



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

March 3, 2015

9:30 A.M.

Commission Chamber

Research Division

Charles Anderson, CPA
Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																				
4A 150396	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; PROVIDING FOR JEWELRY LOAN CENTERS UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS; AMENDING SECTION 33-247 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 33-247 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide zoning for Jewelry Loan Centers.</p> <p>Jewelry loan centers, subject to the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A jewelry loan center is a service offered at a jewelry store where a loan is secured by jewelry. Said jewelry loan center will be ancillary to a jewelry store, and the loan center service will cease if the primary use is discontinued;• The operating hours for the jewelry loan center will not extend beyond 8 p.m.;• No jewelry loan center will be located less than twenty-five hundred (2,500) feet from a place of business having an existing, unabandoned, legally established jewelry loan center or pawnbroker.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ The twenty-five hundred (2,500) foot distance requirements will be measured by following a straight line from the nearest entrance to the site where the business is located.• Attention attracting devices, such as blinking or flashing lights, streamer lights, pennants, banners, streamers, and all fluttering, spinning advertising devices (either mobile or stationary), will be prohibited, except as permitted under point-of-sale sign regulations;• The jewelry loan center will have all applicable licenses issued by the State of Florida for the jewelry loan center use;• A Certificate of Use will be obtained and renewed annually.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ The Certificate of Use application will contain:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ A certified spacing survey from a registered surveyor, which will indicate that the distance requirements of this section have been met.▪ A site plan will be submitted as part of the Certificate of Use indicating location of structure/structures, entrances and egresses, walls, fences, landscaping, signage and distance to nearest residential development. The use will be established and maintained in accordance with the approved plan.																				
4B 150342	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO REQUIRE PAYMENT TO PRIME VENDORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS OF UNDISPUTED AMOUNTS WITHIN 14 CALENDAR DAYS OF RECEIPT; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Miami-Dade County Code to require payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i) Prompt Payment</td><td>N/A</td><td>The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code (“Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance”), as to the contracts specified below:</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1) Prompt Payment</td><td>All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.</td><td>All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.</td><td><i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*				Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i) Prompt Payment	N/A	The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code (“Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance”), as to the contracts specified below:		Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1) Prompt Payment	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.	<i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments*																					
Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																		
Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i) Prompt Payment	N/A	The prompt payment provisions of this section shall take precedence over Section 2-8.1.4 of the Code (“Sherman S. Winn Prompt Payment Ordinance”), as to the contracts specified below:																			
Sec. 1- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(i)(1) Prompt Payment	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow.	All firms, including SBEs and Micro Enterprises providing goods and services to the County, shall receive payments promptly in order to maintain sufficient cash flow. Billings from prime vendors under goods and services contracts with Miami-Dade County or the Public Health Trust, that are a SBE/Micro Enterprise contract set-aside or contain a subcontractor goal, shall be promptly reviewed and payment made by the County or Trust on those amounts not in dispute within 14 calendar days of receipt of such billing by the County, or the Trust.	<i>Requires payment to prime vendors and subcontractors of undisputed amounts within 14 calendar days of receipt.</i>																		
4C 150343	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01, AND 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE CONTRACTUAL VIOLATIONS FOR FAILURE TO HAVE WRITTEN CONTRACTS WITH ALL FIRMS LISTED ON SCHEDULE OF INTENT AFFIDAVIT OR LETTER OF AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE																				
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends sections 2-8.1.1.1.1, 2-10.4.01 and 10-33.02 of the Miami Dade County Code to create contractual violations for failure to have written contracts with all firms listed on the schedule of intent affidavit or Letter of Agreement.																				

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																												
4E 150402	ORDINANCE EXTENDING AMNESTY PERIOD CREATED BY ORDINANCE NO. 11-64 FOR AN ADDITIONAL ONE YEAR COMMENCING JULY 12, 2015; PROVIDING FOR A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS UPON A HOMEOWNER'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution extends the Amnesty Period set forth in the Amnesty Ordinance, for one additional year commencing July 12, 2015.</p> <p>On August 2, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-64, the BCC adopted a six-month Amnesty Period, creating a limited exception from civil penalties and liens resulting from Building Code violations upon a homeowner's compliance with the Building Code as a result of the severe economic crisis that existed in Miami-Dade County (the Amnesty Ordinance).</p> <p>On July 17, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-59, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance for an additional year. Subsequently, on July 2, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-61, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance's term to July 12, 2014 and on July 1, 2014, under Ordinance No. 14-66, to July 12, 2015.</p> <p>Additional Information According to the, <u>Amnesty Ordinance No. 11-64 – January 2105 Report</u>, dated February 19, 2015, since the approval of Ordinance No. 11-64 on August 2, 2011, the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) department completed 1,719 cases under the provisions of the Amnesty Ordinance. Total civil penalties and liens assessed amounted to approximately \$16,947,922. Once settlement amounts were reached, the relief to the property owners totaled approximately \$15,803,133.</p>																												
4F 150330	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING; MODIFYING REGULATIONS REGARDING CHAIN LINK FENCES; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-11 AND 33-311 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends section 33-11 and 33-311 of the Miami-Dade County Code to modify regulations regarding chain link fences. Regulations set forth in the proposed ordinance are countywide.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1-33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td><td>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.</td><td>Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.</td><td>Modifies regulations prohibiting chain link fences.</td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td><td>N/A</td><td>Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1-33-11(a)(2) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td><td>N/A</td><td>Notwithstanding anything in this Code to the contrary, chain link fences shall be prohibited in all district, and GU districts trended agricultural are permitted if approved after public hearing.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(i) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td><td>N/A</td><td>Chain link fences lawfully existing prior to [the effective date of this ordinance] may remain, subject to the nonconforming use provisions of Section 33-35 of this chapter, except that nonconforming chain link fences in IU districts, AU districts, GU districts trended agricultural shall be exempt from Section 33-35(B)(3)(b)(ii).</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(ii) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges</td><td>N/A</td><td>The Board may, by resolution, direct the Mayor to establish a program allocating the fines collected for violations of the chain link fence regulations in this section to fund a need-based subsidy program for residential properties: to replace legally existing, nonconforming chain link fences with permitted walls, fences, or hedges; or to install appropriate landscaping to screen such fences from view.</td><td></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 1-33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.	Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.	Modifies regulations prohibiting chain link fences.	Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.		Sec. 1-33-11(a)(2) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Notwithstanding anything in this Code to the contrary, chain link fences shall be prohibited in all district, and GU districts trended agricultural are permitted if approved after public hearing.		Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(i) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Chain link fences lawfully existing prior to [the effective date of this ordinance] may remain, subject to the nonconforming use provisions of Section 33-35 of this chapter, except that nonconforming chain link fences in IU districts, AU districts, GU districts trended agricultural shall be exempt from Section 33-35(B)(3)(b)(ii).		Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(ii) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	The Board may, by resolution, direct the Mayor to establish a program allocating the fines collected for violations of the chain link fence regulations in this section to fund a need-based subsidy program for residential properties: to replace legally existing, nonconforming chain link fences with permitted walls, fences, or hedges; or to install appropriate landscaping to screen such fences from view.	
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 33-11 and 33-311 of the Code, Zoning																													
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																										
Sec. 1-33-11(a) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines.	Permits; conformance to requirements; erection on property lines; chain link fences prohibited.	Modifies regulations prohibiting chain link fences.																										
Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Except as hereinafter restricted, all walls, fences and hedges may be placed on the property lines. This section, however, shall not be construed to permit such walls, fences and hedges to extend beyond the official right-of-way lines or property lines.																											
Sec. 1-33-11(a)(2) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Notwithstanding anything in this Code to the contrary, chain link fences shall be prohibited in all district, and GU districts trended agricultural are permitted if approved after public hearing.																											
Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(i) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	Chain link fences lawfully existing prior to [the effective date of this ordinance] may remain, subject to the nonconforming use provisions of Section 33-35 of this chapter, except that nonconforming chain link fences in IU districts, AU districts, GU districts trended agricultural shall be exempt from Section 33-35(B)(3)(b)(ii).																											
Sec. 1-33-11(a)(1)(ii) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	N/A	The Board may, by resolution, direct the Mayor to establish a program allocating the fines collected for violations of the chain link fence regulations in this section to fund a need-based subsidy program for residential properties: to replace legally existing, nonconforming chain link fences with permitted walls, fences, or hedges; or to install appropriate landscaping to screen such fences from view.																											

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	Sec. 1-33-311(A)(14)(f) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a single-family or duplex dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a single-family or duplex dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh, unless located in an AU or GU with AU trend zoning district; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	
	Sec. 1-33-311(A)(15)(d) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a zero lot line dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a zero lot line dwelling shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	
	Sec. 1-33-311(A)(15.1)(h) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a three-unit or four-unit apartment house use, multiple-family apartment house use of multiple-family housing development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a three-unit or four-unit apartment house use, multiple-family apartment house use of multiple-family housing development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	
	Sec. 1-33-311(A)(20)(g) Fences, walls, bus shelters and hedges	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a commercial development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	An alternative maximum height of walls, hedges or fences for a commercial development shall be approved upon demonstration of the following: (7) Proposed fences are not comprised of chain link or other wire mesh; and (8) Safe sight distance triangles are maintained pursuant to this code.	
	<p><u>Additional Information/Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On July 8, 2003, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 03-159 which amended section 33-11 of the Miami-Dade County Code to restrict the use of chain link fences in certain residential zoning districts. Ordinance 03-159 was amended to exempt chain link fences that were in lawful existence prior to the effective date of the Ordinance.</p> <p>On December 7, 2010, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 10-87 which required that all fences and walls be maintained in good/clean/finished conditions, deleted provisions requiring that all fences/walls be constructed with uniform materials and color. Fences with finished and unfinished sides were to be erected so that the finished side of property faces the street and neighboring property. Ordinance No. 10-87 also deleted provisions requiring that both sides of fences visible from the street or open space be finished and deleted provisions requiring that continuous fences and walls with multiple ownership be constructed with uniform materials and color.</p> <p>On February 5, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance 13-09 which removed language that was added under Ordinance No. 03-159, restricting the use of chain link fences in certain residential zoning districts. Additionally, Ordinance 13-09 removed language referring to the unfinished side of the fence that was added under Ordinance No. 10-87, regulating the appearance and maintenance of walls and fences in all districts.</p>			
4G 150329	ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-284.47, 33-284.48, AND 33-284.51 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING PROVISIONS GOVERNING TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT (TND) DISTRICTS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS RELATED TO STREETWALLS, STREETEDGES, AND SWIMMING POOLS; AMENDING DEFINITIONS, DESIGN CRITERIA, AND DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends sections 33-284.47, 33-284.48 and 33-284.51 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding provisions governing traditional neighborhood development districts, including provisions related to streetwalls, streetedges, and swimming pools. Additionally, the proposed ordinance amends definitions, design criteria and development parameters.</p> <p>This ordinance seeks to amend the County's Traditional Neighborhood Development (TND) zoning district regulations. The ordinance, among other things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarifies the definition of Frontage Line for purposes of building and wall placement; • Expands the definition of Streetedge to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within residential and civic areas. It also provides additional regulations regarding the use of vinyl/PVC fences; 			

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expands the definition of Streetwall to provide for vinyl/PVC fences as a permitted material to enclose lots located within the Shopfront and Workshop land use categories of the District; Introduce setback criteria for swimming pools in the TND District consistent with swimming pool setback requirements provided for other residential zoning districts; Provides landscape buffer criteria for six (6) feet high vinyl/PVC fences installed between the frontage line and the setback for applicable TND land use categories; Increases the permitted height for Civic Use buildings from forty (40) feet to a maximum of fifty (50) feet; and Introduces streetedge criteria for the unbuilt portion of a Civic Use lot frontage line when provided. <p>The proposed ordinance only applies to traditional neighborhood districts.</p>
5A 150326	<p>RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AS "WEST END INNOVATION DISTRICT" A GEOGRAPHICAL AREA BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SW 112TH STREET, ON THE EAST BY SW 137TH AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY CSX RAIL TRACKS, AND ON THE WEST BY SW 157TH AVENUE; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO WORK WITH THE BEACON COUNCIL TO MARKET AND PROMOTE THE WEST END INNOVATION DISTRICT AS AN INNOVATION HUB, TO IDENTIFY, APPLY FOR, AND RECEIVE ADDITIONAL FUNDS, SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL, TO BE UTILIZED IN THE WEST END INNOVATION DISTRICT, TO WORK WITH THE BEACON COUNCIL TO EXPLORE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A DRONE AND ROBOTIC HUB AT MIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT AND/OR THE WEST END INNOVATION DISTRICT, AND TO SUBMIT A REPORT ON ESTABLISHING A DRONE AND ROBOTIC HUB AT MIAMI EXECUTIVE AIRPORT AND/OR THE WEST END INNOVATION DISTRICT WITHIN SIXTY DAYS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150207]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution designates as "West End Innovation District" the area of Miami-Dade County that is bounded on the North by SW 112th Street, on the East by SW 137th Avenue, on the South by CSX rail tracks, and on the West by SW 157th Avenue.</p> <p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To work with The Beacon Council to market and promote the West End Innovation District as an innovation hub; and To identify, apply for and receive funding, subject to the Board's approval, from federal and state sources to be utilized within the West End Innovation District. <p>Furthermore, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to work with The Beacon Council, in close collaboration with the aviation industry and the Federal Aviation Administration, to explore the feasibility of establishing a Drone and robotic hub at Miami Executive and/or the West End Innovation District in a manner that results in a safe and an efficient use of the airport and is consistent with the Federal Aviation Administration's policies or requirements.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee is directed to submit a report on establishing a Drone and robotic hub at Miami Executive and/or the West End Innovation District to the BCC within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p>
5C 142509	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING CERTAIN GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA DESCRIBED GENERALLY AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SW 152 STREET, ON THE WEST BY SW 137 AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY SW 184 STREET, AND ON THE EAST BY SW 117 AVENUE TO BE A SLUM OR BLIGHTED AREA; DECLARING THE REBUILDING, REHABILITATION, CONSERVATION AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA TO BE IN THE INTEREST OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, MORALS AND WELFARE OF RESIDENTS OF RICHMOND HEIGHTS/METROZOO AREA, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; FINDING NEED FOR CREATION OF COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REDEVELOPMENT PLAN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declares a blighted or slum area exists in an area of unincorporated Miami-Dade County generally as bounded on the North by SW 152 Street, on the West by SW 137 Avenue, on the South by SW 184 Street, and on the East by SW 117 Avenue, which is specifically described in the Study and is referred to as the "Richmond Heights/Metrozoo Redevelopment Area" (Area); Declares the rebuilding, rehabilitation, conservation and redevelopment of the Richmond Heights/Metrozoo Redevelopment Area is necessary and in the best interest of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare of the residents of Miami-Dade County as a whole; Finds there is a need for an Agency to function and carry out the community redevelopment purposes of the Act. <p>The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee is further directed to prepare an action item that includes a plan of redevelopment for the Richmond Heights/Metrozoo Redevelopment Area, and to submit such action items to the Board, with appropriate commissioner sponsorship and committee review, for approval after notice and public hearing.</p> <p>Background: On May 11, 2004, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-645-04 directing the County Manager to prepare a Finding of Necessity and Finding of Need for the Creation of a Community Redevelopment Area study in the Three Lakes/Metro Zoo Area. <i>On October 20, 2005 the Find of Necessity Study was completed but never submitted to the Board for final approval.</i></p> <p>On February 4, 2014 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-137-14 requesting that a study previously requested for the Area be updated to include the geographical area described as bounded on the North by Lincoln Boulevard, bounded on the South by SW 184th Street, bounded by the West by SW 137th Avenue and bounded by the East by SW 117th Avenue.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>A Finding of Necessity Study (Study) prepared by Calvin, Giordano & Associates includes a smaller geographic as recommended by the Increment Financing and Coordinating Committee (Committee) and examines the conditions in the proposed redevelopment area and concludes that conditions of slum and blight exist. Adoption of the Study is the first step in the process to create a community redevelopment agency. The BCC must approve a redevelopment plan with redevelopment strategies for the area and, in order to fund those improvements, the BCC must then enact an ordinance to establish a trust fund.</p> <p>On July 9, 2014, the Committee reviewed the study and recommended that the Board adopt a more compact boundary inclusive of only the Zoo Miami and Coast Guard properties currently under consideration for development.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Metrozoo Finding of Necessity Study:</u></p> <p>Land Use - Metrozoo</p> <p>Development of the area known as Metrozoo began in 1942 with the establishment of Naval Air Station Richmond (NAS Richmond). Here, lighter-than-air airships (LTA) more commonly known as “blimps” were constructed by the Navy to support aerial searches for German submarines in the Atlantic during World War II. There were also barrack facilities and large expansive areas used as landing pads for LTA and other military and civilian aircraft. Railway tracks allowed trains to bring in the necessary supplies, gasoline, and helium vital to the operations of the naval base.</p> <p>In September of 1945 and unnamed hurricane swept across the NAS Richmond site. Whatever was not damaged by the hurricane was destroyed by a fire resulting from gasoline tanks being ripped apart by the strong winds and ignited. All that remained of the former naval base were some of the concrete support structures, rail tracks, landing pad areas, and the administrative headquarters building. As a result of no comprehensive master plan or future vision being established, an ad-hoc development pattern emerged over time as portions of the unplatted land were either sold off or leased in a piecemeal manner.</p> <p><i>Today, the major landholders within the Metrozoo area are Miami-Dade County, the U.S. Federal Government, U.S. Coast Guard, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Florida Power and Light, and the University of Miami.</i></p> <p><i>Current uses include the Federal Correctional Institution Miami, the Luis E. Martinez Army Reserve Center, a U.S. Coast Guard communication and antennae field, and the University of Miami South Campus research facilities. Dedicated uses for Miami-Dade County’s properties include the Robert Morgan Educational Center, Larry and Penny Thompson Park, Zoo Miami, Miami Military Museum, the Gold Coast Railroad Museum, and the Southern Anchor townhomes.</i></p> <p>The large site containing over 2,000 acres was not originally designed or platted to accommodate multiple, interconnected, smaller scale uses like the museums and tourist attractions which exists on the site today.</p> <p>Infrastructure - Transportation</p> <p>Drivers have access from Metrozoo to the regional highway system via the Homestead Extension of the Florida Turnpike.</p> <p><i>The number of car trips originating in the Metrozoo area and surrounds is projected to increase by 38 percent from 33,014 trips to 45,616 trips between 2005 and 2035. The Homestead Extension of the Florida Turnpike is expected to be widened to 12-lanes plus auxiliary lanes with express services by 2025 however, there are no funded local road improvements listed within the County’s 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan to accommodate these projected additional trips.</i></p> <p><i>According to the study inadequate roadway capacity will be a significant deterrent to attracting private investment and economic development to the area. In order to be viable, the Miami Wilds project alone will require a \$130 million investment of public funds to construct the necessary public infrastructure.</i></p> <p>Transit users may traverse the area via Bus Routes 252, 52, 35, and 137 in addition to several other bus routes available in close proximity and two park and ride lots providing direct access to the express Busway Corridor.</p> <p><i>There is currently an unfunded Bus Rapid Transit project proposed in the County’s 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan to provide a dedicated bus transit lane on 152nd Street between SW 137th Avenue and US-1.</i></p> <p>Metrozoo is also served by the pedestrian and cyclist oriented Black Creek Trail, which connects to both the Old Cutler Trail and the Biscayne Trail.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>The Study concluded that conditions of blight exist within the boundaries of the proposed Metrozoo Community Redevelopment Area as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predominance of Defective Parking Facilities and Roadways – Metrozoo suffers from defective parking facilities and would serve to benefit from more efficient parking design and layout. The current transportation infrastructure is inadequate and lacking in its capacity to support future travel demand. Significant financial investment will be required in order to upgrade and improve the existing transportation network. • Inadequate and Outdated Building Density Pattern and Faulty Lot Layout – Originally designed to be an over 2,000 acre site accommodating naval activity, land within the Metrozoo was eventually carved-up once Naval Air Station Richmond shuttered its doors. Because these carved out parcels were not laid out as small traditional square or rectangular shaped lots, large oddly-shaped tracts of land emerged over time that lacked the accompanying utility network typically installed when portions of land are subdivided. This results in faulty lot layouts and large swaths of underdeveloped and

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>underutilized parcels of land. Furthermore, the appropriate internal infrastructure necessary to support more appropriate building densities is lacking.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deterioration of Site or Other Improvements – Vacant housing units located on a portion of the County-owned Southern Anchor townhomes site previously served as U.S. Coast Guard housing and have now deteriorated to a state of disrepair requiring rehabilitation or tear-down. • Diverse Ownership or Defective or Unusual Conditions of Title Preventing Free Alienability of Land – The Unexpected decommissioning of the former Naval Air Station Richmond site resulted in a piecemeal approach to the sale and redevelopment of land within Metrozoo. The result is a diversity of ownership consisting primarily of Federal, State and County owned sites. With such a considerable amount of acreage dedicated to government purposes, several unusual conditions of title, deed restrictions, and constraints on land conveyance exists which prevents the sale of land to the private sector and limits the development of commercial sites. <i>According to the Division of Environmental Resources Management a significant portion of Metrozoo also contains areas of natural forest communities and endangered lands consisting primarily of intact pine rocklands. As such, development within Metrozoo is further restricted by the desire to maintain natural preserve areas which must be considered in the design, planning and permitting of future development projects.</i> • Government Owned Property with Adverse Environmental Conditions – There exists several environmentally contaminated government owned properties at the site of the former Naval Air Station Richmond. Contaminants include petroleum, lead, and arsenic resulting from various forms of industrial and military use over the course of several decades. <p>Based on the conditions outlined above, designation of the Metr zoo-Richmond Heights study area as a Community Redevelopment Area may be deemed appropriate. The following redevelopment activities are recommended as areas of redevelopment focus designed to revitalize and improve existing conditions within the proposed Community Redevelopment district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrading transportation infrastructure to decrease drive times and accommodate future growth; • Redeveloping parking facilities in order to ensure the highest, best and most efficient use of land; • Infill development on vacant or underutilized land to facilitate more appropriate building density patterns; • Demolishing or rehabilitating dilapidated or deteriorated structures; • Brownfield remediation and redevelopment; and • Commercial revitalization, small business development and economic development activities. <p><u>Additional Information – Committee Discussion Regarding Proposed Resolution:</u> <i>During the Health and Social Services Committee meeting on December 8, 2014, the proposed resolution, legislative file 142509, was discussed. The Committee asked whether or not CRA funds could be used to improve the roads beyond the CRA boundaries. A representative from the Office of Management and Budget confirmed that road impact fees could be used for that purpose. He said that projects usually paid road impact fees, and as road impact districts were quite large, these fees could be sufficient to cover road improvements beyond the CRAs. The Committee then asked if the funds would cover improvements to the zoo, to which the representative from the Office of Management and Budget stated that it would depend on the redevelopment plan; however, there may be restrictions on using CRA funding for that particular use.</i></p>
<p>5D 150253</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING DELETION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NOS. 242.1 - "NEW FAMILY UNITS AT VICTORY HOMES," 244.1 - "NEW ELDERLY UNITS AT ELIZABETH VIRRRICK II," AND 247 - "NEW FAMILY UNITS AT LINCOLN GARDENS" AND ADDITION OF PROJECT NO. 352 - "NEW FAMILY UNITS AT LIBERTY SQUARE AND LINCOLN GARDENS" WITH AN ALLOCATION OF \$32,243,000.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NOS. 242.1, 244.1 AND 247, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-918-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; AND WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deletes the following Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Project No. 242.1 – New Family Units at Victory Homes- \$10 million; ○ Project No. 244.1 – New Elderly Units at Elizabeth Virrrick II- \$10 million; and ○ Project No. 247 – New Family Units at Lincoln Gardens- \$12.3 million. • Approves the declaration of \$32,243,000 from the deleted projects as surplus funds in accordance with Implementing Order (IO) 3-47; • Waives the provisions of IO 3-47 regarding the addition of new projects to the Bond Program; and • Reallocates the surplus funds from the aforementioned projects for the addition of a new Bond Program Project 352 - New Family Units at Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens. <p>The new Project No. 352- New Family Units at Liberty Square and Lincoln Gardens provides for the demolition and new construction of public housing and affordable units at Liberty Square located at 1415 NW 63 Street, Miami, FL 33147 and Lincoln Gardens located at 1200 NW 62 Lane, Miami, FL 33147 and an allocation equal to \$32,243,000. The new Project No. 352 would include the Lincoln Gardens vacant site and the Liberty Square public housing site approximately two (2) miles away. This will allow construction to begin at the vacant site and phasing of demolition and new construction to follow to replace all existing units with new units and amenities. A mixed-income/mixed use approach will be required. The Bond Program Citizens' Advisory Committee voted to recommend this item at its January 30, 2015 meeting.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>5E 150080</p> <p>8L1 150146</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATIONS OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 138 - "TAMIAMI SWING BRIDGE" TO REDUCE ITS ALLOCATION OF \$19,000,000.00 TO \$15,135,870.00 AND PROJECT NO. 348 - "WEST VENETIAN BASCULE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT" TO INCREASE ITS ALLOCATION BY \$3,864,130.00 OF SURPLUS FUNDS FROM PROJECT NO. 138 FOR A TOTAL ALLOCATION OF \$13,964,130, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-914-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8L1]</p> <p>RESOLUTION GRANTING TO THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF A PORTION OF THE WEST VENETIAN BASCULE BRIDGE, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT FOR DESIGN BUILD SERVICES WITH GLF CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION IN A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$12,433,100.00 FUNDED WITH BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS, AND RATIFYING THE CONTRACT IN FURTHERANCE OF RESOLUTION NO. R-468-14, ALL SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATIONS OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 138 - "TAMIAMI SWING BRIDGE" AND PROJECT NO. 348 - "WEST VENETIAN BASCULE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT" [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5E]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>5E The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves a significant modification to Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (Bond) Program Project No. 138 – Tamiami Swing Bridge- to reduce the original allocation of \$19,000,000 assigned to the project by \$3,864,130 to \$15,135,870. • Pursuant to Implementing Order (IO) 3-47, designates \$3,864,130 as surplus funds. • Approves a significant modification to Bond Program Project No. 348 – West Venetian Bascule Bridge Replacement to increase its total allocation from \$10,100,000 to \$13,964,130 in order to address the budget shortfall in Project No. 348. <p>There is insufficient funding for the Venetian Bridge Restoration and programmed GOB funding will be reallocated to cover the gap. The Venetian Bridge Restoration does not qualify for RIF funding while the Tamiami Swing Bridge is eligible for RIF and will be replenished with RIF funding. The amount of RIF funding to be added for Renovation of the Tamiami Swing Bridge is to be the same as the GOB funding being reallocated from Renovation of the Tamiami Swing Bridge to Venetian Bridge Restoration - \$3,864,130.</p> <p>IO 3-47, which governs the BBC GOB program, stipulates that an application of surplus funds to fund a project with a budget shortfall needs to be presented to the BBC GOB Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC). Accordingly, at its January 30, 2015 meeting, this item was presented to the BBC GOB Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) for its review and received a favorable recommendation.</p> <p>Background On May 20, 2014, the Board approved Resolution R-466-14, which approved the significant modifications of Bond Program Project Nos. 132, 133 and the deletion of Bond Program Project No. 137, in order to obtain \$10.1 million of surplus funds. That same Resolution No. R-466-14 then added new Bond Program Project 348 – West Venetian Bascule Bridge Replacement. The Board allocated the \$10,100,000 in Bond Program surplus funds taken from Project Nos. 132, 133, and 137 to Project 348 based on cost estimates.</p> <p>However, during the procurement process, it was determined that the actual cost to replace the Bridge exceeded the estimated cost by \$2,333,100, which would be made available by approval of this item.</p> <p>As referenced in my Memo to the Board dated April 11, 2014, temporary steel plates have been installed to address damage to the Bridge's deck and, as a preventative measure, in other areas identified during inspections by PWWM staff and an FDOT bridge inspection consultant. Additionally, signage was installed reducing allowable loads as a result of load ratings conducted by FDOT. Specifically, these new load ratings increased weight restrictions from 15 tons to 11 tons on all bridges except the Bridge which was posted at five (5) tons and the easternmost Bridge (No. 874481) on the Causeway which was posted at sixteen (16) tons.</p> <p>As such, the need to expedite the replacement of the affected segment of the Bridge is critical to avoid any further damage to the Bridge deck and the associated costs for temporary repairs, and impacts to the travelling public.</p> <p>A recommendation for award of a Design/Build contract to effectuate the complete replacement of the affected segment of the Bridge is being finalized. Construction is expected to commence within the next three (3) months. Actual construction is expected to take six (6) to nine (9) months thereafter, during which time the Bridge will be closed to all traffic. The work will consist of the complete demolition of the existing Bridge, and the construction of an entirely new bridge from foundations to bridge deck. Once completed, the current five (5) ton weight restriction will be removed and the life expectancy of the West Venetian Bascule Bridge will be 60 years.</p> <p>8L1 The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grants to the Mayor additional expenditure authority to enter into a contract for Design-Build Services for the Project entitled Venetian Causeway Bridge Rehabilitation, Bridge No. 874459 (Project No. DB14-PWWM-01; Contract No. 20140063) for the replacement of a portion of the West Venetian Bascule Bridge; • Authorizes execution of contract for design build services with GLF Construction Corporation for a total contract amount of \$12,433,100 funded from the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond funds in substantially the form attached; and • Ratifies the contract in furtherance of Resolution No. R-468-14 approved by this Board on May 20, 2014, in all cases subject to this Board's approval of significant modifications to Bond Program Project No. 138 – Tamiami Swing Bridge (Project No. 138) to reduce its allocation and Project No. 348 – "West Venetian Bascule Bridge Replacement" to increase allocation by \$3,864,130 of

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes				
	<p>surplus funds from Project No. 138.</p> <p>Work under this Contract includes the furnishing of all professional services, supervision, labor, materials, tools, equipment and performing all operations required to construct the work in accordance with the Contract Documents. Additionally, all design and construction services necessary to rehabilitate the westernmost portion of Bridge No. 874459/Venetian Causeway (Bridge) in Miami-Dade County by removing and disposing, in an artificial reef, approximately 730'-9" of the bridge's westernmost superstructure and substructure including the first abutment, existing bulkhead, and the expansion joint at the end of the cantilevered beams, and replacing with a new complete bridge superstructure, substructure, approach roadway, and lighting meeting the requirements of the Contract Documents.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Due to the structural condition of the Bridge, and the need for expedited repairs, on May 20, 2014 the Board approved Resolution No. 468-14 which waived competitive bid selection requirements, including all protest procedures, and granted the County Mayor the authority to enter into a contract for design-build services.</p> <p>A two-step selection process was utilized for this design-build solicitation, Step 1 – Evaluation of Qualifications and Step 2 – Evaluation of Technical and Price Proposal. Based on the results of this process, GLF was recommended for award.</p> <p>The Causeway is listed in the National Register of Historic Places, and the Cities of Miami and Miami Beach have also designated the Causeway as a local historic landmark. Therefore, Design-Builder must coordinate the work with all applicable agencies, obtain their written approval of the work, and provide a new bridge superstructure and substructure that preserves and matches all historic aspects and bridge characteristics of the existing structures, while meeting all current standards as specified in the Contract Documents.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: BBC GOB 603210 \$10,100,000.00; BBC GOB 604790 \$2,333,100.00</p> <p>Total Amount: \$12,433,100.00</p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance. The life expectancy of the bridge is approximately 60 years, and approximately 30 years for the roadway.</p> <p><u>Additional Information and Relevant Legislation</u></p> <p>On May 20, 2014, the BCC, through R-466-14, provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved significant modification to Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program Project No. 132 – Sonovoid Bridge Improvement Program, to reduce the original BBC GOB funds allocation of \$10,100,000 assigned to the project down to \$3,300,000, which represented approximate monies already spent towards improvements on this project, and reallocate \$6,800,000 to a new Project No. 348 for the Venetian Causeway; • Approved significant modification to BBC GOB Program Project No. 133 – SW 296 Street Sonovoid Bridge over C-103 (874105) Canal, to reduce the original BBC GOB funds allocation of \$400,000 assigned to the project down to \$100,000 and reallocate \$300,000 to a new Project No. 348 for the Venetian Causeway; • Approved the deletion of BBC GOB Program Project No 137 – Palmer Lake Bridge, with an original allocation of \$3,000,000 to reallocate these BBC GOB funds to the new Project No. 348 for the Venetian Causeway. • Waived provisions of IO 3-47; and • Reallocated surplus BBC GOB Program funds from Project Nos. 132, 133, and 137 to new BBC GOB Program Project No. 348 "West Venetian Bascule Bridge Replacement" with a total allocation of \$10,100,000. <p>Additionally, on May 20, 2014, the BCC, through R- 468-14, authorized the Mayor or designee to contract for the emergency replacement of approximately the Westernmost 730' of the West Venetian Bascule Bridge (Bridge Segment) on the Venetian Causeway (Causeway) providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waived competitive bids, in order to negotiate and contract with the best qualified design-build firm that can be identified as available to perform the work; • Waived Miami-Dade County's procedures for the acquisition of professional engineering services pursuant to Section 2-10.4(8)(b)(i) of the Miami-Dade County Code and Section 287.055(3)(a)(1) of the Florida Statutes, authorizing the retaining of an engineering consultant as necessary to address the emergency condition of the Bridge Segments, including the preparation of plans and specifications, and the performing of inspections and testing; • Waived Section 2-8.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County regarding protest procedures; and • Authorized funding for the work set forth above in an amount not to exceed \$10,100,000. <table border="1" data-bbox="272 1728 1484 1906"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="272 1728 1484 1780">Additional Information- Background and Relevant Legislation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1780 467 1906"> May 6, 2008 R-501-08 </td><td data-bbox="467 1780 1484 1906"> <p>This resolution authorized the County Mayor to execute a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement, with the FDOT in the amount of \$5,500,000, for the rehabilitation of the Venetian Causeway bridges.</p> <p>The total estimated cost of the project is \$11,000,000. FDOT has programmed CIGP funding for this project in the amount of \$5,500,000. The project is listed in the 2008 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in the Primary</p> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information- Background and Relevant Legislation		May 6, 2008 R-501-08	<p>This resolution authorized the County Mayor to execute a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement, with the FDOT in the amount of \$5,500,000, for the rehabilitation of the Venetian Causeway bridges.</p> <p>The total estimated cost of the project is \$11,000,000. FDOT has programmed CIGP funding for this project in the amount of \$5,500,000. The project is listed in the 2008 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in the Primary</p>
Additional Information- Background and Relevant Legislation					
May 6, 2008 R-501-08	<p>This resolution authorized the County Mayor to execute a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement, with the FDOT in the amount of \$5,500,000, for the rehabilitation of the Venetian Causeway bridges.</p> <p>The total estimated cost of the project is \$11,000,000. FDOT has programmed CIGP funding for this project in the amount of \$5,500,000. The project is listed in the 2008 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) in the Primary</p>				

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>State Highways and Intermodal Section. A local match in the amount of \$5,500,000 is required from the County, and will be provided by Public Works Department (PWD) Causeways Toll Revenue (\$3,000,000), and loan proceeds from the Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission (\$2,500,000).</p> <p>PWD is working concurrently on the design of the future replacement bridges.</p> <p>Comments <i>In response to Commissioner's question regarding how long this restoration would last, Public Works Department Director, noted it should last about 10 years.</i></p>
<p>July 7, 2011 R-546-11</p>	<p>This resolution authorized execution of a First Amendment to the CIGP Agreement between the County and FDOT for the rehabilitation of the Venetian Causeway Bridges.</p> <p>The current CIGP Agreement between FDOT and Miami-Dade County for the rehabilitation of the Venetian Causeway Bridges is for \$11,000,000. The County is required to make a local match of 50 percent (\$5,500,000), which is to be provided by PWD, Causeways Toll Revenue (\$3,000,000) and loan proceeds from the Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission (\$2,500,000).</p> <p>The Project was let by the County with bids returning lower than expected Project costs. The Project will be utilizing \$8,000,800 (\$4,000,400 from CIGP and \$4,000,400 from the County). Due to the lower than expected construction costs for the Project, FDOT and the County have agreed to reallocate the excess funds to two other projects. Of the available excess construction funds (\$2,999,200), \$1,249,200 will be reallocated towards the Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS) Project and \$1,750,000 for a PD&E Study of the Venetian Causeway Bridges.</p> <p>Background At the request of the County, the first reallocation addresses a previous administrative adjustment by FDOT in April 2009 that reduced funding by \$1,249,200 for the ATMS. The County has also requested that FDOT perform a PD&E Study for the rehabilitation and/or replacement of the 12 existing Venetian Causeway bridges. This study is necessary for the County to apply for future federal construction funding. The PD&E Study is currently unfunded, and therefore the County has requested an allocation of \$1,750,000 from the available Project construction funds for the PD&E Study.</p>
<p>July 7, 2011 R-547-11</p>	<p>This resolution authorized execution of a Locally Funded Agreement (LFA) and Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the County and FDOT to fund the PD&E Study for the Venetian Causeway Bridges.</p> <p>The total cost of the PD&E Study is estimated at \$1,750,000. FDOT will provide a 50% match (\$875,000) by reallocating County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) funds that have become available through the First Amendment to the CIGP Agreement. This will be FDOT's maximum participation in the PD&E Study.</p> <p>The County will provide a local contribution to FDOT in the amount of \$875,000 for the payment of costs associated with the PD&E Study from Public Works Department Causeways Division Series 2010 Bond proceeds. Should the costs for the PD&E Study exceed \$1,750,000, additional funding will be required from the County pursuant to future approval by the BCC.</p> <p>A consulting firm will be selected by FDOT to carry out the PD&E Study and FDOT will be the primary manager. PWD is responsible for monitoring this project on behalf of the County. A PD&E Study is required in order to have the project's construction be eligible to receive federal funding. The PD&E takes into account viable replacement alternatives, the historic nature of the bridges, public and stakeholder concerns, environmental impacts, and potential costs.</p> <p>This PD&E will address viable replacement alternatives, the historic nature of the bridges, public and stakeholder concerns, environmental impacts, and potential costs. The PD&E is expected to be completed in four (4) to five (5) years depending on the level of effort required by the Federal Highway Administration, as the entity responsible for the review and approval of the study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to PWWM, the firm conducting the PD&E study is EAC Consulting, Inc. and the estimated completion date is not certain at this time because the first level of findings scheduled to be completed in April 2017 may dictate a more detailed study that would be completed around April 2019.
<p>November 5, 2013 R-906-13</p>	<p>This resolution provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authorized the County to contribute an additional \$516,483.50 as its share of the LFA between the County and FDOT for the PD&E Study for the Venetian Causeway Bridges; and Authorized the Mayor to execute a further amendment to the contract in an amount not to exceed an additional \$570,687.50 in the event that the class of action for the PD&E Study is elevated from an Environmental Assessment to an Environmental Impact Statement.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The total estimated cost to fund the PD&E Study has increased from \$1,750,000.00 to \$2,782,967.00. This increase is attributed to the need to fully address considerations such as potential historic and environmental impacts and more extensive public involvement.</p> <p>Furthermore, if the class action of the PD&E Study is elevated from an Environmental Assessment to an Environmental Impact Statement, it will require a further increase from the above \$2,782,967.00 to \$3,924,342.00. The County will also be responsible for a fifty percent (50%) local contribution, thereby increasing the County's participation an additional amount of \$570,687.50, from \$1,391,483.50 to a total amount of \$1,962,171.00.</p>
<p>7A 142300</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE REPAIR; AMENDING SECTIONS 8A-161.1, 8A-161.3, 8A-161.34 AND 8A-161.34.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; MODIFYING EXEMPTIONS PERTAINING TO MOTOR VEHICLE REPAIR; MODIFYING PAINT AND BODY REPAIR FACILITY SUBCONTRACTING PROVISIONS; MODIFYING PROVISIONS PERTAINING TO ITEMS REQUIRED FOR MOTOR VEHICLE REPAIR AND PAINT FACILITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Motor Vehicle Repair, amends Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.3, 8A-161.34 and 8A-161.34.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), modifying exemptions pertaining to motor vehicle repair, modifying paint and body repair facility subcontracting provisions, and modifying provisions pertaining to items required for motor vehicle repair and paint facilities.</p> <p><u>Sec. 8A-161.1 Definitions</u> Owned fleets shall mean heavy-duty truck(s) owned by a person and used for commercial business purposes, regardless of whether the heavy-duty truck(s) are leased to another person as part of the business.</p> <p><u>Sec. 8A-161.3 Exemptions; conflict</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When engaged primarily in the activity of servicing owned fleets, performs or offers to perform repair work on non-owned fleets, provided that all of the following conditions are satisfied: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The person performs repair work for non-owned commercial fleets comprised solely of heavy-duty trucks; ○ The repair work is performed on the basis of a written contract or other service agreement for a duration of no less than one (1) year, executed by the owner of the commercial fleet and the person performing the repair work; ○ The repairs are performed by an employee of the person; ○ Revenue generated from repair work on non-owned fleets does not exceed 10 percent of total revenues; ○ The person submits to the Department an application for exemption on a form prescribed by the Director, which shall contain all the information required by that form, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Sufficient information to identify the applicant, including the applicant's full legal name, date of birth or date of formation of legal entity, telephone numbers, all business addresses, and any trade name under which the applicant operates, intends to operate, or has previously operated. Post office box addresses shall not be accepted; ii. Documentation demonstrating that all corporate or partnership applicants are qualified to do business under the laws of Florida; iii. Documentation demonstrating applicant has satisfied the conditions set forth in subsections (a), (b), (c) and (d) above; and iv. A photocopy of the local business tax receipt of the applicant ○ A sign measuring not less than two (2) feet in width and three (3) feet in length is posted in a conspicuous place inside the business premises announcing, in legible written form, the following: "This facility is not a motor vehicle repair shop and is only authorized to perform repair work on commercial fleets comprised solely of heavy-duty trucks based upon a pre-existing contract or service agreement. This facility and its employees are not permitted to charge anyone for performing heavy-duty truck repairs outside of the terms of the aforementioned contract or service agreement." <p><u>Sec. 8A-161.34 Items required for motor vehicle body repair facilities and motor vehicle paint facilities</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upon the expiration of any garage liability insurance or garage-keepers legal liability insurance policy, each motor vehicle body repair facility and each motor vehicle paint facility shall provide the Director proof of garage liability insurance and garage-keepers legal liability insurance in the form of current certificates of insurance. • Guarantees for workmanship of repair work, including paint and material, if any, which shall be prominently displayed to the public on the premises, and shall state the mileage or time periods for which the guarantees are effective. • Each motor vehicle body repair facility and each motor vehicle paint facility shall possess the specialized equipment identified in the list of motor vehicle repair specialized equipment established by implementing order, and all such equipment shall be operable. • Notwithstanding the foregoing, each motor vehicle body repair facility or motor vehicle paint facility which employs at least one certified painting and refinishing technician, or one non-structural analysis and damage repair technician, or one structural analysis and damage repair technician, and holds itself out as providing specified repair services, but does not have in its employ at least one certified technician in all three of the abovementioned categories, may be deemed to comply, provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The motor vehicle body repair facility or motor vehicle paint facility has disclosed on its application that it subcontracts with another facility and the subcontracted facility employs at least one certified painting and refinishing technician, or one non-structural analysis and damage repair technician, or one structural analysis and damage repair technician, and has received a motor vehicle repair registration from the Director; and ○ The motor vehicle body repair facility or motor vehicle paint facility obtains from the customer, regardless of whether the

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<p>customer leaves the motor vehicle at the facility during hours when the shop is not open or if the customer permits the shop or another person to deliver the motor vehicle to the facility, prior to the commencement of the repair work, a signed acknowledgment related specifically to the category of repairs to be subcontracted and which discloses the following language in no less than ten-point bold face type:</p> <p>“This shop does not have a certified painting and refinishing technician in its employ for (describe the type of repair work). Repairs of this type will be subcontracted to another motor vehicle repair shop identified as (state the registration number)”;</p> <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ “This shop does not have a certified structural analysis and damage repair technician in its employ for (describe the type of repair work). Repairs of this type will be subcontracted to another motor vehicle repair shop identified as (state the registration number).” Or <p>“This shop does not have a certified non-structural analysis and damage repair technician in its employ for (describe the type of repair work). Repairs of this type will be subcontracted to another motor vehicle repair shop identified as (state the registration number).”</p> <p><u>Sec. 8A-161.34.1 Items required for motor vehicle mechanical repair facilities</u></p> <p>In addition to all other requirements of this article, all motor vehicle mechanical repair facilities shall have the following: Proof of garage liability insurance in an amount not less than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00), combined single limit, and garage-keepers legal liability insurance. Upon the expiration of any garage liability insurance or garage-keepers legal liability insurance policy, each motor vehicle mechanical repair facility shall provide the Director proof of garage liability insurance and garage-keepers legal liability insurance in the form of current certificates of insurance.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on February 11, 2015, a representative from the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources Office of Consumer Protection clarified the proposed ordinance by explaining that the proposed ordinance is essentially a carve-out for businesses that do maintenance on their own vehicles and would not require these businesses to register under the proposed ordinance.</i></p>																				
7B 150002	ORDINANCE RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE REPAIR; AMENDING SECTIONS 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, AND 8A-161.27 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING RECOGNITION OF MECHANICS CERTIFICATION EXAMINATION BY AMERICAN ADVANCED TECHNICIANS INSTITUTE (AATI); MODIFYING EXEMPTION FROM DIRECTOR'S CERTIFICATION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142298]																				
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to motor vehicle repair, amends Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, and 8A-161.27 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), providing recognition of mechanics certification examination by American Advanced Technicians Institute (AATI), and modifying exemption from Director’s certification.</p> <p>Implementation of this ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, and 8A-161.27 of the Code Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance</i></th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 8A-161.1(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance - Definitions</td><td>N/A</td><td>AATI shall mean the certification examinations designed and administered by the American Advanced Technicians Institute.</td><td><i>Adds AATI, and renumbers remaining subsection of the Code.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 8A-161.26(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Certification Required; Contents of Application.</td><td>Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.</td><td>Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the AATI examination, the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.</td><td><i>Provides recognition of mechanics certification examination by AATI.</i></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 8A-161.27(1) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Examination of Mechanics and</td><td>Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an ASE examination or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant's competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the</td><td>Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an AATI examination, an ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant’s competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the</td><td><i>Providing recognition of mechanics certification of AATI examination.</i></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, and 8A-161.27 of the Code Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance</i>				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 8A-161.1(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance - Definitions	N/A	AATI shall mean the certification examinations designed and administered by the American Advanced Technicians Institute.	<i>Adds AATI, and renumbers remaining subsection of the Code.</i>	Sec. 8A-161.26(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Certification Required; Contents of Application.	Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.	Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the AATI examination , the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.	<i>Provides recognition of mechanics certification examination by AATI.</i>	Sec. 8A-161.27(1) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Examination of Mechanics and	Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an ASE examination or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant's competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the	Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an AATI examination , an ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant’s competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the	<i>Providing recognition of mechanics certification of AATI examination.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments <i>Sections 8A-161.1, 8A-161.26, and 8A-161.27 of the Code Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance</i>																					
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes																		
Sec. 8A-161.1(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance - Definitions	N/A	AATI shall mean the certification examinations designed and administered by the American Advanced Technicians Institute.	<i>Adds AATI, and renumbers remaining subsection of the Code.</i>																		
Sec. 8A-161.26(c) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Certification Required; Contents of Application.	Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.	Documentation demonstrating that the applicant has successfully passed the AATI examination , the ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, in the specific repair work categories for which the applicant is applying.	<i>Provides recognition of mechanics certification examination by AATI.</i>																		
Sec. 8A-161.27(1) Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Examination of Mechanics and	Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an ASE examination or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant's competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the	Effective January 1, 1999, all applicants for certificates shall, every five (5) years, be required to have passed an AATI examination , an ASE examination, or other examination approved by the Director, designed to test the applicant’s competency to correctly diagnose and perform repair work in the specific repair work certification category for which the	<i>Providing recognition of mechanics certification of AATI examination.</i>																		

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>Technicians Required; Contents of Examination; Testing by Other Agencies.</p>	<p>applicant is applying. The examination shall be offered in English, Spanish and Creole; provided, however, in the event an examination in three (3) languages is not developed and ready for implementation by October 1, 1998, the requirement that the examination be offered in three (3) languages shall be eliminated. In addition to ASE, the Director may approve additional agencies for the purpose of administering such examinations.</p>	<p>applicant is applying. The examination shall be offered in English, Spanish and Creole; provided, however, in the event an examination in three (3) languages is not developed and ready for implementation by October 1, 1998, the requirement that the examination be offered in three (3) languages shall be eliminated. In addition to AATI and ASE, the Director may approve additional agencies for the purpose of administering such examinations.</p>	
	<p>Sec. 8A-161.27(2)</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Repair Ordinance – Examination of Mechanics and Technicians Required; Contents of Examination; Testing by Other Agencies.</p>	<p>Any person who demonstrates, in a sworn statement approved by the Director, that as of December 31, 1998, he or she had performed motor vehicle repair or paint and body work for at least twenty (20) years and he or she was at least sixty (60) years of age on December 31, 1998, may obtain a certificate, provided the person meets all other requirements of this article.</p>	<p>Any person who previously obtained certification pursuant to Section 8A-161.26 and who demonstrates, in a sworn statement approved by the Director, that he or she has performed motor vehicle repair or paint and body work for the past ten (10) years, and that he or she is at least sixty (60) years of age, may obtain a certificate without having to, every five (5) years, pass an examination administered by AATI, ASE, or other agency approved by the Director, provided the person meets all other requirements of this article and earns annually at least eight (8) of the required sixteen (16) hours of continuing education in an area specific to the person's certification category, as set forth in Section 8A-161.25, and as identified on the sworn statement referenced in this subsection.</p>	<p><i>Modifies exemption from Director's certification.</i></p>
<p>7C 150414</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, REGARDING PREPARATION OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS; ESTABLISHING A TIMEFRAME WITHIN WHICH A PRIME SPONSOR MUST REQUEST PLACEMENT OF AN ITEM ON AN AGENDA; PROHIBITING ANOTHER COUNTY COMMISSIONER FROM REQUESTING THAT THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEM BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA DURING SUCH TIMEFRAME; ALLOWING ANOTHER COUNTY COMMISSIONER TO REQUEST THAT THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEM BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF SUCH TIMEFRAME; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142691]</p>			
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to Rules of Procedure of the Board of County Commissioners, amends Section 2-1 of the Miami-Dade County Code regarding preparation of ordinances and resolutions providing for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes a timeframe within which a prime sponsor must request placement of an item on an agenda; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The prime sponsor will have six (6) months from the date of the request for legislation within which to submit the item to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the appropriate agenda.</i> • Prohibits another County Commissioner from requesting that the same or similar item be placed on the agenda during such timeframe; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Prior to submission by the prime sponsor of the item to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the appropriate agenda, no other Commissioner may request that the same or substantially similar item be placed on the agenda.</i> • Allows another County Commissioner to request that the same or similar item be placed on the agenda after the expiration of such timeframe. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>If a requested item is not submitted by the prime sponsor to the Chairperson of the County Commission for placement on the agenda within six months from the date of the request for the legislation, any other Commissioner may request that the same or substantially similar item be prepared for placement on the agenda.</i> <p>The proposed ordinance was amended at the February 10, 2015, Strategic Planning & Government Operations Committee meeting to include the following provision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the requested ordinance or resolution is not ready to be submitted to the Chairperson of the County Commission within six months from the date of request due to circumstances beyond control of the prime sponsor the Board of County Commissioners may by a motion or resolution waive the six month requirement and propose another time period within which the prime sponsor may submit the item to the Chairperson. The item was also amended to require the scheduling of a Sunshine Meeting, if requested, prior to the implementation of this proposed amendment.</i> 			
<p>7D 150354</p>	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.1.1.1.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO CREATE CERTIFICATION TIERS BASED UPON THREE YEAR AVERAGE GROSS REVENUES, REMOVE CERTIFICATION FOR WHOLESALERS AND MANUFACTURERS BASED UPON NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, PROVIDE VIRTUAL OFFICE DEFINITION, REQUIRE ONE YEAR</p>			

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes												
	DOING BUSINESS IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION, REQUIRE QUARTERLY REPORTING OF CONTRACTS TO CERTIFIED FIRMS BY PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, ALLOW APPLICATION FOR RECERTIFICATION AFTER SUBMITTAL OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WELL-BEING OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 141585]												
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to Small Business Enterprise program, amends Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amends graduation definition to include personal net worth;• Removes the definition of Micro Enterprise from the Code;• Review Committee will make recommendations as needed;• Require quarterly reporting of contracts to certified firms by the Public Health Trust;• Specifies tier system under contracts of \$100,000 or less; and• Increases the threshold for the administrative review of proposed contracts from the current \$50,000 to \$100,000. <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution includes the following changes:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(22) Definitions – Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</td><td><p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three-year average gross revenues does not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00). The term Small Business Enterprise shall also include a manufacturer with one hundred (100) employees or less or wholesaler with fifty (50) employees or less without regard to gross revenues whose actual place of business is located in Miami-Dade County. Representations as to a business entity's average gross revenues and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p><p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE/<i>Micro-SBE</i> size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013—2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.</p></td><td><p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and whose three year average gross revenues does not exceed the following contracting participation levels:</p><p>(i) Tier 1 – \$0 to \$750,000; (ii) Tier 2 – \$750,001 to \$2,000,000; (iii) Tier 3 – \$2,000,001 to \$5,000,000; or</p><p>No firm shall be certified as a SBE where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of: (a) the value of the primary residence for which there is a homestead exemption; (b) the value of the business; and (c) funds invested in an individual retirement account (“IRA”), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to a business entity’s average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p><p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013-2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012,</p></td><td><p><i>Create certification tiers based upon three year average gross revenues.</i></p><p><i>Remove certification for wholesalers and manufacturers based upon number of employees.</i></p><p><i>Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification.</i></p><p><i>Specifies that SBEs have an actual place of business and are not virtual offices.</i></p><p><i>Amends SBE definition to include personal net worth.</i></p><p><i>Renumbers subsection to Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(21).</i></p></td></tr></table>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes	Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(22) Definitions – Small Business Enterprise (SBE)	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three-year average gross revenues does not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00). The term Small Business Enterprise shall also include a manufacturer with one hundred (100) employees or less or wholesaler with fifty (50) employees or less without regard to gross revenues whose actual place of business is located in Miami-Dade County. Representations as to a business entity's average gross revenues and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE/<i>Micro-SBE</i> size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013—2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.</p>	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and whose three year average gross revenues does not exceed the following contracting participation levels:</p> <p>(i) Tier 1 – \$0 to \$750,000; (ii) Tier 2 – \$750,001 to \$2,000,000; (iii) Tier 3 – \$2,000,001 to \$5,000,000; or</p> <p>No firm shall be certified as a SBE where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of: (a) the value of the primary residence for which there is a homestead exemption; (b) the value of the business; and (c) funds invested in an individual retirement account (“IRA”), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to a business entity’s average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013-2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012,</p>	<p><i>Create certification tiers based upon three year average gross revenues.</i></p> <p><i>Remove certification for wholesalers and manufacturers based upon number of employees.</i></p> <p><i>Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification.</i></p> <p><i>Specifies that SBEs have an actual place of business and are not virtual offices.</i></p> <p><i>Amends SBE definition to include personal net worth.</i></p> <p><i>Renumbers subsection to Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(21).</i></p>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments* Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code, Small Business Enterprise													
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments <i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>	Notes										
Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(22) Definitions – Small Business Enterprise (SBE)	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County and whose three-year average gross revenues does not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00). The term Small Business Enterprise shall also include a manufacturer with one hundred (100) employees or less or wholesaler with fifty (50) employees or less without regard to gross revenues whose actual place of business is located in Miami-Dade County. Representations as to a business entity's average gross revenues and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE/<i>Micro-SBE</i> size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013—2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012, and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.</p>	<p><i>Small Business Enterprise (SBE)</i> means a business entity certified by SBD, providing goods or services, which has a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office, and whose three year average gross revenues does not exceed the following contracting participation levels:</p> <p>(i) Tier 1 – \$0 to \$750,000; (ii) Tier 2 – \$750,001 to \$2,000,000; (iii) Tier 3 – \$2,000,001 to \$5,000,000; or</p> <p>No firm shall be certified as a SBE where the personal net worth of any of its owners is more than one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000), exclusive of: (a) the value of the primary residence for which there is a homestead exemption; (b) the value of the business; and (c) funds invested in an individual retirement account (“IRA”), 401k, pension, or other official retirement account. The owner MUST provide information about the terms and restrictions of the account(s) to SBD, and certify that the retirement account(s) is legitimate. Representations as to a business entity’s average gross revenues, personal net worth of owners and payroll shall be subject to audit.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee shall be authorized to adjust the SBE size limits every five (5) years at his/her discretion based on the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce or other appropriate tool of inflation measures as applied to Miami-Dade County for the preceding five (5) years. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2013-2014 calendar year using the figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2012,</p>	<p><i>Create certification tiers based upon three year average gross revenues.</i></p> <p><i>Remove certification for wholesalers and manufacturers based upon number of employees.</i></p> <p><i>Require one year doing business in Miami-Dade County prior to certification.</i></p> <p><i>Specifies that SBEs have an actual place of business and are not virtual offices.</i></p> <p><i>Amends SBE definition to include personal net worth.</i></p> <p><i>Renumbers subsection to Sec. 2-8.1.1.1.1(2)(21).</i></p>										

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
			and every five (5) years thereafter. The County Mayor or designee shall advise the Board of any such adjustment.	
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(2)(25) Definitions – Virtual Office	N/A	Virtual Office means an agreement that provides a receptionist, mail and facsimile services, and similar services, that give the appearance of having a business presence at a location, but the business entity has no ongoing, full-time physical presence in the building. Virtual Offices are invalid for certification purposes.	<i>Provide virtual office definition.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(1) (a) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Set Asides	Competitive bidding requirements may be waived (by the County Manager or County Commission depending on whether the amount of the contract is above or below the minimum amount established by ordinance for competitive bidding) for a contract and the contract set-aside for bidding solely by SBEs where prior to bid advertisement, there are at least three (3) available SBEs to perform the contract, and where such set-aside is in the best interest of the County.	Competitive bidding requirements may be waived (by the County Manager or County Commission depending on whether the amount of the contract is above or below the minimum amount established by ordinance for competitive bidding) for a contract and the contract set-aside for bidding solely by SBEs where prior to bid advertisement, there are at least three (3) available SBEs to perform the contract, and where such set-aside is in the best interest of the County. Where applicable: (i) contracts from \$100,000 to \$750,000 shall be set-aside for Tier 1 SBEs; contracts from \$750,001 to \$2 million shall be set aside for Tier 2 SBEs; (iii) contracts from \$2,000,001 to \$5 million shall be set aside for Tier 3 SBEs.	<i>Specifies tier system under contracts greater than \$100,000 - set asides.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(1) (b) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Set Asides	N/A	In the event there is no availability in the designated SBE tier, SBD may assign a set-aside to the next level tier which will retain a set-aside recommendation. Lower tier SBE firms may bid on higher tier set asides.	<i>New subsection.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(3) (c) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Set Asides	N/A	Transferring to non-SBE through subcontracting or otherwise all or part of the actual work of a set-aside contract to a non-SBE is prohibited unless such transfer receives prior approval from SBD.	<i>New subsection</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(c)(3) (b) Contracts Greater than \$100,000 – Bid Preference	The preference accorded on contracts \$1 million or less shall be ten (10) percent of the price bid. The preference accorded on contracts greater than \$1 million shall be 5% of the price bid. Preferences shall be applied to the bid price of bidders that: I. Are SBEs/ Micro Enterprises ; II. Are joint ventures with at least one SBE/ Micro Enterprises ;	The preference accorded on contracts \$1 million or less shall be ten (10) percent of the price bid for Tier 1 SBEs, five (5) percent for Tier 2 SBEs, and five (5) percent for Tier 3 SBEs. The preference accorded on contracts greater than \$1 million shall be 5% of the price bid for all tiers. Preferences shall be applied to the bid price of bidders that: I. Are SBEs; or II. Are joint ventures with at least one SBE.	<i>Specifies tier system for contracts greater than \$100,000.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1.1(3)(h)(2) Certification	Any SBE/ Micro Enterprise that exceeds the size limits shall immediately be graduated from the program after formal written notification. Such SBE/ Micro Enterprises shall be allowed to remain through the contract period on	Any SBE that exceeds the personal net worth or size limits shall immediately be graduated from the program after formal written notification. Such SBE shall be allowed to remain through the contract	<i>Amends certification to include personal net worth.</i>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
		awarded contracts and any options to renew on the contract. The graduated firm shall not be eligible for any new contracts under the SBE program under the existing certification. With exception of provisions described in the ordinance for graduation from the SBE/ Micro Enterprise program, loss of certification may lead to removal of the firm from continued participation in the Small Business Enterprise program.	period on awarded contracts and any options to renew on the contract. The graduated firm shall not be eligible for any new contracts under the SBE program under the existing certification. With exception of provisions described in the ordinance for graduation from the SBE program, loss of certification may lead to removal of the firm from continued participation in the Small Business Enterprise program. Any wholesaler or manufacturer which should immediately graduate from the program because it exceeds the size limits pursuant to this section, shall graduate six (6) months from April 1, 2015.	<i>Allows for extend the graduation date for wholesalers or manufacturers that exceed the three (3) year average gross revenue size limits imposed upon enactment of the ordinance.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1(3)(h)(4) Certification	The Department of Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify an SBE or Micro Enterprise , and shall decertify an SBE or Micro Enterprise that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a SBE or Micro Enterprise during any appeal of a decertification decision.	Small Business Development shall not certify an applicant, shall not recertify an SBE, and shall decertify an SBE that fails to comply with the criteria or procedures for obtaining or maintaining certification. SBD shall have authority to suspend the certification of a SBE during any appeal of a decertification decision. Firms that have been decertified for non-submittal of documents, may apply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified by SBD.	<i>Allows firms that have been decertified due to the non-submittal of required documents, to be able to immediately reapply for recertification once said documents have been submitted and verified, rather than waiting a year before doing so.</i>
	Sec. 2- 8.1.1.1(3)(h)(6) Certification	Applicants and certified SBEs or Micro Enterprises must have a Miami-Dade County local business tax receipt , and an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County at which they perform a commercially useful function in the provision of the applicable type of goods or services for which certification is sought in order to be eligible for certification or remain certified.	Applicants and certified SBEs must have a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification , and an actual place of business in Miami-Dade County, not a Virtual Office , at which they perform a commercially useful function in the provision of the type of goods and services for which certification is sought in order to be eligible for certification or remain certified. In addition, a firm shall not be certified unless it contributes to the economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County in a verifiable and measurable way. This may include, but not be limited to the retention and expansion of employment opportunities and the support and increase to the County's tax base.	<i>Requires a valid business tax receipt issued by Miami-Dade County at least one (1) year prior to certification, in alignment with the local preference requirements.</i> <i>Requires contribution to economic development and well-being of Miami-Dade County.</i>
	*In addition to the proposed amendments depicted by this chart, several subsections were only amended to provide the correct name of Small Business Development and change County Manager to County Mayor. Furthermore, the proposed amendments remove Micro Enterprises from this section of the Code. These amendments are <u>not</u> depicted in this chart.			
7E 141707	ORDINANCE AMENDING BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP SECTION 29-124 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ELIMINATING FARE FREE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE ON METROMOVER; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE			
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends Section 29-124 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), by a two-thirds vote of the Commission membership, eliminating fare free transportation service on Metromover. <i>According to the item, it is estimated that the initial start-up capital cost to install fare collection equipment could range between \$2.4 million to \$9 million, depending upon the level of security and method of fee collection. In addition, depending on the collection system selected, it is estimated that on-going fare collection operations could cost an average of \$525,000. Finally, assuming a fare of \$1.00, it is estimated that</i>			

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																								
	<p><i>between \$1.8 million and \$2.7 million of additional revenue will be generated, depending upon the ultimate elasticity in ridership.</i></p> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Section 29-124 of the Code</th></tr><tr><th colspan="4">Special Fund Created; Uses of Surtax Proceeds; and Role of Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust</th></tr><tr><th>Section of Code</th><th>Current Code</th><th>Proposed Amendments</th><th>Notes</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td><i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Sec. 29-124 Fee Computation by Independent Study</td><td>Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits, and to provide fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.</td><td>Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits.</td><td><i>Amends Code by removing language referring to fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.</i></td></tr></table> <p>Additional Information County Mayor's report dated February 3, 2014, titled, "Analysis Regarding the Impact of Reinstating Metromover Fees – Directive #131143 For the 12-month period ending June 2013, Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) had 98.3 million boardings on both Metrobus and Metrorail (not including Metromover). The combined operating and maintenance cost for these services was approximately \$480 million. Thus, an average cost of transporting each boarding passenger on Metrobus and Metrorail was approximately \$4.15. Currently, only an estimated 38% of all boarding passengers paid the then full \$2.00 base fare, so the subsidy was \$2.15. An estimated 23% of all passenger boardings on both Metrobus and Metrorail pay no fare, in those cases the subsidy was the full \$4.15.</p> <p>Overall, revenues from paid fares in FY 2012 (\$110 million) covered less than 23% of the operating and maintenance costs. The remaining cost was covered by subsidies from the General Fund, Federal and state grants, miscellaneous sources (e.g. advertising contracts) and the Transit Surtax (People's Transportation Plan – PTP).</p> <p>Metromover carries an estimated 9.1 million passengers per year, many of whom transfer from Metrorail and Metrobus. During the July 9, 2002 discussion of the Transit Surtax ordinance, the Board approved an amendment which provided for fare- free transportation on Metromover upon passage of the PTP, which was approved by the voters in November 2002. The fare-free Metromover is included in the annual subsidy from the PTP. Reinstatement of a fare for Metromover would require a revision to the PTP ordinance, installation of new fare collection equipment and maintenance of that equipment. If a \$0.50 fare is implemented, it is estimated that nearly \$600,000 would be collected annually. The cost for fare collection equipment for the 22 metromover stations and installation would range from a \$2.4 million honor like system (where riders would pay at a machine and retain the receipt in case they are asked to display it since there is no gate) to \$9 million for a system comparable to the one used on Metrorail. The annual cost for collecting, maintaining, and servicing the fare collection system would be approximately \$475,000. Therefore, it would take MDT approximately 5 to 10 years to recover the start-up cost.</p> <p>Reinstating a fare of \$.50 could reduce Metromover ridership with the most likely scenario that short trips would be reduced significantly. Passengers using the Easy Card would not be impacted, nor would they generate additional revenues due to the policy of no transfer cost for Easy Card users. A ridership of approximately 4.2 million would bear the brunt of the new fare and potentially be reduced to only 1.2 million passengers that would then pay a fare for this service. Metromover ridership in the next 10 years is expected to grow at a minimum by 10.5% or 1 million riders. It is important to note that according to the Miami-Dade economic profile, 32.5% of County residents earn less than \$25k per year. If a fare were reinstated, it would be a reasonable assumption that a number of riders would migrate from Metromover to the fare-free City of Miami Trolley because of economic necessity.</p> <p>Alternatively, several studies have been done that identified creative funding options. One option is a Public Private Partnership for Metromover with an organization such as the Downtown Development Authority and/or the City of Miami. This type of relationship could include sponsoring operational aspects such as aesthetics, cleaning, security, and local promotions, while MDT focuses on mechanical maintenance and customer service related expenses. Funding from the City's share of surtax funds or the possibility of creating a special taxing district has been explored recently by the CITT. Of course, the utilization of these techniques would require additional policy formulation on behalf of the Board as well as the City. Other creative options include the naming rights to the Metromover stations that the County is currently pursuing. It is not yet clear at this point how much revenue could be generated through this strategy.</p>	Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments				Section 29-124 of the Code				Special Fund Created; Uses of Surtax Proceeds; and Role of Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust				Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes			<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>		Sec. 29-124 Fee Computation by Independent Study	Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits, and to provide fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.	Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits.	<i>Amends Code by removing language referring to fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.</i>
Comparison of Current Code and the Proposed Amendments																									
Section 29-124 of the Code																									
Special Fund Created; Uses of Surtax Proceeds; and Role of Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust																									
Section of Code	Current Code	Proposed Amendments	Notes																						
		<i>Bold refers to proposed amendments.</i>																							
Sec. 29-124 Fee Computation by Independent Study	Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits, and to provide fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.	Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits.	<i>Amends Code by removing language referring to fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.</i>																						
7F 150040	ORDINANCE RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY TO PARTICIPATE AS A LEAD PUBLIC AGENCY FOR U.S. COMMUNITIES GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE; PROVIDING THAT USER ACCESS PROGRAM FEE AND INSPECTOR GENERAL FEE SHALL NOT APPLY TO CONTRACTS SUBMITTED FOR INCLUSION INTO THE U.S. COMMUNITIES GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE; APPROVING LETTER OF AGREEMENT AND LEAD PUBLIC AGENCY CERTIFICATE WITH U.S. COMMUNITIES GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE, AUTHORIZING AMENDMENTS TO SAME VIA RESOLUTION, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO																								

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT ALL LEAD AGENCY CONTRACTS TO BOARD FOR APPROVAL AND SUBMIT ANNUAL REPORTS TO BOARD ON RESULTS OF PARTICIPATION IN U.S. COMMUNITIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County to participate as a lead public agency for U.S. Communities in order to advertise up to three competitive solicitations for cooperative purchasing for inclusion into the U.S. Communities' system that can later be accessed by other agencies; • Provides that the user access fee and the Inspector General fee will not apply to, and will not be assessed on, up to three contracts submitted for inclusion into the U.S. Communities' system where the County is a lead agency; • Approves the Letter of Agreement and the Public Agency Certificate with U.S. Communities and authorizes the County Mayor, or Mayor's designee, to execute same on behalf of the County and exercise all rights contained therein; and • Directs the Mayor, or Mayor's designee, to submit all contracts for which the County will serve as a lead agency to the Board for approval and to prepare, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, to place on the agenda of the Board on an annual basis, reports detailing the results of the County's participation in U.S. Communities. <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>U.S. Communities Government Purchasing Alliance U.S. Communities is a government purchasing alliance that was founded in 1996 in order to aggregate the purchasing power of public and non-profit agencies nationwide by allowing participating agencies to make purchases through, or "access," existing, competitively solicited contracts between supplier and another public or non-profit agency.</p> <p>The U.S. Communities purchasing alliance functions as follows: a lead public agency issues a competitive solicitation process designed to ensure the highest quality products and services at the lowest possible prices and, once the lead public agency has awarded the contract, it allows other agencies participating in the U.S. Communities purchasing alliance to make purchases through the lead agency's contract.</p> <p>Examples of local governments that have participated as a lead agency for U.S. Communities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Los Angeles County • Maricopa County • Fairfax County • City of Los Angeles • City and County of Denver • City of Charlotte • City of San Antonio • North Carolina State University <p>There are no fees charged to agencies participating in the U.S. Communities purchasing alliance.</p>
7G 150017	ORDINANCE RELATED TO CONDUCTING CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECKS; CREATING SECTION 2-30 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CODIFYING AND REVISING THE AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD CHECKS ON CERTAIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES, APPOINTEES, CONTRACTORS, VENDORS, REPAIR PERSONS, AND DELIVERY PERSONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE LAW; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 9A2)
9A2 150151	RESOLUTION RESCINDING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 7-41, APPROVING IMPLEMENTING ORDER 7-41, PRE-EMPLOYMENT FINGERPRINT-BASED CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS CHECK, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 125.5801, FLORIDA STATUTES; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 1G4)
Notes	<p><u>7G</u></p> <p>The proposed ordinance creates Section 2-30 of the County Code to authorize the County to perform comprehensive pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks for specified county employees, applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors, and contractual temporary personnel prior to the commencement of employment or service with the County. The ordinance also authorizes fingerprint-based criminal history records checks on any private contractor, employee of a private contractor, vendor, repair person, or delivery person who has direct contact with individual members of the public or access to any public facility or publicly operated facility in such a manner or to such an extent that the governing body of the County finds that preventing unsuitable persons from having such contact or access is critical to security or public safety.</p> <p><i>The proposed ordinance reflects changes made to section 125.5801, Florida Statutes, which authorizes criminal history record checks for certain County employees and appointees including applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors and contractual temporary personnel prior to the commencement of employment or service with the County.</i></p> <p>County departments currently conduct pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks as authorized by Administrative Order 7-41. This proposed ordinance would codify the current procedures and authorize these same procedures for individuals accessing public facilities in such a manner or to such an extent that the governing body of the County finds that preventing unsuitable persons from having such contact or access is critical to security or public safety.</p> <p><i>There is a companion item that will rescind Administrative Order 7-41 and approve Implementing Order 7-41 to effectuate this policy. The companion item will accompany this item at the time of the public hearing and second reading of this ordinance.</i></p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Fiscal Impact</p> <p>The cost incurred by user departments to perform background checks is \$40.50 for applicants, contractors and contractual temporary personnel, and \$33.00 for volunteers and non-paid interns. These rates do not change and an additional fiscal impact to the County is not anticipated with the approval of this item given that the County is already conducting pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks.</p> <p>The Human Resources Department will continue to manage the use of criminal history background checks in the recruitment and selection process. Guidelines from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission will be utilized while working with all County departments concerning the use of pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks in the selection of applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors and contractual temporary personnel.</p> <p><u>9A2</u></p> <p>The proposed resolution rescinds Administrative Order 7-41 and approves Implementing Order 7-41, governing the execution of the policy established by Section 2-30 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to perform comprehensive pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks as authorized by Section 125.5801, Florida Statutes.</p> <p>All information obtained from the background checks are exempt from public records requests and will be used by hiring managers to make informed hiring selections to provide for fair, non-discriminatory treatment and to minimize exposure to any post-employment misconduct.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>There will be a fiscal impact to all County departments conducting pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks. This is based upon the wider scope of potential employees, volunteers, interns, contractors and appointees that will require background checks as specified in Implementing Order 7-41. The cost associated with this process will be borne by each County department as applicable.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>Implementing Order 7-41 authorizes Miami-Dade County to perform pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record check on applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors, and contractual temporary personnel prior to commencement of employment or service with the County, who have been identified as critical to security or public safety. This order also applied to any private contractor, employee of a private contractor, vendor, repair person, or delivery person who have direct contact with individual members of the public or access to any public facility or publicly operated facility critical to security or public safety.</p>
<p>8A2 150379</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING NON-EXCLUSIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORK SERVICES MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND NORSTAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC., D/B/A BLACK BOX NETWORK SERVICES, RFP NO. MDAD-03-14, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$103,717,405.00 FOR EIGHT YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS AT THE COUNTY'S SOLE DISCRETION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AGREEMENT AND EXERCISE ANY RENEWAL OR TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 142682]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution awards a Non-Exclusive Management Agreement for Telecommunications & Network Services for the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to Norstan Communications Inc. d/b/a Black Box Network Services.</p> <p>In accordance with Section 2-8.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County related to identifying delegation of Board authority contained within the subject Agreement, the MDAD Director or designee, has the authority to exercise renewal options, to terminate the Agreement and to exercise all rights and privileges granted to the County.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</p> <p>The fiscal impact of this award over the contract period of eight (8) years with two (2) one-year renewals is \$103,717,405.00. This amount includes all reimbursable operating expenses and an annual management fee. The contractor will submit an annual operating budget for each fiscal year for approval to MDAD. The contractor's budget will then be incorporated into MDAD's overall budget which is approved by the Board.</p> <p>Management Fee</p> <p>The Agreement provides for a management fee of \$6,540,189.00 for the first year based on a staff of fifty-two (52), which includes all overhead and profit. With a 2.5 percent escalation in the third, fifth and seventh year, the ten (10) year management fee for on-site management, maintenance and operations staff totals \$68,402,613.00, which is \$2.2 million below the existing agreement (MDAD-08-06).</p> <p>Variable Cost</p> <p>The Agreement also provides for estimated variable costs when authorized by the Department as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procurement of new materials and equipment purchased – The total cost over the ten (10) years is estimated at \$9,414,814.00, inclusive of Black Box compensation (\$697,394.00) for the procurement of new materials and equipment; • Obligations with vendors for all third-party maintenance agreements - The total cost over the ten (10) year contract period is estimated at \$1,985,752.00, inclusive of Black Box compensation (\$147,093.00) for obligations with vendors for all third-party maintenance agreements; • Project management of subcontracts - The total cost over the ten (10) years is estimated at \$13,238,346.00, inclusive of Black Box compensation (\$980,618.00) for project management of subcontracts; and • On-call after-hour services - The total cost over the ten (10) year contract period is estimated at \$1,247,025.00, inclusive of Black

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Box compensation (1.84 multiplier rate).</p> <p>The estimated total variable cost, including compensation and the respective service categories above, for the ten (10) years (with exercise of both renewals) of the Agreement, totals \$25,885,937.00. MDAD has also included a ten (10) percent contingency allowance account of \$9,428,855.00 to mitigate unforeseen circumstances such as additional work, differing market conditions, or other mandated work. The estimated expenditures for on-site management, maintenance and operations staff (52 employees) for the ten (10) years with exercise of both renewals of the Agreement total \$68,402,613.00. The overall estimated total cost for the initial, ten (10) years (including options to extend) of the Agreement is \$103,717,405.00.</p> <p>Additionally, MDAD generates estimated annual revenues of \$1,186,869.00 from tenants and users at the MIA through the provision of shared airport tenant services.</p> <p>Background MDAD solicited proposals from interested parties to provide telecommunications and network management services at MIA and the general aviation airports. Request for Proposal (RFP) No. MDAD-03-14 for Non-exclusive Telecommunications and Network Services Management Agreement was advertised on July 28, 2014. Norstan Communications Inc. d/b/a Black Box Network Services was the sole proposer.</p> <p>The appointed negotiation committee successfully negotiated an agreement with Black Box on October 3, 2014. Through negotiations, Black Box Network Services agreed to an overall ten (10) year Management Fee for on-site management, maintenance and operations staff for a total of \$68,402,613.00, a decrease of \$2.2 million from the existing agreement over the ten (10) year period.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On January 22, 2014, the BCC, through R-28-14, approved a contract modification to the Non-Exclusive Telecommunications and Network Services Agreement with Norstan Communications, Inc. d/b/a Black Box Network Services by \$6,000,000.00, to the total amount of \$43,772,379.00.</p> <p>On October 6, 2009, the BCC, through R-1141-09, awarded the Agreement to Black Box with a total allocation of \$37,772,379.00 from a requested \$50,000,000.00. There are two types of expenses covered by this contract: fixed and variable. The fixed expenses are the management fee and the third-party maintenance support estimated at \$34,530,710.00. The remaining \$3,241,669.00 was dedicated to miscellaneous project work, which is a combination of a projected amount based on prior year's history and unanticipated potential project expenditures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During discussion at the October 6, 2009, BCC meeting, concerns were raised regarding the management fee and the cost multiplier associated with this contract. The BCC approved \$37,772,379 for an initial 5 year term and any option to renew would return to the County Commission for approval. <p>Contract measures: Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) eight percent (8%) Contract Measure Achieved at Award: CSBE Eight percent (8%) (\$3,021,790.32) Subcontractor: Ruben Electric Technology, Inc.</p> <p>CWP Workforce Goal*: For work performed at MIA - 29% (11 employees) For work performed at Opa-locka - 45% (17 employees) For work performed at other MDAD facilities the CWP goal will be determined.</p> <p><i>*CWP was not applied, as all projects were work-order driven not exceeding 30 days. In order to place CWP goals on projects, they must exceed 30 days and \$250,000.00.</i></p>
<p>8C1 150138</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 32 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$399,850.00 FROM THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 SECOND QUARTER TOURIST DEVELOPMENT ROOM TAX PLAN AND SURTAX CATEGORY TO PROMOTE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TOURISM; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS ENTITIES AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Resolution No. R-130-06, and approves funding of 32 grants for a total of \$399,850.00 from the FY 2014-2015 Second Quarter meeting of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-130-06 adopted by the BCC on January 24, 2006, contracts with non-governmental entities are required to be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the BCC. <i>It is recommended that R-130-be waived in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive, tourism-oriented and community events.</i></p> <p><u>Background:</u> The Tourist Development Council Grants Program is responsive on a quarterly basis to organizations/events, which showcase Miami-Dade County's appeal as a tourist destination by sponsoring tourist-oriented sports events, cultural and special events (visual and performing arts, including theater, concerts, recitals, opera, dance, art exhibitions and festivals) and television origination projects.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Funding for the Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants Program comes from the 2 percent Tourist Development Room Tax Revenue and the 2 percent Hotel/Motel Food and Beverage Surtax revenues. In addition, the Greater Miami Convention and Visitors Bureau provides \$25,000.00 to the TDC pursuant to a multi-year agreement. Further, a remaining balance of \$67,177.00 in unspent grant funds in FY 2013-14 was carried over and is being appropriated as part of the FY 2014-15 program</p> <p><i>On September 19, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance 13-92 allocating a total of \$1,175,000.00 for FY 2014-2015 Tourist Development Council (TDC) Grants (\$1,075,000.00 from Fund ST 150, Subfund 151, plus \$100,000.00 from Fund ST 150, Subfund 152). The current second quarter recommendations, totaling \$399,850.00, continues the recommended TDC grant allocations for this fiscal year.</i></p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On January 21, 2015, the BCC, through R-14-15, authorized the funding of 35 grants for a total of \$456,650.00 from the FY 2014-2015 Tourist Development Council Grants Program – First Quarter.</p> <p>For FY 2013-2014, the BCC waived the requirements of R-130-06, expediting and approving the funding of the following grants of the Tourist Development Council Grants Program-Room Tax Plan and Surtax Category to promote Miami-Dade County tourism by funding tourist-oriented cultural, sporting, television and special event/promotions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • December 3, 2013- R-968-13- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – First Quarter- 36 grants for a total of \$452,900; • April 8, 2014- R-321-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – Second Quarter- 25 grants for a total of \$301,350; • May 6, 2014- R-407-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program – Third Quarter- 28 grants for a total of \$244,750.00; and • July 17, 2014- R-689-14- FY 2013-2014 TDC Grants Program- Fourth Quarter- 19 grants for a total of \$161,500.
<p>8F2 150067</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-168-14 TO CORRECT THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY DECLARED AS SURPLUS AND CONVEYED TO THE CITY OF MIAMI LOCATED AT 3749 OAK AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY; APPROVING THE CONVEYANCE OF THE REMAINING SURPLUS PROPERTY BY COUNTY DEED; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE CONVEYANCE OF SAID ADDITIONAL PROPERTY; AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution amends Resolution R-168-14 to correct the legal description of the property located at 3749 Oak Avenue, Miami, Florida. Resolution R-168-14 was adopted by the Board on February 19, 2014 to transfer the property to the City of Miami. This amendment to Resolution R-168-14 will not create a fiscal impact to the County.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>On February 19, 2014, through Resolution R-168-14, the Board declared a 5,450 square foot property located at 3749 Oak Avenue, Miami, Florida, as surplus and approved its conveyance to the City of Miami for its affordable housing program. This property included a 3,250 square foot portion acquired by tax deed escheatment and a 2,200 square foot property acquired by eminent domain. These two (2) parcels were later merged and assigned one (1) folio number. The legal description utilized in Resolution R-168-14 was derived from the tax deed portion and did not include the additional 2,200 square feet. Since the County Deed transferring title of the 3,250 square feet has already been recorded, it is recommended that a separate County Deed be executed for the remaining 2,200 square foot portion of the property.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On February 19, 2014, the BCC, through R-168-14 authorized the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Declares as surplus a 5,450 square foot County-owned property located at 3749 Oak Avenue (Folio No. 01-4120-006-0800) and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3749 Oak Avenue- eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$372 annually. ○ <i>According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$32,700.</i> • Declares as surplus a 5,000 square foot County-owned property located at 3604 Percival Avenue (Folio No. 01-4121-007-0780), and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3604 Percival Avenue- eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$341 annually. ○ <i>According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$45,375.</i> • Declares as surplus a 5,000 square foot County-owned property located at 3755 Frow Avenue (Folio No. 01-4120-006-0571), and per the formal donation request by the City of Miami, authorizes the conveyance of the property to the City of Miami, in accordance with Florida Statute 125.38; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 3755 Frow Avenue – eliminates the annual maintenance cost of approximately \$341 annually. ○ <i>According to the Property Appraiser's website the 2013 assessed value is \$30,000.</i> <p>The City of Miami will not be paying the County for the conveyance of these properties and property tax revenue will not be generated because these properties will be owned by the City of Miami until such time that they are conveyed/sold for the purpose of affordable housing.</p> <p>The County Deeds contain a restriction that states that the properties will be utilized solely for constructing single family affordable housing for low-income homebuyers. In the event that these properties are not used for this purpose within five years of the conveyance of the</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																														
	<p>properties, they will automatically revert to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Background The County received formal requests from the City of Miami for donation of these vacant County-owned properties for the City’s affordable housing program. Further to this request, and in light of the statutory requirements described below, the Internal Services Department circulated the properties to all County departments to determine whether the County has a present or future need for the properties, in which none was determined.</p> <p>Florida Statutes Section 125.38 authorizes the Board to convey property to the City of Miami, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida, when the Board is satisfied that the property is not needed for County purposes and will be utilized for the benefit of the public or community interest and welfare.</p>																														
8F3 150068	RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF SOLE PROPOSAL TENDERED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 00023 FOR TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT AT NW 215TH STREET AND NW 27TH AVENUE																														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves rejection of all proposals received under Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 00023, Transit Oriented Development at NW 215 Street and NW 27th Avenue. This solicitation was issued for the construction a Transit Hub and certain commercial ventures on County-owned property located along NW 27 Avenue, south of the Florida Turnpike, in the City of Miami Gardens, Florida.</p> <p>Background: A proposal from United States Association of CDC, Inc., which did not contain the required revenue schedule identified as Form B-1 in the solicitation, was evaluated by the Evaluation/Selection Committee. In reviewing the proposal, the Evaluation/Selection Committee took note that the proposed approach for development relied upon financing from the County, which was not accounted for in the solicitation. The Evaluation/Selection Committee requested an oral presentation by United States Association of CDC, Inc. after initial review of the proposal. The Evaluation/Selection Committee determined that the approach and concept proposed in the oral presentation was substantially different than the written submittal. The Evaluation/Selection Committee concluded that the proposal did not warrant further consideration for award and rejection is recommended.</p> <p>The solicitation will be re-advertised with additional efforts made to achieve more interest from the private sector, as well as exploration of other concepts that would be feasible and foster more interest from developers.</p>																														
8F4 150133	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00074 FOR CHILLERS AND WATER TREATMENT SERVICE CONTRACT IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,177,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Contract No. FB-00074 for Chillers and Water Treatment Service Contract to six (6) vendors to obtain inspection services and water treatment services for air conditioning chillers and related HVAC (i.e., heating, ventilating and air conditioning) equipment located at various County facilities.</p> <p>The awarded vendors are required to deliver a comprehensive preventive maintenance program per awarded facility, including all necessary labor, transportation, material, and equipment for the safe operation of chiller and related HVAC equipment. In addition to equipment maintenance, the awardees shall institute a complete water treatment program to protect air conditioning and heating equipment, and piping from deterioration.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The fiscal impact for the six-year term is \$7,177,000.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>\$990,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Cultural Affairs</td><td>\$7,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$4,218,000</td><td>Internal Service Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>\$101,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>\$379,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>\$144,000.00</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>PortMiami</td><td>\$1,169,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Transit</td><td>\$169,000.00</td><td>MDT Operating Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>\$7,177,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on May 23, 2014. Seven bids were received in response to the solicitation. The method of award was to the lowest-priced responsive, responsible bidder by group in the aggregate (i.e., for all items and years) that met the solicitation’s minimum requirements. The following vendors are recommended for award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Clark Contracting Solutions, LLC d/b/a Forair; Johnson Controls, Inc.; Pilar Services, Inc. (CSBE, SBE); Premier Airconditioning & Refrigeration, Inc. (CSBE, SBE); Trane U.S., Inc.; and Weathertrol Maintenance Corp.	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$990,000.00	General Fund	Cultural Affairs	\$7,000.00	Proprietary Funds	Internal Services	\$4,218,000	Internal Service Fund	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$101,000.00	General Fund	Police	\$379,000	General Fund	Public Housing and Community Development	\$144,000.00	Federal Funds	PortMiami	\$1,169,000	Proprietary Funds	Transit	\$169,000.00	MDT Operating Fund	Total	\$7,177,000	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																													
Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$990,000.00	General Fund																													
Cultural Affairs	\$7,000.00	Proprietary Funds																													
Internal Services	\$4,218,000	Internal Service Fund																													
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$101,000.00	General Fund																													
Police	\$379,000	General Fund																													
Public Housing and Community Development	\$144,000.00	Federal Funds																													
PortMiami	\$1,169,000	Proprietary Funds																													
Transit	\$169,000.00	MDT Operating Fund																													
Total	\$7,177,000																														

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																								
	One vendor was deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office as it failed to offer prices for all the chiller items and years as required by the solicitation.																								
8F5 150134	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00112 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$19,026,000.00 FOR FOOD AND BEVERAGE PRODUCTS AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CONDUCT SPOT BIDS, AWARD SUBSEQUENT CONTRACTS, ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS, EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AND EXERCISE OPTIONS TO RENEW AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, AND ANY OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN																								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the establishment of prequalification pool Contract No. RTQ-00112 for Food and Beverage Products in a total amount of up to \$19,026,000.00, and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to conduct spot bids, award subsequent contracts, and add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis.</p> <p>The food and beverage products covered under this pool include, but are not limited to, canned goods, juices, beans, dry goods, spices and condiments. These food and beverage products are used primarily by the Corrections and Rehabilitation Department's food services operations.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$19,026,000. The current contract, 6083-0/14, is for five (5) years and is valued at \$12,030,000. On an annualized basis, the proposed contract is slightly lower in value than the current contract, which is based on user departments' anticipated needs.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Community Action and Human Services</td><td>\$218,000.00</td><td>General Fund and Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>\$16,000,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>\$152,000.00</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>PortMiami</td><td>\$40,000.00</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>\$2,200,000</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Public Works and Waste Management</td><td>\$416,000.00</td><td>General Fund and Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>\$19,026,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition on August 20, 2014. Seven (7) vendors responded to the RTQ. The method of award was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool during the term of the RTQ. The vendors listed in the following table met the solicitation's pre-qualification criteria.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Of the seven (7) vendors in the pool, four (4) were local. <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p><i>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on February 10, 2015, the Internal Services Director clarified that the eight (8) year term was set as a result of the food qualifications remaining the same. It was noted that since food has an expiration date, multiple vendors were included in this qualification pool and additional vendors may be added to the pool during the term of the RTQ.</i></p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Community Action and Human Services	\$218,000.00	General Fund and Federal Funds	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$16,000,000	General Fund	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$152,000.00	General Fund	PortMiami	\$40,000.00	Proprietary Funds	Public Housing and Community Development	\$2,200,000	Federal Funds	Public Works and Waste Management	\$416,000.00	General Fund and Proprietary Funds	Total	\$19,026,000	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																							
Community Action and Human Services	\$218,000.00	General Fund and Federal Funds																							
Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$16,000,000	General Fund																							
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$152,000.00	General Fund																							
PortMiami	\$40,000.00	Proprietary Funds																							
Public Housing and Community Development	\$2,200,000	Federal Funds																							
Public Works and Waste Management	\$416,000.00	General Fund and Proprietary Funds																							
Total	\$19,026,000																								
8F6 150201	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00123 FOR PURCHASE OF A/C CHILLERS, AUXILIARY EQUIPMENT AND WATER TREATMENT SERVICES IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$8,223,000.00 FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN																								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00123, Chillers, Auxiliary Equipment, Water Treatment Full Service Contract to multiple vendors for turnkey maintenance and water treatment services for air conditioning chillers and auxiliary equipment (i.e., cooling towers, condensate and chilled water pumps, and air compressors) located at various Aviation Department facilities. There are two (2) Groups included in the solicitation:</p> <p>Group 1 - Full Service Maintenance Contract for Various Aviation Facilities</p> <p><i>Under Group 1, the awarded vendors are required to deliver a comprehensive preventive maintenance program per awarded facility, including all necessary labor, transportation, material, and equipment for the safe operation of chiller, cooling towers, pumps and air compressors. In addition to equipment maintenance, the awardees shall institute a complete water treatment program needed to protect air conditioning and heating equipment and piping from deterioration.</i></p> <p>Group 2 - Purchase, Replacement and Installation of Capital Equipment Pre-Qualification.</p> <p><i>Under Group 2, the County may purchase, replace, and install capital equipment through spot market quotes among prequalified vendors on an as needed basis at any awarded site</i></p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</p>																								

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The fiscal impact for the six-year term is \$8,223,000.</p> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on August 28, 2014. Seven (7) vendors responded to the solicitation. The method of award for Group 1 was to the lowest-priced responsible, responsive bidder per site in the aggregate who met the solicitation's requirements. The method of award for Group 2 was to pre-qualify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the solicitation for participation in future spot market competitions.</p> <p>Below is a list of awardees by site for Group 1: Cool Water Air Conditioning, Inc.; Weathertrol Maintenance Corp.; Johnson Controls, Inc.; and Southeastern Chiller of Miami, Inc.;</p> <p>Below is a list of prequalified vendors for Group 2: Cool Water Air Conditioning, Inc.; Cool-Breeze Air Conditioning Corporation; Honeywell International, Inc.; Weathertrol Maintenance Corp.; Johnson Controls, Inc.; Trane U.S., Inc.; and Southeastern Chiller of Miami, Inc.</p>
<p>8F7 150214</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT RFP 00118 FOR AUTOMATED PASSPORT CONTROL KIOSKS IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT, INCLUDING RENEWAL PERIOD, NOT TO EXCEED \$16,483,000.00 FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award of Request for Proposals No. 00118 to SITA Information Networking Computing USA, Inc. (SITA) to provide 144 Automated Passport Control kiosks in designated U.S. Customs and Border Protection service areas at Miami International Airport (MIA).</p> <p>SITA is responsible for the delivery, installation, configuration of the kiosks, including all required hardware and software components. The vendor is also responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rendering ongoing on-site and on-call technical and maintenance support services; • Training up to 15 Aviation Department employees on kiosk administration, diagnostics repair and maintenance; and • Ensuring that the kiosks satisfy U.S. Customs and Border Protection business and technical requirements. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact for the initial five-year term is \$8,970,000. If the County exercises the one (1), five-year option-to-renew, the contract's cumulative value will be \$16,483,000 for ten (10) years. All kiosk maintenance support services and extended warranty fees are fixed for the initial and option terms, inclusive of all required parts, components, and consumables to ensure optimum performance based on the projection of airline passenger usage over the contract term.</p> <p>A Request for Proposals was issued under full and open competition on September 3, 2014. Three (3) proposals were received in response to the solicitation. The Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended SITA, the highest-ranked proposer, for award based on the criteria established in the solicitation.</p>
<p>8F8 150117</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FINAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER TRUST AND PAC BUILDERS JOINT VENTURE RELATED TO REPAIRS TO THE RAIN WATER LEADER SYSTEM FOR THE ADRIENNE ARSHT CENTER AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Final Settlement Agreement between Miami-Dade County, the Performing Arts Center Trust, and PAC Builders, Joint Venture related to certain repairs at the Adrienne Arsht Center.</p> <p>The proposed Final Settlement Agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolves and releases all contested and disputed claims, allegations and assertions among the parties in relation to the water damage to the facility that occurred on May 20, 2012; • Requires that PAC Builders perform, at its own expense, the agreed-upon scope of work (including sway bracing, pipe hangers, vertical support/riser clamps, replacement of old couplings); and • Requires that PAC Builders install, at its own expense, a number of joint restraints. <p><u>Additional Information/Legislative Background:</u> On September 18, 2013, a two-inch water supply line failed, causing additional water damage to the Knight Concert Hall. Staff was able to limit the damage to the facility by taking immediate action to contain the leak. However, further investigation is necessary in order to determine if this is an isolated incident, or, indicative of a system-wide problem.</p> <p>Additionally, it was discovered that some of the fire sprinkler heads and caps in the audience chamber of each of the two halls may not have been installed properly, thus requiring further investigation and potential repairs.</p> <p>On October 22, 2013 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-849-13 approving the Partial Settlement Agreement between Miami-Dade County, the Performing Arts Center Trust (PACT), and Performing Arts Center Builders, Joint Venture (PAC Builders). Pursuant to the Partial Settlement Agreement, PAC Builders was to install, at its own cost, additional sway bracing, pipe bracing, and riser clamps to the rain water disposal system throughout the facility. Additionally, pursuant to a determination to be made through a binding review by a neutral Arbitrator/Engineer, PAC Builders would install, at its own cost, joint restraints on that rain water disposal system.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p>On October 22, 2013 the BCC also adopted Resolution No. R-850-13, authorizing the County Mayor to execute a two-year time extension and approve an additional \$200,000 in expenditure authority to the County's existing Agreement (Contract No. E9668-0/13) with Slider Engineering Group, Inc. (Slider), the forensic engineer for services related to damages and repairs at the Adrienne Arsht Center for the Performing Arts. Prior to these changes, the County's contract with Slider was set to expire on January 31, 2014, and, originally had a maximum expenditure authority of \$250,000.</p> <p>The additional time and expenditure was to be used to monitor ongoing repairs, permitting coordination, construction oversight, and litigation services associated with the rain water leader system, and, to address recent incidents that have been identified associated with the main water supply and fire sprinkler system.</p>															
8F9 150352	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00002 TO NEW FLYER OF AMERICA, INC. FOR PURCHASE OF 60-FOOT ARTICULATED HYBRID BUSES IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$71,387,000.00 FOR THE MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150010]															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00002 to New Flyer of America, Inc. for purchase of 60-Foot Articulated Hybrid Buses as set forth in the incorporated memorandum and the contract on file with the Internal Services Department in the total amount not to exceed \$71,387,000.</p> <p><i>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on February 11, 2015, the proposed resolution was amended to reflect that NABI Bus, LLC was merged into New Flyer of America, Inc. effective December 28, 2014.</i></p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact and Funding Source:</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$71,387,000 for the purchase of up to 64 buses and supported by existing and future federal and state grant funds. Some of the grants will require a local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax funds. The buses will only be purchased upon the County obtaining the necessary grant funds.</p> <p>The solicitation issued was strictly for diesel/electric hybrid buses in order to comply with the federal and state requirements that the buses be more efficient and reduce emissions. Additionally, the State of Florida Department of Transportation grants identified below require the buses to have diesel/electric hybrid propulsion systems. In order to not miss the opportunity to purchase more fuel efficient buses that generate fewer emissions, the County sought hybrid buses at the same time that it is in the process of completing a solicitation for compressed natural gas vehicles.</p> <p><i>If the County chooses to purchase compressed natural gas vehicles as an alternative, the agreements with the federal and state governments can be amended and a new procurement will be sought for the purchase.</i></p> <table><tr><th>Quantity of Hybrid Buses</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>41</td><td>State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Federal Transit Administration 5307 Grant (these two buses will supplement service along the South Miami-Dade Busway)</td></tr><tr><td>21</td><td>Future State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and a 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds</td></tr></table> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on January 24, 2014. One bid was received in response to the solicitation. The method of award was to the lowest-priced responsive, responsible bidder in the aggregate.</p>	Quantity of Hybrid Buses	Funding Source	41	State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds	2	Federal Transit Administration 5307 Grant (these two buses will supplement service along the South Miami-Dade Busway)	21	Future State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and a 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds							
Quantity of Hybrid Buses	Funding Source															
41	State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds															
2	Federal Transit Administration 5307 Grant (these two buses will supplement service along the South Miami-Dade Busway)															
21	Future State of Florida Department of Transportation Grant and a 50 percent local match from Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds															
<table><tr><th colspan="3">Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</th></tr><tr><th>Resolution</th><th>Research Notes</th><th>Additional Information</th></tr><tr><td>R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002</td><td colspan="2">Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.</td></tr><tr><td>R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006</td><td colspan="2">Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.</td></tr><tr><td>R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008</td><td colspan="2"><p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric), transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p><p><u>Additional Information:</u></p><p>This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06</p></td></tr></table>		Additional Information on Relevant Legislation			Resolution	Research Notes	Additional Information	R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002	Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.		R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006	Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.		R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008	<p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric), transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06</p>	
Additional Information on Relevant Legislation																
Resolution	Research Notes	Additional Information														
R-178-02 Adopted 2-26-2002	Reaffirmed the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses, and directing the County Manager to seek the Board's approval prior to purchasing any articulated buses in the future.															
R-514-06 Adopted 5-9-2006	Authorized the execution of a County Incentive Grant Program (CIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$3,747,000 to purchase up twenty three (23) conventional buses. It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in this CIGP, and commit up to \$3,747,000 in Charter County Transit System Surtax (Surtax) funding or Surtax backed funding as a local match.															
R-482-08 Adopted 5-6-2008	<p>Authorized the execution of a Supplemental County Incentive Grant Program (SCIGP) Agreement with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to change the scope to allow for the purchase of up to twelve (12) 40-foot, heavy-duty, low floor hybrid (diesel-electric), transit buses instead of the originally programmed diesel only buses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>This supplemental agreement had no fiscal impact as it was only for the change in scope from diesel to hybrid (diesel-electric) and does not involve additional match funding over the original \$3,747,000, which was approved by the Board and the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) in May 2006. Resolution No. R-514-06</p>															

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>matched the State's \$3,747,000 funding contribution equally with \$3,747,000 from Surtax or Surtax backed funding.</p>
<p>R-350-09 Adopted 4-7-2009</p>	<p>Approved the award of a contract to purchase thirteen (13) forty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$7,494,000 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-350-09 further authorized the award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of the use of non-federal funding sources, as well as negotiated changes to bus component requirements.</p>
<p>R-508-09 Adopted 5-5-2009</p>	<p>Approved the award of a contract to purchase twenty five (25) sixty foot diesel/electric hybrid mass transit buses in the amount of \$21,585,000.00 for Miami-Dade Transit. R-508-09 further authorized award of this contract as a Bid Waiver because of negotiated changes to bus component requirements.</p>
<p>R-508-09 Adopted 11-21-2010</p>	<p>Ratified the actions of the County Mayor or County Mayor's Designee, as authorized by Section 2-8.2.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, in approving the selection of Gillig LLC in the amount of \$3,254,904 for the purchase of five (5) Heavy Duty Transit Buses for Miami-Dade Transit Department. R-946-10 further authorized the use of the Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On July 17, 2008, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) adopted Ordinance No. 08-92, the Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP), creating an expedited process for certain capital projects to stimulate economic development and delegating authority to the Mayor or his designee to advertise and award construction contracts and professional service agreements subject to the Board ratification.</p> <p>Subsequently, on June 30, 2009, the BCC approved Ordinance No. 09-60, amending the ESP to provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extended the sunset provision to July 1, 2011; and • Allowed the acquisition of goods and services funded through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) to be included under the ESP. <p>On January 22, 2010, the County Manager approved the purchase of five hybrid buses by accessing a competitively awarded contract from Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (LYNX). The first bus is scheduled to be delivered in August 2010. The remaining four buses were to be delivered in December 2010. The grant expired on May 31, 2011.</p> <p>The total cost of the five (5) hybrid buses is \$3,254,904, with a cost per bus of \$650,981. The National Clean Diesel Funding Assistance Program is providing \$731,850 in grant funds. This is the total maximum reimbursement for all five (5) buses. Pursuant to EPA, the grant contribution is up to 24.6% of the cost of each bus, not to exceed the total funding of \$731,850. If each hybrid bus receives \$146,370 in grant funding that amounts to 22% of the purchase cost.</p> <p>According to MDT, the purchase of these five (5) hybrid buses was previously anticipated as part of the bus replacement plan. The ARRA grant provides only part of the funding source. The remaining funding amount of \$2,523,054 is from MDT operating funds.</p> <p>On April 7, 2009 under Resolution No. 350-09, BCC approved the purchase of 13 hybrid buses for the cost of \$544,549 per bus, for a total cost of \$7,079,137. The acquisition under Resolution No. 350-09 was awarded as a bid waiver. Broward County issued an Invitation for Bid resulting in a competitive award to the low bidder; nevertheless, that acquisition is \$106,432 less per bus than this ratification.</p> <p>According to MDT, these buses are similar but have significant differences. The engines of the Gillig buses must meet the more stringent EPA 2010 emission standards which increases the cost. The 2009 hybrid bus purchase did not have to meet this requirement. Also, the body construction has improved materials in the structure (Gillig uses stainless steel and aluminum compared to carbon steel used by NABI). Additional improvements to the buses were the inclusion of the "mini" hybrid engine cooling package, break monitoring system, electric A/C, advanced power management system and composite flooring. These improvements along with the cost for the 2010 EPA engine and other modifications increased the price of the Gillig buses. In addition, the Gillig contract includes maintenance, training and operator orientation – items that are not included in the 2009 NABI contract.</p>
<p>R-386-12 Adopted 5-1-2012</p>	<p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide State funding in the amount of \$6,000,000 for the purchase of twelve (12) 60-foot, heavy-duty, low-floor, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for the South Miami-Dade Busway Service Expansion Project. R-386-12 further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$6,000,000 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>At this point in time, MDT operated 40-foot standard buses on the Busway. In the two years following, MDT would begin replacing the 40-foot buses with 60-foot, heavy-duty, low-floor, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses to be</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>utilized on the Busway MAX. The main benefit of using 60-foot articulated buses was greater passenger capacity. Additionally, MDT planned to improve the peak headway of the Busway MAX, which had the highest ridership servicing the South Miami-Dade Busway with an approximate average of 7,800 weekday boardings. The peak headway was to be improved from 12 minutes to 10 minutes and the seating capacity was to increase by 170 seats per hour in the peak. Additional benefits of expanding this service included: reduced energy usage, supporting sustainability, improved system punctuality, reliability and improved ride quality for passengers.</p> <p>During the May 1, 2012 BCC meeting, the Commission expressed concerns about R-386-12 because on February 26, 2002 R-178-02 was adopted reaffirming the Board's prior decision to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses. It was noted that in 2002 the very long buses were almost empty yet the funding for maintaining and operating these buses was exceedingly high.</p> <p>The Director of the Miami-Dade Transit Department (MDT) stated that the reason the department was recommending the purchase of the articulated buses was because of overcrowding on two key Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridors and that was more economical to run these articulated buses because of reduced labor and fuel costs as these were hybrid buses.</p> <p>The Commission pointed out that the size of the buses was problematic, because when they turned the corner they rode over the sidewalk. The MDT Director stated that the turning radius of these buses was adequate for the bus routes, and the department made sure that the buses could be driven safely ensuring that she would review the buses' turning radiuses to ensure they were adequate.</p> <p>R-387-12 Adopted 5-1-2012</p> <p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide State funding in the amount of \$9,000,000 for the purchase of eighteen (18) 60-foot, articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for the Biscayne Enhanced Bus Service project. R-387-12 further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$9,000,000 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-448-14 directing the Mayor or Mayor's designee to provide quarterly reports to the Board of County Commissioners on ridership numbers for articulated buses run by Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>R-486-14 Adopted 6-3-2014</p> <p>Authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide County Incentive Grant Program funding in the amount of \$5,225,000.00 for the purchase of eleven (11) 60-foot articulated, diesel-electric hybrid buses for an Enhanced Bus Service along the NW 27th Avenue Corridor from the Miami-Dade/Broward County Line (NW 215 Street and NW 27 Ave) to the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) at the Miami International Airport (MIA). This was a multi-year Agreement providing State funding for the project in Fiscal Years (FY) 2014 and 2015.</p> <p>It was further recommended that the Board authorize the receipt and expenditure of funds as specified in the Agreement. Miami-Dade County proposed to provide an equal match of \$5,225,000.00 for this Agreement from Charter County Transportation Surtax Bond (Surtax) proceeds for a total Agreement of \$10,450,000.00.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>On February 26, 2002, the Board adopted Resolution R-178-02, which instructed the administration to refrain from purchasing any additional articulated buses without receiving prior Board approval. As a result, waiver of Resolution 178-02 is also requested.</p>
8G1 150004	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$2,768,988.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 FOR THE FLORIDA CITY COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the budget totaling \$2,768,988.00 for FY 2014-2015 for the Florida City Community Redevelopment Agency (Agency).</p> <p>Background/Timeline:</p> <p>On June 6, 1995, the Board approved the establishment of the Agency when it approved the Agency's Plan pursuant to Resolution R-795-95 and funding of the Plan when it enacted Ordinance No. 95-108 (Trust Fund). An Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Agency was approved by the Board on April 16, 1996. Subsequently, the Plan and the Interlocal Agreement were amended on July 8, 1997 to allow for the acquisition of properties (Ordinance No. 97-132) and on September 23, 2003 to allow Community Policing Programs (Resolution R-1010-03). On June 2, 2009, the Board approved an expansion to the Agency's boundaries and a revised Plan through Resolution R-645-09, and an amendment to the Interlocal Agreement to grant the Agency the necessary power to implement the new Plan through Resolution R-683-09.</p> <p>Background on Funding:</p> <p>The Agency's FY 2014-2015 budget of \$2,768,988 was approved by the Agency and by the City on August 12, 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revenue sources of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>County Tax revenue payments = \$596,022</i>

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>Unincorporated municipal service area Tax Increment Revenues = \$969,326</i> ○ <i>Carryover from prior years = \$1,173,640</i> ○ <i>Interest earnings and other revenues = \$30,000</i> • Administrative expenditures totaling \$224,397; • Operating expenditures totaling \$2,473,771; • A reserve of \$61,880. <p>The Florida City CRA was created in 1994 and is scheduled to sunset in 2024.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY 2013-2014 Budget = \$3,249,433 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ County TIF = \$647,598 ○ City TIF = \$1,043,481 ○ Carryover/other = \$1,558,354 <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The Agency's main revenue source is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, TIF, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida State Statutes. The Countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2014-15 is \$596,022 and the City of Florida City's (City) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$969,326.</p> <p>The County will continue to make payments to the Agency, based on each year's growth of ad valorem revenues over the base year through 2025, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> Currently, there are fourteen (14) approved Community Redevelopment Agencies in Miami-Dade County:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Florida City; Homestead; Miami Beach; Midtown; North Miami; North Miami Beach; Omni District; Opa-Locka; Southeast Overtown/Park West; South Miami; Naranja Lakes; NW 7th Avenue Corridor; West Perrine; and NW 79th Street Corridor • The only CRA pending BCC approval is the Metrozoo/Richmond.
<p style="text-align: center;">8H1 150323</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT TERMINATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND FLORIDA SE, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,129,431.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a Contract Termination and Settlement Agreement (Settlement Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County) and Florida SE, Inc., a subsidiary of Darden Restaurants, Inc. (Darden) for Darden's payment of \$1,129,431.00 to the County and agreement for a mutual termination of the contract.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On December 4, 2012, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1018-12 approving a contract with Darden to develop, lease and operate a marina restaurant at Haulover Park. The Contract between the County and Darden was for a ten-year initial term, with four, five-year options to renew and required Darden to construct a Seasons 52 restaurant, restroom and other improvements to the Park to be owned by the County and estimated to cost almost \$6.3 million, exclusive of architectural, permitting, due diligence and impact fee expenses. The County estimated that during the initial ten-year term of the Contract, it would receive approximately \$1.7 million in rent. If all renewal options were exercised, it would receive an additional \$5.76 million in rent. In mid-2014, as a result of a restructuring, Darden informed the County that it no longer wished to develop and operate a Seasons 52 restaurant at the Park, and requested that the parties amicably terminate the Contract and negotiate a termination payment to the County.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>To date, Darden has:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Paid \$72,780.00 in rent, project management fees and security deposit;</i> 2. <i>Contributed \$13,185.00 to Art in Public Places; and</i> 3. <i>Will give all testing, architectural and engineering documents to the County, valued at approximately \$125,000.00.</i> <p><i>PROS is working on developing the future use of the area for a replacement restaurant and other park patron amenities.</i></p> <p>One of the key components related to the Seasons 52 restaurant development is the Bayside Promenade, a pedestrian corridor from Haulover cut north through Haulover Marina. In the absence of future Seasons 52 restaurant revenues to design and build this promenade, the settlement payment will be dedicated to this important project, which will create more opportunities to attract a quality restaurant operator.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The total fiscal impact from this Agreement is a \$1,129,431.00 payment from Darden to the County. The funds will be placed into the Coastal Park and Marina Enterprise trust fund index code PRR600940074 for use at Haulover Park for construction of the Bayside Promenade.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On December 4, 2012, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-997-12 which approved the revised General Plan for Haulover Park, in compliance with Section 33-303, Exclusive Procedure, of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code).</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Haulover Park revised General Plan addresses the following: traffic circulation improvements; improve access to the beach for bicycles, pedestrians, and people with disabilities; improve transit connections; improve beach access through tunnel ramps; provide more green space; expand the dry rack storage facility capacity up to 510 spaces; rebuild the pier; rebuild the marina and pier restaurants; and restore the dunes. In addition, parking areas to support all park uses are included and parking on natural terrain used for overflow during special events is also indicated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Haulover Park, one of the County's seven heritage parks, is a 246 acre park that serves northern Miami-Dade County, including Sunny Isles Beach, North Miami, North Miami Beach, and Bal Harbour. Approximately 75 acres of the park and beachfront provides a regional attraction, while 81 acres of the park consists of submerged lands within the Intracoastal Waterway. These two natural resources are the most important elements in the park. The park is located in unincorporated Miami-Dade County; however, the park is entirely surrounded by incorporated city limits.</i>
8H2 150101	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING RETROACTIVE CHANGE ORDER NUMBER ONE AND FINAL WITH CARIVON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR A NON-COMPENSABLE TIME EXTENSION OF 289 DAYS AND AN INCREASE TO THE CONTRACT AMOUNT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$61,132.33 FUNDED FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ARCOLA LAKES PARK - SENIOR CENTER AND POOL - CONTRACT NO: 311106-05-003 GOB ESP; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves Retroactive Change Order Number One and Final to construction Contract No. 311106-05-003 GOB ESP between Carivon Construction Company and Miami-Dade County for Arcola Lakes Park – Senior Center and Pool Project in order to authorize a non-compensable time extension of 289 days to June 23, 2014 and to increase the contract amount by \$61,132.33.</p> <p><u>Change Order No. 1:</u> Monetary Justification - consisted of the installation of a customized Sail Shade Structure System including (6) columns (due to the sandy nature of the soils additional foundation work was necessary) and (4) canopies to provide a shade over the pool deck's entire surface area. The materials were provided by the County, and the installation was done by the Contractor. The installation cost for this system, including the concrete foundations was negotiated at \$61,132.33.</p> <p>Time Justification - The Department recommends a retroactive non-compensable time extension of two-hundred and eighty-nine (289) calendar days in addition to the thirty-seven (37) calendar days in the time contingency, from the original completion date of August 1st, 2013, to June 23rd, 2014. This time extension (which represents a 79.2% increase) is classified as "concurrent delays" (combination of Contractor and Department caused delays occurring at the same time).</p> <p>The project is 100% completed. Submission of this item was delayed due to the review and analysis that staff had to perform on the multiple claims submitted by the contractor, and subsequent negotiations that lead to this mutual accord final change order.</p> <p>The OCA posed a question regarding the length of time it took for this item to return to the BCC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department, the contract completion date of June 23, 2014 is for substantial completion of the work since final punch list work needed to close-out the contract typically takes 45-60 days after the work is substantially completed. Close-out of the contract includes finalizing negotiations of all outstanding claims, extra work orders as well as finalizing the documents needed for final payment. The arduous process of close-out negotiations concluded in December 2014 and the contractor and surety signed the change order on 12-22-14 and 12-19-14 respectively. Furthermore, thru the protracted negotiations, the contractor agreed to no compensation for the additional time granted. <p><u>Funding Source/Fiscal Impact:</u> The project is funded through the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program (GOB).</p> <p>Total Funding: \$3,850,101.96</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$222,250.00 - BBC GOB Interest 938870 #70158 \$700,424.38 - BBC GOB Series 2011A 938870 #70158 \$2,927,427.58 - BBC GOB Series 2013A 938870 #70158 <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On June 3, 2010, the BCC adopted R-583-10, GOB Arcola Lakes Park Project #92, which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approved the modification to Project No. 92 increasing the allocation from \$6,000,000 to \$6,300,000 which is funded from GOB Interest; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>\$300,000 was allocated from GOB Interest to Project No. 92 to fund the budget shortfall</i> Directed the County Mayor or the Mayor's designee to list the Arcola Lakes Senior Center in the next series resolution as a project eligible for funding and to fund the development and timely completion of the Arcola Lakes Senior Center in full (\$6,300,000 minus any previous BBC GOB Program funding) from bond proceeds generated from the next BBC GOB Program bonds (scheduled to have been issued in the Fall of 2010); and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The County Mayor and the Mayor's designee was directed to not make any adjustments to said funding for any reason, including cash flow revisions authorized by Implementing Order No. 3-47, without the BCC's prior approval</i> Directed the County Mayor or the Mayor's designee to implement expedited competitive process procedures authorized by the Economic Stimulus Ordinance for selection of the Arcola Lakes Senior Center's contractor.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>During the June 3, 2010 BCC meeting the Board asked the County Manager to prepare a report by district of pending projects outlining the status of each project and those projects which may be delayed or placed on hold due to having a maintenance and/or operating impact. It was requested that the report not only show those projects which may be delayed and the larger units versus projects that they can move on, but also include a projected timeframe for those projects not included and when it can be expected for them to move forward.</p> <p>The County Manager prepared a report on June 3, 2010 regarding the Arcola Lakes Senior Center Project. It was reported that the subject item allocates an additional \$300,000 of BBC-GOB interest savings to the Arcola Lakes Senior Center (Project No. 92) and requests that this project be added to the list of projects to be funded from the next bond sale. As a component of the BBC-GOB Program, the Arcola Lakes Senior Center included an original allocation of \$6 million. The \$300,000 in interest earnings are being requested to fund a budget shortfall to cover the cost of pool enhancements and amenities in the senior center. Although this project is ready to move forward, with the design and run permitting completed, it is not contemplated in the preliminary list of projects to be funded from the next bond sale because of a projected significant unfunded operational impact of approximately \$900,000 annually. The County Manager stated he will continue to work with the interested parties to analyze any scope reduction that would lead to corresponding reduction of operating expenses.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Carivon Construction Company:</u> On July 9, 2014 Carivon Construction Company received a violation for failing to achieve Community Workforce Program requirements. This violation was closed on 8/8/2014.</p>
<p>8H3 150086</p>	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDDING BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 TO AUTHORIZE CONTINGENT PAYMENTS SET FORTH IN CONTRACT TO MORENO FIRMS, INC. TOTALING \$25,000.00 FOR THE 2015 MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AGRICULTURE, HORSE AND CATTLE SHOW FOR A NEW TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$150,000.00</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution waives competitive bidding by a two-thirds vote of the Board members present pursuant to Section 5.03(D) of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and waives the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38, to authorize the two contingent payments to Moreno Firms, Inc. for the 8th Annual Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show (MIAHCS), totaling \$25,000.00, for a new total contract amount not to exceed \$150,000.00.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On February 19, 2014, the BCC adopted R-185-14 which waived competitive biddings by a two-thirds vote of the Board members present to approve the Amendment to the Performance Agreement ("Amendment") between the County and Moreno Firm, Inc. for the 2014 Miami International Agriculture and Cattle Show for a total contract amount not to exceed \$195,000.00.</p> <p>On July 1, 2014, the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) Department entered into a Performance Agreement with Moreno Firms Inc. for \$125,000.00 authorized by Resolution R-587-14, which waived formal bid procedures for the purchase of professional entertainment and artist services and associated expenses in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 per performance or exhibition, for the 2015 MIAHCS.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on February 3, 2015, the Board adopted R-147-15, which waived requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the 8th Annual MIAHCS on April 10 through 12, 2015.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on MIAHCS:</u> Highlights from 7th Annual MIAHCS (April 11-13, 2014):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attracted more than 30,000 visitors from over 24 countries and featured a cattle auction, equestrian shows, and local agriculture vendors; • Cattle breeders from 18 states showcased over a dozen breeds of cattle at the show; • Awarded status as one of five Premier Point Shows in Florida by The Florida Cattlemen's Association; and • Recognized by the American Brahman Breeders Association as a point show. <p><i>The following information was provided by the Miami-Dade Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) in response to questions posed by the OCA:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>How many sponsors were secured in the 7th Annual MIAHCS?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS a total of 14 sponsors participated in the 2014 show. • <i>Was there a cost to the County?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The total fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County for the 2014 Cattle Show for the agreement was \$195,000.00; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$150,000.00 from PROS index code PREEVT448001 • \$45,000 as from County Commission District 10 funds ▪ The Cattle Show had an allocation of \$150,000.00 in the FY2013-14 Adopted Budget, listed in Volume 1, appendices H and I. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total payment to Moreno Firms, Inc. consisted of \$125,000.00 from the Performance Agreement • \$70,000.00 for the amendment (County funds of \$25,000 and Commission District 10 funds of \$45,000)

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Did the County earn a profit? How was tourism impacted?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ According to PROS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The amount used to calculate tourism impact is \$311 per day per person per day; and ▪ Though ranchers and visitors from multiple states and countries participate and or attended the show, no formal study was conducted.
811 142621	RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SELECT GOVERNING BODIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, INCLUDING ANY FUTURE GOVERNING BODY OPERATING ITS OWN POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ITS RESPECTIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, ANY MUNICIPALITY LOCATED IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THAT OPERATES A POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ITS RESPECTIVE POLICE DEPARTMENT, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY AND THE FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS POLICE DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH MUTUAL AID AGREEMENTS AND EXERCISE THE RENEWAL AND CANCELLATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of mutual aid agreements for law enforcement services between Miami-Dade County, through the Miami-Dade Police Department, with participating government bodies and their respective law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>Respective law enforcement agencies include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Miami-Dade County municipalities and their Police departments; • Miami-Dade County Public Schools (MDCPS) and the MDCPS Police Department; and • Florida International University (FIU) Board of Trustees (BOT) and the FIU Police Department. <p>The proposed resolution also authorizes the Mayor or designee to make changes to, or cancel, the provisions of the mutual aid agreement. These agreements will be effective upon execution and expire on January 1, 2025. The current agreement expired on January 1, 2015.</p>
812 150278	RESOLUTION APPROVING RETROACTIVELY REQUEST TO EXPEND \$2,402,000.00 FROM THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO USE SUCH FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURES INCURRED AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2014
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution retroactively approves the request to expend \$2,402,000.00 from the Miami-Dade Police Department Law Enforcement Trust Fund in accordance with the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> Miami-Dade County will not incur any costs. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies are awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department as a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and participation in federal asset sharing programs.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund:</u> On November 6, 2014, the Director of the Miami-Dade Police Department released a report regarding the proposed expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund. According to the report, the Law Enforcement Trust Fund must be used for law enforcement purposes which are not budgeted. Allowable purposes may include: to defray costs of protracted or complex investigations; to provide additional technical equipment or expertise; to provide matching funds to obtain federal grants; or for other law enforcement purposes. The funds are administered in compliance with Miami-Dade Police Department's policies, statutory requirements, and federal guidelines.</p> <p>The Law Enforcement Trust Fund is comprised of three different funding sources: the State civil forfeitures, governed by Florida Statutes Sections 932.701 through 932.706; U.S. Department of Justice Asset Sharing Program; and U.S. Treasury Asset Sharing Program. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department are a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and federal asset sharing programs. Federal assets are shared among participating law enforcement agencies based on the agencies' direct participation in task force investigations that result in the forfeiture of federally seized assets. The Department's partnerships with federal agencies and the related task forces have been the subject of recent resolutions approved by the Board.</p> <p>Law Enforcement Trust Fund project requests are submitted to the Miami-Dade Police Department Law Enforcement Trust Fund Committee Chairperson. The requests are reviewed by personnel in the Fiscal Administration Bureau to ensure compliance with Law Enforcement Trust Fund requirements. The Police Legal Bureau reviews the requests for legal sufficiency and prepares the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund. The revenues and expenditures are documented in the County budget ordinance, the federal equitable sharing and certification report, and an annual audit to the County's Finance Department</p> <p>The retroactive approval is necessary due to the high priority of law enforcement initiatives and the necessity to have funding available for critical operations. The proposed expenditures will be effective with the start of the fiscal year, October 1, 2014 through completion of the project. These expenditures include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Youth Safety Initiative - \$250,000.00; 2. Targeted Crimes Initiative - \$700,000.00; 3. Violent Crimes and Special Investigations Initiative - \$950,000.00; 4. Real-Time Crime Center - \$500,000.00; and 5. Do the Right Thing Program of Miami-Dade - \$2,000.00.
811 150226	RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC. FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR PORTMIAMI FEDERAL INSPECTION FACILITY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,233,000.00, CONTRACT NO. A13-SEA-03; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE ANY

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>CANCELLATION, RENEWAL, AND OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p> <p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Contract Number A13-SEA-03 between Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. and Miami-Dade County (County) for Architectural and Engineering Services for PortMiami Federal Inspection Facility in the amount of \$2,233,000.00. The contract period consists of four (4) years plus two (2), one-year options to extend for professional services requested during the initial term, or until the money is depleted, whichever comes first, which equals six (6) years.</p> <p>The total contract amount of \$2,233,000.00 includes a Dedicated Allowance Account of \$33,000.00 (1.5% of base plus contingency) for Art in Public Places, which was included in the scope of work at the time of advertisement; however, was inadvertently omitted from the original cost estimate within the Request to Advertise (\$2,200,000.00).</p> <p>Additional delegation of authority requested for this contract is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authority to exercise the renewal and cancellation provisions in the contract; • Section IX of the PSA stipulates that any and all disputes will be decided by the Director of PortMiami; and • Authority to exercise all other provisions contained in the contract. <p>This PSA is necessary to provide PortMiami with a Federal Inspection Facility (FIF) for the United States Customs and Border Protection and Customs Inspection Processing Services, Inc., a private Contractor for CBP. The FIF will provide for the operation of a Centralized Examination Site, which consists of cargo examinations to comply with regulations set forth by the United States Customs Trade Enforcement, Department of Agriculture and Food and Drug Administration. The structure will provide interior warehouse and office space, which includes restrooms, computer/equipment storage, a general storage area and a high security storage area; exterior space for parking; truck queuing and circulation; container staging and reefer hook-ups; and a dock area.</p> <p>The Consultant will provide non-exclusive professional architectural, engineering and construction administration services (to include coordination with all regulatory agencies, as appropriate) for a new Cargo Terminal Federal Inspection Facility that includes a Central Examination Station, warehouse, parking facility, office and operational/ maintenance support facility for Customs and Border Protection.</p> <p>Contract Measures: CBE- 19%- \$418,000.00</p> <p>Sub-Consultants: DDA Engineers, P.A.; Fraga Engineers, LLC; F.R. Aleman and Associates, Inc.; Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.; Nifah and Partners Consulting Engineers, Inc.; Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.; and U.S. Cost Incorporated dba RIB U.S. Cost .</p>
8L2 150111	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF DORAL TO PROVIDE THE CITY WITH ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$650,042.72 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ALONG NW 97 AVENUE FROM NW 70 STREET TO NW 74 STREET; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the first amendment to the Joint Participation Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the City of Doral, providing up to an additional \$650,042.72 to the City for eligible expenses incurred in the construction of these improvements.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The fiscal impact to the County is \$650,042.72. The County's participation in funding has increased from the original amount of \$976,752.70 to \$1,626,795.42. Road Impact Fees from the State of Florida will continue to provide the County's funding for the Project.</p> <p><u>Background:</u> On January 22, 2014, the Board approved the subject JPA under Resolution No. R-48-14. Under the JPA, the City would be provided up to \$976,752.70 of the cost for the construction of a road improvement project along NW 97 Avenue from NW 70 Street to NW 74 Street.</p> <p>Due to the inclusion of additional construction items such as sidewalks, required tree and fence relocations identified during the design process, the cost of the Project has been adjusted to a new estimated total of \$1,626,795.42, which is higher than the original amount provided under the JPA. This increase will be provided by up to \$650,042.72 from Road Impact Fee District 1 funds. The City Council approved this Amendment on November 12, 2014 under Resolution No. 14-170. The Project is tentatively scheduled to begin construction in March 2015.</p>
8M1 150025	<p>RESOLUTION ACCEPTING "ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE" APPROXIMATELY 522.057 ACRES OF SOUTH DADE WETLANDS PROJECT WITHIN THE ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS PROGRAM ACQUISITION SITE WITH THE NATURE CONSERVANCY AS ASSIGNOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AS ASSIGNEE, AND SHENANDOAH HOLDINGS, LLC AS SELLER FOR A PURCHASE PRICE OF \$1,957,000.00 USING BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THE INSTRUMENT OF CONVEYANCE AS REQUIRED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-974-09</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accepts the Assignment of Option to Purchase from The Nature Conservancy, as assignor, Miami-Dade County, as assignee, and Shenandoah Holdings, LLC, as Seller, for a purchase price of \$1,957,000.00 using Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program funds; and • Pursuant to Resolution No. R-974-09, directs the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to record the instrument of conveyance accepted in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, provide a recorded copy of the instrument to the Clerk of the

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																								
	<p>Board within thirty (30) days of execution of said instrument and direct the Clerk of the Board to attach and permanently store a recorded copy of said instrument together with this resolution.</p> <p>The parcels proposed for acquisition are located within the South Dade Wetlands EEL Project. Acquiring these parcels is also consistent with the Sea Level Rise Task Force Recommendations for the continued strategic implementation of the EEL Program.</p> <p>Background: In May of 1990, the electorate of Miami-Dade County authorized the County to levy an ad valorem tax for two years to create the EEL Program and Trust Fund. The purpose of the EEL program is to acquire, preserve, enhance, restore, conserve and maintain environmentally-endangered lands for the benefit of present and future generations. In 1993, the County Commission placed the South Dade Wetlands on the EEL Priority A Acquisition List.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The appraised value of the subject property is \$3,077,000.00 and the negotiated purchase price is \$1,957,000.00.</p> <p>The funding source for this project is the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (GOB). In the unlikely event that GOB bond funds are not available, the EEL Acquisition Trust Fund may be used to close on the property.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">As of November 30, 2014, the balance of the EEL Trust Fund GF080 is \$44,109,328.00 of which \$21,394,752.03 is reserved for acquisition and \$22,714,575.97 is reserved for management. <p>This is GOB Project 2.4, Site #70230.</p> <p>Additional Information: On January 21, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-47-15 directing the Mayor or Mayor’s designee to continue strategic implementation of Miami-Dade County’s Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program to identify additional potential longer-term funding sources for continued acquisition of EEL lands. Additionally, the Mayor was directed to prepare a report and quarterly status reports regarding the issues identified.</p> <p>The County’s EEL Program, in partnership with the South Florida Water Management District, the State of Florida, and other funding partners, has acquired over 21,500 acres of environmentally endangered lands throughout Miami-Dade County to date. The EEL Program monitors properties available for auction through the Clerk of Courts website.</p> <p>On December 4, 2007 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1300-07 authorizing the EEL Program to bid on and to purchase tax deeds for properties that have been previously designated by the County Commission as Priority A properties on the EEL Acquisition List without further authorization by the Commission. The purchase price was to be less than or equal to either the appraised value of the tax-assessed value of the property. Tax deeds purchased by the EEL Program were to be reported annually to the County Commission.</p> <p>According to the Annual Report on EEL Program Tax Deed Purchases dated February 11, 2015, no tax deed purchases were made by the EEL Program during the period of November 22, 2013 to December 31, 2014.</p>																								
8M2 142857	RESOLUTION REVISING UNIFORM TAXICAB METER RATES FOR FOR-HIRE VEHICLES; PROVIDING FOR A CASH DISCOUNT WHERE PAYMENT IS MADE BY CASH, CHECK OR OTHER MEANS NOT INVOLVING THE USE OF A CREDIT CARD; REVISING AIRPORT REGIONAL TAXICAB SERVICE FLAT RATES FOR ZONES A, B AND C FOR TRIPS ORIGINATING FROM MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; REVISING CORAL GABLES AND ADJACENT UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FLAT RATES FOR ZONES D AND E FOR TRIPS TO AND FROM THE MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; REVISING THE FLAT RATES FOR TRIPS FROM THE MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TO THE PORT OF MIAMI OR FROM THE PORT OF MIAMI TO THE MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; AND REVISING FLAT RATES FOR TRIPS TO OR FROM THE MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TO OR FROM FLAT RATE ZONES 1, 2, 3, 4 AND 5																								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution revises the uniform taxicab meter and taxicab flat fare zone rates and establishes a cash discount of \$2.70 where payment is made by cash, check or other means not involving the use of a credit card. The recommended taxicab meter rate is \$2.95 for the first one-sixth of a mile or less and \$0.85 for each one-sixth of a mile or less until it reaches one mile. Thereafter, the rate will be \$0.40 for each additional one-sixth of a mile. The recommended waiting time rate is \$0.40 for each minute (\$24.00 per hour). The taxicab flat fare rates are recommended to be increased to the following: \$13.00, \$17.00, \$17.00, \$19.00 and \$22.00 for Zones A, B, C, D and E, respectively; \$55.00, \$46.00, \$40.00, \$35.00 and \$44.00 for Zones 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively; and \$27.00 for Airport to Seaport and Seaport to Airport trips.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">2014 Rate Review Flat Fare/Zone Analysis</th></tr><tr><th>Destination</th><th>Current Flat Rate</th><th>Proposed Flat Rate *</th></tr><tr><td>Airport to Seaport or Seaport to Airport</td><td>\$24</td><td>\$27</td></tr><tr><td>Airport (Short Haul) Zone A</td><td>\$10</td><td>\$13</td></tr><tr><td>Airport (Short Haul) Zone B</td><td>\$14</td><td>\$17</td></tr><tr><td>Airport (Short Haul) Zone C</td><td>\$14</td><td>\$17</td></tr><tr><td>To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone D (Coral Gables)</td><td>\$16</td><td>\$19</td></tr><tr><td>To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone E (Coral Gables)</td><td>\$19</td><td>\$22</td></tr></table>	2014 Rate Review Flat Fare/Zone Analysis			Destination	Current Flat Rate	Proposed Flat Rate *	Airport to Seaport or Seaport to Airport	\$24	\$27	Airport (Short Haul) Zone A	\$10	\$13	Airport (Short Haul) Zone B	\$14	\$17	Airport (Short Haul) Zone C	\$14	\$17	To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone D (Coral Gables)	\$16	\$19	To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone E (Coral Gables)	\$19	\$22
2014 Rate Review Flat Fare/Zone Analysis																									
Destination	Current Flat Rate	Proposed Flat Rate *																							
Airport to Seaport or Seaport to Airport	\$24	\$27																							
Airport (Short Haul) Zone A	\$10	\$13																							
Airport (Short Haul) Zone B	\$14	\$17																							
Airport (Short Haul) Zone C	\$14	\$17																							
To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone D (Coral Gables)	\$16	\$19																							
To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone E (Coral Gables)	\$19	\$22																							

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes				
		To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone 1 (Golden Beach area) To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone 2 (North Beach area) To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone 3 (Mid-Beach area) To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone 4 (South Beach area) To and from Airport and Flat Fare Zone 5 (Key Biscayne)	\$52 \$43 \$37 \$32 \$41	\$55 \$46 \$40 \$35 \$44	
	Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The proposed resolution will require all taxicab meters to be reprogrammed by a third party, then tested and sealed by staff from the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER). A fiscal impact of approximately \$20,000.00 to Miami-Dade County would consist of staff time, new taxicab rates decals and brochures. These expenses will be paid by proprietary revenue collected through the For-Hire Transportation Section of RER's Business Affairs Division.				
8M3 150141	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENT OF \$550,000.00 FROM THE BISCAYNE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT TRUST FUND TO CONDUCT ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT IN BISCAYNE BAY THROUGH THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BISCAYNE BAY RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM				
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes the disbursement of \$550,000.00 from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund for bay island trash and solid waste removal, required maintenance and repair of features at restoration sites, bay environmental restoration, habitat maintenance and biological monitoring in connection with the ongoing Miami-Dade County Biscayne Bay Restoration and Enhancement Program. Fiscal Impact/Funding Source: The Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund disbursement is consistent with Section 24-40 of the Miami-Dade County Code (Code) that allows the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund to be used for the restoration and enhancement of Biscayne Bay and its foreshore. As of September 30, 2014 the available balance of the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund was \$2,242,000.00.				
8M4 150198	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT IV TO THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE NATURE CONSERVANCY, A DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA NONPROFIT CORPORATION, FOR CONTINUED PROVISION OF LAND ACQUISITION AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS PROGRAM FOR A FOUR-YEAR PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED \$451,074.27; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN				
Notes	The proposed resolution approves Amendment IV to the existing Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Nature Conservancy, as Trustee of The Nature Conservancy Charitable Trust, for continued provision of land acquisition and other professional services for the Miami-Dade County Environmentally Endangered Lands Program for a four-year period not to exceed \$451,074.27. The maximum total cost for the four additional years of this amendment is \$451,074.27. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the first 3 years of Amendment III, actual expenditures were \$224,019.65, which was only 61% of the maximum allowable annual cost of \$367,817. Funding is provided by the EEL Acquisition Trust Fund. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As of November 30, 2014, the balance of the EEL Trust Fund GF080 is \$44,109,328.00, of which \$21,394,752.03 is reserved for acquisition and \$22,714,575.97 is reserved for management. Under this and prior agreements, The NC has successfully negotiated the sale of over 20,204 acres of wetlands valued at over \$49,500,000 within EEL project areas for purchase by the County and the South Florida Water Management District. The current agreement with The Nature Conservancy expires April 19, 2015. Additional Information: On March 8, 2001, the BCC approved a waiver of formal bid in granting a six-year agreement with The Nature Conservancy (NC) under Resolution No. R-189-01. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> R- 189-01 waived formal bid procedures and authorized execution of a contract for professional services with The NC to assist the County in the acquisition of lands under the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program and other conservation land acquisitions as needed. The County will pay actual costs up to a ceiling of \$165,000 in the first year. The ceiling will then increase by 3% per year. The term of the contract is three years and can be renewed under the same conditions for three additional one year-periods. On May 8, 2007, the BCC approved an amendment to an existing agreement with The NC under Resolution No. R-551-07. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> R-551-07 amends an existing agreement between Miami-Dade County and The NC for continued provision of land acquisition and other services to the EEL Program. The amendment extended the agreement (adopted on March 8, 2001, via Resolution No. R-189-01) for two additional years. It was necessary to execute this agreement prior to formal Board approval in order execute the agreement before the April 19, 2007, expiration of the existing agreement. 				

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On March 3, 2009, the BCC approved a second amendment to the agreement with The NC under Resolution No. R-170-09.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>R-170-09 amended an the agreement between Miami-Dade County and The NC for continued land acquisition and other services for the Miami-Dade County EEL Program for a two-year period not to exceed \$547,184.</i> <p>On April 4, 2011, the BCC approved a third amendment to the agreement with The NC under Resolution No. R-231-11.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>R-231-11 amended an agreement between Miami-Dade County and The NC, for continued provision of land acquisition and other professional services for the Miami-Dade County EEL Program for a four-year period not to exceed \$497,851. Additionally, the resolution waives formal bid procedure.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The agreement was set to expire on April 19, 2011. Amendment III extended the agreement for four additional years.</i>
<p>8N1 150220</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE DADELAND NORTH JOINT DEVELOPMENT LEASE WITH PELLINORE DADELAND, LP AS IT RELATES TO PHASE III; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE LEASE AMENDMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a third amendment to the Dadeland North Joint Development Lease between Miami-Dade County (County) and Pellinore Dadeland LP (Pellinore), as it relates to Phase III extending the current lease by 20 years, increasing the participation rent and authorizing the County Mayor or designee to execute same and exercise all provisions contained therein.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides for the Phase III lease term to be extended automatically to a 90 year period, commencing on the date a Certificate of Occupancy is obtained by Pellinore on or before the fifth anniversary of the effective date of this amendment; Increases the Phase III Additional Rent requirement to 5.75 percent for the first five (5) years and 5.35 percent for the remainder of the term, which represents a substantial increase in revenue to MDT in the form of participation rent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The present value benefit to MDT from this amendment is an extra \$11,936,740.00. Although the County retains ownership of the land, it will receive impact fees (\$2.5 million minimum estimate) and ad valorem taxes (estimated to exceed \$1 million per year) on the privately-owned improvements to be constructed.</i> <p>Pellinore is proposing to construct a 25-story, 265,960 square foot, 272 unit, luxury, multi-family, market-rate, residential rental apartment building on the 0.87 acre Phase III land. The construction of Phase III is slated to begin within the next five (5) years.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Related Legislation:</u></p> <p>On March 11, 2003, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-211-03 approving a First Amendment to the Dadeland North Joint Development Lease between Miami-Dade County and Green Dadeland Station, Ltd. R-211-03 allowed condominium units to be developed, established a mechanism for determining rent for condominiums and provided for a right of first refusal in favor of the condominium association if the County elects to sell the property.</p> <p>On April 13, 2014, the BCC also adopted Resolution No. R-423-04 approving a Second Amendment to the Dadeland North Joint Development Lease between Miami-Dade County and Green Dadeland Station, Ltd. The amendment allowed for the settlement of disputed rent.</p> <p>On April 13, 2004, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-419-04 approving a Phase II-Phase III Easement Agreement to the Dadeland North Joint Development Lease between Miami-Dade County and Green Dadeland Station, Ltd. The agreement allowed for shared use of County land currently leased to Green Dadeland Station, Ltd.</p> <p>On October 10, 2006, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1140-06 approving the First Amendment to the Phase II and Phase III Easement Agreement affecting the land, which is subject to the Dadeland North Joint Development Lease (the "Lease") between Miami-Dade County and Green Dadeland Station, Ltd. This amendment allowed for the flexibility of the Phase III tenant under the Lease to develop either office or apartment buildings on Phase III land and equitably apportions the expense of maintaining the Easement between the Phase II and Phase III tenants.</p>
<p>8N2 142750</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT TO IMPLEMENT NEW INTERSTATE 95 DADE/BROWARD EXPRESS BUS SERVICE FROM BROWARD BOULEVARD AND SHERIDAN STREET TRI-RAIL STATION PARK AND RIDE LOTS TO THE CIVIC CENTER ON OR AFTER APRIL 1, 2015</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) to implement a new bus route for Interstate 95 Dade/Broward bus service from Broward Boulevard and Sheridan Street Tri-Rail Station Park as well as ride lots to the Civic Center on or after April 1, 2015.</p> <p>The route will operate only on weekdays, every 30 minutes between the hours of approximately 5:30 am – 9:30 am (AM peak) and 3:30 pm – 8:00 pm (PM peak).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County, as the service will be funded entirely with a Transit Corridor Program Grant from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in the amount of \$2,472,000.00 annually (R-390-14).</p> <p><u>Background:</u></p> <p>The State Transit Corridor Program, authorized by Chapter 341 of the Florida Statutes, provides funding to relieve traffic congestion and improve road capacity within identified transportation corridors by increasing the people-carrying capacity of the systems through the use of</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>facilitated movement of high-occupancy conveyances. Transit Corridor Program funds are intended to alleviate congestion in designated transportation corridors such as Interstate 95 (I-95) and are eligible to receive up to 100 percent of state funding.</p> <p>In August 2007, the Miami-Urban Partnership comprised of FDOT, MDT, Miami-Dade Metropolitan Planning Organization, the Broward Metropolitan Planning Organization, Broward County Transit, the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority and the Florida Turnpike Enterprise entered into an Urban Partnership Agreement to convert 21 miles of two (2) high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes (one in each lane) along I-95, from I-395 in Miami to I-95 in Fort Lauderdale into variably-priced high-occupancy toll (HOT) lanes. A major component of the project included implementing new express bus services within the HOT lanes between Broward and Miami-Dade Counties.</p> <p>On January 25, 2010, MDT began Phase 1 of the I-95 Dade/Broward Express Route service. MDT will implement the second phase of the I-95 Dade/Broward Express service with operation along the same alignment as Phase I, but with direct service to the Civic Center in Miami (NW 15th Street and 12th Avenue).</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On March 1, 2011, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-140-11 which granted funding on behalf of Miami-Dade County from the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) Section 5307 Flexible funding in the amount of \$1,764,985 to provide operating assistance for the I-95 Dade-Broward Express Bus service.</p> <p>On October 2, 2012 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-809-12 which directed the Mayor or Mayor's designee to evaluate opportunities to extend the proposed enhanced bus service along northwest 27th avenue into Broward county in one seamless system and further directing the Mayor or his designee to evaluate opportunities to accelerate the development of bus rapid transit along this corridor.</p> <p>On May 7, 2013 the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-321-13 which approved the Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to provide \$2,397,000.00 in State Transit Corridor Program funding for the continued operation of the I-95 Dade/Broward Express Bus Rapid Transit Service to Miami Central Business District for FY 2013.</p> <p>On May 6, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-390-14 which authorized the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (Agreement) with the FDOT to provide State Transit Corridor Program funding in the amount of \$2,472,000.00 for Phase II of the I-95 Express Dade/Broward Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Service along I-95 from the Broward Boulevard and Sheridan Street park to the Miami Civic Center.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The implementation of the service was anticipated in January 2014. However, delays in the construction of the new express lanes (two lanes in each direction) along I-95 delayed the start date of the new service until late 2015.</i> • <i>Provided \$2,472,000.00 in operating assistance for the I-95 Dade/Broward Express Civic Center service. FDOT has programmed funding in its adopted Work Program through State FY 2018 to continue providing assistance at 100% in accordance with the Urban Partnership Agreement.</i>
8N3 150223	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TERMS OF AN AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BRIDGE SUPPORT STRUCTURE TO REPLACE MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT METROMOVER PIER NO. P23968-OB; ACCEPTING THE EASEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes Miami-Dade County (County) to enter into an Agreement on behalf of Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for the construction of a bridge support structure to replace MDT Pier No. P23968-OB, which supports the Omni Metromover Guideway system, which is in conflict with the State Road 836 (SR 836)/Interstate 395 (I-395) proposed roadway widening project.</p> <p>It is further recommended that the Board accept the easements associated with the construction and authorize the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute same as specified in the Agreement.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></p> <p>There is minimal fiscal impact to the County deriving from the maintenance of the new bridge structure. The estimated annual cost to conduct maintenance for the new pier or bridge structure after the first five (5) years is \$1,000.00. The inspection cost for the new structure will be approximately \$14,000.00 and will be provided by FDOT through an existing Bridge Inspection Grant.</p>
10A1 150144	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$21,000,000.00 TO REFINANCE EXISTING DEBT AND TO FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR THE BENEFIT OF YOUTH CO-OP, INC. FOR PURPOSES OF AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 147(F) OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds (Bonds) by the Miami-Dade County Industrial Development Authority (IDA) for Youth Co-Op, Inc. in a principal amount not to exceed \$21,000,000.</p> <p>Neither the IDA nor Miami-Dade County has any liability with respect to the repayment of the Bonds.</p> <p>TEFRA requires that the Board approve the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds by the IDA after a public hearing has been held either by the IDA or by the Board. For efficiency, the Board has allowed the IDA to conduct the public hearing subject to review and ratification by the Board.</p>
11A1	RESOLUTION RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. R-1062-13; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE AND

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
150209	FINALIZE AGREEMENTS WITH ALL NECESSARY PARTIES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SOCCER STADIUM FACILITY FOR NEW MAJOR LEAGUE SOCCER FRANCHISE AT THE FORMER ORANGE BOWL STADIUM LOCATION ADJACENT TO MARLINS BALLPARK, SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL AND SCHEDULE AND HOLD PUBLIC MEETINGS
Notes	<p>Under the proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution No. R-1062-13 will be rescinded; • The County Mayor or the Mayor's designee will be directed to negotiate and finalize the terms of agreements with all necessary parties for development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise at the former Orange Bowl Stadium location adjacent to the Marlins Ballpark and to present such agreements to this Board for its subsequent consideration and approval; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ At a minimum, the agreements will provide that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The County have no responsibility or obligation to contribute County funds towards the development and construction costs of the soccer stadium facility; ▪ The developer of the soccer stadium facility shall be solely responsible for funding all development and construction costs of the stadium facility; and ▪ Payments shall be due and owing to the County for use and occupancy of the soccer stadium site if such site is owned by the County. • The County will hold three (3) public meetings regarding any resolution approving agreements related to the development of a new soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The County Mayor or the Mayor's designee will schedule public meetings on any such proposed resolution following the Chairperson's assignment of the items to committee or following any waiver of the committee requirement. ○ Public meetings will be held prior to the Board's consideration of the proposed resolution. ○ Public meetings will be conducted by County staff and will be for the purpose of soliciting community input about the proposed development from members of the public, County officials and County staff. ○ Public meetings will be held at locations and times which are accessible and convenient to the majority of residents in Miami-Dade County and allow for maximum participation by geographic and ethnic communities within Miami-Dade County. ○ Public meetings will be appropriately advertised, including use of print advertisements in newspapers of general circulation and community based periodicals. <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>On December 17, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1062-13 which directed the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to identify viable locations in or near Downtown Miami for the development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise. R-1062-13 directed the Mayor to analyze the feasibility of development of a stadium in the Downtown area and negotiate and finalize agreements with all necessary parties for development of the stadium. The proposed resolution rescinds R-1062-13.</p> <p>On February 3, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-149-15 directing the Mayor or Mayor's designee to negotiate agreements with all necessary parties for the use of FIU Stadium as the temporary home stadium for a new Major League Soccer franchise based in Miami-Dade County as part of ongoing efforts to identify viable locations in or near Downtown Miami for development of a soccer stadium facility for a new Major League Soccer franchise.</p> <p>On February 12, 2015 during the Economic Prosperity Committee meeting, Legislative File 150210 was discussed and eventually deferred. Legislative File 150210 directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to select a consultant to prepare a finding of necessity study for Orange Sports Complex community redevelopment area to include the geographical area described generally as bounded on the north by the Miami River, bounded on the south by Flagler Street, bounded on the west by NW 22nd Avenue and bounded on the east by the Miami River, and such other surrounding areas as determined necessary by the Finding of Necessity study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>During the committee meeting, members raised questions as to why the County was initiating the study and not the City as well as whether or not CRAs could provide funding for transit related projects.</i> • <i>Members of the committee also expressed concerns over the County essentially subsidizing the cost of a MLS stadium by deferring tax revenue through the TIF with the development of a CRA.</i>
11A2 150339	RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$7,500,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 TO RIVER LANDING DEVELOPMENT, LLC TO FUND RIVER LANDING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH RIVER LANDING DEVELOPMENT, LLC PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150298]
11A6 150338	RESOLUTION WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND PROJECT 124 OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$7,500,000.00 FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 124 TO ROSAL WESTVIEW, LLC TO FUND ROSAL WESTVIEW BUSINESS PARK ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH ROSAL WESTVIEW, LLC PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD [SEE ORIGINAL UNDER FILE NO. 150205]
Notes	The proposed resolutions waive the Administrative Rules for Economic Development Fund Project 124. The requirement in the Administrative Rules that each Project 124 allocation be a minimum of \$10,000,000.00 is waived with regard to:

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11A2- River Landing Development, LLC and an allocation of \$7,500,000 from Project 124 to River Landing Development, LLC for the River Landing Development Project is approved, subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a grant agreement between the County and River Landing Development, LLC. • River Landing Development, LLC submitted an application for Project 124 funding, to fund public infrastructure costs associated with the development of a mixed use, residential and retail, development in the heart of Miami's health district, upon certain employment and salary benchmarks being met, among other requirements set forth in the administrative rules of the Bond Program. • 11A6- Rosal Westview, LLC and an allocation of \$7,500,000.00 from Project 124 to Rosal Westview, LLC for the Rosal Westview Business Park Project is approved, subject to the future consideration by this Board of a grant agreement between the County and Rosal Westview, LLC. • Rosal Westview, LLC submitted an application for Project 124 funding, to fund public infrastructure costs associated with the development of a mixed use, retail and commercial, business park totaling up to 900,000 square feet all as detailed in Exhibit A to be located in the County's urban infill area. <p>The County Mayor or designee is directed to negotiate the terms of a grant agreement with River Landing Development, LLC and Rosal Westview, LLC pursuant to the Administrative Rules. The County Mayor is directed to prepare a grant agreement and complete negotiations of such grant agreement with River Landing Development, LLC and Rosal Westview, LLC within six months from the effective date of this resolution. The County Mayor will present the negotiated grant agreement to the BCC for its consideration, provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of such grant agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead at the next scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period and placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by this Board.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Economic Development Fund Project 124</u> On July 1, 2014, the BCC approved R-616-14, waiving administrative rules for BBC GOB, EDF, Project 124, approving the allocation of \$6,000,000.00 from Project 124 to Flagler Street Reconstruction and Economic Development to fund certain economic development projects.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC approved \$24,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-986-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Carrie Meek International Business Park project; • R-987-14- Allocating \$500,000.00 to the Aviation Corporate Hangar project; • R-988-14- Allocating \$5,000,000.00 to the Orion Jet Center Development project; and • R-1015-14- Allocating up to \$13,500,000.00 to Miami Wilds, LLC for the Miami Wilds project. <p>On December 16, 2014, the BCC approved \$20,000,000 in allocations from the EDF Project 124 fund for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-1121-14- Allocating \$9,000,000 to Skyrise Miami, LLC to fund the Skyrise Miami Project; • R-1122-14- Allocating \$6,000,000 to Overtown Gateway Partners, LLC to fund the Overtown Gateway Project; and • R-1116-14- Allocating \$5,000,000 to Larkin Health Science Education Campus. <p>On January 21, 2015, the BCC approved R-37-15, approving the allocation from the EDF Project 124 in the amount of \$10,000,000 to Miami Ocean Studios, LLC to fund the Miami Ocean Studio Economic Development Project.</p> <p>The following list provides other projects that have been considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141535- Failed in EDPMC- \$5,000,000- AVE Aviation Commerce Center project; • October 16, 2014- Legislative File No. 141866- No Action Taken at EDPMC- \$18,500,000- Beach Re-nourishment Reserve Fund project; • November 5, 2014- Legislative File No. 141539- Failed in BCC- \$5,000,000- Parkside at Palmetto Bay project. • December 2, 2014- Legislative File No. 142271- BCC- Deferred to no date certain- \$7,500,000- Neuroscience Centers of Florida Foundation, Inc. to fund Project Mercy. <p>There remains an unallocated balance of \$15,000,000 in the GOB EDF Project 124.</p>
11A3 150109	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO INITIATE DISCUSSIONS WITH INSURANCE COMPANY REPRESENTATIVES ABOUT OFFERING INCENTIVES TO RESIDENTIAL POOL OWNERS FOR INSTALLING POOL SAFETY EQUIPMENT, PROVIDE A REPORT TO THIS BOARD WITHIN SIXTY (60) DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION AND PLACE IT ON AN AGENDA OF THE BOARD PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 14-65

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor, or Mayor's designee, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate discussions with insurance company representatives about offering incentives to residential pool owners for installing pool safety equipment; and Provide a report to the Board within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this resolution. <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On October 7, 2014, the BCC, through R-915-14 urged all Florida Counties to act swiftly to enact local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code to require low voltage lighting in newly-built residential pools, and for permitted construction work on existing residential pools, to lessen the potential for lethal electrocution.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC, through R-1016-14:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directed the Mayor or Mayor's designee to create a special permit category for "Underwater Residential Pool Light Replacement (120 volt to low voltage)" for existing private swimming pools, as defined in the Florida Statutes and Florida Building Code, located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County, to implement the necessary procedures and to give effect to the intent of this resolution within 45 days from its effective date; Amended Implementing Order 4-63 to include a new special permit category and \$65 fee for "Underwater Residential Pool Light Replacement (120 volt to low voltage)" for existing private swimming pools located within unincorporated Miami-Dade County; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The special permit category services include the resources expended for intake of the permit application, processing of the application, verification of the status of the license and insurance for the contractor, an onsite inspection to verify permitted work is in compliance with the Florida Building Code and the National Electric Code, and record keeping. Directed the Mayor or Mayor's designee to create and implement an appropriate outreach campaign to increase the public awareness of the potential for electric shock associated with improperly installed, maintained or serviced underwater pool lights. Directed the Mayor or Mayor's designee to publish the availability of the permitting process and other alternatives available to the public to enhance the safety of private swimming pools, and include this information on the County's website as well as the County media channel, and provide such information to the Board within 45 days of the effective date of this resolution for further dissemination. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The completed information packet will be placed on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65. The Board requested that the Mayor or Designee use the resources of Miami-Dade County Community Information & Outreach (CIAO) to carry out these directives. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>What is the status of the information packet?</i> <p>On February 18, 2015, the BCC passed Legislative File 150297 on first reading. The proposed ordinance amends Section 8-31 of the Miami-Dade County Code, pertaining to underwater pool lighting for private and recreational bathing pools, to match the 5th edition of the Florida Building Code.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Section 8-31: Local Technical Amendments to Florida Building Code Maximum Voltage - The maximum voltage for each luminaire in any private swimming or recreational bathing pools shall not exceed the Low Voltage Contact Limit, which is defined as a voltage not exceeding the following values:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 15 volts (RMS) for sinusoidal alternating current 21.2 volts peak for nonsinusoidal alternating current 30 volts continuous direct current 12.4 volts peak for direct current that is interrupted at a rate of 10 to 200 Hertz <p style="text-align: center;">The maximum incandescent lamp size will be 300 watts.</p>
11A4 150112	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A PLAN TO UPGRADE WATER SERVICE TO COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL AREAS AND INCLUDE PROPOSED FUNDING IN THE WATER AND SEWER 5-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM FOLLOWING BOARD CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or Mayor's designee to develop a plan to enhance water service to the major commercial corridors where existing water supply lines cannot meet existing and/or anticipated water supply and fire flow needs. The plan will include proposed funding mechanisms and will be incorporated into the Water and Sewer Department's 5-year capital program after consideration, approval and subject to any amendments by the Board. The County Mayor or Mayor's designee will provide the plan to this Board within 120 days of the effective date of this Resolution and will place the plan on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 as an action item.</p> <p><u>Additional Information:</u></p> <p>On July 2, 2013 the BCC adopted R-597-13, extending sewer service to commercial and industrial areas. The resolution directed the Mayor or the Mayor's designee to develop a plan to extend sewer service to the major commercial corridors identified in the Water and Sewer report including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NW 7th Avenue; NW 22nd Avenue; NW 27th Avenue; NW 79th Street; NE 2nd Avenue; Biscayne Boulevard;

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SW 40th Street (including from Red Road to the Palmetto Expressway); • South Dixie Highway (including the Naranja area); and • West Dixie Highway where significant clusters of commercial and industrial zoned property lack access to sewer lines. <p>The sewer extension plan was to be incorporated into the Water and Sewer 5-year capital program after consideration and approval by this Board.</p> <p><u>Additional Information – Report on Extending Sewers to Commercial Areas:</u></p> <p>On April 14, 2014 the Mayor issued a report in response to R-597-13. According to the report, approximately 2,200 parcels consisting of 29 separate projects would receive service along major corridors. The total cost to bring service to these 2,200 parcels is estimated to be about \$284 million of which \$11 million are already included in the Department’s capital plan leaving about \$273 million of additional funding needed to complete this plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>About \$40 million of the unfunded portion are associated with additional capacity in the regional transmission system and about \$233 million represent the unprogrammed costs of installing local collection systems that in developing areas would typically be constructed by developers and then donated to the Water and Sewer Department (WASD).</i> <p>At the time the report was issued, the cost estimates an ample (40%) contingency to accommodate issues that might be discovered during design, but overall costs estimates may be reduced somewhat as design occurs.</p> <p>Improvements would introduce an estimated \$1.6 million gallons per day of new flow to the sewer system, based upon current water use at the sites in question. Based on the rates during the time the report was issued, the additional flow would generate approximately \$4 million annually in new revenue to WASD assuming that all the parcels were connected to the system at their current water usage rates.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The additional revenue, which would primarily cover the operational costs of providing service, would be insufficient to finance the cost of extending the system.</i> <p>Due to the high cost for these new wastewater connection improvements the department has identified the following alternative methods of financing these projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finding by the County using General Obligation Bonds (GOB) • Funding by the Department Using Revenue Bonds • Rate Surcharge • Special Taxing District • Tax Increment Financing <p>More recently the Board has approved special basin charges as an addition to connection charges to finance additional collection system capacity in high value areas such as Brickell, Biscayne North and Doral. GOB have been used for this purpose to bring sewers to the US-1 corridor in Perrine/Cutler Ridge and to construct water mains in residential areas where private wells were contaminated. Currently there is about \$126 million of available GOB funding that was approved by voters for water and sewer system projects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>A decision to support these projects with GOB funding would mean that funding from other sources, most likely revenue bonds, would be needed to replace the GOB revenue that could have been applied to other capital projects. This would have an impact on rates over the long term, but extending the sewer system would also have economic development benefits for the economy as a whole and for the particular neighborhoods receiving service.</i>
11A7 150119	RESOLUTION APPROVING A NEW MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT BUS ROUTE, THE WESTCHESTER CIRCULATOR, FOR IMPLEMENTATION ON OR AFTER JUNE 1, 2015; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO TAKE THE NECESSARY STEPS TO IMPLEMENT SUCH SERVICE WITHIN THE APPROPRIATED FUNDS IN THE FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 BUDGET FOR MIAMI-DADE TRANSIT
Notes	The proposed resolution approves a new Miami-Dade Transit bus route, the Westchester Circulator, as depicted in the attached route map, for implementation on or after June 1, 2015 and directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to take the necessary steps to implement such service within the funds appropriated in the Fiscal Year 2014-15 budget for Miami-Dade Transit.
11A8 150121	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT TO DEVELOP AN ADOPT A BUS SHELTER PROGRAM
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to prepare a report to develop an adopt a bus shelter program. The County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee will provide the report to this Board within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and will place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
11A9 150211	RESOLUTION (1) DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO CREATE A MIAMI-DADE COUNTY YOUTH SUMMER JOB PROGRAM CONSISTENT WITH THE TERMS OF THIS RESOLUTION; (2) DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY UP TO \$2.5 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND OR OTHER AVAILABLE REVENUES TO FINANCE SUCH PROGRAM TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; (3) URGING THE CHILDREN’S TRUST TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR SUCH PROGRAM; (4) AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO APPLY FOR AND ACCEPT FUNDING FROM OTHER AVAILABLE SOURCES FOR SUCH PROGRAM AND TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS WITH THE CHILDREN’S TRUST AND ANY OTHER ENTITY GRANTING FUNDING FOR SUCH PROGRAM, SUBJECT TO BOARD RATIFICATION, IF NO MATCHING FUNDS ARE REQUIRED, AND SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL, IF MATCHING FUNDS ARE REQUIRED; AND (5) DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE A REPORT WITHIN 30 DAYS

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County Mayor or esignee to create a Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program that targets youth between the ages of 16 and 18 who have a least a 2.0 grade point average and excellent attendance record in school; • Directs the County Mayor or designee to identify general fund or other available revenues in the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 annual budget in an amount up to \$2.5 million dollars to finance, in part, the implementation of the Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program in Fiscal Year 2014-2015 and prepare a proposed amendment to the Fiscal Year 2014-15 County budget to provide such funding and include such proposed amendment in the report; • Urges the Children's Trust to provide funding to Miami-Dade County for the Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program, and authorizes the County Mayor or designee to enter into any necessary agreements with the Children's Trust, subject to Board approval, if the Children's Trust requires the provision of matching funds from the County budget; provided, however, if the Children's Trust does not require the provision of matching funds from the County budget, the County Mayor or designee may enter into an agreement with the Children's Trust to provide grant funding for such program, subject to ratification by the Board; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to apply for and accept funding for the Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program from any available source, subject to Board approval, if the entity granting funds for such program requires the provision of matching funds from the County budget; provided, however, if the entity granting funding does not require the provision of matching funds from the County budget, the County Mayor or designee may enter into an agreement with the entity granting funds, subject to ratification by the Board; and • Directs the County Mayor or designee to prepare a report outlining the Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program, identifying potential funding and funding sources, providing the response from the Children's Trust regarding the request for funding for the Miami-Dade County Youth Summer Job Program, and providing a draft of any necessary resolution or ordinance to amend the County budget to accomplish the purposes of this resolution and to approve or ratify, as necessary, any agreement with the Children's Trust or any entity granting funding; provide this report to each individual member of this Board within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution; and place the completed report on an agenda of the Board pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.
11A10 150378	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO, WITHIN 45 DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION, IDENTIFY FUNDING SOURCES FOR, AND THEREAFTER BEGIN IMPLEMENTATION AS SOON AS FEASIBLE OF, A PLAN TO CONSTRUCT A PHYSICAL BARRIER SEPARATING BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC FROM VEHICULAR TRAFFIC ALONG THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY, IF FUNDING SOURCES APPROPRIATED IN FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 COUNTY BUDGET ARE IDENTIFIED AS AVAILABLE TO FUND EXPENDITURES ANTICIPATED TO BE INCURRED IN FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 TO IMPLEMENT SUCH PLAN; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT A PROGRESS REPORT TO THIS BOARD AND TO CONSIDER AN INCREASE IN TOLLS ON THE RICKENBACKER CAUSEWAY AS A POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150250]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify funding sources for, and thereafter begin implementation as soon as feasible, of a plan to construct a physical barrier separating bicycle and pedestrian traffic from vehicular traffic along the Rickenbacker Causeway within 45 days of the effective date of this resolution; • Submit a progress report to the Board within 30 days of the effective date of this resolution and to place the completed report on an agenda of the Board; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The report will, at minimum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Describe the recommended plan to construct a physical barrier separating bicycle and pedestrian traffic from vehicular traffic along the Rickenbacker Causeway; and ▪ Detail the anticipated cost to fully implement the plan, a schedule for completion of all improvements and the funding sources identified by the Mayor or Mayor's designee to fund implementation of the plan, including revenues appropriated in the County's FY 2014-2015 budget. • Consider an increase in tolls on the Rickenbacker Causeway as a potential funding source for implementation of the plan. <p><u>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</u></p> <p>On January 23, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-32-13, waiving competitive bids and authorized the Mayor or his designee, to contract for the repairs of the Bear Cut Bridge and the West Bridge on the Rickenbacker Causeway.</p> <p><i>Although, the resolution title, states waive competitive bids, it was clarified during the discussion that the project would contain a solicitation process and the waiver was required only for certain procurement procedures in order to expedite the process.</i></p> <p>The resolution was amended to include an additional \$3 million to build bicycle lanes on each side of the bridge. The bicycle lanes would be walled off by concrete barriers on both sides of the bridge.</p> <p>Pursuant to the authority granted under Resolution No. R-32-13, approved by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on January 23, 2013, the Mayor approved the Contract Award to Kiewit on April 3, 2013.</p> <p>On December 3, 2013, the BCC, adopted Ordinance No. 13-110, (the 2013 Ordinance) authorizing the issuance from time to time of Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds for purposes of financing and refinancing improvements to the Rickenbacker Causeway (Causeway).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Resolution No. R-971-13 (2013 Series Resolution), authorized the issuance of Miami-Dade County, Florida Rickenbacker Causeway Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 (Series 2013 Bonds) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$34,000,000. The 2013 Series Resolution authorized the issuance of new money bonds to fund and to reimburse the County for funds spent in advance for</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>emergency construction repairs to the Bear Cut Bridge and the West Bridge.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pledged Revenues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>When issued, the Series 2013 Bonds limited obligations of Miami-Dade County secured with the Pledged Revenues, which are defined in the 2013 Ordinance as the Net Revenues of the Causeway, moneys and investments held for the credit of the Funds and Accounts as provided for in the 2013 Ordinance and any other legally available revenues pledged by the Board in a subsequent ordinance. Net Revenues of the Causeway are the excess of revenues over current expenses for any particular period, usually a fiscal year. Revenues to the Causeway primarily include vehicle tolls and concession fees. Current expenses primarily consist of maintenance, repairs and operation of the Causeway, among other things. Principal and interest payments (semi-annual) resulting from the issuance of the Series 2013 Bonds will be funded with Net Revenues.</i> • <i>The Series 2013 Ordinance contains a rate covenant that requires that the County maintain sufficient net revenues in order to maintain an annual debt service coverage that is at least equal to 125 percent of a given year's annual principal and interest payment while bonds are outstanding plus 100 percent of all required deposits to the credit of sinking and other funds including a Renewal, Replacement and Improvement fund (1/12 per month of the annual requirement) as specified annually by the Consulting Engineers. Failure to meet the rate covenant will require the County to raise tolls and concession fees on the Causeway.</i> • Fiscal Impact/Funding Source <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>The Series 2013 Bonds are to be secured by the net revenues of the Causeway. No other County revenues will be pledged to their repayment unless approved by subsequent action of the Board. There are no other outstanding bonds or outstanding refunding bonds related to this net revenue pledge and toll facility credit.</i> <p>On April 8, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-335-14 approving Change Order No. 1, for a contract between Kiewit Infrastructure South Co. (Kiewit), and Miami-Dade County increasing the contract amount by \$1,950,000.00 for a total contract amount of \$32,950,000, and increasing the contract duration by 112 calendar days. A total of \$323,910.75 from the contract was allocated to fund a County request to accelerate the construction of the 14 foot wide dedicated pedestrian/bicycle lane on the north side of the Bear Cut Bridge.</p> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on February 11, 2015, Legislative File 150378 was amended to clarify that the plan would begin implementation as soon as feasible. The committee requested information on past reports and ongoing projects related to bike safety. The County Attorney noted that an update on ongoing projects explanations of such projects were outlined in a report issued in September 2014. The Metropolitan Services Committee also discussed the possibility of implementing toll increases to help fund a physical barrier on the Rickenbacker Causeway. It was noted that residents would not be affected by toll increases as their rates are set.</i></p>
11A11 150324	RESOLUTION DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT A CONSULTANT TO PREPARE A FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY TO INCLUDE THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SW 72ND STREET, ON THE EAST BY SW 152ND AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY SW 88TH STREET, AND ON THE WEST BY SW 157TH PATH TO KENDALL DRIVE AND AGAIN ON THE WEST BY SW 157 AVENUE TO SUNSET DRIVE, PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF CHAPTER 163, PART III, FLORIDA STATUTES; AND DESIGNATING THE SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR PAYMENT OF CONSULTING FEES TO BE UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA NON-DEPARTMENTAL ALLOCATION [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 150108]
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to competitively select a consultant to prepare a finding of necessity study pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes to include the geographical areas bounded on the North by SW 72nd Street, on the East by SW 152th Avenue, on the South by SW 88th Street, and on the West by SW 157 Path to Kendall Drive, and again on the West by SW 157th Avenue to Sunset Drive; and • Designates the source of funding for payment of the consulting fees will be the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area non-departmental allocation, with possible reimbursement from funds on deposit in the Community Redevelopment Agency Trust Fund, if and when established by this Board. <p>Additional Information: <i>This item was amended at the Unincorporated Municipal Services Committee meeting on February 10, 2015 to change the southern boundary to be included in the Finding of Necessity Study from SW 96th Street to SW 88th Street. During this committing meeting the Commission asked about the amount of funds being transferred from the General Fund/property tax revenues for the purpose of tax increment financing for the existing fourteen (14) CRAs. The Department Director of the Office of Management and Budget responded that \$30 million were being allocated for that purpose with a little under \$1 million from UMSA's budget and the remaining funds from countywide funds.</i></p>
11A12 150366	RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS AND THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO INCREASE FUNDING FOR AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER RESEARCH CONDUCTED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI LEONARD M. MILLER SCHOOL OF MEDICINE JOHN P. HUSSMAN INSTITUTE FOR HUMAN GENOMICS
11A13 150406	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING SB 536, SB 998, HB 823, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PROHIBIT THE SALE OF POWDERED ALCOHOL
Notes	<p>11A12: The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges Congress and the Florida Legislature to increase funding for autism spectrum disorder research conducted at the University of Miami Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics; • Directs the Clerk of this Board to send a certified copy of this resolution to the President of the United States, Florida

Board of County Commissioners
March 3, 2015 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Congressional Delegation, Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, the President of the University of Miami, and the Dean of the University of Miami Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the County's federal and state lobbyists to advocate for funding and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 Federal and State Legislative Packages to include this item. <p><u>11A13:</u> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports Senate Bill 536, SB 998, HB 823, or similar legislation that would prohibit the sale of powdered alcohol; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, Senator Anitere Flores, Senator Gwen Margolis, Representative Lori Berman, and the Chair and remaining Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p><u>Additional Information:</u> On December 16, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1143-14 approving the 2015 State Legislative Priorities.</p>
11A14 150355	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO RECOGNIZE THE STATE HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF TROPICAL PARK IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to recognize the state historical significance of Tropical Park in Miami-Dade County; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, the Florida Secretary of State, the Florida Department of State Division of Historical Resources, and its Director; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2015 state legislative package to include this item.