



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners

June 5, 2012
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners
Meeting Agenda**

June 5, 2012

Item Number(s)

4B
5B
5C
8F4
11A2
11A34
11A35

Acknowledgements:

Bia Marsellos, Senior Legislative Analyst

Michael Amador-Gil, Senior Legislative Analyst

Elizabeth Owens, Legislative Analyst

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR



Research Notes

Agenda Item: 4B – Local Preference in the Purchase of Goods and Services

File Number: 121040

Date of Analysis: May 31, 2012

Summary

This ordinance related to Local Preference in the Purchase of Goods and Services amends Section 2-8.5 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code), creating additional preference for locally-headquartered business.

Comparison of Miami-Dade County Current Local Preference Code and Proposed Amendments

<u>Section of Code</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed Amendments</u>	<u>Comments on Proposed Amendments</u>
2-8.5(1)(c) Definition of Local Business	Local business means the vendor has a valid occupational license issued by Miami-Dade at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission to do business with Miami-Dade County that authorizes the business to provide the goods, services or construction to be purchased and a physical business address located within the limits of Miami-Dade County from which the vendor operates or performs business.	Local business means the vendor has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission, and a physical business address located within the limits of Miami-Dade County from which the vendor operates or performs business. Firms who provide goods or services which are exempt from Miami-Dade Business Tax Receipt requirements shall be required to submit documentation, to the County's satisfaction, demonstrating the physical business presence of the firm within the limits of Miami-Dade County for at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission.	Defines a local business as a vendor with a valid business tax receipt and not with a valid occupational license. Provides requirements for firms exempt from business tax receipts. The proposed amendment is in bold.
2-8.5(1)(c) Mis-representation	A vendor who misrepresents the Local Preference status of its firm in a proposal or bid submitted to the County will lose the privilege to claim local preference status for a period of up to one year.	A vendor who misrepresents the status of its firm under this Section in a proposal or bid submitted to the County will lose the privilege to claim any preference under this Section for a period of up to one year.	Changes penalty provision for misrepresentation to vendor losing privilege to claim any preference under this section of the Code. Currently vendor only loses privilege to claim local preference status. The proposed amendment is in bold.
2-8.5(1)(d)	N/A	Locally-Headquartered Business means	Current Code does not

Locally-Headquartered Business		a Local Business as defined in this Section which has a Principal Place of Business in Miami Dade County	<i>provide a definition for Locally-Headquartered Business.</i>
2-8.5(1)(e) Principal Place of Business	N/A	<i>Principal Place of Business</i> means the nerve center or the center of overall direction, control, and coordination of the activities of the bidder. If the bidder has only one business location, such business location shall be its principal place of business.	<i>Current Code does not provide a definition for Principal Place of Business.</i>
2-8.5(2)(a) Competitive Bid	When a responsive, responsible non-local business submits the lowest price bid, and the bid submitted by one or more responsive, responsible local businesses is within ten percent of the price submitted by the non-local business, then that non-local business and each of the aforementioned local businesses shall have the opportunity to submit, a best and final bid equal to or lower than the amount of the low bid previously submitted by the non-local business. Contract award shall be made to the responsible, responsible business submitting the lowest best and final bid. In the case of a tie in the best and final bid between a local business and a non-local business, contract award shall be made to the local business.	In any competitive bid process where award, if any, is to be made to the responsive and responsible bidder offering the lowest bid (the "Low Bidder" and "Low Bid" respectively), the following shall apply: 1. If the Low Bidder is a not Local Business, then any and all responsive and responsible Local Businesses submitting a price within ten percent of the Low Bid, the Low Bidder, and any and all responsive and responsible Locally-Headquartered Businesses submitting a price within fifteen percent of the Low Bid, shall have an opportunity to submit a best and final bid equal to or lower than the Low Bid. 2. If the Low Bidder is a Local Business which is not a Locally-Headquartered Business, then any and all responsive and responsible Locally Headquartered Businesses submitting a price within five percent of the Low Bid, and the Low Bidder shall have an opportunity to submit a best and final bid equal to or lower than the Low Bid. 3. Award, if any, shall be made to the responsive and responsible bidder offering the lowest best and final bid. 4. Ties in best and final bid shall be resolved in the following order of priority: Locally Headquartered Business, Local Business, other business. 5. If no best and final bid is required in accordance with the provisions	<i>The proposed amendments will replace the current Code, providing a new process.</i>

		<p>above, award, if any, shall be made to the Low Bidder.</p> <p>In revenue producing contract, where award, if any, is to be made to the bidder returning the highest amount to the County, the same preferences set forth above shall be applied by reference to the highest bid.</p>	
<p>2-8.5(2)(b)</p> <p>Other Solicitation Processes</p>	<p>Request for proposals, qualifications or other submittals and competitive negotiation and selection. If, following completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the selection committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer, and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, then the highest ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the County under the applicable sections of this Code.</p>	<p>Request for proposals, qualifications or other qualitative submittals and competitive negotiation and selection. If, following completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the selection committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer, and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, then the highest ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations or advance to the next step in the solicitation process with the County under the applicable sections of this Code.</p>	<p><i>The proposed amendment is in bold.</i></p>
<p>2-8.5(2)</p> <p>Tie Between 2 or More Businesses</p>	<p>If a tie occurs between two (2) or more local businesses, then contract award on the basis of best and final bids (paragraph (a) above, or the opportunity to proceed to negotiations (paragraph (b) above), shall be made to such local business having the greatest number of employees that are Miami-Dade County residents.</p>	<p>If following the application of the rules above, a tie occurs between two (2) or more businesses, then contract award on the basis of best and final bids (paragraph (a) above, or the opportunity to proceed to negotiations or advance to the next step in the solicitation process (paragraph (b) above), shall be made to such local business having the greatest number of employees that are Miami-Dade County residents.</p>	<p><i>The proposed amendment is in bold.</i></p>
<p>Misc.</p>	<p><i>In addition, the proposed ordinance provides for housekeeping amendments, i.e. correcting typos and changing the County Manager to the County Mayor.</i></p>		

Comparison with Broward County

Broward County mirrors Miami-Dade County's current local preference procedures for competitive bid solicitations and for other competitive solicitations (*Broward County Code Section 1-75*). One exception is that the Broward County Code specifies that under a competitive bid solicitation, when a responsive, responsible non-local business submits the lowest price bid, and the bid submitted by one or more responsive, responsible local businesses is within ten percent (10%) of the price submitted by the non-local business, then that non-local business and each of the aforementioned responsive, responsible local businesses shall have the opportunity to submit, **within five (5) working days** of notice of intent to award, a best and final bid equal to or lower than the amount of the low bid previously submitted by the non-local business. Miami-Dade County Code does not specify the number of days.

Comparison of Broward County and Miami-Dade County Reciprocity Code	
<u>Miami-Dade County Code</u> <i>Section 2-8.5(6)</i>	<u>Broward County Code</u> <i>Section 1-78</i>
In the event Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County extends preferences to local businesses, Miami-Dade County may enter into an interlocal agreement with such County wherein the preferences of this section may be extended and made available to vendors that have a valid occupational license issued by Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County to do business in that County that authorizes the vendor to provide the goods, services, or construction to be purchased, and a physical business address located within the limits of that County. Post Office Boxes are not verifiable and shall not be used for the purpose of establishing said physical address. In addition to the foregoing, a vendor shall not be considered a "local business" unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County, whichever is applicable, in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to, the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to that County's tax base. Vendors shall affirm in writing their compliance with the foregoing at the time of submitting their bid or proposal to be eligible for consideration as a "local business" under this section. In no event shall the amount of the preference accorded Broward, Palm Beach or Monroe County firms exceed the amount of preference that such County extends to Miami-Dade County firms competing for its contracts.	Should Miami-Dade, Palm Beach, Monroe, Martin, or any other South Florida County extend preferences similar to those set forth herein to vendors whose business locations are within the geographic boundaries of Broward County (substantially as described in Section 1-74(c)), the Broward County Board of County Commissioners may enter into interlocal agreement with such county, wherein the preferences set forth herein shall be extended and made available to vendors whose business location is within the geographic boundaries of such county. In no event shall the amount of the preference accorded by Broward County to non-Broward County vendors exceed the amount of preference that the vendor's home county extends to Broward County vendors competing for its contracts.

Additional Information

Recent Legislation Regarding Local Preference		
<u>Date</u>	<u>Legislation</u>	<u>Status</u>
2/14/12 <i>Item No. 112667</i>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL BUSINESSES IN COUNTY CONTRACTING; AMENDING THE DEFINITION OF LOCAL BUSINESS; CLARIFYING THE REQUIREMENT FOR A PHYSICAL BUSINESS ADDRESS WITHIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; REQUIRING THE OWNERSHIP OR LEASE OF PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE COUNTY WHICH MUST HAVE SERVED AS THE PRIMARY PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT FOR AT LEAST ONE FULL TIME EMPLOYEE OF THE VENDOR FOR THE PERIOD OF ONE YEAR PRIOR TO BID OR PROPOSAL SUBMISSION; PROVIDING FOR INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT; CLARIFYING THE APPLICATION OF THE LOCAL PREFERENCE TO JOINT VENTURES; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTING ORDER; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	Deferred in Committee to No Date Certain

5/8/12 <i>Item No.</i> <i>120295</i>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO PREFERENCE TO LOCAL BUSINESS IN COUNTY CONTRACTING; PROVIDING THAT REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS AND QUALIFICATIONS OR OTHER RANKING EVALUATIONS THAT CREATE OR MAINTAIN EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY SHALL BE AWARDED UP TO FIVE PERCENTAGE POINTS IN THE EVALUATION; CONFORMING INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS DURING THEIR TERMS; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.5 OF THE CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	Withdrawn in Committee
------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------

Prepared by: Elizabeth N. Owens

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR**



Research Notes

Agenda Item: 5B, 5C, 8F4, 11A2, 11A34 and 11A35 (General Obligation Bond)

File Number: 120882, 120881, 120982, 120895, 121008 and 121052

Date of Analysis: May 29, 2012

Summary

BCC Agenda No.	5B 120882 Tree Island Park & Preserve	5C 120881 Royal Colonial Park	8F4 120982 Gran Via Apartments	11A2 120895 Miami Lakes-Mini Police Station	11A34 121008 Housing Solutions for Florida, Inc.	11A35 121052 7 th Avenue Transit Village
Sponsor	Chairman Martinez	Commissioner Moss	Chairman Martinez	Commissioner Bovo	Commissioner Heyman	Vice-Chairwoman Edmonson
BBC-GOB Project	43	107	249	197	249	249 & 333.1
BCC District & Location	District 11 Between S.W. 147 Avenue and S.W. 149 Avenue and between S.W. 10 Street and S.W. 22 Street, Miami-Dade County	District 9 Between S.W. 147 Avenue and S.W. 149 Avenue, on the south side of S.W. 280 Street, Miami-Dade County	District 11 S.W. 127 th Avenue and S.W. 8 th Street, Miami, Florida 33184	District 13 15700 NW 67 AVE Miami Lakes, Florida 33014 ¹	District 4 Vicinity of West Dixie Highway and N.E. 195 th Street	District 3 Intersection of N.W. 62 nd Street and N.W. 7 th Avenue
Project Description	Tree Island Park and Preserve will be classified as a community park with active and passive recreational features. The revised General Plan proposes to develop 50 acres of the site for active recreation and preserve 70 acres for passive recreation.	Planned amenities include: * Community Center * Restroom/Concession/Storage Building * Up to two (2) basketball courts * Up to four (4) tennis courts * Up to two (2) playgrounds with canopy shade structures * Up to three (3) picnic shelters * Multi-Purpose Lighted Athletic Fields * Landscaping * Walkways * Up to two (2) park signs * Up to two (2) parking lots	Awards Construction Contract No. Z000107 between Siltek Group, Inc. and Miami-Dade County, in an amount not to exceed \$9,720,950. The project consists of a multi-story affordable residential and retail building. The residential component consists of 104 units, comprised of 12 one-bedroom units, 12 studio units, and 80 one-bedroom units.	Construction of a mini Police Station for the Town of Miami Lakes Police Department.	Construction of seventy-two (72) affordable rental townhomes and mid-rise apartment units, which will be LEED certified.	Construction and development of the affordable housing portion of a comprehensive, mixed use, transit oriented development project that includes, in addition to affordable housing, retail, office space, parking facilities, theatre, bus bays and a transit support facility that features convenient access to public transportation.
Funding Information	The development of the Park and Preserve is funded in the FY2011-12 Adopted Budget	The development of the Park is funded in the FY2011-12 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year	\$9,866,765 – BBC-GOB Program. This capital project was approved in the FY	(1) Accelerates funding for the construction of the Miami Lakes mini police station project and add BBC- GOB	The proposed resolution assist the Developer in securing additional financing for the Project by amending the Allocation	(1) <i>Affordable Housing Agreement</i> : the construction and permanent lender has requested that the County agree to additional terms in

¹ Capital Improvements Information System (CIIS)

BCC Agenda No.	5B 120882 Tree Island Park & Preserve	5C 120881 Royal Colonial Park	8F4 120982 Gran Via Apartments	11A2 120895 Miami Lakes-Mini Police Station	11A34 121008 Housing Solutions for Florida, Inc.	11A35 121052 7 th Avenue Transit Village
	<p>and Multi-Year Capital Plan, with an allocation of \$5.0 million of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program proceeds, listed as GOB Project No. 43.</p> <p>To date \$584,754 of GOB funds have been expended on or committed to planning and design for this project, from BBC-GOB Series 2005A, 2008B, 2008B-1 and 2011A. The balance of the BBC-GOB allocation (\$4,415,243) is programmed as BBC-GOB Future Financing in FY2011-12 and Future (beyond FY2016-17).</p>	<p>Capital Plan, with an allocation of \$1.4 million of BBC-GOB Program proceeds, and listed as GOB Project No. 107.</p> <p>To date \$28,181 of GOB funds have been expended on planning and design for this project, from BBC-GOB Series 2008B-1. The balance of the BBC-GOB allocation (\$1,371,819) is programmed in FY2016-17.</p>	<p>2011-12 Adopted Capital Budget, All Years' Funding, Book Page 277.</p>	<p>Program Project No. 197 to Exhibit A to R-134-11 as a project to be funded from the Series 2011A BBC-GOB Program bond proceeds.</p> <p>(2) Amends the FY 2011-12 capital budget in order to remove \$300,000 from the FY 2011-12 appropriation of Series 2011A BBC-GOB Program bond proceeds from BBC GOB Project No. 45 – “Amelia Earhart Park” and appropriate same to BBC -GOB Project No. 197 - “Miami Lakes – Construct Mini Police Station” and to direct the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to execute the standard BBC-GOB Interlocal Agreement with Miami Lakes for Project No. 197 in the amount of \$300,000; and</p> <p>3) Adopts a policy whereby BBC-GOB Project No. 197 be deemed a priority project and funded from BBC-GOB Program bond proceeds in FY 2012-13 in the amount of \$200,000,</p>	<p>Resolution (R-731-10) to provide that the recipient of the Grant may be Affordable Housing Solutions For Florida, Inc., a not-for-profit Florida corporation, instead of the developer, Waterford I Associates, Ltd, provided the developer remains responsible for the development of the Project.</p> <p>See additional information on this item below.</p>	<p>the Affordable Housing Agreements pertaining to notice and liens. The BCC wishes to approve the Affordable Housing Agreements with such changes requested by the lender after negotiations; and</p> <p>(2) <i>Cultural Grant Agreement</i>: the construction lender has also requested that the County contract for the full amount of the Cultural Grant and provide a covenant in the Development and Grant Agreement between the County and the Grantee with respect to the Cultural Grant (“Cultural Grant Agreement”) to annually budget and appropriate the amount necessary to fund each Fiscal Year’s Cultural Grant allocation solely from available Bond Funds until the Cultural Grant is fully funded.</p> <p><i>It is anticipated that the County shall have sufficient Building Better Communities General Obligation commercial paper/bond proceeds (“Bond Funds”) available to fund the total Cultural Grant by allocating \$500,000 in Fiscal Year 2011-12, \$2,400,000 in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and 2,100,000 in Fiscal Year 2013-14</i></p>

Background on the Waterford I Associates Affordable Housing Project

On April 4, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), through R-237-11, approved the Development and Grant Agreement and accompanying Rental Regulatory Agreement between the County and Waterford I Associates, Ltd.

Waterford will consist of 8 affordable rental townhomes and 64 mid-rise apartment residences.

Pursuant to the Regulatory Agreement in R-237-11, the Developer will, among other provisions, develop seventy-two (72) affordable rental units to be leased to individuals, four (4) of which will be rented to families whose income is equal to or less than 30% of the annual area median income; 61 of which will be rented to families whose income is equal to or less than 60% of the annual area median income; and 7 of which will be rented to families whose income is equal to or less than 140% of the annual area median income all adjusted for family size established by HUD (the "AMI").

On November 17, 2009, the BCC, through R-1336-09, approved the allocation of \$10,592,307 from the \$137.7 million allocated for BBC Program Project No. 249 to fund the development of multifamily rental housing on privately owned land in District 4 through a grant pursuant to the negotiations, subject to BCC approval of the recommended proposer and all necessary agreements.

On July 8, 2010, the BCC approved R-731-10, allocating \$10,529,307 of District 4 GOB Funds from Project No. 249 to Waterford I Associates, Ltd. and authorizing staff to negotiate an agreement with Waterford I Associates, Ltd, the highest ranked applicant resulting from an evaluation/negotiation procedure approved by the BCC through R-1336-09. Resolution 731-10 indicated that negotiations should include further review of the funding availability, a responsibility review, additional due diligence, and review of the underwriting capabilities.

According to the proposed resolution (File No. 121008), the County received a favorable underwriting report.

BBC-GOB Legislative Actions

On July 11, 2010, the County Manager issued a memorandum on the BBC-GOB Bond Program Project List. The Memorandum provided three scenarios that illustrated the choices for the BBC Bond sales in the next two (2) fiscal years. In every scenario, the upcoming two sales are followed by a gap of nearly 24 months in which the County will not sell new bonds.

Furthermore, the Memorandum stated that uncertainty surrounding the County's millage rates and property-tax roll have made useful projections almost impossible. The list of projects for the next two (2) bond sales was developed by the Office of Capital improvements and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in consultation with the County Executive Office, user departments and external stakeholders.

On December 23, 2010, the County Manager issued a Memorandum outlining the BBC Bond Program for the next 45 months and recommended the implementation of a commercial paper program in order to provide an additional financing vehicle to complete a list of projects.

Subsequently, on March 1, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), through R-134-11, authorized the issuance of up to \$200 million in BBC Bonds for Series 2011A to be used to pay for various capital projects in the BBC Bond Program Projects List identified in the December 23, 2010 County Manager Memorandum.

On July 7, 2011, the BCC, through Ordinance 11-38, approved the issuance not to exceed, at any one time, \$400 million of Building Better Communities Commercial Paper Notes (CP Notes) in anticipation of the issuance of Miami-Dade County Building Better Communities Program General Obligation Bonds.

The implementation of a Commercial Paper Program (CP Program) by issuing the CP Notes provides a means of needed financing to meet the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program's (BBC Program's) undertaking of eligible community projects in anticipation of the issuance of additional BBC Bonds.

According to OMB staff, creating a Commercial Paper Program for the BBC Program permits the County to more quickly respond to the pace of construction and changing financial market conditions, ultimately allowing more construction to take place while prices are favorable and minimizing the cost of financing.

Commercial Paper is similar to a line of credit:

- Commercial Paper proceeds are only used when there is a need for money, compared to bond proceeds that we receive all at once;
- The cost to use money from Commercial Paper is generally less expensive in the short-term than from issuing a bond; and
- Eventually Commercial Paper borrowings are replaced with long-term financing (i.e. a bond) or repaid from other sources.

Information for First Budget Hearing-FY 2011-12 Proposed Budget

A memo, dated, September 8, 2011, from the Mayor to the BCC states that as a result of the lowering of the proposed debt service millage rate to 0.285 or 36 percent from FY 2010-11 actual millage from 0.445, a number of capital projects anticipated to be funded with *Series 2011 A* bond proceeds and/or within the next 45 months will be impacted.

Information for Second Budget Hearing on BBC-GOB Projects

A memo, dated, September 22, 2011, from the Mayor to the BCC states that the appropriation schedule for BBC-GOB municipal projects was updated to reflect expenditures that were expected to be incurred in FY 2010-11 but are now anticipated to occur in FY 2011-12.

These adjustments did not increase the overall appropriation of the projects. In March 2011, the BCC adopted R-134-11, which listed the projects eligible for funding from the Series 2011 Bonds. That project list assumed a 0.445 millage rate in FY 2011-12, as well as a 45 month financing plan for the BBC-GOB Program, with funding for many projects timed to cash flow needs.

Recent Correspondence from the Administration to the Board of County Commissioners	
10/21/11 Memo	A memo, dated, October 21, 2011, from the Mayor to the BCC states that pursuant to a request by the BCC at the October 4, 2011, BCC meeting, regarding the BBC-GOB project list proposed for FY 2011-12, the Mayor provided an updated project list from the version received by the BCC on September 20, 2011, to reflect the adopted changes during the second budget hearing. According to the memo, all BBC-GOB projects remain in the multi-year capital plan as presented in the Capital Budget schedules. <i>The memo further states that the Mayor, per BCC directive, given during the Second Budget Hearing, will not execute any new BBC-GOB contracts until the BCC has reached consensus on the final list of BBC-GOB project.</i>
12/12/11 Memo	A memo, dated, December 12, 2011, from the Mayor to the BCC states that <i>pursuant to a request by the BCC members for additional information regarding</i> the relative readiness of priority projects currently scheduled in future years as part of the BBC-GOB Program, as well as, updated information regarding projects for which funding has been appropriated in the current year, the Mayor <i>provided a list of BCC-GOB projects for each district, and requested that each commissioner send a priority projects list to the Deputy Mayor no later than Friday, December 16, 2011.</i>
01/20/12 Report	A report, dated January 20, 2012, from the Mayor to the BCC provides a list of BBC-GOB projects which are in jeopardy of losing matching funds should BBC-GOB funding not be available. The report shows the department, project title and number, source of grant funds and deadlines for obligation of those funds and timing of BBC-GOB funding allocation.
02/6/12 Report	A report, dated February 6, 2012, from the Mayor to the BCC, provides an update on the BBC-GOB Program for the period from July 1, 2011 through December 30, 2011. The report combines the 4 th quarter of FY 2010-11 and the 1 st quarter of FY 2011-12. The report highlights the following accomplishments during the reported period: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$851.8 million has been spent on all bond series (Series 2005A, Seaport Tunnel, Series 2008/B1, Marlins Ballpark and Series 2011A); • \$72.6 million has been spent during the reporting period; and • \$71.6 million of completed project sites were closed during the reporting period.
02/10/12	A memo, dated, February 10, 2012, from the Mayor to the BCC states that at the December 6, 2011 BCC meeting, following the discussion of the BBC-GOB program, it was agreed that each Commissioner would submit a list of priority projects to the

Recent Correspondence from the Administration to the Board of County Commissioners	
Memo	Administration. The memo <i>details all the projects in each District, with the priority projects highlighted; shows realistic cash flows reflecting when funding is expected to be required; and shows the effect these changes would have on the millage rate with the assumed cash flows.</i>
2/21/12 BCC Discussion Item	during the BCC discussion item 6B1, regarding the BBC-GOB projects list, the following was stated by staff: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is \$24.9 million worth of projects that have not been executed due to the BCC's directive not to execute new BBC-GOB contracts. <i>The projects areas include: Parks and Recreation; Public Works and Waste Management; municipal projects; and Community Based Organizations.</i> • <i>Further discussion was deferred to the March 6, 2012 BCC meeting.</i> On March 6, 2012, the BCC instructed staff to move forward with General Obligation Bond projects with contracts on hold pursuant to the BCC's decision at the last Budget Hearing; and to proceed with the contracts and projects with no changes for this year.
03/01/12 Memo	A memo, dated, March 1, 2012, from the Mayor to the BCC detailed BBC-GOB funding in prior years states that all have <i>funding in place to cover grants and no further action is required by the BCC to ensure matching funds are in place.</i>

Prepared by: Michael Amador-Gil