



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

May 5, 2015

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes									
4A 150648	ORDINANCE RELATING TO DESIGNATED PURCHASES; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURE TO AWARD CONTRACTS FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AND PURCHASES OF SUPPLIES, MATERIALS AND SERVICES, OTHER THAN PROFESSIONAL, WHEN NOT PRACTICABLE TO CONDUCT COMPETITIVE PROCESS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-8.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to establish procedure to award contracts for public improvements and purchases of supplies, materials and services, other than professional, when not practicable to conduct competitive process.</p> <p><i>(3) Procedures for purchases when competitive procedures are not practicable. Notwithstanding the requirements of section 2-8.1(b)(1), formal sealed bids for purchase of goods or services shall not be required where such formal sealed bids would not be practicable as set forth herein. Designated Purchase shall mean a purchase within the scope of this section when the purchase through the use of formal sealed bids is not practicable, including, but not limited to: (i) sole source purchases, (ii) services where no competition exists such as public utility services, (iii) where purchases or rates are fixed by law or ordinance, (iv) unique professional or artistic services not governed by the Consultants’ Competitive Negotiations Act, section 287.055, Florida Statutes, (v) purchases of goods and services necessary to address an emergency, and (vi) solicitations where only a single proposer has responded to a competitive solicitation but such response contains material defects and the County still desires to enter into a contract with such proposer. Where appropriate in a Designated Purchase, the County shall pursue the maximum amount of competition available under the circumstances, which may include telephonic bids and informal price quotations. Any recommendation by the Mayor for the award of a Designated Purchase shall at a minimum: (i) provide a written explanation of why the purchase through formal sealed bids would not be practicable under the circumstances and is in the best interest of the County, (ii) provide a written explanation of the process followed resulting in the recommendation for a Designated Purchase, and (iii) provide a written description of any informal competition conducted and any and all efforts to obtain a valuation of the recommended purchase. The Board of County Commissioners shall adopt any resolution authorizing a Designated Purchase by a two-thirds vote of the members present. Such adoption shall be deemed for all purposes to constitute a determination by the Board of County Commissioners that formal sealed bids are not practicable for this purchase and that it is in the best interest of the County to waive competitive bidding. A recommendation for award of a Designated Purchase shall not be subject to the protest procedures set forth in section 2-8.4. Nothing in this subsection is intended to affect or modify any federal or state requirements relating to competitive purchases.</i></p>									
4B 150792	ORDINANCE RELATING TO VEHICLES FOR HIRE; CREATING CHAPTER 31, ARTICLE VII OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, REGULATING TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES, TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY FOR-HIRE DRIVERS AND TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY VEHICLES OPERATING IN THE INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR DEFINITIONS; REQUIRING THAT TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES OBTAIN A TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY LICENSE; REQUIRING THAT TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES COMPLY WITH SPECIFIED RULES OF OPERATION; REGULATING TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY FOR-HIRE DRIVERS; MANDATING THAT TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY FOR-HIRE DRIVERS COMPLY WITH SPECIFIED REQUIREMENTS; ESTABLISHING DUTIES OF THE REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OR SUCCESSOR DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING FOR ADDITIONAL RULES OF OPERATION; AUTHORIZING TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITIES TO ESTABLISH FARES AND RATES; ESTABLISHING INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR REGULATION OF TRANSPORTATION NETWORK ENTITY VEHICLES; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT, PENALTIES, SUSPENSION, AND REVOCATION; AMENDING SECTION 8CC-10 OF THE CODE TO PROVIDE FOR CIVIL PENALTIES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance creates Chapter 31, Article VII of the Code regulating transportation network entities, chauffeurs and vehicles; provides for definitions, licensing and regulation of transportation network entities. Prohibits transfer of transportation network entity licenses. Provides that drivers will not be required to obtain a chauffeur’s registration from Miami-Dade County; provides for duties of the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department (RER); provides for rules of operation; provides that transportation network entities may determine rates and fares; establishes insurance requirements; provides for the inspection of transportation network entity vehicles by a certified mechanic, not by RER; provides for enforcement, suspension, revocation and penalties; and amends Chapter 8CC of the Code to provide for penalties for violations of the provisions of this ordinance.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Proposed Resolution to Chapter 31, Article V of the Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Code Section</th><th>Proposed</th><th>Existing Taxi-cab Regulation</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 31-702. <i>Transportation Network Entity License.</i></td><td><p>Ordinance prohibits unauthorized operations and makes it unlawful for any transportation network entity to begin operations, or allow authorized transportation network entity drivers to provide transportation network entity services, as defined in Section 31-701, upon the streets of Miami-Dade County, Florida, without first obtaining a transportation network entity license and maintaining the license current and valid pursuant to the provisions of this article;</p><p>Specifies that there will be no limitation on the number of transportation network entity licenses that may be</p></td><td><p>Taxicab licenses are issued pursuant to a medallion system which deems the taxicab for-hire license to be</p></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Proposed Resolution to Chapter 31, Article V of the Miami-Dade County Code			Code Section	Proposed	Existing Taxi-cab Regulation	Sec. 31-702. <i>Transportation Network Entity License.</i>	<p>Ordinance prohibits unauthorized operations and makes it unlawful for any transportation network entity to begin operations, or allow authorized transportation network entity drivers to provide transportation network entity services, as defined in Section 31-701, upon the streets of Miami-Dade County, Florida, without first obtaining a transportation network entity license and maintaining the license current and valid pursuant to the provisions of this article;</p> <p>Specifies that there will be no limitation on the number of transportation network entity licenses that may be</p>	<p>Taxicab licenses are issued pursuant to a medallion system which deems the taxicab for-hire license to be</p>
Comparison of Proposed Resolution to Chapter 31, Article V of the Miami-Dade County Code										
Code Section	Proposed	Existing Taxi-cab Regulation								
Sec. 31-702. <i>Transportation Network Entity License.</i>	<p>Ordinance prohibits unauthorized operations and makes it unlawful for any transportation network entity to begin operations, or allow authorized transportation network entity drivers to provide transportation network entity services, as defined in Section 31-701, upon the streets of Miami-Dade County, Florida, without first obtaining a transportation network entity license and maintaining the license current and valid pursuant to the provisions of this article;</p> <p>Specifies that there will be no limitation on the number of transportation network entity licenses that may be</p>	<p>Taxicab licenses are issued pursuant to a medallion system which deems the taxicab for-hire license to be</p>								

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>issued;</p> <p>Establishes an Out-of-County origin exception similar to other classes of transportation service(s)</p> <p>Establishes application procedures similar to other classes of transportation service(s) including the requirement that all applicants will have a place of business in Miami-Dade County, Florida, and all corporate or partnership applicants will be organized or qualified to do business under the laws of Florida and will have a place of business in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Post office box addresses will not be accepted.</p> <p>Provides that the Director may approve or deny the issuance of transportation network entity licenses as specified in this article on such terms and conditions as the public interest may require. The Director's decision to reject or to deny may be appealed in accordance with this article.</p> <p>Provides that there will be no limit to the number of vehicles authorized to operate under a transportation network entity license.</p> <p>Establishes specific rules of operation that transportation network entity license holders will abide by. Further specifies that transportation network entity license holders will be subject to the enforcement provisions contained in this chapter and chapter 8CC of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p>Provides that the fee for a transportation network entity license will be determined by an implementing order approved by a resolution adopted by the County Commission and;</p> <p>Provides that no transportation network entity license may be sold, leased, assigned, mortgaged or otherwise transferred by a holder of a transportation network entity license.</p> <p>Provides that each transportation network entity operating pursuant to a transportation network entity license:</p> <p>(1) Will, upon completion of a trip, transmit an electronic receipt to the passenger's e-mail address or mobile application documenting the date of the trip, the origination of the trip, the name of the transportation network entity for-hire driver and a description of the total amount paid, if any.</p> <p>(2) Will maintain a website that provides a customer service telephone number or e-mail address.</p>	<p>intangible property.</p> <p>Taxi is a closed category. Taxi medallions are issued pursuant to ordinance approved by Board of County Commissioners, generally through a random selection process (lottery).</p> <p>Taxi ordinance provides for only one vehicle to be operated for each taxicab medallion.</p> <p>Taxi medallions are transferrable under conditions outlined in the Taxi ordinance.</p> <p>Taxi ordinance requires a receipt will be provided for all trips. All customer receipts will be generated by a taximeter or other electronic device and will contain the date and time that the trip starts, the time the trip ends, and total distance traveled. The receipt will also include the fare charged, the name and telephone number of the passenger service company, the operating permit number, the chauffeur registration number, and the telephone number and e-mail address for filing complaints with the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources.</p>
	<p>Sec. 31-703. <i>Transportation Network Entity Drivers.</i></p>	<p>Ordinance provides that transportation network entity drivers will not be required to obtain a chauffeur's registration from Department pursuant to Chapter 31, Article V of the Code.</p> <p>Provides that It will be unlawful for any transportation network entity to provide to a driver applicant access to the transportation network entity's digital platform unless the following requirements are met. The driver applicant must:</p>	<p>For-hire drivers operating vehicles regulated by Chapter(s) 4, 30 and 31 of the Code are required to obtain a chauffeur's registration from RER pursuant to Chapter 31, Article V of the Code.</p> <p>Article V requires the Department to provide a chauffeur training program.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>(1) Hold a current, valid State of Florida driver's license;</p> <p>(2) Have participated in a driver-training program established by the transportation network entity to ensure that each driver safely operates his or her transportation network entity vehicle prior to the driver being able to offer transportation services;</p> <p>(3) Be eighteen (18) years of age or older;</p> <p>(4) Have certified under oath that he or she is not a user of alcohol or drugs whose current use would constitute a direct threat to property or the safety of others;</p> <p>(5) Have certified under oath that he or she is of sound health and is free from any physical or mental defect or disease that would constitute a direct threat to the property or safety of others or would impair his or her ability to drive a for-hire vehicle.</p> <p>Background Checks - Each transportation network entity will conduct, or have a third party conduct, a local and national criminal background check for each applicant that will include review of a Multi-State/Multi-Jurisdiction Criminal Records Locator or other similar commercial nationwide database with validation (primary source search), and the National Sex Offender Registry database.</p> <p>Transportation network entity drivers will be subject to enforcement, violations and penalties contained in this chapter and Chapter 8CC of this Code and will comply with the following regulations:</p> <p>(1)At all times while providing transportation network entity services, such driver will display an electronic waybill when requested by Department or law enforcement to do so.</p> <p>(5)Transportation network entity for-hire drivers will at all times maintain a neat appearance.</p>	<p>Background checks performed by the Department. Includes FDLE check for initial applicants and local MDPD checks for renewing chauffeurs.</p> <p>Taxi ordinance requires chauffeurs to maintain trip sheets and display upon request by Department or law enforcement.</p> <p>Chauffeur Ordinance requires that chauffeurs at all times will maintain a neat appearance. Chauffeurs, other than private school bus chauffeurs, will wear a collared shirt, dark trousers or skirt, and closed shoes.</p>
	<p>Sec. 31-705. Rules for operation. Transportation Network Entity</p>	<p>Ordinance provides that :</p> <p>(a)Each transportation network entity will adopt and require all transportation network entity drivers to display consistent trade markings (i.e., distinctive signage or display on the vehicle) when providing transportation network entity services that is sufficiently large and color contrasted as to be readable during daylight hours at a distance of at least 50 feet.</p> <p>(i)Each transportation network entity will establish a driver-training program to ensure that each transportation network entity for-hire driver safely operates his or her transportation network entity vehicle prior to the transportation network entity for-hire driver being able to offer service.</p>	<p>Taxi Ordinance requires that each operator will adopt and use, after approval by the CSD a uniform and decorative color scheme for all taxicabs licensed pursuant to this article which will be yellow. The Department will refuse to approve any proposed color scheme which is not school bus yellow.</p> <p>Chauffeur Ordinance requires the Department provide a chauffeur training program.</p>
	<p>Section 31-706. <i>Service charges, fares and rates.</i></p>	<p>Ordinance provides that transportation network entities may charge fares for service based on distance travelled and/or time elapsed during service, or a flat prearranged fare.</p>	<p>Each taxicab will be equipped with a taximeter meeting the requirements described in Chapter 31, Article II. The amount of fare collected from any passenger will be that amount shown by the taximeter, unless the passenger is being transported at one (1) of the approved special service rates.</p> <p>The provisions Section 31-87 will be the exclusive method for the establishment of for-hire motor vehicle</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>rates throughout Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>It will be unlawful for an operator or chauffeur to collect, require, charge, demand, request or accept any fare other than the established rates</p> <p>Rates established by this article will be applicable through Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Except as otherwise provided herein, the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida, will establish all rates for taxicabs.</p>
	<p>Section 31-707. <i>Insurance requirements.</i></p>	<p>Ordinance provides that</p> <p>(a)Each transportation network entity vehicle owner or lessee will provide the Department with a certificate of insurance in compliance with state law. Failure to provide current certificates of insurance or to maintain appropriate insurance coverage will be grounds for revocation of a transportation network entity license.</p> <p>(b)The insurance coverage will be for a policy term of at least six (6) months. Nothing in the insurance policy or declaration will permit binders, deductibles, self-insurance or any provision requiring the insured to reimburse the insurance company for claims.</p> <p>(c)Each automobile liability insurance policy will be endorsed to provide for thirty (30) days' notice by registered mail to the RER of any material change, cancellation, or expiration. No policy will be accepted for a shorter period than six (6) months.</p>	<p>Taxi ordinance requires the certificate of insurance will specify coverage for complete 24-hour vehicle operations for all drivers who have a Miami-Dade County chauffeur's registration, regardless of where operated or whether engaged in for-hire operations.</p> <p>The insurance required in the taxi ordinance will be issued by an insurer that is a member of the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association.</p> <p>Insurance coverage will be for a policy term of at least six (6) months. Nothing in the insurance policy or declaration will permit binders, deductibles, self-insurance or any provision requiring the insured to reimburse the insurance company for claims.</p>
	<p>Section 31-708. <i>Vehicle Standards.</i></p>	<p>A transportation network entity will have a certified mechanic conduct a safety inspection of each prospective transportation network entity vehicle before a transportation network entity for-hire driver may accept rides on the transportation network entity's digital platform, and annually thereafter. A copy of the certified inspection will be contained within the vehicle.</p> <p>A safety inspection will include an inspection of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1)Foot brakes; (2)Emergency parking brake; (3)Suspension/steering mechanism; (4)Windshield; (5)Rear window and other glass; (6)Windshield wipers; (7)Headlights; (8)Taillights; (9)Turn indicator lights; (10)Brake lights; (11)Front seat adjustment mechanism; (12)Doors (open/close/lock); (13)Horn; (14)Speedometer; (15)Bumpers; (16)Muffler and exhaust system; (17)Condition of tires, including tread depth; (18)Interior and exterior rear view mirrors; (19)Air conditioning; and (20)Safety belts for driver and passengers. <p>Ordinance provides that any transportation network entity for-hire vehicle over ten (10) model years of age will not be operated as a transportation network entity for-hire vehicle.</p>	<p>For-hire Ordinances require all vehicles providing for-hire service under the current for-hire ordinances are required to be registered with the Department, inspected at the Department's Vehicle Inspection Facility, by Department staff and upon payment of an inspection fee and issued an operating permit and inspection decal.</p> <p>Taxicabs will minimally meet the following inspection schedule:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1)Taxicabs 1 through 2 model years of age will be inspected annually; (2)Taxicabs 3 through 4 model years of age will be inspected semi-annually; (3)Taxicabs 5 model years of age or more will be inspected quarterly. <p>Taxi ordinance requires any Ambassador Cab initially placed into service will not have been previously used as a taxicab, or have a "rebuilt" or "salvage" title, and will be no greater than three (3) model years of age. Any vehicle over six (6) model years of age will not operate as a taxicab at either Miami International Airport or the Port of Miami.</p> <p>As of April 1, 2016 Ambassador Cab initially placed into service will not have been previously used as a taxicab, or have a "rebuilt" or "salvage" title, and will be no greater than three (3) model years of age. Any vehicle over six (6) model years of age will not operate as a taxicab</p> <p>The taxi ordinance provides for the Department to employ a deficiency or warning system through which operators are given written notice of minor violations and a specified period of time to correct same. Unless otherwise provided, all other violations will be processed</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>Provides that it will be unlawful for any person to drive a transportation network entity vehicle over any street in Miami Dade County without first completing an inspection by a certified mechanic who confirms that the vehicle satisfies all of the vehicular standards mandated by this section 31-708.</p> <p>The Department may inspect a transportation network entity vehicle that is currently being used by a driver to provide transportation network entity services.</p> <p>Any transportation network entity vehicle which fails inspection by Department will be removed from service until the owner or lessee submits to Department a certification, completed by a certified mechanic, that the deficiency has been rectified.</p> <p>All records pertaining to transportation network entity vehicles must be made available for inspection in the transportation network entity's offices for the purpose of verifying continued compliance with the above requirements, within one (1) business day of such request by the Department.</p>
	<p>Sec. 31-711. <i>Violations; penalties.</i></p> <p>Ordinance provides that In addition to any other penalties provided by law, including, but not limited to, those provided in this article, a violation of any applicable provision of this article by a transportation network entity license holder will constitute a civil offense punishable by the applicable civil penalty as provided in the schedule of civil penalties in Section 8CC-10 of this Code.</p> <p>Failure of a person to pay a civil penalty within sixty (60) days of the due date for paying such fine as specified on the civil violation notice or within sixty (60) days of the date of the final outcome of any timely filed appeal of such violation notice, whichever is later, will result in automatic suspension of such person's transportation network entity license or authorization to drive as a transportation network entity driver.</p> <p>If a transportation network entity for-hire driver commits five (5) violations of the same section of this article during any twelve-month period, the appropriate transportation network entity will suspend or revoke the transportation network entity driver's access to the appropriate digital platforms.</p> <p>If a transportation network entity driver commits five (5) violations of this chapter during any twelve-month period, appropriate transportation network entity will suspend or revoke the transportation network entity driver's access to the appropriate digital platforms.</p>	<p>Taxi Ordinance provides that If a person commits five (5) violations of this chapter during any twelve-month period, such person's for-hire license, passenger service company registration, operating permit or chauffeur's registration may be suspended for a period of up to six (6) months or revoked.</p> <p>Taxi ordinance further provides that notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, if a person commits two (2) violations of Section 31-303(i)(23) [refusal to transport], such person's chauffeur's registration may be suspended for a period of up to six (6) months or revoked. Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary: (i) if a person commits one (1) violation of Section 31-303(i)(7)[overcharge], such person's chauffeur's registration will automatically be suspended for a period of thirty</p>
	<p>Sec. 8CC-10. <i>Schedule of civil penalties.</i></p>	<p>Ordinance provides for monetary penalties for violations of the provisions of this article.</p> <p>Current For-Hire Ordinances provides for monetary penalties for violations of the provisions of these articles.</p>
<p><i>*Pursuant to the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, For-Hire Passenger Transportation Division, sections of the proposed resolution are similar to For-Hire Taxi Ordinance requirements, Chauffeur Ordinance requirements or Taxi Medallion Ordinance Requirements and therefore were not included in the comparison chart.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information – Transportation Network Entity:</u></p> <p>According to the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, For-Hire Passenger Transportation Division, advancements in smartphone technology have resulted in the development of smartphone applications that connect potential passengers to available drivers registered with the particular application company. There are a number of smartphone technology application companies operating both in the United</p>		

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<p>States and other countries around the world. These companies include, but are not limited to Uber, Lyft, Hitch, Hailo, SideCar and Carma and there has been considerable debate on a global basis as to whether these services fall within current regulatory guidelines, and if so, how.</p> <p>Since late May 2014, two (2) of these smartphone technology application companies, Uber and Lyft have begun operation in Miami-Dade County in violation of the requirements of Chapter 31 of the Code. Up to March 31, 2015, the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department has issued 998 citations for violations of Chapter 31 of the Code, while the Miami-Dade Aviation Department has issued 860 citations. This ordinance has not been discussed with the for-hire transportation industry. However, a separate ordinance also providing for the regulation of transportation network entities (Legistar No. 141586) was discussed at the September 16, 2014 Taxicab Advisory Group and Limousine Advisory Group meetings for their input. Both groups passed motions opposing the ordinance.</p> <p>On July 7, 2014 the administration conducted a Private Transportation Forum to explore alternative forms of private transportation. During this forum there was considerable discussion of the need for regulations to be established for these types of transportation entities.</p> <p>Additional Information – Proposed Legislation in Florida:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• House Bill 817, which provides requirements for operating as a transportation network company or operating as a transportation network company driver, passed all of its committees of reference and has been placed on the House calendar.• Senate Bill 1326, provides transportation network company (TNC) and TNC driver insurance requirements; prohibits a TNC from owning, controlling, operating, or managing the vehicles used by TNC drivers; and requires a TNC driver to exclusively accept rides booked through the TNC’s digital network or software application service. This bill has yet to be referred to committee.• Senate Bill 1298, relating to insurance for Short-term Rental and Transportation Network Companies, establishes insurance requirements for short-term rental network companies during certain timeframes; prohibits the personal insurance policy of a participating lessor of a short-term rental property from providing specified coverage during certain timeframes except under specified circumstances; and requires a transportation network company driver or such company on the driver’s behalf, or a combination thereof, to maintain primary automobile liability insurance issued by specified insurers with certain coverages in specified amounts during certain timeframes. This bill passed through all of its committees of reference and has been placed on the special order calendar.									
4C 150793	ORDINANCE RELATING TO PROTECTION OF EMPLOYEES DISCLOSING SPECIFIED INFORMATION; AMENDING CHAPTER 2, ARTICLE IV, DIVISION 6 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO EXPAND THE METHODS FOR MAKING PROTECTED DISCLOSURES, FACILITATE DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, AMEND PROVISIONS REGARDING REMEDIES AND COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND THE PUBLIC TRUST REVIEW PROCESS, INCLUDING TIMING, PROVIDE NOTICE OF STATE LAW CONFIDENTIALITY PROTECTIONS, AND PROVIDE FOR OUTREACH, INFORMATION AND TRAINING TO COUNTY EMPLOYEES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to protection of employees disclosing specified information provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amends Chapter 2, Article IV, Division 6 of the Miami-Dade County Code to expand the methods for making protected disclosures;• Facilitates disclosure of information to the Inspector General;• Amends provisions regarding remedies and Commission on Ethics and the Public Trust review process, including timing, provide notice of state law confidentiality protections; and• Provides for outreach, information and training to County employees.									
4D 150589	ORDINANCE RELATED TO CIVIL PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN MISDEMEANOR VIOLATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS 21-81, 8CC-5.1 AND 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR CIVIL PENALTIES FOR THE COMMISSION OF CERTAIN MISDEMEANORS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends sections 21-81, 8CC-5.1 and 8CC-10 of the Miami-Dade County Code providing for civil penalties for the commission of certain misdemeanors.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Section 21-81 8CC-5.1 8CC-10</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Misdemeanors; adoption of State law; penalties Section 21-81 (c)</td><td>(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than</td><td>(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than the maximum fine or penalty for the same offense under the State statute. (d) The following misdemeanor violations, as such may be amended from time, are eligible to receive a civil violation</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Section 21-81 8CC-5.1 8CC-10			Section	Current	Proposed	Misdemeanors; adoption of State law; penalties Section 21-81 (c)	(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than	(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than the maximum fine or penalty for the same offense under the State statute. (d) The following misdemeanor violations, as such may be amended from time, are eligible to receive a civil violation
Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Section 21-81 8CC-5.1 8CC-10										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Misdemeanors; adoption of State law; penalties Section 21-81 (c)	(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than	(c) All acts defined as misdemeanors in said State statutes are hereby prohibited and declared to be violations of this article and any person or corporation will, upon conviction in the court of appropriate jurisdiction for violation thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, but in no case will the fine or imprisonment imposed under this article be greater than the maximum fine or penalty for the same offense under the State statute. (d) The following misdemeanor violations, as such may be amended from time, are eligible to receive a civil violation								

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>the maximum fine or penalty for the same offense under the State statute.</p>	<p>notice pursuant to chapter 8CC of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at the discretion of a law enforcement officer, provided that such violations are not charged in conjunction with any charge that is a felony, driving under the influence (DUI), incident involving domestic violence, or violent crime, as those terms are defined under State law:</p> <p>(1) Florida Litter Law, as set forth in Fla. Stat. section 403.413(6)(b), as such may be amended from time to time;</p> <p>(2) Illegal Use of Dairy Cases, Egg Baskets, Poultry Boxes, or Bakery Containers, as set forth in Fla. Stat. section 506.509, as such may be amended from time to time;</p> <p>(3) Trespass on Property Other Than Structure or Conveyance, as set forth in Fla. Stat. section 810.09, as such may be amended from time to time;</p> <p>(4) Retail Theft by Removal of a Shopping Cart, as defined in Fla. Stat. section 812.015(1)(d), as such may be amended from time to time;</p> <p>(5) Loitering or Prowling, as set forth in Fla. Stat. section 856.021, as such may be amended from time to time;</p> <p>(6) Possession of Cannabis in an amount of 20 grams or less, as set forth in Fla. Stat. section 893.13(6)(b), as such may be amended from time to time; and</p> <p>(7) Possession of Drug Paraphernalia, as set forth in Fla. Stat. sections 893.146 and 893.147(1)(b), as such may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>An individual issued a civil violation notice for any of the violations listed in this subsection will be subject to fine in accordance with chapter 8CC of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and will be eligible for the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, pursuant to section 8CC-5.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Implementing Order 2-12, or its successor Implementing Order of the Board of County Commissioners.</p>
	<p>Miami-Dade County Diversion Program</p> <p><i>Section 8CC-5.1</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>21-81(d) Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81</p>
	<p>Schedule of civil penalties</p> <p><i>Section 8CC-10</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>21-81(d) Any one of the specific misdemeanors enumerated in section 21-81(d) \$100.00</p>
<p>Upon conviction in court of a Code violation for commission of an act defined as a misdemeanor, misdemeanants will be punished by a fine or imprisonment in County jail or both, but in no case will the fine and/or imprisonment imposed be greater than the maximum fine or penalty for the same offense under the Florida Statutes.</p>			
<p>4E 150698</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO APPROVAL OF COUNTY BUDGET; AMENDING SECTION 2-1795 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO ALLOCATION OF COUNTY RESOURCES TO REQUIRE COMMISSION COMMITTEE REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING PROPOSED NEW FEES, RATES AND CHARGES OR ADJUSTMENTS TO EXISTING FEES, RATES AND CHARGES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>		
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1795 of the Miami-Dade County Code related to allocation of County resources to require commission committee review and recommendation regarding proposed new fees, rates and charges or adjustments to existing fees, rates and charges.</p> <p><i>The commission committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall meet prior to the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole to review and discuss all new fees, rates or charges and adjustments to any existing fees, rates or charges included in the Mayor's proposed budget, and to forward any recommendations to the County Commission regarding such fees, rates and</i></p>		

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>charges. The Mayor or the Mayor's designee will present a report detailing such new fees, rates and charges or adjustments to existing fees, rates and charges at the committee meeting and shall include as part of such presentation, at a minimum, the reason for the proposed new fees, rates or charges or the proposed adjustments, as well as information regarding additional anticipated increases over the ensuing five fiscal years and the assumptions used to forecast such anticipated increases. The Chair of the committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall present any approved committee recommendations regarding the fees, rates and charges included in the Mayor's proposed budget at the first Committee of the Whole.</i></p>
<p>4F 150804</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION NO. 5, LOCATED NORTH OF SW 152 STREET APPROXIMATELY 300 FEET WEST OF THEORETICAL SW 97 AVENUE, FILED IN NOVEMBER 2014 CYCLE TO AMEND THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2B8]</p>
<p>4G 150805</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION NO. 4, LOCATED WEST OF US-1 AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SW 122 STREET AND THE SOUTH DADE BUSWAY, FILED IN NOVEMBER 2014 CYCLE TO AMEND THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2B8]</p>
<p>4H 150807</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION NO. 1, LOCATED BETWEEN NE/NW 115 STREET AND NE/NW 116 STREET AND BETWEEN NE 2 AVENUE AND NW 2 AVENUE, FILED IN NOVEMBER 2014 CYCLE TO AMEND THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2B8]</p>
<p>4I 150808</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION NO. 2, LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF SW 40 STREET AND SW 107 AVENUE, FILED IN NOVEMBER 2014 CYCLE TO AMEND THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2B8]</p>
<p>4J 150809</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION NO. 3, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF SW 120 AVENUE AT THEORETICAL SW 91 STREET, FILED IN NOVEMBER 2014 CYCLE TO AMEND THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2B8]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>4F – 150804:</u> The proposed ordinance addresses a Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) private application that under Rule 5.05(b)(1) of the Board is exempt from Commission sponsorship. The proposed ordinance takes action on Application No. 5 filed for review during the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested CDMP Amendment – North of SW 152 Street and +/-300 feet west of theoretical SW 97 Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Re-designate application site on the Land Use Plan map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From: "Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac.)" ▪ To: "Medium Density Residential with One Density Increase (DI-1; 25 to 60 dwelling units per gross acre with good urban design)" ○ Add the proffered Declaration of Restrictions to the Restrictions Table in Appendix A on Page I-95 of the CDMP Land Use Element. <p><u>4G – 150805:</u> The proposed ordinance addresses a Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) private application that under Rule 5.05(b)(1) of the Board is exempt from Commission sponsorship. The proposed ordinance takes action on Application No. 4 filed for review during the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested CDMP Amendment – West of U.S. 1 at the northwest corner of SW 122 Street and the South Dade Busway <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Re-designate application site on the Land Use Plan map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From: "Estate Density Residential (1 to 2.5 DU/Ac.)" ▪ To: "Low-Medium Density Residential (6 to 13 DU/Ac.)" <p><u>4H – 150807:</u> The proposed ordinance addresses a Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) private application that under Rule 5.05(b)(1) of the Board is exempt from Commission sponsorship. The proposed ordinance takes action on Application No. 1 filed for review during the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested CDMP Amendment – Between NE/NW 115 Street and NE/NW 116 Street and between NE 2 Avenue and NW 2 Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Re-designate application site on the Land Use Plan map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From: "Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac.)" ▪ To: "Office/Residential" <p><u>4I – 150808:</u> The proposed ordinance addresses a Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) private application that under Rule 5.05(b)(1) of the Board is exempt from Commission sponsorship. The proposed ordinance takes action on Application No. 2 filed for review during the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested CDMP Amendment – Southeast corner of the intersection of SW 40 Street and SW 107 Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Re-designate application site on the Land Use Plan map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From: “Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac.)” ▪ To: “Business and Office” ○ Add the proffered Declaration of Restrictions to the Restrictions Table in Appendix A on Page I-95 of the CDMP Land Use Element. <p>4J – 150809: The proposed ordinance addresses a Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) private application that under Rule 5.05(b)(1) of the Board is exempt from Commission sponsorship. The proposed ordinance takes action on Application No. 3 filed for review during the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested CDMP Amendment - East side of SW 120 Avenue at theoretical SW 91 Street <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Re-designate application site on the Land Use Plan map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From: “Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac.)” ▪ To: “Medium Density Residential (13 to 25 DU/Ac.)” <p>Background: Pursuant to Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes, the Board adopted the Miami-Dade County CDMP in 1988; and has provided a procedure, codified as Section 2-116.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, to amend, modify, add to, or change the CDMP.</p> <p>Applications to amend the CDMP may be filed with the Planning Division of the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) by private parties or by the County.</p> <p>Section 163.3187, Florida Statutes, sets forth a process for adoption of small-scale comprehensive plan amendments (small-scale amendments) and Miami-Dade County’s procedures provide for the expedited processing of small-scale amendments that may be adopted as set forth in Section 163.3187, Florida Statutes. As required by Section 2-116.1, Code of Miami-Dade County, the Department issued its initial recommendations addressing the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle in a report titled “Initial Recommendations November 2014 Applications to Amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan”, dated March 2015.</p> <p>The directly impacted Community Council and the Planning Advisory Board have acted in accordance with the applicable State and County procedures and have conducted public hearings and issued recommendations for the disposition of all applications. At the public hearing conducted to address transmittal of the November 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle to the State Land Planning Agency and other state and regional agencies the Board can, by ordinance, take final action to adopt, adopt with change, or not adopt the requested small-scale amendments or the Board can take action to transmit applications to the reviewing agencies.</p> <p>Additional Information – Item 2B – 150806 – Report on November 2014 Cycle Applications to Amend the CDMP: The report recommends that the Board approve the five Ordinances, on first reading, that pertain to small-scale Application Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 filed in the November 2014 Cycle of amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). The Ordinances will subsequently be presented to the Board for final action on the referenced small-scale amendment applications at the Board’s scheduled May 6, 2015 CDMP public hearing. Such action may be to adopt, adopt with change or not adopt each of the referenced small-scale amendment applications. If the Board does not adopt Application Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 filed as small-scale amendments, the Board may elect, by separate resolutions, to transmit the proposed small-scale amendments to the State Land Planning Agency and other State and regional agencies for review and comments, and then take final action in July 2015 after State review. Denial or failure to adopt a small-scale amendment and failure to transmit a CDMP amendment application to the reviewing agencies for review effectively denies approval of the application for the amendment cycle.</p> <p>There is no direct fiscal impact associated with Application Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. However, CDMP amendment applications may have varying impacts to County services.</p>
5A 150247	ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ADOPTING FY 2013-14 END-OF-YEAR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS AND AMENDMENTS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS; RATIFYING AND APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDERS AND OTHER BOARD ACTIONS WHICH SET FEES, CHARGES AND ASSESSMENTS AND PROVIDING FOR THEIR AMENDMENT; APPROPRIATING GRANT, DONATION AND CONTRIBUTION FUNDS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance approves and adopts supplemental budget adjustments and amendments to align the FY 2013-2014 Adopted Budget with actual expenditures. Revisions incorporated in this supplemental budget include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjustments for federal, state and other grants; • Increased expenses due to higher than anticipated demand for services or contractual obligations; and • Transfers of debt service payments that were inadvertently omitted from the adopted ordinance schedule. <p>Adjustments included in the proposed ordinance equal \$60.066 million which is 1.36 percent of the total operating budget.</p> <p>General Fund:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$2.333 million • Budget adjustment of \$3.927 million • Public Works and Waste Management (PWWM) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Adjustment of \$2.525 million for the transfer of excess revenue

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Adjustment of \$2.394 million from the Water Fund <p><u>Commission on Ethics and Public Trust</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget supplement of \$29,000.00 <p><u>Cultural Affairs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental adjustment of \$35,000.00 <p><u>Fire Rescue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$12,150.00 <p><u>Information Technology</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$28.14 million <p><u>Internal Services/Regulatory and Economic Resources</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$3.226 million <p><u>Juvenile Services</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget supplement of \$51,000.00 <p><u>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$4.560 million <p><u>Police</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget supplement of \$361,000.00 • Budget supplement of \$17,100.00 for the Special Revenues Operation Fund • Supplemental budget of \$785,000.00 as a result of additional grant funds received and expended <p><u>Public Housing and Community Development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget of \$7.869 million <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$5.882 million in additional revenues from U.S. HUD ○ \$1.987 million from prior year carryover <p><u>PWWM</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget supplement of \$74,000.00 <p><u>County Public Hospital Sales tax Fund – Public Health Trust</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supplemental budget to transfer \$16.2 million in County Health Care Sales tax receipts to the Public Health Trust <p><u>Voluntary Donations Trust Fund</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A total of \$34,906 collected and distributed to the following departments/funds: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$12,821.00 to Animal Services ○ \$7,84.005 to Libraries ○ \$6,027.00 to Fire Rescue ○ \$5,098.00 to Police ○ \$486.00 to Community Action and Human Services ○ \$75.00 to Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces ○ \$2,554.00 to the General Fund <p><u>Additional Information:</u> <i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on March 10, 2015, the committee questioned whether any savings or efficiencies from the consolidation were reflected in the budget adjustments. The Director of the Information Technology Department (ITD) reported that the amounts reflected the additional expense over and above what the Department originally budgeted for and the amounts brought in by the departments without any savings. He noted savings were in two areas: 1) cost avoidance (\$7 million) by not spending dollars because of proactive action, such as not purchasing additional equipment, software and services; and 2) cost savings (\$4.6 million) by reducing operating expenses in the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, the Internal Services Department, the Public Works and Solid Waste Management Department and the Police Department.</i></p>
7A 150414	ORDINANCE RELATING TO RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, REGARDING PREPARATION OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS; ESTABLISHING A TIMEFRAME WITHIN WHICH A PRIME SPONSOR MUST REQUEST PLACEMENT OF AN ITEM ON AN AGENDA; PROHIBITING ANOTHER COUNTY COMMISSIONER FROM REQUESTING THAT THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEM BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA DURING SUCH TIMEFRAME; ALLOWING ANOTHER COUNTY COMMISSIONER TO REQUEST THAT THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEM BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF SUCH TIMEFRAME; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142691]

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to Rules of Procedure of the Board of County Commissioners, amends Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding preparation of ordinances and resolutions providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes a timeframe within which a prime sponsor must request placement of an item on an agenda; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The prime sponsor will have six (6) months from the date of the request for legislation within which to submit the item to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the appropriate agenda.</i> • Prohibits another County Commissioner from requesting that the same or similar item be placed on the agenda during such timeframe; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Prior to submission by the prime sponsor of the item to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the appropriate agenda, no other Commissioner may request that the same or substantially similar item be placed on the agenda.</i> • Allows another County Commissioner to request that the same or similar item be placed on the agenda after the expiration of such timeframe. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>If a requested item is not submitted by the prime sponsor to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the agenda within six months from the date of the request for the legislation, any other Commissioner may request that the same or substantially similar item be prepared for placement on the agenda.</i> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> The proposed ordinance was amended at the February 10, 2015, Strategic Planning & Government Operations Committee meeting to include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If a requested ordinance or resolution is not ready to be submitted to the Chairperson of the County Commission within six-months from the date of the request due to circumstances beyond the control of the prime sponsor, the Board of County Commissioners may by motion or resolution waive the six-month requirement and approve another time period within which the prime sponsor may submit the item to the Chairperson; and</i> • <i>Prior to requesting a waiver of the six-month requirement as provided in the proceeding sentence, the prime sponsor will make a good faith effort to schedule a sunshine meeting in compliance with Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, with any other commissioner interested in becoming a co-prime sponsor of the item.</i>
7B 150035	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE NORTHEAST DADE AREA MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; PROVIDING ADDITIONAL TIME FOR THE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO UPDATE ITS REPORT; REQUIRING THE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO MEET AND TAKE ACTION ON ITS UPDATED REPORT ONLY AFTER CONSIDERING THE STUDY ON ANNEXATIONS AND INCORPORATIONS CURRENTLY BEING CONDUCTED BY PMG ASSOCIATES, INC; REQUIRING THE UPDATED REPORT BY THE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO BE SUBMITTED WITHIN A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME AFTER THE STUDY ON ANNEXATIONS AND INCORPORATIONS IS ACCEPTED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 04-104 TO DELETE THE SUNSET AND TERMINATION CLAUSES OF THE ORDINANCE THAT CREATED THE NORTHEAST DADE AREA MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; PROVIDING RETROACTIVITY; PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION OF THE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPON THE OCCURRENCE OF CERTAIN EVENTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Northeast Dade Area Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) provides for additional time for the MAC to update its report and requires the MAC to meet and take action on its updated report only after considering the study on annexations and incorporations currently being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. The MAC will complete its report within six months of the date that the BCC accepts the PMG study.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repeals Section 9 of Ordinance No. 04-104 containing a sunset provision retroactive to the date of enactment of such ordinance thereby ratifying all previous actions of the Northeast Dade Area Municipal Advisory Committee; and • The MAC will remain in existence to respond to any requests and concerns of the BCC, up to the latter of the time the BCC, takes action on whether to forward the report to the Planning Advisory Board or the BCC submits the question of the creation of a new municipality to the electorate. In any event, however, the MAC will terminate two years from the date of the adoption of this ordinance. <p><u>Additional Information</u> On December 3, 2013, through R-1006-13, the BCC directed the County Mayor to conduct an abbreviated procurement process in an effort to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated areas.</p> <p>Pursuant to R-1006-13, a Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition. Two proposals were received in response to the solicitation, and the Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended the highest-ranked and lowest-priced proposer for award of this contract based on the criteria established in the RFP.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC, through R-972-14, authorized the execution of an agreement in the aggregate amount of \$200,000.00 with PMG Associates, Inc., for a one year term, to obtain recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County (Contract No. EPPRFP-00069).</p> <p>PMG will provide the following services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct a study analyzing proposed annexations and incorporations; • Develop a plan addressing the remaining unincorporated areas;

**Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform a review of the economic, social and environmental makeup of unincorporated areas to determine whether the County should pursue future annexations and incorporations within the unincorporated areas; • Evaluate possible alternative governmental structures; and • Prepare a report summarizing its analyses, proffering recommendations and legislative language required to effectuate the recommended changes. <p><u>Additional Information- Annexation and Incorporation Task Force</u> On November 20, 2012, under Resolution No. 983-12, the BCC created the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force (Task Force) to review pending annexation and incorporation proposals and to make recommendations by May 19, 2013 (extended to September 30, 2013 under Resolution No. 379-13), on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities.</p> <p>The County Mayor's memo dated April 1, 2013, titled, Municipal Incorporation and Annexation, included general recommendations for the Task Force, including the following regarding a comprehensive plan: <i>There are many UMSA residents that would prefer a more local-type government, while others are content with remaining in UMSA. In order to protect all the residents of UMSA, a comprehensive plan should be developed so as to avoid creating enclaves that are unable to support basic municipal services. This plan must include input from all stakeholders, groups on both sides of the incorporation issue and all the municipalities in the County. The plan should consider the needs of the existing municipalities in the County, and annexation to these cities where and when it is feasible. Depending on the area considering incorporation, the cost of basic services may require a newly formed municipality to raise their millage rate, while some new municipalities may raise their millage to provide additional services the residents' request.</i></p> <p><i>I believe that allowing incorporations to continue without a well thought out and comprehensive plan is not in the best interest of the residents we serve. Furthermore, this issue should be brought to voters at the next general election so that the residents of UMSA, who will be most impacted by this policy, will have the opportunity to exercise their right to self-determination.</i></p> <p>Subsequently, the Task Force Final Report dated September 11, 2013 included the following recommendation: Recommendation 21 <i>That the Board obtains a consultant to make a recommendation on UMSA. Recommending that the annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and include an economic component. Motion Passed: 6 – 3.</i></p>
7C 150045	ORDINANCE REPEALING ARTICLE CXXXIII, SECTIONS 2-2052 THROUGH 2-2059 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE HOUSING CIVIL RIGHTS OVERSIGHT BOARD; AMENDING SECTION 11A-5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF MIAMI-DADE COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS TO INCLUDE THE FORMER POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE MIAMI-DADE HOUSING CIVIL RIGHTS OVERSIGHT BOARD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repeals Article CXXXIII, Sections 2-2052 through 2-2059 of the Code relating to Miami-Dade Housing Civil Rights Oversight Board; and • Amends Section 11A-5 of the Code relating to the powers and duties of the Miami-Dade Commission on Human Rights to include the former powers and duties of the Miami-Dade Housing Civil Rights Oversight Board (<i>Section 2-2056</i>). <p><u>Additional Information</u> According to the County Attorney's Office, although the Miami-Dade County Housing Civil Rights Board was created no members were appointed and as a result they never met. The proposed ordinance repeals the ordinance that created that board and merges their duties with the existing Commission on Human Rights.</p>
7D 150299	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-8.5.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO EXTEND VETERANS' PROCUREMENT PREFERENCE TO WARTIME VETERANS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-8.5.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to align that provision with Section 295.187 of the Florida Statutes, which was amended in 2012, to extend benefits previously provided exclusively to service-disabled veterans to wartime veterans.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> The OCA asked that the County Attorney's Office (CAO) clarify the definitions of service-disabled veterans and wartime veterans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • According to the CAO, each of these terms is defined by state statute and the State of Florida is responsible for maintaining the rolls of "certified veteran business enterprises," so it would not be the County making the determinations as to whether a particular individual qualifies as a "service-disabled veteran" or a "wartime veteran." <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Under Fla. Stat. s. 295.187(3)(b), a "service-disabled veteran" is "a veteran who is a permanent Florida resident with a service-connected disability as determined by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs or who has been terminated from military service by reason of disability by the United States Department of Defense." ○ Under Fla. Stat. s. 295.187(3)(d), a "wartime veteran" is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A wartime veteran as defined in s. 1.01(14) (this Florida Statute defines the term "veteran" as "a person who served in the active military, naval, or air service and who was discharged or released under honorable conditions only or who later received an upgraded discharge under honorable conditions, notwithstanding any action by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs on individuals discharged or released with other than honorable discharges. To receive benefits as a wartime veteran, a veteran must have served in a

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized or during one of the following periods of wartime service (a) Spanish-American War: April 21, 1898, to July 4, 1902, and including the Philippine Insurrection and the Boxer Rebellion; (b) Mexican Border Period: May 9, 1916, to April 5, 1917, in the case of a veteran who during such period served in Mexico, on the borders of, or in the waters adjacent to Mexico; (c) World War I: April 6, 1917, to November 11, 1918; extended to April 1, 1920, for those veterans who served in Russia; also extended through July 1, 1921, for those veterans who served after November 11, 1918, and before July 2, 1921, provided such veterans had at least 1 day of service between April 5, 1917, and November 12, 1918; (d) World War II: December 7, 1941, to December 31, 1946; (e) Korean War: June 27, 1950, to January 31, 1955; (f) Vietnam War: February 28, 1961, to May 7, 1975; (g) Persian Gulf War: August 2, 1990, to January 2, 1992; (h) Operation Enduring Freedom: October 7, 2001, and ending on the date thereafter prescribed by presidential proclamation or by law; (i) Operation Iraqi Freedom: March 19, 2003, and ending on the date thereafter prescribed by presidential proclamation or by law.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ “A veteran of a period of war, as used in 38 U.S.C. s. 1521, who served in the active military, naval, or air service: (a) For 90 days or more during a period of war; (b) During a period of war and was discharged or released from such service for a service-connected disability; (c) For a period of 90 consecutive days or more and such period began or ended during a period of war; or (d) For an aggregate of 90 days or more in two or more separate periods of service during more than one period of war.” For your information, 38 U.S.C. s. 1521(j), reads exactly as does Fla. Stat. s. 295.187(3)(d)2.
<p>7E 150523</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO POTABLE WATER SUPPLY WELLS AND WELLFIELD PROTECTION; AMENDING SECTION 24-5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE CERTAIN DEFINITIONS AND AMEND OTHER DEFINITIONS RELATING TO WELLFIELD PROTECTION AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS; AMENDING SECTION 24-43 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE FOR UPDATED PROTECTION OF POTABLE WATER SUPPLY WELLS AND PROVIDING EXCEPTIONS FOR HOUSEHOLD AND ORDINARY MATERIALS; AMENDING SECTION 24-43.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO LIQUID WASTE DISPOSAL AND POTABLE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS TO MAKE CONFORMING CHANGES TO SECTION 24-43; TO PROVIDE FOR RETROACTIVITY TO MARCH 3, 1981; TO PROVIDE SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142749]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to potable water supply wells and wellfield protection amends section 24-5 of the Miami-Dade County Code. Specifically, the proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revises the hazardous material list to reference existing criteria previously established elsewhere in the Code as well as in state law, and provides specific criteria for determining if a substance is hazardous based not only on its chemical composition, but also on its concentration, quantity and physical form; • Provides a new definition for “de minimus quantity” which relates to a small volume (less than one gallon) of material, including fuels and oils that are stored within a closed container or within a crankcase or gear box; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The purpose of this change is to address the practicality and real world presence of small amounts of these materials in our modern daily lives.</i> • Revises the use restrictions in the Northwest Wellfield and the West Wellfield Interim Protection Area to eliminate restrictions that are based solely on zoning category and instead focus on prohibitions on the storage of hazardous materials or the generation of hazardous wastes; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>This change will restrict activities that may pose a potential risk to wellfield protection areas, but streamline the permitting review and approval process for activities that, despite their zoning category, do not pose a risk to the wellfield protection area.</i> • Eliminates the need for property owners to obtain a variance from the Environmental Quality Control Board simply because their proposed activity falls within a certain zoning category, even though their proposed activities would not store hazardous materials or generate a hazardous waste; • Defines onsite liquid waste treatment and disposal systems to include other types of onsite sewage disposal systems in addition to septic tanks; • Clarifies the definition of sewage loading and clarifies changes to the sewage loading requirement for properties within a wellfield that are connected to sewers to allow for a simplified review and approval when the work does not involve increasing the gross square footage of the facility; and • Memorializes current standards for testing exfiltration rates for new sewer line installations, and other minor Code changes for consistency and to clarify language in the Code. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ These revisions provide clarifications and practical revisions to the Code without compromising our goal of protecting the wellfields. <p>Background: At the time of adoption of the West Wellfield Interim Protection Area, the Code required the Department of Environmental Resource Management (DERM) to provide for technical review of the regulations imposed within the West Wellfield Interim Protection Area and to submit to the Board reports and recommendations to protect the public’s health, safety and welfare based on the technical reviews.</p> <p>On February 5, 2008, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-112-08, approving a Joint Funding Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) in the amount of \$2,769,512 to develop an “Integrated Model of Surface and Groundwater Flow for Evaluating the Effects of Competing Water Demands” in Miami-Dade County. This agreement provided for a groundwater flow model that would be used jointly between the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (MDWASD) and DERM.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On April 19, 2013, after extensive internal peer review, the USGS published USGS Open File Report 2013-1086: Estimation of Capture Zones and Drawdown at the Northwest and West Well Fields, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Using an Unconstrained Monte Carlo Analysis: Recent (2004) and Proposed Conditions, which presented revised travel times and draw down contours for the Northwest and West Wellfield Protection Areas. The model output was used to develop proposed revisions to the Northwest and West Wellfield Interim Protection Areas.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Following public workshops on the outcome of the modeling effort and technical staff's drafting of revised wellfield protection area boundaries, some stakeholders have expressed concern with the draft revised boundaries for the Northwest and West Wellfield Interim Protection Areas.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, File No. 142749 was substituted for File No. 150523. The substitute differs from the original ordinance in that it includes the phrase "or other method as approved by the Director" on page 15. Also, on page 32 in new paragraph (b), under the land use "Limestone quarrying " the onsite fuel storage condition was slightly modified.</p> <p>Additionally, during the committee meeting the Assistant Director of the Department of Environmental Resource Management (DERM), Regulatory and Environmental Resources Department (RER), confirmed that he was supportive of the proposed ordinance. He explained that the review would not change the boundaries of the well field protection areas, and would update the hazardous materials list.</p>
<p>7F 150329</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-284.47, 33-284.48, AND 33-284.51 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROVISIONS GOVERNING TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT (TND) DISTRICTS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS RELATED TO STREETWALLS, STREETEDGES, AND SWIMMING POOLS; AMENDING DEFINITIONS, DESIGN CRITERIA, AND DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends sections 33-284.47, 33-284.48 and 33-284.51 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding provisions governing traditional neighborhood development districts, including provisions related to streetwalls, streetedges, and swimming pools. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends definitions, design criteria and development parameters.</p> <p>This ordinance seeks to amend the County's Traditional Neighborhood Development (TND) zoning district regulations. The ordinance, among other things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarifies the definition of Frontage Line for purposes of building and wall placement; • Expands the definition of Streetedge to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within residential and civic areas. It also provides additional regulations regarding the use of vinyl/PVC fences; • Expands the definition of Streetwall to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within the Shopfront and Workshop land use categories of the District; • Introduce setback criteria for swimming pools in the TND District consistent with swimming pool setback requirements provided for other residential zoning districts; • Provides landscape buffer criteria for six (6) feet high vinyl/PVC fences installed between the frontage line and the setback for applicable TND land use categories; • Increases the permitted height for Civic Use buildings from forty (40) feet to a maximum of fifty (50) feet; and • Introduces streetedge criteria for the unbuilt portion of a Civic Use lot frontage line when provided. <p>The proposed ordinance only applies to traditional neighborhood districts.</p>
<p>8C1 150529</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN OPERATING AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND GABLESTAGE, INC. FOR THE REDEVELOPED COCONUT GROVE PLAYHOUSE FOR AN INITIAL TERM OF 25 YEARS WITH THREE 25-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW; AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-797-13 TO CORRECT ERROR; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Operating and Management Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and GableStage, Inc., a not-for-profit Florida corporation, (Agreement) for the operation of the redeveloped Coconut Grove Playhouse Theater in accordance with the terms of the lease agreement for the property with the State of Florida and delegates authority to the Mayor, or designee, to execute the Agreement and to exercise all provisions contained therein, including but not limited to the options to renew the Agreement.</p> <p>In addition, it is recommended that the Board amend Resolution No. R-797-13 to correct the error on Page No. 3 of the Mayor's Memorandum that incorrectly stated that approval of a bid waiver is necessary to enter into the Agreement with GableStage, Inc.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u> GableStage, Inc. will be responsible to provide and fund all operational and maintenance costs required for the day-to-day operations of the Theater.</p> <p>Operations and maintenance costs of other possible project components (i.e., a parking garage and/or a second, 600-900 seat theater) will be required to be covered completely by the outside developers of these projects and will be negotiated in subsequent agreements that will be presented to the Board for review and approval in the future.</p> <p>The County will be responsible for the capital costs of redeveloping the Coconut Grove Playhouse with the \$20 million in approved funds for the capital project (\$5 million from Convention Development Tax Series 2005B proceeds and \$15 million from Building Better Community General Obligation Bonds, Project No. 299, Capital Budget No. 921070, Adopted Capital Budget Book for FY 2014-2015, Page 181, Volume # 2).</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>In addition, subject to future annual budget allocations and at the sole discretion of the BCC, the Agreement specifies that the County will provide funding for any structural repairs, as may be required, to the roof and exterior envelope of the Theater.</p> <p>Background On January 15, 2014, the County and Florida International University (FIU), as co-lessees, entered into a lease with the State of Florida (State), as the owner and lessor, for the Coconut Grove Playhouse property for fifty years, which lease may be renewed for up to two (2) additional 25-year terms. The lease incorporates the Coconut Grove Playhouse Business Plan submitted by the County and FIU to the State to develop a regional theater at the property and it designates GableStage, Inc. as the entity responsible for operating and programming the theater. The Business Plan has been approved by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FIU's Board of Trustees on June 12, 2013; • The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida (the Florida Cabinet) on August 20, 2013; and • The Board of County Commissioners (Board) on October 1, 2013 via Resolution No. R-797-13. <p>The Operating and Management Agreement is being recommended for approval simultaneously with the award of the architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services contract for the Coconut Grove Playhouse. The Department of Cultural Affairs will manage the design and construction of the Theater and will consult with GableStage, Inc. for its review and recommendations regarding the design. Subject to available financial resources, the Department will take into account the facility needs of GableStage in order to develop the Theater in a manner in which GableStage can offer the scope and quality of programs and activities of a regional theater company as described in the Business Plan in the Lease. The Key Business Points of the Business Plan will be binding obligations of both parties and to the extent that there is a conflict between a term or provision of the Lease and a term or provision of the Operating and Management Agreement, the Lease will prevail.</p>
<p>8C2 150530</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO ARQUITECTONICA INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION FOR ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND SPECIALTY CONSULTING SERVICES FOR PROJECT NO. A14-CUA-01 - COCONUT GROVE PLAYHOUSE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,398,680.00 OVER A FOUR YEAR TERM WITH TWO ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW AND FUNDED USING BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Professional Service Agreement (PSA) with a total compensation amount not to exceed \$2,398,680.00 and a total contract term of four (4) years with two (2) additional (1) one-year options to extend to provide architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services for Project No. A14-CUA-01 – Coconut Grove Playhouse to Arquitectonica International Corporation.</p> <p>The Basic Services scope of work includes architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services to re-establish great regional theater at the Coconut Grove Playhouse property. The work will include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing documentation establishing the property's municipal historic designation by the City of Miami Historic and Environmental Preservation Board (HEPB) via Resolution No. HEPB-2005-60 dated October 5, 2005; • Reviewing the results of previous efforts to re-imagine the possibilities for the site (design charrette conducted by the University of Miami School of Architecture's Center for Urban and Community Design and a building program developed by Fisher Dachs Associates, both completed in 2008) and developing a building program delineating spaces and sizes; • Providing a master plan which may include both immediate and future development based on the existing property's historic designation, programming goals for the facility, and the available funding. The components envisioned for the site include a state of the art theater (target capacity: 300-600 seats), including all required front-of-house and back-of-house spaces necessary for the successful operation of the theater, parking, and future compatible development that may address the need for additional parking, a second theater (target capacity 600-900 seats) and complementary site amenities such as retail, restaurants, etc.; • Providing proposed development with features, scale and ambiance that is consistent with the location and context of the site and that meets all required municipal reviews, including the City of Miami's Historic and Environmental Preservation Board; • Providing design and construction documents and construction administration services for addressing the existing Coconut Grove Playhouse building and site in creating a state of the art theater (target capacity: 300-600 seats), including all required front-of-house and back-of-house spaces, parking, and site improvements necessary for the successful operation of the theater; and • Collaborating with the following parties, under the CUA's direction: GableStage, the not-for-profit organization that will manage and operate the facility; Florida International University (FIU), who will partner with GableStage to provide public opportunities involving students, faculty and the university community; City of Miami Department of Off-Street Parking (Miami Parking Authority (MPA)) in developing a possible parking structure; and Other project and community stakeholders. <p>The selected consultant team must provide: program verification; site/master planning services; quality architectural design integrating historic preservation requirements with theater, acoustical and sound and communications components; detailed line item cost estimates; construction documents and specifications; bidding and award services; construction administration services including cost estimating and schedule analysis services; and representatives to participate in community meetings to inform the public of the project's progress.</p> <p>Additional Services for the Project, which will be performed by the Consultant if deemed feasible, if and when funding becomes available, and if and only when authorized by separate Service Order(s), will include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing design and construction documents and construction administration services for a parking garage to be developed by the MPA; and/or • Providing design and construction documents and construction administration services for a second, larger theater (target capacity: 600-900 seats).

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																												
	<p>Operating and maintenance of other possible project components (i.e., a parking garage and/or a second, 600-900 seat theater) will be required to be covered completely by the outside developers of these projects and will be negotiated in subsequent agreements that will be presented to the Board for review and approval.</p> <p>As a result of the negotiation meetings, the Consultant reduced their cost proposal from a maximum cost of \$2,762,549.00 to \$1,998,800.00 for the basic services fee (not including contingency and reimbursable expenses). The final negotiated cost is slightly higher than the estimated cost of the work (\$1,950,000.00) but deemed by the Negotiation Committee to be reasonable based on unknown elements of the project such as the structural integrity of the existing structure and the level of effort necessary to address the existing conditions. The Negotiation Committee recommended awarding the PSA to the Consultant on December 3, 2014.</p> <p>Contract Measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CBE 20%- \$399,760.00 <p>Sub-consultants: Kimley-Horn (General Civil Engineering, Site Development and Parking Lot Design, and Traffic Engineering Studies); Arquitectonica GEO (Landscape Architecture); Martinez Engineering Group (General Structural Engineering and Threshold Inspection Services); Fraga Engineers, LLC (MEPF Engineers); Fisher Dachs Associates (Theater Consultants); Talaske (Acoustics, Sound and Communications); Venue (Cost Estimator); Wagner Hohns Inglis, Inc. (Schedule Consultant); Paramount Consulting & Engineering (Envelope Consultant); Tom Graboski Associates (Signage and Wayfinding Consultant); Security Innovative Solutions (Security Consultants); Spinnaker Group, Inc. (LEED Consultants); and Jorge L. Hernandez, Architect (Historic Preservation Architect).</p> <p>Additional Information The PSA provides for Additional Services and Reimbursable Expenses in addition to the Basic Services compensation. Compensation is due to the Consultant upon completion of each of the phases of the Basic, Reimbursable and Additional Services. The schedule of payments for services is listed below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="430 940 1097 1325"> <thead> <tr> <th>Phase No./Services</th><th>Amount</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1A- Program Verification and Master Planning</td><td>228,280</td></tr> <tr> <td>1B- Schematic Design Documents</td><td>226,446</td></tr> <tr> <td>2- Design Development</td><td>339,186</td></tr> <tr> <td>3A- 50% Complete Contract Documents</td><td>263,610</td></tr> <tr> <td>3B- 90% Complete Contract Documents</td><td>234,400</td></tr> <tr> <td>3C- 100% Complete Contract Documents</td><td>161,266</td></tr> <tr> <td>3D- Bid Contract Documents</td><td>54,098</td></tr> <tr> <td>4- Bidding & Award of Contract</td><td>45,590</td></tr> <tr> <td>5- Construction Administration Services</td><td>445,924</td></tr> <tr> <td>Sub-Total lump sum fees:</td><td>\$1,998,800</td></tr> <tr> <td>Additional Services</td><td>199,880</td></tr> <tr> <td>Reimbursable Services</td><td>200,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total</td><td>\$2,398,680</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Phase No./Services	Amount	1A- Program Verification and Master Planning	228,280	1B- Schematic Design Documents	226,446	2- Design Development	339,186	3A- 50% Complete Contract Documents	263,610	3B- 90% Complete Contract Documents	234,400	3C- 100% Complete Contract Documents	161,266	3D- Bid Contract Documents	54,098	4- Bidding & Award of Contract	45,590	5- Construction Administration Services	445,924	Sub-Total lump sum fees:	\$1,998,800	Additional Services	199,880	Reimbursable Services	200,000	Total	\$2,398,680
Phase No./Services	Amount																												
1A- Program Verification and Master Planning	228,280																												
1B- Schematic Design Documents	226,446																												
2- Design Development	339,186																												
3A- 50% Complete Contract Documents	263,610																												
3B- 90% Complete Contract Documents	234,400																												
3C- 100% Complete Contract Documents	161,266																												
3D- Bid Contract Documents	54,098																												
4- Bidding & Award of Contract	45,590																												
5- Construction Administration Services	445,924																												
Sub-Total lump sum fees:	\$1,998,800																												
Additional Services	199,880																												
Reimbursable Services	200,000																												
Total	\$2,398,680																												
8C3 150246	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 31 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$450,000.00 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 SUMMER ARTS & SCIENCE CAMPS FOR KIDS GRANTS PROGRAM; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS ENTITIES AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 31 grant awards for a total of \$450,000.00 from the FY 2014-15 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source Funding for the Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program is derived from monies granted to the Department of Cultural Affairs from The Children's Trust (\$450,000.00), and approved in the Department of Cultural Affairs' FY 2014-15 budget.</p> <p>Background The FY 2014-2015 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Panel convened on January 8, 2015 to review 31 applications requesting a total of \$783,840.00. The Panel recommended funding 31 applications for a total of \$450,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these funding recommendations at their meeting on January 21, 2015.</p> <p>The Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids (SAS-C) Grants Program was created as a joint initiative of the Miami-Dade County Department of Cultural Affairs and The Children's Trust. The objective of the SAS-C Grants Program is to grant funding to non-profit organizations that will provide underserved children in Miami-Dade County with opportunities to attend high quality cultural arts or science summer camp programs at little or no cost. Funding through the SAS-C Grants Program is intended to augment existing programs, providing more children with the opportunity to participate in cultural arts and science summer camps. Applicants to this program must have a minimum one-year track record of providing high quality, arts or science summer camp programs for children and/or youth in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Additional Information</p>																												

**Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>FY 2011-12 Summer Arts and Science Camps for Kids Grants Program</u> On February 21, 2012, the BCC, through R-186-12, waived the requirements of R-130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-seven (27) grants for a total of \$450,000 for the FY 2011-12 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program.</p> <p><u>FY 2012-13 Summer Arts and Science Camps for Kids Grants Program</u> On April 2, 2013, the BCC, through R-224-13, waived the requirements of R-130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-four (24) grants for a total of \$450,000 from the FY 2012-13 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program.</p> <p><u>FY 2013-14 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program</u> On April 8, 2014, the BCC, through R-320-14, waived the requirements of R-130-06, and approved the funding of twenty-eight (28) grants for a total of \$450,000 from the FY 2013-14 Summer Arts & Science Camps for Kids Grants Program.</p>
8D1 150387	RESOLUTION DESIGNATING MIAMI DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW AS THE NEWSPAPER FOR PUBLICATION OF DELINQUENT TAX LISTS IN 2015 FOR 2014 TAX YEAR AND FOR THE PUBLICATION OF DELINQUENT IMPROVEMENT LIENS AND SPECIAL ASSESSMENT LIENS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$40,000.00
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution designates the Miami Daily Business Review as the newspaper for publication of the 2015 lists of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments, such as improvement liens and special assessments, pertaining to the 2014 tax roll in accordance with Florida Statute 197.402 and Rule 12D-13.036 of the Florida Administrative Code.</p> <p>The collection of the 2014 real property and tangible personal property taxes began on November 1, 2014 and taxes will become delinquent on April 1, 2015.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> Florida Statute 197.402(3) provides that the list of delinquent tangible personal property tax payers and the amount due by each be published once within 45 days of the taxes becoming delinquent, and that the list for delinquent real property taxes be advertised once each week for three (3) consecutive weeks prior to the Tax Certificate Sale. In addition, Section 18-14 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Florida Statute 197.363 and 197.3632 require the advertisement of delinquent non-ad valorem assessments and improvement liens collected on the tax bill in the same manner as delinquent real property taxes.</p> <p>Florida Statute 50.011 requires that the delinquent tangible personal property tax and delinquent real property tax advertisements be placed in a newspaper that is published at least once a week, contain at least 25 percent of its words in English, be available for sale to the public and be generally available to the public for the publication of official or other notices.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Approval of this resolution does not create a negative fiscal impact to the County. The cost of the advertising delinquent property taxes is paid by the Tax Collector at the time of advertising and is recovered from the taxpayers at the time taxes are paid or from investors when tax certificates are sold.</p> <p>The following newspapers meet these statutory requirements and were invited to bid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miami Today • Miami Daily Business Review • New Times • The Miami Herald • The Miami Times <p>The Miami Daily Business Review, Miami Today and The Miami Times responded. The lowest responsive bidder for the project was the Miami Daily Business Review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Delinquent tangible personal property taxes - \$1,695.00</i> • <i>Delinquent real property taxes - 30,780.00</i> • <i>TOTAL - \$32,475.00</i> <p><u>Additional Information – Timeline of Legislation relating to newspapers for publication of delinquent tax lists:</u> On April 7, 2009, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-334-09 designating the Miami Daily Business Review as the newspaper for publication of the 2009 lists of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments such as improvement liens and special assessments pertaining to the 2008 tax roll in accordance with §197.402, Florida Statutes (FS) and Rule 12D-13.036, Florida Administrative Code.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The following newspapers that met the statutory requirements were identified and invited to bid:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Miami Today</i> ○ <i>Miami Daily Business Review</i> ○ <i>New Times</i> ○ <i>The Miami Herald</i> ○ <i>The Miami Times</i> • <i>Only the Miami Daily Business Review responded. Thus the lowest responsive bidder for the project was the Miami Daily Business Review whose bid was as follows:</i>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent real property taxes \$240,766.00</i> ○ <i>Delinquent real tangible personal property taxes \$15,362.00</i> ○ TOTAL: \$256,128.00 <p>On April 20, 2010, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-438-10 designating The Miami Times as the newspaper for publication of the 2010 lists of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments such as improvement liens and special assessments pertaining to the 2009 tax roll in accordance with §197.402, Florida Statutes (FS) and Rule 12D-13.036, Florida Administrative Code.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The following newspapers that met the statutory requirements were identified and invited to bid:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Miami Today</i> ○ <i>Miami Daily Business Review</i> ○ <i>New Times</i> ○ <i>The Miami Herald</i> ○ <i>The Miami Times</i> • <i>The Miami Daily Business Review, New Times, Miami Today and The Miami Times responded. The lowest responsive bidder for the project was The Miami Times whose bid was as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent tangible personal property taxes \$ 38,262.62</i> ○ <i>Delinquent real property taxes 135,658.38</i> ○ TOTAL \$ 173,921.00 <p>On April 4, 2011, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-233-11 designating the Miami Daily Business Review as the newspaper for publication of the 2011 list of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments pertaining to the 2010 tax roll as required by Florida Statutes §197.402 and Rule 12D-13.036 of the Florida Administrative Code.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The following newspapers that met the statutory requirements were identified and invited to bid:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Miami Today</i> ○ <i>Miami Daily Business Review</i> ○ <i>New Times</i> ○ <i>The Miami Herald</i> ○ <i>The Miami Times</i> • <i>The County received responses from Miami Daily Business Review, New Times and Miami Today. The lowest responsive bidder was the Miami Daily Business Review whose bid was as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent tangible personal property taxes \$ 4,000</i> ○ <i>Delinquent real property taxes 105,000</i> ○ TOTAL \$ 109,000 <p>On April 3, 2012, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-276-12 designating The Miami Times as the newspaper for publication of the 2012 lists of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes, and non-ad valorem assessments such as improvement liens and special assessments pertaining to the 2011 tax roll in accordance with §197.402, Florida Statutes and Rule 12D-13.036, Florida Administrative Code.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The following newspapers that met the statutory requirements were identified and invited to bid:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Miami Today</i> ○ <i>The Miami Herald</i> ○ <i>Miami Daily Business Review</i> ○ <i>The Miami Times</i> ○ <i>The New Times</i> • <i>The Miami Daily Business Review, The Miami Times and Miami Today responded. The lowest responsive bidder for the project was The Miami Times whose bid was as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent tangible personal property taxes \$ 16,729.60</i> ○ <i>Delinquent real property taxes 66,918.40</i> ○ TOTAL \$ 83,648.00 <p>On April 2, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-225-13 designating Miami Daily Business Review as the newspaper for publication of the 2013 lists of delinquent tangible personal property taxes, delinquent real property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments such as improvement liens and special assessments pertaining to the 2012 tax roll in accordance with §197.402, Florida Statutes (FS) and Rule 12D-13.036, Florida Administrative Code.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The following newspapers that met the statutory requirements were identified and invited to bid:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Miami Today</i> ○ <i>Miami Daily Business Review</i> ○ <i>New Times</i> ○ <i>The Miami Herald</i> ○ <i>The Miami Times</i> • <i>The Miami Daily Business Review, The Miami Times and Miami Today responded. The lowest responsive bidder for the project was Miami Daily Business Review whose bid was as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent tangible personal property taxes \$ 3,500.00</i>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Delinquent real property taxes 61,500.00</i> ○ TOTAL \$ 65,000.00
8D2 150763	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$675,000,000.00 OF AVIATION REVENUE AND REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 210 AND 211 OF AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT AND APPLICABLE ORDINANCES FOR SPECIFIED PURPOSES, INCLUDING THE REFUNDING OR REDEEMING OF CERTAIN OUTSTANDING AVIATION REVENUE BONDS WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 8.807221%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$4,777,634.49 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF OCTOBER 1, 2045, FINANCING CERTAIN PROJECTS, AND FUNDING RESERVE ACCOUNT, IF NECESSARY; APPROVING ISSUANCE OF BONDS AFTER PUBLIC HEARING AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 147(F) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS AND THEIR SALE BY NEGOTIATION; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; APPROVING FORMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE AND DELIVERY OF BONDS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issuance of the Aviation Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) (Series 2015A Bonds); • Issuance of the Aviation Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015B Non-AMT (Series 2015B Bonds), which together with the Series 2015A Bonds are referred to as the Series 2015 Bonds, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$675 million; and • Waives the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06 because the sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set the final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution. <p>The Series 2015 Resolution also provides for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Funding the cost of issuance, underwriter's discount and a Credit Facility or Reserve Facility, if any; and 2. Funding the reserve requirement, if any, with proceeds of the Series 2015 Bonds or a Reserve Facility. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Series 2015A Bonds are being issued to refund and redeem all or a portion of the outstanding Aviation Revenue and Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005A and Series 2005B. Both series are subject to an AMT. Additionally, as part of the Series 2015A Bond issuance, approximately \$75 million of new money bonds (Series 2015A New Money Bonds) will be issued to fund previously authorized Capital Improvement Plan Projects; and</i> ○ <i>The Series 2015B Bonds are being issued to refund and redeem all or a portion of the outstanding Aviation Revenue and Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007B, Series 2007D, Series 2008B and 2009B, which are not subject to AMT. The previously issued Series 2005A, Series 2005B, Series 2007B, Series 2007D, Series 2008B and Series 2009B Bonds are referenced as the Refunded Bonds. The Series 2015 Bonds less the Series 2015A New Money Bonds are referred herein as the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds.</i> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>The Aviation Department commenced a Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) in 1993. The Board authorized the issuance of \$6.2 billion in Aviation Revenue Bonds pursuant to Ordinance Nos. 95-38, 96-31, 97-207 and 08-121 (Authorizations) of which \$5.8 billion have been issued. The Refunded Bonds were issued to provide funds to pay a portion of the cost of certain projects included in the Aviation Department's CIP. Pursuant to the Authorizations and the Trust Agreement, the Series 2015 New Money Bonds will fund a portion of the Aviation Department's CIP.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on an agenda. The sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set the final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution in order to provide the County maximum flexibility in the market as described above. Therefore, a waiver of Resolution R-130-06 is required.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>Based on market conditions as of March 23, 2015, the proposed refunding generates a debt service savings of approximately \$69 million over the life of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds, representing a net present value savings of \$44.9 million or 8.8 percent of the amount of the Refunded Bonds. Consistent with the County's refunding policy established by Resolution No. R-1313-09, the net present value savings that will be achieved by issuing the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds exceeds a five (5) percent threshold and the final maturity of the Series 2015 Refunding Bonds is not greater than the final maturity of the Refunded Bonds.</p> <p>Additionally, the aggregate principal amount of the Series 2015A New Money Bonds is estimated to be \$69.5 million and the County will pay interest in the amount of \$71.9 million over the 30 year life of the Series 2015A New Money Bonds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Bonds:</u></p> <div data-bbox="565 1776 1195 1906" style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Bond Summary Statistics Miami-Dade County, Florida Aviation Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A&B</p> <p><i>Market Rates as of March 19, 2015</i></p> </div>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																																										
		Dated Date	07/07/2015																																																								
		Delivery Date	07/07/2015																																																								
		First Coupon	10/01/2015																																																								
		Last Maturity	10/01/2015																																																								
		Arbitrage Yield	3.421460%																																																								
		True Interest Cost (TIC)	4.034841%																																																								
		Net Interest Cost (NIC)	4.352742%																																																								
		All-In TIC	4.063465%																																																								
		Average Coupon	5%																																																								
		Par Amount	538,880,000.00																																																								
		Bond Proceeds	604,800,447.85																																																								
		Total Interest	487,997,933.33																																																								
		Net Interest	424,825,773.48																																																								
		Total Debt Service	1,026,877,933.33																																																								
		Maximum Annual Debt Service	181,271,250.00																																																								
		Average Annual Debt Service	33,965,091.51																																																								
		Total Underwriter's Discount	5.100000																																																								
		Bid Price	111.722862																																																								
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sources and Uses of Funds Miami-Dade County, Florida Aviation Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A&B</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Market Rates as of March 19, 2015</i></p> <table> <tr> <th></th><th>Series 2015A (AMT) New Money</th><th>Series 2015A (AMT) Refunding</th><th>Series 2015B (Non-AMT)</th><th>Total</th></tr> <tr> <td>Sources</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Bond Proceeds</td><td>78,086,894.10</td><td>455,241,120.40</td><td>71,472,433.35</td><td>604,800,447.85</td></tr> <tr> <td>Others sources of Funds</td><td>-</td><td>5,569,875.00</td><td>811,556.25</td><td>6,381,431.25</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>78,086,894.10</td><td>460,810,995.40</td><td>72,283,989.60</td><td>611,181,879.10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Uses</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Project Fund Deposits</td><td>75,000,000.00</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>75,000,000.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Refunding Escrow Deposits</td><td>-</td><td>457,179,750.00</td><td>71,757,994.61</td><td>528,937,744.61</td></tr> <tr> <td>Other Fund Deposits</td><td>2,466,500.00</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>2,466,500.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Delivery Date Expenses</td><td>620,394.10</td><td>3,361,245.40</td><td>525,994.99</td><td>4,777,634.49</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>78,086,894.10</td><td>460,810,995.40</td><td>72,283,989.60</td><td>611,181,879.10</td></tr> </table>					Series 2015A (AMT) New Money	Series 2015A (AMT) Refunding	Series 2015B (Non-AMT)	Total	Sources					Bond Proceeds	78,086,894.10	455,241,120.40	71,472,433.35	604,800,447.85	Others sources of Funds	-	5,569,875.00	811,556.25	6,381,431.25		78,086,894.10	460,810,995.40	72,283,989.60	611,181,879.10	Uses					Project Fund Deposits	75,000,000.00	-	-	75,000,000.00	Refunding Escrow Deposits	-	457,179,750.00	71,757,994.61	528,937,744.61	Other Fund Deposits	2,466,500.00	-	-	2,466,500.00	Delivery Date Expenses	620,394.10	3,361,245.40	525,994.99	4,777,634.49		78,086,894.10	460,810,995.40	72,283,989.60	611,181,879.10
	Series 2015A (AMT) New Money	Series 2015A (AMT) Refunding	Series 2015B (Non-AMT)	Total																																																							
Sources																																																											
Bond Proceeds	78,086,894.10	455,241,120.40	71,472,433.35	604,800,447.85																																																							
Others sources of Funds	-	5,569,875.00	811,556.25	6,381,431.25																																																							
	78,086,894.10	460,810,995.40	72,283,989.60	611,181,879.10																																																							
Uses																																																											
Project Fund Deposits	75,000,000.00	-	-	75,000,000.00																																																							
Refunding Escrow Deposits	-	457,179,750.00	71,757,994.61	528,937,744.61																																																							
Other Fund Deposits	2,466,500.00	-	-	2,466,500.00																																																							
Delivery Date Expenses	620,394.10	3,361,245.40	525,994.99	4,777,634.49																																																							
	78,086,894.10	460,810,995.40	72,283,989.60	611,181,879.10																																																							
	<p>*Bond summary, sources and uses are preliminary and subject to change. An update will be provided to the Board prior to its consideration, and once again after the Series 2015 Bonds are priced and awarded to the underwriters. The Series 2015 Bonds are expected to be issued in July 2015.</p> <p>Additional Information – CIP Projects:</p> <p>The CIP Projects consist of those Improvements to Port Authority Properties comprising a portion of the Aviation Department's capital improvement program and which are a part of the 1995 Authorization, the 1996 Authorization or the 2008 Authorization. Such CIP Projects include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Airside: runway pavement reconstruction; • Terminal and concourse improvements; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ North Terminal – reconfigure the terminal and concourses between Concourses A and D to create a 48-gate linear facility to support the international gateway operations of American Airlines and its partners. Includes utility infrastructure expansion; ○ South Terminal – Renovation of existing terminal space in and adjacent to Concourse H and improving the corresponding taxiway; and ○ Other Terminal Projects – Life safety and building code upgrades, remodel and reconstruction of commercial facilities in 																																																										

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>the central Terminal.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landside; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Roadways and Parking – Improvements to Perimeter Road and an upgrade of the Airport’s accessibility facilities; and ○ MIA Mover – Construction of an elevated automated people mover system connecting the Terminal Building to remote ground transportation facilities at an inter-modal hub to be built by FDOT. • Support Programs: Replacement or upgrade of security, business systems and maintenance facilities; • Cargo and Aircraft maintenance: improving drainage in the area of aircraft maintenance facilities; • General Aviation Airports: Airfield improvements; and • Terminal Optimization Program, Phase I – Apron and utilities improvements to the Central base area, replacement of Concourse E Automated People Mover, enhancements to the South and Central Terminal baggage handling system, replacement of Concourse H roof and other improvements which include Terminal Landside, Airport Operations Center, Taxi Lot relocation, employee parking garage and ticket counter replacement. <p>Additional Information – Miami International Airport Funding Sources for Capital Projects: Airlines serving the Airport: As of September 30, 2014, scheduled service was provided at the Airport by 76 airlines; of these, 51 providers are domestic or international passenger or passenger-cargo combination service, and 25 provide scheduled all-cargo service.</p> <p>Federal Grants – apportioned and awarded to the County for the last five fiscal years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2010 – 8,540,000 • 2011 – 3,462,000 • 2012 – 9,155,956 • 2013 – 29,308,422 • 2014 – pending <p>State Grants – FDOT grants received by the County for the last five fiscal years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2011 – 14,648,296 • 2012 – 9,055,891 • 2013 – 18,968,035 • 2014 – 20,294,142 • 2015 – 22,689,093 <p>Passenger Facility Charges (PFC) – The Aviation Department has been authorized to collect PFCs in the estimated aggregate amount of \$2.6 billion. The authorization is currently scheduled to expire in October 2035. The amount of PFC collections from inception through September 30, 2014 was \$1.0 billion and with interest was approximately \$1.1 billion. Of this amount, the Aviation Department has expended \$941.5 million as of September 30, 2014. PFC collections for the last five fiscal years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2010 – 61,682,383 • 2011 – 67,376,838 • 2012 – 71,090,000 • 2013 – 75,085,113 • 2014 – 69,204,436 <p>Other Revenues – American Airlines agreed to contribute \$105 million toward the costs of settling claims and completing the North Terminal Development program, which was approved by the bankruptcy court in connection with the AMR bankruptcy proceeding. Its contribution was paid over 10 years, commencing July 1, 2005, as delineated in the Claims Administration Agreement between American Airlines and the County and acknowledged by American Airlines in the First Amendment to its AUA. As of September 30, 2014, the Aviation Department had received the entire amount from American Airlines and had a remaining balance of \$7.9 million in the account.</p> <p>In Fiscal year 2013, TSA issued a \$101.20 million “other transaction agreement” (OTA) from MIA Checked Baggage Recapitalization Screening Design and Construction Services project for the South Terminals. As of September 30, 2014, the County had not received any payments from this grant, but believes that reimbursement of these projects will begin in fiscal year 2015.</p>
8D3 150764	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$550,000,000.00 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, PURSUANT TO SECTION 209 OF ORDINANCE NO. 93-134, AS AMENDED, TO REFUND CERTAIN WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 6.37%, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$4,074,688.00 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF OCTOBER 1, 2026; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS AND THEIR SALE BY NEGOTIATION; AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS, TO FINALIZE DETAILS, TERMS AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF BONDS, THEIR NEGOTIATED SALE AND REFUNDING OF REFUNDED BONDS; APPROVING FORMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; PROVIDING CERTAIN COVENANTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO DO ALL THINGS DEEMED NECESSARY IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF BONDS AND REFUNDING OF REFUNDED BONDS; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Water and Sewer System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 (the Series 2015 Bonds), in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$550,000,000; • Funding the cost of issuance, underwriter’s discount and a credit facility or reserve account credit facility, if any, and funding the

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																													
	<p>reserve requirement, if any, with proceeds of the Series 2015 Bonds or through the purchase of a reserve fund surety;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Finalizing the terms of the negotiated sale by the County Mayor or County Mayor’s Designee including terms not set forth in the Series 2015 Resolution after consultation with the financial advisor, County Attorney and Bond Counsel, securing credit facilities or reserve account credit facilities if economically feasible, and selecting and appointing a registrar, paying agent, escrow agent and a verification agent; andWaives Resolution No. R-130-06 because the sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set the final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution. <p>The Series 2015 Bonds are being issued to advance refund through a negotiated sale all or a portion of the outstanding Miami-Dade County, Florida Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, the Series 2008C and any other bonds currently outstanding under the Master Ordinance that meet the requirements of Resolution No. R-1313-09 (Refunded Bonds).</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Water and Sewer Department is commencing a significant multi-year capital improvement plan. Therefore, it is in the County’s best interest to refund all or a portion of the Refunded Bonds in order to achieve debt service savings, which will help offset future debt service increases.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on a committee agenda. The sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set their final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2014 Resolution in order to provide the County maximum flexibility when in the market. Therefore, a waiver of Resolution R-130-06 is required.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact of the proposed transaction is positive. Based on market conditions as of March 11, 2015, the proposed refunding generates a nominal debt service savings of approximately \$37.8 million with an average annual debt service savings of \$3.4 million per year over the life of the Series 2015 Bonds. The nominal savings equates to a net present value savings of \$31.9 million or 6.37 percent of the outstanding par amount of the Refunded Bonds. Consistent with the County’s refunding policy established by Resolution No. R-1313-09, the net present value savings to be achieved by issuing the Series 2015 Bonds exceeds the five (5) percent threshold requirement, and the final maturity of the Series 2015 Bonds does not exceed the final maturity of the Refunded Bonds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Bond:</u></p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Bond Summary Statistics</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Miami-Dade County, Florida</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015</th></tr><tr><th colspan="2">Indicative Rates as of March 11, 2015</th></tr><tr><td>Dated Date</td><td>06/03/2015</td></tr><tr><td>Delivery Date</td><td>06/03/2015</td></tr><tr><td>Last Maturity</td><td>10/01/2026</td></tr><tr><td>Arbitrage Yield</td><td>2.712145%</td></tr><tr><td>True Interest Cost (TIC)</td><td>2.810848%</td></tr><tr><td>Net Interest Cost (NIC)</td><td>3.086563%</td></tr><tr><td>All-In TIC</td><td>2.851820%</td></tr><tr><td>Average Coupon</td><td>4.989524%</td></tr><tr><td>Par Amount</td><td>479,375,000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Bond Proceeds</td><td>562,897,549.55</td></tr><tr><td>Total Interest</td><td>212,709,835.83</td></tr><tr><td>Net Interest</td><td>131,584,161.28</td></tr><tr><td>Total Debt Service</td><td>692,084,835.83</td></tr><tr><td>Maximum Annual Debt Service</td><td>104,345,750.00</td></tr><tr><td>Average Annual Debt Service</td><td>61,096,258.19</td></tr><tr><td>Total Underwriter’s Discount</td><td>5.000000</td></tr><tr><td>Bid Price</td><td>116.923218</td></tr></table> <table><tr><th>Sources and Uses of Funds</th></tr><tr><th>Miami-Dade County, Florida</th></tr><tr><th>Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015</th></tr></table>	Bond Summary Statistics		Miami-Dade County, Florida		Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015		Indicative Rates as of March 11, 2015		Dated Date	06/03/2015	Delivery Date	06/03/2015	Last Maturity	10/01/2026	Arbitrage Yield	2.712145%	True Interest Cost (TIC)	2.810848%	Net Interest Cost (NIC)	3.086563%	All-In TIC	2.851820%	Average Coupon	4.989524%	Par Amount	479,375,000.00	Bond Proceeds	562,897,549.55	Total Interest	212,709,835.83	Net Interest	131,584,161.28	Total Debt Service	692,084,835.83	Maximum Annual Debt Service	104,345,750.00	Average Annual Debt Service	61,096,258.19	Total Underwriter’s Discount	5.000000	Bid Price	116.923218	Sources and Uses of Funds	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015
Bond Summary Statistics																																														
Miami-Dade County, Florida																																														
Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015																																														
Indicative Rates as of March 11, 2015																																														
Dated Date	06/03/2015																																													
Delivery Date	06/03/2015																																													
Last Maturity	10/01/2026																																													
Arbitrage Yield	2.712145%																																													
True Interest Cost (TIC)	2.810848%																																													
Net Interest Cost (NIC)	3.086563%																																													
All-In TIC	2.851820%																																													
Average Coupon	4.989524%																																													
Par Amount	479,375,000.00																																													
Bond Proceeds	562,897,549.55																																													
Total Interest	212,709,835.83																																													
Net Interest	131,584,161.28																																													
Total Debt Service	692,084,835.83																																													
Maximum Annual Debt Service	104,345,750.00																																													
Average Annual Debt Service	61,096,258.19																																													
Total Underwriter’s Discount	5.000000																																													
Bid Price	116.923218																																													
Sources and Uses of Funds																																														
Miami-Dade County, Florida																																														
Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015																																														

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																																																	
	<div>Indicative Rates as of March 11, 2015</div> <table><tr><td></td><td colspan="5">Series 2015</td></tr><tr><td>Sources</td><td colspan="5"></td></tr><tr><td>Bond Proceeds</td><td colspan="5">562,897,549.55</td></tr><tr><td>Others sources of Funds</td><td colspan="5">4,326,173.92</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td colspan="5">567,223,723.47</td></tr><tr><td>Uses</td><td colspan="5"></td></tr><tr><td>Refunding Escrow Deposits</td><td colspan="5">563,148,121.09</td></tr><tr><td>Other Fund Deposits</td><td colspan="5">914.88</td></tr><tr><td>Delivery Date Expenses</td><td colspan="5">4,074,687.50</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td colspan="5">567,223,723.47</td></tr></table>							Series 2015					Sources						Bond Proceeds	562,897,549.55					Others sources of Funds	4,326,173.92					Total	567,223,723.47					Uses						Refunding Escrow Deposits	563,148,121.09					Other Fund Deposits	914.88					Delivery Date Expenses	4,074,687.50					Total	567,223,723.47				
		Series 2015																																																																
	Sources																																																																	
	Bond Proceeds	562,897,549.55																																																																
	Others sources of Funds	4,326,173.92																																																																
	Total	567,223,723.47																																																																
	Uses																																																																	
	Refunding Escrow Deposits	563,148,121.09																																																																
	Other Fund Deposits	914.88																																																																
	Delivery Date Expenses	4,074,687.50																																																																
	Total	567,223,723.47																																																																
	<p>The proceeds of the Series 2015 Bonds, together with other available moneys of the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) will be used to: (i) advance refund all or a portion of the \$344,690,000 aggregate principal amount of Miami-Dade County, Florida Water and Sewer System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007, of which \$285,660,000 are currently outstanding, and all or a portion of the \$306,845,000 aggregate principal amount of Miami-Dade County, Florida Water and Sewer System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008C, of which \$285,610,000 are currently outstanding; (ii) fund the Reserve Account, if necessary; (iii) pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2015 Bonds, including the payment of premiums on or feed for any Credit Facilities and/or Reserve Account Credit Facilities.</p> <p>One or more of the maturities of the Series 2015 Bonds may be further secured with bond insurance, and the decision whether to purchase bond insurance on any, all or a portion of one or more maturities of the Series 2015 Bonds will be subject to market conditions at the time of pricing of the Series Bonds.</p> <p>Additional Information on Billing, Collection and Financial Operations: The WASD is responsible for all billing and collections. Of its approximately 432,000 customers, 418,000 are billed quarterly and 14,000 are billed monthly.</p> <p>During the fiscal years 2010 through 2014 the Board included rate increases to address the operating and maintenance cost increases that occur as a normal part of business each year. These retail rate increases were based upon the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Consumer Price Index for ALL Urban Consumers Water and Sewerage Maintenance – U.S. City Average and are commonly referred to as “maintenance index” adjustments and Capital Program requirements. The Board’s actions have resulted in a 12% increase in revenues, from \$518 million to \$579 million in four years.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="6">Historical Operating Results (\$ in thousands)</th></tr><tr><th colspan="6">Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2014</th></tr><tr><th>Operating Revenues</th><th>2010</th><th>2011</th><th>2012</th><th>2013</th><th>2014</th></tr><tr><td></td><td>518,395.00</td><td>545,660.00</td><td>536,302.00</td><td>548,408.00</td><td>578,850.00</td></tr><tr><th>Operating and Maintenance Expenses</th><td>349,632.00</td><td>332,810.00</td><td>325,077.00</td><td>340,164.00</td><td>349,842.00</td></tr></table> <p>The Department maintains both a General Reserve Fund and a Rate Stabilization Fund to provide for contingencies and to mitigate rate increases. As of the end of fiscal year 2014 the Department’s General Reserve Fund and Rate Stabilization Fund totaled over \$69.6 million. The Department is also required to maintain an operations and maintenance reserve equal to two months of budgeted operations and maintenance expenses. The Department is holding \$63.8 million in the operations and maintenance reserve for fiscal year 2014.</p>						Historical Operating Results (\$ in thousands)						Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2014						Operating Revenues	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		518,395.00	545,660.00	536,302.00	548,408.00	578,850.00	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	349,632.00	332,810.00	325,077.00	340,164.00	349,842.00																														
	Historical Operating Results (\$ in thousands)																																																																	
Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2014																																																																		
Operating Revenues	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014																																																													
	518,395.00	545,660.00	536,302.00	548,408.00	578,850.00																																																													
Operating and Maintenance Expenses	349,632.00	332,810.00	325,077.00	340,164.00	349,842.00																																																													
8F1 150385	RESOLUTION RESCINDING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-5 RELATED TO PERMISSION TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSINESS ON PUBLIC PROPERTY; APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 8-5 RELATED TO PERMISSION TO CONDUCT PRIVATE BUSINESS ON PUBLIC PROPERTY AND ESTABLISHING A FEE SCHEDULE FOR PARCEL B; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN																																																																	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution rescinds Administrative Order (AO) 8-5, Permission to Conduct Private Business on Public Property, and approves Implementing Order (IO) 8-5, Permission to Conduct Private Business on Public Property and Fee Schedule for Parcel B. The proposed Implementing Order 8-5 incorporates the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Establishes a Fee Schedule for use of Parcel B pursuant to R-688-14, which was adopted by the Board on July 17, 2014, based on an appraisal/valuation analysis conducted by CBRE, Inc., a third-party real estate appraisal firm;If the County has issued a solicitation but has not issued a final award, authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to extend expiring revenue-generating permits to conduct private business on County property on a month-to-month basis, not to exceed one year, until a concession, lease, or agreement is awarded through a competitive process for all County properties; and																																																																	

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updates departmental names and similar non-substantive changes throughout the document. <p><i>Parcel B is located at 400 NE 8 Street, Miami, Florida (behind the American Airlines Arena). Rental/use of Parcel B is generally conducted in association with events that are countywide in nature.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> On July 17, 2014, the Board adopted Resolution R-688-14, which directed the Mayor to: (a) employ a certified appraiser to appraise the fair market value of Parcel B and (b) utilize this appraisal to propose a fee schedule for use of Parcel B by third parties. Resolution R-688-14 also established the policy that fee revenues received from Parcel B, less the payment of costs associated with its operation and maintenance be allocated to fund capital improvements at County parks subject to annual appropriation by the Board. The details of the fair market value appraisal conducted, as well as the recommendation from the appraiser as to the appropriate rental rate to be charged to third parties, are discussed in the Background Section of this transmittal memorandum.</p> <p>Subsequent to the July 17, 2014 Board meeting, CBRE, Inc. was competitively selected to conduct the appraisal and analysis tasks outlined in Resolution R-688-14. CBRE, Inc. provided its completed appraisal on September 29, 2014. In determining a fair market daily rental rate for the current uses on Parcel B, CBRE, Inc. based its analysis on market research and gave consideration to several factors including the current fee structure, the types of existing and potential users, and the intensity of use. The daily fee structure proposed is as follows and incorporated into the recommended Implementing Order 8-5:</p> <table><tr><th>Description of Use</th><th>Deposit Amount</th><th>Daily Fee Amount</th></tr><tr><td>Valet Parking</td><td>\$2,000.00</td><td>\$4,000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Staging for Concerts</td><td>2,000.00</td><td>1,100.00</td></tr><tr><td>Staging for the Circus</td><td>5,000.00</td><td>1,300.00</td></tr><tr><td>Carnivals</td><td>5,000.00</td><td>18,000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Concerts</td><td>5,000.00</td><td>36,000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Parties</td><td>2,000.00</td><td>2,00.00</td></tr></table> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Based on the historical usage of Parcel B by third parties, it is estimated that the proposed increased daily fee structure would increase the annual revenues generated by Parcel B from approximately \$80,000 to approximately \$230,000, an annual gain of \$150,000. Per Resolution R-688-14, these revenues, net of operating and maintenance costs, would be allocated to the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department for capital improvements at County parks.</p> <p>Administrative Order 8-5 currently provides an administrative process for issuance by the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee of a one-year permit, plus a one-year renewal term for private businesses to conduct private business on any County property. Such permits are issued for short-term concessions, use of parking lots, and similar County revenue-generating contracts with private businesses, usually for special events or when there is a need to provide certain goods and services to the public that are not currently provided by the County. Such permits are intended to be used while a competitive process is underway for the use of County property. There is currently no authorization provided in the existing Administrative Order 8-5 to allow the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to extend such permit until a competitive contract is awarded. As such, the proposed Implementing Order 8-5 includes new language, authorizing the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to extend revenue-generating permits on a month-to-month basis when such a situation exists. This change is not intended to diminish the County’s long-established competitive bidding process, and the County will follow all applicable competitive procedures in awarding concessions, leases, or related contractual agreements.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Possible Market Value Scenarios (Based on CBRE, Inc. Appraisal/Valuation Analysis:</u> As it relates to the full appraisal value of Parcel B, ranging from the value of the Parcel B tract itself under current zoning restrictions, to the value of Parcel B including accompanying rights-of-way and unrestricted zoning. The possible market value under these scenarios varies widely, ranging from \$7.5 million to \$120 million.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Concluded Market Values and Market Rents</th></tr><tr><th>Appraised Premise</th><th>Values and Rent</th></tr><tr><td>As is land value of tract B</td><td>\$7,500,000</td></tr><tr><td>As is land value of tract B and dedicated rights-of-way</td><td>10,000,000</td></tr><tr><td>As is market rent for tract B</td><td>720,000</td></tr><tr><td>As is market rent for tract B and dedicated rights-of-way</td><td>820,000</td></tr><tr><td>Hypothetical value of tract B (without restriction of use)</td><td>100,000,000</td></tr><tr><td>Hypothetical value of tract B and dedicated ROW (without restriction of use)</td><td>120,000,000</td></tr></table> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on March 10, 2015, the following was discussed:</p>	Description of Use	Deposit Amount	Daily Fee Amount	Valet Parking	\$2,000.00	\$4,000.00	Staging for Concerts	2,000.00	1,100.00	Staging for the Circus	5,000.00	1,300.00	Carnivals	5,000.00	18,000.00	Concerts	5,000.00	36,000.00	Parties	2,000.00	2,00.00	Concluded Market Values and Market Rents		Appraised Premise	Values and Rent	As is land value of tract B	\$7,500,000	As is land value of tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	10,000,000	As is market rent for tract B	720,000	As is market rent for tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	820,000	Hypothetical value of tract B (without restriction of use)	100,000,000	Hypothetical value of tract B and dedicated ROW (without restriction of use)	120,000,000
Description of Use	Deposit Amount	Daily Fee Amount																																				
Valet Parking	\$2,000.00	\$4,000.00																																				
Staging for Concerts	2,000.00	1,100.00																																				
Staging for the Circus	5,000.00	1,300.00																																				
Carnivals	5,000.00	18,000.00																																				
Concerts	5,000.00	36,000.00																																				
Parties	2,000.00	2,00.00																																				
Concluded Market Values and Market Rents																																						
Appraised Premise	Values and Rent																																					
As is land value of tract B	\$7,500,000																																					
As is land value of tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	10,000,000																																					
As is market rent for tract B	720,000																																					
As is market rent for tract B and dedicated rights-of-way	820,000																																					
Hypothetical value of tract B (without restriction of use)	100,000,000																																					
Hypothetical value of tract B and dedicated ROW (without restriction of use)	120,000,000																																					

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The committee inquired whether the Miami Heat or other parties involved in contractual relationships with the American Airlines Arena (Arena) were included in this process. The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD), responded that Basketball Properties Ltd (BP) was informed that the County intended to review Parcel B rental rates, during the previous contract negotiation process. The committee pointed out that this legislation specified “establishing a fee schedule for Parcel B”, noting concern that dialogue was needed with County partners before creating legislation which impacted them. The ISD Director indicated that no negotiations were made with BP; that BP was notified of the County’s intent to raise rates; and that BP spoke to the property appraiser and was provided a copy of the appraisal.</i> <i>The Committee expressed concern over establishing a fee structure rather than achieving one and said that the stakeholders needed to be included in decisions impacting them. It was explained that there was a discussion about the property value last year and that the appraiser justified the approach used to determine value and that this was prime real estate which voters approved as a park which did not happen. It was noted the rental income went directly to the County’s parks.</i> <i>The committee noted concerns that most events that would generate rental income were almost exclusively associated with the Arena and that the property value varied extensively because of the diversity of property us. It was reiterated that BP, the County’s partner, needed to be included in the process of developing a new fee schedule. A representative on behalf of BP stated that BP was a County partner who managed the County arena and that BD did not lease Parcel B. He said that contract extension negotiations revealed that the County was considering raising Parcel B rental rates. He said that BP had not discussed the appraisal or the impact of the proposed rates on Arena operations with County administration. He indicated that BP had questions about Parcel B, noting that circumstances had changed since the appraisal was completed and that the property was now paved over for the upcoming race.</i> <i>The Director of ISD explained that BP was informed that the County Commission passed a resolution to obtain an appraisal and that a third party would establish rental rates. He noted that the completed appraisal and recommended rates were subsequently provided to BP; however, BP notified the County that they did not want to change the previous rate structure. The Director said that this proposed resolution provided authorization to negotiate new rates and a new scope of services.</i> <i>The committee questioned whether rates would be established based upon the highest value determined by the appraisal or if they were negotiable. The Director of ISD responded that administration recommended the rate structure and that the County Commission would establish the rates. The committee inquired whether the fee structure would change for existing contracts between third parties and the Arena and whether the potential fee change would adversely impact entities wanting to use the arena to which the BP representative indicated that the Arena did not control Parcel B and that the Arena would be subject to whatever rates that the County established. He noted that third parties would be put on notice about potential changes to the rates.</i>
8F2 150650	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS 164 COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES; AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC SALE OF SAME TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR EACH RESPECTIVE PROPERTY, FOR NO LESS THAN 75 PERCENT OF ASSESSED MARKET VALUE AS DETERMINED BY THE OFFICE OF THE PROPERTY APPRAISER AT THE TIME OF SALE OR 75 PERCENT OF APPRAISED VALUE AS DETERMINED BY AN INDEPENDENT STATE CERTIFIED APPRAISER FOR THOSE PROPERTIES WITH ASSESSED MARKET VALUES GREATER THAN \$50,000.00; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO HIRE APPRAISERS, PERFORM NECESSARY TITLE WORK AND OTHER DUE DILIGENCE AND TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE SALE OF SUCH PROPERTIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEEDS FOR SUCH PURPOSE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declares as surplus 164 County-owned real properties and authorizes their sale to the highest bidder through the County’s competitive bidding process for no less than either: (a) 75 percent of the assessed market value according to the Office of the Property Appraiser for properties valued at less than \$50,000, or (b) 75 percent of appraised value as determined by an independent State certified appraiser (no greater than six (6) months old), for those properties with assessed market values greater than \$50,000; and Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to the review by the Planning Advisory Board because all of the properties are located within a municipality. <p>All of the properties listed in the item are located in municipalities. Properties in the unincorporated municipal service area require Planning Advisory Board approval and staff is preparing a similar item that only includes properties in the unincorporated municipal service area, which will be presented to the Board for consideration in the near future.</p> <p>The sale of these properties will eliminate the County’s obligation to maintain them, which costs approximately \$92,132 per year for all 164 properties. If all 164 properties are placed back on the tax roll, they will generate approximately \$91,914 in annual ad valorem taxes. If all of these 164 properties are sold, the estimated value would total \$4,002,714. Costs to the County are estimated at \$32,000 for appraisals and \$16,500 for title services.</p> <p>The proposed resolution was amended at the March 12, 2015, Economic Prosperity Committee meeting to remove the following two (2) properties from the original Exhibit A:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #70 (Folio 0131140351970 located at 1690 NW 62 Street with an assessed value of \$62,400); and #73 (Folio 0131140430010 located at 1394 NW 62 Street with an assessed value of \$120,000).
8F3 142838	<p>RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE OF A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND HIS HOUSE, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR THE PREMISES LOCATED AT THE COMMUNITY OF LANDMARK, 20000 N.W. 47 AVENUE, UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, WITH A TOTAL GROSS RENTAL REVENUE TO THE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,505,231.00, FOR THE ONE</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT AND THE ADDITIONAL ONE YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AUTHORIZING RENT ARREAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$185,373.30 TO BE PAID WITHOUT ASSESSMENT OF LATE FEES OR OTHER PENALTIES, DURING FIRST NINE MONTHS OF NEW LEASE TERM; WAIVING RENT INCREASE DURING HOLDOVER PERIOD UNDER PRIOR LEASE; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of a Lease Agreement between the County (Landlord) and His House, Inc. (Tenant), a Florida not-for-profit corporation. This resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing of approximately 87,792 rentable square feet comprised of 16 buildings (Buildings 2, 4, 6A, 9, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35), any land immediately surrounding these buildings, and any ancillary parking adjacent to these buildings, which are located at the Community of Landmark (Landmark), 20000 NW 47 Avenue in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida; • Authorizes an initial lease term of one (1) year, plus a one-year renewal option period; • Authorizes the deferment of payment by the Tenant of the final three (3) months (October through December 2014) of rent due under the Tenant's prior lease, which is being repaid during the first nine (9) months of the proposed Lease Agreement, and further waives any and all late fees and penalties accrued during that time period; and • Authorizes waiving the rent increase requirement during the holdover period, which was a provision in the prior lease agreement. <p>The Tenant advised the County in a letter dated November 10, 2014 of a financial hardship due to a delay in the release of Federal funding for their program. In that letter, the Tenant requested that the County defer the rent due for the months of October, November, and December 2014, and waive any and all late fees and penalties due for those months. The Tenant has since received their Federal funding and has been repaying the previously deferred amounts, which totals approximately \$185,373. This amount will be fully remitted by the end of September 2015 as additional payments in the proposed new Lease Agreement.</p> <p>Furthermore, the prior lease agreement provided for an increased rent payment to the County during any holdover period equal to 150 percent of the rent due under the prior lease agreement, which expired on December 13, 2013. It was not the County's intent to remain in holdover status with this agency for an extended period of time. However, the County has been in negotiations with various tenants at the Landmark property, including potential tenants that considered leasing the entire Landmark property. As a result, certain existing leases have been in a month-to-month or holdover status for an extended period of time. As such, this item recommends waiving the rent increase requirement in the prior lease. As this organization is a non-profit organization, the additional rent would present a hardship to the organization.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The revenue to the County's General Fund for the first year of the Lease Agreement is estimated to be \$741,493 (\$8 per square foot), which will be paid in 12 equal installments of \$61,791 per month. The total projected revenue to the County for the one-year lease term, plus the additional one-year renewal option term is estimated to be \$1,505,231, which factors in a three (3) percent annual rental increase. The total projected revenue figures do not include the deferred rental payments of \$185,373 to be repaid by the Tenant during this lease term. Inclusion of the deferred rental payments would bring the total value of the Lease Agreement to \$1,690,604.</p> <p>The County is responsible for the payment of water and electrical services used by the Tenant during the term of the Lease Agreement and the renewal option period. The \$8 per square foot rental rate has been granted to the various social services agencies that are tenants at Landmark in light of their outstanding service to County residents of various special needs.</p>
<p>8F4 142845</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, TERMS OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TRANSITION, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR PREMISES LOCATED AT THE CULMER NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE CENTER, 1550 N.W. 3 AVENUE, BUILDING C, TO BE USED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES AND TO HOUSE A JOB TRAINING AND PLACEMENT PROGRAM FOR AT-RISK YOUTH AND OFFENDERS, WITH A TOTAL GROSS RENTAL REVENUE TO THE COUNTY ESTIMATED TO BE \$379,433.00 FOR THE INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM OF THE LEASE AND THE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION PERIOD; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE LEASE AND TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of a Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and Transition, Inc. (Tenant), a Florida not-for-profit corporation. This resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the leasing of 4,400 square feet of air-conditioned office space, together with parking in common with other tenants, at the Culmer Neighborhood Service Center, located at 1550 NW 3 Avenue, Building C, Miami, Florida; and • Authorizes an initial lease term of two (2) years, plus one (1) additional five-year renewal option period. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The revenue to the County for the first year of the Lease Agreement is estimated to be \$15,000 (\$3.41 per square foot). A reduced rental rate was negotiated between the Community Action and Human Services Department and the Tenant for the first lease year due to financial hardship. Commencing on the second lease year, the rental rate will be increased to market rent, which is estimated to be \$13.13 per square foot and amounts to \$57,772 annually. During the renewal period, the rent will increase by two (2) percent each year. The total projected revenue to the Community Action and Human Services Department for the two-year lease term, plus the additional five-year renewal option term is estimated to be \$379,433.</p> <p>The County will be responsible for utilities, and janitorial and custodial expenses in the first year of the lease term. The Tenant will be</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>responsible for utilities, janitorial and custodial expenses beginning in the second year of the lease term and any subsequent renewal option period.</p> <p>Background The Tenant has provided job training and placement services to adult ex-offenders in the County at this location since 2008 and would like to continue to utilize this space for these services. The original lease between the Tenant and County was approved by the Board through Resolution R-102-08. The lease expired on February 28, 2011 and the Tenant remained in the premises on a month-to-month basis, pursuant to the holdover provision within the lease, due to building renovations that may have required relocating the Tenant. Those renovations were completed and the Tenant expressed a desire to enter into a new lease at this location. Resolution R-1088-12, approved on December 18, 2012, waived rental payments due from the Tenant for the leased premises beginning January 2013 and ending December 2013. Beginning January 2014, the Tenant commenced to pay rent on a month-to-month basis during negotiations of the proposed lease.</p>
<p>8F5 150314</p>	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR'S OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTIONS, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 2-10.4 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AGREEMENTS FOR CONTINUING SERVICES UNDER THE COUNTY'S EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013-14</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies actions of the County Mayor or designee in executing Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) Professional Services Agreements (PSAs) for the period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. EDP contracts are issued and renewed regularly to qualified local architectural, engineering and landscape architectural (A&E) firms that are in good standing. The EDP PSA is the formal mechanism to allow County departments to issue work authorizations to eligible EDP participants.</p> <p>The EDP was established by the Board in June 2001 as a pre-qualified pool of eligible A&E firms designed to increase opportunities for locally-based businesses. The objectives of this program are to equitably and expeditiously distribute small capital improvement design projects within the thresholds established in Florida State Statute 287.055 for continuing contracts, as well as to facilitate contract opportunities for A&E firms. The EDP affords County departments access to qualified professionals for smaller projects without necessitating a formal solicitation process. The program is structured to distribute projects to participants that have received the fewest previous opportunities with the County through a centralized rotational system. Furthermore, the intent of the legislation adopting the EDP was to provide A&E firms with valuable exposure and experience by working on County projects and therefore allow them to more effectively compete in the private sector. As a result, many new local and small firms have been able to foster a successful business relationship with the County and in the private sector.</p> <p>Over 1,875 EDP assignments totaling more than \$110 million in potential service fees have been disbursed to A&E firms since inception of the program in 2001. In FY 2013-14, 173 assignments were initiated for total of \$16.5 million in estimated fees. Currently, there are approximately 368 active members in the EDP during this reporting period. Over 90 percent of the EDP Community Business Enterprise firms have received work through the program.</p>
<p>8F6 150315</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00128 FOR PURCHASE OF CREMATION AND INTERMENT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,105,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER RIGHTS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00128 to Fred Hunter Memorial Services, Inc. for the purchase of cremation and interment services on behalf of the Medical Examiner Department.</p> <p>The awarded vendor will provide the Medical Examiner Department with the centralized, scheduled pick-up and removal of remains, as well as cremation or interment services. These services are a core part of the Medical Examiner Department's Public Interment Program (PIP), which provides the final disposition for persons who have no family or cannot afford private funeral arrangements. Furthermore, the awarded vendor will make remains available to the PIP Coordinator within 60 days following PIP authorization to cremate, upon receipt of a signed death certificate. The awarded vendor is also responsible for obtaining the required burial and transit permits for interment at the County cemetery.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Sources: The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$1,105,000. The current contract is valued at \$1,141,190 for five (5) years. The reduction in cost under the replacement contract is attributable to the following: (1) pricing submitted by the recommended vendor is lower than the pricing provided under the current contract, and (2) as a result of negotiations conducted with the recommended vendor, the County will pay for cremation services at the outlined rates and the vendor will provide interment services at no-cost to the County, a savings of over \$12,225 for the contract term.</p> <p>The \$1,105,000 will be allocated from the Medical Examiner General Fund.</p> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on October 30, 2015. The method of award was to the single lowest-priced responsive and responsible bidder in the aggregate that met the solicitation's qualifications criteria. Two (2) vendors responded to the solicitation (Fred Hunter Memorial Services, Inc. and Guiding Light Cremations, LLC "Guiding Light").</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to the Assistant County Attorney, it was concluded that the bid submitted by Guiding Light was non-responsive. Guiding Light's failure to provide bid prices on an item deprived the County of the assurance that the contract will be performed and guaranteed in accordance with the terms of the solicitation and thereby renders Guiding Light's bid non-responsive.
<p>8F7 150317</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00122 IN A TOTAL ESTIMATED CUSTOMER-FUNDED AMOUNT OF \$640,000.00 FOR WATER AND SEWER PAYMENT CENTER/AGENT SERVICES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT SPOT BIDS, AWARD SUBSEQUENT CONTRACTS, ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS, EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AND EXERCISE OPTIONS-TO-RENEW CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, AND ANY OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishes a pre-qualification pool for the provision of payment center/agent services on behalf of the Water and Sewer Department; and Authorizes the designation of the pre-qualified vendors as agents of the County for the purpose of collecting and processing water and sewer utility bills. <p>The establishment of a pool of pre-qualified vendors will enable the County to offer multiple options for residents to pay their water and sewer utility bills. The payment options may include in-person walk-in payment services at facilities located throughout the County, automated payment kiosks, and a mobile bill payment application. Payment location options will be available, at a minimum, Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM. Such locations will serve in addition to the current four (4) full service County facilities actively processing water utility payments, which are located at the following locations: Water and Sewer Department headquarters at the Douglas Building; Water and Sewer Department's LeJeune Office; South Dade Government Center; and Caleb Center (temporarily relocated to Martin Luther King, Jr., Plaza while construction is ongoing at the Caleb Center).</p> <p>The awarded payment agent will also ensure that the hardware and software used to deliver the payment center services interface with the Water and Sewer Department's billing system. Awarded payment agents will be compensated through a convenience fee not to exceed \$5.00 per transaction that will be charged to the customer for services rendered.</p> <p>In addition to the four (4) full service County facilities actively processing water utility payments, TonyMar Drugs Corporation (dba Continental Rx) and Navarro Discount Pharmacies are authorized to act as County payment agents at one (1) of their locations. The authority was granted to each by Resolutions R-1326-94 and R-1187-99, respectively. However, it is important to note that the Navarro Discount Pharmacy is no longer actively accepting payments. This pool will replace those contracts to offer a wider selection of payment locations and options.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact and Funding Source:</u> It is anticipated that the establishment of this pool will promote timely submission and receipt of utility payments due to the Water and Sewer Department as a result of greater payment center/agent accessibility and options.</p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County for the eight-year term as customers will pay a convenience fee directly to the awarded payment agent. The aggregate contract value, based on forecasted customer utilization (approximately 20 percent), is estimated to be \$640,000 for the eight-year term.</p> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued on August 29, 2014 under full and open competition. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future competitions. Seven (7) vendors responded to the solicitation.</p> <p>Four vendors were not pre-qualified for the pool. Three vendors failed to submit documents that meet the RTQ's requirements however, upon receipt and verification of the required documents, the vendors will be added to the pool. One vendor responded to the solicitation with a "no bid." Of the three vendors pre-qualified for the pool, one firm is local.</p>
8F8 150398	RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF SOLE PROPOSAL RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 00047 FOR THE DEVELOPMENT, MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION OF THE MIAMI-DADE REGIONAL SOCCER PARK
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the rejection of the sole proposal received under Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 00047, Development, Management, and Operation of the Miami-Dade Regional Soccer Park. Miami-Dade County (County) issued the solicitation to obtain proposals from experienced and qualified firms to establish a premier soccer complex at 9000 NW 58 Street, Miami, Florida 33178, including all associated design, construction, management, and marketing on behalf of the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department. The RFP required the selected proposer to fully fund all costs associated with the development and operation of the soccer park, and also pay rent to the County.</p> <p>On May 22, 2014, the RFP was issued under full and open competition. The sole proposal received from GoodSports Enterprises Global, LLC (GoodSports) was deemed non-responsive by the Office of the County Attorney because GoodSports did not submit the required Price Proposal Schedule or specify how much rent it would pay the County. Furthermore, GoodSports took exception to the RFP's requirement that the proposer wholly fund the project and proposed that the County contribute \$30,000,000 towards the soccer park's capital costs.</p> <p>A new solicitation will be developed and re-advertised. Additional efforts are being made to foster more interest in the project. More specifically, the County is inquiring as to why industry vendors did not respond and is posting the draft solicitation on the County's website for industry comment before it is competitively advertised.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County with the rejection of the sole proposal. However, when this contract is ultimately awarded, it is anticipated that it will be a revenue-generating through rent payments to the County by the operator.</p>
8F9	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
150416	SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; RATIFYING AN EMERGENCY CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT OF \$3,663,277.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF REPLACEMENT COGENERATION UNITS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the emergency contract award Cogeneration Units at the Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant to Condo Electric Motor Repair Corp. for the purchase of two (2) replacement cogeneration units (i.e., engine generators) utilized by the Water and Sewer Department.</p> <p>The units are used at the County's Central District Wastewater Treatment Plant (Plant) to produce the heat required for the digestion of solids. The heat generated by the units assists in the production of sludge, which is sold as fertilizer, saving the County approximately \$1.5 million per year as waste does not have to be transported to a landfill. In addition to the production of heat, the units provide electrical power applied to the sewage treatment process, which results in a yearly savings of approximately \$850,000.</p> <p>Various components within the existing units, which were over 26 years old, were close to failure and no longer repairable. To avoid a failure at the Plant, on July 23, 2014, the Water and Sewer Department declared the emergency purchase of two (2) cogeneration units to replace the failing units. The units were purchased through a competitive bidding process among pre-qualified vendors under Contract No. 7712-1/20. The total cost of the project was \$3,663,277 (i.e., \$4,048,277 minus an old equipment trade-in credit of \$385,000). In light of the condition of the units and the potential impact that could result from the Plant's failure, it was necessary to proceed with this purchase prior to formal Board approval.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact and Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for this emergency purchase is \$3,663,277 and will be allocated from the Water and Sewer Department's Proprietary Funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on March 10, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The committee inquired about the nature of the emergency request to waive formal bid. The Director of the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) reported that repairing a broken pipe was significantly more expensive than planning for replacing one. In this instance, he noted that there were wastewater cogeneration plant failures; that the equipment was 26 years old; and that no replacement plans existed. • The committee questioned whether other plants were scheduled for replacement to which the Director pointed out that WASD was evaluating all facilities and developing an improvement and replacement plan. • In response to the committee's question as to whether there would be additional emergency request, the Director noted that plants ranged in age from the 1940's to the 1970's; that some improvements were made between 1990 and 2000; and that all facilities were being reviewed in accordance with the Consent Decree. He said that the repairs were significant and vitally important to the operation to treat wastewater.
8F9 SUPP 150824	SUPPLEMENT TO EMERGENCY CONTRACT AWARD FOR REPLACEMENT OF COGENERATION UNITS
Notes	<p>For both emergency contract awards before the Board for consideration, all efforts were made, as is the case with all emergency contract awards, to ensure that the 120-day ratification timeframe was met. In both cases, there were unforeseen delays in the processing of the items due to staff turnover and subsequent workload transitioning that occurred while the items were in the approval process.</p> <p>More specifically, the emergency for the rehabilitation of secondary clarifiers item was initiated on November 4, 2014 and was presented to the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee within a few days after the 120-day deadline. The emergency for the cogeneration units was declared on July 23, 2014. As noted in the agenda item, it was necessary to proceed with the purchase prior to Board ratification. As such, the emergency contract was processed (i.e., a Purchase Order was issued to the vendor) in the internal system on July 30, 2014, which resulted in the item being closed in the system and therefore inadvertently resulted in delaying the preparation of the Board item requesting ratification of the emergency purchase. Staff has been reminded of the importance of meeting this deadline going forward.</p>
8F10 150583	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; RATIFYING AN EMERGENCY CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT OF \$3,052,298.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF REHABILITATION AND REPLACEMENT SERVICES TO SECONDARY CLARIFIERS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED HEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150415]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies emergency contract award Rehabilitation of Secondary Clarifiers to Moss - Kelley, Inc. for the purchase of rehabilitation and replacement services, including the associated demolition and installation of three (3) clarifiers (i.e., settling tanks) for the Water and Sewer Department (WASD). Clarifiers are used at the North District Wastewater Treatment Plant (Plant) to remove solids during the sewage treatment process. Three (3) of the clarifiers had failing components, resulting in the Plant's reduced capacity to treat the flow of sewage. Due to concerns of a potential public health hazard, the Water and Sewer Department initiated an emergency purchase on November 4, 2014 to swiftly rehabilitate and replace the failing internal components through a competitive bid among the pre-qualified vendors under existing Contract No. 9138-5/19.</p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the Board modified Contract No. 9138-5/19 through Resolution R-1046-14 to authorize the additional funding needed to complete this purchase. However, because there was not sufficient allocation on the contract at the time the emergency was declared, it is necessary to present this emergency purchase for Board ratification.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for this emergency purchase is \$3,052,298 and will be allocated from the WASD Renewal and Replacement Funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations committee meeting on March 10, 201, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The committee questioned the rationale for requesting a waiver of formal bid in instances where the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) needed to respond to emergency issues. The Assistant County Attorney present at the meeting responded that it was considered a bid waiver because the WASD utilized an existing pool which constrained the number of available bidders. • The committee asked the Assistant County Attorney to develop appropriate language that could be used instead of the “waiver of formal bid” designation for similar awards, noting that he had previously requested this change. • It was noted that there were many departments that were not keeping up with maintenance and capital replacement plans, noting that emergency replacement and repairs could be avoided if the County was properly attending to its infrastructure needs. The committee asked the Deputy Mayor to address maintenance and capital replacement plans in the upcoming budget planning process for each County department. • The Director of WASD indicated that he understood the concerns that were raised about bid waivers. He concurred that there was deferred maintenance; however, it was now up to WASD to complete many projects identified in the Consent Decree. The Director clarified that an emergency competition and a bid waiver was necessary because there was not enough allocation or available funds, even though there was competition in the existing pool. He said that there was now sufficient funding and envisioned that the WASD would not be in a similar situation in the future.
8F10 SUPP 150415	SUPPLEMENT TO EMERGENCY CONTRACT AWARD FOR REHABILITATION OF SECONDARY CLARIFIERS
Notes	<p>For both emergency contract awards before the Board for consideration, all efforts were made, as is the case with all emergency contract awards, to ensure that the 120-day ratification timeframe was met. In both cases, there were unforeseen delays in the processing of the items due to staff turnover and subsequent workload transitioning that occurred while the items were in the approval process.</p> <p>More specifically, the emergency for the rehabilitation of secondary clarifiers item was initiated on November 4, 2014 and was presented to the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee within a few days after the 120-day deadline. The emergency for the cogeneration units was declared on July 23, 2014. As noted in the agenda item, it was necessary to proceed with the purchase prior to Board ratification. As such, the emergency contract was processed (i.e., a Purchase Order was issued to the vendor) in the internal system on July 30, 2014, which resulted in the item being closed in the system and therefore inadvertently resulted in delaying the preparation of the Board item requesting ratification of the emergency purchase. Staff has been reminded of the importance of meeting this deadline going forward.</p>
8F11 150531	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP 872 TO ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGIES, INC. FOR FOREIGN OBJECT DEBRIS DETECTION SYSTEM IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT, INCLUDING RENEWAL PERIODS, NOT TO EXCEED \$7,299,000.00 FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Request for Proposals No. 872 Foreign Object Debris Detection System to Organizational Strategies, Inc. (OSI) for a turn-key airport Foreign Object Debris Detection System (System) that will be utilized by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department to detect objects on the airfield that have the capacity to injure airline personnel, damage aircrafts, or impact aircrafts during flight. The System will initially be installed on Runway 8R-26L at Miami International Airport, which handles the majority of air carrier operations, to allow for automated, continuous detection of foreign object debris on the runway without disrupting airside operations.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The initial five-year term of the contract is \$4,658,000, which includes the associated hardware maintenance support services for the System. The cumulative value of the contract will be \$7,299,000 for 14 years if the County exercises the three (3), three-year options to renew. The \$4,658,000 will be allocated to the Aviation Department and the funding sources are as follows: Federal Funds - \$2,550,000; State Funds - \$1,053,740; and Proprietary Funds - \$1,053,740.</p> <p><u>Background</u> A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on September 16, 2013. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. Prior to the receipt of proposals, three (3) pre-proposal conferences, including site visits, were provided to potential vendors so they may understand the project requirements, observe site and traffic flow conditions within Miami International Airport, and review the technical drawings and documents pertinent to Runway 8R-26L. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Selection Committee's evaluation of the proposals, Varec, Inc. (Varec), the highest-ranked proposer, was recommended for negotiations. A total of six (6) negotiation sessions and five (5) site visits with Varec took place to discuss general terms and conditions, approach, methodology, airfield conditions, utilization of existing infrastructure, and pricing. Varec was granted additional site visits to inspect the airfield in an effort to identify opportunities to reduce their proposed price and submitted a revised price offering that proffered a new conduit system for the proposed foreign object debris detection system. The revised price proposal increased the price from \$6,531,170 to \$7,981,827 for the initial contract term. The total cost exceeded the \$5 million project budget by over \$2.9 million.</p> <p>It was determined by the Negotiation Team that the revised price proposal was not acceptable, as it significantly exceeded the project</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<p>budget and included additional time for the implementation of the project. Consequently, the Negotiation Team voted to terminate negotiations with Varec and to proceed to the second highest-ranked proposer, OSI. Negotiations with OSI resulted in a best value contract that meets all of the requirements within the solicitation and falls below the established project budget.</p> <p>Supplement to Contract Award Recommendation for Contract No. RFP 872 – Foreign Object Debris Detection System – Bid Protest: This supplement is being provided in conjunction with the contract award to OSI to report the findings of a bid protest that was filed with the Clerk of the Board on December 22, 2014 by Varec, one (1) of the two (2) respondents to Request for Proposal RFP 872.</p> <p><i>In accordance with bid protest policies and procedures, as set forth in Section 2-8.4 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-21, a Hearing Examiner was appointed and a bid protest hearing was conducted on January 26, 2015. The Hearing Examiner upheld the Mayor's contract award recommendation.</i></p>																		
8H1 150340	RESOLUTION RETROACTIVELY APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION AGENCY, FOR A FIVE YEAR TERM AND ESTIMATED PAYMENT OF \$757,000.00 FOR USE OF THE TRAIL GLADES RANGE FACILITIES FOR TRAINING PURPOSES; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution retroactively approves Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the United States Department of Homeland Security, Customs and Border Protection Agency, for a five-year term and in exchange for a payment to the County estimated at \$757,000.00 for use of the Trail Glades Range for training facilities.</p> <p>Under terms of the Agreement, PROS will provide access to the main range, tactical range, classroom facilities, restroom facilities, parking, and an open field at TGR. An estimated 1,600 CBP Officers will require quarterly training and firearms qualification. TGR has the capacity to accommodate the CPB's requirements, including facilities for advanced enforcement training such as Special Response Team training. CBP has been using the range facilities since January 2015 under this agreement, thus the approval is retroactive, in order for PROS to continue providing service to CBP while receiving revenue. PROS receives more revenue under this agreement than from the previous agreement. PROS staff has had a good relationship with CBP and as a result have developed an important revenue stream for TGR.</p> <p>Background: Trail Glades Range is open to the general public and offers a multiple position, 50-yard practical range; a 35-position, 7-to-50-yard pistol range; a 32-position, 25-to-100-yard rifle range; six trap-and-skeet fields, which includes an international skeet field; and 18 recreational vehicle spaces with water and electrical hook-up, for use during tournaments. Additionally, TGR offers safe shooter classes, concealed weapons courses and range facility rentals to qualified groups.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: Trail Glades Range has been providing similar services to CBP since 2010 under a previous agreement that provided the County to an average revenue up to \$8,500.00 per month; the new Agreement is estimated to generate up to \$12,000.00 per month based on prior usage patterns, for the first 21 months with an approximate 3% increases in each of the three subsequent years. The total estimated revenue to the County for the five-year term of the Agreement is \$757,000.00. CBP will pay the County a per hour rate for range use, with a minimum of 128 hours per month.</p> <table><tr><th>Year</th><th>Main Range Per Hour</th><th>Tactical Range Per Hour</th></tr><tr><td>Year 1</td><td>\$100.00</td><td>\$60.00</td></tr><tr><td>Year 2</td><td>100.00</td><td>60.00</td></tr><tr><td>Year 3</td><td>103.00</td><td>62.00</td></tr><tr><td>Year 4</td><td>106.00</td><td>64.00</td></tr><tr><td>Year 5</td><td>109.00</td><td>66.00</td></tr></table>	Year	Main Range Per Hour	Tactical Range Per Hour	Year 1	\$100.00	\$60.00	Year 2	100.00	60.00	Year 3	103.00	62.00	Year 4	106.00	64.00	Year 5	109.00	66.00
Year	Main Range Per Hour	Tactical Range Per Hour																	
Year 1	\$100.00	\$60.00																	
Year 2	100.00	60.00																	
Year 3	103.00	62.00																	
Year 4	106.00	64.00																	
Year 5	109.00	66.00																	
8K1 150413	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17-02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, A LOAN TO TOWER ROAD GARDENS, LTD., FOR DEVELOPMENT OF LA JOYA APARTMENTS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$750,000.00 OF REPAYED LOAN FUNDS FOR THE COUNTRY CLUB VILLAS, LTD. AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE (1) FOR ALL FUNDING AWARDS AND CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS, TO EXECUTE AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION, (2) TO SUBORDINATE THE COUNTY'S INTEREST AND MODIFY THE TERMS OF SUCH AGREEMENTS, AND (3) TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the following, pursuant to Section 17-02 of the Code:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">A loan to Tower Road Gardens, Ltd., for development of La Joya Apartments in an amount not to exceed \$750,000.00 of repaid loan funds. This project has previously been approved for and awarded HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) and Surtax funds; andThe County Mayor for all funding awards and conditional loan commitments, to execute agreements necessary to accomplish the purposes of this proposed resolution to subordinate the County's interest and modify the terms of such agreements and to exercise the termination, waiver, acceleration and other provisions. <p><i>This item pertains only to Surtax funds and will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. The funding in this item consists of proceeds from a previously issued County loan to Tower Road Gardens, Ltd. for the Country Club Villas, LTD project. The funding that was repaid will be reloaned to Tower Road Gardens, Ltd. for the development of the La Joya Apartments affordable housing project.</i></p>																		

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Background</u></p> <p>La Joya Apartments is located at 26720 SW 142 Avenue, Miami, Florida 33032 and has 150 apartments, which consist of 12 one-bedroom, two bath units; 96 two-bedroom, two bath units; and 42 three-bedroom, two bath units situated in two and three-story buildings amongst amenities such as a club facility, a pool and laundry facilities. A total of 15.3 percent of the apartments are reserved for households that earn no more than 30 percent of area median income (AMI) and the remaining units are set aside for maximum 60 percent of AMI.</p> <p>The \$750,000.00 will be utilized for the completion of La Joya Apartments and will come from the paid off Surtax loan listed below. La Joya Apartments is out of underwriting and the loan closing is pending Board approval of this item. Upon loan closing, the loan will be subject to those loan terms prescribed in the Surtax FY 2014 Request For Applications.</p>
<p>8L3 150495</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING STIPULATION OF PARTIES BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE OPENING OF A NEW NW 28 STREET HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING IN THE VICINITY OF NW 37 AVENUE; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Stipulation of Parties between Miami-Dade County and the State of Florida Department of Transportation for the opening of a new NW 28 Street highway-rail grade crossing in the vicinity of NW 37 Avenue.</p> <p>The design requirements for the construction of the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) require the extension of NW 28 Street in the vicinity of NW 37 Avenue and the opening of a new railroad crossing. In order to comply with Florida Administrative Code Rule 14-57.012, FDOT has initiated an application to open this new crossing and provided the attached Stipulation of Parties for the County's execution.</p> <p>The proposed crossing will provide access to the local area during the times that NW 25 Street is blocked to vehicular traffic by longer trains while servicing the MIC Station. Therefore, the new segment of NW 28 Street is being built as an access road for those times when trains are blocking NW 25 Street.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County with this item. FDOT will construct and pay for all the necessary improvements. However, a subsequent item requiring that the County pay for all road maintenance for NW 28 Street and 50 percent of the crossing signal's yearly maintenance will be presented for Board approval. The estimated annual maintenance cost for the new segment of NW 28 Street road is \$4,100.00. The estimated annual maintenance cost for the crossing to the County is \$4,221.00.</p>
<p>8M1 150270</p>	<p>RESOLUTION CREATING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 10-15 RELATING TO THE MINIMUM SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS LIST FOR MOTOR VEHICLE MECHANICAL REPAIR FACILITIES, MOTOR VEHICLE BODY REPAIR FACILITIES, AND MOTOR VEHICLE PAINT FACILITIES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves Implementing Order 10-15 relating to the Minimum Specialized Equipment Requirements List for motor vehicle mechanical repair facilities, motor vehicle body repair facilities, and motor vehicle paint facilities in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed resolution will allow the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) to update the list via resolution on a more frequent basis, removing outdated equipment and adding new equipment advancements in each certification category as appropriate.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On March 3, 2015 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-15-14 which amended Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, and 8A-161.27 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing recognition of mechanics certification examination by American Advanced Technicians Institute (AATI) and modifying exemptions from the Director's certification.</p>
<p>8M2 150424</p>	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE EXPANSION OF SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC ENERGY BY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS; WELCOMING THE PUBLICATION OF THE "FLORIDA SOLAR FINANCING ACTION PLAN, A MENU OF OPTIONS"; AND ENCOURAGING ALL OTHER FLORIDA COUNTIES TO ADOPT THIS RESOLUTION</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the expansion of solar photovoltaic energy by local governments in this state; • Welcomes the publication "Florida Solar Financing Action Plan, A Menu of Options" as a valuable resource for local governments seeking to expand the use of solar photovoltaic energy in their communities, without specifically endorsing or adopting any of the individual options contained within; • Encourages all other Florida counties to adopt this Resolution; and • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Mayor, County Manager or other Chief Administrative Officer of each of the other 66 counties in Florida and the Executive Director of the Florida Association of Counties. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>Florida Solar Financing Action Plan was developed in part by County staff and its completion will result in a federal task payment of \$1,000 to the County, which will offset the cost of staff time.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>In September 2013, the US Department of Energy awarded funding under Phase II of the Rooftop Solar Challenge to Broward County and its partners, including Miami-Dade County. The goal of the Rooftop Solar Challenge is to reduce the cost and delays associated with the installation of rooftop solar photovoltaic systems by developing a streamlined online permitting process, eliminating zoning barriers, exploring financial options and educating the public about the economic and environmental benefits of solar power. Florida, with more than 100 independent jurisdictions, faces considerable challenges to standardize the approval processes for rooftop photovoltaic installation and</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>use. Therefore, the regional approach by Broward County includes six counties across Florida, 23 municipalities within the counties, the Florida Solar Energy Center, and Florida Atlantic University. The combined population impacted by this collaboration is approximately 4 million people.</p> <p>On October 21, 2014, through Resolution No. R-927-14, the Board approved an Interlocal Agreement with Broward County to increase the use of, and access to, solar energy pursuant to the US Department of Energy Rooftop Solar Challenge II grant award agreement. Under the Interlocal Agreement, which incorporated the terms of the Rooftop Solar Challenge II grant award agreement, Miami-Dade County committed to develop several deliverables with associated deadlines and task payments upon completion. One such deliverable is the development and review of the "Florida Solar Financing Action Plan, A Menu of Options" (Action Plan), a report of financial options for governments, homeowners and businesses to expand solar photovoltaic energy in Florida. The grant award agreement also calls for a proclamation adopted by all Florida counties on the value of solar energy and providing a wide range of financial options to pursue the installation of solar energy systems.</p> <p>The associated Action Plan developed by the Go SOLAR Florida team presents financing options for the entire State of Florida. It explores and explains a variety of financing options for all levels of government as well as homeowners and business interested in installing solar photovoltaic systems. The report compiles all financing options used by all partnering jurisdictions, available rebates at the federal, state and local level, and other financing options used by not-for-profits dedicated to solar. Applicability of the options in the Action Plan depend on diverse factors such as the type of electrical utility present in the area, federal, state and local incentives available, local political environment, etc. In addition, solar financing is an ever-evolving sector and only some of the options listed in the report may be applicable in each local government. The attached resolution does not endorse or adopt any particular financing option within the Action Plan.</p>
8M3 150377	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT TO DEDICATE CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS OWNED BY THE COUNTY TO EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROJECTS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to execute a Cooperative Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the South Florida Water Management District for the certification of county lands acquired by the Environmentally Endangered Lands Program to be dedicated for the C-111 South Dade Project and the C-111 Spreader Canal West Everglades Restoration Project.</p> <p>Through this proposed Cooperative Agreement, the County will certify that the lands will be maintained in a manner compatible with the projects' restoration purposes and will not be conveyed, transferred, altered or otherwise encumbered. County lands will be managed by the South Florida Water Management District in a manner compatible with the projects' restoration purposes and consistent with previously authorized MOU, and EEL Program purposes.</p> <p><i>As of November 30, 2014, the balance of the EEL Trust Fund GF080 is \$44,109,328.00, of which \$21,394,752.03 is reserved for acquisition and \$22,714,575.97 is reserved for management.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>On September 8, 2005, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-986-05 which approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Miami-Dade County and the South Florida Water Management District for Continued Provision of Cooperative Management of the South Dade Wetlands Environmentally Endangered Lands Project.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-718-10 amending R-986-05 to expand the geographic scope to include the Biscayne Coastal Wetlands and to extend the MOU to September 30, 2020. Under the MOU, a management plan was jointly developed to guide land management activities, such as invasive exotic species, protected species, dumping, and hydrology.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miami-Dade County's EEL Program is focused on the protection and conservation of environmentally endangered lands. • Concerned about the continuing loss of pinelands and other natural areas, Miami-Dade County voters approved a property tax that was collected between 1990 and 1992 to fund the acquisition, protection and maintenance of environmentally endangered lands. The EEL Program identifies and secures these lands for preservation. • The EEL Program and its partners have brought more than 20,700 acres of environmentally endangered lands into public ownership since 1990. Additionally, the EEL Program manages 2,800 acres of natural lands within Miami-Dade County Parks, for a total of more than 23,500 acres protected.
8M5 150375	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF HIALEAH PURSUANT TO WHICH THE COUNTY WILL PROVIDE CERTAIN SUPPLIES TO THE CITY SO THAT THE CITY MAY PERFORM SPECIFIC AIR MONITORING ACTIVITIES IN THE CITY OF HIALEAH; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
8M6 150376	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MIAMI PURSUANT TO WHICH THE COUNTY WILL PROVIDE CERTAIN SUPPLIES TO THE CITY SO THAT THE CITY MAY PERFORM SPECIFIC AIR MONITORING ACTIVITIES IN THE CITY OF MIAMI; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p><u>8M5</u></p> <p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to execute an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Hialeah in which the County agrees to provide Phase I Sampling Kits and materials to the City of Hialeah Fire Department for use by the City when responding to a BioWatch Actionable Response within the City of Hialeah. Additionally, the proposed resolution allows the County to coordinate with</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the federal government to provide replacement components for the kits.</p> <p>8M6 The proposed resolution authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to execute an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Miami in which the County agrees to provide Phase I Sampling Kits and materials to the City of Miami Fire Department for use by the City when responding to a BioWatch Actionable Response within the City of Miami. Additionally, the proposed resolution allows the County to coordinate with the federal government to provide replacement components for the kits.</p> <p>Background: On October 10, 2006, the Board approved Resolution No. R-1190-06, authorizing an agreement with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security that provided funding for Miami-Dade County to operate an air monitoring network countywide. The agreement has been extended annually, as requested by the Department of Homeland Security, to allow Miami-Dade County to continue providing the specified services for the federal government.</p>
<p>8N1 150412</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENTS FOR THE COORDINATION OF TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WITH CERTAIN AGENCIES; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR, MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, OR MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE SAME FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, TO EXECUTE ANY ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS FOR 2015-16 PROGRAM TERM WITH ENTITIES MEETING PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED SERVICES, AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves agreements for the coordination of transportation disadvantaged services in Miami-Dade County with certain agencies.</p> <p>The effective dates of these Agreements are July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, and require approval by the Board no later than June 30, 2015.</p> <p>Background: Through Chapter 427 of the Florida Statutes and Rule 41-2 of the Florida Administrative Code, the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization designates the Board as the CTC for the County for a five-year period. The Board has assigned MDT to carry out the requirements of the CTC for the County's Transportation Disadvantaged Program, which services the elderly, disabled, children-at-risk, and economically disadvantaged.</p> <p>The County Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordination Board (LCB) is the advisory body to the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged, the state agency that implements Chapter 427 of the Florida Statutes and Rule 41-2. The Agreements have been submitted and reviewed by the LCB, which advises and evaluates the CTC.</p> <p>The CTC is required to enter into Agreements with other agencies/operators that transport the disadvantaged. In addition, the Federal Transit Administration Section 49 U.S.C. 5310 Grant Program requires that recipients of vehicles administered by FDOT through the Section 5310 Grant program be part of a coordinated transportation system by entering into an Agreement with the CTC. In the County, private not-for-profit agencies receiving these vehicles transport their clients at no cost to the County. This service reduces the need for the County to provide paratransit trips for the transportation disadvantaged population.</p> <p>The Agreements include the cost per trip and service requirements and standards that must be adhered to by all transportation service providers who transport disadvantaged individuals as part of a coordinated transportation system. Ultimately, the agencies work together to coordinate, utilize, and maximize the use of existing transportation resources to provide transportation to disadvantaged populations in a cost-effective manner.</p> <p>As an example of the 49 Agreements, attached is the Agreement between the County and The Village South, Inc. These Agreements are all similar in format and contain standard language detailing the service provider's business hours, insurance requirements, and transportation service provided.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: There is a minimal fiscal impact for the County. The departmental staff time required to finalize these Agreements is limited to coordinating with the social service agencies in obtaining and reviewing the signed Agreements and collecting the required agency operating data.</p>
<p>10A1 150386</p> <p>10A2 150635</p> <p>10A3 150636</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE DEBT OBLIGATIONS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND REHABILITATION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS LAS PALMAS APARTMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE DEBT OBLIGATIONS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000,000.00 TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS LA JOYA ESTATES FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE DEBT OBLIGATIONS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,500,000.00 TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>10A4 150644</p> <p>10A5 150645</p> <p>10A6 150641</p>	<p>TO BE KNOWN AS ORCHID ESTATES FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,500,000.00 TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS ST. JOHN PLAZA FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE DEBT OBLIGATIONS BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$18,400,000.00 TO FINANCE OR REFINANCE ALL OR PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS BISCAYNE RIVER VILLAGE I FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED, ISSUANCE BY THE HOUSING FINANCE AUTHORITY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (FLORIDA) OF ITS SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE REVENUE BONDS AND NOTES IN ONE OR MORE SERIES IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$250,000,000.00 FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FUNDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF QUALIFYING MORTGAGE LOANS ORIGINATED BY PARTICIPATING LENDING INSTITUTIONS TO FINANCE THE PURCHASE OR REHABILITATION OF NEW OR EXISTING OWNER-OCCUPIED SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES SITUATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY PERSONS OR FAMILIES OF LOW, MODERATE OR MIDDLE INCOME, OR TO PURCHASE SECURITIES FROM A MASTER SERVICER EVIDENCING INTERESTS IN OR BACKED BY A POOL OF SUCH MORTGAGE LOANS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SECURITIES ISSUED OR GUARANTEED BY THE GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, FANNIE MAE AND FREDDIE MAC (THE "PROJECT"), PROVIDING SHORT-TERM INTERIM FINANCING FOR THE PROJECT IN ANTICIPATION OF THE ISSUANCE OF LONG-TERM BONDS THAT, BY REFUNDING SUCH INTERIM FINANCING WILL MAKE FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE FINANCING OF THE PROJECT AND THE PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BOND ISSUANCE COSTS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>10A1</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$15,000,000.00 for the rehabilitation of the Las Palmas Apartments (Project) for the purpose of financing all or a portion of the costs to finance or refinance the acquisition and rehabilitation of Las Palmas Apartments, located at 740 NW 25th Avenue, in the Little Havana area of unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida. Las Palmas Apartments is an approximately 196-unit rental housing project to be occupied by elderly persons or families of low, moderate or middle income to be owned by Las Palmas VOA Affordable Housing, L.P., a Florida limited partnership, or such successor in interest in which Volunteers of America National Services, a Minnesota non-profit corporation, is managing member or general partner and/or controlling stockholder.</p> <p><u>10A2</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$10,000,000.00 for the construction of the La Joya Estates Apartments (Project). La Joya Estates, Ltd., has applied to the HFA for multifamily mortgage revenue debt financing assistance to finance or refinance the acquisition and construction of La Joya Estates, located on the northeast corner of Southwest 143rd Avenue and Southwest 267th Street, in the Naranja area of unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida, an approximately 100-unit rental housing project to be occupied by persons or families of low, moderate or middle income to be owned by La Joya Estates, Ltd., a Florida limited partnership.</p> <p><u>10A3</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,500,000.00 for the construction of the Orchid Estates Apartments (Project). Orchid Estates, Ltd., has applied to the HFA for multifamily mortgage revenue bond financing assistance to finance or refinance the acquisition and construction of Orchid Estates, located on the southwest corner of Southwest 143rd Court and Southwest 264th Street, in the Naranja area of unincorporated Miami-Dade County, Florida, an approximately 74-unit rental housing project to be occupied by persons or families of low, moderate or middle income to be owned by Orchid Estates, Ltd., a Florida limited partnership, or such successors in interest in which Orchid Estates GP, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, or its wholly owned subsidiary, is a managing member or general partner and/or controlling stockholder.</p> <p><u>10A4</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$12,500,000.00 for the construction of St. John Plaza Apartments (Project). St. John Plaza Apartments, LLC, has applied to the HFA for multifamily mortgage revenue bond financing assistance to finance or refinance the construction of St. John Plaza, located at 1301 NW 3rd Avenue in Miami, Miami-Dade County, Florida, an approximately 90-unit rental housing project to be occupied by persons or families of low, moderate or middle income to be owned by St. John Plaza Apartments, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, or such successors in interest in which CDP Affordable Housing, LLC, a Florida limited liability company and/or St. John Community Development Corporation, Inc., a not-for-profit Florida corporation, or its wholly owned subsidiary, is managing member or general partner and/or controlling stockholder.</p> <p>The Board previously authorized the issuance by the HFA of \$12,500,000.00 in Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds for the Project on April 8, 2014 through Resolution R-345-14. However, pursuant to the federal tax code, TEFRA approvals expire in one (1) year if bonds are not issued within that year. The HFA will not have time to issue the bonds authorized under R-345-14 prior to the April 2015 expiration date; therefore, a new request for TEFRA approval is being sought.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>10A5</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Multifamily Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$18,400,000.00 for the construction of Biscayne River I Apartments (Project). Biscayne River Village I, LLC, has applied to the HFA for multifamily mortgage revenue bond financing assistance to finance or refinance the construction of Biscayne River Village I, located at 395 NW 1st Street, in Miami, Miami-Dade County, Florida, an approximately 82-unit rental housing project to be occupied by households with income below 120% of the area median income to be owned by Biscayne River Village I, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, or such successors in interest in which Workforce Housing Foundation, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, is the sole member.</p> <p><u>10A6</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County (HFA), to issue Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Bonds) in one (1) or more series in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$250,000,000.00 as part of the HFA's single family mortgage loan program, which is used to finance the purchase of single family residences to be occupied primarily by first time home buyers of low-, moderate- and middle-income within Miami-Dade County.</p>
11A1 150348	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, SUBJECT TO FUNDING AVAILABILITY, TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT, SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL, A VENDOR TO INSTALL ELECTRONIC ACCESS CONTROL SYSTEMS OR SIMILAR SECURITY SYSTEM AT ROBERT KING HIGH, HALEY SOFGE AND MARTIN FINE VILLAS PUBLIC HOUSING SITES; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR' DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT ADVISING THE BOARD WHETHER FUNDS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED FOR THIS PURPOSE, THE SOURCE OF SUCH FUNDS, WHETHER A SOLICITATION HAS BEEN ISSUED, AND THE TIMEFRAME FOR COMPLETION OF THE WORK
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee, subject to funding availability to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competitively select, subject to Board approval, a vendor to install access control systems or similar security systems at Robert King High, Haley Sofge and Martin Fine Villas public housing sites, including electronic access control systems or similar security systems that monitor the entrance of the buildings, the amenities, as well as the residents' units; and Submit a report within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution to the BCC that advises whether funds have been identified, the source of such funds, whether a solicitation has been issued, and the timeframe for completion of the work. <p>Robert King High, Haley Sofge and Martin Fine Villas public housing sites primarily house elderly and disabled tenants. Using an access control system would allow the County's housing department, Public Housing and Community Development, to carefully regulate which residents and nonresidents can access specific areas of a public housing site. There are limited federal funds available to install such electronic access control systems or similar security systems in every public housing development.</p>
11A2 150451	RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION TO LULAV SQUARE APARTMENTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP OF \$400,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER 249 – "PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND EXPANSION OF HOME OWNERSHIP" TO FUND REHABILITATION AND RENOVATION OF 140 UNITS OF ELDERLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING AT LULAV SQUARE APARTMENTS LOCATED AT 620, 628, 636, AND 644 LENOX AVENUE, MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, SUBJECT TO FUTURE BOARD APPROVAL OF ALL NECESSARY AGREEMENTS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the allocation to Lulav Square Apartments Limited Partnership of \$400,000.00 from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program- Project No. 249 in order to fund the rehabilitation and renovation of 140 units of elderly affordable housing at Lulav Square Apartments located at 620, 628, 636 and 644 Lenox Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, subject to future BCC approval of all necessary agreements.</p> <p>The proposed rehabilitation and renovation has a total cost of \$31,132,727.00. The historically-designated property known as the Lulav Square Apartments consists of 140 efficiency units of elderly affordable housing of which 28 units will be set aside for persons at 28% of adjusted median income (AMI) and the balance of those units will be set aside for persons at 60% of AMI pursuant to a Housing Assistance Payment contract with HUD.</p>
11A3 150456	RESOLUTION APPROVING A SECOND AMENDMENT TO LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AS LANDLORD, AND THE CHILDREN'S PSYCHIATRIC CENTER, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT CORPORATION, N/K/A INSTITUTE FOR CHILD AND FAMILY HEALTH, INC., AS TENANT, FOR VACANT LAND LOCATED AT 6100 N.W. 153 AVENUE, MIAMI LAKES IN ORDER TO EXTEND CONSTRUCTION DEADLINE AND PERMIT LEASEHOLD MORTGAGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAID SECOND AMENDMENT AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Second Amendment to the Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County and The Children's Psychiatric Center, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation, now known as (n/k/a) Institute for Child and Family Health, Inc. (ICFH), for the lease of 61,927 square feet of unimproved, County-owned, vacant land located at 6100 N.W. 153 Street, Miami Lakes, Florida in order to extend construction deadline and permit leasehold mortgage. The proposed amendment authorizes ICFH to obtain and record a leasehold mortgage and to complete construction within 12 years, instead of 10 years, of the commencement date of the Lease.</p>
11A4 150350	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY WITH THE UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE TO PROACTIVELY IDENTIFY ISSUES AND CONCERNS RELATED TO ENDANGERED SPECIES ON COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES
11A5 150351	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AND THE OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS TO MONITOR FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS RELATED TO THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SUCH AS PROPOSED AND FINAL RULEMAKING; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE AND THE OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS TO INFORM THE BOARD OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS RELATED TO THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT WHICH MAY IMPACT MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p><u>11A4 – 150350:</u> The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to work collaboratively with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>proactively identify issues and concerns related to endangered species as they relate to County-owned property.</p> <p><u>11A5 – 150351:</u> The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee and the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor federal agency actions related to the Endangered Species Act, including but not limited to notices of proposed and final rulemaking related to the Endangered Species Act, schedules for future listings of species or designations of critical habitat, and lists of candidate species and candidate notices of review; and • Determine which such agency actions could substantially impact Miami-Dade County or properties contained within Miami-Dade County. <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee and the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to inform the Board of County Commissioners of any federal agency actions related to the Endangered Species Act which could substantially impact Miami-Dade County or properties contained within Miami-Dade County, including but not limited to all notices of proposed and final rulemaking to designate critical habitat in Miami-Dade County or list new species in Miami-Dade County as endangered or threatened, as well as any notices of candidate species in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Within 60 days of learning of any such federal agency action, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee and/or the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs will provide a report to this Board and will place the completed report on the agenda of the Board of County Commissioners pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on March 10, 2015, the committee explained that File No. 150350 put the burden on the County to identify whether endangered species existed prior to future land development and that the County worked in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on this effort. Additionally, the committee pointed out that File No. 150351 ensured that the County obtained earlier information and made it available to the public when a need for protection of an endangered species existed. The committee inquired what would happen in the event the County disagreed with the USFWS. The Assistant County Attorney present responded that it would not give up any of the County’s rights.</p>
<p>11A6 150446</p>	<p>RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY TO REQUIRE DISCLOSURE OF MARKET VALUE OR MARKET RENTAL IN LEGISLATIVE ITEMS AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OR LEASE OF COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY TO PROMOTE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County policy, when conveying County-owned property, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The market value of the property which is the subject of any proposed conveyance be disclosed to the BCC; • If an appraisal has been conducted, then any limiting assumptions or conditions contained in the appraisal which affect the conclusion of market value will also be disclosed to the BCC; • If no appraisal has been conducted, then the market value set forth in the Property Appraiser’s website will be disclosed; • Directs the Mayor or designee to take all necessary actions to provide the market value of the subject property in connection with such required disclosure, on items sponsored by either a Commissioner or the administration; and • Disclosure of the market value will be made in the Mayor’s memorandum, or if none exists, in the body of the resolution. <p>The proposed resolution establishes County policy, when leasing County-owned property, providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The market rental of the property which is the subject of any proposed lease be disclosed to the BCC; • Directs the Mayor or designee to take all necessary actions to provide the market rental in connection with such required disclosure, on items sponsored by either a Commissioner or the administration; • In the event that circumstances exist preventing the determination of market rental with respect to a proposed County lease, such as lack of comparable rental properties or time sensitivity of the transaction, then such circumstances will be disclosed in the resolution, and the market value in the Property Appraiser’s website for the subject property will be disclosed; and • Disclosure of the market rental or market value will be made in the Mayor’s memorandum, or if none exists, in the body of the resolution. <p><u>Additional Information and Relevant Legislation</u> On April 2, 2013, the BCC, through R-253-13, directed the Mayor to prepare a report, within ninety (90) days, regarding conveyances of developable property worth in excess of \$15,000.00, which were made to specific entities in the past ten years, including long term leases (over twenty years) and conveyances of properties with or without a right of reverter, but excluding short term leases, easements, conveyances via competitive bidding, and conveyances to governmental entities. The report was to include, the street address of the property; the folio number; the amount the County received for compensation, or receives in the form of lease payments, if any; the status of the construction on the conveyed property; the status of the commitments made on the property regarding development, including whether the entity is currently in compliance with any and all requirements of the conveyance; and the length of time left on any long term lease.</p> <p>On March 4, 2014, the BCC, through R-239-14, directed the Mayor to prepare a report, within thirty (30) days, identifying all county owned or leased buildings and office space that is currently vacant or otherwise not being occupied or used, including portions of any buildings or office space.</p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC, through R-909-14, directed the Mayor or his designee, within sixty (60) days, to:</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes										
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update the report provided in March, 2014, identifying space in County-owned or leased properties that is either vacant or otherwise not being occupied or used; and Update and supplement its report provided in July, 2013, identifying conveyances of County-owned properties over the past ten years, including long-term leases (over twenty years) and conveyances of properties, to include conveyances to governmental entities, but excluding short term leases (less than twenty years), easements and conveyances via competitive bidding. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For each particular conveyance, the report will address the: street address of the property (or the street location if there is no formal address); folio number; amount the County received for compensation, or receives in the form of lease payments, if any; status of the construction on the conveyed property; status of the commitments made on the property regarding development, including whether the entity is currently in compliance with any and all requirements of the conveyance; and length of time left on any long-term lease. <p>Additionally, R-909-14 states that commencing on the effective date of this resolution and continuing until (30) thirty days after the presentation of the updated reports to the BCC, absent a showing of good cause for the necessity and urgency of the conveyance, no conveyances will be made of County-owned property, via sale or lease, for less than market value.</p> <p><i>Resolution No. R-909-14 will not apply to a) the proposed conveyance of property which already has been submitted for review by the BCC or any Committee; b) conveyances for the construction of County infrastructure; c) conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute §125.35 or d) proposed conveyances that are currently the subject of continuous and ongoing negotiations.</i></p> <p>In response to R-909-14, the Mayor sent a Memo dated February 17, 2015, updating reports regarding County conveyances over the past ten (10) years.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 877 1484 1898"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information- Prior Legislation Pertaining to Conveyances</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 905 456 1329"> <p>May 3, 2011</p> <p>R-376-11</p> </td><td data-bbox="456 905 1484 1329"> <p>This Resolution directed that any resolution authorizing the improvement, rehabilitation or conveyance of County-owned real property appropriate for or to be used for affordable housing will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background information explaining how, when and why the County acquired the property; An itemized accounting of the County's past and proposed future investment in the property, including acquisition, rehabilitation, and maintenance costs; Amount and an explanation of any mortgages, fines, liens or other costs paid by the County in acquiring the property; Location of the property, including the commission district; Assessed value of the property; Summary of the terms, duties and responsibilities to be imposed upon the recipient of the property pursuant to any agreements; Summary of remedies available to the County in the event that the proposed recipient does not fully comply with said agreements; and Summary of future controls and transfer restrictions on the property or, in lieu of a summary, a copy of any restrictive covenant, restrictive deed or other controls to be placed upon the property by the County at the time of transfer or sale. </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1329 456 1461"> <p>July 7, 2011</p> <p>R-573-11</p> </td><td data-bbox="456 1329 1484 1461"> <p>This Resolution required that any deed conveying an interest in real property to a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) contain a reverter, right of re-entry, or other provision requiring the return of the property to the County in the event that the property is not used for the purposes agreed upon by the Board at the time of conveyance. This Resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute Section 125.35 where the CRA is the highest bidder and pays fair market value for the property.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1461 456 1671"> <p>April 2, 2013</p> <p>R-256-13</p> </td><td data-bbox="456 1461 1484 1671"> <p>This Resolution established County policy with respect to conveyances to not for profit corporations under Florida Statute Section 125.38.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38 1) to lease, rather than convey property, unless other compelling circumstances justify the conveyance of same, and 2) to include lease terms requiring a rental payment in lieu of paying taxes in the event that tax exempt status is achieved by the not-for-profit corporation, unless a hardship or other substantial reason exists for foregoing such payment.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1671 456 1898"> <p>June 4, 2013</p> <p>R-461-13</p> </td><td data-bbox="456 1671 1484 1898"> <p>This Resolution established County policy to require inclusion of a reverter or lease termination provision in conveyance documents when conveying County-owned property by sale or lease.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations or to governmental entities for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38, 1) in the event of a lease, to include a lease termination provision if such public use is not maintained, and 2) in the event of conveyance, including but not limited to conveyance by deed or easement, to include a reverter provision to the County if the public use is not maintained, and 3) in the event of a conveyance of a road or portions thereof to a governmental entity, including a specific provision that such road cannot be closed without approval by this Board.</p> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information- Prior Legislation Pertaining to Conveyances		<p>May 3, 2011</p> <p>R-376-11</p>	<p>This Resolution directed that any resolution authorizing the improvement, rehabilitation or conveyance of County-owned real property appropriate for or to be used for affordable housing will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background information explaining how, when and why the County acquired the property; An itemized accounting of the County's past and proposed future investment in the property, including acquisition, rehabilitation, and maintenance costs; Amount and an explanation of any mortgages, fines, liens or other costs paid by the County in acquiring the property; Location of the property, including the commission district; Assessed value of the property; Summary of the terms, duties and responsibilities to be imposed upon the recipient of the property pursuant to any agreements; Summary of remedies available to the County in the event that the proposed recipient does not fully comply with said agreements; and Summary of future controls and transfer restrictions on the property or, in lieu of a summary, a copy of any restrictive covenant, restrictive deed or other controls to be placed upon the property by the County at the time of transfer or sale. 	<p>July 7, 2011</p> <p>R-573-11</p>	<p>This Resolution required that any deed conveying an interest in real property to a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) contain a reverter, right of re-entry, or other provision requiring the return of the property to the County in the event that the property is not used for the purposes agreed upon by the Board at the time of conveyance. This Resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute Section 125.35 where the CRA is the highest bidder and pays fair market value for the property.</p>	<p>April 2, 2013</p> <p>R-256-13</p>	<p>This Resolution established County policy with respect to conveyances to not for profit corporations under Florida Statute Section 125.38.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38 1) to lease, rather than convey property, unless other compelling circumstances justify the conveyance of same, and 2) to include lease terms requiring a rental payment in lieu of paying taxes in the event that tax exempt status is achieved by the not-for-profit corporation, unless a hardship or other substantial reason exists for foregoing such payment.</p>	<p>June 4, 2013</p> <p>R-461-13</p>	<p>This Resolution established County policy to require inclusion of a reverter or lease termination provision in conveyance documents when conveying County-owned property by sale or lease.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations or to governmental entities for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38, 1) in the event of a lease, to include a lease termination provision if such public use is not maintained, and 2) in the event of conveyance, including but not limited to conveyance by deed or easement, to include a reverter provision to the County if the public use is not maintained, and 3) in the event of a conveyance of a road or portions thereof to a governmental entity, including a specific provision that such road cannot be closed without approval by this Board.</p>
Additional Information- Prior Legislation Pertaining to Conveyances											
<p>May 3, 2011</p> <p>R-376-11</p>	<p>This Resolution directed that any resolution authorizing the improvement, rehabilitation or conveyance of County-owned real property appropriate for or to be used for affordable housing will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background information explaining how, when and why the County acquired the property; An itemized accounting of the County's past and proposed future investment in the property, including acquisition, rehabilitation, and maintenance costs; Amount and an explanation of any mortgages, fines, liens or other costs paid by the County in acquiring the property; Location of the property, including the commission district; Assessed value of the property; Summary of the terms, duties and responsibilities to be imposed upon the recipient of the property pursuant to any agreements; Summary of remedies available to the County in the event that the proposed recipient does not fully comply with said agreements; and Summary of future controls and transfer restrictions on the property or, in lieu of a summary, a copy of any restrictive covenant, restrictive deed or other controls to be placed upon the property by the County at the time of transfer or sale. 										
<p>July 7, 2011</p> <p>R-573-11</p>	<p>This Resolution required that any deed conveying an interest in real property to a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) contain a reverter, right of re-entry, or other provision requiring the return of the property to the County in the event that the property is not used for the purposes agreed upon by the Board at the time of conveyance. This Resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Florida Statute Section 125.35 where the CRA is the highest bidder and pays fair market value for the property.</p>										
<p>April 2, 2013</p> <p>R-256-13</p>	<p>This Resolution established County policy with respect to conveyances to not for profit corporations under Florida Statute Section 125.38.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38 1) to lease, rather than convey property, unless other compelling circumstances justify the conveyance of same, and 2) to include lease terms requiring a rental payment in lieu of paying taxes in the event that tax exempt status is achieved by the not-for-profit corporation, unless a hardship or other substantial reason exists for foregoing such payment.</p>										
<p>June 4, 2013</p> <p>R-461-13</p>	<p>This Resolution established County policy to require inclusion of a reverter or lease termination provision in conveyance documents when conveying County-owned property by sale or lease.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying property to not-for-profit corporations or to governmental entities for the public benefit under Florida Statute Section 125.38, 1) in the event of a lease, to include a lease termination provision if such public use is not maintained, and 2) in the event of conveyance, including but not limited to conveyance by deed or easement, to include a reverter provision to the County if the public use is not maintained, and 3) in the event of a conveyance of a road or portions thereof to a governmental entity, including a specific provision that such road cannot be closed without approval by this Board.</p>										

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Nov. 5, 2014</p> <p>R-1000-14</p> <p>This resolution set policy for Miami-Dade County requiring that the exercise of a reverter or lease termination provision when public use is not maintained will be at no additional cost to the County and required BCC approval prior to any assignment or transfer of the property. This resolution will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Section 125.35, Florida Statutes regarding conveyances to the highest bidder, or sale of non-developable remnants to adjacent owners, and additionally will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to Section 125.37, Florida Statutes relating to land swaps.</p> <p>More specifically, when conveying or leasing property to persons or entities for less than fair market value or rental for the public benefit, the instrument of conveyance will include a provision that the County will not be required to pay any compensation to the entity in connection with the exercise of the reverter or lease termination, and that such reversion will be at no additional cost or expense to the County if the intended use is not maintained.</p> <p>When conveying or leasing property to persons or entities for less than fair market value or rental, that a provision be included in the instrument of conveyance requiring Board approval in the event that the entity to which the property was conveyed seeks to transfer or assign its interest to another person or unaffiliated entity. Conveyances, transfers or assignments to affiliated entities will require the consent of the County Mayor or his designee. This prior approval will not apply to conveyances made pursuant to the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program or other affordable housing programs and purposes requiring lease or sale after the affordable housing is constructed.</p>
<p>11A7 150460</p>	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, SUBJECT TO RECAPTURE, APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$7,500,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 TO VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY TO FUND DOWNTOWN PALMETTO BAY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirement in the Administrative Rules that each Economic Development Project 124 allocation be a minimum of \$10,000,000.00 for the Village of Palmetto Bay with regard to the Downtown Palmetto Bay Project and, subject to the Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation, and approves an allocation of up to \$7.5 million from Project 124 to the Village of Palmetto Bay for the Downtown Palmetto Bay Project.</p> <p>The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 124 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to the Downtown Palmetto Bay Project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</p> <p>If and when any Recaptured Funds become available, the County Mayor is directed to negotiate, in accordance with Resolution No. R-123-15, the terms of an Interlocal Agreement with the Village of Palmetto Bay pursuant to the Administrative Rules. The County Mayor will prepare and present an Interlocal Agreement to the BCC for its consideration, provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such Interlocal Agreement within the time period contemplated in Resolution No. R-123-15 which period will commence on the date the Recaptured Funds become available, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to this Board instead and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The Village of Palmetto Bay submitted an application for Project 124 funding, for funding public infrastructure costs associated with the revitalization of its southwestern area, along the US1 corridor, into a thriving downtown in an effort to spur economic development in the immediate and adjacent areas. The Village of Palmetto Bay has conducted a series of community charrettes over a number of years, and has worked with Miami-Dade County to develop plans for the revitalization of the "Franjo Triangle Commercial Island" area in order to spur greater mixed use development adjacent to the Miami-Dade Busway and has rezoned property and made significant capital investments in its downtown business district through the construction of the Village Town Hall and major park improvements at Perrine Park.</p> <p>The Village of Palmetto Bay's application for Project 124 funds directly advances the economic development goals of the County and the Village of Palmetto Bay as envisioned by the community charrette plans and further promotes transit oriented development, expands economic development opportunities beyond the confines of the project, and invests in an area considered resilient to sea level rise impacts.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On the November 5, 2014, BCC meeting, Legislative File No. 141539, failed. That item proposed waiving the Administrative Rules and an allocation of \$5,000,000.00 from the EDF Project 124 for the Parkside at Palmetto Bay project.</p> <p><i>According to Legislative File No. 141539, the Shores at Palmetto Bay, LLC planned on developing a 109,000 SF mixed-use facility that included an education/employment center, rental housing, office space, and neighborhood retail and food and beverage establishments. Commercial development within the project would create 188 full-time jobs at full occupancy with an average annual salary of nearly \$40,000. Two major tenants had expressed interest in locating in the proposed development. Parkside at Palmetto Bay was considered the first major development in the commercial center of Village of Palmetto Bay and the cornerstone of the development of a downtown center. The Village considered the project an important catalyst for the development of immediately surrounding properties. The proposed development was located within the boundaries of the County's Enterprise Zone.</i></p> <p><u>Recent Economic Development Fund Project 124 Legislation</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by this Board.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 124 Allocations</u></p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC approved R-616-14, waiving administrative rules for BBC GOB, EDF, Project 124, approving the allocation of \$6,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Flagler Street Reconstruction and Economic Development to fund certain economic development projects.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC approved \$24,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-986-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Carrie Meek International Business Park project; • R-987-14- Allocating \$500,000.00 to the Aviation Corporate Hangar project; • R-988-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Orion Jet Center Development project; and • R-1015-14- Allocating up to \$13,500,000.00 to Miami Wilds, LLC for the Miami Wilds project. <p>On December 16, 2014, the BCC approved \$20,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-1121-14- Allocating \$9,000,000 to Skyrise Miami, LLC to fund the Skyrise Miami Project; • R-1122-14- Allocating \$6,000,000 to Overtown Gateway Partners, LLC to fund the Overtown Gateway Project; and • R-1116-14- Allocating \$5,000,000 to Larkin Health Science Education Campus. <p>On January 21, 2015, the BCC approved R-37-15, approving the allocation from the EDF Project 124 in the amount of \$10,000,000 to Miami Ocean Studios, LLC to fund the Miami Ocean Studio Economic Development Project.</p> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC approved \$15,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund to the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-230-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to River Landing Development, LLC for the River Landing Development Project; and • R-233-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to Rosal Westview, LLC for the Rosal Westview Business Park Project. <p>The following list provides other projects that have been considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141535- Failed in EDPMC- \$5,000,000- AVE Aviation Commerce Center project; • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141866- No Action Taken at EDPMC- \$18,500,000- Beach Re-nourishment Reserve Fund project; • November 5, 2014- Legislative File No. 141539- Failed in BCC- \$5,000,000- Parkside at Palmetto Bay project. • December 2, 2014- Legislative File No. 142271- BCC- Deferred to no date certain- \$7,500,000- Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. to fund Project Mercy.
11A8 150744	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ANALYZE THE POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF ALLOWING SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AND HANDICAP ACCESSIBLE TAXICAB VEHICLES TO OPERATE ON THE SOUTH MIAMI-DADE BUSWAY WHILE ENGAGED IN PARATRANSIT SERVICE AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE MIAMI-DADE COMMISSION ON DISABILITY ISSUES AND THIS BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 150192, 150467]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to analyze the potential benefits of allowing Special Transportation Services (STS) and handicap accessible taxicab vehicles to operate on the South Miami-Dade Busway while engaged in paratransit service on behalf of Miami-Dade Transit.</p> <p><i>The analysis should identify the number of STS daily trips on average that could potentially benefit from having access to the South Miami-Dade Busway while engaged in paratransit service on behalf of Miami-Dade Transit and include information about the potential benefits and challenges to the operation of the busway as an integrated transit/paratransit facility.</i></p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to prepare and submit a report to the Commission on Disability Issues for their input within 60 days, and to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report to the Board on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, File No. 150467 was amended and substituted with File No. 150744 to include handicap accessible taxicabs in the study for potential use of the South Miami-Dade Busway.</p>
11A9 150450	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ANALYZE THE FEASIBILITY AND ADVISABILITY OF ENTERING INTO AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE TO CREATE A PARTNERSHIP THAT WOULD BENEFIT THE COUNTY'S SPAY AND NEUTER PROGRAM AND PROVIDE A REPORT</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to analyze the feasibility and advisability of entering into an Interlocal Agreement with the University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, for the purpose of benefiting the County's spay and neuter program. The Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide a report on the feasibility and advisability of entering into such an agreement with the University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information - Miami-Dade County Animal Services Spay and Neuter Program:</u> Miami-Dade Animal Services offers spay and neuter surgeries for Miami-Dade County residents at a reduced price thanks to donations to the Animal Services Trust Fund. The cost of spay and neuter services are \$30 for dogs and \$15 for cats.</p> <p>In partnership with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, the Miami-Dade County Community Spay/Neuter Clinic increases the availability of free or low-cost pet sterilization surgeries in our community. Through the Spay/Neuter Surgery "Voucher" Program, participating licensed Miami-Dade County veterinarians and veterinary clinics are subsidized for the cost of performing sterilization surgeries for the cats and dogs of income-qualified County residents. Pet owners who meet income eligibility can also have their pet spayed or neutered for free at Animal Services.</p> <p>Additionally, the Trap-Neuter-Return (TNR) program is an effective and humane method used to stabilize community cat populations. Over time the stabilized population declines resulting in the humane reduction in free roaming cat populations. The TNR program is available free of charge to all Miami-Dade County residents.</p> <p><i>The TNR service includes: sterilization; rabies vaccine; FRCP (feline booster shot); and delivery back to the community.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information on Spay and Neuter Legislation:</u> On July 3, 2012, the BCC, through R-583-12, directed the Mayor to develop a program with the goal of the County's Animal Services Department (ASD) becoming a "No Kill" shelter. At the forefront of the No Kill strategy is the critical, unmet need for free and low cost sterilization services for privately owned dogs and cats, as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD's rescued animals.</p> <p>On June 4, 2013, the BCC adopted the No Kill Implementation report developed by ASD at the direction of the Mayor. The FY 2013-14 budget included an additional \$4 million for ASD to continue its development of No Kill initiatives. During the policy discussion for use of the funding, staff was directed to work with the private veterinary community in implementing one of the most critical components of the No Kill plan by increasing access to spay and neuter services in our community.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through R-441-14, directed the Mayor to implement, within existing funding, a program for qualified, low-income County residents to obtain a voucher for spay/neuter services from the local veterinary community for their pet dogs or cats.</p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC, through R-623-14, authorized award of a grant to the South Florida Veterinary Foundation (SFVF) for the provision of low-cost spay and neuter services to income-qualified dog and cat owners. The SFVF will work with all veterinary clinics and hospitals in Miami-Dade County through a voucher system that will provide a reimbursement to veterinarians performing sterilization surgeries. The Resolution, directed the Mayor to enter into an agreement with the SFVF to provide up to \$200,000 in support of this program to meet the unmet demand for low cost spay/neuter surgery by utilizing a network of qualified local veterinarians. Funding for this grant will be provided by the ASD. The initial grant award is recommended at \$100,000. Authority is also requested for an additional grant of \$100,000 to be approved by the Mayor upon completion of the initial grant award.</p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the BCC, through R-1045-14, waived competitive bidding procedures for purchase of goods and services pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and approved the award of Contract No. BW9805-0/15 Operations of the County's South Dade Animal Services Clinic (Clinic) with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, Dade County Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Adopt-A-Pet and Pet Rescue, Inc. (Humane Society), a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the County-owned property located at the South Dade Government Center. In accordance with R-583-12, this Contract will increase ASD's surgical capacity and allow for strategic use of the Clinic to offer free high-volume spay/neuter services exclusively for income-qualified owners of privately-owned dogs and cats as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD rescued animals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Humane Society has been providing services at the South Dade Government Center for over six years. The Humane Society had a lease that covered the use of the land and trailer at this location. In addition to the surgical services to be provided, this Operations and Management agreement will continue to allow the Humane Society to utilize the land and trailer on the site under similar requirements as the now expired lease. The Humane Society will continue to offer spay and neuter services for paying customers at the Clinic. While this Contract was submitted as a bid waiver, a Request for Information (RFI) process was conducted to acquire information and feedback from animal care organizations.</i> • <i>The fiscal impact to ASD for the one-year agreement term for the spay-neuter services is \$600,000; however, the Humane Society will pay an annual operations and management fee to Miami-Dade County for facility maintenance of \$24,516. The funding from this agreement will only support the income-qualified spay and neuter services. The County funding will provide for approximately 7,400 surgeries, of which cats will be 45% and dogs 55%, exclusively to income-qualified pet owners. The Humane Society will continue to perform approximately 5,600 surgeries for an overall goal of 13,000 surgeries. The remaining balance of funds will be utilized for additional surgeries.</i>
11A10 150115	<p>RESOLUTION EXPRESSING INTENT OF THE BOARD TO (1) SUPPORT MARRIAGE EQUALITY CONSISTENT WITH CERTAIN JUDICIAL RULINGS HOLDING THAT SAME SEX COUPLES CANNOT BE CONSTITUTIONALLY DENIED THE RIGHT TO MARRY, (2) SUPPORT RECOGNITION BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES ENTERED INTO IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS, AND (3) ENCOURAGE FLORIDA'S ATTORNEY GENERAL TO WITHDRAW OR EXPEDITE ALL PRESENT AND FUTURE APPEALS OF THESE RULINGS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, TO SEEK LEAVE TO APPEAR AS AN AMICUS CURIAE IN AMICI CURIAE BRIEFS JOINTLY FILED BY CERTAIN COUNTIES, CITIES AND A VILLAGE IN STATE OF FLORIDA V. PARETO, ET AL. AND RUVIN, STATE OF FLORIDA V. HUNTSMAN, ET AL. AND HEAVILIN, BRENNER, ET AL. V. ARMSTRONG, ET AL., GRIMSLEY, ET AL. V. ARMSTRONG, ET AL., SHAW V. SHAW, AND DOUSSET V. FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY IN SUPPORT OF SAME SEX MARRIAGE</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution expresses intent of the BCC to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support marriage equality consistent with certain judicial rulings holding that same sex couples cannot be constitutionally denied the right to marry; • Support recognition by the State of Florida of same sex marriages entered into in other jurisdictions; • Encourage Florida's Attorney General to withdraw or expedite all present and future appeals of these rulings; and • Directs the County Attorney's Office to seek leave to appear as amicus curiae, on behalf of the County, in the amici curiae briefs, jointly filed by Alachua County, Broward County, Orange County, Palm Beach County, City of Tampa, City of Orlando, City of St. Petersburg, City of Gainesville, City of West Palm Beach, City of Miami Beach, City of Coconut Creek, City of Hallandale Beach, City of Key West, City of Wilton Manors, City of South Miami and Village of Biscayne Park in support of same sex marriage in State of Florida v. Pareto, et. al. and Ruvin and State of Florida v. Huntsman, et. al. and Heavilin; Mariama Monique Changamire Shaw v. Keiba Lynn Shaw; Gildas Dousset v. Florida Atlantic University; and Brenner, et al. v. Armstrong, et al., and Grimsley, et al. v. Armstrong, et al. 								
11A11 150452	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT REGARDING THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT'S PUBLIC HOUSING LEASE ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to prepare and submit a report regarding the Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department's public housing lease enforcement efforts. The County Mayor or designee will provide the report to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>The report will include, but is not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The steps the Department presently takes to enforce the public housing lease; • The total number of evictions and the basis of such evictions from January 1, 2014 to February 28, 2015; • Recommendations concerning the steps that can be taken by the County to improve the current enforcement efforts of the Department; and • Recommendations concerning any legislative actions that may be taken by the BCC to assist the Department to enforce the public housing lease. 								
11A12 150454	RESOLUTION AMENDING BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESOLUTION NO. R-625-14 TO DIRECT THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PRESENT FOR THIS BOARD'S REVIEW AND APPROVAL, PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION, A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR THE AWARD OF GRANTS TO COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS IN COUNTY FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCLUDE IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS ANTI-VIOLENCE INITIATIVES AS A SERVICE PRIORITY AREA CATEGORY AND THE COUNTY MAYOR'S OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE PROCESS								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution amends Resolution No. R-625-14 to add a new section directing the County Mayor or designee to present to the BCC for review and approval, prior to implementation, a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the selection of community-based organizations to receive County funding in County fiscal year 2015-2016.</p> <p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include in the RFP "Anti-Violence Initiatives" as a new service priority area, which will receive at least four percent of any County funding ultimately awarded through the RFP; • Include in the RFP the County Mayor's or designee's recommendations regarding other service priority areas and the competitive process, which may include, but are not limited to, those recommendations contained in the Report. If any of the County Mayor's or designee's recommendations require action by the BCC in addition to approval of the RFP itself, then the County Mayor or designee will describe such additional steps as may be required in an accompanying memorandum when the RFP is presented to the BCC for review and approval; and • Place the RFP as an action item on an agenda of the Board within 90 days of the adoption of this item. <p><i>The CBO Competitive Solicitation Process Proposed Timeline states that the RFP will come to the BCC for approval in June 2015.</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information- Relevant Legislation Pertaining to CBO Funding Process</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08</td><td>During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed. <i>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>April 8, 2008 R-420-08</td><td>The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB). The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups. The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc. <i>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>Dec. 19, 2008</td><td>During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC. On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information- Relevant Legislation Pertaining to CBO Funding Process		2nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed. <i>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</i>	April 8, 2008 R-420-08	The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB). The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups. The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc. <i>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</i>	Dec. 19, 2008	During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC. On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In
Additional Information- Relevant Legislation Pertaining to CBO Funding Process									
2nd Budget Hearing- FY 2007-08	During the second budget hearing for the FY 2007-08 Resource Allocation Plan, the need for the development of a new competitive solicitation process to allocate funding for Community Based Organizations (CBOs) was discussed. <i>Staff was directed to work on a process, and submit it to the BCC for consideration.</i>								
April 8, 2008 R-420-08	The BCC approved the model process for the solicitation and allocation of funding for CBOs and established the Community-Based Organization Advisory Board (CBOAB). The CBOAB was comprised of twenty-one (21) members representing community, business, civic, education, non-profit, social service, service recipient, and religious groups. The following organizations comprise the CBOAB: United Way of Miami-Dade, The Children's Trust, Dade Community Foundation, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Greater Miami Religious Leaders Coalition, and the Alliance for Aging, Inc. <i>The CBOAB is primarily charged with the responsibility of recommending to the Board policies, goals, objectives, and strategic investments related to CBO Funding.</i>								
Dec. 19, 2008	During the months of October, November, and December 2008, the CBOAB met several times to hear presentations from local experts and representatives of other funding sources, review community needs assessment data, obtain input from non-profit service providers and CBOs, and discuss and formulate recommendations for the BCC. On December 19, 2008, the CBOAB finalized its recommendations to the BCC. In								

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>developing its recommendations the CBOAB took into consideration community needs, an inventory of local funding of human, social, and criminal justice-related services from County and non-County sources, and current and past levels of funding from the County.</p> <p><i>Decisions were made utilizing a consensus-based process, and all final recommendations were adopted by a formal vote of the CBOAB members.</i></p>
<p>May 5, 2009 R-541-09</p>	<p>The BCC, through R-541-09, approved the CBO funding and RFP process, service priority areas, and percentage allocations for funding commencing FY 2010-11 (originally for funding commencing FY 2009-10). This resolution was based on the funding, contracting, and outcome measurement recommendations adopted by the CBOAB on December 19, 2008 and as amended on March 24, 2009, for funding commencing FY 2009-10.</p> <p><i>However, due to the County's Fiscal Year 2009-10 budget process, the RFP was postponed and continuation contracts (with decreased allocations) were awarded instead.</i></p>
<p>Sept. 1, 2009 R-1079-09</p>	<p>The BCC, through R-1079-09, amending R-541-09, eliminated the previously-established cap on the maximum amount of funding that any one CBO may request in response to the 2009-2010 Human and Social Services CBOs Funding and RFP; and reinforces that despite the elimination of this cap, no single CBO will be awarded more than \$1 million through the RFP process.</p> <p><i>In other words, this resolution removed the \$1 million restriction on the amount requested, allowing an organization to request more than \$1 million; however, no organization would receive more than \$1 million.</i></p>
<p>April 6, 2010 R-380-10</p>	<p>The BCC authorized the advertisement and solicitation of RFP No. 0411, the RFP process for the funding of CBOs, with the majority of the CBOAB recommendations in place. Pursuant to R-380-10, the Board approved service priority areas including Basic Needs; Children and Adults with Disabilities; Children, Youth and Families; Criminal Justice; Elder Needs; Health; Immigrants/New Entrants; Special Needs; and Workforce Development.</p> <p><i>In addition to approving the CBO funding process, R-380-10, also provided that in scoring the proposals submitted in response to the Human and Social Services CBO Funding RFP, extra points would be awarded to agencies offering services within the Magic City Zone. Further, an award of up to five extra points would be given to proposals with a clear and feasible plan to provide services to residents from: Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSA); Targeted Urban Areas (TUA); Enterprise Zone; Magic City Zone; or other statutorily-defined human and social services high risk/high need areas.</i></p>
<p>Subsequently, the CBOAB requested a three month and then a one year extension of the RFP process, continuing funding at the same level for those CBOs that previously received funding. The one year extension was until April 2012.</p>	
<p>March 3, 2011 File No. 110349</p>	<p>Miami-Dade County's Legistar shows that the Office of Strategic Business Management placed a resolution under File No. 110349 on the March 3, 2011, BCC Agenda, awarding contracts under RFP No. 0411 for Human and Social Services CBO funding in an annualized amount not to exceed \$19,436,900; and authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to negotiate, execute, amend, extend and renew said contract. <i>This item was not assigned to a committee and did not come before the BCC. File No. 110349 states that ten Evaluation/Selection Committees comprised of 29 five member teams (145 committee members, eight non-voting chairpersons) were appointed to review 575 individual service proposals received from 308 community-based organizations in response to the RFP. However, as stated above, the drafted item never came before the BCC.</i></p>
<p>FY 2011-12 FY 2012-13</p>	<p>The CBO funding adopted for FY 2011-12 was \$20,166,932 and for FY 2012-13 was \$20,166,932, which continued funding at the same levels.</p>
<p>Sept. 4, 2013 R-700-13</p>	<p>This Resolution imposed a twenty-five percent (25%) cap (Cap) on the amount that any single CBO may receive from the County's General Fund for its administrative budget, including but not limited to salaries, benefits and fringes of the CBO's management personnel (i.e. executive directors, agency heads); overhead costs; and clerical or other administrative personnel who do not directly provide the services required pursuant to contract with the County.</p>
<p>Dec. 3, 2013 R-1019-13</p>	<p>This Resolution amended R-700-13 to delay enforcement and implementation of the Cap until the beginning of the next County fiscal year. The Cap will be imposed on Community-Based Organizations with contracts beginning on or after October 1, 2014. Any waiver of the Cap following October 1, 2014 pursuant to Resolution No. R-700-13 will require further action by the Board. The Board directed the County Mayor or his designee to advise affected Community-Based Organizations that enforcement and implementation of the Cap has been delayed, and that the Cap will not be effective until October 1, 2014.</p>
<p>July 1, 2014 R-625-14</p>	<p>This Resolution established as the BCC policy that social service grants for Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) remain funded in Fiscal Year (FY) 2014-2015 at a level to be determined through the County's FY 2014-2015 budget process and directed the County Mayor or designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporate funding for CBOs into the proposed County FY 2014-2015 budget; • Commence negotiations with currently funded CBOs to renew agreements for FY 2014-2015, subject to subsequent BCC approval of all such grants and appropriation in sufficient amounts to fund such agreements in the County's fiscal year 2014-2015 budget; • Establish a mechanism for receiving and reviewing outside community input regarding the CBOs funding priorities approved pursuant to Resolution No. 380-10, such as by holding workshops for public input; • Develop an internal competitive process (Proposed Process) for the selection of CBOs to receive funding in County FY 2015-2016 administered through the County's Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Grants Coordination division, and to present such process, prior to implementation, for review

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p>and approval by the BCC within 90 days; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include in the Proposed Process an implementation timeline, procedures governing the competitive process, service priority areas with percentage allocations, and a three-year funding cycle which includes a recommended approach for handling reductions or increases in available funding, subject to appropriation and funding by the County through the County's annual budget process.
	<p>Feb. 3, 2015</p> <p>R-142-15</p>	<p>This Resolution directed the County Mayor or designee to create a Report Card for all CBOs receiving or applying for County social service funds. The Report Card will track each CBO's status and progress, including but not limited to significant successes, noteworthy delivery of services, contract status, contract accomplishments or failures, significant complaints received from constituents or beneficiaries, and other aspects of each CBO's progress or status which is potentially relevant to the Board's decision-making process regarding contracting with or funding each particular CBO.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee will include on the Report Card information from the Due Diligence Affidavit completed by each CBO pursuant to Resolution No. R-630-13. The process developed by the County Mayor or designee to create the Report Card will include a step where each CBO is provided with a draft of the Report Card and an opportunity to respond, which response will be included in the final Report Card presented to the Board. The County Mayor or designee will present the final Report Card to the Board as a report within six (6) months of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. Thereafter, the County Mayor or designee will prepare an updated Report Card quarterly. In addition, the County Mayor or designee will include the most recent Report Card as an attachment to or as part of any agenda item where the Board will consider taking action related to a particular CBO, including but not limited to funding or contracting decisions.</p> <p>This Resolution was amended to allow for six (6) months instead of 90 days for the report and to add the following language: <i>The County Mayor or designee will provide a proposed draft CBO Report Card for the BCCs consideration within four to five months of the effective date of this resolution.</i></p>
	<p>March 3, 2015</p>	<p>The County Mayor issued a report in response to R-625-14 regarding a new competitive process for grants to CBOs with recommendations to create a new competitive process for the awarding of grants to CBOs in FY 2015-16, including a mechanism for receiving and reviewing community input related to such a process and incorporating a performance review and reporting process pursuant to R-142-15, which was approved by the BCC on February 3, 2015.</p>
<p>11A13 150455</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE REPORTS (1) OUTLINING THE PROGRESS OF THE NORTH-END STREET VIOLENCE TASK FORCE, (2) IDENTIFYING AREAS WITHIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE CREATION OF A TASK FORCE SIMILAR TO THE NORTH-END STREET VIOLENCE TASK FORCE AND MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR SUCH A TASK FORCE, AND (3) IDENTIFYING EXISTING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY RESOURCES, AS WELL AS A PLAN FOR THE INITIATION OF PARTNERSHIPS WITH OTHER LOCAL, FEDERAL AND STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY AND FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, THAT CAN ASSIST IN ENCOURAGING THE COMMUNITY IN HIGH CRIME AREAS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SOCIAL SERVICES, CRIME PREVENTION AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT</p>	
<p>11A14 150458</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A MULTI-AGENCY UNIFORMED POLICE PATROL PROGRAM CONSISTING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICERS TO JOINTLY PATROL CERTAIN HIGH CRIME AREAS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, IRRESPECTIVE OF JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES, IDENTIFY AREAS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM SUCH PROGRAM, IDENTIFY AVAILABLE FUNDING SOURCES WITHIN THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 COUNTY BUDGET TO IMPLEMENT THE COUNTY PORTION OF SUCH PROGRAM, IDENTIFY MUNICIPALITIES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM AND NEGOTIATE ANY NECESSARY AGREEMENTS WITH SUCH MUNICIPALITIES, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, AND PROVIDE A REPORT ON THE PROPOSED PROGRAM</p>	
<p>11A16 150772</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AREAS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WITH HIGH JUVENILE CRIME AND HIGH-CRIME AREAS WITH A SIGNIFICANT JUVENILE PRESENCE AND TO PRIORITIZE AND DEDICATE ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TOWARDS ENFORCEMENT OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY JUVENILE CURFEW ORDINANCE IN THOSE AREAS, TO INITIATE AN OUTREACH CAMPAIGN TO INFORM THE AFFECTED COMMUNITIES AND COORDINATE WITH RESPECTIVE MUNICIPAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, AND TO SUBMIT STATUS REPORTS ON THE ENFORCEMENT EFFORTS, OUTREACH CAMPAIGN ANY RELEVANT FUNDING NEEDS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER NO. 150445]</p>	
<p>Notes</p>	<p>11A13 – 150455: The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare an initial report and subsequent status reports outlining the progress of the North-End Street Violence Task Force, particularly addressing areas of success and ways in which the North-End Street Violence Task Force's mission and operation can be improved; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The initial report is to be provided to the Board within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and the completed report is to be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 providing status reports to the Board every two months thereafter for one year so that the Board can evaluate the effectiveness of the North-End Street Violence Task Force.</i> • Identify areas within Miami-Dade County that would benefit from the creation of a task force similar to the North-End Street 	

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Violence Task Force and to study the feasibility and make recommendations regarding the implementation plan for such a task force; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>A report containing the issues identified are to be provided to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution, and the completed report is to be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</i> • Prepare a report identifying existing Miami-Dade County resources, as well as a plan for the initiation of partnerships with other local, federal and state law enforcement agencies, the Miami-Dade State Attorney's Office, the United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of Florida, and community and faith-based organizations, that can assist in encouraging the community, particularly the youth, in high crime areas to participate and engage in the social services, crime prevention, and community outreach programs provided by Miami-Dade County through the Miami-Dade Police Department. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>This report is to be provided to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution, and to place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</i> <p><u>11A14 – 150458:</u></p> <p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a multi-agency uniformed police patrol program consisting of Miami-Dade County and municipal police officers to jointly patrol certain high crime areas in Miami-Dade County, irrespective of jurisdictional boundaries; • Identify areas within Miami-Dade County irrespective of political jurisdictions that would benefit from the Program; • Identify the funding from the 2014-2015 County Budget to fund the County's portion of implementing the program; and • Negotiate agreements with the identified municipalities to implement the Program, subject to approval by the Board. <p>The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee is further directed to provide a report to the Board outlining the Program within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution. The report will contain the information and agreements as directed above and will be placed on a BCC agenda pursuant to County Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>11A16 – 150772:</u></p> <p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to identify areas in Miami-Dade County with high juvenile crime and high-crime areas with a significant juvenile presence within 15 days of the effective date of this resolution, and, beginning 75 days after the effective date of this resolution, the County Mayor or Mayor's designee is directed to prioritize and dedicate additional resources towards enforcement of the Miami-Dade County Juvenile Curfew Ordinance in those areas using legally available funding sources appropriated in the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 County Budget.</p> <p>The Mayor or Mayor's designee is further directed to initiate an outreach campaign to inform the affected communities about the planned enforcement efforts and to begin coordinating with respective municipal law enforcement agencies within 15 days of the effective date of this resolution using legally available funding sources appropriated in the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 County Budget.</p> <p>Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor's designee is further directed to submit a status report to this Board and to place the completed report on the agenda of the Board's September 1, 2015 meeting pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The status report will, at a minimum, include details regarding: (1) the high crime areas that were identified; (2) the crime rate in those identified areas both before and after the prioritization and dedication of additional resources towards enforcement of the Miami-Dade County Juvenile Curfew Ordinance; (3) the efforts undertaken as part of the outreach campaign to inform the affected communities; (4) the status of any coordination with municipal law enforcement agencies; (5) any recommendations to improve enforcement efforts; (6) whether additional funds are needed to implement existing efforts; and (7) whether additional funds are needed from the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 County Budget to either continue to implement existing efforts or to implement any of the proposed recommendations to improve enforcement efforts. ○ In addition, the Mayor or Mayor's designee is directed to submit updated status reports, and to place the updated reports on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, every 90 days thereafter for one year after the effective date of this resolution so that the Board can evaluate whether there is a continuing need for such enforcement efforts. <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The committee noted that the File No. 150455, 150458 and 150772 provided for the expansion of existing programs and resources and required the County to measure the programs' effectiveness to ensure that the resources were focused on initiatives with the greatest impact. The committee stated that as part of the Operation Restoration Collaborative, the County had been at the forefront of efforts to develop solution-based initiatives to address crime, especially in the urban core. It was noted the group that had been meeting to develop these initiatives included clergy, elected officials, community leaders, and community-based organizations; and all stakeholders understood that a comprehensive approach was necessary;</i> • <i>The committee inquired how this initiative would be funded. Director of the Miami-Dade County Police Department (MDPD) reiterated that it was not the Police Department's intent to move resources from one area to another. He stated that the department had entered into this type of collaborative partnership for some time; and this was already included in this year's budget;</i> • <i>The committee expressed concerned about the unintended consequences of the item which could inadvertently lead to African-Americans and Latinos being targeted through racial profiling. The sponsor expressed similar concerns and this was the reason it was requested that the County Commission be provided frequent reports on the proposed resolutions in order to re-evaluate their implementation periodically;</i>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MDPD Director stated that the foregoing proposed resolutions would not affect this Juvenile ordinance and its standards and assured the committee that the foregoing proposed resolutions would not be used as profiling tools; and • During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, File No. 150772 was amended to specify that the status reports will also address any relevant funding needs. It also altered the timelines provided for certain actions in the resolution as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Mayor or Mayor's designee is now directed to identify the areas of high juveniles crime and high-crime areas with a significant juvenile presence agencies within 15 days of the effective date of this resolution instead of immediately; ○ The outreach campaign to inform the affected communities about the planned enforcement efforts and the coordination with respective municipal law enforcement agencies will now begin within 15 days of the effective date of this resolution instead of 30 days; ○ The prioritization and dedication of additional resources towards enforcement of the Miami-Dade County Juvenile Curfew Ordinance in the identifiable areas will now begin 75 days after the effective date of this resolution instead of immediately; and • The first status report will be completed and placed on the agenda of the Board's September 1, 2015 meeting instead of within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution. <p>Additional Information: On October 21, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-932-14 authorizing the County Mayor or his designee to execute Mutual Aid Agreements between Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), and its law enforcement partner agencies, specifically, a Mutual Aid Agreement with the City of Miami for the North-End Street Violence Task Force.</p> <p><i>This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) concentrated investigative efforts across jurisdictional boundaries targeting individuals who perpetrate violent crimes, especially offenders responsible for firearm-related crimes. The MDPD is the lead agency. Law enforcement partner agencies may be at the federal, state, regional, and local level.</i></p> <p>Miami, Miami-Dade Police Joining Forces to Target Shootings - Miami Herald, October 19, 2014 http://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/crime/article3072954.html</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North End Street Violence Task Force would allow for shared real-time intelligence and joint investigations focused on the same subjects • The move to join forces and bolster crime prevention in the northern part of the county is centered around two of South Florida's most infamous housing projects, Miami's Liberty Square and Lincoln Fields, which is in unincorporated Miami-Dade. Working together would also help in prosecuting offenders as the agencies would be able to share in forensics and witness questioning. • Miami police have been dealing with a spike of shootings in the city's north end the past two years that have left several people dead, and a community on edge. In one instance, a pastor was gunned down as robbers tried to steal a fake chain from his neck. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In the first seven months of this year, 43 people living in and around Liberty Square, which runs from Northwest 62nd to 67th streets, 12th to 15th avenues, were shot. Seven were killed. ○ Just to the west of Liberty Square, in Lincoln Fields in unincorporated Miami-Dade, seven people have been shot so far this year. One person was killed. County records show the violence has spread well outside the housing project. • So far this year, there have been 28 murders in the county's Northside District, which runs roughly from Northwest 58th to 119th Street, and Interstate 95 west to Northwest 37th Avenue. That's down slightly from the 30 who were killed to this point in 2013. • Last year's shootings caught the attention of Miami-Dade police, who in November formed the North End Street Violence Task Force. Essentially, investigators from gang, narcotics and economic crime units have joined street patrols to focus on the crime in that end of the county. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ So far this year, killings are down slightly and the clearance rate for homicides — which county police consider an arrest — has jumped from 17 percent last year to 45 percent so far this year. • Miami-Dade Police Major said his unit initially identified 20 violent offenders within the district and has, so far, arrested 17 of them on charges including narcotics trafficking, murder and attempted murder. He said joining forces with Miami will make it easier to track offenders who are retaliating against each other across borders that are only adhered to by police.
11A17 150353	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INCORPORATE INTO ALL COUNTY SOLICITATIONS INFORMATION REGARDING THE VETERANS' PREFERENCE CREATED PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.5.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, TO PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING SUCH VETERANS' PREFERENCE AT WORKSHOPS OR INFORMATION SESSIONS FOR NEW OR EXISTING COUNTY VENDORS AND AT PRE-BID AND PRE-SOLICITATION CONFERENCES, AND TO CROSS-REFERENCE ATTENDANCE LISTS FOR PRE-BID OR PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCES WITH THE LIST OF CERTIFIED VETERAN BUSINESS ENTERPRISES MAINTAINED BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES AND INFORM QUALIFYING PARTICIPANTS OF THE VETERANS' PREFERENCE
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to incorporate into all County-issued solicitations for the purchase of goods and services (including professional, construction, and architectural/engineering services) information regarding the veterans' preference created under section 2-8.5.1 of the Code, as it may be amended from time to time, including detailed instructions as to how the potential participant in the solicitation may avail itself of the veterans' preference.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee will provide information about section 2-8.5.1 of the Code and encourage firms to seek certification through the Florida Department of Management Services at any workshops or information sessions for new or existing County vendors concerning the County's procurement processes and at pre-bid and pre-solicitation conferences.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee will cross-reference attendance lists from County pre-bid or pre-proposal conferences with the list of certified</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	veteran business enterprises maintained by the Florida Department of Management Services and inform any qualifying participants of the veterans' preference created under section 2-8.5.1 of the Code. Failure to comply with this resolution will not be grounds to invalidate a procurement or to file a protest.
11A18 150437	RESOLUTION SETTING POLICY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; LIMITING THE AMOUNT THAT ANY AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT MAY RECEIVE IN DOCUMENTARY SURTAX FUNDS FOR GAP FUNDING TO A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST FOR THAT DEVELOPMENT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution sets as its policy a limitation on the total amount of Documentary Surtax funding awarded to any affordable housing development for gap funding to a percentage of the Total Development Cost for that development as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For all projects receiving 9 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credits, 15 percent of the Total Development Cost; For all projects receiving 4 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credits, 25 percent of the Total Development Cost on New Construction High-Rise Projects (as defined by Florida Housing Finance Corporation), 25 percent of the Total Development Cost on New Construction Mid-Rise Projects (as defined by Florida Housing Finance Corporation), 20 percent of the Total Development Cost on Garden Projects (as defined by Florida Housing Finance Corporation) and 15 percent on Rehabilitation Projects (as defined by Florida Housing Finance Corporation). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Total Development Cost will mean the total cost of completing the entire project, from acquisition to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy, including but not limited to, the costs for design and planning, zoning and variances, financing costs, legal costs, construction, and permitting but not including the costs of land acquisition.</i> The Board of County Commissioners may, if it finds that it is in the best interest of the County, by a two-thirds vote of the members present, waive the applicable percent limit on the award of surtax funds to any affordable housing development. The limitations set forth in this resolution will not apply to public housing projects owned or operated by Miami-Dade County.
11A19 150444	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN TO PLACE SIGNAGE ON COUNTY VEHICLES DISPLAYING THE CRIME STOPPERS ANONYMOUS TIP PHONE NUMBER AND URGING MUNICIPALITIES IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO PLACE SIGNAGE DISPLAYING THE CRIME STOPPERS ANONYMOUS TIP PHONE NUMBER ON MARKED POLICE VEHICLES IN MUNICIPALITIES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to develop a plan to display the Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County anonymous tip phone number on Miami-Dade County marked police vehicles and Miami-Dade County transit buses. The plan will include, among other relevant and related matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Signage options the County and municipalities can utilize; and The costs associated with placement and maintenance of the signage on marked police vehicles and Miami-Dade County transit buses including identification of a funding source. <p>The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide a written report to this Board on the development of a plan within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>The Board of County Commissioners urges municipalities in Miami-Dade County to place signage on municipal marked police vehicles displaying the phone number of the Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County and directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of the resolution to the Mayor and/or City Manager of each municipality in Miami-Dade County and to the President of the Miami-Dade County League of Cities.</p> <p><u>Background – Miami-Dade County Crime Stoppers:</u></p> <p>Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County, Inc. is a non-profit community action program established in 1981 that is dedicated to the apprehension of criminals and offers anonymity to tipsters and issues cash rewards to individuals who provide information leading to the arrest of criminal offenders. Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County is funded through the Attorney General's Office Crime Stoppers Trust Fund.</p> <p>Through December 31, 2014, tips to Crime Stoppers of Miami-Dade County have solved over 35,374 criminal cases, led to the arrest of over 10,056 criminals, resulted in the recovery of over \$36,252,510.00 worth of property, and prevented over \$128,791,842.00 worth of illegal drugs from entering our schools and communities.</p>
11A20 150472	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO (I) REVIEW AND AMEND THE COUNTY'S AFFORDABLE HOUSING GUIDELINES TO ESTABLISH A CAP ON THE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING APPLICATIONS THAT AN AGENCY MAY SUBMIT FOR DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDS IF THE PROJECT HAS BEEN AWARDED GAP FUNDING FOR ITS FIRST APPLICATION, AND (II) REQUIRE THAT APPLICATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING BE APPROVED FOR FUNDING ONLY UNDER EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to review and amend the County's affordable housing guidelines to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish a cap on the number of additional funding applications that an agency may submit for Documentary Stamp Surtax funds if the project has been awarded gap funding for its first application; and Require that applications for additional funding be funded only under extenuating circumstances.
11A21 150741	RESOLUTION SETTING POLICY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; ESTABLISHING THE MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT COST PER UNIT OF \$225,000.00 FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING CONSTRUCTED, REHABILITATED OR ACQUIRED WITH COUNTY FUNDS, EXCEPT FOR HIGH-RISE NEW CONSTRUCTION WHICH SHALL HAVE A MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT COST PER UNIT OF \$250,000.00; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AMEND ALL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GUIDELINES AND INCORPORATE POLICY IN FUTURE COMPETITIVE PROCESSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150434]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sets as policy for Miami-Dade County that the Maximum Development Cost per Unit to construct, rehabilitate or acquire Affordable Housing with County funds will be \$225,000.00; except for construction of High-Rise projects for which the Maximum Development Cost per Unit will be \$250,000.00. <i>High-Rise will mean Affordable Housing structures which are seven or more</i>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>stories in height.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Maximum Development Cost per Unit will apply to projects constructed, rehabilitated or acquired by the County or by private or public entities using County funds, including, but not limited to, Community Development Block Grant, Home Investment Partnership, State Housing Initiative Program, Documentary Surtax, Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond, or general revenue funds. The Maximum Development Cost per Unit means the Total Development Cost, looking at all funding sources and not just County funds, divided by the total number of units. For the purposes of determining the Maximum Development Cost per Unit on construction or rehabilitation projects, the Total Development Cost will mean the total cost of completing the entire project, from acquisition to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy, including, but not limited to, the costs for design, planning, zoning, variances, financing costs, legal costs, construction, and permitting. For construction and rehabilitation projects, the cost of land acquisition will be deducted from the Total Development Cost. The maximum Development Cost per Unit will be reviewed annually by the County Mayor or designee, who will return to the BCC annually with a recommendation as to whether the Maximum Development Cost per Unit should be revised. Directs the County Mayor or designee is directed to amend all Affordable Housing guidelines and to implement future Affordable Housing competitive processes in a manner which incorporates and complies with this policy. This policy will apply prospectively only and will not apply to Affordable Housing projects which: (a) have express written contracts with the County, (b) have already been allocated County funds by the Board of County Commissioners, or (c) have already applied for funding based upon current Affordable Housing guidelines or competitive process as of the time of this resolution's passage. Under no circumstances will this resolution be construed in a manner which causes the County to violate any existing contracts between the County and other parties related to Affordable Housing projects.
11A22 150459	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT AND CONTRACT WITH A CONSULTANT TO PREPARE A FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 163, PART III, FLORIDA STATUTES FOR THE RICHMOND HEIGHTS AREA WITHIN COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 9 WHICH AREA IS GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY THE CUTLER DRAIN CANAL C-100, ON THE SOUTH BY SOUTHWEST 152ND STREET, ON THE EAST BY THE CUTLER DRAIN CANAL C-100, AND ON THE WEST BY THE FLORIDA TURNPIKE EXTENSION; AND DESIGNATING THE SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR PAYMENT OF CONSULTING FEES TO BE THE UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA NON-DEPARTMENTAL ALLOCATION</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to competitively select and contract with a consultant to prepare a Finding of Necessity study to assist in the determination of whether slum or blight exists in the Richmond Heights Area for purposes of the possible future consideration of creating a community redevelopment agency for the area. The funding source for the study to be performed pursuant to this resolution will be the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area non-departmental allocation.</p>
11A23 150435	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO: (1) TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO OBTAIN ANY AND ALL HISTORIC RECOGNITION AVAILABLE FOR TROPICAL PARK THROUGH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE, DIVISION OF HISTORICAL RESOURCES, AND (2) EXPLORE WHETHER TROPICAL PARK MAY QUALIFY FOR DESIGNATION AS A HERITAGE PARK IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND, IF SO, TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO DESIGNATE IT AS SUCH</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directs the Mayor or designee to obtain any and all historic recognition available for Tropical Park through the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, and provide a report regarding the findings to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65; Directs the Mayor or designee to explore whether Tropical Park may qualify for designation as a "Heritage Park" in Miami-Dade County and, if so, take appropriate action to designate it as such, and provide a report regarding the findings to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65; and Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Florida Secretary of State, the Florida Department of State Division of Historical Resources, and its Director. <p>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, File No. 150435 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The committee asked what a historic designation would entail for Tropical Park to which the Chief of the Historic Preservation Board, indicated that staff had not yet carried out an evaluation of the park to determine whether it would qualify for historic designation, but the approval of the foregoing proposed resolution would enable the Historic Preservation Board to carry out such an evaluation. The Chief stated that if the park were designated as an historic resource, any work to be undertaken within the parts of the park designated as historic would be reviewed by the Historic Preservation Board. She expressed her willingness to work with the Department of State to find out if the park was eligible for national register.</i> <i>In response to a question as to how the historic designation would affect any modifications to be made in the park the Deputy Director of the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) Department, said that any future improvements to be made in the parts of the park that would be designated as historic, would have to go be approved by the Historic Preservation Board. The committee expressed concern regarding cases in which improvements, or changes to the code would have to be made and whether the historic designation would create a significant obstacle in such cases. The Deputy Director of PROS stated that it would be difficult to provide a definitive answer without knowing which portions would be considered historic. However, he told the Committee members that the PROS had a good working relationship with the Historic Preservation Board in other parks that had been designated historic and said he did not believe that the historic designation would affect the use of the park.</i> <i>Pursuant to a request made by the committee for clarification regarding the language directing "the Mayor or Designee to take appropriate action to obtain any and all historic designation available ...," the Assistant County Attorney present advised that the item would call for an exploratory step initially to determine whether any portion of the park, or the park in its entirety, would qualify for the programs available through the Division of Historic Resources and under the separate criteria for heritage park. The</i>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>Assistant County Attorney further advised that the item would authorize the Administration to take the steps necessary to provide designation after completing the initial research.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Deputy Director of PROS clarified that the historic designation would not limit the events that could be held in Tropical Park.</i> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On February 17, 2004, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-238-04 establishing the creation of the designation of "Heritage Park" and designating the following parks: Matheson Hammock Park; Greynolds Park; Haulover Beach Park; Redland Fruit and Spice Park; Homestead Bayfront Park; Crandon Park; and The Deering Estate at Cutler.</p> <p>The Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department developed criteria to identify parks that should be acknowledged as having a special place in the history and culture of the County. Based on models used elsewhere, it is recommended that to qualify as a Heritage Park, a park should meet at least one of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exceptional historic or archaeological countywide significance, either natural or cultural - a park that represents in a special way the past history, character or ecosystems of the County; Exceptional resource values - a park that contains or is organized around resources that are a special part of South Florida landscapes and natural settings; and Exceptional design and material characteristics - a park whose built characteristics and workmanship represent the work of a specific landscape architect or a specific period of design. <p><u>Additional Information on Tropical Park:</u></p> <p>Tropical Park, developed on the site of a former horse track in 1979, attracts approximately 1,500,000 visitors annually. Visitors can enjoy bicycling, walking or running on the miles of paved pathways. Visitors can shape up on our fitness court or at our boxing center.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ronald Reagan Equestrian Center <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hosts more than 36 shows a year where registered Arabians, hunter/jumpers, Western style, Paso Finos, and other breeds come to strut their stuff and pick up valuable show ribbons and trophies. Contains two grass courses, seating for approximately 1,000 persons and, at the center a promenade, contains three (3) state-of-the-art show arenas covered by a 200' x 275' standing steel roof. Houses a full-service equestrian facility with 267 stalls available for rent to competitors, recreational vehicle hookups, restroom facilities and concession stands. Hosts full-size rodeos, bringing in portable bleachers for up to 5,000 spectators and is also available to rent for special events such as concerts, dog shows, corporate picnics and parties. The Mary Abreu Community Center <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4,320 square-feet of meeting space, seats up to 250 people and has a small kitchen, an outdoor grilling area, restrooms, and parking. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Podiums, stages, sound systems and assorted meeting equipment are available for rental. The Abreu Center is Wi-Fi equipped and can be used for internet sales of cattle and horse stock and genetic material. <p>Farmers Market</p> <p>Tropical Park hosts the Southwest Community Farmers' Market every Saturday from 9 a.m. - 3 p.m.</p> <p>Amenities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Baseball Fields; Basketball Courts; Batting cage(s); Concession building(s); Equestrian Center; Exercise Course; Facility Rentals; Fitness Zones; Nature Trails; Picnic Shelter/Pavillion; Playground; Racquetball Court; Restroom; Soccer field – lighted; Softball Field; Track and Field; Dog-Friendly Park; Tennis Court <p>Programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Soccer 5 Program; Tennis Lessons; Youth Sports Leagues – Baseball; Youth Sports Leagues – Soccer
11A24 142271	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$7,500,000.00 FROM PROJECT 124 TO NEUROSCIENCE CENTERS OF FLORIDA FOUNDATION INC. TO FUND PROJECT MERCY; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE THE TERMS OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH NEUROSCIENCE CENTERS OF FLORIDA FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waives Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program Administrative Rules regarding minimum allocation to Project No. 124 eligible projects; Approves an allocation of \$7,500,000 from Project 124 to Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. to fund Project Mercy, subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. The County Mayor or designee is directed to negotiate the terms of a grant agreement with Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. pursuant to the Administrative Rules. The County Mayor or designee will prepare and present a grant agreement to the BCC for its consideration within one hundred and twenty (120) days from the effective date of this resolution; provided, however, if the County Mayor or designee is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such grant agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead. The County Mayor or designee will provide the report to the BCC within one hundred and twenty (120) days from the effective

**Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. proposes the development of a world-class ambulatory care center in Miami-Dade that will cater to the needs of the local Multiple Sclerosis, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and Stroke patients population (Project Mercy) which is anticipated to result in approximately one hundred and fifty eight (158) direct jobs plus an additional one hundred and fifty eight (158) indirect jobs. Project Mercy is estimated to generate a projected \$6,000,000.00 in local taxes.</p> <p>Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. has submitted an application to the County requesting a Project 124 grant in the amount of \$7,500,000.00 to fund a public access parking facility to support Project Mercy.</p>
11A25 150349	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO FILE THE APPLICATION TO AMEND THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN FOR THE LUDLAM TRAIL CORRIDOR AS A COUNTY APPLICATION; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REVISE THIS APPLICATION TO REFLECT THE RESULTS OF THE CHARRETTES TO BE CONDUCTED FOR THE AREA</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to file, as a County application in the May 2015 cycle of applications to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application, which had been originally been filed as Application No. 3 in the May 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle.</p> <p>Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor's designee is directed to revise the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application to reflect the results of the charrettes to be undertaken in the area.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Ludlam Trail Corridor Application:</u></p> <p>On November 4, 2014, Florida voters overwhelmingly approved Florida Constitutional Amendment 1, the Florida Water and Land Conservation Initiative, which authorizes no less than 33 percent of net revenues collected from the existing excise tax on real estate documents to be used to acquire, restore, and manage conservation and recreation lands throughout Florida for a period of 20 years.</p> <p>The revenues collected pursuant to this amendment may be used to finance the acquisition and improvement of land and outdoor recreation areas, including recreational trails, parks, and urban open space</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Legislative Session Update - Amendment 1 Implementation: This series of bills implements Amendment 1, and restructures the state's existing trust funds that direct state dollars from the state documentary stamp tax. A new trust fund is created so that 33 percent of the revenue generated by the tax is to be diverted as per Amendment 1. These bills are SB 584, SB 586, SB 576, SB 578, SB 580 and SB 582. The companion bills in the House also restructure the state's trust funds in order to implement Amendment #1. The House companion bills have moved through the committee process and now moving through their full House votes. They are HB 1291, HB 1293, and HB 1295. These bills are part of the budget process.</i> <p>Miami-Dade County commissioned the Miami-Dade County Trail Design Guidelines and Standards: Ludlam Trail Case Study to investigate the design and implementation of the Ludlam Trail (the "trail"), a 6.2-mile linear track of land stretching from Miami International Airport at its northern terminus to Downtown Kendall at its southernmost point. The land comprising the 6.2-mile trail, including right-of-ways, is currently owned by Florida East Coast Industries, LLC.</p> <p>During the CDMP meeting on November 19, 2014, the Board announced that the meeting would recess and that the public hearing would continue on Thursday, December 4, 2014. Upon the closing of the public hearing on Thursday, December 4, 2014, it was moved that File No. 142489, pertaining to the May 2014 Cycle applications request for amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, be withdrawn from consideration. The County Attorney was directed to prepare the appropriate resolution to have the Ludlum Trail Application filed as a County application as part of the November 2014 Cycle recognizing that the applicant has offered to pay for any out of pocket advertising fees. Staff was also directed to conduct Charrettes in Commission Districts 6 and 7.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Ludlam Trail 'Greenway' Project to Get Second Review – South Miami News - Thursday, April 16, 2015:</u> http://www.communitynewspapers.com/south-miami/ludlam-trail-greenway-project-to-get-second-review/</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conceptual planning for an acceptable Ludlam Trail "greenway" that runs south from Miami International Airport to the Dadeland North Metrorail station will continue in late April at two public sessions. • Summaries of citizen recommendations for the 6.2-mile Florida East Coast Realty (FECR) held property will be presented by Miami-Dade Planning Department staffers who recently conducted county commission-ordered charrette workshops in Districts 6 and 7 at Barnes Park. • Residents will have the opportunity to review their ideas based on the initial workshop suggestions during scheduled meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Thursday, Apr 23, 6-9 p.m., at West Miami Middle School, 7525 Coral Way; and ○ Wednesday, Apr. 29, 6-9 p.m., at South Miami High School, 6856 SW 53 St. • Planners are working against a fast approaching deadline to follow a commission resolution directing the Mayor to file a county application for the May 2015 Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) cycle, amending the still-pending original FECR design entered in the May 2014 CDMP cycle. • The plan sparked immediate controversy last fall at community council and planning board public hearings when the real estate firm disclosed plans for residential and commercial development within a 75- foot- wide section of the 100-foot-wide former Florida East Coast Railroad right-of- way, leaving a 25-foot width for a biking and pedestrian trail. • Responding to outcries from abutting residents, architects and environmentalists, commissioners decided to take a hand in co-authoring a new plan of its own with citizen participation through amending FECR's design, based on resident input. • The amending action taken December 4, 2014 after a commission public hearing stirred its own controversy when three

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>commissioners dissented putting the county in the position of “co-developing” a CDM change with private interests.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To expedite the process while keeping the action legal, the resolution itself won’t become final until an approving Commission vote on Apr. 21, 2015 unless either the Mayor or commissioners see fit to veto it while the planning effort continues.
11A26 150774	<p>RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO APPROPRIATE SUFFICIENT FUNDING TO THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS FOR THE REMEDIATION OF SOIL AND GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION EXISTING IN A PORTION OF AMELIA EARHART PARK; IDENTIFYING THIS ISSUE AS A CRITICAL PRIORITY FOR THE 2015 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urges Congress to appropriate sufficient funding to the Corps so that it may implement a phased remediation plan that would permanently and comprehensively address the environmental contamination issues—soil, groundwater, and Department of Defense waste—in the Contaminated Areas in a phased manner, prioritizing the remediation of the Priority Remediation Site with an initial appropriation of \$450,000.00 and subsequently appropriating funding in the amount of approximately \$9 million for the remediation of the remaining Contaminated Areas; Urges Congress to direct the Corps to complete the remediation of the Priority Remediation Site forthwith, utilizing the \$450,000.00 appropriation; Identifies the issues specified as a critical County priorities for the 2015 Federal Legislative Package; and Directs the County’s federal lobbyists to advocate for the funding identified and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal Legislative Package to include this item as a critical County priority.
11A27 150739	<p>RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY TO STOCK ALL MIAMI-DADE FIRE RESCUE BATTALION VEHICLES AND EMS SUPERVISOR VEHICLES WITH OXYGEN MASKS AND OTHER NECESSARY EQUIPMENT THAT IS SPECIALLY DESIGNED TO TREAT DOMESTIC ANIMALS AND INFANTS FOR SMOKE INHALATION AND THAT SUCH EQUIPMENT REMAIN REGULARLY STOCKED; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDING SOURCES FROM THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 COUNTY BUDGET TO STOCK ALL MIAMI-DADE FIRE RESCUE VEHICLES WITH SUCH EQUIPMENT AND, IF FUNDING SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED, TO IMPLEMENT SUCH POLICY, BUT, IF NO FUNDING SOURCES ARE IDENTIFIED, TO DIRECT THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO INCLUDE A PLAN FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH POLICY AS PART OF THE MAYOR’S PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 COUNTY BUDGET (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150380)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County Policy (Policy) to stock of all Miami-Dade Fire Rescue vehicles with oxygen masks and other necessary equipment that is specially designed to treat domestic animals and infants for smoke inhalation.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to, within 45 days of the effective date of this resolution, identify legally available funding sources from the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 County Budget to stock all Miami-Dade Fire Rescue vehicles with oxygen masks and other necessary equipment that is specially designed to treat domestic animals and infants for smoke inhalation, and, if funding sources are identified, to implement the Policy. Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee is directed to submit a report to the Board within 45 days of the effective date of this resolution and to place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The report will, at a minimum, include details regarding the fiscal impact of implementing the Policy, any funding sources identified to fund the implementation, and the current status of any implementation.</i> <p>If no funding sources are identified from the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 County Budget, the Mayor or Mayor’s designee is directed to include a plan for the implementation of such Policy as part of the Mayor’s proposed fiscal year 2015-2016 County budget.</p> <p><i>The plan set forth in the Mayor’s proposed budget will, at a minimum, include details regarding the fiscal impact of implementing the Policy and the funding sources identified to fund the implementation.</i></p> <p>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, File No. 150380 was amended and substituted for File No. 150739. The item was amended to clarify that the oxygen masks would only be required to be stocked on Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Battalion and EMS Supervisor vehicles, not all Fire Rescue vehicles, and to add that it would be Miami-Dade County’s policy for this equipment to remain regularly stocked.</p>
11A28 150652	<p>RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PASS SB 698, HB 457, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD CREATE A NEW SPECIALTY LICENSE PLATE WHOSE ANNUAL FEES WILL BE USED TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO PUBLIC OR PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS THAT ASSIST SEXUALLY ABUSED, EXPLOITED, OR TRAFFICKED VICTIMS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urges the Florida Legislature to pass Senate Bill 698, House Bill 457, or similar legislation that would create a new specialty license plate whose annual fees will be used to provide funding to public or private organizations that assist sexually abused, exploited, or trafficked victims; and Directs the County’s state lobbyists to advocate for the issues identified and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 State Legislative Package previously approved by the Board to include this item.
11A29 150736	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE MDX ADVANTAGE PROGRAM AND THE NEW MULTI-AXLE FREQUENCY DISCOUNT COMPONENT OF THAT PROGRAM; AND URGING THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY (“MDX”) TO FURTHER REFINE AND EXPAND ITS MDX ADVANTAGE PROGRAM, KEEPING IN MIND THE BURDENS UPON LOW AND MIDDLE INCOME RESIDENTS, THE ELDERLY, AND STUDENTS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution supports the MDX Advantage program and the new Multi-Axle Frequency Discount component of that program, and urges the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX) to further refine and expand its MDX Advantage program, keeping in mind the burdens upon low and middle income residents, the elderly, and students.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Chair, Members, and Executive Director of the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
April 21, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Background:</p> <p>MDX Advantage is a free program that offers a variety of benefits to SunPass customers who commute and travel upon: State Road 112-Airport Expressway; State Road 836-Dolphin Expressway; State Road 874-Don Shula Expressway; State Road 878-Snapper Creek Expressway; and State Road 924-Gratigny Parkway (collectively, the MDX expressways). MDX recently introduced a new component of its MDX Advantage program, the Multi-Axle Frequency Discount, which caps tolls at the three-axle rate for commercial trucks and other multi-axle vehicles using SunPass, thereby leading to lower tolls for commercial trucks and providing an incentive for the thousands of commercial vehicles traveling to and from PortMiami each day to continue using MDX expressways and obtain quicker and more efficient access to the port.</p> <p>MDX recently adopted MDX Resolution No. 15-15 pledging that toll rates currently in effect will remain in place without further toll rate increase, except that rates may continue to be increased: (1) pursuant to the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") beginning in 2019; (2) to cover system maintenance and projects necessary for public safety; (3) to fund projects adding center lanes or lane miles to the MDX system, subject to an expression of support from the County or local municipality where the project is located; or (4) as required under MDX's trust indenture or its debt management policy senior coverage requirement of 1.50; and</p>
<p>11A30 150737</p>	<p>RESOLUTION URGING THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY TO REVIEW AND ANALYZE THE PROCESS BY WHICH TOLL RATES ARE ESTABLISHED, WITH INPUT FROM THE PUBLIC, AND TO PREPARE A REPORT DOCUMENTING ITS FINDINGS; AND URGING THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY TO AVOID FUTURE TOLL INCREASES AND INSTEAD TO CONSIDER REDUCING TOLL RATES OR CAPPING TOLL RATES AT EXISTING LEVELS, TO ELIMINATE THE USE OF THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX AS THE BASIS FOR TOLL INCREASES, AND TO IMPOSE A MORATORIUM ON CONTINUALLY RISING TOLL RATES, WHICH HEAVILY BURDEN THE COUNTY'S LOW AND MIDDLE INCOME RESIDENTS, WHILE IT STUDIES THE ISSUE OF RISING TOLLS AND PRESENTS ITS FINDINGS TO THE PUBLIC AND TO THIS BOARD</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX) to review and analyze the process by which toll rates are established with input from the public, and to prepare a report and submit it to the Mayor; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>Within 15 days of receipt, the Mayor or designee will provide the report to this Board and place it on an agenda of this Board, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</i> • Urges MDX to avoid future toll increases and instead to consider reducing toll rates or capping toll rates at existing levels, and to eliminate the use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the basis for toll increases; and • Urges MDX to impose a moratorium on continually rising toll rates, which heavily burden the County's low and middle income residents, while it studies the issue of rising tolls and presents its findings to the public and to this Board.
<p>11A31 150791</p>	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE 2015 FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES OF THE 16 COUNTY ECOSYSTEM SUMMIT AND URGING CONGRESS AND THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT THE POLICIES SET FORTH THEREIN; INCORPORATING THE 2015 FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES OF THE 16 COUNTY ECOSYSTEM SUMMIT INTO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S 2015 FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE PACKAGES</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges Congress and the Florida Legislature to enact the policies set forth in the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Priorities of the 16 County Ecosystem Summit; • Directs the County's federal and state lobbyists to advocate for the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Priorities of the 16 County Ecosystem Summit, and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend Miami-Dade County's 2015 Federal and State Legislative Packages to include the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Priorities of the 16 County Ecosystem Summit; and • Directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs and the County's federal and state contract lobbyists to coordinate efforts with their counterparts in Broward, Monroe, and Palm Beach Counties in pursuing the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Priorities of the 16 County Ecosystem Summit.
<p>11A32 150827</p>	<p>RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT SB 758, HB 751, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD AUTHORIZE HEALTHCARE PRACTITIONERS TO PRESCRIBE, AND PHARMACISTS TO DISPENSE, OPIOID ANTAGONISTS TO PATIENTS AND CAREGIVERS FOR EMERGENCY PURPOSES, AUTHORIZE PATIENTS, CAREGIVERS, AND EMERGENCY RESPONDERS TO POSSESS, STORE AND ADMINISTER EMERGENCY OPIOID ANTAGONISTS, AND GRANT IMMUNITY FROM CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIABILITY AND PROFESSIONAL DISCIPLINE FOR ALL INDIVIDUALS WHO ADMINISTER EMERGENCY OPIOID ANTAGONISTS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to enact Senate Bill 758, House Bill 751, or similar legislation that would authorize healthcare practitioners to prescribe, and pharmacists to dispense, opioid antagonists to patients and caregivers for emergency purposes, authorize patients, caregivers, and emergency responders to possess, store and administer emergency opioid antagonists, and grant immunity from civil and criminal liability and professional discipline for all individuals who administer emergency opioid antagonists; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 State Legislative Package to include this item and to include this item in the 2016 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the Board. <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>On April 2, 2015, Senate Bill 758 passed favorable in the Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services. Senate Bill 758 is on the General Appropriations Committee agenda for April 16, 2015.</p> <p>House Bill 751 has passed all of its committee of reference and has been placed on third reading.</p>