



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

July 15, 2014

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																												
4A 141549	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ROAD IMPACT FEE; PROVIDING FOR REIMBURSEMENT TO FEEPAYER FOR ROAD IMPACT FEE STUDY ("STUDY") UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; PROVIDING FOR REVIEW OF REJECTION OF STUDY OR AMOUNT OF REIMBURSEMENT; AMENDING SECTION 33E-9 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 33E-9 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing for reimbursement to feepayer for Road Impact Fee study (Study) under certain circumstances, and for review of rejection of Study or amount of reimbursement.</p> <p><i>Currently, Section 33E-9 of the Code authorizes a feepayer to utilize an independent fee computation study (study), which, if approved by the Public Works and Waste Management Director, determines the amount of the Road Impact Fee. In the annual review of the Road Impact Fee such study may be utilized to modify the impact fee schedule set forth in Section 33E-8 of the Code. If the County utilizes such a study to amend the impact fee schedule, the proposed ordinance provides for the reimbursement to the feepayer for reasonable and customary charges incurred in preparing the study.</i></p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 33E-9 of the Code</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Fee Computation by Independent Study</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33E-9(b) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i></td><td>The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the County Public Works Director, pay to the County Planning and Zoning Director a nonrefundable independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment.</td><td>The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department, pay to the Director of the County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department an independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment and shall not be refunded, except in accordance with subsection (g) of this section.</td><td><i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i> <i>Provides the correct name of the department.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33E-9(f) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i></td><td>Any appeals from a decision of the County Public Works Director to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive Council, pursuant to the procedures set forth in the adopted impact fee manual.</td><td>Any appeals from a decision of the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be filed with the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department within 30 days of the decision and shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive.</td><td><i>Provides for the appeal to be filed within 30 days of the Director's decision.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33E-9(g) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i></td><td>In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Manager may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8.</td><td>In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Mayor may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8. In the event that the impact fee schedule is amended as a result of an independent study that is determined to be of general applicability, the feepayer who funded that study shall be reimbursed for the reasonable and customary charges for that study (excluding any attorney's fees) as determined by the Director of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department. Should the feepayer disagree with the</td><td><i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*				Section 33E-9 of the Code				Fee Computation by Independent Study				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 33E-9(b) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the County Public Works Director , pay to the County Planning and Zoning Director a nonrefundable independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment.	The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department , pay to the Director of the County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department an independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment and shall not be refunded, except in accordance with subsection (g) of this section.	<i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i> <i>Provides the correct name of the department.</i>	Sec. 33E-9(f) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	Any appeals from a decision of the County Public Works Director to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive Council, pursuant to the procedures set forth in the adopted impact fee manual.	Any appeals from a decision of the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be filed with the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department within 30 days of the decision and shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive.	<i>Provides for the appeal to be filed within 30 days of the Director's decision.</i>	Sec. 33E-9(g) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Manager may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8.	In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Mayor may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8. In the event that the impact fee schedule is amended as a result of an independent study that is determined to be of general applicability, the feepayer who funded that study shall be reimbursed for the reasonable and customary charges for that study (excluding any attorney's fees) as determined by the Director of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department. Should the feepayer disagree with the	<i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*																													
Section 33E-9 of the Code																													
Fee Computation by Independent Study																													
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																										
Sec. 33E-9(b) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the County Public Works Director , pay to the County Planning and Zoning Director a nonrefundable independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment.	The feepayer shall, at the time the independent fee computation study is submitted to the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department , pay to the Director of the County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department an independent study administrative cost in the amount set forth in the impact fee manual to be used solely by the County for the processing and review of the independent fee calculation study. This amount shall not be credited against the road impact fee payment and shall not be refunded, except in accordance with subsection (g) of this section.	<i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i> <i>Provides the correct name of the department.</i>																										
Sec. 33E-9(f) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	Any appeals from a decision of the County Public Works Director to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive Council, pursuant to the procedures set forth in the adopted impact fee manual.	Any appeals from a decision of the Director of the County Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department to reject an independent fee study because of deficiencies shall be filed with the Director of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or successor department within 30 days of the decision and shall be reviewed and decided by the County Developmental Impact Committee Executive.	<i>Provides for the appeal to be filed within 30 days of the Director's decision.</i>																										
Sec. 33E-9(g) <i>Fee Computation by Independent Study</i>	In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Manager may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8.	In his annual review of the impact fee ordinance the County Mayor may recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that the type of use and fee rates approved pursuant to an independent study prepared under this section be added to or substituted in the impact fee schedule contained in Section 33E-8. In the event that the impact fee schedule is amended as a result of an independent study that is determined to be of general applicability, the feepayer who funded that study shall be reimbursed for the reasonable and customary charges for that study (excluding any attorney's fees) as determined by the Director of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management or successor department. Should the feepayer disagree with the	<i>Provides for reimbursement to the feepayer for Road Impact Fee study under certain circumstances.</i>																										

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
			amount of the reimbursement, the feepayer may appeal such decision in the same manner as the rejection of an independent fee study as set forth in subsection (f) above.
	<i>*In addition to the proposed amendments depicted by this chart, several subsections were only amended to provide the correct name of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management and the Director of the Department of Public Works and Waste Management. These amendments are <u>not</u> depicted in this chart.</i>		
4B 141586	ORDINANCE RELATING TO FOR-HIRE MOTOR VEHICLES; AMENDING CHAPTER 31, ARTICLE V OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO CHAUFFEURS; AMENDING DEFINITIONS AND REQUIRING THAT TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY FOR-HIRE VEHICLE DRIVERS OBTAIN A CHAUFFEUR’S REGISTRATION AND COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS THEREIN; CREATING CHAPTER 31, ARTICLE VII OF THE CODE REGULATING TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES, CHAUFFEURS AND VEHICLES; PROVIDING FOR DEFINITIONS, LICENSING AND REGULATION OF TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES; PROHIBITING TRANSFER OF TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY LICENSES; REQUIRING THAT DRIVERS OF TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY VEHICLES OBTAIN A CHAUFFEUR’S REGISTRATION; PROVIDING FOR DUTIES OF REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING FOR RULES OF OPERATION; PROVIDING THAT TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES MAY DETERMINE RATES AND FARES; ESTABLISHING INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS AND VEHICLE STANDARDS; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT, SUSPENSION, REVOCATION AND PENALTIES; AMENDING CHAPTER 8CC OF THE CODE TO PROVIDE FOR PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE		
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to For-hire Motor Vehicles, amends Chapter 31, Article V of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), relating to chauffeurs, to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amends definitions;• Requires that Transportation Network Entity for-hire vehicle drivers obtain a chauffeur’s registration and comply with the requirements therein;• Creates Chapter 31, Article VII of the Code regulating Transportation Network Entities, chauffeurs and vehicles;• Provides for definitions, licensing and regulation of Transportation Network Entities;• Prohibit transfer of Transportation Network Entity licenses;• Require that drivers of Transportation Network Entity vehicles obtain a chauffeur’s registration;• Provide for duties of Regulatory and Economic Resources department;• Provide for rules of operation;• Provide that Transportation Network Entities may determine rates and fares;• Establish insurance requirements and vehicle standards;• Providing for enforcement, suspension, revocation and penalties; and• Amends Chapter 8CC of the Code to provide for penalties. <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p><u>How the Taxi Industry Became Legit in Miami, July 2, 2014</u> (wlrn.org/post/how-taxi-industry-became-legit-miami)</p> <p>About 56 years ago, in a county devoid of apps, smartphones and cars with pink fluffy mustaches, there lived a taxi industry that didn't rely on Miami-Dade County regulations. That taxi industry without regulations is long gone, but ride-sharing apps Lyft and UberX are here -- and they're trying their best to stay. The smartphone-based companies connect users with drivers, and like Miami's old taxis, they don't rely on county regulations. The taxi industry isn't too happy about this. “How would you like if suddenly somebody comes into your town and says, ‘I don't care about your regulations, I'm going to do the same job you are and I'm not paying for anything,’”, president of the South Florida Taxicab Association, said in a previous interview. Because Lyft and UberX don't have regulations, they're breaking the current county code by operating in Miami-Dade. But their predecessors did almost the same thing.</p> <p><u>HISTORY</u></p> <p>The old taxi industry wasn't run by Miami-Dade County. Taxis were owned by independent companies, like Yellow Cab of Miami and University Cabs, and operated through individual city permits. The county issued much cheaper permits, but only in unincorporated areas of Miami. At this time, taxi drivers couldn't pick up passengers outside of their city jurisdiction -- it was illegal. The owner of many of these city and county permits, did that anyway. In the late 1970s, he instructed his taxi drivers (if they opted for it) to illegally pick up and drop off passengers outside their designated city jurisdictions. A taxi driver for University Cabs while this plan was being enacted.”[The cities were] against it, but they just did it. It was a campaign of civil disobedience to bring change”. He says that if University Cabs’ taxi drivers were caught picking up fares from outside their assigned jurisdiction, the owner himself would pay any fines -- much the same way ride-sharing app Lyft is doing for their drivers.</p> <p><u>MEDDLING WITH MEDALLIONS</u></p> <p>In 1981, Miami-Dade County gained control of the taxi industry. By 1998, county code had changed to say taxi drivers required "medallions" to operate, according to the Transportation Law Journal. Owning a taxi medallion was deemed "intangible personal property" and became a property right. The medallions don't come cheap. The average price for a taxi medallion is \$340,000, the Miami Herald reports. Medallions are issued through a lottery system and are in limited supply. Right now, there are 2,121 taxicab medallions in Miami-Dade County. Currently, 11 of the 50 largest cities in the United States use medallions to regulate taxis. Miami is one of these 11 cities. A professor at Florida State University, who has studied taxi regulation for 20 years, says the medallion system severely restricts the supply of taxicabs, even when the supply is well below what the demand is. "[The medallion system] makes it easier for local government to regulate the taxi industry" "It's typically used as a way to cancel out competition. There's little evidence that these regulations benefit public welfare."</p>		
4C	ORDINANCE RELATING TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND CODE OF ETHICS ORDINANCE: AMENDING SECTION 2-11.1(Q) OF THE CODE OF		

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																						
141569	MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, RELATING TO PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING AFTER COUNTY SERVICE; INCREASING PROHIBITION TO FOUR YEARS AFTER COUNTY SERVICE FOR ELECTED AND EMPLOYED OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES; PROVIDING FOR EXCEPTIONS; AMENDING DEFINITION OF COVERED COUNTY OFFICIALS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																						
Notes	<div>The proposed ordinance relating to conflict of interest and code of ethics ordinance, amends Section 2-11.1(q) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, relating to prohibition on lobbying after county service to do the following:</div> <div><div><div>• Increasing prohibition to four years after county service for elected and employed officials and employees;</div><div>• Providing for exceptions; and</div><div>• Amending definition of covered county officials.</div></div></div> <div><div>Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</div><div>Section 2-11.1(q) of the Code</div><div>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance</div><table><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1(q)(1) Continuing Application for after County Services</td><td>No person who has served as an elected county official, <i>i.e., mayor</i>, county commissioner, or a member of the staff of an elected county official, or as <i>county manager, senior assistant to the county manager</i>, department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a county department or agency during the two-year period after his or her county service has ceased.</td><td>No person who has served as an elected County official (e.g., a Mayor or County Commissioner) or a member of the staff of an elected County official, or as a department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this Subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a County department or agency during the four-year period after his or her County service has ceased.</td><td>Increases prohibition to four years after county service for elected and employed officials and employees.</td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1(q)(3) Continuing Application for after County Services</td><td>The provisions of this section shall apply to all individuals as described in Subsection (q)(1) who leave the county after the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives.</td><td>The provisions of this Subsection (q)(1) shall apply to all individuals as described therein who leave the County after the effective date of this ordinance.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-11.1(q)(4) Continuing Application for</td><td>Any former county officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the county within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance and has entered into a</td><td>No former County official, officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the County within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall.</td><td>Amends definition of covered county officials.</td></tr></table></div>			Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes			Bold refers to proposed amendments.		Sec. 2-11.1(q)(1) Continuing Application for after County Services	No person who has served as an elected county official, <i>i.e., mayor</i> , county commissioner, or a member of the staff of an elected county official, or as <i>county manager, senior assistant to the county manager</i> , department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a county department or agency during the two-year period after his or her county service has ceased.	No person who has served as an elected County official (e.g., a Mayor or County Commissioner) or a member of the staff of an elected County official, or as a department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this Subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a County department or agency during the four-year period after his or her County service has ceased.	Increases prohibition to four years after county service for elected and employed officials and employees.	Sec. 2-11.1(q)(3) Continuing Application for after County Services	The provisions of this section shall apply to all individuals as described in Subsection (q)(1) who leave the county after the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives .	The provisions of this Subsection (q)(1) shall apply to all individuals as described therein who leave the County after the effective date of this ordinance .		Sec. 2-11.1(q)(4) Continuing Application for	Any former county officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the county within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance and has entered into a	No former County official , officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the County within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall .	Amends definition of covered county officials.
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																				
		Bold refers to proposed amendments.																					
Sec. 2-11.1(q)(1) Continuing Application for after County Services	No person who has served as an elected county official, <i>i.e., mayor</i> , county commissioner, or a member of the staff of an elected county official, or as <i>county manager, senior assistant to the county manager</i> , department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a county department or agency during the two-year period after his or her county service has ceased.	No person who has served as an elected County official (e.g., a Mayor or County Commissioner) or a member of the staff of an elected County official, or as a department director, departmental personnel or employee shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member shall, for a period of four (4) years after his or her County service or employment has ceased, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(1) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this Subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a County department or agency during the four-year period after his or her County service has ceased.	Increases prohibition to four years after county service for elected and employed officials and employees.																				
Sec. 2-11.1(q)(3) Continuing Application for after County Services	The provisions of this section shall apply to all individuals as described in Subsection (q)(1) who leave the county after the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives .	The provisions of this Subsection (q)(1) shall apply to all individuals as described therein who leave the County after the effective date of this ordinance .																					
Sec. 2-11.1(q)(4) Continuing Application for	Any former county officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the county within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance and has entered into a	No former County official , officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the County within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall .	Amends definition of covered county officials.																				

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p><i>after County Services</i></p>	<p><i>lobbying contract prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall, for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased, comply with Subsection (q) as it existed prior to the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives and as modified by this Subsection (q)(4) when lobbying pursuant to said contract. No former county officer, departmental personnel or employee who has left the county within two (2) years prior to the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives shall for a period of two (2) years after his or her county service or employment has ceased enter into a lobbying contract to lobby any county officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling, or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has a direct and substantial interest; and in which he or she participated directly or indirectly as an officer, departmental personnel or employee, through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation, or otherwise, during his or her county service or employment. As used herein, a person participated "directly" where he or she was substantially involved in the particular subject matter through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation or otherwise, during his or her county service or employment. As used herein, a person participated "indirectly" where he or she knowingly participated in any way in the particular subject matter through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of legal advice, investigation or otherwise, during his or her county service or employment. Former county officers, departmental personnel and employees who have left the county within two (2) years prior to the effective date of the ordinance from which this section derives shall execute an affidavit on a form prepared by the Office of the Inspector General prior to lobbying any county officer, departmental personnel or employee stating that the requirements of this section do not preclude said person from lobbying any officer, departmental personnel or employee of the county. The Inspector General shall verify the accuracy of each affidavit executed by former county officers, departmental personnel or employees.</i></p>	<p>for a period of two (2) years after leaving the County, lobby any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, RFP, RFQ, bid, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Additionally, no person who has served as a community council member within two (2) years prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall for a period of two (2) years after leaving the County, lobby, with regard to any zoning or land use issue, any County officer, departmental personnel or employee in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular subject matter in which Miami-Dade County or one (1) of its agencies or instrumentalities is a party or has any interest whatever, whether direct or indirect. Nothing contained in this Subsection (q)(3) shall prohibit any individual included within the provisions of this Subsection from submitting a routine administrative request or application to a County department or agency during the two (2) year period after his or her County service has ceased.</p>	
	<p>Sec. 2-11.1(q)(5)</p> <p><i>Continuing Application for after County Services</i></p>	<p>Any individual who is found to be in violation of this Subsection (q) shall be subject to the penalties provided in either Subsection (u)(1) or Subsection (u)(2).</p>	<p>Any individual who is found to be in violation of this Subsection (q) shall be subject to the penalties provided in either Subsection (cc)(1) or Subsection (cc)(2).</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<u>Additional Information</u>			
	Legislative Background			
	7/18/06 R-885-06	RESOLUTION PROHIBITING INDIVIDUALS REGISTERED TO LOBBY ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY AT THE STATE LEVEL FROM LOBBYING THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DURING THE REGULAR STATE LEGISLATIVE SESSION; PROVIDING EXCEPTIONS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 061167] <u>Notes from Legistar:</u> <i>The Board adopted the foregoing resolution as amended to exclude 501(c)(3) firms and to include attorney exceptions which shall be made available to the Board of County Commissioners.</i>		
	3/24/11 R-215-11	RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PRIMARY ELECTION TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY, MAY 24, 2011, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TO AMEND THE HOME RULE CHARTER TO PROVIDE THAT ELECTED COUNTY CHARTER OFFICERS SHALL BE PROHIBITED FROM LOBBYING THE COUNTY FOR COMPENSATION FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS AFTER LEAVING OFFICE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 110662] <u>Notes from Legistar:</u> <i>The Board proceeded to vote on the foregoing resolution as amended to prohibit all elected Charter officers from lobbying the County for a period of five (5) years after leaving office, and upon being put to a vote failed. The County Attorney noted the proposed resolution that elected County Charter officers shall be prohibited from lobbying the County for a period of two (2) years after leaving office was being approved subject to the inclusion of an election date to be decided upon later in the meeting. There being no further questions or comments, the Board proceeded to vote on the foregoing resolution as amended to include the election date to be decided upon later in the meeting. Later in the meeting, it was moved that the Special Election on the proposed Charter Amendment be held on May 24, 2011. This motion passed by a vote of 12-0.</i>		
	5/24/11 Election Result	Home Rule Charter Amendment Prohibiting Lobbying by Elected County Charter Officer After Leaving Office Shall the Charter be amended to provide that elected County Charter Officers shall be prohibited from lobbying the County for compensation for a period of two (2) years after leaving office? Election Recount Results: Yes – 87,418 (49.95%); No – 87,602 (50.05%)		
	3/13/12 File No. 129305 Tabled	ORDINANCE RELATING TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND CODE OF ETHICS ORDINANCE; AMENDING SECTION 2 11.1(Q) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO PROHIBIT THE MAYOR AND COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FROM LOBBYING THE COUNTY FOR SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD AFTER COUNTY SERVICE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 120066]		
4D 141585	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE CERTIFICATION TIERS BASED UPON THREE YEAR AVERAGE GROSS REVENUES, REMOVE CERTIFICATION FOR WHOLESALERS AND MANUFACTURERS BASED UPON NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, PROVIDE VIRTUAL OFFICE DEFINITION, REQUIRE ONE YEAR DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, REQUIRE QUARTERLY REPORTING OF CONTRACTS TO CERTIFIED FIRMS BY PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	The proposed ordinance pertaining to Small Business Enterprise program, amends Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to do the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create certification tiers based upon three year average gross revenues;• Remove certification for wholesalers and manufacturers based upon number of employees;• Provide virtual office definition;• Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification;• Require quarterly reporting of contracts to certified firms by Public Health Trust;• Allow application for recertification after submittal of required documents, and contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County.			
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*				
Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise				
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes	
		Bold refers to proposed amendments.		

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(10)</p> <p>Definitions – Graduation</p>	<p><i>Graduation</i> means the SBE or Micro Enterprise has exceeded the specific size limits stated for the program and may no longer be eligible to participate in the program.</p>	<p><i>Graduation</i> means the SBE has exceeded either the personal net worth, or the specific size limits stated for the program and may no longer be eligible to participate in the program.</p>	<p><i>Amends graduation definition to include personal net worth.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(15)</p> <p>Definitions – Micro Enterprise</p>	<p>Micro Enterprise means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three year average gross revenues does not exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00), or a manufacturer with fifty (50) employees or less, or a wholesaler with fifteen (15) employees or less whose actual place of business is in Miami-Dade County.</p>		<p><i>Removes the definition of Micro Enterprise from the Code.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(17)</p> <p>Definitions – Review Committee or RC</p>	<p><i>Review Committee or RC</i> means the committee established by the Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where SBD and the contracting department have not established consensus and when public input requires deliberation regarding the measure/goal recommendation. The RC will make recommendations to the Mayor or designee.</p>	<p><i>Review Committee or RC</i> means the committee established by the Mayor or designee to review proposed projects for the application of contract measures where SBD and the contracting department have not established consensus and when public input requires deliberation regarding the measures/goal recommendations. The RC will make recommendations to the Mayor or designee as needed.</p>	<p><i>Review Committee will be as needed.</i></p> <p><i>Renumbers subsection to Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(16).</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(22)</p> <p>Definitions – Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</p>	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three-year average gross revenues does not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00). The term Small Business Enterprise shall also include a manufacturer with one hundred (100) employees or less or wholesaler with fifty (50) employees or less without regard to gross revenues whose actual place of business is located in Miami-Dade County. Representations as to a business entity's average gross revenues and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE/Micro-SBE size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013–2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.</p>	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and whose three year average gross revenues does not exceed the following contracting participation levels:</p> <p>(i) Tier 1 – \$0 to \$750,000; (ii) Tier 2 – \$750,001 to \$2,000,000; (iii) Tier 3 – \$2,000,001 to \$5,000,000; or (iv) Tier 4 – \$5,000,001 to \$10,000,000.</p> <p>No firm shall be certified as a SBE where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of:</p> <p>(a) the value of the primary residence for which there is a homestead exemption; (b) the value of the business; and (c) funds invested in an individual retirement account (“IRA”), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to a business entity's average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013-2014 calendar year using the figures provided for</p>	<p><i>Create certification tiers based upon three year average gross revenues.</i></p> <p><i>Remove certification for wholesalers and manufacturers based upon number of employees.</i></p> <p><i>Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification.</i></p> <p><i>Specifies that SBEs have an actual place of business and are not virtual offices.</i></p> <p><i>Amends SBE definition to include personal net worth.</i></p> <p><i>Renumbers subsection to Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(21).</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
			the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.	
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(25) Definitions – Virtual Office	N/A	Virtual Office means an agreement that provides a receptionist, mail and facsimile services, and similar services, that give the appearance of having a business presence at a location, but the business entity has no ongoing, full-time physical presence in the building. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.	<i>Provide virtual office definition.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(a) Program – Application	<i>Application.</i> Except where federal or state laws or regulations mandate to the contrary, the provisions of this section shall be applicable to Miami-Dade and Public Health Trust contracts (as defined in this section) funded in whole or in part by County funds. The County Mayor or designee shall prepare implementing orders, bid and contract documents implementing the provisions of this section.	<i>Application.</i> Except where federal or state laws or regulations mandate to the contrary, the provisions of this section shall be applicable to Miami-Dade and Public Health Trust contracts (as defined in this section) funded in whole or in part by County funds. The Public Health Trust shall provide data on a quarterly basis of all contracts awarded to SBEs.	<i>Require quarterly reporting of contracts to certified firms by the Public Health Trust.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(b)(2) Contracts of \$100,000 or less.	Bids or quotes submitted by Micro Enterprises shall automatically receive a ten (10) percent bid preference. The departments shall deduct the preference amount from the total bid or quoted price in order to calculate the price to be used for evaluation.	Bids or quotes submitted by Tier 1 SBEs shall automatically receive a ten (10) percent bid preference. Bids or quotes submitted by Tier 2 SBEs shall automatically receive an eight (8) percent bid preference. Bids or quotes submitted by Tier 3 SBEs shall automatically receive a six (6) percent bid preference. Bids or quotes submitted by Tier 4 SBEs shall automatically receive a five (5) percent bid preference. The departments shall deduct the preference amount from the total bid or quoted price in order to calculate the price to be used for evaluation.	<i>Specifies tier system under contracts of \$100,000 or less.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(1)(a) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Set Asides	Competitive bidding requirements may be waived (by the County Manager or County Commission depending on whether the amount of the contract is above or below the minimum amount established by ordinance for competitive bidding) for a contract and the contract set-aside for bidding solely by SBEs where prior to bid advertisement, there are at least three (3) available SBEs to perform the contract, and where such set-aside is in the best interest of the County.	Competitive bidding requirements may be waived (by the County Manager or County Commission depending on whether the amount of the contract is above or below the minimum amount established by ordinance for competitive bidding) for a contract and the contract set-aside for bidding solely by SBEs where prior to bid advertisement, there are at least three (3) available SBEs to perform the contract, and where such set-aside is in the best interest of the County. Where applicable: (i) contracts up to \$750,000 shall be set-aside for Tier 1 SBEs; contracts from \$750,001 to \$2 million shall be set aside for Tier 2 SBEs; (iii) contracts from \$2,000,001 to \$5 million shall be set aside for Tier 3 SBEs; and (iv) contracts from \$5,000,001 to \$10 million shall be set aside for Tier 4 SBEs.	<i>Specifies tier system under contracts greater than \$100,000 - set asides.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(1)(b) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Set Asides	N/A	In the event there is no availability in the designated SBE tier, SBD may assign a set-aside to the next level tier which will retain a set-aside recommendation. Lower tier SBE firms may bid on higher tier set asides.	<i>New subsection.</i>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(3)(a)</p> <p>Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Bid Preference</p>	<p>A bid preference shall apply to all contracts which are to be awarded on the basis of price (as opposed to RFPs, RFIs and RFQs) and are not set-aside. The preference shall be used only to evaluate a bid and shall not affect the contract price.</p>	<p>A bid preference shall apply to all contracts which are to be awarded on the basis of price (excluding RFPs, RFIs and RFQs) and are not set-aside. The preference shall be used only to evaluate a bid and shall not affect the contract price.</p>	
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(3)(b)</p> <p>Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Bid Preference</p>	<p>The preference accorded on contracts \$1 million or less shall be ten (10) percent of the price bid. The preference accorded on contracts greater than \$1 million shall be 5% of the price bid.</p> <p>Preferences shall be applied to the bid price of bidders that:</p> <p>I. Are SBEs/Micro Enterprises;</p> <p>II. Are joint ventures with at least one SBE/Micro Enterprises;</p>	<p>The preference accorded on contracts \$1 million or less shall be ten (10) percent of the price bid for Tier 1 SBEs, eight (8) percent for Tier 2 SBEs, six (6) percent for Tier 3 SBEs, and five (5) percent for Tier 4 SBEs. The preference accorded on contracts greater than \$1 million shall be 5% of the price bid for all tiers.</p> <p>Preferences shall be applied to the bid price of bidders that:</p> <p>I. Are SBEs; or</p> <p>II. Are joint ventures with at least one SBE.</p>	<p><i>Specifies tier system for contracts greater than \$100,000.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(d)</p>	<p>Review Committee. The County Mayor or designee shall establish an administrative procedure for the review of each proposed County contract greater than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) to which this section applies.</p>	<p>Administrative Procedure. The County Mayor or designee shall establish an administrative procedure for the review of each proposed County contract greater than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) to which this section applies.</p>	<p><i>Increases the threshold for the administrative review of proposed contracts greater than \$100,000.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(h)(2)</p> <p>Certification</p>	<p>Any SBE/Micro Enterprise that exceeds the size limits shall immediately be graduated from the program after formal written notification. Such SBE/Micro Enterprises shall be allowed to remain through the contract period on awarded contracts and any options to renew on the contract. The graduated firm shall not be eligible for any new contracts under the SBE program under the existing certification. With exception of provisions described in the ordinance for graduation from the SBE/Micro Enterprise program, loss of certification may lead to removal of the firm from continued participation in the Small Business Enterprise program.</p>	<p>Any SBE that exceeds the personal net worth or size limits shall immediately be graduated from the program after formal written notification. Such SBE shall be allowed to remain through the contract period on awarded contracts and any options to renew on the contract. The graduated firm shall not be eligible for any new contracts under the SBE program under the existing certification. With exception of provisions described in the ordinance for graduation from the SBE program, loss of certification may lead to removal of the firm from continued participation in the Small Business Enterprise program.</p>	<p><i>Amends certification to include personal net worth.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(h)(4)</p> <p>Certification</p>	<p>The Department of Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify an SBE or Micro Enterprise, and shall decertify an SBE or Micro Enterprise that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a SBE or Micro Enterprise during any appeal of a decertification decision.</p>	<p>Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify an SBE, and shall decertify an SBE that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a SBE during any appeal of a decertification decision. Firms that have been decertified for non-submittal of documents, may apply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified by SBD.</p>	<p><i>Allows firms that have been decertified due to the non-submittal of required documents, to be able to immediately reapply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified, rather than waiting a year before doing so.</i></p>
	<p>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(3)(h)(6)</p>	<p>Applicants and certified SBEs or Micro Enterprises must have a Miami-Dade County</p>	<p>Applicants and certified SBEs must have a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-</p>	<p><i>Requires a valid business tax</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	Certification	<i>local business tax receipt</i> , and an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County at which they perform a commercially useful function in the provision of the applicable type of goods or services for which certification is sought in order to be eligible for certification or remain certified.	Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification , and an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office , at which they perform a commercially useful function in the provision of the type of goods and services for which certification is sought in order to be eligible for certification or remain certified. In addition, a firm shall not be certified unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to the County's tax base.	<i>receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, in alignment with the local preference requirements.</i> <i>Requires contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County.</i>
	*In addition to the proposed amendments depicted by this chart, several subsections were only amended to provide the correct name of Small Business Development. Furthermore, the proposed amendments remove Micro Enterprises from this section of the Code. These amendments are <u>not</u> depicted in this chart.			
4E 141533	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO EXEMPT CERTAIN ANIMAL SERVICES TRANSACTIONS AND PURCHASES FROM COUNTY VENDOR AFFIDAVIT AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-8.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to exempt certain animal services transactions and purchases from the County vendor affidavit and registration requirements.</p> <p>The amendment pertains to organizations providing animal placement services to the Animal Services Department and veterinary services provided by licensed veterinarians.</p>			
4F 141412	ORDINANCE CREATING THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY MARKETING PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM; CREATING ARTICLE CXLIII OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; DELEGATING TO THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE THE AUTHORITY TO ADMINISTER THE PROGRAM AND TO ENTER INTO MARKETING PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTING ORDER; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Article CXLIII of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), delegating to the Mayor or his designee the authority to administer the Miami-Dade County Marketing Partnerships Program, and to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter into Marketing Partnership Agreements; and • Provide for Implementing Order. <p><i>This Countywide Program is designed to create revenue generating opportunities through mutually beneficial "marketing partnerships" that are creative and non-traditional.</i></p> <p><u>Marketing Partnerships Program</u></p> <p><i>The Marketing Partnerships Program (Program) is a countywide sponsorship program whereby a third party will provide a financial benefit to the County in the form of non-tax revenue and/or in-kind fees (products or services) in exchange for the access to the marketing commercial potential associated with select County assets for the use in strategies to promote, sell, or distribute a product or service. Marketing partnerships will vary depending on County assets and corporate goals, but can incorporate certain marketing privileges such as naming and sales rights, facility and event signage, program advertising, and an exclusive proud product/services provider to the County.</i></p> <p>The Program will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leverage County assets (properties, programs, etc.) across departments to enhance the County's position to attract major sponsors/partners; • The County will pursue revenue from private corporations and small enterprises; other governmental entities; foundations and charitable groups; and philanthropists and individuals; and • Support and not supplant current private-sector partnership/revenue generation programs that are already active in various departments unless there is a greater potential to increase revenue generation by inclusion in the new program through marketing partnership agreements. <p><u>Marketing Partnership Agreement</u></p> <p><i>A Marketing Partnership Agreement is an agreement with a third party to provide a financial benefit to the County in the form of non-tax revenue and/or in-kind fees (products or services) in exchange for the access to the marketing commercial potential associated with select County assets for the use in strategies to promote, sell, or distribute a product or service. Marketing Partnership Agreements may incorporate but not be limited to certain marketing privileges such as advertising, exclusivity rights, naming rights, and other revenue-generating</i></p>			

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>methodologies.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> In 2012, Active Network, a national marketing firm, evaluated Miami-Dade County's strengths for a countywide marketing program and identified marketing opportunity categories with the most appeal to corporate partners and economic benefit to the County. The categories included: (1) Proud Partner Program; (2) Beverage and Snack Vending; (3) Naming Rights; (4) Media Services; (5) WiFi Proximity Marketing; (6) "Daily Deal" ConnectCard; (7) Licencing and Branding; (8) MyCounty Webpage; (9) Public Recycling; and (10) 3-1-1 Marketing Program.</p> <p>The evaluation proposed that incremental County revenue and cost savings attributable to just these categories above could initially range between \$750,000 and \$1 million within one year after implementation. These revenues can continue to grow annually as long term contract accelerators kick in and new category segments are phased in and are activated.</p> <p>Sole authority for the allocation of revenue generated as a result of any and all marketing partnership agreements will be retained by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) and will be budgeted through the annual budget process except for certain revenues that may have restricted uses as required by federal law.</p> <p><u>Management of the Program</u> The day to day oversight, development, and management of the Program will be the responsibility of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).</p> <p>Due to the County's size and scope, the proposed ordinance recommends that the County contract with an experienced external professional marketing research firm/consultant, selected through an open competitive solicitation, to assist internal staff. The services of the external consultant will include: (1) obtaining current valuations of County assets, especially those that can be expected to yield more than \$100,000 in revenue; (2) monitoring corporate interest and sponsorship activity on a national level for potential leads; and (3) brokering high-value, long-term. Compensation for such services would be derived directly as a percentage from revenue generated in a successful marketing partnership deal.</p> <p><u>Implementing Order</u> An Implementing Order will be presented to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for consideration prior to the proposed ordinance final approval. The Implementing Order will establish the roles and responsibilities of the OMB as well as the strategies to engage with qualified private/corporate or public sector organization in marketing partnerships, and the authority to negotiate and award marketing partnership agreements.</p>
<p>4J 141597</p>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 5.05 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO ADD SECTION G TO SECTION 5.05 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO CODIFY THE AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECKS FOR COUNTY EMPLOYEES AND TO CODIFY THE COUNTY'S ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 7-41 IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 125.5801 OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 5.05 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to add Section G to Section 5.05 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to codify the authority to conduct criminal history record checks for County employees and to codify the County's Administrative Order 7-41 in accordance with Section 125.5801 of the Florida statutes.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance reflects changes made to Florida Statute Section 125.5801, criminal history record checks for certain county employees and appointees including applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors and contractual temporary personnel prior to the commencement of employment or service with the County.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> County departments currently conduct pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks as authorized under Administrative Order 7-41. This ordinance will codify the current procedures.</p> <p>The cost incurred by user departments to perform background checks is \$40.50 for applicants, contractors and contractual temporary personnel, and \$33.00 for volunteers and non-paid interns.</p> <p>Pre-employment criminal history record checks are only conducted for applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors and contractual temporary personnel who have been formally offered a position of employment or service.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 5.05 to read as follows: <i>G. Miami-Dade County Human Resources Department shall conduct a state and national criminal history background check prior to employing, appointing, contracting, or allowing a volunteer or intern, paid or unpaid, a person who has direct contact with individual members of the public or has access to any public facility or publicly operated facility which this Board finds is critical to security or public safety. Fingerprints shall be submitted to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement for a state criminal history records check and also to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for a national criminal history records check. The information from each respective criminal history record will be used to determine the applicant's eligibility for employment or appointment to the respective position.</i></p>
<p>4K 141626</p>	<p>ORDINANCE CREATING THE JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM CAPITAL EXPEDITE PROGRAM; ESTABLISHING POLICY TO ACCELERATE THE PROCESSING AND PROCUREMENT OF CONTRACTS; AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST TO ADVERTISE AND AWARD CONTRACTS FOR</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES; PROVIDING FOR PROCUREMENT POLICY AND IMPLEMENTATION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE AND A SUNSET DATE								
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 2-8.2.12 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Jackson Health System Capital Expedite Program which consists of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes policy to accelerate the processing and procurement of contracts; • Authorizes the Public Health Trust to advertise and award contracts for professional services and construction design-build services; • Provides for procurement policy and implementation; and • Provides for a ten (10) year sunset provision. <p>The following are highlights from the Jackson Health System Capital Expedite Program (Program):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Public Health Trust (Trust) will be authorized to create within its Procurement Policy provisions for the award of continuing contracts as defined under state law to providers who specialize in health care facilities. • The Procurement Policy will be consistent with the County's policy contained in Section 2-10.4 of the Code except where the Trust determines in its discretion that simplification is in the best interest of the Program. • The Trust is authorized and directed to amend the Procurement Policy as necessary. • Establishes processes for the award of design, construction and design build contracts, including without limitation, applicable thresholds for the award by the Trust or its executive staff. • The Trust's adoption of the Procurement Policy in its discretion in accordance with this Section will satisfy any requirement contained in Section 2-10.4 that the Trust adopt rules and procedures to implement that section. • Any administrative or implementing order, which is inconsistent with this Section is hereby repealed. <p>Additional Information</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Legislative Background and Relevant Information</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> July 2, 2013 R-590-13 </td><td> <p>The BCC called for a Countywide Special Election in Miami-Dade County to be held on November 5, 2013, for the purpose of submitting to the electors the bond referendum question of whether to approve the issuance of general obligation bonds of the County payable from ad valorem taxes for purpose of providing bond proceeds to the Public Health Trust to fund modernization, improvement and equipping of Jackson Health System's facilities located throughout the County. The question appeared on the ballot in the following form:</p> <p>SHALL MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FUND THE MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND EQUIPPING OF JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM'S FACILITIES LOCATED THROUGHOUT MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY ISSUING, FROM TIME TO TIME, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES COLLECTED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN A PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT EXCEEDING \$830,000,000.00, BEARING INTEREST NOT EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LEGAL RATE AND MATURING WITHIN 30 YEARS FROM THE ISSUANCE DATE?</p> <p>The results from the election were 65.05%, 90,435 votes, for the bonds and 34.95%, 48,593 votes, against the bonds.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td> December 3, 2013 Ordinance 13-111 </td><td> <p>The BCC authorized the issuance of a Revolving Line of Credit (Line of Credit) from Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo) on behalf of the Public Health Trust (PHT) in an amount not to exceed \$75,000,000, approving the terms of the Line of Credit, and approving the related Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with PHT. Additionally, Ord. 13-111 renews the Line of Credit for one year, through December 30, 2014, and also authorizes the County Mayor, after consultation with the County Attorney, to extend the term of the Line of Credit under the same or more favorable terms.</p> <p>The PHT has requested renewal of the Line of Credit for at least one additional year (through December 30, 2014) to enable it to continue managing these cash flow requirements. Since the previous Ordinance limited the term for the current Line of Credit to December 30, 2013, a new Ordinance is necessary to renew the Line of Credit.</p> <p><i>Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-110, adopted by the BCC on December 18, 2012, this item renews for one year and provides for future extensions of the Line of Credit previously entered into by the County with Wells Fargo. At that time, the Line of Credit was presented to the Trust and accepted by the County as an unsolicited proposal from Wells Fargo.</i></p> <p>In connection with the renewal of the Line of Credit, it is necessary for the County and the Trust to enter into a new MOU pursuant to the same PHT repayment obligations as the current MOU. The MOU provides that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject to PHT being current on all principal and interest payments, all draw requests by PHT on the Line of Credit require the approval of the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to Finance or the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to the PHT; </td></tr> <tr> <td>May 6, 2014</td><td>The BCC created and established the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative Background and Relevant Information		July 2, 2013 R-590-13	<p>The BCC called for a Countywide Special Election in Miami-Dade County to be held on November 5, 2013, for the purpose of submitting to the electors the bond referendum question of whether to approve the issuance of general obligation bonds of the County payable from ad valorem taxes for purpose of providing bond proceeds to the Public Health Trust to fund modernization, improvement and equipping of Jackson Health System's facilities located throughout the County. The question appeared on the ballot in the following form:</p> <p>SHALL MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FUND THE MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND EQUIPPING OF JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM'S FACILITIES LOCATED THROUGHOUT MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY ISSUING, FROM TIME TO TIME, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES COLLECTED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN A PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT EXCEEDING \$830,000,000.00, BEARING INTEREST NOT EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LEGAL RATE AND MATURING WITHIN 30 YEARS FROM THE ISSUANCE DATE?</p> <p>The results from the election were 65.05%, 90,435 votes, for the bonds and 34.95%, 48,593 votes, against the bonds.</p>	December 3, 2013 Ordinance 13-111	<p>The BCC authorized the issuance of a Revolving Line of Credit (Line of Credit) from Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo) on behalf of the Public Health Trust (PHT) in an amount not to exceed \$75,000,000, approving the terms of the Line of Credit, and approving the related Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with PHT. Additionally, Ord. 13-111 renews the Line of Credit for one year, through December 30, 2014, and also authorizes the County Mayor, after consultation with the County Attorney, to extend the term of the Line of Credit under the same or more favorable terms.</p> <p>The PHT has requested renewal of the Line of Credit for at least one additional year (through December 30, 2014) to enable it to continue managing these cash flow requirements. Since the previous Ordinance limited the term for the current Line of Credit to December 30, 2013, a new Ordinance is necessary to renew the Line of Credit.</p> <p><i>Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-110, adopted by the BCC on December 18, 2012, this item renews for one year and provides for future extensions of the Line of Credit previously entered into by the County with Wells Fargo. At that time, the Line of Credit was presented to the Trust and accepted by the County as an unsolicited proposal from Wells Fargo.</i></p> <p>In connection with the renewal of the Line of Credit, it is necessary for the County and the Trust to enter into a new MOU pursuant to the same PHT repayment obligations as the current MOU. The MOU provides that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject to PHT being current on all principal and interest payments, all draw requests by PHT on the Line of Credit require the approval of the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to Finance or the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to the PHT; 	May 6, 2014	The BCC created and established the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory
Legislative Background and Relevant Information									
July 2, 2013 R-590-13	<p>The BCC called for a Countywide Special Election in Miami-Dade County to be held on November 5, 2013, for the purpose of submitting to the electors the bond referendum question of whether to approve the issuance of general obligation bonds of the County payable from ad valorem taxes for purpose of providing bond proceeds to the Public Health Trust to fund modernization, improvement and equipping of Jackson Health System's facilities located throughout the County. The question appeared on the ballot in the following form:</p> <p>SHALL MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FUND THE MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND EQUIPPING OF JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM'S FACILITIES LOCATED THROUGHOUT MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY ISSUING, FROM TIME TO TIME, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES COLLECTED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN A PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT EXCEEDING \$830,000,000.00, BEARING INTEREST NOT EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LEGAL RATE AND MATURING WITHIN 30 YEARS FROM THE ISSUANCE DATE?</p> <p>The results from the election were 65.05%, 90,435 votes, for the bonds and 34.95%, 48,593 votes, against the bonds.</p>								
December 3, 2013 Ordinance 13-111	<p>The BCC authorized the issuance of a Revolving Line of Credit (Line of Credit) from Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo) on behalf of the Public Health Trust (PHT) in an amount not to exceed \$75,000,000, approving the terms of the Line of Credit, and approving the related Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with PHT. Additionally, Ord. 13-111 renews the Line of Credit for one year, through December 30, 2014, and also authorizes the County Mayor, after consultation with the County Attorney, to extend the term of the Line of Credit under the same or more favorable terms.</p> <p>The PHT has requested renewal of the Line of Credit for at least one additional year (through December 30, 2014) to enable it to continue managing these cash flow requirements. Since the previous Ordinance limited the term for the current Line of Credit to December 30, 2013, a new Ordinance is necessary to renew the Line of Credit.</p> <p><i>Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-110, adopted by the BCC on December 18, 2012, this item renews for one year and provides for future extensions of the Line of Credit previously entered into by the County with Wells Fargo. At that time, the Line of Credit was presented to the Trust and accepted by the County as an unsolicited proposal from Wells Fargo.</i></p> <p>In connection with the renewal of the Line of Credit, it is necessary for the County and the Trust to enter into a new MOU pursuant to the same PHT repayment obligations as the current MOU. The MOU provides that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject to PHT being current on all principal and interest payments, all draw requests by PHT on the Line of Credit require the approval of the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to Finance or the Deputy Mayor in charge of matters related to the PHT; 								
May 6, 2014	The BCC created and established the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory								

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 247 488 636">Ordinance No. 14-44</td><td data-bbox="488 247 1484 636"> <p>Committee (Advisory Committee) for the purpose of advising the BCC, the Public Health Trust (PHT) and the County Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program. The Advisory Committee will consist of nine (9) voting members and two (2) non-voting, ex officio members. The powers, duties, responsibilities and functions of the Advisory Committee include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and monitor performance and program achievements related to the Bond Program; • Advise the BCC, the PHT, and the Mayor, and assist in informing the community, of the Bond Program's accomplishments regarding completion of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part by the Bond Program; • Review recommendations of the PHT on the use of any Bond Program funds to fund Jackson Health System capital projects; • Participate, along with PHT staff, in citizen outreach efforts relating to the development of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part with Bond Program funds; and • Assist in the preparation of quarterly reports to the BCC and Mayor describing the progress of the Bond Program and to periodically provide advice, by either written resolution or oral presentation, as may be requested by the BCC, the PHT or the Mayor. </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 636 488 846"> June 3, 2014 Ordinance 14-52 </td><td data-bbox="488 636 1484 846"> <p>The BCC authorized issuance of Miami-Dade County General Obligation Bonds in more than one series and from time to time in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$830 million for Jackson Health System Facilities program pursuant to Resolution No. 590-13 and a Special Bond Election held on November 5, 2013. The bonds authorized by this ordinance are general obligations of the County payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property within the County (excluding exempt property as required by Florida law) and will only be issued pursuant to a subsequent series resolution to be adopted by the Board, which will set the parameters for establishing the terms, maturities, interest rates and other details of each series of bonds.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 846 488 1392"> June 3, 2014 R-497-14 </td><td data-bbox="488 846 1484 1392"> <p>Additionally, on June 3, 2014, the BCC authorized the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida General Obligation Bonds for the Public Health Trust (PHT GOB), Series 2014 (Series 2014 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$101,000,000 by a competitive sale for the projects. The debt service millage required for the levy of ad valorem taxes for the first year's payment of principal and interest on the Series 2014 bonds will be included in the recommended countywide debt service millage for the FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget.</p> <p>The Series 2014 Bond proceeds (including bond premium proceeds) will fund a project fund, issuance costs and underwriters discount. Based on market conditions on April 11, 2014, the par amount of the proposed Series 2014 Bonds is estimated at \$96,665,000 with a final maturity of July 1, 2044, a true interest cost (TIC) of 4.6 percent, and average annual debt service of \$6,226,340. The maximum annual debt service (MADS) of \$6,268,250 occurs in 2032. The maximum allowable TIC authorized under this 2013 Series Resolution is 5.5 percent. Average annual debt service would increase to \$6,891,404, and MADS would increase to \$6,893,724 in 2019.</p> <p>Updates will be provided at the time the Series 2014 Resolution is considered by the Board's committee of jurisdiction and when considered by the full Board. The Series 2014 Bonds are expected to be competitively sold and closed in late July and early August of 2014. Projects include the following: Project Group 1: Hospital Facility Renovations and Upgrades - \$17,646,000; Project Group 2: Infrastructure Projects - \$14,595,000; Project Group 3: Medical and Technology Equipment and Software - \$64,702,000; and Project Group 4: New Facilities - \$13,000,000; TOTAL - \$109,943,000.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1392 488 1759">Comments/Question</td><td data-bbox="488 1392 1484 1759"> <p><i>During discussion at the May 13, 2014 Finance Committee meeting, pertaining to R-497-14, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Jackson Health System responded to questions regarding why an itemized list of expenditures was not included with the resolution, stating that all expenditures would be approved by the JHS's Oversight Board prior to the BCC. He expressed caution that JHS would be prevented from reallocating funds from one category to another in the event changes were needed in the future should the BCC approve a detailed expenditure list.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why has it taken so long to select the Oversight Board?</i> • <i>Will the Oversight Board have any involvement with the proposed Jackson Health System Capital Expedite Program?</i> <p><i>In response to requests to ensure that public records on bond referendums, expenditures, proposals and other pertinent items were posted on the Hospital's website for transparency purposes, he stated that a public access web site was being developed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Has the public access web site been completed?</i> </td></tr> </table>	Ordinance No. 14-44	<p>Committee (Advisory Committee) for the purpose of advising the BCC, the Public Health Trust (PHT) and the County Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program. The Advisory Committee will consist of nine (9) voting members and two (2) non-voting, ex officio members. The powers, duties, responsibilities and functions of the Advisory Committee include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and monitor performance and program achievements related to the Bond Program; • Advise the BCC, the PHT, and the Mayor, and assist in informing the community, of the Bond Program's accomplishments regarding completion of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part by the Bond Program; • Review recommendations of the PHT on the use of any Bond Program funds to fund Jackson Health System capital projects; • Participate, along with PHT staff, in citizen outreach efforts relating to the development of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part with Bond Program funds; and • Assist in the preparation of quarterly reports to the BCC and Mayor describing the progress of the Bond Program and to periodically provide advice, by either written resolution or oral presentation, as may be requested by the BCC, the PHT or the Mayor. 	June 3, 2014 Ordinance 14-52	<p>The BCC authorized issuance of Miami-Dade County General Obligation Bonds in more than one series and from time to time in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$830 million for Jackson Health System Facilities program pursuant to Resolution No. 590-13 and a Special Bond Election held on November 5, 2013. The bonds authorized by this ordinance are general obligations of the County payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property within the County (excluding exempt property as required by Florida law) and will only be issued pursuant to a subsequent series resolution to be adopted by the Board, which will set the parameters for establishing the terms, maturities, interest rates and other details of each series of bonds.</p>	June 3, 2014 R-497-14	<p>Additionally, on June 3, 2014, the BCC authorized the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida General Obligation Bonds for the Public Health Trust (PHT GOB), Series 2014 (Series 2014 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$101,000,000 by a competitive sale for the projects. The debt service millage required for the levy of ad valorem taxes for the first year's payment of principal and interest on the Series 2014 bonds will be included in the recommended countywide debt service millage for the FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget.</p> <p>The Series 2014 Bond proceeds (including bond premium proceeds) will fund a project fund, issuance costs and underwriters discount. Based on market conditions on April 11, 2014, the par amount of the proposed Series 2014 Bonds is estimated at \$96,665,000 with a final maturity of July 1, 2044, a true interest cost (TIC) of 4.6 percent, and average annual debt service of \$6,226,340. The maximum annual debt service (MADS) of \$6,268,250 occurs in 2032. The maximum allowable TIC authorized under this 2013 Series Resolution is 5.5 percent. Average annual debt service would increase to \$6,891,404, and MADS would increase to \$6,893,724 in 2019.</p> <p>Updates will be provided at the time the Series 2014 Resolution is considered by the Board's committee of jurisdiction and when considered by the full Board. The Series 2014 Bonds are expected to be competitively sold and closed in late July and early August of 2014. Projects include the following: Project Group 1: Hospital Facility Renovations and Upgrades - \$17,646,000; Project Group 2: Infrastructure Projects - \$14,595,000; Project Group 3: Medical and Technology Equipment and Software - \$64,702,000; and Project Group 4: New Facilities - \$13,000,000; TOTAL - \$109,943,000.</p>	Comments/Question	<p><i>During discussion at the May 13, 2014 Finance Committee meeting, pertaining to R-497-14, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Jackson Health System responded to questions regarding why an itemized list of expenditures was not included with the resolution, stating that all expenditures would be approved by the JHS's Oversight Board prior to the BCC. He expressed caution that JHS would be prevented from reallocating funds from one category to another in the event changes were needed in the future should the BCC approve a detailed expenditure list.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why has it taken so long to select the Oversight Board?</i> • <i>Will the Oversight Board have any involvement with the proposed Jackson Health System Capital Expedite Program?</i> <p><i>In response to requests to ensure that public records on bond referendums, expenditures, proposals and other pertinent items were posted on the Hospital's website for transparency purposes, he stated that a public access web site was being developed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Has the public access web site been completed?</i>
Ordinance No. 14-44	<p>Committee (Advisory Committee) for the purpose of advising the BCC, the Public Health Trust (PHT) and the County Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program. The Advisory Committee will consist of nine (9) voting members and two (2) non-voting, ex officio members. The powers, duties, responsibilities and functions of the Advisory Committee include, but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and monitor performance and program achievements related to the Bond Program; • Advise the BCC, the PHT, and the Mayor, and assist in informing the community, of the Bond Program's accomplishments regarding completion of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part by the Bond Program; • Review recommendations of the PHT on the use of any Bond Program funds to fund Jackson Health System capital projects; • Participate, along with PHT staff, in citizen outreach efforts relating to the development of Jackson Health System capital projects funded in whole or in part with Bond Program funds; and • Assist in the preparation of quarterly reports to the BCC and Mayor describing the progress of the Bond Program and to periodically provide advice, by either written resolution or oral presentation, as may be requested by the BCC, the PHT or the Mayor. 								
June 3, 2014 Ordinance 14-52	<p>The BCC authorized issuance of Miami-Dade County General Obligation Bonds in more than one series and from time to time in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$830 million for Jackson Health System Facilities program pursuant to Resolution No. 590-13 and a Special Bond Election held on November 5, 2013. The bonds authorized by this ordinance are general obligations of the County payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes on all taxable real and tangible personal property within the County (excluding exempt property as required by Florida law) and will only be issued pursuant to a subsequent series resolution to be adopted by the Board, which will set the parameters for establishing the terms, maturities, interest rates and other details of each series of bonds.</p>								
June 3, 2014 R-497-14	<p>Additionally, on June 3, 2014, the BCC authorized the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida General Obligation Bonds for the Public Health Trust (PHT GOB), Series 2014 (Series 2014 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$101,000,000 by a competitive sale for the projects. The debt service millage required for the levy of ad valorem taxes for the first year's payment of principal and interest on the Series 2014 bonds will be included in the recommended countywide debt service millage for the FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget.</p> <p>The Series 2014 Bond proceeds (including bond premium proceeds) will fund a project fund, issuance costs and underwriters discount. Based on market conditions on April 11, 2014, the par amount of the proposed Series 2014 Bonds is estimated at \$96,665,000 with a final maturity of July 1, 2044, a true interest cost (TIC) of 4.6 percent, and average annual debt service of \$6,226,340. The maximum annual debt service (MADS) of \$6,268,250 occurs in 2032. The maximum allowable TIC authorized under this 2013 Series Resolution is 5.5 percent. Average annual debt service would increase to \$6,891,404, and MADS would increase to \$6,893,724 in 2019.</p> <p>Updates will be provided at the time the Series 2014 Resolution is considered by the Board's committee of jurisdiction and when considered by the full Board. The Series 2014 Bonds are expected to be competitively sold and closed in late July and early August of 2014. Projects include the following: Project Group 1: Hospital Facility Renovations and Upgrades - \$17,646,000; Project Group 2: Infrastructure Projects - \$14,595,000; Project Group 3: Medical and Technology Equipment and Software - \$64,702,000; and Project Group 4: New Facilities - \$13,000,000; TOTAL - \$109,943,000.</p>								
Comments/Question	<p><i>During discussion at the May 13, 2014 Finance Committee meeting, pertaining to R-497-14, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Jackson Health System responded to questions regarding why an itemized list of expenditures was not included with the resolution, stating that all expenditures would be approved by the JHS's Oversight Board prior to the BCC. He expressed caution that JHS would be prevented from reallocating funds from one category to another in the event changes were needed in the future should the BCC approve a detailed expenditure list.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Why has it taken so long to select the Oversight Board?</i> • <i>Will the Oversight Board have any involvement with the proposed Jackson Health System Capital Expedite Program?</i> <p><i>In response to requests to ensure that public records on bond referendums, expenditures, proposals and other pertinent items were posted on the Hospital's website for transparency purposes, he stated that a public access web site was being developed.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Has the public access web site been completed?</i> 								
5I 141492	<p>RESOLUTION REQUESTING AN ADMINISTRATIVE BOUNDARY CHANGE TO THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ENTERPRISE ZONE AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVE BOUNDARY MODIFICATION OF THE ENTERPRISE ZONE TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY</p>								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution requests an administrative boundary change to the Miami-Dade County Enterprise Zone (EZ), authorizing the Mayor</p>								

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																
	<p>or his designee to submit an application for an Administrative Boundary Modification of the Enterprise Zone to the State of Florida Department of Economic Opportunity.</p> <p>Pursuant to House Bill 1725 and consistent with the Enterprise Zone Program, the Mayor or his designee is authorized to apply to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity requesting a boundary modification of the Miami-Dade County Enterprise Zone.</p> <p><u>House Bill 1725</u> On June 22, 2005, the Governor approved House Bill 1725, which extends until 2015 the expiration date of the Florida Enterprise Zone Act and extends the expiration dates of provisions of law associated with the act; revises procedures for designating and re-designating enterprise zones; deletes obsolete provisions; continues in existence existing enterprise zones; repeals provisions relating to specific enterprise zones in the state; provides for carryover of specified tax credits and exemptions and eligibility for specified tax credits and exemptions.</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, under Resolution No. 580-13, the Board of County Commissioners authorized the issuance of a Request for Application (RFA) to solicit proposals for an administrative boundary change to the Miami-Dade County Enterprise Zone (EZ).</p> <p><u>Administrative Boundary Modification Process</u> Counties and municipalities are allowed to administratively amend their EZ boundaries through an application to the State once every three years. Miami-Dade County's last boundary modification was in 2008, and is currently eligible to apply for a boundary modification. A boundary modification, however, cannot increase the overall size of the County's EZ and, therefore, including additional areas in the EZ require removing areas of the equivalent size from the EZ.</p> <p><u>Projects Recommended for Inclusion in the EZ</u> The projects associated with the parcels recommended for inclusion in the Enterprise Zone are: Miami Beach Convention Center; South Florida Logistics Center; Miami-Dade County Landmark Site; and Panorama Tower.</p> <p><u>Areas Recommended for Removal from the EZ</u> Multiple areas throughout the County, consisting primarily of expressways, schools and vacant County land which cannot take advantage of the incentives are being recommended for removal. The areas to be removed consists of areas within expressway lanes that are currently in the EZ (405 acres), Miami-Dade County Public Schools in residential areas that are unlikely to be developed for commercial purposes (275 acres), and undevelopable vacant County parcels (45 acres), for a total of 725 acres.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County from the approval of this item since this item simply authorizes the administration to apply for an Administrative Boundary Change to the County's Enterprise Zone.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) Report <u>Florida Legislature, Florida Economic Development Program Evaluations – Year 1, Report 14-01, Jan. 1, 2014</u> <i>The 1982 Legislature created the Florida Enterprise Zone Program to provide incentives to induce private investments in economically distressed areas of the state. The program targets areas that chronically display extreme and unacceptable levels of unemployment, physical deterioration, and economic disinvestment. The program has several goals including revitalizing and rehabilitating distressed areas, stimulating employment among area residents, and enhancing economic and social well-being in the areas.</i> <i>To achieve these goals, the state, county, and municipal governments provide investments, tax incentives, and local government regulatory relief to encourage businesses to invest and locate in designated zones and residents to improve their property. State incentives include job and corporate income tax credits as well as sales tax refunds.</i></p> <p><u>Program Performance</u> <i>To more closely examine Enterprise Zone Program performance, OPPAGA sought to gauge changes in economic outcomes and participation by businesses in five selected enterprise zones. OPPAGA considered a range of factors when selecting our sample, including incentive amount, population, and urban/rural geography. The five zones are Gulf County, Jacksonville, Miami-Dade County, Okeechobee County, and Tallahassee/Leon County.</i> <i>In Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2011-12, the five zones received sales and use tax credits and refunds totaling \$73.8 million; this represents 66.5% of the incentives received statewide during the period. Miami-Dade County received the most incentives, \$68.2 million, while Gulf County received the least, \$477,633. The most frequently used incentive among the five counties was the sales tax refund for building materials, which totaled \$61.6 million. (See Exhibit 8-4.)</i></p> <p>Exhibit 8-4 Business in Five Enterprise Zones Received \$73.8 million in Incentives in Fiscal Years 2009-20 through 2011-12*</p> <table><tr><th></th><th colspan="2">Jobs Tax Credits</th><th colspan="2">Refunds for Building Material Used</th><th colspan="2">Refunds for Business Machinery Used</th><th>Total</th></tr><tr><th>Enterprise Zone</th><th>Business</th><th>Incentive</th><th>Business/ Individuals</th><th>Incentive</th><th>Business</th><th>Incentive</th><th>Incentive</th></tr><tr><td>Miami-Dade County</td><td>102</td><td>\$ 7,378,945</td><td>64</td><td>\$59,490,547</td><td>81</td><td>\$1,302,308</td><td>\$68,171,800</td></tr><tr><td>Jacksonville</td><td>20</td><td>\$ 775,369</td><td>33</td><td>\$ 478,085</td><td>28</td><td>\$ 650,941</td><td>\$ 1,904,395</td></tr></table>		Jobs Tax Credits		Refunds for Building Material Used		Refunds for Business Machinery Used		Total	Enterprise Zone	Business	Incentive	Business/ Individuals	Incentive	Business	Incentive	Incentive	Miami-Dade County	102	\$ 7,378,945	64	\$59,490,547	81	\$1,302,308	\$68,171,800	Jacksonville	20	\$ 775,369	33	\$ 478,085	28	\$ 650,941	\$ 1,904,395
	Jobs Tax Credits		Refunds for Building Material Used		Refunds for Business Machinery Used		Total																										
Enterprise Zone	Business	Incentive	Business/ Individuals	Incentive	Business	Incentive	Incentive																										
Miami-Dade County	102	\$ 7,378,945	64	\$59,490,547	81	\$1,302,308	\$68,171,800																										
Jacksonville	20	\$ 775,369	33	\$ 478,085	28	\$ 650,941	\$ 1,904,395																										

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes							
	Okeechobee County	19	\$ 1,584,294	8	\$ 34,083	6	\$ 34,241	\$ 1,652,528
	Tallahassee/Leon Cty	5	\$ 17,447	52	\$ 1,518,649	11	\$ 56,984	\$ 1,593,080
	Gulf County	17	\$ 421,779	19	\$ 51,426	5	\$ 4,428	\$ 477,633
	Total	163	\$10,177,744	176	\$61,572,790	131	\$2,048,902	\$73,799,436
	<i>* The figures presented do not include credits taken against Florida corporate income taxes because the Department of Revenue does not track these incentives for individual enterprise zones. Source: OPPAGA analysis of Department of Revenue data.</i>							
	<i>The only Enterprise Zone Program incentive that is directly linked to employment is the Job Tax Credit. The incentive is available to businesses located in a zone that pay Florida sales and use or corporate income taxes; businesses are granted tax credits for new employees who have been employed for at least three months and are zone residents or residents of a rural county in rural enterprise zones. In Fiscal Years 2009-10 through 2011-12, 163 businesses in the five selected zones received job tax credits totaling \$10.2 million. These businesses hired 2,517 new employees. Miami-Dade County claimed the most credits, totaling \$7.4 million for 1,837 jobs.</i>							
	<u>Miami Today, Enterprise Zone Enterprise, July 3, 2014</u> www.miamitodaynews.com/2014/07/02/fyi-miami-july-3-2014/ <i>What's the impact on Miami-Dade of a state tax-incentive program that encourages businesses to set up shop in distressed areas? That's to be the subject of a county report. A similar state study this year found that the Enterprise Zone Program had a negative return on investment. But the county has set out to do its own analysis, which is to include statistical and anecdotal data showing the effectiveness of the Florida Enterprise Zone Program on Miami-Dade. County staff is to also recommend amendments to the state statute that provides for the program that would improve the initiative. Through the Enterprise Zone Program, both state and local governments provide tax incentives, such as tax credits and sales tax refunds, for businesses to locate in areas that could use an economic boost. The program is to expire at the end of 2015 and could only be extended through a vote by the legislature.</i>							
7A 140905	ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 99-37 RELATING TO STRAW BALLOTS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BY LIMITING STRAW BALLOTS REGARDING AD VALOREM MILLAGE RATES TO FUND PARTICULAR SERVICES UNLESS APPROVED BY SUPERMAJORITY OF BOARD MEMBERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE							
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends Ordinance 99-37 relating to straw ballots by limiting straw ballots regarding ad valorem millage rates to fund particular services unless approved by a supermajority of Board Members.							
8F1 141588	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE OPTION-TO-RENEW PERIODS FOR TOUR ANDOVER HVAC MAINTENANCE AND SERVICES NOT TO EXCEED \$145,000.00, FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS AND SERVICES							
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee the authority to exercise, in their discretion, the final option-to-renew (OTR) period for the Tour Andover HVAC - Maintenance and Service Contract (Contract No. SS9240-3/15-3) with Advanced Control Corporation in an amount not to exceed \$145,000.00.</p> <p>This item exercises the final one-year OTR term for parts, maintenance and service at various County facilities. Approval of this item will extend the services of Advanced Control Corporation, Inc. for the Tour Andover Building Management System through September 30, 2015.</p> <p><i>If the final one-year OTR period in the amount of \$45,000 is authorized, the total cumulative value of this contract is \$729,000.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> Prior to exercising any OTR periods, market research is conducted to ensure continuing to purchase from the awarded vendors is in the best interest of the County. Market research includes competitive factors such as pricing, quality, product features, technology, and lead time. It may also include commercial factors such as environmental issues, other governmental entity practices, industry trends, support and capabilities. The following is the market research conducted for the last and final OTR period:</p> <p><u>Market Research dated May 13, 2013 (source: Procurement's Bid Tracking System)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>The purpose of this market research is to confirm if the services under this contract continue to be non-competitive services. Contract SS9240-3/15 is for the purchase of monthly preventative maintenance services for Tour Andover Building Maintenance Systems (TAC) located at the Overtown Transit Village Buildings and the Women's Detention Center managed by the Internal Services Department and Miami Dade Corrections Department respectively. The services consist of monthly testing and inspection including all repairs, labor, and parts. A full inspection of the system is completed every three months. Therefore, on an annual basis all the equipment is inspected four times.</i><i>The building automation solutions serviced under this contract control lighting, heating, ventilating, air conditioning functions, access card control, temperature control, and digital video surveillance in an integrated system. In addition, the vendor provides software troubleshooting support, updates to the system, reporting services, and database protection. Internet research was conducted aiming to learn more about the TAC system and products. It was learned that TAC was fully acquired by Schneider Electric in 2009, and that Florida currently has seven authorized Andover Controls partners. These partners were contacted and asked to review the County's scope of services, to advise if their companies can provide the services, and confirm interest in participating in a future solicitation.</i><i>Direct Digital Concepts and Roth Southeast advised that they are not authorized to service the equipment listed in the contract. They are only authorized to service the equipment which they have installed, but cannot provide services for equipment installed by other TAC vendors. Unless, the equipment has not been serviced in over a year, and Andover/Schneider approves the new company to take over the service. The equipment serviced was installed by Advanced Controls Corporation, and they continue to</i>							

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>be the sole source provider for the County's Building Management Systems herein mentioned.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The contracted vendor has not requested an increased to the fixed monthly fee for preventive maintenance services since contract inception, and did not request any increase for the contract's last option to renew. Rates for additional services and emergencies were increased in past OTRs, but not for the last OTR to be exercised. The vendor informed the County that for the last option to renew the company was unable to provide lower pricing.</i> <i>It is recommended that the County exercise the contract's last option to renew for continuity of services.</i>
<p>8J1 141517</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUPPORT PORTMIAMI IN HOSTING THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PORT AUTHORITIES ANNUAL CONVENTION IN OCTOBER 2015; APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A STANDARD MEETINGS CONTRACT WITH INTERCONTINENTAL MIAMI IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$400,992; AND RECOGNIZE THE CONVENTION HOST PORT RESPONSIBILITIES</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to support PortMiami in hosting the American Association of Port Authorities Annual Convention (AAPA Convention) in October 2015; approves and authorizes the Execution of a Standard Meetings Contract with InterContinental Miami in an amount not to exceed \$400,992; and recognizes the Host Port responsibilities.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The potential financial impact of the Standard Meetings Contract with the InterContinental Miami is up to \$400,992 if, there are not enough registrants paying for the hotel room block and the minimum guarantees are not met.</p> <p>The Meetings Contract consists of a room block with revenues totaling \$313,740, which will be payable by the individual conference delegates (1,260 room nights at \$249 per night). However, the Port will have a financial responsibility towards the room block guarantee if 80% of these room nights (\$250,992) is not achieved. Additionally, there is a food and beverage minimum of \$150,000. Thus, the Port's total financial responsibility to the Meeting Contract is up to \$400,992, which is 80% of room revenues plus the food and beverage minimum (\$250,992 + \$150,000 = \$400,992) However, should the room nights actually booked by conference delegates be less than 80% of the total room nights committed per day, the Port shall pay the difference between 80% of the total room nights and the actual usage of rooms, multiplied by the average group room rate.</p> <p>It is likely the Port will incur other additional expenses as it relates to Host Port commitments. Should this occur, the Port will take any necessary future contract(s) to the Board for approval.</p> <p>The Port will be budgeting, subject to Board approval as part of the annual budget process, promotional funds for Fiscal Years 2015 and 2016 from its non-operating budget. These promotional funds will be used in the event conference-generated revenues are not sufficient to meet the Port's financial obligations in support of the conference.</p> <p>It is anticipated that these hotel expenses, along with other host port conference responsibilities, will be offset by a percentage of conference delegate registration fees and other convention-generated revenues such as exhibition rentals, advertisements and sponsorship dollars. The Port will receive approximately \$1,300 per paid conference registration and \$75 per exhibitor registration (maximum two per company). The Port will also receive 100% of all revenues raised from sponsorships and program advertisements to allocate towards conference expenses.</p> <p>Background Founded in 1912, the American Association of Port Authorities ("AAPA") is a trade association representing more than 160 leading port authorities in the United States, Canada, the Caribbean and Latin America. Members also include more than 300 firms and individuals with interest in the seaports of the Western Hemisphere. AAPA is dedicated to serving deep draft public ports by promoting the common interests of port communities and providing leadership on trade, transportation, environmental and other issues related to port development and operations. AAPA also works to educate the public, media, local, state and federal legislators about the essential role ports play within the global transportation system. PortMiami participates regularly at AAPA activities including committee meetings, technical workshops, and seminars/conferences.</p> <p>As host port, PortMiami will be responsible for the general management and overall financial support of the convention which includes (1) selecting a conference venue and executing a conference hotel contract and (2) coordinating and funding certain convention responsibilities including social activities, entertainment, registration, translation services, convention website services, marketing material, and ground transportation for convention events.</p> <p>Standard Meetings Contract with the InterContinental Miami: The Port issued a competitive bid process, via the Greater Miami Convention & Visitors Bureau, for a conference headquarter hotel seeking a facility for necessary meeting space, exhibition hall and room accommodations. Proposals were received from four local hotels: Hyatt Regency Miami, InterContinental Miami, Loews Miami Beach, and Hilton Miami. It was determined the InterContinental Miami was the best fit for this conference based on hotel space, concessions, available dates, site visits and price.</p> <p>The Standard Meetings Contract between InterContinental Miami and PortMiami has been negotiated between the Parties and is recommended for Board approval. The total contract value is \$463,740 with the Port potentially responsible for up to \$400,992.</p> <p>The Port will receive particular hotel concessions based on the achievement of 80% room block and meeting food and beverage minimums. These concessions include: one complimentary room for every 45 paid rooms, one royal suite complimentary to be used by AAPA Chairman,</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>one upgrade to a one-bedroom suite at the group rate, twelve complimentary upgrades to deluxe suites at regular conference rate, group rate will be offered three days pre and post-convention dates, a five percent discount to printed 2015 catering menus, discounted parking for up to ten staff cars, and complimentary Wi-Fi in all guest rooms, exhibit hall and meeting spaces. These hotel concessions may be used by the Port to increase revenues associated with sponsorship packages.</p> <p>The Contract contains a cancellation fee should the Port cancel the event after August 1, 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should the Port cancel and notify the Hotel between August 2, 2014 and October 31, 2014, a cancellation fee in the amount of \$30,000 will apply. • Should the Port cancel between November 1, 2014 and April 13, 2015, the cancellation fee will be \$139,122. • Should the Port cancel between April 14, 2015 and February 17, 2015, the cancellation fee will be \$231,870. • Should the Port cancel between February 18, 2015 and the conference start date, the cancellation fee will be the full contract value of \$463,740 less mitigation by the Hotel through the sale of room nights. • Should the Port cancel and to the extent the hotel is able to rent rooms which had been reserved for the conference, the hotel will deduct those rooms from the calculation of the cancellation fee (after filling rooms which had not been reserved previously by the Port). • However, cancellation due to "Force Majeure" (such as a hurricane, acts of God, World Health Organization travel advisory, civil disorder, etc.) would not incur any cancellation charges. • Should the event be cancelled, AAPA is not responsible to share in the cancellation fees. However, it is worth noting that AAPA has held this Annual Conference, hosted by its member ports, since 1912, without cancellation. Over this time period, PortMiami hosted the event in 1941, 1972 and 1986. <p>AAPA Convention Guidelines:</p> <p>In addition to the hotel venue, PortMiami will be responsible for the management and financial responsibility of the Annual Conference including, but not limited to, marketing material, website development, registration, social activities/entertainment, translation services and photography. AAPA will be directly responsible for planning the business sessions including general sessions, luncheon programs, committee meetings, and exhibit sales and management.</p> <p>The Port will be responsible for establishing conference registration fees, which require approval from AAPA's Board of Directors during their fall meeting prior to the annual conference. While the majority of registration fees will be utilized by the Port to offset conference expenses, AAPA will receive a small amount from each paid registration. In past years, delegate registration fees averaged \$1,450. AAPA's share of conference fees averaged \$110 for each paid AAPA member registration and \$135 for each AAPA non-member registration. Based on this formula, the Port would receive approximately \$1,300 for each registered delegate. Approximately 400 delegates are expected to attend the Miami conference, which would equate to approximately \$520,000 to the Port from registration fees alone to offset conference expenses.</p> <p>Additionally, the Port will receive \$75 per person from exhibit sales to offset conference expenses (maximum 2 people per company) and an additional 15% commission for any new exhibit sales generated by the Port. Such exhibition revenues to the Port are expected to be potentially in the range of \$10,000 - \$12,000. Also, full revenues raised by the Port for sponsorships and advertisements will be utilized by the Port to offset convention costs.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>Pursuant to their website, the 4-day long Annual Convention is AAPA's largest membership meeting of the year. It includes technical and policy committee meetings, business sessions and social networking opportunities for port professionals and others in the marine transportation industry.</p> <p>The 2014 Annual Convention is being hosted by the Port of Houston Authority on November 9-13, 2014 at the Hyatt Regency Houston (room rates start at \$179). The following are future Annual Convention schedules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2015 - October 5-9, 2015 (tentative), hosted by the Port of Miami • 2016 - October 23-27 (tentative), hosted by the Port of New Orleans • 2017 - October 1-4, 2017 (tentative), hosted by the Port of Long Beach
8K1 141544	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AMEND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMEOWNERSHIP PROGRAM AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESTRICTIVE COVENANT TO ENHANCE THE SALE POTENTIAL OF UNITS IN THE FLAGLER FIRST CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to amend the Miami-Dade County Affordable Housing and Homeownership Program Affordable Housing Restrictive Covenant to enhance the sale of potential of units in the Flagler First Condominium development.</p> <p>The main modifications to the current Flagler First Condominium Restrictive Covenant are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduces the control period from 20 years with an automatic 20-year reset period, for a maximum of 60 years, to 15 years only with no reset provision; • Eliminates the resale price multiplier; and • Changes the definition of maximum resale price to provide for greater flexibility.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Fiscal Impact The County invested \$1,000,000.00 in 1999 HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) funds for the rehabilitation of the Flagler First Condominium, a 90 unit, affordable housing project in Downtown Miami; 11 of the 90 units are HOME assisted. As these are federally funded units, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has demanded repayment by the County of the \$1,000,000.00 contributed to the project since the units have not been sold or rented to eligible beneficiaries since completion and have not been closed out in the HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). The County is working on the response to HUD's demand, which is due by July 29, 2014.</p> <p>Background The County awarded \$1,000,000.00 in 1999 HOME funds to the Downtown Miami Community Development Corporation in 2001 for the rehabilitation and development of the Flagler First Condominium project, a 90 unit affordable housing development project in Downtown Miami. Although the project was completed several years ago, the project was not closed out in HUD's IDIS as all 11 HOME units remain unsold despite the developer's efforts coupled with the County's assistance in recent years. The primary reason for the units not selling was noted by the developer as the requirements of the HOME program which restricts the sale and resale of the units to buyers who are at or below 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI) and the County's Restrictive Covenant that restricts the use of the property as affordable housing for a period of up to 60 years and which affects sale/resale value.</p> <p>Additional Information On May 29, 2014, the Miami-Dade County Department of Public Housing & Community Development received a letter from HUD (<i>Letter is attached to the item as Exhibit A</i>) regarding non-compliant HOME activities, subject to repayment, funded through the Miami-Dade County HOME program. HUD identified 18 activities in the IDIS to which funds were committed more than five (5) years ago but were not completed in IDIS.</p> <p>HUD previously asked the County to examine the 18 open activities and provide HUD with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A budget, financing commitments, and a schedule of completion for projects that are still underway; and • A proposed schedule for repayment for funds invested in terminated project or request for voluntary grant reduction. <p>HUD reviewed the supplemental information the County submitted (<i>the supplemental information the County submitted is not attached to the item</i>) and determined that 9 projects were terminated before completion. The County invested a total of \$6,080,101 of HOME funds in these projects. The County must repay these funds to its HOME Investment Trust Fund account within 60 days of this letter.</p>
<p>8K2 141501</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AND ALL NECESSARY MIXED-FINANCE AGREEMENTS WITH RUDG, LLC (RELATED URBAN) FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT OF THE THREE ROUND TOWERS PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, SUBJECT TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT'S APPROVAL; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS TO ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION CONTRACTS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT A DISPOSITION AND/OR DEMOLITION APPLICATION TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR THREE ROUND TOWERS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT AN ACQUISITION PROPOSAL OR RELATED DOCUMENTS TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR THE CONVERSION OF COLLINS PARK APARTMENTS TO A PUBLIC HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY MIXED-FINANCE AGREEMENTS RELATED TO COLLINS PARK APARTMENTS; AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute a Master Development Agreement with RUDG, LLC (Related Urban) or its subsidiaries or designees for the redevelopment of Three Round Towers, a public housing site (Three Round Towers), subject to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development's (Housing and Urban Development) approval; • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute all necessary mixed-finance agreements and all other necessary documents including but not limited to amendments, agreements, ground leases and amendments, subject to Housing and Urban Development approval; • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to execute amendments to the Annual Contribution Contracts, which provides for the County's receipt of public housing subsidy, subject to the Housing and Urban Development's approval; • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to submit a disposition and/or demolition application to Housing and Urban Development for Three Round Towers; • Authorizes the County Mayor or his designee to submit an acquisition proposal or related documents to Housing and Urban Development to convert Collins Park Apartments to a public housing development and to further authorize the County Mayor or his designee to execute all necessary mixed-finance agreements and all other necessary documents as may be required by Housing and Urban Development; and • Waives the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06, which requires that all contracts must be fully negotiated and executed by a non-County party, since neither the County nor Related Urban can execute any mixed finance agreements, including but limited to the Master Development Agreement, without Housing and Urban Development's prior approval. <p>For Collins Park Apartments, there will be a fiscal impact to the County of up to \$1,825,000 in Surtax funds. For subsequent phases, Related Urban will apply for Surtax funding in FY 2015 for rehabilitation and/or new construction projects at Three Round Towers. Determination of approval of this funding will be made at a later date and will be presented to the Board for its approval.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background</p> <p>Request for Proposals No. 794 was issued on July 14, 2011, to solicit offers from developers to maximize and expedite the development potential of over 100 existing public housing sites and vacant land sites administered by the Department. The solicitation sought to establish partnerships with qualified entities to rehabilitate/upgrade existing public housing units, remove and replace obsolete public housing units, increase the number of units on underutilized sites, develop vacant land owned by the County, and also incorporate commercial and other special purpose uses, where appropriate, at particular public housing sites or vacant land sites.</p> <p>On November 23, 2011, the Board, pursuant to Resolution No. R-1026-11, awarded site control through ground leases to six (6) developers for twenty-eight (28) project sites, including award of the Three Round Towers redevelopment to Related Urban. Subsequently, one (1) additional developer and four (4) additional project sites were awarded by the Board on February 7, 2012, pursuant to Resolution No. R-152-12. Also on February 7, 2012, the Board adopted Resolution No. R-137-12, which approved Amendment No. 1 to each of the ground leases.</p> <p>The resolution further authorized the execution of any additional amendments to the ground leases that may be required to meet the standards of the Florida Housing Finance Corporation. Pursuant to Resolution No. R-855-13, a ground lease was approved by the Board on October 22, 2013 for Three Round Towers. The County and Related Urban will work on additional mixed-finance documents that are required by Housing and Urban Development.</p> <p>Phase I of the public/private partnership development for Three Round Towers, is a mixed-finance conversion to public housing of all of the units in a new residential building (Collins Park Apartments) currently owned by Collins Park Apartments, LLC, whose managing member is an affiliate of Related Urban. Ownership will remain with Collins Park Apartments, LLC. Related Urban and the County will execute appropriate mixed-finance documents, including but not limited to an ACC amendment, so that subsidy is provided to Collins Park Apartments for public housing.</p> <p>Collins Park Apartments consists of 124 new one (1) bedroom units and is located approximately six blocks from Three Round Towers. This initial phase will serve to minimize disruptive displacement by vacating and relocating current residents of one of the towers (Tower C) of Three Round Towers to a permanent replacement site. Tower C has 128 units and requires substantial renovation and/or demolition and new construction. The Related Urban will bear all relocation costs. Residents who do not wish to relocate to Collins Park Apartments can be accommodated at Towers "A" and "B" of Three Round Towers, or at other public housing developments.</p>
<p>8K3 141268</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDS RESOLUTION NO. R-37-09 TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, SECOND BAPTIST CHURCH OF RICHMOND HEIGHTS AND SECOND BAPTIST COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, INC. FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT LOCATED AT 11001 PINKSTON DRIVE, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33176</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution amends Resolution No. R-37-09 to authorize the County Mayor or his designee to execute the Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and SBC Development Corporation of Richmond Heights, Inc. (Developer) and the Second Baptist Church of Richmond Heights (Church), which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reinforces the County's interest in the property located at 11010 Pinkston Drive, Miami, Florida 33176 (Developer Land) as set forth in the reverter clause of the Quitclaim Deed (Deed) dated June 25, 2008, from the Miami-Dade Housing Agency Development Corporation (Development Corporation) to the Developer; and Provides three (3) additional years for a total of eight (8) years from the recordation of the Deed for the Developer to construct and complete the affordable rental housing development, which will be located at 11001 Pinkston Drive, Miami, Florida 33176 (Church Land), a property currently owned by the Church. <p>This resolution will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County nor does it change the funding amounts previously awarded.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>On July 25, 2000, the Board, through R-903-00, authorized the former County Manager to form a Florida not-for-profit corporation to be known as the Miami-Dade Housing Agency Development Corporation, as well as create subsidiaries and affiliated entities of such corporation. On December 4, 2003, the Board, through R-1310-03, authorized the former County Manager to convey 3.09 acres of surplus, County-owned property and to allocate \$2,000,000.00 of Surtax funds to the Development Corporation for construction of an affordable housing development on 11001 Pinkston Drive.</p> <p>On April 8, 2008, the Board, through R-412-08, approved the execution of a Transitional Agreement between the County and the Development Corporation, which required, in part, that any contracts with, and property conveyed or leased to, the Development Corporation will be terminated and/or assigned directly to the Developer to continue the construction of the affordable housing development on Pinkston Drive. However, the County's consent to this assignment and execution of the contract and loan agreements with the Developer was conditioned upon the Developer entering into a contract with a qualified developer and that the Developer meet specific timelines and deliverables. The Developer selected Cornerstone Group as the qualified co-developer to assist them in constructing the project.</p> <p>In 2009, the Developer revised the site plans for the housing development. The site plans proposed that the housing development be constructed on the Church Land and that the family/community center be constructed on the County owned parcel, where the affordable housing development was previously envisioned to be built on the Developer Land. On January 22, 2009, the Board, through R-37-09, which authorized the Developer to construct the housing development on the Church Land. The resolution further authorized \$2,000,000 of Surtax</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>funds previously awarded for the development to accompany the change in site location.</p> <p>However, the Board's approval was conditioned on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The land being replatted by the Developer or the Developer being granted a Waiver of Plat; • Payment of all outstanding encumbrances including the mortgage by the Church with Wachovia Bank on the Church Land in an amount of approximately \$400,000 and property taxes due; and • The project being initiated within two years the approval of the resolution. <p>Additional Surtax funds were awarded to the project as part of the 2013 RFA approved December 18, 2012 through R-1063-12.</p> <p>The Request For Application for FY 2014 Surtax funding was submitted and approved on April 8, 2014, by the Board through R-332-14, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To authorize the Department to use up to seventy-five percent (75%) of available FY 2015 Surtax funds for the FY 2014 Request For Applications that are next in-line non-preservation feasible projects; and • Fund all FY 2014 Request for Applications next in-line projects that scored and ranked at the top of the list, except for those projects that are determined to be not feasible. <p>As a result, the Developer's application will remain in a long line of projects that may be funded over the next two years.</p> <p>An additional \$2,000,000 in General Obligation Bonds (GOB) was allocated from District 9. One million was approved on February 1, 2011 through R-55-11 and another million dollars was approved March 6, 2012 through R-231-12.</p> <p>Although the Developer has not met all of the requirements set forth above, including re-platting, the Developer has made some progress, such as the selection of Cornerstone Group as the qualified co-developer and they have recorded a partial release of mortgage from Wachovia. In the event, the Developer fails to construct and complete the affordable housing development within eight years from the date of the recordation of the Deed, i.e. by April 10, 2017; the Developer Land will automatically revert to the County.</p>
<p>8N1 141183</p> <p>SUPP. 141347</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT TO MAKE BUS SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS TO BE IMPLEMENTED ON OR AFTER JUNE 22, 2014</p> <p>SUPPLEMENT TO RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING METROBUS ROUTE SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS TO BE IMPLEMENTED ON OR AFTER JUNE 22, 2014</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade Transit to make bus service adjustments to be implemented on or after June 22, 2014.</p> <p>These modifications include the elimination of two low ridership bus routes. Board approval, after a public hearing for the route discontinuations, is required per Miami-Dade County Code (County Code), Chapter 2, Article XIX, Section 2-150.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The annual operation and maintenance cost for the two proposed route deletions is approximately \$512,000.00. The net fiscal impact of the service changes proposed in this line up, which also includes some service improvements, is an estimated annual savings of \$360,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit (MDT).</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The proposed discontinuation of Route 46 (Liberty City Connection) and Route 243 (Seaport Connection) is due to low ridership. Additionally, MDT will be making service adjustments to an additional 16 routes under the administrative authority provided in Section 2-150 of the County Code. Those service changes include minor schedule modifications for better on-time performance to all 16 routes, minor routing/operational adjustments to five (5) routes, truncation of one (1) route, and frequency adjustments to two (2) routes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 46 (Districts 2 and 3) has had historically low ridership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This route began operating in November 2004. ○ This route averages 10.3 passengers-per-hour and consistently performs below MDT's minimum 15 passengers-per-hour bus service standard. ○ Patrons who currently use Route 46 will have alternative MDT service with Routes 12, 17, 21, 22, 27, 32, 54, 62, 77 and 277. • Route 243 (Districts 3 and 5) has had historically low ridership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This route began operating in October 2000. ○ This route averages 11.8 passengers-per-hour and consistently performs below MDT's minimum 15 passengers-per-hour bus service standard. ○ Patrons who currently use Route 243 will have alternative municipal service. <p>In addition to the deletion of Routes 46 and 243, 16 other routes will receive service adjustments under the Mayor's administrative authority. They include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routes 7, 8, 27, 54, 70, 71, 72, and 88 will have a minor schedule adjustment to improve on-time performance. • Routes 35, 52, 57, 99, 137 and 200 will have minor schedule and routing adjustments to improve on-time performance. • Route 102/B will have a minor routing adjustment to improve on-time performance.

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Route 120 will have an additional northbound morning trip to alleviate overcrowding. <p>Supplement <i>Due to timing issues, the line-up is now scheduled to take place on or after July 22, 2014; therefore, this item will need to be amended.</i></p> <p>Additional Information – Notes from the July 1, 2014 BCC meeting <i>Concern was raised that with the removal of Route 46, will cause some residents to walk some blocks. This will be difficult on seniors and disabled who do not take STS. It will leave a big gap of service on residents in this district.</i> <i>Concern was also raised regarding the adjustment to Route 99 and the impact it will have on the senior citizens in that area.</i> <i>The item was deferred so that MDT can go back and work with each commissioner to look for alternatives to the proposed adjustments.</i></p>
801 141391	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT TO SECURE INDEPENDENT, CERTIFIED PROPERTY APPRAISERS HOLDING MEMBER APPRAISAL INSTITUTE OR ACCREDITED SENIOR APPRAISER, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF APPRAISERS DESIGNATION, TO PERFORM PROPERTY APPRAISALS ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ESTABLISHED COUNTY APPRAISER SELECTION PROCEDURES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED A BLANKET AMOUNT OF \$100,000.00
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) to secure independent, certified property appraisers holding a Member Appraisal Institute or Accredited Senior Appraiser, American Society of Appraisers designation to perform property appraisals on an as-needed basis in accordance with established Miami-Dade County appraiser-selection procedures, in an amount not to exceed a blanket amount of \$100,000.00.</p> <p>Background WASD is requesting approval from the Board to hire independent appraisers on an as needed basis for WASD projects. Blanket appraisal authorizations enable staff 1) to secure appraisal services to execute various real estate planning, leasing, acquisition, sale, development and management functions, and 2) to proceed with projects when acquiring, selling or leasing real estate.</p> <p>The request of \$100,000.00 will replenish the last approved WASD blanket for \$75,000.00 (in 2003) per Resolution No. R-1335-03, whose current balance is \$765.00. The remaining dollar amount is insufficient to carry out the real estate appraisals that need to be performed on all of the up-coming WASD acquisitions and projects.</p>
802 141486	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MILIAN, SWAIN & ASSOCIATES, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-03, CONTRACT NO. 14MSAI004; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
803 141487	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND A&P CONSULTING TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS CORP. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-03, CONTRACT NO. 14APCT003; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
805 141489	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-03, CONTRACT NO. 14SCSI001; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
806 141490	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND CES CONSULTANTS, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-03, CONTRACT NO. 14CESC002; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
807 141491	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND KING ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,300,000.00, FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUMP STATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-03, CONTRACT NO. 14KEAI001; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The following five (5) proposed resolutions award non-exclusive professional services agreements to Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc., A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp., Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., CES Consultants, Inc., and King Engineering Associates, Inc. for Design Services for the Implementation of a Pump Station Improvement Program.</p> <p>Under the Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Department's Pump Station Improvement Program, the Department is upgrading the wastewater collection and transmission system, including its pump stations and force mains, to ensure that each pump station is certified as capable of meeting a nominal average pump operating time of less than or equal to ten (10) hours per day. This is necessary to meet the criteria specified in the Consent Decree. Pump stations exceeding the nominal average pump operating time criteria must have a Remedial Action Plan, and no building permits can be issued for connections to the wastewater collection and transmission system upstream of that particular station until the Remedial Action Plan is completed.</p> <p>As of December 6, 2013 (the Effective Date of the Consent Decree), there were over 100 wastewater pump stations out of compliance with</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																								
	<p>these requirements. In order to meet the requirements of the new Consent Decree, these pump stations need to be upgraded to provide adequate pumping capacity prior to allowing new residential and/or commercial projects to make connections within their associated service areas.</p> <p>The operations cost impact per pump station is approximately \$25,000.00 and the maintenance cost impact per pump station is approximately \$25,000.00, funded by operating and maintenance funds. The life expectancy of each pump station is approximately 25 years.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>On January 17, 2014, a Notice to Professional Consultants was issued under full and open competition. On February 24, 2014, the Clerk of the Board received fourteen (14) proposals. One (1) firm was found non-compliant by the Competitive Selection Committee for failure to adhere to and submit the required information noted in the Notice to Professional Consultants. The references in the proposals were verified by the Internal Services Department. The Competitive Selection Committee evaluated and ranked the thirteen (13) firms. The Competitive Selection Committee by majority vote decided not to hold a Second-Tier (Oral Presentation) meeting and recommended the top five (5) ranking firms below for negotiation of a contract.</p> <table><tr><th>8(O)2</th><th>8(O)3</th><th>8(O)5</th><th>8(O)6</th><th>8(O)7</th></tr><tr><td>Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc.</td><td>A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp.</td><td>Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.</td><td>CES Consultants, Inc.</td><td>King Engineering Associates, Inc.</td></tr><tr><td>Project No.: E13-WASD-03</td><td>Project No.: E13-WASD-03</td><td>Project No.: E13-WASD-03</td><td>Project No.: E13-WASD-03</td><td>Project No.: E13-WASD-03</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No.: 14MSAI004</td><td>Contract No.: 14APCT003</td><td>Contract No.: 14SCSI001</td><td>Contract No.: 14CESC002</td><td>Contract No.: 14KEAI001</td></tr><tr><td>Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00</td><td>Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00</td><td>Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00</td><td>Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00</td><td>Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.</td><td>Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.</td><td>Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.</td><td>Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.</td><td>Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.</td></tr><tr><td>Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000</td><td>Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000</td><td>Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000</td><td>Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000</td><td>Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000</td></tr><tr><td>Subconsultants: Basulto & Associates, Inc.; Geosol, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; BCC Engineering, Inc.; and Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.</td><td>Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Gannett Fleming, Inc.; Architects International Inc.; BCC Engineering, Inc.; Nadic Engineering Services Incorporated; Westhorp & Associates, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; and Media Relations Group, LLC</td><td>Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Hillers Electrical Engineering, Inc.; Martin-Vilato Associates, Inc.; Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.; and Longitude Surveyors, LLC</td><td>Subconsultants: Brown & Caldwell; Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.; Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc.; Media Relations Group, LLC; GFA International, Inc.</td><td>Subconsultants: Leiter, Perez & Associates, Inc.; Design2Form, LLC.; Eastern Engineering Group Company; Geosol, Inc.; Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, PA.; and Ross Engineering, Inc.</td></tr></table> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>At the July 8th 2014, ICIC meeting, information was requested as to whether there was diversity on the sub-consultant teams. The information was provided for item 8(O)3 and partially for 8(O)6. However, the information was not provided for items 8(O)2, 8(O)5 and 8(O)7.</p> <p>Upon request from the Office of the Commission Auditor, the Miami Dade Water and Sewer Department provided the information and we have included it below.</p> <p>In accordance with Sections 2-8.1, 2-8.8 and 10.34 of the Miami Dade County Code, ISD Form 7- Subcontractor/ Supplier Listing must be submitted as a condition of award by all bidders/respondents on County contracts for purchase of supplies, materials or services, including professional services which involve expenditures of \$100,000 or more, and all bidders/respondents on County or Public Health Trust construction contracts which involve expenditures of \$100,000 or more.</p>	8(O)2	8(O)3	8(O)5	8(O)6	8(O)7	Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc.	A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	CES Consultants, Inc.	King Engineering Associates, Inc.	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Contract No.: 14MSAI004	Contract No.: 14APCT003	Contract No.: 14SCSI001	Contract No.: 14CESC002	Contract No.: 14KEAI001	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Subconsultants: Basulto & Associates, Inc.; Geosol, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; BCC Engineering, Inc.; and Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.	Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Gannett Fleming, Inc.; Architects International Inc.; BCC Engineering, Inc.; Nadic Engineering Services Incorporated; Westhorp & Associates, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; and Media Relations Group, LLC	Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Hillers Electrical Engineering, Inc.; Martin-Vilato Associates, Inc.; Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.; and Longitude Surveyors, LLC	Subconsultants: Brown & Caldwell; Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.; Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc.; Media Relations Group, LLC; GFA International, Inc.	Subconsultants: Leiter, Perez & Associates, Inc.; Design2Form, LLC.; Eastern Engineering Group Company; Geosol, Inc.; Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, PA.; and Ross Engineering, Inc.
8(O)2	8(O)3	8(O)5	8(O)6	8(O)7																																					
Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc.	A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp.	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	CES Consultants, Inc.	King Engineering Associates, Inc.																																					
Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03	Project No.: E13-WASD-03																																					
Contract No.: 14MSAI004	Contract No.: 14APCT003	Contract No.: 14SCSI001	Contract No.: 14CESC002	Contract No.: 14KEAI001																																					
Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00	Contract Amount: \$3,300,000.00																																					
Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.	Contract Term: Five (5) year term with a one (1) year option to renew.																																					
Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000	Contract Measures: CBE- 40%- \$1,320,000																																					
Subconsultants: Basulto & Associates, Inc.; Geosol, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; BCC Engineering, Inc.; and Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.	Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Gannett Fleming, Inc.; Architects International Inc.; BCC Engineering, Inc.; Nadic Engineering Services Incorporated; Westhorp & Associates, Inc.; Longitude Surveyors, LLC; and Media Relations Group, LLC	Subconsultants: Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.; Hillers Electrical Engineering, Inc.; Martin-Vilato Associates, Inc.; Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.; and Longitude Surveyors, LLC	Subconsultants: Brown & Caldwell; Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.; Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc.; Media Relations Group, LLC; GFA International, Inc.	Subconsultants: Leiter, Perez & Associates, Inc.; Design2Form, LLC.; Eastern Engineering Group Company; Geosol, Inc.; Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, PA.; and Ross Engineering, Inc.																																					

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes															
		Consultant/ Sub-consultants	Principal Owner							Employee(s)						
			M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O	M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O
	802	Milian, Swain & Associates, Inc.	1	1	1		1			11	5	3	1	12		
		Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.	1	1	2					180	83	183	13	50		17
		Longitude Surveyors, LLC	1				1			22	2	3	2	19		
		Basulto & Associates, Inc.	1				1			3	2	1		4		
		BCC Engineering, Inc.	4				4			86	23	34	6	58	11	
		Geosol, Inc.	1	1						9	1			8	2	
			M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O	M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O
	803	A&P Consulting Transportation Engineers Corp.	4			4				50	19	3	1	65		
		Gannett Fleming, Inc.	70	5	71	1	1	2		1343	475	1541	54	80	104	19
		Chen Moore and Associates	6	1	3	1	2	1		30	16	28	2	14	2	
		Architects International, Inc.	1				1			6	7	2		11		
		Westhorp and Associates, Inc.		1	1					2	3	2		3		
		BCC Engineering, Inc.	4				4			83	22	32	5	58	10	
		Nadic Engineering	1	1		2				7	3	1	4	4	1	
		Media Relations Group, LLC	1	1			2			2	13	1	2	12		
		Longitude Surveyors	1				1			18	2	3	2	15		
			M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O	M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O
	805	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.- Pending														
		Chen Moore and Associates	6	1	3	1	2	1		30	16	28	2	14	2	
		Hillers Electrical Engineering, Inc.	2		1		1			10	2	9		1	2	
		Martin-Vilato Associates, Inc.	2				2			3	1		1	3		
		Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.	3	1	4					25	6	16	5	10		
		Longitude Surveyors, LLC	1				1			18	2	3	2	15		
			M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O	M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O
	806	CES Consultants, Inc.	1				1			28	6	8	1	15	6	4
		Brown and Caldwell								944	552	1192	51	94	133	21
		Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.	1	1	2					180	83	183	13	50		17
		Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc.	4	2	6					37	16	38	2	12	1	
		GFA International, Inc.	1		1					101	21	96	5	16	2	
		Media Relations Group, LLC	1	1			2			2	13	1	2	12		
			M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O	M	F	W	B	H	A/P	O
	807	King Engineering Associates- Pending														
		Ross Engineering, Inc.		x	x					3	1	2		2		
		Eastern Engineering Group	1	1			2			1	1			2		
		Geosol, Inc.	1	1						9	1			8	2	
		Leiter, Perez & Associates, Inc.	2		2					8	1	2	3	4		
		Design2Form, LLC	1			1				1	3	1	2	1		
		Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, PA.- Pending														
804 141488	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND WOOLPERT, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,900,000.00, WITH A TOTAL CONTRACT TERM OF FOUR YEARS WITH A ONE TWO-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW FOR GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION UTILITY BACKLOG SERVICES FOR CONSENT DECREE PROJECTS; PROJECT NO. E13-WASD-07, CONTRACT NO. 14WPI001; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND EXERCISE ANY PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN															
Notes	The proposed resolution awards Professional Services Agreement Project No. E13-WASD-07; Agreement No. 14WPI001 to Woolpert, Inc. for Geographic Information Systems Utility Backlog Services with a total compensation amount of \$9,900,000.00 and a total contract term of four (4) years with one (1) two-year option-to-renew.															

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The scope of work in this professional service agreement for this award recommendation to Woolpert, Inc. will assist the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) meet a deadline stipulated in the newly approved Consent Decree.</p> <p>According to the deadline specified in the new Consent Decree, by June 6, 2017, WASD must have completed 1) entering its outstanding backlog (currently measured at 1,800 projects) of system betterment and donation projects consisting of pipeline, manholes, valves and other assets into WASD's Geographic Information System database, and 2) resolving over 2,000 notifications which are generated when the field provides a notification showing inconsistencies between what is documented in the Geographic Information System database and what field crews locate underground in the field.</p> <p>Background On December 13, 2013, a Notice to Professional Consultants was issued under full and open competition. On January 8, 2014, the Clerk of the Board received two (2) proposals. In accordance with Section 3.3 of the Notice to Professional Consultants, the County must conduct a market analysis or extend the proposal submittal deadline date if the County receives fewer than three (3) proposals to determine if there is availability or any interest from other firms to provide the required services. The deadline date was extended to February 4, 2014. No additional firms submitted proposals. The Competitive Selection Committee recommended negotiation of the contract with Woolpert, Inc.</p> <p>Contract Measures: CBE 20%- \$1,980,000</p> <p>Subconsultant: 300 Engineering Group, P.A.</p>
<p>11A1 141028</p> <p>11A3 140932</p> <p>11A4 141029</p> <p>11A7 141158</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE WITH CUBAN EXILE HISTORY MUSEUM, INC. REGARDING POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT OF A CUBAN EXILE HISTORY MUSEUM ON PARCEL B OF FEC PROPERTY IN CONSULTATION WITH THE OPERATOR OF THE AMERICAN AIRLINES ARENA, SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL</p> <p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT FEASIBILITY STUDY ANALYZING DEVELOPMENT OF PARCEL B OF FLORIDA EAST COAST PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC OPEN SPACE PURPOSES; TO PREPARE A REPORT RELATED TO SUCH PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AFTER RECEIPT OF PUBLIC INPUT THROUGH A CHARRETTE PROCESS AND CONSULTATION WITH THE OPERATOR OF THE AMERICAN AIRLINES ARENA; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ORGANIZE A CHARRETTE FOR SUCH PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS IN REPORT TO THE BOARD</p> <p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT A STUDY TO LOCATE ALTERNATIVE SITES FOR A CUBAN EXILE HISTORY MUSEUM IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN CONSULTATION WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM CUBAN EXILE HISTORY MUSEUM, INC. AND PRESENT A REPORT OF THOSE FINDINGS TO THE BOARD WITHIN NINETY (90) DAYS</p> <p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EMPLOY APPRAISER TO APPRAISE PARCEL B OF THE FEC PROPERTY, WHICH PARCEL IS LOCATED BEHIND THE AMERICAN AIRLINES ARENA, TO ASSESS ITS FAIR MARKET RENTAL VALUE AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT TO THE BOARD FOR ITS APPROVAL A RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE FOR USE OF PARCEL B BASED ON THE RESULTS OF SUCH APPRAISAL; AND ESTABLISHING BOARD POLICY TO FUND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AT COUNTY PARKS WITH FEE REVENUES REMAINING AFTER PAYMENT OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PARCEL B</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>Item No. 11A1</u> The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to negotiate with Cuban Exile History Museum, Inc. for the possible development of a Cuban Exile History Museum on Parcel B of the FEC Property in consultation with Basketball Properties Ltd. and in accordance with any agreements between the County and Basketball Properties, Ltd.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee is further directed to present all necessary agreements to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for its consideration and approval within one-hundred-and-eighty (180) days from the effective date of this resolution; provided, however, if the County Mayor or his designee is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead.</p> <p><u>Item No. 11A3</u> The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to organize a charrette for development of Parcel B.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to conduct a feasibility study analyzing development of Parcel B for public open space purposes, and to prepare a report related to such proposed development. Prior to completion of the report, the County Mayor or his designee will do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consult with Arena Manager to determine whether the development of Parcel B for public open space purposes may materially impact the operation of the Arena and if so, how any negative impact may be reduced and/or mitigated, and Solicit public input through the charrette process. The report will include a summary of the public's recommendations for development received through the charrette process, an analysis of the public's proposals, a summary of the Arena Manager's comments pertaining to any proposed development, any legal and practical concerns that would need to be addressed, and a recommended plan for development of Parcel B for public open space purposes, including, but not limited to, green space open to the public. The County Mayor is to submit and present the report to the BCC within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this resolution. <p><u>Item No. 11A4</u> The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to conduct a study analyzing alternative locations in Miami-Dade County</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>for development of a Cuban Exile History Museum in consultation with representatives from Cuban Exile History Museum, Inc.</p> <p>The County Mayor is to submit and present the report to the BCC within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>Item No. 11A7</p> <p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employ an appraiser with an MAI designation to appraise the fair market rental value of Parcel B; and • Prepare and submit for BCC's consideration and approval a proposed fee schedule for use of Parcel B based upon the results of such appraisal within ninety days from the effective date of this resolution. The fee schedule will provide that all requests for fee reductions and fee waivers for the use of Parcel B must be submitted to the BCC for approval. <p>It will be the policy of the BCC that any fee revenues remaining after payment of costs associated with the operation and maintenance of Parcel B be allocated to fund capital improvements at County parks, subject to annual appropriation by the BCC.</p> <p>Additional Information <i>See attached Parcel B Legislative Background.</i></p>
<p>11A2 141370</p>	<p>RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GENERAL ELECTION ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2014, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE ELECTORS OF MIAMI DADE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TO AMEND THE CHARTER TO PERMIT LIBRARY USES AT PARKS SUBJECT TO ARTICLE VII, TO EXEMPT THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REGIONAL SOCCER PARK LOCATED AT NW 58TH STREET FROM THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE VII, AND TO ADD ADDITIONAL PROTECTIONS FOR PRESERVATION LANDS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141255]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution calls for a countywide special election in Miami-Dade County, to be held in conjunction with a general election on Tuesday, November 4, 2014, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of Miami-Dade County the question of whether to amend the Charter to permit library uses at parks subject to Article VII, to exempt the Miami-Dade county regional soccer park located at NW 58th Street from the restrictions of Article VII, and to add additional protections for preservation lands.</p> <p>At the July 9, 2014 Cultural Affairs and Recreation Committee meeting, this item was amended to include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A provision was added to the new subsection (M) to provide that library services could only be provided from recreation facilities already existing in parks as of November 4, 2014;</i> • <i>Subsections (N) and (O) were deleted from Section 7.02;</i> • <i>The Miami-Dade County Regional Soccer Park located on N.W. 58th Street as a park was added as a park exempted from, and not subject to, the restrictions of Article 7; and</i> • <i>The ballot question will be modified to conform to the above-stated proposed revisions to Article 7 of the Charter.</i> <p>The question will appear on the ballot in substantially the following form:</p> <p><u>CHARTER AMENDMENT ALLOWING LIBRARIES IN PARKS, EXEMPTING PARK FROM ARTICLE 7, AND PROTECTING PRESERVATION LANDS</u></p> <p>ARTICLE 7 CURRENTLY PROVIDES THAT SPECIFIED PARKS SHALL BE USED FOR PUBLIC PARK PURPOSES ONLY AND LIMITS CONSTRUCTION TO CERTAIN ENUMERATED FACILITIES. SHALL THE CHARTER BE AMENDED TO: ALSO PERMIT COUNTY LIBRARY PURPOSES WITHIN EXISTING RECREATION FACILITIES AT PARKS; EXEMPT THE REGIONAL SOCCER PARK ON NW 58TH STREET FROM ARTICLE 7; AND FURTHER RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT AND CERTAIN USE OF PRESERVATION LANDS THAT WOULD ADVERSELY IMPACT NATURAL RESOURCES?</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>According to Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS), this item does not refer to any specific park. The following are brief notes on the proposed item:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow for library services in parks, as long as such facilities are within an established recreational center of the park, and does not unreasonably impair public use of the park; • Intent is to save Libraries money by moving storefront libraries into an existing park facility. The recreation center at Norman and Jean Reach Park would be one such candidate for a library in a park; • Intended to incorporate libraries within existing recreational park facilities, and not to be a stand-alone library facility in parks; • The library must be compatible with the surrounding park where it is placed, and cannot impair the public use of the park; and • The proposed agenda item would include only recreation centers in existence as of November 4, 2014 for the provision of library services. <p>According to PROS, the following libraries are currently in storefronts and are being considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • California Club: 850 Ives Diary Road • Lakes of the Meadow: 4284 SW 152 Avenue • Tamiami: 13250 SW 8 Street • Sunset: 10855 SW 72 Street • Country Walk: 15433 SW 137 Avenue • Concord: 3882 SW 112 Avenue • Hialeah Gardens: 11300 NW 87 Court • Palm Springs North: 17601 NW 78 Avenue

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information- Notes from the June 9, 2014 Cultural Affairs and Recreation Committee meeting</u> <i>The Director of Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces (PROS), provided an overview of the foregoing proposed resolution and its proposed exceptions to Article 7 of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter, for the following park usages:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>To allow for library services in parks, as long as such facilities were within an established recreational center of the park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park;</i> <i>To allow for athletic development and training facilities in parks including overnight lodging of athletes in dormitories, as long as such facilities were not located in a natural or historical resource-based park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park; and</i> <i>To allow for recreational campgrounds and limited overnight camping accommodations in cabin/lodges for park patrons only, as long as such facilities were located in a natural or historical resource-based park, compatible with the surrounding park and did not unreasonably impair public use of the park.</i> <i>Pertaining to library facilities within a park, it was explained the concept was to move some storefront libraries into a park facility; change them to an electronic format and reduce the footprint for needed space.</i>
<p>11A5 141247</p>	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENT THAT SMALL BUSINESS BOND COUNSEL AND DISCLOSURE COUNSEL LAW FIRMS MAINTAIN SEPARATE MALPRACTICE INSURANCE COVERAGE; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE IN ALL FUTURE SOLICITATIONS FOR BOND COUNSEL AND DISCLOSURE COUNSEL SERVICES TO ALLOW PARTICIPATION BY SMALL BUSINESS LAW FIRMS WITHOUT SUCH REQUIREMENT</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirement that small business bond counsel and disclosure counsel law firms maintain separate malpractice insurance coverage, directing the County Mayor or his designee in all future solicitations for bond counsel and disclosure counsel services to allow participation by small business law firms without such requirement.</p> <p>The elimination of small business law firm malpractice insurance requirement will not have a significant impact on the County since the senior law firm of each bond counsel and disclosure counsel team has malpractice insurance for its representation of the County.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Notes from the June 10, 2014 Finance Committee meeting</u> The Committee made the following inquiries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the senior law firm's insurance policy would assume responsibility for the transaction prior to the proposed all firm insurance requirement. Finance Department, Bond Administration Division, noted that the requested information would be provided later.</i> <i>Whether the County ever assumed liability for the actions taken by junior law firms. The Finance Department responded that that the County had never defended any such lawsuits or suffered any liability that would ultimately be transferred to the junior law firms.</i> <i>Whether the senior law firms insurance currently covered the entire transaction or was the junior law firm also required to obtain its own insurance. The Finance department responded that this was a joint venture with a co-bond council, noting that both the senior and junior law firms were responsible for their own work. He did not believe that an insurance company would be willing to cover a loss unless the firm was originally signed as a recipient under the policy. It was noted that a full coverage insurance policy was required.</i> <i>In response to the question whether the senior law firm was required to have full coverage, the Finance department noted that this was a County requirement. Whether the insurance extended to the entire transaction, including both the senior and junior law firm depended upon the terms of the specific policy. Risk Management pointed out that each contract required professional liability coverage for the individual bond council, noting the policy might not cover the entire amount of exposure, but would be sufficient to cover the reasonable amount of a potential claim. Both the senior and junior law firm obtained their own insurance to cover their portion of work and there would not be coverage exceeding the actual loss. The senior law firms' insurance company would not cover the work of another party unless there was a contractual connection between the two parties and an obligation underneath that contract. The insurance policies were mutually exclusive for the work performed and the claims made against those policies, with separate policies for each firm.</i> <i>The Committee questioned whether the County was proactive in attracting minority firms to perform both legal and bond work; the number of minority firms that participated in the program; the length of their contract; and how long they worked with the County. Finance department responded that there was both a management agreement and a development agreement between the senior and junior law firms. He said the senior law firm would develop the junior firm over a five year program. It was noted the junior disclosure council was becoming more involved in preparation and development of required documents over time. He indicated there were currently three senior and three junior bond council firms as well as three senior and three junior disclosure council firms.</i> <i>Clarification was requested as to whether the County would be fully protected in the event that the foregoing proposed resolution was approved, making the senior law firm responsible for the junior firms' malpractice insurance coverage. Risk Management responded that the senior law firm would need to indemnify and hold harmless the junior firm through a separate agreement in order to protect the County from litigation. He cautioned that there was a potential risk if the loss exceeded the amount of required insurance. Further clarification was requested as to whether the County would be exposed to any additional risk in the event the junior law firm was not required to obtain insurance. The Assistant County Attorney responded that the proposed resolution removed a layer of protection by not requiring the junior law firm to obtain malpractice insurance.</i> <i>The Committee inquired whether there should be a requirement that the senior firm carried additional insurance to further protect the County. Risk Management noted that in the event that the proposed resolution was approved, the Risk Management Department would work with the County Attorney's Office to require that the senior law firm indemnified and held harmless the junior firm. He indicated that this provision would need to be accepted by the senior law firm as it would impose an additional responsibility. The Assistant County Attorney responded that the potential for additional legal malpractice insurance requirement exposure existed.</i> <i>The proposed resolution was forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners without recommendation and that information on the</i>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<i>worst case scenario in the event of litigation be provided.</i>
11A6 141371	RESOLUTION DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN WITHIN SIXTY DAYS FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO NOTIFY THE PUBLIC OF RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES PASSED OR ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 140879)
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or his designee to develop a plan within sixty (60) days on methods, other than the County's web portal, that will be utilized to notify the public of new ordinances and resolutions adopted or passed by the Board of County Commissioners.</p> <p>The Mayor or his designee is to provide a report directly to the full Board exempt from committee review on the details of the plan within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution.</p> <p><i>The proposed resolution differs from the original in that it includes a reporting requirement (90 days) to the full BCC on the details of the plan.</i></p> <p>The proposed resolution is in response to the community expressing concern that residents are not receiving timely notification of new resolutions and ordinances.</p>
11A8 141546	RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO PASS THE GROW AMERICA ACT, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PROVIDE INCREASED AND STABLE FUNDING FOR THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT-RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution urges Congress to pass the GROW AMERICA Act, or similar legislation that would provide increased and stable funding for the Highway Trust Fund and other transportation and transit-related infrastructure projects.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed resolution directs the County's federal lobbyists to advocate for the passage of this legislation, and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2014 Federal Legislative Package to include this item.</p> <p>The GROW AMERICA Act would, among other things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a four-year authorization of federal highway, transit and rail programs at an increased funding level of \$302 billion; • Establish an Infrastructure Permitting Improvement Center designed to reduce project delivery time; • Prevent new Metropolitan Planning Organizations from being designated within metropolitan statistical areas already served by an existing Metropolitan Planning Organization and require coordinated planning and performance target-setting in those areas already served by multiple Metropolitan Planning Organizations; • Authorize the U.S. Secretary of Transportation to identify a subset of Metropolitan Planning Organizations serving areas of 200,000 and above as "higher performing MPOs" based on a set of established criteria; and • Establish a Critical Immediate Investments Program to reduce the number of structurally deficient bridges on the interstate system, target safety investments on non-state-owned roads, and support a state of good repair on the National Highway System.
11A10 141592	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONFER WITH CHIEF JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT AND PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING COURT CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION NEEDS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING SUCH REQUESTS; AND DIRECTING REPORT BE PLACED ON THE SEPTEMBER 3, 2014 COMMISSION AGENDA
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or his designee to confer with Chief Judge Bertila Soto regarding the capital construction needs of the Miami-Dade County Circuit and County Courts and to prepare a report to the Board detailing these needs, the projected costs of the requested design and construction for meeting those needs, and recommendations for financing those costs including the issuance of General Obligation Bonds for such purpose.</p> <p>At a minimum, the report will include analyzing and recommending alternatives for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding emergency repairs to existing court facilities; • Land acquisition for a new main civil courthouse (or an agreement to buy the land or air rights as the case may be); • Design and construction of a new main civil courthouse and four satellite courthouses elsewhere in the County; • Construction of a parking facility for court personnel to serve the downtown court facilities including the juvenile court and the new main civil courthouse; and • Restructuring existing debt. <p><i>The County Mayor or his designee will provide a copy of the report to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) and the County Attorney by August 20, 2014 and the report will be placed on the September 3, 2014 BCC agenda.</i></p>
11A11 141499 SUPP. 141596	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS VACANT COUNTY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 301 NW 17TH STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A GROUND LEASE BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND RAINBOW HOUSING CORPORATION, INC., A FLORIDA NON-PROFIT CORPORATION FOR SAID COUNTY OWNED LAND TO BE UTILIZED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, WITH AN ESTIMATED TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT OF \$99 FOR A LEASE TERM OF NINETY-NINE YEARS; WAIVING RESOLUTION R-256-13 AS IT RELATES TO REQUIRING A RENTAL PAYMENT IN LIEU OF PAYING TAXES; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p> <p>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO AGENDA ITEM REGARDING VACANT LAND LOCATED AT 301 NW 17TH STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 15, 2014 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares surplus vacant County owned land located at 301 NW 17th Street, Miami, Florida; • Approves terms of and authorizes execution by the County Mayor of a Ground Lease between Miami-Dade County and Rainbow Housing Corporation, Inc., a Florida non-profit corporation for said County owned land to be utilized for affordable housing, with an estimated total fiscal impact of \$99 for a lease term of ninety-nine years; • Waives Resolution R-256-13 as it relates to requiring a rental payment in lieu of paying taxes; and • Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board. <p>Pursuant to the Office of the Property Appraiser website, the 2014 Market Value is listed as \$500,726 and the 2014 Assessed Value is listed as \$251,975 for Folio No. 01-3136-065-0070, located at 301 NW 17th Street, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>Rainbow Housing Corporation, Inc. proposes to develop the property into an affordable rental community consisting of between 46 units and 55 units, which housing may be dedicated to families headed by or consisting of senior citizens.</p> <p>The supplemental information is regarding vacant land located at 301 NW 17th Street, Miami, FL 33136, known as the St. Agnes Project. The property was originally part of the Townpark Gardens public housing development that went through a land disposition with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD) on January 22, 1998. On October 30, 2001, U.S. HUD released the land from the Declaration of Trust. On November 7, 2001, the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approved Resolution No. R-1287-99 to authorize the transfer of ownership and deeded the property over to the County quasi-government department Miami-Dade Empowerment Trust. It was anticipated the property would be developed as affordable single family residential housing by the St. Agnes Rainbow Village Community Development Corporation. Unfortunately, the parcel was never developed and there is no evidence of activity for the period of 2001 through 2008.</p> <p>On March 18, 2008, the property was deeded back to the Miami-Dade County Office of Community and Economic Development (OCED), one of the predecessor departments of Public Housing and Community Development, under the Program Assumption Agreement with Miami-Dade Empowerment Trust through Board Resolution No. R-304-08. In 2010, the County worked with St. Agnes to develop a lease to construct elderly affordable housing on the property. Subsequently, the Housing Trust Group has been selected as the developer and the Internal Services Department has finalized the lease for Board approval.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>The following provides highlights from the Ground Lease:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term of the Lease is 99 years. • The date on which this Lease becomes effective is called the Execution Date. • The Commencement Date will be the date the Tenant retains, completes, and closes on the last of its financing for the Project. • The date the Tenant takes possession of the premises is on the Commencement Date. • The Commencement Date will not be more than 36 months after the Execution Date. • Within 24 months of the Execution Date the Tenant will provide the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Copy of Environmental Study; and ○ Letter form Tenant's attorney or from City of Miami stating that the property is properly zoned for the proposed project. • Within 34 months of the Execution Date the tenant will provide the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Copy of estimated budget for the entire construction; ○ Copy of final soil boring test; ○ Copy of structural, mechanical and electrical drawings; ○ Copy of executed development agreement or joint venture partnership agreement; and ○ Copy of preliminary (50%) construction drawings. • Within 36 months of the Execution Date the Tenant will provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Copy of final budget for entire construction; ○ Copy of fully executed contract for General Contractor; ○ Copy of any and all sublease and assignments; ○ Evidence that Tenant has commenced construction of the Project; ○ Copy of completed architectural drawings, along with completed building department applications and any permits that have been received; and ○ Copy of all environmental reports. • Construction will commence within 36 months of the Execution Date and complete construction of the Affordable Housing building within 18 months, no later than December 31, 2019. • The Tenant with prior written approval by the County Mayor or his designee, may extend the time period to complete construction up to an additional 18 month period, with final completion date being December 31, 2021. • The Affordable Housing apartment building, consisting of no less than 46 rental units of housing and a maximum of 55 rental housing units, may also be restricted to Senior Housing. • The 2-bedroom units in the Project will consist of at least 800 sq ft of space and the 1-bedroom units will consist of at least 600 sq ft of space.

Parcel B Background - Research Notes	
January 12, 1998	<p>Miami-Dade County acquired the property from the City of Miami for the development of a multipurpose professional sports franchise facility, including related parking facilities and retail.</p> <p>On December 16, 2003, the BCC, through R-1446-03, authorized execution of Composite Amendment Eight to the American Airlines Area Agreements. This amendment, among other things, removed/deleted BPL's right, duties, and obligations for development of the property under Composite Amendment Two.</p> <p>On November 2, 2013, the BCC, through R-922-13, directed the Mayor to update the conceptual study analyzing development of the Cuban Exile History Museum at Parcel B of FEC property and to expand such study to include other potential sites in the surrounding area.</p> <p>On April 16, 2014, the Mayor provided the Update to Conceptual Study relating to Possible Development of a Cuban Exile History Museum at Parcel B or Other Potential Locations.</p>
November 20, 2001 R-1334-01	<p>BCC approved Composite Amendment Three to the Arena Agreements: Authorized a six-month extension to the December 1, 2001 deadline in order to finalize the plans for the Parcel B development.</p> <p><i>Commissioners requested a written report on when the bridge from Bayside to the Arena would be built.</i></p>
July 10, 2003 R-808-03	<p>BCC retroactively approved Composite Amendment Five to the Arena Agreements: This Amendment is to extend deadlines for the purpose of allowing the County and the Manager to negotiate further amendment to the Arena Agreements regarding the relinquishment of the Manager's Parcel B development rights; additionally, this Amendment is the Manager's agreement to forbear from exercising its rights with respect to the development of Parcel B until such negotiations are concluded or October 1, 2003, whichever occurs first; authorized an air rights payment of \$175,000 from BPL to the County for its rights with respect to Parcel B Retail Complex.</p> <p>Comments at the 7/10/03 BCC meeting: <i>County Manager explained that it would be in the County's best interest to grant additional time, which would allow all parties involved to get together and preserve green space on the waterfront, address the concerns raised by the City of Miami regarding Bayside and Miami Dade County and a number of public/private concerns that existed regarding this issue.</i></p> <p><i>BPL's representative, spoke in support of the additional time to finalize an agreement. He noted the representatives from the Mayor's Office and the City of Miami officials asked his client to delay the developmental plans for a period of 90 days, and to submit a proposal for approval that would leave the subject area as open space. He indicated if the Board did not adopt the foregoing resolution, his client was in the position to develop the subject property. He noted the July 1st deadline under the existing contract, which required his client to notify the County of any intent to exercise his development rights.</i></p>
September 23, 2003 R-1018-03	<p>BCC approved Composite Amendment Six to the Arena Agreements: BPL to agree to forbear from exercising its rights with respect to developing Parcel B until October 7, 2003.</p> <p>Comments at the 9/23/03 BCC meeting: <i>Assistant County Manager responded to Commissioners' inquiry regarding the release of the pedestrian bridge obligation in the proposed Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement by and among Miami-Dade County, the City of Miami and Bayside Center Limited. He noted pursuant to the original agreements approved by the Board in April 1997, the first \$1.5 million was County funds, and the Miami Heat, Ltd and BPL was obligated for the balance. There would be a substantial balance to complete construction of the bridge and there was a commitment on the part of City of Miami officials, Miami-Dade County officials, Rouse-Miami, Inc. and Miami Heat BPL that in lieu of the pedestrian bridge, there be an at-grade continuation of a baywalk that would continue into Parcel B—the County-owned property. He stated the baywalk would go under the Port of Miami Bridge.</i></p> <p><i>Commissioners had concerns regarding the pedestrian bridge; and the safety of constructing a baywalk under the Port of Miami Bridge. A discussion ensued among the BCC, the County Administration and the attorney representing the Miami Heat, regarding an extension to the October 1, 2003 deadline for the Miami Heat's development rights on Parcel B—the County-owned property.</i></p> <p><i>Assistant County Attorney advised that Composite Amendment Six related to the Parcel B issue and unraveling of the development rights and the release of the pedestrian bridge obligations and noted this Amendment also provided for an extension to October 24, 2003, to allow the City of Miami and Rouse-Miami, Inc., the opportunity to execute the Tri-Party Agreement.</i></p> <p><i>CAO advised that Composite Six would have to be amended if the BCC's direction was to unravel Parcel B development rights, or if the BCC approved an extension to allow all the parties the opportunity to revisit both Parcel</i></p>

	<p><i>B and the pedestrian bridge. Currently, all three issues were included in one document.</i></p> <p><i>The attorney representing the Miami Heat, appeared before the Board. He noted the Heat was willing to relinquish its developmental rights on Parcel B, however, he suggested that the Board allow six months for the appropriate parties to prepare a conceptual plan.</i></p> <p><i>He stated the Heat had given Parcel B back to the County and was no longer involved in the transaction. Assistant County Manager (ACM) stated if the proposed resolution was approved today, BPL would not be included in the transaction involving the County's development obligation on Parcel B. He noted pursuant to the City of Miami's development laws; construction of a baywalk was required as a permitting condition. ACM stated the City of Miami would be responsible for connecting the baywalk to the North and to the South. He noted it would be staff's recommendation to the Board at a subsequent date, that the City and the County work in a collegial manner on the development of Parcel B—possibly a charette—to include involvement of interested parties; and that the \$1.5 million earmarked for the pedestrian bridge, be incorporated into Parcel 'B'.</i></p>
<p>October 7, 2003 R-1118-03</p>	<p>BCC approved the Second Amendment to Tri-Party Agreement between County, City of Miami and Bayside Center Limited Partnership and Composite Amendment Seven to the Arena Agreements: The conditions of the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement contain the necessary provisions to facilitate BPL's voluntarily relinquishing their rights to develop Parcel B so that it may become a public open space.</p> <p>Pursuant to the terms of Composite Amendment Six as amended, adopted by the Board on September 23, 2003, the date for BPL to execute their development rights was retroactively extended to October 7, 2003, to provide an opportunity to structure an agreement eliminating Parcel B to be utilized as public open space. This resolution recommends that upon the acceptance of the City and Bayside, the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement be executed no later than December 15, 2003. This Amendment will release all parties of any liability or responsibility for the planning, design, construction and operation of and the funding for the Pedestrian Bridge.</p> <p>Furthermore, upon approval of the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement, Composite Amendment Seven will terminate BPL's rights and obligations under the Arena Agreements to the Parcel B Retail Complex in order for the County to utilize Parcel B for the preservation of public open space. The County Manager was to present a plan to develop Parcel B as an open public space in support of the vision that the voters endorsed when the American Airlines Arena project was initiated.</p> <p>The County released BPL and the Team with respect to the Pedestrian Bridge, the Parcel B Retail Complex, Phase II of the Roadways, the Baywalk, the Marine Facilities, and the Garage Annex.</p>
<p>December 16, 2003 R-1446-03</p>	<p>BCC approved Composite Amendment Eight to the Arena Agreements: Composite Amendment Eight extends the time period for the approval, valid execution and delivery by the parties to the County of the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement so that BPL could make an informed decision regarding surrendering their Parcel B development rights on or before December 29, 2003 and to allow the City Commission to approve the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement at its December 18, 2003 meeting. Furthermore, upon approval of the Second Amendment to the Tri-Party Agreement, Composite Amendment Eight will terminate BPL's rights and obligations under the Arena Agreements to the Parcel B Retail Complex in order for the County to utilize Parcel B for public open space purposes.</p>
<p>March 21, 2006 R-348-06</p>	<p>This resolution authorized the County Manager to apply for, receive and expend Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND) Waterways Assistance Program grant funds in the approximate amount of \$2 million. Matching funds in the amount of \$2.4 million are pledged from County Capital Outlay Reserve Funds (\$1.4 million) and Building Better Communities Baywalk Project (\$1.0 million), for a total project cost of \$4.4 million.</p> <p>The County is seeking grant funding to assist with the financing of the construction of a public shoreline stabilization project along Biscayne Bay, replacing 611 feet of deteriorating and failing seawall on Miami-Dade County property east of the American Airlines Arena, listed as Parcel B in the Book of Public Records. The project site is along the Intracoastal Waterway, and is part of the proposed Baywalk area.</p>
<p>September 4, 2007 R-1000-07</p>	<p>This resolution directed the County Manager to complete a conceptual study to develop a Bay of Pigs Museum and Library at Parcel B. In addition, the BCC directed the County Manager to consult with BPL prior to completion of the conceptual study to determine whether the development of a Bay of Pigs Museum and Library at Parcel B may materially impact the operation of the Arena. The County Manager will include as part of the conceptual study a section which addresses the following: the feasibility of underground parking, the provision of public open space, the development of a baywalk and options to mitigate any material impact to operation of the Arena arising from development of Parcel B. The County Manager will present the conceptual study to the BCC within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>Comments at the 9/4/2007 meeting: <i>Commissioners requested this study include consideration of park land or other available sites for this project, and</i></p>

	<i>that this project be affiliated with the Historical Museum of South Florida.</i>
May 2, 2008 File No. 081342	<p><i>This item remained in draft form and was not presented on any agenda.</i></p> <p>This resolution directed the Mayor to complete a conceptual study to analyze available alternative sites located within one mile of Parcel B for the development of the Museum. The County Manager will present the conceptual study to the BCC within sixty (60) days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p><i>On September 4, 2007 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1000-07 directing the County Manager to prepare a conceptual study analyzing development of the Bay of Pigs Museum and Library at Parcel B of the FEC Property, after consultation with BPL, the operator and manager of the American Airlines Arena, to determine whether the development of the Museum may materially impact the operation of the Arena. The County Manager conducted the feasibility study and submitted a report to the BCC concluding that, based on the information considered, the Museum could be built on Parcel B, subject to appropriate City and County approval, but any development on the site would require addressing the AAA's requirement for an area to stage events and accommodate valet parking.</i></p> <p><i>The feasibility study also concluded that the current Museum plan lacks green open space because 88.6% of the parcel is covered with the building and although the design of the Museum contains a large open plaza in the middle of the Museum, the plaza is only accessible to Museum visitors, not the general public and the BCC wishes to direct the County Mayor or his designee to prepare a conceptual study analyzing available alternative sites located within one mile of Parcel B for the development of the Museum.</i></p>
May 6, 2008 File. No. 080872	<p>The following report has been prepared in response to Resolution R-1000-07 directing the Manager to provide a conceptual study analyzing development of a Bay of Pigs Museum and Library on Parcel B site.</p> <p>This analysis is based on the preliminary design of the Bay of Pigs Museum and Library prepared by Chisholm Architects proposed to be constructed on the County's 2.76 acres of land directly east of the American Airlines Arena (Parcel B) and contains the following basic characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A five story building approximately 82 feet high; • Below ground, ground level and above ground level parking of approximately 353,490 square feet to accommodate approximately 1,000 cars. Although building further down is feasible, it is a more expensive process. While an above ground parking structure costs approximately \$25,000 per space, an underground facility would cost approximately \$70,000 per space; • Three levels of enclosed space with approximately 110,000 square feet to accommodate exhibition, office, theater, storage, library and other museum space; and • Proposed lot coverage of 88.6% or 106,785 sq. ft. of 120,225 sq. ft. <p>It appears that there is room for the continuation of the Baywalk project between the building and the Bay, however this must be confirmed and shown on the site plan. It should be noted that Project # 142 in the BBC/GOB is being presented to the Government and Operations Committee of the Board on March 11, 2008 for modification of the language to include the Baywalk as part of the project to repair the sea wall.</p> <p>On January 8, 1998, the City of Miami deeded 19.04 acres of land to Miami-Dade County for the American Airlines Arena, associated structures, including the 2.76 acres of land presently known as Parcel "B." This Deed includes a Restrictive Covenant which limited the development on all of the land for a 33 year period to the following uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arena – meaning a sports and entertainment complex together with: 37,500 sq. ft. of office space; retail, food and beverage space within the ticket secure area inside the actual Arena; 30,000 sq. ft. of retail space located outside the secure ticket area; parking, roadways, plaza and other public spaces. • Specialty Entertainment - Up to 70,000 sq. ft. for retail, specialty entertainment, dining, cinema, public cultural facilities, educational facilities, museums, and visitor attractions, as well as administrative office space. • Parking Facilities – adequate parking for the facilities built on the site, either below ground, above ground, surface or in a multi-level parking facility. • The City of Miami Baywalk for downtown would have to be maintained. • Any other development that is consistent with the land's zoning classification, which is currently Parks and Recreation. The property is located within the City of Miami and is therefore subject to City zoning ordinances. The current zoning for this site is PR - Parks, Recreation and Open Space. Under this zoning, major structures such as performing arts centers, museums, art galleries and exhibition space which "change the character of an existing park," will be approved only by a Special Exception Permit or a Major Use Special Permit (MUSP). <p>On December 16, 2003, via Resolution R-1446-03, the County approved Composite Amendment Eight to the American Airlines Arena (AAA) Agreements, terminating BPL's (the developer/operator of the AAA) rights and obligations under the Arena Agreements to the Parcel B Retail Complex in order for the County to utilize Parcel B for public open space purposes.</p>

	<p>PLANNING AND ZONING CONSIDERATIONS: The County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) has a written policy element devoted to Coastal Management. For the purposes of constructing a Museum on the Bay, Objectives CM-5 and CM-6 of this CDMP Element would apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective CM-5 - new development on the shoreline shall be water dependent, water related, or at a minimum should include environmentally compatible shoreline access facilities such as walkways, piers, and viewing areas with landscaping allowing views of the water. Any new building on the water would have to consider these issues. • Objective CM-6 directs the County to minimize user conflicts and impacts of man-made structures and activities on coastal resources. <p>The objectives of the Coastal Management Element of the CDMP are carried out and enforced by the Biscayne Bay Shoreline Development Review Committee, which reviews projects based on the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees and landscaping according to detailed criteria. • Setback requirements from the water line based on building elevations. The proposed Museum plan showing a structure 82 feet high would require a 48.5 foot setback from the edge of the water. • A visual corridor to Biscayne Bay must be maintained. The corridor must be at least 20% of the width of the lot (up to 100 feet max for corridor), but some credit is given for using the adjacent street as part of the corridor to the Bay. • A side setback from the property line of at least 25 feet is required for any Structure built on the land in question. • The Committee will also consider maintaining a walkway for the public along the edge of Biscayne Bay (Baywalk), as well as other smaller technical requirements of landscaping, parking, and building placement on the lot. • The Committee can consider variances to the above requirements based on an overall review of the project and its affect on the Biscayne Bay Shoreline. <p>PUBLIC INPUT: The Project for Public Space, Inc., (PPS) a non-profit organization founded in 1975 to promote the design and management of public spaces through the use of workshops, training, community planning design review, research and other activities, led workshops in August 2004 and December 2004 on Parcel B. Parcel B was originally selected for analysis because the City of Miami had identified it as a key missing link along the Bayfront – between Bicentennial Park and the Bayside Marketplace – as well as a major opportunity site, due to its proximity to and views of the Bay, and its location at the center-point of the proposed Baywalk promenade.</p> <p>As noted in the workshop reports, participants supported keeping this space well programmed but not overbuilt, with park-like features and amenities, such as shade trees, benches and seating, places to picnic or BBQ, and casual activities (pick-up soccer rather than scheduled leagues). There was interest and support for turning Parcel B into more of a neighborhood park that serves the communities that surround it - a place that is first and foremost designed for their use. In fact, the Urban Environment League, a Florida not-for-profit corporation founded in 1996 whose vision is "to promote a safe, clean, vibrant, sustainable community guided by the public's interest," while supportive of the concept of a Bay of Pigs Museum, has expressed its strong desire to have Parcel B remain a location for a waterfront park.</p> <p>The current plan lacks green open space, covering 88.6% of the lot with building. The design contains a large open plaza in the middle of the museum but it is only accessible to museum visitors, not the general public visiting the site.</p> <p>AMERICAN AIRLINES ARENA (AAA): Representatives from BPL expressed overall support for the project but have some serious concerns which they would like addressed if the project were to move forward. They expressed serious reservations about the possibility of not having access to the water or use of the property as a staging area for acts coming to the AAA. BPL is constantly competing with other venues (particularly the Bank Atlantic Center in Broward County) which have vast parking lots and staging areas for visiting artists and Parcel B is the only area available to the AAA for this use. BPL would like to have input throughout the approval process to insure their interests are protected.</p> <p>CONCLUSION: Based on the aforementioned information, staff has concluded that the Bay of Pigs Museum could be built on Parcel B under current zoning and deed restrictions, subject to appropriate City and County approval. Any development on the site would require addressing the AAA's requirement for an area to stage events and accommodate valet parking.</p> <p>Comments at the May 6, 2008 meeting: <i>Commissioners inquired whether workshops had been conducted to determine how the subject and surrounding area</i></p>
--	---

	<p>would look upon completion of the Bay of Pigs (BOP) Museum & Library and whether any open space would be left once all of the parks and museums were built. In response Asset Management Development Specialist, General Services Administration (GSA), said studies conducted to determine the most suitable usage for the subject property concluded that a majority of the property would be open space. He noted that proposed plans submitted to GSA for the BOP Museum and Library indicated it was feasible to build on the site; however, no extensive study had been conducted to determine whether or not green space would be included and said the plans submitted did not appear to have very much green space land. He pointed out that further studies would be conducted to determine how the site would look after completion. In response to whether the studies included alternative areas on which to build the BOP Museum & Library, he said the study was conducted only to determine whether the specific use of the property was feasible.</p> <p>Commissioners asked to conduct a study in an effort to identify an alternative area for the BOP Museum & Library and suggested that other parcels be considered to build the BOP Museum & Library and further suggested developers consider collaborating with the Freedom Tower, the Cuban Museum, or the Historical Museum on possible sites for the BOP Museum & Library. Commissioners stated that they would sponsor the resolution regarding alternative sites for the BOP Museum & Library.</p>
November 20, 2008 R-1166-08	<p>This resolution authorized a Waiver of Competitive Bidding pursuant to Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, and as provided for in Section 255.20 of the Florida Statutes, and awards a Construction Contract for the Parcel B Bike Path and Shoreline Stabilization in the amount of \$6,108,112.00 to Shoreline Foundation, Inc.</p> <p>The current seawall protecting Parcel B, located east of the American Airlines Arena and north of Port Blvd., is in significant disrepair and, without continuous rehabilitation, the structural stability of the adjacent public grounds is gravely endangered. The sheet piling has reduced cross sections due to corrosion, holes have formed allowing possible contaminated outflows and, consequently, the seawall's concrete cap is falling into Biscayne Bay.</p> <p>The scope of work for the Parcel B segment is nearly identical to the ones built under the City's agreement, stabilizing the shoreline of the designated area to protect the land. In addition, the existing bike paths ending at the north and south termini of Parcel B will be connected as a result of this project. This contract will be funded through different sources, \$6,108,112.00 including: a) \$1,000,000 from the Building Better Communities Bond Program; b) \$1,089,112 from the Capital Outlay Reserve Fund; c) a combined \$2,178,000 from the Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND) and Waterways Assistance Program (WAP); and d) \$1,841,000 from the Special Obligation CDT Series 1997C Bond Project No. 368028 - Pedestrian Overpass. Appropriation of this funding is subject to approval of the FY 2008-09 Capital Budget by the Board.</p>
November 5, 2013 R-922-13	<p>This resolution directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to update the 2008 Conceptual Study analyzing development of the Revised Project at Parcel B and to expand such study to include development of the Revised Project at other sites in the surrounding area. In addition, the BCC directed the Mayor or his designee to consult with BPL prior to completion of the updated conceptual study to determine whether the development of a Cuban Exile History Museum at Parcel B may materially impact the operation of the Arena. The County Mayor will present the conceptual study to the BCC within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>In the intervening years since the 2008 Conceptual Study, many of the Project's original proponents have gradually evolved its scope and content, in a series of substantive, detailed and prolonged discussions with Miami-Dade County and other officials, to a much broader, more inclusive and sustainable Cuban Exile History Museum, rather than the prior narrower predecessor concept focused primarily on the Bay of Pigs.</p> <p>The City of Hialeah Gardens has since procured an initial \$1,000,000.00 grant from the Florida Legislature, and contributed its own land, for the establishment of a similar Bay of Pigs Museum and Library in its jurisdiction and there is no other substantial Cuban exile history museum, other than one focused solely on Cuban art, in Miami-Dade County of a size and scope commensurate with the contributions of this ethnic/immigrant group both to the South Florida area as well as nationally and internationally.</p> <p>Comments at the 11/5/13 meeting: <i>Commissioner explained that she asked the Mayor to conduct a study to determine whether any alternative land was available for the Cuban History Museum so that Parcel B could remain a green space, based upon community members' requests.</i></p>
March 11, 2014 Mayor's Memo	<p>Report of Events, Revenues and Expenditures Associated with Parcel B- This report is in response to Commissioner's request regarding the use of Miami-Dade County's Parcel B property.</p> <p>On December 16, 2003, the BCC, through R-1446-03, authorized execution of Composite Amendment Eight to the American Airlines Area Agreements. This amendment, among other things, removed/deleted BPL's right, duties, and obligations for development of the property under Composite Amendment Two.</p>

	<p>Since then, the Property has been used primarily for parking and staging by the Miami Heat and others, occasionally for special events. From 2004 until today, the County has received revenues totaling \$796,345 from the use of the Property. All of the revenues collected were deposited into either an account of the former department of Capital Improvements or the Internal Services Department. Revenues collected have been used to cover the operational expenses related to the Property and staff time.</p> <p>The operating expenses, excluding staff time, since 2004 have amounted to \$6,661,351; however, the largest expenditure to date has been the repair of the seawall, \$ 6,566,766. The remaining expenses are primarily for maintenance related matters as adding fencing around the property, utilities, graffiti removal and landscaping.</p>
<p>April 16, 2014</p> <p>Mayor's Memo</p>	<p>Update to Conceptual Study Relating to Possible Development of a Cuban Exile History Museum at Parcel B or Other Potential Locations</p> <p>County staff believes that the proposed Cuban Exile History Museum could be built on Parcel B under the existing zoning, so long as the appropriate variances and/or waivers are secured from the City of Miami, subject to the Biscayne Bay Shoreline Development Review Committee and so long as such museum is in keeping with the County's prior expressed desire to utilize Parcel B for open space purposes. However, solutions to the American Airlines Arena staging concerns would need to be addressed as part of any Parcel B development.</p> <p>County staff's role in conducting this analysis of the preliminary design of the Cuban Exile History Museum at the Parcel B location was to provide an evaluation of the proposed design relative to the property available.</p>
<p>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</p>	
<p>The Department of Cultural Affairs is managing the General Obligation Bonds (GOB) grant to the non-profit organization, the Cuban Museum. The grant funds cover the Museum's work on site acquisition, design, and construction of the Cuban Museum Project located at Coral Way and 12th Ave.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Cuban Museum was awarded a \$10 million grant from voter-approved GOB funds to cover the purchase of the site (completed), the design (completed) and construction of a building (underway). • The scope of work includes architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services for the renovation of an existing structure formerly occupied by and purchased by the Cuban Museum from the Florida Grand Opera. • The two story building is approximately 15,000 sq. ft. which will have rooms for exhibits and will be able to show the Cuban cultural heritage through music, literature, history, theatre, and plastic arts. • The contractor was given the Notice to Proceed with construction by the Cuban Museum on January 6, 2014 and is projected to achieve substantial completion in November 2014. 	