



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

June 3, 2014

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																
4A 141209	ORDINANCE AMENDING CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND CODE OF ETHICS ORDINANCE; AMENDING SECTION 2-11.1 (T) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING EXCEPTION FOR NEGOTIATION MEETINGS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance to provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Amending Section 2-11.1 (T) of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), andAmending exception for negotiation meetings. <p>In 2011 the Florida Legislature adopted CS/HB 7223 which amended Florida’s Sunshine Laws to exempt competitive contract negotiation meeting from the public meeting requirement. For the Sunshine exemption to apply the entire negotiation meeting must be recorded and no portion of the meeting may be held off of the record. Such recording is confidential and exempt from public records laws until the contract is awarded or thirty (30) days have passed since the proposals have been opened.</p> <p>Miami-Dade County’s Cone of Silence requires that negotiation meetings be held “during any duly noticed public meeting”. The proposed ordinance removes this prohibition from the Cone of Silence subject to recording the negotiation meeting, to be consistent with the 2011 change in State Law and policy.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 2-11.1. Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1(t)(1)(c)(i) Cone of Silence, Exceptions</td><td>The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences, oral presentations before selection committees, contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting, public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communications in writing at any time with any county employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.</td><td>The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences and oral presentations before selection committees during any duly noticed public meeting, recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113, public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communication in writing at any time with any County employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.</td><td>According to the County Attorney’s Office, Miami-Dade County’s Cone of Silence applies to all solicitations set forth in Section 2-11.1(t) of the Code and this proposed amendment would exempt, subject to compliance with the provisions therein and state law, the application of the Cone to all contract negotiations that would otherwise be covered by the Cone for such purposes.</td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1(t)(2)(c) Cone of Silence, Audit and IPSIG Contracts</td><td>Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer: (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings; (ii) from engaging in contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from the County or responding to the County’s request for clarification or additional information, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.</td><td>Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer : (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings ; (ii) from engaging in recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.</td><td></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 2-11.1. Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 2-11.1(t)(1)(c)(i) Cone of Silence, Exceptions	The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences, oral presentations before selection committees, contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting, public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communications in writing at any time with any county employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.	The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences and oral presentations before selection committees during any duly noticed public meeting, recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113 , public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communication in writing at any time with any County employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.	According to the County Attorney’s Office, Miami-Dade County’s Cone of Silence applies to all solicitations set forth in Section 2-11.1(t) of the Code and this proposed amendment would exempt, subject to compliance with the provisions therein and state law, the application of the Cone to all contract negotiations that would otherwise be covered by the Cone for such purposes.	Sec. 2-11.1(t)(2)(c) Cone of Silence, Audit and IPSIG Contracts	Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer: (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings; (ii) from engaging in contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting ; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from the County or responding to the County’s request for clarification or additional information, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.	Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer : (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings ; (ii) from engaging in recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113 ; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.	
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments Section 2-11.1. Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance.																	
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes														
Sec. 2-11.1(t)(1)(c)(i) Cone of Silence, Exceptions	The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences, oral presentations before selection committees, contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting, public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communications in writing at any time with any county employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.	The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to oral communications at pre-bid conferences and oral presentations before selection committees during any duly noticed public meeting, recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113 , public presentations made to the Board of County Commissioners during any duly noticed public meeting or communication in writing at any time with any County employee, official or member of the Board of County Commissioners unless specifically prohibited by the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to any person upon request.	According to the County Attorney’s Office, Miami-Dade County’s Cone of Silence applies to all solicitations set forth in Section 2-11.1(t) of the Code and this proposed amendment would exempt, subject to compliance with the provisions therein and state law, the application of the Cone to all contract negotiations that would otherwise be covered by the Cone for such purposes.														
Sec. 2-11.1(t)(2)(c) Cone of Silence, Audit and IPSIG Contracts	Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer: (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings; (ii) from engaging in contract negotiations during any duly noticed public meeting ; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from the County or responding to the County’s request for clarification or additional information, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.	Nothing contained herein shall prohibit any bidder or proposer : (i) from making public presentations at duly noticed pre-bid conferences or before duly noticed selection committee meetings ; (ii) from engaging in recorded contract negotiations in compliance with the exemption in Florida Statutes Section 286.0113 ; or (iii) from communicating in writing with any County employee or official for purposes of seeking clarification or additional information from, subject to the provisions of the applicable RFP, RFQ or bid documents. The bidder or proposer shall file a copy of any written communication with the Clerk of the Board. The Clerk of the Board shall make copies available to the general public upon request.															
4B 141211	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND COUNTY BOARDS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO REQUIRE THAT IN ALL AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO PLANNING, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE A STATEMENT BE INCLUDED THAT THE IMPACT OF SEA LEVEL RISE HAS BEEN CONSIDERED; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	The proposed ordinance relating to the Rules of Procedure of the Board of County Commissioners and County Boards, amends Section 2-1 of																

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<p>the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to require that in all agenda items related to planning, design and construction of county infrastructure a statement be included that the impact of sea level rise has been considered.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On July 2, 2013, under Resolution No. 599-13, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) created the Miami-Dade Sea Level Rise Task Force.On May 6, 2014, under Resolution No. 451-14, the BCC adopted a policy that all County infrastructure projects, including but not limited to County building elevation projects, County installation of mechanical and electrical systems, County infrastructure modifications and County infrastructure renovations will consider sea level rise projections and potential impacts as best estimated at the time of the project, using the regionally consistent unified sea level rise projections, during all project phases including but not limited to planning, design, and construction, in order to ensure that infrastructure projects will function properly for fifty (50) years or the design life of the project, whichever is greater. <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Bold refers to proposed amendments.</th></tr><tr><td>Rule 5.09 <i>Statement of Consideration of Impact of Sea Level Rise</i></td><td>N/A</td><td>For all agenda items brought to the Board that relate to the planning, design and/or construction of County infrastructure projects, including but not limited to, County building elevation projects, County installation of mechanical and electrical systems, County infrastructure modifications and County infrastructure renovations, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee shall include a statement in the item that the impact of sea level rise has been considered in the project.</td><td>Amended to provide for Rule 5.09.</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission.				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	Bold refers to proposed amendments.				Rule 5.09 <i>Statement of Consideration of Impact of Sea Level Rise</i>	N/A	For all agenda items brought to the Board that relate to the planning, design and/or construction of County infrastructure projects, including but not limited to, County building elevation projects, County installation of mechanical and electrical systems, County infrastructure modifications and County infrastructure renovations, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee shall include a statement in the item that the impact of sea level rise has been considered in the project.	Amended to provide for Rule 5.09.
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																					
Section 2-1 of the Code, Rules of Procedure of the County Commission.																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																		
Bold refers to proposed amendments.																					
Rule 5.09 <i>Statement of Consideration of Impact of Sea Level Rise</i>	N/A	For all agenda items brought to the Board that relate to the planning, design and/or construction of County infrastructure projects, including but not limited to, County building elevation projects, County installation of mechanical and electrical systems, County infrastructure modifications and County infrastructure renovations, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee shall include a statement in the item that the impact of sea level rise has been considered in the project.	Amended to provide for Rule 5.09.																		
4C 141201	ORDINANCE RELATING TO TRAFFIC INTERSECTION SAFETY AND RED LIGHT VIOLATIONS; AMENDING SECTION 30-422 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING THAT NET REVENUES RECEIVED FROM RED LIGHT CAMERA VIOLATIONS SHALL BE USED TO SUPPLEMENT CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to traffic intersection safety and red light violations, amends Section 30-422 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing that net revenues received from red light camera violations will be used to supplement capital infrastructure projects in the unincorporated area.</p> <p>On January 20, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) enacted Ordinance No. 11-01, which authorized and regulated the use of red light cameras only in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County consistent with the Mark Wandall Traffic Safety Act. Ordinance No. 11-01 also prohibited the use of red light cameras for enforcement of right turns on red; and provides that revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, will supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget, subject to annual appropriation by the BCC.</p> <p>On December 3, 2013, the BCC enacted Ordinance No. 13-116, which amended the red light camera ordinance to establish a local hearing process for red light camera violations pursuant to Chapter 2013-160 so that people who receive a red light camera notice of violation can request a hearing without the added expense of the violation becoming a uniform traffic citation and risking possible points on a person’s driving record.</p> <p>Currently, no red light cameras have been installed by Miami-Dade County.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Section 30-422 of the Code, Traffic Intersection Safety and Traffic Infraction Detectors.</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Bold refers to proposed amendments.</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 30-422(10) <i>Revenue</i></td><td>Revenue. Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.</td><td>Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget, all of which shall be used to supplement the funding of capital infrastructure projects in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments			Section 30-422 of the Code, Traffic Intersection Safety and Traffic Infraction Detectors.			Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Bold refers to proposed amendments.			Sec. 30-422(10) <i>Revenue</i>	Revenue. Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.	Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget, all of which shall be used to supplement the funding of capital infrastructure projects in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.					
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																					
Section 30-422 of the Code, Traffic Intersection Safety and Traffic Infraction Detectors.																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments																			
Bold refers to proposed amendments.																					
Sec. 30-422(10) <i>Revenue</i>	Revenue. Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.	Revenue realized by the County pursuant to the Act, once all associated costs have been paid and distributions made as required by the Act, shall supplement the unincorporated municipal service area (UMSA) budget, all of which shall be used to supplement the funding of capital infrastructure projects in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County. This provision shall be subject to annual appropriation by the Board.																			
4D 141127	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT FOR COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF LEGISLATION RELATED TO DEBT OBLIGATIONS AND THE 4-DAY RULE WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN RESOLUTIONS RELATED TO DEBT OBLIGATIONS; APPROVING PLACEMENT																				

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	OF PUBLIC HEARING OF ORDINANCES RELATED TO DEBT ON THE NEXT COMMISSION AGENDA AFTER FIRST READING SUBJECT TO NOTICE REQUIREMENTS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE(Finance Department)
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), relating to the Rules of Procedure of the County Commission to waive the requirement for committee consideration of legislation related to debt obligations and the 4-day rule with respect to certain resolutions related to debt obligations. In addition, the proposed ordinance approves placement of public hearing of ordinances related to debt on the next Commission agenda after first reading subject to notice requirements.</p> <p>The proposed Code amendments will decrease the total time for Board approval, which will provide the County more flexibility in determining when to enter the market. The proposed Code amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exempt both debt ordinances and debt resolutions from committee review and the resolutions from the four-day rule; and • Require the Board to conduct a public hearing on a debt ordinance at the next Commission meeting following the meeting at which such ordinance is approved on first reading, subject to applicable notice requirements. <p>In order to keep the Board apprised of any debt obligations that will be brought to the Board, an oral report updating all financings in process will be presented at each Finance Committee meeting.</p> <p><u>Current Process</u></p> <p>The current approval process for debt obligations takes between two to three months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A typical bond transaction starts with meeting the agenda deadlines for administrative review, which is approximately three weeks before the ordinance is heard by the Board for first reading and approximately four weeks before the authorizing resolution and ordinance are considered by the committee of jurisdiction. • Once the ordinance and related series resolution are approved by the committee of jurisdiction, both are placed on the agenda for the first meeting of the Board in the following month. • Without a waiver, the time between committee review and consideration by the Board is another three weeks with an additional ten day veto period to follow after approval by the Board. <p>Recently, both new money and refunding opportunities were adversely affected by rising interest rates during our current approval process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In April of 2013, the proposed refunding of certain Aviation bonds had savings of approximately \$65.97 million and in July, at the proposed time of the pricing, the savings had dropped to a negative \$18.91 million, a difference of \$83.98 million. As a result, the refunding was put on hold pending a positive change in interest rates. • In March 2014, the County was only able to refund \$344 million of the original \$885.3 million and realized \$17.4 million of savings. Although the County did generate interest savings from the portion that was refunded, savings were less than the amount anticipated in April 2013. Interest rates will have to further reduce in order to refund the remaining portion and generate additional savings. • In addition, the County's interest cost savings on several other recent refunding involving Water and Sewer Bonds, Seaport Bonds and Capital Asset Bonds were significantly less and the interest cost on new money bonds for Water and Sewer and Seaport was higher due to an increase in interest rates during the approval process. If these transactions had been priced sooner through the proposed expedited approval process, the County would have benefitted significantly from a more favorable interest rate environment.
<p>5C 140966</p> <p>8D1 140980</p>	<p>ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN MORE THAN ONE SERIES AND FROM TIME TO TIME IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$830,000,000.00 FOR JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM FACILITIES PROGRAM PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-590-13 AND A SPECIAL BOND ELECTION HELD ON NOVEMBER 5, 2013; PROVIDING THAT SUCH GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS CONSTITUTE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS OF COUNTY TO WHICH COUNTY'S FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER SHALL BE IRREVOCABLY PLEDGED; CREATING CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS; AUTHORIZING PROJECTS; APPROVING CERTAIN PROVISIONS FOR BONDS INCLUDING METHOD OF PAYMENT, REDEMPTION, TAX COVENANTS AND BOND FORM; ESTABLISHING RIGHTS AND REMEDIES OF OWNERS OF SUCH BONDS; SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS AND AGREEMENTS OF COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR DETERMINATION IN SERIES RESOLUTIONS OF SPECIFIC TERMS, MATURITIES, INTEREST RATES AND OTHER DETAILS, INCLUDING AUTHORIZED PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED, FOR EACH SERIES OF BONDS; AUTHORIZING RELATED ACTIONS; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM 8D1](Finance Department)</p> <p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST), SERIES 2014 IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$101,000,000.00 FOR PURPOSE OF PAYING OR REIMBURSING COUNTY FOR COSTS OF CERTAIN CAPITAL PROJECTS; AUTHORIZING PUBLIC SALE OF BONDS BY COMPETITIVE BID; APPROVING FORM AND DISTRIBUTION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; APPROVING THE SERIES 2014 PROJECTS; DELEGATING TO COUNTY MAYOR AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE FINAL TERMS AND DETAILS OF BONDS AND PUBLIC SALE WITHIN CERTAIN PARAMETERS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING CERTAIN COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM 5C](Finance Department)</p>
Notes	<p><u>Item 5C</u></p> <p>The proposed ordinance (2014 Ordinance) authorizes issuance of Miami-Dade County General Obligation Bonds in more than one series and from time to time in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$830 million for Jackson Health System Facilities program pursuant to Resolution No. 590-13 and a Special Bond Election held on November 5, 2013.</p> <p>The purpose of the bonds is to fund modernization, improvements and equipping of Jackson Health System facilities located throughout</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Miami-Dade County including, but not limited to, the construction of emergency rooms, a new children's ambulatory pavilion, and new urgent care centers.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The bonds authorized by the 2014 Ordinance will be general obligations of the County payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property within the County (excluding exempt property as required by Florida law).</p> <p>Additionally, the bonds authorized by the 2014 Ordinance will only be issued pursuant to a subsequent series resolution to be adopted by the Board, which will set the parameters for establishing the terms, maturities, interest rates and other details of each series of bonds. Until a series of bonds is issued, the enactment of the 2014 Ordinance will have no fiscal impact on the County.</p> <p>The recommended debt service millage and annual appropriation of ad valorem receipts for debt service and bond proceeds for projects will be presented through the annual Proposed Budget prepared by the Office of Management and Budget.</p> <p><u>Item 8D1</u></p> <p>The proposed resolution (2014 Series Resolution), authorizes the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida General Obligation Bonds for the Public Health Trust (PHT GOB), Series 2014 (Series 2014 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$101,000,000 by a competitive sale for the projects. The 2014 Series Resolution authorizes the issuance of new money bonds to fund or reimburse the cost of funding the modernization, improvements and equipping of Jackson Health System facilities located throughout Miami-Dade County including, but not limited to, the construction of certain new facilities as approved by the voters on November 5, 2013.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The Series 2014 Bonds will be general obligations of the County payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property within the County (excluding exempt property as required by Florida law). The debt service millage required for the levy of ad valorem taxes for the first year's payment of principal and interest on the Series 2014 bonds will be included in the recommended countywide debt service millage for the FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget.</p> <p>The Series 2014 Bond proceeds (including bond premium proceeds) will fund a project fund, issuance costs and underwriters discount. Based on market conditions on April 11, 2014, the par amount of the proposed Series 2014 Bonds is estimated at \$96,665,000 with a final maturity of July 1, 2044, a true interest cost (TIC) of 4.6 percent, and average annual debt service of \$6,226,340. The maximum annual debt service (MADS) of \$6,268,250 occurs in 2032.</p> <p>The maximum allowable TIC authorized under this 2013 Series Resolution is 5.5 percent. Average annual debt service would increase to \$6,891,404, and MADS would increase to \$6,893,724 in 2019.</p> <p>Updates will be provided at the time the Series 2014 Resolution is considered by the Board's committee of jurisdiction and when considered by the full Board. A final pricing report will be distributed to the Board after the Series 2013 Bonds are priced. The Series 2014 Bonds are expected to be competitively sold and closed in late July and early August of 2014.</p> <p><u>Projects</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Group 1: Hospital Facility Renovations and Upgrades - \$17,646,000 • Project Group 2: Infrastructure Projects - \$14,595,000 • Project Group 3: Medical and Technology Equipment and Software - \$64,702,000 • Project Group 4: New Facilities - \$13,000,000 • TOTAL - \$109,943,000 <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On May 6, 2014, under Ordinance No. 14-44, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) created and established the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory Committee (Advisory Committee) for the purpose of advising the BCC, the Public Health Trust (PHT) and the County Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program.</p> <p>The powers, duties, responsibilities and functions of the Advisory Committee include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and monitor performance and program achievements related to the Bond Program; • Advise the BCC, the PHT, and the Mayor, and assist in informing the community, of the Bond Program's accomplishments regarding completion of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part by the Bond Program; • Review recommendations of the PHT on the use of any Bond Program funds to fund Jackson Health System capital projects; • participate, along with PHT staff, in citizen outreach efforts relating to the development of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part with Bond Program funds; and

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist in the preparation of quarterly reports to the BCC and Mayor describing the progress of the Bond Program and to periodically provide advice, by either written resolution or oral presentation, as may be requested by the BCC, the PHT or the Mayor. <p>The Advisory Committee consists of nine (9) voting members (Members) and two (2) non-voting, ex officio members (Ex Officio Members) appointed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Five (5) Members will be appointed by resolution of the BCC after having been selected by the BCC from persons nominated by the PHT Trust Nominating Council; Each Advisory Committee Member will have an outstanding reputation for professional ability with substantial professional accomplishment and shall hold a senior or prominent position in his/her field. The Advisory Committee will include at least: one (1) Member with experience in the medical or health care field; one (1) Member will be a professional engineer; one (1) Member will be a Florida certified public accountant; one (1) Member will be a Florida Bar admitted attorney; one (1) Member with experience in real estate development; one (1) Member with experience in finance; one (1) Member with managerial experience; one (1) Member with experience in the emergency medical services field; and one (1) Member will be a professional architect. The County Commission may ratify appointment of the remaining four (4) Advisory Committee Members as follows: the Member of the Advisory Committee experienced in finance will be designated by the President of the Miami-Dade County League of Cities in writing; the Member of the Advisory Committee with managerial experience will be designated by the Mayor in writing; the Florida Bar admitted attorney Member of the Advisory Committee will be designated by the Chairperson of the Miami-Dade Legislative Delegation in writing; and the Member of the Advisory Committee with experience in the medical or health care field will be designated by a representative of the Jackson Health System labor unions in writing. When making a selection, the County Commission will consider that the Advisory Committee as a whole should generally reflect the geographic, gender, racial, ethnic or cultural make-up of the community. The two (2) Ex Officio Members will be appointed as follows: A Commissioner who will be appointed by the Chairperson of the County Commission; and a member of the Board of Trustees of the Public Health Trust who will be appointed by the Chairperson of the Board of Trustees.
7A 140375	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE ROAD IMPACT FEE; PROVIDING A PARTIAL DEFERRAL OF ROAD IMPACT FEES UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; PROVIDING A LIMITATION ON THE AMOUNT OF IMPACT FEES THAT MAY BE DEFERRED PER APPLICATION; CREATING SECTION 33E-18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to the road impact fee, provides a partial deferral of road impact fees under certain circumstances, provides a limitation on the amount of impact fees that may be deferred per application, and creates Section 33E-18 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impacts</u></p> <p>Pursuant to this Program, the maximum total of road impact fees that may be deferred is one million dollars (\$1,000,000), whereupon this Program will not be available to defer impact fees until such time as the total deferred amount has been reduced by payment of impact fees below the maximum one million dollar (\$1,000,000) limitations.</p> <p>The Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) Department will have an estimated first year fiscal impact of \$20,000 to pay for billing services associated with implementing the Program. Subsequently, each year that the \$1,000,000 limitation is maintained, RER will have an estimated fiscal impact of \$33,000 for billing services. The costs associated with reprogramming the Impact Fee System to support the proposed deferral program will be absorbed through the Service Level Agreement between RER and the Information Technology (IT) Department.</p> <p>The Public Works and Waste Management Department will be impacted I the amount of the value of deferred fees until such time as the fees are collected.</p>
7B 140766	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 25A-3 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REGARDING THE QUORUM REQUIREMENT FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST NOMINATING COUNCIL; PROVIDING FOR ELECTION OF A VICE CHAIRPERSON THEREOF; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 25A-3 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding the quorum requirement for the Public Health Trust Nominating Council.</p> <p>The quorum requirement will be amended to require four (4) instead of five (5) Council members to be present.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance allows for the Nominating Council to elect one of its members as Vice-Chairperson.</p>
7C 140387	ORDINANCE RELATING TO MINORS AND ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES; AMENDING SECTIONS 8A-8 THROUGH 8A 8.4 AND 8CC-10 AND CREATING SECTIONS 21-13.1 AND 21-13.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROHIBITING THE PLACEMENT OF ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES IN SELF-SERVICE OPEN DISPLAYS ACCESSIBLE TO MINORS; PROHIBITING MINORS FROM POSSESSING OR PURCHASING ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES; PROHIBITING THE SALE OF ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES TO MINORS; PROVIDING FOR INTENT, DEFINITIONS, AND ENFORCEMENT; AMENDING CHAPTER 8CC OF THE CODE TO PROVIDE PENALTIES; PROVIDING

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p> <p>The proposed ordinance amends Miami-Dade County Code (Code) to provide for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prohibit minors from possessing or purchasing electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices; • Prohibit the sale of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices to minors; and • Prohibit the placement of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices in self-service open displays accessible to minors. <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends the Code to provide for the following civil penalties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possession or purchase by minors of electronic cigarettes or other nicotine dispensing devices; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>\$25.00 for the first offense; \$50.00 for the second offense; \$100.00 for each subsequent offense.</i> • Sale of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices to minors; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>\$500.00</i> • Currently, there is a \$500.00 civil penalty for self-service merchandising of tobacco products, including flavored tobacco products, the proposed ordinance adds <i>electronic cigarettes or other nicotine dispensing devices</i> to this category. <p>Florida law currently prohibits the sale or delivery of tobacco products to persons under the age of 18, as well as the possession of tobacco products by persons under the age of 18, but Florida law is not clear whether these existing tobacco prohibitions for minors include electronic cigarettes.</p> <p>In an attempt to restrict minors' access to electronic cigarettes, the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Division of Alcoholic Beverage and Tobacco, advises tobacco retailers on its website that electronic cigarettes containing nicotine from tobacco leaves are considered tobacco products regulated in the state, and that it is unlawful to sell tobacco products to persons under 18 years of age.</p> <p>The Division of Alcoholic Beverage and Tobacco has indicated that Florida law should be amended to clarify the legal status of all electronic cigarettes, whether or not they contain nicotine from tobacco leaves.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>On February 4, 2014, the BCC, through R-145-14, established as the policy of Miami-Dade County that the use of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices is prohibited in all enclosed indoor workplaces owned or operated by the County consistent with state law for lighted tobacco products, the Florida Clean Indoor Air Act, Part II of Chapter 386, Florida Statutes, as such may be amended from time to time, unless an exception applies.</p> <p>This policy will also apply to enclosed indoor workplaces operated within the designated facilities of the Public Health Trust.</p> <p>The Resolution also directed the Mayor or designee, within 60 days of the effective date of the resolution, to prepare for BCC approval revisions to applicable Implementing Orders and other departmental rules and regulations to effectuate the policy directive set forth in R-145-14.</p> <p>Additional Information Pertaining to Electronic Cigarettes</p> <p>The following information was provided in a press release from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on April 24, 2014:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FDA proposes to extend its tobacco authority to additional tobacco products, including e-cigarettes. • Products that would be "deemed" to be subject to FDA regulation are those that meet the statutory definition of a tobacco product, including currently unregulated marketed products, such as electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes), cigars, pipe tobacco, nicotine gels, waterpipe (or hookah) tobacco, and dissolvables not already under the FDA's authority. The FDA currently regulates cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and smokeless tobacco. • Consistent with currently regulated tobacco products, under the proposed rule, makers of newly deemed tobacco products would, among other requirements: Register with the FDA and report product and ingredient listings; Only market new tobacco products after FDA review; Only make direct and implied claims of reduced risk if the FDA confirms that scientific evidence supports the claim and that marketing the product will benefit public health as a whole; and Not distribute free samples. • In addition, under the proposed rule, the following provisions would apply to newly "deemed" tobacco products: Minimum age and identification restrictions to prevent sales to underage youth; Requirements to include health warnings; and Prohibition of vending machine sales, unless in a facility that never admits youth. • "The proposed rule would give the FDA additional tools to protect the public health in today's rapidly evolving tobacco marketplace, including the review of new tobacco products and their health-related claims." • The FDA proposes different compliance dates for various provisions so that all regulated entities, including small businesses, will have adequate time to comply with the requirements of the proposed rule. • Products that are marketed for therapeutic purposes will continue to be regulated as medical products under the FDA's existing drug and device authorities in the Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act. • The proposed rule will be available for public comment for 75 days. While all comments, data, research, and other information submitted to the docket will be considered, the FDA is requesting comments in certain areas, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ The FDA recognizes that different tobacco products may have the potential for varying effects on public health and is proposing two options for the categories of cigars that would be covered by this rule. The FDA specifically seeks comment on whether all cigars should be subject to deeming, and which other provisions of the proposed rule may be appropriate or not appropriate for different kinds of cigars.

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The FDA seeks answers to the many public health questions posed by products, such as e-cigarettes, that do not involve the burning of tobacco and inhalation of its smoke, as the agency develops an appropriate level of regulatory oversight for these products. The FDA seeks comment in this proposed rule as to how such products should be regulated. <p>Related News</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recently found alarming rates of e-cigarette use among middle and high school students. Between 2010 and 2011, the number of U.S. adults who have tried e-cigarettes doubled. From 2011 to 2012, the percentage of high school students who have ever used e-cigarettes more than doubled from 4.7 percent to 10 percent. More than 20 percent of the middle school students who reported using e-cigarettes said they had never tried traditional cigarettes. • A 2009 analysis by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) found significant quality control issues with e-cigarettes. They discovered carcinogens and toxic chemicals in some, a variation in the dose of nicotine per inhalation in others, and the presence of nicotine in products that were claiming to be nicotine-free. This analysis raises valid concerns about the health risks of e-cigarettes for users and bystanders who inhale the vapor. • The National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG) called on the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to immediately regulate the sale and advertising of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) as “tobacco products” under the Tobacco Control Act, as they are products made or derived from tobacco. • According to the U.S. Surgeon General, the nicotine found in e-cigarettes is highly addictive, has immediate bio-chemical effects on the brain and body at any dosage, and is toxic in high doses.
7D 140734	ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING DISABLED HOUSING SET ASIDE INCENTIVE FOR COUNTY FUNDED RENTAL HOUSING PROJECTS AND HOMEOWNERSHIP PROJECTS; CREATING CHAPTER 17, ARTICLE XI OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance establishes an incentive for private developers of affordable housing, who compete for funding through the County’s Surtax, State Housing Initiatives Partnership (SHIP), Home Investment Partnerships (HOME), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), or other similar affordable housing programs, to increase the supply of affordable and accessible rental and homeownership units for disabled households which will be the Miami-Dade County Affordable Housing Set Aside Incentive for Disabled Households, Chapter 17, Article XI of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p>As part of any competitive process for the acquisition, construction or rehabilitation of Rental Housing Projects or Homeownership Projects, the County Mayor or his designee will, as part of said competitive process, provide additional incentives, including but not limited awarding extra points, to those developers and applicants who propose up to five percent (5%) additional set aside units for Disabled Households beyond that which may be required by applicable Federal, state or local fair housing laws or other applicable laws.</p> <p>The County Mayor or his designee will provide an annual report to the Board of County Commissioners on the total number of set aside units acquired, constructed or rehabilitated pursuant to this article.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>On December 17, 2013, the BCC through R-1053-13, adopted the Comprehensive Housing Intervention and Master Plan (Master Affordable Housing Plan) and authorized the County Mayor or his designee to do all things necessary and proper to implement the Master Affordable Housing Plan.</p> <p>The Public Housing and Community Development Department will be responsible for the implementation of the Master Affordable Housing Plan. Implementation of the Master Affordable Housing Plan’s strategies and recommendations will require inter-departmental participation. The Department’s administrative costs will be funded by the Documentary Surtax Program or other program(s) that allow for such costs.</p> <p>The Master Affordable Housing Plan recommends that a permanent advisory board be established by the Board to monitor implementation of the Master Affordable Housing Plan and report annually on such implementation. Such advisory board would be supported by the County under the purview of the Director, of the Public Housing and Community Development Department.</p>
7E 140774	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-8.2.7.01 PERTAINING TO THE MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS PROGRAM; THIS AMENDMENT REMOVES THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE MCC PROGRAM BE SUBJECT TO AN OVERALL PROGRAM EXPENDITURE LIMIT (OPEL) AS ALL EXPENDITURES UNDER THE PROGRAM ARE SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION BY THE BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-8.2.7.01 of the County Code relating to the County’s Miscellaneous Construction Contracts (MCC) Program. Specifically, this amendment removes the requirement that the MCC Program be subject to an Overall Program Expenditure Limit (OPEL).</p> <p>The OPEL provision was included in the original ordinance establishing the MCC Program that would require approval from the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to exceed or replenish.</p> <p>MCC projects are budgeted in each department’s annual budget, and, the Office of Management and Budget also approves projects prior to issuance of Requests for Price Quotations to confirm funding availability.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Background</u> On November 3, 2009, the BCC approved Ordinance 09-101 revising and codifying the MCC Program. The Ordinance included a provision that required Board approval, via Resolution, of an OPEL. The BCC has approved the OPEL through R-597-08 and R-352-11. Staff has reviewed and evaluated the relevance of the OPEL, as well as the controls in place to ensure continued proper management and oversight of the program.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On May 20, 2008, the BCC, through R-597-08 approved a one-year extension of the MCC Program providing for a one year combined allocation of \$200 million. The single expenditure limit is \$1 million.</p> <p>On May 3, 2011, the BCC through R-352-11 approved the new OPEL of \$450 million for the MCC Program. The single expenditure limit is \$2.5 million. The first OPEL was the unexpended balance of the amount which was previously authorized by the BCC through R-597-08 (\$200 million). This Resolution, R-352-11, authorized the program to seamlessly continue with a new expenditure limit when the previous allocation was depleted.</p> <p><u>Ordinance 09-101- Revising and Codifying the MCC Program</u> On November 3, 2009, the BCC, adopted Ordinance 09-101, revising and codifying the existing MCC Program. The modified program increased oversight responsibilities, updated contract language in accordance with current legislation, facilitated the vendor registration process, clarified the contractual relationship between the County and the contractor and modified the procurement methodology.</p> <p>The delegated authority in Ord. 09-101 authorized the County Manager to award and reject bids or proposals for contracts for public improvements (construction) costing \$2.5 million or less without the need for action by the BCC.</p> <p>The new (modified) MCC Program is subject to an OPEL which is established by the BCC. Having a codified, ongoing program allows for standards and guidelines to be set for managing the program countywide, while allowing for the administrative refinement and clarification of provisions to the contract specifications as needed. Modifications to the overall program would be implemented by BCC approval of changes to the IO.</p> <p>Key attributes of the modified program included in Ordinance 09-101, (which are more accurately described in the Implementing Order) are as follows: Inclusion of Community Workforce legislation; Establishes prerequisites for contractors and departments using emergency RPQ procedures; Specifies thresholds for application of Liquidated Damages; Grants authority to administratively make revisions to the MCC's Program Contract documents to address ambiguities and to make other clarifications as needed; and Clarifies Change Order process establishing levels for authorization.</p> <p>The following information is provided on the County's website: The New Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program became effective April 16, 2010. Awards after that date are made under the Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program 7360 Plan, which replaces the old CICC 7360-0/08 contract, and the Miscellaneous Construction Contracts Program 7040 Plan, which replaces the old CICC 7040-0/07 contract. The key changes applicable to vendors included in the new MCC Program are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of the Community Workforce legislation - Except where federal or state laws or regulations mandate to the contrary, the Community Workforce Program (CWP), as established in relevant legislation, will apply to all RPQs meeting the CWP eligibility criteria. The CWP, § 2-1701 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, will apply to all RPQs in which the estimated value is equal or greater than \$250,000, with a project duration greater than 30 days and if the project is located in a Designated Target Area (DTA). The CWP goal will be set every quarter by the Review Committee with a minimum of 10% goal for these RPQs. • Specifies thresholds for application of Liquidated Damages - For RPQs with estimated values less than \$10,000, user departments are encouraged to specify a liquidated damages rate in the RPQ. If no liquidated damages rate is specified on the RPQ, the user department must inform the contractor that the County reserves the right to assess actual damages in lieu of liquidated damages. For RPQs with an estimated value of \$10,000 or greater, a liquidated damages rate will be required. User departments must calculate the liquidated damages rate based on a good-faith estimate of potential damages that may be incurred by the department and the department must maintain records of supporting documents used to establish the liquidated damages rates for each RPQ prior to receiving bids. • The Notice-To-Proceed (NTP) will constitute a contract for the work described in the RPQ and must be executed by the contractor and returned to the issuing department. No contractual relationship will exist until the contractor submits an executed NTP, once an RPQ is awarded. • Emergency RPQ procedure - When a vendor fails to respond two consecutive times within two hours of being contacted for an emergency, user departments will notify OCI of the vendor's lack of compliance. Vendors who do not comply may be removed from the emergency vendors' response team list.
8A1 140866	<p>RESOLUTION RELATING TO MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; APPROVING LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND DHL AERO EXPRESO S.A. (DHL) FOR DHL'S RENOVATION AND SUBSEQUENT OCCUPANCY OF BUILDINGS 716G, 716H, 716I, AND 716J AT THE AIRPORT FOR AIR CARGO AND OTHER RELATED AVIATION USES AT AN ANNUAL RENTAL CHARGE FOR ALL FOUR COMPLETED FACILITIES OF \$1,818,943.10, COMMENCING ON APRIL 1, 2012 AND EXTENDING FOR AN INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM WITH AN OPTION OF AN ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR TERM THEREAFTER</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a retroactive ten-year Lease Agreement for DHL Aero Expreso S.A. to continue the handling and distribution of air cargo, office operations associated with DHL Aero Expreso S. A.'s air cargo or related cargo business, storage and servicing of ground service equipment, and staging, loading or unloading of air cargo into or from DHL Aero Expreso S.A.'s aircraft.</p> <p>The ten (10) year lease contains an initial five (5) year term retroactive to April 1, 2012, and a one five-year extension at DHL Aero Expreso S.A.'s request that will extend from April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2022.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact</p> <p>The lease requires DHL Aero Expreso S.A. to pay Miami-Dade Aviation Department \$1,447,307.28 in initial annual rent for Buildings 716 F, G, and H, in twelve (12) equal monthly payments of \$120,608.94. Following renovation of Buildings 716 I and J and release of Building 716 F to Miami-Dade Aviation Department, DHL Aero Expreso S.A. will pay \$1,818,943.10 in annual rent for the four buildings.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>DHL Aero Expreso, S.A. is a cargo airline that has occupied Buildings 716 F, G and H for the past six years. Its affiliate, DHL Express, Inc. is also a wholly owned subsidiary of Deutsche Post DHL, the world's largest logistics company which has been a tenant at Miami International Airport for nearly 16 years. DHL Aero Expreso, S.A. desired to upgrade and expand into Buildings 716 I and J, return Building 716 F to Miami-Dade Aviation Department, and operate out of Buildings 716 G, H, I and J in the name of DHL Aero Expreso, S.A.</p> <p>It renovated Buildings 716 I and J to a condition acceptable to Miami-Dade Aviation Department and will receive a rent credit for the approved renovation costs, following which the company will pay full rent on Buildings 716 G, H, I and J.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to MDAD, the rent credit is \$76,922.00, for the construction period from May 1, 2012 to November 16, 2012. The full rent for the entire facility is retroactive to November 16, 2012, the date of the Certificate of Occupancy. They have been paying \$144,586.00 per month for rent including state sales tax. <p>DHL Aero Expreso S.A. and Miami-Dade Aviation Department agreed to an interim license to provide access to the facilities to be renovated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to MDAD, the "interim license" is a temporary license agreement which provided access and insurance coverage during construction and use of the new facilities until a new lease is executed. <p>The renovation was delayed due to unforeseen conditions in the field such as contamination, protracted negotiation on lease terms, and extended dialogue on reimbursable costs for work which was performed on behalf of Miami-Dade Aviation Department by DHL Aero Expreso S.A. There were further delays over a dispute over the rental rates associated with the license agreement due to communication delays between DHL Aero Expreso S.A.'s local office and their headquarters in Latin America. This was finally resolved in February 2014, DHL Aero Expreso S.A. agreed to pay the disputed amount in full immediately. Renovations were subsequently completed and a certificate of occupancy issued.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to MDAD, the renovation was from May 1, 2012 to November 16, 2012, and the delays were as follows: May 2012 to December 2012- Mold and roof issues; December 2012 to May 2013- Credit for the repairs; May 2013 to November 2013 Billing reconciliation; November 2013 to March 2014- Delinquency disputes. The disputed amount was \$29,578.22
<p>8B1 141003</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AFFILIATING AGREEMENT WITH THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS FOR THE PROVISION OF SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL CLASSES FOR YOUNG ADULTS IN THE CUSTODY OF THE MIAMI-DADE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to enter into an Affiliating Agreement between the Miami-Dade County Public Schools (MDCPS) and Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR), to provide special educational services for young adults between the ages of 18 and 22 detained at the Metro-West Detention Center (MWDC). The term of the Affiliating Agreement will be for the last three-month period of the 2013-2014 school year.</p> <p>There is no cost to Miami-Dade County for the educational program provided through this Agreement. MDCPS is reimbursed by the State of Florida in accordance with the full-time equivalency count.</p> <p>The current Affiliating Agreement for the period of July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on September 17, 2013 and provided for an educational program designed to meet the need of students in grades 6-12 who are incarcerated at the Turner Guildford Knight Correctional Center (TGK). Several young adult inmates between the ages of 18 and 22, who were receiving special education services at TGK, were relocated to MWDC. Upon their relocation, MDCR was informed by MDCPS that a new Affiliating Agreement, specifically identifying MWDC as the service site, would be required for the remaining three months of the school year. The Affiliating Agreement for the 2014-15 school year will incorporate both detention facilities.</p> <p>MDCPS has agreed to provide the services in MWDC while the agreement is being executed. Therefore, retroactive approval of this Affiliating Agreement is sought in order to preserve the special educational services provided to these persons.</p>
<p>8F1 140776</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS VACANT COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF W. 72 STREET AND W. 18 LANE HIALEAH, FLORIDA; AUTHORIZING A SALE TO AN ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTE 125.35(2) FOR NO LESS THAN \$28,554; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSE</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares as surplus a 4,759 square foot vacant strip of County-owned property, located in the vicinity of W. 72 Street and W. 18 Lane, Hialeah, Florida (Folio No. 04-2026-001-0540); • Authorizes the Mayor or his designee to sell or convey the property in accordance with Florida Statute 125.35(2), which provides for the sale of County property that is not buildable to an adjacent property owner, either through private sale or by competitive bid; and • Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board. <p>Fiscal Impact The sale of this property will eliminate the County's obligation to maintain the property, which costs approximately \$396 per year. Additionally, placing this property back on the tax roll will generate approximately \$579 in annual ad valorem taxes.</p> <p>Background The County acquired this property through Tax Deed escheatment on April 9, 2004. RC Flamingo LLC, an adjacent property owner, has expressed interest in purchasing this property. Per the requirements of R-1161-79, Mr. Daniel P. Cook, Manager of RC Flamingo LLC, has submitted a deposit to start the surplus process. The Internal Services Department circulated the property to all County departments and the City of Hialeah to determine whether the County or the City have a present or future need for the property, in which none was determined.</p> <p>If approved for surplus, the property will be offered for sale to all of the adjacent property owners with a minimum sale amount of \$28,554, which represents 100 percent of its 2013 assessed value. Formal appraisals are not normally requested for properties with an assessed value of less than \$50,000.</p>
<p>8F3 140919</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CARPE DIEM ACADEMY HAMMOCKS CORP., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT THE KENDALL COMPLEX, 11025 S.W. 84 STREET, COTTAGES 8 AND 9, UNINCORPORATED, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE UTILIZED AS A TRAINING FACILITY FOR CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS, WITH A TOTAL GROSS RENTAL REVENUE TO THE COUNTY MINIMALLY ESTIMATED TO BE \$900,000.00 FOR THE INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Carpe Diem Academy Hammocks Corp. (Tenant), a Florida Not-for-Profit Corporation. More specifically the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing of 10,000 square feet of air conditioned space located at the Kendall Complex, 11025 S.W. 84 Street, Cottages 8 and 9, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida 33173; and • Authorizes an initial lease term of five (5) years, plus one (1) additional five-year renewal option period. <p>Fiscal Impact The revenues to the County for the first year of the lease are estimated to be \$90,000, which will be paid in 12 equal installments of \$7,500 per month (\$9.00 per square foot). The annual base rent for the second through the fifth year of the lease, and any subsequent renewal option period, will be adjusted based on an annual determination by the Community Action and Human Services Department of the operational cost of the buildings. The cumulative revenues to the County for the initial term and the renewal option period are estimated to be a minimum of \$900,000.</p> <p>Background The Tenant has no previous lease with the County and has a need to lease this facility to provide training to children who exhibit moderate to severe cognitive, physical, medical and social-emotional delays by providing an inclusive learning environment combined with a full complement of therapeutic interventions and environmental-access technology.</p>
<p>8F4 140950</p>	<p>RESOLUTION RESCINDING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-6, SMOKE-FREE WORKPLACE, AND APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 8-6, PROHIBITION ON SMOKING AND THE USE OF ELECTRONIC CIGARETTES AND OTHER NICOTINE DISPENSING DEVICES IN ALL COUNTY ENCLOSED INDOOR WORKPLACES(Internal Services)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution rescinds Administrative Order 8-6 and approves Implementing Order 8-6 governing the policies concerning prohibition on smoking and the use of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices in all in County-owned and leased facilities, and in County-owned vehicles.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact There is no estimate of the cost associated with updating all signage posted in vehicles and facilities throughout all County departments. For Internal Services Department managed facilities, the cost of updating the signage will be approximately \$3,000, which will be paid from Internal Service Funds. The costs associated with updating signage in non-Internal Services Department managed facilities, such as the Aviation and Fire Rescue departments, among others, will be borne by each department, as applicable.</p> <p>Background On June 1, 1992, the Board approved Administrative Order 8-6 setting the policies concerning smoking in County-owned or leased facilities, and County-owned or operated vehicles. This Administrative Order was later updated on April 7, 1994. On February 4, 2014, the Board approved Resolution R-145-14, which extends smoking prohibitions to the use of electronic cigarettes and other nicotine dispensing devices,</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes												
	subject to the exceptions set forth in the Florida Clean Indoor Air Act. The revision to this policy being presented to the BCC for approval at this time incorporates all subsequent State modifications to the Florida Statutes Chapter 386 - Florida Clean Indoor Air Act, and to Florida Administrative Code 64I-4, which governs said Act.												
8F5 140769	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PRE-QUALIFICATION POOL CONTRACT 8239-0/24 LOT CLEARING SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$3,630,000 FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES												
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of a pool contract, Contract No. 8239-0/24, Lot Clearing Services Pre-qualification Pool, in a total amount of up to \$3,630,000 for multiple County departments, and authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on a bi-annual basis.												
	<table><tr><th>Area of Comparison</th><th>Proposed Contract</th><th>Current Contract</th></tr><tr><td>Description</td><td><p>The proposed contract establishes a pre-qualification pool for lot clearing services for Internal Services, Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS), and Public Housing and Community Development. Services include the removal and disposal of debris, plant material and abandoned equipment. The services will be performed in three ways: (1) tractor mowing, chipping, trimming and weed eating; (2) mechanical clearing; or (3) loading, hauling and light or heavy disposal of solid waste.</p><p>PROS utilized this contract to service both private and County acquired properties that have been found by the Regulatory and Economic Resources department to be out of compliance with Chapter19, Responsible Property Owner and Merchant Act of the Code. In these cases, property owners are then billed for the services rendered.</p></td><td><p>The current contract was awarded under the County Manager’s authority with an effective date of May 1, 2007, to establish a contract for the purchase of Lot Clearing Services in conjunction with the needs of Miami-Dade County Team Metro on an as needed when needed basis.</p></td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative Value</td><td><p>The amount requested for the ten-year term is \$3,630,000.</p><p>The replacement contract’s proposed allocation is based on the user departments’ projected needs.</p></td><td><p>The current contract has a total allocation of \$2,859,000 for seven (7) years.</p></td></tr><tr><td>Vendors</td><td><p>On December 24, 2013, a Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to all responsive and responsible vendors who meet the minimum requirements in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the RTQ term.</p><p>Pre-qualified vendors will be invited to participate in future competitions. Thirteen vendors responded to the RTQ, of which five did not provide the required documentation. A public meeting was held on January 15, 2014 to assist firms in complying with the RTQ, and as a result one of the five vendors who attended the meeting provided the required documents. Of the nine firms pre-qualified to date, seven of those firms are either Micro/SBE, DBE and/or CSBE certified firms.</p><p><u>Vendors</u></p><ul style="list-style-type: none">A Native Tree Service, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)Able Business Services, Inc. (DBE, MICRO/SBE)BCLS Landscaping Group, Inc. (SBE)G7 Holdings, Inc. d/b/a GarbageMan.com (MICRO/SBE, CSBE, ACDBE, DBE)McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)Tip-Top Enterprises, Inc.Weed-A-Way, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)</td><td><p>On April 4, 2007, an Invitation to Bid (ITB) was issued under full and open competition. Award of this contract was made to the responsive and responsible vendor(s) who submitted the lowest price for the total of all three categories within each item, as listed on the bid proposal. If a vendor failed to submit an offer for all categories within each item, its offer for that specific item was considered non-responsive.</p><p><u>Vendors</u></p><ul style="list-style-type: none">Suarez and Son Corp.McIntyre Maintenance, Inc.*<p>*McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. was placed on a 30-day day probationary period on September 14, 2007 for failure to provide services under this contract. The company completed the probationary period satisfactorily.</p></td></tr></table>	Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract	Description	<p>The proposed contract establishes a pre-qualification pool for lot clearing services for Internal Services, Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS), and Public Housing and Community Development. Services include the removal and disposal of debris, plant material and abandoned equipment. The services will be performed in three ways: (1) tractor mowing, chipping, trimming and weed eating; (2) mechanical clearing; or (3) loading, hauling and light or heavy disposal of solid waste.</p> <p>PROS utilized this contract to service both private and County acquired properties that have been found by the Regulatory and Economic Resources department to be out of compliance with Chapter19, Responsible Property Owner and Merchant Act of the Code. In these cases, property owners are then billed for the services rendered.</p>	<p>The current contract was awarded under the County Manager’s authority with an effective date of May 1, 2007, to establish a contract for the purchase of Lot Clearing Services in conjunction with the needs of Miami-Dade County Team Metro on an as needed when needed basis.</p>	Cumulative Value	<p>The amount requested for the ten-year term is \$3,630,000.</p> <p>The replacement contract’s proposed allocation is based on the user departments’ projected needs.</p>	<p>The current contract has a total allocation of \$2,859,000 for seven (7) years.</p>	Vendors	<p>On December 24, 2013, a Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to all responsive and responsible vendors who meet the minimum requirements in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the RTQ term.</p> <p>Pre-qualified vendors will be invited to participate in future competitions. Thirteen vendors responded to the RTQ, of which five did not provide the required documentation. A public meeting was held on January 15, 2014 to assist firms in complying with the RTQ, and as a result one of the five vendors who attended the meeting provided the required documents. Of the nine firms pre-qualified to date, seven of those firms are either Micro/SBE, DBE and/or CSBE certified firms.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A Native Tree Service, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)Able Business Services, Inc. (DBE, MICRO/SBE)BCLS Landscaping Group, Inc. (SBE)G7 Holdings, Inc. d/b/a GarbageMan.com (MICRO/SBE, CSBE, ACDBE, DBE)McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)Tip-Top Enterprises, Inc.Weed-A-Way, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)	<p>On April 4, 2007, an Invitation to Bid (ITB) was issued under full and open competition. Award of this contract was made to the responsive and responsible vendor(s) who submitted the lowest price for the total of all three categories within each item, as listed on the bid proposal. If a vendor failed to submit an offer for all categories within each item, its offer for that specific item was considered non-responsive.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Suarez and Son Corp.McIntyre Maintenance, Inc.* <p>*McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. was placed on a 30-day day probationary period on September 14, 2007 for failure to provide services under this contract. The company completed the probationary period satisfactorily.</p>
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contract											
Description	<p>The proposed contract establishes a pre-qualification pool for lot clearing services for Internal Services, Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS), and Public Housing and Community Development. Services include the removal and disposal of debris, plant material and abandoned equipment. The services will be performed in three ways: (1) tractor mowing, chipping, trimming and weed eating; (2) mechanical clearing; or (3) loading, hauling and light or heavy disposal of solid waste.</p> <p>PROS utilized this contract to service both private and County acquired properties that have been found by the Regulatory and Economic Resources department to be out of compliance with Chapter19, Responsible Property Owner and Merchant Act of the Code. In these cases, property owners are then billed for the services rendered.</p>	<p>The current contract was awarded under the County Manager’s authority with an effective date of May 1, 2007, to establish a contract for the purchase of Lot Clearing Services in conjunction with the needs of Miami-Dade County Team Metro on an as needed when needed basis.</p>											
Cumulative Value	<p>The amount requested for the ten-year term is \$3,630,000.</p> <p>The replacement contract’s proposed allocation is based on the user departments’ projected needs.</p>	<p>The current contract has a total allocation of \$2,859,000 for seven (7) years.</p>											
Vendors	<p>On December 24, 2013, a Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition. The method of award is to all responsive and responsible vendors who meet the minimum requirements in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the RTQ term.</p> <p>Pre-qualified vendors will be invited to participate in future competitions. Thirteen vendors responded to the RTQ, of which five did not provide the required documentation. A public meeting was held on January 15, 2014 to assist firms in complying with the RTQ, and as a result one of the five vendors who attended the meeting provided the required documents. Of the nine firms pre-qualified to date, seven of those firms are either Micro/SBE, DBE and/or CSBE certified firms.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A Native Tree Service, Inc. (MICRO/SBE)Able Business Services, Inc. (DBE, MICRO/SBE)BCLS Landscaping Group, Inc. (SBE)G7 Holdings, Inc. d/b/a GarbageMan.com (MICRO/SBE, CSBE, ACDBE, DBE)McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)Tip-Top Enterprises, Inc.Weed-A-Way, Inc. (DBE, CSBE, MICRO/SBE)	<p>On April 4, 2007, an Invitation to Bid (ITB) was issued under full and open competition. Award of this contract was made to the responsive and responsible vendor(s) who submitted the lowest price for the total of all three categories within each item, as listed on the bid proposal. If a vendor failed to submit an offer for all categories within each item, its offer for that specific item was considered non-responsive.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Suarez and Son Corp.McIntyre Maintenance, Inc.* <p>*McIntyre Maintenance, Inc. was placed on a 30-day day probationary period on September 14, 2007 for failure to provide services under this contract. The company completed the probationary period satisfactorily.</p>											

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ynigo Landscaping and Lawn Services, Inc. (MICRO/SBE) 	
	Funding Source	Internal Service Funds, COR Funds, and Federal Funds.	General Funds
8F6 140832	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE REJECTION OF ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RFP 854 FOR ORACLE'S PEOPLESOFT ENTERPRISE APPLICATION DATA ARCHIVING SOLUTION FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the rejection of all proposals received in response to Request for Proposals (RFP) 854 for Oracle's PeopleSoft Enterprise Application Data Archiving Solution, for an initial five-year contract term in the amount of \$1,500,000. The solicitation for RFP 854 included two, three-year Options to Renew (OTR) periods.</p> <p>This solicitation was issued on behalf of the Miami-Dade Information Technology Department (ITD) to purchase and implement a turnkey, county-hosted Data Archiving Solution (Solution) in support of the existing Oracle's PeopleSoft Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) application.</p> <p>On October 17, 2013, the RFP was issued under full and open competition. Award would have been made to the responsive, responsible proposer satisfying the criteria established in the solicitation.</p> <p>Proposals were received from IBM Corp. and Dell Marketing, L.P.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal submitted by IBM Corp. was deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office (CAO) due to IBM submitting a price proposal schedule that materially deviated from the solicitation requirements. The proposal submitted by Dell Marketing, L.P. is being recommended for rejection as it failed to meet the price requirements established by the County, as well as the technical requirements and operational needs of ITD pursuant to the specifications in the solicitation. Specifically, the Evaluation/Selection Committee raised concerns regarding the exclusion of maintenance and support coverage for products by the subcontractor, past experience of the proposer, inconsistencies in the details of the operating systems and hardware components identified, as well as concerns with the implementation approach, recommended project team, response time, and proposed milestones and deliverables schedule. <p><i>ITD, along with staff in its client departments, are conducting an analysis to determine changes needed to the technical specifications for the successor solicitation.</i></p> <p>Additional Information On June 25, 2012, all the proposals under a previous solicitation for the same project, Oracle's PeopleSoft Enterprise Data Archiving Solution (RFP 778), were rejected. The solicitation for RFP778 was for an initial term of two years in the amount of \$650,000, with five, two year OTR periods.</p>		
8F7 140894	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. 9241-0/15-C IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,346,000.00 FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANT SERVICES(Internal Services)		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the award of Contract No. 9241-0/15-C in the amount of \$10,346,000.00 for Information Technology Consultant Services for various departmental information technology (IT) projects managed by the Information Technology Department.</p> <p>On July 7, 2011, under Resolution No. 540-11, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorized the award of Contract No. 9241-0/15-A in the amount of \$7,457,000 for Information Technology Consultant Services, pre-qualifying the firms through the contract's June 30, 2015 expiration date and authorizing funding to support County IT projects during a 12 month period. Pursuant to Resolution No. 540-11, project requests, including the associated funding needs, are presented to the BCC throughout the term of the contract on an annual basis.</p> <p>On December 4, 2012, under Resolution No. 1009-12, the BCC authorized award of Contract No. 9241-0/15-B, in the amount of \$11,993,000 for a 12-month period for specific projects. The increase from the initial year allocation was due to support for ongoing and additional new projects as requested by various County departments. Contract No. 9241-0/15-B, also approved that departmental allocations be consolidated under the Information Technology Department's allocation for the countywide management of this contract. The Information Technology Department has been managing this contract for the past year and has reassigned resources, reducing the cost from the previous year by \$1,757,840. The allocations originally under 9241-0/15-A and 9241-0/15-B will remain intact in order to allow for the completion of the associated projects.</p> <p>The proposed resolution authorizes additional funding requests for new or continuing projects for the next 12 month cycle. The firms that have prequalified for this pool provide a wide range of services such as project management, system design, multi-platform systems integration, software programming, development and customization, web development, data and media management, network security, engineering, and technical support. The proposed contract provides for expanded local vendor participation, enhanced administrative control, and allows for additional vendors to be added to the pool upon meeting the requirements established in the solicitation.</p> <p>This is the only contract in place to provide IT consultant services to support all County departments on a variety of project areas.</p> <p>The item provides a list of the current 92 prequalified vendors.</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p>Additional Information <u>Previous Contract</u> On March 3, 2010, under Resolution No. 224-10, the BCC approved the access of State of Florida Contract No. 973-561-010-1 as the primary source for IT consultant services in the amount of \$11,880,000 for one year. Contract No. 973-561-010-1 expired on August 31, 2012.</p> <p>On July 1, 2010, Contract No. 9241-0/15 was established as a pre-qualified pool of IT consultants capable of providing a broad range of information technology services to Miami-Dade County. According to Procurement’s Bid Tracking System (BTS), Contract No. 9241-0/15 was established in order to provide continuity of services and opportunity for the participation of additional local vendors, serving as a supplement to the access of State of Florida Contract No. 973-561-010-1. Since the expiration of State Contract No. 973-561-010-1, Contract Nos. 9241-0/15, (A), (B) and now (C) have been the primary source for IT consultant services.</p>															
8F8 140896	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$39,000,000.00 WITH HEWLETT-PACKARD CO. FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY HARDWARE, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN CONTRACT NO. RFP852(Internal Services)															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of an agreement in the aggregate amount of \$39,000,000.00 with Hewlett-Packard Co. (HP), for Information Technology (IT) hardware, under Contract No. RFP852.</p> <p>The proposed contract allows the Information Technology Department (ITD) to purchase IT hardware and associated services in two defined groups for all County departments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Group 1: desktop computers, and• Group 2: mobile computers. <p><u>Rescinding Prior Award</u> The prior award recommendation filed with the Clerk of the Board on January 7, 2013 has been rescinded. That recommendation was for the award of Groups 1, 2 and 3 (Thin Client Devices) to Hewlett-Packard Co. Subsequent to the filing of the award recommendation, ITD identified a discrepancy within Group 3 of the award relating to the infrastructure supporting the thin-client devices and operating system requirements. As a result, it was necessary to rescind the award previously made for Group 3 and reject all proposals received for Group 3 only. The technical requirements and device specifications will be updated and re-solicited through a full and open competition to meet the technical and operational needs of the County for this Group. The award of Groups 1 and 2 have no bearing on this action. However, the fiscal impact for this contract has been adjusted to reflect the decreased allocation request given the removal of Group 3.</p> <p>However, if Group 3 was included under this award, the cumulative total of the contract amount would be \$45,000,000 (see File No. 140075).</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Item 1.1 – System Furniture Services</th></tr><tr><th>Area of Comparison</th><th>Proposed Contract</th><th>Current Contracts</th></tr><tr><td>Description</td><td>RFP 852 is as a result of the Countywide IT consolidation, which includes the merging of IT procurement and contracts. This RFP replaces two accessed IT contracts that the County currently uses to purchase the equipment.</td><td><u>Contract No. 250-WSCA-10-ACS Western States Contracting Alliance for Computer Equipment, Peripherals and Services</u> This contract was established by the State of Minnesota, as lead agency for the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA), for the purchase of Computer Equipment, Peripherals, and related services. The WSCA contract was later accessed by the State of Florida, Department of Management Services (DMS), as an Alternate Contract Source (ACS) which allows participating states, local units of government, and other authorized entities to purchase personal computers and related commodities.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td><u>Contract No. RQ09-997736-42A-E U.S. Communities for Technology Products and Services.</u> Access to the Fairfax County, Virginia Technology Equipment, Services, and Solutions Contract No. RQ09-997736-42B-D was approved by the BCC under Resolution Nos. 118-10 (2/2/10) and 228-10 (3/2/10). The scope of the RFP and structure of the contract provides for competition in two (2) defined award groups: (1) Technology Products/Equipment and (2) Technology Services/Solutions.</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative Value</td><td>The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$13,000,000 and will be covered by ITD’s Internal Service Funds. If the County chooses to exercise the two, five-year options-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$39,000,000 and 15</td><td>The proposed contract consolidates the two existing contracts referenced above over a five year term valued at over \$45 million. It is important to note that the groups and price configurations differ between the two previous contracts and RFP 852.</td></tr></table>	Item 1.1 – System Furniture Services			Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contracts	Description	RFP 852 is as a result of the Countywide IT consolidation, which includes the merging of IT procurement and contracts. This RFP replaces two accessed IT contracts that the County currently uses to purchase the equipment.	<u>Contract No. 250-WSCA-10-ACS Western States Contracting Alliance for Computer Equipment, Peripherals and Services</u> This contract was established by the State of Minnesota, as lead agency for the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA), for the purchase of Computer Equipment, Peripherals, and related services. The WSCA contract was later accessed by the State of Florida, Department of Management Services (DMS), as an Alternate Contract Source (ACS) which allows participating states, local units of government, and other authorized entities to purchase personal computers and related commodities.			<u>Contract No. RQ09-997736-42A-E U.S. Communities for Technology Products and Services.</u> Access to the Fairfax County, Virginia Technology Equipment, Services, and Solutions Contract No. RQ09-997736-42B-D was approved by the BCC under Resolution Nos. 118-10 (2/2/10) and 228-10 (3/2/10). The scope of the RFP and structure of the contract provides for competition in two (2) defined award groups: (1) Technology Products/Equipment and (2) Technology Services/Solutions.	Cumulative Value	The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$13,000,000 and will be covered by ITD’s Internal Service Funds. If the County chooses to exercise the two, five-year options-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$39,000,000 and 15	The proposed contract consolidates the two existing contracts referenced above over a five year term valued at over \$45 million. It is important to note that the groups and price configurations differ between the two previous contracts and RFP 852.
Item 1.1 – System Furniture Services																
Area of Comparison	Proposed Contract	Current Contracts														
Description	RFP 852 is as a result of the Countywide IT consolidation, which includes the merging of IT procurement and contracts. This RFP replaces two accessed IT contracts that the County currently uses to purchase the equipment.	<u>Contract No. 250-WSCA-10-ACS Western States Contracting Alliance for Computer Equipment, Peripherals and Services</u> This contract was established by the State of Minnesota, as lead agency for the Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA), for the purchase of Computer Equipment, Peripherals, and related services. The WSCA contract was later accessed by the State of Florida, Department of Management Services (DMS), as an Alternate Contract Source (ACS) which allows participating states, local units of government, and other authorized entities to purchase personal computers and related commodities.														
		<u>Contract No. RQ09-997736-42A-E U.S. Communities for Technology Products and Services.</u> Access to the Fairfax County, Virginia Technology Equipment, Services, and Solutions Contract No. RQ09-997736-42B-D was approved by the BCC under Resolution Nos. 118-10 (2/2/10) and 228-10 (3/2/10). The scope of the RFP and structure of the contract provides for competition in two (2) defined award groups: (1) Technology Products/Equipment and (2) Technology Services/Solutions.														
Cumulative Value	The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$13,000,000 and will be covered by ITD’s Internal Service Funds. If the County chooses to exercise the two, five-year options-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$39,000,000 and 15	The proposed contract consolidates the two existing contracts referenced above over a five year term valued at over \$45 million. It is important to note that the groups and price configurations differ between the two previous contracts and RFP 852.														

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>years. The proposed contract amount is based on anticipated countywide IT hardware needs, and negotiated fee discounts per group over the next five years.</p> <p>Through negotiations, staff was able to achieve additional pricing discounts from the original proposal, ranging from two percent up to 27 percent on various configurations. Additionally, the contract was negotiated to include a self-support plan inclusive of training and certifications for an unlimited number of County staff at no additional cost. This will allow ITD to complete in-house hardware repairs and receive reimbursement for such repairs.</p> <p>Vendors</p> <p>On April 1, 2013, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued under full and open competition to obtain IT hardware and associated services. Negotiations were successful with the highest ranked firm for all three (3) groups.</p> <p><u>Vendor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hewlett-Packard Co. 	<p>On July 2, 2013, under Resolution No. 606-13, the BCC approved additional spending authority for Contract No. 250-WSCA-10-ACS in the amount of \$3,718,000 to enable various County departments to purchase computer products to replace equipment that has reached the end of its useful life.</p> <p>On April 8, 2014, under Resolution No. 325-14, the BCC approved additional expenditure authority for contract 250-WSCA-10-ACS in a total amount up to \$1,500,000.00 for the purchase of goods and services until the new contract is awarded. The current contract's allocation was only estimated to cover purchases through January 2014, although the contract term established through the State of Florida is through August 2014.</p> <p><u>Contract No. 250-WSCA-10-ACS</u></p> <p>This contract accessed by the County was established under full and open competition.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Panasonic Corp. of North America Insight Public Sector Inc. CDW Government LLC Lenovo United States Inc. Computer Systems Support Inc. United Data Technologies Inc. Dell Marketing LP Hewlett Packard Co. <p><u>Contract No. RQ09-997736-42A-E U.S. Communities for Technology Products and Services.</u></p> <p>On May 1, 2009, Fairfax County, Virginia, acting as the lead agency for U.S. Communities, solicited under full and open competition a Request for Proposals to establish a nationwide contract for the purchase of information technology equipment, services, and solutions.</p> <p><u>Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insight Public Sector Inc. U Government Inc. <p>Funding Source</p> <p>Internal Services Fund</p> <p>Proprietary Revenue (various), Internal Services Funds, Fire District Funds, Library District Funds, General Funds.</p>
<p>8F9 140906</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACTS FOR SEISMIC REFLECTION GEOGRAPHICAL SERVICES, ADVANCED AIR TASERS, AND PRINTING EQUIPMENT REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$16,559,000.00, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>		
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of a Legacy contracts in the aggregate amount of \$16,559,000.00, for the purchase of goods and services.</p> <p><u>Item 1 – Seismic Reflection Geophysical Services</u></p> <p>Awards a legacy contract to Walker Marine Geophysical Company LLC to conduct two-dimensional and three-dimensional land and water-based seismic reflection geophysical surveying of multiple sites for the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) for the initial three-year term in the amount of \$10,755,000.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing contract is valued at \$99,000 for one-year. The difference in allocation under the replacement contract is attributed to a longer contract term as well as the significant expansion of the scope of work required to comply with the Consent Decree. WASD has been utilizing Walker Marine Geophysical Company LLC services since 2009. <p><u>Item 2 – Advanced Air Tasers</u></p> <p>Awards a legacy contract to Taser International, Inc. for purchase of TASER X2 Conducted Electrical Weapons and accessories for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), for a five-year term in the amount of \$5,000,000.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The previous contract was valued at \$3,250,000 for four years and six months. The difference in allocation under the replacement contract is attributed to the transition of the older Taser model to the new advanced Taser model. MDPD has been utilizing Taser International, Inc.'s products since 2003. 		

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<p><u>Item 3 – Printing Equipment Repair and Maintenance</u></p> <p>Awards a legacy contract to Heidelberg USA, Inc. for on-site repair and emergency services, software updates, labor, materials, parts and consumable supplies for the County's existing buckle folding and lithographic printing press system. The amount requested for the initial three-year term is \$402,000. If the County exercises the one, three-year option-to-renew, the cumulative value will be \$804,000.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This replacement contract combines the services of two existing contract covering this service: (1) valued at \$2,007,000 for six years; and (2) valued at \$1,037,000 for six years and six months. The previous contracts included the purchase of the printing equipment. The Internal Services Department has been utilizing Heidelberg USA's equipment since 2008. 		
<p>8F10 140948</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE MEADOWS AT BIRD ROAD LLC, FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT 4284-4288 S.W. 152 AVENUE, MIAMI, TO BE UTILIZED BY THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC LIBRARY SYSTEM FOR A PUBLIC LIBRARY, WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$1,339,985.00 FOR THE INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>		
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the terms of the Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Meadows at Bird Road LLC, for the premises to be utilized by the Miami-Dade County Public Library System for a public library, with a total fiscal impact to the County estimated to be \$1,339,985.00 for the initial five-year term of the lease and the additional five-year renewal option period.</p> <p>The Meadows Library is located at 4284-4288 SW 152 Avenue, Miami, Florida, in District 11. The Meadows Library has been at this location since 2003, with the original Lease between the Landlord and the County approved by the Board through Resolution No. 720-02. The current Lease Agreement expired on November 30, 2013, but the County has continued to occupy the 3,596 sq. ft. space on a month-to-month basis, pending Board approval of this proposed Lease. Negotiations for this lease took longer than expected as the landlord was originally not willing to shorten the length of the termination provision or the cancellation notice provision.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The total fiscal impact for the first year of the initial lease term will be \$120,214. This amount is comprised of \$79,112 in annual base rent (approximately \$22.00 per square foot), \$25,460 for common area maintenance, \$7,983 for utilities and alarm monitoring, \$4,495 for janitorial and custodial services, and a \$3,164 lease management fee. The total projected fiscal impact for the initial five-year lease term, plus the additional five-year renewal option term is estimated to be \$1,339,985.</p> <p>The current annual base rental rate is \$96,763, which is equal to \$26.91 per square foot. The Landlord agreed to a significantly reduced rental rate for this lease as part of the County's efforts to seek concessions and/or rent reductions to help offset the Library District funding shortfall. The funding source is Library District funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On October 7, 2008, under Resolution No. 1015-08, the BCC approved the execution of the prior Lease Agreement at the Meadows Shopping Center for library and office space for the Miami-Dade Public Library System for a term of five years with no renewal option period in the amount of \$82,708.08 for the initial year.</p> <p>On February 4, 2014, under Resolution No. 102-14, the BCC approved retroactive amendments to the Lease Agreement at the Meadows Library, reducing the monthly rent from \$8,063.62 to \$6,592.66 for the two-month period commencing October 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013, which is when the lease expired.</p>		
<p>8F11 141120</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING TIME EXTENSIONS FOR CONTRACTS AND PREQUALIFICATION POOLS, AND ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$4,755,000.00 FOR PURCHASE OF URINE SAMPLE TESTING, ENTERPRISE CONTENT MANAGEMENT SOLUTION, MOBILE MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT, SAS DATA ANALYSIS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE, TIME EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS, AND CCTV PIPELINE INSPECTION EQUIPMENT, REPAIR SERVICES, SUPPLIES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141014](Internal Services)</p>		
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional time and expenditure authority in an amount up to \$2,999,000.00 for the purchase of urine sample testing, enterprise content management solution, mobile materials handling equipment, and SAS data analysis software maintenance; Extension of prequalification pool contracts in an amount up to \$1,756,000.00 for the purchase of time equipment maintenance and repairs and CCTV pipeline inspection equipment, repair services, supplies; The County Mayor or his designee to execute contracts for the items approved herein and exercise contract modifications, options-to-renew, any cancellation provisions, and any other rights contained therein in accordance with the terms and conditions of such contracts; and The County Mayor or his designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis, for the pools set forth in items 2.1 and 2.2. <p><i>At the May 13, 2014 Finance Committee meeting, this item was amended to remove original Item 1.4 – Miami-Dade Section 8 Oversight and Management Services, and revise the total dollar amounts and the item numbers to reflect amendment.</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="269 1856 1481 1906"> <tr> <td data-bbox="269 1856 350 1906">Item No.</td><td data-bbox="350 1856 1481 1906">Time and Expenditure Authority Increases</td></tr> </table>	Item No.	Time and Expenditure Authority Increases
Item No.	Time and Expenditure Authority Increases		

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes													
	1.1	Urine Sample Testing Community Action and Human Services is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$464,000 for the purchase of clinical laboratory services to test urine samples for drugs. There is no extension of time under this modification. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$800,000.												
	1.2	Enterprise Content Management Solution Information Technology is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$1,835,000 to execute available contract options for purchase of licenses, professional services, maintenance and support to expand the Enterprise Content Management System. There is no extension of time under this modification. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$2,265,000.												
	1.3	Mobile Materials Handling Equipment – Prequalification Pool Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces is requesting additional expenditure authority of up to \$250,000 to purchase maintenance equipment for facilities, including ZooMiami and beaches. There is no extension of time under this modification. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$3,474,000.												
	1.4	SAS Data Analysis Software Maintenance Extends this contract for an additional five years so Information Technology may continue to purchase maintenance and support services for the existing SAS software. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$450,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$1,789,000.												
	<table><tr><th>Item No.</th><th>Prequalification Pool Extensions</th></tr><tr><td>2.1</td><td>Time Equipment Maintenance and Repairs – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so various County departments may continue to use this pool to purchase maintenance and repair services for time clocks and related equipment. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$131,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$162,000.</td></tr><tr><td>2.2</td><td>CCTV Pipeline Inspection Equipment, Repair Services, Supplies – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so Water and Sewer may continue to use this pool to purchase closed circuit television video pipeline inspection equipment, supplies, and services. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$1,625,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$3,250,000.</td></tr></table>	Item No.	Prequalification Pool Extensions	2.1	Time Equipment Maintenance and Repairs – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so various County departments may continue to use this pool to purchase maintenance and repair services for time clocks and related equipment. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$131,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$162,000.	2.2	CCTV Pipeline Inspection Equipment, Repair Services, Supplies – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so Water and Sewer may continue to use this pool to purchase closed circuit television video pipeline inspection equipment, supplies, and services. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$1,625,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$3,250,000.							
Item No.	Prequalification Pool Extensions													
2.1	Time Equipment Maintenance and Repairs – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so various County departments may continue to use this pool to purchase maintenance and repair services for time clocks and related equipment. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$131,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$162,000.													
2.2	CCTV Pipeline Inspection Equipment, Repair Services, Supplies – Prequalification Extends this prequalification pool for an additional five years so Water and Sewer may continue to use this pool to purchase closed circuit television video pipeline inspection equipment, supplies, and services. The amount requested for the five-year extension is \$1,625,000. The additional allocation brings the cumulative value of this contract to \$3,250,000.													
8F12 140951	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF A COMPETITIVE CONTRACT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$2,530,000.00 FOR RETROFIT ENGINE SERVICES, AND REJECTION OF BIDS TENDERED IN RESPONSE TO A SOLICITATION FOR THE PURCHASE OF PARTS AND SERVICES FOR BELL AND EUROCOPTER HELICOPTERS													
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes award of competitively established contract in a total amount up to \$2,530,000.00; and authorizes the rejection of bids tendered in response to a solicitation.</p> <p>Competitive Contract Award</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Item 1.1 – Retrofit Transit Bus Cooling System</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Proposed Contract</th></tr><tr><td>Description</td><td><p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of retrofit engine services for approximately 100 buses managed by Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).</p><p>The awardee will retrofit the current conventional hydraulic engine cooling system on the buses to an electric engine cooling system. The awardee is also responsible for training MDT staff on proper maintenance of the electric cooling system.</p></td></tr><tr><td>Current Contract</td><td>Currently, the County does not have a contract for these services.</td></tr><tr><td>Cumulative Value</td><td>The amount requested for the one-year term is \$2,530,000.</td></tr><tr><td>Vendors</td><td><p>On December 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award was to the lowest priced, responsive and responsible bidder who met the solicitation requirements.</p><p>Vendor</p></td></tr></table>		Item 1.1 – Retrofit Transit Bus Cooling System		Proposed Contract		Description	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of retrofit engine services for approximately 100 buses managed by Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).</p> <p>The awardee will retrofit the current conventional hydraulic engine cooling system on the buses to an electric engine cooling system. The awardee is also responsible for training MDT staff on proper maintenance of the electric cooling system.</p>	Current Contract	Currently, the County does not have a contract for these services.	Cumulative Value	The amount requested for the one-year term is \$2,530,000.	Vendors	<p>On December 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award was to the lowest priced, responsive and responsible bidder who met the solicitation requirements.</p> <p>Vendor</p>
Item 1.1 – Retrofit Transit Bus Cooling System														
Proposed Contract														
Description	<p>The proposed contract awards a contract for the purchase of retrofit engine services for approximately 100 buses managed by Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).</p> <p>The awardee will retrofit the current conventional hydraulic engine cooling system on the buses to an electric engine cooling system. The awardee is also responsible for training MDT staff on proper maintenance of the electric cooling system.</p>													
Current Contract	Currently, the County does not have a contract for these services.													
Cumulative Value	The amount requested for the one-year term is \$2,530,000.													
Vendors	<p>On December 23, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. The method of award was to the lowest priced, responsive and responsible bidder who met the solicitation requirements.</p> <p>Vendor</p>													

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes						
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 241 435 321"></td><td data-bbox="435 241 1484 321">Electric Sales and Service, Inc.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 321 435 373">Funding Source</td><td data-bbox="435 321 1484 373">Federal Funds</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 373 435 611">Additional Information</td><td data-bbox="435 373 1484 611"> <p>On December 4, 2012, under Resolution No. 1037-12, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorized the County Mayor, his designee, or MDT Director, to file a financial assistance application with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) for \$2,512,500 in FY 2012), Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5308 Clean Fuel Program funds for the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems Project and to utilize \$427,125 in Toll Revenue Credits from the State of Florida as a soft match.</p> <p>On September 14, 2012, the FTA announced the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems project was selected to receive \$2,512,500 in Clean Fuel Program funding.</p> <p>The proposed item will apply these funds to retrofit approximately 100 older buses with new electric engine cooling systems.</p> </td></tr> </table>		Electric Sales and Service, Inc.	Funding Source	Federal Funds	Additional Information	<p>On December 4, 2012, under Resolution No. 1037-12, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorized the County Mayor, his designee, or MDT Director, to file a financial assistance application with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) for \$2,512,500 in FY 2012), Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5308 Clean Fuel Program funds for the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems Project and to utilize \$427,125 in Toll Revenue Credits from the State of Florida as a soft match.</p> <p>On September 14, 2012, the FTA announced the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems project was selected to receive \$2,512,500 in Clean Fuel Program funding.</p> <p>The proposed item will apply these funds to retrofit approximately 100 older buses with new electric engine cooling systems.</p>
	Electric Sales and Service, Inc.						
Funding Source	Federal Funds						
Additional Information	<p>On December 4, 2012, under Resolution No. 1037-12, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) authorized the County Mayor, his designee, or MDT Director, to file a financial assistance application with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) for \$2,512,500 in FY 2012), Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5308 Clean Fuel Program funds for the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems Project and to utilize \$427,125 in Toll Revenue Credits from the State of Florida as a soft match.</p> <p>On September 14, 2012, the FTA announced the MDT Electric Engine Cooling Systems project was selected to receive \$2,512,500 in Clean Fuel Program funding.</p> <p>The proposed item will apply these funds to retrofit approximately 100 older buses with new electric engine cooling systems.</p>						
	<p>Rejection of Bids <u>Item 2.1 – Parts and Services for Bell and Eurocopter Helicopters</u> On September 25, 2013, an Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition to obtain support, which includes aircraft inspection and testing, as well as aircraft parts and overhaul from helicopter manufacturers, manufacturer representatives, and specialized providers. These goods and services are essential to helicopter reliability and safety, compliance with Federal Aviation Agency regulations, and the protection of the County's capital investment.</p> <p>Eight (8) Bid Submittals Received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six (6) respondents were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office due to the respondents qualifying their pricing for the services with specific stipulations that would alter the final cost of the services. Two (2) respondents could not provide all of the services and parts required for robust maintenance of the fleet. <p>Rejection of all eight bids received under solicitation 9784-0/19 – Parts and Services for Bell and Eurocopter Helicopters is recommended. The fiscal impact for the five-year contract term would have been \$5,930,000.</p>						
8F13 141072	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS (2/3) VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT TO RATIFY AN EMERGENCY CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$460,710.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES(Internal Services)						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution waives formal bid procedures, pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the County Code by a two-thirds (2/3s) vote of the members present, and ratifies the emergency contract in a total amount of up to \$460,710.00.</p> <p>On February 11, 2014, an emergency contract to Fisk Electric Company for emergency replacement of a feeder line at Miami International Airport was approved in the amount of \$460,710. A major underground feeder circuit had shorted, and the switchgear would not reset.</p>						
8F14 140767	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PRE-QUALIFICATION POOL CONTRACT 9797-1/24 ANIMAL CARE SERVICES IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,940,000 FOR PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES						
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of a pool contract, Bid No. 9797-1/24, for the purchase of various animal care products in a total amount of up to \$1,940,000, and authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis.</p> <p>The supplies include, but are not limited to, animal cage banks, food bowls, leashes, grooming supplies and dental care kits. Pre-qualified vendors are invited to participate in future spot market competitions.</p> <p>The products under this contract will be primarily used by the Animal Services Department (ASD) to support daily operations. ASD will also use the contract to purchase supplies for its new facility, which is expected to open during Fiscal Year 2014-15. Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces will also utilize this contract for Zoo Miami needs. The remaining County departments listed below have various responsibilities for the care of animals, which include search and rescue efforts, security searches and general law enforcement tasks that require access to this contract to purchase supplies for those animals.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$970,000. If the single, \$970,000 five-year option-to-renew is exercised, the contract's cumulative value will be \$1,940,000. The contract's allocation is based on the user departments' projected needs. <i>There is no previous contract satisfying this need, as departments were using small purchase orders to acquire necessary supplies. The proposed allocation is as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Animal Services \$875,000 Proprietary Funds; Aviation 5,000 Proprietary Funds; Corrections and Rehabilitation 5,000 General Fund; Fire Rescue 5,000 Fire District Funds; Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces 75,000 General Fund; Police 5,000 General Fund; 						

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total - \$970,000 <p><u>Vendor(s) Pre-Qualified for Pool</u> On December 20, 2013, an Invitation to Bid (ITB) was issued under full and open competition. The method of award was to all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the ITB for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the contract term subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis. The vendors listed below met the pre-qualification criteria.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lavcor, LLC (MICRO/SBE) • Animal Care Equipment & Services, LLC • Tomahawk Live Trap, LLC
8G1 140901	RESOLUTION APPROVING MIAMI BEACH CITY CENTER COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FISCAL YEAR 2013-14 BUDGET
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Miami Beach City Center Redevelopment Agency's annual adopted budget for fiscal year 2013-14, related to the City Center Community Redevelopment Area.</p> <p>The Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County), the City of Miami Beach (City), and the City Center Community Redevelopment Agency (Agency), approved on March 30, 1993, requires that the City and Agency transmit its adopted annual budget to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for approval.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The Agency's revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida State Statutes. County and City of Miami Beach (City) tax increment revenues deposited into the trust fund for FY 2013-14 total \$15,918,000 and \$19,840,000, respectively.</p> <p>The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency, based on each respective year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year, through 2023, when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On January 26, 1993, the BCC approved the establishment of the Agency when it declared the Area to be slum and blighted pursuant to Resolution No. 14-93. The BCC approved the Agency's Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) and its funding when it enacted Resolution No. 317-93 and Ordinance No. 93-28, respectively.</p> <p><u>FY 2013-14 Budget</u> On September 30, 2013, the Agency approved the Agency's FY 2013-14 budget in the amount of \$43,000,000. The budget includes revenue sources of County TIF Revenues (\$15,918,000), City TIF Revenues (\$19,840,000), Resort Tax Contributions (\$5,386,000), a ½ mill levy to be set aside for the Children's Trust (\$1,831,000), and interest earnings (\$25,000).</p> <p>Administrative expenditures for the Agency's operations, including the City Administrative Charge for general oversight (\$283,000), total \$2,244,000 and represent approximately five percent of total budgeted expenditures, excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$239,000), which is less than the 20 percent allowed in the Interlocal Agreement.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$39,791,000, and includes but is not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Agency's Capital Fund Balance Shortfall (\$2,390,000). • The capital project fund credit of \$2,231,000 is a result of projects that were underspent and closed out. • \$9,922,000 for debt service including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Series 2005 Bonds and the combined debt service on the Parity Bonds (\$8,550,000); ○ Sunshine State Loan for the reconstruction/renovation of Lincoln Road (\$825,000); and ○ Loans for the Bass Museum Project (\$547,000). • \$4,195,000 for community policing that includes ten police officers, two sergeants, two public safety aides, a crime analyst and a part-time lieutenant providing community policing exclusively within the Agency seven days a week. <p>The Agency's budget includes a contingency reserve of \$384,000.</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2012-13 expenditures created an initial unaudited fund balance deficit of \$341,237. Therefore, it is accounted for in FY 2013-14 (\$342,000). The Agency is in the process of auditing the current fiscal year and believes there will be no deficit.</p>
811 140974	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND VARIOUS MUNICIPALITIES, SPECIFICALLY HEREIN, WITH THE VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY, FOR THE PURPOSE OF LOCAL POLICE PATROL SERVICES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ACTION TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, MODIFICATIONS, RENEWALS, AND EXTENSIONS, TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND TERMINATION CLAUSES CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to execute Interlocal Agreements between Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), and various municipalities, specifically herein, an Interlocal Agreement with the Village of Palmetto Bay, for the purpose of local police patrol services. The Agreement authorizes the MDPD to provide local police patrol services for the Village</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>of Palmetto Bay and will be effective July 1, 2014 through July 1, 2019, unless terminated earlier.</p> <p>Background The MDPD provides local police patrol services upon request from various municipalities. These are comprehensive police patrol services which include enforcing the law, preventing and deterring crime, arresting criminal offenders, preventive and directive patrolling, maintaining public order, and providing services to the community. Activities may include directing and enforcing traffic laws, directing, controlling, and preventing traffic crashes, responding to emergency and non-emergency calls for service, conducting field interviews, arresting criminal offenders, issuing traffic citations, and reporting quality of life issues.</p> <p>Uniform staff will wear their MDPD uniforms with the addition of a distinctive patch identifying them as members of the municipal police force. The new agreement for the Village of Palmetto Bay will become effective upon approval by the Board of County Commissioners.</p> <p>Additional Information On May 4, 2010, the BCC approved the following similar agreements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-485-10 Resolution approving an Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Town of Miami Lakes for the provision of local police patrol services. • R-486-10 Resolution approving an Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Town of Cutler Bay for the provision of local police patrol services.
<p>8I2 140982</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT RELATING TO ESTABLISHING PROTOCOLS AND PROVIDING ASSISTANCE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AT NAVY FACILITIES LOCATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ACTION TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, MODIFICATIONS, RENEWALS, AND EXTENSIONS, TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND TERMINATION CLAUSES CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or his designee to execute the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Department of the Navy (Navy) and Miami-Dade County (County), through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD).</p> <p>The MOU will provide services as necessary to assist the Navy within the County. The duration of the MOU is for five (5) years. There is no fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p>Background The Navy has a long history of partnering with the MDPD. This partnership is especially important to the Navy as the Navy depends upon the MDPD to assist at their locations within the County, especially as it relates to the Navy Operational Support Center, Miami, and United States Marine Corps 4th Civil Affairs Group Force Headquarters. This MOU will establish protocols for the MDPD to provide assistance to the Navy at Navy facilities located in the County. Through this federal partnership, the MDPD is able to enhance the public safety of this community.</p>
<p>8M1 141073</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY FOR UP TO \$229,500.00 TO CONDUCT BIOLOGICAL MONITORING PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH PETITIONERS DAN KIPNIS, TROPICAL AUDUBON SOCIETY, INC. AND BISCAYNE BAY WATERKEEPER, INC. PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THIS BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE NECESSARY AMENDMENTS TO THE COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute a Collaborative Agreement with the United States Geological Survey for up to \$229,500.00 to conduct biological monitoring pursuant to a Settlement Agreement with petitioners Dan Kipnis, Tropical Audubon Society, Inc. and Biscayne Bay Waterkeeper, Inc. previously approved by this Board.</p> <p>On May 1, 2012, under Resolution No. 422-12, the Board approved a Settlement Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Petitioners Dan Kipnis, Tropical Audubon Society, Inc. and Biscayne Bay Waterkeeper, Inc. regarding the -50 Feet Miami Harbor Federal Navigation Project Phase III (Port Dredging Project), and said Settlement Agreement was executed on May 16, 2012.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact Under the proposed Collaborative Agreement, Miami-Dade County will pay the United States Geological Survey \$229,500 for Monitoring Project 2 activities as stated in the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement required fund transfers totaling \$1,310,000 to be deposited into the Biscayne Bay Environmental Trust Fund by the Seaport Department. In accordance with the schedule of fund transfers in the Settlement Agreement, the initial amount of \$665,000 has been transferred to the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund and will provide the funding for Monitoring Project 2 activities.</p>
<p>8N1 141007</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT WITH FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO TAKE POSSESSION OF AND PERFORM MAINTENANCE ON A SAFETY IMPROVEMENT FEATURE TO BE BUILT WITHIN THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY METRORAIL SOUTH CORRIDOR LOCATED EAST AND WEST OF SW 27TH AVENUE, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT(Miami-Dade Transit)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade County (County) to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) on behalf of Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in order to take possession and perform maintenance of a safety improvement feature (a hot-dipped galvanized steel picket fence) to be built within the Metrorail South Corridor.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The project is located in Commission Districts 5 and 7.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> There is a negligible fiscal impact associated with the acceptance and maintenance of this safety feature mentioned in this MOA. MDT will receive approximately 3,000 feet of fence line at no cost to the County. The cost to conduct maintenance of the fence by MDT is approximately \$500.00 annually.</p> <p><u>Background</u> In May 2012, FDOT conducted a study which determined that a fence was needed to prevent pedestrians and/or MDT patrons from randomly crossing US-1 at locations that are unsafe. Installing the fence will allow pedestrians to travel to the closest signalized intersection in order to safely cross US-1.</p>
<p>8N2 140874</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL AND EXECUTION OF AGREEMENTS FOR THE COORDINATION OF TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WITH ALLAPATTAH COMMUNITY ACTION, INC.; BETTER WAY OF MIAMI, INC.; BORINQUEN HEALTH CARE CENTER, INC.; CAMILLUS HOUSE, INC.; CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; CHARLEE OF DADE COUNTY, INC.; CITRUS HEALTH NETWORK, INC.; COALITION OF FLORIDA FARMWORKER ORGANIZATIONS, INC.; COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY FOUNDATION; COMMUNITY HABILITATION CENTER, INC.; CONCEPT HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC. AKA CONCEPT HOUSE; DAVE AND MARY ALPER JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER; DEEDCO GARDENS, INC.; DOUGLAS GARDENS COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER OF MIAMI BEACH, INC.; EASTER SEALS SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; PSYCHO-SOCIAL REHABILITATION CENTER, INC. AKA FELLOWSHIP HOUSE; FLORIDA PACE CENTERS, INC.; FOUNDATION FOR NEW LIFE; FRESH START OF MIAMI-DADE, INC.; GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; HARDCORE YOUTH SERVICE CORP.; HIALEAH HOUSING AUTHORITY; HIALEAH-MIAMI SPRINGS ROTARY CHARITABLE FOUNDATION, INC.; CITY OF MIAMI SPRINGS; HIS HOUSE, INC.; HOPE CENTER, INC. - A SUBSIDIARY OF THE UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY ASSOCIATION OF MIAMI, INC.; JESSE TRICE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER, INC.; JEWISH COMMUNITY SERVICES OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.; LITTLE HAVANA ACTIVITIES AND NUTRITION CENTERS OF DADE COUNTY, INC.; MACTOWN, INC.; MAISON DE ST. JOSEPH, INC.; MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER, INC.; MIAMI BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CENTER, INC.; MIAMI BRIDGE YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC.; MIAMI CEREBRAL PALSY RESIDENTIAL SERVICES, INC.; MIAMI JEWISH HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.; MIAMI LIGHTHOUSE FOR THE BLIND AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED, INC. AKA FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF WORKERS FOR THE BLIND, INC.; MICHAEL-ANN RUSSELL JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER; NATURE LINKS FOR LIFELONG LEARNING, INC.; NORTH MIAMI FOUNDATION FOR SENIOR CITIZENS' SERVICES, INC.; PLAZA HEALTH NETWORK AKA HEBREW HOMES HEALTH NETWORK; REGIS HOUSE, INC.; SOUTHWEST SOCIAL SERVICES PROGRAM, INC.; SPECTRUM PROGRAMS, INC.; ST. ANNE'S NURSING CENTER AKA ST. ANNE'S RESIDENCE, INC.; SUNRISE COMMUNITY, INC.; SUNRISE OPPORTUNITIES, INC.; THE ARC OF SOUTH FLORIDA - ADULT PROGRAM; THE ARC OF SOUTH FLORIDA - CHILDREN'S PROGRAM; THE HISTORIC MOUNT ZION MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH; THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE SCHOOL; THE VILLAGE SOUTH, INC.; UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY ASSOCIATION OF MIAMI, INC.; UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, MAILMAN CENTER FOR CHILD DEVELOPMENT (DEBBIE SCHOOL) AKA DEBBIE INSTITUTE; UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, PERINATAL C.A.R.E. PROGRAM; VILLA MARIA NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER, INC.(Miami-Dade Transit)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Coordination and Fare Agreements (Agreements) between Miami-Dade County (County), as the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC), and fifty –six (56) not-for-profit agencies for the provision of safe, cost-effective, and coordinated transportation for the transportation disadvantaged in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> There is a minimal fiscal impact for the County. Staff time is limited to coordinating with the social service agencies in obtaining and reviewing the signed agreements and collecting required agency operating data.</p> <p>The effective dates of these Agreements are July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 and must be approved by the Board no later than June 30, 2014.</p>
<p>8O1 141001</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF JOINT FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. 14GGESMC0000110 FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS FOR WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$12,882,347.00, WITH FUNDING BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,110,145.00, AND THE REMAINING BALANCE OF \$2,772,202.00 BEING FUNDED BY THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of Joint Funding Agreement between Miami-Dade County through its Water and Sewer Department (WASD) and the United States Geological Survey for water resources investigations for a term of five (5) years in the total amount of \$12,882,347.00, with funding by Miami-Dade County in an amount not to exceed \$10,110,145.00. The remaining balance of \$2,772,202.00 will be contributed by the United States Geological Survey. The investigations authorized by this Joint Funding Agreement are necessary to comply with state and federal regulatory requirements.</p> <p>The scope of work in this Joint Funding Agreement provides three (3) major services of countywide significance including water resource investigations related to the Floridan Aquifer System and a sea level rise and climate change countywide impact analysis for the Biscayne and Floridan aquifers.</p> <p>Additional Information A similar agreement was approved by the BCC, on April 2, 2013, through R-248-13, authorizing the execution of Joint Funding Agreement between the County, through the WASD, and the U.S. Geological Survey for Fiscal Year 2013 retroactive to October 1, 2012 in the amount of</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>\$1,409,364.00. The water resources investigations authorized by this Joint Funding Agreement were required to comply with the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit, they included equipment maintenance, and data collection relating to groundwater elevations, water quality and saltwater intrusion.</p>
<p>802 140971</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO TO THE NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT NUMBER 07MWA005, PROJECT NUMBER E06-WASD-12 WITH MWH AMERICAS, INC. PROVIDING FOR ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE DESIGN OF UPGRADES TO THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S CENTRAL DISTRICT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT, AND ITS APPURTENANT FACILITIES INCLUDING PUMP STATION 1 AND 2; INCREASING TOTAL COMPENSATION BY \$4,500,000.00, FROM \$8,800,000.00 TO \$13,300,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes execution of Amendment No. 2 to the non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement, Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Department (WASD) Project Number E06-WASD-12, with MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH). This amendment increases total compensation by \$4,500,000.00, from \$8,800,000.00 to \$13,300,000.00. It will provide MWH with additional monies to start work on significant capital projects and assist WASD in-house staff with time sensitive wastewater projects required by the Consent Decree for the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant and its appurtenant facilities.</p> <p>The requested increase of \$4,500,000.00 will be utilized for projects which are necessary to sustain the reliability of the operations at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant. These projects include one (1) renewal and replacement project and four (4) pending Consent Decree Projects.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/ Funding Source</u></p> <p>Sufficient funding is available in the County's current and future budgets until the eight (8) year term of this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement is completed and final payment is made to MWH.</p> <p>Of the original \$8,800,000.00 contract amount, \$7,815,732.88 has been paid to MWH for work completed. The remaining balance of \$790,000.00 has already been allocated for one (1) time sensitive Consent Decree Project at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant: Basis of Design Report for the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant's 2 Digesters.</p> <p>The remaining balance of \$194,267.12 is available to use however, it is an insufficient dollar amount to fund any of WASD's needed capital projects at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant is the largest and oldest wastewater treatment plant in the County's system. The age of the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant along with the significant sewage flows the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant receives from the Barrier Islands (Miami Beach, Surfside, Bal Harbour, Bay Harbor Islands and Key Biscayne) are the cause for the Plant's extensive rehabilitation needs.</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, in order to provide MWH with additional time to complete various engineering and construction management services for renewal and replacement projects at the Plant, the Board approved Amendment No. 1 through R-749-13, which extended the Agreement by two (2) additional years to an end date of October 11, 2015. At that time, no additional monies were added to this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u></p> <p>A Community Business Enterprise goal of thirty-five percent (35%) was established as a part of the original contract.</p> <p>On January 14, 2014, the Small Business Development Division issued a Notice of Deficiency to MWH concerning compliance with the 35% participation goal. MWH responded that compliance with the participation goal had been impacted by the number of projects scheduled under this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement whose scope of work was revised or canceled by the Department.</p> <p>Approximately two years ago, WASD did cancel projects or revise the scope of work for certain projects due to other capital expenditure requirements. In the case of MWH the revised scope of work resulted in the elimination of \$1,500,000.00 in Community Business Enterprise services for this Agreement.</p> <p>In an effort to achieve the 35% goal, MWH submitted a compliance plan to the Small Business Development Division. The compliance plan delineates how MWH plans on reaching the participation goal should Amendment No. 2 be approved by the Board. Based on a review of the plan submitted by MWH and input from WASD, the Small Business Development Division accepted a plan of 26.93% in CBE participation for this Agreement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On September 17, 2013, pursuant to R-749-13, the CBE goal of 35% was established as a part of the original contract and MWH had complied with all the threshold requirements and the participation goals. The CBE goal was reviewed again by the Small Business Development Section on December 7, 2012, and it was determined that the goal remain unchanged. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <div data-bbox="272 1877 1484 1927" style="background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">Legislative History- Contract No. E06-WASD-12 Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant</p> </div>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>October 2, 2007</p>	<p>The BCC awarded Contract No. E06-WASD-12 between MWH Americas, Inc. (MWH) and Miami-Dade County in the amount of \$8,800,000 for a six (6) year term. MWH was to provide professional engineering and construction management services to upgrade the infrastructure and operations of the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant (CDWWTP) and its appurtenant facilities including Pump Stations 1 and 2.</p> <p>SUBCONSULTANTS: BND Engineering, Inc., Cardozo Engineering, Inc., Consul-Tech Construction Management, Inc., Consul-Tech Development Services, Inc., Consul-Tech Surveying & Mapping, Inc., HR Engineering Services, Inc., Media Relations Group, LLC., Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc., and Nova Consulting, Inc.</p> <p>ASSIGNED CONTRACT MEASURES: CBE 35.00%</p>
	<p>September 17, 2013 R-749-13</p>	<p>This resolution authorized Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with MWH extending the contract period to October 11, 2015, allowing MWH to complete their assigned scope of work to provide professional engineering and construction management services for renewal and replacement projects at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant as delineated in the original agreement with no additional monies added to the contract.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The County's Adopted Budget had funding available for the duration of this amendment. The original contract amount was for \$8.8 million of which \$3,723,216.68 had been paid for work performed by MWH. At that time, approximately 58% of the contract's funds were available for use totaling \$5,076,783.32.</p> <p>Background MWH Americas, Inc. was awarded the original agreement in October 2007 with a six-year (6) term and a total compensation amount of \$8.8 million. However, due to cashflow constraints and other capital expenditure requirements, only part of the assigned scope of work was completed by MWH leaving approximately 58% of the contract funds unused.</p> <p>Projects that have been identified in the pending Consent Decree related to the wastewater system will be addressed through the program management and design contracts being procured for that specific purpose. The design and construction management capacity represented in this two (2) year time extension will be applied to projects that are and will be needed to sustain plant reliability beyond what is required in the Consent Decree or in the Pump Station Improvement Program.</p>
<p>803 140973</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO TO NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT NUMBER 07BRCA004; PROJECT NUMBER E06-WASD-13 WITH BROWN AND CALDWELL (CORPORATION) PROVIDING FOR ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE DESIGN OF UPGRADES TO MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S NORTH DISTRICT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND ITS APPURTENANT FACILITIES; INCREASING TOTAL COMPENSATION BY \$4,500,000.00, FROM \$8,800,000.00 TO \$13,300,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>	
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes execution of Amendment No. 2 to non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement, Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) Project Number E06-WASD-13 with Brown and Caldwell. This amendment increases total compensation by \$4,500,000.00, bringing the total from \$8,800,000.00 to \$13,300,000.00. It will provide Brown and Caldwell with additional monies needed to start work on significant capital improvements projects and assist WASD in-house staff with time-sensitive wastewater projects required by the Consent Decree for the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant and its appurtenant facilities.</p> <p>The requested increase of \$4,500,000.00 will be utilized for the projects necessary to sustain the reliability of the operations at the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant. These projects include one (1) renewal and replacement project and ten (10) pending Consent Decree projects.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/ Funding Source Sufficient funding is available in the County's current and future budgets until the eight (8) year term of this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement is completed and final payment is made to Brown and Caldwell.</p> <p>Of the original \$8,800,000.00 contract amount, \$6,049,621.62 has been paid to Brown and Caldwell for work completed. The remaining balance of \$2,686,520.00 has already been allocated for other needed renewal and replacement projects at the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant and for two (2) time-sensitive Consent Decree Projects: the Plant-Wide Electrical Basis of Design Report and the Flood Mitigation Basis of Design Report.</p> <p>The remaining balance of \$63,858.38 is available to use however, it is an insufficient dollar amount to fund any of WASD's needed capital projects at the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant.</p> <p>Background The North District Wastewater Treatment Plant was built in the late 1970's in North Miami. The treated wastewater effluent is disposed of</p>	

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>via an ocean outfall two (2) miles off the coast and four (4) deep injection wells. Due to the corrosive nature of sanitary sewage and the proximity of this facility to the marine environment, structures and component parts of the facility require extensive maintenance and experience a shorter life than other similar facilities.</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, in order to provide Brown and Caldwell with additional time to complete various engineering and construction management services for renewal and replacement projects at the Plant, the BCC approved Amendment No. 1 through R-750-13, which extended the Agreement by two (2) additional years to an end date of October 11, 2015. At that time, no additional monies were added to this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> A Community Business Enterprise goal of 35% was established as a part of the original contract and will also be applied to the additional compensation in Amendment No. 2.</p> <p>On January 27, 2014, a Notice of Deficiency was issued to Brown and Caldwell by the Small Business Development Division concerning compliance with the 35% participation goal. Brown and Caldwell responded that compliance with the participation goal had been impacted by the number of projects scheduled under this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement that were canceled by the Department.</p> <p>Approximately two years ago, WASD did cancel projects due to other capital expenditure requirements. However, with the approval of Amendment No. 2, the design work assigned for the Consent Decree projects under this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement will increase Community Business Enterprise participation.</p> <p>Brown and Caldwell has indicated that Community Business Enterprise participation will increase in the near future and that the goal will be achieved by the contract completion date.</p>
<p>804 140975</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NUMBER TWO TO THE NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT NUMBER 07CH2M005, PROJECT NUMBER E06-WASD-14 WITH CH2M HILL, INC. PROVIDING FOR ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE DESIGN OF UPGRADES TO THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT'S SOUTH DISTRICT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT, AND ITS APPURTENANT FACILITIES; INCREASING TOTAL COMPENSATION BY \$4,500,000.00, FROM \$8,800,000.00 TO \$13,300,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes execution of Amendment No. 2 to non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Department (WASD) Project No. E06-WASD-14 with CH2M Hill, Inc. (CH2M). Amendment No. 2 increases total compensation by \$4,500,000.00, bringing the total from \$8,800,000.00 to \$13,300,000.00. It will provide CH2M with additional monies needed to start work on significant capital improvement projects and assist WASD in-house staff with time sensitive wastewater projects required by the Consent Decree for the South District Wastewater Plant and its appurtenant facilities.</p> <p>The requested \$4,500,000.00 will be utilized for projects which are all necessary to sustain the reliability of the operations at the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant. These projects include one (1) renewal and replacement project and five (5) Consent Decree projects.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/ Funding Source</u> Sufficient funding is available in the County's current and future budgets until the eight (8) year term of this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement is completed and final payment is made to CH2M.</p> <p>Of the original \$8,800,000.00 contract amount, \$5,725,095.22 has been paid to CH2M for work completed. The remaining balance of \$2,900,000.00 has already been allocated for other needed renewal and replacement projects at the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant and for two (2) time sensitive Consent Decree Projects: FOG Removal Facility and Digester and Control Building.</p> <p>The remaining balance of approximately \$174,904.78 is available to use however, it is an insufficient dollar amount to fund any of WASD's needed capital projects at the South District Wastewater Treatment Plant.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The South District Wastewater Treatment Plant is the newest wastewater treatment facility which began its operations in the 1980's. It has undergone a major regulatory mandated renovation to construct a High Level Disinfection upgrade, however, there are still structures, processes and equipment which have deteriorated and require immediate attention.</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, in order to provide CH2M with additional time to complete various engineering and construction management projects at the Plant, the Board approved Amendment No. 1 through R-751-13 which extended the Agreement two (2) additional years to an end date of October 11, 2015. At that time, no additional monies were added to this non-exclusive Professional Services Agreement.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> A Community Business Enterprise goal of 35% was established as a part of the original contract and will also be applied to the additional compensation in Amendment No. 2. A memorandum from the Small Business Development Division dated March 18, 2014 indicates that CH2M is in compliance with the 35% goal at this time.</p>
<p>9A1</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
140902	BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THROUGH ITS COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT AND THE COMMUNITY HUMAN SERVICE FOUNDATION NON-PROFIT, INC., DBA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY FOUNDATION, FOR THE COUNTY TO LEASE ONE WHEEL CHAIR ACCESSIBLE MINI BUS FOR ONE DOLLAR PER YEAR FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND AMEND OTHER AGREEMENTS AS MAY BE REQUIRED UNDER THIS PROGRAM FOR THIS PURPOSE(Community Action and Human Services)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute the standard Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), between Miami-Dade County, through its Community Action and Human Services Department and the Community Human Service Foundation Non-Profit, Inc., a not for profit Florida Corporation, DBA Community Action Agency (CAA) Foundation, for the lease of one (1) new disabled equipped and wheelchair accessible mini-bus. The leasing of the vehicle will expand the Community Action and Human Services Department's ability to provide transportation services to eligible disadvantaged disabled individuals.</p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County for the provision of these services. There are no administrative costs associated with the lease and no local match required by Miami-Dade County.</p>
10A1 141002	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ACTION IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING AGREEMENTS WITH CITRUS HEALTH NETWORK, INC. AND CAMILLUS HOUSE, INC., AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF MIAMI HOMELESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, TO PROVIDE \$900,000.00 IN GAP FUNDING FOR HOMELESS SERVICES PREVIOUSLY FUNDED WITH FEDERAL EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT FUNDS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AMENDMENT, MODIFICATION, RENEWAL, CANCELLATION, EXTENSION AND TERMINATION CLAUSES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the County Mayor or his designee's action in approving and executing grant agreements to provide gap funding to Citrus Health Network, Inc. for homeless prevention and rapid rehousing programs (\$575,000.00), and to Camillus House, Inc. for the operation of Beckham Hall Emergency Housing Shelter (\$175,000.00).</p> <p>In addition, the proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute a grant agreement with the City of Miami's Homeless Assistance Program for outreach and placement services (\$150,000.00); and authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to exercise any amendment, modification, renewal, cancellation, extension and termination clauses.</p> <p>The proposed resolution allocates Homeless and Domestic Violence Food and Beverage Tax proceeds to fill funding gaps created by the reduction of federal funding to local entitlement jurisdictions from the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The ESG allocations are formula based. Only three entitlement jurisdictions receive ESG funds: Miami-Dade County, City of Miami and City of Hialeah. ESG funding was reduced by almost fifty percent (50%) in all three entitlement jurisdictions. Consequently, federal funding passed through to Citrus, Camillus and the City of Miami for their homeless programs was also reduced. The Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Board recommended the use of Food and Beverage funds to fill some of the funding gap created by federal reductions to the programs run by Citrus, Camillus and the City of Miami. The agencies may use the allocation of food and beverage funds as part of their match obligation for their federal awards. No ad valorem tax funds are impacted.</p> <p>The ESG funds may be used for five (5) program components: street outreach, emergency shelter, homeless prevention, rapid re-housing assistance, the Homeless Management Information System, as well as administrative activities. Metropolitan city and urban county recipients must match grant funds with an equal amount of cash and/or noncash contributions, which may include donated buildings, materials and volunteer services. The three entitlement jurisdictions select a sub-recipient(s) to administer their jurisdiction's ESG-funded eligible programs.</p> <p>These recommended funding appropriations were included in the FY 2013-2014 Homeless Trust budget, approved by the County Commission in September, 2013. Agreements for services with Citrus Health Network and Camillus House, Inc. were prepared and executed by the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee in order to avoid reductions in these much-needed services. The agreement for services with the City of Miami is pending City Commission approval for execution.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>According to the OneCPD website¹ The ESG program provides funding to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Engage homeless individuals and families living on the street; 2. Improve the number and quality of emergency shelters for homeless individuals and families; 3. Help operate these shelters; 4. Provide essential services to shelter residents; 5. Rapidly re-house homeless individuals and families; and 6. Prevent families and individuals from becoming homeless. <p><u>Grant Amount</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2013 Allocation: \$215 million

¹ Website Address: www.onecpd.info/about-onecpd/ OneCPD represents a change in the way the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) structures and delivers technical assistance for its community development programs. This model recognizes that grantees layer resources from various programs to achieve community goals: development and preservation of affordable housing, operation of housing and services for special needs populations, economic and community development and creation of jobs.

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum allocation: \$48,743 • Maximum allocation: \$10,921,293 <p><u>Eligible Recipients (365)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States: 51 (including Puerto Rico) • Metropolitan Cities: 198 • Urban Counties: 112 • U.S. Territories: 4
11A1 140875	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR A PILOT PROGRAM TO PROVIDE LOAN ASSISTANCE TO LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS AND FAMILIES FOR THE PAYMENT OF RENTAL HOUSING SECURITY DEPOSITS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to provide a report to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for the creation of a pilot program to provide loan assistance to low and moderate income persons and families for the payment of rental housing security deposits. The report will, at a minimum, set forth the components of the rental security deposit assistance loan program, including the options for repayment, and will identify possible sources of funds to fund the program.</p> <p>The Mayor will bring this report to the BCC within 120 days from the date of this resolution.</p>
11A2 140876	RESOLUTION APPROVING LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY AND CITY OF HIALEAH REGARDING JOINT DEVELOPMENT AT OKEECHOBEE METRORAIL STATION SITE; APPROVING DISTRICT 13 ALLOCATION FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER 249 - "PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND EXPANSION OF HOME OWNERSHIP" OF \$5,592,000 TO CITY OF HIALEAH AS GRANT TO FUND CONSTRUCTION OF ELDERLY AFFORDABLE RENTAL UNITS TO BE LOCATED ON OKEECHOBEE METRORAIL STATION SITE; APPROVING AGREEMENTS RELATED TO SUCH GRANT; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER LEASE AND HOUSING AGREEMENTS ON BEHALF OF COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION PROVISIONS IF NECESSARY; AND WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-138-14
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Lease Agreement between the County and City of Hialeah regarding joint development at Okeechobee Metrorail Station site. Additionally, the proposed resolution approves District 13 allocation from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program of \$5,592,000 to City of Hialeah as a grant to fund the first phase, consisting of sixty (60) affordable, elderly rental housing units of approx. 742 sq. ft., at the Okeechobee Site.</p> <p>Highlights from the Lease Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term of the Lease is 99 years; • Rent will be \$1.00; • Tenant will construct 180 Senior Affordable Housing units within nine (9) years; • Senior Affordable Housing is housing occupied solely by persons who are 62 years old or older, or housing occupied by at least one person who is 55 years old or older in at least 80% of the occupied units, and where the owner/operator adheres to a policy that demonstrates an intent to house persons who are 55 years old or older. • Total annual household incomes do not exceed 65% of the area median income of Miami-Dade County. • Phase I- sixty (60) units, including required parking. Must obtain building permit within twelve (12) months of Commencement Date and completion must occur within 36 months of Commencement Date. <p>Additional Information</p> <p>On November 6, 2001, the BCC, through R-1251-01, authorized the advertisement and issuance of Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 311 for joint development at Metrorail Stations, including the Okeechobee Metrorail Station. On January 29, 2002, the BCC, through R-100-02, suspended the solicitation process for the Okeechobee Station and directed the County Manager to enter into negotiations with the developer, Jubilee Community Development Corporation. As part of the resolution, Jubilee was required to conduct a competitive process in order to acquire a development partner and as a result of that competitive process, Gatehouse Group, Inc. was chosen.</p> <p>The Developer and County negotiated the project for 60 months but the parties were unable to reach a mutually acceptable agreement that would guarantee that the project would be completed in a timely basis.</p> <p>At that time, Miami-Dade County received an unsolicited proposal from the City of Hialeah, proposing to build an elderly residential project consisting of 3 residential towers of approximately 345 units collectively and space for commercial uses. Upon termination of negotiations with Jubilee, MDT will enter into discussions with the City.</p> <p>On July 24, 2007, the BCC, through R-903-07, terminated negotiations for joint development between Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and Jubilee Community Development Corporation. <i>According to R-903-07, this item will have a positive fiscal impact, either the County will be receiving lease payments or purchase payment based on Fair Market Value of the property as appraised by a County approved independent appraiser. These funds will be applied towards MDT's deficit reduction plan.</i></p>
11A3 140865	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INSTALL SIGNAGE AT THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY ENTRANCE ALERTING DRIVERS TO SHARE THE ROAD WITH CYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS UPON EITHER THE IDENTIFICATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDING OR OUTSIDE SPONSORS TO PAY FOR THE COSTS ASSOCIATED THEREIN

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes										
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to install signage at the Rickenbacker Causeway entrance alerting drivers to share the road with cyclists and pedestrians upon either the identification of available funding or outside sponsors to pay for the costs associated with installation.										
11A4 140909	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE RENEWAL AGREEMENTS FOR COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 FOR CURRENTLY FUNDED COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING SOCIAL SERVICE GRANTS AND TO DEVELOP A NEW COMPETITIVE PROCESS FOR THE AWARD OF SUCH GRANTS TO COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS IN COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishes as the Board of County Commissioners' (BCC's) policy that social service grants for Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) remain funded in fiscal year 2014-2015 at a level to be determined through the County's fiscal year 2014-2015 budget process; Directs the County Mayor or his designee to incorporate funding for CBOs into his proposed County fiscal year 2014-2015 budget; In anticipation of the need in the community for social services provided by CBOs for fiscal year 2014-2015, directs the County Mayor or his designee to commence negotiations with currently funded CBOs to renew agreements for fiscal year 2014-2015, subject to subsequent BCC approval of all such grants and appropriation in sufficient amounts to fund such agreements in the County's fiscal year 2014-2015 budget; Directs the County Mayor or his designee to establish a mechanism for receiving and reviewing outside community input regarding the CBOs funding priorities approved pursuant to Resolution No. 380-10, such as by holding workshops for public input; Directs the County Mayor or his designee to develop an internal competitive process (Proposed Process) for the selection of CBOs to receive funding in County fiscal year 2015-2016 administered through the County's Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Grants Coordination division, and to present such process, prior to implementation, for review and approval by the BCC within sixty (60) days of the adoption of this item; and Directs the County Mayor or his designee to include in the Proposed Process an implementation timeline, procedures governing the competitive process, service priority areas with percentage allocations, and a three-year funding cycle which includes a recommended approach for handling reductions or increases in available funding, subject to appropriation and funding by the County through the County's annual budget process. <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08</td><td> <p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td>April 8, 2008 R-420-08</td><td> <p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p> <p>The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc.</p> <p>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td>Dec. 19, 2008</td><td> <p>During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In developing its recommendations the CBOAB took into consideration community needs, an inventory of local funding of human, social, and criminal justice-related services from County and non-County sources, and current and past levels of funding from the County. Decisions were made utilizing a consensus-based process, and all final recommendations were adopted by a formal vote of the CBOAB members.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td>May 5, 2009 R-541-09</td><td> <p>The BCC, through R-541-09, approved the CBO funding and RFP process, service priority areas, and percentage allocations for funding commencing FY 2010-11 (originally for funding commencing FY 2009-10).</p> <p>This resolution was based on the funding, contracting, and outcome measurement recommendations adopted by the CBOAB on December 19, 2008 and as amended on March 24, 2009, for funding commencing</p> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process		2 nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	<p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p>	April 8, 2008 R-420-08	<p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p> <p>The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc.</p> <p>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</p>	Dec. 19, 2008	<p>During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In developing its recommendations the CBOAB took into consideration community needs, an inventory of local funding of human, social, and criminal justice-related services from County and non-County sources, and current and past levels of funding from the County. Decisions were made utilizing a consensus-based process, and all final recommendations were adopted by a formal vote of the CBOAB members.</p>	May 5, 2009 R-541-09	<p>The BCC, through R-541-09, approved the CBO funding and RFP process, service priority areas, and percentage allocations for funding commencing FY 2010-11 (originally for funding commencing FY 2009-10).</p> <p>This resolution was based on the funding, contracting, and outcome measurement recommendations adopted by the CBOAB on December 19, 2008 and as amended on March 24, 2009, for funding commencing</p>
Legislative History of the CBO Funding Process											
2 nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	<p>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed.</p> <p>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</p>										
April 8, 2008 R-420-08	<p>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB).</p> <p>The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups.</p> <p>The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc.</p> <p>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</p>										
Dec. 19, 2008	<p>During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC.</p> <p>On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In developing its recommendations the CBOAB took into consideration community needs, an inventory of local funding of human, social, and criminal justice-related services from County and non-County sources, and current and past levels of funding from the County. Decisions were made utilizing a consensus-based process, and all final recommendations were adopted by a formal vote of the CBOAB members.</p>										
May 5, 2009 R-541-09	<p>The BCC, through R-541-09, approved the CBO funding and RFP process, service priority areas, and percentage allocations for funding commencing FY 2010-11 (originally for funding commencing FY 2009-10).</p> <p>This resolution was based on the funding, contracting, and outcome measurement recommendations adopted by the CBOAB on December 19, 2008 and as amended on March 24, 2009, for funding commencing</p>										

Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>FY 2009-10.</p> <p>However, due to the County's Fiscal Year 2009-10 budget process, the RFP was postponed and continuation contracts (with decreased allocations) were awarded instead.</p>
	<p>Sept. 1, 2009 R-1079-09</p>	<p>The BCC, through R-1079-09, amending R-541-09, eliminated the previously-established cap on the maximum amount of funding that any one CBO may request in response to the 2009-2010 Human and Social Services CBOs Funding and RFP; and reinforces that despite the elimination of this cap, no single CBO will be awarded more than \$1 million through the RFP process.</p> <p><i>In other words, this resolution removed the \$1 million restriction on the amount requested, allowing an organization to request more than \$1 million; however, no organization would receive more than \$1 million.</i></p>
	<p>April 6, 2010 R-380-10</p>	<p>The BCC authorized the advertisement and solicitation of RFP No. 0411, the RFP process for the funding of CBOs, with the majority of the CBOAB recommendations in place.</p> <p><i>In addition to approving the CBO funding process, R-380-10, also provided that in scoring the proposals submitted in response to the Human and Social Services CBO Funding RFP, extra points would be awarded to agencies offering services within the Magic City Zone.</i></p> <p><i>Further, an award of up to five extra points would be given to proposals with a clear and feasible plan to provide services to residents from: Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSA); Targeted Urban Areas (TUA); Enterprise Zone; Magic City Zone; or other statutorily-defined human and social services high risk/high need areas.</i></p>
	<p>Subsequently, the CBOAB requested a three month and then a one year extension of the RFP process, continuing funding at the same level for those CBOs that previously received funding. The one year extension was until April 2012.</p>	
	<p>March 3, 2011 Legistar No. 110349</p>	<p>Miami-Dade County's Legistar shows that the Office of Strategic Business Management placed a resolution under File No. 110349 on the March 3, 2011, BCC Agenda, awarding contracts under RFP No. 0411 for Human and Social Services CBO funding in an annualized amount not to exceed \$19,436,900; and authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to negotiate, execute, amend, extend and renew said contract. <u>This item was not assigned to a committee and did not come before the BCC.</u></p> <p><i>File No. 110349 states that ten Evaluation/Selection Committees comprised of 29 five member teams (145 committee members, eight non-voting chairpersons) were appointed to review 575 individual service proposals received from 308 community-based organizations in response to the RFP. However, as stated above, the drafted item never came before the BCC.</i></p>
	<p>FY 2011-12 FY 2012-13</p>	<p>The CBO funding adopted for FY 2011-12 was \$20,166,932 and for FY 2012-13 was \$20,166,932, which continued funding at the same levels.</p>
	<p>Sept. 4, 2013 R-700-13</p>	<p>R-700-13 imposes a twenty-five (25) percent cap (Cap) on the amount that any single CBO may receive from the County's General Fund for its administrative budget, including but not limited to salaries, benefits and fringes of the CBO's management personnel (i.e. executive directors, agency heads); overhead costs; and clerical or other administrative personnel who do not directly provide the services required pursuant to contract with the County.</p>
	<p>Dec. 3, 2013 R-1019-13</p>	<p>R-1019-13 amended R-700-13 to delay enforcement and implementation of the Cap until the beginning of the next County fiscal year. The Cap will be imposed on Community-Based Organizations with contracts beginning on or after October 1, 2014. Any waiver of the Cap following October 1, 2014 pursuant to Resolution No. R-700-13 will require further action by the Board.</p> <p>The Board directed the County Mayor or his designee to advise affected Community-Based Organizations that enforcement and implementation of the Cap has been delayed, and that the Cap will not be effective until October 1, 2014.</p>
<p>11A5 140985</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT WITHIN SIXTY DAYS REGARDING NECESSARY STEPS TO INCREASE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE COUNTY'S INSPECTION PROCESS REGARDING PASSENGER MOTOR VEHICLES</p>	
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to evaluate ways in which to improve the effectiveness of the inspection process for passenger motor vehicles. The Mayor or designee will prepare and submit to the Board a report within sixty (60) days of the adoption of this resolution.</p>	
<p>11A6 141030</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$83,000,000 TO FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR THE BENEFIT OF NATIONAL CAMPUS</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION AND NCCD - BISCAYNE PROPERTIES LLC FOR PURPOSES OF AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the issuance of Industrial Development Revenue Bonds of the Miami-Dade County Industrial Development Authority in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$83,000,000 for the purpose of financing and refinancing the Project, as previously described, is approved.</p> <p>The Bonds and the interest on the Bonds will not constitute a debt, liability or general obligation of the Authority, the University, the County or of the State of Florida or of any political subdivision thereof, but will be payable solely from the revenues or other moneys specifically provided by the Company for the payment of the Bonds and neither the faith and credit nor any taxing power of the Authority, the County or of the State of Florida or of any political subdivision thereof is pledged to the payment of the principal or interest on the Bonds.</p>
11A7 141225	RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DIRECT THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PERFORM A STUDY AND PROVIDE A REPORT, WITHIN SIXTY (60) DAYS, ON MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S USE OF TASERS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) to create a report to include at a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The health effects of taser usage on an individual. • Miami-Dade Police departmental policy procedures governing taser use including but not limited to: taser use certification course, training, departmental deployment procedures and implementation of best practices. • An accounting of taser deployment usage by Miami-Dade Police when responding to a call, the type of call, the threat involved and the event outcome (arrest, hospitalization, baker act, death and cause of death including excited delirium or heart attack) within the last five years. An accounting of taser deployment usage by Miami-Dade Police when used as an alternative to lethal force. • Recommendations, technological or policy, that will increase public safety and provide a less lethal experience for citizens and law enforcement. <p>Additionally, the Mayor or his designee will direct the MDPD to consult with all appropriate persons in carrying out the directions of this resolution, including but not limited to, the Medical Examiner's Office, other police agencies or departments that have addressed similar incidents, and institutions of higher learning.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee will direct the MDPD to present its findings and report, including recommendations as to any appropriate corrective actions, to the Board of County Commissioners no more than sixty (60) days from the date of this resolution.</p>
11A8 140987	RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$126,000,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 17 - "COUNTYWIDE WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM ENHANCEMENTS" TO FUND EXTENSION OF SEWER SYSTEM TO DEVELOPED COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CORRIDORS OF COUNTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the allocation of \$126,000,000.00 from Project 17- "Countywide Water and Sewer System Enhancements" of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Program to fund the sewer expansions to the Business Corridors identified in the Mayor's Memo and report entitled "Sewer Service to Commercial Properties in Miami-Dade County".</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On July 2, 2013, the BCC, through R-597-13, directed the Mayor to develop a plan to extend sewer service to the major commercial corridors identified in the Water and Sewer report including, but not limited to: NW 7th Avenue, NW 22nd Avenue, NW 27th Avenue, NW 79th Street, NE 2nd Avenue, Biscayne Boulevard, SW 40th Street (including from Red Road to the Palmetto Expressway), South Dixie Highway (including the Naranja area) and West Dixie Highway where significant clusters of commercial and industrial zoned property lack access to sewer lines.</p> <p>Additionally, the sewer extension plan will be incorporated into the Water and Sewer 5-year capital program after consideration and approval by this BCC. The plan was due within 90 days of the adoption of R-597-13.</p> <p><u>Mayor's Memo dated April 14, 2014- Extending Sewers to Commercial Areas</u></p> <p>The Mayor's Memo dated April 14, 2014, provided in response to the directive in R-597-13, outlines the projects that would substantially reduce unsewered commercial areas within the WASD service area. Additionally, the technical report was conducted by WASD's consultant Black & Veatch.</p> <p>The report shows approximately 2,200 parcels consisting of 29 separate projects that would receive service along the major corridors. The projects are identified by Commission District with the exception of Districts 5, 11 and 12, where major commercial corridors are currently serviced by sewers.</p> <p>The total cost estimate to bring service to these 2,200 parcels is about \$284 million of which about \$11 million are already included in the Department's capital plan, leaving approximately \$273 million of additional funding needed. About \$40 million of the unfunded portion are associated with additional capacity in the regional transmission system, and about \$233 million represent the unprogrammed costs of installing local collection systems that in developing areas would typically be constructed by developers and then donated to WASD. The cost estimates include an ample 40% contingency that may be reduced. The report identifies various approaches to financing the cost of these projects.</p> <p>Currently, there is about \$126 million of available GOB funding, approved by voters, for water and sewer system projects. A decision to</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<p>support these projects with GOB funding would mean that funding from other sources, most likely revenue bonds, would be needed to replace the GOB revenue that could have been applied to other capital projects.</p> <p>The projects described in the report represent an addition to WASD's very substantial capital program. If the BCC determines to proceed with this work, a financing method needs to be identified and further analysis by Regulatory and Economic resources (RER) should be conducted to prioritize the projects in terms of economic return on investment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has the BCC accepted the plan? <p><u>Discussion at the May 13, 2014, Infrastructure and Capital Improvements Committee (ICIC) meeting:</u> The following issues were raised during discussion at the ICIC meeting: flexibility regarding use of the GOB funds; whether further analysis is needed for a more specific project list; whether a task force should be formed; and if other future projects would be affected by using the GOB funding.</p>												
<p>11A9 141084</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF FIVE (5) SINGLE FAMILY HOME SITES TO SOARING TO ACHIEVE RESULTS SYSTEMATICALLY DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR INFILL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AT A PRICE OF TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00); AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 3-44 AS IT RELATES TO THE SECTION ENTITLED AVAILABILITY OF COUNTY PROPERTY; AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEEDS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN THE COUNTY DEED [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141042]</p>												
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes conveyance of five (5) single family home sites, to Soaring to Achieve Results Systematically Developmental Center, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for infill housing development at a price of ten dollars (\$10.00). The proposed resolution, also waives Administrative Order 3-44 as it relates to "Availability of County Property" and Administrative Order 8-4.</p> <p>The Deeds provide for an "Affordable Housing Restrictive Covenant", which states that the properties will remain affordable during the "Control Period", which is the date the deeds are recorded transferring title from the developer to the first qualified household and resets automatically every 20 years for a maximum of 60 years.</p> <p>The sales price of the homes will not exceed \$175,000.00</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="269 1020 870 1209"> <thead> <tr> <th>Folio #</th><th>Office of the Property Appraiser 2013 Assessed Value-</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>30-2135-020-0130</td><td>\$14,226</td></tr> <tr> <td>30-2134-012-0850</td><td>\$20,429</td></tr> <tr> <td>30-2134-011-1680</td><td>\$13,414</td></tr> <tr> <td>30-3102-010-0630</td><td>\$13,764</td></tr> <tr> <td>30-3103-019-1090</td><td>\$ 4,538</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Folio #	Office of the Property Appraiser 2013 Assessed Value-	30-2135-020-0130	\$14,226	30-2134-012-0850	\$20,429	30-2134-011-1680	\$13,414	30-3102-010-0630	\$13,764	30-3103-019-1090	\$ 4,538
Folio #	Office of the Property Appraiser 2013 Assessed Value-												
30-2135-020-0130	\$14,226												
30-2134-012-0850	\$20,429												
30-2134-011-1680	\$13,414												
30-3102-010-0630	\$13,764												
30-3103-019-1090	\$ 4,538												
<p>11A10 141159</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECAPTURE DOCUMENTARY SURTAX FUNDS FROM AGENCIES THAT DO NOT CLOSE FINANCING ON THE FUNDS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF AWARD OR SIX MONTHS FROM THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION, WHICHEVER IS LATER; FURTHER AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD THOSE FUNDS THAT ARE RECAPTURED TO AGENCIES FOR NEXT IN LINE FEASIBLE PROJECTS FOR DOCUMENTARY SURTAX FUNDING</p>												
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes and directs the County Mayor or his designee to recapture Documentary Surtax funds from Agencies that do not close financing on the funds within six (6) months of award of the funds or six (6) months from the effective date of this resolution, whichever is later.</p> <p>The County Mayor or his designee is further authorized and directed to allocate the recaptured Documentary Surtax funds to Agencies for next in line feasible projects for Documentary Surtax funding. The recapture and allocation will be subject to BCC approval.</p> <p>County staff will review the next in line applicant's ability to proceed by confirming with their permitting agency, general contractor, and the other financing sources that they expect the applicant to be able to close within six (6) months at terms equal to or better than the terms presented in the Surtax application. The Mayor or his designee will be authorized to grant extensions pursuant to resolution R-232-14.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On March 4, 2014, the BCC, through R-232-14, restricted contract extensions for persons or entities funded with Community Development Block Grant, Home Investment Partnership, Documentary Stamp Surtax (Affordable Housing), State Housing Initiatives Program, or Emergency Solutions Grant Funds. This resolution only applies to contracts and conditional loan commitments executed after its adoption.</p> <p>After one (1) extension of time has been granted for up to one (1) year to an Agency to perform an activity described in the County's Annual Action Plan or to perform an activity funded with Housing and Community Development Funds awarded by the BCC – whether the extension is of a conditional loan commitment or a contract executed between the Agency and the County – the Mayor will review the project, specifically the Agency's performance and non-compliance issues, and make a determination as to whether it is in the best interest of the County to grant another extension or bring a recommendation to the BCC to recapture those funds.</p>												

**Board of County Commissioners
June 3, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	If the Mayor or his designee finds it is in the best interest of the County to grant the extension, the Mayor will take that executive action and extend the agreement. After two (2) extensions for up to two (2) additional years have been granted, the Mayor will bring a recapture/reallocation item to the BCC making either (a) a recommendation to extend the contract or conditional loan commitment granting more time for the Agency to perform and explain why that is the recommendation, or (b) a recommendation to recapture and reallocate the Housing and Community Development Funds. When the item comes to committee, there will be a public hearing at which the Agency may come and explain why it needs more time to perform the activity, and the BCC will decide whether to recapture the funds or authorize another extension of the commitment or contract.
11A11 141112	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ANALYZE ALTERNATIVES TO REDUCE OPERATING COSTS OF THE COUNTY'S ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENTS WITHOUT ADVERSELY AFFECTING COUNTY SERVICES, TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT TO THIS BOARD A PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF COST SAVING MEASURES, AND TO INVOLVE THE COMMISSION AUDITOR IN THE PROCESS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED PLAN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140799]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the operations of the administrative departments under his purview; • Analyze alternatives to reduce operating costs of each such department by three percent (3%), five percent (5%) and ten percent (10%), respectively, without affecting services; • Prepare and submit to the Board a plan for implementation of cost saving measures; and • Involve the Commission Auditor in development of the plan so that cost savings identified in the plan can be independently verified. <p>In order to equip the Board with information and analysis so that it may consider alternatives for cost saving measures that do not sacrifice the delivery of services, the plan will, at a minimum, be developed in accordance with the foregoing guidelines and will detail, for each administrative department: the anticipated costs savings, with a further breakdown of cost savings derived from operations and capital, and a description of the anticipated impact; and the total number of positions anticipated to be impacted with a breakdown by administrative positions versus direct service providers, and a description of the anticipated impact.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee will submit a written report detailing the recommended plan to this Board within sixty days from the date of adoption of this resolution.</p>
11A12 141113	RESOLUTION AMENDING THE COMMISSION AUDITOR'S ANNUAL WORK PROGRAM TO INCLUDE THE TASK OF ANALYZING THE COUNTY'S BUDGET TO IDENTIFY SAVINGS THAT CAN BE ATTAINED WITHOUT IMPACTING DELIVERY OF SERVICES; DIRECTING THE COMMISSION AUDITOR TO REPORT THE RESULTS OF THIS ANALYSIS WITHIN SIXTY DAYS; AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE THE COMMISSION AUDITOR WITH ACCESS TO THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO ACCOMPLISH SUCH TASK IN A TIMELY MANNER [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140800]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends the Commission Auditor's annual work program to include the task of analyzing the County's budget and recommending alternatives to reduce operating costs of each administrative department by three percent (3%), five percent (5%) and ten percent (10%), respectively, without impacting delivery of services</p> <p>The Commission Auditor will report the results of this analysis to this Board within sixty days from the date of adoption of this resolution.</p> <p>The Board hereby directs the County Mayor or his designee to afford the Commission Auditor the ability to make inquiries of departmental personnel to clarify matters under the purview of the Commission Auditor and to provide the Commission Auditor with access to the information needed to accomplish such task in a timely manner, including, but not limited to, the following information: financial reporting structure and detailed table of organization for all department divisions, section and units, to include occupation code, employee identification number, activity, and revenue and expenditure index code(s); all financial and budget reports to include revenues and expenditures by line item, as well as historical information, for the departments that are currently not in the County's FAMIS structure (Aviation Department, Public Housing and Community Development, and Water and Sewer Department); and any available reports of previous or on-going departmental efficiency studies.</p>
11A13 141151	RESOLUTION ENDORSING THE STATE ATTORNEY'S PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGN COMBATING SEX TRAFFICKING
Notes	The proposed resolution endorses the State Attorney's public awareness campaign combating sex trafficking and encourages all Miami-Dade County departments to make available public space for the dissemination of information on this matter.