



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners

Legislative Analysis

February 7, 2012
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners
Meeting Agenda**

February 7, 2011

Item Number(s)

7D
8A2
8F10
8F11
8F12
8F14
11A10

Acknowledgements:

Bia Marsellos, Senior Legislative Analyst

Michael Amador-Gil, Senior Legislative Analyst

Elizabeth Owens, Legislative Analyst

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 7D
File Number: 112627
Date of Analysis: December 21, 2011

Summary

The proposed ordinance creates the Miami International Airport Enterprise Ordinance ("MIA Enterprise Ordinance"). The MIA Enterprise ordinance allows the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to quickly respond to market opportunities and passenger or airline needs.

MIA Enterprise Ordinance Highlights:

- This ordinance sunsets upon a change in the Director of the Miami-Dade County Aviation Department;
- This applies to all general Aviation airports in Miami-Dade County; and
- The Board of County Commissioners may suspend the operation of this Ordinance, in whole or in part, by resolution, if such resolution is approved by two thirds of then serving Board of County Commissioners.

Previous Authority Granted to MDAD Director

On July 1, 2008, the Board of County Commissioners, through Ordinance 8-87, created Section 2-285.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, regarding the Mayor's contracting authority for the North Terminal Development Project at Miami International Airport.

This ordinance authorized the County Mayor (Mayor) and the Airport Director to do the following without prior approval of the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), with respect to the contracts assigned to the County pursuant to R-735-05, Fourth Amendment to Lease Construction and Financing Agreement between the County and American Airlines, Inc. (AA) and other contracts previously procured by AA and previously assumed by the County via action of the BCC, *or contracts to finish work begun but left incomplete as of August 1, 2005, or contracts related to the construction, design, or construction management of the North terminal Development which were awarded by the BCC prior to May 1, 2008*, and within the BCC approved budget for North Terminal Development (NTD) Project at Miami International Airport (MIA):

- Execute change orders;
- Modify contract terms;
- Establish conditions for exercise of delegated authority;
- Waive Committee review of NTD contracts;
- Authorize time extensions before and after expiration of contract time;
- Extend contract time and waive liquidated damages for failure to comply before specified date for completion of the contract provided good cause exists and the contract provides that after the expiration of the contract time the County may extend contract time or waive liquidated damages;
- Increase or reduce in any amount the scope and compensation payable under any contract;
- Grant compensable and non-compensable time extensions; and
- Any exercise of authority pursuant to this Section, will require ratification by the BCC.

Pursuant to the delegated authority provided through Ordinance 8-87, the Mayor and the Aviation Director processed: 35 contract modifications; 4 change orders; 2 amendments; and 1 claim. The ordinance sunset on January, 2011.

In response to questions posed by the Office of the Commission Auditor, MDAD staff provided the following information:

- How does the proposed ordinance differ from the authority that was given to the airport director through Ord. 8-87? *Ordinance 8-87 was the North Terminal Ordinance which expired on January 1, 2011. Ordinance 8-87 gave the Airport powers to amend and execute change orders to a discrete class of previously awarded contracts-essentially, those contracts which the County had assumed from American, plus the FARC.*

Additionally, it did not provide for any authority to award contracts. The new ordinance gives the Airport the power to award, amend, execute change orders, and to extend most construction contracts, contracts for goods and services, and, to a lesser extent, concessions (the new ordinance does not allow the Airport to award concession contracts).

Moreover, where the Airport's authority under the North Terminal Ordinance was limited, ultimately, by the NTD budget, this ordinance ties capital construction to discrete capital budgets as authorize by the BCC in the yearly budget. The new ordinance also provides a mechanism for the BCC to, via resolution, suspend operation of the ordinance in whole or in part. These latter two factors provide for greater oversight powers relative to the new ordinance than were present in the North Terminal Ordinance.

- Does MDAD staff anticipate any disruptions to passengers or airline operations? Please explain why does the item mention this? *The ordinance states to **avoid** any disruptions to passenger and/or airline operations. The Ordinance will allow us to expeditiously procure whatever service is needed. It would allow MDAD to repair whatever needs to be repaired immediately without any delays impacting daily operations thus disrupting operations.*

Prepared by: Michael Amador-Gil and Bia Marsellos

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 8A2
File Number: 112443
Date of Analysis: December 20, 2011

Summary

This resolution ratifies the acceptance and execution by the Mayor or Mayor's designee of Grant Agreement No. 3-12-0049-064-2011 between Miami-Dade County and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in the amount of \$3,462,000 to provide grant funds for the removal and rehabilitation of a portion of the roof in Concourse D, located east of the former Concourse B Atrium at Miami International Airport.

There is no fiscal impact resulting from this item, because this grant provides additional funds that reduce the Miami-Dade Aviation Department's (MDAD) project costs to \$3,696,700 of the \$8 million total project cost, which is budgeted in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has also included funds for this project in its Five-Year Work Program.

Background and Relevant Information

A permanent solution is necessary to ensure the operational readiness of 17 gates and functional passenger service areas located in the immediate vicinity of the roof area to be rehabilitated.

On August 24, 2011, MDAD submitted a grant application to the FAA for the design and construction of Concourse D (East) Roof Rehabilitation (Public Areas Only).

The project involves the phased removal of the existing roof to the level of the roof deck, from the former Concourse "B" Atrium to the eastern extremity of the former Concourse "A" (an estimated 18,861 square yards) and the replacement of a new built-up roof system in accordance with the MIA Terminal Design Guidelines Manual.

It includes all the necessary flashing, soffit, gutter, scupper and drain replacement necessary to guarantee the roof for 15 years from project acceptance, which is its estimated lifespan.

Additional Information

In response to questions posed by the Office of the Commission Auditor, MDAD staff provided the following information:

- Does this item allow for local labor participation? CSBE, DBE, and CWP etc.? *This item (federal grant) is subject to DBE program for compliance with Federal Regulation Code (49CFR Part 26)*
- What is the anticipated commencement and completion of this project? *MDAD is working on a solicitation for design services for this project.*
- Will this impact existing concessionaires and/or any operations and construction schedules at MIA? *The Architect/Engineer (A/E) shall design interim/temporary facilities included in the Project Budget with the necessary associated facilities to accommodate operations, pedestrian and/or vehicular traffic, tenants or concessionaires, as needed during construction.*

- Are the federal and state funds secured? *Yes, this grant was accepted.*
- Will the new roof include LEED components? (Green Roof) If not, explain why. *It will be part of the MIA Terminal Design Guidelines Manual. The Project is not LEED certified, however, A/E shall incorporate, wherever practical, green building practices including but not limited to Energy Efficiency Reflective Roofs or Green Roofs requirements, as state in Miami-Dade County Resolution No. 1103-10.*

Prepared by: Michael Amador-Gil

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 8F10
File Number: 112644
Date of Analysis: January 31, 2012

Summary

This Competitive Contract Modification Package includes a total of three (3) modifications to previously approved competitive contracts, requesting additional spending authority and/or time. A competitive contract modification is an award of a supplemental allocation for goods or services within the scope of the original contract award and/or an extension of the contract term.

Budgetary Impacts

In total, this Competitive Contract Modification Package requests **\$58,000** in additional allocations.

Compliance and/or Performance Issues

There are no compliance or performance issues for any of the firms in this modification package.

Contract Modifications¹:

Item No.	Contract Title and Modification Reason	Existing Allocation, Additional Time and Spending Authority
1	Service to Electrical and Mechanical Security Gates <u>Reason:</u> Additional time to allow various County departments to continue to purchase maintenance services for electrical and mechanical security gates to ensure continuity of services until a successor contract is presented to the Board for award. This contract was approved under the County Manager's delegated authority for an initial term of 12 months from March 9, 2007 to February 29, 2008, in the amount of \$278,000, with four (4) 12 month option-to-renew (OTR) periods. - The 1st OTR period was from March 1, 2008 to February 28, 2009, in the amount of \$278,000. - The 2nd OTR period was from March 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010, in the amount of \$213,923. - On December 28, 2009, the Department of Procurement Management (DPM) modified the 2nd OTR period by increasing the amount by \$35,000, for a total allocation of \$248,923.	Existing Allocation: \$398,000 Modified / Extended Term: 8 months from February 29, 2012 to October 31, 2012. Increased Allocation: No Change.

¹ Source: DPM's Bid Tracking System.

	• The 3rd OTR period was from March 1, 2010 to February 29, 2011, in the amount of \$192,700. ○ On December 8, 2010, DPM extended the 3rd OTR period for an additional 6 months, to August 31, 2011, and increased the contract amount by \$96,350 due to complications in the evaluation of proposals received for a replacement contract. The increase by \$96,350, put the contract over the \$1 million threshold for County Manager's delegated authority to approve. ○ On June 7, 2011, under Resolution No. 449-11, the BCC modified this contract to allow the Miami-Dade Transit department to purchase security gate repair services for various locations, increasing the allocated amount by \$45,000 (unallocated funds = \$39,000 and additional allocation = \$6,000). ○ <i>On July 7, 2011, under Resolution No. 543-11, the BCC extended the 3rd OTR period an additional 6 months, to February 29, 2012 (current expiration date), and increased the allocation by 99,000, bringing the total allocation under the 3rd OTR period to \$396,000.</i> ○ The 4th OTR period has not been issued. On February 17, 2010, a solicitation for a replacement contract for this service was issued. Four responses were received. The three lowest bidders did not hold the license required to provide this service, and the proposed pricing by the one responsive, responsible bidder exceeded the budgeted amount. Questions/Comments Previous Extensions / Prorations • On July 7, 2011, the BCC approved additional time and spending authority under Resolution No. 543-11, to ensure continuity of service while a successor contract was prepared for award in January 2012. The reason for the proposed modification is the same as what was provided under Resolution No. 543-11. ▪ <i>What is the delay in the award of the successor contract?</i> ▪ <i>Since July 7, 2011, what actions have taken place to award this contract?</i> <i>According to the October 27, 2009 Market Research Report, there were two (2) vendors awarded originally. However, the owner of the primary awarded vendor passed away and the wife was forced to close the business, leaving only one awarded vendor. Various departments requested a replacement solicitation because the cost for this service provided by a single vendor was higher.</i> • <i>Currently, do the departments still have the same concern that the cost for this service as provided by a single vendor, A1A Garage Doors, Corp., still higher?</i> • <i>Note, the Market Research report states that the process to issue a replacement contract had been initiated.</i>	
--	---	--

2	Janitorial Supplies <u>Reason:</u> Additional time and spending authority to allow various County departments to continue to purchase janitorial supplies to allow staff to complete the procurement process that will consolidate seven (7) janitorial supplies contracts. <i>The consolidated janitorial supplies contract will be presented to the Board in July 2012.</i> Questions/Comments On July 18, 2006, under Resolution No. 909-06, Contract No. M0692-4/11-4, was approved by the BCC. The term under the initial contract was for one (1) year in the amount of \$1,148,506 with four (4) one-year option-to-renew (OTR) periods. - On March, 2, 2007, DPM approved an increase to this contract in the amount of \$230,000. - On May 23, 2007, DPM further increased this contract by \$20,000, bringing the initial contract total to \$1,398,506. - On May 12, 2009, under the 2nd OTR period, DPM increased this contract by \$200,000, bringing the contract total for the 2nd OTR period to \$1,598,506. - On July 7, 2011, under the 4th OTR period, the BCC approved Resolution No. 545-11, extending the 4th OTR period to March 31, 2012 and increasing the allocation by \$971,000. The current value of this contract under the 4th OTR period is \$2,369,506. This contract will be consolidated along with six (6) other existing janitorial contracts under the new consolidated countywide janitorial supplies contract. <i>There are two consolidated janitorial contracts: one is for services and the other is for janitorial supplies (used by County departments). The new janitorial supplies contract is expected to be awarded in July 2012.</i> The seven (7) consolidated contracts for janitorial supplies are the following: - IB6704-2/11-2 PRE-MEASURED LAUNDRY DETERGENT - Expiration Date: 08/31/2011; - Current Annual Value: \$6,060.46 - IB7023-4/12-3 DISHWASHING COMPOUND - Expiration Date: 11/30/2011 - Current Annual Value: \$99,060.46 - EPP4228-3/10-3 LAUNDRY SUPPLIES - Expiration Date: 06/30/2011 - Current Annual Value: \$40,229.00 5899-3/14 GERMICIDAL DETERGENT & HAND SOAP SUPPLY - Expiration Date: 11/30/2012 - Current Annual Value: \$170,527.96 8288-3/12-3 BRUSHES, BROOMS AND MOPS - Expiration Date: 11/30/2011 - Current Annual Value: \$122,000.00 - M0692-4/11-4 JANITORIAL SUPPLIES - Expiration Date: 03/31/2012	Existing Allocation: \$2,369,506 Modified / Extended Term: 4 months from March 31, 2012 to July 31, 2012. Increased Allocation: \$58,000 for the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces department.
---	---	--

	- o Current Annual Value: \$2,369,506.00 • 8550-3/11-2 JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR MDPHA - o Expiration Date: 10/06/2011 - o Current Annual Value: \$374,626.00 ➤ Total Current Annual Value of Contract = \$6,364,019.76 <i>The following contracts are currently expired or will expire prior to July 2012, which is the projected award date for the Consolidated Janitorial Supplies contract:</i> • IB6704-2/11-2 PRE-MEASURED LAUNDRY DETERGENT - Exp. Date: 08/31/2011 • IB7023-4/12-3 DISHWASHING COMPOUND – Exp. Date: 11/30/2011 • EPP4228-3/10-3 LAUNDRY SUPPLIES – Exp.Date: 06/30/2011 • 8288-3/12-3 BRUSHES, BROOMS AND MOPS – Exp. Date: 11/30/2011 • M0692-4/11-4 JANITORIAL SUPPLIES – Exp. Date: 03/31/2012 • 8550-3/11-2 JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR MDPHA – Exp. Date: 10/06/2011 <i>How are janitorial supplies provided or how will janitorial supplies be provided if the current contract has expired?</i>	
3	Building Materials, Pre-qualification of Bidders <u>Reason:</u> Additional time to allow various County departments to continue to purchase building materials and to allow sufficient time for approval of the award for the replacement contract. The successor contract is expected to be awarded by May 2012.	Existing Allocation: \$23,127,000 Modified / Extended Term: 6 months from February 29, 2012 to August 31, 2012. Increased Allocation: No Change.

Prepared by: Elizabeth N. Owens

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 8F11 and 8F12
File Number: 112652 and 112653
Date of Analysis: January 31, 2012

Summary

These resolutions authorize modifications to contracts for janitorial services. Both contracts will be part of the consolidated janitorial services contract that is being developed for all County departments and is expected to come before the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for award in March 2012.

Background and Relevant Information¹

Agenda Item No. 8F11

This resolution authorizes modification to Contract No. 6763-4/11-4, Janitorial Services for Water and Sewer Department (WASD), for an additional seven (7) months, modifying the current expiration date from February 29, 2012 to September 30, 2012, and for additional spending authority in the amount of \$65,000, increasing the existing allocation from \$166,000 to \$231,000, for WASD to continue to purchase janitorial services.

On July 25, 2006, Contract No. 6763-4/11-4, Janitorial Services for WASD, was awarded under the County Manager's delegated authority to the lowest priced responsive, responsible vendors on a group-by-group basis for a term of one (1) year with four (4) one-year option-to-renew (OTR) periods in the original contract amount of \$213,161. The effective date of this contract was September 1, 2006. It was competitively awarded to local Small Business Enterprises (SBE) as a set-aside.

- On August 30, 2007, the Department of Procurement Management (DPM) increased the contract by \$28,010, bringing the contract's total initial amount to \$241,171.
- The 1st OTR period took place from September 1, 2007 to August 31, 2008, in the amount of \$241,171.
- The 2nd OTR period took place from September 1, 2008 to August 31, 2009, in the amount of \$120,000.
- The 3rd OTR period took place from September 1, 2009 to August 31, 2010, in the amount of \$120,000.
- The 4th OTR period was originally from September 1, 2010 to August 31, 2011, in the amount of \$120,000.
 - o An increased allocation for the 2009 Living Wage (.46%) was applied increasing the 4th OTR period by \$552.
 - o On August 11, 2011, DPM extended this contract for six (6) months to February 29, 2012 (current expiration date), and increased the 4th OTR period amount by \$45,000. Currently, the amount of the 4th OTR period is \$165,552.

The current vendor under Contract No. 6763-4/11-4, Janitorial Services for WASD, is Tri-County Lumping Service.

- There are no performance or compliance issues with the current vendor, and according to the Florida Division of Corporation, the vendor is currently active.
- According to the Vendor Registration Package, Tri-County Lumping Service has been in business for more than ten (10) years, provides janitorial services and has a total number of five (5) employees.

¹ Source: DPM's Bid Tracking System

Agenda Item No. 8F12

This resolution authorizes modification to Contract No. 8026-3/11-3, Janitorial Services for Downtown Government Complex, for additional spending authority in the amount of \$196,000, increasing the existing allocation from \$7,355,000 to \$7,551,000, for the Internal Services department (ISD) to add janitorial services to the recently acquired Overtown Transit Village South Tower building (OTVS). Currently, this contract provides janitorial services at the Stephen P. Clark Center, Miami-Dade County Courthouse, Cultural Center Plaza, Library, Historical Museum, Miami Art Museum, Central Support Facility, Cultural Center Garage, A. Hickman Building, Garage #5 (Hickman Garage), Lawson E. Thomas Courthouse Center, and the Lawson E. Thomas Courthouse Center Garage.

On December 19, 2006, Contract No. 8026-3/11-3, Janitorial Services for Downtown Government Complex, was awarded by the BCC under Resolution No. 1430-06 to the responsive, responsible SBE bidder who offered the lowest aggregate price for a term of two (2) years with three (3) OTR periods in the initial contract amount of \$6,408,347. The effective date of this contract was January 1, 2007.

- On August 7, 2008, DPM increased the contract by \$1,284,000, bringing the contract's total initial amount to \$7,692,347.
- The 1st OTR period took place from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, in the amount of \$4,440,299.
 - On November 17, 2009, DPM increased the 1st OTR period by \$340,610, for a total value of \$4,780,909.
- The 2nd OTR period took place from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010, in the amount of \$4,802,901.
- The 3rd OTR period took place from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011, in the amount of \$4,904,722.
 - An increased allocation for the Living Wage (2.12%) was applied increasing the 3rd OTR period by \$101,821.
 - On November 30, 2011, DPM extended this contract for one (1) month to January 20, 2012 (current expiration date), increasing the 3rd OTR period amount by \$272,222. Currently, the amount of the 3rd OTR period is \$5,176,945.

The current vendor under Contract No. 8026-3/11-3, Janitorial Services for Downtown Government Complex, is TCB Systems, Inc.

- There are no performance or compliance issues with the current vendor, and according to the Florida Division of Corporation, the vendor is currently active.
- According to the Vendor Registration Package, TCB Systems has been in business for more than ten (10) years, provides janitorial, custodial, and cleaning services and has a total number of employees ranging from 230 to 270.

Additional Information

Invitation to Bid (ITB) for Consolidated Janitorial Services²

- The award for the ITB is projected for March 2012. The ITB was advertised on October 14, 2011.
- Term: five (5) years with an additional five (5) year option-to-renew on a year-to-year basis.
- Estimated Value of Contract: \$89,000,000; Estimated Yearly Value: \$17,800,000.
- Pre-qualification Criteria
 - Responsive, responsible vendors, that meet the minimum qualifications by Group, will be considered pre-qualified to participate in future competitions for that Group. The Groups are divided as follows:
 - Group 1 – Buildings less than 25,000 sq. ft. of cleaning area and five (5) stories or less.
 - Group 2 – Buildings 25,001 to 75,000 sq. ft. of cleaning area and five (5) stories or less.
 - Group 3 – Buildings 75,001 sq. ft. of cleaning area or greater or building is greater than five (5) stories (High-Rise).
- ***This contract is under the Cone of Silence.***

² Source: DPM's Bid Tracking System

Current Contracts That Will Be Combined Under the Consolidated Janitorial Services Contract			
Contract No.	Contract Title	Expiration Date	Current Annual Value
BW7934-3/10-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES VARIOUS GSA BUILDINGS	08/31/2011	\$7,338,000.00
8584-4/13-2	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR GSA & PW FACILITIES	03/19/2011	\$108,049.31
6371-4/13-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR MEDICAL EXAMINER'S OFFICE	06/30/2012	\$343,000.00
8593-4/13-3	JANITORIAL SVCS FOR OVERTOWN TRANSIT VILLAGE & WASD	05/31/2012	\$325,000.00
9022-3/13-2	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR JJC, JJC ANNEX, MBBC & METRO ANNEX	06/30/2012	\$185,870.00
6763-4/11-4	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR WASD	02/29/2012	\$165,552.00
RFP551-4(5)	JANITORIAL SERVICES - MIAMI DADE LIBRARY	09/30/2012	\$1,300,000.00
6168-3/11-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR GSA	05/31/2012	\$200,000.00
8469-4/12-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR MDPD NORTH STATIONS	11/30/2011	\$509,279.45
EPP-RFP8275-2(4)	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR THE JOSEPH CALEB CENTER	12/30/2011	\$225,000.00
5832-3/11-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR VARIOUS CTY DEPARTMENTS	06/30/2012	\$642,971.14
8026-3/11-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES/DOWNTOWN COMPLEX	01/20/2012	\$5,176,944.80
IB9185-3/13-1	JANITORIAL SVCS FOR ANIMAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT	12/31/2011	\$39,060.00
8783-1/13	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR MDHA	04/30/2012	\$672,156.00 ³
7927-3/10-3	CLEANING S DADE BUSWAY STATIONS	06/30/2012	\$808,000.00
7898-3/10-3	JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR METRO RAIL	06/30/2012	\$4,711,500.00 ⁴
• TOTAL ANNUAL CURRENT VALUE OF CONTRACTS =			\$22,750,382.70

The projected award date for the ITB for Consolidated Janitorial Services is March 2012. The following contracts are currently expired or will expire prior to March 2012:

- BW7934-3/10-3 JANITORIAL SERVICES VARIOUS GSA BUILDINGS 08/31/2011
- 8584-4/13-2 JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR GSA & PW FACILITIES 03/19/2011
- 6763-4/11-4 JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR WASD 02/29/2012
- 8469-4/12-3 JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR MDPD NORTH STATIONS 11/30/2011
- EPP-RFP8275-2(4) JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR THE JOSEPH CALEB CENTER 12/30/2011
- 8026-3/11-3 JANITORIAL SERVICES/DOWNTOWN COMPLEX 01/20/2012
- IB9185-3/13-1 JANITORIAL SVCS FOR ANIMAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT 12/31/2011

Question: How are janitorial services provided or how will janitorial services be provided if the current contract has expired?

Comments

In a previous response to questions regarding the advertisement of the Countywide Consolidated Janitorial Services Contract, DPM stated that at the July 19, 2011 BCC meeting, the BCC requested DPM to meet and obtain industry feedback from small businesses for the janitorial contract. In collaboration with the Department of Small

³ This contract is in its initial term which is for 3 years; therefore, in order to calculate the current annual value, the initial term amount of \$2,016,468 was divided by 3.

⁴ This contract is in its initial term which is for 2 years; therefore, in order to calculate the current annual value, the initial term amount of \$9,423,000 was divided by 2.

Business Development (SBD), DPM organized an industry day for janitorial vendors. An email blast was sent by DPM to all vendors registered under the commodity code for janitorial services. SBD contacted SBE-certified janitorial vendors via telephone and followed up with email communications. The industry day was held on August 10, 2011. Nineteen vendors attended. Fourteen of the nineteen vendors attending represented certified SBE firms. The purpose of the meeting was to provide information and an overview on the upcoming solicitation and receive feedback from the vendor community. The solicitation was anticipated to be advertised in early September; however, the Countywide Consolidated Janitorial Services Contract was advertised on October 14, 2011, not early September as previously stated by DPM. The projected award date for the Countywide Consolidated Janitorial Services Contract is March 2012.

Prepared by: Elizabeth N. Owens

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 8F14
File Number: 112684
Date of Analysis: January 30, 2012

Summary

This resolution ratifies the County Manager's execution of 60 Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) Professional Services Agreements (PSA) entered into from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011 for architectural, engineering and landscape architectural (A&E) firms.

- Of the 60 firms seeking ratification, 49 are existing EDP consultants and 11 are first time Professional Service Agreements.
- 9 of the 11 firms with an initial EDP Professional Services Agreement (PSA) do not have evaluations because they have not completed work with the County.
- All of the remaining EDP consultants on this report with closed projects have a performance evaluation.
- The listed firms without evaluations indicate that their assignments are active or their assignments have not been closed by the Capital department.
- There were 350 active firms in the program as of October 1, 2011.

Background and Relevant Information

The EDP was created in June 2001 when the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Administrative Order 3-33. The purpose for establishing the EDP was to fairly and equitably distribute Architectural and Engineering (A/E) professional services for all miscellaneous type projects in which construction costs do not exceed the \$2 million thresholds established in Section 287.055, Florida Statutes. Due to the development of various computer programs, databases, development of the pre-qualification pool, and forms, full implementation of the program did not take place until July 2002 when the first work assignment was made.

- Internal Services Department is tasked with overall administration of the EDP.
- New participants are not required to execute the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) until such time they are selected for a work assignment.
- Pursuant to Administrative Order 3-39 (AO), Capital departments are only required to complete one EDP performance evaluation at the completion of the assignment.
- The EDP is not a minority and/or small business program.
- The EDP provides work assignment opportunities to firms by employing a rotational selection process based on a firm's past 3 year award and payment history on County projects. The qualified EDP firms that have had fewer opportunities to provide services to the County over the past 3 years are primarily given the first opportunities for an EDP project assignment.
- In order for a firm to participate in the rotational process (EDP program), the firm must meet all pre-qualification process criteria and meet the EDP eligibility requirements, pursuant to AO 3-39.

Equitable Distribution Program/Professional Services Agreements Chart

Initial Contract: The first EDP Professional Services Agreement (PSA) that the firm has received.

Renewal Contract : The firm has had a previous EDP Professional Services Agreement that expired so they executed a new or amended EDP PSA.

EDP Assignments: Number of assignments received as the prime consultant since participating in the program.

A&E PSAs and EDP PSA : Number of PSAs that the firm has been awarded by the County. This includes a project specific Architecture and Engineering (A & E) PSAs and their EDP PSA.

	Firm	Initial Contract	Renewal Contract	EDP Assignments	A&E PSAs (Includes EDP PSA)	Average Performance Evaluation	Estimated Assignment Value for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011	Approval Date for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011
EXHIBIT A								
1	Ribbeck Engineering Inc	✓		1	1	0	\$90,000	12/29/10
2	The Hall Group, LLC	✓		1	1	0	\$60,000	12/29/10
EXHIBIT B								
3	A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp.		✓	2	9	3.7	\$0	2/16/11
4	AAXIS Architecture, Inc.		✓	4	1	0	\$0	2/16/11
5	Advanced Transportation Consultants, Inc.		✓	6	1	3.2	\$0	2/16/11
6	Angel C Saqui, FAIA, Architects, Planners, Interiors, LTD.		✓	9	1	2.7	\$12,000	2/16/11
7	Architektnics, Inc.		✓	6	2	3.8	\$200,000	2/16/11
8	Avart Ammann & Whitney, Inc.		✓	5	5	3.0	\$118,931	2/28/11
9	Axioma 3, Inc.		✓	6	2	4.0	\$70,000	2/28/11
10	BC Architects AIA, Inc.		✓	5	1	4.0	\$130,000	3/18/11
11	BCC Engineering, Inc.		✓	2	10	3.6	\$0	2/28/11
12	Biscayne Engineering Company, Inc.		✓	7	3	3.5	\$0	2/16/11
13	BND Engineers, Inc.		✓	5	4	3.0	\$200,000	2/28/11

	Firm	Initial Contract	Renewal Contract	EDP Assignments	A&E PSAs (Includes EDP PSA)	Average Performance Evaluation	Estimated Assignment Value for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011	Approval Date for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011
14	Borges & Associates, P.A.		✓	4	1	3.5	\$150,000	3/18/11
15	Botas Engineering, Inc.		✓	7	1	3.0	\$0	2/28/11
16	Brindley Pieters and Associates, Inc.		✓	2	1	0	\$150,000	2/16/11
17	C.H. Perez & Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc.		✓	4	1	0	\$0	3/18/11
18	Cardozo Engineering, Inc.		✓	4	1	0	\$0	2/28/11
19	Civil Works, Inc.		✓	5	6	3.1	\$0	2/28/11
20	Coastal Systems international		✓	8	3	2.8	\$40,000	2/16/11
21	Consulting Engineering & Services, Inc.		✓	7	6	2.9	\$0	2/16/11
22	Corzo Castella Carballo Thompson Salman, P.A.		✓	1	9	3.3	\$0	2/16/11
23	Derosé Design Consultants, Inc.		✓	9	1	3.6	\$0	2/28/11
24	DNA Design & Architecture, Inc.		✓	3	1	0	\$0	2/28/11
25	Edward Lewis Architects, Inc.		✓	11	1	3.8	\$50,233	3/18/11
26	EE&G Environmental Services, LLC		✓	12	2	4.0	\$0	2/16/11
27	ES Consultants, Inc.		✓	6	3	3.7	\$0	2/28/11
28	Palenzuela & Hevia Design Group, Inc.		✓	2	1	3.6	\$0	2/16/11
29	Sol-Arch, Inc.		✓	2	1	0	\$0	2/28/11
EXHIBIT C								
30	CH2M Hill, Inc.	✓		2	8	3.7	\$50,000	4/8/11
31	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	✓		1	0	0	\$0	4/21/11
32	Arvelo & Assoc, Inc.		✓	8	1	3.9	\$21,000	4/18/11

	Firm	Initial Contract	Renewal Contract	EDP Assignments	A&E PSAs (Includes EDP PSA)	Average Performance Evaluation	Estimated Assignment Value for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011	Approval Date for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011
33	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.		✓	1	5	2.2	\$0	4/21/11
34	P.J.V. Engineering, Inc.	✓		1	1	0	\$150,000	4/27/11
35	Burton Hersh, P.A.	✓		1	1	0	\$9,000	5/25/11
36	Premiere Design Solutions, Inc.	✓		1	1	0	\$200,000	6/6/11
37	E Sciences, Incorporated	✓		2	1	0	\$10,000	6/9/11
38	Ingelmo Associates, P.A.		✓	5	1	3.9	\$0	6/9/11
39	Initial Engineers, P.A.		✓	17	2	3.5	\$150,000	6/9/11
40	M. Hajjar & Associates, Inc		✓	5	1	3.5	\$10,000	6/9/11
41	HBC Engineering		✓	1	1	3.5	\$0	6/15/11
42	Neville Steffens Architects, LLP		✓	10	1	3.9	\$7,500	6/15/11
43	Livs Associates		✓	9	4	3.4	\$30,000	6/20/11
44	Laura M. Perez & Associates, Inc.		✓	6	2	3.7	\$0	6/20/11
45	McMahon Associates, Inc.		✓	5	1	2.8	\$0	6/20/11
46	M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.		✓	5	3	4.0	\$419,337	6/22/11
47	Luis E Naya (dba) Naya Architects		✓	10	1	3.7	\$47,000	6/23/11
EXHIBIT D								
48	Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc.		✓	9	8	3.5	\$27,000	7/18/11
49	C.A.P. Engineering, Inc.		✓	2	1	1	\$150,000	8/3/11
50	EGSC Engineering Consultants, inc.	✓		1	1	0	\$140,000	8/29/11
51	Gecko Group, Inc.	✓		1	1	4.0	\$30,800	8/3/11
52	Gold Coast Engineering Consultants, inc.		✓	8	2	3.8	\$25,000	9/2/11
53	J. Eduardo Gonzalez, P.E. Inc.		✓	5	1	3.4	\$0	7/26/11

	Firm	Initial Contract	Renewal Contract	EDP Assignments	A&E PSAs (Includes EDP PSA)	Average Performance Evaluation	Estimated Assignment Value for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011	Approval Date for EDP Agreements Executed From October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011
54	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.		✓	4	1	0	\$200,000	7/19/11
55	Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc.		✓	5	13	3.5	\$130,000	8/8/11
56	Laura Llerena & Associates, Inc.		✓	1	1	3.0	\$0	7/19/11
57	Maqueira Engineering Consultants, Inc.	✓		2	1	0	\$35,000	7/19/11
58	Martin A.D. Yabor & Associates, Inc.			5	2	3.6	\$11,547	7/18/11
59	Metric Engineering, Inc.			2	5	3.9	\$0	7/21/11
60	RVD Architect, Inc.			8	1	3.5	\$0	8/4/11

Source: Internal Services Department (ISD) staff and CIIS System Report, January 30, 2012.

Additional Information

Some of the firm's EDP assignments are still active and/or have not been closed by the Capital departments. Past Performance Evaluations (PPE) are required when a department closes the EDP Assignment. According to ISD staff, ISDI has requested that participating capital department Project Managers close out their projects timely and complete the performance evaluations.

Administrative Order 3-42 - *Evaluation and Suspension of Contractors and Consultants*, states that "all contractors and consultants shall be evaluated for their performance at least once on each capital improvements contract or agreement."

Prepared by: Michael Amador-Gil

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Legislative Notes

Agenda Item: 11A10
File Number: 112624
Date of Analysis: January 30, 2012

Summary

This resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to negotiate and present agreements to the Board of County Commissioners for its approval transferring title of the Coconut Grove Playhouse property to the County, and to negotiate agreements to resolve and eliminate all valid liens, claims and encumbrances.

Coconut Grove Playhouse History

On January 15, 1926, ground was broken for a new theater at the corner of Main Highway and Charles Street in Coconut Grove. The theater was a project of Irving J. Thomas Company, which had been in the real estate business in Coconut Grove since 1912.¹

The Thomas Company turned the theater over to Paramount Enterprises, Inc. the moving picture studio and theater builders. The Coconut Grove Theater became the eleventh Paramount Theater to open in southeaster Florida. The building was designed for mixed uses, and included seven storefronts on the ground floor and offices on the second. The third floor contained apartments.

According to the report, the theater opened at perhaps the worst possible time, as the financial climate in South Florida was at an all time low. The theater managed to stay open until the 1930s, after which it closed. The theater served a new purpose during World War II, when it was used as a school to train Air Force navigators.

Following the war, the building was shuttered, and would remain so until 1955, when George Engle purchased the theater for \$200,000 with the intent of creating a legitimate performing arts theater. Finding the theater in an advanced state of disrepair, Engle hired Coconut Grove architect Alfred Browning Parker to refurbish it and decorate it for a more contemporary era. The cost of the alterations was estimated at \$700,000. The theater was renamed the Coconut Grove Playhouse, and the renovated theater reopened on January 3, 1956.

Furthermore, George Engle found the succeeding years disappointing in terms of financial success and attracting audiences. He closed the theater in 1960. After leasing the building for several years, producer Zev Buffman bought the building in March 1966 for more than \$1 million. In 1970, the Playhouse changed ownership again when former actor Eddie Bracken and his associates purchased the building. When Bracken's group failed to pay its debts, the Playhouse was ordered sold at auction on the steps of the county courthouse.

The State of Florida acquired the playhouse in 1980 by purchasing its \$1.5 million mortgage. The State contracted with the Coconut Grove Playhouse, Inc. to operate the theater, and in 2004 transferred the title to the Coconut Grove Playhouse LLC Inc.

Attachment: Legislative History of the Coconut Grove Playhouse 1996-2011

Prepared by: Michael Amador-Gil

¹ Coconut Grove Play House Designation Report by the City of Miami to the Historic and Environmental Preservation Board

BCC Approval Date	Coconut Grove Playhouse Legislative History 1996-2011
April 7, 2011 File No. 102656	An oral presentation was provided by the Director of Cultural Affairs to the Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee. It was noted the County had allocated \$20 million in capital funds for the reconstruction project; Playhouse's Board of Directors offered to convey the property title to the County, and the proposed recovery plan recommended the County to conduct a thorough "due diligence" to ensure the title of the property was clear; and if conveyance could happen, the County would begin the reconstruction project. RCA members inquired whether the County was obligated to pay the building Code violation fines issued by the City of Miami. The Chairman of the RCA requested that the County Attorney's Office review the feasibility of adopting legislation that would exempt a historical landmark building from citations for building Code violations. The Administration was directed to prepare a complete analysis report for review by the RCA Committee reflecting the total construction/renovation costs of the Playhouse to include the following information: 1. the cost of renovating the existing building and bringing it up to Code; 2. the party or parties responsible for the renovations, overrun costs, and operating costs; 3. the funding source; 4. whether the City of Miami would provide funding; 5. whether this was a private/public joint venture; and 6. the projected operating costs including security and taxes if required. The Director of Cultural Affairs advised the existing building would not be demolished; and there were no existing plans to rebuild the old structure. The recommended recovery plan proposed a new 300-400 seat theater be developed on the site adjacent to the existing building for GableStage to operate. The answers to all of the questions asked at the April 7, 2011 RCAC meeting had been provided in writing to the RCAC members prior to this meeting. My response at the Committee meeting outlined this and I can direct you to these answers which are contained in the attached reports as indicated below. All of this information remains current. In response to questions by the Office of the Commission Auditor, Cultural Affairs staff provided the following notes: 1. What is the cost of renovating the existing building and bringing it up to Code: Please refer to the February 22, 2011 memorandum, bullet point 3, "The Coconut Grove Capital Project." 2. Who are party or parties responsible for the renovations, overrun costs, and operating costs? Please refer to page 5 of the October 12, 2010 memorandum under "Implementation of the Capital Project and Returning Great Theater to Coconut Grove." 3. The funding source? Please refer to the February 22, 2011 memorandum, bullet point 2, "Capital Funding Authorized for the Coconut Grove Playhouse." 4. Will the City of Miami provide funding? Please refer to pages 4-5 of the October 12, 2010 memorandum under "The City of Miami" and bullet point 1 of the February 22, 2011 memorandum, "Status of Citations Being Issued by the City of Miami on the Playhouse Property." 5. Is this a private/public joint venture? Please refer to pages 6-7 of the October 12, 2010 memorandum under "GableStage and the Future of Theater in Coconut Grove."

BCC Approval Date	Coconut Grove Playhouse Legislative History 1996-2011
	6. What is the projected operating costs including security and taxes if required Please refer to page 6-7 of the October 12, 2010 memorandum under “GableStage and the Future of Theater in Coconut Grove” (specifically, the last paragraph of this section) and the last bullet point of the February 22, 2011 memorandum, “Operational Expenses for the New Coconut Grove Playhouse.”
April 4, 2011 File No. 102656	The Board of County Commissioners accepted a report (File No. 102656) titled <i>Coconut Grove Playhouse: Recommended Course of Action</i>. <i>On June 3, 2010, the BCC had requested a recommended course of action for the Playhouse.</i>
February 22, 2011	Memo from the County Manager to the Chairman and members of the Recreational and Cultural Affairs Committee. During the February 7, 2010, Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee meeting, staff was directed to address issues below regarding the Coconut Grove Playhouse. <i>Status of Citations issued by the City of Miami; Capital Funding Authorized for the Coconut Grove Playhouse; The Coconut Grove Playhouse Capital project; Historic Status of the Existing Coconut Grove Playhouse; and Operation Expenses for the New Coconut Grove Playhouse</i>
October 12, 2010	A memo from the County Manager to the Board of County Commissioners <i>recommended a course of action for the reconstruction, management and operation of the Coconut Grove Playhouse</i>. The report acknowledged the fact that a recovery plan for the Playhouse had been developed by the Department of Cultural Affairs and distributed to and supported by the Board.
September 9, 2010	A letter from the Coconut Grove Playhouse to the Mayor and County Commissioners provided the several progress steps; and requested that the County accept a conveyance of the Playhouse property. The letter mentioned that the transfer, which would take place as soon as is practicable, would be subject to funding of the approximate \$20 million in existing designated funds for the development of a theater with approximately 250 to 300 seats; assuming the Operating Agreement currently in place with GableStage; and maintaining the use restriction on the property currently in place.
December 22, 2009	A memo from the County Manager to the Board of County Commissioners provided an update on the work to help the Playhouse return as a regional theater. <i>Gablestage will manage and operate the theater, providing professional regional theater and theater education program.</i> <i>The Playhouse board retains responsibility of addressing the debt that accumulated before the theater’s closing.</i>
June 3, 2010 R-627-10	This resolution directed the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to recommend the most effective course of action to accomplish the goals of the plan for the reconstruction, management and operation of the Playhouse as soon as possible.
February 11, 2008 File No. 080293	An oral report was provided to the Intergovernmental Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee by the Chairwoman of the Board, Coconut Grove Playhouse. During the oral report, the Chairwoman noted that the Playhouse had been closed for approximately two years, during which time research and planning consultants as well as a professional team of attorneys, public relations people and CPA’s were hired with the support of the BCC and the Department of

BCC Approval Date	Coconut Grove Playhouse Legislative History 1996-2011
	Cultural Affairs. Over the last year and a half, the Chairwoman noted employees were paid, including salaries, vacation and severance pay. Additionally, the utilities had been paid up to date, that the building was now secure and insured, and that a payment in the amount of \$125,000 had been made to the State of Florida ahead of schedule, which meant that when the Playhouse reopens, it would be eligible for State funding again. The Playhouse worked, in conjunction with the City of Miami, and had accepted a historical designation and was pleased to be recognized as a historical site within the City of Miami.
April 9, 2007 File No. 071019	<i>Status report on the Coconut Grove Playhouse</i> Report indicated that the BCC approved a \$150,000 allocation to the Coconut Grove Playhouse (See File No. 062314) to assist the Playhouse in securing management consultants to help develop a recovery plan for the theater; the report also provided an update on the progress being made and steps made by the Department of Cultural Affairs to complete the recovery plan; and accounted for the above-referenced allocation and additional resources used to support this effort.
June 2006	<i>The Playhouse ceased to operate.</i>
May 17, 2006 File No. 061397	An oral report was provided to the Intergovernmental Recreation and Cultural Affairs Committee by the Chairwoman of the Board, Coconut Grove Playhouse. During the oral report, the Chairwoman noted there was a vision for the Playhouse to proceed, however there was an approximately \$4 million dollar deficit. Furthermore, the Chairwoman stated in an effort to address the financial problems, a law firm had been engaged to assist with an out of court settlement and to work with the creditors, and a forensic auditor had also been engaged to review the records. She noted the auditor would provide a preliminary report regarding the financial status of the Playhouse. During the presentation, committee members requested that the County Administration receive a copy of the audit report which would be prepared by the forensic auditor engaged by the Coconut Grove Playhouse; and staff determined whether some of the funds identified for maintaining the building could be redirected towards hiring maintenance staff for the Playhouse.
January 24, 2006 R-84-06	This resolution approved the funding of forty-nine (49) grants for a total of \$435,509, from the FY2005-2006 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$13,500.</i>
November 30, 2004 R-1400-04	This resolution approved the funding of forty-four (44) grants for a total of \$425,425, from the FY2004-2005 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$15,000.</i>
November 4, 2003 R-1251-03	This resolution approved the funding of forty-seven (47) grants for a total of \$401,340, from the FY2003-2004 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.

BCC Approval Date	Coconut Grove Playhouse Legislative History 1996-2011
	<i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$12,000.</i>
October 22, 2002 R-1210-02	This resolution approved the funding of thirty-eight (38) grants for a total of \$316,300, from the FY2002-2003 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$14,000.</i>
March 26, 2002 R-313-02	This resolution approved the funding of twenty-nine (29) grants for a total of \$276,900, from the Tourist Development Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$16,000.</i>
February 13, 2001 R-148-01	This resolution approved the funding of 25 grants totaling \$365,000 from the Department of Cultural Affairs' FY 2000-2001 Capital Development Grants Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$15,000.</i>
January 23, 2001 R-58-01	This resolution approved the funding of thirty-six (36) grants for a total of \$344,575 from the FY2000-01 First Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$18,000</i>
November 14, 2000 R-1199-00	This resolution approved the funding of twenty (20) grants for a total of \$2,850,000 from the Cultural Affairs Council's FY2000-2001 Major Cultural Institutions Grants Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$243,472.</i>
March 21, 2000 R-298-00	This resolution approved the funding of eighteen (18) grants for a total of \$2,000,000 from the Cultural Affairs Council's FY1999-2000 Major Cultural Institutions Grants Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$177,071.</i>
February 8, 2000 R-132-00	This resolution approved the funding of twenty-four (24) grants for a total of \$292,061 from the FY1999-00 Second Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$20,000.</i>
December 7, 1999 R-1311-99	This resolution approved the funding of nineteen (19) grants for a total of \$365,000.00 from the Department of Cultural Affairs' 1999-2000 Capital Development Grants Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$29,467.</i>
September 9, 1999 R-997-99	Among the allocations, this resolution approved the allocation of <i>\$8,000 to the Coconut Grove Playhouse</i> from unexpended monies in the FY 98-99 Office Fund of District 4.
April 13, 1999 R-393-99	This resolution converts the remaining loan balance into a grant received. (1) the remaining balance on the loan to the Coconut Grove Playhouse in the amount of \$278,000 be converted into a grant received; and (2) The Loan Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Coconut Grove Playhouse shall be converted into a Grant Agreement which shall remain in full force and effect until July 1, 2000,

BCC Approval Date	Coconut Grove Playhouse Legislative History 1996-2011
	with the exception of the obligation to repay the remaining balance on the loan. The County Manager was directed to amend the Loan Agreement. Background On July 28, 1994, the BCC authorized an interest free loan from the Countywide Contingency Reserve to the Coconut Grove Playhouse in the amount of \$350,000 "Loan Agreement."
November 18, 1997 R1410-97	This resolution approved the funding of forty-four (44) grants for a total of \$387,922 from the FY 1997-98 Tourist Development Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$18,000.</i>
November 18, 1997 R-1348-97	This resolution approved twenty-two (22) grants totaling \$350,000 from the Cultural Affairs Council's FY1997-98 Capital Development Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$25,000.</i>
November 18, 1997 R-1402-97	This resolution approved extending a deadline for repayment of the July 1994 Coconut Grove Playhouse loan until July 1, 2000 under the following terms: 1. In each of the three twelve month periods (July 1 - June 30) of this extension, the Coconut Grove Playhouse will remit a minimum of \$25,000 to the County for a reduction of the outstanding debt. 2. For the term of the loan, the Playhouse will remit at least \$1,000 monthly to the County for reduction of the outstanding debt. 3. County grant funds awarded to the Playhouse can be applied toward the \$25,000 annual repayment requirement. 4. The Coconut Grove Playhouse will provide a written report detailing its financial condition to the County 90 days before the expiration of the loan. <i>The BCC had originally approved a \$350,000 interest-free loan to the coconut Grove Playhouse to assist its finances until it received an anticipated state allocation in the same amount.</i>
January 14, 1997 R-29-97	The BCC approved the funding of twenty-one (21) grants for a total of \$350,000.00 from the Cultural Affairs Council's 1996-97 Capital Development Grants Program. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$5,000.</i>
November 12, 1996 R-1280-96	The BCC approved the funding of thirty-two (32) grants for a total of \$345,516 from the FY 1996-97 Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/ promotions. <i>Funding for the Coconut Grove Playhouse totaled \$10,000.</i>