



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

**Economic Prosperity Committee Meeting**

March 17, 2016  
2:00 P.M.  
Commission Chamber

**Research Division**

Charles Anderson, CPA  
Commission Auditor  
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
1G1 160087	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 10-33.02 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA TO ALLOW HIGHER LEVEL CONTRACTORS TO COMPETE FOR LOWER LEVEL SET-ASIDE CONTRACTS WHEN UNAVAILABILITY EXISTS; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN CODE AND EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<div>The proposed ordinance, pertaining to Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program, amends Section 10-33.02 of the Miami-Dade County Code to allow for higher level contractors to compete for lower level set-aside contracts when unavailability exists.</div> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i></td><td><p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p><p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p><p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p><p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p><p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p><p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p><p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p><p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p><p>CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p><p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p></td><td><p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p><p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p><p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p><p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$2,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p><p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$5,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p><p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p><p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, <b>and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</b></p><p><b>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p><p><b>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p><p><b>3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.</b></p></td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p> <p>CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$2,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$5,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, <b>and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</b></p> <p><b>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p> <p><b>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p> <p><b>3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.</b></p>
Code Comparison Chart Section 10-33.02 Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 10-33.02. <i>Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program.</i>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. In addition, construction contracts of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less (which may be reserved for limited competition amongst CSBEs) will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues above \$2,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues above \$5,000,000.00 but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10-33.02, Level II and Level III CSBEs certified in SIC Code 17 - Specialty Trade Contractors, may bid on a specialty trade contract set aside for CSBEs whose estimated cost is above \$50,000 and may participate in any specialty trade portion of the work of a contract subject to a CSBE subcontractor goal where the cost of the specialty trade portion of the contract work is above \$50,000.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>CSBEs prequalified in one (1) contracting participation level may not bid on a set-aside contract whose estimated cost falls within a lower contracting participation level (e.g., a CSBE classified in Level III may not bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or I).</p> <p>CSBEs can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost exceeds the limits of the contracting participation level in which they are classified (e.g., a Level I CSBE can bid on set-aside contracts whose estimated cost falls within Level II or III).</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less.</p>	<p>(2) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in this section:</p> <p>Contracting participation level refers to the level in which a CSBE firm is classified based on the average gross revenues of the firm over a three-year period. The three (3) contracting participation levels are:</p> <p>1. Level I — Three-year average gross revenues of \$0 - \$2,000,000.00.</p> <p>2. Level II — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$2,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$5,000,000.00.</p> <p>3. Level III — Three-year average gross revenues <b>from \$5,000,000.01</b> but not exceeding \$10,000,000.00.</p> <p>Prime contracts with estimated project construction cost in excess of ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) shall be “open market” contracts which all firms can bid on.</p> <p>Set-aside means reservation for competition solely among CSBEs of a given prime County contract whose estimated cost is ten million dollars (\$10,000,000.00) or less, <b>and such construction contracts will be classified into one (1) of the three (3) contracting participation levels based on estimated project cost:</b></p> <p><b>1. Level I Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$0 to \$2,000,000.00. Level II and Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level I set-aside contracts as prime contractors, unless Level I prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p> <p><b>2. Level II Set-aside means – for competition solely among Level I and II prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$2,000,000.01 to \$5,000,000.00. Level III prime contractors may not compete for Level II set-asides contract as prime contractors, unless Level I and Level II prime contractor unavailability exists.</b></p> <p><b>3. Level III Set-aside – for competition solely among Level I, Level II, and Level III prime contractors of a given County contract for construction project valued from \$5,000,000.01 to \$10,000,000.00.</b></p>								
1G2 160137	ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE LXXX, SECTION 2-1102 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA CONCERNING THE MIAMI--DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP; REVISING MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES OF AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY REQUIRING 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; WAIVING QUALIFIED ELECTOR REQUIREMENT FOR THE 13 REPRESENTATIVE SEATS FOR INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH HIV DISEASE; ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR CRIMINAL BACKGROUND SCREENING BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED BY THE MIAMI--DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP FOR APPOINTMENT BY THE COUNTY MAYOR; EXCLUDING AD HOC COMMITTEES AND WORKGROUPS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-1102(G) (1) AND (2) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA RELATED TO DEMOGRAPHICS AND PARITY; DELETING REQUIREMENT THAT PARTNERSHIP MEMBERS' TERMS SHALL END CONCURRENTLY WITH THE LAST									

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
	DAY OF THE COUNTY’S FISCAL YEAR; CORRECTING SCRIVENER’S ERRORS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Amends Article LXXX, Section 2-1102 of the Miami-Dade County Code concerning the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership;</li><li>• Revises membership requirements for the representative seats for member representatives of affected communities by requiring 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease;</li><li>• Waives qualified elector requirement for the 13 representative seats for individuals living with HIV disease;</li><li>• Establishes guidelines for criminal background screening by the County Mayor of the County Mayor’s designee of candidates recommended by the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership for appointment by the County Mayor;</li><li>• Excludes ad hoc committees and workgroups from the requirements of Section 2-1102(G)(1) and (2) of the Miami-Dade County Code relating to demographics and parity; and</li><li>• Deletes requirements that Partnership members’ terms will end concurrently with the last day of the County’s fiscal year.</li></ul>									
1G3 160295	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.9 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO UPDATE WAGE AND HEALTH BENEFIT RATES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE									
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Living Wage Ordinance, amends Section 2-8.9 of the Miami-Dade County Code to update wage and health benefits rates.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Section 2-8.9 of the Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 2-8.9. <i>Living Wage Ordinance for County Service Contractors and County Employees.</i></td><td><p>Living Wage.</p><p>(A) Living wage paid.</p><p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p><p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p><p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of the current rate applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each budget year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan for employees and, if applicable, their dependents. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for</p></td><td><p>Living Wage.</p><p>(A) Living wage paid.</p><p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate of <b>\$12.63 per hour with a qualifying Health Benefit Plan valued at no less than \$2.89 per hour per employee and \$15.52 per hour if no qualifying Health Benefit Plan is provided by the Service Contractor</b>, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p><p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p><p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of <b>\$2.89 per hour per employee commencing in health benefit plan year 2017 and for subsequent years the amount shall be adjusted by the percentage change in the consumer price index for medical services for the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area published by the United States Department of Labor</b>. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for employee-only coverage. Proof of the provision of a Health</p></td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart Section 2-8.9 of the Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 2-8.9. <i>Living Wage Ordinance for County Service Contractors and County Employees.</i>	<p>Living Wage.</p> <p>(A) Living wage paid.</p> <p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p> <p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p> <p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of the current rate applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each budget year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan for employees and, if applicable, their dependents. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for</p>	<p>Living Wage.</p> <p>(A) Living wage paid.</p> <p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate of <b>\$12.63 per hour with a qualifying Health Benefit Plan valued at no less than \$2.89 per hour per employee and \$15.52 per hour if no qualifying Health Benefit Plan is provided by the Service Contractor</b>, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p> <p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p> <p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of <b>\$2.89 per hour per employee commencing in health benefit plan year 2017 and for subsequent years the amount shall be adjusted by the percentage change in the consumer price index for medical services for the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area published by the United States Department of Labor</b>. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for employee-only coverage. Proof of the provision of a Health</p>
Code Comparison Chart Section 2-8.9 of the Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 2-8.9. <i>Living Wage Ordinance for County Service Contractors and County Employees.</i>	<p>Living Wage.</p> <p>(A) Living wage paid.</p> <p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p> <p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p> <p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of the current rate applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each budget year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan for employees and, if applicable, their dependents. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for</p>	<p>Living Wage.</p> <p>(A) Living wage paid.</p> <p>(1) Service contractors. All service contractors as defined by this Chapter, performing covered services shall pay to all of its employees providing covered services, the current Living Wage rate of <b>\$12.63 per hour with a qualifying Health Benefit Plan valued at no less than \$2.89 per hour per employee and \$15.52 per hour if no qualifying Health Benefit Plan is provided by the Service Contractor</b>, applicable to the time when the covered service is performed as that rate is adjusted each fiscal year in the manner provided for herein for the adjustment of the Living Wage rate.</p> <p>(B) Health Benefit Plan.</p> <p>(1) For a covered employer or the County to comply with the Living Wage provision by choosing to pay the lower wage scale when a covered employer also provides a Health Benefit Plan, such Health Benefit Plan shall consist of payment of <b>\$2.89 per hour per employee commencing in health benefit plan year 2017 and for subsequent years the amount shall be adjusted by the percentage change in the consumer price index for medical services for the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area published by the United States Department of Labor</b>. The minimum amount of payment by a Service Contractor for the provision of a Health Benefit Plan on a per-hour basis will be calculated based on a maximum of a 40-hour work week. Overtime hours will not require additional payments towards the provision of a Health Benefit Plan. If the Service Contractor pays less than the required amount for providing a Health Benefit Plan provided in this section, then the Service Contractor may comply with the Living Wage requirements by paying the covered employee the difference between the premium it pays for the Health Benefit Plan of the Covered Employee and the minimum amount required by this section for a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. The Service Contractor may require that all employees enroll in a Health Benefit Plan offered by the Service Contractor, provided that the employee is not required to pay a premium contribution for employee-only coverage. Proof of the provision of a Health</p>								

**Economic Prosperity Committee  
March 17, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>employee-only coverage. Proof of the provision of a Health Benefit Plan must be submitted to the County to qualify to pay the applicable wage rate for employees with a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. Health Benefit Plan for purposes of complying with this section shall qualify if it includes the benefits contained in a standard health benefit plan meeting the requirements set forth in § 627.6699(12)(a), Florida Statutes.</p> <p>(C) Indexing. The living wage will be annually indexed to inflation as defined by the Consumer Price Index calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce as applied to the County of Miami-Dade. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2001-2002 County budget year using the Consumer Price Index figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2000, and thereafter on an annual basis.</p>	<p>Benefit Plan must be submitted to the County to qualify to pay the applicable wage rate for employees with a qualifying Health Benefit Plan. Health Benefit Plan for purposes of complying with this section shall qualify if it includes the benefits contained in a standard health benefit plan meeting the requirements set forth in § 627.6699(12)(a), Florida Statutes.</p> <p>(C) Indexing. The living wage will be annually indexed to inflation as defined by the Consumer Price Index calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce as applied to the County of Miami-Dade. The first indexing adjustment shall occur for the 2001-2002 County budget year using the Consumer Price Index figures provided for the calendar year ended December 31, 2000, and thereafter on an annual basis. <b>Commencing October 1, 2016, the \$2.89 cost for a qualifying health benefit plan shall be adjusted based on the consumer price index for medical costs for the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area and that indexed cost shall be added to the existing Living Wage rate when a qualifying Health Benefit Plan is provided to determine the newly adjusted Living Wage rate without qualifying health benefits.</b></p>
<p><b>Background:</b></p> <p>In 1999, the BCC adopted Ordinance 99-44, the Living Wage Ordinance because the County recognized that it has a responsibility when spending public funds to set a community standard that permits full-time workers to live above the poverty line. In adopting the Living Wage Ordinance, the County found that sub-poverty level wages do not serve the public purpose because such wages place an undue burden on taxpayers and the community to subsidize employers paying inadequate wages by providing their employees social services such as health care, housing, nutrition, and energy assistance.</p> <p>The Living Wage Ordinance in 1999 established a Living Wage of no less than \$8.56 per hour with health benefits or a wage rate of \$9.81 per hour without health benefits which meant that the cost of qualifying health benefits was set at \$1.24 per hour. Since 1999, the wage rate and health benefit differential of the Living Wage Ordinance has been adjusted annually utilizing the Consumer Price Index calculated by the U.S. Department of Commerce as applied to the County of Miami-Dade. The indexed wage rate has effectively kept pace with inflation, ensuring wages are sufficient to permit workers to live about the poverty line.</p> <p>In a 2014 health benefits survey, the Kaiser Family Foundation found that “the average annual premiums for employer-sponsored health insurance are \$6,025 for single coverage and \$16,834 for family coverage.” Assuming a 40 hour workweek, this translates to a minimum of \$2.89 per hour for a health benefit plan.</p> <p><b>Additional Information - Living Wage Rate Reports<sup>1</sup>:</b></p> <p>Contracts awarded pursuant to the provisions of Miami-Dade County’s Living Wage Ordinances Section 2-8-9 of the Code of Miami-Dade County stipulate that all covered employees providing service pursuant to the service contractor’s contract shall be paid a living wage of no less than a stipulated dollar amount per hour inclusive of a stipulated dollar amount per hour for health benefits, such health benefits shall consist of a set dollar value per hour towards the provisions of health care benefits for employees and their dependents.</p> <p>In the event of any underpayment of the required wage rates, the contractor shall be liable to the underpaid employee for the amount of such underpayment. Underpayment by a service contractor or subcontractor to the stipulated wages constitutes a wage violation under the provisions of the Living Wage.</p> <p>On May 7, 2014, the Internal Services Department Small Business Development Division issued a notice for living wage rates for FY 2014-2015. According to notice, effective October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015 the Living Wage rate required was to be no less than \$12.46 per hour with qualifying Health Benefits Plan (HBP) valued at no less than \$1.81 per hour, or \$14.27 per hour if no qualifying HBP is provided by the Service Contractor to its covered employees.</p> <p><b>Additional Information – Relevant Legislation:</b></p> <p>On November 3, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution NO. R-1022-15, opposed Senate Bill 598 and House Bill 181, or similar legislation that would: (a) preempt local governments from requiring contractors on public works projects where state funds are involved to pay employees a predetermined amount of wages or wage rate, provide employees a specified type or amount of employee benefits, control or limit staffing, or recruit, train, or hire employees from a designated or restricted source; or (b) preempt local governments from restricting</p>		

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.miamidade.gov/smallbusiness/living-wage-reports.asp>

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	qualified bidders from submitting bids, being awarded any bid or contract, or performing work on public works projects. However, SB 598 and HB 181 did not pass during the 2016 legislative session.
<b>1G4 160136 Deferral Requested</b>	ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 30A OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CREATING SECTION 30A-158 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENT FOR EXECUTED COMMUNITY BENEFITS AGREEMENTS AS A PREREQUISITE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY FUNDS FOR CERTAIN DEVELOPMENTS UNLESS EXEMPT; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS, REQUIREMENT FOR COMMUNITY BENEFITS, EXEMPTIONS, PENALTIES, AND ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Chapter 30A of the Miami-Dade County Code;</li> <li>• Creates Section 30A-158 of the Miami-Dade County Code; and</li> <li>• Establishes requirements for executed Community Benefits Agreements as a prerequisite for the distribution of county funds for certain developments unless exempt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Background:</b>  Miami-Dade County has subsidized significant projects which impact its local communities. Community Benefit Agreements (CBAs) are contracts between developers and community organizations which can address a broad range of community needs and are safeguards to ensure that affected residents share in the benefits of major developments. CBAs allow community groups to have a voice in shaping and mitigating the impact that a project has on the surrounding community and require developers to provide the impacted community with certain negotiated benefits.</p>
<b>2A 160467</b>	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING GUIDELINES FOR COUNTY COMMISSION APPROVALS RELATED TO NEW AND EXISTING COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DENY CERTAIN REQUESTS FROM COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES THAT ARE DEEMED APPROVED BY LAW, IF NOT APPROVED WITHIN THE ALLOWED TIMEFRAME
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution, subject to the requirements of the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 (Act) and other applicable laws, the BCC establishes guidelines for County Governing Body Approvals pertaining to community redevelopment agencies, certain of which may require amendments to existing agreements, as follows:</p> <p><b>a) Community Benefits Agreements</b>  <i>All entities or contractors contracting with or receiving grants from a community redevelopment agency in an amount of \$200,000.00 or more, or such other amount as may be established by this Board, shall enter into a community benefits agreement with the community redevelopment agency which will benefit primarily the residents of the community redevelopment area. To the extent allowed by law, a community benefits agreement shall include provisions for hiring the labor workforce for the project financed by the grant or agreement from residents of the community redevelopment area that are unemployed or underemployed. Depending on the worker or employee to be hired, the community redevelopment agency will be required to ensure that such entity or contractor complies with wage requirements, as applicable, established by Miami-Dade County's Living Wage or Responsible Wage Ordinances, pursuant to Section 2-8.9 and 2-11.16, respectively, of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "Code") or pay higher wages and benefits, as are feasible.</i></p> <p><b>b) Contract Requirements</b>  <i>All entities or contractors contracting with or receiving a grant from the community redevelopment agency in an amount of \$200,000.00 or more, or such other amount as may be established by this Board, shall comply with the following Miami-Dade County ordinances contained in the Code, as may be amended, as if expressly applicable to such entities:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Small Business Enterprises (Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code);</i></li> <li><i>Community Business Enterprises (Section 2-10.4.01 of the Code);</i></li> <li><i>Community Small Business Enterprises (Section 10-33.02 of the Code); and/or</i></li> <li><i>Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance (Section 2-11.1 of the Code).</i></li> </ol> <p><b>c) Adoption of Procurement Requirements</b>  <i>All community redevelopment agencies shall adopt procurement requirements. A community redevelopment agency has the option of adopting procurement requirements that are established by the State of Florida, Miami-Dade County or the municipality in which the community redevelopment agency operates, as modified to reflect that such requirements are applicable to the community redevelopment agency.</i></p> <p><b>d) Inspector General Review</b>  <i>The County shall have the right to retain, at its sole cost, the services of an independent private sector inspector general whenever the County deems it appropriate to do so, in accordance with Miami-Dade County Administrative Order No. 3-20. Upon written notice from the County, each community redevelopment agency shall make available to the independent private sector inspector general retained by the County all requested records and documentation for inspection and reproduction. Additionally, the community redevelopment agency shall submit to the County's Inspector General's review in accordance with Section 2-1076 of the Code. The County's Inspector General shall be empowered to review past, present and proposed community redevelopment agencies' contracts, transactions, accounts, records, agreements and programs and at a minimum annually audit, investigate, monitor, oversee, inspect and review operations, activities, performance and procurement process, including but not limited to, project design, specifications, proposal submittals, activities of each community redevelopment agency, their officers, agents and</i></p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>employees, lobbyists, staff and elected officials to ensure compliance with contract specifications and to detect any fraud and/or corruption.</i></p> <p><b>e) Required Public Hearing and Project-related Findings</b>  <i>If a community redevelopment agency proposes to fund a project, a public hearing must be held and a finding must be made that:</i>  1. <i>The proposed project will primarily benefit residents and business owners within the redevelopment area.</i>  2. <i>The entity or contractor requesting funding will use community redevelopment agency funds to fill in any financial gaps left after all other funding has been provided to the project and that, but for the community redevelopment agency's funding, the project cannot be undertaken.</i></p> <p><b>f) Recovery of Grant Funds</b>  <i>All community redevelopment agencies shall include in their contracts or grant agreements a "clawback" provision that will require the community redevelopment agency to "clawback" or rescind and recover funding from any entity or contractor to which it provides funding which does not substantially comply with the provisions of its agreement with the community redevelopment agency by demanding repayment of such funds in writing, including recovery of penalties or liquidated damages, to the extent allowed by law, as well as attorney's fees and interest, and pursuing collection or legal action, to the fullest extent allowable by law, if feasible.</i></p> <p><b>g) Safeguards for Residents from Displacement</b>  <i>In the event the community redevelopment agency funds a redevelopment project authorized by the agency's redevelopment plan that may displace persons (including individuals, families, business concerns, nonprofit organizations and others) located in the community redevelopment area, the community redevelopment agency shall prepare plans for and assist in the relocation of such persons, including making any relocation payments under the Act and applicable laws and regulations. Further, each community redevelopment agency shall make or provide for at least a "one-for-one" replacement of each affordable housing unit demolished pursuant to a redevelopment project to ensure that such demolished unit is replaced by a new comparable, affordable housing unit. The community redevelopment agency shall ensure that individuals and families who are displaced from affordable housing units have a right of first refusal to return to comparably priced affordable housing units located within the redevelopment area.</i></p> <p><b>h) Affordable and Mixed Income Housing</b>  <i>Subject to compliance with Paragraph (g) above, each community redevelopment agency which has a redevelopment plan with a housing component shall serve an income mix of extremely low, very low, low, moderate, and workforce housing up to 140 percent of area median income, as may be defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Developer incentives may be established by this Board that may assist in accomplishing these housing goals. If, however, this Board adopts guidelines for mixed income housing, such projects shall comply with the adopted guidelines. Further, each community redevelopment agency shall ensure that prior to funding any non-housing project authorized by the applicable community redevelopment plan, priority shall be given to rehabilitation, conservation or redevelopment of housing for extremely low, very low, low or moderate income persons that is authorized by the community redevelopment plan, subject to compliance with the applicable comprehensive development plan for the area.</i></p> <p><b>i) Reporting on Affordable Housing</b>  <i>Each community redevelopment agency shall include a statement in its annual budget that describes its expenditures for the provision of affordable housing in the previous year and that are anticipated in upcoming fiscal years, if applicable.</i></p> <p><b>j) Participation of Commissioners or Designees on CRA Boards</b>  <i>If a community redevelopment agency's board of commissioners is the governing body of a municipality and the board consists of five or fewer members, then the interlocal agreement between the County, the municipality and community redevelopment agency shall authorize this Board, in accordance with Section 163.357 of the Act, to appoint two members or designees to serve as commissioners of the community redevelopment agency.</i></p> <p><b>k) Limitation on the Amount of a Tax Increment</b>  <i>If this Board, in its sole discretion, determines that it is in the County's best interest to establish a redevelopment trust fund for a new community redevelopment area, in accordance with Section 163.387, Florida Statutes, this Board shall determine the amount to be funded by each taxing authority annually. However, the approval of any ordinance establishing payment in an amount greater than 50 percent of the tax increment shall require a 2/3 vote of the members of this Board.</i></p> <p><b>l) Ethics Training</b>  <i>All boards of commissioners, all community redevelopment agencies' advisory boards, and the persons who staff each community redevelopment agency or board shall be required to complete a minimum of 4 hours of ethics training to be conducted by the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.</i></p> <p><b>m) Cap on Administrative Costs</b>  <i>Each community redevelopment agency shall be subject to a cap on administrative costs of 20 percent of its overall budget.</i></p>
<b>2B</b> <b>160481</b>	<b>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT A REPORT REGARDING FUNDING FOR INDIGENT HEALTH CARE IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY</b>



**Economic Prosperity Committee  
March 17, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to prepare and submit a comprehensive report regarding funding for indigent health care in Miami-Dade County that includes, but is not limited to, consideration of issues relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The amount of funding the County presently spends on indigent health care;</li> <li>• The various sources of funds presently used for indigent health care services, such as LIP, DSH and other governmental or charity programs;</li> <li>• The likelihood that indigent health care funding sources will continue into the future;</li> <li>• Whether the County's indigent health care services can remain the same or will need to be reduced;</li> <li>• Whether the County can retain and reallocate IGT funds previously used for LIP or DSH for other health care needs; and</li> <li>• Recommendations to maximize the County's funding of indigent care.</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to provide the report, including findings, results and recommendations to the BCC within 60 days of the effective date of this resolution.</p>
<b>2C 160476</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS ONE COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED AT 770 NW 58 STREET, UNIT 101, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33127; WAIVING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-4 AS IT RELATES TO REVIEW BY THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD; AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTY, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, AT A PRICE OF \$10.00 TO DOWNTOWN HOUSING IMPROVEMENT, INC., FLORIDA A NOT-FOR PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING SUCH PROPERTY AS AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO BE SOLD TO A QUALIFIED HOMEBUYER; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO EXECUTE COUNTY DEED; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENSURE PLACEMENT OF APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN THE COUNTY DEED</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declares one County owned property as surplus, located at 770 NW 58<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit 101, Miami, Florida;</li> <li>• Waives the requirements of Administrative Order 8-4 as it relates to review by the Planning Advisory Board; and</li> <li>• Approves the conveyance of the Property to Downtown Housing Improvement, Inc. at a price of \$10.00 pursuant to Section 125.38, Florida Statutes, for the purpose of developing and selling the Affordable Housing Project to a qualified homebuyer.</li> </ul> <p>The Office of the Property Appraiser 2015 market value for this property is \$22,150.00.</p>
<b>2D 160493</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$1,100,000.00 FROM PROJECT 320 FUNDS TO JESSIE TRICE COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTER INC. TO FUND CERTAIN ELIGIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO NEGOTIATE TERMS OF RELATED GRANT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO BOND PROGRAM'S ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PRESENT SUCH GRANT AGREEMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A REPORT, FOR CONSIDERATION BY BOARD</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approves an allocation of \$1,100,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects; and</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor to complete the negotiation of the terms of a Grant Agreement with Jessie Trice Community Health Center, Inc. pursuant to the Administrative Rules within six months from the effective date of this resolution and to present the negotiated Grant Agreement to the BCC for its consideration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provided, however, if the County Mayor is unable to successfully negotiate the terms of the Grant Agreement within the requisite time period, a report detailing the status of the negotiations will be presented to the BCC instead at its next scheduled meeting following the expiration of the negotiation period.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>In November 2004, Miami-Dade County voters approved the issuance of \$352.182 million in general obligation bonds to, among other things, construct and improve bridges, public infrastructure, and neighborhood improvements as part of the BBC GOB Program. A total of \$15 million was allocated towards the Economic Development in the Targeted Urban Areas Fund Project 320. The Administrative Rules for the BBC GOB Program that govern Fund Project 320 were adopted by the BCC under Resolution No. R-668-10 on June 15, 2010.</p> <p>Funding from grant awards under Project 320 must be used for public infrastructure to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. Public infrastructure includes road improvements, water and sewer networks, public parking structures, public facilities and other improvements subject to certain limitations and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible uses of the grant awards from Project 320 include, but are not limited to, working capital, furniture and fixtures, business equipment, and non-capital related expenses.</p> <p>The grant awards are disbursed as reimbursements of eligible expenditures paid by the grantees and approved by the Mayor or his designee in accordance with established administrative and IRS rules governing the tax-exempt status of the General Obligation Bond Program. The disbursement of grant funds are also contingent upon the developer meeting performance measures for job creation, private investment, average salaries and other measures in the respective grant agreements. In the event that IRS rules require grant funds to be disbursed before performance milestones can be met, a clawback provision will be included in the grant agreement that allows the County to recover part or all of the grant funds disbursed when performance milestones are not achieved.</p> <p>Prior to entering into grant agreements, a final determination as to the projects qualifications to receive the funds will be made. The grant agreements will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date. If an agreement cannot be finalized, the funding may be reallocated by the BCC. If the allocations are greater than the amount of eligible public infrastructure expenditures needed, the difference will be available for reallocation by the BCC.</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Additional Information- Previous Economic Development Fund Project 320 Allocations</u></b></p> <p>The BCC has approved various resolutions (see below) allocating funding from the BBC GOB, EDF Fund Project 320. The resolutions also directed the Mayor or his designee to begin negotiating the terms of grant agreements that will be presented to the BCC for approval at a future date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• R-900-14- Wynwood Plaza Project- District 3- \$3,000,000;</li> <li>• R-901-14- Miami Design District NE 2nd Avenue Project- District 3- \$2,000,000;</li> <li>• R-902-14- Regional Health and Wellness Center and the Culinary Enterprise Center- District 1- \$3,000,000; <b>(Rescinded)</b>; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-427-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and approved an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Seventh Avenue I, Ltd. to fund certain eligible infrastructure projects. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Seventh Avenue I, Ltd.</b></li> <li>○ <b>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-432-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-902-14, which allocated \$3,000,000.00 of Project 320 funds to the Health and Culinary Center; and allocated up to \$2,800,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Urban Health and Wellness Group for the Wellness Center Project. Subject to the availability of Project 320 funds or Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation and subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Urban Health and Wellness Group.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• R-903-14- Sunshine Plaza Project- District 2- \$2,000,000;</li> <li>• R-904-14- St. James Smokehouse- District 2- \$200,000 <b>(Project Withdrawn)</b>;</li> <li>• R-905-14- Grove Village on Grand- District 7- \$3,000,000 <b>(Rescinded)</b>; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-909-15, rescinded Resolution No. R-905-14, which allocated \$3,000,000 of Project 320 funds to Grove Village on Grand.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• R-225-16- Homestead Downtown Revitalization- \$1,500,000.</li> </ul>
<p><b>2E</b> <b>160323</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – “PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES”; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$1,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO A HEALTH CARE FACILITY TO BE LOCATED IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 6; AND DIRECTING COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO IDENTIFY AND SEEK TO CONTRACT WITH A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CARE FACILITY OPERATOR FOR DEVELOPMENT OR EXPANSION OF SUCH HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN DISTRICT 6 AND TO REPORT BACK TO BOARD WITHIN 90 DAYS ON STATUS OF THIS DIRECTIVE</p>
<p><b>2F</b> <b>160468</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-855-08 TO RESCIND \$3,000,000.00 ALLOCATION TO THE HELEN B. BENTLEY FAMILY HEALTH CENTER, INC. FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 305 – “PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FACILITIES”; APPROVING ALLOCATION OF \$3,000,000.00 OF PROJECT NO. 305 FUNDS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. TO FUND THE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER LOCATED AT 3320 SW 37TH AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133 IN COMMISSION DISTRICT 7</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b><u>Item 2E- 160323</u></b></p> <p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities;</li> <li>• Approves an allocation of \$1,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to fund a health care facility to be located in Commission District 6;</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or designee to identify and seek to contract with an operator of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) for the development and/or expansion of an FQHC in District 6, which facility shall be funded, in part, with the \$1,000,000.00 allocation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor to report back to the BCC within 90 days on the status of this directive.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Item 2F- 160468</u></b></p> <p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Resolution No. R-855-08 to rescind the \$3,000,000.00 allocation to the Helen B. Bentley Family Health Center, Inc. from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program Project No. 305- Primary Health Care Facilities;</li> <li>• Approves an allocation of \$3,000,000.00 of Project No. 305 funds to Community Health of South Florida, Inc. (CHI) to fund the acquisition and development of a Federally Qualified Health Care Facility (FQHC) to be located at 3320 SW 37th Avenue, Miami, Florida, in Commission District 7.</li> </ul>
<p><b>2G</b> <b>160495</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO DEVELOP A PROGRAM MODELED AFTER THE BOSTON WOMEN’S COMPACT TO VOLUNTARILY ENGAGE THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN COMMITTING TO CLOSE THE GENDER WAGE GAP, TO BE TITLED THE "MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WOMEN’S COMPACT," AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to develop a program modeled after the Boston Women’s Compact to voluntarily engage the private sector in committing to close the gender wage gap by mutual agreement, understand the root cause of the wage gap, implement strategies to close the wage gap, and share employment data to evaluate the success of such effort, to be titled the</p>



**Economic Prosperity Committee  
March 17, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>"Miami-Dade County Women's Compact". The proposed resolution also directs the County Mayor or designee to report back to the BCC on development of the Miami-Dade County Women's Compact, and to place the completed report on a BCC agenda.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>The 100% Talent: The Boston Women's Compact<sup>2</sup> is a voluntary pledge that over 50 companies in Boston have signed to indicate their commitment to closing the gender wage gap in the workplace. By signing the Compact, employers commit to accomplishing the following three goals:</p> <p><b>GOAL 1: Understand the Root Causes of the Wage Gap-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employers commit to understanding the gender wage gap and the underlying causes that contribute to the gap.</li> <li>Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Management review of the Council's "Closing the Wage Gap" report; Employee access to the Council's report and the Compact; Analysis of internal employment practices and data; Visible Management and Leadership engagement on the issue of wage equality, including attending conferences and/or meetings on the topic; and Dedicated time/staff resources.</li> </ul> <p><b>GOAL 2: Close the Gap-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employers commit to reviewing the evidence-based interventions in the Council's report, conducting a self-assessment of any interventions they have already implemented internally, and implementing or undertaking to improve three interventions tailored to their workplace.</li> <li>Examples of Potential Measures by Employer: Assessment of Current State; Enhancements to Existing Interventions; and Introduction of New Implemented Interventions</li> </ul> <p><b>GOAL 3: Evaluate Success-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employers commit to participating in a biennial review to discuss successes and challenges, as well as contributing data to a report compiled by a third party on the Compact's success to date. Employer-level data will not be identified in the report. The specific data to be reported will build on data already required by federal and state authorities and should not create an additional reporting burden.</li> </ul>
<p><b>3A 160425</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE MUSEUM OF SCIENCE, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$45,000,000.00 TO BE FUNDED WITH PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF BONDS SECURED BY CONVENTION DEVELOPMENT TAX REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE NEW SCIENCE MUSEUM AT MUSEUM PARK; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN; AND WAIVING PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the grant agreement with the Museum of Science, Inc. (Museum) in the amount of \$45 million to be funded with proceeds from the sale of bonds secured by Convention Development Tax (CDT) revenues for the completion of the new Museum.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>This grant agreement is to be funded with proceeds from the issuance of 2016A Bonds, which bond ordinance is a companion item on this agenda, that will be repaid by CDT revenues on a subordinated "junior lien" basis after payments have been made on (a) previously issued bonds, which are paid for by CDT revenues, and (b) other contractual obligations funded by CDT revenues. Similar to all prior bond issues that are paid for by CDT revenues, the 2016A Bonds also will be secondarily backed by Available Sales Taxes.</p> <p><b><u>Delegation of Authority</u></b></p> <p>The authority for executing the grant agreement resides with the County Mayor or his designee. The authority for exercising all provisions contained in the grant agreement is delegated to the Director of the Department of Cultural Affairs (Department), except where otherwise indicated in the grant agreement. <i>In particular, the Director of the Department has been delegated the authority to allow the Museum to make minor revisions to the project description, grant a time extension on the project completion date by up to one (1) year, monitor the Museum for compliance with the grant agreement and take any and all actions necessary (including suspending or rescinding the grant) for non-compliance, approve the Museum's requests for advance payments of the grant funds, and review and request records from the Museum and undertake audits.</i></p> <p>The grant agreement includes prerequisites and additional accountability necessary for the use of the grant funds including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Museum's Capital Project Manager's confirmation that the plan for completing the capital project is feasible;</li> <li>A written commitment from one or more key donors, including Patricia and Phillip Frost, to establish a project reserve of \$4.25 million to assist in the funding of any unanticipated cost overruns beyond the project's established contingency of \$3.5 million;</li> <li>A written bank commitment from Northern Trust for the financing of not less than \$38,346,223.00 supported by the secured private pledges;</li> <li>A resolution passed by the Museum's Board of Trustees approving the amendments to the bylaws of the Museum's Board of Trustees to restructure its governance and include County and City of Miami representation and oversight on its Board of</li> </ul>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.cityofboston.gov/women/workforce/compact.asp>

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Trustees and Executive Committee and appointments by each County Commissioner to the Museum’s Community Leadership Board;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A resolution from the Museum’s Board of Trustees affirming that it will cover capital and operational expenditures from the end of the last reimbursement from the County’s Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program funds (approximately November 2015) to the County’s bond closing (anticipated to be April 18, 2016);</li> <li>• A resolution passed by the Museum’s Board of Trustees representing and covenanting that it will not seek County operational subsidies or financial support until the County CDT financing is retired, and that it will operate the Museum with a balanced budget; and</li> <li>• A resolution by the Museum’s Board of Trustees committing to dedicate both the proceeds from future naming rights, in excess of secured, existing donation pledges, and the settlements of existing cases in arbitration/litigation, other than what may need to be conveyed from these proceeds for the bank loan against the secured pledges (including the funding of a debt reserve) and for repayment of the loans made by the principal donors for the project reserve to a restricted Museum endowment dedicated to the Museum’s operations and programming (this commitment recognizes that future naming rights gifts that are given for other specific restricted uses can be used for those specified purposes).</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>In 2004, the voters of Miami-Dade County passed the Building Better Communities – General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program. Included in the list of projects to be undertaken was an allocation of BBC-GOB funding in the amount of \$165,000,000.00 for the Museum (along with another \$10,000,000.00 for HistoryMiami).</p> <p>On January 20, 2011, the Board passed Resolution R-06-11, amending the BBC-GOB Program Museum project to consist of “a new 250,000 sq. ft. science and technology museum and planetarium offering participatory exhibits educational programs and collections; and a 4-acre outdoor science park and wildlife center. The science museum project includes all on-site and off-site improvements as required by regulatory agencies and any mitigation required for Pump Station #2. Also, renovation and expansion of HistoryMiami which will provide approximately 30,000 sq. ft. of new indoor and outdoor exhibition space, to include the redesign and construction of the Miami-Dade County Cultural Center and adaptation of the current Miami Art Museum building for use by HistoryMiami.”</p> <p>The effect of this significant modification was to designate \$165 million for the Museum and \$10 million for the renovation and expansion of HistoryMiami at its current location and the Miami-Dade County Cultural Center.</p> <p>The original Museum project had a project budget of \$272,000,000.00, inclusive of the County’s \$165,000,000 from BBC-GOB Program funds. Complementing the \$165 million of BBC-GOB Program funds, the Museum covenanted and warranted to the County in its BBC-GOB Program grant agreements that it had obtained by private fundraising the \$107 million necessary to complete the capital project, and to cover moving and staff transition costs, bringing the total project cost to \$272 million.</p> <p>The Museum continued fundraising throughout the development of the project and updated the County on its progress. The following summarizes the Museum’s private fundraising to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately \$32.6 million in cash from the Museum’s fundraising has been expended on the project to date;</li> <li>• Approximately \$23 million in cash from Museum fundraising will be available before project completion for remaining construction expenses from pledges scheduled to be paid before the end of construction and from cash on hand that is contractually restricted to specific uses (e.g., for the design and fabrication of exhibits); and</li> <li>• \$40 million has been raised in secured pledges which will not be available before project completion but are serving as collateral for the Northern Trust financing to generate approximately \$38.3 million (there is another approximately \$10 million in pledges that are scheduled to come due at a much later date and that cannot be collateralized at this time).</li> </ul> <p>In addition, Patricia and Phillip Frost have pledged \$4.25 million to serve as a reserve to assist in the funding of any unanticipated cost overruns beyond the project’s established contingency of \$3.5 million.</p> <p>In early 2015, the Museum reported that due to the nature of private sector pledges, it was pursuing a financing plan to convert pledges due after the completion of construction into the balance of cash now needed for construction. Private pledges typically are committed over a multi-year basis, given the cash flow requirements of and tax incentive benefits planned by donors; also, in some cases, donations are in the form of bequests. Consequently, approximately \$40 million in secured pledges were not scheduled to be received in time to meet capital project expenses and were to serve as the collateral for the part of the Museum’s planned bank financing.</p> <p><b><u>The Proposed Plan</u></b></p> <p>The Proposed Plan has the new project budget at \$304 million by reducing elements of the scope of the work to complete the project. In summary, the project budget reduction from \$325 million to \$304 million has been achieved by scaling down some of the exhibition features, including the elimination of the external planetarium projector, the reduction of exhibitions in the Innovation Center, the elimination of planned exhibitions on both indoor and outdoor terraces, roof and plazas (with only basic plantings only on the roof) and the elimination of a major travelling exhibition booked for the Museum’s opening.</p> <p>The new Museum will be a green, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certified building with 250,000 square feet of internal and external program on an approximately 4-acre site leased from the City of Miami for 99 years. The new building will house a 250-</p>

**Economic Prosperity Committee**  
**March 17, 2016 Meeting**  
**Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>seat planetarium, an aquarium, participatory exhibits, educational programs and collections, and a learning center accompanied by external areas with interactive exhibits and rooftop gardens.</p> <p>The Museum's staff has worked with ConsultEcon, Inc., a firm specializing in economic, planning, and management consulting for private, non-profit organizations and governmental clients, to develop an operational business plan for the new Museum. This plan has been updated to reflect the Museum's commitment not to seek County operational support and to operate the Museum on a balanced budget.</p>
<p><b>3B</b> <b>160366</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 28 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$450,000.00 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 SUMMER ARTS &amp; SCIENCE CAMPS FOR KIDS GRANTS PROGRAM FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the funding of 28 grant awards for a total of \$450,000.00 from the FY 2015-16 Summer Arts &amp; Science Camps for Kids (SASC) Grants Program. The FY 2015-16 SASC Grants Panel convened on January 13, 2016 to review 28 applications requesting a total of \$768,111.00. The panel recommended funding 28 applications for a total of \$450,000.00. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these funding recommendations at its meeting on January 20, 2016.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>Funding for the SASC Grants Program is derived from monies granted to the Department of Cultural Affairs from The Children's Trust (\$450,000.00) and approved in the Department of Cultural Affairs' FY 2015-16 budget.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The SASC Grants Program was created as a joint initiative of the Miami-Dade County Department of Cultural Affairs and The Children's Trust. The objective of the SASC Grants Program is to allocate funding to non-profit organizations that will provide underserved children in Miami-Dade County with opportunities to attend high quality cultural arts or science summer camp programs at little or no cost. Funding through the SASC Grants Program is intended to augment existing programs, providing more children with the opportunity to participate in cultural arts and science summer camps. Applicants to this program must have a minimum one-year track record of providing high quality, arts or science summer camp programs for children and/or youth in Miami-Dade County.</p>
<p><b>3C</b> <b>160421</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY MAYOR'S OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE'S ACTIONS, AS AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 2-10.4 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN APPROVING AND EXECUTING AGREEMENTS FOR CONTINUING SERVICES UNDER THE COUNTY'S EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies the actions of the County Mayor or designee in executing Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) professional services agreements for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015.</p> <p>EDP contracts are issued and renewed regularly to qualified local architectural, engineering and landscape architectural (A&amp;E) firms that are in good standing. Professional services agreements are the formal mechanism to allow County departments to issue work authorizations to eligible EDP participants.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding</u></b></p> <p>Funding for each professional services agreement ordered under the EDP is identified at the time of issuance of the service order and is consistent with each department's capital project budgets.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – Equitable Distribution Program:</u></b></p> <p>According to the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department, the EDP establishes a pool of local A&amp;E firms and provides a process to equitably distribute the County's capital improvements projects per state Statute 287.055 with an estimated construction cost of less than \$2 million and up to \$200,000 in professional fees for study activities.</p> <p>The program was created and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to increase contracting opportunities to more community based A&amp;E firms, as well as afford County department's access to qualified professionals for smaller projects in an expedited fashion without necessitating a formal solicitation.</p> <p>Administrative Order 3-39 establishes the policy and procedures for the EDP and the Internal Services Department (ISD)/EDP is responsible for the administration of the EDP Program, including processing the PSA's. The program is structured to distribute projects in all County technical certifications to the program participants through a centralized rotational system. County agencies are to utilize the EDP Program for those capital improvement projects that do not exceed the thresholds for continuous services contracts in accordance with Florida State Statute 287.055, unless provided otherwise by ISD. All qualified A &amp; E firms with a local Miami-Dade office may potentially participate in the EDP program.</p> <p><b>Program statistics as of January 2015</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Over 500 firms have been placed in the program</li> <li>• Currently there are 380+- active EDP Firms.</li> <li>• Over 1,900 EDP work assignments have been distributed through the EDP with potential fees over \$150 million.</li> <li>• 173 Work assignments were distributed in FY 2014 with potential fees of \$16.5 million.</li> <li>• 90% of the Community Business Enterprises (CBE) in the program have received work.</li> <li>• More than 240 firms of the 380 had never received County A&amp;E work when they entered the program. Approx. 80% of the firms have now received work.</li> </ul>

**Economic Prosperity Committee  
March 17, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>Highlights of EDP provided by ISD</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the centralized rotational system there are pools of firms in each technical certification category. When there is a request for a project, the database selects the next three qualified firms in the ranking in the technical area by a formula.</li> <li>• All technical categories are assigned to Architects and Engineers pursuant to their approved Pre-Qualification Certification to provide professional services for the County.</li> <li>• The rotational value/ranking is determined by a mathematical formula: 65% of a firms 3 year net award plus 100% amount actually paid. The firms with less opportunities with the County are more likely to be considered for a project.</li> <li>• The eligibility requirements to be an EDP Member are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Firms must a valid Pre-Qualification Certification (PQC);</li> <li>○ Firms must have a place of business in Dade County; and</li> <li>○ Firms must have been in business a minimum of 1 year.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Firms must apply to become a member. The application is posted on the web. A firm can submit an applications at any time provided they meet the minimum qualifications.</li> <li>• Projects distributed to firms in EDP do not require BCC approval.</li> <li>• Potential service fees are the most current fee that the Department has provided.</li> </ul>
<p><b>3D 160317</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET TOTALING \$1,433,740.00 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FOR THE NORTH MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) FY 2015-16 Budget for the North Miami Beach Community Redevelopment Area (Area). The Agency's Budget includes revenues and expenditures in the total amount of \$1,433,740.00. The BCC must approve the Agency's budget prior to the Agency expending any funding in the Trust Fund, as required by Section III D of the Interlocal Agreement. The Agency's FY 2015-16 budget was approved by the Agency on August 27, 2015 and by the City on September 21, 2015.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact / Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The Agency's main revenue source is tax increment financing (TIF), which is generated through the incremental growth of ad valorem revenues beyond an established base year, as defined in Section 163.387 of the Florida Statutes. The countywide TIF revenue payment into the Agency's Trust Fund for FY 2015-16 is \$289,030.00 and the City of North Miami Beach's (City) TIF revenue payment into the Trust Fund is \$404,477.00; carryover from prior years of \$730,233.00; and projected interest earnings of \$10,000.00. The budget does not include a contingency reserve.</p> <p>The County will continue to make annual payments to the Agency through 2028, which is when the Agency will sunset.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>On June 7, 2005, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-611-05 that established the Agency and approved the Agency's Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) and Interlocal Agreement. The BCC adopted Ordinance No. 05-110 on June 7, 2005, which provided a funding mechanism for implementation of the plan (Trust Fund). The Interlocal Agreement requires the Agency to submit for County approval an annual budget for the implementation of the Plan. On December 19, 2006, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1427-06 allowing the Agency to enter into a loan for infrastructure improvements and property purchase not to exceed \$8 million, and extend the life of the Agency from 2015 to 2028.</p> <p>Administrative expenditures total \$130,270.00, excluding the 1.5 percent County administrative charge of \$4,335.00, and represent 9 percent of total expenditures, which satisfies the Interlocal Agreement's 20 percent cap in administrative expenditures.</p> <p>Operating expenditures total \$1,299,135.00 and are broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$674,042.00 for capital and infrastructure improvements that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ CRA-wide sanitary sewer improvements (\$259,157.00)</li> <li>○ Sewer extension project along 163 Street and NE 21 Avenue (\$214,885.00)</li> <li>○ CRA-wide parking and infrastructure improvements (\$200,000.00)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• \$203,400.00 for the debt service payment on the 2007 loan that matures in 2027;</li> <li>• \$200,000.00 for Commercial Façade Improvement and Commercial improvement Programs targeting properties on West Dixie Highway between NE 163 Street and 172 Street to enhance visibility, attract new business and developed in the area;</li> <li>• \$151,693.00 for other operating expenditures including salary and fringes, legal services, marketing, printing and publishing; and</li> <li>• \$70,000.00 for consulting services to assist with updating the Redevelopment Plan, negotiating public/private partnerships, West Dixie Highway corridor improvements, retail recruitment and marketing and branding of the downtown area.</li> </ul>