



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

June 2, 2015

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes														
4A 151188	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; PROVIDING REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO BREWERIES, BREW PUBS, DISTILLERIES, AND WINERIES; AMENDING PERMITTED USES IN BU-1, BU-1A, AND IU-1 ZONING DISTRICTS TO ALLOW FOR THE OPERATION OF BREWERIES, BREW PUBS, DISTILLERIES, WINERIES, AND RELATED ACCESSORY USES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-1, 33-238, 33-247, AND 33-259 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE														
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance provides regulations pertaining to breweries, brew pubs, distilleries and wineries and amends permitted uses in BU-1, BU-1A and IU-1 zoning districts to allow for the operation of breweries, brew pubs, distilleries, wineries and related accessory uses.</p> <p>According to staff from the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources: The proposed ordinance provides regulating definitions for breweries, distilleries, wineries, and brew pubs. The proposed ordinance will also allow breweries, brew pubs, distilleries and wineries to have related accessory uses, including uses that permit the sale and consumption of products that are manufactured on or off site, as allowed by the State of Florida Division of Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco.</p> <p>In addition, the proposed ordinance specifies the zoning districts where such facilities will be allowed. For example, breweries/distilleries/wineries that are “not farm-related” are only allowed in the Industrial Zoning Districts (IU). Brew pubs (a pub or a restaurant with a small brewery, distillery, or winery) will be allowed in the Business Districts (BU) and the Industrial Districts (IU), subject to compliance with alcoholic beverage, parking, and other conditions specified in the Code.</p> <p><i>Currently the Code only provides definitions for “farm-related” breweries and wineries.</i></p>														
4B 151131	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2-2062 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW MEMBERS OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY YOUTH COMMISSION TO SERVE UP TO THREE ONE-YEAR TERMS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE														
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-2062 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow members of the Miami-Dade County Youth Commission to serve up to three one-year terms.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 2-2062. Commission Composition; Qualifications; Process for Nomination and Appointment; Exceptions to County Code; Term; Officers; Rules of Procedure; Staff Support.</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><i>Current</i></th><th style="text-align: center;"><i>Proposed</i></th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for a subsequent one-year term; provided, that no member shall serve more than two (2) years as a member of the Youth Commission.</td><td style="vertical-align: top;">(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for up to two subsequent one-year terms; provided, that no member shall serve more than three (3) years as a member of the Youth Commission.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i>		<i>Sec. 2-2062. Commission Composition; Qualifications; Process for Nomination and Appointment; Exceptions to County Code; Term; Officers; Rules of Procedure; Staff Support.</i>		<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>	(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for a subsequent one-year term; provided, that no member shall serve more than two (2) years as a member of the Youth Commission.	(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for up to two subsequent one-year terms ; provided, that no member shall serve more than three (3) years as a member of the Youth Commission.						
Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i>															
<i>Sec. 2-2062. Commission Composition; Qualifications; Process for Nomination and Appointment; Exceptions to County Code; Term; Officers; Rules of Procedure; Staff Support.</i>															
<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>														
(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for a subsequent one-year term; provided, that no member shall serve more than two (2) years as a member of the Youth Commission.	(g) The term of office for each member shall be one (1) year. Members may be appointed for up to two subsequent one-year terms ; provided, that no member shall serve more than three (3) years as a member of the Youth Commission.														
4C 151186	ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 14-77 TO ELIMINATE SUNSET PROVISION AND PROVIDE FOR AUTOMATIC RENEWAL ON A YEARLY BASIS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE														
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Ordinance No. 14-77 to eliminate sunset provision and provide for automatic renewal on a yearly basis.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;"><i>Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;"><i>Current</i></th><th style="text-align: center;"><i>Proposed</i></th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.</td><td style="vertical-align: top;">This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before repeal shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On September 3, 2014, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-77, created Section 2-8.2.12 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), delegating to the County Mayor or his designee the authority to advertise, award, amend and negotiate contracts for goods and services, construction and professional services for the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (MDWSD), to extend contract duration, to execute change orders and to settle claims without need for prior Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approval. In addition, the Ordinance No. 14-77 provided for ratification of all actions on a quarterly basis. The implementation of this ordinance would accelerate the procurement process of all approved MDWSD capital construction projects.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Legislative Background</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Ordinance 07-108 July 24, 2007</td><td style="vertical-align: top;">This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and construction contracts, approve extensions of contract time, waive liquidated damages, negotiate and settle claims related to the County’s 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i>		<i>Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77</i>		<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>	This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before repeal shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	Legislative Background		Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority		Ordinance 07-108 July 24, 2007	This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and construction contracts, approve extensions of contract time, waive liquidated damages, negotiate and settle claims related to the County’s 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility.
Miami-Dade County Code <i>Comparison</i>															
<i>Sec. 6 of Ordinance No. 14-77</i>															
<i>Current</i>	<i>Proposed</i>														
This ordinance shall, sunset in one (1) year from the effective date, unless extended by this Board by resolution. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before sunset shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.	This ordinance shall continue from year to year unless repealed by the Board of County Commissioners. Any contract advertised pursuant to this Ordinance before repeal shall continue in accordance with the procedures and processes set forth in this Ordinance until such contract has been completed.														
Legislative Background															
Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.2.11- Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority															
Ordinance 07-108 July 24, 2007	This Ordinance created section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code authorizing the Mayor or his designee to advertise and recommend for award design and construction contracts, approve extensions of contract time, waive liquidated damages, negotiate and settle claims related to the County’s 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility.														

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The ordinance also authorized the Mayor or his designee to approve change orders on such contracts without the need for prior committee or Board approval as long as the change order did not exceed \$500,000 in cumulative dollar amount and 15% of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount. However, these actions are subject to ratification by committee and the Board.</p> <p>All actions executed by the Mayor or his designee pursuant to subsections 2-8.2.11(a) and (b) will be submitted to the next meeting of the Governmental Operations and Environmental Committee and will be waived to the agenda of the next scheduled Board of County Commissioners meeting for ratification.</p> <p>The intent of this ordinance was to grant the Mayor or his designee authority to accelerate the processing and procurement of contracts and agreements related to design and construction of the improvements This Ordinance shall be subject to sunset review annually commencing one year from the date of adoption.</p>
<p>Ordinance 08-132 December 2, 2008</p>	<p>This Ordinance amended Section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code providing for the following exception to the ratification requirement for certain actions by the Mayor or his designee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>No ratification is required of an action executed by the Mayor or his designee which involves waiving liquidated damages as a result of rescheduling contract activities or internal milestones provided neither the total contract time inclusive of time allowances nor the total contract amount inclusive of contingency allowances is exceeded. Any such action will be included in a report submitted to the BCC on a quarterly basis.</i> <p>The existing MDWASD Contracting Authority language under Section 2-8.2.11 of the County Code allows for the Mayor or his designee to accelerate the processing and procurement of contracts and agreements related to the design and construction of improvements for the above mentioned projects. For example, the Mayor or his designee may settle contractor claims, waive liquidated damages, extend contract time, and issue change orders for additional work requiring ratification by the BCC. However, in order to further expedite contracts and agreements, the proposed ordinance establishes the continuation of waving liquidated damages by the Mayor or his designee without the ratification of the BCC. This would be contingent upon the contract amount and contract time not increasing. The Mayor or his designee will report any such actions to the BCC on a quarterly basis.</p>
<p>File No. 122357 March 21, 2013 Withdrawn</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-8.2.11, Water and Sewer Department Contracting Authority, of the Miami-Dade County Code to authorize the Mayor or his designee to bring certain contract-related actions for ratification to the BCC without the need for committee review. Additionally, the amendment increases the Mayor's authority to execute change orders or amendments related to these contracts from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000, and not to exceed 15 percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount which will be approved by the BCC through ratification.</p> <p>Furthermore, the amendment expands the list of WASD's projects to be accelerated and will also allow additions or deletions from the list of projects approved for acceleration to be made through subsequent resolutions sent directly to the Board without the need for prior committee approval.</p> <p>All actions executed by the Mayor or his designee pursuant to Sections 2-8.2.11 (b) of the Miami-Dade County Code will be submitted to the next available BCC meeting for ratification.</p> <p>Background On July 24, 2007, the Board adopted Ordinance 07-108, which authorizes the Mayor or Mayor's designee to advertise and recommend for award without committee review, design and construction contracts related to the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit and High Level Disinfection Facility. These contracts are currently exempt from the customary committee review requirement. In addition, the Mayor or his designee is currently authorized to execute change orders or amendments related to these contracts that do not exceed \$500,000 or 15 percent of the contract price in cumulative percentage amount.</p> <p>Discussion at the January 16, 2013, ICIC meeting: <i>Commissioners raised concerns with circumventing the Committee review process from the oversight process especially with the significant amount of money involved; and expanding the Mayor's authority relating to change orders.</i></p> <p><i>MDWSD stated that since the adoption of the accelerated ordinance, which is still in force, some things had changed; for example, previously the Administration required BCC authority to advertise, but now the Mayor had that authority; MDWSD supported the present item because it was modeled on the accelerated ordinance, and would reduce the length of the process by six weeks; Additionally, it would include projects that were part of the</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<p><i>new Consent Orders with the EPA and the Department of Justice regarding all of the waste water treatment plants and a pump station and it would also include all of the projects that were in the infrastructure needs report and indicated that all of these projects were previously approved by the BCC in the Department's Comprehensive Capital Improvement Program. MDWSD pointed out that the proposed ordinance simply by-passed the Committee, noting the BCC would maintain full oversight over the process.</i></p> <p>Ordinance 13-30 April 2, 2013</p> <p>The proposed ordinance amends Sec 2-8.2.11, relating to contract authority for certain water and sewer projects, requiring committee review of contract awards and provides for waiver of 4-day rule in certain instances.</p> <p>Currently, any contract recommended for award under Section 2-8.2.11 will be heard by the BCC without the need for prior committee approval.</p> <p>The proposed amendment allows for the item to go through the committee process or be waived out of committee at the discretion of the committee chairperson. Additionally, if the item is waived, it will be placed on the agenda of the next regular BCC meeting and will not be subject to the 4-day rule. The intent was to expedite the process while allowing the BCC to maintain oversight.</p> <p>Discussion at the April 2, 2013, BCC meeting: <i>Commissioners raised the following concerns: that projects in this substantial Retrofit Plan would bypass review by committee(s) who had jurisdiction in the area of minority participation and that certain segments of the community were not benefiting from the Ordinance; concerns with the Mayor's Small Business Development's (SBD) staff reduction from 107 employees to less than 30, and the impact this had on their ability to provide the same level of service; with the current contracting and award process and requested this process be revisited; that the competitive bidding process needed to be revisited to ensure that equal opportunities existed for everyone.</i></p>
4D 151222	ORDINANCE RELATING TO UNIFORM TRADE STANDARDS; AMENDING CHAPTER 8A, ARTICLE III OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, PROVIDING FOR PROHIBITIONS AGAINST ADVERTISING THE USE OR BENEFIT OF GOODS OR SERVICES THAT MISLEAD OR DECEIVE THE PUBLIC; MODIFYING PROVISIONS RELATING TO DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES TO INCLUDE REPRESENTATIONS THAT FAIL TO REVEAL MATERIAL FACTS, AND NON-DELIVERY OR DELIVERY OF MATERIALLY DIFFERENT GOODS OR SERVICES THAN THOSE ORDERED OR SOLD; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to uniform trade standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Chapter 8A, Article III of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Provides for prohibitions against advertising the use of benefit of goods and services that mislead or deceive the public; and • Modifies provisions relating to deceptive trade practices to include representations that fail to reveal material facts and non-delivery or delivery of materially different goods or services than those ordered or sold. 	
4E 151107	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 4-7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, ELIMINATING REQUIREMENT THAT AIR AMBULANCE RATES BE ESTABLISHED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 4-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code eliminating the requirement that air ambulance rates be established by Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><i>Currently all ambulance and air ambulance rates are established by the commission after public hearing and ambulance rates charged by municipal fire and/or rescue departments are not subject to approval by the Board of County Commissioners. Instead each municipality which adopts a resolution establishing a schedule of ambulance rates for a municipal fire and/or rescue department will provide the Miami-Dade County Consumer Services Department with a copy of the resolution approving any rate change as well as the schedule of rates within thirty (30) days after the adoption of the resolution authorizing the rate change.</i></p>	
4F 151127	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 12-27 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATING TO SPECIAL ELECTIONS FOR GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND REFERENDUM TO REQUIRE DISCLOSURE OF ESTIMATED OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES OF PROJECTS FUNDED BY SUCH BONDS AND PROPOSED SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR SUCH EXPENSES IN RESOLUTION CALLING SUCH ELECTION; AND PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	The proposed ordinance establishes policy that all resolutions calling a special election for bond referendum include a disclosure of the estimated operating and maintenance expenses of the funded projects as well as the expected source of funds to pay such expenses.	
4I 151260	ORDINANCE ELIMINATING QUESTIONS REGARDING CRIMINAL HISTORY FROM ALL COUNTY EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS; ESTABLISHING SCREENING PRACTICES FOR THE USE OF CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION IN COUNTY EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS; CREATING SECTION 2-31 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance eliminates questions regarding criminal history from all County employment applications, establishes screening practices for the use of criminal history information in County employment decisions and creates Section 2-31 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p> <p>Sec. 2-31. Criminal history screening practices. (a) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply. (1) Applicant means a person who applies for employment with Miami-Dade County. (2) Criminal history means any information related to criminal charges against the applicant, proceedings related to the applicant's criminal charges and disposition of the applicant's criminal charges.</p>	

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>(3) Criminal history background check means the process of obtaining information about an applicant's criminal history through third party sources.</i></p> <p><i>(4) Finalist means an applicant conditionally selected for a position pending a criminal history background check.</i></p> <p><i>(5) Initial application for employment means any document, whether in paper or electronic form, that Miami-Dade County requires an applicant to submit prior to being selected as a finalist for a position.</i></p> <p><i>(6) Position means the particular job with Miami-Dade County sought by the applicant.</i></p> <p>(b) Criminal history background checks.</p> <p><i>(1) Except as otherwise provided by state or federal law, Miami-Dade County will not inquire about an applicant's criminal history and will not seek an applicant's authorization to conduct a criminal history background check unless and until the applicant is selected as a finalist for a position.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Except as otherwise provided by state or federal law, Miami-Dade County shall not advertise positions with a statement that an individual with a criminal record may not apply for the position or place on the application that a person with a criminal record may not apply.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Miami-Dade County will make the finalist a conditional offer of employment, contingent upon a successful criminal history background check, as determined by Miami-Dade County.</i></p> <p><i>(4) If, after making a conditional offer of employment to an applicant, Miami-Dade County determines that the applicant has been convicted of a crime, Miami-Dade County shall consider the following factors when determining whether the conviction disqualifies the applicant for the position:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>a. The nature of the conviction;</i> <i>b. The time that has elapsed since the conviction;</i> <i>c. Whether there is a relationship between the conviction and the position's duties and responsibilities and the bearing, if any, the conviction may have on the applicant's fitness or ability to perform one or more such duties and responsibilities and whether the duties of employment would place a co-worker or the public in potential danger; and</i> <i>d. Any information produced by the applicant or produced on his or her behalf regarding his or her rehabilitation and good conduct.</i> <p><i>(5) If, after making a conditional offer of employment, Miami-Dade County determines that the applicant has been arrested or charged but not convicted of a criminal offense and the criminal case is not actively pending, Miami-Dade County shall not use that information as a basis for declining to make an offer of employment or for withdrawing the conditional offer of employment.</i></p> <p><i>(6) If, after making a conditional offer of employment to an applicant, Miami-Dade County determines that the applicant has had a criminal conviction expunged or sealed from his or her record, received a pardon, or that charges were dismissed pursuant to successfully completing a pretrial intervention or pretrial diversion program, Miami-Dade County shall not use that information as a basis for declining to make an offer of employment or for withdrawing the conditional offer of employment.</i></p> <p><i>(7) If Miami-Dade County determines that the finalist's criminal history is cause for potential withdrawal of the conditional offer of employment, the finalist will be notified and given an opportunity to respond within five business days of notification of cause for potential withdrawal. Miami-Dade County will consider any additional information provided in writing by the finalist.</i></p> <p><i>(8) If, after review of additional information submitted by the finalist, Miami-Dade County determines that the applicant's criminal history is disqualifying and a cause for withdrawal of conditional offer of employment, the applicant will be provided with a written letter of rejection specifically stating the evidence presented and reasons for rejection.</i></p> <p><i>(9) Miami-Dade County's selection and hiring decisions are final and are not subject to appeal.</i></p> <p>(c) Limiting provisions.</p> <p><i>(1) Any of the practices outlined in subsection (b) shall not apply if additional or conflicting screening practices or requirements regarding criminal history are required by state or federal law.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Nothing in this section requires Miami-Dade County to hire an applicant with a criminal record, nor limits Miami-Dade County's ability to select the most qualified applicant for a position.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Nothing in this section prohibits Miami-Dade County from denying employment based on a criminal conviction determined in accordance with the practices outlined in this section to be relevant to the position sought.</i></p> <p><i>(4) Nothing in this section creates a cause of action for any applicant with regard to hiring or selection for employment.</i></p> <p>(d) Implementation. <i>The Miami-Dade County Human Resources Department, its successor department, and other applicable departments shall have 90 days from the effective date of this ordinance to develop and implement any policies necessary to ensure full compliance with this section.</i></p>
<p>5A 150726</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECTS NO. 92 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - ARCOLA LAKES PARK" TO REDUCE ALLOCATION BY \$300,000.00 AND NO. 93 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - OAK GROVE PARK" TO INCREASE ALLOCATION BY \$300,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 92, BOTH AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-913-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ALLOCATION OF SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant modification of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (BBC GOB) Projects No. 92-

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Unincorporated Municipal Service Area- Arcola Lakes Park, to reduce the allocation by \$300,000, from \$6,300,000 to \$6,000,000; and No. 93- Unincorporated Municipal Service Area- Oak Grove Park, to increase allocation by \$300,000; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declares \$300,000.00 as surplus funds and, after a public hearing, approves the significant modification of Project No. 93 in Appendix A to the Park and Recreation Resolution to increase its original allocation from \$618,000.00 to \$918,000.00 <p>Project No. 93 has a budget shortfall of \$300,000.00 negatively impacting the development of the Father Gerard Jean-Juste Community Center at Oak Grove Park. This item was considered by the Building Better Communities Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting of November 6, 2014 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>
<p>5B 151028</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATIONS OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 241 - "UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA - FUTURE MULTI-USE FACILITY" TO REDUCE ITS ALLOCATION BY \$2,600,000.00 AND TO PROJECT NO. 214 - "NEW HAITIAN COMMUNITY CENTER" TO INCREASE ITS ALLOCATION WITH \$2,600,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 241 AND TO PROVIDE LOCATION OF PROJECT, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-917-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ALLOCATION OF SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant modifications of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 241- Unincorporated Municipal Service Area- Future Multi Use Facility- to reduce its allocation by \$2,600,000 and to project No. 214- New Haitian Community Center- to increase its allocation with \$2,600,000 of surplus funds from Project No. 241 and to provide location of project; After a public hearing, approves the significant modification of Project No. 241 in Appendix A to the Public Service Outreach Facilities Resolution, to reduce its allocation from \$5,490,000.00 to \$2,890,000.00; Declares \$2,600,000.00 as surplus funds and, after a public hearing, hereby approves the significant modification of Project No. 214 in Appendix A to the Public Service Outreach Facilities Resolution to increase its original allocation from \$10,000,000.00 to \$12,600,000.00; Change its municipal project location from TBD to UMSA; and Change its street address from TBD to 680 NE 159th Street. <p>This item was considered by the Building Better Communities Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting of April 28, 2015 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee.</p>
<p>5C 151177</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE AFTER PUBLIC HEARING OF NOT TO EXCEED \$350,000,000.00 OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PUBLIC FACILITIES REVENUE AND REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS (JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM), IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, PURSUANT TO MASTER ORDINANCE, AS SUPPLEMENTED, FOR: (I) REFUNDING CERTAIN OUTSTANDING BONDS ISSUED TO FINANCE IMPROVEMENTS FOR JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM (WITH ESTIMATED NET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS OF 11.1 PERCENT, ESTIMATED COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF \$2,691,777.50 AND ESTIMATED FINAL MATURITY OF JUNE 1, 2039); (II) PAYING COSTS OF CERTAIN PROJECTS FOR JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM; (III) FUNDING RESERVE ACCOUNT, IF NECESSARY, AND (IV) PAYING COSTS OF ISSUANCE; PROVIDING CERTAIN DETAILS OF BONDS AND SALE BY NEGOTIATION; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, WITHIN CERTAIN LIMITATIONS, TO FINALIZE TERMS AND DETAILS OF BONDS; AUTHORIZING SELECTION OF PAYING AGENT, REGISTRAR AND ESCROW AGENT; APPROVING FORMS OF AND AUTHORIZING CERTAIN DOCUMENTS; AUTHORIZING COUNTY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUANCE, SALE, AND DELIVERY OF BONDS; WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5E)</p>
<p>5E 151095</p>	<p>ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA PUBLIC FACILITIES REVENUE BONDS (JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM) PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 05-49 IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$26,000,000.00, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, FOR PURPOSES, TOGETHER WITH OTHER AVAILABLE MONEYS OF PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, IF ANY, OF PAYING OR REIMBURSING PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST FOR COSTS OF CERTAIN CAPITAL ADDITIONS TO PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST FACILITIES, FUNDING DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUND AND PAYING COSTS OF ISSUANCE, INCLUDING COSTS OF CREDIT FACILITY AND RESERVE FACILITY, IF ANY; PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL BE SECURED BY AND PAYABLE FROM GROSS REVENUES OF PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST ON PARITY WITH OTHER OUTSTANDING PUBLIC FACILITIES REVENUE BONDS (JACKSON HEALTH SYSTEM); PROVIDING THAT DETAILS OF SAID BONDS BE DETERMINED IN ONE OR MORE SUBSEQUENT RESOLUTIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5C)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>5C – 151177:</u> The proposed resolution authorizes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issuance of the Public Facilities Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds (Jackson Health System), Series 2015A (Series 2015A Bonds) to refund, defease and redeem all or a portion of the outstanding Public Facilities Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005A and Series 2005B (Series 2005 Bonds and the portion being refunded the Series 2005 Refunded Bonds); Issuance of the Public Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds (Jackson Health System), Series 2015B (Series 2015B Bonds, and together with the Series 2015A Bonds are referred to as the Series 2015 Bonds, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$350 million) to refund, defease and redeem all or a portion of the outstanding Public Facilities Revenue and Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2009 (Series 2009 Bonds and the portion being refunded the Series 2009 Refunded Bonds, together with the Series 2005 Refunded Bonds the Refunded Bonds); and Waives the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06 because the sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set the final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution. <p>The Series 2015 Resolution also provides for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding the cost of issuance, underwriter's discount and a Credit Facility or Reserve Facility, if any, and

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding the reserve requirement, if any, with proceeds of the Series 2015 Bonds or a Reserve Facility. <p>In order to take advantage of lower interest rates and achieve significant debt service savings, the Trust is proposing to refund all its outstanding Public Facilities Bonds. To effectuate the proposed refunding, defeasance and redemption of the Series 2005 and Series 2009 Bonds, Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Edwards & Associates, bond counsel for the proposed issuance, have advised that for federal income tax purposes it would be in the best interest of the County to allocate the Unspent Proceeds toward the refunding, defeasance and redemption of the Outstanding Bonds.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-130-06 provides that any County contract with a third party be finalized and executed prior to its placement on an agenda. The sale of the Series 2015 Bonds, which will set the final terms, will not occur until after the effective date of the Series 2015 Resolution in order to provide the County with the maximum flexibility in the market as described above; therefore, a waiver of Resolution R-130-06 is required.</p> <p><u>5E-151095:</u> The proposed ordinance approves the issuance of \$26 million of additional Miami-Dade County, Florida Public Facilities Revenue Bonds (Jackson Health System), Series 2015 (2015 Bonds) pursuant to Section 2.09 of Ordinance 05-49 enacted by the Board on March 1, 2005 and supplemented by Ordinance 09-49 enacted by the Board on June 30, 2009 (Master Ordinance).</p> <p>The 2015 Ordinance provides for the authorization of 2015 Bond proceeds to be utilized for the following purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide proceeds, together with other available moneys of the Public Health Trust (Trust), if any, for paying or reimbursing the Trust for costs of all or a portion of the projects; Provide for the funding of any deposits, if necessary, to be made with respect to the 2015 Bonds into the Debt Service Reserve Fund or provide for a Reserve Facility with respect to the 2015 Bonds; and Paying cost of issuance of the 2015 Bonds, including without limitation, the cost of any premiums for municipal bond insurance and any Reserve Facility related to the 2015 Bonds. <p>The 2015 Ordinance further provides that the terms, maturities, interest rates and other bond related details for each series of 2015 Bonds be established in a Series Resolution to be approved by the Board prior to the issuance of each series of 2015 Bonds.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Master Ordinance, the County has issued:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$148,535,000 Public Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, of which all remain outstanding; \$151,465,000 Public Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2005B, of which \$113,830,000 remain outstanding; and \$83,315,000 Public Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, of which \$77,360,000 remain outstanding. <p>Collectively, the outstanding amounts of the bonds issued constitute the Outstanding Bonds, which total \$339,725,000. Currently, \$25.4 million of the total Outstanding Bonds remain as Unspent Bonds.</p> <p>In order to take advantage of lower interest rates and achieve significant debt service savings, currently estimated at a net present value of \$34.4 million, the Trust is proposing to refund all its Outstanding Bonds. It is important to note that to effectuate the proposed refunding, defeasance and redemption of all the Outstanding Bonds, Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Edwards & Associates, bond counsel for the proposed refunding, advised that for federal income tax purposes it would be in the best interest of the County to allocate the Unspent Proceeds toward the refunding, defeasance and redemption of the Outstanding Bonds. Therefore, the approval of the 2015 Ordinance is necessary to authorize the issuance of new money bonds to replace the Unspent Proceeds, which will be used to fund the projects authorized by the 2005 and 2009 bonds. The 2015 Bonds will be issued as additional bonds on parity with the Outstanding Bonds pursuant to section 2.09 of the Master Ordinance. A separate Series Resolution will come before the Board requesting to refund all Outstanding Bonds.</p> <p>The 2015 Projects to be funded from the proceeds of the 2015 Bonds are the same projects authorized by Board pursuant to the Master Ordinance.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The 2015 Bonds will be a special limited obligation of the County payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Pledged Revenues of the Trust as provided in the Master Ordinance. Pledged Revenues are defined as Gross Revenues of the Trust and all moneys and investments on deposit to the funds and accounts established under the Master Ordinance. The Master Ordinance provides added security in the form of a County covenant to annually budget and appropriate from legally available non-ad valorem revenues, which are funds necessary to replenish any draws in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. The covenant provides the Trust with a stronger credit, which could result in lower financing cost for the 2015 Bonds. The 2015 Bonds will be issued to replace unspent proceeds (Unspent Proceeds) from the Outstanding Bonds, which are explained in the Background Section of this transmittal memorandum, and fund the projects that were expected to be completed with the Unspent Proceeds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 14-44, created the Jackson Health System General Obligation Bond Citizens' Advisory Committee for the purpose of advising the County Commission, Public Health Trust and Mayor regarding Jackson Health System's General Obligation Bond Program.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>During the BCC meeting on May 6, 2014, the proposed ordinance was amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The first two (2) sentences of Section 2-2131(b) should read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Each Member of the Advisory Committee shall (i) be a United States citizen, a permanent resident and duly qualified elector of Miami-Dade County, (ii) have an outstanding reputation for civic pride, integrity, responsibility and community service, (iii) comply with the requirements of Chapter 2-11-38 of the Code of Miami-Dade County; and (iv) have no financial interest, direct or indirect, in any of the capital projects that are to be funded by the Bond Program as determined by the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust, defined as the Commission on Ethics. The following persons shall not serve as members of the Advisory Committee, except as permitted by Section 2-2131(8)(5): elected officials, persons whose livelihoods or their immediate family member's livelihood depends on the area administered or dealt with by the Advisory Committee as determined by the Commission on Ethics. (The remainder of that section remained unchanged);</i> • The first two (2) sentences of Section 2-2131(f) should read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Conflict of Interest Ordinance shall be applicable as determined by the Commission on Ethics to the Members of the Advisory Committee. Moreover, neither Members of the Advisory Committee nor their employers nor corporations in which they hold an ownership stake may, as determined by the Commission on Ethics, obtain, seek or bid on projects at Public Health Trust Designated Facilities, whether funded by the Bond Program or other sources, during the Advisory Committee Member's term on the Advisory Committee or for two (2) years after said individual leaves Advisory Committee membership. Members of the Advisory Committee may not as determined by the Commission on Ethics lobby members of the Public Health Trust Board of Trustees or Jackson Health System employees during service on the Advisory Committee.</i>
<p>7A 150648</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO DESIGNATED PURCHASES; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURE TO AWARD CONTRACTS FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AND PURCHASES OF SUPPLIES, MATERIALS AND SERVICES, OTHER THAN PROFESSIONAL, WHEN NOT PRACTICABLE TO CONDUCT COMPETITIVE PROCESS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-8.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to establish procedure to award contracts for public improvements and purchases of supplies, materials and services, other than professional, when not practicable to conduct competitive process.</p> <p><i>(3) Procedures for purchases when competitive procedures are not practicable. Notwithstanding the requirements of section 2-8.1(b)(1), formal sealed bids for purchase of goods or services shall not be required where such formal sealed bids would not be practicable as set forth herein. Designated Purchase shall mean a purchase within the scope of this section when the purchase through the use of formal sealed bids is not practicable, including, but not limited to: (i) sole source purchases, (ii) services where no competition exists such as public utility services, (iii) where purchases or rates are fixed by law or ordinance, (iv) unique professional or artistic services not governed by the Consultants' Competitive Negotiations Act, section 287.055, Florida Statutes, (v) purchases of goods and services necessary to address an emergency, and (vi) solicitations where only a single proposer has responded to a competitive solicitation but such response contains material defects and the County still desires to enter into a contract with such proposer. Where appropriate in a Designated Purchase, the County shall pursue the maximum amount of competition available under the circumstances, which may include telephonic bids and informal price quotations. Any recommendation by the Mayor for the award of a Designated Purchase shall at a minimum: (i) provide a written explanation of why the purchase through formal sealed bids would not be practicable under the circumstances and is in the best interest of the County, (ii) provide a written explanation of the process followed resulting in the recommendation for a Designated Purchase, and (iii) provide a written description of any informal competition conducted and any and all efforts to obtain a valuation of the recommended purchase. The Board of County Commissioners shall adopt any resolution authorizing a Designated Purchase by a two-thirds vote of the members present. Such adoption shall be deemed for all purposes to constitute a determination by the Board of County Commissioners that formal sealed bids are not practicable for this purchase and that it is in the best interest of the County to waive competitive bidding. A recommendation for award of a Designated Purchase shall not be subject to the protest procedures set forth in section 2-8.4. Nothing in this subsection is intended to affect or modify any federal or state requirements relating to competitive purchases.</i></p>
<p>7B 151169</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR JEWELRY LOAN CENTERS UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AMENDING SECTION 33-247 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150396]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 33-247 of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to zoning for jewelry loan centers under certain conditions, specifically allowing the use of land, body of water and/or structure in any BU-1A district to include use for jewelry loan centers subject to specified conditions.</p> <p><i>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on May 12, 2012, the proposed ordinance was amended to specify that the jewelry lawn center must demonstrate compliance with the requirements for a pawnbroker's license set forth in Chapter 539 of the Florida Statutes.</i></p> <p><i>(24.2) Jewelry loan centers, subject to the following conditions:</i></p> <p><i>(a) As used herein, a jewelry loan center is a service offered at a jewelry store where a loan is secured by jewelry. Said jewelry loan center shall be ancillary to a jewelry store, and the loan center service shall cease if the primary use is discontinued.</i></p> <p><i>(b) The operating hours for the jewelry loan center shall not extend beyond 8 p.m.</i></p> <p><i>(c) No jewelry loan center shall be located less than twenty-five hundred (2,500) feet from a place of business having an</i></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>existing, unabandoned, legally established jewelry loan center or pawnbroker. The twenty-five hundred (2,500) foot distance requirements shall be measured by following a straight line from the nearest entrance to the site where the business is located.</i></p> <p><i>(d) Attention attracting devices, such as blinking or flashing lights, streamer lights, pennants, banners, streamers, and all fluttering, spinning advertising devices (either mobile or stationary), shall be prohibited, except as permitted under point-of-sale sign regulations.</i></p> <p><i>(e) The jewelry loan center shall have all applicable licenses issued by the State of Florida for the jewelry loan center use, including demonstrating compliance with the requirements for a pawnbroker's license set forth in Chapter 539, Florida Statutes.</i></p> <p><i>(f) A Certificate of Use shall be obtained and renewed annually. The Certificate of Use application shall contain:</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(i) A certified spacing survey from a registered surveyor, which shall indicate that the distance requirements of this section have been met.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(ii) A site plan shall be submitted as part of the Certificate of Use indicating location of structure/structures, entrances and egresses, walls, fences, landscaping, signage and distance to nearest residential development. The use shall be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan</i></p>
<p>7C 150301</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING A SEPARATE VOTE ON CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE MAYOR'S PROPOSED COUNTY BUDGET AT PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS; ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY TO REQUIRE DISTRIBUTION OF MAYOR'S CHANGES MEMORANDA NO LATER THAN 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE FIRST AND SECOND BUDGET HEARINGS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring a separate vote on certain amendments to the Mayor's proposed County Budget at public budget hearings. Additionally, the proposed ordinance requires the Mayor or Mayor's designee to issue a memorandum for detailing amendments to the proposed budget for each budget hearing. Under the proposed ordinance the Commission Auditor will prepare and maintain a list of all issues raised and distribute the list to each member of the Board forty-eight (48) hours prior to scheduled budget hearing.</p> <p>The proposed ordinance includes the following to the current process:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(d.) <i>At the first budget hearing, a separate motion and vote on any part of the proposed budget shall be held upon the request of any Commissioner. In addition, a separate motion and vote shall be held on any amendment to the Mayor's proposed budget that:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Addresses an issue raised by a Commissioner at a Committee of the Whole meeting held in conjunction with the budget approval process, including a request by a Commissioner to propose or recommend funding alternatives for the Board's consideration;</i> <i>Proposes or provides for the appropriation of funds from the County's reserves to fund any activity, program or matter; or</i> <i>Proposes or provides for increased or decreased funding for a countywide service or countywide program funded in the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area General Fund budget.</i> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>If any of the foregoing amendments are recommended or provided by the County Mayor, such amendments shall be set forth in detail in a separate section of the Mayor's memorandum relating to information for the first budget hearing (the "First Changes Memorandum").</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>The Commission Auditor shall prepare and maintain a list of all issues raised in conjunction with the budget approval process as set forth in subsection (d)(1) above. The Commission Auditor shall distribute such list to each member of the Board no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the scheduled commencement of the first budget hearing.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>After a separate vote on each proposed amendment but before the Board's adoption of each ordinance adopting a tentative millage rate, the Mayor or the Mayor's designee shall, for each such ordinance, recompute the tentative millage rate, and publicly announce the name of the taxing authority, the rolled back rate, the percent, if any, by which the recomputed tentative millage rate exceeds the rolled-back rate, and the tentative millage rate to be levied. The tentative budget ordinances shall be adopted by separate vote after the tentative millage rate ordinances are adopted.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(e.) <i>At the second budget hearing, a separate motion and vote on any part of the proposed budget shall be held upon the request of any Commissioner. In addition, a separate motion and vote shall be held on any amendment to the Mayor's proposed budget that:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Addresses an issue raised by a Commissioner at a Committee of the Whole meeting held in conjunction with the budget approval process, including a request by a Commissioner to propose or recommend funding alternatives for the Board's consideration;</i> <i>Proposes or provides for the appropriation of funds from the County's reserves to fund any activity, program or matter; or</i> <i>Proposes or provides for increased or decreased funding for a countywide service or countywide program funded in the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area General Fund budget.</i> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>The Commission Auditor shall prepare and maintain a list of all issues raised in conjunction with the budget approval process as set forth in subsection in subsection (e)(1) above. The Commission Auditor shall distribute such list to each member of the Board</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the scheduled commencement of the second budget hearing.</i></p> <p><i>After a separate vote on each proposed amendment but before the Board's adoption of each ordinance adopting a final millage rate, the Mayor or the Mayor's designee shall, for each such ordinance, recompute the final millage rate, and publicly announce the name of the taxing authority, the rolled back rate, the percent, if any, by which the recomputed final millage rate exceeds the rolled-back rate, and the final millage rate to be levied. The final budget ordinances shall be adopted by separate vote after the final millage rate ordinances are adopted.</i></p> <p><i>(f.) The Mayor shall distribute the First Changes Memorandum and the Second Changes Memorandum to each member of the Board and shall post a copy thereof on the County's website no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the scheduled commencement of the first and second budget hearings, respectively.</i></p> <p><i>(g.) This ordinance shall be construed as directory only, and failure to comply with the provisions of this ordinance shall not affect the validity of any ordinance, resolution or action of the Board in whole or in part.</i></p>
7D 150698	ORDINANCE RELATED TO APPROVAL OF COUNTY BUDGET; AMENDING SECTION 2-1795 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO ALLOCATION OF COUNTY RESOURCES TO REQUIRE COMMISSION COMMITTEE REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING PROPOSED NEW FEES, RATES AND CHARGES OR ADJUSTMENTS TO EXISTING FEES, RATES AND CHARGES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-1795 of the Miami-Dade County Code related to allocation of County resources to require commission committee review and recommendation regarding proposed new fees, rates and charges or adjustments to existing fees, rates and charges.</p> <p><i>The commission committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall meet prior to the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole to review and discuss all new fees, rates or charges and adjustments to any existing fees, rates or charges included in the Mayor's proposed budget, and to forward any recommendations to the County Commission regarding such fees, rates and charges. The Mayor or the Mayor's designee will present a report detailing such new fees, rates and charges or adjustments to existing fees, rates and charges at the committee meeting and shall include as part of such presentation, at a minimum, the reason for the proposed new fees, rates or charges or the proposed adjustments, as well as information regarding additional anticipated increases over the ensuing five fiscal years and the assumptions used to forecast such anticipated increases. The Chair of the committee having jurisdiction over budgetary matters shall present any approved committee recommendations regarding the fees, rates and charges included in the Mayor's proposed budget at the first Committee of the Whole.</i></p>
8A1 151037	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF NON-EXCLUSIVE OPERATOR AGREEMENT FOR BAGGAGE HANDLING SYSTEM OPERATION & MAINTENANCE AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, RFP NO. MDAD-11-14, TO JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION – JBT AIRPORT SERVICES, IN THE AMOUNT OF UP TO \$163,280,939.00 FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS WITH FIVE ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO TERMINATION AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Operator Agreement for Baggage Handling System Operation and Maintenance (BHS O&M) at Miami International Airport (MIA) to John Bean Technologies Corporation - JBT Airport Services (JBT) in the amount of \$163,280,939.00 and authorizes the Mayor or designee to execute the Agreement.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact The source of funding for this Agreement is the Miami-Dade Aviation Department's (MDAD) Operating Budget and the Transportation Security Administration (TSA). The total contract amount for operating and maintaining the BHS System for the initial five-year term and five (5) one-year renewal options is \$163,280,939.00. A total of \$133,280,939.00 will be funded by the MDAD operating budget, and \$30,000,000.00 will be funded by the TSA.</p> <p>Background A Request for Proposals was advertised on October 2, 2014, to solicit proposals from interested parties to operate, maintain, and repair all specified inbound and outbound automated and manual sortation Baggage Handling Systems and their related equipment located in the following concourses at MIA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concourse D (Inbound Only); Concourse E (Inbound and Outbound); Concourse F (Inbound and Outbound); Concourse G (Inbound and Outbound); Concourse H (Inbound and Outbound); Concourse J (Inbound and Outbound) <p>Contract Measures: Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) 32.32 percent Goal Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Goal 3.02 percent</p> <p>Contract Measures Achieved at Award: SBE Construction 32.34 percent (\$8,508,952.17) SBE Goods and Services 3.02 percent (\$18,058.39)</p> <p>SBE Construction Sub-contractors: Systems Integration & Maintenance, Inc.</p> <p>SBE Goods & Services Sub-contractors: Safety Source International, Inc.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Sirely Uniforms Inc. A&B Hardware Inc. d/b/a A&B Hardware – Lumber Inc. Barlop Inc. Cenoffi Inc. d/b/a Best Office Products</p> <p>Community Workforce Program (CWP): Ten (10) percent</p>
8C1 150813	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING FUNDING OF 30 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$277,450.00 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 THIRD QUARTER MEETING OF THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL GRANTS PROGRAM ROOM TAX PLAN AND SURTAX CATEGORY TO PROMOTE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TOURISM; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS ENTITIES AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 30 grants for a total of \$277,450.00 from the FY 2014-2015 Tourist Development Council Grants Program – Third Quarter. In addition, it is recommended that Resolution No. R-130-06 (requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the Board of County Commissioners) be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive, tourism-oriented and community events.</p> <p>The Tourist Development Council convened on March 26, 2015 to review 33 applications requesting \$495,500.00 for the Third Quarter of the program. The TDC recommended funding 30 applicants for a total of \$277,450.00.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source Funding for the Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants Program comes from the 2% Tourist Development Room Tax Revenue and the two percent Hotel/Motel Food and Beverage Surtax revenues. In addition, the Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau provides \$25,000.00 to the TDC pursuant to a multi-year agreement. Further, a remaining balance of \$119,047.00 in unspent grant funds in FY 2013-14 was carried over and is being appropriated as part of the FY 2014-2015 program.</p> <p>Additional Information On January 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-14-15, authorized the funding of 35 grants for a total of \$456,650.00 from the FY 2014-2015 Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category – First Quarter.</p> <p>On March 3, 2015, the BCC through Resolution No. R-202-15, authorized the funding of 32 grants for a total of \$399,850.00 from the FY 2014-2015 Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category- Second Quarter.</p> <p>For FY 2013-2014, the BCC waived the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06, expediting and approving the funding of the following grants of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> December 3, 2013- R-968-13- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – First Quarter- 36 grants for a total of \$452,900; April 8, 2014- R-321-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – Second Quarter- 25 grants for a total of \$301,350; May 6, 2014- R-407-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – Third Quarter- 28 grants for a total of \$244,750.00; and July 17, 2014- R-689-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program- Fourth Quarter- 19 grants for a total of \$161,500.
8F1 151146	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF THE PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 881 FOR A RED LIGHT CAMERA PROGRAM FOR THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RE-SOLICIT WITHIN 60 DAYS OR REPORT TO THE BOARD WHY IT WAS UNABLE TO RE-SOLICIT WITHIN THAT TIMEFRAME (SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150917)</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the rejection of the proposals received under Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 881, Red Light Camera Program for the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD).</p> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to accept the rejection of the proposals received in response to Request for Proposals No. 881 for a red light camera program for the Miami-Dade Police Department; and to direct the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to issue a new solicitation within 60 days. The CAO explained that the RFP was being rejected as a result to the time lapse and due to changes in state law with regards to red light cameras. The Committee noted that the lapse in time was due to inaction by the administration and that the language of the ordinance would not be affected by changes in state law. The CAO clarified that changes in the law would not affect the Miami-Dade County ordinance relating to red light cameras however, it would affect the solicitation.</i></p> <p>Background: The County issued a solicitation to obtain proposals from experienced and qualified firms to establish a turnkey Red Light Camera Program (Program) for the MDPD. It was anticipated that the Program would be at no-cost to the County, funded through the revenue generated by the citations issued. It was also anticipated that the Program would be deployed in phases, with the initial implementation phase of 50 cameras. Additional cameras would have been added in increments of up to 50 cameras for up to a total of 150 cameras at the County's discretion. The RFP required the selected proposer to fully fund all costs associated with the implementation of the Program.</p> <p>Florida law permits public entities to use Traffic Infraction Detectors (Detectors), subject to rules and procedures established by the Florida Department of Transportation. More specifically, the law allows a county or municipality to install traffic detectors on state, county, or</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>municipal rights-of-way within the boundaries of that county or municipality. Local agencies are required to provide a hearing for individuals who are issued a notice of a traffic infraction. There has been substantial discourse in the Florida House and Senate regarding the proper application of Detectors, including the administration of the Detectors, by local agencies.</p> <p>In October 2014, the Fourth District Court of Appeal ruled that the City of Hollywood was not authorized to delegate police power by entering into a contract that allowed a private vendor to screen data and decide whether a violation had occurred before sending that data to a Traffic Infraction Enforcement Officer for authorization of a citation. The Fourth District reasoned that such outsourcing to a third-party for-profit vendor of a city's statutorily mandated obligation to issue uniform traffic citations for red light camera violations was contrary to the Florida Statutes. The Fourth District's decision was appealed to the Florida Supreme Court, which declined to hear the appeal.</p> <p>In light of this and the time that has elapsed since the January 24, 2014 proposals submission date, it is recommended that all proposals be rejected without prejudice to the proposers. The County will determine the feasibility of re-issuing a solicitation for this Program pending judicial and legislative action that have an impact on the administration of Detectors.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County with the rejection of the proposals. The contract was anticipated to generate an estimated total of \$5,000,000 in revenue over the contract's five-year term for the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>On December 16, 2013, the RFP was issued under full and open competition. Award would have been made to a recommended responsive, responsible proposer based on the solicitation's evaluation process.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Miami-Dade County Legislative History on Red Light Cameras:</u> On August 23, 2005, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), through Resolution 937-05, directed the County Manager to explore the feasibility, cost and benefit of installing cameras at certain dangerous intersections with traffic signals to curb red-light running.</p> <p>On November 6, 2007, the BCC through 1248-07, urged the Florida Legislature to allow the use of unmanned cameras at intersections with traffic signals in an effort to reduce red-light running.</p> <p>On July 8, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution 759-10, established policy for Miami-Dade County authorizing the installation of red light cameras at high crash, high volume intersections; and directed the Mayor or his designee to implement a red light camera program in Miami-Dade County. This proposed ordinance would supersede Resolution 759-10.</p> <p>On September 16, 2010, the Health, Public Safety and Intergovernmental Committee deferred a resolution directing the Mayor or designee to study the feasibility of negotiation with municipalities in Miami-Dade County to create a single, uniform countywide program for red light cameras with revenues generated in municipalities to be provided to such municipalities.</p> <p>On January 20, 2011, through Ordinance No. 11-01, the BCC created Section 30-422 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and authorized and regulated the use of Traffic Infraction Detectors in the Unincorporated Areas.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – OPPAGA Report: Florida Red Light Camera Programs – February 7, 2014</u> http://www.thenewspaper.com/rlc/docs/2014/fl-oppaga.pdf</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the end of Fiscal Year 2012-13, 79 jurisdictions (74 municipalities, 5 counties) operated red light camera programs in 26 Florida counties and the DHSMV's most recent survey of local governments operating red light camera programs found that, as of June 30, 2013, cameras were installed at 922 approaches to intersections however, there can be multiple cameras at each intersection; • Local governments consider several criteria when making red light camera placement decisions; use of countermeasures at red light intersections varies among jurisdictions; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Using information about a variety of factors, engineering countermeasures can be developed to help reduce the occurrence of hazardous driver behaviors such as red light running. Selecting the most appropriate countermeasures for red light running depends on individual intersection characteristics and can only be determined after conducting an engineering study that investigates existing intersection design elements and intersection safety as related to red light running and the occurrence of red light violations.</i> ○ <i>Although national and state transportation organizations strongly recommend the use of countermeasures, OPPAGA's survey results indicate that most (56%) of the respondents did not implement countermeasures prior to installing red light cameras. Of the jurisdictions that did implement countermeasures prior to installing red light cameras (44%), the most frequent types of countermeasures were</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Installation of signal ahead signs;</i> ▪ <i>Use of LED signal lenses;</i> ▪ <i>Modification of signal-cycle length; and</i> ▪ <i>Alteration of yellow light change intervals.</i> • Yellow light change intervals are relevant to red light camera programs because altering their duration can affect the frequency of red light running; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Recent research indicates that using a value greater than 1.0 second would encompass the reaction times of a larger proportion of the driver population. Based on these research results, the Florida Department of Transportation recently revised requirements for yellow light timing across all of the state's jurisdictions. DOT increased the</i>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<p>perception/reaction time to 1.4 seconds, effectively increasing the department's previous minimum yellow light change interval by 0.4 seconds. Intersections with existing red light cameras were required to comply with the new standards by December 31, 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to OPPAGA's survey of counties and municipalities that operate red light camera programs, most (58%) jurisdictions reported using DOT standards for yellow light interval timing, while some (43%) jurisdictions reported not having the authority to change yellow light interval timing, as it is often managed at the county level for many cities and towns. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jurisdictions use red light cameras to enforce several types of traffic infractions including the enforcement of right turns on red without making a complete stop and right turns on red at intersections with "No Turn on Red" signs; • State and local red light camera revenue has increased more than 200% since Fiscal Year 2010-11; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Red light camera program revenues have increased significantly over the last three fiscal years. Between Fiscal Year 2010-11 and Fiscal Year 2012-13, total revenues grew from \$37.6 million to \$118.9 million, an increase of 215%. ○ Of the local governments that reported revenues to the Department of Revenue in Fiscal Year 2012-13, a small number of jurisdictions accounted for a large portion of the \$56.4 million in local red light camera revenues. <table border="1" data-bbox="657 632 1101 953"> <thead> <tr> <th>Jurisdiction</th><th>Jurisdiction Revenue</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Miami</td><td>\$5,841,750</td></tr> <tr> <td>Miami Gardens</td><td>\$2,889,975</td></tr> <tr> <td>Tampa</td><td>\$2,786,695</td></tr> <tr> <td>Apopka</td><td>\$1,835,625</td></tr> <tr> <td>North Miami</td><td>\$1,822,345</td></tr> <tr> <td>Orlando</td><td>\$1,725,300</td></tr> <tr> <td>Aventura</td><td>\$1,423,125</td></tr> <tr> <td>Sweetwater</td><td>\$1,254,290</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nearly 50% of fines collected by local governments are used to pay red light camera vendors; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ To examine the financial arrangement between jurisdictions and red light camera vendors, OPPAGA reviewed 36 contracts and city ordinances from 20 unique jurisdictions and found that jurisdictions typically pay vendors between \$4,250 and \$4,750 per camera, per month. These payments cover costs associated with site selection; camera installation, operation, and maintenance; review of possible violations; violation issuance; payment collection; data collection; and customer service. In general, fees are fixed. • Estimates of the safety effects of other states' red light camera programs vary considerably; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ As of December 2013, 502 communities in the U.S. had red light camera programs. • Red light camera research results differ due to wide variation in factors examined; many studies have been limited by methodological concern; • Notices of violation and uniform traffic citations issued by jurisdictions with red light camera programs have increased significantly since Fiscal Year 2010-11.19 Based on OPPAGA survey results, notices of violation issued and notices of violation paid increased significantly from Fiscal Year 2010-11 to Fiscal Year 2011-12, and increased slightly from Fiscal Year 2011-12 to Fiscal Year 2012-13.20; and • Crashes resulting in fatalities decreased at red light camera intersections on state roads but rear-end and angle crashes increased. • Among the counties with red light camera intersections on state roads, nearly 40% had increases in rear-end and angle crashes. <p>Additional Information – 4th District Court of Appeal: Red-light refund seekers find red tape - Sun Sentinel - February 24, 2015 http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/153BCC105C54E630?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 4th District Court of Appeal in West Palm Beach recently struck down camera programs in Hollywood and Davie, ruling that the way those cities delegated police authority to a private vendor was improper. The legal uncertainty has caused some cities to rethink red-light cameras; for example, Boca Raton abruptly stopped its program earlier this month. • As for refunds, class-action suits against South Florida cities are in the early stages, say some lawyers involved in the fight. Even if those lawsuits are successful, those who paid tickets might only get back a fraction of what they paid. • The final verdict on red-light cameras isn't in, as Hollywood intends to take the issue to the Florida Supreme Court. American Traffic Solutions, the Arizona-based vendor that runs the program in most Florida cities, will pay for Hollywood's legal fees, according to a city spokeswoman. • The camera program was authorized by the Florida Legislature in 2010, and those who have paid tickets could fight to get money back. That could leave cities and the state (which divided the red-light ticket money) on the hook for huge liabilities. At its peak, more than 70 Florida municipalities and counties had red-light cameras, generating over \$100 million in some years. • Because no class-action suits have been certified yet, it's unclear who'll be eligible to seek refunds: Those who paid the \$158 "Notice of Violation" (NOVs) sent by the vendor, those who waited 60 days until the violations turned into \$265 Uniform Traffic Citations (UTCs), or both. • An attorney involved in class-action suits against Fort Lauderdale, Sunrise and other South Florida cities, said he believes only those who let violations lapse into citations would be eligible. 	Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Revenue	Miami	\$5,841,750	Miami Gardens	\$2,889,975	Tampa	\$2,786,695	Apopka	\$1,835,625	North Miami	\$1,822,345	Orlando	\$1,725,300	Aventura	\$1,423,125	Sweetwater	\$1,254,290
Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Revenue																		
Miami	\$5,841,750																		
Miami Gardens	\$2,889,975																		
Tampa	\$2,786,695																		
Apopka	\$1,835,625																		
North Miami	\$1,822,345																		
Orlando	\$1,725,300																		
Aventura	\$1,423,125																		
Sweetwater	\$1,254,290																		

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Court delivers new strike to Volusia-Flagler red-light cameras - Daytona Beach News-Journal (FL) - February 2, 2015 http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/153434603B6FBA90?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Florida's 4th District Court of Appeal recently turned down a request to reconsider its decision in October that the city of Hollywood didn't have authority under state law to delegate the ability to issue uniform traffic citations to its red-light camera vendor. The South Florida appeals court decision matters to Daytona Beach, Holly Hill and Palm Coast — the only municipalities in Volusia and Flagler with red-light cameras — because it could set a precedent for the way citations have to be issued. It could also spur lawsuits brought by people who want their fine money back, and it could scare the three cities into dropping their red light programs. For now, though, all three local cities are watching a flurry of lawsuits work their way through the courts before deciding whether to change course. Reacting to the October ruling in the Hollywood case, late last year Daytona Beach and Holly Hill suspended their red light enforcement programs. Palm Coast decided to keep using its cameras and issuing citations, although Palm Coast hasn't been going after the people who refuse to pay. The three cities are going to keep tabs on whether the Florida Supreme Court agrees to consider the Hollywood case. In its ruling Friday, the 4th DCA refused to recommend that the Florida Supreme Court take up the challenge to the way many cities across the state have handled red light citation issuance. Hollywood could still ask the Supreme Court to take up the case, but attorneys say its chances of getting Florida's highest court to oblige are severely weakened without the appeals court endorsement. Daytona Beach is also going to be watching a new federal court case with 15 plaintiffs challenging red light cameras. Daytona Beach, which was just served last week with the federal suit, was one of 29 cities named as defendants in the class action filed in November challenging red light camera programs, Hartman said. The case in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida also lists as defendants the state government and American Traffic Solutions, the private for-profit company that Hollywood, Palm Coast and other Florida cities have used in their red light programs. There are also seven additional federal red light lawsuits against individual cities pending in South Florida. The Oct. 15 ruling in the 4th DCA suit said only police officers and traffic infraction enforcement officers have the authority to make the initial review of the images caught on the cameras, decide which cases will be pursued and ultimately issue citations. Daytona's system was similar to what Hollywood had, with the Daytona vendor selecting and sending video footage for Daytona Beach police to make decisions on and the vendor mailing out citations. Daytona Beach put up its first red-light cameras in 2010, and now has 12 cameras at seven intersections. Daytona has used Massachusetts-based Gatso USA as its vendor, and last year began a new three-year contract with the company. The contract allows the city to terminate the agreement for changes in state law or court decisions, and it allows both parties to end the contract for "convenience" with 90 days' written notice. The cameras, owned by Gatso, are still up but they're turned off and the city is not using them for anything. In 2008, Palm Coast became the first area city to get red-light cameras, and now has 43 cameras at 27 intersections. Palm Coast has been monitoring the court cases but continues its red light program. American Traffic Solutions is still issuing notices of violation for Palm Coast, and the city is still paying the company's fees, said the city spokeswoman. Between Nov. 1 and Monday, 2,119 notices of violation had been issued. The only change is that those who don't pay the \$158 fine within 60 days aren't being pursued — at least for now. Holly Hill also installed red-light cameras in 2010, and has eight cameras at four intersections. Holly Hill also uses Gatso as its vendor. Holly Hill's cameras are still up and turned on, but they're only used for other types of investigations. <p>Clearwater tightens control of red-light camera citations – The Tampa Tribune (FL) - January 1, 2015 http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/15293EDAF250DFE8?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In an attempt to avoid a court challenge, city officials have tightened procedures for issuing traffic citations stemming from the use of red-light cameras. Citations for running red lights no longer will be mailed from Arizona by Clearwater's camera vendor, RedFlex Traffic Systems, the Assistant City Attorney recently told council members. The move comes in reaction to a decision by Broward County's Fourth District Court of Appeal. In October, the court ruled that the City of Hollywood illegally delegated to its red-light camera vendor the ability to issue traffic citations. To protect Clearwater's program against a similar challenge, the city delayed issuing more than 100 red-light camera citations since October until it could change its practices. The appellate court objected to Hollywood's program because it allowed American Traffic Solutions, or ATS, to print and send out violation notices and then issue citations if motorists failed to pay their fines. Under a contract change Clearwater council members recently approved, local police now will mail the traffic citations after the vendor prints them. This local control should address the district court's objection and put the city "solidly in a defensible position." The city's red-light camera contract expires in August. The cameras have prompted protests and lawsuits since Florida cities began putting them up to catch drivers who ignore stop lights and fly through intersections. Proponents say the cameras have made streets and intersections safer, while critics contend they have made cities and vendors richer. <p>A suit by the city raises concerns of a council member. At issue is when the contract ends. COURT GETS BROOKSVILLE DISPUTE OVER RED-LIGHT CAMERAS - Tampa Bay Times (FL) - April 24, 2015</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<p>http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/154E9AD3B43B8D30?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The city is going to court over its red-light camera debate.• In a complaint filed last week by Assistant City Attorney, the city seeks a court ruling on when the contract with Sensys America Inc. comes to its natural end and what the potential penalties would be if the contract is breached.• This year, the City Council voted to end the controversial red-light camera program when the current contract expires, and that was thought to be in December.• The Assistant City Attorney told the council that the lawsuit was filed after the city received a letter from Sensys disputing the December end of the contract. In an April 9 letter to Brooksville Mayor, Sensys president states that the contract terminates three years after the installation of the last red-light camera, which would be April 2017.• According to the company's president "Sensys completed 100 percent of the work necessary" to install four new cameras before getting word that the council did not want to proceed in April 2014. He counts three years from that point as the natural end of the contract. If the city ends the contract in December, "Sensys will be entitled to damages in excess of \$500,000 representing Sensys' lost revenue." But the Assistant County Attorney noted the contract states that the three-year time frame begins when the cameras are installed and operational, "and that was not done."• Filing the lawsuit now gives the city time for the court to make a ruling before December, he said." Sensys misinterpreted their own contract," red-light camera opponent told council members Monday night. He also noted that the city's contract with Sensys includes a section with a progression of ways for the two parties to settle any dispute.• A closed session for the council members and staff to discuss their legal strategy is slated for May 4.																				
8F2 150388	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF AN AMENDMENT TO LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SUNNY MANAGEMENT, INC., A FLORIDA CORPORATION, AND ASSIGNMENT OF AND ASSUMPTION OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE STATE OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHICH LEASE WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION R-1157-08, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A VACCINE STORAGE ROOM, TO BE UTILIZED BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of an Amendment to Lease Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County) and Sunny Management, Inc. (Landlord), for the State of Florida Department of Health (State DOH), Miami-Dade County Health Department, located at 315 NW 27 Avenue, Miami, Florida, for the construction of various tenant improvements to be paid by the State DOH to the Landlord, including the construction of a new vaccine storage room at the Miami-Dade Refugee Health Clinic.</p> <p>The County is the agent for the State DOH, as required by State law and administrative procedure, which permits the State DOH to lease space through the County.</p> <p>County programs do not operate from this location and County funds will not be expended as part of this amendment.</p> <p>The State DOH has been at this location since 2008, with the original lease between the Landlord and the County approved by the Board through R-1157-08. The original lease agreement was approved by the Board for a five (5) year term plus two (2), five-year renewal option periods. The lease is currently in the first year of the initial five-year renewal option period, with one (1) additional five-year renewal option period remaining.</p>																				
8F3 150639	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$353,000.00 FOR CONTRACT 1046-1/21 FOR PURCHASE OF REFRIGERANT GASES FOR THE MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$353,000 to Contract 1046-1/21, Refrigerant Gases Prequalification, for Miami-Dade Transit.</p> <p>This pool is used by multiple County departments to purchase refrigerant gases that cool refrigerators, walk-in coolers, freezers, ice machines, air conditioners and dehumidifiers. Miami-Dade Transit relies on this pool to purchase refrigerant gases for the air conditioning systems in its buildings and transportation infrastructure (Metrobus, Metrorail and Metromover). The department's allocation is insufficient to cover its operational needs through the end of the contract term as increased quantities of refrigerant gas have been necessary for departmental operations. The increased quantities are due to unanticipated failing air conditioning units, including leaks, as well as pressure changes in the HVAC systems. The additional expenditure authority will ensure that Miami-Dade Transit has sufficient funds to pay for the required refrigerant gases throughout the remainder of the term.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The pool has a 60-month term, which expires on May 31, 2017. Miami-Dade Transit has an existing allocation of \$679,000, of which approximately \$488,000 has been released to date. This modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$353,000 for Miami-Dade Transit. The remaining departmental allocations on the contract are projected for expenditure.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Transit</td><td>\$679,000.00</td><td>\$353,000.00</td><td>\$1,032,000</td><td>MDT Operating</td></tr><tr><td>Various</td><td>\$839,000.00</td><td>0</td><td>\$839,000.00</td><td>Various</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$1,518,000</td><td>\$353,000</td><td>\$1,871,000</td><td></td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Transit	\$679,000.00	\$353,000.00	\$1,032,000	MDT Operating	Various	\$839,000.00	0	\$839,000.00	Various	TOTAL	\$1,518,000	\$353,000	\$1,871,000	
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																	
Transit	\$679,000.00	\$353,000.00	\$1,032,000	MDT Operating																	
Various	\$839,000.00	0	\$839,000.00	Various																	
TOTAL	\$1,518,000	\$353,000	\$1,871,000																		

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																											
	<p>Additional Information: On April 2, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-279-12, established a pre-qualification pool for Contract No. 1046-1/21, Refrigerant Gases, Pre-Qualification Pool, in a total amount up to \$1,265,000 for the initial term, or \$2,530,000 if the one, five-year renewal option is exercised. R-279-12 also authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to conduct spot bids and award subsequent contracts, add vendors, and conduct modifications for the pool, and exercise, in their discretion, any cancellation and renewal provisions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract on behalf of Miami-Dade County, and authorizing the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</p> <p><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the committee raised concerns over not including local firms in the pre-qualification pool. The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) noted that no local firms had submitted proposals and that the Department would continue to reach out to local firms. The Committee also expressed concerns over spending on an antiquated fleet rather than more appropriate investments and commented that maintenance should be conducted more routinely similar to that of other buildings in the County. The ISD Director explained that the increase in the contract amount was due to the condition of the fleet.</i></p>																											
8F4 150829	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00151 FOR PURCHASE OF T-SHIRTS FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,608,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT																											
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00151, T-Shirts to five (5) vendors for the purchase of various types and sizes of t-shirts for youth, men, and women, including applicable logos, for use by multiple County departments. The majority of the t-shirts to be purchased under this contract will serve as departmental uniforms. Additionally, this contract will be used to purchase t-shirts for children enrolled in the County’s Summer Camp Program. The solicitation includes two (2) groups: (1) t-shirts purchased with non-federal funds (also a set-aside for certified Small Business Enterprises) and (2) t-shirts purchased with federal funds.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$1,608,000. The current contract, 8725-4/14, is valued at \$1,634,000 for five (5) years and six (6) months. The total allocation under the recommended contract is proportionally higher than the current contract because it includes both the type of t-shirt and the silk screening services (the process of putting a logo on a shirt). The current contract does not bundle that service with the cost of the t-shirt.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Community Action and Human Services</td><td>\$60,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Community Information and Outreach</td><td>253,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>750,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>PortMiami</td><td>250,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>40,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Works and Waste Management</td><td>140,000.00</td><td>General and Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>115,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$1,608,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on October 15, 2014. Sixteen (16) bidders responded to the solicitation, six (6) of which were “No Bids.” The method of award was to the lowest-priced, responsive and responsible bidder by item. The offers on certain items submitted by BDD & R II, Inc. were deemed non-responsive by the CAO as the firms failed to offer a single bid for those Group 2 items as required by the solicitation. Additionally, due to scrivener’s errors in the pricing form, Items 8 and 17 under Group 1 and Item 15 under Group 2 are not being recommended for award. The opinion from the Office of the County Attorney is attached. These items will be re-solicited at a later date.</p> <p>Additional Information: On December 30, 2014, the CAO responded to the Procurement Contracting Officer of the Internal Services Department (ISD) regarding ITB No. FB-00151: T-Shirts. The CAO concluded that two bidders, BDD & R II, Inc. d/b/a Standing Ovations (Standing Ovations) and Carily of Miami Uniforms, Inc. (Carily), were deemed unresponsive. The CAO also concluded that Group 1, Items 8 and 17 and Group 2, Items 15 may not be awarded to any vendor due to an error in the solicitation documents and that those items should be removed from the award and re-solicited.</p> <p>According to the CAO, this determination was made based on memos sent by ISD on December 29, 2014 regarding the bidding issues, the terms of the ITB itself and the bids submitted by the bidders. The CAO also noted that ISD reported that Standing Ovation bid multiple prices for Group 2, Items 12a and 16b rather than providing a single price as required by the ITB and did not include any price for Group 2, Item 18b and 18d. Additionally, the CAO noted that ISD reported that Carily did not provide any bids for a requested size in Group 1, Item 17 and</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Community Action and Human Services	\$60,000.00	Federal Funds	Community Information and Outreach	253,000.00	General Fund	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	750,000.00	General Fund	PortMiami	250,000.00	Proprietary Funds	Public Housing and Community Development	40,000.00	Federal Funds	Public Works and Waste Management	140,000.00	General and Proprietary Funds	Water and Sewer	115,000.00	Proprietary Funds	TOTAL	\$1,608,000	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																										
Community Action and Human Services	\$60,000.00	Federal Funds																										
Community Information and Outreach	253,000.00	General Fund																										
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	750,000.00	General Fund																										
PortMiami	250,000.00	Proprietary Funds																										
Public Housing and Community Development	40,000.00	Federal Funds																										
Public Works and Waste Management	140,000.00	General and Proprietary Funds																										
Water and Sewer	115,000.00	Proprietary Funds																										
TOTAL	\$1,608,000																											

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																	
	<p>Group 2 Item 15.</p> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The incumbent firm for the last recommendation stated that he was not notified on the solicitation for this contract.</i>• <i>The Committee questioned why there was no open pool for the contract and what notice was provided to vendors, to which the Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) responded that vendors were notified via the electronic bid service and confirmed that the incumbent vendor received the notification of the solicitation. The Director also explained that the departments that needed t-shirts know what they wanted therefor a pre-qualification pool was established. The Division Director of the ISD Small Business Development Division reiterated that all registered vendors were notified of the solicitation.</i><ul style="list-style-type: none">○ <i>Of the 5 vendors recommended for award, 2 are local however, it was noted that although some firms are not head quartered locally, they do have offices locally. The Committee expressed the importance of that information being noted.</i>• <i>The Committee expressed concerns over the amount being spent on t-shirts and asked for the revenue collected from the sale of t-shirt (usually \$7/t-shirt) and a breakdown of the amount of t-shirts needed by each department. The ISD Director did not have the revenue amount available.</i>• <i>The committee made note of the short timeframe between the expiration of the current contract (June 2015) and the solicitation for a new contract.</i>																																	
8F5 150897	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE SOLE TWO-YEAR OPTION-TO-RENEW PERIOD IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$341,000.00 FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO PURCHASE REPAIR SERVICES FOR SHOP EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS, SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS																																	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to exercise the sole option-to-renew (OTR) period for Prequalification Pool No. 0924-1/17, Repair Services for Shop Equipment and Tools Prequalification. The amount requested is \$341,000 and covers a two (2) year time period from September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2017. This pool is used by multiple County departments for repair services to a wide variety of shop equipment (portable and fixed pumps, welding units, hydraulic and pneumatic compressors, portable and fixed generators, etc.) and tools (chipping, cutting, sanders, screw drivers, etc.) as needed.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The initial five-year term of this pool, from September 1, 2010 to August 31, 2015, totals \$817,000. The OTR period requested totals \$341,000 over a two-year period and extends the pool through August 31, 2017. Upon approval of the OTR period, the pool’s cumulative value will be \$1,158,000.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Requested Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$36,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Fire Rescue</td><td>6,000.00</td><td>Fire District Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>76,000.00</td><td>Internal Service Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>12,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Port Miami</td><td>9,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>40,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Works and Waste Management</td><td>4,000.00</td><td>General Fund and Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Transit</td><td>98,000.00</td><td>MDT Operating Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>60,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$341,000.00</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>The initial pool was approved by the County Manager on July 30, 2010 under the delegated authority granted through Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Administrative Order 3-38. If this item is approved, the County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee will have the authority to exercise the OTR term and solicit pricing and award contracts up to an aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the Board. The County Mayor or the County Mayor’s designee will also have the authority to (a) solicit pricing and award contracts up to the aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the Board, (b) exercise all provisions of the solicitation documents and any resulting contracts pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38, and (c) add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis.</p> <p>Prior to exercising this OTR, market research was conducted to ensure continuing this pool would be in the best interest of the County. Market research included competitive factors such as pricing, quality, product features, technology, and lead time. It also included commercial factors such as environmental issues, other governmental entity practices, industry trends, support, and capabilities. The awarded vendors under this pool are listed in the table below, and the market research showed that continuing to utilize this pool would be in the best interest of the County.</p> <p>All awarded vendors are local.</p>	Department	Requested Allocation	Funding Source	Aviation	\$36,000.00	Proprietary Funds	Fire Rescue	6,000.00	Fire District Funds	Internal Services	76,000.00	Internal Service Funds	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	12,000.00	General Fund	Port Miami	9,000.00	Proprietary Funds	Public Housing and Community Development	40,000.00	Federal Funds	Public Works and Waste Management	4,000.00	General Fund and Proprietary Funds	Transit	98,000.00	MDT Operating Funds	Water and Sewer	60,000.00	Proprietary Funds	TOTAL	\$341,000.00	
Department	Requested Allocation	Funding Source																																
Aviation	\$36,000.00	Proprietary Funds																																
Fire Rescue	6,000.00	Fire District Funds																																
Internal Services	76,000.00	Internal Service Funds																																
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	12,000.00	General Fund																																
Port Miami	9,000.00	Proprietary Funds																																
Public Housing and Community Development	40,000.00	Federal Funds																																
Public Works and Waste Management	4,000.00	General Fund and Proprietary Funds																																
Transit	98,000.00	MDT Operating Funds																																
Water and Sewer	60,000.00	Proprietary Funds																																
TOTAL	\$341,000.00																																	
8F6	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$375,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL																																	

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																					
150864	NO. 8111-1/23 FOR PURCHASE OF PROMOTIONAL AND ADVERTISING ITEMS FOR THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT																					
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes additional expenditure authority by \$375,000 to Pool No. 8111-1/23, Promotional and Advertising Items. The Miami-Dade Police Department uses this pool to purchase promotional and advertising items, including, but not limited to, coil bracelet whistles, coloring books, flash drives, reflector lights, portfolios, journals, ID holders, key chains, magnets, mugs, pens, plaques, plastic junior badges, recognition and retirement awards, badge encasements, stickers, and sun visors.</p> <p>The Miami-Dade Police Department’s existing allocation is insufficient to cover its operational needs through the expiration of the pool term. The department will use the requested increased spending authority to purchase promotional and advertising items in support of the Youth Fair, CPR and diving training, media relations and the D.A.R.E., Gun Bounty, Buckle Up, Alcohol and Crime Prevention Programs. The increased expenditure authority will ensure that the Miami-Dade Police Department has adequate funding to cover its promotional and advertising needs throughout the remainder of the pool term.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The pool has a 60-month term, which expires on June 30, 2018. The Miami-Dade Police Department has an existing allocation of \$270,000, of which approximately \$267,000 has been released to date. This modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$375,000 for the Miami-Dade Police Department. The remaining departmental allocations on the contract are projected for expenditure.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>\$270,000.00</td><td>\$375,000.00</td><td>\$645,000.00</td><td>Grant, Proprietary Funds and General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Various</td><td>2,112,000</td><td>0</td><td>2,112,000</td><td>Various</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$2,382,000</td><td>\$375,000.00</td><td>\$2,757,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Of the sixteen (16) vendors awarded, eleven (11) are local.</p>	Department	Existing Allocation	Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Police	\$270,000.00	\$375,000.00	\$645,000.00	Grant, Proprietary Funds and General Fund	Various	2,112,000	0	2,112,000	Various	TOTAL	\$2,382,000	\$375,000.00	\$2,757,000		
Department	Existing Allocation	Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																		
Police	\$270,000.00	\$375,000.00	\$645,000.00	Grant, Proprietary Funds and General Fund																		
Various	2,112,000	0	2,112,000	Various																		
TOTAL	\$2,382,000	\$375,000.00	\$2,757,000																			
8F7 150915	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCESS OF HOUSTON-GALVESTON AREA COUNCIL CONTRACT EP11-14 FOR THE PURCHASE OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS AND SAFETY EQUIPMENT IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$1,429,500; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACT ACCESSING SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38																					
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes access to the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) competitively-established contract, EP11-14, for the purchase of emergency preparedness and safety equipment for various Miami-Dade County departments. The equipment and supplies covered under the contract include, but are not limited to, chemical protection suits, coveralls, hazardous material boots, respirators, decontamination showers, bio-detection threat systems, emergency response kits and personal protective gear. The client departments use the equipment and supplies for emergency response activities throughout the County. This contract offers the lowest market prices for these supplies and equipment.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the proposed 34-month term is \$1,429,500. The previous contract, EP11-11, was for 24 months and valued at \$1,123,000. The annualized allocation in the replacement contract is lower than the previous contract due to departments’ historical usage.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Fire Rescue</td><td>\$600,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Medical Examiner</td><td>90,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>300,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Works and Waste Management</td><td>49,500.00</td><td>General Fund/Storm Water Utility Fees</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>390,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$1,429,500</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>The County is accessing a contract established by another entity therefore, only one vendor is recommended for award. The vendor recommended for award is not local.</p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Fire Rescue	\$600,000.00	Federal Funds	Medical Examiner	90,000.00	General Fund	Police	300,000.00	Federal Funds	Public Works and Waste Management	49,500.00	General Fund/Storm Water Utility Fees	Water and Sewer	390,000.00	Proprietary Funds	TOTAL	\$1,429,500	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																				
Fire Rescue	\$600,000.00	Federal Funds																				
Medical Examiner	90,000.00	General Fund																				
Police	300,000.00	Federal Funds																				
Public Works and Waste Management	49,500.00	General Fund/Storm Water Utility Fees																				
Water and Sewer	390,000.00	Proprietary Funds																				
TOTAL	\$1,429,500																					
8F8 151111	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AWARD OF LEGACY CONTRACT NO. L530-0/19 TO BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION FOR PURCHASE OF WATER AND SEWER RATES AND FEES ANALYSIS FOR A ONE-YEAR TERM IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$500,000.00; APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACT AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150630]																					
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution awards Legacy Contract No. L530-0/19, Water and Sewer Rates and Fees Analysis to Black & Veatch Corporation (B&V) for the purchase of retail and wholesale water and sewer rate study services on behalf of the Water and Sewer Department. The purpose of the study is to provide the Water and Sewer Department with recommendations concerning rate structures for water and wastewater utility services that will in return be used to determine rate adjustments. The recommendation of the study will address the adequacy of rates, actual cost to provide services, and the implementation of appropriate rates based on study objectives (e.g., evaluating rate tier thresholds, customer bill impact, and revenue sufficiency and stability).</p>																					

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>B&V was selected in March 2007 through an open, competitive Request for Proposals process to prepare a full retail cost of service study to restructure the Water and Sewer Department's retail and wholesale rates. Under the contract, B&V completed the fees and rates analysis and developed rate models that were subsequently adopted by the Board. Continuing to receive rate analysis services from B&V is critical to maintaining consistency in the development of the utilities rate structure, as B&V has a keen understanding of the department's operations, particularly its assets, capital improvements, and consent decree requirements. Pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the County Code, it is in the County's best interest to award this legacy contract to B&V because it is uniquely qualified to work on departmental strategies to price low water usage tiers to recover fixed costs, develop revenue enhancements that complement the rate structure, and aid in financing the capital improvement projects currently underway. Contracting with a new vendor would jeopardize the department's ability to meet Consent Decree requirements and capital improvements plan project deadlines, as well as maintain revenue streams since a new vendor would require significant time to achieve the rates and fees analysis milestones and benchmarks already established by B&V. In the future, the Water and Sewer Department will explore the cost effectiveness of future rate contracts including the purchase of a new rate model.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact and Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the one-year term is \$500,000 allocated from the Water and Sewer Department's proprietary funds. The previous contract, EPP-RFP530, was valued at \$1,605,000 for seven (7) years and six (6) months.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to reduce the contract term from five years to one year and the contract allocation from \$1,100,000 to \$500,000. Additionally, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned the amount being spent on rate analysis and the need to outsource that service to which the Director of the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) responded that the department did not have in house staff available to conduct the analysis. The Director also noted that the contract was combined to decrease the amount and length of the contract.</i> • <i>The Committee questioned why a legacy contract would be awarded instead of extending the current contract to which the CAO responded that the current contract had expired and that it would be considered a legacy because the vendor was uniquely qualified for the contract.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The previous contract was approximately \$1.3 million for 7 years.</i> • <i>The Committee noted the difference in cost between the previous contract and the amount being recommended. The Director explained that the first year deliverables were the most costly and that the following costs included maintenance and explained that after the legacy contract ended, a new solicitation could be issued to evaluate other vendors. The Committee questioned how a new firm would be willing or able to conduct maintenance on analysis and services provided by another vendor. The Director the explained that the new vendor would have to conduct assessments on the work completed by the previous firm.</i>
<p>8F9 150866</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCESS OF STATE OF FLORIDA CONTRACT 070-700-11-1 FOR THE PURCHASE OF MEDIUM AND HEAVY DUTY TRUCKS IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$1,111,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCESS SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes access to the State of Florida competitively-established contract, 070-700-11-1, for the purchase of medium and heavy duty trucks. The Water and Sewer Department is accessing this contract to purchase eight (8) dump trucks and one (1) asphalt repair truck. The trucks will be used to support operations throughout the Water and Sewer Department's vast water treatment infrastructure. More specifically, the dump trucks will be used to assist Water and Sewer Department personnel with the transport of equipment and debris such as broken concrete and asphalt. The asphalt repair truck will be used to patch roads excavated for the restoration of sewer infrastructure.</p> <p>With the exception of one (1) new dump truck, the other heavy duty vehicles are being purchased to replace existing heavy equipment that have reached the end of their useful life and will be retired. Accessing this contract is the most cost effective procurement method for the County, as the State of Florida contract offers the lowest prices found in the market place for the needed trucks.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the 16-month contract term is based on the cost of the vehicles to be purchased for \$1,111,000 from the Water and Sewer Departments proprietary funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the Director of the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) explained that purchases of trucks had been deferred and now it was necessary to purchase new vehicles. The committee questioned the purpose of purchasing asphalt trucks to which the WASD director responded that temporary repairs for small patching would be easier with a truck on hand to conduct repairs and that it would be paid for by the rates paid for by residents. The director noted that a majority of the fleet vehicles have a useful life of 100,000-150,000 miles and that many vehicles are beyond their useful life.</p>
<p>8G1 150936</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 MIAMI BEACH REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BUDGET TOTALING \$38,519,000.00</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Miami Beach City Center Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency's) FY 2014-15 budget for the City Center Community Redevelopment Area (Area) in the total amount of \$38.519 million.</p> <p>Background</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On December 16, 2014, the Board adopted Resolution No. R-1110-14, which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved an amendment to the Miami Beach City Center/Historic Convention Village Redevelopment Plan to extend the life of the agency until 2044; and • Approved the Third Amendment to the CRA Interlocal Agreement (Third Amendment), which among other things allowed the Agency to issue \$430 million in bonds to refund existing debt and partially fund the Miami Beach Convention Center renovations, and delineated the annual administrative and operating expenditures for the Agency. <p>Additionally, the Board adopted Ordinance No. 14-133, obligating the County to fund the Trust Fund until March 31, 2044.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source The Agency's revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida State Statutes. County and City of Miami Beach (City) TIF revenues deposited into the trust fund for FY 2014-15 total \$16.334 million and \$20.310 million, respectively.</p> <p>The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency, based on each respective year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year, through 2044, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p>FY 2014-15 Budget The Agency's FY 2014-15 budget is \$38.519 million, which was approved by the Agency on December 2, 2014. The budget includes revenue sources of County TIF (\$16.334 million), City TIF (\$20.310 million), a 0.5 mill levy to be set aside for the Children's Trust (\$1.850 million), and interest earnings (\$25,000).</p> <p>The expenses associated with the administration and operation of the Agency were delineated by the Third Amendment; therefore, the FY 2014-15 expenses for administration, community policing and capital project maintenance are capped at \$11.721 million. Administrative expenditures for the Agency's operations total \$1.402 million and represent less than five (5) percent of total budgeted expenditures, excluding the 1.5 percent County Administrative Charge (\$245,000) and the City Administrative Charge of 1.5 percent (\$305,000), which is less than the 20 percent allowed in the Third Amendment.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$21.981 million and are broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$9.812 million for debt service that include the following; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Series 2005 Bonds and the combined debt service on the Parity Bonds (\$8.432 million); ○ Sunshine State Loan for the reconstruction/renovation of Lincoln Road (\$832,000); and ○ Loans for the Bass Museum Project (\$548,000). • \$4.522 million for community policing that includes 13 police officers, three (3) sergeants, two (2) public safety aides, a part-time crime analyst and a part-time lieutenant providing community policing exclusively within the Agency seven (7) days a week; • \$5.797 million for maintenance of Agency capital projects constructed with TIF revenues (Lincoln Road, Beachwalk Project, and Collins Park facilities); and • \$1.850 million as The Children's Trust Remittance. <p><i>The Agency's budget also includes a contingency reserve of \$14.586 million, which will be used to cover any shortfalls in future debt service or operational payments per the interlocal agreement.</i></p> <p>Additional Information On December 16, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1110-14, approved the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Miami Beach City Center/Historic Convention Village Redevelopment and Revitalization Area (City Center Agency) that extends the life of the City Center Agency to March 31, 2044; • The Third Amendment to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the County, the City of Miami Beach (City), and the City Center Agency to, among other things, pay for debt issuances, and certain operating expenses; • An Amended and Restated Interlocal Cooperation Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the City regarding Convention Development Tax (CDT) and payments associated with the South Pointe area; and • Authorized the issuance of tax increment revenue bonds in one or more series by the City Center Agency in an amount not to exceed \$430,000,000 for purposes of refunding current outstanding debt, funding eligible community redevelopment project costs, including the Miami Beach Convention Center (Convention Center) renovation, and any reserves and costs of issuance. <p>Third Amendment to the City Center Agency Interlocal Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Required County approval of the annual budget, however the City will still have the ability to expend funds prior to County approval; • Allowed for the Commissioner of District 5 to sit as a City Center Agency board member; • Allowed the refinancing of existing debt of approximately \$60 million; • Allowed for the issuance of new bonds of approximately \$350 million to fund \$275 million in Convention Center renovations and \$36 million in ancillary projects; • Provided yearly Convention Center operating and maintenance funding of \$1 million starting in FY 2017-18, escalating by \$750,000 each year until reaching \$4 million then adjusted by the Consumer Price Index;

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exempted the Children's Trust once the prior debt has been refinanced, as the Children's Trust was previously required to pay into the Trust Fund; Limited the operating expenses to administration, community policing and capital project maintenance as specified in FY 2013-14 budget, as these expenses will escalate by the Consumer Price Index in future years; Established that, from FY 2014-15 through FY 2021-22, any funding not used for debt service and operating expenses will go into a fund to be used for shortfalls and eventually prepayment of debt; Established that, from FY 2022-23 until FY 2043-44, the County will receive a refund of City Center Agency operating expenses based on its proportion of revenues contributed to the Trust Fund; and Any remaining funding will be used to retire debt early. <p>Convention Development Tax Amended and Restated Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The payments for the South Pointe Area will be completely deferred in FY 2014-15 and partially deferred FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17. Future payments will include the deferred amounts and payments made based on calculations in the current agreement. The restrictions on the City's use of the funds has been deleted, therefore the City will be permitted to use the funding for sea level rise mitigation or other projects in the City; Assumes additional Convention Center operations and maintenance subsidy beginning in 2026 or once the City Center Agency sunsets or there is sufficient revenue to provide the payment before it sunsets; Includes \$1.5 million per year if a convention center hotel consisting of 800 rooms is built; and Extends the life of the agreement until 2048, which coincides with the last debt service payment being made on current debt.
<p style="text-align: center;">811 151201</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP-00168) TO PURCHASE BODY WORN CAMERAS AND VIDEO MANAGEMENT SOLUTION FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; PRESERVING COUNTY MAYOR'S DELEGATED AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE INCLUDING THE AUTHORITY TO ISSUE ADDENDA AS NECESSARY DURING ADVERTISEMENT PERIOD; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR TO INCLUDE IN MEMORANDUM TO THE BOARD RECOMMENDING AWARD DESCRIPTION OF ADDENDA, IF ANY</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the advertisement of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for body worn cameras and a video management solution.</p> <p>The solicitation requests proposals from qualified vendors to provide a commercially available, turnkey, cloud-based Body Worn Camera (BWC) and Video Management Solution (VM Solution) that will capture video from a law enforcement officer's perspective and store the recorded video to a secure hosted website, or secure local storage solution. The solicitation includes the provision of all body worn camera devices, cables, components, as well as all necessary software, hardware, peripherals and associated cabling and devices. The vendor will install, configure, implement, and train staff on the use of the body worn cameras and video management storage solution and provide maintenance and technical support services throughout the contract term.</p> <p>The scope of services for this solicitation was posted for industry comment on October 2, 2014 for a period of two (2) weeks, and a revised version was posted on November 12, 2014 for another two (2) week period. Upon approval and incorporation of any recommended changes/edits by the Board, the solicitation will be released for advertisement. Award will be made to a responsive, responsible vendor based on the best value to the County. The draft solicitation has been reviewed and approved by Internal Services, Police, and Information Technology Departments. Any award recommendation resulting from the RFP process will be presented to the Board for approval. Pursuant to the solicitation, the County anticipates awarding a contract for an initial five (5) year period, with three (3) five-year (5) options to renew at the County's sole discretion.</p> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the RFP of the proposed resolution was amended to include specifications that would require the selected vendor, at the request of the County, to collect data available on the vendor's body-worn cameras and video management solution in response to public records requests directed to the County, at a cost to the County that does not exceed the cost permitted under the Florida Public Records Act for collecting records.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the Board adopted Resolution 1078-14 directing the Mayor to conduct a study and prepare a report on the benefits and concerns associated with police officer BWC. In addition, the study was to be conducted in consultation with the Police Benevolent Association (PBA).</p> <p>In the Fall of 2012, the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) Director was directed to study the utilization of BWC for patrol officers. The Director and his staff reviewed numerous publications and studies, conducted market research to include a 30-day testing cycle with different BWC providers, and have made contact with other local, state, out-of-state police departments, Miami-Dade State Attorney's Office, Miami-Dade Public Defender's Office, the American Civil Liberties Union of Florida and the PBA. Since the beginning of this calendar year, MDPD has been working with the PBA in developing policies outlining the use and management of BWC for MDPD police officers. During calendar year 2015, MDPD and the PBA have met to discuss this matter on the following dates: January 5, January 28, March 12, April 24, and May 4. On May 4, MDPD and PBA held their last meeting regarding retention and public release policies pending the outcome of this year's legislative session.</p> <p>During the 2015 Legislative Session, the Florida Legislature worked to move two (2) bills creating public records exemption legislation and BWC policies. SB248 – Police Body Camera Public Records Exemption – contains three (3) public records exemptions. SB248 passed the full Senate on April 22, 2015 and passed the full House on April 24, 2015. SB248 is now scheduled to be signed by the Governor.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>SB7080/HB57 – Police Body Camera Policy/Two Party Consent – would create a new section of the statute requiring law enforcement agencies that permit law enforcement officers to wear body cameras to develop certain policies and procedures governing the proper use, maintenance, and storage of body cameras and recorded data. HB57 also exempts body camera recordings from the requirements of Chapter 934 related to two-party consent for recording. This exemption allows law enforcement officers to wear body cameras during patrol duties without having to inform each individual which they make contact that they are being recorded. This bill passed the full House on April 24, 2015; however, the companion bill, SB7080, did not pass in the Senate, therefore the bill will not become law unless the Senate is able to take up the bill during a special session.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the proposed 60 month term is up to \$5,000,000 allocated from the Police Department’s general fund and impact fees. There is no current contract for these services.</p> <p>Additional Information -Miami Beach cops begin trial of police body cameras – The Miami Herald (FL) - May 5, 2015: http://infoweb.newsbank.com/resources/doc/nb/news/15528609D1BD7548?p=NewsBank</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On Tuesday, the city laid out its initial plan: By the end of May, 30 officers, mostly traffic cops to begin with, will place the small square cameras on their uniforms. Video that isn't important will be deleted in 90 days. Video that includes the use of force or injury to an officer or another person will be stored for five years and will be available through a public record request. Officers will use the video to help craft accurate incident reports. Their supervisors will use the palm-size cameras to evaluate the officers. Prosecutors will use it as a tool in search of guilt. And lawyers will use the videos to defend their clients. The first cameras will be issued 210 motorcycle squad officers. Within a few weeks a total of 30 officers will begin a three-month pilot program that will test this new technology. The launch of the three-month pilot program has its critics. Miami Beach parking and code enforcement workers have worn the cameras since the fall, and though they initially resisted the technology, it seems to be catching on. The Miami Beach cameras are supplied by Taser International, the same company that outfits most South Florida police departments with electronic shock devices. The Miami Beach police chief is convinced that the cameras will help police. He said in the two years the system was in place in his former city in Colorado, the police department didn't receive a single complaint about an officer. The reasons, he said, were twofold: The public is less apt to file a false complaint if video is running, and an officer is less likely to act inappropriately. <p>Additional Information - What Happens When Police Officers Wear Body Cameras - Use of force by police officers declined 60% in first year since introduction of cameras in Rialto, Calif. – The Wall Street Journal – August 18, 2014 http://www.wsj.com/articles/what-happens-when-police-officers-wear-body-cameras-1408320244</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Rialto, Calif., where an entire police force is wearing so-called body-mounted cameras, no bigger than pagers, that record everything that transpires between officers and citizens. In the first year after the cameras' introduction, the use of force by officers declined 60%, and citizen complaints against police fell 88%. What happens when police wear cameras isn't simply that tamper-proof recording devices provide an objective record of an encounter—though some of the reduction in complaints is apparently because of citizens declining to contest video evidence of their behavior—but a modification of the psychology of everyone involved. The effect of third-party observers on behavior has long been known: Thomas Jefferson once advised that "whenever you do a thing, act as if all the world were watching." Psychologists have confirmed this intuition, showing that something as primitive as a poster with a pair of glaring eyes can make test subjects behave better, and even reduce theft in an area. One problem with the cameras, however, has been cost. Fortunately, fierce competition between the two most prominent vendors of the devices, Viewu LLC and Taser International Inc., which makes the cameras used by Rialto police, has driven the price of individual cameras down to between \$300 and \$400. Unfortunately, one place where expenses can mount is in the storage and management of the data they generate. Both Taser and Viewu offer cloud-based storage systems for a monthly subscription fee. Think of it as an evidence room-as-a-service, where vendors are happy to see police departments outsource some of their most critical functions, and be subject to the same kind of vendor lock-in that can make corporate IT managers wary of the cloud. But Taser's system stores video data on Amazon.com Inc.'s cloud, where prices are falling rapidly, and there isn't much about cameras from either vendor that couldn't be reproduced by an enterprising startup. Given that body-worn cameras use components from the mobile industry, where prices are ground down by scale and competition, it's possible police forces will soon be able to come up with their own solutions, or use off-the shelf products such as Google Glass. These are all reasons that Michael White, a professor of criminology at Arizona State University and, as the sole author of the Justice Department's report on police and body-mounted cameras, says the cameras, now a curiosity, could soon be ubiquitous. It has happened before: Taser's guns went from introduction to use by more than two-thirds of America's 18,000 police departments in about a decade. "It could be as little as 10 years until we see most police wearing these," says Dr. White. Not everyone is happy about this possibility. After an order by a federal judge that the New York Police Department equip officers with body-worn cameras in some districts, the Patrolmen's Benevolent Association issued a report declaring that they would be an "encumbrance." In the mid-1990s the rollout of dashboard cameras, now standard issue in most patrol cars, met the same resistance, which is why Dr. White says it is important that the adoption of this technology be accomplished through consensus. Still, privacy issues abound, and rules about protecting both witnesses and police must be established and tested. Officers would have to turn on their cameras during every encounter with citizens, argues the American Civil Liberties Union, but there might be exceptions, such as when officers are interviewing victims of assault says Dr. White.

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>None of these issues have stopped police forces in the U.K., where departments have a decade head start on their counterparts in the U.S., from ever-wider adoption. Police in England and Wales are engaged in large-scale trials, and the aim is to make body-worn cameras standard issue.</i> • <i>In the U.K., where tests with them began in 2005, studies have shown that they aid in the prosecution of crimes, by providing additional, and uniquely compelling, evidence. In the U.S., in some instances they have shortened the amount of time required to investigate a shooting by police from two-to-three months to two-to-three days.</i>
8K1 150919	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBSTANTIALLY AMEND THE FISCAL YEAR 2013-2017 CONSOLIDATED PLAN FILED WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT TO ALLOCATE HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM INCOME HOME FUNDS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS RECEIVED THROUGH THE PRE-PAYMENT OF CDBG AND HOME LOANS, AS DESCRIBED HEREIN; AUTHORIZING PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17-02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, A LOAN OF \$3,562,000.00 OF HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS AND \$364,000.00 OF CDBG FUNDS TO LA JOYA ESTATES, LTD., OR RELATED ENTITY, FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE LA JOYA ESTATES AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT AND A LOAN OF \$1,000,000.00 OF HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS TO BRICKELL VIEW TERRACE APARTMENTS, LTD., OR RELATED ENTITY, FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE BRICKELL VIEW TERRACE APARTMENTS AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ALL CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS, STANDARD SHELL CONTRACTS, STANDARD SHELL LOAN DOCUMENTS, AMENDMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION, INCLUDING THE SUBORDINATION OF THE COUNTY'S INTERESTS, AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION, CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or Designee to substantially amend the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD) to allocate Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program income funds received through the pre-payment of CDBG and HOME loans; and authorizes the loan of these program income funds to two (2) new affordable housing developments.</p> <p><i>Both loans are recommended in accordance with Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances providing that any developer that repays its County loan in full before the date on which the loan is due may, upon approval of the Board, have the repaid funds loaned to it, or a related entity, for additional eligible affordable housing projects.</i></p> <p>This item allocates HOME and CDBG program income funds and will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. The loans provide for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3,926,000.00 consisting of \$3,562,000.00 of HOME program income funds and \$364,000.00 of CDBG program income funds to La Joya Estates, Ltd., or related entity, for the development of La Joya Estates, an affordable housing project located at the northeast corner of SW 267 Street and SW 143 Avenue; and • HOME program income funds in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 to Brickell View Terrace Apartments, Ltd., or related entity, for Brickell View Terrace, an affordable housing project located at 940 SW First Avenue, Miami, Florida 33130. <p>Background</p> <p>RPG of Homestead, Ltd. pre-paid its \$3,926,000.00 loan on the Royal Palm Gardens Apartments project in full on December 1, 2014, a little more than a year before the maturity date. RPG of Homestead, Ltd. requested, in a letter dated November 12, 2014, to use the pre-paid funds, which upon repayment became HOME and CDBG program income, respectively, on a new affordable housing project, La Joya Estates.</p> <p>Upon approval of this item, \$3,926,000.00 will be loaned to La Joya Estates, Ltd., or a related entity, to be used for the development of La Joya Estates. The loaned funds will be proportioned just as the pre-paid funds were: \$3,562,000.00 of HOME program income funds and \$364,000.00 of CDBG program income funds. If approved, the loan would be the only County financing in the project to date.</p> <p>The La Joya Estates project will be subject to a full credit underwriting analysis, including subsidy layering review, a favorable recommendation from the underwriter, and written financing commitments for the total development costs, all of which will be completed prior to the financial closing of the loan or the release of loaned funds. The loan will be subject to those loan terms prescribed in the HOME and CDBG FY 2014 Request for Applications, subject to change at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee based upon the credit underwriting analysis.</p> <p>Amistad Apartments, Ltd. pre-paid its HOME loan for Amistad Apartments in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 in December 2014, long before the maturity date of July 1, 2033. Once that project was fully completed and operational, the developer pre-paid the loaned funds in full. Brickell View Terrace Apartments, Ltd., a related entity of Amistad Apartments, Ltd., requested in a letter dated January 28, 2015, to use the pre-paid funds on a new affordable housing project Brickell View Terrace.</p> <p>To date, the County has invested \$4,000,000.00 of Surtax funding in the Brickell View Terrace. The project is currently 62 percent completed but has a funding gap of \$1,900,000.00. If this loan is approved, the \$1,000,000.00 pre-paid HOME funds from the Amistad Apartments loan, which became HOME program income upon repayments, will be loaned to a related entity to be used to complete construction of Brickell View Terrace.</p> <p>This project has gone through a full credit underwriting analysis with a favorable recommendation, which was completed prior to financial closing and the release of Surtax funds. The report indicated a gap of \$1,900,000.00, as indicated by deferred developer fee. This additional loan for \$1,000,000.00 may be closed in the form of an advance of the prior County loan, in consultation with the County Attorney's Office, and will be subject to those loan terms prescribed in the HOME FY 2014 Request for Applications, subject to change at the discretion of the</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
8K2 150925	<p>County Mayor or designee based upon the credit underwriting analysis.</p> <p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.379(2), FLORIDA STATUTES, AND SUBJECT TO RECEIPT OF PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT \$96,609.15 FROM PERSONAL PARADISE DEVELOPERS, INC., TO REMOVE ONE PROPERTY FROM THE INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM AND TO EXECUTE A PARTIAL RELEASE OF REVERTER AND DEED RESTRICTIONS ENCUMBERING SUCH PROPERTY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.379(2), FLORIDA STATUTES, TO DEPOSIT SUCH PAYMENT IN AN ACCOUNT EARMARKED FOR THE INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee, pursuant to Section 125.379(2), Florida Statutes, and subject to receipt of payment from Personal Paradise Developers, Inc. (Personal Paradise) in the amount of \$96,609.15 (Release Payment), to remove one property (Property) previously conveyed to Personal Paradise from the Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Housing Program); • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee, subject to the receipt of the Release Payment, to execute and record a Release of Reverter and Deed Restrictions; and • Directs the County Mayor or designee, pursuant to Section 125.379(2), Florida Statutes, to deposit the Release Payment into an account earmarked for and used by the Infill Housing Program. <p>The County Mayor or designee will be authorized to execute and record a Release of Reverter and Deed Restrictions, which will release the reverter and other deed restrictions encumbering the Property, including the restriction pertaining to the construction and sale of an affordable single family home. Personal Paradise will pay to the County \$96,609.15 for the Property, which will be deposited into an account to be established for and used by the Infill Housing Program.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>The County awarded and conveyed County-owned surplus property located at 166 N.E. 28 Street, Miami, Florida (Folio 01-3125-005-0030) to Personal Paradise to develop through the Infill Housing Program pursuant to Resolution No. R-1230-03 adopted on November 4, 2003. After the Property was conveyed it was discovered that the Property was not suitable for a single family home due to a right-of-way dedication making the lot too small (3,500 square feet) for development.</p> <p>It is the intent of Personal Paradise to sell the Property for \$135,000.00 to a joint venture comprised of the Fifteen Group, Adler Development, and Encore Housing (Developer), to allow the Developer to make one (1) contiguous tract of land for their development of Midtown 29 Apartments, which the Developer plans to build on approximately two (2) acres of land between N.E. 28 Street, N.E. 29 Street, N.E. 2 Avenue, and the FEC Railway).</p> <p>The County will continue to retain its reversionary interest in the remaining two properties conveyed to Personal Paradise by the County Deed. According to the February 27, 2014 appraisal received from Modern Appraisal Group, Inc. the appraised value of the Property was determined to be \$7,000.00.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>According to the Miami-Dade County Office of the Property Appraiser website on May 13, 2015, the 2014 market value for Folio No. 01-3125-005-0030 was \$118,125.00.</p>
8K3 150907	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL OF RENEWAL AND THIRD CONTRACT EXTENSION FOR VISION TO VICTORY HUMAN SERVICES CORPORATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH RESOLUTION NO. R-165-13; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AMEND THE DISASTER RECOVERY INITIATIVE ACTION PLAN FROM THE 2005 DISASTER RECOVERY INITIATIVE ROUNDS TWO AND THREE WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY IN ORDER TO RECAPTURE AND REALLOCATE \$224,346.44 OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT DISASTER RECOVERY INITIATIVE FUNDS AND TO EXECUTE ALL STANDARD SHELL CONTRACTS, AMENDMENTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION, CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute a renewal and third amendment to the contract for \$70,000.00 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds with Vision To Victory Human Services (VVHS) Corporation, extending the expiration date to September 30, 2015 with an option to extend at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee for one (1) additional year until September 30, 2016 in compliance with Resolution No. R-165-13. The VVHS playground renovation project is located at 13230 NW 7 Avenue, North Miami, Florida 33168.</p> <p>It is also recommended that the Board authorize the filing with the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (Florida DEO) a substantial amendment to the County's Disaster Recovery Initiative (DRI) Action Plan from the 2005 DRI Florida Rounds 2 and 3 Programs for Recapture and Reallocation of \$224,346.44 of Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Initiative (CDBG-DRI) funds.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</p> <p>The recommended extension of the VVHS contract will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's general fund. This item approves the extension of the contract for CDBG funds previously committed and does not increase that allocation of funds. The recapture and reallocation of 2005 CDBG-DRI Florida Rounds 2 and 3 funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's general fund. If approved, \$224,346.44 of CDBG-DRI funds will be recaptured from various projects which were completed under-budget, and reallocated toward other projects.</p> <p>Background</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Contract with Vision to Victory Human Services Corporation- This request is for Board approval to extend the FY 2013 Community Development Block Grant Contract between Miami-Dade County and VVHS, as amended, for \$70,000.00 of CDBG funds through September 30, 2015. Since this will be VVHS' third amendment and an extension to exceed two (2) years, staff submits this request in compliance with the terms of Resolution No. R-165-13. VVHS requested the extension.</p> <p>On March 3, 2013, the Board approved Resolution No. R-165-13, allocating \$70,000.00 of FY 2013 CDBG funds to VVHS for its playground renovation project. VVHS' proposed improvements project included, but was not limited to, playground upgrades such as the purchase and installation of playground equipment, installation of rubberized surface, fencing, shade canopy, and signage. VVHS will serve no fewer than 50 children, of which a minimum of 51 percent will be from low- to moderate-income households. The CDBG agreement for \$70,000.00 was executed between the County and VVHS on June 26, 2013, with an effective date of January 1, 2013 and expiration date of December 31, 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The first amendment to the agreement resulted due to VVHS' notice to PHCD that extenuating circumstances had delayed the commencement of construction; • The first amendment was executed on April 9, 2014, which also corrected a scrivener's error in the insurance section and amended the contract expiration date to June 30, 2014; and • On November 24, 2014, the contract was amended a second time to extend the agreement's expiration date from June 30, 2014 to December 31, 2014 due to construction delays related to permitting issues concerning the project with the City of North Miami Building Department. <p>In 2014, VVHS encountered delays with completing the project due to permitting issues, which have since been resolved. The playground renovation is 95 percent complete. The playground fixtures and related appurtenances are 100 percent completed and the building permit final inspection was approved on February 2, 2015.</p> <p>The only remaining work to be done is the purchase and installation of signage. To date, VVHS has been reimbursed \$39,870.00. In a letter dated December 29, 2014, the entity requested a third extension to January 31, 2015 to allow for inspections of completed work with the project, the work is not yet complete. Due to the timing of this request to the Board and the delays experienced, staff is requesting Board approval for the renewal of this contract and an extension through September 30, 2015, with an option to extend at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee for one (1) additional year until September 30, 2016 to allow for proper close-out of the activity.</p> <p>The allocation of CDBG funds to VVHS was approved in Resolution No. R-165-13, which requires that after two (2) extensions have been granted the County Mayor will bring a legislative item to the Board making either a recommendation to extend the contract granting more time for the entity to perform and to provide an explanation of that recommendation, or to recommend a recapture and reallocation of the funds. Resolution No. R-165-13 further establishes that the Board will hold a public hearing at which the agency will have an opportunity to explain why it needs an extension of time to complete its contractual obligations.</p> <p>Because the project is 95 percent complete, it is in Miami-Dade County's best interest to grant the time extension to successfully complete and close out the project in order to meet HUD's national objective. Accordingly, staff is requesting Board approval to execute a renewal and third amendment to extend the effective contract period through September 30, 2015, with an option to extend at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee for one (1) additional year until September 30, 2016.</p> <p>Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery Initiative (CDBG-DRI) Funds</p> <p>In 2006, Miami-Dade County received an initial funding allocation of \$16.1 million of CDBG-DRI funds from the Florida Department of Community Affairs (Florida DCA), now called Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (Florida DEO), allocated to the State of Florida by U.S. HUD, for the 2005 Disaster Recovery Initiative (Round 1), Florida Small Cities CDBG Program. In August 2007, Miami-Dade County was advised that U.S. HUD and Florida DCA made available a second round of CDBG disaster-related funds (Round 2) through a supplemental appropriation addressing Hurricane Wilma totaling more than \$22 million for the Miami-Dade County area. U.S. HUD approved a third supplemental appropriation of Hurricane Wilma DRI funding (Round 3), through which Miami-Dade County was awarded an additional \$2.951 million. Eligible activities for disaster recovery for the Rounds 2 and 3 funding were limited to the following: 1) single-family/multi-family housing repair, rehabilitation, or replacement and hardening, and 2) infrastructure repair/improvement (damaged as a result of Hurricane Wilma).</p> <p>All damaged structures receiving assistance are required to be repaired, rehabilitated, or replaced and hardened to further protect the structures from future storms. The funds cannot be used for a project or activity that was underway prior to the Presidential Disaster Declaration of October 24, 2005 (Hurricane Wilma).</p> <p>The County awarded CDBG-DRI Rounds 2 and Round 3 program funding to 19 different municipalities and agencies throughout Miami-Dade County, including \$3,350,100 in Round 2 funding to PHCD's (formerly Miami-Dade Public Housing Agency) Multi-family Unit Repair/Rehabilitation and Hardening Project through Resolution No. R-1260-07, and \$1,533,499.00 in Round 3 funding through Resolution No. R-747-08. Subsequently, the County awarded \$1,481,547.44 of CDBG-DRI funds in Resolution No. R-686-14 for various public housing rehabilitation projects.</p> <p>On July 15, 2014, the Board allocated, in Resolution No. R-686-14, \$1,481,547.44 of CDBG-DRI funds for the following public housing rehabilitation projects to be executed by PHCD: Arthur Mays, Model Cities, Venetian Gardens Lemon City, South Miami Gardens, Wynwood Homes, Richmond Homes, and Wynwood Elderly. The PHCD projects were for landscaping, stucco repairs, exterior paint, re-roofing, parking resurface, window shutters and exterior fence paint. Several of the public housing rehabilitation projects were completed under budget,</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>leaving \$83,857.43 to be reallocated. In addition, \$133,516.78 remains from three (3) activities belonging to the Housing Authority of the City of Miami-Beach multi-family projects; and \$6,972.23 remains from the City of North Miami single-family project. In total \$224,346.44 remains to be reallocated to new projects.</p> <p>After a discussion with Florida DEO, it was confirmed that PHCD could increase the budgets for the public housing rehabilitation projects and utilize the recaptured funds from the Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach, City of North Miami and PHCD. Subsequently, budgets and work plans were revised to increase the total project cost from \$1,481,547.44 to \$1,705,893.88. Therefore, Board approval is needed to recapture and reallocate the additional \$224,346.44 of CDBG-DRI funds.</p> <p>The CDBG-DRI funds recaptured in this item will be reallocated in order to rehabilitate and harden an inventory of public housing units, to benefit low-income families with incomes at or below 50 percent of Area Median Income. Staff recommends the reallocation of recaptured CDBG-DRI funds to five (5) public housing rehabilitation projects. The Arthur Mays project in this item is separated into two (2) projects (single homes and apartments). These PHCD projects have the ability to expend the funds in a timely manner.</p> <p>The activities to be funded with reallocated CDBG-DRI funds are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PHCD - Arthur Mays (Apartments) District 9 (Moss) \$ 81,722.32; • PHCD - Arthur Mays (Single Homes) District 9 (Moss) \$ 35,500.00; • PHCD - Model Cities District 3 (Edmonson) \$ 30,402.56; • PHCD - South Miami Gardens District 7 (Suarez) \$ 73,124.12; and • PHCD - Richmond Homes District 9 (Moss) \$ 3,597.44 TOTAL \$ 224,346.44.
<p>8K4 150920</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO FILE WITH UNITED STATES HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FY 2012 THROUGH FY 2014 ACTION PLANS AND CORRESPONDING FY 2008-2012 AND FY 2013-2017 CONSOLIDATED PLANS TO REFLECT RECAPTURE AND REALLOCATION OF \$263,441.01 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS, \$981,989.00 OF HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM FUNDS, ALLOCATE \$260,504.00 HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS, AND AMEND PROJECT SCOPES, AND/OR FUNDING CLASSIFICATION FOR EXISTING HOME-FUNDED ACTIVITIES; APPROVING THE SLUM AND BLIGHT DESIGNATION FOR THE NW 18TH AVENUE CORRIDOR/BROADWAY NEIGHBORHOOD; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS FOR ALL HOME-FUNDED PROJECTS, TO EXECUTE ANY CONTRACTS, AMENDMENTS, SUBORDINATION AGREEMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH HEREIN, AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to substantially amend the FY 2012 through FY 2014 Action Plans and the corresponding FY 2008-2012 Consolidated Plan and FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recapture and reallocate \$263,441.01 of current and prior years Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds and \$981,989.00 of HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds; • Allocate \$260,504.00 of HOME Program Income funds; • Amend the FY 2014 Action Plan, and the corresponding FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan in order to amend project scopes and funding classifications for existing HOME-funded projects in order to accomplish the successful close-out of projects; and • Approve the Slum and Blighted Area Designation for the NW 18 Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood, a geographic area described as NW 18 Avenue between NW 62 Street and NW 71 Street. Such a designation allows the County to utilize the Prevention and Elimination of Slum and Blight national objective in order to address the conditions which contributed to the deterioration of the designated area. <p>This item requires a waiver of the provisions of Resolution No. R-596-12, as all CDBG recaptured funds will be reallocated to a Public Facilities and Capital Improvements activity. This item also amends the project location and funding classifications for three (3) existing HOME-funded activities. Two of the amendments are to correct the misclassification of HOME CHDO Operating Funds when the correct classification was HOME CHDO Set-Aside Funds.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</p> <p>The recapture and reallocation of CDBG and HOME funds will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. This item recaptures CDBG and HOME funds from various previously funded projects and reallocates those funds to other projects. The amendment of project scopes and funding classifications for three (3) existing HOME-funded activities has no fiscal impact. This item also allocates HOME Program Income, which are funds earned by the County's HOME program. The designation of the NW 18 Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood as slum and blighted has no immediate fiscal impact, as no funds are allocated by virtue of making the designation. Staff anticipates bringing recommendations for the allocation of CDBG funds to address conditions contributing to the deterioration of the designated area to the Board in subsequent legislation.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Funded activities as part of the FY 2014 and prior years' Action Plans are monitored throughout the year to ensure that agencies are in compliance with federal regulations, such as the progress of each activity towards accomplishing the National Objectives set forth by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD). The Department recommends the recapture of CDBG and HOME funding from: 1) activities that have been completed and have met a national objective with funds remaining unspent; 2) activities that are not feasible or were unable to meet a national objective; 3) agencies that were unable to expend funds; 4) activities where the contract expired prior to expenditure of funds; 5) agencies that declined funding; or 6) funds not applied for in the Request for Application.</p> <p>Background on HOME CHDO Funds</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>As part of the HOME program, certain organizations may be qualified as Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO), pursuant to Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 92.202. The County is required by the HOME regulations, found at 24 C.F.R. Part 92, to set aside 15 percent of its annual HOME allocation for investment only in housing to be owned, developed or sponsored by CHDOs (HOME CHDO Set-Aside Funds). The County may also, at its discretion, allocate up to five (5) percent of its annual HOME allocation to CHDOs to pay for certain operating expenses (HOME CHDO Operating Funds), pursuant to 24 C.F.R. 92.208.</p> <p>Staff recommends the reallocation of CDBG and HOME funds to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects that received partial or no funding but were Next-in-Line in the FY 2014 RFA; or • Projects that received prior funding, have a current funding gap, but with the proposed allocation will be fully funded. <p>Substantial Amendments – Reallocation of FY 2012-14 CDBG FUNDS (\$263,441.01) Public Facilities/Capital Improvements - Sunrise Community Inc. (\$263,441.01) - District 9 - Staff recommends the reallocation of \$263,441.01 of public facilities and capital improvements funding to the organization for the renovation of a two-story building to serve adults with special needs. Eureka Drive Adult Day Center will serve 100 men and women.</p> <p>Substantial Amendments – Reallocation of FY 2013-14 HOME Funds (\$981,989.00), including a HOME CHDO Set-Aside Allocation, and HOME Program Income Allocation (\$260,504.00)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tower Road Gardens, Ltd. (Up to \$267,989.00 HOME and \$260,504.00 HOME Program Income) -District 9 - Staff recommends the award of up to \$267,989.00 of HOME funds and \$260,504.00 HOME Program Income funds to Tower Road Gardens Ltd. for the new construction of a 150-unit affordable housing rental community called La Joya Apartments. The project is located at SW 268 Street and SW 143 Avenue, Naranja, Florida. This allocation will enable completion of the project as the project will now be fully financed. • Magnolia North 15037 Duval Apartments, LLC (Up to \$464,000.00) - District 1 - Staff recommends a Substantial Amendment to recapture awarded FY 2013 HOME funds through Resolution No. R-356-13 in the amount of \$464,000.00 from Magnolia North 15037 Duval Apartments, LLC for the construction of Magnolia North Community Center, which is a part of the development of 15037 Duval Apartments, an affordable housing project, and reallocate \$464,000.00 of FY 2013 HOME funds to Magnolia North 2145 Apartments, LLC for the Magnolia North 2145 Apartment project, a new construction project consisting of 12-units of affordable rental housing to be developed at 2145 Lincoln Avenue, Opa-locka, Florida 33054. Both projects are owned by entities related to the Opa-Locka Community Development Corporation. Both development entities requested that the County reprogram the funds as Magnolia North 15037 Duval Apartments, LLC, developer of 15037 Duval Apartments, will delay proceeding with that project in order to expedite completion of the Magnolia North 2145 Apartments project. With this reallocation, Magnolia North 2145 Apartments will be fully financed and will proceed to loan closing. The \$464,000.00 of reprogrammed HOME funds will provide the financing necessary for the project to be fully financed. This allocation will enable completion of the project. • Little Haiti Housing Association, Inc. (Up to \$250,000.00) - District 3 - Staff recommends the award of up to \$250,000.00 of FY 2014 HOME CHDO Set-Aside funds to Little Haiti Housing Association, Inc., for the acquisition of ten (10) scattered sites in Miami-Dade County, including the city of North Miami, and new construction or rehabilitation of ten (10) single-family homes. The total cost of the project is \$2,250,000.00. The homes will be sold to qualified households with incomes not greater than 80 percent of area median income. The County's HOME funds will be used for new construction and rehabilitation at the rate of \$25,000.00 subsidy per unit (per single-family home). Each subsidy loan to Little Haiti Housing Association Inc. will be secured by a mortgage and restrictive covenant from Little Haiti Housing Association Inc., which will be forgiven and released, respectively, as each home is sold to a qualified homebuyer. The subsidy loans, in order to be forgiven, must be passed on to the homebuyer in the form of a sales price decrease in the amount of \$25,000.00. Each homebuyer will give the County a promissory note in the amount of \$25,000.00 and each homebuyer loan will be secured with a standard mortgage from the County's homebuyer loan program. This allocation will enable completion of the project as the project will now be fully financed. <p>Substantial Amendment to Correct HOME Project Activity Location</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osprey Apartments, LLC; Liberty Village - District 3 - Staff recommends a substantial amendment in order to correct the activity address and commission district. Liberty Village is a 72-unit rental apartment project which will be reserved for low-income households. In addition, ten (10) units will be reserved for chronically homeless persons. The address was listed in Resolution No. R-685-14 as 1398 SW 1 Street, Miami, Florida, 33135, and was described as being located in District 3. The correct addresses are 5329 NW 17 Avenue and 1620 NW 54 Street, Miami, Florida, 33142, and the project is in District 3. <p>Substantial Amendment to Correct HOME CHDO Funding Allocations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighborhood Housing, LLC; 1815 Ali-Baba Apartments -District 1 - Staff recommends a substantial amendment to the FY 2014 Action Plan and to the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan to correct the classification of funding. In Resolution No. R-685-14, the allocation of \$498,722.00 of HOME funds was classified incorrectly as HOME CHDO Operating Funds. The correct funding classification is HOME CHDO Set-Aside Funds. The 1815 Ali-Baba Apartments is a 4-unit rental housing development located in Opa-locka. • Magnolia North Johnson Street Apartments, LLC - District 1 - Staff recommends a substantial amendment to the FY 2014 Action Plan and to the FY 2013-17 Consolidated Plan to correct the classification of funding which was incorrectly listed in Resolution No. R-685-14 as HOME CHDO Operating Funds. The funding classification should be listed as HOME CHDO Set-Aside Funds. Magnolia North Johnson Street Apartments is a 12-unit rental housing development in Opa Locka. • Slum and Blighted Area Designation of the NW 18 Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood - Staff recommends the designation of the geographic area described as NW 18 Avenue, north of NW 62 Street and the properties on the east and west

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>sides of NW 18 Avenue, terminating at NW 71 Street, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County, commonly referred to as the NW 18th Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood, as a Slum and Blighted Area. As determined by a Finding of Necessity completed by the County dated April 8, 2015, the NW 18 Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood meets the criteria set forth in Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 570.208(b) and 570.483(c) for slum and blight conditions to be addressed by CDBG funding on an area basis. Approval of the designation of the NW 18 Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood as a Slum and Blighted Area will enable future actions by the County to address conditions which contributed to the deterioration of the area and to accomplish revitalization by meeting the national objective of prevention or elimination of slum and blight. Activities to be conducted include: exterior painting of buildings, replacement of windows and exterior doors, building of parking areas and accessible entries, landscaping, demolition, redevelopment of housing units and a parking lot.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <i>The Finding of Necessity report was presented to the Model City Community Advisory Committee (CAC) on April 22, 2015 for comment by the CAC and community. Following the designation of the study area as a Slum and Blighted Area, the County must maintain documentation on the boundaries of the area and the conditions and standards used that qualified the area at the time of its designation. The designation of the NW 18th Avenue Corridor/Broadway Neighborhood as a Slum and Blighted Area will endure for ten (10) years, at which time the designation must be re-determined for continued qualification.</i>
8L2 150572	RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$35,053,422.13 TO ARCHER WESTERN CONSTRUCTION, LLC. FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED "TAMIAMI CANAL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT" FUNDED IN PART WITH BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACT AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the contract for the project entitled Tamiami Canal Bridge Replacement, in the amount of \$35,053,422.13 to Archer Western Construction, LLC.</p> <p>The work to be performed under this Contract consists of, but is not limited to, furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, tools, and equipment; and performing all operations necessary to replace the swing bridge that currently spans the Tamiami Canal along NW South River Drive; and relocating the existing historic swing bridge to span the C-5 canal, also known as the Comfort Canal, west of NW 22 Avenue between the Miami Police Benevolent Association Park and Fern Isle Park.</p> <p>The work also includes providing pedestrian and bicycle access between the two (2) public facilities in the City of Miami. The relocated bridge will be placed as a fixed pedestrian bridge. This project also includes the widening and improvements to the approach roadways, dredging the Tamiami Canal, and relocating the existing 24-inch water main. The existing water main will be removed within canal dredging limits and a new 24-inch high density polyethylene water main will be installed under the Tamiami Canal using horizontal directional drilling.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/ Funding Source This project is being funded by the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB), Road Impact Fees, and FDOT funds. A Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County and FDOT was approved under BCC Resolution No. R-29-13. The LAP Agreement provides the County with up to \$16,000,000.00 in funds.</p> <p>The annual estimated operations cost impact for the bridge will be approximately \$1,320.21 and will be funded through PWWM's General Fund allocation. The annual estimated maintenance cost impact for the bridge will be approximately \$4,428.53 and will be funded through PWWM's General Fund allocation. The life expectancy for the proposed bascule bridge is approximately 75 years and for the relocated historic pedestrian bridge is approximately 50 years.</p> <p>Background On May 3, 2011, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-337-11, approving a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between WASD, FDOT, the City of Miami and PWWM. The MOA established the understanding of the parties relative to the relocation of the existing Tamiami Canal/NW South River Drive Swing Bridge and the construction of a new single leaf bascule bridge at the present site. Additionally, on January 23 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-29-13, approving a Local Agency Agreement between FDOT and Miami-Dade County with funding up to \$16,000,000.00 for the project.</p> <p>The existing Bridge is a Warren Truss Span Type Swing Bridge located on NW South River Drive between NW 19 Street and NW 32 Avenue. It is one of the oldest bridges on the Miami River system and was determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Deterioration from age and impacts from vessels and vehicles has led to structural damage. This deterioration has caused restrictions on the Bridge's allowed loads which impacts daily commerce. Additionally, the existing bridge geometry does not allow for the required hydraulic water flow or the anticipated increase in both navigational and vehicular traffic along the Tamiami Canal.</p> <p>FDOT completed a Project Development and Environment Study which determined that the replacement of the existing bridge was necessary to resolve safety concerns, improve hydraulic conductivity and meet future traffic demands. The City of Miami has provided the site for the relocation of the existing bridge and will assume all future legal, financial and maintenance responsibilities. The historic bridge will function only as a fixed/static pedestrian bridge at its new location.</p>
8L3 150967	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED NON-EXCLUSIVE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND WASTE MANAGEMENT INC. OF FLORIDA FOR PROVISION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING OPTIONS AND TERMINATION
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the Second Amended and Restated Non-Exclusive Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County, Florida (the County) and Waste Management, Inc. of Florida (WMI) for Provision of Municipal Solid Waste Disposal Services.

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This Agreement governs operation of the WMI Landfill located in the Town of Medley, Florida (Medley Landfill), and mitigates the Landfill's financial, contractual and regulatory impacts on the County Solid Waste Management System (System). The WMI Landfill is a contracted component of the System. The County relies on the Medley Landfill for its day-to-day solid waste management operations and to meet the solid waste concurrency requirements of the State of Florida Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act (Florida Statute Chapter 163, Part II). The adopted level-of-service standard for solid waste contained in the Solid Waste Sub-element of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) requires the County to maintain disposal capacity sufficient to accommodate waste flows committed to the System through long-term interlocal agreements or contracts with municipalities and private waste haulers, and anticipated non-committed waste flows, for a minimum of five (5) years. The County provides solid waste concurrency for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Unincorporated Municipal Service Area (UMSA); • Municipalities included in the Solid Waste Collection Service Area (i.e. Aventura, Cutler Bay, Doral, Miami Gardens, Miami Lakes, Palmetto Bay, Pinecrest, and Sunny Isles Beach); and • Municipalities that contract with the County for waste disposal services (i.e. Bal Harbour Village, Town of Bay Harbor Islands, Village of Biscayne Park, City of Coral Gables, City of Hialeah, City of Homestead, City of Miami, City of Miami Beach, Village of Miami Shores, City of Miami Springs, City of North Bay Village, City of North Miami, City of North Miami Beach, City of Opa-Locka, City of South Miami, Town of Surfside, City of Sweetwater, and City of West Miami). <p>The proposed Agreement increases PWWM revenues through additional annual tonnage committed by WMI (9,000 tons increase) and significantly increases the quantity of low-cost waste disposal capacity available to the County now and in the long-term (from 500,000 tons to 1.25 million tons). This disposal capacity increase provides assurance that the County will be capable of meeting its concurrency requirements for UMSA, municipalities in the Solid Waste Collection Service Area and municipalities under contract with the County for waste disposal. Further, the continued use of disposal capacity at the Medley Landfill in the near-term ensures that County-owned waste disposal capacity is maintained to the greatest feasible extent.</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>The County began using the WMI Medley Landfill under a 1995 waste disposal agreement. In 1998, the waste disposal agreement was amended to combine elements of that agreement and a prior phase-out agreement. This was done in order to incorporate the Medley Landfill as a "Contracted Component" of the System and to mitigate any adverse impacts to the System from continued operation of the Medley Landfill, as required by Section 15-18 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Resource Recovery and Management Facility Permitting (also known as Ordinance 92-155), and to address Bond Ordinance 96-168 which prohibits the County from allowing the operation of private disposal facilities that may compete with the System. The 1998 agreement also provided consistency with Policy SW-5B of the CDMP, which discourages the establishment of disposal facilities that are not integrated into the System and was further supported by Article 1, Section 1.01(9) of the County Charter, which empowers the Board to regulate waste collection and disposal in County. The proposed Agreement continues the process of integrating the Medley Landfill into the System while ensuring that the financial, contractual, and regulatory integrity of the System is maintained.</p> <p>The PWWM Bond Engineer has found that this Agreement is beneficial to the County's Solid Waste Disposal System and recommends approval by the Board (see attached letter dated March 6, 2015). The proposed Agreement increases PWWM revenues through additional annual tonnage committed by WMI (9,000 tons increase) and significantly increases the quantity of low-cost waste disposal capacity available to the County now and in the long-term (from 500,000 tons to 1.25 million tons). This disposal capacity increase provides assurance that the County will be capable of meeting its concurrency requirements for UMSA, municipalities in the Solid Waste Collection Service Area and municipalities under contract with the County for waste disposal. Further, the continued use of disposal capacity at the Medley Landfill in the near-term ensures that County-owned waste disposal capacity is maintained to the greatest feasible extent.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The Agreement generates disposal revenue for the Waste Enterprise within the Public Works and Waste Management Department (PWWM) and provides low-cost waste disposal that helps preserve higher value County-owned landfill capacity. All revenues and expenses related to the Agreement are proprietary to the Waste Enterprise within PWWM and no General Fund revenues or expenses are involved in this Agreement.</p> <p>The Agreement requires WMI to deliver a minimum of 100,000 tons of waste to the System each year, which provides gross revenues of approximately \$6.6 million to PWWM. The Agreement also requires WMI to pay the County a surcharge on the waste it delivers to the Medley Landfill. The minimum tonnage subject to the surcharge is 230,000 tons per year, which equates to approximately \$540,000.00 annually at the FY 2014-15 rate of \$2.34 per ton, subject to annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustment; however, the surcharge generated \$910,000.00 in FY 2012-13 due to greater deliveries to the Medley Landfill by WMI.</p> <p>Over the 20 year term of the Agreement expiring in 2035, WMI must annually accept up to 500,000 tons of waste for disposal at the Medley Landfill, 250,000 tons at the Monarch Hill Landfill in unincorporated Broward County and 500,000 tons at the Okeechobee Landfill, which are operated by WMI. The proposed per-ton disposal rates at these facilities are \$34.17, \$34.17 and \$30.00 respectively, subject to annual CPI adjustment. This agreement will allow the County to utilize the low cost disposal with WMI to decrease its internal disposal rate and, at the same time, preserve County-owned disposal capacity as a hedge against higher future rates and to reduce PWWM's liability for closure and long-term care costs.</p> <p>As an incentive for the County to increase its annual waste deliveries to the Medley Landfill, the Agreement contains a \$1.50 per ton discount (\$32.67 per ton or up to \$75,000.00 per year; the \$1.50 discount is subject to annual CPI adjustment) for waste deliveries between 250,000 and 300,000 tons per year.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Of the three WMI disposal facilities referenced in the Agreement, PWWM will only have a waste delivery guarantee at the Medley Landfill, which can also be satisfied with deliveries to the Okeechobee Landfill. This is significant in that WMI will provide one (1) million tons of committed low-cost capacity for 20 years at the Medley, Monarch Hill, and Okeechobee Landfills without a waste delivery commitment from the County. The 250,000 tons waste delivery guarantee at the Medley Landfill equates to an annual cost of approximately \$8.5 million. This level of deliveries is consistent with the existing level of PWWM deliveries and is necessary for efficient system operations.</p>
<p>8N1 150966</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TOWN OF MIAMI LAKES FOR THE RETROACTIVE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE PROVISION OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES ALL AS DESCRIBED IN MAYOR'S MEMORANDUM</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of the first amendment of the Public Transportation Services Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Town of Miami Lakes (Town) for the purpose of combining two (2) existing routes into one new route re-branded as the Miami Lakes "Moover" Route.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County for this Agreement. The Town is responsible for all operating and maintenance costs of the service and will use its annual Charter County Transportation Surtax proceeds for the operation and maintenance of this service.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On September 4, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-706-12, approved the Public Transportation Service Interlocal Agreement. The current Agreement is for five (5) years, with two (2) five-year renewals. The Town is requesting an amendment to the Agreement for the purpose of merging the two (2) prior "East" and "West" routes into one (1) new route which largely mirrors the two (2) prior routes. The merging of the routes has allowed the Town to dramatically reduce headways, thereby improving service.</p> <p>Since the route adjustment was implemented on September 1, 2014 and the Town held the public hearing on January 7, 2015 at the Miami-Lakes Town Hall to seek public input regarding this change, the Town is seeking retroactive approval from the County.</p> <p>Key provisions of this Agreement include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Town will adhere to all county, federal, state, and local transit operating and reporting requirements; • The Agreement is for a five-year term and includes two, five-year automatic renewals under the same contract terms and conditions. Each party has the right to terminate for cause or without clause; • MDT and the Town will work collaboratively to exchange route and schedule information for the benefit of the riders; • The Town is proposing to operate three routes: The West Route, The East Route, and The East School Route; • The Town will serve the Bob Graham Education Center Barbara Goleman Senior High School, Miami Lakes Elementary, Miami Lakes Middle School, and Miami Lakes Education Center. In addition, the routes will serve local business parks, private schools, retail and commercial centers and residential neighborhoods; • The Circulators will operate weekdays in the morning peak from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. and the evening peak from 2:15 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.; • The Circulator service is free of charge and • The Town is responsible for passenger shelters and benches at all bus stops served by the Circulator. <p>Key provisions of this Amendment include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Miami Lakes "Moover" will no longer operate two (2) routes, but will now operate one (1) new route that will circulate throughout the Town; and • The "Moover" buses will arrive approximately every 25 minutes. <p>All other terms and conditions of the Agreement remain the same.</p>
<p>8N2 150779</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR, MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OR MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DIRECTOR TO EXECUTE A JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,373,000.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF NEW BUSES FOR THE STATE ROAD 836 EXPRESS ENHANCED BUS SERVICE WITH A TOTAL COST EQUAL TO \$10,746,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AS SPECIFIED IN THE AGREEMENT; AUTHORIZING RECEIPT AND EXPENDITURE OF ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR SUCH PURCHASE AS SPECIFIED IN THE AGREEMENT SHOULD THEY BECOME AVAILABLE; APPROVING THE PURCHASE OF 60-FOOT BUSES PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-178-02; AND AUTHORIZING USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150325]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the terms of, and authorizes the Mayor to execute a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide County Incentive Grant Program funding in the amount of \$5,373,000.00 for the purchase of twelve (12) 60-foot buses for the State Road (SR) 836 Express Enhanced Bus Service (EBS). The buses will be Compressed Natural Gas (CNG). This is a multi-year Agreement. It is further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement.</p> <p>These new buses will be equipped with wireless internet service and provide larger seating areas with additional legroom for comfort. The anticipated delivery of the buses is early 2017. The estimated completion of the infrastructure required to support the EBS is early 2019. If the County's conversion to CNG technology does not happen or the schedule is not parallel to the delivery of the buses, FDOT has agreed to amend the Agreement's project scope to change the bus propulsion system to one that can be fueled with the existing MDT infrastructure.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p>In the interim, and until the infrastructure to support the enhanced bus service is completed, the new buses will be used on routes that run parallel to the proposed service such as Flagler Max (Route 51) and Route 8.</p> <p>On February 26, 2002, the Board adopted Resolution R-178-02, which instructed the Administration to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses without receiving prior Board approval. As a result, approval to purchase 60-foot buses is also requested.</p> <p><i>During the March 11, 2015 Transit & Mobility Services Committee meeting, the proposed resolution was amended on handwritten page 1 in the first paragraph of the Mayor's memorandum, the second to the last sentence should be deleted and replaced with "The buses will be Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)."</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The estimated project cost of twelve (12) CNG buses for the SR 836 Express EBS is \$10,746,000.00. The State's Participation Rate for this project is 50 percent. This multi-year Agreement will provide \$2,275,566.00 in County Incentive Grant Program funding in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 and \$3,097,434.00 in FY 2016 for a combined total of \$5,373,000.00. Bond proceeds from the Charter County Transportation Sales Surtax (Surtax) will be used for the required equal local match of \$5,373,000.00 for this Agreement.</p> <p>The estimated future annual operating and maintenance cost resulting from the implementation of the new SR 836 Express EBS project is approximately \$2,400,000.00 and will be funded through the Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) operating budget.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> The County Incentive Grant Program is authorized in Florida Statute, Section 339.2817. The program provides grants to counties for the improvement of transportation facilities located on the State Highway System or transportation facilities which relieve traffic congestion on the State Highway System.</p> <p>The 2010 Near Term Transportation Plan for the County recommended a multi-phase approach to move towards developing the initial plan for the full implementation of a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system and eventually Heavy Rail Transit. Given the cost and time required to implement a BRT, the plan included improvements to headways to provide more frequent bus service on new enhanced bus routes. Therefore, MDT is now implementing enhanced bus service along the North, East-West, Kendall, Northeast (Biscayne) and other People's Transportation Plan Corridors as the first phase of these improvements.</p> <p>The SR 836 Express EBS will provide premium limited-stop transit service along SR 836 from SW 8 Street and SW 147 Avenue to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) at the Airport. The proposed alignment will provide less stops spaced approximately every mile along the 13 mile route and more frequent service, with ten (10) minute peak headways during weekday rush hours. This new service will also include a Transit Signal Priority system that will allow buses to more efficiently navigate intersections, thereby allowing for reduced travel time in the corridor and improved schedule adherence. An additional benefit of this new service is the opportunity for commuters to switch from single occupancy car trips to transit, thus reducing traffic congestion along a heavily congested State Highway System.</p>														
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="272 1255 477 1281">Additional Information and Relevant Legislation</th></tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="272 1281 477 1306">Resolution</th><th data-bbox="477 1281 1500 1306">Research Notes</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1306 477 1344">R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002</td><td data-bbox="477 1306 1500 1344">Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1344 477 1465">R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006</td><td data-bbox="477 1344 1500 1465">Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1465 477 1730">R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008</td><td data-bbox="477 1465 1500 1730"> <p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric) transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06 matched the State's \$3,747,000 funding contribution equally with \$3,747,000 from Surtax or Surtax backed funding.</p> </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1730 477 1835">R-350-09 Adopted 4-7-2009</td><td data-bbox="477 1730 1500 1835">Approved the award of a contract to purchase thirteen (13) forty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$7,494,000 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-350-09 further authorized the award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of the use of non-federal funding sources, as well as negotiated changes to bus component requirements.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1835 477 1911">R-508-09 Adopted 5-5-2009</td><td data-bbox="477 1835 1500 1911">Approved the award of a contract to purchase twenty five (25) sixty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$21,585,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-508-09 further authorized award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of negotiated changes to bus component requirements.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information and Relevant Legislation		Resolution	Research Notes	R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002	Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.	R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006	Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.	R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008	<p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric) transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06 matched the State's \$3,747,000 funding contribution equally with \$3,747,000 from Surtax or Surtax backed funding.</p>	R-350-09 Adopted 4-7-2009	Approved the award of a contract to purchase thirteen (13) forty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$7,494,000 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-350-09 further authorized the award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of the use of non-federal funding sources, as well as negotiated changes to bus component requirements.	R-508-09 Adopted 5-5-2009	Approved the award of a contract to purchase twenty five (25) sixty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$21,585,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-508-09 further authorized award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of negotiated changes to bus component requirements.
Additional Information and Relevant Legislation															
Resolution	Research Notes														
R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002	Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.														
R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006	Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.														
R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008	<p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric) transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06 matched the State's \$3,747,000 funding contribution equally with \$3,747,000 from Surtax or Surtax backed funding.</p>														
R-350-09 Adopted 4-7-2009	Approved the award of a contract to purchase thirteen (13) forty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$7,494,000 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-350-09 further authorized the award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of the use of non-federal funding sources, as well as negotiated changes to bus component requirements.														
R-508-09 Adopted 5-5-2009	Approved the award of a contract to purchase twenty five (25) sixty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$21,585,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-508-09 further authorized award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of negotiated changes to bus component requirements.														

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>R-508-09 Adopted 11-21-2010</p>	<p>Ratified the actions of the County Mayor or County Mayor's Designee, as authorized by Section 2-8.2.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, in approving the selection of Gillig LLC in the amount of \$3,254,904 for the purchase of five (5) Heavy Duty Transit Buses for Miami-Dade Transit Department. R-946-10 further authorized the use of the Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On July 17, 2008, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Ordinance No. 08-92, the Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP), creating an expedited process for certain capital projects to stimulate economic development and delegating authority to the Mayor or his designee to advertise and award construction contracts and professional service agreements subject to the Board ratification.</p> <p>Subsequently, on June 30, 2009, the BCC approved Ordinance No. 09-60, amending the ESP to provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extended the sunset provision to July 1, 2011; and Allowed the acquisition of goods and services funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) to be included under the ESP. <p>On January 22, 2010, the County Manager approved the purchase of five hybrid buses by accessing a competitively awarded contract from Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (LYNX). The first bus is scheduled to be delivered in August 2010. The remaining four buses were to be delivered in December 2010. The grant expired on May 31, 2011.</p> <p>The total cost of the five (5) hybrid buses is \$3,254,904, with a cost per bus of \$650,981. The National Clean Diesel Funding Assistance Program is providing \$731,850 in grant funds. This is the total maximum reimbursement for all five (5) buses. Pursuant to EPA, the grant contribution is up to 24.6% of the cost of each bus, not to exceed the total funding of \$731,850. If each hybrid bus receives \$146,370 in grant funding that amounts to 22% of the purchase cost.</p> <p>According to MDT, the purchase of these five (5) hybrid buses was previously anticipated as part of the bus replacement plan. The ARRA grant provides only part of the funding source. The remaining funding amount of \$2,523,054 is from MDT operating funds.</p> <p>On April 7, 2009 under Resolution No. 350-09, BCC approved the purchase of 13 hybrid buses for the cost of \$544,549 per bus, for a total cost of \$7,079,137. The acquisition under Resolution No. 350-09 was awarded as a bid waiver. Broward County issued an Invitation for Bid resulting in a competitive award to the low bidder; nevertheless, that acquisition is \$106,432 less per bus than this ratification.</p> <p>According to MDT, these buses are similar but have significant differences. The engines of the Gillig buses must meet the more stringent EPA 2010 emission standards which increases the cost. The 2009 hybrid bus purchase did not have to meet this requirement. Also, the body construction has improved materials in the structure (Gillig uses stainless steel and aluminum compared to carbon steel used by NABI). Additional improvements to the buses were the inclusion of the "mini" hybrid engine cooling package, break monitoring system, electric A/C, advanced power management system and composite flooring. These improvements along with the cost for the 2010 EPA engine and other modifications increased the price of the Gillig buses. In addition, the Gillig contract includes maintenance, training and operator orientation – items that are not included in the 2009 NABI contract.</p>
<p>R-386-12 Adopted 5-1-2012</p>	<p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide State funding in the amount of \$6,000,000 for the purchase of twelve (12) 60-foot, heavy-duty, low-floor, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for the South Miami-Dade Busway Service Expansion Project. R-386-12 further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$6,000,000 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> At this point in time, MDT operated 40-foot standard buses on the Busway. In the two years following, MDT would begin replacing the 40-foot buses with 60-foot, heavy-duty, low-floor, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses to be utilized on the Busway MAX. The main benefit of using 60-foot articulated buses was greater passenger capacity. Additionally, MDT planned to improve the peak headway of the Busway MAX, which had the highest ridership servicing the South Miami-Dade Busway with an approximate average of 7,800 weekday boardings. The peak headway was to be improved from 12 minutes to 10 minutes and the seating capacity was to increase by 170 seats per hour in the peak. Additional benefits of expanding this service included: reduced energy usage, supporting sustainability, improved system punctuality, reliability and improved ride quality for passengers.</p> <p>During the May 1, 2012 BCC meeting, the Commission expressed concerns about R-386-12 because on February 26, 2002 R-178-02 was adopted reaffirming the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses. It was noted that in 2002 the very long buses were almost empty yet the funding for maintaining</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>and operating these buses was exceedingly high.</p> <p>The Director of the Miami-Dade Transit Department (MDT) stated that the reason the department was recommending the purchase of the articulated buses was because of overcrowding on two key Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridors and that was more economical to run these articulated buses because of reduced labor and fuel costs as these were hybrid buses.</p> <p>The Commission pointed out that the size of the buses was problematic, because when they turned the corner they rode over the sidewalk. The MDT Director stated that the turning radius of these buses was adequate for the bus routes, and the department made sure that the buses could be driven safely ensuring that she would review the buses' turning radiuses to ensure they were adequate.</p> <p>R-387-12 Adopted 5-1-2012</p> <p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide State funding in the amount of \$9,000,000 for the purchase of eighteen (18) 60-foot, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for the Biscayne Enhanced Bus Service project. R-387-12 further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$9,000,000 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-448-14 directing the Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide quarterly reports to the Board of County Commissioners on ridership numbers for articulated buses run by Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>R-486-14 Adopted 6-3-2014</p> <p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide County Incentive Grant Program funding in the amount of \$5,225,000.00 for the purchase of eleven (11) 60-foot articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for an Enhanced Bus Service along the NW 27th Avenue Corridor from the Miami-Dade/Broward County Line (NW 215 Street and NW 27 Ave) to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) at the Miami International Airport (MIA). This was a multi-year Agreement providing State funding for the project in Fiscal Years (FY) 2014 and 2015.</p> <p>It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$5,225,000.00 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds for a total Agreement of \$10,450,000.00.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>On February 26, 2002, the Board adopted Resolution R-178-02, which instructed the administration to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses without receiving prior Board approval. As a result, waiver of Resolution 178-02 is also requested.</p>
<p>9A1 150797</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR, OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF HOMESTEAD FOR A SPAY/NEUTER FACILITY FOR A NOMINAL FEE AND WITH OPERATING COSTS TO THE COUNTY APPROXIMATING \$900,000.00 FOR THE THREE-YEAR TERM OF THE AGREEMENT AND SIX MONTH RENEWAL TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION TERMS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes a License Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the City of Homestead (City). More specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the use of approximately 70 feet of the rear parking lot which is located near the City's Harris Field Park baseball fields in order for the County to locate a double wide trailer, which is approximately 60 feet by 24 feet including a four (4) foot wide handicap ramp. The trailer will be utilized by the County as a spay/neuter clinic; and • Authorizes a license term of three (3) years, plus a six (6) month renewal option period. <p>As part of the County's No Kill Plan, the Animal Services Department (ASD) would like to offer low-cost spay/neuter services, surgeries and other related services for County residents in an effort to reduce stray and abandoned pets throughout the County. Accessibility to these services for residents in the southern portion of the County is limited due to the distance to other similar services provided and funded by the County. The services will be provided in a portable trailer that can be stationed in the City in the Harris Field Park's parking lot, to allow better accessibility to residents. The City will be responsible for the water, sewer and electrical costs.</p> <p>Term: Three (3) years, plus an additional six (6) month renewal option period.</p> <p>Effective Dates: Commencing on the first day of the next calendar month following the effective date of the resolution by the Board of County Commissioner approving this Agreement.</p> <p>License Fee: The annual license fee for the proposed Agreement will be \$1.00 for the initial term of the Agreement. The license fee for the renewal option period will be determined by the City.</p> <p>Conditions of use: ASD responsibility includes the maintenance of the exterior and interior of the trailer, including air conditioning, plumbing, roof, fire equipment, janitorial and custodial services and inspection; trash disposal; and for the initial costs of the water, sewer</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>and electrical connections. The City's responsibilities include the maintenance of the land around the trailer, along with the monthly utility costs for water, sewer, and electrical.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The County will pay to the City \$1.00 annually for the use of a portion of the parking lot during the initial term. The license fee for the renewal option period will be determined at that time by the City.</p> <p>The fiscal impact to the County for the remainder of this fiscal year will be approximately \$280,000.00. For the following fiscal years, annual maintenance and operation costs are estimated at \$300,000.00. The funds will be derived from the General Fund.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Miami-Dade County Animal Services Spay and Neuter Program:</u> Miami-Dade Animal Services offers spay and neuter surgeries for Miami-Dade County residents at a reduced price thanks to donations to the Animal Services Trust Fund. The cost of spay and neuter services are \$30 for dogs and \$15 for cats.</p> <p>In partnership with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, the Miami-Dade County Community Spay/Neuter Clinic increases the availability of free or low-cost pet sterilization surgeries in our community. Through the Spay/Neuter Surgery "Voucher" Program, participating licensed Miami-Dade County veterinarians and veterinary clinics are subsidized for the cost of performing sterilization surgeries for the cats and dogs of income-qualified County residents. Pet owners who meet income eligibility can also have their pet spayed or neutered for free at Animal Services.</p> <p>Additionally, the Trap-Neuter-Return (TNR) program is an effective and humane method used to stabilize community cat populations. Over time the stabilized population declines resulting in the humane reduction in free roaming cat populations. The TNR program is available free of charge to all Miami-Dade County residents.</p> <p><i>The TNR service includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sterilization • Rabies vaccine • FRCPC (feline booster shot) • Delivery back to the community <p><u>Additional Information on Spay and Neuter Legislation:</u> On July 3, 2012, the BCC, through R-583-12, directed the Mayor to develop a program with the goal of the County's Animal Services Department (ASD) becoming a "No Kill" shelter. At the forefront of the No Kill strategy is the critical, unmet need for free and low cost sterilization services for privately owned dogs and cats, as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD's rescued animals.</p> <p>On June 4, 2013, the BCC adopted the No Kill Implementation report developed by ASD at the direction of the Mayor. The FY 2013-14 budget included an additional \$4 million for ASD to continue its development of No Kill initiatives. During the policy discussion for use of the funding, staff was directed to work with the private veterinary community in implementing one of the most critical components of the No Kill plan by increasing access to spay and neuter services in our community.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC, through R-441-14, directed the Mayor to implement, within existing funding, a program for qualified, low-income County residents to obtain a voucher for spay/neuter services from the local veterinary community for their pet dogs or cats.</p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the BCC, through R-623-14, authorized award of a grant to the South Florida Veterinary Foundation (SFVF) for the provision of low-cost spay and neuter services to income-qualified dog and cat owners. The SFVF will work with all veterinary clinics and hospitals in Miami-Dade County through a voucher system that will provide a reimbursement to veterinarians performing sterilization surgeries. The Resolution, directed the Mayor to enter into an agreement with the SFVF to provide up to \$200,000 in support of this program to meet the unmet demand for low cost spay/neuter surgery by utilizing a network of qualified local veterinarians. Funding for this grant will be provided by the ASD. The initial grant award is recommended at \$100,000. Authority is also requested for an additional grant of \$100,000 to be approved by the Mayor upon completion of the initial grant award.</p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the BCC, through R-1045-14, waived competitive bidding procedures for purchase of goods and services pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and approved the award of Contract No. BW9805-0/15 Operations of the County's South Dade Animal Services Clinic (Clinic) with the Humane Society of Greater Miami, Dade County Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Adopt-A-Pet and Pet Rescue, Inc. (Humane Society), a Florida not-for-profit corporation, for the County-owned property located at the South Dade Government Center. In accordance with R-583-12, this Contract will increase ASD's surgical capacity and allow for strategic use of the Clinic to offer free high-volume spay/neuter services exclusively for income-qualified owners of privately-owned dogs and cats as well as free-roaming community cats and ASD rescued animals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Humane Society has been providing services at the South Dade Government Center for over six years. The Humane Society had a lease that covered the use of the land and trailer at this location. In addition to the surgical services to be provided, this Operations and Management agreement will continue to allow the Humane Society to utilize the land and trailer on the site under similar requirements as the now expired lease. The Humane Society will continue to offer spay and neuter services for paying customers at the Clinic. While this Contract was submitted as a bid waiver, a Request for Information (RFI) process was conducted to acquire information and feedback from animal care organizations.</i>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The fiscal impact to ASD for the one-year agreement term for the spay-neuter services is \$600,000; however, the Humane Society will pay an annual operations and management fee to Miami-Dade County for facility maintenance of \$24,516. The funding from this agreement will only support the income-qualified spay and neuter services. The County funding will provide for approximately 7,400 surgeries, of which cats will be 45% and dogs 55%, exclusively to income-qualified pet owners. The Humane Society will continue to perform approximately 5,600 surgeries for an overall goal of 13,000 surgeries. The remaining balance of funds will be utilized for additional surgeries.</i> <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-417-15, approving an amendment to Resolution No. R-623-14 to include fee waived sterilization services for community cats and establishing the authority of the Animal Services Department (ASD) to prohibit participation of subcontractors not meeting standards of performance. The amendment related to manner of performance provides the right to rescind, revoke or refuse subcontractor participation based on failure to perform in a satisfactory manner.</p>
<p>9A2 150993</p>	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE ACTION OF THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE IN APPLYING FOR EARLY HEAD START CHILDCARE PARTNERSHIP GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,106,554.00 FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AND IN EXECUTING MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH SUB-GRANTEES; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE (1) TO EXECUTE SUB-GRANT AGREEMENTS AND (2) TO RECEIVE AND EXPEND FEDERAL FUNDS AWARDED; AND APPROVING WAIVER OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the action of the County Mayor in applying for grant funds from the United States Department of Health and Human Services in the amount of \$4,106,554.00 for the Miami-Dade County Community Action and Human Services Department Early Head Start Childcare Partnership Program (Program) and to enter sub-grant agreements with the agencies listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Balls of Fire Paradise Academy, Inc.; Early Childhood Professional Services Inc. DBA Bethany Child Development Center II; Crystal Learning Center, Inc.; Decroly Learning Child Care Center, Inc.; Kidz Tyme Learning Academy LLC; Memorial Temple Missionary Baptist Church Inc. DBA Memorial Temple Early Childhood Education Center; Play and Read Academy Corp; Room 2 Bloom Academy LLC; Room 2 Bloom LLC; Shining Light Childcare Development Center Inc.; and The Association for Retarded Citizens, South Florida, Inc. AKA The ARC of South Florida. <p><i>During the initial selection process 14 sub-grantees were selected and approved in the original grant submission however, three (3) of the sub-grantee's that were selected and approved failed to meet minimum square footage and adult child ratio requirements. Two (2) additional sub-grantee's will be selected in accordance with the selection process outlined in the federal grant submission and authorized by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). The new sub-grantee's are subject to the approval of DHHS.</i></p> <p>Miami-Dade County Community Action and Human Services Department (Department) proposes to serve a total of 240 Early Head Start Childcare Partnership infants and toddlers in various locations throughout the County. All of the children will be served in 13 contracted private child care centers.</p> <p>The Early Head Start Child Care Partnership Program is a grant which is separate and different from the County's existing Head Start and Early Head Start Program and which resulted from new funding initiatives from the Administration of Children and Families, Office of Head Start. ACF has set aside \$500 million for new Early Head Start-Childcare Partnerships. These grants allow new and existing Early Head Start programs to partner with local child care centers and family child care providers serving infants and toddlers from low-income families which are not current Early Head Start delegate agencies. ACF is supporting communities as they expand high quality early learning opportunities to infants and toddlers through Early Head Start Child Care Partnerships.</p> <p>The primary goal of the grant is to enhance the quality of early care in the community. The grant requires that Early Head Start Programs partner with community-based early child care centers to improve the quality of these centers. The quality improvement consists of training, professional development, more stringent requirements, intensive monitoring, and the opportunities to provide caregivers with higher wages. Many of the new early child care partners do not, as of yet, meet the standards that traditional Early Head Start delegates must meet. This grant provides for an eighteen-month start-up period to bring the child care partners to Early Head Start standards.</p> <p>Miami-Dade County Community Action and Human Services Department Head Start/Early Head Start used a systematic and comprehensive process in the selection of early childcare partners. The grantee researched potential partners for licensing status, eligibility for state-funded subsidies, and a sound business model. The grantee then evaluated the child care sites for health, safety, facilities, and the provision of comprehensive services. A stratified approach was used to select the providers in the targeted catchment areas (determined by ACF). The selected early childcare centers were submitted in the grant proposal and approved by the Office of Head Start.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</p> <p>The federal grant requires a non-federal match of 20 percent of the total cost of the program, and limits development and administrative costs to a maximum of 15 percent of the total cost of the program, including the non-federal share. There is no anticipated fiscal impact to the Miami-Dade County general fund for the provision of these services. The Non-Federal Share of \$1,026,638.00 will be proportionately divided among the Subgrantees, and the Department will provide a portion of this amount through in-kind cost allocated salaries.</p> <p>The Department intends to seek, and expects to receive, a waiver from the United States Department of Health and Human Services for \$201,185.00 of the \$1,026,638.00 total non-federal share. Of the \$4,106,554.00 grant, \$1,005,929.00 is dedicated to start-up costs. The remaining \$3,100,625.00 will fund hiring of new Early Head Start staff, training of new Early Head Start staff and sub-grantee staff and teachers, in addition to funding 240 new Early Head Start – Childcare Partnership grant slots for infants and toddlers.</p>
<p>11A1 151176</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-51-10 REGARDING ALLOCATION OF \$3,704,147.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NUMBER 249 - "PRESERVATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND EXPANSION OF</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	HOME OWNERSHIP" TO RUDG, LLC TO FUND CONSTRUCTION OF PORTO ALLEGRE - EDIFICIO CAMACHO RENTAL APARTMENTS TO DECREASE ALLOCATION BY \$543,546.00 DUE TO PROJECT COMPLETION FOR LESS THAN BUDGETED AMOUNT; AND RECAPTURING THOSE FUNDS FOR FUTURE REALLOCATION TO ANOTHER ELIGIBLE PROJECT IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 5 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151030]
Notes	The proposed resolution amends Resolution No. R-51-10 regarding the allocation of \$3,704,147 from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (BBC GOB) Project Number 249- Preservation of Affordable Housing Units and Expansion of Home Ownership, to RUDG, LLC, to fund construction of Porto Allegre – Edificio Camacho Rental Apartments to decrease the allocation by \$543,546 due to project completion for less than budgeted amount; and recapturing those funds for future reallocation to another eligible project in Commission District 5.
11A2 151156 Deferral Requested	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONSULT WITH THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HOMELESS TRUST, THE CITY OF MIAMI AND THE MIAMI DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY TO IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL PLACEMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS AND TO CONSIDER THE FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING A PORTABLE BATHROOM PROGRAM IN THE DOWNTOWN MIAMI AREA, AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151036]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to consult with the Miami-Dade County Homeless Trust Board, the City of Miami and the Miami Downtown Development Authority to identify additional placement opportunities for individuals to transition out of homelessness and to consider the feasibility of implementing a portable bathroom program in the downtown Miami area.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor to prepare and submit a report within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and to place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>The County currently administers funding from a variety of sources, including the local option food and beverage tax and Continuum of Care funding from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, to assist homeless individuals with transitioning out of homelessness.</p>
11A3 150977	RESOLUTION AFFIRMING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S SUPPORT OF THE "READ TO LEARN BOOKS FOR FREE PROGRAM"; AUTHORIZING THE USE OF SPACE AT THE STEPHEN P. CLARK GOVERNMENT CENTER AND THE SOUTH DADE GOVERNMENT CENTER FOR THE PLACEMENT OF BOOK COLLECTION BINS FOR BOOK DONATIONS TO THE "READ TO LEARN BOOKS FOR FREE PROGRAM" FOR A PERIOD OF THREE MONTHS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE ANY NECESSARY AGREEMENTS WITH THE CHILDREN'S TRUST AND/OR MIAMI-DADE COLLEGE TO EFFECTUATE BOOK DONATION DRIVE, SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH THE "READ TO LEARN BOOKS FOR FREE PROGRAM" STAFF AND ORGANIZERS TO PROMOTE THE DONATION OF BOOKS THROUGH THE COUNTY'S EXISTING MEDIA PLATFORMS AND IDENTIFY WAYS THAT THE COUNTY CAN FURTHER PARTICIPATE IN THE "READ TO LEARN BOOKS FOR FREE PROGRAM"
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the use of space in the lobbies of the Stephen P. Clark Government Center and the South Dade Government Center for the placement of book collection bins for Read to Learn for a period of three months from the date of placement of the bins, authorizes the staff of Read to Learn to periodically access the bins and collect books placed in the bins for donation, and authorizes the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to negotiate and execute any necessary agreement(s) with the Center and/or the Trust to effectuate a book donation drive at the Stephen P. Clark Government Center and the South Dade Government Center, provided such agreements are at no cost, or nominal cost, to the County, and provided such agreements are subject to ratification by this Board; • Directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to work with Read to Learn to place the book collection bins in conspicuous and readily accessible places in the lobbies of the Stephen P. Clark Government Center and the South Dade Government Center within 10 business days of the effective date of this Resolution; • Directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to work with the staff of Read to Learn in order to promote the donation of books to Read to Learn through the County's existing media platforms including the weekly employee electronic newsletter, the County's main website, and the County's social media platform including Facebook and Twitter; and • Directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to work with the organizers of Read to Learn in order to identify ways that the County can further participate in, and support, Read to Learn, such as the possible placement of book collection bins in Miami-Dade County branch libraries.
11A4 151026	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO STUDY THE FEASIBILITY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE "CURE VIOLENCE HEALTH MODEL" IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to study the feasibility of the implementation of the "Cure Violence Health Model" in Miami-Dade County, including but not limited to issues relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determination of the most appropriate geographical areas of concentration; • Engagement of community leaders • Identification of community partners, including law enforcement and hospital response partners; • Technical assistance; and • Potential fiscal impact of implementation. <p>The proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to prepare and submit a report detailing the findings, results and recommendations of the feasibility study to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Founded in 2000 by Gary Slutkin, M.D., Professor of Epidemiology and International Health at the University of Illinois Chicago School of Public Health, the "Cure Violence" organization's mission is to reduce violence globally using disease control and

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>behavior change methods;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Cure Violence Health Model uses the same three components that are used to reverse epidemic disease outbreaks, interrupting transmission of the disease, reducing the risk of the highest risk, and changing community norms; and • The goals of the organization are to implement the Cure Violence model in urban areas around the world and shift the thinking, policy, and practice as it relates to violence such that it is seen primarily as health issue.
11A5 151168	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A PLAN FOR THE USE OF GOLF CARTS ON COUNTY ROADWAYS WITHIN MUNICIPALITIES WHICH HAVE ALLOWED GOLF CART USE ON MUNICIPAL ROADS AND TO REPORT TO THE BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150945]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to prepare a plan for allowing the use of golf carts on County roadways within the Municipalities. In devising this plan, the Mayor or designee will consider the criteria in section 316.212 of the Florida Statutes, and will consult with the Florida Department of Transportation and these Municipalities.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or designee to provide a report containing the plan identified in Section 1 to the Board within 120 days and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. This report and plan will, at a minimum, (1) list the County roads within the Municipalities which the Mayor or designee recommend be designated for golf cart use, (2) include a safety education component for any golf cart users, (3) require minimum safety standards for any golf carts to be used on these County roads, and (4) include any other restrictions or conditions which the Mayor or designee recommend be placed upon such golf cart use on these County roads.</p> <p><i>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to require that the Mayor's report include a safety education component for golf cart users and minimum safety standards for any golf carts to be used on County roads within municipalities which have authorized golf cart use.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information- Section 316.212 of the Florida Statutes: Operation of golf carts on certain roadways:</u> <i>The operation of a golf cart upon the public roads or streets of this state is prohibited except as provided herein:</i></p> <p>(1) <i>A golf cart may be operated only upon a county road that has been designated by a county, or a municipal street that has been designated by a municipality, for use by golf carts. Prior to making such a designation, the responsible local governmental entity must first determine that golf carts may safely travel on or cross the public road or street, considering factors including the speed, volume, and character of motor vehicle traffic using the road or street. Upon a determination that golf carts may be safely operated on a designated road or street, the responsible governmental entity shall post appropriate signs to indicate that such operation is allowed.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information - Miramar, FL Ordinance Regarding the Use of Golf Carts On Local Roadways:</u> Section 20-68 of the Code of the City of Miramar allows for the operation of golf carts on certain roadways within the City. The ordinance states that golf carts that meet the standards of Article V, and are operated by persons aged fourteen (14) and above, may be operated during the hours between sunrise and sunset in permitted areas within permitted residential neighborhoods designated by appropriate signage for golf cart usage.</p>
11A6 150979	RESOLUTION DIRECTING INCLUSION OF CONTRACTUAL TERM REQUIRING APPOINTMENT OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVE IN AGREEMENTS ALLOCATING COUNTY FUNDS TO SUPPORT MAJOR CAPITAL AND/OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE AN ANNUAL WRITTEN REPORT ON THE PROGRESS AND USE OF COUNTY FUNDS IN SUCH PROJECTS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs that all contracts, grants or other agreements that are subject to the Board's approval and allocating \$1,000,000.00 or more in County funds to any capital and/or economic development construction projects, where Miami-Dade County is not the legal owner of the facilities being constructed nor directly controls the projects' construction, will include a term requiring the appointment of a County employee representative to monitor the capital and/or economic development projects and use of County funds in such projects. Such contractual term will provide the County employee representative access to project financial records, access to project construction sites and such other access and information to allow the County employee representative to fully monitor the progress of the projects and the use of allocated County funds supporting the projects.</p> <p>Using the observations and work of the appointed County employee representative, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will, on no less than an annual basis, provide a written report to the Board on the progress and use of County funds in the construction projects that are subject to this resolution.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on May 12, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee questioned whether projects could be charged with the cost of hiring an individual to monitor projects expressed concerns over who would pay for the salary of the new position and questioned how it would be possible for an individual being paid for by a private company would still be considered a County employee.</i> • <i>Director of the Office of Budget Management explained that currently GOB staff is assigned to monitor GOB projects.</i> • <i>The CAO clarified that the proposed resolution would apply to any capital project, in which the County was not the owner of the facility, in excess of \$1 million.</i>
11A7 151210	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT RECOMMENDING ALTERNATIVES TO ADJUST THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER AND PERSONNEL STAFFING NUMBERS TO MATCH CURRENT NATIONAL AVERAGES AND STAFFING LEVELS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150997]

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to prepare and submit a report which will include recommended alternatives to adjust the Miami-Dade County Police Department's (MDPD) law enforcement officer staffing numbers to match national averages or staffing levels from fiscal year 2000-01. The review should evaluate the current responsibilities of the MDPD's law enforcement officers with respect to their Sheriff's duties, special investigatory duties, contract municipality obligations, and how such duties may affect the MDPD's ability to fulfill its responsibilities to County residents.</p> <p>The Mayor or the Mayor's designee is further charged with examining the impact of an increase in countywide population with a decrease in the number of budgeted sworn officers and evaluate whether a decrease in the number of law enforcement officers negatively impacts the ability of the MDPD's officers to efficiently carry out their job functions. The Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide the report to the Board no later than 60 days from the effective date of this resolution and will place a completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p>The Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Criminal Justice Information Services Division annually publishes a "Crime in the United States" report which details the number of full-time law enforcement officers per 1,000 inhabitants across the United States. According to the FBI's 2013 report, the average number of full-time law enforcement officers nationwide per 1,000 inhabitants for County police agencies is 2.7 and the average number of full-time law enforcement officers per 1,000 inhabitants in similarly populated areas as the County within the South Atlantic region is 3.1. The ratio of MDPD officers per 1,000 inhabitants in the unincorporated areas they serve is just 2.4 and to be able to match the FBI's reported average of law enforcement officers per 1,000 inhabitants among County agencies, MDPD would need to fill an additional 600 to 800 officer positions.</p> <p>Although the overall Miami-Dade County population has increased by 16 percent since 2000-2001, the number of budgeted sworn police officer positions has declined by 10 percent from 3,188 to 2,864 during the same time period.</p> <p>Additional Information: During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to request that a report be submitted by Deputy Mayor along with the appropriate departmental staff recommending alternatives to adjust the Miami-Dade Police Department's law enforcement officers and personnel staffing numbers to match current national averages and staffing levels; and to ensure that incoming police classes are diverse.</p>
11A8 151008	<p>RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING COUNTY POLICY TO REQUIRE THE COUNTY TO UNDERTAKE CERTAIN MEASURES TO MINIMIZE NEGATIVE AESTHETIC IMPACT TO THE PUBLIC PRIOR TO CONVEYANCE OF AN EASEMENT OR LICENSE FOR THE INSTALLATION OF UTILITY LINES AND EQUIPMENT ON COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY SUCH MEASURES IN ANY AGENDA ITEM AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF SAME</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes County policy that prior to conveyance of an easement or license to any utility company for the installation of utility lines or equipment on County-owned property, reasonable attempts and measures should be undertaken by the County to minimize negative aesthetic impact to the public of such installations including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requiring the placement of such equipment and lines underground or in less visible locations if same are available, practical, and feasible; • Review of any existing development, as well as any potential development to be constructed in the immediate area, to consider compatibility with the proposed installation; and • Consideration of potential means by which such lines and equipment may be concealed or camouflaged, such as an architectural feature and/or vegetation cover. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyze the foregoing factors, and to engage in negotiation with such utility companies prior to such conveyance, in an effort to minimize negative visual impact to the public wherever possible; and • Identify the reasonable attempts and measures undertaken by the County administration to comply with the Board's policy set forth in this resolution in any agenda item conveying an easement or license which would allow the placement of utility lines and equipment on County-owned property.
11A11 150998	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE COST AND FEASIBILITY OF BUILDING A NOISE BUFFERING WALL ALONG THE NORTH AND SOUTH SIDES OF IVES DAIRY ROAD (COUNTY ROAD 854) ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS BOUNDED BY HIGHLAND LAKES BOULEVARD TO THE WEST AND NORTHEAST 26TH AVENUE TO THE EAST AND POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to prepare and submit a report regarding: (1) the cost and feasibility of constructing a sound buffering wall along the north and south sides of Ives Dairy Road (County Road 854) adjacent to residential areas bounded by Highland Lakes Boulevard to the west and Northeast 26th Avenue to the east; and (2) the availability of funding from all sources including the Florida Department of Transportation and other partners in transportation.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to provide the report regarding the issues identified to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>
11A13 150996	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ESTABLISH A BUSINESS FRIENDLY WEB-BASED PROGRAM FOR THE PURCHASE OF BULK TRANSIT PASSES AND TO MEET WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF CITY YEAR TO PROVIDE FOR MARKETING ASSISTANCE TO PROMOTE BULK PURCHASING OF TRANSIT PASSES BY AREA BUSINESSES IN EXCHANGE FOR THE CONTINUATION OF COMPLIMENTARY TRANSIT PASSES FOR CITY YEAR WORKERS IN FISCAL YEAR 2015-16</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish a business friendly web-based program for the purchase of bulk transit passes; and • Meet with representatives of City Year to provide for marketing assistance to promote bulk purchasing of transit passes by area businesses in exchange for the continuation of complimentary transit passes for City Year workers in Fiscal Year 2015-16. <p>Greater participation by area employers in providing transit passes as an employee benefit would serve to reduce traffic congestion and increase revenues for Miami-Dade Transit. City Year workers are college graduates with excellent communication skills who are capable of making compelling presentations about the advantages of using public bus and rail services and for several years, Miami-Dade Transit has provided complimentary transit passes to the young professionals of City Year in exchange for voluntary services in support of Miami-Dade Transit.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>City Year is an education-focused nonprofit organization based in Boston, Massachusetts, USA that partners with high need public schools to provide full-time targeted student interventions. In communities across the United States and through two international affiliates, City Year's teams of 17 to 24 year old AmeriCorps members support students by focusing on attendance, behavior, and course performance through in-class support, 1-on-1 and small group tutoring, mentoring, and after school programs that keep kids in school and on track to success. The organization's culture emphasizes the values of leadership, diversity and community service.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1006-14, directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide up to 212 transit passes per month for one year to City Year to be distributed to its volunteers serving within Miami-Dade County in exchange for no less than 3,500 hours of volunteer services to benefit Miami-Dade County and to place the Miami-Dade Transit logo on City Year materials.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>During the BCC meeting on November 5, 2014, R-1006-14 was amended to replace the language in the last sentence regarding the effective date to read as follows: ... "This resolution shall be effective upon the earlier of one ten days after the date of adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, only upon override by this Board or to approval by the County Mayor of the Board resolution and the filing of the approval with the Clerk of The Board." The amendment to the effective date would be based on whether the County Mayor vetoed or approved the item.</i> <p>On July 16, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-639-13, directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide up to 203 transit passes per month for one year to City Year to be distributed to its volunteers serving within Miami-Dade County in exchange for no less than 3,500 hours of volunteer services to benefit Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On September 4, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-711-12, directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide up to 185 transit passes per month for one year to City Year to be distributed to its corps members serving within Miami-Dade County in exchange for no less than 3,803 hours of volunteer services in furtherance of beautification or other related services at or near transit facilities and placement of Miami-Dade Transit logos on City Year materials, including jackets, vests, and flyers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>During the Regional Transportation Committee meeting on July 9, 2012, R-711-12 was amended to revise the language in the title and body of the resolution to increase the number of transit passes provided to the City Year volunteers per month from 180 to 185, and to increase the number of volunteer service hours from 3,700 to 3,803.</i>
11A14 151046	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO POST ON THE COUNTY'S CALENDAR THE DATE AND TIME OF EACH GRANT NEGOTIATION MEETING WITH RECIPIENTS OF A BOARD APPROVED ALLOCATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 FUNDS, RECORD ALL NEGOTIATION SESSIONS WITH SUCH POTENTIAL GRANT RECIPIENTS, INCLUDE A MEMBER OF THE STAFF THAT PROVIDES SUPPORT TO THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE IN EACH NEGOTIATION SESSION, AND REPORT TO THE BOARD THE DATE AND TIME OF EACH MEETING WHICH HAS OCCURRED WITH EACH POTENTIAL GRANT RECIPIENT OF PROJECT 124 FUNDS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post on the county's calendar the date and time of any negotiation sessions with recipients of a board approved allocation of Project 124 funds; • Record all such negotiation sessions; • Include a member of the staff that provides support to the Building Better Communities Citizen's Advisory Committee in each negotiation session; and • In the requisite report to the Board prescribed pursuant to Resolution No. R-123-15, include the date and time of each negotiation session with each potential grant recipient of Project 124 funds from the date the Board allocated Project 124 funds to the potential grant recipient to the date set forth in Resolution No. R-123-15 for completion of the negotiation of a grant agreement.
11A15 151027	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT AND CONTRACT WITH A CONSULTANT TO PREPARE A FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 163, PART III, FLORIDA STATUTES FOR THE GOULDS AREA WITHIN COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 9 EXCLUDING ANY PORTION OF THE VILLAGE OF CUTLER BAY WHICH AREA IS GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY THE BLACK CREEK CANAL C-1 FROM SOUTHWEST 126TH COURT TO SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY, THEN SOUTH DIXIE HIGHWAY TO SOUTHWEST 112TH AVENUE, THEN SOUTHWEST 112TH AVENUE TO THE BLACK CREEK CANAL C-1, THEN THE BLACK CREEK CANAL C-1 TO THE FLORIDA TURNPIKE; ON THE SOUTH BY SOUTHWEST 232ND STREET; ON THE EAST BY THE FLORIDA TURNPIKE, THEN SOUTHWEST 224TH TERRACE, THEN SOUTHWEST 224TH TERRACE TO SOUTHWEST 112TH AVENUE, THEN SOUTHWEST 112TH AVENUE; AND ON THE WEST BY SOUTHWEST 127TH AVENUE UNTIL SOUTHWEST 224TH STREET, THEN SOUTHWEST 126TH AVENUE UNTIL SOUTHWEST 228TH STREET, THEN OLD DIXIE HIGHWAY; AND DESIGNATING THE SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR PAYMENT OF</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	CONSULTING FEES TO BE THE UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA NON-DEPARTMENTAL ALLOCATION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to competitively select and contract with a consultant to prepare a Finding of Necessity study to assist in the determination of whether slum or blight exists in the Goulds Area for purposes of the possible future consideration of creating a Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) for the area.</p> <p>The funding source for the study to be performed pursuant to this resolution will be the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area non-departmental allocation.</p> <p>Additional Information: There are currently 14 CRAs in Miami-Dade County, ten municipal (Florida City, Homestead, Miami Beach, Midtown, North Miami, North Miami Beach, Omni District, Opa-Locka, Southeast Overtown/Park West, South Miami), four unincorporated (Naranja Lakes, NW 7th Avenue Corridor, West Perrine, NW 79th Street Corridor) and one proposed (Metrozoo/Richmond Heights).</p>
11A16 151029	RESOLUTION DECLARING APPROXIMATELY 48.87 ACRES OF VACANT COUNTY-OWNED LAND LOCATED AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SW 127TH AVENUE AND SW 272ND STREET IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY SURPLUS, WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD AND APPROVING THE SALE TO SUNCAP PROPERTY GROUP, LLC FOR THE APPRAISED MARKET VALUE \$4,446,778.00 AS AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CONVEYANCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.045, FLORIDA STATUTES; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THIS BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS AND CONTRACT FOR SALE AND PURCHASE, TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, AND TO COMPLETE ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SUCH TRANSACTION
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declares approximately 48.87 acres of vacant County-owned land located at the southwest corner of SW 127th Avenue and SW 272nd Street in Unincorporated Miami-Dade County surplus; Waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by Planning Advisory Board; and Approves the sale to SunCap Property Group, LLC for the appraised market value of \$4,446, 778 as an economic development conveyance pursuant to Section 125.045, Florida Statutes. <p>The proceeds will be used to support the economic development in the area of the former Homestead Air Reserve Base, including but not limited to infrastructure improvements in the area, or for planning, marketing, and development of the EDC Premises.</p> <p>SunCap Property Group, LLC (SunCap) is one of the principal developers of new facilities for Fedex Ground Package Systems, Inc. (Fedex) and has past experience in building and leasing new facilities to Fedex on a long term basis. SunCap expressed its desire and intent to purchase the Property by its letter dated December 2, 2014, in order to construct and maintain a new warehouse and distribution center for Fedex at the Property that will increase its scope and efficiency in the southern half of the County and in exchange for the right to purchase the Property, SunCap would be obligated to make certain economic investments in Miami-Dade County at the Property, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction and operation of a new facility on the Property containing a minimum of 150,000 square feet; Expenditure of a minimum of \$15,000,000.00 to construct the facility and related infrastructure; and The creation, and maintenance for a 15 year period, of at least 75 new, skilled full-time (or full-time equivalent) employees, with an average salary of \$35,000.00, among other terms and conditions, all as reflected in the Declarations of Restrictions. <p>The purchase price for the property is \$4,446,778.00, the higher value of 1) the Property Appraiser's market value of \$4,446,778.00, 2) the appraised market value set forth in an appraisal provided to the County in the amount of \$3,400,000.00, limiting the use of the property to industrial use, and 3) the appraised market value set forth in an appraisal provided to the County in the amount of \$4,225,000.00, premised on an assumption of highest and best use (rather than an industrial limitation).</p> <p>Additional Information SunCap Property Group, LLC was incorporated in 2009 and is based in Charlotte, North Carolina with additional offices in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and Denver, Colorado. SunCap Property Group is a national commercial real estate development, investment and advisory firm specializing in the development of single-tenant, net lease "build-to-suit" facilities for corporate clientele, in the acquisition of institutional grade real estate in key markets and in providing corporate real estate advisory services to clients across North America. As a "user-friendly" build-to-suit development company, SunCap Property Group is driven to develop cost competitive quality projects that enhance the client's work environment, maximize their operating efficiencies and improve their bottom line.</p>
11A17 151158	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY OF CREATING A SYSTEM OF BLUEWAYS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150995]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to prepare a report studying the feasibility of developing a blueway system, similar to the County's greenway system, utilizing the County's existing waterways to create new or improved recreational or transportation opportunities for County residents and visitors. The County Mayor or designee will provide the report to the Board within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed feasibility report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><i>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to allow 180 days for submission of the report instead of the original 90 days.</i></p>
11A18 150834	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT ON THE EXPANSION, REMOVAL, MAINTENANCE, AND/OR ADDITION OF TARGETED URBAN AREAS AND THE EFFORTS OF THE TASKFORCE ON URBAN ECONOMIC REVITALIZATION OR SUCCESSOR COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, BOARD OR TRUST TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN THE TARGETED URBAN AREAS

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor to prepare and submit a report on the expansion, removal, maintenance and/or addition of Targeted Urban Areas and the efforts of the Taskforce on Urban Economic Revitalization or successor County department, agency board or trust to promote economic development in the Targeted Urban Areas.</p> <p>The County Mayor designee will provide the report to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of this Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> Miami-Dade County's 18 Neighborhood Targeted Urban Areas (TUA) are listed below: Carol City TUA; Coconut Grove TUA; Florida City TUA; Goulds TUA; Leisure City TUA; Liberty City TUA; Little Haiti TUA; Model City Brownsville TUA; Naranja TUA; North Miami 7th Avenue Corridor TUA; North Miami Biscayne Blvd Corridor TUA; North Miami Downtown TUA Corridor; North Miami West Dixie Highway Corridor TUA; NW 27th Avenue Corridor TUA; NW 183rd St Corridor TUA; Opalocka TUA; Overtown TUA; Perrine TUA; Princeton TUA; Richmond Heights TUA; South Miami TUA; Homestead TUA; and West Little River TUA;</p>
<p>11A19 150835</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REVISIT THE MIAMI-DADE BLUE RIBBON ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS TITLED "BREAKING THE CYCLE: REHABILITATION AND JOB TRAINING IN COUNTY JAILS" AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN UPDATED REPORT REGARDING THE STATUS OF INITIATIVES IMPLEMENTED PURSUANT TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN SUCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT, AND RECOMMENDING ADDITIONAL ACTIONS AND INITIATIVES WHICH MAY FURTHER ACCOMPLISH THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to revisit the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Final Report dated March 28, 2008; and • The Status Report dated June 6, 2013. <p>The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee is further directed to prepare and submit an updated report to advise the Board regarding the status of initiatives implemented pursuant to recommendations in the Final Report and the Status Report and recommending additional actions and initiatives which may further accomplish the recommendations in the Final Report of the Advisory Committee. Additionally, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide a written report to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On November 1, 2005, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1270-05, created a Blue Ribbon Advisory Committee (Advisory Committee) to provide the Board with recommendations for additional programs to facilitate the reentry of inmates into the community and to thereby reduce recidivism rates. On March 28, 2008, the Advisory Committee submitted its Final Report to the Board, entitled "Breaking the Cycle: Rehabilitation & Job Training in County Jails" (Final Report) providing a roadmap for overcoming challenges of reducing recidivism and contained 22 general and specific recommendations to facilitate the reentry of inmates and thereby reduce recidivism rates.</p> <p>On June 3, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-675-08, directed the County Mayor to apply for, receive and expend any and all grant monies made available under the Second Chance Act of 2007 for local reentry programs of the type recommended in the Final Report.</p> <p>On April 7, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-321-09, created the Miami-Dade Re-entry Council (Council) in order to allow the County Mayor to apply for, receive, and expend grant funds from the federal government pursuant to the Second Chance Act of 2007.</p> <p>On November 8, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-949-12, directed the County Mayor to review the Final Report and to provide the Board an updated report advising the Board of the status of implemented recommendations, and to inform the Board of additional recommendations developed to accomplish the recommendations in the Final Report.</p> <p>On June 6, 2013, the Mayor issued a report that informed this Board of the status of initiatives implemented pursuant to the recommendations in the Final Report and advised that the Council will reconvene and should consider developing updated recommendations to further enhance the original recommendations in the Final Report (the Status Report).</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, the committee asked if grants had been applied for to which the division director of the Small Business Development Division responded that they were in the process of applying for three grants.</p>
<p>11A20 150837</p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT REPORT TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE COST AND FEASIBILITY OF INSTALLING AND REPAIRING SIDEWALKS WITHIN TWO CERTAIN AREAS GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS (I) BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SOUTHWEST 8TH STREET, ON THE SOUTH BY SOUTHWEST 16TH STREET, ON THE EAST BY SOUTHWEST 72ND AVENUE, ON THE WEST BY 76TH COURT AND (II) BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SOUTHWEST 8TH STREET, ON THE SOUTH BY SOUTHWEST 16TH TERRACE, ON THE EAST BY SALZEDO STREET, ON THE WEST BY SOUTHWEST 44TH AVENUE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to study the cost and feasibility of providing Sidewalk Improvements within the Study Areas. This study will include meeting with and considering the input of any other governmental entities with sidewalk maintenance responsibilities within the Study Areas.</p> <p><i>Study Area 1 is bounded on the North by Southwest 8th Street, on the South by Southwest 16th Street, on the East by Southwest 72nd Avenue, on the West by 76th Court. The area bounded on the North by Southwest 8th Street, on the South by Southwest 16th Terrace, on the East by Southwest 44th Avenue, on the West by Salzedo Street, together with Study Area 1, are referred to as Study Areas.</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>County staff should also coordinate with the Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization and Miami-Dade County Public Schools in order to investigate the feasibility of funding some of the Sidewalk Improvements within Study Area 1 through the Safe Routes to Schools program.</p> <p>The proposed resolution further directs the Mayor or designee to prepare and submit a report regarding the issues identified to this Board within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. This report will include a description of the rights-of-way within the Study Areas where no sidewalks currently exist.</p>
11A21 150838 <i>Deferral Requested</i>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO RECOVER ALL OUTSTANDING MONIES OWED BY ALL PERSONS OR ENTITIES DOING BUSINESS AT OR WITH THE COUNTY AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND THE COUNTY'S GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORTS; LIMITING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ABILITY TO TOLL CURE PERIODS; REQUIRING PROVISION OF QUARTERLY REPORTS ON ALL AMOUNTS DUE FROM BUSINESSES AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND THE COUNTY'S GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORTS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or to take immediate action to recover all monies owed to Miami-Dade County by all persons and entities doing business at or with the County at Miami International Airport or any of the County's general aviation airports, and arising out of any contractual or permit obligation to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>These actions may include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issuance of default notices to concessionaires, permittees, or lessees who have failed to make contractually obligated payments, and the termination of such concession, permits, or leases in the event such defaults are not cured; • Revocation of permits to do business at Miami International Airport or at any County owned general aviation airport; • Debarment proceedings against any entity which has fraudulently attempted to avoid contractual payment obligations; and • Referral of suspected instances of under-payment or non-payment to the Office of the Inspector General, but referral of such instances will not excuse failure to take immediate action to collect known outstanding debts. <p>The County Mayor will provide a quarterly report to the BCC of all amounts owed to the County by all persons or entities doing business at or with MIA or any of the County's general aviation airports, and the status of recovery efforts with respect to such person or entity. The report will list all instances in which the County Mayor or designee took actions pursuant to Section 2-286.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County or other authority, and which resulted in the administrative adjustment of any amounts which were or would have been owed to the County by persons or entities doing business at MIA.</p>
11A22 151025	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO HAVE A STUDY CONDUCTED ON THE COOLING CANAL SYSTEM AT TURKEY POINT POWER PLANT ABOUT ISSUES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SALINITY LEVELS, TEMPERATURE LEVELS, AND MIGRATION OF THE PLUME OF COOLING CANAL WATER IN GROUNDWATERS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO OR MODIFY A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$50,000.00; WAIVING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE THE STUDY REPORT TO THE BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to have a study and report on the cooling canal system at Turkey Point Power Plant conducted by a third party, possibly under the direction of the Division of Environmental Resources Management; enter into or modify a professional services contract to this effect, in an amount not to exceed \$50,000.00, and funded through the Division of Environmental Resources Management operating funds; and if needed, waive Implementing Order 3-38.</p> <p>The study will examine available data, including the long-term monitoring data from Florida Power and Light, and analyze what has happened with the cooling canal system and why. The study will address issues including, but not limited to, salinity levels, temperature levels, and the migration of the plume of cooling canal water into the groundwater beyond the cooling canal system.</p> <p>Within 120 days of the effective date of this Resolution, the Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide the preliminary report of this study to the Board and will place the preliminary report on the agenda of the Board of County Commissioners pursuant to Ordinance 14-65. The preliminary report will also be made available to the public via the County's website. After the study is made available, interested parties and members of the public will have 30 days to submit written comments and questions about the study to the Mayor or Mayor's designee, who will relay those written comments and questions to the person or entity conducting the study, to be addressed in the final study report, which will be completed within 60 days after the public comment period closes.</p> <p>Upon completion of the final study report, the Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide the report to the Board and will place the completed report on the agenda of the Board of County Commissioners pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Similar Legislation:</u> During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on March 11, 2015, a similar item, File No. 150110, was discussed and deferred. During the committee meeting on March 11, 2015 the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The committee asked how the Administration determined the amount for the professional services contract, to which the Assistant Director of the Department of Environmental Resource Management (DERM), Regulatory and Environmental Resources Department (RER), explained that the amount was based on estimates from other contracts for similar services. He stated that the amount would come out of the department's operating funds.</i> • <i>The Assistant Director of DERM-RER clarified why this study was needed since Florida Power and Light (FPL), the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the State EPA, and the County already tested the cooling canal system at the Turkey Point power plant regularly by indicating that FPL was required to undertake some analysis and monitoring associated with the</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>operation of the cooling canal system. He recalled that in September 2014, this issue was considered by the County Commission, when FLP requested permission to pump water out of the L-31 east canal. He noted at that meeting, some stakeholders who were present suggested that an analysis of the cooling canal system be undertaken.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pursuant to the committee's question as to whether a consultant had already been selected to conduct the study, the Assistance Director noted the department had not yet selected a consultant; however, it was contemplating entering into a contract with the United States Geological Survey (USGS), because of its expertise in evaluating groundwater interactions. • The committee was informed that the USGS was not currently conducting tests of the cooling canal system. He explained that this item came about as a result of trying to understand why the temperature and salinity in the cooling canal system had increased; and why the quality of the water had decreased. • The Assistant Director clarified that this item was introduced in response to comments made by some stakeholders when FPL sought permission to pump water out of the L-31 east canal. He pointed out that the item was simply directing that an investigation be carried out to understand why the quality of the water in the cooling canal system changed. • The Assistant Director explained that at this point, DERM was more focused on trying to find a long-term solution to the water quality and indicated that DERM had experts who could undertake this study. The committee then questioned the necessity of hiring a third party to undertake the study if DERM had the capability of carry it out itself. • File No. 150110 was eventually withdrawn from consideration. <p><u>Additional Information regarding cooling canal system at Turkey Point Power Plant:</u></p> <p>FPL owns and operates a cooling canal system consisting of an approximately 5,900 acre network of unlined canals at Turkey Point. The cooling canal system was constructed in the early 1970s to serve as a heat exchange for four of the five power plant units at Turkey Point. Long-term monitoring data from FPL indicates that water quality within the cooling canal system has deteriorated over time, with cooling canal salinities measured at more than twice the values typically found in Biscayne Bay. In addition, monitoring data indicate that a hyper-saline plume of cooling canal water is migrating outside the boundaries of the cooling canal system through the groundwater pathway.</p> <p>In October 2008, FPL received approval from the state to modify the existing nuclear power plant units to increase their power generating capacity (referred to as an "uprate"). As a condition of that approval, FPL implemented an enhanced monitoring plan to further evaluate water quality associated with the cooling canal system. In early 2012, testing conducted by FPL indicated that water quality in the cooling canal system further deteriorated. FPL reported that the cooling canal system is currently experiencing higher salinities and higher temperatures, and as a result of these conditions, the system is not functioning as it was intended. To address the issue, FPL proposed to reduce the temperature and salinity levels in the cooling canal system by adding cooler and less saline water to the system, which would allow them to further implement additional measures to correct the functionality of the system. In an effort to improve water quality, FPL began pumping additional water from the Floridian Aquifer well into the cooling canal system however, FPL indicated that more water was needed and proposed to install a series of temporary pipes and pumps to convey cooler fresh water from the L-31E Canal into the cooling canal system on a temporary basis.</p> <p>On September 16, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-792-14, which approved a Class I permit application by Florida Power and Light (FPL) for temporary impacts to 0.24 acres of halophytic (salt-tolerant) wetlands through the installation of temporary pipelines and associated equipment to provide for the short-term transfer of water from the L-31E Canal to the FPL Turkey Point Power Plant Cooling Canal System.</p> <p>During the discussion at the September 16, 2014, BCC meeting, the following occurred:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superintendent of Biscayne National Park appeared before the Board and stated that this was the only national park located next to a power plant, Turkey Point and noted that concerns had been raised recently at Turkey Point regarding the cooling canal system and the precedent setting action of drawing down water from the L-31 east canal. The Superintendent suggested that Florida Power and Light (FPL) commission an independent review analyzing the cause of the change in the cooling canal system noting that the temperature and salinity had increased and the quality of the water had decreased. • The President of EAS Engineering, representing Atlantic Civil, Inc., appeared before the Board stating that for years they had been concerned with the hyper-salinity within the cooling canal system and noted a review of the water quality data collected by FPL over the past 40 years revealed that hyper saline water from the cooling canal system was creating a significant water quality violation of the ground water in South Miami-Dade County. He urged the County, through the Department for Environmental Resources Management (DERM), to immediately address this water quality violation emanating from the cooling canal system. • A representative from the Everglades Law Center appeared before the Board, noting she was also representing the National Parks Conservation Association and the Tropical Audubon Society along with four other speakers who had prepared a presentation. The group elevated this Class 1 Permit Application to a public hearing because they were concerned about the significant implications of the issues with the cooling canal system at Turkey Point and the environmental impacts of the long-term contamination existing within and underneath the cooling canal system. The group indicated that they were also concerned with the multiple emergency orders and approvals that had been granted to FPL over this past summer and noted the concerns related specifically to the algae bloom and increasing water salinity and temperature in the cooling canal system. • The Senior Director of Florida Power and Light (FPL) appeared before the Board, noting FPL had submitted an application for temporary wetlands impact in order to lay two pipes on the ground for 170 feet. He said that the monitoring program had been in place since 2009, and FPL was trying to identify long-term solutions however, in conjunction with the WMD, FPL had identified a short-term opportunity to tap into excess surface water. He pointed out the short-term benefit of tapping into the surface water, which would help alleviate the high temperature and salinity within the cooling canal system. The Senior Director said he disagreed that the water quality in Turkey Point had deteriorated since 1970 and stated that the water quality varied with the

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>season. He noted FPL had been monitoring the system, and had taken available actions to ensure that the salinity and temperature remained within certain ranges; however, this year these indicators were out of range, and FPL informed both federal, State and local regulators of the specific situation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Director of the Department of Regulatory and Environmental Resources Management (DERM) noted FPL had received approval from the State to upgrade the existing nuclear power plants for additional power efficiency and linked to this upgrade was an expected increase in the temperature within the cooling canal system and said he believed that the changes were related to the upgrade. He indicated that DERM was recommending approval of the application, only as a temporary measure, and that FPL should identify long-term solutions to the water quality problems. The Director noted in the short term it would be necessary to address the existing water quality, and modify it to allow FPL to continue using the cooling canal system; and in the long-term it would be necessary to minimize the impact of the salt intrusion. The Senior Director of FPL present at the meeting noted what was being proposed in the foregoing resolution would help reduce salinity and temperature of the water in the cooling canal system however; it was not a long-term solution.
11A23 151093	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SEEK A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE FLORIDA GREENWAYS AND TRAILS COUNCIL FOR STATE OF FLORIDA FUNDING THROUGH THE STATE TRANSPORTATION TRUST FUND TO CONNECT THE EXISTING TRAILS IN THE TOWN OF CUTLER BAY, THE VILLAGES OF PALMETTO BAY, PINECREST, AND KEY BISCAYNE, AND THE CITIES OF SOUTH MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, AND MIAMI AND UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WITH THE PROPOSED UNDERLINE AND LUDLAM TRAILS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 151020]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to seek a favorable recommendation from the Florida Greenways and Trails Council for State of Florida funding through the State Transportation Trust Fund to connect the existing trails in the Town of Cutler Bay, the Villages of Palmetto Bay, Pinecrest, and Key Biscayne, and the Cities of South Miami, Coral Gables, and Miami and unincorporated Miami-Dade County with the proposed Underline and Ludlam Trails.</p> <p><i>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on May 13, 2015, a substitute item was presented and forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation. The substitute differs from the original in that it includes trails within unincorporated Miami-Dade County.</i></p> <p>The Florida Greenways and Trails Council was established pursuant to Florida Statute 260.0142 for the purpose of advising the Florida Department of Environmental Protection on greenway and trail related issues, promoting intergovernmental cooperation and private partnerships for developing the greenways and trails system, recommending priorities for critical links in the system, and providing funding recommendations for developing and managing the system.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Ludlam Trail Corridor Application:</u></p> <p>On November 4, 2014, Florida voters overwhelmingly approved Florida Constitutional Amendment 1, the Florida Water and Land Conservation Initiative, which authorizes no less than 33 percent of net revenues collected from the existing excise tax on real estate documents to be used to acquire, restore, and manage conservation and recreation lands throughout Florida for a period of 20 years.</p> <p>The revenues collected pursuant to this amendment may be used to finance the acquisition and improvement of land and outdoor recreation areas, including recreational trails, parks, and urban open space</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Legislative Session Update - Amendment 1 Implementation: This series of bills implements Amendment 1, and restructures the state's existing trust funds that direct state dollars from the state documentary stamp tax. A new trust fund is created so that 33 percent of the revenue generated by the tax is to be diverted as per Amendment 1. These bills are SB 584, SB 586, SB 576, SB 578, SB 580 and SB 582. The companion bills in the House also restructure the state's trust funds in order to implement Amendment #1. The House companion bills have moved through the committee process and now moving through their full House votes. They are HB 1291, HB 1293, and HB 1295. These bills are part of the budget process.</i> <p>Miami-Dade County commissioned the Miami-Dade County Trail Design Guidelines and Standards: Ludlam Trail Case Study to investigate the design and implementation of the Ludlam Trail (the "trail"), a 6.2-mile linear track of land stretching from Miami International Airport at its northern terminus to Downtown Kendall at its southernmost point. The land comprising the 6.2-mile trail, including right-of-ways, is currently owned by Florida East Coast Industries, LLC.</p> <p>During the CDMP meeting on November 19, 2014, the Board announced that the meeting would recess and that the public hearing would continue on Thursday, December 4, 2014. Upon the closing of the public hearing on Thursday, December 4, 2014, it was moved that File No. 142489, pertaining to the May 2014 Cycle applications request for amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, be withdrawn from consideration. The County Attorney was directed to prepare the appropriate resolution to have the Ludlum Trail Application filed as a County application as part of the November 2014 Cycle recognizing that the applicant has offered to pay for any out of pocket advertising fees. Staff was also directed to conduct Charrettes in Commission Districts 6 and 7.</p> <p>On April 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-350-15, directed the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to file, as a County application in the May 2015 cycle of applications to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application, which had been originally been filed as Application No. 3 in the May 2014 CDMP Amendment Cycle. Additionally, the Mayor or Mayor's designee was directed to revise the Ludlam Trail Corridor Application to reflect the results of the charrettes to be undertaken in the area.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Report on The Underline Implementation Plan:</u></p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-910-14, directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to prepare a report within 60 days containing a possible implementation plan for the creation of a ten-mile linear park along the Metrorail corridor consistent with The</p>

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Underline (formerly The GreenLink) proposal. R-9101-14 also directed that the implementation plan include more specific information about how The Underline project can be realized, the cost, available funding sources, and a timeline for construction.</p> <p>The Underline's ten-mile span serves 400,000 residents within a ten (10) minute walk, is connected to eight (8) Metrorail transit stations and runs through the cities of Miami, Coral Gables and South Miami.</p> <p>The Friends of the Underline have raised \$650,000 in public and private funds to support the master plan framework for the ten (10) miles, as well as preliminary design drawings of two (2) park demonstration projects, including Brickell Station and one (1) additional site to be determined. The Friends of the Underline were responsible for administrating the Request for Qualifications process to select the Design Consultant, resulting in the selection of James Corner Field Operations. The master plan process has begun and will conclude in September 2015. One of the goals of the master plan is to produce a budget for planning and construction. The master plan will also provide a cohesive vision that specifies design standards for the bicycle and pedestrian trail, crosswalks, and iconic landscape design. Prior to finalizing the master plan, approval from FDOT and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) will be required.</p> <p>The County has obtained a \$1 million FDOT Transportation Alternative Program grant to leverage construction funding for the Brickell Station Promenade. Additionally, FDOT is prepared to correct the intersection crossings of The Underline in order to maximize bicycle and pedestrian safety.</p> <p>The County, through MDT, owns the land underneath the Metrorail, and the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department's (PROS) Right-of-Way Aesthetic and Assets Management Division maintains the landscaping and provides litter removal services at an annual cost of \$31,250 per mile. This includes 24 cycles of routine landscape maintenance and an additional 12 litter removal cycle in-between the routine cycles.</p> <p>PROS has submitted a budget funding request of \$200,000 for fiscal year 2015-16 for a consultant to manage the implementation of The Underline and \$50,000 for administrative support. Once the master plan is completed initial estimates of construction cost and operating expenses will be generated for the five phases.</p> <p>Secured funds for The Underline's master plan total \$645,000 and sources of additional funding are actively being sought from private fundraising and federal grants.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Ludlam Trail 'Greenway' Project to Get Second Review – South Miami News - Thursday, April 16, 2015:</u> http://www.communitynewspapers.com/south-miami/ludlam-trail-greenway-project-to-get-second-review/</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Conceptual planning for an acceptable Ludlam Trail "greenway" that runs south from Miami International Airport to the Dadeland North Metrorail station will continue in late April at two public sessions.</i> • <i>Summaries of citizen recommendations for the 6.2-mile Florida East Coast Realty (FECR) held property will be presented by Miami-Dade Planning Department staffers who recently conducted county commission-ordered charrette workshops in Districts 6 and 7 at Barnes Park.</i> • <i>Residents will have the opportunity to review their ideas based on the initial workshop suggestions during scheduled meetings:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Thursday, Apr 23, 6-9 p.m., at West Miami Middle School, 7525 Coral Way; and</i> ○ <i>Wednesday, Apr. 29, 6-9 p.m., at South Miami High School, 6856 SW 53 St.</i> • <i>Planners are working against a fast approaching deadline to follow a commission resolution directing the Mayor to file a county application for the May 2015 Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) cycle, amending the still-pending original FECR design entered in the May 2014 CDMP cycle.</i> • <i>The plan sparked immediate controversy last fall at community council and planning board public hearings when the real estate firm disclosed plans for residential and commercial development within a 75-foot-wide section of the 100-foot-wide former Florida East Coast Railroad right-of-way, leaving a 25-foot width for a biking and pedestrian trail.</i> • <i>Responding to outcries from abutting residents, architects and environmentalists, commissioners decided to take a hand in co-authoring a new plan of its own with citizen participation through amending FECR's design, based on resident input.</i> • <i>The amending action taken December 4, 2014 after a commission public hearing stirred its own controversy when three commissioners dissented putting the county in the position of "co-developing" a CDMP change with private interests.</i> • <i>To expedite the process while keeping the action legal, the resolution itself won't become final until an approving Commission vote on Apr. 21, 2015 unless either the Mayor or commissioners see fit to veto it while the planning effort continues.</i>
11A24 150618	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT A FEASIBILITY STUDY TO EXAMINE THE RESTORATION OF THE MOUNTED PATROL UNIT IN CONSULTATION WITH APPROPRIATE PARTIES AND PROVIDE A REPORT
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to conduct a feasibility study to examine the restoration of the Mounted Patrol Unit (MPU). The feasibility study will include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The efficacy of a mounted patrol unit to control crowds; • The efficacy of a mounted patrol unit as a crime deterrent as suggested by available statistical data; • A review of the MPU in the Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) disbanded in 2009; • The costs associated with restoration of the MPU including, but not limited to, expenses for obtaining, training, feeding, stabling, and outfitting horses; • An identification of a funding source for the restoration of the MPU; • An examination of grants or donor programs available as an alternative funding source; and • The potential manpower savings in terms of sworn law enforcement officers and civilian staff, if any.

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The proposed resolution further directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to consult with all appropriate persons in carrying out the directions of this resolution including the MDPD, other police agencies or departments that maintain mounted patrol units, the Florida Association of Mounted Patrols and the North American Mounted Unit Commander's Association.</p> <p>Additionally, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will provide a written report to the Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Report on MPU:</u> On April 24, 2007, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-433-07, directed the County Manager to provide a report to the Budget and Finance Committee identifying funding options for establishing a Mounted Patrol Police Unit of at least 20 mounted patrol officers to be housed out of the Ronald Reagan Equestrian Center (RREC) at Tropical Park.</p> <p>On November 13, 2007, the Budget and Finance Committee was presented with the report on the Miami-Dade Police Department mounted patrol unit. At the time the report was issued, the MPU leased a facility located at 12000 SW 49th Street however; the relocation of the MPU to the RREC had been considered and evaluated several times over the years. The MDPD's knowledge and experience in the operation of mounted patrol operations and equestrian maintenance led to a number of concerns with the proposal to move to the RREC. Additionally, during the late 1980s, the MPU was boarded at the RREC but moved because the facility was antiquated and in need of constant repair. As a result, the report concluded that the RREC area at Tropical Park was not a suitable location as it was currently constructed.</p>
11A25 151224	<p>RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO SET ASIDE \$500 MILLION IN FUNDING FROM AMENDMENT 1, OR OTHER AVAILABLE SOURCE, TO ACQUIRE LAND SOUTH OF LAKE OKEECHOBEE FOR THE PURPOSE OF STORING AND TREATING WATER FROM THE LAKE AND SENDING IT SOUTH TO THE GREATER EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM; AND URGING THE LEGISLATURE TO ALLOCATE 25.7 PERCENT OF AMENDMENT 1 FUNDS FOR EVERGLADES RESTORATION, AS PROPOSED BY THE GOVERNOR</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to set aside \$500 million in funding from Amendment 1, or other available source, to acquire land south of Lake Okeechobee for the purpose of storing and treating water from the Lake and sending it south to the greater Everglades ecosystem; • Urges the Florida Legislature to allocate 25.7 percent of Amendment 1 funds for Everglades Restoration, as proposed by Governor Scott; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and Senator Joe Negron; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation described and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 state legislative package to include this item. <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On January 21, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-63-15, urged the United States Congress and the Florida Legislature to fund Everglades restoration and pass legislation in support of Everglades restoration.</p> <p>On February 18, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-173-15, urged the Florida Legislature to allocate funding for Miami-Dade County's Environmentally Endangered Lands Program for conservation land acquisition and management pursuant to the Florida Water and Land Conservation Initiative, Florida Constitutional Amendment 1.</p>
11A26 151207	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING 24.6 ACRES OF VACANT COUNTY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SW 288TH STREET AND SW 127TH AVENUE IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY SURPLUS, WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD AND APPROVING THE NO COST CONVEYANCE OF SUCH PROPERTY TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO CONSTRUCT A NEW ENTRY CONTROL COMPLEX AND ROAD REALIGNMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS AT HOMESTEAD AIR RESERVE BASE; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE CHAIRPERSON OF THIS BOARD TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR THE MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED HEREIN AND TO COMPLETE ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SUCH TRANSACTION</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution declares the Entry Control Complex Project Property surplus, waives Administrative Order 8-4 as it pertains to review by the Planning Advisory Board, authorizes the Chairperson or Vice-Chairperson of the Board to execute the County Deed and authorizes the County Mayor or designee to take all actions necessary to effectuate this conveyance.</p>
11A27 151266	<p>RESOLUTION SUPPORTING PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S EXECUTIVE ACTION ON IMMIGRATION TO EXPAND DEFERRED ACTION FOR CHILDHOOD ARRIVALS AND IMPLEMENT DEFERRED ACTION FOR PARENTS OF AMERICANS AND LAWFUL PERMANENT RESIDENTS; AND URGING FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL PAM BONDI TO WITHDRAW THE STATE OF FLORIDA FROM TEXAS V. UNITED STATES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports President Barack Obama's executive action on immigration to expand Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals and implement Deferred Action for Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents; • Urges Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi to withdraw the State of Florida from Texas v. United States; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch, Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson, Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Leon Rodriguez, Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi, the Miami-Dade County Congressional Delegation, and the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's federal and state lobbyists to advocate for the action set forth in the proposed resolution and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Packages to include this item, and

Board of County Commissioners
June 2, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>to include this item in the 2016 Federal and State Legislative Packages when it is presented to the Board.</p> <p><u>Additional Information - Appeals Court Keeps Block of Obama Immigration Plan – The Wall Street Journal, May 26, 2015:</u> http://www.wsj.com/articles/appeals-court-keeps-block-of-obama-immigration-plan-1432665888</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A federal appeals court on Tuesday declined to let the Obama administration proceed with its plan to defer deportations for millions of undocumented immigrants.</i> • <i>Siding with officials in Texas and 25 other states who have challenged the legality of President Barack Obama’s immigration action, the Fifth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in New Orleans rejected the administration’s request to allow the federal government to begin implementing the plan while the two sides continue a court battle.</i> • <i>Federal officials sought permission to move forward after U.S. District Judge Andrew Hanen in February temporarily blocked the administration from implementing the plan, which would allow more than four million people in the country illegally to apply for deferred deportation and work authorizations, among other benefits.</i> • <i>Under the president’s plan, announced in November, immigrants would have to meet certain criteria, including not posing a security threat and having a child who is a U.S. citizen or lawful permanent resident.</i> • <i>The Fifth Circuit on Tuesday declined to stay Judge Hanen’s injunction. The appeals court is still scheduled this summer to hear a separate appeal by the administration of the lower-court ruling.</i> • <i>“Because the government is unlikely to succeed on the merits of its appeal of the injunction, we deny the motion for stay,” the court ruled.</i> • <i>An administration official said the Justice Department, which defends the federal government in lawsuits, is evaluating the ruling and will consider next steps.</i> • <i>The 26 states say the immigration program amounts to a broad award of legal rights and benefits to millions of illegal immigrants and is not merely a decision about whom to deport.</i> • <i>In its 2-1 ruling, the Fifth Circuit panel concurred with those states, concluding that the immigration program allowed a broad class of illegal immigrants to be eligible for state and federal benefits.</i> • <i>In dissent, Fifth Circuit Judge Stephen Higginson wrote: “the order in which noncitizens without documentation must be removed...must be decided, presently is decided, and always has been decided by the federal political branches.”</i>