



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

**Board of County Commissioners Meeting**

December 6, 2016  
9:30 A.M.  
Commission Chamber

**Research Division**

Office of the Commission Auditor  
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
4A 162691	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ROAD IMPACT FEES; AMENDING SECTION 33E-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING THAT BICYCLE PATHS, BICYCLE LANES AND GREENWAYS ARE ELIGIBLE FOR CONSIDERATION AS OFF-SITE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT CONTRIBUTIONS IN-LIEU-OF-FEE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE		
Notes	The proposed ordinance amends Section 33E-10 of the Miami-Dade County Code to require that bicycle paths, bicycle lanes and greenways are eligible for consideration as off-site roadway improvement contributions in lieu of road impact fees.		
	Code Comparison Chart Section 33E-10 of the Code of Miami-Dade County 33E-10 Roadway improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee		
	Section	Current	Proposed
	33E-10 Roadway improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee	<p>(a) In lieu of payment of all or part of the road impact fee, the County Public Works Director may accept the offer of a feepayer to construct all or part of an off-site roadway improvement. All contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the short range transportation improvement program. Such contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be credited against payment of an impact fee in the amount determined by the County Public Works Director pursuant to Sections 33E-8 or 33E-9. The total amount of contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall not exceed the road cost portion of the impact fee formula in Section 33E-7. Contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall not be applied to the two (2) percent County administrative cost portion of the impact fee which shall remain the responsibility of the feepayer and must be paid at the time of building permit issuance.</p> <p>Where a feepayer seeks to apply a contribution in-lieu-of-fee credit against payment of the road impact fee, the administrative fee portion of the impact fee shall be the sum of: (a) two (2) percent of the contribution in-lieu-of-fee or one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) whichever is less and (b) two (2) percent of the remaining road cost not satisfied by the contribution in-lieu-of fee.</p> <p>Previously approved contributions in-lieu-of-fees which are: (1) unused and (2) based on a net road cost which has</p>	<p>(a) In lieu of payment of all or part of the road impact fee, the County Public Works Director may accept the offer of a feepayer to construct all or part of an off-site roadway improvement. All contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the short range transportation improvement program. <b>Without prejudice to the County Public Works Director’s review of the merits of any particular project pursuant to sections (b)-(c), below, a bicycle path, bicycle lane, or greenway that can be used for bicycle transportation shall be eligible for consideration as an off-site roadway improvement.</b> Such contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be credited against payment of an impact fee in the amount determined by the County Public Works Director pursuant to Sections 33E-8 or 33E-9. The total amount of contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall not exceed the road cost portion of the impact fee formula in Section 33E-7. Contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall not be applied to the two (2) percent County administrative cost portion of the impact fee which shall remain the responsibility of the feepayer and must be paid at the time of building permit issuance.</p> <p>Where a feepayer seeks to apply a contribution in-lieu-of-fee credit against payment of the road impact fee, the administrative fee portion of the impact fee shall be the sum of: (a) two (2) percent of the contribution in-lieu-of-fee or one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) whichever is less and (b) two (2) percent of the remaining road cost not satisfied by the contribution in-lieu-of fee.</p> <p>Previously approved contributions in-lieu-of-fees which are: (1) unused and (2) based on a</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>been subsequently adjusted, shall be entitled to an adjustment equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the net road cost in the road impact fee formula. Previously approved contributions in-lieu-of-fees which are: (1) unused and (2) based on a road cost which has been subsequently adjusted, shall be entitled to an adjustment equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the road cost in the road impact fee formula. Any such adjustment shall only be utilized to offset road impact fees and shall not be refundable.</p> <p>(b) An offer by a feepayer to construct road improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee must be accompanied by plans in sufficient detail to permit the County Public Works Director to determine that County or State design standards will be used and to determine the cost of such improvements.</p> <p>(c) The County Public Works Director may accept or reject an offer of contributions in-lieu-of-fee. When such improvements are not consistent with standards set forth in the impact fee manual the Public Works Director may reject the offer of contributions in-lieu-of-fee. If rejected, the Public Works Director shall state in writing the reasons for the rejection. Any appeal from such a decision of the County Public Works Director to reject improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be reviewed by the County Development Impact Committee Executive Council pursuant to the procedures set forth in the adopted impact fee manual.</p>	<p>net road cost which has been subsequently adjusted, shall be entitled to an adjustment equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the net road cost in the road impact fee formula. Previously approved contributions in-lieu-of-fees which are: (1) unused and (2) based on a road cost which has been subsequently adjusted, shall be entitled to an adjustment equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the road cost in the road impact fee formula. Any such adjustment shall only be utilized to offset road impact fees and shall not be refundable.</p> <p>(b) An offer by a feepayer to construct road improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee must be accompanied by plans in sufficient detail to permit the County Public Works Director to determine that County or State design standards will be used and to determine the cost of such improvements.</p> <p>(c) The County Public Works Director may accept or reject an offer of contributions in-lieu-of-fee. When such improvements are not consistent with standards set forth in the impact fee manual the <b>County</b> Public Works Director may reject the offer of contributions in-lieu-of-fee. <b>Notwithstanding any provision of the impact fee manual, the County Public Works Director must consider a contribution in-lieu-of-fee consisting of a bicycle path, bicycle lane, or greenway that can be used for bicycle transportation on the same or substantially similar basis as a proposed roadway project. If an offer for a contribution in-lieu-of-fee is rejected, the County Public Works Director shall state in writing the reasons for the rejection. Any appeal from such a decision of the County Public Works Director to reject improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee shall be reviewed by the County Development Impact Committee Executive Council pursuant to the procedures set forth in the adopted impact fee manual.</b></p>

**Background**

Chapter 33E of the Miami-Dade County Code requires that in order to obtain the appropriate zoning permits and approvals that new development address the impact that such development has on the traffic congestion. Pursuant to section 33E-10, a developer has the option to construct a roadway improvement in lieu of paying a road impact fee under certain conditions, and such roadway improvement contributions in-lieu-of-fee “shall be entitled to an adjustment equal to the percentage increase or decrease of the road cost in the road impact fee formula”. In addition to a roadway for automobile traffic, a bicycle path, bicycle lane, or greenway that is used as an alternative form of transportation could have significant benefits of lessening the traffic impact of such a development.

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>4B 162604</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AMENDING ARTICLE VII OF CHAPTER 17 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AMENDING DEFINITIONS; REVISING DEFINITIONS OF LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AND ADDING DEFINITION FOR VERY LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS; DELETING REHABILITATED DWELLING UNITS FROM BEING ELIGIBLE UNDER THE PROGRAM; EXTENDING FROM ONE YEAR TO TWO YEARS THE TIME PERIOD FOR DEVELOPMENT OF INFILL HOUSING BY DEVELOPERS; ESTABLISHING CONDITIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION LOANS AND OTHER FINANCING; REVISING REQUIREMENTS UNDER WHICH HOMES CAN BE RENTED; UPDATING TERMINOLOGY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to the Infill Housing Initiative Program provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Article VII of Chapter 17 of the Miami-Dade County Code;</li> <li>• Amends definitions of low and moderate income households; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Low income</b> household is defined as one or more natural persons or a family that has a total annual gross household income that does not exceed 80 percent of the median annual income adjusted for family size for households within Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ With respect to rental units, the low-income household's annual income at the time of initial occupancy may not exceed 80 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>Moderate income</b> household is defined as one or more natural persons or a family that has a total annual gross household income that does not exceed 140 percent of the median annual income adjusted for family size for households within Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ With respect to rental units, the moderate-income household's annual income at the time of initial occupancy may not exceed 140 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Adds definition for <b>very low income</b> household; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Very low income household is defined as one or more natural persons or a family that has a total annual gross household income that does not exceed 50 percent of the median annual income adjusted for family size for households within Miami-Dade County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ With respect to rental units, the very-low income household's annual income at the time of initial occupancy may not exceed 50 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Deletes rehabilitation dwelling units from being eligible under the program;</li> <li>• Extends from one year to two years the time period for development of infill housing by developers; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Contains a reverter to be exercised by the County in the event the property has not been reasonably developed within two years of conveyance. Any eligible infill housing that is developed under this Infill Housing Initiative Program will remain as affordable housing for at least 20 years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Establishes conditions for construction loans and other financing; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Construction loans or other financing will be subject to the County's deed restriction and will constitute a restrictive covenant which will run with the land.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Revises requirements under which homes can be rented. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The County Mayor or designee may allow developers to rent eligible homes on a temporary basis if the qualified developer can demonstrate that they have made a good faith effort to sell the eligible home.</li> <li>○ Allows eligible homes to be rented to families who are eligible participants in one of the County's or other local municipality's rental housing assistance programs.</li> <li>○ Eligible homes will be rented for a minimum of one year and will not be permitted to be sold during the first year it is rented, unless it is sold to the existing tenant.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The BCC created the Miami-Dade County Infill Housing Initiative Program pursuant to Ordinance No. 01-47, as amended and codified in Chapter 17, Article VII of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Infill Housing Program). The purpose of the Infill Housing Program is to increase the availability of affordable homes for very low, low and moderate income persons (Qualified Households), maintaining a stock of affordable housing, redeveloping</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>urban neighborhoods by eliminating the blight of vacant lots and dilapidated or abandoned properties, equitably distributing homeownership opportunities within the Infill Target Areas, and generating payment of ad valorem taxes.</p> <p>The Infill Housing Program further encourages the redevelopment of vacant, dilapidated or abandoned property through the sale or transfer of County property to qualified developers and the inclusion of privately owned vacant, dilapidated or abandoned properties. Through the Infill Housing Program developers build single family homes to be sold to Qualified Households.</p> <p>The BCC adopted Resolution No. R-996-14 to direct the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to prepare a report regarding the impediments which may delay or frustrate the implementation of the Infill Housing Program by developers and the Public Housing and Community Development Department (Department), and to provide recommendations for addressing the impediments. The BCC specifically desired to learn whether the developer's complaints were isolated or a widespread problem for Infill Housing Program developers, and whether any other impediments exist which may delay or frustrate the implementation of the Infill Housing Program by developers and the Department, which is responsible for the administration of the Infill Housing Program.</p> <p>On December 4, 2014, the Department notified active and non-active Infill Housing Program developers to advise the County of impediments they had experienced with the Infill Housing Program and to provide their recommendations to resolve these impediments. The Infill Housing Program developers were also invited to a roundtable meeting with the Department's Director and staff on December 15, 2014 to further discuss these issues. The Department also requested input from County departments such as the Internal Services Department, Water and Sewer Department, Regulatory and Environmental Resources Department and Transportation and Public Works Department, to address impediments identified by the Infill Housing Program developers for inclusion in Infill Housing Report.</p> <p>On May 15, 2015, the County Mayor submitted the Infill Housing Infill Housing Report (Infill Housing Report), which identified a series of impediments that hinder the implementation and administration of the Infill Housing Program. Subsequent to issuance of the Infill Housing Report, the Department continued to work with the various County departments involved with the Infill Housing Program to further review potential solutions addressed in the Infill Housing Report and other initiatives.</p> <p>On April 20, 2016, a roundtable meeting was held with Infill Housing Program developers to request any additional recommendations relative to the Infill Housing Program and on May 12, 2016, the Department met with the Affordable Housing Advisory Board (Housing Board) to provide an update on the Infill Housing Program, the Infill Housing Report, the required legislation to implement Infill Housing Report recommendations, and to respond to inquiries from the Housing Board.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b> The area median income for Miami-Dade County is \$48,100.</p>
<b>5B 162536</b>	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING AND FINDING PURSUANT TO SECTION 163.355, FLORIDA STATUTES, CERTAIN GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA DESCRIBED GENERALLY AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY SW 72 STREET, ON THE WEST BY SW 157 AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY SW 88 STREET, AND ON THE EAST BY SW 152 AVENUE TO BE A BLIGHTED AREA; DECLARING AND FINDING THE REBUILDING, REHABILITATION, CONSERVATION AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA TO BE IN THE INTEREST OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, MORALS AND WELFARE OF RESIDENTS OF WEST KENDALL AREA AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REDEVELOPMENT PLAN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Declares and finds, pursuant to section 163.355, Florida Statutes, certain geographic areas of unincorporated Miami-Dade County described generally as bounded on the North by SW 72 Street, on the West by SW 157 Avenue, on the South by SW 88 Street, and on the East by SW 152 Avenue (West Kendall Redevelopment Area) be a blighted area; and</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Directs the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to prepare an action item that includes a plan for redevelopment of the West Kendall Redevelopment Area, and to submit such action item to the BCC, with appropriate commissioner sponsorship and committee review, for approval after notice and a public hearing.</li></ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The Florida Legislature during its 1969 Legislative Session enacted the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969 which is presently codified in the Florida Statutes at Part III of chapter 163, sections 163.330 through 163.450, as amended, (Act). The Act provides “the governing body of any...county which has adopted a home rule charter may, in its discretion, by resolution delegate the exercise of the powers conferred upon the county by [the Act] within the boundaries of a municipality to the governing body of such a municipality”. The Act further provides that “[s]uch a delegation to a municipality shall confer only such powers upon a municipality as shall be specifically enumerated in the delegating resolution”.</p> <p>The BCC adopted Resolution No. R-237-15, which directed the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to update the Finding of Necessity for the area, which is described as that certain geographical area within the unincorporated the West Kendall Redevelopment Area.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – West Kendall Finding of Necessity Study</u></b> According to the West Kendall Finding of Necessity Study, it was found that designation of the study areas as a Community Redevelopment Area may be deemed appropriate based upon the determination of three (3) factors of blight.</p>		
<b>5K 162732</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT TO THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY REDEVELOPMENT AREA SECOND AMENDED PLAN, AFTER PUBLIC HEARING; APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, CITY OF NORTH MIAMI, AND THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT; EXTENDING THE LIFE OF THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA FOR A PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Approves the Second Plan Amendment subject to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Prior approval and execution of the Second Amendment to Interlocal by the City and the Agency; and</li><li>Approval by the County Attorney’s Office.</li></ul></li><li>If any one or more provisions of this resolution should be contrary to law or invalid or ineffective for any reason, such provision will be deemed severable from, and will not affect the validity of, the remaining provisions of this resolution.</li></ul> <p><b><i>According to the 2015 North Miami Redevelopment Plan issued by the North Miami Community Redevelopment Agency, the Second Plan Amendment is necessary to:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>Adjust to reflect recent changes to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code;</i></li><li><i>Remove outdated language and images;</i></li><li><i>Update financial projections;</i></li><li><i>Update program descriptions to reflect current policies and practices;</i></li><li><i>Integrate West Dixie Highway implementation plan activities;</i></li><li><i>Make any adjustments required by changes in State law; and</i></li><li><i>Highlight current priority projects.</i></li></ul> <table><tr><th>Background</th></tr><tr><td>The Legislature of the State of Florida enacted the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969, which is presently codified in Part III of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes (Act). Pursuant to the Act, a redevelopment plan must be adopted by the governing body to initiate redevelopment activities within the redevelopment area.</td></tr></table>	Background	The Legislature of the State of Florida enacted the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969, which is presently codified in Part III of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes (Act). Pursuant to the Act, a redevelopment plan must be adopted by the governing body to initiate redevelopment activities within the redevelopment area.
Background			
The Legislature of the State of Florida enacted the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969, which is presently codified in Part III of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes (Act). Pursuant to the Act, a redevelopment plan must be adopted by the governing body to initiate redevelopment activities within the redevelopment area.			



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p><b>R-837-04</b> 7/13/2004</p> <p><i>BCC Resolution</i></p>	<p>Found the certain portions of the City of North Miami (City) to be a “blighted area” within the meaning of Part III of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes (Redevelopment Area), and delegated to the City of North Miami, pursuant to section 163.410, Florida Statutes, the power to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make findings and determine the Redevelopment Area to be a slum and/or blighted area;</li> <li>• Make findings of necessity as to the rehabilitation, conservation, and/or redevelopment of the Redevelopment Area;</li> <li>• Create a community redevelopment agency and delegate powers to the agency, or declare itself as the agency with the power to exercise such powers assigned to the agency; and</li> <li>• Initiate, prepare and adopt a plan of redevelopment and any amendments subject to BCC review and approval.</li> </ul>
<p><b>R-3-2005-1</b> 3/18/2005</p> <p><i>Agency Resolution</i></p>	<p>Approved the North Miami Community Redevelopment Plan (Plan) and provided for the transmittal of the Plan to the City and County for further action.</p>
<p><b>R-2005-18</b> 3/18/2005</p> <p><i>City Resolution</i></p>	<p>Approved the Plan and provided for transmittal to the County for further action.</p>
<p><b>O-05-109</b> 6/7/2005</p> <p><i>BCC Ordinance</i></p>	<p>Created a North Miami Community Redevelopment and Revitalization Trust Fund (Trust Fund) and provided a funding mechanism for implementing the Plan.</p>
<p><b>R-05-109</b> 6/7/2005</p> <p><i>BCC Resolution</i></p>	<p>Approved the Plan and Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with the City and Agency (Interlocal Agreement).</p>
<p><b>R-1113-08</b> 10/21/2008</p> <p><i>BCC Resolution</i></p>	<p>Amended the Plan to add the definition of community policing and to allow the Agency to adjust the residency and income eligibility requirements for the Agency’s affordable housing program.</p>
<p><b>R-2016-001</b> 2/23/2016</p> <p><i>Agency Resolution</i></p>	<p>Approved the Amended Redevelopment Plan (Second Amended Plan) with a recommendation that the City and County do the same.</p>
<p><b>2016-R-23</b> 2/23/2016</p> <p><i>City Resolution</i></p>	<p>Approved the Second Amended Plan with a recommendation that the County do the same.</p>
<p><b>R-499-16</b> 6/7/2016</p> <p><i>BCC Resolution</i></p>	<p>Required the County Mayor or Mayor’s designee to negotiate certain terms and conditions.</p>
<p><b>O-16-95</b> 10/26/2016</p> <p><i>BCC Ordinance</i></p>	<p>Extended the time period during which each applicable taxing authority is required to appropriate certain increment funds.</p> <p>Provided a termination date of July 13, 2044 or the date all loans, advances, and indebtedness, if any, are no longer outstanding.</p> <p>Provided that if the proposed Second Amended Plan and Second Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement are not approved by the BCC by December 20, 2016, the</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	ordinance will sunset and the provisions of the ordinance including provisions requiring the funding of the Trust Fund, will be void and of no force and effect.
<b>7A 162296</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ROAD IMPACT FEES; AMENDING SECTION 33E-8 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; FLORIDA PROVIDING FOR A DISCOUNT FOR ROAD IMPACT FEES FOR CERTAIN PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENTS; PROVIDING CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINATION OF DISCOUNTED ROAD IMPACT FEES APPLICABLE TO A PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT AND FOR AN APPEAL OF SUCH DETERMINATION; DEEMING URBAN CENTER AND URBAN AREA DISTRICTS TO BE PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to road impact fees provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Section 33E-8 of the Miami-Dade County Code to define a pedestrian oriented development based on specific criteria;</li> <li>• Provides for a 14.1 percent discount for road impact fees for certain Pedestrian-Oriented Developments (POD);</li> <li>• Allows all areas within 1,500 feet of the core of the County's existing urban centers and urban area districts to be deemed as pedestrian oriented developments; and</li> <li>• Provides criteria and procedures for the determination of discounted road impact fees applicable to POD. Specifically, developments/areas eligible for a reduction must meet specific criteria that addresses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Development size (sets maximum size for development area of square footage parameters if it is one single development);</li> <li>○ Development land uses (sets minimum percent of total development for non-residential uses and requires that at least three (3) other land uses besides residential be included, but must exclude gas stations, fast food restaurants, or uses with drive-thru facilities);</li> <li>○ Building design features (requires one public space, a discernable center, and sets minimum distance and setback standards); and</li> <li>○ Internal connectivity (sets standards for sidewalks and vehicular streets).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The administrative site plan review for an initial determination of a site's qualification as a pedestrian oriented development, along with any subsequent verification, will be performed through existing staff in the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. Administrative costs associated with these determinations could be covered through a proposed administrative site plan review processing fee of \$1,500.00 for an initial determination and the existing \$220.16 fee applied to zoning verifications.</p> <p>An amendment to Implementing Order No. 4-111 to cover these fee adjustments will be required if this ordinance is implemented.</p> <p>With respect to the fiscal impact on future road impact fee collections, this reduction credits pedestrian oriented developments with a daily internalization rate and, therefore, allows the road impact fee assessed to more accurately account for a development's particular vehicular impacts.</p>
<b>7B 162481</b>	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ZONING, HOUSING, AND IMPACT FEES; CREATING MANDATORY WORKFORCE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM; AMENDING REQUIREMENTS FOR WORKFORCE HOUSING UNITS AND MODIFYING DENSITY BONUS AND DEVELOPMENT INTENSITY STANDARDS; AMENDING REQUIREMENTS FOR DECLARATIONS OF RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS REGARDING WORKFORCE HOUSING UNITS; PROVIDING FOR DEFERRAL OF ROAD IMPACT FEES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF WORKFORCE HOUSING UNITS; AMENDING ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO WORKFORCE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION; AMENDING ARTICLE XIIA OF CHAPTER 33, ARTICLE IX OF CHAPTER 17, AND SECTIONS 33E-6.1 AND 33E-18 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEMS UNDER FILE NOS. 161255, 162305]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to zoning, housing, and impact fees provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends the existing voluntary Workforce Housing Development program making it mandatory;</li> </ul>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>The County's existing ordinance is a local form of what is known nationally as an inclusionary zoning policy.</i></li> <li>• Amends requirements for workforce housing units and modifies density bonus and development intensity standards; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Like the existing voluntary program, applies to developments of 20 or more units and mandates a minimum of 10 percent of units be set aside for workforce housing, with a corresponding 15 percent density bonus;</i></li> <li>○ <i>The density bonus increases as the number of workforce units increases; for every one percent increase in workforce units, applicants receive an additional one percent density bonus, up to a maximum density bonus of 25 percent;</i></li> <li>○ <i>The minimum workforce units set aside in the existing voluntary ordinance varies from 5 to 20 percent based on CDMP designations, with a variable corresponding density bonus of up to 25 percent; and</i></li> <li>○ <i>Applies to projects of 20 units or more expanding their existing structure by more than 50 percent.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Amends Requirements for declaration of restrictive covenants regarding workforce housing units;</li> <li>• Provides for deferral of road impact fees for development of workforce housing units; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>An impact fee deferral on workforce units is offered for a period not to exceed two years, which is not offered through the current voluntary program.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Amends the existing voluntary ordinance definition of workforce households to apply to incomes ranging from 60 percent (rather than 65 percent) to 140 percent of area median family income (AMI) and requires that no less than 50 percent of the workforce units must target the 60 to 79 percent income range; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>For a family of four the annual income range would be from \$42,600 to \$99,400.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• Amends eligibility requirements relating to Workforce Housing Development Program administration;</li> <li>• Projects will be approved through an Administrative Site Plan Review Process instead of a public hearing; and</li> <li>• Amends Article XIIA of Chapter 33, Article IX of Chapter 17 and Sections 33E-6.1 and 33E-18 of the Miami-Dade County Code. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Changes to Chapter 17 of the Code specify how the Public Housing and Community Development Department (PHCD) will administer this program, and to facilitate certain program elements related to financing from the Federal Housing Administration or other lenders.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The proposed ordinance applies to municipalities, which may comply through adoption of appropriate legislation assessing workforce housing needs within their jurisdictions and adopting legislation, if necessary, to address the needs as assessed by the municipality.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact</u></b></p> <p>The proposed ordinance will have an estimated \$287,000.00 fiscal impact to the Public Housing and Community Development Department. It is anticipated that four positions, a Project Manager, a Compliance Officer, a Homeownership Specialist, and a part-time Finance and Budget Administrator will be required to support the functions necessary to administer this ordinance.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed ordinance will have an estimated \$158,000.00 fiscal impact on the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources. It is anticipated that two positions, a Senior Planner and a Zoning Services Senior Plans Processor, will be required to support the functions necessary to administer this ordinance.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>During the Sunshine Meeting on December 1, 2016, to discuss and/or distribute proposed amendments to the Workforce Housing Development Program ordinance, Legistar #162481, the County Attorney's Office provided the following information:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF PROPOSED FLOOR AMENDMENTS FOR SECOND READING OF WORKFORCE HOUSING ORDINANCE</b> <b>12/1/2016</b></p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.5:</u></b> The amendment specifies that, in the event of conflicts with other regulations, the Workforce Housing ordinance will control.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.6:</u></b> Definitions are revised to conform to proposed amendments.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.7(A):</u></b> Deadlines for municipal requirements are updated to reflect new second reading date.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.7(B):</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workforce Housing Development Program is significantly revised to provide different mandates for single-family and multi-family developments.</li> <li>• Applicability to renovation or expansion of existing residential developments is revised.</li> <li>• Requirement that market-rate units and workforce units be development concurrently is clarified, and a different standard is provided for single-family developments than for multi-family developments.</li> <li>• Additional exemptions from the workforce housing requirements are provided for: applications submitted before the ordinance goes into effect; new developments that are in substantial compliance with prior approvals; and developments subject to a declaration of restrictions connected to the current voluntary workforce housing program.</li> <li>• Standards for Administrative Modifications from the new workforce housing requirements are clarified, and additional bases for modifications are specified.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.8:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restrictions are removed on provision of workforce units in condominium or other residential developments requiring assessment of fees. Whether cost of unit, including fees, satisfies income thresholds will be determined by PHCD in its assessment of the workforce housing unit sales price.</li> <li>• Radius within which off-site WHUs must be provided is expanded to 2 miles of the primary development for new developments.</li> <li>• Allows off-site WHUs to instead be provided through rehabilitation of existing properties within 3 miles of the primary development or anywhere within the Urban Infill Area or a transit corridor area (including the SMART corridors).</li> <li>• Allows for workforce housing requirement to be satisfied by conveyance of land acceptable to the County.</li> <li>• Portability of density bonus is expanded, and a certificate of portability is created to allow density bonuses to be sold or transferred to third parties.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.9:</u></b> Eliminates requirement that monetary contribution-in-lieu fee be based on unit cost.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.11:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarifies that intensity bonuses apply to portable density bonus units and to any other development that provides workforce housing units in accordance with this article.</li> <li>• Authorizes the Director to grant additional administrative adjustments of setback, lot coverage, and building spacing up to certain thresholds.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.12:</u></b> Deletes certain design criteria and development parameters to provide more flexibility in constructing workforce housing units.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.13:</u></b> Clarifies requirements of declaration of restrictive covenants regarding concurrent construction of market-rate and workforce units to conform to amendments to Sec. 33-193.7(B), allows WHUs to be converted to market-rate units by paying the contribution-in-lieu fee, and specifies that the Department shall have the authority to withhold permits for failure to comply with covenant terms.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.15:</u></b> Deletes references to specific penalties and enforcement methods to instead cross-reference the general enforcement provision of Chapter 33.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Sec. 33-193.16:</u></b> Permits grandfathered developments that are damaged by fire, flood, or other force majeure, or that are required to be repaired by an act of government such as an unsafe structure order, to be rebuilt without complying with workforce housing requirements; but specifies that other renovations may be required to comply in accordance with standard non-conforming use regulations.</p> <p><b><u>Sec. 17-140:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides for developer to be authorized to issue certificates of qualification, subject to verification by PHCD.</li> <li>• Excludes from calculation of “equity build up” any cash down payment, the costs of sale of the property, and the value of certain property improvements (defined as “Qualified Improvements”)</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Sec. 17-142:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarifies County’s right of first refusal.</li> <li>• Amends equity share recapture fee so that it only applies for the 10 years of the control period and is reduced based on the allowed equity build up.</li> <li>• Allows for purchase price of WHU during control period to be increased based on increases in the Consumer Price Index</li> <li>• Allows control period to be terminated if the WHU owners predecease the control period, thereby allowing for inheritance of WHU.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Sec. 17-144:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarifies County’s right of first refusal and clarifies that control period applies to developer prior to initial sale but allows PHCD Director to terminate if a qualified household cannot be found for the unit.</li> <li>• Allows for rental buildings to be converted to condominiums at any time, subject to payment of contribution-in-lieu fee if WHUs are reduced as a result of conversion</li> </ul> <p><i>Provides that amendments to Chapter 33 will not take effect until 180 days from the effective date of this ordinance.</i></p> <p><i>Scrivener’s errors are corrected and technical amendments to conform to above-listed amendments are made.</i></p>
<p><b>7C 161606</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE EXTENDING AMNESTY PERIOD CREATED BY ORDINANCE NO. 11-64, AS SUBSEQUENTLY AMENDED, FOR AN ADDITIONAL YEAR COMMENCING JULY 12, 2016; EXTENDING A LIMITED EXCEPTION FROM CIVIL PENALTIES AND LIENS FOR BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS UPON A HOMEOWNER’S COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODE; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extends the amnesty period created by Ordinance No. 11-64 for an additional year commencing July 12, 2016; and</li> <li>• Extends a limited exception from civil penalties and liens for building code violations upon a homeowner’s compliance with the building code.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact Statement:</u></b></p> <p>As a result of continuing to waive penalties and liens previously assessed by the County, a fiscal impact will continue to occur. However, the extent of the fiscal impact resulting from the extension of the amnesty will depend on the number of property owners with outstanding violations that opt to correct the violations and be covered by this ordinance. At this time it is difficult to assess the ultimate fiscal impact.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation:</u></b></p> <p>On August 2, 2011, under Ordinance No. 11-64, the BCC adopted a six-month Amnesty Period, creating a limited exception from civil penalties and liens resulting from Building Code violations upon a homeowner’s compliance with the Building Code as a result of the severe economic crisis that existed in Miami-Dade County (the Amnesty Ordinance). Since then, the Amnesty Ordinance has been extended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On July 17, 2012, under Ordinance No. 12-59, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance for an additional year;</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>On July 2, 2013, under Ordinance No. 13-61, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance’s term to July 12, 2014;</li><li>On July 1, 2014, under Ordinance No. 14-66, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance’s term to July 12, 2015; and</li><li>On May 5, 2015, under Ordinance No. 15-34, the BCC extended the Amnesty Ordinance’s term to July 12, 2016.</li></ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information – Report on Amnesty Ordinance No. 11-64:</u></b> On November 18, 2016, the Mayor issued a report regarding the Amnesty Ordinance No. 11-64. The monthly report provided information on the cases the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) has been able to successfully complete under the provisions of the ordinance through October 2016.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Cases Completed by RER</th></tr><tr><th>Time Period</th><th>Number of Cases</th><th>Civil Penalties and Liens Owed</th><th>Settlement Amount</th><th>Relief to Property Owners</th></tr><tr><td>10/1/2016-10/31/2016</td><td>45</td><td>\$604,994.70</td><td>\$56,376.39</td><td>\$548,618.31</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL (since 8/2/2011)</td><td>2908</td><td>\$33,121,028.77</td><td>\$2,527,670.77</td><td>\$30,593,358.00</td></tr></table>	Cases Completed by RER					Time Period	Number of Cases	Civil Penalties and Liens Owed	Settlement Amount	Relief to Property Owners	10/1/2016-10/31/2016	45	\$604,994.70	\$56,376.39	\$548,618.31	TOTAL (since 8/2/2011)	2908	\$33,121,028.77	\$2,527,670.77	\$30,593,358.00
Cases Completed by RER																					
Time Period	Number of Cases	Civil Penalties and Liens Owed	Settlement Amount	Relief to Property Owners																	
10/1/2016-10/31/2016	45	\$604,994.70	\$56,376.39	\$548,618.31																	
TOTAL (since 8/2/2011)	2908	\$33,121,028.77	\$2,527,670.77	\$30,593,358.00																	
<b>7D 162629</b>  <i>Deferral Requested</i>	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR LANDSCAPING AND TREES; AMENDING SECTION 18A-6 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REVISING CURRENT MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TREE SIZE AND PROVIDING NEW MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TREE SIZE EFFECTIVE A CERTAIN FUTURE DATE; CREATING MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TREE SPECIES DIVERSITY; ELIMINATING REQUIREMENT FOR HOSE BIB WHEN AN IRRIGATION SYSTEM IS NOT PROVIDED; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 161811]																				
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Amends Section 18A-6 of the Miami-Dade County Code;</li><li>Revises current minimum standards for the size of trees planted along streets and at certain new development sites;</li><li>Provides new minimum standards for tree size effective May 1, 2018;</li><li>Creates minimum standards for tree species diversity; and</li><li>Eliminates the requirement for hose bib when an irrigation system is not provided.</li></ul> <p><i>During the Metropolitan Services Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, the proposed ordinance was amended to permit an exception to the minimum tree species diversity standards where a single tree species is planted in or along a thematic landscaping corridor pursuant to a local government determination or requirement.</i></p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Section 18A-6 Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">Sec. 18A-6. Minimum standards.</td><td>(B) Irrigation.</td><td>(B) Irrigation.</td></tr><tr><td>(5) If an irrigation system is not provided, a hose bib shall be provided within seventy-five (75) feet of any landscape area.</td><td>(C) Trees.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>(C) Trees.</td><td>(1) Tree size.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>(1) Tree size. All trees, except street trees, shall be a minimum of ten (10) feet high and have a minimum caliper of two (2)</td><td><table><tr><td></td><td><b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b></td><td><b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b></td></tr></table></td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart Section 18A-6 Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 18A-6. Minimum standards.	(B) Irrigation.	(B) Irrigation.	(5) If an irrigation system is not provided, a hose bib shall be provided within seventy-five (75) feet of any landscape area.	(C) Trees.		(C) Trees.	(1) Tree size.		(1) Tree size. All trees, except street trees, shall be a minimum of ten (10) feet high and have a minimum caliper of two (2)	<table><tr><td></td><td><b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b></td><td><b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b></td></tr></table>		<b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b>	<b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b>
Code Comparison Chart Section 18A-6 Miami-Dade County Code																					
Section	Current	Proposed																			
Sec. 18A-6. Minimum standards.	(B) Irrigation.	(B) Irrigation.																			
	(5) If an irrigation system is not provided, a hose bib shall be provided within seventy-five (75) feet of any landscape area.	(C) Trees.																			
	(C) Trees.	(1) Tree size.																			
	(1) Tree size. All trees, except street trees, shall be a minimum of ten (10) feet high and have a minimum caliper of two (2)	<table><tr><td></td><td><b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b></td><td><b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b></td></tr></table>		<b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b>	<b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b>																
	<b>Standards Effective through April 20, 2018</b>	<b>Standards Effective beginning May 1, 2018</b>																			

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
	<p>inches at time of planting except that thirty (30) percent of the tree requirement may be met by native species with a minimum height of eight (8) feet and a minimum caliper of one and one-half (1½) inches at time of planting.</p> <p>(2) Street tree size and spacing. Street trees shall be of a species typically grown in Miami-Dade County which normally mature to a height of at least twenty (20) feet. Street trees shall have a clear trunk of four (4) feet, an overall height of twelve (12) feet and a minimum caliper of two (2) inches at time of planting, and shall be provided along all roadways at a maximum average spacing of thirty-five (35) feet on center, except as otherwise provided in this chapter. Street trees are not required when a colonnade open to the public is located within four (4) feet of the edge of the roadway. The thirty-five (35) foot average spacing requirement for multiple single family units such as zero-lot-line and townhouse shall be based on the total lineal footage of roadway for the entire project and not based on individual lot widths. Street trees shall be placed within the swale area or shall be placed on private property where demonstrated to be necessary due to right-of-way obstructions as determined by the Public Works Department or the appropriate authority within the municipality. Street trees planted along private roadways shall be placed within seven (7) feet of the edge of roadway pavement and/or where present within seven (7) feet of the sidewalk.</p> <p>(3) Power lines. Where the height and location of overhead powerlines requires the planting of low growing trees, street trees shall have a minimum height of</p>	<b>Minimum tree height and caliper at time of planting</b>	<b>Ten (10) feet high and two (2) inches of caliper</b>	<b>Twelve (12) feet high and conforming to, or exceeding, the minimum standards for Florida No. 1 grade or equivalent in the most current edition of Florida Grades and Standards for Nursery Plants</b>
		<b>Native tree minimum height and caliper at time of planting</b>	<b>Eight (8) feet high and one and one half (1 ½) inches of caliper</b>	<b>Eight (8) feet high and conforming to, or exceeding, the minimum standards for Florida No. 1 grade or equivalent in the most current edition of Florida Grades and Standards for Nursery Plants</b>
		<b>Street trees' clear trunk and mature height</b>	<b>Four (4) feet of clear trunk at time of planting and of species that normally matures to a height of at least twenty (20) feet</b>	<b>Four (4) feet of clear trunk at time of planting and of species that normally matures to a height of at least twenty (20) feet</b>
	<p>(2) Street tree <b>location and</b> spacing. Street trees shall be provided along all roadways at a maximum average spacing of thirty-five (35) feet on center, except as otherwise provided in this chapter. Street trees are not required when a colonnade open to the public is located within four (4) feet of the edge of the roadway. The thirty-five (35) foot average spacing requirement for multiple single family units such as zero-lot-line and</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes													
	<p>eight (8) feet, a minimum caliper of one and one-half (1½) inches at time of planting, and shall meet the following requirements:</p> <p>(a) Single trunk trees clear of lateral branches to four (4) feet and/or multitrunk trees or tree/shrubs, as referenced in the Landscape Manual, cleared of foliage to a height of four (4) feet.</p> <p>(b) A maximum average spacing of twenty-five (25) feet on center.</p> <p>(c) Maturing to a height and spread not encroaching within five (5) feet of overhead power distribution lines.</p> <p>(d) Under high voltage (50kV and above) transmission lines installed independent of underbuilt distribution lines, tree height and spread shall not exceed the minimum approach distances specified in the current ANSI (American National Standards Institute) Z133.1 Standards, as referenced in the Landscape Manual.</p> <p>(K) Plant quality. Plants installed pursuant to this Code shall conform to, or exceed, the minimum standards for Florida Number One as provided in the most current edition of "Grades and Standards for Nursery Plants, Part I and II," prepared by the State of Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.</p> <p>(2) Trees installed pursuant to this Code shall have one (1) primary vertical trunk and secondary branches free of included bark up to a height of six (6) feet above natural grade.</p>	<p>townhouse shall be based on the total lineal footage of roadway for the entire project and not based on individual lot widths. Street trees shall be placed within the swale area or shall be placed on private property where demonstrated to be necessary due to right-of-way obstructions as determined by the <b>Transportation and Public Works Department, or its successor</b>, or the appropriate authority within the municipality. Street trees planted along private roadways shall be placed within seven (7) feet of the edge of roadway pavement and/or where present within seven (7) feet of the sidewalk.</p> <p>(3) <b>Trees near power lines.</b> Where the height and location of overhead powerlines requires the planting of low growing trees, street trees shall have a minimum height of eight (8) feet <b>and shall conform to, or exceed, the minimum standards for Florida No. 1 grade or equivalent in the most current edition of Florida Grades and Standards for Nursery Plants</b> at time of planting, and shall meet <b>all of</b> the following requirements:</p> <p>(a) Single trunk trees clear of lateral branches to four (4) feet and/or multitrunk trees or tree/shrubs, as referenced in the Landscape Manual, cleared of foliage to a height of four (4) feet.</p> <p>(b) A maximum average spacing of twenty-five (25) feet on center.</p> <p>(c) Maturing to a height and spread not encroaching within five (5) feet of overhead power distribution lines.</p> <p>(d) Under high voltage (50kV and above) transmission lines installed independent of underbuilt distribution lines, <b>mature</b> tree height and spread shall not exceed the minimum approach distances specified in the current ANSI (American National Standards Institute) Z133.1 Standards, as referenced in the Landscape Manual.</p> <p><b>(17) Minimum tree species diversity standards. When more than ten (10) trees are required to be planted pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, a diversity of tree species shall be required. The minimum number of different tree species to be planted shall be based on the overall number of trees required and shall be proportional in relation to the total number of species planted, pursuant to the following table:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="852 1709 1471 1898"> <thead> <tr> <th>Required Number of Trees</th><th>Minimum Number of Tree Species</th><th>Percentage of Each Tree Species</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>11-20</td><td>2</td><td>50 percent</td></tr> <tr> <td>21-50</td><td>4</td><td>25 percent</td></tr> <tr> <td>51 or more</td><td>6</td><td>16 percent</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Required Number of Trees	Minimum Number of Tree Species	Percentage of Each Tree Species	11-20	2	50 percent	21-50	4	25 percent	51 or more	6	16 percent
Required Number of Trees	Minimum Number of Tree Species	Percentage of Each Tree Species												
11-20	2	50 percent												
21-50	4	25 percent												
51 or more	6	16 percent												



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>Nothing in this subsection shall preclude the planting of additional tree species beyond the minimum numbers indicated in the table above, provided that the proportionality of each species planted is maintained. This subsection shall not preclude the planting of a single tree species in or along a thematic landscaping corridor pursuant to a local government determination or requirement.</p> <p>(K) Plant quality.</p> <p>Plants installed pursuant to this Code shall conform to, or exceed, the minimum standards for Florida <b>No. 1 grade or equivalent</b> in the most current edition of <b>Florida</b> Grades and Standards for Nursery Plants, prepared by the State of Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Metropolitan Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u></b> During the Metropolitan Service Area Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Committee expressed concerns with the mandate on private property.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Deputy Director of the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (PROS) explained that there are areas that do not have irrigation systems and there are no hose bibs. He explained that plants are trees are watered with trucks.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee expressed that depending on the nature of the landscaping and the planning schematics, the developers could cooperate in plans to water plants and trees.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee questioned whether unincorporated areas of the County would be excluded from the proposed ordinance to which the CAO explained that the County would be included.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee explained that the percentages of tree species were based on state standards</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee expressed concerns with the requirements on private property.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director of Development Services for the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) explained that the proposed ordinance affects private properties and public facilities but does not affect right-of-ways. He explained that the County already sets standards for planting requirements on private property for beautification purposes.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information Regarding Applicability<sup>1</sup></u></b> According to Chapter 18A – Miami-Dade County Landscaping Ordinance, minimum standards will apply to all public and private development when a permit is required, except for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Existing attached and detached single family and duplex dwellings, including any future additions or expansions.</li> <li>• Any property receiving an agricultural classification and assessment pursuant to Section 193.461 Florida Statutes, substantiated by a plan submitted indicating the area with the agricultural classification.</li> <li>• Development within the Downtown Kendall Urban Center District, except as provided in Section 33-284.63(A) of the Code.</li> </ul>
<b>7E 161906</b>	ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO ZONING; AMENDING SECTIONS 33-150, 33-151 AND 33-253 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CREATING EXCEPTIONS TO SPACING AND DISTANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR BREWERIES, BREW PUBS, DISTILLERIES, AND WINERIES FROM CHURCHES, SCHOOLS, AND OTHER BREWERIES, BREW PUBS, DISTILLERIES, AND WINERIES; CREATING HOURS AND DAYS OF SALE APPLICABLE TO BREWERIES, BREW PUBS, DISTILLERIES, AND		

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.municode.com/library/fl/miami-dade\\_county/codes/code\\_of\\_ordinances?nodeId=PTIICOOR\\_CH18AMIDECOLAOR\\_S18A-6MIST](https://www.municode.com/library/fl/miami-dade_county/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIICOOR_CH18AMIDECOLAOR_S18A-6MIST)

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	WINERIES THAT ARE NOT FARM RELATED; AMENDING PERMITTED USES IN BU-2 ZONING DISTRICT TO ALLOW FOR THE OPERATION OF MICRO-BREWERIES; CONFORMING DEFINITION OF NIGHT CLUBS PERTAINING TO HOURS AND DAYS OF SALE TO ANOTHER CODE PROVISION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Sections 33-150, 33-151 and 33-253 of the Miami-Dade County Code;</li> <li>• Creates exceptions to spacing and distance requirements for breweries, brew pubs, distilleries, and wineries from churches, schools and other breweries, brew pubs, distilleries, and wineries; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provides that such uses are not less than 500 feet from a school or church.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Creates hours and days of sale applicable to breweries, brew pubs, distilleries, and wineries that are not farm related; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No sales of alcoholic beverages on weekdays or weekends except between the hours of 8:00 am and 1:00 am on the following day;</li> <li>○ The manufacture of malt liquors, such as beer and ale, will be limited to 10,000 kegs per year as a micro-brewery;</li> <li>○ The brewery may have a restaurant as an accessory use, and the restaurant may also have an accessory cocktail lounge-bar use; and</li> <li>○ Off-street parking for industrial, retail, restaurant, and other allowable uses.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Amends permitted uses in BU-2 zoning district to allow for the operation of micro-breweries;</li> <li>• Conforms the definition of night clubs pertaining to hours and days of sale to another code provision.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee Meeting Discussion:</u></b>  During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Committee inquired as to the distance from schools and churches, to which the CAO explained that the proposed resolution created an exemption for 500 feet from churches and schools for the spacing of specific brewery uses instead of the 2,500 feet requirement currently in the code.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee explained that such establishments were manufacturing beer but were being treated as if they were a bar.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director of Development Services for the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) explained that pubs, bars and nightclubs that are standalone establishments have to meet such spacing requirements. He explained that the original ordinance did not take into consideration ancillary uses such as manufacturing beer. This makes such type of establishment different than a standalone bar.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director clarified that the proposed resolution would apply to breweries, distilleries and wineries and would such establishments to sell only what is produced on site.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director further explained that state law looks at distilleries in a different way than breweries and that staff would need to verify the degree to which the state regulates the volume that could be consumed at a distillery.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</u></b>  On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 15-107, provided regulations pertaining to breweries, brew pubs, distilleries and wineries and amends permitted uses in BU-1, BU-1A and IU-1 zoning districts to allow for the operation of breweries, brew pubs, distilleries, wineries and related accessory uses.</p> <p>During the BCC meeting on October 6, 2015, Ordinance No. 15-107 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director of the Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) Department advised the uses for the BU-1 ordinance would not allow a brewery, distillery or winery, but it would permit a group hub, which is a restaurant that has the permission to brew its beer on site and sell only to its patrons. He stated public bars were not allowed in a BU -1, but restaurants were allowed to have ancillary sale of alcohol, from BU-1 to industrial.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director noted that the state allows restaurants to manufacture and produce their own beer, on site and sell to patrons only.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p><b>7F 162552</b></p>	<p>ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY; AMENDING ARTICLE XVIII OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CREATING A PROCESS FOR BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS APPOINTMENTS TO THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY, INCLUDING THE PROVISION OF AN OPINION FROM THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST AS TO WHETHER APPLICANTS COMPLY WITH CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF STATE LAW AND THE COUNTY CODE; CONFORMING THE CODE TO CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF STATE LAW AND THE COUNTY CODE PERTAINING TO THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY MEMBERSHIP; PROVIDING THAT DIVERSITY CONSIDERATIONS BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT FOR COUNTY COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS; PROVIDING FOR STAGGERING OF TERMS OF OFFICE OF MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION; PROVIDING THAT APPOINTEES ARE SUBJECT TO REMOVAL FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH STATE LAW; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 162366]</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed ordinance pertaining to the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amends Article XVII of the Miami-Dade County Code;</li> <li>• Creates a process for BCC appointments to the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority, including the provision of an opinion from the Executive Director of the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust as to whether applicants comply with certain provisions of state law and the County Code; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provides that members of the government body of the Authority be appointed by majority vote of Commissioners present;</li> <li>○ Provides that the governing body of the Authority be nine (9) members; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Three (3) voting members appointed by the Governor;</li> <li>▪ Five (5) voting members appointed by the BCC; and</li> <li>▪ The District Six Secretary of the Florida Department of Transportation.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Confirms the Code to certain provisions of state law and the County Code pertaining to the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority membership;</li> <li>• Provides that diversity considerations be taken into account for BCC appointments;</li> <li>• Provides for staggering of terms of office of members appointed by the BCC; and</li> <li>• Provides that appointees are subject to removal for failure to comply with disclosure requirements, in conformity with state law.</li> </ul> <p><b>During the Transit and Mobility Services Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, this substitute was presented. The substitute differs from the original in that:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Instead of the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust (Ethics Commission) opining on a MDX nominee's compliance with certain state law and ordinance requirements, the opinion will be given by the Executive Director of the Ethics Commission; and</b></li> <li>• <b>The duties of the Clerk of the Board are modified to require the Clerk to transmit the names and resumes of the nominees to the Executive Director of the Ethics Commission for review and to streamline the Clerk's duties in announcing the results of the ballots used by the BCC in selecting an MDX appointee.</b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (Authority) was created in December 1994 pursuant to chapter 348, Florida Statutes, to carry out certain powers related to the construction, extension or improvement of the expressway system or appurtenant facilities in Miami-Dade County. The Authority has the power to alter, charge, and collect tolls and other charges for services and facilities associated with the expressway system.</p> <p>The expressways under the jurisdiction of the Authority are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State Road 112/Airport Expressway</li> <li>• State Road 836/Dolphin Expressway</li> <li>• State Road 874/Don Shula Expressway</li> <li>• State Road 878/Snapper Creek Expressway</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State Road 924/Gratigny Parkway; and</li> </ul> <p>As of 2011, the Authority has invested over \$740 million in completed projects and another \$480 million in ongoing projects; contributed over \$400 million toward joint construction projects with other state and local governmental agencies; and is programmed to invest over \$360 million toward projects in the upcoming five years. The Authority enters into numerous contracts and conducts business with private contractors, officials, and property owners in carrying out its required functions.</p>
<b>7G 162150</b>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS CHECKS; AMENDING SECTION 2-30 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CLARIFYING THAT THE BOARD IS AUTHORIZING BY ORDINANCE RATHER THAN IMPLEMENTING ORDER STATE AND NATIONAL CRIMINAL HISTORY SCREENING FOR CERTAIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES, APPOINTEES, CONTRACTORS, AND EMPLOYEES OF CONTRACTORS; REMOVING REFERENCES TO IMPLEMENTING ORDER 7-41; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Section 2-30 of the Miami-Dade County Code to remove any reference to Implementing Order 7-41.</p> <p>Specifically, the proposed amendment authorizes the County to perform comprehensive pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history records checks for certain County employees, appointees and contractors, whether paid or unpaid, that are critical to security or public safety or who have direct contact with individual members of the public or access to any public facility or publicly operated facility where such contact or access is critical to security or public safety.</p> <p><i>This amendment is required by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to make clear that the authority for the County to conduct background checks that involve FBI databases is derived from an ordinance adopted by this Board and not by an implementing order. The purpose is to ensure compliance with Florida Statutes Section 125.5801, which requires that a local government base its authority to conduct background checks in a duly adopted ordinance. This amendment to the Code is required to continue the Intergovernmental Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) to access state and national fingerprint-based criminal history records databases of the FDLE and the FBI.</i></p> <p><b>Results from criminal history record checks are reviewed by the hiring departments' Departmental Personnel Representative in consultation with the Human Resources Department.</b></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact as a result of this amendment to the ordinance. The fees associated with criminal history background checks are incurred by the user departments and the rate is \$36.00 for applicants, contractors and contractual temporary personnel, and \$28.75 for volunteers and non-paid interns. These rates are established by the FDLE.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Implementing Order 7-41<sup>2</sup>:</u></b></p> <p>Implementing Order 7-41 authorizes Miami-Dade County to perform pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record check on applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors, and contractual temporary personnel prior to commencement of employment or service with the County, who have been identified as critical to security or public safety. This order also applied to any private contractor, employee of a private contractor, vendor, repair person, or delivery person who have direct contact with individual members of the public or access to any public facility or publicly operated facility critical to security or public safety.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Ordinance No. 15-20:</u></b></p> <p>On March 17, 2015, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 15-20, created Section 2-30 of the County Code to authorize the County to perform comprehensive pre-employment fingerprint-based criminal history record checks for specified county employees, applicants, volunteers, interns, contractors, and contractual temporary personnel prior to the commencement of employment or service with the County. Ordinance No. 15-20 also authorized fingerprint-based criminal history records checks on any private contractor, employee of a private contractor, vendor, repair</p>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.miamidade.gov/aopdfdoc/aopdf/pdffiles/IO7-41.pdf>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	person, or delivery person who has direct contact with individual members of the public or access to any public facility or publicly operated facility in such a manner or to such an extent that the governing body of the County finds that preventing unsuitable persons from having such contact or access is critical to security or public safety.
<b>8A1 162381</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE AGREEMENT TO HOST THE FLORIDA AIRPORTS COUNCIL'S 2017 ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND EXPOSITION TO BE HELD IN MIAMI JULY 23-26 2017; AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$70,000.00 IN AVIATION DEPARTMENT PROMOTIONAL FUNDS; WAIVING COMPETITIVE BID REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER, SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE, AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 WITH RESPECT TO THE ACQUISITION OF GOODS AND SERVICES RELATING TO THIS EVENT; FINDING THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AVIATION DEPARTMENT FUNDS ON SUCH CONFERENCE PROMOTES AND DIRECTLY BENEFITS THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AVIATION DEPARTMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to sponsor the July 23-26, 2017 Florida Airports Council (FAC) Conference in Miami-Dade County. It also waives the provisions of Implementing Order 3-38 relating to bid procedures for acquisition of goods and services for this event.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> This approval authorizes MDAD to spend up to \$70,000.00 in Departmental promotional funds and to provide in-kind contributions such as staff time. MDAD will fundraise through non-traditional FAC event sponsors to defray costs.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The FAC is an association of publicly-owned and operated airports; airport professionals and experts in the fields of airport design, development and improvement; and aviation trades that support the airport industry in Florida. It is the largest airport-specific organization in Florida and is considered by industry leaders to be the premier state airport organization in the nation. It provides up-to-date information to its members about key issues affecting Florida's airports through bi-weekly newsletters, presentations, publications, specialty conferences and the internet. FAC provides industry input on decisions affecting aviation and airports through communications with local, state and federal leaders and lawmakers.</p> <p>FAC's annual conference is the largest and most successful statewide airport event in the country with outstanding public speakers and more than 700 industry professionals in attendance. There is no greater opportunity to network with the airport industry in Florida. Expected speakers include federal and state leaders as well as industry professionals who will discuss national and state issues affecting Florida's airports.</p>
<b>8F2 162077</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00367 FOR PURCHASE OF KITCHEN PAPER AND PLASTIC DISPOSABLE SUPPLIES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,764,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; PROHIBITING PURCHASE OF DISPOSABLE POLYSTYRENE PRODUCTS UNDER CONTRACT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00367, Kitchen Paper and Plastic Disposable Supplies, for purchase of disposable kitchen supplies for various Miami-Dade County departments and locations.</p> <p>The awarded vendors will provide disposable kitchen supplies including plates, bowls, cups, spoons, forks, knives, lids, straws, napkins, gloves, aluminum foil, and plastic film. This contract will allow various County departments to continue purchase of disposable kitchen items used to support daily operations. <b>In accordance with Ordinance 16-58, Miami-Dade Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces will not be purchasing any polystyrene products under this contract.</b></p> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on April 8, 2016 and seven (7) bids were received in response to the solicitation. The solicitation included three (3) groups: Group A - general funding, which was awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder on an item by item basis; Group B - federal funding, which was awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder in the aggregate; and Group C - a prequalification</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																																																		
	<p>pool for future spot market quotation, which was awarded to all bidders who satisfied the pre-qualification criteria set forth in the solicitation. Additional vendors may qualify to be added to Group C during the term of the contract.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the 60-month term is \$5,739,000. The previous contract, 7135-4/16, was valued at \$6,044,201 for five (5) years and expires on September 30, 2016.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Community Action and Human Services</td><td>\$75,000</td><td>General Funds and Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>\$3,611,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$1,000,000</td><td>Internal Service Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>\$603,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing and Community Development</td><td>\$145,000</td><td>Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Solid Waste Management</td><td>\$12,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Transportation and Public Works</td><td>\$107,000</td><td>DTPW Operating Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>\$210,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td><b>TOTAL</b></td><td><b>\$5,764,000</b></td><td></td></tr></table> <table><tr><th colspan="4">Vendors Recommended for Award</th></tr><tr><th>Awardee</th><th>Address</th><th>Number of Employee Residents</th><th>Award</th></tr><tr><td>Agni Enterprise, LLC dba Head to Heels Safety Supplies</td><td>9021 SW 94 Street, Apartment 510, Miami, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%</td><td>Group A (10 items) Group C</td></tr><tr><td>Central Poly-Bag Corp.</td><td>2400 Bedle Place Linden, NJ</td><td>Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%</td><td>Group C</td></tr><tr><td>Dade Paper &amp; Bag Co.</td><td>9601 NW 112 Avenue, Miami, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade – 259 Broward – 33 Percentage – 26%</td><td>Group A (2 items) Group C</td></tr><tr><td>District Healthcare &amp; Janitorial Supply, Incorporated</td><td>10125 NW 116 Way, Suite 16, Medley, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 50%</td><td>Group A (19 items) Group B Group C</td></tr><tr><td>Glocecol, LLC</td><td>10302 NW South River Drive, Bay Number 23, Medley, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 4 Percentage – 100%</td><td>Group A (15 items) Group C</td></tr><tr><td>Interboro Packaging Corporation</td><td>114 Bracken Road, Montgomery, NY</td><td>Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%</td><td>Group A (1 item) Group C</td></tr><tr><td>Lavcor, LLC.</td><td>936 NW 104 Avenue, Miami, FL</td><td>Miami-Dade – 1 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%</td><td>Group C</td></tr></table> <p><i>Central Poly Corporation and Glocecol, LLC were deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for Group B due to failure to submit a price on all items listed within Group B.</i></p>	Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Community Action and Human Services	\$75,000	General Funds and Federal Funds	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$3,611,000	General Fund	Internal Services	\$1,000,000	Internal Service Funds	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$603,000	General Fund	Public Housing and Community Development	\$145,000	Federal Funds	Solid Waste Management	\$12,000	Proprietary Funds	Transportation and Public Works	\$107,000	DTPW Operating Funds	Water and Sewer	\$210,000	Proprietary Funds	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$5,764,000</b>		Vendors Recommended for Award				Awardee	Address	Number of Employee Residents	Award	Agni Enterprise, LLC dba Head to Heels Safety Supplies	9021 SW 94 Street, Apartment 510, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%	Group A (10 items) Group C	Central Poly-Bag Corp.	2400 Bedle Place Linden, NJ	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%	Group C	Dade Paper & Bag Co.	9601 NW 112 Avenue, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 259 Broward – 33 Percentage – 26%	Group A (2 items) Group C	District Healthcare & Janitorial Supply, Incorporated	10125 NW 116 Way, Suite 16, Medley, FL	Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 50%	Group A (19 items) Group B Group C	Glocecol, LLC	10302 NW South River Drive, Bay Number 23, Medley, FL	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 4 Percentage – 100%	Group A (15 items) Group C	Interboro Packaging Corporation	114 Bracken Road, Montgomery, NY	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%	Group A (1 item) Group C	Lavcor, LLC.	936 NW 104 Avenue, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 1 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%	Group C
Department	Allocation	Funding Source																																																																	
Community Action and Human Services	\$75,000	General Funds and Federal Funds																																																																	
Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$3,611,000	General Fund																																																																	
Internal Services	\$1,000,000	Internal Service Funds																																																																	
Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$603,000	General Fund																																																																	
Public Housing and Community Development	\$145,000	Federal Funds																																																																	
Solid Waste Management	\$12,000	Proprietary Funds																																																																	
Transportation and Public Works	\$107,000	DTPW Operating Funds																																																																	
Water and Sewer	\$210,000	Proprietary Funds																																																																	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$5,764,000</b>																																																																		
Vendors Recommended for Award																																																																			
Awardee	Address	Number of Employee Residents	Award																																																																
Agni Enterprise, LLC dba Head to Heels Safety Supplies	9021 SW 94 Street, Apartment 510, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%	Group A (10 items) Group C																																																																
Central Poly-Bag Corp.	2400 Bedle Place Linden, NJ	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%	Group C																																																																
Dade Paper & Bag Co.	9601 NW 112 Avenue, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 259 Broward – 33 Percentage – 26%	Group A (2 items) Group C																																																																
District Healthcare & Janitorial Supply, Incorporated	10125 NW 116 Way, Suite 16, Medley, FL	Miami-Dade – 2 Broward – 0 Percentage – 50%	Group A (19 items) Group B Group C																																																																
Glocecol, LLC	10302 NW South River Drive, Bay Number 23, Medley, FL	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 4 Percentage – 100%	Group A (15 items) Group C																																																																
Interboro Packaging Corporation	114 Bracken Road, Montgomery, NY	Miami-Dade – 0 Broward – 0 Percentage – 0%	Group A (1 item) Group C																																																																
Lavcor, LLC.	936 NW 104 Avenue, Miami, FL	Miami-Dade – 1 Broward – 0 Percentage – 100%	Group C																																																																
8F3 162217	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE WITH AUTOMATED CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC. ("ACMS"), RETROACTIVE TO SEPTEMBER 1, 2016, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL TIME UP TO 18 MONTHS FOR CONTRACT NO. BW8166-5/13-7 FOR PURCHASE OF HOSTING AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR AUTOMATED CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS FOR THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET; AUTHORIZING AN INCREASE TO THE TOTAL EXPENDITURE AMOUNT UNDER THE CONTRACT BY \$900,000.00; INCLUDING A DISBURSEMENT TO ACMS FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM SEPTEMBER 1, 2016																																																																		



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
	THROUGH THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS RESOLUTION IN THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF \$150,000.00, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38				
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a designated purchase under Contract No. BW8166-5/13-7, Automated Case Management Systems, for the Office of Management and Budget to Automated Case Management Systems, Inc.</p> <p>Approval of a designated purchase is being requested to authorize extension of the contract term effective September 1, 2016 for 18 months and increase expenditure authority by \$900,000 to ensure continuity of services while a long-term replacement contract is developed, competed and awarded. <i>Retroactive approval is being requested as service was continuously provided to comply with federal requirements until BCC approval of this item.</i></p> <p>The contract provides maintenance, software and hardware updates, custom programming, user support and system documentation of the Title I Service Delivery Information System (SDIS). SDIS is used for the automated case management of participants in the federally-funded Ryan White Program which provides services (e.g., outpatient medical care, prescription drugs, oral healthcare, mental health counseling and legal assistance) to economically disadvantaged County residents living with HIV/AIDS. SDIS serves as the primary tool for coordination and exchange of information across the program's service delivery network and is critical to the County's programmatic and fiscal administration of the program.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>This contract was awarded to Automated Case Management Systems, Inc. for a one-year term, including five (5) one-year options to renew, through Resolution No. R-158-07. In December 2012, the contract was extended by a year with two (2) additional one-year option to renew terms through Resolution No. R-1008-12.</p> <p>This contract is overseen by the Office of Management and Budget and is in its final option to renew term, which was extended administratively by six (6) months under the County Mayor's delegated authority. This contract is presented for BCC approval as a designated purchase because the administration has exhausted its authority to extend the contract and competition is not practicable at this time. While the preparation of specifications for a competitive replacement contract is underway, the current term expired on August 31, 2016.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The contract expired on August 31, 2016 and had a \$900,000 allocation. If approved, this request extends the contract by 18 months to February 28, 2018 and modifies its value by an additional \$900,000, resulting in a total modified allocation of \$1,800,000. The additional allocation requested is based on the monthly hosting and maintenance fees during the extension period.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</u></b></p> <p>On February 6, 2007, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-158-07, approved the award of a bid waiver contract to Automated Case Management Systems, Inc. in the amount of \$570,000 for one year with five, one-year options-to-renew for the software licensing, support and maintenance services required for the operation of the Title I Services Delivery Information System. This system is utilized by the Ryan White Title I program, managed by the Office of Strategic Business Management.</p> <p>On December 4, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1008-12, waived formal bid procedures to modify a bid waiver contract for an additional 12 months and \$600,000 for the Office of Management and Budget – Ryan White Program to pay ongoing software licensing, support and maintenance services and continued customization of Services Delivery Information System (SDIS) to meet both County and federal requirements. The item also included two, one-year options-to-renew.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="495 1770 1263 1917"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Contract No. BW8166-5/13</th></tr> <tr> <td>Original Contract BW8166-5/13 R-158-07 3/1/2007-2/29/2008</td><td>\$570,000</td></tr> </table>	Contract No. BW8166-5/13		Original Contract BW8166-5/13 R-158-07 3/1/2007-2/29/2008	\$570,000
Contract No. BW8166-5/13					
Original Contract BW8166-5/13 R-158-07 3/1/2007-2/29/2008	\$570,000				

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<b>First OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-1 <i>3/1/2008-2/28/2009</i>	\$570,000
		<b>Second OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-2 <i>3/1/2009-2/28/2010</i>	\$600,000
		<b>Third OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-3 <i>3/1/2010-2/28/2011</i>	\$600,000
		<b>Fourth OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-4 <i>3/1/2011-2/29/2012</i>	\$600,000
		<b>Fifth OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-5 <i>3/1/2012-2/28/2013</i>	\$1,200,000
		<b>Sixth OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-6 R-1008-12 <i>3/1/2014-2/28/2015</i>	\$600,000
		<b>Seventh OTR</b> BW8166-5/13-7 R-1008-12 <i>3/1/2015-2/28/2016</i> <i>According to the Bid Tracking System the expiration date was extended to 8/31/2016.</i>	\$600,000
		<b>Proration</b>	\$300,000
		<b>Current Contract Total</b> <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>	\$5,640,000
<b>8F4 162308</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$4,600,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 060B2490021 FOR COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF SOFTWARE FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT		
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$4,600,000 to Contract No. 060B2490021, Commercial Off-the-Shelf Software, for the Information Technology Department.</p> <p>The County utilizes this contract to purchase commercial off-the-shelf software, installation, training, and maintenance support services. This includes a large variety of products that are used to support County operations. The contract is structured to be adaptable to rapidly changing technology by allowing pre-qualified vendors to add additional product lines, while allowing the County to take advantage of competitive market pricing. Examples of products purchased under this pool include AutoDesk AutoCAD architecture and engineering software, VMWare vSphere server virtualization software, Citrix desktop virtualization software, Kofax image capturing software, Diskeeper defragmentation/performance maintenance software, MCM Technology radio communication tracking software, as well as numerous other software products.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The County accessed this competitively established State of Maryland contract in May 2016 under the County Mayor’s delegated authority in order to purchase software and services required to address the immediate software needs of various departments. The requested increase in spending authority will fund the acquisition of the remaining software products and service needed through Fiscal Year 2016-17, allowing Information Technology to closely manage the use of this contract, mitigate software compliance issues, optimize software standardization opportunities, and leverage the County’s total software spend to reduce one-time and recurring costs. Upon approval, Information Technology will conduct spot market purchases specific to operational needs outlined by County departments. The contract expires September 30, 2027.</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>This contract was initially accessed under the County Mayor’s delegated authority and has an existing allocation of \$990,000. The requested modification will authorize increased spending authority of \$4,600,000, <b>resulting in a total contract value of \$5,590,000 since May 2016.</b></p> <p>The prior contract, ADSP011-00000358, had a 4 year term and a total value of \$17,831,864 and an average annual expenditure of \$4,457,966. The requested allocation represents a decrease in anticipated annual spending when compared to historical purchases and is based on projected needs for identified projects. Future modifications to this contract may be requested as additional specific needs are identified.</p> <p><b><u>Prequalified Vendors</u></b></p> <p>Carahsoft Technology Corp.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1860 Michael Faraday Drive, Suite 100, Reston, VA</li> </ul> <p>CDW Government, LLC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 200 N. Milwaukee Avenue, Vernon Hills, IL</li> </ul> <p>En Pointe Technologies Sales, LLC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1940 E. Mariposa Avenue, El Segundo, CA</li> </ul> <p>SHI International Corp.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 290 Davidson Avenue, Somerset, NJ</li> <li>• 200 E Broward Boulevard, Suite 1240, Fort Lauderdale, FL</li> </ul>
<p><b>8F5 162378</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00357 FOR PURCHASE OF LED LIGHTS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,325,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00357, LED Lights, to purchase light-emitting diode (LED) lights for the Department of Transportation and Public Works.</p> <p>The lights will be installed in exterior light fixtures, ceiling and wall mounts at 15 Metrorail stations with the most need throughout the Count, ensuring well-lit stations for patrons. A comprehensive project for the remaining stations, as well as other transit facilities, will be solicited separately.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Metrorail stations subject to LED light upgrades are the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Hialeah – 125 E 2st Street</li> <li>○ Coconut Grove – 2780 SW 127<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>○ Historic Overtown/Lyric Theatre – 1—NW 6<sup>th</sup> Street</li> <li>○ Brownsville – 5200 NW 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>○ Northside – 3150 NW 79<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>○ Viscaya – 3201 SW First Avenue</li> <li>○ Dadeland North – 8300 South Dixie Highway</li> <li>○ Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Plaza – 6205 NW 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>○ South Miami – 5949 Sunset Drive</li> <li>○ University – 5400 Ponce de Leon</li> <li>○ Culmer – 701 NW 11st Street</li> <li>○ Civic Center – 1501 NW 12the Avenue</li> <li>○ Allapattah – 3501 NW 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>○ Santa Clara – 2050 NW 12the Avenue</li> <li>○ Tri-Rail – 1125 E 25<sup>th</sup> Street</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>LED lights last longer and are more energy-efficient than traditional lighting sources. The lights are UL-certified (i.e., meet specific safety standards). The new lights will replace outdated mercury vapor lights that have exceeded their useful life of five (5) years. The useful life for the new LED lights is estimated to be 10 years.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The fiscal impact for the one-year term is \$2,325,000.00.</p> <p><b>Vendors Recommended for Award</b> Consolidated Electrical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1920 Westridge Drive Irving, TX</li> <li>• 8550 NW South River Drive Medley, FL</li> </ul> <p><b>Number of Employee Residents</b> Miami-Dade County - 11 Broward County - 8 Percentage - Less than 1%</p>
<p><b>8F6 162379</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$31,061,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 7855-4/15-4 FOR PURCHASE OF SPECIAL PURPOSE TRUCKS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority in the amount of \$31,061,000.00 to Prequalification Pool No. 7855-4/15-4, Special Purpose Trucks, for the Department of Solid Waste Management.</p> <p>This pool was initially approved under delegated authority in July 2010 for a one-year term with four (4), one-year options to renew. During the final option to renew term, the pool was extended by the BCC on September 16, 2014 for five (5) years with an additional \$5,000,000.00 in spending authority.</p> <p>Miami-Dade County departments rely on this pool for the purchase of special purpose trucks including dump, service, lube, box, and asphalt trucks, as well as water and fuel tankers, trash collection and disposal vehicles, and rear loaders.</p> <p>The Solid Waste Management Department was added to this pool in July 2016 via a departmental transfer from the Internal Services Department to purchase 12 trash trucks. This requested increase in expenditure authority is for the purchase of 169 replacement special purpose trucks for the Solid Waste Management Department's Garbage, Landfill and Transfer Divisions as the existing trucks have reached or exceeded their useful life expectancy. The procurement schedule is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fiscal Year 2016-17 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Nine (9) rear loaders;</li> <li>○ Five (5) cranes; and</li> <li>○ 12 trash trucks.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fiscal Year 2017-18 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 50 truck tractors;</li> <li>○ One (1) water truck;</li> <li>○ 14 rear loaders;</li> <li>○ Four (4) small automated side loaders;</li> <li>○ Four (4) small rear loaders;</li> <li>○ One (1) container delivery truck;</li> <li>○ Four (4) cranes;</li> <li>○ Five (5) trash trucks; and</li> <li>○ Eight (8) trash dump cranes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fiscal Year 2018-19 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 10 truck tractors;</li> <li>○ Seven (7) rear loaders;</li> <li>○ Six (6) cranes;</li> <li>○ Five (5) trash trucks; and</li> <li>○ Six (6) trash dump cranes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fiscal Year 2019-20 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 10 truck tractors;</li> <li>○ Four (4) small automated garbage trucks; and</li> <li>○ Four (4) trash trucks.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																									
	<p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The pool expires on June 30, 2020 and has an existing allocation of \$5,840,000.00. The recommended modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$31,061,000.00 increasing the total pool value to \$36,901,000.00. The increase in allocation is requested to cover equipment and truck purchases over a period of four (4) fiscal years which will be quoted among the pool members.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>The additional allocation would increase the pool value to \$36,901,000 for the current option term. If the additional allocation requested is approved, the cumulative contract total would be \$38,340,850.00.</i></li></ul> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$2,683,000</td><td>0</td><td>\$2,683,000</td><td>Internal Services Funds</td></tr><tr><td>PortMiami</td><td>\$287,000</td><td>0</td><td>\$287,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Solid Waste Management</td><td>\$1,308,000</td><td>\$31,061,000</td><td>\$32,369,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Transportation and Public Works</td><td>\$1,562,000</td><td>0</td><td>\$1,562,000</td><td>DTPW Operating Funds</td></tr></table> <p><b>Prequalified Vendors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>TP Investment Group, LLC dba Kenworth of South Florida, 2909 S Andrews Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL</li><li>SBL Freightliner, LLC dba Lou Bachrodt Freightliner, 2840 Center Port Circle, Pompano Beach, FL</li><li>Peterbilt Truck Centers, Inc., 2441 S State Road 7, Ft. Lauderdale, FL</li><li>Gus Machado Ford, Inc., 1200 W 49 Street, Hialeah, FL</li><li>Nextran Corporation dba Nextran Truck Center Miami<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1986 W Beaver Street, Jacksonville, FL</li><li>6801 NW 74 Avenue, Miami, FL</li></ul></li><li>Palmetto Ford Truck Sales, Inc. dba Palmetto Truck Center, 7245 NW 36 Street, Miami, FL</li></ul> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7855-4/15</th></tr><tr><td><b>7855-4/15</b> Original Contract 7/1/2010-6/30/2011</td><td>\$199,950</td></tr><tr><td><b>7855-4/15-1</b> First OTR 7/1/2011-6/30/2012</td><td>\$199,950</td></tr><tr><td><b>7855-4/15-2</b> Second OTR 7/1/2012-6/30/2013</td><td>\$199,950</td></tr><tr><td><b>7855-4/15-3</b> Third OTR 7/1/2013-6/30/2014</td><td>\$199,950</td></tr><tr><td><b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014</td><td>\$640,000</td></tr><tr><td><b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014</td><td>\$50</td></tr><tr><td><b>7855-4/15-4</b> Fourth OTR 7/1/2014-6/30/2015</td><td>\$840,000</td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Internal Services	\$2,683,000	0	\$2,683,000	Internal Services Funds	PortMiami	\$287,000	0	\$287,000	Proprietary Funds	Solid Waste Management	\$1,308,000	\$31,061,000	\$32,369,000	Proprietary Funds	Transportation and Public Works	\$1,562,000	0	\$1,562,000	DTPW Operating Funds	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7855-4/15		<b>7855-4/15</b> Original Contract 7/1/2010-6/30/2011	\$199,950	<b>7855-4/15-1</b> First OTR 7/1/2011-6/30/2012	\$199,950	<b>7855-4/15-2</b> Second OTR 7/1/2012-6/30/2013	\$199,950	<b>7855-4/15-3</b> Third OTR 7/1/2013-6/30/2014	\$199,950	<b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$640,000	<b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$50	<b>7855-4/15-4</b> Fourth OTR 7/1/2014-6/30/2015	\$840,000
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																																						
Internal Services	\$2,683,000	0	\$2,683,000	Internal Services Funds																																						
PortMiami	\$287,000	0	\$287,000	Proprietary Funds																																						
Solid Waste Management	\$1,308,000	\$31,061,000	\$32,369,000	Proprietary Funds																																						
Transportation and Public Works	\$1,562,000	0	\$1,562,000	DTPW Operating Funds																																						
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7855-4/15																																										
<b>7855-4/15</b> Original Contract 7/1/2010-6/30/2011	\$199,950																																									
<b>7855-4/15-1</b> First OTR 7/1/2011-6/30/2012	\$199,950																																									
<b>7855-4/15-2</b> Second OTR 7/1/2012-6/30/2013	\$199,950																																									
<b>7855-4/15-3</b> Third OTR 7/1/2013-6/30/2014	\$199,950																																									
<b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$640,000																																									
<b>Modification</b> R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$50																																									
<b>7855-4/15-4</b> Fourth OTR 7/1/2014-6/30/2015	\$840,000																																									

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		The pool was extended to 6/30/2020 through R-806-14.		
		<b>Proration</b>	\$5,000,000	
		<b>Current Contract Total</b> <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>	\$7,279,850	
<b>8F7 162380</b>	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$52,442,000 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 8535-5/13-5 FOR PURCHASE OF VARIOUS OFF-ROAD, LANDFILL, AND HEAVY EQUIPMENT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$52,442,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 8535-5/13-5, Various Off-Road, Landfill, and Heavy Equipment for the Department of Solid Waste Management.</p> <p>This pool was approved by the BCC on May 1, 2008 for a one-year term, with five (5) one-year option to renew terms. During the final option to renew term, the pool was extended by the BCC for five (5) years, with prorated spending authority. This pool is relied on by multiple Miami-Dade County departments for purchase of various off-road, landfill, and heavy equipment.</p> <p>Solid Waste Management is requesting an increase in expenditure authority to replace equipment within the garbage, landfill, and transfer divisions which have reached or exceeded their useful life expectancy. These replacement purchases are required as a result of increased costs associated with maintaining an aging fleet and the limited availability of garbage trucks due to the frequency of necessary repairs. In Fiscal Year 05-06, the Department purchased 179 garbage trucks for the transition to automated garbage service. All of these automated garbage trucks arrived around the same time and have exceeded their useful lives within the same fiscal year.</p> <p>The proposed equipment procurement schedule is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fiscal Year 16-17 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Two (2) bulldozers;</li> <li>○ One (1) front-end loader; and</li> <li>○ 29 hybrid garbage trucks.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fiscal Year 17-18 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ One (1) backhoe;</li> <li>○ Two (2) bulldozers;</li> <li>○ One (1) small bulldozer;</li> <li>○ One (1) front-end loader;</li> <li>○ Two (2) excavators;</li> <li>○ One (1) grader; and</li> <li>○ 46 hybrid garbage trucks.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fiscal Year 18-19: two (2) water tankers and 29 hybrid garbage trucks.</li> </ul> <p><b>This purchase schedule is subject to change upon award of a future compressed natural gas (CNG) provider.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>According to the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW), if purchases are not made as a result of switching to a CNG provider, the allocation will not be used and the Department funds will be reallocated to a contract for the purchase of CNG vehicles.</i></li> <li>• <i>According to DTPW, the CNG solicitation is still under the Cone of Silence.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The pool expires on April 30, 2019, and has an existing allocation of \$21,417,000. The modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$52,442,000 increasing the total pool value to \$73,859,000.</p>			



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																													
	<table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Solid Waste Management</td><td>\$19,856,000</td><td>\$52,442,000</td><td>\$72,298,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Fire Rescue</td><td>\$52,000</td><td>0</td><td>\$52,000</td><td>Grant Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$1,509,000</td><td>0</td><td>\$1,509,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>\$21,417,000</td><td>\$52,442,000</td><td>\$73,859,000</td><td></td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Solid Waste Management	\$19,856,000	\$52,442,000	\$72,298,000	Proprietary Funds	Fire Rescue	\$52,000	0	\$52,000	Grant Funds	Internal Services	\$1,509,000	0	\$1,509,000	General Fund	Total	\$21,417,000	\$52,442,000	\$73,859,000					
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																										
Solid Waste Management	\$19,856,000	\$52,442,000	\$72,298,000	Proprietary Funds																										
Fire Rescue	\$52,000	0	\$52,000	Grant Funds																										
Internal Services	\$1,509,000	0	\$1,509,000	General Fund																										
Total	\$21,417,000	\$52,442,000	\$73,859,000																											
	<p>This increase in allocation is requested to cover the purchase of equipment over a period of three (3) fiscal years which will be quoted among the pool members. The requested increase in expenditure authority is higher than the previous allocation under this pool to address needs that were not anticipated when the pool was first established and to continue the County’s efforts to convert its fleet to energy efficient hybrids pursuant to the GreentPrint plan.</p> <p>Previously, the Department utilized Contract No. 9656-0/13, which expired on September 19, 2013, to purchase hybrid garbage trucks. Due to the urgent need to purchase replacement garbage trucks, it was determined that utilization of this existing pool was the most expeditious and cost-effective manner to procure the necessary equipment.</p> <p><b>Pre-qualified Vendors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flagler Construction Equipment, LLC<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>8418 Palm River Road, Tampa, FL</li><li>5210 Reese Road, Ft. Lauderdale, FL Mark McDonell</li></ul></li><li>General GMC Truck Sales and Service, Inc. dba Volvo Trucks Of Palm Beach, 360 South Military Trail, West Palm Beach, FL</li><li>Kelly Tractor Co., 8255 NW 58 Street, Miami, FL</li><li>McNeilus Financial, Inc. dba McNeilus Truck and Manufacturing, Co.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>524 County Road 34 East, Dodge Center, MN 1700</li><li>NW 33 Street, Pompano Beach, FL</li></ul></li><li>Nortrax, Inc.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>4042 Park Oaks Boulevard, Suite 200, Tampa, FL</li><li>1751 W Copans Road, Unit Number 8, Pompano Beach, FL</li></ul></li><li>Palm Peterbilt Truck Centers, Inc., 2441 S State Road 7, Ft. Lauderdale, FL</li><li>Palmetto Ford Truck Sales, Inc. dba Palmetto Truck Center, 7245 NW 36 Street, Miami, FL</li><li>Sun Belt Hydraulic and Equipment, Inc. dba Sunbelt Waste Equipment, 2201 NW 22 Street, Pompano Beach, FL</li></ul> <p><b>Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The User Access Program provision applies and the two (2) percent program discount will be collected where permitted by the funding source.</li><li>The Small Business Enterprise Bid Preference and Local Preference will be applied in accordance with the ordinances at the time of spot market competition where permitted by the funding source.</li><li>The services being provided are not covered under the Living Wage Ordinance.</li></ul> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 8535-5/13-5</th></tr><tr><td>8535-5/13 Original Contract R-417-08 5/1/2008-4/30/2009</td><td>\$3,569,302</td></tr><tr><td>8535-5/13-1 First OTR</td><td>\$3,569,302</td></tr></table>					Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 8535-5/13-5		8535-5/13 Original Contract R-417-08 5/1/2008-4/30/2009	\$3,569,302	8535-5/13-1 First OTR	\$3,569,302																			
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 8535-5/13-5																														
8535-5/13 Original Contract R-417-08 5/1/2008-4/30/2009	\$3,569,302																													
8535-5/13-1 First OTR	\$3,569,302																													

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes								
		5/1/2009-4/30/2010							
		8535-5/13-2 Second OTR 5/1/2010-4/30/2011	\$3,569,302						
		8535-5/13-3 Third OTR 5/1/2011-4/30/2012	\$3,569,302						
		8535-5/13-4 Fourth OTR 5/1/2012-4/30/2013	\$3,569,302						
		8535-5/13-5 Fifth OTR 5/1/2013-4/30/2014 The pool was extended to 4/30/2019 through R-101-14.	\$3,569,302						
		Proration	\$17,847,000						
		Current Contract Total	\$39,262,812						
		According to the Bid Tracking System							
8F8 162382	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF A LEGACY CONTRACT FOR SIEMENS BUILDING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SERVICES TO SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., CONTRACT NO. L2605-1/26, FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS FOR A 66-MONTH TERM PLUS ONE, FIVE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW TERM, IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$23,466,000.00 AND APPROVING TERMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE CONTRACT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of Legacy Contract No. L2605-1/26, Siemens Building Management Systems Maintenance Services, to Siemens Industry, Inc. (Siemens) for maintenance of building management systems at multiple County facilities.</p> <p>For over 20 years, Miami-Dade County has used Siemens for maintenance of the Siemens Building Management System (System) installed in 26 County-managed facilities. The System is computer-based, controlling and monitoring a building’s mechanical and electrical systems, including ventilation, lighting, power, fire and security systems. The System handles a wide variety of tasks, ranging from turning on and off air handling units and lighting to more complex controls such as chilled water and smoke evacuation systems used for life safety.</p> <p><b>The System’s software is a proprietary product of Siemens</b> and requires periodic upgrades that are vital to ensure accurate control of these life safety systems. Regular maintenance protects the mechanical equipment that the System controls while reducing the risk of costly and disruptive System problems. <i>Currently, Siemens is the only vendor capable of providing the requested services for the System and therefore competition for these services is unavailable at this time. Replacing the building management system would be far more costly, and the requested services are needed to continue to operate the County’s existing System. The availability of competition will be continually monitored so that the need for future legacy purchases for these services is reduced or eliminated as soon as practicable. Accordingly, it is recommended that this legacy contract be awarded to Siemens Industry, Inc., pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the County Code, for continuity of maintenance services.</i></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the 66-month term is \$11,863,000. Should the County choose to exercise the one (1), five-year option to renew, the estimated cumulative value will be \$23,466,000. The current contract, SS2605-3/15, is valued at \$12,533,200 for eight (8) years and six (6) months. The requested allocation under this replacement contract has increased based on the addition of facilities and services.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Fire Rescue</td><td>\$875,000</td><td>Fire District</td></tr></table>			Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Fire Rescue	\$875,000	Fire District
Department	Allocation	Funding Source							
Fire Rescue	\$875,000	Fire District							

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes			
		Internal Services	\$10,373,000	Internal Service Funds
		PortMiami	\$280,000	Proprietary Funds
		Water and Sewer	\$335,000	Proprietary Funds
		<b>Total</b>	<b>\$11,863,000</b>	
	<b>Vendor Recommended for Award</b>			
	Siemens Industry, Inc.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3333 Old Milton Parkway Alpharetta, GA</li> <li>3021 N Commerce Parkway Miramar, FL</li> </ul>			
	<b>Number of Employee Residents</b>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Miami-Dade - 45</li> <li>Broward - 121</li> <li>Percentage – 0.009%</li> </ul>			
	<p><i>Siemens Industry, Inc. has one (1) open violation for not meeting a Community Workforce Program goal. The contractor has accepted the violation, and the payment due to the County will be deducted from the final contract payment. The violation will remain open until the funds have been deducted.</i></p>			
8F9 162390	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,600,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. RTQ-00266 FOR PURCHASE OF MANUFACTURED MODULAR BUILDINGS FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$1,600,000 to Prequalification Pool No. RTQ-00266, Manufactured (Modular) Buildings, for the Internal Services Department.</p> <p>Approval of this request will add the Internal Services Department to this pool with a \$1,600,000 allocation. The department will use the funds to purchase two (2) manufactured buildings for the Miami-Dade Public Safety Training Institute. The institute is a multi-agency partnership sharing resources and facilities to meet mutual training needs for the Miami-Dade County Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigations and Miami-Dade County</p>			

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p>Corrections and Rehabilitation Department. One building will be used as a storage house for ammunition, and the other will be used as a stand-alone structure to conduct virtual training scenarios. The Internal Services Department will conduct a competitive spot market quote under this pool to purchase the required pre-fabricated structures. The awarded vendor following a spot market competition is responsible for the installation of the manufactured buildings.</p> <p>The pool was established under delegated authority in September 2015 and has been used by the Aviation Department to purchase pre-fabricated guard booths and bathrooms. All buildings under this pool will meet Florida Building Commission standards and be provided by manufacturers that are certified by the State of Florida Manufactured Building Program.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>This pool was established with an allocation of \$500,000 for an eight-year term, which expires on September 30, 2023. There have been no prior modifications to this pool. If the requested modification is approved, the total pool value will be \$2,100,000.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$500,000</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$500,000</td><td>Proprietary Revenue</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$1,600,000</td><td>\$1,600,000</td><td>Capital Outlay Reserve/Police Impact Fees</td></tr></table> <p><b>Prequalified Vendors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Advanced Modular Structures, Inc., 1911 NW 15 Street, Pompano Beach, FL</li><li>Concrete Modular Systems, Inc., 6161 51 Street South, St. Petersburg, FL</li><li>GFRC Acquisition, LLC d/b/a GFRC Shelters, 8226 Douglas Avenue, Suite 627, Dallas, TX</li><li>Leesburg Concrete Company, Inc., 1335 Thomas Avenue, Leesburg, FL</li><li>Mardan Fabrication, Inc., 41249 Irwin Drive, Harrison TWP, MI</li><li>McGrath Rent Corp. d/b/a Mobile Modular Management Corporation, 5700 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA</li></ul>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Aviation	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	Proprietary Revenue	Internal Services	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	Capital Outlay Reserve/Police Impact Fees
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source												
Aviation	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	Proprietary Revenue												
Internal Services	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	Capital Outlay Reserve/Police Impact Fees												
8F10 162391	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,000,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9013-1/19-1 FOR PURCHASE OF OFFICE TRAILERS FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS															
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$1,000,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 9013-1/19-1, Office Trailers, for the Fire Rescue and Internal Services Departments. This prequalification pool is used by County departments to purchase office trailers, including installation services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The <b>Internal Services Department is requesting \$800,000</b> in increased spending authority to purchase five (5) new modular office trailers for the Police Department’s Training Bureau.</li><li>The <b>Fire Rescue Department is requesting \$200,000</b> in increased spending authority to lease, with an option to purchase, temporary trailers to be located at 7055 SW 144 Street for Fire Station No. 62 and for future stations.</li></ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>This pool was established under the County Mayor’s delegated authority in June 2009 for an initial five-year term plus one (1), five-year option to renew term. The pool is currently in its option to renew term and expires on June 30, 2019. The existing cumulative allocation for the entire 10-year term of the pool is \$8,624,000. If this modification request is approved, the cumulative allocation will be \$9,624,000.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Community Action and Human Services</td><td>\$5,200,000</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$5,200,000</td><td>General Fund/ State Funds/ Federal Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Fire Rescue</td><td>\$300,000</td><td>\$200,000</td><td>\$500,000</td><td>Fire District</td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Community Action and Human Services	\$5,200,000	\$0	\$5,200,000	General Fund/ State Funds/ Federal Funds	Fire Rescue	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	Fire District
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source												
Community Action and Human Services	\$5,200,000	\$0	\$5,200,000	General Fund/ State Funds/ Federal Funds												
Fire Rescue	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	Fire District												

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																										
	Internal Services	\$924,000	\$800,000	\$1,724,000	Internal Service Funds																						
	Solid Waste Management	\$154,000	\$0	154,000	General Fund																						
	Water and Sewer	\$2,046,000	\$0	\$2,046,000	Proprietary Funds																						
	Total	\$8,624,000	\$1,000,000	\$9,624,000																							
	<b>Prequalified Vendors</b>																										
	Advanced Modular Structures, Inc.																										
	• 1911 NW 15 Street, Pompano Beach, FL																										
	McGrath Rent Corp. dba Mobile Modular Management Corp.																										
	• 5700 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA																										
	Modular Space Corp. dba Modspace																										
• 1200 Swedesford Road, Berwyn, PA																											
• 3120 NW 16 Terrace, Pompano Beach, FL																											
	<table><tr><th colspan="2">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9013-1/19</th></tr><tr><td>Original Contract 9013-1/19 7/1/2009-6/30/2014</td><td>\$500,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 5/20/2011</td><td>\$24,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification R-477-13 6/18/2013</td><td>\$3,000,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 4/14/2014</td><td>\$600,000</td></tr><tr><td>Total Amount of Original Contract Term</td><td>\$4,124,000</td></tr><tr><td>Option to Renew 9013-1/19-1 7/1/2014-6/30/2019</td><td>\$4,099,982</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 11/13/2014</td><td>\$100,000</td></tr><tr><td>Modification 2/4/2015</td><td>\$300,000</td></tr><tr><td>Total Amount for the one, five-year option period</td><td>\$4,499,982</td></tr><tr><td>Total Contract Amount</td><td>\$8,623,982</td></tr></table>					Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9013-1/19		Original Contract 9013-1/19 7/1/2009-6/30/2014	\$500,000	Modification 5/20/2011	\$24,000	Modification R-477-13 6/18/2013	\$3,000,000	Modification 4/14/2014	\$600,000	Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$4,124,000	Option to Renew 9013-1/19-1 7/1/2014-6/30/2019	\$4,099,982	Modification 11/13/2014	\$100,000	Modification 2/4/2015	\$300,000	Total Amount for the one, five-year option period	\$4,499,982	Total Contract Amount	\$8,623,982
	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 9013-1/19																										
	Original Contract 9013-1/19 7/1/2009-6/30/2014	\$500,000																									
	Modification 5/20/2011	\$24,000																									
	Modification R-477-13 6/18/2013	\$3,000,000																									
	Modification 4/14/2014	\$600,000																									
	Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$4,124,000																									
	Option to Renew 9013-1/19-1 7/1/2014-6/30/2019	\$4,099,982																									
	Modification 11/13/2014	\$100,000																									
	Modification 2/4/2015	\$300,000																									
Total Amount for the one, five-year option period	\$4,499,982																										
Total Contract Amount	\$8,623,982																										
8F11 162392	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. SS9863 TO CAVOTEC INET US, INC. FOR PURCHASE OF INET SYSTEMS PARTS AND REPLACEMENT FOR THE AVIATION DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,540,000.00 FOR AN INITIAL FIVE-YEAR TERM AND ONE, FIVE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS																										
Notes	The proposed resolution waives competitive bidding procedures under Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and approves award of Contract No. SS9863, Inet Systems Parts and Replacement, to Cavotec Inet US, Inc. (Cavotec) for the Aviation Department.																										

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p>Under the contract, Cavotec will provide preventative maintenance inspections, routine, emergency and field inspection services, parts and components for the pre-conditioned air and 400 hertz aircraft ground power systems, transport units and chiller plant equipment controller at Miami International Airport (MIA). Proper maintenance of the systems, units and chiller equipment ensure that aircrafts are powered and cooled while at gates and that passenger loading bridges are sufficiently cooled.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> For over nine (9) years, the County has purchased Cavotec equipment, systems and maintenance support services to facilitate critical daily operational functions at MIA. During this period, Cavotec installed many essential pieces of equipment which are proprietary to it. The <b>proprietary equipment</b> includes 24,400 hertz motor generators located at various terminal gates, 100 pre-conditioned air handling units, and 111 service transport units. Moreover, Cavotec is the sole proprietor of the logic controls, software, and circuit boards for the power and cooling centralized systems installed at MIA. Because of the proprietary nature of the systems and equipment, a complete overhaul would be required if the County contracted with any other manufacturer. Such an overhaul would be both costly and disruptive to airport operations.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$5,270,000. Should the County choose to exercise the one (1), five-year option to renew term, the estimated cumulative value of the contract will be \$10,540,000. The current contract, SS8014-2/16, is valued at \$20,414,000 for nine (9) years and six (6) months. The allocation under the replacement contract has been reduced because the majority of the equipment was purchased under the current contract, and this replacement contract will be used to mainly purchase replacement parts and maintenance services.</p> <p><b><u>Vendor Recommended for Award</u></b> Cavotec Inet US, Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5665 Corporate Avenue Cypress, CA</li> <li>• 2100 NW 42 Avenue G-9 Ramp Unit Number 16 Miami, FL</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Number of Employee Residents</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami-Dade – 9</li> <li>• Broward – 0</li> <li>• Percentage – 0.11%</li> </ul> <table border="1" data-bbox="565 1260 1190 1906"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Previous Contract No. SS8014-2/16</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>Original Contract</b> SS8014-2/16 R-344-07 4/18/2007-4/17/2010</td><td>\$4,451,000</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Modification</b> 3/15/2010</td><td>\$890,000</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Amount for the original contract term</b></td><td><b>\$5,341,000</b></td></tr> <tr> <td><b>First OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-1 4/18/2010-4/17/2013</td><td>\$5,341,000</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Second OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-2 4/18/2013-4/17/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, this expiration date is not 10/31/2016.</td><td>\$5,341,000</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Modification</b> R-737-13</td><td>\$4,391,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Previous Contract No. SS8014-2/16		<b>Original Contract</b> SS8014-2/16 R-344-07 4/18/2007-4/17/2010	\$4,451,000	<b>Modification</b> 3/15/2010	\$890,000	<b>Total Amount for the original contract term</b>	<b>\$5,341,000</b>	<b>First OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-1 4/18/2010-4/17/2013	\$5,341,000	<b>Second OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-2 4/18/2013-4/17/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, this expiration date is not 10/31/2016.	\$5,341,000	<b>Modification</b> R-737-13	\$4,391,000
Additional Information on Previous Contract No. SS8014-2/16															
<b>Original Contract</b> SS8014-2/16 R-344-07 4/18/2007-4/17/2010	\$4,451,000														
<b>Modification</b> 3/15/2010	\$890,000														
<b>Total Amount for the original contract term</b>	<b>\$5,341,000</b>														
<b>First OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-1 4/18/2010-4/17/2013	\$5,341,000														
<b>Second OTR</b> SS8014-2/16-2 4/18/2013-4/17/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, this expiration date is not 10/31/2016.	\$5,341,000														
<b>Modification</b> R-737-13	\$4,391,000														



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
		9/17/2013													
		Total Amount for the Second OTR term	\$9,732,000												
		Total Contract Amount	\$20,414,000												
8F12 162542	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF FORMAL BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; RATIFYING EMERGENCY CONTRACT AWARD NO. RFP-487A-001D TO SECURITY ALLIANCE, LLC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,736,057.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF SECURITY GUARD SERVICES FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES AND WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution ratifies an emergency contract award, RFP-487A-001D, Sector 1-D Security Guard and Screening Services, for the purchase of security guard services for the Internal Services and Water and Sewer departments.</p> <p>An emergency was declared due to contractual issues involving Delad Security, Inc. (Delad), which resulted in an unforeseen and urgent need for security guard services that could not be obtained using other purchasing methods without compromising public safety.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The BCC originally awarded Contract No. RFP-487A-1D to Delad on October 23, 2008 through Resolution No. R-1132-08. Under the terms of the contract, Delad provided armed and unarmed security at approximately 15 County facilities including numerous facilities that perform critical functions such as the Information Technology Department Data Center, the Virginia Key Wastewater Plant, and the Snapper Creek Trash and Recycling Center. The contract had an initial term of three (3) years with two (2), two-year options to renew. During the final option to renew term, the contract was administratively extended for six (6) months and further extended by the BCC for one (1) year while a new solicitation was being finalized. On February 29, 2016, Delad's performance bond lapsed, causing the firm to violate a material term of the contract. Several communications and meetings were held with Delad's principal in an effort to provide the firm the opportunity to comply with this material requirement but the firm failed to provide the bond.</p> <p>To ensure continuity of these public safety services, an emergency solicitation was issued on May 17, 2016. The solicitation was distributed to current security guard service providers under Contract Nos. RFP-487A and RFP-487B and award was made to the lowest priced, responsive, responsible bidder on all items listed in the solicitation. Five (5) proposals were received in response to the emergency solicitation. The awarded vendor, Security Alliance, LLC, subcontracted with Delad on this contract in order to fulfill the 20 percent small business goal placed on the contract.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the 27 week term is \$1,736,057. The emergency contract term began on July 7, 2016 and will be coterminous with the expiration of the current countywide contract, which expires on February 28, 2017. A replacement solicitation was issued and proposals are currently under evaluation. A recommendation for award for the replacement contract for countywide security guard services is expected to be forwarded to the BCC for approval prior to the expiration of the emergency contract.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$232,741</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Water and Sewer</td><td>\$1,503,316</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>\$1,736,057</td><td></td></tr></table> <p><b>Vendor Recommended for Award</b> Security Alliance, LLC, 8323 NW 12 Street, Suite 218, Doral, FL</p> <p><b>Number of Employee Residents</b></p>			Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Internal Services	\$232,741	General Fund	Water and Sewer	\$1,503,316	Proprietary Funds	Total	\$1,736,057	
Department	Allocation	Funding Source													
Internal Services	\$232,741	General Fund													
Water and Sewer	\$1,503,316	Proprietary Funds													
Total	\$1,736,057														

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami-Dade - 108</li> <li>• Broward - 42</li> <li>• Percentage - 30%</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Contract Nos. RFP487A and RFP487B</u></b></p> <p>Contract Nos. RFP487A and RFP487B were competitively established in May 2008 through Resolution No. R-496A-08 for three (3) years with two (2), two-year options to renew to deliver security guard and screening services at various sites and facilities countywide. Contract No. RFP487A services the Internal Services and Water and Sewer Departments. Contract No. RFP487B services the Public Housing and Community Development Department, as administered by the Internal Services Department and the Water and Sewer Department.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="423 585 1334 991"> <tr> <th colspan="2">On May 6, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496-08, awarded to following contracts:</th></tr> <tr> <td>Contract No. 487A-2A</td><td>50 State Security Service, Inc.</td></tr> <tr> <td>Contract No. 487A-3A</td><td>Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services</td></tr> <tr> <td>Contract No. 487A-1E</td><td>Feick Security Corporation</td></tr> <tr> <td>Contract No. 487A-2B</td><td>McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc.</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Additionally, R-496-08 deferred the award of Contract Nos. 487A-1D and 487A-3B and directed the County Mayor or his designee to place a new recommendation for award of these contracts on a subsequent agenda.</td></tr> </table> <p>During the BCC meeting on May 6, 2008, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Assistant County Manager explained the history and intent of the proposed resolution. She noted the County Manager's recommendation was that five sectors in the Request for Proposal (RFP) be awarded pursuant to the competitive bid process; that bid waivers be approved for three of the sectors containing a Small Business Enterprise (SBE) category; and that bids be rejected and re-advertised for the sector that was awarded to Wackenhut, pending an audit.</i></li> <li>• <i>Pertaining to concerns regarding vendors shifting names or principals, the DPM Director noted none of the firms in today's recommendation had a history of undisclosed principals.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Committee expressed concern that Security Alliance (SA) had not qualified as an SBE company for three years, but was recommended for an SBE set aside award and expressed concern with companies circumventing the process by creating subsidiaries to maintain an SBE status. The Commission asked if the current SBE ordinance provided assurances that companies were in fact, small business enterprises.</i></li> <li>• <i>The DPM Director noted assertive SBE measures/set asides, participation goals and selection factors were included in the deliberations of the recommended awards for this contract. She noted SA was determined to be SBE certified at the time of the proposal submission, throughout the review/evaluation process and when the awards were recommended by the Administration.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Director of the Department of Small Business Development (DSBD), noted, as part of the re-certification and application process, gross revenues of all affiliates were considered. She noted staff did an analysis of Security Alliance, and determined SA to be properly certified and eligible to participate in this bid.</i></li> <li>• <i>Responding to questions regarding the current revenue limit a firm could earn to remain eligible for the SBE program, and the amount of SA's gross revenues, the DSBD Director noted a firm was limited to a 3-year average of no more than \$5 million gross revenues. She noted SA was no longer SBE certified, however, it was certified at the time their proposal was submitted and during the procurement process, when SA graduated from the SBE program.</i></li> <li>• <i>The CAO noted the provisions in the SBE ordinance in effect at that time, allowed for an exception of firms graduating from the SBE program to continue getting the benefit of a sheltered market procurement for a one-year period from the point of graduation, which permitted the firm to bid for one year as though they were an SBE firm.</i></li> </ul>	On May 6, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496-08, awarded to following contracts:		Contract No. 487A-2A	50 State Security Service, Inc.	Contract No. 487A-3A	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services	Contract No. 487A-1E	Feick Security Corporation	Contract No. 487A-2B	McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc.	Additionally, R-496-08 deferred the award of Contract Nos. 487A-1D and 487A-3B and directed the County Mayor or his designee to place a new recommendation for award of these contracts on a subsequent agenda.	
On May 6, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496-08, awarded to following contracts:													
Contract No. 487A-2A	50 State Security Service, Inc.												
Contract No. 487A-3A	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services												
Contract No. 487A-1E	Feick Security Corporation												
Contract No. 487A-2B	McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc.												
Additionally, R-496-08 deferred the award of Contract Nos. 487A-1D and 487A-3B and directed the County Mayor or his designee to place a new recommendation for award of these contracts on a subsequent agenda.													

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Commission questioned whether the Commission could consider that the one-year period extension (upon graduating) had expired during the process when an eligibility determination was made and when the recommendation came before the BCC. The Commission also questioned whether the BCC would have to approve a bid waiver to award the tiers as recommended by the County Manager.</i></li> <li>• <i>The CAO explained the reason for the recommended bid waiver before the BCC was because the one-year period had expired. He noted the BCC would need to approve a bid waiver as it pertained to the awards to Security Alliance.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to questions regarding whether the BCC could consider substituting a recommended firm with another SBE firm, if determined ineligible as an SBE, the CAO noted the BCC could award another SBE firm. He also noted several extraordinary voting procedural requirements inherent in this item, which involved a waiver of the bid protest process requiring a 2/3s vote of the BCC before any other action could be taken on this item; and a bid waiver of the awards pertaining to SA that also required a 2/3s vote of the BCC.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to questions regarding a previous Invitation to Bid (ITB) that yielded better inclusion, the DPM Director noted the emergency contract now in place was issued as an ITB, but not all of the firms in the current emergency contract competed in the Request for Proposals (RFP).</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to the Commission's questions whether the procurement process had been followed; whether all companies recommended for an award today were given due process; and if any of the recommended companies were currently under investigation, the DPM Director noted the process was properly followed; that five bid protests were dealt with appropriately, and to her knowledge, no companies recommended for an award today were currently under investigation.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to the Commission's question regarding the date the bids were first advertised and the reasons for the delays in this process, the Assistant County Manager noted the RFP was advertised in 2006, and a series of events caused the delays, including an audit, decertification and bid protests. She noted delays occurred during the process to no fault of the companies, which allowed for a graduation, and the firms went through the competitive process and were awarded. She noted the CAO and the County Manager staff devised a recommendation that would move this contract forward, since it had been ongoing for sometime.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Commission asked that this item be bifurcated to vote separately on the Tiers in the RFP with SBE set asides and questioned when the affiliates of SA were established. The DSBD Director noted, based on the eligibility review in October 2006, SA owned several other companies, and had exceeded the size standards. She noted she could not state how far back the affiliates were established, but staff conducted an analysis of the firms' gross receipts from 2001 to 2005, including affiliate revenues, and found that SA maintained its SBE certification based on those revenues.</i></li> <li>• <i>Responding to the Commission's question as to what the current SBE program rules were, the CAO explained that a firm graduating from the current SBE program would no longer be eligible to bid prospectively on subsequent contracts but would be allowed to finish out any existing ones. The CAO explained that bid waivers were being presented before the BCC today for awards involving SA because the one-year grace period had expired. The CAO noted that absent the bid waiver, SA would not be eligible for an award. The CAO further noted the ordinance in effect at the time of this procurement process/review, allowed for a one-year grace period upon graduation to bid on contracts, and the SBE program required the firm be SBE eligible at the time of bid.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Commission noted a process needed to be created that allowed more participation by minority firms and pointed out that when the ITB process was utilized, more minority firms participated.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to the Commission's request for clarification regarding the reason the bid waivers were before the BCC, the CAO explained that under the current and prior rules, a firm had to be certified as an SBE at the time of the bid. He noted the rules in effect when the bids were opened were the prior rules, which provided for a one-year grace period from time of graduating the SBE program, but had since been amended to now require a firm be SBE certified at the time of award. He clarified that staff would apply the rules that were in effect at the time of procurement, and the bid waivers were before the Board because the one-year time period had expired.</i></li> <li>• <i>The BCC proceeded to vote on the foregoing motion, and upon a roll call vote, the motion failed 7-4. Following an extensive discussion on how to appropriately award the RFPs, it was moved that the BCC</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																													
	<p><i>bifurcate the foregoing resolution and vote on Contract Nos. 487A and 487B separately. This motion was seconded by and passed by a unanimous vote of those members present</i></p> <table><tr><td colspan="2"><b>Upon bifurcating Agenda Item 801B in order to vote on Contract Nos. 487A and 487B separately, R-496-08 was adopted on May 6, 2008, as amended, to exclude Contract No. 487B; waive the bid protest process; and award the following contracts:</b></td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487A-1E, Tier 1</td><td>Feick Security Corp</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487A-2A, Tier 2</td><td>50 State Security Service, Inc (Sub: Feick Security Corporation)</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487A-2B, Tier 2</td><td>McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc (the sub: Security Alliance of Florida, LLC was to be replaced with another qualified SBE subcontractor)</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487A-3A, Tier 3</td><td>Barton Protect Services LLC d/b/a/Allied Barton Security Services</td></tr><tr><td>Contract 487A-1D, Tier 1</td><td rowspan="2">Selection processes were to be revisited with a revised recommendation for award</td></tr><tr><td>Contract 487A-3B, Tier 3</td></tr></table> <p>The County Manager was instructed to revisit the selection process and come back with a revised recommendation for the award of Contract 487A-1D, Tier 1 and Contract 487A-3B, Tier 3.</p> <p><b>On May 20, 2008, Resolution No. R-496-08, contract award to provide security guard and screening services, for and on behalf of Miami-Dade County, was reconsidered.</b></p> <p>During the BCC meeting on May 20, 2008, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>It was moved that the proposed resolution adopted as amended at the May 6, 2008, BCC meeting be reconsidered.</i></li><li>• <i>Responding to the Commission's inquiry regarding the impact of the Commission reconsidering this proposed resolution on Small Business Enterprises (SBE), the Director of the Department of Procurement Management, noted Contract 487B, Security Guard Services, did not have SBE measures.</i></li><li>• <i>Upon being put to a vote, the motion passed by a vote of 7-0. Upon the loss of a quorum, the Commission requested the County Mayor or his designee to present the proper recommendation for Contract 487B, Security Guard Services, at the June 3, 2008, County Commission meeting.</i></li></ul> <table><tr><td colspan="2"><b>On May 20, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496A-08, awarded the following contracts:</b></td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487B-1B</td><td>Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487B-1A</td><td>Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">R-496A-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1C and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1C.</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td colspan="2"><b>On June 3, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-656-08, awarded the following contracts:</b></td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487B-1B</td><td>Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services</td></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 487B-1C</td><td>Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">R-656-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1A and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1A.</td></tr></table> <p>During the BCC meeting on June 3, 2008, the following was discussed:</p>	<b>Upon bifurcating Agenda Item 801B in order to vote on Contract Nos. 487A and 487B separately, R-496-08 was adopted on May 6, 2008, as amended, to exclude Contract No. 487B; waive the bid protest process; and award the following contracts:</b>		Contract No. 487A-1E, Tier 1	Feick Security Corp	Contract No. 487A-2A, Tier 2	50 State Security Service, Inc (Sub: Feick Security Corporation)	Contract No. 487A-2B, Tier 2	McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc (the sub: Security Alliance of Florida, LLC was to be replaced with another qualified SBE subcontractor)	Contract No. 487A-3A, Tier 3	Barton Protect Services LLC d/b/a/Allied Barton Security Services	Contract 487A-1D, Tier 1	Selection processes were to be revisited with a revised recommendation for award	Contract 487A-3B, Tier 3	<b>On May 20, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496A-08, awarded the following contracts:</b>		Contract No. 487B-1B	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services	Contract No. 487B-1A	Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC	R-496A-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1C and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1C.		<b>On June 3, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-656-08, awarded the following contracts:</b>		Contract No. 487B-1B	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services	Contract No. 487B-1C	Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC	R-656-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1A and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1A.	
<b>Upon bifurcating Agenda Item 801B in order to vote on Contract Nos. 487A and 487B separately, R-496-08 was adopted on May 6, 2008, as amended, to exclude Contract No. 487B; waive the bid protest process; and award the following contracts:</b>																														
Contract No. 487A-1E, Tier 1	Feick Security Corp																													
Contract No. 487A-2A, Tier 2	50 State Security Service, Inc (Sub: Feick Security Corporation)																													
Contract No. 487A-2B, Tier 2	McRoberts Protective Agency, Inc (the sub: Security Alliance of Florida, LLC was to be replaced with another qualified SBE subcontractor)																													
Contract No. 487A-3A, Tier 3	Barton Protect Services LLC d/b/a/Allied Barton Security Services																													
Contract 487A-1D, Tier 1	Selection processes were to be revisited with a revised recommendation for award																													
Contract 487A-3B, Tier 3																														
<b>On May 20, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-496A-08, awarded the following contracts:</b>																														
Contract No. 487B-1B	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services																													
Contract No. 487B-1A	Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC																													
R-496A-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1C and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1C.																														
<b>On June 3, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-656-08, awarded the following contracts:</b>																														
Contract No. 487B-1B	Barton Protective Services LLC d/b/a AlliedBarton Security Services																													
Contract No. 487B-1C	Security Alliance Of Florida, LLC																													
R-656-08 further rejected all bids for Contract No. 487B-1A and directed the County Mayor or his designee to advertise a new solicitation for the award of Sector 1A.																														

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Commission noted the rationale for moving Security Alliance up from Sector 1A to 1C of the proposed security guard contract, in view of Security Alliance's expiration date and graduation from the Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Program, and unforeseen delays.</li> <li>• The Assistant County Manager explained that upon reviewing the numbers, Sector 1A had potentially more hours than Sector 1C, therefore, instead of rejecting and re-advertising that sector, the County Administration decided to move Security Alliance up one sector. She further explained that Allied Barton Security Services would receive the highest number of hours, Security Alliance would receive the second highest and the third sector would be rejected to be re-advertised pursuant to the proposal.</li> <li>• The Assistant County Manager clarified that the Commission proposed awarding Sector 1C to Security Alliance, and to reject and re-advertise Sector 1A.</li> <li>• Responding to the Commission's inquiry regarding the projected yearly amount of Sector 1C, the Assistant County Manager noted the estimated hours had not been negotiated, however, it was estimated that Sector 1C contained more hours than Sector 1A.</li> <li>• Responding to the Commission's inquiry whether any legal issues existed with re-advertising Sector 1A instead of Sector 1C, the CAO stated that rejecting one sector and re-advertising another sector was legal.</li> <li>• The Commission pointed out that the proposal was different from the County Manager's recommendation.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Contract No. RFP-487A-1D</u></b></p> <p>On October 23, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1132-08:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorized the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to award Contract No. 487A-1D to Delad Security, Inc. for security guard and screening services at Sector 1D; and</li> <li>• Waived the requirements of Section 2-8.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code, pertaining to bid protest procedures, by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the BCC members present.</li> </ul> <p>The contract awarded pursuant to R-1132-08 would be voidable in the event that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extreme Security requests a hearing before a hearing examiner to challenge the validity of the Manager's recommendation to award the contract to Delad Security, Inc. (Delad) within three (3) days following the effective date of this resolution; and</li> <li>• Following a hearing conducted in accordance with the processes set forth in Section 2-8.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Hearing Examiner appointed pursuant to that Section does not concur in the Manager's recommendation to award the contract to Delad.</li> </ul> <p>In the event that either of these conditions was not fulfilled, the contract with Delad was to remain in full effect in accordance with its terms. In the event that the Hearing Examiner did not concur in the Manager's recommendation to award to Delad, the County Manager was to bring the matter for consideration by the BCC together with the Hearing Examiner's recommendation.</p> <p>On January, 20, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-34-16, authorized a designated purchase under Contract Nos. RFP487A and RFP487B, Security Guard and Screening Services, for multiple County departments. Approval of a designated purchase was requested, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the Miami-Dade County Code, to authorize the extension of the current term of both contracts for up to 12 months and increase expenditure authority by a total of \$32,427,000 to ensure continuity of services while the long-term replacement contract is solicited and evaluated.</p>
<b>8H1 162279</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING IN AN AMOUNT OF \$137,582.34 BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES RELATED TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S PAYMENT FOR ITS SHARE OF COSTS FOR HORTICULTURE SERVICES OVER A FOUR YEAR PERIOD; AND AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR AND ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>	The proposed resolution approves a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the University of Florida's Board of Trustees (UF), through its Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS), in the amount not to



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>exceed \$137,582.34 to continue support for the Commercial Ornamental Horticulture Extension Agent (Extension Agent) position.</p> <p>This MOU provides that the Extension Agent is a 100 percent employee of the University of Florida. The term of the MOU is for four (4) years from the date both parties approve the MOU and contains a one-year advance notice termination clause.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The MOU is for four (4) years in an amount not to exceed \$137,582.34, consisting of \$32,885.90 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016-17; \$33,872.48 in FY 2017-18; \$34,888.65 in FY 2018-19; and \$35,935.31 in FY 2019-20. Funding for the Commercial Ornamental Horticulture Extension Agent is contained in the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department's (PROS) Cooperative Extension's adopted budget. Instead of paying the Extension Agent as a County employee, the County will pay UF/IFAS for the County's share of the position as a contractual service operating expense.</p> <p>The County will reimburse UF/IFAS, throughout the term of this contract, its 40 percent share of the Extension Agent's salary and fringe benefits, and reimbursement for use of a personal vehicle through quarterly payments instead of paying the Agent directly as a County employee.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Under State law and the Smith-Lever Act of 1914, the University of Florida is charged with the dissemination of information on agriculture, family life, horticulture, natural resources, Sea Grant, and youth development through its Cooperative Extension Service to the public in the State of Florida. The Cooperative Extension Service is a partnership between UF and IFAS, and each county in which it operates, commemorating 100 years of professional service to Miami-Dade County in 2014. Extension Agents are considered faculty of the University of Florida and deliver science-based information and educational programming directed to various clients, including the commercial agriculture and horticulture industries.</p>
<p><b>811 162348</b></p>	<p><b>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE REQUEST OF THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXPEND \$118,000.00 FROM THE MIAMI-DADE POLICE DEPARTMENT LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND FOR THE SUPPORT AND OPERATION OF JUVENILE DRUG COURT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO USE SUCH FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURES AS DESCRIBED HEREIN</b></p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee expenditure of \$118,000.00 from the Miami-Dade Police Department Law Enforcement Trust Fund to support the Juvenile Drug Court in accordance with the Report of Proposed Expenditures from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund (Report). The proposed resolution further authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to use such funds effective October 1, 2016 through the completion of the project.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> Miami-Dade County will not incur any costs. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies are awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department as a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and participation in federal asset sharing programs.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> In accordance with Florida Statutes Section 932.7055(5)(c), an agency or organization, other than the seizing agency, may apply to the sheriff for an appropriation from the Law Enforcement Trust Fund to support a permissible purpose. The Miami-Dade Police Department received a funding request from the Juvenile Drug Court. Operation of this court is an allowable expenditure under the law for the support or operation of any drug treatment, drug abuse education, or drug prevention program. The Juvenile Drug Court provides an array of services to juveniles who have been arrested and identified as having a substance use disorder. Program staff closely monitor and guide participants in achieving each level of the program to ultimately meet the requirements for successful completion.</p> <p>The Law Enforcement Trust Fund is comprised of three (3) different funding sources: the State civil forfeitures, governed by Florida Statutes Sections 932.701 through 932.706; U.S. Department of Justice Asset Sharing</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Program; and U.S. Treasury Asset Sharing Program. The Law Enforcement Trust Fund monies awarded to the Miami-Dade Police Department are a result of forfeiture litigation in State court and federal asset sharing programs. Federal assets are shared among participating law enforcement agencies based on the agencies' direct participation in task force investigations that result in the forfeiture of federally-seized assets. The Department's partnerships with federal agencies and the related task forces have been the subject of recent resolutions approved by the Board.</p> <p>The Law Enforcement Trust Fund project request is reviewed by personnel in the Fiscal Administration Bureau to ensure compliance with Law Enforcement Trust Fund requirements. The Police Legal Bureau reviews the requests for legal sufficiency and prepares the Report. The revenues and expenditures are documented in the County budget ordinance, the federal equitable sharing and certification report, and an annual audit to the County's Finance Department.</p>
<p><b>8K1 162307</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION RETROACTIVELY AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A FIRST AMENDMENT TO MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND MODELLO HOMES, LLC, A CONSULTING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND GORMAN &amp; COMPANY, INC. FOR THE FIRST BUILDING PHASE IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$705,667.00 TO BE PAID TO THE COUNTY FOR CONSULTING SERVICES, A GROUND LEASE BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND MODELLO HOMES, LLC WITH A RENTAL PAYMENT TO THE COUNTY TOTALING \$750.00; AND ADDITIONAL CONSULTING AGREEMENTS WITH GORMAN &amp; COMPANY, INC. OR THEIR ASSIGNEES IN TOTAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$411,333.00 TO BE PAID TO THE COUNTY FOR CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE REMAINING TWO PHASES OF THE DEVELOPMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, MODIFICATION, AMENDMENT AND ALL OTHER PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retroactively authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute the First Amendment to the Master Development Agreement (First Amendment) between Miami-Dade County (County) and Modello Homes, LLC (Modello Homes), in which a total amount of \$1,117,000.00 will be paid to the County by Gorman &amp; Company, Inc. (Gorman) after completion of all three (3) building phases;</li> <li>• Retroactively authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute a Consulting Agreement For the First Building Phase (Consulting Agreement) between the County and Gorman in the amount of \$705,667.00 to be paid to the County for consulting services on the First Building Phase;</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute additional consulting agreements with Gorman or their assignees to provide consulting services for the remaining two (2) phases of the development in a total amount of up to \$411,333.00 to be paid to the County;</li> <li>• Retroactively authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute a ground lease (Ground Lease) between the County and Modello Homes with a total rental payment of \$750.00 to be paid to the County in a lump sum payment; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to exercise all termination, modifications, amendments, and other provisions.</li> </ul> <p><i>Retroactive approval of the First Amendment, the Consulting Agreement, and the Ground Lease is required because the Department did not have sufficient time to bring these agreements to the BCC for approval.</i></p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact</u></b></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County. The County will receive payment from Gorman &amp; Company, Inc. totaling \$1,117,000.00 after completion of all three (3) building phases, which includes a reimbursement payment to the County of \$617,000.00 for the demolition of Modello by the County and an additional \$500,000.00.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>On July 14, 2011, Request for Proposals No. 794 was advertised to solicit offers from developers to maximize and expedite the development potential of over 100 existing public housing sites and vacant land sites administered by the Department. The solicitation sought to establish partnerships with qualified entities to rehabilitate/upgrade existing public housing units, remove and replace obsolete public housing units, increase the number of units on</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>underutilized sites, develop vacant land owned by the County, and also incorporate commercial and other special purpose uses, where appropriate, at particular public housing sites or vacant land sites. Additionally, the Department sought to replace its older units with new contemporary designs that resemble market-rate units (regardless of whether these are public housing, affordable or market-rate units) and incorporate creative and sustainable design solutions.</p> <p>On November 23, 2011, the BCC, pursuant to Resolution No. R-1026-11, awarded site control through ground leases to six (6) developers for 28 project sites including, but not limited to, Modello. Gorman was awarded the development rights for Modello. On April 8, 2014, the BCC, pursuant to Resolution No. R-330-14, also authorized the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to execute a Master Development Agreement (Agreement) with Gorman or their assignee for the demolition and construction of new public housing, project-based Section 8, and affordable housing units at Modello site, subject to approval from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).</p> <p>On April 30, 2015, the County and Modello Homes, Gorman's assignee, executed the Ground Lease, which required rental payments in the amount of \$617,000.00 to be made in three (3) installments upon the completion of construction of each of the three (3) building phases. Additionally, on February 10, 2016, the County and Modello Homes, executed the Master Development Agreement between the County and Modello Homes (the Agreement).</p> <p>On August 16, 2016, the County received final approval from HUD to move forward with the project. Upon receiving HUD's approval, the financial closing on the Housing Finance Authority of Miami-Dade County's loan in the amount of \$15,400,000.00, the County's Replacement Housing Factor (RHF) fund loan in the amount of \$5,070,852.00 (RHF Loan), other financing, and the HUD mixed-financed documents was scheduled for and closed on August 25, 2016. The RHF are Capital Fund Grants that are awarded by HUD to public housing authorities that have removed units from inventory for the sole purpose of developing new public housing units and public housing authorities are required by HUD to obligate and expend these funds by certain dates. The County has a total of \$5,107,411.44 available in RHF funds, of which \$4,289,979.44 must be obligated by September 12, 2016 and the remaining \$817,432.00 must be obligated by November 12, 2016. Therefore, in order to meet this deadline, the County provided Modello Homes with the RHF Loan and has obligated the remaining \$36,559.44 to another mixed-finance project, Smathers Plaza Phase 2, previously approved by the BCC.</p>
<p><b>8K2 162374</b></p>	<p><b>RESOLUTION APPROVING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT'S ADMISSION AND CONTINUED OCCUPANCY POLICY, PUBLIC HOUSING DWELLING LEASE, AND PUBLIC HOUSING COMMUNITY POLICIES; AUTHORIZING THE DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR TO MAKE ANY NECESSARY REVISIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY REGULATORY AND STATUTORY CHANGES, COURT ORDERS OR INTERNAL POLICY CHANGES</b></p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the following policies, procedures, and other related documents for Miami-Dade County's (County) Public Housing and Community Development (Department):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public Housing Admission and Continued Occupancy Policy (Policy);</li> <li>• Conventional Public Housing Dwelling Lease (Lease); and</li> <li>• Public Housing Community Policies (Community Policies).</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The approval of this item will not result in a fiscal impact to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The changes made to the Department's internal policies and procedures are intended to provide fair and greater opportunity for applicants and residents to participate in its housing programs. For example, the housing admission criteria are amended to reduce barriers for homeless and individuals with criminal records. The Policy provides for a pilot program for homeless persons upon referrals from homeless organizations, flexibility in assessing prior criminal history, and allows reinstatement of ex-offenders to reunite with their families.</p> <p>Another change noted in the Policy and the Lease addresses United States Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Office of Inspector General Audit Number 2015-PH-0002 regarding over-income families residing in public housing units. In response to that report, the Housing and Opportunity Through Modernization</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Act (HOTMA) of 2016 was signed into law on July 29, 2016, and includes Section 102 that addresses limitation on public housing tenancy for over-income families. Based on this section, the Department will adopt policies that allow for termination of leases for tenants who are 120 percent or more of the median income for the area. Exceptions are provided for families who participate in the Family Self-Sufficiency Program or families under any of the Earned Income Disallowance periods.</p> <p>The BCC approved the current Policy on October 21, 2014 through Resolution No. R-949-14.</p> <p>On May 7, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-357-13, approved the current Lease between the County and public housing residents. Additionally, on June 21, 2011, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-490-11, approved the current Community Policies. The Lease provides the due date for rent payment and the penalty for late payment, lists the authorized members of the household, establishes the Resident's Obligations, the County's Obligations, and the conditions for Termination of Lease.</p> <p><b>The Department has not included in the Policy, Lease and Community Policies, a smoke-free policy as required by Resolution No. R-1003-15. On July 6, 2016, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-582-16, which approved the County's Public Housing Agency Plan. At that time, the BCC amended that resolution to waive the requirements of Resolution No. R-1003-15 that the Policy, the Section 8 Administrative Plan, the Lease and the Community Policy be submitted with a smoke-free policy for the Board's approval by April 2016. The BCC amended Resolution No. R-582-16 to extend the date for submission of the smoke-free policy for the BCC's final approval no later than 18 months of the effective date of the final rule adopted by HUD pertaining to the implementation of smoke free policies by public housing agencies or such other date as determined by HUD. Although HUD announced the proposed rule on November 12, 2015, to date, HUD has not adopted the final rule.</b></p>
<p><b>8K3 162417</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A LOAN OF \$1,221,500.00 OF HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS TO LA JOYA ESTATES, LTD., OR RELATED ENTITY, FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE LA JOYA ESTATES AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT AND A LOAN OF \$180,517.52 OF HOME PROGRAM INCOME FUNDS TO CARRFOUR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, INC., OR RELATED ENTITY, FOR REHABILITATION OF THE HARDING VILLAGE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FY 2013-2017 CONSOLIDATED PLAN AND FY 2016 ACTION PLAN FILED WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR THE ALLOCATIONS OF HOME FUNDS IN THIS ITEM; AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF CONDITIONAL LOAN COMMITMENTS, AND OTHER AGREEMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES OF THIS RESOLUTION</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution amends the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan and FY 2016 Action Plan filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (U.S. HUD) in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allocate \$1,221,500.00 of Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) program income funds received through the prepayment of two HOME program loans, and authorize, in accordance with Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code, the loan of these program income funds to La Joya Estates, Ltd., or related entity, for the development of La Joya Estates, an affordable housing project;</li> <li>• Allocate \$180,517.52 of HOME program income funds to Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc. in the form of a loan for the rehabilitation of Harding Village, an affordable housing project; and</li> <li>• Execute all conditional loan commitments, standard shell contracts, standard shell loan documents, amendments and other agreements necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>This item allocates HOME program income funds and will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County's General Fund. The funding in this item consists of proceeds from previously issued County loans to Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd. and other HOME program income received by the County through its administration of the HOME program. Both of the loans to Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd. were prepaid in full in April 2016. These prepaid funds are recommended to be reloaned to La Joya Estates, Ltd., or a related entity, in the amount of \$1,221,500.00, as requested in a letter from Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>La Joya Estates-</b> A new construction, affordable housing, multi-family development located on the NE corner of SW 267 Street and SW 143 Avenue, Naranja, Florida, 33032. This loan involves the reloan of funds, which were prepaid by a developer on other County affordable housing loans. <i>The prepayment and reloan structure is permitted by Section 17-02 of the Miami-Dade County Code, which provides that any developer that repays its County loan in full before the date on which the loan is due in full may, upon approval of the BCC, have the repaid funds loaned to it, or a related entity, for additional eligible affordable housing projects without the need to compete again for those funds.</i></li> <li>• <b>Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd.-</b> received two (2) HOME program loans in the combined amount of \$1,221,500.00 for development of the Naranja Villas project (Resolution Nos. R-555-94 and R-137-96). Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd. prepaid those loans in full on April 12, 2016, more than a year before the maturity date. Naranja Affordable Housing, Ltd. requested in a letter dated July 27, 2015, to use the prepaid funds, which upon repayment became HOME program income, on La Joya Estates, a new affordable housing project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The La Joya Estates project will be subject to a full credit underwriting analysis, including subsidy layering review, and must receive a favorable recommendation from the underwriter and show written financing commitments for the total development costs, all prior to the financial closing of the loan approved herein for the release of loaned funds. The project includes a total of 100 units consisting of four (4) one-bedroom/one-bath units; 64 two-bedroom/two-bath units; and 32 three-bedroom/two-bath units in a mixture of garden-style and direct-entry units situated in five (5) three-story residential buildings. The project is part of the Comprehensive Development Master Plan known as the Naranja Community Urban Center. Of the 100 units, 96 units will be rented to households with incomes not greater than 60 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) and four (4) units will be rented to households with incomes not greater than 50 percent of AMI.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc. – Harding Village</b> at 8520 Harding Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, 33141. Harding Village is a 94-unit affordable housing project that has set-aside 22 units using HOME funds for households with incomes between 50–80 percent of AMI, per HOME regulations. The project will be subject to a full credit underwriting analysis, including subsidy layering review, and must receive a favorable recommendation from the underwriter and show written financing commitments for the total development costs, all prior to the financial closing of the loan approved herein for the release of loaned funds.</li> </ul>
<b>8K4 162540</b>	RESOLUTION ACCEPTING FOR PUBLICATION, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(K) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AN UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL BY ATLANTIC PACIFIC COMMUNITIES, LLC FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MIXED USE AND TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT AT QUAIL ROOST AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PUBLISH A SOLICITATION, TO RANK PROPOSALS AND NEGOTIATE A CONTRACT FOR THAT PURPOSE, SUBJECT TO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' APPROVAL OF ANY SUCH CONTRACT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution accepts for publication an unsolicited proposal to design and build a Transit-Oriented Development at Quail Roost (Quail Roost Transit Village) submitted by Atlantic Pacific Communities, LLC (APC) pursuant to Section 2-8.1(k) of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee, in accordance with Section 2-8.1(k) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, to publish a competitive solicitation for the purpose of selecting a developer to design and build Quail Roost Transit Village.</p> <p>The County Mayor or designee will be authorized to issue a competitive solicitation to select a developer to design and build the Quail Roost Transit Village and to rank the proposals received in connection with the competitive solicitation. In the event APC chooses not to respond to the competitive solicitation in terms not less favorable to the County than its original proposal, then the County Mayor designee will be authorized to rank APC's unsolicited proposal along with the other proposals received in connection with the competitive solicitation. After ranking, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will be authorized to negotiate a</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>contract in the order established in the competitive solicitation and bring back to the BCC an appropriate recommendation for award or rejection.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> There is no fiscal impact to the County related to general funds. The transit components for this project will be eligible to receive federal, state, and local surtax funding based on approval from the Federal Transit Administration. The successful applicant may compete for HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) or Documentary Surtax funding for the affordable housing components.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> APC is an affiliate of Atlantic Pacific Companies whose owners have developed or owned over 15,000 units of multi-family rental housing, including affordable and workforce housing. The APC development team includes three (3) minority firms with 30 percent equity ownership, per the requirements of Resolution No. R-1080-14 encouraging the development team of any entity applying for Documentary Surtax funds to reflect the diversity of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>On July 1, 2016, the County received an unsolicited proposal accompanied by the applicable processing fee from APC for the Quail Roost Transit Village development. APC's unsolicited proposal seeks the creation, through a ground lease and a development agreement, of a mixed-use, transit-oriented development consisting of approximately 350 units of affordable housing, a park-and-ride garage with 261 spaces exclusively for transit users, a covered walkway from garage to the existing busway shelter, a kiss-and-ride, and 10,000 square feet of retail/office space. The development would be constructed on approximately 8.4 acres of County-owned land located along the South Miami-Dade Busway between Quail Roost Drive and Eureka Drive in West Perrine.</p> <p>Through a letter dated September 26, 2016, counsel for APC requested that APC's unsolicited proposal be treated as confidential under the new exemption from disclosure for unsolicited proposals created by the State legislature and codified at Section 255.065 of the Florida Statutes. The County intends to abide by APC's request for confidentiality through the date of opening of responses to the competitive solicitation, so as not to place APC at a competitive disadvantage over other potential proposers. For that reason, the details of APC's unsolicited proposal have been omitted from this memorandum and will not be included in the RFP. Rather, for the County's project purpose, a general description of the terms and conditions that the County will consider, and the criteria for evaluation of the proposals, will be utilized in an effort to conduct a meaningful evaluation of the proposals. Under the ordinance, APC is allowed, but not required, to submit a proposal with terms not less favorable to the County than the original proposal.</p>
<p><b>8L1 162399</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION ACCEPTING "ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE" AND AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF APPROXIMATELY 603.79 ACRES OF SOUTH DADE WETLANDS PROJECT WITHIN THE ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS PROGRAM ACQUISITION SITE WITH THE NATURE CONSERVANCY AS ASSIGNOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AS ASSIGNEE AND BUYER, AND SHENANDOAH HOLDINGS, LLC AND CATHOLIC NEAR EAST WELFARE ASSOCIATION, INC. AS SELLERS FOR A PURCHASE PRICE OF \$2,237,000.00 USING BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS; AUTHORIZING THE USE OF ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS ACQUISITION TRUST FUNDS FOR THIS PURCHASE IN THE EVENT THAT BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM FUNDS ARE NOT AVAILABLE; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE ASSIGNMENT OF OPTION TO PURCHASE AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTIES, AND TO PERFORM ALL ACTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SUCH TRANSACTIONS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY THE INSTRUMENT OF CONVEYANCE AS REQUIRED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-974-09</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution accepts the Assignment of Option to Purchase as negotiated by The Nature Conservancy for the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute the Assignment of Option to Purchase.</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The parcels proposed for acquisition are located within the South Dade Wetlands EEL Project, an important wetland system in the southern part of the County. Land in this area is critical for acquisition because of its strategic location between two (2) national parks (Everglades National Park and Biscayne National Park) and within the watersheds of Florida Bay, Biscayne Bay and Card and Barnes Sounds. Preserving and maintaining these wetlands is important to protect against salt water intrusion of the Biscayne Aquifer. These wetlands are also important to the endangered and threatened species of the region. Acquiring this parcel is also consistent with the Sea Level Rise Task Force Recommendations for the continued strategic implementation of the EEL Program.</p> <p>All three (3) parcels are outside the Urban Development Boundary.</p> <p>Sellers: Shenandoah Holdings, LLC and Catholic Near East Welfare Association, Inc. Each entity owns 50 percent interest in the properties.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The appraised value of the 603.79 acres is \$3,517,000.00 and the negotiated purchase price is \$2,237,000.00. Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program funding under Project No. 2, Site #70230 will be used for this purchase. As of August 31, 2016, the remaining balance under the BBC-GOB Program for allocations towards EEL acquisitions is \$11,614,485.00.</p> <p>In the unlikely event that BBC-GOB Program funds are not available, the EEL Acquisition Trust Fund may be used to close on the property. As of August 31, 2016, the balance of the EEL Trust Fund (GF 080) is \$38,172,487.60, of which \$20,633,819.09 is reserved for acquisition and \$17,538,668.51 is reserved for management.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The historic loss, fragmentation and degradation of native wetland and forest communities in Miami-Dade County are well recognized. In May 1990, with the knowledge that remaining wetland and forest communities were endangered, the electorate of Miami-Dade County authorized the County to levy an ad valorem tax for two (2) years to create the EEL Program and Trust Fund. The purpose of the EEL Program is to acquire, preserve, enhance, restore, conserve and maintain environmentally-endangered lands for the benefit of present and future generations.</p>
<p><b>8L2 162400</b></p>	<p><b>RESOLUTION APPROVING A FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION OF UP TO \$300,000.00 FROM TD BANK TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR THE ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT THIS CONTRIBUTION</b></p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution accepts the financial contribution of up to \$300,000.00 from TD Bank through The Nature Conservancy for the Miami-Dade County Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program.</p> <p>A portion of the contribution (\$180,000.00) will reimburse the County's October 6, 2015 purchase of the two (2) remaining parcels in the Goulds Pineland Preserve that were purchased pursuant to Resolution No. R-589-15 with funds from the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program. The balance of the contribution (up to \$120,000.00) will be deposited into the EEL Management Trust Fund for the management of the Goulds Pineland Preserve.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The acceptance of this donation will have no fiscal impact, as no matching funds from the County are required.</p> <p>The \$180,000.00 portion of the contribution associated with the October 6, 2015 purchase will reimburse BBC-GOB Program Project No. 2, Site No. 70230. Because the original donation amount of \$300,000.00 may be reduced by approximately \$8,000.00 if TD Bank chooses to conduct a tree inventory assessment, the remaining amount of up to \$120,000.00 will be deposited into the EEL Management Trust Fund for management of the Goulds Pineland Preserve.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Since 2012, TD Bank Group has collaborated with The Nature Conservancy in a North American initiative focusing on protecting critical forest habitat, growing local forests and green spaces, and using resources</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>responsibly. The TD Forests Program has helped protect more than 25,000 acres of critical forests, such as the native pine rocklands in the Goulds Pineland Preserve, which the program has generously offered to support with their donation.</p> <p>The historic loss, fragmentation, and degradation of native wetland and forest communities in Miami-Dade County are well recognized. In May of 1990, with the knowledge that remaining wetland and forest communities were endangered, the electorate of Miami-Dade County authorized the County to levy an ad valorem tax for two (2) years to create the EEL Program and Trust Fund. The purpose of the EEL Program is to acquire, preserve, enhance, restore, conserve and maintain environmentally-endangered lands for the benefit of present and future generations.</p> <p>The Goulds Pineland Preserve, one of the original Rockridge Pinelands on the State of Florida's Conservation and Recreation Lands Program, is a high-quality pine rockland that is home to 135 native plant species, several of which are rare, threatened, and endangered. Several butterflies, birds, and reptiles also call Goulds Pineland home. Because of its location inside the Urban Development Boundary, this residential urban pineland is highly vulnerable to development. Pine Rocklands occur only in southern Miami-Dade County, the Florida Keys, and some islands of the Bahamas. The Goulds Pineland Preserve Acquisition Project was placed on the EEL Priority A Acquisition List by Resolution No. R-1069-91, as approved by the BCC on October 1, 1991.</p> <p>On June 30, 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-589-15, approving the "Contract for Sale and Purchase" of approximately 3.47 acres of Goulds Pineland Project within the EEL Program Miami Rockridge Pinelands Acquisition Site. The two (2) parcels were subsequently purchased on October 6, 2015 from the Morning Star Baptist Church with funds allocated to the EEL Program from the BBC-GOB Program. These parcels, consisting of 3.47 acres, are the last contiguous and adjacent portion of the Goulds Pineland Preserve and their acquisition increases the conservation of a globally-imperiled ecosystem in this important urban preserve and facilitates the land management efforts of the EEL Program.</p>
<p><b>8L5 162350</b></p> <p><b>801 162373</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO ARCADIS U.S., INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p> <p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN ACCESS AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND ARCADIS U.S., INC., ON BEHALF OF PEOPLES GAS SERVICE-TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY, FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING AND MONITORING ACTIVITIES ON COUNTY PROPERTY LOCATED AT 15500 BISCAYNE BOULEVARD, ALSO KNOWN BY FOLIO NUMBER 06-2221-007-0250, AT NO COST TO THE COUNTY FOR A TERM OF THREE (3) YEARS WITH ONE TWO-YEAR RENEWAL OPTION; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>8L5 – 162350:</b></p> <p>The proposed resolution awards a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to ARCADIS U.S., Inc. for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various county departments, Project No. E14-RER-03 in an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00 for a term of three years with two, one-year renewal options.</p> <p>This contract is a work order driven PSA for environmental professional services for cleanup and compliance related tasks. The tasks to be performed are not predetermined, but will be authorized based on specific requests for services from various Miami-Dade County departments. Based on previous contract usage, the majority of tasks will be related to assessment/remediation of contamination, with no associated asset.</p> <p>This is one (1) of five (5) E14-RER-03 PSAs that will provide the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources with an environmental consultant, having diverse and specialized expertise, available to perform environmentally related tasks required of County departments.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The cost of services will be charged to the particular projects of the County departments requiring professional services. The user departments will designate the funding source at the time the work order is issued. No work orders will be issued under the contract unless the user department identifies appropriate, budgeted funds.</p> <p>The five (5) PSAs will be approved at \$3,000,000.00 per agreement, plus a \$300,000.00 contingency allowance, if necessary.</p> <p><b><u>801 – 162373:</u></b></p> <p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to execute an Access Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County, through its Water and Sewer Department (WASD), and Arcadis U.S., Inc. (Arcadis), on behalf of Peoples Gas Service-Tampa Electric Company, in order to allow Arcadis and its agents to conduct contamination cleanup, environmental testing and monitoring activities on property owned by WASD located in North Miami Beach, Florida.</p> <p>This Agreement will authorize Arcadis to access and conduct contamination cleanup, environmental monitoring and testing activities on WASD-owned property located at 15500 Biscayne Boulevard, North Miami Beach, Florida, 33160.</p> <p>This Agreement is for a term of three (3) years with the option to extend for two (2) years upon written notification to WASD at least 30 days before the Agreement’s expiration date. The Agreement may be cancelled by either party at any time and for any reason with 30 days written notice.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County. Arcadis will, among other things, drill and install 21 treatment wells and the associated piping, remove the wells and the associated piping, and restore the property to its original condition at no cost to the County.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>Peoples Gas Service-Tampa Electric Company is currently working with the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, as part of an enforcement action, to remediate soil and groundwater contamination associated with a former manufactured gas plant in North Miami Beach.</p> <p>As part of the remediation effort for Peoples Gas Service-Tampa Electric Company, Arcadis U.S., Inc., an environmental consultant for Peoples Gas Service-Tampa Electric Company, has requested permission from WASD to access the Property in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill and install 21 treatment wells;</li> <li>• Construct the associated piping connecting the wells to treatment equipment;</li> <li>• Install a biosparage manifold cabinet;</li> <li>• Remove and legally dispose of soils and groundwater displaced during the installation of the wells and piping;</li> <li>• Monitor and sample data from the wells; and</li> <li>• Remove the monitoring equipment and restore the property to its original condition after all remediation efforts have been completed.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Contamination:</u></b></p> <p>According to WASD, the former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) began operation circa 1930. The MGP occupied approximately 24 acres, consisting of two noncontiguous parcels, separated by West Dixie Highway. The dismantling of the MGP production facilities began in the 1970s. At present, one (1) of the parcels is occupied by a natural gas distribution station and center, while the other parcel remains vacant.</p> <p>On April 9, 1987 a Consent Order was executed between Peoples Gas System, the FDEP and DERM addressing the cleanup of the contamination caused by the former MGP. Since that time, multiple assessments have been conducted to define the horizontal and vertical extent of the soil and groundwater contaminant plumes. Off-site contamination on WASD’s property was first documented in 2009.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	Contamination rehabilitation activities have included the excavation of contaminated soils, including residual coal tar (over 54,000 tons of soils), the placement of a 2 ft. cap of clean fill in to prevent exposure to contaminated soils, the extraction of contaminated water from excavations, and the approval (by DERM) of a biosparge/soil vapor extraction system, currently under construction.
<b>8L6 162351</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>8L7 162352</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO AMEC FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>8L8 162353</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO CHEROKEE ENTERPRISES, INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>8L9 162354</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO CB&I ENVIRONMENTAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000.00 AND FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS WITH TWO ONE-YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
<b>Notes</b>	<p><b><u>8L6 - 162351</u></b> The proposed resolution awards a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement (PSA) to AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various county departments, Project No. E14-RER-03 in an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00 for a term of three years with two, one-year renewal options.</p> <p><b><u>8L7 – 162352</u></b> The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement to Amec Foster Wheeler Environment &amp; Infrastructure, Inc., for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various county departments, Project No. E14-RER-03; in an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00 and for a term of three (3) years with two (2), one-year renewal options for a total term of up to five (5) years.</p> <p><b><u>8L8 – 162353</u></b> The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement to Cherokee Enterprises, Inc., for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various county departments, Project No. E14-RER-03; in an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00 and for a term of three (3) years with two (2), one-year renewal options for a total term of up to five (5) years.</p> <p><b><u>8L9 – 162354</u></b> The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement to CB&amp;I Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc., for environmental cleanup, compliance and related services for various</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>county departments, Project No. E14-RER-03; in an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000.00 and for a term of three (3) years with two (2), one-year renewal options for a total term of up to five (5) years.</p> <p>These contracts are work order driven PSAs for environmental professional services for cleanup and compliance related tasks. The tasks to be performed are not predetermined, but will be authorized based on specific requests for services from various Miami-Dade County departments. Based on previous contract usage, the majority of tasks will be related to assessment/remediation of contamination, with no associated asset.</p> <p>The five (5) E14-RER-03 PSAs will provide the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources with an environmental consultant, having diverse and specialized expertise, available to perform environmentally related tasks required of County departments.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b></p> <p>The cost of services will be charged to the particular projects of the County departments requiring professional services. The user departments will designate the funding source at the time the work order is issued. No work orders will be issued under the contract unless the user department identifies appropriate, budgeted funds.</p> <p>The five (5) PSAs will be approved at \$3,000,000.00 per agreement, plus a \$300,000.00 contingency allowance, if necessary.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee Meeting Discussion</u></b></p> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on November 10, 2016, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Committee requested clarification on the proposed resolutions.</i></li> <li>• <i>A representative from the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) explained that the proposed resolutions replace previous environmental cleanup contract for county-owned properties. He explained that the work would be distributed among the firms on an as needed basis. The contract amounts are based on prior history of usage, and may not all be used.</i></li> <li>• <i>The Assistant Director for the Internal Services Department explained that the firms would rotate starting with the highest ranked firm, then each of the award values for the specific work orders would go in order with the order of the rankings of the firms. This would be done in order to provide opportunities to each of the firms.</i></li> </ul>
<p><b>8N3 162243</b></p>	<p><b>RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS WITH 21 CONSULTING FIRMS TO PROVIDE SOILS, FOUNDATION AND GEOTECHNICAL TESTING SERVICES (PROJECT NO. E15-PWWM-08; CONTRACT NO. 20160209) AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF VARIOUS FUNDING SOURCES INCLUDING CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX AND BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS</b></p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Contract Award for Miscellaneous Professional Services Agreements (PSA) for Soils, Foundations and Geotechnical Testing Services- Project No: E15-PWWM-08; Contract No: 20160209 between Miami-Dade County (County) and 21 consulting firms.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Seven of the 21 consulting firms are local.</i></b></li> </ul> <p>County departments will utilize the services of these agreements when required for County projects. These services will be primarily utilized for Soils, Foundations and Geotechnical Testing Services necessary for the design and construction of funded projects. The agreements are for a three-3-year period. Upon expiration, a new contract will be advertised to establish a new pool.</p> <p>The work is assigned on a rotational basis, with the initial order determined by the amount of money awarded county-wide to each firm during the previous three (3) years. Once each firm has been issued a work order, the list is re-established based on the amount of money awarded to each firm, updated to include the work orders issued under this contract.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The cost of services will be charged to the particular project or activity requiring these services. The department requesting the services for the specific project will provide the funding source at the time a Work Order is issued. Work Orders will not be issued under this contract unless the specific user department identifies appropriate budgeted funds.</p> <p>Fiscal impact to the Charter County Transportation Surtax is estimated at approximately \$2 million over the period of this PSA. Charter County Transportation Surtax funds will only be used for consulting services related to projects in the Five Year Implementation Plan approved by the BCC.</p> <p><b>Solicitation Process</b> The Request to Advertise was approved and filed with the Clerk of the Board on November 19, 2015, and on February 2, 2016 the solicitation to provide Soils, Foundations and Geotechnical Testing Services was advertised. The pre-submittal meeting and the project briefing took place on February 8, 2016.</p> <p>Negotiations with the firms commenced and concluded on June 3, 2016 and resulted in a four (4) percent increase over the previous contract fees (E12-PWWM-02).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>According to Department of Transportation and Public Works staff, these contracts are funded through the projects that use its services, and have many funding sources including GOB, PTP and Surtax funds. The total amount awarded on the previous contract pool was \$7,399,516.90.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Previous Contract</u></b> On July 2, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-572-13, approved an award for Miscellaneous Professional Services Agreements (PSA) for Soils, Foundations and Geotechnical Testing Services- Project No: E12-PWWM-02; Contract No: 20120068 between Miami-Dade County and 27 consulting firms.</p> <p>The fiscal impact to the Charter County Transportation Surtax was estimated at approximately \$2 million over the agreement period of this PSA.</p>
<p><b>8N4 162247</b></p>	<p><b>RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT AWARD FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS WITH 36 CONSULTING FIRMS TO PROVIDE GENERAL LAND AND ENGINEERING SURVEYING SERVICES (PROJECT NO. E15-PWWM-07; CONTRACT NO. 20160196) AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF VARIOUS FUNDING SOURCES INCLUDING CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX AND BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS</b></p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the Contract Award for Miscellaneous Professional Services Agreements (PSA) for General Land and Engineering Surveying Services - Project No: E15-PWWM-07; Contract No: 20160196 between Miami-Dade County (County) and 36 consulting firms.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>17 consulting firms are local.</i></li> </ul> <p>County departments will utilize the services of these agreements when required for County projects. These services will be primarily utilized for General Land and Engineering Surveying Services necessary for the design and construction of funded projects. The agreements are for a three-year period. Upon expiration, a new contract will be advertised to establish a new pool.</p> <p>The work is assigned on a rotational basis, with the initial order determined by the amount of money awarded countywide to each firm during the previous three (3) years. Once each firm has been issued a work order, the list is re-established based on the amount of money awarded to each firm, updated to include the work orders issued under this contract.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u></b> The cost of services will be charged to the particular project or activity requiring these services. The Department requesting the services for the specific project will provide the funding source at the time a Work Order is issued. Work Orders will not be issued under this contract unless the specific user Department identifies appropriate budgeted funds.</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Fiscal impact to the Charter County Transportation Surtax is estimated at approximately \$2 million over the period of this PSA. Charter County Transportation Surtax funds will only be used for consulting services related to projects in the Five year Implementation Plan approved by the BCC.</p> <p><b>Solicitation Process</b> The request to advertise was approved and filed with the Clerk of the Board on November 19, 2015, and on February 1, 2016 the solicitation to provide General Land and Engineering Surveying Services was advertised. The pre-submittal meeting and the project briefing took place on February 8, 2016.</p> <p>Negotiations with the firms commenced and concluded on June 10, 2016 and the results were as follows: for all four (4) categories, a four (4) percent increase over the previous contract rates (E12-PWWM-01) will be effective for the first contract year; on the first anniversary of the contract, a two (2) percent increase will become effective for all four (4) technical categories; and no further increases were negotiated for the third contract year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>According to DTPW staff, the total amount for the previous contract \$3,845,223.69.</i></li> </ul>
<p><b>8N5 162262</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF DORAL FOR THE PROVISION OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) for Public Transportation Services between Miami-Dade County (County), through the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW), and the City of Doral (City) for the operation of public transportation service in and around the City.</p> <p>The Agreement allows the City to provide public transportation services in accordance with Chapter 31, Article III, and Section 31-113 of the County Code, which allows municipalities to operate Public Transportation Services in accordance with Interlocal Agreements with the County.</p> <p>Key provisions of this Agreement include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The City will adhere to all County, federal, state and local transit operating and reporting requirements;</li> <li>• This Agreement will remain in force for five years and is subject to two (2), five-year automatic renewal. Each party has the right to terminate for cause or without cause;</li> <li>• DTPW and the City will work collaboratively to exchange route and schedule information for the benefit of riders;</li> <li>• Route 1 (Blue) will operate Monday through Friday from 5:58 AM to 9:48 PM. On Saturday, the service will operate from 7:00 AM to 7:24 PM. On Sunday, the service will operate between 7:00 AM to 6:54 PM;</li> <li>• Route 2 (Green) will operate Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 8:03 PM;</li> <li>• Route 3 (Yellow) will operate Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 9:13 PM. On Saturday, the service will operate from 7:00 AM to 7:11 PM;</li> <li>• Some of the bus stops include the following locations: Downtown Doral Park, Doral Government Center, Palmetto Metrorail Station, Doral Middle School, Ronald Reagan High School, and Miami Dade College West Campus; and</li> <li>• The City is responsible for bus stop passenger amenities such as bus shelters and benches at all bus stops served by the proposed route.</li> </ul> <p>The City will operate the service at no cost to patrons. In the case where the City may charge a fare, similar to other agreements for service, the Agreement requires the City to enact a fare structure to include the acceptance of all DTPW passes, transfers, or identification entitling an eligible passenger to ride the service without paying an additional fare (i.e., Patriot Passport and Golden Passport) or for half fare (i.e. kindergarten - 12th grade students).</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact</u></b> There is no fiscal impact to the County. The City will be responsible for all operating and maintenance costs of the service. The Doral Trolley Circulator is a fare-free service.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The City of Doral is currently served by several of the County's bus routes. The Doral Trolley Circulator began as a pilot project in February 2008. On August 10, 2016, the City of Doral's Council adopted Resolution No. 16-167 approving an Interlocal Agreement with Miami-Dade County relating to the Public Transportation Service. The objective of the agreement is to reduce traffic congestion on City roadways while enhancing connections with Miami-Dade Transit Services.</p> <p><i>According to Department of Transportation and Public Works staff, the proposed resolution will not impact County bus routes.</i></p>
<p><b>8N6 162264</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, AND FLORIDA EAST COAST RAILWAY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AT WEST 1 AVENUE IN THE VICINITY OF WEST 21 STREET</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Tri-Party Agreement between Miami-Dade County (County), the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and Florida East Coast Railway (FEC) for the installation of railroad grade crossing traffic control devices at West 1 Avenue in the vicinity of West 21 Street.</p> <p>This Tri-Party Agreement is for one (1) railroad crossing within the City of Hialeah.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b>  The County will be responsible for yearly maintenance fees for the crossing protective devices in the amount of \$2,260.00, which is 50 percent of the total maintenance fee. FEC will cover the other 50 percent, as stipulated by the cost sharing policy. FEC will install all the necessary facilities and FDOT will pay for the installation costs. The County is not responsible for installation costs. The funding source to be used is Secondary Gas Tax.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b>  The yearly safety diagnostic review, coordinated by FDOT, revealed the need for crossing protective devices at the railroad crossing at West 1 Avenue in the vicinity of West 21 Street. The cost sharing policy was approved by The BCC on October 5, 1976 under Resolution No. R-1090-76, which stipulates that the "County may participate in the cost of maintaining grade crossing protection devices in the amount of 50 percent of the cost." Additionally, Resolution No. R-824-78, adopted on July 18, 1978, approved the cost sharing policy for maintenance of railroad crossing protective devices installed within municipality maintained roadways.</p>
<p><b>8N7 162304</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$715,299.60 TO AUM CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED "SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOLS LOCATIONS, PHASE 10"; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.2.7.01 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-53; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute a contract in the amount of \$715,299.60 to AUM Construction, Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project entitled "Safe Routes to Schools Locations, Phase 10" (Project MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08, RPQ No. 20150134);</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to exercise all provisions of the contract pursuant to section 2-8.2.7.01 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-53; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such purposes.</li> </ul> <p>The work to be performed under this Contract includes construction of safety related infrastructure improvements at the following Schools in Miami-Dade County:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phyllis Ruth Miller Elementary (840 NE 87 Street, Miami, FL)</li> <li>• North Hialeah Elementary (4251 E 5 Avenue, Hialeah, FL)</li> <li>• Oak Grove Elementary (15640 NE 8 Avenue, North Miami Beach, FL)</li> <li>• Natural Bridge Elementary (1650 NE 141 Street, North Miami, FL)</li> <li>• Linda Lentin K-8 Center (14312 NE 2 Court, North Miami, FL)</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b>  The fiscal impact of this contract award will be approximately \$715,299.60. The base contract amount is \$636,636.00, exclusive of contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance. The funding is provided by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) through the Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) Program.</p> <p>A Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County and FDOT was approved under Resolution No. R-665-13 and a Supplemental Agreement was approved under Resolution No. R-600-16 to increase the funding allocation. The LAP and Supplemental Agreements provide the County, with up to \$738,136.00 in SRTS funds to reimburse the County, for the construction of safety-related infrastructure improvements at five (5) schools located in Miami-Dade County. This total does not include a contingency for unforeseen conditions.</p>
<p><b>8N8 162347</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$588,507.54 TO MAGGOLC, INC. FOR THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECT ENTITLED "SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOLS LOCATIONS, PHASE 11"; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.2.7.01 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-53; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute a contract in the amount of \$588,507.54 to Maggolc, Inc. for the People's Transportation Plan Project entitled "Safe Routes to Schools Locations, Phase 11" (Project MCC 7360 Plan – CICC 7360-0/08, RPQ No. 20150148);</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to exercise all provisions of the contract pursuant to section 2-8.2.7.01 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-53; and</li> <li>• Authorizes the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds for such purposes.</li> </ul> <p>The work to be performed under this Contract includes construction of safety related infrastructure improvements at the following Schools in Miami-Dade County:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Jesse J. McCrary Jr. Elementary (514 NW 77 Street, Miami, FL)</li> <li>• Toussaint L'oeuvre Elementary (120 NE 59 Street, Miami, FL)</li> <li>• Kensington Park Elementary (711 NW 30 Avenue, Miami, FL)</li> <li>• Santa Clara Elementary (1051 NW 29 Terr, Miami, FL)</li> <li>• Phyllis Ruth Wheatley Elementary (1801 NW 1 Place, Miami, FL)</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b>  The fiscal impact of this contract award will be approximately \$588,507.54. The base contract amount is \$520,461.40, exclusive of contingency and dedicated allowance amounts. There is no fiscal impact to operations or maintenance. The funding is provided by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) through the Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) Program.</p> <p>A Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement between Miami-Dade County and FDOT was approved under Resolution No. R-667-13 and a Supplemental Agreement was approved under Resolution No. R-601-16 to increase the funding allocation. The LAP and Supplemental Agreements provide the County, with up to \$612,342.00 in SRTS funds to reimburse the County, for the construction of safety related infrastructure improvements at five (5) schools located in Miami-Dade County. This total does not include a contingency for unforeseen conditions.</p>
<p><b>8N9 162349</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE MANAGEMENT, MAINTENANCE, OPERATION, AND LEASING OF THE MULTI-MODAL FACILITY AT THE GOLDEN GLADES INTERCHANGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM ATTACHED HERETO AND EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the execution of a five-year agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for the management, maintenance, operation, and leasing of the Multi-Modal Facility (Facility) located at the Golden Glades Interchange.</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The annual operating and maintenance (O&amp;M) costs for the existing facility is \$160,000.00 and are already included in the County Operating Budget. Starting in early 2020, the annual O&amp;M costs will increase to \$860,000.00. This increase is proportional to the expansion and improvements proposed for the Facility. The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) anticipates entering into agreements with other transportation agencies and private entities to generate sufficient revenue to offset the additional O&amp;M costs.</p> <p>DTPW is also authorized to enter into sub-agreements with private, non-transportation entities for among other things, advertisements, permits, and rent of retail space. Together, the sub-agreements and other activities may generate collateral revenue, which will go toward offsetting the County's operating costs.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The Facility is owned by FDOT and for the past 30 years, agreements between the County and FDOT have allowed the County to use the Facility as a surface park-and-ride for transit riders, and as a major Metrobus connection in the northern part of the County. FDOT is now proposing to reconstruct and modernize the Facility to improve public transportation in the region.</p> <p>The modernization and construction of the Facility consists of building a multi-story 920-space parking garage; 768 surface parking spaces; 20 bus bays; approximately 10,500 square feet of retail space available for leasing; a 4,500 square foot transit hub equipped with Wi-Fi, ticket vending machines, kiosks, and other customer service amenities; a break lounge for transit operators; bike lockers; improved pedestrian walkways and waiting areas; a kiss-and-ride area; improved lighting; and lush landscaping. The project completion date is scheduled for December 2019.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>According to DTPW staff, the project schedule is as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>The RFP development for Design/Build is on-going</i></li> <li>○ <i>The RFP Advertisement is scheduled for July 2017</i></li> <li>○ <i>The award of the contract is scheduled for January 2018</i></li> <li>○ <i>The construction completion/facility opening is scheduled for December 2019</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>DTPW staff also states that the operating and maintenance cost increase will begin once the facility opens. If the project completion date changes, then the date of the O&amp;M cost increase changes accordingly.</i></li> </ul>
<b>8N10 162560</b>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF HIALEAH TO PROVIDE THE CITY OF HIALEAH WITH FUNDING IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$4,289,298.00 FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ALONG NW 97 AVENUE FROM NW 154 STREET TO NW 170 STREET; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREIN</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of a Joint Participation Agreement (JPA) between Miami-Dade County (County) and the City of Hialeah (City) to reimburse the City up to \$4,289,298.00 for the design and construction of a road improvement project along NW 97 Avenue from NW 154 Street to NW 170 Street (Project).</p> <p><b><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></b> The County will provide up to \$4,289,298.00 from Road Impact Fee District 3 funds.</p> <p>The County will utilize the resources of the City to design, contract, and construct the Project on a reimbursable basis. Whenever County funds are used, the City agrees to comply with applicable County regulations, including but not limited to, the Small Business Enterprise Goods and Services Program, the Small Business Enterprise Architecture and Engineering Program, the Small Business Enterprise Construction Services Program, the Community Workforce Program (CWP), the Resident First Training and Employment Program (RFTE), and the Responsible Wages and Benefits Ordinance (Ordinance No. 90-143).</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The work covered under this JPA has been reviewed by the County’s Small Business Development Division. A Contract Measure Recommendation of 25.00 percent Small Business Enterprise (SBE)- Architectural and Engineering, 1.18 percent SBE-Goods and Services, and 4.61 percent SBE-Construction were established.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> DTPW has been working with the City to expedite the construction of NW 97 Avenue from NW 154 Street to NW 170 Street to address future traffic capacity needs. The Project includes widening NW 97 Avenue from NW 154 Street to NW 170 Street from two (2) to five (5) lanes along the west side of NW 97 Avenue.</p> <p>The execution of this JPA by the County is contingent on the City Council’s approval of the JPA. The City will implement a Public Involvement Plan (PIP) to provide information to property owners, tenants, and area residents for major work to be performed in the area.</p>
<p><b>11A1 162466</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SUBMIT AN AMENDMENT TO THE COUNTY’S DISPOSITION APPLICATION FOR ELIZABETH VIRRICK I PUBLIC HOUSING SITE TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PERMITTING THE COUNTY TO COMPETITIVELY SELECT A DEVELOPER TO CONSTRUCT AN ELDERLY, AFFORDABLE, MIXED-INCOME, AND MIXED-FINANCE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON SUCH SITE AND TO PERMIT THE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO A LONG TERM GROUND LEASE WITH THE SELECTED DEVELOPER; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION CONTRACT AND TO EXERCISE AMENDMENT, MODIFICATION, CANCELLATION AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to submit an amendment to the County’s disposition application for Elizabeth Virrick I to HUD to accomplish the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To permit the County to competitively select a developer to construct an elderly, affordable, mixed-income, and mixed-finance housing development on the Elizabeth Virrick I site;</li> <li>• To permit the County to enter into a long term ground lease with the selected developer, subject to the BCC’s approval; and</li> <li>• Execute an amendment to annual contributions contract, if required by HUD, and to exercise amendments, modifications, cancellation, and termination provisions.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> On December 13, 2005, pursuant to Resolution No. R-998-05, the County submitted a demolition and disposition application to HUD to seek approval from HUD to lease both Elizabeth Virrick I and Elizabeth Virrick II to MDHA Development Corporation, an entity that was created by the County, to effect the demolition, construction and subsequent operation of a housing development for very low, low and moderate income individuals.</p> <p>On July 25, 2006, HUD issued a letter to the County approving the County’s disposition application and the buildings on the Elizabeth Virrick I and Elizabeth Virrick II sites were subsequently demolished.</p> <p>On January 1, 2010, pursuant to Resolution No. R-26-10, amended Resolution No. R-998-05 to (1) remove all references requiring the County to dispose of the Elizabeth Virrick I and II; and (2) remove the requirement that the County lease the two sites to MDHA Development Corporation.</p>
<p><b>11A2 162487</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 4-111, FEE SCHEDULE FOR REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES (PLANNING, ZONING, AND PLATTING SERVICES), TO PROVIDE FOR FEES FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR IMPACT FEE REDUCTION FOR PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT [SEE 162296]</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the amendment of Miami-Dade County Implementing Order No. 4-111, Fee Schedule for Regulatory and Economic Resources (Planning, Zoning and Platting Services) to provide for fees for review of applications for impact fee reduction for pedestrian-oriented developments as provided in Section 33E-8 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information on Proposed Resolution</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The proposed resolution will amend Implementing Order 4-111 as follows<sup>3</sup>:</p> <p>Section VI. Zoning Application Fees</p> <p>R. ADMINISTRATIVE SITE PLAN REVIEW FEE (COMMERCIAL AND MIXED USE)</p> <p>1) For every application for an administrative review of site plans for commercial use where such site plans do not require approval at a public hearing, there shall be paid for the processing of each and every application, a minimum of \$2,201.63.</p> <p>The exact amount of each and every administrative site plan review fee is established by the addition of the following fees: \$880.65 per 10 acres or portion thereof, and \$293.55 per 5,000 sq. ft. or portion thereof. For mixed use applications with residential units, there shall be the addition of the following fee: \$366.94 per 15 units or portion thereof.</p> <p>Submittal of the first revised plan will be processed at no additional cost to the applicant. Subsequent revisions will be processed at an additional charge of \$880.65 per revised plan and shall be paid in total at the time of submittal.</p> <p>2) <b>For every application for an administrative review of site plans for an initial determination in connection with a pedestrian-oriented development road impact fee reduction, as provided in Section 33E-8 of the County Code, there shall be a fee paid for processing each of and every application of \$1,500.00.</b></p> <p>V. OTHER PROCESSING AND RESEARCH FEES</p> <p>4) For Zoning History Research on Subject Property, Zoning District verification, applicable Zoning Code Section, <b>or verification of pedestrian-oriented development determinations for road impact fee reduction purposes pursuant to Section 33E-8</b>, there shall be paid a fee of \$220.16</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> On October 5, 2016, the BCC accepted the Report on the Study of Road Impact Fee for Pedestrian Oriented Mixed-Use Developments, which was prepared in accordance with Resolution No. R-772-14, and which supported a 14.1 percent reduction in impact fees based on the rate at which these types of development internalize and reduce traffic impacts.</p>
<p><b>11A3 162646</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO MONITOR THE SEISMIC ACTIVITY GENERATED BY ROCK MINES IN NORTHWEST MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, TO VERIFY WHETHER SUCH ACTIVITY IS CONSISTENT WITH REGULATIONS AND PERMITS ISSUED BY THE STATE FIRE MARSHAL FOR THOSE USES, AND TO PROVIDE A REPORT TO THE BOARD WITH THE FINDINGS OF ITS INDEPENDENT VIBRATION MONITORING [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 162488]</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitor the seismic activity generated by rockmines in Northwest Miami-Dade County to analyze the seismographic data to verify whether such activity is consistent with regulations and permits issued by the State Fire Marshal for those uses; and</li> <li>• Provide a report to the BCC of the findings of its violation monitoring. All vibration monitoring will be made by an independent seismologist with at least five years' demonstrable experience in the installation and operation of seismographic equipment and evaluation of seismographic data. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution, the completed report will be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.miamidade.gov/aopdfdoc/aopdf/pdffiles/IO4-111.pdf>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, the proposed resolution was amended to narrow the request from a broad study with recommendations for future action to a direction to monitor seismic activity and to verify that the activity is consistent with state permits, and to conform the title to the narrowed request.</b></p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b>  Rockmining is an important industry in Miami-Dade, but it involves blasting that can impact residential properties in surrounding areas. Residents in the northwest area of the County have expressed concern that blasting associated with rockmining activities has created vibrations and other seismic effects that have damaged their homes, among other impacts.</p> <p>Section 552.30 of the Florida Statutes gives the State Fire Marshal, not the County, the authority to regulate the use of explosives for rockmining activity.</p>
<b>11A6 162493</b>	<b>RESOLUTION SETTING POLICY FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY REQUIRING QUALIFIED TARGET INDUSTRY BUSINESSES TO FILL NOT LESS THAN 20 PERCENT OF THE JOBS CREATED ON A PROJECT WITH RESIDENTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AS A CONDITION OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ADOPTING A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT THE PROJECT BE APPROVED AS A QUALIFIED TARGET INDUSTRY BUSINESS</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution sets policy requiring that Qualified Target Industry businesses fill not less than 20 percent of the jobs created on a project with residents of Miami-Dade County as a condition of the BCC adopting a resolution recommending that the project be approved as a qualified target industry business.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information- Qualified Target Industry (QTI) Tax Refund<sup>4</sup></u></b>  The State of Florida incentive is available for companies that create high wage jobs in targeted high value-added industries and may provide the company with a tax refund of up to \$3,000 per new job created. This program is funded by the State of Florida (80%) and Miami-Dade County (20%).</p> <p>The QTI program requires the creation of at least 10 new fulltime jobs at salary levels equal to or exceeding 115% of the State annual average wage, or \$41,516. For businesses paying 150% of the State average annual wage, add \$1,000 per job; for 200% of the State average annual wage, add \$2,000 per job. For companies that locate or expand within any of Miami-Dade County's Enterprise Zones, this incentive is increased up to \$6,000 per new job created, and the minimum salary requirement may be waived.</p>
<b>11A7 162506</b>	<b>RESOLUTION COMMENDING THE MILITARY AFFAIRS BOARD ON ITS SUCCESS IN ADVOCATING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AND SECURING FUNDING FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A VETERANS COURT IN THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND ENCOURAGING THE MILITARY AFFAIRS BOARD TO CONTINUE ITS GOOD WORK WITH THE VETERANS COURT, AS WELL AS IN OTHER AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY IDENTIFIED IN ORDINANCE NO. 12-37; EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH A VETERANS COURT; AND URGING THE GOVERNOR AND FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT'S VETERANS COURT</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commends the Military Affairs Board on its success in advocating for the establishment of and securing funding for the implementation of the Veterans Court within the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida and encourages the Military Affairs Board to continue its good work with the Veterans Court;</li> <li>• Expresses its support for the establishment of a Veterans Court within the Eleventh Judicial Circuit;</li> <li>• Urges the Governor and Florida Legislature to provide funding for the Eleventh Judicial Circuit's Veterans Court; and</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation, and the Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit.</li> </ul>

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.miamidade.gov/business/qualified-target-industry-tax-refund.asp>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>On May 15, 2012, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 12-37 creating the Military Affairs Board and charging the Military Affairs Board with the responsibility to advocate on behalf of military personnel in Miami-Dade County regarding incarceration among other things. The Military Affairs Board, working cooperatively with federal and state partners, initiated and undertook efforts to establish a Veterans Court in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County (Veterans Court). These federal and state partners included the United States Department of Veterans Affairs, Miami Veterans Health Administration; the Eleventh Judicial Circuit; and the South Florida Behavioral Health Network. The Veterans Court would be modeled after similar courts throughout the nation and would endeavor to provide specialized services tailored to meet veterans' unique needs, including veteran-on-veteran mentoring, all with the objective of assisting veterans encountering the criminal justice system with their healthy reintegration into civilian life, reduced likelihood of recidivism, and receipt of proper mental health care.</p> <p>On September 3, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-774-14 which resulted in the redesign of the arrest forms used by law enforcement throughout Miami-Dade County to identify veterans at the time of arrest. The Military Affairs Board and the BCC also have taken steps to ensure that veterans who are not identified at the time of arrest are identified at the time of booking into the jail, and provided with literature informing them of the benefits available to incarcerated veterans under applicable laws, which this Board adopted on September 3, 2014, through Resolution No. R-773-14. In addition to undertaking efforts to ensure that veterans are identified at the time of arrest or booking, the Military Affairs Board has also endeavored to ensure that the Veterans Court is modeled after the most successful programs in the nation by observing the functioning of those programs and engaging in dialogue with those officials who organize and manage the programs.</p> <p>Through these information exchanges, the Military Affairs Board has determined that a mentorship program, designed to work hand-in-hand with the Veterans Court, was crucial to the Veterans Court's success and, as such, the Military Affairs Board used its broad network within the military community to recruit, and encourage other entities to recruit, volunteers to serve as veteran mentors. The Military Affairs Board has also lent substantial funding support to the creation of the Veterans Court, by committing \$75,000 raised through fundraising efforts to be used as the matching component for a grant from the United States Department of Justice, under the authority the Military Affairs Board was granted pursuant to Ordinance No. 12-37.</p> <p>On September 21, 2016, the County was notified that the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, had approved a \$350,000 grant for the establishment of the Veterans Court. During the 2016 session, the Florida Legislature appropriated \$2,437,032 in state funds to veterans' courts in 13 counties elsewhere in Florida.</p>
<p><b>11A8 162484</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION ALLOCATING FUNDING IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$3,328,000.00, IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX FUNDS TO TACOLCY TUSCANY COVE I, LLC FOR THE TUSCANY COVE I HOUSING PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO AWARD SUCH FUNDS, TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS, SHELL CONTRACTS, LOAN DOCUMENTS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PURPOSES SET FORTH IN THIS RESOLUTION, AND TO EXERCISE TERMINATION, WAIVER, ACCELERATION AND OTHER PROVISIONS SET FORTH THEREIN</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allocates funding in an amount up to \$3,328,000.00 in Fiscal Year 2015 Documentary Stamp Surtax (Surtax) funds associated with Fiscal Year 2015 Request for Applications (RFA) to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC, for its Tuscany Cove I affordable housing development;</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute all standard shell contracts, amendments, standard shell loan documents and other agreements necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution and to exercise the cancellation and other provisions. <i>All funding awards are contingent upon availability of funding from the funding source or program;</i> and</li> <li>• Authorizes the County Mayor or designee, upon a determination that such actions are in the best interest of the County, to subordinate and/or modify the terms of contracts, agreements, amendments and loan documents so long as such modifications are approved by the County Attorney's Office and are not substantially inconsistent with this resolution and to exercise the termination, waiver, acceleration, or other provisions.</li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The FY 2015 RFA was made available on May 27, 2015 and applications were due by June 29, 2015. All proposals were reviewed and scored by an Evaluation/Selection Committee and the FY 2015 Surtax RFA prioritized the Liberty City area for multi-family rental housing, homeownership acquisition rehabilitation, and small developments.</p> <p>Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC (Developer) applied for funds through the RFA process for funding for its Tuscany Cove I, a 160-unit, new construction multi-family and elderly affordable rental housing development (Project).</p> <p>The Project scored 108 points out of a possible 115 points and based on the Project's score, the Evaluation/Selection Committee recommended that the Project be funded at the level proposed in this resolution. The Project has undergone a full credit underwriting review and has a positive recommendation of financial feasibility conducted by First Housing Development Corporation of Florida located in Tampa, Florida and a due diligence review, as required by Resolution No. R-630-13, was also conducted by the Department of Public Housing and Community Development (PHCD) and PHCD's due diligence review found no negative information concerning the recommended entity.</p> <p>The Developer has informed the County that if the award of the County's Surtax funds is not approved by the BCC by December 2016, the Developer will lose its State Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC) funding commitments of \$2,524,999.00 and \$1,200,00.00. The County Mayor's recommendations for award of the Surtax Fiscal Year 2015 Request for Applications will not come before the BCC in time to meet the deadline to prevent the Developer from losing its FHFC funding commitments.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>On May 17, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-420-16, provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waived the requirement in the Administrative Rules that the maximum aggregate amount of Project 320 allocations to each Targeted Urban Area be capped at \$3,000,000.00, for Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC with regard to the Project; and</li> <li>• Approved an allocation of up to \$2,000,000.00 from Project 320 funds to Tacolcy Tuscany Cove I, LLC, <i>subject to the future consideration by the BCC of a Grant Agreement between the County and Tuscany Cove and the Recaptured Funds becoming available for re-allocation.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>The County will reallocate Recaptured Funds to projects in the order in which projects are allocated Project 320 Funds (i.e. the oldest allocation will receive Recaptured Funds first). Recaptured Funds will be allocated to each project as such Recaptured Funds become available until such project is fully funded in the amount of the allocation approved by the BCC.</i></p>
<p><b>11A9 162158</b></p> <p><b><i>Deferral Req.</i></b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ALLOW COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO DONATE ACCRUED ANNUAL AND HOLIDAY LEAVE TIME TO THE COUNTY TO BE USED TOWARDS A DONATION TO THE FLORIDA AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL UNIVERSITY ("FAMU") FOUNDATION IN ORDER TO HONOR THE PASSING OF COUNTY COMMISSION AUDITOR CHARLES ANDERSON; SETTING THE CAP OF SUCH DONATIONS AT \$25,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO MAKE THE DONATION OF ACCRUED LEAVE TIME TO THE FAMU FOUNDATION ON BEHALF OF THE EMPLOYEES OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes and directs the County Mayor or designee to immediately allow the donation by County employees of accrued annual and holiday leave time to the County to be used towards a donation to the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University Foundation Charles Anderson Scholarship Fund in order to honor the passing of County Commission Auditor Charles Anderson up to a limit of \$25,000.00.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to publicize and make available forms for employees to complete in order to authorize such accrued annual and holiday leave contributions and make the donation of the total value of County employee leave time contributions to the FAMU Foundation.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	Charles Anderson began working with Miami-Dade County in 2004 as the first Commission Auditor for Miami-Dade County and served in this position until his passing on June 3, 2016. Mr. Anderson was selected to fill this important role following the creation of the Office of Commission Auditor by the voters of Miami-Dade County. Mr. Anderson held a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University (FAMU) and was a Certified Public Accountant and Certified Inspector General. The FAMU Foundation, a gift program established by Charles Anderson's alma mater, has created a scholarship program for accounting students in honor of Charles Anderson.
<b>11A10 162478</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO STUDY AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD REGARDING CREATION OF A COST SHARING PROGRAM WITH DEVELOPERS FOR QUALIFYING RENEWAL AND REPLACEMENT PIPELINE PROJECTS IN ORDER TO UPGRADE UNDERSIZED WATER AND SEWER PIPELINES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY'S WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to study and make a recommendation to the BCC regarding the development of a cost sharing program for upgrades to qualifying renewal and replacement pipeline projects.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Many of the water and sewer pipelines installed throughout the County date back to the 1940s or 1950s, and such pipelines are often undersized according to current standards. The Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department (WASD) budgets for and replaces old and failing water and sewer mains as part of its Renewal and Replacement Program. WASD's Renewal and Replacement Program, which is a part of WASD's Capital Improvement Program, identifies various pipelines throughout the County that WASD intends to replace and upgrade in the future. WASD's Rules and Regulations require, in most circumstances, that developers or property owners upgrade sub-standard water and sewer mains in conjunction with receiving development approvals.</p>
<b>11A11 162226</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO REVIEW THE CONTRACT COMPLIANCE, PROGRESS, EFFICIENCIES, AND CONDUCT OF ALL AGENCIES THAT RECEIVE COUNTY FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING MEALS TO ELDERLY RESIDENTS
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to review the contract compliance, progress, efficiencies, and conduct of all agencies that receive County funds for the purpose of providing meals to elderly residents, and provide the review as a report on a BCC agenda, within six months of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>The review will include other information which the County Mayor or designee considers relevant to the review of the agencies' effectiveness to improve the lives of elderly residents using the funds provided by the County for the provision of meals.</p>
<b>11A12 162464</b>	RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE FINAL REPORT ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY SEA LEVEL RISE TASK FORCE AND DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE PERIODIC REPORTS TO THIS BOARD
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accepts the final reports on the recommendations by the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force and the various initiatives related to sustainability and resilience that are currently being pursued by the County;</li> <li>• Every six months, the Mayor or Mayor's designee will prepare a report to highlight County initiatives related to sustainability and resilience, and, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, will place the completed report on a BCC agenda. <i>This report may be in the form of a powerpoint presentation, as appropriate, and may contain recommendations from the Mayor or Mayor's designee.</i></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> In 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-599-13 creating the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force. Subsequently in 2014, the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force presented its recommendations, which are available online. The final reports on the recommendations by the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force were presented to the BCC in October 2016, and cumulatively contain over 400 pages of information and analysis related to sea level rise and Miami-Dade County that will be incredibly useful to decision makers in this</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	County and the region. In addition to working on these final reports, the County's Office of Resilience continues to pursue various initiatives that are related to sustainability and resilience to sea level rise. The County is also working to develop a County-wide Greater Miami and the Beaches Resilience Strategy, pursuant to the Rockefeller 100 Resilient Cities grant that was awarded to the County earlier this year.
<b>11A13 162473</b>	<b>RESOLUTION WAIVING REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 FOR SECURING SPONSORSHIPS AND PURCHASING GOODS AND SERVICES FOR HOSTING THE 10TH ANNUAL MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AGRICULTURE, HORSE AND CATTLE SHOW TO BE HELD ON APRIL 14-16, 2017</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution waives the requirements of Implementing Order 3-38 for the purpose of obtaining sponsorships and purchasing goods and services to host the 10th Annual Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show (MIAHCS) on April 14 through 16, 2017.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> The 10th Annual Miami International Agriculture, Horse and Cattle Show (MIAHCS) is scheduled to take place at Tropical Park from April 14 through 16, 2017.</p> <p>Implementing Order 3-38 was established pursuant to section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County to establish, whenever possible, competitive processes and procedures for the County's purchase of goods and services. While the dollar value of the goods, services, and sponsorships sought to be secured for the 10th Annual MIAHCS is below the threshold amount requiring formal sealed bids under County regulations, those County regulations nevertheless require market research and written quotes in advance of such purchases.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information – Highlights from the 9<sup>th</sup> Annual MIAHCS</u></b> The following information was provided by Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) Department staff in response to questions posed by the OCA regarding the MIAHCS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>How many sponsors were secured in the 8th Annual MIAHCS?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Approximately 15 sponsors were secured.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Was there a cost to the County?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The County budgeted \$250,000 of General Funds in fiscal budget year 2015-16 to cover expenses for the 2016 MIAHCS.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Did the County earn a profit? How was tourism impacted?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The County did not realize any profits in FY16 and donated the proceeds to SFACS (South Florida Autism Charter School).</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Did District 10 allocate any funds for the 2016 MIAHCS?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ District 10 allocated \$85,700 of District 10 funds to cover 2016 MIAHCS expenses.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>How was tourism impacted?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Although no tourism impact study was done, there were several cattle breeders from Central Florida and out-of-state that brought cattle to the MIAHCS in cattle trailers. Likely expenditures from the cattle breeders include items such as hotel room night stays, local restaurants for meals, car rental agencies, fuel consumption, livestock feed and bedding supplies, and veterinary supplies and services.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>11A14 162474</b>	<b>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CHECK, ON A WEEKLY BASIS, THE STATUS OF ALL LIGHTING IN PARKS WITHIN COMMISSION DISTRICT 10; FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE AN ORAL REPORT TO THE UNINCORPORATED MUNICIPAL SERVICE AREA COMMITTEE REGARDING THE STATUS OF SUCH LIGHTING</b>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to perform a status check, on a weekly basis, of all lighting in parks within Commission District 10 and present an oral report at each Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting regarding the findings of the status check conducted.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Miami-Dade County's Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department operates approximately 29 parks in Commission District 10. A report by the County Mayor dated March 25, 2014, indicated that the cost of providing lighting in all parks in Commission District 10 would be \$4.9 million.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<b>11A15 162715</b>	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY OF INSTALLING MECHANIZED RIDES AT REGIONAL PARKS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 162475]
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee, within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution, to prepare a report studying the feasibility of installing mechanized rides at the County's regional parks.</p> <p>As part of that feasibility study, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will design a pilot program for the implementation of mechanized rides in up to two County parks, and the feasibility study will specifically consider the imposition of user fees to defray the costs of acquisition, operation, and maintenance of any mechanized rides to be installed in County parks.</p> <p>The County Mayor will place the completed feasibility report on a BCC agenda.</p> <p><b>During the Unincorporated Municipal Service Area Committee meeting on November 9, 2016, the proposed resolution was amended to provide that the feasibility study prepared pursuant to the resolution:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Designs a pilot program for the implementation of mechanized rides in up to two County parks; and</b></li> <li>• <b>Considers the imposition of user fees to defray the costs of acquisition, operation, and maintenance of any mechanized rides to be installed in County parks.</b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Additional Information:</u></b></p> <p>According to The Parks, Recreation and Opens Spaces Department staff, the following County parks have mechanized rides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Crandon Park - Carousel Ride;</li> <li>• Tropical Park - Santa's Enchanted Forest, with rides provided by the Lessee, Santa's Enchanted Forest, Inc.;</li> <li>• Tamiami Park - The Fair, with rides provided by the Lessee, Miami Dade County Youth Fair and Exhibition, Inc.; and</li> <li>• Zoo Miami - Carousel Ride.</li> </ul> <p>The County does not operate or own mechanized rides at Tropical Park and Tamiami Park, the rides are provided by the Lessees during the time they are operating.</p>
<b>11A16 161664</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, AND THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT TO EXPEDITE THE PLANNING OF THE EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA RESERVOIR PROJECT, A COMPONENT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN; AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PRIORITIZE FUNDING TO ACQUIRE LAND SOUTH OF LAKE OKEECHOBEE FOR THE PURPOSE OF STORING AND TREATING WATER FROM THE LAKE AND SENDING IT SOUTH TO THE GREATER EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and South Florida Water Management District to take all steps to expedite planning for the Everglades Agricultural Area reservoir, as outlined in Component G of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, for the purpose of storing, treating, and conveying freshwater to Everglades National Park and Florida Bay;</li> <li>• Urges that planning for the above-mentioned Everglades Agricultural Area reservoir should be combined with the planning for the Lake Okeechobee Watershed project, which is slated to begin later this year, to more holistically and efficiently evaluate water storage needs north and south of Lake Okeechobee;</li> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to prioritize funding for the acquisition of land south of Lake Okeechobee in the Everglades Agricultural Area to achieve the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Project purposes of storing, treating, and conveying freshwater south to the greater Everglades ecosystem, including Everglades National Park and Florida Bay; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state and federal lobbyists to advocate for administrative action and legislation that would accomplish the goals set forth and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs</li> </ul>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>to <b>amend the 2016 Federal Legislative Package to include this item</b> and include this item in the 2017 State and Federal Legislative Packages when they are presented to the BCC.</p> <p><b><u>Background:</u></b> The Everglades is critical to Miami-Dade's economy, greatly benefitting local businesses and supporting the state's estimated \$67 billion tourism industry, \$13 billion outdoor recreation economy, and \$100 billion agriculture sector. One-third of all Floridians, nearly seven million people, depend on the Everglades for their water supply.</p> <p>Everglades restoration would restore natural flows of water and water quality, and would ensure a reliable adequate supply of freshwater. The 360,000 acre-feet Everglades Agricultural Area (EAA) Storage Reservoir project is included in Component G of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP), as a means of providing storage of water south of Lake Okeechobee to help clean excess lake water and bring more such water south into the parched Everglades and Florida Bay where it is needed, instead of being discharged, untreated, into the St. Lucie and Caloosahatchee Rivers. The current Integrated Delivery Schedule, which provides a timeline for the implementation of CERP projects, anticipates that planning for the EAA Reservoir will not commence until 2020, while planning for storage north of Lake Okeechobee, through the Lake Okeechobee Watershed project, is set to begin this year.</p> <p>In 2015, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-520-15, which urged the Florida Legislature to set aside funds to acquire land south of Lake Okeechobee for the purpose of storing and treating water from the Lake and sending it south to the greater Everglades ecosystem, but such lands have not been yet been acquired.</p>
<b>11A16 SUB 162702</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, AND THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT TO EXPEDITE THE PLANNING OF THE EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA RESERVOIR PROJECT, A COMPONENT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN; AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO PRIORITIZE FUNDING TO ACQUIRE LAND SOUTH OF LAKE OKEECHOBEE FOR THE PURPOSE OF STORING AND TREATING WATER FROM THE LAKE AND SENDING IT SOUTH TO THE GREATER EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 161664]
<b>Notes</b>	This substitute differs from the original in that it references the BCC's prior support for Everglades restoration and Everglades funding in general. This substitute also notes that the BCC supports various restoration projects by the U.S. Army Corps and the South Florida Water Management District for the Greater Everglades and Lake Okeechobee, and urges that restoration projects south of Lake Okeechobee also be given priority.
<b>11A17 162575</b>	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ADOPT AN ANNUAL SALES TAX HOLIDAY FOR VETERANS OF THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES
<b>Notes</b>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urges the Florida Legislature to adopt an annual sales tax holiday for veterans of the United States Armed Forces and their families;</li> <li>• Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; and</li> <li>• Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to include this item in the 2017 State Legislative Package when it is presented to the BCC.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> Florida has the third largest population of veterans in the nation with more than 1.6 million veterans, comprising 12 percent of the state's 18-and-over population and of those veterans, more than 731,000 are over the age of 65. According to statistics from the United States Census Bureau, the veteran population in Miami-Dade County was 60,168 in the years between 2009 and 2013, and in 2014, the inflation-adjusted median household income for veterans in Florida was \$58,190, compared with \$61,884 nationwide.</p>



**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	On January 20, 2005 and February 20, 2007, respectively, the BCC adopted Resolution Nos. R-99-05 and R-191-07, which urged the Florida Legislature to expand sales tax holidays for the purchase of personal hurricane supplies to encourage Florida residents to prepare for hurricane season. These sales tax holidays saved Floridians approximately \$10 million and \$41 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively.
<b>11A18 162698</b>	RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, FOR THE PROVISION OF INSPECTOR GENERAL SERVICES THROUGH THE OFFICE OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY INSPECTOR GENERAL; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Approves Amendment No. 3 to the Interlocal Agreement between the School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Miami-Dade County for the provision of Inspector General Services through the Office of the Miami-Dade County Inspector General (OIG).</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b></p> <p>The School Board of Miami-Dade County previously requested the services of the Miami-Dade County OIG to function as the School Board's Office of the Inspector General through an Interlocal agreement between the School Board and the BCC.</p> <p>The School Board has fully funded services provided Miami-Dade County OIG since 2008 at no cost to Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><b><u>Additional Information</u></b></p> <p>On December 18, 2007, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1387-07, approved the Interlocal agreement between the School Board of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Miami-Dade County for a three year term.</p> <p>During the Health and Public Safety Committee meeting on November 28, 2007, R-1387-07 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Miami-Dade Inspector General appeared and provided a summary of the proposed Interlocal agreement between the County and the School Board of Miami-Dade County for the provision of inspector general services through the Office of the Miami-Dade County Inspector General (IG).</i></li> <li>• <i>The IG advised that his first priority would be to ensure the jurisdiction, responsibilities, functions, and authority of the School Board's IG office would not differ from that of the County. He noted he anticipated some County resources would be directed to the School Board initially, for the supervision and management of the School Board's IG office. He further noted the cost of the investigators would be absorbed by the School Board and pointed out that the IG staff would consist of School Board employees.</i></li> <li>• <i>The IG advised the cost for the IG's services would be reimbursed to the County, with an additional fee, currently being negotiated, for overhead expenses. He further commented on the indemnification and legal representation clause and noted any fees incurred for this purpose would be covered by the School Board.</i></li> <li>• <i>The IG explained that the agreement was flexible and could be easily amended, to address budget, operations, and facility location issues within a 90-day period. He expressed confidence that this initiative would be successful.</i></li> <li>• <i>A point of clarification was made that the County's OIG staff would remain with the County and the School Board's OIG staff would work only for the School Board.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to concerns that the added responsibility would impact the IG's ability to fulfill his obligation to the County, the IG expressed confidence in being able to handle the added responsibilities successfully.</i></li> <li>• <i>In response to an inquiry regarding the budget, the IG explained that the School Board's budget for the OIG would be a separate account that the County would draw down on. Regarding separation of County and School Board staff, the IG noted the probability that some County OIG staff would initially work at the School Board's OIG.</i></li> </ul>

**Board of County Commissioners  
December 6, 2016 Meeting  
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On October 5, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1016-10, approved Amendment No. 1 to the Interlocal Agreement between the School Board of Miami-Dade County to extend the term of the Interlocal agreement so that the OIG may continue to serve as the Inspector General for the School Board for an additional three years; and</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-765-13, approved Amendment No. 2 to the Interlocal Agreement between the School Board of Miami-Dade County to extend the term of the Interlocal Agreement for an additional three-year period so that the Miami-Dade County OIG may continue to serve as the Inspector General for the School Board.</p>
<p><b>11A19 162730</b></p> <p><b>11A20 162734</b></p>	<p>RESOLUTION EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MIAMI MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART OF THE AFRICAN DIASPORA; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO THE MIAMI-DADE NORTH ARTS &amp; HUMANITIES FOUNDATION, INC., AS FEASIBLE, TOWARDS THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MIAMI MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART OF THE AFRICAN DIASPORA; AND FURTHER DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO PREPARE A REPORT PROPOSING FUNDING STRATEGIES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MIAMI MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART OF THE AFRICAN DIASPORA</p> <p>RESOLUTION ALLOCATING FY 2015-16 CARRYOVER FUNDS FROM THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AUDITOR TO THE MIAMI-DADE NORTH ARTS &amp; HUMANITIES FOUNDATION, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$100,000.00 FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUPPORTING EFFORTS TO CREATE THE MIAMI MUSEUM OF CONTEMPORARY ART OF THE AFRICAN DIASPORA</p>
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b><u>11A19 – 162730:</u></b> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expresses strong support for the development of Miami Museum of Contemporary Art of the African Diaspora (Miami MoCAAD);</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to provide technical assistance to the Foundation, as feasible, towards the development of Miami MoCAAD;</li> <li>• Directs the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to prepare a report proposing funding strategies for the development of Miami MoCAAD. The County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee is further directed to provide the report to the BCC within 90 days of the effective date of this resolution and place the completed report on a BCC.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>11A20 – 162734:</u></b> The proposed resolution allocates \$100,000.00 of FY 2015-16 Carryover Funds from the Office of the Commission Auditor to the Miami-Dade North Arts &amp; Humanities Foundation, Inc. in support of efforts to create the Miami Museum of Contemporary Art of the African Diaspora.</p> <p><b><u>Background</u></b> In 2013, Miami-Dade North Arts &amp; Humanities Foundation, Inc. (Foundation), a tax-exempt and not-for-profit corporation, proposed the creation of a premier art museum, sculpture park, and artist-in-residence program that focuses on the contemporary art of the global African Diaspora, to be known as the Miami MoCAAD. The Foundation has identified possible locations for the presence of Miami MoCAAD in Northwest Miami-Dade, including Miami Dade College, North Campus or in an urban area other than downtown Miami.</p> <p>The Knight Foundation awarded a grant to the Foundation, which was matched by private donations, to retain the highly regarded Paratus Group, a museum planning consulting firm, concerning the creation of Miami MoCAAD.</p>