/2 10/6 13/7 15/22 20/4 25/22 42/4 | traffic [13] 4/3 9/18 10/1 17/17 17/19 17/20 |
| still [6] 8/15 24/4 24/13 25/9 35/15 43/11 | 42/4 44/21 | 44/11 48/6 48/7 49/12 49/13 49/16 51/19 |
| stilts [2] 11/12 45/10 | themselves [1] | transcript [3] 3/24 55/8 55/8 |
| stipulated [1] 20/22 | then [18] 8/20 9/8 11/5 12/8 13/16 14/12 | tree [1] 37/13 |
| stops [1] 10/17 | 18/24 24/8 34/3 36/23 36/24 38/22 39/25 | trees [13] 17/8 29/15 32/6 34/17 34/18 34/22 |
| store [1] 48/18 | 42/5 43/4 44/1 44/11 50/8 | 37/23 37/25 38/8 39/8 39/9 39/13 39/18 |
| storefront [1] 18/19 | there [52] 6/6 6/16 7/1 8/16 11/17 12/25 | trend [1] 35/19 |
| stories [9] 33/5 33/12 33/13 33/22 33/23 | 13/22 16/13 17/15 20/10 22/2 22/4 26/7 | triangle [2] 21/25 23/9 |
| 33/25 43/12 45/11 50/23 | 27/19 28/7 28/16 28/17 28/20 29/2 29/20 | tried [3] 15/25 19/21 20/3 |
| storm [3] 37/22 38/18 38/22 | 31/24 35/21 36/10 36/18 37/11 38/16 38/21 | Tropical [1] 47/10 |
| story [2] 39/21 40/9 | 38/22 39/7 39/9 39/19 39/25 40/2 40/2 40/3 | true [1] 55/8 |
| Street [5] 6/4 21/15 27/1 31/4 49/15 | 40/4 40/13 40/15 40/23 41/11 42/3 42/11 | truth [3] 27/7 27/7 27/8 |
| strong [1] 20/11 | 44/4 44/8 45/2 45/6 45/20 47/7 47/16 47/22 | try [5] 5/18 12/16 15/25 17/24 40/9 |
| structure [1] 34/2 | 4 | trying [3] 14/1 27/14 31/13 |
| structures [2] 16/11 32/4 | there -- [1] 22/2 | Tuesday [1] 1/4 |
| subject [1] 17/1 | there's [2] 37/18 41/2 | turn [2] 5/15 7/3 |
| suburban [1] 8/17 | these [8] 3/16 3/18 3/24 5/5 9/15 16/17 21/ | tweaked [1] 36/8 |
| successes [1] 7/18 | 25/15 | two [11] 6/15 13/19 14/23 18/7 33/22 33/25 |
| such [5] 25/7 35/6 35/20 37/8 37/10 | they [40] 3/18 5/4 6/21 6/23 7/3 7/10 7/11 | 43/11 45/10 48/22 50/22 53/6 |
| suggestion [1] 40/7 | 7/17 7/19 7/20 13/25 13/25 14/2 14/3 14/3 | two percent [1] 14/23 |
| suggestions [1] 39/23 | 15/3 19/22 19/22 25/4 27/21 28/14 28/22 | two-story [1] 12/5 |
| Suite [1] 21/15 | 31/5 31/8 31/11 31/12 31/13 31/18 31/19 | type [2] 29/19 37/23 |


| T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| typical [1] 11/3 <br> typically [2] 8/18 36/1 | went [2] 22/18 24/6 | you are [9] 10/22 14/22 19/6 21/4 26/9 |
| U | 23/24 27/2 31/2 37/11 48/8 48/14 48/17 54/8 |  |
|  | west [3] 6/11 49/22 50/14 <br> what [37] 8/6 8/8 8/22 10/9 10/14 11/11 <br> 13/25 15/24 15/25 16/18 17/7 17/13 18/12 <br> 21/6 22/23 26/6 27/19 28/22 29/19 30/10 <br> 30/11 31/8 32/7 32/14 32/20 32/22 33/4 35/9 <br> 36/1 37/17 45/5 45/7 45/17 45/24 47/17 <br> 50/19 51/18 <br> What's [1] 32/24 | you're [14] 15/23 17/5 17/7 18/10 24/17 <br> 25/21 27/6 30/5 36/22 38/6 39/13 39/16 <br> 39/21 42/24 <br> you've [3] 9/6 17/14 17/18 <br> your [22] 10/22 15/2 16/9 17/6 18/14 20/14 <br> 20/17 20/20 21/12 21/13 26/5 28/6 29/5 <br> 30/20 35/8 35/24 39/8 41/6 49/4 49/5 50/11 <br> 51/19 |
| 10/14 10/18 10/25 13/6 21/4 23/15 25/12 |  | Z |
| 41/4 43/9 43/25 45/2 46/8 50/1 50/18 51/4 urban [1] 25/15 <br> us [12] 11/6 11/20 18/9 19/22 22/12 22/21 42/9 42/10 47/1 47/15 47/25 48/20 use [12] 5/1 6/7 6/22 7/7 13/10 13/14 18/2 19/1 19/2 19/4 38/2 42/20 used [3] 23/24 24/14 24/23 user [2] 41/15 51/23 uses [18] 9/10 9/11 11/20 17/16 17/24 18/15 18/21 18/25 19/1 42/14 42/16 45/19 45/23 45/24 46/13 51/2 51/18 52/2 | 31/12 31/19 37/20 44/16 45/8 where [20] 10/4 10/17 10/20 11/13 11/15 12/7 12/15 13/20 14/9 14/13 14/23 15/23 20/1 22/8 27/11 27/13 28/5 29/9 29/22 34/24 wherewithal [1] 25/22 whether [1] 43/5 which [15] 4/17 8/14 13/13 13/19 14/4 14/10 18/3 21/21 21/21 31/15 33/7 33/11 47/14 50/15 51/23 <br> while [4] 18/23 21/4 34/12 35/18 <br> who [8] 4/20 7/15 22/10 23/14 25/13 26/20 | Zack [3] $1 / 20$ 2/8 52/22 zero [2] $4 / 11$ 4/12 zoning [5] $\quad 1 / 14 / 2 \quad 13 / 3 \quad 33 / 2449 / 7$ |
| V |  |  |
| Valdes [3] 1/17 2/5 53/1 <br> value [2] 35/8 35/22 <br> variance [10] 11/23 12/2 12/11 14/8 $14 / 12$ <br> 14/17 15/16 31/22 34/16 43/21 <br> variances [10] 12/18 13/17 14/15 15/4 15/5 <br> 15/9 15/10 15/14 15/15 43/25 <br> various [1] 28/8 <br> Vazquez [3] 1/17 2/3 53/3 <br> vegetation [1] 27/20 <br> very [11] 9/10 12/6 13/4 13/5 15/12 15/17 <br> 21/10 21/19 24/1 25/1 49/5 <br> Vice [3] 35/3 40/19 53/1 <br> Vice-Chairman [2] 1/17 2/5 <br> VILLAGE [1] 1/2 <br> visibility [2] 29/16 30/23 <br> visual [2] 16/2 34/10 <br> Vital [4] 1/24 2/11 50/7 52/14 <br> vote [1] 20/20 <br> votes [1] 26/15 | why [10] 20/5 20/6 21/23 31/11 31/18 34/6 34/7 36/6 36/8 42/7 <br> wide [1] 33/11 <br> width [1] 33/6 <br> will [27] 3/10 3/13 4/22 5/5 6/11 7/13 9/25 15/3 15/4 18/12 19/9 24/5 25/16 26/1 27/6 27/23 27/24 29/15 31/10 34/17 34/24 36/3 39/4 40/22 41/14 42/15 49/1 <br> willing [1] 39/2 <br> wind [1] 25/12 <br> window [2] 39/24 40/6 <br> windows [3] 39/3 40/1 40/8 <br> wish [2] 7/20 51/4 <br> within [1] 41/16 <br> without [3] 47/20 48/16 52/11 <br> Witness [1] 54/8 <br> witnesses [3] 3/2 3/22 54/7 <br> women [1] 28/21 <br> won't [1] 27/21 |  |
| W |  |  |
| wait [1] 30/19 <br> waivers [1] 4/12 <br> wake [1] 32/4 <br> want [16] 11/22 13/6 15/21 22/13 22/16 32/3 <br> 33/14 35/14 39/24 41/4 43/3 43/7 43/9 46/24 47/24 51/15 <br> wanted [3] 42/12 45/9 52/6 <br> was [21] 5/22 9/1 10/23 11/6 11/8 20/18 <br> 21/24 22/25 23/1 24/4 31/4 36/10 46/18 <br> 48/16 48/19 49/25 51/11 52/24 53/10 55/6 <br> 55/8 <br> wasn't [1] 18/5 <br> way [16] 8/16 11/13 14/16 20/6 22/18 22/20 <br> 25/2 25/7 25/13 27/18 27/24 29/2 31/25 <br> 37/25 40/21 47/10 <br> wayside [1] 13/11 <br> we [142] <br> we'll [3] 4/25 8/6 50/8 <br> We're [1] 42/21 <br> we've [10] 8/6 8/8 8/22 8/23 13/16 20/1 20/3 <br> 48/5 48/13 49/10 <br> week [2] 35/12 35/13 |  |  |


| Recommendation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Commission District | $9 \quad$ |
| Applicant | JLK Four, LLC |
| Summary of <br> Requests | The applicant is requesting to establish a charter school on the <br> subject property for 2,500 students in grades K-12. Additionally, the <br> applicant is requesting to permit said charter school to be spaced less <br> than required from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). |
| Location | Lying at the northeast corner of the intersection of SW 157 Avenue <br> and SW 160 Street, Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| Property Size | 9.80-Acres |
| Existing Zoning | EU-M, Single-Family Modified Estate District |
| Existing Land Use | Vacant |
| 2020-2030 CDMP <br> Land Use <br> Designation | Estate Density Residential, 1 - 2.5 dua <br> (see attached Zoning Recommendation Addendum) |
| Comprehensive <br> Plan Consistency | Inconsistent with interpretative text, goals, objectives and policies of <br> the CDMP |
| Applicable Zoning <br> Code Section(s) | Section 33-303.1(D)(7) Developmental Impact Committee, <br> Section 33-311(A)(3), Special Exception, Unusual use and New Uses, <br> Section 33-153 Public hearing required in all districts, <br> Section 33-314(C)(11) \& (12) Direct applications and appeals to the |
| County Commission, |  |

## REQUESTS:

(1) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to permit a charter school.
(2) SPECIAL EXCEPTION to waive the spacing requirement for new charter school facilities from the Urban Development Boundary (UDB) to permit:

- A senior high school within 1 mile of the UDB.
- A middle school within $1 / 2$ mile of the UDB.
- A kindergarten, elementary school within a $1 / 4$ mile of the UDB.

Plans are on file and may be examined in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources entitled, "Proposed Development: Bridge Prep Academy Charter School at Kendall", prepared by Gustavo J. Carbonell, P.A., dated stamped received $1 / 24 / 18$, for a total of 12 sheets. Plans may be modified at public hearing.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The submitted plans depict the proposed kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade charter school for 2,500 students on the 9.80 -acre subject property, abutting the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), which runs parallel to SW 157 Avenue located immediately to its west. Said plans

JLK Four, LLC
Z17-315
Page |2
indicate the proposed school comprised of a two (2) story building with $122,179 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of area located centrally on the subject property. Parking and driveways internal to the site are shown around the proposed building, on the north, east and west sides, with ingress and egress areas from SW 157 Avenue and SW 160 Street.

| NEIGHBORHOOD CHARATERISTICS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoning and Existing Use |  | Land Use Designation |
| Subject Property | EU-M; vacant | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| North | EU-M; single-family residences | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| South | EU-M; single-family residences | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 dua) |
| East | EU-M: single-family residences | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 da) |
| West | GU; vacant land | Estate Density Residential <br> (1 to 2.5 da) |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN and ZONING ANALYSIS:

This application has to be deferred with leave to amend in order to advertise additional requests,

## RECOMMENDATION: Deferral with leave to amend.

CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL: None.
NK:JB:NN:JV:SS
Development Services Division
Miami-Dade County
Regulatory and Economic Resources Department

# ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM 
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| NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES PROVIDER COMMENTS* |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division of Environmental Resource Management <br> (RER) | No objection* |
| Department of Transportation \& Public Works <br> (Traffic Engineering Division) | No objection* |
| Water and Sewer Department (WASD) | No objection |
| Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces | No objection |
| Fire Rescue | No objection |
| Police | No objection |
| Aviation | No objection |
| Building and Neighborhood Compliance | No objection |
| *Subject to conditions in their memorandum. |  |

## COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN (CDMP) OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND INTERPRETATIVE TEXT

| Estate Density Residential (Pg. 1-29) | This density range is typically characterized by detached estates which utilize only a small portion of the total parcel. Clustering, and a variety of housing types may, however, be authorized. The residential densities allowed in this category shall range from a minimum of 1.0 to a maximum of 2.5 units per gross acre. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential Communities (Pg. 1-26) | The areas designated Residential Communities permit housing types ranging from detached single-family to attached multifamily buildings, as well as different constructions systems. Also permitted in residential Communities are neighborhood and community services including schools, parks, houses of worship, day care centers, group housing facilities, and utility facilities only when consistent with other goals, objectives and policies of this Plan and compatible with the neighborhood. The character of the "neighborhood" reflects the intensity and design of developments mix of land uses, and their relationship. |
| Institutions, Utilities and Communications (Pg. 1-53) | Neighborhood or community-serving institutional uses, cell towers and utilities including schools, libraries, sanitary sewer pump stations and fire and rescue facilities in particular, and cemeteries may be approved where compatible in all urban land use categories, in keeping with any conditions specified in the applicable category, and where provided in certain Open Land subareas. Compatibility shall be determined in accordance to Policy LU-4A. Co-location of communication and utility facilities are encouraged. Major utility and communication facilities should generally be guided away from residential areas; however, when considering such approvals, the County shall consider such factors as the type of function involved, the public need, existing land use pattems in the area and altemative locations for the facility. All approvals must be consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan. |
| Educational Element Policy EDU-3A (Pg. X-5) | It is the policy of Miami-Dade County that the Miami-Dade County Public Schools shall not purchase sites for schools nor build new schools outside of the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), and that new elementary schools constructed should be located at least $1 / 4$ mile inside the UDB; new middle schools should be located at least $1 / 2$ mile inside the UDB, and; new senior high schools should be located at least one mile inside the UDB. In substantially developed areas of the County where suitable sites in full conformance with the foregoing are not available and a site or portion of a site for a new school must encroach closer to the UDB, the majority of the site should conform with the foregoing location criteria and the principal school buildings and entrances should be placed as far as functionally practical from the UDB. The same criteria of this paragraph that apply to public schools also pertain to private schools. |

# ZONING RECOMMENDATION ADDENDUM 
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| Land Use Policy | When evaluating compatibility among proximate land uses, the County shall consider such <br> LU-4A <br> factors as noise, lighting, shadows, glare, vibration, odor, runoff, access, traffic, parking, height, <br> (Page l-11) <br> bulk, scale of architectural elements, landscaping, hours of operation, buffering, and safety, as <br> applicable. |
| :--- | :--- |

## PERTINENT ZONING REQUIREMENTS/STANDARDS

| Section 33- |
| :--- |
| 311(A)(3) |
| Special |
| Exception, |
| Unusual and |
| New Uses |$|$

Special exceptions (for all applications other than public charter schools), unusual and new uses. Hear application for and grant or deny special exceptions, except applications for public charter schools; that is, those exceptions permitted by the regulations only upon approval after public hearing, new uses and unusual uses which by the regulations are only permitted upon approval after public hearing; provided the applied for exception or use, including exception for site or plot plan approval, in the opinion of the Community Zoning Appeals Board, would not have an unfavorable effect on the economy of Miami-Dade County, Florida, would not generate or result in excessive noise or traffic, cause undue or excessive burden on public facilities, including water, sewer, solid waste disposal, recreation, transportation, streets, roads, highways or other such facilities which have been constructed or which are planned and budgeted for construction, are accessible by private or public roads, streets or highways, tend to create a fire or other equally or greater dangerous hazards, or provoke excessive overcrowding or concentration of people or population, when considering the necessity for and reasonableness of such applied for exception or use in relation to the present and future development of the area concemed and the compatibility of the applied for exception or use with such area and its development.
The establishment, expansion or modification of a charter school facility is permitted in any zoning district after public hearing upon demonstration that the standards established in this article have been met. Any existing covenant or declaration of restrictions relating to an existing charter school facility shall be modified or deleted only in accordance with the provisions of Article XXXVI of this code.

## 33-154

Limitations on
the siting of public charter school facilities
a) New kindergarten, elementary, middle and senior high charter school facilities as well as the expansion of existing charter school facilities shall be prohibited on sites located outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB), as established in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan.
(b) Except as provided in subsection (c) below, the following new charter school facilities and the expansion of such facilities shall be located inside the UDB and spaced from the UDB as follows:
(1) Kindergarten, Elementary school: at least $1 / 4$ mile inside the UDB
(2) Middle school: at least $1 / 2$ mile inside the UDB
(3) Senior high school: at least one mile inside the UDB.
(c) A proposed new kindergarten, elementary, middle, or senior high charter school facility, or the expansion of an existing charter school site, inside but closer to the UDB than indicated in (b) above, may be approved at public hearing, when it is demonstrated that within a onehalf mile radius of the outer boundaries of the proposed new charter school or charter school expansion site:
(1) that the majority of the lots, parcels or tracts lying within the radius are developed or approved for development, and
(2) there are no other lots, parcels or tracts within the radius that are available for development that meet the requirements of subsection (b) above and that meet all the
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|  | requirements of this article. <br> Approval of such a site shall require that the majority of the subject site and the proposed buildings' ground floor square footage be located in accordance with (b) above, and that the principal buildings and entrances be placed as far from the UDB as possible. <br> (d) For purposes of establishing the distances provided by this section, the applicant shall furnish a certified survey from a registered surveyor, as well as a proposed site plan, which shall indicate that the distance requirements of this section have been met. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $33-314(C)(11)$ <br> Direct applications to the County Commission | (C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows: <br> (11) Hear application for and, upon recommendation of the Developmental Impact Committee, grant or deny those special exceptions for public charter school facilities permitted by the regulations only upon approval after public hearing, provided the applied for special exception, in the opinion of the Board of County Commissioners, is found to be in compliance with the standards contained in Article XI and Section 33311(A)(3) of this code. |
| 33-314(C)(12) <br> Direct <br> applications to the County Commission | C) The County Commission shall have jurisdiction to directly hear other applications as follows: <br> (12) Applications for public charter school facilities and expansions or modifications to existing public charter school facilities. |
| 33-303.1(D)(19) <br> Developmental Impact Committee | Review and make recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners on all applications for public charter school facilities and all applications for expansions or modifications to existing public charter school facilities. |



The subject application has been reviewed by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for compliance with the requirements of Chapter 24 of the Miami-Dade County Code (the Code). Accordingly, DERM offers the following comments:

## Potable Water Service and Wastewater Disposal

Public water and public sanitary sewers can be made available to the subject property. Therefore, connection of the proposed development to the public water supply system and sanitary sewer system shall be required in accordance with Code requirements.

Civil drawing for the required sewer main extension will need to be approved by Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department and the Environmental Wastewater Permitting Section of DERM prior to approval of final development orders.

Existing public water and sewer facilities and services meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards set forth in the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). Furthermore, the proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards subject to compliance with the conditions required by DERM for this proposed development order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in light of the fact that the County's sanitary sewer system has limited sewer collection, transmission, and treatment capacity, no new sewer service connections can be permitted, unless there is adequate capacity to handle the additional flows that this project would generate. Consequently, final development orders for this site may not be granted if adequate capacity in the system is not available at the point in time when the project will be contributing sewage to the system. Lack of adequate capacity in the system may require the approval of alternate means of sewage disposal. Use of an alternate means of sewage disposal may only be granted in accordance with Code requirements, and shall be an interim measure, with connection to the public sanitary sewer system required upon availability of adequate collection/transmission and treatment capacity.

## Stormwater Management

A DERM Surface Water Management General Permit shall be required for the construction and operation of the required surface water management system. This permit shall be obtained prior to any
future development order approval. The applicant is advised to contact the DERM Water Control Section (305-372-6681) for further information regarding permitting procedures and requirements.

Stormwater should be retained on site utilizing designed seepage or infiltration drainage system. Drainage plans shall provide for full on-site retention of the stormwater runoff generated by a 5 -year/1day storm event.

Site grading and development shall provide for the full retention of the 25 -year/3-day storm event and shall also comply with the requirements of Chapter 11C of the Code, as well as with all State and Federal Criteria, and shall not cause flooding of adjacent properties.

Any proposed development shall comply with county and federal flood criteria requirements. The proposed development order, if approved, will not result in a reduction in the LOS standards for flood protection set forth in the CDMP subject to compliance with the conditions required for this proposed development order.

## Tree Preservation

A review of the information submitted in support of the application indicates that the proposed development will impact tree resources; however these impacts do not require a site modification as proposed. DERM has no objection provided that the applicant obtains a tree permit and that no specimen trees (trees with a diameter at breast height of 18 inches or greater) are impacted. Should the applicant require impacts to specimen trees, substantive changes to the site plan pursuant to the specimen tree standards outlined in Section 24-49.2(II)(2) of the Code shall be made.

A Miami-Dade County Tree Permit is required prior to the removal and/or relocation of any tree that is subject to the tree preservation and protection provisions of the Code. Projects and permits shall meet the requirements of Sections 24-49.2 and 24-49.4 of the Code, specifically the specimen tree standards. A recommendation of approval is contingent on the applicant obtaining a tree permit.

It is advised that pursuant to Policy Con-81 of the CDMP and Section 24-49.9 of the Code, should there be exotic pest plant and nuisance species as listed in Section 24-49.9 of the Code present on the subject property, they shall be removed prior to development or redevelopment and developed property shall be maintained to prevent the growth or accumulation of prohibited species. DERM also recommends that this requirement be included in any zoning approval.

Please contact Tree Permitting Program at (305)372-6574 for additional information regarding tree permitting procedures and requirements.

## Enforcement History

There are no open or closed enforcement records for violations of Chapter 24 of the Code for the subject property.

## Concurrency Review Summary

A concurrency review has been conducted for this application and has determined that the same meets all applicable LOS standards for an initial development order, as specified in the adopted CDMP for potable water supply, wastewater disposal, and flood protection. Therefore, the application has been approved for concurrency subject to the comments and conditions contained herein.

This concurrency approval does not constitute a final concurrency statement and is valid only for this initial development order, as provided for in the adopted methodology for concurrency review.

NA \#Z2017000315
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Additionally, this approval does not constitute any assurance that the LOS standards would be met by any subsequent development order applications concerning the subject property.

This memorandum shall constitute written approval as required by Chapter 24 of the Code.
If you have any questions concerning the comments or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Christine Velazquez at (305) 372-6764.
cc: Nathan Kogon, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Date: $\quad$ February 15, 2018

```
To: Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director Development Services Department of Regulatory and Economic Resqurces (RER)
From: Maria A. Valdes, CSM, LEED \({ }^{\circledR}\) Green Associate Chief, Planning \& Water Certification Section Water and Sewer Department (WASD)
Subject: Zoning Application Comments - Kendall Strawberry School Application No. Z2017000315-(Pre-App. No. Z17P-039) - Revision No. 1
```


#### Abstract

The Water and Sewer Department (WASD) has reviewed the zoning application for the proposed development. Below, please find the comments for the subject application. The information provided below is preliminary and it does not affect the Zoning Process. The applicant is advised to consult with their engineer and the WASD Plans Review staff to finalize points of connection and capacity approval.

\section*{Application Name: Kendall Strawberry School}

Location: The proposed project is located at the northeast corner of SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and SW $160^{\text {th }}$ Street with Folios No. 30-5928-000-0070 and No.30-5928-000-0072, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. The UDB (Urban Development Boundary) line abuts the subject property along SW 157 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Section Line).


Proposed Development: Special exception request to permit the construction of a charter school (K through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade) of approximately 122,179 S.F. (Phases I \& II).

The total estimated water demand for this project will be $14,662 \mathrm{gpd}$.
Water: The proposed development is located within the WASD's water service area. The water supply will be provided by the Alexander Orr Water Treatment Plant. Currently, there is adequate treatment and water supply capacity for the proposed project consistent with Policy WS-2 A (1) of the CDMP.

Per WASD Rules and Regulations for water services, a 16 -inch water main extension is required along SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Said extension is subject to review and approval by a WASD committee, as stated in CDMP Policy WS-2E. For this water main extension, the developer may connect to an existing 16-inch water main in SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue close to the northwestern corner of the property and extend the same (16-inch water main) southerly in SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to SW $160^{\text {th }}$ Street, then, extend a 12 -inch water main easterly in SW $160^{\text {th }}$ Street connecting/ interconnecting to an existing 12 -inch water main immediately east of SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Also, the developer may connect to an existing 12 -inch water main in SW $160^{\text {th }}$ Street abutting the southern boundary of the property in order to provide service to the proposed development.

Any public water main extension within the property shall be eight (8)-inch minimum diameter. If two (2) or more fire hydrants are to be connected to a public water main extension, then, the water system shall be looped with two (2) points of connection.

Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the water system will be provided at the time the applicant requests connection to the water infrastructure.

A Water Supply Certification (WSC) will be required for the proposed development. Said Certification will be issued at the time the applicant requests connection to the water system. The WSC letter shall remain active in accordance with terms and conditions specified in said certification. The required WSC is consistent with Policy CIE-5D and WS-2C in the County's CDMP and in accordance with the permitted withdrawal capacity in the WASD's 20-year Water Use Permit.

For more information on the WSC Program, please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/water/water-supply-certification.asp

In addition, all future development will be required to comply with water use efficiency techniques for indoor water use and with landscape standards in accordance with Sections 8-31, 32-84, 8A381, and 18-A and 18-B of the Miami-Dade County Code, consistent with Policies WS-5E and WS-5F of the CDMP, respectively.

For more information about our Water Conservation Program please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/conservation/home.asp.

For information concerning the Water-Use Efficiency Standards Manual please go to http://www.miamidade.gov/waterconservation/library/instructions/water-use-efficiency-standards-manual.pdf

Sewer: The proposed development is located within the WASD's sewer service area. The wastewater flows for the development will be transmitted to the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant (SDWWTP) for treatment and disposal. This WWTP is currently operating under a permit from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

There is an existing 16 -inch force main in SW $152^{\text {nd }}$ Street and SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, approximately 2,000 feet north of the subject project, to where the developer may connect and extend a minimum 8 -inch force main southerly in SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to a point as required to provide service to the proposed development, in which the installation of a private pump station will be required for as long as all legal requirements are met. If Unity of Title does not apply, then any gravity sewer within the property shall be public and eight (8)-inch minimum diameter.

Final points of connection and capacity approval to connect to the sewer system will be provided at the time the applicant requests connection to the sewer infrastructure.

WASD will be the utility providing sewer services subject to the following conditions:

- Adequate transmission and plant capacity exist at the time of the owner's request consistent with policy WS-2 A (2) of the CDMP. Capacity evaluations of the plant for
average flow and peak flows will be required. Connection to the COUNTY'S sewage system will be subject to the terms, covenants and conditions set forth in court orders, judgments, consent orders, consent decrees and the like entered into between the COUNTY and the United States, the State of Florida and/or any other governmental entity, including but not limited to, the Consent Order entered on April 9, 2014 in the United States of America, State of Florida and State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. Miami-Dade County, Case No. 1:12-cv-24400-FAM, as well as all other current, subsequent or future enforcement and regulatory actions and proceedings.
- Approval of all applicable governmental agencies having jurisdiction over these matters are obtained.

Any public water or sewer infrastructure must be within a public right-of-way, or within a utility easement.

Below please find additional links to the WASD portal, which provides information on the Construction \& Development process for water and sewer infrastructure.
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-development.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-service-agreement.asp
http:///www.miamidade.gov/water/construction-existing-service.asp
http://www.miamidade.gov/water/library/forms/service-agreement.pdf
Should you have any questions, please call me at (786) 552-8198 or Alfredo Sanchez at (786) 552-8237.

# Memorandum 

Date:
MAR 222018
To:
Nathan Kogon
Assistant Director
Regulatory and Economic Resource Department

I. PROJECT LOCATION:

The property is located at SW 157 Avenue and SW 160 Street.
II. APPLICATION REQUEST:

This application is requesting the approval for a special exemption to permit a charter school, grades K-12 for a maximum proposed student enrollment of 2,500 students.
III. EXISTING ROADWAYS SERVICEABLE TO THIS APPLICATION:

Access to this site is available from the north and south by SW 157 Avenue and from the east and the west by SW 160 Street.

## IV. RECOMMENDATION:

Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) Traffic Engineering Division (TED) has no further objection to this application provided the comments and conditions, as indicated in Section VII, are adequately addressed at the time of plat.
V. ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC GENERATION AND CONCURRENCY:
A. Trip Generation (Based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

425 PM Peak Hour trips are generated by this application.
B. Cardinal Distribution

| North | $45 \%$ | East | $41 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| South | $13 \%$ | West | $1 \%$ |

## VI. IMPACT ON EXISTING ROADWAYS:

## A. CONCURRENCY:

Station 9818 located on SW 137 Avenue south of SW 152 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of 5390 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of $\mathbf{3 5 0 5}$ vehicles and 98 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9818 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 77 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 3505 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 98, equal 3680 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 5250 .

Station 9834 located on SW 147 Avenue south of SW 152 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of $\mathbf{1 4 7 0}$ vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 1495 vehicles and 146 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9834 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "F". The $\mathbf{5 6}$ vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1495 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 146, equal 1697 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "F" whose range is greater than 1470.

Station 9854 located on SW 152 Street west of SW 137 Avenue, has a maximum LOS "EE" of 3866 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 2144 vehicles and 21 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9854 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 39 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 2144 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 21, equal 2204 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 3078.

Station 9859 located on SW 157 Avenue south of SW 152 Street, has a maximum LOS "D" of 3222 vehicles during the PM Peak Hour. It has a current Peak Hour Period (PHP) of 1918 vehicles and 13 vehicles have been assigned to this section of the road from previously approved Development Orders. Furthermore, Station 9859 with its PHP and assigned vehicles is at LOS "C". The 253 vehicle trips generated by this development when combined with the 1918 and those previously approved through Development Orders, 13, equal 2184 and will cause this segment to remain at LOS "C" whose range is up to 3078

The request herein, constitutes an Initial Development Order only, and one or more traffic concurrency determinations will subsequently be required before development will be permitted.

Traffic Concurrency approval for the Initial Development Order of this application is pursuant to Section 33G-5(6)(a), Code of Miami Dade County. Please be advised, this application must comply with Section 33G-5(6)(b), Code of Miami Dade County, in order to receive Intermediate Development Order (Tentative Plat) approval.

## VII. TRAFFIC COMMENTS:

a) The applicant will be committed to construct a new Traffic signal (including pedestrian signal) at SW 157 Avenue \& SW 160 Street intersection once the warrant analysis is completed at the time of the plat.
b) Off-duty police traffic control during the school's arrival and dismissal period is required at the School's driveway located on the north eastside of SW 160 Street.
c) The school will be required to operate as per the approved TOP and site plan. In addition, School must construct all offsite improvements prior to obtaining a Certificate of Occupancy.

All roadway improvements including, but not limited to, traffic signs, markings and signals shall be installed by the applicant adjacent to this facility to ameliorate any adverse vehicular impacts caused by the traffic attracted to this facility. Also, traffic control devices, e.g., crosswalks, may be required at locations remote from this site along safe routes to school to provide for pedestrian student safety. These requirements may be determined at the time of plat with the final traffic study.

## VIII. SITE PLAN CRITIQUE:

1. This land requires platting in accordance with Chapter 28 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Any right-of-way dedications and/or improvements required will be accomplished thru the recording of a plat.

## IX. STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. A letter or a plan containing the following certification signed and sealed by a State of Florida registered engineer shall be submitted as part of the paving and drainage plans: "I hereby certify that all of the roads for the subject project comply with all of the applicable portions of the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (Florida Greenbook) regarding: design speed, lane widths, horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, stopping sight distance, sight distance, horizontal clearance, vertical clearance, superelevation, shoulder widths, grades, bridge widths, etc."
2. Public sidewalks are required to extend across all school driveways around the site. This will include pedestrian ramps that meet American with Disability Act (ADA) specifications where applicable. All pedestrian crosswalks around the school must have zebra pavement markings.
3. Safe sight distance clearance is required at all driveways; therefore, no trees shall remain or be planted in any clear zones, No tree foliage or branches shall descend below 7 feet within the public right-of-way. All tree placements in sight triangles shall meet or exceed FDOT Index 546. Any proposed planting, relocation or removal of trees and other foliage including any installation of irrigation systems in the public right-of-way must be approved by the Right-of Way Aesthetics and Asset Management (R.A.A.M.) Division of the Parks Recreation and Open Spaces Department. Also, any relocation or removal of trees must be approved by RER. These approvals should be applied for, and received, prior to zoning approval of this project. A "Covenant for Maintenance" agreement,
recorded in the public records, must be provided prior to permitting any of these types of installations within the public right-of-way.
4. Plans submitted for Permit shall conform to MUTCD, DTPW and other appropriate standards for engineering design in the public right-of-way. Prior to formal submittal of plans for approval and permitting, a Dry Run Paving and Drainage submittal is required to review compliance with zoning resolution conditions for approval and appropriate standards, and to rectify any discrepancies between existing facilities, plans, conditions for approval, or standards. Existing and proposed striping, signs, and lane widths must be shown on these plans for all adjacent roadways. Also, plans must indicate any existing or proposed private driveways across the streets adjacent to the school site.
5. All roadway improvements including, but not limited to, traffic signs, markings and signals shall be installed by the applicant adjacent to, or nearby, this facility to ameliorate any adverse vehicular impacts caused by the traffic attracted to this facility. Also, traffic control devices, egg., crosswalks, may be required at locations remote from this site along safe routes to school to provide for pedestrian student safety. These requirements may be determined at the time of Dry Run submittal of Paving and Drainage Plans.

c: Raul A. Pino, PLS, Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources

Date:
To: $\quad$ Nathan Kogon, Assistant Director
Development Services
Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER)
From: $\quad$ Alejandro Zizold, PROS Master Plan Manager Planning \& Design Excellence Division Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department
Subject: Z2017000315: JLK Four, LLC (Revised)

Application Name: JLK Four, LLC
Project Location: The property is located inside the Urban Development Boundary at the intersection of SW $157^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and SW $160^{\text {th }}$ Street, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County.

Proposed Development: The request is for a Special Exception to permit a public charter school that will serve 2,500 students.

Impact and demand: This application does not generate any additional residential population, and therefore the CDMP Open Space Spatial Standards do not apply.

Recommendation: PROS has no pertinent comments for this application concerning impact or demand on existing County parks, proposed or budgeted service expansion, nor do we perform a concurrency review. Based on our findings described herein PROS HAS NO OBJECTION TO THIS APPLICATION.

If you need additional information or clarification on this matter, please contact Safar Ahmed at (305) 7557997.
$A Z: z a$

| Date: | January 30, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Nathan M. Kogon, Assistant Director <br> Regulatory and Economic Resources |
| From: | Alejandro G Cuello, Principal Planner <br> Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department |
| Subject: | Z2017000315 |

Subject: Z2017000315

The Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department has no objection to the site plan uploaded to "Energov" on 01/24/18.

For additional information, please contact at acuello@miamidade.gov or call 786-331-4545.

| JLK FOUR, LLC C/O ELINETTE RUIZ-DIAZ DE LA <br> PORTILLA | N/A <br> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA. |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPLICANT | ADDRESS |
| Pending | Z2017000315 |
| DATE | HEARING NUMBER |

FOLIO: 30-5928-000-0072
REVIEW DATE OF CURRENT ENFORCEMENT HISTORY:
January 30, 2018
NEIGHBORHOOD REGULATIONS:
There are no open/closed cases in CMS.

## BUILDING SUPPORT REGULATIONS:

There are no open/closed cases in BSS.
VIOLATOR:
JLK FOUR, LLC C/O ELINETTE RUIZ-DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA
OUTSTANDING LIENS AND FINES:
There are no outstanding liens or fines.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESS NO: Z17-315 DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*
DATE: OCT 162017
BY: FURNEEGSORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trusts), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

CORPORATION NAME: JLK Four, LLC

| NAME AND ADDRESS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gary L. Chaffing 7380 SW 113th Street; Miami, Florida 33156 |  |
| Karl O. Wiegandt 6900 SW 142nd Terrace; Miami, Florida 33158 |  |
| Jack Chaffin 7380 SW 113th Street; Miami, Florida 33156 | Percentage of Stock | | $33.33 \%$ |
| :--- |

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUST/ESTATE NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS Percentage of interest
$n / a$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership n/a $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESS NO: Z17-315

BY: FURNEYS
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (f applicable)
1915 Harrison Street; 2nd floor; Hollywood, Florida 33020
Percentage of Interest 100\%
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Date of contract: October 28,2016
If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
n/a
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosure of fil part aghinterest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Swom to and subsceribed belore me this $27^{\text {² }}$ day of JULy produced FL. Driver's Licenst as identification.


My commission expines: 9 Z区 |zo
*Disclosure shall not be required of. 1) any entity, the equity interests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand ( 5,000 ) ownership interests; or 3) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, Including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership Interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## RECEIVIED

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESS NO: Z17-315
DATE: OCT 162017
BY: FURNEYS

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

| CORPORATION NAME: MG3 Fund, LLC |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| NAME AND ADDRESS | Percentage of Stock |
| MG3 Investments, LLC 1915 Harison Street 2nd Floor; Hollywood, F1. 33020 | $66.66 \%$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUST/ESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS

## Percentage of Interest

$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESSANOGZ1GKな~ASER:
DATE: OCT 162017
BY: GURNEYS
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
Date of contract:

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required,

The above is a full disclosure of all parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, inicequifin Aterests in which are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United Sta'(eg, कifiatpthèr country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESS NO: Z17-315
DATE: OCT 162017
BY: FURNEYS

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporation(s), trust(s), partnership(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

| CORPORATION NAME: MG3 Investments, LLC |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| NAME AND ADDRESS | Percentage of Stock |
| Marcelo Saiegh 1915 Harrison Street Hollywood, Fl. 33020 | $50 \%$ |
| Gustavo Bogomolni 1915 Harrison Street Hollywood, FI. 33020 | $50 \%$ |
|  | - |
|  |  |

If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUST/ESTATE NAME $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporation(s), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME:
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note: Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

RECEIVED
MIAMI－DADE COUNTY

DATE：OCT 162017
BY：FURNEAME，ADDRESS AND OFFICE（if applicable）

Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Date of contract： $\qquad$

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties，list all individuals or officers，if a corporation，partnership or trust．
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


NOTICE：For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application，but prior to the date of final public hearing，a supplemental disclosure of interest is required．
 Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2 day of Aug aust 2017 ．Affiant is personally know to me or has 17 asidfenticication．
produced

ご＂゙＂
ミO゙

My commissión expires： $\qquad$
＊Disclosure shall not be required of：1）anxentifyutie．edgatix interests in which are regularly traded on an
 trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests；or 3 ）any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership，corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests，including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one（1）person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent（5\％）of the ownership interest in the partnership，corporation or trust． Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership，corporation，or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests，including all interests at every level of ownership，shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five（5）percent of the ownership interest in the partnership，corporation or trust．

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
PROCESS NO: Z17-315
DATE: OCT 162017
BY: GURNEYS

## DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST*

If a CORPORATION owns or leases the subject property, list principal stockholders and percent of stock owned by each. [Note: Where principal officers or stockholders consist of other corporations), trust(s), partnerships) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].


If a TRUST or ESTATE owns or leases the subject property, list the trust beneficiaries and the percent of interest held by each. [Note: Where beneficiaries are other than natural persons, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].
TRUST/ESTATE NAME
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Interest
$\qquad$
If a PARTNERSHIP owns or leases the subject property, list the principals including general and limited partners. [Note: Where the partner(s) consist of another partnership(s), corporations), trust(s) or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify the natural persons having the ultimate ownership interest].

PARTNERSHIP OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP NAME: $\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS
Percentage of Ownership
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If there is a CONTRACT FOR PURCHASE, by a Corporation, Trust or Partnership list purchasers below, including principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners. [Note; Where principal officers, stockholders, beneficiaries or partners consist of other corporations, trusts, partnerships or other similar entities, further disclosure shall be made to identify natural persons having the ultimate ownership interests].

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

BY: FURNEYS
NAME, ADDRESS AND OFFICE (if applicable)

## Percentage of interest

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Date of contract: $\qquad$

If any contingency clause or contract terms involve additional parties, list all individuals or officers, if a corporation, partnership or trust.
$\qquad$
NOTICE: For any changes of ownership or changes in purchase contracts after the date of the application, but prior to the date of final public hearing, a supplemental disclosure of interest is required.
The above is a full disclosfire of a) parties of interest in this application to the best of my knowledge and belief.

*Disclosure shall not be required of: 1) any entity, the equity intievef established securities market in the United States or another country; or 2) pension funds or pension trusts of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ ownership interests; or 3 ) any entity where ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership and where no one (1) person or entity holds more than a total of five per cent (5\%) of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust. Entities whose ownership interests are held in a partnership, corporation, or trust consisting of more than five thousand $(5,000)$ separate interests, including all interests at every level of ownership, shall only be required to disclose those ownership interest which exceed five (5) percent of the ownership interest in the partnership, corporation or trust.

## CHILD CARE CHECKLIST FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS

A signed charter contract from the Miami-Dade County School Board must accompany this application Which matches the location, \# of students and grade levels of the proposed application.

Bridgeprep Academy Charter
School Name: $\qquad$ School Address: SW 157th Ave \& SW 160th St, Miami, FL 33187
Tax Folio \# 30 $\qquad$ Total size of site: $\qquad$ 9.7308 acres

Is this an expansion to an existing school? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No 4

If yes, indicate the \# of students and grade levels previously approved:
$\qquad$ and the Resolution \# $\qquad$

Number of children/students requested: $\qquad$ 2,500 Grade Levels: $\qquad$ K-12 Ages: $\qquad$ 5-18

Number of classrooms: $\qquad$ Total square footage of classroom area: $\qquad$ Total square footage of non-classroom area (offices, bathrooms, kitchens, etc.) $\qquad$ 57.158 SF

Total square footage of outdoor recreation/play area: $\qquad$ 67.993 SF

Number of parking spaces provided for staff and transportation vehicles: $\qquad$ 250

Total parking spaces provided: $\qquad$ Auto-stacking spaces provided: $\qquad$ 205

Days and hours of operation: Monday-Friday / 7am-6pm

## THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS COMPLETE AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

Signed, sealed, executed and acknowledged on this $\qquad$ day of


## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

Ihereby certify that on this 23 day of tume 2017 , before me personally appeared blareelo ja egh , to me known to be the person described in an who executed the foregoing instrument and he/she acknowledge to me the execution thereof to be his/her free act for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

My Commission Expires: $\qquad$

Rev. 6/23/16 ER
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PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:
BRIDGE PREP ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL AT KENDALL








| $\sqrt{2}$ | (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:
BRIDGE PREP ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL AT KENDALL



| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 4.0 |



Section: 28 Township: 55 Range: 39
Applicant: JLK Four, LLC
Zoning Board: Board of County Commissioners
Commission District: 9
Drafter ID: EDUARDO CESPEDES
MIAMI-DADE
Scale: NTS

| Revson | Dane | or |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 41 |



| REVISION | DATE | BY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 |
|  |  |  |




[^0]:    Alberto J. Parlade, Esq. Florida Bar Number: 313823
    PARLADE LAW FIRM, PA.
    7050 S.W. 86 Avenue
    Miami, Florida 33143
    Tel.: (305) 595-2300
    E-mail: ajp@parladelaw.com
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