



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

**Board of County Commissioners Meeting**

May 5, 2015

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

**Research Division**

Charles Anderson, CPA  
Commission Auditor  
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>4A 150929</b>	ORDINANCE REGARDING AREA OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS; ALLOWING USE OF SEVERABLE USE RIGHTS WHERE AUTHORIZED BY MUNICIPALITIES UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AMENDING CHAPTER 33B, ARTICLE II, DIVISION 3 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed ordinance, regarding areas of critical environmental concern and land development regulations, amends Chapter 33B, Article II, Division 3 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow use of severable rights where authorized by municipalities under certain conditions.
<b>4B 150842</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO PARKING SPACES FOR DISABLED PERSONS; AMENDING SECTION 30-447 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO INCREASE PENALTY FOR MISUSE OF SPECIALLY MARKED PARKING SPACES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed ordinance relating to parking spaces for disabled persons, amends Section 30-447 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to increase penalty for misuse of specially marked spaces to \$250.00.
<b>4C 150784</b>	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO DELETE THE GOODS PORTION OF THE PROGRAM IN ORDER TO CREATE A SERVICES-ONLY PROGRAM BASED UPON CERTIFICATION TIERS USING THREE YEAR AVERAGE GROSS REVENUES, PROVIDE VIRTUAL OFFICE DEFINITION, REQUIRE ONE YEAR DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, REQUIRE QUARTERLY REPORTING OF CONTRACTS TO CERTIFIED FIRMS BY PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>4D 150786</b>	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; CREATING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE A GOODS-ONLY PROGRAM BASED UPON CERTIFICATION TIERS, PROVIDE DEFINITIONS AND PROGRAM CRITERIA, REQUIRE ONE YEAR DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, REQUIRE QUARTERLY REPORTING OF CONTRACTS TO CERTIFIED FIRMS BY PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b>4C – 150784:</b> The proposed ordinance amends section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to delete the goods portion of the program in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a services-only program based upon certification tiers using three year average gross revenues;</li> <li>• Provide virtual office definition;</li> <li>• Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification;</li> <li>• Require quarterly reporting of contracts to certified firms by the Public Health Trust;</li> <li>• Allow application for recertification after submittal of required documents; and</li> <li>• Contribution to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County.</li> </ul> <p><b>4D – 150786:</b> The proposed ordinance creates section 2-8.1.1.1.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create a goods-only program based upon certification tiers;</li> <li>• Provide definitions and program criteria;</li> <li>• Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification;</li> <li>• Require quarterly reporting of contracts to certified firms by the Public Health Trust;</li> <li>• Allow application for recertification after submittal of required documents; and</li> <li>• Contribution to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County.</li> </ul> <p><b>The proposed ordinances separate the existing goods and services programs into two different programs.</b></p>
<b>4E 150840</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO AUTHORIZE COMMISSION COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO CONVENE A WORKSHOP OF THE COMMITTEE UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County code to authorize the Commission Committee members to convene a workshop of the Committee under certain circumstances.</p> <p><b>PART 4. COMMITTEES</b> <b>Rule 4.01 Committees.</b></p> <p>(d) <i>Powers of commission committees. Commission committees and subcommittees are authorized:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) <i>To maintain a continuous review of the work and performance of county agencies and, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in the Code, county boards within the jurisdiction of each committee;</i></li> <li>(2) <i>To invite public officials, employees, and private individuals to appear before the committees or subcommittees to submit information;</i></li> <li>(3) <i>To request reports from departments and, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in the Code, county boards performing functions reasonably related to each committee's jurisdiction;</i></li> <li>(4) <i>To complete interim projects assigned by the chairperson of the commission;</i></li> <li>(5) <i>To review and make recommendations with regard to prospective agenda items, and to propose or amend the same;</i></li> <li>(6) <i>Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in the Code, to conduct public hearings, unless state or federal law requires the county commission to conduct a given public hearing; or</i></li> <li>(7) <i>To provide a reasonable opportunity for the public to be heard on propositions not subject to public hearing pursuant to Rule</i></li> </ol>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>6.06</p> <p>(8) Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in the Code, to convene a workshop of the committee to discuss matters within the committee's jurisdiction as established by the commission chairperson under Rule 4.01(a), including, but not limited to, discussion of matters referred to the committee by the commission chairperson. The commission committee may not take action on any matter considered at a committee workshop. The date, time and place of the workshop shall be scheduled in consultation with the commission chairperson. Whenever such a workshop is called, a notice in writing signed by a majority of the committee members shall be served upon the commission chairperson, the committee chairperson, and the Clerk. Each signature by a committee member shall constitute a representation that, at the time of affixing his or her signature to the notice, the committee member has the present intention to attend the workshop. The Clerk shall forthwith serve verbal and written notice upon each member of the committee and the commission chairperson stating the date, time and place of the workshop and the purpose for which such workshop is called, and no other business shall be discussed at that workshop. At least 24 hours must elapse between the time the Clerk receives notice in writing and the time the workshop is to be held. A committee workshop may be cancelled (1) by motion adopted at a meeting of the committee by a majority of committee members present; or (2) by a majority of the members of the committee serving notice containing the required signatures upon the commission chairperson, the members of the committee and the Clerk, who shall provide public notice when a workshop is cancelled. To the extent possible, the Commission Chambers shall be made available for a committee workshop whenever such a workshop is called.</p>
<p><b>4F</b> <b>150843</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE SOUTH A MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE SOUTH A AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE SOUTH A MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p><b>4G</b> <b>150844</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE SOUTH B MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE SOUTH B AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE SOUTH B MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>4F</b> The proposed ordinance, relating to the South A Municipal Advisory Committee (South A MAC) created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South A area, provides that the South A MAC will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the South A area, or (ii) 12 months from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p><i>It is provided, however, that in no event will the South A MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</i></p> <p>The South A MAC will not meet to take final action on its report until the study being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. concerning annexations and incorporations (Study) has been accepted by the BCC. The South A MAC will review and consider the Study, prior to completing its report.</p> <p><b>4G</b> The proposed ordinance, relating to the South B Municipal Advisory Committee (South B MAC) created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South B area, provides that the South B MAC will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the South B area, or (ii) 12 months from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p><i>It is provided, however, that in no event will the South B MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</i></p> <p>The South B MAC will not meet to take final action on its report until the study being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. concerning annexations and incorporations (Study) has been accepted by the BCC. The South B MAC will review and consider the Study, prior to completing its report.</p> <p><b>Background</b> On September 4, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 13-77 creating the South A MAC and Ordinance No. 13-78 creating the South B MAC, directing the committee to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the South A and South B areas. On June 24, 2014, the South A MAC was organized and began to meet and on June 25, 2014, the South B MAC was organized and began to meet.</p> <p>Pursuant to Section 20-29(E) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, municipal advisory committees have a 24 month period from the adoption of their respective ordinance to complete their study and additional time is needed for the South A and South B MACs to conduct required public hearings and complete their study, and moreover, after completing their report, to remain in existence to be able to respond to inquiries from the BCC.</p>
<p><b>4H</b> <b>150922</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST; CREATING SECTION 2-1077 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST TO ENFORCE THE PUBLIC SERVICE HONOR CODE WHEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN THE FORM OF AN IMPLEMENTING ORDER; EMPOWERING THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST TO ISSUE LETTERS OF INSTRUCTION AND LETTERS OF REPRIMAND FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE HONOR CODE COMMITTED BY ELECTED COUNTY OFFICIALS AND ALL OTHER COUNTY OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES NOT SUBJECT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY OF THE MAYOR; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

<b>Notes</b>	The proposed ordinance creates section 2-1077 of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust to enforce the public service honor code when approved by the BCC in the form of an implementing order. The proposed ordinance further empowers the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust to issue letters of instruction and letters of reprimand for violations of the public service honor code by elected county officials and all other county officials and employees not subject to the administrative authority of the Mayor.
<b>4I 150846</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE WEST KENDALL (SECTION ONE) MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE WEST KENDALL (SECTION ONE) AREA; RENAMING SUCH COMMITTEE THE WEST END NORTH MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE WEST END NORTH MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING FOR RETROACTIVITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>4J 150854</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE WEST KENDALL (SECTION THREE) MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE WEST KENDALL (SECTION THREE) AREA; RENAMING SUCH COMMITTEE THE WEST END SOUTH MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE WEST END SOUTH MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING FOR RETROACTIVITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b>4I-</b> The proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Renames the West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) as the West End North MAC;</li> <li>• The West End North MAC will remain in existence until the later of (i) the date that the BCC votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the West End North area, or (ii) 15 months from the effective date of this ordinance;</li> <li>• In no event will the West End North MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</li> </ul> <p><b>4J-</b> The proposed ordinance provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Renames the West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) as the West End South MAC;</li> <li>• The West End South MAC will remain in existence until the later of (i) the date that the BCC votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the West End South area, or (ii) 15 months from the effective date of this ordinance;</li> <li>• In no event will the West End South MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background</b> On July 2, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 13-70 and Ordinance No. 13-71 creating the West Kendall (Section One) and (Section Three) MACs directing the committees to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) and (Section Three) areas. On March 17, 2015, the West Kendall (Section Three) MAC was organized and began to meet. On April 23, 2014, the West Kendall (Section One) MAC was organized and began to meet.</p> <p>Pursuant to Section 20-29(E) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, municipal advisory committees have a 24 month period from the adoption of their respective ordinance to complete their study and additional time is needed for the MACs to conduct required public hearings and complete their studies, and moreover, after completing their reports, to remain in existence to be able to respond to inquiries from the BCC. The section which extends the life of the MACs will be effective retroactive to the date that the MACs sunset, ratifying any actions taken by the MACs between such date and the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p>The West End North and South MACs will not meet to take final action on their reports until the study being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc. concerning annexations and incorporations (Study) has been accepted by the BCC. The West End North and South MACs will review and consider the Study, prior to completing their reports.</p>
<b>4K 150952</b>	ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ADOPTING FY 2014-15 MID-YEAR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS AND AMENDMENTS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND FUNDS; RATIFYING AND APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDERS AND OTHER BOARD ACTIONS WHICH SET FEES, CHARGES AND ASSESSMENTS AND PROVIDING FOR THEIR AMENDMENT; APPROPRIATING GRANT, DONATION AND CONTRIBUTION FUNDS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance approves supplemental budgets in accordance with the Home Rule Charter and Section 129.06 of the Florida Statutes. These supplements will align the County's FY 2014-15 Adopted Budget with projected end- of-year expenditures.</p> <p><i>The revisions incorporated in this supplemental budget include adjustments to reflect increased General Fund carryover revenue; and increased expenses due to higher than anticipated inmate detention costs (Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation), required convention development tax payments, and amendments to certain capital budgets.</i></p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> <b>General Fund</b> – Requires a supplemental budget \$11.004 million. Funding will be provided from higher than anticipated prior year general fund carryover (\$4.601 million), improved current year sales tax receipts (\$4.699 million), and excess countywide revenue sharing revenues (\$1.954 million). In addition, savings projected in the non-departmental budget of the general fund related to lower than budgeted property damage insurance costs (\$1.705 million) may be reallocated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The prior year unexpended balances for the offices of the Board of County Commissioners and its divisions that will be allocated to the respective offices and/or divisions of the Board totals \$3.019 million;</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Corrections and Rehabilitation Department requires an increase of \$8.5 million;</li><li>• The General Fund requires an adjustment to increase \$40,000 for the allocation to the YWCA Court Care program;</li><li>• An adjustment of \$1 million is also needed to reflect the creation of the Liberty City Summer Youth Employment;</li><li>• An allocation of \$250,000 to the Technology Foundation of the Americas; and</li><li>• An allocation of \$150,000 administered by Regulatory and Economic Resources Department.</li></ul> <p><b>Regulatory and Economic Resources</b> – Requires a budget supplement of \$8,000 for expenses associates with the plans review process funded by additional planning revenues. In addition, a correction is needed to correct the countywide general fund transfers to the department (\$56,000).</p> <p><b>Miami-Dade Transit</b> – Requires a budget supplement of \$402,000 to correct a scrivener’s error in the Capital Improvement Local Option Gas Tax Program fund which inadvertently reflected lower than estimated gas tax revenue which is used to support preventive maintenance costs. This revenue must reflect a 1.5 percent growth from the prior year as required in the Transit maintenance of effort.</p> <p><b>Tourist Taxes</b> – The Convention Development Tax (CDT) Fund requires a budget supplement of \$3.527 million to distribute additional CDT revenues collected in FY 2013-14 to the City of Miami Beach (\$3.144 million) as required under the 1996 Interlocal Agreement 2004 Amendment and to the Performing Arts Center Trust Operations (\$383,000) as a result of additional Omni Community Redevelopment Area incremental revenues used to pay debt service obligations related to the Adrienne Arsht Performing Arts Center as required under Resolution R-52-10 approved by the Board on January 21, 2010.</p> <p><b>Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB)</b> – Requires an amendment to move \$6.5 million Question 1 “Water, Sewer, and Flood Control” and \$2.7 million in Question 6 “Public Service and Outreach Facilities” from future years funding to two projects in FY 2014-15.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The first amendment is to provide funding to the Village of Bal Harbour for the improvement to the municipal collection system that will help control peak flows coming to the County’s regional system within northeast Miami-Dade County and the Village of Bal Harbour, a key component of the County’s regional sanitary sewer system.</li><li>• The second amendment is to advance funding for Little Havana Social Service, Inc., a subsidiary of Little Havana Activities and Nutrition Centers of Dade County Inc., pursuant to Resolution R-122-15 to secure federal funds to complete the construction of a multi-use facility.</li></ul>									
<b>4L 150858</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO WATER AND SEWER REGULATIONS; AMENDING ARTICLE VIII OF SECTION 32 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO THE MIAMI-DADE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT’S CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL PROGRAM; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Article VIII of Section 32 of the Miami-Dade County code relating to the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department’s cross connection control program.</p> <p>On May 5, 2014, the State of Florida made revisions to Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code, which pertains to the requirements for backflow protection for cross-connections to the public water system. Chapter 32, Article VIII of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida sets forth the County’s Cross-Connection Control Program, which was established in order to implement the provisions of Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code.</p> <p><b>The proposed ordinance aims to ensure that the County’s Cross-Connection Control Program is consistent with the requirements of Section 62-555.360 of the Florida Administrative Code.</b></p>									
<b>4M 150990</b>	ORDINANCE RELATED TO APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY BUDGET; AMENDING SECTION 2-1795 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REVISE THE DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR AND THE ROLES AND TIMING OF COMMISSION COMMITTEES RELATED TO THE BUDGET; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1795 of the Miami-Dade County Code to revise the duties of the Commission Auditor and the roles and timing of Commission Committees related to the budget.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Chapter 2 Article CXVIII.5. Governing for Results Section 2-1795 Allocation of County Resources</th></tr><tr><th>Sec.</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td><b>Section 2-1795</b>  <i>Allocation of County Resources</i></td><td>(d) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary services and employee overtime. The Commission Auditor shall work with the Office of Management and Budget (or its successor department) in the development of the proposed budget. Copies of the proposed budget referenced in</td><td>(d)(1) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Chapter 2 Article CXVIII.5. Governing for Results Section 2-1795 Allocation of County Resources			Sec.	Current	Proposed	<b>Section 2-1795</b>  <i>Allocation of County Resources</i>	(d) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary services and employee overtime. The Commission Auditor shall work with the Office of Management and Budget (or its successor department) in the development of the proposed budget. Copies of the proposed budget referenced in	(d)(1) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary
Comparison of Miami-Dade County Code Chapter 2 Article CXVIII.5. Governing for Results Section 2-1795 Allocation of County Resources										
Sec.	Current	Proposed								
<b>Section 2-1795</b>  <i>Allocation of County Resources</i>	(d) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary services and employee overtime. The Commission Auditor shall work with the Office of Management and Budget (or its successor department) in the development of the proposed budget. Copies of the proposed budget referenced in	(d)(1) On an annual basis, the Mayor shall present the proposed budget, in a line item format, to the Board of County Commissioners for approval in accordance with the requirements of Section 5.03 of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-1800. Other formats, such as narrative, pie charts and graphs may also be used in addition to the line item format to supplement the line item format and a separate schedule containing the following specific expenditures for each department, office, division or other unit of County government shall be included: advertising, rent, security services, utilities, fuel, travel and registrations, temporary								

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

		<p>Section 5.03(B) of the Home Rule Charter shall be made available to the Commission Auditor on the same date as such budget is presented by the Mayor or his or her designee to the Board of County Commissioners. The Commission Auditor shall then commence an in-depth review and analysis of such budget giving full consideration to the County Commission's adopted policy directives, including specifically, an in-depth review and analysis of the proposed budget of the Board of County Commissioners and all departments and divisions that report directly to the Board, including the County Attorney's Office, Office of the Inspector General, the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and the Office of Commission Auditor and Legislative Analysis Division under the Board of County Commissioners' fund.</p> <p>In addition to the foregoing, the Commission Auditor, in consultation with the Chair of the committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters and the Mayor's designee from the Office of Management and Budget (or its successor department), shall prepare a separate budget for the Board of County Commissioners and all departments and divisions that report directly to the Board, including the County Attorney's Office, the Office of the Inspector General, the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and the Office of Commission Auditor and Legislative Analysis Division under the Board of County Commissioners' fund.</p> <p>Prior to the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole, the Commission Auditor shall present same to the Committee of the Whole. Between August 15th and the first budget hearing, a Committee of the Whole shall meet to review and discuss the Mayor's proposed budget and the Commission Auditor's proposed budgets for the Board of County Commissioners and all departments and divisions that report directly to the Board, including the County Attorney's Office, the Office of the Inspector General, the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and the Office of Commission Auditor and Legislative Analysis Division under the Board of County Commissioners' fund, and the findings, results and recommendations of the Commission Auditor. Prior to the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole, the Commission Auditor shall provide to the Commission a report by department to reflect each line item set forth in Section 2-1795. Such report shall be as of June 30th of the current fiscal year and shall include the prior fiscal year's budgeted and actual expenditures, the current year's budgeted expenditures and unaudited actual amounts as of June 30th, and the amounts included in the proposed budget. The report shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board and shall be posted on the County's website so it may be available to the general public. In addition, the County Mayor or the Mayor's designee shall post on the County's website the proposed budget in a line item format by program.</p> <p>Each commission committee shall meet between the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole and the first budget hearing to review and discuss the Mayor's proposed line item budget for each administrative department within the committee's jurisdiction, and to forward any recommendations to the Board regarding such proposed budget. The Mayor or the Mayor's designee will present each departmental budget at the appropriate committee meeting and shall include as part of such presentation, at a minimum, the information required by Section 2-1800. Each committee chair shall present any approved committee recommendations regarding the Mayor's proposed budget at the second Committee of the Whole.</p> <p>Prior to the first budget hearing and after consultation with the Commission Chair and the Chair of the committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters, the Commission Auditor, in cooperation with the Mayor or his/her designee, shall prepare and</p>	<p>services and employee overtime.</p> <p>The County Mayor or the Mayor's designee shall post on the County's website the proposed budget in a line item format by program.</p> <p><b>Commencing in calendar year 2016, no later than April 1st of each year, the Commission Auditor shall provide to the Commission a written report detailing, as of the commencement of the fiscal year, for each department, office, division or other unit of County government the services provided to the community, the resources allocated for the delivery of such services, and the achievement of performance measures with respect to the delivery of services. The report shall include the Commission Auditor's recommendations regarding adjustments to resource allocations to yield desired service delivery results. Each commission committee shall meet no later than May 1st of each year to: review and discuss the Commission Auditor's report; identify new service delivery priorities for the ensuing fiscal year for those services under the commission committee's jurisdiction; approve by motion new service delivery priorities for the ensuing fiscal year; and forward its recommended priorities to the Commission. No later than June 1st of each year, the committee with jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall meet to: review and discuss the commission committees' recommended new service delivery priorities for the ensuing fiscal year; identify revenues and resources necessary to fund such priorities; and no later than June 15th, forward its findings to the Commission for its consideration at its regularly scheduled meeting immediately following June 15th or a special meeting called for such purpose. At such meeting, the Commission shall, by motion, approve those new service delivery priorities it wishes to implement in the ensuing fiscal year's County budget.</b></p> <p><b>The committee with jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall meet immediately prior to the first and second budget hearings to review and discuss the Mayor's proposed line item budget and any proposed amendments to such budget set forth in the Mayor's memoranda related to information for the first budget hearing and second budget hearing, respectively, and to forward any recommendations to the Board regarding such proposed budget. The Mayor or the Mayor's designee will present the Mayor's proposed budget and any proposed amendments to such budget set forth in the Mayor's memoranda related to information for the first budget hearing and second budget hearing, respectively at the committee meetings and shall include as part of such presentation, at a minimum, the information required by Section 2-1800. The chair of the committee with jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall present any approved committee recommendations</b></p>
--	--	--	--

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>issue any recommended written changes to the Mayor's proposed budget and shall present same together with proposed budget amendments to the County Commission at the first budget hearing. A Committee of the Whole shall meet between the first and second budget hearings to discuss any proposed changes and recommendations which may result from the first budget hearing. At the second budget hearing, after consultation with the Chair of the Commission and the Chair of the committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters and in cooperation with the Mayor or his/her designee, the Commission Auditor shall issue any final recommended written changes to the tentative budget and then present implementing amendments thereto to the County Commission.</p> <p>In addition, the Commission Auditor shall review and analyze any midyear and year-end budget amendments proposed by the Mayor or his/her designee giving full consideration to the County Commission's policy directives. The Commission Auditor shall issue any final recommended written changes to the Mayor's proposed midyear and year-end budget amendments and present same to the County Commission prior to its consideration of such proposed budget amendments.</p> <p>This subsection is not intended to be construed in any way as a limitation on the Mayor's right to participate in the budget process as set forth in the Home Rule Charter, including specifically the right to attend and be heard at the budget hearings to express a difference of opinion with the Commission Auditor's recommendations and proposed changes, or the Commission Auditor's proposed budgets for the Board of County Commissioners and all departments and divisions that report directly to the Board, including the County Attorney's Office, Office of the Inspector General, the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and the Office of Commission Auditor and Legislative Analysis Division under the Board of County Commissioners' fund. The responsibilities assigned by this section to the Commission Auditor shall be deemed a permanent element of the Commission Auditor's annual work program for each ensuing fiscal year without need for further Commission approval.</p>	<p>regarding the Mayor's proposed budget <b>and the Mayor's proposed amendments as set forth in the Mayor's memoranda at the first and second budget hearings.</b></p> <p>This <b>section</b> is not intended to be construed in any way as a limitation on the Mayor's right to participate in the budget process as set forth in the Home Rule Charter, including specifically the right to attend and be heard at the budget hearings to express a difference of opinion with <b>any</b> recommendations and proposed changes. The responsibilities assigned by this section to the Commission Auditor shall be deemed a permanent element of the Commission Auditor's annual work program for each ensuing fiscal year without need for further Commission approval.</p>									
<b>7A 150927</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-238 AND 33-259 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR AGRICULTURAL USES WITHIN ELECTRICAL UTILITY EASEMENTS, PUBLIC PROPERTIES AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY IN BU (BUSINESS USE) AND IU (INDUSTRIAL USE) ZONING DISTRICTS SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150524]										
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution amends sections 33-238 and 33-259 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for agricultural uses within electrical utility easements, public properties and rights-of way in business use and industrial uses zoning districts.										
	<table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-238 of the Code, Zoning</i></th></tr><tr><th>Section of the Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i></th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33-238  Uses permitted</td><td>N/A</td><td>(1.4) Agricultural uses are authorized in electrical utility easements, public properties, and railroad rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner and utility easement holder (when applicable), subject to the following conditions: (a) No on-site retail sales shall be permitted; (b) The use shall be conducted on sites with a minimum of one (1) acre; (c) Permitted agricultural uses shall include horticulture, floriculture, viticulture, forestry and apiculture; (d) No permanent structures shall be permitted; (e) Where parking is provided on-site, the parking spaces shall be provided on an unimproved surface; (f) If a nursery is located in an easement or right-of-way identified in the Metropolitan Planning</td></tr></table>		Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-238 of the Code, Zoning</i>			Section of the Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i>	Sec. 33-238  Uses permitted	N/A	(1.4) Agricultural uses are authorized in electrical utility easements, public properties, and railroad rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner and utility easement holder (when applicable), subject to the following conditions: (a) No on-site retail sales shall be permitted; (b) The use shall be conducted on sites with a minimum of one (1) acre; (c) Permitted agricultural uses shall include horticulture, floriculture, viticulture, forestry and apiculture; (d) No permanent structures shall be permitted; (e) Where parking is provided on-site, the parking spaces shall be provided on an unimproved surface; (f) If a nursery is located in an easement or right-of-way identified in the Metropolitan Planning
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-238 of the Code, Zoning</i>											
Section of the Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i>									
Sec. 33-238  Uses permitted	N/A	(1.4) Agricultural uses are authorized in electrical utility easements, public properties, and railroad rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner and utility easement holder (when applicable), subject to the following conditions: (a) No on-site retail sales shall be permitted; (b) The use shall be conducted on sites with a minimum of one (1) acre; (c) Permitted agricultural uses shall include horticulture, floriculture, viticulture, forestry and apiculture; (d) No permanent structures shall be permitted; (e) Where parking is provided on-site, the parking spaces shall be provided on an unimproved surface; (f) If a nursery is located in an easement or right-of-way identified in the Metropolitan Planning									

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

			<p>Organization's Bicycle Facilities Plan or in the County's Park and Open Space Master Plan as part of the County's bicycle network or as a greenway/trail, a bicycle and pedestrian easement may be provided subject to the property owner's and utility easement holder's (when applicable) approval;</p> <p>(g) A Certificate of Use shall be obtained, and shall be renewed annually subject to the conditions contained in this subsection; and</p> <p>(h) The use shall not be located closer than 25' to the boundary of a residentially-zoned property or a property designated on the Land Use Plan (LUP) map for residential use.</p>
	<p>Sec. 33-259</p> <p><b>Uses permitted</b></p>	<p>(89.1) Plant nurseries are authorized in utility easements and railroad rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner, subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) The nursery use shall be conducted by a not-for-profit corporation and shall be incidental to an on-site educational program that provides career training or medical or educational therapy programs.</p> <p>(b) No on-site sales shall be permitted;</p> <p>(c) The use shall be conducted on sites with a minimum of one (1) acre net lot area;</p> <p>(d) A Certificate of Use shall be obtained, and shall be renewed annually subject to the conditions contained in this subsection; and</p> <p>(e) The use shall not be permitted on property abutting residentially zoned properties or properties designated on the Land Use Plan (LUP) map for residential use.</p>	<p>(89.1) <b>Agricultural uses</b> are authorized in <b>electrical</b> utility easements, <b>public properties</b> and rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner, railroad rights-of-way, with the consent of the property owner and utility easement holder (when applicable) subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) No on-site <b>retail</b> sales shall be permitted;</p> <p>(b) The use shall be conducted on sites with a minimum of one (1) acre net lot area;</p> <p>(c) <b>Permitted agricultural uses shall include horticulture, floriculture, viticulture, forestry and apiculture;</b></p> <p>(d) <b>No permanent structures shall be permitted;</b></p> <p>(e) <b>Where parking is provided on-site, the parking spaces shall be provided on an unimproved surface;</b></p> <p>(f) <b>If the nursery is located in an easement or right-of-way identified in the Metropolitan Planning Organization's Bicycle Facilities Plan or in the County's Park and Open Space Master Plan as part of the County's bicycle network or as a greenway/trail, a bicycle and pedestrian easement shall be provided subject to the property owner's and utility easement holder's (when applicable) approval;</b></p> <p>(g) A Certificate of Use shall be obtained, and shall be renewed annually subject to the conditions contained in this subsection; and</p> <p>(h) <b>The use shall not be located closer than 25' to the boundary of a residentially-zoned property or a property designated on the Land Use Plan (LUP) map for residential use.</b></p>
<p><i>On April 14, 2015, during the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting, File No. 150524 was amended and substituted for File No. 150927 (the proposed item).</i></p>			
<b>7B 150297</b>	<p>ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 8-31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, REVISING SUBSECTIONS PERTAINING TO UNDERWATER POOL LIGHTING FOR PRIVATE SWIMMING AND RECREATIONAL BATHING POOLS IN AREAS OF INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO CONFORM TO THE PROVISIONS IN THE FORTHCOMING FLORIDA BUILDING CODE 5TH EDITION, PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 8-31 of the Miami-Dade County Code, pertaining to underwater pool lighting for private and recreational bathing pools, to match the 5<sup>th</sup> edition of the Florida Building Code.</p> <p>Section 8-31: Local Technical Amendments to Florida Building Code</p> <p><b>Maximum Voltage - The maximum voltage for each luminaire in any private swimming or recreational bathing pools shall not exceed the Low Voltage Contact Limit, which is defined as a voltage not exceeding the following values:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 15 volts (RMS) for sinusoidal alternating current</li> <li>2. 21.2 volts peak for nonsinusoidal alternating current</li> <li>3. 30 volts continuous direct current</li> <li>4. 12.4 volts peak for direct current that is interrupted at a rate of 10 to 200 Hertz</li> </ol> <p><b>The maximum incandescent lamp size will be 300 watts.</b></p> <p><u><b>Additional Information:</b></u></p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-915-14 urging other counties to enact pool safety measures. This resolution urged all Florida Counties to act swiftly to enact local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code to require low voltage lighting in newly-built</p>		



**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>residential pools, and for permitted construction work on existing residential pools, to lessen the potential for lethal electrocution.</p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC adopted Ordinance 14-95 amending Chapter 8 Article III of the Miami-Dade County Code to adopt a local technical amendment pertaining to underwater pool lighting for private swimming or recreational bathing pools in incorporated and unincorporated Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1016-14 regarding pool permit inspections. This Resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Directed the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to create a special permit category for “Underwater Residential Pool Light Replacement (120 volt to low voltage)” for existing private swimming pools, as defined in the Florida Statutes and Florida Building Code, located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County, to implement the necessary procedures and to give effect to the intent of this resolution within 45 days from its effective date;</li><li>Amended Implementing Order 4-63 to include a new special permit category and \$65 fee for “Underwater Residential Pool Light Replacement (120 volt to low voltage)” for existing private swimming pools located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County;<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>The special permit category services include the resources expended for intake of the permit application, processing of the application, verification of the status of the license and insurance for the contractor, an onsite inspection to verify permitted work is in compliance with the Florida Building Code and the National Electric Code, and record keeping.</i></li></ul></li><li>Directed the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to create and implement an appropriate outreach campaign to increase the public awareness of the potential for electric shock associated with improperly installed, maintained or serviced underwater pool lights.</li><li>Directed the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to publish the availability of the permitting process and other alternatives available to the public to enhance the safety of private swimming pools, and include this information on the County’s website as well as the County media channel, and provide such information to the Board within 45 days of the effective date of this resolution for further dissemination.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>The completed information packet will be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. The Board requested that the Mayor or Designee use the resources of Miami-Dade County Community Information &amp; Outreach (CIAO) to carry out these directives.</i></li></ul></li></ul> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC passed Resolution No. R-231-15, regarding pool safety equipment. The R-231-15 directs the Mayor, or Mayor’s designee, to initiate discussions with insurance company representatives about offering incentives to residential pool owners for installing pool safety equipment and provide a report to the Board within sixty (60) days of the effective date of the resolution.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – Miami-Dade County Spearheads Pool Safety Legislation</u></b> <b><u>Broward Moves for Better Pool Safety Laws - September 17, 2014 – CBS4 News</u></b> <a href="http://miami.cbslocal.com/2014/09/17/broward-moves-for-better-pool-safety-laws/">http://miami.cbslocal.com/2014/09/17/broward-moves-for-better-pool-safety-laws/</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Last summer, Miami-Dade began the process of eliminating high voltage in residential pools and last September, Broward County’s Electrical Committee voted unanimously to require all new pools carry low voltage.</b></li><li>The article discovered that essentially only two sets of rules in Florida’s pools:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Commercial pools are required to carry low voltage power; and</li><li>In Florida more than a million residential pools do not have the low voltage requirement.</li></ul></li><li>Broward Fire Rescue Fire Marshal pointed out that the new law will only prevent deaths in newly constructed pools.</li><li>The Broward County Electrical Committee plans to look into the possibility of the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Asking insurance companies to do inspections or require inspections; and</li><li>Asking realtors to require pool lighting disclosures at the sale of every home with a pool.</li></ul></li></ul>									
<b>7C 150515</b>	ORDINANCE REVISING ZONING AND LAND USE REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE MODEL CITY URBAN CENTER DISTRICT; AMENDING SECTION 33-284.99.42 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance revises the zoning and land use regulations pertaining to the Model City Urban Center District and amends sections 33-384.99-42 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-284.99.42, of the Code</i> <i>Zoning</i></th></tr><tr><th>Section of the Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i></th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 33-284.99.42  Uses</td><td>2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) MC uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.</td><td>2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) <b>Mixed Use Corridor</b> uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.  3. <b>In the Mixed-Use Corridor area on lots adjoining Northwest 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue between Northwest 54<sup>th</sup> and 62<sup>nd</sup> Streets hand car washes accessory to a permitted retail, food service, or office use shall be permitted subject to the following:</b> (a) Parking spaces used for car washing and patrons waiting for car washing shall not occupy parking spaces required for the principal uses of the lot. (b) Attention attracting devices, such as blinking or flashing lights, streamer lights, pennants, banners, streamers and all fluttering or spinning advertising</td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-284.99.42, of the Code</i> <i>Zoning</i>			Section of the Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i>	Sec. 33-284.99.42  Uses	2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) MC uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.	2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) <b>Mixed Use Corridor</b> uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.  3. <b>In the Mixed-Use Corridor area on lots adjoining Northwest 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue between Northwest 54<sup>th</sup> and 62<sup>nd</sup> Streets hand car washes accessory to a permitted retail, food service, or office use shall be permitted subject to the following:</b> (a) Parking spaces used for car washing and patrons waiting for car washing shall not occupy parking spaces required for the principal uses of the lot. (b) Attention attracting devices, such as blinking or flashing lights, streamer lights, pennants, banners, streamers and all fluttering or spinning advertising
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Section 33-284.99.42, of the Code</i> <i>Zoning</i>										
Section of the Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments</i>								
Sec. 33-284.99.42  Uses	2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) MC uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.	2. In the Industrial District (ID) area, the following uses: (a) <b>Mixed Use Corridor</b> uses at maximum residential densities shown on the Density Regulating Plan in Section 33-284.99.43 of this Code.  3. <b>In the Mixed-Use Corridor area on lots adjoining Northwest 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue between Northwest 54<sup>th</sup> and 62<sup>nd</sup> Streets hand car washes accessory to a permitted retail, food service, or office use shall be permitted subject to the following:</b> (a) Parking spaces used for car washing and patrons waiting for car washing shall not occupy parking spaces required for the principal uses of the lot. (b) Attention attracting devices, such as blinking or flashing lights, streamer lights, pennants, banners, streamers and all fluttering or spinning advertising								

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

			<p>devices (either mobile or stationary) are prohibited, except as permitted under point of sale sign regulations.</p> <p>(c) All outdoor paging or speaker systems are prohibited.</p> <p>(d) The areas used for car washing shall not occupy required landscape areas.</p> <p>(e) Street trees and parking lot buffers required by Chapter 18A shall be provided.</p>
	<p><b>Additional Information:</b></p> <p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC passed File No. 150084 on first reading amending Section 33-284.99.43 revising zoning and other land development regulations, updating the regulating plans, development parameters, and non-conforming use provisions for the Model City Urban Center District.</p>		
<b>7D 150343</b>	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, AND 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE CONTRACTUAL VIOLATIONS FOR FAILURE TO HAVE WRITTEN CONTRACTS WITH ALL FIRMS LISTED ON SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT OR LETTER OF AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE</p>		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01 and 10-33.02 of the Miami-Dade County Code to create contractual violations for failure to have written contracts with all firms listed on the schedule of intent affidavit or Letter of Agreement. Specifically, the proposed ordinance states that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The contractor's failure to enter into a written subcontract with a SBE or Micro Enterprise after listing the firm on its schedule of intent affidavit may result in the impositions of one or more of the sanctions listed in the Miami-Dade County Code; and</li> <li>Some of the contractual violations that may result in the imposition of sanctions may include failure to enter into a written second, third or fourth tier subcontract with a CSBE utilized to meet a second, third or fourth tier subcontractor goal.</li> </ul> <p><i>The Internal Services Department, Small Business Development Division, is already responsible for monitoring all County contracts, and existing staff will manage the proposed changes in this Ordinance. There is no fiscal impact associated with the proposed ordinance.</i></p>		
<b>7E 150345</b>	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, AND 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REQUIRE THAT APPEALS BE HEARD BY HEARING OFFICERS APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 8CC-2 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE</p>		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Small Business Enterprise Programs amends sections of the Code to require that appeals be heard by hearing officers appointed pursuant to Section 8CC-2 of the Code.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance refers to appeals filed by firms under the Small Business Enterprise Programs that have been denied certification, decertified, or issued a determination of noncompliance with the requirements of the existing ordinance.</p> <p>The Internal Services Department, Small Business Development Division, is already required to have hearing officers to hear the administrative appeal hearings, and existing staff will manage the proposed changes. There is no fiscal impact associated with the proposed ordinance.</p> <p><b>Additional Information – Sec. 8CC-2. Qualifications of officers and removal:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The County Manager shall create a Hearing Officer Review Board, comprised of three (3) members from code enforcement departments, one (1) member from the County Clerk's Office, two (2) members from the public at large, and one (1) member from the Office of the County Attorney. The duty of the Board shall be to recommend new Hearing Officer appointments and review, on an annual basis, the performance of Hearing Officers and, as necessary recommend the removal or reappointment of Hearing Officers to the County Manager.</li> <li>(b) The Hearing Officer Review Board shall use as its basis for recommendation for appointment, criteria to be developed by the Review Board for approval and concurrence of the County Manager and Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners. Such appointments by the County Manager shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board for ratification by the Clerk.</li> <li>(c) Hearing Officers shall be residents of Miami-Dade County who possess outstanding reputations for civic pride, interest, integrity, responsibility, and business or professional ability. Appointments shall be made by the County Manager or his designee upon recommendation of the Hearing Officer Review Board and on the basis of experience or interest in code enforcement. Such appointments shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners for ratification by the Clerk.</li> <li>(d) The County Manager or his designee shall appoint as many Hearing Officers as are deemed necessary. Appointments shall be made for a term of two (2) years. Upon recommendation of the Hearing Officer Review Board, any Hearing Officer may be reappointed at the discretion of the County Manager, subject to ratification by the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners. There shall be no limit on the number of reappointments that may be given to any individual Hearing Officer; provided, however, that a determination as to removal or reappointment must be made for each individual Hearing Officer at the end of each of his or her two-year term. The County Manager shall have authority to remove individual Hearing Officers with or without cause. Appointments to fill any vacancy shall be for the remainder of the unexpired term.</li> <li>(e) The Miami-Dade County Attorney's Office shall serve as general counsel to the Hearing Officers.</li> </ul>		
<b>7F 150822</b>	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REQUIRE PAYMENT TO PRIME VENDORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS OF UNDISPUTED AMOUNTS WITHIN 14 CALENDAR DAYS OF RECEIPT; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150342]</p>		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to require payment to prime vendors and</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</p> <p>According to the Mayor's Office, at this time it is difficult to assess the ultimate fiscal impact. The proposed ordinance may have a fiscal impact to some County departments, while other departments already meet the requirement. Additional staff may be required to ensure compliance Countywide. Immediate establishment of this guideline may result in the need for additional technological enhancements and/or staff. Ongoing efforts to implement efficiencies in the payment process will ultimately reduce processing time.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)  Prompt Payment</td><td>N/A</td><td>The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code ("Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance"), as to the contracts specified below:</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1)  Prompt Payment</td><td>All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.</td><td>All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. <b>Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.</b></td><td><i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i></td></tr></table> <p><b>During the March 3, 2015, BCC meeting, File No. 150342 was adopted on first reading. On April 16, 2015, a substitute to File No. 150342 was presented and forwarded to the BCC File No. 150822 (the proposed item), providing that SBE contract bid preferences will also be paid within 14 days for amounts not in dispute.</b></p>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*				Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)  Prompt Payment	N/A	The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code ("Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance"), as to the contracts specified below:		Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1)  Prompt Payment	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. <b>Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.</b>	<i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*																					
Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																		
Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)  Prompt Payment	N/A	The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code ("Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance"), as to the contracts specified below:																			
Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1)  Prompt Payment	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. <b>Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.</b>	<i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i>																		
7G 150175	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE NORTH CENTRAL DADE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE NORTH CENTRAL DADE AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE NORTH CENTRAL DADE MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING FOR RETROACTIVITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
7H 150176	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE BISCAYNE GARDENS AREA MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF A MUNICIPALITY IN THE BISCAYNE GARDENS AREA; PROVIDING THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ORDINANCE OR CODE SECTION TO THE CONTRARY, THE BISCAYNE GARDENS AREA MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL CONTINUE IN EXISTENCE FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME TO COMPLETE ITS RESPONSIBILITIES; PROVIDING FOR RETROACTIVITY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p><b><u>7G - 150715: North Central Dade Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC)</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance relating to the North Central Dade MAC will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the North Central area, or (ii) one year from the effective date of this ordinance, which provision will be retroactive from the date of sunset of the North Central Dade MAC thereby ratifying all previous actions. It is provided, however, that in no event will the North Central Dade MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <p><b><u>7H - 150176: Biscayne Gardens Area Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC)</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Biscayne Gardens Area (MAC) will remain in existence until the latter of (i) the date that the BCC votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the Biscayne Gardens area, or (ii) one year from the effective date of this ordinance, which provision will be retroactive from the date of sunset of the Biscayne Gardens Area MAC thereby ratifying all previous actions. It is provided, however, that in no event will the Biscayne Gardens Area MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of this ordinance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>On November 1, 2005, Ordinance No. 05-192, and on September 4, 2007, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 07-120, which, in relevant part, resulted in the suspension of the processing and consideration of proposed incorporations until a report prepared by the County Manager was presented to the BCC. On April 3, 2012, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 12-24, which repealed Ordinance No. 07-120.</li><li>In June of 2013, the North Central Dade MAC was reorganized and began to meet again; In September of 2013, the Biscayne gardens MAC was reorganized and began to meet again; and pursuant to Section 20-29(E) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, municipal advisory committees have a 24 month period to complete their study. Additional time is needed for the North</li></ul>																				

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>Central Dade MAC and the Biscayne Gardens MAC to conduct required public hearings and complete their studies and moreover, after completing the reports, to remain in existence to be able to respond to inquiries from the BCC.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Repealing Ordinance 07-120</u></b> On April 3, 2012, the BCC adopted Ordinance 12-24, relating to incorporation, repealing Ordinance No. 07-120 of Miami-Dade County, lifting the moratorium and deleting provisions that suspended processing and consideration of proposed incorporations. <i>On September 4, 2007, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 07-120, suspending the process and consideration of proposed incorporations until a report prepared by the County Manager was presented and considered by the BCC.</i></p> <p><b><u>Additional Information- 2015 Recent Legislation Pertaining to MACs</u></b> On April 21, 2015, the BCC through Ordinance No. 15-22, providing the Northeast Dade Area Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) <b>additional time for the MAC to update its report and requiring the MAC to meet and take action on its updated report only after considering the study on annexations and incorporations currently being conducted by PMG Associates, Inc.</b> The MAC will complete its report within six months of the date that the BCC accepts the PMG study.</p> <p>Additionally, Ordinance No. 15-22 provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Repealed Section 9 of Ordinance No. 04-104 containing a sunset provision retroactive to the date of enactment of such ordinance thereby ratifying all previous actions of the Northeast Dade Area Municipal Advisory Committee.</li> <li>• The MAC will remain in existence to respond to any requests and concerns of the BCC, up to the latter of the time the BCC, takes action on whether to forward the report to the Planning Advisory Board or the BCC submits the question of the creation of a new municipality to the electorate. In any event, however, the MAC will terminate two years from the date of the adoption of this ordinance.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Pertaining to PMG Annexation/Incorporation Study</u></b> On December 3, 2013, through R-1006-13, the BCC directed the County Mayor to conduct an abbreviated procurement process in an effort to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated areas.</p> <p>Pursuant to R-1006-13, a Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued under full and open competition. Two proposals were received in response to the solicitation, and the Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended the highest-ranked and lowest-priced proposer for award of this contract based on the criteria established in the RFP.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC, through R-972-14, authorized the execution of an agreement in the aggregate amount of \$200,000.00 with PMG Associates, Inc., for a one year term, to obtain recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County (Contract No. EPPRFP-00069).</p> <p>PMG will provide the following services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct a study analyzing proposed annexations and incorporations;</li> <li>• Develop a plan addressing the remaining unincorporated areas;</li> <li>• Perform a review of the economic, social and environmental makeup of unincorporated areas to determine whether the County should pursue future annexations and incorporations within the unincorporated areas;</li> <li>• Evaluate possible alternative governmental structures; and</li> <li>• Prepare a report summarizing its analyses, proffering recommendations and legislative language required to effectuate the recommended changes.</li> </ul>
<b>7I 150402</b>	ORDINANCE EXTENDING AMNESTY PERIOD CREATED BY ORDINANCE NO. 11-64 FOR AN ADDITIONAL ONE YEAR COMMENCING JULY 12, 2015; PROVIDING FOR A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS UPON A HOMEOWNER'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution extends the Amnesty Period set forth in the Amnesty Ordinance, for one additional year commencing July 12, 2015.</p> <p>On August 2, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-64, the BCC adopted a six-month Amnesty Period, creating a limited exception from civil penalties and liens resulting from Building Code violations upon a homeowner's compliance with the Building Code as a result of the severe economic crisis that existed in Miami-Dade County (the Amnesty Ordinance).</p> <p>On July 17, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-59, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance for an additional year. Subsequently, on July 2, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-61, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance's term to July 12, 2014 and on July 1, 2014, under Ordinance No. 14-66, to July 12, 2015.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b> According to the, <u>Amnesty Ordinance No. 11-64 – March 2015 Report</u>, dated April 22, 2015, since the approval of Ordinance No. 11-64 on August 2, 2011, the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) department completed 1,824 cases under the provisions of the Amnesty Ordinance. Total civil penalties and liens assessed amounted to approximately \$17,995,679. Once settlement amounts were reached, the relief to the property owners totaled approximately \$16,740,925.</p>
<b>7J 150329</b>	ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-284.47, 33-284.48, AND 33-284.51 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROVISIONS GOVERNING TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT (TND) DISTRICTS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS RELATED TO STREETWALLS, STREETEDGES, AND SWIMMING POOLS; AMENDING DEFINITIONS, DESIGN CRITERIA, AND DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends sections 33-284.47, 33-284.48 and 33-284.51 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding provisions governing traditional neighborhood development districts, including provisions related to streetwalls, streetedges, and swimming pools. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends definitions, design criteria and development parameters.</p> <p>This ordinance seeks to amend the County's Traditional Neighborhood Development (TND) zoning district regulations. The ordinance, among other things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarifies the definition of Frontage Line for purposes of building and wall placement;</li> <li>• Expands the definition of Streetedge to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within residential and civic areas. It also provides additional regulations regarding the use of vinyl/PVC fences;</li> <li>• Expands the definition of Streetwall to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within the Shopfront and Workshop land use categories of the District;</li> <li>• Introduce setback criteria for swimming pools in the TND District consistent with swimming pool setback requirements provided for other residential zoning districts;</li> <li>• Provides landscape buffer criteria for six (6) feet high vinyl/PVC fences installed between the frontage line and the setback for applicable TND land use categories;</li> <li>• Increases the permitted height for Civic Use buildings from forty (40) feet to a maximum of fifty (50) feet; and</li> <li>• Introduces streetedge criteria for the unbuilt portion of a Civic Use lot frontage line when provided.</li> </ul> <p>The proposed ordinance only applies to traditional neighborhood districts and will have no fiscal impact on the County.</p>
<p><b>7K 150978</b></p> <p><b>815 150711</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO BOATING SAFETY; CREATING ARTICLE XIX, SECTION 21-287 OF CHAPTER 21 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO PROVIDE FOR DEFINITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RELATING TO THE OPERATION OF WATER VESSELS ON MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATERWAYS; AMENDING CHAPTER 8CC OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY DIVERSION PROGRAM AND PENALTIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150558] [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8(I)(5)]</p> <p>RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 2-12 RELATED TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY DIVERSION PROGRAM TO EXPAND ELIGIBILITY TO INCLUDE VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 21-287 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA REGARDING RAFTING; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 150558]</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b><u>7K - 150978:</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance relating to boating safety creates article XIX, section 21-287 of chapter 21 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for definitions and rafting restrictions for water vessels operating on any waters lying within the boundaries of Miami-Dade County (Rafting is a common social practice where boats tie up to other boats).</p> <p>This new ordinance establishes rafting restrictions specifying that no more than five (5) vessels may raft or attach to one another by means of a line or any other means. These groups of rafted, tied, or attached vessels must maintain 100 feet of separation from other rafted water vessels. The standard proposed for Miami-Dade County is modeled after the Biscayne National Park policies, which were developed by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida. The penalty section provides enforcement flexibility, including arrest, or civil citation. Implementing Order 2-12 will separately be amended to include this ordinance in the Miami-Dade Diversion Program.</p> <p><b>Florida Statutes chapter 327 prohibits local regulations of vessels on the Florida Intracoastal Waterway, and therefore this ordinance will not apply to such vessels.</b></p> <p><b><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on April 15, 2015, File No. 150558 was amended to modify Section 1(d)(2) to read: "Repeat offenders may be imprisoned in the County jail for a period not to exceed 30 days."</i></b></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County. Enforcement of this ordinance will become part of routine law enforcement on any waters lying within the boundaries of Miami-Dade County by law enforcement agencies including the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) and municipal police departments. Revenues may be collected from proposed violations and fines. However, as a new ordinance, data is not available to provide a base line on the potential number of violations.</p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b></p> <p>On August 27, 2014, the Boating Under the Influence (BUI) Law Enforcement Task Force was convened to raise awareness about water safety and the dangers of mixing boating and alcohol. From October 2013 through September 2014, there have been 16 deaths on our local waterways. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission documented eight (8) boating accident fatalities and MDPD documented an additional eight (8) fatalities. <b>In many of these tragic maritime accidents, first responders were unable to reach the victims and render aid due to rafting, which sometimes involves up to dozens of boats tied together.</b></p> <p><b><u>815 - 150711:</u></b></p> <p>The proposed resolution authorizes amendments to Implementing Order 2-12, relating to Miami-Dade County Diversion Program which is administered by the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD). The proposed amendments establish the addition of rafting restrictions under Article XIX, Section 21-287 of Chapter 21 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to Miami-Dade County's Code Chapter 8CC violations.</p> <p>By including rafting restrictions as part of the Miami-Dade County Diversion Program, violators will be given the option to resolve their ordinance violation by either paying a fine or through the completion of community service hours, or a combination of both.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On June 20, 2009, the BCC passed Resolution No. R-868-09 directing the Mayor or designee to study whether cost savings can be achieved through decriminalizing select Miami-Dade County ordinance violations. The resulting Diversion Program is based on findings included in the report requested by the Board.</p> <p>When a violator is arrested or given a Civil Violation Notice (8-CC) for one of the Miami-Dade County Code violations, he/she is eligible and may elect to voluntarily participate in the Diversion Program.</p>
<p><b>8A1 150704</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY'S SYSTEM OF PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS, INCREASING THE AGREEMENT AMOUNT BY \$401,000.00, FOR NEW TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$1,226,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>8A3 150708</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH RICONDO &amp; ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY'S SYSTEM OF PUBLIC USE AIRPORTS, INCREASING THE AGREEMENT AMOUNT BY \$401,000.00, FOR NEW TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$1,226,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b><u>8A1</u></b> The proposed resolution approves the First Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement (Agreement) with <b>H. J. Ross Associates, Inc.</b> for Aviation Planning Services for the County's System of Public Use Airports, increasing the Agreement amount by \$401,000.00 for an adjusted Agreement amount of \$1,226,000.00.</p> <p><b>Contract Measures:</b> CBE goal 15% (\$123,441.00) <b>Contract Measures Achieved At Award:</b> 16% (\$131,670.08)</p> <p><b>CBE Subconsultants:</b> Nova Consulting, Inc. 8% (\$65,835.04) Nifah &amp; Partners Consulting Engineers, Inc. 8% (\$65,835.04)</p> <p><b>Contract Measures Achieved To Date:</b> 0% CBE goal (\$0) paid to sub-contractors to date; Contract is 16.34% complete (\$134,844.50)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In a letter dated February 6, 2015, H.J. Ross states that although the current level of CBE participation is below the Contract goal of 16%, they are fully committed to meeting or exceeding the CBE Goal by completion of the work anticipated under the Agreement.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>8A3</u></b> The proposed resolution approves the First Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement (Agreement) with <b>Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc. (Ricondo)</b> for Aviation Planning Services for the County's System of Airports, increasing the Agreement amount by \$401,000.00 for an adjusted Agreement amount of \$1,226,000.00.</p> <p><i>Ricondo is the current provider of Strategic Airport Master Planning Services for MDAD and has an overall performance average of 4.0 (Superior) in the Capital Improvements Information System.</i></p> <p><b>Contract Measures:</b> CBE goal 15% (\$123,441.00) <b>Contract Measures Achieved At Award:</b> 15% (\$123,441.00)</p> <p><b>Cbe Subconsultants:</b> M.C. Harry &amp; Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50) F.R. Aleman &amp; Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50)</p> <p><b>Contract Measures Achieved To Date:</b> 5% CBE goal to date (\$36,375.00); Contract is 87% complete (\$715,220.00) F.R. Aleman and Associates: 0%; and M.C. Harry to date: 5% (\$36,375.00)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In a letter dated February 2, 2015, Ricondo states that although the payments to the CBE subconsultants have not reached the monetary amount associated with the 15% goal, project work equal to nearly the full amount of the 15% measure has already been awarded, and they do not foresee any problems meeting the goal.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Within the past year, Miami International Airport (MIA) reached passenger and aircraft operations levels that were not projected to occur until the 2018-2020 timeframe. The Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) has required extensive services from both of its Aviation Planning Consultants (<b>Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc. and H. J. Ross Associates, Inc.</b>) for targeted studies to address airport facility and operational needs and enhancements. Many of these studies are comprehensive, multi-phased and extensive in scope. The extent of services required were difficult to anticipate in 2012 when the MDAD initiated the preparation of these PSAs. The studies, some of which are ongoing, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A new MIA Central Terminal Redevelopment Program, including the preparation of a Project Definition Document and coordination with airlines and stakeholders;</li> <li>A new centralized security checkpoint for MIA's South Terminal;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re-evaluation of the former Airport City parcels for re-purposing as Aviation airside parcels;</li> <li>• An analysis for a new domestic airline integration into MIA, including flight schedule analysis and facility impact simulations;</li> <li>• Development of a Safety Management System Gap Analysis for MIA;</li> <li>• Preparation of various studies analyzing Florida East Coast (FEC) proposed cargo building development on MIA's Runway Protection Zones; and</li> <li>• Reconfiguration of MIA's Concourse "E" FIS Facilities.</li> </ul> <p>As a result of all these factors, the original funding allocated to these two (2) Agreements is insufficient to address the emerging needs of the MDAD and has been prematurely exhausted. Therefore, additional funding is required in order for the firms to complete ongoing studies and continue to provide services for the remaining four (4) years of the Agreements.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b>  On December 3, 2013, the BCC, through R-966-13, approved the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to H.J. Ross Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00; and R-967-13 the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to Ricondo &amp; Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of \$825,000.00. Additionally, the Resolutions authorized the Mayor or designee to execute the agreements for and on behalf of the County. Services performed under the Agreements were work-order driven.</p>
<b>8A2 150707</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO BURNS &amp; MCDONNELL ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC., FOR THE SOUTH AND CENTRAL CHECKED BAGGAGE INSPECTION SYSTEMS/CHECKED BAGGAGE RECONCILIATION AREAS/BAGGAGE HANDLING SYSTEM PROGRAM AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, PROJECT NO. A14-MDAD-01 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$16,102,752.00 FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to Burns &amp; McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. for the South &amp; Central Checked Baggage Inspection Systems (CBIS)/Checked Baggage Reconciliation Areas (CBRA)/Baggage Handling System (BHS) Program in the amount of \$16,102,752.00 for a five (5) year contract period with no renewal options.</p> <p><i>Additional delegation of authority requested for this Agreement includes exercising any termination provisions.</i></p> <p>The Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) is seeking the required Architectural/Engineering (A/E) design services including but not limited to construction administration to facilitate the construction of the CBIS/CBRA four-story, 60,000-square-foot facility that will be integrated with the existing Central Terminal structure at Miami International Airport (MIA).</p> <p><i>The Internal Services Department's (ISD) CIIS database contains three (3) evaluations for Burns &amp; McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc., with an overall 2.1 rating out of a possible 4.0.</i></p> <p><b>Review Committee Assigned Contract Measures:</b> DBE 26.20% (\$3,936,000.00)</p> <p><b>Measures Achieved At Award:</b> DBE 30.00% (\$4,500,000.00)</p> <p><b>DBE Subconsultants:</b> Louis J. Aguirre &amp; Associates, P.A.; Premiere Design Solutions, Inc.; Mobio Architecture, Inc.; Gartek Engineering, Corp.; and Gurri Matute, Inc. P.A.</p>
<b>8A4 150799</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SECOND AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TURNBERRY AIRPORT HOLDINGS, LLC, FOR PREMISES AT MIAMI-OPA LOCKA EXECUTIVE AIRPORT TO PROVIDE FOR A 20 MONTH EXTENSION OF THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD AND EXTENDING THE TERM OF THE AGREEMENT FOR 40 YEARS</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Second Amendment to the Development Lease and Concession Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC, for the premises at Miami-Opa locka Executive Airport (OPF), to provide for a 20-month extension to complete construction and to provide for a 40 year base term from the effective date of the Second Amendment.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</b>  Turnberry will be required to pay annual land rent in the amount established by the Board, which is currently \$397,109.28 for approximately 41.4 acres. In addition, Turnberry will invest a minimum of \$17,000,000.00 for the design and construction of proposed hangars, a Fixed Base Operator (FBO) facility and associated ramp areas. Upon expiration of the lease, Turnberry will pay the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) the fair-market rental value for the buildings and pavement, in addition to the land rent.</p> <p><b>Background</b>  Pursuant to Resolution No. R-266-98, on March 17, 1998, the Board approved a Development Lease and Concession Agreement with JP Aviation Investments, Inc., under which JP Aviation committed to construct various facilities on designated portions of a 41.4-acre tract at OPF. The 1998 Agreement required JP Aviation to invest \$2,000,000.00 in improvements to provide general aviation services on the premises. The term of the agreement was 25 years for three (3) phases of development and 35 years for two (2) other phases.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-718-05, on November 10, 2005, the First Amendment to the Agreement's original lease term (i) divided the premises into three (3) parcels; (ii) established an initial lease term of 30 years with a right of renewal for two (2) additional ten-year periods; (iii) approved the joint development sublease with Turnberry for construction of hangar facilities; and (iv) provided for JP Aviation's payment of rent on all three (3) phases as of the effective date.</p> <p>Turnberry has become a substantial tenant at OPF. To date, Turnberry has spent approximately \$22,000,000.00 in first-class facilities and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>proposes to spend an additional \$17,000,000.00 for an FBO terminal building on the remainder of the premises. In order to obtain financing for this project, Turnberry has asked that the two (2) ten-year renewal terms be collapsed into the remaining initial term of the lease, for effectively a 40-year lease expiring in 2055, as approved by the Board in the First Amendment. This project exceeds MDAD's policy of requiring a tenant to invest not less than \$10,000.00 per acre (41.4) per lease year. Under that policy, Turnberry would be required to invest \$20,700,000.00 but Turnberry has already spent \$22,000,000.00 and will spend an additional \$17,000,000.00 for a total of \$39,000,000.00.</p> <p>The Federal Aviation Administration has reviewed and accepted the proposed Second Amendment.</p>
<b>8B1 150546</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY HOUSING AND SUPPORT FOR INMATES DURING AN ACTUAL OR ANTICIPATED EMERGENCY; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Miami-Dade County (County) Mayor or County Mayor's designee to retroactively approve an Interagency Agreement (Agreement) between the Florida Department of Corrections (FDOC) and the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR) for the purpose of establishing emergency housing for inmates within FDOC facilities.</p> <p>The term of this Agreement will begin on the date on which it is signed by both parties, and will end at midnight, three (3) years from the date of execution. This Agreement includes one (1) renewal option for an additional three (3) year period.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> MDCR is required to reimburse FDOC a per diem rate of \$5.00 per day per inmate for basic care, including three (3) meals a day, laundry services, and basic hygiene items. MDCR General Fund monies will be utilized to pay for these services.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On September 2, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-919-08, authorized the County Mayor, or his designee, to execute a Memorandum of Agreement with the FDOC to house Miami-Dade County inmates prior to, during, or immediately after an actual or anticipated emergency, including hurricanes or other related natural disasters.</p> <p>MDCR was required to reimburse the FDOC at a per diem rate of \$5.00 per day per inmate for basic care, to include food services (three [3] meals daily), laundry services and basic hygiene items. The General Fund was used to pay for these services.</p> <p><b><i>This agreement between MDCR and FDOC expired on March 3, 2015.</i></b></p>
<b>8C1 150520</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AGREEMENT TO M.C. HARRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,650,000.00 FUNDED FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS FOR ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND SPECIALTY CONSULTING SERVICES FOR PROJECT NO. A13-CUA-02 GOB - HISTORYMIAMI RENOVATION PROJECT WITH AN INITIAL THREE YEAR TERM WITH TWO ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RE-NEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award of a Professional Service Agreement (PSA) with a total compensation amount not to exceed (NTE) \$1,650,000.00 and a total contract term of three (3) years with two (2) additional one-year options to extend to provide architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services for the HistoryMiami Renovation Project to M.C. Harry and Associates, Inc.</p> <p>The services for the HistoryMiami Renovation project are to be funded through the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bonds (BBC-GOB).</p> <p>The Basic Services scope of work includes architectural, engineering, and specialty consultant services for expansion of and consolidation with the current HistoryMiami space and the facility formerly occupied by the Miami Art Museum (MAM). The Department of Cultural Affairs (CUA) will work in close consultation with HistoryMiami, Miami-Dade County Public Library (Main Branch), Information Technology Department (ITD), and Internal Services Department (ISD) to maximize shared resources and minimize the impact of the new improvements to the surrounding facilities. The work will include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phase 1: Conceptual plans with cost estimates to merge the two (2) museum spaces into an expanded and renovated facility for HistoryMiami. The selected consultant must provide three (3) detailed scenarios for improvements to the Cultural Center Plaza (Plaza) that unify the renovated museum and the Main Branch of the Miami-Dade County Public Library. The three scenarios envisioned include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ An addition connecting the two (2) existing museum facilities and required improvements, if any, to the Plaza necessary to complete the museum renovation proposal;</li> <li>○ Connection and required renovation of the two (2) existing museum facilities and renovations and/or repairs to the Plaza to enhance, among other things, accessibility from the ground level to the raised Plaza level; and</li> <li>○ Connection and required renovation of the two (2) existing museum facilities and the elimination (demolition) of part of the entire raised Plaza, design of a new Plaza at ground level, and relocation of the services and tenants currently housed below the Plaza.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Phases 2-5: Design development, cost estimates, construction documents and specifications, bidding and award services, and construction administration for the chosen scenario. <b>At the County's sole and absolute discretion, the County may elect to have the selected consultant proceed with Phases 2-5 of the Project.</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>To the extent that the County elects not to have the selected consultant complete Phases 2-5 as part of this contract, then the County may seek to have another architectural, engineering and specialty consultant team complete Phases 2-5 of the Project. The consultant selected to perform Phase 1 of the Project will be ineligible to submit qualifications or proposals on any subsequent solicitation for subsequent phases of the Project.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>



**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On January 20, 2011, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-06-11, approved a significant modification to BBC-GOB Project No. 297 – "New Miami Museum of Science &amp; Planetarium Facility/ Historical Museum of Southern Florida" and Project No. 268 – "Renovation and Rehabilitation of the Main Library" following a public hearing. The Historical Museum of Southern Florida is now known as "HistoryMiami."</p> <p>There was no fiscal impact to the existing BBC-GOB allocations as a result of these modifications. \$4 million from Project 297 was to be re-allocated to Project 268 for additional redesign and construction of the Miami-Dade County Cultural Center. The reduced allocation of \$171 million for Project 297 was designated as follows: \$165 million remained allocated to the Miami Science Museum, and \$6 million was to be used for renovation and expansion of HistoryMiami.</p>
<b>8C2 150629</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 26 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$129,000.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – THIRD QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06 REQUIRING EXECUTION OF CONTRACT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS AND THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the funding of 26 grants for a total of \$129,000.00 from the Department of Cultural Affairs Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Community Grants Program- Third Quarter for various entities. Additionally, the proposed ordinance waives provisions of Resolution No. R-130-06, requiring execution of contracts by non-County parties prior to placement on the agenda, in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time sensitive tourism-oriented and community events.</p> <p><i>The Community Grants Panel convened on February 26, 2015 to review 26 applications requesting \$194,655.00 for the Third Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 26 applicants for a total of \$129,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at its meeting on March 18, 2015.</i></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the Fiscal Year 2014-15 County budget ordinance. Upon adoption of the Fiscal Year 2014-15 ordinance, under Grants to Programs for Artists and Non-Profit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$525,000.00 is allocated for Fiscal Year 2014-15 Community (CG) Grants. A remaining balance of \$81,500.00 is to be used in the subsequent quarter of the program.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On November 5, 2014, the BCC, through R-969-14, authorized the funding of 30 grants for a total of \$147,500.00 from the Department of Cultural Affairs 2014-2015 Community Grants Program – First Quarter.</li> <li>On January 21, 2015, the BCC through, R-15-15, authorized the funding of 28 grants for a total of \$167,000.00 from the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Community Grants Program – Second Quarter.</li> </ul>
<b>8E1 150713</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES RELATED TO RESPONSES TO CHEMICAL TERRORISM AND OTHER CHEMICAL EMERGENCIES THAT THREATEN THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY WHERE THOSE AGREEMENTS CONTAIN ANY INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL OR OTHERWISE EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 381.95 OR 395.1056 OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES, AFTER CONSULTATION WITH AND SUBJECT TO APPROVAL AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY'S MAYOR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE AN ANNUAL REPORT
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's Designee to enter into agreements with agencies and departments of the State of Florida and the federal government related to responses to chemical terrorism and other chemical emergencies that threaten the public health and safety. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee will provide an annual report to the Board indicating the number of the agreements entered into under the authority granted by this resolution and the fiscal impact, if any, of such agreements, and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
<b>8F2 150538</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS UNDER CONTRACT NO. 9301-0/20, MULTIFUNCTIONAL DEVICES, MAINTENANCE AND SOLUTIONS PREQUALIFICATION TOTALING \$70,000.00 TO THE OFFICE OF THE CITIZENS' INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST FOR REPLACEMENT LEASES OF SUCH DEVICES; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes a transfer of \$70,000 in unallocated funds under Contract No. 9301-0/20, Multifunctional Devices, Maintenance and Solutions Prequalification, to the Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT), one of various departments having an allocation under the contract.</p> <p>The CITT will use the funds to pay for replacement leases for two (2) multifunctional devices (i.e., copier machines). The copiers are used by the CITT's staff to support daily office operations. The leases for the CITT's current copiers expired and this funding will be used to lease one (1) high-capacity monochromatic and one (1) color copier.</p> <p>Under this Board-approved pool, all releases of allocation require review and approval by the Mayor. Mayoral approval for the release was received in January 2015.</p> <p>Approval by the CITT and the BCC is required as the funding source for these leases is People's Transportation Plan (PTP) Charter County Sales Surtax funding. Office equipment to support the CITT's operations is an authorized use of PTP funding. Approval was received by the CITT at its March 2015 meeting.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<p>Contract No. 9301-0/20, which expires on July 31, 2021, has an existing allocation of \$34 million for the 10-year term. This item requests the transfer of \$70,000 from the contract’s existing allocation to cover the cost of the replacement leases. The transfer of funds does not change the contract’s current allocation.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Transferred Allocation</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Office of CITT</td><td>15,000</td><td>70,000</td><td>85,000</td><td>PTP Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Various</td><td>8,423,000</td><td>0</td><td>8,423,000</td><td>Various</td></tr><tr><td>Unallocated</td><td>25,562,000</td><td>(70,000)</td><td>25,492,000</td><td>N/A</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>34,000,000</td><td>0</td><td>34,000,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Of the eleven (11) vendors recommended for award, two (2) are local.</p>	Department	Existing Allocation	Transferred Allocation	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Office of CITT	15,000	70,000	85,000	PTP Funds	Various	8,423,000	0	8,423,000	Various	Unallocated	25,562,000	(70,000)	25,492,000	N/A	Total	34,000,000	0	34,000,000	
Department	Existing Allocation	Transferred Allocation	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																						
Office of CITT	15,000	70,000	85,000	PTP Funds																						
Various	8,423,000	0	8,423,000	Various																						
Unallocated	25,562,000	(70,000)	25,492,000	N/A																						
Total	34,000,000	0	34,000,000																							
8F3 150539	RESOLUTION RATIFYING ACTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE WITH RESPECT TO ADDING VENDORS TO OPEN POOL CONTRACTS DURING THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2014 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2014																									
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the actions of the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee in the addition of vendors to various active open pool contracts. This item is presented to the Board in accordance with Resolution R-395-12, which requires that a report of vendors added to open pool contracts be provided to the Board for bi-annual ratification.</p> <p>The proposed resolution covers the period from July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 and shows the 197 vendors added to prequalification pools. The small business certification designation is also included.</p> <p><b>Background:</b> Open pre-qualification pools are established in order to allow qualified vendors to participate in spot market competitions for specified goods and services. Vendors meeting the minimum qualification requirements, as specified in a solicitation, can be added at any time during the contract term. This provides ongoing opportunities to vendors interested in participating in the County’s competitive business process. Prequalification pools generally provide enhanced competition and improved pricing for the County.</p> <p><b>Additional Information:</b> Of the 197 vendors added to prequalification pools, 117 are local.</p>																									
8F4 150540	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00150 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$30,900,000.00 FOR CARPET, RELATED FLOORING MATERIALS AND INSTALLATION SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS																									
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00150, for the purchase of carpet, related flooring materials, and installation services as needed for various County departments. The solicitation includes two groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Group A - Purchase of Carpet; and</li><li>• Group B - Carpet Installation Services.</li></ul> <p>Pre-qualified vendors under Group A are required to be product manufacturers while pre-qualified vendors under Group B are required to be an authorized distributor, own or lease a warehouse in South Florida, and be properly trained to install the solicited product. The proposed contract’s method of award for Group B has been revised from a fixed price schedule under the existing contract to a pre-qualification pool.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</b> The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$30,900,000. The prior contract was for five (5) years and six (6) months and valued at \$33,113,836. The replacement contract’s allocation is based on the user departments’ projected needs over the contract’s term:</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>22,000,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>4,700,000</td><td>Internal Service Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Port Miami</td><td>4,000,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>200,000</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>30,900,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on October 8, 2014. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Eleven vendors responded to the solicitation and met the pre-qualification criteria outlined for the applicable award group. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the contract term. Four of the eleven vendors pre-qualified for the pool are local.</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Aviation	22,000,000	Proprietary Funds	Internal Services	4,700,000	Internal Service Funds	Port Miami	4,000,000	Proprietary Funds	Public Housing and Community Development	200,000	Federal Funds	Total	30,900,000								
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																								
Aviation	22,000,000	Proprietary Funds																								
Internal Services	4,700,000	Internal Service Funds																								
Port Miami	4,000,000	Proprietary Funds																								
Public Housing and Community Development	200,000	Federal Funds																								
Total	30,900,000																									
8F5 150556	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$973,000.00 FOR CONTRACT FB-00142 FOR PURCHASE OF COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS TRUCK TRACTORS FOR THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC WORKS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT																									

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request to increase expenditure authority by \$973,000 to Contract FB-00142, Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Truck Tractors for the purchase of five (5) additional CNG truck tractors for the Public Works and Waste Management Department.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The contract has a current allocation of \$973,000 for the one-year term, which expires on December 31, 2015. The full amount of this contract was utilized for the purchase of the initial five (5) CNG truck tractors and this modification will increase the contract allocation by \$973,000, resulting in a total modified contract allocation of \$1,946,000.</p> <p><i>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition to establish Contract FB-00142 for the purchase of up to ten (10) CNG truck tractors. An initial five (5) CNG truck tractors have been ordered and this modification will provide the necessary expenditure authority to purchase the remaining five (5) CNG truck tractors. The ten (10) CNG truck tractors are part of a pilot program to assist the County in transitioning to a CNG fleet. The pilot program will provide the County an opportunity to closely monitor performance and fuel savings associated with CNG truck tractors. The Public Works and Waste Management Department will utilize these truck tractors for its waste management operations, specifically for pulling 85 cubic yard capacity refuse transfer trailers to and from the department's Waste-to-Energy Plant and active landfill sites.</i></p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-419-14, authorized the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a Request for Proposals (RFP), soliciting proposals from qualified firms to enter into a Master Developer Agreement with the County for the design, financing, construction, maintenance and operation of a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Program. During the BCC meeting, amendments were made to the item as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The first amendment would delete reference to the United States in Section 2.2(a) on handwritten page 13, and it would read as follows: "(a) The proposer shall have demonstrated satisfactory experience in the implementation of large scale CNG conversion plans. Large scale implementations include those with a number of vehicles, miles in service, gas consumption, and number of facilities are compatible to the County's CNG Program objectives of converting the entire heavy fleet contemplated in this solicitation or at least one CNG conversion program involving long-term fueling commitments, public/private partnerships, and/or the generation of third party CNG fuel sale revenues."</i></li> <li>• <i>The second amendment proffered by Commissioner Bovo would insert Subsection 2.2(c), and it would read as follows: "In the event that the proposer attempts to accomplish a portion of the County's CNG Program objectives through third party contracting, the proposer's CNG implementation plan shall describe the arrangements in detail including which portion is to be accomplished through such arrangements, the relationship of such portions to the balance of the project, the financial strength of the third party, and any past history of the success of similar arrangements."</i></li> <li>• <i>Additionally, the Assistant County Attorney recommended inserting the words "and/or subcontractors" following the reference to "third party contracting." The item was amended with the recommended changes.</i></li> </ul> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC also adopted Resolution No. R-420-14 which authorized the advertisement of two (2) solicitations seeking firms to design, build, operate and maintain CNG facilities and infrastructure for (a) Miami-Dade Transit and (b) the heavy equipment maintained by the Internal Services, Public Works and Waste Management, and Water and Sewer departments. Proposals were received in December 2014 and are currently in the evaluation phase. It is important to note that the CNG solicitations are under the Cone of Silence at this time. The ten (10) CNG truck tractors that were to be purchased under Contract FB-00142 are part of a pilot program that are intended to assist the County to transition to a CNG fleet once the two (2) solicitations currently in the evaluation phase are awarded.</p>
<p><b>8F6 150586</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00117 WITH A TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUE OF \$1,800,000.00 FOR RECYCLING SERVICES FOR THE MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC WORKS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT SPOT BIDS, AWARD SUBSEQUENT CONTRACTS, ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS, EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND ANY OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of prequalification pool Contract No. RTQ-00117 for recycling services for the Miami-Dade Public Works and Waste Management Department with a total estimated revenue of \$1,800,000.00 and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis. A copy of the contract document is on file with and available upon request from the Internal Services Department, Procurement Management Services Division.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The estimated revenue for the eight-year term is \$1,800,000 from the revenue generated by the Public Works and Waste Management Department. This estimate is based on historical data and forecasting.</p> <p>This Request to Qualify (RTQ) replaces two (2) contracts: Contract No. 9171-0/14, Recycling Services for White Goods, a five-year contract generating annual revenue of \$270,000; and Contract No. IQ9150-0/14, Recycle Auto/Boat Batteries, a contract for five (5) years and six (6) months that generated annual revenue of \$1,000. Market research indicates the same vendors under these two (2) contracts provide recycling services for the materials in the recommended contract. Accordingly, a single replacement solicitation was issued to reduce the administrative overhead required to issue multiple purchase orders for like services under two (2) contracts. The pre-qualification method of award allows the County to aggregate materials, when received, to issue a single spot market quote rather than multiple quotes in order to maximize the revenue generated.</p> <p>An RTQ was issued under full and open competition on August 11, 2014. Three (3) vendors responded to the RTQ. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	spot market competitions. One vender did not pre-qualify for the pool because they rescinded their submission. Spot market quotes will be conducted amongst the qualified vendors with award to be made to the vendor offering the highest revenue to the County. None of the prequalified vendors are local.																		
8F7 150588	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00116 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$20,236,000.00 FOR MEAT, POULTRY, DAIRY AND FROZEN FOODS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a pre-qualification pool, RTQ-00116, for the purchase of meat, poultry, dairy and frozen foods for multiple County departments. Pre-qualified vendors will provide and deliver grocery products, including, but not limited to, frozen poultry products, frozen beef, assorted vegetables, cheeses and yogurts. The food products will be graded by the United States Department of Agriculture and will not contain pink slime.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$20,236,000. The current pre-qualification pool, 2834-0/14, is for five (5) years and four (4) months and is valued at \$15,498,000. The new pool represents approximately a \$31,000 reduction per month when compared to the previous pool. The difference in allocation under the replacement pool is based on the user departments' projected needs over the eight-year term.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>18,500,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Community Action and Human Services</td><td>160,000</td><td>General Fund and Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>776,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>800,000</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>20,236,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Upon approval of this item, a pool of pre-qualified vendors will be established to participate in spot market competitions. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee will have the authority to solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the Board.</p> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued on August 20, 2014 under full and open competition. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Seven (7) vendors responded to the solicitation. Two vendors were not prequalified for the pool because they failed to submit documents –references and licenses – to meet the RTQ's requirements. Upon receipt and verification of the missing information, the vender will be added to the pool. Two of the seven vendors who responded to the solicitation are local.</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Corrections and Rehabilitation	18,500,000	General Fund	Community Action and Human Services	160,000	General Fund and Federal Funds	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	776,000	General Fund	Public Housing and Community Development	800,000	Federal Funds	Total	20,236,000	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																	
Corrections and Rehabilitation	18,500,000	General Fund																	
Community Action and Human Services	160,000	General Fund and Federal Funds																	
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	776,000	General Fund																	
Public Housing and Community Development	800,000	Federal Funds																	
Total	20,236,000																		
8F8 150634	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$738,000.00 FOR CONTRACT 9535-1/21 FOR PURCHASE OF TOOLS AND ACCESSORIES FOR THE MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request to increase expenditure authority by \$738,000 to Contract 9535-1/21, Tools and Accessories Prequalification. The additional spending authority will allow Miami-Dade Transit to continue purchasing various types of tools and accessories used to repair and maintain facilities as well as the aging Metrorail and Metromover systems. The items available for purchase under this pool include, but are not limited to, cordless, manual, hydraulic, pneumatic, power and material handling tools and equipment. Miami-Dade Transit employees require daily access to such tools and accessories to support departmental operations.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The contract has an allocation of \$7,010,000 for the 60-month term, which expires on April 30, 2017. The modification of this contract will increase the existing allocation for Miami-Dade Transit by \$738,000 and bring the total contract value to \$7,748,000.</p> <p>Twenty nine (29) vendors were awarded. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee may also add qualified vendors to the pool at any time during the term of the contract, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board.</p>																		
8F9 150638	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$140,000.00 FOR CONTRACT 9436-2/17-1 FOR PURCHASE OF UNIFORMS AND ACCESSORIES FOR THE MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT																		
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a request to increase expenditure authority by \$140,000 to Contract 9436-2/17-1, Uniforms and Accessories for Miami-Dade Transit.</p> <p>This contract was originally established in May 2011 and is used by Miami-Dade Transit to purchase contractually-required uniforms (e.g., pants, shorts, shirts, skirts and blazers) and accessories (e.g., belts and socks) for employees who receive a uniform allowance from the County. Due to higher than anticipated uniform orders, Miami-Dade Transit has nearly depleted its current contract allocation and is requesting this increase in spending authority to cover the cost of those orders. The rise in uniform quantities is due to new graduating classes of bus and rail operators as well as tenured bus and rail operators who have placed uniform orders since the award of this contract.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The contract, which expires on June 30, 2015, has an existing allocation of \$1,377,000 for the two-year term, of which approximately</p>																		

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>\$1,167,000 has been released to date. This modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$140,000 for Miami-Dade Transit as shown below and will increase the total contract value to \$1,517,000.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Transit</td><td>1,197,000</td><td>140,000</td><td>1,337,000</td><td>MDT Operating</td></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>180,000</td><td>0</td><td>180,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>1,377,00</td><td>140,000</td><td>1,517,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Two (2) vendors were awarded and both are local companies.</p>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Transit	1,197,000	140,000	1,337,000	MDT Operating	Aviation	180,000	0	180,000	Proprietary Funds	TOTAL	1,377,00	140,000	1,517,000	
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																	
Transit	1,197,000	140,000	1,337,000	MDT Operating																	
Aviation	180,000	0	180,000	Proprietary Funds																	
TOTAL	1,377,00	140,000	1,517,000																		
8F10 150649	RESOLUTION APPROVING ACCESS OF FLORIDA SHERIFFS ASSOCIATION CONTRACT 14-12-0904 FOR THE PURCHASE OF CAB AND CHASSIS TRUCKS AND OTHER FLEET EQUIPMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$4,709,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCESS THE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER RIGHTS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves accessing the Florida Sheriffs Association (FSA) competitively-established contract, 14-12-0904, Cab and Chassis Trucks and Other Fleet Equipment for the purchase of utility vehicles on behalf of the Aviation and Water and Sewer departments. The utility vehicles covered under this contract include, but are not limited to, trucks, backhoes, motorgraders, agriculture tractors, skid steer loaders, street sweepers, generators, and light towers.</p> <p>The Aviation Department is requesting to access the contract to purchase four (4) John Deere agricultural tractors, including an Alamo Eagle Flex Wing to perform routine maintenance and landscaping on County airfields. The Water and Sewer Department desires to access the contract to purchase ten (10) conventional chassis freightliners and Vac-Con Sewer Cleaning Trucks, two (2) Caterpillar wheel loaders, and one (1) Ford F-350 to support operations throughout the department's water and sewer infrastructure. More specifically, the utility vehicles will be used to assist in maintaining water treatment facilities by hauling materials needed for repairs and inspections. The vehicles will also be used to clean and open clogged catch basins, manholes, and sewer lines. All of the vehicles to be purchased will replace existing old inoperable fleet and/or machinery in both departments.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The fiscal impact for this purchase is \$4,709,000.00 and the allocations are based on the price of the vehicles.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>316,00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>4,393,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>4,709,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Of the five (5) vendors recommended for award, one (1) is local.</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Aviation	316,00	Proprietary Funds	Water and Sewer	4,393,000	Proprietary Funds	TOTAL	4,709,000									
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																			
Aviation	316,00	Proprietary Funds																			
Water and Sewer	4,393,000	Proprietary Funds																			
TOTAL	4,709,000																				
8F11 150531	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP 872 TO ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGIES, INC. FOR FOREIGN OBJECT DEBRIS DETECTION SYSTEM IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT, INCLUDING RENEWAL PERIODS, NOT TO EXCEED \$7,299,000.00 FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Request for Proposals No. 872 Foreign Object Debris Detection System to Organizational Strategies, Inc. (OSI) for a turn-key airport Foreign Object Debris Detection System (System) that will be utilized by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department to detect objects on the airfield that have the capacity to injure airline personnel, damage aircrafts, or impact aircrafts during flight. The System will initially be installed on Runway 8R-26L at Miami International Airport, which handles the majority of air carrier operations, to allow for automated, continuous detection of foreign object debris on the runway without disrupting airside operations.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The initial five-year term of the contract is \$4,658,000, which includes the associated hardware maintenance support services for the System. The cumulative value of the contract will be \$7,299,000 for 14 years if the County exercises the three (3), three-year options to renew. The \$4,658,000 will be allocated to the Aviation Department and the funding sources are as follows: Federal Funds - \$2,550,000; State Funds - \$1,053,740; and Proprietary Funds - \$1,053,740.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on September 16, 2013. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. Prior to the receipt of proposals, three (3) pre-proposal conferences, including site visits, were provided to potential vendors so they may understand the project requirements, observe site and traffic flow conditions within Miami International Airport, and review the technical drawings and documents pertinent to Runway 8R-26L. Two (2) proposals were received in response to the solicitation.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Selection Committee's evaluation of the proposals, Varec, Inc. (Varec), the highest-ranked proposer, was recommended for negotiations. A total of six (6) negotiation sessions and five (5) site visits with Varec took place to discuss general terms and conditions, approach, methodology, airfield conditions, utilization of existing infrastructure, and pricing. Varec was granted additional site visits to inspect the airfield in an effort to identify opportunities to reduce their proposed price and submitted a revised price offering that proffered a new conduit system for the proposed foreign object debris detection system. The revised price proposal increased the price from \$6,531,170 to \$7,981,827 for the initial contract term. The total cost exceeded the \$5 million project budget by over \$2.9 million.</p>																				

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>It was determined by the Negotiation Team that the revised price proposal was not acceptable, as it significantly exceeded the project budget and included additional time for the implementation of the project. Consequently, the Negotiation Team voted to terminate negotiations with Varec and to proceed to the second highest-ranked proposer, OSI. Negotiations with OSI resulted in a best value contract that meets all of the requirements within the solicitation and falls below the established project budget.</p> <p><b><u>Supplement to Contract Award Recommendation for Contract No. RFP 872 – Foreign Object Debris Detection System – Bid Protest:</u></b> This supplement is being provided in conjunction with the contract award to OSI to report the findings of a bid protest that was filed with the Clerk of the Board on December 22, 2014 by Varec, one (1) of the two (2) respondents to Request for Proposal RFP 872.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In accordance with bid protest policies and procedures, as set forth in Section 2-8.4 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-21, a Hearing Examiner was appointed and a bid protest hearing was conducted on January 26, 2015. The Hearing Examiner upheld the Mayor's contract award recommendation.</i></p>
<b>8F12 150868</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF PROPOSALS TENDERED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 833 FOR COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY TOWERS ON COUNTY PROPERTIES; WAIVING, BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT, COMPETITIVE BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE CHARTER, SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 AND BID PROTEST PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.4 OF THE COUNTY CODE TO AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF AN INVITATION TO BID FOR BEST AND FINAL OFFER AMONG THE FIVE PROPOSERS UNDER REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 833 FOR COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY TOWERS ON COUNTY PROPERTIES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150547]</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the following actions related to Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 833, Communications Facility Towers on County Properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rejects all five (5) proposals received; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the waiving of the competitive and bid protest processes in accordance with Section 5-03(D) of the Charter, Sections 2-8.1 and 2-8.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (County) and Implementing Order 3-38 to conduct an Invitation to Bid/Best and Final Offer (BAFO) process with the five (5) proposers.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The anticipated fiscal impact of the initial ten-year contract term was estimated to have been approximately \$1,500,000. The solicitation included two (2), five-year options to renew.</p> <p>This solicitation was issued on behalf of the Information Technology Department to select a contractor that would market County-owned properties to the wireless communications industry for the placement of communications facility towers. The selected contractor would have been required to pay the County an annual ground lease fee for use of a site as well as a fixed percentage of the total revenue generated through sublicense agreements with wireless providers.</p> <p>Five (5) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. Two proposals were deemed non-responsive by the Office of the County Attorney. One vendor was found non-responsive as it qualified its proposal by striking language from a submittal form that would have bound it to the terms of its proposal. The other was deemed non-responsive because the firm failed to submit forms that would bind it to the terms of its proposal, including the required revenue projection form.</p> <p>The remaining three (3) proposers were deemed responsive and evaluated by the Selection Committee. However, there was inconsistency in scoring by the Selection Committee due to confusion regarding the application of points for optional development of sites located at Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department facilities. As a result, it is deemed in the County's best interest to reject all five (5) proposals received.</p> <p>If the Board approves the rejection and authorizes the waiving of the competitive and bid protest processes, the County will issue an Invitation to Bid/BAFO to the five proposers. The method of award under the new solicitation will be to the single responsive and responsible bidder offering the highest revenue to the County. No subjective scoring will be completed under the Invitation to Bid/BAFO eliminating any inconsistency in the application of points. Award of a contract resulting from the Invitation to Bid/BAFO will be presented to the Board for approval. This method is similar to that utilized for the Miami-Dade Transit Security Guard Services award several months ago.</p>
<b>8F13 150984</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, RFP-00196, FOR A SELF-FUNDED EMPLOYEE GROUP HEALTHCARE PROGRAM FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE; PRESERVING COUNTY MAYOR'S DELEGATED AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE INCLUDING THE AUTHORITY TO ISSUE ADDENDA AS NECESSARY DURING ADVERTISEMENT PERIOD; DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR TO INCLUDE IN MEMORANDUM TO THE BOARD RECOMMENDING AWARD DESCRIPTION OF ADDENDA, IF ANY</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves Request for Proposals No. RFP-00196, Self-Funded Employee Group Healthcare Program (RFP) and authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to advertise the RFP.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>A recommendation for award of any contract resulting from this solicitation will be presented to the Board for approval. The Board will be notified of any addenda issued during the advertisement period when the award recommendation is presented to the Board.</i></p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> On April 23, 2015, the Board held a policy discussion regarding the RFP and directed the Administration to present a final RFP at its May 5, 2015 meeting in the form of an approval to advertise.</p> <p>Proposals are being requested from qualified vendors to provide third-party administration services for the County's Healthcare Program.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

The County seeks a plan design that mirrors the County's existing benefit options, with the inclusion of robust wellness and disease management programs. Additionally, proposers are highly encouraged to propose up to two (2) alternative plan options that target cost savings for the County and its employees. Any changes to the County's current plan design would have to be negotiated with the labor unions.

Before its completion, the scope of services for this RFP in various draft forms was posted for industry comment on multiple occasions (February 10, March 24, April 13 and April 16, 2015) for an aggregate period of four (4) weeks. An award will be made to a responsive, responsible proposer based on the best value to the County. The solicitation has been reviewed and approved by the Internal Services and Human Resources departments, and reviewed for legal sufficiency by the County Attorney's Office.

The following labor unions provided direct input: AFSCME 199, General; AFSCME 1542, Aviation; AFSCME 3292 Solid Waste; and General Government Supervisors Union. Furthermore, notification has been provided to all labor unions regarding the posting of this RFP as a future solicitation.

**Fiscal Impact:**

The final amount of the contract, funding source, and term will be presented to the Board once there is a recommendation to award.

**Additional Information:**

The County implemented a self-funded health program in August 2007. AvMed, Inc. has served as the County's administrator since the inception of such program. Currently, the County employs approximately 26,000 individuals in South Florida, although the program covers 46,000 lives. Covered groups include Miami-Dade County active employees, retirees (Medicare and Non-Medicare eligible), Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) participants and their eligible dependents, in addition to both the Housing Finance and Industrial Development Authorities. Jackson Health System (JHS) has been a covered group within the County's Program since the inception of the current agreement, with the exception of Plan year 2011. However, JHS will not participate as a covered group in the County's future program resulting from this solicitation.

The County's existing Plan Design includes two (2) Point-of-Service (POS) options, and four (4) Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) options: two (2) Open Access High, one (1) Select and one (1) Low. Additionally, there are three (3) design options offered to Medicare-eligible retirees. Modifications to the County's benefit levels are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Additionally, the County reserves the right to alter the current plan design going forward. The County is not interested in proposals that only offer one of the above design options described.

The purpose of the solicitation is to verify competitiveness of the County's current program. The County is interested in receiving a comparative value-added program design and fee, which may include, but not be limited to, reductions in employee out-of-pocket expenses, greater accessibility to network providers and a comparative custom formulary inclusive of the minimum therapeutic categories and copay tiers included in the current plan design, along with specific options that address the County's actively employed and retired populations. Additionally, the County is interested in the proposer's approach to offering up to two (2) alternate plan options for possible consideration and inclusion in the County's program, at the County's sole discretion.

The County anticipates awarding a contract for five (5) years, with one (1) option to renew, consisting of twenty-four (24) months, at the County's sole discretion.

Research Notes Regarding Miami-Dade County Employee Self-Funded Health Plan	
Item	Summary
<p><b>File No. 043216</b>  <i>Withdrawn and substituted with 051064</i>    4/13/2005</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorized issuance of an RFP to select a self-insured Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plan to be offered to Miami-Dade County employees effective January 1, 2006. The County would continue to offer Point of Service (POS) plan.</p> <p>File No. 043216 noted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modifying the existing funding structure from fully insured to self-insured is designed to assist the County in minimizing large cost increases without sacrificing quality health services for our employees.</li> <li>• The advantages to self-insuring the HMO program include the reduction of excess administrative and risk charges, and improved operating profit. It was projected that a consolidation of HMO plans for the County will net savings of \$10 million to \$12 million for 2006 to the County, the Public Health Trust, and our employees. These savings are predicted as a result of a change in the funding philosophy alone, with no change in plan design.</li> </ul> <p>In summary, self-insuring and consolidating our HMO's provides the following advantages to the County and our employees:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Savings to the County and our employees will result in better premiums;</li> <li>• POS participants will benefit, as well, since self-funding both programs will allow for the consolidation of risk;</li> <li>• Improved cash flow to the County (the money remains in our bank account until needed to pay claims);</li> <li>• Control of plan reserves;</li> <li>• Control of plan design;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elimination of premium tax; and</li> <li>• If we have a “good year”, the excess premiums stay with the County and can be used to offset subsequent premiums.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>File No. 051064</b>  <i>Withdrawn</i></p> <p>6/8/2005</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorized issuance of a Request for Proposals (RFP) to select up to three self-funded (self-insured) Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plans to be offered to Miami-Dade County and Public Health Trust employees and their retirees effective January 1, 2006. The proposed resolution further recommended that the Board authorize negotiations with the Public Health Trust (PHT), which would result in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) offering an additional self-funded HMO plan by the PHT. The MOU would mirror the scope of services contained in this RFP. The current Point-of-Service (POS) plan, CIGNA HealthCare, provided by Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, will continue to be offered.</p> <p>File No. 051064 noted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In an effort to control costs, while minimizing adverse impacts on our employees, it is recommended that the County issue RFP No. 471 to seek competitive responses for self-funded HMO plans. The anticipated savings would be derived from the reduction in non-claims expenses that are currently paid as part of the HMO premiums. These future year expenses are expected to decrease from the range of 12%–18% of current premiums in the fully-insured environment to 6%-8% of premiums in a self-funded environment.</li> <li>• Based on discussions with the bargaining units and two Strategy for Employee Health Benefits Workshops it was recommended to offer up to four self-funded HMO plans. It was also recommended that the JMH Health Plan be one of the up-to-four self-funded HMOs in order to provide employees with choices.</li> <li>• Moving to a self-funded environment would result in lower costs than those in a fully-insured environment, even if the County offers more than one HMO plan.</li> <li>• The utilized prescription drugs within the formularies of the County’s current HMO providers would be compared to the proposer’s prescription drug formulary.</li> <li>• The projected savings are based on the actual 2005 fully-insured premiums and represent the expected cost reduction if the plans had been offered in a self-funded environment in 2005. This approach results in lower premiums to both the County, and the employees. A substantial savings is anticipated.</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">Projected Savings: One to Four HMO Plans  1 - \$11,400,000 to \$18,900,000  2 - \$10,100,000 to \$17,600,000  3 - \$8,800,000 to \$16,300,000  4 - \$7,500,000 to \$15,000,000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In order to protect the County from unexpected claims expenses, stop loss insurance will be purchased to protect the County against losses in excess of the amount budgeted to cover plan costs.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>File No. 070600</b>  - Report  <i>Substituted File No. 070416 to clarify the intent to continue offering the JMH Health Plan HMO to both Public Health Trust employees as well as County employees</i></p> <p>3/6/2007</p>	<p>On March 6, 2007 the County Manager issued a report regarding the issuance of a RFP for a self-funded medical program to be offered to Miami-Dade County and the PHT employees and retirees effective January 1, 2008. According to the report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The program would include three plan choices: a Point of Service (POS), a High Option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) and a Low Option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO).</li> <li>• In March 2006, a healthcare survey (copy attached) was mailed to 26,818 County employees actively participating in the County’s health insurance plans. A total of 5,919 surveys, or 22.1% were returned.</li> <li>• Following the employee survey, we conducted 10 focus groups throughout the County, with a cross representation of departments, unions, and health plan participation. The effort culminated in a June 2006 Employee Healthcare Forum, during which the survey and focus group results were presented.</li> <li>• Employee feedback produced several key findings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The primary consideration for selecting their healthcare program is whether or not their physician is part of the network;</li> <li>○ The majority of employees were satisfied with the overall value of their plan; and</li> <li>○ More than half of the employees supported reducing the total number of medical plans that are offered in order to control premiums.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 19% of our employees who responded to the survey and have children indicated that they do not have any health insurance coverage for their children.</li> </ul> <p><b>Plan Consolidation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff would be seeking proposals which mirror (or exceed) our current plan design. Deductibles, co-insurance levels, and co-payments will not be altered. In addition to offering a Point of Service Plan and a “high” HMO which mirrors our current HMO program, employees, at their sole discretion, may opt for a “low” HMO.</li> <li>• In order to protect an employee’s ability to continue using their current healthcare provider(s), the RFP includes a disruption analysis to match our employees’ current provider utilization against the proposers’ networks.</li> <li>• The RFP also included a thorough analysis to match the drug formulary of each proposer against the prescriptions currently utilized by our plan participants.</li> </ul> <p><b>Self-Funding:</b></p>



**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Modifying the existing HMO funding structure from fully insured to self-funded will achieve significant savings in the non-claims component of premiums, which includes excess administrative and risk charges, as well as profit margins.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An analysis of the non-claims cost associated with our current fully insured plans for 2005 reflects expenses ranging from 15.3% to 25.8% of premiums, while our self-funded Point of Service Plan had only a 4.1% non-claims expense.</li></ul></li><li>The risk in self-funding any program is in the ability to reasonably estimate how much the plan will cost, and adequately fund to cover those costs. Each of our current plans has sufficient data on our claims history to accurately project and fund anticipated costs. Additionally, the RFP included a provision for stop loss coverage which will protect the County from unanticipated increases in plan costs.</li></ul> <p><b>Rate Re-Tiering:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Because the County pays the entire cost of employee-only coverage, our current HMO plans have set the single rate higher than it should be thereby keeping dependent rates artificially low. By setting the self-funded rates at the appropriate ratio level, the County's cost for employee coverage will be lower.</li><li>It was recommended that the approximately \$45 million in projected savings be applied toward reducing dependent premiums.</li><li>The proposed approach, which changes our funding platform from fully insured to self-insured, and consolidates the number of plans offered, presents the County with a very viable alternative with a favorable impact on premiums.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This recommendation benefits the 12,837 County and Public Health Trust employees who currently carry dependent coverage, the many employees with dependents who currently do not have any medical coverage for their children, and approximately 2,000 County and Public Health Trust retirees.</li></ul></li></ul>																				
<b>File No. 070601</b> <i>Supplement to</i> <i>File No. 070600</i>	3/6/2007	This supplement provided additional information on the report to issue an RFP for a self-funded medical program. The supplement illustrated the reductions in premiums that could have been passed on in 2007 had such a plan been implemented based on anticipated savings.																				
<b>R-810-07</b>  7/10/2007		<p>R-810-07 recommended the approval of award to Avmed Inc. to provide a self-funded medical program for Miami-Dade County. The program included a Point-of Service (POS) plan and a high and low option Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) plan. These coverages are to be offered to all Miami-Dade County and Public Health Trust employees, dependents, and retirees.</p> <p>Avmed, Inc. was to be the sole provider all health plans; however, the Jackson Memorial Health Plan (HMO) would still be offered.</p> <p>Funding Source: Health Insurance Trust Fund Contract Term: 3 years, with unlimited options to renew at the County's discretion Contract Measure: Small Business Enterprise selection factor (local firms are given a 5% preference). Contract Amount: \$48,168,000 (estimated) for administrative fees only, this amount will vary depending on the total enrollment of members.</p> <p>Claims costs are estimated to be \$1.2 billion for a three year period. This number would vary depending on the volume of claims per year.</p> <p>There was a total \$58 million in savings (\$25 million from switching from fully-funded to self-funded plans; plus, an additional \$33 million in rate re-tiering). These savings were to be realized within the first year of the contract not over the three year period.</p> <p>The proposed Bi-Weekly Premiums for Active Employees were as follows:</p> <table><tr><th></th><th>Avmed POS</th><th>Avmed HMO High Option</th><th>JMH HMO Option</th></tr><tr><td>Employee only</td><td>\$11.83</td><td>\$0.00</td><td>\$0.00</td></tr><tr><td>Employee + spouse</td><td>\$249.06</td><td>\$150.24</td><td>\$184.83</td></tr><tr><td>Employee+ child or children</td><td>\$206.65</td><td>\$130.24</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Employee + family</td><td>430.54</td><td>\$205.24</td><td>\$250.79</td></tr></table>		Avmed POS	Avmed HMO High Option	JMH HMO Option	Employee only	\$11.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	Employee + spouse	\$249.06	\$150.24	\$184.83	Employee+ child or children	\$206.65	\$130.24		Employee + family	430.54	\$205.24	\$250.79
	Avmed POS	Avmed HMO High Option	JMH HMO Option																			
Employee only	\$11.83	\$0.00	\$0.00																			
Employee + spouse	\$249.06	\$150.24	\$184.83																			
Employee+ child or children	\$206.65	\$130.24																				
Employee + family	430.54	\$205.24	\$250.79																			
<b>File No. 072015</b> <i>Supplement to</i> <i>R-810-07</i>	7/10/2007	The supplemental report was prepared to provide the proposed 2008 rates for Miami-Dade County and Jackson Health System employees, retirees, and their dependents.																				
<b>R-532-08</b>  5/6/2008		<p>R-532-08 directed the County Mayor or his designee to submit a report to the Commission concerning the financial and operational status of the County's Self-Funded Program. The report required was to include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A statement regarding the projected annual savings when the Commission authorized the program to be advertised for competitive selection and when the Self-Funded Program was awarded. The reported savings</li></ul>																				

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

		<p>shall be stated in terms of those savings which were used for premium reductions benefiting County and Public Health Trust employees and retirees;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Using the experience through July 31, 2008, a projection reflecting whether the projected savings would be achieved by the end of the first twelve months of the Self-Funded Program;</li> <li>• A statement regarding the number of participants in the Self-Insurance Medical Program in relation number of participants in the previous program segmented by County employees, retirees and Public Health Trust employees;</li> <li>• A statement regarding the closeout of the previous program and what funds might be available if any reserved amounts are unused and when these funds will be available. The statement required would also clarify how much money is in the health insurance trust fund and whether such amount or portion would be available for funding the County's portion of the cost in fiscal year 2008-2009;</li> <li>• A statement from the County's insurance consultant regarding the first six months of program implementation and any recommendations they might make at this time;</li> <li>• A statement regarding the need for an independent actuarial report of the funding necessary for fiscal year 2008-2009; and</li> <li>• A statement on the condition of the County's group life, dental and vision programs. This statement would include the Mayor's recommendations for additional cost savings that potentially are obtainable by the County's rebidding the County's group life, dental and vision programs.</li> </ul> <p>The report required by R-532-08 was to be submitted to the Commission on or before August 15, 2008.</p>
	<p><b>R-834-14</b> 9/26/2014</p>	<p>R-834-14 authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to: (1) identify and evaluate recommendations of the Labor Health Care Committee and potential changes to the County's Self-Funded Medical Program which may yield cost savings for the County and its employees while maintaining high levels of health care for County employees, retirees and their eligible dependents; (2) negotiate amendments to Contract No. 559 to include modifications to the medical plan by implementing benefit design changes through additions or alterations to medical plan offerings which will provide a comprehensive level of plan benefits and realize such savings; and (3) authorize and execute amendments of, or supplemental agreements to, Contract No. 559, in accordance with Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, to achieve such cost savings on behalf of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>The Mayor or Mayor's designee was further directed to prepare and submit a written report to the Board detailing the terms of amendments or supplemental agreements to Contract No. 559 authorized by this resolution and executed by the Mayor or Mayor's designee.</p>
	<p><b>File No. 150166</b> <i>Report regarding self-funded medical program contract No. 559</i> 2/3/2015</p>	<p>Pursuant to R-834-14 a report regarding Supplement Agreement No. 9 to Contract No. 559, self-funded medical program.</p> <p>Supplemental Agreement No. 9 allows amendments to the County's Health insurance plans so that a new Health Plan option can be offered in 2015 to non-bargaining unit employees and to all eligible bargaining unit employees in accordance with their respective Collective Bargaining Agreement. The new plan, referred to as the "Select Plan," offers the County the ability to offer a high level of benefits at lower premium rates by securing deeper discounts from participating providers. The select network was established by negotiating with a subset of providers that have agreed to reduce their rates in exchange for an expected increase in volume from the coverage of additional "lives."</p> <p><b>Premiums:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Single Coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Select Plan: No premium payments; and</li> <li>○ High health maintenance organization (High HMO) or point of service (POS) plans: Bi-weekly premium of \$75 for the High HMO and \$100 for POS plan.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Dependent Coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Select Plan: Approximately 20 percent lower than the 2014 High HMO plan; and</li> <li>○ HMO and POS: Remained flat (2014 rates).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Copays:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Physician co-pays, generic pharmacy co-pays, and Urgent Care visit co-pays remained flat in all plans;</li> <li>• Emergency Room co-pays increased to \$100 for POS and High HMO plans, and are \$50 for Select Plan (co-pay waived if member is admitted);</li> <li>• Inpatient Hospital Copays increased to \$200 for High HMO and POS plans (co-pay waived if services sought at JHS), remained at \$0 for Select Plan;</li> <li>• Outpatient Hospital Copays increased to \$100 for High HMO and POS plans (co-pay waived if services sought at JHS), remained at \$0 for Select Plan; and</li> <li>• Increases applied to Pharmacy Preferred Brands, Non-Preferred Brands, and Specialty Pharmacy Medications for High HMO and POS plans.</li> </ul> <p><b>Out-of-Pocket Maximums:</b></p> <p>Adjustments to the out-of-pocket maximum amounts were required in order to keep the same level of benefits as in 2014 and as a result of changes in the Affordable Care Act effective January 1, 2015, which requires pharmacy costs be</p>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

		<p>applied to out-of-pocket expenses. Out-of-pocket maximums were adjusted as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Select Plan: \$2,500 (single coverage);</li> <li>• High HMO: from \$1,500 to \$3,000 (single coverage); and</li> <li>• POS: from \$4,500 to \$3,000 (single coverage).</li> </ul> <p>As a result of these and other benefit design changes, our Benefits Consultant has projected the County will save up to 15 percent in healthcare costs. For those unions who have incorporated Benefits Redesign as part of their 2014-2017 Collective Bargaining Agreements, the savings projected for their respective unions have been applied to the: (1) restoration of positions, which would have otherwise been eliminated in FY 2014-15; (2) a commitment to hire additional staff; and/or (3) restoration of other benefits.</p>
<b>811 150344</b>		RESOLUTION RATIFYING EXECUTION BY COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE BROWARD SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, RELATING TO BROWARD SHERIFF'S OFFICE WORKERS' COMPENSATION FRAUD TASK FORCE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>		<p>The proposed resolution retroactively ratifies the execution of a Memorandum of Understanding between the Broward Sheriff's Office (BSO) and Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD), for the Workers' Compensation Fraud Task Force. The agreement is effective for a period of five (5) years from the date of the final signatory, with three (3) additional five (5) year terms.</p> <p>This Memorandum of Understanding will provide coordinated investigative resources to combat and reduce workers' compensation fraud regionally, and specifically in Miami-Dade County, by working jointly with BSO.</p>
<b>812 150405</b>		RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AND THE NOTIFICATION OF TRAINING FOR THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS TO CONDUCT TRAINING ACTIVITIES WITHIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING EXECUTION OF NOTIFICATIONS OF TRAINING
<b>Notes</b>		<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the execution by County Mayor or County Mayor's designee of the Memorandum of Understanding between the United States Marine Corps and Miami-Dade County for the United States Marine Corps to conduct training activities within Miami-Dade County and the Notification of Training for training activities that were concluded on February 27, 2015. The Memorandum of Understanding for this training partnership is effective for a period of three (3) years from the date of the final signatory.</p> <p>The training exercises conducted by the United States Marine Corps will occur within Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Ratification of the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee action to execute the Memorandum of Understanding and the Notification of Training is necessary because the training schedule from the United States Marine Corps did not allow time to submit the Resolution to the Board of County Commissioners prior to the training exercises being conducted.</p>
<b>813 150712</b>		RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ACTION TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND MUNICIPALITIES WHICH OPERATE AN EMERGENCY 911 SYSTEM IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, SPECIFICALLY, THE CITIES OF AVENTURA, CORAL GABLES, HIALEAH, MIAMI, AND MIAMI BEACH, AND THE VILLAGE OF PINECREST; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>		<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee's action to execute the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department, and six (6) municipalities that operate an Emergency 911 System in Miami-Dade County. Specifically, these municipalities are: Aventura, Coral Gables, Hialeah, Miami, Miami Beach, and Pinecrest.</p> <p>The Agreement, effective upon signature, will be effective through January 1, 2025.</p> <p><b>This Agreement ensures that the County and the participating municipalities accurately administer the Emergency 911 System Fund in accordance with Florida law, which has been amended to reflect current technology such as updating the categories of telephone services fees from hardwire and wireless to wireless, non-wireless, and prepaid wireless fees. Other revisions were also made with regard to the administration of these fees. The Agreement has been updated to reflect these statutory requirements.</b></p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b>  On March 8, 2007, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-317-07, approved five (5) Interlocal Cooperation Agreements between Miami-Dade County, and the Cities of Miami, Coral Gables, Hialeah, Pinecrest and Aventura regarding Emergency 911 System Service Fees.</p> <p><i>Pursuant to Florida Statutes, local telephone exchange providers must bill their subscribers for the locally approved emergency 911 fee, collect all emergency 911 fees paid by subscribers and then transfer to the County, less a one (1) percent remuneration for administrative costs, the balance of the emergency 911 fees. Also, wireless telephone service providers must bill their subscribers for emergency 911 fees, collect all emergency 911 fees paid by subscribers and then transfer to the Florida State Wireless 911 Board, less a one (1) percent remuneration for administrative costs, the balance of the emergency 911 fees. The Florida State Wireless 911 Board then transfers a portion of these funds to the County pursuant to Florida Statute 365.173.</i></p>
<b>814 150714</b>		RESOLUTION APPROVING THE REQUEST TO EXPEND \$1,550,000.00 FROM THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO USE SUCH FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURES DESCRIBED HEREIN

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves, pursuant to Florida Statutes Section 932.7055, the request of the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to expend \$1,550,000.00 from the Miami-Dade Police Department Law Enforcement Trust Fund for the purposes described in the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund.</p> <p>The proposed expenditures will be effective through completion of the project. These expenditures include: 1) Police Rifles - \$900,000.00; and 2) Narcotics Investigations - \$650,000.00. These funds will support long-term, ongoing protracted or complex investigations and other allowable law enforcement operations in compliance with the Miami-Dade Police Department's policies, statutory requirements, and federal guidelines.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> Miami-Dade County will not incur any costs. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies are awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department as a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and participation in federal asset sharing programs.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund:</u></b> On March 5, 2015, the Director of the Miami-Dade Police Department released a report regarding the proposed expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund. According to the report, the Law Enforcement Trust Fund must be used for law enforcement purposes which are not budgeted. Allowable purposes may include: to defray costs of protracted or complex investigations; to provide additional technical equipment or expertise; to provide matching funds to obtain federal grants; or for other law enforcement purposes. The funds are administered in compliance with Miami-Dade Police Department's policies, statutory requirements, and federal guidelines.</p> <p>The Law Enforcement Trust Fund is comprised of three different funding sources: the State civil forfeitures, governed by Florida Statutes Sections 932.701 through 932.706; U.S. Department of Justice Asset Sharing Program; and U.S. Treasury Asset Sharing Program. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department are a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and federal asset sharing programs. Federal assets are shared among participating law enforcement agencies based on the agencies' direct participation in task force investigations that result in the forfeiture of federally seized assets. The Department's partnerships with federal agencies and the related task forces have been the subject of recent resolutions approved by the Board.</p> <p>Law Enforcement Trust Fund project requests are submitted to the Miami-Dade Police Department Law Enforcement Trust Fund Committee Chairperson. The requests are reviewed by personnel in the Fiscal Administration Bureau to ensure compliance with Law Enforcement Trust Fund requirements. The Police Legal Bureau reviews the requests for legal sufficiency and prepares the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund. The revenues and expenditures are documented in the County budget ordinance, the federal equitable sharing and certification report, and an annual audit to the County's Finance Department.</p> <p>The proposed asset sharing expenditures are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Police Patrol Rifles - \$900,000.00; and</li> <li>2. Narcotics Investigations - \$650,000.00</li> </ol>
<p><b>816 150696</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ACTION TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,000.00 BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ORGANIZED CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE PROGRAM AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, BY AND THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT, RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S EXPENSES INCURRED DURING JOINT TASK FORCE INVESTIGATIONS, STRATEGIC INITIATIVES, PROSECUTIONS, AND OTHER TASK FORCE OPERATIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUBSEQUENT AGREEMENTS REGARDING THIS TASK FORCE PROGRAM, TO APPROVE MODIFICATIONS TO INCREASE FUNDING AMOUNT AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee action to execute the Agreement in the amount of \$25,000.00 between the United States Department of Justice Organized Crime and Drug Enforcement Task Force Program and Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department, relating to reimbursement of Miami-Dade County's expenses incurred during joint task force investigations, strategic initiatives, prosecutions and other task force operations.</p> <p>The task force is authorized to reimburse state and local law enforcement agencies for the overtime costs of sworn law enforcement officers which are incurred while assisting in these investigations and also strategic initiatives approved by the U.S. Department of Justice. Reimbursements are authorized specifically and solely for the sworn personnel assigned to these investigations and may not be used for any operational expenses such as equipment and/or confidential informant payments. The task force is strictly governed by policies and procedures, and is administered by the U.S. Attorney.</p>
<p><b>811 150481</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND ARCADIS U.S., INC. FOR MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL CONSULTING AND BOND ENGINEERING SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$300,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME; TO EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, AND TO APPROVE THE CONTINGENCY TIME EXTENSION AND CONTINGENCY EXPENDITURE OF TEN PERCENT OF THE BASE CONTRACT AMOUNT</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Professional Services Agreement Contract Number E14-SEA-01R, Management Financial Consulting and Bond Engineering Services, between ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and Miami-Dade County for a total contract amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00, inclusive of a contingency allowance amount of \$300,000. The contract period consists of four (4) years for professional services requested during the initial term, or until the money is depleted, whichever comes first.</p> <p>Additional delegation of authorities requested for this contract are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authority to exercise the time extension and contingency options limited to ten percent of the contract term and amount;</li> <li>• Section IX of the PSA stipulates that any and all disputes shall be decided by the Director of PortMiami; and</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authority to exercise all other provisions contained in the contract.</li> </ul> <p>The cost of services will be charged to the various projects requiring professional services. The funding source will be established at the time the work order is issued.</p> <p><i>There are twenty-six (26) evaluations on record in the Capital Improvements Information System for ARCADIS with an outstanding rating of 4.0 out of a possible 4.0 points.</i></p> <p><b>Review Committee Assigned Contract Measures:</b> SBE-A&amp;E: 6.00%- (\$198,000.00)</p> <p><b>Sub-Consultants:</b> Arcadis NV; Architects International, Inc.; G.M. Selby, Inc.; and John C. Martin Associates, LLC</p>
<b>8K1 150642</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT'S FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY PLAN TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR FINAL APPROVAL; AND AUTHORIZING THE DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR TO SUBMIT PLAN FOR FINAL APPROVAL, TO REVISE THE PLAN, SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS OF THE "SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENT AND SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION" DEFINITION CONTAINED THEREIN OR AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY REGULATORY, STATUTORY, COURT ORDER OR INTERNAL POLICY CHANGES AND TO SUBMIT REVISED PLAN FOR APPROVAL</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approves Miami-Dade County's (County) Public Housing and Community Development (Department) Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16;</li> <li>Authorizes the Department Director to submit the Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (Housing and Urban Development) for final approval no later than July 15, 2015;</li> <li>Authorizes the Department Director to make any necessary revisions to the Plan subject to the limitations of the Plan's "Significant Amendment and Substantial Deviation" definition; and</li> <li>Authorizes the Department Director, on behalf of the County, to modify the Plan as may be required by regulatory, statutory, court order or internal policy changes without further approval of the Board.</li> </ul> <p>The Plan includes the strategies for managing the federally-subsidized Public Housing and Section 8 programs for the County's area of jurisdiction. The County's Plan does not include the activities of Public Housing and Section 8 programs administered by other public housing authorities within the County (i.e. Hialeah Housing Authority, Housing Authority for the City of Miami Beach, and Homestead Housing Authority). These housing authorities must separately submit their own plans for housing programs administered by them to Housing and Urban Development.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The Plan includes the listing of the Department's financial resources and planned uses for the support of federal Public Housing and Section 8 programs for FY 2015-16. The approval of this item will not result in a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p><b><u>Department's Plan:</u></b> The Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act, as amended, enacted on October 21, 1998, and related regulations promulgated and implemented by Housing and Urban Development, require all local, regional, or state housing agencies receiving federal funds to submit an annual public housing agency plan. The FY 2015-16 Plan presented for the Board's consideration includes the following proposed revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sections 6.0(8)(2) and (8)(3) – Provide increased security and anti-drug/crime initiatives at high-crime public housing sites by seeking HUD's approval for non-dwelling units to be used by police officers (HUD PIH Notice 2011-7 and 24 CFR §960.505) and partnering with local law enforcement (HUD PIH Notice 2011-7 and 24 CFR § 990.145(a)(2)).</li> <li>Sections 7.0(b) and 5.2(B) – For purposes of increasing revenues, request HUD's approval to take units offline at the Helen Sawyer Assisted Living Facility (ALF) for private pay and introduce adult daycare services.</li> <li>Section 5.2(A)(2) – Implement an Energy Performance Contract to increase energy efficiency.</li> <li>Section 6.0(A)(4) – Applicants with wheelchairs will be offered Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) units or units with accessible features. Priority is provided to transferees.</li> <li>Section 7.0 – Due to substantial soil contamination preventing further development of the HOPE VI site, funding will be redirected to the Modello site.</li> </ol> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b> On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-424-14, approved the Department's FY 2014-2015 Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) and authorized the Department Director to submit the Plan on behalf of Miami-Dade County to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development by July 15, 2014 for final approval. Resolution R-424-14 approved the following policies, procedures and other documents related to Miami-Dade County's Public Housing and Community Development (Department):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Public Housing Agency Plan (Plan) for FY 2014-15;</li> <li>Public Housing Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy (Policy);</li> <li>Section 8 Administrative Plan (Administrative Plan);</li> <li>Submit the Plan to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (Housing and Urban Development) for final approval no later than July 15, 2014;</li> <li>To make any necessary revisions to the Plan subject to the limitations of the Plan's "Significant Amendment and Substantial Deviation" definition; and</li> <li>To modify the Policy and Administrative Plan as may be required by regulatory, statutory, court-related or internal policy changes.</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	The Plan includes the strategies for managing the federally-subsidized Public Housing Program and Section 8 Program for the Miami-Dade County area jurisdiction. The County's Plan does not include the activities of Public Housing and Section 8 programs administered by other public housing authorities within Miami-Dade County (i.e. Hialeah Housing Authority, Housing Authority for the City of Miami Beach, and Homestead Housing Authority). These housing authorities must separately submit their own plans for housing programs administered by them to Housing and Urban Development. The Plan includes the listing of the Department's financial resources, totaling \$229 million, and planned uses for the support of federal Public Housing and Section 8 programs for FY 2014-15. The approval of this item will not result in a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.
<b>8L1 150700</b>	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED RIGHT-OF-WAY LOCATED IN SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 55 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST IDENTIFIED AS PARCEL NO. 112.1; AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF SAME TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLORIDA STATUTE SECTION 125.38 AT NOMINAL SUM OF \$10.00 FOR IMPROVEMENTS ALONG STATE ROAD 997 BETWEEN SW 136TH STREET AND STATE ROAD 94; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE CONVEYANCE OF SAID PROPERTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declares the Right-of-Way identified as Parcel No. 112.1 as surplus;</li> <li>• Authorizes the conveyance of the Right-of-Way to FDOT for nominal sum of \$10.00, by County Deed subject to the restrictions that such property be used for public and transportation purposes;</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to take all actions necessary to accomplish the conveyance of the Right-of-Way; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the execution of said County Deed by the Board of County Commissioners acting by the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson of the Board.</li> </ul> <p>Parcel No. 112.1 is located at the intersection of State Road 997 (SW 177th Ave/Krome Avenue) and SW 104th Street.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> There is no fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County due to this conveyance. Conveying this right-of-way will not result in an increase in revenue from property taxes since land used as right-of-way is exempt from paying taxes.</p>
<b>8M1 150620</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF DORAL AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO PROVIDE FILM PERMITTING SERVICES FOR A FIVE YEAR TERM WITH OPTION TO RENEW FOR ONE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING RENEWAL AND TERMINATION
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Doral and Miami-Dade County that will allow the County to issue permits for film, television, and still photography production companies desiring to use the City of Doral's facilities.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> Under the proposed agreement, the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER), Film and Entertainment Office, will receive a \$100.00 application fee for each film permit processed on behalf of the City of Doral, which will offset the cost of staff time.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Ordinance 91-50 authorized the Miami-Dade County Film and Entertainment Office to provide one-stop film, television, and still photography permitting services for all of the County's municipalities, creating a film-friendly environment which encourages more local production. Without these Interlocal Agreements, film, television and still photography companies would face obstacles at each municipal boundary with additional permits, unnecessary paperwork, further manhours and additional fees. This service is an effective enticement to attract film, television and still photography shoots to this community. Currently, Miami-Dade County provides these services under agreements with 17 municipalities, allowing efficient processing of permits for most filming locations. The attached resolution will authorize one-stop permitting services to be performed for the City of Doral.</p>
<b>8O1 150706</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A CONTRACT TO LANZO CONSTRUCTION CO., FLORIDA WITH A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,772,258.00 AND A CONTRACT TERM OF 750 DAYS FROM THE NOTICE TO PROCEED WITH NO OPTION-TO-RENEW FOR A PROJECT ENTITLED "SOUTHWEST WELLFIELD REMOVAL OF CALCIUM CARBONATE FROM THE MIDDLE LAGOON", CONTRACT NO. W-927; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of a contract to Lanzo Construction Co., Florida in an amount not to exceed \$12,772,258.00 with a contract term of 750 days from the Notice to Proceed and no option-to-renew for a project entitled Southwest Wellfield Removal of Calcium Carbonate from the Middle Lagoon.</p> <p>This project consists of furnishing all materials, labor and equipment necessary for the removal of approximately 612,000 cubic yards of calcium carbonate residuals, which will provide the adequate storage capacity needed for the Department to continue its disposal operations at the Southwest Wellfield, located at 8601 SW 127th Avenue. The calcium carbonate residuals will be hauled away and legally disposed at an offsite location provided by the Contractor and approved by the County's Regulatory and Economic Resources Department.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The total compensation amount is not to exceed \$12,772,258 to be funded from Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) operating revenues.</p> <p>On December 3, 2014, an advertisement for sealed bids was published for this project. On January 30, 2015, WASD received five (5) bids. WASD's recommendation was to award the construction contract to the lowest, responsive, responsible bidder, Lanzo Construction Co.,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>Florida.</p> <p>The Internal Services Department's Capital Improvements Information System database contains 17 final evaluations dating back to March 2008 through March 2015 for Lanzo Construction Co., Florida with an average overall rating of 3.5 out of a possible 4 points, which is indicative of overall satisfactory performance. In addition, there are three (3) stand-alone evaluations which are not in the Internal Services Department's Capital Improvements Information System database for three (3) emergency projects in which Lanzo Construction Co., Florida provided repair services. The average overall rating for these emergency repair projects is 3.5 points out of a possible 4 points.</p> <p><b>Supplement No. 1</b> is presented to include an affidavit that was inadvertently left out of the agenda item package released in the Metropolitan Services Committee March 15, 2015 Preliminary Agenda. The affidavit, known as the Firm's Responsibility Affidavit, is the ownership disclosure form which is provided by the prime contractor detailing a breakdown of the employees' race, national origin and gender. Information regarding the breakdown of the subcontractors is already included in the package and identified as ISD Form 7.</p> <p><b>Supplement No. 2</b> provides the Internal Services Department's (ISD) Capital Improvements Information System Contractor Evaluations Report and the Small Business Development Division's (SBD) Firm History Report for item 801 - 150706.</p> <p>The Contractor Evaluations Report are on handwritten pages 19-25 of the contract award recommendation agenda item and the Firm History Report is on handwritten pages 9-12 of the item which shows that 16 contracts have been awarded to Lanzo Construction Co., Florida with a total value of \$21,267,370. There are 17 final evaluations dating back to March 2008 through March 2015 for Lanzo Construction Co., Florida with an average overall rating of 3.5 out of a possible 4.0 points, which is indicative of overall satisfactory performance. In addition, there are three (3) stand-alone evaluations which are not in ISD's Capital Improvements Information System database for three (3) emergency projects in which Lanzo Construction Co., Florida provided repair services. The average overall rating for these emergency repair projects is 3.5 points out of a possible 4.0 points. Copies of these reports are provided under Exhibit A, as attached.</p> <p>Since this contract award recommendation was submitted to the County's Agenda Coordination Office for placement on the agenda on March 27, 2015, no other contracts have been awarded to Lanzo Construction Co., Florida and no other contractor evaluations have been completed.</p>
<b>802 150720</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO INSITUFORM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC WITH A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,200,100.00 AND AN INITIAL CONTRACT TERM OF TWO YEARS FROM THE NOTICE TO PROCEED WITH TWO ONE-YEAR OPTIONS-TO-RENEW FOR A PROJECT ENTITLED "TWO-YEAR COUNTYWIDE CONTRACT FOR THE REHABILITATION OF SANITARY SEWERS BY THE CURED-IN-PLACE PIPE LINING METHOD WITH COUNTY OPTION TO RENEW FOR AN ADDITIONAL TWO YEARS ON A YEARLY BASIS", CONTRACT NO. S-866; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of a construction contract to Insituform Technologies, LLC in an amount not to exceed \$12,200,100.00 and an initial contract term of two years from the Notice to Proceed with two one-year options-to-renew for a project entitled Two-Year Countywide Contract for the Rehabilitation of Sanitary Sewers by the Cured-in-Place Pipe Lining Method with County Option To Renew for an Additional Two Years on a Yearly Basis.</p> <p>This project consists of furnishing all labor, materials and equipment necessary to rehabilitate approximately 130,630 linear feet of faulty 8-inch through 36-inch diameter gravity sanitary sewers, and 6-inch diameter service laterals in both industrial and non-industrial areas; reinstating approximately 1,400 service laterals, including sealing the lateral connections; cleaning and video inspections with closed circuit television; supplementary cleaning of the sewers, and removal and proper disposal of solids as required; by-pass pumping of sewage; maintenance of traffic; and all other appurtenant and miscellaneous items and work for a completed project.</p> <p>The work will be accomplished through sanitary sewer manholes, without disturbing the street surface or adjacent property or any utility, except when performing excavated point repairs of sewers.</p> <p><b>Section 2-8.2.12 of the Code Miami-Dade County (Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department Consent Decree and Capital Improvement Programs Accelerate Ordinance) allows for the accelerated processing of Consent Decree Projects; however, this project was advertised prior to the ordinance being incorporated into the Miami-Dade Code. Therefore, Board approval of this construction contract is required.</b></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> Funding Sources for this project include: Wastewater connection charges, wastewater renewal fund, WASD revenue bonds sold, and future WASD revenue bonds.</p> <p>The project has a life expectancy of 40 years and future annual maintenance and repair costs of \$122,000.00 will be taken from the Operating and Management budget to routinely maintain and repair sewer pipelines on an as needed basis.</p> <p>On July 10, 2014, an advertisement for sealed bids was publicized for this project. On September 25, 2014, WASD received six bids. The lowest bidder did not meet the minimum qualifications and was determined to be not responsible. WASD's recommendation is to award this construction contract to the second lowest bidder, Insituform Technologies, LLC, who was deemed to be the lowest responsive, responsible bidder.</p> <p>Supplement No. 1 is presented to include an affidavit that was inadvertently left out of the agenda item package released in the Metropolitan Services Committee March 15, 2015 Preliminary Agenda. The affidavit, known as the Firm's Responsibility Affidavit, is the ownership disclosure form which is provided by the prime contractor detailing a breakdown of the employees' race, national origin and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>gender. Information regarding the breakdown of the subcontractors is already included in the package and identified as ISD Form 7.</p> <p>Supplement No. 2 provides, the Internal Services Department's (ISD) Capital Improvements Information System Contractor Evaluations Report and the Small Business Development Division's (SBD) Firm History Report for above referenced in item 802 - 150720.</p> <p>The Contractor Evaluations Report is on handwritten page 20 of the contract award recommendation agenda item, and the Firm History Report is on handwritten page 12 of the item which shows that two (2) contracts, which are on-going, have been awarded to Insituform Technologies, LLC with a total value of \$7,083,018. According to the Firm History Report, Insituform Technologies, LLC was awarded two on-going contracts (Project No.'s P0130 and P0145) in 2014 for which there are no evaluations as both projects have a one-year contract term and are still ongoing. The Contractor Evaluations Report shows there are three (3) interim contractor evaluations dating back to March 2008 and one (1) close-out evaluation in April 2009 for WASD Project No. S-800. The evaluation at project closeout was indicative of above satisfactory performance as a rating of 3.5 points was given out of a possible 4.0 points. Copies of these reports are provided under Exhibit A, as attached.</p> <p>Since this contract award recommendation was submitted to the County's Agenda Coordination Office for placement on the agenda on March 31, 2015, Project No. P0162 was awarded to Insituform Technologies, LLC on February 10, 2015 through the Miscellaneous Construction Contract, Plan 7360. In addition, a project close-out evaluation was submitted for the same project on April 3, 2015 to ISD's Capital Improvements Information System, the close-out evaluation contains a rating of 4.0 points. The overall average rating for Insituform Technologies, LLC is 3.1 points out of a possible 4.0 points, which is indicative of satisfactory performance.</p>
<b>9A1 150701</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDED PARTNER PROGRAM AGREEMENT WITH THE SOUTH FLORIDA VETERINARY FOUNDATION; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME, AMEND FEE SCHEDULE OR SERVICES UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, AND EXERCISE ANY AND ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an amendment to Resolution No. R-623-14 to include fee waived sterilization services for community cats and establishing the authority of the Animal Services Department (ASD) to prohibit participation of subcontractors not meeting standards of performance.</p> <p>The amendment related to manner of performance provides the right to rescind, revoke or refuse subcontractor participation based on failure to perform in a satisfactory manner.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information - Miami-Dade County Animal Services Spay and Neuter Program:</u></b></p> <p>Miami-Dade Animal Services offers spay and neuter surgeries for Miami-Dade County residents at a reduced price thanks to donations to the Animal Services Trust Fund. The cost of spay and neuter services are \$30 for dogs and \$15 for cats.</p> <p>In partnership with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, the Miami-Dade County Community Spay/Neuter Clinic increases the availability of free or low-cost pet sterilization surgeries in our community. Through the Spay/Neuter Surgery "Voucher" Program, participating licensed Miami-Dade County veterinarians and veterinary clinics are subsidized for the cost of performing sterilization surgeries for the cats and dogs of income-qualified County residents. Pet owners who meet income eligibility can also have their pet spayed or neutered for free at Animal Services.</p> <p>Additionally, the Trap-Neuter-Return (TNR) program is an effective and humane method used to stabilize community cat populations. Over time the stabilized population declines resulting in the humane reduction in free roaming cat populations. The TNR program is available free of charge to all Miami-Dade County residents.</p> <p><i>The TNR service includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sterilization</li> <li>• Rabies vaccine</li> <li>• FRCPC (feline booster shot)</li> <li>• Delivery back to the community</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Spay and Neuter Legislation:</u></b></p> <p>On July 3, 2012, the BCC, through R-583-12, directed the Mayor to develop a program with the goal of the County's Animal Services Department (ASD) becoming a "No Kill" shelter. At the forefront of the No Kill strategy is the critical, unmet need for free and low cost sterilization services for privately owned dogs and cats, as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD's rescued animals.</p> <p>On June 4, 2013, the BCC adopted the No Kill Implementation report developed by ASD at the direction of the Mayor. The FY 2013-14 budget included an additional \$4 million for ASD to continue its development of No Kill initiatives. During the policy discussion for use of the funding, staff was directed to work with the private veterinary community in implementing one of the most critical components of the No Kill plan by increasing access to spay and neuter services in our community.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through R-441-14, directed the Mayor to implement, within existing funding, a program for qualified, low-income County residents to obtain a voucher for spay/neuter services from the local veterinary community for their pet dogs or cats.</p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC, through R-623-14, authorized award of a grant to the South Florida Veterinary Foundation (SFVF) for the provision of low-cost spay and neuter services to income-qualified dog and cat owners. The SFVF will work with all veterinary clinics and hospitals in Miami-Dade County through a voucher system that will provide a reimbursement to veterinarians performing sterilization surgeries. The Resolution, directed the Mayor to enter into an agreement with the SFVF to provide up to \$200,000 in support of this program to meet the</p>



**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<p>unmet demand for low cost spay/neuter surgery by utilizing a network of qualified local veterinarians. Funding for this grant will be provided by the ASD. The initial grant award is recommended at \$100,000. Authority is also requested for an additional grant of \$100,000 to be approved by the Mayor upon completion of the initial grant award.</p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the BCC, through R-1045-14, waived competitive bidding procedures for purchase of goods and services pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and approved the award of Contract No. BW9805-0/15 Operations of the County's South Dade Animal Services Clinic (Clinic) with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, Dade County Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Adopt-A-Pet and Pet Rescue, Inc. (Humane Society), a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the County-owned property located at the South Dade Government Center. In accordance with R-583-12, this Contract will increase ASD's surgical capacity and allow for strategic use of the Clinic to offer free high-volume spay/neuter services exclusively for income-qualified owners of privately-owned dogs and cats as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD rescued animals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Humane Society has been providing services at the South Dade Government Center for over six years. The Humane Society had a lease that covered the use of the land and trailer at this location. In addition to the surgical services to be provided, this Operations and Management agreement will continue to allow the Humane Society to utilize the land and trailer on the site under similar requirements as the now expired lease. The Humane Society will continue to offer spay and neuter services for paying customers at the Clinic. While this Contract was submitted as a bid waiver, a Request for Information (RFI) process was conducted to acquire information and feedback from animal care organizations.</i></li> <li>• <i>The fiscal impact to ASD for the one-year agreement term for the spay-neuter services is \$600,000; however, the Humane Society will pay an annual operations and management fee to Miami-Dade County for facility maintenance of \$24,516. The funding from this agreement will only support the income-qualified spay and neuter services. The County funding will provide for approximately 7,400 surgeries, of which cats will be 45% and dogs 55%, exclusively to income-qualified pet owners. The Humane Society will continue to perform approximately 5,600 surgeries for an overall goal of 13,000 surgeries. The remaining balance of funds will be utilized for additional surgeries.</i></li> </ul>
<b>11A2 150624</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO COORDINATE WITH THE MIAMI PARKING AUTHORITY TO IDENTIFY DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES RELATED TO COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1350 NORTHWEST 12TH STREET, PROVIDE A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY OF ENTERING INTO JOINT DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH MIAMI PARKING AUTHORITY, NEGOTIATE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH MIAMI PARKING AUTHORITY FOR JOINT DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY, AND PRESENT SUCH AGREEMENT, OR ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY THIS BOARD WITHIN 120 DAYS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or designee to consult and coordinate with Miami Parking Authority officials to identify development opportunities related to the Property and to determine the feasibility of such joint development;</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or designee to provide a report to this Board regarding the feasibility of entering into a joint development agreement with the Miami Parking Authority related to the Property; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ This report will, at a minimum, identify any cost to the County in developing the Property, identify a proposed revenue sharing formula between the Miami Parking Authority and the County, provide a review of the Property's current zoning designations, and include a proposed development plan which identifies the various uses contemplated on the Property; and</li> <li>○ The County Mayor or designee will provide the report to this Board within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of this Board pursuant to Ordinance 14-65.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or designee to negotiate a lease agreement with the Miami Parking Authority providing for the joint development of the Property. The County Mayor or designee will present the negotiated lease agreement to this Board for its consideration within 120 days from the effective date of this resolution; provided, however, that if the County Mayor or designee is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of the lease agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the lease negotiations with the Miami Parking Authority will be presented to this Board instead at its next regularly scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period and placed on an agenda of this Board pursuant to Ordinance 14-65.</li> </ul>
<b>11A3 150613</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING FIRST AMENDMENT TO OKEECHOBEE METRORAIL STATION TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY AND CITY OF HIALEAH REGARDING JOINT DEVELOPMENT AT OKEECHOBEE METRORAIL STATION SITE FUNDED IN PART WITH BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER THE FIRST AMENDMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the First Amendment to the Okeechobee Metrorail Station Transit Oriented Development Lease Agreement between the County and the City of Hialeah (City).</p> <p><b><i>The County and the City wish to enter into the First Amendment to reduce the number of senior affordable units from 180 to 98. However, the City proposed to proceed with the sixty (60) affordable senior units, including related parking, walkways and greenery, in Phase I which has received an allocation of \$5,592,000 from the County's Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program.</i></b></p> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b>  On November 6, 2001, the BCC, through R-1251-01, authorized the advertisement and issuance of Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 311 for joint development at Metrorail Stations, including the Okeechobee Metrorail Station. On January 29, 2002, the BCC, through R-100-02, suspended the solicitation process for the Okeechobee Station and directed the County Manager to enter into negotiations with the developer, Jubilee Community Development Corporation. As part of the resolution, Jubilee was required to conduct a competitive process in order to acquire a development partner and as a result of that competitive process, Gatehouse Group, Inc. was chosen.</p> <p>The Developer and County negotiated the project for 60 months but the parties were unable to reach a mutually acceptable agreement that would guarantee that the project would be completed in a timely basis.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<p>At that time, Miami-Dade County received an unsolicited proposal from the City of Hialeah, proposing to build an elderly residential project consisting of 3 residential towers of approximately 345 units collectively and space for commercial uses. Upon termination of negotiations with Jubilee, MDT will enter into discussions with the City.</p> <p>On July 24, 2007, the BCC, through R-903-07, terminated negotiations for joint development between Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and Jubilee Community Development Corporation. According to R-903-07, this item will have a positive fiscal impact, either the County will be receiving lease payments or purchase payment based on Fair Market Value of the property as appraised by a County approved independent appraiser. These funds will be applied towards MDT's deficit reduction plan.</p> <p>On June 3, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-532-14, approved the Lease Agreement between the County and City of Hialeah regarding joint development at Okeechobee Metrorail Station site. Additionally, the proposed resolution approved District 13 allocation from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program of \$5,592,000 to City of Hialeah as a grant to fund the first phase, consisting of sixty (60) affordable, elderly rental housing units of approx. 742 sq. ft., at the Okeechobee Site.</p> <p>Highlights from the Lease Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The term of the Lease is 99 years;</li> <li>• Rent was to be \$1.00;</li> <li>• Tenant will construct 180 Senior Affordable Housing units within nine (9) years;</li> <li>• Senior Affordable Housing is housing occupied solely by persons who are 62 years old or older, or housing occupied by at least one person who is 55 years old or older in at least 80% of the occupied units, and where the owner/operator adheres to a policy that demonstrates an intent to house persons who are 55 years old or older;</li> <li>• Total annual household incomes do not exceed 65% of the area median income of Miami-Dade County; and</li> <li>• Phase I- sixty (60) units, including required parking. Must obtain building permit within twelve (12) months of Commencement Date and completion must occur within 36 months of Commencement Date.</li> </ul>
<b>11A5 150872</b>	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PREFERENCE FOR THE PURCHASE OF GOODS PRODUCED OR MANUFACTURED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY COMPETITIVE SOLICITATIONS AND CONTRACTS FOR INCLUSION OF APPROPRIATE SET-ASIDE OR PREFERENCE FOR THE PURCHASE OF LOCAL PRODUCTS AND TO DEVELOP LANGUAGE FOR INCLUSION IN COMPETITIVE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND CONTRACTS TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE PREFERENCE; PROVIDING FOR ADVICE OF THE AGRICULTURAL MANAGER, THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE AND THE SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF THE INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING FOR REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150601]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establishes a preference for the purchase of goods produced or manufactured in geographical Miami-Dade County (Local Products), including, without limitation, local agricultural products;</li> <li>• Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to identify competitive solicitations and contracts for inclusion of appropriate set-aside or preference provisions for the purchase of Local Products, at any contracting, subcontracting or material purchase tier; and</li> <li>• Further directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to create language for inclusion in competitive solicitation documents and contracts to give effect to this preference.</li> </ul> <p>The Mayor or Mayor's designee will solicit the advice of the Agricultural Manager, the Miami-Dade County Extension Service, and the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department. Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide a report to the BCC setting forth the steps taken to implement this directive not later than 90 days following the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>
<b>11A6 150753</b>	RESOLUTION AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES GOVERNING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT NO. 124 OF THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM TO BE APPLIED TO ALL NEW APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution amends administrative rules governing Economic Development Project No. 124 of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program to be applied to all new applications for funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Administrative Rules are amended to add the following at the end of Article II, Section 4.A.1. – Eligibility Requirements for Projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Does the project improve infrastructure for a greater area of impact that can advance economic development substantially beyond the project footprint?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Is the project a target industry identified in the May 2012 One Community One Goal Strategic Report or identified by the Beacon Council, from time to time?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Does it advance green technology or energy green industry?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Does it enhance or advance transit-oriented development?</i></li> <li>○ <i>Would the project be vulnerable to sea level rise that would require adaptation strategies and if so, would it contribute to any overall sea level rise adaptation goals established by the County?</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the County Mayor or designee will apply the criteria in the amended Administrative Rules to all new applications received by the County for Project 124 funding and will report the findings to the Board when a new project is considered by the Board for an allocation from the Project 124 Fund.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$75 million was allocated towards the EDF Project 124. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that govern EDF were adopted by</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

the Board under Resolution No. R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.

Funding from grant awards under EDF Project 124 must be used for public infrastructure improvements to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. Public infrastructure includes road improvements, water and sewer networks, public parking structures, public facilities and other improvements subject to certain limitations and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible uses of the grant awards from EDF Project 124 include, but are not limited to, working capital, furniture and fixtures, business equipment, and non-capital related expenses.

In addition to stimulating private investment and job growth, one of the primary objectives of EDF Project 124 is the strengthening the economy's capacity for innovation and commercialization of scientific advancements and expanding leadership in local industry clusters such as: Aviation and Aerospace; Financial and Professional Services; Homeland Security and Defense; Information Technology; Life Sciences; and International Trade and Global Commerce. The Administrative Rules also recognized that industry clusters were growing or had the potential to emerge in certain strategic areas of the County: namely, the Civic Center/Medical District; Homestead Air Reserve Base; Opa-Locka Executive Airport; Port of Miami; and Kendall Tamiami Executive Airport.

**Additional Information- BBC GOB Administrative Rules**

After multiple amendments and supplements, on June 15, 2010, the BCC, through R-668-10, adopted the Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program. Below are highlights from the resolutions and supplements that made up the Administrative Rules that govern the Economic Development Grant Fund – Project No. 124:

- Staff proposes that \$75 million from the Economic Development Fund be used to not only accomplish the goals of private sector development, accelerate job creation, and provide a significant return on investment, but more importantly to develop projects with the potential to transform the regional economy and produce a significant number of jobs. More specifically, the County wishes to use these funds in ways that strengthen the economy's capacity for innovation and commercialization of scientific advancements, and expand leadership in local industry clusters such as Aviation and Aerospace, Financial and Professional Services, Homeland Security and Defense, Information Technology, Life Sciences, and International Trade and Global Commerce.
- The recommended use of these funds is a shift from the County's current economic development incentive programs as it focuses on the building of institutions that serve as catalysts for such new investments, productivity growth, and the foundation for long-term regional competitive advantage. Using BBC GOB Program Project No. 124 funds, the County can move quickly to take advantage of "game-changing" economic development opportunities likely to have very significant impacts on the entire County and/or South Florida region, rather than specific neighborhoods or sections of the County. This portion of the Economic Development Fund will fund new public infrastructure projects that incentivize real property investments by innovative businesses willing to relocate to Miami-Dade County that are unlikely to occur without such incentive.
- Each proposed project must be evaluated primarily according to the "permanent" new jobs directly and indirectly created, but consideration will also be given to the directly and indirectly created jobs during the project's development phase. Once a proposed project is approved by the Board, actual funding is dependent upon the availability of BCC GOB Program bond proceeds.
- The innovative businesses sought are businesses likely to be catalysts for the growth of an existing or emerging high technology cluster or likely to have a significant impact on long term regional growth. Eligible projects can be located anywhere in Miami-Dade County as long as they meet the criteria set in the administrative rules.
- This program would provide \$75 million in grant funds to reimburse projects for the cost of public infrastructure investments associated with private development. These private capital investments must support long term economic development and create a significant number of jobs. Reimbursement for infrastructure investment will be contingent upon the private entity having secured total project capital investment from non-County government sources as required under a Grant Agreement.

The Economic Development Grant Fund requirements listed below establish guidelines to identify qualified projects. This list of general qualifications and requirements reflects the County's intent to move forward with projects that will have a significant, and lasting, impact on future economic development and job creation. Project No. 124 requirements include:

- The funds must be used to provide infrastructure improvements to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs.
- The funds must be used for public infrastructure, including parking structures and public facilities, along with other infrastructure improvements, subject to certain limitations and to be evaluated on a case by case basis.
- These infrastructure improvements must support economic development activities that have the potential to create a significant number of permanent new jobs in Miami-Dade County that benefit the community at-large.
- The project must leverage public bond monies with other funding sources to yield a significant economic impact and return on public incentive investments, and demonstrate the financial capacity and financial commitment to complete the economic development project.
- The project must demonstrate long-term benefits to the County in spurring future economic growth through an analysis of local economic and County fiscal impacts over a 20-year time period using a Miami-Dade County REMI model or an equivalent model that is widely available and professionally accepted among economists.
- A project-specific grant would reimburse up to 100 percent of public infrastructure costs per project, but subject to a maximum cap of \$15 million and a minimum amount of \$10 million.
- Actual grant funds would be disbursed on a reimbursement basis only after verified completion of the public infrastructure project upon receipt of an audited financial accounting of infrastructure development costs and subject to funding and

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>compliance with federal tax laws.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grants in excess of \$5 million would be disbursed over no more than a 5 year period from the date the public infrastructure improvements are completed when taxable bonds are issued to fund such public infrastructure improvements. If tax-exempt bonds are issued for the public infrastructure improvements reimbursements for such public infrastructure improvements will be disbursed over no more than a three year period from the date of the expenditure but in no case later than 18 months from the date the public infrastructure project is placed in service.</li> <li>Annual benchmarks for required private sector capital investments in a project would be established and disbursement of grant funds would be subject to attainment of said benchmarks. A prorated grant disbursement may be allowed when actual project investment falls short of benchmarks.</li> <li>A clawback provision in the event that established benchmarks are not met subsequent to disbursement of grant funds will be included in the Grant Agreement. The Grant Agreement will require that the grant recipient provide collateral securing the clawback provision. The collateral may include, but will not be limited to, any instrument such as a personal guarantee, performance bond, restrictive covenant, or any other collateral as appropriate.</li> <li>As a condition of the grant award for public infrastructure improvements, the grant recipient agrees as a matter of contract to the application of Section 2-11.16 of the Code on the portion of the project that is specifically tied to EDF-funded public infrastructure improvements.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Recent Economic Development Fund Project 124 Legislation</u></b> On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by this Board.</p>
<b>11A7 150756</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPILE AND ANALYZE INFORMATION FOR GREENPRINT UPDATES AND PROGRESS REPORTS, HOLD COMMUNITY MEETINGS ON GREENPRINT, CREATE MECHANISM FOR THE PUBLIC TO PROVIDE ONLINE COMMENTS ON GREENPRINT, AND INCORPORATE REFERENCES TO GREENPRINT IN CERTAIN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLICATIONS, WEBSITE PAGES, PROGRAMMING AND PRESS RELEASES</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Collect, compile, and analyze the data and information necessary for the GreenPrint update cycles and progress reports; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>This data includes, but is not limited to, emissions inventories and greenhouse gas calculations.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Hold at least four community meetings, at different geographic locations within the County, in order to maximize public participation and to solicit input, ideas and recommendations for the next update cycle of GreenPrint;</li> <li>Create a mechanism for the public to provide online comments and recommendations for the next update cycle of GreenPrint; and</li> <li>Incorporate references to GreenPrint, where applicable, into Miami-Dade County press releases, television programming, educational materials, Miami-Dade County website pages, and other County publications. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>For example, a press release will mention how a particular County operation or initiative supports GreenPrint, as applicable.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information - GreenPrint Progress Report:</u></b> On March 4, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-226-14, accepted the GreenPrint progress report and accepted the GreenPrint framework for taking action on sustainability.</p> <p>GreenPrint: Our Design for a Sustainable Future, lays out a vision for a community with a robust economy, a healthy environment, and a better quality of life for the people who live and visit Miami-Dade County. GreenPrint is also an action plan with 5-year cycles, focused on measurable goals and specific targets within its 7 interconnected goal areas and 137 initiatives. It contains many new initiatives, but also aims to elevate and intensify efforts related to existing initiatives and plans. Together, the existing and new initiatives strive to achieve aggressive water conservation, energy, climate change, and greenhouse gas reduction goals to sustain ourselves and our natural resources. GreenPrint was developed in collaboration with many community stakeholders: experts from the business community and academia, individual residents, nonprofit organizations, municipal representatives, and County staff. GreenPrint is a community-wide plan. It is a map to achieving goals that benefit the economy, the environment, and society.</p> <p>The purpose of this specific report is to highlight the progress of a few key GreenPrint initiatives during the period 2011 - 2012. This was a period of recovery for the economy and housing market in Miami-Dade County, as well as a time of continued population growth. During this challenging time, GreenPrint stakeholders continued their hard work on behalf of our community. One important achievement since the initial release of GreenPrint in 2010, was the creation of an internal web-based tracking tool, developed with the assistance of the County's Information Technology Department. To measure such progress, the tracking tool maintains key information and records updates for each initiative. This tool allows us to more easily report on the progress of each initiative. As initiatives are completed and situations change, initiatives incorporated into GreenPrint will change as well.</p> <p>GreenPrint Goals:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Create the next generation of green leaders; Use less water and energy; Maintain exceptional quality of air, drinking water and coastal waters used for recreation; Protect and enhance Biscayne Bay, the Everglades and vital ecosystems; Reinvent our solid waste system; Use our land wisely, creating and connecting strong sustainable neighborhoods; Provide more transportation options, reducing the time we spend in our cars; Create green jobs; Build on our international reputation to become a green enterprise destination; Raise awareness that sustainable living is healthy; Plant more Florida-friendly and native trees and landscapes; Understand and respond to current and future climate change impacts; and Reduce greenhouse gas emissions.</li> </ul>
<b>11A8 150575</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PLAN FOR THE CREATION OF A SPECIALIZED DISABLED PARKING ENFORCEMENT UNIT IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND PROVIDE A REPORT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to develop a plan for the creation of a specialized disabled parking enforcement unit in Miami-Dade County;</li> <li>Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to include in the plan the costs associated with implementation and identification of an adequate source of funding; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If an adequate source of funding is unavailable, the plan will analyze increasing the fines for misuse of specially marked handicapped parking spaces and increasing the enforcement and collection of such fines as possible sources of funding.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to provide a written report to this Board within ninety 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>On March 4, 2014, the BCC through Ordinance No. 14-25, amended Section 30-388.2, Free Public Parking for the Physically Disabled, of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code), providing that parking charges may be imposed on vehicles displaying a disabled parking permit or license tag at Public Health Trust facilities under specified circumstances, but that such charges may not be imposed for certain vehicles. The proposed ordinance is consistent with State law. However, it is the intent of the Public Health Trust to modify current rate structure to provide free parking for the first two hours for all patrons.</p> <p>On November 5, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-104, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approved amendments to Section 30-388.2 of the Code providing that, consistent with state law, parking charges may be imposed on vehicles displaying a disabled parking permit or license tag at any County Airport or Seaport under specified circumstances; however, such charges may not be imposed for certain vehicles as defined in such state law.</p> <p>Ordinance No. 13-104 allows the Aviation and Seaport departments to charge and collect parking fees to <i>vehicles that display a disabled parking permit or license tag issued under Sections 316.1958, 320.084, 320.0842, 320.0843, 320.0845, or 320.0848, but no parking charges will be imposed by the Aviation or the Seaport upon any vehicle with specialized equipment, such as ramps, lifts, or foot or hand controls, or for utilization by a person who has a disability or whose vehicle is displaying the Florida Toll Exemption permit. In addition, any vehicle that displays a disabled parking permit or license tag issued, exiting an airport or seaport parking facility less than two hours from entering the facility will not be charged parking fees. Any such vehicle exiting the facility more than two hours from entering the facility will be charged for the entire time the vehicle uses the facility.</i></p>
<b>11A9 150115</b>	RESOLUTION EXPRESSING INTENT OF THE BOARD TO (1) SUPPORT MARRIAGE EQUALITY CONSISTENT WITH CERTAIN JUDICIAL RULINGS HOLDING THAT SAME SEX COUPLES CANNOT BE CONSTITUTIONALLY DENIED THE RIGHT TO MARRY, (2) SUPPORT RECOGNITION BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES ENTERED INTO IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS, AND (3) ENCOURAGE FLORIDA'S ATTORNEY GENERAL TO WITHDRAW OR EXPEDITE ALL PRESENT AND FUTURE APPEALS OF THESE RULINGS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, TO SEEK LEAVE TO APPEAR AS AN AMICUS CURIAE IN AMICI CURIAE BRIEFS JOINTLY FILED BY CERTAIN COUNTIES, CITIES AND A VILLAGE IN STATE OF FLORIDA V. PARETO, ET AL. AND RUVIN, STATE OF FLORIDA V. HUNTSMAN, ET AL. AND HEAVILIN, BRENNER, ET AL. V. ARMSTRONG, ET AL., GRIMSLEY, ET AL. V. ARMSTRONG, ET AL., SHAW V. SHAW, AND DOUSSET V. FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY IN SUPPORT OF SAME SEX MARRIAGE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution expresses intent of the BCC to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support marriage equality consistent with certain judicial rulings holding that same sex couples cannot be constitutionally denied the right to marry;</li> <li>Support recognition by the State of Florida of same sex marriages entered into in other jurisdictions;</li> <li>Encourage Florida's Attorney General to withdraw or expedite all present and future appeals of these rulings; and</li> <li>Directs the County Attorney's Office to seek leave to appear as amicus curiae, on behalf of the County, in the amici curiae briefs, jointly filed by Alachua County, Broward County, Orange County, Palm Beach County, City of Tampa, City of Orlando, City of St. Petersburg, City of Gainesville, City of West Palm Beach, City of Miami Beach, City of Coconut Creek, City of Hallandale Beach, City of Key West, City of Wilton Manors, City of South Miami and Village of Biscayne Park in support of same sex marriage in State of Florida v. Pareto, et. al. and Ruvin and State of Florida v. Huntsman, et. al. and Heavilin; Mariama Monique Changamire Shaw v. Keiba Lynn Shaw; Gildas Dousset v. Florida Atlantic University; and Brenner, et al. v. Armstrong, et al., and Grimsley, et al. v. Armstrong, et al.</li> </ul>
<b>11A10 150974</b>	RESOLUTION RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. R-902-14 WHICH ALLOCATED \$3,000,000.00 OF PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO THE REGIONAL HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER AND THE CULINARY ENTERPRISE CENTER; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$2,000,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO SEVENTH AVENUE I, LTD. TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150614]
<b>11A15</b>	RESOLUTION RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. R-902-14 WHICH ALLOCATED \$3,000,000.00 OF PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO THE REGIONAL HEALTH

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

150975	AND WELLNESS CENTER AND THE CULINARY ENTERPRISE CENTER; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$2,800,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO URBAN HEALTH AND WELLNESS GROUP TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150754]
Notes	<p><b><u>11A10</u></b> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinds Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and</li> <li>• Approves an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. To fund certain eligible infrastructure projects; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by this Board of a Grant Agreement between the County and Seventh Avenue I, Ltd.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>11A15</u></b> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinds Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center;</li> <li>• Allocates up to \$2,800,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Urban Health and Wellness Group for the Wellness Center Project, subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by this Board of a Grant Agreement between the County and Urban Health and Wellness Group. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the Urban Health and Wellness Group.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Once Project 320 funds are fully allocated, the County will begin to reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 320 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to the Project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</p> <p>The County Mayor is directed to complete the negotiation of the terms of a Grant Agreement with Urban Health and Wellness Group and Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. pursuant to the Administrative Rules within six months from the effective date of this resolution or, alternatively, if there are insufficient Project 320 funds to fully fund the amount of the allocation to the Projects, within six months of the availability of any Recaptured funds.</p> <p>The County Mayor will present the negotiated Grant Agreement to this Board for its consideration, provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such Grant Agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to this Board instead at its next scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period and placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$15 million was allocated towards the Economic Development in the Targeted Urban Areas Fund Project 320. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that govern Fund Project 320 were adopted by the Board under Resolution No. R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.</p> <p>Funding from grant awards under Project 320 must be used for public infrastructure to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. Public infrastructure includes road improvements, water and sewer networks, public parking structures, public facilities and other improvements subject to certain limitations and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible uses of the grant awards from Project 320 include, but are not limited to, working capital, furniture and fixtures, business equipment, and non-capital related expenses.</p> <p>The grant awards are disbursed as reimbursements of eligible expenditures paid by the grantees and approved by the Mayor or his designee in accordance with established administrative and IRS rules governing the tax-exempt status of the General Obligation Bond Program. The disbursement of grant funds are also contingent upon the developer meeting performance measures for job creation, private investment, average salaries and other measures in the respective grant agreements. In the event that IRS rules require grant funds to be disbursed before performance milestones can be met, a clawback provision will be included in the grant agreement that allows the County to recover part or all of the grant funds disbursed when performance milestones are not achieved.</p> <p>Prior to entering into grant agreements, a final determination as to the projects qualifications to receive the funds will be made. The grant agreements will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date. If an agreement cannot be finalized, the funding may be reallocated by the BCC. If the allocations are greater than the amount of eligible public infrastructure expenditures needed, the difference will be available for reallocation by the BCC.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information regarding Targeted Urban Areas (TUA)</u></b> The following list comprises the eighteen (18) TUAs throughout Miami-Dade County: Carol City Pt. 1; Carol City Pt. 2; Opa-Locka; W Little River; Little Haiti; Liberty City; Model City/Brownsville; Overtown; Coconut Grove; South Miami; Richmond Heights; Perrine; Goulds; Princeton; Naranja; Leisure City; SW Homestead; and Florida City.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information - R-902-14:</u></b> On October 7, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-902-14, allocated \$3,000,000.00 of funding from the Building Better Communities</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program, Economic Development in the Targeted Urban Areas Fund Project 320 to the <b>Regional Health and Wellness Center and the Culinary Enterprise Center</b> projects (Project). The resolution directed the Mayor or Mayor's designee to begin negotiating the terms of a grant agreement with the Opa-Locka Community Development Corporation that will be presented to the Board for approval at a future date. The economic impact of this project primarily benefited the Opa-Locka Targeted Urban Area but indirect benefits were also expected to occur in areas of the county outside of the Targeted Urban Area.</p> <p>The Opa-Locka Community Development Corporation proposed to develop a children's regional health and wellness center and culinary enterprise center seeking Economic Development Fund funding for both the wellness center and the culinary enterprise center. The applicant's consultants estimated that both projects combined would yield 145 new jobs by the third year of operations, increasing to approximately 243 jobs by the fifth year and potentially 630 jobs by the 15th year of operation. Both facilities would serve the residents of the Opa-Locka Targeted Urban Area. The application shows that \$5,785,792 is needed to develop the culinary business incubator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The proposed culinary center would be located at 757 Ali Baba Boulevard in Opa-Locka. The Opa-Locka Community Development Corporation proposed to construct a 20,000 SF culinary business incubator (Thrive Kitchen) on land owned by the Opa-Locka Community Development Corporation. Thrive Kitchen would offer start-ups and growing food businesses with shared-use of a licensed commercial kitchen and technical assistance in growing those businesses and hiring employees. The center would partner with Miami-Dade College's Culinary Institute to provide the technical assistance and workforce training.</i></li> <li><i>The Regional Health and Wellness Center would be housed in renovated space at the Hurt Building located at 490 Opa-Locka Boulevard in Opa-Locka and required \$500,000 of investment to establish the wellness center on the first floor. The wellness center will be operated by the Jessie Trice Community Health Center, expanding their patient base and thereby creating the need for eight new full-time medical staff with an average annual compensation rate of \$46,200. The Opa-Locka Targeted Urban Area has a poverty rate of 32%, and an unemployment rate of approximately 12.8%. The median household income is approximately \$22,000. Just over half the adult residents do not have a high school diploma or GED.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 320 Allocations</u></b></p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC, approved various resolutions allocating \$13,200,000.00 in funding from the BBC GOB, EDF Fund Project 320. Those resolutions also, directed the Mayor or his designee to begin negotiating the terms of grant agreements that will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date. The following projects were approved by the BCC on October, 7, 2014:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>R-900-14- Wynwood Plaza Project- District 3- \$3,000,000;</li> <li>R-901-14- Miami Design District NE 2nd Avenue Project- District 3- \$2,000,000;</li> <li>R-902-14- Regional Health and Wellness Center and the Culinary Enterprise Center- District 1- \$3,000,000; <b><i>Being rescinded in proposed item;</i></b></li> <li>R-903-14- Sunshine Plaza Project- District 2- \$2,000,000;</li> <li>R-904-14- St. James Smokehouse- District 2- \$200,000; and</li> <li>R-905-14- Grove Village on Grand- District 7- \$3,000,000.</li> </ul>
<b>11A11 150733</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS TWO COUNTY-OWNED PARCELS LOCATED AT 1410 NORTHWEST 9TH AVENUE AND 1459 NORTHWEST 10TH AVENUE; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE PRIVATE SALE OF SAME TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE 125.35(2) FOR FAIR MARKET VALUE AS DETERMINED BY THE PROPERTY APPRAISER; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE SALE OF SAID PROPERTY; AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF COUNTY DEEDS BY COMMISSION CHAIRPERSON OR VICE CHAIRPERSON FOR SUCH PURPOSE</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution declares two County-owned parcels as surplus, waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to the recommendation of the Planning Advisory Board and, pursuant to section 125.35(2) of the Florida Statutes and authorizes the County Mayor or designee to sell the Parcels to the University of Miami (UM) for fair market value as determined by the Property Appraiser.</p> <p>The two County-owned parcels are located at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1410 Northwest 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue- Folio Number: 01-3135-019-2760- 2014 Market Value: \$48,078; and</li> <li>1459 Northwest 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue- Folio Number: 01-3135-019-2841- 2014 Market Value: \$40,770.</li> </ul>
<b>11A13 150748</b>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A SIX MONTH EXTENSION OF CERTAIN DEADLINES SET FORTH IN AMENDED AND RESTATED DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SOUTHEAST OVERTOWN PARK WEST COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FOR DEVELOPMENT ON BLOCK 45 LOCATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA WITHOUT COST TO DEVELOPER; AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE FIRST AMENDMENT TO SUCH DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS MEMORIALIZING APPROVAL OF SUCH EXTENSIONS, SUBJECT TO SATISFACTION OF CONDITIONS</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes an extension of certain deadlines set forth in the Amended Declaration as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A six month extension of the Vertical Construction Commencement Deadline from May 15, 2016 to November 15, 2016;</li> <li>A six month extension of the Residential Completion Deadline from May 15, 2018 to November 15, 2018;</li> <li>A six month extension of the Retail Completion Deadline from May 15, 2018 to November 15, 2018; and</li> <li>A six month extension of the date of reverter to the County if vertical construction does not commence by the Vertical Commencement Construction Deadline, from May 15, 2016 to November 15, 2016.</li> </ul> <p>These time extensions will be memorialized in an amendment to the Amended Declaration which will be referred to as the- First Amendment to Amended Declaration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>This extension does not alter any provisions of the Amended Declaration, including the ability of the Executive Director of the CRA to extend certain time frames by 10 percent or the Developer's ability to obtain an extension of the Residential Completion Deadline and Retail Completion Deadline by paying \$250,000 to each of the County and the CRA.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<p>The proposed resolution further authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to execute the First Amendment to Amended Declaration, after consultation with the County Attorney's Office subject to satisfaction of all of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full and binding authority by the CRA board authorizing all of the time extensions and approving such First Amendment to Amended Declaration; and</li> <li>• Execution by the CRA of the First Amendment to Amended Declaration.</li> </ul> <p><b><i>In the event that the CRA board does not approve each term and condition on the identical terms and conditions then any such changes or additions will be presented to this Board for consideration, and must be approved by this Board prior to the Mayor's or Mayor's designee's execution of the First Amendment to Amended Declaration.</i></b></p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution authorizes the extensions without cost to the Developer in light of the unanticipated delay, contingent upon a finding by the CRA board that such extensions are likewise without cost to the Developer. Any future extensions will be subject to the payment of no less than \$250,000.00 to each of the CRA and the County, as set forth in the Amended Declaration.</p>
<b>11A14 150584</b>	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM, SUBJECT TO RECAPTURE, APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$5,000,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 TO TURNBERRY AIRPORT HOLDINGS, LLC TO FUND FONTAINEBLEAU AVIATION PROJECT, AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH TURNBERRY AIRPORT HOLDINGS, LLC PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the Administrative Rules that each Project 124 allocation be a minimum of \$10,000,000.00 for Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC with regard to the Fontainebleau Aviation Project and, subject to the Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and the future consideration by the Board of a Grant Agreement between the County and Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC, an allocation of up to \$5,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC for the Fontainebleau Aviation Project is approved.</p> <p>The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 124 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to the Fontainebleau Aviation Project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by this Board.</p> <p>If and when any Recaptured Funds become available, the County Mayor is directed to negotiate, in accordance with Resolution No. R-123-15, the terms of a Grant Agreement with Turnberry Airport Holdings, LLC pursuant to the Administrative Rules. The County Mayor will prepare and present a Grant Agreement to this Board for its consideration, provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such Grant Agreement within the time period contemplated in Resolution No. R-123-15 which period will commence on the date any Recaptured Funds for which the Fontainebleau Aviation Project is eligible becomes available, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to this Board instead and the County Mayor will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Recent Economic Development Fund Project 124 Legislation</u></b> On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by this Board.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 124 Allocations</u></b> On July 1, 2014, the BCC approved R-616-14, waiving administrative rules for BBC GOB, EDF, Project 124, approving the allocation of \$6,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Flagler Street Reconstruction and Economic Development to fund certain economic development projects.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC approved \$24,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• R-986-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Carrie Meek International Business Park project;</li> <li>• R-987-14- Allocating \$500,000.00 to the Aviation Corporate Hangar project;</li> <li>• R-988-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Orion Jet Center Development project; and</li> <li>• R-1015-14- Allocating up to \$13,500,000.00 to Miami Wilds, LLC for the Miami Wilds project.</li> </ul> <p>On December 16, 2014, the BCC approved \$20,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• R-1121-14- Allocating \$9,000,000 to Skyrise Miami, LLC to fund the Skyrise Miami Project;</li> <li>• R-1122-14- Allocating \$6,000,000 to Overtown Gateway Partners, LLC to fund the Overtown Gateway Project; and</li> <li>• R-1116-14- Allocating \$5,000,000 to Larkin Health Science Education Campus.</li> </ul> <p>On January 21, 2015, the BCC approved R-37-15, approving the allocation from the EDF Project 124 in the amount of \$10,000,000 to Miami Ocean Studios, LLC to fund the Miami Ocean Studio Economic Development Project.</p> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC approved \$15,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund to the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• R-230-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to River Landing Development, LLC for the River Landing Development Project; and</li> </ul>



**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>R-233-15- Allocating \$7,500,000 to Rosal Westview, LLC for the Rosal Westview Business Park Project.</li> </ul> <p>On April 21, 2015, the BCC approved \$15,000,000 in allocations, subject to recapture, from the EDF Project 124 fund to the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>R-349-15- Allocating \$7,500,000- Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. to fund Project Mercy; and</li> <li>R-334-15- Allocating \$7,500,000- to the Village of Palmetto Bay for the Downtown Palmetto Bay Project.</li> </ul> <p>The following list provides other projects that have been considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141535- <b>Failed in EDPMC</b>- \$5,000,000- AVE Aviation Commerce Center project;</li> <li>October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141866- <b>No Action Taken at EDPMC</b>- \$18,500,000- Beach Re-nourishment Reserve Fund project;</li> <li>November 5, 2014- Legislative File No. 141539- <b>Failed in BCC</b>- \$5,000,000- Parkside at Palmetto Bay project.</li> </ul>
<b>11A16 150727</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING TWO COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 2550 NW 93 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA AND 9135 NW 32 AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA AS SURPLUS AND DIRECTS THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REVISE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INVENTORY LIST OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING SITES TO INCLUDE SUCH PROPERTIES; AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF FIVE SINGLE FAMILY HOME SITES, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.379, FLORIDA STATUTES, AT A PRICE OF \$10.00 TO WOMEN AGAINST YOUTH VIOLENCE EMPOWERMENT SAVES, INC., A 501(C)(3) CORPORATION, TO DEVELOP SUCH PROPERTIES AS WORKFORCE HOUSING; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE CONVEYANCE OF SAID PROPERTIES AND TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN THE COUNTY DEED; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENSURE PLACEMENT OF APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150436]</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution declares two (2) County-owned properties as surplus and directs the County Mayor to revise Miami-Dade County inventory list of affordable housing sites to include such properties. The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the designee to appoint County staff to monitor compliance with the terms of this conveyance, and to enforce the provisions of the County Deed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2550 NW 93 Street, Miami, Florida Folio No. 30-3103-012-0910 2014 Market Value \$15,335</li> <li>9135 NW 32 Avenue, Miami Florida Folio No. 30-3104-003-5050 2014 Market Value \$21,839</li> </ul> <p><i>(In addition to the properties being declared as surplus, listed above, the three properties listed below are also being conveyed.)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Folio No. 30-3110-057-0270 2014 Market Value \$15,560</li> <li>Folio No. 30-3116-009-1050 5801 NW 32 Avenue, Miami, Florida 2014 Market Value \$14,866</li> <li>Folio No. 30-2135-025-0170 2014 Market Value \$21,151</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution approves the conveyance of five (5) single family home sites, pursuant to Section 125.379, Florida Statutes, at a price of ten dollars (\$10.00), to <b>Women Against Youth Violence Empowerment Saves, Inc.</b>, a 501(c)(3) corporation (Developer), which will develop the Properties as workforce housing which will be sold to qualified homebuyers within two (2) of the effective date of the conveyance.</p> <p>As a condition of the sale of the constructed workforce housing homes to qualified homebuyers and in accordance with the requirements of Sections 17-142 and 17-144 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Developer will require each qualified homebuyer to execute a restrictive covenant that preserves the affordability of the home in a form approved by the County Mayor or designee. The Developer agrees that the properties will remain affordable for a period of thirty (30) years from the date the homes are completed and conveyed to the qualified homebuyers.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Developer will not assign or transfer its interest in the Properties or in the Deed without the consent of the BCC, with the exception of any conveyance to qualified homebuyers; and will pay real estate taxes and assessments on the Properties when due.</p> <p>The Developer proposes to partner with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Jones Group Enterprises, LLC</b>, to serve as the managing developer and which has specific housing and community development experience in creating and preserving homeownership opportunities for working families as well as providing employment and contracts to small businesses;</li> <li><b>Blue Rooster Capital, LLC</b>, to serve as the financing entity; and</li> <li><b>Chambers Construction, Foster Construction and African American trade firms</b> that will be responsible for the construction of the homes. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The letter requesting the properties, (Attachment A-page 9) does not list Chambers Construction or Foster</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners**  
**May 5, 2015 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

	<p><b>Construction as stated in the Third Whereas clause of the proposed resolution on page 4. The letter lists UNEK Developers, as a collaborative partner, however, UNEK is not listed in the proposed resolution.</b></p> <p><b>Additional Information</b></p> <p>According to the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Jones Group Enterprises, LLC</b>, 19222 NW 89 Avenue, Hialeah, Florida; Filed on March 14, 2014; Principal: Opal A. Jones</li> <li>• <b>WAYVES, Inc.</b>, 1525 NW 129 Street, Miami, Florida; Filed on September 7, 2010; Principals: Lamar Eady; Caprice Eady; Tavarick D. Haygood; and</li> <li>• <b>Blue Rooster Capital, LLC</b>, 5696 Alton Road, Miami Beach, Florida; Filed on April 8, 2014; Principal: Yves R. Barroukh.</li> <li>• <b>UNEK Developers, Inc.</b>, 560 S Park Road, Suite 7-24, Hollywood, Florida; Filed on August 31, 2007; Principals: Matthew Smith; and Eddie Dean. <i>(Although UNEK Developers, Inc. is not mentioned in the proposed resolution it is listed as one of the collaborative partners in Attachment A of the item)</i></li> </ul> <p>The substitute differs from the original in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The conveyance of the five properties will be made to Women Against Youth Violence Empowerment Saves, Inc., a 5019(c)3 corporation instead of Jones Group Enterprises, LLC;</li> <li>• A letter requesting the properties from Jones Group Enterprises, LLC has been replaced with a letter from Women Against Youth Violence Empowerment Saves, Inc.;</li> <li>• The letter also includes a diagram of the homes to be built; and</li> <li>• The County Deed has been revised to reflect that the properties are being conveyed to Women Against Youth Violence Empowerment Saves, Inc.</li> </ul>
<b>11A17 150742</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-361-14 REGARDING ALLOCATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER 249 – “PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND EXPANSION OF HOME OWNERSHIP” FOR THE REHABILITATION OF HOMES IN THE MACFARLANE HOMESTEAD SUBDIVISION HISTORIC DISTRICT WITHIN CITY OF CORAL GABLES INTO AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN DISTRICT 7 IN ORDER TO ALLOW BOTH LOW INCOME AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSEHOLDS TO QUALIFY FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING; AND DIRECTING MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO MAKE REQUIRED REVISIONS TO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF CORAL GABLES TO EFFECTUATE SUCH POLICY
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Resolution No. R-361-14 regarding the allocation of Project No. 249 funds for the MacFarlane District Project in the amount of \$592,308.00 in order to allow both moderate income households as well as low income households to qualify for affordable housing as part of the MacFarlane District Project; and</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to make the requisite revisions to the Interlocal Agreement with the City of Coral Gables for the MacFarlane District Project previously approved by this Board as part of Resolution No. R-361-14 in order to effectuate the policy.</li> </ul> <p><i>Low income households means those households whose total annual adjusted gross income does not exceed 80% percent of the median annual adjusted gross income for households within the metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or, if not within an MSA, within Miami-Dade County, whichever is greater as defined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.</i></p>
<b>11A19 150697</b>	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING POLICY REQUIRING A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS’ MEMBERSHIP TO EXTEND THE LIFE OF ANY COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OR AMEND OR MODIFY ANY COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY’S REDEVELOPMENT PLAN
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution establishes policy requiring that the approval of an extension of the life of a community redevelopment agency or an amendment or modification to a community redevelopment agency’s redevelopment plan be approved by two-thirds vote of the Board’s membership.
<b>11A22 150924</b>	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE "STEPPING UP" INITIATIVE AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO CONSULT AGENCY LEADERS REGARDING MENTAL ILLNESS IN JAILS, COLLECT AND REVIEW DATA REGARDING MENTAL ILLNESS IN JAILS, ASSESS TREATMENT CAPACITY, AND DEVELOP A PLAN TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF ADULTS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS IN THE COUNTY’S JAILS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consult with a diverse team of local leaders and decision makers from multiple agencies committed to safely reducing the number of people with mental illnesses in jails prior to the issuance of the Mayor’s plan;</li> <li>• Collect and review mental illness prevalence numbers in the County’s jails and assess individuals’ needs to better identify adults entering jails with mental illnesses and their recidivism risk, and study to how to best use that baseline information to guide decision making;</li> <li>• Assess treatment and service capacity to determine which programs and services are available in Miami-Dade County for people with mental illnesses and co-occurring substance use disorders, and identify state and local policy and funding barriers to minimizing contact with the justice system and providing treatment and support in the community;</li> <li>• Develop a plan with measurable outcomes that draws on the needs and prevalence assessment data and examination of available treatment and service capacity, while considering identified barriers;</li> <li>• Recommend research-based approaches that advance the plan to reduce the number of adults with mental illness and co-occurring substance use disorders in the County’s jails; and</li> <li>• Explore a process to track progress in the County’s jails using data and information systems.</li> </ul>
<b>11A23 150871</b>	RESOLUTION REJECTING, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(K) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, THE UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY TRUMP ACQUISITION, LLC TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR THE CRANDON PARK GOLF COURSE AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REFUND ANY UNUSED PORTION OF THE \$25,000.00 PROCESSING FEE
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution rejects, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(k) of the County Code, the Unsolicited Proposal submitted by Trump Acquisition,

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

LLC (Trump) to Miami-Dade County for the Crandon Park Golf Course and directs the County Mayor's designee to refund Trump any unused portion of the \$25,000.00 processing fee it submitted to the County along with a certified copy of this resolution.

The unsolicited proposal submitted by Trump addresses the following requirements stipulated in section 2-8.1(k)(6) of the Miami-Dade County Code as follows:

- Trump or an affiliate (Company) agrees to bid for a multi-year operations and maintenance contract for Crandon Gold Course on Key Biscayne (CGKB) for the sum of **\$1.00 per year and 90% of the net operating income**. This bid will include all costs necessary to restore and maintain all the physical and environmental aspects of the existing CGKB. Specifically, the Company will replace and rebuild all bunkers, greens, tees, regrass all fairways, improve the practice facility and renovate the clubhouse. The Company agrees to continue to operate and maintain the gold course under this agreement for **99 years**;
- The Company agrees to make and operate the necessary environmental and course improvements within the constraints of the Crandon Park Master Plan, the Comprehensive Plan of Miami-Dade County and the requirements contained in Ordinance 08-79 of the county code;
- The Company agrees to be responsible for all costs incurred in the restoration and maintenance of all aspects of the gold course and agrees to be the sole funding source for this project;
- The Company will require access to the gold course and processing and management of all permit requirements by the county.
- The Company will provide several scientific, technical and socioeconomic enhancements:
  - Eliminate invasive exotic vegetation;
  - Incorporate stormwater treatment swales; and
  - Expand and enhance habitat for resident and migratory birds.
- The Company will enhance the gold experience for local youth, Special Olympics, people with disabilities, First Tee, and other local cultural groups; and
- The cost to Miami-Dade County will be the comprehensive review of all the legal, technical, environmental, and administrative aspects of the proposal.

The unsolicited proposal submitted by Trump addresses the following requirements stipulated in section 2-8.1(k)(8) of the Miami-Dade County Code as follows:

- The Company will make improvements to the CGKB facility in compliance with the Crandon Park Master Plan including, drainage, irrigation, grassing, design, environmentally sensitive areas, practice facility, maintenance facilities and clubhouse. No additional structures or additions to existing structures are contemplated or included in this proposal;
- All costs incurred through the end of the construction phase will be the responsibility of the Company with **no public funds required**. All costs resulting from the maintenance and operation of this facility will be the responsibility of the Company; and
- All revenues from the operation of the facility will be shared between Miami-Dade County and the Company pursuant to a revenue sharing agreement to be agreed to by the parties.

**Additional Information - Sec. 2-8.1. Contracts and purchases generally:**

**(k) Unsolicited Proposals.**

- (1) Any person or legal entity may submit an unsolicited proposal to the County to contract for the design, construction, operation, ownership, acquisition, or leasing of public infrastructure which unsolicited proposal shall be governed by the provisions of this section. For purposes of this section, public infrastructure shall mean transit structures, housing structures, roads, bridges, streets, highways, drainage, underground excavation, piping and all structures incidental thereto regardless of cost and any other public building with estimated cost in excess of fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00).
- (2) The County shall charge a fee to the private entity to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating any unsolicited proposal, including a fee to cover the costs of attorneys, engineers, consultants, and financial advisors. The fee charged for the review of the proposal shall be based on the level of expertise deemed necessary by the Mayor or his designee and required to review the proposal, and will not be greater than the direct costs associated with evaluating the unsolicited proposal. "Direct costs" may include, but are not limited to, (i) the cost of staff time required to process, evaluate, review and respond to the proposal and (ii) the costs of attorneys, engineers, financial advisors and other consultants. Should the project proceed beyond the initial review, and be published for competition, additional fees for review and evaluation may be charged as agreed to by the parties.
- (3) The County shall require the initial processing fee of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000.00). Additional fees may be charged based on the nature of the proposal and the complexity of the review required. All requested fees shall be paid prior to the County's further evaluation of the proposal. The Mayor or his designee shall refund any portion of the initial processing fee paid which in the discretion of the Mayor or his designee exceeds the direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal.
- (4) Upon receipt of an unsolicited proposal or group of proposals and payment of any required fees by the proposer or proposers, the Mayor or his designee shall note the date and time of receipt of such proposal and shall determine within 90 days whether to accept the unsolicited proposal solely for the purpose of proceeding to publication as described below. Alternatively, the Mayor or his designee shall reject the proposal within such ninety (90) days or, in the event that the time for consideration is insufficient, request an extension of this time from the Board; however, the Board shall not grant more than one such extension. Final determination of whether to publish a proposal shall be made by the Board of County Commissioners. Following such determination, the Mayor or his designee shall respond to the proposer in writing as to the acceptance or rejection of the unsolicited proposal. The initial review time may be extended by mutual agreement of the Mayor or his designee and the proposer. The proposal shall be published not later than thirty (30) days following acceptance by the Board of County Commissioners.
- (5) In his/her sole and absolute discretion, the County may reject or return an unsolicited proposal. The decision to reject an unsolicited proposal which is accompanied by the applicable fee shall be subject to ratification by the Board of County Commissioners. The Mayor or his designee shall provide a written explanation of the reasons for rejection in the item requesting ratification. It is not the intention or obligation of the County to correct and/or assist in the preparation of an unsolicited proposal in any manner.

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

- (6) *In determining whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for publication, the County shall take into consideration such factors as: whether the proposed project is in the public's best interest; the costs of the proposed project and its funding sources; whether the proposed project may be accomplished through the use of County resources; the need for the proposed project; the scientific, technical or socioeconomic merits of the proposal; the contribution of the proposal to the County's goals and objectives; the qualifications, technical and management capabilities and experience of the proposer considered as a whole and considered in terms of the legal entities who may comprise the proposer or who may be serving as subcontractors to the proposer; the general reputation and financial condition of the proposer and its team members; the proposer's financial capacity to perform its obligations in the proposed contract; the financial viability and feasibility of the submitted proposal; the cost, if any, to the County to proceed with implementation of the proposal; and any other information the County deems appropriate for such initial evaluation.*
- (7) *Any unsolicited proposal shall include sufficient detail and information for the County to evaluate the proposal in an objective and timely manner and to determine if the proposal meets the above criteria and benefits the County. If such proposal is not deemed by the County to be complete or in sufficient detail, it may be rejected at the sole discretion of the Mayor or his designee. The Mayor or his designee shall inform the proposer in writing of the reason for rejection and shall provide the proposer a copy of the item explaining the reason for rejection delivered to the Board of County Commissioners. It is not the intent or obligation of the Mayor or his designee to assist the proposer in completing the proposal and the Mayor or his designee shall bear no responsibility to itemize or advise the proposer of the incomplete items or terms of the proposal.*
- (8) *Any unsolicited proposal shall contain at a minimum the following items, as appropriate to the proposed project:*
- (a) Information and supporting documentation necessary for the County to evaluate the factors listed in paragraph (6) above.*
  - (b) A site plan indicating the location of the project proposed.*
  - (c) A description of the project, including the conceptual design of the facility.*
  - (d) The proposed schedule for development of the project and/or the proposed term for operation of the project, along with an estimate of the life cycle cost of the proposed proposal.*
  - (e) A statement setting forth a method by which the private entity proposes to secure any property interests required for the proposed project.*
  - (f) A list of all public utilities, railroad lines, navigable waters and flight paths, if any, that will be crossed or affected by the proposed project and a statement of the plan to accommodate such crossings or effects.*
  - (g) A statement setting forth the proposer's plans for developing, financing, constructing and/or operating and maintaining the project, including identification of any revenue, public or private of proposed debt or equity investment proposed by the proposer. The financing plans shall address any and all means by which the costs of the project will be borne by persons other than the County.*
  - (h) Names and addresses of persons who may be contacted for further information concerning the request.*
  - (i) Information on how the project would benefit small and community based contractors within Miami-Dade County.*
  - (j) A financial plan for the entire time period of the proposed private entity involvement in the project.*
  - (k) Performance guarantees, if any, and any proposed bonding to be provided by the proposer.*
  - (l) The names of owners, directors and officers of the proposer, and such information as may be necessary to evaluate the qualifications of the critical personnel to be engaged in the project.*
  - (m) A list of all engineering or construction firms to be proposed on the project and their qualifications and a description of their role in the proposal.*
  - (n) A listing of all proposed obligations and requirements of the County and any other governmental agencies, including, but not limited to, contributions to the project financing, staffing and permitting.*
  - (o) A listing of all small business enterprises (as defined in applicable County ordinances) that will participate in the project and the proposed scope of work of each.*
  - (p) Such additional material and information that a responsible public entity may reasonably expect, in order to review and evaluate such proposal.*
- (9) *The County may seek the advice of internal staff or outside advisors, attorneys or consultants, or any combination thereof, with relevant experience in determining whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for publication and/or whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity or any competing proposer. At its option, the County may seek further clarification of the proposal.*
- (10) *If the County accepts the unsolicited proposal for publication, then the Mayor or his designee shall publish a competitive solicitation statement that the County has received a proposal and will accept, for ninety (90) days after the initial date of the publication, other proposals for the same project purpose (the "Response Period"). Such period may be extended by the Board of County Commissioners, upon written request by the Mayor or his designee explaining why a longer period for response is in the best interest of the County. The Mayor or his designee shall identify the procedures that will be used for evaluating the proposals in the notice or in a subsequent publication. Once the County decides to receive competing proposals, it may utilize its existing procedures for evaluating the proposals or may adopt project-specific procedures. The proposer shall be authorized to respond to the competitive solicitation and offer to the County a proposal in terms not less favorable to the County than the original proposal.*
- (11) *Proposal documents submitted by private entities are public records under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes (Florida's Public Records Law), subject to any exemption otherwise provided by law. Any competing proposer may request and receive a copy of such proposal, and the County reserves the right to publish such unsolicited proposal and solicit competing proposals within the Response Period. Proposers are advised to familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Public Records Law and to seek legal advice regarding any proprietary or intellectual property rights which they may have in the proposal. In no event shall the County be liable to a proposer for the disclosure of all or a portion of a proposal submitted under this subsection.*
- (12) *When the private entity requests that the County not disclose information that is exempt from the disclosure requirements of the Public Records Law, the private entity must (i) invoke the exemption when the data or materials are submitted to the County or before such submission, (ii) identify the data and materials for which protection from disclosure is sought, and (iii) state why the exclusion from disclosure is necessary, citing the specific exemption to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, that the proposer believes applies. The County's determination as to confidentiality shall be final and binding upon the proposer. The proposer shall bear all attorneys' fees and costs*

**Board of County Commissioners  
May 5, 2015 Meeting  
Research Notes**

*associated with litigation for public access to claimed confidential documents. The County's need to maintain certain information confidential may be taken into consideration in the County's decision not to publish a solicitation.*

- (13) After the Response Period has expired, the Mayor or his designee shall within forty-five (45) days, or longer, as specified in the advertisement, evaluate all the competing proposals and rank them in order of preference utilizing the criteria published for the specific project.*
- (14) The Mayor or his designee may negotiate with the top-ranked proposers in the order of their ranking, and may, through such negotiations, aim to arrive at a mutually satisfactory agreement.*
- (15) If only one proposal is received, the Mayor or his designee may negotiate in good faith and if he or she is not satisfied with results, may at his or her sole discretion terminate negotiations with the proposer.*
- (16) No proposer is guaranteed the award of a contract as a result of being favorably ranked for this project. The issuance of an unsolicited proposal shall create no rights in the proposer including rights as a bidder, under contract or intellectual property. The County, in its discretion, reserves the right to reject all proposals at any point in the process prior to the full execution of a contract with a proposer.*
- (17) The bid protest process provided for in this Code shall not apply to any decision to reject an unsolicited proposal but shall apply to any decision to recommend a contract award rejection, unless such protest is waived in accordance with this Code. The Cone of Silence provided for in this Code shall be imposed only following the publication of the competitive solicitation.*
- (18) Nothing in this ordinance shall affect the County Manager's authority to recommend a waiver of competitive bids when he determines such waiver to be in the best interest of the County.*

**Additional Information – Crandon Park Master Plan:**

In 1940, the Matheson family conveyed approximately 903.9 acres of property on Key Biscayne to Miami-Dade County for use as a public park in exchange for the County's commitment to build a causeway to the key. The Rickenbacker Causeway was completed in 1947 and shortly thereafter, Crandon Park opened to the public.

In 1987, plans to build a professional tennis center on Crandon Park were developed and in 1990 plans for a stadium were approved. The Matheson family filed suit against the County on the premise that the commercial nature of the stadium project violated the park's deed restrictions which required that the land be used for public park purposes only.

As a resolution of litigation between the heirs for the Matheson family and the County over appropriate public park uses, the family and the County entered into a Settlement Agreement in 1992. The Settlement Agreement provides that any amendment to the Master Plan be approved by the Board of County Commissioners by resolution and transmitted to the Crandon Park Amendment Committee (CPAC) as established by the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, on July 13, 1993, the Board of County Commissioners approved a draft Crandon Park Master Plan (Resolution No. R-861-93). On July 18, 1996 the Board passed Resolution No. R-900-96 approving the final Crandon Park Master Plan (CPMP). A Declaration of Restrictive Covenants adopting the final CPMP was executed on August 25, 2000 and recorded in the public records of the County. The Declaration of Restrictive Covenants specified that it could be enforced by a citizen of the County and that the plaintiff in any such action against the County, if successful, will be entitled to costs and attorney's fees.

**The primary objective of the Crandon Park Master Plan is to ensure that park structures and uses are consistent with public park purposes, and to permit upgrades and enhancements to recreational facilities already existing in the park.**